1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC MEETING
10	DAY TWO December 13, 2013
11	9:00 a.m. Mabry Hall - Jerry Apodaca Education Building
12	300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	707 770 · 00007 (
25	JOB NO.: 9022K(CC)

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Chair MR. EUGENE GANT, Vice Chair
4	MR. VINCE BERGMAN, Secretary MR. JEFF CARR, Member
5	MR. GILBERT PERALTA, Member MS. MILLIE POGNA, Member
6	MR. JAMES CONYERS, Member MR. TYSON PARKER, Member
7	
8	STAFF:
9	MR. PAUL AGUILAR, Deputy Secretary - Finance and Operations
10	MR. TONY GERLICZ, Director, Options for Parents
12	MS. KELLY CALLAHAN, Director, Charter Schools Division
13	MS. ABBY LEWIS, Attorney for the PEC
14	MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PED Liaison to the PEC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

Adjourn

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

22

23

24

25



Open Forum - Action Not Taken

MAIN OFFICE 201 Third NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 843-9494 FAX (505) 843-9492 1-800-669-9492 e-mail: info@litsupport.com

536

1	THE CHAIR: Good morning. I call back
2	into session this regularly scheduled meeting of the
3	New Mexico Public Education Commission. I would ask
4	Secretary Bergman for roll call, please.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
8	Conyers.
9	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Here.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Parker.
12	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Here.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
14	Peralta.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Here.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman.
22	THE CHAIR: Here.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman is here.
25	Madame Chair, you do have eight members



1 present, so you have a quorum.

2 Before we jump into our business, I thought I might like to note for the record, because 3 4 I don't know how many soccer fans we have in our 5 assembly, but the University of New Mexico Lobo men's soccer team made the Final Four. And anytime 6 7 you make an NCAA Final Four in any sport, you've 8 done something outstanding. They play Notre Dame 9 this afternoon. So, as a UNM alum, myself, I'm 10 proud of the Lobos.

COMMISSIONER GANT: And they just made the Lobos a bunch of money.

THE CHAIR: All right. As I announced yesterday at Agenda Item No. 6, Deputy Secretary Aguilar could not be with us yesterday, but he is here with us today. And we're going to ask for his report right now. And that way, if he does have to leave the meeting, then we will have gotten all the information out of him right away.

So, Deputy Secretary Aguilar, welcome.

21 And whenever you're ready.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you,

23 Commissioner. And I will actually have to leave.

24 I've got to go get yelled at by the LESC today, so I

25 have to go prep for that.



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20



Okay. Understand. 1 THE CHAIR: 2 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Commissioner, I have a 3 couple of things that I want to visit with you 4 And I'll go into what we just talked about a couple of minutes ago. 5 The Chairman -- or the Chairwoman -- has asked that -- I'm really not this 6 7 short -- that these chairs are uncomfortable and 8 untenable. So, we're going to be looking at getting 9 new chairs for Mabry so that you guys can have a 10 little more comfort. 11 And, also, we've asked for -- I just 12 talked to our person who helps with facilities. So 13 we can get you some plugs -- power plugs on the 14 dais, probably underneath, so that you're not 15 tripping over your cables and whatnot. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. 17 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you, Madame 18 Chair. And, also, Madame Chair, in the back of the 19 room -- come on forward, Mike. He doesn't know why he's here, so he looks --20 21 MR. ARCHIBEOUE: What's happening? 22 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: This is Mike 23 Archibeque. He's our Chief Information Officer. 24 Mike, the Commissioners have asked that we go to an 25 online agenda, which we're already doing -- not



agenda; book, and all that sort of thing. Bev can coordinate that.

But I'd like to get a quote. If you

could -- sometime today, at the lunch break, if you

could meet with Commissioner Shearman and give her

the options that we have that, you know, that we use

for our computers in terms of size, in terms of

things of that nature, I would like to order

computers for all the Commissioners.

MR. ARCHIBEQUE: Oh, laptops?

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Laptops, please. And I've been asked for one for Bev. And I'm kind of angry. She didn't ask me; she asked Commissioner Gant.

So, the question came up, would PED be maintaining them and, you know, supporting? And I said, "Yes." I wanted the Commissioners to see who you were and --

MR. ARCHIBEQUE: Okay.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: -- know who to yell at when things don't work.

MR. ARCHIBEQUE: And I woke up with a sore throat this morning, so I apologize for that. But no problem. We use a standard HP. And it's very similar to what Tony and Kelly have right here is

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



our standard. 1 2 MR. GERLICZ: This one? DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Commissioner Gant is 3 4 requesting an Apple. I'm not sure we're going to be 5 able to go that way. 6 MR. ARCHIBEQUE: We can support HP. 7 a very good laptop. But I'll get the gentleman on a 8 quote. 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: And, Mr. Aguilar, I'd like 10 to also say that Mike is responsible for having 11 wireless for you in this room every meeting that we 12 have. His people come down and set that up for us 13 all the time. 14 THE CHAIR: Now, that, we really do 15 appreciate. 16 MR. ARCHIBEQUE: And we are currently 17 supporting your web page, as well. And our web 18 master, Scott Coons, out of my shop. And he does a 19 fantastic job; I think you may have seen some of 20 that. And we're totally open to any other 21 modifications or anything that you wish. And, then, 22 we'll be looking at supporting your HPs. 23 MS. BARNES: And, Mike, we're working with 24 you, as well, for Susan Coates at Tony's, to have a 25



SharePoint, access point, for them.

So, we're

coordinating within your existing site as well. 1 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Great. And our gentlemen

3 support the SharePoint database, as well as the

4 applications that are developed with SharePoint.

5 So, we support you in that way, as well. So, we do 6 quite a bit for you all.

7 THE CHAIR: That will be great. Thank 8 you.

> DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thanks, Mike.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Mike.

So, Madame Chair, 12 thank you, and Commissioners. I have about four or

13 five different items I'd like to visit with you

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR:

14 Some are informational, and then the last

item, probably, we don't need discussion today. But 15

16 I want you to think about it, and then we can make a

17 decision, or the Executive Committee can visit with

18 me on it.

(505) 989-4949

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

25

But, first of all, I want to talk about our audits. The Moss Adams is the -- is our They do the audits for the Public auditor. Education Department, the Division of Vocational

Rehabilitation; and 52 charter schools were audited 23

24 this year. I know there are 53. I saw some heads

pop up there for a moment. We have one charter





school whose audit cannot be completed at this time and, so, they have what's called a "disclaimer" on their audit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

Their financial -- their financials were in such a condition that the auditors could not form an opinion on the -- on the condition of their audit. And that's the McCurdy Charter School.

And, so, I don't have the date in front of me. About three weeks ago, I believe, the -- I suspended the Board of Finance of the McCurdy Charter School. And we have hired a -- we have hired an accountant and consultant who is a certified bookkeeper -- not bookkeeper -- a certified school business officer, as well as having her own accounting and bookkeeping firm -- with the intent of two things:

One, since the school is relatively new, their financials aren't that dated. So, what we've asked this consultant to do is to reconcile their books all the way to the opening day of the school that we went into operation. That's the first thing.

And the second thing is she is also supervising the day-to-day operations in terms of the finances of the charter school. Ms. Eileen



Marujo-Gallegos, who is our director of school budget, and I are now the two signers on any checks that are written by the charter school. They continue doing the processes -- the Board continues to operate the charter school. They continue to make recommendations on how they want to expend their funds. But we review all of those.

Part of the problem is -- and, so, it may be worse than it sounds, or it may not be as bad as it sounds. And I want to be very clear about that.

There is a disconnect between their accounting system, in-house, and their bank records. And, so, the audit indicated that they ended up fiscal year '13, which ended June 30th, 2013, about \$200,000 in the red. But because we don't have a significant -- not a significant -- because we don't have a bank reconciliation that ties, we're not sure if that's the case.

We were informed on October the 31st that they finished up October \$1.9 million in the red; yet, when we went to the bank and looked at their bank accounts, they had \$168,000 in the bank.

So, we've got a huge disconnect between their -- their accounting systems and their bank reconciliations. And that's the reason we took that





action. We just can't -- I couldn't tell you today whether they have enough cash to finish the year.

They may have more than enough; they may not.

It was brought to our attention that they had used some of the lease purchase money -- the lease payment monies to make a payroll one time because they didn't have enough cash on hand.

That's an audit finding, obviously. That can't be done. So, there were a number of issues that were raised.

And rather than -- and the Board is in -- appears to be very engaged. They're smart folks, and they're -- I think that they just let this kind of get away from them, and the day-to-day operations sort of got away from them.

So, again, we've taken over their Board of Finance, and we're running their financial operations, as we speak. And, so, I have great confidence that we'll be reconciled. I can't tell whether it would be two weeks or two months. But we'll have their books in shape and ready for the next audit, going forward. So, I wanted to report that to you.

I want to stop there and answer any questions regarding that specific situation.





THE CHAIR: Mr. Gerlicz? 1 2 MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, and Deputy Secretary, thank you for 3 4 being here and explaining that. You'll see in your 5 packet that there is some information on McCurdy. And in preparation for today's visit, I did ask the 6 7 Chair of the Board, Ms. Deborah Bennett Anderson, to 8 appear. She is here in the audience. She has 9 brought materials that outline a chronology of 10 events, is happy to answer any questions that the 11 Commissioners have, or that the Deputy Secretary 12 has; because, as the Deputy Secretary says, Chair 13 Anderson has been very, very responsive, along with 14 the rest of the Board, in addressing not only this issue, but in any conversation we've had about 15 16 McCurdy, and is here to be free to offer some 17 information or answer any questions that you might 18 have. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you for that. Any other questions, comments from Commissioners? 20 Seeing 21 none, Deputy. 22 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you, 23 Madame Chair. And, then, in general terms regarding 24 audits, Madame Chair and Commissioners, we had, this 25 week, the exit conference with all of our charter



schools. The State Audit Act requires that we have an exit conference with all -- I'm sorry -- that we have an exit conference with all of our component charter schools, and that it be done in person.

So, we had -- we asked for an exemption from the in-person requirement for the Gadsden Charter School, the charter schools in Las Cruces, in Deming, in Gallup, and the one charter school in Red River, because of the long distance. That in-person requirement is, again, a requirement of the State Auditor, not the Department.

And we've committed to the charter schools for next year -- so many of the charter schools have video-conferencing capability. And, so, we've committed to the charter schools for next year to see if we can -- not see if we can -- we will visit with the State Auditor and see if we can hold that -- that conference more through visual means or through a teleconference. We just need to have a mechanism of verifying that they're on the line or visible. And, so, we're not sure how to do that yet. We're working through that.

But to the point of some of the charter schools that called, it's difficult when charter school board members have different jobs and things



of that nature to drive two hours to come to

Santa Fe for a 35-minute meeting. And, so, although

we thank folks for eating here and spending their

money while they're here and helping the local

economy, it is an inconvenience. And, so, we're

trying to mitigate some of that next year.

Now, with regard to the audit, again, as I mentioned, 52 charter schools were audited. This year, we had 144 of last year's findings resolved, and there were 82 new findings. I want to put that in perspective. Because as you know, a few months ago, the State Auditor wrote a letter to the Department regarding our audits and considering the at-risk issues with regard to audits.

I want to point out that, in 2011, just prior to us coming to the PED, there were

195 findings statewide that were attributed to 36 charter schools. So it's about 5.4 findings per charter, on an average.

In 2012, after we got here, there were 185 findings, but there were 51 charter schools that were State-chartered charter schools. And, so, the findings are about 3.6 per charter school.

And this year, again, there were -- oh, and I didn't write that down. There are about





2.6 findings per charter school now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, you can see that we're working hard with the charters to try to help them improve their financial positions and their operations. And, so, we're very happy that the charter schools are working hard to be responsive.

There weren't -- there are two issues this year that are still of concern to us among a number of charter schools:

One is that there -- charter schools are not being particularly responsive with ensuring that their budget corrections are made and kept up to date. The Public School Finance Act requires that any adjustments to the budget be approved by the Secretary, in advance. And that's where you -- those of you that have been on boards and commissions know about budget adjustment requests.

So, they're not doing their maintenance budget adjustment requests on a timely basis. And, so, they're expending money for -- and, again, not -- it's not a fraud issue or a horrible risk issue. But it is a budget issue, that folks are not ensuring that their budgets are aligned with their expenditures throughout the year.

And as you know, when you don't align your



budget with your resources and uses, that's how folks get in a little bit of trouble. So, we're going to be working with them to ensure that those things get done.

And, then, we had a request, and we had a special provision in our audit this year -- not in our audit, I'm sorry -- a special request for the auditors this year, that those charter schools that have contracts with food service management companies, obviously, those food service management companies are for-profit entities. So, they're designed to make a profit.

However, the provisions of The Federal nutrition guidelines make it clear that those companies cannot make a profit on the food, themselves. They can make a profit on preparing the food, distributing the food and those sorts of things, but cannot make profit on the food purchases, themselves.

And, so, that was something that we looked at with all of our -- well, with all schools; not just charter schools, with all school districts and charter schools that had these food service management contracts. And if a company makes a profit on food, that the profit needs to be returned



to the school or the district that's serving that.

And, so, it wasn't a huge issue amongst charters. But there were some instances where the money wasn't being returned to the charter. And, so, we're working with the food service management companies so that they understand that's a component of the contract, and to make sure that those funds are returned back to the school.

So, Madame Chair, with that, we also had two findings to the Department related to charter schools. And, although -- I like to apply what I consider to be a commonsense approach. It seems to me that when you look at the number of findings relative to the number of charter schools coming down dramatically over the last couple of years, we've got a finding that we're not providing enough guidance to the charter schools. And, so, one of the things -- it's frustrating.

So, as an example --

MR. GERLICZ: Tell them to wait a few years until we get to zero.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: As an example,

23 Madame Chair, under the provisions of Senate

24 Bill 446, the Charter School Division makes -- is

supposed to make annual visits to all of the charter



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

schools. And there's a fiscal component to their checklist, and there's also a program component.

Since those provisions just took place in '13, we may have visited some charter schools in '12 and then '13. And, so, how we log those things, I'm going to be working with Mr. Gerlicz and others, our school budget folks, to log our contacts with the charter schools to demonstrate -- it's a demonstration.

I mean, obviously we're working with them, but we weren't able to demonstrate in all cases that those were being made on a regular basis. And you know how audits are. If you can't demonstrate it, you pick up a finding.

But along that line, one of the things we're doing is we've committed, and we've already begun hiring, five additional auditors in our audit unit that will be focusing on working with school districts and charter schools to help them work through their audit -- not their audit, their financial -- and providing the oversight that we need for both the audit -- the daily operations regarding the audit.

But, also, if they receive federal monies, the Department received a finding that we're not





providing a sufficient oversight of our sub-recipients in the federal funds. So, we're adding five more staff that will be working specifically with our school districts and charter schools to assure that these compliance issues are met.

I am proud to announce the combined audit with the Public Ed Department, the Division of Vocational Rehab, and the Charter Schools had no material weaknesses this year. And the Department audit was down -- last year, we had 15 significant deficiencies; this year, we have six.

And, so -- and none of them were really related to financial issues. They were related, as I mentioned the sub-recipient monitoring, working with our charters on overseeing -- they were more compliance issues. And, so, we're pretty happy with the condition of our audit, as well.

And, so, Madame Chair, that's my report regarding the audit. I'll stand for questions on that, and then I have one more issue I'd like to discuss with you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Are there any questions of Deputy Secretary Aguilar on the audit?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Good luck.





THE CHAIR: I just have one. You said 1 2 there were 144 unresolved issues on the charter school audits. 3 4 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: I'm sorry. No, there 5 were 40 -- so, there were 185 findings last year; 144 of them were resolved. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Were resolved? 8 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Yes, ma'am. 9 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. 10 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: And then there were 82 11 new findings of various kinds for different things. 12 So, we, the Charter Schools, netted out in a better 13 position, as I've noted. So, those numbers are 14 coming down. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Of those 82 new 16 findings, I assume that means those were not repeat 17 findings? 18 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Yes, ma'am. Those are 19 new findings. 20 THE CHAIR: Okay. And were any of them 21 significant or major findings? 22 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Madame Chair, as I 23 noted, none were material weaknesses. If they were 24 not- -- if they were noncompliant -- with the new 25 reporting -- most of you are familiar with the term



a "qualified" or an "unqualified" audit. That term
is no longer being used as of this year. It's now a
"modified" or an "unmodified" audit. And none of
the charter schools had modified audits this year,
with the exception of McCurdy, which had a
disclaimed audit.

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Any other questions? Okay. Thank you.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Okay. Madame Chair, the last item I'd like to discuss with the Commission -- and I don't need a decision today. You have a hearing, I believe, coming up -- I've been in contact with the Chair over this last week about an attorney to represent the Commission in this hearing that's coming up.

For whatever reason, the Attorney

General's Office has chosen not to represent the

Commission in hearings. And I am concerned about

dual representation. And, so, what -- over the last

couple of years prior to the Attorney General's

Office being involved, we had Mr. Vigil as a

contract attorney, if you recall. And in a

cost-savings situation, we asked the Attorney

General's Office to begin providing representation

for the Commission.



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This dual representation is concerning to me. And, so, I have talked to the Chair, and I'm offering to the Commission that we would go, again, to hiring an attorney under contract, full-time, that would represent the -- that would represent the Commission in all matters that are before it, including month-to-month representation.

Again, Madame Chair, I don't need a decision today, but it might be something for you and your colleagues to think about and then let me know how you feel about that, so we can go out to an RFP, and so that we can select an attorney to represent you, if that be the case.

THE CHAIR: Let me just speak briefly to that. Yes, Deputy Secretary Aguilar did mention this to me. I mentioned it to the other -- to officers, because, again, this probably will come to a vote of the Commission -- so, that we could not talk about this other than in an open meeting. But I have spoken to the other two officers. And now that it is out in the open and everyone can consider it, if you want to talk about that now, we can. But it's not on the agenda, so, of course, we cannot make any kind of decision.

But let me -- this is just Carolyn





speaking, not for the Commission, not for the EC, not for anybody else. I was -- I was Chair when -- when the decision came from the Attorney General's Office that they could not represent us in appeals before of the Secretary. And that was as a result of a lawsuit that we filed against the Secretary about -- what? -- a year or so ago. Am I going too far, Abby? Okay.

MS. LEWIS: I would prefer if you -- if you want to say this now, it's fine. But I would prefer you introduce it under the agenda item of PEC Comments, and then we'll put it under there.

THE CHAIR: Let me just say what's in my mouth right now, and, then, if I go too far, you tell me.

When we filed suit against the Secretary thinking that we could appeal the Secretary's decision when she overturned one of our decisions. And if you all will recall, the judge said no, that we did not have standing and that we could not appeal the Secretary's decision.

At that time, the Attorney General's

Office made us aware that they could no longer

represent us in appeals before the Secretary. So,

everything else, we do have the representation.





1	I, personally, appreciate Deputy Secretary
2	Aguilar's offer to hire a full-time attorney for
3	PEC. And I but I, personally, am very satisfied
4	with the representation we have right now. With
5	that said, I will hush. And if we want to talk
6	about this more during PEC Comments, we can. I
7	certainly don't want to get us into any kind of
8	legal situation at this point.
9	Again, I appreciate your offer, but I want
10	the other Commissioners to be very aware of
11	everything that I know, okay? So, thank you for
12	that.
13	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: And, Madame Chair, one
14	final thing, and, again, I was remiss to not mention
15	earlier. I actually was reading this agenda item.
16	I there's a note here. We are most sad to
17	announce the departure of Kelly from our team. It
18	is with a heavy heart that she forced me to accept
19	her resignation.
20	THE CHAIR: She can be rather forceful.
21	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: I'm not she can,
22	and so can I. So, it was an interesting
23	conversation. There was a little bit of wheeling
24	and dealing, but it didn't work out for us.
25	I just want to publicly recognize Kelly



for the efforts that she has made. She stepped in at a time that -- that -- actually, she was brought in by a former director, who's in the audience, at a time when I think the Charter School Division was searching for direction. And I think that she provided that leadership and guidance to -- and the stability to bring -- to bring that -- the organization together and move it forward.

And, then, with -- now that Tony's there,

I think they've made a great team. And it is

actually more -- more than just sadness; I think it

is a loss to the -- to the children of New Mexico.

I know she's going to go work in what she calls an education field. But we're arguing about that, as well.

But I think that the children of

New Mexico are -- have been the benefit of some

outstanding work. And, then -- so, they're also

going to be the recipient of a little bit of a

transition. And we'll work hard to do well.

But I do want to wish Kelly the best of luck going forward. And I didn't realize this until I came to PED. We develop a pretty good -- kind of a family-ish relationship here that's kind of interesting. And Kelly will always be part of our





family here. And however we can support you, Kelly, you're always welcome.

MS. CALLAHAN: Paul, thank you very much.

I really do appreciate it. It's been an honor. And

I think that we're in a good place in charter

schools. And it has absolutely been a team effort.

This organization, the PEC, CSD, and the PED have -
I think we've all worked tremendously hard to raise

the level of accountability for charter schools.

And I think we're seeing the product in terms of performance. The school grades this year showed significant improvement for charter schools. The financials, it sounds like we're moving in the right direction. And I see -- I see a higher game being played in terms of schools performing.

And I think it's a -- it's a great pleasure to have been a part of that. And I hope to continue to be a part of education in New Mexico. So, thank you very much. I really appreciate your comments.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Madame Chair, with that, that concludes my presentation, unless the Commission has other questions of me. Otherwise, I'm going to go prep for getting yelled at this afternoon.





Τ	THE CHAIR: Let me just say one thing,
2	Deputy Secretary. I do appreciate your efforts in
3	helping us to get an attorney to represent us in the
4	hearing that's upcoming with Dream Dine'. I know it
5	took your intervention to get that moving.
6	I am concerned it is a little late,
7	and but we have an attorney. He was here
8	yesterday. He did introduce himself. And, so, it's
9	working.
10	So, I appreciate your efforts, and thank
11	you for your work on our behalf.
12	Are there questions or comments from other
13	Commissioners?
14	Okay. And, by the way, I want you to know
15	we recognized Kelly yesterday, so we're not just
16	sitting here ignoring her.
17	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Oh, no. I
18	THE CHAIR: The truth is, at the end of
19	the meeting today, the doors will be barred, and she
20	cannot leave. So, you know, we have taken the
21	action that we can.
22	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Commissioner, I
23	appreciate that.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you for your report.
25	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you,



Commissioner. Have a good day, and enjoy the rest 1 2 of the day. THE CHAIR: 3 And Happy Holidays. 4 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: That's true. 5 Holidays to everyone. 6 COMMISSIONER GANT: And good luck to you 7 this afternoon. 8 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: I like the chuckle. 9 THE CHAIR: All right. Appreciate Deputy 10 Secretary Aquilar's assistance in getting us new 11 chairs; that will certainly be lovely. 12 computers, too. I know that's been a struggle for 13 some Commissioners. And, so, we'll be well taken 14 care of in that regard. Let's move on to Item No. 9, Update and 15 16 Clarification on La Promesa Amendment. 17 Ms. Lewis, please? 18 MS. LEWIS: I just put this on the agenda 19 because I wanted to let the Commission know that the 20 agreement that was reached on the La Promesa cap 21 amendment escaped being reduced to writing. 22 went back and put it in writing. 23 It's exactly what you had voted on, pulled 24 it right out of the minutes. But I just wanted to 25 update and clarify for you that that has now been



reduced to writing and signed by the Chairwoman, as 1 2 well as the head of La Promesa, and answer any 3 questions you might have. 4 THE CHAIR: You're not giving me time to get all this signed here. You're supposed to talk 5 6 longer. 7 MS. LEWIS: I'll talk a long time. 8 THE CHAIR: All right. Next is Item 9 No. 10, Update for Options for Parents Director and 10 Possible PEC Action on La Jicarita Charter School. 11 Mr. Gerlicz? 12 Madame Chair, members of the MR. GERLICZ: 13 Commission, we put this on the agenda because we 14 wanted to bring to the attention of the PEC some concerns that we had have, and continue to have, 15 16 about La Jicarita Community Charter School. 17 It's in their first year of operation. And we've had a number of concerns about the 18

operations, just the information that we were getting.

