1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC MEETING
10	August 19, 2015 9:00 a.m.
11	CNM Workforce Training Center 5600 Eagle Rock Avenue
12	Albuquerque, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Thomas L. Garrett, FCRR, NM CCR 255 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	(2507) mr c
25	(3507L) TLG





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Vice Chair MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Secretary
4	MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER MR. JEFF CARR
5	MS. ELEANOR CHAVEZ MR. JAMES CONYERS
6	MS. PATRICIA GIPSON MS. MILLIE POGNA
7	MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE
8	STAFF:
9	MS. KATIE POULOS, Director, Charter School Division
10	MS. JULIE LUCERO, General Manager, Options for
11	Parents
12	MR. JOSHUA GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General, Counsel to the PEC
13	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Records and
14	PED Liaison to the PEC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

				3
1		I	N D E X T O P R O C E E D I N G S	
2	1		to Order, Roll Call, Pledge of giance and Salute to New Mexico Flag	5
3	2	Appr	oval of Agenda	7
4 5	3	on J	oval of Minutes for PEC Work Session uly 16, 2015, and PEC Meeting on 17, 2015	7
6	4		rt from PED Leadership	9
7	5		ussion and Possible Action on ter School Amendments	10
9		5A	Media Arts Collaborative Charter School Facilities Change	11
10		5B	The MASTERS Program Enrollment Cap Increase	17
12 13		5C	New Mexico International School Educational Program	21
14		5D	Estancia Valley Classical Academy Charter Goals	25
15 16	6	Char	rt from Options for Parents and the ter School Division Discussion Possible Actions	33
17 18		бA	Schools of Concern: Dream Diné, Southwest Schools, and Walatowa High Charter School	33
19		6B	Anthony Charter School	63
20		6C	Notice of Charters Looking for a	64
21			New Facility: Taos Integrated School of the Arts	
22		6D	PEC Discussion and Action on CSD Recommendations on 2014-2015	64
23			Academic Performance Framework Goals	
24	7	Disc	ussion of School Improvement Plans	127
25	8	Repo	rt from the Chair	130





			1
			4
1	9	PEC Comments	136
2	10	Open Forum	141
3 4	11	Executive Session Under 10-15-1(H)(7) Regarding Threatened or Pending Litigation: Health Science Academy	143
5 6	12	Adjourn	148
7	REPO	RTER'S CERTIFICATE	149
8			
9			
10			
11		ATTACHMENTS	
12	1	Visitors Attending Meeting Sign-In Sheets	
13	2	Proposed PEC/CSD Monitoring and Corrective Action Protocol	
14		Coffective Action Protocol	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			





1	THE VICE CHAIR: I have nine o'clock. I'm
2	going to call this regular meeting of the New Mexico
3	Public Education Commission into session.
4	Before we get started, I would ask the
5	audience, please mute or buzz or vibrate your cell
6	phones and any other electric devices that might
7	interrupt our proceedings. You might mute them,
8	too, if you would, please, and I would thank you for
9	that.
10	I also wish to note for the record that
11	Chairwoman Shearman has a health issue, and her
12	doctor forbade her to drive this week. She sends
13	her regrets, because she really wanted to be here
14	this week.
15	Secretary Peralta, could we have a roll
16	call, please?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
20	Toulouse?
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Armbruster?
24	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Present.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner



1	Conyers?
2	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
4	is here.
5	Commissioner Gipson?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Shearman?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Bergman?
16	THE VICE CHAIR: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, we
18	have eight members of the Commission present today.
19	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
20	I will note that we do have a quorum today, and we
21	will conduct our business as scheduled.
22	The next item on our agenda is the Pledge
23	of Allegiance and Salute to the New Mexico Flag. I
24	would ask Commissioner Gipson to lead the New Mexico
25	Salute. I will do the Pledge.



1	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the New
2	Mexico Flag conducted.)
3	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you very much for
4	that.
5	The next item on our agenda is the
6	approval of the agenda. Does anyone have changes to
7	the agenda, or I would welcome a motion to approve
8	the agenda.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: So moved.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
11	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna moves.
12	Commissioner Carr seconds.
13	All in favor say "Aye."
14	(Commissioners so indicate.)
15	THE VICE CHAIR: The agenda is approved.
16	You will see, under Item No. 3, we have
17	minutes from two separate meetings. The first
18	minutes were for the work session that this
19	Commission held on July 16th of 2015.
20	Does anyone have any changes or
21	corrections to those minutes?
22	(No response.)
23	THE VICE CHAIR: Seeing none, I would
24	welcome a motion to accept the minutes for July 16th,
25	2015.



1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I so move.
2	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster
3	motions.
4	Do I have a second?
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
6	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta
7	seconds.
8	All in favor say "Aye."
9	(Commissioners so indicate.)
10	THE VICE CHAIR: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	THE VICE CHAIR: "Aye's" are unanimous.
13	The minutes for the July 16th work session are
14	approved.
15	The next minutes, of course, are far more
16	extensive, because they're for our meeting that took
17	place on July 17th, 2015. This is one area where we
18	missed Commissioner Shearman, because she always
19	finds the mistakes in the minutes if there are any.
20	Most of the time, there are none.
21	But does anyone have any changes or
22	corrections to the July 17th meeting?
23	(No response.)
24	THE VICE CHAIR: I see none. I would
25	entertain a motion that we accept the July 17th



1	minutes.
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, so
3	moved.
4	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse has
5	motioned that we accept.
6	Do I have a second?
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
8	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson has
9	seconded.
10	All in favor say "Aye."
11	(Commissioners so indicate.)
12	THE VICE CHAIR: All opposed say "No."
13	(No response.)
14	THE VICE CHAIR: I hear no "No's," so it is
15	unanimous that the minutes for July 17th are
16	accepted.
17	(Commissioner Eleanor Chavez present.)
18	THE VICE CHAIR: And I note for the record
19	that Commissioner Chavez has now arrived and is also
20	with us, so we're glad to see her.
21	Moving on to Item No. 4, which would be a
22	report from the PED leadership, do we have a report
23	from the PED leadership today?
24	MS. KATIE POULOS: I do not know how to
25	make this work. He told me earlier, and I clearly



1 | wasn't listening.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I will be honest. Today, we do not. I

will have one next meeting. We have been a little

THE VICE CHAIR: No.

bit busy with the new applications.

MS. POULOS: And haven't managed to talk to anybody else in PED in a couple of weeks.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Katie. We will look forward to that report.

And for the audience that doesn't know, this is also our community input hearing. We have seven new applications, and we're traveling around the state. And the CSD staff was extremely busy and extremely covered up getting those reports for getting the tour ready and their preliminary analysis on all those applications. In fact, the director of the Options for Parents sent out the last one at 11:00 p.m. on Saturday. She was still working at almost midnight, so it's a very daunting task. So thank you for that.

The next item on our agenda is discussion and possible action on charter school amendments, and I assume you do have something for us on that.

MS. POULOS: Yes, Commissioner, and I got the microphone to work. So the first amendment



- 1 | request we have is from Media Arts Collaborative
- 2 | Charter School. It is a request to approve a new
- 3 | facility to add on space to their current contract.
- 4 | CSD is recommending a condition approval of this
- 5 amendment, dependent on the school acquiring
- 6 E-occupancy for this space.
- 7 And there are representatives from the
- 8 | school here today.
- 9 THE VICE CHAIR: Do the folks from Media
- 10 Arts, do you wish to come forward and just give us a
- 11 | brief report on what we're doing here? This
- 12 | microphone might not be on, and you may have to turn
- 13 | it on. What he said is you hold the little button
- 14 down for two seconds, and you get a little red light.
- 15 | I know you guys are technical, so you probably know
- 16 how to do that.
- 17 MS. GLENNA VOIGT: Testing. Oh, it is on.
- 18 | Good morning.
- 19 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you. Identify
- 20 | yourselves for the reporter and your position at
- 21 | Media Arts.
- 22 MS. VOIGT: Okay. My name is Glenna Voigt,
- 23 the principal, founding principal of Media Arts
- 24 | Collaborative Charter School. It's great to see
- 25 | everyone this morning.



This is John Dunphy. 1 2 I will let you introduce yourself. 3 MR. JOHN DUNPHY: I'm John Dunphy, president of the Governing Council of the Media Arts 4 5 Charter School. Thank you. 6 THE VICE CHAIR: Welcome, and just give us 7 a brief report, whatever you would like to say about 8 this amendment. 9 MS. VOIGT: Okay. You know, two years ago, 10 we amended our charter to add a middle school, and this is our first year now. 11 We're six through 12, 12 and we have grown. So we need more media space. These three additional studio spaces will accommodate 13 our increased enrollment for media classes. 14 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Is that it? Thank you 16 very much for that. 17 Josh, I do see that there's an agenda from 18 their Governing Council meeting. sufficient? 19 20 MR. JOSHUA GRANATA: Mr. Vice Chair and 21 Commissioners, there's also the draft minutes on the 22 other side of the agenda, and I'm assuming that the 23 action item was approved by the Board. I would 24 suggest that the minutes that are the final minutes



approved by the Board are a little bit more specific.

The Open Meetings Act requires that --1 2 I'll go ahead and just read from the Act itself: 3 "The minutes shall include at minimum the date, time and place of the meeting, the names of the members 4 5 in attendance and those absent, the substance of the 6 proposals considered and a record of any decisions 7 and votes taken that show how each member voted." 8 And the part that's missing is how each So I think it would be sufficient if 9 member voted. 10 it was a unanimous decision, just to indicate that on the final minutes. Otherwise, I think they're 11 12 acceptable. 13 MS. VOIGT: Thank you. We will ask you to 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. 15 send those final minutes to the Charter School Division when they are available, if you would, 16 17 please. 18 MR. DUNPHY: You bet. Certainly. 19 THE VICE CHAIR: And then let us know that 20 you did get them. 21 All right. I believe that satisfies that. 22 Thank you very much. 23 Thank you very much. MS. VOIGT: 24 MR. DUNPHY: Thank you.



THE VICE CHAIR:

I think we will proceed,

then. I believe the -- are we ready, or do we -- is
there any discussion on this amongst the

3 | Commissioners?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Commissioner Toulouse.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I want to say this school's in my backyard, basically, and they're also in the same parking lot with my bank.

And I want to say publicly on the record what I just said to Mr. Dunphy, who I've also known since he had a full head of hair and quite a few other attributes that may not be quite the same now.

But they share a parking lot with the bank and with this, the studios. And when they are filming at the studios and it is not the school, the parking lot can be a mess. That school behaves so well with all of those teenagers parking and coming and going. They stay at their end of the parking lot. They're not all over. They're not getting in the way of the traffic for either of those others.

I think, for teenagers, it's an awfully well-controlled bunch, and I also know the studios are right there in the parking lot with them. And I think this is a great idea, and if it's all right with you, I'd like to make the motion.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner



1 | Toulouse.

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was there any other comment before we make the motion?

4 (No response.)

THE VICE CHAIR: Seeing none, there is a suggested motion on the Executive Summary. I think it covers everything, including what Josh said, that it was dependent on them also submitting their final minutes.

So if you would go ahead, Commissioner

11 Toulouse.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. I move that the PEC conditionally approve the amendment presented by the Media Arts Collaborative to lease additional studio space for student media courses within the Nob Hill Studio Building located at 4401 Central Avenue, Northeast, Suite A, pending the school's attainment of E-occupancy for this requested space and final approval of Governing Board minutes, because the school has not presented any safety or security issues for the entire time the school has been open and has implemented a safety plan that ensures students' safety in accessing the suites in the Nob Hill Studio Building.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.



		16
1		THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
2	Toulouse.	
3		COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
4		THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, was
5	that you -	
6		COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
7		THE VICE CHAIR: for a second?
8		Commissioner Carr has a second.
9		Secretary Peralta, could we have a
10	roll-call	vote on that, please?
11		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Toulouse?	
13		COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
14		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
15	Armbruster	r?
16		COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
17		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
18	Conyers?	
19		COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
20		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
21	votes yes.	•
22		Commissioner Gipson?
23		COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
24		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
25		COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Bergman?
7	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that
9	is nine to zero in favor of the motion.
10	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
11	I will note that that amendment request
12	has passed with a nine-to-zero unanimous vote.
13	We will now move on to the second one.
14	MS. POULOS: Thank you. Vice Chair and
15	Commissioners, the next amendment request is a vote
16	on The MASTERS Program request to increase their
17	enrollment cap from 200 students to 210 students.
18	CSD evaluated the academic performance of the school,
19	and because the school has a grade or a report card
20	average of an "A," CSD is recommending approval of
21	this enrollment cap increase.
22	THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
23	Is there someone from MASTERS here today?
24	I don't see anyone.
25	I would have a question. Why did they



just ask for an additional ten? That doesn't give
them a lot of wiggle room.

MS. POULOS: Yeah. I don't think they were looking for a large increase, but I think they were looking for that kind of room to move a little bit, you know, just a few over the 200 that they currently I think they have some challenges if students enroll. They have a lot of students enrolled at the beginning of the summer when they can still enroll for those dual enrollment classes, and then they lose some students over. And so they really only end up at 200 but have an ability to enroll if they want. THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that explanation.

So they are asking that we increase their enrollment cap to 210 from the current 200. Again, there is a suggested motion on the Executive Summary if someone would like to read that, make that motion. Have we got a volunteer?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Vice Chair.

THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I move that the Public Education Commission approve the amendment request presented by The MASTERS Program to amend Section 8.01(a) material terms of the Charter, (i)



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

operational structure on Page 33, and (viii) total 1 2 student enrollment on Page 35 of their Charter 3 Contract to identify the enrollment cap as 210 as described in the Amendment Request Form, with the 4 5 condition that the school provides approved Board 6 minutes because The MASTERS Program three-year report 7 card average is an "A," which demonstrates the school 8 has maintained a high state grade for a period of 9 three years and has shown consistently high levels of 10 school achievement. Thank you, Commissioner 11 THE VICE CHAIR: 12 Peralta. Do we have a second for that motion? 13 14 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Poqua 16 seconds. 17 Any discussion? 18 (No response.) 19 THE VICE CHAIR: I see none. 20 So Secretary Peralta, can we have a 21 roll-call vote on that one, please? 22 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner 23 Armbruster? 24 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner



1	
	20
1	Conyers?
2	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
4	votes yes.
5	Commissioner Gipson?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
8	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Toulouse?
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17	Bergman?
18	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that
20	is a nine-to-zero vote in favor of that motion.
21	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
22	I will note that that motion to increase
23	that enrollment cap has passed unanimously nine to

Let's move on to the next amendment



24

25

zero.

request.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. POULOS: The next amendment request is
from New Mexico International School. You will
recall, at the last meeting, they came forth and
asked for the ability to enroll more than, I think it
was, 20 students per grade or per class, and that was
approved.

But the discussion at that time was that they were also seeking the ability to combine classrooms and have combined grade levels. That was not an educational programming that was part of their contract at the time, and so they were recommended to bring forth this amendment request to amend their educational program to allow for combination classes, multiple grades in the same classroom.

As part of their materials, they did provide a plan to implement that program. As based on the review by CSD of that plan, CSD is recommending the approval of this amendment request because the school has provided a comprehensive plan for establishing and maintaining those combination classes.

THE VICE CHAIR: Excellent. Thank you.

And I would just note for the record and





for my fellow Commissioners this is not the first 1 2 school that wants to do combo classes. We do have 3 other schools that are occasionally combining grade levels. 4 So is there any discussion? Anyone wish 5 6 to comment on this amendment request? 7 (No response.) 8 THE VICE CHAIR: Is anyone from the school 9 here? 10 MS. POULOS: There is not. 11 THE VICE CHAIR: All right. There is no 12 one present from the school. I guess we can then proceed forward if the Commissioners have no 13 14 questions or comments. Here again, there's buried in 15 here somewhere, I know -- here it is. Just before the form, there is a proposed amendment or motion if 16 17 someone would like to make that motion. 18 COMMISSIONER POGNA: I'll do it. I will do 19 it. 20 THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna, well, 21 please get the microphone as near as possible to you

and speak into it.

