BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
PUBLIC MEETING January 16, 2015
9:00 a.m. 6400 Uptown Boulevard, NE
Albuquerque, New Mexico
REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc. Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
JOB NO.: 2053L(CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary
4	MS. KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER MR. JEFF CARR
5	MS. ELEANOR CHAVEZ MR. JAMES CONYERS
6	MS. PATRICIA GIPSON MR. GILBERT PERALTA
7	MS. MILLIE POGNA MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE
8	STAFF:
9	MR. MATT PAHL, Interim Director, Charter School Division
11	MS. JULIE LUCERO, General Manager, Options for Parents
12	MR. JOSHUA GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General, Counsel to the PEC
14	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Records, PED Liaison to the PEC
15 16	ALSO PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J. MILES HANISEE, New Mexico Court of Appeals
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
2.5	













1	THE CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, I call	
2	to order this regularly scheduled meeting of the	
3	New Mexico Public Education Commission. I would	
4	first ask Secretary Bergman for roll call, please.	
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
6	Armbruster?	
7	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Here.	
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
9	Chavez?	
10	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Here.	
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
12	Gipson?	
13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.	
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
15	Conyers?	
16	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.	
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
18	Peralta?	
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.	
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?	
21	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.	
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
23	Toulouse?	
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.	
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?	



1 COMMISSIONER CARR: Here. 2 Commissioner COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 3 Shearman? 4 THE CHAIR: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner 6 Bergman is here. Madam Chair, for the first time in about 7 8 two years, this Commission actually has ten members, 9 and you have ten members present. You do have a 10 quorum. 11 Thank you very much. THE CHAIR: 12 officially declare we do have a quorum. All ten 13 members here, and I welcome all of you, and our new 14 Commissioners that are to be sworn in in just a few 15 minutes. 16 I would like to recognize that we have 17 Senator Linda Lopez in the audience with us. And if there are any other Legislators that I don't know, 18 19 please holler at me. 20 The other thing I'd like to do before we get farther into the agenda is to thank Mark Tolley 21 22 and the Albuquerque Public Schools for providing us 23 this lovely location to have our meeting.



easy to find; and for those of us who are maybe not

from Albuquerque, that's sometimes a challenge.

24



behalf of not only the New Mexico Court of Appeals,

but the New Mexico Judiciary as a whole, let me take a moment to congratulate each of you and to thank you for your public service. I know how hard it is to have to campaign to participate in such important public service as this is. And I used to often interact with, probably, many of you, as all of us campaign. And everyone would always say to me, "Oh, my gosh. I don't know how you do it. It's a statewide race."

But, really, I don't know how you do it in a local race, because you go door-to-door, and you meet everyone, and you go back door-to-door; and in some ways, it's harder than driving from place to place.

I wanted to tell you that I believe it is a testament to your desire to do the positive things you're now going to get to do as Commissioners by virtue of the fact that you're willing to sacrifice your personal time and your energies to undertake that.

So thank you so much. The Public

Education Commission obviously needs such

sacrifices, and I want to congratulate each of you

individually. And, of course, I know several of

you; so it makes it all the more enjoyable for me





today.

Before each of you, you should see a document with the word "Oath" on it. So what you would presumably have done is fill in your name and then the -- the Public Education -- "New Mexico Public Education Commissioner" in the subject line. Then you'll sign it.

After I administer the oath, it will be notarized. And as you know, you'll take it to the Secretary of State along with \$3.00, and you'll be official for your whole term then.

I'm going to stand up, because I want to raise my right hand. And for those of you that are being sworn -- which of you are being sworn right now? If you could stand also, I'd appreciate it.

(Commissioners Chavez, Conyers, Peralta,

Pogna, and Toulouse sworn-in.)

JUDGE HANISEE: Congratulations, again, to each of you.

(Applause.)

THE CHAIR: Again, we congratulate each of our new Commissioners. We welcome you to the Commission. And at this point -- this is such an important occasion -- I would like for the new Commissioners to have an opportunity to introduce





1 any family or friends who are here to see them being 2 sworn in today. 3 So if we could start here. Karyl Ann? 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I live in Los 5 Alamos; so I --THE CHAIR: Eleanor. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't have any --8 THE CHAIR: Patti? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I was sworn in in 9 10 Doña Ana. James? 11 THE CHAIR: 12 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I don't have any. 13 THE CHAIR: Gilbert? 14 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I do. My wife, 15 Donna, is here this morning, along with my twin 16 daughters, Alex and Andri; and my mother, Georgia, 17 in the pink and black; my sister in the back, Grace, 18 and my brother in the back is Walter. 19 THE CHAIR: Welcome. We're so glad you're here. And Carmie? 20 21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 22 have my cousin and best friend, Senator Linda Lopez, 23 and her foster daughter, Cherish. And I have 24 somebody I grew up with and whose parents and mine 25 grew up together, and who is a retired teacher from



APS, Diane Summers.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I wish I had had Maggie. And it was my fault for sending her both agendas for yesterday and today; it was easier. And she read the first one and not the second one and thought it was 1:00. So I am very sorry that I didn't have my former daughter-in-law.

And I might as well -- even though most of the people on the Commission know it -- Cindy Chapman, who is doing our transcriptions, she and I -- she is Maggie's mother, and she and I share our oldest grandchild together. So she's basically my family, too.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

Thank you all for being here.

The next item on the agenda is Election of Officers. I'm going to ask Josh first to go through the procedure with us, and then we'll proceed from there.

MR. GRANATA: Good morning Commissioners, and everyone in the audience. I'm going to quickly go through the procedures for the elections of Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary for the PEC.

The elections are required to be held





annually. And since this is the first regular meeting, this is the appropriate meeting for which these elections to be held.

And the procedure by which these elections will be held is that each position requires a nomination. And so a Commissioner can nominate another Commissioner, or the Commissioner can nominate him or herself for that position, if they so choose.

And what I would suggest is, is that there should be -- the nominations for each position -- the vote will take place after the nomination is closed for each position. So if there's only one person nominated for Chair, for example, then it will be closed, and the vote will proceed from there. But if there are more than one person, we'll wait until all the nominations are closed before voting for each position.

The -- the voting will take place in accordance with the Open Meetings Act. And what that means is that all votes will be open, and so that everybody knows who is voting for whom.

Unlike in regular meetings, where there's motions, there doesn't need to be a second for a motion. So if a Commissioner is nominated, the





1	Commissioner will either accept or decline that
2	nomination, and will proceed from there.
3	We'll start first with the with the
4	position of the Chair, and then Vice Chair and
5	Secretary.
6	If there a tie, then we'll handle that
7	according to procedures that are outlined by the
8	PEC.
9	So are there any questions?
L 0	Okay. And so the election for Chair will
L 1	be the Chair will since since the Chair is
_2	in the position of the Chair, that the conducting
. 3	of that position will be delegated to another
L 4	Chairperson.
L 5	THE CHAIR: Okay. I would ask
L 6	Commissioner Toulouse to conduct the election for
L 7	the Chair, please.
L 8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: This is a
L 9	surprise.
20	Commissioners, the floor is open for
21	nominations for the office of Chairperson for the
22	Public Education Commission.
23	Commissioner Bergman?
2 4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you,
2.5	Commissioner Toulouse





1	I nominate, for reelection as Chairperson
2	of the Public Education Commission, Commissioner
3	Shearman.
4	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you. And I do accept
6	the nomination.
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. You
8	have no choice, ma'am.
9	Are there any other nominations?
L 0	Hearing none, I now announce that the
L 1	nominations are closed and call for a vote. Do we
. 2	have a motion for a unanimous
L 3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There doesn't need
L 4	to be a motion, so
L 5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I can just declare
L 6	that she's elected by unanimous vote, as there are
_7	no other candidates.
L 8	Madame Chairwoman, congratulations on
L 9	being "Madame Chairwoman."
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
21	Commissioners, I appreciate your confidence, and I
22	will certainly strive to continue to earn it
23	throughout this year. Thank you, Commissioner
2 4	Toulouse.
5	T will proceed now as Mr Granata tells me



1	to. The floor is now open for nominations for
2	Vice Chair of the Commission.
3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, I
4	nominate Vince Bergman.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Vince Bergman has
6	been nominated.
7	Mr. Bergman, do you accept?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I certainly accept
9	the nomination.
LO	THE CHAIR: Are there other nominations
L1	for Vice Chair?
L 2	Hearing none, I declare the nominations
L 3	closed, and Commissioner Bergman is elected by
L 4	acclimation. Is that correct?
L 5	Okay, good enough. Congratulations
L 6	Mr. Vice Chair.
L 7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.
L 8	THE CHAIR: The floor is now open for
L 9	nominations for Secretary of the Commission.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?
21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: I would like to
23	nominate Commissioner Gilbert Peralta.
2 4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta, do you
25	accept the nomination.





1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, I do
2	accept it.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Do we
4	have other nominations for Secretary?
5	Hearing no other nominations for
6	Secretary, I declare the nominations closed, and
7	Commissioner Peralta elected by acclimation.
8	Congratulations, Mr. Secretary.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you.
LO	THE CHAIR: According to the procedures of
L1	the Commission, officers elected during this
L 2	election will take office at the next regularly
L 3	scheduled meeting; so that will be February.
L 4	Thank you all so very much.
L 5	Let's proceed with our business.
L 6	Next is Approval of the Agenda. Everyone
L 7	should have that in your notebooks. Any
L 8	corrections?
L 9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, I move we
20	approve the agenda.
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Second.
22	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Carr,
23	second by Commissioner Toulouse to approve the
2 4	minutes pardon me to approve the agenda, as
25	distributed.



1	Any discussion? Hearing none, all those
2	in favor, please say "Aye."
3	(Commissioners so indicate.)
4	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
5	(No response.)
6	THE CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously.
7	JUDGE HANISEE: Madam Chair?
8	THE CHAIR: Yes.
9	JUDGE HANISEE: May I be excused?
10	THE CHAIR: I am so sorry. We appreciate
11	so much your being here, and certainly, we know you
12	have important business to take care of.
13	JUDGE HANISEE: I'm glad to see these
14	elections were so congenial, and I'm ready to go to
15	work. Thank you so much.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you again. Have a safe
17	trip back.
18	Next item of business is Approval of the
19	Minutes from the December 10, 11, and 12 meetings,
20	the three-day marathon. I would first suggest that
21	we go to the minutes of December the 10th, which is
22	the item behind your No. 5 tab. Any corrections,
23	Commissioners?
24	THE CHAIR: Well, you know I do. But I
25	have to say, Cindy, you did such an outstanding job.



```
These three days of meetings were very long and very
 1
 2
     intense. And you did your usual outstanding job.
 3
               But I did find a couple of little things.
 4
               I would like to say -- to note, on
 5
     Page 77, line 7, I believe the word "need" should be
 6
     "meet."
               Does that meet with everybody's approval?
               Next is on Page 260, line 2.
 8
     believe the word "date" should be "state."
 9
               Then on Page 266, line 6, I believe the
10
11
     age was "18" rather than "16."
12
               Anything else on the minutes of
13
     December 10?
14
               Commissioners, do we want to approve these
15
     minutes by the day or all three days as a whole?
16
               COMMISSIONER CARR: All three days.
17
               THE CHAIR: All three days as a whole?
18
               Okay.
                      Then let's go to December the 11th,
19
     Page 239. Okay. There's -- I've got a
20
     contradiction in page numbers. On -- on line -- it
21
     was Page 239. But on here, the page numbers
22
     discontinue.
23
               Cindy, how do we reconcile that?
24
               (A discussion was held off the record.)
25
               THE CHAIR: And those were all my
```





1	corrections. In all those three long days, those
2	are the only corrections I found.
3	Is there anything else from any other
4	Commissioners?
5	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
6	motion on the minutes of December 10, 11, and 12,
7	2014.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I
9	would move that the minutes of the three-day meeting
L 0	in December be approved, as corrected.
L1	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a
L 2	second?
L 3	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
L 4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion by
L 5	Commissioner Bergman, second by Commissioner Chavez,
L 6	to accept the minutes as corrected.
L 7	Any discussion?
L 8	All those in favor, please say "Aye."
L 9	(Commissioners so indicate.)
20	THE CHAIR: Any opposed, please say "No."
21	(No response.)
22	THE CHAIR: The minutes are approved
23	unanimously.
2 4	Next item is Report from PED Leadership.
25	And, again, the the officers, when they were



putting this agenda together, asked that the leadership of PED be listed here. You'll see Secretary Skandera, Deputy Secretary Leighann Lenti, and Deputy Secretary Aguilar, or their designee.

I see that -- I don't believe I see any of them here; so, Mr. Pahl, we would ask you to present the report from them, please.

MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I will serve as the Secretary's Designee today.

First of all, welcome, new Commissioners, to the PEC. We're excited to work with you over the next couple of years here, and hopefully longer.

Earlier this week, Governor Martinez introduced her Fiscal Year '16 budget. The budget includes \$68 million in new funding to support public schools. Public school funding, as a result, represents nearly half of the Governor's new recurring spending that she's planning through this budget. The funding includes sustained funding for Reads To Lead, which is our K-through-3 reading intensive program; \$1.5 million to recruit and retain teachers in hard-to-staff areas; and \$43.2 million for pre-K and K-3-plus programs, which is an increase of over 5 percent for those programs.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Further, the budget proposes that 1 2 beginning salary -- or beginning statuory salary for 3 beginning -- excuse me -- first-year teachers, or Level 1 teachers, increases from \$32,000 to \$34,000. 4 5 And it also proposes \$100 debit cards to be distributed to teachers to buy supplies for their 6 classroom. 8 Thank you. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 10 questions for Mr. Pahl? 11 Hearing none, let's move on to the next 12 item, which is Item No. 7, Discussion and Possible 13 Action on the 2013-'14 Perkins Consolidated Annual 14 Report. 15 Mr. Spencer, good morning. 16 MR. SPENCER: Good morning. 17 THE CHAIR: Please introduce yourselves, 18 and let's get it officially on the record. 19 MR. SPENCER: Good morning, Madam Chair, 20 members of the Commission. My name is Eric Spencer, 21 and I'm the Director of the College and Career 22 Readiness Bureau, responsible for administering the 23 Perkins Grant. 24 DR. PEREA: My name is Dr. Elaine Perea, 25 and I'm an education administrator that works in the



College and Career Readiness, and I help Eric with the data for the Perkins.

MR. SPENCER: So thank you.

So Madam Chair, members of the Commission, just to recap from the last Commission meeting, is that you all were provided with the narrative component of the Final Consolidated Annual Performance Report that the Public Education Department submits on behalf of the PEC to the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Career and Technical and Adult Education. And so we had asked the Commission at the time to accept the narrative report for the submission.

And we would be coming back to this

Commission meeting and providing you with the

financial status report and then the final reports

of the performance component.

So just as an orientation, especially for those Commissioners who are new to the Commission, every year in December, the Public Education

Department submits a program report to the U.S.

Department of Education about the activities that were implemented during the previous school year with regard to career technical education funded under Carl B. Perkins Career Technical Education



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Improvement Grant.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's three components to this report.

One is a major -- actually, there are more like four components. One is a narrative component that identifies how the State has implemented the nine required uses of the Carl Perkins Act and how the State implemented permissive uses within the Act.

And there are 17 permissive uses.

And the State does not implement all 17 permissive uses. We focus on those areas that consist of moving the achievement of students forward. So, for example, career guidance and counseling might be a focus area; whereas, entrepreneurship education might not be a specific area that we focus, because we try and dedicate these dollars toward areas of improvement.

Then there is a financial status report.

In your packet of information that we provided, you have what's called a Financial Status Report, Final, and a Financial Status Report, Interim.

When the U.S. Department of Education funds a Perkins grant, we usually get the pre-award July 1 with the final award on October 30th. But when we get that July 1 advance, the clock starts ticking, if you will. And we have 27 months in



which to -- to implement to the grant activity that was approved in the State's plan.

So the final status report that you have is that picture of the 27-month period for the grant that started on July 1 of 2012. That grant, 27 months later, ends September 30th of 2013. The State then has essentially three months to liquidate that grant and report the financial status report to the Fed.

So that financial -- that final status report comes after the narrative section in the report that was provided. And it's an Excel spreadsheet. You just don't see lines. And for those of you that might be looking -- it's kind of hard to see from where you're sitting, I'm sure; but it's a horizontal landscape view. I have a Page No. 22. I just don't know if that coincides with the page number you have.

THE CHAIR: Yes, it does.

MR. SPENCER: Okay, thank you. So on Page 22 of your report is the final financial status report, the grant that started on July 1 of 2012 and ended September 30 of 2014.

