



1	APPEARANCES	
2	COMMISSIONERS:	
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair	
4	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary MR. JEFF CARR	
5	MS. MILLIE POGNA MR. GILBERT PERALTA	
6	MR. JAMES CONYERS MS. CARMI TOULOUSE	
7	STAFF:	
9	MS. JULIA BARNES, Interim Director, Office of Opt for Parents, Public Education Department	ions
10	ALSO PRESENT:	
11	MS. ABBY LEWIS, PED Counsel	
12	INDEX	
13	1. CALL TO ORDER by Commissioner Shearman	5
14	1. ROLL CALL by Commissioner Bergman	5
15	1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE by Commissioner Peralta	6
16 17	1. SALUTE TO NEW MEXICO FLAG by Commissioner Conyers	6
18	1. STATEMENT OF ASPIRATION by Commissioner Toulouse	6
19	2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA by Commissioner Shearman	8
20 21	3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MARCH 28, 2014, MEETING AND PEC WORK SESSION ON MARCH 27, 2014 by Commissioner Shearman	9
22	4. REPORT FROM PED LEADERSHIP	12
23	5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CHARTER	12
24 25	SCHOOL AMENDMENTS by Ms. Barnes 5.a. Amy Biehl High School	12
	3.4. 1mm Dient might Denoti	1 C









			4
			4
1	9.f.	Discussion on Whether or Not to Weigh Performance Indicators	98
2	10.	DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CHARTER SCHOOL CONTRACT FORMS, PEC-CSD FORMS,	104
4		TEMPLATES, PROTOCOLS, AND MOUS by Ms. Barnes	
5	11.	2014 PEC MEETING CALENDAR by Commissioner Shearman	104
6 7	12.	PEC LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE REPORT by Commissioner Carr	113
8	13.	PEC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMITTEE REPORT AND DISCUSSION ON THE SCHEDULE FOR CHARTER SCHOOL CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS by	113
10		Commissioner Bergman	
11 12	14.	DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PEC COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS AND LIAISON ROLES by Commissioner Shearman	114
13	15.	PEC COMMENTS	114
14	15.a	. Discussion of Travel by PEC	116
15	16.	OPEN FORUM	136
16	17.	ADJOURN	136
17	REPO	RTER'S CERTIFICATE	137
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			





1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Good morning,
2	ladies and gentlemen. I call to order this
3	regularly scheduled meeting of the New Mexico Public
4	Education Commission. I hope everyone can hear me.
5	I know my voice is pretty awful, but it will get
6	better.
7	Secretary Bergman, may we have a roll
8	call, please?
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Conyers?
13	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Peralta?
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Toulouse.
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Here.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Shearman.



1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Here.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Bergman is here.
4	Madam Chair, you have eight members
5	present. You do have a quorum.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very
7	much. We do officially have a quorum. I will note
8	that Commissioner Parker is not with us today, but
9	he had let us know in advance that he would not be
10	here today.
11	Pledge of allegiance, please, Commissioner
12	Peralta. Salute to the New Mexico flag,
13	Commissioner Conyers.
14	(Pledge of allegiance.)
15	(Salute to the New Mexico flag.)
16	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you both.
17	Statement of aspirations. Commissioner
18	Toulouse.
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,
20	fellow members, audience, I did a lot of thinking
21	last night on the way up today about what the
22	statement of aspirations should be, because right
23	now I'm kind of depressed with the whole world, so I
24	decided, well, aspiration is where we need to be,
25	not necessarily where we are, or even encouragement,



but hope as to where we should be. And what I 1

2 decided I see most lacking but would like to see

3 everybody go toward and that I see this Commission

working toward, is a team work and a friendship 4

5 approach, not an adversarial approach. And I think

our schools need to teach that, I think our

7 political system needs to do it, I think our

families need to do it, and I think one of the

places it's easier to start are in our small charter

10 schools. They're smaller and easier to deal with.

And you may have one or two problem kids; you don't 11

12 have 100 problem kids.

13 And I think that I remember back and I

14 knew consistency in school. When I was in

15 Albuquerque back in the dark ages, we had John

16 Milne, the superintendent for many, many, many

17 The president of the school board was S.Y. years.

18 Jackson for many, many years, and there was

19 consistency, we knew it, we knew who they were, your

20 principal stayed at the school, your teachers were

consistent, were not being moved around. 21

22 think that I had a sense of security that today's

23 kids don't.

(505) 989-4949

24 So I would like to see, as we go forward

25 with Mr. Bergman's ideas of goals, that should also





- 1 be a goal that we should have, ask people to
- 2 | consider, is teaching teamwork, unity, and working
- 3 together rather than bullying, opposing, win or
- 4 lose, rather than everybody should win. And so
- 5 | that's what I'd like us to aspire as a group to do.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,
- 8 | Commissioner. Appreciate that.
- 9 | May I just ask that your electronic
- 10 devices be turned down or off or whatever to prevent
- 11 | interruption? And I would also like to introduce
- 12 our reporter today, Mary Abernathy Seal, and we
- 13 | thank you for being here. Next item on the agenda
- 14 is approval of the agenda.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CARR: So moved.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I have a motion
- 17 | from Commissioner Carr to approve the agenda
- 18 | presented. Do I hear a second?
- 19 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
- 21 | Peralta. Any discussion, changes, whatever?
- 22 | Hearing none, all those in favor, please say, "Aye."
- 23 | Any opposed, please say, "No." The agenda is
- 24 | unanimously approved.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Item number 3 on



1 the agenda is approval of the minutes for the March 2 28th regular meeting and the work session on March 3 And I know we did not receive these minutes 27th. 4 until yesterday afternoon late. So it's been a 5 scramble. We've had these -- our last meeting was only two weeks ago, and it's very difficult to get 7 meeting minutes out in that short length of time. So I would ask, Commissioners, if you feel 8 comfortable with going ahead or if you'd like to 9 10 take a few minutes and read through the minutes as 11 I know Commissioner Gant tells me he has had is. 12 the opportunity or taken the time, and he has read 13 through the minutes. I have read through partial. 14 I haven't made it all the way through. 15 So what is your preference? Shall we move 16 ahead, or do you want to take time to read them now? 17 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Move ahead. 18 that we move ahead. 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'm hearing move 2.0 ahead. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Move ahead. 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Move ahead? A]] 23 Thank you. That's move ahead. Let's first consider the March 27 work 24



session minutes. Do I hear a motion?

1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
2	move approval of the March 27th working session
3	minutes.
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. We
5	have a motion for approval. Do we have a second?
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Pogna
8	seconds. Any discussion? All those in favor of
9	approving the March 27 work session minutes, please
L O	say, "Aye." Any opposed, please say, "No." The
L1	March 27 working session minutes are unanimously
L 2	approved.
L 3	May we consider the March 28th public
L 4	meeting minutes at this time?
L 5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair.
L 6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Gant.
L 7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I did
L 8	read through them, and they're really comprehensive
L 9	and really straightforward and with not a lot of
20	mistakes.
21	I did find four errors that need to be
22	corrected, though. Page 37, line 7. And I'll just
23	go right on through it. You really don't need to
24	find it. They have a word in there, "dormant,"
2.5	which should have been "dominant." And there's a



- 1 line 38, this is the one I really had to guess at
- 2 until I read the rest of it. It says -- it's line 6
- 3 and 7. It says, "I guess I will chair the meeting,"
- 4 | and I didn't understand that last night -- it was
- 5 | late -- what I was saying. But what should be put
- 6 | in there also is that at that moment Commissioner
- 7 | Gant became chair for the meeting until Commissioner
- 8 | Shearman returned. Okay?
- Page 57, line 22, the word "crew" is in
- 10 | there. It should be "curve." Also on page 58, line
- 11 | 7, the word is corde, C-O-R-D-E. The word again
- 12 | should be curve, C-U-R-V-E.
- Other than that, I find no other mistakes,
- 14 | Madam Chair.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,
- 16 | Commissioner Gant. Any other corrections or
- 17 | comments? Commissioner Bergman.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Not a correction.
- 19 | Just on Commissioner Gant's first correction, I
- 20 | think there probably are a few New Mexicans that
- 21 | might think we are a dormant state, but other than
- 22 | that, I had nothing. Thank you.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that
- 24 | comment. Anything else? The Chair would entertain
- 25 a motion. Do we have a motion on the floor for the



1	minutes?
2	COMMISSIONER GANT: Not yet.
3	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: May we have a
4	motion for the March 28 minutes?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: So moved.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Motion by
7	Commissioner Carr. Do I hear a second?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
10	Bergman, to approve the March 28 minutes as
11	corrected. Any further discussion? All those in
12	favor, please say, "Aye." Any opposed, please say,
13	"No." The March 28 minutes as corrected are
14	unanimously approved.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Item number 4 is a
16	report from PED leadership. The Secretary is not
17	here, and I understand that Deputy Secretary Aguilar
18	is in an ERB meeting, and will try to get here if he
19	can, but it's not likely.
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Moving on, 5,
21	discussion and possible action on charter school
22	amendments, Julia.
23	MS. BARNES: Good morning. The first
24	amendment we have is from Amy Biehl High School.



Actually, we don't have any amendments for a

1 contract today. These are just two schools that are
2 looking to make amendments. I saw Mike May. Come
3 on up.

Amy Biehl High School is looking to do a slight increase in their enrollment cap so that they don't ever hit the -- go over the enrollment cap.

So it's kind of a little bit of a security blanket, potentially. I have spoken to Mr. May and he says that their building could certainly handle the additional students, so that the building has the capacity to do that. And the Charter Schools Division recommends this amendment.

MR. MAY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Good morning.

MR. MAY: Good morning, Madam Chair.

16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioners, do

17 | you have questions or comments? Commissioner Gant?

18 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, the only

19 comment I have is that -- and I don't have a

20 | statement yet from PSFA, although I have asked for

21 | it. I did hear what you had to say, Julia, about

22 | they have capacity. That's their word. But I would

23 | also like some kind of documentation from PSFA to

24 | the fact that they do have the room, because I do

25 | not want -- they have been in that building since



4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 1 | they were originally chartered; right?
- MR. MAY: No, sir, Madam Chair,
- 3 | Commissioner Gant. Oh, push the button? Madam
- 4 | Chair, Commissioner Gant, we moved into that
- 5 | building in 2006, so we've been there, yeah, since
- 6 then.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: Regardless, I think we
- 8 | need some kind of statement. And in the future,
- 9 | Madam Chair, Commissioners, I think we need some
- 10 | kind of statement in our documentation.
- 11 MS. BARNES: You want a document from PSFA
- 12 or --
- 13 COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
- 14 MS. BARNES: Do you have something in --
- 15 | I'm happy to get it from PSFA, or you and I can.
- 16 Do you have something, though, in your
- 17 records already that shows the capacity from when
- 18 | you moved in 2006?
- MR. MAY: I have to go back and look, but
- 20 | I don't believe we do, Madam Chair and Ms. Barnes,
- 21 | with that. The school has also -- the enrollment
- 22 | cap has been at 300. We have steadily grown towards
- 23 | that, and are now flirting with that consistently,
- 24 | so it's not that the school is looking to expand
- 25 | exponentially, but we want to make sure we're not



putting ourselves in an awkward position regarding
that limit.

3 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I
4 appreciate that. I will go along with this, but
5 again, I need -- it would be nice to have that
6 documentation in the file and in the future all
7 others that come forward, in my view -- talk to the
8 rest of the Commission on that -- but I really
9 think -- because we're getting charters that are
10 really getting crowded. So thank you.

MS. BARNES: And CSD wouldn't have any problem with the amendment being approved on the condition that we provide that documentation. We can get that for you.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Other questions or comments, Commissioners? Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a motion on this amendment request.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, I move to approve the amendment presented by Amy Biehl High School to amend their cap from 300 to 325.

21 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Do I 22 hear a second?

23 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.

24 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Motion by

25 Commissioner Peralta, second by Commissioner Carr to



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	approve the amendment request by Amy Biehl High
2	School to amend their cap from 300 to 325 students.
3	Is there discussion?
4	Secretary Bergman, may we have a roll call
5	vote, please?
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Conyers?
8	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Peralta?
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Toulouse?
16	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Shearman?
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Bergman votes yes.



- Madam Chair, that is an eight-to-zero vote
 in favor of the motion.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. The
- 4 motion to approve the enrollment cap for Amy Biehl
- 5 | High School from 300 to 325 is unanimously approved.
- 6 | Thank you very much for coming.
- 7 MR. MAY: Thank you, Madam Chair and
- 8 | Commissioners. I appreciate it.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
- 10 MS. BARNES: The second amendment we have
- 11 | is from East Mountain High School. Again, I think
- 12 | that this is a school that is looking towards
- 13 renewal next year and are ahead of the game in
- 14 | looking carefully at what things they may want to
- 15 | bring to clean up their charter. I think CSD views
- 16 | this as kind of a cleanup of their educational
- 17 programming. We recommend approval of this
- 18 | amendment, and I will let Doug Wine present it.
- 19 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'm
- 20 | sorry, I'm still asleep. I need to recuse myself
- 21 from that school.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right. Thank
- 23 | you. Please go ahead.
- 24 MR. WINE: Madam Chair, members of the
- 25 | Commission, we have had a humanities construct where



1 English and math have been combined for the past,

- 2 | I'm going to guess, about eight years or so, eight
- 3 to ten years, and since the onset of the Common Core
- 4 | and since our mission of preparing students to
- 5 | succeed in college has occurred, we used to think
- 6 that this is the easiest way to explain it, that
- 7 | English and math, 1 plus 1 might be 2 and a half.
- 8 And as a teacher said recently, 1 plus 1 is turning
- 9 | into being 1 and a half.
- 10 We're not getting the English, we're not
- 11 getting students to learn to read and write as well
- 12 | as we'd like, and we're not getting students to
- 13 understand history as well as we would like. We
- 14 | find that sort of combining the two is making the
- 15 two subjects weaker, and so what we're trying to do
- 16 | is separate into English and history, so that we can
- 17 | focus on both of them.
- 18 What we say here in our rationale I think
- 19 | is really clear and if you notice, it's a lot of the
- 20 | bead language of the Common Core, trying to make
- 21 | sure we have specific college-ready skills,
- 22 | analytical and argumentative writing, and then
- 23 | analysis of historical periods --
- 24 | THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, could I get you
- 25 | to read a little more slowly? Thank you. Would you



1 start that sentence --MR. WINE: 2 So what we're looking for, 3 right, is very Common Core driven, that we need to 4 improve college-ready skills of close reading, 5 analytical and argumentative writing, and then analysis of historical periods and their meanings, 6 and then several other critical thinking and deeper 7 8 learning constructs. 9 Are there any questions? 10 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. there questions from Commissioners? You have heard 11 12 the presentation. 13 Julia, CSD recommends this? 14 MS. BARNES: We do. We consider it an 15 excellent school that's cleaning up their 16 educational program, working towards their renewal 17 next year. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 18 Thank you very 19 much. The Chair would entertain a motion. 2.0 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair. 21 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Gant. 22 COMMISSIONER GANT: I move to approve the 23 amendment presented by East Mountain High School to



clarify their educational programs as described in

their amendment documentation.

