| BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION                            |
|---|
| STATE OF NEW MEXICO   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS   |
| SPECIAL MEETING - PUBLIC HEARING<br>June 18, 2015                 |
| 1:00 p.m.<br>Jerry Apodaca Education Building - Mabry Hall        |
| 300 Don Gaspar<br>Santa Fe, New Mexico                            |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219             |
| Bean & Associates, Inc.<br>Professional Court Reporting Service   |
| 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630<br>Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 |
|   |
|   |
| JOB NO.: 3083L(CC)  |
|   |





| 1        | APPEARANCES  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | COMMISSIONERS:   |
| 3<br>4   | MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair<br>MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Vice Chair<br>MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Secretary     |
| 5        | MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER<br>MR. JEFF CARR<br>MR. JAMES CONYERS                                     |
| 6<br>7   | MS. PATRICIA GIPSON<br>MS. MILLIE POGNA<br>MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE                                     |
| 8        | STAFF:   |
| 9        | MS. JULIA BARNES, Attorney for the PEC   |
| 10       | MR. MATT PAHL, Policy Director, PED  |
| 11       | MS. JULIE LUCERO, General Manager, Options for Parents   |
| 12       | MR. JOSHUA GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General,  |
| 13       | Counsel to the PEC   |
| 14       | MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Records and PED Liaison to the PEC                              |
| 15<br>16 | For the Health Sciences Academy:   |
| 17       | MR. MARK T. BAKER<br>PEIFER, HANSON & MULLINS, P.A.<br>20 First Plaza Center, Northwest, Suite 725 |
| 18       | Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  |
| 19       | For the New Mexico Public Education Department:  |
| 20       | MR. DANIEL M. HILL<br>Office of General Counsel  |
| 21       | New Mexico Public Education Department<br>300 Don Gaspar Avenue                                    |
| 22       | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501-2744  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |



| 1  |   |            | 3 |
|----|---|------------|---|
|    |   |            | 3 |
| 1  | INDEX   |            |   |
| 2  |   | PAGE       |   |
| 3  | 1 Call to Order, Roll Call, Pledge of Allegiance and Salute                           | 5          |   |
| 4  | to the New Mexico Flag  |            |   |
| 5  | 2 Approval of Agenda  | 6          |   |
| 6  | 3 Hearing on the Revocation of the Charter for Health Sciences Academy Charter School | 7          |   |
| 7  | OPENING STATEMENTS  |            |   |
| 9  | By Mr. Hill<br>By Mr. Baker   | 31<br>36   |   |
| 10 | RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES:   |            |   |
| 11 | EXAMINATION OF JACOB MONTAÑO  |            |   |
| 12 | By Mr. Baker<br>By Commissioners  | 43<br>62   |   |
| 13 | EXAMINATION OF MELANIE BEEGLE   |            |   |
| 14 | By Commissioner Gipson  | 66         |   |
| 15 | EXAMINATION OF BRIAN ORMAND   |            |   |
| 16 | By Mr. Baker  | 82         |   |
| 17 | By Commissioners  | 89         |   |
| 18 | PUBLIC COMMENT  | 122        |   |
| 19 | CLOSING STATEMENTS  |            |   |
| 20 | By Mr. Hill<br>By Mr. Baker   | 128<br>130 |   |
| 21 | DELIBERATIONS BY COMMISSION   | 133        |   |
| 22 | DECISION By COMMISSION  | 160        |   |
| 23 | 4 Adjourn   | 161        |   |
| 24 |   | 1.60       |   |
| 25 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  | 163        |   |

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MAIN OFFICE
201 Third NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 843-9494
FAX (505) 843-9492
1-800-669-9492
e-mail: info@litsupport.com





| 1  | THE CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, I call         |
|----|---|
| 2  | to order this Special Meeting of the New Mexico |
| 3  | Public Education Commission.                    |
| 4  | Mr. Secretary, may we have roll call?           |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?       |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.                       |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |
| 8  | Toulouse?                                       |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.                 |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |
| 11 | Armbruster?                                     |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Present.               |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |
| 14 | Conyers? (No response.)                         |
| 15 | Commissioner Peralta is here.                   |
| 16 | Commissioner Gipson?                            |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.                      |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |
| 19 | Chavez? (No response.)                          |
| 20 | Commissioner Carr?                              |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.                        |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |
| 23 | Bergman?  |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Here.                     |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner              |



| 1  | Shearman?  |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE CHAIR: Here.                                   |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, you             |
| 4  | have eight members of the Commission present.      |
| 5  | THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. I                  |
| 6  | declare we have a quorum for this hearing.         |
| 7  | Next item is Pledge of Allegiance and              |
| 8  | Salute to the New Mexico Flag. Commissioner        |
| 9  | Toulouse and Gipson, please?                       |
| 10 | (Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the            |
| 11 | New Mexico Flag conducted.)                        |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, all.                         |
| 13 | In case anyone has come in since I said it         |
| 14 | before, if you want to speak during the Public     |
| 15 | Comment section of this hearing, the sign-up sheet |
| 16 | is out in the lobby, okay? Thank you very much.    |
| 17 | Commissioners, we're to Item No. 2, which          |
| 18 | is Approval of the Agenda. As you know, we may     |
| 19 | reorder things, but we may not add anything to the |
| 20 | agenda.  |
| 21 | Any comments on the agenda?                        |
| 22 | Hearing none, may I hear a motion to               |
| 23 | approve?   |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER POGNA: I do.                          |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.                         |



| 1  | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna moves to               |
|----|--|
| 2  | approve. Commissioner Carr seconds.                  |
| 3  | Any discussion?                                      |
| 4  | All those in favor, please say "Aye."                |
| 5  | (Commissioners so indicate.)                         |
| 6  | THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."             |
| 7  | (No response.)                                       |
| 8  | THE CHAIR: The agenda is unanimously                 |
| 9  | approved.  |
| 10 | Item No. 3 is the Hearing on Revocation of           |
| 11 | the Charter of Health Sciences Academy Charter       |
| 12 | School.  |
| 13 | Is everyone ready? All right.                        |
| 14 | This is a hearing of the New Mexico Public           |
| 15 | Education Commission, hereinafter, "the Commission." |
| 16 | This hearing will come to order at exactly 1:05 p.m. |
| 17 | on Thursday, June 18, 2015.                          |
| 18 | This hearing is being conducted at the               |
| 19 | Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall,        |
| 20 | 300 Don Gaspar, Santa Fe, New Mexico. The purpose    |
| 21 | of this hearing is to take evidence concerning the   |
| 22 | revocation of the charter of Health Sciences         |
| 23 | Academy.   |
| 24 | I am Commissioner Carolyn Shearman, and I            |
| 25 | will preside over this hearing. I will make          |



evidentiary and procedural rulings, and those rulings are final.

Julia Barnes, our contract attorney, will advise me in this matter.

This hearing is being conducted in accordance with the Charter School Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 22-8B-1 to 17.1, 1978, as amended through 2015.

This hearing is being recorded by our court reporter, Cindy Chapman.

To provide an accurate record, I will ask that only one person speak at a time and that everyone speak in a clear voice that can be heard, since the recorder will not pick up nods, gestures, or soft-spoken answers.

I also will ask everyone present to please silence all telephones and electronic equipment at this time.

The hearing is scheduled for approximately three hours. The Commission will provide 20 minutes for opening statements, ten minutes each; 60 minutes for each side to present the case, including the examination of witnesses and questions by Commissioners; 20 minutes for public comment, followed by 20 minutes for closing arguments, ten



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

minutes each.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Time will stop during questioning by Commissioners or our attorney.

Ms. Beverly Friedman will serve as our official timekeeper. And, Beverly, I know you have flashcards that you will hold up to help people to understand how much time they have left. So, please --

MS. FRIEDMAN: And when you're finished, (bell rings).

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

We will limit all testimony and public comment to issues identified as being within the scope of the hearing. The scope of the hearing has been set out in the Notice of Intent to Revoke the Charter of Health Sciences Academy, and includes the issues outlined in the memo from Matt Pahl, presented at the April 17, 2015, PEC meeting.

In addition, the Charter School Division has added three possible issues to the scope of the hearing.

First, failure to meet the terms of the contract, which include -- which indicate -- pardon me -- the school will implement project-based instruction.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



Second, the school does not have Next Step Plans in place. 22-13-1.1, NMSA 1978, requires these plans for each student, grades eight through grade 12.

The school is -- and third, the school is not in compliance with special education programming.

Some of these issues may have been resolved already.

The Commission's decision will be made during a meeting convened pursuant to the Open Meetings Act and will occur as early as today during this open meeting.

The Commission may decide to revoke the charter of this school. The Commission may also decide to allow the school to retain its charter and may take testimony and hear arguments regarding conditions that may be placed on the school if the school's charter is not revoked.

Commissioners, I propose that we take testimony, argument, and public comment regarding revocation and then deliberate on the issue of revocation. If Commissioners then so further desire, we may then reconvene immediately thereafter to take further evidence on additional issues after



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the issue of revocation.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If this process is used, I will provide each side with additional time to provide argument and testimony, if necessary, on any additional issues. However, the public will only be provided with one opportunity to provide comment. That will be during this first phase of the process.

In accordance with the Charter School Act and the Open Meetings Act, the Commissioner -- pardon me -- the Commissioners present today may make and issue its decision immediately following this hearing. If the Commission decides that it needs more time to come to a complete decision, we will let you know the next steps that we will take to issue a decision in this matter.

If no decision is reached today, the next possible time when the Commission may discuss this matter is tomorrow. There is an agenda regarding this matter on the PEC meeting agenda for tomorrow, Friday, June 19, 2015, at the Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall, 300 Don Gaspar, in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

The Commission may take action at a time -- at a later time if a complete decision is not reached today; however, if the Commission does



consider this issue after today, only those 1 2 Commissioners present today or who have read a full 3 transcript of this hearing will take part in that 4 decision. Representatives from the school are 5 welcome to attend the Commission meeting, if they so 6 7 desire. The Commission will issue a written 8 decision pursuant to the Charter School Act when a 9 decision has been reached. 10 11 Item No. 4: At this time, I will ask the 12 parties to enter their appearance for the record. 13 First, the Department. Who is here from PED? 14 MR. HILL: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Commission, Dan Hill for the PED. And I'm joined by -- with Matt Pahl, who is the Policy Director of 16 17 the Public Education Department. Thank you very much. 18 THE CHAIR: And on 19 behalf of the Respondent, Health Sciences Academy? 20 MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Mark Baker. And with me here are 21 22 members of the school, if they could, at the Board's 23 discretion, briefly introduce themselves so you 24 would know who's present. Jacob Montaño, the Head 25 Administrator.



| 1  | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                               |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. BAKER: Introduce yourselves, as well.           |
| 3  | MS. BEEGLE: (Inaudible.)                            |
| 4  | THE CHAIR: Please speak up very clearly,            |
| 5  | please.   |
| 6  | MS. BEEGLE: Good afternoon, Madam                   |
| 7  | Chairman, Fellow Board Commission I'm sorry; I'm    |
| 8  | nervous members of the Commission, Melanie          |
| 9  | Beegle, admin assistant, Health Sciences Academy.   |
| 10 | DR. ORMAND: Madam Chair, members of the             |
| 11 | Commission, Brian Ormand, new Board member with     |
| 12 | Health Sciences Academy.                            |
| 13 | MR. ACEVEDO: Madam Chair, members of the            |
| 14 | Commission, Juan Acevedo, Board member and also HSA |
| 15 | parent.   |
| 16 | MR. TAPIA: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm            |
| 17 | Joseph Tapia. I'm a member of the HSA Governing     |
| 18 | Council, and I'm from Albuquerque, New Mexico.      |
| 19 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                               |
| 20 | MR. TAPIA: And that's it. T-A-P-I-A.                |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Please let the record reflect            |
| 22 | that Commissioner James Conyers is in attendance    |
| 23 | now.  |
| 24 | Thank you very much.                                |
| 25 | Let's move on to preliminary matters.               |



| 1  | Mr. Dan Hill, do you have preliminary                |
|----|--|
| 2  | matters to bring to us?                              |
| 3  | MR. HILL: Yes, Madam Chair. The parties              |
| 4  | have come to stipulations as to a number of the      |
| 5  | facts and think at this time it would be appropriate |
| 6  | to share those with the Commission.                  |
| 7  | We have copies here for you. And then                |
| 8  | there is one signed original that we will that we    |
| 9  | will make copies of, as well. So at this point       |
| 10 | would it be appropriate to share those stipulations? |
| 11 | THE CHAIR: Please do.                                |
| 12 | MR. HILL: Thank you.                                 |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: And, Mr. Hill, do you plan to             |
| 14 | read those into the record?                          |
| 15 | MR. HILL: During our case in chief or our            |
| 16 | case, we will.                                       |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you.                          |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?                      |
| 19 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?                        |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER CARR: My understanding, he's            |
| 21 | going to read this whole thing into the record? Is   |
| 22 | that necessary?                                      |
| 23 | THE CHAIR: I believe it is.                          |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER CARR: All right, if it's                |
| 25 | necessary.   |



MR. HILL: Madam Chair, it's up to the Commission. I think Mr. Baker and I would be comfortable with having it as an exhibit attached to the hearing. But if the Chair prefers, we can read the stipulations into the record.

MS. BARNES: This is Julia Barnes. I just had a question in terms of what the stipulations are, 'cause I know that they also include several conditions. Is -- is CSD stipulating to the conditions or just the facts?

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Ms. Barnes, the Charter Schools Division and the Department is stipulating to the facts and stipulating to the fact that the school has -- has submitted those conditions as conditions that they have offered to the Commission, should the school be not revoked. The stipulations should not be read as an indication that the Department is suggesting those conditions are sufficient or appropriate, or any indication of the converse, as well.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Baker?

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we didn't ask the Charter Schools

Division to weigh in on that because we recognize that we have a big preliminary hurdle to clear



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

before conditions should be considered. But we think it's appropriate for you all to see the steps and the plans that the school has outlined in determining whether revocation is appropriate.

So we wanted those before you with the Charter Schools Division's acquiescence that they have been proposed. If we get to that stage, we would simply ask that the Charter School Division be prepared to weigh in on the appropriateness of that, as the Commission deems appropriate at that time. We didn't want to get ahead of the Commission or presume anything.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Baker.

Ms. Barnes?

MS. BARNES: Julia Barnes, again. If I can just -- so we have a clean record, Mr. Hill, can I just confirm that you all are stipulating to Facts 1 through 8 on the first page, 9 through 15 on the second page; on Page 4, you're stipulating to numbers 18 and 19 on Page 4; paragraph -- you're stipulating as well to paragraph 21 on Page 4; Facts Nos. 22 through 31 on Page 5; the Facts numbered 32 and 33 on Page 6.

On Page 7, you're stipulating to the entire page, numbers 35 through 45. On Page 8,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you're stipulating to Facts 46 through 51. And on Page 9, you are stipulating to Fact No. 53.

I've tried to skip all the conditions. Is that correct?

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Ms. Barnes, that is correct. And I'll just note for the record, the numbers you omitted, we stipulate to the -- to them, as drafted. And they state that -- so these -- for the Commissioners, these are all of the paragraphs under "School-proposed oversight and additional remedial measures if allowed to remain open."

We're simply stipulating that the school has proposed those oversight and additional remedies. We're not making a substantive statement as to whether we believe those are good or bad remedies at this point.

MS. BARNES: And, Mr. Baker, if I can just follow up? So the numbers that I read, which are not conditions, the school is admitting to those facts.

MR. BAKER: That's correct, members of the Commission. Those facts are stipulated, as well as the school's proposal for additional conditions, if permitted to go forward. The facts are all



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

stipulated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I believe that -- I can't remember the paragraph number offhand -- paragraph 4 stipulates that, to the extent there were additional matters that had been raised in the CSD site visit memo, or otherwise, that are not contained in the stipulations, the Public Education Department is stipulating that those are -- those have been resolved following further inquiry into the school.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you both for that information.

Mr. Hill, did you have something else?

MR. HILL: I'm just going to clarify.

Paragraph 5 was the paragraph Mr. Baker was referencing that states, "To the extent a matter the PED had previously raised is not addressed below, that omission reflects the PED's withdrawal of the issue after clarifying the facts with the school."

And to explain, Madam Chair, members of the Commission, that simply means all of the facts are stipulated to here. If something is not in this document that was raised previously in Mr. Pahl's memo, that issue has been withdrawn on the basis that facts were provided by the school showing it was no longer an issue, or was not an issue in the



first instance.
THE CHAIR:

other issues, as well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Barnes?

MS. BARNES: Let me just further clarify,
Mr. Hill. I think that there were a few issues
raised afterwards by the Charter Schools Division,
and I'm assuming that statement refers to those

MR. HILL: Ms. Barnes, I believe we're restricting the stipulations solely to the matters that were before the Commission for this hearing.

And so if there were other findings or determinations made by the Charter Schools Division that are outside of the scope of this hearing, they wouldn't be addressed in this -- in this stipulation -- these stipulations, regardless.

And to the extent any issues were properly raised before the Commission, all of the stipulations within these -- this document reflects all of the facts that have been agreed to by the parties. And to the extent an issue that was raised before has been withdrawn, the Commission should note that that means the PED is withdrawing that as a basis for revocation for the school.

MS. BARNES: So the Charter Schools

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



1 Division was authorized to present additional issues within the scope of the hearing, and they did that. 2 3 Commissioner Shearman just raised -- just read 4 those: 5 Failure to meet the terms of the contract, which indicate the school will implement 6 7 project-based instruction; the school does not have a Next Step Plan; and the school is not in 8 compliance with special education. 9 10 So those were properly brought into the 11 scope of this hearing. And I'm just assuming that 12 the paragraph you just referred to also relates to 13 those three items. 14 I think all that's remaining is one issue 15 on special education, if I'm correct. 16 MR. HILL: That's correct. 17 THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Commissioners, this is a lengthy document. 18 19 It's also an important document. I suggest we take 20 whatever time is necessary so that all Commissioners can read through this document and be comfortable 21 22 with it before we move on. Is that satisfactory? 23 MS. BARNES: Now, let me just clarify, 24 from Mr. Baker. You sent me a document last night. 25 I believe it's exactly the same; is that correct?