When you look at the second column, if you will, you see that there's Total Title I Funds, and



1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"Titles" in the Perkins Act. One is Title I and
Title II. Title II was designated for what was
called "tech prep education," which has not been
funded for several years now, and so we're not
reporting on that particular grant.

But Title I is how do we support career technical education in our state with those nine required uses of funds and those permissive uses? And we can see that we have local uses of funds. Those are dollars that flow to our public school districts and post-secondary institutions. The entire award that the State receives, 85 percent of the award is a flow-through to the school districts and post-secondary institutions.

By way of policy established in the State plan, those dollars are split 50/50. So of the 85 percent of the federal award, 50 percent are designated for secondary; 50 percent are designated for post-secondary. But before we issue that, we carve out an allocation called "reserve funding." So 10 percent of the 85 gets drawn out for reserve activities.

As approved in the State plan for career technical education for Perkins, those reserve



activities are to focus on programs that can advance career technical education and practices being replicated across the State for continuous improvement. Our State plan has designated support for High Schools That Work and Jobs for America's Graduates, which is a dropout prevention program.

So when you look at the reserve, that's really what it is. It's those innovative programs for replication; it's not a set-aside for a rainy day.

You'll see formula distribution on Row G,
Funds for Secondary Recipients -- next row H, I
guess. And then I is Funds for Post-Secondary
Recipients, you can see the set-aside of how we flow
dollars to those institutions. You have State
Leadership in Rows K and L. State Leadership is
10 percent of the entire award that's reserved,
specifically to provide technical assistance to
secondary schools and post-secondary schools with
regard to implementing career technical education
programs.

Then we have non-traditional training and employment, which is a required expenditure; so those leadership dollars. Of the 10 percent, we must carve out an allocation to support



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

non-traditional training. What this is, if you think about it in simplistic form, like you have an industry of welders dominated by the male population, that would be an area that we would want to create opportunities in our public school system where we can encourage the female population to enter that field with the adequate support necessary, if that's what they choose to do; and likewise with a male population entering the health-care field, like nursing.

We have support for State institutions.

The federal law has a mandated expenditure that we support career technical education in correctional institutions or other State institutions designated by the State. We fund one activity in this program year of 2012-'13 and 2013-'14; and that was the Children, Youth & Families Department's two schools -- John Paul Taylor is one; and I believe it was Aztec Youth Academy is the other -- to support career technical education in those two schools for adjudicated youth, other leadership activities, again, to support technical assistance in schools, professional development and things of that nature.

Of the entire award, the State, pursuant to the federal act, is allowed to take 5 percent of



the award for administrative activities. And those administrative activities support expenditures to essentially support schools in the implementation of this law. And that's hiring staff, supply costs, travel costs, things of that nature. Then there's a State match.

There is a maintenance of effort, as well. And that is tied to the State match. So if the State, for example, set aside \$500,000 of federal admin, out of the Public Education Department's budget, we put another \$500,000 on the table to match that; so it's a dollar-for-dollar match. For every dollar we spend out of the federal grant, we must spend a State dollar on the cause for supporting career technical education for the purposes of Perkins.

And in addition to that, there's a maintenance of effort tied to the State match. So within one year, for example, if we spent \$450,000 of federal money, we must spend \$450,000 of State money; but if, in the prior year, we spent \$500,000 of State money, then in that program year, we must meet that and spend the \$500,000 of State money.

We tie those to salaries to support the implementation of this grant.



So it's kind of an orientation, especially for those of you who are new to the Commission. And I can come back at a later date, maybe in one of your spring meetings, and provide a more comprehensive view of what that looks like visually, so that you all can see how that works more clearly.

But for the purposes of this Consolidated Annual Report, draw your attention to the final column, column 11. And you'll see that in every one of those set-asides of the federal grant, there is a zero balance. This is a good thing. It means that we fully expended the entire award in every set-aside, as we were supposed to.

So for that particular grant that was offered to the State and accepted by the State on July 1st, 2012, full expenditures have occurred, and there are no reversions to the federal government in any line item.

I do apologize. The financial status report that you find on Page 21 of your report is the interim grant. That is the grant that the State accepted on July 1st of 2013. And as you know, we're -- we've already completed the 12-month period. The 12-month period for that grant concluded on June 30th of 2014.



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so now, the State works to determine balances left on the table, if you will, from those schools and post-secondaries that we fund, initiatives that we've designated at the State level, and find those unused balances at that point in time. And this spring, come the end of January, 1st of February, we reissue those dollars on another application called the "Redistribution of Unused Funds."

So when you take a look at the fund balances on row -- on column 11 of that interim report, you might be looking at that saying, "Well, is that at risk of being reverted?"

Not at this time. What we're doing is we're taking those balances that have been left on the table, if you will, that have been unobligated, and we recalculate those through a funding formula, and we require the institutions to take a look at their levels of performance based on the core indicators that are measured and to determine whether, in fact, they're meeting those levels of performance or not; and in the areas that they're not, to use these redistribution dollars to specifically target support activity to move the performance for those students, whether it be



professional development for teachers, coaches, things of that sort; and we leave it to the local entity to determine what is necessary for them to be able to support this particular application or component of the law.

So that's the financial status report.

Next year, at about this time, it's my goal to bring you the final of this 2013 -- July 1st, 2013, report with zero balances, as we did with the 2012 grant.

The third component of your report has to do with levels of performance. And I do have to tell you that we have a lot of good news in that area, as we had shared with you in the last PEC meeting, that the post-secondary institutions, as it appeared at that point in time in our preliminary data analysis, had made significant improvements over the prior year. And we're happy to report that we saw some pretty significant improvements in pockets of the indicators at the secondary level, as well.

So I would like to have Dr. Elaine Perea on my staff to go through that performance section with you and also highlight some very detailed support that we have just recently -- as recently as yesterday -- provided secondary and post-secondary



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

institutions. And that support is to really take a look at their data and implement some Common Core math, if you will, about how do you use these percentages and these numbers to really determine exactly how many more students did their school really need in order to meet their level of performance?

And in some of these cases, at the State level, we may have met an indicator; for example, in Safe Harbor -- let me explain that, first of all. The federal government, every year, requires the State to negotiate performance levels. And I'll bring more of that in the next PEC meeting so that you have an awareness of what those are.

But with regard to that, the federal government says, "Your level of performance in reading academic attainment might be...," for example, 50 percent. And they say, "But you're in 'Safe Harbor' if you can meet 90 percent of that target." So as long as we're within the 90 percent, we're Safe Harbor. If we exceed the target, then we've exceeded; but if we fall below the Safe Harbor, then we didn't meet the level of performance.

And looking at some of the data at a State



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

level was a very big, eye-opening experience for me, thank you to Dr. Elaine Perea. In some cases, while we met it at Safe Harbor, had we just reached out to 88 more students in our state, in non-traditional, for example, we would have exceeded the performance target.

And we think in the State of New Mexico, 88 students; how can that be? And that's what we share with the institutions about, "In your school, in your college, for students, is what you needed to reach out to." And that's pretty powerful when you look at the data in that way to determine how you move the level of performance and change the life of those four students.

Dr. Perea?

DR. PEREA: Thank you. So as I mentioned, we did have a very good set of data. The post-secondary researchers all got their data in on time, which was great. And I was able to run some preliminary analyses. There were a few places where things didn't add up. For example, if I added males and females. But if I added all of the races, I got a different number. So there are a couple of places where I -- I sent back to the institutions and said, "This one and that one needs to add up."



And I think that's the first time we've really had the time to -- to do that kind of careful analysis to make sure that the numbers were right before we moved forward.

And by doing that, we were able to get to a place where every institution -- just at a really basic kind of evaluation, every institution's numbers looked reasonable. And when we compiled that at the State level, then at the post-secondary level, we -- we met, or were in Safe Harbor, for all six of the indicators. That's the first time that that's happened; so that was a big win for us.

At the secondary level, the data is calculated slightly differently, because each district reports their school's data, their school and their district data, into the STAR system, the State reporting system that PED runs; and then we compute the performance indicators off of that data. So we don't have that same level of iteration between the district and the PED that we have on the post-secondary level. It all happens kind of internally at the -- at the PED.

Nonetheless, we -- we did a lot of iterations in the State data, as well, trying to just do logic testing. And I want to point one of





those out to you, just so you get a feel for what I mean by that.

On Page 23 is where the data starts for the year, for the secondary indicators. And so the first thing we looked at was CTE participants. And the number of secondary students -- and I pushed our data guys, really, on this, because at one point, I think that they had told me we had about 400,000 CTE participants. And I said, "Guys, we don't have that many high school kids. That number can't be right." So that was the kind of logic testing that we were doing, just really basic kind of reasonableness checks.

And by doing that, I think the data is much cleaner than it has been in the past. And so participant -- we've got about 58,000 unique students. These are now unique human beings, which I feel really good about. And so now we can say that about 58 percent -- because there's 101,000 high school students roughly -- so about 58 percent of high school students in 2013-'14 participated in at least one CTE class. So it tells you kind of the reach of this program that we're administering. More than half of kids are taking a CTE class of some sort.



1 The next thing that I want to show you is 1S1 and 1S2. 1S1 and 1S2 are on Pages 25 and 26. 2 3 These are secondary indicators. These are indicators that, as a State, we have missed several times, and are the indicators where we look at 5 students' academic attainment. Are the students who 6 take CTE classes passing, testing proficient in the 8 SBA tests? Now, we'll be moving to PARCC for this 9 10 But these data that you're looking at on 11 Pages 25 and 26 are looking at students and their 12 SBA scores. 13 And so at the very top of that page, it 14 says, "1S1, Academic Attainment, Reading, Language

And so at the very top of that page, it says, "1S1, Academic Attainment, Reading, Language Arts"; what that means is this indicator is looking at the number of CTE concentrators. And the denominator are students who took the SBA reading portion; and the numerator is the number of students who took that test and tested proficient.

So if you divide 3,115 by 6,493, you get the State's performance, 48 percent. That's how many students in CTE tested proficient in reading.

And the State's target was 57 percent.

Now, as Mr. Spencer said, there's the "Safe Harbor" concept, where if you are within 90 percent of the

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

target, then -- then the State doesn't have to do a special remediation plan. But if you miss the target altogether, then there's extra reporting that we have to do to the feds.

So we missed 1S1; we missed 1S2. And these -- these are especially tough for us, because these are the indicators of proficiency. And we would like to see our students testing as proficient, the CTE students.

MR. SPENCER: And if I can clarify, just on that academic attainment for reading, language arts, and mathematics, I think that it also serves good to know the context of that performance target. Keep in mind that students generally take the Standards-Based Assessment in tenth grade. And we have, as Dr. Perea had indicated, over, you know, 50,000 students participating in a career technical ed course.

But when she had identified a concentrator, that means that that's a student that has said, within the context of agriculture education, they're going to take three or four CTE courses in that concentrated area; or maybe if they're focused in businesses, three or more business courses.



So we go back into the data, and we find those students that became a concentrator in the current year, and how did they do on the academic attainment. They became a concentrator in the current year -- could be a senior, could be a junior, could be a sophomore; likely, not a freshman -- but how did they do on the SBA that they took in the tenth grade?

So therefore, if you have a concentrator -- or an individual had reached concentrator status in the senior year, you have to go back and see how they did in the past and report that. And I just want to draw that to your attention, so that you all are clear that it's not entirely accurate to walk away knowing that if you divide that numerator and denominator, and you get the 48 percent, that we only have 48 percent of our CTE students not proficient; it just means that those students that are concentrators today were not proficient then.

There's nothing to say that the CTE program is subpar to a non-CTE program, because the federal measure is not measuring, "You became a senior -- a concentrator in your senior year; now let's test your proficiency." That would be the



fair check.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I just want to make sure that you know that when you look at the data. And we'll do a deeper dive for the next PEC meeting with some visuals for you.

DR. PEREA: This is one of the things we're looking forward to the PARCC data, because we'll be able to get closer. Say you have a senior, and they're a CTE concentrator; but you're looking at their SBA test from their sophomore year. You're not really able to tell how much the CTE program is affecting their reading ability.

And so PARCC will help with that, because we get one more year of data. But even that, you know, if they're really taking their CTE classes and the CTE teachers are doing a good job of increasing proficiency, we don't have the tests to show that.

Regardless of that, one of the things that we did, as Eric -- Mr. Spencer -- mentioned, we just had two days of intensive Perkins workshop with the districts here in Albuquerque, and we looked at 1S1 and 1S2, where we haven't met our proficiency levels that have been set by the Feds. And we said, "What would it take -- what would it have taken for us to have been proficient?" And so I walked them through



the -- the math.

And the math is fairly simple. I won't do it exactly. But if you take that denominator, the 6,493, and you multiply it by the target, 57.7, then you get the targeted number of students, what you should have had. And if you subtract what you should have had from what you actually had, then the result is how many more kids did you need.

And for both 1S1 and 1S2, it's about 650 students. About 650 more students testing proficient, we would show that we had met our federal indicators.

And so we put that back to the districts. There's 90 districts. This is roughly seven students a district. And when you ask a district, "Can you find a way to help seven students move from non-proficient to proficient," it changes the nature of the conversation; and all of a sudden, it isn't this pie-in-the-sky, 48-versus-58-percent, "I don't know how to improve 10 percent of my kids"; it's, "I've got to go find seven kids and tutor them so that they can become proficient."

And so that's the kind of conversation that we're trying to have so that we can move performance; because ultimately, you move





performance 1 percent at a time. And that's what we're really trying to get to.

I want to -- I won't go over all the indicators, but I just wanted to point out one other. And that's 6S1 and 6S2, which is Pages 31 and 32. These are the other two indicators that we missed 6S1, and we were in Safe Harbor in 6S2. So this is our other place of weakness.

And non-traditional participation -- the idea here is that the Perkins Act endeavors to fund access to non-traditional careers; so making it easier for boys to become nurses or caregivers, making it easier for girls to become plumbers or general contractors or welders. That's the spirit of the law. And the targets are set high, so that the State will -- will hold on to that goal.

So 6S1, the target was 50 percent. The target is that 50 percent of students who take a gendered class will be of the other gender. That's a pretty high target; and so we missed that target. But we ran through the math with the districts of how we would actually do that. And for most districts, it looks like adding one class. If they added one class that was a gendered class, and they did the marketing to really get that class filled



with the atypical gender -- not 100 percent; by, say over 50 percent, 70 percent -- so having a nursing class that's targeted to male students and that has more male students than female students, that would -- that would move us into the level where we're performing.

So trying to -- we're really working with the districts to see how they can use their money to move the school forward to open access.

MR. SPENCER: And so, in conclusion, to think about the data in the context of, for example, seven more kids in a particular school, it's not putting on the table, "Let's go find those seven kids for the purposes of meeting the requirement of the Perkins law to keep us compliant"; it's really about the fact that there are seven individuals that are human beings in a school that didn't necessarily have the support or the access in order to hit that particular performance target.

And so what we're talking about, maybe those seven kids needed tutoring. We know that when we have a performance target of 50 percent reading proficiency or 52 percent reading proficiency, it's okay to say the other 48 don't have to be proficient. And that's not an argument we accept



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from anyone in our schools. It is not okay to have 1 any percentage of our students non-proficient. 2 3 So even those school districts that are 4 achieving the performance target at 60 percent, 5 we're asking the question, "What do we do with the other 40, then, that are not there?" So we're not chasing kids; we're looking 8 to identify those human beings to appropriately 9 deliver the services necessary, and not just on the limited basis of the seven; it's the broader 10 11 conversation of saying, "Do we need to establish a 12 support system for tutoring in the context of Career 13 Technical Education for all those students?" 14 Madam Chair, members of the Commission, 15 that concludes our report. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 17 comprehensive, and we appreciate that. 18 Commissioners, do you have questions? Commissioner Carr? 19 20 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, I just 21 have a comment. I always can't help but comment on 22 the Perkins Grant, because, you know, I'm a big fan. 23 I would just like to make the statement that in



spite of maybe you not meeting some of your goals, I

would venture to guess where those students would be

24

if it hadn't been for the help the Perkins Grant 1 2 gives those schools. 3 So, you know, I -- I know we want to 4 strive for excellence, and yes, that's good, and 5 keep going. But, you know, pat yourself on the back for -- you know, for doing a good job in 6 7 administering this -- this wonderful grant. 8 I -- we need a lot more money for things like this. And at least we have this. And I 9 10 appreciate your work on it. 11 MR. SPENCER: Thank you. 12 DR. PEREA: Thank you. Toward that end, 13 Commissioner Carr, I didn't point out -- but you'll 14 be very happy to know -- that I compared -- at the 15 subgroup level, male, female, the different races, 16 economically disadvantaged, I compared the 17 graduation rates, which is one of the indicators 18 here, to the statewide graduation rates for 19 New Mexico. And in every single subcategory, the 20 CTE concentrators' graduation rates are higher, 21 generally, by about 10 percent. COMMISSIONER CARR: Wonderful. 22 23 DR. PEREA: So it does make a big 24 difference.