24

1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Do I hear a
2	second?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Motion by
5	Commissioner Gant, second by Commissioner Bergman to
6	approve the amendment brought by East Mountain High
7	School to clarify their educational program.
8	Is there further discussion?
9	Secretary Bergman, may we have a roll call
10	vote, please?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Peralta?
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
15	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Toulouse abstains. Commissioner Carr?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Conyers?
21	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Shearman?



1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Bergman votes yes.
4	Madam Chair, that is a seven-to-zero vote
5	with one abstention in favor of the motion.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,
7	Mr. Secretary. The vote to approve the amendment
8	presented by East Mountain High School passes
9	unanimously. Congratulations.
10	MR. WINE: Thank you very much.
11	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The next item on
12	the agenda is number 6, update on charter school
13	contracts.
14	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, this
15	will be the last abstention. I need to abstain on
16	the New Mexico School for the Arts because I have a
17	relative attending. Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very
19	much.
20	MS. BARNES: I will just note for the
21	Commission that this is actually not on your agenda
22	as an action item, so I don't know if Commissioner
23	Toulouse needs to abstain from a discussion, but she
24	can do whatever she wants, just for clarification.
25	These are two contracts actually, I



1 want to say that we have been doing a great job 2 There are two that getting through the contracts. are still in process from early on, and you all had 3 4 asked for an update on where we are. I anticipate 5 both of them coming before you in the May meeting. La Academia Dolores Huerta is our 6 7 Las Cruces school. They are preparing a series of 8 amendments and have presented to us some draft 9 indicators. We're going to get them into the shape 10 that we like to see them, and then we'll get them back to that negotiating committee, which was 11 12 Commissioner Bergman, Commissioner Gant, and Commissioner Parker, I think. So we'll get those to 13 14 that negotiating team, but we are on track for them 15 coming forward in the May meeting. They are a 16 district school coming over, so some of the 17 protocols are newer to them. So anyway, we're 18 trying to do a better job of making sure they 19 understand what's going on. I think they're on 20 track. They will be presenting a document to move 21 22 forward with a move, so actually I'll confer with 23 Commissioner Gant, make sure that we have everything that you all need for that. 24 So I think there will 25 be three amendments and a contract approval for you



in May.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The New Mexico School for the Arts was one
of our first schools that negotiated as -- I'm just
giving you a report. I too am conflicted out with
that school, but I just happen to know what's
happening, so I'll tell you what's happening,
although I probably will have someone else from CSD
present it next time.

They raised some contract language -actually, that school's the one that started a lot
of the technical changes you approved last month,
and they were the first school to raise the issue on
the financial framework. You fixed both of those
and we got through those, both of those issues, on
the March meeting. We're just giving us enough time
to get the documents to them and their board enough
time to approve. From everything I'm hearing, there
will not be a problem with them moving forward. So
they, as well, will be at the May meeting.

I'm happy to take questions, but all along -- and, in fact, if you look at the schedule, we have spaces for schools that roll over, because sometimes from the negotiation to getting it finally approved, it can take a little while. So I don't view it as anything out of the ordinary. In fact,



1 | I'm kind of proud of us that we only have two.

- 2 | Everything else is on track.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that
- 4 | update. Commissioners, do you have questions?
- 5 | Hearing none, thank you, Julia.
- 6 Let's move on now to item 7, approval of
- 7 | charter school contracts.
- 8 Julia.
- 9 MS. BARNES: We have two schools that have
- 10 | successfully negotiated. We'll start with New
- 11 | America School New Mexico. In fact, I think they
- 12 | win the I'm Ahead of the Game Award.
- Come on up to the table. This will give
- 14 | me an opportunity to tell you generally what we've
- 15 | been doing.
- 16 On the 28th you all approved technical
- 17 changes to the contract template and also a
- 18 | financial framework and a few technical changes to
- 19 | the performance indicators. So with both of these
- 20 | schools presenting today, we incorporated those
- 21 changes right away. The reason they get the very
- 22 | early award is, they held a second board meeting.
- 23 | They have already approved the documents in their
- 24 | final form, so this is our first pristine set of
- 25 contract documents. He actually has what is her



- 1 second set of approvals, and I'm happy to pass them
- 2 around. She actually did make 15 copies, so I have
- 3 | them right here. You have their first set of
- 4 | approvals in your packet, but we now have a second
- 5 | set, and I'll let you guys introduce yourselves and
- 6 | we can move ahead.
- 7 One last thing, we do recommend approval
- 8 of this contract. And if I can look at my notes, I
- 9 | can tell you who was there. Commissioners Shearman,
- 10 | Bergman, Gant, and Commissioner Pogna. Commissioner
- 11 | Pogna, I think you were there all day, although I
- 12 | was a little worried maybe you had to leave for one
- 13 meeting. But I think I'm representing that she was
- 14 | at both.
- 15 MS. MATHIS: Thank you. My name is
- 16 | LaTricia Mathis, the principal of the New America
- 17 | School, New Mexico.
- 18 MR. SILVA: My name is Fred Silva. I'm
- 19 | the governing president.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Do you
- 21 | have a presentation, or you're here if we have
- 22 | questions of you?
- 23 | MS. MATHIS: Commissioner, I'm here in
- 24 | case you have questions for me.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very



```
1
    much. We appreciate you being here.
 2
              Commissioners, do you have questions?
              Julia, I just want to clarify.
 3
 4
    document that's in our notebook -- is that the
 5
    document that we're being asked to approve, or is it
    the document you have there?
 7
              MS. BARNES:
                           The document in your notebook
 8
                              That's what I'm asking you
    is the correct document.
 9
    to approve. It contains the technical changes and
10
    contains the correct financial framework. What they
    brought to us today is, they were able to meet
11
12
    earlier this week and their board has also approved
13
         So I think you're ready just to approve these
14
    documents in your packet, because their board has
15
    already approved it.
16
              COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:
                                      Thank you for that
    clarification.
17
              Commissioners, any discussion, questions,
18
19
    comments? Commissioner Bergman.
20
              COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Julia, I just want
    to be -- this has the new framework and everything
21
22
    in it, everything that we have changed here
23
    recently; is that correct? Or is that going to have
24
    to be incorporated later?
```



MS. BARNES: It has the new framework in

- 1 | it, and actually, I'll just show that to you. I do
- 2 | want to really thank Amy Chacon of my staff. She
- 3 has started fixing every set of documents, so we
- 4 | started with the schools we knew were going to -- so
- 5 | she has already incorporated them, and I'll just
- 6 show you that. If you can flip through back to the
- 7 | performance framework, which is, I think, the third
- 8 | blue page back, on page 7, is the correct financial
- 9 performance framework.
- 10 MS. LEWIS: Page 6. Our packet starts on
- 11 | page 6.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Page 6.
- MS. BARNES: Flip back to the performance
- 14 | framework. The financial framework is what we
- 15 replaced. And it's on 6 or 7. I couldn't say. How
- 16 | could my copy be different than your copy?
- MS. LEWIS: Yours is on page 7, mine is on
- 18 | page 6. It's just mine.
- 19 MS. BARNES: So just confirming, that is
- 20 | the financial framework that was approved. And we
- 21 | are moving forward with every school that we've
- 22 | negotiated with, so that this financial framework
- 23 gets into everyone's financial -- their performance
- 24 | indicator for this year. This is just the first
- 25 | school to approve it.



COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that.

3 Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, again

Julia, I noticed you had an Exhibit 2 in here,

waivers. Now, these waivers -- they still have not

been approved by the Secretary; is that correct? Is

this more for our information?

MS. BARNES: Well, it is; if you recall, the law does require, because we're always in a little bit of tension with this law, even though you don't approve waivers, they are required to be in the contract. So we do talk about them, I know as you recall, and so they become an Exhibit 2 of the contract for your information, is basically it.

I do want to add to that, though, the law does say that the waivers are good for the contract term, so I spoke to general counsel, Dan Hill, about a protocol for taking all of these Exhibit 2s, making sure they go through the Secretary's office and are approved. We will give the school an opportunity to be able to explain those waivers in more depth to the Cabinet Secretary, but that way, you would approve the contract, you would have reviewed the waivers, and the Cabinet Secretary will



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	have signed off on the waivers for the term of the
2	charter, which I think will give us a lot of clarity
3	that everyone has kind of signed off on the things
4	they're responsible for.
5	Schools can later come back and ask for
6	additional waivers with the Cabinet Secretary. If
7	that happens, we'll put in a new Exhibit 2. But
8	this way, particularly a lot of the schools maybe
9	this school have some alternative school days and
10	things that we just want to make sure the Cabinet
11	Secretary has had an opportunity to look at.
12	I know that when Sue Fox and I spoke about
13	it yesterday, she was very much wanting the schools
14	to be able to present those to the Cabinet
15	Secretary. But ultimately, I hope to have your
16	signatures on the contract, the Cabinet Secretary's,
17	on the Exhibit 2.
18	Sue, did you want to add anything? I
19	speak for Sue quite often, and she's so good at
20	speaking for herself.
21	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: CSD recommends
22	this contract?
23	MS. BARNES: Yes, we do.
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
25	Commissioners, any further questions,



- 1 discussions? Hearing none, the Chair would 2 entertain a motion. Commissioner Bergman.
- 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair,
- 4 | Commissioners, I would move that the Public
- 5 | Education Commission accept this contract,
- 6 performance contract, and its associated performance
- 7 | framework documents for approval by this Commission.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Do I
- 9 have a second?
- 10 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Motion by
- 12 | Commissioner Bergman. Second by Commissioner
- 13 | Peralta to approve the contract with associated
- 14 documents as presented. Commissioner Bergman.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I don't
- 16 think I mentioned that it was for New America.
- 17 | Should I reword the motion, or can we just add the
- 18 | words "for New America" in there?
- 19 MS. LEWIS: You did or did not mention?
- 20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think I
- 21 | mentioned it in hindsight.
- 22 MS. LEWIS: I think it's fine in the
- 23 | context, but if you'd like to amend it, that's fine,
- 24 too.
- 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As long as we all



1	are on the same page.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We have a motion
3	and second to approve the contract of the New
4	America School as noted on the record.
5	Commissioner Bergman, may we have a roll
6	call vote, please?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Toulouse?
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Conyers?
16	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Peralta?
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
21	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Shearman?
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1 | Bergman votes yes.

2 Madam Chair, that is an eight-to-zero vote

3 | in favor of the motion to approve the contract for

4 | New America.

9

5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. The

6 | contract with the New America School is unanimously

7 approved. Congratulations.

8 MS. MATHIS: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.

10 MS. BARNES: I will add, while he's

11 | signing it, that we are trying to get all the

12 | documents signed and in there.

The other thing we're starting to do --

14 | thank you so much; congratulations -- the other

15 | thing we're starting to do is pull from your meeting

16 | minutes the front page of the minutes and the pages

17 | that are associated for this school, for example,

18 | whatever pages they'll be, and we're also putting

19 | that in there. So there's just been so much

20 | confusion in the past that we thought we'd try to

21 | standardize the process so that it's very clear.

22 | Those will be held in our R drive, be held in the R

23 drive. But we're doing that with your form

24 approvals, we're doing that with all of these, so

25 | that they're just right there. You can see what you



1 approved, and nobody has to remember, "Which meeting
2 was that?"

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very

4 much.

5 MS. BARNES: We have one more school, if 6 we're ready to move on.

7 Commissioner Bergman looked like he had a 8 question.

9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I was just
10 going to say that I thank Julie for all her hard
11 work, and she just seems to be full of all these
12 good ideas, and I'm very pleased by that.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Absolutely.

MS. BARNES: I'm just -- disorganization.

15 I don't know. My husband says that I'm very

16 organized today, but he said that in not the kindest

17 way he possibly could have. So thank you.

18 Creative Education Preparatory Institute

19 is next. This school is a school that we recommend

20 approval of the contract and the performance

21 framework. This was a subcommittee again of

22 Commissioners Shearman, Bergman, Gant, and Pogna.

23 And I do believe, though, that you all are going to

24 approve again after this meeting.

So my understanding is that the Commission



1 should approve these documents. You have the 2 correct documents in front of you. Their board has approved an earlier version, but has not yet 3 4 approved these technical contract changes and the 5 financial framework. However, I understand the school is going to do that. So we will send these 7 back to them after your vote, if you approve them, 8 and we will get their board minutes showing that 9 they have approved the same form that you have. 10 So I believe that you -- if you choose to approve them as you have them, and on the condition 11 12 that they go back to the CEPI board for their final 13 approval. Again, CSD recommends approval of the 14 contract and performance documents. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you, Julia. Commissioners, you have heard the recommendation 16 17 from CSD. Do you have questions? COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Gant. 20 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, it's not 21 particularly on the contract, but last night I read 22 through the audit findings which we received, and I 23 am disturbed, frankly. You have significant 24 deficiencies in a couple of areas, and you have a 25 bunch of findings that, in my view, shouldn't even



happen. Can you explain all these, please?

MS. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Gant, I'm Susie Sanchez, and I'm actually the school

4 business manager. And yes, I was displeased and

5 frustrated, and one of the things that -- when our

6 former principal left and Mr. Arthur came on board,

7 one of the things that I had been asking for and

8 | finally happened was to have a full-time assistant,

9 which I have this year, who is competent and who has

10 | financial background. So when we switched over from

11 | being under APS into state charter, all the new

12 demands that came on us and just -- it was

13 overwhelming for me. And like I said, I had a

14 part-time assistant, and this year I have a

15 | full-time assistant, and we have more oversight.

16 And all I can do is apologize and say, you know, it

17 | is part of the financial framework. You won't see

18 | this again on our file.

19 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I reread

20 | and reread, and thought, okay, things could happen.

21 But you also have a repeat, based upon what I read.

22 | And I think somehow we need to have a continuing

23 | follow-up on this school. And I don't know if you

24 | want to put that as a condition, fellow members,

25 | but -- and my other condition would be they provide



- 1 through the CSD their detailed plan to correct this.
- 2 | I see what they say they need to do. Those are just
- 3 words. It's not a plan. And I think as one of the
- 4 | conditions, we need a detailed plan for how they
- 5 | plan to fix this stuff, because again, there's a
- 6 repeat in here this year. And basically, that's
- 7 | what they need.
- 8 And the other condition is, continue close
- 9 | scrutiny by -- close follow-up by the CSD on their
- 10 | financials.
- 11 Of course, Madam Chair, the rest of the
- 12 | Commission needs to agree to these two conditions.
- 13 | Thank you.
- 14 MS. LEWIS: Julia, we're thinking the same
- 15 thing.
- 16 MS. BARNES: I was just going to clarify,
- 17 | since so many schools are in transition, where they
- 18 | are and where they aren't and then Abby, listen to
- 19 what you have to say. This school is coming under
- 20 | contract right now. The financial framework they're
- 21 | agreeing to will start in July and will be reported
- 22 on the following August, frankly, so a long time
- 23 from now.
- Just first, how does the financial
- 25 | framework and how does the performance framework



take care of an issue like this in the future when 1 2 The financial framework and they're under contract? the improvements that I think resulted from that 3 4 financial framework is, for example, there's a whole section on the audit. I think that's what Susie is 5 referencing; that if she was under -- if they were 7 under contract right now, this is exactly the kind 8 of thing they would already be needing to report to 9 you on. 10

So there would be a couple of different ways to do it. One is to have them comply with the financial framework this year. We could have them do just that part. And again, if you go back and find that same page, 7, you can see exactly what they would report on if they chose to report using that form.

