1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC HEARING
L1	March 11, 2016 9:00 a.m.
L 2	Mabry Hall, Jerry Apodaca Education Building 300 Don Gaspar
L 3	Santa Fe, New Mexico
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
2 4	
25	JOB NO.: 5115L (CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Chair MS. PATRICIA GIPSON, Vice Chair
4	MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Secretary MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER, Member
5	MS. ELEANOR CHAVEZ, Member (Telephonically) MR. JAMES CONYERS, Member
6	MS. MILLE POGNA, Member
7	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Member MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE, Member
8	STAFF:
9	MS. KATIE POULOS, Director, Charter School Division
10	MR. DYLAN LANGE, Assistant Attorney General Counsel to the PEC
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	









1	THE CHAIR: By my official clock, I have
2	9:00; so I am going to call this regular meeting of
3	the New Mexico Public Education Commission into
4	session.
5	Mr. Secretary, can we have a roll call,
6	please?
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Toulouse?
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Ambruster?
14	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Present.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Conyers?
17	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Here.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Here.
24	THE CHAIR: She's here telephonically.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: All right.





1	Commissioner Carr?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Peralta is here.
5	Commissioner Gipson?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Bergman?
9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman is here.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, you have
11	nine members of the Commissioners present.
12	THE CHAIR: I will note for the record
13	that eight of us are present; one is on the phone.
14	Thank you. And before we go any further,
15	please mute your electronic devices so they don't
16	interrupt our proceedings today. I think I have
17	mine muted.
18	Let's have the Pledge of Allegiance,
19	Millie Pogna, Commissioner, would you lead that?
20	And Commissioner Shearman, would you lead
21	the Salute to the New Mexico Flag?
22	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the
23	New Mexico Flag.)
2 4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Our next item on
25	the agenda is No. 2, and that will be the approval



```
1
     of the agenda.
               Do you have the agenda in your workbooks?
 2
 3
     Are there any changes to make in the agenda this
 4
     time?
 5
               I see none.
               I would note for the record that we always
 6
 7
     do reserve the right to move items around, if
 8
     needed, if something pressing comes up.
 9
               I would welcome a motion to approve the
10
     agenda.
11
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                     So move.
12
               THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Pogna.
13
     Do I have a second?
14
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                          Second.
15
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Ambruster
16
     seconds.
17
               All in favor, say "Aye."
18
               (Commissioners so indicate.)
19
               THE CHAIR:
                           Any opposed?
20
               (No response.)
21
               THE CHAIR:
                            It is a unanimous "Aye"; the
22
     agenda is approved.
23
               We're now to Item No. 3A, the approval of
24
     the PEC work session minutes for February 11th,
25
     2016.
```





25



Did anyone note any changes? I did not see any.

1	I would then entertain a motion to approve
2	those minutes.
3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So moved.
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson has moved.
5	Do I have a second.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second.
7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman has
8	seconded.
9	All in favor of approving those meeting
10	transcripts for February 12, 2016, say "Aye."
11	(Commissioners so indicate.)
12	THE CHAIR: Any opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	THE CHAIR: I hear no opposition. So that
15	motion is approved unanimously.
16	Item 3C is the approval of the PEC meeting
17	summary minutes for February 12th, 2016. And I
18	suspect those are in the back. There they are.
19	They are in your book, also.
20	Are there any changes to the summary
21	minutes?
22	I see no hands up. Apparently, there are
23	no changes to the summary minutes.
24	I would entertain a motion that we approve
25	those minutes.





1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: (Indicates.)
2	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster has a
3	motion. Do I have a second?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.)
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna has
6	seconded?
7	Any other discussion? All in favor of
8	approving the PEC meeting summary minutes for
9	February 12th, 2016, say "Aye."
10	(Commissioners so indicate.)
11	THE CHAIR: Any opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	THE CHAIR: No opposition. That has
14	passed unanimously. Those minutes are approved. So
15	we have dispensed with Item No. 3 on our agenda.
16	So we are now to Item No. 4, which is a
17	Report on Excellent Schools in New Mexico, to be
18	presented by Mr. Scott Hindman, who is the Executive
19	Director. Mr. Hindman, are you here?
20	MR. HINDMAN: I am.
21	THE CHAIR: Would you come forward,
22	please? Please use the microphone. Identify
23	yourself and your organization. Then you may
24	proceed. Thank you for
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Vince, there's a





hand. 1 2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, before 3 Mr. Hindman starts, I'd just like to question that there are time limits on this sheet in here; because I don't think we can limit us to five minutes of 5 questions. And I don't think that we can 7 necessarily, on a brand new arrangement, limit them to a shorter presentation, if it needs to take 8 9 longer. 10 So I want to object to having time limits 11 Since they weren't officially in the on that. 12 agenda itself, I didn't object then; but I'm 13 objecting to this Executive Summary. 14 Thank you for doing that; THE CHAIR: 15 because when I didn't see it on the agenda, I 16 thought it had been removed. I will note we will 17 not be limited on the time for our questions --18 MR. HINDMAN: Okay. Yeah. 19 THE CHAIR: -- as long as you understand 20 that. That's fine. 21 MR. HINDMAN: I just wanted 22 to make sure I respected your time. 23 THE CHAIR: Okay. Yeah. Thank you. 24 here, again, thank you, Commissioner Toulouse.



Please identify yourself and your

organization. Then you may proceed.

Excellent Schools New Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HINDMAN: Great. My name is Scott
Hindman, and I am the Executive Director of

So I basically wanted to come here just to talk a little bit about my organization and just to let you all know what I am doing, and then to discuss potential ways in which we can work together.

So I'll start by just doing the "why" and the "what." So the "why" is we want to build on the foundation of great public education in the state that's been set by you all and by districts like APS and Santa Fe. And the "what" that goes along with that is facilitating the growth of high performing school seats within the State of New Mexico.

So for facilitating the growth of the seats, how do we do that? So first and foremost, we want to support the growth of New Mexico schools that are already existing that have proven results. So these schools serve students and families well already. There's demand for them to serve more students. We're interested in doing that, and we're interested in supporting that.

The second is we want to support select



folks who want to open new schools within the state.

The third is we want to support talent pipelines. So, I mean, as you all know, to have great schools, you need great principals and great teachers. So we would like to explore, are there programs within the state of New Mexico doing work -- doing really good work in that type of preparation and how can we grow that?

And then the final piece is just education and advocacy.

I can tell you what we don't want to do, as well, because I think that's just as important. So we don't want to operate schools; we will not be an operator of any schools. You know, I've heard, just from speaking with folks, that there was talk that we wanted to potentially be an authorizer. And you all are the authorizer; so we're not doing that. We don't want to act like one. We basically act as a support system.

I'll give you a little bit about my background. So before I arrived in New Mexico, I was in Memphis. And I helped found, grow, and run a network of high performing charter schools within that state.

My background. My background in the



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

private, public, and nonprofit sectors, and then
within school systems: I've worked for a district;
I've worked for a charter school; I've actually
worked for state government, as well.

Why am I in New Mexico? So, I think, two big reasons:

The first is I lived in Arizona for four years. And I said if I could ever get back to the Southwest, I would be there in a second. And I think, just as important, my girlfriend is from Albuquerque, my long-time girlfriend. And -- yeah, so big leverage point.

She's actually an assistant principal. Her family still lives in Albuquerque. So she will be moving back with me at the end of -- at the end of the school year in May. And this is somewhere that we plan to be forever.

So organization background -- and I think this is really important, because I know there's been confusion about that:

A lot of that was before I was hired. But I will take, you know, full responsibility. I'm leading this now; so I'm the one who has to answer to that, and I understand that.

So the seed funding for this organization





came from the Daniels Fund. What the Daniels Fund did was they hired Bellwether. Bellwether is a consulting organization, and they do executive So, like, those are the two main functions of -- of Bellwether.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349

They hired Bellwether to create a feasibility plan and a strategic plan. So they basically said, like, "Can we -- can we do this?"

And then they had them put together the framework to hire an executive director. Those are the two things that they did. And that's -- that's it. So, like, they're not involved in any way beyond that.

So, you know, I know that some folks have said this is an out-of-state organization.

Everything about this organization is local. So I'm local; I'm here for -- for good. My board is entirely local. I think all but one might be -- or everyone lives in New Mexico. All but one, I think, are born and raised New Mexicans.

All of our funding so far has been local. Our 501(c)(3) is local. It's been approved by the State of New Mexico, and we're just looking for federal approval at this point.

And then everything we support will all be





organizations that are operating within the state; so all of that is local, as well.

You know, so next steps on my end: I've been here for eight weeks. So this is clearly, like, very new. We don't have -- I was telling someone before I came in, we're working on, like, a logo and website. We're really at that point.

For the past eight weeks, I've really just been going out and meeting with folks to say, you know, we have this consulting plan, which is always great. But it has to be actionable. And that has to include input from a variety of stakeholders.

And, basically, all I've been doing is just going out and meeting people and saying, "What do you think, and how can we improve this?"

I think where I would be interested beyond this, in just speaking with you all, is just figuring out one, how we can work together; two, your definitions of what "high quality" mean; and then, just your aims in terms of supporting charters and making sure that they're high performing schools.

So that's what I have.

THE CHAIR: That's it?

MR. HINDMAN: Yes.





1 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. We've 2 certainly answered a couple of my questions already. 3 I think -- are you prepared to answer some questions 4 then? 5 MR. HINDMAN: Yes, sir. THE CHAIR: Do we have questions? 6 7 Commissioner Peralta? COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yeah. 8 Welcome to New Mexico. 9 10 MR. HINDMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Finally glad to see 11 12 that we got you here, because there was a lot of 13 stuff floating around in the air. And then some 14 questions that were going through my mind, you 15 mentioned the board. Can you tell me a little bit 16 about the -- who makes up that board, a little 17 background of the people that are on your board? And the other, it sounds like you're just 18 19 getting the ball rolling, just getting started. 20 you talk a little bit about any needs survey, any 21 results of your needs survey, going around and 22 talking and finding out what you're finding out. 23 MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. Yeah. appreciate that. So yes. 24 So board -- so I'll even 25 back up a step, because to become an official board,



we actually need to get our 501(c)(3); right? So we started as a -- we call it a "steering committee," because it's basically advisers on -- at this point.

At this point, I think we have -- so we're converting folks who are on the steering committee to becoming board members. We are basically saying "Hey. You helped with this. Do you have enough time to ultimately serve on the full board?"

I would hope that most do; but I understand that some probably don't.

Composition: It's probably equally split between Santa Fe and Albuquerque. And we have someone in Gallup who's an attorney. We have a pair of attorneys. We have someone who is the president of an insurance agency, someone who runs a -- like, a supplier business, someone who's involved in education work nationally, but lives in -- in Santa Fe and is from Taos.

But, yeah, that's -- that's mainly it at this point. I think, like, what I've done, we want to grow that board further. And we're trying to figure out, you know, what -- you know, what the appropriate number of spots is, and then who will be filling those spots.

But I'd say, like, we could still double



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in size at this point from where we are right now. 1 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So is there an 2 3 exact number in your head that you feel is going to 4 be --MR. HINDMAN: I would want it to be 15, 5 with probably a smaller executive committee. 6 7 we'll have to do fundraising. So I think, you know, 8 having a larger board would potentially help with 9 that --10 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Okay. 11 MR. HINDMAN: -- in terms of what I'm 12 hearing, a lot of things. 13 So I think there are folks who are 14 operating schools right now who are excited about, 15 you know, just having the potential to grow and 16 serve more. I think where our niche is actually is 17 that we provide start-up capital, which isn't 18 provided by federal and state government anymore. 19 So for schools that wanted to expand -- so my 20 school, for example, in Memphis, we had federal 21 funds to be able to do that. And those have all 22 expired. 23 So, like, where our, you know, really kind 24 of sweet spot is is that we can provide that to get 25 folks to grow; because if you are expanding a



school, like, you need a planning year; right? You have to hire a principal. You have to purchase curriculum. You have to get somebody, you know, who's managing operations. And all of that costs money. And if you're not getting "per pupil" for that, because you haven't opened a school, that's tough to fund unless you have someone supplying that.

You know, I think folks -- I've talked a lot about accountability and just getting more certainty around that, saying -- you know, everyone from individuals who are funding facilities, for example, saying, "I really would want to understand, like, what the tenure of a charter is and what the metrics are, you know, to -- to get approved and to get renewed, so I could understand, like, how I can finance my debt or my bonds."

You know -- so to -- those -- those have really been the big ones at this point, the conversations I've had, you know. And I think, like, the other thing that I keep hearing is just talent. So, you know, how can we support more great teachers in the state? I think that everybody knows that districts have had issues with shortages of teachers, and, you know, there are few public



universities in the state relative to -- to other 1 2 states around the country that have teacher prep 3 programs. 4 So I think a lot of folks here just understand that need and are interested in learning 5 more about, like, how that work can proceed. 6 7 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So geographically, 8 your conversation has been basically around 9 Albuquerque? 10 MR. HINDMAN: They've mainly been focused 11 in Albuquerque and Santa Fe; but that doesn't mean 12 that those are the only two areas in which we're 13 focused. It's just that that's where most of the population is and most of the schools are. 14 15 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Toulouse? 18 19 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chair. Mr. Hindman, I don't want you to think 21 22 that this is addressed to you. Your organizers have 23 set up an antagonistic relationship with us by doing 24 it so quietly and behind our backs, we were shut 25 We had to go to third and fourth parties and



all to find out what's going. That has already set a bad situation here.

So I think you're going to have to work very hard and get your people who are on your board to work -- we are still the authorizers of State-authorized schools. And that's not going to change at this point, because I don't think you get enough people in the Legislature to do that.

So we're the folks you've got to come to if you're not going to individual school boards.

And I'm not sure that probably the people who did this either didn't care, or did not understand the antagonism they've built up with many of us and with many of the schools out there that were hearing things.

We want and need high performing schools, and we support them and want them. But there's also room here in a state like this for schools that handle the kids who are never going to be your high performing kids, but are educating them and getting them back out there into the job force. And I think those people are feeling that they are being bypassed.

This state already has a larger percentage of students in charter schools, and many, many other



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

states, and I think there's a money issue. So I think you have a bridge to build, both with existing schools that are not the very top tier -- and, again, some of us don't necessarily buy that top tier based on just the school grades -- but I think you're here today and have been asked to be here because we're open to working with anybody who wants to work with our children.

But we also don't want to see our charter school coalition replaced. We want them to be worked with, too. We work with them. It's a very successful deal. So I would like us to go forward now, if you can take us back to your folks in a partnership basis, because we still say "yes" or "no"; so thank you.

So it was just a statement so you understood what you were facing here. But it is not you. You're new; you're excited; you want to be here. That's a positive. You're not here to build a resume, I'm assuming, or whatever. Hopefully, you and the girlfriend will stay together. If you don't, I hope your roots are still here.

MR. HINDMAN: There's pressure. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I've got grown

children. I know how those things go.





Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Additional questions?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just want to expand a little bit on the board question that Commissioner Peralta had. How did you get the board members?

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So we have -- I guess he's based in Santa Fe -- someone who's very, just interested in this issue. And he did a lot of that initial recruiting.

And then when Bellwether was -- was working on their plan and folks had either heard of it through them or through, you know, one of my board members, like, it just grew in that way. I think that's also, in part, why we only have, you know, I think, committed, five or six folks at this point; because it hasn't been something -- I mean, it's going to be my focus in the next year or so to actually build that out.

But at this point, it was -- it's a -- it was a small group of folks who -- you know, I'd say the first three or four kind of know each other; and then it'll expand from there, basically.



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You mentioned that you've been talking with people, community, about education. Who have you reached out to, and how are you reaching out to get your answers about the state of education here?

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So, I mean, I can give you -- because Kelly's in the audience today. So I had breakfast with them yesterday. You know, I think it's not hard to find who's doing good work here. It's a small state. There's information out there.

I'm not afraid to cold-call folks just to say, "Hey, I've heard you're doing great things. I would just love to sit down and speak with you."

And that's how I've done a lot of that.

My girlfriend's father, for example, met with Tony

Monfiletto. I said we were going to be here today

and he said, "You should go and meet Tony. He's

doing great things." We went and had breakfast

together.

There are folks at Bellwether who I've met who are operating great schools. And, I mean, you even see it in national rankings. And -- "Hey, you know, can I sit down with you and just talk about what you're doing?"

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



And I find that that's how I start the conversation with folks, that, "I'm just interested in hearing more about what you're doing, and I'll buy you breakfast." You know, I don't get turned down too much.

But, yeah, I mean, expand from everybody from folks in the private sector who are interested to individuals running charter schools. You know -- and I should say one more thing that I didn't mention this. One of the reasons why I'm calling the organization "Excellent Schools New Mexico" is that I think that we think about the first schools that are going to grow and that want to grow like, they'll very likely be charter schools.

But I come from a public school background, a district background. Like, I don't necessarily think that it should be limited to just that.

So folks at APS, at Santa Fe. I've spoken with folks at APS. I haven't spoken with anybody at Santa Fe yet. But, like, that's on my list, as well, because I think the districts are doing a lot of really innovative, good things, and I want to learn more about that. And I just don't want this to be -- you know, this has to be a charter school



organization; like, I'm not a believer in that at this point.

And I think that there is opportunity just to learn more about what's going on beyond, you know, the 10 to 15 percent or so of kids that are served by charters in the state.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So just as a follow-up, you see that there's a potential that some of your foundation money will go to more traditional public schools, as well, not just into start-up fees for charters.

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. I would like to explore that. And I think the example that I'll give -- so when I was in Memphis, the district actually had created their own -- they called it an "I" zone. And it was very similar to the organization that I was working for, in that they basically ran their schools like charters within the district. And those were heavily funded by foundations.

So I'm -- like I said, I'm not that far in at this point; but I think it's definitely worth speaking with people and saying, "Hey, what are you working on that you think is innovative," because I know, at least at APS, there is a group that deals



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with just innovation work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think it's definitely worth reaching out to those folks, you know, to -- to see what they're up to and to determine whether there would be any interest.

I think the other big thing where there could be a partnership is talent affects everybody, not just traditional schools or charter schools.

So, I mean, when I think about, like, a win [verbatim] or a partnership with a district, I mean, that's a district that all folks, you know, no matter what the governance of the school is around the state, like, they're all looking at that and realizing that something has to be done differently.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I'll do one more.

THE CHAIR: Oh.

at -- or when you're looking at seed money for a start-up, and you're looking at helping to establish that school and curriculum support and so on, do you have a model for curriculum as an example that you're looking to support? Or is --

MR. HINDMAN: No. I mean -- so it would have to be aligned with State standards. But I'm a



believer in -- I mean, you -- you know what the standard is; and then you can get there in the way that you can get there.

I think what I'm -- what I would be most concerned with is, you know, whether a school understands why they've been successful thus far and whether they would be able to continue to do that.

So the curriculum, to me, isn't necessarily, like, the most important thing; but it does have to -- I should say the folks who are running the schools --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You're talking to someone who taught. I don't think that's the best comment to make, you know.

MR. HINDMAN: When I think of curriculum, for example -- so it could be if you're using Common Core, for example -- so, like, we used Engage New York; but, like, there are, like, a number of other curriculums that you could use besides Engage New York, if you're looking to -- if you're aiming towards Common Core standards, for example.

