1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC MEETING
10	March 13, 2015 9:00 a.m.
11	Albuquerque Public School Offices John Milne Community Board Room
12	6400 Uptown Boulevard, Northeast Albuquerque, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	TOD NO • 10441 (CC)
25	JOB NO.: 1944L(CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Vice Chair
4	MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Secretary MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER
5	MR. JEFF CARR MS. ELEANOR CHAVEZ
6	MR. JAMES CONYERS MS. PATRICIA GIPSON
7	MS. MILLIE POGNA MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE
8	STAFF:
9 10	MS. JULIE LUCERO, General Manager, Options for Parents
11	MR. JOSHUA GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General,
12	Counsel to the PEC
13	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Records and PED Liaison to the PEC
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	





1		INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	
2			Page
3	1	Call to Order, Roll Call,	5
4		Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the New Mexico Flag	
5	2	Approval of Agenda	7
6	3	Approval of Minutes for PEC Meeting on February 13, 2015	8
7	4	Report from PED Leadership	9
8 9	5	Adopt PEC Open Meeting Resolution	11
10	6	Carl Perkins Update to the State Plan for Program Year 2015-2016	17
11	7	Discussion and Possible Action on Charter School Amendments	57
12		7A Gilbert Sena Charter School	57
13			62
14		-	
15		7C The International School at Mesa del Sol	66
16		7D Horizon Academy	153
17		7E Albuquerque Sign Language Academy	159
18	8		174
19	0	Report from Options for Parents and the Charter School Division, Discussion and Possible Action	174
20	0		212
21	9	Board of Finance Applications, Monte del Sol	212
22	10	Discussion and Possible Action on Notices of Intent	215
23	1 1		226
24	11	Report from the Chair with Discussion and Possible Action	236
25			





21

22

23

24

25

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	THE CHAIR: Good morning, ladies and
2	gentlemen. I welcome you to this meeting of the
3	New Mexico Public Education Commission.
4	First item on the agenda is Call to Order
5	and Roll Call.
6	Mr. Secretary?
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you,
8	Madam Chair.
9	Commissioner Pogna? Roll call.
10	Commissioner Pogna?
11	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I didn't hear
12	didn't hear my name.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Toulouse?
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
17	Armbruster.
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Present.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
20	Conyers?
21	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Peralta is here.
24	Commissioner Gipson?
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Here.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Shearman?
6	THE CHAIR: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Chavez? (Silence.)
9	Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: You have nine
12	Commissioners present.
13	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
14	With nine Commissioners present, I do declare we
15	have a quorum.
16	And I don't think I said what I needed to
17	say. I call to order this regularly scheduled
18	meeting of the New Mexico Public Education
19	Commission. We've had roll call and declared a
20	quorum.
21	I would ask that Commissioner Armbruster
22	lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
23	Commissioner Chavez is not here yet. I
24	would ask Commissioner Conyers to lead us in the
25	Salute to the New Mexico Flag.





25



THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Carr to

1	approve the agenda.
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.)
3	THE CHAIR: Second by Commissioner Pogna.
4	Any discussion?
5	All those in favor, please say "Aye."
6	(Commissioners so indicate.)
7	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
8	(No response.)
9	THE CHAIR: The agenda is approved.
10	Item No. 3 is Approval of the Minutes of
11	the February 13, 2015, meeting. Are there any
12	corrections, additions, changes to those minutes?
13	I'm not hearing any; and I have none.
14	either. Ms. Chapman, you do an outstanding job.
15	Hearing no corrections to the minutes, the
16	Chair would entertain a motion.
17	Commissioner Toulouse?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
19	move that we approve the minutes, as presented.
20	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
21	Toulouse to approve the minutes as presented. Do I
22	hear a second?
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
24	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman seconds.
25	Any further discussion?







share a little story with you on PARCC. I'm on the Artesia School Board, as I'm sure everyone knows.

And we started giving the PARCC last week.

One of our fourth-graders called her teacher over and said, "I'm having trouble with this math problem. I can see the problem. I can see the box where I'm supposed to type in the answer; but it won't let me type anything in."

So the teacher called in the tech person.

The tech person called Pearson. After an hour on the phone, the person from Pearson said, "I can see the text box. I can see the question, and I can type in; so I don't understand why your student can't. But we've spent enough time on this; so I've just typed in the answer for the student."

And we heard this in a Board meeting. And every mouth fell open, you know. And then the Assistant Superintendent, who was telling the story said, "Of course, then the person from Pearson laughed and said, 'Of course I did not type in the answer. I just wanted you to know that some of us still have a shred of humor left after all this process has gone on.'"

So I, too, think that the test went with fewer hitches than we thought there might be. I do



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know some students that I talked with said the test itself, exclusive of the math section, was easier than the practice test. But the math part, they thought was harder; math is always harder; so -- but anyway, thank you for that update, Julie.

Next item is Item No. 25, Adopt PEC Open Meeting Resolution.

8 Mr. Granata, if you would take over, 9 please?

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, as you may recall from the last meeting, we discussed the fact that the Commission needs to adopt an Open Meetings Resolution. It's required by the OMA, the Open Meetings Act.

And so I prepared a proposed Open Meetings Resolution. And it basically provides for all of the minimum requirements that are required under the Act. And so the -- the proposed Open Meetings Resolution is before you for your consideration today, and also for discussion and any comments.

I know Beverly had some additions. I'm not sure if those additions made it into this copy. So I'll just ask that Beverly discuss the amendments that she made, so that we can put those changes in here before the Commission votes on whether or not



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to accept it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, and
Commissioners, my question had to do with the -with No. 3 on Page 2, about special meetings being
called by the Chairperson or a majority of the
Commission members upon 72 hours' notice. And the
next line, "The notice shall include an agenda for
the meeting or information on how the members of the
public may obtain a copy of the agenda."

My question was a clarification question on that particular one, in that the agenda and the notification for 72 hours for a special meeting needed to be announced at the same time.

Usually, we have our meetings, and then we do our agenda. And so I just was clarifying that. So there's no change for that.

Let me see. I forget where the other one was. My other question had to do with announcements of the meetings. And according to this, in No. 6, the last sentence, "A member of the Public Education Department staff shall also provide telephonic notice of the date, time, and place of the meeting to any broadcast stations licensed by the Federal Communications Commission and newspapers of general circulation that have made a written request for



notice of the Commission's meetings." 1 My question to Josh was whether we could 2 3 do that electronically via e-mail. And he said that 4 we could. And so I assume that it would be 5 telephonic or electronic notice in No. 6. And --6 7 MR. GRANATA: Beverly, if I may interrupt 8 for one second? 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes. MR. GRANATA: 10 Commissioners, my 11 understanding of telephonic -- pardon me, that was 12 "electronic" -- would be e-mail. In order to have a 13 record, I think that would be proper, rather than, 14 like, a text. So that's just a clarification. 15 (Reporter requests clarification.) 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: And the other part was 17 No. 5, the last sentence in that one: "A member of the Public Education Department staff shall also 18 19 mail copies of the meeting notice to those broadcast 20 stations licensed..., " and so forth. And my question was whether, instead of mailing copies, 21 22 that these can be sent via e-mail, also. 23 And those are all the changes that I had. 24 THE CHAIR: Do you agree with that? 25 MR. GRANATA: Commissioners, I do agree



with that. 1 THE CHAIR: So, Josh, just for clarity, 2 3 would you -- I've counted three changes? Or two? 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 5 THE CHAIR: Just two. Would you go back through and make sure we have the wording correct on 6 7 those two changes? So, Commissioners, on 8 MR. GRANATA: Page 2, No. 5, towards the bottom of the paragraph, 9 10 "A member of the Public Education Department staff 11 shall also mail..." -- and then insert something to 12 the effect of "e-mail will work as well;" not that 13 language, in particular, but something indicating 14 that e-mail would suffice. 15 And then also for No. 6, including the word "electronic" after "telephone." 16 17 THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioners, you've -- I hope you've had a chance to read through 18 19 the Open Meetings Resolution. You've heard the two 20 proposed changes. 21 Is there any discussion? 22 Hearing no discussion, the Chair would 23 entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 24 Madam Chair, a 25 question. Do we have to read this entire document



1	as the motion, or can we just make a general motion?
2	MR. GRANATA: Just make a motion "as
3	amended."
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: "As amended"?
5	MR. GRANATA: That's correct.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just a
7	clarification as to what to do.
8	THE CHAIR: Before we get any further in
9	the meeting, I would like for the official record to
10	note that Commissioner Chavez is here, and we're
11	glad to see you this morning.
12	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you.
13	THE CHAIR: So we have all ten
14	Commissioners in attendance.
15	Do I have a motion on the Open Meetings
16	Resolution, as amended?
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Chair?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: (Indicates.)
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr's hand is
20	up.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay? I move we
22	approve the I move we approve the PEC Open
23	Meetings Act Resolution, as amended.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a
25	second?



1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
2	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Carr,
3	second by Commissioner Gipson, to approve the Open
4	Meetings Resolution, as amended.
5	Any discussion?
6	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
7	roll-call vote?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Conyers?
10	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Peralta votes "Yes."
13	Commissioner Gipson?
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Bergman?
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Toulouse?
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
7	Armbruster?
8	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that
10	is a 10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
11	THE CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously
12	to approve the motion, as amended.
13	Let's move on to Item 6, Carl Perkins
14	Update to the State Plan for Program Year 2015-'16.
15	Mr. Spencer?
16	And I am sorry; I don't have your name
17	here.
18	DR. PEREA: Dr. Elaine Perea.
19	THE CHAIR: Please introduce yourself.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Dr. Elaine Perea.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
22	MR. SPENCER: Thank you very much.
23	Madam Chair, members of the Commission, in today's
24	report, the Carl D. Perkins Career-Technical
25	Education Act, we're going to be seeking approval on



1 two particular items. The first is the update to the revised budget for Program Year 8, which is the 2 current school year, 2014-2015. And then we have 3 4 the report for the proposed revisions to the State 5 plan for Program Year 9, which would be school year 2015-'16; and we would be requesting approval of 6 7 that, as well. 8 Let me just be certain, THE CHAIR: 9 Mr. Spencer. You need two separate approvals? Two 10 separate votes, or can this be all done in one vote? 11 Madam Chair, you can have it MR. SPENCER: 12 all in one vote, if that's how you choose to do it. 13 We would just seek the approval of both items --14 THE CHAIR: Okay. 15 MR. SPENCER: -- in some form. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 17 MR. SPENCER: So first in your Board 18 packet you have the Carl D. Perkins Revised Allocation for Year 8, which is School Year 19 2014-'15. 2.0 I've provided you with a letter from the 21 22 United States Department of Education's Office of 23 Vocational Adult Edu- -- Office of Career Technical Education, which outlines the supplemental increase 24



that was received by the State of New Mexico.

And what you're going to find there is that they're reinstating the awards to prior to sequestration amounts. And so as such, New Mexico received a nominal increase; it was about -- just a little over \$4,000 for the current year. But that does get us back up to the funding levels prior to sequestration.

As such, I've also provided the calculation for how that particular award is broken out. The total Federal award for Perkins is now at \$8,028,679, which now accounts for the additional increase. Actions that we'll be taking in the College and Career Readiness Bureau are to apply this particular formula -- or calculation, rather -- to the formulas to disburse these particular funds to secondary, post-secondary, reserve set-asides.

So that concludes the Year 8 update.

THE CHAIR: All right.

MR. SPENCER: Moving into the Year 9 update, every year the State of New Mexico is required to submit a revision to the State Plan.

And this is for Program Year 9, affecting School Year 2015-'16. As such, the State is required to submit a request for extension of the grant for that particular program year.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And in your Board packet, I've provided you with the April -- a letter dated April 1st of -- I apologize -- should be '15, getting ahead of myself -- to Edward R. Smith, the Chief Program Administration Branch Director. And that is the request that we would be submitting to the U.S. Department of Education requesting such extension for the program year.

Behind that particular letter, you have the proposed budget. The direction that the State had received from the Department of Education was to build the proposed budget for Program Year 9 at an equal level to what has been provided to the State in Program Year 8. And so this does account for that additional request that we just spoke of in the earlier part of the report.

Specifically, I guess, for the benefit of the new members of the Commission, the Federal grant has some set-asides that are allowable through the Federal Act, and then they have set-asides that are required by the Federal Act. So for example, when you take a look at your program budget, which looks like a computerized table entry form, you'll see that we start, in line No. 2, with the amount of the award of \$8,028,679, is the projected amount for



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Year 9.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

You will then see that the local distribution to schools, which is line No. 6, is 85 percent of the award.

The Federal award provides for 5 percent of State-level administration, 10 percent State-level leadership, and 85 percent grants to schools. Of the 85 percent, you will see that in line item 10, that we take out the computation of 9.99 percent -- actually, just right under the 10 percent -- to allocate toward reserve set-aside. And within our State plan, we're supporting the dropout prevention program called Jobs for America's Graduates, or JAG; and also High Schools at Work, which is a framework for redesigning schools around the career technical education theme.

The additional -- what is remaining of the 85 percent. So if you would consider line 6 being 85 percent of the award. Line 10 is 10 percent of line 6. So the reserve is a part of the local formula distribution.

What remains of the 85 percent, according to the State plan, is that 50 percent of the dollars are allocated to the secondary schools and 50 percent of the dollars are allocated to



post-secondary schools; and so you can see how that then gets calculated.

The 10 percent leadership set-aside off the top amount of the grant, as I spoke of earlier, we have required activities. Those required activities are line 16 and line 17, support for engaging students, whether at the secondary or post-secondary level, in pathways, non-traditional to that particular gender.

So, for example, the most simplistic approach, encouraging females and providing females with an opportunity to participate say in welding; or the male population, to gain some training maybe in nursing.

Correctional institutions -- or "State institutions," as it's otherwise known in the Plan -- are \$10,000 to support career technical education efforts in an institution that would apply for support. In that year, it happens to be the School for the Visually Impaired. So that is the required activity.

The remainder of the balance you see here in line 18 are State-directed activities. This also supports some of the State-level staff for the leadership components and technical assistance



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

components required within the administrative set-aside, if you will, of the grant.

We also support career technical student organizations and some more support for the dropout prevention program called "JAG."

And then also, about a year ago, I had reported to the PEC that we allocated some dollars to conduct a study of New Mexico's career technical education system. And that study should be coming to closure here in the month of May and June. And we're going to be providing you all with a copy of that particular report and have some dialogue around that.

But looking at where do we have our strengths and weaknesses that we would like to mitigate gets paid for from this line item called "State Leadership." Then you see the State administration of not more than 5 percent before the Federal grant in line 21. It is what's used to operate the grant with regard to staffing, salaries of workers in the bureau, and paying both copy costs, supplies, materials, travel for monitoring visits and such.

Line 23 is new. You haven't seen this in State plan revisions in the past. But the Fed



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

incorporated this line 23 in the Federal grant that does require a dollar-for-dollar match. And that dollar-for-dollar match is also used as the maintenance of effort on the grant.

So for every Federal dollar we spend in line 21, we shall spend a dollar in line 23; but because it's also maintenance of effort, we can't spend less in Program Year 9 from line 23 than we would have spent in Program Year 8. And so you don't see a direct correlation between line 21 and line 23. That's because several years ago, the State overspent, if you will, on the State admin side, \$633. But it creates a benefit, because we have 633 more dollars of State money that the PED has to put on the table. I just wish it was a little bit bigger of an error at the time.

But that there is an explanation of the program budget that we're submitting to the U.S. Department of Education.

We do anticipate that as the Fed receives the Congressional appropriations and they apply the formulas to states, that we may have a bit of maybe a shift in that, and we'll have to come to you later for a re-approval of the budget, if such occurrence arose.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Moving into the revision of the narrative component of the State plan, in the Federal guidelines to the State, they -- "they," the Fed -- require that the State seriously consider making revisions to its narrative. And it wasn't just the State of New Mexico. They asked all states to look at this.

And there was a report that the White

House had published that is titled, "Ready To Work,

Job-Driven Training and American Opportunity."

And they asked us to review that particular report and seriously consider revisions that can take place within our narrative of how we can continue to enforce that maybe pathway or goal or vision of where career technical education and job training needs to go, if we're going to provide for a stronger middle-class economy with skilled workers and sufficient salaries, living wages, things of that sort.

In the efforts of the State, back in 2012, the Fed also published the "Investing in America's Future Blueprint for Transforming Career and Technical Education." And that was the Office of -- then -- Vocational Adult Education's vision for the reauthorization of Perkins and how states should



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

align efforts to ensure that we provide meaningful and adequate programs of study opportunities for students at secondary and post-secondary levels, so that they can engage in training that leads to a job in the end, that leads to a quality credential or certification that is acknowledged by an employer work-base.

So, for example, if you have a welding program in a school, does the welding program implement an industry-standard curriculum that ultimately leads a student to the ability to pass the certification exam of the National Welding Society?

And so we have been engaging in efforts, just through technical assistance and professional development with our communities, engaging language within the local application to move those principles forward. But most recently, we also have the publication this year of the Chief State School Officers Association, which is the report on "Bringing More Relevance to Career Technical Education."

And the notion in that report was really how do you provide equitable value, if you will, to the word "career" in the "college and career



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

readiness" definition? When we talk about the fact that we're preparing students to be college- and career-ready, do we have equal standard, equal weight to "career" as we do on "college"?

It's very easy to ask somebody on the street, if you will, "What does it mean to be college-ready," and people can really put their finger on that; but it's not as clear when you say "What is it to be career-ready?" You have skill development, the soft skills, showing up to work on time, passing a drug test, things of that sort that may or may not get considered, most people's definition of that career.

So triangulating those three reports, the job-driven report, the blueprint for transforming career technical ed programs, and the Chief School Officers report, we came to four guiding principles that we saw as a cross-section. And that is provided on the page of the revision that we provided to you, which is No. 1, Alignment; No. 2 is Collaboration of Stakeholders; 3 is Accountability; and 4 is Innovation.

So you didn't have a full report provided to you last week when we turned in your Board packet, because we were having some conversations



that were scheduled on Monday with our stakeholders of secondary and post-secondary institutions to get their input about the cross-section of those three particular reports and consider what currently is embedded in the State plan for the administration of Carl D. Perkins, and to get their input and their take and conformation that we're headed in the right direction.

So this morning, we slipped a document into your binder. So it's the second page -- second component of the narrative. It's actually a stapled portion here, where we're making some proposals to add new language to the State plan.

And you'll see that the first is strengthening the collaborative relationship with employers and workforce partners, and how do we provide meaningful engagement of the employer base into the classroom setting, if you will.

And we're already doing this by redesigning the high schools, the work grant application that requires a partnership with the local workforce development partner; that if, for example, a school is going to apply for dollars to be expended on a particular pathway, such as, let's say, health services, is the local hospital or the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

local medical community involved in the development of the pathway to set the standards of credentials of what students should know and be able to do, as it aligns toward that occupational skill development or certification in the end? What should the laboratory environment look like? Should we buy this equipment versus that equipment? What's being used in the practical workplace setting? does the employer community come together and provide internships or externships, job-shadowing, on-the-job placements for those students for their real-world learning? So in that grant application, we're forcing the partnership by saying, "Let's get a

So in that grant application, we're forcing the partnership by saying, "Let's get a contract on the table about who's going to do what, and let's hold ourselves accountable to that."

So we've embedded that within this particular response of the current work that we're doing.

We're also embedding within this
particular response the development of what we're
calling a "Program-of-Study Evaluation Tool." And
that tool has been developed, and we have a task
force appointed from -- it's self-selected -- any
institution, secondary or post-secondary, can be



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

part of the task force; but we have about six partners of secondary, post-secondary institutions, a total of approximately 12 institutions that are coming together and providing feedback on our first draft of our Program-of-Study Evaluation Tool to identify what were the strengths, what are the weaknesses, what are the shortcomings, so we can release this statewide to all schools to be able to use to self-assess whether their career technical education programs really have those what we call "signature features."

Does it really culminate in an experience for students? Does it culminate in ready-for-work opportunities, like industry certification? Does it provide opportunities for the engagement of the employer base? Does it connect to post-secondary engagement? Not to say that all those students are required to proceed to a bachelor's degree; but do we force the dual credit and provide those opportunities where applicable? Does it lead to maybe three more courses beyond high school graduation to finish that national certification, such as in the IT world.

In that world, certification without a college-level degree or even associate's degree



could lead an individual to a \$60,000 wage upon termination of high school, if we do it right with the engagement of the post-secondary experience through dual credit, or even perhaps advanced placement.

So each school will analyze and help schools analyze whether they're on the right path.

No. 2 is emphasizing the alignment between high quality programs and labor market demand.

We're developing what we are proposing to be a program-of-study approval process. So if we truly acknowledge a program of study to be of high quality that maintains those signature features those are, the programs of study that we want to be able to report our outcomes on, rather than career technical ed programs that might still be developed based on random selection of electives at a local level.

The third is support programs that offer meaningful accountability and employability skills by recognizing industry-based certifications as clear matrices of performance. Within the secondary system, we currently have, within S.T.A.R.S., the ability for schools to report those numbers of students that are attempting those industry certifications and the numbers that are passing, so



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that we can see the success rates of the students and appropriately report those within the consolidated fall report.

A shortcoming in some of the consolidated fall annual reports that we've provided to the Fed over time have been that it didn't provide for the reporting of those successes at the local level obtained by students; and collecting that information within the accountability system now provides us an opportunity to provide that report to the Fed as we talk about the credentials that students are earning beyond a high school graduation diploma or a certificate program or associate's degree at a community-college level.

The last portion of the report is dealing with performance measures. And we gave some slides to you in your packet just two weeks ago that look like graphs. And Dr. Elaine Perea is going to take you through an example of how to read one of the graphs and how that particular graph then was used to engage the dialogue of our stakeholders this past Monday to get their input on the levels of performance we should be negotiating with the Fed for Program Year 9. Dr. Perea.

DR. PEREA: Madam Chair -- Chairwoman --



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and Commissioners, as Mr. Spencer said, on Monday, we had two phone calls; one was secondary, and one was post-secondary representatives. These are people who handle the schools' data.

In those meetings, we were seeking feedback so that we could set our performance targets for the upcoming school year. To set the stage for that, we presented them with the data which you have a copy of in your folder.

And I just -- I'm just going to show you, kind of briefly, what we've done to help us get a handle on where we've been and where we might expect to be in the coming year.

So the -- the first set -- mine is in color; I think yours is probably in black and white. But there's a couple of graphs on one piece of paper.

And at the top, it says, "Indicator." The first one is 1S1.

1S1 is the indicator for secondary schools for reading and language arts, academic attainment.

And so what we have here, these graphs, are about the academic attainment of students who are concentrators in a career tech program of study.

And we've looked over the course of time to see

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 where we've been to help get some idea of where we 2 may be going. The Y axis on the left is the percent of 3 4 students who met that indicator, met the 5 proficiency, now, for the last several years. That's been the SBA test; for the upcoming year, it 7 will be the PARCC test. 8 The -- the X axis across the bottom is the 9 year. 10 The gray bars that are -- the vertical 11 bars, that's the Federal target that was set. 12 And then the pinpoints that are on the 13 graph are where we actually ended up. 14 So some years, you can see that we are 15 above the target, and other years, we're below the 16 target. 17 Just to walk you through that data a little more precisely, in 2009, for Indicator 1S1, 18 19 the target was, say, roughly 58 percent. The actual 20 performance was 61.4. So in that year, we were 21 above the target. 22 The next year, 2010, the target was about 23 the same, maybe 59 percent. The actual performance 24 was 53.7; so we missed the target in that year.



So what we did is we put this into a

statistical model. The reason there's two graphs is we actually used two different models to help us predict. One model only used the prior two years' data, where we've had a consistent form of collecting and reporting the data. We used the two-year model to predict this year. And then we used those three years to predict the next year.

The other model, we used all the years to predict this year and then the next year.

So because we know there are a lot of data anomalies, and some of these indicators are really drastic in some cases, it makes more sense to only use a few years' data to predict.

The one last thing I want to point out about that is you'll see there's kind of a light gray bar that goes horizontally that encompasses the whole of the actual performance. And that's our error. So when we used less data, the error is wider; when we used more data, the error is narrower.

So at any rate, the very far right column, the numbers that are in bold are the predicted numbers that come out of the two different statistical models.

So in the -- in the left-hand side, you





see the number 46.9; and in the right, you see 40.2. Those are the numbers that come out of the two different statistical models that will predict where we might expect performance to be in -- in 2016, assuming we were using the same test.

So after I've given you all of that statistical explanation, then we have to deal with the fact that we've changed tests. And because we know that that's going to change everything, the -- all of -- all of the statistical stuff that we did and all of this fun math is much more relevant to other predictors.

For predictor 1S1 and 1S2, which are the academic attainment predictors, what we are proposing to the Fed is that we will try to align with the State's overall performance targets for '15-'16, because we all have a question mark about what's going to happen with PARCC and whether we're going to be above or below last year's performance, above or below any statistical model that we might make.

And so at the broader level of State performance, they're in negotiation right now with the -- with the Feds. And we propose that for the Perkins targets, that we will align to what the --



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what the -- what the agreed targets are for the State as a whole, for the waiver of the No Child Left Behind Act.

So for those two indicators, that's what we're proposing.

So probably on top of these graphs, you have a color chart that we put in this morning. And this color chart shows you our actual performance for the last several years, the targets. And the -- and the final column on the right shows what we're proposing -- what we're proposing to you that we will propose to the Feds for our 2015-'16 targets.

So 1S1 and 1S2, the targets are that we will align to the No Child Left Behind waiver. For target 2S1, technical skill attainment, for each of these, we used a little bit different methodology, trying to come up with the target that we thought was the most defensible.

And so for 2S2, which is a target based on GPA, our most recent years' performance was very high at 93 percent. We are not sure that we are going to be able to replicate that; and we would hate to set the target that high and then not be able to replicate it. So we'd like to look at a longer period of performance time to set the target.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we've proposed a target of 85.2, which is -- is in line with our last three years of performance. We've had a major upward trend over the last three years; but we don't want to over-set the target and then miss it. And so -- and so that's -- that's how we came up with that number.

And all of these numbers, we came up with by pulling the secondary members -- the representatives from the LEAs, getting their input. We looked -- we spent about two hours with the secondary schools on this data and really looked at it very carefully to come up with these proposals.

3S1 is school completion. Again, we set a target of 85 percent. And one of the reasons that -- for both 3S1 and 4S1, that we did not want to set a target much higher than the existing target is our concern that the -- the change in tests might impact graduation rates and completion rates. And with that concern, we, of course, don't want that to be the case.

But we don't want to set higher targets, knowing that there are some challenges in regards to those tests.

5S1 is secondary placement. This indicator is dependent on our ability to track our





students after they leave high school and move into post-secondary, move into work. And we have increased our collaboration with both HED and DWS to try to get that data. So we feel more confident in letting that indicator move up, because we are getting better at collecting the data.

And we believe that -- personally, I believe that a lot more than 50 percent of high school graduates are doing something. They're either in school or they're working; and that at 50 percent, we're reflecting more of our inability to track students than we are an honest statement of what's happening with our students when they finish high school. So we're comfortable moving that indicator up.

And 6S1 and 6S2, as Mr. Spencer said
earlier, non-traditional participation has been a
focus of Perkins since the beginning of this version
of the Act. And what we mean by "non-traditional"
is students taking courses and students
participating in programs of study that are
typically gendered. And the non-traditional student
is the one who is of the other gender. The girl who
decides she wants to be a welder or an auto
mechanic, or the boy who decides he wants to go into



cosmetology or into nursing; those are the non-traditional students.

These have been the indicators that the State continues to struggle with. We missed 6S1 in three consecutive years; so we will be going on corrective action plan in July with the Feds, where we have to report quarterly what we are doing to fix that.

Given that, given how big of a challenge this indicator has been for us, we are -- we are proposing to the Feds not to move our target up. They like to see that we're continuously improving and that your targets are constantly moving forward; but given that we haven't been able to hit this one yet, we'd rather not move the target out even furtherer.

MR. SPENCER: If I may, just on that 6S1 indicator moving into corrective action with the Fed at this time, I'd like to note for the PEC is that the performance target that was set for the State was probably unrealistically high. So when you're looking at performance of 60 percent, for those of you who have been on the PEC, it was my argument of saying, "So you want me" -- Fed -- "want us in the State of New Mexico to enroll four boys in



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cosmetology to four girls in cosmetology." And therefore, they're asking for us to deny access to the female population, just because they want boys in the seat.