Those concerns are listed in your packet in Agenda Item No. 10, under the seven bulleted items that you see there. And we have much more

detail under each of those bulleted items, should

operations of La Jicarita, and, in addition to the

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 843-9492

19

20

21

22

23

24



you care for any of those additional informations under those bulleted items.

I do want to let the PEC know that the Charter School Division, because, in recent years, have established a much closer relationship with all of the schools with whom we work, we are on top of it and are working with the school to resolve these issues and get them on the right track, particularly since we know that the first year of operation of a charter school is one of the most challenging years of operation. It is important for schools to get off on the right foot, and we are doing what we can to help the school do that.

I've been in touch with the chair of the Board, Ms. Amanda Bissell, who could not make it here today. The other governing council members are here -- they are here.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Johanna DeBiase is here.

She stepped out for a bit. She's the vice president of the governing council.

MR. GERLICZ: Thank you so much. Edwin Fernandez, in the audience, who is La Jicarita's business manager, who we've been in contact with regularly, particularly our liaison, Brad Richardson, who's in the audience, who has all the





details of school at his fingertips.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

And we did ask the chair to be here today. She could not be here, unfortunately, but she did send her vice chair to be here. And they, the governing council, have drafted a letter to the PEC that I put on your places here this morning.

So, when she returns from wherever she went --

MR. FERNANDEZ: I'll poke my head out and see if I can find her.

MR. GERLICZ: -- bring her in here so she can address the PEC, because as you can see from this letter, the governing council has already taken some fairly dramatic steps. And this is, of course, news to us, as well. It doesn't come as a surprise, but it does come as news, that they have replaced their director, Mr. Wes Clarkson, who has resigned from his position on December the 6th, giving them his 30-day notice to do that. And they are already at work at finding an interim director.

My understanding is we can learn more about that today, that they have candidates online to replace that.

And you can see that the governing council has judiciously addressed each of these bullet





points that are in your packet. So, this is their voice. And, welcome.

MS. DeBIASE: Hi, good morning.

MR. GERLICZ: Could you say your name into the microphone? And say -- and any comments that you'd like to make to the PEC regarding that letter, or any additional information, now would be the time to do so.

MS. DeBIASE: Okay. Good morning,

Commissioners. Okay, thanks. Good morning,

Commissioners. My name is Johanna DeBiase. I am

the vice president of the governing council of

La Jicarita Community School and one of the

cofounders.

I think the letter speaks for itself and answers a lot of the concerns. Yeah, I don't want to speak ahead of the game. I would rather take questions, if that works for everybody.

THE CHAIR: From my personal perspective, we just got this letter. I haven't had time to read it.

MS. DeBIASE: Okay.

THE CHAIR: So, I, personally, would
prefer that you tell us what's going on. Don't
necessarily read it to us, but just tell us.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21



Okay, sure. Basically, MS. DeBIASE: La Jicarita, we -- the governing council learned, maybe in late September or early October, that we were having some leadership issues. Our director was not fulfilling his job. We had -- he had hired a business manager that he told us was working towards her licensure, but she never did. And we quickly found out she wasn't qualified for the job. She wasn't doing what she needed to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

And Edwin -- our business manager, Mr. Edwin Fernandez, can speak to some of the financial issues we have. He's our new business And we asked the director to dismiss her. manager. He wasn't overseeing her. He -- there were a lot of communication issues, leadership issues.

As soon as we started to realize that there was a problem, we underwent an evaluation process with the full school and the staff when the evaluation came back. It was really poor; his self-evaluation was equally poor. And soon after, he turned in his letter of resignation. So, we're currently looking at finding a new interim director.

As a board, we learned a lot from the experience: One, how important it is to have a strong leader; and, also, what we need to do





oversight-wise, how important it is for us to be even stricter than we were on oversight issues.

And that's the gist of it. There are more specifics in the letter, as far as getting facilities going. I mentioned the business manager, financial irregularities, hiring teachers. We had teachers -- with him hiring teachers that we didn't approve of, us letting him know we didn't like his hiring, and him sort of going ahead and hiring them anyway.

S.T.A.R.S. --

THE CHAIR: May I stop you right there?
What were your objections to the teachers that he hired?

MS. DeBIASE: We didn't feel they were qualified enough. He didn't hire anyone bilingual. We have -- it's a dual-language school. They weren't -- they were -- he told us they were working towards licensure. We had one that they weren't fully licensed in elementary level. They were K-through-12 certified. And we didn't feel that they were a well-rounded group of people.

And we expressed our concerns to him, every step along the way during the hiring process. But, again, there were some communication issues,

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



and he just didn't give us satisfactory responses, and he went ahead and hired them, which is his job to do. And at this point, early in the game, we were still sort of allowing him to do his job and not trying to micromanage him all the time.

So -- and we did have -- he did hire a licensed teacher, and we did have one receive their licensure. So, right now, we do have -- our teachers are mostly qualified, but we still don't have a bilingual teacher. And that's something, hopefully, with the new director coming in, we'll be able to reshape the staff and what it looks like so it's more well-rounded and more highly qualified.

THE CHAIR: And the financial irregularities, would you speak to those?

MS. DeBIASE: Mr. Fernandez can speak to that, more specifically. He also had trouble doing the S.T.A.R.S. reporting. He took it upon himself to do it without training. And the board -- we had to be -- we had a lot of issues. We had to call him every day to make sure he was on track and it was going to get done.

They went for training. Our office manager went with him, so, she's now fully trained in it. And we are now going to make sure that we





always have someone trained and accountable for S.T.A.R.S.

There were complaints from parents and staff. I mean, there were a lot of issues. It's a slow process. I think we did pretty well, considering. We saw them coming, and we were able to act and find, and now we're on track to find an interim director to start next semester. But we definitely learned a lot from the experience.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Gerlicz?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, just to amplify that, you can see on the back page of the Jicarita item in your packet is that we are recommending a monthly -- we didn't call it a corrective action plan, but it actually is one. But we recommend that we're going to be in monthly contact with Jicarita through this transition, including site visits to the school, including visits with the governing body, including reading all their agendas and take a much more active approach, or active monitoring, of this school throughout the remainder of this year.

Any additional bullets that the Commission would want to put on there is fine, as well.

MS. DeBIASE: And we greatly appreciate





1	that. Any and all support is greatly appreciated
2	from the PED.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair?
4	THE CHAIR: Mr. Carr.
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: So, this brought to
6	mind this is a question, then. Maybe it hasn't
7	come up before. Is the relationship between the
8	Board of Finance and the school's director pretty
9	much the same as a superintendent and a school board
10	in a traditional school setting, or traditional
11	school district?
12	MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair,
13	Commissioner Carr, if I understand your question,
14	you're asking whether the relationship between the
15	director of the school and the governing body is
16	analogous to a school board hiring a superintendent?
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
18	MR. GERLICZ: If that's your question, the
19	answer is yes.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. And then
21	further that question is, will that director have
22	the authority to hire everybody without too much
23	interference from the board?
24	MR. GERLICZ: That is correct. Madame
2.5	Chair Commissioner Carr it is the principal or



the head of the school, or the lead administrator -whatever they call themselves in charter schools -it is their responsibility to hire staff. It is the
governing body's decision to monitor and oversight
and hire and fire one person only, and that's the
director, or the head of the school, the principal.

COMMISSIONER CARR: So, sounds like you
were doing proper oversight.

MR. GERLICZ: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CARR: And -- but maybe you didn't move quick enough. And, so, your only decision to be made was to -- to get rid of the director, if he wasn't performing his duties properly or legally or whatever.

So, that's -- yeah, that's an extremely important thing to do. I -- this calls to mind -- you know, that is the -- the importance of hiring somebody with knowledge and experience and the proper certification. And it sounds like he didn't think that was important, you know.

And, so, it -- and, obviously, maybe he didn't think it was important for himself, either.

But I -- you know, I don't know all the details, so I'm not going to make a lot of -- I'm not going to make any judgments about it, necessarily. But I --



sounds like maybe you've figured it out, and you've got to be a little tougher.

That reminds me. I've got a first-year teacher this year, who, her only problem is she doesn't know how to be tough. She doesn't know how to be direct. But she's smart, and she's well-qualified. And that's typical of -- you know, that typically comes with not having experience of operating a school.

I hope everything -- I hope you get everything worked out, because I remember voting on this and going to the hearing and everything. And I -- I hope you can get it all worked out.

MS. DeBIASE: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Could we have Brad, the liaison, come to the table, please? I'm sure he's got a lot of knowledge and information, and we may actually have some questions for him.

So, Mr. Gerlicz, you are recommending a corrective action plan for this school?

MR. GERLICZ: What I put in my writing is that we will be doing mostly reporting and engage the school on a reporting schedule to us, that we would then report to the PEC. And those bullets are listed in your packet.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Personnel are properly licensed. We want to stay on top of -- and especially now, with this new information that there's going to be an interim director, even more so. We want to make sure that the interim director is on top of his or her hiring decisions and making sure that everyone is properly licensed.

We want to ensure that the school has a properly licensed and capable business manager. We did learn, thankfully, and we were pleased to hear, that Edwin Fernandez, who was a former PED employee is handling and cleaning up the finances now, and catching it early, has agreed to stay at the school for the remainder of the year.

But that will be on the executive director or the new principal's plate to hire a new business manager. We want to stay on top of that, ensure that there are no further delays in reporting to the school, which was driving us nuts, whether it's S.T.A.R.S, or NPSI [ph], or ERB. And Brad can tell you the details of that.

The communication needs to be infinitely better than it was. We had a terribly difficult time. We want to monitor that. We want monthly updates on enrollment. We want monthly updates on





correspondence the school has had with parents and its Peñasco school partners, and the community, in general. We want to help the school get off on as good a foot as it can in its first year.

And, then, the monthly governing body agenda and minutes, we want. We want to make visits to the governing body. That's what we propose, and we do that on a monthly basis.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And you mentioned a partnership with the local district?

MR. GERLICZ: We ad- -- I may -- yes, in the sense that what we advocate, for what we give advice to our charters, be they State-chartered or locally-chartered, is to establish a good relationship with the district.

THE CHAIR: But has that happened?

MR. GERLICZ: I will let Brad and Johanna answer that. I think there have been issues. I don't know what the most recent update is.

MS. DeBIASE: Currently, we -- while they don't necessarily work with us, we don't have any problems with them. They have been minimally supportive. And we're good with that. That's good. We don't -- we can't ask for too much more. But

there's no -- there's no discord between us.





THE CHAIR: Brad, can you give us just an 1 2 idea of your workings with the school and how all these disconnects happened, how the problems 3 4 happened, and it has gotten this far? 5 they've had two planning years; is that correct? MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, Madame Chairman, 6 7 that's right. THE CHAIR: 8 And now started the school 9 without a facility with an E-Occupancy. They're now 10 in a facility, an approved facility. So, bring us 11 up to speed, from your work and your perspective, on 12 what's going on here and what's -- what's fallen 13 through the cracks. 14 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. Thank you, 15 Madame Chair and Commissioners. The -- the 16 experience that we've had, that I've had working 17 with La Jicarita, has mostly to do with communications with the director. He was not 18 19 responsive, particularly when there were issues that 20 we did not know were percolating beneath the 21 surface. 22 For example, the school facility. Ιt 23 happened that I was in the area and dropped by maybe 24 two or three weeks before the school year was to



And I thought, well, let me check in and see

open.

1	how the facilities are looking.
2	And I caught him as he drove out of the
3	the campus area and said, "Well, how are things
4	going?"
5	And he replied, "I don't know where my
6	portables are."
7	And I said, "What do you mean, you don't
8	know where the portables are? Do you mean they're
9	en route, or they vanished? Or what does that
10	mean?"
11	And he said, "No, I just don't know where
12	they are."
13	And I said, "You do know that your school
14	is to open in two or three weeks' time? You'd
15	better find out where they are."
16	And, so and it sort of went downhill
17	from there. That first week and I kept writing
18	to him asking, "Where are you? What's going on?
19	Where is this? Where are you in relationship to
20	actually opening on time?"
21	And he had no answer. He never responded,
22	really.
23	And at that time, Director Gerlicz asked
24	Karen Ehlert and myself to go up and just visit.
25	And when we got up there this was the end of the



first week of school -- the facilities still
weren't -- there was still construction going on.
And, so, there was nobody there.

We had to ask the foreman, "Well, do you know where the director is?"

So, we found him. He was working in an office -- a temporary office. And we talked to him about it. Anyway, we sort of worked through that, but needed to report it.

And I said, "Look, you really do need to stay in touch with us, because this is a very serious issue."

Then things went along all right. And then I got a communication from Brenda Harris from PED, who's an investigator, I understand, with the Office of General Counsel, saying, "I can't get ahold of the head of the school, and he's hired a business manager who has no license."

And she then e-mailed me the string of communications that had no response to. So, I got in touch with the director and said, "You need to respond to this. This is serious."

He wrote to her with -- with an answer that really wasn't an answer, saying something along the lines, "Well, I think she applied for a license,

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



and she's" -- and the response from Brenda March

Mares was, "No, that's not an answer. I need to

know that she's not working in the capacity of a

business manager, if she's not licensed."

Then, he wrote back and said, "Well, we've let her go."

So, I had to find out from him, "Well, who's taking her place? You have to have, you know, a business manager."

And I didn't hear back. And, so, eventually, I found out that -- I think it was at that point that the governing council hired Edwin Fernandez to pick that up, and, in my communications with Edwin, learned that there was a lot he had to unravel from this other business manager, and that the PED put them on a monthly reporting schedule rather than the quarterly reporting schedule, because of the kinds of irregularities: Not getting their reports in on time, not making payments to the retirement accounts, and so forth.

But when I've had subsequent conversations with Edwin, he tells me now that all of that has been straightened out and that the PED plans to put them back on monthly reporting, come January.

MR. GERLICZ: Quarterly?



7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



MR. RICHARDSON: Excuse me. Quarterly reporting, yes, come January. And, then, of course, there were questions about licenses and so on, including whether or not the director himself was qualified to teach at the elementary level. He had a secondary license for teaching. But I understood he was teaching at the elementary level.

So, I had to write to -- ask Licensure to let me know whether or not he had a license for teaching elementary. And she informed me last week that he did not have a license to teach at elementary level.

So, it was -- it all seemed to be coming back to weaknesses and gaps in communication and leadership and having to spend so much time chasing down information.

The action, I think, that the governing council has taken to look for new leadership that will be more responsive and has more experience and more knowledge about what's required legally is -- is probably -- will probably remedy this. I'm hopeful. And, then, if we can keep -- work with the school on a regular basis, I think we will have gotten past their darkest days.

THE CHAIR: And, then, could we hear from



Mr. Fernandez on the financials, please? 1 2 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. There are plenty of chairs up 3 THE CHAIR: 4 here, Brad; or right there around the table. 5 MR. RICHARDSON: I can pull a chair up. 6 MR. FERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, 7 Commissioners, I left the school as contract 8 business manager on June 30th. And I was asked by the governing council president if I could come back 10 to help the school, because they needed a licensed 11 business manager, on October the 16th. 12 And I said, "yes," to help the school. 13 But upon returning, I found out that the -- the 14 business manager that the director had hired -was -- well, you know, wasn't licensed, of course, 15 16 but hadn't performed the business management duties 17 for the month of July through the middle of October. 18 The accounting system -- we use AptaFund. 19 The accounting system, the payroll module that she 20 set up was set up incorrectly. The payroll reports 21 for the Educational Retirement Board had not been 22 filed through -- from July. The New Mexico 23 Retirement Health Care Authority reports had not 24 been filed. Pretty much nothing had been filed.



I contacted the -- our budget analyst

immediately, and the fourth quarter report for 2013 1 2 had not been filed. So, I proceeded to do that immediately, working closely with our budget 3 4 I'm glad to say that we are now current 5 with all quarterly and monthly reports to PED, our budget analyst. She has indicated to me that she 6 7 will recommend -- has talked to her supervisor about 8 placing the school, La Jicarita Community School, back on quarterly reporting come the month of 10 January. 11 We are all -- the school is all caught up 12 with all monthly reporting, quarterly reporting. 13 stay in contact, communication, with the Charter 14 School Division, Brad, our liaison, to inform him with what's going on and to ask for guidance on any 15 16 questions I may have. So, at this point in time, I 17 can say that everything is current. And financials 18 are current and things are accounted for and 19 reconciled. 20 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Were you not on --

21 a part of the group that originally proposed this
22 school?
23 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. Madame Chair, I am
24 one of the -- I am the first business manager. And

I'm the third business manager, now, so --





THE CHAIR: First and third. I don't mean to dominate the questions. Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, thank

you. I've got a couple of things -- I'll start over here. Given that Peñasco is a small community, and you're somewhat isolated up in that beautiful valley, I am going to suspect you're going to have a difficult time finding a qualified director.

And I know it's been just -- what? -- a week. Have you begun your search yet? Are you having any success?

MS. DeBIASE: I do think that that's a great point. Thank you. But -- and when we hired Mr. Clarkson, he was the most qualified candidate that we had at the time. Now, we know to look for different qualifications.

We've just started the process, so we've just begun putting out ads. He gave us his 30 days, so he's still acting director at this point. And, hopefully, we'll have someone by January 1st.

We do have at least a couple of good candidates in mind, at this point. So, we'll see.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. And I direct my comments to the director. Obviously, what you propose, the monitoring, is -- is more informal

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



than an actual corrective action plan. I notice you left it open-ended. Do you -- do you -- if that's the direction we choose to go, is that the way you would want it, just to be open-ended until you were satisfied you could satisfy us that everything -- sounds like some of it has already been rectified.

So, you'd prefer it probably to be open-ended at this time, and probably less formal than a full corrective action plan?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, Commissioner
Bergman, the thinking behind that is yes, you're
right in that supposition. Because it has been
three months since the school is opened. We'd like
the school to have a year under its belt. And it's
clearly been a bumpy beginning.

So, we feel that with that monthly monitoring, if they can get over this rough patch right now, it'll be bumpy somewhat for the remainder of the year, but, then, we'll be able to say, "All right. Now, it's time for a formalized corrective action plan."

And since they're in the second year of their existence, considering that they had two planning years, they have, then, four years with students, this being their first year with students,





1	but their second year of their charter. So, if, at
2	the end of this year, we throw in the academic
3	performances on top of this, then we see that we'll
4	enter into a formal corrective action plan.
5	But right now, you're correct that the
6	governing board has already taken steps to rectify
7	the situation. This is a good move and good steps,
8	and we just want to continue to monitor.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for that.
10	And since you just told I thought he might
11	already be gone. Since he's still there for
12	30 days I don't want to make this sound mean or
13	anything. But I assume you're going to have very
14	close oversight on him during the remainder of his
15	tenure?
16	MS. DeBIASE: Yes, definitely. And it's
17	only two weeks till make there's only
18	one-and-a-half weeks left until Christmas break.
19	And, then, he's pretty much done, formally done.
20	So.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. Thank
22	you, Madame Chair.
23	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.
24	MS. LEWIS: I just had a few questions
25	about timing, in the event that the Commission wants



to undertake a corrective action plan. But I can 1 2 ask that any time before the vote. 3 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 4 just a couple of questions to clear up my mind a 5 little bit. You purchased the -- the portables from Rio Rancho; is that correct? 6 7 MS. DeBIASE: (Indicates.) 8 COMMISSIONER GANT: So, it's all paid for? 9 MS. DeBIASE: The foundation, La Jicarita 10 Community Foundation owns -- well, they have a 11 loan -- they borrowed money from the --12 MR. FERNANDEZ: May I answer it? 13 MS. DeBIASE: That would be great. Madame Chair, 14 MR. FERNANDEZ: 15 Commissioner, the La Jicarita Community Foundation 16 was set up to help the school. The La Jicarita 17 Community Foundation borrowed \$450,000 from CSDC, the Charter School Development Corporation. 18 19 have a private loan with them. They're the ones 20 that purchased the land, purchased the portables from Rio Rancho Schools, did all the infrastructure 21 22 and development. The property is owned, the buildings are 23 24 owned, by the Foundation. The school rents the 25 buildings, the property, from the Foundation.



COMMISSIONER GANT: That was one of my questions, sir. How many students do you really have?

MS. DeBIASE: We currently have 37 students.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Okay. I -- it may not be a big issue right now, Madame Chair. But looking at the PSCOC lease assistance report for 2013-'14, which I have right here, you're listing 52. And it could come down. You need to check this out with the PSCOC, PSFA. You're listing 52; you're getting paid for 52, receiving money for 52 students. That could come back later on. When the 120-day average comes out, you may end up paying it back.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Madame Chair,

Commissioner, thank you for that. I -- when I came

back on board in October, some of the things that I

had to work on were budget adjustment requests,

seeking award letters and documentation for funds

that the school was entitled to receive.

I submitted -- the letter from PSCOC, was available back since August. I submitted a budget adjustment request. The award letter was based on 52 students. And it was, like, \$700-and-some per student. And that was, of course, originally part



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the budget process or plan.

What I did, and took it upon myself, to only budget the amount of -- funds available for 37 students in the classroom. So the BR reflects only the amount available for 37 students, and not 52. So, we are -- instead of seeking or getting the \$30,000-some, we have like \$28,000, should we remain constant with 37 students, that we'll be receiving from them.

So, I kind of initiated that to avoid any problem in having to refund any money back. We're only going to request funds based on the 37 students that we have.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you,

Madame Chair. I just didn't want you getting in trouble come spring, when the 80-, 120-day average comes out, and they say "What," you know; because I see this monthly, 'cause that's where I sit.

Besides -- okay? And I don't want you in trouble,

because that could end up being a lot of money, \$12,000, \$15,000, you've got to pay back. And we don't want to do that.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. But if I have the opportunity to curtail things that shouldn't happen, I certainly will. Thank you for





1 that information.

2 COMMISSIONER GANT: I'll be watching it

from that end. Thank you. That's it.

THE CHAIR: Other questions?

Commissioners?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Gerlicz, may I ask you why you have chosen to go the route of the monthly reports, and so on and so forth, rather than the formal corrective action? Because it really sounds like this school needs a formal corrective action plan. Going into the future, they don't know, truly -- they're going to hire a new director. Hopefully, he or she will be magnificent. But I agree with Commissioner Bergman. Coming from a small community, somewhat isolated, as I am, folks just aren't standing in line to come to smaller places and very small schools.