Or you know, schools that are more focused on job placement -- right? -- like, they're using a different curriculum than one that's running a STEM program. That's what I mean by that. I think,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     like, what I would want to see is do you know how to
 2
     use that to get to the results that you need to get
 3
     to?
 4
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.
 5
               MR. HINDMAN: Yeah.
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner? Commissioner
 6
 7
     Chavez, if you can hear me, do you have any
 8
     questions or comments?
 9
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I do.
                                                  I do.
10
     Thank you.
11
               THE CHAIR: Go ahead, please.
12
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. So I have,
13
     actually, several questions.
14
               My first question is around the issue of
15
     the steering committee, slash, board members.
16
     You've talked, you know, a little bit about that.
17
     But I don't hear you mentioning any names.
                                                 I'd like
18
     to know who is on your steering committee.
19
               MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So I can spell it if
20
     you want to. Pat McDonough, who's based in
     Santa Fe. Joe Lujan is in Albuquerque. Alan Bell
21
22
     is in Albuquerque. Amanda Kocon is in Santa Fe.
23
     Patrick Mason is in Gallup. Kirk Sommer is in
24
     Santa Fe.
25
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And are any of
```



them -- are any of them on the board?

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So what we're doing right now is we're getting those -- like I've told those folks, I've said, you know, "Here's what the board commitment is going to be."

We're drafting our board docs right now so that we know what the expectations are for our board members and how often we'll have meetings and what else will be required of them. And then once that's finished, those folks will have the option to say "yay" or "nay."

But the ones that I've mentioned are all -- they're fairly committed to this.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And then you talked a little bit about funding. You said that the Daniels Foundation has provided you money to do the feasibility plan -- right? -- and hire an executive director. And who is -- who's committed to continuing to fund Excellent Schools in New Mexico?

MR. HINDMAN: So the Daniels Fund provided that seed funding, and then they provided additional funding beyond that. The seed grant was strictly for the Bellwether work; that was it. That was all it could be used for.





COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Uh-huh. 1 MR. HINDMAN: 2 I mean, beyond that, we'll 3 have to fundraise. That's why, you know, forming a board is a big part of that. 5 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And then also you talked a little bit about money for startups. 6 7 There's federal money, I heard you mention. 8 then beyond that, once the federal money runs out, 9 are you anticipating also raising money from foundations? 10 11 No, no. So there isn't any MR. HINDMAN: 12 federal monev. There used to be some federal money 13 with Race to the Top, i3, certain grants like that. 14 But, no, like, they're -- I mean, there might be 15 federal money in the future; but at present, if 16 someone wanted to go start a school and asked the 17 federal government for money, I think that would be 18 pretty difficult to do. 19 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Uh-huh. Okay. And 20 then you talked also a little bit about demand in 21 New Mexico. Can you -- can you define that a little What do you mean when you say there's 22 bit better? 23 demand in New Mexico? I mean there's demand 24 MR. HINDMAN: Yes. among -- so certain charter schools that have gotten 25



1	good results and whose families and students think
2	that they're serving them well, there is demand for
3	those schools to serve more students, whether
4	that's, you know, creating a new school, whether
5	that's going they're K-6, and they add a 7th or
6	an 8th.
7	But just sort of speaking of most of these
8	schools, a lot of them have wait lists that are
9	fairly substantial. That's what I mean by "demand."
10	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And do you know, off
11	the top of your head, which schools they are and how
12	long their waiting lists are?
13	MR. HINDMAN: I've seen wait lists I've
14	heard someone say the other day for 50 spots, they
15	had 500 kids apply, for a school located
16	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Which school is
17	that?
18	MR. HINDMAN: Alice King.
19	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Let's see. I
20	have a couple more questions. One, curriculum. Are
21	there any specific curriculum companies that you've
22	used?
23	MR. HINDMAN: That I have personally used?
24	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Or that Bellwether
25	uses?



So Bellwether doesn't --1 MR. HINDMAN: 2 doesn't run schools; so Bellwether doesn't -- like, 3 they don't -- they don't use curriculum for 4 anything. 5 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Uh-huh. Are there 6 any specific curriculum companies that the schools 7 that you've been involved with, in Tennessee, for 8 example, use? 9 MR. HINDMAN: Yeah, we used Engage 10 New York. 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Engage New York? 12 MR. HINDMAN: Yeah, which was free. 13 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Who paid for it? 14 It was free. It's online. MR. HINDMAN: 15 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. Nothing is free. 16 Okay. 17 And then the other question that I have is 18 in terms of, you know, you said that you were, you 19 know, talking to folks. So are you sort of 20 creating -- are you bringing on charter schools into 21 the organization that might be interested in your 22 philosophy? I guess what -- I'm trying to figure 23 out how this works. You have a board -- are you 24 going to have a board? And then is the board only 25 specific to -- let's see. I'm not saying it right.



Yeah. So, you know, what does it mean for folks to be associated with you? What does that mean? What kind of criteria? What kinds of -- you know, what do you offer to them? Those kinds of things.

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So that makes sense. So we're not, like -- we're not a membership organization, like the Coalition is, for example. So, like, we won't have charter members as part of our organization. What we will do is just support specific schools, you know, who are interested in expanding and growing.

I think the criteria around that, you know, for a school, I think number one, is academic performance. And I think that means both absolute performance and -- and growth.

And I'll just bring a -- you know, I'll go back to what Commissioner Toulouse said. So, like, I totally -- totally hear what you're saying on students -- or on schools serving, you know, highly disadvantaged students. So when I was in Memphis, I mean, we worked in the absolute poorest neighborhood in the poorest city in the country. Per capita for the neighborhood we were working in was \$6,100. And we served about 1,800 students over five schools



99 percent Title I. I hear you on that. I very much understand what you're saying and what those challenges are, because I just spent the past three years working on that.

So I think growth is a big piece of that. I think, you know, governance and financial compliance is obviously huge, just given, you know, some of the issues I've heard about since being here.

So, you know, that's obviously a big criteria of who we're going to work with. Like, you have to have your books in order and you have to be compliant with what you need to be compliant with.

And I think a third piece of that is just how you align with the mission of your school. So, like, if the mission of your school is to prepare kids for a career right after high school, like, how many of those kids are actually going into career. If your mission is, you know, you're a dual language school, like, how many of your students are proficient in English and Spanish, for example?

You know, at my last organization we used teacher -- teacher and parent surveys to determine quality, community surveys to determine quality. So when I think about who I want to work with, it's



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     just not straight, like I'm going to look at your
     school report card and determine it based off that.
 2
 3
     I think there are a whole bunch of factors that
     aren't necessarily, you know, super-rigid.
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Uh-huh. And so do
 5
 6
     you all advocate any, you know, certain kind of
 7
     philosophy, I guess, or approach to education?
 8
     Like, for example, some schools have a -- what's
     called a "no excuses" or "no tolerance" approach?
 9
10
               MR. HINDMAN:
                             No, definitely not.
11
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. All right.
12
     Thank you.
13
               MR. HINDMAN:
                             Thank you.
14
                           Thank you, Commissioner.
               THE CHAIR:
15
               Commissioner Shearman?
16
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                       Thank you very
17
     much.
18
               Scott, I wanted to welcome you to the
19
     meeting.
               As the Commission knows, you and I, while
20
     I was still Chair, talked several times trying to
21
     put this together; so I'm happy that it's finally
     come about.
22
23
               A couple of questions I wanted to ask.
24
     You said you all want to facilitate the growth of
25
     high performing schools. Do you have a definition
```



1	of "high performing schools"?
2	MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So it's kind of just
3	like what I what I just spoke about.
4	So I'm going to form that more concretely.
5	But to me, high performing the function of a
6	school is for kids to learn. So, like, the number
7	one thing would be our kids learning while they're
8	at your school.
9	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, this
10	Commission has, for several months now, been working
11	on the the criteria and the definition for "high
12	performing schools." I just happen to have it right
13	here.
14	MR. HINDMAN: Perfect.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We're waiting on
16	CSD to provide us some data. The last time we
17	looked at this was I believe in November of 2015.
18	And we were putting together some data so that SAM
19	schools could be realistically considered for high
20	performing schools.
21	MR. HINDMAN: What type of schools?
22	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right.
23	Somebody help me. SAMs is
24	MS. POULOS: "Supplemental Accountability
25	Measures." Those are schools that have right



now, I believe they're all high schools that have a very high percentage of students with disabilities or over-age students.

MR. HINDMAN: Thanks.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The students that Carmie, Commissioner Toulouse, was really talking about.

And so in the section for SAM schools, we wanted to put in realistic percentages. So we had asked CSD to -- when the grade came out, to help us with those numbers. We haven't had that brought to us yet. But I'm going to ask that this "high performing schools" definition be put on the agenda for April. And I'm sure by then, we can get that information from CSD.

And we'd be happy to work with you and your group so that we're all on the same page, that a high performing school for your purposes is a high performing school for ours.

And PED has also agreed that this was ours to draft. We were asked to go ahead and do this. So I think we'd all be well to be on the same page for this.

MR. HINDMAN: Agreed. Yeah. Appreciate

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Just that information.

The other thing I wanted to ask you, on start-up funds, are you talking about start-up funds that would begin after an application has been approved as a new charter school? Or would your group have any -- any involvement with writing those applications for new charter schools?

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So I do think we would assist before or during the writing, if, you know, that was a person or an organization that we felt comfortable with. So I think that's, like, a case-by-case basis, in my mind.

I think for some, yes; and for some, no.

But, you know, the big intent of that is just to

help folks get off the ground, just knowing -
having done it myself, what the costs are and

that -- I'd say the one to two years before you're

actually operating, like, that's -- that's the main

focus.

But to your point, I think -- I think it depends on the case, to be honest with you. Does that -- did I not fully answer that? I'm happy -- COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Oh, it fully

answers it. The questions that are flying around in

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



my mind are several of them. I believe I recall reading in the 2 3 announcement that was the job announcement for the position you now have that this new organization's 5 aim was to put in place -- was it 20 or 30 new charter schools? 6

> MR. HINDMAN: It was 30, yeah.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Within ten years, was it? Whatever the time period is. Is that still the focus of your group?

MR. HINDMAN: So I think -- I think 30 would be a really, really difficult number to get to in five years. So, no. Like, I would say that to get to 30 schools in five years --

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Then give us a more realistic number.

MR. HINDMAN: Frankly, that's what I'm working on right now. That depends on a couple of different factors. So one, what's the interest? if I showed up and everybody said, "Well I'm, not interested in doing that," well, then the answer would be zero, right? That hasn't been the case.

I think, too, it depends on funding. we are looking to do fundraising at this point. a lot of that is contingent on, you know, how much



1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



money do we think we need to raise and how much growth can that support?

And then I think the third piece around that is when we talk about, like, talent investments or just other pieces of creating a better system, like, what does that have to look like and what's the cost of that?

So I'm -- like, that's exactly what I'm doing, and that's exactly what I'm going out and trying to speak with folks just to understand, like, who's interested and willing and ready to do this, and what do we think we can fund?

And then we're going to come to a number after that. But I think to get to 30 -- I mean, the other thing, that that Bellwether job description, I think, was out for probably a year to 15 -- I mean, it was out for a long time. And it took a while for me to get on board after that.

So I'd say the other thing to remember is, as well, is that that time line is now probably

15 months behind, which affects quite a bit.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Just a second. Is there anyone who hasn't spoken yet that wanted to ask a question or make a comment?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Commissioner Ambruster? 1 2 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: You mentioned 3 that one of the goals was to support good teachers and principals, administrators, and their 4 5 preparation. So what would you do with that and how would you find those people? 6 And you would be paying for traditional 7 8 schools, as well as charter schools to do that? 9 MR. HINDMAN: So I'd say an example would 10 be -- and this is -- this is something that I 11 haven't, like, spent a whole lot of time with since 12 I've been here, just to be upfront about it. 13 So are there -- are there good programs 14 within the State of New Mexico, either through the 15 universities or through something else, through a 16 nonprofit -- I don't know -- that are doing a good 17 job of training and preparing teachers and 18 principals? 19 Usually, those organizations have limited 20 funding and scope and staff. But let's say they 21 wanted to say, you know, "We prepare, you know, ten 22 teachers a year, and we want to do 50. How can we 23 do that?" 24 Like, that would be something that I would



be really interested in. I don't know if that

exists yet at this point. But, like, when I think about the larger system, if you're going to improve schools, like, you need really good folks in there. And that's a big piece of it. But that would be an example of it. Like, it wouldn't be, like, supporting a school doing that, for example.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Am I saying this correctly, that your -- most of the money would be going to help charter schools start up and to give them money, more than helping traditional schools?

MR. HINDMAN: I would say not necessarily. So I would say at the beginning, yes, for sure, just because there's less kind of restriction around moving quickly on opening a charter, for example. And you know that.

But in the future, I'd say -- you know, that's why I spoke about wanting to make those connections within districts, because, like, they're also doing a lot of very good, innovative work. And if the aim is to improve -- the aim is for excellent schools, New Mexico; it doesn't necessarily mean excellent charter schools, New Mexico.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So that sounds like the original intent of charter schools was to try different and new, innovative teaching





1 techniques or products. 2 MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And then to 4 share those with traditional schools so that 5 everyone would benefit. So it sounds like, if I'm hearing this correctly, that your group will be kind 6 7 of taking best practices from charters that are 8 doing well, as well as traditional schools that are 9 doing well, and share those with other people? 10 MR. HINDMAN: I mean, that would be the 11 hope, yes. That would be the hope. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Good. Thank 13 you. 14 THE CHAIR: Is that all? 15 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry. 16 Thanks. 17 Commissioner Toulouse? THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I just 18 19 want to make one comment on waiting lists, having 20 grandchildren in charter schools in Albuquerque, two 21 of them at Alice King. I think when you get the 22 whole big number, most of those kids are the ones 23 that want the entry-level year; in Alice King's case, kindergarten. However, there are openings at 24 Alice King in almost all the other grades, going up. 25



Like all schools, they want to keep their 1 2 student count; and so they're filling. 3 somebody has -- and this is where I think people need to work, too, is to let parents know. 5 wanted your kid there in kindergarten, they didn't make it, put them back on for first grade, second 6 7 grade; because there are -- in my grandson's first-grade class, there have already been two kids 8 who have left just since this spring semester 9 10 started, and two left in the fall. 11 So right there, that's four openings in 12 first grade. So you have to kind of look at what 13 grade are they on the list for? If it's a high 14 school, are they on there for ninth grade, or are 15 they willing to go at tenth and eleventh grade? 16 I think we all, including us, would like 17 breakdowns of what is that waiting list, really? 18 it just kids that wanted to go that first year, or 19 they want to come in later? 20 So thank you. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Anyone else? 22 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just have one 23 more. 24 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I have one 25 more question.



1	THE CHAIR: Oh. Commissioner Chavez, go
2	ahead. A voice.
3	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I Bellwether
4	Education Partners is traded on the stock market;
5	correct?
6	MR. HINDMAN: No, they're not they're a
7	501(c)(3).
8	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. So they're
9	not traded on the stock market?
10	MR. HINDMAN: No. If you Google "990
11	Finder," you can look up Bellwether Education
12	Partners and find their 990.
13	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. So can you
14	tell me which, if any, of the for-profit charters
15	around the country you have a relationship with or
16	work with?
17	MR. HINDMAN: None.
18	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: All right. Thank
19	you.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
21	Commissioner Gipson?
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just was
23	wondering, now that your time line has been kind of
24	done away with, when are you anticipating you could
25	possibly start to offer seed money, or whatever



```
1
     support, for applications for new applicants?
 2
               MR. HINDMAN: Yeah.
                                    So we can -- we can
 3
     start with that work right now. In terms of having
 4
     a huge scope of it, I mean, that's obviously, you
 5
     know, more -- more limited. But getting a few folks
 6
     off the ground, like, we could definitely start that
 7
     work this year.
 8
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You've got seed
 9
     money.
10
               MR. HINDMAN:
                             Yeah. For this year, yes,
11
     definitely.
12
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     So how many
13
     schools -- of course, the application process is
14
     closed for this --
15
               MR. HINDMAN: Yeah, exactly.
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- for this -- how
16
17
    many schools do you -- would you feel comfortable
18
     with being able to support?
19
               MR. HINDMAN: That's a good question.
                                                       And
20
     what I'm doing is I'm meeting with -- so what I
21
     really need an understanding of -- and this is
22
     something that wasn't in the Bellwether report -- I
23
    have a fairly good idea of it -- but it's just
     what -- what are the costs for what these schools
24
25
     would need; right?
```



So is it a facility? Is it a six-month hire for a principal? Like, that's the type of information that I'm actually gathering right now, so I could determine what those costs are. The way that it's been framed in other -- you know, so there are 15 or so kind of similar organizations that are doing similar type of work, and they always frame it in a dollars-per-student number.

But, like, that, to me, doesn't seem to work all that well; because, you know, a higher -- cost for personnel, for example, is very different than the cost of facilities. So, yeah. So I'm happy to speak more about that, like, when I have that information. But that's exactly what I'm doing right now is figuring out, you know, can I determine kind of what an average cost looks like, and then, you know, see what we need for funding, see what we have for funding, and then that's what determines the seat numbers.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah.

22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, one

23 | final question, and then I'm through.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

25 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Do you think you



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21



```
1
     might be involved with any of the applications we
 2
     received this January?
 3
               MR. HINDMAN: No, I haven't spoken with
 4
     anybody who's --
 5
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Not even the
     Masons in Gallup?
 6
 7
               MR. HINDMAN: Patrick's on my board; so
 8
     we're definitely not, like --
 9
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay.
                                              Because
10
     they, you know, have applied for a school. You're
11
     not involved in that one?
12
                                  No. That would be a
               MR. HINDMAN: No.
13
     conflict of interest. And I don't want to be
14
     involved in it.
15
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:
                                       Thank you.
16
               THE CHAIR:
                           Anything else? Because I have
17
     some stuff, if everyone else --
18
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Go ahead.
19
               THE CHAIR: I have a couple of questions;
20
    but then you said something in one of your answers.
21
     You've assured us twice now that Bellwether doesn't
22
     have anything to do with this. But in one of your
23
     answers, you mentioned someone that's with
24
     Bellwether that's an operator of a charter school.
     That's what you said. When you said that, I got a
25
```



twinge.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HINDMAN: No, sorry. So Bellwether

does -- it's a -- it's like a McKenzie. I guess

that would be the best known consulting firm. So

they do consulting for education. Then if someone

hires them to look for an ED, they do that.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for clarifying that, because I think you'll remember, I'm one of them that raised the issue of an out-of-state group with you --

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah, exactly.

with you. And I am concerned about that; because somebody from some -- back East coming in and telling us folks out here how we've got to slice the pie and everything -- we've got a pretty good grip on it, we think. But anyway -- so I was one of those that was concerned about that.

Now to my questions. You mentioned support a couple of times. But define your definition of how you're going to actually support either applicants or existing schools. Can you do that for me?

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. Good question. So financial support, and then technical support in





terms of whether the application writing, whether it would be -- you know, my background is in finance and operations; so, like, in how do you grow an organization, in those respects. And then when I think about who I would need to hire or who I would at least need to have consult, you know, it would be folks with a background in how do you -- how do you grow either a school -- grow grades or grow a new school; you know, what does the academic piece look like? And I would work with somebody who has that experience in doing it.

There are quite a few organizations. I don't -- there may be one in New Mexico; but there are, you know, folks nationally who have put out -- you know, it's all open source -- put out all of the materials on that. And they have -- they have literally just sent me everything and offered to provide support if I needed that type of support.

But, yeah, I mean, the two big pieces of it are -- would be -- would be finance; and then it would be, "What do you need help with on the -- on the application side or on the -- the opening-a-new-school side?"

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HINDMAN: Yeah.