She has a very quiet voice.

24 It's not on.

25 MS. POULOS: You need to hold it down.



22

1	THE VICE CHAIR: It's supposed to be on.					
2	There. Yeah.					
3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes. Yes, it's on.					
4	I move to approve the amendment request					
5	presented by New Mexico International School to					
6	amend its instructional programming, which is					
7	currently based on single-grade classrooms to a					
8	program that utilizes both single-grade and					
9	mixed-grade classrooms, with the condition that the					
10	school provides approved board minutes, because the					
11	school has shown overall progress towards reading					
12	SBA and Logramos charter performance indicators and					
13	the school has provided a comprehensive operational					
14	plan for success for establishing and maintaining					
15	combination classes.					
16	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner					
17	Pogna.					
18	We do have a motion on the floor. Do I					
19	have a second?					
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.					
21	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson has					
22	had a second.					
23	Is there any further discussion from					
24	Commissioners?					
25	(No response.)					



1		THE VICE CHAI	IR: Seeing	g none, Secret	ary
2	Peralta, d	can we have a	roll-call	vote, please?	
3		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	
4	Conyers?				
5		COMMISSIONER	CONYERS:	Yes.	
6		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	Carr?
7		COMMISSIONER	CARR: Yes	S .	
8		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	Peralta
9	votes yes				
10		Commissioner	Pogna?		
11		COMMISSIONER	POGNA: Ye	es.	
12		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	Chavez?
13		COMMISSIONER	CHAVEZ: Y	Zes.	
14		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	
15	Toulouse?				
16		COMMISSIONER	TOULOUSE:	Yes.	
17		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	Gipson?
18		COMMISSIONER	GIPSON: Y	Zes.	
19		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	
20	Armbruster	??			
21		COMMISSIONER	ARMBRUSTER	R: Yes.	
22		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Commissioner	
23	Bergman?				
24		THE VICE CHAI	IR: Yes.		
25		COMMISSIONER	PERALTA:	Mr. Vice Chai	r, that



1 is a nine-to-zero vote in favor of the motion.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

I will note, then, that that motion has been seconded and approved by a unanimous

6 Moving on to the next request.

nine-to-zero vote.

MS. POULOS: The next amendment request is an amendment of the charter goals for Estancia Valley Classical Academy. Estancia Valley is requesting to amend its charter goals. It's not a kind of performance framework contra -- sorry, performance framework goals but a Charter Contract goal, so the old Charter Contract. They are requesting to amend those goals because the NMSBA has been replaced with the PARCC assessment, and their goal was previously based on only the NMSBA.

They have put forth, after working with CSD, a plan that incorporates -- and you can see that plan in your materials today. It's the plan starting on the third sheet of paper that incorporates five different more-standardized assessments with different percentages by grade level, grades K through 12. Those include DIBELS and the Gates-Mac reading test, Discovery, EOCs from both the state and from the curriculum provider.



5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They have also identified how students 1 2 would meet that on the next page of their plan. 3 Students would have to either get 1.5 times the grade level growth or an advanced on those 4 5 assessments to get at the Exceeds level. To be at 6 the Meets level, it would be a year of growth in 7 proficiency. And they would measure looking for, I 8 think it was, 70 percent of their students to get, 9 kind of, at that Proficient or higher level. 10 CSD has worked with them and does believe that this is measurable, that it's specific, and 11 12 that it can be used to measure those goals, and the 13 representative from the school is here today. 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. If you guys would 15 like to come forward, please do so. Identify yourselves and your role at the 16 17 school for the recorder, please. 18 MR. TIMOTHY THIERY: My name is Timothy 19 Thiery. I'm executive director at Estancia Valley 20 Classical Academy, and with me here is Governor Kim 21 Adams, a member of our Governing Council. 22 And I'd say thank you for having us here. It's a privilege to be before the Committee, and 23



Ms. Toulouse described our position very well, and I

thank you for putting us on the agenda.

24

25

I think

wouldn't have anything in particular to add to that,
except that it's been a good interchange with her
ffice and with Ms. Poulos over this.

And we've gone through a couple of iterations, and we're pleased with the result. We think that it is a good, accurate measure of student performance and also streamlines time spent in testing based on some of the measures that we already have in place.

10 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

11 Kate, I can't remember. Did you recommend 12 approval of this amendment? I know you said you've 13 worked with them closely.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner, we do recommend approval of this amendment request.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, let me ask a couple questions, because I'm looking at that 70 percent number, and you're the one that always reminds me, when we negotiate these, that I'm trying to think how Commissioner Shearman would respond to a number that was 70 percent.

Do you guys think that that 70 percent is a challenge for your school?

MR. THIERY: We fully expect to meet that number. We were advised along the way to target a



4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



number similar to 70 percent by one member in 1 particular who was formerly with the CSD, no longer 2 3 And so we picked up on that recommendation, and we've been working with that going forward. 4 5 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. And I 6 can't remember. We haven't done a contract 7 negotiation with you guys yet, have we? 8 MR. THIERY: Not to my knowledge. 9 THE VICE CHAIR: Did we? 10 MS. KIM ADAMS: Correct. 11 MS. POULOS: Commissioner, they are not 12 under a --THE VICE CHAIR: Not under a contract yet. 13 14 MS. POULOS: -- performance contract yet, 15 and I think that's -- so, you know, CSD will certainly have a different view of this work anyway. 16 17 I think it's a really interesting position. 18 We have pushed this school on the rigor. 19 The first discussions incorporated measures that we 20 did not believe were rigorous, and so we really 21 pushed in our discussions with them, and that's 22 where we ended up with under this plan. I think, you know, we got where we believed we could rightly 23 make a recommendation, and I think it's, at this 24 25 point, up to the Commission.



THE VICE CHAIR: 1 Okay. Well, I ask that 2 because it's not in the form -- our new -- our format when we do negotiate, and I understand why not that. 3 We can handle that when we do come -- when are you 4 5 guys up for renewal, another year or two? 6 MR. THIERY: In a couple years. 7 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. 8 MR. THIERY: We're going on our fourth 9 year, so next year, we'll be up. 10 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 11 We have a proposal before us to change 12 their academic performance indicator, and the CSD staff has worked with them and is satisfied with it. 13 14 Is there any discussion or questions from 15 Commissioners? 16 (No response.) 17 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Our attorney, Josh 18 Granata, has noted he does not think that the minutes 19 are necessarily -- what word did you use, "adequate"? 20 MR. GRANATA: Adequate. I guess I'm just 21 kind of having a hard time finding in the minutes 22 where the action was taken by the Governing Council. 23 MR. THIERY: Yeah. In the minutes that I 24 sent, it -- I have it here, I believe. It's Item 25 11GD. I had it noted in one of my documents.



MR. GRANATA: Is it the item Charter Goal 1 2 Revision? 3 MR. THIERY: Correct. 4 MR. GRANATA: Okay. I would just suggest 5 that, when the Governing Council adopts the minutes, 6 that it's a little bit more explicit as to how each council member voted. It's just a little bit unclear 7 8 in the minutes, so I think that'll be sufficient. 9 MR. THIERY: Okay. Thank you. 10 THE VICE CHAIR: And with the caveat that, 11 when you do that and it's available, the final 12 version, that they be submitted to the CSD staff. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. THIERY: Thank you. Yeah. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Please do that. 16 you. 17 Any further discussion? 18 (No response.) 19 THE VICE CHAIR: Here again, I believe 20 there's -- somewhere in here, there is -- there is a 21 suggested motion. Does it mention that about the 22 minutes? 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, it does. 24 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Do I have a 25 volunteer to read this motion?



1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure.					
2	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson,					
3	please proceed.					
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I move to approve the					
5	condition that the Estancia Valley Classical Academy					
6	provide approved governing body minutes, the					
7	amendment request presented by Estancia Valley					
8	Classical Academy to amend Part V, Section C, Student					
9	Performance Expectations on Page 57 of the charter as					
10	described in the Amendment Request Form. Because the					
11	school appears to be presenting a goal that requires					
12	achievement of grade level standards or growth, the					
13	NMSBA is being replaced with the PARCC assessment,					
14	and the proposed approach appears to provide for a					
15	tighter alignment to specific curricular content					
16	within the framework of CCSS.					
17	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner					
18	Gipson.					
19	We have a motion on the floor in front of					
20	us.					
21	Do I have a second?					
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.					
23	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse has					
24	seconded.					



Is there any further discussion, comments?

1	(No response.)				
2	THE VICE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary				
3	Peralta, can we have a roll-call vote, please?				
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?				
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.				
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?				
7	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.				
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Gipson?				
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.				
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta				
11	votes yes.				
12	Commissioner Conyers?				
13	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.				
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner				
15	Armbruster?				
16	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.				
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner				
18	Toulouse?				
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.				
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?				
21	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.				
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner				
23	Bergman?				
24	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.				
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that				



1 | is a nine-to-zero vote in favor.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

That motion has carried and is approved by

4 | a nine-to-zero unanimous vote. That docket in my

5 | book is empty, so I will go with the amendment -- or

6 | finish with the amendment request. Thank you.

We now may move forward to Item No. 6.

And thank you guys for being here.

MS. ADAMS: Thank you.

MS. POULOS: So on Item 6, the

11 | Commissioners will recall, at the meeting, I

12 | indicated we would be providing this ongoing update.

13 And so that table does provide you any ongoing

14 | information about the schools that we've been engaged

15 | in ongoing monitoring. So that material is available

16 for the Commissioners to see.

17 | Specifically on the agenda for schools of

18 | concern, the first school is Dream Diné. That

19 | school has been on the agenda for a while now about

20 the facility's concerns. CSD has great news, which

21 | is that the E-occupancy was granted to that school

22 | for their permanent facility on the 15th. And so we

23 | have a copy of that certificate and don't believe

24 | that there needs to be any additional updates at

25 | that time.

7

8



THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that. 1 2 is good news from Dream Diné, that they finally, 3 apparently, have solved their facility's issues, and 4 that's good news. Anybody want to discuss Dream Diné? 5 6 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, I'm just glad. 7 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Go forward, then. 8 MS. POULOS: The next school is Southwest 9 Learning Centers. The school has provided an update, 10 and that was put into your binders today. is -- a written update is available in your binders 11 12 today. And I believe there are also representatives from the school today who would like to address the 13 14 Commissioners. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Yes. If you want to come 16 up, please do so. 17 Once again, please identify yourselves and 18 your role for the recorder. MR. HERB TORRES: My name is Herb Torres. 19 20 I'm the interim head administrator for Southwest 21 Learning Centers and SAMS Academy. And with me this 22 morning -- I'm going to let him introduce himself. 23 MR. KIRK HARTOM: Good morning. I'm the 24 new head administrator, Kirk Hartom, for the 25 Southwest Learning Centers. So I'll have Mr. Torres



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

go ahead and finish his report, and if you want me to give you a little more update on my bio, I'd be glad to do that. Thank you.

MR. TORRES: Mr. Vice Chair, members of the Commission, good morning, and again, thank you for the opportunity to come and address you this morning and to give you an update regarding the progress that the schools are making over the last several weeks. It's the last meeting in July that we came before you and gave you an update regarding the status of the schools.

You have before you a written report that I submitted to Ms. Poulos, and certainly, any information that you may want to further pursue regarding the information that I provided in writing, we're here to answer any questions and address any specific concerns that you may have.

of that is that -- several important pieces that I'd like to highlight for you this morning, if I may.

And one is that the schools have selected a new head administrator in Mr. Kirk Hartom, and I'm going to let him talk later about his experiences and what he sees as far as the vision, his vision for the school, what he's very quickly already begun to



1 identify and recognize as far as the work that is 2 ahead of him as the new head administrator.

But I want to tell you that one of the -just give you a brief highlight that Mr. Hartom
comes to the Southwest Learning Centers and to the
SAMS Academy with a great wealth of experience and
knowledge in the area of not only public education,
but private education as well and the world of
charter schools. And I think that's critical.

He's been involved with charter education, and I'll let him speak specifically to that when he speaks. But he's been involved with charter education, charter public schools for a number of years here in the State of New Mexico as well as in the State of Hawaii, and he has already hit the ground running.

He and I have spent approximately three weeks together since he came on and was selected by the Governance Councils. The last item on my checklist as far as my duties as the interim head administrator is to come before you this evening -- this afternoon -- this morning and report out to you and introduce Mr. Hartom to you and then move on down south again back to Las Cruces and let Mr. Hartom have full reign of the schools.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's been -- the three weeks that I've

been working with him, we've certainly spent a lot

of time face-to-face and kind of bringing him up to

speed. I've left him several written reports and

checklists of items that are pending, things that we

have addressed, things that need to be addressed in

the near future.

And already, he's had the opportunity to visit with staff, to visit with faculty, visit with Governance Councils, and also with legal counsel regarding the status of the schools: What is a priority at this particular time as far as continuing the operations of the schools and also what, in fact, may make his list of priorities as he continues throughout this first school year as the head administrator.

Another piece of information that I think is critical is when you take a look at the numbers of our enrollments at this particular time. I have included in my report that the Southwest Primary's projected at 105. And currently, at the time that we wrote this report, we had 101 enrolled and committed students.

We continue to receive letters of commitment and continue to visit with parents as far



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

as filling, hopefully, the numbers to their
projected amount. But 101 of the projected 105 to
primary level is what we currently have as of the
14th.

At the intermediate, we had projected 108. We have 106. At the secondary, we had projected 264, and we actually have 265. So we have exceeded the projected number as far as the budget amount.

And at SAMS Academy, we projected 283, and we're at 275. And that continues to grow daily as well.

So the good news is that, obviously, the enrollment is continuing to meet the target. It certainly will assist in not only filling our classrooms to the projected numbers but also will help us as far as meeting our ability to be able to provide the education that we anticipated based on the budget development that we have done this year prior to this time.

We have -- there's a number of other items that I've identified in the report, and unless you have some specific questions regarding those, I'll let you read the report at your leisure.

I will tell you that joining us this morning, if I may, we have two members of our





Governance Council. We have the president of the primary Governance Council, Mr. Ken Chapman, and the president of the intermediate Governance Council, Ms. Judy Chapman, that also joins us this morning, and we're glad to have them here with us.

So our Governance Councils are moving extremely well. They have filled -- they continue to seek additional members. They currently are all at the minimal amount of members that they may have, which is five. We have a couple of other folks, both our primary and intermediate, that are still being considered to be added to. Our range is from five to nine in each of our schools.

SAMS right now currently is at four, but they have a couple of prospects that they're getting ready to interview at a future meeting and, hopefully, will bring their numbers to five.

I think the other critical piece that you folks have a great deal of interest in -- and we do, too -- is that their finance committees are working, are meeting on a regular basis. Prior to their meetings, they're reviewing their financials. We have the Vigil Group that sits down and reviews that along with the head administrator, along with myself while I've been there, and bring their reports to



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the full council so that the full council can then
continue to address any questions that they may have
and have full exposure and full disclosure of our
financials as we continue to go through the process.

We also have our audit committees that are in place, have met with the auditors at the exit committee meeting of the audit committee earlier in the summer and are awaiting the approval by the state auditor in order to release those reports to the public.

So with that, I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Hartom and give you the opportunity to meet him and begin to perhaps understand where he is going to take the Southwest Learning Centers Schools as well as SAMS Academy.

16 Kirk.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Torres.

And step forward, Mr. Hartom.

MR. HARTOM: Thank you.

20 | THE COURT: You might just flesh out.

21 | There's a very brief bio in the report, but give us

22 | just a little more on your background, if you don't

23 | mind.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

24 MR. HARTOM: Okay. Well, my background,

25 | basically, I just came from Cuba, New Mexico, where I



was the superintendent of schools. We did a lot of great work with school turnaround the last couple of years. I also helped -- was in Hawaii for a year, where I worked for, well, the Hawaiian version of the PEC for the Hawaii Charter School Commission.

And so there, I helped them develop, actually, the performance frameworks, which you are all familiar with, for the State of Hawaii. And I worked hand-in-hand with also the national organization, NACSA, which you are all probably familiar with as well. So that was a very interesting and very educational year.