And it makes more sense to think about the threshold of what constitutes non-traditional is usually a 25 to 75 percent factor; so it makes no sense to have a performance target excessively higher perhaps than the 25, but certainly should not be higher than 50.

And so we've reset those targets. But whenever we reset a target, it takes two years to catch up to the target because of the performance cycle.

DR. PEREA: So unless the Commissioners would really like for me to, I would suggest that we do the same thing with the post-secondaries. And I'm happy to walk through each indicator; but the second page of this little colored printout shows what we're proposing for each target for the post-secondary.

Do you want me to go through each one? If you all have any questions, I can explain in more detail the logic of how we came up with each of those numbers.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 2 Commissioners, do you have questions of 3 Director Spencer or Dr. Perea? Mr. Carr -- Commissioner Carr? 4 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Given, you know, I had an economics professor, who should be long-retired 6 7 now, who said, "All things being equal, this will 8 happen" -- right? -- because there are so many variables in economics. It seems like we've got 9 10 more variability in education now than we do in our 11 economy, which is probably not a stretch. 12 What do you think are the causes for 13 you -- for us not meeting our goals? 14 MR. GRANATA: I would say that that -- we 15 have to address that indicator by indicator. 16 COMMISSIONER CARR: Indicator by 17 indicator, actually, you put in your report. Nothing sticks out, though, as --18 There's -- Dr. Perea is 19 MR. SPENCER: 20 absolutely right. There's issues with each one of 21 the indicators. In some cases -- well, in all 22 cases, the federal government is asking New Mexico 23 to propose higher indicators of performance from one year over the next. They want to say that the State 24 25 is trying to achieve toward that continuous



improvement.

But you get to a point in some of these indicators where you have cultural barriers or anomalies, whereby it may not be appropriate in certain communities to ask boys to participate in cosmetology programs, because society, in some cases, just doesn't allow that; and so you can't move a performance measure in some of those institutions.

So in order to mitigate that, we have to take an "all things being equal" approach and balance the equation to say, "Well, then, what can we do as a state?"

And we might have some communities that might be able to over-perform in that performance target, and other communities that under-perform in the target; but in the aggregate, we have to shoot for a particular level of performance.

So we haven't been able to identify any particular trend or threat, if you will, that is the cause for not being able to meet the performance targets.

I do think that on the post-secondary side, it was an issue of lack of consistent data reporting of the post-secondary institutions to the





State. And so over this last 12-month period, we have worked extensively with those post-secondary institutions to ensure that the matrix is clearly understood and that's consistently being reported from institution to institution. And as a result, we did see some gains in the post-secondary areas.

We're also diving into the secondary data set of S.T.A.R.S. to also ensure that we are getting the accurate data from schools. Do we need to go into the data sets, maybe 80th and 120th day of school, and do a data evaluation and start looking at comparisons indicator by indicator, school by school?

For example, I've done that with the dual-credit reporting, when you just drop comparisons on an Excel spreadsheet and call up the school that has more than a 10 percent discrepancy in performance from one year to the next. You get a lot of "ah-ha" moments at the local level. Many of the "ah-ha" moments is somebody didn't have the right report from the local system. We're giving them an opportunity to correct that before it becomes part of the final report.

So I think that when we dive deeper into doing the data evaluations school by school on a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

continuous basis, we would probably be able to see some of those performance targets moving, as well; so it could part of a systems issue.

DR. PEREA: Another thing I'll say towards your question, two of the areas we've missed in the last couple of years and are in corrective action, or with the threat of corrective action, are in academic attainment and non-traditional courses.

Both of those two, if you know anything about

New Mexico education, you know that we're not struggling with those only in Perkins, only in CTE; but those are statewide issues.

Academic attainment is certainly something we are struggling with as a state. We, within the Perkins grant, are putting a lot of resources and a lot of effort toward making sure that career technical education is integrated with the core curriculum and integrated with academic rigor; and so that a student who chooses to take construction industries, for example, doesn't miss out on algebra.

In fact, we're doing a lot of professional development to pull the algebra teacher actually into the construction industries classroom, so that they can see that the slope of -- of the roof line



is the Pythagorean theorem, and make those connections. So we're trying.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Very good. I guess further, with the PARCC testing, that we won't get the results probably until November, I'm thinking?

Maybe, you know? We'll see, you know. So using

MAPs scores or Discovery Short-Cycle Assessments --

MR. SPENCER: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, that's not an opportunity for us, for the reason that we have a prescribed way of reporting for the data. And typically, it's been attached to the Standards-Based Assessment, and it doesn't include the short-cycle assessments as part of that. So we are to align to the NCLB waiver at this time; so we have to report based on the PARCC, and not necessarily the short-cycle assessments.

DR. PEREA: But aren't they using the short-cycle to set the No Child Left Behind targets?

MR. SPENCER: We're -- here, it's the requirement under Perkins.

DR. PEREA: Yeah. But I'm saying since we're going to align -- I think that they are using the short-cycles to try and set the statewide levels, and that we're going to align to the statewide levels, but not for reporting.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER CARR: I know Congress is 2 debating right now; so that should change, as well. 3 It could change. 4 THE CHAIR: Pull it as close as you can. COMMISSIONER CARR: I assumed it was 5 Sorry. And I'll speak up -- I don't 6 working well. 7 remember what I was going to say -- is that, you 8 know, we have 26 states that started out with PARCC, and it went down to 11. And, really, counting, the 9 10 momentum is not this way; it's that way. 11 So I expect we'll have some -- some major 12 And, you know, it's hard -- you guys have changes. 13 to really -- it's really hard to hit a moving 14 target. It seems like -- and it seems like 15 everybody is moving; so it's -- I feel for what you 16 guys have to do. That's very difficult, you know, 17 with all the data that you have to come up with. And, you know, we're all kind of in the 18 19 same boat; all the schools are, too. 20 So thank you so much for your work. 21 Again, this is a very important grant that's 22 extremely helpful to thousands of New Mexico's 23 students. And I hope it does not end. 24 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Other questions? 25 Commissioner Toulouse?



COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I have two questions. The first one is kind of a generic question, because I'm not sure I've ever known what your staffing is that you have to work with to work with both secondary and post-secondary schools to gather this data to work with the Feds.

What is your staffing in your bureau?

MR. SPENCER: We currently have a vacancy in one of those particular -- we actually have two vacancies. One, we don't have enough funding to fill. The other one just became vacant. But other than that, if we were staffed with what we have funds for, we would have six education administrators, one support staff, one business-manager-type staff, a finance person for us, and a staff manager.

And then I'm a half-time director for Perkins, because I'm the other half-time for all of the other elements of college and career readiness, to include dual credit, advanced placement, et cetera. And under leadership, we have two education administrators working on the front of technical assistance.

24 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay. That gives
25 me a better picture -- thank you very much -- of



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

what you have working with you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

Now, my actual real question on this is I know from the post-secondary side that a lot of these programs, too, you don't want to over-educate, because when you do, you let too many people get in.

And that was a consideration -- at least in community colleges when I was there, you want to educate enough people to fill the job market, but not to drive salaries down, because your idea is to educate somebody to meet a living wage, not a minimum wage.

So I'm wondering how New Mexico's current economic slowdown, or recession, is tying into the ability of these schools, if you get people, either in secondary or post-secondary, into these programs who may not see jobs at the other end, or employers who are -- are saying, "Don't bother because I can't hire anybody, and I don't like telling X, Y, and Z kids year after year, 'Sorry, you've got the education, but I don't have the job.'"

So how do you tie that into what you have to tell the federal government about the goals you're setting?

MR. SPENCER: Part of that is done through
the local application. And in the local



application, before an institution can propose a program of study in a particular occupational area, they have to be able to demonstrate that there is occupational labor market demand for that and that the demand yields a high wage and that it is high skill in a high-growth industry.

And so, for example, we saw negative job growth through Department of Workforce Solutions data in cosmetology programs; so obviously, we wouldn't want to invest our dollars in negative-job-growth-type industries.

But what we do need to do is we need to invest the dollars where we do have the need and are going to have the unfulfilled positions. So that's how -- the federal government does require the alignment to that. That's how we've been doing this.

But we also look at a broader vision than just Perkins to say, "So through the efforts of Perkins, how do you affect the entire career technical education system?"

And part of the revision to the narrative that you saw was moving toward that program of study approval process; and so with the vision that every school, whether or not we're receiving Perkins



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

dollars would be able to take a look at career 1 technical education programs in the same way so that 2 3 students do end up with an outcome. 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have a 6 question. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 7 8 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: This is probably 9 more for my clarification. So people or 10 institutions apply for the grant. And so the more 11 people who would apply, the less everyone would get, 12 in a sense, because there's just the pie of 13 \$8 million-whatever. 14 So I just had a question. So the School 15 for the Deaf did not apply for a grant. Is that --16 MR. SPENCER: That is correct. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And would you 18 know why? I'm just concerned, because I know that that's an area that's a difficult group to employ 19 2.0 oftentimes. MR. SPENCER: Uh-huh. You have an anomaly 21 22 there, because the School for the Deaf is identified 23 as a State institution. And the State institutions are supported through an alternative set-aside of 24



the funding formula under Perkins.

So when you were looking at the budget earlier, and I explained, here is an 85 percent carve-out of the grant, 50 percent go to post-secondary institutions and 50 percent go to secondary institutions. Just for clarification, those secondary institutions are the 89 school districts, the State-authorized charter schools that implement a career technical education program of study, and the Bureau of Indian Education program of study.

On the post-secondary set-aside, it's going to be all of our community colleges that offer associate's degrees in career technical education areas, or the branch campuses of the four-year institutions that offer the associate's degree in career technical ed areas.

And if you have -- if we have four-year institutions that offer associate's degrees in CTE, career technical ed, then they would be inclusive, as well. As such, we have Northern New Mexico College and Western New Mexico University that do do that.

Those are the eligible recipients. The dollars are disseminated via funding formula at a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

post-secondary institution. It's based on PELL and BIE assistance. At a secondary level, it's based on census information, 5 to 17 year-olds in the service area of the eligible entity, and then the proportion of those 5 to 17 year-olds living in poverty.

So regardless of whether an institution applies or not, we have an allocation set-aside for them. If they don't apply for the dollars and meet the requirements of the Federal Act, we take those unapplied for dollars and redistribute to those that did apply.

The School for the Deaf would be funded under the State institution set-aside. And actually, they did apply for the grant; but because the dollars are so minimal, we make that competitive. And this year's School for the Deaf Impaired had an application that was funded, and School for the Deaf was not. We'll be releasing that application again for them to be able to resubmit that.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Further questions?

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I notice on all

your graphs, you have a term there, "Safe Harbor



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Percentage." Define "safe harbor" for me. 1 2 DR. PEREA: The Feds give us a little bit 3 And if we are within 10 percent of of wiggle room. 4 the target -- or 90 percent, depending on how you 5 want to think of your math -- if the target was 75 and we hit 74, that would be "safe harbor." 7 wouldn't go into corrective action if we got that So we don't get all the way to the dock, but 8 we at least made it into the harbor. 9 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So it's just a term 11 that someone -- either you or the Feds established 12 that term? 13 DR. PEREA: To -- to identify the 14 90 percent -- between 90 and 100 percent. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Other questions or comments, Commissioners? Hearing none, I would remind you 18 19 that on the first sheet of paper after Tab 6 is the 20 executive summary for this item. There is also a 21 proposed language for a motion, or motions, as you 22 would prefer; or use your own language, if you would 23 rather. But at this time, the Chair would 24



entertain a motion on the Carl D. Perkins State

1	Plan.
2	Commissioner Toulouse?
3	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
4	move that the Public Education Commission approve
5	both the Year 8 Carl D. Perkins Revised Allocation
6	and Budget, and the Year 9 State Plan Revision.
7	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a
8	second?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
10	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: (Indicates.)
11	THE CHAIR: I'm looking at Commissioner
12	Chavez for the second.
13	Motion by Commissioner Toulouse, second by
14	Commissioner Chavez, to approve both the Year 8 Carl
15	D. Perkins Revised Allocation and Budget, and the
16	Year 9 State Plan Revision.
17	Further discussion, Commissioners?
18	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
19	roll-call vote?
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
21	Gipson?
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Bergman?
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
2	Shearman?	
3	THE CHAIR: Yes.	
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?	
5	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.	
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?	
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.	
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?	
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.	
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
11	Toulouse?	
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.	
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
14	Armbruster?	
15	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.	
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
17	Conyers?	
18	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.	
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
20	Peralta votes "Yes."	
21	Madam Chair, that is a 10-to-0 vote in	
22	favor of the motion.	
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The	
24	motion passes unanimously.	
25	We thank you both for being here today;	



1	very well-done presentation.
2	MR. SPENCER: Thank you.
3	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, it's a little
4	after 10:00. Are you ready for a break, or would
5	you prefer to move on?
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Keep going.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: I would like to have a
8	break.
9	THE CHAIR: We're moving on to Item No. 7,
10	Discussion and Possible Action on Possible Charter
11	School Amendments.
12	Julie, please?
13	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the
14	Commission, we have several amendments today.
15	Our first is from Gilbert Sena Charter
16	School, and we do have members from the school here
17	today.
18	The Gilbert Sena Charter School is
19	requesting a new facility located at 11200 Lomas
20	Boulevard, Northeast, Albuquerque, New Mexico. The
21	CSD recommends approval of this amendment with the
22	following conditions:
23	Approval is contingent on E-Occupancy of
24	this facility.
25	THE CHAIR: And I would ask our



1	representative or liaison to the PSCOC,
2	Commissioner Peralta, to introduce Martica and ask
3	for her input.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you,
5	Madam Chair. In regards to Gilbert Sena Charter
6	School, I've asked Martica Casias with the PSFA to
7	please come before us to give us an update on the
8	facility for Gilbert Sena Charter School.
9	MS. CASIAS: Madam Chair, members, we have
10	been working diligently with Gilbert Sena Charter
11	School. The facility does meet the average
12	weight exceed the average weighted NMCI, and
13	they should have no problem obtaining the
14	E-Occupancy.
15	We assessed the facility and gave them an
16	approval letter.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
18	Let me just ask, for my own information,
19	when do you plan to move into the new facility
20	oh, I'm sorry. Please introduce yourselves.
21	MS. TORRES: I'm Nadine Torres. I'm the
22	Executive Director of Gilbert Sena Charter High
23	School.
24	MS. TUDOR: My name is Michelle Tudor.
25	I'm the Director of Development.



1	MS. TORRES: We are looking at a start in
2	the fall, August.
3	THE CHAIR: Okay.
4	MS. TORRES: Yes.
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, do you have
6	further questions?
7	Commissioner Bergman?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. I just
9	will the new facility be able to accommodate your
10	entire cap, should you decide to go to your cap,
11	which is, I believe, 300?
12	MS. TORRES: Yes, it will.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And it would fit
14	with PSFA?
15	MS. CASIAS: Yes, Madam Chair,
16	Commissioner Bergman, it would.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you very
18	much. Thank you, Madam Chair.
19	THE CHAIR: Further questions,
20	Commissioners?
21	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
22	motion.
23	And again, I would remind you there are
24	proposed there is proposed language for motions
25	on your executive summary for Item No. 7.



1	Commissioner Toulouse?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I am
3	willing to read the motions.
4	THE CHAIR: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I move to approve
6	the amendment presented by Gilbert Sena Charter
7	School requesting a new facility located at
8	11200 Lomas Boulevard, Northeast, Albuquerque,
9	New Mexico.
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
12	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
13	Toulouse, seconded by Commissioner Peralta, to
14	approve the amendment request presented by Gilbert
15	Sena Charter School for a new facility, as noted in
16	the motion.
17	Is there further discussion?
18	Let me just ask. When did you all first
19	come to us to let us know you were contemplating a
20	new facility?
21	MS. TORRES: We actually came to you when
22	we were doing our charter renewal last year. And
23	that facility did not work out; so we have been
24	looking for a new facility.
25	THE CHAIR: So we were well aware of your



1	quest?
2	MS. TORRES: Yes.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
4	MS. TORRES: You're welcome.
5	THE CHAIR: Further comments or
6	discussion?
7	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
8	roll-call vote?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Bergman?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Shearman?
14	THE CHAIR: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Chavez?
16	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
20	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Toulouse?
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Armbruster?



1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Conyers?
4	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Peralta votes "Yes."
7	Commissioner Gipson?
8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that
10	is a 10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
12	unanimously to approve the amendment for your new
13	location.
14	MS. TORRES: Madam Chair, members of the
15	Commission, we appreciate that. Thanks so much.
16	MS. TUDOR: Thank you very much.
17	THE CHAIR: Next is the ASK Academy
18	Charter School. Will representatives of their
19	school like to come forward?
20	Julie, please?
21	MS. LUCERO: ASK Academy Charter School is
22	requesting a new facility located at 4550 Sundt
23	Road, Rio Rancho. The CSD recommends approval of
24	this amendment with the following conditions:
25	Approval is contingent on E-Occupancy of



1	that facility.
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Again,
3	Commissioner Peralta?
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, thank
5	you. Again, I would ask Martica Casias to give us
6	an update on the facility for ASK Academy.
7	MS. CASIAS: Madam Chair, members of the
8	Commission, PSFA has been working with ASK Academy
9	for some time now. We've done an assessment of the
10	facility, and it meets and greatly exceeds the
11	weighted NMCI. It has a rating of 0, which means
12	that it's an excellent building.
13	And we did assess it for the full number
14	of 600 students, Madam Chair, and we gave an
15	approval letter to the school.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
17	Questions, Commissioners?
18	Commissioner Bergman?
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm kind of
20	curious. Are you currently located in Albuquerque
21	and you're wanting to move to Rio Rancho?
22	MS. DOVE: No, we've always been in Rio
23	Rancho.
24	MR. BERGMAN: Okay.
25	THE REPORTER: I don't know who the



1	speakers are here.
2	MR. BARBOUR: I am Daniel Barbour. I'm
3	the general manager of the ASK Academy,
4	B-A-R-B-O-U-R.
5	MS. DOVE: And Madam Chair, members of the
6	Commission, I'm Connie Dove. I'm Director of
7	Advancement for the Academy.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I hope I don't have
9	to reask the question. I think they've answered it.
10	Thank you.
11	Thank you, Madam Chair.
12	THE CHAIR: Now, further questions,
13	Commissioners?
14	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
15	motion.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair?
17	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Commissioner
18	Peralta?
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Sure. I move to
20	approve the amendment presented by the ASK Academy
21	Charter School requesting a new facility located at
22	4550 Sundt Road, Rio Rancho, New Mexico.
23	THE CHAIR: Do I hear a second?
24	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Second.
25	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster.



1	Motion by Commissioner Peralta, second by	
2	Commissioner Armbruster, to approve the amendment	
3	request presented by ASK Academy for the new	
4	location, as noted in the official record.	
5	Is there further discussion?	
6	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a	
7	roll-call vote?	
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
9	Shearman?	
10	THE CHAIR: Yes.	
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
12	Chavez?	
13	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.	
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?	
15	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.	
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?	
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.	
18	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry?	
19	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.	
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
21	Toulouse?	
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.	
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner	
24	Armbruster?	
25	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.	



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Conyers?
3	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Peralta votes "Yes."
6	Commissioner Gipson?
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Bergman?
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that
12	is a 10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
13	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
14	unanimously to approve the amendment request
15	presented by the ASK Academy Charter School for a
16	new location, as noted on the official record.
17	Congratulation.
18	MR. BARBOUR: Madam Chair, members of the
19	Commission, thank you.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
21	Next is the International School at
22	Mesa Del Sol. If the representatives from that
23	school would like to come forward, please?
24	Julie?
25	MS. LUCERO: The International School at



1 Mesa Del Sol is requesting to serve students in 2 Grades 9 through 12, with a total number of students 3 at full enrollment of 450, from a grade span of full 4 enrollment of 450 in Grades K through 8. The CSD recommends denial of this 5 amendment. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 8 Commission, there is quite a bit of evidence 9 10 provided with their amendment request. I've also 11 included a grade report. And so when you're ready, 12 if you have questions as to why we're recommending 13 denial, you can get into that. 14 THE CHAIR: While Commissioners are 15 finding those pages, if you all would like to introduce yourselves, please? And spell your name, 16 17 if you have a unique spelling. MR. McCUNE: I'm Chuck McCune, 18 19 M-C-C-U-N-E. I'm a parent at the school at 20 International School. And I'm also a member of the 21 governing council. Thank you. 22 MR. GIEBITZ: My name is Robert Giebitz. 23 That's G-I-E-B-I-T-Z. I'm also a parent of two children at the school. I am a member of the 24 25 governing council, and I am currently a doctoral



THE CHAIR: Thank you.

DR. EICHHORST: Dr. Kim Eichhorst,

E-I-C-H-H-O-R-S-T. I'm also a parent of two

children at TIS, a member of the governing council,

and a professor at UNM.

student at UNM in organizational learning.

DR. JOYCE: Good morning, Sean Joyce, S-E-A-N, J-O-Y-C-E, Head of School, International School at Mesa Del Sol.

10 | THE CHAIR: Thank you.

1

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, if you'll look at the grade reports, I've included three years. Their most current grade, they have a grade of a D. The year before that, they had a grade of a D, as well; and the first year, a grade of a B.

We looked at this -- at their grade report several times. And Dr. Joyce and I had several conversations. And the reason is expanding a grade, we always want to look at schools that are improving or showing progress. And with this particular school, if you'll turn to the second page of the first report card, noticing the graph of over the three-year summary, typically, in this case, the bottom level is Beginning Steps, Nearing Proficient,



1 and Advanced. This area, noticing year 2011, '12, '13, 2 3 these are the areas that we always want to see the bottom half decrease rather than increase. We also 4 5 want to see proficiency increase rather than decrease. 6 And in this instance, for both reading and 7 math with International School, these areas, it's 8 not moving in the direction that we'd like to see to 9 10 recommend approval of a grade expansion at this 11 time. 12 Their grade report also for student growth 13 of lowest performing students is an F, as well as 14 student growth of highest performing students of an 15 We would feel more comfortable and confident F. 16 recommending approval of a school that had higher 17 grades in those areas. Thank you. Commissioners, do 18 THE CHAIR: 19 you have questions of either Julie or the school 20 representatives? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Commissioner? 21 THE CHAIR: Go ahead. 22 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I quess a question I



have is what sort of remediation measures you've

made -- or attempted to make -- over these years,

24

and why do you feel there hasn't been great success with these efforts, considering the scores here?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we're going to open with questions and comments, rather than my opening remarks? Is that as we normally would? But that's fine, okay.

To answer your question, Commissioner Gipson, yes, we have. We have made a number of changes and interventions. We have met all of the Public Education Commission's requirements of a School of Concern. We have met all the Charter School Division's actions under that Schools of Concern.

We have hired personnel. We have put into place after-school tutoring. We have put in place reading instruction, both a push-in and pull-out model, for our instruction in reading and literacy. So we've done a number of changes and improvements. We have been able to hire and sustain the staffing in the special education department, which for the past three years, has been very tumultuous.

We've had an increase -- a significant increase in the number of students with special needs, and being able to acquire and then ultimately maintain the staffing in the special education



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 department has been an integral part of our 2. turnaround. THE CHAIR: Other questions? 3 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 5 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 6 Madam Chair, 7 hold on. Can you hear me? I'm wondering whether we 8 might start with the statement that he prepared, 9 because that might answer the questions? I don't 10 know. 11 Well, let's go ahead with our THE CHAIR: 12 questions, and if there's anything --13 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: He can just add? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 14 15 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, 16 Dr. Joyce, I know when you first started coming in 17 here, you were having trouble with maintaining special education teachers. Has that problem been 18 19 fixed; because I know that's a problem for every 2.0 charter school out there? And if you did fix it, I'm wondering how you fixed it. 21 22 DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the 23 Commission, Commissioner Toulouse, absolutely, we 24 have. We struggled year-in-year-out, to acquire 25 people -- as you indicated, finding qualified



1 special education teachers are a premium -- and we 2 were able to hire enough staff to meet our needs 3 through the special education department. Even more 4 importantly, we've been able to maintain that 5 employment. We have letters of commitment for renewal 6 of contract for our members of the special education 7 8 department. And as the Public Education Special Education Division has indicated, we are still in 9 10 compliance. 11 So a year ago, yes, as we were completing 12 our contract negotiations with the Public Education 13 Commission, we had just begun to put those things in 14 place. 15 Prior to that, we have openly and honestly 16 acknowledged that we have been unable to do that for 17 up to three years. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: 18 Thank you. 19 THE CHAIR: Other questions? 2.0 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 21 22 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: What -- two 23 questions, actually. What percentage of your 24 population is in special ed? And has that increased 25 each year, the percentage?



1	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the
2	Commission, Commissioner Armbruster, last year
3	was we saw the greatest single-year growth. In
4	our fourth year, we ended the school year with 19
5	students with an identified [verbatim] educational
6	plan.
7	We opened our fifth year, last year, with
8	more than 25 new students coming in with an IEP. We
9	ended up, by the time January of 2014, at the
L 0	time that we were beginning our negotiations for our
1	contract renewal with the Public Education
L 2	Commission, we were looking at a total of
L 3	85 students who were either carrying an IEP or
L 4	through a Student Assistance Team process to
L 5	identify for their special ed needs.
L 6	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So that probably
L 7	accounts for your lack of growth.
8 .	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioner
L 9	Armbruster, yes.
20	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I am a special
21	ed teacher.
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. Thank
24	you, Madam Chair.
25	I have some demographic questions.



THE CHAIR: Please pull the mic close. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. I 3 have some questions about the demographics of your 4 student population. I don't have a real good 5 sense -- I mean, you break it down sort of in terms of the whole proficiency, you know, math and all 6 7 that kind of data; but can you tell me what your 8 student body, demographically, looks like? And then my other question is are the 9 10 students coming from -- where are the students 11 coming from, in terms of, you know, neighborhoods in 12 Albuquerque or different geographic areas in 13 Albuquerque, if you have that information? 14 DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Commission, Commissioner Chavez, our students reside 16 in the communities as far north of us as Rio Rancho, 17 to the south in Los Lunas and Belen, to the east and East Mountains. We've had them as far as Moriarty. 18 19 On the West Mesa, we have Petroglyph; we 20 have all the zip codes in Albuquerque Metro area 21 represented on our campus. 22 We have 55 percent of our students who are 23 identified with free-and-reduced lunch program. We have -- I want to get that number 24 25 correct -- 25 percent of our students who come from



1 homes of -- English is not the first language spoken 2. in that home. Our ethnic racial background is 3 4 approximately 45 percent or greater Hispanic/Latin 5 American. We have about 11 percent African-American, 3 percent Native American, 7 probably close to 30 -- 35 percent Caucasian, and then a smattering of -- of -- one of the 8 indicators -- because of the new identification used 9 10 by the federal government, we actually have probably 11 40 to 50 percent of our students who identify 12 themselves as multi-racial and multi-ethnic. 13 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: Other comments? 15 Dr. Joyce, I certainly don't intend not to 16 let you make your presentation. I simply wanted to 17 let Commissioner's questions come first. If there was anything in your presentation 18 19 that questions hadn't already been asked about, I 20 was going to ask you for that presentation. So I'm going to ask my -- or put forward my concern right 21 22 now. 23 Anytime this Commission has looked at expansion of grade levels, my first thought is to 24



look at student growth of the highest and lowest

performing students. And consistently at your school, those have been very low. The last two years have both been Fs. The last two years, the numbers have been exactly the same, 7.2 for the highest performing students out of a possible 20 points; lowest performing students, 15.3 points out of a possible 20, which is still a grade of F.

My personal philosophy is that you don't expand anything until you can serve those students more effectively, until those grades can drastically

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

improve.

I think all resources, both financial and personnel, need to be directed towards bringing those students' grades up. An F just, in my mind, is too low; it simply won't do. Those students need more help; they need more focus. And until that can happen, I don't think the school can expand. And that's the way I've always voted, and I'll vote that way today.

I do not favor expanding, putting any more

Any other comments?

load on a school than is already there.

Now, please go ahead with your presentation, Dr. Joyce.