THE CHAIR: And you've already had several questions on the start-up funding. But the thing that occurred to me, when you said as a private organization, you're going to be providing funds to charter schools, some of our charter schools in this state have their own nonprofit foundation that raise money strictly for their own school. I'm not aware of a lot of private organizations in New Mexico that are doing what you're proposing to do that are handing out money to our local charter schools. Is there a legal issue with that? Is that legal in New Mexico, or --MR. HINDMAN: So we're a nonprofit. be a 501(c)(3). Like, the best parallel, actually, would be a Kellogg, for example, a foundation that gives money to a school. It's pretty similar to that. So, yeah, we're applying for our 501(c)(3) at this point. Like I said, it's approved in

New Mexico. The next step is the federal approval. So no, we're a nonprofit organization.

THE CHAIR: I'm not sure how those national organizations, some, like Daniels and others, the Gates Foundation and all those, do they -- will you -- when you give money, does it



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



come with strings attached? Or is it a gift?

2 MR. HINDMAN: Yeah. So I think that,

3 like -- that can vary, as well. So I think there

4 | are -- you know, 'cause I've worked with

5 organizations that have actually received these

6 grants. So some of them are, just, "Here's a block

7 grant to do what you need to do," and the

8 application process is thorough enough that there's

9 vetting to the point that, you know, there's trust

10 | that you'll do what you need to do with the money.

And then others, there are milestone markers that you had to hit; like, I'm much more inclined to having those milestones that you have to reach in order to have continued funding. But because if you're just giving money to folks and

they're not using it well, it doesn't seem to make a

17 whole lot of sense.

101 or 102 schools.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: And I also had noted that number of 30 schools. The history of the charter school movement in this state, I think the first charter school in this state opened up in either '91 or '92. And 24 years later, we have approximately

You would be proposing to add 30 percent to that in just the coming years. And it didn't

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1 sound realistic to me. And you may not be aware, there are statutory limits on how many charter 2 3 schools can open in New Mexico. Right now, it's 15 4 in any given year, and 75 over a five-year period. 5 That would tend to also inhibit something like that, unless you were going to crowd everybody else out of 6 7 the application market. 8 I'm intrigued by your helping them with applications; but I haven't thought of a useful 9 question yet. 10 When I think of it, I'm going to ask 11 you about it. 12 MR. HINDMAN: Fair enough. 13 THE CHAIR: Here again, they can get any 14 help they want from any source and would welcome it. 15 Yeah, exactly. MR. HINDMAN: 16 THE CHAIR: I'm not bothered by it. 17 am intrigued by it. I can't think of anything else. 18 Does anyone else have any follow-up? Commissioner Ambruster? 19 20 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Just to do what 21 you were saying. So your goal is to open up more 22 charter schools. And I'm wondering if money might 23 not be better spent improving the schools who are 24 struggling. 25 MR. HINDMAN: So the goal is actually to



create more high quality school seats, which could include helping schools that are struggling. So I deliberately framed it -- so you can frame it in a number of ways.

You can say, you know, you guys -- you can say 30 schools; right? Or you can frame it as, like, how many kids are you actually serving?

Extreme hypothetical: What if you have one school that served 5,000 kids -- right? -- or one that served ten? I wouldn't want to have 30 schools that were all serving 15 kids.

Yeah. So the goal is actually -- I think about it more in terms of students served than actual schools opened.

I think -- I mean, I was -- so I was involved in turn-around work. That was my background. I was part of a turn-around school district that was run by the state. That is a -- in my opinion, a highly coordinated effort that needs to take place among probably three or four different stakeholders.

And frankly, like, that's not happening right now in New Mexico. And the amount of money needed to do that is going to be way more than -- than this organization will ever have.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry.

THE CHAIR: Is that it? Anything else?

Well, I want to thank you for taking the time. You are interested in high-quality schools. Well, that's what we're interested in. And we've

spent the last seven years, while I've been on this

7 Commission, trying to get to that point.

We're talking the same language. We may not be talking the same highway to get there; but we're talking the same language, because everybody sitting here in this room today wants high-quality schools, and every parent in this state wants high-quality schools, whether it's charter schools or public schools. We're all talking the same language.

We may not always agree on how we're going to get there. And there's probably a variety of ways you can get there. We -- I was reassured that you don't want to compete with the Coalition, the Coalition established here. And I'm glad to hear that. I'm glad to hear that you met with them, and you've now met with us, and you're meeting with other people.

I suspect at some point, this Commission probably would like to see a list of your board



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

members with some of their expertise and stuff. I 1 2 assume they're not going to do this anonymously. 3 MR. HINDMAN: No. That's all part of our 4 application. So it'll be publicly available, 5 anyway. When that's available, you 6 THE CHAIR: 7 might bring it to the Charter School Division or 8 something, send it to them. We'd appreciate that. 9 But thank you for taking the time, and 10 thank you for your commitment. And it's going to be 11 an interesting experiment to see how it plays out. 12 MR. HINDMAN: I appreciate it, 13 Commissioner Bergman. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: Anything else? Thank you, 15 Mr. Hindman. Thank you for being here today. 16 Actually, I see straight-up 10:00. Take a 17 comfort break, exactly ten minutes, folks. 18 you're not here at ten after, you're going to be shot. 19 20 Let's take a ten-minute break, please. (Recess taken, 10:00 a.m. to 10:10 a.m.) 21 22 THE CHAIR: All right. I will call us 23 back into session. I will note that temporarily, 24 Commissioner Gipson is out of the room. Before I 25 start with the next agenda item, I would like to



1 remind everybody that is in the room today, if you 2 wish to speak in our Open Forum, and you have not 3 signed up, there is a list out in the lobby out 4 Please go and sign up on that list so you'll 5 be given your opportunity at the appropriate time. We are on Agenda Item No. 5, Discussion 6 7 and Possible Action on Charter School Amendments. Item A is Aldo Leopold Charter School. 8 9 would ask them if their representative is here. Come down front. 10 11 Director Poulos, when you're ready, if 12 you'll present this to us, please. 13 MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman, 14 Commissioners, Aldo Leopold Charter School has 15 requested to add a facility to their charter. would continue utilizing the current facility for 16 17 their high school students, and they would be adding 18 a facility just a couple of miles, or a half-mile 19 down the street, to serve their students in Grades 6 20 through 8. They have recognized that the approval should be conditional on meeting all of the facility 21

THE CHAIR: And do you have a

requirements. And we have provided motion language

25 recommendation?

for you.



22

23

1 MS. POULOS: I can't see any reason that 2 you would deny this. 3 Thank you, Director Poulos. THE CHAIR: 4 Go ahead. Please identify yourself and 5 your school, and then proceed. My name is Eric Ahner from 6 MR. AHNER: 7 Aldo Leopold Charter School. Good morning, members of the Commission. 8 9 Two years back, I sat in front of you 10 seeking for permission to look at a new location for 11 a charter school. And through no short measure, we 12 have a parent of a senior who's willing to finance 13 and reconfigure his former restaurant space to 14 become our new middle-school facility, hopefully. 15 It's located probably less than a half-mile away, 16 probably about a third of a mile away, walking 17 distance between our two schools. And we're hopeful 18 that we can get this up and going quickly. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any 20 questions for the school today about this amendment? 21 Commissioner Shearman? 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I know we talked 23 with you not very long ago. And you said you were 24 looking for another facility. Tell me your grade 25 levels and the history of those grade levels.



1	MR. AHNER: Sure. We are in our eleventh
2	year. And when we began our charter, we were
3	serving nine through 12. Three years ago, you
4	approved an amendment to expand a sixth, seventh,
5	eighth, in addition to high school; so we're six
6	through 12.
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So that was three
8	years ago.
9	MR. AHNER: Correct.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Do we have
11	governance council minutes?
12	THE CHAIR: Yeah; but they are draft
13	minutes. When we get to the motion, we will have to
14	identify they will have to provide actually the
15	official minutes, when they're available, to CSD.
16	MS. SHEARMAN: Are those in there? Pardon
17	me, Mr. Chairman.
18	THE CHAIR: Go ahead.
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Does it say
20	whether the governing council approved this request
21	for a new facility?
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, right here.
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. So if I
24	might just ask one more question?
25	Right now, you have all grades in the



1 original facility? Correct. We've had to cap the 2 MR. AHNER: 3 number of students we have in the facility because 4 of a lack of space. So we've had a waiting list for 5 the middle school for three consecutive years, and the high school is not full. So our ability to move 7 to a new facility for the middle school will allow us to hopefully be to capacity, which was 90 for the 8 middle school. 9 10 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And what is your enrollment cap? 11 12 210 total, in the way we wrote MR. AHNER: 13 it in the charter, and through your approval, was 90 14 for the middle school, 120 for the high school. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And you're within 16 that? 17 MR. AHNER: Yes, ma'am. 18 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. The only 19 other question I have, on the amendment request, 20 under the "Rationale" section, "ALCS will continue 21 to seek a permanent site for the high school and will contemplate expansion at the new site." 22 23 Would you explain what you mean by "seek a 24 permanent site" for the high school?



MR. AHNER: Sure. Our high school is in a

1 leased facility from a private owner. certainly hope to move in the direction of having a 2 3 permanent facility that is through a lease-purchase 4 agreement or publicly owned. So that's something that we have not been able to do. 5 The new site for the middle school is 7 about 2.2 acres; so it has enough land to 8 potentially put a high school on that property, if we get that far in finding the funding and so on. 9 10 So our hope is to have one facility, or both 11 schools, on one piece of property in the future. 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okav. 13 for that. 14 MR. AHNER: Yes, ma'am. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 Any other questions? THE CHAIR: I would have just one, then. I don't see 18 19 a date. Do you anticipate being in both of these 20 buildings at the start of the next school year? Or 21 are you moving now? 22 MR. AHNER: That certainly is our hope. 23 It's an estimated three-month building project. 24 multiple steps involved for a lease-purchase, 25 working with the private individual who's going to



fund this for us and so on, is certainly making it very, very challenging. The -- I think that this is challenging as -- the original charter grant we did 11 years ago is a little easier; but this process is unbelievably challenging, from beginning to end.

I hope that there's clarity in the future for you and for us in how we might be able to streamline this process.

THE CHAIR: Absolutely. Thank you. We're finding it, and new schools are finding it, the most difficult aspect of their getting -- it's a problem for everyone now.

MR. AHNER: Indeed.

THE CHAIR: I'm not sure there's a -there's not a surplus of facilities around the state
that's available for schools. That's an issue I
think that, at some point, somebody in Legislature
is going to have to address someday. How are we
going to do this to keep these charter schools
moving forward?

MR. AHNER: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Anything else, Commissioners?

Then I would entertain a motion. There is a sample motion on your Executive Summary, if you





1	want to use that one. Does someone want to make a
2	motion?
3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure.
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I move to approve
6	the amendment presented by Aldo Leopold Charter
7	School to add a facility at 2138 Highway 180 East,
8	Silver City, New Mexico, with the conditions that
9	the school must meet all facility requirements and
10	provide governance council minutes, signed,
11	approving the move.
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
13	Gipson.
14	Do I have a second?
15	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Second.
16	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers has
17	seconded.
18	The motion is to approve.
19	Mr. Secretary can we have a roll-call
20	vote, please?
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Toulouse?
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Gipson?





1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Chavez? Is she still here?
4	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Ambruster?
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Conyers?
12	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Shearman?
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17	Peralta votes "yes."
18	Commissioner Bergman?
19	THE CHAIR: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
21	nine to zero in favor of the motion.
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
23	That motion does pass with a nine-to-zero vote.
24	Thank you for making that long drive.
25	MR. AHNER: Thank you very much for your



1 time.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

25

The next item is Item B under No. 5. And let me take a moment to read a note here. And as usual, I do have a reminder. I ask everyone to please use your microphones.

She is kind enough to tell me she can hear me. I have never had a problem being heard. For those of you who don't talk very loud, please use your mics.

We are again at Item 5-B, which is Taos
Integrated School for the Arts, if they're here
today.

MS. POULOS: That is Item C. And the school has asked that that amendment be removed; so we are.

THE CHAIR: Mine says B. Item C is
Uplift.

MS. POULOS: Then mine got changed at some point. That item has been removed.

THE CHAIR: All right. The one that is identified as B on my agenda has been -- they will not be presenting.

So on my agenda under Item -- there is a C that says, Uplift Community School.

Is there anyone from Uplift here?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1 FROM THE FLOOR: Yes.
2 THE CHAIR: Please come forward, then.
3 Director Poulos, will you present this to
4 us, please?

MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,

Commissioners, Uplift Community School has submitted

two amendment requests. These two requests are

related to a change in the curriculum from an

Expeditionary Learning model to a Project-Based

Learning model. As a result, CSD has combined the

analysis for both of these.

In your materials, you'll see that we address the first request, the change to its charter mission. Again, in that, they request to really remove the references to Expeditionary Learning. They stated that the rationale is a result of the Expeditionary Learning company undergoing a national restructuring, which compromised the ability of Uplift Community School to implement EL, and in a cost-effective manner.

CSD cannot confirm the rigor of their new proposed program. So one of the benefits of the Expeditionary Learning -- and this combines with their next goal -- is that external evaluators come in and evaluate the school's implementation of that



5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

program.

As you'll see in their next amendment, that related to the charter school goal and the student performance expectation. The original goal on No. 2 referenced that external evaluation. The school is proposing to change that goal to a requirement that 80 percent of the instructional staff demonstrate proficiency in interdisciplinary project-based unit design and implementation, as measured by a beginning-of-the-year professional development plan employing a rubrics-based instrument.

Again, this raises concerns for CSD about the rigor of that evaluation and implementation.

And the rationale appears to be the same, which is that the school cannot continue to be associated with the EL company, national company.

The next goal that they propose to change is student performance expectations, which previously required that 100 percent of students score at least 80 percent on Learning Expedition rubrics four times annually. The proposed change eliminates any target for student performance and leaves only the requirement that students be assessed; but does not establish a performance



target.

1

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is similar to the amendment request 2 3 that was submitted by La Jicarita Community School, I believe, in November or December, which did also, 5 in that case, represent a pretty substantial change to the application that was submitted to this Commission and originally approved for operation. And as a result, CSD cannot recommend the approval 8 9 of these amendment requests, consistent with the 10 Commission's decision with regards to La Jicarita 11 Community School.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director.

Please identify yourself and who you're with and then proceed.

MR. CAMMON: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Bergman, Commissioners. My name is Jim Cammon. I'm director of Uplift Community School. I assumed the post July 1 of 2014.

Thank you for the opportunity to present here today and respond to certainly, Commissioner Poulos' -- or pardon me -- Director Poulos' comments that are relative to our amendments.

As I framed my comments here, certainly, as I assumed the post July 1 of 2014, I took a quick look at the school in terms of academic performance,





in terms of organizational performance, and, certainly, financial performance. And certainly, in all regards, I had some great concerns.

Certainly, that's borne out -- as you've seen by our school report card, this is less than stellar. I don't think that's, if I might offer at this point, reflective of our students' abilities. We have some very talented students. But, simply, have we put things in good order in terms of an educational model, and, then, certainly, carried through accordingly on a daily basis in terms of instruction and, obviously, fiscal responsibility therein?

With that in mind, the governing council and I began visiting in fall of 2014 and really assessing where we were in our relationship with Expeditionary Learning. In their midyear review, indeed, as they came in in January of 2015 -- and I do have that document, should you need to see it -- they recommended that indeed we would move further away from our charter, if you will.

Presently, we have educational assistants for kindergarten, first, second, third, fourth, and fifth grades. They said, "We really need to help you get your school working properly towards the



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Expeditionary Learning model; and, therefore, we need an additional \$855,000, and we recommend that that you get rid of EAs." Several EAs are indeed a teacher position.

As we looked at that midyear report, looked at, as I mentioned, our academic performance, certainly, organizationally what was going on and fiscal responsibilities, the governing council was very concerned that we had already spent \$113,000 through February of 2015 with Expeditionary Learning, with a facilitator coming on campus monthly working with staff, and where we had not moved accordingly.

Now, we certainly accept our accountabilities therein, and internally. But there was concern, do we need to continue under the banner -- the formal banner of Project-Based Learning via Expeditionary Learning, or, indeed, could we do that independently? And so that was -- the primary decision was driven by fiscal and certain performance criteria and constraints, and the notion that Project-Based instruction has been around long, long before there was a corporate entity by the name of "Expeditionary Learning."

I don't mean to malign them; but simply,



there was concern that the corporate model was driving what we were doing with less than stellar results.

So that frames where we began in terms of realigning our mission with a more functional mission statement, and one, indeed, that would be a living document therein, performance criteria based upon rubrics, which I would also point out -- and I do have the original charter amendment in front of me here. And EL had stated that, indeed, our school would be performing and score at least 80 percent on Learning Expedition rubrics each of the four times a rubric is administered annually.

When I asked them November -- December, of the Regional Director, John Mann, in Denver, "We have not yet seen those rubrics," he responded, "Well, we're in a restructuring, and we simply haven't gotten around to it."

Well, I've operated businesses in the past, long before I got into public education. But the notion of offer, acceptance, and consideration relative to contract law certainly concerned me there, let alone programmatically that my teachers were saying, "How can we be evaluated by an entity coming in when we've never received the rubrics that



indeed we were supposed to have paid for and have paid for?"

And again, we did not receive a response.

So with that piece in mind and the other features that I've mentioned, the governing council indeed passed a resolution March of 2015, saying, "It is time to remove ourselves formally from a relationship with Expeditionary Learning; but with the notion we will continue, certainly, under the precepts and tenets of Project-Based instruction."

My career, so you would know, has been spent the past 30 years working in rural areas of New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Oregon, and 12 years in Bush, Alaska. And were it not for Project-Based instruction and given rubric-based design, I can assure you we would not have accomplished the things that we did. Certainly, in the last 12 years in Alaska, prior to returning to New Mexico, and under No Child Left Behind, that mechanism and platform allowed us to move schools from very low performing to high performing.

And so I'm committed to Project-Based instruction, as is our governing council.

Certainly, Director Poulos has spoken well that we need to have the rubrics more aligned, if you will,



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 and perhaps indeed a contractual relationship with 2 an outside party to work with us to make certain 3 those are consistent with what the PEC would expect, 4 and, certainly, CSD. 5 THE CHAIR: That concludes your comments? 6 MR. CAMMON: Yes. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIR: 7 I will throw this open to 8 members of the Commission. Do you have questions 9 for the school on these amendment requests? 10 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do. 11 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? 12 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I did some research, 13 because we didn't get this material until late 14 yesterday. So I did do some research. And I didn't 15 find anything where there seemed to be some major 16 shift or organizational shift with EL, outside of 17 the change in the name that went to "EL." 18 didn't see anything that indicated -- and I went 19 through close to two years of memos that had come 20 out through EL; and I didn't see anything that 21 indicated any kind of huge shift. 22 So I'm just wondering where that's coming 23 from, from you. 24 MR. CAMMON: I began to receive e-mails 25 and indeed notices from John Mann, as I mentioned,



the Rocky Mountain Regional Director for Expeditionary Learning, that they were moving to a new name rather than "Expeditionary Learning," and their focus would change slightly.

We had asked early on what would that mean and, indeed, programmatically and so on. And we were told there would be a national Webinar and conference in May of 2015 to define where we're headed. So that's the best I can offer you there.

I am not on their e-mail listing, as we speak. After we divested with being involved with them, we did receive a refund from EL for their services in May of 2015. Then I'm not able to respond any further, Commissioner Gipson, to what you may be asking for.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. So then I guess my second concern is you've divested yourself from them, when this was part of your contract that you do Expeditionary Learning. So you've already divested yourself of them, and now you're coming before us and saying, "We want to divest ourselves of them." But you've already done it; so you've already closed the door.

