1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC WORKING SESSION
10	VOLUME ONE January 8, 2014
11	2:00 p.m. Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall
12	300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
21	Bean & Associates, Inc. Professional Court Reporting Service
22	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	
25	JOB NO.: 9407K(CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary
4	MR. JAMES CONYERS
MR. TYSON PARKER 5 MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE	
6	STAFF:
7	MS. JULIA BARNES, Contract Attorney to the CSD MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PIO & PED Liaison
8	MR. TONY GERLICZ, Director, Options for Parents MS. ABBY LEWIS, Counsel to the PEC
9	MS. ADDI HEWIS, Counsel to the FEC
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





1	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Call this PEC working
2	session to order. Vince, we need a roll call,
3	please. We don't need a quorum, but we need a roll
4	call.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Parker.
7	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Here.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Toulouse.
0 ـ	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
L1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
. 2	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Here.
L 3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
L 4	Bergman is here.
L 5	Mr. Chair, you have four members. That's
L 6	not a quorum. But since this is a work session, we
. 7	don't have an action item anyway, so, I don't think
8 -	it matters.
_9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Barnes?
20	MS. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me, Julia. Let me
21	mention to you, when that is on the clip and anyone
22	else pushes their microphone down, it makes that
23	noise.
24	MS. BARNES: Do you want me to go with
25	that?



1 MS. FRIEDMAN: No. I mean, you can leave 2 it like that, but maybe --3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I actually don't 4 think I need the mic with just this little group 5 here. MS. BARNES: Try it without it? 6 7 Thank you. Happy New Year. 8 ACTING CHAIR GANT: So far. 9 Abby, are you on the net? MS. FRIEDMAN: 10 MS. LEWIS: I found a Jetpack in my 11 borrowed laptop. 12 MS. FRIEDMAN: We just hooked up. 13 MS. BARNES: Okay. So, we have a working 14 session today and tomorrow. We're going to -- we've put a whole lot of documents in front of you that 15 cover a whole lot of different things. 16 17 So, let me tell you kind of what's on my 18 mind. The -- there are two kinds of documents, 19 documents that require your approval at some point, 20 and we're going to, I think, continue to put them on 21 the agendas, including this upcoming agenda, so that 22 you may take action whenever we're ready to take 23 action. 24 However, what I'm hoping to get into kind 25 of a rhythm about is to get as far as we can in a



working session, but not rush to approve them the next day. We can -- then we can do whatever changes came out of the working session, and you can approve them the following time.

So, there is a group -- so, there's a group of documents for you to approve at some point. And then there are a group of documents that are internal CSD working documents, and the documents that they're using to do their work that we're providing to you, so that you can see how they're doing it, you can give comment or whatever. But I don't think that you need to approve them because -- and, actually, because they're kind of living documents, they might be difficult to approve. So, I'll show you which ones are which.

But they comprehensively roll out the entire accountability framework, which, as we'll continue to talk, parts of it are very awkward, and parts of it, I think, are in tension with each other. So, by the end of this, it will be a complete group.

I think, ultimately, Abby would also like to walk you through PEC protocols. And whether it's in the same binder or not -- but, you know, it's kind of a series of conversations around protocols.





We have most every document in some form of draft available now. I'll show you a couple of places where we don't that we're working on, and I think these working conversations will help us.

The only urgent ones are the contract documents. And I would like to start with those.

We went through the contract about halfway at the last working session. And there's a red-line document; that was the document I was looking for which they had already put in your binder, because everyone is more organized than me. So, I can show you what came out of the last working group session so that people can see that.

And, unfortunately, the contracts take a couple of things. But let me first have you turn to Tab 6 in your binder, which is our Tab -- and that whole section are all of the documents we may get to.

So, again, this is a version of the same document that was in front of you last month. It'll continue to change. But, ultimately, it will be the index to the binder. Ultimately, it will show us exactly which documents have been approved and when you should look at them annually.

So, did everybody find the chart?





So, under Section A, there are three documents and only two require approval. The third one is a working document that shows us all the changes. So, we're going to -- my proposal is that we start with these first, and finish up what we started last time.

The next section, Section B, is application forms and assessment templates. And we anticipate there might be a lot of conversation about that, because I think there were a lot of comments by the PEC about the form of the application before. So, my -- my thinking is that we get to that as soon as we can in a working session. But my plan for those documents is to let us take your comments, and let's work on them and bring those back to you, either at the next working session -- but more for the February meeting. So, there's not extreme urgency to get those passed. And that includes all the way down to the letter of intent.

Now, CSD has been working on those application documents, so I think that they want to kind of have a dialogue around them.

Section C is the renewal application

documents. You just used those; you just saw those





in the renewal packages. And as far as we know, we think there's less comment on that. But who knows? We're a talkative group. And we have put in purple, you know, just some comments that we have.

Section D, site visit forms and the annual assessment templates. Those are primarily internal CSD documents as to how, each year, when a charter school is entered into a contract with you and they have a performance framework, it's an annual deal. Well, the whole point is to come back to you and report to you about it. So, I can tell you, the staff right here, they have not as much gray hair as I have, but they have quite a bit more gray hair due to putting those documents together. So, I'd like to show them to you.

Actually, I think the site visit form is truly a work of art. And that's -- and one of those documents is missing, how are we going to bring you that information every year.

Finally, the last section is one that -oh, the last section is a couple more documents for
the contracts. We were hoping you would get here.
Happy New Year.

(Ms. Susan Fox enters.)

MS. BARNES: So, we'll talk about, also,





this first section. Somehow it got divided into two groups. Those are more about the new contract, so we will talk about those first.

On the last page is closure. You already approved that form, and, in fact, we're already using it on the TLC closure. So, that is an example of how something is going to stay on the chart, even once it's approved.

The last group of documents, the protocols and MOUs, I think that we will get to those maybe a little bit later. It was -- I'm not sure how those are going to evolve. We might talk about one of the MOUs that you all made a proposal to CSD, we might talk about that. I don't anticipate we'll get to there. And I'm not ready to get to there. But I guess I could get up to being ready, but be -- so, we're going to do the contracts first, talk about the applications, maybe delay your vote. That's up to you, of course.

But the only one that we are hoping you will get to a vote on are the contract documents.

And, so, whatever we talk about today, I'll commit to getting that ready.

Okay? Good? Comments?

ACTING CHAIR GANT: You have the floor.





1 MS. BARNES: I know. I'm not going to 2 call on -- Commissioner Bergman? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I don't think 3 4 we're going to get to the application, because you 5 don't have any input on that application yet, so, I 6 don't think we're going to vote on that. You don't 7 have --8 MS. BARNES: Right. And Commissioner 9 Shearman isn't here. 10 But I have changes COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 11 I want on the application. That's down the road 12 when we talk about it. I did have -- your 13 mentioning the closure made me think of a question. 14 Since Kelly is going, who's going to lead the 15 closure process? 16 MS. BARNES: That is an excellent 17 question. I think it's unclear right now. 18 MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Bergman, 19 members of the Commission, it is not yet known. 20 need to move on it quickly. And since Kelly is not here and not available, either, we are searching to 21 22 contract with another individual who will lead the 23 process. 24 MS. BARNES: We did have an initial 25 meeting, and Kelly got a lot of things, a lot of



balls, rolling. And, so, I -- but we do need 1 2 someone to lead that process. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You don't think you 3 4 have somebody on staff that can do that? 5 MR. GERLICZ: We have any one of my staff who could do that. But to do that at this point 6 7 would take them away from what we consider to be 8 also highly important work. So, we're trying to 9 contract out with someone else. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. 11 MS. BARNES: It's just so exciting. 12 never know what's going to happen. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: A thrill a minute. 14 MS. BARNES: So, let's go over the --15 let's go over the contract briefly, look at the red 16 line. So, Sue, you are welcome to share my 17 documents, if you want. 18 So, in your binder, what I would like you 19 to find -- and I think -- Tony, where is it? 20 Behind --21 MR. GERLICZ: Appendix 2. 22 MS. BARNES: Appendix 2. It's actually a 23 document without a cover page. It starts with 24 Section 1.02. It is the red line. Abby and I 25



started working on the contract changes that came

out of the last working session. So, I just wanted 1 2 to show them to you. MR. GERLICZ: It should be behind 3 4 Appendix 2. 5 MS. LEWIS: 2 or 1? Are we talking contractor framework? 6 7 MS. BARNES: I didn't see where they put 8 them. 9 That's A1, behind Tab 5 -- 6. MS. LEWIS: 10 MS. BARNES: I have more copies, Tony. 11 That's probably even easier. 12 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Just go straight to 13 A2. MS. BARNES: 14 It starts with --15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I see a contract form, but I don't see any red lines. 16

19 MS. BARNES: They do here.

red in the copy.

20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: They do here, okay.

MR. GERLICZ: Well, they don't come out

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

21 They come out blue. MR. GERLICZ:

22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair, I think

23 we should note for the record that Commissioner

24 Conyers has arrived. So, there are now five of us

25 present.

(505) 989-4949

17



ACTING CHAIR GANT: And he's smiling. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I hope that's okay. ACTING CHAIR GANT: We haven't got to 3 4 Friday yet, so you can still smile today. Go ahead. 5 MS. BARNES: So, I actually think it was 6 pretty easy to put into place what you all said. 7 So, we tried to only put into place what everyone 8 came up with. So, we changed "Governing Council" to 9 "Governing Body." 10 We put in, on Page 10, that "the parties 11 may agree to use electronic notice." We did the clarifying language that we 12 13 talked about during the working session. 14 On Page 13, we took out the sections on 15 amending the charter, because the law now only has 16 us amending the contract. 17 We took out sentences that seemed not 18 really necessary for the contract; many of them were 19 cleanup. 20 I might just give you a second to kind of look through them. I just wanted to be clear with 21 22 everyone what we did change. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, this it -- what you're just handing us, this is the final result of 24 25 what we did last time?



1 MS. BARNES: Exactly. 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: With -- in blue lettering, or some of it is yellow highlighted, some 3 of it is blue-lined out? 4 5 MS. BARNES: Exactly. But this is the 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 7 final sort of product? 8 MS. BARNES: Yes. We can also come back 9 to this tomorrow morning, if people want to -- I 10 just want to make sure that things happened the way 11 people wanted them to. 12 Sue, you should have already gotten that. 13 MS. FOX: Yeah, you just gave it to me. 14 MS. BARNES: Yeah, I think I e-mailed it 15 to Patti, as well. I felt it was quite easy, 16 actually, to do what you had indicated. 17 MS. FOX: Well, that's dangerous. 18 MS. BARNES: Do people want a little bit 19 longer to look at it? 20 MS. FOX: Julia, I thought, under -- on 21 Page 19, under the third-party contracts for real 22 estate contracts, didn't we have a discussion about 23 the PSFA assurances that schools already provide for 24 that? 25 MS. BARNES: We did.



MS. LEWIS: Oh. I asked PSFA -- I wanted 1 2 to make sure it was the same function. So, I asked PSFA to send it to me, and your question reminds me 3 So, I want to look at them 4 that I didn't get it. 5 side by side and make sure they fulfill the same --6 do you have one? 7 MS. FOX: Do I have one with me? MS. LEWIS: 8 In your file? 9 MS. FOX: No. 10 MS. LEWIS: I'll ask PSFA to send them to 11 me, so I want to compare and make sure they serve 12 the same function before including them. 13 MS. BARNES: Also, Sue, we thought the 14 language might work. "Shall provide notice by 15 submitting a written assurance" could be the same. 16 MS. FOX: Okay. 17 MS. BARNES: So, we did look at it. that was not obvious in the red line. 18 Sorry. But I 19 think the point is still well-taken. But, yes, we 20 should flag it. But we thought the language already 21 took care of it, one way or the other, frankly. 22 It's vague enough, I think. MS. FOX: 23 MS. BARNES: Okay. So, now, we need to go 24 back and find the documents that help us move 25 forward, if we're okay with that.



1	So, under Tony's maybe better at
2	showing you where this document is. There is the
3	overview of contract changes. Tony, do you
4	MR. GERLICZ: The overview of contract
5	changes is A3, Appendix A3. So, it's in the "A"
6	section.
7	MS. BARNES: I think it's easiest to take
8	it out, but you can do any way that you want.
9	And what we did on this chart do you
10	see it? It looks like this on the front page
11	[indicates], and then it looks has a lot of
12	sections on both sides. It's the same document we
13	used last time.
14	I don't think that's it, Vince.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I got we have so
16	many documents now, I'm having a hard time keeping
17	up with them all.
18	MS. LEWIS: Where is it?
19	MR. GERLICZ: It's Appendix 3. Find
20	Appendix 3, and it's the document right behind that.
21	MS. LEWIS: There we go. A3.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I see Al. Getting
23	closer, A2, B1. Did I miss one?
24	MR. GERLICZ: No, A3. You missed one.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: A3, Overview.



Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARNES: All right. So, this is the same document we used at the last working session. What I did, in the far right column, was indicated what we did with each change, as far as we got.

That means we actually got through and up to Page 19. So, it's just a written record of what we did.

And we're starting on Section 6. And the contract that we're going to use is Al. And that puts us on Page 28 of that contract.

Now, just to reiterate, the contract is the document that we sign with the school for the duration of the charter; typically, five years, unless you said something else. And, then, we're going to get to the performance frameworks, which are annual.

This Section 6 starts to talk about the annual performance frameworks. And, then, let me tell you a little bit about, just, the language that we were using.

Each year, including what you recently did, you will post a performance framework template, a blank form. That was just posted for comment, and the comments were due last month. You have an

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



obligation to consult with your charters, and we're doing it that way. So, we're calling that blank document the "template." It doesn't have any specific school -- no specific -- for any specific school, the specific indicators are not there. When you sit down and negotiate, you'll fill in the template.

So, we've changed the words in here to having it be a template. So, it looks like, on Page 28, when we're talking about the "organizational framework," Patti talked about, at the bottom of Page 28 -- has everybody found that, under Tab Al? -- she talked about taking it out, that first sentence -- I don't care -- and the last sentence.

We made a structural decision that the contract would contain every section that you also find in the performance framework, so that they would match if you ever compared them side by side. So there's an organizational framework, an academic framework, and a financial framework.

The organizational framework has the most questions. We put them here all the way through the contract, so that when you compared the organizational framework to the contract, you could

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



follow that the contract sets out the organizational 1 2 That's what the last sentence at the top framework. of Page 29 is going for, but we can take it out. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 4 Okay. 5 MS. BARNES: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Back on 7 Page 28, at the very bottom -- I'm going to get 8 started again here. It says, "One indicator 9 negotiated annually that relates to return 10 enrollment is included in this framework." 11 Now, that indicator that's mentioned there 12 is just the recurrent enrollment? 13 MS. BARNES: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Or that's for the entire section, we're only going to have one 15 indicator? 16 17 MS. BARNES: There -- the organizational 18 framework, I am guessing, is almost never going to 19 change, because you have to comply with the Open 20 Meetings Act. You have to comply with special ed. 21 You have to comply with federal grants. 22 What the organizational framework does is 23 it pulls together, in one framework, all of the 24 compliance factors for a school. It -- it's set by 25 It's simply a combination and a other laws.



collection of all of the things that a school is supposed to comply with.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm just wondering which law says it would just be one indicator, because I can't find that in the laws.

MS. BARNES: So, under 9.1, it sets out a whole list of things that we were also supposed to include. Every other one of those is included in the academic framework, and only one of them -- one of the things listed in 9.1 was included in the organizational framework, because that made more sense to most people. It didn't make more sense to me, but -- 'cause I wanted them all in the academic framework.

But 9.1, No. (1), "Student academic performance" -- I'm looking at the law, 22-8B-9.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: If you're looking for it, it's Page 50.

MS. BARNES: Of the contract?

ACTING CHAIR GANT: 9.1 is on Page 50.

MS. BARNES: 9.1 of the law.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: Oh.

MS. BARNES: So, under the organizational

framework, it combines every other laws [verbatim].

We then have to put 22-8B-9.1 -- there's



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24



nine factors -- we had to put them into the 1 2 performance framework somewhere. No. (1) says "Student academic 3 4 performance." We put that into the academic 5 framework under A through F. "Student academic growth." We put that 6 7 into the Academic Framework, using A through F. 8 "Achievement gaps," No. (3). That's in 9 the academic framework, using A through F. 10 "Attendance" is the same. 11 No. (5) is "Recurrent enrollment from year 12 to year." That is -- that was put in the 13 organizational framework, because it's not a student 14 grade or student performance. It is how the school, as a whole, is operating. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And based on who's 16 17 coming back. MS. BARNES: And based on who's coming 18 19 So, all that sentence says is if you want to 20 find out where everything in 22-8B-9.1 went, the answer is (1) through (4) are in the academic, and 21 22 then No. (5) is in the organizational. 23 probably far more information than anyone, other 24 than this group, wants to know, but...