DR. JOYCE: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. A quick note on that,





1	Madam Chair. If you look at the 2013-2014 grade
2	reports, which we did include in our packet to you,
3	the student growth of the lowest performing
4	students, you're correct, in the '13 year, was an F
5	of a 5.3 student school points. In 2014, that
6	same category was an F; but 11.16. That's more than
7	100 percent growth in the lowest percentile.
8	THE CHAIR: You correct me. Thank you. I
9	was looking at the wrong number. Thank you.
L 0	DR. JOYCE: Thank you very much,
L1	Madam Chair.
L 2	All right. My formal presentation.
L 3	Good morning, Madam Chair and members of
L 4	the Commission.
L 5	As most of the Commissioners know, it's
L 6	been my privilege and pleasure to be the Head of
L 7	School at the International School at Mesa Del Sol
L 8	since before it first opened our doors with
L 9	students in August of 2009 with 51 students.
20	Today, we are a
21	kindergarten-through-eighth-grade school with just
22	under 230 students. We are an authorized
23	International Baccalaureate World School, with our
24	Primary Year program of kindergarten through fifth
25	grade, and with the intent to earn the IB



authorization for the Middle School program that consists of Grades 6 through 10.

The diversity of our larger school community is reflected in our students and the staff here at the International School. Over 55 percent of our students qualify for free-and-reduced lunch.

Just under 25 percent of our students reside in homes where English --

(Reporter requests clarification.)

DR. JOYCE: Our students reside in
Rio Rancho to the north, Belen and Los Lunas to the
south, East Mountains in the east, and the West Mesa
and Petroglyphs area to the west, with all of the
zip codes within the City of Albuquerque included.

This means that for most of our families, they spend an hour or more each day getting their students to and from our school. Our educational program here is different from all other schools in New Mexico because of the expertise of our faculty and staff, the number and specific partnerships we have in our school community, and because of our authorization with the IB organization.

Since the opening of our school in 2009, we have maintained a collaborative relationship with the University of New Mexico's School of Behavioral



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Studies through their occupational therapy graduate program, which launched and continuously trains our instructional staff in their ALERT program. The ALERT program supports our students and staff through developing skills and strategies for managing the learning environment for all students.

With our partnership with the University of New Mexico's Biology Department, the International School is one of a select few that participate in a Bosque Environmental Monitoring program, BEM, sending our students of school ages to the nearby Rio Grande Bosque each month to record and document the condition and quality of the river environment.

When other schools are dropping programs like that, the International School continues to add those kinds of programs.

We were the first of eight schools in

New Mexico to bring Playworks, a national federation

nonprofit organization, to our campus. Our lead

administrator was the first person in New Mexico to

implement Thinking Maps school-wide and has now made

the International School one of only a dozen or so

Thinking Maps schools in New Mexico.

We're an innovative school, delivering a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

broad-based instructional program designed to provide tools and strategies for the enduring lifelong success, which is difficult to measure by standardized assessments for all of our students.

Another program offered at the

International School exclusively is Club TIS. Club

TIS is a student-initiated, non-adult-initiated,

community-focused for action and volunteers and

extension of our elementary program around the

broader Albuquerque metropolitan area. As an IB

World School, the International School delivers the

IB curriculum currently in our K-5 grade levels.

The IB academic program is an inquiry and concept-based curriculum that differs from traditional public schools in the United States because of its focus on transferable concepts and critical thinking skills to solve problems and create solutions for the challenges of today and the future.

Fourteen documents were sent to the Charter School Division from the International Schools leadership team regarding our amendment proposal. I hope you have all 14 of those.

We did not know if all or how many of these documents made it into your packets regarding



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

our amendment; but the reason for so many documents being sent to you was to be able to provide this Commission with as much information about our school as possible, knowing that we would not be able to show you our school firsthand, and that no one currently on staff at the Charter School Division has been on our campus to be able to give you that perspective.

One of the 14 documents I hope you were able to access was a November 2013 school site visit report from then the director of Charter School Division, Dr. Tony Gerlicz. His report was the basis for our school's charter renewal last year. And most of the current Commissioners here today supported our renewal, while regrettably, there are no current charter school staff members [verbatim] who were privy to that renewal process.

The reason our governing council wanted you to be able to read this report -- his report -- was the fact that Dr. Gerlicz, a former New Mexico charter school director, who was also a director of an IB school, the Warsaw International -- sorry -- the Warsaw Academy in Poland, and a sitting director of the Charter School Division, was admittedly perplexed with the data about our school.



In the school, he spent four days, not just the usual two days, to conduct a site visit presented to him.

The International School was a paradox to Dr. Gerlicz, and the more he learned about our school, the more confident he was that the school should have its charter renewed; but he also wanted assurance that it would improve, hence the School of Concerns designation and the three-year instead of five-year renewal.

Now, let me highlight some of the development that made the International School different from other schools in New Mexico.

All our elementary students are in school 128 hours more than the Department of Education's minimum of 990 hours. That is not, in itself, a great feat. What is it our students do with those 128 hours that other students in public schools in New Mexico do not?

Our elementary school students receive one hour every day of one of the following special content classes that most elementary schools do not receive: They receive one hour every day of either physical and health education, fine arts, music, or Spanish. They receive one hour a week of library



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

research and study.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our responsibility to our IB authorization requires that we spend at least 90 minutes a day on the inquiry-based learning that is the foundation of the IB curriculum, known as the "Program of Inquiry." By the time a student reaches the fifth grade in an IB school, the last year of the Primary Year program, they are required to produce a major research, community-based project, that consumes one-sixth of their school year. This project is known simply as "Exhibition."

During this current testing season here in New Mexico, our present fifth-grade students have already begun their academically rigorous "exhibition" that will be the culmination of their IB elementary school experience. That is a public performance, and you are all welcome to attend, the likes of which no traditional schools in New Mexico require of its students to promote from fifth grade.

What does life of an IB middle school student look like? Unlike most of their traditional public school peers in New Mexico, IB middle school students are required to complete eight courses all year long, every year, from sixth grade to tenth grade. IB middle school students are required to



take a second language every year, all year long.

They are required to either take a fine arts or a

performing arts class every year, all year long.

They're required to take physical and health education every year, all year long.

I have with me present letters that represent almost 100 families in our community right now who not only want their students enrolled in an IB middle school, but they want their students to continue their education in an IB Middle Year program for their ninth-grade year.

What is so special about an IB education?

First of all, the IBO -- the IB

organization -- will not authorize any school to

deliver its curriculum without the school meeting

its exacting, highly rigorous academic standards,

and must maintain those standards year-in and

year-out.

The closest thing to traditional schools in the United States to IB is the Advanced Placement program. Most of you, I'm sure, are familiar with it. Interestingly, though, the Ivy League schools in the East Coast accept college course credit -- every Ivy League school accepts college credit for students with an IB diploma; but not every



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ivy League school accepts college course credit for students who graduate with an AP. Diploma program is the "platinum card" diploma for American high school graduates. It's accepted in every college and university in over 120 countries.

Excuse me. Here, Cottonwood Classical Preparatory School in Albuquerque, a charter school, graduates about half of its senior class with an IB diploma, between 20 and 30 students, on average, a year. The reason is simply because the academic rigor required to earn the IB diploma is very difficult. That is as it should be. That is how IBO has designed their program.

This is also the reason why the IBO created the Middle Year program to ramp up and support middle-school students to be able to successfully earn their IB diploma when they exit twelfth grade.

This leads me back to why I am here with you today. We are seeking your approval to amend our charter to add the ninth grade IB program so our students may continue their IB education here at the International School.

We have successfully addressed everything that the Public Education Commission, the Charter



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

School Division, and the Special Education

Department of the Public Education Department has asked of us as a School of Concern, and more so. We submitted our school improvement plan to the Charter School Division and the Public Education Commission in December of 2014, and the Public Education [verbatim] approved our improvement plan based on the Charter School Division's assurance it was complete and satisfactory. We were doing what we've been asked to do.

We have continued to maintain our six-member council government membership, one more than our minimum five-member. We are completely up to date with all of our special education documentation, our IEPs, annual documentation, et cetera. We are fully staffed for 12 months now, which is the first in our school's history.

After searching for 15 months, we were finally able to hire a highly qualified reading specialist, and just as importantly, keep that person on staff for the entire school year, while also having received a commitment for the coming school year.

These are some of the numerous intervention strategies we have put into place to



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

support both the lowest quartile members on campus and our highest performing students.

We have an after-school tutoring program that currently serves 31 students twice a week.

This is in addition to our daily homework club that serves more than 40 students five days a week.

We have data that clearly shows academic growth for all of our students, not just our lowest performing.

One such data source that is -- that is our most recent school report card, which shows, as I said earlier, 100 percent growth in our lowest quartile of performing students. That is no special measure.

We had students that were previously performing in the 5th percentile that were then, after one year, performing over 18th percentile. That is tremendous growth. They were still in the bottom quartile at the end of that assessment period.

Another source we have is our benchmark assessments for our kindergarten through third-grade students and our first two DIBELS assessments this year, which is K-3, and our 3-through-8 MAP assessment data for all of our students, not just



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the lowest quartile.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me give you one example of our intervention strategies to compare the support of our lowest-performing students through a push-in model of instruction, versus a pull-out model.

Hands down, with just two data points, using our DIBELS K-3 assessment data, we have clearly demonstrated that our school and students, using our reading specialist who is delivering the push-in instruction, is clearly more instruction in increasing student literacy than a pull-out.

In our first-grade classroom, students at the beginning of the year were scoring 32 percent in proficient. By the middle term, that second data point in January, we had over 64 percent of the same students who were proficient. That's an accurate demonstration of the success of our push-in model. We did not have those resources a year ago.

We've done everything the Charter School and the Public Education [verbatim] has asked of us. We've documented it. We've demonstrated growth in test data.

We ask you today to allow us to add just one grade for next year so our current students may remain with us as their IB school of choice.



There are many other things to date -- to data than just a set of numbers. No one in public schools in the United States is any more aware of the importance of student achievement than we are. Our very mission and vision, which was included in that packet to you, is based on student achievement.

However, that student achievement is not exclusively the type of achievement that is easily measured on standardized assessments.

I'm not here to argue the merits or effectiveness of any standardized assessment; but at least I would hope that we would be able to acknowledge that one size does not fit all, and that many students do not demonstrate well their skills and knowledge on such types of assessments.

No one in our organization, from the governing council, which includes five of the six members who have children in our school, on down to the students themselves, and the parents who deliver their children to us every day, want anything less for their children's success and achievement than this Public Education Commission and the Charter School Division.

We have letters signed from parents who are requesting the Public Education Commission to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

extend this parent-choice school to ninth grade.

Again, we are simply requesting the support of the Public Education Commission to approve what our community has asked for in their school of choice.

We openly acknowledged, in the fall of 2013, when Dr. Gerlicz and Susan Coates made their initial site visit for our charter renewal, in good faith, that we had shortcomings and deficits. We candidly addressed them during the charter renewal contract negotiation that Commissioner Bergman attended, and honestly shared our immediate restructuring solutions with the entire Public Education Commission in May of 2014.

Our belief is that if the Public Education [verbatim] is able to look beyond the numbers represented by the school report card and see the whole school and understand those important things that the International School and its students do well, that are beyond the measure of an assessment, that you will, in good faith, support both the wishes of our parent community and the desires of our students to continue, into the ninth grade, their IB education.

One comment I would like to make on the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PARCC assessment -- because, again, in the charter school, most of us wear multiple hats. And on any given day, we change them so often that every day is a "bad hair" day. I spent every day last week working with third-graders on our PARCC assessments.

In the two groups of third-graders, the entire third grade, on the second day of the assessment, every student but one had a test interruption. The average interruption on our campus, through the technology delivery, was five per child, per test.

I'm not optimistic that our results will be great. We had kids who were in tears because they couldn't finish the test and had to restart over and over again. This was reported, by the way. We were told at 8:30 on our second day of assessment, from Pearson themselves, that we were to dump all the software off our server and reload the software, because they had changed the software the night before.

Thank you for this opportunity to share our Little School on the Mesa with you today. We are grateful to the Commission for considering our amendment and weighing the pros and cons of this proposal. We hope that you will support our school



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	as we move forward and continue on working
2	offering a meaningful and comprehensive IB
3	curriculum to our current community, and continue to
4	improve in ways that the Public Education
5	Department, the Charter School Division, and the
6	Public Education Commission encourage us to do, as
7	we grow and take pride in.
8	Thank you.
9	(Applause.)
L 0	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
L1	Commissioner Bergman?
L 2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me start this
L 3	way:
L 4	So essentially, what you're saying is that
L 5	as it stands now, your eighth-graders leave your
L 6	school, and I'm guessing most of them, if not all of
L 7	them, are not getting into an IB school; so they're
L 8	back just in the general population, so to speak?
L 9	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioner
20	Bergman, yes. Last year we promoted 21
21	eighth-graders, four of them at Albuquerque Academy,
22	two at Menaul School. I don't believe a single one
23	of them got into an IB school. And that's what we
24	like to do.
2.5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I understand your



rationale. I see no indication here -- were you to be allowed to add a ninth grade, how many students are you going to admit? I don't see a cap, so to speak, on your ninth-graders.

Can you tell me what that is?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Bergman, we would never go beyond our charter's cap, which is 20-to-1 in classes, even though we do have the opportunity to take the State's maximums; but we're still looking at a 20-to-1. Our school's overall cap is 450, and we have no intention of exceeding that, even with a ninth-grade program.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I understand that.

I just was thinking long-term, down the road, if you did it, then at some point in the future, started adding other grades, if you had a super-large ninth-grade class, you might have cap problems. Do you see what I'm saying, if they kept staying with you?

So it would be something to consider anyway, as you went forward on that. I think that's all I have. Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I just want to be clear. Your amendment request is to add 9 through



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 12 grades, the ninth through the twelfth grade;
2 correct?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, no, just ninth grade. That's all we're asking, is to allow our eighth-graders to move into the ninth grade.

THE CHAIR: And then the next year, are you going to ask for the tenth grade?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioners, if we can prove to you, we'll deserve it. That's where we'll be. A year and a half from here, we'll be here for charter renewal anyway. We're asking for a one-grade increase, and again, to continue to meet your requirements as a School of Concern.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, may I make a clarification point? Members of the Commission? I just wanted to note that Dr. Sean Joyce mentioned that CSD has not had a site visit. That is incorrect. We actually had a site visit last year in May by Ron Christopherson, and that same year, an additional visit by Tony and Susan on October 7th. And he is also scheduled for a site visit this year on April 21st.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the





Commission, I said current staff had not made such a visit. Yes, Ron was there last year.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I would just like to let you know that, you know, I think -- of course, we're going to take a look at you again in the fall; but, you know, I -- I understand you have a lot of issues that you're dealing with. I especially appreciated your comment on the PARCC. It wasn't very much different from the school that I teach at.

I didn't see anybody crying; but we had issues. Somebody may have; some of the teachers may have been crying.

But -- sorry to digress.

The -- I just wanted to explain that I can't support -- based on the circumstances, I can't see where I can justify voting to increase your numbers, going to a different grade level. I do wish you every success. I -- the IB program is a fantastic program. It's expensive and difficult to deal with. And you've been doing that. That's pretty impressive.

I -- how many -- my fellow Commissioners here, clue me in. How many IB schools are there in Albuquerque that are available for students to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

attend?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Carr, there are a total of seven authorized IB schools in the State of New Mexico. Four of them have the Diploma program, eleventh and twelfth grade. Two of them have the Primary Year program, K-5; we're one of those two.

One has a middle-school program, sixth through tenth. That's a private school in Santa Fe.

The four schools with Primary Year, there are two charter schools in Albuquerque. The other two, one's a charter school -- I'm sorry -- a private school in Farmington, and the other is a private school in Santa Fe.

The United World College, which is only a Diploma program, eleven to twelve, is in Las Vegas. That's probably the most famous of all the IB schools in the state.

There aren't a lot of options. The

Diploma program is at Sandia High School, which is

an APS school. That's just the eleventh and twelfth

grade.

Cottonwood Classical, which is probably the most successful, they're a 6-12 school. They have only the IB Diploma program, eleven and twelve.





That's one of the reasons why they only have

50 percent or so of their graduates graduating with
that diploma, because they don't have a Middle Years
program, and their community is not interested in
doing it because of the expense.

But there aren't that many IB schools that the state, even though it's the fasted growing curriculum in the United States.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I would just like to say that that's -- that's a real -- that's a very strong point, very strong argument that you make here today. Nonetheless, I -- you know, I want to wait and see what happens at renewal. I'm impressed that you had so many people come up here and support you, and the number of letters that you have. And I assume you're not Joseph McCarthy, who used to make those things up, from the '50s.

I -- and I don't think you made it up; so
I'm just making a comment.

The -- so I wish you every success. I hope when you come before us for renewal that we have what we need to actually offer what you are wanting to do, because I think that is something that's important.

One of the things that I look at in



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

charter schools is what are they doing that's not being offered by the local community. And you're doing a lot of that. So that's a big deal for me. So good luck.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Other questions?

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I would like to respectfully make a comment to both you and Mr. Carr. I'm going to disagree with you I think having had a family member who was in both. an IB program, but also having grandchildren who are getting ready to make transition years, I think it's very hard to take a group of kids at eighth grade, with the age they are, their biological changes they are and all, and if there's a possibility they don't have to make the huge change into a regular kind of program, but they've been successful in this program to now, I think it's unfair if we have an opportunity to give them that ninth-grade year, not to let them have it.

They're not asking for a lot. They're asking for only taking the students they've trained already into this and taking it forward.

I also would just as soon go on record



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with what most of you already know. I have absolutely no faith at all in the letter grades. I know we're stuck with them. I know the law says we have to use them; but until I can find a way to reproduce any one of them from year to year to year, I'm not going to base most of my decisions, other than what we absolutely have to, on those letter grades.

And I do know enough about IB curriculum to know that I think it's very hard to shove it, especially for grade-school kids, into the packet that creates those grades. So whatever the rest of you do, I am going to vote to let them have ninth grade, whether the rest of you say "No" or not.

I just wanted to explain what my vote will be ahead of time. And I mean no disrespect to any of you. But I'm looking at the students that we're here to serve, and I just think we would disserve this group of eighth-graders, who wouldn't have to make what is a bad transition, for many kids, into high school, for one more year. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Could we -- Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes. As I look at this and listen to this, I'm kind of conflicted on it. The -- I look -- you know, you always have an



1	"A" on the "Opportunity to Learn" portion, which I
2	think is very good. And I know the it sounds
3	like you're doing a lot of neat things and great
4	things.
5	And, you know, the last school I worked
6	at, I retired as executive director and principal.
7	And we were doing as much as anybody could do, I
8	think; and yet when our test scores came back, they
9	were very disappointing. And so I think it's
L 0	possible to be doing great things, and somewhat
L1	supporting what Carmie said here, that they don't
L 2	always reflect in the final result or the grade.
L 3	And so it's I used to work for a
L 4	superintendent. Sometimes at the board meetings,
L 5	he'd say, "Well, I feel strongly both ways on this
L 6	issue."
L 7	And that's kind of how I feel today.
8 .	Thank you.
L 9	DR. EICHHORST: Madame Chairwoman, may I
20	address both those comments?
21	THE CHAIR: No, not unless there's a
22	direct question to you.
23	Commissioner Armbruster?
24	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I understand
25	your conflicts. I, too, agree with you, Chairman



Toulouse [verbatim].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My concern -- a number of concerns -- in an IB school -- so you can correct me and answer this -- students with special ed needs, an IEP, children cannot be taken out of their regular classroom; and so somehow, remediation is given to them differently than it would be for other students? Or how does that work?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
Commission Armbruster, no. IBO fully supports the
special education laws and mandates of the
United States. Students with special needs are
served both inside the classroom, in a push-in model
with the general education classroom. They're also
taken in a pull-out to address their special needs
identified on their IEP. It may be ancillary
services, but it may not be.

Our reading specialist does do some pull-out work with students with an IEP in reading literacy. But the International Baccalaureate organization prefers, and strongly encourages, more of the inclusion model. And one of the things that we have discovered this particular school year, now that we have a full-time reading specialist, is especially our older-age students in the grades



1	fifth through eighth grade resent being pulled out,
2	because they're missing the classroom instruction,
3	which is, again, supported by our data this year
4	with the reading specialist, that the push-in model
5	is more appropriate.
6	But absolutely, we serve them by the
7	letter of the law. We, as a charter school, IB
8	school, still are responsible and required to adhere
9	to the letter of the law.
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And can a
11	reading specialist is not a special does not hold
12	a special ed credential; is that correct?
13	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the
14	Commission, Commissioner Armbruster, ours does.
15	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. So
16	yours
17	DR. JOYCE: She has multiple licenses,
18	which is a valuable asset, which is why we're doing
19	everything we can to keep her happy.
20	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: That's a good
21	idea; because we're rare.
22	And I had another question. So just
23	looking ahead, if we were to grant the ninth grade
24	and then things didn't work out, for whatever
25	reason and I have the same faith in those letter



grades as Commissioner Toulouse -- would -- so then 1 it would be even more difficult, in a sense, to 2 transition into another school, whatever school, 3 4 because they're tenth-graders now, and not starting 5 as ninth-graders. That is a consideration; correct? 6 7 DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the 8 Commission, Commissioner Armbruster, yes, it is. And our commitment is, first and foremost, just like 9 10 yours, to the students. We are doing everything we 11 can, and we continue to grow larger support from our 12 community to do whatever it takes to get the job 13 done well. 14 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: My inclination 15 is to say that I would grant the ninth grade. And 16 I'm going to give you my reasons. One is you have 17 such a diverse area of people who are willing to drive a long way. I know that Albuquerque takes --18 19 is this okay, Julie? 2.0 MS. LUCERO: When you're done, I'd just like to comment. 21 22 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: You know, that's 23 impressive to me. It's impressive that -- the 24 things that you are doing. It is a concern, of



course, that kids are not -- all of them are not

doing well; but I don't think that's necessarily unusual.

So mine would be -- vote would be to allow you the ninth grade and want to see the improvement with those kids. And I will just say, on my personal research and 39 years as a special ed teacher, that students actually make more progress -- and I was an inclusion teacher, by the way -- being pulled out for a certain period -which I don't know how you can do, because that means that one of those -- I don't like to call them electives, but I'm not sure what word you use -- the language, the -- whatever -- is something they're not going to have. There's not enough time in the day to pull out and still have everything. That's just the way it is.

I would be somewhat curious that they would be able to do a second language; because that's usually quite difficult, unless they're already Spanish-speaking children. And they would be. So that's what I have to say.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner, if I could just ask for clarification? You'd say you'd be willing to support adding the ninth grade and see, the next year, what improvement was made; is that correct?



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 1 Yes. 2 THE CHAIR: What would -- what form would 3 the proof of that improvement take? 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Good point. 5 certainly not going to say the PARCC test. I'm not going to say -- I don't think that's a valid way. 6 7 So I don't -- can you give me some options? 8 THE CHAIR: No, I'm asking you. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Well, I was just 10 wondering what other measures we can use besides 11 that. 12 THE CHAIR: Because if we're not going to 13 rely on the school grade report card, if we're not 14 going to rely on the PARCC, what are we going to 15 rely on if we're going to demand proof? 16 We've just spent two days in contract 17 negotiations with charter schools. And one of the things that we talked about, greatly, is, "How do 18 19

you bring up the lowest performing students?" you don't put a requirement on the school to improve one grade level and that meets requirements for the contract; because if all you're moving up is one grade level, your lowest performing students will never catch up. Never.

So my thought in saying "No, I wouldn't

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



20

21

22

23

24

support grade expansion, "is saying, "Your lowest 1 2 performing students -- and even the highest 3 performing students in this school -- are not showing that they are getting everything they need 4 to progress at the level they need to progress at to 5 bring this grade up. And until they bring this 7 grade up, how are they truly progressing in their education?" 8

And so I'm asking, what kind of proof can we use that would meet your criteria to know that that progress is being made?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Because I don't know all of the law -- things -- my personal opinion is when all the students are taking -- and it's discovery, or it's MAPs, or it's one of those interim things -- that those are probably more accurate than taking -- than looking at a test that we actually have no idea how anyone would do.

When you keep changing the criteria, then every year, you get a different score. You're -it's like comparing apples and broccoli, instead of -- if we were doing the SBA -- and we've done this -- and this was this and it didn't go up, that would probably be slightly more indicative than changing tests; because now, I don't know how I



6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would compare their PARCC test. I'd have to look at -- I guess we'd have to look at every charter school and see if it made a difference, and they went either up or down, wouldn't we?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'm wondering, if we did approve this, if they would be willing -- because I'm sure they're going to do internal assessments -- if they would be willing to go back onto the Schools of Concern and report to us, then, as they've met the progress of how this is working with their eighth-graders and then their ninth-graders, to give us a report so that we would be able to see through the year what efforts they're making.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, when I refer to the report card, I am not only speaking of the letter grade. There's a lot of information in the report card other than a grade.

So if you notice, on Page 3, there's a graph showing student growth. There, you'll notice, every year, that number on the bottom increases as far as students showing one year's worth of growth. So every year, less -- more and more students are



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 making less than one year's worth of growth in both 2 reading and math. And that is a concern to me, not 3 necessarily the grade. 4 Also, if you turn the page, and you look at schools like Mesa Del Sol, they rank at the 5 lowest end in most areas. So I am not only 6 referring to the letter grade of a "D." I look at 7 the entire report, which offers lots of information. 8 9 And not showing more than a year's worth of growth 10 is a concern to me. And that is 75 percent of their 11 population. 12 Thank you, Julie. THE CHAIR: 13 Commissioner Chavez? 14 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, 15 Madam Chair. Yeah. And I actually had a question 16 about that. 17 When I look at, you know, your -- from 2012 to the 2013, you've gone, you know, down. 18 19 basically, you know, that goes -- also raises the 20 issues that were just stated; right? 21 And so I guess what I wanted to ask you is 22 how do you explain that? What happened? 23 DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Chavez, the -- the 24 25 explanation is somewhat complex. And I don't mean



to fall behind the quise of complexity.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We -- as we increase our student 2 3 enrollment, we grew horizontally as well as 4 vertically. And being a school that is affectionately known as "The Little School on the 5 Mesa" out in the middle of nowhere, literally -- the closest residential area is five-and-a-half miles 7 until just recently, since Mesa Del Sol started 8 their housing -- we had difficulty just filling 9 10 classrooms. And every year, we had a significant 11 percentage of students that were new to our school, 12 brand new to our school, who had basically been 13 moving from school to school.

So we had a high population of students who were unsuccessful and were looking for a school to fit into. We were not able to come close to supporting that with resources and personnel and materials and curricular support structures. And that's what you see, the downward trend, not just in the lowest percentile -- quartile of performance, but in the top 75 percent, as well.

We also had a significant turnover in instructional staff. And one of the reasons is, again, coming to a charter school from traditional schools, teachers, in many cases, have a -- pardon



the expression -- a rude awakening. Our middle 1 2 school teachers on average have four prep periods. They prep four different subjects, because they're 3 4 full-time employed, but they have a sixth grade, a 5 seventh grade, and an eighth grade prep, and many of them, in the last year, had dual licensure; so they 7 were prepping as many as six or seven courses.

That's a turnover, a high turnover.

Our elementary staff does recess supervision every day, three times a day, and in the cafeteria.

I am the -- the snow clearance custodian on our campus. And with 28 rooms, I am assisted by our instructional staff to clear ramps, rails, sidewalks.

It's not easy to be a charter school teacher, so we have had a high turnover in the past, particularly in Years 3, 4, and 5. This year, we had great stability. We maintained stability from last year, when we hired within the school year. Those teachers continue their employment here this year and maintain that.

So again, not to diminish or to mystify this in any kind of language of complexity, but there are a number of issues and elements that are



6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

explained to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things I would also like to address is with respect to the report card itself and that single matrix. I had with me our benchmark assessments, our short-cycle assessments that we use on our campus. One, kindergarten through third grade, is the DIBELS. We use, from third grade -- actually, from kindergarten all the way to eighth grade -- we use the Measures of Academic Progress, the MAPs assessments from NWEA.

We also have Running Record, kindergarten through fifth grade; that's an ongoing assessment.

We have portfolios that the International School -
International Baccalaureate Organization requires of our students; those are performance-based. We have multiple measures that we can use, and we're happy to use those.

Today's assessments, I mentioned earlier, our DIBELS shows growth in our kindergarten to third grade. And as I said in our experiment or our action research with first grade, students started the year with 32 percent proficiency and went to 64 percent proficiency. That's based upon the changes that we've made, both in the classroom and with our instructional support personnel.