Madam Chair, members of the 1 MR. BAKER: 2 Commission, correct. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioners? 4 I'm sorry. Commissioner Toulouse? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, for 5 the record, I would just like to say I read this 6 7 last night. I've checked through it again today. 8 And I think some other Commissioners may agree with This is not a clean document, in my mind. 9 me. 10 should have been two separate sections or two 11 separate documents, because I don't want to ever, 12 later on, whether this is put in as an exhibit or 13 what, have the confusion that we're agreeing that -before we've done any voting or any hearing, that 14 15 these other conditions, if they're met, we're going 16 to approve it. 17 I just think it would be a much clearer document if those were a completely separate 18 19 document, or at least a completely separate section 2.0 of the document. And so I think it's too late to do 21 anything now; but I want to be on the record saying 22 I don't think this is a clean document. Thank you. 23 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Chair, I'm 25 just going to reiterate and support.



uncomfortable with accepting the conditions in that same document as what -- the stipulations. happy with it; but I know at this point in time, there's probably not much we can do with it. I -- I'm not happy with that.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

Madam Chair, if I would, could MR. HILL: I respond to those comments?

It was certainly not PED's intention -- I believe I'm safe speaking for Mr. Baker -- to put forward a document that would somehow hamstring the Commission or state that you're accepting some sort of condition.

The purpose of the stipulations, in the litigation context, is that the parties agree to certain facts. And so rather than having an evidentiary hearing, where we're putting on evidence which both parties agree to, we're setting all the facts that were agreed upon.

I think it speaks highly of the school that they don't dispute many of the facts, or virtually all of the facts, in this case. rather than waste everyone's time in putting on evidence where there is no dispute, they would stipulate to those facts.

The -- with regard to the conditions --



and I did a poor job of explaining this, and the document may not explain it very well -- all that the Department is doing is acknowledging that these are conditions proposed by the school. Rather than having some sort of evidentiary hearing, where we're disputing whether the school has proposed conditions, we thought it was prudent to say, "This is what the school has proposed, and we'll give it to the Commission for you to review and decide, in your discretion, whether to revoke the school, whether to accept those conditions, whether to propose other conditions, et cetera."

It should be -- it should be clear; but we don't endorse any of those conditions. That's not what the purpose of the stipulations was to do. And it also should be clear that the stipulations are an agreement between the parties, and they don't have any binding on the Commission. You don't have to accept the stipulations as facts; although, it would be odd if you -- if you denied a fact that both of the parties agreed to.

But we're not trying to, in any way, invade our authority or discretion with the document. And I apologize for any confusion there.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes?





MS. BARNES: I just wanted to say, for the Commissioners, that what I have prepared for you to consider separates the findings of fact from a potential later conversation about the conditions.

So that ties in exactly with what Mr. Hill was just saying, that you can bring this document into evidence, but you may look at them separately.

And I have them provided separately for you.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Baker?

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, just to round this out, it's not the school's intention to try to foist the conditions on you; rather, it's to give you the context -- if you're deciding the ultimate sanction on the school -- to understand what the school has done and is willing to do, we believe, is relevant for your consideration; but in no way do we expect this binds you or in any way narrows the field of what you can or should consider today.

This is to show you what the school has endured, what the school has done, and what the school, if allowed to survive, will do, going into the future. That's the sole purpose of the last section that's caused concern. And so we don't intend or expect that that has to be, or will be,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | adopted by the Commission. It's in your hands.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other                  |
| 3  | discussion?                                      |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER CARR: Just                          |
| 5  | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?                    |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER CARR: Just to stipulate,            |
| 7  | I've already read the document, as well. I would |
| 8  | just as soon have it put in the record.          |
| 9  | THE CHAIR: Perhaps it would be simpler to        |
| 10 | ask. Is there anyone yet who has not had time to |
| 11 | read this document?                              |
| 12 | Commissioner Armbruster, have you read the       |
| 13 | document?  |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes, I have.            |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna, have you          |
| 16 | read the document?                               |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.                         |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?                 |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: (Indicates.)               |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman has read        |
| 21 | it.  |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes, I have.               |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.                       |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I have.                   |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Absolutely.                 |



THE CHAIR: Please let the record reflect 1 2 all Commissioners say they have read this document. 3 At this time, I would accept any stipulations of the party into evidence stipulated by both parties. 4 5 Okay? Moving on, then. Given the stipulation, we may not need all 6 of the following hearing procedures; but I still 7 want to set it out on the record. 8 No. 2. Hearings before this Commission do 9 not follow the Rules of Evidence. And as Hearing 10 11 Officer, I may admit any evidence I so choose. 12 Hearsay may be introduced and considered and will be 13 given its due weight by the Commissioners. 14 limit testimony that is irrelevant, immaterial, or 15 unduly repetitious. The decision to exclude or 16 limit such evidence will be made by me, as the 17 Hearing Officer. No. 3. The Rules of Privilege apply in 18 19 this hearing. 2.0 The Commission may take note of No. 4. judicially cognizable facts of general, technical, 21 22 or scientific facts within its specialized 23 knowledge. 24 No. 5. The Department will present 25 evidence first, and then Health Sciences Academy may



present evidence in defense of the allegations 1 2. presented by the Department. 3 No. 6. Any witnesses offering testimony 4 will be questioned first by the party offering the testimony and then by the opposing parties. I will 5 permit direct examination, cross-examination, 6 redirect examination, and recross-examination of all 7 8 witnesses. The Rule of Sequester applies, and if 9 invoked, all witnesses will be asked to wait outside 10 11 and not discuss the case until they are called to 12 testify. 13 Before the Commission will consider public 14 comment presented that is within the scope of the 15 hearing, Commissioners may question a person 16 providing public comment. 17 May I say that again and make sure I said 18 it correctly? Because the Commission will consider 19 20 public comment presented that is within the scope of 21 the hearing, Commissioners may question a person 22 providing public comment. 23 No. 7. Commissioners or our counsel may question witnesses when both parties have finished 24



their examination. I will recognize any

Commissioner, or our counsel, who wants to question 1 2 a witness. No other questioning will be permitted after Commissioners and our attorney have finished 3 4 questioning the witness. No. 8. Are there any exhibits to be 5 received into evidence by agreement or stipulation, 6 7 other than the one document we already have? MR. HILL: 8 No. No, Madam Chair. 9 MR. BAKER: 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 11 Commissioners, let's take about a 12 ten-minute break right now, please. 13 (Recess taken, 1:33 p.m. to 1:40 p.m.) THE CHAIR: 14 If everyone is ready, I'll 15 call this hearing back into session. I would first like to remind everyone, please use the microphone. 16 17 It's very hard to hear. And we want to be sure that all Commissioners hear everything that's said. 18 19 The other thing that I would like to 20 announce is that the stipulations document will be available at the end of this hearing, if anyone 21 22 wants a copy of it. 23 Okay? Let us move on. 24 Item 9. Mr. Hill, do you have any -- do 25 you want to present any evidence on behalf of the



1 Department at this time? Madam Chair, brief housekeeping 2 MR. HILL: 3 I move the admission of the document that's matter. 4 been marked as Exhibit A, the stipulations, into evidence at this time. 5 Thank you. That document is 6 THE CHAIR: 7 accepted as Exhibit A, I believe Mr. Hill said. 8 All right. Is that everything, Mr. Hill? MR. HILL: Thank you, Madam Chair, if 9 you'll permit, I'll just offer a brief opening 10 11 statement, and we will stand for questions. 12 Okay. Let me first ask you if THE CHAIR: 13 anyone is going to testify on behalf of the 14 Department. 15 MR. HILL: No, Your Honor; but we -myself and Mr. Pahl will stand for questions at the 16 17 close of our opening statements. I believe this is amenable to Mr. Baker, and then he will present 18 19 after the Department closes. 20 THE CHAIR: I have opening statements. So just a minute. So let me get to it, if you don't 21 22 mind. 23 Mr. Baker, do you have witnesses that are 24 going to testify on behalf of the school today? 25 MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the



1 Commission, yes. Jacob Montaño and Dr. Ormand from the Governing Board are my two witnesses who will 2 3 provide testimony. 4 THE CHAIR: At this time, I will administer the oath to all witnesses, if you will 5 stand and raise your right hand. 6 7 (All potential witnesses sworn.) 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you, all. MR. MONTAÑO: 9 Yes, ma'am. Madam Chair, just kind 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: 11 of a point of order. I just wanted to make sure at 12 the -- since we're going to kind of -- since we're 13 conducting this as a court semi-trial type of 14 situation, I wanted to make sure that the PED had 15 had the opportunity to give a closing statement, if 16 they so desire, based on the testimony that they 17 heard today. It's in --18 THE CHAIR: Yes, yes. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay, good. 20 THE CHAIR: Anything else? Let me get to 21 that point, and we'll be ready for your opening 22 statements in just a moment. 23 Do either of the parties wish to invoke 24 the Rule of Sequester to exclude witnesses during



this hearing?

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, the Department 1 2 does not wish to invoke the Rule of Sequester. 3 THE CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Baker? 4 MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, no, we do not 5 wish to invoke the Rule. Thank you very much. 6 THE CHAIR: 7 are to opening statements. I will first ask the 8 Department to go to present their opening statement. 9 I would remind you, you have ten minutes. 10 MR. HILL: Thank you, Madam Chair, 11 Commissioners. 12 The Department presents before you a case 13 which is very unique in terms of the proceedings for 14 the Public Education Commission. It is unique 15 because the parties have agreed to the facts that 16 underlie the claim. 17 As I said initially, I think this is a show of good faith from the school that they have 18 19 submitted to, or agreed to, the facts that were 20 presented. And they will present, I believe, their argument for why the Commission should exercise its 21 22 discretion and not revoke their charter. 23 The facts that are set forth in the



stipulations, which is Exhibit A to the record,

fully encompass all of the facts before the

24

Commission today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the extent issues were excluded, the Commission should infer from that that those issues have been resolved in terms of the PED has received documentation from the school that resolve those issues and show that they are no longer an issue that support revoking the school's charter.

The PED is not putting on any direct testimony or evidence before the Commission because all of the facts included in the stipulation encompass really all of the evidence that was set forth in the prior hearing.

With that, we are here to stand for your questions. Mr. Pahl is standing, again, in place of the Charter Schools Director, who is, I believe, out of the country at this point. But we'd be happy to answer questions, to the extent you have questions.

And I'll just close with, the matter before the Commissioners is really within your discretion. It is -- the facts are before you. I do not anticipate any quibbling about what the facts are. Everyone agrees to what the facts are.

It is really up to the Commission as to what you do with those facts and how you choose to act in this instance.



And so at this point, I will stand for 1 Or, I guess, if you'd rather follow the 2 questions. 3 process, we can have Mr. Baker give an opening 4 statement. I'd be happy to deviate from the -- from the script, if you will, and have the PED stand for 5 questions now, and then Mr. Baker can give an 6 7 opening before he presents his witnesses. I think it's up to Mr. Baker and the Commission. 8 I have no objection to 9 MR. BAKER: 10 whatever procedure the Commission prefers. 11 Thank you. Commissioners, THE CHAIR: 12 does anyone have questions for PED at this point, or 13 would you rather hold your questions until after 14 opening statements? 15 Commissioner Bergman? 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, does 17 your script envision when we're going to discuss the later findings -- or those three items that you 18 19 specifically mentioned that came up later? When is 20 that envisioned to be handled in our process? that come later in your script? 21 22 THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes, please respond. 23 Mr. Hill, I suggest you -- I MS. BARNES: 24 suggest that the Commission go ahead and ask 25 questions of PED, particularly if you have



questions -- not particularly -- but if you have questions about those three items that then were waived, I think that Matt Pahl would be able to answer those questions now about what they received and how those issues got removed.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: With your permission, let me jump into that. That would have been my question for Mr. Pahl. I know you have been away for a month or two now. But since you're here and no one else from CSD is, can you brief us at least where CSD stands at this time, because they're the ones that brought forth those three additional items. And how will they be addressed, and when will they be addressed?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, all the academic issues that were brought up after the memo, in the interim between the memo and now, there was evidence supplied by the school that -- that -- that convinced PED to withdraw them as -- as issues of concern.

However, I'll just underline that the

Public Education Department and the Charter Schools

Division brought forth a memo prior to those issues,

and that memo and the issues articulated in that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

memo, we found to be grounds for the recommendation that we made towards the Commission.

So it's not to -- to -- it's not to gloss over those issues that have been provided in the interim. But I do have limited information. And the reason is, is because, you know, for the hearing and the decision that was made earlier, it was based off the items in the memo. So I will try to answer them as best as possible.

Again, the academic issues that were brought forth in the interim, there was evidence provided by the school that caused PED to withdraw them.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: All right. Thank you for that answer because I think that was our problem, then.

Mr. Hill, you wanted to say something?

MR. HILL: If I may, Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: Members of the Commission, the one academic issue that remains is that the school agrees that it does not have a special education teacher. And then they've -- we've stipulated the remedy that they've -- they've implemented in the interim, which is they're working to recruit a



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

special education teacher, as well as exploring 1 2 other options, such as contracting with a qualified 3 special education teacher from Gadsden School 4 District. That's the only one of those issues that 5 remains before the Commission at this time. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that 8 clarification, too. Yeah, I think that was all of 9 my concerns. Thank you, Madam Chair. 10 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Hill and 12 Mr. Pahl, if you all would like to vacate the table 13 and let Mr. Baker come up for his opening statement, 14 please? Or I understand, Mr. Baker, should you 15 choose, you may hold your opening statement till 16 later, until the beginning of your case, if you so 17 choose. MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the 18 Commission, I will go -- if I can get this on -- I 19 20 don't know if -- there we go. I'll go ahead and 21 provide my opening statement.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. BAKER: And again, with me at the table is the Head Administrator of the school, Jacob Montaño.





To underline what Mr. Hill has presented to the Commission, my role here today is not to quibble with what was set forth in Mr. Pahl's memorandum on behalf of the Charter Schools Division in April.

At that time, you all were presented with a very difficult set of facts. You had a school that had had a long series of problems through the course of the year. You had what I believe was clear, from the record from that hearing, a Head Administrator that the Public Education Department and the Commission recognized was doing an admirable job trying to reverse course and get the school on the right track. But you had a lingering concern as to whether the school had effectively disentangled itself from the source of the problems that were driving the issues that arose through the Charter Schools Division site visit, complaints you all had heard.

As you'll see from the stipulations, the school has acknowledged those problems. It recognizes that those problems existed. We have also tried to lay before you additional facts that were not available to you in April when you made the initial step towards revocation that you took, so



that you all can see that there is additional information that warrants a close look at the school.

The easy decision today would be for you all to revoke the charter of the Health Sciences

Academy. That choice will have serious consequences for the community of Santa Teresa, the surrounding communities, the students who remain committed to the school, the families who want their kids to go there next year, students in the night program to receive adult education. So my task today is to provide you enough information that you all can feel secure that you're not taking an unreasonable risk, subject to tight oversight, in allowing the school to remain open for at least another year to establish that, in fact, there is a route ahead.

And I think there are two facts in particular that were not available to you in April that are important for you to know today. And that is there has been a clean, permanent, and irrevocable severance between the school and the former chair of the Governing Council, Raphael Nevins. He is no longer entangled in the school's operations. Entities and people associated with him and those who were identified in Mr. Pahl's



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

memorandum as having triggered problems from the school, ranging from Texas students enrolling to conflict issues and the like, those people are no longer associated with the school and will not be associated with the school going forward.

On the heels of that separation, you now have a window where the school has operated free from those entanglements. And what you see is a matter of rapid progress in the right direction.

You had seen before that Mr. Montaño has been committed to this school, and he has a history of success as a school administrator, and he's fully committed to this, because he recognizes the Health Sciences Academy fulfills an important function for the community it serves that isn't available otherwise.

And I will have him speak to this more directly. But the way I have internalized it is this is a school that facilitates kids who otherwise might sell themselves short, who otherwise might look to careers that don't require reaching, to look at the real possibility that they can succeed in the health care industry. They can be doctors; they can be nurses; they can be EMTs. They can engage themselves in a way that isn't necessarily something



that they would otherwise think of.

And Mr. Montaño recognizes that that's a need in the community that otherwise will be unfilled.

He left a very secure, comfortable position at an established middle school, Santa Teresa Middle School, to take a risk on this school. And our request is that the Commission take a risk on this school, as well, a measured risk, which is you will see and have comfort that, in fact, there's been a clean brake, that, in fact, the Governing Board is overseeing the school and moving it in the right direction so that all responsibility doesn't rest with Mr. Montaño for the forward progress, that you have teachers, staff, and kids who want to see the school succeed.

And if I provide that to you and get you to that comfort level, my hope is that you will see that there's something worth salvaging here, that there's something important that can be brought out from this, and that a year from now, having taken the risk and not having washed your hands of the school, you will be glad to see there is a service being provided to kids in this part of the state that otherwise won't be available.



So my purpose today is to have Mr. Montaño talk to you a little bit about some of the particular issues Mr. Pahl raised, provide some additional clarification on those facts and steps that have been taken to move things forward; in addition, Dr. Ormand to talk to you about the Governing Board's role, the oversight it's providing and its commitment to moving the school forward through the entanglements that troubled it through the last year.

So that's a roadmap of my intentions for the day.