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

25

I think

Let's see.

some of it is confusing, to some people.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARNES: We can take that sentence out, because in the sentence there at 9.02, it uses the word "performance indicators," which is plural, of course. Let's take that sentence out, then.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I don't want to take it out. I want "indicators"; I want plural. That A through H there, did that come out of Senate Bill 446? Was that lifted out of Senate Bill 446?

MS. BARNES: Exactly. It told us what we wanted to look at in the performance framework.

Most of them go in the academic framework, and only one of them goes in the organizational framework.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's what I'm struggling with, because I don't remember seeing it in Senate Bill 446. For instance, it limited us on A, student academic performance, to one indicator.

MS. BARNES: It didn't.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We seem to be doing that. We seem to be telling people, one indicator, yeah. They seem to be -- and that's why we also have to -- as we get to the application, that's where this is going to come in, too.

MS. BARNES: It's also the next -- we're going to look at the performance framework in a





minute, which is the next document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't want to change up your order. But even where we started back here, Article VI, on Page 29, the very next sentence, where I questioned the last sentence that says one "indicator," the very next sentence says, "The annual School Specific Indicators" -- again, plural -- as a part of the organizational framework. So is it plural, or is it one?

MS. FOX: If I could offer a suggestion,
Mr. Chair, and Commissioner Bergman, I agree with
you. The one "indicator negotiated annually"
language is confusing. So, maybe we can just take
out that language and start with that last sentence
with, "The indicator related to recurrent enrollment
is included within this framework."

MS. BARNES: Sure.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: That's fine.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. I can live with that, I think. So, just the indicator -- of course, that still implies the indicator is just one indicator.

MS. BARNES: Well, there is only one recurrent enrollment indicator.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But we're tying it





to the recurrent enrollment. So, we don't need 1 2 multiple indicators on the recurrent enrollment. 3 MS. BARNES: Right. So, Sue has fixed "The indicator related to recurrent 4 5 enrollment is included in this framework." Patti wanted the first sentence out as 6 7 confusing. She wanted the last sentences out as 8 confusing. It doesn't matter. 9 MS. FOX: I think she was -- she had the 10 same concern as Commissioner Bergman. So, I think 11 maybe we've taken care of that. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, we've taken 13 care of that. 14 MS. BARNES: Just fixed it? Okay. So, 15 we're going to make a change to 28. 16 6.01, we've already done that. We already 17 took out -- on Page 20 of the chart, 6.01, we took 18 out the section on amending the previous charter, 19 because the law now only has us amending the 20 contract. So, this is the document, and the 21 indicators, that will always be amended. 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, under 6.01 --23 I've already fast-forwarded through some of this 24 stuff. Where is the actual list of specific items 25 that the PEC, at some point, is going to say, "This



is what requires an amendment?" Where are those 1 2 going to be listed? 3 MS. BARNES: We're coming right up to it. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 4 Because I didn't 5 see it under 6.02. MS. BARNES: Everything under 6.02 are the 6 7 material terms of the contract. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 8 We've had those 9 discussions, and sometimes it is confusing, because 10 some do not agree that everything requires an 11 amendment. I think most things do require an 12 amendment, but we have to discuss that. That's just 13 my personal opinion. That's --14 MS. LEWIS: From a strictly legal point of view, versus specific to education law, I agree with 15 16 Everything in a written contract has to be -you. 17 in order to be modified has to be in writing, and it 18 has to be approved by both parties. So, I took out 19 all the language that narrowed with these 20 amendments. 21 So, I'm a little unclear about your 22 question. Where is there still language in the most recent version that says amendments do not need to 23 24 be --



25



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

Well, because --

I'm still working off my notes from the last thing. 1 2 That's where my notes are, which, apparently, is not the current version that we have here. 3 And it said there -- and I highlighted it -- "Provisions not 4 5 listed in this Article VI may be changed by the school without approval of the authorizer." 6 7 And I wanted to discuss that. I put a 8 little question mark there. 9 MS. BARNES: It's still here, Abby. 10 Oh, it is? MS. LEWIS: I meant to --11 MS. BARNES: What we're looking to do is to tell a school -- he's looking on Page 29, 12 13 Section 6.01. MS. LEWIS: 14 Thank you. What we're looking to do with 15 MS. BARNES: 16 this contract is allow a school, for example, to 17 change a textbook version that they're using and not 18 have to bring it to you as an amendment, or to 19 change one of their policies that doesn't require 20 your approval. 21 So, we want clarity to a school about what 22 they need to amend and what they don't. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me know. Along 24 those lines, Julia -- I probably will not be able to



But two or three years ago, when

find it now.

Mr. Obenshain was the interim administrator, or director, a list actually was published: "This requires an amendment; this doesn't require an amendment."

Out of all this stuff here, I'm not going to be able -- I did find it in my papers, and I'm pretty sure I pulled it out. So, there was a list at some point in the file somewhere that listed -- because we had this discussion before: what requires an amendment, what doesn't require an amendment. There was a list that said, "Okay, these things" -- like what you're talking about -- "we're not going to tell -- we're not going to meddle in all the affairs of these schools."

So, we tried to define what was a major change and what was quoted -- nothing is minor -- but that was a minor change.

MS. BARNES: The way that the contract does that is, if it's in these pages, from Page 29 through 35, if it's written in here and populated in these pages, they have to come forward to amend it with you, if they change them.

So, that would be the school mission. Any optional supplemental -- any indicators that they wanted to change: the educational program of the





school, any student focus terms, any teacher focus terms, any government structure, any total student enrollment, any school location, food service, and transportation. So, from Page 29 to Page 35, we list out the categories that provide the important terms of the school.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Uh-huh.

MS. BARNES: And if -- are there more,

Commissioner, on the list that you have?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me read this list to you, because I think it's germane to what we're talking about. I don't see Sam's name on here, because I know he's the one that did it, because I remember discussing it with him.

It states, "Major changes to a charter are material and require a formal revision of the charter by an amendment. The PEC reserves the right at any time to revise what changes it considers to be material."

So, Abby, we would always want that kind of language in there, anyway.

"Any list of material and nonmaterial changes published by the PEC is for guidance only and is not meant to be inclusive or exclusive of other possibilities."

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	That covers us, again, with some legalese.
2	"When in doubt regarding whether a change
3	in a charter is material, charter schools are to
4	request an amendment before implementing the
5	change."
6	That was to cover something slipping
7	through the cracks. And in here, changes that are
8	material this is what was listed at that time.
9	And I don't have a date on this either.
10	"Amendments to the bylaws of the Governing
11	Body;
12	"Changes to the operational procedures of
13	the Governing Body;
14	"Having the school managed by a management
15	company or a charter management organization when it
16	was not managed before, or vice versa;
17	"Changes in the membership of the
18	Governing Body;
19	"Change of the business managers for the
20	school;
21	"Increasing the student enrollment beyond
22	what was stated in the application for the charter;
23	"Adding grades not included in the
24	application for the charter;
25	"Changing the student assessment measures;



1	"Modifying the special education plan;
2	"Changing the length of the school day and
3	year;
4	"Changing the name of the school;
5	"Changing the physical location of the
6	school facility;
7	"Changes to the curriculum and pedagogical
8	approach." And you could argue about that from now
9	till Sunday. I don't know what that actually means.
10	And then we did a specific list: "Changes
11	that are nonmaterial include provisions of
12	transportation and food services for the school"
13	and I probably agreed to that at the time, because I
14	remember working with Sam. But, to me, I'm not sure
15	why I would have agreed to that, if you want to know
16	the truth.
17	This is where I would agree:
18	"Changes in the personnel policies or
19	employee handbooks that are consistent with state
20	and federal law." That should be certainly internal
21	to a school.
22	"Changes to the operational policy
23	governing the relationship of parents to the school;
24	"Changes to student disciplinary policy;
25	"Changes in the internal fixed control





procedures that are consistent with state and 1 2 federal law relative to fiscal procedures." So, we actually have what we consider 3 nonmaterial, even when I made this list with Sam, 4 5 was a fairly short list. MS. LEWIS: I did take that out. 6 7 just looking at my red-line version. I did take 8 that sentence out. So, it's up to the Commission, 9 obviously. 10 I'm -- you know, as I expressed with the 11 negotiations last year, I'm uncomfortable with 12 accepting things in a written document that don't 13 need -- from a legal perspective -- that don't need 14 to be amended in writing. It seems confusing and 15 dangerous. But looking back at my red-line, I did 16

take that sentence out.

And, actually, I do COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: see a date. Second page has a date. That was done May 17th, 2010.

And, Julia, if you'd like to have this list, I'll give it to you. I would like to have it back. As you see, I keep all kinds of stuff.

> MS. BARNES: So -- Sue.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And we did close by



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



saying that, "All amendments must be approved by the 1 2 school's Governing Body before submission to the PEC." 3 But that's the way it's been done, anyway. 4 5 So -- but we put that in there, anyway, yeah. MS. BARNES: Here's what we're trying to 6 7 Under 22-8B-9, which says what's supposed to be 8 in this contract, it says that it "shall include any 9 material term of the charter application, as 10 determined by the parties to the contract." 11 So, it says, "The charter contract shall 12 include" that. 13 So, it's telling us that we need to bring 14 into this contract any material terms of the charter application, as determined by the parties. So, it's 15 16 telling us to do, not by a list, but in this 17 document, what you want in here as important. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If we don't list 18 19 it, how do we know what it is, though? 20 MS. BARNES: Well, we can -- this is a list, and we can add --21 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If it just makes a 23 general statement in the contract, then how do we 24 know what -- again, we're back to what's material 25 and what's not.



MS. BARNES: That's what this Section 6 1 2 It defines what's material. is. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We have to list 3 what we consider, as the PEC, to be material. 4 5 MS. BARNES: So, let's go with what's in here already. So, like, on Page 29, we're saying 6 7 the school's mission statement, at the bottom of 8 Page 29, is material. 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. 10 MS. BARNES: We are saying -- and we're 11 probably going to -- Abby, this is what I was 12 telling you about. One of Patti's comments is that 13 the mission statement should be moved below to 14 Section C, so that it is a material term of the 15 contract? 16 MS. LEWIS: Right. 17 MS. BARNES: So, we should just move that. But the school's mission statement is. 18 19 "The educational program of the school." 20 So, you had, on your list, the student 21 assessment, special education. There was a 22 general --23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Adding grades; that 24 would probably be under educational program. 25 MS. BARNES: So, we've got student focus



terms, because sometimes they will say, on their website -- they'll make a promise that all students shall -- you know, like Media Arts: "All students shall do an internship." Well, that made it into their material terms of their contract.

Teacher focus terms: For example, if they promise to do a certain type of teacher training, that goes in here.

Governance structure: You had several.

You had bylaws, the operat- -- you'd have to reread it for me.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Operational procedures of the Governing Body.

MS. BARNES: A management contract.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Whether it's going to be managed by a management company or not, or if it had a management company and then they decided they wanted to get rid of it, or vice versa, they'd still have to ask our approval to get rid of their management company.

MS. BARNES: So, Abby and Sue, I'm just wondering if we take Commissioner Bergman's list and add -- make sure that there's subcategories here, so that the school has to answer these questions as we negotiate these pages. Because, you'll see, they're



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

blank. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I'll give 3 you this list. They're place-holders. 4 MS. BARNES: 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'll tell you. Sam and I had a very good philosophical discussion. 6 Ι 7 also brought up the point, if you actually make a 8 list, like we did, then if you don't put on the list 9 something that turns out to be material, now you've 10 got this list that provides a legal loophole that 11 lawyers can jump through with horses. I pointed that out to Sam, and we made a 12 13 list and forgot to put something in here, where 14 we're actually creating a problem for ourselves. That's why we tried to make this as inclusive as we 15 could when we discussed all that, because we didn't 16 17 want to leave any holes that could be jumped 18 through. 19 Does anybody think of anything that I read 20 off there that should be in there that wasn't, or 21 shouldn't be in there that is? 22 MS. FOX: Can I just react a little bit? 23 It's -- my goal, in being here, is to get as 24 concrete a document as we can, so that, whether it's



over-inclusive or whatever, just so that everyone

knows where they're operating, going forward. But I guess I would just -- I was surprised to hear you say that bylaw amendments needed to be brought before this body, because, you know, occasionally, there are bylaw amendments of things like, "We're going to call a quorum something different than we have in the past." You could be bombarded with a whole lot of stuff that you might not actually really deem material.

So, whatever we do with this section, I see this Section 6.02 as being one of the major things that we sit down individually with the PEC and negotiate, rather than being primarily a template-type --

MS. BARNES: Certainly, that's true. But I think what Commissioner Bergman is saying is, "Let's make sure the checklist is in here, and then what we do with the checklist with each individual school" -- and I'm hearing some of the things.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I'm a little uncomfortable -- maybe I heard you word it differently than I actually heard it, saying that these material terms have to be negotiated with the school. The school shouldn't be able to say, "Well, we're going to increase our enrollment. We don't





agree with you saying that we have to do an 1 2 amendment on increasing our enrollment, so we're going to -- if nothing else, we're going to not 3 accept this contract if you put that term in there," 4 5 I don't think these are negotiable. I don't think 6 these --7 MS. BARNES: That's true. 8 MS. FOX: No, I'm not saying that. 9 just saying that, with something like an overarching 10 statement that, "All bylaws changes need to be 11 brought to you, " I'm saying that's something you 12 might want to think about, because, otherwise, your 13 schedule can be really full with little bylaw 14 things. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm already 16 thinking about it. I'm amenable to taking that out, 17 from the legal standpoint. MS. LEWIS: Yeah. I don't think that 18 19 belongs in here, because we're talking about 20 amendments to this contract, not amendments to the 21 So, I don't think it belongs here. schools' bylaws. 22 Well -- and, also, like, it MS. BARNES: 23 doesn't say who the business manager is. 24 that there's requirements that the PED be notified



of who the business manager is; that's for sure.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We also have to be notified. And I think, given -- didn't I say that --

MS. BARNES: Right. That's --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, change to the business manager, we consider material and would require an amendment. So, in other words, that would require them to notify PEC, "Yeah, this business manager is gone."

As we just discovered with McCurdy, their business manager is gone, and we didn't really know that. In fact, PED didn't know that for a while, apparently, yeah.

MS. LEWIS: But I'm not sure that fits, either, because you don't have -- legally, you don't have any say over who the school employs, so long as they're properly certified. So, if we follow your logic to the end, it means the school has to come before you to hire a new business manager, and that wouldn't be within your scope.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me give this to Julia. Julia, would you make a copy -- I only have the one copy -- and hand it to Abby, you two? And if Susan wants to sit in, as lawyers, and go over this list -- but I do want this back, please. It's





attached to my paperwork.

MS. BARNES: Ron can --

ACTING CHAIR GANT: If you don't mind, I do agree that -- with Abby and others, that this bylaw -- only to the extent -- I don't know how you would word it -- it doesn't affect the mission of the school. I mean, they shouldn't have to come -- I'm on several boards, and I'm not taking that stuff to anybody else.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The three of you should be able to sit down and iron those kinds of things out, so we'll have something.

MS. BARNES: And what there is, too, is -what I think -- what she was just handing me a note
about is that several of these things are also
reported to the PED, elsewhere. So, there's maybe a
section where notices need to be given under the
contract.

MS. FOX: Like the governing council membership, that Board of Finance designation.

MS. BARNES: Exactly, exactly. So, there might be a list of things where they might need to provide notice. And I am just not finding where this contract says how many -- what the cap is.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm just concerned





that if it goes to the PED, we may never hear about it. And if it comes to CSD, we may hear about it. When you say "PED," that could be somebody on the third floor in the south wing or something.

MS. EHLERT: May I address that?

MS. BARNES: Sure.

MS. EHLERT: We have Board of Finance documentation that you initially approve, which you're familiar with, when new schools are establishing their board. And any changes of membership or business manager is reported to the Charter Schools Division. So, we have replacement documents, updating documents for the Board of Finance. We could easily report those to you when those occur.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I guess, since we're now having a monthly director report, whoever the director is going to be in February would just be -- that's the kind of stuff that would need to also be included in the director's report, yeah.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: That would be interesting information, if it happens too much to a school. If you hear, "Well, we changed the Board of Finance this month," and two months later, "We did it again," and on and on, you'd start to





1 wonder what's going on. 2 MS. BARNES: Where -- Sue or Abby, do you 3 remember where we've put the cap? I can't put my 4 finger on where the cap is. 5 MS. FOX: It's under "Total Student Enrollment." 6 7 MS. BARNES: Where is that? 8 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Page 31. 9 MS. FOX: 6.02 (c), Roman numeral (v). 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Under Page 31, it says, "Total School Enrollment." 11 MS. BARNES: So, it's not going to be 12 13 It's going to be populated here. negotiated. 14 you describe the educational program of the school that language may be negotiated. So, I don't know 15 16 that negotiated -- what happened last year, and is 17 happening again this year, is the liaisons populated the worksheet first and went to the website and 18 19 pulled the major terms and looked at the renewal 20 application and pulled what they had said. And, then, the document goes to the 21 22 school. And, then, we -- those populated terms were 23 put in here and were discussed during the 24 negotiations.



