1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	MEETING OF THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	PEC MEETING, AGENDA ITEMS June 27, 2012
12	9:32 a.m. Mabry Hall
13	Jerry Apodaca Education Building 300 Don Gaspar
14	Santa Fe, New Mexico
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: Sally Peters, RPR, New Mexico CCR 57 Bean & Associates, Inc.
22	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1630
23	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
24	
25	(4465K) SP









1	CHAIR GARRISON: Good morning, everyone.
2	The New Mexico Public Education Commission meeting,
3	Wednesday, June 27, 2012, is hereby called to order.
4	We will begin with a roll call from Secretary Eugene
5	Gant.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
7	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Here.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Here.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
11	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Here.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
17	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Here.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
19	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Here.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
21	CHAIR GARRISON: Here.
22	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison,
23	you have a full quorum.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: We will now have the
25	Pledge of Allegiance. Carolyn Shearman, Vice Chair,



and then the salute to the New Mexico flag,

Commissioner Michael Canfield.

(The Pledge of Allegiance and the Pledge to the New Mexico flag were led by Commissioners Shearman and Canfield.)

CHAIR GARRISON: Our statement of aspiration will be by Commissioner Millie Pogna, and I will allow her a point of personal privilege after that.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Heavenly Father, we thank Thee, Father, for the safe travel of the commissioners, our staff, and visitors to the meeting this morning and for their safe return home. We ask your guidance to make the best decisions for the children of New Mexico.

Father, bless our nation in these perilous times and watch over our brave young men and women in the service of our country. We ask for their safe return home. We thank Thee. Amen.

Mr. Chairman, my point of personal privilege, I know that the commissioners can hardly wait to get this information, but today is my one year date of being rushed to the hospital with a horrible vicious attack of shingles. And so I want you to know I am healing a little at a time, but



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it's going to take several years. It takes a long 1 2 But I know that you wanted to know that. time. 3 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner 4 Pogna. 5 For the record, Deputy Secretary Paul 6 Aguilar is in attendance. 7 Good morning, sir. DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Good morning. 8 9 CHAIR GARRISON: And welcome. 10 Item No. 4 is approval of the agenda. Chair will entertain a motion. 11 12 SECRETARY GANT: So moved. 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Moved by Commissioner 15 Gant, seconded by Commissioner Carr. All those in 16 favor say aye. Opposed no. The agenda is approved 17 unanimously. 18 Item No. 5 is approval of the minutes of 19 the March 9, 2012, and special meeting on June 8, 20 Have all the commissioners had a chance to review the minutes? If so, the Chair will entertain 21 22 a motion. Commissioner Canfield. 23 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: So moved, Mr. 24 Chair. CHAIR GARRISON: Moved by Commissioner 25



-1	
1	Canfield.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
4	Carr. Is there any discussion at all?
5	All those in favor say aye. Opposed no.
6	The minutes are approved.
7	Item No. 6 is open forum. It's a timed
8	item for 9:45, so we're going to go ahead and move
9	and get as far as we can on item No. 7, which is the
10	PEC budget for fiscal year 2012-2013.
11	Paul Aguilar, New Mexico Public Education
12	Department Deputy Secretary of Finance and
13	Operations. Good morning, sir.
14	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Good morning,
15	Mr. Chairman, members of the commission. How you
16	doing this morning?
17	Beverly, do you have those
18	MS. FRIEDMAN: It's in your book, No. 7.
19	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,
20	yesterday we visited briefly regarding the needs of
21	the commission for FY '13 which starts on Sunday at
22	12:01. So since I won't see you all before then,
23	happy new year.
24	The budget, the proposed budget before you
0.5	



is approximately about \$20,000 greater than --

actually about \$15,000 greater than the budget that the PEC had this year. This year the PEC expended about \$55,000, and we know this was a tight year.

There are a couple of things for consideration. The budget right now is set at \$70,000 we are proposing for discussion today. The one thing I wanted to let the commission know is that the department continues to struggle a little bit with filling positions. We are in the process of posting about 30 positions this coming week, and so we're hoping to get our staffing up, including three positions for the Charter School Division. So we're looking to increase that staffing.

But that being said, it takes a little bit of time for positions to post, interview, be filled, people give notices, and come here. So we do expect that there will be some vacancy savings that will be realized in the agency budget throughout the year.

And so I think the \$70,000 is a good starting point.

We do recognize that there are additional expenses this year, because you have a number of new applications as well as a number of renewals coming before you. So we fully expect this number will increase. Just for budget purposes as we start the fiscal year, I am proposing this number here.



In the paperwork before you, it sets about \$25,000 for PEC travel. That includes travel to the meetings, community input hearings, and also you have a number of folks that work as liaisons. As an example, I work with Commissioner Gant on the Public School Public Outlay Council. So we are proposing a budget of about \$25,000 for travel. That's a bit higher than this year and doesn't account for the fact that we're missing one commissioner and anticipating an appointment. We feel that would budget your travel for your regular work.

Item No. 2, mailing printing business cards and supplies. We removed that as a budget item. We will cover those costs from the agency general fund general supplies allocation. So if you want a specific line item, we can discuss it, but I think we have been pretty good in supporting Bev and whatever she needs for you guys. So I think that we can continue to do that.

Then because of the community input hearings in August, the September approval and denial meetings, the December renewal meetings, and other things, we are proposing about \$15,000 for professional transcription services, our court reporters. We think that is a little bit light, but



we think that will serve for the first six months at least of the fiscal year. And then we can evaluate it right after the second quarter and determine if we need to increase that funding a bit.

Then finally -- and I wanted this as a discussion item for Mr. Chairman is we are providing -- we have received a bill from NASBE for \$12,000 roughly for your membership fees or membership dues for the current fiscal year. This last year we were able to negotiate with NASBE, and we paid about \$6,500 plus the travel for, I think, two members to travel to the national conference.

I do want to put out there that the

Charter School Division and the department are

members -- and I'm going to get this wrong, so

correct me, Kelly -- the National Association of

Charter School Authorizers -- did I get it right?

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, sir.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: That's amazing. That the department and the Charter School Division belong to that, and by association so does the Public Education Commission, so there would be no fees to be paid for that. I know that the commission, prior to the constitutional amendment, was very closely aligned with the National School



Boards Association. And so we're asking if that's your pleasure, but we're asking for consideration that you might want to consider attending the -- what's the acronym? NACSA conferences, and associated with them, as opposed to the NASBE, but I want to leave that up for discussion for you and the commissioners.

Then finally, Mr. Chairman, we are proposing about an \$18,000 allocation for a PEC attorney that will be on call for the Chair during the August community input hearings and then would be available during your approval and denial hearings and renewal hearings coming up later.

So those being the allocations, Mr.

Chairman, we are proposing, as a starting point with the agreement, that we would revisit it after the second quarter, of about a \$70,000 budget to start the fiscal year with. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I would stand for questions.

CHAIR GARRISON: I would just recommend that perhaps we revisit after the first quarter so we can print up for the second half.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, that would be fine. So I'm to going suggest that we consider visiting with the executive committee in --



the first quarter ends September 30th. I would propose meeting November 1st. We need about 30 days to get the books for the quarter in order, and then if we meet November -- the first couple of weeks of November to look at that, that would be fine.

CHAIR GARRISON: Any questions by commissioners or comments? I just have one comment. The budget numbers of 2010 from documentation that I had received was \$335,000 for the PEC. So it seems to me a bit of a shell game, and I think that's what the Public Education Department has had to do just to stay afloat with all the turnover and trying to fill positions, and they had a reduction in force last year, and so it continues. So we commend you on your hard work to make ends meet really.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just want the commission to know, when you talk about the 300,000, you are talking about staff costs, and we are not reporting that. We certainly can. The majority of staff, if not all of the staff, other than the exempt position that's now vacant -- still waiting to be filled -- are funded out of the two percent money. So we are using the money generated by the charter schools to operate



and oversee and manage the charter schools as well as the commission so --

CHAIR GARRISON: You had mentioned in last year's December meeting about that two percent, that PEC was going to begin tracking that. What is the total figure on that? If you don't have it now, could you get that to us? I'm just curious.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: In round numbers, I think it's about a million dollars that's generated, and then I could give you the breakdown for Charter School Division PEC costs. Bear in mind that also we do support the work of the commission and the Charter School Division through payroll and through all these other things, so there are some folks that are funded partially through that. But I can provide a breakdown at your next meeting.

CHAIR GARRISON: I would like to see it. Thank you.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: I want to mention the membership in NASBE, I have a question for Beverly Friedman. Are we covered in our membership to attend the national conference this year, or do we need to pay this 12,000 to attend that national conference in October?





MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Garrison, your dues for 2012 are covering any travel during this year.

CHAIR GARRISON: Okay. So hypothetically the Chair could attend the NASBE conference in October, and then we could cease membership, or I could try to negotiate with the new executive director. I think it's John Kohlmoos. I don't know how to pronounce his name.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Garrison, the dues are paid from January to December. That's the calendar year for NASBE. And so membership does cover that, and you can attend that meeting, their national conference in October.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. What I would --

17 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, 9:45.

CHAIR GARRISON: Oh, timed item. I'll just finish my sentence. What I would recommend is perhaps the executive committee, with Gene Gant and Carolyn Shearman, consider going to the NACSA conference. I would like to attend the NASBE conference, to consider going to that, and I think that should be our priority, which won't be my call in the future, to do the work of charter schools.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I will suspend item No. 7, and we will move to our open forum.

Item No. 6 on the agenda is the open forum. The executive summary states, "Public comments and observations are limited to education policy and governance issues, as well as the strategic plan for education. And I see that Beverly gave me the sign-in sheet, and we have no one signed up for open forum.

Is there anyone that missed this that wanted to speak before the commission? Seeing none, we will move back to item No. 7, and open the floor for discussion on the budget with Deputy Secretary Aguilar.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, members, I do recommend that we go to the NACSA conference. I have attended that once, and that was four years ago, but it's very worthwhile. It really is. You get to meet the people and hear a bunch of ideas from across the United States on authorization. So I would strongly recommend we do that, and get involved and go along with the CSD when their staff goes to that meeting so we can interchange ideas.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIR GARRISON: I like it.
2	Any other comments from commissioners?
3	Deputy Aguilar.
4	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I
5	will stand for questions.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: Any questions of the
7	deputy secretary?
8	Sir, we thank you for your time. We
9	appreciate it.
10	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you.
11	CHAIR GARRISON: Moving on, item No. 8 is
12	discussion on Southwest Secondary Learning Center's
13	Extended Learning Program, and this again, is Paul
14	Aguilar, New Mexico Public Education Department
15	Deputy Secretary, Finance and Operations.
16	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,
17	thank you very much.
18	First of all, I apologize. We had
19	expected to have the final report done today, and it
20	is in final editing. We are hesitant to make
21	comment about the report in its entirety until we
22	have an opportunity to have an exit conference with
23	Southwest Secondary and also with Albuquerque Public
24	Schools, their authorizer.
25	So, Mr. Chairman, with that I would make a



couple of comments just about the circumstance, and we are prepared to distribute, once we have our exit conference with APS and with the charter school, we will distribute copies of the audit -- not the audit -- the review for the audit directly to each commissioner, and we will then post it on our website.

But, Mr. Chairman, with that, the issue at hand, one of the issues at hand, I think, is the challenge of that credit recovery for students, and challenge of that credit recovery is not necessarily isolated to Southwest Secondary. Many districts around the state, including Bernalillo APS, Las Cruces, and others, including charter schools and private schools, offer credit recovery programs.

Online virtual or distance learning options, as you know, are expanding rapidly, and one of the issues that policy makers need to take a look at is to look at the regulatory environment applicable to these courses. The distance learning rule that's -- I'm sorry. The distance learning rule directly applies to this situation, and it establishes certain requirements which are not necessarily being met by Southwest Secondary or other of the virtual charter schools.



The rule requires that schools that provide distance learning courses statewide shall enter into written agreements with students' enrolling districts or charter schools prior to providing distance learning courses. And among other things, the rule provides generally the following parameters: That if a school district or charter school determines that a student fails to comply with the provisions of this rule, the student may be denied credit for that distance learning course.

In order to participate in the distance learning course, a student must have the written permission of the student's enrolling district, charter school, or state institution. And all local school boards and charter school governing authorities should have adopted written policies providing for students access to distance learning courses.

And so part of the investigation looked at these pieces, Mr. Chairman, and identified a number of findings, I believe, that will be part of the final report. It's important to note that the materials used by the Southwest Secondary are on the PED's approved instruction materials list as of



2008, and at that time the department found that the E 2020, which is utilized by a number of districts statewide, is adequately rigorous and in line with the state standards.

And so, Mr. Chairman, really those are all the comments that I have. I, again, apologize that we don't have the final edits done, but we have committed to both publicly in meetings with the Legislative Education Study Committee here and others that we are trying to refine our audit procedures to be very uniform. And part of that is to show the schools and districts the courtesy of having an entrance conference and an exit conference on any of these audits.

So, as I mentioned, we are in final edits. I would suspect that we would schedule the meetings with the charter school within the next week or so, and once we have those meetings, then we'll make the report. So with that, Mr. Chairman, I stand for questions.

CHAIR GARRISON: I'll begin. I just want to mention the question submitted by the Public Education Commission to Kelly Callahan with the CSD, will those be integrated in the report and discussed in the exit interview?



1	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,
2	we did take all of the questions that were
3	submitted, and they were part of the evaluation of
4	the specific situation with Southwest Secondary, and
5	we expect to have all those questions answered for
6	you.
7	CHAIR GARRISON: Excellent. And as you
8	know, that question stems from the Southwest
9	Secondary Learning Center. Their charter is a
10	contract with the PEC, and so it's imperative that
11	those questions are answered to make sure that that
12	contract is held to.
13	Any other questions from commissioners?
14	Comments?
15	Seeing none, Deputy Secretary, thank you
16	so much.
17	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,
18	thank you. I have a couple of meetings today. I
19	will be here for a while, I will be in and out, but
20	I will be in the building. Beverly can get ahold of
21	me at any time, so I'll be in and out.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.
23	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr.
24	Chairman.
25	CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 9, New Mexico



Public Schools Insurance Authority, NMPSIA, 1 presentation on school violence. Ms. Julie Garcia, 2 3 regional director of NMPSIA, Poms & Associates. 4 MS. GARCIA: Good morning. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: Good morning. 6 MS. GARCIA: Commissioner Pogna sits on 7 the NMPSIA board. CHAIR GARRISON: Is that already pressed 8 9 down? Just scoot it towards you. (A discussion was held off the record.) 10 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Brown, thank you. 12 MS. GARCIA: My name is Julie Garcia. Ι 13 work with the New Mexico Public School Insurance Authority. As you all know, Commissioner Pogna sits 14 15 on the NMPSIA board and asked that I come and do a 16 presentation on school violence. 17 We provide risk management services for 18 all 89 districts and all of the charter schools, and 19 as a result, one of the issues that we have been 20 watching is the potential for school violence or the potential for serious crises to happen in the 21 22 schools. 23 We sent a survey out about two months ago 24 to all the districts -- we did not send it to the



charter schools yet, have not -- and asked the

25

districts if they are complying with the statute 1 2 that requires that they do lockdown and evacuation drills, which are really crisis response drills. 3 4 Our guesstimate right now is that only about 5 50 percent of the districts are doing those drills. 6 They are doing the fire drills, but they are not 7 doing the lockdown and other crisis response drills. So as a result of that, we have gone out and we have 8 9 started talking to school districts and auditing 10 their crisis response.

And I can say that the schools that are doing the drills are doing, for the most part, a very good job. They are working with law enforcement. They are working with the fire department. The schools that are not doing the drills have no communication mechanism at all with law enforcement or the fire department in the event that there is a crisis.

we worried about that. There are several factors that play into the potential for serious crisis in a school. One of them is identification and response to troubled kids. From my travels around the state, I can tell you that there are some issues there.

The schools are doing an adequate job of identifying



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

troubled kids, but the community resources available are lacking, if existent at all.

The second issue is gangs. We know that we have gang issues in New Mexico, and we are seeing an increase in some gang issues in parts of the state that we had not seen before. We are seeing gang issues in small communities that we had not seen before.

Drugs and weapons. If you ask a kid in any public school in the United States how quickly can you get a weapon, the timeframe ranges from two minutes to ten minutes. And again, depending on where you live in the United States, that timeframe is pretty small, and in New Mexico we know that there are communities where that timeframe hits that two minute mark.

The fourth factor is bullying. We know that bullying is a huge issue with respect to school violence. We can look at every case, and I'm going to go way out on a limb, and say a hundred percent of the cases of school violence where a kid has brought or when a child has brought a weapon into school, and all of those cases can be related back to bullying in some form.

So we have been -- the New Mexico school



insurance authority, although we do not cover student-to-student issues, we have been tracking it so that we can get a handle on exactly what percentage we have that might result in some kind of assault or violence.

The hazing case in New Mexico was the largest hazing case in the United States. So that is also an issue that we are watching and also an issue that will result in school violence.

So that, combined with that less than 40 percent of the schools are actually conducting emergency drills, NMPSIA has decided to dedicate the next 12 months to working with schools on their crisis response and trying to get a handle on all of the areas that we have mentioned that insurance companies and insurance authorities all over the country are worried about.

So I stand for any questions.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: My questions are in a slightly different area, so I won't bring them up yet if anyone has questions concerning about specifically Ms. Garcia's presentation.

I would like to ask, if it's permissible, some questions on leases that I know you all also



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have quite a bit to do with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I have a question.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Lopez.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you for your presentation.

Do you do training or anything with school districts?

MS. GARCIA: Yes, Commissioner Lopez, we do. We provide training on all of the areas that I mentioned. It's all part of the premiums, so it's free to the schools.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: So do you do any training that has to do with spotting bullying, because you talk to kids and they say it's going on under their noses, and they don't see it.

MS. GARCIA: Yes, Commissioner, we do.

One of the issues I see with respect to bullying in

New Mexico is that we are kind of starting in the

middle of the process as opposed to starting at the

beginning of the process. There are very few school

districts that have actually surveyed the issue, and

that's a question I always ask is have you conducted

a survey. And included in the survey, of course,

are the students, and the students can give you the

best information about the level of bullying, how



it's happening, where it's happening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

School districts are kind of missing that, and as a result, they are putting in programs that are not necessarily effective, or they are trying to buy off-the-shelf programs that don't fit in a particular school or don't fit in a particular district. So part of our training is if you're going to put in a policy, do it right. Look for the issues and address those issues specifically rather than try to go in with some shotgun program that may not work.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: And do you have all the schools on a timeline or something so you try to get to all of them?

MS. GARCIA: We are trying to get to all of them, yes, but we are not on any kind of timeline. There are some school districts that are working really aggressively at bullying programs, and we are working with those teams that they have developed. But for the most part, most of the schools are really struggling.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Sure. Are there any programs that you can recommend that are best practices kinds of programs?

MS. GARCIA: Commissioner Lopez, in my



opinion, the best program that's out there is a 1 2 program that was developed by Dr. Dan Olweus. 3 Olweus program statistically across the country has 4 been shown to be the most effective. It's a 5 combination of a curricular based program and a lot 6 of community information. It follows all the best 7 practices doing surveys, monitoring, watching the effectiveness, evaluating the effectiveness, and 8 9 then correcting and changing. 10 CHAIR GARRISON: Can you repeat that name? 11 MS. GARCIA: It's Dr. Dan Olweus, 12 O-L-W-E-U-S. 13 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: And the name of his 15 program is? I know they are all called 16 anti-bullying, but let's get creative here. 17 MS. GARCIA: I believe it's just the 18 Olweus Bullying Program. 19 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. 20 CHAIR GARRISON: Before I get to Commissioner Canfield, I want to mention another 21 22 best practice that came out of the public safety 23 field is Verbal Judo. Dr. George Thompson created 24 it in public safety, and they now have programs for



schools, for children, for corporations, and it is

1 solid. It is solid.

MS. GARCIA: If I can just give input in

3 that, Mr. Chairman?

4 CHAIR GARRISON: Absolutely.

5 MR. GARCIA: We also do Verbal Judo

6 training.

7 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Great.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr.

10 Chair.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for your report. I just need a little clarification. You said earlier that some schools are doing a great job and some are not. The question I have is: Is there a public document that depicts the schools that are doing well and maybe the ones that aren't? And then another point of clarification. You said that you haven't rolled out to charter schools; is that correct? And are there plans for you to address the charter school issue?

And then one more in the best practices, is there a process for you to distribute those best practices not only to other schools but as well as charter schools? Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. GARCIA: Commissioner Canfield, let me see if I can remember all your questions. First of





all, is there a report that shows the schools that are doing a good job and the schools that are not, not that I'm aware of. We just started the process of evaluating the schools. Whether or not we actually develop a report at the end of the year, we haven't decided yet, because we're just trying to get a feel for what's out there and what it's going to take for us to put together an effective program.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Need some help?
MS. GARCIA: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: The charter school issue, you say you haven't rolled out the charter schools. Do you plan on doing that?

MS. GARCIA: Yes. Our goal is to get through all of the districts, all 89 districts, this school year, and then next school year start into the charter schools. However, if we get a request from a charter school for help, then we're going to divert resources and work with the charter school closely. But the plan is to start looking at the charter schools next year.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: One more, sorry.

I should have given it to you one at a time. The process in which you share best practices, if there is one or could you develop one to where everyone



could get best practices as quickly as possible? 1 2 MS. GARCIA: Commissioner Canfield, NMPSIA 3 puts out a quarterly newsletter and we do regional 4 In fact, we start the regional meetings meetings. 5 next week, and I'm going to add an article in the quarterly newsletter about the Olweus program and 6 7 the practices that are included in that or the steps that are included. And we will also bring it up as 8 9 a website issue that people can go to and get 10 information. 11 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Terrific. Thank 12 you. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir. 15 Any other questions? Commissioner Shearman. 16 17 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I apologize for 18 bringing up a separate issue. I appreciate your 19 It was very enlightening. report. 20 Recently I have been made aware by 21 Mr. Irving, who is on your board and is president of 22 the Artesia school board on which I serve, that 23 NMPSIA is encountering some difficulties with types 24 of leases or clauses that are in leases that some of



our charter schools are executing with landlords.

25

have a letter that you provided to Mr. Irving. It's very well done, but I have to admit, I don't understand all of it. You have terms in here that I just don't really understand at all.

The last one that you mentioned, though, was one that certainly caught my attention. Lease agreements include maintenance requirements which under other agreements would be the responsibility of the landlord, such as roof maintenance, so forth.

Could I ask, Mr. Chairman, that we ask Ms. Garcia to come to our training session, tentatively scheduled for August the 8th, and explain more of these issues to us so that when we're looking at these types of leases, or at least the language in these leases concerning new applications, that we have a little bit more information to work with. There are some issues apparently here that are causing NMPSIA some concern that could potentially cost money.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. I think we are getting off topic of the presentation of Ms. Garcia, so we will table that.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.

CHAIR GARRISON: Any other questions of

Ms. Garcia? I have one. It seems we have the world





at our fingertips, right? The Olweus program -- I don't know if I'm pronouncing the name right -- but it seems that they are teaching or training administrators, parents, teachers, every adult involved in the school system and people who would actually implement an anti-bullying program. Is there anything for the children, to have some learning objectives for the children as far as the way they carry themselves with dignity and respect, kind of following the Verbal Judo?

I am an instructor in Verbal Judo for public safety for the Bernalillo County Metropolitan Detention Center, and it really does work wonders, and I'm preaching to the choir in that regard, but could you tell me a little bit more how that rolls out at the school and how you're tracking that?

MS. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, the Olweus program, one of the critical components of any effective bullying program is having a curriculum integrated into each day or into each week that works with the students on respect and dignity, so that you're hitting the victim, you're hitting the bully, and you're also hitting the bystanders or talking to them. I guess hitting is the wrong word when we talk about bullying. You are talking to all



three groups.

And you are giving them skills that they can then use either to correct behavior if they're a bully, or to defend themselves if they're a victim, or to stand up if they're a bystander.

One of the pieces in the Olweus program that I think is different and is a departure from everything else that we do, Dan Olweus developed his program. He is actually from Sweden, and he was the first one in the world to actually look at bullying in schools.

When he went out and did the survey, the majority of bullying happens at elementary school level. In the United States, if you look at the surveys that we have done on bullying, we don't start tracking bullying until sixth grade. I tell people that's because in the United States, we don't have little baby bullies. We only have sixth grade and older bullies, which we know is not true.

So the Olweus program takes those concepts and kind of changes them and puts them into what I call "kid speak," and embeds them in curricula, so kids at an early age, when they are developing behaviors and developing positive behaviors, they become integral parts of their lives, which is a



little different than other programs.

With respect to using some of the concepts that we have got in Verbal Judo and teaching those to little kids, I think that's very easy to do. It's do you have somebody on staff or do you have a commitment by the community and the educational institution to buy into it and really do it well? And my experience has been even the schools that are very dedicated to bullying programs have issues with that community commitment piece. Why are you teaching my child this? Why are you -- why are you labeling my child as a bully?

CHAIR GARRISON: What are the expected outcomes of your services with the NMPSIA?