I would add that although the Commissioners didn't have additional questions for PED at the stage that it was opened up for them, I have no objection to you interjecting questions to the PED at any time, if you feel like you need input The format here -- I don't feel like from them. they've now given up their turn at the mic, for lack of a better term. I want you to have answers to the questions that you have, because we're asking you to give this school a chance. And the only way that I feel that you're going to be comfortable doing that is if you have the information you need to feel like this is the reasonable way forward, different from



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 what you saw in April. 2 Thank you. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Baker. 4 The next item on our agenda is for the --5 each attorney to present their case. I'll ask you again, Mr. Hill, if you have anything else you would 6 7 like to present at this time. 8 MR. HILL: No, Madam Chair. stipulation contains all the factual evidence before 9 10 the Commission, and we have no direct testimony to 11 offer. 12 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 13 know, Commissioners, the next item we have, 14 60 minutes allocated for the Respondent to present 15 their case. I would really like to take a real 16 break and make sure everyone, when they get back, is 17 very comfortable in an hour's worth of case and questioning and really paying attention. 18 So could we take about ten minutes? 19 20 when we come back, we will be ready for Mr. Baker to 21 present his case on behalf of Health Sciences 22 Academy. Okay? 23 Let's do that. Ten minutes. We'll be 24 back at about eight minutes after. Thank you. 25 (Recess taken, 1:58 p.m. to 2:09 p.m.)



| 1   | THE CHAIR: I call back into session this             |
|-----|--|
| 2   | hearing.   |
| 3   | The next item is Respondents presenting              |
| 4   | their case. But before you begin, Mr. Baker, I       |
| 5   | would just like to remind Commissioners and our      |
| 6   | attorney that any questions you may have of          |
| 7   | Mr. Baker or any of his witnesses as he's putting on |
| 8   | his case, you may ask questions of them. And those   |
| 9   | questions and the response do not count in his       |
| L 0 | 60 minutes.  |
| L1  | So is everyone comfortable with that?                |
| L 2 | Okay. Mr. Baker, whenever you're ready,              |
| L 3 | please.  |
| L 4 | MR. BAKER: Thank you, Madam Chair,                   |
| L 5 | members of the Commission. I would first call Jacob  |
| L 6 | Montaño, the Head Administrator for Health Sciences  |
| L 7 | Academy.   |
| L 8 | JACOB MONTAÑO,                                       |
| L 9 | after having been first sworn under oath,            |
| 20  | was questioned and testified as follows:             |
| 21  | DIRECT EXAMINATION                                   |
| 22  | BY MR. BAKER:  |
| 23  | Q. Mr. Montaño, would you first introduce            |
| 24  | yourself and tell the Public Education Commission    |
| 25  | when you joined the Health Sciences Academy?         |



|       | Α.     | Madam  | Chair,   | hono  | ora | ıry  | Comn  | niss | ione | ers | , my | 7   |
|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|-----|------|-------|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| name  | is Ja  | acob M | ontaño,  | and   | I   | am   | the   | Dir  | ecto | or  | for  | the |
| Healt | h and  | d Scie | nces Aca | ademy | 7.  | I    | joir  | ned  | the  | Не  | alth | 1   |
| and S | Sciend | ces Ac | ademy i  | n ear | cly | z De | ecemb | ber. |      |     |      |     |

- Q. Mr. Montaño, would you briefly describe your background before joining the school?
- A. Yes, sir. I come from Las Cruces,

  New Mexico. And I'm also a very proud veteran of
  the United States Army and the New Mexico National
  Guard. I'm also very proud to say that I am a

  New Mexico State graduate and have received my
  degrees in elementary education, special education,
  and educational management and development.

I have nine years' teaching experience in special education, elementary education, and bilingual education, and I have 21 years of experience as an administrator of both elementary and middle schools. And I'm happy to say that I've had very good success in working with some difficult schools and being able to turn them around.

- Q. Would you provide the Commission an example of a school that you were successfully able to turn around?
- A. Most recently, it would have been when I was at Mesquite Elementary School in Gadsden



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Independent School District, where I was a principal. The State had threatened to come in and take over the school. At that time -- because the school was low performing, at that time, my staff and I had to develop a governance plan in unison with the State Department.

We were able to do so and turn the school around, I'm happy to say, in two-and-a-half years' time and make, at that time, before the school report card period reporting, make AYP, Adequate Yearly Progress, in every one of our subgroups: Special education, bilingual education, and regular education, in regards to mathematics and language arts specifically.

Q. Now, I'm going to shift gears with you, Mr. Montaño. And I'm going to ask you what's been discussed already this afternoon, the stipulations document that's before the Commission as Exhibit A.

Are you familiar with that document?

- A. Yes, sir, I am.
- Q. Have you reviewed it carefully?
- A. Yes, sir, I have.
- Q. You acknowledge in that document a number of problems at the school. Are you fully comfortable with those acknowledgments and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

admissions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. As much as it pains me to say so, yes, sir, I am.
  - Q. And there are additional stipulations regarding steps you've taken to move the school forward. Are those accurate reflections of actions you've taken?
    - A. Yes, sir, they are.
  - Q. Have those been supported -- well, let me back up. Have those been supported by the Governing Board since recent transitions in that board's composition?
- A. I'm happy to say yes, they have, most certainly.
  - Q. There is also a section in the stipulations that discusses additional oversight propositions and remedial steps that the school, if it was allowed to remain open, is proposing as potential actions that can be imposed, conditions that could be imposed.

Are you comfortable with the school's ability to comply with those if the Commission were to choose to allow the school to stay open?

- A. Yes, sir, of course.
- O. And is the Governing Board comfortable



- 1 | with those steps, as well, based on your knowledge,
- 2 | Mr. Montaño? I'll ask Dr. Ormand, as well. But
- 3 based on your knowledge.
- A. Yes, the Governing Board is, as well, and
- 5 they're very supportive.
- Q. Okay. I want to step back and talk a
- 7 little bit more about transition within the school.
- 8 At the time of the Charter Schools Division's site
- 9 visit, who was the chair of the Governing Board?
- 10 A. That would be Mr. Raphael Nevins, sir.
- 11 O. And had he been the chair of the Board
- 12 | since you joined the school in December?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 O. Is he still in that role?
- 15 A. No, sir, he is not.
- Q. When did that change?
- 17 A. April 23rd of this year.
- 18 Q. And was that by action of the Governing
- 19 Board?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- 21 Q. Are you aware of the vote among the
- 22 | Governing Board regarding removal of Mr. Nevins as
- 23 | the chair?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, I am. And it was a unanimous
- 25 vote.



| 1  | Q. Does Mr. Nevins have any relationship with       |
|----|---|
| 2  | the school at this time?                            |
| 3  | A. None whatsoever.                                 |
| 4  | Q. Has that been true since the 23rd of             |
| 5  | April?  |
| 6  | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 7  | Q. Is the school going to have any                  |
| 8  | relationship with Mr. Nevins going forward into the |
| 9  | future?   |
| 10 | A. None, none whatsoever.                           |
| 11 | Q. Now, in the in Mr. Pahl's memorandum             |
| 12 | you've reviewed that document; correct?             |
| 13 | A. Yes, sir, I have.                                |
| 14 | Q. And that's the document from the site            |
| 15 | visit in March?                                     |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 17 | Q. There are other individuals mentioned in         |
| 18 | that document as the source of problems within the  |
| 19 | school. Do you recall those individuals? I'm not    |
| 20 | asking you to list them. But do you recall those    |
| 21 | individuals?  |
| 22 | A. Yes, sir, I do.                                  |
| 23 | Q. And the individuals identified in that           |
| 24 | memorandum in association with problems at the      |



school, are any of those individuals still

associated with Health Sciences Academy?

- A. No, sir, they are not.
- Q. Are they going to be associated with Health Sciences Academy going into the future?
  - A. Absolutely not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Since it unanimously removed the former Board chair, what has the Governing Board's role been in pursuing the changes that are identified in the stipulation, the steps that you have taken, and the school has taken, to move forward?
- A. I must say it's a very welcoming thing to be supported by the Governing Board; whereas, before, I was not allowed to have communication with the Governing Board. But now, I am.

They're supportive. They offer direction, insight, and they're very, very positive. And as an educator of 30 years, it's a terrific feeling.

- Q. When you say that you were not allowed to have communication with the Governing Board previously, can you explain that to the Commission, please?
- A. It was not allowed by Mr. Nevins, the president of the Governing Board at that time. We were not allowed to have communication with any of the Board members.



| 1  | Q. And since his removal, have you been in          |
|----|---|
| 2  | regular communication with your board?              |
| 3  | A. Oh, yes, sir, very much so.                      |
| 4  | Q. And have you had any resistance or               |
| 5  | pushback on any of the proposed changes that you've |
| 6  | made or that have been suggested?                   |
| 7  | A. No, sir. I've only received strong               |
| 8  | support and direction and guidance from our present |
| 9  | governing board.                                    |
| 10 | Q. In Mr. Pahl's memorandum, there was              |
| 11 | reference to an entity called "Healthy Futures,     |
| 12 | Incorporated."                                      |
| 13 | Can you give the Commission a general               |
| 14 | sense of what that entity is?                       |
| 15 | A. I really wasn't as aware of Healthy              |
| 16 | Futures as I am currently. Going into the position  |
| 17 | that I hold currently, I did do a little bit of     |
| 18 | research in regards to some things that were shared |
| 19 | with me in regards to Healthy Futures and the       |
| 20 | association of a Mr. Raphael Nevins with Healthy    |
| 21 | Futures.  |
| 22 | Q. What functions was Healthy Futures,              |
| 23 | Incorporated, providing for the school when you     |



When I first arrived, as it was brought to

first arrived?

Α.

24

my knowledge, the food service program. The lease payment thing was not brought to my attention until later on.

- Q. So leasing and food service?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And does Healthy Futures, Incorporated, have any relationship to Health Sciences Academy today?
  - A. No way, none whatsoever.
- Q. Was that relationship severed with support of the Governing Board?
- 12 A. Definitely.
- Q. Any pushback at all?
- 14 A. No, sir.
  - Q. In Mr. Pahl's memorandum, there was also the discussion of students from Texas registering under New Mexico addresses and attending your school. Can you describe for the Commission how that came to your attention and what you did when you learned of it?
  - A. As the Commission very well knows, the schools are supported by the 40th, 80th, and 120th day count. I came aboard in early December and into January. Around January the 29th, which would be about a week prior to reporting into the S.T.A.R.S.



system, it was brought to my attention by our administrative assistant, Melanie Beegle, that there were some inconsistencies in regards to some of the students -- and I'll be specific -- to the nighttime students that were attending our school.

When I asked and inquired about what those problems were or issues or concerns were, she stated that several of those individuals shared the same address.

When I asked, "Well, what individuals," she was able to go ahead and draw up two lists of students. I'm not sure as to the number of students. I want to say eight on one list living at the same address, and about 13, maybe 15, approximately, living at another address.

When I received that information, immediately that night -- because that program for our adult students is Monday through Thursday from 6:00 to 10:00 at night -- I called all of the student body of the nighttime students into the cafeteria, where I carefully explained and outlined the procedures for our school in reporting accurate information to the S.T.A.R.S. system.

And I explained that's kind of how we funded our schools, and that if you were a Texas



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

resident, you shouldn't be coming to our school.

I didn't want to embarrass anyone. They took the information graciously. I made myself available after addressing the student body. I stayed until 10:00 that night and met with adult

students attending the program.

One by one, they filed into my office.

And it's -- other than this, it was probably the hardest thing I had to listen to. We had adult students that very much wanted to come to school there and very much wanted to better themselves by getting a high school diploma. And I had to tell them that they weren't allowed to.

But they understood, because what was most important to them was that the school remain open and that there be no hardship as a result of them reporting that they were New Mexico residents when, indeed, they were not.

- Q. Did you obtain information about how it came to be that these students decided to submit

  New Mexico addresses despite their residence in

  Texas?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And I'm not going to ask you to identify anyone by name. But can you, in general terms --



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- the Commission can ask for names, if they want. But
  for present purposes, I think it's spelled out in
- 3 Mr. Pahl's memorandum.

7

8

9

2.0

- Did you learn of an employee's involvement in that issue?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, I did.
  - Q. And what did you learn?
  - A. I learned that she had encouraged them to use those addresses.
- Q. What did you do upon learning of that employee's involvement in this issue?
- 12 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- 13 Q. Yes. I'll ask the question differently.
- Is that employee still employed by Health
  Sciences Academy?
- 16 A. No, sir, she's not.
- Q. When this issue was raised -- well, first of all, let's be clear on the time line. This is coming to your attention in January, end of January?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And before the Charter Schools Division
  contacted you about the issue, had you successfully
  disenrolled the Texas residents that had been
  identified?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, I had.





- Q. And so when the stipulations refer to you validating that the issue had arisen at the time that the Charter Schools Division contacted you about that, were you able to both tell them that the issue had arisen, and tell them that the students had already been disenrolled?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. With the exception of the former Board chair, did you get pushback from any Governing Board members regarding your action to disenroll the Texas students?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. So I asked you this kind of on a whim, just trying to get a feel for you when we first started working together. And I'd like you to talk to the Board about it, because I thought it was interesting.

My question to Mr. Montaño was, you have an administrative job in an established school. Why would you agree to go over to Health Sciences Academy in December, on the heels of another administrator having left?

And so I would appreciate it if you would talk to the Commission a little bit about what led you to make this decision.





1 Α. Yes, sir. I was in the same proximity, 2 within about a mile to a two-mile range of the 3 Health Sciences Academy at a very successful public 4 I was also the administrator there for the seventh-graders, and also the athletic coordinator. 5 I was there for approximately four-and-a-half years. 6 So I know the students, and I know their 7 parents outside the realm of education, in sporting 8 events, in other functions that I attended where 9 10 they were present. 11 I really much -- excuse me -- I really --12 when some of the students would be turned back to 13 our school from the Health Sciences Academy, I was 14 the first person that they met to come back and be 15 re-enrolled in the school; so, of course, I would ask them, "Well, what's going on? What's wrong? 16 17 How come you don't -- how come you didn't stay over 18 there?" 19 Some of them honestly responded, "Well, 20 they didn't have any sports." Others of them responded, "Well, it's kind 21 22 of chaotic, and we don't have any homework. 23 don't even have any school books." And I was, like, "Really?" 24 25 And they said, "Yes."



And then I dove in a little deeper, and I said, "Well, what is it that's different about a charter school as opposed to a public school?"

And they said, "Well, we went with the intent of becoming involved, or maybe later on, becoming possibly a nursing assistant, an EMT, an OT, a veterinarian" -- the list went on and on and on.

And that intrigued me. It intrigued me so much so that as I started to question them further in regards to the opportunities that the Health and Sciences Academy provided, I thought it was a unique opportunity for the students in that community and also the parents. What a wonderful thing to be offered.

And I looked back at my own education, where I went to a middle school, and then I went to a high school. And we pretty much all followed the same curriculum. So when I graduated from high school, I had no idea what I wanted to do; thus, I joined the Army.

But this offered them some direction, a choice, if you will, in regards to where they may wish to go. And, really, what made it very intriguing for me is if you've ever been in our



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

district, or in our communities of Chaparral, 1 2 Anthony, or Santa Teresa, this offers kids that 3 don't have that kind of opportunity; they don't have 4 that kind of encouragement. They don't go home to the Internet, color TV, books, and parents that are 5 encouraging them to, you know, say, "Hey, go to 6 7 college. Hey, you can become a doctor. Hey, you 8 can become a nurse." 9

That's not what it's like down there. It isn't, you know. It really isn't.

So I wanted to be part of something that changed that, that encouraged students of that cultural background and in that, if you will, demographic area, socioeconomic class, what have you, I wanted them to know that, "Hey, you, too, can become a doctor or a nurse, and -- or an EMT. You can do it. You really can."

I wanted to be a part of that. I wanted to develop it. I wanted to push it forward. And as you very well know, my first time here in front of all of you was on the 17th. And I can't tell you enough, you know, in regards to that tough meeting, how tough it was.

But I'm still here. I'm not going to quit. I'm not going to stop coming before you if it



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

means offering something truly unique to the students in that area, or any students. I'd like to think that that's why we're all here.

I'm sorry. Sorry. I'm sorry.

- Q. Mr. Montaño, since the 17th, have you communicated with parents and others in the community regarding the proposed revocation of the school's charter?
- A. Well, you notice that it -- the transcript from the 17th of April appeared -- or was made available to the public, as well as newspaper articles released in the Las Cruces Sun News. I thought, at that point, we had 132 day students enrolled; and we had approximately 60 students, night students.

I thought that once that -- because it was in the paper, I thought that we would lose our students. And I'm here to tell you that out of 132 students we had, that when that came out, and until the end of school, we didn't lose one student, not one.

So, you know, we worked very hard at reassuring our students and their parents, the community, that we would most assuredly fight for the school, for lack of a better term. I had



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

several meetings, not only with the students but 1 2 with their parents and with the nighttime students, 3 And I've continued to have those meetings. as well. 4 And as a matter of fact, they're waiting 5 to hear from me as soon as I get back; so ... Do you have an understanding of despite the moves toward revocation, the number of students 7 you have who would enroll in the fall if the school 8 is allowed to remain open? 9 10 Α. Presently, we have about 158 to 60 11 students presently enrolled for the daytime program 12 and between 60 and 65 students for the nighttime 13 program. We'll easily build that, just as -- or add 14 to that number, dependent upon the decision that's 15 made either today or tomorrow. 16 Through our recruiting efforts, that 17 number is going to really climb. Now, what school district do most of your 18 19

- students come to the school from?
- Α. The Gadsden Independent School District, sir.
- Ο. And I think you mentioned the towns -- the primary towns in that district are Chaparral, Santa Teresa, Anthony?
- Α. Yes, sir.