So, they may or may not get a really outstanding director. And I sort of lean towards a more formal, structured approach to helping them correct all these issues. And there are a lot of issues. And they've got to be affecting the kids and their education. I certainly would think a corrective action plan would be much better.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, we could call



it what we like. I don't think it would change the actions that we intend to take with the school on a regular basis. If we want to make it a formal corrective action plan, I have no problem with that. If we want to do a monthly report, I have no problem with that. We're going to continue to do what we proposed here.

THE CHAIR: Right. So, Commissioners, what are your thoughts on a formal corrective action plan, as opposed to a less formal monthly reporting issue? Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, I -- you know, if you -- I'm not a lawyer, again. But -- we have one. But the -- you know, if you're putting a teacher on a PIP, and there are some serious issues, it has to be -- I mean, to really -- to -- and I hope it doesn't -- and I don't think it'll come to this. But, in any circumstance, if there comes a situation where we have to close the school down -- and I sure hope we don't have to do that.

But in any particular situation, I believe that if it's a -- if it's formal, then you're on a much stronger legal ground if it comes to something more serious. If everything gets corrected, of course, it won't matter. But I think -- legally, I

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



think doing it formally is probably the best way. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Let's ask our legal counsel to speak to that. 3 4 Commissioner Carr, you hit MS. LEWIS: 5 right on the timing questions that I wanted to ask of Brad and Tony, just to ensure that if you did 6 7 decide a corrective action plan, that we have the timing down correctly, per the statute, and all the 8 actions correct. 10 So, I just wanted to ask Brad or Tony or 11 whoever has this knowledge, did this letter that you 12 got in response from La Jicarita -- was that in 13 response to a letter that you had sent them, or was 14 it a verbal communication? 15 MR. GERLICZ: Attorney Lewis, my recollection is that we had sent La Jicarita what we 16 17 were going to present to the PEC, and this was their 18 response. 19 MS. LEWIS: And how long ago did they 20 receive what you sent them? 21 MR. RICHARDSON: Commissioners, I -- I 22 don't -- I'm not sure of the timing of this. But I 23 do know that this has been a progressive set of 24 communications from the -- from the Charter Schools 25 Division to the school. I do believe that, as we've



been going along, the governing council has taken notice.

So, I think that what we are seeing is sort of the cumulative effects of the communications with the school. And I think what the governing council has been doing behind the scenes -- and I think Johanna can speak to that -- has been to take action, so that -- they've not been unresponsive.

And I think, at the end, we said, you know, "We appreciate the responses that you've had, the communications we've had with you, as governing council" -- I've had communications with the governing council president. "We appreciate that.

Nevertheless, we do need to communicate these issues to the authorizer, so that they're aware of that."

So, I take it as a good sign -- and all of

the issues that we've raised so far have been addressed.

So, I understand what Commissioner Carr is saying, and I think maybe that's a good idea, because I am concerned, going forward -- one of the material terms of the charter is to implement a dual-language program. Currently, with the instructors they have, they're not prepared to implement that. It doesn't appear they're prepared



to implement that. So, I am concerned about that.

That's the next hurdle to get over.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

So, I -- I suspect that probably something more formal, where they are on watch, might not be a bad idea. But I don't want us to leave with the impression that the school has been unresponsive to the concerns that we've -- and they've addressed those concerns.

MS. LEWIS: Thank you. My legal advice to the Commission would be to present the school as soon as we can with a formal letter outlining the concerns that CSD has noted and observed and give them a reasonable opportunity to cure those. We might think about 30 to 60 days. I believe there's a meeting scheduled for almost every month next year.

So, if they are not cured, then I would advise a corrective action plan. But at this point, I would advise the formal letter first.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: As you might have guessed, actually, I'm more, personally, right now, in favor of something a little less formal. A corrective action plan, just the term, has such legalistic implications for people.



It sounds like they've acknowledged what's going on. CSD is aware of what's going on. aware of what's going on. And as our attorney just stated, if it becomes necessary to do something like a formal corrective action plan, we have that option at this point to do so. But like I say, I would probably lean more towards -- give them 60 days, because maybe in 60 days, they'll be able to solve their new director problem, and, then, which would -- we have a February meeting. That would give us --THE CHAIR: January and February, yes. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, just -- I'm

speaking more personally. I'm just kind of an informal kind of guy. So, when it becomes necessary to do that, I'll support that. But I'd kind of like to keep it informal right now. Thank you, Madame Chair.

> Commissioner Peralta. THE CHAIR:

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Gerlicz, to your knowledge, in the past, has there been any other charter schools that went through this type of informal monitoring, month-to-month basis kind of deal, that you know of?

> Since I have come on board, MR. GERLICZ:



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

Commissioner Peralta, I would say suffice to say that we are in touch with all of our schools regularly, either by phone or in conferences. I'm trying to think if there is a school -- and I turn to my colleagues out there -- was there a school out there that we can think of that we had a series of issues come up so rapidly? And I don't. Nothing is coming to mind.

This is a school that has been on radar since the beginning because of its two-year planning years, and then, still, as Brad was bringing to our attention, all of these additional things that just raised and raised. And I cannot recall since my time -- and I don't know, Kelly, if, since your time -- if that has occurred in the recent past.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So, would you say you are confident in thinking this is doable by the end of the school year?

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, Commissioner Peralta, it's certainly doable. But it's certainly not doable. It all depends on what happens here on out. It all depends on leadership, which is always the key. We feel that we have good leadership now in the business office, with Mr. Fernandez at the school.



However, that's not going to be -- go beyond this year. It really does depend on who the interim director is. It depends on whether the governing body responds to our intervention about their oversight.

I am confident -- yes, I am confident that given the response that we've had so far, which has been positive, that it will continue to be positive, moving forward.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: And if I may ask the Jicarita representatives, in worst-case scenario, if, for some reason, you cannot find the qualified leadership that you're looking for or your pool of candidates just wasn't quite the right fit or whatever the case may be, what backup plan might you have in order to be able to, somewhat, you know, function through the remaining part of the year?

MS. DeBIASE: Thanks. We already do have one -- one candidate that we like, but we are interviewing some more. And we have -- with the help of Expeditionary Learning, which is our school model, and the help of some of our sister schools around the area, we have located leadership support, people that we could contract with, if necessary.

They're in Albuquerque, so they can't come to the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

school -- they can't be at the school. But they have already said that they would be happy to help, if we find a leader that needs support, that they would -- we could contract with them to support the leader.

So, hopefully, we will find just the perfect person. But -- but even if we can't find, you know, the perfect person, I think that we can all work together now -- with all the knowledge that we've gained from this experience with our previous leader, to make sure that we can still grow to have the perfect leader. That person can become the perfect leader, and we can assist them even better now.

And with the help of the monthly reporting which I welcome, we can stay on task and make sure we don't fall behind again. So, I'm confident that we can make it work. And I appreciate the opportunity, as well.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner

Bergman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

23 | COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That discussion

24 just suggested another question to me of

Mr. Fernandez, just to make me feel a little more





comfortable. I know you're not intending to stay 1 2 there forever. But are you committed to at least staying there until they find a qualified, licensed 3 4 business manager, for some short term? Madame Chair, 5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Commissioner, you know, I -- I live in Peñasco. I 6 7 am invested in the community. I am invested in this 8 school, as a member of the community. There's been too many people that have worked too long and too 10 hard to see this come to fruition and to make it a

Yes, I want to stay -- I can't let the governing council know this, okay? But I am willing to stay as long as necessary to ensure the success of the school, as the business manager, from the financial end of the school. So, yes, I would be willing to stay, as long as they find a qualified business manager that's licensed.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you for those comments. That certainly eases my concerns. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair,

Ms. Lewis, maybe I heard it wrong. Did you say

25 maybe we can put a letter, and then followed by a



successful school.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



corrective action plan? 1 2 MS. LEWIS: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GANT: That makes it more 3 4 It's a timeline. It shows the steps that formal. 5 have been taken, if we come down to this -- which we hope won't happen. So, I do think we need to be 6 7 more formal. Start with a letter saying what we 8 And if that doesn't happen -- anything 9 doesn't happen by the February meeting, that's it. 10 They get a corrective action plan. That's my view. 11 MS. LEWIS: We have noticed on the agenda 12 that there may be action on this. So, any motion 13 that you would like to make is allowable under the 14 agenda, if you wanted to make an action for a formal letter, followed by a corrective action plan, if the 15 conditions in the letter are not cured. 16 17 THE CHAIR: May I ask one more question, 18 before we get to the point of a motion? I think 19 we're getting fairly close. 20 Mr. Fernandez, how many members are on 21 your Board of Finance? 22 MR. FERNANDEZ: There are six. 23 THE CHAIR: Okay. Would you tell me the 24 makeup of the board, so on and so forth? 25 MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry?



1	THE CHAIR: The makeup of that Board of
2	Finance. You have a parent, a businessperson, a
3	MR. FERNANDEZ: We have well, it would
4	be Amanda and Johanna. It would be we have a
5	parent, Maxine Roybal. We have two parents, Ramona
6	and Maxine. We have a community member, who is
7	versed in finance and understands finance. And
8	that's Gloria Maestas.
9	And, then, there's the governing council
10	president, who is a member of the community, doesn't
11	have any children at the school. And then Johanna
12	DeBiase, who is a member of the community, also.
13	THE CHAIR: And they are active. This is
14	an active board.
15	MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, all of them are
16	active, yes, on a regular basis.
17	MS. DeBIASE: Can I add to that, too?
18	THE CHAIR: Yes, please.
19	MS. DeBIASE: I also we are a very
20	active board. Our board meets weekly for about two
21	to four hours a week, out of necessity, because
22	there's so much happening, and there are so many
23	action items to take care of.
24	THE CHAIR: You're talking about the Board
25	of Finance?



1 MS. DeBIASE: Yes, our -- yes. 2 Okay. THE CHAIR: Thank you for that information. 3 4 Are there other questions, Commissioners? 5 I sense a motion in the air. Is anyone ready to make that motion? Commissioner Bergman? 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me word it and 8 see if everybody agrees with my wording. 9 Madame Chair and members of the 10 Commission, I would move that, at this point in time 11 with La Jicarita, that the PEC send a formal letter to the school that would list individually the 12 13 bulleted items that are in the Executive Summary 14 that CSD has proposed, and that there be a 60-day limit on that -- that we give the school 60 days to 15 cure the concerns of both the Commission and the 16 17 CSD, and that if those concerns are not met, that a more formal action along the lines of a corrective 18 19 action plan may need to be done at our February 20 meeting. 21 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 22 Yeah. Please, Abby. THE CHAIR: 23 MS. LEWIS: Sorry. I would just add to 24 that, if you could, kind of a catchall for any other 25 issues that may arise, so that if CSD notes



something to us that wasn't in these bullet points, 1 2 that we can also include that in the letter. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do I need to 4 restate the whole motion, or can I just add a 5 sentence? MS. LEWIS: There can be a friendly 6 7 amendment, so somebody can stick that on. 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: I take back my second, 9 so, you finish. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let me try and word 11 it again, then. 12 MS. LEWIS: I would also ask that you 13 clarify that it will be your legal counsel drafting 14 the formal letter. Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'll probably 16 forget half of what I just said. 17 Here, again, Madame Chair, members of the Commission, I would move the Public Education 18 19 Commission send a formal letter that will be drafted 20 by the PEC attorney to La Jicarita. And that letter 21 will give them a 60-day deadline to cure the seven 22 bulleted items that are listed in the Executive 23 Summary that have been proposed by the CSD; and, 24 then, also, to include that if anything comes to the 25 school's attention or our attention in the 60-day



1	interim, that it would also have to be cured. Is
2	that satisfactory?
3	MS. LEWIS: I'm sorry if I was unclear. I
4	meant that would be contained in the letter. So,
5	any other conditions need to be contained in the
6	letter, rather than come up during the 60 days.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Oh. Then our
8	motion is premature, because the Chairman did not
9	ask if anybody wants to put any other conditions on
L O	there.
L1	MS. LEWIS: Well, I meant if anything
L 2	comes to their attention. So, the seven bulleted
L 3	items, as well as anything that comes to CSD's
L 4	attention before the drafting of the letter, that
L 5	will then be included in the letter.
L 6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would presume
L 7	you're drafting the letter right away, aren't you?
L 8	MS. LEWIS: I'm on vacation next week.
L 9	Well-deserved.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: With apologies to
21	our stenographer, I would move, then I did not
22	have a second, so what I said previously is gone;
23	correct? Okay.
24	I would move that the Public Education
25	Commission send a formal letter, drafted by the PEC



attorney, to the La Jicarita School, laying out the 1 2 recommend- -- recommended seven bulleted items in 3 the Executive Summary that CSD has proposed, plus 4 any items or any other deficiencies that come to 5 either the PEC's or the CSD's attention in the interim before the letter is drafted; and, that the 6 7 school be given 60 days to try and cure these various bulleted items. 8 9 THE CHAIR: Not "try." 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Will cure the 11 seven -- or the various bulleted items; and, that if 12 that is not done in the 60 days, then the PEC will 13 have to consider perhaps a more formal corrective 14 action plan to be implemented with this school. Perfect. 15 MS. LEWIS: COMMISSIONER CARR: 16 Second. 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you very 18 much. I'm here all week. 19 I'm going to let that one sit THE CHAIR: 20 for just a minute and let everyone think about it. We have a motion and a second on the floor. 21 22 Commissioner Parker. 23 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair, 24 Commissioners, if I'm reading it right, CSD has 25



recommended that there be monthly monitoring on the

1	school. It seems like the motion is just to get
2	something taken care of within 60 days. After that
3	60 days, if it's corrected to the opinion of the
4	parties involved, is that monthly monitoring going
5	to continue, or is it gone?
6	THE CHAIR: We can certainly make that a
7	condition on the letter. But I don't know that we
8	need to; because if CSD wants to monitor them
9	monthly, certainly, they can do that.
10	MR. GERLICZ: Commissioner Parker,
11	regardless, it doesn't matter. We're going to
12	monitor them monthly.
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: There you go.
14	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you.
15	THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion or
16	questions?
17	MR. RICHARDSON: Madame Chair, we have a
18	question. Johanna has a question.
19	MS. DeBIASE: Yeah. I would we have
20	60 days from the time we receive the letter, then,
21	that the letter is dated?
22	MS. LEWIS: Yes. Under the law, there's
23	three days for mailing, basically. So, I'll date
24	the letter and then put it in the mail to you. So,
25	there's an extra three days built in there for the



1 mailing.

MS. DeBIASE: And, then, also, the Item 7 is complaints from parents. I'm not sure how we would address that, because we don't know what the specific complaints are from parents. So, either -- so, what would that look like, a corrective action to --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You're looking at a different list. You're looking at the list on the front page. The bulleted items are on the back page. They're different from what you're looking at. Parent complaints are not mentioned on the back.

MS. BARNES: May I show her the seven?

THE CHAIR: Please do.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I assume everyone understood. Those are the seven. I didn't note there was a list on the front page, too. I'm talking about on the back page, the CSD recommendations.

MS. DeBIASE: Okay, yes. Mr. Gerlicz had read this earlier. Okay, thank you. Then, that was the only question I had.

THE CHAIR: Further discussion or

questions?





1	Mr. Bergman, may we have a roll-call vote,
2	please?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
4	Conyers.
5	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
7	Parker.
8	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Peralta.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
15	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Shearman.
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
22	Bergman votes "yes."
23	Madame Chair, the motion, as stated,
24	carries by eight votes.
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Unanimous vote to



approve the motion to send a letter asking for 1 2 correction of the seven bulleted items on the back 3 side of the Executive Summary of -- from the 4 Director. 5 I remind you that our February meeting is on the 28th. Ms. Lewis, does that give us 6 7 sufficient time? 8 MS. LEWIS: I believe that gives us 9 60 days from when I'll send it. 10 Should be plenty of time. THE CHAIR: 11 MS. LEWIS: My intent would be to draft 12 and send it on the first day I return to work, the 13 23rd. 14 THE CHAIR: So, with all that working, it should be sufficient to put it on the February 28th 15 16 agenda. All right? 17 Thank you all very much. Thank you 18 for being here. 19 MS. DeBIASE: Thank you very much. 20 Appreciate it. 21 THE CHAIR: Next item is No. 11. Again, 22 Director Gerlicz reports from Options for Parents 23 and the Charter School Division. "A" is report on 24 McCurdy Charter School. Mr. Gerlicz.



MR. GERLICZ:

25

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

Madame Chair, members of the

1	Commission, I would ask the chair of McCurdy's
2	governing body, Deborah Bennett Anderson, to have a
3	few words with the Commission. We've heard the
4	PED's response to the issues that have beset and
5	befallen McCurdy.
6	I do, say on behalf of the governing body
7	and on behalf of Chair Anderson, that they have been
8	very, very responsive and appreciative of the PED's
9	involvement with the finances of the school.
10	Chair Anderson, if there is any words that
11	you wanted to give to the Commission on your own at
12	this time, feel free to do so. As far as the PED's
13	response is concerned, we heard it from Deputy
14	Secretary Aguilar.
15	MS. ANDERSON: Madame Chair, I would
16	respectfully request that knowing the Commission may
17	have several questions, can we take a ten-minute
18	break before I talk with you?
19	THE CHAIR: Certainly. That will be fine.
20	Let's take ten minutes, come back at 20 till.
21	(Recess taken, 10:33 a.m. to 10:44 a.m.)
22	THE CHAIR: Let's resume our meeting.
23	Before we get started with the next item, I'd like
24	to call on Abby.



I just wanted to introduce --

25

MS. LEWIS:

1	the Deputy Secretary and the Chair earlier were
2	discussing that they had been assigned an attorney
3	for the Dream Dine' appeal, if need be. And I just
4	wanted to introduce him. He's in the audience.
5	Seth McMillan is with Montgomery & Andrews, and we
6	really appreciate him being here.
7	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Seth.
8	Now, then, Director Gerlicz
9	Director Gerlicz is not here. We're sort of a
10	fragmented bunch today.
11	MS. ANDERSON: That's okay. I know the
12	feeling.
13	THE CHAIR: Mr. Director, whenever you're
14	ready.
15	MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the
16	Commission, I'm not going to repeat my former
17	comments, and I'll just turn it over to the chair,
18	Deborah Bennett Anderson, for her comments.
19	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
20	MS. ANDERSON: Excuse me. I'm struggling.
21	That's not going to work. I'll just hold it. It's
22	fine.
23	Madame Chair and the rest of the
24	Commissioners, thank you



MR. GERLICZ:

And bring it close, Deborah.

MS. ANDERSON: Surely. During the break, I passed out a one-sheet chronology. If you will allow me, I'd like to demonstrate that, at least during our meeting -- or since our meeting September 26th, and when you approved our 12-month extension, that, indeed, the school had been working on the 18-month plan and so forth. And if you will allow me, I would like to at least cover that.

This is an abbreviated form of the report that we provided on November 14th to the Charter School Division. Obviously, you approved our 12-month extension on the 26th of September. And the big issue at that time was whether or not the General Board of Global Ministries of the United Methodist Church would approve a resolution providing the 501(c)(3) McCurdy ownership of the property. And, indeed, on the 23rd of October, they approved that resolution. So, it clarified all the property title concerns about the property, and we initiated the -- I shouldn't say "initiated" -- we continued with the contract preparation.

We had a lot of interactions with Public School Facility Authority. I'm sorry that Martica is not here today, because PSFA has been incredibly helpful in terms of all of the infrastructure





issues. On the 4th, they said -- so, this was probably a week after your concurrence on the 12-month extension -- they sent a representative out to relook at the proposed property in terms of the McCurdy Charter School campus.

And, then, on the 7th, we had representatives from the school, from HighMark, from the 501(c)(3) McCurdy. We all got together on the telecon, and talked about what the evaluation results were. So, I mean, this has happened -- they came out on a Friday, and they had the results on a Monday. And even, at that time, based on what they had seen, we were already talking about a weighted New Mexico Condition Index of about 15-and-a-half percent.

And, as you recall, 22 percent is the State average for the weighted New Mexico Condition Index. So, the idea of using the new building, plus refurbishing two buildings got us well within the requirement of the PEC condition of approval.

And HighMark agreed to send a full-size set of 50-percent construction drawings to PSFA for their review. So, that was a very good interaction.

About a week later, I sent a list of questions to PSFA regarding their results, and we



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

met several weeks later to clarify that. And based on those kinds of interactions, there were some differences between the original evaluation and what the second evaluator had found. So, we were just trying to clarify so that everybody knew what was going on.

And at that time that you incorporated those clarifications, we were talking about a weighted New Mexico Condition Index of a little over 10 percent. So, we were definitely improving on Halloween, HighMark and their subcontractor, Canyon Builders, came out. And they wanted to make sure that — they were on the campus. And they wanted to make sure that the clarifications that we had provided, and PSFA's assumptions — that they knew exactly what we were talking about.

So, we had a very good interaction on Halloween. And the intention was abatement and demolition of the three buildings proposed, after the Christmas break.

The contract activities, a lease-purchase option, was to be included in the lease document between McCurdy Charter School and the 501(c)(3) McCurdy. We had anticipated -- and I'm sorry. This is incorrect. Lease signing between those two





bodies was anticipated for the 21st, not the 22nd. And the closing between the 501(c)(3) McCurdy and HighMark was anticipated for the 22nd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

On the 21st, we had a teleconference with Deputy Secretary Aguilar and PED representatives. We were notified of the board of suspension -- Board of Finance suspension. And we notified the 501(c)(3) McCurdy of that situation that evening. And the following day, on the 22nd, the HighMark legal counsel basically suspended the closure.

The status today. Where are we today? McCurdy has been meeting with -- McCurdy Charter School has been meeting -- I'll rephrase it.

PED has sent representatives to McCurdy Charter School in a very timely manner. They've all been incredibly supportive.

On the 26th, Secretary -- or Deputy Secretary Aguilar came to the school and spent three hours with us talking about what it meant to have the Board of Finance suspended and what we were talking about in terms of the potential lease with the 501(c)(3) McCurdy and the contract that would have between 501(c)(3) McCurdy and HighMark.

Very supportive of the school. I must say that under no circumstances was Deputy Secretary



Aguilar talking about this being punitive. They've been incredibly supportive, as has Charter School Division. We've had a lot of advocacy, as McCurdy Charter School has been dealing with this situation, I'll call it for lack of a better word.

We -- we know that, unofficially, the financial arm of HighMark is very concerned about the Board of Finance being suspended. We do not know what the final outcome of that will be in terms of potential procurement of the building. We really don't know at the moment. I'd like to believe that the governance board and the administration have been proactive in terms of trying to portray, and really embrace, a proactive stance.

We have already talked, in very general terms, with our staff, and we have not mentioned the word "audit," because we were under the impression that any findings, or whatever, were not public information until the audit is officially released.

And, so, we haven't used the phrasing about an audit. But we did tell the staff that there was a misalignment of the books, and that the State has the resources and the authority to come in and work with us, and that they shouldn't expect any changes in their paychecks, except maybe in the long



run, maybe there will be some clarifications in terms of their leave hours and things of that sort.

We believe, at this particular point in time, that the findings that Deputy Secretary Aguilar referred to when we received the official set of findings on the 28th of November, the findings that he talked about weren't listed in that particular way. They were — they were much — they were more articulate in terms of how the findings did not meet the criteria and did not meet the statute.

