1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC HEARING
11	May 13, 2016 9:00 a.m.
12	Mabry Hall, Jerry Apodaca Education Building 300 Don Gaspar
13	Santa Fe, New Mexico
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
20	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23	
24	JOB NO.: 5583L (CC)
25	





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Chair MS. PATRICIA GIPSON, Vice Chair
4	MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Secretary MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER, Member
5	MR. JEFF CARR, Member MS. ELEANOR CHAVEZ, Member
6	MR. JAMES CONYERS, Member MS. MILLE POGNA, Member
7	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Member MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE, Member
8	STAFF:
9	
10	MS. KATIE POULOS, Director, Charter School Division
11	MR. DYLAN LANGE, Assistant Attorney General Counsel to the PEC
12	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PED Custodian of Record and Liaison to the PEC
13	ana Brarson eo ene reo
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
2.5	





1		INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	
2	1	Call to Order, Roll Call, Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the New Mexico Flag	5 1
3	2	Approval of Agenda	7
4	3	Approval of Minutes and Transcript	8
5	4		12
6	4	Recognition of the 2015 New Mexico Milken Educator of the Year	12
7	5	Discussion and Possible Action on Approval	21
8		of the SY2016-17 State Carl D. Perkins Plan Revision	
9	6	Discussion and Possible Action on Charter School Amendments	33
10			
11		A School of Dreams Academy B Walatowa Charter School	33 82
12		C Taos Integrated School for the Arts D North Valley Academy Charter School	114 123
13	7	Discussion and Possible Action on Newly	130
14	,	Negotiated Performance Contracts and Performance Frameworks	130
15	8	Discussion and Possible Action on Performance Contract Protocol	145
16	_		
17	9	Discussion and Possible Action on a Definition of High Performing Schools	147
18	10	Report from Options for Parents and	156
19		the Charter School Division - Discussion and Possible Actions	
20	11	Discussion and Possible Action on Renewal	165
21		Application Timeline for 2016	
22	12	Discussion and Possible Action on Charter School Improvement Plans and	169
23		Charter Contract Provisions Relating to Improvement Plans	
24	13	Discussion and Possible action on a	180
25		Position Paper Regarding Negotiations with Charter Schools	

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349







THE CHAIR: I'm going to call this regular meeting of the New Mexico Public Education

Commission into -- I don't think I need to use my microphone. Can you not hear me out there? Most people can hear me fine. Mark, can you hear me?

MR. TOLLEY: Got you.

THE CHAIR: I'm going to call this meeting into session. Today's date is May 13th, 2016.

Before I launch into the agenda, I would like to ask, one, that if -- please mute your cell phones or your devices, or turn them off, whatever is more convenient for you.

Two, I'm not -- I think you can hear me fine. But we have had a request -- some of my fellow Commissioners are soft-spoken. I ask them to use their microphones. And when you're up here at the table, please use the one at the table there.

And once again, I have sad news to start this meeting with. For those of you that are not aware, about the time of our last meeting

Commissioner Carr's mother passed away. For all of us who have lost our mothers, we all know how difficult and trying and sad that is.

Condolences to Commissioner Carr. Very difficult. We have the memories; but sometimes that





1	doesn't sustain us, totally.
2	All right. First order of business is
3	Call to Order. And I have done that.
4	Second order of business, Secretary
5	Peralta, can we have a roll call vote, please?
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
7	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Toulouse?
10	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Ambruster?
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Present.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Conyers?
16	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
18	Peralta is here.
19	Commissioner Shearman?
20	MS. SHEARMAN: Here.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	(No response.)
24	Commissioner Carr?
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.









1	for approval of the agenda, as presented.
2	THE CHAIR: We have a motion to approve
3	the agenda. Do I have a second?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna has a
6	second.
7	All in favor, say "Aye."
8	(Commissioners so indicate.)
9	THE CHAIR: Any opposition?
10	(No response.)
11	THE CHAIR: That is a unanimous vote in
12	favor of approving the agenda. Thank you.
13	Item 3, Approval of Minutes and
14	Transcript.
15	Item A under Item 3 is the approval of the
16	PEC Work Session minutes for April the 7th, 2016.
17	Let me get there, and then do we have any changes
18	to the minutes for that work session?
19	I'm looking around. I see no changes. I
20	would accept a motion to approve those minutes.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: So move.
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr has made a
23	motion to approve. Do I have a second?
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.
25	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?





1	All in favor, say "Aye."
2	(Commissioners so indicate.)
3	THE CHAIR: Any opposition?
4	(No response.)
5	THE CHAIR: None noted. That motion has
6	passed unanimously to accept the April 7th, 2016,
7	minutes.
8	Item B is the approval of the PEC Meeting
9	Minutes for April 8th, 2016.
10	Do we have any changes that need to be
11	made to those minutes?
12	I see none. Here again, I would welcome a
13	motion to approve those minutes.
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So moved.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second.
16	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, motion to
17	approve; Commissioner Shearman has seconded.
18	All in favor, say "Aye."
19	(Commissioners so indicate.)
20	THE CHAIR: Any opposition?
21	(No response.)
22	THE CHAIR: None noted. Those minutes
23	have been approved.
24	Item C, under that, is approval of the PEC
25	Meeting Summary minutes for April 8th, 2016.





1 Are there any changes to those minutes to 2 be noted? 3 Seeing none, I would --4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, move 5 approval. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse has 6 7 moved for approval. Do I have a second? 8 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Second. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster has 9 10 made a second. 11 All in favor, say "Aye." 12 (Commissioners so indicate.) 13 THE CHAIR: Any opposition? 14 (No response.) 15 THE CHAIR: None noted; so those minutes 16 are approved. 17 The next thing is there was a special 18 meeting for the McCurdy Charter School, and we need 19 to approve the minutes of that Special Meeting, 20 which was held on April 12th, 2016. And I would like to thank those, on short notice, who were able 21 22 to make that meeting and get that very necessary 23 work done. So I'm going to rely on those of you 24 that were there. 25 Are there any changes to those minutes?



1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just ask
2	Commissioner Peralta, have we do you know if
3	they've heard if they've received the funding?
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Not to my
5	knowledge. I haven't received anything,
6	Commissioner.
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It came to my mind
8	today. I haven't heard.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I can make a note.
10	I'll follow up on that.
11	THE CHAIR: Excellent. I entertain a
12	motion to approve those minutes.
13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So moved.
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?
15	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Second.
16	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers has a
17	second.
18	All in favor, say "Aye."
19	(Commissioners so indicate.)
20	THE CHAIR: Any opposition?
21	(No response.)
22	THE CHAIR: None noted. Those minutes are
23	approved.
24	And then the final item is the approval of
25	the Special Meeting Summary Minutes for the McCurdy



Charter School on April the 12th, 2016. 1 2 Are there any changes to those minutes? 3 Seeing none, I would accept a motion. 4 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: (Indicates.) 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Convers moves to Do I have a second? 6 approve. 7 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second. 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna? We have a motion and a second. 9 10 All in favor, say "Aye." 11 (Commissioners so indicate.) 12 THE CHAIR: Any opposition? 13 (No response.) 14 THE CHAIR: None noted. So those various 15 minutes have been approved officially. 16 Item No. 4. We occasionally have a 17 special occasion like this. And it's always fun 18 to -- it's not something we have the opportunity to 19 do very often. So I'm going to turn this over to 20 Ms. Beverly Friedman, and she's going to recognize the 2015 New Mexico Milken Educator of the Year. 21 22 Ms. Friedman, go ahead, please. 23 MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair and 24 Commissioners, it's my pleasure today to recognize 25 our 2015 Milken Educator of the Year, Mr. Colin



DeGroot. And if Mr. DeGroot would please come up to 1 2 the front and sit with me? 3 I would just note -- you may THE CHAIR: have noticed -- he's a teacher at one of our 4 authorized schools; so --5 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes. Colin DeGroot -- if 6 7 you want to pull up a chair. You're there, too? 8 Both of you --COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You're surrounded. 9 10 MS. FRIEDMAN: I worked with both of these 11 gentleman for the actual celebration of 12 Mr. DeGroot's announcement. 13 Would you like to pull up a chair? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, I would like to 15 stand. 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: Okay. Colin DeGroot is a 17 science and engineering teacher at a State-chartered charter school, the ASK Academy in Rio Rancho, 18 New Mexico. And he was selected as New Mexico's 19 20 2015 Milken Educator. 21 Mr. DeGroot was surprised on February 24th 22 with a school assembly in the newly constructed ASK 23 Academy. Governor Susana Martinez announced his 24 name, to the surprise and spontaneous applause of 25 everyone in attendance.



Secretary Hanna Skandera, the Mayor of Rio Rancho, founders of the ASK Academy, and other dignitaries and "scholars," as the students are called at the Academy, congratulated Mr. DeGroot on being selected to this elite group of educators in the state and the nation.

As part of his award, Mr. DeGroot received a check for \$25,000 that he could use for whatever he wished. He was also selected to attend a Milken Educators forum in conjunction with the 2016 national TAP conference in New Orleans in March and will represent Milken and New Mexico at the National Charter Schools conference in Nashville in June.

Attached to this particular document, you found a resume from Mr. DeGroot highlighting some of his many accomplishments.

At this time, I would like to ask Mr. Dan Busse, the general manager for the ASK Academy, to say a few words about and introduce Mr. DeGroot.

MR. BUSSE: Good morning,

Mr. Commissioner, members of the Commission. We would also -- we would also like to thank you all for your support in getting our beautiful facility funded and built and finally moved into in February, just prior to this great event.





We're so fortunate to have an educator
such as Mr. DeGroot. In the past three weeks, I've
been in his classroom a bunch of times for formal
observations, but also informal; because when you go
into his classroom, you enter another world. You
enter a workspace. You enter an engineering lab.
You have kids that are 14 and 15 years old talking
about trajectory of rockets and "What kind of engine
can we build?"

"Oh, and can we do a double-stage rocket?
If we do that, can we get -- if you're talking about
that, you're going to get 600 feet of vertical
lift."

These kids are thriving on it. They're

These kids are thriving on it. They're into it. And then just in the past week, the seniors, their final was to launch their rockets; and some, in fact, did link several engines together.

And there is Mr. DeGroot, the biggest kid in the bunch, watching them.

These kids, they're running around; they're having a great time. But they're applying what they learned in our STEM education program to what they're doing. Their final project is going up in smoke in a really good way.



So we consider ourselves very fortunate to have an educator that epitomizes work, school, work, ultimately career, and further, higher education.

So we consider ourselves fortunate.

And with that, I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Colin DeGroot.

MR. DeGROOT: I guess I'll take a minute to thank the Commission for having me today.

I'd like to thank the ASK Academy for providing me the opportunities and freedom to try to engage students in authentic learning experiences the best way I can. And then, obviously, I would like to thank the Milken Foundation for recognizing, I guess, the hard work that I've put into helping all my students learn, access curriculum, but also find ways to apply science and engineering to their lives.

I'm honored by what Mr. Busse said. I am the biggest kid in my classroom. I spend my days trying to find ways to get kids, students, scholars, young adults, really engaged in thinking about their future in terms of careers and science and engineering. And the charter schools in New Mexico have been really well [verbatim] to me to provide me with the freedom to experiment and find out what