2.0

21

22

23

24

| 1  | Q. What other charter schools are there             |
|----|---|
| 2  | within the Gadsden District that would serve        |
| 3  | secondary students?                                 |
| 4  | A. To my knowledge, there is maybe one; and I       |
| 5  | think that's Anthony Charter School, sir.           |
| 6  | Q. How far would your students have to travel       |
| 7  | each way to attend a charter school in Las Cruces?  |
| 8  | A. Approximately 35 to 50 miles; and that's         |
| 9  | just one way.                                       |
| 10 | Q. Is there a closer option?                        |
| 11 | A. No, sir.   |
| 12 | Q. Would you talk a little bit about the            |
| 13 | night students? What is the night program?          |
| 14 | A. The nighttime program is specific to those       |
| 15 | students that want to get a high school diploma and |
| 16 | not a GED. They range in the ages from 21 years of  |
| 17 | age all the way to about 60.                        |
| 18 | Q. Other than the Health and Sciences Academy       |
| 19 | program is there an adult diploma option for the    |
| 20 | students you're serving?                            |
| 21 | A. Not a diploma option. There is a GED             |
| 22 | option that is available, but not a diploma.        |
| 23 | MR. BAKER: Madam Commissioner, members of           |
| 24 | the Commission, I would invite questions of         |
| 25 | Mr. Montaño at this time, if you all have any. Or I |



can continue with the Board Chair's testimony and 1 2 then open it up to questions for both. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Baker. 4 Commissioners, do you have questions of 5 Mr. Montaño? COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 6 I do. 7 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 8 Yes. wondered, on the academic performance of the 9 10 students, you have measures of that? And what would 11 that be? 12 Yes, ma'am. THE WITNESS: And that is 13 reflected in the Discovery testing, which is 14 administered to the middle school students four 15 times during the process of the year, and to the

Specific to our English students, of course, they're doing well, not as well as we had hoped in regards to math and language arts. We have seen some growth, but it's been sporadic. Sometimes they go up, and then by the next testing measure, they go down.

high school students three times. And I'm happy to

report that our English Language Learners have made

significant growth in the areas of mathematics and



language arts.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

| 1  | But please recognize that the Discovery              |
|----|--|
| 2  | test, every time you take it, it gets a little bit   |
| 3  | harder. You're not taking the same test that you     |
| 4  | take at the beginning of the year that you're taking |
| 5  | at the end. It gets progressively harder and more    |
| 6  | challenging for the students.                        |
| 7  | THE CHAIR: May I just make a point of                |
| 8  | order here, please? Under normal circumstances,      |
| 9  | that would be the first question any of us would     |
| 10 | ask. But that is not, I don't believe, part of the   |
| 11 | reasons for this hearing.                            |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. I'll                  |
| 13 | stop.  |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.                              |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: But let's do try to keep it               |
| 16 | within the scope. Thank you.                         |
| 17 | Commissioner Carr?                                   |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Montaño?                      |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.                               |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER CARR: I have the greatest               |
| 21 | respect for you personally and your professionalism. |
| 22 | I and please know that with my question, I'm         |
| 23 | not I'm in no way doubting your veracity.            |
| 24 | But my having said that, my question is              |
|    |  |



1 people who caused the issue -- and one of them is present today -- that they won't somehow edge their 2 3 way in or have some sway that we don't know about? 4 And that's of grave concern to me. 5 don't know how you can answer this question, because I just don't -- I don't think you can guarantee it. 7 I don't think anybody could. 8 So if you have a response to that, I welcome it. 9 Well, first of all, 10 THE WITNESS: 11 Commissioner Carr, thank you very much for the 12 positive comments, sir. I hold you, as well, in the 13 highest respect and regard. 14 Sir, what keeps bringing me back here in 15 front of all of you is the students that I 16 represent. And I don't know that there are any 17 guarantees. But I can guarantee you one thing. 18 will not -- will not, under no conditions, let 19 anything bad, any harm or whatever you want to call 20 it, whatever impropriety or what have you, come close to those students. 21 There's no way. 22 that, you have my word for what you may take it for. 23 But there's no way. If there was a 24 guarantee, sir, boy, I would give it to you. 25 boy, I'm not going to let anybody or anything happen



1 to those wonderful kids that I represent, sir. 2 There's no way. 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Thank you, sir. 4 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just need a point of clarification, because I'm not sure -- how many 6 7 students did you end up disenrolling? Because there's -- you know, there's been a couple of 8 numbers around. So just for clarity. 9 10 THE WITNESS: The Texas students, ma'am? 11 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes, the Texas 12 students. Sorry. 13 THE WITNESS: Approximately 20. 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. 15 THE CHAIR: Anything else? 16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well, only 17 because -- you know, I'm looking at the 18 stipulations, and it says 13. So 20 were 19 disenrolled, but only 13 had been reported on the 20 S.T.A.R.S.? That's where I'm getting confused. If I may, Commissioner 21 THE WITNESS: 22 Gipson, refer to my administrative assistant who has 23 that information right here to the right of me, she can speak more directly to that than I can. 24 She's 25 outstanding. Her name is Ms. Melanie Beegle.



| 1  | And Honorary Commissioner or Madam                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | Commissioner                                       |
| 3  | THE CHAIR: Could we hold on just a                 |
| 4  | second, please.                                    |
| 5  | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.                           |
| 6  | MS. BARNES: Swear her.                             |
| 7  | THE CHAIR: Did you stand and take the              |
| 8  | oath?  |
| 9  | MS. BEEGLE: No, ma'am.                             |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Would you please do that now?           |
| 11 | Would you take the microphone, please, and state   |
| 12 | your name?   |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: My name is Melanie Beegle,            |
| 14 | B-E-E-G-L-E.                                       |
| 15 | MELANIE BEEGLE,                                    |
| 16 | after having been first duly sworn under oath,     |
| 17 | was questioned and testified as follows:           |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: Please come up to the table.            |
| 19 | MS. BEEGLE: Thank you.                             |
| 20 | EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER GIPSON                 |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: And we're going to ask you to           |
| 22 | hold that microphone pretty close to your mouth so |
| 23 | we can all hear you.                               |
| 24 | MS. BEEGLE: Okay. Thank you.                       |
| 25 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                              |





| 1  | MS. BEEGLE: So the question being?                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Repeat it again, please?                             |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: How many students               |
| 4  | that were out-of-state students were ultimately      |
| 5  | disenrolled?   |
| 6  | MS. BEEGLE: So there were 20 that were               |
| 7  | ultimately disenrolled. There were only              |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But only 13 had been            |
| 9  | reported for funding purposes?                       |
| 10 | MS. BEEGLE: Between the 40th and 80th                |
| 11 | day, because we caught them before the 120th.        |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's all I needed.            |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: Before may I ask you,                     |
| 14 | before you walk away, please, is 20 the total number |
| 15 | of I can't use any other word but fraudulently       |
| 16 | enrolled students? Was that the total number of      |
| 17 | fraudulently enrolled students?                      |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Initially, the CSD                 |
| 19 | initially, the CSD had reported 19. We did find      |
| 20 | another initial one that, on my record sheet, was    |
| 21 | termed as a 10-day drop. We did establish that she   |
| 22 | was a Texas resident, and we submitted that as a     |
| 23 | Texas resident. So the total is 20.                  |
| 24 | THE CHAIR: Thank for you that                        |
| 25 | clarification. For her?                              |



| 1  | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: For you, actually.             |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE CHAIR: Oh. Commissioner Bergman?                 |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would just like              |
| 4  | to note, I think the correct terminology is          |
| 5  | "allegedly fraudulent" at this stage. Okay.          |
| 6  | THE CHAIR: It's been stipulated. Would               |
| 7  | you agree, Mr. Baker?                                |
| 8  | MR. BAKER: Yes, Madam Chair.                         |
| 9  | THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. I don't              |
| 10 | want to be wrong. I was once before.                 |
| 11 | Any other questions                                  |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Only once?                      |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: for this young lady?                      |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Well, it could be              |
| 15 | for her. It's for someone from the school.           |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers, go                  |
| 17 | ahead.   |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. One of my                |
| 19 | concerns always with closure is looking at the       |
| 20 | amount of investment that the people of New Mexico   |
| 21 | already have in the school in terms of not only      |
| 22 | time, but money and the facilities and textbooks and |
| 23 | so on. And you had said that when you're at the      |
| 24 | other school, the students came in and said, "Oh,    |
| 25 | they had no textbooks."                              |



1 Well, do you have textbooks or E-books? 2 Or what do you have there? 3 MR. MONTAÑO: I'm better with the old 4 microphone. 5 Sir, most definitely so. We have textbooks ranging anywhere from Algebra 1, 6 Algebra 2, geometry, biology, literature; all of the 7 classes have been provided with textbooks. 8 we've received additional laptops to complete our --9 10 one of our labs in regards to an intro to chemistry, 11 biology, earth and life science. And we are 12 receiving, on a daily basis, all kinds of furniture 13 and other things that were ordered through capital 14 outlay monies that we are continuously receiving 15 during the summer months to finish furnishing our 16 school, sir. 17 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 18 19 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And this is 20 another clarification question. So the young man --I think he's what you said -- came back and said, 21 22 "Well, they don't have textbooks"? 23 So is it true, if you know -- and you may 24 not -- did they have textbooks? Apparently didn't 25 have furniture or laptops or all these other things



that you have since gotten. So where did the capital outlay money -- A, did they have those things? And B, where did the money go if they didn't have it?

MR. MONTAÑO: When I first went into the school in December, and -- I visited the school, and I sat on my hands, if you will, to notice teachings and other things. I went into every one of the classrooms. And absent in 90 percent of the classrooms, except for one, which would be New Mexico History, there were no books. There was a curriculum, sort of speak [verbatim]; but it was here and there and over there and there.

And that had to be redirected, which is one of the first things I did when I became the administrator. I met with the teachers personally and professional learning communities and outlined all of the best teaching practices through the AVID strategies and also through Dr. Marzano's "nine essential components" for best teaching practices. And that's what we follow.

In regards to capital outlay monies, those things were taken care of in regards to ordering since the beginning of the year, I believe. I wasn't there at the beginning. I really didn't come



aboard until midyear. And so a list of -- let's 1 2 call it a wish list -- of things that the teachers 3 felt that they needed to be successful in regards to 4 teaching students was developed. Then it was narrowed down and -- to fit 5 into the amount of capital outlay monies that were 6 7 available. And once that was narrowed down, of 8 course, it had to receive approval and so on and so forth. 9 And I learned that, well, when you're 10 11 ordering things, capital outlay monies, you have to 12 wait a long time to get stuff. So the teachers were 13 without things that we take for granted, like 14 student desks, chairs, bookshelves to put books in, 15 locking cabinets and things of that nature, ma'am. 16 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Thank you. MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, we did get books. 17 We 18 really got books, yes. 19 THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes? 20 MS. BARNES: I just had a quick follow-up 21 question to Commissioner Gipson. 22 In terms of the seven students that weren't reported in S.T.A.R.S., did -- were they 23 late arrivals to the school? 24 25 MR. MONTAÑO: Melanie?



| 1   | MS. BEEGLE: Yes, ma'am. Their enrollment             |
|-----|--|
| 2   | date was between 80th day and 120th day funding      |
| 3   | period; so they were not counted or funded for.      |
| 4   | THE CHAIR: Okay. To follow up on                     |
| 5   | Commissioner Gipson's questions, of the 19, 20 we    |
| 6   | were told 19 students were disenrolled. So it's now  |
| 7   | 20 students were disenrolled. And that happened in   |
| 8   | January, you're saying?                              |
| 9   | MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am, towards the end             |
| L O | of January.  |
| L1  | THE CHAIR: Okay. Were they all night                 |
| L 2 | students?  |
| L 3 | MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, yes, ma'am.                |
| L 4 | THE CHAIR: Okay. And of those                        |
| L 5 | 20 students that were disenrolled, were they able to |
| L 6 | transfer to another school to finish their finish    |
| L 7 | the academic year?                                   |
| L 8 | MR. MONTAÑO: They were adult students,               |
| L 9 | Madam Chair. And I don't know about them             |
| 20  | transferring to another program such as ours.        |
| 21  | There's not another one in the area like the one     |
| 22  | that we offer, ma'am.                                |
| 23  | THE CHAIR: See, my concern here is that              |
| 24  | representatives of your school recruited those       |
| 2.5 | students, very likely told them to use New Mexico    |



addresses -- fraudulently so. They were there because the school told them they could be there.

Perhaps -- I don't know what adults have to do to come back to school. But it's my experience, the ones I have ever worked with, they're making a huge sacrifice to come back to school. They now understand how important that diploma is. GED won't get it. And this Commission has been told that many times.

So they're making a huge sacrifice to come back to school to earn that diploma. And through no fault of their own, they're kicked out of school, disenrolled, expelled, kicked out; it's all the same. They're gone, and they probably didn't get to finish. So all the work they put in that year, all the sacrifice they made that year, was for nothing.

And I -- that is what bothers me. In this whole -- of everything that's gone on in this school, that bothers me the most, that we have students who were truly trying to better themselves and their families, probably; and through no fault of their own, they didn't get to finish.

And I hold the school responsible for that. And I don't think there's any way that can be fixed, particularly if the school did not make an



effort to try to find those students another program to enroll in. I don't know that you can have any response to that; but I just want you to know that is my true overriding concern of all of this.

MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, I share that sympathy, ma'am. And I apologize on behalf of the school. But I can assure you that I have taken steps to rectify that situation in regards to replacing a counselor with a dean of students, where credit -- accreditation can be made and direction can be offered, since the onset of registration.

So when you come in, and you are, let's say, recruited by someone to come in, you visit with the dean now. And we pull your records; we see exactly where you're at. We see exactly what you need, and clear direction is offered for you.

And, of course, at that time -- and I'm sorry, Madam Chair, but, you know, we have to ask for residency and things of that nature. And it breaks my heart, too, because these are people that want to better themselves. And if you -- and I would invite you and any of the other Commissioners to come to our school and see where we're at.

We're in the heel of New Mexico. Texas is -- El Paso, Texas, is right there. You could hit



it with a rock. And the border is about three miles
away from us. And that's the Mexican border. And
we have people all the time wanting to come in, even
from Mexico, wanting to register to get a high
school diploma from our school.

And it pains me, ma'am, to have to turn them away and say, "Well, I'm sorry. You're not a New Mexico resident."

Now, we can certainly make better efforts towards finding another program for them. We certainly can. And I apologize that I didn't have that information for them when they were disenrolled. I truly am. And I accept the responsibility of not having that for them. And I'm sorry.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that.

Let me just ask one other question. One of the later issues that CSD brought forward was the lack of special education programming in your school. And in your introduction, I hear that you are a special education teacher.

So during your time at Health Sciences, were you able to implement any kind of special education program for the students that you obviously knew needed those services? What -- what



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

went on after you arrived at the school for those special education students?

MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, you are correct. I am a special education major. I was a special education teacher, first and foremost, in my teaching career. I have been a part of IEPs, Individual Education programs -- or Plans -- for students, throughout my tenure as an educator.

As I came into the school, we did have a gentleman by the name of Jim Moseley [ph], who was providing services for our special education students, of which we have ten. Of the ten, four of them are gifted and talented. Gifted and talented students fall under the umbrella of special education in New Mexico; the other six were not.

I was a part of those IEPs. I have since interviewed prospective teachers wanting to -- with special education licensure. However -- and I mean this no disrespect -- but it's hard for me to offer a job when the school is kind of in the state that it's in. And I lost a very good candidate as a result.

I can't say -- I'm honest, and I'm truthful, and I'm upfront, Madam Chair. So when I'm interviewing these individuals and I'm saying,



"Well, we're in kind of a situation, and this is 1 what it is, " it's very hard to -- to offer something 2 3 I can't deliver at this point, ma'am. 4 THE CHAIR: But I'm asking, since you arrived in December, I believe you said, did you 5 take it upon yourself, perhaps, to offer services to these students who needed it, or bring in someone --7 I realize since April, perhaps, it would have been 8 more difficult to offer someone a full-time job. 9 MR. MONTAÑO: 10 Yes, ma'am. 11 THE CHAIR: But in December, January, 12 February, you were there with no special education 13 teacher with students who needed it. Am I 14 understanding this correctly? 15 MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, we had an 16 individual. His name was Jim Moseley [ph]. 17 was also a teacher for the Gadsden Independent School District. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Was he a special education 20 teacher for you? MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am. 21 Yes, ma'am. 22 came in and he did all of the identification things. 23 He held the IEPs. And he would visit with teachers 24 in regards to the accommodations and the needs that



the students needed.