MS. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, with NMPSIA in respect to bullying, we are pushing for school districts to have a program. Whether it's the Olweus program or it's a different program, have a program, be committed to it, and using the Nike term, "Just do it." You have got to walk the talk. You have got to have the curricula in place. You have to have the staff that is committed to the curricula. You have to have the community backing to do the program. And then just do it. Go, find out if it's effective, and if it's not effective,



then back up, relook at your program, say, what's working? What's not working? Change what's not working and start again.

There are a lot of school districts that have put in various -- kind of put in respect programs that have different names, depending on the day of the week, and they get as far as maybe middle school, and then it just drops off, and they don't continue through high school. It's like, well, you should know this stuff by now, so we're not going to continue with the curricula, and it's got to be K through 12.

So what we're trying to do is get information out, get schools committed to some program, and actually get involved in some program and then to measure the effectiveness of those programs.

CHAIR GARRISON: There have been a lot of studies for K through 16, and I have expanded that to K through grave. We'll see how everything rolls out, right?

MS. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, one of the other pieces that we have added in is workplace bullying.

CHAIR GARRISON: Oh, it's everywhere.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GARCIA: It is. It's everywhere. And workplace bullying in schools sets the standard for the kids. If the kids see the staff bullying each other, then why should they act any differently.

CHAIR GARRISON: Then it's almost like a sexual harassment claim, that it depends on what the receiver received, and so it really is a gray area.

I'll tell you a funny little story. I went to visit South Valley Charter School. The head of the school at the time, Mr. Marks, was a teacher at Rio Grande High School where I attended. So there was this classmate that I had that I have always had the highest respect for. She was an athlete. She was a top student. She had helped me through biology, because I just thought those big tables were for me to lay out and rest before football practice.

And I hadn't seen her in years. I went up to her and I said, Julie, how are you? Are you going to go to the reunion? There's going to be our 20 year reunion.

She just looks at me. She says, No. She says, Do you know what I remember about you?

I was, Oh, gosh.

She goes, You talked me into doing some



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pullups on these low hanging bars in the back of the gym, and I fell flat on my back and you just laughed and ran off. And I was like, I have no recollection of that.

In my view, in my feeling about our relationship, so I thought, was just utmost respect and reciprocal. And it wasn't. So you have a -- you're taking on quite the field there, but I appreciate your presentation.

If there are any other questions from commissioners, I welcome them.

Commissioner Pogna.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes. I just wanted to make a comment. Julie makes presentations to us at the NMPSIA meetings, and they are excellent, usually accompanied by PowerPoint or overheads, and on issues taking place in the schools, in the districts, and then compared nationwide. It's always very interesting, and I wish we could invite her more often to hear about what is going on in the public schools. Some of it is pretty scary.

Thank you for coming, Julie.

MS. GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: If there are no other questions, we want to thank Ms. Julie Garcia. Thank



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 you so much for the presentation. Very informative.

I'm going to call a five minute recess so people can stuff the meter with dollars.

(A recess was taken.)

CHAIR GARRISON: Call the meeting back into session. Item No. 10, annual report from the New Mexico School for the Arts.

Ms. Cindy Montoya, principal, welcome.

MS. MONTOYA: Good morning, everybody.

Commissioners, Public Education Department, I would

11 | like to introduce my staff. Starting with

12 Mr. Charles Galbraith, our business manager;

13 Ms. Adelma Hnasko, our director of artistic

14 programs; Mr. Riis Gonzales, our director of

15 institutional advancement; and Ms. Chole Phillips,

16 our interim administrative assistant.

those achievements were outlined.

17 CHAIR GARRISON: Good morning.

MS. MONTOYA: Now, we have concluded our second year with students. As you can see in the Art Spring, if you had the opportunity to look at the Art Spring document -- it's this document --

As promised, this year the report was smaller and the data more organized and in a format that you could better read. So I'll entertain

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



questions that you might have had.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: Any questions from the commissioners?

Do you have a presentation of the report?

MS. MONTOYA: I do not have a presentation other than I can review it with you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Would you review it?

MS. MONTOYA: Sure.

CHAIR GARRISON: In my executive summary it states that you would present an annual report on the school. The report will contain demographic information about applicants, admitted students, and currently enrolled students, including the counties and the congressional districts represented. Data regarding socioeconomic status, gender, and ethnicity is also included as well as art institute residential and outreach information. We would love to hear it all.

MS. MONTOYA: So it's all in that report starting with our introduction. And what we note is that while we have had many successes and the reason that we welcome this opportunity to present is that it gives us the opportunity to really focus on our goals and make sure we are on the path to meeting those goals.



So one of the most important areas that we want to outline is that we want to improve our representation from the congressional districts.

That is the goal set forth in the charter, and that's the one area that, as we go through the presentation, you might see that we need to focus on.

So the first page after the introduction was our mission and statement of purpose. That has not changed. Last year you pointed out to us that there was a discrepancy between what was on the web page and what was in the charter, and that has been corrected.

You'll see that first Schedule A is congressional district representation. It includes the current student body, applicants for the spring, admitted students, and projected enrollment.

Congressional district three has the largest enrollment, but we have increased in one and two, and we have made a concerted effort in the application and outreach programs to focus on improved access for all students to the application and inquiry process.

And we are going to be more data driven monthly, looking at the number of inquiries from



each congressional district. If it's not high enough in one and two, then we will make plans to get to those areas so that we know that students know how to access our school.

One other interesting thing that we found that we had at least six students whose family decided to relocate to Santa Fe or had their students live with people that they know in the Santa Fe area. So I think this year we're going to start gathering data on where -- when they apply, where they came from versus where they're living at the time of enrollment. Because we did have six students, one from Las Cruces, Taos, Abiquiu, and two from Albuquerque and one from Socorro who moved to Santa Fe, so it changes the congressional district numbers just a little.

We looked at it by county. That's your form B. We looked at it by county and, of course, we're showing that Santa Fe has the largest enrollment, and we're working on that as well. Starting to focus our admissions and outreach by county, breaking that down.

Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR GARRISON: Question from

25 Commissioner Shearman.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I did notice that not all counties are represented here or are listed here. So I assume if a county is not listed, that means there is no representation.

MS. MONTOYA: Correct.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I also notice Chaves County is listed and Roswell is listed. Why is it handled in that way?

MS. MONTOYA: Okay. Let's see. I'm not sure I can answer that question. This is the data that we collected from the students reporting, but I can find the answer for you.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So if I'm reading the chart correctly, Chaves County has one but Roswell has two.

MS. MONTOYA: It's just an error in our chart in the data. We will correct that.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: If I might make a request in the future, it certainly would help me to understand a little better if every county was listed and perhaps even on a map.

MS. MONTOYA: Right. We did have that in the form, but we decided to keep it consistent, but we can provide you with that in the map form.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: That would be





helpful to me. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I have a question related to your outreach program, because I am from Roswell, and you have either one, based on that information, either one or two students from Roswell in your program. What exactly are you doing? I'm not sure that the folks in Roswell know that this school exists. I'm not sure that they know if they can make the arrangements for either the live-in or for those kind of arrangements. Not everybody can do that, I understand.

So how are you trying to reach out to these -- we're 200 miles away -- how are you trying to reach out and make the citizens aware? Are you using newspapers? I have seen nothing in the newspaper. It's a suggestion I would make that an article be run in the newspapers around the state that tells about your program and who might benefit from it, and give some of these aspiring singers and dancers and artistic folks, at least give them the opportunity to try out. That's how you do it, don't you, tryouts?

MS. MONTOYA: Yes. It's by audition and portfolio reviews.





COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So that's just a suggestion I would make, because I suspect we have other people in Roswell that would benefit from this program.

MS. MONTOYA: Just so you know, we have had articles on some of our seniors in our local newspapers. And Ms. Hnasko could speak to this point better.

MS. ADELMA HNASKO: Chairman Garrison, members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to be here. We do have an outreach plan in place. We have had three students from Roswell and two of those graduated. In fact, our valedictorian was from Roswell this past year. We have one student who will be with us from Roswell next year.

Your point is an excellent one. We have had press releases in newspapers. We do have outreach plans in place. Roswell is one of the sites we do hope to target more next year. We have met with charter school representatives from the middle schools and also arts organizations, the museum, and other cultural representatives there. And we have a plan to be back in the Roswell area in autumn of this coming school year and look forward



to further marking the opportunity to students in that area.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Outstanding. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: If I might make a clarification, my question earlier regarding Chaves County and Roswell, since I am from that part of the state, I understand that Roswell is in Chaves County, but I'm not sure exactly what towns are in every other county. So perhaps I should clarify that I was asking about Roswell, because it is part of Chaves County. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner Shearman.

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, what is the biggest -- the numbers. You have a large number from Santa Fe, understood -- what is the biggest stumbling block besides the audition and all that for students to come to this school?

MS. MONTOYA: Well, I think, first of all, it's understanding we need to -- we have only been there two years, and families need to become comfortable with us, that this is a school that's



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



here and there students can leave home at the age of 14. We are working on our residential program to improve it, to make sure it's student centered, that it has the resources to keep a young 14-year-old boy or 14-year-old girl, safe, engaged while away from home. So I think as we have more successes, as we are here for a longer period of time, families will start feeling comfortable letting their teenagers leave home. Let's see.

MS. HNASKO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Gant, I notice in the final page of your packets, there is a map of New Mexico that does show the 34 communities from which we have students currently attending or who have attended school. And Cindy Montoya's point is absolutely on target. We know that we need to attract young people from all 33 counties, all three congressional districts. In order to do that, we have to demonstrate that we have a very strong residential program, as well as our rigorous academic and arts program, so that students can be living away from home.

I will note that we do have students return to their home families on the weekends, and for those students who travel from Las Cruces and other areas far from Santa Fe, we have a host family



system in place so that they live with host families in the Santa Fe and Albuquerque areas on the weekends. But the residential program is an area where we know we need to continue to invest significant resources.

We currently are matching public funds almost dollar for dollar with private support, and that money does go to our residential program, to our outreach, and to our arts programs. And we will continue to generate that private support in order to grow the arts and take really good care of these incredibly creative young people from throughout New Mexico. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MS. MONTOYA: Commissioner Gant, I think the other thing that we have been looking at is our application process, and we are looking at making sure that it's very accessible across all demographics. We are looking at a Spanish version, an English version, and making that inquiry more just accessible to all students who might want to be there.

Under the McKinney-Vento, we are making sure our homeless families are finding ways to get that into places, maybe a homeless shelter, public places for those students to have access to the



school. I am trying to think of the other part of the mission. We have increased our resources in admissions and outreach in terms of staff and nonmonetary resources, time, to just ensure we have an admissions process that's very accessible.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, the one piece I don't get is many of our families across the state are economically disadvantaged, and how can these students that can come from families that don't even meet the poverty level, federal or state poverty level, even consider affording to come to this school?

MS. MONTOYA: We provide -- if that family was in need, then the residential program would be covered if they came from a distance outside of Santa Fe. We look for resources to help provide their -- cover the cost of travel on the weekends. We look for resources, and we do provide those resources for students, for meals or whatever other expense they might need to have covered.

Also I can just tell you one student who was in that situation who had, when he came to us, had not had one lesson in music. After two years at New Mexico School for the Arts, he was admitted to a top program on a full scholarship for the academic



side of a music program.

So I know that we do have the ability to provide those resources to that student, and we do audition and take them based on promise, aptitude, and passion. And so when we take a student in, we spend our time, energy, and focus making sure they are successful. And in that regard, we spent less money on admissions last year, because we had this group of students that we had already made a commitment to, and we felt we had to give them our resources and full attention.

In hindsight, we need to do both. We need to have the funds available for a rigorous outreach program, an admissions program that ensures access to anybody who wants to, feels like they have that passion and aptitude. We have a commitment to meet that mission and the goals set forth in our charter. And I think next year, you will see -- we're hoping to see a difference in that representation from across the state. It's taken us two years working with students to really understand what we need to have a vibrant and successful residential program and a school for the arts as well as a high standard for academic excellence.

SECRETARY GANT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.



CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner

Gant.

I am curious about the residence program.

What outreach did you do? What are those families,
do they already have children in the school or are
they recruited from philanthropic organizations?

How does that work? I'm sure you have a varied --

MS. HNASKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And just to clarify, are you asking about the families that house students on the weekends?

CHAIR GARRISON: Those families.

MS. HNASKO: Those families thus far have all come from the New Mexico School for the Arts community itself, so they are the parents of students from the school from the Santa Fe or Albuquerque or Las Vegas, Española areas.

CHAIR GARRISON: Excellent.

MS. HNASKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Just an aside, I was thinking of Rotary. I am a Rotarian, and they take students that are from other countries. Exchange students is what they are. They visit different homes for a certain amount of time while they are here.

MS. HNASKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

also was a Rotary student and a Rotarian. I will add that there is so much value embedded in the exchange experience. Our students who live with families on the weekends are required to write a thank you note. They are required to learn about what it is to live with another family. How you use water, how you use resources, how you participate in chores and shared work. So there is a powerful opportunity there as well on weekends.

CHAIR GARRISON: Do you have a curriculum for that or is it just kind of basic rules of procedure?

MS. HNASKO: Mr. Chairman, we have basic rules. We have handouts that we provide, and we do teach all of our students about writing thank you notes. We have learned that many of our students have never written a note before. So we go through where the address is, where the return address. The stamp, in fact, many of our students didn't know where that was placed, and we go through the ingredients of what it is to write a thank you note.

We do that with our foundations, our sponsors, all of our benefactors, as well as families for the weekend, the host family program.

CHAIR GARRISON: Do you get any parental



involvement on suggestions for rules or just pretty much house rules? It's a personal thing. You are opening up your home to a stranger. I thought my role in life was to pull weeds, but that's because of my mom. But do they have any house rules that they interject with your --

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. HNASKO: What we have seen historically in the past two years is that students end up being paired with a family for the year or, you know, they visit that family often. And each family, as you state, does define the rules of that household and those do differ.

CHAIR GARRISON: I think that's wonderful. Thank you.

Any other questions?

I want to thank you for your presentation, and it was nice to meet the staff and continue your wonderful work.

> MS. MONTOYA: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 11, our reports from Public Education Commission Committees. will start with letter A, charter schools, Commissioner Vince Bergman.

> COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you, Mr.

> > REPORTING SERVICE



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman.

That's under tab 11, and I would suggest that all commissioners turn to that tab. There is a bunch of information that has been provided by CSD in there, and I would suggest you keep it. It's information we had requested in the past, and it's now there for you.

I'm going to just run down our agenda items as we discuss them.

Mr. Chairman and Commissioner Pogna, if I happen to overlook something, at the end feel free to cover whatever I did not cover.

You may remember that in the spring, sometime in the spring, Acting Director Callahan sent us an e-mail that the charter school start-up federal grants were gone. That's the \$800,000 or so that had been provided to the new charter schools we had approved each year that allowed them to get through their planning years. That money apparently is gone. There have been changes made at the federal Department of Education in the application process for those grants, and money was cut. And today Acting Director Callahan tells me there is no money there for the charter schools that we approve in this cycle. In September, the new applications



that we approve, they are not going to have start-up grant money, and they are going to apparently have to raise their own money. So that's an issue that they are going to have to deal with that those in the past have not had to deal with.

I know things like that at the federal level are always subject to change, but apparently that's going to be the way it is in the next year for these new charter schools. That's something they need to be aware of.

We then talked about the common core transition, because questions have been raised about What Director Callahan said to me, it sounds like she and the PED are on top of that. K through 3 will be implemented, the common core stuff will be implemented in this coming school year, in August, for the K through 3 in this state. The following year, the next year after that, it will then expand to 4 through 12. So within 15 months, all the schools in this state will be doing the common core And I asked about the assessments. Apparently the assessment part of it has kept up with it, so the testing will be aligned with the new common core issuing the standards and everything. So that's where we stand on the common core right



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Director Callahan and the CSD have been working on revising the renewal applications. We have already seen the revised applications for the new applicants, and those, by the way, are going to be due Monday. We are going to know by Tuesday how many applications we have to process this year, but the renewal application, that's going to be on that same kind of revision by CSD staff, and that is in a preliminary state.

As I understand it, that application is now in the legal department, and when they are done with it, when they have gone over it to be sure it fits all the standards and statutes and laws at both the federal and state level, we will then have that application process. I'm sure for Director Callahan and her staff, that has been a prodigious feat of work, and we greatly appreciate all the work they have done on that. I have looked at it. It struck me as a very good work. I thought it was concise, easy to understand, and will also make our job If it's easier to understand for the easier. applicants, it's easier for us when we evaluate it after the fact. So that's where we stand on the renewal applications.



I had requested from Director Callahan that she provide us with the new grading system, the A to F grades on charter schools, and she has done that. So that's in there. We have it now. This is going to be superseded in a couple, three weeks with -- these are preliminary grades. I understand there is a new grading cycle coming out. And I have asked Director Callahan when that is available and she has it, if you will get an e-mail, then we will have the new grades.

We also requested information -- you will see in there there's a fairly large document that lists all the charter schools and gives us a bunch of information on those charter schools. That, what's in your book, has already been superseded.

Director Callahan gave me the new one this morning. They have revised that, and she has some copies that she is going to pass around for those of you that do not have that yet. I suggest you keep that handy. That's going to come in very handy for our future work down the road. It has virtually everything that we have asked questions about in the past in there, and there have been times in the past when members of this commission have felt like perhaps we weren't getting all the information that



we felt like we should have. And so I appreciate Director Callahan and her staff making every effort to give us this information when we request it, and it's going to come in handy for our work down the road.

And we also asked about some information on the grad rates, and that's in this information also. There is some grad rates in there and enrollment cap stuff. So we are getting it done. We are trying to provide information that everybody needs, and in line with all these comments I just made, we have on our calendar -- there's also a calendar there, our application timeline -- we have a PEC training scheduled for August the 8th. That is a Wednesday. I know sometimes Wednesday meetings are difficult for the commissioners, for those that have to work particularly, but I strongly encourage all my fellow commissioners to be at that training.

It's not noted. Is that going to be in Santa Fe, because that's where the staff is, Director Callahan?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Bergman, I think that's yet to be

determined by the PEC commissioners.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So it's up to us?



DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Yes, sir. We will entertain suggestions and make sure that it's convenient. The date is also tentative as well. So I just started with a target date working backwards.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. But the training will be on the application and the process. Remember, that's just going to be a couple weeks before we go out and do that statewide week-long tour. So it's essential that we have that training, but several questions have been raised, and there's going to be a few other things that will be on the agenda that day.

A question has been raised about when these amendment requests come to this commission, as we have a couple today, there are no recommendations from CSD staff. We get recommendations on the new applicants, we get recommendations on the renewal applicants, but we get no input from the staff of CSD when we do these amendment requests, and I think sometimes we're flying in the dark. I'm not sure we have all the information to make an informed decision whether we approve or deny those kinds of requests. So we are going to discuss that August 8th meeting, whether we should go ahead and ask PED and CSD to start giving us recommendations, do the



work just like they do on the others, and give us the information that we need to make an informed decision when we look at this. Because questions have been raised about large increases in this area and that area, so we need to take a look at that. That will be on that agenda.

And a question was raised about what effects do these various amendment requests have on the local school districts. I have asked that that be placed on the agenda so we can discuss that. And there was a question from a commissioner who asked about site visits, whether PEC commissioners were welcome at these site visits at all these various charter schools. And Acting Director Callahan tells me we are more than welcome to attend any site visits that we want.

And then I noted that we, of course, have to know when these site visits are taking place. So she, of course, is going to start notifying us when site visits for charter schools around the state are scheduled. Then it's up to you as individual commissioners whether you want to attend them or not. You are welcome if you want to, but you have to know the date. So we will have that information forthcoming from down the road on that.



I think that's pretty much what we 1 2 discussed today. Did I forget anything? CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman. 3 4 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I know Chairman --5 pardon me. I'm losing my voice. Commissioner 6 Bergman, you gave a fine report. I would just 7 recommend at this point that we request commissioners if that August 8th date will work for 8 9 them, and I believe we do need to vote on the two 10 timelines. New applications and renewals, I believe 11 we need to vote on those frameworks today or make 12 changes or whatever, but get them set. 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Are they on our action items in here somewhere? 14 15 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It's an action item. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: If they are already 16 17 in the book, then we'll come to them. 18 CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 11 is an action 19 item, and I think in looking at that particular date 20 for training, we will get to that when we go down to PEC comments. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, if we wait 22 23 until the PEC comments to talk about the date, we 24 can't vote on the date now if it's not set in 25 concrete.



1 CHAIR GARRISON: Are they on that same 2 calendar? 3 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes, they are on the 4 new calendar. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I didn't go through 6 all the material. I didn't see whether we had any 7 motions in there. CHAIR GARRISON: Let's give everyone a few 8 9 moments to look at it then. Just that August date 10 is a recommendation as of now, so check your 11 calendars. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: While we are 13 looking at that, there are apparently a couple of 14 dates that are going to change. Director Callahan 15 has asked that some dates in this process be 16 changed, and I don't know whether you want to 17 discuss that now or she's going to discuss that 18 later. 19 CHAIR GARRISON: Let me peek at item 16 20 again to see what all we have there. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't see any 22 action item in the material I have here. 23 CHAIR GARRISON: There are proposed 24 motions to move the September meeting, October 25 meeting, but that doesn't address the training.



1	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It does not address
2	the training?
3	CHAIR GARRISON: Correct.
4	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay.
5	CHAIR GARRISON: So which calendar
6	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It's on
7	CHAIR GARRISON: is the training?
8	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: This one right here.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: The first one. So on the
10	new charter application timeline, you will see that
11	on August 8th, PEC training by CSD and NACSA. I
12	need to look at two phones so give me a second.
13	(Off the record.)
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: While you guys are
15	looking at that, let me ask Director Callahan a
16	question that has come up on this timeline that I
17	noted.
18	Director Callahan, on August 10th you say
19	you are going to send an analysis and recommendation
20	drafts to us to review. You're not issuing the
21	recommendations for these schools before we have our
22	public hearings, are you?
23	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman,
24	Commissioner Bergman, no, sir. The language on
25	that, the instrument is going to include an analysis



and a final recommendation. This will be the 1 2 preliminary review of the applications. No, the recommendations will be after all the elements are 3 4 included, but it's going to be one basic document. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I thought so, but I 6 thought I better ask. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: And the proposed date is August 8th, and the location was on Kelly's dime we 8 9 are going to Reno, I believe. 10 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, absolutely. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: How are folks on the 12 date? 13 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The date is fine 14 with me. 15 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Fine with me. CHAIR GARRISON: The Chain -- wow -- the 16 17 Chain. The Chair will entertain a motion to approve 18 the calendar. Do we need to do one at a time, 19 Willie or Ramon? 20 MR. VIGIL: You can do them either way, I 21 think. 22 CHAIR GARRISON: Knock it out. So the 23 2012-2013 new charter school application timeline 24 and the 2012-2013 charter renewal application 25 timeline. If there is no more review, the Chair



will entertain that motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, if we are going to vote now, don't we have to talk about the dates that are under No. 16, because that's a part of these timelines, isn't it?

CHAIR GARRISON: That's what I was asking earlier.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes. These reflect the dates that we are going to talk about.

CHAIR GARRISON: So I'm going to use a point of privilege to look at item No. 16 with a proposed motion -- let's all the commissioners look at those date changes. We have been informed of them, so this isn't new stuff dropping on you.

Moving the September meeting from the 12th and 13th to September 19th and 20th in order to give more time to the charter schools division to prepare recommendations for the commission.

And then we were proposing that the October meeting be moved from the 18th and 19th to November 1st and 2nd to have more time to negotiate final contracts. For example, this is regarding SB 446 for charter schools and coordinating with the state charter school conference in Albuquerque.

Mr. Vigil, I would like to get your



recommendation on this. Do we do item No. 16 now and then move to the calendar or will the calendar cover everything?

MR. VIGIL: Well, I don't know whether they have recommended that these be -- CSD has recommended that the timelines be adopted or whether they are an administrative matter for them. Your meetings, of course, if you are going to change those, you want to change them formally. But these already include the change dates as if you had approved them.

CHAIR GARRISON: Gotcha.

MR. VIGIL: The way I look at them, the way I'm looking at them now. So is there a recommendation from CSD to adopt the application timeline and the renewal application timeline?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, Mr.

Vigil, yes, there is a recommendation. It doesn't show on the copy but it does say draft on it, and we reviewed it during the charter school committee meetings for adoption. So our recommendation is to adopt these timelines so that we can make them official and post them to candidates and applicants as well as the commission.