25



THE CHAIR: It makes a difference.

1 DR. PEREA: Yeah. 2 Are there questions, THE CHAIR: 3 Commissioners? 4 Commissioner Toulouse? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I don't have a 5 6 question. I have a comment. 7 Dr. Perea, I found that after having 8 listened to Perkins Grants way back when I was at 9 CNM, as well as here, I liked your approach to when 10 you were explaining how you were getting the 11 improvement and talking to people about individuals, 12 not the aggregate; because I think that's what we do 13 so often is tell them that, "You've to do this 14 better." 15 But when you -- you know, and you may look 16 at dollar amounts or something, and you say, "Oh, I 17 can't." 18 You say, "One person, seven people," oh, 19 yeah, none of us are going to say, "No, we can't do 20 that." Besides, we'd look really silly if we said we couldn't do that. 21 22 And I do appreciate your approach and your 23 explaining it to us that way. I think it's very 24 helpful. Thank you. 25 DR. PEREA: Thanks.





e-mail: info@litsupport.com

THE CHAIR: 1 Thank you. 2 Commissioner Bergman? 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I'll ask you 4 a question then. 5 DR. PEREA: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 6 Based on what you 7 talked about there at the last as to your helping 8 the various school districts trying to get students, 9 males, to go maybe to, quote, female areas, and females going into male professions, if that's how 10 11 we're going to categorize it, can you give me some 12 specifics? How do you -- how are these school 13 districts doing now? Are they doing it in 14 assemblies, or how are they doing it? 15 I can give you a couple of DR. PEREA: 16 examples. At Doña Ana, they are retooling their 17 marketing materials for their health services so 18 that the face on the cover is male instead of 19 female. That may seem like a very simple thing; but 20 making the brochure have a -- have a male caregiver 21 on the front gives the subliminal message that it is 22 okay for a male to be a caregiver. 23 So it's a very simple example. Yesterday, I talked to a teacher who said 24 25 that they've redesigned all of their syllabi, and



```
1
     they've gotten rid of the words "beautician" and
 2
     "barber," or "cosmetologist" and "barber," and
 3
     they're using "designer," because it's a more
     gender-neutral term; and so trying to convey that
 5
     males can -- they can do facials, and they can do
             They can do -- they can do that stuff, too.
     They don't have to just be a barber.
 8
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that.
 9
     I'm laughing, because next time I get a haircut, I'm
10
     going to have to tell my male barber that he's now a
11
     designer.
12
               DR. PEREA:
                           He's a hair designer.
13
               THE CHAIR:
                           He designs your hair-do.
14
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I quess so.
15
     you, Madam Chair.
16
               THE CHAIR:
                           Any other comments or
17
     questions?
               Commissioners, if you would care to note,
18
19
     there is a proposed motion on the first sheet after
20
     Tab 7 -- or, certainly, you can come up with your
21
     own.
22
               But hearing no further discussion, the
23
     Chair would entertain a motion.
24
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?
25
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?
```



```
1
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   I was just -- I'm
 2
     just -- the words -- the beginning wording is a
 3
     little tricky; so -- I move the approval of the Carl
 4
     D. Perkins Consolidated Annual Report for the
 5
     performance period covering July 1, 2013, through
     June 30, 2014, including the Final Financial Status
 6
 7
     Report, covering performance period July 1, 2012,
     through September 30, 2014, and the Interim
 8
     Financial Status Report, covering period July 1,
 9
10
     2013, through September 30, 2014.
11
               THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do we hear a
12
     second?
13
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: (Indicates.)
14
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armbruster
15
     seconds Commissioner Carr's motion to approve the
16
     Carl D. Perkins Consolidated Report.
17
               Is there further discussion?
18
               Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
     roll-call vote?
19
20
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
     Chavez?
21
22
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
23
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24
     Gipson?
25
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
```





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Conyers?
3	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
5	Peralta?
6	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Toulouse?
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Armbruster?
16	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Shearman?
19	THE CHAIR: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Bergman votes "yes."
22	Madam Chair, that is a 10-to-0 vote in
23	favor of that motion.
24	THE CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously
25	to approve the Carl D. Perkins Report. And we thank





you very much for all your hard work and the good information you bring to us. We look forward to seeing you again.

MR. SPENCER: Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's go on to Item 8, Discussion and Possible Action on Charter School Amendments, Mr. Pahl or Julie.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we have two amendments this month. The first is from La Promesa Learning Center. And we do have members in the audience from La Promesa.

THE CHAIR: If they would like to come forward to the table, please?

MS. LUCERO: La Promesa Learning Center is requesting approval to revise their current mission statement from, "The mission of La Promesa Learning Center ensures that culturally and linguistically diverse students thrive in an academic, family-centered, developmentally seamless continuum of learning, where high expectations, respect, and empowerment meet grade-level proficiency"; to, "The mission of La Promesa Early Learning Center ensures that culturally and linguistically diverse students thrive in an academic, family-centered, developmentally seamless continuum of learning,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where high expectations, pride, respect, and 1 empowerment meet grade-level proficiency." 2 3 The CSD recommends approval of this 4 amendment. 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Good morning. If you would introduce 6 7 yourselves, please, and we'd love to hear from you. 8 DR. ABEYTA: Dr. Carlos Abeyta. 9 MS. VELASQUEZ: Gloria Velasquez. 10 THE CHAIR: Do you need spelling? 11 THE REPORTER: No, ma'am. 12 THE CHAIR: Thank you. If you have anything you'd like to share with us? 13 14 DR. ABEYTA: Commissioners, only -- only 15 to request that you approve our amendment. We have 16 a very nice cultural school that is devoted to 17 making sure that students' languages are supported, 18 and a very diverse school. And we try to make sure 19 that all the diversity is well supported through our 20 mission. And our request is simple. We -- we go 21 22 through the mission annually with the teachers and 23 the parents and the staff. And this year, the staff 24 and the parents wanted for that word "pride" to be



included into the mission. And that's -- that's our

1 simple request today. And Commissioners, I would 2 MS. VELASQUEZ: 3 also like to say that our school has been a school 4 for the past ten years. And including that word in 5 the mission really says a lot for us, because we feel a lot of pride -- our staff, our parents, our students -- in the work that we have been doing. And it was their decision to look at that mission 8 9 and say, "You know, this mission really does need 10 that word, because 'pride,' for us, is something 11 that is very important, because of the work that we 12 do and the way we deal with our students and our 13 parents and all the stakeholders at our charter." 14 Thank you very much for that. THE CHAIR: 15 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'm 16 I got behind this and was thinking about the 17 next amendment. I need to recuse myself from this 18 vote, because of a relative attending the school. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 20 So, really, the only -- the only change to 21 your mission statement is the inclusion of the word 22 "pride"? 23 DR. ABEYTA: That's correct. 24 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.



Mr. Granata, I would ask you to look at the minutes

1 from their governance council meeting. And I believe it's in this section right here. And there 2 3 is the motion, and if that meets requirements --4 MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, I believe that 5 the school's minutes are adequate for this motion. 6 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 7 Any other comments or questions from any Commissioners? 8 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Madam Chair? 9 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Convers? 11 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I guess, as I read 12 this, I was looking for maybe another descriptor as 13 to what kind of -- "pride" in what? Like, pride in 14 achievement? Pride in performance? Pride in 15 culture? 16 And don't misinterpret what I'm saying. 17 I'm not trying to be negative. But I looked up "pride" in the dictionary. And a lot of the 18 19 definitions are very negative about "pride." 20 not always --21 COMMISSIONER CARR: The Bible. 22 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: So that's why I was 23 looking for -- I felt like it was missing something. 24 But can you just elaborate what you mean by "pride"? 25 DR. ABEYTA: I did not look up the word in



the dictionary. But I know that in Spanish, "pride" is the same thing as "orgullo." And a lot of times, that word in Spanish has a context -- a negative context. I didn't realize that it had the same context in English.

Anyway, we are -- I think -- I think what we're trying to say by adding the word "pride" is all of the above that you're stating. It has to do with pride in culture, pride in that we get 50 percent of our students speaking Spanish, and pride that we get 50 percent of our students speaking English, as well. We're prideful we have a -- the ethnic diversity that we have, where more than 90 percent of our student population come from a Hispanic population. Some of that population are recent immigrants; some of them are first-generation immigrants; some of them are heirs to the land grants that are around the Albuquerque area.

So we're -- I think that's where -- where we're coming from.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Could -- let's let the

presenter -- and then you'll be next. Please go

25 ahead.





MS. VELASQUEZ: And I am the principal of this school. And every morning I talk to the children. I address them, first of all, with -- we do our Pledge of Allegiance. And that, to us, signifies a lot of pride in what country we are -- we are addressing this salute to.

When I talk to the kids about their hallways and their bathrooms, I talk about the pride that they need to have in maintaining that building that we have that's such a beautiful space. When I talk to them about the way that they deal with each other, I talk to them about the pride that they need when they communicate with their peers.

I talk to them about their work and the pride that they need to show and give to their parents, because of the hard work that they do sending them to school. I talk to them about the pride that they should have in the work that they submit and how it should be done in a fashion that -- that shows that it's the best that they can do.

So in -- whenever I speak to kids as far as "pride," I -- I'm sorry to say that I really never thought of "pride" as being negative; I've always made them -- or helped them see that having



1 pride is something that you are proud of, because it 2 is good. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 4 Commissioner Carr? 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: I can't help but add some historical context in recent history, that --6 7 the word "pride" meaning has changed. When I think about Black Pride. I think about Union Pride. 8 9 think about people who are oppressed and have been 10 put down, and need to be built up because they've 11 been beaten down for so long. And, you know, words 12 change over time, and the meanings change over time; 13 and they're pertinent to culture. 14 I think of Martin Luther King -- we're 15 celebrating Monday -- Cesar Chavez and all those 16 people, to instill pride in people who were beaten 17 So I know exactly what you mean by "pride" 18 and fully support it. 19 MS. VELASQUEZ: Thank you. 20 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Any other comments, Commissioners? 21 22 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Madam Chair? 23 THE CHAIR: Commissioner? 24 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think when we're 25 in a day and age of bullying, I think this is an



important concept to students' self-esteem. So think it is integral to a school like this to incorporate a statement like this. I think it's very important.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for that.

Any other comments?

Hearing none, Commissioners, I would note that there is a proposed motion -- actually, two proposed motions -- right after your tab. The first is for approval, and the second is for approval with conditions, if you would care to use one of those motions, or choose to word your own. But the Chair would entertain a motion for this amendment.

