SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY ON-SITE REVIEW CHECKLIST ASSESSMENT OF THE MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM AND READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS FOR THE SBP AND NSLP

According to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(1) and 7 CFR 220.11(d)(1), every school year, prior to February 1, each School Food Authority (SFA) with more than one school (as defined by 7 CFR Part 210.2 to include Residential Child Care Institutions (RCCIs)) must perform no less than one on-site review of the meal counting and claiming system and the readily observable general areas of review identified under

7 CFR 210.18(h) in each school operating the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and 50% of schools operating the School Breakfast Program (SBP) under its jurisdiction.

Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting and claiming system, as implemented, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, served for each day of operation.

If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting and claiming procedures or general review areas, the SFA must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review, conduct a followup on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems.

School Name: _____

Review Date: _____

SFA Reviewer: _____

SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM ON-SITE REVIEW

The following questions are recommended at a minimum to complete the on-site review requirement:

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM				
		1. I	1. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved			
		point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location(s) where				
			complete meals are served to children.)			
		2. Is the point of service meal count used to determine the school's claim for reimbursement?				
		3. I	s the p	erson re	sponsible for monitoring and counting meals correctly identifying	
_			-	sable m		
		4. Is the school correctly implementing policies for handling the following (as applicable):				
		Yes	No	N/A		
					Incomplete meals?	
					Second meals?	
					Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.?	
			□ □ Visiting student meals?		Visiting student meals?	
				Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs non- program)?		
					A la carte?	
					Student worker meals?	
					Field Trips?	
1		Charged and/or prepaid meals?				
					Offer vs Serve?	
					Unpaid meal charges	
		5. Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e., not meeting meal pattern requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable meals?				

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM CONT.							
		6. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?							
		7. Are there procedures for meal counting and claiming when the primary counting and claiming system is not available? Do staff know when and how to implement them?							
		8. Are daily counts correctly totaled and recor	ded?						
		9. If claims are aggregated, are the meal count	s correctly totaled and consolidated?						
		10. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim is made?							
		Record today's meal counts by category and con	mpare to the total number of students						
		eligible by category							
		Number of Students Approved by Category Today's Meal Counts by Category							
		Free:	Free:						
		Reduced Price: Reduced Price:							
		Paid: Paid							
		11. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced price meals?							
NOT	NOTE: The following two questions are for all SFAs except for SFAs on Provision 2 or 3 in non-base								
		years, CEP, or RCCIs with only re	esidential children						
YES	NO								
		12. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used by the meal counting system to provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable meals by category (free, reduced price, paid)?							
		13. If applicable according to 7 CFR 220.11(d) are comparisons of daily free, reduced price and paid breakfast counts against data which will assist in the identification of breakfast counts in excess of the number of free, reduced price and paid breakfasts served each day to children eligible for such breakfasts completed?							

SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "NO" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:

BY WHOM: _____

YES	NO	READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS			
		1. Were any issues readily observed in relation to resource management?			
		YES	NO		
				Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account? (7 CFR 210.2,	
				210.14, 210.19(a), 210.21)	
				Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))	
				Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))	
				Indirect Costs? (2 CFR Part 200 and 7 CFR 210.14(g))	
		2. W	2. Were any issues readily observed in other general areas?		
		YES	NO		
				Free and Reduced Price Process – including verification, notification, and	
				other procedures (7 CFR Part 245)	
				Civil Rights (7 CFR 210.23(b))	
				Reporting and Recordkeeping (7 CFR Parts 210, 220, and 245)	
			□ Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)		
			□ □ Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)		
			□ □ Water (7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(i) and 220.8(a)(1))		
				Professional Standards (7 CFR 210.30)	
				SBP and SFSP Outreach (7 CFR 210.12(d))	
				Local School Wellness Policies (7 CFR 210.30)	
				Other	

SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "YES" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE SBP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED: _____

BY WHOM: _____

SIGNATURE: _

School Representative

Title

Date

SFA Reviewer

Title

Date

SBP FOLLOW-UP VISIT (must be conducted within 45 days if corrective action was required):