CHAIR GARRISON: Now I just thought of



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	this. Commissioner Bergman, as the charter school
2	committee chair, perhaps if you bring that forth to
3	the commission, then we don't even need a second.
4	We just vote on it; is that correct?
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I am not a lawyer,
6	so I don't know if that's correct. I will be happy
7	to make the motion if that's what you're saying.
8	CHAIR GARRISON: I am saying if it's
9	coming through committee, then we would not need a
10	second to vote on it. Is that correct, Mr. Vigil?
11	MR. VIGIL: I'm not familiar with your
12	procedures. If your procedures say that then in
13	fact a second would not be needed, but belt and
14	suspenders, it wouldn't hurt to have a second
15	anyway.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: So we will go through
17	Commissioner Bergman on that.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Are those date
19	changes going to cause any difficulty for everybody?
20	CHAIR GARRISON: I think everyone has
21	looked at them. If not, speak up now.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: This is your
23	chance. In a second those dates are going to become
24	concrete.
	1



Mr. Chairman, I would so move that the

25

1	timeline as we see here, with the revisions that are
2	noted under item 16, that the dates be moved in
3	September to September 19th and 20th, and the
4	October meeting, scheduled for the 18th and 19th, be
5	rescheduled for November 1st and 2nd, that those
6	revisions be incorporated in this timeline, and that
7	that would be the recommendation of myself and my
8	committee, that we adopt this timeline.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: We have had a
10	presentation from the committee. Is there any
11	discussion on this? Let's take a roll call vote
12	with Secretary Gant again.
13	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
14	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
15	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
17	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
19	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
22	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
24	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
25	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.



1	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
5	yes. It's 9-0 in favor.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes
7	unanimously. The public education will change the
8	date of our September meeting to September 19th and
9	20th, and the October meeting to November 1st and
10	2nd, and we will follow the new charter school
11	application timeline and charter renewal application
12	timelines for 2012-2013. Thank you.
13	The August date is included in that
14	timeline, so that location is to be determined.
15	Commissioner Bergman, any other items?
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No, thank you, Mr.
17	Chairman.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.
19	Item b is career technical education. PEC
20	Secretary Eugene Gant.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, members, good
22	morning. We had a very interesting meeting this
23	morning. It was short but very, very informative.
24	Just so that everyone on the commission knows that
25	Dr. Lomax is no longer head of the bureau which



included the CTE program. They are now going off on bid, I believe. Would that be soon, our new bureau chief?

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,
yes.

SECRETARY GANT: So right now they are working hard to keep it going, and I will keep it Apparently, of course, we know that tech prep has been zero for the last couple years on the CTE, but according to the notes here, we have had an increase of about 65,000 for school year 2011/2012, but the outlook in the future, we are not sure. Carl Perkins still is up shortly for reauthorization, and with the turmoil back in DC, an election year, et cetera, et cetera, reauthorization may take another couple years. So chances are maybe we will remain flat in the budget and maybe not. Ιt depends on what they want to do back there on the East Coast. We may be on a continuing resolution for Carl Perkins, so we just have to stand by to see what the federal government is going to give us for Carl Perkins next year.

My comment during the meeting was if they continue to cut, which they have over the last couple years, then the funds will become very thin,



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and personally, it's just my observation that some of the school districts, we may have to reduce the number of school districts we can support with Carl Perkins and CTE education. So we have to be very cognizant about what's going on with Carl Perkins, the funding, et cetera.

I know that Deputy Aguilar, because he is the finance director over here, is very aware of what's going on with finances in Carl Perkins. It's a very worthwhile program. New Mexico in the operations and the success for Carl Perkins has been a standout across the United States. We have many states that have not done what they were supposed to do.

So many naysayers back in DC are saying we don't need Carl Perkins. So if that ever comes up and you need to talk and we need to talk to legislators, I would strongly encourage you all to do it, because the loss of Carl Perkins is a loss to our students, prepping them to go out into the work world straight from high school to help them graduate from high school as better students and better citizens and go on to higher education. So if you ever get any wind of some of the naysayers back in DC wanting to cut Carl Perkins, I think we



should do all we can to stop that from happening.

Some people say it will never happen, but you never know what's going to happen. Anyway, reauthorization is supposed to come up, but we don't see it happening any time soon. There was some information provided in the packet. It's the latest and it's worth your read.

And I stand for questions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Are there any questions from Commissioner Gant? I should say for Commissioner Gant. Thank you, sir.

SECRETARY GANT: You're welcome.

CHAIR GARRISON: Strategic Planning

14 Committee, Gilbert Peralta.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair and fellow commissioners, this morning the Strategic Planning Committee conducted its first meeting. From what I understand, it's been a while since we have had an established Strategic Planning Committee for the PEC. We met with Mr. Larry Barons, who is the representative from the public ed department, and from what I understand, he is a temporary representative for the PED. The chief of staff, who now they are looking to find a replacement for, will



be the point of contact in the future for meetings

to come.

And so we sat down with Mr. Barons this morning, and we had some very positive dialogue about the future and what the working relationship is going to be between the Public Education Commission and PED.

When I first came on the commission, I recall many conversations during the meetings that in the previous administration there was concern about how the PED really didn't involve the Strategic Planning Committee for the PEC in the development and implementation of the plan. So that was one of the first things that I shared with Mr. Barons, along with Mr. Canfield who was present at the meeting, that we wanted to talk and basically focus on two areas.

And that is, one, understanding our scope as a commission on the development and implementation of strategic planning in conjunction with the public ed department; and two, what is the role for the commission to have input in the strategic planning in the future. And we had some very, very positive discussions, as I said, about, you know, the process and what's going to be in the future and the working relationship between the two



entities.

I must say in confidence that Mr. Barons assured us that the doors are going to be open for the commission. For any suggestions, concerns that they may have, PED will take them very seriously and implement any changes possibly or any other policies that the commission feels are necessary for developing strategies and planning in the future.

At this time, I would like to ask Mr. Canfield, who had some very great, great ideas in getting the ball rolling, about what we want to do with the working relationship with us and the PED. So at this time, if it's okay, may I ask Mr. Canfield to elaborate on those suggestions?

CHAIR GARRISON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chairman and commission members, thank you. We did have a good meeting this morning, and I would call to your attention a couple of documents. Inside your book is the actual 2011 PED strategic plan. Also I have asked Beverly to hand out a document that's on the website that is actually kind of an alignment document. It's not titled that, but it talks about the Public Education Commission vision and mission statement.



So this morning in our discussion, we talked about is it necessary for the commission to have its own strategic plan, or should we be able to demonstrate some sort of alignment to the current strategic plan that the PED has. And it was our belief that we should probably look closer toward the alignment of the existing plan. We understand that a strategic plan, when it relates to education, is for all of our kids in the state and not just charter school or not just public school but for all. So we need to make sure that we align with that.

Inside the strategic plan that they have, they, of course, have a vision and a mission statement, kind of an environmental scan or a current state and a future state, and then they have broken it down into five strategic levers. And inside those levers are the specific goals that have been established in the achievement of their strategic plan.

Many of those goals are directly related to charter schools and some of the areas of responsibility for this commission. We have asked PED to go through the document and highlight for us the goals that they think are really directly



related to the commission's responsibility. We also need to prepare, I believe, a document that states what our responsibilities are similar to this document.

So our thought at this point is that we recommend that we, the committee, look at this document -- I'm calling it the alignment document -- and update that, and then come back to the commission and present the strategic plan highlighted with areas that we think the commission are directly -- kind of directly related to. And then make a report back to the commission as to how we see this alignment or how we would put verbiage in this document to align itself with the PED's strategic plan.

So that's kind of what we came up with.

Did I miss anything, Chair?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: So that's kind of what we came up with this morning. We have commitments from PED to get this document back to us prior to our next meeting. So the next time we report out, we will have kind of a report or a recommendation of alignment for that.

Mr. Chairman, thank you.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIR GARRISON: Are there any questions
2	from other commissioners?
3	Commissioner Gant.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members.
5	Correct me if I'm wrong, Ramon, did you
6	not give us in December a list of our
7	responsibilities when we met down at Southwest
8	Learning? Is that not what was somewhat presented
9	last
10	MR. VIGIL: That is exactly what was
11	presented.
12	SECRETARY GANT: So that document is
13	already available for us?
14	MR. VIGIL: It should be, yes. I
15	presented that
16	SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.
17	MR. VIGIL: to the committee for the
18	commission.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
20	Gant, Mr. Vigil.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr.
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: Based on what we have
24	talked about in the past and our years of experience
25	so far with this, I would support working on an



alignment only if we actually have a working role in developing the strategic plan, where our input is actually taking into account and added to it.

Otherwise I would strongly support us doing our own plan.

It's not, again, I always say it's not current administration. It's past administration as well. I think there is a lot of politics that go on with strategic plans, and I see our committee as being really separate from that in our work. And I think that I don't have a lot of hope for the kind of input taking place and actually becoming part of the plan where we work together with the PED, but that doesn't mean it can't happen. So I would like to see, really I would like to see our own plan, and I think that that's probably how it's going to end up with past experience.

So I don't know. You know, I don't know how this is going to work out. It hasn't worked out well in the past, and, you know, we'll see. I would like to see an outline and input and us go over time and actually -- I really think we really need to probably come up with our own, because we have a specific vision.

And the other thing that I think about is,



you know, I look at a time when we are not so specifically focused on charter schools. That charter school is a part of what we do with education and what the Public Education Commission does. With all the talk that we do, it seems that's -- well, and because that's one of the powers we have left, that that's the thing we focus on. But we need to focus on the totality of education.

And for what it's worth, I think that's probably where we are going to end up anyway. We'll see, you know. And I always continue to work toward cooperation. Whether or not that happens or not -- Democrat, Republican, doesn't matter -- it's up for question.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair, I would note too that in this report that I issued to this commission in December, I found a description in the state statutes about commission duties, PEC commission duties, and I'll just read the first sentence here. It says, "The commission shall work with the department to develop the five year strategic plan for public elementary and secondary education in the state." That's state statute.

This committee should be involved with PED in



formulation of any future strategic plan of this state by statute unless it has been superseded by another statute.

CHAIR GARRISON: And that's one of the sticking points with the way the law is written. Because that word "shall" is very powerful. The word "may" isn't. So if we "shall" but the PED "may," that's just the way it rolls out.

And not to just be throwing humor out there, I want to commend Commissioner Peralta and Commissioner Canfield on making huge inroads that we have not made in several years. So I want to thank Mr. Larry Barons for his attendance in that committee meeting. And to speak to Commissioner Carr's point, is that we have a specific purpose, and that specific purpose is a unified purpose that the PED holds also, that we are trying to give a world class education to all children in New Mexico.

So I will respectfully disagree with us developing our own plan. I think we have to work with the Public Education Department, and they have to -- not statutorily -- but have to work with us. We are all involved with children. Because as former Public Education Commission Catherine Smith said, as we pontificate up here and discuss things



and go through this cycle and calendars, little

Johnny just went from second to third grade and he's

never going to get it back. So it's that important

that we all do that work and we do it together and

we do it now.

Commissioner Peralta.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair and fellow commissioners, I must also say I have gotten some confirmation from Deputy Secretary Mr. Aguilar that he is more than willing to meet with me to see what kind of support he can give us, the PED, in helping the PEC become a part of this plan.

CHAIR GARRISON: Okay. And thank you,
Deputy Secretary. I really think that us working
with versus going -- the statute already has us kind
of on the side here. If we just continue that path
and make our own plan and do our own thing, I think
we are weakening the commission more. We are
strengthening by working together.

Any other comments?

Thank you for a fine presentation and thank you for your great work, gentlemen.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 11, report from



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Public Education Commission committees. We are now moving to the Legislative Committee. Public Education Commission Vice-Chair, Carolyn Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We met at 7:30 this morning. In attendance were Commissioner Gant -- and I'm losing it -- Chair Garrison was there and Commissioner Bergman was there. I know. It's been a long day or maybe it's been a short day. I'm not sure which. Anyway, pardon me.

Anyway we had a short meeting at 7:30 this morning, and of course highlighted one of the issues that we have been dealing with the last several months that we have tried to schedule all these committee meetings prior to the regular PEC meeting. So we have discussed the idea of having our committee meetings the day before our regular meeting, and would like for the other committees to consider that and let us know your feedback, and perhaps that would be the way we could schedule it, at least try it in the future and give us more time.

We also talked about a work session, perhaps the day following our committee meetings, where all of the commission could be in attendance



and could really fully understand what the committees dealt with, what the issues were, and have a full commission discussion. Because I think we are starting to intertwine with our thought processes, what the duties of the commission are and how, through the legislative process or legislative action, we might enhance those duties or clarify in some cases those duties.

So I see that we are beginning to really make progress in bringing the PEC to the level that we would like for it to be. So please consider that issue of meeting the day before, perhaps the afternoon before, individual committees the day before our PEC meeting. And essentially that was what we discussed this morning. I don't have further reports.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
Shearman. I stand for questions for Commissioner
Shearman. Any commissioners have questions or
comments? Seeing none, thank you very much.

Item No. 12 is vote on charter school amendment requests to change capped enrollment.

Ms. Kelly Callahan, acting director of the Charter Schools Division. Take your time.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman,



commissioners, we have two amendment requests on the docket. The first is for Cottonwood Classical.

And I am asking is anybody from Cottonwood Classical available if the commission has questions? Please come down.

CHAIR GARRISON: Come on up and join us at the front table.

8 MS. LYNN BARR: Mr. Chairman,

9 commissioners, thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 CHAIR GARRISON: If you would, please 11 introduce yourself.

MS. BARR: I am Lynn Barr, co-founder of Cottonwood Classical.

CHAIR GARRISON: Good morning.

MS. BARR: Thank you.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and commissioners, included in your packet is the form that was submitted by Cottonwood Classical. They proposed a change in their charter. With their current charter statement reading a capped number regarding enrollment, a total of 500. The proposed change is that Cottonwood Classical preparatory school enrollment will not exceed 600 students. The rationale for this change is because of the need expressed through the enrollment process and the



fact that Cottonwood currently and historically has a waiting list that exceeds 200 students. We are requesting to increase our enrollment cap to 600 students.

Attached is the projected enrollment growth for the next two years. I don't think that was included in the packet. They provided that late, and I apologize. I don't have copies. But I can certainly pass it to you, commissioners.

We will get copies made. I apologize. I thought it was in the packet, and I noticed that it was not this morning.

While that's being passed around and reviewed, the packet also includes the Cottonwood Classical preparatory school governing council minutes that indicate approval by their governing board. That took place December 13, 2011.

Mr. Chair, commissioners, are you going to vote individually on each one or do you want me to do both presentations?

CHAIR GARRISON: Individually.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Yes, sir.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Gant.

25 | SECRETARY GANT: If I may start with some



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 questions, at least one.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIR GARRISON: Absolutely. The floor is yours.

SECRETARY GANT: Which was handed out this morning by Ms. Callahan. This shows Cottonwood Classical at 90 percent for school year '11 and '12. So my question -- and I haven't seen this other sheet over here -- do you have a waiting list of 200 or more that you have spaces to be filled? So I don't understand the reason to even be asking for an increase when you haven't even filled the spaces allocated.

MS. BARR: Commissioner Gant and Mr. Chairman, right now for this year in our lottery, we have 430 applications. And what we had done is we did not expect the 125 students in seventh and eighth grade. We expected more students to drop off as they were going to high school, a traditional high school which did not happen. We had 48 siblings that were coming in at the sixth grade, so that was leaving us with basically no spots for any students to come in from the area.

SECRETARY GANT: All right. Mr. Chair and members, let me get this straight. You list 450 students, according to this. That's all I have to



1 go by.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARR: Correct.

3 SECRETARY GANT: 450 students for school

4 year 2011/12.

5 MS. BARR: Right.

SECRETARY GANT: That's at 90 percent of your cap. So now you are asking for an increase to your cap, and say why again, because I see space that you need to fill.

MS. BARR: Well, because we want to enroll 90 at sixth grade, which would take us over that cap, because that would be for the 2012/2013. So we had 48 siblings, so that would open up 55 spots for students coming in on the 400 applicants.

SECRETARY GANT: Well, my last question,
Mr. Chair, based upon your facilities, if you add 90
students, which is a lot of chairs, a lot of bodies,
do you have the room for that, and you have
facilities that meet the statewide capacity
standards for that number of students?

MS. BARR: We do. And as we are speaking right now, they are actually moving offices out and we are creating that extra space right now. We actually have three different buildings that have all met the standards.



1	SECRETARY GANT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?
3	Commissioner Shearman.
4	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Good morning.
5	MS. BARR: Good morning.
6	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I am looking at your
7	school grade.
8	Thank you, Ms. Callahan, for that
9	information.
10	And you do have your school has done
11	very well in the school grading process. I'm not
12	from Albuquerque, so I'm not familiar with the area.
13	Where is your school located?
14	MS. BARR: Our school is located at 1776
15	Montano at the Unser Educational Complex and it's in
16	the North Valley.
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And how many schools
18	that serve the same grades that your school serves
19	are in, let me say the immediate vicinity? And I
20	don't know what that is. Can we say a mile; is that
21	reasonable?
22	MS. BARR: Within a mile I would say
23	probably just one which is Valley High School.
24	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. If we expand
25	the area out again, I'm not familiar with the



I am just trying to get a feel for what kind 1 2 of choices people in that area have as far as schools. 3

MS. BARR: If you expand it, then you are going to go on to the West Mesa which would be Cibola High School, I believe, would be the next high school. And a lot of our students come from the surrounding area, not from in our vicinity. have a large population that comes from the East Mountains. We have students that come from Socorro, from Placitas.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So your school does not provide transportation for students?

MS. BARR: Actually we have not provided it in the past, but we were just approved for a grant for next year to provide transportation for students. And so we are working on putting that all together and the logistics of that all now, so we will be providing transportation in the fall.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Is that a change to your charter?

MS. BARR: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(505) 989-4949

23 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. We haven't 24 seen that yet.

25 MS. BARR: I'm not sure.





1 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Shearman, again, is that a material change? You know, those are questions that need to be answered as far as the terms of the amendment and the terms of the contract. We haven't seen an amendment in the CSD regarding the transportation as yet.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you.

I'm really just trying to get a feel for what impact increasing your student enrollment or your capacity by a hundred students would have on the surrounding area and the surrounding schools.

Do you have any feel for that or where your students would be coming from, those 100 additional students?

MS. BARR: The students would be coming from the entire surrounding area, Albuquerque. We are really not concentrated in the North Valley. Our students truly come from all over the city and then from several outlaying areas. So what we had done, since we are going into our fifth year, our second graduating class, we really expected these students -- there to be more drop off. So what we have projected now is approximately 50 over where we will take approximately 90 students, including the siblings coming in at the sixth grade, and then that



would keep us around that mid range, about 550
students through our graduating class, our senior
class.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you.

MS. BARR: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Comment by Deputy

7 | Secretary Aguilar.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to weigh in on it. I haven't reviewed the specifics of it. I just want to note that an additional 100 students would create an impact to the state funding formula of about \$711,000.

Then secondly, Mr. Chairman, the transportation bureau here at the department has identified an anomaly in the transportation funding formula that treats charter schools as districts, and it actually distributes more money than they need to transport those folks. And so we want to have that discussion with the charter schools and try to figure out how we can work with that anomaly as well. So I just want to bring that to the commission's attention.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr.





COMMISSIONER CARR: What's the additional cost or the building cost in taking over the new offices and who owns the building that you're in?

MS. BARR: The Al and Susan Unser own the building that we are in, and then the high school building is owned by Robert Sanchez. It's about 700 yards apart from each other, and the additional classroom space is included in our lease right now, so there is not going to be additional cost, because we are just rearranging offices and so forth. So we are not taking over more space. We had enough space to accommodate these extra students.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Who was in the offices? Who was in the offices that are being moved around?

MS. BARR: Mr. Obenshine is in one office on the Unser campus and then he also had an office at the Rio campus, the one up the street. So he is just vacating that so he will just have one office. The business manager, who was in the other office, will be combining with the special ed director into her office. And so we have actually combined offices and we are rearranging offices like that. We had a conference room that is now going to be a classroom.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Thank you.
2	MS. BARR: Thank you.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: A question by Deputy
4	Aguilar. Mr. Secretary, excuse me.
5	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I
6	don't know that they are prepared to answer right
7	now, but Mr. Gorrell and Ms. Casias are in the
8	audience. Would this building continue to meet the
9	occupancy with these modifications as far as you
10	know, or would that have to be reevaluated?
11	Martica, can you come up to the
12	microphone, please?
13	CHAIR GARRISON: After you speak if you
14	would write down your name for the transcriber. It
15	would be a great help.
16	MS. CASIAS: Secretary Aguilar and members
17	of the PEC commission, if the building is currently
18	occupied by students, it's currently at E occupancy.
19	If they are adding more students, it won't change
20	the classification of an E occupancy.
21	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr.
22	Chairman.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.
24	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members,
25	did I hear you say you got students from Socorro?



MS. BARR: We have two families that come from Socorro.

SECRETARY GANT: Every day, two hours?

MS. BARR: What they have been doing is they come in during the week and stay with an aunt

6 and relatives and then go home on weekends.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, Deputy
Aguilar, that's an interesting one when it comes to
which school district they are counted and all that.
It's interesting. I thought this was a charter
school for the APS district, but I guess I was
misinformed. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Kelly Callahan, does the Charter Schools Division have a recommendation?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, if the information is correct and current, we haven't recommended in the past. We present the information, because we don't have a lot of information that is readily available to dig deep. We are asking you guys to make a determination on that. The discussion today at the committee meeting was that we need to review this process for requesting an amendment to have a deeper analysis. We have not previously done any deeper analysis than presenting information as presented from the school.



3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I don't feel competent in just recommending,
because I have the same information you have, and so
I have heard those things.

The one thing I do know is the school is high performing and they have provided all the reports and compliance that the school is required to have. They are up for renewal this coming year. They are a renewal school and have properly committed all the work they are supposed to do. So they are a strong school and, you know, at this point, I am hearing what you are hearing, and so I will have to defer to the PEC on that.

CHAIR GARRISON: Did the Charter Schools

Division get that waiting list? Have they reviewed

it? That is how many folks?

MS. BARR: This year we had 438 applications, but an average of 200 on the wait list from year one.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, commissioners, we don't require a review of a waiting list.

CHAIR GARRISON: We should do that if there is a request to change the enrollment of the school. I would highly recommend that in the future.



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

absolutely agree with that, and Deputy Secretary
Aguilar was at the committee meeting, and we have
had discussions about this. I agree. We need a
more thoughtful vetting of what's going on in
looking at a deeper recommendation, but that process
has not been put in place yet. We are asking maybe
a review at the August committee meeting to review
almost more of an application.

And also just as an additional comment, SB 446 and the contracts are going to have a stronger material identification of what schools can do and can't do and what the amendment process will look like. So we will be able to adjust accordingly for those new contracts. This is the previous way that the amendments have been done. You know, again we are just receiving the information and passing it on to the PEC. And so that perfect timing on the conversation that we had at the committee meeting is that we would definitely review that.

So I have been asked by the committee to put together proposed documentation that would maybe look at a little deeper analysis of what the school is asking, and absolutely reviewing a member's waiting list would be one of those requirements.



CHAIR GARRISON: So it's my understanding that our votes on charter school amendment requests can happen any time throughout the year as they come, or do we just do that annually in the summertime? I know that's the natural way --

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, commissioners, that was also brought up at the committee meeting. Today Commissioner Shearman asked that, you know, maybe reviewing a timing of when those amendments would be asked for, having it once a year rather than having it throughout. Right now it is an open process. When a school submits an amendment, it is put on the agenda and reviewed at the next meeting that is coming forth.

CHAIR GARRISON: Okay.

Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I would like to commend the school for following the proper process. I think in one of our last meetings, there were a couple of schools that had already gone over without even checking with us, and so I would support approval of this amendment today. Even though the process may change in the future, I think this will help the school plan better and it sounds like they have got their ducks



in a row and have followed everything that they need to do. So I would move to approve the amendment as presented, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: You're speaking to a dilemma that I'm feeling right now is that that school that went over enrollment and then came to us for a requested change, that's cart before the horse. And in retrospect, my hindsight is 20/20 like most of ours is, we shouldn't have approved that in my view now and we did. Mistake, but did we set precedent, that's what we are asked. We need to develop things on our end, and if we continue, well, we haven't developed them yet, so between now and August 8th, let's just go ahead and keep approving blindly, and then we'll get information that we can make a decision based on.

And so on the other side of that is

Cottonwood is in my district, and when I see a

charter school that has an A grade and I know that

they are high performing and there is a waiting

list -- although I haven't seen it -- and more

children want to go to an A school, let's get them

in there. But at the same time, in principle to

follow what we have not yet developed, that's just

killing me right now.



1	Commissioner Lopez.
2	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I would like to get
3	more kids into high performing schools, and I want
4	to second Commissioner Canfield's motion.
5	CHAIR GARRISON: There are proposed
6	motions to be read on item No. 12. It's in blue,
7	but it's not elaborate. So if you want to reword
8	that, Commissioner Canfield, just slightly.
9	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Sure. Mr. Chair,
10	thank you.
11	I move to approve the amendment presented
12	by Cottonwood Classical Preparatory School.
13	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Second.
14	CHAIR GARRISON: We have a motion by
15	Commissioner Canfield, seconded by Commissioner
16	Lopez. Is there any discussion?
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman and
19	then Commissioner Carr.
20	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Did I hear you
21	correctly when you said your waiting list was from
22	year one?
23	MS. BARR: An average of the wait list
24	from year one was approximately 200, and this year
25	we had 438. I believe we have it on my computer if



you want to verify any of those numbers.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Could you give me your best guess of what your active waiting list is for this year.

MS. BARR: 438 are applicants and then 48 of those students are siblings. So those 48 students are automatically enrolled into the school. So the active wait list would be the 48 minus the -- so it's close to 400.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. But as far as asking people to sign up on a list that's called a waiting list, does that document exist?

