1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC MEETING
10	October 17, 2014 9:00 a.m.
11	Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall 300 Don Gaspar
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22 23	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23 24	
2 1 25	JOB NO.: 1501L(CC)
	302





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair
4	MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary
5	MR. JEFF CARR MR. JAMES CONYERS
6	MR. J. TYSON PARKER MR. GILBERT PERALTA
7	MS. MILLIE POGNA MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE
8	STAFF:
9	MR. MATT PAHL, Interim Director, Charter School Division
10	MR. JOSHUA R. GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General, Counsel to the PEC
11	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PED Liaison to the PEC
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	









П		

1	
2	THE CHAIR: Good morning. I call into
3	session call to order this regularly scheduled
4	meeting of the New Mexico Public Education
5	Commission.
6	I would ask Secretary Bergman for roll
7	call, please.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I do not believe
9	that the mics are working, so I will just work
10	without them.
11	Commissioner Pogna?
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Present.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Toulouse?
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Conyers?
18	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Peralta?
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.



24

25



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

COMMISSIONER GANT:

Commissioner Gant?

1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Shearman?
3	THE CHAIR: Here.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
5	Bergman is here.
6	Madam Chair, you have eight members
7	present. You have a quorum.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. We
9	do have a quorum with eight members. And I've been
10	notified that Commissioner Parker will be here, but
11	he, because of some personal business, will be late.
12	But he will be here this morning.
13	Next item on the agenda, the Pledge of
14	Allegiance and Salute to the New Mexico Flag.
15	Commissioners Peralta and Conyers, please.
16	(Pledge of Allegiance and
17	Salute to the New Mexico Flag.)
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. I would remind
19	everyone, please turn off your electronic devices,
20	or turn them down, or whatever.
21	Item No. 2 is Approval of the Agenda. Do
22	we have any corrections or changes to the agenda?
23	Seeing none, the Chair would ask for a
24	motion.
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So move.



1	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
2	Peralta. Second by Commissioner Conyers?
3	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Second, sure.
4	THE CHAIR: Second by Commissioner
5	Conyers.
6	Any discussion?
7	All those in favor
8	THE CHAIR: Mr. Granata?
9	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, I suggest, for
10	Item No. 4, that the words "and Possible Action" be
11	taken out, just because there is a little bit of
12	confusion as regards to compliance with the Open
13	Meetings Act.
14	THE CHAIR: Any discussion?
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: Do we need a friendly
16	amendment to that?
17	THE CHAIR: Let me get Beverly to speak,
18	and then we'll come to you.
19	Beverly?
20	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
21	I'd like to correct a misspelling in that particular
22	item. And I believe it's "Contract" Facilitator
23	instead of "Contact."
24	THE CHAIR: You're absolutely right.
25	Thank you very much.









1	(Commissioners so indicate.)
2	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
3	(No response.)
4	THE CHAIR: Let me just I'm getting
5	some funny looks. So let me just say, on Item 4,
6	the wording for that item, I sent it to Mr. Pahl
7	late Friday afternoon. It had to be posted on the
8	website by 9:00 Tuesday morning in order to meet
9	Open Meetings Act requirements.
10	I looked online at 10 I have the time
11	here about 10:40. The agenda that was posted
12	that I found did not have the new Item No. 4.
13	I e-mailed Mr. Pahl. He said, "I thought
14	it was uploaded" "the new one was uploaded."
15	Sometime later, a few more minutes later,
16	I got a note from him that said, "It is uploaded
17	now." But whatever the time frame was, it missed
18	the 9:00 a.m. cutoff to meet the requirements.
19	So we may discuss this item, but we may
20	not take action on it. That's just by way of
21	explanation.
22	Any further comments? All right. Let's
23	move on.
24	Item No. 3 is Approval of Minutes of our
25	two-day meeting in September.



1	The first will be the minutes for
2	September 25th, and those are under your Tab No. 3.
3	Any corrections, changes to the minutes?
4	Seeing none, I have a couple of
5	clarifications, not corrections. So is this a time
6	for a clarification question? Can I do that?
7	Okay. My first question is on Page 26.
8	Sorry, I don't have a line number; I thought I put
9	one down. Look on line 21. This is Mr. Baysinger,
10	who is head of one of the Southwest governance
11	councils, I believe, speaking. And he said, "Simply
12	because we're trying to incorporate for the loss of
13	the Montgomery classroom with our main facility."
14	My question is, is it "classroom,"
15	singular, or "classrooms," plural? My understanding
16	was they were using more than one classroom in the
17	facility on Montgomery.
18	Do you know, Mr. Pahl?
19	MR. PAHL: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. Could
20	you point out the line again?
21	THE CHAIR: 11 21 and 22 on Page 26.
22	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
23	Commission, no, I don't know offhand.
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?
2.5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: May I make a
2	correction of Mr. Baysinger? He's not the
3	governance council. He's the head of all four of
4	the schools, now the acting head. He's the one that
5	all of the governance councils hired to be the
6	THE CHAIR: He's the head administrator.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yeah.
8	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I thank you for
9	that correction.
10	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
11	don't want to have to then correct that, then, next
12	meeting.
13	THE CHAIR: No, I had that completely
14	wrong.
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: I don't remember.
16	THE CHAIR: Just for my own knowledge,
17	could you check that out, please?
18	MR. PAHL: Absolutely, Madam Chair.
19	And on Page 31 never mind. We're not
20	going to talk about that one.
21	On Page 108 and this may be something
22	you're going to talk about today; I'm not sure. On
23	Page 108, you talk about visiting Health Science,
24	and you say, "We will visit next month."
25	Well, this is next month. Has the visit



already happened, or is it still yet to happen?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, the visit is scheduled for next week.

THE CHAIR: Next week. Thank you very much.

Page 121 and 122, starting on the bottom of the Page 121, line 24, and goes over to the top of Page 122, "The school plans to remain in Hogback Chapter House until their permanent building, which hasn't been approved by the PEC, is approved by CID and the Navajo Nation authorities."

Mr. Pahl, that's your comment. Just clarify that for me, would you please?

MR. PAHL: I believe I either misspoke, or it's a typo on the minutes. To the extent that the PEC approves a facility, their permanent facility has been approved. It's the address that you'll find in our permanent document.

So the permanent facility, once it's gotten all of the approval from PSFA and CID, the PEC needs to feel okay about that facility. It has been approved in the sense that it's the permanent facility, we know they plan on being there, and as long as they have E-Occupancy and an approval from CID and PSFA, that facility is their approved



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	permanent home. So I believe has "has been
2	approved by the PEC," as far as a final facility
3	after they meet the criteria.
4	THE CHAIR: But in a sense, it has not
5	because it still needs to have the final E-Occupancy
6	and a couple of other things. So perhaps the
7	sentence is correct, with just your explanation.
8	MR. PAHL: Yes.
9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
10	Okay. Is Martica here?
11	COMMISSIONER GANT: No, I didn't invite
12	her. There wasn't enough
13	THE CHAIR: She was going to bring some
14	information about I believe that's all the
15	questions I had. Thank you all very much.
16	Any other questions or concerns with the
17	September 25th, 2014, minutes?
18	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
19	motion.
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: I move to approve the
21	minutes for September 25th and September 26th.
22	THE CHAIR: No. Just the 25th, so far.
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: I'm sorry. I move
24	that we I move that we accept the minutes for
25	September 25th, as written.



1	THE CHAIR: Do I hear a second?
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
3	THE CHAIR: Moved and seconded that we
4	approve the minutes of the September 25th, 2014,
5	meeting minutes, as presented.
6	Any discussion? All those in favor,
7	please say "Aye."
8	(Commissioners so indicate.)
9	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
10	(No response.)
11	THE CHAIR: Minutes of the September 25th
12	meeting are unanimously approved.
13	Let's go to the September 26th meeting
14	minutes. Well, I know they're in here somewhere.
15	Okay. Yeah, I know, I read them again
16	last night. Looking at the September 26th 2014,
17	minutes, are there any corrections or comments?
18	THE CHAIR: I found none, either.
19	Hearing no corrections or comments, the
20	Chair would entertain a motion on the September 26th
21	minutes. Commissioner Toulouse?
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
23	move we approve the September 26th minutes, as
24	presented.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I have a





1 second? 2 Second. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 3 THE CHAIR: Motion and second to approve 4 the September 26th meeting minutes, as presented. 5 Any discussion? All those in favor, please say "Aye." 6 (Commissioners so indicate.) 7 Any opposed, please say "No." 8 THE CHAIR: 9 (No response.) 10 THE CHAIR: Minutes are unanimously 11 Thank you for another outstanding job, approved. 12 Cindy. 13 We are now to Item 4. If I may just take 14 a minute and give you my thoughts on this item. 15 I asked that Item 4 -- and the other 16 officers agreed to put Item 4 on the agenda --17 asking that Julia Barnes be hired on contract to be the contract facilitator for contract and 18 19 performance framework negotiations for the coming --20 for the next year. You all will remember Julia; we've worked 21 22 with her extensively. She has been the facilitator 23 for all of the contract negotiations and the performance framework renegotiations, except the 24 25 first-year performance framework negotiations that



we have done so far.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It has gone very well under her leadership. She is very knowledgeable. And I thought we have so many negotiations coming up in such a short period of time that we can certainly benefit from her knowledge and experience and leadership.

So I put this item on here and thought that we could vote on it and really give some direction to CSD, and that they could take that word on up the line. But because it did not get on the agenda in a timely enough manner, we cannot vote on it.

However, Josh tells me we can discuss it, and we can discuss as much as or as little as we choose.

There is another interesting little piece of information that has surfaced. I was talking with Matt at the LESC meeting the other day, and he informed me there is a way to do a contract without having to go through the personnel office and all of that stuff. And I don't want to speak for Matt, because I probably won't say it right.

So, Matt, I'm going to ask you if you will share that with the Commission and let us have that



information to work with, as well.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we received something of a request during discussion on last month's meeting to contract out with Ms. Julia Barnes. The rationale for contracting with Julia Barnes is a good one; we agree with it.

However, there is a State procurement code, and so we need to work within those boundaries. There's a limitation for the amount of the contract that, once you pass a certain threshold, there are different rules in play there.

So you have a commitment from me to reach out to Julia Barnes to contract out for this work. If it's over a certain amount of money, and we know how much work there is to it, we may have to go through an RFP process. In that case, the rules don't allow us to really -- I guess it takes -- we would have to follow the rules of that procurement process.

The other procurement process may give us a little more leeway. But, again, the request from the PEC was heard at last month's meeting, as well as this one. So we're scoping out the cost of the work, so we can then engage with Ms. Barnes on



1	whether or not we can work out a deal with her that
2	meets her terms, as well as ours, to get her to do
3	the work.
4	The other thing I'll note just it's
5	a technicality it's on the agenda that having
6	her be the contract facilitator for the next
7	12 months is not possible. We can only do contracts
8	until the end of the fiscal year. It's a mechanical
9	thing. But you have a commitment from me to reach
10	out to Julia Barnes to work within our fiscal
11	parameters to see if we can contract with her, and,
12	if not, encourage or I can't encourage her to
13	apply for a competitive process.
14	But should it go to an RFP and a
15	competitive process, potentially, other people could
16	encourage her to apply for that.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you for that
18	explanation.
19	Any comments or questions?
20	Commissioner Pogna?
21	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair and
22	Vince says these don't work, so
23	THE CHAIR: Just try it anyway and see,
24	because sometimes they come on.
25	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Does it work?



I need to ask, is -- I'm very 1 2 interested -- in the contract, would Ms. Barnes be a 3 person to go to for Commission members for questions 4 and so on? 5 Matt, you may want to weigh in THE CHAIR: My personal thought would be if your 6 7 question concerns contract negotiation or 8 performance framework renegotiation, then perhaps she would -- if she's on contract to do those 9 10 things, then she might be the one to go to. 11 Other things, I don't think so. 12 Josh, weigh in on that? 13 MR. GRANATA: Madam Chairman, I agree with 14 you. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Matt? 16 MR. PAHL: I third that, I guess, that 17 the -- whenever we contract with an individual, they are contracted to complete a certain scope of work, 18 19 and should a question be about -- or pertaining to 20 that scope of work, then the question would be directed to -- could be directed to that person. 21 22 THE CHAIR: It might be, Commissioner 23 Pogna, a little cumbersome; but perhaps a better way 24 to go about this is if you have a question that 25 you're not sure whether or not it would



appropriately go to a particular person, send it to me, or send it to Josh, and then it can be routed from there to the appropriate person.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, I don't know if this is the time or the place to discuss my frustrations. But I could not reach a person to ask a question of them. And -- let's see -- eight days later, I still have not heard from a Staff person, either e-mail or phone call, that I had requested.

I needed to ask a simple question, and I could not reach anybody.

And Beverly is our lifeline, but I knew she was out of the office. But I kept calling her day after day, just in case, and/or e-mailing her.

We need somebody we can go to. We shouldn't have to call the Director of the Charter School Division asking a question about -- that I have concerns about.

And fortunately, I was able to reach you through e-mail. You did help me immensely. But I also know that you give hundreds of hours to the Commissioners, and you're not on the payroll. You shouldn't have to do that.

We need somebody from the Department. For instance, I had received a blank DVD. I could not



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reach anyone to tell them of my problem. I couldn't 1 2 reach anyone to find out when we're having a work study session. I was able to get this information 3 4 from you, and then I did hear from Matt. 5 But it is very, very difficult at times. 6 THE CHAIR: I appreciate that, 7 Commissioner. 8 Matt, do you have a response? MR. PAHL: I do, members of the 9 Commission. 10 11 We -- the -- what happened with 12 Commissioner Poqua has caused us to think about what 13 our -- what our backup plans are when someone like 14 Beverly is out. So we will have a plan in place --15 a better one than we have now -- and be able to share that with you, once it's final. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Just for your information, Friday, Commissioner Pogna contacted me by e-mail 18 19 and she said, "My renewal disk is blank, and I wanted to work on renewals." 2.0 And she's not -- as she said, "I can't get 21 22 ahold of anybody at CSD to e-mail them to me to do 23 whatever." So she and I e-mailed back and forth, and 24 25 I ended up e-mailing her some of the renewal



1 applications. 2 It's interesting. With my computer -- or 3 my service provider -- but you can only attach so 4 many documents, send so many e-mails with 5 attachments before it says, "No, thank you, I'm not working anymore." 6 So it was a rather slow, tedious process. 7 But -- and then on Monday, we were able to get in 8 contact with Matt, and he did contact Commissioner 9 10 And I think yesterday, you did get a new 11 disk. 12 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes, I did. 13 THE CHAIR: So, yeah, I think we've got a 14 few holes in the process. 15 So any other comments or questions on Item No. 4? 16 17 Commissioner Bergman? Madam Chair, thank 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 19 I will just reiterate that my comments 20 regarding Ms. Barnes last meeting are still in And I would strongly encourage that we have 21 22 her under contract no later than January the 2nd to 23 do these contract negotiations. If I have a question, it would be do we 24



have to specifically limit her to contract

negotiations and the performance framework negotiations?