1 THE CHAIR: But as far as offering 2 specific special education services, you were not 3 able to do that. Not specific, ma'am. 4 MR. MONTAÑO: COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair? 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta? 6 7 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So on that note, 8 was he considered just on a consultant basis was 9 what his job was? He was just consulting, making 10 sure that the plans were in place; but then also 11 touching base with the teachers to make sure these 12 plans were followed through? Correct? 13 MR. MONTAÑO: Correct, sir. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 14 15 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Sorry. Two 16 quick questions: 17 So if you were to find one of those rare special education teachers, you really couldn't 18 19 offer a full-time job, because you only have six 20 students. And the second part of that, so you can do it all at one time, is that you also don't have a 21 22 GATE-certified teacher; is that correct? 23 MR. MONTAÑO: Let me address, if I may, 24 ma'am, the first part of that. My background is in 25 special education, and special education is actually



| 1              | an endorsement attached to a degree, per se, in   |
|----------------|---|
| 2              | elementary education, or early childhood, or  |
| 3              | secondary.  |
| 4              | So, for example, I may be a special   |
| 5              | education teacher, yes, for this part of the day;   |
| 6              | but then I am also certified to teach mathematics,  |
| 7              | language arts, or what have you, in the second half   |
| 8              | or the other realm of my teaching.  |
| 9              | So that that's kind of we're looking  |
| L O            | for that magical person that can also do the  |
| L1             | let's call it a .5, or a half-time, FTE for special   |
| L 2            | education. We don't want to contract out.   |
| L 3            | It's very hard to get special ed people.  |
| L 4            | We have contracted out for ancillary services such  |
| L 5            | as psych services, speech, social work; all of those  |
| L 6            | things have been taken care of. But we're having to   |
| L7             |   |
|                | go outside the school.  |
| L 8            | go outside the school.  I really, really want someone inside the  |
| L 8            |   |
|                | I really, really want someone inside the  |
| L8<br>L9       | I really, really want someone inside the school to be a part of our family there that we have   |
| L8<br>L9<br>20 | I really, really want someone inside the school to be a part of our family there that we have and take ownership.                                       |
| L8<br>L9<br>20 | I really, really want someone inside the school to be a part of our family there that we have and take ownership.  COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And for the |



COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:

25

Gifted -- what

1 do you call --2 MR. MONTAÑO: Gifted and talented? COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Gifted and 3 4 talented, okay. 5 MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am. Generally, most gifted and talented students, Commissioner 6 7 Armbruster, are basically monitored. What they fall into, to use old terms, is an A-level status, where 8 they receive just -- if I was a special education 9 teacher for gifted students, I would visit with them 10 11 maybe once a week, 15 to 25 minutes a week, just to 12 see how things were going, and then to visit with 13 the regular education teacher to make sure that 14 their needs were being met. 15 That's all dependent upon, of course, their IEP. Some students require as little as 16 17 25 minutes; some may require as many as 30 minutes during the process of a week. 18 19 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So basically, 20 the IEPs for the GATE, gifted and talented students, didn't specify a particular -- they're just an 21 22 inclusion kind of program? 23 MR. MONTAÑO: No, ma'am. They were monitored, and teachers were visited by Mr. Moseley 24 25 in regards to meeting their needs.



| 1  | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But he,                     |
|----|--|
| 2  | Mr. Moseley, has a special ed endorsement, not a     |
| 3  | GATE special ed endorsement; is that correct?        |
| 4  | MR. MONTAÑO: That would be correct. I                |
| 5  | know that the gifted and talented, or gifted         |
| 6  | education, is on top of, or in addition to, having a |
| 7  | special education endorsement, ma'am. I, for         |
| 8  | example, have a special education degree; but I      |
| 9  | haven't gone to school for gifted and enriched in    |
| 10 | regards to receiving that licensure, ma'am.          |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Thank you.                  |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: I see no further questions.               |
| 13 | Thank you.   |
| 14 | MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, Honorary                   |
| 15 | Commissioners, thank you very much for your time.    |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Just a note, please, to note              |
| 17 | that the copies of the stipulation are out in the    |
| 18 | front lobby if anyone cares to pick up a copy.       |
| 19 | Go ahead, Mr. Baker.                                 |
| 20 | MR. BAKER: Thank you, Madam Chair. I                 |
| 21 | would now call Dr. Brian Ormand, a member of the     |
| 22 | Governing Board for Health and Sciences Academy.     |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |



## BRIAN ORMAND,

after having been first duly sworn under oath, was questioned and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BAKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Dr. Ormand, first introduce yourself to the Commission. Give them a brief overview of your background.
- A. Certainly. Madam Chair, members of the Commission, thank you for the time today. I just, very quickly, wanted to thank the Commissioner -- I mean the Board members that were able to attend today. And the other Board members couldn't get away from their jobs to be here; but they wanted to be here.

And so my name, again, is Brian Ormand. I grew up in Silver City. We're not going to start way back when I was a kid on the ranch. But I grew up in ranching and mining and farming and got a degree from New Mexico State, went away and worked out of the state in the information technology field, came back to New Mexico. And, to make a long story short, I spent 25 years at New Mexico State University working in -- in instructional technology and those areas, and also being an adjunct



instructor for two different colleges and Doña Ana Community College, as well.

My wife's a kindergarten teacher. I've gotten involved in K-12 education quite a bit with virtual learning and with -- more recently, with the Chamber of Commerce and work-readiness programs, where students work on work internship programs.

And so I've always had a passion for education. And when I became semi-retired, my wife said, "Please don't get on any more boards that don't pay."

But I think I just -- I'm a sucker for

And so I had known Mr. Montaño 25 years ago when my daughters and my son went through Zia Middle School in Las Cruces Public Schools, when he was starting his career as an administrator there, had the opportunity to work with him on some technology committees to implement technology programs at that school. And I just have a lot of

education, as most of you probably are, too.

He's -- just kept in touch briefly with him over the years. So when I found out that he was going to retire -- or not retire -- but transition from an administrator in the public schools to the charter school, I was very excited, because one of

respect for him at that point -- from that time.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

my backgrounds is I served on the -- the State

Coalition of Charter Schools Board as one of the

boards I had served on. I've served on a number of

boards.

And I just wished him well, and I said, you know, "I hope that your wife is going to put up with you taking on all this new stuff," because I knew charter schools are a lot of work. They -- they're -- I'm very much in favor of them as giving parents and students options.

But, I mean, one of the things that we -I worked on when I was on the Commission was just
the -- making sure that charter schools are high
quality, that they're run well, and that they have
sustainability. And so this is something that I
wish Mr. Montaño well.

And I then reengaged when I found out that the school was having these difficulties. And I was able to join the Board in -- on April 23rd, at the same Board meeting where we changed the configuration of the Board. And so I was asked to -- to join the Board at that point, and I did, and have learned a lot about the school and the opportunity it presents.

So that's a little bit of my background,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Baker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Would you talk a little bit about the question that was raised, and that Commissioner Carr mentioned, related to whether there is concern about the people that Mr. Montaño spoke to in his testimony re-engaging with the school?

And I'll start with the -- with the former Board chair. Do you have any concern among the current -- currently constituted Board that there is a desire, or a risk, that he will be invited back, in any capacity, by that Board -- by your Board?

A. The answer is absolutely not. There -the Board, I am proud to say -- and I'm proud to
serve with these Board members -- were resolute.

Once they became awakened by the revocation of the
charter, they became very passionate about saving
their charter and doing what was right for their
community and for their children.

And so my fellow Board members and I are resolute in the fact that we are going to have no further dealings with anyone with a perceived -- any type of real or perceived conflict of interest with this school, either as a Board member or as any operational or contractual relationships with the school.



|      | Q.  | How | many | times | has | the | Board | met | since | you |
|------|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| came | on? |     |      |       |     |     |       |     |       |     |

A. Counting that Board meeting where we reconfigured the Board, we've met two more times. So we've met in April, May, and June, to -- to, I would say, address many -- many issues in terms of training that the Board had not received at that point.

We had a -- the second Board meeting after the reconfiguring Board meeting, we had a Board meeting, slash, training that went most -- Friday afternoon, after everybody got off work, and then Saturday to do Board training; and that was conducted by Abby Lewis.

And we realigned many of our handbooks that we hadn't had in place to align with State statute and charter school rules to make sure that we were moving into a more compliant thing.

And then we just recently had another

Board meeting, where we've had -- the Board become

aware -- or, really, a stronger report on the budget

and the finances and the plans for the upcoming

school year. And so that was at the most recent

Board meeting.

O. Dr. Ormand, have you reviewed the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

stipulation signed by the Board Chair?

A. Yes, I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And in addition to communicating with Mr. Montaño about steps that the Board and he are advancing for the school, you're aware of specific issues raised in the school regarding steps that have been, and are, proposed to be taken to -- to provide oversight and course correction for the school, if it's allowed to remain open?
- A. Yes, I'm aware of all the stipulations and the remedies that are proposed.
- Q. Can you speak for the Board with regard to the support for those steps and additional steps the Commission might find necessary or appropriate to provide oversight going forward?
- A. Yes. The Board is in support of these recommendations and will be working closely with the administration to make sure that they're carried out. We will work as a Board to become a better board and to have an appropriate relationship with the administration to provide the oversight and support that the administration, Mr. Montaño, and the administration needs, but not be micromanaging what goes on in the school.

And I think that as long as we have



competent administration, which I'm confident we do at this point, I don't think there's any excuse for the Board to get involved in micromanagement.

- Q. With regard to the oversight function of the Board, is the Board engaged in managing policies and procedures and avoiding any -- any future issues, similar to those raised in Mr. Pahl's memorandum from his site visit?
- A. Yes, we're engaged in implementing policies, handbooks. We just conducted a review of the Executive Director, Mr. Montaño, in private session, and then delivered that to him in terms of our expectation moving forward on his annual review. And so we're taking the steps that we need to take to get on a good footing and a regular rhythm of governance.
- Q. You mentioned that your wife had asked you not to join any other boards that would be free.

  Curious. There's a list of provided training that's pretty substantial in the proposed stipulations.

  Are you and your fellow Governing Board members comfortable and committed to engaging in that training if the school were to be permitted to remain open?
  - A. Well, first of all, regarding my wife,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

she -- she -- she is supportive of education. 1 2 been an educator all her life. So she understands the passion. And, yes, we're committed -- I'm 3 4 committed, and I know my fellow Board members are 5 committed, to engage in this training and to do whatever is necessary to support this school, the 6 7 community, and Southern Doña Ana County, which often 8 lacks some of the opportunities that we see more readily in the main City of Las Cruces. 9 10 So we're supportive of the training that 11 we need to do and whatever we need to do without 12 getting -- overstepping our bounds as a governance 13 board and getting involved in micromanaging issues. 14 MR. BAKER: With that, Madam Chair, 15 members of the Commission, I would submit that Dr. Ormand would be open for questions. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Commissioners, do you have any questions? 18 Commissioner Toulouse? 19 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, Dr. Ormand, I put this question off until we got to 21 22 the Board, because I noticed in the stipulations --23 and because you said you had been discussing budget at your last one -- that the money that was overpaid 24 25 has not been repaid.



1 Do you have a plan to repay that money 2 that was paid out for those students from Texas to 3 get you on an even footing, if we should allow you 4 to remain open? 5 THE WITNESS: At this point in time, we've asked for a plan from our financial group, and we 6 7 expect to review that and have a way to get that 8 We're committed to getting that done. But a specific plan, we haven't covered 9 10 that yet in our Board meeting. We've been covering 11 a lot of ground; but we haven't covered that quite 12 yet, except for the fact that we're going to do 13 that. 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, 15 follow-up? 16 Did you have a time frame for that 17 repayment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's 18 19 stipulated two years. 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I just wanted to make sure that that was the Governance Council's 21 22 plan with budget; because I know how, when you're 23 doing budgets, things can slip. And I just want to make sure that whatever is stipulated here is also a 24



part of your planning process.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

2 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Bergman?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just want to raise this, because you used the term "micromanage" several times in your discussion there. I would like you to state for the record that you and your fellow Board members fully understand that you hire Mr. Montaño. Mr. Montaño is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the school, not the Board.

And part of the problems that we're talking about today came from some interference, apparently -- I say "apparently" -- and that you are not to have any interactions with other employees except Mr. Montaño. Just want to be sure you guys understand that.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Commissioner Bergman.

I think that we've had discussions on this. And we do understand the roles and the difference in the roles. But we do understand that we oversee and hire Mr. Montaño, and we will be working with him, if this Commission deems fit to continue the school on a long-term sustainability plan for leadership, not only at the board Level, but at the



| 1  | administration level.                                |
|----|--|
| 2  | But I think we're we wouldn't be                     |
| 3  | involved in getting involved in the day-to-day,      |
| 4  | except to come and represent or something at an      |
| 5  | event, without getting involved with the day-to-day. |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that.            |
| 7  | I think I'd like to expand on Commissioner           |
| 8  | Toulouse's questions. I've given some thought to     |
| 9  | that, too.   |
| 10 | I know you're in the planning stage, and             |
| 11 | you can't answer it now. I am just curious. We       |
| 12 | have the PED General Counsel here and everything.    |
| 13 | Can money that was fraudulently                      |
| 14 | allegedly fraudulently obtained, can it be repaid    |
| 15 | out of future SEG money? Or does it have to be       |
| 16 | raised privately?                                    |
| 17 | I realize that's not my concern,                     |
| 18 | necessarily. But I think that's going to have to be  |
| 19 | addressed at some point.                             |
| 20 | Can you use current money to repay past              |
| 21 | money?   |
| 22 | Just thought I'd throw that in the mix.              |
| 23 | Thank you.   |
| 24 | THE CHAIR: Mr. Hill, would you care to               |
| 25 | respond to that, or shall we tap someone else?       |



THE WITNESS: Whether the money can be repaid out of --

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, can I respond? I think it's really a question of the timing.

Obviously, if money was misappropriated and spent, you have to pay it back with some other source of funds.

And so, Commissioner Bergman, I think to your question, in instances where a school district has been over-allocated SEG, either for fraudulent or just a quirk of accounting, so their accounts were high initially, and they had an adjustment, those are typically paid out of current-year funds and then sometimes rolled over into the next fiscal year.

So I don't think there's anything inappropriate, per se, with the plan. But saying that, I think it's something that the Department administration might say it needs to be paid back sooner than two years.

I don't have an answer for you as to whether or the Department would approve the two-year pay-back plan.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that.

I'm not concerned, really, whether it's appropriate



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or not. I am concerned that if it comes out of future SEG money, that that could have some negative effect on the school's finances going forward in the future. There would be less money available to put into the students. That's where my concern really comes from.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I'd like to follow up on that. As a board, my -- my thought would be that after the Board's experience with a head administrator -- no, pardon me -- a board chair that was so knowledgeable, apparently, and the board simply seemed to follow what that board chair said, I would think that the board would want to be very cautious in the future to be more knowledgeable of all aspects of running a school; not get into running a school, but be knowledgeable, so that they, too, would have a better idea of how things should go working with their administrator, of course, but not being reliant on any one person to give you direction, to give the board direction.

And so my question is, you've directed your financial group to come up with a plan to repay this money. But how much knowledge does the Board have about what that plan's going to have to say?



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And how much money are we looking at, anyway? Does the Board already know that amount? How much money is going to have to be repaid?

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, if I might speak to that, we've been working -- we've discussed this, and we believe we need a figure from PED that's going to have to be traced back to different students, because different students are funded at different levels. And the idea would be to have a dialogue with PED, where they would tell us the figure amount.

We've asked for two years in the stipulation. If a different time period needs to be sorted out, the school is open to that and realizes that that may require some belt-tightening in the short run to make it square.

But they can't just go off of the number and run a total that's owed, because it fluctuates. And so I think that the proposal would be that PED would help sort out the details of what SEG was there. The school would then pinpoint, with help from the number crunchers in the Vigil group, what the payment schedule would look like. And then the Board would act, after looking to make sure that fit within the budget, to approve it or request



modifications, as the Board determined were necessary, for the school either to pay it back quicker, or to request a longer period of time to pay it back, not be on the two years, if that was what the Commission posed.

But the Board would then weigh in at that point is my understanding of the proposal.

THE WITNESS: And, Madam Chair, I would also say that the Board is committed to not rely on just one person on the Board anymore. They are very much working -- knowing what they don't know and learning what they don't know; and so they're actively engaged in learning all aspects of the school, as you pointed out, not from a micromanagement point of view, but from an understanding point of view.

And so there are more questions being asked, I think, than were asked before; although, I wasn't in prior Board meetings. But I know the Madam Chair, the Vice Chair that became the Chair, she is -- she is coming up to speed and leading that charge a lot.

THE CHAIR: Then let me just throw out a hypothetical; because I know you can't know the amount of money specifically right now. But I think



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you -- you do know how much each student was worth as far as lease assistance funds; that's a stated amount. You do know that you probably have about 20 students that you're going to have to pay back their SEG. And you have the basic SEG unit value.

Has anybody put a pencil to it and said,

"Well, we're looking at about this much money, and

this here's what we might have to do to make that

work"? "Are we going to have to lay off somebody?

Are we going to have to -- what are we going to have

to do?"

Are you just thinking -- is the Board thinking what you're going to have to do to pay back those funds and still provide all the educational programs for the students that you have sitting in classrooms?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. First of all, I think it's 13 students, not 20, because of the -- what was reported and actually collected inappropriately.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And then in terms of those 13 students, I think that we have it on the agenda to put that -- and, again, some of this is subject to what PED will allow -- what's been proposed as



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the two-year payback, the details of which we're hoping that -- well, we're already planning for the Vigil group to present us with a plan. And then once we understand the impact, we're going to find out how that would be implemented in a manner that doesn't disrupt services to the existing students.

We're going to work on that, ma'am.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And my final question has to do with if the school is allowed to remain open, do you have the cash balances -- do you have the cash money to start school and pay the bills until you start getting money, and sufficient money to pay the bills? Because I know so much federal and state is on a reimbursement basis: You pay it; they pay you back.

Do you have that kind of money going forward? Because I know this process has been pretty expensive. And I'm wondering if you have the money to start school and keep things going.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. In the specific budget report that we just got from the Vigil group, that was a specific question that several of the Board members asked, that exact question. And the answer was "Yes, unless there is a substantial delay from PED."



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | But if the normal processes take effect,            |
|----|---|
| 2  | there should be no problem. The school was not in   |
| 3  | financial problems before. And, again, some of it   |
| 4  | depends on this repayment plan, and some of it      |
| 5  | depends but per the budget reports we reviewed at   |
| 6  | the last Board meeting, that question was asked and |
| 7  | answered that, "Yes, we're ready to move into the   |
| 8  | fall semester."                                     |
| 9  | THE CHAIR: And do you I said I had one              |
| 10 | question. I have more than that.                    |
| 11 | Do you have letters of commitment from              |
| 12 | most of your staff to return for next year?         |
| 13 | MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, yes, ma'am.               |
| 14 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                               |
| 15 | Commissioner Ms. Barnes?                            |
| 16 | MS. BARNES: I Mr. Montaño, I had a                  |
| 17 | question for you. I just wasn't clear. I know that  |
| 18 | you did the special education plans that you needed |
| 19 | to do; you did the IEPs. But this is follow-up,     |
| 20 | actually, to a question by Commissioner Peralta.    |
| 21 | Were the services, the special education            |
| 22 | services provided that were identified in the IEP,  |
| 23 | were they provided to students?                     |
| 24 | MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am.                            |
| 25 | MS. BARNES: And they were provided by the           |



teachers themselves? Is that what I understood?

MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am. When the IEPs were held with Mr. Moseley, ways of intervention and accommodations for those students, specifically, as well as the parents, they were outlined in regards to what needed to be followed in regards to meeting the service needs for those particular students.

MS. BARNES: So do you believe that you're in compliance with the special ed regulations for the last year?

MR. MONTAÑO: Given my background -- and I haven't been there until midyear. But since I've been there, we need to do a much better job. And we're going to get a special education teacher. By just telling -- and I'm not discounting the regular education teacher -- but, you know, we need to service our students better, ma'am. We truly do.

