1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC MEETING
10	VOLUME TWO December 11, 2014
11	9:00 a.m. Jerry Apodaca Building - Mabry Hall
12	300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	Santa re, New Mexico
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	
25	JOB NO.: 1770L (CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary MR. JEFF CARR MR. J. TYSON PARKER MR. GILBERT PERALTA MS. MILLIE POGNA MS. CARMIE TOULOUSE STAFF: MR. MATT PAHL, Interim Director, Charter School Division
10	MS. JULIE LUCERO, General Manager, Options for Parents MR. JOSHUA GRANATA, Assistant Attorney General,
12 13 14	Counsel to the PEC MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Records and PED Liaison to the PEC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	





1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	
2	11 Action on Renewals for Current State-Chartered Charter Schools-CONTINUED	
3	11-G Tierra Encantada - Santa Fe, NM	281
4 5	11-H Turquoise Trail Elementary School Santa Fe, NM	308
6	11-I Academy of Trades and Technology- Albuquerque	328
7	11-J ACE Leadership High School- Albuquerque	361
9	11-K Albuquerque Institute of Math and Science (AIMS)-Albuquerque	399
10	11-L Albuquerque School of Excellence-Albuquerque	542
12	11-M Albuquerque Sign Language Academy	562
13	11-N Amy Biehl High School-Albuquerque	577
14	11-0 La Promesa Early Learning Center-Albuquerque	585
1516	11-Q Southwest Intermediate Learning Center-Albuquerque	446
17	11-R Southwest Primary Learning Center-Albuquerque	517
18	11-S Southwest Secondary Learning Center-Albuquerque	525
20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	622
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		





1	THE CHAIR: Good morning. I call back
2	into session this meeting of the New Mexico Public
3	Education Commission. I would like to oh, please
4	turn down, or turn off, your electronic devices,
5	please.
6	I would ask Secretary Bergman for a roll
7	call, so that we ensure we have a quorum.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Toulouse?
12	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Present.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Peralta?
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Here.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman?
22	THE CHAIR: Here.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman is here.
25	Madam Chair, you have seven members





present. You do have a quorum.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

declare we have a quorum for today's business.

I would like to announce that our

Commissioner Conyers has let us know that he's

having some serious health issues and will not be

able to join us today or tomorrow. He hopes to be

able to be with us in January.

Commissioner Parker has let me know that he will be a little late this morning, but that he will be with us.

One other announcement I want to make -- and I may repeat this a little later in the morning -- the attorney for Southwest -- the three Southwest schools has had a death in the family and has asked if we could accommodate him by moving those schools up to 1:00 this afternoon. And we have said that yes, we will do that.

So, please, those schools who are here for later this morning, or early this afternoon, please be aware that at 1:00, we will hear the three Southwest schools. Thank you very much.

Next on our agenda is Item G,

Tierra Encantada from Santa Fe. We see that you
gentlemen are already at the table, and, welcome,



4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



this morning.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Pahl, if you would like to go ahead with CSD's recommendation, please?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Tierra Encantada was originally chartered by the Santa Fe Public School District as Charter 37, indicating that it was the 37th charter school in the state. The school had a reputation as an alternative school for troubled teens.

After a succession of directors early in the school's tenure, the present administration has turned the focus of the school toward academic achievement, as evidenced by the improvement in the school grade.

The Charter School Division recommends renewal for a three-year term, with conditions to be developed through an improvement plan. The school is stablized and made steady gains in academics.

The school has met all provisions of law, and the governing board demonstrated knowledge of oversight and responsibilities. And the school appears to be financially stable.

Madam Chair, this is a school that is moving in the right direction. In 2012, the school's grade was an F; and in 2013 and '14, the



school has maintained a school grade of a C, while also maintaining a similar student population that I think, colloquially, we can speak of as those who might otherwise attend an alternative school.

The school improved from an A in their -up to an A from lower school grades in the growth of
their highest performing students, and continues to
make progress in their ability to make academic
gains with their lowest performing students.

In order to raise those math and reading scores that are low, the school has begun a four-day instructional week, with Fridays being devoted to tutorials and one-on-one instruction, as well as professional development; so focusing on those students that need it most.

In response to a continuing low graduation grade of an F for the last three years, the school has hired a counselor, who has devoted full time as the graduation and college and career coach. These are things that are encouraging. When we look at data and we see deficits in our data, we want to have an action plan of how we are going to attack that and change the way that data looks in future years.

All of those are encouraging signs that



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Tierra Encantada can be a very successful school. 1 But the final recommendation, for the time being, 2 3 based on past performance, is a three-year renewal. 4 THE CHAIR: With conditions or without? 5 I'm sorry. With conditions, MR. PAHL: which is the completion of an improvement plan and 6 7 two annual site visits by the Charter Schools 8 Division. We are statutorily required to do one; we 9 10 want to double that. And Tierra Encantada is right 11 down the street; so I'm sure they'd be renewed -- we 12 might be doing a few more than two, just depending 13 on how we can support the school to help them be 14 successful. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Gentlemen, we 16 welcome you here this morning. We would appreciate 17 it if you would introduce yourselves, and you have 18 five minutes to let us hear about your school. 19 MR. TRUJILLO: Madam Chair, good morning. Members of the Commission --20 21 THE CHAIR: Press down the button, please. 22 MR. TRUJILLO: I apologize. Madam Chair, 23 members of the Commission, my name is Don Francisco 24 Trujillo. I'm president of the governance council. 25 I'm in my third year on the governance council with



Tierra Encantada and my first year as president.

Sitting beside me is Mr. Daniel Benavidez, our

director for Tierra Encantada.

MR. BENAVIDEZ: Good morning, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. I know I only have five minutes, and five minutes is a very short time to talk about our school. This is the most nervous I've been, and I didn't even get this nervous when I was in combat.

THE CHAIR: Well, that says something.

MR. BENAVIDEZ: When you're only worried about yourself, it's a little different. But when you're worried about 33 other people in a school, it's a little nerve-racking; so I apologize if I stutter or I don't address you guys in the correct manner. I apologize up front.

Everything that the director of the CSD division said is true. I only have one slight disagreement. I respect what they do. I was part of the Public Education Department for almost seven years. I worked in the Title I unit, and I was part of the "dream team" that was going around the state under the NCLB -- No Child Left Behind -- Act, where we would go to schools and look at schools, how to improve them.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So when I took this job, I looked at it as a turn-around school more than anything, as a way to be honest with you, something that I could coach; because I was already done with coaching at the middle- and high-school level, and I figured that this would be a good way to give back.

I've been in education for 17 years. And my -- so, again, I look back at my time at Public Education Department. And I say, with only one disagreement with the recommendation, I'd like to recommend us, if possible, a five-year, with an improvement plan, with all the bells and whistles that go with that. And here's the reason why:

If we were to get renewed for a three-year -- which we'd be very grateful, by the way -- you would have -- you would -- in two years, you would look at us, and then you'd look at only two years of data. And the standard, usually, is three years of data.

So if you look at that, and you take that into consideration, we wouldn't be able to see that third year of growth that we're looking at. And that's why I'm asking or requesting a five-year renewal with all the conditions that you guys put forth to us.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We have no problem moving in that direction. We're -- our school is -- is very unique. We have a group of parents, a group of teachers, board, that are very supportive. When I first took over, we had 109 students, and we were located on Santa Fe Indian School property. We're now at 271, and in two years, we'll be at 340. We have a current waiting list of 15 at each grade level. And we have -- we had our first lottery last year for seventh grade, because we only admit the seventh grade.

One thing I want to impress on you is that this school used to be considered an alternative school through Santa Fe Public Schools. They never supported us, and that's why we'd like to go State. We feel that -- and my knowledge of the State, we feel that you could support us in a better capacity.

We are now located at Alvarado Elementary, which is about three blocks from here. We secured a ten-year lease with Santa Fe Public Schools, and we have five-and-a-half years left on that lease. And so we're very excited where we're going. And we -- we always tell people, "Come visit us," because it's about our students.

We brought a student. We don't have time



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to have her speak; but we want you to come to us at
2	any time and visit us. We have no problem with
3	people coming to our school.
4	COMMISSIONER GANT: One minute.
5	MR. BENAVIDEZ: Lastly, I want to say
6	that, you know, education is tough. We take full
7	ownership in everything that we've done. I think
8	we're going in the right direction. And I think
9	we're going to be a diamond that's going to make a
10	lot of people proud in this state, in two languages,
11	Spanish and English, under the "Expeditionary
12	Learning" model.
13	Thank you very much for your time.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you both very much. We
15	now have five minutes for community input, if
16	anybody in the audience wishes to speak.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: The student is public.
18	He said he had a student here.
19	THE CHAIR: Do you have a student here
20	that wishes to speak?
21	MR. BENAVIDEZ: Yes, ma'am.
22	THE CHAIR: We have five minutes for
23	anyone from the audience who wishes to speak.
24	Please use the microphone, if you would,
25	and state your name for the record, please.



MS. JUAREZ: Good morning, Madam Chair and the Commission. I'd like to introduce myself. I'm currently a tenth-grader at Tierra Encantada. May name is Vanessa Juarez, and I have been at the school since Daniel Benavidez and Casey Benavidez have taken over, and I have seen how the school has been able to grow.

So starting out as a seventh-grader, I
think I wasn't really getting the learning
experience that I wanted; so that's why I went to
that school, because -- well, first of all, for me
it was something very different, being dual
language, college prep, and Expeditionary Learning;
so to me, that's just something that really stands
out.

From the beginning, I'll admit that we did have quite a few problems, and it wasn't the best that it could have been. But being quite honest, I definitely have seen this school grow, and it really impresses me, because it's giving students an opportunity that any other school doesn't give.

So honestly, one of the things that really impresses me is the passion that these teachers have to our students. I like going in every day to the school, because I know that I have a support system



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that actually cares about my learning, me, being -I would be the first generation of my family to
graduate high school and go to college; and
honestly, that's one of my goals.

I definitely do see a lot of potential in this school, and I would really want for it to keep growing, so I could go back and say that that was my school, and I started off there. I see a lot of students in this school that are actually getting places. I honestly saw a lot of students graduate that you wouldn't see graduating at any other school.

And so the -- one of the things that also impresses me is the bilingual. I come from a Mexican-American background, but I didn't know how to read or write in Spanish. (Ms. Juarez speaks in Spanish language.)

So I'd just really like to get that point across to you, because it's kind of hard to understand from an outside perspective; but this school really is doing something for the students themselves. We may not have all the opportunities there; but we're working for it.

We are offering -- they are offering us dual language, and they are offering us dual credit;



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

so not only are they actually supporting us right now, they're actually preparing us for college.

They're giving us leadership skills; like right now,

I wouldn't be able to talk to you guys if it wasn't

for all that this school has put me through and all

that this school has pushed me to do.

I'm learning how to be a positive member of the community. To me, it's a treasure. It's something that any other school won't do. They give you that one-on-one time to actually be someone of your community, to actually take leadership skills and to actually, like, do something.

To finish up, I guess I'd just really like to emphasize on the dual language, on the leadership skills, college prep. I think those things are great. I don't see any other school doing that.

And to me, that's so -- like, as a student, I see it as an amazing opportunity that I wouldn't see at any other school.

I'm a great student, and I could have gone -- I could have gone to any private school if I wanted to; but I decided to stay with this school, because I know it's offering me something. And I know that these -- all this staff, they actually care about me. They're going to give me that



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	one-on-one time to succeed; because I know that if I
2	go to any other private school, I know that it's not
3	going to be the same. I know that I'm not going to
4	have that care and this passion that this school
5	does offer.
6	So that's just coming from a student
7	perspective, and, just, thank you for your time.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you for your time. Very
9	well said. We appreciate you.
10	Commissioners, it's now your opportunity
11	to ask questions of the school and or the CSD.
12	Commissioner Bergman?
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't want to
14	sidetrack us immediately, but I think I'm going to
15	have to. You said, in your comments, you have
16	271 students currently. What is your cap?
17	MR. BENAVIDEZ: Our current cap is 400;
18	but because it's an elementary, we're capping it at
19	360.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So we, at some
21	point, gave you an increase in cap. I have a
22	snapshot here from the CSD that says your cap is
23	215. Under.
24	MR. BENAVIDEZ: Our charter, we have
25	Santa Fe Public Schools granted us a 400-student



1 enrollment. 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. That takes 3 care of that. 4 I would like to say to the young lady. I 5 appreciate your comments, because I was the first person in my family to graduate from college. 6 7 hope you realize that dream. 8 Thank you, Madame Chair. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. 10 Other comments? Other questions? 11 Commissioner Gant? 12 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam President, 13 members. I'm just looking at your current report 14 card. And we know what that can mean or not mean. 15 But current standing, according to this one, is F. 16 And of course, your graduation and college-ready and 17 work-ready is an F. 18 And to me, that is your -- graduation and 19 college-ready, work-ready, that, to me, is the 20 mission of any high school. You get beat up if you 21 don't graduate students; you know how that goes, for 22 years. So everything you do, in my view, is built 23 on that. 24 Now, I hear what Mr. Pahl has said. I've



heard what you've said. I heard the student.

that was a -- I don't know of many students that could get up and speak like that in front of a crowd that she knows nobody, except for you two.

Anyway, if we grant you your request for renewal, regardless, if it's three or five, you need to build on the graduation. To me, that's the culmination of everything you do. And I -- all the rest of it leads, in my view -- it may not be everybody else's -- but you've got to get that graduation going, or I don't see -- I don't see the final product, okay? Thank you.

MR. BENAVIDEZ: Madame President?

THE CHAIR: Any other questions or

14 | comments, Commissioners?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GANT: He'd like to answer.

THE CHAIR: Would you care to answer?

MR. BENAVIDEZ: Yes, ma'am.

I couldn't agree with you more. One of the reasons we went -- and I told the board at the time -- if we don't -- we requested an amendment to our -- to our charter. Our school was originally a 9-through-12 school. And so within a month after doing an evaluation and reporting to the board, I told the board I'd like their permission to go to Santa Fe Public Schools and request a seventh-grade



class.

If we don't grow our own class, if we don't grow our own students, the school will not succeed, and our graduation rate -- we will not be successful. You're absolutely right.

The first graduation class of that cohort is Vanessa's class; it will be a class of 17. And right now, we're on track to have an 89 percent graduation rate.

And the only reason it's lower is because we've lost students to Santa Fe High, because of the rigor. Vanessa can answer that. If you wanted, you could ask her about that.

But you're absolutely right. We take ownership. We know what the eleventh-, twelfth-graders -- and to be honest with you it's probably going to go down a little bit next year, just because of the fluctuation and the rates. But we're really excited where we're going. We take ownership of that. We're not shying away from that. You're absolutely right. Graduation is paramount, and that's what we're shooting for.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you.

MR. TRUJILLO: Madam Chair, may I address the Commissioners and the Commission?





THE CHAIR: Please do.

MR. TRUJILLO: Commissioner, not only as president of the governance council, but as a father of a prior student at Tierra Encantada, who had a choice to go into regular public school, to go into Tierra Encantada, and was accepted into the lottery at one of the other schools here in Santa Fe -- he chose Tierra Encantada; he graduated last year. And he is doing exceedingly well.

My son -- and I speak of this openly because he allows me to -- suffers from ADHD. And so it's difficult for him to focus. In a small environment, like Tierra Encantada, he was able to learn skills to help him cope with being able to focus and study.

Eighteen children graduated with him.

There were 21; we lost three students in the process. I was here yesterday afternoon when the MASTERS Program addressed you. And they were talking about students that wanted to jump the gun and get their GED so they could get into college right away.

I'm a human resources director; it's what I do for a living. And it's -- it may not sound fair. But quite honestly -- and I hire people that





make six figures. And when I look at applications, and I look at resumes, and I see a GED, it's -- it's almost like an instant flag of someone that doesn't want to succeed; they take the easy way out.

That may not be fair, I agree. But it is something that happens in the human resources world. It's a fact of life.

And so we strive, at Tierra Encantada, to convince every single student, "Stick it out. It's going to matter in your future, whether you go straight into college, which is what we desire, or if you wait a few years and go into college later; whatever it is, that is going to stick with you the rest of your life, and it's going to matter in every aspect of every -- any profession that you choose."

And we lost three students; you know, some of them, because they wanted to, you know, go to Santa Fe High or Capital High, wanted to be more involved in larger sports activities and things like that. But we graduated 18 students. And those 18 students might not have graduated had it not been for Tierra Encantada. So though the numbers are small, they're still very important.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,





1 I've just got to agree with what you say about the As an old retired military, I do know that the 2 3 military is not accepting GED. So that must be telling the world something, that they prefer 4 kids -- or students -- young men and women who are 5 willing to stick it out; because they figure they'll 6 7 stick it out in whatever happens to them in the 8 military. 9

So I do agree with what you say. We know about HR. And they're looking at GED. And these students don't understand what they're giving up when they don't get that diploma down the hard way.

Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Can I just echo this, too? You know, 30 years in State government, 21 of them in management, when I got an application for a new employee, if they were young, under, you know 30, you know, late teens into their 20s, and they had a GED, I put it aside.

Now, if it was somebody who had a brand new GED, and they were 40 years old and had just come back and got it because, for various reasons, they were out, that person, I did look at, because





that showed the desire to go back and take the only avenue they really could to finish their degree.

So I absolutely agree. These students have got to stick it out and get their diploma, no matter what.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other comments, Commissioners?

Most of the questions I had on my list have been asked. Certainly, the enrollment cap question was a huge red flag for me when I saw 215, and you're way over that; so I'm glad to know that that was just an error.

Let me ask your understanding, if you're renewed for whatever period, as Commissioner Gant said, with conditions, and that condition is that an improvement plan be written by you all, by the school that addresses the concerns that have been raised by CSD and by the Commission, which would include graduation, career-and-college readiness, student growth of your lowest performing students, perhaps the goals that were not met in your current charter, all those kinds of things, with due dates, plans for improvement, all of those kinds of things, is that your understanding of what an improvement



plan would be that you would write?

MR. BENAVIDEZ: Madame President and members of the Commission, absolutely. As a matter of fact, when we got the -- when the grade came out, and we got an F, I might have been the only director in the state, or superintendent, for that matter, that said, "Yeah, we deserve it. Our data was shot. It was messed up."

And at the time, they had -- I don't know if it still exists or not -- but four years ago, they had a group of people that would go to the "F" schools and have them create a plan, an improvement plan. And I already had one in place. It was something that -- they didn't have to visit -- when PED came to visit, it was already in place.

Not only that, but I have a -- I'm finishing up a five-year plan that I've had to submit that the governance board asked me to put together. And so far -- correct me if I'm wrong, President Trujillo -- but we're right on track with that. Am I correct?

MR. TRUJILLO: Yes.

MR. BENAVIDEZ: And so, absolutely, we have no problem at all with being given criteria and meeting those deadlines. I think that the more you





hold the -- and that goes back to my comfort with
the State. And one of the reasons why we're -we're moving from the -- from a local to the State,
we don't mind accountability. I think
accountability is good. If it's reasonable it's
absolutely fine.

Remember, the ultimate goal is to get these kids educated and get them into college.

That's one of the main things that we strive for.

Although we take our kids out to the field, and they measure water and do different things out in the field, those are the type of things that they're going to be doing in college, and we want them to experience those type of things.

We have kids that have never even left
Santa Fe, and they're visiting Grants to the Malpais
and studying lava. We're taking them out there to
Villanueva, and they're staying out for three or
four days with teachers. And they're getting all
their subjects, in Spanish and history, English, all
of them out there, PE, as well. And our ultimate
goal is to get these kids educated, and we do not
mind being held accountable.

My only slight disagreement -- and it's just a professional opinion, just because I've done



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what they've done; I did it for almost seven

years -- is that to hold us accountable, to be fair,

is to look at our data. And that's why I request a

five-year with an improvement plan. With those

things together, we have no problem whatsoever.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And I appreciate your willingness to take ownership and acknowledge the deficiencies your school may have and your willingness to confront those head-on and fix them.

I also invite you to come to southeastern

New Mexico and bring your kids. Let them see an oil

well. There are lots of jobs there.

MR. BENAVIDEZ: We went down to Carlsbad because we did some fieldwork on the Pecos River and the Caves. So our next one is -- we were going to go to Farmington, just because it was closer, and it was -- weather-wise.

But we were studying world -- the climate change. And so we're going up to the station up there. So I will keep that in mind, to go down there, because I know it's booming down there.

THE CHAIR: Oh, absolutely. Thank you for that. Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I think this is running through a few of

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



our heads, but -- regarding the earlier conversation
around graduation. But I just thought for the
benefit of the charters in the audience and us here,
that when that's an item of concern and a mutual
goal that we all want to see increase, that's
exactly what I think we want to be tackling when we
look at building a performance framework.

So I -- you can agree or disagree with that. But I -- for the benefit of the audience, I think, you know, we're moving into new performance frameworks and new goals. And so I just wanted to highlight that as a -- as a possibility for this school and others, that when we have these conversations, and we all identify items and metrics that we want to see improving, I think that's a great foundation for the negotiations of the performance framework.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.

Anything else?

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just want to, very briefly, discuss this improvement plan, because in the Executive Summary, CSD said, "As we negotiate a contract to do an improvement plan..." -- we all know, with our schedule set up like it is, it may be



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	May before we're talking to this school. We cannot,
2	I do not believe, wait till May to do an improvement
3	plan.
4	As a part of our condition would be that
5	you would probably prepare an initial improvement
6	plan maybe to present to us in January at our
7	meeting. And we don't want a dissertation. We've
8	been asking schools to do a one- or a two-page, just
9	to give us an idea of how you intend to attack these
10	problems; 'cause we're not talking about a
11	corrective action plan, which is something much more
12	detailed.
13	I just wanted to share that and let
14	anybody weigh in on that they might want to do.
15	Thank you, Madam Chair.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you for that
17	clarification, Commissioner.
18	Any other comments or questions?
19	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
20	motion.
21	Commissioner Bergman?
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do we want to
23	discuss three or five years? I actually agree I
24	have been a champion of three-year plans; but I also
25	agree that sometimes you don't have enough data,



1	even after three years. If I make the motion, it's
2	probably going to be for a five-year. Then I guess
3	it can either fail or pass.
4	THE CHAIR: Anyone else want to weigh in
5	on three or five years? Commissioner Toulouse?
6	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
7	hear a lot of sincerity here. I think a five-year
8	is fine, because we're going to be checking the
9	corrective actions not a plan but the
10	corrective actions that are taken and see how it
11	goes; because at the end of year, we're going to
12	look at the performance framework anyway.
13	So it seems to me if they want to take it
14	on, let's let them have the five years.
15	THE CHAIR: Anyone else?
16	I hear no other discussion.
17	Commissioner, if you'd like to proceed
18	with your motion?
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I, Commissioner
20	Bergman, move that the Public Education Commission
21	approve the renewal contract of the Tierra Encantada
22	Charter School for a five-year term, with the
23	following conditions:
2 4	1, that the school prepare and present to
25	this Commission an initial improvement plan for how



1	they will attack some of their shortcomings, and;
2	2, that they agree to at least two annual
3	monitoring visits from the CSD.
4	And this is pursuant to the Charter School
5	Act, Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12.
6	Did that cover everything? I think it
7	did.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.
9	Do I hear a second?
10	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: (Indicates.)
12	THE CHAIR: I saw Commissioner Toulouse
13	first. Motion by Commissioner Bergman, second by
14	Commissioner Toulouse, to approve the renewal
15	application of Tierra Encantada, with the
16	conditions, as stated on the official record.
17	Is there any discussion, Commissioners?
18	Seeing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
19	roll-call vote?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Toulouse?
22	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Peralta?
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
6	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Shearman?
9	THE CHAIR: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Bergman votes "yes."
12	Madam Chair, that is a 7-to-0 vote in
13	favor of approval.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
15	motion to approve the renewal application of
16	Tierra Encantada School is unanimously approved,
17	with conditions, a five-year approval.
18	Please work with CSD on that improvement
19	plan, and we would like to see that, at least in
20	draft form, by January.
21	MR. BENAVIDEZ: Not a problem. Thank you
22	very, very, very much.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	MR. TRUJILLO: Madam Chair, members of the
25	Commission, thank you. We truly appreciate your



vote of confidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: We appreciate your opportunity for being here. Although I did not catch her name, thank her for being here.

Next on our list is Turquoise Trail. If representatives of that school would like to come on down?

Good morning, gentlemen. How are you today?

Mr. Pahl, if you'd like to go ahead?

MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. Turquoise Trail Charter is a public conversion charter school within the Santa Fe Public Schools. The school was founded in 1990 through community advocacy as a school dedicated to the principles of holistic, hands-on, innovative education. The school is requesting renewal through the Public Education Commission, and had previously been authorized by Santa Fe Public Schools.

The Charter School Division recommends that Turquoise Trail be approved without conditions for a term of five years. The school has a State grade average of a C and a current grade of an A on their most recent school report card. The school has no material violations, and CSD finds that the



school operates efficiently in terms of governance procedures, the reporting duties, and organizational stability.

Financial matters seem to be in good order, and the school has analyzed its progress and proposed future goals for academic achievement and fluency in 21st Century School.

Just a note on the C grade: The school has most recently received a grade of an A. And it's reflected also in the organization of the school. This was one of the few site visits that I was able to attend. And you can see that the school is really moving in a proper direction, including their analysis of their data and their goals.

So we feel like the school -- we're hoping that the school grade continues to be more of the A nature than the C, and we have reason to believe what we saw at the school will make that happen.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Gentlemen -- I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Before we begin the process, I'd like to ask to be recused from this process, as I have a relative that's employed.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Secretary, if you'll make



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



a note of that, please?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gentlemen, if you would introduce yourselves, please? And you have five minutes.

MR. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Madam Chair. My name is Floyd J. Trujillo. I'm president of the governing council. I have with me Dr. Ray Griffin, head administrator, as well as Mr. Randy Freeman, our business manager.

As I said, my name is Floyd J. Trujillo.

I'm president of the Turquoise Trail governance

council. I have served on the council for more than

15 years. The governing council is currently

comprised of seven voting members and two staff

representatives. The head administrator and

business manager serve in an advisory capacity. The

seven voting members are elected to two-year terms,

and the terms are staggered to assure continuity.

The council meets twice a month. We have a formal business session, as well as a monthly planning session. As I shared with the Commission members during their site visit, I believe that the maturity and stability of our governance council is — are some of the strongest assets. Throughout the 20 years of the school's existence, the council has continued to improve in its leadership of the



school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The council has official bylaws, policies and procedures, and formally approved committees.

The council has a formal annual strategic planning process, as well as a formal policy and procedure for head administrator evaluation.

Council members attend school-wide functions, such as "Gathering of the Pack" and the annual open house, and are always introduced to the community members present. At these functions, we remind everyone of the meeting schedule, as well as encourage community members to attend the meetings, participate in committees, and contact council members with any concerns.

I'm happy to answer any questions the Commission may have regarding the TTCS governance council. And with that, I'll turn it over to our business manager, Mr. Randy Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. Madam Chair and members of the Commission, I am Randy Freeman from Turquoise Trail, the business manager for ten years.

I just wanted to add a little bit of history. Our school was built in 1990, as envisioned by a farsighted Santa Fe superintendent, Eddie Ortiz, as an experiment in site-based



management and student-centered learning.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 1994, we became one of the first three charter schools in New Mexico, and we remain the only conversion charter school.

A 2001 change in New Mexico charter law granted us more financial autonomy from our authorizing district.

In 2004 and '5, then principal Sandy Davis and other members of the school community began working with the Coalition of Charter Schools to develop a plan for an alternative charter authorizer in New Mexico. That work ultimately led to the chartering authority of the Public Education Commission and our presence here today.

A quick note about our financial capacity:
In addition to a healthy cash balance equal to about
11 percent of our operational spending last year, we
have shown the ability to manage our funds
responsibly and to target resources both
tactically -- for example, to improve our school
grade four points in one year -- and strategically,
as we match our resources to the new challenges
coming in the next charter term.

We have a good audit record going back ten years.



Already in the top performing 16 percent of all Title I elementary schools in New Mexico, we have much higher sights, and we now have the academic, financial, and organizational strengths to repay your confidence in us today.

Thank you for considering our application. COMMISSIONER GANT: You have

one-and-a-half minutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you to the CSD for their very professional and welcoming approach, and we are very happy to be here at the end of a process that has been going on for the year and a half I've been at the school.

I want to conclude by saying that we are very proud of our school. We have a tremendous history; we are a flagship charter school in New Mexico. There has been very stable, excellent leadership at the school. And this year, we are especially proud of the fact that the New Mexico Coalition of Charter Schools has awarded us with a 2014 Charter School of the Year recognition.

Our future is very bright, and we intend to become a center for a student-centered-learning focus school with a commitment to the ideals of the Coalition of Essential Schools, a organization with



core principles that have been universally praised 1 2 for the past 30 years. 3 We are committed to helping create a new 4 center for the Coalition of Essential Schools in 5 New Mexico, and we look forward to working with this organization and with the PED. Thank you. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that 8 presentation. 9 Is there anyone in the audience who would 10 care to speak? 11 Seeing no one, let's move on to 12 Commissioners' comments or questions. 13 Commissioner Bergman? 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would just note, 15 as we begin our discussion, that this is another 16 school that has asked -- as a part of their renewal, 17 they've got an amendment request in their 18 application to increase their enrollment cap from 19 465 to 475. And I will say I did write myself a 20 Why are you asking only for an increase of 10? Most schools ask for 75 or 100. 21 I'm just 22 curious. 23 Actually, our -- our MR. FREEMAN: 24 facility will really only handle, you know, maybe 480, 475, something like that. And as you pointed 25



out yesterday, with the number of the schools that were under review, since we're continually right at the 465, we're just trying to build a little cushion in there for those periods when, on a given reporting date, we might be over that.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that clarification.

And I noticed in your application that you've established, for the last two years, what you're calling "Professional Learning Committee," and you're working with your teachers. Can you give me just a little brief description of what you're doing in those -- with that?

MR. GRIFFIN: Briefly, for example, our new commitment as a school to ensure that our elementary students have a good background and understanding and meet the standards for 21st

Century learning skills. For the Commission, I'm sure you have looked at the new PARCC test, and I hope you will all take it. On that test, it requires that elementary students know many technical details of how to use computers and operations. So it's not surprising that with our very experienced staff, some of them are my age and need to learn new skills on the computer.



So in the school, not only with teachers and with students, we are very dedicated to the idea that we will use all the 21st Century tools, programs, and hardware and software that will ensure a future for our students, who, no matter what we do, will be required to do online testing in every profession, including the military, just to be accepted.

So we're developing that throughout the school, with very high-level standards in that area, for example.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that.

And I'm glad you mentioned the PARCC, because I was fortunate enough to sit through a demonstration of PARCC just a couple of months ago in Hobbs at the LESC meeting there. And they actually had the legislators take one little portion of the fifth-grade test.

And I can tell you that for those that think PARCC is going to be a "skate" thing, the legislators had trouble with it. It was a difficult, demanding -- I was sitting there. There were questions I could not answer, as a college graduate.

And I was very impressed. It's not going



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to be easy. It's going to be a challenge for you schools, when you start doing that PARCC testing; so you're going to have to be ready for it.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. Any other questions?

Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Just a quick one, out of curiosity. I -- I'm sure it was in your application, but I forgot. What short-cycle assessment test do you use?

MR. GRIFFIN: We use several. We've been using a reading assessment called the DRA for many years, for K through 6. We use DIBELS for the State program to identify students that need an additional pullout; and we use the DIBELS testing to monitor that. And then our large-scale, all-school test is the Discovery Education, DEA. And we're giving that four times a year, and it's working very well.

And teachers are learning how to, as we say, dig into the data and identify specific student needs, and then target instruction and tutoring to help. And that was one of the many things we did last year to help us raise our student achievement from a D to an A.



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm going to be curious to see -- because many schools are still using MAPs and different ones -- Discovery is actually aligned to the PARCC. And I want to see if there's going to be a difference between the schools that use the Discovery and the ones that use the MAPs. But thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, when I look at any of our elementary schools, I'm always curious. Where do your students then transition on? Do they go to another charter school? Do they go back into public schools or private schools?

Part of it is personal. I have two eight-year-old grandsons in charter schools. In Albuquerque, there's a lot of options. But I'm just curious. How do your kids transition, and do you track them?

MR. GRIFFIN: No, we do not track them.

However, in this conversion, we will be changing our school database. And one of our goals is to actually measure our success by how our Turquoise Trail students do as they move into other schools.

So some of you may be familiar with Santa Fe Public Schools. But ten years ago, there



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

were very, very few options for middle school. I

can happily say now there are five, six, seven

options, including Tierra Encantada, a new magnet

school in the district, the regular junior highs,

ACT, another charter school. So there are many

options. And I would say our students are

distributed to all of these schools.

The impediment that keeps most of our students from going to charter schools is that the wait lists in the charter schools are so large, there is a very low chance of getting in, unless you have a sibling in those schools.

So the demand for charter schools in Santa Fe is very high, especially at the middle-school level. So I know that most of our 60 sixth-grade students apply to charter schools; but only about 30 to 50 percent, depending on the year and the luck in the lottery, get in to Monte del Sol, ATC, Tierra Encantada, and so on so forth.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other comments?

Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, since you brought it up, technology -- that was one of the areas in your Part B that you failed to meet.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



MR. GRIFFIN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER GANT: And you've got PARCC coming down. Your goal was everybody to be technical-literate, computer-literate and all that, and you didn't make it. You said you have a plan. What is your plan?

MR. GRIFFIN: The plan is being fully implemented. We have spent a significant amount of our resources to ensure that we are not only ready for the PARCC test -- and we are -- but we've increased the number of machines. We're moving towards a one-on-one device for every student in our school, the 465 students.

We have fully implemented all of our supplementary and intervention software. There are a number of reading, math, and so on, products. So as I speak now, we are ready with our backbone with our Internet. We have spent a year and a half independently creating our own network; in other words, disassociating from the Santa Fe Public Schools Internet; and that is all now in place.

And so if you were to walk into our school today, you would be impressed at how we do use technology: SMART boards in every single room, laptop carts. So we're in very good shape. And the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

plan is underway. And we will see immediate results.

So a specific example is that we are now providing after-school, blended learning tutoring for any student for free. So a child in our school that wants additional help in reading and/or math will go for an hour after school, any day of the week, go to the laboratory and be coached by a certified -- one of our teachers -- to have individualized instruction in any of the core subjects, specifically tailored, with technology, to review, meet their needs, and challenge them. So the computers, as you know, are becoming additional teaching tools, as well as review tools and intervention tools.

So the plan is underway. What you're seeing in the report is the past few years that, as we had mentioned, was severely impacted by a major remodel. But we are on the highway, and we are moving right now.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, you may be one of the very few schools that are ready for the PARCC.

MR. GRIFFIN: We are. We are. And we're very proud of that. We've spent a lot of time and a





lot of money and a lot of energy, and we're ready to go.

COMMISSIONER GANT: I know some of the charter schools in Las Cruces are not even close yet because -- money.