PROFESSIONAL COURT

REPORTING SERVICE

negotiate the student enrollment number. It's going to be just populated in there.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's going to come right out of the application.

MS. BARNES: Exactly. So are lots of things that come -- we found a lot of promises that schools made on their website. Well, if you made it on your website, it's going to make it into the contract.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But who's going to catch that? See? I don't go to their websites.

MS. BARNES: The liaisons have gone to their website. And, then, we're talking to the schools about what's important.

So, let's take your list and see if we can do a "notice" section.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If I didn't cover -- if Sam and I didn't think of everything, if you guys think of something that should be on the list that's not there now -- but you may remember, we've had at least two schools exceeded their caps. And we didn't -- and nobody -- CSD didn't know about it for a while, and we didn't know about it, and -- boy.

MS. BARNES: That's going to be a breach





of the material terms of the contract, under 1 2 Page 31. Let's work on that a little bit. 3 Okay. 4 But this is the section that gets populated. 5 just need to make sure that it has everything that 6 we want it to have in it. 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Well, let's 8 go back to (b), "Optional Supplemental Indicators." 9 Here, again, "The School may identify 10 optional supplemental indicators, as set" -- I assume that's supposed to be "forth" -- "in the 11 12 Academic Framework." I do not like the word "may." 13 That tells them they don't necessarily have to do 14 indicators. Again, to me, that's confusing. MS. BARNES: Now, that's directly from the 15 16 law. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Did that come 18 directly out of the bill, or --19 It did. So, what it says is MS. BARNES: 20 that you are going to make a performance framework template, just like you did, and we're going to talk 21 22 about that next. It's a 17-page document. are lots and lots of indicators in there. 23 The law, under 22-8B-9.1(C), it says that 24



"The performance frameworks," I

exact language.

```
guess, "shall allow for the inclusion of additional
 1
 2
     rigorous, valid, and reliable indicators proposed by
 3
     a charter school to augment external evaluation of
 4
     its performance."
 5
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The word you just
     read there is "shall."
 6
 7
               MS. LEWIS: "Shall allow."
 8
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We've discussed
 9
     "shall" that and "may" before. "Shall" means you
10
     will; "may" means you might not.
11
               MS. BARNES: It allows the charter schools
12
     to do it.
13
               MS. FOX: It's the option of the charter
14
     schools.
15
               MS. BARNES: It's the option of the
16
     charters.
17
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's probably the
18
     part I don't like. It's the option of the charter
19
     school to decide whether they're going to do
20
     indicators and goals. I don't like that stuff, as
21
     you well know.
22
               MS. BARNES: Let's talk about what means,
23
     so, that, the 17-page performance framework -- in
24
     the Academic Performance Framework, it includes A
25
                 They're assessed against A through F.
     through F.
```



They have to do a mission-specific goal. 1 2 And then, they may, according to this section, provide more. 3 The second indicator is the financial 4 5 indicator that looks to their audit. And it's two or three pages of indicators looking at the 6 7 finances. 8 And then the third one, the Organizational 9 Framework, is a complete compliance document. 10 says that you have to comply with special ed; you 11 have to comply with the Open Meetings Act; you have 12 to comply with the Personnel Act. 13 It -- so, I could count them, but there's 14 probably 30 to 40 indicators in the Performance 15 Framework. 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. 17 MS. BARNES: So, there's never just one. 18 And I really get that you want to make sure that 19 you've included goals in there. And in a lot of 20 ways, you -- that framework is a 360-degree look at 21 the school. 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just do not want to repeat what we just went through, where most of 23 24 the applicants somehow got the impression they



didn't have to put goals in their application.

MS. BARNES: Right.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And the terminology we're calling "indicators" here, indicators are goals. I don't want to have us have to go through that again, where we have to renegotiate with a Navajo school, or renegotiate with this school, because they misunderstood that they're supposed to have goals in their application. Somehow, we have to address that, either in this contract specifically, in the performance frameworks specifically, in the application specifically, even in the letter of intent, which the one that you just sent to us a week or so ago, actually, I found -- I made no notes.

You'll be pleased to know I made no notes on the letter of intent, so, I found nothing that I quibbled with in the letter of intent. But I didn't see any specific language that told me the applicants -- I could have liked to have seen some -- "At some point, you guys are going to have to provide sufficient academic organizational and financial goals to the PEC, so that they can make an informed decision on whether to grant you a charter or not."

MS. BARNES: Right.





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, that was
2	actually the only thing I would have suggested for
3	the letter of intent is a paragraph that said
4	something like that. See, I just don't want to
5	go we've gone through this several times now,
6	where an applicant said, "Well, we didn't know we
7	needed to do goals." They've sat right there and
8	said that to us, with a straight face. "We didn't
9	have to do goals." There we sit. Now, we're done,
10	because we don't allow them to change their
11	application. We've been down that road before,
12	where they're handing us paperwork from the table
13	there, and we can't do that.
14	So, somehow, I'm seeing this as a vehicle
15	to make sure we don't go down that road again. No,
16	I accept your assurances, and
17	MS. BARNES: Well but I this whole
18	section and the next couple of sections talk about
19	the performance framework. So, even though it's
20	jumping around, just I'm a little tempted to have
21	us look at it.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If that's where you
23	want to go, let's go.
24	MS. BARNES: Can people follow us if we go



25

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 843-9492

to the performance frameworks?



Is that too

confusing?

1

3

4

2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: What page?

> MS. BARNES: It is 2A. A2, Appendix A2.

So, let me just reiterate. You have a

5 five-year contract. The five-year contract requires

an annual performance framework. It can change 6

7 every year. It could be the same every year.

8 they're annual. So, in a five-year contract, you're

9 going to have five performance frameworks, one for

10 each year: year one, year two, year three, year

11 four, year five. You're going to enter into that at

12 the beginning of a year, and the CSD staff is going

13 to go, during their site visit, and assess you

14 against this criteria. And this criteria includes

15 That's why I want to go there.

So, this -- I call it a blank document; 16

17 it's 15 pages. It is what the Public Education

Commission, last year -- and it's unchanged this 18

19 year -- said that they were going to use as the

20 template for every school's negotiation. So, every

21 school is going to include these factors.

22 And you're also going -- the schools are

23 also going to negotiate some more. But a lot of the

24 work is already done.

So, if you look at Page 2 of the Academic



Performance Framework, you all are saying that every 1 2 school is going to meet acceptable standards according to New Mexico grading A-through-F system. 3 And they're going to exceed that standard if they 4 5 get an A; they're going to meet the standard if they 6 get a B; they may or may not meet the standard if 7 they get a C. If they get a C, they have to do a 8 little bit more work; and, so, those two sections 9 And if they get a D or an F, they fall far 10 below the standards. 11 So, every school is assessed under "A

So, every school is assessed under "A through F."

"A through F" includes lots of the components that the law required us to look at. So, we used it as one of the indicators that you all are going to assess a school with.

So, effectively, no school can come forward and say, "Oh, let's not use 'A through F' for my school. I don't want you to look at 'A through F.'" You have indicated to all of your schools that that's what you want to do.

No. 2 -- and then the next ones are what you were talking about, Commissioner Bergman. So, let's come back to those in a second, and let me show you what else is in this document.



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 1 Before we go 2 further, can I ask a question on No. 1, while it's burning in my mind, because I guess I somehow had 3 not noticed this before. 4 Maybe the question is more 5 for Tony. On the "C" standard, where it says, "Meets standard and adds" -- it says, "The 6 7 authorizer and the school have agreed on an 8 improvement plan which the parties believe will 9 result in improved results." 10 So, are we saying -- is that what we've 11 been saying, if you're a "C" school, you're going to 12 have to have some kind of a corrective plan or an 13 improvement plan or something? I had not followed 14 that before. 15 MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Bergman, what 16 the -- the purpose of this language is, is to allow 17 the Commission to say, "You have met the standards 18 if we have an improvement plan, or, you are 19 accredited by a national accrediting body." 20 So, we haven't done that. But it allows the Commission to say, "We" -- "you've received a 21 22 'C' grade on the report card; you've met standards, 23 if you've done this." 24 And remember, that number one on these



performance standards is just number one.

25

There is

also a number two and number three. So, we look at all of them together.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Right, yeah.

MS. BARNES: We have not yet -- last year, you entered into your first seven contracts and your first seven performance frameworks. They are working this year under that performance framework. So, no school has gotten the grade for this year.

MR. GERLICZ: We haven't done that yet.

MS. BARNES: We haven't hit it yet.

Let's go to look through the rest of the document, so you've got a handle on what else is in this performance framework.

The Financial Performance Framework has two pages of indicators that look at the school audit from a variety of lenses.

It comes straight off the audit. And I think in other states, where schools have more control over their money, they can get in more financial trouble. In New Mexico, you can't enter into -- you can't have a credit card; you can't enter into debt; you get 1/12 of your money. It's all based on a formula. So ours looks at these five factors.

And in fact, Valerie -- you may or may not





be here -- was taking last year's -- the most recent audit that came out and was looking at the schools according to these criteria.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

The third framework is the organizational framework. And you'll see that it is seven pages -six pages of indicators. When the staff goes in, they're going to see if they met the material terms of the contract. This one takes you back to and into the contract that we were just talking about.

It goes back and looks at, "You said, in -- it says in the contract that you will train all of your teachers in Montessori, and that they would have a 30-hour training. Please show me the list of all your teachers. Please show me all of your trainings, and was it 30 hours?"

They're going -- so, the staff is going to go in and ask them. And that's why it's important to get that section right: "Did you do this, and did you do this, and did you do that?"

And that's why, if you've made -- if the school has made a promise to parents to hold six open houses, the staff's going to check on that, if it made it into that section of the contract.

So, No. 1 on the organizational framework does go back into the contract and make sure that





1 they're doing it.

2 The next one starts to talk about the law. 3 Are you doing everything you're supposed to do in New Mexico law -- I'm on Page 8, No. 1.b. 4 5 in compliance with your graduation requirements, 6 your promotion retention requirements, your Common 7 Core standards, your EPSS, your parent surveys?" 8 So, it lists a large group of things that are 9 required in New Mexico law for providing an 10 1.c. looks at the rights of appropriate education. 11 students, and it looks at due process.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: Just a question. On 1.b, where it says "Working to Meet Standard," it says the school is basically to institute remedies. How do we know, as the authorizer -- I mean, it's just a check mark, so we don't know what the remedies are. Are we supposed to get a report or something on that?

MS. BARNES: Well, it's to the satisfaction of the authorizer; so, yes. If there is a serious -- if they did not implement one of these programs -- let's say they didn't do any parent surveys.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: Whatever.

MS. BARNES: Let's just pick one of them.



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



ACTING CHAIR GANT: Yeah. 1 2 MS. BARNES: Well, when Karen goes into that school and says, "What did you do for your 3 parent survey, " and they say, "We forgot to send 4 5 them," that's actually the document on here that isn't yet filled out, which is -- well, it's one of 6 7 the roll-up documents. They're going to need to 8 bring back to you --9 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Okay. 10 MS. BARNES: -- you know, "This is -- was 11 not done correct." 12 So, we're going to have to work through 13 this process to see how we bring back these issues 14 for you. All right. 15 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me ask a 17 question of the liaisons. When you go and do your 18 site visits, are you taking these extensive lists, 19 as in this document right here, to ensure you don't 20 overlook? Is that part of your protocol? 21 MS. EHLERT: Commissioner Bergman, yes, it We have a -- you'll see it somewhere in this 22 is. 23 process, a site visit tool that breaks down each of 24 those questions in the framework, where we're 25 looking for sometimes ten items to verify that the



school is -- for example, respecting the rights of 1 2 students, what does that really mean, and identify 3 that. 4 MS. BARNES: Let me show you that. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. MS. EHLERT: Sure. 6 7 MS. BARNES: Let's look at it again. It's 8 D1. This is the site visit tool. It's why I wanted 9 so much of your time today and tomorrow, because 10 this is a huge project. And CSD has been working 11 and working and thinking and drafting and -- but 12 they've taken the compliance -- and, actually, you 13 know, one of my questions to Karen, "Was it clear 14 what you do when you go in to do a site visit?" 15 She said, "Absolutely." 16 So, this document tells them how they're 17 going to come and check the box off in these 18 sections. 19 Let me just keep going, just to --20 MS. FOX: Julia, could I interject 21 something on 1.b.? 22 MS. BARNES: Yes. MS. FOX: I guess, my -- I just have a 23 24 comment, and that is, on all of these bullet points 25 under "Meets Standard," the first thing that the



school is going to do is look at this and say,

"These are what we need to meet."

And then later, if someone comes in and says, "Oh, you didn't do 'X,' and it's not in one of these bullet points, the school's going to make some hay out of that."

So my comment is, if this is something -if there is an education requirement that's not in
these bullet points currently, put it in there, so
the school knows that that's what they --

MS. BARNES: I will tell you, we've tried to do that. And if there's ones that we've missed -- but Abby and I have looked at that for, like, "What if we miss something?" But we really tried to do that, because the charter schools used to say, "Tell me everything I'm supposed to know."

And all we had was, like, a flip answer like, "Well, go to the law library," or something. It was, like, ridiculous. So, we actually have tried to name in here. And that's part of the process that happened two years ago was to take a generic form and make it New Mexico-specific.

That's so that when Senator Sapien says,
"Is this a New Mexico document," I say, "Absolutely.

It's tied to our laws."





Let me keep walking you through a little bit.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: One question on, I guess, what you said. My brain, you know, is a little old. But didn't we go -- there was a discussion -- I believe you brought it up, Tony -- about decreasing the number of reports or requirements by the charter school. And one of them, I thought at one time, they talked about the EPSS not being a requirement?

You know, I look at this and I say, "Okay, maybe there are more than we need to put in here."

But what if they come along in a year or so or two years, or whatever, and the law changes, and then we have to go back and redo this contract and all that?

Do you see what I'm saying? If the PED decides that the EPSS is not required and we've already signed the contract, then what?

MR. GERLICZ: Well, we cross that bridge when we come to it. But back to your first comment, is that it's absolutely correct that we are very, very -- the CSD and -- I would say -- and the PED, the hierarchy of the PED, is also aligned with us in removing all of these requirements of reporting that charters are required to do. We are currently in



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conversation with the Deputy Secretary for Policy and Programs about can we rework this mandatory EPSS plan to fold in the performance frameworks so it's one and the same document, it's not two different documents. And I'm optimistic that that conversation will continue to go forward.

So, to answer your first question, absolutely, we need to do everything we can to reduce duplication of forms, added forms, et cetera, while we comply with the law. So, they're never going to get rid of EPSS. That, I'm fairly confident, and that's a federal requirement. It isn't a state requirement.

So, what we have to do in the charter world is to make sure that all of these additional requirements that are now laid on charters are folded into the existing requirements, that they're not just more. That's what we have to work with the infrastructure a little bit.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: I just see a problem coming down with that.

MS. BARNES: Also, this document, this template for the performance framework, you're going to look at annually. So, the contract is a five-year document for a five-year charter. But





every year, they do a new performance framework. So this framework that we're looking at right this moment is only for next year.

So, if you are unhappy with something, or something changes, or we make some progress in another way, this template will be looked at again; not necessarily changed, but looked at again next year.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I think your top sentence above those bullet things may take care of that, anyway. It states, "The school demonstrates compliance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations."

If the laws, rules, and regulations are changed by the Feds or the Legislature, then that's going to mean that, even though EPSS is in here now, then, if that law is changed, then, in future contracts, yeah, the next time we did the performance framework, EPSS goes out the door.

MS. BARNES: Out the door. So, you can still keep stopping me, but I'm going to try to move forward a little bit.

The next section talks about -- these are just categories, and the categories kind of came from what NACSA was looking at nationally, how do





schools usually have requirements placed on them?

The next one is dealing with the rights of students. The next one, 1.d., is the rights of students with disabilities. So, that is the indicator that deals with special ed.

The next one is English Language Learners.

The next one is compulsory attendance

8 laws.

And then 1.g., you'll see there's nothing to negotiate. There's nothing that's discussed between any specific school and the PEC up to here, until we get to 1.g., which is that recurrent enrollment goal. And it is filled out by each school. And it is random in the fact that it's the only thing in this organizational framework that you have to fill out. That's why I think it's random to put it here. But I also understand it has to do with the school's organization. And, so, that is put in by the schools, and it is discussed at the negotiation sessions.