MR. CAMMON: As we visited with our school attorney and other parties, and certainly a couple



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of consultants, and recognizing things that can get pretty litigious, we regret the fact that maybe the cart was before the horse. But we did -- I did get those amendment requests -- or hope to get them to you in June, as I delivered them to CSD, and, indeed, towards the notion that we saw an urgent need.

As we were looking ahead a year away from rechartering, would it have been mis- or malfeasance on my part not to say, "We're a low performing school, we're paying 'X' number of dollars, and let's continue down this road, and we haven't really shown any results," I'm not comfortable with that, quite frankly. As I look there, and my experience running schools and being involved as an administrator for 21 years, that I couldn't, in good faith, say to my governing council, who have asked me, "Will this get us there," I could not give them an affirmative.

And I regret that perhaps we have not followed the formal process, per se. The GC is apologetic there. And yet that's where we find ourselves.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's another question I had; because I saw in the materials that





there was a June date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. POULOS: Commissioner -- sorry -- Vice Chair Gipson, Commissioners. So the materials were sent to us, again, after the decision had already been made and implemented by the school. They did not come to me until many months later, when Mr. Cammon communicated with me. So they were lost in our Department.

Again, we've changed that liaison model was how that happened. And so having a centralized location where those go and an individual who is assigned to that is how we have assured that will not happen again.

But again, the time line still does support that the decision was made prior to the request and implemented prior to the request.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. And as the CSD Director has indicated, I, personally, have an issue with a school now coming and saying, "We want to completely change our mission"; because Expeditionary Learning was what you were chartered with. And I -- we did not do, as mentioned with the previous school.

And I did a fair amount of research on EL with that school, because I had time. And I do find



the rigor there. And it's a very broad term to just say, "We're going to turn to Project-Based Learning in midstream." And it does -- as far as I'm concerned, it completely changes the mission of the school.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman?

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Not to be on it too much, but this Commission has already set the precedent that we do not accept major, major changes to a school's mission, to their contract, if they're under one already, in the middle of their charter. This is a major component of the school, Expeditionary Learning is. That's the model it was chartered under.

Are you all under a contract, or are you under your original charter?

MS. POULOS: Chair -- sorry -Commissioner Shearman, they are under the original charter. They have not entered under the performance contract.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So your original charter says "Expeditionary Learning." This

Commission has said we don't -- we don't do that.

That's how you were chartered. That's what you told us you were going to do. We believed you and gave



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you the opportunity to do it. Now, we don't change horses in the middle of the stream.

And I agree, the Expeditionary Learning is still a viable option. And you renew next year, did you say?

MR. CAMMON: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. My opinion is we stick with the precedent we've set and ask the school to complete their charter under the conditions they were chartered to operate under.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

Anyone else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Conyers?

COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Chairman Bergman, this is for Mr. Cammon, I guess. I'm hearing some talk that this may not get approved. So where does that leave you if that happens? What would be the -- where would you be? Where would the school be?

MR. CAMMON: I'm assuming at that point, we will need to reengage with Expeditionary Learning and ask to continue with them in a formal contract relationship, is, I believe, our only option, in light of the fact that we've proceeded independently with the project-based design.



1 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 3 Commissioner Toulouse? 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair. 5 Do you have the financial wherewithal to go into another fiscally -- fiscal agreement with 6 7 Expeditionary Learning? 8 MR. CAMMON: At this point, we do. 9 spring, as they engaged with us and said we would 10 need \$85,000, we were nowhere near that. 11 entered in as director, unfortunately, improper 12 projections of enrollment had been provided to the 13 State, and so we experienced a shortfall last year 14 of roughly \$330,000 entering into a major austerity 15 plan for the year. 16 Needless to say, it was a challenge. Wе 17 made it through the year. We're in slightly better 18 shape this year. Would we have the \$85,000? Probably. 19 20 would need to visit with Sean Fry with the Vigil 21 Group, who's our contract accountant. I was hoping 22 to utilize those excess funds to engage with Success 23 for All, because beyond the project-based instruction, quite frankly, our kids need to be able 24 25 to read, and we've got to conquer that mechanism



long before we go into Expeditionary Learning.

That's what we were hoping to use those excess funds

3 for.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chairman?

I guess, because I'm one of the ones here on the Commission that does not have an education background; although, I do have in higher ed, when I was on the CNM Board, and Expeditionary Learning was a big tool at the community-college level, and they worked with the high schools around Albuquerque, and, in fact, the surrounding area. So I know how it works at a high-school level. I'm not sure how it works as you go down lower and how successful it is.

But honestly and truly, outside of using an organization that has a name and has their own tools already set up, I don't see where it's any different than Project-Based Learning, if you have an evaluation system for your Project-Based Learning that is external to your school.

And that's my statement to my colleagues here. And I'm very familiar with the college- and high-school level of Expeditionary Learning; but I don't know younger kids. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you Commissioner.

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



Anyone else?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Commissioner Peralta?

really sticks out to me is, in looking at your last three years of your school grade report card, and it averaging out to F's at each of the last three years -- your average has been at 28 at each one of those -- those grade reports. Can you tell me a reason why there might not be a slight upward trend that you might be headed towards getting out of the cellar, and -- you know. Because in a year, when you come back, this school is going to stick out to me as a major concern about, you know, where we're going to head in the future, or if there is a future.

So can you talk to me about that and why we don't see any kind of slight improvement in that?

MR. CAMMON: Certainly. I think, first and foremost, in our initial hires for the school -- and I'm not judging anyone, but simply reviewing

back into the files of those individuals who were brought on as teachers -- that they were not themselves from educational backgrounds, nor with

24 proper preparation and transitioned on.

So essentially, and for lack of better



words -- and I don't like the word -- we sacrificed a year of instruction, if you will, and sadly, student achievement in that design.

As we then brought on a new group of teachers, indeed, the same fact took place in terms of well-intentioned souls, if you will; but in my mind, rather it be under the guise of NMTeach or simply a Madeline Hunter that I grew up with, in terms of writing goals and objectives and lesson plans on a daily basis or a weekly basis, we were not there.

I've mentioned to staff -- and I'm not attempting to insult anyone, but speaking frankly with you all -- that we became a school last year. Prior to that, as I looked at lesson plans, which form the bases of daily and weekly instruction, and certainly towards curriculum mapping and so on, we simply were not there.

And so last year, we embarked upon that independently of the professional development with Expeditionary Learning. We were conducting professional development towards the lesson planning, goals, objectives, and then introducing rigor via, in this case -- at the time, it was Expeditionary Learning, now, currently Project-Based



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

instruction.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as we have transitioned onward,

Commissioner Peralta, indeed, this year, the rigor

that I would mention is driven by Common Core. Let

me mention that as I went with a teacher,

first-grade teacher, her educational assistant, and

21 intrepid first-graders up the tram in Albuquerque

about a month and a half ago, their project was, or

is, "My Space in Space, The Solar System."

And so we went up there. Long before we ever went up the tram, the teacher had introduced the given vocabulary, indeed, the standards therein that the student would be addressing. And so as we're journeying up the tram, and the teacher is saying, "Okay, students. We're beginning to feel our ears popping. Why would that be the case?"

The students were able to respond and say, "Well, it's because of a change in barometric pressure," and so on.

And so, Commissioner Peralta, now, we're getting there. The given teacher I mentioned was an educational assistant with us four years ago who continued to earn her bachelor's, worked two years at Gallup-McKinley County Schools, and has come on board with us as of July 31 of 2015. And now, we're



getting there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But staffing was the big one.

The second one was towards Expeditionary

Learning. And even with the monthly evaluation by

EL, as I went in and I looked at our given

expeditions and looked at standards we had addressed

under Common Core under our expeditions, I didn't

see it. I saw wonderful trips, where we took

children out and explored the volcano fields or

whatever we did. But I did not see the rigor at

all; even though we were paying a large sum of

money.

The facilitator even said, "Gosh, that is a model classroom program."

If that's the case, why do I see those students this year who are a year behind in terms of their standards? So that was the other piece that we didn't understand rigor. Now, we're getting there.

For each of their Project-Based, we call it, fieldwork this year -- we can't officially call it an "expedition," since that's certainly semantically related to Expeditionary Learning -- but indeed, long before they ever go out, they've taken a look at -- rubric based -- of the standards



1	that the individual students will be addressing.
2	Then when they are returning before they ever go
3	out, essential questions have already been arrived
4	at by the teacher working in concert with the
5	students, so that we have that rigor in place.
6	But you're absolutely correct,
7	Commissioner Peralta. That staffing was the big
8	one. Understanding Expeditionary Learning, what
9	"rigor" means, was the second one. And then
10	thirdly, being systematic in terms of what we're
11	doing.
12	I followed one director. Then we had an
13	interim director. Then we had a stand-in director.
14	And that transitioning also in administration,
15	needless to say, left us in the lurch.
16	Thank you, sir.
17	THE CHAIR: Anyone else?
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have a
19	question.
20	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: How much of
22	the whether you want to use percentage or direct
23	numbers, it doesn't matter is involved daily on
24	direct instruction in reading and math?
25	MR. CAMMON: I would say, in any given



classroom, roughly 75 to 80 percent. And then the 1 2 teacher leads them into the Project-Based format. 3 THE CHAIR: Anyone else? 4 Commissioner Convers. 5 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Kind of follow-up 6 on what Mr. Peralta said. In looking at the grades, 7 obviously, whatever has been going on hasn't been working very well. I'm always amazed when I look at 8 9 the grades, and I see opportunity to learn, and 10 people will have A's and B's. And then they get 11 really low grades in other sections. You would 12 think that that would have some carryover. 13 There must be some disconnect there in 14 But that's just kind of my comment is, you that. 15 know, obviously, change is needed. 16 Thank you. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. 18 Anyone else? 19 Well, then, I would just like to note that 20 it always distresses me when schools make, you know, unilateral decisions on either their charter, if 21 22 that's what they're operating on, or their contract; 23 because that's not really allowed. And I would ask 24 the Coalition to make a note on that, Kelly, if you



would, for your future training of governing

councils, to make -- a block needs to be added.

"You cannot make unilateral decisions."

We -- that puts us in a very tough situation. When the barn door is open and the horse is already gone, how do we get the horse back in the barn again?

And this Commission is on record. It's very difficult for us to make an exception. I understand why a school would want an exception.

But the first time we make an exception, then that's what we have done; we have made it easier for folks down the road to use that as a precedent against us and say, "Well, you allowed this school to do it, so we just did it, too." So we have to be very careful with that kind of thing.

And I have personally been on record on a number of occasions that I am opposed to changing academic performance indicators and goals in the middle of a process. Whether it's in the charter or it's in a performance framework as a part of a contract, I'm always opposed to that, because that leads, in my opinion, to chaos.

So this -- you've presented us with a very difficult situation here.

And I think I'd also like to note, for the





1	Charter School Division, Katie, I don't know if
2	you've noticed this form still has incorrect contact
3	information on the top of it. And that's probably
4	confusing to people. We need to get that out.
5	MS. POULOS: Commissioner, that was
6	submitted again in June. And so that was the
7	correct information. There is a correct version on
8	the website currently.
9	THE CHAIR: And you've got both amendments
10	on one form. And this Commission has never accepted
11	multiple amendments. Each amendment has to be on
12	its own form.
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It is.
14	THE CHAIR: This is not two here I'm
15	looking at?
16	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: They're separated.
17	THE CHAIR: I looked through, and I only
18	had this one. Okay. My thank you. Thanks for
19	calling that to my attention.
20	Any further questions or discussion?
21	Oh. Commissioner Chavez, do you wish to
22	weigh in?
23	MS. POULOS: She informed me about 10:30,
24	she was going to have to put her phone on mute so
25	she could attend to another call; so she may be



absent at the moment.

THE CHAIR: Okav.

deal with it now.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Anything else?

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, Mr. Chair.

I'd also like to make just a statement for the record, that this would have been much easier to deal with if these forms hadn't been lost. We would have been able to deal with it after the fact, but shortly after the fact, and the school would have had a year to put this back in place, than having to

So whoever misplaced it may not be here to take fault; but it is still a Charter School

Division problem that made this a worse situation for the school and for us that we didn't get this this summer.

MS. POULOS: I certainly understand that;
I agree with that. That individual is no longer
with CSD. And, again, we have corrected that by not
relying on these to go to the liaisons, but asking
that they be submitted to that centralized box that
is checked daily. And one individual is being held
responsible for that, and it's a much better system.



1	I did also want to say that Commissioner
2	Chavez just texted me to let me know that she is
3	back on the line.
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez, did you
5	have anything to add to this particular discussion?
6	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, I don't. Thank
7	you.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. If
9	there's no further input from Commissioners, I
10	believe we are ready are we ready for a motion?
11	And there are sample motions in the Executive
12	Summary. And I assume if there's two amendments,
13	we're going to have to do two motions.
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We can say both
15	motions.
16	THE CHAIR: Can we do two at once?
17	MR. LANGE: And that is with the yeah,
18	we can do two at once. Let's do each one, just in
19	case
20	THE CHAIR: I'm more comfortable doing
21	them separately; I really am. So
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, then I
23	would like to say, if you look at the wording for
2 4	the motions, it says it's a material violation of
25	the contract. But this school is not under



contract. So it would be a violation of the 1 2 charter, not a material violation of the contract. 3 THE CHAIR: And actually, this is a 4 multiple motion; but it says to change its mission, 5 and I see the words, "charter goal and student performance expectations." So that is a multiple 7 motion would be my understanding. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: If we want to make 8 9 it that way, or --(Chair consults with Commission counsel.) 10 11 THE CHAIR: Okay. On the advice of 12 counsel, when you make this motion, on the first 13 one, we're going to do the mission first. Leave out 14 the reference to the charter goal and student 15 performance expectation. 16 I wonder. Do we need to take out most of 17 that paragraph then? Because most of that paragraph 18 actually deals with the goals and the expectations. 19 So perhaps a motion just to deny the ability to 20 change their mission at this time. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But you need the 21 22 decreased rigor, because that goes to the mission --23 the change in the mission statement. 24 MS. POULOS: Commissioner? 25 THE CHAIR: Director?



1	MS. POULOS: I would ask and, again,
2	you don't have the language I've provided,
3	obviously. But what it does do is establish a
4	basis and I have been trying to encourage you to
5	do that is put your rationale for decision-making
6	on the record. And that's what that last bit of
7	information is, based on our analysis.
8	Certainly, that's up to the Commission
9	what the basis or rationale is. But I think it's
10	important to have that on the record.
11	THE CHAIR: But leave the rest of the
12	paragraph and repeat that paragraph, then, on the
13	second motion.
14	Okay. And it is regrettable that I guess
15	we're pushing you back to where you were before. It
16	is regrettable that this comes this way,
17	unfortunately.
18	So I guess if we are will someone word
19	the motion, please?
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I will.
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I move that
23	the PEC deny the amendment presented by Uplift
24	Community School to change its mission, based on
25	which decreases the rigor presented in each of the



```
1
     amendment -- in the amendment request and the
 2
     violation of the material terms of their charter, as
 3
     is reflected in the analysis provided by CSD.
               THE CHAIR: We have a motion on the floor?
 4
 5
     Do I have a second.
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
 6
                                        Second.
 7
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman has
 8
     seconded. So we have a motion by Commissioner
 9
     Gipson, a second by Commissioner Shearman.
10
               Is there any further discussion?
11
               Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a
12
     roll-call vote, please?
13
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14
     Chavez?
15
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
16
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17
     Ambruster?
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
18
                                          Yes.
19
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
20
     Peralta votes "Yes."
21
               Commissioner Pogna?
22
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                    Yes.
23
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24
     Toulouse?
25
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Reluctantly, yes.
```





1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Conyers?
3	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Shearman?
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Gipson?
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Bergman?
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
14	nine to zero favor of the motion.
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you Mr. Secretary. That
16	motion, as made, has passed by a nine-to-zero vote.
17	We are now prepared to put forth a second
18	motion covering the charter goals and student
19	performance expectations.
20	Would someone like to word that motion?
21	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'll make the
22	motion.
23	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman?
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I move that the
25	Public Education Commission deny the amendment





1	presented by Uplift Community School to change
2	charter goals and student performance expectations
3	based on the school's report card grade of F, the
4	decreased rigor presented in each of the amendment
5	requests, and the violation of the material terms of
6	their charter, as reflected in the analysis provided
7	by CSD.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. We
9	do have a motion on the floor. Do I have a second?
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Second.
11	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Ambruster has
12	seconded.
13	So we have a motion to deny the request
14	for the goals, and we have a second.
15	Is there any further discussion?
16	Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a
17	vote on that motion?
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Conyers?
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Chavez?
25	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Shearman?
3	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Toulouse?
6	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Ambruster?
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Peralta votes "Yes."
12	Commissioner Gipson?
13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Bergman?
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
18	also nine to zero favor of the motion.
19	THE CHAIR: Thank you Mr. Secretary. That
20	motion has also passed by a nine-to-zero vote. And
21	that request has been denied.
22	Thank you for taking the time to be here
23	today, Mr. Cammon.
24	MR. CAMMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank
25	you, Commissioners.





1 THE CHAIR: I believe that dispenses with 2 Item 5 on our agenda. 3 Item 6 is report from Options for Parents 4 and the Charter School Division, Discussion and Possible Action. 5 Item A is Schools of Concern. Madam Director? 7 8 MS. POULOS: As has been my report on this for the past several months, all information on any 9 schools that have been issued a notice of intent to 10 11 revoke, any schools that have been issued 12 non-renewals, any schools that the Commission has 13 requested updates on, all of those are provided in 14 the tracking sheet with updates in bold. 15 And if the Commission has any questions, 16 I'm happy to answer those. 17 Otherwise, the other item to look at on the end of that sheet is just any schools that have 18 19 provided notice that they are looking for a new 20 facility. Those are all identified for the 21 Commission. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director. 23 Are there questions? Commissioner Shearman? 24 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I do have, on the



Southwest Learning schools. I know it's an ongoing 1 2 investigation. But do we have any status report on 3 their efforts to combine two schools, as we asked 4 them to do? 5 MS. POULOS: I don't have any information. If you'd like me to reach out to them, I can reach 6 7 out for that, for the next agenda. 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I would appreciate 9 that, Mr. Chairman. 10 THE CHAIR: Please do that. It will, if 11 nothing else, remind them that Commissioners have 12 long memories, and it's not going away. So please 1.3 do that for us. 14 Anything else on Schools of Concern? 15 We're now to Item B, which is an update on 16 Creative Education Preparatory Institute, which we 17 called "CEPi," I guess. I'm going to note again, 18 before the Director speaks, that we are not 19 entertaining discussion today. We are not 20 entertaining questions. There is an ongoing legal process involved in this, and that's where we're 21 22 going to leave that. 23 So no comments today. No questions. discussion. 24 25 Director Poulos, please.



Τ	MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
2	Commissioners, the update today simply notifies you
3	that the revocation hearing was scheduled for March
4	22nd here in Mabry Hall. I believe that's at
5	1:00 p.m. I apologize that that time is not written
6	in the materials. And that is the only update that
7	I have.
8	THE CHAIR: All right. That's the
9	information that we wanted to get to you on the
10	Commission. That is 11 days from now, I believe, to
11	Tuesday afternoon at 1:00 p.m.
12	MS. FOX: Could I ask a couple of
13	housekeeping questions? Not substantive?
14	MR. LANGE: Yeah, we can I believe
15	identify yourself.
16	MS. FOX: Yeah. Sue Fox with Matthews Fox
17	Law Firm. And just for the record, I'm representing
18	CEPi at the upcoming revocation hearing.
19	I'm attending today, because it says here
20	there is update on the hearing. And so I was hoping
21	today to get some more information about the process
22	that will be used at the hearing so that we can
23	prepare adequately.
24	MR. LANGE: Chairman Bergman, members of
25	the Commission, Ms. Fox, I'm more than willing to



meet with you and Ms. Poulos after this, and we can formalize a procedure.