17 Abby, I'll defer to you in terms of what 18 you were thinking.

MS. LEWIS: Well, I just wanted to clarify. You're talking about -- you're proposing to put conditions on the approval of the contract versus the approval of the school which you already 23 Or to add to the contract? did?

24 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 25 Ms. Lewis, what I really want to know is how they're



11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21



1 going to fix this. I don't care how we do it. 2 want to know how this is going to be fixed, and I want it in black and white in details. 3 It can be a 4 separate document, whatever you want to do. 5 we're going to approve the contract. Okay? I have no problem with that. Then I got this, and I 7 thought, you got to be kidding me, you know. don't know how we can do it. I'm not a legal -- but we need some kind of plan from this school because 9 10 we can't go on like this. 11 MS. LEWIS: Julia, did you say their 12 governing council had already approved the contract, 13 or no? 14 The governing council MS. BARNES: 15 approved the earlier version prior to your technical 16 changes prior to the financial framework. 17 suggestion -- and maybe Sue has one, as well -- is that we separate the issues and we look at 18 19 requesting or requiring the school to fill out the 20 financial framework this year, before their new contract starts with their audit findings right now. 21 22 Next year, their board and you all, if you approve 23 the contract, they'll have a contract for next year and a financial framework for next year. 24 So if 25 there's more audit findings next year, they'll take



care of them, as well, but my thinking is 1 2 Commissioner Gant wants something done now. suggestion would be to either have them require or 3 4 agree to fill out the financial framework this year, 5 and report back to you. It's a little technical. It's awkward. I'm just trying to think of the best 7 legal mechanism to get us there. 8 Sue, do you have any --Well, I agree with Julia's 9 MS. FOX: 10 suggestion that we separate the issues. I don't think the school has any problem agreeing to fill 11 12 out the financial framework. Basically you're 13 looking at doing that for this contract term, and 14 then keeping -- we don't have a contract this term. 15 But this term, this five-year term that they're 16 currently in, and keeping the contract as a separate 17 matter, rather than attaching that as a condition to Because then it gets a little wonky 18 the contract. and fuzzy, I think, for the tripartite lawyers' 19 20 group here. But you don't have any problem doing that 21 22 financial framework. It's a matter of, I guess, 23 doing maybe -- it's not on the agenda, technically,



In my mind, I

but maybe we can just informally agree to do that.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN:

24

1 agree, we're dealing with a contract and then we're

- 2 dealing with these audit issues. Might I suggest,
- 3 | let's go ahead and deal with the contract, and then
- 4 | let's discuss the audit issues and how we would like
- 5 to deal with that, and what kind of information we
- 6 | would like to have, or what kind of follow-up we'd
- 7 | like to have.
- 8 MS. LEWIS: Except unfortunately, as Sue
- 9 | pointed out, that's not on the agenda. So if you
- 10 | separate it out from the contract, then I believe
- 11 | you're getting close to an Open Meetings Act
- 12 problem.
- MS. BARNES: We can also bring this back
- 14 | in the May meeting, because I don't think it's going
- 15 to be hard to find an answer to this.
- 16 MS. FOX: And the school can just, in the
- 17 | meantime, go ahead and start working on that, so we
- 18 can formalize that.
- 19 MS. BARNES: And just so -- I'm always
- 20 | trying to make sure you all understand these
- 21 | contracts and performance frameworks as well as I
- 22 do. In order to complete the financial framework,
- 23 one of the things we heard from the business
- 24 | managers is that all answers to the financial
- 25 | framework questions will not be answered until July



We could do the audit part first. But all of 1 2 those reports are not going to be ready. So just for your information, the net result of the changes 3 4 to the financial framework is that our whole rollup 5 to you each year will be in early August. That still works for us, but I'm just adding that. 6 7 can do the audit piece right now. The remainder of that financial framework, they don't have all the 8 answers, because all the reports aren't done. 9 10 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair. 11 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Gant. 12 COMMISSIONER GANT: I agree with the 13 solution. I just needed one to fix it. That's all 14 I needed. Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Any further discussion? 16 17 Commissioner Bergman. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It just occurred to 19 me, if you go ahead and do a financial framework 20 right now, with these audit findings, I presume it 21 would also be acceptable as you do that framework, 22 you also put your little plan in there for how 23 you're going to fix that, and we do it all in one 24 little piece. Does that sound logical to you folks 25 and Julia, Madam Chair?



Madam Chair, I quess the first MS. LEWIS: question I have, based on your phrasing, when you say "plan," are you speaking of a corrective action plan under the statute or just using that word in general?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, I heard their lawyer use the word "informal," and we just don't want to informally violate the Open Meetings Act.

The one thing I might add is, MS. BARNES: anytime you have got a document, you can test and see if it does what you want to do. If we were to agree informally with the school and come back and report to you in May, the audit section of the new financial framework asks exactly that. What has the school done to correct it? So it says, "When was the last audit? What was the text of the specifically identified section regarding the school? Were any findings from the last released audit" -- which would answer Commissioner Gant's question of were there any repeat audits? material weaknesses? What has the school done to If they were repeat findings, correct the findings? what was the repeat, why, and what have you done to correct it?"

So far, the document is good enough.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm happy.
2	MS. BARNES: Me, too.
3	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right.
4	Further discussion on the contract? Hearing none,
5	the Chair would entertain a motion.
6	And Julia, let me just ask you one time
7	again to state this contract that we have in our
8	binders is still subject to technical changes and
9	inclusion of the financial framework; is that
10	correct?
11	MS. BARNES: A little bit differently.
12	The documents you have are correct. They need to go
13	back to the school for their approval of the correct
14	documents. So your documents are correct. You may
15	approve them as in your binder. We will then send
16	them back to their board for their board's approval,
17	but the documents are correct.
18	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right. Thank
19	you very much.
20	With that clarification, the Chair would
21	entertain a motion. Commissioner Toulouse.
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
23	move that we approve the contract as in our binder
24	for the Creative Education Preparatory Institute.
25	All of these get very different names. It's hard to



1	remember what they are when you see the initials.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very
3	much.
4	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Motion by
6	Commissioner Toulouse, second by Commissioner Carr
7	to approve the contract of Creative Educational
8	Preparatory Institute as is contained in our
9	binders.
10	Further discussion, Commissioners?
11	Hearing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we
12	have a roll call vote?
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Toulouse?
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Conyers?
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Peralta?
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
25	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
2	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Shearman?
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Bergman votes yes.
8	Madam Chair, that is an eight-to-zero vote
9	in favor of the motion.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. The
11	contract of Creative Education Preparatory Institute
12	is passed unanimously. Thank you very much.
13	MR. ARTHUR: Thank you, Madam Chair.
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right. Let's
15	move on to item number 8, update on progress of
16	AIMS@UNM concerns.
17	And I just have a statement to read and I
18	think that will probably take care of the item.
19	Subsequent to our March 28th, 2014,
20	meeting, we received advice from our legal counsel
21	that any decision made at this, our April 11, 2014,
22	meeting regarding AIMS@UNM in Rio Rancho would be
23	premature. She advises us pardon me, she advised
24	us that more time was needed to collect all the
25	relevant facts and documents to work with the



- 1 respective parties to come to a conclusion.
- 2 | Therefore, there will be no decision made on this
- 3 | issue today. AIMS@UNM will appear again on our May
- 4 9, 2014, agenda. Thank you very much.
- 5 Commissioners, I suggest at this point we
- 6 | take about a ten-minute break. We'll come back at
- 7 10:00.
- 8 (Recess from 9:51 a.m. to 10:02 a.m.)
- 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I call back into
- 10 order the meeting of the New Mexico Public Education
- 11 Commission. I call back into order this meeting of
- 12 | the New Mexico Public Education Commission. Please
- 13 let the record indicate that there are one, two,
- 14 | three, four, five, six Commissioners in the room.
- 15 When the other two make it here, I will so announce.
- 16 Let's move on to item number 9, Report
- 17 | from Options for Parents and the Charter School
- 18 Division. Julia.
- 19 MS. BARNES: Good morning again. So the
- 20 | first kind of standing item in my report is a
- 21 discussion of where we are with forms. So I just
- 22 | wanted to tell you where we were. We should have up
- 23 quite soon a new list on the CSD web page with all
- 24 of the forms that you have approved. Actually, I
- 25 | was hoping to have those binders and CDs for you



today, but I'm not going to -- I don't think I'm
going to make it. So we'll have it for you for the
next meeting. They're also going to be posted
online.

Again, what we have done is gone back to the PEC meetings where you approved the various forms, and that's just going to be -- the form is going to be there and then your approval is going to be behind it, so that it's clear when things were approved. That is in process and ready.

We are going backwards and back to every school that has negotiated this year, including the seven schools that will do their second performance framework, so that everybody's under the same framework, and we are even going to ask the schools from last year to let us make the technical corrections to their contract. It's up to them if they'll do it or not. Frankly, my personal opinion is that they should, because I think a lot of the changes that we made to the contract clarify issues for charter schools.

So if luck goes my way, everybody will have the same documents. We'll keep you updated on that. Again, Amy Chacon from my office has done a great job of going back and reviewing each set of



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 documents, so we're in good shape there.

Let's see -- you had asked for --

3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Julia, while 4 you're looking for that, let me ask that the record

5 reflect that Commissioner Carr and Commissioner

6 Toulouse are now back in attendance.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARNES: I'm going to come back to a couple of discussions that I want to have, so let me go on and give you the updates for the schools. But then I'm going to come back to a couple of things in my report.

You had asked for kind of a standing item on the school closures. We are -- we did clarify with general counsel, Dan Hill, that we're moving forward on Ralph J. Bunche. Sandy Berry will be contacting the school.

There was that letter from the Cabinet Secretary indicating that the appeal was not appropriately submitted to the Cabinet Secretary, so from PEC and PED's perspective, the school closure is moving forward. It is getting late in the year and things need to move pretty quickly. But that approval to move forward with that was given yesterday, and the school has been asking what will be the closure protocol? We will use, of course,



1 the same closure document that you have approved.

The Learning Community Charter School.

3 We're moving forward as well with that closure.

4 | There has not been, to my knowledge, a decision by

5 | the district court judge on the appeal. However, as

5 you know, the Cabinet Secretary upheld your

7 decision, so we're moving forward with that closure.

I do have a bit of good news in that their

9 files seem to be in good order. Our concern is

10 | always that student records are in good shape for

11 | the students to be able to move on to where they're

12 | going. So the first round of those reviews showed

13 | that school was in good order. I don't know if

14 | they're in great order, but they're in good order.

15 | So that's a relief.

16 So again, we're going to start to move

17 | forward full steam on both of those, both schools.

18 | No one can really predict when a district court

19 | judge will make a ruling. But I do keep hearing

20 | that mid-April looks like the time that we may hear,

21 | but we'll hear when we hear. You'll hear when we

22 hear.

23 Let's see. We have three schools that are

24 | still on the schools of concern list. One is the

25 | International School at Mesa del Sol. We spent -- I



think Ron Christopherson spent eight hours with Sean 1 2 Joyce yesterday, so I told him I would just update 3 They are on track to have a you on where we are. 4 contract coming forward to negotiate for you at the 5 May meeting, so yesterday they spent a long time doing the draft worksheet. We are looking to have a 6 7 negotiation session on April 28. I think Commissioner Bergman will address who can attend 8 that negotiation session, a little bit of a later 9 10 agenda item, so we might put that off.

They are choosing goals that meet the conditions that you placed on that school, and that gets the school to be stable. Their board did confirm to the community that they will stay open They believe they have the budget for next year. next year and they're looking closely at how to increase enrollment. And they will come forward, I believe, with a transportation request. However, Paul Aquilar has asked our office to make clear that if we recommend a transportation amendment, that does not mean that the Public Education Department will provide them with transportation funds. are two different questions, and two different processes, and he is aware that several schools are making what is essentially a late request for



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- transportation funds. So that's outside of your
 purview, outside of our purview. I just wanted to
 make that clear, that to the extent they come
- forward with a recommendation, the funding aspect of it is separate.
- I will tell you that the International

 School at Mesa del Sol is hopeful about funding

 because -- and actually are using a model where they

 may have a couple of transportation hubs where

 parents can drop students off closer to their homes

 to be transported to the school.
 - I think we will be in shape. But I do
 want to thank the Commission for allowing them to
 delay the negotiations. That causes an additional
 trip, an additional set of negotiations, so for
 those of you that accommodate that, thank you. Do
 you want me to do all three schools, or would you
 like to -- do you have any questions on that for me?

 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think that's
 fine.
 - MS. BARNES: Sage Montessori Charter
 School. I think you have an update in your
 executive summary. They have decided not to move
 forward with an early contract. And I guess,
 speaking for myself, I wish they had. Because I



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

think it's a good way for a school like the

International School and a school like La Tierra to

really confirm that they're on track for success,

but it's their choice. You all had indicated that

you were open to that, but it's up to them.

So we do have our eye on that school and we will continue to monitor them. Rachel Stofocik from my office will continue to do an update, and if at any point you want to ask the school to come to a presentation, we would certainly pass that message along to them, although I think that we're feeling better in general about all of these schools. told the Cabinet Secretary, I'll tell you, I think this contracting process and the amount of attention you are paying to the schools causes schools to look at what they're doing. And of course, they're interested in their students succeeding. think the process allows us to identify schools of concern more readily and gives them an opportunity to improve. That's my own personal opinion.

Moving on to La Tierra, there is an update on that school, as well. And actually, I think a member of their board and their interim, I think interim, Sandy Davis, is here. She is the interim principal there. They're here to listen, unless you



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would like to ask them questions.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 They are moving forward with a contract 3 negotiation and again will discuss the timing of 4 We're suggesting putting McCurdy, who's 5 actually here, as well -- although McCurdy is not on the agenda, but she must love our meetings, so she 7 just comes a lot -- but they were proposing some 8 later dates and a July approval for both of those We'll discuss that a little bit later. 9 schools.

And again, with new leadership both at Sage and La Tierra, I think CSD is feeling cautiously optimistic about those schools, but we are going to keep them on our schools of concern.

Again, we will get the update. Rachel happens to have both of those schools as the liaison, and she will continue to give updates, so unless there's questions of us or of the school...