I would -- I envision her perhaps being able to help in other areas. I don't know how that would work, as a contract person instead of an employee. But I think that's something we probably should -- maybe perhaps the Chair and Josh and Matt can -- well, one, whether that's possible -- I do not want to cause any delay in getting a contract for Ms. Barnes, so we can do those contract negotiations.

We're going to discuss a little later in this meeting what Mr. Pahl and I have kind of, at least -- it's just tentative at this date, of course because we kind of don't know how many schools we're going to have -- but it's imperative that she be under contract by the end of the year.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'd just like to say there should be no problem at all justifying a sole source contract with her because of the detail that it takes to negotiate these. And, basically,



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

she's the one with the experience.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also think that, especially if we do it only through the end of the year, we keep it under the \$50,000, it doesn't have to go to bid; so that it shouldn't be a problem if we can get it approved.

Again, I think it's too bad we don't have our own line item and a budget where we can go through the process and do our own. But I don't see it being a problem at all if we can get people outside of the -- Mr. Pahl's division to approve it.

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you.

Other comments?

Just something that's occurred to me -- or a couple of things that occurred to me.

A contract facilitator doesn't just walk in the day of the contract meeting and sit down and start facilitating. There's background work that has to be done. So there will have to be hours put in with the CSD Staff and with you, Matt, in order to gather the information, visit with the schools, whatever it takes to set up those negotiations.

So there's -- I believe there's more time here than we might initially have thought about it.

My -- my concern -- my second concern is ${\tt I}$





heard you say we're going to look at the scope of the work and see how much it's likely to cost.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949

If -- if the scope of the work is estimated to be more expensive or to cost more than \$50,000, then my recommendation -- my hope -- would be you contract Julia for \$50,000 worth of that work, and we'll worry about the rest of it later. But let's get the bulk of it done with a facilitator that we know can move this thing along.

Again, we're all very concerned with your lack of Staff. We know we're working them to death. The process is working us all to death. would -- we want -- we want Julia as much as -- as much as we can have her time on these negotiations.

MR. PAHL: Thank you for that, Madam Chair.

Again, we've heard your request. I would be remiss if I didn't say that talking about the mechanics of how to do this in a public meeting is probably -- I -- we can't commit to things. we're -- we may be crossing the line regarding the procurement code. So I'm not going to make any comment in response to that about how we do it.

And -- but, again, we've heard your We will reach out to Ms. Barnes. request.





1	THE CHAIR: Anything else, Commissioners?
2	Just keep us in the loop, please.
3	MR. PAHL: Uh-huh.
4	THE CHAIR: Anything else, Josh?
5	Okay. Then, in that case, let's move on
6	to item No. 5, Report from PED Leadership. Matt?
7	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
8	Commission, we do not have a report from PED
9	leadership this month. We we're just a couple of
10	weeks after our last meeting. And after a quick
11	survey of some of the other division heads, we don't
12	have much to report on this time, but expect a more
13	robust report next month.
14	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much for
15	that.
16	Let's move on to Item No. 6, amendments,
17	Discussion and Possible Action on Charter School
18	Amendments.
19	Mr. Pahl and if we could please have
20	the folks from New Mexico International School, if
21	there's anyone here with that school, please come up
22	to the table?
23	Mr. Pahl, if you would go ahead, please.
24	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
25	Commission, New Mexico International School is



requesting an amendment to increase their class size in grades K through 5 from 20 to 22 students.

The Charter School Division recommends approval of this amendment.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. If you would introduce yourself, please, and let us know whatever it is you want to let us know.

MR. KNOUSE: Thank you, Madam Chair and Commissioners. My name is Todd Knouse. I'm the school director for the New Mexico International School located in Albuquerque, New Mexico. We are a K-5 charter school, dual-language school. And I am coming to you with a request to be allowed to increase the class size limit that is specified in our charter from 20 to 22 students per classroom.

We have two classrooms per grade level in K through 4. The reason for this request is because we have a -- an extensive waiting list for -- for students, specifically in the lower grades, as I'm looking to increase the class size to allow some had these students to come in.

To be clear, I'm not asking for a request for an extension of the overall enrollment cap. I'm looking to keep that at, currently, 240 students, which would mean, if you do the math, you can't have



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 six classes of 22. It exceeds that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm aware of that; but I'm looking at some classes actually being under 20, due to attrition and our waiting list being primarily in kindergarten and first grade.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I just wanted to add one caveat to our recommendation that the PEC approve this amendment, is that New Mexico International School follow all State laws regarding class size in K-through-3 classrooms.

You know, once you're a certain class size, you need to have an assistant in the classroom. Just ensuring that we follow all those rules. And I think that was something that our liaison and the school leader had discussed.

So just wanted to make sure that was on the record, that while we do -- we are recommending approval of this, we -- it is inherent that we follow State law with those class sizes.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that.

Just clarification, for my mind. You're K-5. In a kindergarten classroom, the load is 16 up to 22, then, you must have an aide; is that correct?



1 MR. KNOUSE: That is correct, Madam Chair. 2 We actually already do have an EA in kindergarten. 3 The law you're referring to is 22-8B -- no --4 22-10A-20, where it talks about the class loads; is that correct, where it mentions the kindergarten and 5 an EA having -- kicking in at 16, I believe? 6 7 MR. PAHL: Yeah. Okay. 8 THE CHAIR: And then --In addition, I am -- to be 9 MR. KNOUSE: 10 clear, I am requesting two amendments that I 11 submitted. One of them, it was in regards to the 12 class size that I mentioned. They both have to do 13 with class size. One of them is a request to 14 increase from 20 to 22 students. 15 The second is we have language in our 16 charter where we requested the waivers in the past. 17 And in my understanding, in another section in the charter school law, 22-8B, that certain class rules 18 were waived for charter schools. 19 2.0 THE CHAIR: "Certain class" what? "The Department shall write 21 MR. KNOUSE: 22 rules and provisions" -- in Chapter 22 --23 "pertaining to individual class loads, teaching load, end of school day, staffing patterns." 24 25 THE CHAIR: I'm having a hard time



1	hearing. Maybe you should get a little closer.
2	MR. KNOUSE: I'm sorry. That's okay. I'm
3	not comfortable with microphones, terribly, so so
4	I was actually asking for two amendments: One of
5	them was, first, to amend the language in our
6	charter where we said that we requested no waivers,
7	to say, "New Mexico International School does
8	request waivers, granted in accordance with charter
9	school law, 22-8B5, paragraph C."
10	And that would allow us to go past
11	20 students in kindergarten.
12	THE CHAIR: It would allow you to go past?
13	MR. KNOUSE: That's my understanding.
14	THE CHAIR: Okay. Let me just ask Josh.
15	Is there a requirement for the school to to come
16	to us for an amendment saying, "Yes, we're going to
17	ask for a waiver," when, in your original charter
18	application, you requested no waivers?
19	MR. KNOUSE: Correct, Madam Chair. I
20	wasn't sure if I needed to submit a request for a
21	waiver that was already granted in statute or not.
22	But I didn't write the charter.
23	THE CHAIR: Just covering all bases?
24	MR. KNOUSE: That's correct, Madam Chair.
25	THE CHAIR: All right.



Τ	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, I guess I'm
2	just a bit confused. So within the contract itself,
3	it states that the charter school will not request
4	any waivers; and so you're just asking to amend that
5	one particular part of the contract?
6	MR. KNOUSE: Yes, sir. That is my first
7	request, to amend the language that says,
8	"New Mexico International School requests no
9	waivers," to say, "New Mexico International School
10	requests waivers, granted in accordance with charter
11	school law, 22-8B-5C."
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: It's in the minutes.
13	It's not on the
14	THE CHAIR: It's not on an amendment form.
15	That's why I'm having a little problem here.
16	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
17	Commission, we will only be hearing one amendment
18	from New Mexico International School. The other
19	amendment request was not reflected on the agenda;
20	so we weren't able to get it on for this meeting.
21	So the one amendment request we will be
22	hearing today is regarding class size.
23	THE CHAIR: But, again, my question is, if
24	in your charter, you said, "We are not requesting
25	any waivers"?



1	MR. KNOUSE: Right.
2	THE CHAIR: Now, you're requesting a
3	waiver. Does that require an amendment before that
4	waiver can be even considered? I don't believe
5	we've ever had this situation come up before.
6	MR. KNOUSE: I'm honored to be the first
7	in the Commission's history.
8	THE CHAIR: You're unique.
9	Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: See if this works.
11	Does it work?
12	I remember, you know, the wording from
13	this was this is one of those waiver situations
14	where it says, "The State shall give this waiver,
15	but it still has to be requested," is what I
16	remember.
17	So so in answer to that one question
18	that we're really not going to decide today, but
19	you know, if you ask for that waiver, then we
20	"shall" grant it to you, according to law, from the
21	way I understand it.
22	Do you remember all those, "We shall"
23	THE CHAIR: Those are the automatic
24	waivers.
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, the automatic



1 waivers? THE CHAIR: But the Secretary does 2 3 waivers; we don't. 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: We don't at all. 5 Okay. MR. GRANATA: Madam Commissioner and 6 7 fellow Commissioners -- Commissioners, rather -- I think procedurally, it is probably best if the 8 contract itself is amended, and then you can go 9 10 forward with the waiver request after that. 11 So I think that would be the proper way to 12 do it. 13 MR. KNOUSE: Just to make sure I'm 14 understanding the Commissioners, I do see these two 15 requests very tied together, because one is allowing us access to a waiver in charter law that would kind 16 17 of need to be considered in light of the second request, which is to exceed the enrollment -- not 18 19 enrollment cap -- I'm sorry -- class size load. 2.0 The first one is allowing us to have -the waiver granted in statute allows schools 21 22 exemptions from the class loads that are described in Chapter 22, Article 10A, the class loads, would 23 24 say 20 students in a kindergarten classroom, an EA



in kindergarten -- I'm sorry -- first-grade

classrooms at first grade, I believe, and higher. 1 So my understanding is that the charter 2 3 school law grants charters exemptions from that. 4 And I would need that considered for you to consider 5 the request for me to go to 22 students. Does that make sense? They're kind of 6 7 kit-and-caboodle connected. 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant? COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, which 9 10 school are you talking about increasing your class 11 Not this year; right? size? 12 This year, yes. MR. KNOUSE: 13 people waiting to get in -- as an immersion school, 14 and most of our students are native English 15 speakers, getting them in as soon as possible is 16 wise for the student so that that they can gain 17 access to the Spanish curriculum. THE CHAIR: Please let the record reflect 18 that Commissioner Parker is here now. 19 2.0 Thank you. Commissioner Gant? 21 22 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, we're almost 40 days into the school, and you want to add 23 more students to a class load that's already 24 25 started, with teaching already started?



1 MR. KNOUSE: That is my request, 2 Commissioner Gant, yes. COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members. 3 4 And what is your plan to catch up the 5 students? MR. KNOUSE: I don't think it would be too 6 7 late to have students enroll at this point; but I wouldn't want to wait much later into the school 8 9 year. 10 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I do have a concern that, one, they don't have the waiver, and 11 12 if we give them the approval, it really can't go 13 into effect until they come back and ask for a 14 change to their contract. 15 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I 17 would agree with Commissioner Gant. I believe, since the school has said that they believe they are 18 19 tied together, I suspect we should table this one 20 until they bring the other amendment, also, till our November meeting. And then since they view it as a 21 22 tandem issue, we should approve or deny it as a That's my suggestion, anyway. 23 tandem issue. 24 THE CHAIR: I think the problem that keeps 25 jumping up in my face is if we really do have two



amendments that you'd like for us to consider that 1 2 are not on the agenda, we only have one amendment 3 form, Open Meetings Act prevents us have acting on 4 anything that's not on the agenda. So the only 5 thing that's on the agenda is class size. MR. KNOUSE: But, if I may suggest, I 6 7 believe they both do tie to class size. 8 THE CHAIR: They may; but waiver is a very specific thing. 9 10 MR. KNOUSE: It's a waiver in regards to 11 being allowed access to -- it's a request for being 12 allowed access to the waiver for class size. 13 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think we should 15 also consider we're not actually considering the 16 waiver. That's not in our purview. All they're 17 asking to do, at this point, is to amend that one sentence that is apparently in the contract and 18 19 change it to where they say they didn't want any 20 waivers, to now they want some waivers, however that would be worded. 21 22 So, yeah, we're not going to ever consider 23 the waiver itself. That's not in our purview. 24 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair? 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you,



Madam Chair. 1 2 Commissioner Carr? THE CHAIR: 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, sure, I'll --4 Madam Chair. So it doesn't look like maybe we're going 5 to vote on this. But I do have a statement on this. 6 7 There was legislation that didn't get 8 through last year, where we were going to reduce class size because we've been increasing them over 9 the last five years. High school, 25; middle 10 11 school, 20; and K-through-5, 15. 12 I don't know if that'll pass the 13 Legislature this coming session or not, keeping that 14 in mind. But then my overriding question is, which 15 should be for -- in regards to any changes that are 16 made -- is how will this better serve your students? 17 MR. KNOUSE: How will adding two students to our classrooms better serve them? Well, we have 18 so many that are -- I don't want to use the word 19 20 "dying" -- but very anxious to enter our classroom. It opens up service to a community that really wants 21 22 to join our school. Am I being loud enough? 23 COMMISSIONER CARR: I can hear you. MR. KNOUSE: It's a small increase of what 24 25 I'm looking at; I'm looking at two students per



class. I'm sorry. Not even opening up two students per class, because I wouldn't be able to -- I would exceed our enrollment cap if I were to do that.

THE CHAIR: What is your enrollment cap?

MR. KNOUSE: 240. So it was designed at

20 -- we're at 200 right now. So I'm looking at

adding two kids, really, in just the kindergarten

and the first grade, because that's where the wait

list is; that's where people want to come in. And

that's where it programmatically makes the most

sense for the students, because the Spanish is not

too far advanced for them.

COMMISSIONER CARR: So what you're telling me is it's going to get to serve the two kids that get to come in. And at the lower grades, kindergarten and first grade, where it's most imperative, two children at that age group, you think, "Well, that's not much." But that's -- that's really quite a bit.

So my -- and then -- I don't -- my final statement on this is I don't see this as serving your students. I see this primarily as a budget issue. And most schools have increased class size out of necessity, because they don't have any money, not because they wanted to. Increasing class size



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is never, to me, a viable educational idea.

So that's just my statement on it. And I would like you to think about it, okay?

4 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I have a question for Mr. Granata regarding the -- what would be allowable under our agenda. The agenda item is -- we're under the topic of -- of voting on charter school amendments. The charter school amendment is regarding class size; so the -- I think that the agenda covers us potentially to consider these two items in tandem.

I know it's not something we want to do as a practice. However, if -- there are two things that we need to consider: They have some language in their charter that states that they will not apply for any waivers. They need to strike that so they can request the waiver to apply, if there is a "yes" vote on the amendment to expand their class sizes from 20 to 22. I believe they would both fall under the item of "class size" for amendment.

Now, that -- that -- so I think that our agenda would give us the space to consider both of these.