Commissioner Peralta?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I would ask that the Commission move to approve the amendment presented by La Promesa Early Learning Center to revise their current mission statement from, "The mission of La Promesa Early Learning Center ensures that culturally and linguistically diverse students thrive in an academic, family-centered, developmentally seamless continuum of learning, where high expectations, respect, and empowerment meet grade-level proficiency"; to, "The mission of La Promesa Early Learning Center ensures that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
culturally and linguistically diverse students
 1
 2
     thrive in an academic, family-centered,
 3
     developmentally seamless continuum of learning where
 4
     high expectations, pride, respect, and empowerment
 5
     meet grade-level proficiency."
                           Thank you, Commissioner.
 6
               THE CHAIR:
 7
     hear a second?
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
 8
                                     Second.
 9
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.
10
               Moved by Commissioner Peralta, second by
     Commissioner Pogna, to approve the amendment
11
12
     presented by La Promesa Early Learning Center, as is
1.3
     on the official record.
14
               Is there further discussion?
15
               Hearing none, the Chair would ask for a
     roll-call vote.
16
17
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
     Gipson?
18
19
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
20
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
     Convers?
21
22
               COMMISSIONER CONYERS:
                                       Yes.
23
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                       Commissioner
     Peralta?
24
25
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA:
                                       Yes.
```



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Toulouse abstains.
5	Commissioner Carr?
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Armbruster?
9	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Chavez?
12	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Shearman?
15	THE CHAIR: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Bergman votes "yes."
18	Madam Chair, that is a 9-to-0 vote in
19	favor of the motion, with one abstention.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
21	amendment presented by La Promesa Early Learning
22	Center is approved. Thank you all for being here
23	today. Continued good luck with your school.
24	MS. VELASQUEZ: Thank you.
25	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the





Commission, the next amendment request is for
Mission Achievement and Success Charter School, also
a request to revise their current mission statement.
And we also have members from Mission Achievement
and Success Charter School here, as well.

THE CHAIR: If they would like to come forward?

Mission Achievement and MS. LUCERO: Success Charter School is requesting approval to revise their current mission statement from, "The mission of MAS is to prepare middle and high school students to be successful in college and the competitive world by providing a rigorous college preparatory program in a safe and effective learning environment. Our primary objective is to instill in our students a commitment to high academic achievement, continual goal setting and principles of personal success"; to, "The mission of MAS is to prepare students to be successful in a college" -sorry -- "a college and the competitive world by providing a rigorous college preparatory program in a safe and effective learning environment. primary objective is to instill in our students a commitment to high academic achievement, continual goal setting, and principles of personal success."



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thank you all for being here. 1 THE CHAIR: 2 If you would introduce yourselves, please, and then 3 make whatever comments you would care to. 4 MS. MYERS: Thank you. Good morning. 5 JoAnn Myers. I'm the principal of MAS. MR. LANGSTON: My name is Bruce Langston. 6 I'm the board chairman at MAS Charter. 7 MS. MYERS: So comments -- it's really 8 9 simplistic. We're just looking to take out the 10 words "middle and high school students" and make it, 11 simplistically, "students." And the intent of doing 12 that is we're eventually requesting to expand to 13 elementary school. And that aligns the mission --14 we don't intend to change the mission with that 15 It just aligns it so the words match the 16 students that are there. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Would you please 18 introduce yourself? I know you're here. 19 MS. PEÑA: Sorry. My name is Jennifer 20 I'm the special education coordinator and the Peña. 21 testing coordinator at MAS. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. I will 23 say I received a call from Mr. Langston earlier in 24 the week inviting me to come and visit their school 25 when he noticed that we were having our meeting in



1 Albuquerque. And I thanked him for that invitation. And I entirely meant to be there. But the weather 2 3 turned so bad, by the time I got here the school was 4 long out of session. But I do appreciate the 5 invitation, and I'll try to make it one of these 6 days. Madam Chairman, Commission 7 MR. LANGSTON: 8 members, I would like to extend that invitation to 9 all of you. We're very, very proud of what we're 10 accomplishing there. It's taken a lot of hard work. I know I look about 70; 11 I'm actually 21 years old. 12 but I think I'm going to be able to hang in there a 13 little bit. 14 But we would love to have you out. 15 very proud of what we're accomplishing. And any 16 time you're in the neighborhood, that invitation is 17 extended; so please come out and visit us. 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. 19 MR. LANGSTON: Thank you, ma'am. 20 THE CHAIR: Commissioners, do you have questions? 21 22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 23 have a comment. I am going to go visit the school



building. And people really should watch how the

I've been there. Now they have the entire

again.

24

1	students behave there. It's a very interesting
2	dynamic because I've been to a number of the
3	charter schools now; but what I like is the other
4	services the school provides, including uniforms for
5	their students that may not be able to afford them,
6	and three meals a day, not two.
7	And that's why I think people should go
8	see what how many hours they are open and what
9	they do for their students.
10	And I do have to disagree with
11	Mr. Langston. I knew his dad. I know he's not
12	21 years old. I won't say how old. Maybe 29.
13	MR. LANGSTON: There you go. I can handle
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.
16	THE CHAIR: Other comments or questions?
17	Mr. Granata has let me know that the
18	governance council minutes are sufficient to support
19	this this amendment.
20	Hearing no further questions or comments,
21	the Chair would entertain a motion. Again, there is
22	a proposed motion on those pages right after the
23	tab.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Did you get



Then I guess I will do it then.

someone?

THE CHAIR: You're elected, Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I move that the Public Education Commission approve the amendment presented by the Mission Achievement and Success Charter School to revise their current mission statement from, "The Mission of MAS is to prepare middle and high school students to be successful in college and the competitive world by providing a rigorous, college preparatory program in a safe and effective learning environment. primary objective is to instill in our students a commitment to high academic achievement, continual goal setting, and principles of personal success"; and changing that statement to, "The mission of MAS is to prepare students to be successful in college and the competitive world by providing a rigorous college preparatory program in a safe and effective learning environment. Our primary objective is to instill in our students a commitment to high academic achievement, continual goal setting, and principles of personal success." Thank you, Commissioner. THE CHAIR:

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:

(Indicates.)

1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?
2	Motion by Commissioner Bergman, second by
3	Commissioner Toulouse, to approve the amendment
4	presented by Mission Achievement and Success Charter
5	School, as was read on the formal record.
6	Is there further discussion?
7	Hearing none, the Chair would ask for a
8	roll-call vote.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Conyers?
11	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Peralta?
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Toulouse?
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Armbruster?
24	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1	Chavez?
2	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Gipson?
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Shearman?
8	THE CHAIR: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Bergman votes "yes."
11	Madam Chair, that is a 10-to-0 vote in
12	favor of that motion.
13	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The vote to
14	approve the amendment presented by Mission
15	Achievement and Success Charter School is
16	unanimously passed. Congratulations.
17	MR. LANGSTON: Thank you very much,
18	Madam Chairman, Committee members. Thank you.
19	THE CHAIR: And thank you for being here
20	today.
21	Commissioners, shall we take a break?
22	This looks like a pretty good point to me. If I see
23	the clock on the wall correctly, let's come back at
2 4	25 minutes till 11:00. We are in recess.
25	(Recess taken, 10:20 a.m. to 10:38 a.m.)



THE CHAIR: Let's move on to Item No. 9, School Improvement Plans. And I think we're going to hear from Julie.

MS. LUCERO: Yes. Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we have received improvement plans from the following schools: ACE Leadership High School; La Promesa Early Learning Center; South Valley Prep; Tierra Encantada; Academy of Trades and Technology; Southwest Primary Learning Center; Southwest Intermediate Learning Center; and Southwest Secondary Learning Center.

All schools that were required to submit an improvement plan did so. A liaison from CSD worked individually with the schools to prepare improvement plans, make suggestions, collaborate on ideas of areas of need, areas that they can grow, and felt very pleased with the outcome of the improvement plans submitted.

Also, governing board minutes have not been submitted due to the holiday. Many of the schools have not had board meetings yet and will submit GC minutes showing approval of these improvement plans at a later time.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioners, do you have questions



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	regarding these improvement plans? Commissioner
2	Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Julie, I might ask,
4	were there any of these plans that you were not
5	satisfied with that you're going to have to go back
6	and work with them more?
7	MS. LUCERO: No. We were satisfied with
8	all the plans submitted.
9	There was a little bit of back-and-forth
10	with a couple of the schools initially of not being
11	satisfied with the plans. And they did take the
12	advice and improve those. But it is an ongoing
13	process.
14	CSD will continue to work with these
15	schools in different areas to encourage, point out
16	different areas of need that they might want to
17	include, and also show some accountability for
18	the what they promise us.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Absolutely. Thank
20	you.
21	Thank you, Madam Chair.
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there
23	questions, Commissioners?
2 4	Commissioner Toulouse?
25	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I have a guestion.



These are very different kinds of plans. Some of them are very short, and some are very long. Was there some kind of discussion on what was expected in them?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Toulouse, yes, we did have a discussion. And we approached the improvement plans differently this time compared to the last round of submissions.

Last time, a template -- a sample template was sent out to the schools, and the schools just really followed and plugged in their names to that improvement plan. We wanted this improvement plan to be individualized to the school and their specific needs. And so some schools had -- they had different needs. Every school was a little different. And so each liaison contacted and collaborated with these schools to come up with this particular plan.

And for the most part, all but one school, I would say, really, really collaborated in detail. There was back and forth with that. That's why these look different. We wanted the improvement plan to be purposeful and, really, to serve a purpose.



1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. It just seems to me that some of them had much more depth and detail; and others are still kind of just skimming the surface. And I think in some areas, that's probably legitimate, because things have already been done or are being done. But if we're going to have to do a plan, to me, a plan is more detailed; you know, A, B, C, or whatever. "This follows this, follows this, follows this, and we will monitor this."

And so, I mean, I know this is just beginning; but I just wondered how that was all approached, because, again, having done lots and lots of planning -- and it's a lot harder to do an improvement plan than it is to set out an initial, from-the-very-beginning plan. And so it seems to me there needs to be a little more give-and-take on, "Okay, now that you're in this situation, exactly how are you going to get it from here to there?"

MS. LUCERO: Right. Madam Chair, members of the Commission, for example, if you'll notice La Promesa's improvement plan, I worked specifically with that school. I met with them individually, and we had some back-and-forth. And you'll notice their plan was very detailed.



COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Right.

MS. LUCERO: And they were willing to work and have the conversation of what -- you know, some possibilities, some suggestions.

work with that they really didn't see the value in the improvement plan, and so the back-and-forth wasn't as extensive. So those are relationships that we'll work on with those schools that quite don't have the buy-in yet; whereas, La Promesa was very easy to work with, and as you can see, their plan is very detailed. And I can see where that would really, really help with the growth of an improvement in their school.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Julie, have you -- has CSD set a final date -- or a date for the final submission of these improvement plans? This is a draft. Is there a date for the final?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, we have not determined a date. We wanted you to look over these; and so if you had ideas, suggestions, any thoughts as to what an expectation for a final might be?

THE CHAIR: Okay. Do I have just one



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

question. I notice at the top of this -- the first one I'm looking at is ACE Leadership. And they all say pretty much the same thing, that it's an improvement plan for 2014-'15. However, on the time line for ACE Leadership, there shows "Summer 2016" as the time line completion date for these activities. Is that a typographical error, or -- MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the

Commission, ACE Leadership was one of the schools that we struggled a little bit with an improvement plan. And so we -- I am working with them in a much closer way to determine that. So although their date does say "2016," I am in contact with them more frequently to determine that. So although the date does state "2016," I will be following up and checking in on them frequently throughout this spring semester.

So their idea was they wanted to present data at the end of the year showing growth -needing more time to show growth. And we didn't -we didn't require a specific date of when things
were due in this improvement plan.

You'll notice in other areas, some schools immediately could prove or provide evidence as to what was working; some schools felt that they needed



more time to produce evidence. And so we did leave 1 2 that up to the school; but after reading improvement 3 plans, knowing which schools might need more 4 assistance from us and more frequent visits and 5 follow-up. I would say my personal 6 THE CHAIR: 7 opinion is this is a one-year improvement plan. So 8 a "2016" date is not acceptable. 9 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, I agree. So we 10 will -- I will follow up with ACE and ask for a 11 revision on that piece, to your request. 12 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 13 Other comments? Questions? 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I quess I just 15 have a -- I don't know if it's a comment or a 16 question. I have a concern about someone not 17 having -- not seeing value in the improvement plan. MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 18 19 Commission, the improvement plan is new to some of 20 these schools. And so maybe not seeing value is not the correct language that I should have used. 21 22 not -- when collaborating with that school in 23 particular, it was difficult to come up with this 24 product. 25 And I think it's just going to take time



and work to get to the place we need to be. And there is value in the improvement plan. And from CSD's point of view, we will continue to push, that this is -- there is value to this. And schools that were willing to collaborate with us, I think do see that. And so, yes, I -- I agree with you. And it is a work in progress with that particular school.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I guess I have just one follow-up. Is there something that can be -- I'm not trying to put this -- is there something that is needed so that they have a better sight of why the improvement plan is more important? I'm -- you know, I'm just --

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Gipson, I think continual communication with this particular school is going to take time, and just reinforcement from the PEC that we do have this expectation and that it is a requirement, I think, will be communicated and will help the process.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioners, my suggestion would be that we -- these are all draft improvement plans, that we wait until next month when we have





the minutes from the governance council; and perhaps do we need to work with CSD to set a final deadline for a final improvement plan, or do we need to simply leave that up to CSD to work with the schools and -- I think there needs to be a definite date when this final plan needs to be provided.

Julie, you -- give us some guidance here.

Would you rather we set a date? Would you like to suggest a date? Or would CSD like to work on this a little while longer before we try to set that date?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I feel that the end of this month should be a reasonable date for a final. We've met -- we've presented the initial plans. If you have feedback, we can go back to these schools and come up with a final piece. For example, the school that you mentioned with the date, we would go back immediately and have them correct that.

I think extending the date any further will make the process not as valuable. So making the date final by January 31st -- now, we have requested governing board minutes approving the improvement plan. Realistically, thinking about it, we probably should have waited to get a final approval and a final draft, rather than having the



1 draft approved by their governing board and now a 2 final. 3 But we could make that request by 4 January 31st. 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Well -- and Madam Chair? 6 THE CHAIR: Commissioner? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm concerned that 8 the Southwest Learning Centers have identical plans 9 10 for the Intermediate and the Secondary schools. 11 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 12 Commission, Southwest Secondary School, there was a 13 bit of confusion on their improvement plan. 14 was actually only one request for an improvement 15 plan for one of their schools. So we had a 16 conversation -- we did have some back-and-forth with 17 the school. And since all of their schools are 18 exactly -- they're run by the same financial 19 oversight, the improvement plan was recommended for 20 budget purposes only, not any academic purpose. 21 So we felt that it was sufficient to have one plan for all three schools, since their business 22

We could go back and ask for separate



management was, reasonably, the same person -- same

entity.

23

24

plans from each of the schools; but we also need clarification as to whether it was decided that there was an improvement plan required from all three schools, or just one.

THE CHAIR: Please, Josh?

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I'd like to kind of clear up what Julie has alluded to and to alleviate some of Commissioner Toulouse's concerns.

When the letters went out to the three schools for the approval of their charters, the letter to Southwest Intermediate School, unfortunately, I omitted the improvement plan as a condition for that school. And so there was some confusion.

And I think in order to -- to best satisfy the PEC, they decided to go ahead and put that improvement plan in there. And part of the reason that that was omitted was because I had to draft these letters before I had all of the minutes back from -- from the previous meeting.

So any kind of uncertainty regarding their need for the improvement plan, I take some of that responsibility.

THE CHAIR: But just to clarify, this





Commission did approve each one of those schools for 1 2 a two-year term with conditions; is that correct? 3 MR. GRANATA: That is correct, 4 Madam Chair. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Bergman? 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, Madam Chair, 6 7 I would suggest that this Commission, then, just 8 empower Julie and Matt and CSD to notify these 9 schools that January 31st is the deadline, and we 10 can either do that with a vote, or we just can do it 11 verbally, whatever counsel says. 12 MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner 13 Bergman, I think you can just do it verbally. 14 if there's any opposition, Commissioners can note 15 their opposition via vote; but I think verbally is 16 fine. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If that's the will 18 of the Commission, we should do it. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez? 19 20 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I just wanted to make a comment, as well. 21 22 I just also wanted to make a comment about 23 the improvement plans, Madam Chair. 24 looking at some of them, I think one of the things 25 that would be helpful, too, is if in the improvement



1 plans, there were some time frames set in terms of 2 when they're going to accomplish some of their 3 goals, as opposed to saying, "Well, you know, this is what we're going to do," and not give any kind of 5 a time frame or a date as to when it's going to be 6 done by. Any other comments? THE CHAIR: 8 Does anyone oppose empowering Julie and Matt to set that July -- January 31st deadline for 9 10 the final improvement plans and to see if we can 11 work -- or they can work to get the boards' 12 approvals for January -- for our February meeting? 13 So final improvement plans and approval of 14 governance councils for the February meeting? 15 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 16 Commission, yes, I will set the deadline for the 17 31st, encourage time lines for the improvement plan, 18 and expect governing board minutes. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Does that meet 20 with everyone's approval? All right. Thank you very much. 21 22 Let's move on to item No. 10, New Charter 23 School Planning Year Checklist Update. 24 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the



Commission, we have -- the deadline for the Planning

Year Checklist, the initial deadline is 1 January 31st. I am responsible for all three new 2 3 charter schools and their training and Planning Year 4 Checklist implementation. I have conferenced or met with each of the three schools. All three schools 5 have pretty much already completed the items that 7 are due on January 31st, and are really doing well 8 and on the right path.

Because there are only three schools, it's pretty easy for me to meet with these schools individually and on a one-on-one basis; so I feel like I'm providing pretty valuable time on my end. And they're well on their way. They're asking questions already about the 31st.

At the Department, we have created a resource packet for each of the deadlines that includes a sample of each item that is due for each period, being January 31st, March 31st; and that's available to them, as well as the individual meeting time. So during our February meeting, we will have the checklist available with any notes and comments and their submissions.

THE CHAIR: Very comprehensive. Thank you very much.

Do we have any questions or comments?



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Hearing no comments or questions, thank you very much for that report.

Let's move on to item No. 11, which is a Report from Options for Parents and the Charter School Division.

Item No. A begins with Schools of Concern.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, the three schools, the first being Southwest Learning Centers, there's no new updates for Southwest Learning Centers.

PED is still in charge of their finances. We will continue to update with any new information with Southwest Learning Centers as it is available to us.

Health Sciences Academy is well, continuing with their new principal, and seem to be on the right path as far as stabilizing their administration. We will continue to monitor them, as well.

Dream Diné, I do have an update on their facility. They -- they do -- although their building -- their facility -- seems to be moving forward, we just received an e-mail -- and I have that in my binder instead of Matt's. They did have some substantial work. They did make substantial



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	progress this week. But they do have a lease
2	extension through March 15th, and the possibility to
3	extend that lease in their current facility, if
4	their issues are not resolved. But they do seem to
5	be moving forward in that sense.
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that.
7	Do you have questions on these any of
8	these three schools?
9	I will ask Commissioner Peralta I know
10	it's sort of putting you on the spot. You attended
11	your first PSCOC meeting yesterday. Did they have
12	any comments or anything to say about Dream Diné and
13	the status of their new facility?
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No. Dream Diné was
15	not brought up on any discussion during the meeting.
16	THE CHAIR: They must be all right with
17	it, then. Sounds good.
18	Okay. Any questions?
19	All right, Julie. Let's move on to item
20	No. B.
21	MS. LUCERO: Closure Procedures for
22	Anthony Charter School. We have started meeting
23	we have notified them that a closure team has been
24	established. There has been some back-and-forth.
25	They have requested documents from the renewal



1 process from CSD that we have provided. I am not aware of an appeal at this time, 2 3 but they are requesting documents. Our closure team is very set. We have our documentation ready to go 5 for that process, in place. The closure team includes a contractor, myself, and some people from 7 budget, from PED. 8 THE CHAIR: Okay. You are bringing in a 9 contractor? 10 MS. LUCERO: Yes. I also continue to work 11 with their interim director on the day-to-day 12 operations. He is very knowledgeable, but has many 13 questions concerning day-to-day practices. provide that support, as needed. 14 15 Very good. Any questions? THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair? 16 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse? 17 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm nosy. 18 I'd 19 like to know what kind of documents they're asking. 20 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 21 Commission, they're asking for our final analysis 22 from the renewal of their applications, and their 23 applications that they submitted. And it's actually 24 been requested by the director and legal -- I'm not



sure if it's their current lawyer, or if it's just a

member of a committee that they've organized. 1 2 Okay. Commissioner Bergman? THE CHAIR: 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Julie, in the past, 4 with a few schools that we have closed, we have had 5 a time line, 15 to 20 pages long, with everything on that time line of what was going to be required for 7 the school, and you, to accomplish during these 8 closures. Do you have that document yet? 9 we've always had that, and then it's updated each 10 month throughout the process. 11 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 12 Commission, yes, we are using that document 13 specifically for this closure process. And when I 14 drafted the initial letter of what is expected, I 15 referred to that specific time line. 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. So what I'm 17 saying is we'd like to have that document, at your 18 convenience. 19 MS. LUCERO: Yes, we will provide it, as 20 frequently as we can, on the closure. 21 Perhaps if you could just THE CHAIR: 22 provide the document to us in February, and then 23 update it at each meeting after that as the process 24 moves forward?



MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the

1	Commission, I will do that.
2	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you so much.
3	Anything else on Anthony Charter School?
4	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, what
5	is the deadline for them to appeal it?
6	THE CHAIR: Josh?
7	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner
8	Toulouse, the deadline, from my understanding, is
9	the 19th of this month. And as of right this
10	second, I haven't received notice of an appeal.
11	We'll just have to wait and see in these next few
12	days.
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner?
15	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair? So
16	then should I assume that the school is to be closed
17	at the end of this school year? Is that the idea?
18	THE CHAIR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And in
20	Madam Chair, in the plan or the time line that
21	that you all are talking about, is there also
22	conversation about where those kids go, or are they
23	just sort of thrown to the wind and
24	THE CHAIR: Please.
25	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the



1 Commission, yes, there's a very detailed plan and suggestions of where these students can go. 2 3 school is required to contact local districts. And 4 we will follow up with local districts, as well, from CSD. 5 Now, of course, we cannot make a student 7 go to a particular place; but we can provide 8 And the plan is pretty detailed, and there is lots of communication closing a school. 9 10 pretty intense. This will be the first time. 11 there is a lot involved in it. 12 And I -- yes, we will keep students in 13 mind as a primary focus of where these students will 14 go. 15 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: But, Madam Chair, 16 who's talking to the parents? 17 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 18 Commission, there -- it would be our closure team 19 lead, the contractor that we are seeking out; and it 20 could also be CSD and members from PED that would 21 have those conversations. And, really, the closure 22 team lead is responsible. She's already made 23 initial contact with the school and their board.



the only person that responds to me is the interim

I have also made contact with both -- I --

24

director. So I'm not sure if that's because they're deciding on the appeal piece or not. But ultimately, it is their school's responsibility to have constant communication and set up community meetings and go through that process with guidance from us and the closure lead.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So, Madam Chair, do we know if that's happened yet already?

THE CHAIR: I think, again, it's that time line document. And unless you have that in front of you, Julie, I don't know if you can really respond to that. But I know things are very detailed, when each thing should happen; and it's all covered, as I recall.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, yes, in fact, the team lead has scheduled to meet with them next week. Now, the school has been a little hesitant as far as the meeting time, because I get the sense that they are thinking they might appeal. But once the appeal date has come, and we know, then we can move forward more aggressively. Or we would -- we still plan, if they do appeal, to move forward with the closure process.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?