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
works with students.
 1
               This award has been very humbling to me.
 2
 3
     I'm very honored by it. And so, once again, I would
 4
     just like to thank all of you for taking part in it
 5
     and allowing me to be here today.
 6
               So thank you.
 7
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Mr. DeGroot.
 8
               (Applause.)
 9
               (Commissioner Chavez enters the meeting.)
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Mr. DeGroot.
11
     the record show that Commissioner Chavez is here
12
     now; so we have all ten Commissioners present.
13
               Do any of my fellow Commissioners have
14
     comments or questions for Mr. DeGroot?
15
               MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Bergman, we have one
16
     presentation to make. And then can we have the
17
     comments?
               THE CHAIR: All right. Please don't allow
18
19
     me to get in the way.
20
               MS. FRIEDMAN: I'm sorry. I'm very sorry.
21
               THE CHAIR:
                          Don't be sorry.
22
               MS. FRIEDMAN:
                              We have a special
23
     presentation that will made by our Secretary,
24
     Ms. Hanna Skandera.
25
               SECRETARY SKANDERA: Good morning.
```



1 Commissioners. Good morning. So I have had the honor and privilege of 2 3 visiting Colin at the ASK Academy earlier this year 4 with the Governor and acknowledging his excellence 5 and just celebrating his great work, particularly in 6 the Senate bill. But this is the follow-up to a \$25,000 And just to -- we're grateful for your 8 9 service in our state, to your kids in your school, 10 and are excited to celebrate you. 11 So thank you for all you've done. 12 MR. DeGROOT: Thank you. 13 SECRETARY SKANDERA: There you are. 14 (Applause.) 15 (Photos taken.) 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: Have a seat. 17 Beverly, does that finish --THE CHAIR: MS. FRIEDMAN: 18 I -- yes. Thank you very 19 much. The Milken --20 THE CHAIR: Always know to ask the boss. The Milken Foundation 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: 22 always makes a presentation of the check and the 23 other celebrations of his announcement, at the time 24 of the announcement; but they also provide a very 25 nice obelisk as a remembrance to him.



And there are many, many good positive 1 2 experiences that all of our Milken Educators in the 3 State of New Mexico share with each other throughout 4 the school year and continually over the years. 5 Thank you very much. Thank you very much. 6 THE CHAIR: 7 suspect you'll have to keep an eye on your students 8 so they don't attach a motor to that and see if 9 things --10 MR. DeGROOT: That would be -- if they 11 could prove to it to me, I might let them get it up 12 into space. That would be pretty cool. 13 THE CHAIR: I will do it again. Are there 14 any other Commissioners that have comments or 15 questions for Mr. DeGroot? 16 I'm always curious about how do they 17 feel -- why are they succeeding when perhaps some 18 others don't. Anything? 19 Commissioner Toulouse? 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well, Mr. Chair, I just want to say, having a bunch of grandsons who 21 22 like to do projects and work on gimmicks and all, I 23 appreciate what you do to keep them involved and in being excited enough about your classes to fight to 24 25 get into them.



And it's okay for you to be a big kid, as 1 2 long as when you go home, you behave. 3 MR. DeGROOT: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But I also want to 5 say, for the record, I think it would have been nice if the authorizers would have been invited to the 6 7 presentation at the school. I know it's not in my 8 district; but I have been out to the school. 9 very supportive of the school. And I would like to 10 have -- and I didn't receive one. If we did get 11 them, I didn't get one. 12 And I would just like to say I'm sorry; 13 because I would have enjoyed it. We're proud of 14 Thank you. you. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner 16 Toulouse. 17 Anyone else? 18 I think it's an outstanding achievement. 19 It is something, really, I know you cherish it. 20 like I say, we are always excited to be part of 21 something like that. So congratulations, 22 Mr. DeGroot. Thank you for taking the time to be 23 here today. 24 MR. DeGROOT: Thanks for having me. 25 THE CHAIR: We are now down to Item 5,



which is Discussion and Possible Action on Approval of the School Year 2016-17 State Carl D. Perkins Plan Revision.

Mr. Spencer, I know I saw you out there. There you are. Come forward, please. As always, identify yourself, and then go ahead.

MR. SPENCER: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. I'm Eric Spencer the Director of the College and Career Readiness Bureau.

And on your agenda today is, as already discussed, the possible approval of the State Plan Revision for the Carl D. Perkins grant application for School Year 2016-2017. As you know, each year we bring forth a revision to the State Plan for the PEC approval.

You have in your -- in your board packet the letter that was sent and signed from me to Edward R. Smith, the Chief Program Administrator of the Division of Academic and Technical Education at the Office of Vocational Adult Education at the U.S. Department of Education, seeking an approval and extension of the State's application for Year 10 of the Carl D. Perkins Career Technical Education Act of 2006.

With that particular letter, we are



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

required to provide any revision to the narrative component of the State Plan. This year, New Mexico is not proposing and did not submit a revision to the narrative of the State Plan, as we did not feel that there was needed revision at this time.

In addition to the requested revision, if necessary, U.S. Department of Education requires that the State submit a proposed budget for the school year. The amount that is provided for this particular budget is provided by the U.S. Department of Education; and I included it within your board packet the letter from the U.S. Department of Education -- or memoranda, rather -- dated March 25th, 2016, that indicates that New Mexico's Planning Allocation will be \$8,017,422.

And in your summary, you will see that that is a reduction of \$81,200 from the current year allocation, or a 1 percent decrease.

The allocation is broken up into multiple parts. If you are looking at the budget allocation, we start with the allocation title of the \$8,017,422. Pursuant to the requirements of the Perkins Act, 85 percent of those dollars must be disseminated to the local institutions through what we call a "local formula distribution." And within



that formula distribution, we provide what we call the "basic grant" to schools at the secondary and post-secondary level; and we also reserve the 10 percent set-aside for special projects pursuant to the approved State Plan.

So you can see further, in line No. 12, the funding for secondary programs is \$3,066,644.50, and an equal amount allocated to post-secondary institutions, also in accordance with the approved State Plan.

10 percent of that allocation goes to our reserve set-aside which is \$681,480; and the reserve set-aside supports the High Schools That Work initiative and the Jobs for America's Graduates dropout prevention program that we report about in the Consolidated Annual Report.

And line 15 of the budget provides for the state leadership, their required expenditures pursuant to the Carl D. Perkins Act. And that is that the State is making investments in what is called non-traditional training and employment. And just as a reminder, that's the investment in accelerating, making available programs to one particular gender, whereby the incumbent workforce in that occupational area would be dominated by the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

opposite gender.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the State makes an investment of \$70,000. The minimum threshold pursuant to the Act is \$60,000.

We provide an allocation to the Correctional Institutions Adequate Leadership set aside for an amount of \$10,000. The guidance from U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Act is that at least a \$1.00 investment is made.

Other State leadership activities are proposed to be at \$721,742. And those particular activities are supporting some of the work around the Jobs for America's Graduates program, the Career-technical student organizations, such as Business Professionals of America, DECA, Skills USA, et cetera. It does support the technical assistance component of salaries of the Public Education Department staff in the College and Career Readiness Bureau assigned to the Carl D. Perkins grant.

And we have the State Administration on line 20. State Administration cannot be more than 5 percent of the grant. The State exercises the 5 percent and has budgeted \$400,871 for that line. And that amount goes to support the general operating cost of the Perkins component of the



College and Career Readiness Bureau, plus paying the salaries for the staff to administer the grants.

Federal law requires a State match for every dollar expended on the federal administration. The State must spend a non-federal dollar to match that expenditure. And you can see that we have budgeted more than the State match to match administration. We have budgeted \$404,633.

The reason that you see an offset amount is that we also have a requirement of maintenance of effort. And in the State Plan approved by the U.S. Department of Education, the State of New Mexico is able to use the State Administration match as the maintenance of effort.

So in the current year, we anticipate expending \$404,633 in non-federal funds as a component of this particular grant; and, therefore, next year, we could not spend less in that line item.

The other component of the State Plan
Revision is about the Perkins performance targets
for secondary and post-secondary institutions. And
you should have, in your binder, a color copy that
should have made its way into your binder. And it
would be a lot easier to look at that color copy



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for -- as we're discussing.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just as a note, notice that we have indicators that start, for example, with 1S1, 1S2, on the far left column. Those are secondary indicators. If you see a numeric value with an alphanumeric "P," such as 1P1, 1P2, those would be post-secondary performance targets.

So looking first at the secondary performance targets, if you look at the last column of the spreadsheet, it's identified by the date of 2016-2017. For example, in indicator 1S1, which is academic attainment, reading, and language arts, the State proposed a performance target of 37.93 percent. In accordance with Section 113 of the Act, there is a "safe harbor" provision that can be applied, and is applied to these particular performance targets. So the State would need to meet the performance target within 90 percent of that value of 37.93.

The subsequent number listed as 34.14 is that 90 percent threshold. So to exceed the target, we would have to exceed performance of 37.93. To be within safe harbor, we would be between the 79.93 [verbatim] and 34.14 percent.

So you can see, at the performance

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



targets, for example, academic attainment in mathematics is at 22.56 percent. And I think some of you are all looking at the column before that which is for year 2015-16, and seeing a drastic reduction in the proposed target for both reading, language arts, and mathematics.

And the reason for that drastic reduction in the proposed target is because of the shift to the PARCC examination and the setting of those scores.

So the State of New Mexico, for the purposes of Perkins, reports its data on a one-year lag. And what that allows us to do is to be able to have some foresight in proposing these particular performance targets and really understanding what kind of performance is going to be reported in the Consolidated Annual Report as to whether we're going to meet that target or not.

So in the Bureau, we have done the calculations of the performance targets and developed those estimations.

The U.S. Department of Education has accepted all performance targets as we submitted for secondary and post-secondary, with the exception of indicators 1S1 and 1S2. The Feds took an approach



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of taking the three-year average of the last three performance -- actual levels of performance reported and asked the State to align to that.

But what we know is with the reset from Standards-Based Assessment to PARCC assessment, you're not really comparing the apple to the apple, and we're going to have a dip in the test score; we know that. And so we had prepared the documentation and shared this information with our regional accountability specialist at the U.S. Department of Education, who originally proposed a 52 percent and a 46 percent respectively, and has now come back, realized that we do have that shift, and is asking the State to reassess the data and make a reasonable request in the performance target.

We do believe that we might be able to hit that 52 percent that he provided in the first year, for the reason that you have to think about these indicators are measuring concentrators, students that took three or more courses in the CTE area, and they exited; they graduated. And at some point in time during the high school experience, those students would have tested proficient on either the Standards-Based Assessment or the PARCC assessment.



And because the seniors are the exiting

students, you might have a few students that drop out in eleventh grade, and they would be included in that computation, as well; but they didn't graduate. So the likelihood is that they're still in school. So we feel that they're still a year behind the ball before we're going to have to align to this lower performance target.

So that may have been confusing. I hope it wasn't. But if we trust in the process, I'm sure that we can negotiate the appropriate performance target with the Fed that can be mutually beneficial to showing that CTE students do advance and accelerate in their academic achievements; but we also keep the performance targets accessible, real, and close enough to what schools are able to actually achieve.

So those are the revisions to the Consolidated Annual Report.

The last item that you have on your agenda for the Carl Perkins topic is that we had a supplement to the federal award for the current school year of 2015-2016. And this was somewhat confusing to me.

So in the spring of 2015 in the month of March, the State received an allocation letter



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

indicating that the State would be receiving the award that's actually provided to you in the program memorandum of March 29th, 2016. That particular amount that was released in 2015 was \$8,098,622.

In October, we had a reduced amount, and we had to readjust the awards to accommodate the reduction in the federal award.

Then in March 29th of 2016, the Feds reinstated the allocation back to the original planned award of 2015.

So it's been a little bit challenging to get the award letters out to schools and re-establish budget authority so late in the school year. But those award letters have been prepared, and, you know, schools that are able to exercise that budget authority are doing so.

But just for your information they did shore that award back up. I don't know what that means for the school year 2016-2017. You saw there's an \$80,000, approximately, reduction. Will that get reinstated either in October or subsequent spring, we don't know.

But, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, that concludes my report, and I stand for questions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Spencer. Are





1 there any questions for Mr. Spencer today? 2 I see none. 3 Do you need us to have a vote on this to 4 accept this -- to approve the revisions? 5 MR. SPENCER: Mr. Chair, yes, I would ask for a vote in the affirmative to approve the 6 2016-2017 Year 10 Revision to the State Plan for the 7 Carl D. Perkins Career-Technical Education Act of 8 2006. 9 10 THE CHAIR: I think you used the term -there is a short proposed motion on your Executive 11 12 Summary. You said Year 10? I don't see those words 13 in the motion. 14 MR. SPENCER: Mr. Chair, I suppose that 15 doesn't have to be in the motion. But it is 16 clarified in the letter to the U.S. Department of 17 Education requesting the extension of the grant. 18 THE CHAIR: However you'd like to make 19 that motion, however you'd like to word it. 20 someone like to make the motion to approve these revisions? 21 22 Commissioner Shearman? 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman, I 24 will move the approval of the 2016-2017 State Plan



Revision.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
2	THE CHAIR: We have a motion by
3	Commissioner Shearman; we have a second by
4	Commissioner Carr.
5	Further discussion?
6	Mr. Secretary, can we have a roll-call
7	vote, please?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Conyers?
10	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Shearman?
17	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Chavez?
20	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Toulouse?
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Armbruster?





1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Peralta votes "Yes."
4	Commissioner Gipson?
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
7	Bergman?
8	THE CHAIR: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
LO	a 10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
L 2	That motion has been approved by a 10-to-0 vote.
L 3	Once again, thank you for being here today.
L 4	Mr. Spencer, appreciate it.
L 5	MR. SPENCER: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
L 6	members of the Commission.
L 7	THE CHAIR: We are now to Item 6 on our
L 8	agenda, which is Discussion and Possible Action on
L 9	Charter School Amendments.
2 0	We'll start with Item A under 6, which
21	would be the School of Dreams Academy. Director
22	Poulos well, let me School of Dreams, are you
23	here?
2 4	FROM THE FLOOR: We are.
25	THE CHAIR: Please come down front. I'm





1 getting ahead of myself again. Some of you will perhaps 2 Thank you. 3 remember some of this is a carryover from our previous meeting. So please identify yourselves for 5 the record. Good morning, Commissioners. MR. OGAS: 7 My name is Michael Ogas. I'm the principal of 8 School of Dreams Academy. I have with me Ms. Tomasita Oshiro and Mr. Kenneth Griego. 9 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 11 Director Poulos, would you like to get us 12 started on this, then, please? 13 MS. POULOS: Commissioner -- Chairman 14 Bergman and Commissioners, the School of Dreams 15 Academy is bringing forth a revised enrollment cap 16 increase request, as well as a grade level increase 17 request. 18 The third item, which is handled 19 separately in CSD's reports is the night school 20 amendment, the request to amend their program to 21 include the night school program. 22 Your report is the report you had last 23 So all of the numbers on here are not aligned 24 to the revised requests. But, again, CSD feels that



it cannot recommend the approval of this request

because of the school's academic performance at this 1 2 time. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. I guess we should 4 hear from the school, then. 5 Mr. Ogas, why don't you go ahead? Do you 6 have any comments? MR. OGAS: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Before we begin, 9 do you want to bring a chair up so he can sit at the 10 table, also, since there were three of them? 11 MR. GRIEGO: It's okay. 12 Well, I don't COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 13 know if you wanted to sit up at the table if you had 14 something to say. 15 MR. GRIEGO: It's fine. That's okay. 16 Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. 18 THE CHAIR: Go ahead. 19 MR. OGAS: Mr. Chair, members of the 20 Commission, at our last meeting, we had a lengthy 21 discussion with regard to our request to increase 22 grade levels, as well as our enrollment cap. 23 that time, you gave us the option of maybe



withdrawing that current request and coming back

with a modified request of enrollment and grades.

24

And we've done so. We came back with a request to begin with pre-K through second grade and an enrollment cap increase from 525 to 625.

So those two kind of go together; although they are separate amendments. We went back to our governing council a couple of weeks ago, had that approved. We had discussion on it, and are, you know, comfortable that we can move forward in that area.

As a side note, by increasing -- I believe the Commission was correct in thinking that our enrollment cap increase may have been a bit aggressive. So in terms of cutting back, we ran some numbers. And we can -- if we're allowed to begin with pre-K through second grade and keep moving, growing grade level up, year to year, we can almost meet the entire pre-K through 12th grade under the cap of 625. It may have to go to a little bit higher, maybe about 670, at the most. But, anyway, we would study that year -- every year that we come back to the Commission requesting an additional grade. So I feel comfortable that we can do it.

We have been working to -- to implement a curriculum that is aligned with our STEAM model at



1 the high school. And the community is excited about 2 it. 3 So I'm not sure -- I could stand for 4 questions for whatever the Commissioners would like to ask and do our best to answer. 5 We've also, since the last meeting, 6 7 conducted a survey, a community survey. And that's not included in your packet, I don't believe, 8 9 because the results just came out. And the survey 10 not only is entirely in support of our school and 11 what we're doing; it also is in support of the grade 12 level increase, as well. 13 So at this point, that's -- we'll stand 14 for questions or --15 THE CHAIR: Commissioners, do you have any 16 questions? I have only found, so far, one copy of 17 our usual amendment request form. I'm looking to 18 see. 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: There's two. 20 finally found it. 21 So if you have questions, THE CHAIR: 22 please proceed while I'm looking. 23 Any questions? 24 Commissioner Conyers? 25 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Thank you. Just



curious, and it might be in the information here.

But do you have a waiting list? And if so, how many people are you -- do you feel comfortable you can fill these extra spots?

MR. OGAS: We have been receiving -- I mean, since we have not been fully approved, we didn't want to go public and say, you know, we were open to enrollment. But it has come out as part of a story, because we had to get it approved. And word of mouth, we do have a number of inquiries; we have had for a number of years.

I feel comfortable that we could -- we could fill out the enrollment, as requested. But even at that, I also feel comfortable that the way we've built on our budget would scale it depending on what enrollment actually came in, sir. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIR: Yes, Commissioner Shearman?

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'm looking at the

22 | first amendment request -- maybe it's the second

23 one. Is the first one for grade level increases or

number increases? I've gotten mine mixed up,

25 Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21



1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think the
2	amendment request is for both, isn't it?
3	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But which one came
4	first?
5	Mr. Chairman, which amendment request are
6	we discussing at this time?
7	THE CHAIR: Well, I have just now found
8	the third one; so
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I thought they were
10	together.
11	MR. OGAS: They're two separate.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: The enrollment is
13	the first piece we're talking about; is that
14	correct?
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The enrollment
16	increase? The enrollment request, in the beginning
17	of the narrative and maybe, as Katie said, this
18	is from an older document. But on the very first
19	page of the Executive Summary, it says, "Is
20	requesting to amend its enrollment cap by 295
21	students from the current enrollment cap of 525 to a
22	cap of 625." Okay.
23	Then your form itself says 525 to 625.
24	So regardless, it's a 100-student increase
25	is what you're asking for?



MR. OGAS: Yes, Commissioner. 1 That was an error on our part. We got a call from the CSD and 2 3 clarified that via an e-mail. They had seen that note and asked us. We missed that part. But it is 5 a 100 total student request to increase our cap. 6 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. 7 my second request is -- it's also identified in the 8 narrative. But it's clear on the amendment request form itself that you're proposing to include, now, 9 10 pre-K through 6, I believe it says in the narrative? 11 MR. OGAS: Once again, that was an error. 12 It should be pre-K through second grade on this --13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You're asking for 14 a 100-student increase for pre-K through 2nd. 15 MR. OGAS: So as we work under our cap, we'll be able to do the increase of the enrollment 16 17 for 17 pre-K students, 40 kindergarten, 40 first, 18 and 40 second, to begin building capacity from the 19 elementary to feed into the high school. That would 20 all be under the 625 cap, well under that. 21 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So you still will 22 not be serving Grades 3 through 6?



23

24

25



the Commission was to consider adding those after we

started; and we'll come back a year from now to

MR. OGAS: No, ma'am. The request from

1 either add a grade per year, however you would like 2 us to do it. 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. I just 4 wanted to be sure of that. 5 Let me be sure of one other thing. 6 don't think pre-K counts; is that not correct, Katie? Commissioners? 7 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: No. 9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We don't do pre-K. 10 MS. POULOS: So last -- at the last 11 meeting, it was discussed that the school should 12 include pre-K in its contract if it planned to serve 13 pre-K students as part of its charter school, 14 because the PED does, in fact, fund pre-K programs; 15 so they are primary education programs. 16 This school is using the Public Education 17 Department funding for that, and that was why it was 18 discussed that they should be included in that. 19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I just have a 20 question, then, Katie. For site visits and things like that, 21 22 curriculum and things like that would not -- the 23 pre-K is not included in any monitoring that we're 24 involved with; correct?



MS. POULOS: I think if it's included in

1 the contract, we would look to see that they were doing whatever they said they would do; because the 2 3 performance framework specifically asks that we monitor the implementation of all terms of the 5 contract. If the Commission does not feel 7 comfortable with that, again, I think the reason that it was indicated that it should be included is 8 9 because it is a public education program; and so it 10 would -- you wouldn't want to have that in their 11 contract. 12 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Mr. Ogas? 13 MR. OGAS: Yes, if I could respond to 14 The projected pre-K program is the 3Y4Y that? 15 program under Child Find. We also are aware that there are other pre-K applications that could go in 16 17 after that, and we do understand that those would be 18 separate programs. But this is a 3Y4Y program 19 that's been in existence under IDEA-B for years. So 20 that's what we're requesting. 21 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I'm still 22 confused; but --23 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 24 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, in the 25 three-and-a-half years I've sat here now, we've been



told over and over, "You may have a pre-K program, 1 but it doesn't come under charters, because that's 2 3 not a part of our chartering authority; and the numbers and all need to be kept separate, and the 5 funding needs to be kept separate." And I don't remember, last meeting, being 6 7 told it needed to be included in this, because it 8 isn't anything we would do. We would still expect your facility to 9 10 handle that many; but the cap should be for your 11 number of charter school students. 12

So I don't understand why we're looking at the pre-K, because we have no control or authority over PED's pre-K programs.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I have no knowledge. I'm going to have defer to those who are actually in teaching and education.

Commissioner Carr?

Well, I don't know if COMMISSIONER CARR: I have any more knowledge than you do; but I do have an opinion.

I -- seeing as we -- it is not under our authority, you know, it would be -- if you had a -to me, it would be similar to you having an after-school program that's -- that's done with a



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349



private entity, and -- but we would like to know about it.

You know, if there were issues, we'd like to know that it's there. If there were problems with that program, we would like to know about it as a peripheral, you know. If one of your staff was found to be an ex-felon, we'd want to know about that. But I guess that falls under our auspices.

But the -- I -- I think it's a kind of a shady gray area there. And I would like to see it taken out of the contract, because that's -- to me, that's a separate issue with the PED and you, on that.

At some point in time, if we change the laws -- and I hope at some point in time, I would like to see universal pre-K as part of our regular -- you know, education program, period, at which point, that -- and I think we will see that someday. And at some point, I would like to see the Commission be part of that.

But as of now, I -- I'm not comfortable with it being part of the contract. But, again, I do want to be made aware if there's any issues with it.

THE CHAIR: I -- yeah, I -- we can't





1 change the contract today, though. 2 COMMISSIONER CARR: 3 THE CHAIR: So we have to go forward 4 today. So if we can't change the contract today, 5 and it is in the contract now, if you're going to do something in the future, that would have to be 6 7 addressed. And then you would address the 8 amendment, or you would at least -- if you take it 9 out of the contract, then you remove just the pre-K. 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's not in the 11 contract. 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It's not in the 13 contract. 14 THE CHAIR: It's not in the contract? 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: No, they're asking for it. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm going to be the 18 glass-half-empty person and do a doom-and-gloom. 19 What happens if something happens with that pre-K 20 program, and we're now facing a potential closure 21 because of difficulties? Where do we fall with 22 that, with the pre-K program? Because I don't think 23 it falls under us. So I'm -- you know, I'm not 24 wishing you ill will; but my concern is where do we 25 end up?



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Right.
2	THE CHAIR: So you're advocating we keep
3	pre-K.
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, I'm advocating
5	that it stay out, because I don't think we've got
6	the authority with it. And if we put it in, and we
7	don't have the authority, and we run into a
8	difficulty with that pre-K program, where are we
9	legally? We're I think we're just and maybe
10	Mr. Lange can offer
11	THE CHAIR: What I'm saying is, for the
12	purpose of this amendment today, we should remove
13	the word "pre-K" and make it K-through-2?
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
15	THE CHAIR: And they have to deal with PED
16	to get the pre-K part? Is that what everyone is
17	saying?
18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I would be more
19	comfortable with that.
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman, let
21	me just add, too, we have had several schools come
22	before us in my time on this Commission that had
23	pre-K programs, and we were told it's not part of
24	it's not part of our authority, and it's not for
25	those schools, it's not in their contract; it's not



1 part of what we deal with.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349

If we begin at this point to start dealing with pre-K, then we've got schools that we're dealing with differently. And I don't think that's to anyone's advantage.

THE CHAIR: I agree with you on being consistent. So is that what the suggestion is? Just remove the word "pre-K" and make it K-through-2; because K does fall under our supervision.

Commissioner Peralta?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Just a question for Ms. Katie there. And this may be a question that's a far stretch. But to your knowledge, do we have any charter schools that have pre-Ks in any contract whatsoever? Are there any out there with pre-K embedded in their contract?

MS. POULOS: I don't believe there are.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I just wanted to make sure.

MS. POULOS: And I think it's -- I think there are some cases where it's an issue that the Commission needs to be aware of, that there are things -- I know some of the Commissioners -- and I have spoken about at least one pre-K program that





they've received several complaints about that's based in one of our charter schools.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: And my concern is that sometimes it slips through the cracks and comes by us without any -- you know, any knowledge, or we miss a few here and there. I just want to make sure that we're --

THE CHAIR: Okay. Maybe to save us some time here, our legal counsel tells us that since their governing council, in their minutes, approved this amendment, we cannot change this language at this time.

13 COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh.

14 THE CHAIR: So we may have to, once

15 | again --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh, Lord.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Yeah, thank you.

18 It's -- it's sad to me that we have to do this

19 again. I think -- yeah, I know. I'm shaking my

20 head, too.

21 | MS. MATTHEWS: May I make a suggestion?

22 Mr. Lange, may I make a suggestion?

MR. LANGE: Yeah, sure, you can.

MS. MATTHEWS: Mr. Bergman?

THE CHAIR: Come forward.