MR. GRIFFIN: It's going to be a large issue for our rural state, and a lot of schools will have difficulty having their kids have full access this year in this implementation year of the PARCC.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you,

11 Madam Chair.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Other comments?

I just have a couple myself.

If I heard you correctly when we were talking about enrollment cap, you said, "If we go over the enrollment cap," or, "When we go over the enrollment cap," something like that. Correct me. Tell me what you said, please.

MR. GRIFFIN: We currently have had a long history of full enrollments, with large waiting lists. But in a mobile society, and in -- we call it a "mobile group of cohort of families," that often move. Because of the way school funding is the 40th-, 80th-day count, from time to time, we do over-enroll a class by one or two, anticipating



there will be, in the classic enrollment term, a melt of students, a drop.

And so we want to, in a very carefully monitored, enrollment-management way, make sure we're always exactly at 465. And that's part of our experience as a school, to maintain consistent and solid financial funding.

THE CHAIR: I just -- I just want to make you aware that this Commission takes exceeding your enrollment cap very seriously; it's actually a material violation.

MR. GRIFFIN: Understood.

THE CHAIR: So we would urge you to keep a close eye on that. How you manage your internal classroom enrollment is a State issue and a waiver issue and all like that. But total enrollment cap, we ask you to keep a close eye on that one.

I know Commissioner Gant touched on it just briefly. But I notice in the goals that are listed in your application -- and there were one, two, three, four, five, six, seven goals, five of which were not met -- that's pretty significant, I think. And that's something that is going to need to be corrected.

Certainly, if this Commission approves



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 your application for renewal, when that contract is negotiated, the goals that are in that contract in 2 3 the performance framework are going to have to be realistic and are going to have to be met. MR. GRIFFIN: Of course. 5 6 THE CHAIR: I was also impressed -- just a 7 comment -- that when dealing -- when -- the 8 information on your lowest performing quartile of 9 students, you have the plan already in place to 10 address that. And I was impressed that you were 11 already that forward-thinking and gave us that 12 information. 13 I really don't have a question. Mine was 14 more comments and concerns. Thank you. 15 Anything else, Commissioners? 16 Hearing no other comments, are we ready 17 for a motion, Commissioners? You've heard the recommendation from CSD. 18 19 You've heard the comments. What is your pleasure? Commissioner Carr? 20 21 May I just -- before you begin, could I 22 ask Josh a question? Since there is an amendment 23 included with this application, does that amendment 24 specifically need to be recognized in the motion, 25 should the motion be to approve?



```
MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
 1
 2
     I think that the motion could be -- if -- for
 3
     example, if it's going to be approval, approval with
     the amendment presented by the school.
               THE CHAIR: But it does need to be
 5
     mentioned, in your opinion?
 6
 7
               MR. GRANATA:
                             I think so.
 8
               THE CHAIR: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
 9
               COMMISSIONER CARR: On that -- you know, I
10
     quess it's not -- it's not the regular amendment;
11
     it's an amendment to the application itself.
12
     it's not something that we would consider
13
     separately; right?
14
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, I -- I kind
15
     of agree with Commissioner Carr. Since it's in the
16
     package, I consider it just to be a part of the
17
     application. But I guess we can go either way.
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
18
                                   Sure.
                                           That's good.
19
     Okay.
20
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      Yeah.
21
               COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm ready to proceed.
22
               I, Commissioner Carr, move that the Public
23
     Education Commission approve the renewal of the
24
     charter school application for Turquoise Trail
     Elementary for a term of five years, pursuant to the
25
```



1	Charter School Act, Section 22-8B-6 and
2	Section 22-8B-12, to include the amendment.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a
4	second?
5	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
6	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna?
7	I have a motion by Commissioner Carr,
8	seconded by Commissioner Pogna, to approve the
9	renewal application of Turquoise Trail Elementary
10	School, including the enrollment cap amendment
11	contained therein.
12	Any discussion, Commissioners?
13	Secretary Bergman, may we have a roll-call
14	vote, please?
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Toulouse?
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Peralta abstains.
24	Commissioner Gant?
25	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Shearman?
3	THE CHAIR: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
5	Bergman votes "yes."
6	Madam Chair, that is a 6-to-0 vote, with
7	one abstention, in favor of the approval.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
9	amendment the application for Turquoise Trail
10	Elementary School, including the amendment, is
11	approved for a five-year term. Congratulations.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Madam Chair, Commission,
13	thank you very much. We very much appreciate it.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We look forward to
15	working with you.
16	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair?
17	THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl?
18	MR. PAHL: I was not here for the last
19	renewal that also had an amendment. So I just want
20	to take this opportunity to make sure, do we need to
21	do any clarification around that last renewal I
22	believe it was TISA, Taos Integrated School of the
23	Arts, they had is that right? They had an
2 4	amendment?
25	I know it was spoken of. But I just want



```
1
     to make sure the process was clear, that we also had
 2
     that amendment passed as part of the package for the
 3
     renewal application.
 4
               THE CHAIR: I think you were the one that
 5
     brought that up.
 6
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I brought it up,
 7
           And we didn't specifically mention it in the
 8
     motion; but here again, because it was a part of
 9
     the -- my interpretation, as a nonlawyer, would be
10
     yes, we -- it was approved, and that amendment was
11
     approved as a part of that application.
12
               MR. PAHL:
                         Okay.
                                 Uh-huh.
13
               THE CHAIR:
                          Josh?
14
               MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
15
     and Mr. Pahl, I agree with Commissioner Bergman on
16
     the matter.
17
               MR. PAHL:
                          Great.
18
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you. It probably is
19
     better to mention it.
20
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. Let's
21
     mention it on future ones, yeah.
22
               THE CHAIR:
                           Turquoise Trail -- pardon
23
     me -- Academy of Trades and Technology?
24
     morning.
```



MR. HOTCHKISS: Good morning.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl, whenever you're ready.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Academy of Trades and Technology, also known as ATT, was chartered by the state on July 1st, 2010. Prior to 2010, ATT was an Albuquerque Public Schools District charter.

The mission of the school is to combine career-and-technical education and academic courses using project-based learning to reach at-risk students, while developing their vocational, academic, social, personal needs and skills required to attain quality employment.

The Charter School Division recommends that ATT be approved and given a three-year charter renewal, with conditions to be determined through the development of an improvement plan.

The school has demonstrated consistently poor progress on current results on the State grade report card, with a three-year average of a D, and academic performance falling well below proficiency levels of the State.

That said, because of the population that ATT serves, we look at growth and give that more -- more weight when we're considering this in the





application.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATT has demonstrated an increase in growth of highest performing students and improved from a grade of an F in 2012 to a grade of an A in 2014.

The school ranks No. 4 out of 33 for highest performing students' growth on the 2014 school grade report card.

Some concerns around the school around academic performance are the very low proficiency rates. Currently, only 3.4 percent of all students are proficient in reading, and 3.3 percent of students are proficient in math.

So we have a -- we have a picture of academic performance that looks a little different from others. As a result, we're recommending a shorter term. We think this school, more than many, would be able -- would behoove being on a performance framework, where, as Commissioner Bergman likes to say, the extra goals there, if they're ambitious, and they're reachable, are other ways to show your success outside of the school report card. And so that's why we're recommending a shorter term.

And just to highlight the proposed conditions, we're recommending a three-year term for



ATT, a completion of an improvement plan specific to the school, and two annual monitoring visits by the Charter School Division.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.

Gentlemen, if you would introduce yourselves, please, and you have five minutes.

MR. HOTCHKISS: I'm Christopher Hotchkiss.

I'm the principal at ATT. This is Henry Lackey, the board president. And this is Lee Maxwell, the vice president.

MR. LACKEY: Madam Chair, Commissioners, thank you for having us here today, and thank you for renewing our charter in 2010. We've enjoyed being a part of the -- State charter.

If you can recall, when we came over in 2010, we had some issues with the charter with APS. And we came over to the State kind of with a heavy heart on whether or not we should stay with APS or come to the State. But by the time we came to the State, APS -- they finally got it, and understood who we were.

And prior to that, we had -- with all our issues and going through the different things we had to go through, they didn't truly understand what it is that we do. You know, you have -- every charter



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

school has some at-risk kids. It's just the nature of our business.

However, our kids are pretty much all at-risk; that's who we target. We don't send out fliers to our local neighborhood residents and tell them, "Look, we're the closest school to you, send us your kids," and -- that's not what we do. What we do is we get our kids from CYFD. We get our kids from other high schools that have dropped out. Our slogan is, "Drop back in."

Well, actually, our students, they built a three-story haunted house in 21 days, from ground up. And that was just a recruiting tool, to recruit the kind of kids that we want and for that population that we serve.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes.

MR. LACKEY: Three minutes? Thank you.

For the population that we're serving, there's always going to be some -- there's always going to be a lag in grade performance, because our staff, our principals, they spend a lot of time of going to get these kids back into school. They may come for three weeks, and then take one of our staff members a week to go find them, get them back into school. That's what we do.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, you know, just earlier this week, we had a kid come in, and the finance manager asked him, "Where are you from?"

And he says "D.C."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949

And the finance manager asked him, "Well, why did you come from D.C.? That's a long ways."

He was, like, "No, YDDC."

The kid needs three credits to graduate.

That's another thing that we serve. He's going to come to our school. He'll get the three credits; he'll graduate. But can we get a real accurate [verbatim] of his growth from the three credits he's going to get at our school? We're not going to be able to get that.

We get quite a few of those. Every year that we've been a charter school, we'll start the school with "X" number of eligible seniors to graduate; but at the end of the year, we graduate five or six more than we had to start with to graduate, because we're trying to fill the need of students that are young adults that are not necessarily going to go to college or want to go to college.

I mean, we don't want to be like APS. don't want to be like other schools. We want to





1 fill the gap of teaching kids --2 Minute and a half. COMMISSIONER GANT: 3 MR. LACKEY: Minute and a half? Thank 4 you. 5 Teaching young adults how to be job-ready, how to earn a living, how not to be on welfare, how 6 7 to treat your fellowman kindly, how not to get shot by the police, how not to -- to get yourself shot. 8 9 And those are the type of things we deal with on a 10 daily basis. 11 And, unfortunately, for our school --12 COMMISSIONER GANT: One minute. MR. LACKEY: Thank you. 13 Unfortunately, in 14 our school, we've had a lot of that. And we'll 15 always have a lot of that. But we've been able to 16 build a culture within our school, where our kids, 17 even though they come from hard backgrounds, we 18 don't have the -- the thing of "no snitch." Our kids will tell on other students. If another 19 20 student is going to do some harm, we have a good 21 relationship with our students -- our teachers do --22 where they'll come and tell. 23 You know, we've had students shot and 24 killed off-campus. We've had APD kill two of our 25 students; one was a student, one was an ex-student.



And we've gotten to the point now where our staff 1 understands and can recognize these issues, and we 2 3 can deal with them. 4 As of last year, we hadn't had these issues. We've had -- we've had students arrested 5 earlier this month, because they were -- they were 7 perpetrators of a homicide. 8 COMMISSIONER GANT: That's it. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you, gentlemen. Do we 10 have anyone in the audience that would care to 11 speak? 12 Yes, sir. Please come forward. Please 13 use the microphone. State your name for the record, 14 and you have five minutes. 15 MR. ELFSTROM: My name is Jonathan Elfstrom. 16 17 THE CHAIR: We can't hear you. Pull it 18 Just a little bit closer. closer to you. There you 19 go. 20 MR. ELFSTROM: My name is Jonathan 21 Elfstrom. I'm the math teacher at Academy of Trades 22 and Technology. This is my tenth year teaching 23 at-risk high school students. I worked at three different schools, first, at a school out of 24



California, where I'm originally from; then at

Gordon Bernell Charter School; and last year, I moved over to Academy of Trades and Technology.

This population is a really rewarding population to work with, but also a really challenging population to work with. In addition to building up people's skills and helping them redefine themselves as a student, we're also helping them redefine themselves as people, how to see themselves in a different light.

And that's no easy task to get someone to see themselves as -- rather than being the toughest thug on the block, to see themselves as being a scholar. But when it happens, it's a really wonderful thing. And I think that ATTHS has all the ingredients that are necessary for that.

We've got a really strong staff; we have clear expectations of our students; we're holding them to high expectations. We provide the support they need for their basic needs outside of school, things like making sure they have a safe place to live, making sure that they have access to the social services that will keep them so that they're fed and clothed and housed.

We also provide a lot of job training, more so at our school than you would see at a



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

typical school. Students can work for -- currently,

students can work for the school companies and

actually earn money through a grant through

Youth- -- through Vision Builders through

YouthBuild. And we also provide other job training

opportunities.

And the idea is that when our students graduate, they really will be ready to go out into the real world, to go into the workforce. And we see that happening.

Looking at last year's graduates at the graduation, I felt these are kids who really -they're ready now to go out there and succeed. And before, there's a question of whether they would have even shown up to school.

We tend to attract people back; so we'll end up getting a student that disappeared, and then we'll see them a couple of years later. Then they'll come back and eventually graduate, a lot of times.

THE CHAIR: May I interrupt you for a moment? Excuse me. Do we have another person waiting to speak, sir?

Okay. You're at two-and-a-half minutes.

There is a total of five. So when you would like to



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



yield the mic to the other person, that's up to you.

2 MR. ELFSTROM: I guess I probably should,

3 then. But it's really -- it's a rewarding school to

4 work for. I think we have all the tools necessary

5 for success.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's been a lot of turnover at our school, but our current core, right now, of employees, I think we have -- we have a good plan for what we're going forward to, and we continue to build, refine, and improve on it.

I'm real excited to see what's going to happen in the years to come.

COMMISSIONER GANT: You have two minutes.

MR. GROBLEBE: Okay. My name is Gene Groblebe, and I'm the English teacher at the high school. This is my third year there. Likewise, I had seen a huge turnaround in many of the individual students, things that data can't really show a complete picture of.

Our students are trained in construction and graphic design; but they have to be able to find jobs and apply in the same way they would in the real world, with cover letters and resumes and interviews. But academically, they also are very challenged.



I believe, as an English teacher, in the power of literacy to change lives, and I challenge them, as does Jonathan, in math. Our scores don't always show that in our short-cycle assessment; but we have plans to implement more student training as far as MAPs goes.

And our -- and, again, our population is so transitory, and they're dealing with issues beyond our control, that we can't really, you know, understand outside the classroom at times.

And so to see the turnaround -- this is my third year, like I said, in a lot of these students' lives. It has been very rewarding. I've taught in public schools. I taught a year at community colleges. And, you know, they really do make those connections to -- and they understand that the staff is caring and that we want them to be successful in the world; so...

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We appreciate hearing from you all.

Commissioners, it's our turn. Do we have questions? Comments?

Commissioner Carr?

24 COMMISSIONER CARR: I have a question -25 not a question, but, I guess, some comments. You



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



know, when you look at a school on paper, it's one thing; but when you hear it from the people that are actually involved in the school, it gives you a completely different picture. That's why we interview people for jobs, instead of just looking at their resume; right?

I have worked with kids like the ones you work with for many years. Still do. And I have taught many kids how to not get shot, or arrested or -- you know, those little basic things that a lot of us take for granted. People who don't -- who have not been there don't realize, you know.

I was a -- I should have been -- well, I guess I was an at-risk student. My two older brothers were, come from a single-family home. I shouldn't be sitting up here today, you know. I could have easily ended up going way the other direction. So I really appreciate the fact that -- that you are working with -- with young people like you do.

Obviously, we have concerns with grades, graduation, all those things that we have concerns with other schools. But I recognize the fact that you work with a different group of people. So I will support what the Charter Division recommends



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

today, and thank you for working with those 1 people -- with those kids. 2 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 4 Commissioner Toulouse? COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I 5 know that, in Albuquerque, there are several of -- I 6 prefer "second chance" to "last chance" schools. 7 8 MR. HOTCHKISS: Right. 9 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Do your students trade back and forth with some of the others, or do 10 11 they pretty much stay with you? 12 They do. They're a very MR. HOTCHKISS: 13 highly mobile population. We really focus on the 14 dropouts. We also take a lot of the students that 15 have been either expelled or long-term suspended 16 from APS or other charter schools. We take kids 17 that are reintegrating from the Detention Center, 18 YDDC, into our school. And sometimes they come in 19 for a week; sometimes they come in for a few days 20 and they leave. 21 We actually go door-to-door sometimes to 22 go find them, and we bring them back. And they are; 23 they're very highly mobile. And even in -- up in the Gordon Bernell, we'll -- our door is open to 24 25 them 24/7. We'll take them back, you know.



end of the Maslow scale, to where getting them in the school, and focusing them on academics -- which is a huge concern for us, and we do know that we really need to improve in that area and so many areas on the report card. But we provide a vital service to these students, because they do feel safe when they're at our school. And they do come back. And they do have a lot of challenges. And we'll never turn them away; so it's very rewarding to work with them.

MR. LACKEY: If I can expand on that. And also, what he's saying is that when we -- because our students are always in and out, they've already failed at their traditional high schools. And so coming back to our school, even though it's different, and our staff puts a lot of time into going back and locating these kids and going to the house and getting them, to say, "Hey, are you going to come back to school today?"

And that's why we'd like to ask you to consider giving us a five-year renewal, just because it takes so much time to do -- it takes a year and a half to prepare for this. And it takes the principal and some of the staff a long time to do





And we're putting in all that information 1 2 that -- because we'll have to start doing this again 3 a year and a half from now. And that's just time that one of these teachers back here is not out knocking on the kids' doors saying, "Come back in." 5 It's time that they're filling out paperwork or looking for paperwork to give to you guys. 8 I would humbly ask that you give us a 9 five-year renewal. 10 THE CHAIR: Commissioner? 11 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: How many of your 12 students are male and how many are female? 13 have a computer in front of me, so I --14 MR. HOTCHKISS: You know, when I walk 15 through the halls -- because I know every student --16 it seems like we have a lot more males than females. 17 But we really do have quite a few females. 18 Amazingly enough, I'd say it's probably 60 male, 19 40 female. 20 We do have actually a lot of females that participate in the construction area of our school. 21 22 You should see some of the projects and products 23 that they've come out with. They've laid concrete 24 tables at some of the community centers, and did a



chessboard staining on top. And our girls were very

involved with that; it is impressive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we do pathway them. When they come in, they're either going to go graphic arts, or they're going to go construction. And it's about evenly split on which way they go.

And the girls are -- you know, they're -- they're wonderful and do some amazing things.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I know when I was on the CNM Board, I was amazed that some of the welders we had in the welding program were the women.

I think, Madam Chair, this is another one of those situations, like the schools yesterday, where there needs to be a different standard to judge on the graduation area of the grading for this kind of school, and some of the others, where there's no way that you're even going to be able to track a lot of these students; but every day they're in school is a day they're getting a little bit more.

And I think there needs to be some kind of weighting when you're doing scores on these kinds of schools. And I feel very strongly about that.

Although I know we can't do it; PED has to. But I would like, if we ever get any time among all of

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1	this other, to try to approach PED with developing a
2	kind of weighted standard for special schools
3	just like when we come up later on the Sign Language
4	Academy, where they have yet to figure out how to
5	evaluate them. I think we need another approach to
6	several the other one that comes to mind
7	immediately, because it's close to me, is
8	Cesar Chavez. And even though it's APS it's not
9	us Gordon Bernell, because I've talked several
10	times to their principal.
11	And those schools, you know, especially
12	Gordon Bernell, by definition, those kids are going
13	to leave, go somewhere else. They're not going to
14	graduate from there. So of course, they're always
15	going to have an F graduation rate.
16	So I just as a comment to us, I'd like,
17	if we find any time I'm not sure where
18	somewhere to take that up on the agenda and see
19	if we can come up or at a working session,
20	develop something that we can then send through to
21	the rest of PED, I'd appreciate it. Thank you.
22	THE CHAIR: Thank you for those comments.
23	Commissioner Gant?
24	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,
25	gentlemen, in looking at the final analysis and your



application, you had seven academic goals and at least two organizational goals under Part B; and none of them did you make.

MR. HOTCHKISS: We made one. We made one out of the -- out of the nine -- I do believe it is nine goals. And we had insufficient data. We were missing at least two years of data. As you probably well know from the application, we've been through three administrators until me. And data was either not collected -- there was an assessment called the SWAP that I could not find anywhere. I found a rubric on it, but I found no -- nothing of use as to actually use it.

The ILPs, which are stated in the goals, are Individual Learning Plans. They are now "Next Step" plans; nothing in there that was filed in there, except for from the charter before. So they used all that data to come up with some of these goals.

There's a lot of missing data. And we definitely noted in there if it was missing.

We tried to answer, you know, all the questions with fidelity and -- and, you know, there are goals that we just flat out did miss.

Over the last year and a half -- last two





years -- there are some goals that we've made

progress on and that we've met during that time.

But, over the length of this charter, every goal,

except for one, which is the health and well-being

and going out and finding our students and providing

those social services that they desperately need, we

did not meet.

And we definitely recognize that we need to improve in the academic area. We do need to improve on record-keeping. And one of the big things that we're going to get involved in here real soon -- because we've had professional development on integrating some of our lesson plans between the academics and the -- and the elective courses -- the next big step that we're going to do, because of -- we're going to go on to these S.M.A.R.T. goals, is we're going to start professional development with the staff on data-driven instruction.

How do we take that short-cycle assessment data, apply it in our classes to show improvement and the best gain that we can make with our students? Because that really is the true test for our students. About 75 percent of our students come in at the sixth-, fifth-grade reading level or below. And so we really want to see an improvement



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on that.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we also want to address the students that are learning above and at grade level, which is usually about two, to three, to four a year, per grade level. So...

MR. LACKEY: Can I expand on that?

Because he was -- Chris has been the principal for the last year and a half. And he wasn't our principal for some of the issues here -- he wasn't our principal during some of these issues. But a couple of things happened during this last charter renewal, is that we've had two moves; we've had three principals.

And the first principal we had to get rid of, just because he wasn't doing the job we were expecting him to do. The second principal, Arlene Trujillo, was really good. However, she was pulling herself off her deathbed for most of her second term there, and she spent half of the time in the hospital. And so at the time she could make it in and do things, she did what she could. And she kept the school running on track. She kept our students moving forward.

And when she went -- when she moved over, to Chris, she recalls giving our finance manager a



1 binder, which he gave to me. And we can't find that 2 binder, and that binder had a lot of information of 3 our goals in there. Also, we had a cabinet that was in our office that our maintenance man moved it from 5 her office to a storage cabinet during the transition of Chris and her. And that cabinet is 6 7 empty in the other office. It was full in Arlene's office, but it's empty there, and he doesn't recall 8 ever taking anything out of it. 9

And so that's a little problematic and disturbing that -- I know he had to empty that cabinet to move, because it would have been too heavy. It's disturbing he doesn't know what he did with some of the information out of it.

That's when some of the other information may have been lost.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,

I hear all you're saying. But you've had the length
of this to have all the data -- where is the
governance council asking questions about data and
having an understanding of what the school is
actually doing based upon data?

MR. LACKEY: Do I have -- I don't know how we didn't refer all that data to you. But we do have quite a bit of data, because we did -- with



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



the -- to get our -- our grade, to put -- so a lot of data was put in when we got a grade, and throughout the years of every principal and all the time there.

And then for some of the SWAP data, I don't know how it just didn't make it here. But our kids did projects; for example, the haunted house. And so they built a set at a theater; I don't know how that didn't make it there. They built planters and tables at community centers.

And so my -- okay. So Chris is telling me that that data was there. And so our -- I don't truly understand what is exactly missing. But from the governing council position, at every board meeting -- and if we turn in our minutes, then you can see that our principals will give us the data of what's -- what's happened from month to month, what's going on from month to month with each student. Our students will come in and they'll give presentations about what they did.

And so we -- from being the president of the governing board, when we have our board meetings, and we have the students coming in, and we have the people from the community coming in, or sending us letters about our students did such a



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

job -- great job with this, you know, we feel good
about that. And when we have our math and our
English teachers coming in and saying, "You know
what? This student excelled in this, and we'd like
to recognize them before the board" -- and so from a
board -- the governing board standpoint, we have
been getting quite a bit of positive feedback from
the community and our staff.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Well, Madam Chair, members, regardless of how many years we give them, if we renew them, there has to be a comprehensive improvement plan specifically dealing with all these issues on -- on their goals. It just -- I know there's reasons they have, moving and all this, and people moving filing cabinets; that's all reasons.

But teaching kids, we have to know what's going on out there. And this is the only way we know, you know. So, Madam Chair, there has to be a very specific improvement plan for this school, if we approve it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. HOTCHKISS: We agree.

MR. LACKEY: We all agree with that.

MR. HOTCHKISS: We do agree with that. We definitely need that in place. I'm excited that

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



we're moving to the S.M.A.R.T. goals and negotiating 1 2 those and reporting out on those every year. 3 think it's going to be very beneficial to us. I plan on being here a long time. But it's definitely very beneficial, if 5 there's any movement with administration that we 6 7 report out every year. I agree with the plan. 8 do need a very comprehensive plan. 9 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I also believe we need to have at least two annual --10 11 annual visits by the CSD, to begin with, for the 12 term of the contract; because you all may even 13 consider putting it on the watch list. 14 We agree with that, too. MR. HOTCHKISS: 15 THE CHAIR: Can I just ask that the record 16 reflect that Commissioner Parker has now joined us? 17 THE REPORTER: (Indicates.) 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 19 Any further Commissioner questions? 20 Commissioner Bergman? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I certainly share 21 22 those sentiments from Commissioner Gant. I would --23 also, as we did with the last one, I would say we 24 probably would need a preliminary improvement plan at our next meeting, which is next month. 25



It doesn't have to be a dissertation. But we are going to need something more extensive than that for us to work on by the time it comes to pass for a full contract negotiation. We'll need a very specific plan to address a lot of these issues from you; but certainly something -- a couple of pages -- for instance, you've established a literacy intervention strategy for this year, some other things.

A couple of schools have been before us in the last couple of days are offering free tutoring to their students. You might want to think -- just things like that, that you can show us how you're going to perhaps address those plans.

MR. HOTCHKISS: Exactly.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.

And thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I just have a couple of comments. I don't know how realistic it is or if it's even workable; but it seems to me that we have several schools that deal with this unique population.

MR. HOTCHKISS: Yes, ma'am.

THE CHAIR: Some are doing better than

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

25 others. I wonder if there's any such thing as two



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

schools working together to learn from each other on what works for this other school that you might want to try in your school.

Just a suggestion. And certainly, if you think it's worthwhile, you might work through the CSD for that.

Mr. Pahl?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, representatives of ATT, that's something that we're trying to build some expertise in at the Charter School Division. You know, we touch each of these schools that are serving that population. And so within our liaisons, we're trying to collaborate internally at the Department, so we can give that kind of information to the schools, as well.

And we certainly encourage any additional collaboration from school to school.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank for you that.

I remember five years ago, when this school came up for renewal, it almost didn't make it; it was very close because -- for the reasons we're looking at today. The students were not progressing as far as the data told us.

And the data that I'm looking at is pretty discouraging, except for your highest performing



students and your lowest performing students. One is an A, and the other is a C. And I'm impressed by that. When you can bring your lowest performing students up to a C, you've done something well.

But right below that are the reading proficiency and math proficiency. I don't want to say those are close to illiterate scores; but they're real close, in my mind.

I understand hands-on learning. I understand teaching with trades so they can go out and get a job; I absolutely understand that. But you've also got to be able to speak coherently in order to get through the interview process before you can get hired to be a -- a contractor or a carpenter or a whatever. You also have to be able to do some rudimentary math to survive in this world.

I appreciate the students you're working with and the job that you're doing. But in my mind -- and I'm only speaking for myself -- when this Commission has looked at this school twice -- once, almost didn't approve it at all -- if this school is approved today for a three-year term with conditions, when this school comes back to us, I expect to see some really significant improvement.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And how in the world you're going to bring that off 1 2 is going to be as unique as your student population. 3 But my mind is, how much money are our taxpayers putting into this school; and truly, where 4 is the return on those dollars? If students are 5 coming out job-ready, that's great. If they can't 7 speak, if they can't do math, how job-ready are 8 they, really? So I'm just telling you, you know you've 9 10 got your work cut out for you; I don't need to tell 11 I applaud what you're doing. you that. But it's --12 there's going to have to be some results in these 13 areas. 14 Mr. Pahl? 15 Madam Chair, I just want to MR. PAHL: 16 echo those comments on behalf of the PED. For anv 17 of the schools that we've recommended a shorter term for, that's the mindset we have, as well. 18 And so I 19 just want that on the record. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other comments, 20 Commissioners? 21 22 Commissioner Bergman? 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me just address 24 something that you said, Mr. Hotchkiss. A part, I



think, of the problems with this school in the past

has been the turnover in the leadership. When there's no stability in the leadership, it doesn't matter whether you're talking about the private sector or the school sector.

There has -- so I appreciate you've said you're going to stay. I know things change; but I believe there needs to be some stability in your school in your leadership to help overcome these obstacles. So I appreciate that you said you intend to be there for a while. That comforts me a little bit. Thank you, sir.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. HOTCHKISS: Thank you, Commissioner.

THE CHAIR: Anything else? Hearing no further discussion, the Chair would entertain a motion.

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Perhaps we should discuss where the leaning would be on the motion, whether for a three-year or a five-year. I'm not contradicting myself. I know what I said just about a half-an-hour ago. There are times when I am comfortable with a five-year renewal, and there's times when I would be comfortable with a three-year



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	renewal. I personally will probably be more
2	comfortable with a three-year renewal on this
3	school, but will not oppose a five-year renewal, if
4	that's the leanings of the rest of the Commission.
5	THE CHAIR: My comfort zone is a
6	three-year. Anybody?
7	I'm seeing a consensus of three. Are you
8	interested in making the motion, please
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'll make the
LO	motion, if no one else wants to make it.
L 1	THE CHAIR: with the input, then?
L 2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's hard to sit
L 3	here and get my notes together.
L 4	Madam Chair, I, Commissioner Bergman, move
L 5	that the Public Education Commission approve the
L 6	renewal application of the Academy of Trades and
L 7	Technology for a three-year term, with the following
L 8	conditions:
L 9	That the school complete an initial
20	improvement plan that would be presented to this
21	Commission in our January meeting. That will be
22	fairly initial, with the understanding that we will
23	do a much more comprehensive plan as a part of the
2 4	contract negotiation;



And that the school agree to at least two

1	monitoring visits from the CSD, and they may make
2	more if they wish;
3	And I would that this would be pursuant
4	to the Charter School Act, Section 22-8B-6 and
5	Section 22-8B-12.
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do I hear a
7	second?
8	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
9	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
10	Bergman, seconded by Commissioner Gant, to approve
11	the renewal application of Academy of Trades and
12	Technology Charter School, with the conditions as
13	noted on the official record.
14	Any further discussion, Commissioner
15	Parker?
16	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair,
17	Commissioners, I'll be abstaining from this vote,
18	because I was not part of the conversation up to
19	this point.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank for you that.
21	Mr. Secretary, if you'd make a note of
22	that?
23	Any further comments?
24	Hearing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we
25	have a roll-call vote, please?



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Toulouse?
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Peralta?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Shearman?
15	THE CHAIR: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Bergman votes "yes."
18	Madam Chair, that is a 7-to-0 vote, with
19	one abstention, in favor of the three-year renewal.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion to
21	approve the renewal application of Academy of Trades
22	and Technology, with conditions as noted in the
23	record, for a three-year period, is approved.
24	Please work with CSD and get that
25	improvement plan going right away.



1	MR. HOTCHKISS: Commissioner Bergman,
2	thank you. Madam Chair, thank you. Commissioners,
3	appreciate it. Thanks a lot.
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, let's take a
5	ten-minute break and come back at a quarter of
6	11:00.
7	(Recess taken, 10:35 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.)
8	THE CHAIR: Let's go ahead and get
9	started. I'm sure Commissioner Toulouse will join
10	us shortly.
11	Next on the agenda is ACE Leadership High
12	School.
13	Mr. Pahl, whenever you're ready?
14	MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair, members
15	of the Commission. ACE Leadership High School was
16	initially granted a charter by the PEC in December
17	of 2009. The school maintains strong connections to
18	industry partners and features a project-based
19	approach to learning by applying coursework directly
20	to industry standards.
21	Charter School Division recommends a
22	five-year term of renewal, with conditions, to be
23	determined through an improvement plan for ACE
24	Leadership High School.
25	Data presented by the school indicated



continuing low proficiency scores in reading and math. The school shows significant decline in reading and math scores from 2013 and 2014.

However, the school has shown inconsistent marks on their school grades, which has -- which has given a three-year average of a C.

The governance and administration of the school are stable, and the school is financially sound, and the school has an active and positive presence in the community.

Much like the last two schools who serve a similar population, we have very low proficiency rates at ACE. However, the school has above-average -- or sorry -- when compared to the State, has above-average growth amongst its lowest performing students and its highest performing students.

We've requested an improvement plan for ACE, despite recommending a five-year renewal term. You'll notice that we haven't done this with many schools. The reason we've asked for an improvement plan for ACE and to increase our monitoring at ACE is that the school has shown a lack of commitment towards certain types of data elements.

The school can benefit greatly from the



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

performance contract, if the PEC and the school agree to ambitious and feasible goals for the school, with data elements that point the school in the right direction to raise those proficiency rates.

ACE must track these with fidelity.

Should the school not do this, the report card will be the only element that tells their story. And given recent trends, we're not sure that that's the story ACE wants to be told -- wants to be shared with the community.

Should the school be renewed, attention to their framework goals will be integral to their success. There are no reservations about the five-year-recommendation; however, we feel like the conditions that we'd like to place on the school can help us collaborate to make sure the school is moving in the right direction.

The two proposed conditions that we -- we are proposing for the school are completion of an improvement plan specific to the school and the goals that it would like to achieve, pursuant to a contract negotiation with the PEC, and two annual monitoring visits by CSD.