Now, the Commission will vote the way they



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wish there. But I just want to ask Mr. Granata if 1 2 that's a possibility. MR. GRANATA: I don't think so. I think 3 4 it would be best if there are two separate 5 amendments presented at the same time. That's just my opinion. 6 THE CHAIR: Well, then, let me just weigh 7 8 in on that issue. This Commission has made it a pretty 9 10 obvious hard-and-fast rule for a good while now, if 11 you want an amendment, it comes on this form. 12 don't do combined amendments. We don't do -- we 13 don't roll them in together. It may seem inconsequential. But if it requires a vote, we want 14 15 it on a piece of paper. 16 So that's what we're going to ask from 17 you, two pieces of paper, two amendment forms. MR. KNOUSE: Madam Chair, am I to 18 19 understand you did not receive both of these? Is 20 that correct, then? I did submit them on two different forms. 21

MR. PAHL: Yeah.

23 THE CHAIR: I'm looking at an amendment

24 | form for class size.

25 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, the second



```
amendment form was not included, because it -- it
 1
 2
     wasn't contemplated in the agenda, in speaking with
           We do have the second amendment form.
 3
 4
     because we didn't have two topics listed on our
 5
     agenda before it was finalized, we talked about it
     and said, "We shouldn't have the second one in
 6
 7
     there."
                           So it's just like Item No. 4?
 8
               THE CHAIR:
     It didn't make the agenda in time?
 9
10
               MR. PAHL:
                          Yes.
11
                                   I appreciate the
               THE CHAIR: Okay.
12
     position you're in. But if it's not on the agenda,
13
     and --
14
               MR. KNOUSE:
                            I appreciate that.
15
               THE CHAIR:
                           -- that's the way it is.
16
               MR. KNOUSE: I understand.
17
               THE CHAIR: Do we have any other options,
     Commissioners, that come to mind to deal with this?
18
               Commissioner Peralta?
19
20
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yeah.
                                              I'd like to
21
     just go along with Commissioner Bergman on this, and
22
     I'd like to see him just come back much cleaner on
23
     the next PEC meeting in November, so that we have
24
     two separate amendments proposed to the Commission,
25
     please.
```



1	THE CHAIR: Thank you for that.
2	Commissioner Gant?
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, are we
4	are we going to ask the gentleman to have us table
5	it, or do you want to withdraw it until November?
6	MR. KNOUSE: For my own edification,
7	what's the difference between tabling and
8	withdrawing?
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: The withdrawal is up
10	to you; the tabling is up to us.
11	MR. KNOUSE: Thank you. Then I would
12	withdraw it and bring it forth to you at your next
13	meeting.
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you.
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: If I may, I was a
17	little this is not on the agenda. But I've
18	really got to ask this, because it is in your
19	minutes, so I've seen it.
20	And you've got the word "deficit" in three
21	different places in your minutes. And public
22	schools can't be in a deficit.
23	What what are you all talking about
24	changing taking care of your current budget
25	deficit and all that that's written in here? I



don't -- I'd like -- the Commissioners need an understanding about this deficit; whereas, you're trying to increase your student enrollment, but you've got a deficit here.

It concerns me. Please explain.

MR. KNOUSE: I think that might be better explained by my business manager. I'm the school director, and the head administrator above me would probably be able to answer that more. But we did incur -- I don't know if you recall. I came to you guys -- I'm sure you have many charter schools that come to you -- but in regards to a move that we did. I asked for an amendment to be released from an obligation we had to cohabitate from another charter school, and we ended up moving to another building and incurred some costs related to that move. That might be to what it's referring.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, fellow Commissioners and all that, I think we need, next November, an understanding of what this deficit they're talking about, because now, their audit is going to come back, and we're going to get a bad audit to read, I think.

So we need -- it's up to you, fellow

Commissioners. But I think we need to understand



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the deficit. I don't know. You guys decide, okay?
2	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, you've heard
3	the concern about the deficit that is mentioned in
4	the school's governance council minutes. Would it
5	be sufficient to ask that when you come back next
6	November, next month
7	MR. KNOUSE: Yes, ma'am.
8	THE CHAIR: in November with your
9	amendments, that you also bring your personnel that
10	can discuss this deficit with us and explain it?
11	MR. KNOUSE: Yes, Madam Chair. Yes.
12	THE CHAIR: And we would ask that Mr. Pahl
13	work with you on that.
14	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, may I
15	point out, these are excellent minutes, which is why
16	we picked up on that. We get so many minutes that
17	don't even tell us what was voted on. So we
18	appreciate it.
19	But on the other hand, in this case, you
20	added some extra work for yourself in coming back.
21	MR. KNOUSE: I will share that with our
22	governing council secretary.
23	THE CHAIR: They are quite complete.
24	Thank you very much.
25	Mr. Pahl, do you have any questions or



1	concerns?
2	MR. PAHL: Not at this point in time,
3	Madam Chair.
4	THE CHAIR: Just come back to us with two
5	amendments and your information on this deficit
6	situation.
7	MR. KNOUSE: I will. Thank you.
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you so much.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do we need to vote
10	on their withdrawal, or is that
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No.
12	THE CHAIR: Josh, do we? No.
13	MR. KNOUSE: Thank you, Commissioners.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
15	Next school on the agenda is New Mexico
16	School for the Arts.
17	Mr. Pahl?
18	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
19	Commission, in October's PEC meeting, the New Mexico
20	School for the Arts was before us requesting a an
21	amendment to their to allow them to offer
22	transportation to students.
23	They they anticipated coming back
24	and I think we, the PEC and the CSD, anticipated
25	them coming back at this month's meeting. But they



determined that they needed more time to hash out 1 2 their transportation plan in order to justify the 3 amendment to their charter. So they are not here today, and -- but 4 5 thanked us for putting them on the agenda and apologized for not quite being prepared; but they 6 7 realized they had some more steps they needed to go through to further consider the transportation 8 amendment, as a school. 9 10 THE CHAIR: So they're very likely coming 11 back to us in November? 12 MR. PAHL: I believe that is true. 13 will -- we will have it accurately reflected on the 14 agenda whether they will be or not, early on. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Next item is Tierra Adentro. If those 16 17 school folks would come forward, please? And while they're doing that, Matt, if you would? 18 Madam Chair, members of the 19 MR. PAHL: 20 Commission, you'll see that there is not an official amendment request to this -- this amendment, because 21 22 I don't know if they're really asking for an 23 amendment. 24 It's an explanation of their current site, 25 which is an approved site from PEC and PSFA.



1 as in all things with -- with facilities, we ask 2 that the charters, in our -- in an effort to ensure the PEC is up-to-date on facility issues with 3 4 charters, that they come before the PEC today. There is no official amendment request. 5 But what Tierra Adentro is asking for is approval to 6 add a dance studio annex to the existing location at 7 Again, I don't know that this 1511 Central Avenue. 8 is actually an amendment, or that it needs approval. 9 I think that's a question for Josh. 10 11 But they are here to share their proposal 12 and to justify it in front of the Commission. 13 THE CHAIR: If you all would identify 14 yourselves, please, and then we'd love to hear from 15 you. 16 MS. TORRES: Yes. Good morning, 17 Madam Chair, members of the Commission. Veronica Torres, and I am the Executive Director of 18 19 Tierra Adentro. Next to me is Rupal Engineer. 20 So Madam Chair, members of the Commission --21 22 THE CHAIR: Pardon me. Would you 23 introduce your second person at the table? MS. TORRES: Yes, this is Rupal Engineer. 24 25 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.



was waiting for her to introduce herself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TORRES: I apologize, Madam Chair.

So we are here today, like Mr. Pahl was saying, to just request permission to expand our site to add three dance studios, a dance annex across the street from our school. This will alleviate any type of overcrowding, thus making more room for academic classes.

Also, because we are a flamenco school, the noise is quite loud when you have 20 children stomping their feet in an academic setting, surrounded by the academic setting; so this would alleviate that noise.

Knowing that the addition is across the street from the school, we have safety precautions put into place, where students are -- kind of like a fire drill, if you could envision that, lined up and walked over to the site with two adults, the lead teacher of the class, along with an educational assistant that is with them throughout the day.

This walk, we've timed it. It does take approximately five minutes, where we do have a five-minute passing period for next semester put into place, along with 55 instructional minutes of class time.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



```
1
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you very much for that.
 2
               Josh, do you have some thoughts for us
 3
     yet?
 4
               MR. GRANATA:
                             Just give me a minute,
 5
     please.
                           Okay.
                                  While he's completing
 6
               THE CHAIR:
 7
     his research, let me just ask you, does this
     additional site across the street have an
 8
 9
     E-Occupancy certification?
               MS. TORRES: Yes, it will.
10
11
               THE CHAIR:
                           No. "Will" or "does"?
12
               MS. TORRES: It will, because we have --
13
     we've done a walk-through with PSFA last week with
14
     Brad -- what is his last --
15
               MS. ENGINEER: Jackson.
               MS. TORRES: With Brad Jackson from PSFA.
16
17
     He did come and look at the site. And I can have
18
     Ms. Engineer here speak upon that.
19
               MS. ENGINEER:
                              Madam Chair, members of the
20
     Commission, we met with Brad Jackson.
21
     currently, the owner of the building is pursuing a
22
     tenant improvement permit with the City of
23
     Albuquerque, which will change the building's
24
     occupancy from B to E.
25
               And we have met with the City of
```



1	Albuquerque Building Safety Division officials, and
2	they agree that it is doable, as soon as the
3	drawings are submitted next week.
4	So right now, as Ms. Torres represented,
5	we are just seeking the approval to expand in this
6	space, pending, obviously, the E-Occupancy
7	Certificate of Occupancy.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
9	Commissioner Parker?
10	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair,
11	Commissioners. Which street are they crossing?
12	Central? Ash? Maple? Copper?
13	MS. TORRES: Yes, Commissioner, they will
14	be crossing Central at the light of Central and
15	University.
16	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you.
17	THE CHAIR: Other questions or comments,
18	Commissioners?
19	Commissioner Bergman?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just was happy to
21	hear that you're concerned about safety, because
22	that's heavily trafficked. And I know there's a
23	posted speed limit. And I would say most
24	Albuquerqueans and other people are driving down the
25	street and not doing the posted speed limit. So you



definitely would need a protocol to protect the students. If it's at a light, that shouldn't be a problem.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, driving that intersection frequently, there is so much pedestrian traffic on there; CNM students going to UNM, UNM students going to CNM, people going up and down Central to the thing, that I think most of us who do drive it are slow at that intersection.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Granata?

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, from my understanding of this process, it's kind of like a two-step process, where essentially the charter school today is informing the Commission that in the future, they want to amend their charter to allow them to expand.

And I've been looking at the Commission amendment processing protocol that was worked out and approved by the Commission earlier this year.

And what I can do is forward the questions that the Commission would have for the charter school, so that they can address these specific questions when they do bring forward the formal amendment.

So I would suggest, then, at this stage of



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the information they brought towards the Commission, 1 2 that if any Commissioners have any really serious concerns regarding this, I guess, propose an 3 4 amendment to bring them forward to the charter school now, so that they have a better understanding 5 of the likelihood of this amendment being passed in 7 the future or not. So let me just summarize for 8 THE CHAIR: my own understanding. If a school wants to -- wants 9 to expand the building, or its facility -- and I 10 11 guess this is a new location, really? 12 MS. TORRES: Yes, Madam Chair. 13 THE CHAIR: It's across the street. 14 Then we're going to follow our procedure, where you 15 tell us you want to expand, and then you come back to us with a formal amendment with the address and 16 so forth that would give us all of the information. 17 Perhaps by that time, you will also have the 18 19 E-Occupancy. So if that would be agreeable with you all 20 to come back next month with that information? 21 22 MS. TORRES: Yes, of course, Madam Chair, 23 members of the Commission. We thank you for



allowing us to go through this process. And with us

today, if you want it today, we do have a

24

1	presentation of it that you can just take as a
2	handout for yourself to look through, so when you do
3	have questions, and you want to send them our way,
4	maybe this will help either answer some of those
5	questions ahead of time, or either form additional
6	questions that you want clarification before the
7	amendment process in November.
8	THE CHAIR: I think that would be helpful.
9	Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair?
11	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: I also want to make
13	sure we notify Martica to make sure she's here for
14	that meeting.
15	THE CHAIR: Who does that?
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: She will, or I will.
17	THE CHAIR: Beverly?
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: I or Beverly.
19	Madam Chair, if I may, before they leave?
20	Before you leave, as with the last charter school,
21	have good minutes. If you don't come with good
22	minutes, we'll ask you to come back another day,
23	okay?
24	MS. TORRES: Yes, Commissioner. Thank
25	you. Madam Chair and Commissioner, thank you so



1 much. 2 THE CHAIR: You understand he's talking 3 about minutes of your governance council, where they 4 approve this? 5 MS. TORRES: Yes, Madam Chair. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: While we're 8 wrapping up, I would just like to thank you guys for coming. We still have people we find out about 9 10 these when they say, "We're in this building now. 11 Gee, can we move into this building?" 12 They're asking us for approval after the 13 fact. 14 THE CHAIR: Or they've already moved into 15 the building. Then we really get hostile. 16 We thank you very much for being here. Wе 17 look forward to seeing you next month. Commissioner Parker? 18 19 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, 20 Commissioners, this is just my inner parent wanting 21 me to say this. It's just please be careful on that 22 road. Although it does have a stoplight and people 23 drive slow, that's a crazy intersection, where



there's people walking in the middle of -- it's an

odd one. But just my inner dad is telling me to

24

```
1
     talk right now; so, thank you.
                            We are equally as paranoid;
 2
               MS. TORRES:
 3
     so I appreciate that. Thank you, Commissioner.
 4
               THE CHAIR:
                           Little signs and flags and all
 5
            Is that all comments, Commissioners?
     that.
 6
               Again, we thank you very much for being
 7
     here.
                           Thank you, Madam Chair.
 8
               MS. TORRES:
               THE CHAIR: Are we ready for a break?
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER GANT:
                                   Yes.
11
               THE CHAIR: It's 10:00, Commissioners.
12
     Let's take a little break. How about we come back
13
     at 12 after.
                   Okay?
14
               (Recess taken, 10:00 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.)
15
               THE CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, if
16
     you're ready, we are to Item No. 7 on the agenda,
17
     Discussion and Possible Action Regarding PEC's
     Position on the Waiver of Section 22-8B-4(L)
18
19
     Reflected on the Albuquerque Institute for
20
     Mathematics & Science, AIMS at UNM, Website.
               And at this point, I will defer the
21
22
     discussion to our attorney, Mr. Granata.
23
                             Madam Chair, Commissioners,
               MR. GRANATA:
24
     I don't want to really spend too much time on this
25
     issue, since it's being litigated in the court
```



system. But what I did want to do is bring to the attention of the Commissioners that, on the website of AIMS, there is a reference to the PEC's, I guess, position that it takes regarding the waiver.

And from my understanding of looking back at the minutes and discussions about AIMS is that PEC's position is opposed to the position that the school takes regarding the waiver and the process that it took in obtaining the waiver.

In any event, I passed out a little handout of the website -- the little story that's on the website.

On Page 2, the second to last paragraph, states that AIMS officials -- towards the bottom -- "AIMS officials claim that it received approval from the PEC. Cleveland from Rio Rancho Public Schools says that it did not."

So what I would request is that the Commissioners vote to essentially formally ask AIMS to take this off their website, considering this case is being litigated.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And I would just add -stop me if I'm getting in legal hot water here.