And I don't want to diminish the professional development of our classroom instruction. When we have teachers who remain on staff for more than two years, they receive 15 days of professional development every year, paid professional development. You all know, who have spent time in the classroom, it takes years for you to master your craft. When you're an IB school and an underperforming school, it takes you years to learn the instructional strategies to support literacy and numeracy and the IB curriculum.

So our turnover has been huge and a dramatic reason why our performance has suffered.

Again, that revolving door has been mitigated.

I've got data here. One of the things I would like to point out, using our DIBELS, also, from the first two points, is that as a school from our first -- our beginning of the year to our middle of the year, we had 90 percent of our students who were exceeding the benchmark from the first to the second; only 10 percent in that group lost ground to nearing proficient. But in the nearing proficient, we had a 62 percent gain from the beginning of the year to the middle of the year.

So those students who were just nearing



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are now exceeding, 62 percent of them. 1 2 From the lowest performing, we had 3 12 percent of those students move up. I have the 4 data; I'd be happy to share it with you. 5 I'm also happy to use that as a criteria, or the leverage, to keep us as a School of Concern 6 7 as we move forward. Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Can you also tell 9 me --THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez? 10 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. Thank you. Ι 12 have another question. 13 Can you also tell me what your student --14 student body turnover has been? Has there been 15 consistency, or have you seen some -- some real 16 changes in terms of kids leaving, kids coming in, that kind of thing? 17 DR. JOYCE: Members of the Commission 18 19 Commissioner Chavez, we have -- in the first three 20 years, we had a 95 -- roughly averaging 95, 96 percent continuation of enrollment. 21 22 Beginning in Year 4, that dropped to about 23 Last year, when we -- we promoted our first group of eighth-graders -- as I said, 21 of them --24 25 from last May to the 40th day of this school year,



we had a 55 percent student drop in Grades 6, 7, and 1 2 And that's largely attributed to the feedback 3 from our community that because we did not add the 4 amendment to add the high school to our authorization last year, many of our parents said, 5 "We're looking for an IB school" -- or, "We're 6 7 looking for a school that will be continuous for our 8 students." Because we didn't add it last year, 9 10 55 percent of our middle-school students left this 11 That's huge. That's by far the highest any year. 12 year.

So until last year, we had a reasonable attrition rate. And as a former APS administrator for four years in Albuquerque Public Schools, our annual transition in the Northeast Heights of the schools I was a principal at was about 12 to 15 percent. So we exceeded that our first three, four years; had a huge change last year, and we're looking at maintaining it.

We have grown steadily over the course of this year, though, from 40th day to 120th.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And you said that your cap is 450?

DR. JOYCE: That's correct.



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: What's your current enrollment?

DR. JOYCE: We're about -- I think today -- I haven't checked -- it's about 228 or 229.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Chair, can I

ask, how many students, then, do you anticipate with -- if we increase the grade, how many students do you anticipate adding in to your enrollment with that?

DR. JOYCE: Members of the Commission,
Commissioner Gipson, we anticipate probably between
10 and 20 ninth-graders next year. We anticipate
keeping at least 50 to 70 percent of our current
eighth grade, and maybe adding one or two new who
may be looking at either the revolving door charter
schools, but actually saying, "Okay, we didn't get
in at Cottonwood Classical to get into their DP
program." The Sandia High School program is
200 students representing the entire over 80,000 APS
students.

So we might pick up one or two there.

They're not expecting a great enrollment increase at all in that ninth-grade enrollment this first year.

Again, families that are new to the community are looking at probably the school's report card; so we



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

don't expect a great increase.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: What about staffing concerns, then? Because outside of the grades -I've lived these grades for many years; I understand the difficulties with them; I've lived the horrors of them -- my concern is with the continuity with staff. So what are you looking at in terms of additional staff that you would have to -- to add?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Gipson, we have already initiated our hiring process for the coming year, anticipating a sixth-through-eighth-grade program, and moving into the authorization through IBO, which means that we have to have those eight subjects, et cetera.

We have a candidate who has signed a letter of intent who spent three years in Ghana teaching in an IB school -- World school -- there, and several years in Costa Rica, also. She's dual-certified -- actually, she's got four licenses; so she's a performing arts, a language arts, and, in New Mexico, it's a humanities or social studies license. So she's going to be teaching five different preps. She knows that; she's used to that; we embrace that.



We have -- several of our current middle-school instructors have multiple licenses.

So we have already put into place the hiring process and looking at filling slots for kindergarten -- I'm sorry -- sixth through eighth. If we are able to add the ninth grade, we're looking at just a single group of kids, as I say, between 12 -- I wouldn't think we would get more than 20.

But one of the other things that we're doing at the International School at Mesa Del Sol is -- and this is a big change for us -- it's highly supported by the IB program -- is we're leveling and grouping our students differently.

For instance, we don't -- we are not going to run math next year in our math department with sixth-grade math, seventh-grade math, eighth-grade math. We have students right now on our campus, who -- in seventh grade, that are ready for geometry. We're looking at a math program that will have a general math, a pre-algebra, algebra, and geometry.

We have a science teacher who can teach both science and math. We have a math teacher who can do that.

So we are looking at a fine arts and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 performing arts class that, again, is 2 ability-leveled, multi-aged, so that students 3 continue to move through that. 4 We're going to do the same thing with 5 physical and health education, et cetera. So by doing that, we may have four grade 6 7 levels represented on campus; but that wouldn't be four discrete prep levels for all those content 8 9 Because we are making them content-specific areas. 10 and multi-age, we're looking at being able to reduce 11 the number of preps for our staff. 12 I hope I answered your question. 13 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You did. You did. 14 Thank you. 15 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 16 I think I would 17 like to note, particularly for the benefit of our three newest Commissioners, this school has had a 18 number of difficulties over the last couple of 19 20 And I have always been personally impressed years. with Dr. Joyce's willingness -- whatever this 21 22 Commission has asked them to do, they have fallen over backwards to do, right up and through all our 23



And as far as the grade report, whether

contract negotiations and everything else.

24

you agree with the system or not, it is the law of the land in this state right now, and we have to live with it. And what Julie has pointed out, it is -- past the A through F part of it, there is a lot of good, useful information buried in it that is not quite as subjective, perhaps, as the grades are; and so we have to live with that.

And as I've been sitting here listening to everything and thinking about what some of the Commissioners have said, I was thinking that should this Commission allow this school to add the ninth grade, would you, Dr. Joyce, be willing to sit down perhaps that the first part of June -- I'm not proposing that we renegotiate their contract and performance framework -- would you be willing to sit down and -- just as we did in the contract negotiation, formulate some short-cycle assessments for those ninth-graders that would allow us, if you come back in a year and ask for a tenth grade -- and we could add them as an addendum to the performance framework, or we could make it a part of the School of Concern -- you remember all those conditions we imposed on you on that? -- would you be willing to do that, sit down with myself and maybe a couple of other Commissioners and come up with something like



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that would allow us something to measure to see if their ninth-graders, one, do improve, specifically improve. We'd even be able to compare their ninth-grade results with their eighth-grade results. I assume you have assessments like that. Would you be willing to do that?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Bergman, I have a small group of friends that I noticed when I came in this morning just before the meeting, and 228 students, that I have no choice not to do that. It would be my pleasure. Absolutely, I would be very willing to do that, and I appreciate the offer. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So I would just ask you to consider that. Should it go forward, perhaps that would then address some of the concerns that I know my fellow Commissioners have about this.

I'm kind of like Commissioner Conyers.

I've kind of got mixed feelings on it. It may be a little premature. It does concern me you prepare these kids up through eighth grade with the IB part of it, and we turn them loose out in the community, and they're out of the program. I'm not sure that's fair to the kids, either.



I share Commissioner Toulouse's concern about that. So let me also throw that into the mix, let you just think that a little bit. Because I'll certainly be willing to sit down with them, and invite any of you to come to sit.

I picked June, because we still have 20 more contract negotiations between now and the end of May. Our calendar is full. And like I say, it wouldn't be a contract negotiation. We'd come up with some goals, and I would put them before the Commission for a vote, just as we do everything else.

And like I say, we'll put it somewhere, call it a "condition of concern" or whatever you want. So I offer that for you all to think about. Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I think -- I think maybe most of us here -- I'm not sure -- just the ones that I know have already spoken up. But I think the A through F should be scrapped; I've never supported it in the first place. I think there's a bill in the Senate sponsored by Senator Lopez to scrap it. It won't go anyplace. It's probably not going to go past the House.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	But that's my you know, it is a dilemma
2	for us to work through a system that we think has a
3	lot of imperfections. And it's a dilemma for me,
4	because you know, how am I going to judge all
5	these schools that we're judging all the
6	traditional public schools, all the charter schools.
7	And we here at the Commission don't just
8	use the A-through-F grading. We do use lots of
9	other lots of other assessments lots of other
10	things. There's a lot of other things that we look
11	at when you come up for renewal.
12	I've heard a lot you brought up DIBELS.
13	Correct me if I'm wrong. Are there two different
14	kinds of DIBELS tests? Are there two different
15	companies that do it? Or is it just one?
16	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the
17	Commission, Commissioner Carr, I'm not sure. When
18	we started this a year ago, there was an added I
19	think it's called "DIBELS Access."
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Uh-huh.
21	DR. JOYCE: And my job is to support the
22	teachers and write the checks. I'm not sure whether
23	that's a different company than the other DIBELS.



COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, okay.

24

25

So I apologize.

You just

gave me a clue, because my wife has used DIBELS for years. She -- they, as a school, decided to use that instead of any other testing that they were trying to push their way. And she has a lot of faith in it. I've looked at research in DIBELS.

And it just goes up to third grade. And can you give me some data on the other grades? You use MAP tests? Discovery tests? What do you use?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Carr, we use kindergarten, all the way through
eighth grade, we use the MAPs, Measures of Academic

Progress. That provides us with reading, language
arts, and math.

The DIBELS only gives us the reading and language arts, not the math component. So our students are -- in the K-3 program, if they're a third-grade student, they have the DIBELS; they have Running Record; they have MAPs; they have NMSBA; they have PARCC. They are assessed formally on short-cycle assessments three times a year, Running Record probably five or six times a year; and then right now, with the NMSBA and the PARCC, twice a year with that, because fortunately, they're not in fourth grade; but they have the science component, as well.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER CARR: So maybe you stated it earlier, and I missed it. Are -- do you show some significant improvement in grade -- in any of those tests in your upper grades?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioners Carr, in the upper years, we have only the Measures of Academic Performance. Fourth grade -- so third grade and up, yes, there is growth. And I have only the beginning-of-the-year assessment on that in the fall. I don't have our middle-of-the-year, which was tested in February, because when we were down -- we were finishing that window and starting the PARCC assessments, I have not been able to close that window.

We have some students who are cycling.

And if you understand the Measures of Academic

Performance, when you close that window, you're

done. And we have an overlap. So I've not been

able to close that window.

And I -- so I do not have the middle-of-the-year data. But looking at our trend data from students who were here at the beginning of the year, versus their last three years, yes, we have growth. And that, to me, is not terribly significant, because our staffing changes and our



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

interventions did not come into play until late 1 February, when our first special education teacher 2 came on, and then in March, when we were able to 3 bring on a reading specialist, et cetera. 4 5 So we have very little beginning-of-the-year; but our trend data starts 6 7 with our middle-of-the-year; and I do have the DIBELS for that. 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I was asking for 9 10 rationale to support what you wanted. I think you 11 convinced me today. And I don't -- you know, one of 12 the things I look at -- you guys are professionals. 13 I don't see a need to meet with you in June. 14 going to see your stuff in the fall. And me, 15 personally -- just speaking for myself -- you know, 16 you know what's at stake, you know. I'm not -- you 17 And if -- you'll either get it done, or you 18 And I'm going to vote for your request won't. 19 today. 20 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez, did you have a comment? 21 22 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. What I wanted 23 to -- I wanted to respond to what Commissioner 24 Bergman was saying in terms of, you know, looking 25 at, you know, what -- you know, meeting and



basically having a conversation in terms of what else are you willing to do to make improvements at the school.

I'd like to see that conversation take place; but I also think that we should make -- we should operate under the assumption that we're looking at the school coming back, basically, and asking for a tenth grade and asking for an eleventh grade. Because the reality is, you know -- I mean, that's just the reality of it; right? Folks are going to want to stay.

So I think we should probably operate under that assumption, when we're thinking about what we're going to do and how we're going to vote -- I think this has also been a very difficult discussion for me, as well. I don't support the letter grades. I have a whole lot of reservations about the PARCC. And as a matter of fact, if my kids were in school right now, I'd probably opt them out. I would probably opt them out of any tests that I could, because it's causing a great deal of difficulty and disruption in our education system. And, really, what is it doing?

I think we need to figure out how we get back to, you know, allowing teachers to teach and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just getting away from all of this ridiculousness.

I'm also concerned about the fact that, you know, kids and families who want to continue in this kind of environment might not be able to. But I am also deeply concerned about whether or not the kids are making academic progress. And I think that's my biggest -- my biggest concern right now, you know, and I think that's one of the things that we really have to think about.

You know, if there's all of these other assessments -- and I was glad that Commissioner Carr asked those questions about the other tests. I'm not an educator. I work for an education union; but I don't dive deep into the details. So if these are some of the other ways that we can sort of measure progress, you know, I would be happy with that, as well.

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Chavez, I think one of the other matrices that's involved here that sometimes is overlooked are the people behind me. If they aren't satisfied with the academic performance of their child, they won't be here next year.

I am absolutely committed to whatever it is that the Public Education Commission, the Charter



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

School Division, the Public Education Department, is requiring of us. As I said earlier, we're not going to argue standardized assessments, the high-stakes accountability. That's not our intention here today. But I do not want to downplay the fact that our customers -- if we are not providing that, our customers will also tell us.

These families that are here today are supporting the IB program, and their children -- they want them in that. But if we're not performing at the level that they expect of their children -- and they do have high expectations -- they won't be here. So I think that's another measurement for you.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair and members of the Commission, my point exactly. There is inconsistency and instability with staff turnover and student turnover. Exactly like he said, if they are not doing their job, students and parents will leave. And that's exactly what's happening.

Up to this point, we don't have information showing that it has stabilized or that he is keeping families.

And I'm sorry. But staff and student turnover does impact performance, whether it's



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 assessed on a report card or not. 2 Commissioner Peralta? THE CHAIR: 3 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So correct me if 4 I'm wrong. We've had a number of struggling years, established evidence of what we've gotten in the 5 report card. And again, my personal feeling about 6 7 report cards is that that's been used for every school in the State of New Mexico. We can't get 8 9 away from that. 10 This year would probably be -- you would 11 say this year is probably the most solid year, as 12 far as stable staffs and your students and programs 13 and things like that; correct? 14 DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Commission, Commissioner Peralta, yes. Currently, I 16 have 14 elementary school staff members. I know I'm 17 going to lose one, because her significant other is in another state. I have no intention of replacing 18 19 any of the current staff there. 20 The same thing is true in our middle As we are looking at expanding our --21 22 completing our program for the IB, I have to add 23 staff there; but I'm not expecting any turnover. And I would like to comment, Commissioner 24



Peralta, we have S.T.A.R.S. data. And you can check

our 40, 80, 120 data on attendance. You can pull it any day of the year. You can see what our enrollment is, whether there are students fleeing or coming into our school.

We've never had the request from Charter School Division to verify that and to prove that.

But I'm happy to provide that data for you, as well.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Sure. And it seems like the consensus of the Commission here are wanting to see maybe possibly another year or so of data information to see if there's any improvements in your progress -- in your school. And with you guys coming back in 2016 for renewal, that's pretty close; that's just around the corner.

Can you answer me this? Why just one grade level now, and why not just go for the ball of wax and go nine through twelve?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Peralta, in good faith, this was discussed by our governing council. And we honestly felt that, in good faith, we should only ask for one year, give you that option of saying, "You didn't do it, so that's it."

We have asked. We have thought about it; but we honestly want to say we know that we are --



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and we've openly acknowledged our shortcomings and deficiencies. We are doing everything we can to correct that and to be the highest performing school.

And so in good faith, we are asking for just one year, knowing that we can come back, if we demonstrate, we will move forward in partnership.

If we don't demonstrate that, we don't deserve to continue.

And I think the last thing I want to add to that, those members of the Commission who were here a year ago know at one point in time, our governing council actually considered shutting the school down rather than renewing the charter; because if we're not going to do it right, we don't want to do it at all.

THE CHAIR: Well, let me add it to that, as long as you brought up what's happened in the past. I believe finances was driving that decision, to a great extent. You were in trouble financially. And that was a struggle to keep the school open.

So, you know, the history of the school is it's been a struggle from day one. And I -- whether you agree with the school report card, whether you have delved into the fine print or not, the school



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is not making progress with their students.

Look at the numbers. It's going backwards in many cases. This Commission has traditionally said no to adding grades to schools that are in this situation. We have set a precedent. That doesn't mean we always have to do it the same; but that has been the mindset.

You need to take care of your current students before you add more students and stress your system even more. Make your system work; help the students you have; bring them up to the level they need to be. And then we'd be more than happy to see your school grow. But you've got to -- you've got to show us -- you've got to show me -- that you are serving your students academically.

And these grades -- and as Julie pointed out, the fine print inside here shows students, by and large, are going the wrong direction.

You may have other data. This is the data I have. And for two years, that data has not changed. It's been an "F" in both of those areas.

The first year, your school did better; and it's been downhill from there, of the three years that we're looking at the reports.

I'm simply saying I believe you've got to



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

serve the students you have before you take on others. That's my --

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioners.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta. I'm sorry I interrupted.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: If I may finish kind of where I was going with this, is that you'll be asking for one grade level, ninth grade. And then we foresee that becoming tenth grade, eleventh grade, because we kind of want to keep the trend going with these kids continuing in your program.

My thought is, is that we give you this other year when you come up to renewal, and look at the data then. And when you come up for renewal request nine through twelve; because you've got 200-plus wiggle room in your cap. I think that --with, like you said, ninth grade is 10 or 20 kids; add another grade, you're talking about another 40, 60 kids; plenty of room, still.

If we're going to be looking at data, why are we looking at it annually; ninth grade, tenth grade, some more data year by year? To me, you're looking at maybe -- you've got one solid year now, and hopefully, next year will be even better. And then when you come up for renewal, come before the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Commission and ask for ninth through twelfth, as
2	opposed to what we have. Just a thought.
3	DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, Commissioners, we
4	are the intent of this is to serve our current
5	students. We have a current group of eighth graders
6	that we want to continue to serve. We're not
7	looking to expand our enrollment. We're saying we
8	have eighth-graders. We want to continue to serve
9	those eighth-graders as ninth-graders in an IB
10	school. That's the purpose of our amendment, to
11	continue to serve the students we have today.
12	MR. McCUNE: Madam Chair, may I address
13	that question?
14	THE CHAIR: Was there a question addressed
15	to you?
16	MR. McCUNE: Well, Commissioner Armbruster
17	had a question that was not completely answered
18	relative to
19	THE CHAIR: Unless the question was
20	addressed to you, no, you may not.
21	MR. McCUNE: Okay, thank you.
22	THE CHAIR: That's the way we run this.
23	Commissioner Toulouse?
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,
25	Dr. Joyce, do you have an idea how many of your



fourth-graders would continue into fifth grade this year, since you said you lost a lot last year, if you don't get this ninth grade?

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Toulouse, that is a speculative number. Currently, our fifth grade is full. We have two classes of 20. Based upon last year's -- if we call it a trend -- I would expect probably anywhere from 20 to 50 percent of those students not return, because it makes sense, in the configuration of schools in New Mexico, that if they cannot continue in an IB program in sixth grade through, that they will put their child in a sixth-grade school somewhere that will be easier for them to transition through their high school diploma.

But as for an exact number, I would have only last year's data to do that; because the previous year, when we moved from fifth grade to sixth grade and sixth grade to seventh grade and seventh grade to eighth grade, we retained over 95 percent of our students.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I would like to comment also that -- number one -- this is an aside -- these are some of the best



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

minutes we've seen of a governance council to be able to see what they actually voted on what they approved and the discussion. I do want to commend your group on that.

I had one other concern when it comes to the grades and all. You know, what we're being given are percentages, just like -- you know, I know having participated in the last couple of days of charter negotiations, we look at a cohort of students who have been there the entire school year. These numbers are aggregating everybody together, whether they were there one year, two years, three years, whether they came in the middle of the school year.

My other problem with getting stuff that is percentages, it's great if you have a school of 2,000 students, because then the averaging out really does average it out. When you have these small numbers, and because these are schools of choice, not neighborhood schools, where you're going to continue through, percentages don't mean nearly as much to me as if I could see a number for each of these years. You know, "We had 20 students this year, you know, and this is the average. Then we dropped to this. We had this."



And even a breakdown -- and I know that's a tremendous amount of data; but I have a thing about data. And I'd like to do my own conclusions, from that basic data, how many of those have been there, one year, two years, three years, or came in the middle of the school year, because on a small school with 200 students, one or two students can literally make you go up or down a whole area.

And so for me -- that's one of my problems when I see these grades. I'm seeing percentages.

I'm not seeing numbers, as opposed to numbers and a consistency that lets me see it.

So that was just an explanation, also, of some of my problems with this kind of information.

And, again, this school will have problems next year if they continue to drop their students, because, again, it is a school of choice.

You know, my kids went to private schools, and then they went to their neighborhood schools all the way through. My grandchildren, in general, have gone to charter schools and could change any time, or go back to their neighborhood schools. I do have one that went back to a neighborhood school.

You know, that makes it very difficult when you don't control, you know, the enrollment



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 factor of your students. There's a lot of issues just besides whether somebody is pleased or not. 2 3 I think the fact we have this many people 4 wanting children in International Baccalaureate 5 degrees tells me a lot. I'm not sure either of my children, who are both very bright, in kindergarten 6 7 and first and second grade, would have been able to 8 navigate one. On the other hand, I have one grandson who I know would have navigated it 9 10 beautifully. 11 It just is such an individual choice that 12 I'm concerned that we're grouping everybody 13 together, and all kinds of stuff together in 14 percentages, and not letting me see the basic data. 15 That's a long explanation; but I did want to explain 16 that's part of my problems with charter schools and 17 how we get the data. Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner. 18 THE CHAIR: 19 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'd like to say 20 several things before you would answer, if you won't 21 mind; so you may want to write this down. 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's a teacher. 23 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry. 24 That's a teacher. I have an enormous respect for



Ms. Lucero and for our Chair, and I'm listening

carefully to what they say. And so here are my comments relative to this entire thing.

Number one is -- I have not changed my vote, by the way. But my concern is that the highest performing students are not continuing to be -- to do -- to do better, I guess is what you would do on whatever measure you're talking about. And maybe we're not talking about the right measure; because my experience is, it doesn't matter what test you give or what you teach or if you don't teach at all, the top performing 90th percentile kids will still do that. It doesn't really matter. It's the bottom ones who are the -- problematic.

And number two, I wanted to know -because I am thinking would I come to this
school? -- that's a lot, what you're asking teachers
to do. And I don't know if you can tell me this,
because it may be privileged information.

Do teachers get paid more than other teachers would get paid because they're asked to do four preps? They're asked to do snow removal, and three recesses, and cafeteria duty? Because that's a lot more than what we would ask of, I think, a traditional teacher in Albuquerque.

And the last thing is sort of -- this is



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to Ms. Lucero. If we have a school who's not doing very well, they have a huge turnover in kids, a turnover in teaching staff, I would really question why we wouldn't even close the school. And I'm not suggesting that; but, you know, it's like -- I don't see the point of keeping a school going if we're not -- it's not doing well enough to do better.

Does that make sense what I'm asking you?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Armbruster, that's exactly the point. The Charter

School Division is really taking the stance of if

schools are not performing, that they shouldn't be

open. "D" or "F" schools, we need to intervene and

provide support and get them to a place where they

are, or they should be closed down.

So that is really the reason why we do not recommend expansion of schools that are not performing or do not have stability at this point.

We want to go in that direction. And clearly, that's the stance we want to take. We want to look at all things, not only the report card grade. We want to look at lotteries. We want to look at staff turnover, which we added at the last meeting. We want to look at student turnover. It's all data that we have on top of the report card



1 grade.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that's exactly it. We do not want charter schools to be bad performers.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'd like them to kind of address what I asked, and then I have one comment. I'll let you go first.

DR. JOYCE: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Armbruster, the drop in highest performing students -- one of the things I want to say with respect to that -- and it's kind of a compound response, and it dovetails a little bit on Commissioner Carr's response about how all schools in New Mexico -- all public schools in New Mexico -- are measured in the same way.

I disagree slightly. As I say, I have been a public school servant for most of my career, and just recently, the last six years, at a charter school. There is no structure in place in the Public Education Department to close an underperforming public school, public district school. If they don't measure up, they don't measure up.

Charter schools live and die by both their enrollment and their performance on the standards-based assessments. Our report cards are



how we're held accountable.

So I appreciate the apples and broccoli metaphor -- I've always had to eat my broccoli -- because truly, charter schools are not appropriately comparable to traditional, public-school district schools.

One of the things that I haven't mentioned -- and I feel that this is sort of kind of ticky-tack, and who am I for you to believe me, because I'm there every day, and I'm going to give you an anecdotal response, and you don't have any proof of the veracity of my comment.

But last year, as I mentioned, late

February, early March, our governing council had

seriously voted to close the school. We had

middle-school students who, as I said, 55 percent of

them exited the school.

When I was administering -- I was a proctor administering those tests, I knew I had students in the middle school who were not taking those tests seriously. They said, "I won't be here next year. I don't care. I won't be here."

Obviously, that's reflected in our scores.

It's obviously reflected in our report card. I

don't have any accurate information as to is it





3 percent? Is it 20 percent? Is it 17 percent of the eighth-grade class last year? I don't know.

I do know that was an impact. It was a comment that was often repeated in frustration by both teachers and myself and other families.

So the drop in our highest performing students, there, again, there are rational, reasonable explanations for that. Can I provide you accurate data on multiple fronts? No. But I have some.

With respect to the salary of charter school employees, I am happy to -- to report that our charter school teachers do receive a higher percentage of salary on our teachers' scale. Our teachers' salary schedule, which is public information, is, on average, about \$1,000 higher than the local school districts step and column.

So they are not compensated, and they will never be appropriately compensated for their work and the value of what they do; but they are slightly paid more than their counterparts in -- other public school districts.

The other thing that goes along with that, which, as I stated earlier, the student -- I'm sorry -- the staff that stays realizes that not only



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are there extra duties, their school day is longer.

Our staff reports at 7:30, and they leave school at

4:00. They teach seven hours, teach students a

minimum of seven hours every day.

Again, as a former administrator in the local school district, I know that's not comparable to the public education elementary school staff. So we really can't compare apples to apples in that context. But our staff does not get broccoli; they are paid slightly more.

The third question that you said is closing the school. And we've addressed that somewhat. And I want to just close on that comment by saying -- emphasizing -- I don't have any more perhaps reliable information, credible information to provide you with that, other than Dr. Tony Gerlicz himself. And the reason I highlighted that is because here is a man who opened a charter school, Monte del Sol, in Santa Fe. He knows the charter school world. He was a headmaster of the IB School in Warsaw, Poland.

He knows IB schools. Albeit it was the MYP and the DP program -- he acknowledged he didn't know the PYP program -- I met several times with him. He knows his responsibilities as the charter



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

school director for charter schools in this state.

He also knows his responsibility to the Public

Education Commission.

And his report acknowledges the paradox of our school's situation, which is why he strongly recommended for only a three-year renewal, strongly recommended for the Schools of Concern, and laid those items out before he left the Charter School Division.