I'll just note, as well, that our last



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
site visit with -- with the school was a good one.
 1
     I think we have a good foundation for a
 2
 3
     collaborative relationship, and so we're looking
     forward to working with ACE.
 5
               THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.
 6
               COMMISSIONER CARR: May I ask Matt a quick
 7
     question?
 8
               THE CHAIR:
                           Sure.
 9
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   It was -- sorry.
10
     the paperwork, you had three conditions.
11
     a -- you had two to three years instead of five; so
12
     you're taking that away?
13
               MR. PAHL:
                          If you'll look there, that's
14
     standard kind of formatting there.
15
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, okay.
16
               MR. PAHL:
                          But our conditions are showing
17
     up in the section next to the "X" there.
18
     looks as if -- right there.
19
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   All right.
20
                          So rest assured, we are not
               MR. PAHL:
21
     recommending a shorter term for the school.
22
     other two conditions apply in this case.
23
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Thank you.
24
               THE CHAIR: Before we ask you to identify
25
     yourselves and get started, I see you have quite a
```



number of young people here with you today, and we'd 1 2 like to welcome them. But I'd like to make 3 everybody aware of the schedule that we are on. 4 The school has five minutes to present. 5 The audience has five minutes to present. So if you have students who would like to speak to this Commission today, I would ask that those students 8 come up with a representative or two to share that five minutes, because at the end of five minutes, we 9 do have to cut it off and move on with the rest of 10 11 the agenda; so just a heads-up on that. 12 And, again, good morning. If you all 13 would introduce yourselves, please, and then five 14 minutes for your presentation. 15 MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: Good morning, 16 Madam Chair, Commissioners. My name is Tori 17 Stephens-Shauger. Good morning, members of the 18 MS. MORA: 19 Commission. My name is Vicki Mora. I'm CEO of 20 Associated General Contractors of New Mexico. We're 21 the sponsoring industry group for the charter 22 school. 23 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Good morning, Madam Chair, 24 members of the Commission. My name is Daniel



Ivey-Soto. I'm general counsel to the school.

MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: So as I mentioned, my name is Tori Stephens-Shauger, and I'm the executive director and principal of ACE Leadership High School. "ACE," of course, stands for Architecture, Construction, and Engineering.

I'm pleased to be in front of you today and share the successes and next steps for ACE Leadership High School. We are a well-organized and fiscally responsible school that is about to move into a newly-built building, specifically designed for ACE Leadership High School students.

We are proud that each year our graduation rate has been significantly better than, or equal to, the State's average. Since ACE opened,

150 students have entered their high school -- have earned their high school diploma and have transitioned to either an apprenticeship program, two- or four-year college program, or directly to work.

Most of those graduates have already dropped out of other high schools prior to coming to ACE. Our graduates transitioned with a plan and continued support as ACE alumni.

Each year, our students in both Q1 and Q3 have grown more than the State average, according to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the SBA. And we look forward to continuing to help our students improve their academic skills as they work towards graduation.

ACE has collaborated with the Associated General Contractors of New Mexico and CNM to create the first pre-apprenticeship, dual-credit course in the state. The AGC endowed chair at the UNM School of Engineering has worked with ACE very closely to support the success of dual-credit students and pre-engineering courses.

ACE students have gone to China with UNM School of Architecture students on the team for Solar Decathlon competitions. ACE graduates are not only building a reputation of having sound academic skills, but the leadership skills to allow them to excel in the industry.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes.

MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: Our unprecedented partnerships with the industry have allowed us to build a relevant, cutting-edge high school preparing leaders of the future. The next steps for ACE will be to continue to build math, reading, and writing skills of our students, bolster our transition planning and experiences to ensure our students have both the cognitive and noncognitive skills necessary



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to be successful in their plan, and build our alumni network and build longitudinal data on the success of our graduates.

I ask that you renew the charter of the ACE Leadership High School for another five years, and look forward to contract negotiations in the spring to address continued support of student growth.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GANT: Two minutes.

MS. MORA: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, what I would like to attest to is the commitment of the sponsoring organization and the uniqueness of its deliberate allocation of resources and alignment of its future leaders with faculty to perform an unprecedented collaboration between business, industry, and the education of the students and their career preparedness.

As a result, we have future leaders identified through strategic processes of our employer companies who are working with our unsung heroes at ACE, which are our faculty. Those collaborate together on developing resume building, along with the requirements of standard education and what is expected through public education.



1	As a result, we have caught the attention
2	of national AGC chapters throughout the country
3	including Louisiana and Colorado, who are looking at
4	our model and at replication in their respective
5	states.
6	We've had national visits from our
7	national officers of our trade association. And in
8	2013, we were much honored that, in conjunction with
9	the
LO	COMMISSIONER GANT: One minute.
L1	MS. MORA: national recognition of
L 2	apprenticeship by the U. S. Department of Labor, we
L 3	were visited by U. S. Department of Labor Secretary,
L 4	Hilda Solis, and our students interviewed.
L 5	I ask that you favorably approve of this
L 6	unique collaboration and career-preparedness
L 7	program.
L 8	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that.
L 9	Do we have anyone from the audience who
20	would care to speak?
21	Good morning. Let me just ask you, are
22	you the only
23	SPEAKER: Does anyone else want to
2 4	speak?
2.5	MS STEPHENS-SHAUGER. We have one other



1 THE CHAIR: Okay. Let that person come on 2 down; would you please? 3 All right. And I will ask Commissioner Gant to let each -- to let you know 4 5 when your two-and-a-half minutes is up, okay? Please introduce yourself and go right 6 7 ahead. 8 MR. CALDERON: Hello. Madam Chair, and Commissioners. My name is Nathaniel Calderon. I'm 9 10 a junior at ACE Leadership High School. 11 THE CHAIR: Go ahead with your 12 presentation. 13 MR. CALDERON: Oh. Like I said, I'm a 14 junior at ACE Leadership. I started right out of 15 middle school. When I was in middle school, I was 16 wasn't a model student; I didn't get good grades; I 17 was mostly Ds and Cs. But coming to ACE, I jumped 18 from Ds and Cs to all As. I had all As on my report 19 card last year, on my final report card. 20 I was a captain of the ACE Leadership football team this year, and I was a member, my 21 22 sophomore and my junior year, varsity. I'm also 23 part of the Toastmasters Club for our ACE 24 Leadership. We're doing an elevator speech in a



couple of weeks -- or in a couple of months -- to

help sponsor our group; so support for our -support for our group. And I also -- getting into
our Capstone program.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Four minutes.

MR. CALDERON: I'm also getting into the Capstone program, which is the final year for our students; so I'll be graduating a year early.

I also had a job interview and an internship interview with Jaynes Corporation, with Chad Jaynes. I wrote him an e-mail my freshman year, and he offered to take me -- hire me after high school, because of the great things that I have been doing at ACE and the example I've set for myself and the reputation I've built.

And all the teachers there have vouched for me, and they're -- I have built myself up from not being able to do -- go to school and do work, to being able to get out of high school a year early and have a job already lined up, and being able to pay for my college by myself and not having to rely on my parents to do it for me.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes.

MR. CALDERON: I also -- I'm also -- after high school, I'm enlisting in the Marines. I'm going to go the Marines. I will go to basic after I





```
1
     graduate, and then I'll do my year tour -- or --
     yeah, my first tour on a -- after I graduate basic.
 2
 3
     And after basic, they'll send me to college.
 4
     then after college, I can apply for officer's
 5
     promotion. And if they grant me officer status,
     I'll go to OSC in Quantico, and I'll come out ranked
     a second lieutenant. And then I'll serve my 20
     years with the Marines, and then I'll retire when
 8
 9
     I'm 40, full benefits and honors.
10
               THE CHAIR:
                           That's a wonderful plan.
11
               MR. CALDERON:
                              I'm done.
12
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you very much. Not many
13
     of us have our lives planned out that well.
14
               MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: That's transition
15
     planning.
16
               MR. CHAVEZ:
                            That's certainly a better
17
     plan than I ever had.
                            My name is Victor Chavez.
18
     I'm the president of the foundation board for ACE
19
     High School, and I just want to share a couple of
20
     quick stories.
               You know, there's a lot of numbers and
21
     grades and stuff. But on the personal level, five
22
23
     years ago I met a young man there -- a young boy
24
     there -- who was taught to shake hands. And he
```



wouldn't look you in the eye. It was clear he

didn't want to be there. He had already dropped out of public school.

And last year, I saw a young man who was ahead of the Design Review Committee, who was going over the plans for the new school that we're about to finish up. He had become a young man and was very confident of himself. And that whole change took place because of going to ACE High School.

And there's another recent graduate from ACE, a kid who had also dropped out of school, who didn't have plans or a future. He's now been hired by a local construction firm who has guaranteed him his college education, as long as he continues working through them at the time he's going to school.

Those are the results you're getting out of ACE. These are kids that otherwise would have been on the streets, would have been somewhere else. Instead, they're becoming productive, qualified young men.

So thank you for the support. We look forward to another five years.

THE CHAIR: Thank you both very much.

Appreciate it.

Commissioners, do you have questions or





1 comments?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I just want to give some advice to that young man who spoke about going into the Marines. Really, the Marines have their own vocabulary. You go to "boot camp," not "basic." You're not a "soldier"; you're a "Marine."

I'm not -- I was never a Marine; but I know those things. And they're very particular about it. You -- and once a Marine, always a Marine, and all those things. I know a lot of people -- I have a lot of friends who are Marines.

I tend -- I'm kind of -- I don't know how the rest of you guys go. I tend to -- I'm kind of leaning toward three years instead of five, based on the -- but, you know, I'll go either way with that. I just wanted to throw that out.

Other than that, you know, I don't -- you know, I -- you know, I -- you know, I the grades are an issue. There's some issues involved. I -- I have no doubt that you all will be able to work them out.

But I just wanted to throw that out there.

And, you know, I'll wait to hear from everybody
else.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



THE CHAIR: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, this is one of the schools that's in my district. They invited me to visit; so I have been there to visit them. And I'm looking forward to their new facilities.

But I just want to say that what I saw while I was there -- I was watching the students working in groups and talked to several of them, as well as the instructors, the administration, whatever. And I was very impressed of what those students could tell me that they were doing and learning, that they could verbalize to me what the process was and what they were doing.

You know, not being the kind of educator most of the people out here are, I'm not going to put it into educational terms.

But as somebody who spent my life in, you know, public employment kinds of things, I was impressed with -- I wasn't directed to any students. There was one sitting next to me doing something. I talked to him, you know. I was starting out of the building, saw another one, talked to him. Nobody was headed to me; each one talked to me and could



explain what they were learning and how they were learning in the particular project group they were working with.

So learning is very clearly going on there. And I have no problem with five years. I think their new facilities is going to add quite a bit on where they can go with some of this instruction, too.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Anyone else?

Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: These are going to be comments, and you can respond if you care to,

Madam Chair, members. But looking at your specific goals and how many you didn't meet, whether they're academic or organizational, concerns me. The lack of data concerns me, for a school that I believe has -- should have a basis -- a hard basis of data collection and understanding of data and how to use data. From what I see in reports from the CSD, et cetera, I don't understand the lack of data.

That just concerns me.

And I kind of agree -- I -- yes, I guess, with Commissioner Carr, that this needs to be a





1 three-year, with a very specific improvement plan and at least two-a-year's visits from CSD, or more. 2 3 I mean, you got a good idea, an outstanding -- I appreciate it; I really do. But you've got to show 5 the data these students know how to read, write, and chew gum at the same time. 7 And, you know, I'm not seeing that. 8 look at your report card. You know, that's what we 9 have to go by. 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 11 Commissioner Parker? 12 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank vou, 13 Commissioners. It's probably not a surprise to most

Commissioners. It's probably not a surprise to most of you, but I'm particularly fond of the idea of the school that you guys are doing, in terms of who your target audience is, as well as the exposure to the design and construction industries. I think what a lot of people don't realize is that in the design world, you are exposed to a great deal, and you gain a holistic view of what's out there, not just specific elements of -- that some careers have.

But just to echo what Commissioner Gant had said is that some of these things, like six of the seven goals not being met -- don't set yourself up for failure. Take care of those situations,



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

because the good that you're doing could be overshadowed by this other stuff. And I would hate to see that happen.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And if I may?

THE CHAIR: Please.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And thank you very much. You're absolutely right about that. The -- you know, one of the things that we really appreciate about the dialogue that we had with -- with Mr. Pahl, as we've gone through this process, has been how do we -- our school has a narrative in terms of who we -- who we serve and in terms of what they do at that school, and, really, the incredible life-changing opportunities that are -- that are there and that we see manifest themselves.

And part of what Mr. Pahl has been -- has recommended within those conditions has been for us to find ways where we can more effectively express that what is happening at the school matches the narrative and what people see when they come to the school.

So you're right on target. And it is something we need to do a better job of. And it's something that I think CSD understands, as well, and that we're working together to make sure that we can



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 do that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

If I might just pick up on that same issue, there were something like eleven -- ten or eleven goals; one was met. That is very troubling; it really is.

The other thing that's really troubling to me is in Part C of your application, where you're asked for -- looking forward, for your mission-specific indicators and goals. And it was very specific. It asked for those to be in SMART format, which is very, very comprehensive. You have a time line; you have a matrix for evaluation and all of that. And instead of that, we got your school's strategic plan.

It's hard for me to think, in a document that's this important, that you didn't provide what was asked for.

Could I get a response to that?

MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: Thank you,

Madam Chair. I did provide the information that was requested in the S.M.A.R.T. goal, if you look at all of the pieces, what the S, the M, the A, the R, and the T stand for. What I didn't provide them is I didn't provide them in the example that -- in the

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



format that the example had in the -- in the application.

The reason why I didn't do that is because I know -- I know that we were going to be negotiating them, and that there would be extensive conversation around that. So I provided all of the work that -- that, at the board level, we were going to be focused on. And that did have a time frame; it did have measurements set of what we wanted and expected; and it did have areas focused on. It just wasn't in the same format.

After meeting with -- after -- after meeting with the site visit, we -- we -- I sent -- about a week after the site visit, I did send the goals in the exact format that was in the -- in the application. And evidently, it was still not including all the information, according to the CSD analysis.

So I look forward to actually sitting down with you all and writing the goals in a way that you want me to write them, because the -- kind of me copying what's in the document is not -- is not necessarily any more effective than what I provided beforehand.

So I look forward to -- I look forward to



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our negotiations.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also wanted to respond to the lack of data. I don't think that there's lack of data for our goals. I think initially, when we wrote our goals, we thought there would be data available to us in a certain way. And then as we began collecting data -- and I expressed this in the renewal application -- when we began collecting data, it wasn't able to be collected in that format. So instead of, like, two grade levels, the data we collected was labeled "proficiency," "nearing proficiency" and so on.

So because it wasn't in the exact same format that those goals called for; therefore, the Charter School Division had to say we didn't meet, or we didn't provide data for, those goals.

But I do feel like there's a lot of data that we did provide, especially the research data that we did with the University of New Mexico and that's ongoing and that's reviewed nationally. I also -- that shows that our students are reaching proficiency when evaluated using a performance assessment tool.

 $\hbox{So I think -- I think there is data there.} \\$ Was it in the exact format of the goals that were



written in 2009? No, it wasn't.

THE CHAIR: Since you've talked about that, let me just say -- and I -- I said this to the school that was here earlier, and I will say it to you all. I understand that you all work with a unique population, that you're doing some really outstanding work and providing job skills and the means for students to be successful.

But when I look at these proficiency scores in reading and math, again, I really -- I can't think of another word to use other than "illiterate." When you have 2 percent of your population that's proficient in math, 5.1 that's proficient in reading -- I understand that probably your students are more interested in jobs than they are in reading Shakespeare. Quite frankly, I was, too.

But you've got to be able to fill out a job application. You've got to be able to speak during the interview coherently. You've got to be able to do the math that the job requires.

And I just -- I feel that with a renewed effort on your school's part, with probably some different types of presentation -- whatever it takes -- those scores need to be brought up so that





your score -- your students truly, truly, truly, are prepared for the world that's out there; because it expects people who can function in reading and math and so forth.

And I understand you have other data and other ways of looking at things. This is the data that we have; this is what we have to work with. So I encourage you to continue working, bring these scores up. We need to see better scores.

I also -- I cannot remember when we approved this new facility, Mr. Pahl, or Mr. Ivey-Soto. Does anyone remember when the PEC approved the new facility for ACE?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Yes, ma'am. Actually, this school came and made a presentation about a year and a half ago to the PEC and discussed kind of where it was headed and what was going on, and then came back to the PEC. And that was about eight months ago?

MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: Uh-huh. And our approved charter also indicated that we would be in a temporary -- the approved charter from 2009 also indicated that we would be moving from a temporary home, also.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Yes. And so --





MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: So it's been in several places.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: But the location was approved. Commissioner -- in fact, we had a nice dialogue with Commissioner Gant in terms of -- in terms of where we were in terms of our target population when that was approved.

And we also reviewed -- we have the specs with us and reviewed all of that here with the Commission in terms of how it matches the learning modalities for ACE Leadership High School.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I appreciate that. My memory is not as good as it used to be.

But I do also recall that I received an invitation to the grand opening of your building. Then I received another notification that it was going to be in January or later, after the first of the year, and that the students would spend the next two weeks touring New Mexico, or touring around discovering what it was like to be New Mexican.

Does that mean they're not in class?

MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: Being a project-based learning school, we don't have classes; we have projects. And so all of our -- all of our learning is done through a particular project

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



that is driven by design or build, or both.

So the last two weeks -- or this week and next week -- the students have started a project called "New Mexico Are Us." And it is a project focused on English language arts and social studies, either New Mexico history or U.S. history, depending on what level the student -- of history the student wants to focus on.

So as they go through each site -- like place-based learning. As they go through each site, they have certain characteristics at that site that they need to analyze and look at to help them tell the story of New Mexico within New Mexico's own history, or tell a story of New Mexico within the United States history, based on what they're seeing, through design and construction practices around the state.

THE CHAIR: So I was drawing an incorrect conclusion that perhaps your building was not -- your current building was not available to you, or something like that?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: One of the important things about being a school that deals with construction is understanding construction projects and trying to get them finalized.



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So the school is available to us. We
2	have but the issue is that we have not received
3	the final E-Occupancy for it. We do have we do
4	have the rating from PSFA. All of that is all of
5	that is fine. And so but as a result of that,
6	that's why that's why we are doing the
7	place-based learning until we have that finalized
8	E-Occupancy.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you for
10	that.
11	My last comment is I support the other
12	Commissioners and comments that were made of a
13	three-year renewal.
14	So who else?
15	Commissioner Bergman?
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. I want
17	to address the goals issue. I'm not going to beat
18	you up on that. But there's a reason why we had a
19	sample goal in there, and there's a reason why it's
20	in our template, the application template, because
21	there's a process. You're not the first school that
22	said, "Well, we'll negotiate the goal with you down
23	the road."
24	But, see, today I'm being asked to vote on
25	your application without seeing that specific goal.



1	That's why we prefer to have a specific goal in
2	front of us. It helps comfort me that when I say
3	"yes" to your application, that you have an I
4	know you have an understanding of the goal. But
5	that's why we ask schools to put that in our format.
6	There's a reason for it. I was probably
7	the one that insisted that it be put in there like
8	that, because I'm a goals person.
9	So I just wanted to say I understand your
10	rationale and your explanation; but that's my
11	rationale and explanation. I have to vote before we
12	get to the negotiation. There's a step on it. So
13	that's all we really ask the schools to do. That's
14	our rationale.
15	Would you like to respond? I know you may
16	want to.
17	MS. STEPHENS-SHAUGER: No.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And, Senator, I saw
19	your frustration with the mic. You might put
20	something in the next appropriation to give PED a
21	little extra money for a new sound system in here.
22	Thank you, Madam Chair.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.
24	Commissioner Peralta?
25	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes. I just want



to speak to -- I know, you know, there were some things -- a lot of things noted in the CSD summary, and there's a lot of those items that have been shared by fellow Commissioners. And, of course, there's a lot of work that needs to be done by the school in terms of data and reporting and so forth.

So what I do want to say is I am inclined to support a three-year term, as opposed to the five-year. And the reason is because I think we need to stay consistent with -- with the length of terms that we're awarding as a Commission. Because noted in previous schools that had conditions, the conditions are pretty much all similar and the same. And I think if the school has conditions that need to be met, that maybe we are consistent in saying that they deserve a three-year, as opposed to some -- or a five. Some deserve three.

And I think we kind of get into some areas of dispute there and what have you. So I just want to make a note that we should be thinking about consistent when there's conditions that need to be met, that we strongly consider three-year length of terms. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?





COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I'd like to 1 2 correct your statement that you were in agreement 3 with the other Commissioners on the three-year, 4 because I stated I would like a five-year. THE CHAIR: Some of the Commissioners. 5 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: 6 Thank you. I will 7 vote with the three, if that's what people are 8 I want to make sure the record is clear. Μy 9 attempt is I could go with the five, with these 10 conditions. So thank you. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 12 And, Madam Chair, I would MR. IVEY-SOTO: 13 just simply remind the Commission that yesterday, 14 you did approve a five-year renewal with conditions. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other 16 comments? 17 Commissioner Bergman? Well, I think you 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 19 heard my comments to the previous school, about the 20 improvement plan. We would -- that will be one of 21 the conditions, I'm sure. Whoever makes the motion, 22 we would want a very -- a couple of pages, just very 23 specific, an initial plan by our next meeting, so we 24 understand that in January, you would submit it to



the CSD. And then you would work on a more

comprehensive improvement plan that you would then bring to that contract negotiation, performance contract, whenever it occurs in the spring.

They're all going to be in the spring sometime. So I assume the other Commissioners would concur; there is some consistency with what we've asked from the other school. That's our thinking, anyway. I wanted to share that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

And, Madam Chair -- and I MR. IVEY-SOTO: know you're looking for a motion and would like to get there at the appropriate time. And I would also -- it's important, as you talk about improvement plans, and as you're looking at the data on this school, it's important to remember, in terms of the population that the school serves, that most of our students, if they were not at ACE, they would not be in school. And that -- and that to the extent that there may be some frustration with where the scores are with some of the students, recognize that there would be no score for those students at all if they were not at ACE. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And just a partial response: We've dealt with several schools that have these unique populations, and we certainly



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

appreciate the work that these schools do. However, we understand the data that we have to work with and that the final analysis and the final job of a school is to prepare students in many areas for the world that they face.

And so the data that we have here tells us that this job, I think, could be better in the area of the academics. I think the school probably is doing really well in the vocational area. I call it "vocational." But the academics have got to flow, as well. They're very important. And that's what we're asking for improvement in.

Commissioner Toulouse?

though, I think it is important to look at their improvement rates of their students; because when you -- any of these schools are taking people at such a low level that, yes, the overall score isn't going to be high. Not as a Commissioner, but as me as a person, I'm sick to death of data and not people. There's people out here. I'm much more interested in what's in each of those heads than what data is on the paper.

I understand, as a Commissioner, I have to look at the data. But I think that at least when



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we're doing discussions, we need to take into 1 account what's been put into each of those heads out 2 3 there; and they're here, as opposed to somewhere So I'm just saying -- this is just me 5 expressing some frustration, because we've had several of these schools in a row -- that when 6 you're starting with somebody who's virtually 8 illiterate, and you're bringing them up through time, you're not going to get them to a grade level 9 until maybe you graduate them, if you get them there 10 11 then. But at least they can read, and they can fill 12 out applications. 13 I can't do some applications anymore 14 because my vision is bad, and I get little teeny 15 print. But that doesn't mean I'm not educated. You 16 know, that's just an example of what I'm looking at. 17 So I will go with the group; but I think this group 18 is doing a great job with what they're doing, 19 because at least they're turning out people with skills. 20 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank

SANTA FE OFFICE
119 East Marcy, Suite 110
Santa Fe, NM 87501
(505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349

you.

22

23

24



Other comments? Other comments?

Hearing no further comments, the Chair

1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair?
2	THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Gant?
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I,
4	Commissioner Eugene Gant, move the PEC approve, with
5	conditions, the renewal of the charter school
6	application for ACE Leadership High School of
7	Albuquerque, pursuant to the Charter School Act,
8	Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12. The charter
9	is renewed for approval with the following
10	conditions:
11	A shortened contract term of three years;
12	Completion of an improvement plan specific
13	to the school;
14	And at least two annual monitoring visits
15	by Charter School Division.
16	THE CHAIR: Do I hear a second?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
19	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I heard
20	Commissioner Carr.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: We both said it at the
22	same time.
23	THE CHAIR: And Commissioner Peralta.
24	Motion by Commissioner Gant, seconded by
25	Commissioners Carr and Peralta, to approve the





renewal application of ACE Leadership High School, 1 with conditions and term as noted in the official 2 3 record. 4 Is there additional discussion? Commissioner Parker? 5 COMMISSIONER PARKER: 6 Madam Chair, 7 Commissioners, I would just like you guys to look at 8 this as an opportunity to resolve some of the 9 overshadowing that I was talking about, because, 10 like they said, you're doing some good stuff. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 12 Commissioner Carr? 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: I would also like to 14 add, yes, if I was only looking at the data, I might 15 not renew your contract at all. But, you know, I --16 looking at the whole thing, you know -- and that's 17 the way I always do -- I don't expect, in three 18 years, we're going to be turning you down. I expect 19 I'm going to see a huge improvement. And that's 20 what I expect; because I know you've got a lot of 21 good things going on. 22 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I'll second 24 that kind of thinking, also. I have told schools 25 when I have sat down and negotiated their



```
performance contracts that it would be a mistake for
 1
 2
     any school to put all their eggs in a grade report
 3
     basket. You need to have other things that this
     Commission can evaluate you over in the future.
 5
               So, yeah, we -- the grade report, at least
 6
     speaking for myself, personally, I look way beyond
 7
     the grade report when I make a decision "yay" or
     "nay." So it is important that schools understand
 8
     that. You want to have multiple bases for your next
 9
10
     renewal.
11
               Thank you, Madam Chair.
12
               THE CHAIR:
                           Thank you. Other comments?
13
               Hearing no others, Commissioner Bergman,
14
     may we have a roll-call vote?
15
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
     Toulouse?
16
17
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, but I'd
18
     rather be voting for five.
19
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:
                                      I can't write that
20
     on this little tiny box.
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No; but it will be
21
22
     in the record, Mr. Secretary.
23
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24
     Parker?
25
               COMMISSIONER PARKER:
```





1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Peralta?
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Shearman?
10	THE CHAIR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Bergman votes "yes."
13	Commissioner Pogna? I'm sorry.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I missed you.
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: That's all right.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, that
18	is an 8-to-0 vote in favor of the approval of this
19	application.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The application of
21	ACE Leadership High School is renewed for a period
22	of three years, with conditions as noted in the
23	record.
24	Thank you all very much. Congratulations.
25	Mr. Pahl, do you have a comment?





1	MR. PAHL: Yeah. Madam Chair, members of
2	the Commission, we've passed, as a condition of
3	approval, improvement plans for several schools.
4	And I'll throw out as a recommendation that instead
5	of asking for an improvement plan for next month,
6	that we ask improvement plans a month after their
7	negotiation has taken place. Ideally, these
8	improvement plans should be directly aligned with
9	the goals that have been established for a school.
10	And I feel that, as we go through the
11	process and we have a lot of them over this
12	over this spring that an improvement plan may be
13	more appropriate on a rolling basis, as they
14	complete their improvement plans. I just submit
15	that for your consideration as we move forward with
16	the schools that we have approved, with the
17	condition of having an improvement plan, and also
18	any in the future.
19	THE CHAIR: May I respond, and then any
20	others who wish to?

First of all, I -- we've told several schools it's due in January. I know that can be changed; but frankly, I would prefer to have a preliminary improvement plan in January --

MR. PAHL: Okay.



21

22

23

24



THE CHAIR: -- than to ask for -- when you
think about when a final improvement plan is due.

But some of these negotiations are several months in
the future. That's an awfully long time for a
school to know exactly what they're going to need to
do to improve.

So -- I don't know.

Commissioner Bergman, do you have a thought?

Mr. Pahl has made a valid point. But that's why
I've called it a two-stage thing. The first one is
just an initial -- give us an idea of what you're
thinking. So that's one, to get them on the road to
doing that improvement plan, just to give them some
kind of a deadline. That's my thing.

It's just an initial -- that's why I said real short; we don't need a dissertation on it. We just want them to show us that we -- that, one, that they're identifying the problems that we're trying to identify; and, two, that they at least, up here, have some initial idea of how they're going to address that.

And yes, as I've said that, then in the negotiation, we'll work on that much more extensive



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	improvement plan, and that will then become a part
2	of their performance. That just was my thinking,
3	just myself.
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. So we'll consider
5	that suggestion. If whenever you're ready on
6	this school, please?
7	MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	Madam Chair, members of the Commission,
9	Albuquerque Institute of Math and Science was
10	chartered by the State in 2009. The first year of
11	operation commenced in July of 2010. The school's
12	mission is is to prepare and provide an
13	extraordinary education to students in the
14	Albuquerque metropolitan area who are interested in
15	pursuing careers requiring advanced math, science,
16	and technology skills.
17	This is a STEM-based, dual credit,
18	secondary school with a strong connection to the
19	University of New Mexico community.
20	The Charter Division recommends a
21	five-year renewal term for sorry they are
22	colloquially called "AIMS," Albuquerque Institute of
23	Math and Science.
24	The Charter School Division recommends a



five-year renewal term for AIMS due to the following

factors:

The school has no record of material violations.

The school's three-year school grade average is an A. It has also received national accolades for its performance of its students.

Financial matters seem to be in good order, and the school has not violated any provision of the law.

The school has analyzed its progress and shortcomings and proposed future goals for community service and dual-credit involvement in S.M.A.R.T. format to ensure continued growth for the next charter term.

In my mind, the school's most encouraging result over the last three years is its increase in the performance of their lowest performing students. AIMS is a very data-driven school. This would be the blemish on an A report card for AIMS in the past. And we've seen an improvement over the past three years.

In the last three years, the performance of the lowest performing students has progressed from a letter grade of a D in 2012 to a letter grade of a B in 2013 and 2014.





Furthermore, the school has outscored, or equaled, their neighborhood high school, which would be Albuquerque High School, in all areas of the 2014 final school grade. That represents that AIMS is a good option for students who live in that community.

Furthermore, I'd just like to state that there's a unique goal that AIMS is -- is -- has written into their application around replication. This is something we haven't done here in the state. And I think it's commendable that AIMS wants to move down this path.

Replication has a lot of connotations with it. And I think sometimes we think, about schools, that we want to have 20 schools throughout the state, and via a big organization or some sort of corporation.

I don't think that's what AIMS is about. When we have an exceptional school in New Mexico, we'd love to see two of those schools instead of one; we'd love to serve 500 students instead of 250.

It's commendable that AIMS is going down this road instead of applying for new charters.

Other schools have done that in the past, and they've taken advantage of funding formula inequities as a result of applying for separate





charters, rather than trying to renew under the same charter.

There's been a lot of strife surrounding this, and I think that the fact that the school has submitted it as a goal is a -- is a turning point for us, as a state. And I look forward to seeing how -- what that proposed goal yields in the negotiations. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Before we get to the school, could I ask Mr. Pahl, that there's a sentence in this -- the brief history of the school's charter, which is on my Page 3, that I would ask that it be corrected?

Mine says, "The Albuquerque Institute for Mathematics and Science, a secondary school, was chartered by the State in 2005."

First of all, the State doesn't charter schools. They don't have the authority to do so.

The PEC is the State-chartering authority. But this school was not chartered by the State, by the PEC, in 2005. You are -- AIMS is currently an Albuquerque Public School charter school, are you not?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: No, ma'am. We were originally an APS charter. This current charter is





```
with the PEC.
 1
 2
               THE CHAIR: Okay. I had it just backwards
 3
     then.
 4
               But it's -- in 2005; I thought I heard you
     say "2009."
 5
                          That's correct. And the school
 6
               MR. PAHL:
 7
     can correct me if I'm wrong. But in 2005, it began
     as a charter within Albuquerque Public Schools, and
 8
     in 2009, transitioned to become a State-chartered
 9
     charter under the authorizer of the Public Education
10
11
     Commission.
12
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay. Can we just have that
     sentence corrected, please?
13
14
               MR. PAHL:
                         Absolutely. Thank you.
15
               THE CHAIR: It's not surprising at all
16
     that I'd ask that, is it?
17
               Good morning. Please introduce yourselves
18
     for the record, and you have five minutes.
19
               MS. SANDOVAL-SNIDER: Madam Chair, members
20
     of the Commission, I'm Kathy Sandoval-Snider,
     S-N-I-D-E-R. I am the director of the Albuquerque
21
22
     Institute for Math and Science at UNM.
                                              I also have
23
     with me three members of my board:
24
     Cabaniss, who is the president; Mr. Bob Walton,
25
     who's vice president; and Dr. Kathryn Watkins, who
```



is our secretary.

Additionally, I have my assistant principal, Bob Cordova, and my business manager, Joleen Jaramillo. And I'll let -- and I have an administrative intern who is here.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. My name is Daniel Ivey-Soto. I am general counsel to AIMS Charter School, and have been since -- since, I think, the last seven years, working with the school.

I -- in terms of our presentation, let me just say that as someone who has spent much of his career in education prior to going to -- into other areas, working with AIMS as a client in my law practice has been more of a partnership than a -- than a -- than a traditional relationship, because AIMS is -- is so driven in terms of excellence.

And, you know, there -- it is often said that children -- children can hit whatever bar we put -- we place in front of them, if we give them the tools to get there. And I think AIMS is a great example of where that can happen.

The biggest problem we have in terms of telling the story of AIMS is that people don't believe us when we tell them that we do a lottery of





any child who applies. They say it's not possible for us to have the scores that we have under those circumstances.

In fact, it is possible. And, in fact, when Dr. Sandoval-Snider first took over the school as principal, it was High-Tech High School, under APS' chartering authority. And the school frankly was in a bit of disarray.

Those students who were there at that time, you can see the trajectory of their scores, as well, of what happened.

Today, the Albuquerque Institute for Mathematics and Science, if New Mexico were still under No Child Left Behind, would be the only high school in the state to have made Adequate Yearly Progress last year, the only high school.

AIMS at UNM is, to my knowledge, the only school where 100 percent of its graduating class, for three years running, has gone on to four-year colleges. We have a number of our students who graduate with their associate's degree at the same time they get their high school diploma.

The lottery scholarship had to make a ruling a couple of years ago, as a result of AIMS, that the lottery scholarship is a four-year





scholarship, and that that includes a master's degree, if the student has progressed that far.

We are a National Blue Ribbon School.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Two-and-a-half minutes.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: We are a National Blue

Ribbon School, so recognized by the U.S. Department

of Education. We are on the list of the Best High

Schools in America, by the U.S. News and World

Report. The Washington Post has rated us the 46th

best high school in America, public or private.

What we do at AIMS -- we're a small school. We'd like to replicate and do the same thing elsewhere.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Two minutes.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Why are we interested in doing this? Frankly, the easier road for us would just be to sit in our little corner and keep doing what we're doing. But we think we're onto something at AIMS. We think there's something we are doing that, just as the conversations you've been having for the last day and a half with people about, "How can you do something better" -- we think we're onto something about how things can be done better.

And that takes study. And we are being



1

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
studied by the University of New Mexico. And it
 1
     also takes replication, to see if what we are is a
 2
 3
     happy accident, or if, in fact, we've discovered
 4
     something.
 5
               Thank you very much.
               COMMISSIONER GANT:
                                    You have a minute.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                    Don't give a
 8
     politician more time.
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: He's not here as a
 9
10
     politician. He's here as a "general counsel."
11
     please don't cross the line, sir.
12
               COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm sorry.
13
               MR. IVEY-SOTO: That's why I stopped
14
     talking.
15
               THE CHAIR: Do we have anyone in the
16
     audience who cares to speak?
17
               I see no one.
               So let's go to Commissioners' comments or
18
19
     questions.
               Commissioner Toulouse?
20
21
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
22
     don't want to become adversarial; but, Mr. Pahl, I
23
     think your remarks about replicating are not
24
     something this Commission can do.
25
               We need -- and this is where you need to
```



take off one hat and put on the other -- it needs to be a legislative change. It can't be something we can allow.