Reading again from the statement that Mr. Granata
just read, "AIMS officials claim that it received



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

approval from the PEC. Cleveland says it did not." 1 2 And I reiterate that the PEC did not give 3 approval to AIMS at UNM to move to a new location. 4 Just seconding what was said there. Discussion? Questions, Commissioners? 5 Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a 6 7 motion to request that AIMS remove this entire document from their website. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I'll do 10 it. 11 Madam Chair, I would suggest MR. GRANATA: 12 that they just remove that one reference to the PEC. 13 But it's really up to the Commission. 14 THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. 15 Commissioner Gant? 16 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I was 17 going to recommend they remove the whole thing, because it is in litigation at this time. 18 And all 19 the reference to the Governor and the Secretary and 20 all that is before the courts. And this is just an advertisement, as far as I -- it should not be 21 22 there. And I would make the motion myself, if you 23 so desire. 24 THE CHAIR: Please do. 25 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I move



1 that, through our attorney, Josh Granata, that he 2 send a formal letter requesting that AIMS remove this -- this whole item from their website, as it is 3 4 in litigation at this time. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 6 7 second the motion. Motion by Commissioner Gant, 8 THE CHAIR: second by Commissioner Toulouse, to ask our attorney 9 10 to officially communicate with AIMS at UNM that they remove this entire item from their website. 11 12 Is there further discussion, 13 Commissioners? 14 Commissioner Bergman? 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm fully in 16 support of that. But I'm just curious if we can ask 17 another entity to remove a document that's essentially a public document from their website. 18 19 My preference would be that we specifically ask them 20 to remove any reference that the PEC approved this move, because that is -- that is the basic 21 22 disagreement. 23 They fervently believe that we approved it, and we fervently believe that we didn't approve 24 25 I'd ask everyone to think about that for a



1 minute. 2 Thank you, Madam Chair. 3 THE CHAIR: Mr. Granata, would you like to 4 weigh in on that? 5 Commissioner Parker? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, 6 7 Commissioners, just for what it's worth, I agree 8 with Commissioner Bergman on this one. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 9 10 think anybody can request somebody to do anything 11 any time. We're not demanding -- you know, we're 12 not threatening legal action. We are requesting 13 that they remove it. And it is an obsolete 14 document, when you look at it. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's dated in May. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But all of the 16 17 information -- you know, I think if we went to the UNM Regents now, they would not probably have 18 19 approved it. They had no idea what the law was. 2.0 I talked to one of them who asked me about the law after this came up. I said they -- it was 21 22 an informal conversation. They had no idea what the 23 And so I think the whole thing is obsolete and moot. And that's why I agree -- I seconded it, 24



because I agreed it all needs -- we need to ask them

to take it all out. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Mr. Granata? 3 MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, I believe it's 4 really up to the Commission. Like Commissioner 5 Toulouse said, we can ask them to do whatever the Commission asks, and they can respond however they 6 7 want to respond. It's simply a request. 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other 9 comments? 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 11 think it also gives us a little dickering room, if 12 they want to come back and don't remove it at all. 13 We could say, "Then we'd like to ask you to remove that sentence, " and be more likely to get that out. 14 15 THE CHAIR: Any other comments, 16 Commissioners? 17 Hearing none, you've heard the motion to formally request, through our attorney, that AIMS 18 remove this entire document from their website. 19 20 Commissioner Bergman, may we have a roll-call vote, please? 21 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner 23 Toulouse? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1	Parker?
2	COMMISSIONER PARKER: No.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Conyers?
5	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Peralta?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Shearman?
17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Bergman votes yes. That is an 8-to-1 vote in favor
20	of that motion.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
22	motion passes by an 8-to-1 vote.
23	Mr. Granata, we'll ask you to take care of
24	that, please.
25	Commissioners, let's move on to Item



No. 8, which is Report from Options for Parents and 1 2 the Charter Schools Division. Mr. Pahl, if you would start with the 3 Schools of Concern, please? 4 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the 5 Commission, we have some updates on our Schools of 6 7 Concern, including Southwest Learning Centers. 8 I'd like to just note that Southwest -- we know why Southwest Learning Center is a School of 9 10 But as I update you today and in the 11 future, these can be considered updates on your 12 formal request for -- for information and an 13 investigation on Southwest. 14 And when we do complete that, we'll 15 produce a formal document; but we just want to make 16 sure you have up-to-date information. 17 As you know from -- from previous meetings, the Public Education Department has taken 18 over their Board of Finance. 19 They -- that means 20 that we would approve any expenditure coming from the school at this point in time. And we are, in 21 22 the Department, investigating and looking into their



internal controls.

23

24

25



Further, the PED is ensuring those

controls are in place and going out for an RFP for a

forensic audit to be conducted on the school. That includes expenses related to aircraft at SAMS Academy and leases entered into by the school.

New information has popped up regarding their leadership. As we know, Dr. Glasrud has been dismissed from the school, I believe. And earlier this week, Dolly Juarez, another administrator at the school, was placed on administrative leave at the recommendation of their legal counsel there.

So that's probably the most -- the biggest new piece of information. That occurred just this Tuesday.

But we'll continue to update you, and, again, produce a formal document, per your letter requesting information on Southwest.

THE CHAIR: Regarding that letter,

Mr. Pahl, are you prepared to answer any further

questions that were asked on that letter?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, not at this time. I'm answering them to you here in this forum, as I get that information. But otherwise, you can expect to either hear them here or get them in a formal memo or other document that answers all those questions.

I don't remember all of them offhand. So



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 if there is one that is a burning issue, you can 2 ask, and if I do know, I will be able to answer; but, otherwise, it would just be considered that we 3 4 are -- we are looking into it -- we continue to look 5 into it, and you will be getting answers to those 6 questions. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Granata, 8 anything to add? 9 MR. GRANATA: No. 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioners, any questions? 11 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair? 12 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr? 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: Maybe not a question, 14 but just a concern to put into the back of your 15 mind. This school is up for renewal. 16 THE CHAIR: Two of them are. 17 MR. PAHL: Three. 18 THE CHAIR: Three. I'm sorry. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Three, thank you. 20 This is unchartered territory, no pun intended. [Verbatim.] And -- and renewing a school 21 22 in the midst of a forensic audit and an FBI 23 investigation is something we need to give some considered thought to. I -- as to how we handle it. 24 25 I don't have any suggestions.



hopefully, somebody will, or will think of one. But how are -- really, you know, how are we going to handle this is the -- is the overriding question?

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.

I think that's been a question in all of our minds.

But without information, it's pretty difficult to

make those kinds of decisions. So we're going to be

relying on Matt's input to us and hope that you can

get as much information to us prior to the decision

meeting for renewal, and on Josh, advising us on how

much -- how many questions we can ask and how hard

we can push for the answers.

Okay. Anything else, Commissioners?
Thank you. Please go ahead.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, our second School of Concern is Health Sciences Academy. Just to remind the Commission, the reason why Health Sciences Academy was listed as a School of Concern was because of significant turnover in their administration. This is their first year as a school, and anytime there's significant turnover at any school, it serves as a warning to us that we should -- we should be keeping a close eye on the school.

The school has been very cooperative in



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

telling us what's happening there day to day, week to week. Next week, we will be at the school, and there, we can verify what we're hearing and just ensure that the day-to-day operations of the school are going as planned.

So expect a more robust update at the next PEC meeting on the Health Sciences Academy.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.
Anthony Charter School?

MR. PAHL: Anthony Charter School was recently put on an improvement plan at the September PEC meeting. We have some updates on their progress on that improvement plan.

The -- the Charter School Division received their first round of their Discovery Short-Cycle Assessment data and a plan to address their Q1 -- and that's the top -- sorry -- the bottom -- 25 percent of their students, and their Q3, the other 75 percent of students, by their September 30th deadline, as mentioned in their improvement plan.

The data was arranged in the tables and indicating baseline scores for reading and math.

The report also contained general information about the strategies that the school plans on utilizing,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

as was requested in their improvement plan.

The analysis of that progress based on those interventions is due to us on January 5th. So expect more information on how their data is looking at the January PEC meeting. And, again, this is all outlined in the improvement plan, which you can also find in your notes here.

The school's Web EPSS plan is 50 percent complete. That's a good thing. The Web EPSS is a living document, and so there's a lot of back-and-forth between Priority Schools Bureau staff and the staff at Anthony Charter School. Those are, again, outlining some of the strategies and the direction the school is trying to go in this light of being put on an improvement plan and being considered a Priority School by the PED.

The first SSIS -- which is the social-emotional assessments -- they have been submitted to the CSD along with a report of the findings from that assessment. This is a mission-specific goal for that school, the social-emotional assessments. And so they have been completed and were received by the September 30th deadline, as indicated in the improvement plan.

We found that report to be thorough and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comprehensive. So just as a note from being on the oversight side, when we see that they're thorough and comprehensive, we feel good about that being not just a checking-the-box type item for a school, but something that they're taking seriously, which they very well should, as part of their mission.

Lastly, the school has not sent next-step plans, as required in the improvement plan. As of the filing of this report, there will be an instruction -- or -- during the instructional audit on the 20th and 21st, we will audit those plans for completion. So we'll be able to take a look at those next week in person to ensure that they have those plans in place.

And the school submitted its charter renewal application on time before the October 1st deadline, and so that application is currently being reviewed as part of our time line.

That's the full update on Anthony Charter.

THE CHAIR: Could I ask you, please -- and I hate to take a few minutes here of Commissioners' time. But I need to be sure on the improvement plan itself, which is -- everyone has in their notebook -- I want to be able to mark off the items that are done.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. PAHL: Uh-huh. Sure.
2	THE CHAIR: If you would walk through this
3	with me?
4	Item No. 1 is the EPSS plan, will be
5	uploaded by September 1st. Is that done?
6	MR. PAHL: Madame Chair, yes, that is
7	completed.
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. Item No. 2, the first
9	round of Discovery Short-Cycle will be completed by
10	September 15th. Is that done?
11	MR. PAHL: Yes, Madam Chair.
12	THE CHAIR: By September 30th, the school
13	will have submitted the aggregate data, and so
14	forth. That's done?
15	MR. PAHL: Yes.
16	THE CHAIR: And you are providing the
17	information at this October 17th meeting.
18	They have done the SSIS by September 20, a
19	report on completion to you all by September 30.
20	That's done.
21	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair
22	THE CHAIR: However, Item No. 4, the PEP
23	plans will be completed by October 15th, and the CSD
24	will report this on October 17th.
25	You have not received that data.



1	MR. PAHL: We have not received the data.
2	We've received an assurance that it has been
3	completed. Next week when we're in the school, we
4	will check for those PEP plans.
5	THE CHAIR: You know, that I understand
6	I'm a Type A personality; I honestly do. But if I
7	were operating under an improvement plan, and it
8	said I would have this data to the CSD on the 15th
9	of October, if I had to walk up here and bring it to
10	you, it would have been up here on the 15th, because
11	as far as I'm concerned, it's late.
12	Everybody can have their own opinions.
13	But it was due the 15th, not next week when you go
14	down there and do it for them.
15	And frankly, I would appreciate it if you
16	would sort of pass that on, that a due date is a due
17	date.
18	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, absolutely.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay. I appreciate that.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Maybe we should start
23	calling them "deadlines."
24	THE CHAIR: Make it even more forceful,
25	huh?



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah.
2	THE CHAIR: Okay. And so, actually, on
3	this list, Item No. 4 is the last item you've
4	reported on.
5	MR. PAHL: That is correct, Madam Chair.
6	And in the future, for the Commission, we can supply
7	this report with either checks or a a
8	something that indicates that it hadn't been
9	completed on time. I think that's a good way to do
10	it for you, so you can see that.
11	THE CHAIR: That will be helpful. Thank
12	you very much.
13	Please go ahead.
14	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
15	Commission, our next School of Concern is La
16	Academia Dolores Huerta. The school has been put on
17	our list as a School of Concern for two reasons.
18	There was some construction that was happening in
19	the building that was oh.
20	MR. CASILLAS: May I?
21	THE CHAIR: Let's see if we have questions
22	first. And then if we do, we'll ask you to come on
23	down.
24	MR. CASILLAS: Thank you, Madam Chair.
25	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the



1 Commission, some construction was occurring at the 2 school that was not done with prior approval. Secondly, three board members left and were 3 4 replaced. And that's significant board turnover. 5 And as you know, the governing council of the school is the leadership of that school. And so any time 7 we see significant turnover of a board at one point 8 in time, it raises that -- at least that yellow flag that something is amiss there. 9

We have been talking with the school leader, Mr. Casillas, as well as other members who have been associated with the school in the past.

Next week, the Charter School Division will be down at the school site, where we'll be interviewing governing council members, both past and present, to investigate why the turnover occurred, and also just verify some of the -- the items that needed to happen as a result of the construction that had occurred at the school.

So, again, at the next PEC meeting, we should be able to provide you with a robust report, answering your concerns as outlined in your letter to us.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioners, do you have questions of Mr. Pahl or Mr. Casillas?



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Commissioner Toulouse?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
3	have concerns that when you have that kind of
4	turnover on a board, that they get training, that
5	they understand their roles.
6	Do we have any information as to whether
7	they know at all what their roles are, either on the
8	financial side or as a governance group?
9	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioner
10	Toulouse, that would definitely be something we
11	would ask; but with Mr. Casillas here, maybe he
12	could address that question.
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, may I
14	have him Mr. Casillas address
15	THE CHAIR: Mr. Casillas, come on down.
16	MR. CASILLAS: Good morning, Madam Chair,
17	Commissioners, Mr. Pahl.
18	Octavio Casillas, director of La Academia
19	Dolores Huerta. We do have a turnover. And we do
20	have the new members that have been selected for the
21	governing council. They are registered for the
22	Coalition conference to receive the appropriate
23	training.
24	And, actually, two of them three of the
25	members of the four new members, three of them



have served on councils before. One of them has not 1 2 served before, but he -- she was getting training for the -- to be able to make correct decisions --3 4 proper decisions. 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. 6 THE CHAIR: Did that answer your question, 7 Commissioner? Yes, Madam Chair. 8 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you very 10 much. 11 Other questions from Commissioners? 12 Mr. Pahl? 13 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the 14 Commission, I'd just like, also, to just add that 15 Mr. Casillas has been very cooperative during this 16 time when they've been a School of Concern. He has 17 reached out proactively to see, you know, prior to a Commission meeting, if there is anything he can do, 18 19 any information he can provide. 20 So I just wanted to acknowledge that 21 Mr. Casillas has been very cooperative during this process, as he is listed as a School of Concern. 22 23 Thank you very much for that. THE CHAIR: 24 Any other questions? 25 Commissioner Bergman?



1 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Not a question; 2 it's more of an observation. Do we not already 3 require schools to notify us when they hire new 4 board members? And if the answer to that question 5 is "no," then my question would then become, "Should we require some notification from schools when 6 they're chang- -- they have -- just, if nothing 7 else -- here, again, just like we asked them to let 8 9 us know you're moving, as a matter of keeping us 10 informed that they have a new board member and that 11 they are qualified and all this? 12 Now, they may not have their training yet. 13 They have a window where they can get that training. 14 So I kind of ask that question, I quess. 15 Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Commissioner 16 THE CHAIR: 17 And I think that is a valid observation. Bergman. Since the board -- the governing council then 18 becomes the Board of Finance, it's very important 19 20 that we are aware that, number one, they have a sufficient number of people on their governing 21 22 council; and, number two, that those people then are 23 qualified and become the people on the Board of 24 Finance. 25 So we do need that information. And so.