Before that, I've been the assistant superintendent for the archdiocese of Santa Fe.

I've also been the principal -- or first principal at the Ask Academy, which is up in Rio Rancho, New Mexico.

So I've been full circle in many ways, and I was also -- probably in the second year of this movement, I also was the founder and principal of Nuestros Valores Charter School down in the south valley, and I've also been a schoolteacher with APS way back in the Taos Municipal Schools. So that's a little bit -- as brief as I can get it with my bio.

Thank you.



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you so much for that.

Mr. Torres, one, I would like to thank you for the great service you have done for these schools, for the willingness you've shown and the cooperation you've shown to this Commission and the Charter School Division staff. We greatly appreciate that.

I suspect there's going to be some questions. I think I'll get it started. The finances had been taken over by the PED, had they not? Have they turned them back to you? Is that why the Vigil Group is involved?

MR. TORRES: No, sir. Mr. Vice Chair, members of the Commission, the PED still has the financial responsibility and authority over the schools. They will continue to have that responsibility until the schools have received clean audits. Because the audit report that has been given to the audit committee is not yet public, it has not yet been reviewed and approved by the state auditor.

We can't reveal what the audit findings will be for the latest audit, but until the schools receive two clean audits, we've been told by Paul Aguilar, who is the deputy secretary for finance,



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that his expectation was that they will -- the PED will continue to have responsibility and oversight on our finances until that occurs.

So they currently are overseeing us. We are working with the Vigil Group, which is a group that the PED recommended to work with us through payroll and accounts payable, and we are also looking to add to our own business office through the selection of a CFO and other business entities.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that. One other brief question, and then I'll go to my Commissioners. Are the schools open, or are you still -- is your opening down the road?

MR. HARTOM: No.

MR. TORRES: Mr. Vice Chair, Commissioners, the staff reported on August the 3rd and went through two weeks of professional development, staff development, orientation with parents and students, registration with parents and students so that the first day of school was August the 17th, Monday. And we are at full bore at both SAMS Academy and at the Southwest Learning Centers.

Because of the orientation that we do with the parents and students and because of the time that we take as far as the registration process,



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	when Monday came around and kids walked in those
2	doors, particularly the kids at the secondary, at
3	the intermediate, and at the SAMS Academy, it's a
4	virtual application, if you will, in education.
5	It appeared that those folks it almost
6	looked like they had been there for three months
7	already, because there was the operation was
8	extremely smooth. Kids knew exactly what to do,
9	where to go, how to get started.
L 0	The primary school, obviously, is more
L1	traditional, and those kids also started out on a
L 2	very smooth basis.
L 3	So we've been in operation this week, and
L 4	the teaching staff has been on board since August
L 5	the 3rd.
L 6	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that.
L 7	Commissioners, questions?
L 8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do.
L 9	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You briefly mentioned
21	the issue of consolidation. Have you set up a
22	committee to look at that?
23	MR. TORRES: Mr. Vice Chair, Commissioner
24	Gipson, I'm going to let Mr. Hartom talk to you about



that, because that's something he's actually going to

have to deal with over the long process. And we've talked about that extensively already during the transition between my stay there and his taking over.

So Mr. Hartom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARTOM: Vice Chair and Commissioner

Gipson, so I've been on the job two weeks, but I know consolidation was a big issue, and it came forward, I guess, at the last PEC meeting and before that. And so what I have already done is I began to look at what would that take, you know, that we would combine some of these schools? I knew there was a concern about overlap of grade levels. That also is a concern of mine, to look at that.

And so really, when these thing -- when the schools were set up -- and they were set up individually -- I already see that there is going to probably be consolidation. What we would lose, in fact -- and that's the numbers that I was looking at -- is that we would probably -- if we -- I looked at the combination of the elementary school and the intermediate school, and it would probably be in the neighborhood -- we would lose small school funding in the neighborhood of, like, \$400,000.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

MR. HARTOM: So we're already saying this



is probably going to happen, so let's start thinking 1 in terms of what that's going to look at budgetwise 2 3 and start it. And I will be reporting out in the 4 next few days to the boards on how that might work. 5 But if we think about it now and say this is 6 something that probably needs to happen, I don't -- I 7 think we would have a smooth transition in doing 8 that. 9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Do you have any idea of a time frame that you're looking at for the -- I 10 11 know you're jumping into the fire, but --12 MR. HARTOM: Well, we could -- well, I'm 13 throwing my ideas out there, but I would say, I mean, 14 as early as the beginning of next school year. 15 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. 16 MR. HARTOM: I wouldn't want to disrupt, 17 you know, the flow --18 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 19 MR. HARTOM: -- of things this year, --20 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. 21 MR. HARTOM: -- especially with the other 22 events that we have gone through and still have to go 23 through some of that as well. But fees -- you could 24 feasibly say that it could be done by next year --



Okay.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON:

MR. HARTOM: -- if we put a plan together. 1 2 So my idea is to come back to the PEC and make sure 3 that we can report out on that consolidation process 4 each and every month if we need to, to see you -- to 5 have all of you see where we're at in that process. 6 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. I'd 7 appreciate that. Thank you. 8 MR. HARTOM: You're welcome. 9 THE VICE CHAIR: And thank -- and yes, we 10 will want reports, but I'm not sure if we have to do them monthly. But we'll discuss that at the 11 12 Commission, too, --13 MR. HARTOM: Okay. THE VICE CHAIR: -- and decide that, and 14 15 CSD staff would be involved in that. So thanks for 16 that. 17 Other questions? Commissioner Armbruster? 18 19 That was just the hand I saw first. 20 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Oh, that's fine, 21 and I don't -- I think I can talk loud enough. 22 middle school teacher. 23 This is not directly to you, but I just 24 wanted to make a comment, which is, when you



mentioned -- now, you can hear me.

THE VICE CHAIR: It's not on, I don't 1 2 think. I guess none of the microphones were on. 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Just want to make sure 4 Millie hears. 5 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. Millie, 6 can you hear me? 7 This is -- this is a --Okay. 8 THE VICE CHAIR: Can you hear? 9 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm repeating 10 This is just a general question. When you 11 said that the Vigil Group was recommended to you -- I 12 have nothing against the Vigil Group, but I'm curious 13 whether, when the PED gives you a suggestion, if they 14 give you several groups. Because I thought that was 15 sort of something that was inherent somewhere in law somewhere, and I don't know. Josh will tell me. 16 17 But I know that, when I taught, that if we 18 recommended someone to parents, we said, "Well, here 19 are three names that you might want to choose from 20 who we know do X, Y, Z." And so I'm a little 21 uncomfortable with the fact that -- and maybe they 22 did not, so you can clarify this. 23 MR. HARTOM: Yeah. 24 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Just said, "Go



with the Vigil Group, " not because of Sam, by the

1 | way.

MR. TORRES: Mr. Vice Chair, Commissioners, 2 3 and Commissioner Armbruster, the discussion with the PED and particularly with Paul Aguilar, Deputy 4 5 Secretary for Finance, regarding the Vigil Group was 6 the schools had contracted through the end of April 7 and had been contracted with a previous group, the 8 AptAbility folks, prior to that. Their contract was 9 terminating at the end of April, and so rather than 10 continuing to let the AptAbility folks pursue -finish the fiscal year, Mr. Aguilar visited with me, 11 12 indicated that the PED and his department had gone through a review of several vendors that provided 13 14 this type of work. 15 And so they did that vetting out. We did When we sat down and made the decision to have 16 17 the Vigil Group take over starting May 1st, those 18 contracts were brought to the schools. 19 Mr. Aguilar and I reviewed those, and we, at that 20 particular time, were asked to approve them and go

So as of May 1, we took over. The Vigil Group took over our work as it pertained to accounts payable and the payroll. And my understanding from Mr. Aguilar was that they did that work to vet out



forward.

21

22

23

24

several vendors and brought forward the Vigil Group to us.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner Armbruster, just to provide some clarity, that is because the Public Education Department had taken over --

MR. TORRES: That's correct.

MS. POULOS: -- the finances of that school, and so it wasn't a recommendation. It was actual decision making on the entity that was in charge of finances at that point in time.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I see.

MR. TORRES: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Thank you.

THE VICE CHAIR: Additional questions?

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I want to follow up on two things, one on this contract just very quickly. I'm a little concerned, because Mike Vigil sat here yesterday and kept saying, "And I also do work for PED." I begin to see a conflict if he is saying he works for PED and then he's also doing all of these charter schools he does at a contract amount that hits under the state procurement code.

The \$50,000 that he normally charges is
the limit for the single-source or, you know, no-bid



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 contracts, and I just have a little concern that, if
2 he's also working for PED, there may be a little
3 conflict. This is more for these people than you
4 folks down the road.

The other thing, I just want to follow up on having participated in the contract negotiations with -- both of the Chapmans were involved with it, and they were very easy to work with in what could have been a difficult situation on both schools.

On the consolidation, I don't know how much time we have, because we gave you only a two-year contract. And I felt the intent was, in both the negotiations and our approvals, that the intermediate school is out. And the fact that it was used to leverage money, like many schools have done -- and the legislature is looking at that small school adjustment very, very closely for charter schools.

And I think within a few years, that's going to be reduced or go away, that people need to get on top of it. And I think by us having said, "You will consolidate," we're actually getting you on top of that before the legislature comes in with no time to do it with the change in the fiscal year. And boy, you go in, and you won't get it. You know,



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 you still are committed to all the other stuff.

2 So I just -- I really -- because neither

3 of you were involved in those negotiations. I'm

4 | just kind of providing some information.

MR. HARTOM: Thank you.

6 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And I wish you an

7 awful lot of luck, because there are an awful lot of

8 | students depending on this school, and knowing the

9 | FBI, we have no idea. You know, it may not be in my

10 | lifetime to get a final report, and so I know that's

11 quite a load to take on. So welcome to the

12 | challenge.

5

13 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

14 | Toulouse.

And I guess I should note that, right now,

16 | there is no statutory limit on business managers.

17 | We actually -- Commissioner Shearman and I, two or

18 | three years ago, encouraged the LESC to encourage

19 | the legislature to put some kind of a limit in that

20 area, but they have not, as yet, done it.

21 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No, there isn't,

22 | but people put it on themselves to fit within the

23 | procurement code. I will -- you know, remember, I

24 | was a 30-year state employee and then 12 years here

25 on the CNM Board. I'm still pretty good at knowing



how purchasing and procurement works in the state 1 2 system and how you play that game, not to mention I 3 have a son involved in state government who's redoing 4 a major computer system right now. So I'm still 5 listening to procurement issues, so --6 THE VICE CHAIR: Outstanding. 7 Are there additional questions? 8 (No response.) 9 THE VICE CHAIR: I see no hands. 10 Once again, Mr. Torres, I thank you so 11 very much. You stepped into a very difficult 12 situation, and I'm just speaking personally for myself, because I don't speak for my fellow 13 14 Commissioners. I believe you did an outstanding job 15 in a difficult situation. I appreciate your service, and I'm looking forward to working with 16 17 Mr. Hartom, seeing him in the future. As you could 18 tell, this consolidation, this Commission's not 19 going to forget that. 20 I got it. I got it. MR. HARTOM: 21 THE VICE CHAIR: It's got to go forward, 22 and I also agree with you, though, that doing it in 23 the middle of the school year will be just totally 24 disruptive for the kids, and that's not what this



Commission is about.

1 MR. HARTOM: But we're going to have a 2 plan. 3 THE VICE CHAIR: We do --COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes. 4 5 THE VICE CHAIR: You need to have the plan 6 and get it done, and we'll be -- actually, 7 Commissioners, do we want them to come back every 8 I think that may be excessive, but it's up to 9 you. 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I 11 thought we had kind of agreed that, except when there 12 were major issues, that the Charter School Division 13 would be in contact with them and provide us a verbal 14 report at the meetings. And unless there was an 15 issue that developed that we needed to see them, we 16 wouldn't expect them to show up every time. THE VICE CHAIR: Excellent. 17 18 Is that kind of the will of the Commission? 19 20 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, that's fine. 21 THE VICE CHAIR: That's what I sensed, so 22 the CSD will work with you. 23 MR. HARTOM: Very good. 24 THE VICE CHAIR: And when we -- when either 25 they or we feel it's necessary to see you again.



to work and take care of those kids. Again, Thank 1 2 you so much for your service.

3 MR. TORRES: Thank you.

4 MR. HARTOM: Thank you.

> Thank you, Commissioners. MR. TORRES:

THE VICE CHAIR: Katie, I believe we're

ready to move on to, what is it, Walatowa?

8 MS. POULOS: Vice Chairman and

9 Commissioners, the next school under schools of

10 concern is Walatowa Charter School. The school was

11 requested to create a corrective action plan because

12 they failed to complete their annual monitoring tool.

Specifically, CSD hadn't received academic data to be 13

able to analyze the performance of the school. 14

15 The school leader did provide a very

16 comprehensive plan both to address the reporting for

17 this year but also to ensure timely reporting in

18 subsequent years. And that plan is in your

19 materials today, and CSD is recommending that the

20 Commissioners accept this, this plan.

21 THE VICE CHAIR: Is this a plan that we're

22 going to need to vote on? Do any of you think

23 that --

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

5

6

7

24 MS. POULOS: I believe so.

25 THE VICE CHAIR: Or Josh? Okay.





MS. POULOS: And there is a motion. 1 2 THE VICE CHAIR: Is there -- is there 3 anyone from Walatowa that's here today? 4 Please come up to the mike. 5 While he's coming up, maybe take a quick 6 moment, if you haven't already, to look at the 7 document that's in your books. 8 Thank you. Please identify yourself and 9 your role with the school for the recorder. 10 MR. ARROW WILKINSON: Vice Chair and members of the PEC, my name is Arrow Wilkinson. 11 12 the principal of the Walatowa High Charter School, 13 and this is Dr. Tamez with the education consultant 14 group that we've employed. 15 When you look at the Corrective Action 16 Plan, I just want to assure you that, you know, even 17 though the Governing Board, we operate and we're 18 still operating under PED and PEC guidelines, you know, there's all kind of reasons why we didn't 19 20 complete our training, whether it was death in the 21 family or personal sickness or employment. collectively, we just didn't get the training 22 23 completed. But as you see in our plan, it will be 24 25 completed, we just want to assure you. And then



also with our reporting data, we've hired

consultants to ensure that there will be a

comprehensive plan for you, especially in the next

year and a half, when we do our charter renewal.

But at this time, I'd like Dr. Tamez to

But at this time, I'd like Dr. Tamez to give you a little bit of his background.

MR. JAIME TAMEZ: Good morning, members of the Commission.

9 THE VICE CHAIR: Good morning.

MR. TAMEZ: My name is Jaime Tamez, and I
was an educator for 34 years, retired in 2007.

12 Twelve years of that was teaching, 22 years in

13 administration, including higher education. I was

14 | the associate director for the Center for the

15 Education and Study of Diverse Populations and

16 | Highlands and director for the special projects at

17 UNM for establishing community schools.

And I retired in 2007, been doing primarily consulting work, and also doing time with my grandkids. I did some work as well in South America, working with the religious communities in

22 Peru and Paraguay and also working with the charter

23 schools.

6

7

8

18

19

20

21

And, well, it's always just been a really tremendous place to work. I've enjoyed it



tremendously, and they brought me on board primarily
to address some of the issues, really, with the
reporting of data and so forth.

4 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you.

Further comments?

6 MR. WILKINSON: No. Just any questions at 7 this time we can answer if you --

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. I guess I'll get the ball rolling. I just had a sense, when our school division first came to us about Walatowa, that there wasn't a great deal of passion. The word I came up with was lackadaisical in your response to this initially. It sounds like you're on top of it now.

MR. WILKINSON: Yes.

THE VICE CHAIR: As you can tell, this is a grave concern of the PEC, and it's absolutely imperative that, if we approve this Corrective Action Plan, that you get on it and get it accomplished by the deadlines that have been set forth and that it not go past those deadlines.