And there is a history behind why the Charter School Act is the way it is; so that would need to be changed and corrected. Because when they started -- my understanding, from Representative Miera -- is that there was a charter chain of for-profits who were ready to move in from Arizona. So the intent was to stop any chain of schools coming in from the outside and being able to take over school after school, with outside boards and whatever. The law hasn't changed as much as we would like it to.

So I have a problem of approving any goal that would make me break the law in doing that, rather than having the law changed to allow that to happen.

I have no problem at all with the quality of your education, your school. I know some students who graduated from there; I don't have, at all. But I, being a lawyer's daughter, among other things, don't want to put us in a position to break the law, which is what I think you were asking us to do, and I think they're asking us to do.



MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, if I may respond to that quickly?

THE CHAIR: Please do.

MR. PAHL: The Charter School Act does provide for replication within it. You're right, when it was written, it was safeguarding against privatized companies; and there is language in there that prohibits the private management of charter schools. But there is language that provides for replication in statute.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: The intent was to have local boards for each school; so that they were community schools. I mean, again, I had several extensive conversations with Representative Miera, who was one of the major ones who started this -- and with Senator Lopez, also, who was on the House side. And the intent was always -- and, again, I have no problem if the law gets changed. I don't want to be in a position to vote to do something that's also in court right now.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Madam Chair, if I may. A couple of things: First of all, the goal that we have of replication does not talk about location of the replication.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I realize that,





sir.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Please recognize that.

The second thing is that -- that it's -- you know, it's -- people oftentimes like to be selective when they draw a line in the sand.

Representative Miera is also very involved with

Gordon Bernell Charter School, that has a second campus in another county. So we need to be careful where we're comparing and make sure that we're being

consistent when we talk about this.

But the important thing about what you were getting to, Madam Chair and Commissioner

Toulouse, is that -- is that, very specifically,

the -- the -- what the law says, with regard to

multiple campuses, is it says, 'With the approval of

the chartering authority, a single charter school

may maintain separate facilities at two or more

locations, within the same school district." And

that's fine. Again, the goal doesn't say the

location.

But in terms of the ability to replicate and have two or more locations -- which, by the way, Gordon Bernell is in different districts -- but the ability to do that is provided for explicitly in the Charter School Act, to have more than one location



1 under the same board.

now we're all in court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

I understand that; you don't need to educate me. My problem has to do with, okay, once burned, twice shy. We granted a cap increase, when I was brand new. And then we're -- all of us are in trouble now, because that was interpreted to mean, because in a discussion, there were several UNM campuses discussed. And then that was run with, and

I would just like to make sure none of us end up in court again. And Gordon Bernell is not ours.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: I understand.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: It's an APS. I have no idea how it went around being replicated, because it didn't come to us.

I don't know if the other county where it's located approved it, you know. I have -- that isn't my problem. And I'm not trying to argue; I'm just bringing up a point that disturbs me, which is the only point I have on this whole thing.

I'm ready to give you a five-year, no, you know, conditions, charter renewal; but I do have a problem on dealing with that goal, specifically.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1	MR. IVEY-SOTO: And, Madam Chair,
2	Commissioner Toulouse, if you'll notice, we are
3	still only located within the boundaries of APS.
4	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Right. And I
5	would be very glad if you opened somewhere else
6	within APS.
7	MR. IVEY-SOTO: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: So that one I
9	was I wish you were over by CNM, as well as where
10	you are. So, again, that one isn't a problem. I
11	have no problem with two campuses, one board, in
12	that same district.
13	MR. IVEY-SOTO: And but to your
14	concern, I just want to make clear that as you
15	know, yes, there's a lawsuit pending right now. But
16	please note, we have not been so defiant as to go
17	ahead and locate out there.
18	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Uh-huh.
19	MR. IVEY-SOTO: We have I mean, we
20	understand that there are processes and procedures
21	that need to be followed, and we will follow those.
22	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair?
23	THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl?
24	MR. PAHL: Just one more thing about my
25	comments earlier and I think you're underlining



it right now, Commissioner Toulouse -- is this is an important conversation that we need to have, and that there are -- we need to move forward. And they're bringing it forward as a goal.

But there's a lot of conversation that needs to happen around this. So I appreciate the comments, and I think it further underlines what mine were initially, is that it's a conversation and an important one to have.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'm through with comments now. I will listen.

THE CHAIR: Let me just -- let me share this with all the Commissioners, because I don't think everybody knows this.

Commissioner Bergman and I and our attorney met with Senator Ivey-Soto and Mrs. Sandoval-Snider Tuesday afternoon at their request, just to have a conversation about, "Let's understand each other better and get to know each other better."

And when we got to the area of school replication, it became very clear, I think, to all of us that nobody knows what a replicated school looks like.





One of the things that was in

Ms. Sandoval-Snider's mind was to have a campus,
another school over here, over which she would be
the head administrator; and the same governance
council would be over that same school. That took
me aback, because that was not my thought about what
a replicated school would be, at all.

And I'll just ask our attorney. Can two schools have the same governance council? Is that even legal?

And he's looking for that right now.

So I think, as you have brought up,

Commissioner Toulouse, this goal of AIMS school to

replicate their school is one that, at this point in

time, I'm not at all comfortable with agreeing to,

because I don't know what a replicated school looks

like. I'm not sure legally, we know what a

replicated school looks like.

We are having a work session in January.

And I personally invited Senator Ivey-Soto and

Mrs. Sandoval-Snider to come to that work session,

and let's talk about what a replicated school looks

like. And then at that point, perhaps, we can make

a recommendation to the PED. Because, remember, we

have no rule-making authority; but perhaps PED would



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

take our recommendation of what a replicated school looks like and perhaps come up with a rule that would facilitate it.

But right now, we're dealing with uncharted territory. And I would not be able to support this, to -- to authorize replication of -- for them going forward with replication when we don't know what it is. We don't know what it looks like.

So -- Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Thank you, Madam Chair. Just a point of clarification here. Is today's vote on any of -- on any of the goals? I know -- I opened this can of worms, I think. But, you know, today is about authorization, in my head. And Josh, and the Chair, you know -- and I think it's worthwhile to discuss the goals that are on the table.

But I just want to make clear what we are voting on today and what happens at another time.

THE CHAIR: I -- my point in bringing this up is simply when we do vote on this application for renewal, that if we cannot support a goal that they are proposing for the future, that we need to say so.

MR. PAHL: Okay.





THE CHAIR: Because tacit approval could be our just approving the entire application, as presented; and I don't want to get into that situation.

MR. PAHL: Thank you for that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: In fact, I'm going to take that a step further, because I also have reservations about that, also, because as a non-attorney, I view just the wording there, that we're -- if I vote to approve this application, I'm giving you blanket approval to replicate anywhere you want to go, just to do it.

That's all. I just want to put on the record, if I vote to approve your application -- and I'm leaning that way; you're an excellent school -- if that wasn't in there, we wouldn't even be having this conversation. I want it strictly in the record that "Commissioner Bergman said," and if our attorney agrees with what I'm about to say he may jump in, and I may retract it -- but I firmly believe that, as Senator Ivey-Soto said, that all the laws need to be followed, that -- because I'm really in favor of the good schools replicating



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I agree with the Chair. We need to define perhaps what a replicated school is. But my vote for approval will include the, at least, thought that you, as the school, will follow all the legal aspects -- and sometimes people disagree on that -- but that one of those legal aspects would be that you would come to this Commission seeking approval over any replication in advance of your actually doing that.

That's why I said what I said about our attorney. Maybe he says I can't say that. That's what I say personally, and I'm saying that personally, as much as I'm speaking as a Commissioner.

But I wanted to put that on the record,

Madam Chair. And I'll give our attorney a chance,

if I'm out of line, and I'll withdraw the comment.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And, Madam Chair, before he weighs in, just a couple of things:

First of all, going back to an early comment from Commissioner Toulouse, while I do appreciate the -- the courtesy and respect to my office, I am here as the attorney for the school and not in my legislative capacity. And, thus, it is



1	probably appropriate to address me as
2	"Mr. Ivey-Soto" as opposed to "Senator Ivey-Soto."
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: All right. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. IVEY-SOTO: And for that matter, while
6	we're out in the hallway, "Daniel" is just fine.
7	Actually, I will tell you, Commissioner,
8	that that very part of the Charter School Act that I
9	cited to Commissioner Toulouse starts with the
L O	phrase, "With the approval of the chartering
L1	authority" So replication has to happen in the
L 2	context of approval from the chartering authority.
L 3	Thank you.
L 4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Josh?
L 5	MR. GRANATA: Sure. Madam Chair,
L 6	Commissioner Bergman, I just want to comment briefly
L 7	on what Commissioner Bergman has brought forward.
L 8	And the language that he used regarding the school
L 9	following the letter of the law, while the
20	Commission may disagree with the school as to
21	whether or not they've done that, I think, from the
22	school's perspective, they have followed the law in
23	terms of obtaining the waiver.
2 4	I think that there is a dispute between
5	this Commission and the school regarding the



substance of the waiver and whether or not that was legal.

But from the school's perspective, I believe that they believe that they have followed the law; so I think that any conditions that the Commission would like to add to the renewal of the charter should not reflect that the school follows the law, because from their perspective, they have been following the law.

So if there are any conditions, that they should be specific, such as that the school does come before the Commission before any replication, as just mentioned by Mr. Ivey-Soto.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you, Mr. Granata.

Now I will ask you -- that was not my

intent to say that you weren't following the law.

Sometimes we -- that -- something comes one way, and it comes out another way.

If you would rather I retract my statement, as I've already stated twice, I would be happy to retract that statement off the record.

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner
Bergman, I don't believe you need to retract your
statement. I was just adding my legal analysis, for



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24



clarity's sake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And, Commissioner Bergman, once again, I would note that to the extent that there is a question about that and that this is in court, please note that we have not proceeded to move, because we -- while -- while there may be a question about it, there is one person who can give a definitive answer; and that's a judge. And it's in front of a judge.

And until we have a definitive answer, we're not going to go based on, 'Well, this is how we see it. We don't care how they see it."

There is a process; we're going to follow that process, and because -- because -- I mean, that's how things are supposed to be done correctly. And that's why we have judges.

I don't know how that is going to resolve itself. I have no idea. But I will tell you, we will -- we will follow what the judge says. And in the meantime, we are not going to take an action that, later on, we might have to create disruption in children's education as a result of what a judge may decide.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that, also. And you're exactly right. The children are



1 what is important, not us adults. 2 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Exactly right. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. 4 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Carr? 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, I --6 7 members of the Commission, I have -- you know, I -my concern has always been never with AIMS, and has 8 9 been, like many other members of the Commission, the 10 fear of somebody coming in and just, carte blanche, 11 going through, and that we set some precedent. 12 I don't think we're going to do that. 13 that is something I would like -- a fear I'd like to 14 state for the record. You know, to me, a replicated 15 school is something that the charter association --16 at the leadership conference that I attended, they 17 had a whole seminar on replication, and some chartering authorities actually promote. 18 19 It's not our scope here in New Mexico. 20 But some chartering authorities in other parts of the country actually promote that type of thing. 21 22 Santa Fe Connections Academy is a -- is 23 technically a replicated school. They are a 24 completely separate school under the law, as they



have been approved. We didn't approve them.

25

But as

they've been approved.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's the kind of thing that I have a great fear of, a McDonald's coming in and -- type of a -- you know. And it's fine if they serve great food and all that type of thing. But that's, you know, just a big worry of mine.

And in terms of accepting your application and approving your application today, I think, also, we -- in the law -- and Mr. Granata can correct me if I'm wrong -- if by error or omission, we do something that's in violation of the law, well, we can't do that. That would have to be withdrawn.

We want to make every effort not to do that. But sometimes we do things that are in violation of the law, because we're not all attorneys. And even as an attorney, you know that if you have three attorneys in the room, they typically don't agree.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: You have four opinions.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Which is why we need a judge, as you said.

So I'm more than comfortable accepting your application as it stands for the full five years. You know, you're the type of school that I'm not afraid of. You're the type of school that needs



to be replicated, but one at a time, in districts, you know -- I don't know -- within districts, fine, you know.

But, again, everything -- we have to approve everything you do anyway. So I'm not worried about you just going out there, carte blanche, and doing whatever you want. So I don't -- I don't believe you're going to do that. So enough said.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Parker?

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair,

Commissioners, Matt's comment said that adding this as part of your goals is new and innovative. I'm not sure if that's the right language. But it's not typical. It's not something that we've seen in any other renewal applications. And it's different than the other goals that you've listed in here.

Can I just ask why you've included that in there? I mean, do you have a specific intent of having that goal as part of your renewal application? And if you've already answered it in a different answer, I apologize for re-asking it.

But...

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Not a problem,

Commissioner Parker. The reason why we placed that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in there is because having -- having gotten to the top of a mountain and having decided we just don't want to stay on that one mountain, we want to -- we want to -- like I said, we want to see, are we onto something, is this something that others can learn from, we want to make that -- we want to make that one of the elements for which we are accountable for over these next five years, the fact that we are -- regardless of where the location is, the fact that we are holding ourselves accountable for not just sitting on our laurels, but for doing, frankly, the really hard work of -- of seeing if we are as good as we think we are. That's why.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, Commissioners.

It just seems likes it's an odd situation, where -- and I don't think this would happen because of the caliber of education that your kids are getting. But it presents an opportunity for something to come up as, "Well, you are not getting renewed because you did not meet this goal."

"Well, we did not meet this goal because you did not allow us to replicate."

So it's kind of a Catch-22 thing on there.

And I'm just curious if, you know, you're at the top



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 of the mountain, you want to replicate, you know, it would be great if the successes that you were having 2 3 was -- you know, everyone was having successes of a similar nature; but it seems like you can accomplish 5 that without it being, as part of it -- I'm not trying to tell you how to do things at your school. 7 But it seems like you could do that without it being as one of your goals. But I was just curious as to 8 why you specifically put that in there. 9 THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl? 10 11 I realize this is a time for MR. PAHL: 12 Commissioners to ask questions. But Commissioner 13 Parker's last question brought one up for me. 14 THE CHAIR: He's pretty good at that. 15

MR. PAHL: And that is, we have the administrator here and the counsel for the school. That board -- I mean, I guess I'm wondering about the governing council and their thoughts about replication. I mean, is this something that is coming from -- I don't want to say the two of you -- but the representatives that we have right now before the school?

I guess I'm wondering what the involvement of the governing council is in replication. Is this something you guys talk about -- yeah.



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	MR. IVEY-SOTO: Madam Chair, Mr. Pahl, we
2	actually have the chair of our governing council,
3	who is also the chair of the chemistry department at
4	UNM. We have the vice chair of our governing
5	council, who is also here, who works at Albuquerque
6	Economic Development. And we have the secretary of
7	our governing council who is a professor in the
8	department of education at UNM.
9	And so if there is any question about the
10	impetus or the consensus
11	DR. CABANISS: Madam Chair, I'm Steve
12	Cabaniss.
13	THE CHAIR: Please identify yourself for
14	the record. Come on down and use the microphone, if
15	you wouldn't mind.
16	DR. CABANISS: Madam Chair, I'm Steve
17	Cabaniss, chair of chemistry and chemical biology,
18	and also president of the governing board. And yes,
19	this is something the board feels strongly about.
20	As a chemist as an experimentalist, the way I
21	view our duty is a little bit different in some
22	respects than Kathy's obligation.
23	I understand as a teacher, as a principal,
24	as a parent, people are concerned about getting the
25	best education for their children as possible. And



if they are doing that job well, then I think a teacher's obligation, you know, has been fulfilled.

As a board, we have to look out for the interests not just of the school, but for the state of New Mexico.

We believe that one of the reasons for having charter schools is to raise not just the educational level for these 350 kids, but the educational level throughout the state by experimentation.

Kathy's implemented a number of innovative practices, some of which I think have been quite successful. We have not, however, been especially successful in getting other schools to adopt them.

And one of the issues that comes up precisely how Daniel concluded his initial comments, is people ask, "Is this just a happy accident? You're close to the university; you've got a really good principal; you lucked into some good faculty at the very beginning. What makes you think that those practices, whether they're innovative or just well-carried-out, are responsible for the extraordinary results?"

And we feel like, without some kind of replication -- and I don't want to go into legal



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	matters here, because I'm a chemist and not a
2	lawyer but some kind of replication is going to
3	be necessary to show that it's the practices
4	themselves, we hope. We hypothesize that it is the
5	practices themselves that can improve education.
6	And once we do that, I hope that we will see them
7	replicated as practices, not as separate schools, at
8	other locations throughout the state.
9	MR. PAHL: Thank you.
10	DR. CABANISS: Thank you.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Appreciate that.
12	Any other comments?
13	Commissioner Gant?
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,
15	we've had a lot of discussion about what the statute
16	says for the Charter School Act, et cetera. And
17	there's a lot of discussion whether we should keep
18	the replication part of the mission in there or not,
19	as a goal.
20	If the Commission wants to consider it,
21	here's a couple of conditions that I would agree to.
22	And I would only agree to approve the application if
23	something similar is in there.
24	These are my thoughts of my own
25	conditions:



The AIMS leadership must come before the Public Education Commission prior to taking any action to replicate the current school in a different location.

2. AIMS leadership must take all actions necessary to satisfy the Public School Facilities

Authority statutory requirements prior to replication of any -- of their charter school in any location within the state.

THE CHAIR: And Commissioners, while you're considering, or thinking about those -- that information that Commissioner Gant put out, I just want to ask the school one other question. And it is not about replication.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Amen.

THE CHAIR: In the section responding to the school report card, you indicate that your graduation rate was reduced, because you had -- due to seniors being recruited and admitted by a university if they would earn their GED, versus continuing on with their cohort to graduate.

And we've had -- as a matter of fact, there was another school that was in this same situation, and we had this discussion earlier. We also had a person from a school say that they really



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discourage this, because a GED is apparently not as valued in the marketplace, or the workplace.

I just ask if this is still going on in your school; and, if so, how you're handling it, encouraging your kids to really just graduate with their diploma.

MS. SANDOVAL-SNIDER: Madam Chair,

Commissioners, thank you for the question, because

it's an important one, and it's an important

discussion we need to have.

I think the GED, Graduate Equivalency
Diploma, is a misnomer, and I think it leads some
children down the garden path. We do not have that
happening currently, mainly because my counseling
staff and my teaching staff work with parents and
children right away to kind of discuss this
enticement.

The children, in particular, came from a class, had a very small class. I had 13 graduates that year. Three of them went directly from -- during the middle of their senior semester, directly from my school into another college, a very good college.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Tech.

MS. SANDOVAL-SNIDER: I didn't want to say





1 that.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And immediately, I got dinged because they were considered to be a dropout, even though they were going on and are still in a four-year college. And we continue to have that impact as we finish up our three years with that class.

I think probably our intervention has been -- I think it's been a way of us intervening in a way that isn't negative to the college that came in and wanted our kids. I think that's appropriate. I like that they want our kids. I just didn't want them to like our kids so early.

THE CHAIR: Thank for you that response.

That's about what I thought it was going to be; but

I just wanted to get it on the record.

Is there any further discussion?

Commissioner Gant, are you prepared to make the motion with conditions?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Madam Chair, if I may, before he makes a motion? And I don't --

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: If I may, if it's

Commissioner Gant who's going to make the motion, if

I may, with regard to the conditions that he

suggested, first of all, the second condition, that

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1 I mean, you don't need to put that as a 2 condition. PSFA has to approve. And in fact, every 3 facility we've looked at, PSFA has approved. Second of all, with regard to the first 4 one -- and I don't mean to be adversarial -- but 5 6 that's unacceptable to us. THE CHAIR: I'm sorry? 8 MR. IVEY-SOTO: It is unacceptable to AIMS 9 to have that condition placed upon it for a renewed 10 charter. 11 Would you explain why? THE CHAIR: 12 I'm just being dense. 13 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Yeah, I will explain why. 14 Because AIMS at UNM has, first of all, 15 done some really incredible academic things. As I 16 expressed during our meeting on Tuesday, there are 17 days that we would just prefer that people say, 18 "Thank you." 19 We have not violated the law; and where 20 there's been a question about it, we have shown restraint. We have been -- we have -- we have done 21 22 what has been asked of us, and we have gone through 23 every process that has been asked of us. 24 And I want to point out that over the last



six or eight months, that there have been questions

regarding the authority to move out to Rio Rancho, 1 this Commission has not asked us to do anything. 2 3 We've never refused to do anything that's been asked So to have a condition placed on this school 5 that is the equivalent of being told, "You've been bad," is offensive, and it is unacceptable. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Let me just ask for 8 clarification. Did I not hear you say earlier, "We 9 would not replicate until we had the permission of 10 this -- of our authorizer that is required by law"? 11 Did I hear you say that? 12 You did. MR. IVEY-SOTO: 13 THE CHAIR: So, really, the condition is 14 really just stating what's already in law. 15 MR. IVEY-SOTO: Then you don't need to 16 state it. I'm sorry? 17 THE CHAIR: MR. IVEY-SOTO: You do not need to state 18 19 If you're merely restating the law, you did not 20 need to restate it. Otherwise, you might as well put in law that we will educate children. 21 I mean, 22 you might as well put as a condition we will educate 23 children. You might as well put in there that we 24 will provide school lunch. You might as well put in



there that we will provide an IEP for children who

have -- if you're just simply going to restate the law, then please restate every law.

THE CHAIR: Okay. First of all, I was simply asking if I heard you correctly. So, okay -- well, that's been clarified.

Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: I had concerns that we're asking the school to do something that we don't ask -- that we don't ask other schools to do.

I'm not sure we're not doing something that's improper. And I tend -- I agree with Mr. Ivey-Soto. I don't -- I don't believe it's -- I understand why Commissioner Gant wants to do it, because of the concerns and everything; I totally understand that.

But I think we're going beyond the scope of what we normally do. And we're not doing that for every other school; because any school out there could think that, Well, we want to -- we might possibly want to replicate if we're doing a great job, you know. That's -- that's normal. So I really don't think we should put those conditions in there.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I





think my concerns still are, it's in the application as a goal. If it wasn't there and would come up in negotiation or later, again, to me, that's the place for it, and not here, where somebody else could read it as if we'd approved something that's only in theory here.

I just -- you know, they're the only school who's ever asked us to put this kind of goal in; so we couldn't have possibly dealt with it otherwise.

I don't want to have us have the kind of disagreement this is breaking down to. We're still a body that does have to make decisions, as a group. We have to do the best of our ability to do that. And I'm just concerned that this is the first time we've faced a charter reapplication with a goal like this in it, and we need to tread carefully.

And I would like to know what our attorney thinks.

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Toulouse, Commissioners, regarding the conditions

Commissioner Gant spoke of, it's my understanding

that it's the Commission's belief that the amendment

regarding moving to a facility in Rio Rancho has not

been approved by the Commission. So if this --



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIR: Are you talking about replication now, the future goal that's in this -
MR. GRANATA: Let me address this first, and then I'll get to that secondly.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

MR. GRANATA: So legally, the school is obligated to come before the Commission and request permission, certainly, to move to Rio Rancho, is my understanding of what this Commission's belief is.

And so in the meeting that we had with the school between Commissioner Bergman, the Chair, and myself, I stated to the Commissioners that if the school were to do that without the permission of the PEC, it would essentially be a violation of their charter, and the Commission, at that point, could consider that a material violation and take the steps that they would take. Obviously, those steps are up to the Commission.

So if the school intends to move to
Rio Rancho, they're going to have to come before the
Commission, is basically the bottom line, as far as
I understand the law.

And I think that there may be a way to address the idea of replication in terms of a condition, perhaps, that prior to replication, as





Mr. Ivey-Soto indicated, that the parties will meet and decide what this replication will be. I think that the Commission is not going to be allowed to authorize a school to replicate itself in a way that's not in accordance with the law.

And so I think that the parties will try to work towards trying to resolve these issues in the future. They've been invited to the next work session to discuss what this replication means. And so I think there may be a way to address the condition in terms of an understanding between the parties that replication will be addressed in the future, if that satisfies the Commission.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: I'd just like to ask

Mr. Granata a question; and that is, it seems that the two conditions are -- and I think, Madam Chair, you brought it up about the first one -- that they must go to the authorizer to gain approval for having a second site. It seems that both of those are parts of the Charter School Act right now.

And I'm just kind of -- I'm thinking about what Commissioner Bergman had previously asked about, you know, having a condition in there that --





or I don't know that you proposed it as a condition, Commissioner Bergman -- but something on the record that says that they will follow all aspects of the law. And you said that wasn't needed.

So I guess I'm wondering why -- and I don't know that we got the question answered of whether those conditions are appropriate or not; but I guess I'm just trying to -- I'm having a hard time reconciling the comment made regarding Commissioner Bergman's request to have that on the record, versus two specific pieces of statute being mentioned, that those be conditions.

So it just seems to me that if it's law -if we say someone needs to follow the law, but we
didn't say that for everybody, does it mean that
everybody else doesn't have to follow the law?

I don't know. If I can get some clarification, Mr. Granata, I'd appreciate it.

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Matt -- Matt Pahl -- I'll try to do my best.

I guess when I was speaking to

Commissioner Bergman's comments, I was just trying

to make it clear that if you -- if you're asking the
school to follow the law, that from their

perspective, they have, and that if you put a

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



condition on that they must follow the law, that they're already meeting that condition.

The issue is really about the move to Rio Rancho. And so that's why I was trying to address the comments in that regard. So I'm not sure if I can make myself any more clear than what I've said.

MR. PAHL: If I can just ask a follow-up question to that, is the re- -- and we have two lawyers in here, and they probably know, and they're okay. But, I mean, if this is about the Rio Rancho decision, is that not in court right now? And, I mean, should we be making decisions on something that is technically, from what I understand -- and I'm not in the courtroom -- but that has not been decided as to what will happen there?

I hear it a lot from lawyers, that we can't talk about it, because we're in the middle of litigation. So I just want to bring that up. I want to make sure we're careful about what we're doing here and that we're moving forward.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Again, I think

25 this is about replication. But I don't think we're



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

talking Rio Rancho here at all. UNM has lots of other campuses. They have Taos; they have Gallup; they have Valencia. You know, you could go all over the state.

And I had other concerns that did not have anything to do with the law, about one person handling a far-flung thing. That isn't what we're talking about. I'm talking about replication isn't something we've done before, and it isn't something that's specifically clear in any of the law.

But I also would like to ask, if anything came up later about what we were approving, if we didn't put the conditions on it but said they were all understood, would this discussion here, in the meeting, stand as indicating what all of us were about, so we could refer to the transcript if there was any question on what was happening, so that we could have kind of a compromise with the situation here?

MR. IVEY-SOTO: And Madam Chair, if I may, first of all, believe me. People go back and read these transcripts.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I understand that.

Our Chair wanted -- and our stenographer here will

tell you how well she reads them.





MR. IVEY-SOTO: Yes. The -- you know,

it's -- I mean, I think from the discussion that -
that has been held today, the position of the

Commission is very clear in terms of the sentiment.

And, you know -- and I don't think there's any

question about that.

And so I think the issue is the extent to which it's appropriate to put a condition upon the reauthorization. The condition upon the reauthorization basically says, "You are not approved, but for your agreeing to do this."

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, Commissioners.

And that's why I'm saying if we don't put a condition on, but we are assuming that we all are in the same position on this, if something came up, and we had to look at it in a legal standpoint, and you said, "Well, you didn't put a condition on," this transcript would show that we all had an agreement here on what we were discussing.

MR. IVEY-SOTO: Madam Chair, I think it certainly would indicate where the head of the Commission was as this decision was being made, the collective mind.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I was trying to



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



find a compromise here for all of us, because my 1 2 diabetes is saying I need to eat lunch, and we have 3 to see some other people at 1:00 -- so I would like. 4 THE CHAIR: Like to bring this to 5 resolution. COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman. 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We could spend the 9 rest of the day going around in circles like this. 10 My personal preference in this particular case is we 11 not have conditions. I understand where they're 12 coming from; but my preference is that we just 13 approve or deny. 14 THE CHAIR: But with the understanding 15 that the law says any replication must come before 16 the authorizers. And I think that is what Commissioner 17 18 Toulouse is asking. Are we all in agreement that 19 that is what we're talking about, that it says so on 20 the record, and we don't need a condition that says 21 that, because it's already the law? 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's my 23 understanding; because that's what I've heard them 24 say. They will come to us before they do anything.



MR. IVEY-SOTO: Madam Chair, we affirm the

1	clear language in 22-8B-4L, that begins, "With the
2	approval of the chartering authority, a single
3	school may maintain separate facilities,"
4	et cetera.
5	THE CHAIR: Okay. If we have discussed
6	this to death, let's have a motion, if someone is
7	ready.
8	Commissioner Toulouse?
9	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I would make the
10	motion.
11	I, Commissioner Toulouse, move that the
12	PEC approve the renewal of the charter school
13	application for the Albuquerque Institute for
14	Mathematics and Science, also known as "AIMS," for a
15	five-year period, with no conditions, pursuant to
16	the Charter School Act, Section 22-8B-6 and Section
17	22-8B-12.
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
19	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Do we
20	have a second?
21	Commission Pogna seconds.
22	Is there any discussion? The motion has
23	been made by Commissioner Toulouse, seconded by
24	Commissioner Pogna, that we approve the Albuquerque
25	Institute of Math and Science's renewal application,



1	five years, with no conditions.
2	Commissioner Bergman, may we have a
3	roll-call vote, please?
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
5	Parker?
6	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Peralta?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Toulouse?
16	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Shearman?
21	THE CHAIR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
23	Bergman votes "yes."
24	Madam Chair, that is an 8-to-0 vote in
25	favor of that motion.





1 THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes 2 unanimously to approve the renewal application of 3 AIMS, five years, no conditions. 4 Congratulations. Look forward to working 5 with you. Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 MR. IVEY-SOTO: 7 Thank you, members of the Commission. We do look 8 forward to coming back in January for the discussion. 9 10 THE CHAIR: We're going to ask CSD -- once 11 we kind of get the agenda set up for that work 12 session, we'll let you know about what time we'll be 13 coming to that particular item, so that you don't 14 have to spend the whole day with us, unless you just 15 want to. 16 MR. IVEY-SOTO: We enjoy it. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 18 Audience, let me remind everyone, at 1:00, 19 we will start with the Southwest schools, the three 20 Southwest schools, and then continue with our agenda after that. I announced this earlier. Due to a 21 22 death in the family of their attorney, we have moved 23 them up to 1:00 to accommodate their situation. 24 (A recess was taken at 12:32 p.m., and



reconvened at 1:04 p.m., as follows:)

THE CHAIR: If everybody is ready, I call 1 this meeting back into session. 2 3 Mr. Pahl, whenever you're ready, we're 4 starting with Southwest Intermediate Learning 5 Center. MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, sorry for the delay. 7 8 Southwest Intermediate Learning Center serves grades seventh through eighth, through a 9 "blended learning" model. 10 11 The school is housed alongside Southwest 12 Primary and Secondary, allowing students to move --13 to move to different portions of the building and 14 still be treated by the same curriculum. 15 The mission of Southwest Intermediate 16 Learning Center is to utilize blended learning, 17 traditional and community-based instruction, in an accelerated academic curriculum to sustain a 18 19 high-performing learning community.

The Charter Schools Division, at the PED, recommends a three-year renewal term for Southwest Intermediate Learning Center. Financial difficulties at the school are the primary reason for a three-year term. The Federal Bureau of Investigation recently began investigating the



20

21

22

23

24

school, and the PED has taken over as the school's board of finance and is also conducting a forensic audit. Results from the investigation and the PED's forensic audit are not yet known.

These recent events present considerable risk to the appropriate use of public school funding, and any conditions of the three-year term should focus on the school's financial difficulties.

The school has a history of success with students; so it's -- there's a balance here between some significant financial difficulties and risks, and how the school has been serving students in the past.

But with that said, a three-year renewal, with conditions that include an improvement plan focused on those financial elements, as well as increased oversight from the PED from the financial end, we think are appropriate conditions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Gentlemen, welcome. We would ask that you introduce yourselves, and you have five minutes to make whatever presentation you care to.

MR. BAYSINGER: My name is Al Baysinger. I'm the interim head administrator.

MR. SPINELLI: My name is Bobby Spinelli.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1 I'm the chief financial officer.

2 MR. BAKER: My name is Mark Baker. And,

3 | again, thank you for the accommodation on

4 | scheduling. I represent the schools.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

6 MR. BAYSINGER: Madam Chair and

7 | Commissioners, thank you for the opportunity to

8 address you concerning the renewal of the Southwest

9 Intermediate Learning Center.

The Southwest Intermediate Learning Center is a unique middle school that provides a quality

12 program that truly addresses the developmental

13 | levels of seventh- and eighth-graders through

14 personalizing community-based instruction, a blended

15 | learning model of traditional and online courses,

16 | Spanish, physical education, and a high-tech SMART

17 | lab program.

5

10

11

24

18 Southwest Intermediate uses technology as

19 a tool for teaching, learning, and assessment.

20 | Technology is integrated into the curriculum with

21 | traditional classroom instruction to accelerate

22 | learning and provide all students with a

23 | well-rounded education. Emphasis is on personal

responsibility and academics and behavior to ensure

25 | success in high school and post-secondary programs.





The intent and design of the school is flexible enough to allow the teachers to implement a wide range of teaching techniques to meet the educational needs of the individual student, while meeting the accountability and performance standards of the State of New Mexico.

The faculty has embraced the new

Common Core standards and is earnestly preparing for
the introduction of the PARCC test this spring. The
teachers are active participants in the NMTEACH
educator effectiveness system, and the faculty does
administration work hand-in-hand to ensure
continuous school improvement.

Southwest Intermediate's most recent school grade of C is not indicative of this effort and was explained by the Charter School Division's final recommendation this way:

The school results are a three-year average of B. The school maintained an A for the first two years and received a C last school year. The school continues to maintain high levels of student proficiency and recognizes that, in certain report card measures, they are penalized because of their 90th percentile ranking.

Southwest Intermediate Learning Center has



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

been a high-performing school since its inception, and this past January, was recognized by Advanced Ed for achieving and maintaining high achievement scorings. The Advanced Ed team concluded their evaluation with the following:

"Let there be no doubt that if the State had many more schools like Southwest Intermediate Learning Center, at least some of its educational concerns would be addressed, and New Mexico would significantly rise in the nationwide achievement rankings of public schools. After a thorough review of the accreditation report, the evidence provided by the school, and the results of the extensive visits to classrooms, the external review team has recommended to the Advanced Ed accreditation commission to grant Southwest Intermediate a five-year term of accreditation."

I will now address the issues that have come up in the wake of the State audit.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Two minutes.

MR. BAYSINGER: Address the issues in the wake of the State Auditor's risk review of the Southwest Secondary and SAMS Academy, and the PED's assumption of control of the four boards of finance.

I would like to reiterate that Southwest



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Intermediate and Southwest Primary are not the focus 1 2 of the State Auditor's risk review. 3 established positive work relationships with the 4 PED, FBI, and the State Auditor's Office. 5 governing councils have remedied a problematic business practice, in which the head administrator 6 also functioned as the chief financial officer. councils have hired a CFO and have segregated 8 financial responsibilities. 9

The council has also begun a comprehensive process of policy and financial oversight review to ensure the schools follow best practices into the future.