```
MS. MATTHEWS: Couldn't you make a motion
 1
 2
     that is --
 3
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Ms. Matthews,
 4
     could you talk into the --
               MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Mrs. Armbruster.
 5
     I would propose perhaps that the Commission vote to
 6
 7
     approve the amendment on the condition that they
 8
     come back with the governing council minutes that
     demonstrate that they've amended that amendment to
 9
10
     be "K through 2."
11
               THE CHAIR:
                           To read "K through 2"?
12
                              And it would --
               MS. MATTHEWS:
13
               THE CHAIR: Is that agreeable to you guys?
14
     Yeah, we have -- we're all shaking our heads,
15
     because we want to get this right. We believe in
16
     getting things right the first time.
17
               And your counsel, I guess, is amenable to
18
     that.
19
               Are you amenable to that?
20
               MR. OGAS: Yes, we are, Commissioner.
21
               THE CHAIR: Commissioners, are you
22
     amenable to making that change? And they would
23
     provide approved, signed minutes to CSD in the
     future?
24
25
               Commissioner Carr?
```



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Our lawyer says
2	that do you think that's okay?
3	MR. LANGE: Mr. Chair, members of the
4	Commission, we can do the whatever the will of
5	the Commission. Our rules say that amendments have
6	to be approved by the PEC and the governing body.
7	Normally, the governing body brings us approved
8	minutes as a normative procedure, and then we
9	approve them or not approve them.
10	If you want to make the proposed motion,
11	we may. And so the onus is on us, and then them, to
12	deliver signed, approved meeting minutes. That's
13	different than we've normally done; but if it's the
14	will of the Commission
15	THE CHAIR: I believe we have done that a
16	time or two.
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Have we? I'm not
18	comfortable with it; but
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I know we've done it
20	with negotiations.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: All right.
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That it's pending
23	the signed because they've offered us usually
24	drafts. And they haven't been signed, because they
25	haven't been approved. But it's been discussed at



the meeting. And it's just a matter of formality that we don't have -- they haven't had the second meeting to approve those minutes.

I hesitate, I think, as Mr. Carr is.

THE CHAIR: Is that the will? Because I want to -- as Mr. Lange has noted, it should be the will of the Commission. I -- we're asking them to continually come back and visit us again. Was there a hand up?

Commissioner Peralta?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes. I could ask
Mr. Ogas. Can you give me the justification or
reason why you put the pre-K into this amendment?
Were you given information or led by the Division or
somebody to do that? I'm just kind of wondering.

MR. OGAS: Mr. Commissioner Peralta,

Commissioners, I am going off of memory of our last

meeting, where it was discussed whether or not the

pre-K could be in or could be out.

My recollection was we said -- it was said that it was -- pre-K could be in, because there was differences between the three types of pre-K programs in the State: The one by the Department of Health, the one under PED, and then the one under 3Y4Y, which is out of IDEA.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that is what we did going on good faith. I'm -- I understand the quandary; but I also understand that we are running against time to be able to fill the programs by August, as we need to. We have Child Find screenings that are ready to go.

And I'm more than happy to go -- I don't see any problem going back to our counsel and getting a revised amendment, if it would be -- if you wanted just to go kindergarten through second grade. Not to give us approval to proceed is going to -- it's going to hinder our ability to fill the programs in August; because now is when parents are making decisions. There's parents back in our community awaiting this decision to see what they want to do.

So that's just my comment, sir.

THE CHAIR: And I would note our next meeting is not until the middle of June; so -- so keep that in mind, also. There's a number of factors at play here.

Commissioner Armbruster?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I may have missed something here. However, I'm not sure why we want to increase the grade levels of the school that has D's and F's for their students that they



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

currently have. I'm much more comfortable allowing the school to expand if they have at least a C, in terms of -- I'm not even talking about this final grade. I'm talking about the student growth of the highest performing students, which is a D, and the lowest performing students, which is an F.

And I feel like I'm in the weeds here -I'm not in the weeds -- we're in the weeds talking
about whether we should continue with a preschool or
it should just be K. I just don't -- I like to see
a school who's doing really well with the kids whom
they have before I add more students.

And one other thing, which is not to that. But I will address the K-3, with the special ed. So generally, those classes are maybe eight kids with an IA, and it's an inclusion class. So you have your special needs kids of whatever need they have, and you have just a few regular ed students in there who make that -- as role models. And that's how that program works, for those who asked that question.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair,

Mr. Ogas, I think we discussed this last time. Your



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reduction in your grade had a lot to do with the night school. But I also understand, because you're not the first school that's come to us who wants to start with kindergarten up, because by the time you get students, they're in such poor shape that it's very hard to bring them up to where you want them.

I remember this is why you wanted that -correct? -- to grow your own students to feed
through a program. Is that correct?

MR. OGAS: Yes, Commissioner, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: So I think we're talking apples and oranges here about taking kids and training them to begin with, and taking kids out of the public school systems. And I think you probably take from at least two, maybe three school -- okay -- five school districts, where your kids are coming in at all different positions.

And I've heard this before from other schools. And personally, I'm not at all against them being able to start with kindergarten up. And I'm sorry if we came up with -- I don't remember any discussion about ever including pre-K. And I've been trying to go back through our transcript. But it's hard to listen and read when you get to be my



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

age. I used to multi-task better.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I just think, in a lot of ways, this was our mistake, not your mistake. And I would hate to see us making you pay for our mistake again.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?

appreciate you having the patience and going back and working on what the Commission had last requested at the last meeting, when you first came in with your amendments to bring before the Commission. And so, you know, I think it's important to see that a school such as School of Dreams are willing to take the wishes of the Commission, go back, work things out, work out the kinks, and come back with a working solution so that

I am amenable to the idea that

Ms. Matthews has, and so I think I would vote in

favor of that proposal, due to the fact that we

are -- we are time constrained, and we are looking

at our next meeting being in June. And we

definitely want to make sure we serve the wishes and

we have a positive working relationship with the



charter schools.

needs of the parents in your community. And this is a critical time for them making those decisions.

So I just kind of wanted to make that comment, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

Peralta. Anyone else want to say anything on that?

We're going in circles. We need to get in a

straight line there.

Okay. Commissioner Shearman?

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I -- Mr. Chair, I agree with you. I agree with Commissioner Peralta. I am fully prepared to vote to approve these amendments.

But I just want to touch on the report card that Commissioner Ambruster brought up. Their 2014 report card was final grade of a C, with a three-year average of a B. Then their 2015 report card did go down. But I am reminded of what the Secretary has told us, what everybody has told us, that the PARCC came in, and to expect all scores to go down.

So the decrease in scores from '14 to '15, I think, is -- was expected, was predictable. And I don't think necessarily it was anything the school did to go down. I think it was the test.





1	So with that having been said,
2	Mr. Chairman, I am prepared to make the motion that
3	this Commission approve the amendment request put
4	forward by School of Dreams to include in their
5	grade levels kindergarten through second grade, and
6	that is contingent upon receiving official minutes
7	from their governing council that approves
8	kindergarten through second grade.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Shearman.
11	We do have a motion now from Commissioner
12	Shearman. Is there a second?
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta has
15	seconded.
16	Further discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to
18	add, I think when I was sitting through all of this,
19	your Short Cycle test scores for this year were
20	MR. OGAS: They've gone up considerably.
21	Thank you, Commissioner.
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I was pretty sure I
23	saw that, when I was reading through this material,
24	so that I felt a little more comfortable in
2.5	supporting that when I saw the test scores that you



1 had provided for us. MR. OGAS: 2 Thank you very much. 3 was -- could I make a comment or no? 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure. Sure. 5 MR. OGAS: I just wanted to make one more 6 comment. 7 MR. LANGE: We have a second. He called 8 for more discussion. 9 THE CHAIR: All right. There's our legal Go ahead. 10 opinion. Thank you. 11 Thank you. The only other MR. OGAS: 12 comment I wanted to make was we knew coming into 13 PARCC there was going to be a reduction of scores. 14 We were already -- had just become an Early College 15 High School. We were already working on our math 16 and other situations from the college level all the 17 way down to our seventh-grade level. We have those, and I think we've provided 18 19 you information on that. 20 And I think you can't negate the fact that 21 in the last two-and-a-half years, our kids, our 22 students from ninth grade up, have earned over 23 2,400 credit hours of college. The grades were 24 given by the college professors. And we have about



an 85 percent pass rate of C or better.

1	So I'm not negating the necessity to have
2	a standardized test; but there is a bit of a you
3	know, of a difference there. And we're kind of
4	caught in the middle. But we've been very upfront
5	with that. We don't negate the D; we've been
6	working towards fixing that.
7	But we also had an A, and we weren't sure
8	how that came about either. We need some help
9	trying to figure that out, you know; but we're more
10	than happy to do that.
11	And thank you. That's all I have to say.
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you for your comments.
13	Thank you, Commissioners.
14	We do have a motion; we have a second.
15	Mr. Secretary, can we have a roll-call
16	vote, please?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
20	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Toulouse?



PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Ambruster?
4	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Shearman?
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Conyers?
10	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Peralta votes "Yes."
13	Commissioner Gipson?
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Bergman?
17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
19	7 to 3 in favor of the motion.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. We
21	do have that motion has been approved by a 7-to-3
22	vote.
23	We are now ready to move on to the second
24	amendment request, which I believe should
25	appropriately be the enrollment cap increase. And



1	we'll then take up the night school amendment
2	request.
3	Any thoughts were your initial
4	comments, Director, were they addressed to all these
5	requests?
6	MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
7	Commissioners, yes, they were.
8	THE CHAIR: All right. We're now talking
9	about the enrollment cap increase. Do you guys have
10	anything further to add in that area?
11	MR. OGAS: No, Commissioner.
12	THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion
13	from Commissioners or questions of the school about
14	the enrollment cap increase?
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair, I would
16	make the motion, if there are no questions.
17	THE CHAIR: Did you have a question?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I was just going
19	to ask how their plans for their new facility are
20	coming, since I see they have some plans.
21	MR. OGAS: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Because the
23	enrollment cap does need to be contingent on this
24	new facility, which sounds great.
25	MR. OGAS: Thank you, Commissioner. We



have been working with Jaynes Corporation, Molzen
Corbin; and Greer Stafford is the architects. And
the first thing is, we have, like I told you before,
through the help of the Village of Los Lunas,
procured a 20-acre site just south of the Rail
Runner facility.

The plan is to bring in roughly 50 portables -- and actually, the first two were moved in yesterday, onto the site -- and create an area where we have -- on the northeast corner of the site, we have a high school up here, middle school over here, some high school down around -- we also have the elementary area that is roped off.

(Indicates.)

And then there had been some concern from the Charter School Division on where we were going to place what was our night school program that we're choosing to call SODA -- "Graduate SODA," because of Graduate New Mexico. We have a portable that's set right across from the administration with its own parking area, a double-wide, that we'll be able to offer from 9:00 in the morning to 7:00 at night now; so that we have more than enough space to cover the plans.

There has been a set of plans that are now



at Martica Casias' office under review for the 1 initial dirt work and site work. We have just 2 3 signed a contract with the PSFA for seven double-wides that are coming out of Los Alamos, 5 another two double-wides that are coming out of 6 Clovis, and the rest of the portables are coming out 7 of Albuquerque Public Schools. 8 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. 9 THE CHAIR: Do you anticipate that you're 10 going to be in these facilities at the start of the 11 school year? Are you going to start your old 12 facility, and then -- you're going to be able to get 13 all that done in three months? 14 MR. OGAS: Our plan is to be -- they are 15 really working hard on it. Yes, our plan is to be 16 there in August. Our current lease does not end 17 until the end of September. If need be, there is a

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Any other comments or questions from Commissioners?

23

the beginning.

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Then I guess we are to the point for a Who would like -- Commissioner Shearman, if

little bit of a cushion; but we want to be in these

portables in August, and that's been the plan since



```
1
     you would, please?
 2
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Be glad to.
 3
               I move that the Public Education
 4
     Commission approve the amendment request of School
 5
     of Dreams Academy to increase their enrollment cap
     by 100, still keeping the condition that their
 7
     governing council provide official, signed minutes
 8
     that the pre-K part of this amendment request has
     been deleted and is agreeable to them.
 9
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Commissioner
11
     Shearman.
12
               I guess that is necessary; because I see
13
     the term "pre-K" on this amendment form.
14
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: My rationale. I
15
     was trying to be really careful.
16
               THE CHAIR: We do have a motion on the
17
            Do we have a second?
               COMMISSIONER CONYERS: (Indicates.)
18
19
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Convers has
20
     seconded.
               Is there any further discussion on this
21
22
     motion?
23
               Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a
24
     roll-call vote?
25
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes, Mr. Chair.
```



1	Commissioner Armbruster?	
2	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No.	
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?	
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.	
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?	
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.	
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
8	Chavez?	
9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No.	
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
11	Toulouse?	
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.	
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
14	Conyers?	
15	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.	
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
17	Peralta votes "Yes."	
18	Commissioner Shearman?	
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.	
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
21	Gipson?	
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
24	Bergman?	
25	THE CHAIR: Yes.	



1 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is 2 7 to 3 in favor of the motion. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 4 will note that that motion has been approved by a 5 7-to-3 vote with the conditions that were a part of the motion. 6 The third amendment that is before us 8 today is about their night school. And for those that may not remember, they're here for this because 9 10 it was discovered this school already has a night 11 school that we didn't know about, if I remember --12 and I'm --13 MR. OGAS: That's correct. 14 THE CHAIR: So they are here at our 15 request on this one, I guess, to make it legal after 16 the fact. It's unfortunate that that worked out that 17 18 But we can't change how it was. We just need 19 to have compliance with the way it is. We don't 20 like to do business this way; but I guess occasionally, we are forced to do this. 21 22 Any thoughts from the school? I know you 23 addressed it last time. Anything you want to add? 24 Okay. Maybe you might explain again how 25 we got into this.



MR. OGAS: I'll be happy to. I know you've got a long agenda. But our night program came as a result of the old "Graduate New Mexico, It's Everybody's Business," initiative that was at the tail-end of Governor Richardson's tenure.

We wrote a grant in the spring of -- I think '9-'10 or '10-'11 -- I lose track anymore. We wrote a grant that spring to start the night school the next year; it was approved. We've kept it ever since.

Since then, we've gone through a renewal without problem. We've gone through site visits annually without problem. And it's not like we've hid it back in a corner.

We have had probably about 25 students over the last three years graduate with their high school diplomas out of that night school program. We had about seven last night, that were robed by their families, that came out of that program.

And, you know, we're real proud of that.

You know, if -- we realize -- I mean, it's a very transient group; it's a very mobile group, you know. And we're taking a risk. And I do understand why most school districts shy away from this kind of program, because actually, it hurts their bottom



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

line when it comes to graduation rates.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you look at the faces of the people that are actually graduating and their families, it means something to them. So, you know, if you have 30 in a program, and five actually make it, that's five more than there were the year before.

And that's the commitment that we've made, as a school, to our community. And that basically is the commitment that my father-in-law had to try to help people when we founded School of Dreams Academy based on a dream that he had.

So that's where we're at with it. You know, we'd like to continue it, knowing that, you know, if you voted us not to continue it, our graduation rate would probably go up to 85 percent; it would help our grade.

But at the same time, we're very much committed to trying to help people; so that's why.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for those comments. And as I said, it's a situation that none of us would like, or are liking. I'm guessing that if we don't approve the amendment then their night school goes away; and that's not an ideal solution -- outcome -- either.



So it's just -- like I say, this is a very difficult situation. But I believe it needs to be rectified. We need to rectify it now.

Is there any further discussion or comments?

Commissioner Ambruster?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Could you please refresh my memory? How many night school students do you have this year -- I mean, they may have graduated by this point -- but this '15-'16 school year? And then how -- and how many graduated in -- I mean, how long are they there, a day? I don't -- I really don't know how it works; so I wanted to get some clarification.

MR. OGAS: So we have what's called more or less a mastery program. And we probably have about 20 -- this is off the top of my head -- about 27-or-so students that are actually enrolled in it. Some come during the day and will meet with our teachers. They're all -- they all have an Individual Learning Plan, and we do the Next Step Plans. We provided that information for you, just like any other high school student.

We try to go off of the graduation requirements that they would have had during the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

year they would have graduated. So we go back as
many as 25 years, sometimes, you know, to try to
sort it out. It's no -- it's a real paper
nightmare; but at the same time, I think last night
at our robing ceremony, there may have been seven
students that came out of the night school program
that are actually going to get their diplomas
tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So why would those students who are returning to school in your night program be any less likely to graduate than the rest of your students?

MR. OGAS: I mean, I think the answer to that would be individual to each student. Some of them, you know, come based upon wanting to try to get better. Then they realize it may be overwhelming. Others are working. Some are having families. Some want to come back to -- to try to make it right for their families.

You know, there's lots of reasons why.

And since it's free, you know, because it's part of what we do, then it's -- they're more likely to give it a try.

What we're -- what we're finding is many that are committed and really want to make it happen



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 Some move on. Some get a job out of town. 2 There's lots of reasons why. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: You get funded 4 for the night school students the same way you get 5 funded for non-night school students? That's correct. And I have had 6 MR. OGAS: 7 conversation with the Public Ed Department on that 8 issue, you know. And we're willing to -- but, you 9 know, that's a different story altogether. 10 right now, the only avenue is to enroll them, place 11 them into a cohort, which is weird, because I don't 12 think kids can drop out of school twice; but they 13 do, and on paper anyway. And you can see on our grade, it hurts us. 14 15 But at the same time, they do get funded. And, you 16 know, there -- there probably needs to be some 17 looking into that; but it's the way it is. 18 THE CHAIR: All right. Is that it? 19 Any other further comments? 20 Commissioner Toulouse? 21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I 22 support the night school. I think for any of our 23 schools that have a night school, I know you take a 24 chance because of how the grades work. And I'd like



to see the Legislature come up with a way to try to

separate those students out from your regular attendees.

But I know from past experience that there is a huge difference in somebody with no diploma, with a GED, or a diploma. And your chances of getting a job are better, chances of an employer even wanting to talk to you are better with that diploma, especially the young ones.

And so I support your request to continue this. And I just hope that there's a way to work out these students don't continue to affect your grade, because your grade doesn't deserve this; because of the regular students who do so well.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Anything else?

Commissioner Chavez?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. Yeah, I have a question about the night school in terms of what it looks like. Can you sort of give a description -- you know, do the students come to class a certain number of hours, or is it self-study? You know, just what does that instruction look like?

MR. OGAS: Yes, Commissioner. What happens when a student enrolls in night school is





they'll go through a -- well, a review, basically, of what it is they need. And depending on the courses that they need, they'll go through, like, a pre-test. We use Edgenuity, which is an established online program -- it's part of the adopted materials list -- as a pre-test.

And then they'll use -- based upon the work with the teachers and what they need, they'll do a combination of both Edgenuity and project-based types of things. Up until the last meeting, when I realized it might be an issue, we were open from about 4:00 in the evening to about 7:30 or 8:00 in the evening every day. And students were working through their projects on a self-paced kind of deal.

The thing about that is that fell within our charter, because we've always worked on an Individualized Education Program. Every child has an IEP in our school, which is the reason they've been allowed to go to college early, as well.

So, you know, that's kind of how that works. They come in. They get instruction from two certified teachers. We have multi certifications with both of those individuals that monitor their programs. They work in conjunction with our counseling staff and Mr. Griego and myself, you



1 know, to monitor it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So when you -- so then will a student move -- so then it's sort of more self-made. A student can move through your program quicker or slower.

MR. OGAS: Exactly. So if a student comes in and does a pre-test and scores at a 55 percent proficiency in the course, it doesn't make sense to have them go back and begin the course from the beginning. We start them from there on, and we move them forward.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And so can you tell me what specific courses the students might be focusing on? Is it math?

MR. OGAS: They take math courses; they take language arts, history. Some of them have jobs, so we work out a rubric for work study, credit-for-elective kinds of things. We meet the same graduation requirements as anybody else.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And do you have a -just maybe sort of off the top of your head, how
long are those students enrolled in these programs?
How long -- maybe a better question is how long does
it take them to graduate?

MR. OGAS: We've had some students finish



within a year, maybe, you know, course of a year, 1 It all depends on their Individual 2 two years. 3 Learning Plan and their Next Step Plan that's developed, just like everybody else's. 5 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you. 6 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 7 Anyone else? 8 Commissioner Convers? 9 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I'd like to support 10 what Commissioner Toulouse had to say and commend 11 you, because these programs are in great need 12 statewide. And I'm glad you have certainly a 13 face-to-face component. Even in many GED programs 14 and so on, the tendency is to hand the student a 15 computer and say, "Go to this website and do this, 16 and let me know how you're doing." 17 And for, you know, at-risk students, that 18 just doesn't work. And so I commend what you're 19 doing. Thank you. 20 THE CHAIR: 21 Anything else? 22 And I just want to add that I always enjoy 23 hearing about seven students who are now graduating 24 who probably weren't going to graduate. They have a



brighter future ahead of them now, because they have

a high school diploma.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think that's one of the basic tenets of why we have charter schools is to give those kinds of students the opportunity, a second bite at the apple, if that's what you want to call it, to make it a simple issue.

I enjoy hearing those kinds of stories and I'm happy for them.

If we have no further discussion -- we don't have a sample motion for this one. I'm going to note that on the form that I did find in the book, there is a paragraph here called the "Proposed Revision." I'm going to suggest that whoever makes the motion, I believe this paragraph should be read in as a part of the motion, because it mentions several different things. I can add -- yeah, you've got it right there.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: This?

THE CHAIR: No, the first one there. If you want to read it real quickly, it mentions the non-traditional students. It mentions allowing these students opportunities, and it identifies it by what they're calling it, "Graduate SODA." I think that would should be in the motion.

If there is no further discussion, I would

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



entertain a motion at this time. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I quess I'll try. 3 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, please? 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And you want that 5 language put in? Okay. So --THE CHAIR: Word it however you want. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I propose 8 that we accept the amendment request by School of 9 Dreams Academy for a night school -- a credit 10 recovery program -- should we refer to it as a 11 credit recovery program? -- allowing non-traditional 12 students the opportunity to re-engage in a learning 13 continuum of high school subject matter with the 14 objective to earn a high school diploma. 15 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second. 16 THE CHAIR: Well, keep going. I think we 17 need to add what's below that, too; because they are 18 asking to add some workforce-related activities. 19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. In addition 20 to adding language that will allow these students 21 opportunities to participate in workforce-related 22 training activities, courses designed to teach job 23 readiness skills and prepare them for the workforce. 24 The program will now be called "Graduate SODA," and



will be available Monday through Friday from

9:00 a.m. till 7:00 p.m. 1 Thank you for that. 2 THE CHAIR: 3 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I want to second 4 it. 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner, we do have a motion and a second. 6 I'm just going to raise the question now, 7 because we've done this before. If we leave those 8 9 hours and days in there, if you change those, you 10 would have to come back. Would you prefer we take 11 that reference out? Or do you want to leave it in? 12 Commissioner, I don't know at MR. OGAS: 13 this point. I think -- we're comfortable with the 14 hours, keeping it open. We've -- from the 15 beginning, have felt that that program needed to be 16 offered. And, in fact -- so, yes, I'm comfortable 17 with that. 18 THE CHAIR: I just wanted to -- if you do 19 decide to change it, you'll have to come back and 20 request that. The reason we offered it that 21 MR. OGAS: 22 way was because of -- I believe we heard that the 23 program needed to be available at a longer span 24 during the day. So we will make it available during



that time, yes, sir.

1 THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. I just 2 wanted to raise it. 3 We have a motion by Commissioner Gipson. 4 I believe the second was by Commissioner Toulouse. Is there any further discussion on this 5 6 one? Commissioner Armbruster? COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So when the 8 9 students come in, they are not really in direct 10 instruction; they're really on a computer, either at 11 school or at home? Or are they -- is it everything 12 they get credit for at school? 13 MR. OGAS: Well, when they come in, they 14 get assessed. But then there is a combination, just 15 like in our day school. We call it a blended model

get assessed. But then there is a combination, just like in our day school. We call it a blended model of direct instruction and computer-based instruction, which allows them to work from home as part of what they do. You know, you could call it homework or anything else; but it allows them to work around their schedule.

Sometimes they are caring for a child, or they have a work schedule already, and we're trying to work around them, as well. So it is a competency-based, mastery-based type program with instructors that provide instruction and support, as



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
well as online support from -- you know, we use
 1
 2
     Edgenuity as a curriculum on steroids, I guess you
 3
     could call it.
 4
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                          Thank you.
 5
               THE CHAIR: Anything else?
 6
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Do you want anything
 7
     else?
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No, I'm sorry.
 8
 9
               THE CHAIR: You were looking. I didn't
10
     know if you were trying to find something.
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No, I was just
11
12
     looking at all my little notes here.
13
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay. Any further discussion?
14
               Then I guess we are ready for the
15
     Secretary to have a roll-call vote, then.
16
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17
     Peralta will vote "Yes."
18
               Commissioner Gipson?
19
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Yes.
20
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
     Shearman?
21
22
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
23
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24
     Chavez?
25
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ:
```





1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Toulouse?
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Ambruster?
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Conyers?
13	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Bergman?
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
18	10 to 0 in favor of the motion.
19	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That motion for
20	the night school has been approved by a 10-to-0
21	vote. I appreciate your patience.
22	I hope you appreciate the fact that this
23	Commission takes very seriously our need to do our
24	due diligence, also, and be sure what's right is
25	right.