The findings that I believed Deputy
Secretary Aguilar referred to were more the things
that caused them to suspend the Board of Finance.
But the actual findings by Moss Adams were
submitted. There were 13 items provided, one of
which was already resolved by the time we received
the list, the final set. And we have already
provided comments to the official findings. They
were requested by Moss Adams last Friday. And those
have already been provided.

And I guess, at this point, I am open for questions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much for that

REPORTING SERVICE

25 report.



Commissioners, do you have questions or comments? Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, I guess, obviously, construction has not actually started on -- until now, apparently, the financial concerns of HighMark have to be answered, I presume, before they will proceed forward. So, you're kind of still in a bind, because I'm sure there's no -- I can't see that there's any day for a resolution yet. Is that your take on the situation?

MS. ANDERSON: Madame Chair and
Commissioner Bergman, I think that's a pretty fair
statement. We do not know when or what it will take
for the financial backers of HighMark to be
satisfied. In the meantime, however, we have been
giving significant consideration to what is Plan B,
Plan C, and Plan D.

And, in fact, Deputy Secretary Aguilar actually proposed a couple of things that if -- in case that should happen -- frankly, he didn't understand why HighMark would be concerned. He said he would be more than willing to talk with HighMark and talk in terms of the viability of the school. He has no questions about the viability of the school.



We -- as a point of clarification, when we started -- during our planning year, we had one business management agency that got us through our planning year. And, actually, we got through that audit with no findings. Some -- or they were, you know, little bitty ones.

And then he retired from that particular aspect. So, we took on another firm for the first seven months of the school year. It turns out that they were not familiar with AptaFund, which is the financial software. They ended up going through two of their financial people within that organization. So, we were getting more and more into a situation to where we really did not know where we were financially.

We fired them in January. We took on another business manager person directly on the campus. And she struggled with trying to figure out what had happened in that seven months, because this particular firm had taken certain documents with them. The reconciliation was not happening. We weren't getting good answers from them. So, she was trying to deal with what happened in that first seven months and carry on for the first -- for the remaining five months of the fiscal year. It was



not a good situation. It was not a good situation.

So that's a clarification in terms of what happened.

So, we have since had conversations with our current business manager, and she knew that there was a seven-month black hole. So, I'm not -- you know, I'm not, at this point, surprised that PED has chosen to take the action that they did. And, in fact, I welcome it, because we want to be a strong school, and we want our business management function, as well as our performance functions, to be rock-solid. That's our preference.

So, that was a long-winded answer to does HighMark want to do it or not.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, that's a fine answer, because it's a long-winded situation.

MS. ANDERSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And as far as the PEC is concerned, it's going to affect -- we gave them a 12-month extension, and, unfortunately, I feel there's something that has to be done in that area at the proper point, because I have a feeling another extension is probably going to be warranted, because who knows when this is all going to -- but I guess we can worry about that down the road, too.

I certainly was pleased that you at least



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

got the national board vote and at least resolved 1 2 the title issues, and at least that hurdle has been 3 finally removed. So, surely, I guess, we can keep 4 going forward. Thank you, Madame Chair. 5 Thank you. May I just ask a 6 THE CHAIR: 7 question? I -- PEC did approve a 12-month extension 8 to the 18-month plan. Where does that take you to, that additional 12 months? What was the date of the 10 ending of that? 11 MS. ANDERSON: Madame Chair, that would be 12 basically the 15th of February of 2015. 13 THE CHAIR: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 14 Commissioner Gant, did you have a question? 15 16 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 17 personally, I don't see any construction -- whatever 18 HighMark decides to do -- you know, it's December. 19 You still have to draw up the plans -- I know you've 20 got plans, 50-percent and all that. But I don't see it starting until the middle of the spring. 21 22 be hard-pressed to be ready for -- you know, there 23 was a certain standard set -- or agreements for 24 class sizes and -- you know, all this stuff that had



to do with facilities when the charter was

established.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm wondering about the impacts to the education of the kids, regardless of what -- we adults can't seem to get our heads together. So, I'm having real concerns that, yeah, you can tell me that there's no impacts to the students. But I'm hard-pressed to believe that.

MS. ANDERSON: Madame Chair, Commissioner Gant, when the PEC agreed to a 12-month extension, we recognized -- I think we all recognized that it's -- it's not the optimum situation in terms of -- of space for kids. If the HighMark situation should be resolved in a somewhat timely manner -- and we're anticipating mid-spring -- then, part of the reason we've always been in favor of using HighMark is because of their expedited construction schedule. We believe that, you know, basically, if we can start in March-April, that the school building can be available in time for school to start, or soon after that, in 2014, which is well within the -- the agreed-upon February 15th, 2015.

And even if we didn't move in until Christmas, that's still within the extension that was granted.





Now, I'm not going to sit here and tell 1 2 you that it doesn't have an impact on the students. Frankly, when we had the discussion with staff, the 3 4 first question they had was not about their 5 paychecks, but, "Well, what about the building?" And we said, "It is, for the moment, on 6 hold." 7 8 And we were asked the second question: 9 "Well, for how long?" 10 And I had to honestly say, "I don't know." But right now, the PEC has graciously 11 12 agreed to a 12-month extension. And should that 13 change, then we will immediately notify the PEC, 14 through the Charter School Division, and we will -as Commissioner Bergman said, we will go from there. 15 Because I can't tell you what it will be right now. 16 17 I really -- I really don't know. 18 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 19 also, the impacts to kids, to students -- because 20 there is, I'm sure. But what are parents saying? 21 You made a promise to parents that their children --22 their students would be taken care of, 23 educationally, in a certain manner, in a certain 24 time frame, in a certain way. And it's not 25 So, what are parents saying? happening.



MS. ANDERSON: I would qualify that slightly, because, frankly, we were taking our lead in terms of officially notifying parents of what's going on -- we were taking our lead from this PEC meeting and from -- from Deputy Secretary Aguilar. And we have a letter prepared for parents notifying them of the situation. But we were kind of waiting to see what the response was.

It is -- I mean, my director is sitting on the letter. We are prepared to send it out today. We're prepared to send it out Monday.

Parents have not been officially notified of the situation yet. Like I said, the -- the document that we got from PED notifying us of the board of suspension had -- the board of suspension -- of the Board of Finance suspension, excuse me -- it had supposed audit findings in it. And we didn't believing that that kind of a document would be publicly available. So, we were trying to be very careful of distributing the document and saying that the Board of Finance had been suspended.

So, it's kind of this play of, you know, when do you notify people. We knew that staff had already been questioning things, because of the signature authority and checks. But that's been a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949



week. We're prepared to notify parents.

I'm sure that everybody will be surprised.

But they know of the 12-month extension. Everyone knows of the 12-month extension that PEC authorized two months ago. In terms of the HighMark building, they don't know that particularly, because they don't know of the Board of Finance being suspended yet, officially.

But like I said, there's a letter prepared in the wings to notify them. But we were kind of waiting to see what this meeting would say. And I'm sorry. I wish I could be more -- more accurate and succinct in that. But it's a balance.

Ms. Lewis, you can tell me if I'm out of line -- but in deference to what Commissioner Bergman said, I'll be hard-pressed for another extension. I really will. If you think I'm out of line -- but I'm just making my comment now. Because there's been more than adequate time, in my opinion. And, so, kicking this can down the road -- and there is an impact to kids. And when the parents find out, I'm kind of wondering what's going to happen to your enrollment.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Other comments? Let me just





say that when -- as your representative, your Chair, when Deputy Secretary Aguilar called to inform me of this action, he made very clear to me that -- he didn't just say it was his opinion; he said, "Their academic program is very strong."

I'm going to ask Director Gerlicz to pick up on that and tell us, in your opinion, the academic program and what kind of impact this action may, or may not, have on their program.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, that question will be a very easy one to McCurdy is an outstanding academic answer. institution. Their three-year average is an "A." Their most recent score was a very high "B." one part of their academic performance.

Again, if you go beyond the grades, their 01, 03, where they rank with like-minded schools, they are all very, very high.

The history of the school is most interesting. It speaks to what can happen with -with visionary educators who won't let an idea die. And what I refer to is the fact that, as a long-standing private school, missionary-based, missionary-populated, back from the 1800s, McCurdy has had a long history in that Española Valley.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Ιt

was quite a job to transform it from a dying school, a dying private school, that was -- I'm not sure what the lowest enrollment numbers were, Deborah, but I recall something like 160 in there.

> MS. ANDERSON: Two hundred.

Two hundred, perhaps down MR. GERLICZ: from what it used to be, and clearly unsustainable as a private school, to reform itself and rebirth itself as a public school, a public charter school, now has an enrollment of 580. That speaks enormous volumes as to the role that it plays in the community, and an incredibly important and exciting role that it plays in the community.

My speculation, Commissioner Gant, when the parents hear of that, it's not going to have a dent in their enrollment. That's my guess. I may be wrong. But that's -- in our visits to the school, it's an incredibly strong community, an incredibly strong faculty. It's a very -- I would place -- if we had to create tiers of governing body, A, B, C, D, this is in the "A" category.

They are professional. I would say that they are probably dying, as we speak, the fact that that this has occurred at McCurdy, this financial mess that has occurred at McCurdy. But I'm happy



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949



that we have a board, as we do, for -- if this board 1 2 was not as competent, and it happened in another school with a less competent board, we'd be even 3 4 So, those are my comments on the more serious. school, Madame Chair. 5 6 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Is there action 7 before this group on this issue? This is more of an 8 information item? 9 Okay. Commissioners, I don't want to cut 10 anybody off that has a comment or a question. 11 Commissioner Bergman. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That is the current 12 13 enrollment is 580? Is that an accurate number? 14 MS. ANDERSON: Our cap is 584. We're 15 currently sitting at 544 -- sorry. But, again, 16 Mr. Gerlicz' comments are very accurate. To go from 17 as a private school, where we had an enrollment of 18 200, and to be able to serve even 544 kids in the 19 Española Valley, I think, is a profound statement 20 about how much we need an alternative option for --21 for students in the Valley. 22 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I suspect, as 23 Commissioner Gant has indicated, we probably would 24 like to hear, probably as early as possible, when



you start getting feedback from your parents, once

you start hearing from your parents. I'm guessing, then, that it has -- so far, you're not really seeing an enrollment impact. I assume, even though you haven't been -- you've kept -- I don't even know how to word it. But we all know there's a bunch of people in McCurdy that know what's going on. Have to be. You can't keep a secret. If this happened in Roswell, everybody in Roswell would know about it.

Perhaps the Director is right. Perhaps

Commissioner Gant is going to be right. As you hear from the parents, we would like to hear what they are saying. So, I would just like to say that.

Thank you.

MS. ANDERSON: Professor -- Professor -- geez, my words are all tangled. Commissioner

Bergman, the point is well-taken. We will be more than glad to share comments and so forth. I think the bigger issue for the board is making sure that we learn all the lessons that we can from this.

We are going to be talking with Charter
School Division about perhaps entering the
performance framework at an earlier date, so that
there's enhanced communication between Charter
School Division and the school. We have talked very



Τ.	seriously about reviewing our policies, but not only
2	our policies, but our procedures, for how we
3	implement those policies, to make sure that we don't
4	end up in a situation like what we're in right now.
5	I mean, that's I have to say that the
6	board that I'm embarrassed. I and I apologize
7	on behalf of the school and the board for this
8	situation having arisen in this form. But we're
9	going to walk away much stronger as a school. And,
L 0	somehow, we will face the situation in terms of our
L1	infrastructure. We don't know exactly today what it
L 2	will look like. But we'll get there.
L 3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. And it
L 4	would be a shame if this did, at some point, begin
L 5	to impact your students' academic and performance
L 6	because of its outstanding nature. Then, it
L 7	would then you could certainly say, then the
L 8	students were being affected, and that would be a
L 9	shame.
20	MS. ANDERSON: Absolutely. Absolutely.
21	You are correct, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Thank you. Thank you,
23	Madame Chair.
24	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Do we



have other questions or comments?

1	All right. We thank you very much for
2	being here, and we look forward to hearing from you
3	as this situation progresses and gets better.
4	MS. ANDERSON: Thank you very much. I
5	appreciate that.
6	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director
7	Director Gerlicz, could we ask you to continue with
8	your report? And you have a written report in front
9	of us. If you would go through that, and then we'll
10	go on to your forms report.
11	MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the
12	Commission, it's essentially finished with McCurdy
13	and La Jicarita's updates. Our sayonara to Kelly
14	Callahan.
15	I want to send everyone to the brand new
16	PEC website. Our CSD website has also been updated.
17	We are happy to get through the renewal
18	period and on to the next round. Nothing more to
19	report.
20	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Then we
21	have Discussion of Approval Process for Charter
22	School Authorization Forms.
23	MS. BARNES: Good morning. I'm Julia
24	Barnes, of course, Madame Chair, members of the
25	Commission.



The CSD, and including myself, are trying to start to establish a protocol that we can use with you. It's our understanding that you all would really like a comprehensive review of all of the various forms for all of the various things.

And sometimes, you should be careful what you wish for. And, so, we have started a type of protocol. It started two days ago with the working session. In my view, I'd like to, continually -- and through the Director's report, kind of continually have an opportunity to talk to you about how we're going to work with the forms and work through the process, so that we have a good process. So, there are --

Let me take you under Tab 11 and just show you the start of a work in progress. I will credit this to Commissioner Bergman, who said, "You know what? I'm just not sure what all the forms were."

And I, was like, "Okay."

And then I went to go try to put all the forms together. And I was, like, "I feel like Commissioner Bergman. I don't know what all the forms are."

And CSD has worked so hard to try to pull together all of the forms, and it is a work in





progress.

So, what I've done here is pull them together by topic area. There's new application forms, number one. Then -- and this is in no -- I mean, it's kind of an order that makes sense in my brain.

Number two are renewal forms. We just went through that process. And there will be kind of a conversation soon about what worked, what didn't.

Number three is the contract and performance framework. That is grayed out, because those are the documents that we're starting on.

Then, number four are site-visit forms.

And in my mind, that's kind of how do we roll out the performance indicators? Once a school establishes a contract with you and a performance framework for that year, that's going to be before the year starts. And, then, the Charter Schools Division is going to show up at the end of the year and say, "How did it go," and use the same document? How do we get that information back to you? What does the site visit look like, et cetera.

Then, number five is closure protocols.

Again, that is in gray, because we are talking about





that now. That is actually on your agenda coming up.

Number six, which is not to minimize it or anything, but it's kind of the rest of it, which is some pretty substantial things. It's a work in progress of how PEC and CSD will work together, and any MOUs and any regulations. And there's a lot of building this complete package.

I've put it as number six, because, at least in my mind, it might work to try out some of these processes and then put them in writing. So, I know there's a draft of some of these, and we may be able to hit some of these as we go along.

But it kind of makes sense to me to know how the process is going to work through these working sessions and then put it in writing.

So, in my mind, how this works is, we work through this this spring and continually build a binder for everyone of forms that we've approved, as we approve them. And, then, each time we're ready for the next group -- well, let me just finish that.

So, the ultimate goal is to have a complete binder of all of the forms. Then once a year, as we come up to the -- when the applications for renewal should be posted, and when the renewal



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

should be posted, we could have a review in a timely way, so that if anyone wants to make any changes.

But I think Commissioner Shearman said the other day, "Is there going to be a time when we can stop looking at these forms?"

So, my goal is to really push through it this spring, and then each year, there's just an opportunity to say, "You know, is there anything that needs to be tweaked," but that the binder will be in somewhat final form.

So, why don't I pause right there and see if there's any questions before I tell you a little bit more about the details? Are we good?

Okay. So, let me tell you a little bit of detail about how I think this might work.

You'll see there on the chart, there are various target dates for when we're going to try it. I want to make the process as least confusing as possible. And the way that I propose to do that is to have, hopefully, a series of posted working sessions.

It seems like a good day for a working session for all of you who can attend, and anyone else that wants to attend, because it will be publicly noticed, is, for example, to have a working





session in January for documents that we hope to have you approve in February.

So, we have a working session. It ends up with some good input, but that a best draft is presented to you within the next couple of weeks that then is up for your consideration the month following.

So, a January working session results in better documents a couple of weeks after that and, potentially, a vote in February.

So, the kind of chaos I'm looking to avoid is a working session on a Thursday and -- or Wednesday, and documents approved on a Friday. It's too -- it's too much. I mean, Beverly was laughing at me today because I look like some kind of a bag lady with all of my pages. What I would like to also commit to is that CSD -- so let's take new applications which are scheduled for the January working session.

Right now, CSD is looking at the documents themselves. What does -- what do they, as a team, want to change, so that we get, for you, for the working session, their best thoughts on the process? So, these exact documents are kind of a place-holder. They may decide, "Oh, let's combine



these two; oh" -- whatever they decide. So, there's
a best CSD draft.

Then there's dialogue in a publicly noticed working session where everybody talks about what needs to happen.

And, then, after the working session, a best draft is prepared for you to vote on.

I've also put -- so, I put in a CSD working session proposed date, the -- the PEC review date at the meeting where it would be noticed for action.

Then I start to show how the -- in the further columns, when things would be posted on, when you'd have an annual review and start to establish kind of a typical review process.

So, I will tell you my own view on the working session was I thought that was super. I like -- it's my own opinion, but I really liked having as many Commissioners there as possible. I really liked the ability to dialogue about that.

I know that Tony is very interested in finding a forum where there can be a dialogue. But that still meets the purposes of the Open Meetings Act. I thought it was great to get the input from a lot of other people who chose to come. That's my





own personal opinion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I guess what I'm looking for is a kind of a standard practice, where we're making sure we're on top of the documents and everyone's feeling comfortable with them.

So, I view it as a lot of work this spring. I'd like to commit that we are ready for any working session for you to talk about the documents in any manner, to find a way that makes it easiest for you to look at them, but that we get through them, and then I think there will be much less work to do in the future.

So, that's my goal. I do think that there's a lot, but I also think that, even in the working session we had the other day, there were things that came out of that working session the other day that I think are going to inform the application process and clarified an issue, at least of confusion to me.

Other people view it as like getting a root canal. I love doing that. I don't know what's up with me about doing that.

MR. GERLICZ: She loves root canals.

MS. BARNES: I do love root canals, a lot.

THE CHAIR: Julia is a glutton for

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



punishment.

MS. BARNES: A glutton for root canals.

And what I hope to do, finally, is each time we do it, to take a step back as a group and talk about, "How did that work," so that we can improve it.

So, it is a work in progress. What I'm hoping is a -- in my mind, it's kind of -- and I've put -- this was put in the agenda as a proposed -- what's the wording? -- a proposed standing item in the Director's Report, or a proposed standing working session, or something that starts to be consistent, so that we know how we're going to get done the work that we need to get done.

I think that Abby, without speaking for her, wants to look at your protocols as part of this kind of system. I just see it as a reasonable way to get through a big body of work.

THE CHAIR: As always, Julia, I appreciate all the information here. Let me just say one thing. For those of you who were not able to attend the working session -- and it seems like probably a week ago, but I know it was Wednesday afternoon -- we started at 2:00 and stayed until 6:00, and we got a lot done.





1	We worked from a document that Julia had
2	prepared, like this. (Indicates.) It has all the
3	sections of the contract that are needed work.
4	There's input from the CSD; there's input from our
5	attorney; there's input from Ms. Matthews, as a
6	charter school attorney. It was public comment.
7	Anyone it was open was invited to comment on
8	it. And then we worked together to come to a
9	consensus of how we felt things would work the best.
L O	We didn't get finished. And that is
L1	Item No. 12 on our agenda today. And we're going to
L 2	talk about that in just a minute. We're also going
L 3	to talk about working sessions. But I think we
L 4	would be better to do that when we get to our
L 5	calendar, discussion of the PEC calendar.
L 6	I think this is a worthwhile endeavor.
L 7	This is where PEC says, "This is what works for us.
L 8	This is what does not work for us."
L 9	We talk to CSD; we talk to other people;
20	we get our attorney involved; and we come up with a
21	process that we all think is going to work for the
22	best of all of us.
23	So, Julia, I just appreciate your efforts,
24	so far. We're going to get this done. But I do



think we're going to need to include working

sessions. I'm going to propose a working session before each one of your upcoming meetings to get this preliminary work done. That's -- those are my thoughts. Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Julia, again, thank you so much for your work. I would like to note that I share the Chairman's thought on these forms. I will eagerly look forward to the day when all we have to do with these forms is tweak them. But we are not at that point yet, in my humble opinion, and that's why I guess I have been somewhat forceful in making my -- and I think this might be an appropriate time for Commissioner Parker, since he's brand new.

What we have is we have certain time lines that, statutorily, have to be followed. And there is a rush on the contracts and on the applications, because January -- the first week in January -- the date fluctuates because of the calendar -- anyone that wants to start a new charter school has to file what's called a "letter of intent." They file it to the CSD, that, "Yes, we are going to apply to be a charter school."

Then, on July 1st, that is the deadline for any of those individuals or groups to actually



file an application. And history tells us that we will have more letters of intent than we will have applications. A lot of people find out, when they start doing the application, "Whoa, what did I get myself into here?"

And so it's imperative -- and we have to negotiate, with the people that we just dealt with yesterday, these performance contracts that were mandated by Senate Bill 446. Well, those all will be done starting in February.

So we're on a clock. The contract forms, we need to have done by February, so that the schools that are negotiating will have the forms that they know what they need to look at and what we need to look at.

Same thing with the applications. These people that filed their letters of intent in the first week of January, they're going to start on those applications right away.

So, we are on a clock. We have to get those forms revised and to these applicants as quickly as possible. So, that's why they're at the top of the list, the contract and the application. That's the time line we're looking at.

And it's an exciting time line, I can



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

assure you. It's always fun. But, again, thank you, Julia. And thank you, Madame Chair.

MS. BARNES: If I can adjust one more 3 4 piece of the process that worked, in my mind. And 5 as we go forward, we'll develop a protocol that we're all happy with. But I know that some of the 7 Commissioners were unable to be at the working 8 session. So, you -- I quess I offered -- I don't know how it happened -- but to do kind of an 10 overview of what was the proposed revisions and what 11 were the key points.

I have that prepared when we get to But I will tell you that that worked well, for two reasons. One is it made me go back and say, "What did we achieve, and are my notes clear, and do I know what we said?"

The other is, is it put in writing -- it's a page and a half. But it put in writing the key tentative ideas that we came up with, and then I can show you, and we can -- on one of them, I want to say, "Commissioner Bergman, are we good on this one?"

So, it was a good recap and a writing of some of the key issues. So, that may be another tool that we use.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



But I want it to be a process that we consistently use that everyone can expect that we can catch people up who aren't able to be here.

And I really liked the idea of it being publicly noticed. I thought that allowed the dialogue -- I don't know -- and, Tony, maybe you can jump in -- but it was a start of a dialogue that I think we had been looking for for a while, many of us.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, members of the Commission, and thank you, Julia, for your love of root canals, which is definitely needed in this process, because all of us would like to get to the point where we're no longer revising forms and get to the heart of the matter, and that's move education forward. And one of the things that I have always found lacking is that ability to dialogue with the PEC and the CSD about, "How do we do that?"