And I -- I -- I believe his response is more credible than perhaps anything I could tell you with respect to why we are in such a paradoxical situation. Here is a man who knows it as well or better than I do, and he represents more this Commission than I.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So my closing comment, Madam Chair, is I am still willing to give them one more year, because we are not talking about closing them. But I would think -- and I don't know that -- this is not an etched-in-stone comment -- that if you are now maintaining staff, and they're not leaving, and you are -- your student turnover, given that you will have a ninth grade, will stay -- because they'll know as of today -- so they'll know whether they're going or not -- I would think that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 the one year would be reasonable. 2 On the other hand, I will be concerned 3 when you come up again if there is no growth in particularly the highest -- even though I know the 4 lowest is very important, but that's much more 5 difficult to do -- and that staff has turned over; 6 7 because it just seems to me that you've made 8 progress, and that should be rewarded and not 9 punished. And that's why I say that. 10 But I would be much in a totally different 11 place if those things don't occur. Does that make 12 sense? 13 DR. JOYCE: Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. 15 Commissioners, we've been at this for almost two hours. I would ask if we are ready to 16 17 come to conclusion, a motion and a vote. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 18 19 call the question. 2.0 Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: You have proposed motions on the second page of the executive 21 22 summary for this item, knowing that the wording 23 would need to be adjusted for Grade 9 only, should



The Chair would entertain a motion.

you choose to use any of that wording.

24

1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair?
2	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'll make the
4	motion.
5	Madam Chair and Commissioners, I would
6	move that the Public Education Commission approve
7	the amendment presented by the International School
8	at Mesa Del Sol requesting to add the ninth grade to
9	their current K-through-8 structure and with no
10	change in their cap at this time; their cap would
11	continue at 450.
12	And I think that's it. I think that's
13	what I'll move.
14	THE CHAIR: Is that sufficient, Josh?
15	MR. GRANATA: (Indicates.)
16	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.
17	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
18	Bergman, second by Commissioner Toulouse, to approve
19	the amendment request by International School at
20	Mesa Del Sol to add Grade 9 with keeping the
21	enrollment cap as it is.
22	Any discussion?
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have a
24	question. Commissioner Bergman, when you and I
25	may not have heard this. Was this just for one



1	year, to reevaluate at the end of the year?
2	THE CHAIR: You cannot put conditions
3	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Got it.
4	THE CHAIR: on an amendment.
5	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. Thank
6	you.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, it's not
8	conditional. But we all discussed what the
9	implications would be next year.
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay.
11	THE CHAIR: Anything else?
12	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: No, thank you.
13	THE CHAIR: Are we ready to vote?
14	Mr. Secretary, roll-call vote, please?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Chavez?
17	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
21	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Toulouse?
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner



1	Armbruster?
2	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioners
4	Conyers?
5	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
7	Peralta votes "No."
8	Commissioner Gipson?
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Bergman?
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Shearman?
15	THE CHAIR: No.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: That is an 8-to-2
17	vote. The motion carries.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
19	motion to approve the amendment to add Grade 9 for
20	International School at Mesa Del Sol is approved.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, before
22	we dismiss them, some housekeeping, please, on this.
23	THE CHAIR: All right.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: In my remarks
25	earlier, I indicated that I'd be willing to sit down



with them and do -- come up with some academic goals for their ninth grade, because, one, their ninth grade was not a part of the school when we did the contract negotiations. Commissioner Carr has indicated he does not think that's necessary.

I would just ask what is the will of the Commission? Does the majority of the Commission want us to do that, want me to do that, sit down?

Or would you agree that you don't even think that's necessary? Which way would you feel comfortable?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I concur with

Commissioner Carr. I think that the assessments

that they do within their particular school is

sufficient. They provide the data.

THE CHAIR: I would think with their renewal application, they're certainly going to bring us that information.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And Madam Chair, I think we already had an agreement from them that we would look at them as a School of Concern for this year, so that they would bring us their data as the year goes on. I don't know if we have to see them every month; but every couple of months.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm assuming you're not going to give us every single month on kids; but every other month, as we see a necessity for it, I would think -- you know, October -- you know, January and March or something like that, just -- as an informal agreement, not as part of anything -- if they have something to present earlier, I think they can present it.

If we begin to have questions on anything that comes up at the charter school, we can request it, but that they be continued on that list. Would you -- and I think in some cases at the beginning, if you'd like to give us just a written report, for instance, as soon as school starts, like you were doing -- I just -- again, this is more an informal thing -- but to -- so that there is no surprise for you or us when we come up to a year from now.

Because we've all put ourselves on the

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I would think

that pretty immediately -- like, in the middle of

the summer, which we now call "fall," they would -
we would know. If 55 -- whatever grade -- left,

that would be kind of a scary thing, because we have



line.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
now added the ninth grade, which was your feeling of
 1
     why people were leaving. I understand you're going
 2
 3
     to 6-7-8 middle school. So we should know that
 4
    pretty soon, wouldn't we?
 5
               Would that be reasonable to you?
               THE CHAIR:
                           Julie, are we likely to know
 6
     enrollment numbers?
 7
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Or staff
 8
 9
     turnover, whatever.
               MS. LUCERO: Yes, we will have a definite,
10
11
    by 40th day. We have information at the 120th day
12
     for this year, that we can update you by May.
13
               THE CHAIR: But next year, it's going to
14
    be the 40th day.
15
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                         So that's a way.
16
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's why I
17
     suggested October.
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: One final comment.
18
19
     So, like I say, we're abandoning that other idea.
20
               I think it's readily apparent to you folks
     what the thinking of this Commission at this time
21
22
     is.
          We are all concerned about that improvement
23
     category. And the clock is ticking. It's -- I'll
24
     just leave it with that.
25
               THE CHAIR: Thank you all very much.
```



1	Commissioners, let's break for lunch. How
2	long do you want? An hour or 45 minutes?
3	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Let's do an hour.
4	THE CHAIR: You want an hour? We'll be
5	back at 1:00.
6	(A recess was taken at 11:57 a.m., and
7	reconvened at 1:00 p.m., as follows:)
8	THE CHAIR: I call back into session this
9	regularly scheduled meeting of the New Mexico Public
10	Education Commission. We will resume with Agenda
11	Item 7D, Horizon Academy.
12	Do we have anyone from the school? Please
13	come up here.
14	Good afternoon.
15	MS. CARTER: Good afternoon. My name is
16	Cynthia Carter. I'm the director of Horizon Academy
17	West. And we have with us today Dr. Avery Ewing.
18	And he is our principal here at Horizon Academy
19	West.
20	THE CHAIR: Welcome both of you. Can we
21	have CSD's recommendation, please?
22	MS. LUCERO: Yes. Horizon Academy West
23	has two amendment requests; and they are withdrawing
24	their second, realizing that they do not need to
25	file an amendment request for governing board



bylaws.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the first one is requesting a grade level decrease from 500 students in grades K through 6 to 500 students in grades K through 5. The CSD recommends approval of this amendment.

THE CHAIR: Would you like to speak to that?

MS. CARTER: Yes, I would. Horizon has been around for quite a while. We've gone through two authorizations. And so we are a kindergarten through sixth grade, and we house a pre-K, as well.

When we started housing our pre-K, it has given us an opportunity to serve some children that want to continue with Horizon for kindergarten. And so within the last two or three years, we've been adding, increasing a classroom every -- every grade level. So for two years, we -- for two years ago, we added a fourth grade, kindergarten. Then we need -- there was a need for first grade -- four first-grade classrooms. This year, we added a second -- a fourth second-grade classroom.

And so as we stand, we have 18 third-graders that have an intent to come back to Horizon. And we, as administrators and our governing council, have taken a look at our pros and



cons of our school setup, and we really want to serve the population that wants to come to Horizon.

In the past, we've had sixth grade. It has been a struggle to fill that sixth-grade class, due to the fact that schools for parents with options, they have -- they usually start at a sixth grade and move up. And so if you want a child to get into another charter, it's easier to get in at the sixth-grade level and move up than it is to go into a seventh grade or eighth grade.

And so with that being said, every year, our parents, when we call and ask them if they're returning, our sixth grade, we always have maybe 24 to 25 out of 60 students saying that they are going to return. But the reality is once they get into another charter school or want to start their children at mid-school, then we have a hard time filling that sixth-grade class.

That -- taking a look at how we can serve our population, we feel that it would be best to serve kindergarten through fifth grade, giving them a really good foundation in the elementary setting, and then letting them move to another school of option.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Commissioners, do you have questions about
2	this amendment proposal?
3	Hearing no questions, the Chair would
4	entertain a motion. And, again, I would remind you
5	that there is proposed motion language in your
6	executive summary for this item.
7	Commissioner Peralta?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I would ask the
9	Public Education Commission to move and approve the
10	amendment presented by Horizon Academy West
11	requesting a grade-level decrease from 500 students
12	from grades K through 6 to 500 students in grades K
13	through 5.
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
15	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Who seconded?
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I did.
17	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
18	Peralta, second by Commissioner Gipson, to approve
19	the amendment request presented by Horizon Academy
20	West, as noted on the official record.
21	Is there further discussion?
22	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
23	roll-call vote?
24	And before we do that, I would note that
25	we have one, two, three, four, five, six, seven,



1	eight we have eight Commissioners seated.
2	Commissioner Chavez is close to being seated.
3	Commissioner Toulouse is coming in the door; so if
4	we could just pause for a second to let everyone be
5	seated.
6	Commissioners, just for your information,
7	we have a motion on the floor to approve the
8	amendment request by Horizon Academy West to reduce
9	their grade levels from K-6 to K-5, keeping their
10	same enrollment cap.
11	Do you wish to vote or excuse yourself?
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'm
13	comfortable voting.
14	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: The same; I reviewed
15	the information, yeah.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
17	Mr. Secretary, whenever you're ready.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Okay. Motion is to
19	approve the amendment, as presented.
20	Commissioner Carr?
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
25	Toulouse?



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
3	Armbruster?
4	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Conyers?
7	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Peralta votes "Yes."
10	Commissioner Gipson?
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Bergman?
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Chavez?
17	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that
19	is a 10-to-0 vote in favor of the motion.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
21	unanimously to approve the amendment request, as is
22	noted in the official record. Thank you very much.
23	And as Julie noted, they have withdrawn
24	the second amendment request because it's not
25	required an amendment is not required to make



1	this change in their governance council.
2	MS. CARTER: Thank you so much for your
3	time.
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you for being here.
5	The next school is Albuquerque Sign
6	Language Academy.
7	THE CHAIR: Good afternoon. Just please
8	introduce yourself.
9	MR. MARTINEZ: Good afternoon. My name is
10	Rafe Martinez, Raphael Martinez. I'm the Executive
11	Director of the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy.
12	THE CHAIR: Julie.
13	MS. LUCERO: With your permission,
14	Albuquerque Sign Language is requesting an
15	enrollment cap increase from 100 students to
16	200 students. The CSD recommends approval of this
17	amendment with the following conditions:
18	Approval is contingent on E-Occupancy of a
19	facility that has capacity to meet the enrollment
20	cap of 200 students.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Would
22	you care to address us?
23	MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the
24	Commission, yes. Thank you for having us today. So
25	we have the opportunity, as we move forward, to



we're placing our evolution with some momentum that
we are looking to go to -- add grades, as we expand.

And so kind of as the precedent has been set over
the last -- as I've been watching the Commission
act, we're asking to increase our enrollment cap
to -- knowing that the building is actually the
biggest determiner as to how big we can grow.

So as Commissioner Toulouse has been to our building, she sees that we're busting at the seams. And we have received a school improvement grant that is going -- that gives us some opportunity to add a portable close by -- in the lot next to us that would help us expand. But we wanted to kind of go all the way with where we project to be in the coming years as we add grades and get bigger.

And I think it gives us an opportunity, too, when we look for buildings, if we're going to move from that particular spot or if we add on and build, that we would have that cap in place already as our ceiling.

THE CHAIR: Let me -- just for clarification, you're authorized for what grades right now?

MR. MARTINEZ: Kindergarten to 12.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	THE CHAIR: Okay. So it wouldn't be a
2	change to your charter to to implement the
3	implement more grades; you just need the room to do
4	it.
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the
6	Commission, that is correct.
7	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
8	Commissioners, do you have questions?
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. My I
10	guess my one question is, you put a portable in.
11	How soon are you going to be overcrowded, then,
12	because of portables in
13	MR. MARTINEZ: Well, a portable wouldn't
14	push us to we wouldn't have the capacity to push
15	up to 200 with just a portable. That would be a
16	long-term vision as we add kids and expand grades.
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. But my
18	question was how soon are you going to be out of
19	space, with even that portable?
20	MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the
21	Commission, soon, very soon. So just so you know
22	kind of the logistics of where we're sitting, we
23	have a 100-student cap is our per charter. We
24	choose to stop at 94 because of the nature of our
25	students. We have a lot of extra apparatus



involved, walkers and that sort of thing; we're
busting as it is. So we have 40 kids on our waiting
list.

So there's a need for us in the community;
we know that. We're being as aggressive as we can
about chasing funding ways to get into a new

building, partnerships, that kind of thing. So the ideal, the future vision, is to push up to 200 in a

very controlled way, where we don't compromise the

10 integrity of the program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So just adding a portable, that gives us -- that will free up a classroom so we can move a kind of therapeutic space and maybe some administrative space into a portable to free up a classroom. But it's a short-term goal, short-term solution.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. I -- what

grades do you have right now?

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Bergman, we -- right now, we're kindergarten to 9; but again, that's a -- our high school is in partnership with Amy Biehl; right? So the two that we actually have on our campus are

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



the most high-need, special ed kids that stay with us through high school.

So right now, there's only two ninth-graders. That cohort is going to get bigger with or classes as they grow up; because there's more kids that fit that criteria that will stay with us in the future years.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, we did the Amy Biehl negotiation yesterday afternoon; so your name did come up.

MR. MARTINEZ: That's good.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So ultimately, you envision that this Amy Biehl thing is just short-term, really, until you get up through the twelfth grade? Or will you still have some kind of relationship?

MR. MARTINEZ: Commissioner Bergman, I think we'd still have a relationship. We don't have the capacity to serve the regular ed high school kids because of compliance issues around highly qualified teachers, and then the money to staff per content area; right? So that's why the partnership with Amy Biehl was created was because they do have the capacity for that, and they're willing to let us infuse parts of our mission into theirs. We're



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

aligned, because they serve that population of kid better.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And my next question isn't necessarily tied to this amendment. But since you were here, and there would be some -- as you increase your number, where are you right now on getting your assessment, you know; because you were having to do the assessment because you couldn't -- I'm thinking about adding more students if we don't have a way to assess them.

So are you closer to getting an assessment, academic assessment?

MR. MARTINEZ: Academic? Yes. So good question. We are implementing the assessments we are creating with the PED. So we already have two data points, very promising. What we're looking at now in the next -- this year, next, and a third year, is to work with the PED to norm and validate the results that we have.

So the assessments are created, and we're already moving -- they're being administered and, you know, we're collecting data. Now, the question now from here to the next couple of years is, like, aligning the data, making sure it's valid, it's reliable, and that we can actually track student



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 growth. 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So PED has accepted 3 and approved working with you, the assessments that 4 will achieve their purpose? 5 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, they've approved the assessments that I think are specific to us. 6 7 the question is, is where does PARCC fit into that 8 equation; because there's different -- I've been a part of different discussions where PARCC is 9 minimized in lieu of that these other assessments 10 11 exist; but then I've also been a part of discussions 12 where they've said, "No, PARCC, is the federal 13 mandate and you must serve this portion of the grade"; right? 14 15 So we're -- we're in discussion about 16 that. And I think we've had some very calm, logical 17 conversations as to why our kids just don't fit that model; so... 18 19 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Good. Thank you. 2.0 Thank you, Madam Chair. Any other comments? 21 THE CHAIR: 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, may I 23 ask Mr. Martinez, on behalf of the three new people 24 we have, to maybe give a quick thumbnail sketch of



what their school is, the kinds of students they

1	have, and their sign language curriculum as it
2	relates to dual language; and also how you've
3	connected with Gallaudet University?
4	MR. MARTINEZ: So Madam Chair, members of
5	the Commission, Commissioner Toulouse, yes,
6	absolutely, I love talking about the school.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Because we have
8	several new people.
9	MR. MARTINEZ: So we are a
10	one-of-a-kind nationally one-of-a-kind
11	program. And the reason being there is we are a
12	State-certified dual-language ASL American Sign
13	Language and English school. So we incorporate
14	hearing kids with deaf kids, and we teach under kind
15	of this pedagogy of bilingual education,
16	dual-language education. It's not happening
17	anywhere else.
18	Recently this was December we were
19	in Gallaudet kind of doing some things out in D.C.,
20	and we connected with a professor out there who,
21	when we walked in, she said, "You guys are
22	Albuquerque Sign Language?"
23	We said, "Yeah."
24	She said, "You guys are doing something, I
25	don't think you know what you're doing."



1 I said, "I've been accused of that 2 before." You guys have stumbled on 3 She says, "Yes. 4 to what really culminates 50 years of research and no one else is doing in the nation." 5 And if you know kind of the genesis of the 6 7 school, it was started by a group of parents and 8 educators that wanted services here in Albuquerque, as opposed to sending kids to Santa Fe. So as we 9 just kept picking at this idea of what it meant to 10 11 deaf and hearing siblings to be together in an 12 educational setting, it's evolved to what it is now. 13 So we're a majority special ed school. 14 have -- about 65 to 67 percent of our total 15 population has an IEP. Most of them are D-level or 16 have severe needs, not just deaf. 17 And we also have regular ed high-functioning students, as well, so... 18 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Appreciate that. 20 Always good to hear about your school. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 21 I know, Mr. Martinez, when 22 have one other question. 23 I was there to visit, you were having problems with keeping a nurse at site the whole time for some of 24



your children who have to have a nurse on site.

1 Have you been able to fix any of that? MR. MARTINEZ: Well, we have a nurse --2 3 what did she call them? -- nurse practi- -- no, not 4 -- tech. 5 THE CHAIR: Practitioner? MR. MARTINEZ: Not a practitioner. 6 7 in compliance with the law, because we contract with 8 a head nurse who's not on campus; but we have a medical tech person that can oversee kind of issues 9 10 regarding nursing services for school -- for school 11 purposes. 12 I'm not sure if you're referring to -- we 13 have about four kids that are considered medically 14 So they have their own personal nurse; so fragile. 15 they accompany the students every day to school. 16 And poor things, we stick them in one corner and 17 just -- by the closet, so they just don't get in the way; so that's where they sit. 18 19 If their kids have any issues, they can 20 help; right? Their students have vents, drains, 21 different things like that. 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair? 23 was referring, when I was there, you had one child 24 sitting there with the parent so that they could be



in class, because you didn't have a nurse that day

1	because there was not one available; not because you
2	couldn't afford one or anything. And so that's why
3	I was wondering if how that works.
4	MR. MARTINEZ: You're right. I'm sorry,
5	Commissioner Toulouse, yes. So in that instance,
6	that was kind of an issue through the Department of
7	Health. And that program runs through them. And
8	that's how those parents get services if they have a
9	child that is considered medically fragile and needs
10	to have a nurse accompany them to school. So those
11	issues with that, that has been taken care of; so
12	thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair?
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner?
15	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So I understand
16	the special ed. So you have the special ed
17	population; then you have regular ed. And they're
18	learning sign.
19	MR. MARTINEZ: Uh-huh.
20	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So when those
21	regular ed students, non-hearing impaired students,
22	go to they're going to Amy Biehl, because that's
23	a high school a high school?
24	MR. MARTINEZ: (Indicates.)
25	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So they can get



1	their regular curriculum; but you're keeping your
2	so your 9 through 12 is going to be really your
3	special ed, highly impaired student? Or you're
4	hoping to keep all those kids?
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of
6	this Commission, Commissioner Armbruster, no. So
7	the kids it's not broken down by special ed
8	designation. What it is, is it's broken down by
9	kids who would pursue a high school diploma, as
10	opposed to a Certificate of Completion. So the kids
11	that are more suited for a Certificate of
12	Completion, those are our kids; those are the ones
13	that are most severe. But our deaf kids who can
14	earn a diploma move on to Amy Biehl.
15	We've supplemented their program through
16	various ways, around having a deaf education
17	teacher, signing for the masses like, that's
18	another great thing that Amy Biehl is doing is
19	they're accepting our lead when it comes to us
20	teaching sign language to their student population,
21	so that our kids
22	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: You're
23	considered bilingual if you can sign.
24	MR. MARTINEZ: Exactly.
25	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So your 9 to 12



1	is probably going to be a pretty small specific
2	group of kids.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of
4	this Commission, yes. Right now, I think, as we
5	sit, it'll be a small cohort of kids. However, I
6	think, as people are learning more about us or
7	getting more notoriety, and our waiting list is
8	growing, those numbers could grow in the future,
9	which is exciting, but also daunting; right?
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yeah.
11	THE CHAIR: Any other questions? Hearing
12	none, we do have a motion on the floor. Are you
13	ready to vote?
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think we
15	have a motion yet.
16	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: We don't have a
17	motion.
18	THE CHAIR: I've lost it. We don't have
19	a motion on the floor.
20	Commissioner Armbruster?
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I would move to
22	approve the amendment presented by the Albuquerque
23	Sign Language Academy requesting an enrollment cap
24	increase from 100 students to 200 students.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a



1	second?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman makes the
5	second. Commissioner Armbruster makes the motion
6	and Commissioner Bergman seconds, to approve the
7	amendment presented by Albuquerque Sign Language
8	Academy, as on the official record.
9	Further discussion?
10	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
11	roll-call vote?
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I didn't hear you.
14	Did you call my name?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
18	Toulouse?
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
21	Armbruster?
22	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Conyers?
25	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Peralta votes "Yes."
3	Commissioner Gipson?
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Bergman?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Shearman?
10	THE CHAIR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Chavez?
13	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
15	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that's
17	10 to 0, in favor of the motion.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion to
19	approve the amendment of Albuquerque Sign Language
20	Academy passes unanimously. Congratulations.
21	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.
22	THE CHAIR: We'd like to continue hearing
23	from you how the school is doing
24	MR. MARTINEZ: Absolutely, I will.
25	THE CHAIR: and growing. Thank you so



1 much. 2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 3 would suggest people here go visit, because it is a 4 unique experience with educational systems. 5 every single employee signs. And it was very interesting to me, as a non-signer, but knows a few 6 7 signs, to watch all of this communication that's 8 going on with not just one or two people signing, 9 but everybody in the hallways and the classrooms, at 10 the front desk signing, too. 11 And I think that's something some of us 12 need to see and understand how unique this is. 13 Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 15 Commissioner Pogna? 16 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Personal comment. 17 would like to ask Gilbert to please call my name out 18 louder. 19 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I will. 2.0 We need a red light to go on. THE CHAIR: Commissioners, let's move on 21 All right. 22 to Item No. 8, Report from Options for Parents and the Charter School Division, Discussion and Possible 23



MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the

Action.

Julie?

24

Commission, we'll first start with Schools of Concern. Our first is the Southwest Learning Centers.

While we have no update on the FBI investigation, PED continues to fully cooperate and will continue to bring you updates as we have them.

Our second school of concern is ACE

Leadership Charter School. The reason ACE

Leadership was put on the list of Schools of Concern

due to the fact that they occupied a facility

without permission from the Public Ed Commission.

They are currently in their brand new facility. The reason they did occupy the temporary facility is because their new facility was not ready; so they occupied this temporary facility from August until the end of November.

They moved into their new facility in January and seem to be settling in well. We've had conversations with the director, and she was made aware of the fact that she cannot move into a temporary site without your permission. And hopefully, from this point forward, we won't have those issues occur again.

So that's as far as ACE Leadership is concerned.



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Did you have questions?
2	THE CHAIR: Yes, I do. Do you know if the
3	temporary facility they were occupying had an
4	E-Occupancy?
5	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, yes, the
6	temporary facility did have an E-Occupancy. I
7	thought I had included that in there. If not, I can
8	get you
9	THE CHAIR: I just missed seeing it.
L 0	MS. LUCERO: It's actually not there. I
L1	can provide a copy of that E-Occupancy certificate.
L 2	THE CHAIR: Commissioners?
L 3	COMMISSIONER CARR: I you went through
L 4	Southwest so quickly, I didn't get a chance to ask
L 5	you a question. Has there been a new forensic audit
L 6	ordered for Southwest?
L 7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, can I ask
L 8	you to use the microphone, please? Pull it a little
L 9	closer?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Has a new forensic
21	audit been ordered for Southwest Academy?
22	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair and Commissioner,
23	not that I am aware of; but I am not fully aware of
24	what's moving forward. I get updates about
25	Southwest only stating that they're still in the



1 process of investigating.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARR: We received two letters in regards to Southwest Academy, that they have ordered that a new audit be done. So you don't know if that's being -- that's happening or not?

MS. LUCERO: What I do know is all schools have to undergo a new audit for this year; so that's -- they will have to undergo that particular audit. I'm not sure the extent of it. When I -- there's problems identified that it is a more intensive audit; so that could be the case with Southwest.

COMMISSIONER CARR: And so -- I don't know -- and if I'm -- if anybody on the Commission knows more than I know, please clue me in; because I -- you know, so we're talking about a forensic audit here, not an ordinary audit. And -- and so that's of great concern to me if that's not being done. And I just wanted to make that clear. Does anybody else know anything?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman and I and Commissioner Toulouse, Commissioner Pogna, worked with the three Southwest schools the past two days on their contract negotiation.

Commissioner Bergman, would you like to



bring forward anything from that?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair,

3 certainly. Thank you, Madam Chair.

If the letters you're referring to are from the State Auditor, Southwest -- according to the folks at Southwest, at this point, apparently, the auditor has done all they're going to do. They have bounced the thing back essentially to PED, and secondarily somewhat to us; although we're not really involved in it.

The forensic audit that you're talking about, according to the people at Southwest, that is being conducted by PED. And it's -- the gist of what I got from the Southwest people is that it is ongoing; but it could be going on for quite some time. So PED still has all their finances of the three schools, and there's no indication at this time that they're in a position where they're going to release that back; in other words, release their finances. So PED is still controlling the finances of the three schools.

The FBI investigation, which we really have nothing to -- is ongoing. Even the people at the school said they've had no contact with the FBI; so it's just -- all that, unfortunately, is in



limbo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The information on the forensic audit would have to come from PED. And they're not really keeping us in the loop particularly, either; so I don't know how -- whether we have a vehicle where we could even ask and whether they would answer if we did ask. So that's where it stands now, Commissioner Carr.

And as to the negotiations, they actually came prepared. They brought us very rigorous academic goals for all three of those schools; in fact, they -- we had, actually, excellent discussions, because they -- we wanted to be sure that they weren't shooting so high that they wouldn't be able to make them, because that doesn't accomplish a thing if you set an impossible goal.

So we had a good discussion on those. And we had -- they came prepared to actually -- they are concerned about the academic improvement of their kids; so their heart's in the right place.

That's what I came away from those meetings with, anyway. And they do have some good goals going forward as we implement their contract and their performance framework. So I was satisfied with that aspect of it all.



1 If anybody else wants to offer anything 2 additional, then --3 I think you've covered it THE CHAIR: 4 pretty well. What information we have on the forensic audit came from the school. 5 Julie, I would like to ask, on behalf of 6 7 the Commission, that you approach PED and see what information, if any, you can get for us on the 8 forensic audit. I know the contract has been let; I 9 10 know the person has been hired to do it. Where they 11 are and how much longer they think it will take, any 12 information that they feel that they can release, 13 we'd like to have. 14 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Commission, yes, I will do that. 16 Thank you. Commissioner Carr? THE CHAIR: 17 COMMISSIONER CARR: I would just like to finish with I would like to see this expedited. 18 19 And, you know, if it doesn't happen pretty soon, you 20 know, I think we need to start demanding some answers; because I'm not just -- I'm not talking 21 22 about the academics of the school, which I know has 23 been, for the most case, excellent. speaking to that. I'm talking about other issues; 24 so -- that we all know about, and which is of great 25



concern, I think, to all of us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I just want us to -- to keep an eye on that audit and see where it's going to be. And if we don't hear anything about it maybe by June, then we need to ask our attorney to see what we can demand in that regard.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I would like to just add that their attorney talked to us quite a bit about the forensic audit and that he feels the auditor who has been hired -- and I understood from him that it was already started -- already started the audit -- is what he considers probably the best forensic auditor in the State of New Mexico. But I also know you cannot hurry an audit or audit findings; you're not going to find an auditor out there who is going to release anything before they're ready to give you their preliminary findings.

So we can ask all we want; but I don't think even PED can push the auditor, once they're out there working on the audit information.

I think that a lot of this discussion we can have, again, when they come up in front of us



1	next month with their contract for us to approve;
2	because I had some other issues that we discussed
3	then that I think need to be discussed, but next
4	time.
5	THE CHAIR: Good. Can I ask Commissioner
6	Peralta, as our PSCOC liaison, do you have any
7	report on facilities for us on this school?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Southwest?
9	THE CHAIR: ACE.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No, I I
11	THE CHAIR: I see Martica had to leave.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: When that did come
13	up on e-mail from Josh, I did forward that to
14	Martica. And she hasn't provided me any information
15	on that; but I will definitely follow up on that.
16	THE CHAIR: Good. Appreciate it.
17	Commissioner Armbruster?
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes. I just had
19	a question. Was this the charter school who had
20	something like a K-6 and a 7-8, and then a 6-7-8?
21	THE CHAIR: It's a K-6, 7-8, 7 through 12;
22	there are three schools.
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And you all
24	talked because I wasn't a Commissioner about
25	somehow combining some of these 7-8s, so they



wouldn't have three small schools, or whatever that
was?

THE CHAIR: We talked about that during negotiations. And they're certainly considering it. What they said to us was it makes more sense to them to put the intermediate school, the seventh- and eighth-grade school, with the elementary, rather than putting it with the secondary school, as we suggested.

So they're going to look at this and see financially if they can do it and the impact to the students. But they are -- they assured us they are giving it serious, serious consideration.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Well, they probably would be getting lots less money, because they wouldn't be getting the Small School Supplement for the third school.

THE CHAIR: All three schools do, yes.

But if they combined it with the elementary school, even with the combined numbers, they would still qualify for Small School Supplement funding.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Wouldn't they have just two of those instead of three, if they combined? Even though it's still small --

THE CHAIR: Yes, anything under 200, or





1	200 and below, gets full funding; up to 400 gets
2	partial funding.
3	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Oh, okay.
4	THE CHAIR: So but we will talk about
5	that more when those contracts come up for review.
6	Commissioner Bergman?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Armbruster asked; let me just amplify. When we
9	renewed them in December, they actually received a
10	couple of conditions. And one of the conditions
11	reads, approximately, they will pursue consolidation
12	of, I believe, the intermediate with one of the
13	other schools.
14	So they, actually this Commission
15	required it was left open; there's no deadline.
16	It was left open in that regard. So they're
17	actually operating under a specific condition that
18	says they will pursue it. Whether they will
19	actually do it, that's a question that would have to
20	be answered down the road.
21	THE CHAIR: Anything else on Southwest or
22	ACE?
23	Okay.
24	Julie, if you would please go ahead?
25	MS. LUCERO: The next School of Concern is