1 MR. OGAS: Thank you very much. 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for being 3 here. 4 Why don't we take a break, a comfort break? We do have still a lot of work to do; so 5 6 please be back at 20 till or earlier, please. 7 (Recess taken, 10:27 a.m. to 10:40 a.m.) 8 THE CHAIR: I'm going to call us back into session from this -- the break we were on. 9 I've been asked to remind folks there is a 10 11 sign-up sheet for our Open Forum portion for people 12 that wish to speak to the Commission. If you wish 13 to speak to the Commission at that time, you need to 14 be on the list. 15 So if you haven't signed that -- I 16 understand there's also just a general sign-in sheet 17 out there. And I've been asked to just ask people next time you go out in the lobby, if you haven't 18 19 signed that one, please sign it so there's a record 20 of your business. Appreciate that. We're now still on Amendment Item 6. 21 22 are now down to B, which is the Walatowa Charter 23 School. And they have several amendments. before we get there, Christopher, are you still 24



here?

1 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yeah, he hasn't 2 come back in, because Katie hasn't come back in. 3 THE CHAIR: We'll wait on that, then. 4 Walatowa, if you would come forward, 5 please, to the table? Since the Director is not back, I cannot 6 7 call upon her to talk to us about these amendment 8 I see four of them: A new school site, 9 grade level increase, enrollment cap increase, and 10 to amend charter schools. 11 So it's a very -- there -- okay. Director 12 Poulos, do you have any comments you wish to share 13 with us about the Walatowa amendment request? 14 MS. POULOS: I absolutely do. 15 Thank you. When you're ready. THE CHAIR: 16 MS. POULOS: Thank you. Chairman Bergman, 17 Commissioners, Walatowa Charter High School is 18 requesting to expand its operations; that is, by 19 adding 120 students to its current cap of 150 to 20 achieve a cap of 270, to expand its authorized school grade levels, from 9 through 12, to serve 21 22 K-through-12, and to add a secondary school site 23 with the location identified in your materials. 24 This school is a highly performing school 25 that has a letter -- current letter grade of "A."



They have demonstrated over the past several years improved academic performance.

CSD does support this amendment request and recommends that the Commission approve this.

THE CHAIR: Are we talking about all four at this time, or are we just talking about the first one?

MS. POULOS: Really, the first three.

Those, I believe -- let me make sure that I'm reading it correctly. The school site, the grade level increase, and the enrollment cap increase.

You can also address the charter goals, which were asked to be amended -- they are not under the current performance framework; they are under the old charter. And the old charter included goals that were based on SBA data, which is out of date.

And so they've asked to -- for the remainder of their charter term, which is this year -- they'll be up for renewal in the fall -- to amend that goal to incorporate letter grades rather than to be based on the old SBA data, which is no longer relevant.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman, could we clarify how many amendment requests we should have? Because I'm only finding three. Are





```
there four?
 1
 2
               MS. POULOS: There are four requests.
 3
     I believe that may be because they're separated by
 4
     that yellow sheet.
 5
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Mine were stuck
     inside --
 6
 7
               MS. POULOS: Those were new materials,
 8
     because -- updated materials. Signed versions have
 9
     been provided.
10
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                        I didn't get
11
     those.
12
               MS. POULOS: If your binder wasn't on the
13
     desk, it wasn't put in.
14
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: One was on the
15
     desk, and one was on the floor.
16
                           I'll wait until you have it in
               THE CHAIR:
17
     your possession and give you a moment to look at
18
     them.
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Is this all four?
19
20
               MS. POULOS: That is all four requests.
21
               THE CHAIR: While you're doing that, I
22
     want to ask you a question. Why don't you guys
23
     introduce yourself for the reporter first?
24
     I'll ask my question.
25
               MR. WILKINSON:
                               Good morning,
```



Commissioners. My name is Arrow Wilkinson. I'm the principal of the Walatowa High Charter School.

To the left of me is Susan Baca. She is the president of the San Diego Riverside School Board. And to the right is Richard Wasielewski, from the Walatowa High Charter School, board member.

Members of Walatowa High Charter School is
Ron Krueger; he's our curriculum chair. Dr. Jaime
Tamez. And we also have Melinda Fragua from
San Diego Riverside, parent rep; and Bobby Shendo,
who is a San Diego Riverside, board member; and two
students from San Diego Riverside and Walatowa,
Jonathan and Jensen Fragua.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Actually, I was a little confused, and I think you've confused me even a little bit more with how you've introduced her. I see one of the campuses that you want to go to is identified as San Diego Riverside campus. Is San Diego Riverside -- is that already an active charter school that's locally authorized, not authorized by us?

MR. WILKINSON: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Then why would they be a part of your school and all these amendments? We're talking a different charter school.





1 Could you address that for me, please? I'm going to have Susan 2 MR. WILKINSON: 3 Baca address this, and then I'll add to it. 4 THE CHAIR: Okay. Go right ahead. 5 MS. SUSAN BACA: Good morning. We have, in the past -- the last couple of years, we had 6 7 thought about possibly -- we asked if there was a 8 possibility if we could combine with Walatowa 9 Charter. We are district-chartered right now. 10 We had a lot of parents' concerns. 11 Parents are for it. We -- a lot of the parents are 12 looking for change, something new. We -- we've had 13 parent meetings about it. We've had -- we've voted 14 on it to see if this could be a possibility and to 15 see if they could pick us up. 16 They already give us a lot of support. 17 They provide a science teacher. They provide us 18 They just give us a lot of support. with GEAR UP. 19 And we were thinking that combining both schools 20 would serve the children better. 21 Jemez Valley -- you know, we are 22 district-chartered. They don't really provide us 23 with -- I mean, yes, the money funnels through 24 there, and, you know, they provide us with our



budget; but we don't really get any support from

```
them. You know, just -- it's just a lot of -- a lot
 1
 2
     of things that parents are concerned with, you know,
 3
     like, we would like things -- you know, they got a
     million dollar bond. We didn't get a penny of it
 5
     for just a little improvement of something.
               And we just feel that coming together with
 6
 7
     Walatowa would be a great opportunity and to align
 8
     the education.
 9
               THE CHAIR: Let me ask you this.
                                                  If you
10
     said it, I apologize. Who is your authorizer?
11
     Which district are you under?
12
               MS. SUSAN BACA: Jemez Valley Public
13
     Schools.
14
               THE CHAIR: Before we have a real
15
     long-winded discussion on these amendments, my
16
     understanding of what you're trying to do is merge a
17
     locally authorized charter school with a
18
     State-authorized charter school. And I am not aware
19
     of any precedent for that.
20
               And I've repeatedly read the Charter
     School Act during my tenure on this Commission.
21
22
     I do not believe it ever contemplated this
23
     situation; right? And it's not covered under the
     Charter School Act.
24
```



I think we're really sailing off into some

```
1
     deep water here. Any other -- yeah. Commissioner
 2
     Peralta?
 3
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: It just appears to
 4
     me that they're at the wrong authorizer location.
 5
     They should be bringing this before their authorizer
 6
     at the Jemez Valley.
 7
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   It's the same thing.
 8
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Or should be asking
 9
     us to be a PEC-authorized school, and then we can
10
     look at merging the two when they're under us.
11
               THE CHAIR: All of a sudden, lots of hands
12
     here.
13
               Commissioner Shearman?
14
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Just one question.
15
     Is your school closing?
16
               MR. WILKINSON:
                               No, it's not.
17
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.
18
     San Diego --
19
               MS. SUSAN BACA:
                                No, it's not.
                                                No.
20
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: San Diego is not
21
     closing.
22
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                      I'm sorry.
                                                  Can I
23
     tie on that? Do you have a governance council?
24
               MS. SUSAN BACA:
                                I'm sorry. Yes, we do.
25
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Because I went on
```



the website; and I couldn't see anything about a governance council on your website. So that made me a little more curious as to -- and I couldn't find anything where a governance council had voted for this merger.

I know you have noted parents voting; but I couldn't find any governance council information in relation to that.

THE CHAIR: Director Poulos, did you have your hand up?

MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,

Commissioners, I did. I think it's important for
this Commission to see that Walatowa, while they do
have other individuals here from another school, is
simply asking for the same thing that the school
that was just before you asked for, which is to
expand its enrollment cap, to expand its grade
levels served, and one additional one, which was not
on the other one, but one that you've approved for

And I think it's important to understand that that's what Walatowa is here asking you for and what they have included in their amendment requests. They have very good academic performance. They are an "A" letter grade. And they are demonstrating

many schools before, is to add a school site.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

great success with their students. And they're 1 2 seeking to serve more students. 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, they are 4 asking to merge with another school. they're asking for an enrollment cap and all of that 5 other stuff. But primarily, overall, they're asking 6 to merge with an existing district-authorized 7 charter school, two schools together under different 8 authorizers under different boards. 9 10 Mr. Chairman, may we have a legal -- or 11 ask our attorney for some legal advice here? 12 MR. WILKINSON: Now, if approved, 13 San Diego Riverside would be rescinding their 14 charter to fall under our -- to fall under our 15 If approved by the PEC, San Diego umbrella. 16 Riverside would be rescinding their charter to fall 17 under our umbrella. 18 THE CHAIR: You're saying they're going to go below the -- before their authorizer and ask to 19 20 be -- whatever -- rescinded, or let go, or "We don't want to be a charter school anymore," or --21 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Deauthorized. 23 MR. WILKINSON: Deauthorized under Jemez 24 Valley and authorized under -- they would fall under



our umbrella.

THE CHAIR: Like I say, we're sailing off into -- I want to be sure we get this right the first time.

Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Before we go to the lawyer, let me put my two cents in. So I -- so nearly eight-year -- you know, we -- if a local-chartered charter school wants to become a State-chartered charter school, they go through the same process as everybody else. We have a procedure for that.

If this seems to be -- although, maybe it's fine. But we're having to deal with legal issues, and we're having to deal with precedents.

And, you know, this -- this is a roundabout way of becoming a State-chartered charter school without having to go through the process that everybody else does.

If -- to me, a simple way of -- you know, you -- I would say that I -- you know, you -- you know, you could have gone about this in a way that -- where we didn't really know what was going on. You could have said, "We just want to increase our enrollment"; they close their school; their students go to your school, if they so choose.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In essence, that seems like that's what you're asking for.

"Hey, we're accepting a merger of a local-chartered charter school and a State-chartered charter school, to me, that would open the door for schools in not so great of a situation maybe as you to -- to solve a problem -- maybe they're failing -- I know there are some local-chartered charter schools that aren't doing very well -- and say, "Hey, I want to latch on with you, and -- because otherwise, we're going down the tubes. And you're doing great, and our local school board doesn't support us."

And I certainly understand that, because that happens all over the state. And I get that, you know. I -- so there's my two cents.

And then we can hear from the lawyer 'cause -- yeah, I have, I think, the same concerns that everybody else has.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Lange, is there anything you can tell us at this time, since you haven't really had a chance to study such a complex issue?

MR. LANGE: Yes, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. Sorry to disappoint. But I don't have any background on whether a merger like this has





1 happened and if it's allowable, per statute. 2 can't advise the board on that. 3 THE CHAIR: Wow. 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'd like to ask 6 7 the folks here, have you -- has this been discussed 8 with lawyers, so that what you're presenting is a 9 legally approved piece in behalf of at least your 10 schools and your lawyers? 11 We spoke with the PED, MR. WILKINSON: 12 Charter School Division; and, no, we haven't spoken 13 with any attorney yet. 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: My next question 15 is, Ms. Baca, what is your -- when is your charter 16 expiring? 17 MS. SUSAN BACA: In three years. 18 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. 'Cause that 19 creates an issue, too. I -- I don't see anything 20 that says we can't do it; but I think it's a very 21 difficult thing to ask, and especially since the 22 last school we wouldn't let have all of their 23 K-through-5 grades at one time. We told them they 24 could only have several at a time. 25 We have somebody else here who would like



to speak. May we --

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Walatowa.

THE CHAIR: Please identify yourself.

DR. JAIME TAMEZ: Members of the

Commission, I'm Dr. Jaime Tamez. I have been

working as a consultant with Walatowa, primarily in

their data and the Web EPSS and similar kinds of

work with them. And I've been part of the team

that's been looking at the possibility of expanding

And so when we came up to the Charter
School Division to talk about our goals and changing
those, the question came up about how we would like
to expand the school so that we would have more
impact at the grade levels below us, and then the
students coming into our school, because of the
short frame -- you know, high school is kind of a
short frame for dealing with a lot of the issues
facing students and so forth. We thought it would
be great if we could expand.

At the same time, when we discovered that San Diego Riverside had an interest in becoming part of the expansion -- and it makes it a little bit -- in that sense, different, because when we start talking about expansions, you know, we start looking at what are the possible facilities, how are we



going to recruit and so forth, similar to what we just saw with the Dream school.

In this situation, you know, the Jemez

Valley is a very tight-knit group. Jemez Pueblo is
a very tight-knit group. We have siblings that are
attending both the San Diego Riverside and Walatowa.

And so on one sense, it looks like a merger, because
there's an existing school. But that school would
cease to exist. They would give up their charter.

They voted to relinquish this charter at a meeting
in late March, if they could become part of the
expansion.

And so for us, we thought that, in a sense, it's -- it's even better, because we have a school that has the facility and so forth. The facility is owned by the Tribe. The Tribal Council has approved the idea of the expansion. The Governor of the Pueblo has approved the idea of the expansion. So we have all of the community support. Both boards have voted in favor of it.

And so for us, it's an expansion that we're looking at. For them, it's a way to become a part of our school, where we're serving the same families in the same community.

So in the sense of is the school closing



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

down? No. If we don't get the approval from PEC, it would continue. But if they do have the opportunity to become part of the expansion, they would be willing to do that, and they would relinquish it.

Well, do they have to continue to the end of their current term as a charter school?" And we know that in the past, charter schools have decided to end their contract with the authorizer. And by saying we no longer wish to continue the charter, that has happened; even La Jicarita recently did that.

"We've decided, for whatever reason, we're ending the charter." So that happens. And then we would expand our school and include them, through the amendment, include a new site that happens to be the Riverside site, as opposed to going out in Jemez, which is very limited in facilities, and finding another site where we could maybe start expansion, or look at our own site and seeing could we bring in portables and so forth.

So there are a lot of benefits. It looks like a merger, because it's an existing school; but we're looking at it as an expansion.





THE CHAIR: Commission -- you've already talked. Commissioner Gipson, go ahead, please. I'm sorry. When there's three hands up, I've got to make a choice.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I understand you're high-performing. I fully support your expanding.

But I have difficulty with this process right now.

It is true that La Jicarita is giving up their charter. We've been notified of that.

But I see nothing -- I see no governance council minutes indicating that they've approved this expansion. And you haven't given up your charter yet. So personally, I have difficulty, at this point in time -- this is a merger -- we're wordsmithing -- expansion and merger. Right now, you are still currently a district-authorized charter school; so that by -- this isn't just an increase in enrollment, in my mind. We are accepting a district-authorized school by doing this. And I've got --

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I don't think we can do it.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I've got grave difficulty in doing that. Had you come here and said, "We've already given up," you wouldn't even





have to be here. If you've already given up your 1 charter, you're just asking for an enrollment move 2 3 and moving the facility, and you can take that school with no problem. But right now, you're asking us to take 5 And I have serious difficulties in doing 6 both. 7 that. 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Peralta? 9 10 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Okay. So I think 11 I've got it cleared up, because I think I had you 12 mixed up with who was a district charter school and 13 who wasn't. It seems to me that your amendments are 14 conditional on having access to the facilities owned 15 by San Diego Riverside; is that correct? 16 So my concerns are that the facility that 17 is currently San Diego Riverside is that the

condition index is pretty high; it's not a very feasible or a good facility for students, at this point. It's weighted at 48.4 percent. And the current State average for facilities is 18.9.

What that's telling me is there's some work to do to improve the facilities in your school.

I'm the liaison with the PSCOC, and I work very closely with Martica. What she's telling me,



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349



in order for the move to take place, San Diego
Riverside Charter School would have to provide a

plan to PSFA to improve your school within 18 months
of occupancy by Walatowa.

So you want to make sure you keep that -you know, keep that in mind; because that's going to
run you into some costs and some planning and things
like that, to be able to serve the students at
Walatowa.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: May I just ask a question of Commissioner Peralta? Did PSFA have any idea of what it would cost to bring that facility up to -- I know when -- when a school is in a facility, okay. But if there is a move, then that facility must come up to standard. Did she have any idea what that would cost?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: She didn't give me any costs at this point; but I can definitely find out.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: So a couple of issues, then. If the Pueblo owns the building and the site, aren't we -- isn't it supposed to be a lease-purchase? And, to me, that would need to be looked at.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



The solution for -- you know, and the building is an issue. But the solution for me would be that you just ask for your enrollment cap and your change, period, you know. And then I would be -- without this murkiness of a merger that we've never done, then I would be willing to support this.

And, again, if you're -- you know, if the students are there -- I mean, the students can go somewhere else, too, if they want to, of course.

But I have no problem with your amendment request, absent any talk of merger. I mean, you could tell us, "Oh, we suspect we're going to get students from a school that's closing," and that's fine, and I would be happy to support it.

But as it is today, I'm not.

THE CHAIR: And let's keep in mind if we follow that line, that, remember, they've got to do a lottery. The San Diego students wouldn't be guaranteed -- and that's why I think they don't want to go first and disband their school, see?

I believe that -- I'm just really reluctant; because I -- one, I don't believe we have the power to approve a merger of this kind. I do not believe there's any legal precedence to that, and I don't believe we, as Commissioners, want to





1 set a legal precedent. I agree with what Commissioner Carr said. 2 3 Get San Diego out of it. Get -- let -- give them --Walatowa -- their amendment. But then there's your roll of the dice. 5 6 You've got to go get rid of your charter and free up 7 your students and then take your chances on getting into Walatowa. I don't think this is the first 8 9 step. Coming here was not the first step, I don't believe. 10 11 I believe the first step has to be on your 12 I'm not a lawyer; so I'm not giving you legal 13 advice. I'm just saying how I feel as one 14 Commissioner. Under this circumstance, I don't 15 believe I can vote to support any of this. I really 16 can't. 17 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 18 19

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I appreciate you saying you can't vote to support any of this, because I can't, either, because I believe without the San Diego school building, you would have no place to house these additional students.

So in reality, I don't think we can vote even to consider increasing your enrollment cap when



20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     you have no place to put those students. Your
     current facility would not accommodate them, would
 2
 3
     it?
         No?
 4
               MR. WILKINSON:
                               No.
 5
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So I am -- I am
 6
     very -- I regret very much that you were told to
     come to us first with just these amendments.
 7
 8
     is not the way to do it.
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I'd
11
     like to ask, how many elementary students do you
12
     have, and do you have a waiting list?
13
               MS. SUSAN BACA: We have 95. And there's
14
     no waiting list.
15
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay.
                                              And you
16
     were planning -- Mr. Wilkinson, you would be
17
     planning for all of those to come over, or
18
     additional ones, as well?
19
               MR. WILKINSON: You mean, as -- you know,
20
     new students coming in, if they enroll?
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Because I'd like
21
22
     to -- I'm not sure I can vote for this today,
23
     either. But I think down the road, it's something
24
     we can do. And I'd like this information upfront,
     so we don't necessarily have to go over it again.
25
```



1 MR. WILKINSON: Yeah. We do project an 2 increase in enrollment; so that would come over. 3 Even in Walatowa, we have kids from San Luis, 4 Bernalillo that come out; Tewa come out -- Pueblo --5 and Jemez Valley and the Ponderosa area and Zia. So we have kids from all over that come to Walatowa. 6 So we do project an increase in enrollment. 8 But at this time, I've just got a quick 9 question for you. So you would approve the 10 expansion. And if Riverside rescinded their 11 charter, and then the next time we come in -- next 12 PEC meeting -- and the expansion would be approved 13 and you would accept their --14 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We can't quarantee 15 that. 16 THE CHAIR: We can't quarantee how a vote 17 Ten individual Commissioners here will 18 vote their conscience. I think this needs some more 19 work. 20 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Again, I have to 21 emphasize, again, that any future move to the 22 facility, you have to understand that this is a 23 permanent move of students into a permanent 24 facility. So unless that facility doesn't improve 25 on its weighted index for the condition of the



1	school, I personally can't see allowing students to
2	move into a facility that's not conducive to State
3	requirements.
4	THE CHAIR: You need to address the
5	facility issue, along with all the rest of this.
6	There's a whole bunch of questions here.
7	Anything so where do we stand, then?
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Could we ask if
9	they are willing to withdraw these amendment
10	requests until they can study the issues more and
11	perhaps bring us back a different set of requests at
12	another time?
13	THE CHAIR: What's the will of the
14	Commission on that? I see one head shaking "yes."
15	Yes?
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
17	THE CHAIR: This is not a vote; but I see
18	heads shaking.
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Mine is rattling
20	"yes."
21	THE CHAIR: So I guess what Commissioners
22	are asking me is to ask you would you be willing to
23	withdraw at this time, do a little more research on
24	the building, think about how you're going to
25	withdraw, and your school, rescind your thing. I



1	happen to agree with a lot of what Katie said about
2	the nature of your school; and I can't guarantee how
3	I would vote. But I so I think you need to do
4	some more work before you come to us.
5	MR. WILKINSON: Yeah.
6	THE CHAIR: Would you be willing to
7	withdraw them at this time and do this additional
8	work and come back to us in the future?
9	MR. WILKINSON: Yes, we'll rescind those
10	amendments and return with the questions that you
11	want answered.
12	THE CHAIR: Does that sound amenable to my
13	fellow Commissioners?
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes, we appreciate
15	that.
16	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?
17	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I just want to
18	make sure that I understand this. You guys are
19	really in my district. It's the first time I've
20	ever said that. Anyway and I do like the idea
21	that you're merging. And I just want to clarify a
22	few things with you all who know this.
23	If you stay in the building, in Susan's
24	building, they get to stay there, and there's no big
25	deal; but if they change to go to a for a State



charter, then you have to bring up the building to 1 2 ADA codes and all that; is that correct? 3 THE CHAIR: I think, even as a local 4 district, they still have to be in an E-Occupancy 5 building. Well, it might 6 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 7 have been E-Occupancy when they started, and it's I don't know. The local decides 8 falling down. 9 that; right? 10 That building was COMMISSIONER PERALTA: 11 authorized by the local district. The local 12 district authorized the move to that facility to 13 that school. We fall under the PSFA guidelines. 14 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right. So the 15 local, as they are, it's okay. If there's any 16 change, then the building has to come up. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct me if I'm 18 I think they would have trouble with they 19 were up for renewal. I would think they'd have 20 trouble to renew. That's what we faced with 21 McCurdy. If McCurdy didn't get that financing, they 22 were at an 80. They would not be able to continue. 23 They were up for renewal; so they wouldn't be able 24 to continue in that building. 25 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And the other



point -- I just want some clarification from my

fellow Commissioners. If they give up the charter,

they're, like, nowhere. I think it's hard, in my

mind to say, "Susan, could you please give up the

local charter and apply for our charter; but there's

no guarantee that we would accept them unless

they're attached to the high school."

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, no, no. We're not saying that.

is that if that San Diego school charter closed, then should those students choose to go to Walatowa and those employees choose to apply for work at Walatowa, if Walatowa is allowed to increase its enrollment cap and its grade levels, then it could probably absorb the students and perhaps some of the staff from the closed school. They would not be becoming a State charter at all. They would be a closed school, and their folks going wherever they chose to.

THE CHAIR: And the reason they're still involved, because the building -- their building -- that's the one that needs the work. So Walatowa would have to address bringing that building to the right weighted index.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And that would
2	happen whether they come or not.
3	THE CHAIR: You have to explore that
4	building. That's a key component of what you want
5	to do. Find out what it's going to cost you.
6	That's a suggestion. I can't tell you what to do.
7	So let's go back.
8	Do you agree to rescind these requests at
9	this time?
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, they did.
11	THE CHAIR: So we're operating under the
12	assumption they've done that. So what else do we
13	need to do today, then?
14	MR. WILKINSON: The assessment going to
15	the SBA no longer exists and going to the "C" grade
16	because they have to we have to follow the PARCC
17	anyway.
18	THE CHAIR: Are you talking about the
19	charter goals?
20	MR. WILKINSON: Yes, that last one, for
21	the remainder of our charter.
22	THE CHAIR: Do we have the paperwork on
23	that?
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman, I
25	would really prefer to deal with this when they come