This year, unlike last year, we have some SAM schools coming forward. Well, they have a very non-traditional population; they come and go all the time. So, the way this indicator is written may well not work for them. Well, they could bring a





different -- different language to the table. 1 2 But I actually really like this indicator, because I think it's kind of a -- I think when 3 parents are unhappy with the school, they often 4 5 walk. And, so, just like Commissioner Gant was saying earlier, if you have a lot of turnover and 6 7 you have a lot of problems, if you have a huge 8 problem with recurrent enrollment, and you're losing 9 three-quarters of your student population every 10 year, it's an interesting indicator. 11 We get a lot of comments by the schools, 12 "Oh, do I really have to fill this out?" 13 It's like, "Yup, you do." 14 But if they have a -- and I don't know if you remember. But last year, for example, 15 16 Cottonwood talked about they lose students right at 17 the end of middle school going into high school, 18 because they might want an IB program through 19 seventh grade or eighth grade, but, then, new kids 20 come in. So, they could have -- they didn't -- but 21 22 they could have talked about a different way to talk about this. So, this is one that is negotiated. 23 24 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. But based on



your comments just now about the SAM schools, should

we not have a separate box right here, a first box for the traditional schools, and a second box for the SAM schools, instead of waiting until the issue arises, and then saying, "Whoops, we don't have a box; what do we do?"

MS. BARNES: Well, if -- if -- yes. One of the things that we could do is think about that. But I actual -- it's just my point of view, which you -- I didn't quite know -- I don't understand Cesar Chavez as well as Cesar Chavez understands itself. So, they're going to come to the table and negotiate. So, the way that it is right now, I don't know how to draft one. They know more about their population. They know more about how it worked.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Tony, have you got any thoughts before you leave?

MS. FOX: I like -- I'm sorry, Tony. I was going to say I like Commissioner Bergman's idea of at least indicating the option of a school indicating their own method for meeting this goal, so that schools -- I mean, I'm here. And if I'm representing the school, I'll make sure I tell them that we can do something different or propose something different. But for those that don't bring



a lawyer to the table, you might put a place-holder there.

MS. BARNES: We talked about a worksheet. In the worksheet.

MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Bergman, my response to your question would be that it's not a bad idea to put something in there to indicate that a SAM school concept exists. I'm not sure that any of us know the details, even ourselves, of what that means. So, stay away from specifics. But to embrace what Sue has indicated, that a school could list some things of their own under that SAM model, that we would need to work on, but to stay away from specifics, because I'm not sure that everybody is very clear on --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just know we're going to have to deal with it. We just dealt with it in December. A number of those schools were SAM schools. I was going back and forth looking at that stuff, and that was one of the things I took into account was the fact that, boy, they had so much mobility, and they had so much -- kids are coming in one month and walking out the next month, and there were indications that some of them came back two months later, again. And how does the school keep



track with that, much less the PEC? 1 2 MR. GERLICZ: That's the reality of our society for some of those schools. 3 MS. FOX: Put the burden on the school to 4 5 describe some viable, reasonable, meaningful, alternative method. 6 7 MR. GERLICZ: And make it unique to their 8 school. 9 MS. BARNES: We tell them that in the 10 trainings all the time. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think they did 12 that in their applications very well this time. 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Can they just put 14 a box in there to indicate, "If SAM school," and 15 then a statement, "then must discuss with PED 16 staff, " or something, just --17 MS. BARNES: That -- the way that this 18 happens -- I'm going to have you just glance 19 quickly. Appendix El is the contract worksheet. 20 What we've done is we've taken every block from the 21 contract and the performance indicators and pulled 22 them into this document that Commissioner Bergman 23 knows really well, because he looked at it every 24 single time we negotiated last time. 25



This is -- it's just the working document

that we negotiate from. And, so, the recurrent enrollment is on Page 11 and 12. It's just set forth there.

So, the schools are already seeing what they need to put in that will eventually populate the contract and the performance indicators. And, actually, the first group of schools that are going to be the first ones that you negotiate with, their worksheets are due to you -- when?

MS. EHLERT: Friday.

MS. BARNES: Friday. So, their first draft of the worksheets have already been given to them. We already gave them a pre-populated version. They already are working with their boards to give the first draft to people like -- to the liaisons. And, then, the liaisons will talk about it and go back and forth.

So, I'm guessing, when Cesar Chavez comes up, they're going to say to their liaison, "Wow, we really can't do this indicator on Page 11. Can we give you a new one," and they can put it in here then.

So, when you come to a negotiation session, you're going to see the worksheet. And that's what we work from. It will eventually





populate the document we were just looking at.

So --

been through the contract negotiation, I'm still concerned that there isn't some way in a document that goes into a file that somebody can have to go back and look at where you went forward on it. I'm an old bureaucrat. I'm the one here, as you know, who isn't the educator; I'm the bureaucrat and the manager and all, because I have a major concern about boards and their structures that comes as a manager.

But for right now, in looking at this, I have a problem with what you're going to do something different for somebody if there's not some way to indicate it first on a formal piece of paper that goes somewhere. And, then, you could do all of this other negotiating and come out at the other end.

MS. BARNES: Well, let's definitely at least put some language here that says -- we can, on 1.g., underneath the section that -- where there are blanks, we could say -- what do you want to say?

MS. FOX: I would just say, you know, "Other method of meeting recurrent enrollment goals





1 proposed."

And, again, this is negotiating. So, if it makes no sense, it won't make it into the final contract.

MS. BARNES: Sure. So, let's say, "Other method to" -- what do you want?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As long as we word it to where we don't create another loophole that somebody can use to wiggle out of this whole category.

MS. BARNES: Well, they're going to have to do something. So, I'm putting it in the middle of 1.g.

MS. FOX: You guys, it'll either be approved through the negotiation or modified through the negotiation, or you'll say, "No way, you've got to go back to this other methodology." But at least it lets the schools know that if they're, for example, not just a SAM school, but Cottonwood Classical, where they do have a demonstrated issue with how their kids move in and out of the school, they can at least bring that to your attention to talk about it.

MS. BARNES: I put -- so, this will be in the middle of 1.g., after the lines, before the





"Meets," "Does not meet," or "Falls far below," 1 2 "Other methods for assessing recurrent enrollment 3 goal, " and then put a series of blanks, so people 4 could do that. Let me tell you, schools are not shy 5 with telling us which part of these aren't working for them. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm just being 8 your bureaucrat here. Even though I've been retired 9 a lot of years, I can go back to it. 10 MS. BARNES: And, so, let me just say one 11 more thing, if you're a bureaucrat -- and that's your word; it's not a word I would have chosen to 12 13 use for you. 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's better than 15 other words I was called during my years in State 16 government. 17 MS. BARNES: Because there's going to be 18 five of these forms completed in a five-year 19 contract, one for each year. And then there are 20 going to be five assessments. We're going to get to 21 those assessments, so... 22 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Julia, just for my 23 education, what makes a student eligible or not



Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

eligible for re-enrollment?

MS. BARNES:

24

25



Well, if you're in twelfth

grade, you're no longer eligible for re-enrollment. 1 2 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Not by age. 3 MS. BARNES: If you've been expelled, if 4 you're graduating from --5 MR. GERLICZ: Twelfth grade and graduated. 6 ACTING CHAIR GANT: You can go up to 24 or 7 25 years of age. 8 MR. GERLICZ: If you're not graduated. 9 ACTING CHAIR GANT: If you hit 22, you 10 can't go any further, if you're disabled. 11 MS. BARNES: Well -- and that's a really good example of what the SAM schools are bringing 12 13 So, they'll be able to define that population. 14 That's tended to be -- it's the student that they expect can reasonably come back to their school the 15 16 next year. And it also allows them to say -- you 17 know, let's say a school has brought in a lot of foreign exchange students. Well, they're not coming 18 19 back the next year. So, they shouldn't be counted 20 in their numbers. So, we're just giving them an 21 opportunity to tell us. 22 ACTING CHAIR GANT: But, then, you go back 23 to 1 -- whatever it is -- back here, it says, "At 24 least 85 percent of the students" must -- "will be 25 required to reenroll at the school."



MS. BARNES: To meet the -- that's because they've got to take out the 20 eighth-graders, and they've got to take out the ten kids that were foreign exchange. So, it actually let's them tell you how many kids are -- how many kids are expected to come back the next year. And they are specific students.

MR. GERLICZ: Eighty-five percent of those students who are eligible for reenrollment.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: All right.

MS. BARNES: And under Section D, they explain why certain kids are not eligible for re-enrollment. So, they might say, "We have 30 twelfth-graders," or "We" -- you know, whatever. I think it's pretty interesting -- I've been impressed as to how much conversation there's been around this, and that a lot of schools struggle with re-enrollment. They don't struggle with the number of students in their school, but they can lose a bunch of kids.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I found it interesting you mentioned Senator Sapien. He didn't finish up with Senate Bill 446 when it was done. He's still thinking about it, as Tony can attest from our LESC meeting in Clovis, where Senator





Sapien got up and said, "Have you people forgotten 1 2 that this is a performance contract?" He said, "It's not a contract. It's a performance" -- do you 3 4 remember him saying that to you, Tony? 5 MR. GERLICZ: Yeah. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 6 "It's a 7 performance." So, if Senator Sapien is still 8 watching this, he's watching us. He's watching --9 he's keeping track of this. And that -- he said, 10 "The whole purpose of that bill was performance." 11 MS. BARNES: Well -- and lots of this is 12 perf- -- I always feel like my answer to Senator 13 Sapien is, "I think it's a great act. I think 14 it's -- I think it's" --I think Senator 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 16 Sapien would agree with me that goals are 17 performance. I'm just going to throw that in there. 18 MR. GERLICZ: Depending on what type of 19 qoals. 20 MS. BARNES: Let's go back to the goals 21 section. 22 So, the next section, we already had a financial framework which was based on numbers. But 23 24 here are the sections to make sure they're using 25 sound business practices.



So No. 2 on Page 10 and 11 look at "Sound Business Practices." And it, again would be, if a school was having some internal controls issues, it would come up at this point.

No. 3 looks at "Governance and Reporting."

This is the section, Commissioner Bergman, that I

want to make sure that we haven't already covered

some of the material terms of governance under these
sections.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, it looks like they're just having to demonstrate compliance, again, with these various things.

MS. BARNES: Yes, it's having the policies, complying with the Open Meetings Act, complying with the conflict-of-interest policy.

We do cover a lot of, you know, sound business organizational practices there.

The next section is on "Employees," including the certification of teachers, the Personnel Act, the Charter School Act, the Family Medical Leave Act, the ADA, and, then, doing the required background checks.

Finally, Section 5 looks at facilities, health and safety regulations, and handling information.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



So, we can go back now -- once you see everything you're going to look at for a school, then I think we come back to what you want to focus on, which is Pages 2, 3, and 4, which are their school-specific goals. So "A through F" is a performance goal. And it's quite comprehensive.

That was at least where, once we looked at it, there's lots that goes into "A through F."

And I know Commissioner Gant frequently is pulling that report card, because there's lots of

The way that it reads right now is that, in addition to everything that we've talked about, they also have to -- have to do at least one mission-specific indicator. And from the -- from the negotiations last year, I -- did any school just do one indicator? Many of them ended up with four or five.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm not -- yeah,

I -- for some reason, my impression was they all

were trying to get by with one. But maybe I'm just

fitting my perception to what I want to accomplish.

MR. GERLICZ: I think that was true with Cottonwood. I don't think that was true with the other schools.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

data there.



Т	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay.
2	MS. BARNES: And let me just I know I'm
3	just giving you so much information, and I'm so glad
4	you gave me a day and a half, because I want you
5	it's I really want to get I really want an
6	understanding all the way through.
7	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Before you leave
8	this just a sec what's the definition okay.
9	Let's go to Governance and Reporting on Page 11.
10	MS. BARNES: Okay.
11	ACTING CHAIR GANT: I need a definition of
12	what is it says, 3.b. It says, "Is the school
13	holding management accountable?"
14	So, define what in the school is holding
15	management accountable. Do you see what I'm saying?
16	A school is an organization.
17	MS. BARNES: Well, that's described right
18	below it. So, in order to see you know, that's
19	why the wording under "Meets" and "Working to Meet"
20	and "Falls Far Below" is important.
21	ACTING CHAIR GANT: But the school is
22	demonstrating it. But how is it holding the
23	management accountable?
24	MS. BARNES: Well, because they have to
25	provide a written annual evaluation of their head of



```
school and periodically review the relationship and
 1
 2
     contracts with a partner organization. Those are
     the two sections under "holding the school
 3
 4
     management accountable."
 5
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   I quess where -- I
     quess I'm not -- define "school," the word.
 6
 7
               MS. BARNES: Oh, the word "school"?
 8
               MR. GERLICZ:
                             The governing body.
 9
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   That's what I'm
10
              I'm just curious.
     saying.
11
               MS. BARNES: Well, that's a good point,
12
     to --
13
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   When it says "The
14
     school will do this, " well --
15
               MS. BARNES: It's not the governing body.
16
     Much of it is done by the principal.
17
               MR. GERLICZ: The governing body holds
18
     management accountable.
19
               MS. FOX: Yeah. I mean, it's a good
20
     question.
21
               MS. LEWIS: We can define "school" in this
     contract as "Designated head administrator."
22
23
     think we covered ourselves there, no?
24
               ACTING CHAIR GANT: How do you hold -- who
25
     holds --
```



MS. BARNES: We certainly use the word "school" throughout the indicators.

MS. LEWIS: But we defined it in this contract with broader definition than we're talking about it here. So, I think the way we defined it in the contract will cover us.

MS. BARNES: These are incorporated into the contract.

again, I've only had a year. But every school that I've seen that came up in front of us that was having a major problem was one that was having a problem within the board; whether the board was churning, was fighting among themselves, whether they were not meeting all the requirements.

And, then, whether there was a -- whoever was the head of the school, whatever you call them, principal, headmaster, or -mistress, or executive, or whatever they were called who was being replaced over and over, or else in conflict with the board.

And I have a concern of, then -- and we've also had these problems come up on the schools where you can go months without a full board.

Shouldn't there be something in here to show that there is a procedure in place to make sure



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that a board remains fully staffed within a 30- to 60-day period of a vacancy? I just -- I don't know where it goes, but I --

MR. GERLICZ: It goes in the bylaws. It goes in the governing council's bylaws, and it's up to the governing council to follow those bylaws.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I just see it as a problem -- I don't know where it goes. I'm just bringing it up that, again, I've looked at this. And every school that I've seen with a problem has had a board problem, whether it was one that was too weak or too strong, that fought among themselves. The schools that come up here and look good seem to have a consolidated board and somebody who's running it who works with them, not everybody working against each other.

I don't know how that comes in, that there ought to be something in there that encourages that kind of organization, or our kids are hurting.

MS. LEWIS: Well, Commissioner Toulouse, something to keep in mind is that, in addition to 8B, all of 22 applies to charter schools, unless specifically excepted. One of those is -- as Commissioner Gant was talking about the 45 days, one of those is a requirement, when you are under the



minimum amount of -- you know, this calls it the "local school board," but we're talking about charter schools now. So, there is, in the law, a 45-day window for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

And I agree with you we need to tell the schools what that is, because we have had this problem before. But we already have the language, if we just pull it from --

MS. BARNES: And 3.a. is an indicator -on Page 11 -- is the indicator that says that they
have to adopt and adhere to governing board
organization and membership rules, you know. So,
it --

MS. FOX: But that's -- that's pretty much controlled by the school itself. I think what Commissioner Toulouse is saying is -- and I agree -- if there's going to be a requirement for replacement within "X" number of days, let's stick it in here. When Dr. Duran and Sam were at the CSD a few years ago, even though there weren't formal contracts required like this one, they did have charter schools enter into a charter contract that said, "You will replace any missing governing council members within 60 days."

And that's helpful, because it gives the



school a time frame. 1

2 MS. BARNES: Well, maybe we should look at

3 that.

MS. LEWIS: I looked at that last year. 4

5 There's a memo floating around somewhere. And I am

troubled by the 60 days, from a legal perspective, 6

7 because it's not in line with this broader -- it's

8 NMSA 22-5-9 is the one that talks about the

9 vacancies.

10 Rarely do I quibble with you, MS. FOX:

11 Abby. But I would quibble on the application of

12 If you want it to be 45 days, just stick it that.

13 in there.

14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I thought we

15 changed that last time, 60 to 45.

16 MS. LEWIS: We did with a couple of

17 schools.

> Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

> FAX (505) 843-9492

18 MS. BARNES: What I would suggest we do is

19 I have two more comments on the performance

20 framework, and I suggest we take a break and come

back to the contract, because the contract will make 21

22 a little more sense, now that we've spent some time

23 on the performance framework.