Mr. Pahl, is that something we can just ask you to follow up on? Or is there some bigger procedure that we need to put in place?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I think the answer is both. I'd like to sit down with Mr. Granata and see what kind of -- what part of the law we can leverage to do that.

As I understand, in past years, that had been a practice that was diligently looked after.

But over the last one or two years, that hasn't happened. So it's something that we've discussed internally at the Division; it's something we want to make sure we are -- we are in the know about.

And I think I'm interested in talking to Mr. Granata to see -- make sure we have the foundation for that, and then potentially provide a report, you know, each month that just shows you the turnover. I'm not sure what I can commit to now. But, yes, you have my agreement that this is something that we need to be keeping everyone appraised of.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. I think in the past, that probably CSD has been notified. But the breakdown was the -- then, the report to PEC. So if you would take care of that, we would



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 appreciate it. Okay? 2 Thank you. 3 Commissioner Carr? 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: I don't want to 5 belabor it. But, you know, I -- you know, I think it's important that we know there's no conflict of 6 7 interest with these board members, obviously. then I would -- I mean, I, personally, as one 8 Commissioner, you know, if you -- if you just change 9 10 one board member, and -- you know -- and notify the 11 Charter Division, you know, so that we have their --12 that person's name, and we know what's going on, 13 that would be enough for me. 14 But then if the Charter Division detects a 15 significant turnover and a red flag comes up, then I 16 really want to know, just like you have done it 17 today. 18 MR. PAHL: Yes. 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Are we ready to move 20 on? 21 MR. CASILLAS: May I just add something, 22 Madam Chair? 23 THE CHAIR: Yes. 24 MR. CASILLAS: I welcome any questions 25 from any of you. And I know that we report to the



CSD, and we report to you, as well. But if you guys ever have -- any of you -- any questions, you can call me at the school; you can call me to my cell phone; you can send me an e-mail, and I will answer any question you have.

I will report -- I will have the governing council president write you an e-mail so that we can answer any questions you may have, Mr. Pahl, about the governing council turnover.

But for anybody, if you have any questions ever, please contact me, because I'll do everything I can to get you the information.

I brought blueprints, official blueprints from the construction, the inspection certificates and everything. So if you guys have any questions, want me to make you copies of anything, please let me know, and I'll provide them promptly.

Thank you very much for having me here.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that.

Just a point of clarification, Commissioners don't directly contact schools. Our protocol is to go through either our attorney or through our Staff, through -- in this case, it would be Mr. Pahl.

So I think -- I appreciate your offer to respond to Commissioners' questions. But I think



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our protocol will be to go through these folks.

MR. CASILLAS: Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Pahl, go ahead.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioners, our final School of Concern is Dream Diné. As you know, Dream Diné had issues with having their permanent facilities completed and ready for the opening of school. They are now housed at Hogback Chapter House, as they get the -- as they -- as they have their permanent facility completed.

The school plans to remain at Hogback
Chapter House until the building is approved by CID
and Navajo Nation Authorities. The permanent
facility has an E-Occupancy rating, as well as an
acceptable weighted NMCI index rating.

Final concrete pouring has been completed as of Monday, October 13th. And the Navajo Tribal Utilities Authority will have a crew on-site to complete electrical connections over the next two weeks.

The lease at Hogback Chapter House right now runs until December. The school feels like it has -- that's enough of a window; they feel like they'll be out of there much sooner. And there is a



possibility to extend that lease, if need be. But their current time frame would suggest that they will be in their permanent facility before the end of that lease.

THE CHAIR: That's good to hear. I know we've -- we worried about this school. They've been on all of our minds.

It also concerns me that parents are driving many miles to get their children to this school. So I will be very happy to see them get in their permanent location.

Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair and members and others, this is just an example of lack of communication, because the school knew in March, and they sat right here in front of us and told us they would be ready. You know, that was very disturbing.

But it's not -- they're not the only ones.

AIMS, who we just talked about a minute ago, I think
you will find that they've moved into two more
classrooms over at UNM and didn't bother to tell
anybody.

You might want to look at that. But that's what I've heard through the grapevine, that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they went to UNM and got two more classrooms.

So -- but that's just an example of what's going on out there. They -- some of charters -- a lot of them -- most of them don't. The charters out there do things and then beg for forgiveness.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that.

Mr. Pahl, is there some way we might could be proactive on this facilities issue, maybe send a letter to all of our charter schools reminding them that any change in facilities needs to first be brought to this Commission for approval?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, I can work with Mr. Granata on that to make sure we're on the same page there. We've been -- I have been meeting with Martica on a monthly basis, just to -- just to get that update, so we have more information. It's -- it's an odd -- there are some odd separations here of who does what. And I think some of our most recent facilities issues have highlighted those.

So we're -- we're sitting through those.

The PSFA is often the prime point of contact for facilities issues. And I think you're right, that there needs to be some clarification that while PSFA is the entity that you contact regarding official



approval of these matters, they need to be clear on 1 2 at what steps do they come to the Commission. 3 would be happy to work with Mr. Granata on that. 4 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant? 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I think this morning, we had a perfect example of how the 6 7 process should start, when we had a charter school 8 sit right out here and say, "We're telling you, we 9 want to move. We're letting you know now, so 10 there's no question. And we'll come back to you 11 when everything is in place." 12 Perfect example of forward-looking. 13 Amazing concept. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: And that's just my thought. 15 letter to our charter schools, to our State charter 16 schools that says, "If you're considering a move, 17 you need to let the PEC know through CSD." And that doesn't mean they have to come 18 19 here, take time away from their school. We're not 20 requesting that. Let us know, and then come back when they have the final -- or when they're ready 21 22 for final approval to make the move. 23 Madam Chair, if I may? MR. PAHL: 24 THE CHAIR: Yes. 25 MR. PAHL: I appreciate that comment,



because I think that is -- that, for us, I think, is -- is a difficult thing to ask some, in some cases, somebody coming up from the southern part of the state just to notify. And I think that's what we're talking about here is a notification on the front end, with the knowledge that you come here with certain materials and certain knowledge.

I think there's a set of questions that they should be prepared to answer. And if we can work on a protocol for how to do that, maybe have it as part of the Director's Report or a separate item in which CSD can inform the Commission of, "Here are the schools who are thinking about expanding," and just the high-level thoughts on what they're thinking while they're doing that.

THE CHAIR: I think first, we know to notify them and say, "Friends, everybody, keep this in mind."

MR. PAHL: Yes, uh-huh.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

By the way, while we've got a lull, I'd like to welcome Heidi from LESC. We're used to having Ken with a mustache. And we know that's not Ken. But we thank you for being here. Appreciate it.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



Please go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, we have an update on renewal charter school applications. We sent out the time line at the last PEC meeting. And I -- not much of an update at this point in time. We sent out the CDs. With everyone here, the Commission can feel free to ask for additional materials.

If you want it on a jump drive or anything of that nature, I'd be happy to help you out with that after the -- after the Commission meeting.

But aside from that, there -- we are reviewing the applications as we speak, and we are getting our analyses prepared so we can share those with the schools and then with you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.

Ouestions? Comments?

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just have one question. Are all the applications up on the website now? Commissioner Pogna has indicated to me that -- as she's already discussed, that when she tried to access them on the website, she could not get to them. Are they there now, and can we get to them if they are there?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the



Commission, no, they are not. We learned something in this last round of application submissions. In a lot of the applications, there are pictures of students and otherwise identifiable information. We are working on redacting that information. That's the reason why.

So in the future, we will make a request on the front end of saying, "We don't want that in there." As much as it's nice to see students in classrooms, it is creating extra work for our Staff prior to being able to post those.

But I would expect those, in the next two to three working days, online and posted. And I can send communication to the Commission letting you know when they are up.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that, Director. Then I would ask, since we did make some changes in our work session yesterday to some of our documents, that as you sit down with Josh, we might as well incorporate something along those lines in these changes right now for the next year, with the Chair's approval of course.

THE CHAIR: I think that's a great idea.

Other Commissioners have any thoughts on



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Okay, thank you.

Please go ahead.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, a Charter School Division staffing update. We've been interviewing for new positions. And it occurred to me in a conversation with the Chair at the LESC meeting that the Commission may not know just how many vacancies we have right now and what we're trying to fill.

There are three charter school liaisons that we're looking to fill, three empty slots there, and one -- more someone who works on our database system; so snapshots that we have provided in the past, we have someone who works on that kind of data and some of the communications that we have out to both the PEC and the Charter Schools Division.

So in total, there are four Staff members that we're looking to hire for. We've had postings; we've been doing interviews. And I was hoping to be able to talk about someone newly on board, but we're waiting for some paperwork to come through. So in the near future, you'll be able to hear more about that.

I'll also note, just as a -- this is an aside. But Deputy Secretary Aguilar and myself were



able to visit Taos Academy in between our last two 1 2 visits here. It was nice to be able to see one of the charter schools. They were celebrating the 3 4 opening of a new building there and having their fall fiesta. Obviously, Commissioner Carr was 5 And it was nice to get a tour of the school 7 and see what one of our highfliers was doing, and also get to experience walking around a school site 8 with one of our Commissioners. 9 10 So I thank Taos Academy and thank 11 Commissioner Carr for having us there that night. 12 Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: I believe 13 we all got an invitation to that. 14 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. 15 THE CHAIR: And I appreciate that. 16 we couldn't -- sorry, at least I couldn't make it, 17 but I'm glad at least you all were able to. Any other observations on that? 18 19 Please go ahead, Mr. Pahl. 20 MR. PAHL: The last item in the Director's 21 Report is an update on the performance frameworks. 22 You know, in the past -- we recently made a change 23 to this in the work session. But we're currently under -- these schools are under a framework that 24 25 looks a little different from that change that



included a requirement for schools with a D or F grade to begin -- to have an improvement plan in place, and an option for schools request a C grade to either create an improvement plan or not.

The CSD has reached out to those schools, and has asked them for their proposals of their plan by the end of the month. So all of those schools should be on improvement plans by November.

I can share with you the names of those schools that are on performance framework -- they're on the new performance frameworks that received a D or F grade; and as a result, they are -- they have a mandatory requirement to complete an improvement plan.

Those are International School at

Mesa Del Sol, La Resolana, La Tierra Montessori, New

America Charter School in Albuquerque, New Mexico

Connections Academy, and North Valley Academy.

Schools with a C grade that have the option to enter into an improvement plan are Cesar Chavez, Creative Education Preparatory Institute, Media Arts Collaborative, New America/Las Cruces, School of Dreams Academy, and Media Arts Collaborative.

THE CHAIR: How many is that, total?



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PAHL: I'm going to have to count. 1 2 That is 12 total, Madam Chair. 3 THE CHAIR: And all of those are due by 4 the end of the month? MR. PAHL: Yes, they are due to us by the 5 end of the month. But the process that we envision 6 7 is taking a look at those improvement plans and doing an analysis, ensuring that that really is 8 attacking some of their deficit. So there may be 9 10 some back-and-forth of some things that we may see 11 that, based on their school grade, they may want to 12 include in that plan, so it's a well-rounded plan. THE CHAIR: 13 And so you will be bringing 14 those to us in November for approval? 15 MR. PAHL: Yes, Madam Chair. 16 THE CHAIR: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant? 18 19 COMMISSIONER GANT: These improvement --20 12 improvement plans -- if they end up being a total of 12 -- it's just another check mark -- and I know 21 22 you all talked about it, and Commissioner Bergman 23 talked about it at the LESC subcommittee meeting -but it's just another long list of what the CSD has 24 25 to do every year. And it's going to happen year



after year after year, particularly when the PARCC is instituted next year.

Staffing. I mean, this is just another nail in the coffin for staffing. And it's just not going to get any better. And I don't think the allocated slots are enough. You know, they have so many slots that they can fill. I think they need more slots, more allocation for personnel than what they've got now.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would like to weigh in on that, also, because I agree with -- you say you're looking at three new liaisons, Matt.

That would give you five, by my count. And we already have close to 60 schools; so if we get fully staffed with five liaisons, that means each liaison is obviously going to have to oversee at least

So I agree; I do not believe five liaisons is adequate staffing, in my estimation. I guess I'm speaking personally now. But we don't even have those three yet. So it -- we're really between a rock and a hard place here right now. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Matt, just for clarification,



12 schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24



1 this is the number that you're seeking right now. 2 How many overall is CSD allocated in the budget? 3 How many liaisons and how many -- how many other 4 positions that are not filled is CSD allocated? MR. PAHL: Those would be all of them. 5 That's -- we are looking to fully staff, and those 6 7 are the slots we've been allocated, yeah. 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Then I would note 9 10 that as PED and CSD looks at next year's budget, you 11 should begin to -- whatever you can do, being a part 12 of a chain of command, that, here, again, to try and 13 seek more slots in that next budget. 14 I know everything has got a process, 15 especially with State bureaucracy and State 16 government. But I would say you should not wait any longer. You should be asking, in the next budget 17 cycle, for additional staff. Somebody should be. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Absolutely. 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'd like to repeat what I've said at other meetings, 21 22 too. It's good to have this completely filled. 23 these people all have to get up to speed.



you a while at any job. No matter how much you know

and meet the requirements for the job, the actual

24

job performance itself takes, if you're lucky, six months to be up to speed, more likely a year, to be absolutely sure of everything that goes into using the knowledge you bring into that position.

I mean, I went through any number of promotions and job changes and all kinds of different responsibilities. And to me, that was -- and hired enough people over the years, that's my experience. And it's six months to a year before you can expect somebody to be absolutely fully able to do all of that job without a lot of help and oversight.

THE CHAIR: I'm writing myself a note; so please give me a minute.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, may I adjust one item?

THE CHAIR: Please do.

MR. PAHL: Just regarding some comments by Commissioner Gant, Madam Chair, and the Commission, the improvement plans are more of a burden on the school in this case. And I bring it up not just for the conversation the Commission is having on staffing, but it's an important step in us showing schools that they need to own their data.