MS. FOX: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANGE: In our notice of intent to revoke the charter, I said I would be writing a letter with the procedure. So I look forward to an opportunity to meet with you after the meeting, with Ms. Poulos, if you're both available, and we can firm those up.

MS. FOX: Sure. Depending on how long the meeting goes; and if not, we can exchange e-mails.

MR. LANGE: We can exchange e-mails. We will always be in contact, and we can answer any questions you have procedurally.

MS. FOX: Great. Second housekeeping item: We submitted an IPRA request that is, by my calculations, now overdue. If we can just -- I know Ms. Friedman isn't here today. But I would just ask for that to get addressed as expeditiously as possible, please, because we need that information in preparation for this hearing.

MR. LANGE: Chairman Bergman, members of the Commission, your IPRA request was received by the PED. We're currently working on it. I guess we can check into the status.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



We would also entertain any sort of motion that you feel -- if you feel aggrieved at all, and we can file that, as well.

MS. FOX: Well, I've had no response; so I don't know what to aggrieve -- if I'm aggrieved at this time or not. So let's just keep communicating about that, and then we can address that situation if it becomes a problem.

I'm not sure whether it is at this point or not. But we're about a week out from the actual hearing. And so in order to adequately prepare, we do need that information.

MR. LANGE: Chairman Bergman, members of the Commission. I understand, and I agree with you, and we will continue to work, so -- to make sure that both parties are well prepared, understand the proceeding, and we'll move forward.

MS. FOX: Thank you.

I make a suggestion? It's 11:00. I know we've only been at this for two hours. But this is very important information, this procedure that we're talking about. Could we take lunch now and ask our attorney and Ms. Fox and Ms. Poulos to meet during our lunch break and get this very important business



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
taken care of? Would that be reasonable?
 1
 2
               THE CHAIR:
                           I am not sure I agree with
 3
            We're almost at the end of our agenda.
     that.
 4
     have one more item after this one.
 5
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Well --
               THE CHAIR: We just have Item 7, and then
 6
 7
     Comments and Open Forum.
                               I don't believe that's
 8
     going to take very long.
 9
               Okay. I will be swayed by the will of the
10
     Commission. I'd rather we go forward. I will ask
11
     other opinions.
12
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It doesn't matter,
13
     as long as this gets taken care of, as far as I'm
14
     concerned.
15
               THE CHAIR: It's very important, and it
16
     sounds like it's going to be taken care of.
                                                  I'm not
17
     aware of an IPRA request. Nothing has been sent to
18
     me; so you're catching me by surprise.
                         It was sent to Ms. Poulos.
19
               MS. FOX:
20
            I'm not necessarily asking you for your all's
     Yeah.
     records.
              It's the CSD's and PED's.
21
22
               THE CHAIR: So it's between you and the
23
     PED, then, I guess.
24
               MS. FOX: Yes, sir.
25
               THE CHAIR: What's the will of the
```



```
Commission? Do you want to go forward, or do you
 1
     want to stop? I'm hearing, "Go forward,"
 2
 3
     Commissioner Shearman.
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's fine.
 4
                                                      Just
 5
     a discussion.
               THE CHAIR: Are you volunteering to sit
 6
 7
     down with the group afterwards?
                                     Is that what you're
 8
     doing?
 9
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: No, no.
                                                No, no.
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay.
11
                           Mr. Chair, members of the
               MR. LANGE:
12
     Commission, I'm more than willing to work
13
     immediately after this. Or if your time is -- we
14
     can find a time to e-mail any questions. I want to
15
    make sure all parties are fully prepared and
16
     understand and have been given all records
17
     responsive to any requests and also feel prepared
18
     for this March 22nd hearing.
19
               MS. FOX: Okay. Thanks very much.
               THE CHAIR: That is the will of this
20
21
     Commission. We want everybody to be prepared.
22
               MS. FOX:
                         Thank you.
23
               THE CHAIR: All right. Item C, Update on
24
     Planning Year Checklist.
25
               MS. POULOS: That was an item for last
```



month that just got held over, probably because I 1 2 wasn't on that call. 3 Dispense with Item C. THE CHAIR: Report on First Year Charter Site 4 Item D: 5 Visits. MS. POULOS: So since this is a fairly new 6 7 process, and also because we are trying to keep the Commission more informed, once we've done visits of 8 schools, we're providing this update today, just to 9 let the Commission know where we are with our 10 11 schools that are operating in their first year, any 12 concerns that we have and actions that they've taken 13 to address those. 14 The first school is known as DEAP. I will 15 not attempt to pronounce the whole name. And I 16 don't know if they want to come up. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Please identify yourself and your school, and then proceed. 18 19 MS. MOORE: Hi, there. Good morning. 20 name is Ellen Moore, and I'm the principal with DEAP 21 Charter School in Navajo, New Mexico. 22 MS. CUYLEAR: Good morning, Commissioners. 23 I'm an attorney at My name is Natasha Cuylear. 24 Johnson Barnhouse & Keegan. I'm simply here in support of DEAP and to offer legal counsel; but I 25



believe it is Mrs. Moore who will be providing the comments. Thank you for your time today.

MS. POULOS: Commissioners, on

December 7th, CSD conducted a first-year site visit.

We had hoped to get out a little earlier, but hadn't had the opportunity.

I'm not going to go through, item by item, what we looked at. But we did take an in-depth look. We visited their classrooms. We looked in student and staff files. We looked at curriculum materials, again, just to ensure that the school is getting off to a strong start. And I did identify some areas of concern.

We communicated with the school, and we gave them an opportunity to provide us additional information or corrections to those areas of concern. And we did just have a few concerns that were outstanding that hadn't yet been addressed, or we hadn't received the materials.

On compliance with background check requirements, two of their staff members had, in their files, background checks that hadn't gone through the proper procedure in order to meet their requirements. And so we did go over that with them. You can't actually go to, for example, APS and say,



"Hey, I worked for you, and you have my background check on file. Can I have a copy and take it to my new employer?"

And -- that was.

MS. MOORE: That's not how it worked.

MS. POULOS: That was kind of -- in our idea, an APS background check that had been in the files. And so we identified for the school what they needed to do in order to get the correct background check in the files.

They have submitted a request to PED for PED to release the background check. But I have been informed by the PED Licensure Bureau that there are not current background checks available on file to be released from PED; so that, for us, is an outstanding concern.

The other item of concern is the hiring of a full-time administrator. The school does not have a full-time, licensed administrator on staff. They have Ms. Moore, who is licensed for .2 FTE, I believe. She is on campus one day every two weeks, and then available by phone.

But that's certainly a concern. We don't believe that that does meet the requirements; and so we do expect all schools to have a full-time,





licensed administrator on staff.

And one of the things that we saw in the files was a -- a permission slip for the use of ceremonial tobacco that raised a flag for us. And we asked for additional information. We did receive information that there has not yet been a use of tobacco. And they did provide a log for how that would be logged.

We do believe that's an outstanding concern and believe that that may violate some laws; so we do need to continue to work on that.

And then for special education, I believe they had one student who they had been providing compensatory services to, a student with disabilities. But there was one student that had an IEP for gifted services. And we were concerned about whether those were being provided or not.

So those were the only outstanding concerns.

I've provided motion language. I don't believe action is necessarily required at this time. I think it's valuable to continue to work with the school. We will plan on doing another site visit at the end of the year to that school, and we can verify, at that point, any outstanding compliance



1 concerns. Thank you, Director. 2 THE CHAIR: And I 3 agree; I don't see any need for a motion on this 4 one. 5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: May I ask a 6 question? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I don't have a 8 9 copy of the school's contract in front of me. But 10 what does it say about a licensed administrator? 11 MS. MOORE: The intent -- is it working 12 The intent is to have an administrator on now? 13 staff. I think one of the hairs we need to split is 14 The school enrolls approximately a full-time. 15 20 students. And what the school's intent is to 16 have a -- an employee who's maybe a .5 principal, .5 17 teacher. So that person would be on the school site 18 full-time. 19 Does that make sense? The --20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Let me ask you to 21 go farther back than that, then, on the application 22 for this school. 23 MS. MOORE: The application, the intent 24 was -- is -- to have an administrator on-site. 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: What did it say in



1	the budget?
2	MS. POULOS: Madam Chair, it did
3	identify
4	MS. MOORE: Full.
5	MS. POULOS: Commissioner Shearman, it did
6	identify one FTE administrator on the budget for
7	that application.
8	MS. MOORE: And that may be something that
9	we want to look, Ms. Poulos, at an amendment at some
10	point; because I'm just envisioning we want
11	someone on site full-time, absolutely. But in such
12	a tiny school, you know, \$60,000 for a full-time
13	administrator is a large portion.
14	But the goal of the school is to have an
15	administrator on campus.
16	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I understand that.
17	But if your application if your budget said
18	MS. MOORE: Correct.
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: indicated one
20	FTE, then that would have been my understanding when
21	I voted for the school that that was going to be in
22	place.
23	MS. MOORE: Right. I believe the original
24	intent for enrollment this year was 45 students.
25	And the school is hovering, you know, right at 20.



So -- and, again, the intent was there, the intent 1 to find a full-time administrator. The school made 2 3 every effort. 4 I'm a retired administrator. And, you 5 know, the school contacted me, at least to get the school up and running. 6 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I just don't want 8 the school to get into the situation of a material 9 term violation, if it indicated one thing, and 10 something else is happening. 11 MS. MOORE: Yes, I agree. Uh-huh. 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank vou. 13 other question is, on the student permission slip to 14 use ceremonial tobacco, who issued the permission 15 slip? 16 MS. MOORE: Who issues the permission 17 slip? The school. It's in a registration packet. 18 So when all students register for the school, there 19 is a -- you know, a checklist. And that form is 20 included. Not every student checked, "Yes, I want to 21 22 participate." Some parents did. 23 And so we have a permission slip stating a 24 parent is giving their permission for a student to



participate. The school has not utilized this.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But that violates 1 2 State law. 3 MS. MOORE: It was in the original application; but... 4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I understand we're 5 getting into some muddy water here --6 MS. MOORE: I -- I understand. 8 (Reporter requests clarification.) 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. My question is, has there been some clarification from PED or 10 11 from a legal standpoint to allow for this permission 12 slip to be valid? Or this permission to be valid? 13 MS. CUYLEAR: And I can add that, 14 Commissioner Shearman, the school currently isn't 15 implementing use of ceremonial tobacco. There was 16 discussion of potential use. And so, I mean, moving 17 forward, that would be something we would need to 18 evaluate the legality of that. But currently, there 19 is no plans to use that; but it's something that we 20 would like to discuss with the State, moving 21 forward, and to -- to explore the potential, if 22 that's possible. 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, I would just 24 suggest that that item be removed from your 25 enrollment packet until the issue is clarified;



because -- I mean, because that -- I know schools 1 are tobacco-free zones. Any school property is. 2 So 3 I think there is an issue there that needs to be 4 worked on. 5 Thank you. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner 8 Shearman. Anyone else? 9 Commissioner Toulouse? 10 11 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I won't 12 I just wanted to know, on the ceremonial 13 tobacco, if that is a procedure in the other schools 14 on the reservation, in either the public or the 15 Bureau of Indian Education schools. 16 MS. CUYLEAR: Yes. Thank you for that, 17 Commissioner Toulouse. That is something that we 18 intend to look into, especially as it relates to culturally relevant activities for children being 19 educated on Indian reservations. So I think that's 20 something we'll definitely explore moving forward 21 22 and hopefully get some answers to those questions. 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 24 Anyone else? 25 Commissioner Ambruster?



1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: These are two
2	quick questions; I think you can remember them both
3	at the same time. One is I just need some
4	information. And one is what is your cap? And
5	number two is what grade levels are you serving?
6	MS. MOORE: Currently, the school is sixth
7	and seventh grade and will be an add-on each year.
8	And I'm embarrassed. The cap? We don't have it
9	memorized. I apologize for that.
10	The goal is to go up grades six through 12
11	over years. I just don't know that number.
12	THE CHAIR: You need to learn that number,
13	because you don't want to go over it.
14	MS. MOORE: No. And we're not anywhere
15	near there. It has been a struggle. I will be
16	honest. I am a principal from the Albuquerque area.
17	And working in rural education on the reservation,
18	it's a different experience, as far as finding
19	students and personnel. We've had a lot of issues;
20	so but it's a solid school.
21	THE CHAIR: Anything else?
22	Okay. Then I'm going to presume that you
23	have addressed the concerns that were in that site
24	visit.



Absolutely.

MS. MOORE:

1	THE CHAIR: Because they're now three
2	months old.
3	MS. MOORE: Yes.
4	THE CHAIR: So I won't ask you to expound
5	on that.
6	MS. MOORE: Right.
7	THE CHAIR: You're now addressing they
8	have been addressed.
9	MS. MOORE: Absolutely. And I just want
10	to state to reassure you that the background checks
11	on the two employees one of them is myself, and
12	the other one is a director of operations we do
13	have current background checks. It's just the way
14	the system works.
15	We went through the Albuquerque Public
16	Schools. It was freshly done. And those because
17	it was done through APS, PED can't accept that, if
18	that makes sense. So they just have to be redone.
19	So I just wanted to reassure you.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director Poulos,
21	you had something?
22	MS. POULOS: I wanted to say that the cap
23	is 180 students.
24	MS. MOORE: Thank you.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.



1 Anything else? Thank you so much for 2 being here today. 3 Thank you for your time. MS. MOORE: 4 THE CHAIR: I guess the next one on the 5 list, Director, is Sandoval --COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 6 No. MS. POULOS: Sandoval Academy of Bilingual 7 Education. 8 9 THE CHAIR: Director, go ahead while 10 they're seating. 11 MS. POULOS: Chairman, Commissioners, this 12 site visit was conducted on November 9th. Again, we 13 went through the same process, where we are 14 standardizing that across all of our schools to 15 ensure that they get equal evaluations. 16 And, again, the feedback here is the same. 17 The school had an opportunity to respond. response was a little untimely; but I know that 18 19 there's a lot going on. And so we worked with the 20 school to ensure we got that. 21 The outstanding concerns that we have are 22 special education services. There was one student 23 that was required to get compensatory services. We didn't see the evidence of that. And so we just 24



don't know. I'm sure that the school has taken care

of that. We just didn't see it. And so it still showed as a concern for us.

We do have one concern. And this is -- I will apologize -- partially me dropping the ball on the educational program. We've received a letter from the school requesting an amendment. We did want to get some additional information, because when a school -- you may recall, the New Mexico International School submitted an amendment request to do mixed grade, or combined grade, classrooms. And we did ask for a fairly substantial plan to support that amendment request. And we haven't had the opportunity to get that information to Pete.

And so I apologize for that. But we do believe that they need to submit an amendment request, because they are currently operating with mixed grades, grade one and two. So that raised a concern.

One of the other material terms was a teacher training concern. Their charter contract commits to those teachers' specific terms. And those terms are that they will receive training from -- I believe it's the New Mexico Bilingual Association -- I have it here somewhere; but I'm not quite sure where it is -- and one other



organization.

Due to financial constraints, the school was not able to implement that this current year. We also believe that those terms require all of their teachers to be bilingual endorsed. And we have one, I believe, that does not have the bilingual endorsement.

And then the school -- we are still concerned about whether the RTI-SAT processes are being implemented. They did provide us a framework. But, again, we didn't see any documentation to demonstrate their implementation.

And then, just the last concern. The school has had some challenges in timely reporting their data to PED at the 80th and, I believe, the 40th day. And that's a concern. We want to make sure that they get to a point where their reporting is timely.

So I think this is another opportunity for us to continue to work with the school, complete the evaluation visit at the end of the year, and, hopefully, at that point, we will see compliance with all their requirements.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Nothing that requires a motion at this time.





MS. POULOS: I don't believe so. But that 1 2 is up to the Commission. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Here, again, 4 questions for the Director or the school? Commissioner Toulouse? 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair? 6 7 Since it's really too late to do anything 8 about the combined grade, are you planning to go forward with combined classes next year, in which 9 10 case, I want to see and discuss at our next meeting 11 a -- you know, amendment request. But -- or are you 12 going to put them back? Because I know I have 13 grandchildren -- a grandson in a dual language 14 school. And I don't know how you're going to be 15 providing the different levels of language 16 instruction in a combined class. THE CHAIR: Please identify yourselves and 17 18 your school. 19 MR. VALLEJO: Certainly. Pedro Vallejo, principal at SABE Charter School. 20 21 MR. DIVETT: I'm Brennan Divett. 22 co-founder and board president. 23 MR. VALLEJO: Chairman and Commissioner Toulouse and Commissioner. That -- in terms of that 24 25 particular situation with the combined classes,



enrollment was much smaller than we had anticipated 1 for the year; and unfortunately, we did have to 2 3 combine the classes. 4 But next year, we've already started the 5 process where they are going to be individual classes, a single first-grade class and a single 6 7 second-grade class; so that's already been 8 addressed. 9 And so far, our enrollment projections based off of registration forms that have come in, 10 11 that's not going to be a problem. 12 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank vou. THE CHAIR: Thank you. 13 Anyone else? 14 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Me. 15 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Let me just 17 follow up on that. What does your contract say at 18 your charter? What does it say about combined 19 classes? Did you project combined classes? 20 MS. POULOS: Commissioner, it does not 21 project that they would have combined classes. 22 the reason that it was raised as a flag by CSD is, 23 in fact, the charter is very specific about 24 separated grades and the amount of grade -- sorry --25 language instruction at each grade level, which is



why it's very clear that that was not presumed or planned in their charter.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Is that a material term violation? You violated what you would do in your contract? You combined classes?

MS. POULOS: I do think it's a pretty severe concern. I think it will result in a rating of "Not Compliant" on their performance framework in at least one area for this school year. So I think, again, without the clarity that that one violation is an issue, I mean, I do think it's a very severe concern, and I do think that we need to make sure that it's reflected in their evaluation.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Let me just ask. Were you aware of that before you combined these classes?

MR. VALLEJO: In terms of us being, you know, unfamiliar with the process, a liaison -- as to the noncompliance, the severity it? Were we aware of it? No.

Working with Katie, are we finding that out? Yeah.

And so we are more than willing to work with Katie to make sure that that gets resolved.

And we understand that it is going to, you know,

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



affect our rating for the year. But, obviously, working with Katie making sure that next year, that is resolved, we're hoping that after this year, we should not have an issue with that.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I -- you know, you're a really new school. And I know there's a lot to know and a lot to learn, that I would really encourage you to be absolutely aware of what is in that contract and what was in the charter application, so that you don't inadvertently make these kinds of mistakes; because these are -- these are pretty major. And you don't -- you don't want to let that happen again, okay?

MR. VALLEJO: Absolutely. Absolutely.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner Shearman, I did just want to make a note that this is an issue, because we've seen it a couple of times, that we are going to include in our presentation at the Spring Budget Conference to make sure all schools are aware of these types of things, budgetary decisions that actually end up conflicting with the contract or the charter, so that they have can have a better understanding of that.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Thank

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



THE CHAIR: Anything else from any other 1 Commissioners? Commissioner Gipson? 2 3 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just have a 4 comment question. I'm just concerned, and I know 5 CSD certainly raised the concern, with absences, that this was a --6 7 MS. POULOS: That's not this school. 8 That's --9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You're right. I'm 10 I'm on the wrong page. I turned the wrong 11 page. I'm sorry. 12 MS. POULOS: We were very pleased when we 13 counted their students. 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I take that back. 15 THE CHAIR: So that's a take-back. 16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I turned the pages, 17 and I shouldn't have. Thank you. 18 THE CHAIR: I assume you've gotten the 19 gist, that there's some very serious things that you 20 guys need to be thinking about. MR. VALLEJO: Absolutely. We've already 21 22 started working, sending her documentation regarding 23 the resolution to a number of these pieces. We're 24 hoping to make sure everything is good by the end of 25 the year with her.



1 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We look 2 forward to hearing from you about your school in the 3 future. Director, we're now to No. "c" on "D"? 4 MS. POULOS: I should have used little 5 Roman numerals. I apologize for the numbering. 6 7 The next school is Technology Leadership 8 High School. I do know we have representatives from 9 that school here also today. 10 THE CHAIR: Please come forward. 11 Director, while they're doing that, why don't you go 12 ahead? 13 MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman, 14 Commissioners, the site visit to Technology 15 Leadership High School was conducted on 16 November 3rd. Again, the same process was used, and 17 the findings are outlined here in the materials. 18 This school did have a -- the opportunity 19 to respond. We did receive a response. Again, it 20 was somewhat untimely; but we worked with the school 21 to make sure we got that. 22 This school did have more layers of 23 feedback than the other schools. So after we received that response, we engaged again with the 24 25 school, let them know our outstanding concerns.



They had another opportunity to respond, and some of those concerns were addressed; so we do see that they're continuing to work towards that.

Some of the outstanding concerns that we do have is the service of special education services. The Special Education Bureau, we have been trying to get that bureau to go and work with the school and provide support. My understanding was that they did go out yesterday, and they did see that the situation that we observed has been addressed and has been improving; and so we're pleased to hear that the school is working toward making sure that all of their students are being served appropriately.

We also have concerns about the implementation of the required mentorship plan. Any first-year teacher is required to -- to be provided mentorship services under a very specific plan that's outlined in the New Mexico Administrative Code. The school has provided us a plan that -- that outlines the requirements that are found in the New Mexico Administrative Code.

What we've asked them for is evidence of the implementation of that plan. We have not received that. We have received forms that they



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

could use to track some of those things. But those forms were not completed. And so we just aren't sure of the implementation of that plan.

We're also unsure of the implementation of their RTI and SAT processes. Again, we did see forms, and we did see a policy; but we did not see the implementation of that. They did specifically state that none of their students have been referenced to the SAT process; but we were still looking for confirmation of the implementation of Tier 1, at the very least of RTI, and we didn't see that yet.

Next, we were concerned about the provision of ELL services. We did not see that services were being provided. The school did, again, provide some information about how they would be provided; but no documentation to demonstrate that they are being provided, according to that plan.

We do have concerns about the instructional calendar. The -- each school is required, as part of their annual budget, to submit a calendar that identifies the hours that students will be in school, instructional hours, as well as the days, the days on, the days off, the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

instructional days, as well as the non-instructional days. On the instructional calendar, the school does identify 20 non-instructional -- or, sorry -- 40 non-instructional days; but the calendar that the school appears to be operating on that we received from the school and is on their website has 35 non-instructional days; so we do believe that there's just that five-day shortage.

And then, our other concern is the instructional hour requirements. We believe that there's a small deficiency. While we were at the school, we observed students being provided a break in the middle of their projects. They have two big projects, one that's in the morning, and one that's in the afternoon. And we indicated that that would need to be added, as non-instructional time. And so they would need to ensure that their instructional time met.

It appears that they've added a passing period. Again, we don't quite understand that, because there's one project in the morning, one project in the afternoon. So we do still feel there is a shortage.

They did have a part of the day called "Advisory," which we were a little concerned about.



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

During that time, we witnessed students not engaged 1 2 in a curriculum, but kind of out. And so we did ask 3 for some more information on that. I do believe we've gotten sufficient information on that concern. 5 So, again, I think this is an opportunity to continue to work with the school to ensure 6 7 that -- to the degree that we can, we can identify 8 they were in compliance on their performance framework at the end of the year. 9 10 THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. 11 is your recommendation you're going to continue to 12 work with the school, and we don't need to take any 13 action? 14 MS. POULOS: I do believe that's the 15 appropriate action right now. 16 THE CHAIR: Okay. Questions or comments? Would you please identify yourselves for the record, 17 18 please? And if you have something you wish to share 19 with us, go ahead. 20 MS. CORTAZZO: Good morning. My name is Kara, K-A-R-A, Cortazzo, C-O-R-T-A-Z-Z-O. 21 And I'm 22 the principal. 23 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Good morning, 24 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. My name is 25 Daniel Ivey-Soto, same spelling as before. And I am



1 general counsel to the school. Thank you. Did you wish to 2 THE CHAIR: 3 amplify on what you've heard, or are you just 4 continuing to work with the CSD? 5 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Mr. Chairman, we are continuing to work with CSD to ensure that these 6 7 issues are resolved. And so that's -- that's a continuing process, and hope to have a report back 8 9 at the end of the year that shows a clean bill of health. 10 11 Outstanding. And go ahead. THE CHAIR: 12 MS. CORTAZZO: So I would like some 13 clarification so that we're able to move forward and 14 so that I make sure that, as the principal, I am 15 ensuring that these concerns that are being 16 addressed are being addressed. So one of the first comments that were 17 18 made was that the school had 2015 documentation in 19 an untimely manner. And I would like some 20 elaboration on that, because I'm not seeing that. THE CHAIR: How did you arrive at that 21 22 conclusion? 23 MS. POULOS: We did identify the date. 24 Again, these were concerns. So we wanted to have the schools respond quickly and appropriately. 25



so we did identify the date. 1 I believe the date was December -- and my team member who is here with 2 3 me -- it's in the materials. I believe that the 4 initial date was December 1st on some items. 5 did not receive a response, I believe, until December 9th. 6 And then, again, I think the response was 8 a few days delayed beyond the deadline that we did 9 set. 10 THE CHAIR: And we note that you 11 apparently disagree with that assessment. 12 MS. CORTAZZO: Thank you, Chair. 13 The other question that I would like 14 verification on is implementation, how to produce 15 that, or how to actually show that. 16 MS. POULOS: So I think there were several 17 items where we did not see the implementation, for 18 example, of the mentorship plan. Again, we would 19 expect to see the records that they had indicated 20 they would be keeping: Logs, responses, feedback, walk-throughs. And we did not see that. 21 22 The same with the RTI process. We should 23 certainly see how students are receiving 24 differentiation within the classroom. That may be



found in project plans; that may be found while

we're observing the classroom. 1 Again, that was just an area we did not 2 3 see, and we asked for feedback. The same thing with ELL services, looking 4 5 for something to indicate how it's been planned, how it's been carried out, to see that it is. 6 It's easy 7 to make plans and let them fall by the wayside. 8 just wanted to make sure we can see that and have that documentation in our files. 9 10 THE CHAIR: Does that answer your 11 question? Are you in contact with the ELL Bureau, 12 or whoever it is? 13 MS. CORTAZZO: Yes. Yes, they've been --14 THE CHAIR: Surely they can help you with 15 forms. 16 MS. CORTAZZO: They've been very 17 supportive. So thank you for that. The last question I have was just about 18 our calendar. I'm not aware of the five 19 20 instructional days that are not posted. If I could just have those dates? 21 22 MS. POULOS: The school had a calendar 23 that included ten days, I believe, in July, of professional development. And what was on the 24



calendar provided by the school that they're using

1	was five days. And so we believe that was the short
2	five days.
3	THE CHAIR: So your question was where
4	were the other five days, Director?
5	MS. POULOS: That's correct. Since they
6	were on the calendar provided to the Budget are
7	they being provided, or do they need to request an
8	amendment of that calendar with the Budget and
9	Finance Department?
10	MS. CORTAZZO: Okay. Thank you for the
11	clarification. So what I need to do is update our
12	website to reflect those five days. I was not aware
13	of the website. The documentation that I've given
14	to CSD does reflect that.
15	So now, I understand where the
16	implementation is. So I will take care of it.
17	Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: So does that satisfy your
19	concerns?
20	MS. CORTAZZO: Thank you.
21	THE CHAIR: Has any of that conversation
22	stirred up any conversations or comment?
23	Commissioner Shearman?
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. I'm
25	looking at, first of all, the concern raised about



instructional hours. And it's the last bullet on -on the first page. And in conclusion, it says, "The
revised schedule was at least five hours short and
potentially more than 30 hours short of the
State-required 1,080 minimum instructional hours."

Then I'm looking at the school's response.

And it says, "Again, based on the revised school schedule submitted December 9, the school schedule is 1,018.3 instructional hours, which is 61.7 hours short of the required."

Then the last -- when the school was provided the opportunity to reply to that, there was speaking of passing periods and so on, as Katie has mentioned. The last sentence says, "The instructional time, counting advisory totals, approximately 1,047 hours, 33 hours short of the required instructional time."

So throughout this whole process, we never get to the point where it appears that your instructional calendar is providing the total number of hours. We're getting close to the end of the school year. Are -- what are you doing to bump up these instructional hours? Because even as I look at your response, it's still short of hours, instructional hours.



So are you adding instructional hours 1 2 during the day to try to make up for this loss? 3 how are you handling this? 4 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner 5 Shearman, the -- so let me just -- and you're looking at the page -- I think Page 15 in the --7 with regard to the school that has the No. 9 at the 8 top? 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I can't hear you. Please use the mic. 10 11 MR. IVEY-SOTO: I'm rarely told people 12 cannot hear me. I believe you're looking at the 13 page that has "9" at the top of it that says, "Daily 14 Instructional Schedule." And -- and so I just -- I 15 just wanted to clarify that at all times, when the 16 school calendar was submitted, it was a school 17 calendar with 1,091 hours of instructional time. 18 What we submitted was a response that 19 indicated, from our perspective, that the school had 20 1,091 hours of instructional time, and the follow-up 21 where we attached the calendar, again, that had 22 1,091 hours of instructional time. 23 So we have been consistent on the amount of instructional time. 24



The discussion has been whether or not the