The councils have received targeted training on fraud detection, school financial, IPRA guidelines, the Open Meetings Act, and the Governmental Conduct Act.

COMMISSIONER GANT: One minute.

MR. BAYSINGER: The councils have implemented policies regarding board selection, financial and audit committees, quarterly meetings, and council structure. The school's financial office has implemented procedures regarding procurement, accounts payable, and internal controls, and strict adherence to State statutes.



10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The business office works hand-in-hand on a daily business with the PED's oversight of our finances.

Finally, we would like to acknowledge publically the outstanding job our faculty, staff, and students have done so far this year. While we have tried to minimize the impact of the distraction to our school our school has faced, it is a credit to our parents, who are open and willing, and continue to support and believe in our philosophy and methodology. We recognize that progress takes time and that we may yet learn more about the past that requires additional course correction, and that a lot of work still needs to be done.

Our entire school community has demonstrated significant stability. This is evidenced by minimal faculty turnover and student attribution. We hope the decision on renewal will focus on our academic accomplishments, community support, and performance under difficult circumstances.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that presentation.

We have five minutes set aside for anyone in the audience who might like to speak.





1	Seeing no one, we'll go to Commissioners'
2	questions and comments.
3	Commissioners?
4	Commissioner Carr?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Is it possible that
6	there are no students or anybody here in the
7	audience to speak? Because we changed the time,
8	or
9	MR. BAYSINGER: There could be. It was
LO	short notice when we found out yesterday. And we
L 1	did not organize a group of students to come up.
L 2	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, may I
L 3	interrupt you? I was supposed to say something, and
L 4	I forgot.
L 5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, sure.
L 6	THE CHAIR: I've been asked by several
L 7	Commissioners to assure the school and whomever
L 8	might be here that the decision made by the PEC
L 9	today will not have anything to do with the
20	financial difficulties of the school at this point.
21	Until those reports and those investigations are
22	completed, this Commission has no data to work from.
23	So that's not part of our consideration
2 4	today. And I've been asked to make that statement
25	by several Commissioners.



Please excuse the interruption. Go ahead.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Carr, if I could follow up on that? I believe that

the parental support came back somewhere in the

90-plus percent. And I believe that data was

6 submitted to the Commission in the renewal

documents.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whether it was right or wrong, with things shuffling around, I didn't want to try to cart a boatload of kids up to fill the room. But if you visit the school and talk to the kids, I think that the Charter School Division got a -- had some feedback regarding that in the reports. And it is not a showing of flagging support for the schools that the room is not full as we sit here right now.

COMMISSIONER CARR: As an -- we've actually held a meeting in your school. It's been some years back. But, you know, I had -- most of us here, I think, have been to your school.

The -- I have a concern with the grades.

I notice you started out -- I note you started out strong, but you're on a strong downward trend right now. And what's your explanation for that?

MR. BAYSINGER: Well, I believe the downward trend was the C being last year? We have





1	an average of B for the last three years. And in
2	the document, I think we make reference to a
3	changing population each year. I don't know to what
4	degree exactly the misalignment in the standardized
5	testing, how that affected the other schools; we
6	felt it affected ours, as we actively engage in
7	teaching switching over to the Common Core.
8	Our students perform well very well in
9	comparison to our surrounding schools. And I would
10	attribute much of it to it's the growth model,
11	that I think if you look at our proficient
12	advanced students, we're probably 20 percent higher
13	than average. And I believe that a lot of it is
14	attributed to the fact that we our expected
15	growth was not, and we were penalized for that.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: Based on what
17	Madam Chair said, in regards to finance, it's the
18	opinion of Mr. Granata that we not address that?
19	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair,
20	Commissioner Carr, that wasn't my opinion. That was
21	the Chair's statement.
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, okay.
23	THE CHAIR: That was that was a request
2 4	from some Commissioners.
2.5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh. okay. Okay.



COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, along those lines, since they aren't responsible for their own finances at the moment, it would have been somewhat helpful from PED here who is overseeing their finances to tell us what it looks like.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Toulouse, what I would propose to do on that front is talk to you about what the perception is from working with the schools, but have Mr. Spinelli tell you how things are running day-to-day. And I think spending money without PED involvement. that that is a good thing. And I believe I said that the last time I was in front of the Commission. Because it's an opportunity for the schools to have a good break from what happened that led us to where we are right now, and make it clear to everyone, before PED removes itself from the process, that everything is under control and that everything is accounted for.

And I think that that substantial oversight, on a day-in and day-out basis, certainly adds a layer of strain on the business office in the day-in and day-out work that the folks are doing in the schools. But I think everyone recognizes that it's toward an important end goal, that once PED



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

transitions out, it's not going to be until they're clear everything is in place to avoid future problems that are akin to what we're looking into now and sorting out.

I think Mr. Spinelli can describe how that functions day-in and day-out. It is, by definition, cumbersome. It's a burden on the PED; it's a burden on the schools. But it's necessary and appropriate for where things are right now, I believe.

MR. SPINELLI: Madam Chair and

Commissioners, to follow up with that, yes, it's a
day-to-day oversight that we have with PED. We
actually generate the purchase requisitions at the
schools. I give approval. They go to Public
Education Department. They have to approve them
before we can even issue a purchase order.

Obviously, anybody that's been in a school system knows how that does slow down the purchasing process. And maybe it's cumbersome. At the same time, when it comes to paying bills, it's kind of the same process. We submit all the documentation, along with the invoices, to the Public Education Department once a week. They review everything, make final approval; then we go ahead and process the invoices in the software system. They cut the



1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

checks, and we pick them up here in Santa Fe and then distribute them to the vendors.

One thing I would say, you know, there has been a lot of catch-up. There's been some cleanup in the finances. I can tell you that, at this point in time, the school is on track to meeting budget. We have a healthy cash balance at this time. So there's no financial -- I wouldn't say -- I would say there's no financial difficulties, as well, as far as meeting our obligations.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Sorry. I didn't get to finish.

I -- I don't have any more questions. But what I do have -- and I will tread carefully -- is that that we're faced with a decision today. And, to me, we have a lot of options. One option is we could just accept the Charter Division's position and move forward for three years with conditions.

What I would prefer -- which I have a difficult time doing that, based on the situation -- we could table it. We could add a lot of -- a lot more conditions; for instance, I would like to see -- make sure that there was a complete

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



changeover in the board and administration, not only in regards to personnel, but also in regards to anybody connected with the possible illegalities that could have happened. Approve until the forensic audit comes out and the FBI report is finalized.

It's -- you know, we've never been faced with this. I have done a lot of soul-searching over this. And I've talked to a lot of people who have been in some similar situations. But we've never had to deal with this in New Mexico.

And although, you know, I -- my -- I also have a concern with the children at your school. I think you're -- I guess -- maybe some issues to work out right now in regards to your grades and other -- other things that are going on; but I think you pretty much operate academically on a high level. And that's not my major concern.

So those are things that I'm throwing out for the Commission, being as this is the only place we can really talk about it in an open meeting.

And -- and I know it weighs heavy on everybody else's mind.

So those are my thoughts, for what they're worth. Thank you.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BAKER: Madame Commissioner, would I be permitted to respond to Commissioner Carr's concerns?

THE CHAIR: Certainly.

MR. BAKER: I'll be brief. But just a point of reference. This isn't the first time -that may be the first time that there's been similar-type issues related to a charter school in New Mexico. But I have a point of reference from my own experience with regard to how to deal in the wake of troubling allegations in a public entity that I've looked to, as a good example. And that's when Doug Brown took over the State Treasurer's Office, and when I stepped in and handled the employment litigation that spun out from that.

And what I noticed about what Treasurer
Brown did in that role was that he was very
deliberate in what he did, and he operated when he
had the information necessary to take steps and
didn't try to do a cleaning of the house and
wholesale removal of people until he knew whether
there was information that indicated that they had
done anything wrong.

With fraud and embezzlement, the tighter the circle is, the easier it is to control; and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

obviously, there are outstanding allegations in that vein. And I would just note that it's not infrequent that it's maybe not one person; but it's not 15 people, or all the teachers and administrators at a school that would be involved in something like that, or all the governing councils.

And the other thing that I would note is that the response to the governing councils in the wake of this has been unified and overwhelmingly in support of self-reflection, learning what they need to learn to make sure that they're not in a circumstance where any question, even with this flavor, is asked again in the future.

They brought in Rich Brody from the

Anderson School of Management to conduct a specific
fraud training. He's a Certified Fraud Examiner.

He's really well-regarded across the street. And
this is directly in his wheelhouse. And everybody
has just been trying to figure out what to do to
make sure that the schools are operating for the
kids and keeping the educational environment intact,
that they're stable.

And we haven't seen a lot of turnover in terms of either teachers or students. And I didn't know if people would head for the doors in the wake



of an FBI investigation. I think it's a testament to the support that the schools have from the families of those schools that enrollment rates are steady. And the boards have looked at what they need to do to improve their direct oversight of the Intermediate and the schools that we're going to talk about in a few moments. Each of the governing councils has been consistent in that response.

And I didn't know whether that would be true, either, because you didn't know if you're going to have factions. Sometimes boards get along well; sometimes they fight. I've had none of that to deal with as I've stepped into this role of helping these schools out of the thicket here.

It's not all smooth sailing; there are choppy waters; but I think that the Commission can know that if you grant a renewal, it's not going to be with the idea that the schools walk out of this room, and they just march on ahead for three years. The oversight is going to continue. It's going to continue directly from PED on the day-in and day-out finances, and we'll welcome it from the Commission, as well.

I've been in regular contact with Mr. Granata to provide him updates and information.



I have to be careful; but I don't want to step into either the ongoing criminal investigation or related matters. But I've been providing a steady update, the good, the bad, and the ugly to Mr. Granata. And I'm hopeful that you all are staying in the loop.

We'd be willing to come back and keep providing updates; but for the school's benefit, something short of a renewal, I think, would be more likely to cause parents and families to question their ongoing role and involvement with the schools, because instead of thinking, "As long as things continue to go well going forward, we have another date looming over us in six months or three months."

And so I'm not saying five years with no restrictions; but I would ask that you consider the impact that a different requirement would have on -- on the stability within the school.

COMMISSIONER CARR: And, Madam Chair, if you'll indulge me -- I did think of one other item that really doesn't have anything to do with the ongoing controversy.

It's the idea that you have three schools in one building. And one thing that I would -- I would like to see and like for you to consider is merging those schools; I mean, providing we renew or



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

something. And -- 'cause I really don't understand why they're separated.

And in light of the criticism, the ongoing criticism before the current Legislative Session, as well, in regards to the Small School funding formula and things like that, there is a perception that Southwest is gaming the system.

I'm not saying you are; I'm just saying there is that perception out there. And certainly, I've heard it, and you probably have, too.

And I think it would be to your benefit to combine your schools into one school, provided -- you know, provided we renew, and barring other unforeseen circumstances that we don't know about.

But just another suggestion that I'm going to throw out there, and I'm sorry I forgot to do that earlier.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

If I might, I don't mean to be argumentative, but I believe I heard you say there has not been significant turnover among teachers in the school.

I'm looking at Page 4 of the renewal application. It's part of CSD's information on their site visit.





It says, "Recent turnover in the school was noted as a challenge, faculty considering retention strategies to alleviate the challenges presented by teacher turnover."

That says, to me, there have been significant challenges in the school.

I just want to throw that out, because, really, my -- my thought on this school is completely different from what's been said here today; so I'd like to put it out for everyone's consideration.

This -- Southwest Intermediate is a seventh- and eighth-grade school. Southwest

Secondary is a seventh-through-twelfth-grade school. I find it incomprehensible. Not only do we have three schools in one building; now we have two schools that are duplicating the same grades. We have a seventh- and eighth-grade school; then we have a seventh-through-twelfth-grade school.

This is not good use of taxpayer dollars, at all. How this situation ever happened, and was allowed to happen, I can't imagine. We're paying for seventh- and eighth-graders to be educated in the same building with the same administrators, but with funding separately. I don't understand that,



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And my personal opinion is Southwest

Intermediate needs to close, and those seventh- and
eighth-grade students need to be incorporated, if
they so choose, into the Southwest Secondary School.
There's plenty of capacity in the Southwest
Secondary School.

Frankly, the grade report for Southwest

Secondary is better than it is for the Southwest

Intermediate School. Their grade report card is

higher. They seem to be having better results with

their students. And certainly, it would be a better

use of taxpayers' dollars.

I see no reasonable reason to continue a school that's a duplicate of another one in the same building. And who knows what else is being duplicated? Do teachers teach in both schools? I know administrators administer all the schools in that building.

My recommendation to my fellow

Commissioners to seriously consider is not to renew
this school, at all. And I will leave that to
everyone's thoughts.

Commissioner Bergman?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Excuse me. I have



a bad throat. While all the other Commissioners are 1 considering your thoughts, I thought I would throw 2 3 in here that Mr. Pahl, in his recommendation, indicated that the FBI has begun an investigation 5 into the school. And I'm not sure -- I'm not privy to what they're investigating, obviously; none of us 7 But I'm not sure -- that leaves the impression that the school did something wrong; and I'm not sure that the school did something wrong. 9

I think -- and that's something for the FBI to determine, whether any individuals did anything wrong. But I just wanted to make that observation. I'm not chastising you or anything If you'd like to respond, go ahead. else. know.

MR. PAHL: Please. And thank you, Commissioner Bergman. Yes, there is the potential that there is a difference between one individual and a -- and a school, as an entirety, committing some sort of crime, or justifying an investigation from the FBI. I think our representative from Southwest mentioned some good logic behind the fact that there might be a small group or a few people that are actually at -- that we have a problem with.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Regardless, though, that person, or those

people, did it as an employee of the school. And so -- or we assume, at this point in time. That's the information that we have. So our analysis: I stand behind the school, with the recognition that there may be some -- some nuance behind that.

But like you said, we don't know at this point in time. And we would state that because the FBI was on school premises pulling school files, that it's an investigation of the school.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thanks for that clarification. And I will note that I actually -- what the Chair just said had slipped by me. I thought I saw everything. I guess I'm just human like the rest of you folks.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Commissioner Bergman, could I address that, too? That item? I don't want to interrupt you, you know, and then the -- our attorney can weigh in there, as well.

In this type of question, this type of regard, our schools operate under a charter.

Corporations operate under a charter from individual states. The courts have ruled that they are a person under the law, whether you like that or not.

And so they are an entity into themselves and are -- can be sued, can have legal action taken





```
1
     against them, you know. So just a little
 2
     clarification on that.
 3
               Correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Granata.
 4
               MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair,
 5
     Commissioner Carr, if your question was whether or
     not charters are similar to corporations, in that
 6
 7
     they're considered a person?
                                   Is that your question?
 8
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Well, that they're an
 9
     entity under the law, a separate entity -- well, and
10
     it would be the same -- I guess so. Go ahead.
11
               MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair,
12
     Commissioner Carr, I'm not sure that I'm prepared to
13
     answer that question at this point in time.
14
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Okay.
15
               MR. GRANATA: So I'd just have to look
16
     into it some more. My inclination is that the
17
     answer would be no. I think your question is
18
     whether or not the school has liability independent
19
     from the governing body; and I'm not sure that it
20
     does; but --
21
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Okay.
22
               MR. GRANATA: -- I don't want to give a
23
     definitive answer. I'd need to look into it some
24
    more.
25
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant -- oh, I'm
```



sorry. You're not finished.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I wasn't finished.

I had not noticed that grade duplication. For some reason that slipped past me. And if -- that, admittedly, does concern me a little bit. I'm not sure why two different schools in the same premises have the same grade. So I am thinking about what you said already.

I was going to ask some specific academic questions; but they become moot, depending on what this Commission decides to do.

But you mentioned Advanced Ed and your accreditation. The one thing I did notice in that, because it was a part of your application, so I of course read it -- I noticed one of the few things that they graded you down on was, on Page 7 of their report, that -- where they evaluated the leadership and staff supervision, they noted that there is a lack of lesson plans in the data provided to the team.

That's -- they left it at that, period.

Was it a lack of lesson plans, or was it that you just neglected to give the accreditation team the lesson plans? And if there was a lack, have you rectified that?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1	MR. BAYSINGER: I'm sorry, Commissioner
2	Bergman. Was the reference about the day that they
3	visited?
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: This was just in
5	their report that they gave you after their
6	accreditation. This is where they graded you.
7	MR. BAYSINGER: The Advanced Ed team or
8	the Charter Division?
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The Advanced Ed,
10	coming from your accreditation report.
11	MR. BAYSINGER: I'll be honest. I don't
12	remember what the reference was to that day, or what
13	class they were in, or whether they were in I'm
14	not sure.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Under Indicator 2.6
16	of whatever their protocol was, they made that
17	statement.
18	MR. BAYSINGER: Our teachers are prepared
19	every day. And I don't know what I don't
20	remember what that reference was to.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It caught my eye,
22	because it was about the only thing that they graded
23	you down on. Everything else was 4.0 on most of the
24	stuff. So it just caught my eye.
25	MR. BAYSINGER: Madam Chair, I would



1	address your concerns on the duplication of
2	programs. And I hesitate, but I'm going to go ahead
3	and say this. And I say this with all due respect.
4	The schools haven't changed from our
5	initial renewal from you in 2010. The programs are
6	vastly different. The seventh- and eighth-grade
7	Intermediate School is a five-day program,
8	essentially a traditional school. Those kids, they
9	have a different model. The seventh- and
10	eighth-graders, which are fewer in number about a
11	third of the number in Intermediate there are
12	less than an average of 40 seventh- or
13	eighth-graders in the secondary school.
14	Those schools those students in seventh
15	and eighth grade at the secondary level are all
16	online courses. They are drastically different
17	programs. They're not they're not duplicate
18	programs.
19	THE CHAIR: You have an online school?
20	MR. BAYSINGER: I'm sorry.
21	THE CHAIR: You have an online school?
22	MR. BAYSINGER: Yes.
23	THE CHAIR: Totally online?
24	MR. BAYSINGER: No. Southwest Secondary
25	has its main curriculum is online courses.



Southwest Intermediate, those students have a combination of traditional and online. Our seventh-graders have one online course. Our eighth-graders have two online courses. They attend school five days a week in a modified block schedule.

The few seventh- and eighth-graders that we have in Secondary usually average less than 40 students. They attend the same model at Southwest Secondary. It's four days a week; it's really a modified block schedule. And it's all online, except for PE and SMART Lab. They are really two separate schools, two separate methodologies.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, if I might follow up? The schools hear the concerns about consolidation, three schools under one roof, the discussion of this. They understand it. I would ask that the Commission consider what they have dealt with just since July; and there's been a sense of pushing the schools to the threshold.

And the idea of reordering and coming before the Commission here in the middle of December with a plan to consolidate and shift everything around to that degree, in addition to absorbing



the -- what the schools have already absorbed, I think would have pushed the schools beyond the breaking point.

I think the schools have discussed with me, and have been open in discussions, that they're willing to consider options into the future and that they recognize the concerns and want to address them. But I would hate for this to be the chopping block for Southwest Intermediate Learning Center, as they've been coming out of the thicket on these other issues and trying to work through those, to have it just shuttered midstream, immediately, when there's a road ahead under which we would consider options on the table to look at this.

It's just how much can you take at one point? And I would ask for some -- for the new administration to have some time to -- to deal with PED oversight and deal with these issues, and then accurately assess where they are.

I mean, we have a forensic accounting that's ongoing right now to sort out what happened in the past. That's going to inform where we are today and where we need to go. And I don't think that the school could have pulled the trigger on a decision that would have really required knowledge



such as that today, to have come before you and said, "Okay, we're going to shift our charters and set aside these pedological differences in the way that we're teaching between the two, Intermediate and Southwest Secondary, and adjust to being a consolidated school, and deal with PED oversight, and deal with the FBI investigation.

I think these people are working about as hard as they can. And to add that in right now would be -- would be pretty devastating -- not pretty devastating -- would be devastating. I don't mean to put too fine a point on it. I don't mean to cry "Uncle." But it's been a challenge, and everyone's been working around the clock.

I get calls from Mr. Baysinger at all hours, on the weekends, and we're working hard. I would appreciate considering that three years from now, this larger debate that's been ongoing on these issues would have had more time to work its way through the system.

And the schools are willing participants in that dialogue. But we would ask for time. And I think a renewal along the lines of what the Charter Schools Division recommended would provide a window for that, with a commitment from the schools to





regularly be in front of the Commission to hear your 1 2 ongoing issues and respond to those and look towards 3 the future, recognizing the issues and dealing with 4 them. 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Toulouse? 6 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: 7 Madam Chair. 8 Do you have any of your governance council members here? 9 10 That's what I thought. Because I would 11 kind of like to hear from the governance council 12 about what they think about what's going on, because 13 they're the ones that have had to bear the brunt of 14 a lot of the decisions. 15 THE CHAIR: Yeah. If we can make it in 16 fairly short order, please? 17 Please introduce yourself. MS. HARBAUGH: I'm Cheryle Harbaugh. 18 19 I was a fifth-grade evidence teacher for the primary 20 school. And then I retired, and I have been on the board for the Intermediate School since that 21 22 retirement. 23 I would like to share with you this thing 24 that you're confused about with having two different 25 kinds of seventh and eighth grades under two



different schools.

This school -- all of the schools -- are very concerned about the student and what the student needs. And the Intermediate School that is just seventh and eighth is very concerned that those children have more of a traditional-like school. They have teachers for subjects. Yes, they have two different classes that they take on the computer; but if they need any help at all, they are given that help immediately.

When they are in part of the Secondary School, where it's seventh through twelfth, those courses are all aligned, with the exception of SMART Lab and PE.

THE CHAIR: I didn't know we had an online school.

MS. HARBAUGH: If they need help with a certain thing, they have a professor they can go to for help; but as long as they're pulling the work and doing all the work that's necessary in the four days that they go, they go on their own pace.

I happen to have a daughter who would have graduated from this school when she was 16 years old, because she didn't need to sit in front of a teacher having a teacher lecture at her.





I have other children in my family who needed one-on-one attention all the time.

So these schools address that kind of thing.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse, does that answer your concern?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes, it does. But I do have an ongoing problem of why, if you have one way of teaching, you have -- you've decided, over time -- because I'm new on this Commission, but I've heard a lot about the school over the years -- why you don't have one style of education and let kids who don't want that go to another school.

I also think, right now, I don't know what to do. I'm not prepared, unless I absolutely have to, to vote on any of these schools right now, because we're all at an awkward point in what's going on.

But I -- as I say, I still don't quite understand the philosophy of having to set up the two schools, rather than sending the kids who did not want the online stuff, which, Madam Chair, I did know about. And I do have concerns -- but that's not here or there, for this school -- for all online education.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But I do have a concern that you're trying to do both -- you can't be everything for everybody. So I'm not sure whether consolidating it is the answer, or whether sending those kids to another school and only dealing with your kids who are ready for the online.

MR. BAYSINGER: That's interesting, because that would be exactly what we would say. We try not to be all things for all people. We're not a comprehensive high school.

Those parents make a choice. And when they come to our school and they ask advice about which would be a better school to apply for, some kids -- some of those seventh- and eighth-graders -- and again, I said we don't have many -- some of those seventh- and eighth-graders choose Secondary, because they have siblings, and they travel together.

Some of those students -- I always counsel those seventh- and eighth-graders in Secondary, "Be careful about this. Be very disciplined."

They have to be very mature in order to do this. It's not a traditional five-day, in school all day. And what are you going to do with your kids when they're not here at school?





So it is the parents who choose. And that's where we're about; we're about options.

I still think that is trying to be all things, that those parents should choose another school if you're going to provide seventh— and eighth—grade online.

If you're not going to do the seventh and eighth grade online, then I could see having this other school.

Am I'm not trying to argue. I'm just looking at this --

MR. BAYSINGER: I don't understand what the issue would be. The parents -- they're keenly aware, when they make a selection, about which school to apply for. It's their choice.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Right. But their choice should be a school that's all one way, rather than having you have the two kinds of education. I just think somebody is either gaming the system or bit off more than they can chew, because those parents have lots of other choices for their seventh— and eighth—grade students. So to me, either you don't do seventh and eighth in the Secondary, and have them in the regular classrooms; or you don't have both kinds of classrooms.



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That's just my concern. But I don't think we can answer any of this today. I honestly don't.

THE CHAIR: Before we go any further, I think we need a legal opinion, if we can delay the decision on these three schools. And I'm going to ask Josh to weigh in on that.

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, I don't believe, according to the law and the -- or the regulations, that the Commission can delay. I think, if anything, that the Commission can approve with conditions, or make adjustments to the term of the charter. But in my opinion, I think -- I don't think that it would be wise to delay this matter. I don't see any kind of resolution in a delay.

While I imagine that the FBI evaluation is ongoing, I don't think it's going to wrap up, like, in the next month or so. So that's my opinion.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr?

COMMISSIONER CARR: A little bit, maybe a different take on Commissioner Toulouse's point.

But what was described here is "differentiated instruction," which individual classroom teachers do every day. And I don't see why one school couldn't accommodate that differentiated instruction. So I don't see merit in your argument.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But -- just to make a point on that, it would be quite easy to put us -- say, "Oh, hey, this kid will do better in his core subjects on a computer; this kid will do better in front of a regular classroom teacher."

That could easily be done within the same school, just with a little restructuring. A lot of schools already do that. So that's my thought on that.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I think some of the concerns brought up by Commissioner Toulouse lends some foundation behind our recommendation of a three-year, where we don't know a lot of things at this point in time.

We're at an awkward point, timing-wise.

And so -- and to Mr. Granata's point, these things won't be hashed out in the very near future.

So we decided on a shorter term, that if everything turns out fine on the investigations and the school continues to improve, then we sit down here in two years, and we renew for five years, potentially. And this takes out, you know, any talk about, you know, the grade levels served.



So I just wanted to underline that, that that's some of the thought behind this, that we don't have a lot to work off of.

I do know you mentioned you're taking out the financial aspect; but it's inherently kind of playing a part here. So I just wanted to make that statement.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, may I just follow up briefly? I wanted to touch on a couple of aspects of this.

With the investigation of the schools piece, I can assure you I would be representing this client very differently if they were the target of an FBI investigation as a school. We have been working with the FBI on a regular, daily basis and weekly basis, and spending a lot of time on this. And I suspect, at the end of this, the schools are going to be identified as a victim of some of these crimes and are already interacting with the government, as such. There's a lot of money that needs to be accounted for, and we're working on that.

With regard to this broader question of not having enough information that Commissioner

Toulouse raised, another point that I would add to



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what Mr. Pahl said is the schools don't view this as when we finish the vote today, the schools are walking away from oversight from you, or from the PED. If we fail at the efforts that we're under right now, everyone recognizes that it's — threatens the existence of the school.

So if we don't get this right, it's not like, all of a sudden, we just coast along for three years, and only then is there going to be action taken. We've got things that need to be taken care of immediately, and they are taken care of. But with the PED looking at what we're doing, with the PEC taking an ongoing role monitoring what's happening at the schools, I don't view it as a three-year pass if you were to renew. It would be a, "I am giving you more time to do work that needs to be done to straighten this out," and we'll be seeing you on a regular basis as frequently as you want us here.

But time -- really, I keep saying this.

Time is what we need. We need a little bit more time. This all broke at the end of July, beginning of August. The schools have made a ton of progress in that time period, with PED's assistance. But that's not enough to be judged and close the



1	schools.
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
3	Commissioner Gant?
4	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair and
5	members.
6	Gentlemen, ma'am, a couple of concerns
7	I've had. Some people will say this is unimportant
8	But I do sit on the PSCOC, and we're the ones that
9	approve lease assistance. You're getting lease
10	assistance for three schools in the same building,
11	which seems very strange to many people. And also,
12	you're getting you're into the Small School
13	funding formula big-time, because you have
14	relatively small numbers of children or students
15	And my next question this is a
16	question: Would you consider, as part of the
17	conditions, that you work towards consolidation of
18	the Secondary and the Intermediate schools?
19	MR. BAKER: Madam Chair,
20	Commissioner Gant, I I viewed this, part and
21	parcel with the earlier discussion about the three
22	schools under one roof. And it's been all part of
23	the dialogue that the school has been having
24	internally.
25	The schools would commit to working with



the PEC and the PED. And considering all the options going forward, I don't think that -- because we don't know so much still, that saying today that we're going to consolidate makes sense. I think that it's an assurance from the schools that that conversation would be ongoing, and that it would be closely considered and analyzed, based on the information as it comes forward. But to tell you today that the schools are going to have to consolidate as a condition of renewal, I think would be shortsighted of me, because there is -- there are so many moving parts at this time.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, if I may, members. What I said was "consider." I didn't say "do." Okay. And that would have to be something you work with the PED, with the PEC, CSD, et cetera, and all your moving parts of the school, you know.

And in my opinion -- and I'm not an attorney; Mr. Granata is our attorney -- and looking at what I believe to be the statutes and rationale for denial, or even closing at this point, I don't think we have grounds, Madam Chair, to deny, based upon the fact that they've got multiple class -- classes in two different schools.

It really -- I hear what you're saying. I



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	understand it. It bothered me when I read that. It
2	took me aback, because I don't remember doing it.
3	Again, old age, I guess, caught up with me.
4	But I think if they would at least
5	consider working with all the folks necessary to
6	consolidate, I would not have a problem. But just
7	to, flat, say, 'We're not going to do it," then I
8	have a real problem.
9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Parker?
10	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair,
11	Commissioners, I'd like to concur with
12	Commissioner Gant's sentiments on this, and to the
13	point that if we do vote to deny this because there
14	is an overlap on there, I think that would be very
15	disruptive to all the family members involved at the
16	school; whereas, if we vote to approve for a set
17	period of time with contingent upon some
18	considerations on there, then the end can be
19	accomplished without that disruption.
20	So it seems to me there could be a way to
21	accomplish that.
22	THE CHAIR: Let me just play "Devil's
23	advocate" here for a minute.
24	I'm a taxpayer; we're all taxpayers. The
25	charter Southwest Intermediate Center's budget,



the 98 percent of the SEG funding that they get, is 1 2 The Small School Size Adjustment money 3 that they get is \$188,000. I don't know what their lease assistance is. But that's -- we're talking about a million dollars; a million dollars that I'm 5 paying the taxes, you're paying the taxes, we're all 6 7 paying the taxes to duplicate services in the same 8 building, with the same administrators, probably with the same textbooks. I don't know. 9

But I agree with Commissioner Carr.

Schoolteachers teach differently to different needs of students every single day in every school in this state, public schools, charter schools, traditional. Why do you all need a separate school to do what our teachers do every single day in their classrooms, for a million dollars?

I'm -- no, I really would like the school people to answer that, please, from an educational perspective.

MR. BAYSINGER: Well, is the issue of discontinuing seventh- and eighth-graders in Secondary, or disbanding seventh and eighth grade in Southwest Intermediate? I mean, what are we -- you're asking -- you contend that there's a complete duplication of services.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, really, what I'm asking, 1 2 respectfully, is, would it be better if we didn't 3 have seventh- and eighth-graders in Secondary? Or shall we disband and discontinue an Intermediate 5 program? Advanced Ed is, worldwide, the most 6 7 recognized accrediting team. I'd ask you again to 8 look at their comments, that replication of this school would be good for New Mexico. 9 10 THE CHAIR: I don't doubt that. I'm not 11 disagreeing with that, at all. I am simply saying, 12 you have duplication of seventh- and eighth-graders 13 in two schools, and it costs a lot of money to do 14 that. 15 MR. BAYSINGER: Then I would say that we should just -- if it's an either/or, then I would 16 17 say we should discontinue having seventh- and eighth-graders in Secondary; because this is a very 18 19 valuable program.

THE CHAIR: That certainly would be one alternative. But I very, very much disagree with the duplication. It -- as an educator for many, many years, it doesn't make any sense to me.

Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,



20

21

22

23

24



1	that's what I go back to. My comment was "consider"
2	consolidation, taking the seventh- and
3	eighth-graders out of the of the Secondary and
4	putting them into the Intermediate as a
5	consolidation.
6	MR. BAYSINGER: Commissioner Carr
7	Gant it's sort of two different issues. From the
8	day one that I became the head administrator and,
9	essentially, our team took over the school and began
10	working with the boards, we have addressed this
11	issue about consolidation. We're keenly aware of
12	the criticism and the perception surrounding that.
13	We've from our very first
14	administrative team meeting, we had on the agenda,
15	"What can we do about this?"
16	I've met with legislators, and every
17	conversation, this comes up.
18	So to answer your question, yes, we've
19	been considering that from day one.
20	What Mr what Mark alludes to, at this
21	point, we don't know we know what impact this
22	would have on our entire budget, about 30 percent of
23	our budget. Could we afford the building we're in
24	now? I guess that would take care of the three



schools under one roof, if we couldn't afford it.

don't know. We don't know about revenue streams.

We're just going to need time. But we're keenly aware of it.

Even if we consolidated, I think we would still offer seventh- and eighth-grade options, because they're so different. And the parent -- again, I would say -- the parents choose. They choose which of these two tracks to take; we simply offer it.

If we had no seventh- and eighth-graders apply to Southwest Secondary, then there would be none there. But we've considered it from day one. We're keenly aware of it.

Frankly, I don't know if we could -- I don't know what we could afford.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?

question that I believe I asked: Would you consider it, as a condition of approval for three years, to consider consolidation, as we have discussed it today, regardless of the -- I understand that. But you also have to hear that several of us have said in the LESC subcommittee meeting, and heard from several legislators, that they're talking about taking the Small School funding. So you have a lot



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of things to play with. But "yes" or "no," would you consider it?

MR. BAYSINGER: I'll answer. Commissioner Gant, I am in untested water. I don't know if I could make that decision for our board. And I don't know that one board member can -- honestly, I don't know.

I would refer to Mr. Baker and
Mr. Granata. I don't know that I could make that
decision. We've earnestly considered it, and what
would be the result if we found that we simply
couldn't afford it. After that consideration, that
would be part of it. What would the result be if we
decided we couldn't afford it, or the school
couldn't continue operating, or we would have to
discontinue the school because of finances? I would
ask you that.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, that's a part of all decision processes in all businesses. And this is a business. When businesses find out that they cannot do what all the things in the world they want to do, then they have to consider downsizing, et cetera, et cetera. So it's all part of the decision process for all the players, which includes the PEC, CSD, PED, whatever,



and yourselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's what I'm saying. There has to be a compromise somewhere, so we can all work together. And I'm just saying -- "Well, I can't make that decision."

Well, somebody's got to make a decision.

MR. BAKER: Madam Chair,

Commissioner Gant, I don't -- I think we'd be in hot water with the Commission if we came back in six months and said that the day after this hearing, we stopped considering it, because the administration has already committed, on the record, to considering it.

Having it a condition, though, I don't think adds much value. And that gets to the point that Mr. Baysinger is making about his ability to commit to you that the board is going to be on board with that. I think you heard from the administration that it's been a topic of discussion. I know Mr. Spinelli has started running numbers and analyzing what consolidation would look like.