MS. BARR: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. How many do you have on hand?

MS. BARR: That's the 438. Those are the students that applied through the lottery and that we pulled their names and then we went on to a wait list. And then the siblings are also included on that, though they are really not part of the wait list.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair, I have a question, too, on the amendment. You have a waiting list, and so why aren't you at full enrollment now?





MS. BARR: What we had tried to do, because we are offering the international baccalaureate, Commissioner Carr, was we tried to phase everything in slowly, and we had written the charter to phase it in slowly. So then if you look -- I'm sorry. Did you say they don't have a copy of the enrollment?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: It's going around.

MS. BARR: So then what we had originally anticipated was that the 125 students in seventh and eighth grade would drop off as students could attend a traditional high school, but that did not happen. And so we didn't want to take so many students, because you have to hire IB certified teachers, and so we were trying to slow it down a little bit. But then we got ourselves into a pickle, because some of the students did not drop off like we anticipated. So if we only let the siblings in, we would not be opening it up to any students at all. So then in looking over everything, if we let in approximately 90 students in sixth grade, we would stay right around the 500, 550 mark here on out.

But this year is somewhat of an anomaly, because we have those two bumps years and so many siblings. So it was hard to predict that so many



siblings would be coming in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARR: Well, I commend you on the success of your school, and I guess you're doing well.

MS. BARR: Thank you.

more information with the schools up for renewal this year. Before I want to vote in the affirmative, I would like to actually have all the documentation, and this is up for renewal. And you do have some room right now, I guess, you know, to go up to full enrollment. I'm going to say I want to look at this again at renewal, maybe before if we get more documentation, but there are some questions in my mind that I would like to see answered along procedural lines and in documentation before I'll vote in the affirmative.

CHAIR GARRISON: Any other comments from commissioners?

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Somewhere on this computer I do have your application, and what we blessed in 2008 when you first opened. So, please, is it in your charter that siblings have first choice over the waiting list?



MS. BARR: 1 Yes. 2 SECRETARY GANT: Interesting. Okay. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, that's also 6 state law, isn't it? Don't siblings under the 7 lottery aspect of the law get preference anyway? 8 it not? For some reason I thought it was. 9 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: It's federal law. 10 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 11 Commissioner Bergman, it's federal. It falls under 12 the federal lottery process. 13 CHAIR GARRISON: So there is a motion on the floor from Commissioner Canfield. 14 It was 15 seconded by Commissioner Lopez. And I just have one 16 final comment. I'm going to vote for this 17 amendment, and the reasoning is because this is a 18 high performing school. I will echo Commissioner 19 Canfield's comment that they are following the 20 rules. If we have not done our job in solidifying what the process is, then that's our fault. 21 not theirs. So the Chair will move to vote with a 22 23 roll call by Commissioner Gant. 24 SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez. 25 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.



1	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: No.
3	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Before I vote, I
7	would note for the record that that is why we are
8	going to look at trying to revise this process and
9	make it more ironclad and make it more transparent,
10	so we don't have these dilemmas in the future, but
11	today I'm going to vote yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
15	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
21	no. It's seven to two in favor.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes.
23	MS. BARR: Thank you, commissioners.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Item b on agenda item No.
25	12, vote on charter school amendment request to



change capped enrollment, Montessori Elementary. 1 2 Ms. Kelly Callahan. 3 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, commissioners, is there a representative from 4 5 Montessori Elementary School? 6 CHAIR GARRISON: Please come up and 7 introduce yourself. MS. MARY JANE BESANTE: Good morning, my 8 9 name is Mary Jane Besante and I am the principal of 10 the Montessori Elementary School. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Good morning, Mary Jane. 12 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 13 commissioners, the Montessori Elementary School has 14 submitted an amendment request. Their current 15 charter statement reads the number of students at 16 full enrollment. The enrollment cap is 400. Their 17 revised amendment statement is the number of 18 students at full enrollment not to exceed 600. 19 Their rationale revision for their amendment reads, 20 "Due to the success of our middle school, more 21 students are staying with us. We may reach 400 by 22 2012/2013." It is noted that their minutes from 23 their governance council meeting dated April 17, 24 2012, indicate that the amendment was approved by 25 their governing board and signed by their governing



1	council president, and they have submitted the
2	paperwork as noted in your books.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Callahan, in looking
4	at school grading 2010/2011, I see that there are
5	two classifications, just elementary and high
6	school. So elementary and middle school would be
7	classified under elementary school?
8	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,
9	commissioners, that's correct.
10	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.
11	Any questions from commissioners?
12	Commissioner Shearman.
13	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Good morning.
14	MS. BESANTE: Good morning.
15	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Again, I am not
16	familiar with where your school is located. Could
17	you give me the location of your school and the
18	surrounding area schools?
19	MS. BESANTE: Can you hear me if I speak
20	from here? How's that? We are at 3831 Midway
21	Place, and that's in Albuquerque right off Jefferson
22	and I-25.
23	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And surrounding
24	schools say in a couple of mile radius?
25	MS. BESANTE: In a couple mile radius,



1 | there's three other elementary schools.

2 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Do you pull students

3 from those schools?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BESANTE: We pull from all over
Albuquerque and surrounding areas -- Placitas, Los
Lunas, Belen, the East Mountains. I believe we have
a couple of students that come from Jemez. I'm not
sure if they're still with us.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And do you provide transportation for students?

MS. BESANTE: We do not.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I notice on your school grading, overall your grade is a B. However, in your lowest 25 percent of students, you have an F. Could you speak to that?

MS. BESANTE: That's a hard one to speak to. We were trying to figure out how it was calculated. We have made AYP every year. We are a very strong school. That's a very few students, and we are focusing on that this year.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you for that information. I have to say my initial reaction to this request is you're not quite at your cap of 400, and you're asking for a cap of 600. I would have a hard time supporting 600. I really would. I could



look more favorably on 500, but I cannot go that large an increase all the way up to 600. My personal feelings. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner Shearman.

Other commissioners? The floor is open. Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would just share that. That's a 50 percent increase in just one big jump. One, do you have the facilities, if an extra 200 just showed up that you weren't expecting in new applications, would you have room to accommodate 600?

MS. BESANTE: I believe our facility would accommodate them. We are looking at really more of a slow growth. We are at 90 percent of our enrollment cap right now. And next year we have 389 enrolled, so we are at 97 percent of our cap. We are concerned about going over it. We pulled for our lottery for kindergarten, and a lot of them come from families that have multiple children, and we don't have any space to offer to the other siblings. That's where the growth is really coming from.

In addition to that, we added seventh and eighth grade two years ago when we rechartered, and



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it's been very successful and students are staying. 1 2 That's where the growth is coming from, but it is a 3 slow growth. 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We may want to 5 consider, when we make the option there are several 6 options on the motion. One may be that we reduce 7 that number a little bit. I just throw that out, but thank you. 8 9 MS. BESANTE: Thank you. CHAIR GARRISON: The floor is still open 10 11 for questions. Seeing none, the Chair will 12 entertain a motion. There are three options on the 13 motions on item No. 12, the blue page. 14 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I would like to move 16 17 that we approve an enrollment cap of 500 for this 18 school, but I don't see that particular wording. 19 Mr. Vigil, could you help with that? 20 MR. VIGIL: You can approve it with a 21 condition that it only go up to 500. 22 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: That would be a 23 condition, okay. 24 Then, Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of 25 the amendment presented by the Montessori Elementary



School with the following conditions, that their enrollment not exceed 500 students.

3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would second 4 that.

CHAIR GARRISON: We have a motion by

Commissioner Shearman, a second by Commissioner

Bergman. I would like to quickly ask the public

school facilities authority folks, do you have any

comments on the facilities as far as the occupancy

and what these numbers would look like if they

changed by a hundred?

MR. GORRELL: We believe that probably as far as the E occupancy, that code requirement, that would not be affected. As far as capacity goes, we have not been asked to look at either school, so we can't comment whether it meets adequacy or not. The law says a new charter or a relocated charter or at the renewal of a charter that we would investigate. It would be the commission or the Public Education Department's decision to ask us to look at it at that time.

THE REPORTER: Your name, please?

23 CHAIR GARRISON: My name is Bob Gorrell,

24 G-O-R-R-E-L-L, Director of Public School Facilities

25 Authority.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21





CHAIR GARRISON: Any other discussion?

Deputy Aguilar.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I would just -- not commenting on this motion, but I just think in the future I'm going to ask Ms.

Callahan to include questions directed at the PSFA in advance regarding capacity, occupancy, and those sorts of things. The PSFA is involved now in determining the adequacy of facilities for new charters, so I think it might be worthwhile to have this information for these folks going forward in the future.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Vigil.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VIGIL: Mr. Chair, members of the commission, something else that I believe would be very helpful to the commission, as you're reviewing those, is have the finance department take a look to see how the enrollment change might affect the funding for that particular school. Sometimes they would move out from a small school factor, for example, or are no longer qualified for a small school factor, and, in fact, may lose some funding in that process. In some cases, they may move into some other category that, in fact, increases



disproportionally the money that they might receive. 1 2 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir. And that 3 speaks to that weak spot also that was mentioned in 4 the media as of late. 5 Commissioner Bergman. 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would like to add 7 what we have just stated here, here again into our August 8th discussion and your proposal, please. 8 9 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 10 Commissioner Bergman, yes. I think when we are 11 looking at expanding the rigor of an amendment 12 request evaluating all of the facility, finance 13 would be part of that process. And, you know, if 14 you are looking at something that's going to be more 15 of an approval, such as an application or renewal, 16 that it may not be on the same scale, but it will be 17 similar in rigor and robustness. 18 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary. 20 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: I'm sorry, Mr. 21 Chairman, for extending the conversation. In regard 22 to Mr. Vigil's comments, I would just note that when 23 this school exceeds the 400 capacity, they will lose 24 the small school size.



SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair.

25

1	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Are you aware of that?
3	MS. BESANTE: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Are you aware of the
5	impacts of losing small?
6	MS. BESANTE: I don't believe we qualify
7	for small school as it stands right now, because we
8	are elementary, right, Kelly? So we don't receive
9	that now.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.
11	CHAIR GARRISON: Any other discussion?
12	And I forget already by now who made the motion and
13	who seconded the motion.
14	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Motion.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I seconded.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Could you restate the
17	motion, please, just for the record.
18	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Wait, wait. Let me
19	get back to it. The motion, move to approve the
20	amendment presented by the Montessori Elementary
21	School with the following conditions, that their
22	total enrollment not exceed 500 students.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And I seconded.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: And we had a second by
25	Commissioner Bergman, and we just had discussion.



1	If there is no other discussion, we will proceed
2	with a roll call vote with Secretary Eugene Gant.
3	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
4	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
5	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
6	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
7	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
8	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
9	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
11	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
13	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
14	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
15	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
16	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
17	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: No.
19	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
20	no. It's seven two in favor.
21	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes.
22	Congratulations.
23	MS. BESANTE: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 14 I'm sorry.
25	Item No. 13, vote on charter school board of



finance, the Walatowa High Charter School. Kelly
Callahan, Acting Director of the Charter Schools
Division.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, commissioners, these are representatives from Walatowa. Please identify yourselves and write your names on the piece of paper for the record and what your role is at the school.

MR. ARRON WILKINSON: Good morning. My name is Arron Wilkinson. I am the principal of the Walatowa High Charter School.

MR. TONY ARCHULETA: Good morning. I am
Tony Archuleta. I am the former principal at
Walatowa, served there for six years. I was part of
the renewal, charter renewal.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,
commissioners, part of the process for schools
becoming PEC chartered schools is that they are
required to become a board of finance. And the
Walatowa High Charter School was approved in
December as a renewal charter, so they were added to
our state charter school list.

When the board of finance application is submitted, they have to include an affidavit that a business manager holds a license. They must sign a



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statement by their governing board members that they 1 2 agree to consult with the PED on financial matters. 3 They must submit an affidavit from every governing 4 body member that he or she is not currently a member 5 of another governing body or that they have never 6 been a member of a governing body of a charter 7 school that was suspended or failed. They also must provide a certificate of insurance that they are 8 9 adequately bonded and insured.

At this time, all the documents that are required by law have been submitted and verified by the Charter Schools Division staff.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. A comment by Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I have spoken with our analysts in the school budget and financial analysis unit regarding Walatowa Charter. They have a high quality business manager in place. Their audits are on time, and they have demonstrated the capacity to manage their finances appropriately.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

The floor is open for questions from commissioners. Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I would so move, and I think it looks like we need to move as far as creating a resolution. If that's correct, I can read the resolution into the record.

CHAIR GARRISON: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas the governing body of Walatowa High Charter School had submitted an application to be designated as a board of finance that included an affidavit of a business manager who holds a license as a certified school business official for the State of New Mexico, including a copy of the business manager's license, a statement signed by all the governing body members, that they agree to consult with the Public Education Department on any matter not covered by the manual of accounting and budgeting before taking any action related to funds held as a board of finance, an affidavit from each governing body member that she or he is not currently a member of any governing body of any other charter school and has never been a member of any governing body of any other charter school that was suspended or failed to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	receive or maintain their board of finance
2	designation, a certificate of insurance that
3	indicates that Walatowa High Charter School is
4	adequately bonded and insured. Now, therefore, be
5	it resolved that Walatowa High Charter School's
6	governing body be designated as a board of finance.
7	CHAIR GARRISON: Second. Seconded by
8	Commissioner Pogna. Is there any discussion?
9	We will proceed with a roll call vote by
10	Secretary Eugene Gant.
11	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Lopez.
12	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
13	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
15	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
16	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
17	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
19	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
20	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
22	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
24	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
25	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.



CHAIR GARRISON: Yes. Commissioner Gant votes yes. It's 9-0 in favor.

CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes unanimously. Congratulations.

MR. WILKINSON: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Item No. 14 is report from the Public Schools Facilities Authority on visits to new charter schools approved since September 2011. Bob Gorrell, the PSFA director.

MR. GORRELL: Thank you, Commissioner

Garrison, members. Martica will provide the report.

This is a good learning experience for us. We put
together, I think, pretty clear templates to help
the charters. We have advertised it well to be able
to make ourselves available to the charters. I
believe that it is a positive experience for the
most part for charters to be able to understand what
their facility needs are so they can be as
functional as they intend.

I think it's very frustrating -- and you'll see from the report -- that it's hard to find appropriate facilities. So it is one that we are urging the charters to please start considering their needs earlier. We do assist them and advise them in creating their educational specifications so



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that they understand what their needs are to properly support their program.

We spend as staff, through the Public School Capital Outlay Council -- and I want to recognize the two council members: Mr. Aguilar, Deputy Secretary Aguilar and Mr. Gant are members of the Public School Capital Outlay Council. We spend 10 to 40 hours per site in the analysis. It's a thorough analysis and feedback process to the charters.

Some sites we have visited or some charters we have visited up to four different sites, so it is pretty labor intensive we are finding, and we are trying to strategically understand what our needs are within the agency to fully support this program as it moves forward.

Again, it is all renewed charters at their renewal, any new or relocated. And on the previous comment, possibly considering that relocation language whenever a charter is changing occupancy could be a fair way to approach that.

Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mr. Chair, Martica will read the report.

MS. CASIAS: Members of the commission,
Ms. Friedman has passed you out an update. You





previously had this in your books, but as late as last night, we had some updates. For your benefit, the updates are highlighted in gray.

Before I go over --

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: We don't have red.

MS. CASIAS: Gray. I'm sorry, ma'am.

I'll summarize it first, members, and then perhaps you might want me to go through one by one. But of all the charters that we have gone to see, one has E occupancy. One is close to E occupancy per their building plans, but they are waiting for final inspection from CID. Two have an 18 month plan of correction. McCurdy has submitted theirs, and the William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter

Community School has the intent to submit their 18 month plan of correction, and, council members, does anybody need me to define the 18 month plan of correction or would you like me to go through it one by one, or I stand for questions.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I would prefer we went through each one individually.

MS. CASIAS: Okay. Mr. Chair, members of the commission, the first one is Coral Community School located in Albuquerque. They contacted us back in February, but we have not had any further



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at the time did not have an E occupancy.

Part of our job is we are available, but if they don't recontact us, we don't extend the arm out and say you haven't contacted us since February.

The second one on the list is Estancia

Valley Classical Academy located in Estancia. And
that one, you'll see, we had an update last night.

We were contacted with a plan that they have, but we
have not seen it. They are telling us that the
modulars, the E occupancy modulars will be
transferred. They expect them to be in place by the
start of school, but they have not yet given us
those plans nor have we seen a draft of their ed
spec/FMP submission. So this is what we have been
told, but we have not seen confirmation.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Martica, would you explain FMP?

MS. CASIAS: Each of the charters are required, the applicant charters or the charters that are moving are expected to submit to PSFA and ed spec/FMP. An ed spec is a document that lets us know how they intend to deliver their education, and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it's combined with a facilities master plan which tells us what financing they expect, what their road map is for their facilities for five years out. So we combine their educational needs, their space needs, how they're going to deliver their education with the facility that they're going to be in.

So you don't need to have a facility when you create your ed spec/FMP. It's telling you what you need when you get into a facility. So ideally you create this FMP ed spec, and then you go shopping with it to look for a facility that meets your needs.

Mr. Chair, I stand for questions on that if there are any.

CHAIR GARRISON: Please continue.

MS. CASIAS: The next one on our list is
La Jicarita Community School located in Penasco.
They are working on purchasing their modulars that
are E occupancy, and the E occupancy means that
everything that the code requires for children to be
in a building is met. Like health and safety,
classroom sizes, restrooms, you cannot occupy a
building for a school unless it has an E occupancy.

If you turn the page, the other one is La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Sciences.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This one is very interesting. If you read the second to the last column, this school doesn't have an E occupancy either. Their weighted NMCI is sufficient for the first year enrollment, but not for the full cap that they have planned. They intend to have 180 student cap with 70 children in the first year. If they moved into the facility that we looked at today, it would be acceptable for the 70 children, but it would not be acceptable for the 180 kids.

So what we have said to them is if they write down what they plan to do in writing, that they are going to have 70 kids the first year and find a new facility before the second year -- not just tell us but write it down -- that weighted NMCI would work. But, of course, it's not a PS based division. It's up to the PED and the PEC, and we recommended that to the charter.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: I just found it. It's a National Guard facility, it's a National Guard facility that's currently being used or an old one?

MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Carr, they looked at that National Guard facility,





and portions of it were empty at that time. since then, since the update, they are no longer going to use that facility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

COMMISSIONER CARR: The entire facility is no longer going to be used, the National Guard; is that what you're saying?

MS. CASIAS: I am saying at the time back in May, they were looking at the National Guard facility, and they were going to use a portion of it for the school. And that portion would have to be converted to an E occupancy, and they found that that would just be too much trouble, so we kept looking.

So they called us up and they said, well, the guard facility is not going to work for us, and we went to another site for them.

> COMMISSIONER CARR: All right.

MS. CASIAS: And as Mr. Gorrell spoke, this particular charter school we went to three or four sites for them, because they keep shopping. it doesn't work, the weighted NMCI is not there, the E classification is too hard to obtain, they want to find something else.

> COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman and



then Commissioner Shearman. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I am just curious. I don't know if you can answer this. I am seeing a 3 term Alcalde here. Are they looking at a building 4 5 in a city outside Española? MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 6 7 Bergman, Alcalde is located in Española. It's just 8 a portion of --9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I thought my 10 question was generated because we approve an 11 applicant for Española, and then they're going to be 12 in another city somewhere. It would point to me 13 that there is a flaw somewhere in the system, but 14 thank you. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: All of northern New 16 Mexico is pretty much the same city. 17 A comment by Deputy Secretary, and then we will get to you, Commissioner Shearman, if that's 18 19 okay. 20 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I 21 spoke, when we did the budget hearing for this



school, we spoke with the head of school, and they

with them since then, but the National Guard

facility in Española was used prior to house the

are having difficulty locating. So I haven't spoken

22

23

24

military, Española military charter. That's no
longer there. So it has been used in the past.

They have a request into the governor's office for
the use of that, and I don't know what the current
status is, but I think they are still entertaining
that as an option for this first year until they can
get some more permanent facility.

The Española school district does have a couple of buildings that they may entertain allowing them to use, but we are concerned about the capacity and, as Martica talks about, the NMCI, the condition index of those facilities is relatively high and probably would not be appropriate for that school.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Deputy Secretary.

Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I don't see mention here -- or if it's here I'm missing it -- have you looked at the Alcalde center and checked it out as far as the educational setting? Would it work?

Does it need tons of remodeling to bring it up to standard to be used?

MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Council Lady
Shearman, the Alcalde site, we did look at that and



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



it was not a site they chose to stay at. I don't

have the details on that in front of me. I can take

a minute to look at my notes.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: I believe that site -- I may be wrong, but I believe that site is owned by the county. It's an old community center. It's not acceptable for classroom space.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, just for my information, maybe Deputy Aguilar can answer this or you all. They move in for one year, and then they move -- they're talking about moving. Who pays for that second move, the second set up? Where does all that money come from? It's not cheap.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman and Commissioner, the school, I mean, it's not cheap. Think of it, this isn't a particularly large school in its first year. We are hoping that with 70 kids, that it wouldn't be too expensive. They are having difficulty locating. The head of schools is here if you have questions for them.



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	CHAIR GARRISON: We'll ask the questions
2	of each individual school as the school comes up.
3	Thank you for rushing up here. Sorry about that.
4	That was your exercise bout for the day.
5	Commissioner Shearman.
6	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So now I'm
7	thoroughly confused. They are considering using the
8	National Guard facility? No, no. What facility are
9	they proposing to use for even the first year?
10	MS. CASIAS: Commissioner, I do not have
11	the address of that facility, but it is not the
12	National Guard. I am assuming that he could answer
13	that question, he being the leader of the school,
14	but I don't have the address in front of me, but it
15	is not the National Guard facility.
16	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And it's not the
17	Alcalde center?
18	MS. CASIAS: Yes, it is.
19	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And Deputy Secretary
20	Aguilar says that is an unacceptable site.
21	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Commissioner,
22	it's not an E occupancy site.
23	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I didn't mean to put
24	words in your mouth.
25	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: It's not an E



occupancy site, so they would have to make 1 2 modifications to it to bring it up to E occupancy. 3 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you. 4 CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions from commissioners. 5 6 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield. COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. 8 9 Chair. I need some help. I am kind of new at 10 So as I understand it, these schools are 11 this. 12 coming to us requesting the ability to start school 13 in the fall, and you are reporting to us on their progress with finding a location. Is it normal for 14 15 schools to be battling with this issue this late in 16 the game in trying to find a location before school 17 starts in August or September? 18 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 19 Canfield, this is PSFA's first year in being 20 involved per the House Bill 283, but perhaps other 21 members of the charter school commission or perhaps 22 Deputy Secretary Aguilar can answer that question. 23 It's my understanding that this may be common, but



CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant and

PSFA, this is our first year being legally involved.

24

25

then we will go back to Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: So again, the rating, does that -- what I am trying to figure out is the school on track to do okay. Are the plans in place that it's all going to come together before the school year, and is there some sort of indication or score that helps determine that?

MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair and Commissioner, if you look at the last column where it says meets E occupancy and the average weighted NMCI, if there is a yes in that column, that means they have met the score, they are okay with the score, and they are also in an E occupancy. We added this column at the request of the PEC commission.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair, if I could follow along with that.

So if they are not in an E occupancy rated building now, does the score indicate that they will be?

MR. GORRELL: There are two items that we measure. The E occupancy refers to building code.

Building code manages life, safety, and health. The weighted New Mexico Condition Index is a score given to the building itself on how well it supports the function that's going to be within it. In other



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

words, is the classroom of adequate size and configuration of attributes that it would support a general classroom or a science classroom or whatever the program is that's intended for that space. That score measures that.

It also does look at life, safety, and health issues if they are mission critical, if they don't have a heating and air conditioning system, for instance, to keep the children within the range of the adequacy standard. So we measure all of those kinds of things and give it a score. Charters are required to be at least average as traditional schools or better.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: One more follow on, Mr. Chair.

The scenario of a school that's being built or under construction, you are unable to issue the E occupancy rating because the school is not done, I would hate to, because there is a no in that column, vote that they are not able to start school in the fall when their plans dictate that it will be done in time. Is there something to help them with that?

MR. GORRELL: Yes. There are two answers to that, and thank you for the question. First, if



they have a permit and they are building the school, it says what they are going to do and they will have an E occupancy. We look at that permit set to see that it was designed and approved with an E occupancy, so that one is an easy one.

We have a couple of instances where the schools are going to move into a space that -- it has to meet an E occupancy. That's the bottom line for safety. But if it doesn't meet the weighted NMCI requirement, if they have a plan to be able to achieve that within 18 months, we review that plan to see that it makes sense, the location generally, who they are going to work with, whether they have a funding source. We don't go into detail on that. We review it for sufficiency and generally.

It then goes from us to Public Education

Department. They can see our comments, and the

Public Education Department would look at the

finances and that in more detail.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you.

Mr. Chair, one more thing.