And it's way beyond forms, and it's way beyond what they look like, but it's how they're implemented: "What are we looking for? What thinking goes into it? What's our weighting of this and that?"

All of that is rich dialogue that we long



to have. And, hopefully, this process will lead us
to be able to have that dialogue, which is really at
the heart of the matter, far beyond these forms,
which are necessary, I agree.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Abby's not here.

Julia, do we need to take action on this draft

document you presented?

MS. BARNES: I don't think so. I mean, certainly, if you want to give me discussions -- I think it's just the way that we're going to work together. So, if there's something that didn't work for you, I think sometime, during this meeting someone should say, "Please do it this way," or "that way". Otherwise, I think it's going to be a work in progress.

I think the issues are going to be posted on the agenda, and the documentation, as it evolves, will continue to be posted. So, to me, there's flexibility.

I just don't want to be going down a road that is making sense to me and doesn't make sense to everyone else. So, if -- if you're comfortable with how we're starting to roll it out, then I don't think we need to take any action. But if you are uncomfortable, please let me know.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



THE CHAIR: Commissioners? 1 Is anyone --2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I am comfortable 3 with the way it's laid out now. 4 THE CHAIR: Is everybody okay with it 5 working this way? COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: With the 6 7 understanding that we can always tweak some dates or 8 something. 9 MS. BARNES: Oh, this document will 10 This chart is a moving target. But it is a 11 chart that -- we will use the chart. But what it 12 looks like -- and I'm a real fan of color, and they 13 only print for you in black and white. But it says 14 "red" at the top, that, in particular, I want CSD to be able to have an internal conversation about the 15 16 best documents. They're already taking steps to 17 look at the application process. 18 THE CHAIR: Yes, Commissioner. 19 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I will note, 20 just based on that comment, that your pretty colors 21 you're using on all these documents won't print on 22 my printer and my computer at home. So, I'll print 23 out these documents at home. So, we've got to come



Let's talk to Mike Archibeque

to some other arrangement.

MS. BARNES:

24

about that, because I know you're a little -- I will 1 2 just say, I share with you being a little bit of an old-fashioned guy, and I come from that school 3 4 And I still think it would be super to get 5 you a great computer that allows you to print. 6 we'll mail it to you. 7 THE CHAIR: Once he gets this new 8 computer, it may burn up his old printer. 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The printer is 10 It's a color printer, and it's got a brand 11 new cartridge in it. So, I thought it was my 12 cartridge, and it still won't print. So something 13 in the printing of the document at this end, the 14 color won't come through. It's an HP printer, so it's their top of the line. 15 It's a driver. 16 THE CHAIR: 17 COMMISSIONER GANT: If you get a Macintosh, that doesn't happen. 18 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Julia. 20 Appreciate it. All right. 21 MS. BARNES: Just because Abby got here, 22 Abby, we decided, since this is just a process and 23 it'll be publicly noticed, that we didn't need to 24 take any action, and no one really raised any



Did you --

concern about it.

MS. LEWIS: Take any action, based on? 1 2 MS. BARNES: That we're going to use the chart, and going to look at the documents. 3 4 Oh, no. At the end, if they MS. LEWIS: 5 want to vote, they can take a vote. But I don't see 6 any need. 7 MS. BARNES: It's just a process. I'm 8 just double-checking. She asked my opinion, and I 9 don't like to speak for Abby. 10 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Julia. 11 MS. BARNES: I'm going to stay here, just 12 because there's some more things coming up. 13 Commissioners, I would ask THE CHAIR: 14 that you look at Item No. 12, which is exactly what 15 Julia has been talking about. It's the documents we 16 were working on in the working session. We didn't 17 finish, so they've been brought forward for us 18 today. 19 I would suggest that we move Item 12 to 20 just between -- just after Item 19. And the reason 21 for that is, this could be a very lengthy item. 22 I would like to move it down, so that we can get the 23 rest of the business taken care of. Then we can 24 begin working on this item, and see how long it's



taking us.

```
If it takes us longer than we want to be
 1
 2
     here today, then we'll talk about how do we finish
 3
             Is that all right with everybody, to move
 4
     Item 12 down underneath the existing Item 19?
 5
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, is it
 6
     possible we could do it after --
 7
               THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.
 8
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   I'm sorry,
 9
     Madame Chair.
10
               THE CHAIR: Yes, please.
11
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Could we -- is it
12
     possible to move it after 21? Because I don't
13
     know --
14
               THE CHAIR:
                           Is that -- it doesn't matter
15
     to me.
16
               COMMISSIONER CARR: Because I -- if that's
17
     okay.
            Because I --
               THE CHAIR: Move it after 21?
18
19
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   After 21.
20
               THE CHAIR: Is that all right?
                                                Okay.
21
     Suits me. All right. So, it's after 21.
                                                 All
22
     right.
23
               Then, I'm going to continue with the --
24
     with the numbers as they are on our agenda, so as
25
     not to add any more confusion.
```





Currently, No. 13 is Vote on Boards of Finance. Kelly?

MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,

Commissioners, the vote for the Board of Finance is required of new charter schools. New charter schools that were approved in September have 90 days to receive a Board of Finance approval from the Public Education Commission. They must bring forth documents that are -- that indicate they've done the required steps of meeting the minimum terms of what needs to happen for a Board of Finance.

So, on the last meeting, we had Health
Sciences Academy, and we were lacking one document
to ensure that they were -- had complete -completed the work that they needed to do. So, we
have Health Sciences Academy, and we have also
Explore Academy's paperwork submitted to the Charter
Schools Division to meet the terms of becoming a
Board of Finance.

And, so, at this time, Madame Chair, just so you would know, to save trees and, you know, the documentation, please know that Health Sciences

Academy has submitted an entire packet, a complete packet that is and has been verified. We've gone through each of the documents. And Raphael is here



3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	and did complete that on behalf of their board.
2	I also have Explore Academy's
3	documentation. They have become a board. They have
4	five members. So, they meet the statutory
5	requirement. They have also submitted the paperwork
6	that is required, the affidavits for a Board of
7	Finance from their business manager, their
8	affidavits from the board. And they also have the
9	letter from Poms & Associates ensuring that they are
10	going to be covered.
11	And, so, I have them, and they can be
12	reviewed. They do meet all the terms of the Board
13	of Finance. They are complete. They are notarized.
14	And we have both sets for Explore Academy and Health
15	Sciences Academy.
16	So, if you have any questions or concerns,
17	we I can take those right now. But they do have
18	complete school packages for a Board of Finance.
19	THE CHAIR: Kelly, what is your
20	recommendation?
21	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,
22	Commissioners, my recommendation is that both
23	Explore Academy and Health Sciences Academy be
24	approved as boards of finances so they can begin
25	their operations of setting up their accounts with



1	the Public Education Department and their budget
2	analyst.
3	THE CHAIR: Thank for you that.
4	Commissioners, you've heard the
5	recommendation. There is there are proposed
6	motions in your notebook under Item 13. The Chair
7	would entertain a motion concerning Explore Academy.
8	Commissioner Bergman.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, I
10	move that the Public Education Commission approve
11	the Explore Academy Board of Finance applications,
12	pursuant to NMAC 6.80.4.17.
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have a motion
15	by Commissioner Bergman, second by Commissioner
16	Pogna, to approve the Explore Academy Board of
17	Finance applications. Is there discussion?
18	Commissioner Parker.
19	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair,
20	Commissioners, because of my joining of this
21	Commission just a couple of weeks ago, I will be
22	abstaining from this vote.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. Any
24	other discussion?
2.5	Hearing none Commissioner Bergman may we



1	have a roll-call vote, please?
	<u>-</u>
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Peralta.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
8	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
10	Conyers.
11	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
13	abstains. Commissioner Gant.
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
16	Shearman.
17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Bergman votes "yes." Madame Chair, by seven votes,
20	that motion has carried.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
22	official vote is seven in favor, one abstention, to
23	approve the Explore Academy Board of Finance
24	application.
25	Next, we will



1	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair excuse me,
2	Madame Chair, Commissioners, I have more documents
3	for you to sign.
4	THE CHAIR: Could we do them both at the
5	same time?
6	MS. CALLAHAN: Absolutely. You're going
7	to be signing, I guess, a lot in one of these
8	categories before the end of the meeting. So, we'll
9	just add it to your stack.
L 0	THE CHAIR: Okay. I get paid by the page.
L1	MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.
L 2	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, let's consider
L 3	Health Sciences Academy. The Chair will entertain a
L 4	motion. Commissioner Peralta?
L 5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madame Chair,
L 6	members of the Commission, I move that the PEC
L 7	approve the Health Sciences Academy Board of Finance
L 8	application, pursuant to NMAC 6.80.4.17.
L 9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Just for
21	clarification, there is an error in our executive
22	summary. It says "Explore Academy" again. Nice
23	save there, Commissioner Peralta. You've heard the
24	motion by Commissioner Peralta, second by
25	Commissioner Bergman. Commissioner Parker.



1	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair,
2	Commissioners, as with the previous motion, I'll be
3	abstaining from this vote due to my late arrival to
4	the Commission.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Further
6	discussion? Commissioner Bergman, may we have a
7	roll-call vote?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
13	Conyers.
14	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
16	abstains. Commissioner Peralta.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman.
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman votes "yes." Again, Madame Chairman, by a
25	seven vote and one abstention, that motion carries.



1	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
2	official vote is seven in favor of the motion to
3	approve the Health Sciences Academy Board of
4	Finance, with one abstention. Thank you, and
5	congratulations to both schools.
6	Let's move on, please, to what is
7	currently listed as Item 14, Preliminary Performance
8	Contract Approval. Again, Kelly.
9	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,
10	Commissioners, I'm going to defer to Julia for a
11	discussion on this. And since we've had an
12	update
13	MS. BARNES: Well, and I think Abby can
14	join in here actually, during the working
15	session, there was an idea to actually have the new
16	schools enter into an extension of time to do the
17	ongoing contract you know, the negotiation of the
18	ongoing contract, once they start. It is already in
19	law that they need to come before you before they
20	can open and get permission to commence operations.
21	And they are already agreeing to do a checklist, a
22	Planning Year Checklist.
23	The preliminary contract was proposed last
24	year as kind of a gap measure between a new school,
25	when they are allowed to open, and for their



planning year. The conversation at the working session was that it was possible that we didn't need a contract; what we need is an extension.

They're already obligated to come before you before they commence operations. They're already doing the planning year. And if we have them enter into an extension of the contracting time, the time to contract and negotiate with this Commission, it will achieve the same thing, probably, with less confusion.

So, I think at this point, CSD is recommending that there not be a planning year contract entered into -- preliminary contract, but that, instead, we -- we have you all sign off on an extension that we take to the schools.

The schools have -- and we already -- and we have them here -- agreed to an extension regarding the preliminary year contract, that includes -- let me read it -- our January meeting -- "no later than the regular PEC meeting in January."

So, we have these documents that give us time for us to propose a different protocol for them. I think Kelly has already handed to Abby the form of extensions, or I handed them to you.

MS. CALLAHAN: No, I haven't.





MS. BARNES: No, we haven't. That's our proposal, to simplify the process, not have a preliminary year contract; have, instead, an extension of the time to negotiate. And the rest of it is taken care of already in law and in process.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

MS. LEWIS: I will review it very quickly.

MS. BARNES: I will tell you it's your form, Abby. You can review it, but it's probably good if you say, "Gosh, who drafted this?"

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's somewhere in "Law 202" that you're supposed to read everything word-for-word, though.

THE CHAIR: Word-for-word.

Commissioners, the discussion I've just been having with Abby is whether or not we need to vote, as to whether or not we can sign these -- I can sign these extensions. I signed extensions yesterday for the renewal schools. These are extensions for the current new schools coming on board.

Does there need to be a vote to agree to an extension of the contract framework so that we don't have to do a preliminary contract, which seems a lot of wasted effort on my part?





1	What is your preference? Do we do you
2	direct me to sign these on behalf of the PEC, or do
3	we need to vote whether or not I can sign them on
4	behalf of the PEC?
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If our attorney
6	says we don't need a vote, I'm comfortable with you
7	signing.
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Me, too.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Me, too.
L 0	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If it's legal.
L1	It's supposed to be legal.
L 2	THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's just stack them
L 3	up here. Okay. Then, we'll just move forward. So,
L 4	Abby, Julia, Kelly, are you asking to withdraw Item
L 5	14, which is Performance Contract Approval?
L 6	MS. BARNES: Yes.
L 7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: These contracts
L 8	will disappear that are in our binder, if we do it
L 9	this way. They won't exist; right?
20	MS. BARNES: Yes. And the review of the
21	template will disappear as well.
22	MS. CALLAHAN: Woohoo.
23	MS. BARNES: I want to say it's again,
24	it's one of those issues that the law isn't
2.5	doesn't fill the process completely. So we were





```
trying to figure out what to do with new schools.
1
 2
     So, the law doesn't talk about preliminary year
     contracts, and now, none of us will have to.
 3
 4
               THE CHAIR:
                           I think it was just a stopgap
 5
     in the first year. But we're much too sophisticated
 6
     now.
 7
               MS. CALLAHAN: I concur, Madame Chair.
 8
               THE CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, it's ten
 9
     minutes till noon. I would suggest we take till
10
     12:30 for lunch. Can we do -- is that all right
11
     with everybody?
12
               COMMISSIONER GANT: Yeah.
13
               THE CHAIR: We are recessed until 12:30.
               (A recess was taken at 11:53 a.m., and
14
     reconvened at 12:35 p.m., as follows:)
15
16
               THE CHAIR: Let us resume this meeting of
17
     the New Mexico Public Education Commission.
               Commissioners, we're on Item -- current
18
19
     Item 15 of the agenda, Discussion and Possible
20
     Action on Closure Approval Process and Forms.
21
               Abby and Julia, please.
22
               MS. BARNES: Madame Chairwoman and members
23
     of the Commission, actually, the form is Kelly
24
     Callahan's form. So, maybe she should explain that.
25
     It is the -- the template is based off work that was
```



done on Village Academy, but I'll let her explain it, if you don't mind.

MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair, I bequeath the template to Julia.

5 MR. GERLICZ: You know this is her last 6 Public Education Commission meeting.

7 MS. CALLAHAN: I'm sorry. I couldn't 8 resist.

9 MS. BARNES: Does that mean you're not 10 saying anything?

MS. CALLAHAN: No, I'm just kidding. That
was a timing thing.

Madame Chair, Commissioners, the template that you see under Tab 15 is based on the work that we did last year for Village Academy. If you recall, Village, at this time last year, was not renewed, and we had to come up with something to create an orderly process to close a school. And the PEC did not have any experience with this process.

And, so, what I did is I took forms and protocols from APS, NACSA, and, I believe, Colorado, that we -- that I sort of -- I stole and put together into a template that would meet the needs of the Public Education Commission, as well as the



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Public Education Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

And, so, when I set this up, I -- I divided the two -- there's two big parts. There's the operational side of a school, student records, curriculum, teachers, notifications, information that would be necessary for the closure. And then there's a second part that is actually the business side of it, all of the financial inventories, auditing, et cetera.

And, so, Abby, Julia -- Abby, in her former role, Julia, and I worked with Village Academy to kind of walk through this process. And what I did for the PEC is I updated you monthly on this action plan worksheet so you could see the progress that was being made in terms of closure.

And, so, for -- and actually, Julia alluded to this earlier -- that sometimes it's good to walk through a system -- or a process -- and then write it down and see -- see what kind of pitfalls you're going to run into. And I have to say that we were very fortunate that we didn't really have too many issues.

The caveat on that statement is that the school had 28 students and was never very big and was very cooperative. And the district that the





school resided in was very cooperative. And, so, I 1 2 think a lot of it, again, is communication, is work, 3 with the -- a team, a strong team that can walk 4 through these systems to ensure that, one, that 5 everybody is noticed; and, two, that there is adequate accounting for student records, school 6 7 property, assets, the building, et cetera. 8 So, the template is -- is -- I can say, 9 personally, as the lead for the Village closure, was 10 very effective for us. Julia, I don't know if you 11 had any suggestions or change that you wanted to put 12 in there. 13 (Indicates.) MS. BARNES: 14 MS. CALLAHAN: But we felt that it covered 15 all of the areas. 16 That being said, anytime you're going 17 through a closure process, there are going to be things that are going to come up that are 18 19 situational. And I think that this process is 20 flexible enough that you can deal with anything that 21 comes up as an issue and put it into a category, 22 help mitigate things that are going on. 23 But I think the biggest lesson -- and I 24 think I said this in an earlier meeting.



biggest lesson that we learned about the closure

process is communication, communication,

communication. You must be communicating with all

of the stakeholders. And I think we did a really

We had a great team.

And we are still -- and to mention a time line, we began this process -- I believe the final -- when all of the legal steps were taken, they did -- Village tried to appeal, and they missed the deadline. And, so, by the time we were all said and done to get started on this, it was March.

I would say that, minimally, that you need six months to do this process. We are still carrying out some of the final auditing processes for Village. There's tasks/issues that have to be taken care of in January. So, almost a full year after the school was closed, you're still dealing with some of the issues that have come up.

So, it -- we compressed everything into a very short time line. And, again, we're fortunate. It was a small school. It was a middle school, so we didn't have to deal with transcripts, college notices, et cetera. So, you know, the type of school it is is going to impact how this is going to roll out.

The work that goes with the district -- if



good job.



you have a district that's not cooperating -because the Secretary -- Deputy Secretary -- made
the decision that assets would revert back to the
school district that the school is residing in,
because neither the PEC, nor the PED, has any
capacity to store hundreds of computers and monitors
and gym equipment and paper and pencils and books
and anything that would put a school together.

So --

THE CHAIR: So, Kelly, let me just be sure I understand you. Is that in the case of all State charter schools, or those who came to us as a district charter and then became a State charter, and they were just a State charter for a short time? It doesn't matter if they've always been a State charter; their assets are still going to revert to the local school district?

MS. CALLAHAN: I'm going to defer to Abby on that, because I'm not sure.

MS. LEWIS: Yeah. And I'm just -- Kelly is absolutely describing the process that we went through last year. But I need to tell you, legally, I completely disagree with the reading of the law that -- and I told everyone this at the time -- with Deputy Aguilar's reading of the law. The law is





very clear that the assets revert back to the State. 1 2 I guess, then, if he want- -- his thinking 3 is maybe, then, the State can do what they want to 4 with them? But the law says the assets revert to 5 the State, unless there is a General Obligation Bond, I believe -- or some kind of bond. 6 Then I 7 think that goes back to the school district. 8 But as far as assets, books, pencils, and 9 desks, to me, the law is quite clear on that. And 10 with a State-chartered school, the assets go back to 11 the State. 12 Who is the State? THE CHAIR: 13 MS. LEWIS: PED, versus Bernalillo, which 14 is where they went; right? 15 THE CHAIR: I see. Okay, okay. But his 16 ruling is it goes to the school district. 17 MR. GERLICZ: In that case. 18 MS. CALLAHAN: In that case. And probably 19 in the case of TLC, depending on where that goes, 20 because they reverted from APS, originally. 21 THE CHAIR: Right. Okay. 22 And, so, again, timing. MS. CALLAHAN: And the other issue that I think is critical in the 23 24 discussion of closure is the fact that there is cost 25 involved, not only resources of manpower and time,



but we had to hire some consultants. We had to do -- and that came out of CSD's budget. And I don't think that that is appropriate.

And, so, there needs to be some sort of -now that we may have a couple on the horizon, very
quickly, those need to be -- that needs to be
discussed. And I would recommend sitting down with
Deputy Secretary Aguilar to look at the -- the
costs.

Minimally, probably about \$25,000 of actual money, between consultants and supplies.

Some of that can come out of the school budget.

They have some carryover money. Village, we were very fortunate, was fairly flush. And, so, we were able to get -- but what happens is the money reverts to the general fund. And -- you know, so it -- so, we spent \$15,000, for example -- I don't know the exact amount -- but we spent that amount of money on consultants, and the money didn't come back to CSD.

In other words, it came out of our operational budget.

And, so, my recommendation is that there be a meeting set up with the Secretary -- Deputy Secretary -- to discuss the logistics of the costs, because if you get a large school, which may happen



very soon, that's going to require a lot of extra work and a lot of manpower, not to mention storage. And we're still dealing with some of the records that are with Village. So, those kind of nuts and bolts of procedure, outside of this, kind of the -- the prologue to the -- is it the prologue? No, the epilogue, whatever the ending is.

But whatever happens after you finish all this, there are still things that have to be taken care of, just in terms of logistics of storage, costs, and accounting. And, so, when you get to a high school, somebody's going to have to be the records custodian.

MS. BARNES: So, we need to -- what I think I want to say is that this is a template, and it's going to be important to apply it to any given situation. So, actually, I think we're going to get to a situation, but I don't think we need to spend a whole lot of time on how it will roll out in every single case, because I think every single case, the template is going to be utilized as a template, but adjusted for what needs to happen for that school.

So, actually, maybe what we can do is get a list of things that, in Kelly's mind, that are important to pay attention to as we close a school.



I just am mindful of how much is on the agenda; not 1 2 to interrupt you too quickly, but --MS. CALLAHAN: And, Madame Chair, 3 4 Commissioners, just a note on this. I think that 5 there -- there needs to be a lead person. needs to be a person that is in charge of making 6 7 sure that this gets followed and updated. And, so, 8 I strongly recommend that you have a person who is the lead "closurer," go-to. 9 10 And, so, I'm not sure who that lucky 11 person is going to be. But it can't be apportioned 12 out. I just -- I don't think that's a -- you can 13 delegate, but there has to be somebody who monitors 14 this very closely. 15 THE CHAIR: Okay. Do we need to vote on 16 this? 17 MS. LEWIS: On accepting the -- yeah. 18

This item is on the agenda -- I'm sorry if I'm repeating something Kelly just said -- as a template for closures, in general. Under the statute, you're required to have a procedure for a number of things, among them closures.

So, we -- if you would like -- and I would advise that you do -- take a vote on whether or not to accept this template as the template going



19

20

21

22

23

24



forward for other closures.

MS. BARNES: And let me just clarify in terms of how we're handling the forms. This is one of the forms on that chart that would start, once you approved it, to build the binder. So, this is very consistent with the process that we're going to use for all forms.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER CARR: So, a point on the property. I mean, you know, whether -- I mean, that's a pretty big deal, whether or not the property goes to the local school district or it goes to the State. The local school districts would love it, and -- you know. And, obviously, they could -- if they don't have any use for that property, they can sell it and put that money in their general fund. The other -- or they can make money off it, whatever they want to do.

If we -- the State should do the same thing, you know. Unless it's set up -- unless maybe another school is interested in it, maybe a deal could be worked out -- but should sell that property. And then the money should pay for the cost of ending the school.





1	And, you know, those are all things that
2	need to be worked out. Those are assets that are
3	that belong, in some form or fashion, either to the
4	local district or to us. And those assets should be
5	utilized, especially in these tight budget times
6	that we're in, you know.
7	So, I think there we need to get
8	some you know, I think we can approve this. But
9	we need to get but, also, with the caveat that we
10	get clarification maybe we get an official
11	opinion from the Attorney General's Office as to
12	where that goes.
13	MS. BARNES: Yeah, it looks like it's
14	under 22-8B-B4(N), as in "Nancy," is one of the
15	provisions of the law I think Ms. Lewis was talking
16	about.
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, the actual
18	statute?
19	MS. BARNES: Yes. So, again, I think it's
20	potentially a good idea to say that we'll look into
21	that.
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah.
23	MS. BARNES: I'm not sure, particularly if
24	Paul Aguilar doesn't agree, we ought to have that
25	conversation.