Date(s) of Follow-Up: _____

Observations of corrective action implementation:

 NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM ON-SITE REVIEW (Review Date: _____)

 The following questions are recommended at a minimum to complete the on-site review requirement:

YES	NO	MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM				
		14. Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved				
		point of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the location(s) where				
				are served to children.)		
		-		ervice meal count used to de	etermine the school's claim for	
			rsement?	nonsible for monitoring ma	als correctly identifying reimbursable	
		meals?		ponsible for monitoring me	ars correctly identifying remidursable	
				rectly implementing policie	es for handling the following (as applicable):	
		Yes No	N/A			
				Incomplete meals?		
				Second meals?		
					gotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, etc.?	
				Visiting student meals?		
					eals (and identifying program vs non-	
				program)?		
				A la carte?		
				Student worker meals?		
				Field Trips?		
				Charged and/or prepaid n	neals?	
				Offer vs Serve?		
				Unpaid meal charges?		
			18. Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e. not meeting meal pattern			
		requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable meals?				
YES	NO			L COUNTING AND CL	AIMING SYSTEM CONT.	
		19. Is some	19. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?			
		20. Are the	20. Are there procedures for meal counting and claiming when the primary counting and			
		claiming system is not available? Do staff know when and how to implement them?				
		21. Are daily counts correctly totaled and recorded?				
		22. If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts correctly totaled and consolidated?				
		23. Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily counts do				
		not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim is				
		made?				
		Decoud to day's work occurs by action and company to the total number of the last				
		<i>Record today's meal counts by category and compare to the total number of students eligible by category</i>				
		Number of Students Approved by Category Today's Meal Counts by Category				
		Free:	_ /uu / III		Free:	
		Reduced Pr	ice:		Reduced Price:	
		Paid: Paid				
		24. Does the	24. Does the system prevent overt identification of children receiving free or reduced price			
		meals?				

NOTE: The following two questions are for all SFAs <u>except</u> for SFAs on Provision 2 or 3 in non-base years, CEP, or RCCIs with only residential children						
YES	NO					
		25. Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used by the meal counting system to provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable meals by category (free, reduced price, paid)?				
		26. If applicable according to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(3), are edit checks completed and documented which compare the daily counts of free, reduced price, and paid meals against the product of the number of children currently eligible for free, reduced price, and paid meals, respectively, times an attendance factor (and any discrepancies accounted for)?				

NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "NO" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED: _____

BY WHOM: _____

YES	NO	READILY OBSERVABLE GENERAL AREAS				
		3. Were any issues readily observed in relation to resource management?				
		YES	YES NO			
				Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account? (7 CFR 210.2, 210.14, 210.19(a), 210.21)		
				Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))		
				Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))		
				Indirect Costs? (2 CFR Part 200 and 7 CFR 210.14(g))		
		4. W	vere any	y issues readily observed in other general areas?		
		YES	NO			
				Free and Reduced Price Process - including verification, notification, and		
				other procedures (7 CFR Part 245)		
			Civil Rights (7 CFR 210.23(b))			
				Reporting and Recordkeeping (7 CFR Parts 210, 220, and 245)		
		□ □ Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)		Food Safety (7 CFR 210.13)		
		□ □ Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)		Competitive Food Service (7 CFR 210.11 and 220.12)		
			□ □ Water (7 CFR 210.10(a)(1)(i) and 220.8(a)(1))			
				Professional Standards (7 CFR 210.30)		
				SBP and SFSP Outreach (7 CFR 210.12(d))		
				Local School Wellness Policies (7 CFR 210.30)		
				Other		

NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for any "YES" answers above):

SPECIFY DATE NSLP CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:

BY WHOM:		
SIGNATURE:School Representative		
School Representative	Title	Date
SFA Reviewer	Title	Date
NSLP FOLLOW-UP VISIT (must be co	onducted within 45 days if correc	tive action was required):
Date(s) of Follow-Up:		
Observations of corrective action implem	entation	
SIGNATURE:		
School Representative	Title	Date

SFA Reviewer

Title

Date