But you've heard, loud and clear, from the administrators that they will consider it and are going to continue to consider it. And I would ask that you take their word on good faith that that's



going to continue. You're still going to be PEC, and we're still going to be answerable to you six months from now, if you feel like we reneged on that commitment, sitting here.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madam Chair?

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry.

Commissioner Peralta?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Excuse me. Yeah.

My spin on the duplication Commissioner Shearman has addressed, I personally find it hard in supporting that Grade Seven-Eight traditional setup that you have in your school. There is choice and options for parents because there are hundreds of traditional schools out there that they can take their seventh- and eighth-graders to add that particular delivery of instruction.

So I don't have a lot to go on, and I don't seem to support the Intermediate school within that building.

On the other hand, talking about the -you, as a school, have a lot going on with the
circumstances, legally. And we don't have a lot -because of that, there's not a lot of information
that we can go on. I just want to throw out there
that what's the harm in -- when we're talking about



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the terms for the school, of renewal, that what's 1 2 the harm in us doing it on a yearly basis? 3 THE CHAIR: On what? 4 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: A yearly basis. 5 THE CHAIR: Yearly. 6 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Because you never 7 know what might come up when it comes down with the 8 decision rendered by the courts or whatever. I think we've monitored other schools on a 9 10 yearly basis. This might be something to think 11 about. 12 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr? 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: I heard a concern over 14 finances in this regard. And I thought maybe 15 you're -- I believe you're one of the -- I'm sure --16 yeah, I know -- yeah, of course, I was involved in 17 accepting your initial application. And apparently, 18 I have faltered in my due diligence. I think that I have -- I wasn't as -- on 19 20 the Commission as long then. I've learned a lot in 21 the last five -- four-and-a-half years. 22 But if it's a concern of money, I mean, 23 the child is the first concern, and the money is secondary. If you're doing -- if you're motivated 24 25 to continue a program just for money, that's a



```
1
     completely different thing.
               I'm not asking a question. I think I know
 2
 3
     what you're going to say. But I -- that actually
     raises an additional concern of mine, that maybe --
 5
     and I'm not blaming -- you are part of it; I'm not
     blaming you. I'm actually putting part of the blame
 7
     on myself for not looking into that more deeply at
 8
     the time, when you were actually first given your
 9
     charter.
10
               So that being a factor -- and I don't know
11
     why, and I can't ask Mr. Granata right now -- but
12
     the -- I don't know why we can't mandate a
13
     condition. We do it all the time.
14
               Fellow Commissioners?
15
               COMMISSIONER GANT: I hear you.
                                                Ask
    Mr. Granata.
16
17
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Granata?
18
               THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. We were talking, I
19
     apologize.
20
               COMMISSIONER CARR: The question is, don't
     we have the legal authority to mandate a condition?
21
22
               MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair,
23
     Commissioner Carr, yes, that's correct.
24
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.
25
               MR. GRANATA: However, the condition needs
```



1	to be reasonable. I mean, obviously, this
2	Commission knows the ability of schools to appeal.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: Of course.
4	MR. GRANATA: And that's a likelihood.
5	And if there was an appeal of a condition, then the
6	parties would be encouraged by the Secretary to
7	negotiate in between the decision and the appeal.
8	But, yes, this Commission does have the
9	ability to impose conditions upon the school.
0 .	THE CHAIR: And I apologize,
1	Commissioner Carr. What condition are you talking
_2	about?
_3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Could you speak up
4	for the other side of the table, please?
L 5	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair,
L 6	Commissioner Carr, let me address Commissioner
_7	Pogna. She couldn't hear what I was saying.
8 .	Commissioner Pogna and other
9	Commissioners, if you couldn't hear what I was
20	saying, I was essentially just saying that the
21	Commission does have the authority to impose
22	conditions upon the school, and that these
23	conditions would have to be reasonable within the
2 4	law, and that the Commission understands that the
25	school would be able to appeal the conditions that



1	you imposed upon them, and that from the decision of
2	the PEC and the appeal, in between those times, the
3	Secretary would encourage the parties to negotiate
4	in between that time.
5	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Thank you.
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Did that clarify a
8	condition, in particular, I was talking about was
9	what Commissioner Gant brought up in regards to
10	consolidation.
11	THE CHAIR: Okay. Not just considering
12	consolidation, but consolidation?
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Not just consider it,
14	but do it.
15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, let me ask
17	this, then. We need to get this resolved. But can
18	we impose a condition when statute does not
19	mandate that a school has to consolidate, can we
20	then impose a condition that the Legislature hasn't
21	addressed?
22	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner
23	Bergman, I would stick by what I said earlier and
24	reiterate that the Commission does have the ability
25	to impose this condition, if it so chooses.



1 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Then as long 2 as we understand that there's probably going to be 3 repercussions down the road, then, that was a part 4 of your answer. MR. GRANATA: I understand. 5 MR. BAKER: Madam Chair, I know we've been 6 7 talking a long time, but this is an issue of 8 concern, if I might address it briefly? 9 THE CHAIR: Please, briefly. 10 MR. BAKER: My understanding of the 11 history here -- I'm sure some of you will correct me 12 if I'm wrong -- was when this issue came up before 13 the new administration came in, it was a concern 14 that was found to be a source of frustration, but 15 legal and permissible under the law. And I would 16 just say that in the spirit of the schools having 17 been told before that this was allowable, to have 18 them now under a condition that mandates consolidation immediately, as a term of renewal, 19 20 would be a difficulty. 21 THE CHAIR: Would you define what was 22 allowable? 23 MR. BAKER: That the current structure of 24 the schools sharing space with three schools, 25 sharing common space, would be allowable.



THE CHAIR: Was there ever any decision made on duplication of grades in that sharing of space?

MR. BAKER: I'm not aware of that particular issue being raised. And I would have -- it's a difficult position for us to be in now, because in preparing for the hearing, we -- this hadn't been raised as a concern. And so it's a new issue for us.

But I would say that if we were given a little bit of time and renewed, then there would be a lot of different ways to come at this, one of which Mr. Baysinger said, which would be for the schools to decide, and the governing council, among themselves, to decide if something's got to go in the seventh-eighth age group, which model goes, and which stays, and then would the alternative better be because the governing councils firmly believe in the way that they approach teaching, to move themselves out of the current building and do something about that.

But that's not something that could be decided immediately, and that's different than consolidation. And so I would go back to the idea that if you would give us some time, if we're



1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

recalcitrant, and you feel like we haven't listened
to you, at some point in the future, there would be
ample opportunities for you to make your presence
felt; but please don't do it today in this manner,
because a required consolidation is very different
than the schools, three months into a major shift in
the structure and management of the schools, to
absorb.

And giving us some time gives us the opportunity to figure these things out with the independence of the governing councils.

THE CHAIR: I have heard it discussed, a three-year renewal with the condition of consolidation. Three years. That's -- that's quite a lot of time to get it done.

MR. BAKER: But the immediate issue would be that we would be forced to decide the acceptability of a mandated consolidation --

THE CHAIR: Within three years.

MR. BAKER: -- versus a dialogue with you over that period of time. We would have an appeal of 30 days to decide whether the schools could commit, without knowing the results of a forensic audit, that they would be in a position to speak consolidation. And that's -- that's a shot in the



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

dark for us right now, without knowing more about where the finances stand.

I think everybody is working hard on answering those questions. But three months in the world of a white collar investigation is a blink of the eye. And we're straightening everything out as quickly as we can. But we've got one of the best forensic accountants in the state on board now, and she's been working on this for a couple of weeks, not months. And that's where we find ourselves. And so the immediate question would be that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Toulouse?

14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, may I
15 ask Mr. Pahl a question?

THE CHAIR: Sure.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Mr. Pahl, I'd like to know, do we have any other questions like this where there are duplicate schools, duplicate grades under the same roof anywhere? It looks to me like today we're handling a lot of very unusual situations. And being only two years into the Commission, I don't know this. But do we have any precedent for this?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioner



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Toulouse, not that I know of. The idea of 1 2 cohabiting, you know, two separate schools, is not a 3 It's also not one that's unique to new one. charters. We have public schools that are doing the 5 Cochiti, K-through-8, comes to mind. same. have a K-through-5 school and a 6-through-8 in the same building, and they get funded as two separate 8 schools, which includes that Small School Size 9 Adjustment.

However, I do not -- my answer is that I don't know; but I -- but from what I can recall, I don't think this has happened.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. I just wanted that in the record, so if we have any questions later, because I'm wondering what will happen to you with all of this, anyway, if the Legislature does what they are threatening to do and does away with the Small School adjustments.

I also, not having been here before, don't understand how this all happened in the first place; so it's hard for me to look at it.

But, again, I have -- I just have major concerns over the whole operation of this. And the only reason I would be willing to extend any of your schools' contracts to recharter them would be



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

because of the children involved. 1 But as far as all the adults involved, as 2 3 far as the administration, as far as the money 4 problems and auditing and everything else, I don't 5 care. I do care about those kids in your 7 classroom. 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr? 9 COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I'm ready to 10 throw something out here, in -- agreeing with 11 Commissioner Peralta on one year, based on the 12 situation, the lack of data, and with the 13 stipulation that they work toward consolidation; not 14 to get it done in a year, but that they work toward 15 consolidation in a three-year time frame --THE CHAIR: I don't think we can do two 16 different time frames. 17 18 COMMISSIONER CARR: No, no, no, probably 19 But we can just say that they're working 20 toward it, that they will be working toward it. Seems like we could give some kind of mandate. 21 22 I wouldn't want to -- I wouldn't want to force it on

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

23

24

25



But the -- I would be -- I'm just saying

them in a year. I -- well, of course, if we voted

to just close them, it wouldn't make any difference.

that I would be willing, with the conditions that 1 2 the PED -- the Charter Division has already put 3 forward, maybe with some stipulation toward looking 4 toward consolidation, that I would be willing to vote for that. And I would still have reservations. 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Parker? 7 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, 8 Commissioners, it seems likes that makes the most 9 sense, if we did a one-year approval for this charter renewal. They, I am fairly confident, have 10 11 a complete understanding that there is a desire to 12 consolidate those grades at the schools. 13 would give them time to come and have some answers 14 to questions that -- that the Commission might have 15 at the end of that one-year time; and then they'll 16 know if it's something they can pull off. 17 And at that point, potentially, you could have the information from the investigation that's 18 19 currently underway, as well as, if not -- if they're 20 able to pursue that consolidation, at all. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 22 Commissioner Gant? 23 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 24 I'm going to offer a compromise. I've lived 25 through, in Cruces, these one-year charters --



renewals, renewals, renewals. I would suggest -- and I know this seems like a small one -- but a two-year; give them some time to get their report from whomever they're going to get one -- from the gods -- and have time to work with it.

But if you just give them one year, and if the decision from the investigators and the forensics and all that doesn't come out for another eight, ten months, they don't have time. I would suggest a two-year, to give them time to adjust to whatever happens, considering the consolidation.

I would pull back, myself. It's all up to the rest of you, that you -- we've got it on the record that you are considering it -- it's right there -- that you're considering consolidation. So we can go back and find it.

But I recommend to the Commission a compromise of two years to give them time to get all the investigation done and work out whatever has to be worked out.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I could do two years. Logistically, one year isn't going to work. By the time we get a contract negotiated that's all in place, they just have to



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

start over with the renewal. And I don't think that helps anybody. But I do think a two-year, I could vote for.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner -- Mr. Pahl?

MR. PAHL: Thank you for elevating my

status.

I'd just like to note that I agree with a longer term. One year, they would be submitting renewal application in a matter of months. And we are talking about a very -- and big -- big conditions that we may be placing on the school. I would even say two years is short, just given how long federal investigations take. As a former federal auditor, they take a very long time.

Three years -- and I'm throwing this out there, just to show what the time line would look like. If it was three years, and the Commission can put whatever stipulations they'd like on there, we would have -- it would be two -- just over two years before we're here -- we're getting a new application from the school again.

So if we think about the -- the administrative time line, though it would be three years, or if it was two years, we are looking at still pretty tight time lines under two, and





1	something that with one would be very hard to get
2	real good data from, and probably unlikely that we
3	would get anything from the federal government in
4	that time.
5	THE CHAIR: Are we ready to bring this to
6	a close?
7	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I
8	would like to answer that. I think the two years,
9	regardless at this point, an investigation could
10	be ten years. But two years would let us see that
11	the school is back on its feet, that all of the
12	processes are working correctly; hopefully, their
13	money would be handed back to them, and we would
14	move forward with the investigation as something
15	separate and apart that we have no control over.
16	But the two years would let us see if the
17	good-faith efforts of these folks would be hopefully
18	succeeding.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay. Anything else? Is
20	someone ready with a motion?
21	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, if I may?
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, we've
24	heard from Commissioner Peralta on one year. We've
25	heard from, I believe, Commissioner Carr on a



1	one-year. So would you gentlemen care to put your
2	inputs whether we go one or two?
3	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Well, my one got it
5	down from three; so you know.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: That's a compromise.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: A compromise.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: I will say I just
9	have the Chair on this maybe she's changed her
10	mind since the last time she talked. But I I
11	just have too many reservations. I don't feel
12	comfortable voting for this at all. So that's my
13	that's my final wave length.
14	THE CHAIR: All right. Any other
15	comments?
16	I hear no other comments. The Chair would
17	entertain a motion.
18	I believe Commissioner Gant is working on
19	one. Whenever you're ready?
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: I don't know if I'm
21	ready. But I, Commissioner Eugene Gant, move that
22	the Public Education Commission approve, with
23	conditions, the renewal of the charter school
24	application for the Southwest Intermediate Learning
25	Center, Albuquerque, pursuant to Charter School Act



1	Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12. The charter
2	is renewed for approval with the following
3	conditions:
4	A shortened contract of two years;
5	Completion of an improvement plan specific
6	to the school;
7	And two annual monitoring visits by the
8	Charter School Division.
9	THE CHAIR: Nothing about consolidation?
10	COMMISSIONER GANT: With the
11	understanding, per the record of the of this
12	meeting, that they will consider consolidation.
13	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant, would you
15	consider a friendly amendment to your motion,
16	substituting "pursue" consolidation instead of the
17	word "consider"?
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: I will take that as a
19	friendly amendment.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Nobody's seconded yet.
21	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Did you say you
22	seconded?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: No, I didn't. I said
24	no one has seconded yet.
25	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: (Indicates.)





1	THE CHAIR: Are you seconding?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes. I was trying
3	to do it before you
4	THE CHAIR: And with the word "pursue"?
5	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: That's acceptable
6	at this point; because that isn't mandating. That's
7	just saying, "You need to work on it."
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Madam Chair?
9	THE CHAIR: The motion by
10	Commissioner Gant, seconded by Commissioner
11	Toulouse, to approve the renewal application of
12	Southwest Intermediate Learning Center for two
13	years, with the conditions that they complete an
14	improvement plan specific to the school, that they
15	have at least two CSD site visits during the term of
16	the each year, and that they actively pursue
17	consolidation of the two schools, Southwest
18	Intermediate and Southwest Secondary.
19	Have I correctly stated your motion?
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
21	THE CHAIR: Okay. Now, is there any
22	discussion? Commissioner Pogna?
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I think you stated
24	it. I needed to know, was it two years?
25	THE CHAIR: Two years.





1	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I don't like it.
2	Pardon me.
3	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm not sure that I
5	agree with what Commissioner Toulouse said. Just
6	the change of that one word "pursue," to me, means
7	we're telling them they've got to do consolidation.
8	THE CHAIR: No. "Pursue." "Go ahead."
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's my
10	interpretation of the word "pursue." I am not an
11	attorney. It puts me in a bind, because I support
12	voting "yes" to approve the renewal; but it also
13	puts if I say "yes," I guess I want it, here
14	again, on the record, that I'm not sure that I'm
15	comfortable with us telling them they must pursue
16	consolidation. That's I'm speaking personally
17	now. But thank you very much. I
18	THE CHAIR: Let me ask. Is there a word
19	you would prefer rather than "pursue"?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I liked the word
21	"consider" that was originally proposed. But I'm
22	not going to hang we've been on this for an hour
23	and a half already. I'm not going to I want what
24	I just said on the record.
25	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?



1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Mr. Granata, the word
2	"pursue," does that make it a requirement?
3	MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioner,
4	Gant, to me the word "pursue" does have stronger
5	connotations than the word "consider."
6	THE CHAIR: But
7	MR. GRANATA: I mean, in law, you can
8	argue anything; and in law, we argue where a comma
9	is in a sentence. And I'm sure Mr. Baker and I can
10	argue the difference between "consider" and
11	"pursue." But to me, "pursue" does entail the
12	school reaching towards that as a goal, as opposed
13	to them considering the consolidation.
14	Regardless of the definition of those two
15	words, I think it's fairly clear that the Commission
16	wants the schools to consolidate.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, I request
18	that we leave the word "pursue."
19	THE CHAIR: Leave the word "pursue"?
20	Okay.
21	Any other comments? Any other comments?
22	Hearing none, the Chair would ask for a
23	roll-call vote. Mr. Secretary?
24	MR. BAKER: Madam Chair I'm sorry. I'm
2.5	sorry Hear me for one second, if you would



Sorry. May I?

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Could it just be that with regard to the 2 3 consolidation, that the schools consider consolidation options among the four schools? Because it may be that the schools don't want to 5 look at SSLC and SILC, but, instead, would want to look at consolidating primary and intermediate or some other concoction among them. And that would --8 I think the schools could live with "pursue," 9 10 perhaps -- I need to talk to the governing councils. 11 But if it was just to say that they'll consider 12 consolidation plans among the four schools, that 13 would take out the specificity of mandating that 14 they look at consolidating SSLC and SILC. 15

That would be the request I would make, and I'll leave it at that.

THE CHAIR: My reaction to that,

Mr. Baker, though, is if the school should come back
and say, "We're going to consolidate primary and

SAMS" -- a ridiculous notion -- what we're trying to
do is get the duplication of grades looked at. So
if you should -- so if the school should come back
and say, "We want to consolidate the primary and the
secondary school," that would not fix the problem
that we're talking about here.



1	My thought would be the way we have it
2	worded now is strong enough. The schools always
3	have the option to come back to this Commission and
4	ask for an amendment to their charter to change
5	anything.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madam Chair, point of
7	order. I think we have a motion that has been moved
8	and seconded, and I believe we should vote.
9	THE CHAIR: Do you call for the question?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, I'm calling for
11	the question.
12	THE CHAIR: Then we must immediately, is
13	my understanding. Mr. Granata.
14	And I ask Mr. Bergman for a roll-call
15	vote, please.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Peralta?
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna?
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I vote "yes" with
23	reservations. I prefer the recommendation of three
24	years, for the record.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1	Toulouse?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Parker?
5	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Shearman?
10	THE CHAIR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And Commissioner
12	Bergman votes "yes," with the comments I made
13	previously on the record.
14	Madam Chair, that is a 7-to-1 vote in
15	favor of that motion.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. The
17	renewal application of Southwest Intermediate School
18	is renewed for a two-year term, with the conditions
19	as noted on the official record. Thank you very
20	much.
21	Commissioners, we're going to take a
22	ten-minute break. Thank you.
23	(Recess taken, 2:30 p.m. to 2:40 p.m.)
24	THE CHAIR: If you all are ready, let's
25	get started again, please.



2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, are we

3 going without Commissioner Pogna.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you. On the official record, please note that Commissioner Pogna has left the meeting. We still do have a full quorum.

Mr. Pahl, Southwest Primary, please.

(A discussion was held off the record.)

THE CHAIR: Okay. We're all here.

Mr. Pahl, would you please go ahead?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, the Southwest Primary Learning Center was chartered by the State in December of 2009. The school's mission is to sustain a high-performing learning community. Southwest Primary Learning Center, which I'll refer to as "SPLC," has connections with a few other schools in -- that are under the same name and have some similar academic programming.

The Charter Schools Division at the PED recommends a three-year renewal term for Southwest Primary Learning Center. Financial difficulties at the school are the primary reason for a three-year term. The FBI recently began an investigation into the school, and the PED has taken over the school's board of finance and is conducting a forensic audit.



Results from the investigation and the PED's audit are not yet known.

These recent events present considerable risk to the appropriate use of public school funding, and any conditions of the three-year term should focus on the school's financial difficulties.

Academic performance at the school has traditionally been excellent, but in recent years has been declining. Southwest Primary Learning Center's three-year record card average is a B, with scores that range from a B in years 2012 and 2013, to a C in 2014.

Their three-year trend in the growth of their highest performing students goes from earning a B in 2012 to earning an F in 2013 and '14; and, finally, the three-year trend of growth amongst their lowest performing students consistently has earned an F. That said, the school outscored, or equaled, its two neighboring schools in its report card in the last two years, which means that it represents a good option for students in that community.

The Charter Schools Division is recommending a three-year renewal term for Southwest, with conditions: The shortened contract



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	term of three years; the completion of an
2	improvement plan specific to the school and the
3	and some financial matters, which I think are
4	currently being being formulated, or have been
5	completed, between the PED and the school; and two
6	annual monitoring visits by CSD to assure that that
7	slipping performance is reversed and Southwest can
8	celebrate its academic achievement, like it has in
9	earlier years.
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.
11	Gentlemen, if you would do you need
12	their names again?
13	THE REPORTER: No, ma'am.
14	THE CHAIR: If you would like to go ahead,
15	five minutes, please.
16	MR. BAYSINGER: Madam Chair,
17	Commissioners, we would now like to address the
18	renewal for the Southwest Primary Learning Center.
19	The Southwest Primary Learning Center was originally
20	chartered with the Albuquerque Public Schools, and
21	opened in August of 2005, serving Grades 4, 5, and
22	6. It was renewed as a State-chartered charter
23	school in 2010.
24	The mission of the Southwest Primary is to
25	sustain a high learning community. Southwest



Primary met AYP each year and has a B average for the most recent three years. Students consistently perform well compared to local and State school evaluations. Our most recent school grade of a C is, in great part, a reflection of the model that penalizes schools where student proficiency rates are already high; and, in our case, 73 percent of students this past year being advanced and proficient.

There is the potential for greater fluctuations in evaluations, given the size of the school, as comparisons of data may not be as robust as it is for larger schools, as has been noted in discussions with the Assessment and Accountability Department at PED.

Southwest Primary has proven to be successful in narrowing the achievement gap between Hispanic and Caucasian students and for economically disadvantaged students. With this being said, we are establishing the academic priorities to trend higher standardized testing results, further integration and alignment with Common Core standards, and pursue professional development to target Q1 students and those who have unique learning needs.



The recent Advanced Ed exit review echos the results of the Charter School Division's renewal The Advanced Ed team just this past analvsis. January said, "The School's State and local data demonstrated no achievement gaps in mathematics or reading in all subgroups, including gender, ethnicity, and poverty, as defined by Free-and-Reduced Lunch and ELL status. The most significant finding is in the area of special education. Additionally, students with IEPs showed no achievement gaps. These students are identified and served through modifications and targeted assistance in their classroom." "The school's rigorous learning expectations are the same for all students, while individual needs are met. The school's data, analysis, and, most importantly, its own

"The school strongly believes in transparency as a way to improve all aspects of its operations and to grow trust among its stakeholders; for example, the team's required actions mirrored those of the school, in both cases. The school began working on those required actions before,

recommendations match those of the Accreditation



Team.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

during, and immediately after the visit. 1 "The external team and the internal 2 3 stakeholders work together to sustain and improve an exemplary learning community, focused on the 4 academic and social needs of its students." 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Two minutes. 6 "The external review team 7 MR. BAYSINGER: 8 recommends that Southwest Primary Learning Center should be granted a new five-year term of 9 10 accreditation by the Advanced Ed Accreditation Commission." 11 12 Thank you. 13 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Anything from 14 anyone else? 15 Thank you. We have five minutes set aside 16 for anyone in the audience who would care to speak. 17 Okay. Seeing no one, it's time for 18 Commissioners' comments. Commissioners, before we get into 19 20 questions and so forth, might I ask, if you look on 21 the back side of the information from CSD, and it 22 says, "Proposed Conditions" -- I'm sure you may 23 already be looking at it -- please notice, it says, "A shortened contract term of two or three years." 24 25 I know Mr. Pahl said three years; but two



1	years would be more consistent with with the
2	other schools the other Southwest school we've
3	already dealt with.
4	So I would ask your consideration of a
5	two-year contract, if we choose to renew this
6	school.
7	Now, any comments or questions?
8	Commissioner Peralta?
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Commissioner
10	Shearman, point well-taken. I will concur with the
11	consideration.
12	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry?
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I will concur with
14	the consideration of a two-year.
15	THE CHAIR: And with the other conditions,
16	as stated by Mr. Pahl?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes, same
18	conditions.
19	THE CHAIR: Any other discussion?
20	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
21	motion?
22	Commissioner Bergman?
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me get
24	everything organized here, where I can see it.
25	Madam Chair, I, Commissioner Bergman, move



1	that the Public Education Commission approve the
2	renewal of the Southwest Primary Learning Center for
3	a two-year term, subject to the following
4	conditions:
5	Completion of an improvement plan specific
6	to the school;
7	A minimum of at least two annual
8	monitoring visits by CSD.
9	And this would be done pursuant to the
10	Charter School Act, Section 22-8B-6 and Section
11	22-8B-12.
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have a motion
13	by Commissioner Bergman. Do we have a second?
14	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: (Indicates.)
15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Toulouse.
16	The motion is to approve a two-year
17	renewal for Southwest Primary Learning Center, with
18	conditions as stated on the official record.
19	Is there any discussion?
20	Hearing no discussion, Secretary Bergman,
21	may we have a roll-call vote, please?
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
2 4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Toulouse?



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Parker?
4	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Peralta?
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Shearman?
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Bergman votes "yes."
15	Madam Chair, that is a 6-to-1 vote in
16	favor of that motion.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion to
18	approve the two-year renewal of Southwest Primary
19	Learning Center, with conditions as stated on the
20	record, is approved.
21	Thank you, all.
22	Let's next go to the Southwest Secondary
23	Learning Center. Mr. Pahl?
24	MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, the Southwest
25	Secondary Learning Center was granted a charter by



the PEC July 1st of 2010, had previously operated under a different name, chartered by the Albuquerque Public Schools. The Charter School Division and PED recommends a three-year renewal term for Southwest Secondary Learning Center.

Financial difficulties at the school are the primary reason for the three-year-term recommendation. The FBI has recently begun an investigation into the school, and the PED has taken over the school's board of finance and is constructing a forensic audit. Results from these investigations are not yet known.

These recent events present considerable risk to the appropriate use of public school funding, and any conditions of the three-year term should focus on the school's financial difficulties.

This is especially true for Southwest

Secondary Learning Center, as their academic

performance is very high. An analysis of the

school's State grade report card indicates a final

grade of a B in 2012 and an A in 2013 and 2014.

The current [inaudible] grade for the school has been an A for all three years. What that means is that the achievement of students -- the percent proficient is among the highest in the



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 state.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Student reading proficiency scores showed 75 percent of students scoring proficient or advanced in 2012; 82 percent in 2013; and 80 percent in 2014.

In math, 76 percent of students scored proficient and advanced in 2012; 80 percent in 2013; and 74 percent in 2014.

Madam Chair, just a point of clarification. I can see why the back of the sheets are misleading. But I just want to be clear that the recommended term, from PED, is a three-year term, while it does say a two- or a three- as part of our template. But just to be clear, we do recommend a three-year term, with conditions that include completion of an improvement plan, focus on their finances, and two annual monitoring visits by CSD.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pahl.

Gentlemen?

MR. BAYSINGER: Thank you again for the opportunity to address the concerns about Southwest Secondary. I'd like to recognize that our counsel president, Mr. Kit Turpen, is with us here today, if you have guestions later.





THE CHAIR: Good afternoon.

MR. BAYSINGER: In an effort to extol and capitalize the accomplishments of Southwest Secondary, with your indulgence, I'd like to summarize the Advanced Ed's external report, again, from this past January.

They said: "Southwest Secondary is an exemplary model in utilizing technology to prepare students for higher education opportunities in an increasingly technologically-dependent society.

Feedback from all state quarters indicates that the school is clearly achieving its mission in providing a 21st Century educational experience.

"Secondary is highly effective at documenting and communicating student performance for students, parents, and all other stakeholders.

"Parents reported instantaneous access to student progress and thoroughly appreciated the dedication of sponsored teachers' methods of keeping them informed. It is highly evident that the school's curriculum provides challenging learning experiences that ensure all students have sufficient opportunities to develop learning, thinking, and life skills, which lead to achievement at the next level.



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"This opportunity for success is accomplished through an extensive and effective process of dual-enrollment courses and classwork existing in the school's SMART lab curriculum.

"The overall climate of Secondary as a learning community is extremely positive and welcoming.

"The school staff demonstrates a commitment to revision in order to deliver improved delivery of education. An example of this is SMART Lab, which was implemented as they saw a need for collaborative and creative experiences for student learning. The main lab further exemplifies a 21st Century model by having a strong emphasis on independent learning of students through the use of self-based and well-established academic curricular software programs.

"The Southwest Learning Center learning community has an impressive track record of success and continual improvement from its inception. Upon reviewing empirical data and conducting qualitative observations and interviews, it is the team's recommendation that Southwest Secondary Learning Center be granted a five-year term of accreditation."





Along with the accolades from Advanced Ed, Southwest Secondary was rated by Newsweek in 2014 as one of the top five high schools in New Mexico for doing the absolute best job of preparing students for college, including recognition for eliminating the achievement gap for low-income students.

Secondary has received the College Readiness Award from the New Mexico ACT Council for increasing the number of students taking the ACT assessment over the past five years, and significantly increasing their level of achievement in college-readiness.

Our annual ACT average scores are 1 to 3 percent points higher than national averages in all subject areas. The school's average graduation rate for the past three years is 89.3 percent.

Finally, the average 2004 Southwest

Secondary graduate would have completed -successfully completed -- 6.8 college classes
through the dual-enrollment program during their
high school tenure.

The Charter Division concluded their evaluation as follows:

"Organizationally, the school is high-functioning. The administrative team is very knowledgeable of all facets of the operation.





Despite some staffing and governing board turnover early in the year, things appear to be running smoothly. Students, parents, and faculty members interviewed expressed strong support for the school and stated concerns that the school might be closed even though they are performing at very high academic levels.

"Teachers interviewed indicated they are able to work with students individually, rather than large groups, which leads to higher achievement for all students. Students interviewed were very knowledgeable about what they were learning and why. The students also indicated the SMART Lab was an incentive for them to be very creative and take academic risks.

"All stakeholders expressed hope that whatever financial issues the school faces could be behind them so they can move forward."

And I might note, unless -- unless I missed something, that's incorrect; we didn't have any staff in Secondary. We had one staff position in Intermediate that we had to fill after the beginning of the year, and that's it. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Hold on. At this time, we have time set



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

aside for anyone in the audience who would care to speak.

Please come forward. And you'll need to use the microphone, so everyone can hear you.

Please give us your name, and you have five minutes.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't think I'll take five minutes. My name is Edwin Fernandez, and I'm a proud parent of two students at Southwest Secondary Learning Center. I have a senior, and I also have a seventh-grader. My kids started out in parochial school, and we've since moved them to charter schools.

So I want to say, first of all, the charter -- I'm a firm believer in charter schools and a strong supporter of them. So what you guys are doing, I think is really important.

My -- my senior, she's always done very, very well academically. My seventh-grader, you know, at times, she has struggled sometimes, and got to the point where school was not important for her.

She attends Southwest Secondary Learning Center. And it made me just really proud earlier today that my wife was telling me that my daughter is so excited about being able to get dual college -- dual credits and the opportunity to be





able to graduate early through the program at 1 2 Southwest Secondary Learning Center. 3 So I'm a firm believer in the -- in the

I know a lot of the staff, and I think they do an incredible job. And my -- I hope that you guys renew their charter, not just for one year, two years, three years; but really continue on for a long period of time.

And with that, I want to thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. appreciate that.

Commissioners, before -- before we have any discussion, I think I should have said, on the record earlier, when I suggested a two-year term, perhaps for all three of these schools, that it's because we're -- the PEC is awaiting results of the forensic audit, as well as the FBI investigation, as reasons for conditions. So I just want to be sure my statement was correct. Okay.

So, again, I'm suggesting we look at a two-year term for Southwest Secondary, as well.

Now, Commissioners, are there -- I believe Commissioner Parker?

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair, 25 Commissioners, at one of our -- I don't know if it



4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



1 was the last one or the previous one -- you were asked how the kids were doing over there, and you 2 3 stood up and said that you did not notice. there, still, any fallout from the school being on 4 the news and all that? 5 Our kids are doing great. MR. BAYSINGER: 7 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Good. 8 MR. BAYSINGER: Really, they're just doing 9 You know, I guess Commissioner Carr asked 10 earlier about where our students were today. 11 They're finishing their classes. I mean, really, 12 they -- and if you come to the school and see them 13 in the last week or so -- because they want that two 14 weeks of Christmas without having to work at home. 15 And our kids, they have access to their 16 There's always homework at our school. courses. 17 They're never done, because they always have When they finish first semester, they can 18 19 then start second semester. Many of our students 20 already have started their second semester classes. You walk in our school, and they're doing 21 22 fine. You wouldn't see -- from this year or five 23 years ago, they haven't missed a beat. 24 THE CHAIR: Anything else? 25 Commissioner Bergman?



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would first like to address the question to Mr. Pahl. Because you've listed the same conditions. And there's boilerplate conditions. But I'm looking at this grade report for this particular school.

If we ask them to do an improvement plan, what are we asking them to improve? What was your thinking in that -- in that specific regard?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Bergman, while the boilerplate language does state
an improvement plan, the improvement plan is
valuable for any school, based on their needs. And
as I mentioned earlier, that would be focused on the
financial.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As I'm just looking for this, I'm not sure I would -- if it's just limited to the financial, I'm not sure I would ask them to do something on the academic side. But then there was something that jumped out at me in the application. And I'll say I kind of -- just to be consistent, I like the two-year idea, also.