MR. WILKINSON: Well, it wasn't --

23 THE VICE CHAIR: I just wanted to reiterate

24 | that.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25 MR. WILKINSON: Yeah. No, and it wasn't



1	lackadaisical. It was just sometimes we sit there
2	when other people have to get something done. It
3	just it just didn't happen, but we have been
4	actively pursuing other Board members and even
5	providing training for some that may even may be
6	interested, because if they don't get this done, then
7	they have to be replaced. You know, so we are
8	actively pursuing this, and we will ensure that this
9	will be done before the deadline.
10	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you.
11	And Katie, you and Julie are satisfied
12	with the document as you've put it together with
13	working with the school?
14	MS. POULOS: Yes, Commissioner. We are
15	very pleased with it. We believe it will ensure
16	timely reporting and meeting all the requirements.
17	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that.
18	Questions from the Commissioners?
19	Questions from the Commissioners?
20	(No response.)
21	THE VICE CHAIR: I see no hands.
22	Is there a proposed motion?
23	There it is. I see it. There is a
24	proposed motion on Page 4 of that particular
25	document. Does someone wish to read that motion



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair?
2	THE VICE CHAIR: if they have found it?
3	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's a short one.
4	I can read it.
5	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse,
6	please do.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.
8	THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, go ahead.
9	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I move that the PEC
10	approve the Walatowa High Charter School's Corrective
11	Action Plan presented to the Commission today,
12	August 19th, 2015, and found in the materials for
13	today's Commission meeting, with the condition that
14	the school provide reports and evidence to the PEC
15	through CSD in a timely manner.
16	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that motion,
17	Commissioner Toulouse, and that does leave it open,
18	and we will just wait for the reports on a timely
19	basis.
20	Is there a second for that motion?
21	Commissioner Armbruster has a second.
22	We have a motion on the floor and a
23	second.
24	Secretary Peralta, can we have a roll-call
25	vote, please?



	61	
1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta	
2	votes yes.	
3	Commissioner Gipson?	
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?	
6	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.	
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?	
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.	
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?	
10	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.	
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
12	Toulouse?	
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.	
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
15	Armbruster?	
16	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.	
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
18	Conyers?	
19	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.	
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
21	Bergman?	
22	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.	
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that	
24	is nine to zero in favor of the motion.	
25	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.	



SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



I will note that that motion has carried
by a nine-to-zero unanimous vote.

Thank you very much. Are you guys open 4 yet?

MR. WILKINSON: Yes. We started July 20th.

THE VICE CHAIR: July?

7 MR. WILKINSON: Yes, we did.

8 THE VICE CHAIR: Everything going well,

9 then?

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

10 Everything. You know, I MR. WILKINSON: 11 thought I was going to get about five students, but 12 they all showed up. But we started, and we did it 13 with the idea that, by the time ACT comes up, they've gotten a full month of academic instruction, and by 14 15 the time PARCC comes up, we've gained that much. So 16 you know, it was a big shift, and teachers were a 17 little bit upset because we cut -- you know, it was a short time frame. 18

But yeah, we've already been going. So we have -- this is the first time we have -- we have 56 kids right now, which is the best we've ever started. So I'm hoping a year-round model will come around, but it's whatever the community wants.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

25 | Thank you for being here today, and please stay in



- 1 | close contact with the CSD.
- 2 MR. WILKINSON: Oh, yes. Yes, we will.
- 3 | Thank you so much.
- 4 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
- 5 Katie.
- 6 MS. POULOS: Commissioners, the next item
- 7 on the agenda is Anthony Charter School. Again, this
- 8 is another school that the Commissioners have asked
- 9 | for continuing reporting. At this point, the update
- 10 | is the same update from May 8th, which is that Judge
- 11 | Matthew granted that stay to the closure pending
- 12 appeal, and PED's Office of General Counsel has been
- 13 negotiating with the school. I do not have an update
- 14 at this time.
- 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you know
- 16 | they did -- I assume they've opened by now or --
- MS. POULOS: I don't know.
- 18 THE VICE CHAIR: We don't have that
- 19 | confirmed?
- 20 MS. POULOS: I don't have an update.
- 21 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that.
- 22 Any questions for Katie from the
- 23 | Commission on Anthony Charter School?
- 24 Then I guess keep them on your radar,
- 25 then, and keep up.



	0 4
1	MS. POULOS: I will continue asking.
2	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. What do you
3	have next?
4	MS. POULOS: With regards to the notice of
5	charter schools looking for a new facility, we
6	actually have two. Initially, we thought we only had
7	Taos Integrated School of the Arts, but we've also
8	been notified that the South Valley Preparatory
9	School is looking for a new facility.
10	THE VICE CHAIR: So they're just in the
11	process still?
12	MS. POULOS: Yes.
13	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that.
14	What else do you have for us today?
15	MS. POULOS: So I think the last item on
16	our agenda is the recommendations for the charter
17	framework negotiations for the 2015/16 charter
18	frameworks.
19	Oh, I'm sorry. We have another one after
20	that.
21	But for now, we're talking about the CSD
22	recommendations on the 2014/15 performance
23	frameworks, academic performance frameworks, and
24	whether schools should be asked to renegotiate the



goals that are found in those frameworks.

CSD did a review of the frameworks, and 1 2 there's a list of schools that CSD felt very 3 comfortable moving forward with the goals as written in the prior year and didn't believe that 4 5 renegotiations were necessary. That's Cesar Chavez 6 Charter School, Cien Aguas Charter School, Creative Education Preparatory Institute, Dream Diné Charter 7 8 School, Gilbert Sena Charter School, Horizon Academy 9 West, La Academia de Dolores Huerta, New America 10 School, New Mexico Connections Academy, and School of Dreams Charter School. 11

So CSD has provided motion language if you would like to go forward with that recommendation and continue to use the performance framework goals that were written in 2014/15.

Then for the schools that CSD has felt that it may be helpful to renegotiate for any number of reasons, either because CSD felt that there was a lack of clarity in goals or because there potentially was what may be seen by CSD and maybe not the Commissioners or maybe by the Commissioners as lack of rigor. We've provided those goals for the Commissioners to be able to look at today to determine whether they feel that a renegotiation would be appropriate.



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And there is one school that is here
today. We have already heard from Media Arts
Collaborative Charter School, who has requested the
opportunity to amend their framework goals. And
because we have the framework renegotiation process,
we felt it was more appropriate to bring it forth as
a renegotiation than as an amendment.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Katie.

For the Commissioners who may not be aware of it, we were actually going to do these. We were going to cram these in this week while we also did these hearings and had our meeting, and CSD began to get pushback from the schools because they were opening, and that was understandable.

So now, correct me if I'm wrong, Katie.

We have now scheduled a -- on September the 23rd, we will be meeting to do these various ones that do require negotiation. Am I correct in that -- in that, what did?

MS. POULOS: I don't know that we have actually scheduled a date, and part of that is because the Commissioners today were going to decide whether to do the renegotiations and then to establish that date.

THE VICE CHAIR: I believe that -- did we



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | not at least talk about that?

MS. POULOS: We discussed it, yes.

THE VICE CHAIR: Because we're meeting on

4 | the 24th and 25th anyway --

MS. POULOS: Right.

6 THE VICE CHAIR: -- for our decision

7 meeting. So that would save a trip for all the

8 people that had to travel.

9 MS. POULOS: For the 23rd. Oh, and we have

10 the in-service days, so that is available.

11 THE VICE CHAIR: And Beverly has already

12 reserved the space for us at Mabry Hall. So that's

13 been, kind of, the discussion and the plan. Do you

14 | want us to -- why don't we get rid of the ones that

15 | want to leave it the same first. Is that okay with

16 the staff? Why don't we do that.

17 Is there any discussion on those ten

18 | schools?

5

19 | Commissioner Carr?

20 COMMISSIONER CARR: I have a -- yeah, I

21 | have questions about it, because I didn't -- number

22 one, I didn't know anything about this. And then

23 when I asked about it, the -- I was told that it was

24 discussed in the July meeting, which it was not. And

25 | I would have liked to have known.





And because the other thing that I'll -and that I would like to bring up is that I don't
really know why we're renegotiating performance
frameworks unless there's an issue or unless a
school would like to do it or a school has red flags
and there's problems. Then, let's do it.

I think we're putting undue pressure on schools and on us. I don't -- I don't know for sure what little we will accomplish by renegotiating performance frameworks with schools that are doing well. If they're not doing well, yes. You know, let's -- you know, we need to talk about that. And we have schools of concern, obviously, we talk about every meeting.

So number one, I didn't know about this, so I didn't -- I didn't get a chance to get any input in, which was surprising to me, because most of the time, I do know what's going on, you know.

And then when I asked about it, you know, I -- you know, I didn't get all the answers that I -- that I like. So that's why I'm bringing it up now in an open meeting.

So for whatever it's worth, whatever -you know, I may be just speaking for myself. I
would like to, first of all, make sure that I'm



- informed about what's going on. Secondly, I don't
 think all of these performance framework
 negotiations are necessary.
- And then I -- you know, I was thinking
 there was one other thing, but I guess that's -that is it. You know, and I -- oh, there was.

 Yeah, the third item was some of the schools
 contacted me and said that they didn't feel like
 they were given enough notice. That's why you were
 getting pushback.
 - You know, you ask -- you ask a school to do something at the beginning of the school year like Commissioner Bergman said, and you are so overloaded and you're, like, going, "What? What? What?" You know, and it's like being called to the principal's office, and you're like, "What? What? You know, what did I do?" You know, and you get there and say, "Oh." You know, and it's just, to me, another undue burden on the schools unless they need -- you know, unless they need that further push.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
 Carr, for your concern. I would just note -- I'll
 start with your last comment there. Every one of
 these schools, we've done 48 of these performance



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 contracts so far. I've been at 45 of their 2 negotiations.

3 They were told during those negotiations, one, that the performance framework is annual. 4 5 That's why we have to do this. In Senate Bill 446, 6 it made the performance framework an annual document 7 that has to be renewed every year, and it was 8 contemplated then that there might be changes either 9 from one side or the other side, us being the other 10 And every one of those items, that was talked 11 about in the negotiations, so the schools knew then 12 that this was going to be. Now, that was a year ago 13 or --

COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure.

THE VICE CHAIR: -- two years ago, and maybe they forgot.

But they -- I brought that -- I brought that up in every negotiation I have been in, that it was going to be. And they were told in those negotiations that we would talk specifically about their goals, and they were told by myself that we may need to change their goals, we may not.

And specifically what I told the schools was, if you make your goals, then we're probably going to ask you to continue to challenge yourself



14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by increasing the numbers in those indicators. They
were told, if you didn't make your goals, then you
need to -- one, you need to, of course, internally
know why you didn't make your goals, and you need to
be able to share with the CSD staff and the PEC why

So we have to have some discussion with each school, and they all are not being renegotiated. That list that was just meant --

COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah.

you didn't make those goals.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE VICE CHAIR: Those ten schools aren't being. They're going to move forward with their document as it stands now. So that is also already built into the system. The other one is that the CSD staff determined that, yes, there were some things that we need to talk about.

Now, and this -- and I'm not sure how you missed it, because I thought we talked about it in July. We've had this conversation for several months, because I kept warning people that we were going to have to do this. And unfortunately, it does fall at a very bad time when we have to do this, and so we're -- at the next work session, we are going to explore how are we going to handle it.

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.



THE VICE CHAIR: The legislature did not -when the change-over came to PARCC, that didn't help.

That really complicated things, because at least
before PARCC, the ED got the grade reports for every
school in July.

So once we found out that, from PARCC,

PARCC results weren't going to be available until

November or even December, I said then, boy, that's

going to mess up our renegotiation process, because

that is a part of the -- here again, the need for a

renegotiation, Commissioner Carr. The state

statutes mandate that any school that gets a "C" has

to go on an improvement plan, and that has to be

negotiated.

COMMISSIONER CARR: That's fine.

THE VICE CHAIR: So that's -- and until we have those grades, we don't know who's going to have a "C." So yeah, it messed us up. It really did. It affected the CSD. It affected us. It affected our work, and that's why we've had to keep pushing this back.

I envisioned us doing it -- doing as much as we could early on and then wait for the grade report, but that turned out to not be feasible either.





1 COMMISSIONER CARR: Uh-huh.

THE VICE CHAIR: So we're learning as we go
on this. It's an ongoing process, and the change to
PARCC didn't really help us much. And so that, we're
just dealing with the situation as it is now,

6 | unfortunately, so --

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?

8 THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.

OMMISSIONER CARR: So to add, you know, so it's just like anything else. You know, if I get a call out of the blue and I'm on the Commission and I don't know what's going on, if it's my fault that I don't know what's going on, that's fine. But in this particular case, I don't think it was.

I would have liked to have seen a list of the schools that -- you know, I would have liked to have had some information, and then I could have -- I could have told them all the things that you told them. Yes, they are subject to renegotiation every year. I'm trying to remember the law, though. But do we -- obviously, we don't have to if we're happy with where they are, right?

THE VICE CHAIR: And we didn't.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Right.

25 THE VICE CHAIR: Like I say, we're not



1 doing these ten. That is correct.

2 COMMISSIONER CARR: So if we -- if I was --

3 | if I had that, if I had been informed, I could have

4 | told them, and it would have -- you know, it's just

5 | like anything else. Good communication stops a lot

6 of problems before they're created, and there's not

7 | a -- not a problem here except for that. Okay?

8 THE VICE CHAIR: Oh, I appreciate your

9 comments and your concern.

10 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.

11 THE VICE CHAIR: This is the first day I've

12 | seen this list, and I --

13 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.

14 THE VICE CHAIR: They were doing seven

15 applications and all their other normal work and this

16 stuff with the renegotiation. That's what I've been

17 | telling this Commission for years. Every year, this

18 | is going to get more complicated.

19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah.

20 THE VICE CHAIR: Next year, we're going to

21 | have another 26 schools added to this year.

22 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.

23 THE VICE CHAIR: They don't have enough

24 | staff.

25 COMMISSIONER CARR: Right.



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

THE VICE CHAIR: Next year, it's going to be a disaster.

3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Right.

THE VICE CHAIR: I'm telling you right now,
this minute. By next year, we're going to have close
to 50 schools with performance frameworks that we're
going to have to talk about next year.

8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, I agree.

THE VICE CHAIR: And you do not have the staff. I don't know who you need to talk to, Katie, about that. Somebody has got to increase your staff level in the next year, really, or you're going to be so inundated that it's going to turn into a disaster. I firmly believe that.

MS. POULOS: Commissioner, you are talking to somebody who had 543 charter schools and a staff the same size. So I do think that more staff can be valuable, and we've asked for more specific staff, so I think, you know, staff that can help us with compliance monitoring so that we really are targeting what those staff skills are. But I think we can get it done.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, let's take

Commissioner Carr's concern, because like I say, this

is the first day you guys have given me the --



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. POULOS: Well, these materials were 1 2 sent out, Beverly, last week? 3 THE VICE CHAIR: Was it last week? 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I did not get 5 this. 6 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I did. 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: Did you get this 8 electronically? 9 MS. POULOS: It was sent out last Friday. 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. I guess I missed 11 it. 12 MS. POULOS: And CSD -- I would like to note for the public and for the Commissioners that 13 CSD is working on making those publicly available on 14 15 the Web site so that they are there for all. we mail them out to the Commissioners, but I do think 16 17 that they should be available for all, and we are 18 working on making that available as soon as possible. 19 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you. I think that 20 will be a good idea, and in fact, I'm going to ask 21 you in just a second. I envision that most of these 22 will just be done in a conference call with the 23 schools. And I understand that some of it has morphed into you believe that we have to actually do 24 25 some face-to-face negotiation, or are we still doing



1 | them on the phone?

MS. POULOS: The Commissioners, I think,

3 | were having discussions that they would be

4 | face-to-face, which was when they were scheduled. At

5 | the last meeting, we did note that they were on the

6 | schedule for CNM for last night, the night before,

7 and this afternoon when -- when that was the original

8 | plan. CSD, you know, is here to support the

9 Commissioners, and if the Commissioners feel that

10 | they should be done via a conference call, I think

11 | CSD is supportive of that and would feel that that

12 | would be appropriate.