```
COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's what I was
 1
 2
     going to note. Even if they appeal -- for the
 3
     benefit of our new members, we proceed -- the CSD
 4
     and we proceed with the closure, because it is a
 5
     complex process, and parents and kids have to answer
     those kind of questions: "Where am I going to put
 6
 7
    my child if this school is closed on June the 30th?"
 8
               So we proceed until someone -- either the
 9
     Secretary or a court tells us to stop. That's how
10
     we approach it.
               MS. LUCERO: So, Madam Chair --
11
12
               THE CHAIR: And if I recall correctly,
13
     there is support for the school personnel, for the
14
     teachers and so forth, who will also be displaced by
15
     this closure. There is support for them in this
16
     plan, as well.
17
               MS. LUCERO:
                           Madam Chair, members of the
     Commission, yes. So I do know for sure the date is
18
19
     next Wednesday, the initial meeting on the closure
20
     plan.
21
               THE CHAIR:
                           Any other questions?
22
     Concerns?
23
               All right.
                           Thank you. Thank for you
24
     that.
25
               Let's move on to Item C.
```



MS. LUCERO: CSD Staffing Update and 1 Facilitator Contract. I actually have met with a 2 3 facilitator that will be leading negotiations. The 4 meetings have been very -- very wonderful, and we're 5 working together through the process. She will be 6 guiding the process, along with me. I will attend 7 those to where we're working together to make sure 8 the process is very good and a great learning experience for everyone involved. 9 10 THE CHAIR: And, Julie? 11 The final contract, I'm not MS. LUCERO: 12 sure how long that takes. Speaking with her seems 13 like it could take up to a couple of weeks before 14 it's final. But we are -- that has been 15 established, and it's moving. 16 THE CHAIR: We're hoping -- we're hoping 17 for the end of the month. 18 MS. LUCERO: Yes. And we still are 19 meeting -- even though the contract isn't final, 20 she's been willing -- the contractor is being willing to work with me, even though the plan isn't 21 22 in place yet. 23 THE CHAIR: And so that -- because of 24 the -- I can't think of the right word to use -- the 25 facilitator is not going to be on board before the



end of the month. So those negotiations that we had scheduled for January are going to have to be rescheduled. And the schools that were scheduled for those negotiations, or renegotiations, have they all been notified?

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, yes. We have sent out tentative dates. We have a calendar that we're presenting today with proposed changes for those January schools, where they'll be plugged in.

Now, there is -- the initial worksheet would be due -- for those February meetings, the deadline would be today; so we'll have to work with that new deadline. But we are providing training on negotiations next Wednesday, a Webinar about the process itself, and taking the lead from the facilitator what is expected for this process and the back-and-forth with the worksheet deadlines that they need to meet as far as submitting paperwork, submission of board minutes, the -- and the actual date and what comes after that, as far as presenting a final contract to their board and then to the PEC for final approval.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We've already





1 pushed back the January one. The next round is 2 February 4th and 5th. Is the contractor that you're 3 working with -- I'll word it that way -- if they get 4 this contract signed by the 31st, are we going to be 5 able to do the 4th and the 5th, or are we going to have to push those back? And each time we push 6 7 those back, we create a more dire situation, because 8 we have so many of these. MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, Commissioner 9 10 Bergman, we do not intend to push that February 4th 11 date back. We will work with -- the facilitator 12 will work with us to make sure we're ready to go for 13 the 4th. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thank you. 15 THE CHAIR: Wonderful. Any other 16 questions or comments? All right. 17 Julie, is that everything? MS. LUCERO: Yes. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you so much. 20 Let's move on to -- oh, I know what I was 21 going to ask you. Would you talk about notices of 22 intent, please? 23 MS. LUCERO: Yes, Madam Chair, members of 24 the Commission. As of today, we have 19 notices of 25 intent from a variety of schools. I have not had a



chance to go through them in great detail, but 1 2 skimmed each of them. There's some very good 3 submissions of notices of intent, and then there are some that are not as thorough. 5 Last year, there were 22 notices of 6 intent, and five schools went through. Kind of 7 having an idea -- not necessarily thinking there may 8 be five; but an idea that maybe half of those --9 would be great if all of them were to go through; but 19 have submitted notices of intent at this 10 11 time. 12 Thank you. THE CHAIR: Traditionally, 13 about half of the groups that identify that they 14 probably are going to submit an application, only 15 half generally do. So -- and sometimes not even 16 half. So we'll wait. And the final deadline for these 17 18 submissions of the applications is July the 1st; is that correct? 19 20 MS. LUCERO: Correct. THE CHAIR: Commissioner? 21 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: When might we 23 expect an e-mail from you giving us the list of 24 those 19 and where they're at? 25 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the



Commission, we should have, within a couple of 1 2 weeks, for sure. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thank you. 4 MS. LUCERO: We'll work on those this 5 week. Thank you. Other comments or THE CHAIR: 7 questions? 8 All right. Let's move on. Report from 9 the Chair, Discussion and Possible Action. And the first thing I wanted to bring to 10 11 you is the bill that's right behind Tab No. 12. 12 This is a House Bill. And to my knowledge, it has 13 not been submitted yet. Kevin, can I ask you to 14 come forward up here to the table and help with 15 this? 16 Everyone remembers Kevin Force. He's an 17 attorney for the LESC. This is an LESC bill that 18 they have been working on in the Charter School 19 Subcommittee. And this is the bill -- well, could 20 you just give us a brief synopsis of this bill, please? 21 22 MR. FORCE: Madam Chair, Commissioners, 23 certainly. Good morning. Some of you may recall 24 the version of this bill that passed a couple of



years ago, this House Bill 392, as amended -- I

believe it was 2013. This bill is substantially
similar to that. There are a few changes. The main
thrust of the bill is to remove the administrator
attachment that exists between the PEC and the PED
and grant rule-making authority, though that
rule-making authority would be subject to the
approval of the Secretary.

And it would also include a \$1 million appropriation for the Commission. The 2 percent set—aside that's been the subject of some discussion would still be being withheld by the PED -- by the CSD -- but it specifically would be enumerated in the bill that it would be on behalf of the PEC.

That's really the main thrust. But there are some other little changes to wording, a couple of deadline changes, I believe. But otherwise, it's -- it's very similar to the versions of the bill that's already been introduced, and one passed two years ago.

THE CHAIR: And this is going to be carried by?

MR. FORCE: Right now, Representative
Christine Trujillo is sponsoring the bill. I don't
believe she has prefiled it.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: There has been a



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