But on Page -- at the top of Page 42, in the organizational area of your application, there's a question that's on everybody's application about material terms. And it asks if you had violated any



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
material terms, and you checked the box "Yes." I
 1
     don't know if anybody else noticed that.
 2
 3
               I'm not aware of any material violations
 4
     that this school has done. There is no explanation.
 5
     So is that just someone marked the wrong box,
 6
     perhaps?
               MR. PAHL:
 7
                          It may be -- sorry.
 8
     Madam Chair, Commissioner Bergman, it may be.
 9
     let the school speak to it. But I'm looking at
10
     staff, and they're saying, "No, there weren't any
11
     material terms," unless it was an in abundance of
12
     caution, based on some of the recent events, that
13
     they checked "Yes." But I'll defer to the school.
14
               MR. BAYSINGER: Our box-checker says
15
     "No --"
16
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I didn't know if
17
     you were in a rush to report a violation to us or
18
     you just wanted to do it to change things up a
19
              That's kind of what I thought. Thank you
     for that clarification.
20
21
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, was
22
     that an official job title?
23
               MR. BAYSINGER: Yes.
                                     It's in his job
24
     description.
```



COMMISSIONER CARR:

25

"Master Box-Checker."

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, thank
you. I think that answers my questions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other discussion?

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, the only other item I have; otherwise -- two years for this school is fine -- is, again, the seventh-eighth grade question, combined with the previous one, that I don't know if we need to put a condition; but I would hope they would also be looking at do they move it over to the other school and keep that one, or move it -- I'm just -- as you do this over the next two years.

MR. BAYSINGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner

Toulouse, I -- I would -- I would invite you, if at

all -- come see our school. And, really, I could

explain to you. For me, the answer to the

question -- really, what I'm asking is, when I asked

the parents -- Mr. Fernandez, who just spoke, his

daughter is a seventh-grade Secondary student.

That's the question. "Well, Mr. Fernandez, would

you like to move your daughter to the other program,

or vice versa?"

I mean, he selected that program. I don't





1 know exactly what his reasons were. You heard how 2 happy he is with it. And I keep going back to that, 3 But there really are two different They're two different schools. And the 5 parents' selection of that program could be as much as deciding to go to Madison Middle School as going 7 to Southwest Secondary seventh grade or Southwest I would -- please come. 8 Intermediate seventh grade. I'd like to give you a tour. 9

official invite. I try to go to any school. But I have tried very carefully -- although you are not within my district -- you're a little out of it -- I feel better if I get an official invite, and we set up a time. But I would be very glad to come. And, again, I'm not necessarily concerned about that.

I do have a concern -- and it's very personal, and it's for some of the other schools, too -- about kids who are in such a rush to leave school early. I graduated at age 16 and had a college semester under my belt before I was 17. I didn't socially fit in for years. I was already in high school at the age of 13, you know.

And I would encourage people to encourage kids to



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

explore other areas of education, you know, to look 1 across the board -- some more electives -- before, 2 3 personally, I would send kids out in the world as young as I went out. It took a while for me to 5 settle down and figure out where I was. 6 Thank you. 7 MR. BAYSINGER: I would reassure you that 8 I'm very cautious when I hand out parental advice to 9 other parents. But I do say just what I said 10 before. I really caution those folks that want to 11 do the Southwest Secondary program for seventh- and 12 eighth-graders, "Here's what you're looking at. 13 sure you consider all these options before you 14 enroll your student here." 15 THE CHAIR: Further comments and questions, Commissioners? 16 17 Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a 18 motion. 19 Commissioner Bergman, you seem to have 20 this down pretty well. Would you like to give it 21 another shot? 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't want to hog 23 it; but if no one else wants to do it, I will do it. 24 Did I hear someone say they wanted to do 25 it?



1	All right. I've procrastinated enough, I
2	guess.
3	I, Commissioner Bergman, move that the
4	Public Education Commission approve the charter
5	renewal of the Southwest Secondary Learning Center
6	for two years, with the following conditions:
7	Completion of an improvement plan that
8	would be specific for the financial aspects that
9	have been perhaps called into question;
10	And at least two annual monitoring visits
11	from the Charter School Division.
12	And that would be pursuant to the Charter
13	School Act, Section 12-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. Do I
15	hear a second?
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
17	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner
18	Bergman, second by Commissioner Gant, to approve the
19	renewal application of the Southwest Secondary
20	Learning Center for a two-year period, with
21	conditions as noted on the official record.
22	Is there discussion?
23	Hearing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we
2 4	have a roll-call vote?
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner



1	Toulouse?
2	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Parker?
5	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Peralta?
8	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
12	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Shearman?
15	THE CHAIR: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
17	Bergman votes "yes."
18	Madam Chair, that is a 6-to-1 vote in
19	favor of that motion.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
21	to renew Southwest Secondary Learning Center for a
22	two-year period, with conditions as noted on the
23	record. Thank you all very much.
24	MR. BAKER: Thank you, all.
25	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, I believe we go



back now to Item 11-L, which is Albuquerque School of Excellence.

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, before I get started on Albuquerque School of Excellence, I just realized I haven't made a comment to our schools in the room.

Thank you for all your work on the applications, and thank you for your work that you do in your schools every day, something I should have said right from the beginning. But we have a lot of them in here, and we realize that this process is a lengthy one and one that needs a lot of effort. And for all the right reasons, for making big investments in schools and big investments in kids. So thank you.

The Albuquerque School of Excellence was chartered by the State in 2009. Their first year of operation commenced in 2010. The school's mission is to provide a safe and collaborative environment which will cultivate the academic and social development of its students by emphasizing math, science, and technology for the purpose of students setting and meeting higher education goals.

This is a STEM-based school with rich diversity in cultures. The Charter School Division



1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

recommends Albuquerque School of Excellence should 1 be approved, without conditions, for a term of five 2 3 years, due to the following factors: 4 The school has no record of material violations. 5 The school's three-year grade average is a 7 С. 8 Financial matters seem to be in good order 9 at the school, and the school has not violated any 10 provision in law. 11 The school has analyzed its progress and 12 shortcomings and proposed future goals in SMART 13 format to assure continued growth in the next 14 charter term. 15 The Albuquerque School of Excellence's 16 three-year report card average is a C, with scores 17 ranging from, 2014, a grade of an A, which was up 18 from a grade of a C in 2013. The school received a 19 grade of a B in 2012. 20 Three-year school growth of highest 21 performing students has progressed consistently, 22 moving up to an A in 2014 from a D in 2012 and a C 23 in 2013.



neighborhood schools, meaning that the school is a

The school outscored or equaled their six

24

1 good option for students in its neighborhood. Madam Chair, once again, the Charter 2 3 School Division recommends Albuquerque School of Excellence for a five-year term with no conditions. One last note on schools that have a C 5 6 grade average -- and I think Albuquerque School of 7 Excellence is a good example of this -- these are schools that us, as a division, through our 8 technical assistance that we provide the school, 9 10 really want to focus on to help them excel. 11 look forward to working with the school in the 12 future, should they be renewed today. Thank you. 13 14 Thank you, Mr. Pahl. THE CHAIR: Good 15 afternoon. We appreciate you all and your 16 supporters -- I think the number may have dwindled. 17 I thought I saw quite a few students here earlier. 18 But we appreciate you waiting so patiently. 19 Please introduce yourselves, and we'll get 20 it on the official record, and then you have five 21 minutes. 22 MR. AYKAC: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, 23 and members of the Commission --24 THE CHAIR: Okay. Pardon me. You're 25 going to have to speak up a lot louder than that.



And push the button down. You may even want to get 1 2 that microphone right close to your mouth. 3 MR. AYKAC: Good afternoon, Madam Chair 4 members of the Commission. My name is Salih 5 Aykac -- S-A-L-I-H, A-Y-K-A-C -- principal of Albuquerque School of Excellence. 6 MS. RENO: My name is Beverly Reno. 8 am secretary of the governing council. 9 MR. ANDEROGLU: My name is Osman 10 Anderoglu. I am with the governing council since 11 Currently, I am serving as the president. 2010. 12 MR. AYKAC: On behalf of my school, 13 governing council, students, staff, and parents, I 14 would like to thank you for your commitment and 15 leadership as charter authorizer. I also would like 16 to thank Charter School Division, our former 17 liaisons, Ron and Rachel, and current liaisons, 18 Chris and Amy, for help during this process. 19 Our goal was to provide a STEM-focused 20 public education option for parents and students, an 21 educational choice selected for the students, a safe

SANTA FE OFFICE

22

23

24

25

119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



and collaborative environment, which will cultivate

the academic and social environment we are

THE CHAIR: Excuse me.

developing for our students --

She can't go that

fast, and my hearing is not that good. So maybe it'll slow you down if you yell a little bit, so that I can hear you. Thank you, please.

MR. AYKAC: By emphasizing math, science, technology, and preparing our students for higher education. As we come before you today, we are pleased with our progress to date. We also know that we have lots of things to do, and we will continue to do that.

As you get the data in front of you regarding our student achievement on the SBA, it's very clear to see that our students made a huge improvement in math, reading, and science. Our school-wide reading scores are at least 13 percent higher than the State average; whereas, our math scores are 17 percent higher than the State average; and our science scores are more than 20 percent higher than the State average.

Even though our school-wide averages are higher than the State, when we look deeper into each grade level, we have some areas needing more attention. We had a problem in third-grade reading and math, sixth-grade reading, and eleventh-grade science.

We had a problem in third grade due to a



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

teacher change in the middle of the school year. We lost vital instructional time until we hired a new teacher.

We also have a new teacher in sixth grade and eleventh-grade science.

Another area we need to pay attention is Q1, lowest performing students. We started mandatory tutoring for those students right after school started. We hired a math and reading interventionist this year. Students are pulled out from non-core subjects throughout the day, and they get help.

We also purchased guided reading book sets for each grade level to be used by reading specialists. We also have the ALEKS program as a supplement and math intervention program. We have a "DEAR" time, "Drop Everything And Read." Every student in our school reads thirty minutes in school.

We have a strong parent-teacher relationship. As you know, elementary parents are good at visiting the school; but you don't see it in middle and high school. But it's not like that in our school. We have a home visit program.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes.





MR. AYKAC: We like to visit all of our students, starting from upper grade to lower grade, and establish better communication. During our visit, we explain our expectations, getting feedback from parents, and introduce the new programs going on at school. The college counselor goes with the homeroom teacher to talk about college readiness and steps they need to take as a parent and as a student toward their graduation.

As a part of college readiness, we want our students to visit colleges and universities.

Our eighth-graders visit universities in

Albuquerque; freshmen visit nearby universities,
such as New Mexico Tech, Northern New Mexico.

Sophomores visit New Mexico State in Las Cruces,
UTEP in El Paso. Juniors attend [incomprehensible]
in Denver, the University of Denver and the
Air Force Academy, first semester; and they visit
Texas Universities in the spring semester. And,
finally, seniors get to visit either East Coast or
West Coast universities.

If a student stays at Albuquerque School of Excellence for four years, they will have a chance to visit several different universities before they go to college, which will help to make



their choice wisely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

team. They have been competing at the New Mexico championship, and we are the only charter school getting first place three years in a row. We have science fair going on, fourth-through twelfth-grade students competing school-wide. We also have a Science Olympiad team. At the very first year, we got fourth place in region, and eighth place in State.

So we are really proud what we have accomplished in the last three years. We are not satisfied, and we definitely have higher aspirations than what we have accomplished. We want to continue to provide better education for children of New Mexico.

Therefore, we are asking PEC to grant us five more years.

We are here to answer your questions.
Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We have time set aside for anyone in the audience who would care to speak.

MS. TITUS: We know we only have five minutes.





1 MS. PLUMMER: We've got it figured out. 2 THE CHAIR: You've been here for a while. 3 SPEAKER: Yes, we have. 4 THE CHAIR: Please give us your names. 5 MS. TITUS: Can you hear me if I speak 6 without the microphone? I think I've talked to you 7 before, because I came to talk about the apps [ph] 8 at ASE, when you met in Albuquerque. 9 My name is Liz Titus, and I'm 10 vice president of the PTO, and I have two children 11 And I just want to let you know how at ASE. 12 important, as a parent, it is that ASE has good 13 communications with the parents, and the parents are 14 so involved. 15 And Prince is on our PTO board, and he's 16 going to talk to you briefly about the elementary 17 education. 18 MR. THOMAS: So my name is Thomas Prince 19 [verbatim]. I'm the treasurer of the PTO, and my 20 daughter is in fourth grade. They have excellent teachers at the school. 21 22 Previously, for the past three years, my 23 daughter was going to a private school. And I had 24 to take her out of private school to come to ASE,



because my neighbor used to -- her best friend used

to go to ASE, and my daughter used to go to private school.

So I just gave them, when they come to my house, a math exam to see where they are, charter school versus private school. So to my surprise, like, the charter school was scoring far higher than the private school. So it made me think of my math, science -- as being a physician, you know, math and science is very important to me. So it made me think of, like, this school must be good.

excellent math program, excellent science; and technology is very good. Teachers go far and beyond their means. And I love the home visit we have with the -- the students. They come once a month to see my daughter, how she's doing, how she's academically progressing; and the home culture, too, how the kids are interacting with their parents. I think that's also fundamental for education. Home is where the education starts. That's what my belief is.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. PLUMMER: My name is Denise Plummer, and my son is a mid-school student at ASE. And so I'd like to speak to the mid-school and high school programs that they have.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My son has been in the gifted programs in APS since he was in second grade. He wants someplace that he can feel safe being smart. And a lot of -- with the No Child Left Behind and things like that, there's a lot of energy put into non-performing students and less and less energy put into students like my son.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes.

MS. PLUMMER: So we came to ASE. ASE
has -- they have robotics for him. He wants to be
an engineer -- or he thinks he wants to be an
engineer. He had an opportunity to explore
engineering through robotics. He's on the Math
Counts team, which helps with where he wants to go.
He thinks math is fun. He's doing the Science Bowl,
because he now has time for that because robotics is
over.

But most importantly, they have a unique program called CRLP, College Readiness and Leadership Program. This school is -- they don't want to just get your child college-ready; they want to see that your child can go to whatever college they want to go to. They want to see that your child can go to Stanford or Harvard, if that's as high as their goals reach.



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And to that end, the College Readiness 1 2 Leadership Program helps them begin to build the 3 college resume that Harvard wants to see, as eighth-graders, so that they have a history of it, so that when they look far back, they can see what 5 they need to do. 6 They have to do community service 7 8 projects. And the teachers at ASE give their time 9 on Saturdays. They spend four hours with my child 10 on Saturday. They spend an hour after school with 11 our children. They donate their time to see that my 12 son can go as far as his aspirations reach. 13 And they're just his aspirations. If he 14 doesn't have that kind of a goal, they don't push 15 it. It's voluntary. 16 They don't say, "You're smart; you have to 17 do this." 18 They say, "Gee, you're smart. Would you like to do this?" 19 20 And then they stand behind my -- our children, and they help them reach as far and as 21 22 wide as they can go. And that makes this school 23 unique, in my opinion. Thanks. 24 MS. TITUS: Thank you.



Thank you all very much.

THE CHAIR:

Commissioners, do you have questions or 1 2 comments? 3 Could I -- I have a couple. Could I just start while everybody else kind of mulls theirs? 5 I notice, in reading through your application, you have three student academic goals, 6 none of which were met. I notice there were three student performance goals, and those were met. 8 9 Student Performance Goal No. 3, however, 10 if you'll go down to where it says -- underneath the 11 goal, and then there's a table with data. And then 12 it says, "Provide a statement of progress and 13 additional information regarding the above data." 14 I'm on Page 24. But I'm not sure that I'm 15 on the latest edition of CSD's analysis, okay? 16 Student Performance Goal 3. 17 Are you with me? 18 MR. AYKAC: Yes. 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Underneath where it 20 asks you to provide a statement of progress and additional information, it says, "As of today, all 21 22 of the students in graduating class of 2015 have at 23 least 75 hours of community service in lieu of three 24 years at high school." 25 Could you explain that, please?



1	MR. AYKAC: Okay. Having these 100 hours
2	of community service is one of our graduation
3	requirements.
4	THE CHAIR: We're having some disconnect
5	here.
6	MR. AYKAC: We are expecting our students
7	to do at least 25 hours of community service each
8	year. And then by the end of the senior year, we
9	want them to have at least 100 hours of community
10	service.
11	THE CHAIR: But it says "in lieu of" three
12	years at high school. Does that mean they're not in
13	high school?
14	MR. AYKAC: No.
15	THE CHAIR: "In lieu of" means "instead
16	of."
17	MR. AYKAC: That was a typo, I guess.
18	Starting from ninth grade, we want them to have at
19	least 25 hours of community service each year.
20	THE CHAIR: Instead of going to high
21	school?
22	MR. AYKAC: No, no, no. I'm sorry.
23	This is a graduation requirement, not instead of
24	going to high school. In addition to graduation
25	requirements, we have a local graduation



1 requirement. 2 Is the wrong word there? THE CHAIR: 3 MR. AYKAC: Yes. 4 THE CHAIR: It should be "in addition" rather than "in lieu"? 5 MR. AYKAC: 6 Yes. 7 THE CHAIR: Okay, good. Thank you. Those were my questions. 8 Commissioners, other questions? 9 10 Commissioner Bergman? 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Not a question. 12 want to address your comment about your child being 13 in the gifted program and having some bullying 14 problems, because both of my kids were in the gifted 15 programs throughout their school years, and they 16 occasionally had that same problem. My wife and I, 17 of course, had to deal with those issues at those 18 same times. 19 Safety is always at the top of my list, 20 because I've experienced it firsthand. I don't know why it's wrong in the schools if some kids happen to 21 22 be a little smarter than others. I know kids will 23 just be kids. So I identified with that when you 24 said that, because it is certainly a concern.



it's one of the many reasons why charter schools

1 They do offer some alternative in some 2 areas; and that's one of the areas. 3 And I just wanted to say that. So you 4 certainly -- it's something we deal with, as 5 parents, sometimes. Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Other comments? 8 Commissioners, I just have to say, you 9 know, we make a big emphasis on goals and reaching 10

know, we make a big emphasis on goals and reaching those goals. And I am concerned that their student academic goals were not reached. They have a C on their report card. I wonder if we might not be better to consider a three-year renewal with conditions of an improvement plan and the two annual monitoring visits by CSD. I know this is a good school in many ways. We hear wonderful things about it. But, again, the data we're looking at gives some pause here.

Your thoughts?

Commissioner Toulouse?

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair,
haven't we already done some schools with five
years, but with the condition of the improvement
plan, and maybe some additional monitoring? I would
prefer to go that route and have the improvement



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

plans brought back, because I think this school is 1 doing well and moving forward. 2 3 And once again, as I said on another 4 school, once we have them on the contract, we have 5 the yearly performance review that we go through that it's time to look at those things, too. 6 THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gant? 8 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 9 I did note some of the goals they did make. 10 did, for some grade levels; and other grade levels, 11 they did not make it. So maybe I'm getting soft, 12 but I could go with Commissioner -- I do 13 occasionally get that way -- Commissioner Toulouse, 14 but with a very strong performance --15 THE CHAIR: Improvement plan. 16 COMMISSIONER GANT: -- improvement plan, 17 very strong; because I want all grades to make the 18 goals, not just -- because that's what they say 19 they're going to do. 20 I could agree with that. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 21 I also would lean 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 23 more towards the five-year. But I see your concerns 24 about those goals; but you know where I stand on



that. And I believe that perhaps some improvement

1	plan might be called for; but we will negotiate with
2	this school sometime in the spring. And we would
3	certainly put them on notice that I am a negotiator;
4	I'm a strong negotiator. And we will, once you come
5	to that meeting prepared to talk about applying some
6	really rigorous not overly rigorous, but enough
7	of a challenging goal that we can work on those
8	areas that need to be worked on.
9	So that's where I would stand. I'm kind
10	of ambivalent on an actual improvement plan, because
11	I believe we could if we do it in a negotiation,
12	we can come up with a performance contract and a
13	performance framework that would address those
14	issues.
15	But here again, like I say, I prefer five
16	years; but I'll go with an improvement plan, if
17	that's what the rest of the Commissioners want to
18	do.
19	THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other comments?
20	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
21	motion.
22	Commissioner Gant?
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,
24	I I, Commissioner Eugene Gant, move that the
25	Public Education Commission approve, with



1	conditions, the renewal of the charter school
2	application for Albuquerque School of Excellence in
3	Albuquerque, pursuant to the Charter Act,
4	Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12, that the
5	charter is renewed for approval with the following
6	conditions:
7	Completion of an improvement plan specific
8	to the school;
9	And two annual monitoring visits by CSD.
LO	THE CHAIR: You've heard the motion. Do I
L1	have a second?
L 2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
L 3	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Gant,
L 4	second by Commissioner Peralta, to approve the
L 5	application renewal application of Albuquerque
L 6	School of Excellence for five years, with conditions
L 7	as noted on the official record.
L 8	Cindy, can you tell us if he did say for a
L 9	term of five years in the motion.
20	(A discussion was held off the record.)
21	THE CHAIR: Would you amend your motion?
22	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,
23	I amend the motion to include a five-year term,
2 4	approved.
2.5	THE CHAIR. And Commissioner Peralta do



1	you agree to the amendment to the motion?
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I do.
3	THE CHAIR: All right. We have a motion
4	and a second to approve the renewal of Albuquerque
5	School of Excellence for five years, with the
6	conditions, as noted on the official record.
7	Are there are there is there
8	discussion?
9	Hearing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we
10	have a roll-call vote?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Parker?
13	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Peralta?
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
18	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
20	Toulouse?
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Shearman?



1 THE CHAIR: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner 3 Bergman votes "yes." 4 Madam Chair, that is a 7-to-0 vote in favor of that motion. 5 The motion passes unanimously. 6 THE CHAIR: 7 You are approved for five years. Thank you. 8 Next school is Albuquerque Sign Language 9 Whenever you're ready. Academy. 10 MS. LUCERO: Thank you, Madam Chair, 11 members of the Commission. The Albuquerque Sign 12 Language Academy was established due to the need for 13 a community school that serves students and families 14 of the deaf and hard-of-hearing community. 15 school is not a traditional school for the deaf, as 16 it serves hearing students and deaf and 17 hard-of-hearing students alike. The school serves 18 the student population through a full inclusive 19 model. The Charter School Division recommends the 20 21 Albuquerque Sign Language Academy for approval, 22 without conditions, for a term of five years. 23 school has had no material violations during the course of the current charter. CSD finds that the 24



school operates efficiently in terms of governance

procedures, its reporting duties, and organizational stability.

The ASL Academy school report card grades for years two through four have been suspended while the school works with PED to develop an appropriate evaluation formula that can more accurately capture the performance of the student population.

The school community has worked diligently to research, develop, and collaborate around this initiative to ensure program rigor and accurate measurements of growth.

Financial audits of ASL Academy show no significant findings, material findings, or internal control weaknesses. The school has not violated any provision of the law, and CSD recognizes the school's leadership and governance are sound.

The CSD recommends the school be renewed for a five-year term with no conditions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Good afternoon. Please introduce yourselves, and let's hear what you have to say.

MR. MARTINEZ: Raphael Martinez. I'm the executive director of the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy. To my right is Kimberly Silva. She is the governing board chair.



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Before I get to the achievements of the school, I want to begin by referencing some of the comments made yesterday by exiting Commissioners

Parker and Gant, because they were -- I thought they moved something in me. And I say that, because -
I'll start with Commissioner Parker. You started speaking about the reason you're here working with the PEC, and you started talking about your own children.

And I identified with this, because I'm sitting here, not because I have some -- some kind of altruistic global need to research education.

What happened to me was I had a son who was born deaf eleven years ago, and after being kicked around a few systems -- not just one or two, but a few -- and either they didn't want him, or we weren't satisfied with the service, I ended up here with a bunch of big-hearted, smart educators that saw a need and worked to fulfill that need.

Which brings me to Commissioner Gant's comments on respect and service, which I wholeheartedly agree with, and which basically has become the daily driver for the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy, in that we are looking to serve a community that has traditionally been underserved,



and under-respected -- disrespected. And so we have created something that exists only in New Mexico.

And I'm proud to say that. And beyond just the educational pursuits, this has turned into something more around social justice.

When you look at deaf education statistics and how they lead into deaf social statistics, specifically a 70 to 90 percent unemployment or under-employment rate in the deaf community, it's disturbing to me, as a parent of a deaf child, that that's what my son could be facing. So we knew something had to happen.

So what we've done, we've created a school that exists -- we are the only State-certified ASL-English, dual-language, school in the nation. The operative words there are "State-certified." Because we have that designation, we're working with the Bilingual Department to develop the criteria to stand as a State-certified bilingual school in American Sign Language and English. We're working with the Licensure Bureau so that teachers have that bilingual certification.

In addition, we're working -- we're partnering with various institutions of higher ed, namely UNM, CNM, but also reaching out to Boston



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

University, about creating a teacher training

program that parallels, like, a medical residency

program, essentially turning our school into a lab

school about best practice for deaf,

hard-of-hearing, special ed, and hearing -- teaching

hearing kids.

We were named the 2013 Charter School of the Year by the Coalition of Charter Schools. We're looking to expand in partnership with Amy Biehl High School.

We are full. We have 40 kids on our waiting list. The latest news, we had a meeting -we're in talks with Gallaudet University, the premier deaf university in the nation, and they're talking about giving us a national endorsement around our program; reason being -- these are their words -- "I don't know if you know what you've done, but what you've done reflects the culmination of 50 years of research that is only happening in your school."

And then, lastly, we are the only elementary SAM school in the state. We don't fit formulas. That's just how it is with us. But we work well in partnership with everyone we can, PED, Assessment, Bilingual; whoever will listen and work



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 with us, we reach out. And so we are, right now, 2 working with the Assessment Bureau and Priority 3 Schools to develop assessments that will be 4 validated -- normed and validated that will fairly 5 measure the progress of our students. 6 Thank you. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER GANT: You've got 30 seconds. 9 MR. MARTINEZ: I hope we get renewed. 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 11 time for anyone in the audience who cares to speak. 12 I see no one. 13 Let's move on to Commissioners' questions 14 or comments. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair? THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman? 16 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would like to get us started. I'll read from one of the pages in your 18 19 application, because I want to ask you -- explore 20 how it worked out. It was on Page 13, where you 21 said you had been sanctioned by the Public Education 22 Department to develop content assessments, 23 behavioral assessments, and language assessments to be used statewide for students for whom the SBA and 24 25 PARCC is not an appropriate assessment. And you



were funded for this work through a school improvement plan.

Then I notice, on Page 30, a page long, where you talked about some of the work you've been doing.

Where are you right now? Do you -- are you to the point yet where you can assist your students, where you can then furnish us some data, so as an oversight authorizer, we can see how you're succeeding, and, more importantly, how your students are succeeding?

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Bergman, yes, in fact, it's funny that you just said that. I just turned in our final, kind of, draft today to -- to the people in the Priority Schools, who are working with Assessment -- we're working through those two departments -- we have started using our assessments. And what we're doing this year is collecting baseline data to then validate and norm the test and move forward from there.

So we're not sitting on our hands. We're actually doing the work we've been assigned to do.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Great. I'm glad to hear that. And I'm guessing that because of what



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you've told us about from Gallaudet and other places, that what you've established is going to spread nationwide. You may be the birth of a new assessment system for kids that fit your mold.

MR. MARTINEZ: Commissioner Bergman, yes, maybe I should clarify. The reason we are so different is because we're not a deaf school. And I think I need to be clear in saying that. We serve all students, deaf, hard-of-hearing, whoever shows up on our doorstep. We're doing it through dual-language modalities.

It's not even that. It's actually a dual -- it's dual modality, because a visual language paired with a spoken language, and then a written language on top. So it's something that's -- I think people think about, but to do it at our level isn't happening anywhere but with us.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Great. And you already touched briefly on something else I was going to ask about, because I didn't see it in either your application or Amy Biehl's. There was no mention of what you've talked to us in the past about.

Can you bring me up to date? You haven't abandoned that, have you? Are you still working



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with Amy Biehl to try and put it all together? 1 MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair and members of 2 3 the Commission -- and Frank from Amy Biehl is 4 sitting back there; he'll verify it -- no, we are 5 still working collaboratively. We're building the As we go forward -- in fact, I'm glad you 6 7 said that, because we need to start talking about 8 next year's cohort that's going to Amy Biehl, and 9 then how that program continues to grow, be refined, 10 and do the things that we need it to do. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We have some of the 12 same issues, because when we sit down with you, 13 assuming you are approved and get to that 14 negotiation phase for your performance contract and 15 performance framework, since you're establishing 16 some baseline, that that will at least give us 17 something to talk about with what kind of goals can we work out between this Commission and your school 18 19 that, here again, will help us see how you're 20 progressing. So there will be some effect in that area. 21 22 I thank you. And thank you, Madam Chair. 23 Thank you, Commissioner THE CHAIR: 24 Bergman.



Other comments?

Commissioner Toulouse? 1 2 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, my 3 brain is getting tired, but my mouth is still 4 operating. My question is, I'm a little concerned 5 about the size of your waiting list. How are you 6 7 progressing with trying to get some other property, 8 get money to build or find a facility similar to 9 what you have, but with more space? MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the 10 11 Commission, Commissioner Toulouse, great question. 12 We just met two days ago with County Commissioner 13 O'Malley, in talking about how to expand; because, 14 right now, we lease from the County. And it's a 15 fantastic partnership, but we know -- we're confined 16 by our building. That is our cap. 17 And there are options on the table. 18 talking and being very aggressive about pursuing 19 those options. So we're hoping this next year, we 20 can put something together to get bigger. And it's There is a need for us in the community, 21 needed. 22 and I think what we represent is something pretty 23 special, so... 24 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Thank you. 25 Thank you. Commissioner Gant?



THE CHAIR:

1 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 2 I would be remiss -- and these Commissioners know --3 make sure you are talking to PSFA. Make sure. 4 Because we've had charter schools take off on a 5 "We're moving." tangent. No, that will not go down well with this 6 7 Commission. 8 Secondly -- and it's just a comment on my 9 part; the other Commissioners can pick it up or drop it. I don't -- it's immaterial to me. 10 11 We went through a long discussion this 12 morning with another charter school about 13 replication. I live down in the south. There is a 14 south to this state, believe it or not. There is 15 life below 40. 16 But I would think that your -- what you're 17 doing with this school would be highly welcomed down 18 in Cruces and that part of the world, because there 19 are children down there that need your educational 20 services, your educational school. And I'm just 21 saying, as a person who lives down there, I would 22 look forward to a replication of your school down 23 But do -- it -there. 24 MR. MARTINEZ: The right way.



COMMISSIONER GANT: -- with these people.

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Gant, thank you for saying that. So the first thing, I did -- in fact, yesterday I scheduled a meeting with Martica to talk about those things you just said about expansion.

So we're moving that direction. And, absolutely, I'll pay attention.

The second thing is, I do believe we represent the future of deaf and special education. And it makes complete sense. And -- to look at expanding -- or at least advising or consulting with folks who want to do the same thing in different parts of the state, it makes a lot of sense, given the rural nature of New Mexico. And I would -- it is evident definitely something I'd be interested in doing later.

THE CHAIR: And I'm surprised that

Commissioner Gant didn't say this. But when you get rather serious about moving, if you would come and let us know, and then when you find your location, then that's when you come in and ask for an amendment to your charter. So it's kind of a two-step process, if you would.

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, yes.

THE CHAIR: Can I just ask -- areas of





```
growth, I notice, it's lack of library and
 1
     increasing need for technology, but limited computer
 2
 3
     supplies.
 4
               Now, your students, do they take -- will
 5
     they take the PARCC assessment?
               MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the
 6
 7
     Commission, that is -- yes, they will take the
 8
     PARCC; even though we've been granted this TBD
     status, and it's been acknowledged that our kids are
 9
10
     not well-served by standardized tests, the PARCC,
11
     especially, there -- it seems that it's a mandate we
12
     can't get out of.
13
               So we will -- our kids will take it.
14
     It's -- it's excruciating to see them struggle with
15
     it. But with some of these other pieces that we're
16
     working on, we're hoping to maybe offset some of the
17
     effect of the PARCC.
18
               THE CHAIR: Okay.
                                  Thank for you that.
     believe that's all I have.
19
20
               Commissioners -- thank you. I just want
21
     to say, I support the CSD's recommendation for a
22
     full five years with no conditions.
23
               Commissioner Parker?
24
               COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madam Chair,
25
     Commissioners, I just want to say thank you for the
```



```
1
     work you're doing.
 2
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I'd
 3
     like to suggest anybody who goes through
 4
     Albuquerque, stop and visit. I was incredibly
 5
     impressed when I visited. My only problem with it
 6
     was your lack of a full-time nurse --
 7
               MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. Madam Chair?
 8
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: -- which is no
 9
     fault of your own.
10
               MR. MARTINEZ: Right. But we'll work on
11
     that.
12
               THE CHAIR: You're working on it.
13
               Any other comments?
14
               Commissioner Bergman?
15
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just one thing.
16
     like Las Cruces; but, actually, I could also see, if
17
     you reach a point where you want to start
     replicating these schools, I agree with what
18
     Commissioner Gant said. Think about Roswell.
19
20
     happens to be where I am. I know we have a
21
     community down there, also. So don't just limit it
22
     to Las Cruces. Thank you.
23
               MR. MARTINEZ: We've been appropriately
24
     advised.
25
               THE CHAIR: Forget both of those, and come
```



1	to Artesia.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm not going to jump
3	on the bandwagon.
4	THE CHAIR: Any other comments?
5	Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
6	motion.
7	Commissioner Toulouse?
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, I,
9	Commisioner Carmie Toulouse, move that the Public
LO	Education Commission approve the renewal of the
L1	charter school application for the New Mexico for
L 2	the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy for a term of
L 3	five years, pursuant to the Charter School Act,
L 4	Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12, with no
L 5	conditions.
L 6	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
L 7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
L 8	THE CHAIR: We have a motion by
L 9	Commissioner Toulouse, second by Commissioner
20	Bergman, to approve the application of Albuquerque
21	Sign Language Academy for renewal for a period of
22	five years with no conditions.
23	Any discussion?
2 4	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a
25	roll-call vote?



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
2	Peralta?
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Toulouse?
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Parker?
11	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Shearman?
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Bergman votes "yes."
19	Madam Chair, that is a 7-to-0 vote in
20	favor of that motion.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
22	unanimously to approve the renewal for five years of
23	Albuquerque Sign Language Academy with no
24	conditions.
25	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you very much.



THE CHAIR: Congratulations. Keep up the good work.

MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: If the representatives from Amy Biehl High School would like to come forward?

Please, go right ahead.

MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Amy Biehl High School is applying for its third charter term. Over the years, it has proven success in the challenges in developing in alignment with the school mission.

The Charter School Division recommends that Amy Biehl be approved without conditions for a term of five years. The school has no material violations, and the CSD finds that the school operates efficiently in terms of governance procedures, its reporting duties, and organizational stability.

The school has maintained a State grade of A for the last two years and shows consistently high levels of student achievement, indicated by the grade in bold. The school has received unqualified audit opinions. The school has not violated any provision of the law, and CSD is confident that this school's leadership and governance are sound.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Students in Quartile 1 made tremendous
2	strides moving from a D to a B. Also in the school
3	grade report card, Amy Biehl outranks Cibola High
4	School in total points and student and also in
5	student growth of lowest performing students.
6	The CSD recommends a five-year renewal
7	with no conditions.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
9	If you all would introduce yourselves,
10	please, and take all of that five minutes that you'd
11	like to.
12	MR. McCULLOCH: Thank you very much, Madam
13	Secretary [verbatim], members of the Commission. My
14	name is Frank McCulloch. I am the executive
15	director and principal of the Amy Biehl High School.
16	You spell that M-c-C-U-L-L-O-C-H.
17	MS. SEELEY: Good afternoon. My name is
18	Betty Seeley. The last name is spelled S-E-E-L-E-Y.
19	I am the finance director at Amy Biehl High School.
20	MR. McCULLOCH: And I would just briefly
21	like to apologize. We had governance board
22	attendance, but he was called away to a meeting this
23	afternoon, and he couldn't be here.
24	THE CHAIR: We appreciate that.
25	MR. McCULLOCH: Thank you very much. I



appreciate, Madam Secretary, members of the

Commission, to speak with you all this afternoon. I

just want to quote the last line of our mission

statement from Amy Biehl High School.

"Our graduates are civic-minded, college-bound, and career-ready."

Our data fully supports our success in these areas, particularly in the areas of civic-mindedness and college-bound. We have spent the last 15 years growing and refining these last two areas of our mission.

A quick demographic breakdown of our current student population: 52 percent of our students are economically disadvantaged. 65 percent are considered minorities. It depends on which class you actually survey; but anywhere between 30 to 60 percent of any particular class is actually first-generation college students.

I also want to reference 21 percent special education population; and included in that are two deaf boys this year from the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy. And we're predicting we'll have six more deaf and hard-of-hearing students that will be coming to us from ASLA next year.

100 percent of our graduates complete a



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

100-hour senior service project, where they go out into the community and work specifically with mentors with different agencies. I believe this last year, we had 45 different agencies that our students worked with.

Now, our civic engagement program has grown, actually grown backwards, in that it is now fully a nine through twelfth-grade program. It is not only just a hands-on, in the community engagement; but it also is represented in curriculum in our humanities and science classes, as well as in some of our electives classes.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Three minutes, please.

MR. McCULLOCH: In addition to our graduating class of 2014, 100 percent of our graduates completed two post-secondary courses with a C or better. 97 percent of this graduating class were accepted into college. Over the last five years, over 90 percent of our graduates have not only been accepted into college, but have also attended college. And in longitudinal data studies, 86 percent of our students are still enrolled in college after two years.

I am very excited about our school's passion to now embrace our third prong of our



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mission, which is career-readiness. And the way
we're handling that is really in collaboration with
our previous two goals, civic engagement and college
preparation.

Just a word or two about our student culture and a couple of foundational beliefs of our school:

We highly believe in personalization and intentional relationships and mentorship. Our faculty is highly collaborative and fully understand that in order for students to achieve high levels of academic achievement, particularly when you deal with under-served students, you have to match that with equally high levels of social and emotional support. And we have the structures in place to provide that kind of support.

Again, thank you for allowing us to present to you all.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Did you -- thank you.

MS. SEELEY: He said it all.

THE CHAIR: Again, we have time set aside for anyone from the audience that would like to speak to this school.

Seeing no one, Commissioners, I would ask



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24