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I do have a question, Julia, on Sage Montessori. Did they indicate why they chose not to come under contract early? I mean, I know it's an option, they didn't have to. I just am curious. I think it's a really good way to get organized.

MS. BARNES: I do, too. I do know -- and actually, I think Sandy Davis considered it, too.



1 It's extra work when you're already trying to pull a

2 school forward, and so it takes that extra emphasis

3 to come forward. And for example, Sean Joyce was

4 | with us yesterday working on the worksheet rather

5 | than in his school yesterday. I think it will

6 ultimately achieve great outcomes for his kids, but

7 | it does feel like an extra project.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's the only reason, is I think each of these schools is kind of running as fast as they can. And whether they prioritize the contract or not, you and I think alike, so we both think that's a good way to make sure.

I also tell schools often that I think they're in better shape when they have come and told you what they're trying to do, so that you know the school better than if they come in front of you when things are really desperate. And I think that's strong protocol.

I'm actually going to switch in just a second to what I recommend you do on amendments, and one of those is that if a school has a lot to do and they're really remaking themselves and they're really working on it, it makes some sense to me to do a presentation to you one meeting before they come forward the next meeting, because often you



give guidance to them on what you want to see. I
think that is sound practice. But I also know it's
more work.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that explanation.

6 Commissioner Gant.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GANT: Julia, has Sage

Montessori given any indication when they plan to

move? I note it is stated there that they don't

like their building, it's insufficient, so is there

any indication when they want to do this?

I'm sorry I didn't have MS. BARNES: Rachel here. And I may speak to her and get back to So I'm hoping that this is right. Actually, if anybody's in the audience that knows. There are several schools that are looking to kind of switch out in a couple of buildings, and I'm not entirely sure about it. But one or more buildings previously housed charter schools, and so there's a conversation with Sage and a couple of other schools that they may move into some of those. And it's a little bit premature to bring it to you, but I do know that when I asked them about whether they brought PSFA into the process, the answer I get is,



well, the buildings they're looking at were previous

1 charter schools.

2 So I think they're in process, because there's as much an opportunity to kind of upgrade as 3 4 anything else, and I'm happy to try to -- well, I know Rachel is training our notice-of-intent schools 5 today, so I may not be able to reach her. 7 clarify what they're doing and get that to the 8 executive committee. Rachel is so good, I frankly 9 rely on her and sometimes I don't know everything 10 she knows, because I rely on her expertise. Let me get that to the Executive Committee with a little 11 12 clarity. I know it was premature to bring it to you 13 now.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, Julia,
their comment, they were previous charter school
buildings -- that's not enough.

MS. BARNES: Right.

COMMISSIONER GANT: The statute says they will go to PSFA. So I would strongly urge them to do it sooner than the last minute, as usual. Thank you.

MS. BARNES: I'm getting pretty good at channeling what you want me to say, so I think I have already said that. But I'll just reiterate that you actually said it.



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 COMMISSIONER GANT: Okay. 2 That's the update on the MS. BARNES: 3 schools, and I do want to just pause there, make 4 sure there's no other questions on the schools, 5 because knowing that it was a little bit of a shortened agenda, I did take the opportunity to add 6 7 some more things that I wanted to discuss, but I 8 want to make sure there's no other questions on the schools. 9 10 Commissioner Bergman. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You didn't discuss 11 12 Is just what you have here in the La Tierra. 13 executive summary what you would report on them at 14 this time? 15 MS. BARNES: Yes, and that they are coming 16 forward with contract negotiations that we will 17 And actually, we were just saying La discuss. Tierra is one of the schools that we're going to ask 18 19 be placed on your June agenda so you can hear 20 comprehensively what they're doing and what they're looking to do in advance of negotiating a contract 21 22 with them. So we will have them actually -- would you like Sandy Davis to come give a little update on 23 24 how they're doing? She's here. 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioners?



1 Yes, that would be good. Thank you.

MS. BARNES: We put you on the spot? No

3 pressure.

4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Please introduce

5 | yourself so the reporter gets your names correct.

6 MS. DAVIS: I'm Sandra Davis. I have been

7 | in the charter schools for a while, was the

8 | principal at Turquoise Trail Charter School for 12

9 | years, and retired, and back at La Tierra now to

10 | support their program.

MS. HILL-CAP: Hi, I'm Julie Ann

12 | Hill-Capp. I am a governing council member for two

13 | years now, and I was a school nurse for, gosh, about

14 | seven years.

MS. DAVIS: What I would say about La

16 | Tierra at this point -- I have been there only three

17 weeks. I see a very strong instructional program,

18 and what they need -- I think that their charter was

19 | much broader than what can really be accomplished in

20 | a small school, and I know that in the last PEC

21 | meeting I attended, there was a concern addressed to

22 | Ed Wood, who was the head learner at that time,

23 | about the enrollment, and we currently have 74

24 | students, and the enrollment cap is much larger than

25 | that.



1	And what I know, being there, is that the
2	current building that we are in temporarily, we
3	can't take more children than we have. And there
4	are ongoing negotiations. I think we're very close
5	to getting into a building that will be renovated
6	this summer, so that we can expand.
7	We had a very successful lottery, so we
8	have a lot of students on the waiting list who want
9	to enter the school. I think that there were only
10	one or two students that do not intend to return. I
11	think that that has to do with the strong program
12	that is evident in the school. I think I'm
13	hoping that all the teachers return. We have
14	excellent board members who are really committed to
15	that program.
16	So I am happy to answer any questions, or
17	Julie Ann, if you'd like to say something more.
18	That's my experience in the short time that I have
19	been at the school.
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for that
21	information.
22	Commissioners, do you have questions?
23	Concerns? Issues?
24	Again, I would just reiterate Commissioner
25	Gant's request that you be sure and coordinate all



1 of your building activities with PSFA.

MS. DAVIS: That has already occurred.

3 | They have worked with PSFA and have the approved

4 | letter. They are currently working -- the school

5 | was previously a middle school in the Espanola area.

6 | It's on the Ohkay Owingeh property, and so the

7 | negotiation is going on with the Tsay Corporation

8 | and then the tribal council who has to approve the

9 use of the school. They are putting forth \$600,000

10 | toward renovation in the building. And so we're

11 | very pleased with the plan. It's just getting that

12 | final lease signed. They do have a lease from us,

13 from the board, in hand to be approved.

14 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very

15 much.

16 Other issues? We appreciate your

17 presentation. Thank you.

MS. BARNES: Any other questions on

19 | schools? Okay.

20 | I would like to actually ask the Executive

21 | Committee if this might be a good meeting where,

22 | actually, we have a little bit of breathing room, as

23 | we could be done early today, so I did want to get

24 | some direction on several things that we're working

25 on with you, and CSD is working on in general, and



just see what you wanted to do about them.

The first is on indicators generally, so when we negotiate with the schools, you all have

4 already done your template, A through F, on the

5 academic. We just redid the financial framework,

6 and the organizational framework is the compliance

7 | document. That's in your template.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we have these negotiations which I think at this point everybody's done one or more negotiations, so you have got a real sense of what they feel like. But that's where the schools bring forward what they want to do, and what fits with their mission-specific goals, and then any other supplemental goals.

And it is a fascinating, fascinating conversation. And we from CSD -- because now we did seven last year -- I don't know, I think we're through maybe eight to ten this year. We're going to end up with I think 14 by the time the new schools and everybody comes in. Actually, I think my numbers might even be at bit low.

So we're starting to get a critical mass of these indicators. And the question I have is whether you all would like to have us present at a working session what we're finding from a higher



level, or not. Because we're doing the work within

CSD, and maybe you're tired of working sessions, and

I could really understand that. From our

perspective, it really warrants a lot of thought.

Many schools are bringing forward

Many schools are bringing forward short-cycle assessment indicators. First there's a little bit of a tension with that, because a short-cycle assessment is primarily intended to be used in the classroom, and to improve, you know, or more immediately improve student instruction.

So for example, we're now thinking when we propose a short-cycle assessment, to also propose an indicator that just requires the schools to tell us how they're using it in the classroom. They can give me any answer they want. I just want them to be doing it, because we do hear these stories that, you know, sometimes the data is in the closet.

Well, data in a closet isn't as helpful as data in a classroom. So that's a way that our indicators could move our schools in the direction they're hoping to go.

Also, we just had a really interesting negotiation yesterday with some testing for English language learners and Spanish language learners, and the software companies' information on how we can



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 use this indicator varies tremendously from -- they have no information for us. They have some, but 2 3 it's done this way, or that way. So one question I 4 have for discussion from you is: You know, are you interested and would you like to -- would you like 5 us to bring forward for you at a working session 7 what we're finding? Would you like to participate in that conversation at a broader level in addition 8 to discussing it school by school? 9 10 And then the second point I want you to

And then the second point I want you to know is these -- I'll call them short-cycle assessments, but they're not all short-cycle assessments. Using these as indicators is no easy task, and gosh, we're getting just a whole lot of different information from a whole lot of different companies. So we're trying to come up with the best way to use that assessment for an indicator, but I want it pretty clear that it's all over the board.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: If I might just speak first, I think a working session, as you say, to bring these issues forward would be very good. I think we also need a session to look at what we've been doing, what we think is working, what's working well, what perhaps could work better if perhaps it was done a little differently. But I think an



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

overview of what we've done, where we are, and where
we'd like to be would be very appropriate, in my
mind.

4 Other comments? Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I, of course, echo
those comments. And Julia, we do need a session,

but let me just state, as I read your summary here,

it kind of sounds like you -- I may be

misinterpreting it. You're talking about a

standardized set of indicators for all these

schools, and that's never going to work because all

schools, and that's never going to work because all the schools are different.

Now, if PED wants to have some standards, although we had a discussion on standards and targets yesterday, too, because the attorneys don't necessarily like the word "standards." They more lean towards the word "target." But we have something like 62 or 63 schools that fall under our umbrella right now, and every one of them is different. As we saw yesterday, every one of them has a baseline that they're working from that is different.

And I can see where we might have some general concepts that are the same, but as far as saying, "Well, this is this kind of school, it's a



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Spanish-English immersion, "like yesterday, "so this 1 2 is the indicators we're going to use for any of 3 those schools that fall under that umbrella," I 4 would be totally opposed to that. That does not 5 work. Because of our discussion yesterday, it just shows that each school -- we're going to have to 7 have different indicators, one, because different schools have different areas of concern and need. It was pointed out yesterday that Cien 9 10 Aguas has a fairly good report card based on their past history, but the area that they really got hung 11 12 up on in this last state report card was their 13 lowest 25 percent was an F. Well, they didn't need 14 They knew they needed to concentrate on our advice. 15 that lowest -- and the goals that we negotiated 16 yesterday all revolve around getting that lowest 25 17 percent off that F grade and get them to functioning, and it was just strictly logical. 18 Ιf 19 they improve that lowest 25 percent, the school 20 overall is going to improve. It's a logical 21 progression there. 22 So standardized indicators I would be 23 opposed to. But as a framework, perhaps, a starting 24 point, but even if you say a starting point, that



makes it be real difficult, because this school has

a lot further to go than some of the others we're dealing with.

MS. BARNES: That's a good point. And let me clarify what's in our minds. So if a school says they want to use the Discovery short-cycle assessment and they want that to be an indicator, I think that we ought to know how an indicator using the Discovery short-cycle assessment should operate.

So that outer shell. Then the conversation when we know the tool that we're using, we're going to use that tool consistently, then you all then should negotiate targets for those schools and what they're going to achieve and how they're going to achieve it.

But how Discovery works should be the same for any school that uses Discovery, and they were going to use this chart to make the determination or whatever. And then I think you should note -- and let me know if we still agree at the end of this -- but I think you should know if PED has a standard already, and if they have set something, you know. They have set graduation targets. They have set, you know, targets for English language learners. And to the extent -- that should be information that you should know, either that you're having them meet



1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that, or you know, we're all working to bring them up.

So from my perspective, while you may set different targets for a school using the Discovery short-cycle assessment, how we look at Discovery should be the same. I mean, we've got to know how Discovery works and how it operates and which reports we use and that kind of thing. So that's within my mind.

And actually, that was raised very much with the negotiation yesterday. They raised four indicators that we hadn't looked at, how those tests worked. So Rachel went and found out how the test works. And actually we found out that PED is not that happy with some of how that's working. But we wanted to bring that information to the meeting for you because how do you make a decision if you don't have that context?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You raise a great point, and as all my fellow Commissioners probably know, PED is providing a list of all these various assessments to all the schools and they get to choose from menu A, menu B, and so in some cases we are talking one school is using Discovery, the one yesterday is using something called Access, which I



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | had not heard of before, which is approved by the
- 2 PED. So sometimes we are comparing apples and
- 3 oranges when we're talking about how the kids are
- 4 | being evaluated within the various schools, and that
- 5 | is our American way.
- I don't think it would be appropriate for
- 7 PED to say, "You're going to use Discovery, whether
- 8 | you like it or not."
- 9 So that's why they give a list of --
- 10 | people have freedom of choice. So yeah, there's so
- 11 | many components that go into this kind of
- 12 discussion. But I definitely agree we need a
- 13 | full-day work session here, as if we didn't already
- 14 have plenty of opportunities to gather together and
- 15 | enjoy this fellowship. But yes, some
- 16 | standardization is fine, but there's got to be some
- 17 | flexibility built into it, too.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Gant
- 19 and then Commissioner Carr.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER GANT: Chairman, members,
- 21 others, I agree we need a work session. I really
- 22 don't get tired of work sessions. What I get tired
- 23 of is not knowing what I'm talking about. So work
- 24 | session will -- that's what wears me out, when I
- 25 don't know what I'm talking about. And driving up



here to a meeting, if I'm going to -- if it's a

valuable meeting, something that gets accomplished,

I have no problem. I just put an audio book on and
those miles just disappear. So I would recommend a

work session on anything you want to do that
educates us.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, you know, the short-cycle assessments have been an issue for a good number of years, especially concerning data and whether we're getting the data in a timely manner. We do have standardized tests already. The New Mexico SPAs, and then we're going to the PARCC assessments next year, and those are all going to be the same.

What I want to see in charter schools that are supposed to be the incubator and -- not incubator, but I guess the scientific laboratory for improvements in our educational process and things that we can take from them is have the charter schools maybe have the capability of doing things that public schools, traditional public schools, can't do. How effective are the short-cycle assessments? Are there other things that can be done? Is this -- is it a waste of time? Do we need



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to change it? You know, those are -- the
traditional public schools don't really have a
choice except in what they use, a short cycle.
There are many classes that have no use for it at

5 all, and subject areas that have no use for it at 6 all.

So I'd like to see that in charter schools. I would simply want them to say, "Hey, this is -- we're doing this, and what we found out was that maybe this works better, and this is why, and we'd like to see those reports," you know.

How were they transferring the data and making it useful into the classroom? That's extremely important. And if that's something that everybody else can use, then that's good.