CHAIR GARRISON: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thanks for taking time to educate me. I appreciate it. So when we get to the point where we are going to be asked to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

vote on this, am I to understand that PED will have 1 2 a recommendation and will have examined all those things to give us a feeling for the readiness of the 3 4 school? Is that fair? 5 MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, commissioners, I 6 guess I wasn't aware that you would be voting on 7 Of course that would be your business. understood this was just a report of the current 8 9 progress. From us, once we make a recommendation, 10 it goes to Public Education Department who review it 11 and pass it on to the Public Education Commission 12 that then later you would act on these individually. 13 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary. 15 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: I think we do 16 have recommendations. We have received this 17 information and recommendations are forthcoming when 18 we get to that item. 19 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you. 20 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman. I do have a 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 22 question. We just discussed that La Tierra doesn't 23 have a place yet. How can they have an NMCI score 24 if they don't have a place?



MS. CASIAS: What we do is, they haven't

signed a lease yet with this particular place, but 1 2 if they move forward and if they are approved, they 3 have a weighted NMCI. So we go to the facility, we 4 look at it and we assess it. They haven't 5 necessarily moved in. 6

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, so this is the score they would get if they move into the building that we have looked at. If they, as they have several times before, decided they don't want that building, maybe it's going to be too expensive, they go to a different site, we would adjust our report.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So this number is based on this potential Alcalde site; is that correct?

> MR. GORRELL: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.

18 CHAIR GARRISON: Any other questions for

19 commissioners?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please continue.

MS. CASIAS: The next school on the list is the Mission Achievement and Success Charter School located in Albuquerque, and this one does meet both of the criteria. It meets the average weighted NMCI and it does have an E occupancy.



The next school on our list is McCurdy 1 2 Charter School located in Santa Cruz which is in the Española vicinity. This is the one school that has 3 4 given us an 18 month plan of correction for our 5 review. We have reviewed it. We have not yet 6 issued an approval letter, but it seems to have all 7 the pieces that we have asked for. We have had a preliminary meeting with the school and everything 8 9 is in place. 10 I stand for questions on this one. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: I remember when you all 12 presented last time and we talked about the 18 month 13 plan of correction. Is that in statute or rule? don't recall. 14 15 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chairman, it's in 16 statute. CHAIR GARRISON: So you "shall" grant them 17 18 that. 19 MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, I don't know. 20 would defer to legal. It could say "may" approve. Typically I would say it would, but I am just 21 22 guessing. And I say typically, because most of the 23 statutes around school facilities use the word "may"



DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, may I just

more than "shall."

24

read the sentence?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: Kelly, go ahead.

July 1st, 2011, a new charter school shall not open and an existing charter school shall not relocate unless the facilities of the new or relocated charter school, as measured by the New Mexico Condition Index, receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools for that year, or the charter school demonstrates, within 18 months of occupancy or relocation of the charter, the way in which the facilities will achieve a rating equal to or better than the average New Mexico Condition Index.

CHAIR GARRISON: That's a "shall." I have a solution. So Bob, just make Norteños Virtual Charter School. Then you have it all.

Any other questions? Please continue.

MS. CASIAS: The next school on our list is the New America School of Las Cruces, and this school has a yes for the weighted NMCI and a yes for the E occupancy, but it has a slightly different nuance. This school submitted a set of building plans approved by CID, so we know what the E occupancy is and we know what the weighted NMCI is.



The caveat is it is not yet finished, nor have 1 2 students moved in, nor does it have its final inspection done by CID. 3 4 I stand for questions on this school. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: Any questions? 6 Commissioner Shearman. 7 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: When is it slated 8 for completion? 9 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner, in 10 discussion with the architect, it would be 11 August 15th, and they plan to open the doors, I 12 believe it was September 1. 13 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Oh, they are 14 optimistic. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: Hold on. Please come 16 forward and identify yourself. 17 MR. CRAIG COOK: My name is Craig Cook. 18 am the chief business operations for the New America 19 School network, also one of the founders. 20 question came up about three weeks ago, and we thought we had clarified it with Richard Romero of 21 22 PSFA. The contract for completion is 1 August.



August 15th date is the penalties for the contractor

if we are not in by 1 August. The school opening

date is 20 August, because we are supposed to be in

23

24

25

on 1 August. And our alternative plan as submitted to PED is 27 August. We would slip it a week. So the construction contract is to be completed by 1 August. That's when we expect our CO and final inspections.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

Please continue with the presentation.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, I need to also qualify that. We often do have schools that have contract completion dates, and if they don't meet the substantial completion or certificate of occupancy, rather, then they can't be occupied, and we have them that have done that. So it certainly is one that requires minding, and we would not finalize our recommendation until we knew that we had their certificate of occupancy before we send it to PED. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.

Mr. Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I will have numerous questions. I live there, drive by it every day, so I will have a lot of questions. Thank you.

MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, members of the commission, the next school on our list is the Sage



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Montessori Charter School, and that does not have E occupancy nor the weighted NMCI.

Next school is the Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics and Science Academy. They do meet the weighted NMCI, but they do not have an E occupancy at this time nor an 18 month plan of correction on file.

The other school, the next school is the Uplift Community School located in Gallup, and this one we have an update. On the 26th, we have a new score generated which is the 12.47, which meets the average weighted NMCI. And they plan to buy modular classrooms that have an E occupancy, but we don't have the floor plans. We don't have the copy of the lease. If they don't move on the modular classrooms, they do not meet the average weighted NMCI, so they couldn't be in that facility.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I stand for questions on this school if} \\ \mbox{there are any.}$

CHAIR GARRISON: Seeing none, please continue.

MS. CASIAS: The last school on our list is the William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community School. This school currently does not meet the average weighted NMCI nor have an E occupancy. They



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have verbally told us that they plan to submit an 18 month plan of correction, but we have not seen it yet.

CHAIR GARRISON: We have a comment by Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, one thing regarding the statute that the commission might want to think about, the average weighted NMCI for New Mexico right now is about 30.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Deputy Secretary, that would be the facility condition index.

MS. CASIAS: Yes. The facility index is 33.52 and the average weighted NMCI is 22.51, I believe.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chairman, what that means is that 22 percent of the school has something that, you know, that needs to be done. It could be a heating system, a cooling system, it could be paint, new tile needed, whatever. This statute that requires that these buildings be equal to that weighted NMCI means that these schools are almost looking for pristine educational spaces, which I'm not saying they don't deserve, but I'm saying to meet that test is pretty significant and it may not be achievable for some of these schools over the



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

long period.

And so it's just for maybe the August meeting to add that as a discussion point to think about and maybe get a presentation on, on the weighted NMCI and what exactly that looks like in a building. So the commissioners are aware of what's going on as we do that. I see Bob frowning, so he is obviously disagreeing with me on something. I just want to make it a point that obviously charter schools need to be in as pristine as any other schools. I'm not arguing that point at all, but this test may be a little significant, and we may want to discuss that.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I understand the 22.51 and that it could be called pristine, but sitting on the PSCOC and hearing the districts coming up and saying we need to replace this school. If we don't give them a good place to start, then later down the road in five years, they are going to be coming to the PSFA PSCOC process, looking to do major improvements on what they already have. We need to give them or require them to start with the best they can so that later down the road, it's not costing the taxpayers more than



it should be costing them. That's just my position.

I mean, yeah, we could let them have anything they want, but they are going to come back in a few years and say, help, I need this replaced. I need it built, rebuilt, whatever. Okay. That's my position. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Maybe that's why Mr. Gorrell was frowning.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: It's a lot. It's a lot.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It's hard to say.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, if that's a question, I was in all the deliberations by the legislature when it was considered, and, you know, there was a pretty strong consensus that it wasn't fair to the charter students if they moved into something less than average that every other traditional school child would be in.

The 33 percent as a facility condition index means that if you have a new home or you were in a home that was worth \$100,000, it needs 33,000 of repairs. So it's far less than pristine, and that is the average facility condition. The weighted NMCI which accompanies that includes all of the other condition space. You can see it's a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

little smaller number, but that is the average of school conditions as far as the functionality within the State of New Mexico.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Just a comment. I don't disagree with Mr. Gant at all. I am supportive. The students do need the great spaces. But when you are looking -- there shouldn't be a but in there, but when you're looking at the struggles, for example, that the school in Española is having, I mean, they may not -- if they don't meet this occupancy, they are not able to offer that quality program that their students deserve.

So I'm not suggesting that those students deserve any less of a quality facility. I am only suggesting that in some areas, it may be difficult for them to find that facility, and they don't have the capacity to yet, because they don't exist yet really until this year, to come to PSCOC and say help us with assistance in building the facility. They have to wait that first five years before they are eligible for PSCOC assistance.

It's sort of a catch-22, and I certainly would love to see them all in schools like Sue



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cleveland High School, but I think there are some struggles that some of these communities have in finding that. And I would just bring it forward as a discussion item, maybe in August when we start thinking about the legislative package and things of that nature.

Mr. Chairman, thank you.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I have a question.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: According to the bill that's driving all of this, we really don't have a choice, do we? If they don't meet the requirements, then what are our options? Just say go ahead into a crummy facility. I have been beaten up in the last few years talking about charter schools that were held in chicken coops. I never was aware of that, but I have had people tell me that. I certainly don't want a child in a terrible facility. But if we go ahead and approve commencing operation for a school that does not have a facility that meets the requirement, aren't we in violation of the statute?

MR. VIGIL: I think they would be.

However, they have the 18 month plan that they can
submit. If they have submitted their 18 month plan,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 then you can approve them.

2 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: However, if they

3 | have not submitted that plan --

MR. VIGIL: If there is no plan and the building doesn't meet it, then it says "shall," and we heard her read the statute.

7 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Is there a deadline 8 for submitting that 18 month plan?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, may I comment just on that?

11 CHAIR GARRISON: Kelly Callahan, yes,

12 ma'am.

9

10

13

Commissioner Shearman, the previous years the only

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN:

condition was that they had to meet E occupancy, and so the schools were approved with -- obviously they

Mr. Chair,

17 had to have E occupancy to even have students. So

18 | they had to present that to the PED before they

19 could open their doors. So it was a conditioned

20 approval, if you will, because the schools, it's a

21 very fluid process. They are in the middle of

22 building. They are in the middle. They are not

23 going to get E occupancy until they are finished

24 with construction and they are finished with the

25 things that need to happen.



So to approve here, in order for them to receive their SEG, you know, again, as Deputy Secretary Aguilar stated, they are not really a school yet, but, you know, in order for them to start, they need to have this approval. And so in the past, it's just been E occupancy.

I would submit that what we need to do is look at a condition, that they have their condition index or their 18 month plan prior to opening in addition to this E occupancy, so that there would be a condition placed on this commencement of operations that would require them to have these steps in place to open their doors in August or September. And so I am putting that out there, because historically there was the approval to commence operations based on completion of the requirements for the planning year, but knowing that schools are going to be in renovation, under construction, and are still in that process, they are not finished June 1st. They are going to be finished August 1st or thereabouts. So I just put that out there just as a historical perspective.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



would like to ask Bob. I know you have it on your 1 2 website, but could you make a copy of the weighted NMCI worksheet, the explanation, and e-mail it to 3 4 each of the commissioners? That way they have a 5 chance to go see what goes into developing that 6 index or the weighted index. 7 MR. GORRELL: Yes, Deputy Secretary, we 8 will do that. 9 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield. 10 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 12 So I want to just make sure I am clear on 13 what Kelly stated. So in a hypothetical situation, 14 if a school is under construction now, they don't 15 have the E rated certification yet, we vote to have 16 them enter into operations, we can be assured that 17 they will not be allowed to enter into operations 18 until that building has been adequately certified. 19 So if it wasn't, for some reason if the construction 20 didn't get completed or they ran out of money or stopped or whatever, they would not go into 21 22 operation. Is that correct? 23 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 24 Commissioner Canfield, that is correct. They do 25 have to produce that documentation before anything



can happen. And, again, this is a brand new process. We are probably going to have to work very closely with the PSFA in order to, you know, make sure we have all of the proper documentation as necessary for the compliance with the statute.

CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Gorrell.

MR. GORRELL: Mr. Chair, on that point, the Construction Industries Division, the CID that we keep talking about, will issue a certificate of occupancy for E, if that's what's been applied, and yes, they won't be allowed to occupy the building.

As far as having a plan or the building meeting the weighted Condition Index average, as I said when we began, it's a process. It takes us -- we would need a couple of weeks at least, and this isn't the only business that we have to provide statutorily.

So just a head's up, if we get caught with, say, something that the council has us working on, that it will probably be in front of this, but we'll do the best we can. Two weeks probably would be the absolute minimum.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Just one more

follow-up. So maybe for a safeguard, a conditional



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

approval might be best. Even though our 1 2 understanding is they can't move in, I would feel 3 more comfortable approving them with a condition 4 stated in our minutes that they had to have the 5 rating and the E occupancy before they were allowed 6 to commence operation. 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 8 9 Commissioner Shearman. 10 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: But their SEG 11 funding starts the 1st of July? 12 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 13 Commissioner Shearman, that's correct. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So worst case 14 15 scenario, a school begins receiving their SEG 16 funding -- and I don't know how that's all doled 17 out, if you get a huge lump sum at first or if it's 18 monthly or whatever -- but the school begins 19 receiving their SEG funding. And then the 1st of 20 September or whatever it is, their building is still 21 not ready to go. What happens if it's six months 22 later before they can start school? What happens to 23 all that money in the meantime, or what if they 24 never get started? What happens?



CHAIR GARRISON: I will defer to Deputy

25

Secretary Aguilar. You're having a good time. I can tell.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Aren't you glad you came.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman and Commissioner, absolutely. It's the world we live in. We would have to claw that money back.

The other consideration, there is a lot of implications to this vote today. Not only the SEG, but folks will have signed contracts. That's a legal document. I mean, Mr. Vigil can talk to that, but that's a legal document that some folks consider a property right. So how do you terminate contracts even if you don't have kids? How do you terminate leases? How do you do any number of things? It's a conundrum.

For those that have a building and they have provided an 18 month plan and there are things to do or they already meet that, no problem. But if they don't, it's a conundrum because they are not entitled to that money, because there are no kids. And then you have got to claw it back, and they are paying salaries, and who is going to claw it back, and then we end up in a mess. There are a lot of implications to this. I would defer to Mr. Vigil



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for comment as well. There are a lot of implications to not meeting conditions.

CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Vigil, do you have any comments at this time?

MR. VIGIL: Yes. The only thing that I could add -- and he is absolutely correct -- if you have teacher contracts out and the school doesn't go for whatever reason, you would have to discharge those individuals and go through the discharge process using the reduction in force procedures, that might be in place for that school, in order to be able to break that contract.

In your leases that you are out there, you would have to take a look at the actual lease itself and the language in the lease to see what the termination provisions in those leases might state. And those are all the types of things that charter schools really have to be aware of as they are going into this process.

And as the commission reviews these today, it is very important, because anybody that is in the education business in New Mexico will tell you that the facilities portion of charter schools is the most difficult thing to figure out. People can put together elaborate, good educational plans, but it's



difficult to go out and find a built school that's ready for that plan. And in most cases, they are just not available.

And up until just recently before the legislation was added, people were holding classes in substandard facilities. And I think the intent of that legislation is to try to raise the standards of the facilities that are being used for charter students.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

Other comments?

Mr. Vigil, do you know, I have never even thought of this process in regard to the facilities with respect to the one year planning year. Is that statute or rule? Why not a two year planning year? What I am getting at and I think you all know, that we slow this thing down to get it right or make sure children aren't in chicken coops, to paraphrase Commissioner Shearman.

MR. VIGIL: I don't know, and Kelly might know to put her finger on that exactly. But it makes sense to give them at least one year to put things together, start hiring, and having everything in place to move forward. You can see from the material that you're going to be reviewing later on,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

everybody has check marks and everybody did 1 2 everything fine with regard to the policies and 3 adopting whatever needed to be adopted and putting 4 together whatever needed to be put together. It's 5 the facilities portion that is a problem for those 6 new charter schools. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. Commissioner Gant. 8 9 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I 10 believe it states -- and correct me, Ms. Callahan --11 once we say yes, it's six years total. Back when we 12 did it in September, the first year's planning. 13 After that it's operations, five years of 14 operations. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: I know it's that. I am 16 wondering why it's that. It's in statute. 17 SECRETARY GANT: 18 CHAIR GARRISON: So that was my question, 19 statute or rule? 20 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It's in statute. 21 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 22 Commissioner Gant, it is in regulation and statute 23 that they have to have a planning year. It doesn't 24 limit that. And again, historical perspective,



there have been schools that have been granted a

second planning year for varying purposes. And so it says they must have a planning year that they can't do anything but plan, but it doesn't limit that, and we have in the past granted an additional year.

CHAIR GARRISON: And that was my point.

In looking at that, I am just trying to stop my
brain here and figure out how those came about. So
we approved a charter. Then they were not ready. I
know one school declared that, We are not ready.

Can we have some more time, and we granted it.

But if we state at this June 27th meeting that we do not allow them to commence operations, that doesn't mean their charter application is denied. That just means that they are not allowed to commence operations, correct, and they would have time?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: And I'm going to have to defer to Mr. Vigil on that, because, you know, it says in the regulation that the planning year is there, and it doesn't allow for an extra operational year. They still only get the six total years. So instead of having five years, it would be four years. But in terms of what happens after today, this is unchartered territory.



CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Vigil.

MR. VIGIL: Mr. Chairman, commissioners, the way I understand this is when you approved their charters last year, it was on the condition that they met certain conditions before they started. You'll recall when you approved those, every resolution that you read off had a condition, conditioned that they meet the following items.

So the approvals were conditioned upon those, and now they have to meet those, and that's why they are coming back to let you know whether they have met those or not this time.

CHAIR GARRISON: And so if they have not, is their approval revoked? It seems like, because we have already done it, that we set precedence, that they can have another year even though their operation decreases by one year.

MR. VIGIL: Again, you have the opportunity to approve them with conditions again. You'll notice the resolutions that are presented in the modeled resolutions that are available for you, you can add another condition. Condition that by date such and such, you accomplish whatever, or that we will grant you on the condition that you use an additional year to continue your planning process.



It wouldn't be funded during that time, though. 1 2 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair, to me --CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: You know, if they 6 don't meet the conditions within the allotted time, 7 then they are not approved. That's simple. seems quite simple to me. Unless, you know, unless 8 9 we grant them more time maybe. You know, that's not 10 really stipulated either, but if we say, well, 11 you're approved with conditions, and if you meet 12 these conditions within eight months, six months, or 13 before school starts, then they haven't met the conditions, so therefore, there's no approval. 14 15 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: If the funding 16 starts, the money --17 COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes, the money. then the funding starts, but if they are no longer 18 19 approved, the funding can end. You can ask and ask 20 for the money back and do whatever you need, but at least the funding would stop if they are not meeting 21 22 the conditions. 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman. 24 CHAIR GARRISON: Deputy Secretary.



DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR:

25

Mr. Chairman

and Commissioner Carr, that's an important component. One of the reasons I am here -- because I love being here. Hope springs eternal to get one of your comments out earlier.

Go ahead, Mr. Garrison.

One of the reasons I am here, I have a statutory responsibility to approve every operating budget of every district and every charter school in the state, and I have until Friday to do it. And so if there are implications here, I believe one of these schools is coming forward asking for a 2nd planning year. I don't know if that's acceptable or not. I am just making the point.

So before I can sign that budget, I need to know what the final action is on it. So I am interested to see what action the commission takes regarding all of this, and it's an important discussion.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR GARRISON: Now, the implications for your department with regard to an extra planning year would be what?

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I don't know that I am prepared to answer that question in its entirety. I would have concerns



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with, if schools were granted an extra planning 1 2 year, there are folks involved in doing that work, the head of school, the curriculum people, whatever 3 they believe they are going to get done during that 4 5 planning year. And with the limited amount of 6 federal assistance that was provided last year and 7 no general fund assistance for a second planning year, my question would be how do they intend to 8 9 How do they intend to pay salaries? operate? 10 And also that planning money that was 11 appropriated last year, the federal planning grant, 12 a lot of those folks used that money to pay for 13 rent, to pay for, you know, those sort of first year 14 operating costs while they are getting the planning. 15 My question would be what the capacity is of the 16 school to sustain those costs over a second year. 17 That's one of my concerns, because there is no 18 provision for state general fund to be advanced or 19 distributed if a school is not in operation. Mr. Chairman, thank you. 20 21 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 22 Other questions? Concerns? Comments? 23 I'm sorry. Commissioner Bergman. 24 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Listening to the



comment, what I was going to do, and I think I will

anyway, right here in our executive summary, I'm 1 2 going to read this into the record. It says -- and it come out of NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-12 A 2011. 3 It says a charter school may be approved for an 4 5 initial term of six years, provided that the first 6 year is used exclusively for planning. The Public 7 Education Commission's practice is to approve charters subject to the condition that prior to the 8 9 end of the planning year and before the PEC shall 10 issue the authorization to commence full operation, 11 the charter school must comply with the requirements 12 of the planning year checklist developed by the 13 Charter Schools Division, period. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 15 Other comments? Questions? 16 Martica Casias, right? 17 MS. CASIAS: Casias, thank you. Mr. Chair, I have a correction to my 18 19 information. On page four for the southwest air 20 Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science 21 Academy, they do have an E occupancy. 22 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Say it again, 23 please. 24 MS. CASIAS: The Southwest Aeronautics, 25 Mathematics, and Science Academy does indeed have an



1 E occupancy. I had an error on my chart. 2 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you for that 3 correction. Any conclusion to your presentation? 4 5 know we drug it out with a lot of questions, but 6 that was valuable information and it was good to have this discussion, this being the first year of 7 that house bill. 8 9 MR. GORRELL: So they just need to make a 10 note that they meet all requirements at that 11 particular school. 12 CHAIR GARRISON: Yes, sir. 13 Any other questions or comments from the commissioners? 14 15 Commissioner Gorrell, Ms. Casias, I really appreciate it. This presentation is very valuable. 16 17 Thank you so much. 18 MS. CASIAS: Thank you. 19 MR. GORRELL: Thank you. 20 CHAIR GARRISON: We are at a breaking 21 point, not just a break point. Lunch, what do the commissioners want to do? I will take some 22 23 suggestions. Does anyone from the PED know if that 24 cafeteria is open?



DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman,

25

1 it's open until 2:00.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: Well, I'm sure the people in the audience would like to eat also. Maybe take a half hour to get our lunch and get back here and finish this thing off. We are on a half hour lunch break until 1:30.

7 (A lunch recess was taken between 1:01 8 p.m. and 1:34 p.m.)

CHAIR GARRISON: Call this meeting to the Public Education Commission back into session. Item No. 15 on our agenda is a vote on authorization to commence operation and give certificates of completion.

As a condition of being granted a charter, new schools are required to complete specific requirements during the planning year before they are given authorization to commence full operations for the remainder of their charter term. The following items were the conditions imposed on all schools. No. 1, planning year checklist. A charter school may be approved for an initial term of six years provided that the first year is used exclusively for planning. NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-12-A 2011.

The Public Education Commission's practice



is to approve charters subject to the condition that prior to the end of the planning year and before the PEC shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division. The planning year checklist is a compilation of the legally mandated Public Education Department compliance requirements.

During the planning year, each school is responsible for submitting evidence which demonstrates that their implementation progress is consistent with the conditions, standards, and procedures within its approved charter. NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-12B 2011. Substantial compliance with the planning year checklist is the criterion that the division uses to determine if the school is operationally ready to commence full operation. The charter schools, as part of their planning year activities with the CSD, will have, a, participated in nine planning year workshops provided by the Charter Schools Division throughout the year; b, uploaded on the New Mexico Public Education Department web EPSS platform, or provided physical evidence validating the completion of planning year



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

checklist items; c, had an on-site visit by CSD staff; and d, completed individual conditions specific to each school imposed by the Public Education Commission which formed part of that school's planning year checklist.

No. 2, board of finance designation. The governing body of the charter school must submit an application to the Public Education Commission to be designated as a board of finance pursuant to 6.80.4.16 NMAC on or before the end of its planning year, June 30, 2012.

Under No. 2 is A. There is no B. But all of the schools listed on page one were approved as boards of finance on October 21, 2011.

No. 3, facility. A, the charter school shall meet educational occupancy standards required by applicable New Mexico construction codes, NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011; b, the charter school will demonstrate to the CSD that, prior to commencing operations, that it has sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public School Facilities Authority, that the facility the charter school intends to occupy will receive a condition rating wNMCI, equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools



for the year the charter intends to occupy the facility or the charter school can demonstrate that within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan for achieving the wNMCI, NMSA 1978, Section 22-8B-4.2C 2011.

And letter c, included in the PEC notebook are the supporting documents regarding facilities, which includes the PSFA information as well as any contingency plans.

Five, included in the PEC document notebooks are files for each new school which contain, No. 1, resolution motion for the school; No. 2, planning year checklist; No. 3, facility documentation. Letter a under facility documentation is letter a, lease; b, PSFA documentation; c, supporting documentation; d, contingency plan and timeline; and e, any other pertinent documentation from the school.