```
1
     passing period is counted as part of that
 2
     instructional time or not and how that passing
 3
     period is -- is -- is termed in terms of the daily
 4
     schedule. And the other part of that discussion is
 5
     the breakfast after-the-bell program and whether or
     not that counts as instructional time or not.
 6
     that's a matter that we are continuing to follow up
 8
     on for clarity.
 9
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right.
10
     Just -- I would hope, though, that those issues
11
     would be addressed and clarified, certainly, before
12
     it's too late in the school year, so that if you do
13
     need to make up any hours or add any additional
14
     hours, you would have time to do it. I'm not
15
     suggesting that, necessarily, that you do; but just
16
     in case you do, I would like for you to have the
17
     opportunity to do that.
18
               MR. IVEY-SOTO:
                               Absolutely.
                                             And I
19
     appreciate that. But that is -- so -- but that
20
     is -- that is the area of discussion that is taking
21
     place.
             So thank you.
22
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                        Thank you.
23
                           Anything else?
               THE CHAIR:
24
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I have two
25
     questions.
```



1 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? 2 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I have a question 3 about absences, now that I'm on the right page. 4 It's a concern, that -- because I think you indicated that this was a -- not an uncommon number 5 So there's certainly -- that piqued my of absences. 7 concern, when it was about a quarter of the student 8 population was -- appeared to be consistently absent. 9 10 So I'm just curious as to what you're 11 doing to try to address that issue. 12 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Certainly. Let me -- let 13 me begin, and then I'll turn it over to the director 14 of the school. And so first of all, this is an 15 issue that we've been taking very seriously. And as 16 you've seen, attendance has gone up dramatically 17 throughout the year. The student body that this school, in its 18 19 application and in its implementation, has targeted 20 is a -- a very high at-risk student body. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 21 Uh-huh. Many of the students that 22 MR. IVEY-SOTO: 23 come to our school, in addition to the students who are special ed, frankly, we have many students who, 24



in a traditional school system, have been

categorized either as disciplinary problems, have been -- have been -- have been placed in behavioral programs, and then have simply stopped attending.

And so part of the challenges that we have had with this student population is providing the daily value to them of what's happening on campus for them to attend school.

You know, when I went to school, if -- if I was told school starts at 7:53, I knew I had to be there at 7:53. When we tell these students, "School starts at 7:53," they decide if they're going to show up and if it's worth their time to be there.

So part of that has to do with the population that we're dealing with. And quite candidly, the -- where we are at this point in terms of -- of the daily attendance rate, although in a normal school setting would be, I think, alarming, I find personally very encouraging, in that -- in that these students, on a daily basis, are finding value in terms of what's happening at the school, and that it's worth their while to show up and to be there.

That doesn't mean that we should be satisfied, because we're not. But it is -- that is -- it's a unique struggle that we have with this particular population.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you.

And I just have -- I believe it's this school -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- with the instructional implementation, I thought I read somewhere there is an indication that the principal wrote the first semester's curriculum; is that -- so I'm on the right page?

MS. POULOS: You're on the right school.

I've never been involved in curriculum development over, now, decades, where there's just a single person that has been able to, let alone, really wanted to be, the sole writer of curriculum. So that -- that concerns me.

And it -- to me, it appears that, you know, if there's a single person that's writing it, I can't see where it can be as complete as it should be and as -- or as comprehensive as it should be.

MS. CORTAZZO: So I'd like to clarify, please. So during our planning year that we were given, myself and a team of four other educators actually wrote that first ten weeks. And we did that because we wanted the teachers to be able to come in in July and have a project that was laid out





for them to show them what it actually looks like and walk them through it.

Now, it was tweaked over the period of time through the teachers who are presently at the school. But we decided to do that so the teachers didn't walk in without anything, versus a normal school, which would have a textbook, and they're able to open up the textbook and know what they're able to follow.

So it was able to support them. So it was written out as, like, in units throughout the weeks. So it wasn't just, personally, myself; but I was one of the people involved.

think it was from your response that you wrote the curriculum. So that's why -- you know, that's what -- and I think -- that's part of the planning year, that you have to have that curriculum in place. So it's not that -- you know, that's what's expected of all schools. But that concerned me when the -- when the statement was, "I wrote the curriculum."

MR. IVEY-SOTO: She was part of the team. She was the leader of the team.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thank you.

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Anything else? I think you've THE CHAIR: gotten the gist of it again. We wish you would continue to work with the Charter School Division. We do not wish to see these things rise to a level that might cause problems for you in the future. Certainly. MR. IVEY-SOTO: And I am pleased to see that you will be getting out in time for lunch. THE CHAIR: It's not my time to report. If they can shut me up soon enough, we will get out of here. Thank you for being here today. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair? that we're all through with all three of these schools, I'd like to make a statement.

I'm concerned with the tone of these documents, not necessarily the content. These are brand new, first-year schools. My feeling is these reports -- which were not timely to us, so I'm trying to read them last night while at a seven-year-old's birthday party. And I find them more like an inquisitor would write, and they end up as an indictment, as opposed to, in your first year, I think you need more site visits early and more guidance, direct guidance, and put down the same



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

facts, but not in the sense that sounds like, "These people haven't done anything right, they can't do anything right, and they can't meet deadlines."

We didn't get our stuff in time to really have a lot of time to go through it, and we were promised it earlier. I'm not holding anybody responsible. I'm just saying you can't have a standard for one and not have a standard for yourself.

I would like to see, with these first-year schools and the two new schools coming to us who've been in other districts, that they get guidance. It can be good, stern guidance. When you tell your child how to do things -- you know, depending on what kind of parent you are -- I never said to my kids, "Oh, please, I think this is how you need to do it."

I said, "This is how you will do it." But what you need to get there, then, what can you tell me about your problems? When I was, you know, a fairly high level administrator in State government, I needed to find out what people needed to know from me to do their jobs when they were brand new at a job.

And so I would just like to see us, for



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our beginning schools and new schools coming to us,
that we provide guidance. And I'm hoping that's
what we're getting. But the way these reports were
written, I read a much more negative aspect into it.
And I -- I don't think that helps any of us. And
again, I've been reading reports for -- what? -almost 50 years now.

So anyway, that's just my comment for the

So anyway, that's just my comment for the record. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other comments? Then let's move forward to Item No. 7, Report from the Chair.

Item A is an update on charter school negotiations. And right now that update is dismal. We had to postpone the negotiation schedule for this week. And it frankly looks to me like we're going to have to postpone the negotiations in two weeks. And here's why we're having to do that.

For the first two-and-a-half years we did these negotiations, which I will remind my fellow Commissioners and everyone else, these negotiations are between the PEC and the charter schools. The Charter School Division is not a factor in these negotiations. They are not a co-party with us in that. The negotiations are between the Commission



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and the charter school.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Barnes served as our facilitator. And as a part of that role, she was involved in all the preparations. She did work with Charter School Division staff.

She worked with the charter schools. As a part of that role, she prepared all the documents that we used in those negotiations, which now number 48.

And she prepared every one of those documents for all 48 of those schools.

Last year, she operated under a contract between herself and PED, that specified, put more specificity to her duties. But that contract allowed her to do everything I just described. She did it for us last year.

This year, she got her 2016 contract and tells me there were just a couple of very minor word changes in that document. And other than that, it's the exact same document that she operated under last year. However, PED told her this year that she was not to be allowed in the preparations for this — her role. She was not to be allowed to prepare the documents for that role, as she has done for the previous two-and-a-half years. And I found that totally unacceptable.



These negotiations, as I say, are our negotiations. We choose the people we want to do the work for our negotiations. I have had one conversation with Deputy Secretary Aguilar. We have not been able to connect back. I'm going to continue to try. I do not see us going forward until we resolve this issue.

The Charter School Division is not authorized by this Commission to prepare those documents. We have never been asked to authorize that. We have never voted on that. And I remind them, they do not have a role in these negotiations, other than as our staff. And we have not authorized them to do this. Or did I miss something? No, I didn't think so.

So that's where we're at. We need to get this solved. This is almost a Constitutional issue. This Legislature has mandated that we do these negotiations. There was no mention of the Charter School Division in Senate Bill 446, other than in some housekeeping areas. And there's no mention of the CSD doing the negotiations in the Charter School Act.

So I'm going to work -- continue to try to work with Deputy Secretary Aguilar to get these