I think there's a lot of misinformation in regard to how charter school teachers are evaluated versus traditional public school teachers. And in that regard, if the charter schools have a better idea, maybe they should be given the freedom to look at some alternative routes of evaluation instead of it coming from top down. Public schools don't have that option, but the charter schools should have that option. Maybe we should look at that. There's a lot of issues and a lot of problems around the new



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 evaluation system that's coming down the pike. 2 charter schools could be an avenue of improving So something to look at. 3 4 So yeah, a work session would be good. would have a lot of suggestions in that regard. 5 Ι mean, there's a -- we obviously have a lot of 7 charter schools who are doing an outstanding job. We have a lot of charter schools who are doing a 8 mediocre job. And we have a lot of charter schools 9 10 who we've -- not a lot, but we have some that we So this decades-long experiment that we're 11 closed. 12 doing nationwide should show some fruit that's 13 available to our children. All right? And it 14 shouldn't be ever -- or shouldn't ever be political. 15 It should be what's good for the kids, and a working 16 session I think would help bring that out.

17 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,

18 | Commissioner. Other comments?

Julia, are you requesting a full-day,

20 | half-day session? What do you think timewise you'd

21 | need or is needed?

MS. BARNES: Let me think about that. I

23 think actually Beverly might even have a working

24 | session, not next month, but in June.

MS. FRIEDMAN: No, in May.



1 MS. BARNES: I think we're using it for 2 negotiations.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Oh.

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 MS. BARNES: So I think it's June the next 5 I think it's on for a full day. Let's look I don't ever mean a full day, but I think 7 we can start later in the morning and accommodate If we could start with the actual work that 8 9 we're doing, you know, what has been working, what 10 can be improved, and then look at the indicators, 11 those are pretty urgent on my list.

And then perhaps, actually, after they got a little while longer to roll out the teacher evaluations, we might be able to get a presentation to you. Because I certainly know that PED is working hard on the teacher evaluations system and I know they're working with charters too. So I'm not sure -- that might be more appropriate for a PEC meeting and we can talk about that a little bit Let's keep the one that we have on our list, later. which I think is in June, and I'll get an agenda for But I'd like to focus on how is the overall process working, and this conversation of indicators.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I don't think we

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



- 1 have one already scheduled in June. I certainly 2 don't see it on the calendar.
- 3 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
- 4 and Julia, we have -- the day before the meeting
- 5 this room is reserved for either a work session or
- 6 another day for meeting, and so you could use this
- 7 room on the 12th, I believe, and the meeting is
- 8 | scheduled for the 13th. And I have that same
- 9 | schedule for all of the meetings. There's a day
- 10 | before each of your single-day meetings.
- 11 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. And then I
- 12 think we need to keep in mind that Secretary
- 13 | Skandera said that she would like to come and meet
- 14 | with us in either June or July when school grades
- 15 come out.
- 16 MS. BARNES: That has to be out at least
- 17 | in July. School grades don't come out until the 5th
- 18 or the 10th of July.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So perhaps July.
- 20 | Maybe even August?
- 21 | MS. BARNES: Or August, correct.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: August would be
- 23 bad.
- 24 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, you do not
- 25 | have any meetings scheduled basically for August.



1 You have a week of traveling that's to the new charter school applicants' places, but you do have 2 that week in August that's blocked out. So that if 3 4 you only needed three of those, you could have a 5 meeting one of those days. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 6 Right. 7 Julia, could I ask you to coordinate with 8 the Secretary, knowing what our schedule is in 9 August, that if we don't have applications enough that it takes a whole week, then we might could use 10 one of those days for a meeting. But if we're going 11 12 to take a full week of travel to those community 13 input hearings, I don't know how we can squeeze in a 14 I mean, we'd have to work out something. meeting. 15 MS. BARNES: We'll take a look at that. Ι 16 think a conversation in the late summer or early 17 fall would be great with her, so I think it's an 18 opportunity to touch base between PED and PEC, but 19 there's no real exact urgency as to a specific day.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: She just was the one who mentioned -- I thought she said June or July. But whatever. So if you'll just coordinate that with her, please.

MS. BARNES: We certainly will do that.

25 Okay. Moving on to --

20

21

22

23





1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Have we decided a
2	full day or a half day, friends?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I really think we
4	need to lean more towards a full day, because
5	there's going to have to be a lot of discussion on
6	these indicators, and I think Julia is going to have
7	a lot of good information for us.
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Perhaps three-fourths
9	of the day.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As you said, start
11	a little later in the morning.
12	MS. BARNES: Start later in the morning.
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: What time is
14	later?
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: 9:00.
16	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 9:00? Because if
17	we're talking about starting later in the morning so
18	some of us can drive in that morning, unless we wait
19	until noon, I can't drive in and be here at 9:00,
20	you know. So if we start at 9:00, that should give
21	us a good amount of time.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right, so 9:00
24	on the 12th.
25	MS. FRIEDMAN: The 12th.



The 12th.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I don't have a calendar.

MS. FRIEDMAN: June 12th.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARNES: Okay. I do need to give you a heads-up, actually, on one specific thing. Last year three schools out of the seven used Discovery short-cycle indicators. Two are elementary schools and one is a high school.

Discovery short-cycle assessment as an indicator, we've been able to look at that system and see how it worked and come up with an agreement with the schools as to how we would implement the indicator that you all negotiated.

Discovery, though, for high school is done differently, and I am just telling you, I'm unclear and unsure that we can use that indicator for Anthony Charter School and the high school. So I'm giving you a heads-up that we're talking about what to do about that. They don't have national information on what high school students should be achieving that allows us to show one year's growth, and they say things like, "Well, you set that."

Well, how do we set that? I don't have any context to set that. So I'm just giving you a heads-up. We're working with the school. I'll



- 1 | actually talk to the Executive Committee about it.
- 2 | I thought we were going to be successful with all
- 3 three schools, but because of the high school
- 4 | information, I'm not sure. And unless we can
- 5 utilize your indicator as you negotiated it, we're
- 6 going to need to bring it back to you. So I'm just
- 7 | worried about that one school and that one
- 8 indicator. They do have several other indicators,
- 9 but anyway, we're going to probably have to come
- 10 | back to you with a proposal.
- 11 Anyone want to comment on that except that
- 12 | I'm not happy about it?
- Okay. Three other topics that I just
- 14 | wanted to have you understand.
- 15 There is an annual report required by the
- 16 | Public Education Department on charter schools due
- 17 to the Governor and the Legislature and everybody
- 18 listed who gets those reports, and it was done last
- 19 | year. That was the first year that we did the
- 20 | report. And we're going to do it each year into the
- 21 | future. The language actually of the act is on page
- 22 | 4 under tab 9 of my executive report.
- I did want you to know what we have to do,
- 24 which is not what we did last year, which is provide
- 25 a report on how charters are doing. We have been



using A-through-F data and we've been mining that
data a little further down, and it does also ask us
to compare charters to noncharters.

4 I have been saying this pretty regularly I think that most of us want all 5 recently. New Mexico kids to succeed. We don't just want 7 charter kids to succeed or regular kids to succeed. So why we're comparing the two feels a little bit 9 like not the correct question, but since the 10 Legislature asked us that question, we will also 11 compare them.

But the data last year -- actually,

Commissioner Carr, you were just raising it. 81

percent of all charters received an A, B, or C

grade. So that means 19 percent of our charters did

not. And several of those were new schools and

several -- two of those schools you will have

closed.

So I'm hoping that charter schools -- I don't want a charter school to get an A and another school not to get an A. You know, to me, it's not a comparison. But I did want to tell you about that. And I would like to bring some of that data to you, just to show you that in the fall.

And the other thing that we're doing that



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 I am really interested in is starting to look at

- 2 | which events in kids' lives impact their learning.
- 3 | And charters can often target students with high
- 4 | mobility, with English language, English language
- 5 | learners or dual language learning, special ed.
- 6 | Certain of our schools have a higher percentage of
- 7 | special ed. than other schools do. And poverty. So
- 8 | that is collected in the A-through-F data, and
- 9 actually, knock on wood, we've actually hoping to
- 10 | bring on board someone who can really mine a lot of
- 11 | data for us through A through F. So I just want to
- 12 | raise that for you, let you know that this is a
- 13 requirement. The report requires us to do things
- 14 | that we will do.
- 15 And we have them looking -- it also asks
- 16 | us to look at the difference between authorizers.
- 17 | Mark Tulley was here earlier. Last year, at least,
- 18 | there was really no difference between the
- 19 authorizers. I am kind of interested to see the
- 20 | success rate of all the work that you're putting
- 21 into it.
- 22 So again, I don't really know why we would
- 23 | want a best authorizer. Why wouldn't we want all
- 24 | authorizers to be excellent? But it starts to look
- 25 | at that. I did want to raise that, just to let you



know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That report from last year is on our website and I'm happy -- you were provided a copy, but it got kind of lost in the shuffle, I think, from last year and it just scratched the surface of where I think we can go.

So along with what you're saying,

Commissioner Carr, I think this is an opportunity

that's actually required of us, but I did want to

say that it is a PED report, but I do want to bring

that information of what we're finding to you.

Ouestion?

COMMISSIONER CARR: You're talking about comparing the authorizers at the local school board member level to us. Are all of them together? Is that what you're talking about?

MS. BARNES: Well, it was hard to do last year, because you and Albuquerque are the only ones that have a statistically significant number of schools. And then, what we did -- which doesn't make any sense to me at all -- we combined everybody else into an "other" category. Well, that combined Cruces and Gadsden and Farmington and Santa Fe and Las Vegas. Well, combining them into an "other" category told us nothing. But also, if you only



have one or two schools and you have 62 schools,
ti's also not a great comparison.

So really, the answer to that is, it
really comes down to looking at Albuquerque and
looking at you. We did do last year a spotlight on
Albuquerque, because they have state-authorized
schools, they have public schools, and they have
locally chartered schools. There was no real
difference between the two authorizers.

And again, it's a comparison that I'm not happy with, but charters look like they're succeeding more than regular public schools. But why would we applaud that? So the reality is that, almost statewide, a couple things came out of it. Charters across the board, 81 percent, got an A, B, or C grade. They improved a lot from the first set of grades to the third grade. So I think they're responding to A through F, my guess is probably because they know these are high-stakes decisions for them. So I do believe that charters are looking carefully at A through F.

The other thing -- and I thought it was really interesting -- is if the data showed anything -- it didn't particularly show this for charters -- if a school is a SAM school, charter or



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	not, they are so completely outperforming and
2	succeeding with those kids that are in the SAMs
3	category that what it really showed is that regular
4	schools, charter or regular schools, struggle with
5	the SAMs students; and the schools that are created
6	to address those students' needs, however they're
7	created, are doing a much better job. And that
8	was that's not even a charter statement. But it
9	was real I mean, it makes logical sense, because
10	the people that are passionate about those
11	second-chance schools love those people and love
12	those kids, and those kids love those schools.
13	That's clear.
14	And so Commissioner Toulouse, gosh, I was
15	so hopeful after looking at that. I thought that
16	was really neat.
17	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: In some of the
18	presentations we've had during the contract
19	negotiations, that really shines through, that
20	commitment to those students. It's pretty
21	empowering to work with. Very nice.
22	Commissioner Gant, did you have a comment?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: I did have another
24	follow-up question to what I was asking. So NACSA



compares charter authorizers from all the states,

1 don't they? Don't they give us a report?

MS. BARNES: Yes. Yes, they do and it's

3 | based on policy decisions that they have made. So

4 | for example, our state doesn't rank terribly high --

5 | well, it ranks very high, because we have one of the

6 best charter school laws in the country in terms of

7 | accountability. The one -- they view it as a

8 | negative -- I'm not sure that I do -- is because you

9 | all -- and I don't know about APS -- but it's

10 | allowed in the state to allow less than a five-year

11 | charter, that there are some schools that you have

12 on a three-year charter because you're watching

13 them.

14 NACSA doesn't subscribe to that

15 | philosophy. Their philosophy is open or closed, and

16 | you all have had a gray area. I personally agree

17 | with that. So yes, they rank you, but you're kind

18 of dinged for that, and I don't know why you should

19 be dinged for that.

20 So anyway, you are always going to rank

21 | high because you have high accountability. So it

22 | already has kind of told us what we want to know

23 | from that. So I'm not sure we're going to get new

24 | information from them. I don't know. At this

25 point, we're breaking new ground. I think we are.



- 1 I think the performance indicators and our 2 commitment to the accountability is probably at 3 least up there with any other state that's really 4 working on it. 5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very much. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: Continued follow-up. 8 I'm sorry. So I'm interrogating her. So does NACSA 9 compare -- I don't know how many states have 10 multiple authorizers, but do they make the same kind of comparisons that you're being asked to make? 11 12 MS. BARNES: I just added it to the 13 working session so I can bring you a more 14 comprehensive report on NACSA. What I want to say 15 is that our law was based in the philosophies So I think that my guess is, without 16 of NACSA. 17 looking, that this kind of comparison and comparing 18 local probably came from them initially, but I don't know that. 19 20
 - So let me put part of that agenda on the working session to bring in the national perspective. Actually in our law, you're encouraged to look at the national perspective. Let me bring that information back to you.

25 COMMISSIONER CARR: And one other quick



21

22

23

1 comment is that I understand that there's going to

- 2 be possible legislation to make us the only
- 3 authorizer. And you know, I think maybe I should
- 4 | have made that part of my legislative report, but
- 5 that's nothing that's confirmed or not. So the data
- 6 | that you're coming up with could have an effect on
- 7 | that legislation.
- 8 MS. BARNES: So far the data is showing no
- 9 | difference. The data is showing a difference
- 10 | between charter and noncharter. And it's really
- 11 | showing a difference between SAM schools, success on
- 12 | Q1 kids and other schools' success on Q1 kids.
- Anyway, that's why I want to bring it to
- 14 you. I mean, I'm actually bringing you the data
- 15 | that just says, "This is what the data says."
- I'm not trying to -- I'm not intending to
- 17 come in and put some spin on it, one way or the
- 18 other, because the fact is, 81 percent of charters
- 19 | made an A, B, or C grade. That's just a fact. And
- 20 | then we can do what -- then, of course, I go and
- 21 | study the 19 percent, because that's what I do,
- 22 | because I'm apparently never satisfied. But that's
- 23 | what I think our job is. But anyway, it's your job
- 24 | to see where we go with what the data tells us. So
- 25 | we're going to try to bring it to you.