Six, motion overview. No. 1, the PEC may approve a resolution for the school to receive authorization for full commencement of operations. The school begin receiving SEG funding as of July 1. No. 2, the PEC may approve the school for commencement of operations with conditions to be completed prior to the opening of the school. The



school will receive SEG funding as of July 1. 1 2 No. 3, the PEC may deny the school approval for commencement of operations. The school 3 4 will not receive SEG funding. If the commission 5 refuses to issue the authorization to commence full 6 operation, it shall provide its reasons in writing 7 which shall be limited to the reasons set forth in subsection d of 6.80.4.11 NMAC, subsection e of 8 9 6.80.4.11 NMAC. The reasons for denial from 10 subsection d of 6.80.4.11 NMAC are not qualifying as 11 a board of finance or not satisfying any of the 12 conditions imposed by the PEC. 13 We have a list of schools here. First is Coral Community Charter School, and Kelly Callahan, 14 15 you may proceed. I'm looking for my glasses. 16 may proceed. 17 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and 18 commissioners, before I start I just would like to, 19 if I may, outline a little bit about what happened 20 during this planning year and put some context around the discussion from earlier. 21



FAX (505) 843-9492

it.

22

23

24

25



DIRECTOR CALLAHAN:

CHAIR GARRISON: Absolutely. We welcome

Mr. Chair, commissioners, the planning

Thank you.

year began after the school was approved as a board of finance in October of 2011, and we, as the Charter Schools Division, created trainings that the schools were required to attend once a month throughout that planning year to discuss protocols and procedures, to outline the requirements that need to happen for the school to begin operations, what it is they need to know from the various divisions and bureaus from the Public Education Department, a comprehensive curriculum, if you will, for the planning year so schools would have some information that they could use to open their school.

Included in this curricula that we had developed were presentations by the PSFA regarding the House Bill 283 and the information that's been outlined in the previous presentation by Mr. Gorrell and Ms. Casias.

And Mr. Gorrell pointed out that this is a brand new process. Working with the schools, it wasn't until January that we had a really solid handle on what it is that the schools are required to do. We did multiple presentations at that point. We did an introduction in November, and then did the actual nuts and bolts planning in January to prepare



the schools. And as was alluded to in the presentation in the past, the only requirement was that E occupancy. And so this was a very different process for the schools to follow. And we feel that the schools did their best to do the things that were asked of them. We worked with them on a constant basis.

The historical perspective, just again to reiterate, in the past, the approvals to commence operations were set forth by the PEC with the condition that the schools meet the building criteria, which was E occupancy at that time, and for this particular year, we have the two conditions now that the schools have to do the NMCI weighted index and in addition to that the E occupancy. If they don't meet the NMCI index, then they have to have a plan for an 18 month implementation to improve that index.

So the schools have really worked very hard. I have to say that the report that the PSFA put out there, there is some inconsistencies as to information that we have received that you have in your packets. You may have copies of letters and things that have happened. Again, this was a year that we were developing the process, and we really



in my mind learned a lot to really coordinate the efforts of the CSD and the PSFA to ensure that the schools are getting adequate information and that there's a very clear expectation of what it is that has to happen for the schools to commence operations.

And, you know, in a learning process, there are things that I would do differently, and I realize that we have some things that we need to implement and discuss with the charter school committee, again, looking at that process of the contract. So I really wanted to emphasize that the schools have been working very hard to fulfill the obligations by statute and by regulation to become ready to operate.

So I thank you for letting me sort of outline that process, because they have been working, many of them, without any kind of compensation and spent a considerable amount of time and effort and a lot of work that has gone into preparation for this.

So the information that you have in your books is the planning year checklist which outlines what it is they had to do for compliance according to the public ed department, and you also have all



of the documentation that we have to date, and this was as of June 15th, of the documentation for what they have for the facilities.

So I hope that kind of contextualizes a little bit about what we have been doing this past year, and that be assured that this process is going to be evolving, and we'll make this as tight as we possibly can. And so I just have to say that the schools have done their best efforts and have really put forth the time and energy to do the best work.

So thank you, Mr. Chair, I appreciate that allowance for comment.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. Is there a CSD recommendation in here?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

commissioners, at the bottom of the resolution page.

17 CHAIR GARRISON: Oh, there it is. Got it.

18 Thank you. I was fishing through and it's on the

19 first white page behind the yellow is the CSD

20 recommendation.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and commissioners, I am wondering what you would like to do in terms of the process for working with the schools individually. Would you like them to come up?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24



CHAIR GARRISON: I would like each school to come up, and I would like you to summarize and then state the CSD's recommendation for the record, and then we can proceed with a discussion and final vote.

So come on up, Coral.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Would you guys go ahead and state for the record your name and role that you serve at the school.

MR. REID NUNN: Reid Nunn, one of the founders of Coral Community.

MS. DONNA ELDREDGE: Donna Eldredge. I'm also one of the founders and the head administrator for Coral Community Charter.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, commissioners, Coral Community School motion resolution, the Charter Schools Division recommends approval for Coral Community School to commence full operations based on their completion of the planning year requirements outlined in statute and rule. The recommendation for approval is pending documentation of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan prior to the opening of the school.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. Discussion by



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

commissioners or questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members,
Ms. Callahan, item 2.5 on the checklist, which is
the operating budget, I think, for each one of the
charter schools, it was supposed to have been
blessed by the 22nd of the month. Has the operating
budget for the first year been approved for Coral?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, I guess I'm going to refer to Mr. Aguilar for that. My understanding is that all the schools have been approved.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, with only a few exceptions -- actually, no. I believe all of the charter schools that are pending approval as of today have been approved by the school budget and Finance Analysis Bureau and their technical reviews have taken place. They are sitting on my desk pending my review and signature. Again, I am waiting to sign those until I see what the action of the commission is.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, item No. 3.8, Ms. Callahan, which is the draft policies in there that need to be approved, and I believe it says they are going to be approved by the 29th of June. I



thought all of the policies had to be approved before we came before the commission.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Gant, the policies, it varies when they can be approved. The policies can go all the way until December 31st. It just depends on the type of policy and what's going on. The drafts have been included in the uploads to the web apps, and so the school is making progress. The mention on here is their governance council approval on June 29th.

SECRETARY GANT: Do you have a signed lease? Mr. Chair, do you have a signed lease?

MS. ELDREDGE: We have a proposed lease that has been signed with our foundation, and our governance council is working to purchase the facility for us, so all of that information is included in the packet. We are concerned that the building won't be ready for occupancy immediately, so we do have an occupancy facility in the location -- very close to the location that we are proposing that we will be leasing until the building is available.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Would you mind moving the microphone a little closer, please.

SECRETARY GANT: Please explain that



You are going to occupy one building. Does 1 aqain. 2 it have E occupancy? MS. ELDREDGE: It does not have E 3 4 occupancy at this time, but the plan, all of our 5 architectural plans and the PSFA rating, it will 6 have E occupancy when it's been remodeled. 7 SECRETARY GANT: And when is that remodel 8 to be completed? 9 In October. MS. ELDREDGE: 10 SECRETARY GANT: Thank you. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman. 12 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you. So where 13 are you going to hold school until October or is school not going to start until October? 14 15 MS. ELDREDGE: No. We are going to start. 16 We are going to be holding our classes at a facility 17 located just blocks from our proposed facility. 18 They do have an E occupancy. They have classrooms 19 that meet our needs. So we will be holding our 20 classes there until our building is available. 21 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Then why on the E 22 occupancy column of this report we just got does it 23 say no? 24 MS. ELDREDGE: Well, we weren't sure about 25 that, so we spoke to Mr. Gorrell during the recess



about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: He is behind you.

MS. ELDREDGE: Well, I'm going to defer to Ms. Triolo, who is our governance council chairman, and she is going to explain.

CHAIR GARRISON: Stay speaking into the microphone, please. We are transcribing it. It's very, very hard to hear, so I didn't catch her name and I know the transcriber didn't.

MS. ELDREDGE: It's Tania Triolo. She is our governance council chairperson, and she has been working with the PSFA. So we did have some questions, and I know she spoke to Mr. Gorrell at the intermission.

MS. TANIA TRIOLO: So what we have done is we have a building that we are purchasing or we would like to purchase through our council, and PSFA came, inspected it, before we are in this due diligence period to make sure it would pass, that we could turn it into an E occupancy building, which they gave us a conditional rating that, yes, if you do these things it will pass. We have the architects on board.

We did meet with PSFA just on Thursday.

Although they had documented they hadn't had any



contact with us, we did have a meeting at their office on this Thursday with our architects in tow, trying to make sure we are all on the same page.

We have a short construction -- we were thinking short construction fuse. We'll be, you know, through this whole process by August, but there's a chance, being construction as it is and approval is what it is, that we won't. So therefore, we secured facilities this week that are at a church that has E occupancy. And we have not gotten PSFA over there yet, because we have just come through this week since we haven't submitted this new round of documents since the 15th. But we do have an E occupancy space, so that if our facility is not complete, we have a space that we can temporarily locate in that is close to our space that would provide us that E occupancy.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Tell me again, has PSFA seen this alternative site you're talking about?

MS. TRIOLO: No, they have not. Because after our meeting on Thursday with PSFA and them going over the plans with the architects, saying that the City of Albuquerque, who is the one who issues the E occupancy permit -- you're dealing with



two different departments. Them saying they really 1 2 don't have any impetus on what the City of Albuquerque does and what they do with their 3 4 permits, that it could be possible that maybe we 5 don't have an E occupancy certificate by the time we 6 open. And this was, you know, Thursday, at 7 Thursday's meeting. It made us realize we better line up another E occupancy facility just in case we 8 9 can't open in ours. 10 So since then, we have found another E 11 occupancy facility, but PSFA has not been out to 12 that facility yet to see it. That's where we are 13 at. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Let me have an 15 interjection by Deputy Secretary. 16 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr. 17 Chairman. 18 I don't know that -- PSFA doesn't certify 19 E occupancy. The city does. So if a building has 20 an E occupancy certificate, it should be hanging on the wall of that building. I mean, all you have got 21 22 to do is pull it off the wall and make a copy of it. 23 MS. ELDREDGE: Yes. 24 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: So why --



MS. TRIOLO: Why don't we have a copy of

25

1 that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Right.

MS. TRIOLO: Obviously it's because I did not realize that that would be needed. We submitted our documents by the 15th, and this has just been a scramble, and I did not have that document.

CHAIR GARRISON: Any questions?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: This, I guess, is a comment. I hope that -- I don't know how everyone is going to vote, but I hope that you -- and I'm sure you are making every effort going to the building you plan to stay in. When students are disrupted at the beginning of the year, especially at the beginning of the year, but any time in the middle that consistency, you know, is broken up, I hate to see students start somewhere, go there for several weeks or a month, and then pick up and then start somewhere else. And, I mean, in the meantime, the teachers are having to deal with it and get organized, and so the teachers aren't at their

So it's not something, I don't believe -you know, it's not one of the reasons maybe for



optimal either.

saying no or something, but for future reference, maybe that should be something that's part of the rules. Shifting kids from one facility to another at the beginning of the school year, I think, should be frowned on, in the least, and maybe shouldn't be allowed at all.

CHAIR GARRISON: Your point is taken.

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, are you going to have two leases? Is that what I'm hearing? Do you have a lease at that church?

MS. TRIOLO: We do not have a lease at the church. The church wanted to make sure, before they entered into any lease talk, that we truly were approved as a school.

SECRETARY GANT: And you are going to sign a lease for this building you are going to renovate?

MS. TRIOLO: Correct. The building we are

renovating is being purchased by our foundation, the school foundation, and we have a lease that we will sign with the school foundation.

SECRETARY GANT: My question is -- I thought a minute ago -- does the foundation have the financial means at this point to buy that building?

MS. TRIOLO: Yes.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



The owner of the

building is carrying financing and also puttingmoney upfront for renovation.

SECRETARY GANT: All right. You are going to go into that church, assuming that PSFA or somebody blesses it, the city or whoever. You understand that wherever you have children, you have to have insurance, purchased insurance through NMPSIA. So are you going to have insurance on both of them?

MS. TRIOLO: We will have -- in speaking with NMPSIA, because also we submitted -- one of the reasons we don't have a -- you know, also with the lease process, we submitted our lease to NMPSIA for their recommendations as to, you know, what corrections and such we needed and also our insurance, and what they said is they would be able to write policies -- be able to have policies for the school covering which area we are in.

SECRETARY GANT: All right. Mr. Chair, I notice in the item 3.3, which is transportation, I can't figure out, are you furnishing transportation or not?

MS. TRIOLO: No, sir.

24 SECRETARY GANT: It doesn't say that 25 either way. It wasn't clear to me what you were





1	doing.
2	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman.
4	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I was just
5	going to suggest but then I revised what I was
6	going to suggest based on what you just said. But
7	depending on what happens here in the very near
8	future, in a few minutes, should you end up with
9	that lease at this church, then it might be
10	appropriate that then you take a picture of that E
11	occupancy, because it's not really your E occupancy
12	certificate until you have signed a lease. And then
13	you send that copy to Acting Director Callahan and
14	that will satisfy some of these other concerns
15	perhaps.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
17	Bergman.
18	Other comments?
19	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: We will go with
21	Commissioner Canfield and then Commissioner Gant.
22	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr.
23	Chair.
24	So the building is being renovated now, so
25	no work has begun on the building that you are



looking at now?

can begin immediately?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TRIOLO: We are still in the discovery, in the -- we were hoping to close by the end of this week, but we still needed to get more information architecturally; hence the meeting with PSFA. So we have not closed on that until we have this other due diligence information. So we will not close on that building until we have all our due diligence, and then be able to close on it. We are hoping to close within the next week or two but -- COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: So renovation funds are available once you have closed that you

MS. TRIOLO: Yes, yes. And we had planned on, you know, in our timeline we had planned on closing by June 30th. Unfortunately with inspections and such, that did not occur, and so that means that our timeline is going to be off.

And hence, we decided to come up with a plan B in case renovations were not complete.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair, one follow on.

So let's do a hypothetical that renovation or the building purchase doesn't work out and for some reason the church doesn't work out. If this



commission approves you to go forward today, you 1 2 start receiving funds in July. Are you prepared to pay those funds back if your school doesn't start at 3 4 the date you're talking about? 5 MS. TRIOLO: Yes. We have discussed -- as 6 far as our funding goes, we have not spent upfront 7 all our grant money just in case we ran into any issues. And so I don't think we would be spending 8 9 that money until we hire -- we will not hire until 10 we are sure we have everything in place. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant. 12 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, 13 how old is this building you are going to renovate? MS. TRIOLO: The building was built in 14 15 1988. 16 SECRETARY GANT: Okay. So I can almost 17 assume we won't worry about Hazmat in that building? 18 There has been what is MS. TRIOLO: No. 19 the level one inspection that checks for hazardous, 20 anything like that. It does have that inspection 21 done and that was okay. 22 SECRETARY GANT: So when you go into the 23 church, if that's where you go, and you get approved 24 for that, again, when do you plan to open school?



MS. TRIOLO: We plan on opening September

4th, although we want to have one day that is a --

MS. ELDREDGE: What we planned for was the possibility that we may have to open later. So in August, when the large district attends -- they start school, we have a date that same date. We have a day with our students that we call a jump start day, just to make sure that, you know, they are not feeling left out when all the other students go to school. But we had planned initially to start September 4th, which is the day after Labor Day, in order to give us some leeway with the renovations.

SECRETARY GANT: This may seem like a funny question to ask, because we are talking facilities, et cetera. Most of your stuff on the checklist is done, okay. What about furniture and equipment and computers and all this other stuff that has to be placed in the church and, I assume, moved again? Have you made any purchases at all in preparation?

MS. TRIOLO: Yes.

MS. ELDREDGE: Yes. We actually have, very close to the facility, I believe it's 11 storage units with everything we need to start school.

SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
2	Gant.
3	Other questions by commissioners?
4	A comment by Deputy Secretary.
5	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I
6	have a question.
7	Do you have a schedule that's been
8	approved in your budget? Does that calendar begin
9	September 4th?
10	MS. ELDREDGE: The calendar does state our
11	jump start date and, yes, it does state
12	September 4th.
13	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: So you are not
14	planning to not have school on days you move? I am
15	assuming you are going to move on the weekend or
16	something
17	MS. ELDREDGE: Yes.
18	DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: when you
19	back into your regular facility?
20	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Deputy
22	Secretary.
23	Any other questions or comments? Seeing
24	none, the Chair will entertain a motion.
25	Commissioner Bergman.



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I hereby move
adoption of the following resolution: Whereas Coral
Community School was granted a charter with the
provision that before the commission shall issue the
authorization to commence full operation, the
charter school must comply with the requirements of
the planning year checklist developed by the Charter
Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools
Division has assured the commission that the charter
school has substantially complied with the
requirements of planning year checklist, has met the
conditions put forth by the commission upon charter
approval, and has demonstrated that it has sought
and obtained certification from the New Mexico
Public School Facilities Authority, that the
facility will receive a condition rating equal to or
better than the average condition for all New Mexico
public schools or can demonstrate that within 18
months of occupancy that it has a plan for achieving
the wNMCI; therefore, be it resolved that Coral
Community School is granted authorization to
commence full operations as a state chartered
charter school.
However, the school cannot occupy a



facility with students until the Charter Schools

Division receives written notification that the school building has been approved for E occupancy under NMSA 1978, Section 22-8B-4.2 A 2011.

CHAIR GARRISON: Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Second.

CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner

Pogna. Is there any discussion?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY GANT: Yes, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I think there are certain conditions we can add besides the E occupancy. Those draft policies in item 3.8 need to be shown to have been approved. We need a copy -- I don't know what words you want to use. I'm not sure. We need a copy of the lease agreement between the school and the church that specifies all requirements for a lease.

I believe we also need -- I had another one. Old age just caught up with me. Oh, the last one, we need proof of insurance through NMPSIA for the church. If they are going to occupy that church, we need whatever insurance policy or written policy that NMPSIA is going to produce for this arrangement they have. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Any discussion?



Ms. Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I just have a question. I agree with your conditions,

Commissioner Gant, but the first one, that all the policies, we need those as a condition. And I think

Ms. Callahan gave us a date that all of those policies in final form are due. If we included that specific date, then it would clarify that they are due right now. That there is a timeline on those.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, the due date shown on the chart is the 29th of June of this year. I just want to make sure these are operational, the basic policies and procedures for the governance body and they need to be in place.

CHAIR GARRISON: May I have clarification on that, Kelly?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Gant, the checklist has the various

policies, and I just want to clarify, Commissioner

Gant, that the ones that you are talking about are

the ones that are noted that say June 29th. Because

some of the policies are later in the year. They

need to come through the fall, in December, but the

ones that are up for approval on June 29th, to get

verification of those approvals. Am I understanding



that correctly?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and Ms. 2 Callahan, they are listed under item 3.8. That's 3 4 the only ones I am dealing with. The other ones you 5 are dealing with special education aren't due until 6 the 31st of December, et cetera. Those specifically 7 state they will be done on the 29th of June. are from the governance body. For the governance 8 9 body to operate properly, they must be approved in 10 an open meeting.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Gant, yes. I will definitely make that

documentation that we can follow-up with.

MS. ELDREDGE: I just want to mention something. The 29th was stipulated in our timeline, because that was when the governance council meeting was scheduled to be approved. A draft of the employee handbook -- and I believe that's the one that we are speaking of -- a draft of the employee handbook has been submitted to the governance council, and they are having an attorney look it over. So it will be approved as soon as the governance council -- it's been tabled, and it will be approved by the governance council upon this review by our council -- excuse me -- the attorney.



I shouldn't say council -- by the attorney. 1 2 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, what I am reading in front of me, it states basic 3 4 policies and procedures have been developed and 5 approved by the governing body. These are the only 6 policies I am talking about. I'm not talking about 7 the handbook. I am talking about the policies for 8 your governance body. 9 MS. ELDREDGE: All of those policies have 10 been approved. 11 SECRETARY GANT: Not according to this 12 document I am looking at right here which we 13 received from CSD. 14 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 15 Commissioner Gant, again, this was all as of 16 June 15th. And so what I would like to suggest is 17 that we go through this list of policies, get 18 documentation of the approval with the board minutes 19 to ensure that the things that are stipulated here 20 as June 29th are the ones that are, indeed, 21 approved, and to make sure that that is clearly 22 identified for you, Commissioner Gant, and the rest 23 of the commissioners. 24 SECRETARY GANT: I still want it as a 25 condition, to remain as a condition. We are basing



1	our decisions on what we see in front of us.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: So we do have a motion on
3	the floor that was seconded, and so I ask
4	Commissioner Bergman if you would accept
5	Commissioner Gant's friendly amendment to your
6	motion.
7	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would assume we
8	could just add that as he has stated it. How can we
9	word that?
10	MR. VIGIL: You can accept his request for
11	amendment to your motion, or you can rescind your
12	motion and reintroduce a new motion with those
13	conditions. Either way.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No. I will just
15	request the acceptance of Mr. Gant's conditions.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Mr. Vigil, does
17	Commissioner Bergman need to recite the full motion
18	again with the conditions?
19	MR. VIGIL: No, he does not. He did get a
20	second, and whoever seconded needs to agree to it as
21	well.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Pogna.
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman has
25	accepted my amendments. Commissioner Pogna needs to



1	agree yes or no.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: She did. She did
3	already. That's why I was looking back at you,
4	because I just wanted for the record that we have
5	everything solid as far as the motion.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And what Mr. Gant
7	has requested, I assume, is in the record.
8	CHAIR GARRISON: So Commissioner Gant, we
9	will proceed with the roll call vote.
10	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.
11	May I just make sure that I have the conditions
12	correct since we are going to have to gather the
13	documentation?
14	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
15	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: So, Commissioner Gant,
16	the policies under 3.8 need to have documentation of
17	approval from their board, the lease agreement with
18	the church needs to be included in the
19	documentation, and insurance from NMPSIA for the
20	church included in the documentation?
21	SECRETARY GANT: Yes.
22	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Thank you,
23	Commissioner Gant and Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: We will proceed with the
25	roll call vote on the motion.



1	SECRETARY GANT: Just a minute.
2	Commissioner Lopez.
3	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
7	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
9	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
11	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
13	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
15	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
17	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
19	yes. It's 9-0 in favor.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: It passed unanimously.
21	Congratulations, Dan.
22	MS. ELDREDGE: Thank you very much.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Callahan, are there
24	things we need to sign today, certificates?
25	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, the



certificates and everything we are going to put together in the next meeting, because we figured there was going to be commence operation conditions on that.

CHAIR GARRISON: Excellent, thank you.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: So we wanted to make sure everything was fulfilled before we give the certificates. So we will have those ready for that August meeting.

CHAIR GARRISON: Like to ask Estancia

Valley Classical Academy to come to the front table

and introduce yourselves and please sign in for

transcription.

CHAIR GARRISON: Point of privilege for Deputy Secretary. He has a question for Mr. Vigil.

DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Mr. Vigil, so our staff will work with the charter school to make sure they meet the terms and conditions by Friday, which is two days from now. What happens if they don't meet the terms and conditions from a legal perspective? I mean, it's important because I have got to certify the flow of SEG money to those districts. And so I just heard a motion that was passed with conditions, and what if those conditions aren't met?



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VIGIL: Well, the conditions don't 1 2 necessarily have to be met by the end of this month, 3 or was that part of the motion? One of them, the 4 policies. 5 SECRETARY GANT: The insurance could 6 probably come -- Mr. Chair, the insurance can 7 come --MR. VIGIL: It can't come until after they 8 9 enter into their lease, and they may not be able to 10 enter into a lease -- I think they are approved, 11 from my interpretation of the law. You would then 12 authorize the funding, and if at some point, and 13 maybe your motion should state a specific date. And, of course, in the motion, it does say that they 14 15 have to comply with certain conditions prior to the 16 opening of school. So the money would flow, and 17 then if they don't meet the conditions by the date 18 specified, then we are back to nonapproval. 19 DEPUTY SECRETARY AGUILAR: Thank you. 20 MR. VIGIL: It either becomes a 21 nonapproval, a denial of the charter, or a 22 revocation of an approved charter, one or the other. 23 The end result is the same. 24 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Mr. Vigil. 25 Ms. Callahan.



1	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and
2	commissioners, the next school that is up for
3	approval is Estancia Valley Classical Academy. And
4	the Charter Schools Division recommends approval for
5	Estancia Valley Classical Academy to commence full
6	operations based on their completion of the planning
7	year requirements outlined in statute and rule. The
8	recommendation for approval is pending documentation
9	of the facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's
10	weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18
11	month plan prior to the opening of school.
12	CHAIR GARRISON: And I don't think we
13	received introductions of staff yet.
14	MR. LARRY MILLER: My name is Larry
15	Miller. I am the interim principal of the charter
16	school.
17	MR. KENNETH ADAMS: My name is Kenneth
18	Adams, one of the founders and secretary on the
19	governing council and a parent.
20	MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I also have
21	members of our team with me and there may be
22	questions that they are going to answer. Shall I go
23	ahead and have them introduced now?
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes, sir.
25	MR. ROGER LENARD: Roger Lenard, president



of the governing council. 1 2 MR. TERRY NUNNILY: Terry Nunnily, member 3 of the governing council. 4 MS. TERRY ELKINS: Terry Elkins, founder 5 and consultant for curriculum. 6 MS. JOANNE JOHNSON: Joanne Johnson, 7 member of the governing council. CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. I will open 8 9 up the floor for questions from commissioners. 10 Commissioner Canfield. 11 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. 12 Chair. 13 Welcome, folks. I was looking at your 14 project plan here. I think I was looking at the 15 right one. You are moving portables in. Did I read 16 that correctly? MR. MILLER: Yes, that is our plan right 17 18 now. 19 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: And are you on 20 track with your project plan to have school opening at the end of August? 21 22 MR. MILLER: Commissioner Canfield and 23 Chairman Garrison, I'm going to let Kenny Adams also



answer that question so that we are clear on it. He

is the one who has facilitated the coordination of

24

25

all of our facilities, and I think he would have a good answer for that.