```
Dream Diné. And we still -- they are still not in
 1
 2
     their permanent facility. They have just extended
 3
     their lease in the current site to April 30th.
 4
     They're still dealing with the fire marshal
 5
     installing a fire alarm, a heating system, small
     items, such as door handles, things like that.
 6
 7
               I'm hoping that -- the updates look very
 8
     similar month-to-month.
                              The only new one that would
     have an impact would be that extension of at least
 9
10
     to April 30th.
11
               And I am scheduled to visit their site
12
     May 1st.
13
               THE CHAIR:
                           And how many students do they
14
     have?
15
               MS. LUCERO:
                            Fifteen.
16
               THE CHAIR:
                           They still have 15?
17
               MS. LUCERO:
                            They still have 15.
                           Commissioner Peralta, I'll ask
18
               THE CHAIR:
19
     you again if you have any further information on
     that school.
2.0
                                       Madam Chair, yes, I
21
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA:
22
     do.
          Martica was called back to her office.
                                                   She did
23
     leave me some notes on Dream Diné and the facility.
     What she is saying, she spoke to the general
24
```



construction bureau chief at the Construction

1	Industries. The CID is the agency that issues
2	Certificates of Occupancy. The State Fire Marshal's
3	Office needs to approve the facility prior to the
4	Construction Industries Division giving that
5	Certificate of Occupancy.
6	So currently, the State Fire Marshals'
7	Office has not approved the fire alarm system; so
8	therefore, the Construction Industries Division has
9	not submitted a Certificate of Occupancy, and the
10	building now, at this point, cannot be occupied.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Do you
12	all have questions on Dream Diné? All right.
13	Julie, if you'd go ahead with Item B?
14	MS. LUCERO: Item B is Anthony Closure
15	Update. We are moving forward and meeting with the
16	school monthly, with closure. They are working on
17	inventories, student files, those types of things.
18	We are moving forward until we hear differently.
19	Their hearing has not been set yet, that I
20	am aware of. We will continue to move forward with
21	closure, as long as until we hear differently.
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Josh, would you
23	care to speak to that?
24	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
25	I would just echo what Julie said that to my



1 knowledge, a hearing has not been set by Anthony at 2 this point in time. 3 THE CHAIR: A hearing on what? 4 MR. GRANATA: A hearing on the appeal. As 5 you're aware, Anthony appealed the chartering authority, the PEC's, decision to reapprove their 6 7 charter; and so there has been no hearing set yet 8 for that appeal. 9 THE CHAIR: Any questions on Anthony? 10 Okay. 11 MS. LUCERO: The next item is a staffing 12 update and facilitator contract. We are fully 13 staffed still, and moving forward working on many 14 things, such as negotiations, notices of intent, new 15 training, renewal training, and site visits, is what 16 the staff is currently working on right now. 17 All of our schools will receive their annual visit now in the spring. We've started 18 19 those, using our new monitoring tool, WebEx; it's 20 working very well. And it seems like it will really contribute to the way we monitor schools. 21 22 THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. 23 Questions? 24 COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm sorry. I --25 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?



1 COMMISSIONER CARR: The -- when -- one of 2 the things I think that I would like to see, and 3 maybe I need -- I would like to follow you to a site visit one time -- is I have concerns that we're not 4 5 digging deep enough when we go. And I -- that -that may be unfounded, completely; but I just -- I 6 would like maybe at some point to -- to see for 7 myself exactly what you do; so a site -- a visit of 8 a site visit, so to speak, if that's acceptable to 9 10 you.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair,

Commissioner Carr, what I can do, until you can join us for a visit, is I can provide an agenda of what our day looks like. When we -- when we're on site, currently, a half -- our half-day, we monitor compliance, looking at all policies in place, those types of things, which takes a half-day. We visit classrooms.

Because I have knowledge in special ed -I was a special ed director -- I really am diving
into special education files. We look at their
lottery process. We interview staff members. We
visit with their director.

The other piece that we are really, really digging in deep is goals. Through our last renewal



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cycle, we noticed that most of our schools were not tracking their goals, and that was a real problem for us. If the report card is the only evidence we have to this point, and they don't have goals to support that they're doing anything different to prove success, well, we need to push them on that part. So we're really spending a full day doing that.

So I can provide that agenda, if you'd like; and yes, you are more than welcome to join us.

THE CHAIR: Well, let me speak to that,

Julie, because if you'll remember a couple of years

ago, the Commission asked to accompany the CSD on

site visits. And we really received quite a bit of

pushback on that.

And you will -- you were not with CSD at that time. The Director even went so far as to say that he thought it would violate -- well, I don't remember his exact words -- but the gist of what he said was if we choose to go to certain site visits and not to others, if we only go to one site visit and no others, then we're singling out that school.

And I believe, Jeff, you remember -- I believe you -- well, if I was on the Commission, you were on the Commission -- and I think we had guite



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the discussion about singling out schools for more intense scrutiny than the other schools were getting.

So I don't know, Josh, if you're prepared to speak to that today. But I think before we do anything like that, we need to get an opinion from Josh as to whether or not that is something we really want to get into; because it was -- it really raised guite a storm at that time.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, my invitation is only to assure the Commission about the fact that we really do want good schools and that we are in there doing the best job we possibly can.

THE CHAIR: But from our perspective, we certainly don't want it to appear that we single out any school for added scrutiny. Just off the top of your head?

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I think that I'd like to take that issue and think about it a little bit before just giving you an answer on the fly. At the next Board session, I think I can assess this in more depth, in addition to some other concerns that Commissioner Bergman has raised. So I'll be prepared to answer this question later on down the line.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair?
3	THE CHAIR: Please put it on your list.
4	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair?
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?
6	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Going along with
7	what Josh is going to be looking at, does that also
8	apply to visiting schools? I don't know the answer.
9	I'm asking if that's also I don't mean going
10	with Commissioner Carr was talking about going
11	with CSD; right?
12	THE CHAIR: On a site visit.
13	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm not talking
14	about that. That's one of your things. But the
15	other thing was could you just go see a charter
16	school, or is that also not something one should do?
17	Does that make sense?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?
19	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
20	I think it's fine for Commissioners to visit the
21	charter schools. But, again, I'll address this in
22	more depth at the work session.
23	My main concern is that a Commissioner
24	might potentially show bias against a school, and
25	that bias could be used against the PEC later on



down the line if a decision is made against the school. That's my main concern.

And I'll look into that some more. But in terms of just a Commissioner visiting a school, I don't think there's much of a concern; but comments made by the Commissioners could be concerning.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I want to own up. First, I was the one who asked to visit originally and started this; because mine was a learning process. I wanted even to know what the charter schools were like and then what our employees did, not anything else.

But I would also say I would take
exception to being told I could not visit any
charter school that invites me. I do go. But I
have a rule. I don't ask to go to one. I don't
show up. I wait till somebody sends me an e-mail or
something -- and I have -- that says, "Would you
come visit us?" Then I set up a specific time.

And I go because I have so many charter schools in my area. I also have had lunch, for instance, with a charter school that's an APS-chartered school, because they had questions they wanted answered, and I didn't have a problem with saying, "Hey, I don't oversee you. If you have



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

questions, I can tell you about the Charter School Act."

I would take exception to being told, "You can't go at all." But I think I have safeguarded by saying I think the invitation has to come from the school. And the word has gotten around to the schools who have invited, and I've said, "I'll come visit."

I learned so much when Rafe invited me to come to his school that I would not want -- and I'm not sure whether our attorney gave us that opinion or not -- that I wouldn't get my back up and say, "I'll take my chances", as long as I'm handling it the way I'm handling it and not just showing up at the door and saying, "Hey, let me in."

THE CHAIR: I think the other thing Josh would probably remind all of us is that we are out in the community. We're anywhere. If we're asked a question, or if we -- if we're there, we are representing ourselves, not the Commission as a whole.

And I think probably that goes without saying; but just to ensure -- Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I would just like to clarify. You know, my intentions would be to -- not



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to observe the school, but to observe CSD and see 1 2 what they do, and make it clear, you know -- so when 3 you're thinking about this, Josh, make it clear that 4 I'm just educating -- I would just be educating 5 myself on what CSD does when they do a site visit. And I would not do anything to interfere 6 7 with what -- I want to see what they normally do, not, "Hey, Julie, you didn't look under that rock, 8 you didn't ask that person this, " you know. 9 10 a type of micromanaging; that's not something I want 11 to do. 12 I just want to see what they do so that I 13 can be completely relieved of any concern that --14 that they are fully looking at the school 15 themselves. So it would be a pure observation and 16 nothing more. 17 So when you do that, Josh, that's what I would do. And I would probably -- I would probably 18 19 only do it once, you know. And we could do a roll 2.0 of the dice of which school it is, as long as it's

THE CHAIR: All right. Are we ready to move on? Okay.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You don't need a passport to come down to us.



21

24

25

not in Las Cruces.



1	THE CHAIR: Julie, go ahead, please.
2	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the
3	Commission, the next item is Notice of Intent. I
4	have included a new list, with the district that the
5	school is planning on serving. Their address was
6	included last time, but not the actual location of
7	the site. So the new list does provide that
8	information.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: That's in the
10	notebook?
11	MS. LUCERO: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: Good.
13	MS. LUCERO: And I do know that the next
14	item on the agenda talks specifically about notices
15	of intent and the requirements; so I am not going to
16	get into that here. That's actually your item,
17	Madam Chair, but that item updates you on the
18	location of the charter school.
19	THE CHAIR: What are the dates of the
20	training?
21	MS. LUCERO: Our first training is
22	March 27th.
23	THE CHAIR: And have all of the people who
24	have sent in notices of intent been made aware of
25	those dates and the fact that it is mandatory



training?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, yes, they are made aware that they are required trainings, and there are three that they are required to attend that are pretty in-depth.

We're including lots of outside resources from PED and other areas in these trainings.

THE CHAIR: There's -- I'd like to ask a question at this point. And Josh may want to respond to it -- or who may, or whomever. I think we have two notices of intent for statewide online schools? Two?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, yes, there are two.

THE CHAIR: You know, it occurs to me that a statewide online school is in every district in the state. And so notice should be provided to every school district in the state when the -- when the notice of intent is filed, not just with wherever the online school might have an office or whatever they plan to have; but to notify every single district in the state, because every single district in the state potentially could have students who might sign up for that school.

Has that thought -- is that a reasonable



thought?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, that does make sense. You know, one of the schools you're talking about, in particular, does state they are serving -- it's a statewide charter school. That would make sense that they would notify most districts. That wasn't clear in the application for them; so that they were one of the schools that did provide district notice, but it was only for the Albuquerque area.

So that's probably something that we would need direction from the PEC on how you would like us to follow up with schools; because I'm thinking in the future, we could get more that would be serving a statewide population rather than one district.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Julie, can I ask you a question about that?

THE CHAIR: Hold on just one second. Josh has something to say.

MR. GRANATA: So Madam Chair,

Commissioners, I agree with the Chair. My

interpretation of the Rule 6.80.4.8 states that the

written notification to the PEC regarding -- it

should go to "the school district in which the

charter school is to be located," is the language of



the rule. So if the charter school is online, and 1 2 it's located throughout the state of New Mexico, it 3 would make sense that all the districts that are 4 affected would need to be notified. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster? 5 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So this is not a 6 It's not, like -- whatever --7 private for-profit? Connections or K12, Inc; is that correct? 8 local one? 9 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner 10 11 Armbruster, we don't have all the information yet. 12 The notice of intent is pretty limited. We'll get 13 more information as they turn in the entire 14 application. But it does appear that a company 15 would provide the curriculum. So that's usually how it works with -- you know, with Connections, as 16 17 Although they do follow State Common Core, you know, guidelines from the State, they do 18 purchase their curriculum from an outside state 19 20 agency. And the school that has turned in a notice of intent, I think has the same intention of 21 22 purchasing their curriculum from a company named



So it's a non-profit. It's really

purchasing -- just the same way a school here could

"Pathways."

23

24

1 purchase textbooks from Pearson or McMillan, they 2 can purchase their online from a company. COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. 3 And then 4 are -- so students who choose to do this, is it 5 part-time? Full-time? So they don't come to a 6 school, a brick-and-mortar school? They're home, 7 or --MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner 8 Armbruster, this school, in particular, will be all 9 10 online is what it looks like they're proposing. 11 wouldn't be a blended model. So it would be from 12 home. And some models work where they communicate 13 via text, via computer, phone call. I'm not sure what their intention is. 14 15 But that's typically how it works. 16 not -- the proposal is not a blended model; it's 17 completely online. COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 18 And it's 19 different from the two online schools that we have, 2.0 or the same? 21 THE CHAIR: Same. COMMISSIONER CARR: 22 Have no idea. 23 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So now -- didn't 24 you mention one time that there's one in Santa Fe 25 and I think one up near Farmington, maybe?



Τ	THE CHAIR: There's one in Farmington.
2	There's one in Santa Fe well, the one in
3	Farmington was authorized by the Farmington school
4	board, the school district. Connections, which has
5	an office in Santa Fe, was denied by the Public
6	Education Commission and then overturned by the
7	Secretary; so they are a State-chartered school.
8	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So is that a
9	precedent for all others?
L 0	If it if you, the PEC, did not
L1	authorize that school, and it was overruled, and so
L 2	it now exists, is that a precedent can they all
L 3	do that?
L 4	THE CHAIR: A judge told us we had to do
L 5	what the Secretary orders.
L 6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: On that school.
L 7	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But it doesn't
8 .	mean every school. It wasn't, like, "Okay, so now
L 9	it's everybody." It's just that one school at that
20	moment in time. It was just that one school, the
21	judge said "Yes, you have to authorize that"?
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think the
23	question she was asking does, that mean we have
24	to automatically approve all virtual online schools?
25	 My thinking is no. The judge just ruled on that one



1	school. I don't believe that forces us to approve
2	all future schools. That would be my
3	interpretation.
4	THE CHAIR: I do think it does say we do
5	have to follow the Secretary's orders, if it comes
6	to that. So, no, nobody can no, we're not
7	ordered to automatically approve anything.
8	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right. That was
9	my question.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair
11	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: to I concur with
13	what you said about notifying the districts. But
14	also keeping in mind that all of our State-chartered
15	charter schools are considered districts, in and of
16	themselves; so they also need to be notified. This
17	will certainly affect them.
18	THE CHAIR: Say that again, please?
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: All of our
20	State-chartered charter schools are considered
21	districts, in and of themselves. Their director is
22	essentially their superintendent; so they should
23	also be notified.
24	THE CHAIR: But they do actually
25	physically reside within a school district.



COMMISSIONER CARR: No, you missed my --1 2 these particular charter -- the new charter 3 schools -- we -- as we notify the regular -- the traditional school districts, we also need to notify 4 all the State-chartered charter schools, because 5 they are also districts. 6 7 THE CHAIR: You're absolutely right. 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And they could also lose students to a statewide virtual charter school. 9 10 That's a very valid point. 11 COMMISSIONER CARR: So according to the 12 law, they need to be notified, as well. 13 quick -- New Mexico Online Prep Academy? Do you 14 know where their offices are going to be based? 15 don't know where their students are going to be, 16 but --17 MS. LUCERO: I'm sorry, Commissioner. 18 which one? COMMISSIONER CARR: No. 13, New Mexico 19 20 Online Prep Academy. It says, "Not specific." other one had an Albuquerque -- I would assume 21 22 they're going to have an office space somewhere. 23 MS. LUCERO: Right. And right now, we do 24 not have all that information. If they do follow 25 through, I'll notice, on the next item on the notice



1	of intent if they were one of the schools that
2	notified their district, and we would have that
3	information, what where they're planning on
4	residing. But
5	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: It says they're in
6	Santa Fe.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Where did you see
8	Santa Fe?
9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I was looking
10	because I think we had gotten I remembered we had
11	gotten this information last month. And it looks
12	like in February, they gave us a Santa Fe address,
13	if that's the same school.
14	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the
15	Commission, that is actually the address of the
16	person that's writing the application.
17	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Ah, okay.
18	MS. LUCERO: But it wasn't consistent with
19	where they were going to reside, with most schools.
20	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay.
21	MS. LUCERO: So I'll get that information
22	for you.
23	THE CHAIR: So, Julie, the two proposed
24	online schools are Nos. 12 and 13 on this list?
2.5	MS LUCERO: No 16 as well is Pathways



THE CHAIR: So is that three? 1 2 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That makes three. 3 MS. LUCERO: That would be three, yes. 4 THE CHAIR: You know, I don't know, 5 Commissioners, if we want to take any kind of action. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: What I would 8 suggest is later on in this meeting, I'm going to suggest that we have a work session before our April 9 10 meeting. We have several things we have to address 11 in the work session, anyway. I believe we should --12 if we're going to take some action on this 13 particular issue, one, Josh would need to tell us do 14 we have the authority to require somebody to send a 15 notice to all the districts and to all the charter 16 schools, because they do constitute districts. 17 One of the reasons why these online schools exist, they establish an office in the 18 19 community, and their claim is that's how they're 20 getting around the brick-and-mortar. They say, "Yes, it's right here in Santa Fe. You can come to 21 22 our building." 23 The thing is the students don't go to that building. Their offices are there. 24 25 They might argue, "Well, our office is in



Santa Fe; so we should only have to" -- I'm not 1 2 going to argue that case. But I would propose we put that on our agenda for our work session, that we 3 4 discuss how -- if we're going to change it, we need 5 to change the notice of intent plenty far in advance, so next year's applicants have the proper 6 7 district of who they have to notify. That would be 8 my suggestion. Madam Chair and 9 MR. GRANATA: 10 Commissioners, I'll put that on my list of things to 11 It's getting longer. do. 12 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Any other 13 discussion on that item, Commissioner? 14 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. Madam Chair, 15 I was just wondering if, in the discussions at any 16 point in time, you all have considered or looked at 17 the financial impact that -- that charter schools have on the public schools. 18 19 THE CHAIR: I hate to tell you; but that's

THE CHAIR: I hate to tell you; but that's not our business.

COMMISSIONER CARR: We can't.

THE CHAIR: It's not; absolutely not. The first year I was on this Commission, I voted "No" on every single application and said, "Schools are not being sufficiently funded now; we can't afford to



20

21

22

23

24

add any more to it." And I was named in the appeals that I was arbitrary and capricious, because that is not part of the responsibility of the PEC to look at that.

If you look at the law and the reasons that this PEC may use to deny an application, that's not listed. We cannot consider that.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I'm glad I asked.

MS. LUCERO: The next item is Charter
Schools with D or F Grades. The Charter School
Division at the Public Ed Department is excited to
move the State towards the highest quality charter
environment possible. We want to celebrate the
success of charter schools across the state and
support charters in their efforts to best serve
students. As a result, schools with a grade of D or
F are subject to increased support and scrutiny.

This has been manifested in a few ways already. First, the Charter School Division has been moving more closely with the Priority Schools Division at the Department to better support struggling schools. Second, we have collaborated with PEC in creating improvement plans for schools with D or F.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Low schools are important indicators of a 1 2 school's health, and we want to use this platform as 3 a notice that any school with a D or F and particularly those with consistently low grades are 4 subject to nonrenewal or closure recommendation from 5 CSD's point of view. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 8 Appreciate your efforts. Commissioner Carr? 9 COMMISSIONER CARR: I don't know. 10 This 11 seems to be the best place to bring this up. 12 It's -- in the midst of the PARCC testing -- but 13 also other things -- it's come to my attention that 14 it's -- that it appears that most charter schools, 15 in my opinion, seem to be under the mistaken belief 16 that they can not allow students to opt out of the 17 PARCC. And I am not a lawyer. But I think 18 19 they're absolutely wrong. 20 And here's another item. It's really not related to the first item, but it is of concern to 21 22 me. 23 Well, first of all, let me finish the first one, is, well, what are you going to do to a 24 25 student if he doesn't -- if he doesn't take it?



if the parent says, "No, I'm opting my kid out, anyway; I don't care"?

Well, the charter school can't expel them.

You know, what are they going to do? They're going to give them a zero on their standardized test;

right? I -- yeah, I don't know.

So that is of concern to me. I don't think charter schools, especially under our watch, should be doing anything -- telling parents something that they have no business telling them.

And here's the other one. And I don't necessarily disagree with this. But requiring parents to have meetings -- if this is within their contract, too; but they put these things in their contract -- requiring parents to have meetings twice a year, to actually if they don't come in and have a parent-teacher conference, that they're not fulfilling their contract with the school to -- to actually do this.

Public schools can't do that. And maybe it would be a good idea if they could. I don't know what we still would do to the parent. What? Are we going to put them in jail? Right? We can't even force them to get their kid there every day, because we have such a truancy problem.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

But certainly -- I mean, charter schools 1 2 do get a lot of waivers, a lot of all waivers and 3 everything. But I have concern that they're not 4 following the law. So it's a question I want to put out 5 Julie, you're certainly welcome to comment 6 there. 7 on it. But it's a question I'd like to put to -sorry -- another thing to put on your plate, Josh. 8 But, yeah, I did send Josh an e-mail on 9 this earlier, too, forewarning him of me bringing 10 11 And, of course, I would welcome any this up. 12 comments by the Commission on this, as well. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, 15 Commissioner Carr, we actually did not have a 16 different message than any of the other district 17 schools in the state. The message was no different from charter schools compared to district, not 18 traditional schools. 19 20 So we didn't personally call charter schools and tell them they couldn't opt out. 21 22 the same rule that applied to all schools. The other piece, whether students decide 23 to opt out or not, the idea behind the Charter 24



School Division is we want high-performing schools.

So if you're opting out of PARCC or you're not doing 1 2 well on it, track your goals that you propose to the And as you noticed in the last renewal cycle, 3 4 I would say that out of those 22 applications, maybe 5 only 70- -- maybe only 25 percent of those schools even tracked a goal. So then they need to prove 6 7 that they are doing something that proves that those students are successful, whether it is PARCC or 8 whether it's not. 9

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, Commissioner Carr, like I spoke with you briefly, I can look into this issue some more. I think I need more facts before I can say any kind of -- anything with legal force behind it.

I think the bottom line, though, is that if a student is expelled for not taking the exam, for example, that that student is required to be provided with due process, and they would need to follow the law in that regard. But I can look into this, as well.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: During our



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



discussions on the contract, if you remember, I asked one of the schools about how many did they have opting out of PARCC, and they looked at me with big eyes and said, "We didn't allow them to do that, and we didn't have a discussion with them about how did they do that."

They had a very complicated way that they got through to the parents saying, "Your kid better be there, because we're not allowing you not to have them there."

On the other hand, I also know -anecdotally, I talked to the head of one of the
charter high schools that I saw on my way to the
bank. She said, "I have four of them who opted out.
I support my students, my parents, my staff, you
know, my faculty, everybody. If that's what they
wanted to do, I don't have a problem with it,
although I wish they had taken it."

So, you know, I think people interpret not any message they got from Charter School Division, but what they see their authority is as a charter school differently. And I think maybe next year for the next round of testings maybe, there needs to be a reminder to charter schools that, "You're under the same rules as every other public school in the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     state; you don't make your own."
 2
               Thank you.
 3
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Madam Chair?
 4
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you. You know, we are
 5
     getting away from the agenda, the published agenda.
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                          I can do it
 6
 7
     later.
             In the interest of time, I retract.
 8
               THE CHAIR:
                           Sorry?
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: In the interest
 9
10
     of time, I will retract my proposed question.
11
               THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
                                                I think
12
     we --
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But I'll write
13
14
     it down.
15
               THE CHAIR: Probably in the interest of
16
     being legal, we should try to stay with the agenda.
17
               So let's move on, Julie, if you're
18
     finished.
19
               MS. LUCERO:
                            I am.
                                    Thank you.
20
               THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
                                                  Let's
     move on to Item No. 9, Board of finance Application
21
22
     from Monte del Sol.
23
               If we have any representatives from that
24
     school, come forward.
25
               Julie, I'll ask you to go ahead.
```



1	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
2	Monte del Sol submitted their Board of Finance. It
3	has been reviewed by CSD and is sufficient and
4	complete. You can look at the pages.
5	They were delayed due to a death in the
6	family of the director; but have concluded the
7	entire packet at this point.
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. And you are
9	recommending to us that all required documents,
L 0	signatures, notifications, everything has been
L1	provided, as required?
L 2	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the
L 3	Commission, yes.
L 4	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
L 5	Commissioners, you've heard the
L 6	recommendation from CSD. Do you have discussion?
L 7	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
L 8	motion.
L 9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair?
20	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I move that the PEC
22	approve the Monte del Sol Charter School Board of
23	Finance Application, pursuant to NMAC 6.80.4.17.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do we have a
25	second?



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: (Indicates.)
2	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?
3	Motion by Commissioner Peralta, seconded
4	by Commissioner Toulouse, to approve the Monte del
5	Sol Charter School Board of Finance Application.
6	Any discussion?
7	Mr. Secretary, may we have a roll-call
8	vote?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Toulouse?
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Armbruster?
14	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Conyers?
17	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Peralta votes "Yes."
20	Commissioner Gipson?
21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Bergman?
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner



1	Shearman?
2	THE CHAIR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Chavez?
5	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
б	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna?
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, by a
11	10-to-0 vote, that is in favor of the motion.
12	THE CHAIR: The motion carries
13	unanimously. Thank you all.
14	Let's move to Item No. 10, Discussion and
15	Possible Action on Notices of Intent. That's Item
16	No. 10 in your notebook.
17	Is Ms. Ventura here?
18	MS. HERRERA: Yes. It's Mrs. Herrera,
19	though.
20	THE CHAIR: "Herrera"? Please, if you
21	would come forward. If Commissioners have
22	questions, we will direct those to you.
23	Julie, can you give us some background on
24	this, please?
25	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the



Commission, what we noticed with these notices of 1 2 intent, that there were several schools -- or 3 three -- five schools -- that did not notify the 4 district. And that is part of the process. From my understanding last year, these schools were 5 disqualified from the process because of this. 6 7 So CSD was not really sure of how we should move forward with these five schools that did 8 not provide the not- -- the district notice, and 9 10 we're really wondering how we should move forward 11 with this if they should be able to. 12 Now, the application was not -- the new 13 application was not available until January 5th; but 14 the old notice of intent application was. 15 criteria is not any different. There were just 16 minor grammatical errors that we changed that you 17 approved; but as far as requirements, notifying a district, that's never been a change from the 18 19 application that was available in December to the 20 one in January. 21 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 22 Please identify yourselves. 23 MS. HERRERA: I'm Rianne, R-I-A-N-N-E, 24 Herrera, H-E-R-R-E-R-A.