THE CHAIR: Commissioner, if you would consider maybe a motion to approve this template, but -- and add to that, that this question of ownership of a closed school's assets would be investigated at the same time, then, maybe that will get it all done.

Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah, this is really just a general template. Every situation is going to be different, as Kelly noted. Small schools are going to be different than the large schools. But this lays out -- it's a plan, kind of like a building plan, building a building. There's all kinds of stuff that go into building a building, change orders and all the rest of that stuff.

In a big one, you know there's going to be a whole herd of lawyers involved, anyway, so everybody's going to be sure they get their cut of the pie at some point. So, I think what we're approving here is, yeah, let's go along with a general template.

And it goes along to a question I asked

Kelly at a previous meeting. And you assured me

that you had made very few changes. I thought you

did a great job for the first closure on Valley and





that template used. In fact, I've seen nothing 1 2 that -- you know, I'm a note-maker on my paperwork, and there's nothing I can make a note on this right 3 4 I think you did a good job of it, and I think 5 it's a good template. That's just my opinion. THE CHAIR: The Chair would entertain a 6 7 motion. 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair. 9 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr. 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: I make a motion that 11 we approve the Charter Division's -- or the -- the 12 Charter Division's template. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Put the words 14 closure plan. Put the word "closure plan" in there. 15 COMMISSIONER CARR: I'm getting there. 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER CARR: So, I'll start again. 18 I make a motion that we approve the PED's 19 template for closure process and forms, with the 20 stipulation that the acquisition of land that is 21 owned by the schools -- gosh, darn; I'm not saying 22 this right -- that it be clarified whether or not 23 this land actually reverts to the local school 24 district or the State. 25 MS. LEWIS: I'm sorry, Commissioner Carr.



1	Do you mean the land or all the assets?
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, the land and the
3	assets.
4	THE CHAIR: So, the disposition of, not
5	the acquisition of?
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: And the disposition
7	of, yes. Yes. Well, we have to acquire it before
8	we dispose of it.
9	THE CHAIR: Okay. I understand your
L O	meaning. Is that clear?
L1	MS. LEWIS: No.
L 2	COMMISSIONER CARR: You want something
L 3	more clear?
L 4	MS. LEWIS: Will you say it again, please?
L 5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Do you want me to say
L 6	the whole thing again? Sorry.
L 7	I would like to make a motion that we
L 8	approve the template, as presented by the Charter
L 9	Division, for closure process and forms, with the
20	stipulation that we investigate that that it be
21	investigated that that the requirement of the
22	land that is owned by the closed schools
23	THE CHAIR: Assets.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: and assets, that it
25	be investigated as to whether or not the State



should acquire it and dispose of it, or the local 1 2 school district should acquire it. Does that work? 3 4 MS. LEWIS: Good enough. 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. I stumbled over 6 that. Did you get that? 7 THE REPORTER: (Indicates.) 8 THE CHAIR: We have a motion. Do we have 9 a second? 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. Oh, I can't 11 second. 12 THE CHAIR: You can't second. 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: You can see I'm all 14 flustered now. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 15 Second. 16 THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Carr, 17 second by Commissioner Bergman, to approve the 18 template, as presented, plus investigating the 19 disposition of the closed schools' assets. 20 Is there further discussion? Commissioner 21 Parker. 22 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair, 23 Commissioners, as with issues before, I'll be 24 abstaining from this vote due to my recent arrival 25 to the Commission.



(505) 989-4949



1	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Say it again.
2	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Due to my recent
3	arrival at the Commission, I'll be abstaining from
4	this vote.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Anything else?
6	Commissioner Bergman, may we have a roll
7	call, please?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
11	Conyers.
12	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
14	abstains. Commissioner Peralta.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
21	Shearman.
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
24	Bergman votes "yes." That's seven votes with one
25	abstention.



THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 1 Motion 2 passes with a seven-to-zero vote, with one 3 abstention. Thank you very much. 4 Item 16, Discussion and Possible Action on 5 PED/CSD Monitoring and Closure Procedures Memorandum 6 of Understanding. Abby? 7 MS. LEWIS: I have not heard anything back 8 from PED regarding the changes that the Commission approved last time. I sent it forward to PED to ask 10 for their reaction and feedback and received 11 nothing. 12 MS. BARNES: Madame Chair? 13 THE CHAIR: Yes. 14 MS. BARNES: I just suggest that we move this, along with No. 12, to the bottom of the 15 We do have a draft, and we did have it at 16 agenda. 17 the working session, but you chose to start with the 18 contract. So, we do have a proposal by CSD that 19 then would need -- if it was agreed upon, we'd need 20 to take it up to PED. 21 But we do have that. So, my suggestion is 22 that this item be moved, along with No. 12, to the 23 bottom of the agenda. 24 MS. LEWIS: That's fine with me, if, in 25 the interim, I get to see it. If I'm not going to



1	get to see it till the last minute, it doesn't much
2	matter when it comes up.
3	THE CHAIR: What is it? Is it in our
4	binders?
5	MS. BARNES: It's not in your binders. We
6	brought it to the working session to discuss it, and
7	that's what we'd still like to do.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think we
9	can take any action. I want to read it first and
10	think about it for a while. Yeah.
11	THE CHAIR: Is this something we should
12	put on the January agenda and
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I think so.
14	THE CHAIR: Can we do that and give
15	everyone time to look at it and move it to the
16	January agenda?
17	MR. GERLICZ: January work session.
18	MS. BARNES: January work session, sure.
19	We'd like to discuss it.
20	THE CHAIR: How about if we get it before
21	the work session? Is it something, necessarily, we
22	need to talk about in the work session, or can is
23	it that lengthy, the changes?
24	MS. BARNES: It's not that lengthy. It



just hits a couple of key points about how PEC --

CSD and PEC work together, which is why we wanted to 1 2 discuss it. We have it available today, and I can 3 pass it out today. 4 Okay. Why don't we move this THE CHAIR: 5 to the January work session for action on the 6 January agenda? Is that agreeable with everybody? 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: Does that require a 8 motion? 9 THE CHAIR: Pardon? 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: Does it require a motion? 11 12 THE CHAIR: Does it require a motion? 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't know. 14 I would accept your offer of passing it out, so I can try and print it, if it's got colors on it. 15 16 MS. BARNES: I printed it out in color for 17 There's not many changes. It warrants a discussion. 18 19 Madame Chair, Julia, just a MS. LEWIS: 20 quick discussion. This is my failing memory. But did we not have a possible contract negotiation 21 22 scheduled on January 9th now, which would limit our 23 ability to have a work session on the same day? 24 Not that I know of. MS. BARNES: 25 That was if they didn't MS. CALLAHAN:



1 sign the extension, I think.

MS. BARNES: Right. Everyone has signed

3 the extension.

4 MS. LEWIS: No, we've got one school --

5 | no. We've got one school that did not --

6 MS. BARNES: That school may sign the

7 | extension; we don't know. There's not someone

8 scheduled.

9

MS. LEWIS: Okay.

10 | THE CHAIR: Well, let's just make sure

11 | there's not.

MS. BARNES: We are.

13 THE CHAIR: If there's another school

14 | still in the works, let's just make sure it's not

15 | scheduled on the 9th.

16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. It needs to

17 be scheduled later in the month. So, by the end of

18 | January, we'll have a new form that we could use, if

19 | we have to do something in January with one school;

20 correct?

21 MS. BARNES: I understand. I think we're

22 going to be fine -- the school just asked. And, you

23 know, the written decision hasn't been issued. And,

24 so, I think that we can certainly let you know how

25 | that's going. They just said they wanted to take it



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

1	with them, which is completely fine. So, I'm not
2	anticipating that it will be a January school, at
3	all.
4	MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.
5	THE CHAIR: All right. Then
6	MS. BARNES: And you know what? I'm going
7	to stand up and pass this form out to you now.
8	THE CHAIR: Please do. And while
9	you're we have moved that item to January, okay?
10	Item No. 17, Update and Possible Action on
11	Closure Procedures for The Learning Community
12	Charter School.
13	Kelly?
14	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,
15	Commissioners. So, now that we have a closure
16	procedure I was anticipating a little bit, just
17	so we could get a process started. As I mentioned,
18	it's going to be it's a lengthy process, six
19	months. And we need to start looking.
20	And, so, Julia, can you kind of help me
21	walk through where we are with TLC and what we need
22	to do at this point
23	MS. BARNES: Sure.
24	MS. CALLAHAN: legally, with that part?
25	THE CHAIR: Julia, may I interrupt you



just for a moment, please?

Let the record note that Commissioner Carr

had to leave for a few moments -- minutes -- but he

4 will return shortly.

MS. LEWIS: Sorry. I'm just noticing that
a couple of the lines on this page are half there.

So, if you could e-mail it to me, please, so I
can -- they're missing, the bottom half of the

MS. BARNES: Yes.

MS. LEWIS: Does everybody have the

12 | same --

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

words.

MS. BARNES: It's actually Linda's

printer, and we actually should look at that. This

is actually the second or third time it's happened.

So, there's a glitch in the way hers prints.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just as a matter of notice, that's exactly what it looks like when I print it out on mine. So, whatever her glitch is is my glitch, also.

MR. GERLICZ: I'm glad we have that in the record.

MS. BARNES: Let me just tell you, so that you can understand that draft. Our draft, back to -- to the document that just got handed out --

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



moved two sentences to the top of the MOU and then 1 2 changed your language, Abby, and limited it to -the prior approval -- to only that Section F. 3 4 can't remember what the statute cite is, but --5 THE CHAIR: What are we talking about? 6 MS. BARNES: Just explaining the copy --7 MS. LEWIS: Explaining the changes --8 THE CHAIR: Oh. 9 MS. BARNES: -- back on that former item 10 that we passed out. I'll make sure everybody has a 11 clean copy. 12 Back to TLC. Where we are in the process 13 is that the Cabinet Secretary upheld the decision of 14 the PEC. And there was a little bit of a delay while -- just on a discussion of the form of order 15 16 from the Cabinet Secretary. 17 That form of order has been issued and has been filed. There is -- it has now triggered a 18 19 period of time to appeal the decision to the 20 District Court by TLC, because the PEC won't appeal 21 that decision. 22 And I think, at this point, it would be 23 helpful to understand what this body wants to do in 24 terms of your -- your time line for closure. 25 So, that's where we are. I will say --



1	well and we're going to move ahead until if
2	and when we're told to stop for the legal
3	proceeding, we might do that. But we're moving
4	ahead now. So, we're looking for clarity from you
5	all regarding the time line for closure.
6	THE CHAIR: Julia, do you know what the
7	deadline is for TLC to appeal to District Court?
8	MS. MATTHEWS: It's 30 days.
9	MS. BARNES: It's 30 days. But you're
10	going to ask me from what date. So, Abby's going to
11	look. Thank you, Patti, but the 30 days started
12	on the amended decision. And I can also open my
13	e-mail and find that.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: While we're all
15	looking for that, I don't what can we do until
16	they decide what they're going to do? There's
17	nothing for us to do, is there?
18	MS. BARNES: Your decision has been
19	upheld. I think you may go ahead.
20	THE CHAIR: So, really, what you're asking
21	us to do is to declare a date that this school
22	closes? Is that
23	MS. BARNES: And approve a template for
24	closure. Kelly has populated the template you just
25	approved, with in anticipation that you would



approve it, which you did. And she's put in dates.
But we need to --

THE CHAIR: So, if -- let me just throw out a hypothetical here. Remember in the -- maybe I shouldn't say this. Remember in the debate when we had the hearing on TLC, we said either right now or at the end of the school year, we would close the school? Well, it didn't happen right then; that's for sure.

So, if we -- if we said, "Okay, the final date -- that school is closed as of their last day of school, whatever that date is," is that sufficient? Is that what you're looking for?

MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair,
Commissioners, I'm thinking the fiscal year closes
June 30th. Even though the school ends on, you
know, May 25th, or whatever that date is, there's
still a lot of things that have to happen in terms
of student records and report cards and such. So,
it would make sense to let them finish out until
June 30th, so that there would be staff to do the
distribution of testing assessment results, report
cards, final billings, you know, those kinds of
things.

THE CHAIR: Okay.





1	MS. BARNES: And, yes, that's what we're
2	looking for, because we would like we would like
3	the permission of the body to move forward with
4	with the work that needs to be done.
5	THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gant.
6	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I don't
7	know how I was going to say this. But maybe we have
8	to have a couple of dates: one, the last day
9	students officially attend class, and, then, the
10	date when the school officially closes. Does that
11	make sense?
12	MR. GERLICZ: Well, their fiscal year
13	happens after
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Right.
15	MR. GERLICZ: Right. And that would be
16	the appropriate date.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: We need to tell the
18	students' parents what day they can expect the
19	classes to be finished, and the school, as to when
20	they are officially done.
21	MS. BARNES: Now
22	MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair,
23	Commissioner Gant, I wonder if we could just say the
24	school is just closed at the end of that fiscal
25	year, allow them to finish out their academic



calendar, as is. 1 2 THE CHAIR: As it is published already? MR. GERLICZ: As it is published already. 3 4 COMMISSIONER GANT: Fine. MR. GERLICZ: Between school budgets and 5 exam schedules and all of that already in place. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Do we -- do we know if the 8 school is -- completes their academic year prior to I mean, it's a stretch to think they would 10 still be in session after June 30. But perhaps we 11 need to be sure of that. MS. BARNES: I believe all schools have to 12 13 be done before the end of the fiscal year, so --14 MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, all schools always have students that never finish on time and 15 16 need summer, or additional, work. In this 17 particular case, that will be their responsibility to get that word out to all their students that they 18 19 are done when they're done. Commissioner Bergman. 20 THE CHAIR: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, well, 22 this is a really interesting process, obviously. 23 Let me just raise this as -- we don't know what 24 they're going to do. We can start our process, of 25 But what happens if we start our process, course.



we cause some disruption to this school, obviously,
and they do go ahead and file a suit?

I assume -- I'm making an assumption, as a non-attorney, that as soon as the suit is filed, they're going to stop us from closing this school while the suit is being heard. We have lots of lawyers here to help us with that concept. And what -- just contemplating, down the road, a what-if situation.

What if they win their lawsuit, and we've already started closing the school down. What if?

I probably shouldn't raise issues like that, but I think of things like that, and --

THE CHAIR: Abby, would you like to respond?

MS. LEWIS: They would have to specifically request a stay. So, just filing their appeal in District Court wouldn't mean that they have -- that they are allowed to stop the closure procedure. They would have to specifically ask for a stay, which I assume they would. But who knows? Maybe they'll drop the ball or decide not to, for reason.

Also, I found the amended order was signed by the Secretary on 11/19/13. So, if we start





counting the 30 days, the next day -- make sure that 1 2 wasn't a weekend -- okay. So, if we start counting 30 days on the 20th, then they have until -- how 3 4 many days for Thanksgiving? One, Julia? 5 MS. MATTHEWS: You don't count holidays. 6 MS. LEWIS: Then it would be seven days, 7 they have, a week, they have, to file in District. 8 THE CHAIR: Give us a date. 9 MS. LEWIS: 12/20. 10 12/20. THE CHAIR: 11 MS. BARNES: And I think, Commissioner Bergman, if the judge tells this body to stop, then 12 13 I think the what-if is pretty clear. we stop. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Our concern is always for the students, though, see? 15 16 thinking -- unfortunately, this whole process is 17 disruptive of the students of that school; I understand that. And, so, I guess I'm thinking how 18 19 can we do this with minimum disruption to the poor 20 students? And I guess there's no way to really do If you have to go forward, you have to go 21 22 forward. 23 MS. BARNES: If you allow the school to go 24 to the end of the school year, you're not disrupting 25 the classes.



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think we could ever tell a school, "You're closed tomorrow."

You have to give them time.

MS. CALLAHAN: It's going to be disruptive, no matter what you do. The interruption of notice, just by the reality that strikes when you send a letter that says, "We are closing as of June 30th," it's going to create a snowball effect; students probably leaving, my guess, a lot of turmoil from parents, and "Where are we going to go, what are we going to do," large kids' credits transfer.

I don't think there's any way -- that is the most painful process in anything that we do in education is closing down a school. And -- but I think that, in fairness to the community, I think the sooner that we can start the process, the more time that they will have to make arrangements to do the things that they need to do; not just students, but staff, as well, looking for jobs, covering insurance. Those kinds of things are very real.

And I think if we can be proactive and say, "Okay, this is hard; we know it. Please, you know, bear with us, and we will try to make this as less a disruption as we possibly can."





COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, let me --1 2 since we've -- by your count, they have another 3 seven days. Where is the rush in us doing something 4 today that could not -- what will we disrupt if we 5 waited until their deadline passes, and we find out 6 whether they're suing or not? Are we harming our 7 process by waiting one more week? Can we say, "Now, 8 if they don't, let's go ahead and" --9 THE CHAIR: No. 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair? 11 THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I did not mean to 12 interrupt you, and I apologize. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm just asking 14 kind of a rhetorical question, throw it out there. COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair. 15 16 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr. 17 COMMISSIONER CARR: I think we should --18 you know, we can't base what we do on possibility of 19 what somebody else is going to do. I think we need 20 to follow through and do what -- do our job, and 21 whatever happens in the court happens. And then 22 things may or may not change. 23 But I think -- you know, we can't just go 24 by assumptions that somebody may or may get a stay, 25 may or may not file in court. We have to -- I think



we just need to move along. And then when we find 1 2 out something for sure, and we have to change what we're doing, then we'll do it then. 3 4 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Madame Chair. 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Peralta. 6 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I agree with 7 Commissioner Carr. We made a decision to close the 8 school, and I think it is our duty now to determine 9 and communicate that to the school district as to 10 when that date comes. And, so, if and when, I'm 11 ready to make a motion. 12 THE CHAIR: If you're ready, Commissioner 13 Peralta, I'd be glad to entertain that motion. 14 if we want to use a suggested June 30th closure date as the end of the fiscal year, that seems to be the 15 16 best course to take for a recommendation. 17 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I would like to move that the date for closure for the TLC, The 18 19 Learning Community Charter School, take effect on 20 June 30th of 2014. 21 '13? THE CHAIR: 22 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No, '14. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We're talking next 24 year. 25 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.



1	THE CHAIR: Second? We have a motion and
2	second to establish a closure date for the TLC
3	Charter School as June 30th, 2014. Is there
4	discussion?
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
6	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant?
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, a minute
8	ago, I thought we also had to approve the format for
9	the
10	MS. BARNES: Thank you. I was just
11	thinking about that. Abby, I don't think they need
12	to approve it. They've approved the template; they
13	can use the template.
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, I
15	thought we had a discussion that we were going to
16	approve the date of closure and the format to be
17	used for the closure.
18	MS. LEWIS: That was the template that we
19	approved a couple of agenda items we structured
20	it specifically so we had that template approved
21	before we took on this item.
22	MS. BARNES: Specifically, I think
23	Commissioner Gant is saying we don't have the PEC
24	does not have to approve the form of the
25	TLC-populated template, because we're going to use



1	the template.
2	MS. LEWIS: The TLC template has been
3	populated with dates?
4	MS. BARNES: Yeah.
5	MS. LEWIS: Then, yes, you would need to
6	approve that one to be specifically applied here,
7	rather than the general template.
8	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, if I
9	may, why don't we make two motions out of this: One
10	for the closure date, and one for the use of the
11	form, to be more clear?
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Or can you you do
13	it in one motion?
14	THE CHAIR: The motion has already been
15	made. Let's just go with the motion that's on the
16	floor.
17	Is there discussion on the motion to
18	establish June 30th, 2014, as the closure date for
19	the TLC Charter School? Commissioner Parker.
20	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair,
21	Commissioners, I do agree that the end of the fiscal
22	year would be a great time to be closing the school;
23	however, because of my late arrival to the
24	Commission, I will be abstaining from this vote, as
25	well.



1	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you.
2	Further discussion?
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just, why I ask
4	rhetorical questions, because it stirs debate. So,
5	that's
6	THE CHAIR: So, the issue before the
7	Commission at this time I'm sorry. Commissioner
8	Peralta.
9	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: A question on that
10	template of approval that was just raised: Even if
11	it's not stated in the agenda item, is that still
12	MS. LEWIS: It's stated in the agenda. It
13	would fall under I'm sorry; I'm reading the wrong
14	one Update and Possible Action on Closure
15	Procedures for The Learning Community. So, that
16	encompasses the date, as well as this populated
17	template.
18	MS. BARNES: And just to note, the
19	populated template anticipates a June 30th closure
20	date.
21	THE CHAIR: All right. So, the motion on
22	the floor is to accept June 30th, 2014, as the
23	closure date for the TLC Charter School. Is there
24	further discussion among the Commissioners?
25	Seeing none, Commissioner Bergman, may we



1	have a roll-call vote?
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
3	Conyers.
4	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
6	abstains. Commissioner Peralta.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes. Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
15	Shearman.
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
18	Bergman, "yes." And, again, Madame Chair, that's
19	seven votes to approve the motion and one
20	abstention.
21	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The
22	official vote is seven to zero, in favor of the
23	motion, with one abstention.
24	The Chair would now
25	MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair, just a point



1	of clarification. Who is going to actually notice
2	TLC that the date is June 30th? Is that something
3	that the Commission needs to do? Is that something
4	CSD needs to do, in terms of sending to the
5	governing board, "At the December 13th PEC meeting,
6	the official TLC closure date will be June 30th,
7	2014," because I don't think I don't know if it
8	was articulated I wasn't at the hearing. So, I
9	don't know if it was articulated, even as an option,
10	for them to know about June 30th.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We have to send
12	them a letter; right?
13	MS. LEWIS: Because we still have the MOU
14	in place that we were just discussing, Commission,
15	you can opt either to have me send the letter,
16	which, in one argument, makes more sense; or, have
17	CSD send the letter. I can see pros and cons for
18	both. So, really, it's up to you. Not really cons;
19	just pros and pros.
20	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, what's your
21	pleasure?
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, we are the
23	ones that took the action, but CSD was a part of us
24	taking the action. So, I'm not sure who would
25	actually be responsible for sending the letter?



Here, again, it would come down to you attorneys, 1 2 who --It will still be signed by the 3 MS. LEWIS: 4 Commission. But now, we're, you know, talking 5 about -- well, okay. I guess my advice is that because this is a legal document, you should 6 7 probably have me draft it, and I'll run it by CSD in 8 case there's anything I missed or anything they 9 suggested, is what I would advise. 10 COMMISSIONER CARR: That sounds good. 11 THE CHAIR: Works for me. Is that all 12 right? 13 Then the Chair would entertain a Okay. 14 motion as to the populated template. information has already been populated for TLC. 15 Commissioner Gant. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members, 18 I move that we accept and use the closure document 19 for TLC, as formatted and populated. But I would 20 like to add one thing -- and correct me if I'm 21 wrong -- with the ability to change the format, as 22 required, during the process of closure. 23 MS. LEWIS: Agree. 24 Do we have a second? THE CHAIR: 25 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.