MR. ADAMS: Commissioner Canfield and other commissioners, just to give a little bit of history there, the answer is yes. We started with a developer back in October after we received our award in November. Everything looked really good with the developer to be able to come into a school, into an area that has absolutely no facilities that would be suitable without significant improvements to get E occupancy or PSFA approval. Churches were not available, and there are no big box stores in our area that we could move into readily at all.

The developer we looked at had a special kind of deal with us, based on our mission, our curriculum, and our governing council, no money would be required upfront. Everything would be met, and we would be able to move forward. It sounded really good, and somewhere along the way, through legal review and several levels of lease documents that fell through in about March.

So we picked back up in April timeframe, looked at multiple alternatives, and in May found a school that was very suitable in the Ohio area that was coming off of a lease. We were able to work a



plan where we can get their modulars, have them brought here on the timeline that we talked about to get the school open by mid to late August, and that is the timeline that we are on right now.

There has been a significant effort of trying to coordinate all of the financing that needed to happen to make that happen, the plans and specifications, as well as just the general question can these units be brought into New Mexico. And at this point, we feel we have all of those pretty well ready to go. The plans will be submitted next week to PSFA, to CID, and those discussions are already taking place as Mr. Gorrell spoke about earlier.

CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: You have these modulars coming from Ohio. First of all, has anybody seen them, physically seen them?

MR. ADAMS: Just in pictures, Commissioner Gant. Now I am kind of banking this on the fact that these modulars are two years old. They were built to international building code for 2009, and will be in New Mexico codes for CID requirements, and that is on the burden of manufacturer to get them or the modular dealer to get them to that



level. They feel very confident they will have no problem doing so, because they are so new. The pictures we have seen, they will more than adequately meet our needs.

SECRETARY GANT: Okay. Does your school or foundation, if you have one, own the property or is it leasing the property on which they are going to sit?

MR. ADAMS: Commissioner Gant, the way that works, the school will be leasing the facilities from the Estancia Valley Academy Foundation. That lease document has been signed this week, and that has all been in place. I have been also a part of the agreements with those, with the landowner, as well as the modular dealer. So I am familiar with those, and they will be executed now that this has been executed.

We know the dollar amounts that go with them and the timelines associated, as well as the zoning requirements and the needs that will need to be met by the city, and we have everyone on board.

SECRETARY GANT: Do you have the finances in place to put all the infrastructure that's required to change that property into something, the sewer, lights, et cetera?



MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir. The property that 1 2 we are looking at is four, one acre lots that are zoned commercial right now. They already have 3 utilities on the lot lines, so that facilitates 4 5 There is a parking area that will be 6 provided. With it there is a cul-de-sac, driving 7 lanes to it, as well as acceleration deceleration lane off of the main highway through that area which 8 9 is something we were looking at for our area. As far as the financing of all that, it's 10 11 been a very interesting combination of SEG money 12 that we are counting on coming in in July, 13 fundraising, private donations, as well as planning 14 and grant money.

SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?

Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: For your modular units that are coming in, is the site work already done to accept those? The foundations, the plumbing, has all that already been done?

MR. ADAMS: No. No, ma'am. No,
Commissioner Shearman. That would be as soon as we
get the lease documents all signed, and that is on
the timeline to be able to get those done and turn



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 them around very quickly once we have approval.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Give me an idea of what the timeline is.

MR. ADAMS: To mid August, basically
August 20th, once we get started here first of July.
To reiterate, we had to kind of know for sure what
this vote was today before we could really say yes
to get all that in place, because of how the money
would work. It's kind of a chicken and the egg. I
have jokingly said to several on the governing
council, I thought church was supposed to build my
faith. It's been charter schools.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Being on this commission has done the same for me.

On 3.8 on your basic policies and procedures, I see where some have been adopted, others have been drafted and will be adopted, but they are not apparently complete according to this. Now, is there a deadline on these items, Ms. Callahan, 3.8?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Shearman, the policies for this

particular section will need to be completed before
the commencement of school. They have to have it

before school starts. So there isn't a specific



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

date on there. The ones that have been approved are 1 2 May, and so we are going to probably say before 3 August 1st. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: 4 Thank you. Ιt 5 grieves me or it unnerves me, I quess I should say, 6 to take a vote with no pieces of paper on your 7 facilities in my hand. You know, I know you say you have got the lease there. For the permanent 8 9 facility or for the place where you are going to put 10 the modulars? MR. ADAMS: For the modulars. 11 12 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: For the modulars? That would you be a five year 13 MR. ADAMS: 14 lease that has been signed. 15 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Is that the same 16 place where you are going to build? 17 MR. ADAMS: The building is off the table 18 at this point for discussion. Because of the 19 modular cost, we had to spread that out over 20 multiple years to get them here, so we went in and 21 signed a longer term lease on the modulars. 22 I brought with me the plot plans, the 23 preliminary sets of engineering drawings, the lease 24 documents for the modular company, the letter of



intent from the landowner, and a preliminary inspect

25

1	to go with that. We had hoped to be able to upload
2	that and have it for your review, but at this time
3	we cannot. I see that happening within the next
4	week to ten days.
5	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Lopez and
6	then Commissioner Gant.
7	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: What is your start
8	date?
9	MR. MILLER: It's August 27th,
10	Commissioner Lopez.
11	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: And you are going to
12	have your facility ready August 20th?
13	MR. MILLER: That's our proposal.
14	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay. Thank you.
15	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair.
17	Say that schedule again, please. When are
18	you going to get the modulars in place and when are
19	you going to start school?
20	MR. MILLER: We are starting school the
21	27th, and the modulars should be in place by the
22	20th.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Seven days to get all
24	that put together?
25	MR. ADAMS: Connected and in place is what



1	he means. They will begin shipping them in July.
2	Then over the course of that three weeks to ship
3	them, another couple weeks to get set up and have
4	everything in place, so we can do the reviews and
5	the walk-throughs with CID to get the E occupancy
6	and with PSFA to make sure we have met the criteria
7	for the index. Because this is an existing school
8	building, I feel it will score very highly.
9	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, one thing I
10	would like to recommend as a condition, whoever
11	reads the resolution, is that the documents the
12	gentleman has laid on the table there be provided to
13	PSFA for their review and CSD receive a report on
14	yes or no, whether acceptable or not, as a
15	condition.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Other comments from
17	commissioners?
18	Commissioner Bergman.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Have the documents
20	already gone to PSFA or are you still waiting on
21	that too?
22	MR. ADAMS: A preliminary set of them were
23	submitted through the web EPS for the CSD end of it.



My understanding -- and I missed this somewhere, I

guess -- I needed to have the plan prepared before I

24

went to PSFA to pitch it, rather than have them come out and look at three or four alternatives that fell through and try to make better use of their time. So that's been the mode of operation I have been under. We have had occasional conversations as well as our architect with PSFA, but now we are down to the actual plan and we are ready to meet with them in earnest now.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: I have a question for Kelly Callahan. All these charter schools that we are supposed to vote on commencement of operations, when were documents due to you, to the CSD, to prep for this meeting? Was that June 15th? Is that correct?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, yes, that's correct.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.

I feel that we are in a conundrum here, that things fell through. You folks are working hard on it, you have new faith, but you are waiting on us to vote for you so then you can do what was supposed to already be done. That does not sit well with me in the responsibility that we have as commissioners.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Any other comments?

2 Go ahead, sir.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would like you to consider the fact that all of the work that has been done on this for the last two years has been volunteer. It's been a team of people who have prepared different documents for several months, and all of them have jobs. We meet on a volunteer basis. We meet consistently. A lot of times there has been more than one meeting each week just to prepare and to settle another one of the issues. And this team of people has just worked so hard to assemble this information and prepare the planning checklist for the division, and I would like to say they have been very, very helpful. It has just been -- just the staff has just been tremendous with us.

It does take time, and we have worked diligently to prepare as much as possible. There are a lot of things with the facilities that require a lot of time, and there are a lot of deadlines, as well as meeting people. We certainly have -- it's been our desire to meet all of those deadlines. We have accomplished some things since the 15th.

CHAIR GARRISON: And I wish I were judging



your resilience today, and I understand the trials 1 2 that you have undergone, but that does not change 3 the statute nor my responsibility as a commissioner. 4 COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr. 6 COMMISSIONER CARR: I think your start 7 date is -- what's the word I'm looking for? thinking very well today either. It's laudable. 8 9 It's achievable. I was a platoon leader in the Army 10 Corps of Engineers with a platoon of 60 soldiers. don't know if I could do that in a week with all of 11 12 the red tape and paperwork that is involved that 13 you're going to have to go through. You might be 14 able to get the work done, but there's a lot of 15 things that can go wrong in between. So I hope you 16 have contingency plans to start after Labor Day for a while. 17 18 If we vote to approve this, I want to see 19 I want to see if you can do that. you do it. 20 would be great if you could. It's going to be a difficult goal. 21 22 CHAIR GARRISON: Any other comments by 23 commissioners? 24 Please, sir.



MR. MILLER: I would just like to make one

25

clarification that happened early on in the 1 2 discussion. Our school is located just outside of Moriarty instead of Estancia. We are part of the 3 4 Estancia Valley, and we are between Edgewood and 5 Moriarty. We have 333 students that are already 6 enrolled, and with them come parents who are as 7 excited as I have ever seen in 31 years of public education experience. It's really refreshing to see 8 9 how parents have responded to our school, and I just 10 really do appreciate that. I wanted you to know 11 that. 12 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. So are you 13 pulling from more than one school district? 14 MR. MILLER: It's going to be primarily 15 from the Moriarty Edgewood school district. 16 suspect there will be about two-thirds of our students that will come from them. The rest of them 17 18 are homeschoolers in the area. 19 CHAIR GARRISON: What other school 20 district would that cover? MR. MILLER: Well, there may be an 21 22 occasional one from Estancia. There are some from 23 East Mountain Charter School, and they are 24 scattered. It's mainly the Moriarty Edgewood school



district.

25

1	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.
2	Commissioner Gant.
3	SECRETARY GANT: How many people are on
4	your board of governance or board of directors?
5	MR. MILLER: I have to count them. Let's
6	see. We have got four right now. We have four
7	members on our governing council.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Four.
9	MR. MILLER: There is one vacancy right
10	now.
11	SECRETARY GANT: How many are here today?
12	MR. ADAMS: All of them.
13	SECRETARY GANT: I sure hope you did a
14	public notice.
15	MR. MILLER: We surely did. Yes, sir.
16	Yes, sir. Every time there has been excuse me
17	Commissioner Gant and Chairman, we have been very
18	diligent on posting our meetings, and every time
19	that there has been anything, we have made a
20	conscientious effort of having that done.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Any comments?
23	The Chair will entertain a motion.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Did anybody have a
25	motion in their packet? My packet doesn't have a



1 motion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: Just misplaced a little bit I think. There is the Estancia Valley Classical Academy yellow sheet.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: All I have is the checklist. I have five pages here.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I will make the motion.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas, Estancia Valley Classical Academy was granted a charter with the provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter school approval, and has demonstrated that it has sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public Schools Facility Authority, that the facility



will receive the condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate that within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan of achieving the wNMCI.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that
Estancia Valley Classical Academy is granted
authorization to commence full operations as a state
chartered school. However, the school cannot occupy
a facility with students until the Charter Schools
Division receives written notification that the
school building has been approved for E occupancy,
NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011.

I would also include in there the conditions that the lease for the property be forwarded over to PSFA --

SECRETARY GANT: PSFA.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: -- PSFA prior to the opening of the school? Yes?

SECRETARY GANT: They need the lease and all the drawings that he has there sent to PSFA for their approval prior to, I guess, receiving the E occupancy and approval of the building or putting the modular buildings out there on the property.

All that documentation needs to go to PSFA for



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	approval.
2	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: What he said.
3	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Second.
4	CHAIR GARRISON: There is a second by
5	Commissioner Shearman.
6	Is there a discussion? We'll proceed with
7	the roll call vote from Commissioner Gant.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
9	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: No.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
19	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
21	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: No.
22	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
24	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
25	no. It's six to three in favor.



CHAIR GARRISON: You all better make a 1 2 reality showing now. The motion passes. 3 Congratulations. 4 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: We continue with item No. 6 15, vote on authorization to commence operation with 7 letter c, La Jicarita Community School. Welcome. Please introduce yourselves. 8 9 MS. GLADYS GURULE: My name is Gladys 10 Gurule and I am school director. Gladys Gurule. 11 MR. ERIC MACK: My name is Eric Mack, one 12 of the school cofounders and president of the 13 nonprofit foundation supporting the school. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome. 15 Ms. Callahan, you may proceed with the 16 presentation. 17 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, we have 18 a couple more. 19 I'm sorry. CHAIR GARRISON: 20 MR. JOHANNA DeBIASE: I am Johanna DeBiase 21 and I am president of the governing council. 22 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. You might 23 want to sign in. Did you sign in? It will help. 24 MR. MACK: This is Edwin Fernandez, the 25 school business manager.



CHAIR GARRISON: Good day. 1 2 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 3 commissioners, La Jicarita Community School 4 participated in the planning year activities as all 5 the other schools did and worked diligently to get a facility and a building available for their school 6 7 start. As we approached the June deadlines, what was happening, La Jicarita came before us and is 8 9 requesting an additional planning year due to the 10 building issues that came about. 11 They can, of course, answer specific 12 The CSD recommends approval questions. Excuse me. 13 of La Jicarita Community School's request of an 14 additional planning year. Again, this has been 15 granted in previous cohort groups for an additional 16 planning year, so it is our recommendation to 17 approve that. 18 CHAIR GARRISON: What does La Jicarita 19 I know you told us. mean again? 20 MR. MACK: It means little bowl. 21 named for the mountain. If you go to Penasco, 22 there's a flat top mountain with a little bowl. 23 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 24 Comments? Questions by commissioners? 25 Commissioner Bergman.



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Are you confident that in an additional planning year, you'll be able to find a facility and be able to fund it? Do you think you have to build a facility or do you think you are going to be able to find one?

MR. MACK: Everything is lined up for the facility. We pretty much simply have run out of time, and the main time constraint is going to be getting all the permits in place from Taos County. We are going to be required to have a special use permit which can be a lengthy process, and given the fact that things have not always gone exactly as planned, we thought it would be wise not to try and rush the process.

I actually have a piece of paper here that wasn't included in the packet, but we have money in escrow to close on a piece of raw land tomorrow. The only caveat is the approval of the second planning year from this commission. We have financing in place to construct the facility once the land is purchased and the permit is in place with Taos County.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: You don't anticipate problems with funding, then? New construction even on a smaller building can be quite



expensive. 1 2 MR. MACK: No. We have a couple of 3 contingency plans. We have two different designs 4 which I believe are in your packet. One is for a 5 modular custom built facility, and then a backup for that is also a campus made up of portables. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. CHAIR GARRISON: And the state of Ohio is 8 9 shipping modulars out. 10 MR. MACK: There is some in Albuquerque 11 too. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you, Mr. 13 Chair. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: You're welcome. 15 Commissioner Canfield. COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I just would like 16 17 to commend you all for taking the time to do it 18 right instead of trying to cram something through. 19 We wish you luck. I will support this, Mr. Chair. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. Commissioner Canfield. Commissioner 22 23 Lopez. 24 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I'm still not clear 25 on what this means in terms of their whole charter



that we talked about earlier. Do they get any more 1 2 money for this planning year? No. Does it --3 CHAIR GARRISON: They do not, but it will 4 essentially be a four year term as far as 5 operational. 6 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Instead of five? 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Yes. Which I actually 8 like better, because half of a decade goes by and --9 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: It's a long time. 10 CHAIR GARRISON: Yes. Just annual reports 11 that have been coming in, and we are working on that 12 too. 13 Commissioner Bergman. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I'm just 15 looking at the resolution, the resolution of the 16 draft. It says the five year term of the charter 17 remains the same. That's going to be in a 18 resolution someone will read. 19 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 20 Commissioner Bergman, if you look at the next sentence, the number of operational years will 21 22 decrease is the next -- the five year term. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Oh, okay. So there 24 will be four, okay.



Correct.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN:

25

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: Any other comments from commissioners or questions?

The Chair will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Peralta.

adoption of the following resolution: Whereas La Jicarita Community School was granted a charter with the provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, La Jicarita Community School governance board has requested an additional planning year due to the facility not being ready in time for school to start in 2012/2013.

Therefore, be it resolved that La Jicarita Community School is granted authorization to have an additional planning year. However, the five year term of the charter remains the same. The number of operational years will decrease. In addition, the school will have to apply in spring of 2013 to the Public Education Commission for authorization to commence operation.



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
3	Bergman. Any discussion?
4	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: No. I was going to
5	second it but he beat me.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: By a hair.
7	We will proceed with the roll call vote
8	from Secretary Gant.
9	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
11	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
13	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
17	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
18	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
19	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
20	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
22	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
25	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes



1	yes. It's 9-0 in favor.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes
3	unanimously, and we wish you well.
4	The next school, La Tierra Montessori
5	School of the Arts and Sciences. If you all can
6	make your way to the front table and introduce
7	yourselves and please sign in.
8	MS. SANDY BEERY: Would you like us to
9	start introducing ourselves as we are signing in?
10	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes, that was my request.
11	MS. BEERY: Sorry.
12	CHAIR GARRISON: That's okay. You were in
13	a transition.
14	MS. BEERY: Mr. Chairperson, I am Sandy
15	Beery. I am the director of La Tierra Montessori
16	School.
17	MR. ROGER MONTOYA: Chairman Garrison and
18	commissioners, my name is Roger Montoya, cofounder
19	of La Tierra.
20	MR. RON MARTINEZ: Good afternoon. My
21	name is Ron Martinez and I am the chairman of the
22	governing council.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: And he doesn't need a
24	microphone.



No.

MR. MARTINEZ:

CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Callahan, can you 1 2 give us a presentation and recommendations. 3 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, 4 commissioners, the Charter Schools Division 5 recommends approval for La Tierra Montessori School 6 of the Arts and Sciences to commence full operations 7 based on their completion of the planning year requirements outlined in statute and rule. 8 9 recommendation for approval is pending documentation 10 of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted 11 New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan 12 prior to the opening the school. 13 Thank you, Ms. Callahan. CHAIR GARRISON: 14 The floor is open for comments and 15 questions. 16 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant. 17 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I 18 think I need an explanation about what you plan for 19 your facilities. I read this from the PSFA. 20 is a lot of information there about moving in with 70 students and then moving again, and all the data 21 22 or documentation. Please explain this again. 23 MR. MONTOYA: Commissioner Gant, I will do 24 my best to describe this rather challenging 25 situation, but we feel confident that we are making



really good progress. The name of the facility is the Onate Visitor's and Monument Center. It is in Alcalde, New Mexico. We plan to be there for one year only.

The site is currently in assembly usage categorically, and we are in the process of getting an E occupancy completely transferred over. Our architects and his engineer were at the site yesterday and finished their documentation, and PSFA is in the process of finishing their full index weighted code. The lease is moments away.

Actually, I got a text about an hour ago that the county has finally put together the language that they are comfortable with. Our lawyer, Susan Fox, will be reviewing that in the next few days, and we expect to have the lease signed in one week, I would imagine.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, you are not moving? This will be it? This is going to be the building you stay in?

MR. MONTOYA: For one year.

SECRETARY GANT: That's what I asked about. Talk about moving, why, and all this other.

MR. MONTOYA: Over the course of a year

and two months, we vetted carefully 45 properties in



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Española area. This is county, city, private. It's not news to anyone. It is a major challenge, and we have learned a lot. It's been very, very interesting. We feel that we have a facility that is more than adequate for the first year. We have a long-range plan which is very exciting, with a gentleman named John Rodriguez who has come forth with a really wonderful idea and a plan to help us actually build a facility within the city limits.

The Onate Center sits about seven miles outside. It is, however, in the Española district. It is not our first choice to be that far out, but the parents that have come on to our lottery are very enthused, excited to have this actually great facility that was built in '92 through an act of congress actually. This building was rarely used over the last 22 years. You might remember it through newspaper articles. The foot was cut off of the statue of Juan De Onate, the great conquistador. It's that facility.

The county has not been able to find, for a variety of reasons, a really strong use of that building. It's sat empty for almost two years. It is in excellent condition. And those are not my words. Those are the words of Annette Montoya from



PSFA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

We could not really stay in this building because it doesn't have sufficient space, but the plan to build a 20,000 square foot building, with the support financially of this landowner, we feel is quite viable. We are putting together a master plan that will be completed, I would think, in about one month, for the short-term, the midterm, and the long-term goals of having a facility that suits the ed plan, ed spec.

You know, entering into this process of opening a school has opened my eyes and my heart.

We have done an incredible job. We have a board and a student body that just is spectacular. Sandy has come on as our head learner, administrator, more than capable, and we are planning to open on September 4th.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, the person that's going to help you build this new building, will that individual own the facility or lease it to you or what's the arrangement?

MR. MONTOYA: Yes.

SECRETARY GANT: And your lease will be for how long?

MR. MONTOYA: One year at a time.





1 SECRETARY GANT: One year at a time. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. MONTOYA: He plans to work very 4 closely with PSFA, CID, and every level to ensure 5 that the E occupancy and all of those ABA issues are 6 from the ground up, not only suitable to those codes 7 but to our needs which are quite unusual and quite beautiful in our ed plan. So we have the luxury of 8 creating exactly what we need. 9 10 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Based on that 12 assertion that you're going to lease from year to 13 year one at a time, you are basing that on his goodwill. What if you lose his goodwill? Let's say 14 15 you are in there a year in your new building, and 16 then he says, you know, I don't want to lease to 17 these guys any more. 18 MR. MONTOYA: Considering what we have 19 pulled off in the last month, I wouldn't think we 20 would have any problem. We are pretty crafty. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I will grant you 22 that. So you are fairly comfortable that even 23 though it's a one year lease --24 MR. MONTOYA: More than that. He's an



incredible colleague that we have known, some of us

25

e-mail: info@litsupport.com

on our board for more than 30 years. He is a 1 2 stable, avid supporter and just an incredible angel 3 really who stepped forward. 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I hope it works 5 out. 6 MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: 8 Okay. We are 9 talking about too many problems here. I'm getting 10 behind. The Onate Center you are going to lease for 11 one year? 12 MR. MONTOYA: Yes. 13 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And then during that 14 year, you are going to build a facility on the 15 property of your benefactor? 16 That is our plan. MR. MONTOYA: 17 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: That property, it is 18 leased? 19 MR. MONTOYA: It will be leased from us --20 I mean from him -- sorry -- by us. 21 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So you are going to 22 build a building that you own on property that he



building, and he is going to lease it to us.

MR. MONTOYA: He's going to build a

leased?

23

24

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Oh, okay. I told you I was confused.

How much renovation or whatever will need to be done to the Onate Center to accommodate a school?

MR. MONTOYA: Very, very little. It really amounts to three parking spaces having concrete underneath them, and that's already in process. We have the bids and the quotes. \$7,200 for that. We have the switching of two toilets that have to be lowered, one urinal and two sinks.

As per the visit by the architects through CID and the electrical engineer, the only thing that really needs to be done is some lightbulbs have to be changed in one area to be brighter, and the receptacles have to be made childproof. I think there are about 32 of those. That's the extent of the changes.

This building, as I said, was built with an act of congress in '92 for, I think, close to \$3 million, and it was rarely used. It's in excellent condition. I think when Sandy entered, she said, my goodness. When Annette entered about eight and a half months ago, it took that long to get the county to finally put an RFP out. She said, Roger, just



unofficially, there is not going to be much of a problem if you go with this building, because it's in great shape. So there is so little to do. It's really under \$10,000 in modifications.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. I think you touched on this earlier, but this lease agreement that we have in our books here is a draft, so noted, and there are areas that are incomplete. Now, did you say that this complete lease is --

MR. MONTOYA: The county over the last 48 hours has been looking and revising, and I got a text from the county lawyers about an hour and a half ago that they have a document that they feel comfortable with now finally. That was based on a document that we had from Susan Fox from a couple of years ago. So the language is in pretty good shape.

Now our lawyer is going to have to go back and look at all of that language to make sure everything feels good, and then we can go to signage.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: And the lease payment is \$6,000 per month?