```
prefile. That was my question. Is this the same as
 1
 2
     her prefile? Because I read -- I read her prefile.
 3
     And I thought I read this. But she has prefiled.
 4
               MR. FORCE: Okay. Because yesterday, I
 5
     didn't see it. I thought she had two.
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And so it's
 6
 7
    been a while --
 8
               MR. FORCE: And then she called and asked
 9
    me for a version of it. I thought she had prefiled
10
     it.
11
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.
                                          It's been a
12
     week or so --
13
               MR. FORCE:
                           Okay.
14
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- at least, that
15
     the prefile has been there. Maybe, Commissioner
16
     Carr, is this the same bill, I think?
17
               COMMISSIONER CARR: I think it's
18
     essentially the same.
19
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON:
                                     Yeah.
20
               COMMISSIONER CARR: But whether it is or
21
     not --
22
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I know.
23
               COMMISSIONER CARR: -- we can attach
24
     amendments and changes throughout the session.
25
               MR. FORCE: The prefile version would not
```





1 be any different than the one that would have been 2 It couldn't be. endorsed. 3 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. 4 THE CHAIR: Okay. So this is --5 MR. FORCE: Obviously, as Commissioner Carr said, it's subject to change and 6 7 substitution. 8 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 9 MR. FORCE: A significant amendment or substitution could lose it -- substitution would 10 11 lose its endorsement, amendments from here, but --12 So, Commissioners, I think THE CHAIR: 13 what I am presenting to you today is asking for your 14 endorsement of this bill, if you so choose. 15 Kevin said, this is a bill very similar to the one 16 that passed both the House and the Senate two years 17 ago and would give the PEC independence and their 18 own budget to hire their own staff. So I think 19 you'd like to consider that. 20 Commissioner Bergman? 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If I may, let me 22 ask Kevin a question, since he is also an attorney. 23 I'm just sitting here looking at Page 2 24 here. And it says, "Upon approval of the Secretary, the Commission shall promulgate and enforce such 25



rules as may be necessary."

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349

Does that mean that this bill could pass the Legislature, be signed by the Governor, and then the Secretary could still tell this Commission that they couldn't write rules? That would be my interpretation, unfortunately.

I'm not sure that I would put MR. FORCE: it in quite that wording, Commissioner Bergman. would write the rules and submit them for promulgation, and they would have to be approved by the Secretary at that point.

There was -- I noted the Chair was present for a lot of the subcommittee meetings for the Charter School Subcommittee that we had for this past interim. And one of the concerns that this addressed -- and this is a difference, I believe, from the previous version of the bill -- the approval by the Secretary of rule-making authority was the veto message by the Governor, her concerns with, among other things, separation of powers issues and interference with the Secretary in the Commission of her duties.

While the constitutional issue does not say "exclusive control of regulatory matters to the Secretary," it does mention, very clearly,





1 regulatory powers for the Secretary; but none for 2 the Commission. So trying to, you know, sidestep 3 any constitutional issues, as well as veto issues, 4 this is what we came up with. I realize it's not 5 all and everything that you wanted. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You rarely get all 7 you want in one bite at the apple. But thank you, 8 Madam Chair. Thank you, Kevin. 9 THE CHAIR: Anything else? Commissioner Carr? 10 11 COMMISSIONER CARR: Just a quick question. 12 Have you --13 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. 14 COMMISSIONER CARR: Have you heard 15 anything from the Governor's Office in regards to 16 the bill this year? 17 MR. FORCE: We have not had any 18 communication from them regarding this bill. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And Madam Chair, I 21 would like to point out, though, that the LESC did 22 not unanimously endorse this. The Republican 23 members did not -- three of them did not vote to So I think it would behoove 24 endorse this bill. 25 everyone to contact their local House members, and,



1 later, Senate members, to encourage them to vote for 2 this, regardless of which party they are. 3 Thank you. 4 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Anything else? COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair? 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner? 6 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: I keep -- I'm sorry. 8 I keep thinking of things. You know, I -- you know, 9 this probably should have gone through as a joint 10 resolution again, like it did last year -- or, 11 well -- we had one. And, you know -- but it 12 wouldn't have had a -- I don't think it -- you know, 13 we don't have much of a -- I don't know if we have 14 much of a chance, you know. 15 I think we should still support this as 16 But in the future, I think we ought best we can. 17 to -- we ought to look at a joint resolution; in 18 other words, putting this through as an amendment, so it can't be vetoed in the future if it doesn't 19 20 pass this time. Just my thoughts. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. 22 Anything else? 23 Hearing no further discussion, the Chair 24 would entertain a motion. 25 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Somebody down at the



1 other end. THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Commissioner 2 3 Toulouse? 4 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I move that the Public Education Commission endorse 5 the LESC-endorsed bill concerning us, with the 6 7 title, "Relating to Public Education, Removing the Public Education Commission's Administrative 8 9 Attachment to the Public Education Department, 10 Establishing the Public Education Commission as an 11 Independent Entity, Providing for Public Education 12 Commission Rule-Making Authority and Staff Support, 13 Granting the Public Education Commission the 14 Authority to Make Chartering Authorization 15 Discussions, to Grant, Renew, Deny, or Revoke the 16 Charter of a State-Chartered Charter School, " making 17 an appropriation for the upcoming session of the 18 New Mexico State Legislature. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 20 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second. 21 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Did I get a 22 second? Commissioner Chavez? 23 Motion by Commissioner Toulouse, second by Commissioner Chavez, to endorse the LESC's bill, as 24 25 noted on the official record.



1	Is there further discussion?
2	Commissioner Bergman?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just have a
4	question for Kevin, because this may just be a
5	legislative need. You know, the sentence in there,
6	"Granting the Public Education Commission the
7	authority to make chartering authority decisions, to
8	grant," et cetera, et cetera; we already have
9	that authority. Why would it have to be back in
10	this bill again?
11	MR. FORCE: I don't pretend to understand
12	the mysteries of how they entitle these bills. I'm
13	sorry. I really don't. The drafters are in charge
14	of that, and I really don't get it most of the time.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, thank you.
16	Some of these, we already have; some, we don't.
17	Okay. Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: Anything else? Anything else?
19	Hearing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we
20	have a roll-call vote?
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We may.
22	Commissioner Peralta?
23	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
25	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Toulouse?
3	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Armbruster?
8	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Chavez?
11	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Gipson?
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Conyers?
17	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Bergman votes "yes." That is a 10-to-0 vote in
23	favor of that motion.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
25	unanimously. Please stay right there for just a



second.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Thank you, Commissioners.

If you'll notice in that same -- behind that same tab, right behind the blue sheet of paper, there is another discussion draft of a bill. And I believe this is the one that's called "the cleanup bill." I don't know necessarily that it speaks specifically -- it does not -- speaks specifically to the PEC, but; it does speak specifically to charters and charter schools.

Kevin, could you speak to this a little bit?

MR. FORCE: A little bit. I don't have a copy of that one in front of me; but this is an omnibus bill. The purpose of -- I have one of my own; I can grab it real quick. Thank you.

The main purpose of this bill was to address a number of conflicts, ambiguities, and questions that have arisen out of the Charter Schools Act and other related legislation over the course of a number of years, since it was initially enacted through a piecemeal amendment. Things change over time; but they don't necessarily get comprehensively addressed throughout other areas of law or other statutes, and small conflicts and



questions arise over the time. And the point of this bill is to address those issues.

There's not -- there's not a great deal of huge substance to it. I think the one area that is more substantive than others are the definitions, the new definitions specific to the Charter Schools Act. I'm not sure which section they show up in. There's 15 separate sections to this bill; so it's pretty substantial.

They begin on Page 18, line 12. And a lot of these definitions were drafted with the help of your former counsel, Abby Lewis, arising out of her knowledge and acquaintance with the issues, which you guys have every year in your application and enrollment process.

There are other provisions in the bill that change a couple of deadlines for you. I believe there's a period -- there's a one-month deadline you have that went from June to July, I think, for submission of applications. I think it's just been changed to simply a June deadline, so that that June or July period can be used for work. I think that was something that you had asked for, or Abby had suggested would be helpful to you in your work.



1	The rest of it some of it is let's
2	see performance framework is going to be made a
3	material term of the contract, of the charter
4	contract. That is on Page 29. Let's see. There's
5	just a I encourage you to read this entire thing
6	through, because some of the sections will have
7	very, very small, minor changes. Some of them will
8	be a little more substantive, like this that I just
9	outlined. There are 15 separate sections in this
10	bill.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioners, I
12	don't know possibly you have not had a chance to
13	read this. And if so we could delay asking for
14	endorsement or if you have read it and feel
15	comfortable with endorsing today, we will go ahead
16	with that.
17	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?
18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner?
19	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: On our previous
20	discussion of whether the previous bill had been
21	prefiled, Representative Trujillo has just told me
22	yes, she has prefiled it.
23	THE CHAIR: Terrific.
24	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Appreciate that.



MR. FORCE: Oh. And Senator Stewart will 1 2 be sponsoring the cleanup bill. I believe she has 3 already prefiled this one, as well. 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes, she has. 5 THE CHAIR: What's your pleasure, Commissioners? 6 Do you wish to move ahead with endorsing 8 this cleanup bill, or would you rather hold it until 9 the February meeting, when you have more time? 10 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair, the 11 session starts -- what? -- next week? 12 MR. FORCE: On Tuesday. 13 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: My sense is it's 14 important we endorse it today. Maybe we could have 15 an opportunity to read it and then come back today 16 with some questions that we have, maybe after the 17 I don't know if we get a break, but -- you 18 know, maybe at that point in time. But I would like 19 to see us make a decision about endorsing today. 20 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I can go along with 22 I think as a part of the motion, though, we 23 should state -- because, like I say, I want to read 24 this again in paper form, and I want to mark it 25 up -- that we're endorsing it today, with the



understanding that individual Commissioners may bring suggested changes as to wording or some parts of this cleanup bill that we would then want to recommend to -- to our own legislators or the LESC.

Kevin, is it -- do you even know, is this actually going to be dropped in the hopper at some point?

MR. FORCE: It's already been.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's already gone to that, then? Okay. I want some caveat, where I can recommend changes at some point in the future.

I'm not going to give a blanket endorsement today of something --

THE CHAIR: That's probably always the case. But would that alleviate your concerns, Commissioner Chavez, if we endorse the bill with the caveat that we can come back with any concerns or changes, say, in the next meeting -- or would you rather take time right now to read it?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair, I would rather take some -- you know, if -- I don't know how the other Commissioners feel, if everyone else has had an opportunity to read the bill or not. But I think that we should, if there's time read it today, make a decision about endorsing it.





I think if we go back and then say, "Well, here are some changes," I -- the process moves so quickly that it may be too late by the time we get to our February meeting to really have a conversation about what kinds of changes.

And I also feel that Commissioners acting individually, sort of speaking on behalf of maybe the Commission, might also be confusing for the sponsor of the bill. So I think we should speak as one body and either not do anything today or endorse it today. My preference is that we take a position today.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Say that again?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: My preference is that we take a position today.

THE CHAIR: Take a position today.

Hold on just one second. Let me ask Josh. Can we take a recess to read the bill?

MR. GRANATA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, I'm not going to read this bill in 20 minutes. It'll take me an hour or more to read this. But you guys go ahead. But I'm still going to -- and we discussed in our work session yesterday, we never speak on behalf of other Commissioners. If I speak



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for anybody, I speak solely on my own behalf. I always make that quite clear.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I

want to say that this was a tremendous amount of

work on the parts of a lot of people, and there was

a lot of charter school involvement in this, too;

because I was at all of these meetings.

I don't think, whatever we look at today, we're going to be able to make a change in it. We either need to say, "Yes, we want this," or, "No, we don't want it"; but it's going to go forward, whether we approve it or not.

I also think that this is going to have to be a work in progress over the years, because we are already looking at adding a term that isn't even in here, "replication," from what we're now discussing; so that would be an issue for next year or the year after, somewhere down the road.

Some of these definitions are always going to change. I really would hate to see us say, "Oh, well, let's throw this work away," because we had very knowledgeable people involved, not just Abby and the LESC folks, but folks from a number of the different charter schools, none of whom I see here



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think that -- my preference is to go ahead and endorse it. But I don't think we can wait and mark it up ourselves now. But we can mark it up for a year from now or two years from now and try it again, because most of the stuff in here is much, much better than we had before.

THE CHAIR: Well, I think some of the Commissioners have probably read it. I know it was e-mailed out. Now, whether or not everybody got it or had the opportunity to read it, I don't know.

But I know from talking to some Commissioners, they have read it.

So, Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I'd just like to add my two cents in here, too. I think we need to go ahead and support it. I have read it a couple of times. And I think that, you know, there's nothing in there that I can't support. You know, nothing's ever perfect; but I think I -- I think we're going to have a hard time getting some legislation passed this year. And I think this one may have -- actually have a chance of passing. And I think we should help it along.

So I think we should vote to support it



1 today. 2 (Chair consults with counsel.) 3 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Carolyn? 4 THE CHAIR: Millie? I'm sorry. 5 COMMISSIONER POGNA: I have read it, and I I think we should go ahead. 6 support it. 7 THE CHAIR: Go ahead? Okay. Thank you. We should take a few minutes' break. 8 as Commissioner Bergman said, that may not be really 9 10 enough time to really read the document. 11 your pleasure -- and it seems to me quite a few have 12 read the bill already -- maybe? -- almost 13 everyone -- if it's your pleasure that we go ahead 14 and endorse or vote to endorse this bill today, 15 anyone who feels uncomfortable voting or not 16 prepared to vote certainly has the option to 17 abstain. And Commissioner Bergman? 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And let me note 19 this, because a lot of Commissioners weren't here 20 four years ago. You can amend bills in the process. Senate Bill 446, which is the one that made us do 21 22 the performance contracts, Commissioner Gant and I 23 both were talking to legislators in the second month of that session -- it was a long session. 24 got changes made in Senate Bill 446. It was still 25



1 in committees at that time. And we got changes 2 made. 3 So there are changes that can be made 4 during the process. It's not just a -- we did it. 5 And so any bill, I believe, on that same time line can be changed, if people need it -- if it needs to 6 7 be changed. Is there further discussion? 8 THE CHAIR: 9 Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a 10 motion. 11 Commissioner Toulouse, I think you're our 12 go-to person on this. 13 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Let me get back to 14 the front page. 15 I move that the Public Education 16 Commission endorse the LESC's endorsed -- which was 17 endorsed unanimously -- proposed legislation 18 entitled, "An Act Relating to Public Education Amending the Public School Code to Define Certain 19 20 Charter Terms and to Clarify Certain 21 Responsibilities of Charter School Authorizers, 22 Charter School Governing Bodies, and Charter 23 Schools," in the upcoming Legislative Session, which 24 begins next Tuesday.



Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR:

1	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
2	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
3	Toulouse, second by Commissioner Pogna, to endorse
4	the LESC's endorsed cleanup bill, as defined on the
5	official record.
6	Is there further discussion?
7	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
8	roll-call vote?
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm trying to
10	decide whether I need to abstain, and, if so, I'll
11	need to announce it in advance.
12	I think I need to vote. I'll put a
13	comment on it when I vote.
14	All right. Commissioner Pogna?
15	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Toulouse?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Armbruster?
23	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Chavez?





1	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Gipson?
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Conyers?
7	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Peralta?
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Shearman?
13	THE CHAIR: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Bergman is going to vote "yes," but with the caveat
16	that I may exercise my rights as a citizen to
17	contact my legislators and speak on my own behalf in
18	support or non-support of any part of this bill; and
19	that is my personal right, of course, as we all
20	have.
21	And, here again, for the benefit of the
22	new people, I have been a strong supporter of this
23	legislation all along. I've been a fighter for it.
24	It's not that I'm opposed to it; it's just that I
25	want to take a look at it and think about it for a



while. 1 2 Madam Chair, that is a 10-to-0 vote. 3 THE CHAIR: The bill passes unanimously. 4 The bill is endorsed. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 5 have one other follow-up from Representative 6 7 Trujillo. In order to begin tracking next week, the bill number for her prefiled bill is House Bill 74. 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 9 This one? 10 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No. The one --11 the PEC one. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And did you say who 13 was sponsoring this? 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Christine 15 Trujillo. 16 COMMISSIONER CARR: Both of them? 17 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: The second one, I 18 have not contacted Representative Stewart. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The second one is 19 prefiled. I can get it for you. 20 21 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Actually, I have 22 Mimi Stewart on this one, too. But she doesn't 23 usually answer me; but Representative Trujillo does. So I asked -- and she did verify she prefiled. 24 I asked her for the number. So it's House Bill 74. 25



THE CHAIR: Thank you for that, Commissioner.

And if I could have my copy? We don't have to cut down any more trees. Thank you very much.

The next item on report from the Chair is the First Reading of the PEC Rules of Order. And I think Beverly put these pamphlets at everyone's chair. I said I thought it would be better if we had a first reading this month, made sure everyone had a copy. And then we'll come back in our February meeting for approval, or any changes or edits that might need to be made.

And, Beverly, I believe you said yesterday in the work session that if we want to take these with us, that's fine; but please be sure and bring them back. Otherwise, leave them in the notebook, and you will be sure that we have them.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Right.

THE CHAIR: So whichever you choose to do: Either leave it in the notebook or take it with you. But be sure and bring it back if you take it with you.

The other thing I wanted to remind everyone is there's a financial disclosure statement





that's due to the Secretary of State's Office this month for each of us. If you haven't already filed that, please do.

I believe Beverly gave you the e-mail -or the web address. I know the letter I got said
the form could be filed and submitted online.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. I couldn't do it, either.

THE CHAIR: I could not.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Me, too.

THE CHAIR: So I printed the file, filled it out, and mailed it. So don't leave that to the last minute, if you haven't already taken care of it.

There is one other thing I'd like to do, and it's not on the agenda, but it doesn't require action; so I'm hoping Josh will let me do it.

Yesterday in the work session, we talked a great deal about replication, and we decided that a task force would be put together to study replication and to come back to this Commission with a suggested -- first of all, what is replication, what is a School of Excellence, and then some procedures and policies.

And I would like to do that today. First



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
of all, I would like to ask if there is anyone who
 1
 2
     is willing to volunteer to serve on that task force.
 3
               COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I will.
 4
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I would.
 5
               THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
 6
     Chavez. Do we have others?
 7
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Gipson.
                                              And
 8
     Commissioner --
 9
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I will,
    Madam Chair.
10
11
               COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Commissioner
12
     Toulouse.
13
               THE CHAIR: Okay. I'm looking here.
                                                      This
14
     is -- that is hard to --
15
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I know.
16
               THE CHAIR: -- hard to see. Commissioner
17
     Toulouse?
18
               Anyone else? "Ad Hoc Task Force."
19
               Okay. Mr. Pahl?
20
               MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
21
     Commission, I just want to formally -- I'm always
22
     open to the Commissioners if they ever want to call
23
    me or ask me any questions. But I'd just like to
24
     offer myself as a touch point, as you -- as the task
25
     force goes through and creates their
```



recommendations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the conversation yesterday really showed -- I hope you felt this way, too -- but it showed me that there's a lot of room for us to work together, the PED and the PEC. And I think we'll -- I think if we're -- if we give ourselves some points to collaborate, we'd come out with a very strong product at the end.

So I just want to offer myself as a touch point as we go through the process.

THE CHAIR: I appreciated the Charter

School people who were there yesterday. They

offered the same. And I think we'll have a stronger

group for having more representation.

Commissioner Bergman? I'm sorry.

Commissioner Carr?

what Matt said, I believe the task force should include one or two people from the CSD as part of it. I would like -- I mean, looking at it as a task force, too, I mean, we might want to even add some -- some -- you know, maybe add a couple of other people; but maybe from the Charter Schools or something like that. I -- just a thought. But definitely from CSD.



1 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that suggestion. 2 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. 3 THE CHAIR: I would also volunteer for 4 that task force. 5 Commissioner Bergman, are you offering to 6 volunteer? 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No. I'm actually 8 going to ask you a question, because yesterday, you also talked about the Charter School Committee doing 9 10 it. Are we talking about a task force and a 11 Charter -- I don't want to duplicate what the task 12 force is doing. You wanted us on the Charter School 13 Committee to do something at the very end. It was a 14 very lengthy speech, and I thought it was up for 15 negotiation. You wanted us to work on defining 16 replication and branch schools and all the rest of 17 that. But if a task force is going to do it, 18 then the Charter School Committee doesn't need to do 19 20 it. THE CHAIR: Let's see what the task force 21 22 comes up with. If they need to bring in the Charter 23 School Committee people to assist or help us, we'll do it. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay.



THE CHAIR: All right. So we've got four 1 2 on the task force. I would suggest that we get this 3 group organized and then see who -- how the task 4 force wants to add other members or how they want to 5 handle it, okay? Is that all right with everybody? 6 Okay. MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, 7 8 my concern would be that if there are too many members of the Commission on the task force, it 9 10 would constitute a quorum requiring Open Meetings 11 Act notification and whatnot. So I think four 12 members is probably appropriate. 13 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 Okay. Are we ready to move on? 15 Item No. 13, Report from the Charter School Committee and Discussion and Possible Action 16 17 on the Calendar and Commissioner Participation. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. You will 19 find -- Matt, is what's in my book here the same 20 thing you handed me yesterday? Julie it just looked different, because it's laid out different? 21 22 MS. LUCERO: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm going to work 24 off of what you gave me yesterday. You can find in 25 your book and keep it with you and keep it handy for



the next five months, because that's how long this process is going to take.

It's a very comprehensive form. It's the form we used last year that worked really well, gives you all the dates, and it gives the charter schools, importantly, all the dates that they need to have things done.

As was noted earlier, we already have moved the January negotiations to later months, because we didn't have a facilitator under contract yet. And Julie has assured us that we're going forward with the 2/4 and 2/5 negotiations, and, hopefully, it's all going to be ready then.

That's the first day -- turn the page -- no, at the bottom of the first page here, you'll see we have two negotiations on February the 4th.

Here again, let me give you the philosophy that we try to follow on these things. Going back to what Josh just said, we can't gang up on these schools. So normally, the ideal number to have from the Commission at these sessions is three Commissioners, no more than four. So if you get five, I believe that's just not efficient, and it gives the impression that we may be ganging up on them, and we don't want to run into quorum



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

problems -- that's not a quorum issue; but we don't want anybody to be thinking it is.

So I will be at these four. There's four of them, two the 4th, two on the 5th. I believe that's correct.

THE CHAIR: And I will be, too.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner

Shearman and myself are already on here. I'm going

to write down -- here, again, particularly for the

benefit of the new Commissioners, I encourage each

year that each Commissioner try to be at at least

one of these negotiations, because it's in your

benefit to see the process, see what goes on, to see

the intersection between the Commissioners and the

schools, and to see their reaction when we talk

about certain things.

So I always encourage you to go to at least one during the year. And it's part of -- it's part of the job, too. And last year, I believe every Commissioner went to at least one, and a number of Commissioners went to multiple ones.

So with that caveat, myself and
Commissioner Shearman are going to be there on the
4th and the 5th. And it is in Albuquerque. It
tells you where they are. The first few are in



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 Albuquerque. Who would like to be the third person 2 on the 4th? 3 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I can. 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Who? Armbruster. 5 Okay. That's three. How about the 5th? Who wants 6 to be the third person on the 5th? 7 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I can do the 5th. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner 8 9 Toulouse. Okay. 10 We're going to just go ahead and do 11 February now. I want to wait on March until we get 12 into February and see how everything is going. 13 also have a one-day session scheduled on the 25th of 14 February. That's the one in Las Cruces. You're in 15 Las Cruces. 16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I am. I will
- 17 certainly be there.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. So we've
- 19 got --
- 20 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think I can make
- 21 it.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Bergman,
- 23 Shearman.
- 24 THE CHAIR: I'd really like to have four
- 25 people at some of these, particularly when you're



1 bringing the new people in. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I would like to come 2 3 up on the 5th -- the 4th or the 5th, whichever is --4 THE CHAIR: How about both? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: If I'm coming up, I 5 might as well come up for the two days. 6 7 THE CHAIR: That's -- there you go. 8 then on the 25th also, do we have a fourth person that wants to be there in Las Cruces, wants to take 9 10 a nice road trip to Las Cruces? 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And those usually 12 start in the morning? 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The first one 14 usually starts at 8:30, and the afternoon one is 15 1:15. And as the Chair noted earlier in the day, some of them have taken more than four hours. 16 Some 17 of them have been done in a little over three. 18 It depends on the school; it depends on 19 how they did in their preparations. Here, again, 20 for the new Commissioners, the CSD has a liaison -you'll see on this form -- assigned to every school. 21 22 The liaison works with that school. They prepare 23 the initial document with their initial goals and



And then that document -- we work from

everything.