1 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. 2 MS. BARNES: I have got a few more, two 3 more. 4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Are we ready to 5 talk about amendment protocols? COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 6 7 just a comment. When we're comparing traditional 8 public schools with charter schools, we're comparing 9 red apples and green apples. So when we say 81 10 percent make A, and there was -- you know, there has to get down in the weeds as to why. And that's very 11 12 hard to do. So last year, in October, whenever it 13 was, that Tony said all that stuff, my hackles 14 really went up, because, oh, aren't we glowing. 15 it wasn't why. And that's just a little rub on me, 16 because when we start doing that, we start to put 17 down the traditional public schools and what they do, and we should not be doing that, because charter 18 19 schools should, in my view, be doing better. 20 MS. BARNES: Well, and what I'm hoping to 21 do on the report this year -- I mean, we're going to 22 answer the questions the Legislature has asked us, 23 and one of them is a comparison. But that doesn't 24 need to be our focus. Our focus, my focus, at 25 CSD -- I only work with charters, so I just want to



1 look at that group. How is that group doing?
2 Because that's the group that I work with.

And then if the Legislature has asked us to compare, then we'll do a chart that puts that comparison. But again, I just think it's a false -- I don't know why we would want to compare. Why don't we compare to, you know, the kids in Finland who are doing fantastic and love where they live and their state? And let's shoot for that. Let's compare -- why would we compare against each other? There's -- you know, the hackles go up for you and me.

And my personal experience raising three children in this state is that children go all over the place. They go to charter, they don't go to charter, they go where they need to go, and what's best for them. So everyone deserves an excellent education.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Very good.

MS. BARNES: So I'm just going to bring the data to you. We will put in what the Legislature requires. I'm warning you, they require a comparison, but we'll bury that a little deeper in the report this year.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I do



3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | want to say this. Apparently some legislators have
- 2 been upset that CSD there was making some
- 3 comparisons between the charters and the public.
- 4 | And I wish I had had that part of the statute in
- 5 | front of me when they were upset, because they may
- 6 be upset, and they may be rightly upset, and it may
- 7 | not be the best policy, but they put it in the
- 8 | Charter School Act themselves. The Legislature
- 9 | mandated a comparison.
- 10 So I don't think you can have it both
- 11 | ways. I don't think you tell CSD to make a
- 12 comparison in the annual report and then complain
- 13 about it later on. I'll just make that -- thank
- 14 you.
- 15 MS. BARNES: And the second says, "The
- 16 | annual report shall include a comparison of the
- 17 performance of charter school students with the
- 18 performance of academically, ethnically, and
- 19 | economically comparable groups of students in
- 20 | nonpublic schools."
- 21 | Well, what we're going to do, really,
- 22 | directly -- those are fascinating conversations:
- 23 | Academically, ethnically, and economically
- 24 | comparable groups of students. Our students who are
- 25 | moving around a lot, our students with ELL, our



students -- you know, and those are already split
out in the annual report. Those are the categories
we're looking at. But we will look at them from a
student perspective, and then it's not that hard to
then also throw in the children and compare.

Shall we move on?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Two other topics, charter amendment protocols. We've been talking about this.

As you are well aware, a lot of schools end up with a lot of amendments when they do the contract negotiation. So we're coming -- I'll tell you what we're proposing, and then I want to make sure that's what you want us to do.

If it's a technical or small or more minor amendment that cleans up something -- for example, the two you saw here today -- if they had been negotiating their contracts, we would have suggested it all be done on the same day, because it's a minor cap improvement and it's a minor change, and it's a cleanup, in our view.

We are proposing to do those cleanups on the same day when they negotiate their contract and at the same time.

The more major conversations -- either a school is really doing a total revamp, like Sandy



Davis was just talking. Our thought is to separate probably those amendments or at least a presentation to you on the amendments from -- and have that before, a meeting before they come forward to you for the contracts, because if it's major, I think it's helpful for them to get input or a decision from the full Commission before we go into the

negotiation session.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if it's minor, we're going to do it at the same time as the contract and clean up everything at once. Our proposal is that if it is more major, to either have them come and tell you the direction they're going, if they actually brought the amendment on that day -- that doesn't matter -- or to go ahead and get the amendments cleaned up.

I wanted to check with you on that. I wanted to see what you thought. Amendments are driving us a little bit crazy. We're going to manage them even tighter so that you have everything that you need. We even had one day when we had put a charter on for amendments, and then the charter school didn't realize they were supposed to show up. We're like, "Where are they?"

So that's just the confusion. But I





1 | wanted your direction.

2 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: If I could make 3 one comment before Commissioner Gant and anyone 4 else, I want to speak. I think it might be helpful if we could have a list, and maybe it doesn't have 5 to be all-inclusive, but, "These are minor, these 7 are major, " and maybe it would be a very short list, 8 but I think when we're doing things like this, we need to be very clear. "We can do this during 9 10 negotiations of the contract. This must come to PEC as a separate item so that there's no ambiguity 11

13 Okay?

there."

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARNES: The only thing I want to add to that, Commissioner Shearman, is that they're not thinking about this much until we get towards the negotiation. And then all of a sudden, we'll hear a lot. So that's the part that's hard to manage, is that they'll say, "Oh, by the way, we're moving."

"What do you mean, 'Oh, by the way, you're moving?'"

But that's how they thought of it. "Oh, shoot, we need to tell the PEC that."

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: "We need to tell."

MS. BARNES: It comes up helter-skelter.

1 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I really think 2 there has been enough emphasis on it, and will continue to be, that hopefully that won't be 3 4 happening so much. I think in the past there has been this sort of feeling that whatever you do is 5 fine; just tell the director, and it's good, and 7 then they know. Or something. 8 And I think that word has probably gotten 9 out that that's not the way it's going to happen 10 But I would still like somehow to develop this list of minor and major, at least as a starting 11 12 point.

Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, it's just my way of thinking. I kind of like what you kind of said, was that the amendments come to us the meeting before. All that work is done. They just come for the contract. And we don't fool around with it until the last minute. And they should learn -- I mean, not wait until the last minute on any subject. I mean, if they are paying -- if their governance council is really paying attention to what the school is doing and what they plan to do, it shouldn't be hard. It's not rocket science to figure out what they want to



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

change or amend. So get it here a month early. Get
the amendments out of the way. And if we have to
think about it, then we have a month to think about
it, whether they were minor or major. Some of them
turn into minor -- I mean major when they thought
they were minor.

So that's my way of thinking. The protocol should be a month before final negotiations or whatever. I don't care. Just get it out of the way, because you know you got to do it. It's not that painful.

MS. BARNES: The minor ones, they actually aren't really thinking about it until we get into the negotiations. The other side of bringing them here twice -- which is why I think we're proposing to bring major amendments here a month before -- is we are pulling the head of school out of the school. And you know, today we did great because I think we got rid of every head of school that could go back to their school within an hour and a half or something. But it worries me when we pull them out of their school for, you know, two full days.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, you



Toulouse.



know, this isn't -- the negotiating the charters and all the things that go along with it now are still a new process to everybody. Is there any reason why we should not, before the next round of negotiations, even people start thinking, I've got to redo it this year, that we send out a communication jointly from the Charter School

Division and the PEC stating, "These are the things

9 we need to have brought to us before, you know,
10 you're chartered. These are the things we'll need
11 to discuss at the time we do your charter. Please
12 think about it now, not at the last minute."

You know, I think it would be helpful to communicate with them more often with us as a Commission and in conjunction with the Charter School Division, so they understand it's a team that works here, and without them we couldn't do it, but without us, they can't approve it.

And it just seems to me like it's time to notify them, now that we've been through this process and everybody learned pretty much what we're going to be facing.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Julia, do you have a reaction to that?

MS. BARNES: Only that we tried to do



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 This just is -- I think it's part of what I 2 like about the contract, but it's not until we really start to work with them to prepare that 3 4 worksheet that they really start to pay attention. 5 So we can continue to get that word out, and I think that that's good. Can we try this idea that major 7 revamps come to you the meeting before, but we can 8 allow them minor amendments on the day of the 9 contract, and see how that works? That's something 10 I have my eye on.

11 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 12 Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, actually, I stated in our last meeting that my rationale for why I was discouraging us doing it at that point, but I have also had a month to -- a couple of weeks to think about it, and I believe I do agree with the problem, as the lawyers would say, is the definition of what is minor.

Now, one of the schools wanted to change one word in their mission statements. So should all this paperwork be filled out, and should we all be bothered when they change one word in their mission statement? We probably should have been able to just say, "Go ahead, let's change it."



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-mail: info@litsupport.com

And we're seeing it with the mission statement. For some reason, they're coming to these negotiation sessions -- and it partly may be because we say on the document -- Julia and I have had this discussion -- "If you want to change your mission statement, go ahead and do it."

So we're encouraging them sometimes to come and do this kind of thing. Is an increase that we just had today from 300 to 325 -- that was just a small increase. Is that major or is that minor? Is an enrollment cap change major or minor?

But I think if the Commission members were comfortable, I think we have discovered, yeah, we're generating a whole bunch of paperwork for amendments, and I think my rationale before was sound, but I also think maybe it needs to be changed. So that would be up to my fellow Commissioners.

I'm perfectly comfortable -- I'm at most of the negotiations, so I guarantee anything that they propose is being given a great deal of thought, and we normally have a pretty good discussion about it within the negotiation session.

So if the Commission would be comfortable with giving the negotiators a little flexibility



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Just the one fly I

there, then yeah, I think we can cut out some of
this paperwork.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And I think, too, 3 4 that we're not accepting their amendments during the 5 negotiations. We're simply allowing them to include them in their contract document. And then it must come before the entire Commission to be voted on. 7 So I think that's it. But I'm serious, I think if 8 9 we had a list of what's major and what's minor, and 10 maybe even some detail to that list, it would help us move this process forward. Commissioners? 11

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

would see in that ointment is, if we come to our meeting ready to approve a contract and a Commissioner or two Commissioners say, "I don't like that," then what do we do? Then we've got -- we follow this schedule, the school is ready to get their contract.

So that is the one fly in the ointment.

So I would say there have to be a major concern by a Commissioner to trigger that kind of situation. I'd hate to mess up the process at that point, is my observation.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Other comments?

Okay. Julia, thank you. Continuing.



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 MS. BARNES: Just to clarify, so if 2 there's a minor amendment, we could go ahead and 3 change it in the contract and flag it for the 4 Commissioners? 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Why don't you give 6 the Chair your list? 7 MS. BARNES: Okay, great. 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Start with the 9 definition of what you guys think is major and 10 minor. 11 And then see where we go with MS. BARNES: 12 Okay, great. it. 13 The last one -- and I raise it to see if 14 you want to put this on for later discussion or what 15 you want to do about it -- several of the schools 16 have asked -- you created a list of -- a template of 17 all the indicators and then they negotiate the mission-specific ones and supplemental ones if they 18 19 want to. There is no decision now about whether any 20 of them get weighted more or less. It was raised last time by Cesar Chavez, who said, you know, "Once 21 22 we negotiate the academic framework, I don't care if it's mission-specific, I don't care if it's 23 24 supplemental; they're all the indicators to me." 25 But they made a point of putting that back



in, as if it mattered what it was called. And it can only matter what it's called if it is treated differently. They're all treated the same.

Now, I can bring you nationally what different states are doing. Are they giving one of the indicators, like academic, more weight than organizational or not? And is there any indicator within that? I have actually asked Abby to speak to what you may be able to do or not do.

will highlight for you -- and I've been trying to say this consistently to the schools -- we will highlight for you where schools have exceeded the standard, because that's where we're looking to push. We're looking for schools to really achieve a great outcome for kids. But we're not going to weight it for you. We're just going to highlight it for you.

And so I just raise it because I think it's in the schools' minds. The schools are nervous about not making all of these indicators. We hear that in the negotiation sessions all the time. So I thought I'd raise it, maybe for a later conversation, maybe for a conversation today. But it is a topic I think you should at least think



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 about.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 2

3 Bergman.

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Again, I don't want 5 to belabor the point, but Julia, the point is perfectly valid. I have sat through all but one of 7 the negotiations. I have only missed one. 8 not really saying it out loud except that you can tell from some of the questions they're asking. But 9 the schools are really fearful that we're out to 10

hang them on these goals. You can just see the 12 "Boy, if I don't make one goal in wheels turning. 13 this performance framework, are they going to try 14 and put me out of business?"

They really are concerned, and I have no -- I wish I had a solution to how to allay their It's important to understand goal-setting concerns. theory and the goal-setting process. I fully assume that some of these schools are not going to make one or more of their goals. And my first one -- I'm not going to rush to put a school out of business because they missed one goal. We're going to discuss in the next session of the performance analysis, the framework analysis, when we go to the second and third year, "Okay, you missed this goal.



How are you going to make the goal the next time?" 1 2 But they really are fearful that --3 they're so concerned about their school, they're afraid we're going to try to put them -- I wish they 4 5 didn't have that perception, because that's not our mind-set, I don't think. As everyone has said 7 today, we want them to succeed, and goals is a part 8 of the succeeding. So I don't know how to address that issue, 9 10 but I just want you to know, they are really concerned that, "Boy, if I don't make this goal, 11 12 what's going to happen to my poor school?" 13 So that is certainly their mind-set. 14 MS. BARNES: We are now, on academic 15 indicators, ensuring that we have four levels: Exceeds, meets, does not meet, and falls far below. 16 17 Because to the extent that you do need to make a decision to close a school, there should be a fourth 18 19 category. This really was -- fell far below. 20 for a while we were thinking, Do we need four? We have settled on the fact that we need four only 21 22 because I think that that differentiation between 23 "does not meet" and "falls far below" may be 24 important in the future. Abby, did you want to add 25 anything?



1 MS. LEWIS: As far as the weighting, 2 ability to weight?

3 MS. BARNES: Yes.

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 MS. LEWIS: Julia and I talked about this 5 a week ago or so. We both agree that the law is silent on it, so you know, kind of the answer you 7 It depends which lawyer you ask and if it's convenient or not. So you know, if you wanted to do 8 it, a lawyer might say, "Well, the law is silent, and if they really wanted to forbid you from doing it, then they would have put it in the law." 11 12

The lawyer on the other side would say, "Well, the law doesn't explicitly allow it, and therefore you can't do it."

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, you know, it seems to me that we have just now really gotten down to weighting the applications. And in my mind, I almost have to use a document for a while before I feel comfortable with adding weight to any part of I think truly, we have weight in this document now with the "exceeds" and the "meets" and like that. I mean, an "exceed" is going to mean more to me than "does not meet." So right now, I think we need to leave it alone and use this document for a while, and then look at it with the eye to:



want to tweak anything or change weights or anything 1 2 like that? My personal opinion. 3 Anyone else have a thought? 4 Commissioner Toulouse. 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 6 think the schools are going to have to get used to 7 this process, too. We can't stop them from being 8 afraid it's going to be used against them. 9 can do is make sure we do a positive approach each 10 time we talk to people, and eventually the word will 11 get around. Because there's no way that anybody who 12 is fearful is going to stop being fearful until they 13 have gone through the experience. And so to me, 14 it's one of those moot points that we just -- we 15 stay positive and let them learn from our attitude. 16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well put. Well 17 put. Anything else? 18 Julia. No. 19 MS. BARNES: So here's what I'm 20 taking away. As we get the PED annual report data, 21 which will be after A through F, we'll put that on 22 the agenda and show you what that is. 23 We will place less emphasis on the 24 comparison and more on what charters are doing. 25 We will bring you more data on various



1 concepts.