Now, oftentimes, a special education teacher will go into an inclusion-type environment and is seen as just being another teacher so that the special education students don't feel like they're being identified as being special education.

And that special education teacher will pull them and other students -- and they may -- to the back at a small table and offer instruction



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

twice, or break it down or offer another form of differentiated instruction to meet the needs of those students specifically, ma'am.

MS. BARNES: And that's what you want to implement next year?

MR. MONTAÑO: It would be dependent upon the service levels of the students. But the school lends itself to differentiated instruction, yes, ma'am, but -- yes. Now, it would depend -- let's say if we have, of course, an extensive leveled special education student, well then that environment -- that won't work, per se. They may need to have an occasional pullout, a planned pullout for so many hours and receive instruction from that special education teacher, et cetera, et cetera.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: May I? So,

Mr. Montaño -- so what you're saying -- I need to

find out. You do have a pullout, or you don't have

a pullout? Sounds to me, when you're talking about

pullout, you're talking about those special ed

students being taken by that regular teacher to the

back of the room and doing some individualized

instruction? Or are they taken out of the classroom

and doing the 30 minutes or 45 minutes, whatever the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

plan says, with the special ed certified teacher? 1 2 Can you a tell me, what is -- how is that? 3 THE CHAIR: Are you talking about what was 4 happening last year, in the last school year? 5 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Right. MR. MONTAÑO: If I'm speaking to the last 6 7 school year, then we did not have a pullout, sir. COMMISSIONER PERALTA: 8 So the gentleman from the Gadsden Independent School District was the 9 one that was providing some sort of service to your 10 11 school district. And that's the reason why I said, 12 in essence, when you don't have special ed services, 13 and you have someone just checking in on a 14 regular -- you know, interim basis, that's a 15 consultant type of service. So when you do that and 16 you don't provide full special ed services, do you 17 provide transportation for those students whose parents want them to have special ed services for 18 19 that community? 20 MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, sir, that would be a requirement. The transportation would be -- let's 21 22 say a special education student wants to come to the 23 Health Sciences Academy. We would need to provide 24 transportation services. Let's say if that 25 particular student was bound to a wheelchair or



needed special transportation services, yes, sir, we would have to provide that.

THE CHAIR: But did they?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: My question is, if you had a student in your school, at Health Sciences Academy, who was a special ed student that is not receiving services, and the parents wanted their child to have full special ed services outside your school, you would have to compensate both the transportation for that kid to go to another school to get services?

MR. MONTAÑO: Well, sir, for services outside of the school, that would be only if we could not provide those services, per se. There is a SAT -- Student Assistant Team -- process, where a student is identified first by -- in regards to needing the services. Once that referral would be made, then the SAT committee would sit down and determine whether or not that that individual was a candidate for special education testing.

Now, there are some things that supersede those things, sir, such as students that have fluency problems, such as stuttering, things that you can readily identify. They have to be provided those services; but they still must follow a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

process. However, that process is speeded up somewhat, and that particular person would receive a full diagnosis in regards to receiving special education services.

Now, let's say that the school could not meet those special education services, and they said, "Well, you can't provide my student, or my child, with these services specific to his or her needs," then, of course, they could seek outside services, sir.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, could I try to synthesize? Because I see that the Chair is perplexed and wanting to get to what happened last year, versus the conversation about -- because Mr. Montaño is very knowledgeable about how this should go, going forward.

And I haven't been with the school all along; so I'm going to synthesize what I'm hearing about what happened last year, and I'm going to ask you to comment on it, Mr. Montaño.

I think the school was complying with the requirement to have the IEPs in place, had teachers who were assisting in following the IEP. But the shortfall would be that they didn't have a certified special education teacher available to provide all



| 1  | of those services.                                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Is that an accurate synthesis?                       |
| 3  | MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, sir.                               |
| 4  | THE CHAIR: I   |
| 5  | MR. BAKER: I hope I'm not overstepping;              |
| 6  | but I'm just trying to provide clarity.              |
| 7  | THE CHAIR: You cleared up a lot of my                |
| 8  | confusion.   |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I just have one                |
| 10 | last question. The gentleman from the Gadsden        |
| 11 | Independent School District was paid for the time he |
| 12 | came into your school to do his consulting or        |
| 13 | whatever it was?                                     |
| 14 | MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, sir.                               |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Then let me ask one follow-up             |
| 16 | question. Did your school receive special education  |
| 17 | funding last year?                                   |
| 18 | MR. MONTAÑO: Yes, ma'am. Madam Chair                 |
| 19 | excuse me yes, ma'am.                                |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: Well, if services are not                 |
| 21 | provided, how can you receive special education      |
| 22 | funding if you did not have a special education      |
| 23 | teacher?   |
| 24 | Now, I know your gifted students probably            |
| 25 | can be handled in a regular classroom with           |



assistance or that sort of thing; but did you have any students that had true learning disabilities, speech problems, any D-level special education students -- and I'm not talking -- again, I'm not speaking of gifted, I'm speaking of truly, students who needed specialized help to be successful in their education.

MR. MONTAÑO: Madam Chair, we did not have any D-level students. Our students that needed the services in regards to speech and ancillary services for special education, social work, things of that nature, we provided. That was through an outside source, ma'am.

THE CHAIR: You had a speech pathologist come in?

MR. MONTAÑO: Yes.

THE CHAIR: What other types of special education students' problems did you have in the students that you had as special education students last year?

MR. MONTAÑO: We had some of our students that needed some psych services, some that needed speech, quite a few that needed the social work services, four of them that needed the gifted and -- the talented monitoring.





| 1  | Other than that, I can't be as specific to           |
|----|--|
| 2  | levels of special education, per se, like C or D, in |
| 3  | that regard. In regards to learning disabled, those  |
| 4  | students that need additional services, I would say  |
| 5  | possibly three, maybe four.                          |
| 6  | THE CHAIR: And how did they get those                |
| 7  | services?  |
| 8  | MR. MONTAÑO: Through the accommodations              |
| 9  | that were provided by the teacher, through the       |
| 10 | special education representative, Mr. Moseley.       |
| 11 | THE CHAIR: Now, does that meet the letter            |
| 12 | of the law? I mean, if a regular classroom teacher   |
| 13 | can do it, why do you need a special ed teacher? Am  |
| 14 | I missing something here?                            |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.                             |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: In my experiences,             |
| 17 | in most cases, LDs usually require some time outside |
| 18 | the regular ed setting for specialized intervention  |
| 19 | by a certified special ed teacher. And that was      |
| 20 | what I was trying to get at.                         |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?                  |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Thank you,                  |
| 23 | Madam Chair.   |
| 24 | I was a special education teacher for                |
| 25 | 39 years, recently retired. And my question, maybe,  |



to PED -- Dan, wake up. So I'm assuming that the school received money because it's in the SEG for special education students. And isn't that dependent on having a special ed teacher? Could be an inclusion class; but that meant that there was a regular ed teacher, as well as a special ed teacher. Doesn't have to have a pullout.

I mean, that's -- that's not a requirement of the special ed. But the services that were in that class were -- were duly delivered. Is that -- so is that -- could they get that money for this?

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Armbruster, for SEG purposes, the way that the

funding is generated by a special education student

comes out of the membership numbers. So if the

school has to report, in their S.T.A.R.S. reporting,

the number of students who have IEPs and the level

of student that they are, and that generates

additional funding through the process, within the

SEG allocation, there's not a direct -- it's a -
it's a non-categorical grant.

So the school district can -- or the school can use it for whatever purpose. It determines whether or not it was generated, per se, by special ed or by at-risk or some other factor.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The only fund source that would be conditional for special ed would be the federal special ed dollars. I don't have the information about the school in front of me. But I don't think there's anything inappropriate, per se, about a school reporting students with IEPs in their S.T.A.R.S. counts from an accounting purpose, and then generating funding for those students.

I think the issue that was identified and put before the PEC is that, per the letter of the law, they needed to have a -- a licensed special education teacher for those students; and they did not.

My understanding is that they still attempted to provide services to those students; but those services wouldn't technically comply with the lawsuit, because the educator was not licensed in special education.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So if their person were not licensed in that area, they still get to keep their funding, the extra funding that they do get for special ed?

MR. HILL: The special ed funding generated through the funding formula is not conditional on having special education certified



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

teachers. And so that funding would go to the 1 2 school. And it's not earmarked for any particular purpose, and it wouldn't be reverted back, or we 3 4 wouldn't try to claw back that money if the school didn't have a licensed special educator. 5 There are other mechanisms for enforcement 7 in special ed where the Special Education Bureau 8 will go out and put a corrective action plan in place and require that school to do -- take certain 9 10 measures to become compliant. 11 THE CHAIR: Mr. Hill and Mr. Baker, I'm 12 going to ask that Julie Lucero with CSD, the General 13 Manager of CSD, perhaps if she could come down and 14 I know special education is one of her join us? 15 specialties, and perhaps she could help us. 16 MR. HILL: Madam Chair, that's who I've 17 been text-messaging; so I'm going to have her come 18 down. 19 MS. BARNES: I just text-messaged her, 2.0 too. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Please come help. 21 22 MS. BARNES: Ed Woodd is also on call to 23 come down. I know he's available.



MR. HILL: I think Julie is more

experienced in special ed, and she would be better

24

1 suited to answer those questions. If I can propose 2 maybe we could take a, you know, five-minute break 3 while she comes down? 4 THE CHAIR: Is she available? 5 MR. HILL: She is. Why don't we do that? 6 THE CHAIR: 7 take about five minutes until Ms. Lucero can join 8 us. (Recess taken, 3:33 p.m. to 3:50 p.m.) 9 THE CHAIR: We are back in session. 10 11 Please note that Julie Lucero is here at 12 the Commission's request to give us some 13 information. Okay? 14 Actually, if I might, it MS. BARNES: 15 might be helpful to have Mr. Baker kind of clarify 16 what's happened at the school. And then the 17 question for you, Ms. Lucero, is if there's any concerns about the past -- I think there's two 18 19 questions: What's happening? What's happened in 20 the last year? And then what might happen in the 21 future? 22 And I think Mr. Montaño, who's been trying 23 to give us as much information as he possibly can, 24 is -- I just want to see what's past and what's 25 So maybe if Mr. Baker starts, then



Ms. Lucero can add.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, this is the -- to continue on the summary that I've given the Chair, my understanding is that the shortfall with the school was that they did not have a certified special education teacher on the ground for the spring semester. Ancillary services were provided and paid for. And Mr. Moseley was checking in on a daily basis at the end of the school day to monitor the compliance with their IEPs. But there was not a certified special education instructor in the classroom for the spring semester.

And that's the issue that's been stipulated to, and the remedy that the school is proposing, is to find a teacher to come in who can either be -- is unlikely to be a full-time special education teacher, because there's not going to be sufficient demand, or there's not likely to be sufficient demand; but the teacher would be part-time, devoted exclusively to special ed, and then the balance of that person's responsibility would be general education requirements.

So the shortfall would be the absence of a certified special education teacher in a classroom



as of the spring semester.

THE CHAIR: Let me just clarify in my
mind. Are we asking only about the spring semester,
or are we even asking about the entire school year?

MR. BAKER: I specified that, because they
had a certified special education instructor at the

had a certified special education instructor at the beginning of the year. They lost him midstream; and, therefore, there was the absence in the spring. That's why I provided the timing clarification, as

10 well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that's the best synopsis I can give of my understanding, and hope that anybody else can volunteer if I'm mistaken on that; but that's my understanding. So then the question had been raised about what the ramifications of that were.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, that's exactly correct. Starting in January, they lost their special-education-endorsed teacher and were providing services by hiring a contractor to write IEPs and consult with general education teachers.

Now, generally, services cannot be provided through a consult model; so that was primarily the concern, that even though they were contracting with a gentleman to write the IEPs and



meet with general education teachers, that's not 1 2 sufficient in meeting the needs of students. So next year, when they do have a special 3 4 education teacher, that teacher must provide contact hours to meet the needs of those students. 5 So are there any repercussions 6 THE CHAIR: 7 on the school for not having a special education 8 teacher for the spring semester? MS. LUCERO: There could be. There would 9 10 need to be an additional audit by Special Education 11 Bureau to determine if compensatory services would 12 need to be completed by the school to make up those 13 hours that were not made by a 14 special-education-endorsed teacher. 15 Commissioner Bergman? THE CHAIR: 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Who would request 17 that audit? MS. LUCERO: Members -- Madam Chair, 18 members of the Commission, that could come from the 19 2.0 Commission; that could come from PED, either. And actually, it would be something that would be 21 22 required. 23 It -- you do need to meet the needs of the 24 If it states that they received 50 percent, 25 more than 50 percent of the day of services, they



need to be met by a special-ed-endorsed teacher.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think I've got a

THE CHAIR: All right, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I guess my concern is there's this list of student files that were not adequately taken care of. So my concern is the hours that a special ed student, through an IEP, should have received in services. And we've got so many files that were either unverified or unacceptable.

Are there just big gaps there that students won't be found because their records aren't adequate, so you won't know whether they've received those services or not? Because there's -- according to this, there's even files that can't be located.

So how do we know who didn't get those services or didn't get them adequately?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Gipson, we were only able to review the 11 IEP files
that were available. Of course, there could be
files, especially since they are incomplete, that
were missing, special education files; but it would
be difficult to determine, because of the missing
data.



3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question.

MR. HILL: If I can add, Madam Chair,

Commissioner Gipson, the -- I think it's unlikely
that there's special education files that we missed.

But the school has an interest in reporting those
students in their SEG counts. And so the number of
students that were reported in their count is

11 students; and we reviewed the 11 files.

I think, to your question, there will have to be some audit work done by the Special Education Bureau to determine if there's compensatory services required or any sort of compliance issue. I can't speak to whether the -- the actual IEP files are going to be sufficient to show that data; and so I don't want to talk out of turn on that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. That was my concern, that I understand they want to account for those students because of the money, but that they may have under-reported the services that were provided, because of the poor files that were -- that have been provided.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner Gipson, what I can say is all 11 IEP files were current; so they were all up-to-date.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Do we have other questions?



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HILL: Madam Chair, if I could go back to a question that was asked previously, just clarify. There was some questioning regarding the potential shortfall or amount of money that the school would owe back and their ability to pay and be able to operate.

We've just looked at some of their budget information. And this is a very preliminary number, because the process we will have to go through to determine the actual amount that has to be paid back is much more complicated than just multiplying the number of students by the unit value.

We're actually going to have to rerun their entire funded run through the funding formula. But given what we've looked at and what their financial situation is, at this point, it looks like they have sufficient funds in terms of receivables to pay the shortfall and then open the school year with sufficient funding.

Now, the other thing I would add is for school districts, or charter schools, the PED will work with them to determine if there's a funding shortfall to advance SEG payments so that the school can open at the beginning of the year and meet payroll and those sorts of things.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | So I just wanted to clarify that for the             |
|----|--|
| 2  | Commission, that, one, looking at the records we     |
| 3  | have, they have sufficient funds to cover that       |
| 4  | amount that they would owe back and begin the school |
| 5  | year; and, two, if the Commission did decide to keep |
| 6  | the school open, the PED would work with them, if    |
| 7  | necessary, to advance SEG payments so that the       |
| 8  | school could operate.                                |
| 9  | So we wouldn't allow a school to not have            |
| 10 | money to start the school year. We're going to make  |
| 11 | sure that no matter what the Commission decides,     |
| 12 | that if a school needs to open, there's sufficient   |
| 13 | funding. And typically, that involves advancing an   |
| 14 | SEG payment so they get it earlier in the fiscal     |
| 15 | year, rather than waiting until the school year      |
| 16 | starts.  |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that                  |
| 18 | clarification.                                       |
| 19 | Other questions, Commissioners?                      |
| 20 | I see none.  |
| 21 | Julie, we thank you for coming down.                 |
| 22 | Thank you, Mr. Hill.                                 |
| 23 | Mr. Baker, please? Doesn't he have to                |
| 24 | tell me he's finished with his case?                 |
| 25 | MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, barring                      |



additional questions from the Commission, we have no other evidence to present at this time.

THE CHAIR: I see no further questions.

Thank you.

The next item is Public Comment. I will allow 20 minutes of public comment. There will be no further public comment allowed once the 20-minute period has run. Following public comment, we will take closing arguments from counsel.

I would like to remind those wishing to make public comment that your comments should be relevant to the scope of the revocation hearing.

This hearing is limited to whether or not to revoke the school's charter. The Commission is not making a decision on anything outside of this scope.

Each speaker will have no more than five minutes to speak, and if there are more than five speakers, I will limit the time to give each speaker equal time.

The speakers will present in the order in which they appear on the sign-up sheet. And each speaker is allowed to offer his or her comments.

However, speakers are not allowed to question any witnesses, nor to introduce any evidence.