24 Let me say two things: When you put

25 conditions on a school, which you did for the





renewing schools, our plan is to add them, probably, to the organizational framework, so that it becomes an indicator that is monitored.

And we did that for Anthony -- you know, you can turn your conditions into something that a CSD staff member can look towards. So, that's what we plan on doing during the negotiation, so that everyone knows how those conditions got in here. That happened with Connections Academy, because, if you recall, the Cabinet Secretary, when she granted them their charter, she put conditions on them. And we put those conditions in the organizational framework. So, that is the tool to monitor what you ask them to do.

Now, I'm just going to go back to what -- to what Commissioner Bergman is saying about goals.

17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You've got me.

18 You've convinced me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

25

MS. BARNES: On Page 3 and 4 -- have I,

for real? He just gives in finally.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It will be an ongoing discussion. I actually had a question. Since we went back to 12, I want to go back to 12.

MS. BARNES: Okay. We'll go back to 12.

But I just want to say one thing clearly about that.





COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I was just curious.

I want to ask the staff this question. 4.b. says,

"Is the school respecting employee rights?"

What exactly does that mean to you, and how do you walk into a school and determine -- I know it says those three acts there. But how are you actually -- do you actually go in and ask a school superintendent of a charter school, "Do you have an employee complaint file, and may I see it?" How are you determining that?

MS. EHLERT: When we go into the school, typically, we would look for a staff handbook to start with. And, in that handbook, generally, the rights are spelled out in some form.

We can look at policies, if they're separate from a handbook. If there have been any complaints filed with PED, we get a report on that; we have access to that.

So, we look at a variety of things. When we go into a school and we speak with staff, sometimes issues come up. You know, we don't do that every single year, where we're interviewing all of the school staff. But there's a variety of indicators that we come across that trigger maybe need for more explanation on the part of the school,



1 or even an investigation. So --2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do you occasionally get an employee that takes you by the elbow and says 3 "Can we walk over here?" 4 5 MR. GERLICZ: Yeah. MS. EHLERT: Yeah. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: "You need to look into this"? 8 9 MS. EHLERT: Yes. 10 MS. BARNES: There was a good example of 11 that, and so Tony turned it over to the appropriate 12 bureau. That's exactly what happened. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I assume there's 14 some line, though, that determines whether you put 15 that into your actual follow-up report, or --16 MR. GERLICZ: The -- I would say the 17 pleasure of working with the staff that we have at CSD is that they're all seasoned educators. 18 So, 19 it's not like they can hear a complaint and say, 20 "Oh, so-and-so said this. This is incredibly 21 serious." 22 They have -- they have the ability to determine whether it's serious or not and needs to 23 24 be pursued, or whether it's one disgruntled 25 employee, or it's a common theme that's heard in a



variety of sectors. We can do that.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I was just thinking about -- is it TLC, where they had that two-page list of various employee complaints that nobody seemed to have heard about the headmaster, sort of swept it under the rug? And, so, here again, if you don't hear about it, we don't hear about it.

I just was curious, how do you hear about it if you don't ask? Thank you.

MR. GERLICZ: Any other comments? Ron?

MR. CHRISTOPHERSON: Commissioner Bergman, part of what Karen talked about a little earlier is our site worksheet, where you may see, in the final documents, just a "yes" or "no." There's a whole series of things that we have for each one of the different questions.

So, for that one indicator, in our site visit tool, there may be five or six different cues for us to be asking, or to be looking for, or for us to do our homework, before we ever go out to the site, by checking with PED.

So, even though there's just one check mark at the end, there's a whole series of questions and surveys and opportunities for us to dig before we ever get to the final document.





MS. BARNES: And, actually, what I'd like to do is reserve, like, the last 15 minutes, from, like, quarter to 5:00 to 5:00, and have them show you what's already in here, which is the site visits, because I think that's going to make sense to you right now. So, let's reserve that -- we've got a little bit more time than that.

Okay. So, I just want to -- on the performance frameworks, this is one of the -- one of the two documents that's important to us to try to have you vote on them on Friday.

The way that this is set out is that, right now -- and it's the same way it was last year -- we just proposed the same performance framework as last year, because our thinking is we need to get some years under our belt and see how it's working.

And we think that there's 15 pages of indicators, and that's a lot. So, under the academic indicator, your template presently says you will be assessed on "A through F," and you must -- a school must identify one mission-specific indicator, and you can do more.

So, on Friday, if this document is voted in, that's -- that's how it's set up. That's a





```
choice that we made last year, and we're -- and you
 1
 2
     approved that last year. And we're proposing it to
 3
     you again this year. And the vote's on Friday.
 4
               So -- so, that's -- and certainly, I can
 5
     make that small change on the recurrent enrollment
     goal, so that it's clear. So, we will have a
 6
 7
     slightly amended version for you.
 8
               Okay. Commissioner Gant, with your
 9
     permission, what I suggest we do is take a break.
10
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   One quick question, if
11
     I may.
                                   You can have six.
12
               MS. BARNES:
                            Sure.
13
                                   On Page 13, line 5,
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
14
     "School Environment," you can put it in or not put
             But one of the requirements for -- the PSCOC
15
16
     is looking for it, through the PSFA -- is a
17
     facilities maintenance plan, five-year facilities
18
     maintenance plan. Do --
19
               MS. BARNES:
                            Okay.
20
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   And I would suggest,
21
     when you say "facilities master plan," it is a
22
     five-year facility master plan.
               MS. BARNES: So, a five-year facility
23
24
     maintenance plan?
25
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   Just to clean it up.
```



```
Now, it doesn't affect newbies, new charters,
 1
 2
     specifically, until they get renewed.
 3
               MS. BARNES:
                            So, "Approve five-year
     Facility Master Plan, " and is it approved, as well,
 4
 5
     the maintenance plan?
                                   Uh-huh.
 6
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
 7
               MS. BARNES: And "Approve five-year
 8
     maintenance plan."
 9
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   That's PSFA.
10
               MS. BARNES: For Sue and Abby, would it
11
     work, do you think, rather than to put it in the
12
     contract, could we put the replacing board members
13
     within 45 days, under 3.a., on Page 11?
14
               MS. FOX: That's kind of where I thought.
15
               MS. LEWIS:
                           What's the advantage to not
16
     also putting it in the contract?
17
               MS. FOX:
                         Well, the performance framework;
18
     isn't that part of the contract?
19
               MS. BARNES:
                            It is. But I just think
20
     they're going to study this document -- I think
21
     they're going to study it more. Certainly, CSD
22
     staff is going to study it more. I think it's a
     good idea to put it here. I don't have a problem
23
24
     with putting it there.
25
               MS. LEWIS:
                           That was the intention of my
```



question, just to figure out the best way for the 1 2 school to have that highlighted for them. MS. FOX: Both places. 3 4 MS. LEWIS: Yeah. 5 MS. BARNES: I'm going to put something 6 like, "Replace board members within 45 days of 7 resignation" or something? 8 MS. FOX: That's something that schools 9 may come back to you and say, "Can we have longer?" 10 Because, you know, it is difficult to replace members in a situation like most charter schools 11 12 find themselves. 13 We want to point out in the MS. LEWIS: 14 contract that this is something that they would need 15 to ask for an amendment if they're not going to be 16 able to meet. Because the PEC is going to be 17 meeting every month, so, there won't be 45 days 18 where they won't be able to come and say, "We need 19 more time." 20 MS. BARNES: So, I'm going to put, under 21 3.a., "Replace board members within 45 days of 22 resignation," as a bullet, and then we'll look 23 there, as well. 24 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Do you want to say 25 "resignation" or "vacancy occurring"?



Τ	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: "Vacancy" is
2	probably a better word, because they might not
3	resign. I think some have actually been asked to
4	leave.
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Some have been
6	voted off or escorted out.
7	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Or, there's the
8	extreme, by going to the next life.
9	MS. BARNES: So, I'm thinking that we've
10	reviewed the performance framework as far as we want
11	to. I want to start and go back and keep going
12	under the contract, which is referencing this
13	document.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Right. Okay.
15	MS. BARNES: And save the last 15 minutes,
16	if staff is okay with that, for them to show you
17	their internal documents. But I think you'll see
18	how they all tie together.
19	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Let's take a break
20	until 4:00? I've got 10 till?
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's what my
22	phone says.
23	ACTING CHAIR GANT: We're in recess.
24	(Recess taken, 3:50 p.m. to 4:05 p.m.)
25	ACTING CHAIR GANT: You've got 45 minutes.





1 MS. BARNES: Okay. So are there any 2 questions on where we've gotten to, any performance framework questions? 3 4 MS. FOX: I'm sorry. I just want to go 5 back to the very first academic performance indicator. 6 7 MS. BARNES: Yes. 8 MS. FOX: And I understand that we've got 9 the "A through F" stuff in No. 1. And, then, 10 there's an opportunity for the school to add its 11 charter-specific or program-specific goals? 12 MS. BARNES: Uh-huh. The mission-specific 13 is required; they have to do them. 14 MS. FOX: Right. But Patti and I -- I 15 think -- I'm just trying to point out an opportunity 16 for Patti and I to quibble with you all, and I'm 17 going to address it with you now head-on rather than later. And we're going to address the word 18 19 "standard" here, as opposed to the State's A-through 20 F grading system. 21 MR. GERLICZ: No. 2? Is that where you 22 are? MS. FOX: No. 1, "Is school meeting 23 24 acceptable standards according to New Mexico's 25 A-through-F grading system?"



But three years ago, the Secretary acknowledged that there were no PED standards for student academic performance. And even the A-through-F Grading Act and the regulation stopped short of calling those grades a standard.

> What's the word she uses? MS. BARNES:

MS. FOX: Well, now, that's a good question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

MS. LEWIS: Just one second. To that end, what's now called "standards of excellence," under NMAC, if you look down at the history, used to be called "minimum education standards." I wasn't here in 2010, so I'd be curious to know why that didn't come up. But is that -- maybe -- I think that's what this is referring to. It's now called "standards of excellence."

MS. FOX: Well, no. Those have been around for a while.

> MS. LEWIS: Yeah.

MS. FOX: And this came up in the context of the Ralph J. Bunche appeal, the last version of it. And we have an opinion. And Willie Brown, the former PED counsel, went through a whole analysis of all the various different statutes and concluded that there -- you know, even that did not establish





a PED standard of performance.

And, so, you know, I -- when -- the renewal statute says that you can -- you're going to be evaluated either on the State's performance standards or the standards and goals that you set out for yourself. And, so, I'm just trying to eliminate some ambiguity or question marks or fights.

MS. BARNES: That language certainly could have come from the NACSA template. So, that's the only reason that -- if there is a better word, that word could have just been used unintentionally. And if we're going to use it intentionally, that's great. But I'm telling you it might have not been used.

MS. LEWIS: What would you --

MR. GERLICZ: Doesn't the Secretary use that language? And I think increasingly, she and her deputies are saying that eventually, the vision is that all schools operate at the A and B level, that even schools at the C level do not meet standards, that the standards will be --

MS. FOX: Well, she may have said that in speech or what have you. But it's not in the law and it's not in the reg. And, so, it's still kind





Τ	of an open-ended issue that I don't disagree that
2	"A through F" ought to be examined, and you ought to
3	know where someone falls on that. And it's
4	obviously what the Secretary requires all public
5	schools to be evaluated on.
6	But there's nothing that says that, "That
7	is a standard, such that not meeting that is grounds
8	for revocation."
9	MR. GERLICZ: Yeah, Rachel?
10	MS. STOFOCIK: I just wanted to say it
11	might be worth looking at the waiver, because I
12	think it's established in the No Child Left Behind
13	waiver.
14	MR. GERLICZ: What standards are?
15	MS. STOFOCIK: Uh-huh. That in the
16	waiver, you had to the New Mexico in order to
17	get the waiver, we had to establish our own
18	standards. And the "A through F"
19	MS. BARNES: I don't mind looking up
20	that what that word is and using
21	MR. GERLICZ: Why not look at the waiver
22	and see what the language is in the waiver?
23	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Don't we have that
24	tomorrow?
25	MS. LEWIS: It's about this big, the whole



binder. 1 2 MS. STOFOCIK: I can ask Priority Schools, 3 if you want me to. 4 MS. FOX: Because here's where we're going 5 to eventually get. The school's going to get an F 6 on this and still have met all of its own goals that 7 are -- you know. And then we've got the language in 8 the statute that says, "either/or meets the 9 substantial academic performance --10 MS. BARNES: Do you know that law? 11 MS. FOX: -- guideline." I should. 12 MS. BARNES: Is it 12? 13 MS. LEWIS: It's 12(J)(1). 14 MS. BARNES: What I think 15 Commissioner Gant is saying, let's have this 16 conversation offline, but let's look at it. 17 MS. FOX: That's fine. I just didn't want 18 to not raise it, and then --19 MS. BARNES: I circled it, so let's talk 20 about it afterwards, okay? Anyone else? All quiet, 21 Commissioners? Any comments? All right. 22 Okay. 23 ACTING CHAIR GANT: What page are you on? 24 MS. BARNES: I'm going to go back to the 25 contract, back to what we were looking at, which is



the part of the contract that discusses the performance framework.

So, we're going to come up with some revised language on Section 6 -- just trying to look at Patti's -- and back to the chart, Page 20. Let's see. Abby has added two things. She took out a sentence. She added, "and Federal law" to it. So, those are minor changes.

Let's look at Patti's. "Does not want enrollment process to be redone each year."

I think you'd have to. The recurrent enrollment? 6.04. Which is now not 6.04.

MS. FOX: I think she is commenting on (c), Lottery.

MS. BARNES: (c), Lottery. Okay. That's on Page 36 of the contract.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't know what she's questioning there, because the law is very clear. You have to do a lottery every year.

MS. FOX: That's not what she's saying.

The second sentence there, "The school shall adopt in advance of the new school year an enrollment procedure," et cetera, et cetera, she just says, "Does this mean they have to restate their enrollment procedure every year?" Once the policy

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



is adopted, that should --1 2 MS. BARNES: Certainly, we can make sure 3 that's --4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's designed. 5 It varies from year to year. One year, a school --6 might be the sixth grade has all the vacancies, and 7 the other year, the third grade has all the 8 vacancies. I don't know if that's what that's 9 referring to or not. So, those do vary from year to 10 year. 11 MS. BARNES: So, let's just take out "of a new school year, " "shall adopt in advance the 12 13 enrollment procedures for vacancies." 14 Now, Commissioner Bergman is correct; it's 15 going to have to be correctly applied each year. 16 But we could take out, "of a new school year." 17 MS. FOX: Right. It doesn't mean they're 18 not going to conduct a lottery every year. They 19 have to if they need to. But they don't need to be 20 restating and readopting an enrollment policy that 21 isn't changing. 22 MS. BARNES: Let's take out the words, "of a new school year." 23 MS. FOX: Okay. 24 25 6.04(d), Continuing MS. BARNES:



Enrollment. "Mandatory withdrawal pursuant to the 1 2 New Mexico Public Schools Finance Act." So, that's back to what Commissioner Gant was just talking 3 4 about, that there are certain students that are not 5 going to be allowed in. MS. FOX: Well, those who haven't been in 6 7 attendance for ten consecutive days are required, by 8 the Finance Act, to be automatically withdrawn. 9 MS. BARNES: Okay. So, where does she 10 want those words? MS. FOX: Right after "voluntary 11 withdrawal." She wants to add, comma, "mandatory 12 13 withdrawal pursuant to the New Mexico Public School Finance Act, " comma. Then there's expulsion, 14 15 graduation. 16 MS. BARNES: Okay. So mandatory 17 withdrawal. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm just curious. 19 Why are we saying that? Did I miss something? What 20 difference does that make? MS. FOX: Well, schools don't have any 21 22 discretion in keeping students on the roster who 23 aren't physically there beyond ten days. 24 think that's designed so that people don't get



funding for someone who's a ghost.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: Expulsion could be for 1 2 you brought a gun, or something like that. 3 MS. BARNES: And, so, "mandatory withdrawal pursuant to the New Mexico Public Finance 4 5 Act, " comma, "expulsion, graduation, court-ordered 6 placement"? 7 MS. FOX: Right. I think that covers --8 MS. BARNES: She's just adding another --9 making it clear what the law is there. 6.05(a), 10 Special Populations. She wants to call children 11 with disabilities -- what have we --12 MS. FOX: All eligible children? I think 13 that's a little vague. She wanted to take out 14 "eligible" and say "children with disabilities." 15 MS. BARNES: Okay. Everybody okay with 16 that? 17 MS. LEWIS: I didn't hear the end of that. 18 She wanted to --19 MS. FOX: She wanted to take out 20 "eligible" under 6.05(a) and --21 MS. BARNES: And put "children with 22 disabilities" instead of "eligible children," at the 23 top of Page 38. 24 MS. LEWIS: Unless we're going to define 25 "disability" as "eligible," that could be confusing



```
to a school. I think "eligible" at least lets us
 1
 2
     know, under the law, versus -- I mean, I can't think
 3
     of one off the top of my head. But maybe there's a
     disability that hasn't been classified yet, and that
 4
 5
     would be confusing.
               MS. FOX: Well, that would be -- then you
 6
 7
     just refer to the law, whatever the law is at the
     time, for definition of "disability." I'm not --
 8
 9
     it's a minor point. I'm not going to --
10
               MS. BARNES: Let's leave it.
11
               MS. LEWIS: I don't want to accidentally
12
     exclude anyone.
13
               ACTING CHAIR GANT: And not all students
14
    have disabilities. There's folks like you all that
15
     are brilliant, you know, geniuses or whatever, and
16
     they would not fall under that paragraph.
17
               MS. LEWIS:
                           In New Mexico, gifted students
18
     and -- are under the same statute.
19
               ACTING CHAIR GANT:
                                   But they're not --
20
               MS. FOX:
                         They're not necessarily
     disabilities.
21
22
                             They're special education.
               MR. GERLICZ:
23
               MS. LEWIS:
                           They're special education,
24
     yeah.
```



That's fine.