```
1
     questions answered so we can get back to doing the
     negotiations. Until then, we're being delayed in
 2
 3
     the performance of our duties by what's going on
     right now.
 5
               And you can tell, I'm upset about it.
     believe it's interference in our statutory duties.
 6
 7
     And I'm going to continue to fight; because it's
 8
     just -- these are our negotiations, by statute.
               It's not just, "If you guys want to do it,
 9
10
     go ahead, if you want to turn it over to CSD."
11
     That's not what the Legislature said.
                                            We're not
12
     turning it over to CSD; we never have.
13
               I'm not demeaning CSD in any way, shape,
14
     or form.
               But they are not authorized to prepare
15
     those documents.
16
               Have I missed something, fellow
17
     Commissioners? Did I -- do you disagree with
18
     anything I said?
19
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I just have a
     question. Did you want me to ask it now?
20
     wait.
21
               THE CHAIR:
                           The Director wants to say
22
23
     something; so I'm going to ask the Director -- go
24
     ahead, briefly, please.
25
               MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
```



Commissioners, I would just like, for the record, to 1 2 state that I believe that that is not an accurate 3 reflection. I do think it's important that we have 4 conversations -- Deputy Secretary Aguilar did 5 apologize that he has not been able to get back in touch with you. But I do look forward to working 6 7 with the Commission to ensure we all can get to the 8 same page on what the situation is and work out a workable situation. 9

But, again, I do not believe that accurately reflects what's happened. And so I just want to make sure that's on the record.

THE CHAIR: That's fine. We're going to agree to disagree; because that's what I've been told. So we need to get this resolved. I will continue to try and get together with the Deputy Secretary, and at the appropriate time, I will certainly be willing to talk to and meet with the Director.

But there's only going to be one resolution in my mind, unless the Commission tells me differently. And that's going to be what I just stated. Otherwise, we have a Constitutional problem.

So thank you. We'll continue working on



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



it. That's my update.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Let me just say,

Commissioner Bergman, I -- in all the time that I've

worked on negotiations, the same amount of time you

have, we have always felt that CSD was our staff,

and that to involve them directly in the

negotiations, in our view, was a conflict of

interest for them. And so we have tried to have

those negotiations between the PEC and the schools,

negotiated by -- facilitated by a third party, so

that if there were disagreements over issues in

those negotiations, if we disagreed on indicators or

anything in those negotiations, it was between the

PEC and the school.

And that's the way it should be. This Commission agreed with that several years ago when we set this up.

I agree with Commissioner Bergman. If we want to make a change, it's going to take a vote to do it; because that's what it took to get it to this point. So I agree. It's the Commission's business. And if we want to change anything, now is the time to say so. But my opinion is I think we had a good, clean process in place when we had a third-party person acting as facilitator. And it worked very



I don't understand why there's been a change. 1 2 Thank you. Questions. THE CHAIR: 3 Commissioner Ambruster? 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: These are 5 probably more in terms of clarification, since I have only done those once -- one time. You have 6 7 done many. 8 But, so we're paying an attorney to write documents and -- that we're looking at; but CSD 9 10 doesn't have any input into that, because they would 11 not be what we want? 12 THE CHAIR: No. One, we are not paying 13 anybody, because we have no budget and no money. 14 are not paying anybody. 15 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: The taxpayers 16 are paying. 17 THE CHAIR: There is a contract in existence between PED and Julia Barnes. And, no, 18 19 CSD has always had a role assigned by this 20 Commission in those first two-and-a-half years. Αt 21 that time, they had a liaison position with the 22 charter schools, which has been eliminated. 23 those liaisons worked with each charter school and 24 helped them formulate their draft of the performance 25 framework with their draft of the performance



indicators and goals that they wanted to pursue.

And that was their role. And that was with the approval of the Commission. That was their only role.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner Bergman?

THE CHAIR: Let me finish.

MS. POULOS: This is very important for.

I think it's very important. That is exactly what has been happening. That is exactly what my staff member, Tina, and her cohort, Ed, who are both supposed to be supporting and training our schools while my other team members are doing more the site visit evaluations, that's exactly what they have been doing.

And I do want to be very clear. CSD has not had any intent on being the facilitator. And that's what I wanted to express when I said that I don't believe this is an accurate reflection of the situation; because, again, that's exactly what's been going on.

THE CHAIR: Well -- but previously, those liaisons were working with Julia Barnes. They were working in concert. And they're not now. And, yes, I see you disagree with that; but the -- the performance -- the performance of the documents is



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the issue for me. And that -- those documents are
to be prepared by Julia Barnes, as the facilitator.

Otherwise, yeah, what is her role, if she's just -she was told, "Just show up on negotiation days, and
you facilitate."

Well, that's ludicrous, because she won't be prepared to facilitate if she hasn't been involved in the preparations.

MS. POULOS: I think I need to add one thing. That is not the conversation. In fact,
Ms. Barnes has not reached out to have a conversation with me at all.

THE CHAIR: I'm told otherwise. I'm not going to argue that.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I also want to clarify that Julia did not do the forms. We did the forms with her, with Charter School Division employees, with the Matthews Fox firm. It was a very, very long, drawn-out process to develop those forms. They are our forms, not just ones that Julia prepared.

Now, she will populate them with the current information when she goes in. But I've done several years' worth of this now. And, again, I -- I don't understand how we can go in and put anybody



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

except Julia in to do these, because she's the only one I've worked with, and she has such a thorough understanding of it.

But we did those forms, and I want to continue with it this way; because it is our contract, and I don't think we can have our staff, because it's not a contract with them but with us. The law is very clear. CSD is our staff, not our peers or whatever.

So I just think I agree. It's a

Constitutional issue. It's ours to do. So thank

you.

THE CHAIR: Well, I think -- and

Commissioner Shearman has stated it -- Julia

provides a third-party facilitator, a buffer between

both sides. And, yes, CSD -- and the forms that I'm

talking about she's prepared are the draft documents

for the negotiations.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's the populating of what we've already done.

THE CHAIR: We have templates used for all of them. And, yes, everybody was involved with that. I've made my statement. That's where I'm at. Until we get this issue resolved satisfactorily for this Commission, who is charged to do the

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



negotiations, there's going to be a problem. I'll continue to work with the Director. I'll continue to try and work with the Deputy Secretary. I know he's an extremely busy man, and I understand that.

But I'll continue to try.

That's where we're at with negotiations. Stay tuned for updates.

Let me, fairly quickly, do the liaison.

The document that's in your book is not the 2016

document. That's just a repeat of the 2015

document. And with Beverly out, it's going to take

me a little while. But here's the changes, if you

want to jot them there.

Commissioner Peralta, because you're on the PSCOC now, Commissioner Conyers asked if he could chair the CTE committee; and so I'm going to allow him to be Chair, if you agree to that.

You're -- I know you're extremely busy with the PSCOC. If you approve of that, Commissioner Conyers will be Chair of the Career Technical Education committee. The other two committees will stay as they are.

Do you remember the last meeting, I asked everybody get in touch? Commissioner Conyers was the only one who got in touch. I'm assuming



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



everybody else is happy where you are; so that's where we are.

On the LESC, Commissioner Shearman is no longer there. I'm actually going to be the primary on that one, and I've left Commissioners Gipson and Toulouse on that one, if that's the way you want to keep it.

The New Mexico Indian Education Advisory

Council, here, again, Commissioner Conyers asked to

be the primary on that one, replacing Commissioner

Pogna. I talked to Commissioner Pogna, and she was

agreeable to that. Commissioner Conyers will be the

primary, and Commissioner Pogna and Chavez will be

the backup there.

The Coalition School Administrator, same.

PSCOC is the same. NMPSIA is the same. School

Boards Association is the same. The State Library

Commission is the same.

And we did ask the LFC -- and Commissioner Shearman had asked to be the primary on that. And she is. And myself and Commissioner Carr and Commissioner Toulouse will be the backups on that one.

So now, you're up-to-date. I will continue to work with Beverly. We'll finally --





1	whenever she gets back from her health problems,
2	we'll have a new list that will reflect all those
3	changes.
4	Is everybody satisfied with that, where we
5	are now?
6	Thank you so much. I've taken care of
7	that.
8	Time for the Coalition to come forward, if
9	you would, Kelly.
10	Please identify yourself and proceed.
11	MS. CALLAHAN: I can talk loud. Now, it's
12	working.
13	Good morning. My name is Kelly Callahan,
14	and I am the co-executive director of the New Mexico
15	Coalition for Charter Schools.
16	Thank you, Commissioners. Mr. Chair and
17	Commissioners, our report consists of three items.
18	First of all, I would like to talk about
19	our spring conference that was held on March 2nd and
20	3rd. The Coalition sponsored an educational
21	leadership conference that included instruction and
22	tracks on instruction, and also included governance
23	council training.
24	We had over 100 people that attended the
25	training and the workshops. This was our first go



at a spring conference. And at an instructional primary focus, we did add the governance, just at the request of our members.

But it was very successful. I would like to thank Commissioner Toulouse for attending the governance training. There was a lot of people in there. So it was -- it was very well-attended. And so we plan to continue doing on our -- a spring conference, as well as our fall conference. And we want always to have an open invite to the Commission to be a part of those conferences. And we will keep you notified as to dates.

Secondly, the Coalition worked very closely with several organizations and lobbyists at the Legislative Session that just was completed in January. And the -- there were a couple of bills that were put forth that had potential negative circumstances for charter schools. SB 141 was a funding formula change bill that looked at teacher cost index, the at-risk index, and the school size adjustment factor.

And what happened was the -- the charter schools were identified specifically in the size adjustment factor. And what we found in the data that we reviewed is that 53 percent of the charter



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

schools would have lost up to 20 percent of their budget over the five years. And we found that this was not acceptable; and also, the fact that we really -- you know, that those numbers that we used were based on LESC and LFC numbers, but they weren't entirely accurate.

And so we worked with the Public Education Department. Their numbers conflicted. There really wasn't a whole lot of data. The point of that is to vote for a bill without clear data as to the impact was -- was not an acceptable path. And we worked very hard to -- with the PED and with our constituents to ensure that that bill was killed before it got to the House floor.

And we feel like -- we would like to be more proactive as the Coalition. We have set up some task forces that are going to hopefully look at the funding formula and, working with charter schools, with business managers, with the Legislature, we are working with Legislators to be a part of the LESC agenda. And so we want to absolutely work with that. We know that the funding formula needs some adjustment, and we want to make sure that it's fair, not only to traditional public schools, but to charter schools, and that we are --



and not taking away any equity, because these are all public school students.

And we just -- so we felt like we were able to avert some negative things happening very quickly to hopefully proactively become a part of the solution for the funding formula. So we have put together -- we have started our task force. We started meeting this week. We are going to be part of the LESC agenda, hopefully, on April 15th; so we will keep you updated as to that.

Any questions about that, specifically?

THE CHAIR: Questions for the Coalition?

Commissioner Ambruster?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Kelly, what is the definition of a "small school"?

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner

17 Ambruster, a small school -- according to the

18 funding formula, it's broken into levels. So an

19 elementary school that's under 200 students would be

20 considered a small school. And then they have a

21 | multiple-layer high-school level. There's high

22 | schools that are under 200 receive a factor, and

23 then high schools that are under 400 currently

24 receive a factor. And that's all -- that's all

25 district schools and charter schools.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And middle 1 2 school is under the elementary or the high school? 3 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 4 Ambruster, yes, it's a K-8. It's the K-8. And it's 5 hard if you have crossover; because some schools are And so there's some -- I'm sure that the 6 7 budget people have to do some finagling on that. 8 THE CHAIR: Anything else? COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair? 9 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta? 10 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Maybe if I can get 11 12 your take on the presentation earlier by 13 Mr. Hindman, if you wouldn't mind sharing maybe your 14 personal opinion, or the opinion of the Coalition 15 about what might transpire, or what your feelings 16 are about that. MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 17 18 Peralta, thank you for that question. The Coalition 19 has been meeting -- we've met now twice with 20 Mr. Hindman and his organization. We, too, were a 21 little confused when -- when all of this transpired 22 earlier this summer. And -- but there was a lot 23 of -- I'm going to say misinformation that --24 because we didn't know. And it was just -- it was 25 trying to find and produce information that we just



didn't know about.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when we met, actually, with the board -- the Coalition met with this board when they hired Mr. Hindman, and -- to discuss that, because there was concern about them becoming another member organization, which would be in direct conflict with what we were doing.

And they assured us -- the board assured us, and Mr. Hindman, at the time, who I think was on board a week -- they assured us that there would not be competition in terms of that.

There is still some confusion about what exactly this new organization was going to be doing. I don't think they were entirely clear about exactly what they were going to be going — that they did receive funding, that they did receive support from Daniels. And so I think, as they brought

Mr. Hindman in and the board actually put together their plan for the 501(c)(3), it became evident that they were looking at supporting schools with funding and looking at quality in terms of education.

And since that first meeting -- we actually met with Mr. Hindman yesterday. Since that first meeting, the expansion has been now to all schools. And that's a new development that we just



heard about yesterday and today during this discussion.

And so we -- we realized that there's a lot of work. But we do have Mr. Hindman's support and collaboration in talking about the high performing schools. I think that's something that we've always wanted to be a part of.

I'm glad to hear that he wants to include the PEC, the CSD and the other entities. And I think that's going to be a positive progression. We are specifically focused on our members. We -- we now represent almost 80 percent of the schools, which is up from last year's 62 percent. So we do have a significant presence. And we really are focused on looking at training, support, technical support, advisory, working with schools and leaders, specifically around charter school issues.

And since they've sort of broadened their scope, I think there's crossover; but it's not -- I don't think it's going to be a direct competition, if you will. But we are very committed to making it a collaboration, and -- because we feel like new schools having funding to start is critical. And since we don't have the funding flow for that, this would be a great way to provide support for new



1 schools. 2 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Anything 4 else? 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to say thank you for that last workshop that you did, 6 7 because I got great feedback from schools in my 8 area, with the governance council training. 9 they truly appreciated it. And I know they're 10 making use of it and sharing it. 11 So thank you very much for doing that. 12 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 13 Gipson, thank you for that. We do appreciate the 14 feedback and are working very hard to up the level 15 of quality governance, because that is what is going 16 to make charter schools successful, because that 17 oversight is critically important. 18 And then I have one last thing, 19 Commissioner; and this is with all due respect to the Commission. 20 I have received -- we have received -- the 21 22 Coalition has received 19 concerns that have been 23 leveled about the work that they are doing with the That's almost 20 percent of our charter 24 CSD.



schools.

And these concerns -- and I'm just going to outline a couple of the things: That there's an atmosphere of hostility and a fear of retaliation working with the CSD; that there is some inaccurate information that's been provided and/or identified; that schools are not being treated professionally; that they are feeling targeted and harassed; that there is a fear of retaliation from the CSD; and that there are some unreasonable requests for information.

We've also had concern from the schools about information from the CSD that is maligning schools with investors and outside entities. And this is very, very concerning. And I'm not here to come and just complain; I am coming here to seek a solution.

And we are very much in support of accountability for charter schools. We do believe that bad charter schools should be closed. We do believe that charter schools should have oversight. That is the responsibility of this Commission and the CSD, as acting as your staff.

We do, however, worry, because there is not, right now, a clear process for schools to file a safe complaint and/or concern or a grievance about





what's happening with the CSD. And I would like to get clarification about the language in the contract, because the contract is between the governing boards and the Public Education Commission.

And it is unclear, if there is a problem with the staff of the Commission, if the contract does -- does cover that, and if those processes would be implemented. And if it isn't, we would like to respectfully request that there be some sort of grievance or complaint process put forth so that schools have a safe way, without fear of retaliation, to report concerns about the oversight that happens from the Charter School Division.

And we are, again, reporting what we have been given as information from 19 schools. And this is very concerning.

We want, of course, for schools to have excellent oversight; but -- I think it was touched on earlier -- it needs to be done with respect, and it needs to be done with fairness and with reasonableness.

And we are, again, respectfully requesting that we get some sort of clarification about what schools can do if there are concerns about what is



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 happening with the oversight of the staff of the PEC 2 or the CSD. 3 Thank you. 4 THE CHAIR: Thank you for sharing that 5 Have you shared those concerns from these schools with --6 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Just clearing my 8 throat. I'm sorry. I thought you said, "Don't you 9 THE CHAIR: 10 dare." The Chair has never been addressed that way My wife has said that; but... 11 before. 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair, I would 13 never, in my wildest dreams, tell you not to do 14 something. 15 THE CHAIR: I don't know what the look was 16 on my face there; but it was alarm or something. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sitting in the 18 middle; so... 19 MS. CALLAHAN: Commissioner Bergman, to 20 answer your question -- sorry, Commissioner 21 Shearman -- no, it is not. We are in the process of 22 putting together a letter that will be sent to the 23 Public Education Commission with copies to the Charter School Division. We felt like we needed to 24 25 get this information out, because it has been coming



very, very quickly and furiously over the last month.

THE CHAIR: You rai- -- you mentioned the contract. Here, again, you've gotten into this area I'm talking about. See, the contract, the actual performance contract and the performance frameworks, which are a part of the document, again, are a two-party document. They're between the charter school and the PEC. CSD is not a party to those contracts. They're -- as our staff, they're a party; but they're not a signatory, I don't believe.

But, anyway, there's got to be something else. And did you have your hand up?

MS. POULOS: I did. I just wanted to say that I'm very disappointed. None of these concerns have been brought to us. We've not heard any word of this. I've been working closely with many charter schools and have gotten great feedback.

So it is disappearing -- disappointing to hear it in this format, rather than to have anyone come to me first. And so I'm just -- again, to Ms. Callahan, I would ask that certainly anytime any feedback like that comes to you, that you would raise that alarm for me; because I would love to immediately address it. But having it come in this



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     format is very disturbing.
               MS. CALLAHAN: And, Commissioner --
 2
 3
     Mr. Chair, Commissioner, I -- again, it's a very --
     it's a very difficult relationship, because the --
 4
     the authorizers, with whom the schools ask me to
 5
     present this information, that's who their contract
              And so I definitely feel like there's --
     there's just a void of how we can do this; because
 8
     the relationship is very -- you know, because the
 9
10
     CSD is part of the Public Education Department and
11
     acts as the staff to the PEC.
12
               The PEC, you know, in terms of
13
     oversight -- again, it's a very difficult process
14
     for us, and our schools asked for us to present to
15
     the Public Education Commission. We will follow
     with a letter in writing; and we felt like it was --
16
17
     that was to be the first step.
18
               THE CHAIR: Please. And please do that.
19
     And, please, in the future, communicate with the
20
     CSD. I understand her sense of disappointment in
     this case.
21
22
               Commissioner Shearman, did you have
23
     something?
24
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                        I do.
```



Mr. Chairman, I -- that's a significant number of

schools with concerns; it really is.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also understand a school's concern for retaliation. It's the same thing school boards deal with, with parents and students. And while, from -- when you're sitting behind the desk, it seems not to be real, that you should be able to go to the person you have the problem with, tell them what that problem is and work it out. But when you do have a fear of retaliation, whether it's real or genuine, if the fear is there, then the issue needs to be dealt with at a different level at a different way.

These are -- as we said, these are our contracts with these schools. If they're having issues with our staff, I think it needs to come to us. If we then want to take it to our staff and seek an issue or a solution, that's our business.

But I agree, if it's a serious issue, it needs to come to us first.

Could I suggest, Mr. Chairman, that you meet with our attorney and perhaps with Ms. Callahan and sit down and let's see if there is not a process we can identify where those issues come to us? And if they are that serious, then we will take action. What action we can take, I'm not sure, because, remember, we don't have a budget, and we don't hire



1 the staff.

But we do have the authority to the schools, and we do need to be responsive to them.

So I think we've got to get a legal opinion on what we can do.

So I'm asking that -- or I'm suggesting that that's the first step we take is to seek legal counsel.

THE CHAIR: And I would turn to Mr. Lange and say, "Could you perhaps look into this next week for us -- or reasonably in the future?"

Because this -- we're talking about -- this is new territory, I guess, new ground.

MR. LANGE: Yeah. Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, we can definitely meet with interested parties. I would like to meet after the letter that was proposed that be sent to us so we can look at the allegations.