```
1
     up for renewal. They're up this year.
 2
     change -- we were very reluctant to change goals in
 3
     the middle of a contract. I understand yours has
     changed from the NMSBA. But they're up for renewal.
 5
     Why don't we simply handle it then?
               THE CHAIR: I will say we have been
 6
 7
     reluctant to do -- every school in the State went
 8
     from SBA to PARCC. Every school in the State could
     come in and say, "We want to change everything."
 9
10
               MS. POULOS: Chair Bergman, Commissioners,
11
     I would like to remind you that you did entertain
12
     and approve a goal request change from another
13
     school this -- over the past year. I believe it
14
     was -- I believe it was Estancia Valley Classical
15
     Academy. And it was for these same reasons, that
     they brought forth that amendment request, and you
16
17
     engaged in that process and approved them in their
18
     request.
19
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And I very well
20
     understand that. But they're so close to renewal,
21
     why are we bothering to do this now?
22
               THE CHAIR: Are you in this year's renewal
23
     class?
24
               MR. WILKINSON:
                               Yes, yes.
25
               THE CHAIR: If they're going to be here
```



with us, then, in a few months, why do this now, 1 2 Director? 3 MS. POULOS: I think their concern is that 4 they will need to show that they've met these goals 5 for the renewal application. And because they won't have that data, they're asking to, at this point, 6 establish their goals that they'll be held 7 accountable to for this current year so that they 8 9 are able to complete the renewal application. 10 THE CHAIR: Okay. I understand that. 11 They could also explain why they didn't meet it 12 because of the changeover. 13 What is the -- here again, what do my 14 fellow Commissioners -- do you want to go forward? 15 Or do we want to go ahead and make the -- it is so 16 close to the renewal, make the goals also a part of 17 their renewal? What is your -- which way do you 18 want to go? 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Make it part of the 20 renewal. THE CHAIR: Part of the renewal? Part of 21 22 the renewal? 23 So I think we'll ask you to rescind at 24 this time and just plan on doing it as a part of



your renewal package.

MR. WILKINSON: Walatowa rescinds the 1 2 charter school goals and make it a part of our 3 renewal package. 4 Just one more question for PSCOC. 5 As long as we have a plan and are given a time frame to correct those --6 7 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: That would suffice, 8 my understanding. I would follow up on that with 9 Martica. THE CHAIR: Yeah. I believe Martica is 10 11 always very cooperative. She can answer that kind 12 of a question, I believe. 13 MR. WILKINSON: Oh, yes. Yes. 14 Mr. Chair? COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 15 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 16 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I just have one 17 question. I understand the high school has to 18 increase your enrollment cap, because you're 19 hopefully absorbing another school. So are an 20 additional -- because you're going to go to 270, are 21 those high school -- what are you? 7 to 12? 22 those, that you're asking for more of those 23 students, or more of the younger students? 24 MR. WILKINSON: That number came with just 25 the enrollment cap of Riverside and our enrollment



1 And we just combined those to come up with 2 that number. But that has been rescinded; so it 3 doesn't apply. 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I got --5 Anything else? THE CHAIR: Here, again --6 sir, go ahead. 7 DR. TAMEZ: I just need a clarification. 8 Use the microphone, please. THE CHAIR: 9 On the two goals that are tied DR. TAMEZ: 10 to the NMSBA, I don't know. Shall we work, then, 11 with Charter School Division? Because we can't 12 support -- we can't respond to the goals, since 13 they're tying the NMSBA, and we're no longer 14 administering the NMSBA. 15 So we're not sure now what to report. And we don't want to be penalized because we're not 16 17 meeting those two goals. But it's not possible to 18 meet them because the NMSBA is not being used 19 anymore. 20 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You conduct 21 22 Short-Cycle Assessments; correct? 23 MR. WILKINSON: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I personally would 25 be comfortable with a report out of your Short-Cycle



1	Assessments. We'll get the school snapshot. We'll
2	have that information. I think there will be more
3	than enough information for us to take a look at,
4	personally.
5	THE CHAIR: Are you comfortable with that?
6	MR. WILKINSON: Yes.
7	THE CHAIR: I see your head shaking. All
8	right.
9	Anything else?
10	Well, then, like I say, thank you for your
11	patience. I appreciate that we have we need
12	to we've got to be patient to get it right.
13	Thank you for being here today.
14	MR. WILKINSON: Thank you for your time.
15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thank you.
16	THE CHAIR: Item C under 6 is Taos
17	Integrated School for the Arts. They have a couple
18	of requests.
19	If you guys can come down, please? I'm
20	looking for paper; be with you in just a second.
21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Are we supposed to
22	have a couple for Taos, did you say?
23	THE CHAIR: On my list here, there's an A,
24	new school site; and a B, length of school day. One
25	of them is on the back here; all right? But I still



1	only see one page.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I only see one
3	request.
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh, sorry.
5	THE CHAIR: Katie, our Director?
6	MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
7	Commissioners, the inclusion of the second item on
8	the agenda was an error. There are two schools that
9	have similar initials. And while I was out, the
10	second school submitted a request, and it was
11	erroneously included under this school's.
12	That school's amendment request will not
13	be able to be heard until the June meeting. That's
14	why it's no longer in your notebooks and should not
15	be addressed today.
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's why I've got
17	the little tear-out here?
18	THE CHAIR: A new school site. Now we've
19	narrowed down, circled it. Now we've got it.
20	Please introduce yourselves.
21	MS. JILL CLINE: I'm Jill Cline. I'm the
22	governing council president for TISA.
23	MR. RICH GREYWOLF: I'm Rich Greywolf. I
24	am the director.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you for being here



1 today. 2 Director, do you have comments on this 3 request? 4 MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman, 5 Commissioners, this amendment request is an amendment request to move facilities; I believe it's 6 for a temporary facility while this school is 7 8 working on a permanent facility. CSD has recommended approval of this amendment request. 9 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 11 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Maybe I didn't 12 hear correctly. This is a move to temporary 13 locations until they get a permanent location? 14 why move? 15 MS. JILL CLINE: Commissioner Shearman and members of the Commission, the answer to that is 16 17 because we have two campuses and we have had two campuses for as long as our charter has existed. 18 Wе 19 have been working towards getting to one campus. 20 In -- in -- we have land that's been approved by the Taos Special Use Permit Committee last night. 21 22 Planning and Zoning approved us for the purchase of 23 the land. And we were approved last night also for 24 the temporary location.



We have to move because one of our two

campuses is currently operating at the Taos County

Economic Development Center, and we've been there

for two years. They sold their south building to a

medical marijuana cannabis production --

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's a great location for a school.

MS. JILL CLINE: They are within 300 feet of us. And last year in May, we did sign a letter -- because we were not able to secure financing on that particular building at the time, we signed a letter saying that if that economic development company could actually sell their south building, we would vacate at the end of this school year.

And we had hoped that we would have a permanent campus to move to in that process; and four out of four options did not work out. And so we now have permission from our town governing council, from the Planning and Zoning Commission, to move. We have the special use permit secured for both locations, the temporary location and the permanent location. But it's going to take us about two years to get everything done to get moved.

We're planning on phasing it, probably moving half the campus at the end of one year and



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the other half at the end of the second year. We
2	also have our rating from Martica that we got we
3	got the letter from her to do both of these moves on
4	Wednesday of this week; but it was contingent on the
5	special use permit application process being
6	approved last night, which happened at about 8:30.
7	So you may not have her letter. I have
8	it, if you would like it, to and we were rated at
9	a 4.4 on the temporary location.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Wow. Very good.
11	Thank you for that explanation. I appreciate it.
12	MS. JILL CLINE: Yeah. It's been horribly
13	complicated, and we're just glad to be here today to
14	ask for something.
15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'd like to speak in
17	favor of this. I've been, I guess it's in my
18	not only in my district; it's a few blocks away from
19	where I teach.
20	But the you know, but to add some more
21	humor of this, the owner of that facility was a
22	former mayor of Taos. He used to be against medical
23	marijuana
24	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But now he's seen
25	the light.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: but has seen the
2	light. So I think that was pretty funny. But this
3	is I've always supported this school from day
4	one, when they first got their charter. I supported
5	them and voted for them. They have a good program.
6	All schools have snags here and there all the time.
7	But I just want to add my support to this to this
8	change as something they really need to do.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
10	Any other comments before we proceed to a
11	motion?
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair?
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Do you have a copy
15	of the land use approval by the town of Taos? That
16	was one of the things we need to make sure we have
17	that was approved by the town before we go to vote
18	here.
19	MS. JILL CLINE: Commissioner Peralta, I
20	don't know when they will produce their formal
21	minutes. The vote happened at 8:30 last night and
22	they still had three items on their agenda.
23	I'm sure we can get you their official
24	recommendation; it was a unanimous vote, and on
25	both cases. I don't and I would imagine we can



1	get copies of it next week; but I don't have them
2	today.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you.
4	Mr. Chair, I would like for the motion to approve
5	that with the condition that they provide the
6	document.
7	THE CHAIR: This was a land use approval
8	by the Taos Town Council?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Right.
10	THE CHAIR: Okay. There is a sample
11	motion right here in the Executive Summary, if
12	someone would care to read that that condition.
13	MS. JILL CLINE: Chairman Bergman, that
14	should say the Taos Planning and Zoning Commission.
15	THE CHAIR: Taos Planning and Zoning
16	Commission.
17	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I don't have that.
18	Oh, there it is.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?
20	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: I would like to do the
22	motion, if that's okay.
23	THE CHAIR: Go ahead, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: I move to approve the
25	amendment presented by Taos Integrated School for



1	the Arts to move from its current facilities at 212
2	Bendix Drive, Taos, New Mexico 87571, to house
3	grades four through eight; and 119 Manzanares
4	Street, Taos, New Mexico 87571, to house grades K
5	through 3, with the conditions that the school must
6	meet all facility requirements and provide
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Land use permit.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: a land use permit
9	from Taos Planning and Zoning.
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.
11	Is there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second.
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman has
14	seconded. Is there any further discussion?
15	Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a
16	roll-call vote?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
18	Gipson?
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
21	Armbruster?
22	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
24	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?





1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Toulouse?
4	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Conyers?
7	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Shearman?
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Chavez?
13	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Peralta votes "Yes."
16	Commissioner Bergman?
17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
19	10 to 0 in favor of the motion.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
21	That is a 10-to-0 affirmative vote on that
22	amendment. I would just ask that even though we've
23	approved it, please keep CSD and us in the loop as
24	you move forward.
25	MS. JILL CLINE: Yes, sir. And when we



1	have our ground-breaking ceremony, you'll all get
2	invitations.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
4	We're still in Item 6, down to Item D,
5	which is North Valley Academy Charter School. I see
6	a request for an enrollment cap increase.
7	Please come forward. Please introduce
8	yourselves.
9	MS. SUSAN McCONNELL: Good morning. I'm
10	Susan McConnell. I'm the principal at North Valley
11	Academy.
12	MR. RAY BARTON: Ray Barton, Chief
13	Operating Officer with North Valley Academy.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
15	Director Poulos, do you have thoughts on
16	this question?
17	MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
18	Commissioners, CSD has evaluated this amendment
19	request for an increase to the enrollment cap and
20	does not feel that they can recommend the approval
21	of this request based on the school's academic
22	performance.
23	Additionally, the school indicated part of
24	its rationale was an increased demand and increase
25	in intention of students. However, an evaluation of



the enrollment counts at the 120th day demonstrated a decrease in enrollment by 21 students.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Would you care to respond to those comments?

MS. SUSAN McCONNELL: Please. So currently for enrollment in the fall, we are at capacity with a healthy waiting list. We are only requesting an additional 24 students, which would allow us to build some stability into the elementary school and have three classrooms at each of the grade levels K through 5.

Part of the reason of the decrease for this year is because we had to turn away some families that had siblings in the fourth and fifth grade, where we didn't have room, because we currently are operating with a multi-age combined classroom. So if we just had the addition of 24 students, as Director Poulos just commented, we are down by 21. If I could increase, then I could accept the siblings of these families and be at capacity.

One more comment, please. I would just like to remind that we, last year, met or exceeded all six of our negotiated goals, and that we are on track to do the same this year.



1 THE CHAIR: Are there any questions? 2 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I make a comment 3 first? 4 THE CHAIR: Well, okay. Commissioner Gipson? 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 6 We met through 7 negotiations. And this was actually part of the 8 contract renewal and part of their performance frameworks. And the subcommittee felt more 9 10 comfortable with it going before the full Commission 11 and our just not making that decision, that they 12 have the enrollment cap increase, unilaterally; so 13 that we wanted them to come forward. 14 But I know there's -- through the report 15 card grade, it looks like there's issues. And I 16 know they've had some difficulties, and I know 17 they've had some staff transitions. But I truly do 18 believe that they are working rigorously to put this 19 school in the right direction, and I'm fully 20 confident in the hard work that they are putting in And I think this is a minimal increase of 21 here. 22 students that could move them along. 23 Thank you for those comments. THE CHAIR: 24 And that has been discussed each year when we were negotiating these things. And that was -- we came 25



to kind of a consensus that all -- for these 1 amendment requests, we should go ahead and handle 2 3 them separately so all Commissioners would be given 4 an opportunity to weigh in. And that's why we're 5 here today. Are there any other thoughts or comments 6 7 or questions? 8 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I do. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez? 9 10 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, it just seems 11 to me that when we have -- or when there are 12 concerns about a school's performance, and the 13 school -- and I'm sure the school is working 14 diligently to improve -- but it seems to me that 15 it's almost better, wiser -- I'm not sure what word 16 to use -- but to see that improvement first before 17 we approve increases in their cap. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other 19 thoughts? Commissioner Toulouse? 20 21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I was 22 part of the negotiation team. And I understand what 23 they're saying. This really doesn't tie in with 24 grades or anything. It has to do with the stability 25 of their elementary school population. And that



1 would help to feed on -- into the other programs, if 2 they could do this. 3 It really is a minimal thing. And I think 4 all of us who negotiated that understood that; and I 5 certainly am in favor of this one. Thoughts or questions? 6 THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chairman? 7 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Just to add to 9 10 that, I was also on the negotiating team. 11 personally said they should ask for 550 rather than 12 524, because --13 THE CHAIR: 534. 14 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: -- or whatever it 15 is -- because I think it's always good to have a 16 little cushion there. But these folks from the 17 school said, no, they didn't need those extra 18 They simply needed this number of numbers. 19 additional spaces to accommodate, as you've said, 20 siblings from -- so that they could all enroll in 21 the school.

I agree. I was convinced it would add to the stability and the well-being of the school and promote the school success. I'm all for it.

THE CHAIR: Anything else? Well, before



22

23

24

we get to the motion for this one, our legal counsel 1 2 says he does not see any signed and approved 3 governing council minutes with this. I don't see them, either. Did you provide them? MS. SUSAN McCONNELL: We did. 5 THE CHAIR: Do you have them, Director? 6 7 Are we just missing them here in the book? 8 I have to tell you, my book is as big as 9 the New York City phone book; so I'm having a hard time finding any of them in it. 10 11 MS. POULOS: They are not included here. 12 I can ensure that the Commission receives those. 13 THE CHAIR: Let's make sure that's a part 14 of our motion, that we see the approved minutes. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And it is 24. 16 THE CHAIR: Yeah, the increase is 24; the 17 number is 534. I just wanted to be sure, when 18 somebody went to the record, exactly what it was. 19 All right. Commissioner Toulouse, please 20 make the motion, then. 21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I move that the 22 PEC approve the amendment request presented by the 23 North Valley Academy requesting to expand its enrollment cap from 510 to 534 to provide stability 24 25 for their elementary school enrollment process, and



1	with the condition that we receive signed, approved
2	copies of the governing board minutes.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
4	Toulouse.
5	Is there a second?
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second.
7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman seconds.
8	We have a motion and a second.
9	Is there any further discussion?
10	Mr. Secretary? Please, a roll-call vote?
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Armbruster?
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Chavez?
16	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Gipson?
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Peralta votes "Yes."



1	Commissioner Toulouse?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Conyers?
5	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
7	Shearman?
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Bergman?
11	THE CHAIR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
13	9 to 1 in favor of the motion.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
15	That motion has been approved by a 9-to-1 vote; and
16	good luck with that. Thank you.
17	All right. Commissioners, that actually
18	ends Item 6. We're getting close to the lunch hour;
19	but I would like to move into Item 7. If we can get
20	one or two of those done before the lunch hour,
21	we'll be in better condition.
22	Let's move to Item 7, which is Discussion
23	and Possible Action on Newly Negotiated Performance
24	Contracts and Performance Frameworks.
25	Item A is Cariños de los Niños Charter



1 School of Cordova. Please come forward.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We're trying to make this geographically feasible for schools; so we're trying to get the schools that have to drive in so that they can get on the road and go home. So bear with us a second.

THE CHAIR: Let's go ahead and go with this one. Then we'll do -- please introduce yourselves, and then I think I'll call on Commissioner Gipson, who is leading negotiations this year, for any thoughts she might have.

Go ahead and introduce yourself.

MR. VERNON JARAMILLO: Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, my name is Vernon Jaramillo. I am the chancellor for Cariños de los Niños Charter School in Cordova, New Mexico. With me is my principal, Bernice Life. She is also the principal, diagnostician and curriculum specialist.

THE CHAIR: We're glad that you're here today.

Commissioner Gipson, do you -- do you actually want to -- I don't want you to have to make seven different comments. Do you want to combine your comments into just one comment? That'll be fine.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure; because I think there was nothing extraordinarily difficult or different with any of the negotiations.

I appreciate all of the time and the effort that all of the schools put in to getting us to the point of negotiations, and I enjoyed and appreciated the vigorous conversations that we had about each of the schools. To me, that's one of the highlights of doing this is to truly getting to meet the people from the different schools, getting a better understanding of each of the schools.

And I think we had great conversations. I think we worked hard in getting rigorous goals. I know we've had discussions after the fact about what they may look like in the future; and it's an ongoing process. And I think all of the schools are committed to -- not only to these goals, but we had expanded conversations about their overall missions and where they're going.

And I feel confident, with each of these schools -- and some of them were new to the PEC; so that was also a little extra layer for some of these schools to be dealing with this paperwork, which they had not dealt with, with their -- as we've seen, with their district-authorized schools.



So it's a completely different process 1 2 and, I think, a valuable process for them, as well. 3 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr? COMMISSIONER CARR: So I probably -- I 4 don't know if we've ever done this before. But if 5 there are no objections from any of the people who 6 7 were involved in negotiations --8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Jeff, I can't hear 9 you. COMMISSIONER CARR: If there were no 10 11 objections from any of the people involved in the 12 negotiations, could we not vote on all of them 13 together, you know? And then if there are -- if 14 there's some questions about an individual school, I 15 guess we could -- we could hold that one off or 16 something? 17 But, I mean, I trust the members of the 18 negotiation team. And I don't have any -- you know. 19 And I've seen paperwork that was sent out. 20 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm certainly 21 comfortable, personally, with doing that. 22 think it would certainly expedite for the schools. 23 If the schools -- and the schools wanted to add something in after the fact, that's -- we're 24 25 certainly open for hearing from the schools if they



want to add something, and certainly open to if any 1 of the Commissioners have anything particular. 2 3 I'm certainly open to voting as a group. 4 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that discussion, Commissioner Carr. 6 I attended every 7 negotiation session. I am very satisfied with the 8 goals that we were able to negotiate, and the schools were satisfied with them, as well. 10 I feel they're rigorous; I feel they're 11 very attainable. But I do think that schools are 12 going to be working hard, and they certainly have 13 been very agreeable to that. 14 I would support your suggestion that we 15 approve all of these in one fell swoop. I'm very 16 comfortable with each and every one of them. 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse, were 18 you at those negotiations? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I did 19 20 part of them, and I certainly agree. 21 Do you concur? THE CHAIR: 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, I do. By the 23 time that you get all of the discussions done on any 24 contract, it's pretty much -- it's already decided, 25 you know. I mean, they know what they want; we know



what we need. And we don't have any this year that we had to have a second round with or anybody coming back to us.

And I had intended to do two others. I just couldn't get up here to Santa Fe to do the ones here.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Ambruster?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: This is just a procedural question for you, Katie, actually.

I'm trying to not be the middle school teacher. When we have a new school -- although I know you're not new-new, but you're new to the PEC's authority, do we -- do you observe them more often than you do someone that -- who have been a State-chartered school for ten years, or five years, even?

Because I just wanted to set up the expectations so that I understood it. Because even though we are confident that you'll do fine, you are new to us, and we don't know that much.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner Armbruster,
Chairman Bergman, Commissioners, I'm not aware of
any PEC protocol with regards to that. And we
implement the one site visit per year that is
required according to the statute. And there are



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	some other schools where their contracts have
2	required multiple or more than one site visit,
3	and we were working to ensure that that was
4	implemented according to PEC's expectations.
5	But I'm not aware of any expectations with
6	regards to schools that transfer in. And so if the
7	PEC wants to direct us in that, I'm happy to receive
8	that direction.
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Is that and
10	what about brand new schools? Because one of these
11	that we're approving is brand new; right,
12	Ms. Gipson?
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's not on this
14	list.
15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh, I'm sorry. No,
16	we don't have any new schools. Six Directions and
17	SAHQ will come in June.
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Even though
19	we've already done them.
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We're putting those
21	schools on the same day, because they are a
22	different we deal with them a little differently.
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And do they get
24	more visits, since they're brand new, to get some
25	quidance?