MS. FOX:

25

You can leave it

1 the way it is. 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Doesn't (b) already address disability, though? If you look at (b), it 3 specifically addresses kids with disabilities. 4 5 MS. FOX: Yeah, the whole section does. MS. BARNES: Okay. So, we're going to 6 7 leave that. I think we're probably good with 8 section (d), Continuing Enrollment. 9 MS. LEWIS: Wait, sorry. What? 10 MS. BARNES: You have a copy, Abby. 11 in gray, on the bottom of Page 21. Look on the 12 section on Continuing Enrollment, because the list 13 could not be exhaustive. You wanted to take that 14 out. 15 Which section is that? MS. FOX: 16 MS. BARNES: Back to the sentence where we 17 added with Patti. It's why it's in gray was because it was in conflict with what Patti -- Patti was 18 19 adding language that Abby was saying to take out. 20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't have a 21 6.05(d) here. 22 It's 6.04, at the top of MS. BARNES: Page 37. We looked at the section where we added 23



Patti's language. Abby's language is in gray,

because it conflicted with Patti's language.

24

25

It's

in the wrong place. 6.04 -- it's 6.04(d). 1 2 MS. LEWIS: So, Patti and I had the same 3 concern; we just addressed it differently. 4 MS. BARNES: So, we're good. 5 MS. LEWIS: So long as someone will tell me that that list is now exhaustive, because if 6 7 adding whatever Patti suggested still doesn't make 8 it exhaustive, then my concern remains. 9 MS. BARNES: Why don't we put "or 10 otherwise"? 11 MS. LEWIS: "Other applicable"? 12 favorite phrase? 13 ACTING CHAIR GANT: That's open-ended. 14 MS. FOX: I'm not finding where you are. 15 I'm sorry. 16 MS. BARNES: On Page 37. 17 MS. FOX: I'm on 37. I don't see any 18 gray. Oh, no. 19 MS. LEWIS: The gray is on the 20 chart. 21 MS. BARNES: It's on the chart. It's gray 22 because it conflicted with this. 23 MS. FOX: Okay. 24 MS. BARNES: They have the same concern.



Abby was going to take the section out.

25

Patti added

```
1
     language.
 2
               MS. FOX: Okay.
               MS. BARNES: You took out a sentence
 3
     that's gone now that looked like it was incorrect.
 4
 5
     So, I'm going to go with what you said. 6.06(b) --
     I can find out what it was, if you want.
 6
 7
               MS. FOX: What is it now?
               MS. BARNES:
 8
                            Let me just find what it was.
 9
     I'll try and read it.
10
               MS. LEWIS: If we took it out, it's
11
     nothing.
12
               MS. BARNES: I don't know. I'm going to
13
     assume -- I can't find it. 6.06(b)(i).
14
               MS. FOX: Are we still on students with
15
     disabilities, or is it ELL?
16
               MS. BARNES: This was "students with
17
     disabilities" -- it was under "documents." The
     sentence did read, "The school shall assist" -- it
18
19
     still is in here -- "The authorizer shall assist the
20
     school in seeking these materials, if so requested
21
     by the school." And you said to take it out.
22
               MS. LEWIS: Yeah, because what -- I mean,
23
     I'm not sure how that would work on a practical
24
     level.
```



Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

25

I don't know

how we would help a school find documents. 1 2 MS. BARNES: So, it's on Page 38 of the 3 draft? Tell me what the heading says. 4 MS. FOX: 5 MS. BARNES: It says, "Organizational Framework, Educational Program, 1D. It's Students 6 7 with Disabilities. (a) is special populations; (b) 8 Section (i) is "Documents." 9 MS. FOX: Okay. Got you. 10 MS. BARNES: It's the last sentence. 11 We'll take it out. On Page 40, we took out -- it's 12 13 Organizational Framework, Finance, Financial 14 Management, Oversight, 2A. 15 MS. FOX: Okay. 16 MS. BARNES: We took out, two times, where 17 the authorizer would be notified, not when there was 18 employee discipline, because there would be so much 19 reporting to you, and not when there was a natural 20 disaster, because we figured they wouldn't think to 21 talk to you. And we put in complaints filed, 22 convictions and misappropriations of funds. 23 So, in this section on Page 40, we 24 tightened up what we thought you'd want to hear 25



about, and we took out what seemed like you wouldn't

want to know about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was done previously, and this is -those changes were done through the Connections
contract, but I think it is -- it is slightly
substantive.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I have a note here -- I'm going to have a hard time telling you -- it was on Page 52 of the red-letter document that's my old document. I don't know where it is in these, because I'm not seeing it here.

MS. BARNES: Okay. I can find it. Okay.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You listed all complaints filed against a school by governmental entities alleging violations of State" blah, blah, blah. It was under "Authorizer Notification," some category. But I wrote here that -- you put it here again; you listed a bunch of things there. The thing that was left out was sex harassment. I was wondering why sex harassment wasn't something that should be noticed.

MS. LEWIS: I put it back in. It's now in (b). "The conviction of any members of the school's governing body or staff for a crime punishable by a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude, or knowledge of inappropriate contact." And then the



cite to that is the NMAC that you're talking about. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But that word --3 you're saying "conviction." So, until they're convicted, it's not public -- it's -- what if 4 5 they're not convicted, even though they were 6 charged? 7 MS. LEWIS: PED will know about the 8 investigation. But it is a little -- it can be 9 viewed as bias to tell the authorizer in the middle 10 of the investigation. Because, let's say, the 11 investigation genuinely shows nothing, there was no 12 sexual harassment; then it could be argued by the 13 school's attorney that that was bias to bring it 14 before the PEC before the investigation was complete, especially if the person is absolved. 15 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I can understand 17 that. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But didn't we have 18 19 that situation with The Learning Community? 20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: At TLC, again, she 21 didn't -- or anybody. 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No. She decided 23 they were absolved. When we look at the 24 information, it was not clear that they should have 25 been.



MS. BARNES: So, I think Abby has just 1 2 brought in that regulation. So, that's the best we 3 can do is say, "You have to comply with that 4 regulation." 5 MS. FOX: But, Abby, does the reg use the 6 words "inappropriate contact"? Because sexual harassment can -- doesn't have to have contact. 7 8 MS. LEWIS: It uses the phrase 9 "inappropriate contact" and then defines it in four 10 ways that I think are too narrow and I wish were 11 better. But unfortunately, that's the reg that 12 we're stuck with. It defines it as offering a kid a 13 ride, touching a child -- I forgot. I can look it 14 up. But it gives four examples or so of what 15 "inappropriate contact" means. 16 MS. FOX: Let me see if I can just try and 17 clarify, so that I am clear. So, if a school has --18 someone brings a sexual harassment claim to a 19 principal of the school, does that -- in your mind, 20 we have to notify the CSD or whatever? MS. LEWIS: Well, it's written as 21 22 "conviction," so --MS. FOX: No, it's not. It says, "the 23 24 conviction of or knowledge of." 25 MS. LEWIS: I might be looking at a



```
different version.
 1
                        Sorry.
 2
               MS. FOX: Maybe you should say, instead of
     "knowledge of," "determination of."
 3
               MS. LEWIS: I don't have "or knowledge of"
 4
 5
     in my --
 6
               MS. FOX: All right. Let me make sure.
 7
               MS. LEWIS: Just to modify "inappropriate
 8
     contact, " not to modify the whole of (b).
 9
               MS. BARNES: I don't know what to do
10
     grammatically there.
11
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      It was in this
                                         "Knowledge
12
     red-letter one. It's right there.
13
     of" -- I assume we deleted that or something.
14
               MS. BARNES: We could move "knowledge of
15
     inappropriate contact" before "or the conviction",
16
     and then it would be clear.
17
               MS. FOX: Well, there's usually not a
18
     conviction for inappropriate contact. It's more a
19
     determination.
               MS. BARNES: I know.
20
                                     But that's why, if
21
     we -- coming after the word "conviction," it might
22
     look to modify it. So, if we move "knowledge of
23
     inappropriate contact" --
24
               MS. FOX: I don't want the word
25
     "knowledge" in there, because "knowledge of" -- it's
```



not determined yet. It's just -- you know, I've -- someone's brought a complaint to me, as a principal.

I haven't made a determination.

MS. BARNES: Are you looking that up, Abby?

I am, yeah. So, it's under MS. LEWIS: the standards -- Standard Code of Professional -the Education Profession has been invested by the public with an awesome trust and responsibility. "To live up to that lofty expectation, we must continually engender..." -- sorry. Blah, blah, blah. Subsection (b). Okay. "Shall not have inappropriate contact with any student, whether or not on school property, which includes, but is not limited to all forms of sexual touching, sexual relations or romantic relations, inappropriate touching, which is any physical touching, embracing, petting, hand-holding, or kissing that is unwelcome to a student or otherwise inappropriate, given the age, sex, and maturity of the student; any open displays of affection towards mostly boys or mostly girls; and offering or giving a ride to a student unless absolutely unavoidable, except where a student has missed his or her transportation and is unable to make reasonable substitute arrangements."



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Τ	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I agree with Sue,
2	because the word "verbal" is not in there. And it
3	can be verbal, can it not? Can't sexual harassment
4	be verbal?
5	MS. LEWIS: "Is not limited to" is our
6	saving grace.
7	MS. FOX: I just want to make sure. Any
8	teacher could well, the way that it's supposed to
9	work in the schools and the schools have
10	regulations say, if you're sexually harassed or
11	observe some of this stuff, you bring this complaint
12	to the principal. The principal then investigates,
13	because we all know that sometimes people make
14	allegations that are baseless; right? So, the
15	principal or somebody investigates.
16	And then my point is, really, to afford
17	everybody due process before it comes to you all,
18	someone ought to have made an initial determination
19	that, yes, that employee did do something wrong.
20	MS. BARNES: You want not "knowledge"; you
21	want another word?
22	MS. FOX: I want "a determination."
23	MS. BARNES: "A determination of
24	inappropriate contact."
25	MS. LEWIS: I'm comfortable with that,



```
because it's squishy now. It could be the
 1
 2
     determination of the licensing bureau; it could be
     the determining of the private investigator.
 3
 4
               MS. FOX:
                         Whoever.
                                   It could be the CR,
 5
     whoever.
                                   So, we added that and
 6
               MS. BARNES: Okay.
 7
     tightened it up. We took out a couple of things you
 8
     didn't want to know about. It took us a long time
 9
     to do that.
10
               Abby, you took out a section, 6.09.
11
               MS. LEWIS:
                           That was the continuing
12
     enrollment -- when I took sections out, I changed
13
     the -- the numbering changed on everything.
14
               MS. BARNES: So, we have to keep that in,
15
     because, with the conversation we had earlier,
16
     recurrent enrollment is a required thing in 9.1, and
17
     it's in Section 6-point -- it's in 1(q). It has to
     be there.
18
19
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      Are we doing
20
     6.01(b), Operational Reporting?
                                     Or have we come to
21
     that yet?
22
               MS. FOX: What's the heading?
23
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      The heading is
24
     "Operational Reporting."
25
               MS. LEWIS:
                           No, we're not there yet.
```



1 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. So, you're 2 still talking -- all right. 3 MS. BARNES: We're on Page 51. For you, 4 page -- it was Organizational Framework, Educational 5 1(g) is the school complying with the 6 annual recurrent enrollment target. Abby took that 7 out. However, I'm saying you can't take that out, 8 because 9.1 required a recurrent enrollment target. 9 That's what we've talked about five times already 10 today. So, it needs to stay in. So, I need to put 11 that back in. 12 MS. LEWIS: Got it. 13 MS. BARNES: 1(g) needs to go back in. 14 Okay. That's still in here. So, we're okay. You changed from 90 to 45 to mirror the 15 16 school board statute. So, this must be --17 MS. LEWIS: Is that the one we talked 18 about earlier? 19 MS. FOX: Tell me what heading you're on. 20 MS. BARNES: Let me find it for you. 21 MS. LEWIS: Governance and Reporting. 22 Operational Framework, Governance and Reporting. So, this says --23 MS. BARNES: 24 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: What page? 25 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Forty-two.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, then, you've
2	gone past what I was trying to raise the issue.
3	MS. BARNES: Okay. So, let's finish this
4	and come back. So, this is the 45-day/90-day. So
5	it's in here already. So, it's already in the
6	contract. The section that we said we wanted in the
7	contract is already here.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: My version here
9	that you have in our book here, on Page middle of
L O	Page 42 is, "School shall fill vacancy on its
L1	governing body no later than 90 days." So 90 days
L 2	is still in there.
L 3	MS. BARNES: That's right, but the
L 4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's what we
L 5	decided was to give 90 days?
L 6	MS. BARNES: No, Abby wants to change this
L 7	to 45.
L 8	ACTING CHAIR GANT: So do I.
L 9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Sue raised an issue
20	of a valid issue that some schools might have
21	trouble with 45.
22	MS. FOX: If that's the case, we can come
23	to CSD or you guys and ask for more time.
24	MS. LEWIS: Grant an extension for good
25	cause shown or something.



1 MS. BARNES: Okay. So 45 days. 2 MS. FOX: Might start a fire. That should never be a 3 MR. GERLICZ: 4 position where that has to happen. That's my 5 concern about giving them too much time. MS. BARNES: Commissioner Bergman, where 6 7 did you want to go back to? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 6.09(b). 8 It's the 9 last thing before Page 10 of what's in our book. 10 MS. BARNES: "The school shall provide the 11 following reports to the authorizer"? 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I wrote what 13 reports are we talking -- what reports do we want 14 listed? So, it's required in 15 MS. BARNES: I know. 16 the law that we say this. So that's why the section 17 is here. We have been putting -- it's been something that we filled in, and it's in the 18 19 worksheet. And, Karen, is it, like, three status 20 reports or something? Do you know? I'm not trying 21 to put you on the spot, if you don't know. 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Of course, schools 23 are now under Senate Bill 446 and are now required 24 to do their annual report anyway. That's one 25 The annual report would be all-inclusive, report.



would it not? 1 2 MS. EHLERT: It should be, yes. I'm not 3 really clear on the question. I'm sorry. 4 MS. BARNES: So, the law wants this 5 contract to include the reports that the authorizer 6 wants. 7 MR. GERLICZ: Annually? MS. BARNES: 8 Well, whenever. The history 9 of it was some of the local authorizers would call a 10 school and say, "Tomorrow, you need to give me this 11 report, " and, "Next week, give me that report, " and 12 so it felt arbitrary. 13 So, the law says the contract will 14 identify what the reports are. So, we're just 15 trying to have a section that says that. 16 So, I don't know -- I don't --17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm raising the 18 question, because I'm not even sure if I know what 19 all reports -- what schools are reporting, what all 20 they're reporting. 21 MR. GERLICZ: As needed. 22 MS. BARNES: That's what they don't want 23 us to do. Sue, do you? 24 MS. FOX: My schools are -- you know, the



schools like to have some idea of what's going to be

required. 1 2 MR. GERLICZ: I can't think of what would 3 be, other than our site visit reports to the 4 authorizers. 5 MS. BARNES: But you do the site visit 6 reports. 7 MS. FOX: Some of them have quarterly 8 reporting requirements. MR. RICHARDSON: 9 They have quarterly 10 reporting requirements, if it's to the CSD or to the 11 PED. If it's to the PED, we can't really control 12 that, really only one annual visit or one annual 13 review. 14 MR. GERLICZ: If there are additional 15 reports, the PEC has placed those conditions on the 16 school, telling them renewal, or at any other time. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, I'm not sure 18 that we need that. 19 MR. GERLICZ: I'm not sure we do, either. 20 MS. BARNES: So, we -- I think, last year, 21 we just had it there, and then I'm not quite sure 22 what happened with it. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm sure it's 24 understood that we, as Commissioners, want to see



that annual report on every school.