13 THE VICE CHAIR: Well, that, here again --

14 | here again, that was -- that's what I have been

15 promoting. Now, maybe Commissioner Shearman did not

16 agree with me on that, but that was always how I

17 | contemplated it. Let's certainly put that on our

18 agenda for our next work session. We've scheduled a

19 | work session for next month, did we not?

20 MS. POULOS: We did not, and I think next

21 | month we have a hearing.

22 THE VICE CHAIR: Or did we do it for

23 October? Is that when you wanted to do it?

24 MS. POULOS: November is what I suggested.

THE VICE CHAIR: November.





1 MS. POULOS: Yeah.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, let's make --

MS. POULOS: Next month, we do have a

4 hearing. We have the meeting, and then what's been

5 | suggested by the Commissioners is that these

6 | renegotiations, if we determine that these schools

7 | should renegotiate, would happen on the prior day,

8 the September 23rd date.

going to do them in person.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, then let's, for our next work session whenever we have it, let's address Commissioner Carr's concerns and my concerns, and let's have a robust discussion on what we're going to do with these performance frameworks: Whether we're going to do them on a phone, which would be simpler and easier but harder because you've got six or eight people on a conference call and it's hard for

everybody to express themselves; or whether we're

And then let's figure out, well, that's going to be labor intensive, too. And so let's have a good discussion on that in our next work session.

And for the Commissioners, if at all possible, try to be at that work session.

Your thoughts, Katie, on it?

MS. POULOS: I think the one concern is, if



you wanted to accomplish any sort of renegotiation on September 23rd, that decision probably needs to be made before then.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, I don't think we can do anything right now. I think we've got to go forward with whatever your plan is for this year.

I'm contemplating what's going to happen next year.

MS. POULOS: And I think that's great. I will say CSD didn't have this plan. CSD is trying to facilitate the work that the Commissioners asked, and so our understanding was that the Commissioners wanted to do any potential renegotiations to have finalized 2015/16 frameworks initially in August. For obvious reasons, that was delayed, and this conversation is happening today.

And then we still, I think, need to, kind of, understand how we support the Commissioners in finalizing frameworks for 2015/16. If the Commissioners feel that it's appropriate to do them as conference calls, CSD is in support of that and will support Commissioners in that. If the Commissioners feel it's appropriate to do in-face meetings, CSD is in support of that and will support the Commissioners in that also.

THE VICE CHAIR: I suspect Commissioner



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Shearman will want to do them in person. She and I have discussed it. I wanted to do them on the phone to simplify things, but I -- we've got to go forward with these this year. We've got to get it done now. So if it's the will of the Commission and that's how you've been setting it up, I guess we're going to have to come up with a schedule to do that.

For one, it's not going to be like the first performance contract negotiation. It's not going to be a four-hour affair. The contract has already been negotiated. We're just talking about the performance framework, and as Commissioner Carr noted, there -- in a lot of cases, there won't be either any changes that a school has proposed, or very small changes.

So I always contemplated that we would only be talking about academic performance indicators, because those are the things that may or may not need to change in any given year. And I know I've asked you, because I've asked you. That is also dependent on the schools getting the data, their academic performance data, to the CSD. And they can't do that until after the school year is over.

So all this falls in the summer, when new



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

applications are being done and all the rest is. 1 2 And I have just personally thought about how we could change the timelines, and I have not been able 3 to come up with a reasonable solution for how we 4 could change their timeline. 5 It's just -- we just 6 have so much work to do in a short amount of time. So Commissioners, do you want to weigh in 7 8 on this? I'm guessing we're going to have -- since that was kind of the thought and the plan, that we 10 would do these in person this year, and then let's 11 talk about how we're going to do them next year, 12 with the thought that maybe we will do as many as 13 possible on the phone. And hopefully, there will be 14 more than ten next year that don't need to do it at 15 all, and we can just scratch them off the list. That's what we're doing with these ten 16 17 here today. We're saying just proceed in this 18 school year with what you had last year. 19 Am I correct in that? 20 MS. POULOS: That is correct, the CSD's 21 recommendation for the schools, things that I read. 22 THE VICE CHAIR: Any thoughts? Anybody 23 want to share your thoughts? 24 Commissioner Toulouse? 25 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, my



assumption was that, probably, the first year into a 1 2 contract, there would be more negotiations. Because 3 we really are doing some guesswork on the first year on people who haven't been on contract before. 4 5 that following that, my assumption was the bulk of 6 them would simply be a rollover. We'd approve them, 7 you know, for the next year and for the next year as 8 it worked.

And I would like to think that, if we had to do any face-to-face, it would be only the first-year ones and that everybody else could -- who needed any minor changes would be a quick telephone call. Because I can't see making a group of us have to sit down like we do with the other negotiations over and over and over and over when -- for probably something that could be done in half an hour or less, not be able to have a phone doing it rather than a whole bunch of people coming and going and coming and going.

THE VICE CHAIR: Absolutely, and that's how
I contemplated it, that the renegotiations, if they
were even necessary, would take no more than an hour.
And because the problem is we don't know how the
schools are going to perform on their academic
indicators until they tell us how they did. And we



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't know who's going to make it and who's not. We don't know if half of them are going to make it or a quarter of them. It's such a -- like I say, there are just so many imponderables involved in this process.

So yeah, my wish would be that we didn't have to do any, but we're going to have to, because they're not all going to make their goals. They're really not.

Mr. Chair, my feeling was that, the first time on a contract, people are having to adjust to the new way of doing business on the ones that we're renewing.

And on a new charter, people are having to adjust to being a school in the first place. And that's why I figured some of those are really just a shot in the dark on the percentage, on some of those percentages.

And so that's why I thought those are the ones that would probably need more attention than the others. If we're all doing our job right, they should need nothing but a little fine tuning.

Because that's not fair to teachers and students either, to continue to change. It should be an upward movement of success for kids.

But you know, you get to a certain point,



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and you can't go any farther. So but you need to
do -- but it needs to be a slow, steady thing. It
shouldn't be, "Oh, yeah, we're going to throw
everything at you new again this year."

So I just -- again, I'm agreeing with you, I think, that -- pretty much, I think, that this is pretty much something that we're also into our first couple years of doing. But I mean, I would assume, too, we'd get whole long lists of these rollovers.

THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah. And here again, I agree with you. And that's -- we're relying on CSD staff. They're doing the preliminary work. They're putting it all together with the understanding that they would then bring us this kind of a list. This is the ones we think are fine -- or not fine but need to go forward, and we're rely -- as we always do, we rely on their advice and counsel to do these things.

So any thoughts?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?

THE VICE CHAIR: Mr. Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Just I can state for the record I found the list down at the bottom of another thing, and I must have overlooked it. It was -- I think it was one of the later e-mails that I got, but the same -- what I said before still holds



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 true about being fully informed, because --

THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, and that's -- that's

3 | a reasonable request. And Commissioner Shearman, as

4 long as she's been Chair, has always been very

5 adamant about keeping all the Commissioners informed

6 about what's going on. So we get a glitch every once

7 | in a while, I guess.

9

10

8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure.

THE VICE CHAIR: Down to my right here,

anyone wish to weigh in on this issue?

11 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, I'm fine. I

12 | think, at this point in time, we have to do the

13 | in-face, because that's what the schools are

14 | anticipating at this moment in time. I don't think

15 we can change it at this late in the game.

16 THE VICE CHAIR: No, I would -- yeah.

17 | Commissioner Peralta?

18 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Just go with as

19 | scheduled, and I would like to make the motion on

20 these ten schools, that we move them to the 23rd of

21 | the next month.

22 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Let me say this.

23 | That you've heard, we're contemplating that these are

24 only going to be a half an hour or an hour. We

25 | really want to be prepared as we walk in the door so



- we don't tie up schools that need to be educating
 their kids. So let's all have that plan when we do
 get to them.
- I think we do need to do them face-to-face
 this year, so you guys work on that. And we're
 going to have to come up with a date, I guess. I
 thought we had already set aside September the 23rd.
 I thought that came out in an e-mail or something.
- 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, while
 11 we're having this discussion, it might be helpful,
 12 even though this isn't public comment time, to allow
 13 somebody from one of the schools that wants to do
 14 this to come in and tell us how she might like to do
- 16 THE VICE CHAIR: Well, they can come in the 17 public comment.
- COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. Because I -
 THE VICE CHAIR: I'd rather not do it right
 - COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Because I just think we've got a couple of schools here, and it might be interesting, while we're having this discussion, to hear it, but that's up --
- 25 THE VICE CHAIR: Like I said, I don't think



9

15

20

21

22

23

24

it.

now.

Maybe I --

we have much choice for this cycle. I think we're 1 2 already well into it. In fact, we're beyond when I 3 originally did all those negotiations when I -- I thought we'd be done with this by now. 4 We haven't 5 even started on it. It turned out to be not as 6 simple and not as easy as I had thought it would be. 7 It just -- it --8 Go ahead. Commissioner Toulouse. If you would like to come up to the 9 10 microphone, go ahead. MS. VOIGT: 11 Oh. 12 THE VICE CHAIR: We'll do it now. 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you, sir. 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Identify yourself again, 15 please. Thank you, Vice Chair, members 16 MS. VOIGT: 17 of the Committee. Glenna Voigt, principal of the 18 Media Arts Collaborative Charter School. And I just 19 wanted to state that we got notified this morning at 20 6:45 that our originally requested charter amendment 21 was now becoming a performance framework 22 renegotiation. So I just want to state that, let you 23 know. 24 And so it was surprising to me that we had



originally requested a charter amendment to one of

our performance goals, and now, it's a renegotiation of our performance framework. I'm just putting that out there.

THE VICE CHAIR: Stay there just for a second.

Katie, what are we talking about here?

MS. POULOS: Vice Chair, Commissioners, so
this school has submitted an amendment request to
amend its goals under the performance framework. Our
understanding from the Commissioners was that those
would not go forward. Because this discussion was
happening, we included that school.

The materials are not accurate in my materials, and I apologize for that. They say CSD recommends the renegotiation based on CSD's review. The reason CSD is recommending a renegotiation is because the school has submitted an amendment request to amend its framework goals and because CSD's understanding from the Commissioners was they did not want to do amendments to the framework goals but wanted to have renegotiations of the framework goals.

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. That -- yeah, I never contemplated that it would be an amendment type process for the -- for the renegotiation, that it



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would -- and as you can hear from this school, you're going to have to work closely with the schools to find a convenient time. Maybe we can't do them all in one day. I don't know how. Like I say, it's --

MS. POULOS: Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE VICE CHAIR: -- it's such a new process. We're just in the beginning of the third year of this process.

MS. POULOS: Yeah. No, and I think the concern from the school was they submitted an amendment request, and CSD made the recommendation in these materials that that school would be part of the renegotiation process because the Commissioners have indicated that there would not be amendments of the framework goals.

And I apologize if the e-mail that I had prepared has not come out, because I did prepare an e-mail. And I apologize if that didn't make it out to you. That was a week ago, but that's my mistake if that didn't make it out to you that we would be presenting this to the Commissioners today as a potential renegotiation because the Commissioners had indicated that there would not be amendments to the framework goals.

The reason that the school Estancia Valley



was different is because it's not a performance
framework. It's an old Charter Contract, and that's
why that amendment to goals was brought forward in
that manner.

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. There's going to need to be very close work between you guys and -- and you guys and us guys and -- because it's -- we do have to do it. But the legislature bears a little blame on this, because they made the performance framework an annual document instead of a five-year document.

And I understand why they did it. We can't set a goal for your school, for instance, and then wait five years before we evaluate it again. I understand why they did it, but that's a part of the problem, is that the performance framework is a separate standalone annual document, and your school, if it were on a five-year contract, you would have five performance frameworks, and that's what the legislature contemplated.

And so, yeah, it's -- but putting it into practice -- in theory, it probably sounded great to the legislature, but we didn't get a lot of input. The legislature just sprung that one on -- we were not involved in the performance contract. I didn't



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | even know about the performance contract bill until
- 2 the legislature was almost done. So we had very
- 3 | little input, those of us that were working with the
- 4 | LESC at that time, to even put any input into it
- 5 other than contacting legislators.
- 6 And I see Susan is standing there. Susan,
- 7 | why do you --
- 8 MS. SUSAN FOX: Oh, I'm lurking behind
- 9 | Glenna.
- 10 MS. VOIGT: Thank you.
- 11 THE VICE CHAIR: What did you wish to share
- 12 | with us?
- MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members
- 14 of the PEC. I wanted to remind the members of the
- 15 | PEC, as you all know, these are five-year contracts.
- 16 And so we have been, kind of, throwing around the
- 17 | term and even using it in the -- in our negotiations,
- 18 | the word "renegotiate." But when we're talking about
- 19 | renegotiating, from our perspective as the various
- 20 | schools' lawyers, we're not talking about opening up
- 21 | the whole contract discussion again.
- 22 THE VICE CHAIR: No, no. That's not what
- 23 we envision. Yeah.
- 24 MS. FOX: Really, what we're talking about
- 25 | and all the law really talks about are looking at



annual performance targets, which would be, in my
mind at least, the percentages. And if those need to
change, then, you know, we've always contemplated
revisiting those on an annual basis just like
Commissioner Bergman has talked about.

But if we're talking about changing -unless the schools want to do this affirmatively -changing whether they use Discovery or DIBELS or
something else, really, again, that's going to be
subject to an agreement and not something that I
think anyone can really impose. So we'd like to
stick with the idea that these are five-year
contracts and five-year performance frameworks with
an annual look at the performance measures, so just
to clarify that from our perspective.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you. And you have sat in a number of those negotiations where I was there, and I believe you'd --

19 MS. FOX: I have, not 43.

THE VICE CHAIR: -- confirm that's what

I -- that's what I told the schools, that it was -mostly, we'd be talking about their annual
performance indicators. And I also told them -- I
would hope you'd confirm -- that we may change them
and they may need to be changed and they may not need



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1 to be changed.

MS. FOX: That's right. And if I could

3 | just -- so I have a better understanding, I know

4 | you've read off a list of ten schools that were going

5 to be pushed or, you know, not "renegotiated." I use

6 that word in quotes. But the others who are, if that

7 | could just be put on the record so I could give them

8 | a heads-up after this meeting, that would be great.

9 THE VICE CHAIR: Are you talking about the

10 others that are going to require -- and I agree with

11 | you. The word "renegotiation" doesn't -- is probably

12 | not -- I haven't come up with a better word to use

13 | yet.

25

MS. FOX: Right, and --

15 THE VICE CHAIR: If you lawyers think of a

16 | better --

MS. FOX: I know.

18 THE VICE CHAIR: -- word, you can suggest

19 | it to this Commission.

20 MS. FOX: I don't know. If we had to come

21 | up with one, it would probably be a series of words,

22 because we're paid by the word, right?

23 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, because it's really

24 | not a renegotiation. I think it's in the framework.

COMMISSIONER CARR: How about --





1	·	\mathtt{THE}	VICE	CHAIR:	Yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER CARR: How about performance

3 | amendments?

4 THE VICE CHAIR: Or performance analysis or

5 | something like that.

6 MS. FOX: Yeah. Well, we'll figure --

7 THE VICE CHAIR: Academic analysis or

8 | something like that.

9 MS. FOX: -- something else out that we'll

10 quibble about in another few years.

But so if I could just get an idea,

12 | because Ms. Poulos had said that these ten are ones

13 | that they didn't have a recommendation to move to

14 | amend. And then there were some others that

15 apparently are on your list, and if I could just get

16 an idea of what those are and --

17 THE VICE CHAIR: Actually, see, I don't

18 | know --

MS. POULOS: CSD --

20 THE VICE CHAIR: -- who they are yet

21 either.

22 So Katie, would you send some kind of a

23 | notice to Susan when it's available?

24 MS. POULOS: It's in the materials, in your

25 | materials today.





COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's in our --1 2 They're in here somewhere? THE VICE CHAIR: 3 MS. POULOS: We have not -- we have not --4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: All of these 5 yellow --MS. POULOS: -- told you, other than giving 6 7 you the goals to have the discussion as the 8 Commissioners who are making this decision. We have 9 identified the ones where we didn't see any potential 10 reason there would need to be a discussion today. And in your materials today, with each -- in front of 11 12 each golden sheet is CSD's reason, which is that, 13 based on our review, it may be appropriate to 14 renegotiate all or some of the schools' goals to 15 ensure that they are appropriately specific, measurable, attainable, rigorous, and time-bound. 16 17 And then CSD has provided you behind the 18 golden sheet for each of the identified schools --19 which I imagine there should be a discussion 20 today -- the goals so the Commissioners could have 21 that discussion and determine whether they feel 22 there is room to renegotiate those or not. 23 Katie, I know you sent THE VICE CHAIR: 24 this to us by e-mail also. We don't have time today 25 to look at all of this and decide which goals we're



going to do today. There's not enough time in the universe to do this many. We can't do this today. I would --

Thank you, Susan, for your input.

MS. FOX: Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE VICE CHAIR: So these are the ones that you are contemplating may need some analysis/additional work, if I don't use the term "renegotiation"?

MS. POULOS: That is correct. CSD, I think, may also be perfectly comfortable saying, if the Commission is not prepared -- and I think that there may need to be a discussion on how this process should occur -- moving forward with the goals as they are and working in a work session to figure out what this process should look like, CSD's perfectly comfortable either way. And I do think we wanted the Commission to have the opportunity to look at the goals for these schools, where we felt there could be more clarity, more rigor, more time-boundedness, whatever it was, and determine whether it felt the same and felt that there was -- it needed to be renegotiated.

THE VICE CHAIR: Now, I see a whole bunch of yellow pages here. How many are actually here?



- 1 | Was a count made?
- Nine? Just nine schools. Well, let me
- 3 | see here, just put it on the record, then, for right
- 4 | now and to help Susan.
- 5 Have you got your pen and paper there?
- 6 MS. FOX: I do. Thank you.
- 7 THE VICE CHAIR: It looks like we're going
- 8 to consider Alma D'Arte, Cottonwood Classical,
- 9 | Explore Academy, Health Leadership, International
- 10 | School at Mesa del Sol.
- 11 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's here in
- 12 | Montessori.
- 13 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah that's from the La
- 14 | Tierra Montessori. I'm having trouble moving the
- 15 pages. Media Arts. New Mexico School for the Arts.
- 16 Taos Academy.
- Is that your school, Mr. Carr, Taos
- 18 | Academy?
- 19 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Taos Academy.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Is that where you are?
- 21 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
- 22 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Taos Academy. Taos
- 23 | International. And then I have a blank yellow sheet.
- 24 | What is -- oh, that goes on to something else, then?
- 25 No?



1 MS. POULOS: It's a repeat.

THE VICE CHAIR: Oh. Oh, that's why my

packet is so thick. I have two stacks of the same

information.

MS. POULOS: I do, too. I freaked out.

6 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. So these, the

7 schools I just read off, are the nine, you said?

8 MS. POULOS: Ten.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE VICE CHAIR: Ten that we need to do some future something in the next month or something. We've got to do something with them. See, I haven't even seen the figures yet. You're just, sort of, recommending that we need to do something?

MS. POULOS: When we looked at these, we felt that there could be additional rigor, but we felt that it was appro -- or rigor or clarity in some of them, there was not. There was a lack of clarity. We felt it was most appropriate for the Commissioners to make that decision, and that's why we provided recommendations that these be looked at, language for either the acceptance of a need to renegotiate or a rejection of the need to renegotiate and then acceptance of continuing with those goals. And we provided the goals to the Commissioners to have that discussion today.



THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Let me share this with you and the fellow Commissioners and see how this might fly, then. I had a train of thought, and I think it just disappeared, left the station. But it -- oh, originally, here again, as we contemplated how this would work, remember, when we first set this up, the grade report was coming in July.

So I had hoped that we would be able, at some point, early in August each year, before we went on our annual tour, we would be able to handle whatever term we're going to use, renegotiations or whatever with these schools, because we felt it was important that they have these new goals before they started their school year. Well, as I said, then that went out the window, and PARCC came in.

I would like to go home and think about these and look at these in depth, to tell you the truth. But is any of it so disastrous that we couldn't just decide to just not do it this year and put it forward? Maybe that's not a great suggestion. Let's think about that a second: Let's let all the schools move forward, or is there some that you think we really need to do something with?

MS. JULIE LUCERO: Vice Chair Bergman and

Commissioners, there are a couple schools.



In fact,

- 1 there is one school that only has one goal. There
- 2 are a couple others that do not have academic goals.
- 3 | So that would be the reason for renegotiation for
- 4 | those schools. In other cases, the metrics are
- 5 either too low or the assessment that's used no
- 6 longer exists. So those would be the reasons that we
- 7 | would do that.
- 8 All of our liaisons went out to the
- 9 schools and analyzed the data after our monitoring
- 10 | visit, and after reviewing the data and analyzing,
- 11 | we had in-depth conversations about whether these
- 12 goals would need to be renegotiated to, you know,
- 13 | push the school a little bit more. So we looked at
- 14 | all different situations. So there's not one reason
- 15 | for each school; different reasons for each of them.
- 16 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, you said maybe three
- 17 | that really need it. So let's put them at the top of
- 18 | the list.
- MS. LUCERO: Okay.
- 20 THE VICE CHAIR: Now, let's talk about the
- 21 other six. And this is when I really wish
- 22 | Commissioner Shearman was here, because I know she
- 23 | would have an opinion on that. But since I'm here,
- 24 do any of those six fall into what -- a crisis mode,
- 25 or will they be able to go forward? The kids are not



being harmed, do you think, even if the goals are a 1 2 little too low or --

3 MS. LUCERO: Vice Chair Bergman and Commissioners, I wouldn't say crisis mode by any 4 The schools are -- there are several schools 5 6 that are actually performing pretty well if you look at their last report card. But if we look at the way 7 8 we negotiated for these schools for the upcoming year, we really did consistently push schools for 10 rigor and high achievement, and so those six may not 11 be in that, in alignment with that.

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioners, let me throw this out for you, and then I'll open it up I'm contemplating now asking CSD staff to look at those six. I definitely want to do the three that you've identified, so I would have three. you be comfortable, as Commissioners, if we ask you to reevaluate those six and say you decide which of those six you think, really, we need to talk to again and which of those six we can -- I hate to use the term -- let slide for another year? What's your thoughts on that, Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah. Mr. Chair, I mean, the top charter schools in the state are on this list. You know, it's the old phrase:



9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | ain't broke, don't fix it. You know, there's always
- 2 room to tweak for improvement, you know, when, you
- 3 | know, that's -- that's always true, but it -- but
- 4 I -- you know, based on what we've got to accomplish
- 5 here, we need to go after the -- we need to take care
- 6 of the schools that -- you know, that really need
- 7 that additional help. Yeah, if they only had one
- 8 | goal, you know, some of those schools, definitely.
- 9 But yeah, I --
- 10 THE VICE CHAIR: So are you comfortable
- 11 | with the way I just put it?
- 12 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, I'm fine with how
- 13 | you just put it.
- 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Other Commissioners, your
- 15 | thoughts?
- 16 | COMMISSIONER CARR: To put it shortly.
- 17 THE VICE CHAIR: Yea or nay? I did not
- 18 | contemplate letting schools slide, because we -- I am
- 19 | the one that has pushed the hardest, I think, on this
- 20 | Commission for the academic performance indicators.
- 21 | And I wanted them to be robust and rigorous and
- 22 | challenging primarily because of a conversation I had
- 23 with Senator Sapien, who was the prime sponsor of
- 24 | Senate Bill 446. And he very vigorously told me that
- 25 | the will of the legislature for that bill, the word



1 | that was in it was "performance contract."

2 And that's why I have always stood by

3 them. Whenever I talk, I don't just say "contract."

4 | I say "performance contract," because that was the

5 | will of the le -- they want the schools to perform.

6 | The only way, whether we favor testing, whether we

7 | favor setting academic goals, that's what we have

8 | right now, so that's what we have to live with.

9 So I did not contemplate letting the

10 | schools slide. I hate to use that term. That's not

11 | the right term. But I think this has become such a

12 complex process, and it snuck up on us, and PARCC

13 | affected it.

So here again, I didn't see or hear

15 anything from down to my right here. Is that the

16 | will of the Commission, then, that I now instruct

17 | CSD to go through these others?

18 Yeah, I saw Cottonwood in there. What in

19 | the world will we be talking to Cottonwood for?

20 MS. POULOS: If the Commissioners don't

21 | mind, I think it would be valuable for us to go

22 through school by school and then have the

23 | Commissioners make a decision based on what we have

24 | to say today, what we'd like to -- either have us to

25 | go figure it out or have us move forward with the



- goals or have the renegotiation. I think it could be valuable, and we can do that quickly.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Let's please do that.
- 4 Let's go through those schools and as quickly as we
- 5 can, yes. And I'll let -- then you recommend whether
- 6 | you think we ought to talk to them again or not.
- 7 MS. POULOS: So I think with Alma D'Arte
- 8 | Charter School --
- 9 THE VICE CHAIR: Actually, let me interrupt
- 10 you. Actually, Commissioner Chavez had to leave. I
- 11 | get so wrapped up in this. Do we need a comfort
- 12 | break, a very quick five-minute comfort break?
- 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure.
- 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Let's do a quick -- I'm
- 15 sorry. I just let that one slip right past me.
- 16 | Somebody should have raised their hand like we used
- 17 | to have to do in school, you know. But anyway, let's
- 18 | please take a five-minute comfort break. Be back at
- 19 ten till on the dot, please.
- 20 (Recess from 10:45 a.m. to 10:52 a.m.)
- 21 THE VICE CHAIR: We're actually past our
- 22 | time, folks. Please take your seats.
- 23 Okay. We are back from our brief break.
- 24 | Secretary Peralta has suggested that we go ahead and
- 25 | do the ten and get -- because that's what's laid out.



- 1 Let's do the motion. Let's get them out of the way,
- 2 and then based on what you share with us here in a
- 3 | minute, we're going to then do a second motion to do
- 4 three or four, whatever, that you say we can just go
- 5 | forward on. And that will leave the however many
- 6 | that we're actually going to renegotiate or whatever
- 7 | term we're going to use with them. Does that sound
- 8 | acceptable to you guys?
- 9 Okay. Is that acceptable to the
- 10 | Commissioners, then?
- 11 COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure.
- 12 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner
- 13 | Peralta, please make that motion.
- 14 These ten schools, what CSD has said,
- 15 | they're not going to be talked to. They're going
- 16 | forward with their current performance framework
- 17 | into this school year. I just want to be sure
- 18 | everybody understands that.
- 19 And please read the list of names, too.
- 20 As part -- at the end of the motion, please read all
- 21 | ten names.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: All right. I would
- 23 | like to move that the Commissions approve the
- 24 recommendations to continue using the 2014/2015
- 25 academic performance framework goals and the



- 1 | 2015/2016 performance frameworks for the following
- 2 | schools: Cesar Chavez Charter School, Cien Aguas
- 3 | Charter School, Creative Education Preparatory
- 4 | Institute, Dream Diné Charter School, Gilbert Sena
- 5 | Charter School, Horizon Academy West, La Academia de
- 6 | Dolores Huerta, New America School, New Mexico
- 7 | Connections Academy, and the School of Dreams Charter
- 8 | School.
- 9 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Secretary
- 10 Peralta.
- 11 We have a motion on the floor. Do I have
- 12 | a second?
- 13 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
- 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna
- 15 | seconds.
- 16 Any further discussion on that motion?
- 17 | Any further discussion?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 THE VICE CHAIR: I see none.
- 20 Mr. Secretary, please have a roll-call
- 21 | vote on this motion.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
- 23 | votes yes.
- 24 Commissioner Conyers?
- 25 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.





- 1 | recommendation: Should we do -- talk to them
- 2 | further or would you be comfortable letting them go
- 3 | into this school year as they are now? So make that
- 4 | a part of your presentation, please.
- 5 MS. POULOS: With regards to Alma D'Arte
- 6 Charter Schools, CSD felt that there could be
- 7 | additional rigor and clarity in these goals.
- 8 | However, CSD is fine to move forward with the goals
- 9 as written.
- 10 THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Then we
- 11 | will -- Commissioner -- Secretary, keep a list of the
- 12 ones that we're going to need another motion on.
- 13 | That'll be Alma D'Arte.
- 14 MS. POULOS: With regards to Cottonwood
- 15 | Classical Preparatory School, CSD again felt there
- 16 | could be additional rigor and clarity in these goals.
- 17 | However, CSD is fine to move forward with these goals
- 18 | as written.
- 19 THE VICE CHAIR: And I guess I should ask
- 20 | if, on each one, if you're not -- if you're opposed
- 21 to letting them slide -- or that's not the right
- 22 term -- please raise your hand, and I'll check each
- 23 | time.
- 24 So let's add Cottonwood Classical to that
- 25 | list, Mr. Secretary.



1	What is the next one?
2	MS. POULOS: With regards to Explore
3	Academy Charter School, the school has only one
4	academic indicator. CSD does feel that there needs
5	to be a renegotiation or conversation with the school
6	with regards to these indicators.
7	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Would it be
8	the will of the Commission that we add them to the
9	list that we will talk to sometime in the near
10	future?
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
12	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Put them on
13	the list, the ones that we do need to talk to, Katie.
14	MS. POULOS: With regards to Health
15	Leadership Charter School, CSD again feels that there
16	could be more specificity or rigor in their goals but
17	is fine to move forward with the goals as written for
18	the next school year.
19	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Is the
20	Commission satisfied with that, letting them go into
21	this year with what they have currently?
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
23	THE VICE CHAIR: I see no all right.
24	Put them on your list, Mr. Secretary.
25	MS. POULOS: With regards to the



- International School at Mesa del Sol, CSD again feels
 that these goals could be more appropriately rigorous
 and specific, but CSD is fine to move forward with
 these goals as written for the 2015/16 school year.
 - THE VICE CHAIR: And most of you will recognize this is a school that has been in front of us many, many times.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Many times.
- 9 THE VICE CHAIR: But you think it will be 10 all right to let them go forward this year.
- 11 All right. That's the suggestion from the 12 CSD. Everybody comfortable with that?
- 13 (No response.)

5

6

- THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Secretary, put
 them on our list to do another motion, then.
- MS. POULOS: La Tierra Montessori School
 for the Arts and Sciences, my understanding is that
 school has expressed an interest in renegotiating its
 contract goals. As a result, CSD feels it may be
 appropriate to formerly -- or --
- 21 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Formally.
- MS. POULOS: -- formally invite that school
 to engage in the contract negotiations, and if they
 determine they want to, then we would move forward.

 If they determine they don't want to, CSD would be





1 | fine with the goals as written.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, then let's -- yeah,

3 | I think, if a school requests it, we should honor

4 | their request. So let's put them on the list for now

5 | that -- that we will re -- and see if they've changed

6 | their mind. Yes, please contact.

7 And if they've changed their mind, Josh,

8 | would we then have to do a separate motion in a

9 | future meeting dropping them out?

10 Okay. So with that in mind, if they

11 | decide they don't want to do it, Katie, we'll have

12 | to put it on the future agenda to do a motion like

13 | what we're doing today, that we're not going to

14 renegotiate with them.

15 | MS. POULOS: Could we -- could we not just,

16 | then, do that now, that if they would like to, we

17 | will, but otherwise, we'll move forward with the

18 | 2014/15 goals as written?

19 THE VICE CHAIR: Will you read the motion

20 so we can do that? Or I can read it.

21 So we want to -- we'll talk about the

22 | motion when we get to that point, then. So right

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

23 | now, let's leave it that they're going to be

24 renegotiated unless they choose otherwise.

Do I have comment on that?



