AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2018 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION
{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply:  

Original X Amendment ___ Correction ___ Substitute ___

Date: 1/26/18
Bill No: HB133

Sponsor: Rep. Sheryl Williams Stapleton
Agency Code: 924
Person Writing: Matt Montano
Short Title: CAREER-TECHNICAL TEACHER DEVELOPMENT
Phone: 827-6045
Email: Matthew.montano@state.nm.us

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>Recurring or Nonrecurring</th>
<th>Fund Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY18</td>
<td>FY19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Revenue</th>
<th>Recurring or Nonrecurring</th>
<th>Fund Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY18</td>
<td>FY19</td>
<td>FY20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>NFI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)
## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY18</th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>3 Year Total Cost</th>
<th>Recurring or Nonrecurring</th>
<th>Fund Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

### SECTION III: NARRATIVE

### BILL SUMMARY

**Synopsis:**
HB133 seeks to amend Section 22-10A-19.1 NMSA to require professional development for career-technical teachers and educational assistants in the same manner as teachers of other subjects for which the PED has promulgated standards and benchmarks. In addition HB133 would require the use of Title II funding through ESSA for career-technical professional development.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

HB133 would require the PED to develop guidelines integrating career technical education into academic instructional practices as well as guidelines for funding rigorous professional development for career-technical teachers and educational assistants. Development of these guidelines would require time from PED employees yet no funding is allocated for this position.

### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HB133 singles out career-technical education teachers from all other licensed teachers in regards to professional development opportunities. The section of the NMSA that HB 133 seeks to amend already takes into account all teachers and educational assistants licensed through the department and therefore an amendment to specifically support career-technical educators is not needed.

HB133 also requires districts to use Title II funding to support professional development for career-technical educators; by enacting this language districts may think they are required to prioritize Title II funds to this specific group of teachers when the funds may be more impactful if spent in another manner.

### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Professional development opportunities have varying levels of impact on performance. There are no provisions for ensuring the training is impactful in HB133.

### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None Noted

### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None Noted

### TECHNICAL ISSUES
HB133 on page 3, subsection B.2.e, lines 2 and 3 asks that “organizations with specific subject-area expertise or professional development” be included in the development and evaluation of the professional development activities a district chooses. This may be a conflict of interest as these third party vendors may be the same vendors providing services to districts.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES
None Noted

ALTERNATIVES
None Noted

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL
None Noted

AMENDMENTS
None Noted