1	MR. GERLICZ: And then place conditions on
2	a school. And we do that.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And ask some of
4	them to report certain things to us, yes.
5	MS. BARNES: And you have a school report
6	due monthly now.
7	MR. GERLICZ: Yeah, La Jicarita; and
8	McCurdy is on that plan. So, that takes care of
9	that language in that section.
10	MS. BARNES: So, what are we doing with
11	the language? Are we taking it out or leaving it
12	blank and negotiating it, as needed?
13	MS. FOX: You might want to do that, in
14	case you've got a school that you want more frequent
15	reporting with.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's probably a
17	good idea.
18	MS. BARNES: I'm just saying, we have some
19	right now.
20	MS. FOX: You know, maybe someone who's
21	been approved with conditions? Something like that.
22	MS. BARNES: Why don't I put, "List other
23	reports, as negotiated between the parties, if
24	applicable."
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, those are



1 some good words, yeah. 2 MS. BARNES: Okay. Rachel just pulled, from the waiver, back to the word "standard." 3 "School grading will be used to inform acceptable 4 5 school standard for charter school sustainability." 6 MR. GERLICZ: That clears it up. 7 MS. FOX: We'll noodle through it with you 8 guys. 9 MS. BARNES: I don't mind trying to skirt 10 the word "standard," you know, that somehow we talk 11 about --MR. GERLICZ: But here's Sue referring --12 13 and I agree with the sentiment and I think I hear 14 the sentiment -- that we don't want to box schools 15 in with a definition of standards when it may not 16 fit. 17 MS. BARNES: Well, that's why I'm saying maybe we could say, "Is the school" -- something --18 19 "doing well under New Mexico's A-through-F grading 20 system, " or something. 21 Well, right. But, again, MR. GERLICZ: 22 having gone through these renewal processes most 23 recently, we like a much broader basket of variables 24 upon which we make a renewal decision, and not



getting boxed in by, "Oh, they didn't meet standards

1 here."

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2 Well, the law gives that breadth MS. FOX: And I just want to make sure 3 for -- on renewal. 4 that, you know, we're not contractually agreeing to 5 be absolutely bound that if we get a C, and yet we meet our own goals, as the law allows us to do --6 7 you know, we've sort of -- we addressed this issue 8 now, rather than on appeal.

MS. BARNES: "Fail to meet or make substantial progress towards achievement of the Department's minimum educational standards or student performance standard identified in the charter contract."

MR. GERLICZ: I feel very confident that if the Secretary or the Deputy Secretary for Policy was here, and we asked them that question, that they would say, "A C barely meets standards, if they're accredited, or they have a plan. And if they don't, then they don't meet" -- I'm fairly confident that's what they would say.

MS. FOX: Right. And what we used to call it is the "minimal educational standards."

MR. GERLICZ: That's been replaced by this.

MS. BARNES: It hasn't been replaced in





1	the statute, though. "Fail to make substantial
2	progress towards achievement of the Department's
3	minimum educational standard or student performance
4	standards identified in the charter contract."
5	MS. FOX: And the A, B, C, D, F does not
6	necessarily say what is the minimum.
7	MS. STOFOCIK: The standards, though, have
8	been changed in the law as the Common Core
9	standards. So, an "A through F" is measuring
10	will measure Common Core.
11	MR. GERLICZ: But standards for Common
12	Core are not the same implication as these
13	standards. These are standards of excellence, or
14	MS. STOFOCIK: I think "standards," from
15	what I read in the waiver, "standards of excellence"
16	in the law was replaced with "Common Core." That's
17	what it says in here.
18	MS. LEWIS: I wouldn't be surprised if
19	they haven't gotten around to doing it in the
20	testing, though, unfortunately.
21	MS. BARNES: If we are saying in the
22	contract, "Student performance standards identified
23	in the charter contract," if we are identifying them
24	as "A through F," you're still objecting to that.



As a -- well --

MS. FOX:

MR. GERLICZ: Well, minimum. 1 2 MS. BARNES: "Minimum" is in the first 3 phrase. What I'm saying, and trying to 4 MS. FOX: 5 point out, is it brings up a question mark for later 6 on, on renewal, when you get a school that's got a 7 poor letter grade or not an optimal letter grade, 8 but, yet, in the next section of the performance 9 standards, they've listed four or five 10 alternative --11 MR. GERLICZ: We've just had schools like 12 that. 13 Exactly, and we continue to do MS. FOX: 14 But those schools haven't actually been under 15 this performance contract. They've been in that 16 nebulous area. And, you know, then it brings up the 17 question to the PEC, and you all will have to decide, is that "D" grade going to trump the fact 18 19 that they met all of the four other -- other goals 20 that were very specific to what they were trying to 21 accomplish. 22 MR. GERLICZ: Right. That has yet to be 23 hashed out. 24 MS. FOX: And we hashed it out three years 25 But now, we've got this new framework that is ago.



still, I think, going to kick this can down the road a little bit.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's going to be a little hard to hash out, though, because each Commissioner looks at these, perhaps, differently and is going to have, within themselves, their own -- a "D" might mean one thing to me, and it might mean something else to Mr. Parker.

MS. FOX: Right.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And if they've met most of their goals, it might mean something to me and might mean something different to Commissioner Parker. So, I don't know if you can hash that out.

MS. FOX: I don't want the schools to box themselves into an argument that, "Oh, you signed this document; therefore, you're agreeing that these -- this 'A through F' standard trumps anything else, as -- as the State's minimal education standard."

I think that the statute itself because it has that "or" in it, you know, that's the place where it's just vague, and we have to deal with it as it comes up. But I'm trying to just draw that to everybody's attention now, so that --

MS. BARNES: Well, let me just tell you





what -- and, you know, Tony has said this to a lot of schools. One of the reasons to come up with more alternative indicators is that you give the Commissioners more information about your school. So, if you do have a poor grade, you at least have other things they're also looking at.

And that -- and, in fact, we've kind of made the reverse argument, that if you only keep "A through F" and one mission-specific indicator, you only have done the minimum, you have boxed yourself in. You are hanging your hat on "A through F."

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And you're right back to my argument about the goals for that very reason, because it's my personal -- I'm speaking personally now -- is I do not want to hang my hat just on an "A through F" grade. There, even in that system -- which I agree with, by the way -- it's still somewhat subjective, because it's made up -- it was established by people. People are always subjective, to some extent.

And that's why I want other indicators there that will help inform me of what's the importance of that "C" or what's the importance of that "D," and did the school identify within their goals on things that they needed to correct, and



1 then did they do whatever was necessary to meet 2 those goals. And, so, I might look at a "D" grade. 3 But 4 if they met all their goals and they were good 5 goals, I'm probably going to convince myself, well, I might be able to vote for this school. 6 7 Commissioner is going to do it differently. 8 MS. BARNES: Tony has made that point many 9 times. 10 MR. GERLICZ: Well, that's a standard. 11 MS. FOX: I just wanted to bring it up. 12 agree wholeheartedly, and I'm going to be telling my 13 clients to give you tons of goals. 14 MR. GERLICZ: We will sift through those with them. 15 Sift through -- right, right. 16 MS. FOX: 17 But I just wanted to bring that up, you know, as a matter of record, that, at least until Patti and I 18 19 are convinced that we do have minimum -- defined 20 minimum educational standards from the Secretary, 21 we're going to probably quibble with that word 22 "standard" --23 MS. BARNES: Okay. 24 MS. FOX: -- if it comes to an appeal.



COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:

25

I'll put my two

cents' worth in, because while, in some ways, I
agree with Commissioner Bergman, in others, I don't,
because I haven't been able to figure out how those
grades come up year after year on small schools,
where you don't have enough people to statistically
smooth it out and really see where that grade is
going when they can jump so.

I don't have a problem with big schools, with the big school systems. I have a big problem with small schools. And that's what the charter schools are, in trying to figure out how that grade comes out.

And I really am pretty good at math and statistics, and if I can't figure out how my grandkids' schools go one grade up or down each year, then I'm going to have problems accepting that as the "capital S" standard for anything, as opposed to one way of looking at it, but not one for a small school. So --

MS. BARNES: Okay. It's almost a quarter till. We did talk about -- and we can pick up the rest of this document tomorrow morning.

ACTING CHAIR GANT: Yeah.

MS. BARNES: If it doesn't feel too -- too much, I would like just to have the CSD staff have





you look at these -- the tools that they're creating 1 2 that will result in reports to you, with background 3 that you can go look at in a similar way, the way that they kind of roll up to you for renewals, if we 4 5 can do that. 6 So, I suggest tomorrow, we start on 7 Page 23 of the chart and keep going through it. The 8 lawyers are going to talk tonight just about how to 9 address the notices -- and material terms, right --10 and when an amendment is. Is that okay with 11 everybody? It's a little bit of a random place to 12 stop. 13 So, we're going to look at -- Tony, I'm 14 You're more familiar with where these 15 The site visit form? documents are. 16 MR. GERLICZ: Just go to the -- I think 17 it's the D. Go to the chart right in front of 6, 18 Tab 6, which chart, the site visit is --19 MS. BARNES: So it's D1. 20 MR. GERLICZ: D1. So, I just want to take just 21 MS. BARNES: 22 a minute and have the staff show you what that 23 document is. And, Ron, if you will -- or --24 Actually, Brad and I would --MS. EHLERT:



Brad, do the roll-up?

MS. BARNES:

25

So,

just show you those two. And the form after that is the contract worksheet, which we've already shown you, which is taking every blank from the contract and performance framework and putting it into a document. Okay? Do you want to -- so it's D1.

MS. EHLERT: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, it's really wonderful to be able to show you this, because it's our lifeblood when we go out to the schools. It's such a helpful tool.

Brad Richardson is with me here. Brad is really the architect of this document. I joined in at the eleventh hour to just help complete the whole process and its development. And what we thought we would do is Brad would just walk you through a brief overview of the document itself.

And if we have time, I can use one example to show how it connects directly to the framework that you've been looking at. And I don't know if we really have time to do that or not, because you wanted to also look at the roll-up document, as well.

MS. BARNES: I think we can just show them the roll-up, because the roll-up is just a document that summarizes everything onto a two-page sheet.

MS. EHLERT: So, Brad will begin.





MR. RICHARDSON: Good afternoon, 1 2 Commissioners. The -- I don't have a copy of the roll-up report, that single page. But I take it 3 that you all have seen it or looked at it? 4 5 MS. BARNES: It's right here; it's D2. MR. RICHARDSON: If you don't find it, can 6 7 I just pop that out? 8 MS. BARNES: I'll pop them out. 9 there's a --10 MR. RICHARDSON: This is a reduced version 11 Let me start by saying, in order for us to 12 have gotten to this point (indicates) we had to take 13 the performance framework and contract and pull from 14 that everything that you see here in here. This report card is what we propose that 15 This 16 you will receive each year for each school. 17 would be a one-page, front-to-back summary of all of the elements that are in the performance framework. 18 19 So, you're going to see on the front page -- basic 20 information about the school, the mission, how many grades they serve, where they're located, what their 21 22 student demographics look like. 23 Next to that, you'll see there's "State 24 Grade, " and you'll see each box, year one, year two,



So, as the years progress,

year three, year four.

you'll see maybe the first year, they got a grade of "C"; the second year, they got a grade of "B"; the next year, they got a grade of "A," or whatever it might be. You'll be able to track the progress of the school each year.

Then underneath that, you're going to see the -- we drilled down a little bit into the grade report. So, you'll see "Current Standing," "School Growth," Q3, Q1 and so forth, year by year. You'll see that cumulative record grow as we go.

MS. BARNES: Now, Brad, isn't it on a bigger sheet, and it's color-coded, so --

MR. RICHARDSON: It is on a bigger sheet. I don't know what you have. But it will be on a bigger sheet than this. So, I hope it will be easier for folks to read.

MS. BARNES: And color-coded will be easier for folks to see.

MR. RICHARDSON: Right. It will be color-coded, based on the color codes of the performance framework. And you'll see, at the bottom of each section, what the colors will be.

So, for example, on this front page, for the New Mexico "A to F" grading system, you'll see green -- if you see green in a box, you'll know that

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



that exceeds standards. If you see a blue in the box, you'll see that it meets standards. Orange does not meet standards, and red falls far below.

So, in a quick visual glance, you'll be able to see, is this a school that's bleeding green, bleeding red, bleeding yellow? Is it a mixed bag? You'll be able to see trends, I think, fairly quickly that way. So that's one of the things we're trying to do with this.

MS. BARNES: And we talked about doing a one-year roll-up. But we just came down to seeing the trend might be so helpful to you that why would we even do that other document? So --

MR. RICHARDSON: A single year --

MS. BARNES: A single year.

MR. RICHARDSON: -- let's do the cumulative, so we can see the progression as we go. And as you review this document, you may say, "You know what? There's an awful lot of yellows and red on this particular school." We want to pull that aside and have a conversation with that school, or with you, CSD, about what's going on at that school. And that gives you a chance to drill down.

MS. BARNES: And we might end up with them having a corrective action plan or something, or



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

additional conditions added to the organizational framework, or whatever you set.

MR. RICHARDSON: But organizationally on this, you'll see that it's organized like the performance framework. The first section is the academic performance framework, which includes the State grade, "A to F," and it includes their performance indicators; so, mission-specific, optional, supplemental, and so forth. So, that's in that first section.

When you turn to the back side, you'll see the financial performance framework. And, again, you're going to see the color code keyed underneath each section, because they vary slightly. In some cases, you have "meets" and "doesn't meet" and "falls far below," and in some cases, you see that it "exceeds," "meets," and "doesn't meet." And, so, it's a little bit of a mixed bag there. So, you have to be careful with that.

Then Section 3 is the Organizational

Performance Framework. And under that are the subheadings, the Educational Plan, the Business

Management Oversight, Governance and Reporting,

Employees, and the School Environment. These are all the headings that you find in the Performance



1 Framework.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

Now, sitting behind or underneath each of these, each year -- because you'll get this each year -- but underneath this each year is this report.

MS. BARNES: Which is due, what?

MR. RICHARDSON: This is Annex D1 in your notebook. This is the annual review of State-chartered schools. We propose to use this tool for all our visits each year, since the

school for each of these headings for each of these

expectations need to be -- we need to rate each

they doing each year. So, we want to use this each

items each year, so you'll be able to see how are

15 year.

What we'll simply do -- on the cover,
you'll see, we'll say, "This school is under
contract," but, of course we have a dual economy.
There are some schools that aren't under contract,

as yet. So, we might check that box still for a good number of the schools.

good number of the schools.

And checked under that box will be is this a year one report? Year two report? Year three report? Or year four report?

Then -- and, again, this is organized in





exactly the same way. This is organized under the three performance frameworks. So, you have the Academic Performance Framework section, the Financial Performance Framework section, and the Organizational Performance Framework section. And within that, again, we have to -- at least for the short term, we have to account for the dual economy that we have with schools.

Some schools are under contract, and they will be rated accordingly; and some schools just are operating under their approved charter, and they won't be rated in that way.

So, what you can expect, then, to receive at the end of this year is one of these for each of the schools that are under contract (indicates), plus, you'll have reports on the performance of those schools that are just under the charter. But they won't be rated in the same way. And that's what you're going to see in this annual report.

You'll see that, in each section, there's the heading, the question that's being asked in the performance framework. And then we have a lot of sub-questions beneath those -- that one overall question.

For example, the ELL. "Are you providing



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

support for your ELL students?" Well, what does that mean?

Well, if you look at that section, you'll see there's five, six questions that we ask and that we ask for evidence that they're doing those things.

Then we can say -- for the charter school, we can -- the schools operating under the charter, we can say, "Yes, they've met that requirement."

For the schools that are under the performance contract, depending on how many yeses and how many noes, we might end up saying, "They're meeting that -- that standard," or, "They're working to meet that standard," and we'll issue that.

Now, it could be that when we visit a school, we might visit it at -- you know, in March. Well, they may not have all the information they need in order for us to fill out absolutely everything.

For example, the Academic Performance indicator, they may have given you a goal for growth in their short-cycle assessment from fall to spring. Well, if we visit with them, say, in March, they won't have the spring -- that spring data. So, we're going to ask them to send us the -- any missing data by the end of the school year, say,



June -- 1st of June or so. That will allow us to wrap up the work that we need to do with them in order to create this report.