1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: This is just a
2	question. This I'm getting a little confused and
3	overwhelmed. Is this a school that we just
4	renegotiated with? Wasn't there a La Tierra
5	something that we just did, negotiated?
6	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: We did a Montessori
7	school.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, no, it wasn't. I
9	don't think it was
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Was it a
11	different one?
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: We did the
13	Albuquerque
14	MS. POULOS: Tierra Encantada.
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: We did the
16	Albuquerque Montessori.
17	MS. POULOS: Tierra Encantada.
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, Tierra Encantada.
19	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Sorry, I just
20	THE VICE CHAIR: That's quite all right.
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Sorry.
22	THE VICE CHAIR: And welcome to the PEC,
23	Commissioner Armbruster. Yes, we get overwhelmed
24	frequently because of the amount of work that we're
25	now doing.



COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry. 1 2 just thought, "Haven't I just handled this school?" 3 THE VICE CHAIR: Well, let's make this one tentative that we will talk, and then -- and we're 4 5 going to word the motion in such a way that, if they 6 decide not to, we won't have to revisit it. We'll 7 let them go. 8 Okay. What's the next one? 9 MS. POULOS: New Mexico School for the 10 Arts. What happened to Media 11 THE VICE CHAIR: 12 Arts? My next one was Media Arts. Did I miss one? 13 Oh, I went over too many MS. POULOS: 14 I apologize. pages. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: Oh. Let's see. 16 MS. POULOS: Media Arts Collaborative 17 Charter School has requested the opportunity to 18 amend, because the Commission has determined they 19 would not like to -- my understanding is -- take 20 amendments for framework goals. CSD is recommending 21 that the school be engaged in the renegotiation 22 process. 23 THE VICE CHAIR: And you can say. Is that 24 your intent at this time? Do you want us to talk to



you again?

MS. VOIGT: Yeah. Vice Chair and members of the Commission, I always love talking to you.

THE VICE CHAIR: Then we would love to talk to you, then. Let's add them on the list that we will talk to in the future, then.

MS. POULOS: In discussions with the New Mexico School for the Arts, my understanding is they also felt that it might be valuable to renegotiate their goals for additional clarity. CSD is making the same recommendation, which is to give the school the option, and if they choose not to move forward with that option, that we would maintain the same goals.

THE VICE CHAIR: So we would need to do the same thing that we're going to do with La Tierra

Montessori. So we may -- this would have to then go in. So New Mexico School for the Arts.

What's the next one?

MS. POULOS: Taos Academy Charter School. After communications with that school regarding the goals, the school leader indicated that she may be interested in renegotiating the goals for additional clarity based on comments that CSD made about the goals. So I think it would be appropriate to give that leader also the option to renegotiate the goals



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 and, if they chose not to, to move forward.
- THE VICE CHAIR: So that's now a third one:
- 3 | Maybe, maybe not. All right. Proceed with that one
- 4 on that basis, then.
- 5 MS. POULOS: My understanding on Taos
- 6 | International Charter School is they also have
- 7 expressed an interest in renegotiating the goals, and
- 8 | so CSD feels it would be appropriate to give them
- 9 | that option, and if they chose to take it, to do
- 10 | that; if not, to move forward with the goals as
- 11 | written.
- 12 THE VICE CHAIR: That will be a fourth one,
- 13 then. Let's keep this in mind. I don't want to get
- 14 an e-mail that I've got to do some kind of a
- 15 | negotiation three days hence. We don't want to rush
- 16 | into this.
- 17 MS. POULOS: We will send these e-mails out
- 18 | today, because I'm going to go back up to Albuquerque
- 19 | before I go to Deming. So I will get e-mails out to
- 20 | these leaders today.
- 21 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. So that's four
- 22 | schools. Did you make a list of those? Okay
- Is that the extent of the list, then?
- 24 MS. POULOS: That is all of the schools
- 25 | that we included in our binder.



THE VICE CHAIR: All right. So we're going to need to do another motion now for -- how many was it? Four of them? Five.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: We have two that were recommended to negotiate, and we have four where the schools have the option to renegotiate or not.

7 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay.

8 MS. POULOS: So I think potentially the
9 thing might be to do two motions or three. One would
10 be the one school that we do feel needs to
11 renegotiate.

THE VICE CHAIR: Well, he has two on his

13 list.

4

5

6

14 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I have Explore

15 | Academy and Media Arts.

MS. POULOS: Oh, because you want to.

Because they would like to, yes. So we can move that we would hold those sessions with them.

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Let me -- let me 20 see your list here.

21 Actually, Mr. Attorney, can we do these 22 additional motions?

MR. GRANATA: What do you mean?

THE VICE CHAIR: We need to do some

25 addition -- it's an extension of what was already on



1 our agenda.

MR. GRANATA: What's the --

THE VICE CHAIR: We're going to add names

to the -- where did it go? We're going to add names

5 | to this list of bad schools.

While he's checking, I want to be sure

we're complying with all the -- and if he says we

can't, then what we're going to do, we're just going

to tell you to proceed with these various schools in

these various categories. And I'd love to do a

motion, but if I can't, then we're going to have to

do it as best we can.

He's advised me we can do motions, so let
me see what you've got here.

15 COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?

THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER CARR: I would recuse myself
18 from any further -- or on voting on this or just any
19 further discussion, because my school is listed here.

THE VICE CHAIR: Do you need to be excused

21 from all of them, Jeff, or --

22 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, no.

THE VICE CHAIR: -- just on yours?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, but we're going

25 to do this as one motion, right?



1 MR. GRANATA: Just recuse for your own 2 school. 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I was going to --4 oh, yes, for just from --5 THE VICE CHAIR: I understand what you're 6 saying. If his school is in the list in the motion, 7 does he have to stay off the whole motion? 8 MR. GRANATA: He can just recuse from 9 discussion or voting for that particular school. 10 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah. Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. 12 THE VICE CHAIR: Whichever one Taos Academy 13 is mentioned in, you need to drop out of that one. 14 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: And at that point, I'll 16 tell you, because you do need to make that -- you've 17 already stated that you will abstain on that. 18 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 19 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I want 21 to call a point of order. We got so -- on myself 22 under discussion here. I voted on the first roll 23 over on all of them, and Cien Aguas is on there, and 24 I should have been recused because of my grandson 25 attending that school. And I just -- we did so much



1 | discussion, I didn't even realize.

THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Josh advises us

3 | that, since it's on the record, it's fine for this

4 incident, but thank you for that.

5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. I just --

6 | next time, I will pay more attention and not get as

7 confused with the further-down-the-line stuff we've

8 done.

9 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, I agree with

10 | you. I thought we'd be on the road to Deming

11 | already.

12 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.

13 THE VICE CHAIR: But not always -- my

14 best -- my ends don't always come to --

Okay. So let me read the list that

16 | Secretary Peralta put down. Specifically, you guys

17 | said we need to continue to negotiate with Explore

18 | Academy and Media Arts. Does that sound correct to

19 | you?

MS. POULOS: Yes.

21 THE VICE CHAIR: And then the school, ones

22 | that you were going to give the option to was Tierra

23 | Montessori, School for the Arts, Taos Academy, and

24 | International Charter School. Does that list sound

25 | correct?



120 1 MS. POULOS: Yes. 2 THE VICE CHAIR: And I would say, if we do 3 a motion and it passes, they need -- like I say, 4 almost instantaneously, we need to hear as quickly as 5 possible whether they're going to go forward or not 6 so you can plan and we can plan. And then apparently, we had Alma D'Arte, 7 Cottonwood Classical, Health Leadership, 8 International School of Mesa del Sol. So there's 9 10 four there, and those are the ones we're going to let just proceed into this school year with their 11 12 current performance framework. 13 Okay. All right. So that's three different groups, so you're probably right. 14 15 probably need to do three different motions for that, and our legal counsel says we can do that. 16 17 I'm trying to figure out how to word it, because I think I'll read it. 18 19 Oh, okay. We're going to repeat the first 20 motion we did for the schools that we're going to 21 let go. So we will repeat that, and I will read it. 22 Any further discussion, by the way? 23 doing the schools we're going to let go forward



(No response.)

first here. Any further discussion or comment?

24

1		THE VICE CHAIR: I see none.
2		So I would move that the Public Education
3	Commission	approve the recommendation to continue
4	using the	2014/2015 academic performance goals in
5	the 2015 a	nd 2016 performance framework for the
6	following	schools: Alma D'Arte, Cottonwood
7	Classical,	Health Leadership, and International
8	School at	Mesa del Sol.
9		So there is a motion on the floor. Do I
10	have a sec	ond?
11		COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
12		THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna
13	seconds.	So I have a motion and a second.
14		Secretary Peralta is there any further
15	discussion	?
16		(No response.)
17		THE VICE CHAIR: No. Can we have a
18	roll-call	vote on that one, please?
19		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
20		COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
21		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Toulouse?	
23		COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
24		COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Armbruster	?



	122
1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Conyers?
4	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes, sir.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Gipson?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
8	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
12	votes yes.
13	Commissioner Bergman?
14	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that
16	is nine to zero in favor of the motion.
17	THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
18	That is a nine-to-zero unanimous vote in favor of
19	those schools, so now, we have five less to
20	negotiate.
21	I am thinking I am going to do the other
22	six in one motion and word it in such a way that you
23	can then drop if they decide to drop out. We can do
24	it that way. Does that sound agreeable to the other
25	Commissioners and Josh?



1	Josh is shaking his head yes.
2	Any discussion on that?
3	(No response.)
4	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Let me read
5	the motion. I move that the Public Education
6	Commission approve the recommendation to renegotiate
7	some or all of the schools' 2014/2015 academic
8	performance framework goals to ensure the goals are
9	appropriately specific, measurable, attainable,
10	rigorous, and time-bound for the following schools
11	with the notation that CSD staff will contact these
12	six schools and decide that those schools, working in
13	consultation with CSD, will decide whether they want
14	to move forward and actually renegotiate or not. And
15	then the CSD will notify the members of the
16	Commission who is going forward and who is not going
17	forward.
18	That is the motion before the Commission.
19	Do I have a second to that?
20	Oh, I need to read the schools: Explore
21	Academy, Media Arts, Tierra Montessori, School for
22	the Arts, Taos Academy, International Charter
23	School.
24	So we have a motion. Do I have a second?
25	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Second.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
2	THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta
3	seconds.
4	Commissioner Carr, do you have something?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, I will abstain from
6	this vote.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I just
8	remembered I had a cousin who was at Sage. She
9	transferred to La Tierra just this week, so
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We need a scoreboard.
11	THE VICE CHAIR: So you are abstaining
12	also?
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: So I am also
14	abstaining, yes.
15	THE VICE CHAIR: For those of you that may
16	not be familiar with it, Commissioner Toulouse has
17	about 8,000 relatives, and they all attend charter
18	schools.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?
20	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: I was I was just
22	given some additional advice, so I'm not going to
23	completely abstain. I'll explain it when it comes to
24	roll call.



THE VICE CHAIR:

All right. With that

- 1 understanding, then, we -- all right. We have a
- 2 | motion and a second. Did I have a second on that?
- 3 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. I had a second from
- 5 Mr. Peralta.
- 6 Any further discussion?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 THE VICE CHAIR: Hooray. Mr. Secretary can
- 9 | we have a roll-call vote on that one, please?
- 10 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
- 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
- 13 | Toulouse? Abstaining?
- 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I think I'm
- 15 | abstaining.
- 16 THE VICE CHAIR: Abstaining, yes.
- 17 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Just on that -- oh,
- 18 okay. I'm abstaining on La Tierra Montessori, but
- 19 I'm voting yes on the other schools.
- 20 THE VICE CHAIR: Noted in the record.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: As noted in the
- 22 record.
- 23 | Commissioner Pogna?
- 24 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
- 25 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, with the exception
2	of abstention from Taos Academy.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Please note in the
4	record that Commissioner Carr abstains from Taos
5	Academy but votes yes for all others.
6	Commissioner Armbruster?
7	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes on all of
8	them.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Conyers?
11	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Gipson?
13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Peralta
15	votes yes.
16	Commissioner Bergman?
17	THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Vice Chair, that
19	is seven in favor of all schools identified with two
20	abstentions for two particular schools that were
21	noted in the record.
22	THE VICE CHAIR: That is a first for our
23	Commission. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Partial
24	abstention.
25	That is, as it was just explained by



Secretary Peralta, seven unqualified yes votes, two
yes votes with some abstention involved. So we have
now dispensed with that issue, I hope.

MS. POULOS: I hope so, too.

THE VICE CHAIR: Now, where are we on our agenda?

MS. POULOS: The next item on our agenda is improve -- discussion of school improvement plans. Commissioners, as you may recall, the CSD has, for several months now, desired to bring forth final reports on any school that had been assigned an improvement plan. CSD has gotten close to doing that. We have found additional challenges in that reporting.

At this point, CSD's recommendation is to close out all of the improvement plans that were assigned up to this point, to let the schools know that assignment of an improvement plan in the future will be based on their academic performance when new academic data is available, and CSD would like to hold a work session with the Commissioners in November to establish clear guidelines for what an improvement plan should include, how it will be evaluated, and how it will be monitored.

THE VICE CHAIR: Excellent. That's



4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | probably an excellent suggestion.

2 Commissioners, thoughts and comments? I'm

3 | looking to my left. I see no hands.

4 Looking to my right, I see no hands --

5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's fine.

6 THE VICE CHAIR: -- at this time. When is

7 our meeting date in November? I know you put it in

8 here.

9 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: The 13th.

10 MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN: November 13th.

11 THE VICE CHAIR: November 13th. Do we have

12 | anything scheduled on the 12th?

13 Katie, I know we have a lot of things to

14 talk about in these work sessions. Do you

15 | contemplate a half-day session or a -- because I

16 | think we might have a rigorous discussion on these

17 | improvement plans. Or do you think we should

18 | schedule a full day?

19 MS. POULOS: I think we would like -- CSD

20 | would like to come out of the meeting on

21 | November 12th or 13th if it needs to occur as part of

22 the regular session the next day with an actual

23 | structure and criteria for improvement plans.

24 | Because at close to that time, we believe academic

25 | data will be available, and we'd like to be able to



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

- move forward with those. So I do think we should schedule a full day to ensure we can make that happen.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, I agree with that

 same assessment. We would start probably at nine o'clock.
- Is that the will of the Commission?
- 7 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, sir.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Nine on the 12th.
- 9 | Is that what you're saying?
- 10 THE VICE CHAIR: No.
- COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I just want to
- 12 clarify.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, we do it -- we do it
- 14 on -- what was the date? November the 12th at nine
- 15 o'clock. Put that on your cal -- well, yeah, we'll
- 16 | decide here in just a minute.
- 17 I'm looking to my right. Any thoughts on
- 18 | it? Everybody comfortable with that work session on
- 19 November 12th starting at nine? We will talk about
- 20 | the improvement plan, but we do have some other
- 21 | things that we'll need to talk about in there, too.
- 22 So Beverly, please check on the room for
- 23 us. You always do a great job on that.
- 24 Are you comfortable with that, CSD?
- 25 | Katie, have you got any conflicts? You guys are



1	comfortable? You guys are comfortable with that?
2	(No response.)
3	THE VICE CHAIR: Everybody's comfortable.
4	Okay. Let's plan on a work session on
5	November 12th to talk about the improvement plan.
6	You will bring documentation, have the plan, and
7	we'll have it in advance so we can look at it and
8	study it as always.
9	MS. POULOS: I hope to have the materials
10	prepared the month before, because I know that the
11	Commissioners would like to have them as early as
12	possible.
13	THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, the earlier the
14	better, because then we have more time to read and
15	study and think about it. So thank you for that.
16	Anything else on that?
17	(No response.)
18	THE VICE CHAIR: Are you finished with
19	that, then?
20	MS. POULOS: Yeah.
21	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. We are now
22	down to Item 8, which is the report from the chair
23	or, in this case, the acting chair. We're going to
24	start out. I want to dispense with this very



quickly, but we need to take it.

Those of you that don't know, we did a 1 2 negotiation about 25 mi -- or not a -- a community 3 input hearing about 25 miles east of Grants yesterday, but we were still in the Grants school 4 5 district. After we had left, the publisher of the 6 local Grants newspaper, which is either a weekly or a twice-weekly newspaper, started firing off e-mails 7 8 to a variety of people saying he wasn't notified 9 about our hearing.