And so I am excited about the plans that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we will get from schools, and I'm excited about the 1 path that we're moving forward on using the 2 performance frameworks as a tripwire to see what the 3 plan is for -- for both obtaining data, analyzing 4 data, using that to inform instruction in the 5 future, which should be happening at every school. 7 But when we see that D or F grade, it's really going to -- we get to put that extra oversight on that 8 9 type of practice. 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. 11 Appreciate that. 12 Any other comments? 13 All right, Commissioners, then let's go to 14 Item No. 9 on the agenda, which is 2014-'15, PEC 15 Meeting Calendar. And if you'll notice, behind the tab for 16 17 No. 9, Beverly has provided us with a copy of our 2014 meeting calendar. And as we ask her to do, and 18 she has so graciously done, she's given us some 19 potential calendars to look at. 20

The first one I see is that our meeting dates are moved to later in the month. And we talked about that, that maybe the third or fourth Friday would be better than the second Friday, as we seem to have a lot of conflicts and meetings that



21

22

23

24

1 run really close to each other. So that's this first calendar that she's given us for 2015. 2 Then the other 2015 calendar -- Beverly, 3 if anything is marked on here, I don't see it, so --4 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners, 5 my intent was to put meetings on the second Friday, 6 7 and it didn't come out on that. It left it blank. 8 But what we can do is use that one and actually make 9 final dates, if you wish, to go through that. 10 Those of you with the computer agenda 11 items, I -- there was one item that you all have 12 that other people don't have. And that is a 13 calendar with holidays on it. And I meant to have 14 that included, but somehow it didn't come out with 15 the printing. And so people who have that have that one, also. 16 17 THE CHAIR: It's online. You did send it 18 to us, but --19 MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes. THE CHAIR: Commissioners, what's your 20 preference? Do you want to consider this one with 21 22 later meeting dates on it, or do you want to talk 23 about earlier dates? What? 24 Commissioner Bergman? 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I -- as I



look at this, I immediately had some concerns, 1 2 because putting all our meetings that late in the 3 month -- traditionally, our meeting in January has 4 been that second Friday. One is because it's 5 beginning our new year; two, we have to do a number of things. As I've noted again, as I'll discuss 6 7 here in a little while, we also have a great number 8 of negotiations that are going to have to be adapted to this calendar, also. 9

And I notice that the August -- our tour is pushed back one week. We have always had it on the third week, because of our time lines and everything. If we leave that tour to the last week in August, that only leaves four weeks between the tour and the decision meeting. So, yeah, I believe that we're not ready to accept this document.

I think I'd say it has to be tied with the calendar that Matt and I kind of -- that we started working on yesterday. There are so many things that have got to go into this. Because of our increased workload in the past, our calendar -- it wasn't that hard to put a calendar together. We're going to discover now we're really going to have to -- it's not just we'll pick some dates and go forward; it's not going to be that easy anymore. So I think we



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

need to give some more thought to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: So, Commissioner Bergman, you're talking about setting the negotiation dates around meeting dates, to the extent possible?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We almost have to.

If you'll remember, for the benefit of everyone else
in the spring, whenever possible, I worked with

Julia, and that's what we did. We did that for
several reasons:

One, several Commissioners have to travel to come to these negotiations. And as I looked at our initial calendar this morning, I saw that we would have weeks where we would come up here or Albuquerque or Las Cruces to do some negotiations, and a week later, we had a meeting.

So I talked to Julia. I said, "Whenever we can, it just makes economic sense and is easier on us, as Commissioners, that we just have to make one trip instead of two."

So yes, I intend to work with Matt. In fact, that was going to be my suggestion, that by next month, I was going to ask all our Commissioners to really be thinking about at least January, February, and March, and we at least establish our calendar next month for at least that first three



months. And then Matt and I can really sit down, and we can target those first three months to get the negotiations in.

I noted yesterday -- but some were not here yesterday -- we have three new schools that we just approved. I believe it's -- the best way to handle this situation is we do those three schools in January. They will already be into their third planning-year month; so they will already have their feet on the ground.

And that's not how Matt laid it out yesterday, but that's what I want to do. That's what I would recommend to this Commission. And then I suspect if we find we're going to -- that most of our renewals are approved for renewal, I would think we need to at least stick a couple of the renewals late in January, give them as much time as possible from our December 12 meeting to begin, and for the Staff to begin to get ready for those negotiations.

But then I also believe, like I say, it's economic, and just makes sense to at least have some of the negotiations that -- in the days prior to our regular meeting.

So we will have to -- Matt and I will have to sit down, and I will present you a suggested --



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 when we have more data. But we're already -- we're already working on it. I wanted to share with 2 everybody, we're already working on it. And there's 3 4 a possibility that we could have as many as 5 26 schools that we've got to do by the end of May, do full-blooded -- I've also asked Matt -- I told 7 him that I, personally -- we did most of the 8 Albuquerque ones in that State Bar Association. don't know how my fellow Commissioners -- I thought 9 that was safely located, easy to get to, plenty of 10 11 The room that we had was adequately sized parking. 12 to do what we needed to do. 13 So I've asked Matt to begin to explore 14 that, that we can meet there again. Matt has said 15 it looks like we could have three schools in 16 Las Cruces; so I believe that means we're going to 17 have another road trip to sunny Las Cruces, the Playground of the South. And so I think it -- I 18 think it --19 2.0 THE CHAIR: Can I stay with you and have 21 dinner? 22 COMMISSIONER GANT: You will. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So I think we 24 probably will have one group of sessions in 25 Las Cruces.



He's also asked that we maybe have some in Santa Fe for the Northern schools. I believe we can probably do it -- I told him yesterday I wasn't sure about that, because I -- it's easier, as we all know, to get reasonably priced hotel rooms in Albuquerque and find venues to have these in than Santa Fe. Nothing against Santa Fe. Just more expensive every time we come here.

But since we're going to have meetings here, next time, I would look at suggesting we have maybe two days of negotiations in advance of one of our regular meetings.

I see the chairs facing us. We -- they are exhausting. That's why I said to the LESC,

"It's really four" -- and I've said to Matt -- "four in any given month." That's a chore.

THE CHAIR: Four in two days.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I think we may have to have six. And if we do have to have six in a month, we probably are going to have to split it out, do three at one time and three in another, or four in one. Because I can attest to what the Chair is thinking about. When we had those four in a two-day span, one in the morning, one in the afternoon, one in the morning, one -- for at least



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

old folks like me, that's kind of exhausting. 1 2 not because it's a lot of physical labor; but it's just sitting in those chairs and lots of 3 4 back-and-forth with the schools. THE CHAIR: It's a mental exercise. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 6 It's a mental 7 thing. So these are all things that are in my mind So when I finally flesh it out with 8 right now. Matt, we'll take all these factors into 9 10 consideration. So at some point in the future, 11 hopefully, we'll have at least some firmness to it 12 before the first year. 13 So I can ask all my fellow 14 Commissioners -- I'm going to invite you again this 15 I hope that all, including the new year. 16 Commissioners, when they're on board, will at least 17 think about coming to at least one negotiation. I think it's good for your knowledge and experience. 18 19 It's good for you to see the process firsthand, in 20 person. And it then allows us to spread the work a 21 little bit. 22 So all I'm thinking -- and Matt's 23 thinking -- we're thinking of all kinds of things right now. So we are working on it. And I'll be 24 25 talking to Matt from time to time as we flesh it



out. As soon as we have it fleshed out, we'll let you know.

But we've got to bring the dates together, the locations together, and match them up with -- as -- those of you that weren't at our work session yesterday, at one point, the Chair said something about when we have some "dull moments." Well, I'm not sure we have any dull moments on this Commission anymore. That's not what she -- "lulls." "We used to have lulls."

We don't have any lulls anymore. This is almost a full-time job anymore, because we do take it seriously, because we're talking about kids and their future.

So I just wanted everybody to know that we're working on it, and I'll have information for you as fast as we can get it to you.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Let me just ask a couple of questions, and then I'm going to have a suggestion.

If you all would look at the 2015 calendar that Beverly has put out -- I'm not saying the suggested calendar, because we asked her to just give us some meeting dates later in the month.

Commissioner Bergman has noted that the





road show in August is pretty late, if everything is 1 2 tied to the fourth Friday of the month. I'd like to get some kind of feeling --3 4 not necessarily consensus, but just some kind of 5 feeling from the Commission on do you really want to move our meetings later in the month? 6 7 much later in the month? If the fourth Friday is 8 too late, what about the third Friday? Can I -- even just a show of hands. 9 10 many of you think the third Friday would be better 11 than the second Friday? 12 (Show of hands.) 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It doesn't matter. 14 THE CHAIR: It doesn't matter? Okay. 15 What about the fourth Friday? And I 16 understand, we can move that road show real easily. 17 That's not --COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me just note, 18 19 as we think about these -- this calendar doesn't 20 show any holidays. Some of these fourth Fridays That's why I don't think we can 21 fall on a holiday. 22 say, just, "We're going to do it on the fourth 23 Friday." 24 THE CHAIR: You haven't heard my next 25 suggestion. You'll love it, too.



I'm just trying to get some direction from the Commission as to how late in the month you'd rather have the meetings.

So third or fourth Friday does not matter to anybody.

Okay. Even second Friday, if that proves to be more doable, okay?

What I would like to ask Commissioner
Bergman, Mr. Pahl, and Beverly, to work together to
come up with a recommended calendar. And if it can
only be the first three or four months of 2015,
that's fine. But we need to start getting some
dates, because we have people who work, and they
need -- if nothing else, they need to clear these
dates with their employers. So we're getting really
late and maybe inconveniencing some of our
Commissioners.

So, Mr. Bergman, I'm going to ask you to take on that responsibility of shepherding a recommended calendar for at least the first three or four months of 2015 that's going to show meeting dates and negotiation dates. And if they have to be tentative, okay, they're tentative. But let's get something on paper that we can work with.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'll be happy to do



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	that. I'm sure I can find a dull spot in my
2	schedule.
3	THE CHAIR: A lull, yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: A moment when I
5	really wish I had something else to do, and then I
6	will take care of that, yes, ma'am.
7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna?
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, could we
9	do anything about January, set our meeting date so
10	it doesn't fall during the Legislature?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I will consider all
12	factors as I do this.
13	THE CHAIR: I think that was a discussion
14	we had, to try to have our meetings in January and
15	February in Albuquerque rather than in Santa Fe, to
16	avoid I heard that. If that's not amenable, say
17	so now.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I believe that's
19	why we've always had it on that second Friday in
20	January was specifically to avoid the Legislature
21	and the parking problems.
22	THE CHAIR: That could be something you
23	look at. Commissioner?
24	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I don't think the
25	Commissioners know this. Last year, I got a \$500



1 parking fee. I did go to court in Santa Fe. 2 the moment she found out I was Public Education 3 Commission on my license plate, she dismissed me. 4 She dismissed the thing. But that's pretty steep. 5 THE CHAIR: To park -- what? You parked in a reserved spot or something? 6 7 COMMISSIONER POGNA: I squeezed into a parking -- knowing I was desperate, knowing the 8 meeting was going to start, I squeezed into a spot 9 10 that the lines extended just a little bit under my 11 I knew it was wrong, but I was desperate. car. 12 THE CHAIR: Handicapped? Okay. 13 Commissioner? 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I was 15 going to say the other advantage to being in 16 Albuquerque in those two months, as ones of you who 17 come up here know, if you can get a room, your rate isn't going to be anything you want -- but I find it 18 19 so hard in those months to get in here and try to 20 park and get in. And, I mean, I know I have a ride up. 21 22 come up with, you know, Senator Lopez. But then to get my ride back, I still, with my arthritis, have 23 to hike all the way across -- and it's not an easy 24



walk to get over to her office. Luckily, there's a

lot of benches, and I sit down. 1 I just know, between the parking -- you 2 3 can't find a place for a mile or more around here. 4 And then what the hotel rates are, it makes a lot of sense if we could move to another location for the 5 Legislature months. 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I will work with 7 8 Beverly on that, because Indian Market, that other 9 big market -- there's some kind of arts thing. And 10 if we can schedule meetings that fit our schedule 11 and avoid those things, that's what I hope Beverly 12 can help me with, and Mr. Pahl. There are so many 13 factors that go into this. 14 THE CHAIR: There are. There are. Keep 15 in mind, though, this is our home right here, and we 16 want as many meetings here as we can. 17 Commissioner Parker? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, 18 19 Commissioners, I just wanted to say, I don't 20 anticipate any conflicts with my schedule. THE CHAIR: We will miss you, 21 22 Commissioner. 23 And one last thing that has occurred to 24 If, in fact, you recommend -- this group that me.



I've asked to work together -- if you recommend our

1 January and February meetings in Albuquerque, please 2 remember that we have used the upstairs meeting room 3 at the Balloon Museum in the past, and it's very 4 It's large, and accommodates a lot of people, 5 and it is just a really nice facility. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It is, but you 6 7 remember, they always seem to have some noisy thing 8 going on in the next room. But it was a nice 9 facility, yes. We'll work with the group. 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, maybe 11 Mr. Tolley could see if APS would also offer us 12 meeting space. 13 THE CHAIR: There's another option. 14 MR. TOLLEY: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Committee, I would be more than happy to do that. 16 If I have a date ahead of time, we'll see if we can 17 get a room at APS. Sounds wonderful. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 There's another option for you. There you go. 20 Okay. So let's leave this one on the table, 21 22 knowing that we have folks working on it. And if 23 anyone can help you with that in our lull time, by all means. 24



Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

1	THE CHAIR: I just lost my agenda. Ah.
2	Found it. I put it away too clearly too well.
3	Next item on the agenda is Report on the
4	LESC Subcommittee Meeting.
5	I was invited to testify before the LESC
6	Subcommittee Charter School Subcommittee meeting.
7	Commissioner Bergman was there. Commissioner
8	Toulouse was there.
9	This is the handout that I had for the
10	Subcommittee members. And I'd like to share it with
11	you, just so you'll know do we have enough?
12	Does everyone have one?
13	Beverly does not? Beverly, if you
14	wouldn't mind sharing, or, Josh, you can give her
15	yours.
16	MS. FRIEDMAN: No, that's all right. I'll
17	share.
18	THE CHAIR: I'll be glad to give you a
19	copy to make, or whatever you want to do.
20	When Representative Stewart, who is Chair
21	of the Subcommittee, asked me to come and report, I
22	asked her, "What do you want me to tell you?"
23	And she said, "Just tell us what you do."
24	Oh, my. And so the first page you're
25	looking at is a yearly time line. And I just really



tried to set out the big things that we do: Notices of intent, contract negotiations, renegotiations, all those kinds of things.

Down below are the other things that we do. The list is not inclusive, by any means; but I was trying to give them a flavor of what our year looks like.

And then starting -- on the second page, starts with some spreadsheets, starting with 2009. And my intent here was to show how many applications we get every year; of those, how many we approve; and then just the schools, and then the progression. You'll see from 2009, 2010, over to '11. And 2012, then, is when the requirement for the negotiating of contracts came into play. So you will see that we started negotiating contracts with these schools.

We closed Village Academy that year. And Taos International School requested a second planning year.

So far load is not too heavy, okay?

Next page. 2013. Here's where we
negotiated contracts with the new schools that were
approved: Dream Diné, Explore, Health Science, and
Taos International, because they, again, had that
second planning year.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

You can see the number of renewals that we negotiated contracts with, since this was their first year to come under contract. It wasn't a renegotiation, a performance framework; it was a full-blown contract.

And then renegotiation of what we were calling "the first-year schools," those schools that had already completed one year of a contract, and, by statute interpretation, were coming back for their first renegotiation of the academic performance framework. That added up to 24. Okay?

2014. We had eight applications, as you know. Two of those dropped out. And my list is wrong here, and Beverly caught it. We actually approved three schools, okay? My spreadsheet -- the next spreadsheet is correct, but this one is not correct.

We approved three schools: DEAP,

Technology Leadership, and Sandoval County Bilingual

Academy.

Then below that are the renewal schools that we will be considering. We have their applications right now. There are 23 of them. That includes East Mountain High School.

