

SUPERINTENDENTS' SALARIES

"2015-2016 ESTIMATED ACTUAL TO 2016-2017 BUDGETED, RANKED BY 2016-2017 SALARY**"

2016-2017						2016-2017					
District	Rank	2015-2016	2016-2017	Difference	Change	District	Rank	2015-2016	2016-2017	Difference	Change
ALAMOGORDO	22	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	0.00%	LAS CRUCES	4	\$173,725	\$173,725	\$0	0.00%
ALBUQUERQUE	1	\$240,000	\$240,000	\$0	0.00%	LAS VEGAS CITY	44	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$0	0.00%
ANIMAS	64	\$94,240	\$98,000	\$3,760	3.99%	LOGAN ¹	60	\$89,244	\$100,000	\$10,756	12.05%
ARTESIA	13	\$140,446	\$145,000	\$4,554	3.24%	LORDSBURG	47	\$108,150	\$108,150	\$0	0.00%
AZTEC	27	\$116,868	\$116,868	\$0	0.00%	LOS ALAMOS	7	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$0	0.00%
BELEN	22	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	0.00%	LOS LUNAS	9	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0	0.00%
BERNALILLO	36	\$111,364	\$111,364	\$0	0.00%	LOVING	30	\$115,000	\$115,000	\$0	0.00%
BLOOMFIELD	30	\$115,000	\$115,000	\$0	0.00%	LOVINGTON	26	\$118,500	\$118,500	\$0	0.00%
CAPITAN	57	\$100,153	\$101,155	\$1,002	1.00%	MAGDALENA	46	\$106,500	\$108,630	\$2,130	2.00%
CARLSBAD	8	\$153,015	\$153,015	\$0	0.00%	MAXWELL	83	\$88,000	\$88,000	\$0	0.00%
CARRIZOZO	60	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	0.00%	MELROSE	78	\$91,549	\$91,550	\$1	0.00%
CENTRAL	30	\$115,000	\$115,000	\$0	0.00%	MESA VISTA	64	\$98,000	\$98,000	\$0	0.00%
CHAMA	55	\$102,997	\$102,998	\$1	0.00%	MORA	60	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	0.00%
CIMARRON	75	\$92,700	\$92,700	\$0	0.00%	MORIARTY	19	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$0	0.00%
CLAYTON	39	\$110,000	\$111,100	\$1,100	1.00%	MOSQUERO	66	\$97,621	\$97,621	\$0	0.00%
CLOUDCROFT	59	\$100,618	\$100,618	\$0	0.00%	MOUNTAINAIR	67	\$97,335	\$97,336	\$1	0.00%
CLOVIS	9	\$145,000	\$150,000	\$5,000	3.45%	PECOS	42	\$109,466	\$110,561	\$1,095	1.00%
COBRE	48	\$108,000	\$108,000	\$0	0.00%	PENASCO	51	\$100,786	\$105,786	\$5,000	4.96%
CORONA	72	\$93,628	\$93,629	\$1	0.00%	POJOAQUE	34	\$113,000	\$113,000	\$0	0.00%
CUBA	54	\$100,425	\$103,438	\$3,013	3.00%	PORTALES	37	\$111,243	\$111,244	\$1	0.00%
DEMING	18	\$126,000	\$126,000	\$0	0.00%	QUEMADO	81	\$103,149	\$103,149	\$0	0.00%
DES MOINES	79	\$91,000	\$91,000	\$0	0.00%	QUESTA	73	\$93,000	\$93,000	\$0	0.00%
DEXTER	50	\$106,372	\$106,372	\$0	0.00%	RATON ¹	69	\$78,281	\$96,000	\$17,719	22.64%
DORA	35	\$110,057	\$112,258	\$2,201	2.00%	RESERVE ²	89	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
DULCE	30	\$112,476	\$115,000	\$2,524	2.24%	RIO RANCHO	2	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$0	0.00%
ELIDA	86	\$88,072	\$88,072	\$0	0.00%	ROSWELL	12	\$149,907	\$149,907	\$0	0.00%
ESPANOLA	22	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	0.00%	ROY	85	\$85,305	\$85,305	\$0	0.00%
ESTANCIA	39	\$111,100	\$111,100	\$0	0.00%	RUIDOSO	25	\$118,965	\$118,965	\$0	0.00%
EUNICE	58	\$100,776	\$100,776	\$0	0.00%	SAN JON	53	\$102,782	\$104,837	\$2,055	2.00%
FARMINGTON	9	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0	0.00%	SANTA FE	2	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$0	0.00%
FLOYD	77	\$92,000	\$92,000	\$0	0.00%	SANTA ROSA	63	\$98,000	\$98,001	\$1	0.00%
FT. SUMNER	68	\$95,880	\$96,480	\$600	0.63%	SILVER CONS.	14	\$133,939	\$133,940	\$1	0.00%
GADSDEN	5	\$170,000	\$170,000	\$0	0.00%	SOCORRO	28	\$113,300	\$115,566	\$2,266	2.00%
GALLUP	16	\$132,500	\$132,500	\$0	0.00%	SPRINGER	76	\$92,250	\$92,250	\$0	0.00%
GRADY	71	\$93,632	\$93,633	\$1	0.00%	TAOS	17	\$123,548	\$128,441	\$4,893	3.96%
GRANTS	20	\$123,600	\$123,600	\$0	0.00%	TATUM	70	\$114,024	\$114,024	\$0	0.00%
HAGERMAN	43	\$110,272	\$110,272	\$0	0.00%	TEXICO	41	\$110,700	\$110,700	\$0	0.00%
HATCH	56	\$101,593	\$101,593	\$0	0.00%	TRUTH OR CONSEQ.	21	\$122,636	\$122,811	\$175	0.14%
HOBBS	6	\$160,500	\$160,500	\$0	0.00%	TUCUMCARI	45	\$109,200	\$109,200	\$0	0.00%
HONDO	80	\$90,000	\$90,001	\$1	0.00%	TULAROSA	15	\$125,358	\$132,879	\$7,521	6.00%
HOUSE	84	\$87,754	\$87,754	\$0	0.00%	VAUGHN	73	\$91,500	\$93,000	\$1,500	1.64%
JAL	52	\$85,050	\$85,050	\$0	0.00%	WAGON MOUND	88	\$85,000	\$85,001	\$1	0.00%
JEMEZ MOUNTAIN	49	\$107,152	\$107,153	\$1	0.00%	WEST LAS VEGAS	38	\$111,100	\$111,101	\$1	0.00%
JEMEZ VALLEY	87	\$105,000	\$105,000	\$0	0.00%	ZUNI	29	\$115,500	\$115,500	\$0	0.00%
LAKE ARTHUR	82	\$89,150	\$89,450	\$300	0.34%	STATEWIDE		\$114,246	\$115,155	\$909	0.80%

NOTE: Statewide average salary is a weighted average.

*The salary data presented in this table was provided by the school districts with their 2016-2017 Operating Budgets.

¹Local board recommendation.

²Superintendent paid by contract services.