And I've checked with Beverly, and Beverly confirms his newspaper was not on the horizon, and he wasn't on the PED's media list even. He has ask -- he demanded that we redo the community input hearing, but I believe he has talked to Josh, and I believe that has been put to rest.

He also said the local Grants people didn't know anything about it. I was there. Eleven different people commented in the public comment. So he is mistaken there. There were plenty of people from the local community. They all spoke in favor of the school, so I'm sure the school rounded them up. So people did know about it, or at least some people did.

So but the suggestion has been made we normally -- and I don't even have that out here. I



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wasn't doing the hearings today. But normally, the applicants and their supporters are given three days after, from the date of the community hearing, to submit public -- their additional public comment to the PED, and the name is given to them. It's on the agenda for every one of those hearings.

And it has been suggested, and I believe our attorney Josh has agreed with that, that we should informally -- because it's not on our agenda today. We're not going to take a vote. It's not an action item. He has requested that Grants people be given an extra week to submit that content, and Josh seems to be comfortable with that.

And I will say -- you've heard me say it before. I'm never comfortable with making exceptions to the way we do things, because you open the barn door. But because he's media and because he claims that people didn't get a chance, I believe my personal recommendation would be that we go ahead and informally allow him to be told that, yeah, if he wants to put in his paper that people need to send comment.

We're in a bind either way. If we don't, he's probably going to file an open meetings violation or something or a lack of notice complaint



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or something. I believe he'll be satisfied if we 1 2 give them an extra week. 3 Thoughts from anyone on that? Here again, this is the first time this has ever come up. 4 5 Commissioner Armbruster? 6 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm fine with 7 I just -- we're -- who is contacting them to 8 tell them that, and is it going to be in writing? 9 THE VICE CHAIR: We have talked about it. 10 Who is going to do it, Beverly? 11 MS. FRIEDMAN: Josh. 12 THE VICE CHAIR: Josh is going to do it, because he's been in contact with this man. 13 14 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. 15 THE VICE CHAIR: And then he said he'll put 16 it in his little paper, which is tomorrow or 17 something. So Josh will be in contact with him, I 18 quess, as soon as we're done here or something like. 19 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: That's fine. 20 THE VICE CHAIR: So he will take care of 21 that. So to my left, are you guys comfortable with 22 I don't like to do it. I think we have to. that? 23 COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure. 24 THE VICE CHAIR: Informally. To my right? 25 And I figure we don't normally do informal, but this



is -- I got back from my room at 1:30 yesterday from
the two hearings yesterday and opened up my computer,
and I had this whole flock of e-mails that I had to
go through. And so it's just a fire to put out
almost every day.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chairman?

THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm not comfortable with it, but I agree with doing it. But I think that maybe we need to then add to our procedures some ways, because otherwise, we've opened the door for other people, then, to come up with other reasons to want to extend the comment period.

THE VICE CHAIR: I believe we can probably put that on our list for our work session. Actually, I just made an informal suggestion to the staff yesterday that -- I knew Beverly did media announcements, so this one slipped through the crack.

But I also -- but the Grants superintendent was there at this meeting, because we invite the local school district to comment. And I will tell you that he noted he didn't know about the meeting. He was notified both in January when the school made their application, and Beverly assures me that she sent e-mails to all the superintendents



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 in these cities or a letter or something, and 2 somehow he missed it.

3 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well, I think,

4 Mr. Chair, though, he also admitted he knew about the

5 | initial notification and kind of blew it off after

6 | that, so --

THE VICE CHAIR: And you remember I also clarified for him the worry.

9 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Uh-huh.

THE VICE CHAIR: But yeah, he -- he really

11 | did ha -- but then I suggest to the staff and maybe

12 this Commission we can discuss it in our session

13 | also. Perhaps as a courtesy -- because it's not a

14 statutory requirement -- that we send out a form

15 letter under our letterhead probably three weeks

16 | before the hearings as a courtesy and send it to

17 | every superintendent in which we're having a -- and

18 | maybe that would forestall that kind of a complaint.

19 Your thoughts on that? Does that sound

20 | like an idea?

21 (No response.)

22 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. We'll discuss that

23 | also, how we'll do that. Because that's something

24 | else for the staff and Beverly to do. We just

25 | continually add to their workload. But yes, we need



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

to address these issues as they come up.

2 So I -- is that the consensus of the

3 | Commission, is that Josh will tell them and that the

4 | staff is aware that there's going to be -- Katie,

5 | you're going to need to let Linda know that she's

6 going to be getting, perhaps, stuff from steamed

7 | academy supporters a week past that deadline, that

8 | it will be accepted. But just exactly one week to

9 | that deadline is what we're adding to it. Instead

10 of Thursday night this week, it'll be Thursday night

11 | next week.

1

12 Okay. If that's the will of the

13 | Commission, that's how we'll proceed on that.

14 And Josh, please convey that to

15 | Mr. Jaramillo.

17

MR. GRANATA: Yes, sir.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for doing that.

18 All right. Report from the chair. I have

19 nothing else, because Commissioner Shearman was

20 going to be doing this. And she may have had

21 | something that I'm not aware of. So I'm going to

22 commence with that.

We're now down to the portion called, "PEC

24 | Comments." Are there any Commissioners that wish to

25 | make additional comments at this time?



Commissioner Toulouse? 1

2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, usually, 3 we have a section here of reports, legislative reports or any of that. And I just did want to state 4 5 that, in July, I attended the LESC meetings in Rio 6 Rancho, and the main part that affected charter 7 schools had to do with transportation. And they had 8 a public comment time or a group of people they 9 invited up. Almost all of them were transportation 10 people from individual districts who were insisting they shouldn't have to do any transportation for 11 12 charter schools.

So I did put myself, on behalf of us and the charter schools sitting there, onto that group of people and did discuss with the LESC how it really works for the charter schools. Although several people -- I know we have one sitting back here who did participate in that as well. And the next LESC meeting is the end of next week in Roswell, and I'm assuming, Mr. Chair --

21 THE VICE CHAIR: I'm going to comment on 22 that in just a minute, yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: -- that you would 24 be going. At this point, I'm not planning on going, 25 but I may.



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	THE VICE CHAIR: All right. Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I will be there.
3	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. Thank you.
4	THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah. I will note now,
5	since it's been brought up, that for the first time
6	in seven years, the LESC is coming to Roswell. And
7	since I live there, I, of course there is nothing
8	on the charter schools on their agenda at this time,
9	but there is going to be a I would bet a very
10	robust discussion of teacher evaluations is on there.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	THE VICE CHAIR: And I wanted to go and
13	listen to the teacher evaluations part. So I will be
14	there, and certainly, any of the other rest of you
15	are welcome to come and sit in the audience
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I will be
17	there.
18	THE VICE CHAIR: for that. So that
19	is I can't tell you the dates, but I know it's
20	sometime next week.
21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Next Thursday and
22	Friday.
23	THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, so I will
24	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The 27th and 28th.
25	THE VICE CHAIR: I'm intending on being



1 there.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And Mr. Chair, what
they stated on their organizational meeting is that
very often, while there is not a charter school
agenda item on their agenda, there will be charter
school issues brought up through various agenda
tiems. And that's why I have attended all of them so

8 far and count on you and Commissioner Gipson to take 9 care of this one.

THE VICE CHAIR: And it's possible that, if
Commissioner Shearman is driving due to her doctors,
since it's close to her, she probably will be there,
too. So there will certainly be representation of
the PEC there.

15 Any other PEC comments? I just started to 16 ask if Commissioner Chavez has --

17 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't have 18 anything.

19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I don't have 20 anything.

21 COMMISSIONER PERALTA:

22 THE VICE CHAIR: Starting down with

23 | Commissioner Armbruster.

24 Commissioner Carr?

25 COMMISSIONER CARR: I do. One item if you



go to Roswell, be sure and go to the museum. It's
the highlight to me. And get your picture taken with
some of the aliens. That's what I did.

The other comment this -- I have formally
announced my candidacy for Colfax County Commission,
and so this will be my last term. I've recruited a
few people who are considering taking my place. I
will not be a lame duck, though. I will be here
until the last day of my term. So I just wanted to
let everybody know that.

THE VICE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, I have nothing.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you for that, Mr.

Carr -- Commissioner Carr.

Commissioner Carr, myself, and
Commissioner Shearman came on the Commission
together seven years ago, so we have been here
through all of these estab -- and I can tell you,
for the new folks and the new Commissioners, seven
years ago, there was nothing in place. There was
not an application form. People just did whatever
they wanted. They just -- some of the ap -- there
was one thousand-page application that came in. Try
reading a thousand-page application.



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	But now, we've put the processes and the
2	forms in place, and it was but it was sometimes a
3	trying process, but it was also a refreshing and an
4	inform informationally great process, and I've
5	enjoyed every minute of it. This Commission will
6	certainly miss Mr. Carr in the but he'll be here
7	another year and a half, so not leaving yet.
8	All right. I have no any other
9	that's all the PEC comment I have.
L O	Open forum. Did anybody sign up to speak,
L1	Beverly?
L 2	I know there's one, because he's standing
L 3	back here. Beverly's going to get the list.
L 4	And then we're not quite done. I hear
L 5	everybody shuffling. Don't get ahead of yourselves.
L 6	MR. TODD KNOUSE: I just saw didn't put
L 7	my name on the list, so
L 8	THE VICE CHAIR: I have it here.
L 9	MR. KNOUSE: My name's Todd Knouse,
20	K-N-O-U-S-E. I am the head administrator of the New
21	Mexico International School, and I was absent during
22	Item 5C, which was an agenda item for you. I
23	apologize. I started my day on my campus and drove
24	to Santa Fe for today's meeting, which
25	unfortunately for me, because my campus is three



- 1 | minutes on the other side of I-25. So I apologize
- 2 and I thank Director Poulos and CSD staff for
- 3 | presenting my amendment request in my absence.
- 4 THE VICE CHAIR: And it was approved.
- 5 MR. KNOUSE: Yeah. It won't happen again.
- 6 THE VICE CHAIR: New Mexico International
- 7 | School?
- 8 MR. KNOUSE: Yes, sir.
- 9 THE VICE CHAIR: Weren't they -- weren't
- 10 | they -- it's on our earlier list. Were you here when
- 11 | we were discussing that? Oh, it was Taos
- 12 | International. Okay.
- MR. KNOUSE: Yeah, it was Taos
- 14 | International.
- THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Yeah. We've got
- 16 | lots of internationals.
- MR. KNOUSE: We need to change our name,
- 18 then.
- 19 THE VICE CHAIR: But thank you for that,
- 20 then.
- 21 MR. KNOUSE: I again apologize.
- 22 THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you.
- 23 Anyone else on the list, Beverly?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: No.
- 25 THE VICE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to



1 | leave this here.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 All right. There is no one else on our 3 open forum.

Item 11 on our agenda is a possible executive session, but Josh tells us we do not need an executive session. But he does have some brief comments, I think, to make or something.

Is that what you told me?

MR. GRANATA: Sure. Sure.

THE VICE CHAIR: So we're not going to do an executive session, but Josh has a report.

MR. GRANATA: So Vice Chair Bergman and Commissioners, as you are aware, Health Science Academy did file their appeal with the secretary, and so that's in the process of being appealed. It's my understanding that Julia Barnes will be representing the Commission, and so there isn't anything really to update other than that. The appeal process is kind of going forward, and I imagine, at the next meeting, there will be some more updates as to what's going on with the appeal.

THE VICE CHAIR: Thank you, Josh, for that, and thanks for reminding me.

I believe everybody got an e-mail, but

Julia Barnes' contract has finally been approved,



- 1 gone through all the process, and she will be a
- 2 | contract attorney representing us. So she will be
- 3 | here a lot of the time. I don't know what the plan
- 4 | is.
- I assume you may still be available if
- 6 Julia can't be here, or are you going to just
- 7 | totally leave us and be gone forever?
- 8 MR. GRANATA: Well, I'm not certain at this
- 9 point in time.
- 10 MS. POULOS: Commissioner Bergman, I think
- 11 | the contract that was approved for Julia is for
- 12 | contract negotiations and appeals, not to act as a
- 13 | contract attorney in Josh's sense.
- 14 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. See, that's -- see,
- 15 | we've got to clarify that, because Josh is thinking
- 16 he's not going to be here anymore. But if Julia's
- 17 | not going to be here, where's our legal
- 18 | representation?
- MS. POULOS: That's because Josh has
- 20 received a position at the Attorney General's office.
- 21 THE VICE CHAIR: Yeah, he hasn't -- yeah.
- 22 MS. POULOS: And I believe the Attorney
- 23 | General's office will work with PED to ensure that
- 24 | there is representation.
- 25 THE VICE CHAIR: We absolutely have to have



- 1 it. If Julia can't be here, somebody has to be.
- 2 Yeah. Okay.
- 3 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair?
- 4 THE VICE CHAIR: Yes.
- 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: May I say one more
- 6 thing? I would like to see if this Commission could
- 7 | send a letter to the president of CNM, Kathy
- 8 | Winograd, who allowed this -- this facility for free
- 9 rather than the usual rental. And I think that would
- 10 be something that would be pleasant to do, and that
- 11 | way, we might get in here again. Thank you.
- 12 THE VICE CHAIR: Who do you contemplate
- 13 | would send that letter? Would CSD or Beverly?
- 14 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Beverly and signed
- 15 by or for the Commission.
- 16 THE VICE CHAIR: And be sure to thank them.
- 17 Yeah, this was a great room for our hearings
- 18 yesterday, worked very well. The sound works very
- 19 | well, and it's worked very well today. So give our
- 20 thanks.
- 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'd like to just mention
- 22 | that we all thank Carmie for her intercession in
- 23 getting the room.
- 24 THE VICE CHAIR: Did you all hear that?
- 25 | Carmie is actually the one that helped us get into



1 | this particular room.

5

16

17

18

19

All right. Is there any further business
before this Commission today? Anything else anybody
wants to add?

(No response.)

6 THE VICE CHAIR: Before I ring the gavel, I 7 will note that some on this Commission and some of 8 the staff will be in Deming at 8:30 tomorrow morning. 9 We have two more community input hearings tomorrow 10 and one Friday morning again in Deming. We're going to do Deming in the morning, and then we're going to 11 commute over to Silver City for one after lunch and 12 go back to Deming, and then we'll have Columbus 13 Academy on Friday morning, and then we will all go 14 15 home, I hope.

So for those of us who are going on to Deming, have a safe journey down there. Thank you for everyone that's been here today and participated.

I know I'm going to Deming. Who else is going to Deming?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm going to Deming.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Chair, if Cindy

24 can get to Deming, I'm going to Deming. But I'm

25 | riding with Cindy.





THE VICE CHAIR: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And if she doesn't

3 get there, I don't know who's going to do our

4 transcriptions. And I won't have a ride, but

5 | she's --

6 THE VICE CHAIR: I think she told Thomas --

7 didn't you tell me that she does intend to be there?

8 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I've been texting,

9 and at this point, she still is wobbly but thinks we

10 can get out of here.

11 THE VICE CHAIR: Well, we're going to have

12 to have somebody if she can't go down there.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well, I mean

14 | that -- no, I'm saying --

THE VICE CHAIR: The statute requires it,

16 | yeah.

25

17 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No, I'm -- I'm

18 | not -- I have nothing to do with that. I'm talking

19 | about that's my ride.

20 THE VICE CHAIR: Okay. Is there anybody on

21 | my right going to Deming?

22 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I am.

23 THE VICE CHAIR: So there will be three of

24 | us there at least to satisfy -- okay.

All right. So I see no further business.







BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2 3 4 5 6 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 7 I, THOMAS L. GARRETT, New Mexico CCR #255, 8 do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute 9 a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had 10 before the New Mexico Public Education Commission, 11 held in the State of New Mexico, County of 12 Bernalillo, in the matter herein stated. 1.3 WITNESS MY HAND this 1st day of September 14 2015. 15 16 17 18 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. New Mexico CCR #255 19 License Expires: 12/31/15 20 21 22 23 24 (3507L) TLG/MF Date taken: August 19, 2015 25