```
1
     for your comments and questions about Amy Biehl High
 2
     School.
 3
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair, it's
     nice having some of the easier ones, when we get
     tired.
 5
               THE CHAIR: It's time.
 6
 7
               MR. McCULLOCH: Yeah.
 8
               THE CHAIR: I hear no comments or
 9
     questions.
10
               Hearing none, the Chair would entertain a
11
     motion.
12
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I'll try that.
13
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta?
14
               COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I, Commissioner
15
     Gilbert Peralta, move the PEC approve the renewal of
16
     the charter school application for Amy Biehl High
17
     School for the term of five years, pursuant to the
     Charter School Act, Section 22-8B-6 and Section
18
19
     22-8B-12, with no conditions.
20
                           Thank you very much.
               THE CHAIR:
               Do I have a second?
21
22
               COMMISSIONER PARKER:
                                      (Indicates.)
23
               COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
24
               THE CHAIR: We got it. Motion by
25
     Commissioner Peralta, second by Commissioner Parker,
```



1	to approve the renewal application of Amy Biehl High
2	School for a period of five years with no
3	conditions.
4	Any discussion?
5	Mr. Secretary, may we have a roll-call
6	vote?
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Toulouse?
11	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Parker?
14	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Peralta?
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant?
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman?
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman votes "yes."
25	That is a 7-to-0 vote in favor of that



motion. 1 Thank you. The motion to 2 THE CHAIR: 3 approve the renewal of Amy Biehl High School for 4 five years with no conditions is approved 5 unanimously. Congratulations. Thank you very much. 6 MS. SEELEY: 7 MR. McCULLOCH: Thank you very much. 8 appreciate it. 9 (A discussion was held off the record.) THE CHAIR: We would invite the 10 11 representatives from La Promesa Early Learning 12 Center to come forth, please. 13 Julie, whenever you're ready. 14 MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, members of the 15 Commission. 16 La Promesa Early Learning Center is a 17 dual-language school that supports students in 18 developing high levels of proficiency in a first 19 language and second language. The school works to 20 support academic performance and develop a cross-cultural competence for all students. 21 The Charter School Division recommends 22 23 that La Promesa be approved for a total of three 24 years, with conditions to be determined through the



development of an improvement plan.

While the school's overall score on school grades has increased each of the last three years,

La Promesa has had inconsistent progress around school grade measures with some strong areas of growth and some declines. Additionally, they struggle to present sufficient data around several charter goals.

Due to the challenges this school faced in tracking the goal, or measuring the entire goal, the school has demonstrated the capacity for growth around their challenges and is on an upward trajectory of academic performance.

La Promesa school has no material violations, and the CSD finds that the school operates efficiently in terms of governance procedures and organizational stability.

The financial audit showed that there were no significant or material findings, and internal control weaknesses have been rectified.

The school has not violated any provision of the law, and the CSD notes the school's leadership and governance are sound.

CSD recommends a three-year term, with conditions.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Madam Chair?





1	THE CHAIR: Can I ask just one question
2	before we get away from Julie? I see they have a
3	new campus, as listed on Page 3 of their
4	application. Could you tell me when that was
5	approved by PEC?
6	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, let me look for
7	the exact date.
8	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Before we move any
9	further, I am recusing myself from voting for this,
10	since I have a relative attending the school.
11	Thank you.
12	THE CHAIR: Mr. Secretary, make a note of
13	that.
14	I'm sorry?
15	MS. LUCERO: Madam Chair, I have one of my
16	liaisons looking for the exact date for that.
17	THE CHAIR: When you get that, give it to
18	us, then.
19	Please introduce yourselves, and five
20	whole minutes.
21	DR. MAESTAS: Thank you. I am Analee
22	Maestas. I am the executive director of La Promesa
23	Early Learning Center. And with me today, I have
24	the principal, Ms. Gloria Velasquez, and also
25	Dr. Abevta, who is the instructional coach.



I would also like our students to please

stand up. And we also have parents represented

today. We have teachers. And we have our

governance council board represented, both our

current board, and also our ex-chairperson also

represented.

THE CHAIR: We welcome you all here today.

THE CHAIR: We welcome you all here today.

Thank you for coming and waiting so patiently.

DR. MAESTAS: Thank you, chairman

Shearman, members of the Commission, and Mr. Matt

Pahl and Ms. Julie.

I'd like to first thank you for allowing us to speak before you today in support of the renewal of La Promesa Charter School, and also in consideration of a five-year renewal rather than a three-year renewal.

La Promesa first was approved in 2004. We have been implementing a dual-language program. It has been highly accepted by our community, requesting year after year that we increase the grade levels.

Initially, we started the La Promesa with a K-3 model, and currently, we have a K-8 model. We also have been approved to implement a preschool program; and so we do have 70 preschool students, as



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

well.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The school works to support the academic performance and cultural competence for all students. Our students are doing really well in both languages.

The school has not violated any provisions of the law. And we have a very strong school board that is very knowledgeable in the academics and overall performance of the school. Every cycle of a short-cycle assessment is presented to the board, so that they can see our beginning points and the ending point at each school year.

We have consistently continued to grow.

We had an F three years ago; we did move to a low D.

And last year, we moved to a high D, only points

away from a C.

In regards to our school grade, in growth, we did receive an A; and opportunity to learn, also an A.

La Promesa also works towards improving faculty collaboration. We utilize our data to inform our practice; so we do have data meetings, in which teachers review all of the information and use that information for planning instruction, including the weekly lesson plans that are turned into the



principal by Friday. They are aligned with Common Core.

And so it -- La Promesa's school grade has gone up. The school is 5.7. So we're 5.7 points away from obtaining a C.

La Promesa's commitment to prepare students for PARCC, we did administer the CBT Bridge Pilot to fourth- through eighth-grade students last year, and it has been acknowledged by PED that, statewide, students who took the CBT did, in fact, score a little lower. And we believe that is one of the reasons why we did not meet that C grade; but we are very confident that our students will be prepared for PARCC this coming year.

La Promesa has grown from the initial enrollment of 27 students to 375. Currently, we have 147 students on waiting lists, waiting to get into our program. We're already receiving calls about this next lottery.

Advanced Ed has recommended La Promesa for -- ready to apply for accreditation. We have met the AMOA's 1 and 2 for the last five years, being recognized last year by the PED during the Legislative process.

La Promesa was .5 short of meeting the



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	target goal set by the Commission in order to
2	increase our enrollment this last year.
3	La Promesa was recognized by Secretary
4	Skandera and Governor Martinez for having the
5	highest third-grade SBA reading scores in 2012-'13.
6	We also, just recently, received the funding for the
7	Incentive Pay Pilot, rewarding our teachers for
8	their continued efforts to improve student
9	achievement.
10	Our instructional audit last week resulted
11	in commendations. And we received those on
12	Thursday. By Tuesday, our staff had developed an
13	action plan for the two findings.
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Time.
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We
16	appreciate that.
17	DR. MAESTAS: Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: We have five minutes set aside
19	for audience participation.
20	Is there anyone in the audience who would
21	care to speak? I see how many people coming
22	forward? Three?
23	All right. If you would come up to the
24	table and use the microphone, please.
25	Four people? Four people or three?



1 DR. MAESTAS: Four. 2 THE CHAIR: So you're going to have only 3 just a little bit over a minute each. Please 4 introduce yourself, and just speak real clearly so 5 that we can all hear you. MS. MARQUEZ: Hi. My name is Chrislyn 6 7 Marquez. It's spelled C-H-R-I-S-L-Y-N. And I go to 8 I'm in eighth grade. And I've been at La Promesa. 9 the school for nine years. 10 And this school is a really great school. 11 And I really wanted to have five more years. So my 12 cousins can finish off like I am going to. And --13 yeah. 14 MS. GONZALES: Hello my name is Darlene 15 Gonzales. And I'm a grandparent of six kids that go 16 to La Promesa, four of them of which are in the audience. And I would really love for the school to 17 18 be recommended five more years. 19 La Promesa has been awesome. They have 20 wonderful teachers, two of which were my teachers, my daughter's teachers, and now my grandkids'. 21 22 it just makes a wonderful --23 THE CHAIR: That makes teachers feel very 24 old.



MS. GONZALES: No, they're very young.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Four minutes. 1 2 MS. SEGURA: Hi. My name is Cindy Segura, 3 and I am one of the instructional coaches at 4 La Promesa Early Learning Center. I come to you 5 today to let you know that we have a very strong 6 leadership team at our school, and we are very aware of our school grade, and we are very aware of where 8 we need to go from here. 9 We are fully committed to implementing our 10 action plan. We have formed partnerships with 11 Solution Tree, so that we can make the best out of 12 our professional development, as well as a 13 school-wide, online professional development for all 14 of our staff. 15 We also got recognized by Governor 16 Martinez with third grade outperforming all other 17 third-grade classes on the SBA. And we will soon be implementing a robotics class that will align to 18 STEM academics. 19 20 We truly believe in our dual-language 21 vision, and we know that we can get our students to 22 where they need to be with your support. We would 23 like to ask for a five-year renewal, please. 24 THE CHAIR: Thank you.



MR. SIGALA: Thank you.

25

Madam Chair,

members of the Commission. My name is Ralph Sigala.

I'm a retired university administrator and a former chairman of the board of governors for La Promesa Elementary.

I've been asked by the current president of the board to read the following statement:

"As a parent of two second-grade students at the La Promesa Early Learning Center, I would like to express my gratitude to the school and staff for their support. Not only have my children learned in the dual-language program, they continue to thrive with the support of their teachers and the entire staff at the school.

"As the La Promesa Early Learning Center board president, I have been presented data showing the year-over-year scores in student testing, as well as our overall upward trajectory. Not only is the renewal itself important, but so is the length of the renewal. A five-year renewal is important to La Promesa in order to help secure our respective bond investors.

"As our final negotiations approach, our prospective investors are looking for the longest charter renewal possible. The bond itself will help with cash flow and will allow the school to expand



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	services to our students and community, furthering
2	our children's education and future.
3	"I respectfully request that the
4	La Promesa Early Learning Center be granted a
5	charter renewal in the longest term possible so that
6	our children can continue to learn in the
7	dual-language environment they have come to know and
8	love.
9	"Best regards, Danny Baca, La Promesa
10	Early Learning Center Parent and Board President."
11	Thank you.
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Did you
13	get a date? Do you know what that is?
14	MR. PAHL: Sure. April 26, 2013.
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that.
16	Commissioners, you've heard the
17	recommendation; you've heard comments from the
18	school.
19	Do you have questions or concerns or
20	comments?
21	Commissioner Carr?
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: I you know, I do
23	note your grade is is low; but it's been
24	improving. So I'd like to see the upward trend, and
25	that makes me feel better.



I did notice that you did not meet five of 1 2 your goals. And, you know, I know we're going to 3 have conditions that you'll be hopefully -- have an action plan in place that will tell us how we can 5 get -- get there, get wherever you need to go. But I just -- I just -- just wanted to 6 7 point that out. I can -- I'd like to go the five 8 years; but I just -- I think I'm going to stick with the recommendation from the Charter Division. 9 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Pahl? 11 Thank you, Madam Chair, members MR. PAHL: 12 of the Commission. I just wanted to add something 13 to the CSD's analysis. I know Ms. Lucero was able 14 to share some. 15 Yes, the school has had three years of a D If we look at the schools in the community, 16 grade. 17 they also have D grades. Did she mention this, so I 18 can stop? 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes. 20 MR. PAHL: Okay, great. And the upward 21 trajectory of the school? 22 So we have a unique position here, so we 23 feel that the school is a good engager in 24 volunteering for the right things to help itself get 25 better.



I think the improvement has been slow at 1 2 this point in time, but we anticipate it 3 accelerating in the near future, based on their 4 actions. 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Further comments? 6 7 Commissioner Bergman? 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, maybe 9 Commissioner Gant is going to address this. But 10 you -- I've got several things. But you threw me a 11 What bond? And what's the bond for? curve. 12 DR. MAESTAS: Currently, we are in a building that we are leasing. And we have been 13 14 working trying to secure a permanent facility. 15 we have been able to secure this facility and try to 16 find a lease-purchase agreement so that we could 17 purchase the facility. It has been designed to meet 18 the needs of our program, including the 19 infrastructure for technology; so we will be ready 20 for the PARCC assessment and blended learning, as 21 well. 22 So the facility lends itself to allow us 23 to expand into the areas that we currently do not 24 have, such as a gym for our students, a computer 25 lab, biology lab. So it does lend itself to the



improvements that will be needed to complete the entire program that we would like to implement.

Now, the bond, of course, is with a nonprofit; it is not directly with the school.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that explanation. Now, then, that leads me now to ask had you come and told us this before, or is this the first that we're hearing that you're going to move to a new facility?

DR. MAESTAS: No. We did come to you a year and a half ago, and we did go through PSFA. We do have a ranking rating. And you should have received that with your -- with the information that you received. But we did come to you for approval before we moved into this facility.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Then I had just forgotten. Thank you also for that.

One other -- I certainly wanted to mention this. This is another school that had put -- well, we're not necessarily calling it an amendment, because it is a part of their application. But they asked for a three different things. I hope that all my fellow Commissioners saw it.

They want to change their mission statement, and they wanted to change a couple of



1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

other parts of their original charter. So here
again, if -- by voting to approve this, if that's
what this Commission does, we will be incorporating
these into their new charter and into their new
performance. I just wanted to call that to
everybody's attention.

THE CHAIR: Can I interrupt you just for a

THE CHAIR: Can I interrupt you just for a moment?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Certainly. I'm done, Madam Chair. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: You know, three amendments in one form, we don't do that. We don't do combined amendments on one form, whether they are part of the renewal or not.

I would recommend to the Commission that we not include that amendment form in the consideration of this renewal, and that those amendments be brought to us separately. You know, it's been a while since I've read that; but none of those materially affect the possibility of renewal, do they, Commissioner Gant? -- Bergman? I see you have it printed. Can you just quickly scan through that and tell me?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: They should be in everybody's packet. It was just a part of my pack.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We had the discussion yesterday. They're not
really -- since they're a part of the application,

I'm not sure I'd consider them an amendment. I

consider them a request that they're just making a
part of their renewal.

But -- so I guess maybe we need legal advice or something again.

THE CHAIR: Are they amendments?

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners,
I really don't know what to say at this point in
time. I think either approach will work. As
Commissioner Bergman stated, that the Commission can
approve the charter with the inclusion of the
amendments; or I think the Commission can take them
out, as the Chair has suggested, and just consider
the application, and then consider the amendments
separately at a different point in time.

So it's up to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madam Chair, let me -- you asked me about -- two of them sound more like goals than they do anything else. They're talking about proficiency levels. So it's possible that they might be better addressed as goals than as -- but here again, we also asked for goals in the application process.



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, here again, we can consider them as a part of the goals; or we can do what you and the legal advice said, not include this in, and go ahead and ask them to do some -- ask them to change -- I always am in favor of doing it -- instead of doing multiple levels of paperwork and multiple considerations, that if we can fold it into one time, like we're doing now, that's always what I would prefer.

That's personal. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Let me just say, we've had some difficulty with amendments that were presented multiple on one form. And I don't want the possibility of any kind of a problem coming up to us again. I would prefer to deal with this renewal application without that amendment form and ask that you bring it to us at our next meeting for consideration.

Mr. Pahl, did you have a comment?

MR. PAHL: Yeah. I was just going to note that if the amendment form doesn't suit the Commission now, those amendments wouldn't be valid until July anyhow. So we'd be happy to work with the -- with the school to make sure we get those preferably documented and done in the next few

PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE



meetings, so you'll be ready to implement that in the near future.

THE CHAIR: Whenever it's convenient for the school; but I would prefer to deal with them separately.

COMMISSIONER CARR: I agree.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me say this, then. We are going to do -- if they are approved, and I say "if" -- there will be a negotiation. We have changed mission statements in the negotiations in the past. So, here again, instead of doing a separate amendment request, that would be my next suggestion is let's address it in the negotiation, at which we have done with other schools.

THE CHAIR: As three separate --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well. Yeah, obviously, two of those are goals. And we probably would look at those as goals as a part of their performance framework, anyway. But that way, they would be separate. But the mission statement would then be separate.

And then, as we have done after we did that, then when we voted on the contract, we called it to everyone's attention that we had changed this, or we had changed that. And that was being a part



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 of our vote. So I'm amenable to that, too. 2 So, here 3 again, just trying to keep the paperwork down a 4 little bit. 5 THE CHAIR: Let's just ask that the school work with CSD to get that worked out. 6 7 MR. PAHL: Yes, Madam Chair. It seems 8 that Commissioner Bergman is right. I think some of this will be taken care of through the negotiation. 9 10 And anything that we identify that is not will be 11 submitted as an amendment separate. 12 Thank you. 13 THE CHAIR: Other comments, Commissioners? 14 DR. MAESTAS: We had -- I'm sorry. We had 15 one last comment in regards to the Commissioners' --16 MS. MATTHEWS: Madam Chair, Mr. Bergman 17 and Mr. Gant, I would like to go back to the 18 facilities question, because I think it's worth 19 exploring, because I think the impact -- the 20 question and the context of the term of the charter 21 is really important. 22 If you look at what the Legislature is 23 asking charter schools to do, that is, to be in a



facility that meets these requirements by -- we'll

use loosely the 2015 deadline, the charter schools

24

are trying and using various ways to do that. And one of the ways they do that is a nonprofit will go out for bonding and then enter into a lease-purchase agreement with the charter school.

What that does is it frees up operational funds of the charter school, because now the charter school can substitute a portion of the lease payment with House Bill 33 dollars, which this school gets. If they can do that, that frees up substantial money for them to put back into their academic program. And for this school, it's a lot.

And if you take away the five-year charter, that impairs substantially the ability for this transaction to happen.

Now, mind you, a charter can walk from these transactions, because they're bound by the Bateman Act, et cetera. And that's another story, another lesson.

But the point is when you -- when there -when you have a school in a situation who really
needs to address their facilities and the impact on
their budget of their facilities, but you're also
asking them to turn around their academics, I think
it's really important for you to -- for the
Commission to consider the impact of the legislation



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that's looming and the obligations of the charter schools in these facilities conundrums.

And, overall, I think the school would implore you to look at a five-year charter, with the conditions of academic improvement to come back and/or -- you know, some of these goals, I think you're going to want to renegotiate anyway during the contracting process.

But, again, think long-term of the impact of their program, if they're continuing to just -they can only use State lease reimbursement on a straight lease. They cannot use HB-33 until they're in that lease-purchase agreement. And they can't do a lease-purchase in the current situation they're in.

And I think the school would like for the Commission to consider that impact -- and it's a big one -- on this school's ability to address its academic needs.

THE CHAIR: Could I just ask for some clarification? Excuse me, Mr. Bergman.

The school needs for its foundation or nonprofit to pass a bond to help it purchase the facility that it's already in?

MS. MATTHEWS: Essentially, they don't





1 pass a bond; it's a private bond acquisition. 2 Whatever. THE CHAIR: Okay. 3 MS. MATTHEWS: Right, right. That's 4 A nonprofit would acquire the facility and then lease it back to the charter school under a 5 6 lease-purchase arrangement. 7 THE CHAIR: But they are currently in that 8 facility. 9 MS. MATTHEWS: On a straight lease, Madam Chair. So the cost of it is the added 10 11 operational, which is roughly \$200,000 a year for 12 this school. So if you can think about that going 13 back into their academics, that's exactly what HB-33 14 and SB-9 were designed to do for the charters, were 15 to give them that capital influx to acquire their own facilities. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that clarification. 18 Commissioner? 19 20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I wanted to add 21 this, because Patti triggered a memory. This is not 22 the first time we faced this situation. I believe 23 McCurdy came to us a couple of years ago, and they 24 brought a financial person with them. That's what



we were talking about. He was here to point out to

us that if we limited them to that three-year deal, that that discouraged the bond people.

They want -- those people, they want guarantees; they want this and that. So I'm looking at this three-year versus five-year in a little different light now, because now I remember, we've had that conversation before. But if we were to do five years, we're going to have to have some very strict -- as Mr. Gant has said with some of the others -- improvement plans or something in place so we can protect ourselves, because you guys need to improve those academics; you really do.

And I'm reluctant to give you five years.

Just from the academic side, I would prefer three.

But it's -- we don't want to hamstring this

lease-purchase deal, either. So there's -- like I

say, I kind of got a curve there. I didn't remember

your previous visit. So we need to give serious

thought before we make that decision, either three

or five years.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl, would you care to respond to that, the CSD? Were you aware of this three- and five-year situation before you made your recommendation?

MR. PAHL: Madam Chair, not to the extent



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     that we are right now.
                             We understood that they were
     going through a process in their facilities.
 2
 3
     you know, we did our evaluation, much like we do
 4
     with anyone else, is looking at the academics, the
 5
     organization, and the financial. There's an
     argument that this could be a -- an element of the
 6
     financial. But we didn't look specifically into it.
     And I think it's a -- it's an outside, but
 8
 9
     important, factor that was not considered in our
10
     analysis.
11
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay.
                                  Thank you very much.
12
               Commissioner Carr?
13
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   I understand the
14
     situation. I have a hard time making a decision to
15
     go from three to five years for financial reasons.
16
     And it concerns me that if we make that decision,
17
     are we -- it's not arbitrary and capricious,
18
     necessarily, but it's -- you know, we're -- I guess
19
     the best thing I can think of to come up with an
20
     analogy, I'd go up to my boss and say, "Hey, I need
21
     a raise, because my house payment is more expensive
22
     than so-and-so's; so I should have more money than
23
     this quy."
24
               Maybe that's not a great analogy.
25
     it -- I'm just having -- I'm not saying I won't vote
```



for that; but I have a difficult -- I have difficulty with the rationale to do it that way. And maybe somebody can help me out with that.

DR. MAESTAS: Well, you know, I would like to say that we don't have an issue with a challenge to improve our grade. And we have already begun to implement those strategies, including, you know, giving our teachers an eight-hour day, so they do have time for planning. We have a half-hour that student -- that teachers spend every day in RTI, meeting the needs of those kids that need additional tutoring.

We have implemented a school-wide professional development online course, where they can get credit or not; but it is around instructional strategies.

So I think, you know, we make every effort to -- to reach out as much as we can to ensure that we can meet the needs of our students. We did apply for the incentive pay for that very reason, to really provide an incentive to our teachers to continue to work as hard as they can. And they do work very, very hard in the work that we do with our students.

So we're not afraid of the challenge. And



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would invite the Commission -- I mean, the Charter School Division to visit us monthly, if they wish, because I know we're going to improve, and I know we will have a strong plan that will meet the needs of our students.

attorney. I mean, am I just -- is there any rationale for my thinking? I guess part of it is are we setting a precedent, you know, whereby everybody says, "Well, you gave them five years; why don't you give" -- you know -- so...

MR. GRANATA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, Commissioner Carr, I think that your concerns are valid. And I think they're important to get out on the record for the issues to be discussed by the Commission.

I mean, there's always the chance that the Commission is setting precedent. But, I mean, it's my understanding that the Commission looks at each school as a school, and there's all kinds of factors that go into it. But I think, most importantly, it's important that it's brought out into the open and that the Commission discuss the concern.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.





Commissioner Gant? 1 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members, 2 3 as Ms. Matthews is probably aware, the Legislators 4 will probably drop that 2015 and go to 2020; so 5 that's a moot point every time that date comes up. I mean, they've changed it over the years. don't see that not changing. 8 But my -- correct me if I'm wrong, please, 9 You're paid 400 -- no -- the lease itself is ma'am. 10 \$480,000 and you're paying \$216,000? 11 \$216,000 of a lease assistance? 12 DR. MAESTAS: Yes, we do, because of our 13 enrollment. We have 375 students. So we still have 14 to put in some operational money in order to make 15 the lease. 16 COMMISSIONER GANT: That's one of the 17 highest leases we're paying for in the state right now. So -- but you're going to do a lease? 18 If vou 19 get the opportunity to do a lease assistance, who is 20 going to pay the overhead? 21 DR. MAESTAS: It would be a lease-purchase 22 agreement. 23 All right. COMMISSIONER GANT: 24 Lease-purchase. I understand the process. who's going to pay all the utilities? 25 The overhead?



```
1
               DR. MAESTAS:
                             The school does pay the
 2
     utilities right now, and we would continue to do
 3
     that.
 4
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. It's just
 5
     going to be -- all right.
               THE CHAIR: If the bond is -- whatever
 6
 7
     the -- word.
 8
               MS. MATTHEWS:
                              Sold.
               THE CHAIR: Sold. If the bond is sold,
 9
10
     and the lease-purchase agreement goes through and so
11
     on and so forth, is your lease likely to be any less
12
     than it is right now?
13
               DR. MAESTAS: Yes, it would be less,
14
     because it would be over a longer term.
                                               But it
15
     would also allow us to have more cash flow for our
16
     instructional program, because we would be using the
17
     lease-purchase, as well as House Bill 33.
18
     would pretty much --
19
               THE CHAIR: But your investment in your
20
     lease each month -- year -- would be less.
21
               DR. MAESTAS: Yes.
22
               THE CHAIR: Okay. Let me just ask a
23
     couple of things before I forget it. Right now,
24
     your cap -- your enrollment cap is 375. You have
25
     375 students?
```





1	DR. MAESTAS: That is correct.
2	THE CHAIR: Ooh, that's cutting it close.
3	Please remember, this Commission takes it very
4	seriously if you go over that cap. If you'd like to
5	come to us with an enrollment cap increase, and your
6	building would support that, we'd be glad to
7	entertain that. So just something to think of.
8	DR. MAESTAS: Thank you.
9	THE CHAIR: I'm looking at your school
10	grade snapshot. Your highest performing students,
11	B pardon me, D-B-D; so it's kind of up and down;
12	down right now. Lowest performing students, C-F-D.
13	Those have got to come up. I'm sure I'm
14	not telling you anything you don't know.
15	Your reading proficiency and your math
16	proficiency, while they're not the worst numbers
17	we've seen, I'm sure they're not where you want them
18	to be. But if this Commission does grant your
19	renewal, please note that all of that really needs
20	serious work and needs to come up fairly quickly, as
21	quickly as possible. Okay?
22	Thank you very much.
23	DR. MAESTAS: Thank you.
24	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
25	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members,



ma'am, you say your cap is 275?

THE CHAIR: 375.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building?

3 DR. MAESTAS: No, 375.

4 COMMISSIONER GANT: Okay. Not a problem. 5 Not a problem. I just -- one last question. And I 6 am concerned with your -- your goals that have not 7 been met. And you're going to have a plan to 8 correct all that. And if we give you five years, and in five years, you don't meet the goals, and 9 this Commission decides that it's time to close the 10 11 school, who is going to assume payment for that

MS. MATTHEWS: Madam Chair,

Commissioner Gant, under the provisions of a

lease-purchase agreement, if that were to happen, it
becomes the nonprofit.

Now, what the statute also provides for is because of the mechanism of the lease-purchase, as you know, the interest -- or the principal -- there is a principal accumulation. And that building -- and this is well-suited for another school. It could be assigned to the district; it could be assigned to another charter school. But the State could take over the lease, or not. They could just leave the nonprofit with the facility.



That's how the statute is written, the Lease-Purchase Act. So that's what happens when a charter does close, because it's actually -- it is a specific term of the lease that considers the possibility of the charter would not be renewed or be closed.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members.

You mentioned that under the lease-purchase agreement, there was a lot of things you would like to do to the building: Gymnasium, et cetera, et cetera. Where is that money coming from? Is that part of the bond, or is that money from somewhere else, et cetera?

DR. MAESTAS: No, it is not a part of the bond. We have really looked at keeping that as low as possible. But I have entered into some conversations with our City Councilors, who are willing to help, for instance, with the gym.

They're willing to look at a bond, as long as it is open to the community, as well as a soccer field.

And so we are looking for other ways in which to develop the facility. We would also look to PSFA, if there is any opportunities for us to request any other capital funding.

We also would work with our local



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	legislators to help us improve the facility.
2	But at this point, we really don't we
3	are trying to keep it as low as possible.
4	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair, members.
5	Just be advised that some of the things
6	you've talked about adding to your school, they are
7	not under adequacy. So you would end up paying for
8	them, not the PSCOC, PSFA.
9	THE CHAIR: Any other comments or
10	questions?
11	Commissioner Bergman?
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Help me with this,
13	since we have a couple of lawyers sitting here.
14	It's my understanding that a charter school cannot
15	take on long-term debt because they could if the
16	school is either goes out of business or is
17	revoked, the taxpayer can't be left holding the bag
18	on long-term debt.
19	So how is this going to be considered? Is
20	this long-term debt that the school is taking on?
21	THE CHAIR: The foundation. The
22	foundation, I believe.
23	MS. MATTHEWS: Does that satisfy you?
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As long as it's the
25	foundation left holding the bag and not the



1	New Mexico taxpayer.
2	MS. MATTHEWS: Your new attorney up there,
3	says that's exactly right. It's a debt of the
4	nonprofit.
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair?
6	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madam Chair.
8	I'm doing this reluctantly, Ms. Matthews.
9	But to come in the last minute and say this is what
10	you want, with no plans laid in front of us, saying,
11	"We want to do this five-year thing, because we're
12	going to do a bond issue for lease assistance for
13	lease-purchase and all that," you know, that's like,
14	"All right, we got you. We got you in a trap." And
15	we've got to do this.
16	I mean, I feel like I've been put up
17	against the wall, okay? I really do.
18	THE CHAIR: Further comments or questions?
19	I hear none. The Chair would entertain a
20	motion.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'll do it.
22	MS. MATTHEWS: Ms. Shearman, I hate for
23	things like that just hanging out there. May I make
24	a comment?
25	Mr. Gant, this is these things move,



and they just kind of -- I will tell you, I had the 1 2 conversation with the invest- -- or the bond 3 broker -- we'll call him that -- last week. So I don't mean to come before the Commission as a I'm not; I'm on behalf of the school. 5 surprise. 6 But, you know, these things start to unfold. 7 They went into that facility when they 8

came to you last time, with this as part of their intention. If that's something that you feel like you either -- I don't know if it was disclosed at the time. I don't even remember that conversation, as many of you don't remember that they came to you before.

So please don't think that this was some sort of last-second maneuver. I really would hate for the Commission to hear that.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Well, Madam Chair, members.

It's just -- there was another charter school -- go unmentioned -- that we have just -- every time, it's a moving train. And it's kind of put us behind -- put this Commission behind the eightball. And it gets a little old; it really does.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, if you'd go



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	ahead, please?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. I, Commissioner
3	Jeff Carr, move the Public Education Commission
4	approve, with conditions, the renewal of the charter
5	school application for La Promesa Early Learning
6	Center, pursuant to the Charter School Act,
7	Section 22-8B-6 and Section 22-8B-12, the charter's
8	renewal approval, with the following conditions:
9	Completion of an improvement plan specific
L 0	to the school;
1	And two annual monitoring visits by CSD.
_2	And I'll add that and it's agreed that
L 3	they have a five-year term.
L 4	THE CHAIR: You've heard the motion of
L 5	Commissioner Carr.
L 6	Do I have a second?
L 7	COMMISSIONER PARKER: (Indicates.)
L 8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Parker? We have
L 9	a motion from Commissioner Carr, second by
20	Commissioner Parker, to approve the renewal
21	application of La Promesa Early Learning Center, for
22	five years, with conditions as noted on the official
23	record.
2 4	Is there any discussion?
2.5	Hearing none, Mr. Secretary, may we have a



1	roll-call vote?
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Parker?
4	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
6	Peralta?
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr?
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Toulouse abstains.
12	Commissioner Gant?
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Shearman?
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Bergman votes "yes."
19	I hesitated. You didn't hear it, but I
20	hesitated, also.
21	Madam Chair, that is a 6-to-0 vote in
22	favor of that motion, with one abstention.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion passes
24	to renew La Promesa's charter for a five-year term,
25	with conditions as noted on the official record.



1	Thank you, all.
2	DR. MAESTAS: Thank you.
3	THE CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, while
4	some paperwork is signed, I think I'm going to ask
5	Commissioners if this is as far as we want to go
6	today? It's a quarter of 5:00.
7	Is that agreeable with everyone? I
8	believe we have four schools. We will start with
9	South Valley Preparatory at 9:00 a.m. in the
10	morning. Thank you all for being here.
11	We are in recess.
12	(Proceedings in recess at 4:45 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 8 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said 11 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 12 State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the 13 matter therein stated. 14 15 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on December 29, 2014. 16 17 18 Canthea Chrisman 19 Cynthia C. Chapman RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 20 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24



Job No.: 1770L (CC)