24

that document in the negotiation. And I can tell you, history tells me that virtually every school comes in with goals that are too weak. And that's a part of our discussion during those sessions is how we get them to realize the importance of making those goals a little more rigorous. And that sometimes goes fairly quickly, and sometimes it takes a while.

We -- what I tell these schools in every negotiation is it's just basic goal-setting theory. If you set a goal to be too difficult, that's useless, just -- if you set a goal that the school can't meet, that's a waste of time.

So we don't want to do that. If you set a goal that's too easy that they're going to make for sure, that's a waste of time. That's not what we want.

So we try for some kind of common ground in between too hard and too weak. And the word I always use with the schools is I want you to feel "challenged." So we want them to be challenged, because the goal, overall, is to get them to perform better academically. It's pure and simple. And that's what we strive for; that's what we're shooting for.



So we have February taken care of. I believe we can handle the February meeting. Then we can start talking about March and April. Let's see how it goes. Let's see how the first round goes.

Yeah, Matt?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAHL: Commissioner Bergman,

Madam Chair, the rest of the Commissioners, I just

want to state for the record here and for

everyone -- everyone in the audience, as well, that

we agree with Commissioner's statements on the

goals. They should be challenging. And when Staff

is looking at the worksheets prior to the

negotiations, we're going to hopefully have that

back-and-forth with the school that has them at an

elevated level, compared to where they were in the

past.

And so when we're at the negotiations, we feel like our role is to make sure our input will be solely focused on making sure those goals are quality goals, because, as Commissioner Bergman stated, the end goal is for increased academic achievement. And that can happen through those interim assessments. It can also happen through those mission-specific indicators that will be on those performance frameworks.



1	And so we're excited to engage in a big
2	process for a big amount of schools; so thank you.
3	
	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I appreciate that.
4	The more that you guys can get them to move
5	initially, the easier the negotiations will become.
6	MR. PAHL: Right.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's all I have
8	for now.
9	THE CHAIR: You have Las Cruces on your
10	thing?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. Let me read
12	it off here. For Albuquerque on the 4th and the
13	5th, Commissioners Bergman, Shearman, Armbruster,
14	and Gipson will be at that one.
15	Oh. And Commissioner I have
16	Commissioner Toulouse listed here. Are you going to
17	be there, too?
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'm Madam
19	Chair, I said that I would do the ones on the 5th.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Well, that
21	means we'd have five people. Are you
22	THE CHAIR: No, we don't Armbruster is
23	not on the 5th; is that correct?
24	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Whatever. No, I
25	didn't say that, because I spoke up. But if you



```
1
     need me on the 5th, I can do the 5th, because I'm
     already here. So it's -- whatever. But you don't
 2
 3
     want too many. That's --
 4
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, we don't want
 5
     five there.
 6
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
                                         Leave my name
 7
     off, and I'll just come the 4th, then.
                                              Is that
 8
    better?
               THE CHAIR: You'll do the 4th, but not the
 9
     5th.
10
11
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And Commissioner
12
     Toulouse will do the 5th, then?
13
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
14
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That takes care of
15
     the 4th and 5th.
16
               And then on the 25th, which is in
17
     Las Cruces, myself, Commissioner Shearman, and
18
     Commissioner Gipson. Nobody else wanted to go to
19
    Las Cruces? Nice time of the year to visit.
20
     not too hot, not too cold.
21
               THE CHAIR:
                           It's a pretty drive. Okay.
22
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Like I say, we'll
23
     take care of March in the -- but I would like you
24
     all to look at the schedule and be thinking about
25
     which ones you want to do. We're going to have more
```



```
in Albuquerque; I believe at least one session in
 1
 2
     Santa Fe -- or maybe two sessions in Santa Fe down
 3
     near the end. So pick one out, or pick two out that
     you want to go to, and we'll work with everybody;
 5
     because, like I say, I really want everybody to do
     at least one or two, just for your own benefit and
     for the benefit of the kids.
               So I think I'll leave it at that, if
 8
 9
     that's all right, Madam Chair.
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           Any questions?
11
                           Let's move on to Item 14,
               All right.
12
     Report from PSCOC Meeting.
13
               Commissioner Peralta, if you have anything
14
     from the meeting yesterday -- I know it was your
15
     first one. I'm sure it was --
16
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA:
                                      Enlightening.
17
               THE CHAIR: -- "enlightening." That's a
18
     good word.
19
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA:
                                      Yes.
                                            Madam Chair,
20
     much to absorb in attending my first meeting with
21
     the Public Schools Capital Outlay Committee.
22
               The bulk of the work in yesterday's
23
     meeting was the submission by the Awards
24
     Subcommittee. There were 14 submissions by the
25
     Committee for Phase 1 or Phase 2, Public Facilities
```



Improvements. And there were 14 that were sent to the Committee, and all 14 were passed and adopted by the Committee.

I serve on the Administrative Maintenance and Standards Subcommittee. Most of the information that we had, our purpose for the Committee yesterday was more informational items. And so no action was taken on the action -- on the matters that we handled in the AMS Subcommittee. At the conclusion of yesterday's Capital Outlay Committee, Chairman Abbey made a request that some serious dialogue go on about what may happen to the two subcommittees that serve under the PSCOC. And he's concerned about maybe that there should be some consideration to either reorganizing the subcommittees, eliminating one and combining the committees into one, or possibly having the AMS subcommittee, which I serve on, to do more of the voting and "taking care of your business on a separate matter," so there's not so much coming up to the Capital Outlay Committee meetings the following week.

So that will be happening here probably in the next meeting or two. We're not scheduled to meet until April the 9th -- I believe is our next Capital Outlay Committee. So as I get my feet more



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wet and involved in the Committee, I'll be more than happy to present more information to the Commission.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. We appreciate you taking on that responsibility, Commissioner. It's a big one, and it's an important one.

Any questions for Commissioner Peralta?

All right. Let's move on to Item 15, Old

Business. And this is put in because a lot of times

there will be questions from the minutes, and there

was no, really, place to answer those; so this was

put in there.

I don't -- at this time, don't have any questions from the minutes. Does anyone else?

The only other thing I would like to bring up -- and I know it's not listed here, but it's not going to require any action. But I know Beverly sent out a questionnaire asking everyone to please list the Commission -- the committees and the liaison roles that you would like to serve this year.

I don't have that from everyone; so we can't do a draft handout at this time. But if I haven't heard from you, or don't have your list, could I have that today, please?

And we can just do it after the meeting,





1 if you'd rather -- or, Commissioner, did you have 2 3 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have a question. 4 THE CHAIR: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I had sent an e-mail 5 6 asking what the committees -- sort of what the roles 7 and responsibilities of each of those committees I'd kind of like to have a sense of what I 8 9 might be volunteering for. THE CHAIR: And what I would -- what I 10 11 would ask that you do is visit with the people that 12 are currently on those -- the ones that you might be 13 interested in, talk to those Commissioners, and let 14 them tell you exactly what they do, rather than me 15 trying to tell you; because if I haven't served on 16 the committee, I don't know as much as you might 17 want to know. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. 18 I quess I was 19 just thinking that maybe there was a list that had 20 the committees and sort of the goal and purpose of each committee. 21 22 THE CHAIR: There is not. 23 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: All right. 24 THE CHAIR: Any other questions? But if



25



you would please try to visit with me after the

1 meeting or get that information to me in the next 2 couple of days, so we can put this list together and 3 get -- particularly, get the liaison roles set out and chairs for the committees. Anything else under Old Business? Matt? 5 MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I -- we brought, for the Commission --7 Commission's review, new language for those two gaps 8 we found in the application. There were some 9 10 revised requests. And since that was something we 11 were taking care of in earlier business, maybe this 12 is an appropriate time to bring it up. 13 THE CHAIR: Do we have copies of that? MR. PAHL: We do. I'd like to hand them 14 15 out now, if you're okay with that. 16 THE CHAIR: Is it okay? We can't take any 17 action on it. (Chair consults with counsel.) 18 (A discussion was held off the record.) 19 THE CHAIR: Commissioners, I apologize for 20 the confusion. This handout that Matt just gave 21 you, these two sections he is recommending be 22 included in the application. Parts of them are 23 included in the application; but not -- it's not 24 entirely there. 25 We always -- the PEC has always taken the



position that these forms belong to us and that
nothing goes in those forms until the PEC approves

it. There is no place on the agenda that gives us
the legal option to vote to include this in the
application, and the application needs to be posted
on the website right away, for new applicants to get
started.

So Josh tells us we really have no place in which to vote on it today.

The discussion was could these questions be included in the capacity interviews that the Charter School Division does for -- on the new applications that come in, if they could ask questions that would elicit this information and then that gets passed on to us? And then next year, we will see that this part gets included in the formal application.

Would that be all right with everybody?

It's sort of an end-run around this whole process.

But it gets the -- it gets it done, and it would get the information to us.

Does anyone have a problem with that? Please say if you have a problem, because I'm looking for consensus at this point.

I see no one has a problem?



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Matt, let's do that. Let's include this 1 2 in the capacity interview, please. 3 MR. PAHL: Will do. 4 THE CHAIR: And perhaps in February, or whenever you want to bring this forward again, so 5 that it can become part of the formal application, okay? 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And that would be 9 my recommendation is go ahead and vote on it early 10 on, just -- and it'll be taken care of and done. 11 I just don't want it to cause THE CHAIR: 12 any confusion. 13 Okay? All right. 14 Then, with that taken care of, we are to 15 Item No. 16, which is PEC Comments. I'd like to 16 start over here with Commissioner Carr. COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, mine's going to 17 18 be the longest today, because I had asked that some 19 of my concerns in regards to Statute 22-8B-5.3 that 20 we discussed yesterday be placed on the agenda for discussion and possible action. And I would like to 21 22 have that on the agenda for next month, as I think 23 it's important that we talk about it. 24 And all the new members -- or the people



that were not here yesterday -- didn't get a chance

to take part in that discussion. And we got advice from our attorney yesterday in regards to it, as well, which we had not been able to express to our new members. And we had no minutes from the meeting from yesterday; so they can't read what happened, either.

So -- so I would encourage the people that weren't there yesterday to read 22-8B-5.3. My concerns were, are we fulfilling all of our statutory duties under the law? And Josh had assured us that he believed that we were. And I also -- but also, one of the suggestions was that we hire our own attorney to go to these negotiations, which I fully support, which we probably don't have the funds to do, which is one of the reasons why we need funds.

Also, I would like to have placed on the -- you know, it doesn't have to be next month.

But in -- maybe in the next couple of months, I would like to give the new members a chance to vote on supporting the State School Board amendment that we sponsored last year that I worked extensively in the Legislature for.

And I don't want us to forget about it.

It's not going to be in the session this year,



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     probably not next year. But two years from now, I
     think there's a possibility that we could go for
 2
 3
     that again. This is a huge goal of mine,
     personally, and we voted 8-to-1 to support it last
 5
     year. I don't want us to forget about it.
                                                 It is a
     goal of mine to get that done before I leave this
 7
             I think it's very important that we go back
     to the State School Board, pretty much as it existed
 8
     when Millie was still on it.
 9
10
               And she's the only one here present today
     who was actually a member of the State School Board.
11
12
                What year did you go on?
     I forgot.
13
               COMMISSIONER POGNA: I was elected 1978.
14
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   In 1978, long-term
15
     service to --
16
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                    36 years.
17
               COMMISSIONER CARR: -- to education.
18
    Millie doesn't talk much, but, boy, she reads, and
19
     she has a very sharp mind, and she's educated
20
     herself very well over the years.
21
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                   Thank you.
22
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   You're welcome.
23
               I -- and so another thing I would like
24
     to -- and then I -- last year was different, because
25
     we had that State School Board amendment.
```



House -- excuse me -- Senate Resolution 2 and Senate Resolution 8. Senate Resolution 8 separated us from the State -- from the Public Education Department in some ways similar to the bill we're supporting this year, but went a lot further; something -- another one I wouldn't want us to forget.

This year I would like to be officially taken off of as chair of the Legislative Committee, and I would like to be removed as liaison to the LESC. And my reasons for that is that for ethical reasons, too. When I go to lobby at the Legislature this year, there is a great number of bills that I have a great deal of concern for. And I don't want there to be any -- any -- anything in writing to show that I'm actually representing the PEC on those issues that have nothing to do with the PEC.

So that's my reasoning behind it. I will still support the bill we -- the bills we voted to support, of course. And I also don't want to take any money for my travels, ethically, because I'm going to probably be working harder on these other issues than I am on our own -- on the ones that we voted on today.

So that's -- I just wanted to make it clear and put on the record that I just want to make



```
sure that nobody thinks I'm there lobbying for the
 1
     PEC, when I'm talking about a lot of issues that
 2
 3
     don't concern us.
 4
               And I think that --
 5
               THE CHAIR: Can I just ask a question
 6
     while you're taking a break there? I've got you
 7
    marked off the Legislative Committee.
                                            I've got you
    marked off LESC. But is there another
 8
 9
     non-legislative committee that I could put you on?
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I'm a liaison to
10
11
     the -- the --
12
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                   NMSIA?
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah. Is it NMSIA?
13
14
     Yeah.
15
               COMMISSIONER POGNA: The Public School --
               COMMISSIONER CARR: The administrators'
16
17
     association, not NMSIA.
18
               THE CHAIR: Okay.
                                  I quess I misread your
19
     e-mail.
              I thought you were taking yourself off
20
     everything.
21
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Yeah.
                                          And I gave that
22
     second thought. There's no reason I should be taken
23
     off of that one.
24
               THE CHAIR: That's Coalition of School
25
     Administrators?
```



COMMISSIONER CARR: Uh-huh. And after the 1 2 session, I'd be more than willing to go back as a 3 liaison with the LESC. 4 THE CHAIR: Sorry. I didn't hear you. 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: After the Legislative Session, I'd be more than welcome if you'd like to 6 7 place me back on the LESC. We'll just look at 8 things that the --THE CHAIR: Would you be willing to serve 9 10 on one of the other committees, either the Career 11 and Technical or Strategic Planning? 12 COMMISSIONER CARR: Not at this time, no. 13 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Thank you. 14 Matt has a question. 15 I'm sorry. Madam Chair, MR. PAHL: 16 Commissioner Carr, because the LESC is an interim 17 committee, it -- if -- it technically does not meet 18 during the session. And so I'm just -- I bring that 19 up, just to -- whether that, you know, makes a 20 difference for this or not. COMMISSIONER CARR: It doesn't meet during 21 22 the session; but I -- I just don't want there to be 23 any question that -- you know, "Oh, you're listed as a liaison for the LESC for the PEC," you know. 24



don't want there to be -- I just don't want there to

be any appearance of impropriety of any kind, which will lead me to be a free agent at the Legislature this year.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner

Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I have a concern that's come up as an anecdotal thing. I know we're beginning to get into the period of time when people do their lotteries. And I have a question of the PED.

Does any of the Charter School Division folks, on a random basis, audit any of those as they go -- as the lottery is actually happening? Because I have been told, at least with a couple of schools, that there are people who are being told, "Don't worry; you're in."

And they are, you know, despite the length of the lottery. And some of that can just happen by the draw. But it bothers me that that word is out there, at least in the Albuquerque community. And so I -- it doesn't necessarily need to be an agenda item. So that's why I thought I'd bring it up under "Comments."

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioner
Toulouse, we're working on looking at how we





incorporate that lottery process into the questions we ask during site visits. And if we're hearing things either from our interviews with parents, the governing boards, or with staff at the school, then it may be worth elevating the kind of intervention we do regarding the lottery process.

And so those conversations, because we're hearing similar things on the ground, as well as other folks interested in charter schools, has our ears piqued. And we'll start our site visit process in earnest in February. Right now, we're formulating, "What does that look like?"

So we appreciate the comments and know it's a -- it's a big concern.

thank you, Mr. Pahl, because, you know, the bulk of charter schools in Albuquerque are actually in my district. And so I have a lot of people, now that they know I'm on the PEC, who are talking to me, calling me, running into me places. And that's why I'm getting in -- it's coming from within the charter school community, as well; because I do have people who are under the APS charter who talked to me, too.

One of them, for instance, is Gordon



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bernell. And I know, because I sat and sympathized 1 on that school and why they'll never get a decent 2 3 grade, because they have no graduation rate, because 4 the purpose of the school is not to graduate a kid; 5 it's to keep them educated while they're incarcerated. And then they do try to move them on to some of the other charter schools, or to APS, to 8 finish them up; but they have no control over 9 whether those people do or not. 10 That's why I say I'm hearing it from a 11 couple of people in the public and a couple of 12 charter school people. I'm going to continue 13 getting more comments as more and more people 14 realize that being retired, and despite six 15 grandkids and some politics, I do have time to talk 16 and meet with people now at this stage of my life. 17 And I'm not turning anybody down, whether they're APS or ours, or anything, to meet with --18 19 especially if they're in my district. 20 So would it be helpful if I did pass some of those on to you, if I get specific comments? 21

MR. PAHL: We'd greatly appreciate it, Commissioner Toulouse.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Okay, thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commission Pogna?



22

23

24