```
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: New schools do --
 1
 2
     brand new schools do get a site visit in the fall,
 3
     start-up. They get one early, and then one in the
     spring; correct?
               MS. POULOS: Commissioner Gipson and Chair
 5
     Bergman and Commissioners, that is correct.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Yeah.
 8
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay.
 9
     you.
                           Anything else, Commissioners?
10
               THE CHAIR:
11
     I am amenable. Do any of the seven of you feel
12
     compelled to come and say something to us?
13
               It sounds like you're in.
14
               MR. JASPER MATTHEWS: Just want to say
15
     "Hi."
16
               THE CHAIR: We haven't noted -- nothing is
17
     for sure. I don't want this to be prejudged.
18
     if we could do it in one swoop instead of doing
19
     seven different servings, that would help us a
     little bit.
20
21
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   It looks like somebody
22
     from The GREAT Academy wanted to --
23
               THE CHAIR: Jasper, did you have
24
     something?
25
               MR. JASPER MATTHEWS: Yes, please.
```





THE CHAIR: Come forward. 1 Identify 2 yourself. 3 He's bringing a lawyer. I don't know 4 about that. MS. PATTI MATTHEWS: We'll make it really 5 fast, Commissioner. Mr. Chair, members of the 6 7 Commission, of course, I had to throw a monkey wrench into all of this. 8 9 But one of the things that came up during 10 the discussion at the negotiations was wanting to 11 negotiate off for the -- if we're looking only at 12 the one academic indicator that is the school report 13 card currently on the framework, if you get a C at 14 any time -- the first time you get a C, you're 15 kicked into the improvement plan process. 16 And so both -- all three schools, J. Paul 17 Taylor, that I was at the negotiations for, Red 18 River, and GREAT Academy, we presented a resolution that had two forms of the performance framework. 19 20 So I'll stop there and ask if you want to 21 deal with those separately, or what would be the 22 wish of the Commission? 23 THE CHAIR: Yeah, because I -- because, 24 see, the Charter School Act is the one that I



believe mandated that C and the improvement plan.

```
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's our charter.
 1
 2
     It's in our charter.
 3
               THE CHAIR: What -- did you guys discuss
 4
     this?
 5
               MS. PATTI MATTHEWS: It's in your
 6
     performance framework.
 7
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's in the
 8
     contract.
 9
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Our attorney put
10
     it in there at the time.
11
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, that's -- it's
12
     in the contract that it's in the charter.
13
     the contract.
14
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But it wasn't
15
    mandated to be there; it's just there.
16
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just add,
17
     hopefully, to maybe move this along?
                                           I think when
18
     we're looking at the discussion of the improvement
19
     plan, that might be an issue that will be resolved
20
     through that, at that time.
               THE CHAIR: Or, actually, that little
21
22
     short form that you saw us discussing --
23
               MS. PATTI MATTHEWS: Absolutely.
24
     just mentioned that to Mr. Matthews. I haven't had
     a chance to talk to J. Paul Taylor and/or Red River
25
```



```
1
     about that discussion yesterday.
               I just wonder, if it goes south, what
 2
 3
     happens then? Because you haven't voted on it.
 4
               THE CHAIR: Right now, let's wait until it
 5
     goes south.
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.
 6
 7
     looking -- I'm the glass half full on this one,
 8
     okay?
 9
               MS. PATTI MATTHEWS: I so appreciate that,
10
     Commissioner Gipson.
               Could -- would it be amenable to the
11
12
     Commission -- I'm going to be here. If -- I hate
13
     for those three schools to have to stick around.
14
     If -- I could present that issue, if, in fact,
15
     something just happened to happen that we needed to
16
     come back in front of you.
17
               THE CHAIR: Excuse me. Commissioners?
18
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: It seems like
19
     it's a -- I get the issue. I understand what you're
20
     saying. But maybe it's a discussion item that we
     need to do at a different time; because they're
21
22
     just --
23
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think we'll be
24
     discussing it in Item 12. I really do.
25
               MS. PATTI MATTHEWS:
                                    I agree.
```





1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And at that time,
2	I would I would really like to see you the
3	schools, if they can, stay for that discussion. If
4	it works out well, fine; if not, then we'll put it
5	on for another discussion, that particular item.
6	THE CHAIR: Well, should we do one motion
7	for the four schools, then, and then one motion for
8	the three schools?
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think we do one
10	motion for all of them. All of them.
11	THE CHAIR: I don't want to put us or them
12	in a bind. Just throwing out ideas. Let's do
13	seven. And if I really do think that proposed
14	improvement plan should answer your concerns, the
15	school's concerns. I really do, if you're amenable.
16	MR. JASPER MATTHEWS: Yes.
17	MS. MATTHEWS: Can I look at my other two
18	schools? Ms. Phillips?
19	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
20	MS. PATTI MATTHEWS: Ms. Garcia?
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Are we adding any
22	conditions?
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, we're voting on
24	all, with no conditions.
25	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Are you getting



1	okays from
2	THE CHAIR: All right. Let's do it that
3	way. I haven't worded a motion today. I'll be
4	happy to word it.
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Do you have
6	the list of those schools?
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: I don't think it's a
8	difficult motion.
9	THE CHAIR: Do you want to do it? I'm
10	having trouble with my throat. Go ahead.
11	Individually name the schools,
12	Commissioner Carr. Name all seven, please.
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah. I move that we
14	accept the negotiated performance contracts and
15	performance frameworks for Cariños de los Niños
16	Charter School, The GREAT Academy, Red River Valley
17	Charter, J. Paul Taylor Academy, Roots and Wings
18	Community School, Media Arts Collaborative Charter
19	School, and North Valley Academy Charter School.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.
21	Do I have a second?
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: (Indicates.)
23	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
24	I have a motion and a second.
25	Is there any further discussion? Seeing



1	none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a roll-call vote?
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Shearman? Commissioner Shearman?
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes. Sorry.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Toulouse?
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, definitely.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Gipson?
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Peralta votes "Yes."
17	Commissioner Conyers?
18	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
20	Ambruster?
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Chavez?
24	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner





1	Bergman?
2	THE CHAIR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
4	10 to 0 in favor of the motion.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
6	That is and thank you, Commissioner Carr, for
7	that suggestion. That does speed us up.
8	It is now 10 till noon. Does the
9	Commission want to go forward? I think we have some
10	work to do yet.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: We could do one more.
12	THE CHAIR: What?
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: We could do one more.
14	MR. VERNON JARAMILLO: Mr. President,
15	members of the Commission, on behalf of our
16	governor's board, our parents and students, we're
17	ready for the challenge and ready to work with the
18	Commission and with Katie.
19	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I forgot you're
20	still sitting there. You're not our captives; but
21	thank you for those comments.
22	MR. JASPER MATTHEWS: Same from us, too.
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We thank you all
24	for coming here today. We appreciate you.
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I do a little



housekeeping while we're waiting? If you don't want 1 2 to take these papers home, as we're finishing with 3 them, if you could take them out of the binder, 4 because the recycling bin is going to be here at the 5 end of the day. So I'd appreciate it if you'd, on your way 6 7 out, recycle these papers so that Beverly doesn't 8 have to do this arduous work of recycling all these 9 papers out of the binder. So if we can just put 10 them aside and -- because we have all these 11 electronically, for those of you that love them. Т 12 have a folder for all the schools; but it's all 13 electronic. But anything that you want to take 14 home, take home. But otherwise, if we could just 15 get ready to recycle all of these, that would be a 16 big help at the end of the day. Thanks. 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, are you 18 saying you want to go ahead and do No. 8 and then 19 take a lunch break? 20 COMMISSIONER CARR: Is that okay? THE CHAIR: That's fine. 8 is going to be 21 22 very brief, I think. 23 Item 8 is Discussion and Possible Action



on Performance Contract Protocol signature page.

You may be wondering what that's about.

24

Director Poulos -- Director Poulos has 1 2 discovered, as she went through the files, that 3 somehow a bunch of contracts and performance frameworks, the signature pages are not signed. 5 Now, I know all those documents were 6 No one seems to know where the signature 7 pages went to. 8 And what Director Poulos proposed -- but I 9 don't see it in our book, Katie. I thought you had 10 a little letter or -- who proposed it? 11 you, Commissioner -- I thought we were --12 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, no, no. 13 came as a result of -- as far as I know -- because I 14 don't -- I was asked to put it on the agenda because 15 I thought there was something Katie wanted done. 16 That's -- okay. 17 MS. POULOS: I have a -- what I believe is 18 not a policy that needs to be approved that's a 19 practice to say, "If you would like a copy, you're 20 going to need to send two originals." 21

And I'm not sure that that would need to be something -- it is something I have been doing in practice. And I sent it to Julia, because she was directing them otherwise.

THE CHAIR: Just make sure there are



22

23

24



```
1
     signed copies in CSD's file.
 2
               MS. POULOS:
                            That's my concern.
 3
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We were not sure if
 4
     there had to be an actual protocol voted on.
 5
     was our only concern. Otherwise, if there's no
 6
     issue, we're good.
 7
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay.
 8
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think it worked;
     did it not?
 9
               MS. POULOS: I have a lot of documents for
10
11
     Commissioner Bergman to sign up on my desk.
12
               THE CHAIR: Well, then, you and I need to
13
     visit, because I'm probably not going to be here at
14
               I may need to go out with you and sign
     the end.
15
     them.
16
               MS. POULOS:
                            Okay.
17
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Beverly, do you want
18
     the dividers saved?
19
               MS. FRIEDMAN:
                              No, not any of them.
20
               THE CHAIR: Do we want to stop at Item 8?
21
     Or do we want -- how extensive do you think Item 9
22
     is going to be, Commissioner Shearman?
23
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: What is Item 9?
24
               THE CHAIR: That's the "high performing
25
     school" --
```



COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We've discussed it 1 2 extensively before. 3 What happened yesterday, for THE CHAIR: 4 the Commissioners that were not able to come to the 5 work session, we have been, as a Commission, trying to define what is a "high performing school" for 6 7 several reasons. Our legal counsel has advised us 8 that because this Commission does not have 9 rule-making authority, we need to define "high 10 performing schools" and put our definition on paper. 11 We will need to vote to approve that 12 definition when we have a consensus copy, and I 13 think we're close. But then what is required, then, 14 then it has to go to the Secretary. She then has to 15 initiate the actual rule-making process, which requires a hearing, et cetera, et cetera. 16 17 So I just wanted to make you -- and I 18 think we decided we would put that back, did we not, 19 until June, or --20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No. 21 THE CHAIR: Are we discussing it today? 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yeah. 23 THE CHAIR: You want to discuss it now, or 24 do you want to do it after lunch? 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It's up to you.



COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well, I think we've already discussed it, have we not? We've had work sessions on it. I think we even discussed it in a regular session. So I think we can get through the discussion fairly quickly.

THE CHAIR: Then let's do it.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And just to add on, just adding on to what you said, what I recall from yesterday was that someone -- I don't know whether it's Sam -- was going to check to see, with our criteria, what the number of schools that would actually qualify.

THE CHAIR: I don't think he can do that overnight.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No, I don't think so. That's why I'm not sure.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think you're correct. I think at the end -- I'm going to have to defer, I think, to Commissioner Bergman on this. I think the Deputy Secretary had said that he would run the numbers to see if there were any schools that, in fact, met the high -- this "high performing" criteria. He would have that for us in June, and then we would have a greater ability to know if our definition was a workable definition or



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 not. 2 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So doesn't that 3 mean --4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But we did not 5 say -- I don't recall that we decided, "So let's skip it on today's agenda." We just left it that he 6 7 would provide us with that information in June. So I think -- you know, doesn't matter to 8 9 We can either go ahead and accept it or talk me. 10 about it today or defer it until June. 11 But, you know, my only concern is we've 12 been dealing with this since November. 13 something happen that that information could not be 14 available in June, we just keep rolling it over. 15 can always amend this -- this -- this "high performing school" criteria and definition if we 16 17 decide that any of our numbers are not what we want 18 them to be. 19 But frankly, I'd like to go ahead and get 20 something on the books that's workable, that we can 21 at least deal with. Right now, we have nothing. 22 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm fine. I was 23 not against it. I just remember that little caveat 24 and thought -- I didn't actually know what to think.



That's fine, if we can talk about what we've done

and decide, and then change it if it doesn't seem reasonable.

THE CHAIR: Now, there is the -- the document that's in your book under No. 9, there were a couple of changes made to it. But Commissioner Toulouse --

what I wanted to say, that the four people who weren't here don't know yet what we did yesterday, where we took out the SAM schools to look at -- with only six schools being SAM schools, we took them out to see if we could, down the road, find a way. Then there was the graduation rate number that Karyl Ann suggested we increase to 80 rather than 5 percent above the average.

That's what I understood we would be voting on today, or what we decided yesterday with those deletions.

THE CHAIR: And I would like to know, since you mentioned that, the Deputy Secretary was a little uncomfortable with us removing the SAM schools from the definition, because he thought that might be unfair to the SAM schools. So then we went -- Commissioner Shearman, would you like to briefly present what you have right now?



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I would be glad

to. I sent the grade sheets with this that I had

done on the information from the SAM schools. As

Commissioner Toulouse said, there are only six

charter schools that we authorize that are -- have

been defined as SAM schools. One of those is the

Sign Language Academy. And as you know, they took a

good deal of time to come up with evaluation

instruments for their students, because they're so

different; so I really didn't have sufficient data

to even include them in the sampling.

So that left us with five schools of data. Some of those didn't have more than a couple of years of data. Some of them did not have graduation data, because they didn't have cohort groups. So I felt like the information we had not only was from a very small pool, but was also not indicative, really, of all SAM schools, overall.

So I recommended that we don't just dump the SAM schools. I recommended that we take them out of this document until we can be given the opportunity to do more research and collect more data and come back with perhaps more realistic numbers to put in here for the SAM schools.

They're not being just discarded. They're



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

simply being put off until we can come up with more data. When you've only got five schools, one school can skew the data; or one year's data can skew everything. So my thought was to remove them so that we don't unfairly skew their data.

I would just point out one other thing.

On the copy that you have in your notebook, on

Page 3, there is an explanation. Notice the lighter

print is in red on the original. But that indicates

the corrections, additions, or edits that this

Commission made during November.

Then the -- as Commissioner Toulouse said, we changed F-1 on the first page to read that the graduation rate of no less than 80 percent or more for the three most recent report cards -- that's the only change we suggested yesterday. Everything else is exactly as we talked about in November and December.

THE CHAIR: And I can tell you, just that change on the graduation rate required a great deal of discussion.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It did. It did.

And, Commissioner Bergman, Mr. Chairman, just to

move this thing along, I'm going to make the motion

that PEC adopt this definition of criteria for "high



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	performing schools," as presented May of 2016, with
2	the change that I just talked about in graduation
3	rate on Page 1 and with the deletion of all
4	information regarding SAM schools that will be
5	researched, and more valid information brought back
6	to this Commission for inclusion at a later date.
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Do you want to add
8	the requirement for it being tested by CSD?
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
10	THE CHAIR: I was listening here. I heard
11	a second. Commissioner Carr.
12	We have a motion by Commissioner Shearman;
13	we have a second by Commissioner Carr.
14	Is there anyone that wishes to have any
15	further discussion?
16	Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, can we have a
17	roll-call vote on that, please?
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Conyers?
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
25	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Toulouse?
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
7	Gipson?
8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Armbruster?
11	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Shearman?
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Peralta votes "Yes."
17	Commissioner Bergman?
18	THE CHAIR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
20	10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
22	That motion has passed with a unanimous vote. That
23	takes care of Item 9.
24	I believe Items 11 and 12 will go very
25	quickly. I've been wrong before.





1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: What about Item 10?
2	THE CHAIR: Item 10. I was going to ask,
3	since you have a report on charter school audit, do
4	you expect that to be fairly extensive, Director?
5	Are you going to need a lot of time?
6	If so, we are going to take a lunch break.
7	MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman,
8	Commissioners, I have provided the information for
9	your information. I do not have I could read it
10	to you in the record. That would take a great
11	amount of time.
12	I do not want to do that. I don't know
13	what more I could add, except an update on the
14	schools that have or have not submitted their
15	corrective action plans that were required by the
16	PED's Audit Bureau.
17	THE CHAIR: I hadn't gotten to that item
18	yet. So it is here in the book.
19	MS. POULOS: That, except the update on
20	the corrective action plans.
21	THE CHAIR: Item 10, Schools of Concern.
22	Do you have anything?
23	MS. POULOS: Again, that's for you to
24	review and ask me any questions that you have. The
25	only item there on Item B is the changes, the



governing changes. Those are again provided for you to review and do with as you please.

You have not been approving those. You've simply been accepting them and thanking the schools for that information.

THE CHAIR: I guess that's actually preferable to you reading what we could read.

Does anybody have any questions in that area?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I do have a question on the CEPi and the closing-down process; because when we've closed schools in the past, there has been a protocol that was followed that we know that it's been closing down properly, that the materials from the school, the furnishings from the school and all, are being handled properly, and what the time schedule is, because there are records of the schools that have to be moved, all of those things. I just wondered how is that process going.

MS. POULOS: So as with our past closures, Sandy Beery has been contracted to handle that matter. She has been following the protocol and has been providing updates.

I'm happy to provide that. We didn't want



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to drown you with documents that weren't items that 1 2 you needed to take action on. And so if you would 3 like that in the future, I am happy to provide that. 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I think that's 5 very educational, since we do so few of them, for the ones of us who do them to understand what 6 7 happens to a charter school when it closes. So if 8 we could do that in June, thank you. COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair? 9 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr? 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: So I guess this isn't 11 12 It was brought to my attention that a 13 complaint was filed with the Attorney General's 14 Office in regards to CEPi. And where that will go, 15 from what I understand, is that the director was 16 withholding a transcript from a SpEd student. 17 That's all I know; and so that I believe there's 18 going to be ongoing investigations against individuals within that school. That's neither here 19 20 nor there. FYI. THE CHAIR: Yeah, thank you; because I 21 22 think we've done our -- I think we're kind of out of 23 it, aren't we? COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, we're out of it. 24 25 THE CHAIR: But, yeah, we still have an



1 FBI investigation going on somewhere else. And who 2 knows -- it seems to have a momentum all of its own. 3 Director, do you have anything else to add 4 under Item 10? 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Commissioner Ambruster had her --6 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: environmentalist, I want to ask this question. 8 we have -- if we've finished with CEPi and we don't 9 10 know any more about Southwest, and I don't know who 11 else -- Anthony -- do we need to keep having that 12 provided to us every month? 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'm sorry? 14 THE CHAIR: What's being provided? 15 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Well, all of 16 this, you know, the things that -- repeated; because 17 it's in the same situation, Anthony, how they're not doing this and they're not doing that, and the CEPi 18 19 closure and everything that we have already done, 20 and Southwest, that we don't know anything. 21 And my question is -- I'm not upset about 22 I'm just saying, in the interest of a tree, do 23 we need to have that complete information every 24 time? 25 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I want it.



1 would want it. COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: All that 2 3 information? 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: I want an update. 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can we do an update 6 with, just, if -- not have to print everything out 7 unless it's new information? Could we do that, just 8 saying you've got nothing -- nothing additional to add to what has previously been provided to us? 9 Would that be sufficient? 10 11 THE CHAIR: Anything to make the 12 Director's job easier. 13 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So that the list of 14 the Schools of Concern is still there; but as long 15 as there's no additional information to be provided, that that's sufficient? I'm --16 MS. POULOS: I'm currently leaving the 17 item as it is and adding anything that needs to be 18 19 new or updated. So I would have to go back and 20 delete everything else. So it doesn't make my life It would take a bit more time. 21 Again, I do want to offer that we are 22 23 providing all these materials electronically. And 24 any of you who would like to give up the paper



binder, I would be happy to facilitate that process;

1 because I do think it's great to utilize the 2 electronic resources, as you're able. 3 So if you would communicate that to either 4 me or Beverly, we would be happy to stop preparing a 5 binder for you. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I would gladly do 6 7 that; but oftentimes, the motions don't get into the electronic. That's the -- that's what would have to 8 9 change. We get the bits and pieces of the 10 information; but we don't -- you know, there are 11 some things that are in the binder that --12 MS. POULOS: And that's only when we're 13 getting information late; so the information that 14 was added today was because --15 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The motions. They don't go into the electronic. 16 17 MS. POULOS: They do. They're on those

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oftentimes, the motions aren't.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I would simply say let's take into consideration, too, that not all of our Commissioners are nuts about computers, like some of us are, and that would not work for them, I don't think.



reports.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm just saying if
2	we want to do it individually, I would gladly go
3	electronic. I'm fine with that; because I have my
4	own little folders, and I do that. So I'm great
5	with that.
6	THE CHAIR: My suggestion would be for
7	Commissioners that don't want a book, notify Beverly
8	and CSD that you don't want a book. Those that want
9	the book, please if you don't hear from
10	someone like me, for instance. I want a book,
11	okay? Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And I do
13	appreciate the audit information. That's the last
14	item in 10. I don't know that there's anything
15	necessarily that the Director needs to talk about,
16	unless there's something she wants to bring to our
17	attention. But I appreciate the information being
18	provided.
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.
20	THE CHAIR: Yes. That is good. I
21	here, again so are we finished with Item 10,
22	then?
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
24	THE CHAIR: All right. I'm just going to
25	go with the will of the Commission.



1	MS. POULOS: Chair Bergman? I'm sorry.
2	THE CHAIR: Director?
3	MS. POULOS: I did want to provide one
4	update, if you are amenable to that
5	THE CHAIR: Please.
6	MR. LANGE: which was, as you'll see in
7	those materials, the schools that have audit
8	findings were requested to provide a corrective
9	action plan. You may have seen that letter go out
10	from the Deputy Secretary.
11	And I just need to find it, if you'd give
12	me one moment. But I have a list of the schools
13	that have not yet submitted those. And it is past
14	the deadline that was established by the PED to
15	submit that. So I thought it would be important for
16	you to hear that.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you for that.
18	MS. POULOS: I apologize that I need one
19	second.
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You are talking
21	about for the audit results; right?
22	MS. POULOS: That's correct.
23	THE CHAIR: Since we're using the word
24	"audit," Commissioner Shearman told me something
25	from the LFC yesterday here, again, this is an



FYI. In case you haven't seen it, the Secretary has requested \$317,000 to do an investigation on those

197 findings on the audit report that I guess

4 pertained to charter schools.

I don't know if that's all charter schools or just State-authorized -- I don't know where their findings are. I thought for your information, you ought to know that. So they're looking into it.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But may I ask a question? The \$317,000 is being requested from the "2 percent" money. I thought PED had all the "2 percent" money.

MS. POULOS: I actually don't know what the Commissioner -- Chairman is speaking about; and so I can't answer that question.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It's on the LFC newsletter that we received on Monday.

MS. POULOS: And I did not receive that. So I will be happy to follow up on that. But I don't have that information, and I cannot answer that question.

THE CHAIR: I thought you and the Secretary were talking about everything. I guess you're not.

MS. POULOS: We all have lots to do on our



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 own plates. 2 THE CHAIR: I quess you do. 3 MS. POULOS: So I do have the update, if 4 you're prepared for that. 5 THE CHAIR: Go right ahead. So the schools that have 6 MS. POULOS: 7 not -- as of Monday at 3:39 p.m., the schools that 8 have not submitted a corrective action plan that are 9 still authorized by the PEC are Cottonwood Classical 10 Preparatory School, La Promesa Early Learning 11 The other ones are either now authorized by Center. 12 their district or have been closed. 13 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There's only two? 14 MS. POULOS: There are only two. 15 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm not surprised 16 by one of them. 17 THE CHAIR: Okay. Now, are we finished with Item 10 then, Director? 18 19 MS. POULOS: I have nothing. 20 THE CHAIR: You have nothing on Item 10. 21 Does anyone else have anything on Item 10? I'm just 22 going to go with the Commission there. You tell me 23 when you want to stop for lunch. 24 Item 11, "Discussion and Possible Action



on Renewal Application Timeline [sic]." Those who