MS. MENICUCCI: I'm Janea Menicucci.

J-A-N-E-A; last name is M-E-N-I-C-U-C-C-I. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. And you represent 3 which school? 4 MS. HERRERA: A Window of Hope. 5 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry? A Window of Hope. 6 MS. HERRERA: 7 THE CHAIR: Okay. So that's the first one on the list. And if you'll notice, it has a note on 8 the spreadsheet in your notebook that that obviously 9 is an issue with this. 10 11 Can you just briefly give us the 12 background on this, very briefly? 13 MS. HERRERA: A brief background on the 14 issue? 15 We started the charter school letter of intent back in August, when we met with Doug Wine at 16 17 the Charter School Coalition. And I was concerned mainly because most of us that are the founders are 18 19 district -- we work in the district of Albuquerque 20 Public Schools. So I wanted to make sure that it 21 wasn't going to be an issue with putting out my 22 letter of intent as far as my job that's on the 23 line. So I met with him, and he said it 24 25 shouldn't be; because I wanted to be a State charter



school, not to be affiliated with Albuquerque Public Schools.

So when we started going through the process, we noticed, the letter of intent, on the cover letter, it does state to let and notify the school district you're in. So we had some questions about that. And we had e-mailed, and we had discussed many times on the phone with Ms. Olivas, who is at the Department of Ed who is actually the lady that handles the submissions of the letter of intent.

We were under the impression that we did not have to let Mr. Brad Winters know about the charter school, because we were not going to be a part of APS.

We then shortly found out later that that is not correct. We found out on the 27th from -- I believe it was someone -- maybe in your office.

Ms. Montoya?

MS. LUCERO: Yes, Julianna Montoya; she's one of our administrators.

THE CHAIR: Let me just ask you right now.

On the instructions for the notice of intent, what

does it say about notifying the district and the

charter -- and the PEC?



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 1 2 Commission, it is very clearly stated that that is a 3 requirement. I did speak with Ms. Olivas, who is our secretary. And she informed me that she did not 4 5 say anything that it was not a requirement. is clearly stated on the website that that is a 6 7 requirement. 8 Okay. Tell me about what you THE CHAIR: read on the website as far as notification. 9 10 MS. HERRERA: On the website -- well, 11 none -- you're right. None of the new documents 12 were up until --I think it was 13 MS. MENICUCCI: 14 January 5th. 15 MS. HERRERA: So we were going off the old documents. But on the actual cover letter, it does 16 17 say, "When you file your notice of intent, you need to let the school district know that you're applying 18 in the school district." I don't know what it says 19 2.0 verbatim. So that was why we had some questions, and 21 22 that's why we had originally phoned Ms. Olivas, 23 because we weren't sure if that was for -- because it doesn't specifically say within the -- you know, 24



the charter schools that are not going to be

affiliated with the -- with APS, or whoever the 1 2 district is. We wanted to make sure that wasn't 3 just because we weren't going to be affiliated with 4 them. So we wanted to have that direction -- a 5 better direction, because I was worried that if I 6 7 let Mr. Winters know -- because I work in the tower 8 with him -- it wasn't going to impact my job. wanted to make sure I really had to actually let 9 10 them know, because we weren't going to be 11 affiliated. 12 So it did say on the notice THE CHAIR: 13 for a State-Chartered charter school that you had to 14 notify both the PEC and the district. 15 MS. HERRERA: It doesn't say for -- it 16 doesn't say for state or district; it just says that 17 "you are to notify." MS. MENICUCCI: So it kind of goes back to 18

your issue earlier that you were discussing about talking about the State charter schools. It's really unclear in the terminology.

THE CHAIR: It's only about State charter schools, though. I mean that's the application, the intent.

> MS. MENICUCCI: Right. And so -- and we



19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

also were -- we are dealing with the interim
superintendent. And when we started this process,
we had Mr. Brooks, who was in charge; and then we
had Mr. Winter. So we were trying to go through
back and forth; but it was our impression that we
didn't have to, because we were a State charter.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, let me
read it I do have it, because I anticipated this.

And this document that I'm holding in my

And this document that I'm holding in my hand, this is one of the documents that we revised a year ago. But I will also note that even though we revised this document a year ago, that that double notification has always been a part of the application process.

But let me -- this document, this

Commission approved on January 7th of 2014; in other

words, I don't know what was on the website because

I never go to the website. It should have been on

the website, whether it was on there or not. So

this document was available well before they started

their process.

And this is what it says. It's the very first paragraph, under the words "Instructions":

"According to NMSA 22-8B-6B, the Notice of Intent to submit a charter application must be filed



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by the organizer of a proposed charter school..." --1 2 you know, there's no distinction between State or the non-State there -- "...of a proposed charter 3 4 school to the Public Education Commission at the address below..." -- and then there's the word "AND" 5 in all capital letters underlined and in very black 7 And, it says, "...AND to the superintendent of the school district in which the charter school is 8 proposed to be located, "period. "Failure to notify 9 10 may result in your application being rejected." 11

And so in all honesty, I don't see what would be difficult to understand in that statement. I think it's very clear, very concise, and very precise. So that's what the exact statement says.

THE CHAIR: And what was the -- what was the due date for the notices?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: For this one, it must be submitted by 5:00 p.m. Mountain time on the second Tuesday of January of the current year. If you remember, we actually -- they used to have the actual year on there. That was confusing to people. We took that out and just said "the current year." So this applies to every year.

THE CHAIR: What was that date, Julie; do you remember? The second Tuesday?



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 MS. LUCERO: 15th. 2 MS. HERRERA: It is. 3 The 15th of January. MS. LUCERO: 4 THE CHAIR: And when was your notification 5 filed? We filed on January 5th. 6 MS. MENICUCCI: 7 THE CHAIR: To the district. MS. HERRERA: No, ma'am. 8 To Ms. Olivas' office, the letter of intent. I didn't let the 9 10 Superintendent know until I was e-mailed by the 11 office saying that our -- that was the 27th --12 stating that our NOI was incomplete because we did 13 not submit the letter of intent. 14 So I asked Ms. Montoya, Julianna Montoya, 15 if I could still do that, because I was under the --16 obviously not told correctly from a previous phone call -- but that I was under the assumption that I 17 didn't have to because it was a State charter 18 So I asked her could I still do that, and 19 school. 20 she said, "Yes, that would be fine." So I did that right away. I e-mailed 21 22 Mr. Winter and gave him my letter -- my notice of 23 intent, as well. And then I received a phone call 24 on March 2nd from Amy Chacon saying it was 25 incomplete, and it would most likely be denied for



this reason; and that's why I'm here today.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I just want to clarify. After we had gone through all the notices of intent to see if they were complete, making sure they fulfilled all the requirements, I made a mistake earlier. five -- there are actually six schools that did not comply with the district notice. We did -- at that point, Ms. Montoya reached out to schools to see if maybe it was lost within the submission process. But it would still have to prove the date before the 15th, not saying that what happened with the R-drive -- we have a drive that's specific to save all of our documents. We have all the notices of intent on there; somehow, they were deleted. So we had to upload. So we thought that possibly the district notice could have been lost in that transition.

So it was never the intent of giving an extension. Now, you can go get notification for your district. When Amy Chacon called, it was to notify districts that there was a possibility they could be disqualified because they did not fulfill the requirement of notifying the district. I think that now the decision really is yours, as the PEC,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to determine if these schools really are disqualified, those six schools, because they didn't comply with the requirement.

And it was there before January 5th; that's always been clear.

THE CHAIR: Please do.

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,

I just want to make sure that the Commission

understands the law so that they can make a proper

decision. The rule states that, "Failure to provide

timely notification may result in an application

being rejected."

And I think it's important to look at the word "may." It basically gives the Commission discretion. What the Commission needs to do is look and see if the facts that have been presented today show good cause for why the notification wasn't timely submitted. And so that's what's before the Commission today.

Lawyers put a lot of stock in the use of the word "may" versus "shall." If it was "shall," there's no question that if it's not timely, than the Commission can't accept it. But -- so the Commission needs to decide whether there is good cause to accept it or not, and, obviously, looking



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	back to precedent that the Commission has set before
2	in order to make that decision.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
5	Commissioner Carr?
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. So big red
7	flag. You said six schools. Are they on here? Are
8	they on this list?
9	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair,
10	Commissioner Carr yes, you'll notice the six
11	schools. There's a comment right next to their
12	name. You'll see the submission date of the actual
13	notice of intent, and then there's a comment either
14	saying says he has a document, looking for it, or
15	we left a voice-mail and didn't even get a response
16	back from them.
17	So the decision wouldn't be only for this
18	school moving forward; it would be for the other
19	five, as well.
20	Now we do allow these schools to notify
21	district at this point and let them move forward.
22	We need your guidance as far as what CSD does moving
23	forward, inviting them to trainings and so forth.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: Just to conclude what
25	I'm what I'm trying to say, I take pride in



1 trying to be completely fair and give everybody a chance to explain what's going on. And, of course, 2 then, the Commission can make up their mind about 3 4 whether or not the circumstances warrant going ahead 5 and allowing you to go forward. I -- based on what I heard today, I don't 6 7 believe so. And I have concerns that this 8 particular part of the process is the most simple 9 part of the process. And if everything can't be 10 fulfilled within that part, then it gives me 11 concerns about all the other things we go through 12 when you actually get the rest of your paperwork. So I'm going to say no. 13 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER CARR: Sorry. THE CHAIR: Just from a historical 16 17 perspective, I would note that this Commission has not accepted late submissions for the notice of 18 19 intent, either to us or to the district. I believe 20 there was either one or two last year. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 21 There was one last 22 year --23 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: One last year. THE CHAIR: -- that we had to exclude for 24 25 that very reason. So there is precedent for that.



1	Commissioner Bergman?
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, that word is
3	"precedent." We're not a court, and we're not
4	lawyers, for the most part. But my concern would be
5	that the first time we do make an exception, for
6	whatever reasons, then I would suggest that we be
7	negating the language that we have in here; and in
8	the future, they will say, "Well, you let this
9	school last year go forward; so we didn't notify
10	them, for whatever reason."
11	So I am very reluctant to set that kind of
12	a precedent. Either we have these requirements, or
13	we don't.
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Chair.
15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner?
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I tend to agree with
17	Commissioner Bergman or Bregman.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Old
19	what's-his-name, yeah.
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think if we're
21	going to establish a precedent, it has to be over
22	
4 4	something that is an extraordinary reason. There
23	something that is an extraordinary reason. There has to be truly extenuating circumstances; and I



1	the gray area where there was confusion in what
2	needed to be submitted and the timely fashion that
3	it had to be submitted in.
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other
5	comments?
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually and I
7	did notice as I went down and read some more, that
8	first paragraph is actually repeated, in essence,
9	again, on the front page. So it's actually on the
10	front page twice. That's how important that it was
11	deemed.
12	So I just point that out to you if you
13	read the document, yeah.
14	THE CHAIR: So I think I see no other
15	comments. I think the Commission needs to vote on
16	this item, and so that it's noted on the record.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So we're talking
18	about all six, then? I don't even know what six
19	we're talking about.
20	THE CHAIR: I think, really, the one
21	that's on the agenda is the one that
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: The one that's on the
23	agenda?
24	THE CHAIR: Well, no, it doesn't name any
25	one particular school.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: I can name them,
2	from
3	THE CHAIR: Well, I started to say,
4	perhaps we should just simply name all six that have
5	not done the proper notification and if that is
6	the Commission's preference.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Would you like me to
8	name them?
9	THE CHAIR: Is that what we want to do?
10	All six?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: They should be
12	specifically mentioned in the motion.
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Why don't I make a
14	motion and name them in the motion.
15	THE CHAIR: Why don't you do that,
16	Commissioner?
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: And I would like to
18	say I understand where you guys are coming from. If
19	you got this information from the Coalition, for
20	instance, the Coalition doesn't speak for the Public
21	Education Commission. If they're giving out
22	inadequate information, that's unfortunate.
23	MS. HERRERA: That's not who I received
24	the information from, though. It was from the
25	Secretary of the PED that accepts the letters of



1	intent.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: And I would just like
3	to preface this motion with please reapply next
4	year. I you know, I don't I don't know what
5	most of these schools are all about; so I have no
6	malice toward any of them.
7	So the so I move that we do not accept
8	the applications from the following schools:
9	A Window of Hope School; Bernalillo
L O	Academy; Molero [ph] Charter School; Mesa
1	International School.
L 2	THE CHAIR: That's not an issue with
L 3	notification, the
L 4	COMMISSIONER CARR: The Mesa International
L 5	School?
L 6	THE CHAIR: Right.
L 7	COMMISSIONER CARR: One, two, three,
L 8	four it's six.
L 9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's listed here.
20	THE CHAIR: It has nothing in Box 4.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You're looking at
22	one document, Madam Chair, and Jeff's looking at
23	another one.
24	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, he's looking
25	at



1	THE CHAIR: If you'll withdraw that for
2	just a minute?
3	Julie, give us a list of the six schools
4	we're considering.
5	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the
6	Commission, the list:
7	A Window of Hope; Bernalillo Academy;
8	Molero [ph] Charter School; Mesa International;
9	New Mexico School of Agriculture; and Pathways in
10	Education.
11	I am not sure why Diné does not have a
12	date there. I am thinking they did turn theirs in,
13	from what I remember; but we will need to probably
14	look at that one next month.
15	So, again, it's A Window of Hope;
16	Bernalillo Academy; Molero [ph] Charter School; Mesa
17	International; New Mexico School of Agriculture; and
18	Pathways in Education.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay?
21	THE CHAIR: I apologize for interrupting
22	you.
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: Are you going to make
24	me do this all over again?
25	THE CHAIR: Yes, indeed.





1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Sorry. Okay. I move
2	that we deny the applications from the following
3	schools: A Window of Hope School.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I hate to
5	interrupt. We're not denying the application.
6	We're refusing to accept, or something like that.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Sorry.
8	MS. LUCERO: "Disqualified" maybe would be
9	a good word, from the process.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, we're refusing
11	to accept the applications. Okay. I'll reword it.
12	Thanks.
13	I make a motion that we refuse to accept
14	the applications from the following schools:
15	A Window of Hope School; Bernalillo
16	Academy; Mesa International School; New Mexico
17	Gateway Academy; New Mexico School of Agriculture
18	and something else that I can't see Pathways.
19	THE CHAIR: Jeff, hold on. Hold on.
20	Could we do this? Could you make the preliminary
21	motion and let Julie name the schools, because I
22	still think your list is not accurate.
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: New Mexico Gateway
24	isn't one of them.
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, sorry.



1	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
2	I think the motion could just be simply that that
3	the Commission does not accept the six schools that
4	Julie listed that are on the record already. I
5	don't think there's a question.
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There you go.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Take five. I'm glad
8	the TV station is not filming this.
9	I move we do not accept the applications
10	from the school Julie Lucero mentioned schools
11	that Julie Lucero mentioned.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do we need to say
13	why? Due to the
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Due to the lack of a
15	complete notification to the local districts. Thank
16	you.
17	THE CHAIR: Okay. Is everybody all right
18	with that? So we have a motion.
19	Do we have a second?
20	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez?
22	Motion by Commissioner Carr, second by
23	Commissioner Chavez, to not accept the application
24	of the six schools that Julie listed that are on the
25	official record, for the reason of not receiving the



1	notification to the districts on time.
2	Any further discussion?
3	May we have a roll-call vote,
4	Mr. Secretary?
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
6	Armbruster?
7	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
9	Conyers?
10	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
12	Peralta votes "Yes."
13	Commissioner Gipson?
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Bergman?
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
22	Chavez?
23	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.



1 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Pogna? 2 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes. COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner 3 4 Toulouse? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that 6 is a 10-0 vote in favor of the motion. 7 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion passes 9 unanimously. Ladies, we thank you for your 10 interest. Hope to see you again. 11 Let's move on to Item No. 11, Report from 12 the Chair with Discussion and Possible Action. 13 The first item is something I think we 14 have really talked about before, but I wanted to get 15 it on the record officially. We have talked about 16 not using the PARCC as a performance indicator for 17 this first year. As we go through the negotiations, 18 19 contract negotiations with the schools, most have 20 said, "Please" -- "you know, we'd rather not use 21 PARCC this first year, anyway. We don't have any 22 idea what the results are going to be, nor do we 23 know the form that those negot- -- that those 24 results are going to be." 25 And certainly, so far in the negotiations,



1 we've agreed with that. So I would like to put it 2 to the Commission for your discussion and your opinion that we not use PARCC as a performance 3 4 indicator in the contracts being negotiated for this 5 first year with PARCC results. Discussion? 6 Commissioner Armbruster? 7 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: You said this to 8 It somewhat begs the question, then -- and I 9 10 agree with this, by the way. But then how will we 11 look at the charters that we already have to see if 12 they're going up or down, because it's going to --13 to me, it's the same issue. 14 THE CHAIR: The majority of the contract 15 negotiations I've participated in -- and 16 Commissioner Bergman has been in even more -- we've 17 really encouraged the schools to use their short-cycle assessment data for their negot- -- for 18 19 their indicators. And most -- they've all agreed to 2.0 do that. 21 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. So we're 22 giving it to -- everybody has the same options? 23 Oh, absolutely. THE CHAIR: 24 Commissioner Bergman? 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If the truth be



told, we haven't really been using the SBA results as separate indicators, normally. We have always tried to stress that the performance indicators -- as the Chair just noted -- we wanted something in the area of reading, something in the area of math. And we've encouraged approved short-cycle assessments, too, and multiple short-cycle assessments.

Most of the schools are giving an entry one as soon as the kids get to school. They give one in the middle, at the end -- I guess if they're on the -- around the Christmas holidays. And then they give the exit one at the end of the school year.

We're setting up the cohort is that the only requirement is that the kids in the cohort are the ones that take the first test, the second test, and the third test. If they miss the first test, unfortunately, they're not in the cohort; but then they have to go in the following year.

So that's been kind of our motivation for doing it and our -- and the way we're handling it.

And I kind of agree, since obviously, there's so many apparently difficulties -- some difficulties with the PARCC, I agree with let's not use it. I'm



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not sure I'd ever want to use it, to tell you the truth. But that's not -- it might not be for the same reason that some of the others of you don't want to use PARCC.

We're trying to do things that are easily ascertainable. On those three short-cycle assessments, that's pretty easily -- and it's easily proven when it's time to prove. We tell them, "You have to be able to prove to your CSD liaison that you either met this goal or you didn't meet this goal. And -- and then the liaison and you need to be able to prove to the members of the Commission that you either met that goal or you didn't meet that goal."

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I think further proof of that is that the Secretary of Education has indicated that she's being thoughtful and not including the PARCC assessment as part of teacher evaluations.

So it would seem that that's part and parcel -- "part," not PARCC -- of the same conversation.

THE CHAIR: We're simply trying to work with the schools, because they're a little uncertain as to how those results are going to come back this



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	year. And certainly, they don't want to tie their
2	renewal or whatever to that uncertainty.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'll be brief. The
6	I agree. And, you know, like I say, as we're
7	meeting here today, there's a court case pending
8	between a Washington-based testing company up
9	against PARCC, saying that they didn't abide by the
10	bidding process. You know, so there's so many
11	question marks up in the air, I certainly agree that
12	we shouldn't use the PARCC.
13	But I'd ask Julie, do you have any idea
14	what the PED's going to do in regards to school
15	grades and since the PARCC results aren't going
16	to come out until late fall?
17	THE CHAIR: Jeff, if you wouldn't mind,
18	that's one of the items.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh.
20	THE CHAIR: And it's really "B".
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, okay. I'll wait
22	till then.
23	THE CHAIR: If you can hold that
24	discussion till we get there, please?
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah.



1	THE CHAIR: Anyone else on not using PARCC
2	for an indicator?
3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think it's fair
4	and reasonable.
5	THE CHAIR: I would prefer to have it as a
6	vote. Since it's listed as "possible action," I
7	would appreciate if the Commission would take a vote
8	and have a firm stand to direct our negotiating
9	teams.
10	Would anybody care to make that motion?
11	Commissioner Bergman?
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I
13	would move that the Public Education Commission does
14	not use the PARCC as a performance indicator during
15	the coming year.
16	THE CHAIR: All right.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: (Indicates.)
18	THE CHAIR: Second? Commissioner Pogna?
19	Motion by Commissioner Bergman, second by
20	Commissioner Pogna, to not use the PARCC as a
21	performance indicator for this coming year, the
22	let me clarify that in my mind, would be the
23	contracts that are being negotiated now through the
24	end of this cycle of negotiation.
25	Was that your intent?



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes, and it would 1 2 also apply to the 23 performance frameworks that we 3 will also be working on this summer, from previously 4 negotiated years, yeah. 5 THE CHAIR: Okay. Any further discussion? Hearing none, may we have just a voice 6 7 vote, sir? 8 MR. GRANATA: (Indicates.) All those in favor, please say "Aye." 9 (Commissioners so indicate.) 10 11 THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No." 12 (No response.) 13 THE CHAIR: Motion carries. Thank you 14 very much. 15 Now, let's go on to the second, Item B. 16 And I don't want to belabor the point, and 17 Commissioner Carr has already touched on it. got to talk about what we're going to do with those 18 19 performance frameworks that need to be either 20 negotiated -- or mostly renegotiated -- for existing 21 schools. 22 The first part -- the first section in 23 that performance frameworks documents is the 24 academic framework. And for existing schools, the 25 first part of that section is based on their current



1 report card.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Because the results of the PARCC test are going to be so late coming out this year, it has been suggested, or recommended, to us that we consider either an October or November date would be the earliest that those report cards might be available.

Now, Julie, is that consistent with what you're hearing?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, there will be a report card, and it will be later in the fall. What's exactly included in that report card is still not very clear; but there will be a report card grade. That's definite.

THE CHAIR: In the fall?

MS. LUCERO: In the fall.

THE CHAIR: When in the fall?

MS. LUCERO: November time frame.

THE CHAIR: Ah, okay. So whatever form that report card takes, it's going to be very, very late. We generally have started those negotiations -- what we have tried to do with

renegotiations of frameworks is to have those completed when the school year begins, so that those

25 schools know what the requirements are when they



begin that school year so they can begin to work toward achievements of those requirements.

Well, if we don't have the report card to populate the first part of the academic framework, does that hold up the whole process? Do we go ahead and negotiate those -- renegotiate those performance frameworks without the information from the report card and come back in and insert that information when it's available, knowing we're not going to renegotiate those things twice?

We simply cannot do it. So I lay that issue at your doorstep.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me jump on that issue, then.

THE CHAIR: Okay, Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: First, let me explain that even though we're using the term "negotiation," and it is a term -- it is -- when we say that term, most of you are visualizing those four-hour sessions where we're sitting down on these -- when we're just going to be the performance framework. This summer, we did -- all those were done on the phone. I think the longest conversation we had lasted about an hour.

THE CHAIR: No, it was three hours.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Did we have one 1 2 that lasted three hours? I've forgotten that one 3 now. 4 THE CHAIR: I'll never forget it. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I have, apparently. I must have zipped that off onto the clouds. 6 There is a reason for that. 7 mentioned already today, we have 23 of those this 8 summer. And that's the question. What do we do on 9 those 23 schools? 10 11 My suggestion is going to be that we don't 12 invest a lot of time this summer when we won't have 13 the data to look at. They will have their 14 short-cycle assessment data, and that's what most of 15 their goals are going to be tied to; so I would note 16 that. We can probably move forward and look at 17 their goals and what they've talked about. But the thought of negotiation, at least 18 19 my intent, as I involve myself in this -- that 20 goals -- they don't always have to change their We evaluate them. If we find that --21 22 because they've asked us -- I've told them, "If we 23 find that you've made all these goals, then probably they should be kicked up a little." 24 25 We want to continually challenge them, as



we've touched on all day. How do we get schools to improve if they're not continually challenged to reach higher, reach for the stars?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

But if they haven't reached those goals, then we probably would just either keep those goals in place, or we would have to ask the question, if they didn't reach -- if a school, for instance, didn't reach all of its goals, did we set too ambitious and too rigorous a goal?

So there will always be lots of questions. So I don't envision this to be a really long, I wish I hadn't forgotten the drawn-out process. three-hour one. But I don't envision it to be that.

> THE CHAIR: Two hours.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The problem for us not only going now, but going forward, we're now negotiating -- we have another 26 schools that go in Not this summer, but next the pot next year. summer, we have 49 schools that will have a performance framework that we will have to address during the summer.

The year after that, we will have probably another 20 on top of that. That's why I keep suggesting CSD is woefully understaffed. Even though their staffing chart says their fully





staffed, they are right woefully understaffed as to the future needs, their needs and our needs both.

And I actually tried to tell that to the Legislature in my testimony before the House Education Committee and I got shut off in the middle of my testimony. Well, we don't want to talk about that.

THE CHAIR: Could I just suggest -- I don't think we have time to develop a performance framework cycle today. I don't know if we really even want to.

Could I suggest that we ask Commissioner
Bergman, as Chair of the Charter School Committee
and the one that sort of has taken the lead role in
these negotiations, could we ask Commissioner
Bergman to develop a plan that says we will consider
every -- every school that comes up for
renegotiation, we will ask CSD -- perhaps we will
ask CSD -- to look at those schools who have not met
their goals and to forward those on to the PEC
Charter School Committee.

And then that committee will determine how much renegotiation needs to be done and contact the schools and do it.

Then when the grades come out, those



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 schools that have D and F grades will be required, 2 as they are now, to write an improvement plan. 3 That's all. 4 So really, I don't guess we need to do a whole lot about the school's report cards. 5 It's just automatic. It's just good information to have 6 7 when you're doing the renewal and the negotiation. But could we ask Commissioner Bergman --8 and you need something else to do, I know -- but to 9 10 come up with just an outline of a plan on how we're 11 going to deal with these renegotiations and bring it 12 to us next month for the Commission's consideration? 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You certainly could 14 ask me, and if I was in a bad mood, I might say no. 15 But I'm in a good mood, so I will say yes. I will 16 ask Julie, are you going to be in the office next 17 Do you have any days next week when you're 18 not in the office? 19 MS. LUCERO: In the office Tuesday only. 20 I'm in site visits Monday, and in Las Cruces from Tuesday to Friday next week. 21 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You'll be in next 23 Tuesday? 24 MS. LUCERO: Tuesday. 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Why don't I give



you a call, if you wouldn't mind? And you think 1 about it a little bit, how we might address this 2 issue, and you and I can talk it out. And I'll talk 3 4 it out with the members of the Charter School 5 Committee and then share it with everybody. I'll make a note to call you next Tuesday, 6 7 then. Commissioners, can I just get 8 THE CHAIR: a consensus, if that's all right with you? 9 Is there anyone that would like to take a different direction 10 11 on this? 12 Okay. I think we're all right on that, 13 then. 14 Let's just move forward on that then. 15 Item No. C, again, it's something much like using the PARCC as an indicator. 16 Item C, I 17 think this Commission has already talked about. And I can't remember if it was in a work session or if 18 it was an actual meeting, and we took action on it. 19 2.0 Currently, in the requirements for the charter -- for the contract negotiation, it says 21 22 that schools must have one mission-specific 23 indicator. I believe strongly that we changed that. This Commission said, "We're going to require two 24 25 mission-specific indicators"; but I have not had



time to look through all the minutes and find it or whatever.

Commissioner Bergman thinks also that that's what happened, that we changed it to two.

So as long as we're going to have to look at it today, anyway, I would ask for your consideration of changing that in a formal way to two academically-oriented, mission-specific indicators; not requiring schools to do academic indicators, but to be more oriented, at least, towards the academics.

I know you've all done negotiations, and we've seen schools bring forward indicators that were really, really specific to their mission statement; but they completely missed any academic indicators. We heard a lot about community service and many other things like that. But some schools simply missed that whole academic thrust.