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | Counsel for both parties are entitled to             |
|----|--|
| 2  | question a public speaker if they so choose and/or   |
| 3  | to request that public speakers be sworn in.         |
| 4  | The time taken during questioning will not           |
| 5  | count towards the time allocated for each speaker.   |
| 6  | The Commission will give each public comment speaker |
| 7  | its due weight.                                      |
| 8  | Ms. Barnes is handing me the sign-in sheet           |
| 9  | for public comment on today's hearing. And I have    |
| 10 | no one signed up.                                    |
| 11 | Now, I'm seeing a gesture that possibly              |
| 12 | someone signed on the wrong sheet.                   |
| 13 | MR. NEVINS: Madam Chair, there's a                   |
| 14 | sign-up sheet here for today's meeting.              |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Would you give it to                      |
| 16 | Mrs. Friedman, please?                               |
| 17 | MR. NEVINS: Okay, sure.                              |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: What's the issue,                         |
| 19 | Ms. Friedman?  |
| 20 | MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, this is the               |
| 21 | sign-up sheet for the attendance at the meeting. It  |
| 22 | is not for the public comments.                      |
| 23 | THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioners, is it                |
| 24 | all right if we go ahead and have five minutes'      |
| 25 | public comment, even though the gentleman signed up  |



1 on the wrong sheet? 2 Let me just ask, is there anyone else in 3 the audience who thought they signed up, but they 4 didn't? 5 Are you all on that sheet to speak; right? I don't know their names. 6 MS. FRIEDMAN: 7 THE CHAIR: How many are signed up on that 8 sheet? MS. FRIEDMAN: Five people are signed up: 9 10 Raphael Nevins, Joseph Tapia, Brian Ormand, Juan 11 Acevedo, and Sara Acevedo. 12 How many of those people want THE CHAIR: 13 to speak? 14 MR. TAPIA: I'm Joseph Tapia. 15 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I cannot hear you. 16 MR. TAPIA: I'm Joseph Tapia. It depends 17 on what Mr. Nevins has to say. If we have to rebut 18 any of his allegations or what his statements are, 19 we will speak. 20 Okay. I would ask, since both THE CHAIR: 21 of you are Board members, that you choose one 22 speaker. That's our process. People from the same 23 group, we ask to allow -- ask them to name a 24 speaker. 25 So is Mr. Nevins first on the list,



Ms. Friedman? 1 2 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes, he is. THE CHAIR: All right. Mr. Nevins, please 3 4 come forward. 5 Thank you. MR. NEVINS: And you have five minutes. 6 THE CHAIR: 7 And Mrs. Friedman, again, is our -- she's our timer. 8 MR. NEVINS: Madam Chair, Commissioners, 9 thank you for the opportunity to speak with you. I think all of us are here today for one reason, and 10 11 that is to determine, on the balance of the evidence 12 before you and the consideration about the 13 uniqueness of the school, whether to prevent it to 14 go forward. And I applaud the time and the 15 attention you've given to both sides. 16 The only thing that I'd like to focus on 17 today is this set of stipulations that was provided to the public. 18 19 Item No. 37 says, "The former Governing 20 Board chair of the school is married to the person 21 who is incorporated and one-time president of 22 Healthy Futures at the time the school had a food 23 service contract and lease with the Healthy 24 Futures."



Julia Barnes was the interim CSD director,

and Abby Lewis served as your counsel at the time 1 2 that the lease was signed. They had an opportunity to speak with the attorney for HSA and Healthy 3 4 Futures. They found no conflict of interest. Indeed, my wife resigned from the Board in 5 The lease was signed sometime in June. 6 7 food service contract was signed sometime in July. I believe that Ms. Barnes was a party to those 8 discussions, as was Ms. Abby Lewis. 9 10 Further, it's my understanding that both 11 Ms. Barnes and the Commission, Mr. Pahl, and Daniel 12 Hill, received access to the documents confirming 13 these things, even if they weren't submitted to you. 14 I know that these issues will not probably 15 make a difference with you; but I just want to be on record that those documents were made available, and 16 17 Ms. Barnes and Abby Lewis were part of the process. Attorney John Kennedy, well-respected in 18 this environment, opined on these issues of conflict 19 20 of interest at Board meetings, as did Lorna Wiggins, former attorney for the school. 21 22 So I thank you for your time and your 23 consideration. 24 THE CHAIR: Do either of the attorneys



have questions for Mr. Nevins?

| 1  | MR. BAKER: No.                                    |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                             |
| 3  | Mr. Hill?   |
| 4  | MR. HILL: No, Madam Chair.                        |
| 5  | THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.                   |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, I have a          |
| 7  | question.   |
| 8  | THE CHAIR: It says counsel for both               |
| 9  | parties are entitled to question. But             |
| 10 | Commissioners, shall we allow Commissioners       |
| 11 | questions, or be very specific to what the script |
| 12 | says?   |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think we should be         |
| 14 | specific to what the script says.                 |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Right. Hasn't our           |
| 16 | practice in the past been not                     |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: We've only done this one time.         |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: But this is public          |
| 19 | comment.  |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, can't                    |
| 21 | Madam Chair, the I mean, the fact of the matter   |
| 22 | is, is that Mr. Nevins has not been called as a   |
| 23 | witness. And and we have not had a chance to      |
| 24 | question him. And he has a great deal of hands-on |
| 25 | information in regards to this case.              |



You know, had this been a regular trial, I would have subpoenaed him and made him come here; so -- and I know that some of the things -- I know we're not looking at any individual's guilt or innocence here. But there's -- to me, there's questions pertinent to the case.

I confer [verbatim] to whatever the rest of the Commission would like to do. It's not -- you know, I just -- you know, I think there's some things that need to be asked of him. But whatever the Commission would like to do, I'm fine either way.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes, do we need to stick with the script as it's on the record? Or what's your recommendation?

MS. BARNES: Commissioner Carr, I'm -- the parties have stipulated to a set of facts for the school and on behalf of the PED. So unless you have a question about the accuracy of that, I'm not sure where questions would go.

You know, your role is quite specific today, which is to look at whether to revoke this charter now, so that the school cannot continue into the future, or to allow the school to continue, and, if that is going to be the case, then what are the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conditions in the future. 1 So I guess my advice to the Commission 2 3 would be to stick with the fact that neither counsel 4 wants to ask him any questions -- he's had an 5 opportunity to provide what he thought was relevant -- and move forward, only because it's a 6 7 forward-looking kind of proceeding, and that is the 8 decision that you need to make. And then whatever decision you make could then be appealed to the 9 10 Secretary. And that's what the Secretary would be 11 looking at. 12 So my advice would be not to question 13 anyone for public comment. 14 COMMISSIONER CARR: I'll -- yeah, I'll 15 agree. 16 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, 17 Commissioner Carr. Next speaker, please. Please be sure and 18 19 identify yourself clearly. 2.0 MR. ACEVEDO: Madam Chair, Commissioners, my name is Juan Acevedo, and I wear many hats in our 21



years. I've been working with families, low-income

families, integration of families in our community.

And I can say that the school, HSA, the

I'm a pastor.

community.

22

23

24

25

I've been a pastor for 20

impact that the school has made in many of our students, in many of our community children. And I have a very big interest in this school, not because I'm a Board member, because my son attends this school.

And in the past year, we have gone through different stages. And I can -- I can tell you that my son has -- has flourished at the school. And not only my son, but when I hear comments from other parents that come before me and say, you know, with a lot of sentiment, that they want to keep the school open, because, as parents, we don't have another option, but the regular -- the traditional school system, which sometimes our children do not fit into that system.

And I can tell you, as a parent, as a community, and as a Board member, that I -- I would do everything possible to make -- to do -- to keep this school open. And Mr. Nevins will not speak for us, for the school. And I'm -- I apologize for my ignorance of education, since education is not my -- my area.

But we have been -- we have been assisted.

And I know that the training that we are planning

to -- to receive and the training that we have



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | received up to now, it has been very productive in  |
|----|---|
| 2  | us. And two others, also, they're two other         |
| 3  | Board members, also they are parents at the school. |
| 4  | And I share their feelings. We share the feelings.  |
| 5  | And we will do everything possible and everything   |
| 6  | that we can to I know that we're heading in the     |
| 7  | right direction; but we'll do everything that we    |
| 8  | can.  |
| 9  | And like I say, I wear different hats.              |
| 10 | I'm a community organizer, pastor, for 20 years,    |
| 11 | parent. So, you know, if you have any questions     |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: Do either of the counsel have            |
| 13 | questions?  |
| 14 | MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, no.                         |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Baker.                    |
| 16 | MR. HILL: No, Madam Chair.                          |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Hill.                     |
| 18 | Thank you very much for your comments.              |
| 19 | THE CHAIR: Commissioners, we're to the              |
| 20 | Item No. 6, which is closing arguments.             |
| 21 | Each counsel will have ten minutes for              |
| 22 | their closing argument with the Department going    |
| 23 | first. Mr. Hill?                                    |
| 24 | MR. HILL: Madam Chair, members of the               |
| 25 | Commission, I want to thank you all for for your    |



diligence and the work you've put into this hearing.

It's evident that you all have taken this matter

very seriously. You have reviewed the record

extensively, and you've asked very good questions.

This is a very unique case, in that the evidence before you is undisputed. There's not -- it's not a question of fact for you. You don't have to decide who's telling the truth and who is not. The parties have agreed to what the facts are. And your decision really comes down to the discretion that the Commission has as to whether to revoke this school or not.

I don't envy your position. I'm glad that I'm a lawyer and not a judge. And so I appreciate the time you've put into this and that this is a difficult decision, as is any decision to close a school.

I think it's appropriate to acknowledge that the members of the school Board and Mr. Montaño have been very forthcoming and, frankly, have behaved in a way that's not very common in an adversarial process. I have never had a case where the other side has stipulated to all the facts and has not contested facts. And I think that their testimony was very forthcoming, and it struck me as



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

very honest testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That being said, it's still a difficult decision for the Commission. And I again appreciate the time and effort that has gone into this and the diligence that you've taken in this matter.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Hill.

Mr. Baker?

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, members of the

Commission -- Madam Chair, members of the

Commission. That sounds like it's working now.

I'll be brief. I'm not going to use close to ten

minutes here, primarily, because the difference here

isn't going to be what I say to you now. I'm hoping

that the difference is that is what you heard from

Mr. Montaño and members of the Governing Board,

because I don't think you're particularly interested

in what we, as lawyers, necessarily have to say.

We can provide you factual information and help you along that; but I'm not going to try to bring down the house with a closing argument. I just want to frame this for you, as I did at the beginning.

There is no question that what you saw in April was a very troubling set of circumstances.

25 And the school has now worked with PED and



acknowledged substantial problems that they recognize are serious. They have cut ties with people who drag the school down. This is a school that started with a fantastic idea in an underserved part of the state. And that idea still has life in it. And now that the school has cut ties from those that have dragged it down in the past, there is a future for this school. There is an opportunity for the kids that want to come back to the school, other kids that could benefit from the mission of the school to be served.

It doesn't make this cut and dried for you. You have to feel confident that there's a way forward. And we've tried to frame that for you and to show that this is a school with good people on the ground, solid adults to steer things forward.

And they can't fix all the mistakes and make them not have existed; but the alternative is shutting this off, and, for example, precluding the 80 students -- the 60-plus students that would like to attend the night program and get a full diploma next year that have already indicated their interest in coming back to the school from pursuing that and pursuing an option that doesn't exist in the area, the opportunity for kids, that as Mr. Montaño



described to you, aren't necessarily raised to strive high and look for educations in advanced careers, such as health care, to look at that as a real opportunity for them and to see that they can achieve that and move forward with that.

The school recognizes, if the Commission votes to leave them open, their work starts, beyond what it's already been, today. There is going to be ongoing — this isn't a benchmark, where they get through the day and something slows down and they can let their foot off the gas. The work is going to remain to come. And what we've tried to propose in conditions and benchmarking and things to come is that you have people you can trust to continue to move things forward on the ground; but also, there is a means available where the Commission can provide meaningful, ongoing oversight of this school into the coming year and get early indications that problems are brewing.

And, for example, the idea of a monitor on the ground. The thought behind that is that would provide the Commission somebody present, on the ground at the school, in a geographically remote location, to provide unfiltered feedback to you as to what's going on.



In addition, you would have the opportunity to hear from the Head Administrator to provide updates through counsel more periodically, even than when we meet.

And I firmly believe that there is a tight oversight system that can be implemented here that allows this idea, this good idea on the ground in this part of the state, to grow. And you have a lot of discretion. And I plead with you to exercise it in favor of giving this school the chance to show you that next year is going to look a lot different than this year, that the problems that plagued it for this first year have been removed, and that you have good people that will move forward and help the kids in the community of this part of the state.

Thank you for your attention and your work on this matter and your time listening to this today. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Baker.

The first part of the Hearing on

Revocation is now closed. The Commission will now
enter into its deliberations regarding this matter.

And no one, other than the Commission members, the
Commission counsel, as appropriate, will be
permitted to participate in the deliberation



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 discussion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After deliberation, the Commission will announce its decision, if received -- pardon me -- if reached. And then the Commission's determination of this matter shall be issued in writing and submitted to the parties.

In the event that the Commission revokes
Health Sciences Academy's charter, the school has
the right to appeal that decision to the New Mexico
Public Education Cabinet Secretary, pursuant to
NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-7 and 22-8B-12, in the
Charter School Act.

Commissioners, we are, as I said, at the end of the -- this part of the revocation hearing. Do you want to take a break before we proceed with deliberations?

COMMISSIONER CARR: No.

THE CHAIR: Are we ready to move on?

Okay.

Then the first thing that I'm being asked by our counsel to do is to adopt a motion -- pardon me -- to have a motion to adopt the Findings of Fact in this matter. Ms. Barnes, please?

MS. BARNES: Commissioners, what I have that I will pass out to you right now is a draft for





you to consider -- in fact, you've gotten a slightly earlier version of the draft. I'd like to go over with you -- it is substantially utilizing the facts that are in the stipulation. So I'd like to go over that briefly with you. You are welcome to make changes to this.

And -- and then after this is done, then I think it's appropriate to move on to the decision about what you want to do about the school. I'm interested, in the event there is an appeal, to have a strong finding of fact and conclusions of law from this Commission, regardless of what decision you make.

So I'd like to pass this out if I can, Madam Chair.

So why don't I walk you through it, and you're welcome to discuss it and however you want to adopt it.

On the first page, No. -- attorneys, we do have copies of these -- of the draft findings of fact, if you would like to look at it. I think the Commission Chairwoman has been clear that, though, you can't participate in the conversation. Are there extra copies right there?

MS. FRIEDMAN: There's two.





MS. BARNES: Well, that's a perfect number.

So, Commissioners, I'll walk you through it, if that's what you'd like. I'd like to get you through this as quickly as possible.

Numbers 1 through 5 are adopted verbatim from the stipulation.

5.a., I do want to identify that I have put in that you're making a finding that the school has not yet provided full information to the PED regarding the performance frameworks for this upcoming -- for this past year. That's going to come forward to you in a timely manner, just as it will with any other school, if this school is to continue. And it just reserves your right to take any action in the future on things that haven't been presented to you yet.

On the second page, Nos. 6 through 12 are adopted verbatim from the stipulation.

No. 13 is something that I think we've heard testimony from, and, again, you can eliminate this from this if you want. But the students who were disenrolled have been adversely impacted by an interruption of their education. You're welcome to leave that in or not. There's some lines after that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 for you to add anything else. 2 No. 14, 15, and 16, at the bottom of 3 Page 2, top of Page 3, are verbatim from the 4 stipulation of fact, as is No. 17. No. 17 starts the conversation about the 5 student enrollment file documentation. The lines 6 7 are just in case you want to add anything to that 8 section. The remedies for the schools, No. 18, is 9 10 verbatim from the stipulation. 11 On Page -- let's see. I think I can go 12 On Page 4, 5, and 6, is all verbatim from 13 the stipulation of the parties. 14 Nothing in this finding of fact references 15 any condition. I prepared a separate document with 16 So when I the conditions prepared by the schools. say "verbatim from the stipulation," it's those 17 18 findings of fact that the school and PED did 19 stipulate to, but none of the conditions. 20 On Page 7, Nos. 46 through 49, again, are verbatim from the stipulation. Again, no conditions 21 22 were included. I have added a conclusion of law, and let 23 24 me go over those.



The first conclusion of law is that the

violations set forth above and admitted to by the school warrant revocation.

No. B is the false information in which

Texas residents were listed as New Mexico residents

was submitted to the New Mexico Public Education

Department, in violation of NMSA 22-8-42. That is

actually also a finding of fact from above. Because

it referenced a New Mexico law, I also put it in as

a conclusion of law.

Then -- and these were both of the grounds -- two of the grounds that were brought forward in the Matt Pahl memo -- the school failed to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management, citing NMSA 8B-12K [verbatim], Section (3); and the school violated provisions of the law from which the charter school was not specifically exempted. That cites NMSA 22-8B-12K, Section (4).

And then the next section, E, identifies, as a conclusion of law, that because the violations set forth above warranted revocation, the Public Education Commission at no time provided the school with an opportunity to cure the violations; instead, you moved forward on your notice of intent to revoke the charter, and that the school, of its own initiative, has moved forward to correct issues



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

identified by the Public Education Department 1 2 related to the school. Those are all set forth above. 3 4 Finally, there's the Section G that just identifies that you have -- that if the school is to 5 move forward, you have not seen the assessments of 6 7 the performance framework for the last year. reserves your rights under the contract and -- to 8 discuss any issues of concern that were raised 9 10 there. 11 I would like you to adopt the findings of 12 fact and conclusions of law, but you're welcome to 13 make edits to this document, as appropriate. 14 THE CHAIR: Commissioners, does anyone 15 have additions, corrections, changes to this 16 document? 17 Commissioner Bergman? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 18 I don't have 19 additions or changes. But I think I'd like --20 No. 13, "The students who were disenrolled have been adversely affected." 21 22 That is probably true. I thought of that 23 before we ever got to this meeting. I thought those poor kids probably lost a half year's worth of 24 25 school.



But I do -- and the Chair commented on it during the proceedings -- I do disagree that they were totally harmless in the process. They were all asked to put down an address that they knew they did not live at; so they had to certainly suspect something was up.

So they were somewhat complicit, if not fully complicit, of what was going on; so -- but I still feel for them. I'm not unsympathetic. Yeah, they were certainly harmed.

But I do have a question about another one. I noticed this earlier, No. 16. It's just a question. I'm just kind of curious. I'm not -- I do not have the Charter School Act memorized. But I do not remember anything ever being in the Charter School Act that said a school could actually ask for a -- this -- I understand what they're trying to do here to correct the situation.

But can that stipulation be put on a school that applicants have to provide a utility bill to prove their address? Is that outside the scope of the Charter School Act?