1	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Gant,
2	second by Commissioner Bergman, to accept the
3	closure document template with the ability to make
4	changes as needed. Commissioner Parker?
5	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Due to my recent
6	appointment, again, I will be abstaining from this
7	vote, as well.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. Any
9	other discussion? Commissioner Bergman, may we have
10	a roll-call vote, please?
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
15	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
17	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
19	Conyers.
20	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
22	abstains. Commissioner Gant.
23	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
25	Shearman.



THE CHAIR: Yes. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner 3 Bergman votes "yes." Again, Madame Chair, that's a 4 "seven" vote, and one abstention. 5 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. The motion passes by a vote of seven to zero, with one 6 7 abstention. Thank you all very much. 8 Item No. 18 on the agenda is Discussion 9 and Possible Action on Approval of Dream Dine' 10 Charter School. 11 Ms. Lewis, do you want Patti to come up? 12 If she'd like to. MS. LEWIS: 13 And while you all are -- if THE CHAIR: 14 you'd like to come up, please do, Patti. While you all are getting documents out, I'd like to read a 15 16 little introductory paragraph here. 17 "When a charter school appeals a decision 18 from the PEC, New Mexico law states that the parties 19 are strongly encouraged to continue discussion and 20 negotiations in an effort to resolve the matter by 21 agreement. Dream Dine' approached the PEC in this 22 spirit through the PEC's attorney, and the Executive 23 Committee undertook these negotiations on behalf of



"We present to you today a settlement

the Commission.

24

1	agreement endorsed by us for your approval. You
2	have been distributed copies of this" pardon
3	me "of this agreement for your review."
4	And if you'd like to take a couple of
5	minutes to read through this proposed settlement,
6	then we'll move on to further discussion of the
7	possible action.
8	Just to be sure we're doing this
9	absolutely correctly, I'm going to ask Abby to read
10	this into the record, so that we are sure we have
11	all our bases covered.
12	MS. LEWIS: Okay. So bear with me now.
13	It's of a couple of pages. But considering that it
14	was drafted by lawyers, I think a couple of pages is
15	great.
16	"Settlement Agreement between the
17	New Mexico Public Education Commission and Gavin
18	Sosa, applicant for Dream Dine' Charter School.
19	"This agreement between the Public
20	Education Commission and Gavin Sosa, an applicant
21	for a proposed public charter school to be known as
22	Dream Dine', is entered this day, the 13th day of
23	December, 2013.
24	"Whereas, on July 1, 2013, Mr. Sosa timely
25	submitted his application for a charter school to



1	the Commission, by and through the Charter Schools
2	Division, CSD, of the New Mexico Public Education
3	Commission, NMPED.
4	"Mr. Sosa proposed to locate a charter
5	school in Shiprock, New Mexico, that would be known
6	as Dream Dine'.
7	"Whereas, the CSD recommended approval for
8	the application and scored it the highest of all the
9	applicants of the 2013 cycle, without conditions.
10	"Whereas, the Commission's September 27,
11	2013, meeting, a vote to approve the application
12	failed, and said vote was followed by a letter from
13	the Chair of the Commission dated October 9, 2013,
14	wherein the Commission stated its decision to deny
15	the application and the reasons for denial.
16	"Whereas, pursuant to NMSA 1978,
17	Section 22-8B-7, Mr. Sosa timely filed a notice of
18	appeal of the Commission's denial of the application
19	to the Secretary of the New Mexico Public Education
20	Commission."
21	Should that be "Department"?
22	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes.
23	MS. LEWIS: Okay.
24	"The matter of Gavin Sosa v. New Mexico
25	Public Education Commission appeal is currently set



for hearing before the Secretary on December 19th, 1 2 2013. "Whereas, on December 6, 2013, the 3 4 Secretary extended the Commission's deadline for 5 submitting its response to appellant's argument in support of appeal until December 16, 2013, pending 6 7 discussions for resolving the appeal between Mr. Sosa and the Commission. 8 9 "Whereas, the Commission and Mr. Sosa 10 desire to resolve the appeal amicably, pursuant to 11 the terms of this settlement agreement, according to 12 the terms set forth herein. 13 "Now, therefore, the parties agreed as 14 follows:

"In exchange for Mr. Sosa clarifying provisions of the application, as set forth in Paragraphs 3 through 6 below, and other considerations stated herein, the Commission agrees to approve the application for a term beginning December 13th, 2013, through June 30th, 2019.

"Approval of the application shall be effected by a vote of the Commission on this settlement agreement during its scheduled meeting of December 12th to 13th, 2013.

"The Commission's vote shall constitute a

REPORTING SERVICE



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

resolution of the appeal and shall not be the basis for reopening consideration of the application.

"In exchange for the Commission approving the application, Mr. Sosa agrees to clarify the application, as described in Paragraphs 3 through 6 below, and to dismiss the appeal pursuant to 6.80.4.14(D)(9) NMAC.

"The application will be clarified to articulate the following goal statements:

"Beginning with the first cohort of third-graders in 2016-'17, Dream Dine' students will outperform grade-level cohorts in the Central Consolidated School District by at least 10 percent in mathematics and ELA/literacy, as measured by the PARCC, Partnership for Readiness and College and Career Assessment.

"B. Dream Dine' fourth-grade students will demonstrate a 5-percent growth in mathematics and ELA/literacy performance, as compared to the third-grade baseline performance, as measured by the PARCC, Partnership for Readiness for College and Career Assessment, in the year 2017-'18.

"C. Beginning with the end of the first school year, May 2015, 80 percent of Dream Dine' students will demonstrate at least 10-percent yearly





growth in Navajo language proficiency scores, as measured by the Navajo Oral Language Assessments, pre and post-tests.

"D. Beginning in the first year, 2004-'15 Dream Dine' will average 95-percent attendance rate for each academic year of the charter term.

"During..." -- this is going on to the next paragraph, No. 4.

"During the planning year, the applicant will clarify the application to state that the size of the school's governing body will be between five and nine members.

"Next, the applicant will review and clarify the selection process for governing body members, as stated in the application and attach bylaws to ensure that the provisions are not in conflict with the New Mexico Open Meetings Act, NMSA 1978, Section 10-15-1, et seq.

"6. As a cure for reasons for denial, 4,
5A, 5B, and 6, as stated in the letter of October 9,
during the planning year, the applicant will work
with its assigned New Mexico Public Department
budget analyst to ensure that the Department
approves a school budget commensurate with the
educational and operational obligations as set forth



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	in the application, and as required to meet all
2	State and federal requirements of a public school.
3	"7. The applicant will make every effort
4	to meet the current deadline, as set forth in the
5	Planning Year Checklist, School Year 2013 to '14.
6	However, because the planning year has been
7	truncated, except for the requirements concerning
8	facilities, the deadlines, as set forth in the
9	Planning Year Checklist, School Year 2013-'14, will
10	be automatically extended for 60 days for the
11	Dream Dine' Charter School.
12	"All requirements shall be complied with
13	on or before September 1, 2014, unless otherwise
14	extended by the Commission for good cause shown.
15	"8. The settlement agreement shall only
16	be effective upon final action of the Commission in
17	a public meeting, signature of its chair and
18	Mr. Sosa or its designee, and only if accepted on
19	its terms as drafted."
20	Then there's signature lines for you and
21	Mr. Sosa to sign.
22	THE CHAIR: Commissioners, you've heard
23	the provided settlement agreement. Is there
24	discussion? Commissioner Carr.



COMMISSIONER CARR:

25

Madame Chair, so the

1 Executive Committee -- were you guys part of this 2 negotiation? 3 THE CHAIR: Yes, we were. And, so, this is based 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: on your recommendation? 5 This -- yes, this is based on 6 THE CHAIR: 7 our recommendation, after a great deal of -- of back 8 and forth, refining, suggestions and refining the 9 conditions and the responses in here. 10 Yes, it wasn't a quick -- a snap judgment 11 or a snap operation on anybody's part. There's been 12 a lot of thought and a lot of work that's gone into 13 I, personally, feel this is the best this. 14 settlement that we could bring to the Commission. 15 That's my personal feelings. 16 You might ask Commissioner Bergman and 17 Commissioner Gant if -- for their personal --18 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, I'd like to hear 19 from the rest of --20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, I agree. 21 was a great deal of give-and-take on both sides, as 22 is -- that's why it's called a negotiation. And I 23 am comfortable -- I'm not comfortable that we're in 24 this situation; none of us are. But I am 25 comfortable that this will resolve it.



And I -- and this situation is just an example of why I am so fervent about our forms. It is why I am so fervent about our application forms and the need to revise them, so that we get them to the point where we don't have this problem, and that applicants, whoever they are, clearly understand what this Commission expects to see in an application. And that's why I am so fervent about it.

It really is. I'd rather we didn't have to go down these kinds of roads. And, so, that's why I say it's imperative that we get the application form done in the next month or so, and, hopefully, we're going to alleviate these kinds of situations in the future.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, members.

In my opinion, I guess this is the best we can

20 | expect. We were placed between a rock and a hard

21 | place, and they put a box around this Commission. I

22 believe that if it went forward for the hearing,

23 | that the Secretary Designee, Skandera, would approve

24 it for other reasons, okay?

I think this is the best we can do. And



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

if we want to control what's happening with charter 1 2 schools, I guess we have to do this. Thank you. 3 THE CHAIR: Does that answer your 4 concerns --5 COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, yes. 6 Yes. 7 THE CHAIR: -- Commissioner Carr? COMMISSIONER CARR: 8 It does. 9 THE CHAIR: Are there other discussions? 10 Seeing none, the Chair would entertain a motion 11 concerning this settlement agreement between the 12 Public Education Commission and Gavin Sosa, 13 applicant for the Dream Dine' Charter School. 14 MS. BARNES: Madame Chair, may I ask a 15 clarification point? Since we've been working on extensions for all of the schools about when the 16 contract would take place, I was just checking with 17 The Planning Year Checklist, one of the 18 Kelly. 19 items in the Planning Year Checklist is the 20 completion of the negotiation of the contract. So, 21 in my understanding, that that would be extended 22 until September 30th, because it's --23 MS. LEWIS: September 1. 24 I doubt that we need to do MS. MATTHEWS: 25 It wouldn't make sense to me that that, Julia.



you'd have your contract negotiations extending into 1 2 the next fiscal year. I mean, I think the intent is to finish the contract negotiations this -- before 3 4 the school year starts. Right, Gavin? 5 MR. SOSA: Correct. MS. MATTHEWS: So, I mean, is that 6 7 actually a specific deadline --8 MS. BARNES: Yeah. I just asked Kelly if 9 that was in the checklist. And she said that it 10 So, I was just clarifying what you wanted to 11 do, and then clarifying whether we needed an 12 extension, because she just said, "Did you do one 13 for Dream Dine', " and I said, "No." 14 So, I'm wondering if you could take care 15 of that here, Patti. 16 MS. MATTHEWS: Madame Chair, members of 17 the Commission, if we're going to be signing the 18 extension, anyway, which, apparently, pulls it out 19 of the checklist consequence -- or time lines --20 then we can, in that -- in the extension of the deadlines, set that deadline, I would assume, 21 22 before -- what is it now, Kelly? 23 MS. BARNES: June, June 30. 24 MS. MATTHEWS: I absolutely would be able 25 to just go along with the rest of the group on that



specific date. I'm more concerned about the -- you 1 2 know, there's a huge number of policies, et cetera, that are required in that checklist that are 3 4 time-consuming, you know. The contract 5 negotiations, I'm sure the school would rather just get done and over with, so they know what's expected 6 7 of them right now, and they can incorporate that 8 into the planning process. 9

So, I think we can go along with that specific date, and we can -- rather than amend this settlement agreement, all we have to do is sign that agreement with a date specified, and I think that settles that piece.

THE CHAIR: Does that suit you, Abby?

MS. LEWIS: Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.

17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, are we

18 saying -- and are you saying -- that we probably

19 | could just incorporate Dream Dine' into our calendar

20 | that we already have for all the other schools, so

21 | it will be accomplished, certainly, in plenty of

22 | time, as you've noted, for you to get your school up

23 and running, the earlier, the better? Is that going

24 to be acceptable to everybody?

MS. MATTHEWS: Madame Chair, Commissioner



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	Bergman, yes, that is exactly what we're saying.
2	THE CHAIR: Again, the Chair is would
3	entertain a motion. Commissioner Bergman.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Madame Chair, I
5	would move that this settlement that has been read
6	into the record and proposed be accepted by this
7	Commission.
8	THE CHAIR: Do we have a second?
9	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I'll second that.
10	THE CHAIR: We have a motion and second by
11	Commissioner Bergman, seconded by Commissioner
12	Conyers, to accept the settlement agreement, as
13	proposed.
14	Let me just ask I noticed there's a
15	blank where the 13th of December would need to be
16	inserted in that first paragraph. And then you read
17	a correction in the one, two, three, four
18	fifth paragraph, changing the word "Commission" to
19	"Department"?
20	MS. LEWIS: Correct.
21	THE CHAIR: Does that need to be part of
22	the motion, or is reading it into the record
23	correction enough?
24	MS. LEWIS: It's fine. In my opinion,
25	it's fine to initial just that change from



1	"Commission" to "Department." And I read it into
2	the record. I changed it as I read it; right?
3	MS. MATTHEWS: We changed it as you read
4	it. I think that should be adequate. And we can
5	initial, on the signed copy, that word change.
6	THE CHAIR: Okay. So, we're all okay with
7	the corrections? All right.
8	We have a motion and a second on the
9	floor. Is there discussion? Commissioner Parker.
10	MR. PARKER: As with many other motions,
11	I'll be abstaining, due to my recent appointment.
12	However, I would just like to say thank you to the
13	Executive Committee and the Dream Dine' School for
14	working so hard to come to a common ground. I think
15	that speaks volumes, so
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you very
17	much.
18	Further discussion?
19	Commissioner Bergman, may we have a
20	roll-call vote?
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Pogna.
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Carr.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner





1	Conyers.
2	COMMISSIONER CONYERS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Parker
4	abstains. Commissioner Peralta.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner Gant.
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: No.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
9	Shearman.
10	THE CHAIR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Commissioner
12	Bergman votes "yes."
13	Hang on a second. Madame Chair, it looks
14	like I have four "yes" votes and three "no" votes
15	and one abstention.
16	THE CHAIR: Okay. Let me fill this in.
17	The motion was duly made, seconded, and, with four
18	votes in favor, three voted against and one
19	abstaining, the motion carried.
20	Thank you all very much. Oh. Do I need
21	to read this whole thing?
22	MS. LEWIS: Uh-huh.
23	THE CHAIR: Okey-dokey. From here? Is
24	that all right?
25	"Be it resolved by the New Mexico Public



1 Education Commission, as follows:

"Whereas, on June 1st, 2013, Mr. Sosa

timely submitted his application for a charter

school to the Commission, by and through the Charter

Schools Division of the New Mexico Public Education

Department, NMPED. Mr. Sosa proposed to locate a

charter school in Shiprock, New Mexico, that would

be known as Dream Dine'.

"Whereas, the CSD recommended approval of the application and scored it the highest of all of the applications of the 2013 cycle, without conditions.

"Whereas, during the Commission's" -pardon me -- "September 27, 2013 meeting, a vote to
approve the application failed, and said vote was
followed by a letter from the Chair of the
Commission, dated October 9, 2013, wherein the
Commission stated its decision to deny the
application and the reasons for the denial.

"Whereas, pursuant to NMSA 1978, 22-8B-7, Mr. Sosa timely filed a notice of appeal for the Commission's denial of the application to the Secretary of the New Mexico Public Education Department. The matter of Gavin Sosa v. New Mexico Public Education Commission, appeal, is currently



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

set for hearing before the Secretary on December 19, 2013.

"Whereas, on December 6, 2013, the Secretary extended the Commission's deadline for submitting its response to Applicant's argument in support of appeal until December 16, 2013, pending" discussion -- "discussions for resolving the appeal between Mr. Sosa and the Commission.

"Whereas, the Commission agrees to the terms of the settlement agreement between the New Mexico Public Education Commission and Gavin Sosa, Applicant for Dream Dine', a New Mexico public charter school, which settlement agreement is attached to this resolution.

"Whereas, the settlement will resolve the pending appeal and will effectuate approval of the Dream Dine' Charter School application, as set forth in the settlement agreement.

"Now, therefore, be it resolved that the settlement agreement between the New Mexico Public Education Commission and Gavin Sosa, Applicant for Dream Dine', a New Mexico public charter school, is hereby approved, and the Chair of the Commission is authorized to execute the settlement agreement and to approve the proposed dismissal of appeal attached



to this resolution. 1 2 "The motion was duly made, seconded, and four voted in favor, three voted against, one 3 4 The motion carried." abstained. Thank you all. 5 MS. MATTHEWS: Ms. Shearman, if I may, I'd 6 7 like to drop this with the Secretary today, so that we have the dismissal in the record immediately. 8 Ιf we have time to execute documents, that would be 10 great, unless that's too disruptive. 11 MS. LEWIS: I've already reviewed the 12 order of dismissal that Patti drafted and approved 13 it as to form. It's just a standard dismissal 14 saying, "We've come to an agreement, and, therefore, there's no need for the appeal." 15 The other documents that would be executed 16 17 are just the settlement agreement that was read and the resolution. So, I would advise going ahead and 18 19 getting it done. 20

THE CHAIR: Okay. Does that require a vote?

No, you've done all the votes MS. LEWIS: you need to at this point. You just need to sign -more signatures.

> THE CHAIR: Okay. Do I need to do that



21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949



```
right now, then? Why don't we take about a
 1
 2
     ten-minute break at this point, and I'll get those
     papers signed, and we can all come back at 10
 3
 4
     minutes till?
 5
               (Recess taken, 1:42 p.m. to 1:57 p.m.)
               THE CHAIR: All right. We're already a
 6
 7
     little behind the curve, so let's get started back,
 8
     please.
 9
               We are on Item No. 19, Report from PEC
10
     Legislative Committee and Possible Vote on
     Legislative and Constitutional Priorities.
11
12
               Commissioner Carr.
13
                                   Madame Chair, members
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
14
     of the Commission, I -- what I have, I guess, is
15
     pretty short.
               I -- we voted on an amendment that was
16
17
     basically House Bill 492, that was vetoed last -- it
     was 392; you're right -- 392 -- which was vetoed
18
19
     last -- after the last session. We voted to go
20
     forward with an amendment that was basically -- that
21
     bill was, basically -- so, especially, our new
22
     Commissioner -- would have put us in charge of the
     Charter Division of the PED.
23
24
               I have -- and I guess a version -- Senator
25
     Tim Keller, actually, I guess, presented yesterday,
```



to the LESC, a slightly amended version of that as an Act, actually, that was approved by the LESC yesterday. He thinks he fixed some things that would possibly avoid a veto.

However, also, I have found out within the last week that there -- Representative Rick Miera, the House Majority Leader, will be submitting to the Legislature an amendment that we had voted on previous to this one, House Bill 392, we'll call it, as an amendment.

But on the more -- what's the word for it,

I guess -- more -- more invasive amendment, which

would basically take us back to the 2003 statutes

and Constitution, which would make this the State

School Board again, with full oversight powers, and,

then, we would select the Secretary of Education -
the Superintendent of Education, however -- whatever

name that may end up with.

The -- so, that was kind of surprising. I wasn't sure we were going to go there. I thought they were more amenable to the lesser amendment that we voted on. But it looks like there's a great deal of momentum to support the amendment that I put forth over a year ago.

So, that's going on. And I will get a





copy of -- Representative Rick Miera already drafted his own version of that amendment. After I leave here this afternoon, I'm going to go get a copy of that, and I'll make sure everybody gets a copy of the exact wording.

You know, everybody wants to change it around a little bit, you know. I think the basics are there, though, I'm sure.

The other thing that -- that I'm going to do is -- and this is another topic, based on the new teacher evaluation that's being put forth this year. Part of that evaluation actually docks down a teacher's evaluation if they miss more than two sick days, which I find personally outrageous and extreme. But I'm going to write a letter to the Attorney General's Office asking for an opinion as to whether or not that violates State or federal labor laws.

It will take some time, I understand, to get that back. But I'm going to -- as a Public Education Commissioner, I can write a letter to the Attorney General and ask for a decision on the legality of an action by, I guess, anybody in government, for that matter; right?

MS. LEWIS: I'm sorry? Yeah, as a





Commissioner, you can ask for an opinion from the AG. Is that what you're asking?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Yes. Yes,
ma'am. Okay. So, that's -- those are -- that's my
report. And I'll get you guys more information as I
get it.

You know, things are going to be unfolding quite rapidly with the -- the Legislative Session coming up, and the interim committees are meeting and all that. So, I'll try to keep you guys informed as -- you know, just for your information, FYI e-mails. And, of course, you're welcome to give me a phone call, as well.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Jeff, is this -- is this legislation -- is that pretty much confidential? Is it restricted? After you send us the copy that Rick Miera is going to send to you, can we discuss it?

COMMISSIONER CARR: It -- Representative Rick Miera has already made -- you know, what he was -- what he told me public. So, yeah, I don't think there's going to be anything secret about it. I'll get clarifica- -- I won't -- I'll let you know if -- I don't see any reason why it would be confidential.





1 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Okay. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIR: Other questions of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Carr? Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't have a question. But with more people jumping into the pool with their own plan, there's a danger that this thing is going to get so fragmented, we'll end up with nothing again. But I guess all we can do is try. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Any other comments?

efforts and your work. I know you put a lot into this, and you will continue to put a lot into it during the session. I'm sure you'll be called to testify before various committees and so forth, too. And, so, we appreciate all your work. You may not be the only one called in to testify. We certainly appreciate everything you've done.

Let's move on to Item No. 20, which is the PEC Meeting Calendar. And, Commissioners, as we were talking about earlier, I think it's going to require that we have a workday, at least one, prior to each one of our scheduled meetings, at least through the spring. We'll just have to see. It's a



work in progress.

I don't know if we need a -- I don't believe we need to have a vote on setting those workdays. But I simply would like to have everyone's input and participation, to the extent possible. I know particularly those of you who work, getting another day or more off is -- may be an issue. But the more people that we can have at those work sessions, the more we're going to be able to get done, I think.

So, the other thing that we've been discussing here -- let me jump ahead, or behind, or whatever it is, a little bit. We moved Item No. 12 down to the bottom part of the agenda. There is a lot to be done, still, in Item 12.

Commissioner Gant suggested that what we do, instead of trying to deal with Item 12 today -- and it's already after 2:00 -- is allow Julia and Abby, and whomever else they feel could have good input into this, to work through the document, incorporate the changes that were talked about and pretty much agreed on during the work session, and then work through the rest of the document with their suggestions on -- knowing what we said on the first part of the document, work on the latter part



of the document to see if they can change it to,
more closely, what they think we're looking for,
give that to us -- and I know that Julia has a
handout that's going to talk about what we did in
the work session, sort of a synopsis, so everyone
has a good understanding of what's going on.

Then, if we could set our work session in January, so that we work half a day on Wednesday, the 8th, and all day on Thursday, the 9th, I'm sure we could get all of this done, including the work on the MOU that Julia had in the stack for Wednesday; a day-and-a-half workday, and then have all of that on the agenda for action on the 10th of January, hopefully, we could get it all done.