And so I'd like to put that before you and get your thoughts. Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me start off the discussion on that by noting that, for the benefit of the newer Commissioners -- yesterday, at our Amy Biehl negotiation, their attorney, Patti Matthews -- Patti Matthews and Sue Fox have a law



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

firm in Albuquerque. They represent most, if not all, of the State-chartered charter schools, and they have for many years.

She actually started our session yesterday by raising -- she didn't raise it as an issue. She said, "I'm not trying to throw a monkey wrench into this." "But," she said, "does statute actually state that the PEC could require academic goals from the school?"

So before we even started our negotiation we had kind of a philosophical discussion with Patti.

And my contention -- and we'll get into this with Josh; he doesn't have anything to do, so maybe he can look into this, too -- was whether or not there is actually a State statute that mandates that schools have to provide academic mission-specific indicators to the Public Education Commission. We are the State authorizer, and we are statutorily established. We've been given that duty.

So my contention would be that to fulfill those authorizing duties, if we wish to impose goals on these schools, we have the right to do that.

She threw up her hands and smiled and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

said, "I'm not trying to challenge the system or anything." But that's how she's thinking from the other side of the table.

So we do run into that. So I agree with the Chair. I believe that we already did it; but we need to do it again. I believe we are perfectly within our right to ask any State-authorized charter school to submit to us two mission-specific academic indicators. Otherwise, as all the Commissioners have asked today, how do we know if a school -- what they're doing if they don't have indicators?

And so that's -- I would like us -- yes,
let's vote today and say, "The PEC wishes to require
of all State-authorized charter schools that when we
do these negotiations, that they have to submit
two" -- that's a Chair word; I can't remember
how -- "two mission-specific academic indicators."

And then that stalls the issue until somebody like Patti files a suit against us.

THE CHAIR: Would you like to make that in the form of a motion, so we don't continue with discussion? Why don't you put that on the table, and we'll move forward?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do you want to do the discussion after I make the motion?





```
1
               THE CHAIR: Sure, we'll always have
 2
     opportunity.
 3
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I've said one
 4
     quick -- when you say "indicator," do you mean a
 5
    measure?
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, we use
 6
 7
     "indicator." We're talking goals.
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: A measurable
 8
 9
     goal of --
10
               THE CHAIR: In the verbiage, it says
11
     "indicators."
12
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I just wanted to
13
    know what we're talking about for sure.
14
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: To me, it's
15
     interchangeable. To a lawyer, it may not be
16
     interchangeable.
17
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, do you have
18
     something?
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, it's quite
19
20
     clear; I've been an educator for so long. All
21
     schools are required to give goals or mission
22
     statements, regardless of whether they're a charter
     or not. I mean, that's in the law; so they have to
23
24
    do it, you know.
25
               So I don't -- you know, Josh may come up
```



1	with something different. I kind of doubt it on
2	this one. But I concur that, yes, we can require
3	them to do goals.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I don't mean to
5	imply that I think Patti is going to run out and
6	file a lawsuit against us. She indicated she
7	wasn't. She just did raise the issue. I wanted all
8	the Commissioners to be aware; she did raise that
9	issue.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, also, they can
11	appeal the negotiation if they don't like it; right?
12	So
13	THE CHAIR: Well, if they don't agree to
14	it, you declare an impasse and it goes to the
15	Secretary, so all right.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, do you
17	want me to make the motion?
18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner, do you want to
19	make the motion, please?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I
21	would move that the Public Education Commission
22	establish the requirement that each State-authorized
23	charter school shall submit at least two
24	mission-specific how did you word it?
25	academically



1	THE CHAIR: I said "academically
2	oriented," but you can say
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Two
4	mission-specific, academically oriented, annual
5	indicators, and/or goals, however we wish to define
6	that word.
7	THE CHAIR: Performance indicators.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah.
9	THE CHAIR: All right. We have a motion
10	on the floor from Commissioner Bergman.
11	May we have a second?
12	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Second.
13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner? Motion by
14	Commissioner Bergman, second by Commissioner
15	Conyers, to require of all State-chartered charter
16	schools the submission of two academically oriented
17	mission-specific performance indicators.
18	Discussion?
19	Hearing none, may we do this by a voice
20	vote? Roll call? Hearing none
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I did have
22	sorry. My it's just a language. I'm not arguing
23	the point. So we're a mission-specific
24	academically oriented indicator, is that a
25	performance indicator that we're talking about?



1	THE CHAIR: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Shouldn't that
3	word be in there?
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The Chair changed
5	my she put the word "performance" in there. If
6	you want me to reword it, I will reword it.
7	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm fine with
8	this whole thing, of course.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The "performance"
10	is what we want. It's key that that word be in
11	there.
12	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I think you put
13	it in, Carolyn. I thought it was missing from what
14	you said.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would amend my
16	motion to indicate "performance indicator." Is that
17	satisfactory, Josh?
18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Conyers, do you
19	agree with the amendment to the motion?
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Oh, yes.
21	THE CHAIR: We have an amended motion
22	before us. Any further discussion?
23	Commissioner Peralta, may we have a
24	roll-call vote, please?
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner



1	Conyers.
2	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
4	Peralta votes "Yes."
5	Commissioner Gipson?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Bergman?
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
11	Shearman?
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
14	Chavez?
15	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commission Pogna?
19	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
21	Toulouse?
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Armbruster?
25	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that
2	is 10-to-0 in favor of the motion.
3	THE CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously.
4	Thank you very much.
5	That is all for me.
6	Item 12, Report from the Charter School
7	Committee, Discussion and Possible Action.
8	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Madame
9	Commissioner, I need to leave now.
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you for being here.
11	We'll see you next month.
12	Please note that Commissioner Conyers must
13	leave now. So our quorum is nine.
14	THE CHAIR: Let's take a 10-minute break.
15	(Recess taken, 3:00 p.m. to 3:07 p.m.)
16	THE CHAIR: Please note that Commissioner
17	Pogna left.
18	Ladies and gentlemen, let's get started
19	back. We're really this meeting is running
20	pretty long.
21	Please note that Commissioner Chavez had
22	to step out of the room, but Commissioner Bergman is
23	back in the room. We have seven Commissioners in
24	the room right now.
25	Josh has asked could we move the executive



1	session up to the next item on the agenda. He
2	his time is short, and he needs to be leaving.
3	Would that be all right to move Item 18 up
4	to did you do your up to Item 12? Is that all
5	right with everybody?
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, then I'll be
7	
	13, I guess?
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. Then we need a motion
9	to go into executive session.
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I move we go
11	into executive session.
12	THE CHAIR: It has to read exactly what's
13	here.
14	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Oh. I don't
15	know where it is.
16	THE CHAIR: On the agenda.
17	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Let someone else
18	do it.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'll do it.
20	THE CHAIR: All right, Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I have to cite the
22	10-15?
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Gilbert said he'd



COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, I move

do it.

24

1	that we go into Executive Session, under
2	10-15-1(H)(7), regarding threatened or pending
3	litigation.
4	THE CHAIR: Motion from Commissioner
5	Peralta. Who seconds?
6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
7	THE CHAIR: From Commissioner Gipson, to
8	go into Executive Session, as noted on the official
9	record.
10	Mr. Secretary, may we have a roll-call
11	vote?
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
13	Gipson?
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
16	Bergman?
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
24	Toulouse?
25	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Armbruster?
3	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Peralta.
6	Madam Chair, that is seven votes of
7	current present Commissioners to go into Executive
8	Session.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion passes
10	unanimously to go into Executive Session. We'll
11	take a few minutes for anyone nonessential to leave
12	the room. We'll let you know as soon as we come
13	back into regular session.
14	(Executive Session conducted
15	off the record.)
16	THE CHAIR: We are out of Executive
17	Session. No decisions were made; no votes were
18	taken.
19	We are now back on the agenda. What was
20	originally No. 12 is where we are now, Report from
21	Charter School Committee, Discussion and Possible
22	Action.
23	Commissioner Bergman?
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just in the
25	interests of keeping this quick, the next



negotiations now are on the 24th and the 25th of this month in Albuquerque. And as I have it now, I have myself, the Chair, Commissioner Gipson, and Commissioner Armbruster; you're going to be at those. So that's all still correct? Okay.

And then the other one that will be held before our next meeting, we have negotiations on the 6th and 7th of April; and that's the two days before the Spring Budget Workshop. The Chair was not going to be able to be at those negotiations. So I ask -- the schedule is -- now, is myself, Commissioner Chavez, Commissioner Armbruster, and Commissioner Toulouse are going to be at the morning one on the 6th; and the afternoon one, Commissioner Toulouse is recusing herself, because she has a connection to Tierra Adentro.

Then on the 7th, here, again, it's myself, Commissioner Armbruster, and Commissioner Toulouse.

Commissioner Chavez, you didn't sign up for the 7th? I didn't put your name down, if you did. You may have just indicated you wanted to attend the first one on the 6th.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. And you know what? I shouldn't be there, anyway, because I know the --





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. We'll drop
2	you off the 7th.
3	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. Yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. So for the
5	7th, myself, Commissioner Armbruster. And then in
6	the morning, here, again, Commissioner Toulouse will
7	be there for South Valley Prep; but in the afternoon
8	session for La Promesa, Commissioner Toulouse has
9	again recused herself there.
10	So as of the schedule right now, it will
11	be myself and Commissioner Armbruster will be there.
12	So be prepared to go to work. It will be
13	two of us there.
14	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I have down
15	8:30; is that correct?
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 8:30 for
17	South Valley, and since Carmie cannot attend the
18	afternoon one on the 7th, is there anyone that wants
19	to join in there on the 7th, which is an afternoon?
20	If not, Commissioner Armbruster and I will take care
21	of it.
22	Okay. We'll two-team them. We'll
23	double-team them.
24	So that's all I have to report on that.
25	I've already said that so far, I've been satisfied



1 with the six negotiations we've done. For the most part, the schools were cooperative and willing to 2 do -- one, we spent a lot of time on, and we 3 4 apparently have to spend a little more time on the last one. But we'll get that done. 5 6

So that's where we stand.

Oh, I am asking that we have a work session on the day before our April meeting. don't have a calendar in front of me. I don't even know what date that will be.

THE CHAIR: The 17th is our --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The 17th; Friday,

13 the 17th.

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But I mean on the 16th, I would like to have a work session. In the last couple of meetings, we've thrown things at I don't know how extensive it will be. might want to start in the morning and just go -schedule for all day and quit when we run out of stuff to do, if that's amenable.

One specific request we have, a Mr. Rick Rios -- I don't know -- I think some of you may know Rick -- he used to be with the Charter Coalition, and then he has done a couple of things. currently with, I believe, a charter school advocacy





group. He was after me for several months to sit down and have a meeting with him.

And so I finally sat down with him on the day before our Las Cruces negotiations. He was there. And what he has -- he has some ideas about governance councils and how they can be improved.

And so we discussed it, some of what he has in mind. I told him -- I said, "Well, statutorily, we can't do that."

But some of what he has in mind, we might be able to do -- talk to governance councils. And so I want CSD to be there. He has a packet of information. It's actually pretty good information. So I would like to invite him. He will take an hour or less. He said he'd answer questions. I found what he presented to me fairly interesting. If nothing else, it helps us as we do all these various deliberations with the charter schools.

So I would certainly propose that this Mr. Rick Rios be on our work session. We always have outside people there, anyway. That's not unusual for us.

Then you all just tell me what else -- I would like for us to have a work session on the 16th, then.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	THE CHAIR: We're having a work session on
2	the 16th. That's pretty well-defined, and a
3	day-long session. But, Julie, I hope you're keeping
4	a list; and Beverly, if you would, as well, and put
5	that request on there?
6	I know Josh has a list, and I think I've
7	got a list somewhere; so
8	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm sorry.
9	What did we decide a time on that one on the
10	16th?
11	THE CHAIR: Generally, we start at 9:00,
12	don't we?
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would suggest, if
14	possible, when we get to the agenda setting part of
15	it, for his convenience, since he doesn't work
16	part-time, that we maybe put Mr. Rios first on the
17	agenda, and he can leave whenever he wants to leave.
18	That would just be my suggestion.
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez?
20	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I just wanted to let
21	folks know that I will probably have to miss both of
22	those days. There is a conflict with my work
23	schedule. And I'll probably have to be out of town
24	then.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you for letting us know.



1 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Carolyn? 2 Where -- on April the 16th and 17th, where is that? 3 THE CHAIR: We'll be back in Santa Fe. 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Our meeting is in 5 Santa Fe, isn't it? Well, then, it would be -- we 6 would ask Beverly to reserve us the room the day 7 before. 8 MS. FRIEDMAN: You have the room reserved, both rooms. 9 10 THE CHAIR: If that's everything, let's 11 move on to Item 13 on the agenda. 12 Commissioner Peralta, report on PSCOC 13 Meeting and the Lease Assistance Application. 14 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes, we had a 15 conference call meeting last week, myself and Julie 16 from CSD and a few people from the PSFA. We were 17 reviewing three documents on the charter school 18 lease applications process. And there were three 19 documents. I asked Josh, prior to that, to forward 20 those documents to you. Hopefully you all got a chance to get those documents in your e-mails. 21 22 A lot of the conversation and a lot of the 23 talk was about the three documents. There was the 24 lease application. There was the conflict of 25 interest questionnaire. And then there was a



letter -- a submittal letter to the charter schools that they had to submit for their application process.

A lot of the stuff was pretty much just wordsmithing and kind of moving pieces of the documents into single pages itself, so that it's more independent and more clear to the people that are applying for the charter school leases.

any feedback. If you've looked at the documents, if there's anything you feel that you might want to address or bring up, if you could shoot me an e-mail prior to the 27th of March; because on the 31st, I believe they want to have this document presented to the AMS Subcommittee, which Mr. Bergman is going to sit in my place for that particular meeting there on the 31st. That would be one of the things that will be brought up in that committee.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Is there going to be a vote on that?

21 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Just a 22 presentation.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You'll need to tell
me how you probably would have voted.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I think this



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

document is something -- Julie, jump in, if you'd like. You're probably weren't familiar with the application itself. It's been in the process here for the last few years. Just some things that the charter schools have mentioned that they wanted -- they weren't sure about some things in the applications.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, the major changes which I thought were positive, if charter schools have three facilities they will have to turn in three separate lease applications, which is new. And Martica thought that that was better accountability for our charter schools. So that was one change.

The other was making it mandatory to submit by a deadline. If they did not submit it by that deadline, then they didn't receive lease reimbursement, which is really a big -- a big deal, which I also think is important. I'm not sure if that's going to work when schools don't comply, because that'll impact many of our charters, possibly not having a facility.

Those are the two major changes.

The only concern I had with it was the date. I think it's due at the end of May. I'm not



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sure that's enough time for schools to submit on 1 2 time, especially with this new requirement of if you don't meet the deadline, you don't get lease 3 reimbursement. So that would be the only thing that 4 I would raise, maybe offering a little bit more time 5 looking into June; although I don't know how that 7 impacts PSFA as far as money being, you know, sent 8 out by August. 9 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So it's a good 10 document, in my opinion. I think it's --11 THE CHAIR: So if you have comments or --12 comments to make --13 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yeah. 14 THE CHAIR: -- or suggestions, get those 15 to Commissioner Peralta before the 27th. 16 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: And then we have 17 one surprise meeting that was just -- wasn't on the 18 calendar that just got put in on the 25th. I'll be 19 attending the PSCOC -- it's a work meeting. 20 purpose of that is to look at available funding for 21 awarded projects and February revenue estimates. 22 it's just basically some financial documents we're 23 looking at to see what we can --24 THE CHAIR: Keeping you busy. 25 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Well, it's only the



1	second meeting; so I'm still getting my feet wet.
2	So
3	THE CHAIR: Good deal. All right. We're
4	ready? Let's move on then, please. I think we
5	probably have liaison and committee reports. But is
6	there anyone with a committee or a liaison job that
7	would like to report?
8	Seeing none, Old Business? I have
9	certainly nothing on the minutes. Anything else?
10	All right. PEC Comments.
11	Item A and I understand that that has
12	been recommended to be deleted from the discussion?
13	Did you talk to Josh?
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, I talked to Josh
15	about it; but
16	THE CHAIR: Your recommendation to me
17	was
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Had a minimum
19	discussion on it. But basically, what I would like
20	to do now is to please table these two items and
21	have them maybe placed higher up on the agenda for
22	the next meeting.
23	THE CHAIR: For April?
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: For April. I don't
25	think they're pressing, and I know everybody wants



```
to get home. And I'd like all the Commissioners to
 1
 2
    be present, or as many as possible. Maybe, you
     know, I might even -- maybe we'll delay them
 3
     until -- until May, if you're going to be at the May
 4
     meeting; because I don't care it's pressing enough.
 5
               So we could -- if -- I think most of the
 6
 7
     Commissioners will be here -- I especially want the
    new Commissioners to be here; but for -- let's table
 8
     it until May, if that's okay with the Chair.
 9
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           I'm still asking you about
11
     Item A, because Josh told me he was recommending
12
     that we not discuss that.
13
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, my discussion
14
     with him is -- based on what I wanted to bring up,
15
     was quite --
16
               THE CHAIR: Let's get clarity from him.
17
     And are you -- are you --
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, we did -- I got
18
19
     clarity from him. If you want to get clarity from
    him, also, that's fine.
20
               THE CHAIR: I will.
21
               COMMISSIONER CARR: But, yeah, there's
22
23
     still a couple of items there that I want to
     discuss. I'll just quickly -- basically -- I might
24
25
     as well just say it then.
```



1	THE CHAIR: Let's don't, till he's here.
2	Because he's so concerned about Item A, let's make
3	sure
4	COMMISSIONER CARR: But we had a
5	discussion about it.
6	THE CHAIR: I really would prefer to let's
7	do this when he's here
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.
9	THE CHAIR: if you don't mind.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: We don't have so
11	and I believe if I decide to table these two items,
12	it's not just up to me. I think we need
13	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: If we decide to table
15	these two items, it's not just up to me; it's up to
16	the entire Commission, because I'm taking things off
17	the agenda. So we need to vote to make sure that's
18	okay with everybody else, too.
19	THE CHAIR: So are you making that motion?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: I make that motion
21	that we table Items A and B until May.
22	THE CHAIR: Okay. Do we have a second?
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
24	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? Motion
25	by Commissioner Carr, seconded by Commissioner



1	Gipson, to table Item 16A and B until the
2	May meeting.
3	Any discussion?
4	COMMISSIONER CARR: Discussion and
5	please make sure that it's closer to the top of the
6	agenda, so we can actually get to it and people
7	aren't leaving.
8	THE CHAIR: Could you make that note,
9	Beverly, please?
10	Okay. Commissioner Peralta, may we have a
11	roll-call vote?
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'll be on guard
13	here.
14	THE CHAIR: Did you pack up too soon?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Make sure we have
16	everybody.
17	Commissioner Toulouse?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
20	Armbruster?
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
23	Peralta votes "Yes."
24	Commissioner Gipson?
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
2	Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
5	Shearman?
6	THE CHAIR: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
8	Chavez?
9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner Carr?
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, that's
13	8-to-0 in favor.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
15	unanimously. We'll table those items till May and
16	put them earlier on the agenda.
17	Item 17. Beverly did we have anyone sign
18	up for Open Forum?
19	Oh, thank you. Tom
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: I still wanted to
21	THE CHAIR: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm just
22	speeding through this, aren't I?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: I want to get home,
24	too. But I'm going to make this short, because I
25	just feel like it's a short story.



1	After weeks of prepping for the test and
2	taking the test, I was pretty worn out, exhausted,
3	and kind of depressed when I came into town last
4	night. And I went to dinner. And I ran into one of
5	my students that I had two years ago. And she
6	she goes, "Mr. Carr," you know. And she yells, and
7	she comes running to me and gives me a big hug.
8	And she's talking to the person next to
9	her, "He was my great AP teacher."
10	"Oh, I had a great AP teacher, too"; back
11	and forth.
12	It's always those moments for me, as a
13	teacher, when I realize that's why we're doing what
14	we're doing. And all of a sudden, all my energy
15	came back. And I just wanted to shared that story
16	with you guys. And if it's the it's the best
17	merit pay as a matter of fact, it's the only
18	merit pay that I like and will accept as a teacher.
19	Thank you.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
21	Commissioner Chavez, any comments to make?
22	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't.
23	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.
24	Commissioner Gipson?
25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.



1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I've made plenty.
3	Thank you.
4	THE CHAIR: Let me just say I was
5	approached by Patti Matthews during one of the
6	breaks and was asked about communications from
7	parents and schools directly to PEC Commissioners.
8	Was that allowed or was that not allowed?
9	And I said, "I don't know, don't know that
L 0	we have taken a stand on that."
L1	But I would ask that Beverly put it on our
L 2	list of items to talk about at the work session in
L 3	April, and so that everyone can have input on that.
L 4	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, would you say
L 5	that again? What topic?
L 6	THE CHAIR: Comments from parents and
L 7	students or parents and schools directly to PEC
L 8	Commissioners?
L 9	Commissioner Peralta?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes. I would just
21	note yeah, now, I do have a comment. I didn't
22	know you were going to say that; but now I'll take
23	my time on the PEC Comments.
24	As elected officials, anybody can approach
25	me and talk to me anytime they want. That's my



1	attitude towards it, anyway.
2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Your name, address,
3	and phone number is everywhere.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So I would be like
5	Commissioner Toulouse. You can't tell me I can't
6	talk to people or they can't approach me.
7	THE CHAIR: I think there I think there
8	may be some caveats to that.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Which we can
10	discuss, fine.
11	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair?
12	THE CHAIR: Commissioner?
13	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't think that
14	there are any caveats, at all. I would I mean,
15	how do you stop constituents from approaching you
16	and expressing their concerns about, you know,
17	issues with regard to charter schools? So I I
18	think that we don't
19	THE CHAIR: I think we just need to talk
20	it through and be sure we're all on the same page.
21	Commissioner Peralta?
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'm good.
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
24	just have a brief comment. And I started thinking
25	about this several weeks ago. I think, based on



what we don't know and never did get on our request 1 2 for public records on the exact -- where the 3 2 percent went, I would like to put on the agenda that we discuss and possibly vote to request an 4 audit from the State Auditor of the 2 percent funds. 5 THE CHAIR: Are you talking about on a 6 7 meeting agenda? Not a work session? 8 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: On a meeting agenda; because we would need to do that as an 9 So I would like to see that on a 10 official request. 11 future agenda, because I still, coming up on -- as 12 the next budgets are being prepared, and through 13 absolutely no fault of the person who has to answer 14 the public records requests, we never really got an 15 answer on the 2 percent funds. 16 And I think it's been going on long enough 17 that I really think we should request. Auditor doesn't want to do it, fine; but due 18 19 diligence from us should be that we request, since 20 we can't get the information, an audit of where our 21 funds are going. 22 THE CHAIR: But now, remember, they are 23 not our funds.



funds, in the sense of what the law says they come

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:

24

25

They are our

back to fund --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: They go to the Department.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well, but they are to fund -- I've read the law. I know what they're saying, and we're in a discussion period now. But that money is supposed to be made available through what our activities are to do the work of the charter schools. And we are not getting that.

And because we can't even find out exactly how much they are and exactly where all of those are being put, and we already are in a mess with the charter school -- set of charter schools who wanted a forensic audit -- we've discussed it -- our due diligence is we at least request an authority that can audit the 2 percent funds and see what is being done with them at PED.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Because every school district that gets them, they can use them how they want. But I don't think that applies quite to PED as the recipient, because we're the authorized body; and the school districts, they are the authorizing body. So in my interpretation of what I look at, it is our responsibility to at least know where their funds are going, even if we're,



1	right now, not allowed to have any say on that.
2	Thank you.
3	And it's just if it can go on the
4	agenda, then we can have a discussion about that.
5	THE CHAIR: Beverly, have you got that?
6	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And a request, if
7	necessary.
8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster?
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I'm fine.
10	THE CHAIR: Okay. Anybody else?
11	All right. Now, we have finished PEC
12	Comments.
13	Now we're ready for Open Forum. And Tom
14	Kuehn?
15	MR. KUEHN: "Keen" [ph].
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Please come
17	forward.
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: You've been very
19	patient.
20	MR. KUEHN: As you all have. You're here
21	frequently; I'm here today.
22	THE CHAIR: Would you spell your name for
23	our recorder?
24	MR. KUEHN: It's K-U-E-H-N.
25	THE CHAIR: I will remind you, you have



three minutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KUEHN: Thank you, Chairwoman, members of the Commission. My name is Tom Kuehn, and my three -- I have a third-grade son as a student at the International School at Mesa Del Sol. I want to thank you for providing me with this occasion to publicly speak with the Commission.

As brief as it is, it will be much greater than the opportunity afforded to us by the Charter School Division.

In January, members of our parent community crafted a letter to each of the Commissioners. These letters strongly encouraged each district's Commissioner to approve our amendment for expansion to include the ninth grade. And thank you for that vote today.

We then asked the school's population to sign these letters to be presented to the February PEC meeting, and, as it turns out, at today's meeting. Nearly a third of our parents signed these letters. And that's a big step up in participation for our community.

I am deeply disappointed to say that the CSD shockingly discouraged our Head of School from submitting these letters directly to all of you. I



am personally dumbfounded that a State employee would discourage a block of concerned parents from communicating directly with their elected officials.

Further, I'm saddened such an effort came from the organization whose purpose is to support schools such as ours and wields enough authority to cause our Head of School to accede to this recommendation.

My son transferred to TIS from Hope

Christian School, a K-12 private school here in

Albuquerque, at the start of last year, which was a

very difficult year for the International School.

But he thrived. The reason behind the transfer and
this success is that IB curriculum.

This year, there is a calm and decorum at the school that is unnoticed by testing or report card grading. It hasn't been noticed by the CSD, as no one from the Division has visited there for nearly two years, with the exception of Mr. Christopherson, who spent three hours with parents, set aside all the other problems that he had to deal with. He called a meeting with the parents and spent three hours with them. He's very patient, as well.

Special needs student requirements are



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

being addressed aggressively and successfully.

Teacher turnover is quite low, and there has been a slight increase in the student body, all of which has contributed to an atmosphere of much greater

5 stability of the school at large.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our community is not a wealthy one. About half the students receive Free and Reduced Lunch. The school does not have many amenities, like Hope Christian and other schools. What it provides is the discipline of inquiry and exploration through that International Baccalaureate curriculum.

From what I've heard here today, I have a couple of other points. And one of them has to do with school grades. I don't care about them one way or another, honestly; but they are a quick reference to how the school is performing in relation to other schools.

The problem I have is that when that grade is based too heavily on PARCC or other standardized testing, it minimizes the school community input and innovation.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Thirty seconds.

MR. KUEHN: The school has to teach to the test, and that gives some test provider control over our local curricula, and that's a problem. There



```
also appears to be a kind of a "have" or "have not"
 1
 2
     undercurrent in the Charter School Division.
 3
     Schools of Concern, such as TIS, are held to a
 4
     higher standard than other schools. We meet almost
 5
     a resistance in routine dealing with the Charter
     School Division.
 6
 7
               MS. FRIEDMAN: Your time is up.
 8
               MR. KUEHN:
                           Thank you.
 9
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you very much.
10
     Appreciate your waiting so long and being so
11
     patient.
12
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair?
13
               THE CHAIR: We don't have discussion with
14
     public forum.
15
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I would like to
16
     raise maybe a point of order.
                                     I'd like to hear him
17
              I mean, he's the only one that's here.
     sure it's not going to go on much longer.
18
19
               THE CHAIR: Boy, I wish Josh was still
20
            I'm real reluctant to set precedent that we
     here.
21
     don't -- how much longer is your --
22
               MR. KUEHN:
                           A couple of sentences; one
23
     sentence -- two sentences.
               THE CHAIR: Please finish.
24
25
               MR. KUEHN:
                           I would agree that there is a
```



1	kind of a natural distaste for struggling entities
2	throughout our society. And sadly, I don't have any
3	magic potion to correct it; only to ask that the
4	Charter School Division be aware of the impression
5	that this leaves with its constituents.
6	And I do thank you, and thank you for your
7	vote.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you for your time.
9	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Move adjournment.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Third.
12	THE CHAIR: We are adjourned.
13	(Proceedings adjourned at 3:53 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 8 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 13 State of New Mexico, County of Albuquerque, in the 14 matter therein stated. 15 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 27, 2015. 16 17 18 19 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24



Job No.: 1944L (CC)