But, I mean, the grievance process -- if that's something that you all want to look into, I don't know what we can do and we can't do; but I'm more than willing to work with -- with what we're able to do and what we're not able to do.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. And then
I would raise the issue with Vice Chair Gipson.





```
1
     Could we not fold this into the complaint policy?
 2
     Or is that a separate issue?
 3
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:
                                        Separate.
 4
     Separate.
 5
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                      It's separate.
 6
     Let's not muddy those waters.
               THE CHAIR: So we have another separate
 7
 8
     process we have to work on.
 9
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Absolutely.
                                                   Yeah,
10
     yeah.
11
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I want
12
     to say, as all of you know, there's a very large
13
     number of our charter schools in my district.
14
     have received some of these complaints, and I have
15
     asked people, "May I bring this forward," and I get
16
     a complete panic attack on the other end of the
17
     phone, or the other person turns away from me
18
     because they are so sure they're going to be
19
     retaliated against.
20
               I can't tell whether -- how valid the fear
21
     is, because it is such a total fear. And I have
22
     then suggested to those people that they join a
23
     group of people and go to Ms. Callahan, you know, if
24
     they're afraid of one-on-one retaliation.
```



But I know that there is unrest out there

in the Albuquerque area. I know that there are at least ten of our charter schools who have approached APS requesting, when it comes time, to be rechartered by APS because of these concerns.

And I am not sure -- where it might reduce our workload, I don't think that's the answer to what we want, either.

So I do think we need to figure out what's causing this atmosphere and figure out how to fix it. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Toulouse.

Commissioner Peralta?

if maybe, as Commissioner Toulouse is saying, her experience with getting some of these calls and concerns, and Ms. Callahan presenting it before us here, and whether this is the right setting or not, right timing or not, why these concerns couldn't be channeled through the EC first, the Executive Committee, and we ask, along with our counsel, whether or not we proceed, whether it becomes an item on the next meeting's agenda or not, or how we go forward.

But I would like to see that the Executive



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     Committee be included on the process when things
 2
     like these -- alerts come up, that that's probably
 3
     the best way to go. If they're not going directly
     to CSD with those concerns, then possibly the next
 5
     step would be to bring it to the Chair and then
     have --
 6
               THE CHAIR:
                           Let's see if this is
 8
     agreeable. Director?
 9
               MS. POULOS: I would also like to say for
10
     the public, if there is a concern about me,
11
     personally, or my staff, and you don't feel
12
     comfortable coming to me, certainly, you should
13
     address the Chief of Staff or the Deputy Secretary.
14
     The Deputy Secretary who directly supervises me
15
     has -- has departed; so it probably would go to the
16
     Chief of Staff.
17
               But certainly, I would put that out, that
     that is certainly something that they should do, and
18
19
     these concerns should be addressed with my employer.
20
               THE CHAIR: Did you say Deputy Secretary
21
     Lenti is gone? Did you say that?
22
               MS. POULOS:
                           She has departed.
23
                           That must be why she's not
               THE CHAIR:
24
     returning my calls.
25
               MS. POULOS: I think that would probably
```



be why.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: I've been trying to talk to her, also.

Commissioner Ambruster?

on the Commission, what I've noticed is the change in -- in holding charter schools to a higher standard. I think the investigations have been more thorough. I think that there's some issues with communication and how people communicate with other people that tend to, in my experience, be at issue.

So it's sometimes how you say something and not necessarily what you say. So when you send a letter to us -- and that's, of course, fine -- it's more helpful to not say, "Well, CSD said this," or, "They did this," or, you know, all of these things, which I tried to write down very quickly; but suggest a way to change that.

Because when you just tell someone, "Oh, I don't like you because you are unreasonable," or, "You have inaccurate information," or, "I fear retaliation," I do understand that. But when you write a letter -- and I've had to do this numerous times to directors of -- at the schools -- if you say, "Rather than have said this or this, if you had



checked with us about this, that might have made it feel more comfortable for us."

So it's, like, giving a solution, and not just a list of things that are wrong.

I'm not questioning whether they're right or they're wrong. I'm just saying if you just do that, you're not really helping someone improve.

And it's somewhat like an evaluation of -- of CSD's work. And I just think if you could possibly include that in some way, that it would be beneficial for everyone; because what you're seeking, and I think what CSD would probably be seeking, is some sort of solution to make things better, not just to have -- either way. Does that make sense?

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Well, let me say this: Based on what I've heard here from my fellow Commissioners, I'm not about to tell you what you're going to do, how you're going to do this. It sounds like it probably should come to me, as the Chair of the Commission, and should be copied to the Vice Chair and the Secretary, as the Executive Committee. It should be copied to Director Poulos and then whoever else.



But I'm going to say this: In my life, I have been in situations where people have come to me to complain about this or that or the other. And they wanted to remain anonymous. I can assure you I've never been comfortable with that kind of a process. Anonymous complaints worry me, what the motivation -- so you're going to have to put a lot of thought into how you're going to present that.

I'm not going to tell you how you're going to do it. I'm uncomfortable with a bunch of anonymous allegations. That does bother me a little

Is that the will of the Commission? Does that sound reasonable to the Commission?

bit. Keep that in mind, too.

Should it be copied to you, also? Or do you not want to get --

MR. LANGE: I'm not involved. The issue is I don't want there to be any sort of communication between the Commissioners about these particular complaints, not in an open meeting.

So that's just -- that's just the issue that I would have, that we can have them -- however the Coalition wants to send their letter, you know, they can. If it wants to just go through you or the Executive Committee, we can do that.





We can't really take action on any sort of policy right now, because it wasn't on -- on the list. But if you want to be in charge -- if you want to have the Executive Committee or an ad hoc committee be in charge of this grievance process so we can get something in place, I would suggest doing that.

And your suggestion is compliant with that. If you want to have it go through the Executive Office with cc'ing Katie or anyone else, you're more than free to do that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Let's keep it on that basis for now. You all understood what our counsel said. We are not to discuss these; because that's all they are. They're allegations. They may have merit; they may not.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I'm not comfortable with leaving the letter just to the Executive Committee, because most of the complaints involve schools that are already talking to me.

And I think all of us should see the letter. And certainly, I know we're not going to talk about it among ourselves. But I think anything that goes should go to all of us, because I don't want to be out of the loop on this, because I'm the



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one who's fielding the phone calls or getting the invitations to come to lunch or whatever.

And my people -- they're not exactly remaining anonymous, because they're telling me, and I know who it is. And they're -- to me, that's different than the people who write you an anonymous letter or do an anonymous phone call.

These are people who want me to know, who are scared to go it alone. And those are the ones we need to work at making sure people understand that somehow there's a whistle-blower deal in here, and they can't be retaliated against is all I want to know. But I don't want to be out of the loop. I'm sorry.

THE CHAIR: You're not going to be out of the loop. Maybe I didn't fully explain myself.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:

THE CHAIR: My legal counsel will tell me when I can release that letter to the rest of the Commission, which is the way we've always done things. Everyone will see it at the appropriate time, per our legal counsel.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I still question what that appropriate time is regarding the one who's fielding most of the phone calls.





MS. POULOS: Commissioner? 1 2 Director? THE CHAIR: 3 MS. POULOS: Commissioner Toulouse, I 4 would ask if you, again, are receiving those -- and, 5 again, the concern is they don't want to bring it to me -- certainly, that shouldn't -- I would hope that 6 7 you would not let that languish, and you would immediately take it to my boss. Again, that's Sam 8 9 Shumway, who is our Chief of Staff, and 10 Secretary Skandera, and, had Ms. Lenti been around, 11 Ms. Lenti. 12 And our new Secretary of Programs --13 Deputy Secretary of Programs and Policy will be 14 joining us next month. His name will be "Chris" --15 I don't know his last name. I would hope that you 16 would immediately bring those to them, so they could 17 address them. 18 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Director Poulos, I 19 don't mind going directly to someone with a 20 complaint until I know whether it's a legitimate 21 complaint or not. I would certainly rather go to 22 you than go to somebody above you. 23 Again, 30 years in management, I got very tired of hearing -- you know, when you have welfare 24



programs, somebody's going to call the Secretary or

the division director over and over, and I'm sitting 1 in a County office, and I'm getting phone calls 2 3 saying, "Huh," that's not fair to go over people. 4 So if I had enough substance, where I felt 5 comfortable talking to you -- but I feel like this is something that there's a bigger issue. 6 don't particularly like going above somebody until I 8 know they know I have an issue. 9 MS. POULOS: I'm happy for you to do it. 10 If the problem is bringing it to me, I would very 11 much appreciate that it be brought to them. 12 Anything else with this one? THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 13 I just -- one 14 I think it would be interesting to know question. 15 the letter grade or something of the schools that 16 are requesting. Are they the "A" schools that are 17 really upset with what's going on? Or is it the "D" 18 or "F" schools? Because I think there's a 19 difference. I would be much more concerned if it 20 was our "A" schools that were expressing --21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: A couple of them 22 are. 23 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and Commissioner 24 Ambruster, it does run the gamut. 25 THE CHAIR: I don't want a phone book.



don't want to receive the phone book in the mail. 1 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, 2 3 we will definitely keep it short and to the point. And, also, Mr. Chair -- and to Commissioner Ambruster's point -- we are looking for a solution 5 and for a fair and reasonable way for schools to exercise concerns. And I want to be as open and 8 transparent as we possibly can so that those schools feel that they're fairly represented. 9 10 And I think that by suggesting a process 11 of grievance, I think is -- and I think the 12 Executive Committee, we would be happy to work with 13 you in any shape or form. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you. You have planted 15 the seed, and we will now watch it grow, and we'll 16 work on it with our counsel and figure out a 17 different policy for how we're going to handle this 18 in the future. 19 We keep learning as we go. And that's --20 do you have anything further for us today? 21 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, 22 no, I do not. Thank you again for allowing us this 23 opportunity. I know this is a difficult 24 conversation; but as representative of the schools



it had to be said.

1	So thank you for that opportunity.
2	THE CHAIR: You're welcome. And that is
3	your role as the Coalition. Thank you for your
4	time. Thank you for being here.
5	We are now to Item 8 on the agenda, PEC
6	Comments. I'm starting to my right.
7	Commissioner Conyers?
8	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: No particular
9	comment. I have a question or a clarification on
10	the the hearing is March 22nd, 1:00 p.m. But I
11	didn't catch where.
12	THE CHAIR: Unless that's changed, it's
13	going to be right here, 1:00 p.m. I encourage you
14	all to be here. I believe we have to have a quorum.
15	We've got to have at least six people here. Plan on
16	coming.
17	You can't talk about it if you're not
18	there. So please plan on being here March 22nd,
19	1:00 p.m., right here in this room.
20	Anything else?
21	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: No, thank you.
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna?
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
24	Mine is not my comment is not about Commissioner
25	activity. It's more of a building maintenance



complaint. For 36 years that I've served on the State Board of Education and the Commission, I park on Don Gaspar, and I come in through the back door.

This morning, the door was locked. And I kept -- and I don't have the energy right now to walk around this building to find an unlocked door. But anyway, I kept banging on the door until an employee finally saw me and -- and unlocked the door. She told me that that door has been locked since January. I haven't been here; so I don't know.

So, actually, it's -- it's a complaint about building maintenance. And it's bad enough that I got a \$50 parking ticket two years ago; now I can't even get in the building. And I'm complaining. That's it.

THE CHAIR: Well, that's a change that I am sure PED made for security reasons. I just discovered it by accident. Beverly's office is right there. I've always gone in there so I can get to Beverly. Now, I have to walk all -- my suggestion is it's an employee entrance. They all have cards. When I've been parking, just sit there for a couple of minutes, and an employee will come along. Jump out and grab them and say, "I've got to



1	come in with you." That's the only solution I have,			
2	because they're not going to change that policy, I'm			
3	sure.			
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Possibly unlock the			
5	doors for our meetings?			
6	MS. POULOS: Commissioner Pogna, I am very			
7	sorry to hear about that. It is a pain to all of			
8	us. It was a result of an employee concern about			
9	safety. And I don't believe I hate to say that I			
10	don't believe they would be willing, even one day a			
11	month, to make that change; because it was a matter			
12	of safety.			
13	But I will see if there's some other			
14	avenue we can explore to help you out. And I am			
15	very sorry about that.			
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. And thank you.			
17	Commissioner Peralta?			
18	Were you done, Commissioner Pogna?			
19	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes. Yes, sir.			
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you.			
21	Commissioner Peralta?			
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Maybe a couple of			
23	questions you can help me out with. I know Beverly			
24	is not here. But in the orange folders here,			
25	anybody have a blank reimbursement form?			



1	THE CHAIR: I think Annjennette did that;			
2	but she's not used to the process.			
3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There was one way in			
4	the back.			
5	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have several.			
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: If I could steal			
7	one, that would be great.			
8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You need blank ones.			
9	Oh, no.			
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: There's this			
11	purchase order/change order I've never seen.			
12	THE CHAIR: That's not supposed to be in			
13	there.			
14	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: There's a blank			
15	one. You're just going to have to cross me out and			
16	put you in.			
17	THE CHAIR: Just leave it in the folder.			
18	She'll take it out, I'm sure.			
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you, Carmie.			
20	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Just make sure			
21	you're not getting me paid for yours.			
22	THE CHAIR: No. I'm jumping around.			
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I was wondering,			
24	for Commissioner Pogna, do you have a cell phone?			
25	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.			





1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So maybe we		
2	could arrange that you could call the person and		
3	would be assured that that door is open for you. I		
4	know it's a pain; because I used to walk through all		
5	the buildings, and now you can't do that. "What is		
6	this?"		
7	So I understand your pain.		
8	No, I'm fine.		
9	THE CHAIR: Is that all? I know that's a		
10	safety issue. My church locks their doors because		
11	our female staff was concerned about and when a		
12	church has to start locking their doors, yes, that		
13	says something about our society.		
14	Commissioner Toulouse, did you have		
15	anything?		
16	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No, sir. I think		
17	I've said plenty.		
18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman?		
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Not a thing.		
20	Thank you.		
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?		
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. I just want to		
23	say I hope we can get these issues settled. It is		
24	disheartening that the climate that has been		
25	created at this time. And I hope we can move		



1 forward successfully soon. I want to add one more thing. There is an 2 3 LESC meeting on April 15th, and charter school funding is on the agenda. So those on the LESC, if 5 you can make it, it'll be here in Santa Fe. THE CHAIR: Thank you for that reminder. 7 I did get that e-mail. I still haven't looked on the calendar to see if I'm available on that day. 8 If I can't go, I'll let some of the others go. 9 10 Oh, Commissioner Chavez, I'm sorry. 11 keep looking around, and I just see this device over 12 Are you still there? there. 13 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Tam. 14 THE CHAIR: Do you have any comments, 15 please? 16 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: What a trooper. 17 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, only to say --18 I appreciate being able to be on the phone. 19 not ideal. Being there in person is obviously 20 better. But I also agree that hopefully some of the issues that came up today can get resolved. 21 22 And thank you all for a good meeting. 23 Thank you for that, and thank THE CHAIR: 24 you for being a trooper and staying with us the 25 entire meeting. Appreciate it.



1 I guess I'm the last one. I've said 2 everything I need to say today, I believe. 3 So we are through with PEC comments. 4 Item 9 is Open Forum. Vice Chair Gipson 5 went out and looked at the list and said nobody signed up; so I believe we can dispense with Open 7 Forum. There was no one signed up. 8 Actually, is there anyone in the audience 9 that stayed through this that has something they wanted to add? 10 11 And I see no hands raised. 12 I thank you for reminding me. So I 13 believe we have taken care of that. I believe we 14 are to Item 10, unless there's additional business. 15 Item 10 is "Adjourn." Do I have a motion to 16 adjourn? 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. 18 we adjourn, do we have a work session in April? THE CHAIR: We had talked about one for 19 20 April the 7th. But you folks are going to have to 21 remind me. I quess I'm getting old. Katie, do you 22 remember what we --23 MS. POULOS: The definition of 24 "substantial progress." 25 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, that was the



"substantial progress." 1 2 MS. POULOS: I did have Ms. Stevens here, 3 who's in the audience today, address me about the 2000- -- I believe it would be '17 fiscal year 4 5 renewal application and whether the Commission was going to go through the process to review that and 6 7 determine if that needed to be changed. So it may be that we would want to take a look at the renewal 8 9 application at that time. 10 We do have NACSA coming on November 7th. 11 They will be here as part of the evaluation. So 12 there's quite a few things going on. 13 THE CHAIR: I thought we were doing that 14 this spring. 15 MS. POULOS: April. Apparently, I'm 16 wishing we were back in time or forward in time. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Did we not agree 18 that we would make ourselves available at some point 19 during April 7th to speak with? 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's what we 21 were going to do. 22 MS. POULOS: In the afternoon. 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So we were going to be here for a work session so we would be able to 24



float in and out and speak with people.

25

1 might be -- perhaps we could put on the agenda this grievance issue. By April 7th, we can maybe work 2 3 some time on it. 4 THE CHAIR: Let me think about this. not sure we can do it that fast. 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We're going to have 6 7 to act on that letter fairly soon. 8 THE CHAIR: Let's -- are we going to be 9 prepared to talk about "substantial progress," or 10 are we going to have to kick that down the road 11 again? 12 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I think we ought 13 to do that, and "high performing schools" on the 14 work session, if we're going to do definitions. 15 THE CHAIR: Would you write down this 16 list? I'll have to get it again, I suspect. 17 Vice Chair is making a list. I would suggest if you want to talk to NACSA, and you might find that 18 19 enlightening, plan on being there on April the 7th, 20 whether you want to participate in the actual work 21 session, whatever we're going to do, or not. 22 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I have a Capital 23 Outlay Council meeting that morning. 24 THE CHAIR: In the afternoon or something? 25 I've never -- how long do your meetings normally



```
last? I thought they were all day meetings.
 1
 2
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA:
                                      Three or four
 3
     hours.
 4
               THE CHAIR: Put it on your calendar.
                                                      Ιf
 5
     you can come, that's fine.
               I assume we're still working on an
 6
 7
     improvement plan. It's kind of in limbo right now.
 8
     As fast as these things come up, other things come
     up. We're getting mowed under.
 9
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And we're still
10
11
     working -- Patti -- we're still going to try to
12
     meet --
13
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Not right now.
                                                      I'11
14
     let people know.
15
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: -- on the
16
     grievance.
17
               THE CHAIR: We haven't forgotten it.
18
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I skipped it.
19
               THE CHAIR: Our plate is really full.
20
     When I think about what we face now with what we
21
     faced seven years ago, it's no comparison.
                                                  It is no
22
     comparison what our workload has become.
23
               Anything else? Can I now adjourn?
24
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I move for
25
     adjournment.
```





1	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
2	THE CHAIR: All in favor, "Aye"?
3	(Commissioners so indicate.)
4	THE CHAIR: Any opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	THE CHAIR: We are adjourned. Thank you
7	so much for your input today.
8	(Proceedings concluded at 12:41 p.m.)
9	
L 0	
L1	
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
7	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
9	transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW
10	MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State
11	of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe in the matter
12	therein stated.
13	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
14	hand on March 25, 2016.
15	
16	
17	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
18	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
19	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



Job No.: 5115L

25



STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800

www.state.nm.us

HANNA SKANDERA SECRETARY OF EDUCATION SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

VISITORS ATTENDING PUBLIC EDUCATION MEETING

PEC Meeting March 11, 2016

Please Sign-in				
Name (Print)	Representing			
JAMES CAMMON	Wellift Community School			
Sandy Dalis	Uniff			
Brennan Divet	Sandoval Academy of Bilizyus Educati			
Natasha Gerlain	DEAP (harter School)			
Alor Acouse	Deap Charter school			
JUSTEN NRACENT	1824 (GDMS1130 14-5			
Matt Welk	Deap Charter School			
Click Glkick	LFC			
man (600210) 11 10 mb	+1/6			
The Francisco	(, (,)			
Sur Fox	Matthew Fox PC			
Heid: Macdonald	PFC			
Pelen Vallini	SABE			
Chair Hatchey	CEP.			
Rankal Martin	ASL Acadina			
	, and the second			
	0			
	EXHIBIT			
_	1			
	EAN SSOCIATES.			
	SSOCIATES			