Mr. Pahl, is it official that they are



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 going to renew with APS? Madam Chair, members of the 2 MR. PAHL: 3 Commission, we did not receive a renewal application 4 from East Mountain, so I assume that's correct. THE CHAIR: So we can take East Mountain 5 off that list and change the total to 22. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair? THE CHAIR: Matt -- Mark -- there are too 8 9 many names that I'm supposed to be keeping up with 10 today -- may I ask if East Mountain did, in fact, 11 submit a renewal application to APS? 12 They did, in fact, submit a MR. TOLLEY: 13 renewal application to Albuquerque Public Schools. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. So there 15 are 22 renewals. 16 Down in the bottom section, you'll see 17 performance frameworks renegotiations. All those first-year schools from last year become second-year 18 19 schools this year. All the new contracts from last 20 year become first-year schools, and all must have their academic performance frameworks renegotiated. 21 22 And that total is 24. 23 Now, then -- so the point I was trying to 24 make to LESC -- and they certainly got it very 25 well -- is the workload just -- it exponentially



keeps increasing on the PEC and on our staff. We --1 2 we just soon are going to reach the point, I'm 3 afraid, where we're not going to be able to do it 4 all. The next page is a comparison -- an SEG 5 comparison of districts and charter schools. 6 7 all know the SEG is the State quarantee of funding for schools. All schools -- I shouldn't say "all," 8 because I don't know that for sure -- but most -- I 9 10 think all schools -- receive other funding, as well, 11 Title I funding, special ed funding, blah, blah. 12 But this is just SEG funding. 13 Please notice APS is the largest in the We all knew that. 14 state. 15 Second is Las Cruces as a district, with 16 \$170 million funding. 17 Then if we grouped all charter schools together, both district and PEC-authorized, there 18

State charters, there are 60 of them. And I have -- I worked on that number for days, and I do believe it's correct -- 60 state charter schools, funded at \$97 million. District charters are 40; SEG funding of \$70 million. And the next largest district is Rio Rancho at 108.

are 100, and coming in at \$167.3 million.



19

2.0

21

22

23

24



Following that are special pages of 1 2 spreadsheet that list every charter school in the 3 And I started out with State charter 4 And you'll notice they're numbered, and some are in color, because, for instance, No. 15, 5 Dream Diné, is in green, because they're opening 7 this year. And I was using 2013-'14 SEG funding was 8 the best information I had, final year funding. And 9 so I had no SEG at all to post for Dream Diné. 10 11 The DEAP School was authorized just last month by us, of course; so, of course, they're in 12 13 operation with no funding. Health Science, again, I 14 had no funding for them. They opened this year. 15 Next page, Ralph J. Bunche. If you all 16 17

recall, we closed them at the end of the last school year. But to keep integrity with the numbers that I was using from the '13-'14 school year funding, I kept in their funding and their per MEM allocation or per MEM funding.

Sandoval Academy of Bilingual Education.

You'll see I did include -- I counted them in this one.

Taos International School opened '14-'15.

I had no numbers.



18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Technology Leadership was just approved, 1 2 authorized; so I had no numbers. And on the next page, The Learning 3 4 Community Charter School we closed at the end of 5 last year is in red. So you'll see that the total of 60 is 6 7 State charter schools. Total SEG at the end of last year was \$97,121,488.25. The 2 percent 8 administrative withholding, the fee that those 9 10 charter schools pay for administration services, 11 came out to \$1,942,429.77. 12 And that goes to authorizers of district 13 schools and to the Public Education Department for 14 State charters. 15 Then the next list are the district 16 charters. And I think we go through those fairly 17 quickly. I listed Albuquerque first. 18 They have 19 20 -- pardon me -- they have 19 charter schools, 20 funded at almost \$40 million. Then the rest are a compilation of the 21 22 entire state. The next with the most number is Santa Fe. 23 24 But since three of those are coming to us this year 25 for renewal, that leaves Santa Fe with only one.



1	the rest of the state combined has 21 charter
2	schools funded at \$30,520,000.
3	Then you can see a total for all charter
4	schools.
5	The last two pages are the budget and job
6	descriptions that Commissioner Toulouse and our
7	former attorney, Abby Lewis, put together some
8	months ago. And you'll see that they are using
9	Fiscal Year 2016 anticipated income. And so their
10	budget amounts to \$2.2 million.
11	So the SEG, of course I mean pardon
12	me the 2 percent withhold for administration
13	is I'm sorry. I read that wrong.
14	The 2 percent withhold is \$2.2 million.
15	The total budget and I know I saw it on
16	here somewhere. Perhaps it's not on here? Am I
17	just overlooking it? The total budget? It's not on
18	here.
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
20	don't know, because I didn't
21	THE CHAIR: I don't see a total.
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I didn't do this
23	piece. The one I gave you initially did total it
24	up.
25	THE CHAIR: I don't see it on this one.



1	But the 2 percent withhold would be \$2.2 million.
2	And then on the next page are job
3	descriptions. The only two that are missing from
4	here are Director and General Manager. And those
5	were provided later, but I didn't have a copy of
6	them.
7	So I just want you to know then, of
8	course, legislators had lots and lots of questions,
9	and we certainly answered those the best we could.
10	And Abby helped with that, Commissioner Toulouse,
11	Commissioner Bergman, also.
12	Commissioner Pogna?
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, this is
14	an excellent, excellent document.
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: It's the best I have
17	seen for a complete picture of everything.
18	THE CHAIR: It's that Type A personality I
19	mentioned a little earlier.
20	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Thank you.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank
22	you.
23	Any questions? Concerns? Issues?
24	Commissioner Bergman?
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No questions. No



concerns. No issues. But some observations. From sitting through that meeting.

This next legislative session -- they're all interesting, but I think particularly for charter schools, is going to be interesting and could be a challenge.

One, the LESC is going to present some kind of a rewrite of at least some portions of the Charter School Act. And anything they rewrite in the Charter School Act will impact on us, of course, in some way, shape, or form. So we are watching that closely.

But in light of the funding things the Chair just talked about, several of the legislators including Representative Stewart, after they looked at this document that our Chair presented to them, made outright comments that it looked to them like charter schools were overfunded.

And, boy, you hate to hear that, and that something needs -- as vis-a-vis the public schools.

And so if I was the Charter School

Coalition, I'd be real certain that I would be at
the Legislature this year to make sure that -there's always a fight over funding. But I think
it's going to be more challenging this year for



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

charter schools than it has been in the past. I really do, based just on those comments I heard. If that's the way they're thinking, it could be interesting.

And I did explain to them -- now, I know the LESC doesn't set -- the Legislature doesn't set staffing levels. I'm sure that's at the department level. But I pointed out kind of what -- expanded on what the Chair said. I pointed out to them that by the end of next summer, this Commission will have approximately 50 schools under contract. And I told the legislators, I said, "That means every year, we're going to have to renegotiate those 50 performance frameworks."

And I said, "Each year in the next three years, we're going to be adding to that. By the end of the next three years, we will have however many schools have chosen to be State-authorized by that point."

And I'm thinking the vast majority by that time are going to be State-authorized, if they keep choosing to come to us instead of the district.

Maybe APS won't, because they've got Mark to work with.

But I just said, "It's going to



2.0



exponentially grow."

1

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And based on that one legislator -- and it 2 3 may have been Legislator Stewart -- said she thought 4 our budget was pretty high, that budget number. I think Senator Brandt commented on that. 5 And I pointed out, I said, "When you look at what's going 7 to happen down the road in a year or two, I do not 8 believe" -- I told them I did not personally believe 9 that that budget was too high. In fact, it may be 10 too low.

And so we made these points to the legislators. Whether they listened or not, who knows?

But thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to expand on that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Appreciate that.

Commissioner Toulouse, do you have anything to add?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I just want to say, this Committee isn't through.

They will continue next year. We have a second round to go. I know at the request of the Director of the LESC, Abby has been assigned to help draft the legislation. So I know that what we need, she understands and will do her best to get in there.



But it is going to be difficult. And there's a lot of talk on eliminating transportation money for State schools. Leave it in for the district-chartered ones, because they assume that's part of the districts' responsibility, and just totally doing away with it with State-chartered schools.

There also is talk of eliminating the 2 percent to us and providing us a budget line item. And it's going to be interesting, because the last I heard from Representative Stewart, it's now 1 percent to us and 1 percent from them.

Anyway -- but there is discussion of that. So at some point, they're looking at that and where it goes and what it does.

But this is going to go on through next year, too. And I think, by then, we will have them really educated. They've learned a lot this year. They started thinking that they knew exactly what charter schools did, which is why we weren't asked for any input. And it's amazing. We got asked for input, now that they realize what the job is. At several of the meetings, people have even commented, "You people need a salary."

So I'd just like the per diem that they



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	get, rather than what we get. Because what is it?
2	\$154? Something like that?
3	But, anyway, it's been an interesting
4	experience.
5	And the Subcommittee, without the rest of
6	the LESC, is meeting on November 7th at APS, to do a
7	final meeting, and I do intend to go to that
8	meeting.
9	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
10	There is one question I'd like to ask
11	Mr. Pahl, because it was asked of us at the meeting,
12	and I didn't know.
13	This budget that we were just looking at
14	was submitted to PED back prior to the 1st of
15	September. I don't remember.
16	By statute, PEC can submit a budget
17	through PED that PED may not change, but PED sends
18	it on with their division department budget.
19	Representative Miera asked us, "Has this
20	budget been presented to the LFC?
21	And I have no idea. Do you?
22	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
23	Commission, not to my knowledge.
24	THE CHAIR: Not to your knowledge.
25	MR. PAHL: Meaning we have not presented



1	it to the LFC. So unless somebody on the Commission
2	has, then it likely has not been presented to the
3	LFC.
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. So we have that
5	information. Thank you very much.
6	Anything else on the Subcommittee?
7	All right. Let's move on to Legislative
8	Committee Report. Commissioner Carr, anything?
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: The only thing I have,
10	and I guess it dovetails from the previous
11	discussion, is that none of this is probably going
12	to matter until we get a different governor. So,
13	you know, most of it will probably be vetoed. So
14	but that doesn't mean we shouldn't continue to work.
15	THE CHAIR: That's right.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: But as soon as the
17	election is over, we'll know where we stand and what
18	we can go after. Then I will get to work.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,
23	Mr. Carr, one of the deals that the Subcommittee has
24	been trying to do is get their Republican members on
25	board so completely with this bill that it will then



become their responsibility to, if there is a continuation of this governor, to see that what is in there will be signed. So they will be working after the election, if it goes the way they want it to go, with her, prior to the session.

And that's part of why there will be some things in there we want, and some not that we want yet, and will be worked on. But we do seem to have -- even though there was a little bit of posturing from a couple of them, those people talked to me afterwards -- one of them in particular -- that, we're okay with that -- they just go on the record occasionally with some of this -- that there is a support for the changes that they're willing to make right now.

And to me, then we take what they put in there and don't try to get it changed, because it's -- on this particular bill -- we can put in other bills -- but whatever comes out of the LESC, we don't try to change that one, because that's been negotiated with those -- both the Democrats and the Republicans on the Committee.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much for that.

Commissioner Bergman, anything else from



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Charter School Committee?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think I've covered just about everything. Just to expand on what Commissioner Toulouse just said, it's been, for some of us -- yourself, myself, Mr. Carr -- we came on this thing six years ago. We're light years ahead of where we were then.

We still perhaps have some light years to go yet, but we're gaining some ground every year.

And, yes, we cannot stop until we've gained the ground that we -- we're an elected body that's mentioned in the Constitution of this state. We have a function that we're supposed to be doing.

We, therefore, need the things we're asking for. Whether we get them this year, next year or two years from now, we have to keep working until we get them, because we have -- we're talking about kids here. We're not talking about us; we're talking about kids. And that's all I care about is the kids. So thank you, Madam Chair Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

We're at Item 13, Open Forum. Beverly tells me there is no one signed up on Open Forum. That's great.

Executive Session. Mr. Granata, do we





1	need an Executive Session?
2	COMMISSIONER GANT: Are we doing PEC
3	Comments first, or after?
4	THE CHAIR: Let's do PEC comments, why
5	don't we, just because of you saw it.
6	Golly. I'm sorry. Let's start over here,
7	PEC Comments.
8	Commissioner Parker?
9	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Maybe just a
10	clarification for my thoughts earlier on this AIMS,
11	and I'm not sure if this is the right place, so stop
12	me if I go too far.
13	It just seems like everyone should have
14	the right to say what they want to about a situation
15	on that. However, when they start putting words in
16	other people's mouths and I think that's what
17	Josh was talking to I have a big problem with
18	that.
19	Had the vote been phrased with just taking
20	our comments, or the beliefs pertaining directly to
21	us, I would have been 100 percent for that.
22	So that's
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	Commissioner Toulouse?
25	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I



think I've probably said enough. Thank you. 1 2 Okay. Commissioner Carr? THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER CARR: I probably have said 3 4 too much a year ago. No, I'm -- the one thing I 5 wanted to bring up was that when they first started the A-through-F grading, we -- or the PED -- gave 6 7 banners to schools to put up that they were an A 8 school. I would like -- you know, we could put it 9 on another agenda. But I would like for the PEC to 10 11 offer those banners to charter schools. And I would 12 like to suggest that the PED do the same for the 13 traditional public schools, however we feel about 14 grading. 15 But I think, you know -- you know, we --16 and we don't give them a lot, you know. I certainly 17 don't want to give a banner to an F school, and I'm certain that they don't want to fly it. 18 19 But the A schools do want that, you know. 20 It's just like -- state -- when a school wins a 21 state championship, they get a big banner to put up 22 in their gym, you know, which is nice. 23 The -- and food for thought -- don't want 24 to start a big discussion. If we get -- just



something to think about. If we get Julia to help

us with these negotiations, and we get a good team of people to help us, I honestly feel like we're doing a little -- you know, and these are my words, not anybody else's -- I honestly feel like we're doing a little micromanaging.

I would like to see us have good people from the Charter Division do those negotiations, welcome us to be there and to take part, you know, in -- if we want, and then have it presented to us, and we say yay or nay.

I kind of feel like -- and based on what Commissioner Shearman was talking about, with our growing workload, we're going to reach a point where we won't be able to do it, anyway.

But just food for thought. I don't want to bring up a big discussion. And maybe I'm the only one that feels that way, but I just wanted to throw it out there.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, I appreciate you trying to lighten our workload. But as Mr. Granata tells me, by statute, the authorizer must do those negotiations.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Well, now, my discussion back would be that we can delegate that.