And, then, looking forward, a workday on the 27th of February -- and these are just suggestions; we'll firm these up as we get closer to them, but I think they're going to be needed -- a workday on February the 27th, a workday on March 27th.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Now, that's the Spring Budget Workshop, too. The 26th and 27th are already the Spring --

THE CHAIR: The Spring Budget Workshops.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And right now, we





have a couple of contract negotiations scheduled on 1 2 the 25th. So, that's going to be a very busy week. 3 THE CHAIR: It sure may be. 4 Also, Madame Chair, I will be MS. LEWIS: 5 out of town for the February meeting. Of course, somebody will be covering for me, so you won't be 6 7 without counsel. But I'll be here -- I believe I'll 8 still be here on the 27th, but I would have to check with you on that. But I'm hoping we can get it done 10 in January. 11 MS. BARNES: We won't get everything done 12 in January; but we may be able to miss a meeting for 13 sure, a work session. 14 THE CHAIR: We've got a lot of documents, 15 still, to go through. 16 MS. BARNES: I agree. And I'm not sure, 17 actually, that CSD is going to have the time before 18 the January meeting -- they're going to be ready on 19 the application. But, you know, the renewal --20 there's a lot that they need to review, as well. 21 So, I'd like to keep some kind of pace. But there's 22 no reason we can't miss a very busy meeting. 23 THE CHAIR: All right. Let's just 24 tentatively, then, plan on the -- half-a-day on the 25 8th and all day on the 9th of January, the 27th of



```
February, and, then, at that -- in February, we will
1
 2
     talk about the March workday.
 3
               MS. BARNES: Good.
 4
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We certainly could
 5
     take some time away from the budget workshop and
 6
     skip some classes and go to a work session.
 7
               THE CHAIR: We'll just see if we need it,
 8
     if everyone's ready for it, and so on.
                                             So, if that
 9
     works preliminarily for everybody --
10
               COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We have to keep in
11
     mind that the staff presents at the Spring Budget
12
                They do classes and stuff. So, yeah,
     Workshop.
13
     there's a lot of considerations there.
14
               MS. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair.
15
     Madame Chair.
16
               THE CHAIR: I know somebody's -- oh,
17
     Beverly.
               Sorry. I couldn't find you.
18
               MS. FRIEDMAN:
                              That's all right.
                                                 May I
19
     work with Abby on the announcement for the January
20
     meeting, because if you're going to be meeting on
21
     the 8th, we have to announce that -- we're still ten
22
     days ahead of that to announce it. And we're
23
     talking about, probably, the 26th or the 27th of
24
     December.
25
               So, if I can work with Abby on the actual
```



(505) 989-4949

1	announcement, and, then, we would have to have a
2	pre-conference agenda setting conference call to
3	set the agenda. I think we can announce the meeting
4	without having an agenda.
5	MS. LEWIS: We don't have to have the
6	agenda setting meeting. It's been our procedure.
7	But if we had to set the agenda, you know, if we
8	can't all get on the phone at the same time, and the
9	EC and you and I have to set it in an alternative
10	fashion, that's okay, under the law.
11	MS. FRIEDMAN: Okay. Because I know that
12	there will be people out of town over the Christmas
13	holidays and everything like that. I just want to
14	make sure that we're legal as far as announcing the
15	meeting.
16	THE CHAIR: What are you thinking about
17	for the conference call?
18	MS. FRIEDMAN: Next week would be great
19	for me.
20	THE CHAIR: And Abby is out of town.
21	MS. FRIEDMAN: Yeah. Possibly the 23rd,
22	which is a Monday.
23	THE CHAIR: A week from Monday.
24	MS. FRIEDMAN: A week from Monday.



Gene?

Vince?

THE CHAIR:

1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yeah.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm thinking. Are
3	you talking about the 23rd of December, a Monday?
4	THE CHAIR: Yeah.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I have family in,
6	but they can go and visit the zoo or something, if
7	they don't want to listen to me talk on the phone,
8	yeah.
9	MR. GERLICZ: They can come to the PEC
10	meeting.
11	MS. BARNES: Is there a time?
12	MS. FRIEDMAN: What time would be best?
13	In the morning?
14	MS. LEWIS: I'm unavailable on the 23rd at
15	11:30 until about 1:00. But other than that
16	MS. FRIEDMAN: Can we meet at 9:00? Tony?
17	MR. GERLICZ: What date is that?
18	MS. FRIEDMAN: 23rd.
19	MR. GERLICZ: Of February? January?
20	MS. FRIEDMAN: No, no, no, of December.
21	COMMISSIONER GANT: Telephone call.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Telephone call.
23	MS. FRIEDMAN: Agenda-setting call.
24	MR. GERLICZ: At what time?
25	MS. FRIEDMAN: 9:00.





1	MR. GERLICZ: I'll have to move things
2	around. Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
5	THE CHAIR: Yes.
6	MS. FRIEDMAN: 9:00 on Monday, the 23rd?
7	THE CHAIR: You will send an e-mail to
8	remind us?
9	MS. BARNES: Also, if I might add one
10	thing: For the working session meetings, I would
11	like to notice them in a way that allows us to talk
12	about any of the documents on the chart that might
13	be ready. So, however we can do that like this
14	time, it said specific things, and you had raised a
15	concern.
16	I don't want any concern. If we're ready
17	to talk about a document in a working session, we're
18	ready to talk. So, that's what I'd like to do.
19	That doesn't mean we'll jump the gun. But, maybe
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We're going to talk
21	about forms, period.
22	MS. BARNES: And reference the chart,
23	Abby, or whatever you want.
24	MS. LEWIS: If that's the preference of
25	the Commission, I'm happy to draft it that way.



1 THE CHAIR: Good. So, we're all right 2 with the calendar? Let's move on to Item 21, PEC Comments. 3 4 Let's just go around the table, see if anyone has 5 anything to add. 6 Commissioner Convers? 7 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: I really have no 8 comments. 9 THE CHAIR: No? 10 COMMISSIONER CONYERS: No. 11 THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Commissioner 12 Peralta. 13 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Quickly, I see that 14 we have this setting on our -- on our place over And I guess it's to showcase our new website 15 16 and the color-coded thing that they did and what 17 have you. It's all great. I'm glad to see it's 18 been spruced up. 19 It's very nice, except that we've got some 20 very important people missing in those chairs. 21 I thought it would be nice if we were able to see 22 those Commissioners in that photo. And if you'd 23 prefer to wait until our new chairs come in, so 24 we're sitting higher, I'm up for that, too. 25 THE CHAIR: Deputy Secretary Aguilar has



1	asked for new chairs. I heard him say it this
2	morning.
3	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair, if I may?
4	THE CHAIR: Yes.
5	MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Peralta, we
6	had a picture. The first picture that was placed on
7	the page was a standard Commission picture. And I
8	thought it was our Commissioners, because there were
9	three people that I could identify as your current
L 0	Commissioners.
L1	Turns out it was a just a standard
L 2	picture that they pulled off the web.
L 3	MS. CALLAHAN: A stock photo.
L 4	COMMISSIONER CARR: We were so
L 5	good-looking that
L 6	MS. FRIEDMAN: The comment was made that
L 7	it would be best to have a picture that would not
L 8	identify anybody, so that it would be able to be on
L 9	there for a while.
20	I took two pictures today, and I can take
21	another one before you all leave, if you would like,
22	with my phone camera, and submit it to the IT office
23	and ask them to put that particular picture on the
24	web.



Oh, no.

Oh, no.

THE CHAIR:

25

You use that

studio photo you've got of me. Because that's the most flattering picture I've had made in the last 50 years. Oh, no.

MS. FRIEDMAN: The other option is just to leave that picture of the blank chairs. I know that that is kind of third-person, or, you know, very --

THE CHAIR: They can't bring in the pictures from the current --

MS. FRIEDMAN: No, because the pictures that are submitted for your Commission page, which is under the picture -- it says "Commissioners."

You click on that, and those are your individual pictures.

THE CHAIR: Oh.

MS. FRIEDMAN: But for the picture across the top of the PEC web page -- it would be interesting if they could do that -- is to cut your faces and put them on your chairs, I guess, or something. But, basically, that's why that picture was chosen.

There are -- if you will notice when you can access the page, I made a couple of little changes to the Strategic Plan. The one that was on there was from 2004. And, so, I updated that. And we put some -- the district maps, I think, are still



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on there for Commission seats. 1 2 The new directory includes Commissioner I think there are a couple of other 3 Parker. 4 But I let Mr. Archibeque know that if any 5 of you had any changes, any additions you would like to see on the page, let me know, and I can certainly 6 7 get those changes made. 8 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Okay. 9 THE CHAIR: My phone's trying to die. Ι 10 can't have a dead phone. Commissioner Pogna. 11 COMMISSIONER POGNA: No, ma'am. 12 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, I've said 14 plenty. Thank you. All right. 15 THE CHAIR: Oh. I have a 16 couple of things to say, but I think I'll save mine 17 till last. I've asked Commissioner -- his name is 18 19 "Gant" over here this time. I've asked 20 Commissioner Gant to poll you, ask each one of you 21 what kind of computer you want, because, if you'll 22 remember, Deputy Secretary Aguilar said he was going 23 to see about getting all Commissioners new 24 computers.



Do you want -- they'll all be laptops.

1	But do you want one of those off-brand things with a
2	picture of the fruit on it, or or, do you and
3	he specifically asked me, did I want another big
4	laptop, like I've got now, and I said, "Yes, because
5	I don't see very well."
6	So, if you would let Commissioner Gant
7	know your pleasures on a computer, then he can make
8	that list and get it to the IT person.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You mean, now, or
10	in private?
11	COMMISSIONER GANT: Just send me a note.
12	Please, e-mail me.
13	THE CHAIR: That would be better, just
14	send him a note.
15	COMMISSIONER CARR: I just always believe
16	that sometimes you get what you pay for. If you buy
17	cheap stuff, you get cheap stuff, and it won't do
18	what you want.
19	I understand that the PED has got a
20	preference. And, also, as we all know well in this
21	room, you're going to have to bring a three-year-old
22	into this room to show me how to use the computer.
23	Somebody is going to have to show me how to use it.
24	MS. FRIEDMAN: Madame Chair, when I



discussed this with Mr. Aguilar after the last

meeting, I let him know that we would have work sessions before each of the meetings. And, so, one of those work sessions will probably be when those computers come in. And he would have someone here to train us.

We would also ask the Charter School

Division computer person, Susan Coates, to guide us
through to the SharePoint, where the information

will be located, also. And, so, we will have some
time.

But I will caution you that they are just the computers; they are not printers. There are no printers with the orders, unless you'd like to make that recommendation, or request.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, on the computers, just let me know what you want. But it's probably going to be a standardized set, like the Deputy said, HP. Well, I'm not so sure -- I've been through three HPs in the time that I've had one of these, so --

MS. LEWIS: Those last; they do.

COMMISSIONER GANT: But, anyway, I will look at the memory size and the Internet speed and all that kind of good stuff and put that information in. But I just want to know if you want HPs or -- I





1	don't even know what's out there anymore. If it's
2	not this, then it's not a computer, so
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm going to defer
4	to you guys that know what you're talking about,
5	because I don't have enough knowledge to tell you
6	what I want, so
7	THE CHAIR: But you can say, "I want a big
8	screen; I want a little screen."
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I agree with you.
10	These little teeny things about this big again, I
11	would rather have something with at least a screen
12	where because I do have a little trouble reading,
13	too.
14	THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Carr?
15	COMMISSIONER CARR: Madame Chair, I have
16	nothing else.
17	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Parker.
18	COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame, Chair,
19	Commissioners, I just want to say "thank you" for
20	the warm welcome. Many of you have given me some
21	side instruction on the smallest little things that
22	have been very helpful. So, just, thank you.
23	THE CHAIR: We're glad you're here. Let
24	me circle the wagons and come back
25	COMMISSIONER GANT: Whoa, whoa, whoa,



THE CHAIR: I thought you already had your 1 2 Well, I'm just going to skip you, anyway. 3 COMMISSIONER GANT: You probably would. 4 THE CHAIR: Please, go ahead. 5 COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioners, I did look at the website a little bit. And here's a 6 7 couple of comments; you think about it. 8 On the left side of the picture of the 9 empty chairs, what you do when you hit that "Home," 10 it takes you back to the PED. Well, once you're 11 there, you can't get back to the PEC website. So, we really don't need that on the site. 12 13 At the bottom of the picture, where it says "PEC 14 Home, " next to that, put a "PED." If we want to go to the PED website, that would enable us to come 15 16 back to PEC website real quick. 17 Also, I would suggest, on the right-hand 18 side of the district maps, put a contact, where you 19 just click that, and you can contact, quickly, the 20 Commissioners, or what -- you know, they've got a 21 "Contact Us" for the PED? We should have one for 22 the PEC, also. See what I'm saying? 23 MS. FRIEDMAN: I think so. 24 COMMISSIONER GANT: We need to, as a 25 Commission -- I looked at the mission/vision



statement. I think we need to sit down and look at that puppy, because that thing is older than I am, and that's old. All right?

And, then, there's some other changes. But those are the ones I saw, off the top.

Also, on the contract attorney, I'm quite happy with our arrangements now, and if we need an appeal attorney, then we hire one, as we need it.

We don't always -- we don't always need an attorney.

And, although, it would be a contract attorney, I guess, still, where does the money come from to pay for that? So I'm kind of wishy-washy.

We did the computers. Just to let you know, in the PSCOC business, one of the biggest items coming down from Charter Schools right now is a standardized lease assistance contract. And they've been working hard on that. They've had several public meetings on it.

The -- the Charter School folks, the -- have been there in force, and it's been an interesting -- and it's getting close to being something that will really help the charter schools in the long run, and the taxpayers. So, I think it's a good deal.

And the last question -- and tell me,





Ms. Lewis, Abby -- not to talk about this one.

The Columbus appeal, where are -- what is going on with that thing? Does anybody know? The Columbus Charter School appeal? What's going on with that thing?

MS. BARNES: Maybe an e-mail didn't get to you. I'm -- I've been appointed as the PEC attorney in that matter. And we -- I filed a motion to dismiss, that the appeal is not timely. That will be finished with the briefing -- and I know "briefing" is a big word -- but by Monday. And, then, I have asked Dan Hill to please address that issue prior to briefing the entire appeal.

So, I'll let you know the outcome of that. But the motion to dismiss because it was untimely has been filed. A response has been filed. And I just need to get one more affidavit that -- and, then, we're going to ask them to decide that first, and, then, the other dates will be set.

My only concern, Commissioner Gant, is

I -- you were on an e-mail. So, we just need to

make sure that there's not a -- letting you know

that already. So, I just need to make sure there's

not a problem with that.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, Julia,





there were some things going on with family, and I 1 2 may have missed it. It may have been there, but in the last three weeks, things have been going on. 3 4 MS. BARNES: Let me just tell you that I 5 have an e-mail account I've had since the beginning of time when I had e-mail, and I have trouble 6 7 getting rid of it because I like it. But it cannot send e-mail sometimes. 8 9 So, if you'll just double-check, so 10 there's good communication. 11 COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you. That's it. 12 THE CHAIR: Okay. My turn. I don't know 13 if any of the rest of you have been getting these 14 e-mails. Here is one. It says, "Ten new people" --I suppose they're "new," as opposed to "old" people. 15 16 But anyway, "Ten new people have recently 17 signed Albert Simmons'..." -- this phone is smarter than I am. 18 19 MS. LEWIS: It's Change.org. 20 THE CHAIR: "...have recently signed 21 Albert Simmons' petition, quote, New Mexico Public 22 Education Commission make commuter science courses 23 count toward graduation credit. Please help us make 24 computer science satisfy existing high school



graduation requirements for math or science."

There are now 290 signatures on this petition.

We don't have anything to do with that. I don't know. Other than delete, what I'm supposed to do with this? I hate for there to be 290 people out there thinking they sent this Commission a request to do something, and we're not even responding.

And, I also don't know how to stop this.

MR. GERLICZ: Welcome to the internet.

THE CHAIR: Pardon?

MR. GERLICZ: Welcome to the internet.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Quit reading your

13 | e-mail, huh?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRIEDMAN: And your e-mails are public on that website.

THE CHAIR: Oh, yeah. Well, I haven't done a thing. They just get deleted, because I get 10 or 15 of them a day, you know.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair, a thought I did have around that issue was there is constant and ubiquitous confusion about what the Public Education Commission does, why does it exist, what is its purpose. I get it all the time in our world. And on the website, we should be clear, have a statement about what it is -- just do as much communication as



we can. And even to the level of specificity, so 1 2 that, "The Public Education Commission does not make 3 policy, or, "does not do this, does not do this, 4 does do this, does do that." 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Great idea. But we have no control over a private individual who 6 7 doesn't even do his homework and starts a petition 8 without knowing what he's doing. We can't control 9 that. 10 THE CHAIR: Well, really, my intent for 11 bringing it up was to ask if there is someone in IT 12 that knows more about this than I do that could go 13 in and say, you know, "PEC doesn't do this, please 14 quit signing that petition, " or do I just ignore it 15 and go on? 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Ignore it. 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: Ignore it. 18 MR. GERLICZ: Just push "Like." 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: Just "Like" it. 20 THE CHAIR: This is e-mail, not Facebook. 21 And I don't like it; so, there. Okay. All right. 22 I just wanted you to know. 23 The other thing I wanted to say today was 24 we've talked a lot about the settlement agreement



that we signed today. And I don't want to get into

territory that I shouldn't; so, Abby, keep me honest here.

There are things that the EC does that, by law, we, as -- either as a whole Commission or the EC, can do, like the conference call to set the agenda. If we get more people on there, then we have a quorum, and, you know, it has to be noticed and all that stuff.

This settlement business, one thing I'd like for everybody -- you probably already know this, but I want to be sure that you do.

Anytime the Public Education Commission differs from a recommendation of the CSD -- in other words, if CSD recommends that we approve an -- that an application be approved, and PEC decides to deny that application, then, at that point, PED/CSD does not provide legal assistance for us in that appeal.

They do, if we agree with the CSD's recommendation; for instance, Columbus Charter School. CSD recommended denying the application; we agreed. So, when they filed the appeal, Julia was assigned to handle that situation.

But on the Dream Dine', we voted contrary to the recommendation, so, that, at that point, a CSD or PED attorney -- it's a conflict of interest





for them to represent us.

In the past, they -- PED, in the form of General Counsel, has provided an attorney to represent us in that appeal. You heard me say why Abby is not representing us in appeal. That's a decision that the AG's office made. Fine. That's their business.

So, this time, my heartburn was not the amount of time that I and the other two officers spent on that settlement agreement and that Abby spent on it; she was a great deal of help. But my heartburn was that General Counsel did not appoint or retain an attorney to represent this Commission in that appeal until this week.

And you saw that gentleman walk in here and introduce himself -- was that Thursday? I'm losing track. I believe it was Thursday. There is a response document due on the 6th of December.

MS. LEWIS: Well, it's not due anymore.

THE CHAIR: Well, it was. It was. And, then, the hearing before the Secretary was scheduled for the 19th. How would you like to be an attorney to come into this situation with that little time to prepare?

It took two calls from me to Deputy





Secretary Aguilar and one call to the Secretary to get that attorney appointed for us. I was very disappointed that that happened the way it did.

If we're going to work together, and, certainly, we're all working hard to make that happen in a strong way, then everybody needs to be on board. And if PED General Counsel is responsible for assigning or retaining legal counsel for us in those particular appeals, then that needs to be done in a more timely manner, in my opinion.

I have said that to Deputy Secretary

Aguilar. I said it to the Secretary on the message

I left on her phone. And, now, I'm saying it to

you. And I don't know if it'll make any difference.

But I just wanted you all to know that I'm pretty

disappointed in that.

I also thank Commissioner Carr -- pardon me -- Commissioner Bergman and Commissioner Gant, and Commissioner Carr, too -- but particularly, the other EC members -- for all the work and time they put in on that settlement. It was work that was well worth the time.

But I don't like working -- I don't like doing things that you all don't know about at the time it's going on. But if that's the way it has to





```
be, then that's the way it has to be, and we'll try
 1
 2
     to bring you all the information we can as soon as
 3
     we can.
              Okay?
 4
                           I just wanted to let you know
               MS. LEWIS:
 5
     that if -- in the future, if you want to call a
     special meeting, then that might be an option, to
 6
 7
     bring the rest of Commission in. But it is
 8
     difficult -- of course, I'm a big fan of the Open
     Meetings Act. But it does make legal representation
10
     a little bit challenging, because I can't
11
     necessarily, all the time, speak with you.
                                                 I can
12
     extend you information, but we can't have a
13
     discussion with a quorum and myself, even if it's
     "Reply All E-mails."
14
                           That's why you'll see, at the
     bottom of my e-mails, "Please do not Reply All."
15
16
               So if it's the pleasure of the Commission
17
     that, going forward, there is more involvement by
     the full Commission, I just want to offer special
18
19
     meetings as a possible solution.
20
               THE CHAIR:
                           Okay. Thank you for that.
21
     And, of course, those have their own built-in
22
     problems.
23
               MS. LEWIS:
                           right.
24
               THE CHAIR:
                           So, anyway, anyone else have
25
                                   I thank you all for
     anything to bring before us?
```



being here, for all the work you got done.

MR. GERLICZ: Madame Chair.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Gerlicz.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GERLICZ: Nothing new, but a reiteration of what I hope that Julia has started between us in these review of forms, which are all terribly exciting work, but important and necessary.

THE CHAIR: Remember, we asked for them.

MR. GERLICZ: They're terribly important and necessary. And "exciting" may be a stretch. But necessary, certainly, for us to do our work better. But what I want to thank Julia for is, in that process, in approving the forms, to wedge out a little bit to include more dialogue about on what basis do we make decisions, on what basis do we work together, and how we do that.

And germane to your point, Madame Chair, whenever PEC decides something different than CSD, it is an indication that we're not aligned, we're off. And it may be okay, and we certainly want to close that gap, if there's a gap. And just some continued dialogue about what we're looking for, how we vote, how we -- what the PEC would like from us, et cetera, the more we can do that, the more professional we're going to be, and the more aligned



1	we're going to be. So, thank Julia for that
2	opportunity.
3	MS. BARNES: Madame Chair, do you want me
4	to give this brief overview of what was discussed at
5	the working session? Do you want me to
6	THE CHAIR: Are you going to distribute
7	those?
8	MS. BARNES: I can distribute those, and I
9	can do it, just in five minutes just to recap. Or
10	they can read it.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let them read it.
12	Most of us were there, anyway.
13	THE CHAIR: And while Julia's doing that,
14	I want to wish everybody a Merry Christmas, Happy
15	Holidays.
16	Anything else to come before this
17	Commission? The Chair would entertain a motion to
18	adjourn.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: So move.
20	COMMISSIONER CARR: So move.
21	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
22	THE CHAIR: We're adjourned.
23	(Proceedings in recess at 2:35 p.m.)
24	
2.5	



1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
6	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
7	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
8	transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW
9	MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State
LO	of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the matter
11	therein stated.
12	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
13	hand on December 27, 2013.
14	
15	
16	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
17	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
18	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	



Job No.: 9022K