2 School amendment process. I will get a

3 list of major and minor. That's good clarity and

4 | what we need for each. I'll pass that through the

5 | Executive Committee, and then we'll see where we go

6 with that. And then we can get that to the schools

7 and also to CSD staff so they know better how to

8 prepare these amendments.

9 And then we'll just put off any

10 | conversation on weighing the indicators, but we will

11 | continue to, one, make sure that there's four

12 | indicators on all academic goals; and two, that we

13 | highlight for you when they have exceeded.

14 | So that's what I'm taking away.

I'll just say, on item agenda 10, we don't

16 | have anything to report.

17 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, that

18 | certainly is short and sweet. Then let's move to

19 | item number 11.

20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's the PEC

21 | meeting calendar, and we've already discussed that a

22 | little bit. But I want to be sure and ask Beverly

23 | first to bring us up to date and point out a

24 | potential problem issue with the calendar.

25 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners,



I'd like to just mention that it has been just two
weeks since your last meeting, and I'm getting many
meetings together, setting the agenda, and
everything was really somewhat rapid over the past
two weeks.

We've got another meeting in October that is generally the same time frame. You have a two-day meeting in September, on the 25th and 26th, to actually approve or deny new charter school applications, which is usually a fairly intense meeting. And it's down for two days. We may need one day, we may need two.

But you will notice that ten days later is another meeting, and we would run into some of the issues, shall I say, that we did having this particular meeting. And one of the main issues was, we did not receive the minutes until yesterday afternoon, and the minutes are contracted basically with Bean, and we are required to provide those minutes for the public within ten days of the meeting, ten working days. And so they usually supply us with the minutes on the Thursday or the Friday, either yesterday or today, because that's ten days after the meeting. So that was one issue that we had with the meeting.



7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Also, we had the agenda-setting conference call with the Commissioners the Monday after the meeting, which is kind of quick.

And just putting all of the information
together, it's very difficult to do it in two weeks.

It can be done. We did do it. But what I would
recommend is that you move the October meeting date
to the next Friday, if you wish; or, depending on
your wishes, you know, if you wanted to cancel it,

I'm not sure.

Coalition of Charter Schools to see when their conference is, because last year we had a little bit of a disconnect with them as far as the November meeting, and I know that the same as the spring budget workshop, you all would like to attend probably some of their sessions for their meeting in November. And so I can contact them, see when their meeting is going to be, and see whether the 14th of November, which is slated as your next -- your meeting in November -- is the correct day to coincide with their conference. And so we can look at that.

But my recommendation would be to move the date of the October 10th meeting. And I'd also like



1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to mention that I will not be here in June, for the 1 June meeting or the work session, but I would like 2 to introduce to you Kimberly Ulibarri. 3 She is the 4 PED legislative liaison for the Department, and she 5 has been helping me this week with the PEC, and you saw her at meeting two weeks ago, and she will be 6 7 following up and helping for the June meeting dates, 8 so you will have coverage.

9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very
10 much. We look forward to working with you.

MS. FRIEDMAN: I'd also like to mention,
Madam Chair and Commissioners, that she is the one
who put your Rules of Order together for this
meeting.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay.

Commissioners, before we get too much further into the discussion on the calendar, with an eye towards possibly changing something, I recommend that you go to tab 13 and pull out the negotiation calendar, and let's make sure that we're not double-booking ourselves.

MS. BARNES: Madam Chair, if I can tell you just two changes to this negotiation calendar, one is April 28th, is the International School at Mesa del Sol, additional negotiation in the



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



- 1 afternoon. I know Commissioner Bergman can be
- 2 | there, and I think we were going to speak --
- 3 | Commissioner Toulouse can come, and we're good.
- 4 | Thank you for accommodating that school.
- 5 The second is that --
- 6 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Hang on just a
- 7 | second. I have got two calendars here.
- 8 MS. BARNES: Yes. The one with the -- the
- 9 one with the color is the new one.
- 10 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay
- 11 MS. BARNES: And the color part is what
- 12 | I -- I'll tell you what the color is, is if we've
- 13 changed a liaison, the colors change. But at the
- 14 | bottom, we are proposing schedules for the two
- 15 | schools, actually -- which are diehards and are
- 16 | still here -- for their negotiation sessions. At
- 17 | the back two pages, McCurdy and La Tierra. We're
- 18 proposing that they both come before you in the July
- 19 meeting, and that would be a negotiation -- we made
- 20 up the date of June 12, but both of them to be on
- 21 | June 12th. We just did the backwards planning like
- 22 | we did before.
- 23 I actually have not confirmed June 12th
- 24 | with any of you, I don't think.
- COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: My thoughts are



1 always if we put it the day before a meeting, that's

- 2 | great, so one driving trip instead of two. So I'm
- 3 perfectly on board with that.
- 4 MS. BARNES: We just messed it up, then.
- 5 | I just double-booked it.
- 6 MS. FRIEDMAN: I was going to mention that
- 7 | from the previous conversation, if you were going to
- 8 | have a work session day, you have booked it June
- 9 12th.
- 10 MS. BARNES: It would have to be June 11.
- 11 | I just double-booked us.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So you're
- 13 | proposing negotiations on June 10th?
- 14 MS. BARNES: No. How about the 11th?
- 15 | That would be Wednesday, the 11th. There would be a
- 16 working session on the 12th, and a meeting on the
- 17 | 13th.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Oh, okay.
- 19 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I can live with
- 20 | that. Can the schools live with that? So this is
- 21 going to come June 11th, then.
- 22 MS. BARNES: Sandy Davis, June 11th? Is
- 23 | that okay.
- MS. DAVIS: Instead of June 6th?
- 25 MS. BARNES: No, the negotiation was -- I



1 think we had said June 12th. You're talking about

- 2 | the day the worksheet is completed, so the
- 3 | negotiation --
- 4 MS. DAVIS: Yes.
- 5 MS. BARNES: So that would be good. We
- 6 | would do that in Santa Fe. Because we've got
- 7 | Espanola and -- it's the Espanola day.
- 8 MS. DAVIS: Okay.
- 9 MS. BARNES: Both schools from Espanola.
- 10 | So we'll be in Santa Fe.
- 11 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I was thinking
- 12 | Albuquerque, but Santa Fe would be fine.
- MS. BARNES: We can be right here. It
- 14 | works well.
- 15 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: For the ones on
- 16 | the 11th?
- MS. BARNES: June 11th, two negotiations.
- 18 June 12th, working session. And I know some of the
- 19 | Commissioners can't make those working sessions.
- 20 | I'm always -- maybe you can more in the summer, but
- 21 | I'm always willing to talk to you or bring you up to
- 22 | speed. And I know you miss because you have to.
- 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Would we need to
- 24 | coordinate with Beverly? Would it be possible for
- 25 | you to see if Mabry is available on the 11th also?



1 MS. FRIEDMAN: That's what we're doing

- 2 | right now.
- 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So we could do all
- 4 | this in one place, instead having multiple
- 5 | locations.
- 6 MS. FRIEDMAN: Exactly.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Let me just be
- 8 | clear. For the June 11th negotiations, which
- 9 | schools are we talking about?
- 10 MS. BARNES: McCurdy Charter School and La
- 11 | Tierra, the two schools that are opting in to early
- 12 | contracts.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. All right.
- 14 | So we change what's on the schedule from the 12th to
- 15 | the 11th.
- MS. BARNES: This schedule is now wrong.
- 17 | Yes.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay.
- 19 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm going to have
- 20 | to go home -- I got about 20 different schedules
- 21 | now -- and shred everything or I'm going to confuse
- 22 | myself at one point.
- 23 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, I'll get the
- 24 | corrected schedule from Julia and send it out to all
- 25 | the Commissioners.



1 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. So now 2 we're still needing to talk about the meeting 3 schedule on October 10th. Would it be your wish to 4 change it to October 17th? The 24th? 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We can't do the 24th, because I believe that's the week of the NACSA 6 7 convention in Miami. The 17th is good, but some of 8 us are going to be gone the week of the 24th. 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you for 10 remembering that. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think that's the 12 correct date, isn't it, Beverly? 13 MS. FRIEDMAN: That's correct, it is. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I knew it was 15 sometime that week. Probably the latter part of the 16 week. 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 19 Pogna. 20 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, I move that we change our date to October 17th from the 21 22 10th. 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Change the date to 24 October 17th. Is that all right with everybody? 25 COMMISSIONER CARR:





COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Do we need to vote on that, to change a meeting?

- 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think so.
- 4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. So by
- 5 consensus, we are changed our October meeting date
- 6 from the 10th to the 17th.
- 7 And all of our negotiations should be
- 8 completed in June; is that correct, Julia? You
- 9 | don't see them going any further than that,
- 10 | negotiations?
- 11 MS. BARNES: I don't see any going any
- 12 further than that.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Anything
- 14 else on the calendar that we need to discuss? Okay.
- 15 | Thank you all very much.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Let's look at item
- 17 | number 12. Commissioner Carr, do you have a report
- 18 for us?
- 19 | COMMISSIONER CARR: I don't have anything
- 20 new, Madam Chair.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you
- 22 | very much.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The next item is
- 24 | number 13, Commissioner Bergman. We just talked
- 25 about negotiation schedules. Anything else you need



- 1 to bring to us?
- 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Nothing in my book,
- 3 no. We're done with my part, yes.
- 4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay.
- 5 Next is PEC committee assignments and
- 6 | liaison roles. The only changes or requests to
- 7 | change that I got was Commissioner Parker asked to
- 8 be added to a couple of committees. The Library
- 9 | Commission, the PSCOC, and the Indian Education
- 10 | Advisory Council.
- 11 Beverly correctly pointed out that he is
- 12 | not on one of the standing committees, Charter
- 13 | School or Career Technical, or so forth. But since
- 14 he's not here, and I can't ask his preference, I'm
- 15 going to leave it at that point until I can visit
- 16 | with him and see where his preference lies. So
- 17 | we'll leave that alone.
- Next item, PEC meeting comments. I'm
- 19 | sorry, did I miss somebody?
- 20 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Comment. Is this
- 21 | where I'm going to do a comment?
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Oh, okay. Okay.
- 23 | I'm getting there. I'm just there, and you're
- 24 | reminding me you're ready. Okay. Commissioner
- 25 | Pogna has information from NMPSIA.



COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes, I do. Can you
hear me? I serve on the Risk Committee of NMPSIA,
and sometimes they give us snapshots of some of the
problems that -- the risk problems at some of the
schools. And so they made copies for me that I
could share with the Commission today, and I thought
you might be interested in some of the problems.

And this was the Mora School, Mora School District. I want you to notice the first item, the first item you'll notice. The first page, we were rather amused about it. They have a heater -- the heating vent next to the sprinkler system. And so they have had a lot of water damage, because the heat goes on and the sprinkler goes on.

Anyway, sometimes they give us these photos, so I asked them to make copies for the Commission members so you will get an idea. But we don't get them very often.

The other item I wanted to tell you about is NMPSIA directors invited me to attend the Golden Apple Teachers Awards on Friday. It was a luncheon, and it was just tremendous. It was a huge crowd at a luncheon. There were nine teachers that were selected. Seven were present to make their speeches. The student -- a student selects --



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 nominates the teacher. So then the student gets to
- 2 | make a speech, and the teacher does. It was just
- 3 | really tremendous. I enjoyed it very much. Thank
- 4 you.
- I am taking a little much time, but I
- 6 | don't talk usually, so I have used some back time.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,
- 8 | Commissioner Pogna. It's always interesting to look
- 9 at some of the problems that are uncovered at
- 10 | schools, but I have to tell you, a couple of these
- 11 | look like my storeroom at home, so I don't have too
- 12 | much to say about that.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER POGNA: They said to be
- 14 | careful to hire people who are not collectors or
- 15 hoarders.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's true.
- 17 | That's true. Thank you so much.
- 18 Back to PEC comments, discussion of travel
- 19 by PEC Commissioners.
- I asked you all to decide and let us know
- 21 at this meeting, if you could, whether or not you
- 22 | intend to attend the NACSA convention in Miami. And
- 23 | as Commissioner Bergman pointed out, it's later in
- 24 | the month in October. Could we have an indication
- 25 of who plans to attend?



COMMISSIONER GANT: Let's see. 1 Would you 2 hold your hands up again? Beverly, you want to take 3 a head count here of who wants to go? Hold your 4 hand up high so she can see you, like in a school 5 room. 6 MS. FRIEDMAN: Four. 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: Looks like four people

want to go. You might want to be able to tell them, fellow Commissioners, where you want to depart from. Some of you might want to depart from Albuquerque, some of you might want to depart from Timbuktu, who knows. But let her know where you want to leave from.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair and Commissioners, I will be contacting you individually because I think that sometimes people want to leave on one day, come back on another date, and different things like that. So I will be contacting you individually.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And I believe

Commissioners Bergman had a really good suggestion
on flights to Miami.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I wanted to let you know, I mentioned that, until American cancelled it, we can fly directly from Roswell to Dallas and then



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

direct flight to Miami. So my wife is already 1 2 looking for the best possible fare. And are you one 3 of us that's going? You might want to fly out of 4 Roswell, too. 5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes, I do. But when we went to Memphis a couple of years ago, your 6 7 people did everything. All the flights, all the --8 everything. Now, is that the way PED prefers to do 9 it, or can we make our own reservations and get 10 reimbursed? 11

MS. FRIEDMAN: What I'm looking at right now is, number one, this is in next year's budget. And so everything I can do now is basically tentative. And what I'm looking at is that Deputy Secretary Paul Aguilar spoke to the Commission a few meetings ago about a transportation card that was going to be available, and that transportation card, my understanding of it -- which is at the first level of understanding -- is that that card will provide the airfare and the hotel, so you will not have to pay for the airfare or the hotel, that we will take care of that totally. And the only thing that you would have to provide to me are your meals and taxi, parking, and that type of thing.

Now, everything would be handled ahead of



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | time, but the major thing with out-of-state travel
- 2 | is that all of your travel needs to be approved by
- 3 | the Governor's office. And so as soon as the new
- 4 | budget gets approved and I have money in your
- 5 | account for this travel, in July, then I will put in
- 6 for that out-of-state travel and get the approvals
- 7 on that, and we'll go from there. And many of the
- 8 | meals with the NACSA conference are covered with the
- 9 NACSA registration.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I knew I was
- 11 going to have to work very closely with you. This
- 12 | card -- is it a credit card, but it will be in your
- 13 | possession, not our possession?
- 14 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's right.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: Exactly.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Because normally,
- 17 | my wife is my travel agent. So if she finds a
- 18 favorable fare, she's going to have to act
- 19 | immediately to secure the fare, see, which means she
- 20 | has to give them a credit card at that point. So if
- 21 | I go that route, I'm taking -- I guess the trip may
- 22 be on me if it's not approved, which is not
- 23 | necessarily a problem.
- 24 MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Bergman, let
- 25 | me see how this all works. I mean, it may be an



- 1 I may be able to use the card and then --2 or we can use it the other way, where I can 3
- 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: By her constant 5 checking, she has many times found very favorable 6 fares, actually cheaper than anything you can get 7 anywhere else. So she always acts immediately when 8 she finds that fare.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: And I think that's 9 10 wonderful.

reimburse you.