So, this report will help us populate this report. And at the end of four years, you'll have each box on this report filled. And underneath that, you'll have four of these. (Indicates.)

So, if you look at this, and you see,

"Gosh, what's going on at this school? There's a

yellow, a yellow, a red, and a red. Can I see those
reports," they will be -- they'll be available, and
you can certainly see that.

MS. BARNES: Commissioner Gant, part of the conversation we had earlier today is how are we going to deal with these reports and how are you going to take some action on them? At least our thinking, 'cause we're looking at your schedule, August is a really busy month for you, you know. You already are going on all these -- you know, your public meetings, and you're looking at the new applications.

So, could we move it earlier? Maybe we can. But we need to wait for the school grade to come out, which comes out by, like, the second week of July, usually.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	So, we're targeting, potentially, the July
2	meeting for us to give us a bunch of these, and then
3	you to say, "You know, there's three of them that
4	concern me. Let's talk about those three schools."
5	Because by the time you have 60 schools under
6	contract, you're going to need a way that you get
7	the whole packet; you flip through; you see, "Okay,
8	okay, good job, good job. Oh, wow. What happened
9	here?"
10	So, you're going to have to identify what
11	schools you want to talk about. So, it's a little
12	bit the schools getting us the final data and the
13	"A through F" grading and your busy fall schedule
14	make it a little tricky.
15	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Are excuse are
16	the backup data if we look at one of these
17	yeah you're building the system where and I
18	can't remember a word right now where we can dip
19	in and see any document?
20	MR. GERLICZ: SharePoint.
21	ACTING CHAIR GANT: That's going to be on
22	the SharePoint.
23	MR. RICHARDSON: I'm assuming it is.
24	MR. GERLICZ: That's our intent.



I think it needs to be

MR. RICHARDSON:

accessible, however it works. 1 2 MR. GERLICZ: On that point, Commissioner Gant, before we ever get the PEC onto 3 SharePoint, we're obviously going to have a lot of 4 5 training and conversation and all of that. But the intent is, yes, these documents are available for --6 7 for anyone by anyone at any time. 8 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Okav. 9 MS. BARNES: Also, there's a requirement 10 for the school to get pretty immediate feedback. 11 And, so, they're working on how do they populate 12 these, you know. Because Sue's question is, "Well, 13 how do our schools know how they're doing?" 14 And they should be able to get fairly immediate feedback with this chart, and we should be 15 16 able to pre-populate a bit of it, going in. 17 they'll have the tool; they'll know what the tool looks like. It'll match the performance framework. 18 19 What I really see CSD did was take the 20 performance framework and really fill it in in a way 21 that I thought was pretty remarkable. So -- I 22 don't -- you are welcome to comment, but --23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, this -- this is 24 going to be available to anybody that wants to get



on their computer and look? Parents?

25

Non-parents?

MR. GERLICZ: That's the idea behind 1 2 SharePoint. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: In other words, is 4 it public information? 5 MR. GERLICZ: No, no, no, no, no. Things that are on the PEC site will be for PEC eyes only. 6 7 MS. LEWIS: Well, not under IPRA. 8 interpreted that to be your question. 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just was curious 10 whether -- who was going to be look- -- can 11 everybody look at this, or is that -- is it for 12 their use and our use? For everybody's use? 13 MS. BARNES: Well, they can't -- they can 14 ask for it, but it's not -- you will have a login to 15 SharePoint. 16 MR. GERLICZ: IPRA sort of transcends a 17 lot of things. But in terms of this document, 18 that's our intent of how we're going to use it. 19 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just was curious. 20 MS. LEWIS: It was somewhat provided under 21 But my guess is there would be a lot of IPRA. 22 things blacked out, but it would still be subject to 23 that. 24 MS. BARNES: And this roll-up document 25 will be presented at a PEC meeting. That'll be



1 there, so... 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That will be very 3 helpful, yes, to have this little one-page document when we're talking about 60 different schools, 4 5 because -- what is that? A 55 page document? times 55, that's like reading War and Peace five 6 7 times or something, you know. 8 MS. BARNES: If you're Commissioner 9 Toulouse, you're doing it on your iPhone. 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No, my iPad. 11 MS. EHLERT: Do we have time to go through 12 an example, just a quick one? This might answer 13 some of your questions, Commissioner Bergman. 14 If you have the document -- I believe on Page 36, if -- if it matches your document, mine to 15 16 yours, at the bottom left-hand corner of Page 36, I 17 think you'll find a small box on the left side that says "Framework Reference." 18 19 And under that, No. 1, Educational Plan, 20 which is part of the organizational piece of the 21 framework. And then a No. 1.c. with a question, "Is 22 the school protecting the rights of English Language 23 Learner students?"



That question comes directly from the

And if you stay in that left column and

framework.

24

move to the next page, you'll notice it also has the language that was included in the framework. And then, below that, in a light-colored green -- or you may have black and white -- it says, "Sources." And that's really to trigger our preliminary work before we go to the school.

We look at R-drive records; that's where we keep all of the archival documents. Reports from the Bilingual Bureau. We contact the bureaus and ask for reports on the schools. We look at S.T.A.R.S. data. We look at -- on the school site, we look at the school's registration packet. look at assessment records. We look at the staffing they have for ELL services. We look at license We look at a list of students that endorsements. have exited the program, if they have any, professional development records, and so on.

Now, this whole section on ELL learners next is required by State and federal law. So, now, if we move to that middle column, back on Page 36, you'll notice the first item we look at is "Home Language Survey," which is required for any student entering a school. That home language survey has to be completed. And if any items indicate a language other than English, what we ask for next is what is



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

required by law.

We look at "Language Assessment," as you follow on, on Page 37 in the middle column. We look at "ELL Students Identified." We look at numbers of students who have been identified. We look at the services and staffing. We look at, again, whether or not they have teachers who are TESL-endorsed, which they must have if they have ELL students on board. Have the teachers been trained in and are they implementing ELL instructional strategies, and so forth.

So, those are the items -- let's see. It continues to Page 38. The last item is, "Exited from ELL Status."

Now, those are all the questions we ask the school. We ask the principal. We look at records. We might interview a teacher. And that's where we get our information to be able to check the box, "Is the school respecting the rights of ELL student learners?"

Below that, on Page 38, you'll see this great big green box, sort of grayed-out box. We have two separate rating systems here. It's kind of like, at the end of that question, we determine, if the school is not under contract, whether they're



meeting the law or not. That's the first section 1 there, where it says, "Schools operating under an 2 approved contract, " "Charter not under contract." 3 So, if they're not under contract, we're 4 5 going to say "yes" or "no, they're meeting the law," or, "they're working to meet," if we've identified 6 7 some items. 8 Below that, that rating scale relates 9 directly to the framework for schools under 10 contract. So, you'll see what you have seen in the 11 framework. Boxes that say "meets," "working to 12 meet, " or "falls far below." So, we have that dual 13 system going. 14 And at the end of each section, each question, we make a rating, which then transfers to 15 16 the framework, and to the roll-up tool. 17 So, do you have any questions about that? This is just one example that we do look at a lot to 18 19 determine the answer to those framework questions. Thank you. 20 21 ACTING CHAIR GANT: I've got one question, 22 if I may. 23 MS. EHLERT: Yeah. 24 ACTING CHAIR GANT: It's not on that --



just what you presented. But just off the top, I

1	was looking at you do your enrollment it's
2	on Page 27. I'm just thumbing through, and it
3	jumped out. You use the 40-day count, okay?
4	MS. EHLERT: Yes.
5	ACTING CHAIR GANT: So, you have a 40-day
6	count, but you come up at the start of the next
7	semester, and whatever their count is there
8	determines how much money they're going to get for
9	the rest of the school year. So, how do you account
10	for that 40-, 80-, 120-day?
11	MS. EHLERT: For the following year, are
12	you talking about? I mean, the 40-day count is the
13	one that's used to fund the school for the current
14	year, whatever that year is.
15	ACTING CHAIR GANT: But that can be
16	changed at the start of the next semester.
17	MR. GERLICZ: Well, there's an adjustment
18	made.
19	MS. EHLERT: Right.
20	ACTING CHAIR GANT: So, how do you keep
21	track of that?
22	MS. EHLERT: Through the Budget Bureau.
23	MR. GERLICZ: We don't through our
24	division. But that's the domain of the Budget
25	Bureau.



Τ	ACTING CHAIR GANT: But that's not
2	important to say, well, we had some schools that had
3	too many kids. They may be just right in the 40-day
4	count. It may be just right at the 40-day count,
5	and come the 80-day count, they're up and over.
6	MS. BARNES: Do you check the 40-, 80- and
7	120-day count to see if anybody
8	MS. EHLERT: We have all that data
9	available to us. And it's certainly easy to do. We
L 0	can include that here. We use the 40-day, because
L1	that's been the standard reporting date for
L 2	enrollment over time. It's just been the standard.
L 3	So, we don't end up with maybe a number that's an
L 4	80-day and a number that's a 40-day, you know, from
L 5	year to year. So
L 6	ACTING CHAIR GANT: The 40-day count from
L 7	year to year.
L 8	MS. EHLERT: That's what we have been
L 9	using. We certainly can include the rest.
20	ACTING CHAIR GANT: So, what the
21	standard the traditional public schools have to
22	report, the 80, the 120
23	MS. EHLERT: Correct.
24	ACTING CHAIR GANT: and then they're
25	dinged if they're if they say they're going to



1	get so many at the 40-day count, and they come up in
2	the start of the next semester, and they're over
3	that, they take the money away from them and all
4	that.
5	MS. BARNES: That's true of charters, too.
6	MS. EHLERT: And the School Budget
7	Bureau
8	ACTING CHAIR GANT: I'm just curious why
9	it's 40-day.
10	MS. EHLERT: Right. We do get a report
11	from the Budget Bureau, and they're the ones that
12	monitor that and work with that.
13	ACTING CHAIR GANT: So, I go back to these
14	schools that were over and how long they were going
15	at it more than one year.
16	MS. EHLERT: We're very conscious of that.
17	MS. BARNES: So, they were under at 40 and
18	over at 80?
19	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Well, whatever they
20	were over, they were over, regardless.
21	MS. EHLERT: We can certainly add that to
22	this document.
23	ACTING CHAIR GANT: This also impacts the
2.4	lease assistance program



Thank you for the suggestion.

MS. EHLERT:

We'll do that.

MS. BARNES: So D1 and D2, these two documents, we're thinking don't need to be approved by you, because it's how you're going to get the information from staff.

Certainly, if you have comments, that would be great. But in terms of this chart, we'll put an example in there for you. But, unlike the contract and the performance framework, I don't think you'll be approving it. So, that's why, on the chart, sometimes it says, you know, that it's not applicable that you need to -- that needs to be approved.

But I know that you wanted to see all of the ways in which CSD is doing the work to come and report to you. So --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I -- I think I've indicated earlier that I'm not sure there is any such thing as internal documents, that since everything revolves around authorizing -- but I am willing to concede that this could be called an internal document, as long as the staff would be willing to -- if one or more of us, as Commissioners, wanted to make some suggestions, as was just done, that folks would be amenable to that



1 kind of thing.

MS. BARNES: Well, that's certainly why we are presenting.

MR. GERLICZ: Whatever helps our work.

MS. BARNES: You know, part of the concern is is that if you've approved of a document, and they get out in the field, and there's a better way to deal with it, do they need to bring it back to you before they can use it again. So, I think that there are some logistical reasons for having forms.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Sure, yeah.

MS. BARNES: And there are really good reasons for presenting them to you and letting you see what they're using.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I agree with that, because most documents -- yeah, documents that are formulated in an ideal world, when they meet the real world, they find out that real world and ideal world are not always the same two things. That's why we're revising now some documents.

And I agree with what the Chairman said in a previous meeting. We can't just keep on revising these documents into eternity. But if they need to be revised, they need to be revised.

MS. BARNES: And part of that tension is





```
put on by approving them. If you say, "I don't
 1
 2
     approve them, but thank you for showing them to me,"
     then they can.
 3
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm amenable to
 4
 5
     that, as long as I might be given an opportunity
     somewhere down the road to make a suggestion.
 6
 7
               MS. BARNES: Absolutely. Absolutely.
 8
     So -- and then the chart shows that there will be
 9
     kind of an annual -- let's take a look at the site
10
     visit form, how did it work, you know.
                                             I don't
11
     think it'll take as long next year. But I think
12
     this is consistently making sure these documents
13
     work.
14
               How is this meeting working for you guys?
15
     And how about tomorrow?
                              Is it working okay?
16
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Works great for me.
17
     I love this, yeah.
18
               MS. BARNES: Without putting you on the
19
     spot, like, Tony, what's working? Anything we need
20
     to change about -- it's so tedious, I know.
21
               MR. GERLICZ: It's like watching grass
22
     grow.
                            What's working for you, do
23
               MS. BARNES:
24
     you think?
```



MR. GERLICZ:

Well, we're getting through

it. I think, again, we are fond of keeping our 1 2 vision in front of us. And our vision is, if we get through all this document stuff, then we can get to 3 So, let's just get going. 4 the heart of the matter. 5 I'm looking forward to the conversation on the 6 application. 7

MS. BARNES: Commissioner Parker?

COMMISSIONER PARKER: I think when we first met, you or Kelly, or someone, had made a comment that this was the perfect time to join the Commission. And you're absolutely right, because this is exactly what I need. So, this is working good.

MS. BARNES: Okay, good. Commissioner Toulouse, any comments?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: For me, since I missed the work session last month, I had to catch But I have caught up. I -- again, being the bureaucrat that I was, you learn to read backwards quickly and figure where you are. And I think you have to have these kind of sessions.

I'm not going to say I enjoy sitting in all of these. But I figure if I have to sit, I'm going to contribute. But it's a necessity for whenever you're working in a system. You have to



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



have a way that everybody understands where you are 1 2 and where you're going and where you've been. think we have to do these, and I think it's working 3 4 well, from that standpoint. 5 MS. BARNES: Okay. Commissioner Gant, any 6 comments? 7 ACTING CHAIR GANT: I'm fine with it. 8 Just to let you know, I won't be here in the 9 morning. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, I'm going to 11 skew the gavel. 12 ACTING CHAIR GANT: I have a PSCOC meeting 13 in the morning. 14 MS. BARNES: Abby, how is this working for 15 you? 16 MS. LEWIS: Fine. MS. BARNES: That's the shortest answer 17 18 I've ever heard you give. 19 MS. LEWIS: I was letting Julia know, I've also been tasked with bill review for the whole AG's 20 21 Office. So, you may see my eyes glaze over, and I 22 may be, unfortunately, doing -- because the LFC 23 gives us a 24-hour turnaround. They don't care about any reason why you're not doing it. 24 They just 25 want it to be done.



MS. BARNES: Commissioner Bergman? 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I think 3 it's fine. And I will say, I agree with the other We're not going to have to do this in the 4 5 future. I think this is a one-time deal, what we're 6 doing here right now. Once we get these forms 7 tweaked this time, the future -- we're not going to 8 have to have marathons. I truly believe that. 9 Once we get these documents -- because, as 10 I say, I agree with the Chairman. We don't need to 11 be -- there's always going to be little minor 12 But this is a one-time deal is the way I'm tweaks. 13 viewing this. We're not going to have to do this 14 next year, now. 15 ACTING CHAIR GANT: Commissioner Convers? 16 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I'm doing fine. Ι 17 appreciate Tony's comment on the grass growing, and 18 I plan to use it in the future. 19 MS. BARNES: What time tomorrow morning? 20 ACTING CHAIR GANT: 9:00. 21 MS. BARNES: 9:00 works. Abby, if you can 22 wait, and Sue? Or maybe we've figured it out. Wе 23 were going to -- and I think we're planning on 24 having a good draft, keeping up with the draft, and 25 having a good draft for you for Friday. Clearly,



1	we'll have the performance framework. But the other
2	contract documents, which are the two that I think
3	are important to vote on; the others, we have more
4	time, so
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I assume we're safe
6	just leaving everything sitting here like this?
7	There aren't any gremlins that run around this place
8	that come in and
9	MS. BARNES: Whoever wants to steal these
10	documents
11	MS. LEWIS: If, for some reason, you've
12	got some things among your things that are
13	attorney-client privilege, I would ask that you take
14	those with you, as I will be taking mine. But other
15	than that
16	MS. BARNES: Okay. Tomorrow morning?
17	ACTING CHAIR GANT: Tomorrow at 9:00.
18	We're in recess.
19	(Proceedings in recess at 5:14 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
7	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
9	transcript of proceedings had before the said PUBLIC
10	EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New
11	Mexico, County of Santa Fe in the matter therein
12	stated.
13	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
14	hand on January 21, 2014.
15	
16	1. 70 . 10 . 1
17	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
18	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
19	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	,



Job No.: 9407K