```
have been around for a while will remember that each
 1
 2
     year, this Commission votes to approve these time
 3
             The director needs it to really get into the
     lines.
 4
     renewal application process.
 5
               Is there a copy in the book?
 6
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I passed out a copy.
 7
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                       I'm ready to go to
 8
     lunch.
               THE CHAIR: And there's a vote for lunch.
 9
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just cut me off at
10
11
     the knees.
12
                                       I'm sorry.
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
13
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can we get
14
     through --
15
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Whatever you guys
16
     need to do.
               THE CHAIR: I don't think there's a lot of
17
18
     discussion. It's been a routine vote in the past.
19
               Does anybody have a question about the
20
     time line? It's in your book somewhere.
21
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I left a copy of the
22
     renewal time line. It looks like this.
23
     (Indicates.) And it says, "2016 Time Line."
24
     because Beverly printed it out yesterday; so I left
25
     it at everyone's desk.
```



1	So, you know, I didn't reinvent the wheel
2	here. I just took what was on the website and just
3	interposed new dates on it; so
4	THE CHAIR: Looks very similar to what
5	we've done in past years, yes.
6	Anything else? Everybody comfortable with
7	the time line?
8	I see nothing else. Legal counsel says we
9	don't need a roll call; we just need a voice vote.
10	Do I have a motion to accept this time line?
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure.
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: (Indicates.)
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?
14	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I move
15	that we approve the renewal time line presented to
16	us at this meeting.
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
18	THE CHAIR: I have a second from
19	Commissioner Gipson. A motion and a second. All in
20	favor, say "Aye."
21	(Commissioners so indicate.)
22	THE CHAIR: All opposed?
23	(No response.)
24	THE CHAIR: No opposition noted. So that
25	motion to the time line is accepted and approved.



```
And we go forward on the renewal
 1
     applications. I have a vote for lunch. Do others
 2
 3
     want to go to lunch?
                          It is 12:15.
 4
               COMMISSIONER CARR: How much time do we
 5
     have left on the agenda?
               THE CHAIR: Actually, the improvement plan
 6
 7
     should go very quickly, unless you all have
 8
     questions on it.
 9
               COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm hungry, too; but I
10
     also -- I think it would be cool to get it done.
11
     I'm for a break.
12
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Should we take a
13
     short break?
14
               THE CHAIR: Yeah. Let's take, please just
15
     five minutes. Ten? Okay?
                                 12:25.
                                         12:30?
16
               All right. Director, is it possible for
17
     you and me to go to sign those documents during this
18
     break, if you're -- let's do that, then. We're
19
     taking a rest break until 12:30.
20
               (Recess taken, 12:15 to 12:36 pm.)
               THE CHAIR: All right. We are back in
21
22
    business. I will share with my fellow
23
     Commissioners, I was not dawdling anywhere.
24
     signing a bunch of contract documents.
25
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We were dawdling.
```



Item 12, Discussion and 1 THE CHAIR: 2 Possible Action -- excuse me -- Charter School 3 Improvement Plans and Charter Contract Provisions Relating to Improvement Plans. 5 What Commissioner Gipson actually 6 presented to us yesterday was a very short and very concise and very useful improvement plan document to address some of the concerns that this Commission 8 9 has had about various aspects of improvement plans 10 in recent months. 11 I do not see a copy in the book, though. 12 Is there anyone that --I left it at 13 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 14 everyone's table. Beverly was thoughtful enough to 15 make a color copy that I think is a little more 16 understandable; except I will apologize to those 17 that weren't here yesterday, because I had to 18 landscape this. The -- the right-hand side is 19 really what happens first; because it's the -- it's 20 the winter. And then you've got the --21 MS. FRIEDMAN: Ah. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- you know -- I'm 22 23 fairly computer-literate, but also, when I'm in a 24 hurry, I -- so I played with it as much as I could 25 to get it all on this one page. But this is what it



is.

But before we go forward, we did have a discussion yesterday about the piece of the -- the piece of the contract that kicks in the improvement plan with a letter grade of C, what we -- well, I said -- at least a letter grade of C or lower.

So what I am proposing, and what had been alluded to earlier in the day, is that moving forward, that piece come out of the contract, and that through the self-monitoring process of the performance framework that will happen by each school, what this improvement plan does is it's actually, hopefully, going to make use of those performance frameworks that we spent so much time negotiating.

And by the end of the year, the school does a self-assessment. Each school, no matter what letter grade, they do a self-assessment of their performance frameworks. And that's their academic, their organizational, and their financial. And the financial one does not come in until August, as required.

But we had -- through negotiations, we had discussions with the Vigil Group, in particular.

And they had never seen that document or filled out





that document. So there was a level of concern that was raised there.

So this, hopefully, will take care of that, that it will be -- they are required to turn that self-assessment in. And because they do their audits, their financial people should be able to easily fill in that.

And as we talked about yesterday, one of those check marks is, "Yes, we've paid our taxes." So that's a question that's always nice to have answered.

They do the self-assessment. If they did not meet, fell far below, or are working to meet standard, they automatically put themselves on an improvement plan. They send the documentation to CSD that they've placed themselves on the improvement plan.

Each area that they are deficient gets a separate -- and as recommended by Commissioner Armbruster yesterday, a suggestion that there be a sample of what would be a good indicator -- you know, that there be an example placed on this, so that schools would have some guidance with that.

They notify CSD; they fill this out. And at the end of the year, they provide the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
documentation at the end of the following school
 1
 2
            They provide the documentation that, "Yes,
 3
     we've met this improvement plan."
 4
               CSD will evaluate it. If there's a
 5
     discrepancy between the two, CSD can get back to the
              If the school can provide additional
 6
     information to satisfy that, we're good.
                                                If there's
 8
     still a difference of opinion, then it'll come
 9
     before us, and we can take a look at it.
10
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So I have a
11
     question.
12
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                      Sure.
13
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ:
                                     So basically, in
14
     terms of -- so they -- they are going to sort of
15
     self-evaluate. They'll turn in their improvement
16
     plan; they'll turn in their -- you know, whether
17
     they've met that or not.
18
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct.
19
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ:
                                     So my question is,
20
     do they have to -- are they being asked to provide
21
     supporting documentation as evidence to show that
22
     they have met --
23
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Yes, yes.
24
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ:
                                      Okay.
25
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There's a form
```



1	through the performance framework: "Yes, we have;
2	no, we haven't; no, we" and they have to have
3	documentation of that, absolutely, yes. Yeah.
4	So that it's not just, "Yeah" sending a
5	letter saying, "Oh, yeah, we met."
6	No. There's a form. They check they've
7	met standard; they fell far below. And they have to
8	provide the documentation for that, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So then if there's
10	additional documentation, the CSD will ask them, and
11	they have to provide that additional documentation.
12	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Absolutely, yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So they're
14	not
15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Ambruster, did
16	you have something?
17	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I had to press
18	my button first.
19	Just two things: I think it would be nice
20	if this were on a larger sheet of paper for them to
21	do. Or does that matter?
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do not own
23	11-by-13. So that's why it's on the but Beverly
2 4	does. But I printed it out, initially. I don't
25	my printer and I don't have a I have a laser



```
black-and-white; so, you know, I don't -- but
 1
 2
     absolute- -- it can certainly -- it can go on any
 3
     size paper that PED can afford.
 4
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                         And my second
 5
     thing is when you have a school who is not
     self-reporting; for example, they didn't report that
 6
 7
     they are following IEPs, or they're not doing
     special services for ELL, how do -- we would know --
 8
 9
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That goes into the
10
     organizational performance frame- -- that goes into
11
     the self-assessment of the organizational --
12
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So they have to
13
     sort of self- -- and we hope that they'll do that.
14
     But if we check, and they're not doing it, they're
15
     in really, really big trouble.
16
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Absolutely.
                                                   They're
17
     in a material violation of the contract, no matter
18
            So yes, absolutely.
     what.
19
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So the onus of
20
     reporting is on them, and if they don't do it, it's
21
     pretty much already given us proof of why they
22
     shouldn't continue.
23
                           They put themselves at risk,
               THE CHAIR:
24
     yes.
25
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Absolutely.
                                                   And the
```



whole goal here is for the schools to own this 1 2 improvement plan. They recognize their -- where 3 they need to improve. Our goal is to move schools 4 forward, so that we want the school to -- you know, 5 these are performance frameworks. These are These are Short-Cycle Assessments that indicators. 7 we're asking the schools to do. And hopefully, 8 they're making valuable use of them, and hopefully, this adds to this. 9

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And I'd like

to -- besides that we talked about writing a goal -and I think that's still a good idea of course,
since I suggested it. I think that we might also
make a list of things to make sure that they are
looking at all of those things. The financial is
pretty cut and dry. But all of those other things,
like the ELL, and the special ed --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But that's in the organizational framework. It's all in there. Yeah, it's all outlined in there. They have to answer, "Yes, we've met," and, "No" -- but going along with this, what -- what I am asking is that we also, moving forward, take that out of the contract, that the improvement plan kicks in with a "C" grade or lower, and that if schools that are currently on a



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

contract, they can write a letter to the Chair saying that, "We would -- thank you, and we hope to -- we would like to make use of that opportunity," and put an amendment forward so that we would -- and then hopefully, we would accept an amendment that it would also free them from that letter grade kick-in.

THE CHAIR: And keep in mind that we cannot unilaterally change the contracts. We've already run into that problem one time, and you saw what resulted from that. So -- and that will require cooperation with various parties.

But I'll just throw in on this, this very concise document and a draft that comes with it will actually address two major media concerns, buzz words right now, is "charter school accountability" and "performance framework monitoring."

There have been recent issues in the media and from the LESC. This document will go a long way toward allowing this Commission to show that it is, in fact, requiring this accountability, which we always have, in my opinion, and that we are monitoring the frameworks with -- the CSD staff is, of course, doing the actual monitoring, because they are our staff.



1	So it's actually a great document, I
2	think.
3	Anybody else? Do we
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: If not, I'd like
5	to make a motion.
6	THE CHAIR: Feel free Commissioner
7	Shearman.
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Can I I'm going
9	to do it.
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You may have to
11	change the language.
12	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair, I move
13	that the Public Education Commission adopt the
14	improvement plan with the time line document that
15	have been both presented to this Commission today.
16	I further move that the language requiring
17	schools who make a C, D, or F on their school report
18	card and requiring an improvement plan be removed
19	from all future contracts.
20	And I further add that the opportunity be
21	offered to current contract charter schools, those
22	that are authorized by the Public Education
23	Commission, that if they would like to amend their
24	contract to remove that language which requires an
25	improvement plan for C, D, or F grades, that they be



Τ	allowed to do that through to request that
2	through an amendment filed with the Charter School
3	Division and a letter addressed to the Chair of the
4	Commission notifying that that action is being
5	requested.
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. Could
7	you repeat that?
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: No.
9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman, the one
10	thing I did not hear you say, does this reference to
11	Section 403A? Is that the contract section that you
12	were referencing?
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes, yes.
14	THE CHAIR: Then let's note that that's in
15	the motion also, Section 403A.
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So you didn't say
17	enough.
18	THE CHAIR: That's fine. That's fine. If
19	you want it in your words, just say, "Add that."
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair, I add
21	that reference to Section 403A be referenced in this
22	motion.
23	THE CHAIR: We do have a motion between
2 4	before the Commission. Do I have a second?
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.



1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson has
2	seconded.
3	Is there any further discussion?
4	Commissioner Peralta, may we have a
5	roll-call vote, please?
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Chavez?
10	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Shearman?
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Gipson?
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
18	Peralta votes "Yes."
19	Commissioner Conyers?
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Armbruster?
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Toulouse?





1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Bergman?
6	THE CHAIR: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: That is 10 to 0 in
8	favor of the motion, Mr. Chair.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
10	That motion has been approved and passed. And I
11	think that improvement plan is an excellent step
12	forward, and, as we always do, can be evaluated in
13	the future and additions can be made if it turns
14	out.
15	We're now to Item 13 on the agenda, which
16	is Discussion and Possible Action on a Position
17	Paper Regarding Negotiations with Charter Schools.
18	That was put in here just in case we had
19	such a thing.
20	Do we have such a thing at this time? Is
21	it in the book there?
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
23	THE CHAIR: Somehow, I'm behind the book.
24	I'm Commissioner Shearman, was this your draft
25	document? Would you care to comment on it, please?



COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. This is a document that I believe was
requested a few months ago, and we kept having to
delay it for various reasons.

I would hope that everyone's had an opportunity to read it. Basically, this puts together several conversations that this Commission has had identifying that a contract is the document the school lives by for the term of that contract, that the annual performance indicators in that contract are for the life of that contract, that they are not renegotiated yearly.

They may be renegotiated, should either party choose and both parties agree. So then the negotiations, as is spelled out with newly authorized or renewal charters, renegotiation is spoken to.

And the very last item was an issue that had come up a couple of times, whether one party or the other might seek renegotiation and one party or the other chose to refuse that.

I think we said -- those that I have talked to said in the interests of being efficient with our work, that should not come back up every month; so if that has been requested and denied,



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that it wait a year to come back up. So the parties 2 can give it more time. 3 So I think it's pretty cut and dry, pretty 4 clear, and it's what we've discussed and talked 5 about for some length of time. THE CHAIR: So do you wish to have a vote 6 7 on this today? 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes, sir, I do. 9 THE CHAIR: All right. Is there any 10 discussion on this document? It just does put in 11 place a written policy where we probably haven't had 12 one in the past. 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's right. And 14 it clarifies whether or not these annual performance 15 indicators are to be re-evaluated, renegotiated every year. We've had several different approaches 16 17 to that, several different opinions on this 18 Commission as to whether or not that was necessary. And I think we've all come to the conclusion that 19 20 indicators should be for the life of the contract 21 for validity reasons, if nothing else; so just, I 22 think, clarification and put our process on paper. 23 THE CHAIR: Here, again -- Commissioner 24 Ambruster? 25 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And I think



1	within those five years, if they're not making those	
2	indicators that we all agreed on, they will be	
3	writing their whatever	
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Improvement plan.	
5	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Improvement plan	
6	that we just said "Okay." Am I correct in that	
7	understanding?	
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Sure. That's our	
9	understanding.	
10	THE CHAIR: Ultimately, it's going to tie	
11	together, as we tie bits and pieces together.	
12	Anything else? No further comments?	
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: They report to	
14	us each year we will be getting the report every	
15	year; so we will know how "X" school did each year	
16	on last year's performance frameworks; right?	
17	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Uh-huh. That's	
18	what I thought.	
19	Mr. Chair, if there are no further	
20	comments. I would move the Commission approve this	
21	policy position statement, as presented in the	
22	official materials today.	
23	THE CHAIR: We have a motion.	
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.	
25	THE CHAIR: We have a motion, and a second	



1	by Commissioner Toulouse.
2	(The Chair consults with counsel.)
3	THE CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Secretary, let's
4	have a roll call on this one, please.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Toulouse?
9	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Armbruster?
12	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Conyers?
15	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17	Peralta votes "Yes."
18	Commissioner Gipson?
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
21	Shearman?
22	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Chavez?
25	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.



COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
COMMISSIONER PERALTA: And Commissioner
Bergman?
THE CHAIR: Yes.
COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair, that is
10 to 0 in favor of the motion.
THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
That has passed, and that policy is now in place.
Item 14, Report from the Chair, that
happens to be me.
Update on charter school negotiations? I
have no update. We just got a lot of them out of
the way.
I would like to repeat again what I said
earlier. I greatly appreciate all the work and all
the time and all the hours and all the travel that
the time and all the hours and all the travel that
the time and all the hours and all the travel that Vice Chair Gipson and all those that went to these
the time and all the hours and all the travel that Vice Chair Gipson and all those that went to these negotiation sessions speaking from experience
the time and all the hours and all the travel that Vice Chair Gipson and all those that went to these negotiation sessions speaking from experience from doing it in the past myself, that is a very
the time and all the hours and all the travel that Vice Chair Gipson and all those that went to these negotiation sessions speaking from experience from doing it in the past myself, that is a very great burden.



COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to let

```
1
     people know we still have one more school. We had a
 2
     date mix-up, and we had to postpone them; so that
 3
     we've finished negotiations with one of the two new
     schools that we've got going, and we will finish up
 5
     SAHQ on May 31st.
                           Thank you. And that means
 6
               THE CHAIR:
 7
     you'll have a couple of these schools still on your
 8
     June agenda.
 9
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Right.
10
               THE CHAIR: And hopefully, that will
11
     finish them up.
12
               I don't know if everybody in this
13
     Commission knows this or not. The renewal numbers
14
     that we're being given is there's going to be 23 or
15
     25 or 26 renewal applications. Yes. Director?
16
               MS. POULOS: Chairman Bergman and
17
     Commissioners, I did put an update in your file,
18
     because that was asked for by Commissioner Shearman.
     So in the front of all of your binders, there is a
19
20
     list -- there are two lists.
               One -- if your binder is not on the desk,
21
22
     I can't give you the materials.
23
                                        I'm sorry.
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
24
     you.
25
               MS. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.
                                                      Some
```



```
1
     of the notebooks were already taken back to the
           And so in Katie's defense, I think some of
 2
 3
     the notebooks may have had that put in that cover.
 4
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So they don't have
 5
     it now.
               MS. FRIEDMAN: So if you don't have it, I
 7
     will send it to you electronically, and Katie has
    more copies.
 8
 9
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I did see it.
10
               MS. POULOS: So on that list, the first
11
     list is for State-authorized charter schools.
12
     second list is for district-authorized charter
13
     schools. We haven't been notified of any that are
14
     necessarily going to apply for renewal with the PEC;
15
    but there may be some, which is why I wanted to
16
     include both lists.
17
               I believe when you add both lists, it gets
     to that 21 number that I was -- the 20-something
18
19
    number that I was stating. It looks like we've
20
     got -- I think it was 16 that I counted. One, two,
21
     three --
22
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     It depends on how
23
     you count the Southwest -- I think there's, like,
24
     15.
25
               MS. POULOS: Actually, that Southwest is
```



```
1
     only the Southwest Academy -- or Aeronautics,
 2
     Mathematics, and Science. The others are on a
 3
     different renewal schedule.
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay, right.
 4
                                                    I just
 5
     had one question on it. Because La Jicarita is on
     there; but I guess there's -- because their
 6
 7
     governance council has already voted, did it not?
                           They've indicated that
 8
               MS. POULOS:
 9
     they're not -- their council already voted; so we
10
     will not receive a renewal application for that
11
     school.
12
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So La Jicarita is
13
     not on that list. I don't know if you want to
14
     discuss this now or when we get to the calendar.
15
     There is potential for a significant number of
16
     schools; so I'm questioning whether we can do this
17
     in two days.
18
               THE CHAIR: That's a good discussion.
19
     I think you can wait till you get a little closer to
20
     it to actually see how many -- because it's easy to
21
     add a day. It's easy, unless the room is not
22
     available.
23
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well -- and, you
24
     know, people's schedules. That's all I'm thinking
25
     of; but --
```



THE CHAIR: If this group has the will to 1 2 do it now, let's do it now. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: If we should 4 schedule three to save this room and our schedules, then -- and if it's two, I don't think anyone will 5 complain. 6 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No one is going to 8 complain about getting out early; but if we're sitting here Friday at 5:00, and we're not done, 9 10 then we're looking at a special meeting. And then 11 people are coming back -- but then we'd have to post 12 it. Yes? 13 MR. LANGE: Yeah, all the meetings. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So we wouldn't even 14 15 be able to see you back here on Monday. 16 So I'd rather err on the side of caution 17 And if we don't need it, we're all 18 celebrating, because we're going home early. 19 THE CHAIR: We've done three days before. 20 It's not preferable. If that's the consensus of the 21 Commission, let's add that Wednesday. 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's the 7th? 23 THE CHAIR: Beverly, make the change to 24 the calendar and make the notice, please. So now, 25 it's done.



1	What else?		
2	Okay. Anything else on the charter		
3	negotiations?		
4	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry. What		
5	were the dates on that?		
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: December 7, 8, 9		
7	now.		
8	THE CHAIR: If you get out early, you can		
9	do a little early Christmas shopping or something.		
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Or go home or		
11	something.		
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Or you're already		
13	snowed in here anyway.		
14	THE CHAIR: Item 14B is the update from		
15	the Charter Coalition. Why don't you guys come on		
16	down?		
17	We always thank you for your patience.		
18	Can you introduce yourself?		
19	MS. ROSKOM: Mr. Chair, members of the		
20	Commission, again, thank you, as always. Greta		
21	Roskom, the Co-Director of the New Mexico Charter		
22	School Coalition.		
23	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Commissioner, the rest		
24	of the Commission, I'm Kelly Callahan, and I am the		
25	Co-Director of the New Mexico Charter School		



Coalition.

MS. ROSKOM: We just wanted to briefly report to you today on the projects that we're engaged in and will be keeping us busy through the summer. As a result of the Legislative Session that we just completed in February, we are working on three work groups, in collaboration with the PED and with the LESC; and probably the LFC, too, ultimately.

Those three work groups are, first of all, a funding group. And these are made up of our membership council, schools that are members, as well as other interested people. So we're looking at funding solutions as a response to Senate Bill 141. I know some of you were following that in the last session.

But we hope to come up with a recommended bill for the next session that would address some of what are the perceived funding disparities between traditional district and charter schools, so that we're not -- hopefully, that we're all in harmony.

Secondly, the second committee that we're working -- work group that we have going is on facilities. And primarily, the facilities committee is attempting to find solutions to getting schools





into adequate public spaces.

And, specifically, we're pretty excited. We're working on a project with APS. APS is essentially going to be inviting some schools that could and are interested in participating in this, in pooling some of that HB-33 funding that was just freed up in this last bond election to get some schools built in a more timely manner.

So APS is on board. They're actually pushing this agenda. And we're working with some of our members to see how we're going to be able to make this happen as a pilot for the State. And like I said, PED and the LESC are attending these committees, these committee meetings, and we're keeping them posted in the work sessions throughout the summer.

Oh, yeah. It's important to note that the schools that we're talking about that we're working with to work on facilities are not just APS-approved schools, authorized schools. This includes the PEC-authorized schools, as well. There are 50-some in the State -- I mean, in the district boundaries. Only APS schools have been in the district's Facilities Master Plan.

So this is a way for the -- the





State-sponsored schools to also leverage their HB-33 funds to hopefully get into some facilities.

So the committee is looking at all 50-some schools to see what their individual circumstances are, where they are in the process of getting into an adequate public facility. And then we'll target a few schools to include in this -- in this project. And if it works well, we may go for a larger bond in 2019 to include even more schools.

So it's kind of a pilot for the State that we're pretty excited about. Mark Tolley is no longer in the room; but he's one of the people, too, that's been working with us on that; and Kizito Wijenje, for those of you who know him.

The third committee is looking at accountability. Specifically, this is an issue that you were addressing yesterday in your work group. It came up again today in many of the discussions with the schools, the whole issue of what is a fair and equitable way to look at and to measure schools with unique populations who are in the business of serving dropouts, underperforming populations, the ones that, Mr. Bergman, you so eloquently described in that paragraph that you found, the populations that are undeserved and are difficult populations



1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that are not -- don't fit the norm.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we are looking at an -- we already have 2 3 the SAM -- the Supplemental Accountability Model. 4 As you know, we were talking about that yesterday. 5 We're looking at using that as a baseline, but providing some processes around it, some definitions 6 7 around that, and some measurement tools that 8 hopefully will help inform you when you talk about what is the definition of a "high performing" SAM 9 school, in making those kinds of determinations down 10 11 the road.

So these are the three committees that we have working right now. They may turn into legislation that we propose next year; but we thought it was important for you to know that our membership is actively engaged in this work and that we are trying to work collaboratively with all of the parties that have something at stake.

And so with that, I'm done, and I'll stand for any questions you may have.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. I would ask if you do get to the point where you're going to propose legislation, I hope it's not going to be secret. If it impacts on our work, I think this Commission would like to at least hear about it, if



we don't see it.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I say that, because Senate Bill 446, for instance, which put the performance contracts into place, somehow was one month into the Legislature before this Commission ever heard about it. And we had no input in that bill, even. And we were left scrambling for the second month of the term trying to get some of the changes made in that document.

So if it's possible for you -- you're in a separate group -- keep us in the loop on that. I would appreciate it.

MS. ROSKOM: Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, we are so grateful that you've given us this opportunity to report to you every month, and I think you can be guaranteed that part of our monthly report will be on what's happening in our work at the Legislature.

THE CHAIR: Thank for you that.

Any questions for Kelly or Greta?

As always, thanks for taking the time to sit here through all -- and be with us. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks.

THE CHAIR: We're now to Item 15, PEC

25 | Comments. I will start to my left this time.





1	Commissioner Toulouse?		
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, as		
3	usual, I will say I've already said enough today.		
4	Thank you very much for the opportunity to close.		
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers?		
6	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I have no comment.		
7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman?		
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I just have a		
9	question, Katie. We asked how many schools are		
10	still actively how many applicants are still		
11	actively taking the training workshops for new		
12	applications. Do you have a number for us?		
13	MS. POULOS: I have been working with my		
14	team to ensure we're kind of up-to-date on that.		
15	There's a couple of applicants we haven't heard from		
16	that aren't actively coming to the trainings. It		
17	looks like we will have between six and seven		
18	applications. We may have fewer; but that's what		
19	I'm planning for right now.		
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you.		
21	That's it. Thank you.		
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?		
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just a quick "thank		
24	you" to everyone who's participated in negotiations,		
25	both on our side and the schools'. We truly		



1 appreciate it. 2 Just an update. The LESC has kindly, I 3 say, accommodated their schedule that the discussion 4 on charters will be held the Wednesday before our 5 June meeting, so that there's no chance that we 6 might have a work session. And that will be in 7 Los Alamos. 8 FROM THE FLOOR: Los Lunas. Los Lunas. 9 THE CHAIR: I hear somebody saying "Los Lunas." 10 11 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Heidi from the 12 LESC. MS. HEIDI MacDONALD: Los Lunas, in June. 13 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh, gosh. I thought 15 I was going to go to Los Alamos. So I lied; it's 16 Los Lunas. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez? 17 18 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't have any 19 comments. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Ambruster? 20 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have one 21 22 question for Katie. So if the applicants don't come 23 to the -- do they have to come to all the trainings? 24 And if they don't come to the trainings, do they 25 still get to be a part of --



1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That was our
2	decision.
3	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: What did we
4	decide?
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We put it in, they
6	have to come to the training.
7	THE CHAIR: Training is mandatory. If you
8	don't go to the training, they don't apply.
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So do they have
10	to go to all the trainings? They can't miss any?
11	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think that's
12	what we put in; they had to attend all of them.
13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: All of the
14	trainings.
15	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: That's what I
16	thought. I wasn't sure.
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I guess I have a
18	question. How many training sessions are there?
19	MS. POULOS: We have been conducting
20	training sessions monthly since January; so January,
21	February, March, April, and May; so those five
22	training sessions.
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Are they finished,
2 4	Katie, or do you still have some to go?
25	MS. POULOS: The last training will be on



the 18th, next Wednesday. We'll be covering budget
with the assistance of the PED Budget Department,
and, potentially, also the Audit Department, because
of the internal controls. And then we will be
training them on just submission and getting
everything put together.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm finished.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I'd like to thank everybody for your kind cards and condolences in regards to my mom. My mother; Ruth Carr, born in 1925, lived through the Great Depression. She was a riveter in a bomb factory during World War II in St. Louis, Missouri. My father was a gunner in those bombers. My father died as a -- from World

Ten years ago, she survived, in the basement of her house, when a tornado blew it away, with her cat.

War II disability when I was four years old.

mother raised three sons.

She lived a long, full life, and she was a Gold Star wife because of my dad. And she was very patriotic and a great citizen. She taught me how to -- to read and write before I went to school,



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and -- because I didn't have kindergarten. 1 2 And I just wanted to let you know a little 3 bit about who she was before I left and put that on the record, I guess. Thank you. 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. And it was the people like your 6 appreciate that. 7 mother there in World War II, the literally hundreds 8 of thousands of women that went into the factory 9 because the men were over fighting, that 10 fundamentally changed our society. 11 And, yeah, that was quite a -- that is 12 quite a thing, yeah. 13 Commissioner Pogna? 14 COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.) 15 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta? 16 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'm good, 17 Mr. Chair. Thank you. THE CHAIR: I would just note that 18 19 June 1st is the next magic date. That is the date 20 that those applications you just discussed are due. So within that week after that, you will hear from 21 22 the Director who it is and where they are, and start 23 planning that middle-of-July vacation that you're 24 going to take driving around the state. 25 And since it is July, I hope others will



```
1
     be able to go on it this year.
               So -- so that's -- that's all I have.
 2
 3
     Anything else on comments?
 4
               Here it is. We're now to Item 16,
 5
     which -- Commissioner Convers?
               COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I just thought of
 6
 7
     something. Our June 17th meeting. Is there any
 8
     expectation we'll have a work session on the day
 9
     before, or --
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           I didn't hear anything today
11
     or yesterday that required a work session.
12
               Anybody have a different opinion than I
13
     do?
14
               COMMISSIONER CONYERS:
                                      Okay.
15
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll just be up here
     every day for the LESC; so --
16
17
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: You'll be in
18
     Albuquerque.
19
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll have to shop.
               THE CHAIR: Okay. We do have one name on
20
21
     our Open -- did that answer your question?
22
               COMMISSIONER CONYERS:
                                      Yes.
23
               THE CHAIR: Okay. It says "J. Lloyd."
               Please identify yourself. And I think
24
25
     I'll grant three minutes. Three minutes.
```





MR. JIMMY LLOYD: My name is Jimmy Lloyd. And just coming to -- thank you, first, for letting me have this opportunity to talk. It's going to be very difficult for me to provide everything I have to say in three minutes; but I'll give it a very strong attempt, because there's a lot of truths and information that's going to be supporting my words.

So I want to really talk to the board and ask that you really consider and look into your policies for investigations and complaints.

So I really want to encourage the board to take a positive role in making sure that schools are doing as they're supposed to be doing.

An example, wolves shouldn't be guarding sheep; foxes shouldn't be guarding chickens.

Sometimes our policies allow that type of thing to happen.

So in November 2015 at the PEC meeting,

Ms. Poulos presented Item No. 16 -- or 60 -- to you,

the proposed written investigation and complaint

policy. This policy would have given a lot of

different abilities to the board for grievances and

in having the schools do the investigation, but then

report the findings to the CSP [verbatim], as well

as the PEC.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

During that discussion, a representative from the CEPi school came forward and interjected that the policy would be in violation -- or violate existing contractual agreements that were in place.

To be brief with the board, because of this, the item was tabled. I have not seen any additional further closure on that item.

In the meantime, CEPi was performing an investigation that was supposedly an independent investigation. I'm going to be sarcastic here. I'm going to say the investigation was so independent that the findings were not honestly shared with the students, staff, or faculty, both students and staff at the school. And I know what they presented to the investigator. And they were in regards to harassment, retaliation, as well as legal issues.

In December, at the CEPi governance council, the results of that investigation were presented to the families of the students, the staff; and it was stated that there were no violations in the charter. There were no substantial findings in the investigation.

This, I don't believe is true. So in the investigation, there were substantial findings found.





So earlier, I said that the students and staff had reported that there was retaliation and harassment. During the investigation that was conducted at the school, there were substantiated findings of harassment, retaliation, and unprofessional behavior.

THE CHAIR: One minute.

MR. JIMMY LLOYD: So -- so there was substantiation to the claims being made; but there was not a good closure to it.

We have not had faculty being asked whether things have been changing. In fact, things have not been changed. There is still harassment, retaliation, and questionable behavior occurring at the school.

Now, it's not going to be a problem in the future, because the school is being closed. But my concern is, and the reason I'm bringing this forward to the board now, is because I am very concerned with future students. The school has now had over 100 people drop out. That means there is probably a good 50 that will never finish high school.

Earlier, there was discussions that even if we can get seven people who are non-traditional to graduate, that's a great thing.





1	We just lost a number of students because		
2	of the situation at the school. So please look into		
3	the investigations and make sure that the schools		
4	are being honest and things are happening as they're		
5	being said.		
6	So it's great that they can do their own		
7	investigation; but make sure that their truth really		
8	is there.		
9	THE CHAIR: Time. Thank you for your		
10	comments.		
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.		
12	MR. JIMMY LLOYD: Thank you again for		
13	letting me have the time.		
14	THE CHAIR: Now, there is a letter "B"		
15	under Mr. Lloyd's name. Is there someone here, "B"?		
16	Is there anyone else that wishes to speak?		
17	I guess I'm not sure what the "B"		
18	signifies.		
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Probably someone was		
20	on the wrong sign-in sheet.		
21	THE CHAIR: I believe we're to Item 17.		
22	Do we have a motion to adjourn?		
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: So move.		
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So moved.		
25	THE CHAIR: Second.		



1	Al	l in favor, say "Aye."
2	(C	ommissioners so indicate.)
3	TH	E CHAIR: All opposed?
4	(N	o response.)
5	TH	E CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously
6	thank you ve	ry much.
7	(P	roceedings concluded at 1:18 p.m.)
8		
9		
L 0		
L1		
L 2		
L 3		
L 4		
L 5		
L 6		
L 7		
L 8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		





1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
13	State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the
14	matter therein stated.
15	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
16	hand on May 27, 2016.
17	
18	
19	Cynthia Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
21	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No.: 5583L (CC)



SANTA FE OFFICE

119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492





STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800 www.state.nm.us

HANNA SKANDERA SECRETARY OF EDUCATION SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

VISITORS ATTENDING PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

Meeting May 13, 2016

Please Sign-in	
Name (Print)	Representing
Fichard Greywolf	TISA
Ju Cim	THOS INTEGRATED SCHOOL OF THE NOWS
Colin Delwood	The ASIX teaching.
Keisha Mathaws	The GREAT Actidemy
Jasper Matthews	Se S
3 chal	Self
Ryszard Wusi Cewski	Walatowa High Charler School
Michael Osas	5 chool of Dreams Academy (5004)
Neurett Ching	School of Dreams Academy
Jaime Tigmez	School of Dreams Academs
Macking in Medball	Scholor of Dreams Academy
~ In work of the waster of	
Day Buss	TIP ACK ACK ACK
Kolly Castahan	NINCCS
Carota Roskom	NACCS
Accountilliason	30 Wulatour
RONKRUELER	1200atocion
Lebbis Sheudo	SPRCS EXHIBIT
Susan Breca	Sprcs 1
Tori Shunger	ACE LHS DEAN
Sardya)alis	BEAN SSOCIATES.
Elane medina	Virtue + Najjar PC
Sugar McConsoll	MVA
RAY BARAN	NVA
DR. SUSAN WILKINGON DAVIS	VIA ITTO DO STANIC
Nama Comais	Norto condition of Community School



STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800 www.state.nm.us

HANNA SKANDERA SECRETARY OF EDUCATION SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

VISITORS ATTENDING PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

Meeting May 13, 2016

Please Sign-in

Name (Print)	Representing
Daniel Barbour	The ASK Academy
Aine Garcia-Post	J. Paul Taylor Reademy
July 10/10	Barley Huerica
Jeen Port	NAS NAS
JOHN DUNPHY	maces
Spannon Beyl	MACCS
Assign M Phillips	Business Hinance
1 Sanciacy 1006	Mariles / THINK
A	

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	

Name (Print)	Representing Red River Valley Charter Sch NAS-NM NAS-NM NAS-NM
Karen Phillips	Red River Valley Charter Sch
batricia Mothis	NAS-NM
Danage Son	NAS-NM
Kaiupe Ahrens	145-MM
Keith Janssen	NAS-NM

- Commonwhite	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
The state of the s	
WHAT ARE A THE TOTAL AND A THE	
1 - P-0-03 - P-0-000000000000000000000000	



STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800 www.state.nm.us

HANNA SKANDERA SECRETARY OF EDUCATION SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

Sign up below to address

THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION during

OPEN FORUM

May 13, 2016

Please select one spokesperson per group

Name (print)	Representing	Topic of Testimony
J. Lloyd B.	Self	Return to investigations
ρ		
	Market	

	EXHIBIT	
	PEAN CLASSOCIATES.	