```
1
               COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair, I would
 2
     mainly like to thank Commissioner Carr for the kind
 3
     comments he made. And that was a long time ago.
 4
     was very exciting times in education. My -- I had
 5
     big hair at that time -- a long time ago.
                                                But I
     have -- I have enjoyed it all. I have appreciated
 6
     it.
 8
               THE CHAIR: We appreciate you, Millie.
 9
     Thank you.
10
               COMMISSIONER POGNA:
                                    Thank you.
11
               THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
12
     Peralta?
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair, I just
13
14
     want to express my appreciation for my new role as
15
     Secretary of the Commission. And I look forward to
16
     working with the Executive Committee in a very
17
     positive, productive way, and, you know, am just
18
     thankful for the opportunity.
19
               THE CHAIR: Looking forward to working
20
     with you, too.
21
               Josh, do you have anything to bring to us,
22
     as long as you're next on the chain here?
23
               MR. GRANATA: Well, Commissioners, I
24
     just -- I look forward to this new year. I think
25
     Commissioner Bergman has mentioned this many times,
```



that -- especially for the new Commissioners -- that the first year, you really are learning. And I'm in that first year at learning a bunch about what the Commission does.

I feel that after each meeting, I have learned a lot more about the Commission and the important role that the Commission has. And so I'm looking forward to this new year and working also with Matt and his staff, as well.

I want to briefly touch on a couple of comments from Commissioner Carr, just to address the record, in particular.

minutes for the meeting yesterday. And I think what he is referring to is that our court reporter wasn't at the meeting; so there's not going to be a transcript of those meetings. But there will be meeting minutes, just not as detailed as the Commission usually has.

So I don't want somebody who reads these minutes to find an OMA violation.

And, secondly, we did have a discussion regarding the statute that Commissioner Carr mentioned. And I think that he is right, that the Commission does need to have more discussions. I



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think, in general, the more discussion that the Commission has regarding all the issues is best to bring all these issues out in the open and to try to resolve questions that Commissioners have.

The advice that I gave to the Commission was that I don't think, within the statutory scheme, that the Commission could delegate the role of negotiating contracts to CSD because of some concerns I have regarding conflicts and the different roles of the different entities.

And in a private conversation I had with Commissioner Carr, I indicated that it may be possible for the Commission to hire an attorney to help in those negotiations. And I think that it's an area that I need to look into, as well, some more.

I think I have expressed it to the Commission a number of times, that the way that these statutes are drafted I think are kind of piecemeal and hard to understand in the -- from a broad perspective. And so from a legal interpretation of these statutes, often at times, it's difficult to understand how different provisions harmonize with one another.

And I think that the duties of the



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commission is one section that is hard to -- to understand, considering all the roles and duties that the Commission is assigned to, without necessarily having the Staff to do it.

But that's a -- something that I think will need to be addressed by the Legislature. And I look forward to, like I said before, working with the Commission and PED this year.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. I think I probably said enough. But I, again, want to thank the Commission for re-electing me to Chair. I'm not sure my -- my brain thinks that's the sanest thing to do. Boy, does it take a lot of time. But I do enjoy the role, and I enjoy working with each and every one of you.

And I would encourage everyone to sign up for as many of those negotiations as you can. It's a true learning experience. And I think you benefit from it. I think you'd enjoy it. It's interesting.

So with that, I'll ask Commissioner

21 | Bergman --

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would echo what the Chair and the Secretary said. I'm looking forward to this year and what we're going to accomplish. It is an ongoing process. It has





always been an ongoing process.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Six years ago, myself and Commissioner

Shearman and Commissioner Carr were sitting where
you guys are now. And you wouldn't recognize what
was there. Where we are now is so much more
complex. We didn't have forms, believe it or not.
We didn't have guidelines. We didn't have these
performance frameworks and all the rest of it. And
all that has been established over these last six
years.

And we continually tweak it. There's a reason we tweak it. Questions come up every year, just like with this document that we just -- gave us a little problem there. We try and anticipate everything. We're human. None of us can anticipate everything.

So it is an ongoing process. It's a challenging process, and it happens to be a process I enjoy. It's been fun. I've enjoyed doing all this, because of the people that it benefits. It doesn't benefit us, doesn't benefit the CSD. It benefits the charter schools, and, more importantly, it benefits the kids that go to those charter schools. And that's really why we're all here. We're all volunteers; we're not paid. We don't have



1 to be here, and yet we are all here. So it's an ongoing process, and I enjoy 2 3 it, and I hope your experience will be the same. I 4 hope you will enjoy it as you jump into it and see 5 what we're doing. Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Conyers? 8 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes. 9 I'd like to 10 kind of follow up on what Mrs. Shearman said there. 11 And I want to congratulate the new 12 I appreciate your willingness to put in 13 the extra time. I think a lot of people don't 14 really understand that. They think, "Well, you're 15 an officer and you just go to the meetings." 16 there's a lot more involved. So I appreciate that 17 and commend you for that. 18 Also, I want to thank the Commissioners and the -- and Staff for their words of 19 20 encouragement to me in my recent and continuing I really appreciate that. 21 illness. 22 I want to congratulate all the new 23 members -- or those who were reelected and the new 24 members. It's good to meet you all. And I think we



have a very good group to work with. And so I

1 appreciate that. And I'm just glad to be here. And as the 2 3 saying goes, "I'm glad to be anywhere." So --4 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 5 Commissioner Gipson? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just want to thank 6 7 the Commissioners and the Staff for making this a 8 fairly seamless and comfortable transition into the 9 Commission, and to rest assured that former 10 Commissioner Gant has already scheduled a lunch with 11 me for next week so he can get his PEC fix. So he 12 will keep me on my toes, as I hope the rest of you 13 will. 14 And just for your information, the bill 15 that Senator Stewart dropped is SB-148. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you very 17 much. 18 Commissioner Chavez? 19 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah. Thank you, 20 Madam Chair. I just want to thank everyone for the warm welcome. I look forward to the opportunity in 21 22 the next two years. I am filling out the last two 23 Apparently, mine was -years of a four-year term. or this district -- has been vacant for a couple of 24



years; right?

I do have a request of the folks from the PED, though. And I think what would be really helpful for me is when -- like, for example, when you were giving your report on the Governor's budget recommendations -- I can't write that fast. So I would appreciate actually if you all could give us written -- bring a written -- you know, your written reports, and that would be just really helpful for me. And if we could get it beforehand, that would be additionally helpful, just because when you read something, then you've got questions, you know, later on, or it gives you an opportunity to really think about, you know, what you're saying and -- I appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. PAHL: Can I address that real quick?
THE CHAIR: Yeah.

MR. PAHL: Commissioner Chavez, I think -- and all of the new Commissioners -- this binder has a little less than usual, sort of what we would have pre-written. So know that the expectation is a little more to you ahead of time that's written; and also that sometimes things come pretty late, and so they won't be written. But we'll keep that in mind moving forward for future meetings.

So thank you for the feedback.



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Great. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner 3 Armbruster? 4 Use the mic. Cindy's having a little 5 troubling hearing us. COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Okay. 6 Thank you 7 for the warm welcome. I want to certainly reinforce 8 that. And I have some questions, which it is 9 10 possible only I don't know the answers to. But when 11 you said we jumped in, I think I forgot my life 12 iacket. 13 Anyway -- but there were things that 14 probably could be written -- and I know Beverly's 15 sent me a million e-mails. And so I wanted to just 16 kind of note, what do you do with those e-mails 17 after you read them? I mean, I read them. 18 they're going to be in this. I'm not sure what to 19 do with them. Do I just keep them on the computer 20 forever, because I might lose them? What do I do 21 with this? 22 And what kinds of knowledge should we know 23 beforehand? I mean, I see that people bring different information, because you have different 24



backgrounds of what we were doing.

So just sort of explanatory stuff.

In addition, I think it would be helpful to me if someone just had like bulleted bio kind of stuff, like what your background is, so that when you have a question, you might go to that person, because that person clearly knows more than I know.

I don't know anything about, you know,

Patti, for example, or Eleanor, for that matter.

But -- and I was just wondering if that would be a good introductory type of thing for at least the three new people here; because you all may know all that, but I don't. Or maybe I should have, and I just don't. I don't know. I can take the responsibility.

And I'm sorry I didn't get back to you about those committees, because I was actually looking into what those are, too. But I will get back to you by tomorrow.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I appreciate that.

In response to that, I would simply say,

Commissioners, if you would like to put together a

small bio and send those to Beverly, then she could

compile that and make it available to whoever of the

Commission might like those, okay? And I can

understand --





MS. FRIEDMAN: Madam Chair? 1 2 THE CHAIR: Yes. 3 At your discretion, what I MS. FRIEDMAN: 4 can do, if people would like to do those bios, is 5 that I can get them on the website. Your names can have a link connected to that, and people can click 7 on your name, and that information would come up, if 8 you so wish. 9 THE CHAIR: Good idea. MS. FRIEDMAN: And so if you all would 10 11 like to send me a bio, I would say probably 100 to 12 150 words, if you can, and send that to me, and we 13 can make that link. And I will send them out to the 14 individual Commissioners before we get them posted 15 on the website, so that you get to know each other a 16 little bit better. 17 COMMISSIONER CARR: Can I give you a book? MS. FRIEDMAN: And Madam Chair? 18 19 THE CHAIR: Josh first, and then you. 20 MS. FRIEDMAN: Okay. Madam Chair, Commissioners, 21 MR. GRANATA: 22 I just wanted to address a question from 23 Commissioner Armbruster quickly. There was a 24 question about her e-mails. I would suggest saving 25 your e-mails. Let me do some research about what's



required under the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At this point in time, I would suggest saving everything, PEC-specific, obviously.

THE CHAIR: Beverly?

MS. FRIEDMAN: And, Madam Chair,

Commissioner Armbruster, as far as the notebooks are

concerned, all of the information I sent you

electronically will be in the notebook. And you

have a choice of either using a computer when you

come to the meeting and have electronic files in

front of you, or you can use the notebook.

For those of you who are new, the PED will give you a laptop computer similar to this one. It is a PC, not Apple. And if you wish to use it, you may; although I think maybe one Commissioner still has a PED computer out. I think the other Commissioners have returned them. And they find it easier to use the hard-copy notebooks than the computer.

But I can't -- I couldn't bring them down for these -- this meeting, February or March meeting; but when we are back in Santa Fe in April, I will have the technicians work with you on that computer, and we will give you the computer at that time.



1	For the time being, the same as every
2	meeting, if you wish to take the insides of the
3	notebook home with you, feel free to do that. But
4	leave me the notebook, and we'll fill it up again
5	for the next meeting.
6	THE CHAIR: And the tabs.
7	MS. FRIEDMAN: No, you can take the tabs,
8	too. You may have the tabs, yes.
9	THE CHAIR: Further comments? Matt?
10	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the
11	Commission, you are probably wishing for Julie,
12	because she doesn't run her mouth as much as I do.
13	THE CHAIR: We do like Julie.
14	MR. PAHL: Good. We do, too.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We like you, too.
16	MR. PAHL: I just wanted to announce that
17	we hired we recently made offers to, and hired,
18	two more liaisons at the Department. And so we look
19	forward for you all to get a chance to meet them
20	over the next month here. But that leaves us fully
21	staffed with liaisons.
22	We have another spot for for someone
23	who's more of a support role. But we are staffing
24	up. And I remain your Interim Director, month eight



of being interim. I think you've gotten used to me.

But I'll keep you updated if anything changes on 1 2 that front, as well. 3 THE CHAIR: I believe that's the first 4 time I've ever heard that we are fully staffed. 5 am impressed. And I'm grateful, as well. 6 MR. PAHL: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: How many liaisons 8 now do we have, then? Five? 9 MR. PAHL: Yes, that makes for five liaisons. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But with over 12 60 schools already on this table, that means each 13 one of those five is going to have 12, or 13. 14 with this new crop, at some point -- we have had 15 this discussion before -- maybe if we ever get that 16 extra money that Kevin was talking about -- because 17 that's -- still, to me, is an onerous burden for you 18 and your liaisons -- we need more than you're 19 apparently authorized right now. 20 MR. PAHL: We think 10 to 12 is 21 appropriate for the liaisons. But as you mentioned, 22 as the charter school pool grows, we'll have to 23 think about either adding new staff or adjusting how we work with our current staff to work with schools. 24



Thank you.

THE CHAIR:

1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair?	
2	THE CHAIR: Yes.	
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: I would like to	
4	clarify, yeah, all the positions are filled. But	
5	just keep in mind that four years ago, I remember	
6	about 25 positions were eliminated. So we still	
7	have less people in the PED than we did four years	
8	ago, just so you know.	
9	THE CHAIR: Anything else, Commissioners?	
10	Beverly, do we have anyone signed up for	
11	Open Forum?	
12	MS. FRIEDMAN: No, ma'am, you did not.	
13	THE CHAIR: All right. The next item on	
14	the agenda is the Executive Session.	
15	Ladies and gentlemen, once we vote to go	
16	into Executive Session, we will ask everyone to	
17	please excuse themselves and leave the room. And	
18	then we will adjourn after we come out of Executive	
19	Session. Okay?	
20	So we're at Item 18. Would someone care	
21	to make a motion to go into Executive Session?	
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So move.	
23	THE CHAIR: You have to read the whole	
24	thing.	
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'm going to give	



1	this a shot.	
2	I, Gilbert Peralta, move to enter into the	
3	Executive Session, pursuant to 10-15-1(H)(7),	
4	regarding threatened or pending litigation. A,	
5	Rio Rancho v. PED and PEC; and B, Appeal of Columbus	
6	Community School.	
7	THE CHAIR: Thank you for the motion. Do	
8	we have a second?	
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.	
10	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner	
11	Toulouse, second by Commissioner Bergman, to go into	
12	Executive Session.	
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner	
14	Peralta made the motion.	
15	THE CHAIR: I correct myself.	
16	Commissioner Peralta made the motion. Commissioner	
17	Bergman seconded to go into Executive Session.	
18	May we have a roll-call vote,	
19	Mr. Secretary?	
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. We're voting	
21	on going into Executive Session.	
22	Commissioner Toulouse?	
23	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.	
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?	
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.	



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Armbruster?
3	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
5	Chavez?
6	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Gipson?
9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Conyers?
12	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Peralta?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Shearman?
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Bergman votes "yes."
23	That is $10-to-0$ in favor of that motion.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
25	to go into Executive Session. As soon as Cindy





1	wraps up, we will begin our session.
2	(Executive Session conducted
3	off the record.)
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, is there
5	anything else to come before us today?
6	Oh, the Commission is now out of the
7	Executive Session. No decisions were made; no votes
8	were taken. And the only items discussed were those
9	listed on the official agenda for today.
10	All right. Anything else, Commissioners?
11	If not, the Chair would entertain a motion
12	to adjourn.
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: So moved.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
15	THE CHAIR: We are adjourned. Thank you
16	very much.
17	(Proceedings concluded at 12:45 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	



BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 8 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said 11 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 13 State of New Mexico, County of Bernalillo, in the 14 matter therein stated. 15 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 16 hand on January 29, 2015. 17 18 Canther Charman 19 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24 25 Job No.: 2053L (CC)

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE





STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800

www.state.nm.us

HANNA SKANDERA SECRETARY OF EDUCATION SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

VISITORS ATTENDING PUBLIC EDUCATION MEETING

January 16, 2015

Please Sign-in

1 lease Sign-III	
, Name (Print)	Representing
JEAN HOWDEN	FAMILIES ASAP
BRUCE HEGUER, ED.D.	ACES
Arlene Iruillo	ATTHS
Alfred Mtz	ATITS
mackenzie Web.	The Viail amus
Joseph TADIA	Hexity Sciences Asseden
John Muers	mus Charter School
Richard Lindah	Ruman Mares LLC
Bruce E. Langsom	MAS Charter
Jennifer Peña	MAS Charter
Don Frageisco Truillot	Tierra Encantada / Santa Fe
(-losia Velasquez-	La Promesa ELC
Clarles Abeyle	La Promesa ELC La Promesa ELC La Promesa Empleaning Centra
/	, ,
and the second s	HIBIT
Person	1
d d	