Now, that may not be a question we can answer today. Maybe it needs to be answered down the road. We have a number of attorneys in the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1  | room. I was just curious.                          |
|----|--|
| 2  | Thank you.   |
| 3  | THE CHAIR: Anyone want to chance a                 |
| 4  | response to that?                                  |
| 5  | MR. HILL: Madam Commissioner, you made             |
| 6  | clear that there is to be no discussion during the |
| 7  | deliberations; and so I would respectfully decline |
| 8  | to make a comment, because I don't want to invade  |
| 9  | your process.                                      |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                              |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you, Madam             |
| 12 | Chair.   |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: Is that it?                             |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's all for me.           |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?                  |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,                |
| 17 | No. 41 and 42 are the same thing.                  |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: It was 45 on the sheet I was            |
| 19 | looking at.  |
| 20 | MS. BARNES: You're correct.                        |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Yeah. 41 and 42 are the same.           |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER CARR: They are redundant.             |
| 23 | THE CHAIR: Anything else? Hearing none,            |
| 24 | the Chair would entertain a motion on approval of  |
| 25 | the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.       |



| 1  | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So moved.                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER CARR: So moved.                        |
| 3  | THE CHAIR: I hear a motion from                     |
| 4  | Commissioner Carr to approve the Findings of Fact   |
| 5  | and Conclusions of Law.                             |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.)                    |
| 7  | THE CHAIR: Second from Commissioner                 |
| 8  | Pogna.  |
| 9  | Any discussion?                                     |
| 10 | Mr. Secretary, may we have a roll-call              |
| 11 | vote, please?                                       |
| 12 | Ms. Barnes?   |
| 13 | MS. BARNES: Well, Madam Chair, just I               |
| 14 | think that we should strike the duplicate sentence. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Sure.                            |
| 16 | THE CHAIR: Whenever you're ready.                   |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: This is a roll-call           |
| 18 | vote for the motion to approve the Finding of Fact  |
| 19 | and Conclusions of Law.                             |
| 20 | Commissioner Carr?                                  |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.                             |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?           |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.                            |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez           |
| 25 | is not here.  |



| 1  | Commissioner Toulouse?                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.                    |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 4  | Shearman?                                      |
| 5  | THE CHAIR: Yes.                                |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 7  | Armbruster?                                    |
| 8  | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.                  |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 10 | Bergman?                                       |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.                     |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 13 | Conyers?                                       |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.                     |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 16 | Gipson?  |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.                      |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner             |
| 19 | Peralta votes "Yes."                           |
| 20 | That is nine votes in favor of the motion.     |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: The motion to pass the I            |
| 22 | don't have it                                  |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Not pass. Accept.        |
| 24 | THE CHAIR: Pardon me. The motion to            |
| 25 | accept Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law |



passes unanimously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners, we're now ready to begin our deliberation. First, on revocation. If we are not -- if we do not choose to revoke the charter of this school, then we have another option to approve the school with conditions.

And let's move forward with our first discussion on revocation and see how that goes.

I think it might be appropriate if we simply go around the room and ask each person their thoughts and opinions, and that way, everyone will have an equal opportunity to speak. And then after that, if we have further discussion, we'll go forth.

So, Commissioner Armbruster, would you please go first?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Sure. I think this is a very -- for me -- wait -- sorry. For me, this is a -- it's heart-wrenching. Clearly, all of the proof, the conditions, look like revocation. That's the responsibility of the charter schools to take care of this. At the same time, it was due to someone who's in a whole other world.

My concerns are I never even heard of a school -- any school that even existed where adults could go back to a school to get a high school



diploma rather than getting a GED. So that -- that concerns me, because if we close the school, that goes away.

I'm not overwhelmed with the academic progress that the school has made, based on -- and I know it's hard to get special ed teachers; I understand all of that. But even with the regular ed students, their scores are -- it would seem as if they would have been touted if they were really high-achieving for this year, that they had done really, really well. And that concerns me. So those are my pros and cons.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carr?

my heartstrings all day long; and it'll work, you know, most of the time. I -- I have -- and it -- this whole situation is extremely painful to me.

Somebody who's dedicated my whole life to children,

I -- it -- anytime anybody takes money -- and it's happened in public schools; it's happened in charter schools -- or anytime anything like that happens, it's a horrible situation.

Now, what I'm looking at here and what I believe that we're deciding here today on the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

revocation is has this school committed -- this 1 2 school committed material violations that require, really, a revocation by this Commission. 3 We are not 4 deciding the guilt or innocence of individuals in 5 this case that are probably no longer associated with this school. We are deciding did this school commit material violations that require its 7 8 revocation.

I feel like the situation -- it's very difficult. This is a difficult one for me. And I -- I believe if we do not revoke, that we may be very well sending a message to every charter school out there and anybody who works in those charter schools or is on their board, all they have to do is apologize -- "We'll fix it" -- and fire the people who were involved, and, "Please forgive us and let us go on about our business."

I don't know, you know, the law -- I don't know if the law really works that way. I, as an individual, cannot ask for that. If I commit violations, I would expect my license to be revoked and for me to be drummed out of the state as a teacher. I -- you know. And I would expect nothing less.

How do we look at a school? How do we



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

judge a school?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A school is made up of people; right? So an institution is made up of people. I don't think our laws are as such as to -- to fully help us to make a decision here.

However, I -- I -- I am falling on the side of I am looking at the overall picture. Charter schools all over this state, what is the overall ramification? Because we are the New Mexico Public Education Commission. We don't just look at one school; we also look at the overall picture of what the ramifications are of our decision.

And I'm looking at the few may be harmed by revocation, but that the many will be helped by the fact that we are holding high standards here and that we're not going to suffer fools in regards to their effect on our children.

I -- I'm not referring to the people who are currently running the school. It's just a figure of speech, way of saying something. We need to hold strict standards. So as difficult as it is for me, I am going to vote for revocation, because I think it is for the greater good of charter schools all across this state and, more importantly, the children of this state as a whole.



| 1  | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.            |
|----|---|
| 2  | Commissioner Pogna?                                 |
| 3  | COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam President, I              |
| 4  | the school has been under horrendous problems. They |
| 5  | have had such a horrendous situation and not the    |
| 6  | best people working with them.                      |
| 7  | I believe that they are on a good path. I           |
| 8  | do not believe in revocation, because revocation    |
| 9  | means closing a school. I do not like closing       |
| 10 | schools. There are kids out there who believe in    |
| 11 | their school.                                       |
| 12 | I think that I think they will have                 |
| 13 | decent progress.                                    |
| 14 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.                               |
| 15 | Commissioner Peralta?                               |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you,                    |
| 17 | Madam Chair. My decision is based on on two         |
| 18 | simple grounds, one of which Mr                     |
| 19 | Commissioner Carr alluded to; and that is that I    |
| 20 | concur that we should not start a precedent or a    |
| 21 | process in which, when we have schools that are     |
| 22 | broken or that are not meeting the expectations of  |
| 23 | delivering excellent schools to kids, that we       |
| 24 | just as he mentioned, we apologize. We say,         |
| 25 | "We're going to fix it." We change the people       |



around. We reorganize and what have you, and we go on; because that's just a bad precedent to set. And I don't want to lead to that.

Second thing is, as I mentioned the first time that Health Sciences Academy came before us is that I understand there are some good people presently trying to rectify situations. They have good intentions; they have a good heart.

Mr. Montaño seems like a fine, excellent professional, dedicated man.

But from what I hear here to this day is that there are promises and guarantees and good will and good intentions, but have not seen specific plans. And to have a school that is broken, that's kind of fluttering out there, just, kind of, to me, allowing them to continue and just trying to pick up the pieces as they go along doesn't set very well with me.

And I really feel like a good year of planning, a good year of getting the right people back here with a good solid plan and a platform and goals and things like that, that will assure us that when they're ready to start the school all over again with a good governing board and the right people behind them, I would feel much more



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comfortable with my decision in allowing that school to continue.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Gipson?

Mr. Baker's first statement early on that said that the easy decision is to revoke. And that's not the case. The easy decision is to not revoke. We -- a number of us met in negotiations after we revoked the first time. And we all universally said we lost sleep that night. It was the hardest decision that we had to make, because we all are here because we care about the students. We care about the schools. It's the land of my soul at this point in time, that area.

But we are dealing with material violations, and not just a material violation. We are dealing with numerous material violations of this contract. And I can't get, unfortunately, beyond that. I have applauded Mr. Montaño from the first day he came here. And I wholeheartedly admire him for the work that he has tried to do. But I have to look at the school and what has happened, and I can't get beyond those material violations.



I firmly support what Commissioner Peralta said, that I would be very comfortable with a clean slate coming in here, another year of planning and coming back. And I think we can all universally applaud that -- I can't speak for everyone; I'm sorry -- but I would be far more comfortable with that. I cannot get beyond the material violations of what has occurred.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,

Commissioners, like everyone else here, this is not
an easy thing for me. And I spent years as a

bureaucrat. And I understand the point of several
of my fellow Commissioners, that they've got to go
by the rules; but I also look at charter schools
that were set to break some of the rules.

Right now, I think I am inclined to vote, with very strict supervision, to let you continue, because you've moved that way. I have a feeling that my vote won't count. But I see so much movement forward that I really hate to see all of that effort and all of that emotion -- I think that sends a message to the students, as well. And it seems to me that these are kids who need the message



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that you can have a second chance.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I spent most of my bureaucratic years in the Human Services Department. I saw people who didn't get second chances and a very handful of ones who did. And those who got that second chance, they succeeded. And I think that when we do the bureaucratic thing and close this school, we're telling those kids, "Hey, you don't get another chance."

I would not feel this way if I had not listened to Mr. Montaño and listened to the Governance Council. I think that the Governance Council has learned a lesson. I think it's a lesson a number of other schools need to learn, because every school that I have seen in my two-and-a-half years on this Commission that have a problem, that problem starts in the Governance Council.

I do think we need some changes to the law to make what happens in governance councils more subject to scrutiny than it is; but that's a different point.

But I think if the Governance Council is new and on board with this, I'd like to give these kids -- not the adults, not even Mr. Montaño, who I respect incredibly for what he says to us, the true



emotion that I see in him -- but it's to those kids. 1 2 I want to give them the message -- and at least with my vote, I will -- that there are second chances out 3 4 there. And that's the greatest thing you can have 5 in life. Thank you. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 8 Commissioner Conyers? COMMISSIONER CONYERS: 9 Thank you. Ι 10 certainly agree with many of the Commissioners that 11 the violations have been very serious, and, you 12 know, the corrective action has been taken. 13 Sometimes I think -- I wish it could have been more 14 with some of the individuals; but basically, 15 that's -- that's what you can do. 16 My own approach is one of pragmatism. Ι 17 look at the school. There's a lot of problems there; but you do have a plan. 18 I think you have a 19 reasonable plan for improving and going where you 20 need to go with it. I also believe a lot of taxpayer money has 21 22 been put into the school, and I wouldn't want to see 23 that go for naught. Most of my life, I preach to students, you know, to correct mistakes. 24 I think



that's what you're trying to do. So I do not favor

1 a revocation at this time.2 THE CHAIR: Thank you.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Again, I want to reiterate that this is -- I've said it before. This is by far the most difficult decision that we, as Commissioners, are ever asked to make. We're talking about the lives of children, the lives of employees and everything else.

The facts are plain, unfortunately, in this situation. I -- I am just really torn which way I would like to go on this. The school reacted in such a way -- I believe, untypically. They did -- they jumped on this and ran with it and did what needed to be done.

Unfortunately, the horse was already out of the stable, and the points about the precedent -- setting a precedent, we must consider that, unfortunately. That has to factor into it somewhere.

Do we send a message to the other

63 charter schools -- or 64, whatever the actual
number is that fall under our State authorization
and fall under our State oversight -- do we tell
them, as other Commissioners have noted, "Well, you



can go ahead and do some of this stuff and fix it real quick, and we'll go ahead and let you go again."

So, boy, this is a really tough decision.

I guess right now, I'd be leaning towards revocation. It's breaking my heart that I have to say that because of the kids. It's been pointed out that these 13 Texas kids may have been harmed, certainly. What about the 130 New Mexico kids that are going to be left without a school for the next year, because there's nothing we can do for the year after?

All this school can do, if we revoke them today, is January, they can form a founders' group. They can send in their notice of intent to file a new application for a new charter school and use this school as a basis for that plan. And, of course, we would -- by next September, there would be a decision on whether we accepted that application.

But they're going to be out of business for two years if we follow that route.

So that's certainly a consideration, because then they have the planning year. So those kids -- and I, too, was impressed -- there's hardly





any programs out there that offer a nighttime 1 2 student an opportunity to actually get a diploma. We have, numerous times, had people sit 3 4 down there and say a GED is an admirable thing. Most employers just -- they pass that off. 5 doesn't do much when you're looking for a job. 6 high school diploma does something when you're 7 looking for a job. 8 9 So, boy, there are some real pluses here that we should consider, and there are some minuses. 10 11 It's breaking my heart. 12 Right now, I'd be leaning towards voting 13 for revocation. I'm sorry to say that; I really am. 14 Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 16 Thank you, Commissioners. I kept myself 17 to the last, because I'm having a terrible time with 18 this, as well. 19 But I think in every case that I try to 20 ask myself what makes the best decision, were kids 21 harmed? And that to me is the final analysis. 22 students harmed? And I'm afraid I think they were. 23 I know those 13 kids -- students, adults -- who attended from Texas, maybe they were 24 25 complicit; maybe they knew they were putting down a



false address.

But they were asked by adults, they were directed to do that by the officials of the school. I'm impressed by professionals; I'm assuming they were, too. They did what they were told to do, the best that they could do to reach their objective, which was to get a high school diploma to make their lives better and their families better.

And I just cannot get past those 13 people whose lives were just shut down, not because of what they did, but because of what the school did.

I do think the material violations, each one on their own, would be sufficient grounds to revoke the charter of this school. I think, in total, we cannot ignore what's gone on here. And I can't think we can say to other charter schools, "We'll overlook what you've done if you promise to fix it."

I just don't think we can do that. I have to agree. So I think my vote is going to have to be for revocation.

Now, we've each one had our say. You've heard what each of the other Commissioners have said. Is there any further discussion?

Commissioner Toulouse?





| 1  | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I               |
|----|---|
| 2  | just want to point out, we do have at least one     |
| 3  | other school with an adult night school. And that's |
| 4  | the GREAT Academy. So we have at least one other.   |
| 5  | And what it did for them, however, is lowered their |
| 6  | grade, because those students' scores, they went    |
| 7  | from way up here to way down here. But they haven't |
| 8  | dropped their adult school. So I know there's at    |
| 9  | least that one other.                               |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Anything else?                           |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Where is that              |
| 12 | school?   |
| 13 | THE CHAIR: It's in Albuquerque.                     |
| 14 | Well and I know some high schools do                |
| 15 | have students that return and finish their high     |
| 16 | school diplomas. I think there's an age limit on    |
| 17 | it; but they do return.                             |
| 18 | Is there any further discussion?                    |
| 19 | All right. Ms. Barnes has some suggested            |
| 20 | language, if anyone would like to consider it, as   |
| 21 | far as a motion for this discussion. Would anyone   |
| 22 | like to look at this information, this proposed     |
| 23 | motion, as far as making the motion for revocation? |
| 24 | MS. BARNES: Or not.                                 |
| 25 | THE CHAIR: Or not.                                  |



| 1  | Commissioner Peralta?                              |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, based           |
| 3  | on the findings of fact and considering the        |
| 4  | testimony, evidence, argument, and public comment  |
| 5  | presented at this hearing, I, Commissioner Gilbert |
| 6  | Peralta, move to revoke the charter of Health      |
| 7  | Sciences Academy effectively June 30th, 2015.      |
| 8  | THE CHAIR: You've heard the motion                 |
| 9  | presented by Commissioner Peralta.                 |
| 10 | Do we have a second?                               |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.                       |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner                |
| 13 | Gipson.  |
| 14 | Is there any further discussion?                   |
| 15 | Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a         |
| 16 | roll-call vote?                                    |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 18 | Toulouse?  |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No.                         |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 21 | Gipson?  |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.                          |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 24 | Conyers?   |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER CONYERS: No.                          |



| 1  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | Peralta votes "Yes."                               |
| 3  | Commissioner Pogna?                                |
| 4  | COMMISSIONER POGNA: No.                            |
| 5  | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 6  | Armbruster?  |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No.                       |
| 8  | THE CHAIR: I'm sorry?                              |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No.                       |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?           |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.                            |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 13 | Bergman?   |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.                         |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner                 |
| 16 | Shearman?  |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman votes             |
| 18 | "Yes."   |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, this            |
| 20 | is a five-to-four vote in favor of the motion to   |
| 21 | revoke.  |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Peralta. By a            |
| 23 | five-four vote, the charter of the Health Sciences |
| 24 | Academy is revoked.                                |
| 25 | Let me reiterate that now that the                 |



| 1   | Commission has voted to revoke Health Sciences       |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Academy's charter, the school has the right to       |
| 3   | appeal this decision to the New Mexico Public        |
| 4   | Education Secretary, pursuant to NMSA 1978,          |
| 5   | Sections 22-8B-7 and 22-8B-12N of the Charter School |
| 6   | Act.   |
| 7   | The Commission will provide a written                |
| 8   | decision within ten days of today's hearing. The     |
| 9   | school's Governing Board may appeal any conditions,  |
| L 0 | as I just noted.                                     |
| L1  | Before we conclude the hearing, are there            |
| L 2 | any questions about how and when the Commission's    |
| L 3 | order will be issued?                                |
| L 4 | Any questions?                                       |
| L 5 | Thank you. We move back to the agenda,               |
| L 6 | Commissioners. We have just completed Agenda Item    |
| L 7 | No. 3.   |
| L 8 | Item No. 4 is "Adjourn." If we're                    |
| L 9 | finished, may I hear a motion to adjourn?            |
| 20  | COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, so               |
| 21  | move.  |
| 22  | COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.                           |
| 23  | THE CHAIR: Motion and second to adjourn.             |
| 24  | All those in favor?                                  |
| 25  | (Commissioners so indicate.)                         |







## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 8 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 13 State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the 14 matter therein stated. 15 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on June 24, 2015. 16 17 18 19 Cynthia C. Chapman, MMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 20 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24 25 Job No.: 3083L (CC)