THE CHAIR: I don't want to.





```
1
               COMMISSIONER CARR: And that's fine if you
     don't want to. But, I mean, boards --
 2
 3
                           I'm speaking for myself.
               THE CHAIR:
 4
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   I'm speaking for
    myself. But boards -- local school boards sometimes
 5
     get too heavily involved in micromanaging.
 6
                                                 And some
    boards don't; maybe they don't do enough.
 7
                                                But --
     and it's fine if you don't want to.
 8
               I -- it's just a thought of mine. I -- if
 9
10
     we have good people that we trust --
11
               (Cellular telephone interruption.)
12
               THE CHAIR: That's Vince's phone that
13
     quacks.
14
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Oh, okay.
15
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And it's off, and
     it still does it.
16
17
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   It still does it.
18
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I've put my foot on
19
     it.
          I'm going to break it in a minute.
20
               THE CHAIR: That's our biggest
21
     entertainment.
22
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   I'll just end it
23
             I don't -- it's up to the Commission.
24
    putting it out there.
25
               THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.
```



Commissioner Gant? 1 2 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, yes, I do 3 have a couple of items. I have talked to a 4 charter-schooler solely in the south. We are down 5 there. The books for students that were paid for 6 7 by the airplane that was sold by the Governor --8 (Cellular telephone interruption.) COMMISSIONER GANT: You know, we're going 9 10 to start doing like we do in Rotary and charge money 11 every time those damn things go off. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't know what 13 else I can do. 14 COMMISSIONER GANT: Anyway, I got the 15 report from one of the charter schools that, yes, 16 they did get those books, but because the PED and 17 the State doesn't trust our professional educators, they have to report to whom they gave the books to. 18

Now, this is ridiculous. Professional educators -- and, oh, by the way, professional educators were not asked what books should be purchased with that money. And the report I got from one of the principals is that some of the books can't be used by the students; they're not beneficial to the students.



19

20

21

22

23

24

Next item is some of the charters have 1 2 said they have not got the IT money to support PARCC 3 execution yet, which they have been promised. 4 the PED does have \$5 million to help with that 5 process, but I don't know where that is. And on a side note -- this has been 6 discussed several times by legislators, many of them 7 that have some pull, just a side note. 8 Massachusetts is considering a moratorium on new 9 10 charter schools. And there is several reports out 11 that New Mexico is one of the 20-some states -- oh, 12 no, they're ranked 29th -- as not returning to the 13 pre-recession funding for schools. 14 Although, they claim they've given 15 \$100-and-some more per year per school in the SEG, they're still far, far -- way down. 16 They're listed 17 as 29th in the list of schools. And the last thing I can say is, if you 18 19 want to make a change, I'm not telling you how to 20 But you can figure where I'm going. vote. Get out there and vote. And for some of 21 you in some counties, vote often. 22 Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER CARR: Strike that, for the 24 record. 25 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.



1	Between you and Commissioner Bergman's
2	phone, we've had our laugh for the day.
3	Commissioner Conyers, do you have
4	comments?
5	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Not really a
6	comment. I wanted to clarify our next meeting. Are
7	we having a work session the day before?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It was suggested
9	yesterday, but we haven't discussed it.
10	THE CHAIR: That's right. It was thank
11	you for bringing that up.
12	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Okay. Well, I
13	just
14	THE CHAIR: Our next meeting is on
15	November the 14th.
16	Do we need a work session on the 13th?
17	Mr. Pahl, do you have a recommendation?
18	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
19	Commission, I believe there are a few items to
20	address, including performance frameworks
21	renegotiations. I think it's a good time to address
22	that.
23	I do not know, however, how much time we
24	would need for that. But I think my recommendation
25	would be to plan for one. And if it if it turns



1 out, after some discussion with Mr. Granata, that 2 it's -- and you, yourself, that it is not needed, then we take it away. But I do think we should plan 3 4 for one, because that's an important one to visit 5 that topic. 6 THE CHAIR: Okay. 7 Other comments? Observations? 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would suggest if 9 we're going to do that -- and I don't know that we 10 do need an eight-hour session, considering we had 11 one yesterday -- that if we do consider that, maybe 12 we would schedule it in the afternoon. 13 those of us that travel could drive up in the 14 morning. And here, again, we wouldn't have an extra 15 hotel night. Just consider that. 16 THE CHAIR: Beverly, did you already 17 reserve this room for the 13th? MS. FRIEDMAN: I did. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. So let's just go ahead 20 and schedule a workday on the 13th, and then the timing and content and need will be determined, for 21 22 sure, later, okay? 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And we could use 24 some of that time to maybe talk a little bit more 25 about the calendar, too.



1	THE CHAIR: That's possible.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The schedule,
3	negotiating the schedule with whoever's there that
4	afternoon.
5	THE CHAIR: Okay.
6	Commissioner Conyers, is that it?
7	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I think that was
8	about the only thing. I already know who I'm going
9	to vote for. And I'm going to try and vote
10	tomorrow. So
11	THE CHAIR: So he's going to vote early
12	and often, huh? All right. Thank you.
13	Commissioner Peralta?
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Other than the
15	laptop before me today made things a whole lot
16	easier for me today. So I really appreciate that.
17	THE CHAIR: Good. Glad to hear that.
18	Commissioner Pogna?
19	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I have spoken.
20	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you very
21	much.
22	Commissioner Bergman?
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. I would just
24	note, speaking of that, that Commissioner Peralta
25	and Commissioner Carr and myself, we're off to the



Big City on Monday to the NACSA convention. 1 So I'll be tied up in the NACSA thing next week. 2 3 Is anybody from the Staff going this year? 4 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioner 5 Bergman, we decided not to, given our workload right So we're going to keep working on those 6 7 renewal applications and hope that the next time the NACSA conference comes around, that we're able to 8 9 attend. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We've already had 11 some discussions about going to different classes, 12 as you guys have done in the past, so we can bring 13 as much new knowledge and information and papers 14 back with us as we can. So we'll work on that, too. 15 THE CHAIR: We'll look forward to hearing 16 all about it, and the beach, and the seafood. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: There won't be any time -- I'm not going to have a dull enough schedule 18 19 that I'm going to any beaches. 20 COMMISSIONER CARR: Last time I was in 21 Florida, they say they don't have any sharks. 22 yes, they do. That's a quote. That's a real quote. 23 You found them, huh? THE CHAIR: 24 All right. I have nothing else to say. 25 Mr. Granata, anything for the good of the



1 company? 2 MR. GRANATA: No, no comments. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 4 Mr. Pahl, one last word? 5 MR. PAHL: I think I have spoken enough 6 today. Thank you. 7 MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, please? 8 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Beverly? That's quite all right, 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: 10 Madam Chair. I would just like to mention that 11 Commissioner Carr and Commissioner Peralta and 12 Commissioner Convers did, indeed -- and, of course, 13 Mr. Gant, Commissioner Gant -- have used their 14 computers today instead of the hard-copy notebooks. 15 We did facilitate that kind of quickly 16 this morning. And there are a couple of options 17 that we can -- can do with that. But when I send out all of the items for the agenda, like I do, or 18 19 try to do about a week ahead of time, those can be 20 saved on your computer. And then you can bring your computer to the meeting and use that electronic 21 22 agenda instead of the actual notebooks. 23 And we'll still do that, you know, the 24 next couple of meetings to see what works best.



What we did today was I saved all of the items onto

1	a thumb drive and loaded them on the three
2	computers. And the three Commissioners did get to
3	use that.
4	And so whatever you would like to do with
5	that, we can do.
6	THE CHAIR: Okay. So, Beverly, what I'm
7	hearing from you is you send them out e-mail. You
8	can also upload them to the website, those
9	documents.
10	MS. FRIEDMAN: That's an option.
11	THE CHAIR: Or, I suppose, send it out on
12	a jump drive, if that's anyone's preference.
13	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair, I can have all
14	of the items on a jump drive and then load them on
15	your computer when you come to the meeting.
16	THE CHAIR: Once you get here. Okay. But
17	the uploading the documents to the website, which
18	makes them public documents, which they are but,
19	I don't know; it's just floating around in my
20	head is there a reason not to load all those
21	documents on the website?
22	Commissioner Bergman?
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't care. You
24	can load them wherever you want. But, Beverly, as
25	long as I am on this Commission, I want a book in



front of me for my meetings, okay? I know it's a 1 2 pain, perhaps. I thank you very much. want -- you don't see a computer sitting in front of 3 4 You never have seen a computer sitting in front 5 of me. You never will see a computer sitting in front of me. I'm too old for that. 6 I missed the 7 computer revolution. Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, on posting them and everything -- everything we have is 9 10 public knowledge, anyway. I don't remember ever 11 seeing anything on there that I wouldn't want the 12 general public to know, as well. 13 Maybe some charter schools may not like 14 it, in some cases. But I think it's -- you know, 15 the more open and transparent we are, I think the 16 better government we have. 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant? COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 18 19 I use my experience at Cruces on that board down 20 there. Way back in 2001-2002, we went to the 21 computers. 22 THE CHAIR: Paperless? 23 COMMISSIONER GANT: All Board members had 24 to use computers. We took the books away from them.



They had to learn to do it. And we put them on the

website for the public.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And nobody has ever complained. It's cut down on how much work the Staff has to do. And if somebody asks for paper -- asks for items that were on there, we tell them to go to the website, go down to the local library and get online and download it and print it themselves and pay for it. And we refuse to pay for stuff that's on the web that belongs to the School Board.

We cut down a lot of money and a lot of work. It all came PDF, and it was real easy to do. It's not a problem. And a couple of the Board members said they couldn't do it, and they were forced to do it, and they did it. And they learned how to do it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, nobody's going to force me to do that. I am just fine with a computer. I don't own one. I go to my daughter's -- because I have vision problems between the cataract lenses and my astigmatism and my bifocals, that make it very hard for me to see things from the light from the back, rather than coming down. And I'm going to have paper whether Mr. Gant wants me to have.



1	THE CHAIR: Why don't we do this, then?
2	Why don't we suggest or why don't we ask Beverly
3	to post the items online and still provide the
4	books, of course?
5	Now, Commissioner Bergman, would you
6	rather that the items were still e-mailed to you, or
7	would you rather get them on a have them loaded
8	from a jump drive well, no, you're not doing the
9	computer. Sorry.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, I read every
11	e-mail that comes to me. I still want the e-mails,
12	because I read them in advance before I ever see the
13	book. But I still
14	THE CHAIR: Beverly, how much more trouble
15	is that for you to not only e-mail them out, but
16	also to load them on the website?
17	MS. FRIEDMAN: None.
18	THE CHAIR: None. Let me suggest this:
19	Let's do it this hybrid way for a while. We'll
20	continue to get them e-mail. They'll be on the
21	website. We'll have books.
22	If some Commissioners really do not want
23	books, tell Beverly, and she won't prepare you a
24	book.
2.5	COMMISSIONER CARR: I don't want a book



1	THE CHAIR: And we'll just make it easy on
2	everybody.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Those that want a
4	book. Those that won't want a book, it'll cut down
5	on expense and time. I want a book.
6	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.
7	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I did take a
8	computer, a laptop. I haven't tried it yet. I've
9	spent a lot of time on computers. I love my
10	computer. And I spend hours on it. But this
11	frightens me. I still like I agree with
12	what's his name?
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: "Old what's his name."
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I like the e-mails
15	you send, and I like my notebook. I don't know how
16	I would carry my satchel with my lunch in it and a
17	computer and my purse. I just don't know.
18	THE CHAIR: Get one of those rolling
19	carts.
20	All right. Thank you all very much. Are
21	we through with comments? Okay.
22	Let me ask again. Mr. Granata, do we need
23	a an executive session?
24	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
25	I don't believe we need an executive session, unless



1 the Commissioners --Any Commissioner know of a 2 THE CHAIR: 3 reason why we need an executive session? 4 Good. We do not need one. 5 Friends, before we adjourn, please look down at the bottom of your agenda and look at those 6 7 important dates that Beverly has listed there. I 8 would ask that you communicate directly with Beverly if you know of an important date that you think 9 needs to be included on our list. 10 11 Commissioner? 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just looking -- I 13 just thought -- I want to ask the will of the 14 Commission. 15 We have, once or twice during the budget 16 workshop in Albuquerque, which is in April, I quess, 17 every year we have had a meeting in connection with that conference. And we once, I know, did schedule 18 with the Coalition -- when they were doing their 19 20 conference, we scheduled one of our meetings there. Is there any thought -- should those kind 21 of things -- should I factor that in as we discuss 22 23 schedules? Does anybody think that is beneficial to 24



meet during that -- or with the Coalition, one way

1 or the other? I see heads shaking "no." 2 THE CHAIR: My personal observation is a 3 lot of times, it's on a different schedule than our 4 meetings. So people have to -- if you think you're 5 meeting on the third Friday of every month, you sort 6 of get set up for that. And then this causes you to 7 change. 8 I also know that we meet -- generally have tried to meet in the same location wherever they're 9 10 having their convention or conference. It is -- it 11 has yet to be a satisfactory location. It's --12 generally, it's small; it's noisy. And I don't -- I 13 don't think that we get that much benefit out of 14 either one of those meetings, enough that it would 15 pay us to move our meeting to coincide with their 16 meeting. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Then I will 18 purposely avoid those --19 THE CHAIR: That's just my personal 20 opinion. Others may have a different opinion. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: They would rather 22 do it, then. 23 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I have no 24 preference. 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No preference?



1	I'll avoid them, then.
2	THE CHAIR: Anything else?
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, on the
4	schedule, I have to be back up here next week, or
5	the follow whatever the next three weeks. I
6	will get the schedule for the PSCOC, because they
7	usually have their meetings late in the week.
8	THE CHAIR: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: And we will
10	establish and that will affect, because there is
11	a representative required from this from here.
12	THE CHAIR: Right. And that would be good
13	information to have.
14	Heidi, could we ask that to the extent
15	that it's possible, we get future dates of the LESC
16	meetings? Or how far out do you have that
17	information?
18	MS. MACDONALD: Till December.
19	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry?
20	MS. MACDONALD: Until December.
21	THE CHAIR: Just till December? Okay.
22	Could we ask that, as those dates are established,
23	though, that you ask Frances to ship them to us?
24	MS. MACDONALD: Yes, yes.
25	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much,



1 because that's -- those are meetings we don't really 2 like to meet at the same time, okay? 3 Anything else? 4 Commissioner Gant? 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, just because an e-mail just popped up on another meeting 6 7 I have to go to, and that's the New Mexico Library Commission -- and I think someone needs to check 8 out -- is there a requirement for the PEC to be 9 10 there, because I frankly don't think this -- the PEC 11 really gets that much input to it, and there is 12 really nothing happening impacting what's going on 13 in life. 14 I don't think there's a THE CHAIR: 15 requirement. I think we've simply chosen to have 16 representation at certain groups. So I would think 17 maybe the first of the year, that would be an agenda item for us to look at, which of these organizations 18 do we want to continue to have a liaison, because 19 20 we're getting really stretched pretty thin. So with that in mind, anything else, 21 22 Commissioners? 23 It is noon. I can't believe that we have 24 set a record, I believe, this morning. Cindy, are your fingers on fire yet? 25



1	THE REPORTER: No, not even warmed up.
2	THE CHAIR: I appreciate it.
3	If there is no other business before the
4	Commission, I would like to hear a motion to
5	adjourn.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: So move.
7	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
8	THE CHAIR: Motion and second to adjourn.
9	All those in favor, please say "Aye."
10	(Commissioners so indicate.)
11	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
12	(No response.)
13	THE CHAIR: We are adjourned. Thank you
14	all for being here.
15	(Proceedings adjourned at 12:00 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
transcript of the work session had before the said
PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New
Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the matter therein
stated.
In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
hand on October 27, 2014.
_
Cynthia Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102



Job No.: 1501L