- 11 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, are 12 there any other options, if there is budget left, 13 that we could go to another education conference of 14 some kind?
- 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think probably 16 the first thing would be if there's budget money 17 left, and then if there's something that you wanted to attend and there was money left, bring it forward 18 19 and let us consider it.
- 20 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Okay. Like right 21 now?
- 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: No, because we 23 don't know how much money we're going to have now. 24 It's a new budget year.
- 25 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Because if there are





any pennies left for PEC travel, I would like to go to an educational conference.

3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All right. Please 4 do bring it forward.

5 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Thank you.

you would like to attend.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's the NACSA conference. I did want to remind you of the School Law Conference, and it's put on by the New Mexico School Boards Association and the Cuddy Law Firm. It's always very good. It's June 6th and 7th this year, at the Hotel Albuquerque. And PEC Commissioners' registration fee would be waived if

I would like to thank Deputy Secretary

Aguilar for our new chairs. They're very nice. We
don't have to get cushions to sit on and all those
things.

The other thing I'd like to bring up is, this is Commissioner Gant's name tag. A previous administration had these made. I think it was a previous chair, as a matter of fact. I'm not as flush as some people. I think it was Dennis Roch, and he was single at the time and he had lots of money. So he had everybody a name tag made, and these are the magnetic kind. I was going to get



6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 these made in Artesia at our printing shop. They
2 don't do these.

So I think we need desperately name tags, not so much necessarily for our meetings, but when we go to other meetings and other gatherings where we can introduce ourselves. But having a name tag, you are quickly identified.

I am asking Beverly and Julia to please work with Paul and to see if we can get name tags for all the Commissioners. And I think this is a really nice one. It's magnetic, so it doesn't, you know, tear up your clothes. It's nice. It's readable. It's all that stuff. So if you want to make a copy of this one, Julia, or Beverly, or whomever, to see if we can get it. And I know it's plastic, but it looks like metal. It looks really cool. So I'm formally asking that we be provided with name tags.

MS. BARNES: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you 21 all very much. Are there other comments from

22 | Commissioners?

23 COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.

24 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner

25 | Toulouse.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18



1 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 2 just want to take a minute to say, I went and 3 visited one of the charter schools in my area last 4 week, because they invited me, and they're straight 5 down Gibson from my house. And it was the Mission Achievement and Success Charter School, which I have 7 to write down because I can't remember names like It's not one that sings. And they're in 8 9 their second year now. They came before us a few 10 meetings back and asked for transportation.

And I was incredibly impressed with this room after room of middle school kids who actually were having fun learning. And if that's the atmosphere we get in most of our schools -- and I was invited into one seventh-grade class, because what they do is, they take their -- these are almost entirely kids out of the poorer areas in Albuquerque who don't come from families that even have GEDs, much less high school educations or anything. take their seventh-graders to UNM and CNM to see a campus. No, that's the sixth grade. The seventh graders, they're taken on an overnight trip and going down to New Mexico Tech and New Mexico State. They're take the eighth-graders into Arizona to see a different school, different state, out-of-state



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

schools, to all three of the universities in 1 2 Arizona, and some of their community colleges. But each of those kids -- they asked them 3 4 to tell me where they were going to go to school. And because it was the week of the Final Four, we 5 had a number of boys who wanted to go to the 7 universities who were in the basketball tournament, 8 but we had a number of kids who actually had 9 reasonable ideas already, as seventh graders, that 10 they were going to go to college. 11 And I simply was impressed by that 12 approach to these kids, to begin taking them, when 13 they're sixth graders, to see campuses. And I just 14 wanted to bring that forward and put it in our 15 minutes that I don't know if other schools do it, 16 because I normally have not been invited anywhere. 17 But I know Bruce Langston, the chair of their board, and he called and asked, and I could see absolutely 18 19 no reason why I didn't want to go down and spend a 20 morning looking at that school. I also think that it's amazing that they 21 22 provide free breakfast, lunch, and dinner to every 23 one of their students.



COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, but not all

Title I money.

COMMISSIONER GANT:

24

of them are eligible for it, and the ones that are not eligible, they're doing. And I'm just saying they have gone to a dinner hour because they now open at 7:00 and they stay open until 6:00, and they do a lot of tutoring and after-school -- and because of parents' work schedules, and so they're using that other time.

The other thing they do is, they provide the school uniforms for all the kids. They are paying for Young American Football League and some of the other sports for their kids who want to participate.

And again, I don't know how common any of that is, but I was just impressed on how well it's already working, and how spontaneous the kids were to talk to me, and then quiet down as soon as they got through talking, and let other people speak.

I'm not used to midschool kids being that polite. So that's what I most was taken with. High school kids, yes, if they're not whispering to each other; and grade school kids usually behave. But midschoolers -- anybody who knows, it tends to be a different issue.

I just wanted to put that in the record, because it was part of my learning experience about



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our charter schools, and it was a very positive experience. Thank you.

3 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.

4 | Commissioner Carr.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Taos Academy two days ago to look at their new building that we approved. And it was a great building. You know, I toured the facility before, but I got another tour of it. They have -- you know, they do all their core classes on computer, but then they have all these -- like what they call STEM classes. And one of our finest local musicians was in there teaching kids about music in one of those STEM classes. I have known him for years, and that was pretty cool. So again, a lot of really nice, fun things. The kids were having a wonderful time.

You know, I told -- Traci Filiss is the director of that school who I have known for probably 15 years. I told her, I said, Yeah, these are things -- this is actually something -- this program that she's established is something she tried to do under the auspices of a public school six or seven years ago, and the local school board and superintendent didn't want to do it. And you



- 1 know, to me, it's one of those schools that -- it's
- 2 | actually fulfilling -- it's really fulfilling a
- 3 | function of what a charter school should do, and
- 4 | it's also something -- gives us an idea of -- like,
- 5 this is something that local traditional schools
- 6 | should be doing, as well.
- 7 Also, I brought some copies of -- three
- 8 | copies of the Reporter, if you didn't get this
- 9 article electronically, on education exodus,
- 10 | which -- I don't know if the Santa Fe Reporter is
- 11 | the epitome of journalistic excellence all the time,
- 12 but this is a very informative article and I brought
- 13 | it in case anybody wanted to take a look at it. I
- 14 | think we have no idea what we're looking at in
- 15 regard to teachers exiting the education profession.
- 16 | I think it's going to be much more serious than we
- 17 realize.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
- 19 | Commissioner.
- 20 | Commissioner Gant?
- 21 | COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,
- 22 | Monday night I had -- my wife and I had dinner with
- 23 the associate superintendent for curriculum from
- 24 | Las Cruces Public Schools. It's a regular thing we
- 25 do. Anyhow, he surprised me with the following. He



said that the district -- you can check out other
districts -- had just received from the PED the
evaluations for the lower grades in elementary for
music, art, and PE. These are the evaluations
teachers have to give the kids before the end of the
semester.

And I sat there stunned. There's professional development that needs to be done. They have to figure out how to do the evaluations, on and on and on. This is just a continual thing between the PED and the districts. It's the last minute, "Hey, you, you got to get this done," they jumped through their tails last November when the PED says, PE -- band and others will not count for PE credit, and all of a sudden they had to back off and do all this to make things happen, waivers, et cetera. The last-minute things.

So I would suggest, Julia, you check on this. Because this is going to affect charter schools, also, if they have to do these evaluations, and where is the money come from to do it? Guess. It doesn't come from the PED. It doesn't come from the legislature. It comes out of the back pockets of the districts with the charter schools. And what classroom do they take this out of?



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So I was really taken aback by this. And
2	this is just another example of how the districts of
3	the charters are getting slammed at the last minute.
4	I realize I shouldn't be talking about that in the
5	building, but it really upset me. It really upset
6	me, and you know me. I'll tell you what upsets me.
7	Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,
9	Commissioner.
L O	Commissioner Conyers.
L1	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I had a kind of a
L 2	comment back on 14. I know I'm down as being on two
L 3	committees which, to my knowledge, have never met.
L 4	I'm not sure what the significance of those are, and
L 5	so I was just wondering what all that means, really.
L 6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Let me see.
L 7	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I mean, are they
L 8	supposed to be meeting? I'm not looking for more
L 9	work, but
20	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You're on the
21	New Mexico School Boards Association.
22	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I'm talking about
23	the committees.
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The strategic
25	planning. Are those the two you're talking about?



1 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes, those are the 2 ones I'm on. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Have you gone to 3 4 some of the school board meetings? The board of 5 directors meetings for PEC? COMMISSIONER CONYERS: You're talking 6 7 about the liaison work; right? 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. I always 10 attend those. I'm a member of the board of 11 directors, so I do that. And I did represent PEC at 12 one time on that. I'm going up above that to the 13 committees. 14 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: The top ones, you're talking about? 15 16 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Right. 17 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You know, I don't know that our strategic planning committee is active 18 19 right now. I'll just tell you, I don't think we've 20 had a directive to do any strategic planning. 21 Certainly you did -- I believe your committee was 22 active last year, were they not, Gilbert? 23 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: We met with Larry 24 at one initial time. I believe at that time it was



me and former fellow Commission member -- I can't

1 remember his name.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Michael Canfield?

3 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Canfield, yes.

4 | That's correct. So I do know that the PED had

5 | formulated a strategic planning document already.

 $6\mid$ We visited with Larry, talked about what our input

7 | would be like. I think it was the feeling between

8 Mr. Canfield and I that if there were things that

9 | involved PEC and strategic planning ideas, strategy,

10 | what have you, it would be embedded into the PED

11 | strategic document.

I believe that Andrew had asked -- we had

13 | brought it back to the PEC at a meeting thereafter,

14 and asked for any other members about the idea of

15 | not reinventing the wheel and just kind of

16 | collaborate together with PED and Larry and so

17 | forth, and I believe we didn't get any feedback on

18 that. And then since then, I think I was solo for a

19 while until we just recently did the two additional.

20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Right. Right.

21 | Well, maybe it's time to ask that you make contact

22 | again with Larry and maybe work through Beverly and

23 | Julia, and see if there's -- what kind of activity

24 | is going on in that area and what input we can have.

25 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Sure



1 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you, 2 Commissioner Conyers. Anything else? 3 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: No, I guess I still 4 don't know, but okay. 5 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Commissioner Peralta, anything from you. 6 7 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No, ma'am. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 8 9 Pogna, Commissioner Bergman? 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I'll just weigh in on the strategic planning thing, because I 11 12 happen to know the state statute specifically states 13 that the PEC will work in conjunction with the PED 14 in the formulation of the PED strategic planning, 15 and the PED has consistently and conveniently 16 overlooked that state statute. The last strategic 17 plan came out and we were not involved in that, and I know it would be a ton of work, but they obviously 18 19 must have focus groups, meetings, all kinds of 20 things. So I guess this Commission has to decide, 21 do we want to insert ourselves in that process and 22 commit Gilbert and his committee to doing all that 23 work? But I quarantee the statute says PEC is 24 supposed to be involved in that. 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.



COMMISSIONER CARR: On that topic, it's

- 2 always been a hot topic of mine. I just had
- 3 | forgotten about it for a while. We were actually
- 4 | advised by an attorney, before the AG's office came,
- 5 | that we could do our own. And that is quite
- 6 | possibly the only way we will ever be involved in
- 7 | it. So if you guys want to do your own, I'm more
- 8 than willing to actually come up with a template
- 9 over the summer and bring it forward, if you want.
- 10 And if you don't, then I won't.
- 11 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair. Madam
- 12 Chair.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You're not on the
- 14 | strategic planning committee?
- 15 COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Are you
- 17 | volunteering?
- 18 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Only if we're going to
- 19 do something.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay.
- 21 | Commissioner Pogna.
- 22 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, I served
- 23 on the committee for a number of years, and we had a
- 24 | very difficult time with PED. They would not send
- 25 us the materials, they would not appear at our



1 committee meetings. It was just very, very 2. So when I had the chance to move, I did. 3 But there was no cooperation with the PED. 4 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So Commissioner 5 Peralta, perhaps we're going to put this monkey on your back and ask that you look into contacts with 6 7 the PED, see how much our input would be welcomed to their strategic plan, if we could do one as an 8 overall for both of us, or come back to us with a 9 recommendation that we do our own. So how about 10 We'll ask that you be on the next meeting 11 that? 12 agenda and we'll discuss this item a little more 13 fully. 14 Beverly, if you would please make a note 15 of that. 16 Any comments from anyone else? 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me ask Commissioner Carr. If we did our own, I assume you 18 19 would presume that our strategic plan probably 20 would -- since our entire focus is on pretty much

22 strategic plan for PED that they would just ignore 23 anyway? What kind of a strategic plan are we

charter schools, are you proposing to do a separate

24 talking about, I guess is my question.

COMMISSIONER CARR: What does the statute



21

1 say? 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The statute just 3 mentions -- probably a strategic plan for the whole 4 PED. 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Exactly. 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So yeah, you may be 7 right, we don't have a statutory thing to do our 8 own. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Well, that's why I 9 10 said, let's put this item on the next agenda. 11 can perhaps do a little research for us in the 12 meantime and we can have a good discussion. 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 14 would think that at a minimum, we would do our own 15 and send it to ask to be included in the overall PED strategic plan. 16 17 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's a thought. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 18 That's a good 19 suggestion. Minority opinion or something. 20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: You got it. Anything else, friends? 21 22 MS. BARNES: I just have one thing. 23 be gone starting next Thursday, and I'll be gone for 24 the following week. I think we're in good shape. I



think we'll be all ready for the negotiations, but

1	particularly for the executive committee, I thought
2	I'd tell you.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The sky is falling,
4	the sky is falling.
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Friends, I'm
6	really trying to make us get us out of here by noon,
7	because I don't think we've ever done it before, and
8	I believe we've got like a minute or two. So is
9	there anything else?
10	Next item on the agenda oh, open forum.
11	Beverly, did we have anyone sign up?
12	MS. FRIEDMAN: No.
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you very
14	much. The next item is adjourn. Do I hear a motion
15	to adjourn?
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: So moved.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: All those in
19	favor? Any opposed? We are adjourned.
20	(The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, MARY ABERNATHY SEAL, New Mexico
6	Certified Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that
7	I did report in stenographic shorthand the
8	proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing is a
9	true and correct transcription of proceedings.
10	Mary a Seal
11	Mary Abernathy Seal BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
12	NM Certified Court Reporter #69 License expires: 12/31/14
13	(164L) MAS
14	Date taken: April 11, 2014 Proofread by: KW
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