MR. MONTOYA: Roughly. It's going to be based finally on the 40th day count.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Is the lease going



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to be dependent on the number of students?
2	MS. BEERY: Actually that's part of what
3	was happening with the revising of the lease was
4	also negotiating the final cost per month for that
5	lease. So it will be between 5,400 and 6,000 a
6	month.
7	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Based on?
8	MS. BEERY: Based on our student
9	enrollment.
10	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: It is totally based
11	on student enrollment.
12	MS. BEERY: It's based on what we budgeted
13	for student enrollment, yes, ma'am.
14	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: You are basing it on
15	the lease reimbursement from the state?
16	MS. BEERY: Yes, ma'am. But we will not
17	be basing it on our 40 day count. It's based on
18	what we budgeted for when we built our budget.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant and
20	then Commissioner Bergman.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members,
22	the lease payment, there are two options. It's
23	based on the number of students or how much the
24	owner is charging.
25	MS. BEERY: So Chairman Garrison and



Commissioner Gant, initially the lease payment is based on when we build our budget, we build our budget based on a certain number of students. Based on that, we know how much money we will generate through the lease reimbursement. And so when we go into a lease negotiation with an owner, we know what's the maximum that we can pay. And so when we opened this conversation with them, we started with a range with them. So part of this negotiation is around them.

As the owners of the building, they are required to do this work that needs to happen for the E occupancy. So they are having to get the quotes and figure out how much that's going to cost them, and then we will negotiate with them. But the maximum lease payment would be \$6,000, which is why we put that in the draft that we provided to you, so you would know what the maximum would be that we would be paying.

SECRETARY GANT: Okay.

MS. BEERY: Does that help?

SECRETARY GANT: You do know the lease payment per member, i.e. student, changes each year?

MS. BEERY: Yes, sir. And we have based that on what it is for this current year, 2012/13.





1	SECRETARY GANT: Which is what?
2	MS. BEERY: \$750 per student. This year
3	it went up slightly.
4	SECRETARY GANT: It went up slightly, but
5	there is always the chance that it goes back down.
6	MS. BEERY: My understanding is not during
7	a fiscal year.
8	SECRETARY GANT: All right. As long as
9	you and your owner are squared with that.
10	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman and
11	then Commissioner Shearman.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, I thought I
13	had seen a couple of different numbers for your
14	first year enrollment. On your checklist, it says
15	80.
16	MS. BEERY: So what we are going with,
17	sir, is 85. We have 80 currently enrolled.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.
19	MS. BEERY: And we had just done a
20	resolution with the board about the 85 is the
21	maximum for that particular facility.
22	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman.
24	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Are you only leasing
25	the building for nine months?



MS. BEERY: We are leasing it for a 12 1 2 month period. It allows us to move in before school starts and move out after school is out. 3 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: My cell phone 4 5 doesn't do great math, but are you going to get 6 enough on lease reimbursement to cover the full 12 7 months --MS. BEERY: 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: -- or are you going 10 to have to use operational funds for some of it? 11 MS. BEERY: I am looking at our business 12 manager who did the specifics. Yes, ma'am. 13 will be some operational which was in the original 14 budget. 15 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chairman, I would 16 like to make a motion. 17 18 CHAIR GARRISON: Any other discussion or 19 questions from commissioners? 20 Yes, ma'am. 21 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay. I hereby move 22 adoption of the following resolution: Whereas, La 23 Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Sciences 24 was granted a charter with the provision that before 25 the commission shall issue the authorization to



commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public School Facility Authority, that the facility will receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate that within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan for achieving the wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Sciences is granted authorization to commence full operation as a state chartered charter school. However, the school cannot occupy a facility with students until the Charter Schools Division receives written notification that the school building has been approved for E occupancy. NMSA 1978, Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011.

> COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.



(505) 989-4949

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner

Carr. The motion was made by Commissioner Lopez. I

did not announce that.

Any discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: If I might go to the planning year checklist 3.8, I asked this question of the previous school, and you said all of these -- Ms. Callahan -- all of these policies would be completed prior to the beginning of school. That is not necessarily what it says here, and 3.88, learning policy will be developed prior to year two with phase in of middle school students. Special education due December 31st. Perhaps that's one of those you said had their own date, but I am questioning the others, the due date on them.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Shearman, commissioners, the CSD will

work with the school. We do have the draft

policies. We are waiting for final approval from

the governing boards to put those in place prior to

the school opening. Are you asking if we need to

add that as a condition, because absolutely we can

get documentation on that.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Particularly 3.8.8,



1	the distance learning, because it says to be
2	developed prior to year two with phase in of middle
3	school students. So that sounds like their plan is
4	not to do that until after school starts the second
5	year.
6	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,
7	Commissioner Shearman, one of the monitoring
8	provisions that we do is a first year site visit.
9	And so we will use this planning year document
10	during that site visit. So if there is anything
11	that has to do with follow through such as that, we
12	will make sure that the school is in compliance.
13	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I would like to
14	suggest that as an amendment to the motion, the
15	completion of all 3.8 policies in the planning year
16	checklist.
17	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I will accept that.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: We have a motion.
19	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: We have a friendly
21	amendment. Did we have a second?
22	COMMISSIONER CARR: I agree.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: You agree?
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
25	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Carr agrees



1	with the friendly amendment. Is there any
2	discussion? We will proceed with the roll call vote
3	with Secretary Eugene Gant.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
5	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
15	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
21	yes. It's 9-0 in favor.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes
23	unanimously. Congratulations.
24	Do any commissioners need a quick break or
25	are you okay?



1	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: One of us took a
2	break.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: We still have a quorum.
4	What do you want to do? Keep going?
5	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman,
6	commissioners, the next school we will review is
7	McCurdy Charter School.
8	CHAIR GARRISON: Let's have McCurdy come
9	up and introduce yourselves and sign in, please.
10	MS. JANETTE ARCHULETA: I am Janette
11	Archuleta, and I will be the director commencing
12	July 1.
13	CHAIR GARRISON: Good afternoon.
14	MS. ARCHULETA: Good afternoon.
15	MS. DEBORAH BENNETT ANDERSON: I am
16	Deborah Bennett Anderson. Again, I am the
17	chairperson of the governance board.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: Good afternoon.
19	Ms. Callahan, you may proceed.
20	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,
21	commissioners, in the matter of McCurdy Charter
22	School, the Charter Schools Division recommends
23	approval for McCurdy Charter School to commence full
24	operations based on their completion of the planning
25	year requirements outlined in statute and rule. The



recommendation for approval is pending documentation 1 2 of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan 3 4 prior to the opening of school. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: The floor is open for 6 questions from commissioners. 7 Commissioner Shearman. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: You all had quite a 8 9 struggle with facilities, to say the least. 10 MS. ANDERSON: We have had our road. 11 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: According to the 12 report that we had earlier from PSFA, you are still 13 struggling with facilities, but you're working on an 14 18 month plan of correction to be submitted to PSFA 15 on 6/22/2012. 16 MS. ANDERSON: It was submitted on 6/22, 17 which was last week, to PSFA. So it is in their 18 hands. 19 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So we do have a 20 valid 18 month plan that takes the place of E 21 occupancy at this point? 22 MS. ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. 23 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, commissioners, we 24 have received the plan, and it is a very complete,



very full plan. We have had a preliminary review,

25

e-mail: info@litsupport.com

and we are ready to give an approval letter, but we got it on the 22nd, and it was very lengthy, very well done, and we haven't had the opportunity to approve it yet.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Ms. Casias.

Other questions by commissioners?

Commissioner Gant.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, I need a clarification. I have read it and reread it. You have the financing to do this, to do the building, the 18 month plan?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, sir. The 18 month plan has really got two thrusts in it. It's, one, how do we get into the existing buildings that are currently on the McCurdy campus in August of this year, and within basically a year, 12 months, we have a developer coming in who provides a hundred percent financing for the building. They own the building. They lease it to the foundation. The foundation subleases it to us.

The other aspect of that is that the land is owned by the General Board of Global Ministries of the United Methodist Church. For the first time that I have ever known of, the general board has issued a resolution that it is willing to go into a



long-term ground lease with the foundation, with the board of trustees of the foundation, so that the developer as such is secure in building the building and owning the building.

Now within approximately five years, we will look for cheaper financing. The foundation will look for cheaper financing than with the developer, and we will be looking at taking over the building. The foundation will look at taking over the building. This is kind of -- the school wrote the 18 month plan on behalf of the foundation. So it's been totally in concert with both sides, but that's basically what's intended to happen.

SECRETARY GANT: This developer, from what I think I have read, is a national developer that does this for charter schools?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, sir. It is HighMark Schools. The partnership, this particular partnership is housed out of Midvale, Utah, and they have several partners. One of them, their construction arm, is a company referred to as Bowma [phonetic]. They have already built somewhere on the order of 300 charter schools across the United States. There are schools built with this methodology in Utah, Colorado, Texas, Arizona that



are currently in this particular area.

Part of the discussion that happened with Estancia Valley, they too were talking with HighMark, but we were spending a lot of time in the spring trying to decide whether or not HighMark really wanted to do business in New Mexico, because it was a new market for them. And their financial arm has since come around and said they had gotten some legal opinion about whether or not the structure that they are proposing is a viable structure in the state of New Mexico, and so they have chosen to make New Mexico a new market. And it looks like at this point in time, that we will be their first school in New Mexico.

SECRETARY GANT: So the lease assistance you will receive from the state, your school and your foundation is just a pass through to the HighMark?

MS. ANDERSON: Basically. Basically it's the payment on the building, and then we will purchase the building out from underneath HighMark hopefully within five years. Well, at five years, because there is a lease agreement for the first five years that we do that, that we stay with HighMark as such. But at that time, we will get out



of that particular arrangement.

Then what also happens is that the General Board of Global Ministries, again, who owns the property, has agreed in this same resolution to sell us all or part of the property and buildings for \$1. So that the board of trustees of the foundation own the land and everything. So it goes away from the long-term ground lease with HighMark, but then, we, basically the foundation will basically own the land and the buildings.

I should also say that the 18 month plan, why we are building a new school, we are also utilizing existing structures on the McCurdy campus to the extent practical that we can.

The elementary school, which is referred to as Hovermale, is in pretty good shape. It will need, you know, some renovations soon enough. But it will be re-purposed and used as an annex with the new building, as will the existing student center which has got a cafeteria and the memorial gym. So we have a full size gymnasium. We have all the athletic fields necessary. It will make a very, very good campus.

And to be quite honest, we want to make a statement to the Española Valley that excellent



education has been provided for the past 100 years, and there is going to be excellent education provided in the next 100 years.

SECRETARY GANT: You spoke of the gym and the auxiliary building and the student union, I believe it was.

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

SECRETARY GANT: Have those all been vetted past the PSFA to get their blessing as E occupancy?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes, sir. All of the buildings that we are proposing to use -- well, here we go back again to this idea of phase one is like getting this in this year. All of the buildings that we are proposing to use have gone through PSFA evaluation, because we are talking about using the high school building, the memorial gym, the student center, the two portables, and the elementary school building while the new building is being built. That will also entail tearing down four smaller buildings to clear a location for the new building.

So those six buildings will be utilized to house the students and offices. We have gone through, and while it's not an optimum configuration, it's a workable configuration until



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the spring when the new building is available. 1 2 that time we will vacate the memorial -- or excuse 3 me -- the high school building and McCracken gym. 4 Also we will use McCracken gym in August. We will 5 vacate McCracken and we will vacate the high school 6 building, and those will be used for purposes with 7 the foundation to provide before and after school care, project Carino [phonetic] several different 8 9 activities of that variety working to help involve 10 the community. Did I answer your question? 11 SECRETARY GANT: Thank you. Yes. 12 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. 13 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 14 Any other questions from commissioners? 15 Commissioner Canfield. COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. 16 Chair. 17 18 So the buildings that you are occupying on 19 an interim basis are not in very good shape? 20 score is not very high? 21 MS. ANDERSON: The McCurdy campus -- did 22 you want to speak to that or I can, either one. 23 MS. CASIAS: Chair and commissioners, when 24 we did an evaluation of the school, we looked at 25 each and every building, but the 18 month plan of



correction, they have chosen the buildings that, you 1 2 know, they are not perfect but they will have the 3 life, health, and safety requirements met. So the 4 children will be in a safe environment although not 5 perfect. 6 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you. 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner 8 9 Canfield. 10 Are there any other questions? 11 Mr. Gant. 12 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, just a note 13 for whoever reads the resolution. Paragraph or item 14 paragraph, whatever you want to call it, 3.8 and the 15 subparagraphs, Ms. Callahan, are in the same 16 condition as the others. They need to be included 17 in the resolution and need a date as to when they 18 are going to be completed. 19 MS. ANDERSON: If I may, all of those 20 policies have been signed as of the 25th of this 21 month, two days ago. So we are complete in that 22 regard. Thank you. 23 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 24 Commissioner Gant, because this was done on the



15th, we can get the documentation that you require

25

e-mail: info@litsupport.com

1	to validate that.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, we should
3	discuss changing that so that everything is done on
4	time next year. Just a comment.
5	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, already
6	noted.
7	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Director Callahan,
9	we are throwing so much stuff in August 8th, we are
10	going to need two days to take care of business.
11	CHAIR GARRISON: That was actually my
12	serious concern.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Let's think about
14	that too, because we may need it. We are adding
15	stuff right and left on our agenda.
16	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and
17	Commissioner Bergman, you are increasing my lesson
18	plan for the day for sure.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: I was thinking more of
20	your budget for Reno, so that's doubled.
21	Other questions from commissioners?
22	Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a
23	motion.
24	Commissioner Bergman.
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I hereby move



adoption of the following resolution: Whereas McCurdy Charter School was granted a charter with the provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter approval, and has demonstrated they have sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public School Facilities Authority that the facility will receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan for achieving the wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that McCurdy

Charter School is granted authorization to commence

full operations of a state chartered charter school.

However, the school cannot occupy a facility with

students until the Charter Schools Division receives

written notification that the school building has



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	been approved for E occupancy, NMSA 1978, Section
2	22-8B-4.2A 2011.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
4	CHAIR GARRISON: A motion by Commissioner
5	Bergman, seconded by Commissioner Peralta.
6	Any discussion?
7	Commissioner Shearman.
8	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Do we want to add
9	the condition of all items in 3.8?
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: She said they had
11	already been signed.
12	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Well, I still
13	think
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do we want to add
15	the condition that they be sent to we can do
16	that.
17	CHAIR GARRISON: The second agrees with
18	that friendly amendment?
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: Okay. Any other
21	discussion? We will proceed with the roll call vote
22	with Secretary Eugene Gant.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
24	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
25	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
3	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
7	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
9	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
11	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
13	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
15	yes. 9-0 in favor.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Passes unanimously.
17	Congratulations.
18	MS. ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Item f is Mission
20	Achievement and Success, come forward and introduce
21	yourselves.
22	MS. JOANN MYERS: My name is JoAnn Myers.
23	I am the founder of Mission Achievement and Success.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.
25	Ms. Callahan.



1	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,
2	commissioners, the next school that we are going to
3	address is Mission Achievement and Success. The
4	Charter Schools Division recommends approval for
5	Mission Achievement and Success to commence full
6	operations based on their completion of the planning
7	year requirements outlined in statute and rule. The
8	recommendation for approval is pending documentation
9	of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted
10	New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan
11	prior to the opening of school.
12	CHAIR GARRISON: Questions from
13	commissioners?
14	Commissioner Bergman.
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I was looking at
16	your map of your facility, and I see some parking is
17	on Ross Street.
18	MS. MYERS: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: But I see some
20	parking is across the street on Yale. Is this
21	school going to be north of Gibson or south of
22	Gibson?
23	MS. MYERS: It's going to be north of
24	Gibson or south of Gibson?
25	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I ask that



question, because Gibson is a road that runs past the airport, and I know the south part is a highly trafficked area. Are students going to be walking across through that traffic?

MS. MYERS: It's north. Where we have

MS. MYERS: It's north. Where we have designated the drop off point like for arrival and dismissal, for staff and stuff, but it's not like where they are crossing the streets. They won't be there. The drop off point will be right directly in front of the building.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. That was my concern how busy Yale is.

CHAIR GARRISON: Are you offering transportation for the school?

MS. MYERS: Unfortunately, no.

CHAIR GARRISON: So I remember when we approved the application, there was the 87121, 87105, the ZIP codes that most popularly feed into the detention centers, and that was the population you were looking at, and you were proposing that you were going to be in the South Valley or the west side. What has changed?

MS. MYERS: Some of it was facility availability. That's actually where we really wanted to be, but we struggled to find facilities in



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those locations that were viable, that would be able to be opened in time. But as far as recruitment efforts, we have actually branched out, and I still have had -- one of the gentlemen who spoke, Chris Sanchez for the Bernalillo County Detention Center in the southeast, and we have been in contact.

The one thing that we have discovered with recruitment efforts, while we kind of thought we would be restricted with recruitment to the geographic location of the school, we have actually drawn a large population of our students from the South Valley. We have kids coming from all the way over on the west side, and so we have spread our recruitment and stopped trying to just recruit in the geographic area of the school, because we have found that parents are driving their kids from any point in Albuquerque. We have even asked students from Rio Rancho to apply.

CHAIR GARRISON: So how many sign ups do you have?

MS. MYERS: At this point in time, we are pushing 85 right now. You might be, well, that's concerning, but as of three weeks ago, we were only at like 30, so we have increased over 50 in the last three weeks. Then we have a mailing of 4,000



letters that are targeted to certain APS schools, like middle schools and elementary schools. They are fifth and sixth graders from the 120th day count.

We will be at a Mother Sundance at a rec center on Friday night. We will be at a church barbecue in the neighborhood on Saturday. Our information is going to be in a church bulletin on Sunday. We have -- I could go on and on, but we have three swim meets that we are at where there are over 1,500 swimmers that are middle school, high school age. We are at Walmart at San Mateo and Zuni on the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd of July. There is a variety.

I mean, that's honestly been the bigger challenge with the school is the recruitment. I think a lot of it comes down to exactly what you just asked me about the transportation. As I mentioned when we were doing, I guess, the application review, if we could have afforded to put transportation in, that was an easier option for us. We would have liked to, because that usually is the biggest challenge for parents, getting their kids to school. So we have tried to even just network with folks and let parents know. Like parents who have



e-mail: info@litsupport.com

1	said, I am really interested. Do you have anybody
2	in the geographic neighborhood that's driving there?
3	Maybe I could do carpool. So we have been trying to
4	support whatever ways we can to make sure there is
5	transportation for kids, but unfortunately we cannot
6	budgetarily provide transportation at this point in
7	time.
8	CHAIR GARRISON: Are there other charter
9	schools in that area?
10	MS. MYERS: There are in the physical
11	building that we are in. Cien Aguas is in there,
12	and they are a bilingual program. They have a
13	pretty small enrollment and they are moving out
14	after this year. And then La Resolana is in there
15	as well.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I was going to ask
18	about that. You are sharing. You say one is moving
19	out.
20	MS. MYERS: Correct.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Are you going to be
22	in separate facilities or are you sharing classrooms
23	with La Resolana?
24	MS. MYERS: No, we are not sharing



classrooms. The way it's laid out physically -- and

25

this is a common question parents in particular ask 1 2 when they are first submitting their applications. 3 The building is segregated, I mean, pretty segregated as far as there are like wings where 4 5 there is really no interaction. We don't share 6 cafeteria space, PE space, and they don't cross in 7 the hallways because of the way the building is laid So there are completely different wings of the 8 9 building if you will. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So any possible 11 interaction would probably be outside? 12 MS. MYERS: It would. And we have already 13 met with the building administration from the other two schools just to have collaborative 14 15 conversations. As I shared before, I had been 16 involved in opening schools in New York City, and 17 one of the things -- we shared space. In one school 18 in Harlem I was at, we had three schools in one

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.

have already began to meet with the principals, just

So it's not uncommon to me per se, but we

MS. MYERS: You're welcome.

24 CHAIR GARRISON: Senator Jerry Ortiz y

25 | Pino was an advocate for you all at the onset.

more for proactive type issues.



building.

19

20

21

22

1 MS. MYERS: Correct.

CHAIR GARRISON: So did you utilize him to look for facilities in the South Valley or west side?

MS. MYERS: We have utilized everybody that could help us. One of the biggest things we ran into when we were looking for buildings in general is something, as you all have heard for the last however many hours from the schools, like that's been one of the biggest issues for folks is the facilities. So one of the things that we ran into, even with another facility we considered prior to this, is we had to walk away from that option around March, because it was not going to be viable and we would have been sitting up here defending how are we going to open in two weeks or three weeks or whatever when the construction is not done.

That's why we really were aggressive in trying to find buildings, but because we struggled with it. When we did find one that looked like a very viable option, the minute we really started hitting those roadblocks and saw that there was a strong likelihood that we would be delayed in our opening or we would be so under crunched that we decided we needed to walk away from that.



CHAIR GARRISON: Are you holding a 1 2 position with the school after it is running? 3 MS. MYERS: I'm sorry? CHAIR GARRISON: Will you be at school 4 5 once it is running? 6 MS. MYERS: I will. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: As what? MS. MYERS: As the principal of the 8 9 school. 10 CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions from commissioners? 11 12 A comment from me is that this was 13 broadcast as something that was going to be in my district. I thought that 90/90/90 would be 14 15 something for that district, because those two ZIP 16 codes where I have lived all my life, it's what 17 feeds the Metropolitan Detention Center, that city 18 of 2,700 human beings, and none of those citizens 19 want to be there, and it also feeds the juvenile 20 detention center too. That is where this school needed to be. 21 22 This is different than looking at a 23 Moriarty and all of a sudden the school is in 24 Estancia. It's Albuquerque, and it's still the 25 Albuquerque school district. So I don't think that



1	they were being shady. They were just looking for a
2	place. But from my perspective, it is a totally
3	different community. It's absolutely a totally
4	different community once you cross that river, and
5	that concerns me.
6	Other questions by commissioners?
7	Commissioner Gant and then Commissioner
8	Shearman.
9	SECRETARY GANT: Ms. Callahan, you might
10	check items 3.8.5 and 3.9. The first one was a
11	wellness policy. I can't tell if there is a policy
12	written or not, because it's blank, as well as the
13	special education plan, it's also blank. So I don't
14	know if those items have been completed or not.
15	MS. MYERS: They have been.
16	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: We will definitely
17	verify that. If they are checked, they are
18	complete. I'm not sure why there is not a comment
19	in there. If they are checked they have been
20	verified.
21	CHAIR GARRISON: Other comments or
22	questions by commissioners?
23	Commissioner Shearman.
24	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I know it's been a
25	long day and I don't want to belabor this point, but



three charter schools in one building sort of fly against my view of what charter schools are. I think they are for choice. They are where they need to be. They are convenient to the students who are going to utilize that school.

And I realize you said students have expressed interest from across town, but not that many students. I really -- I can't like three charter schools in one building. That doesn't meet my vision of what a charter school is. I know there is no regulation against it. There is probably no statute against it. I just don't like it. It doesn't sound like what a charter school should be doing.

So I'll just put that out there for what it's worth, but it really bothers me, not for the same reason it bothers you, but it bothers me.

MS. MYERS: And I might point out, in case it's not apparent -- I don't know what you all have in front of you -- but that's a temporary facility for us. We will be there for up to two years, and then we have another facility that we are pursuing as a permanent location for us. So even though I understand your concern. It isn't our ideal situation either to be co-located, more just for



some of it for just competitive type reasons, and 1 2 then also being able to spread the opportunities to other locations. 3 But again, because as I shared, when we 4 5 ran into facility issues in March, we had to look 6 for an alternative plan that was going to be viable 7 for us to be able to open and offer services. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you. 8 9 You're welcome. MS. MYERS: 10 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Lopez. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I share your concerns 13 about the population that you are going to be 14 drawing from, but where is your new facility in two 15 years going to be located? The current location that we 16 MS. MYERS: 17 have pursued up until March and we are still looking 18 at is 8100 Mountain which is right off of I-40 and 19 Wyoming.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: It's still not in the South Valley.

MS. MYERS: Again, really what we ran into when we were searching for properties -- and it's not that that can't change. But that's what is there right now when we put together our facility



20

21

22

23

24

plan, so we could show -- it wasn't just for the purpose of showing we had a viable plan, but that is the building that would house us right up to the 12th grade so that we could be in one building, not have to move, and that's why we had started to aggressively pursue that, because that was one of the other things that we had talked about when I defended the application or, whatever, at the public hearing.

When I talked about that, I was talking about the inconvenience for families of moving. So we really tried very hard to find a building where we would be able to grow, grow into it, not have to split our campuses, not have to move. That's one of the reasons we selected that building to begin with. As you heard from all the other schools, we ran into some facility issues. We just went a different route in how we handled it and stuff. We decided to look for at least a short term solution that would provide us a one or two year option that we would be able to remain in, and then we would move.

So while the 8100 Mountain is still a hundred percent on the table, a very viable option would allow us to move into it and be able to expand all the way to the 12th grade and never have to



relocate again. It does provide us the opportunity 1 2 to look at different locations and stuff in the immediate future. 3 4 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant. 5 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, 6 that concerns me, because I read through this stuff 7 and I didn't see it. Maybe I'm getting old, but I didn't see anything mentioned about moving in two 8 9 Did I miss something, Ms. Callahan? 10 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Gant, everything that the school 11 12 provided is included in your packets. 13 There should be information MS. MYERS: within our PSFA report, and all of this was 14 15 submitted to PSFA as well. This was the plan. 16 There is a two year facility plan with our years 17 three, four, and five at 8100 Mountain address. 18 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Gant, 19 PSFA hasn't done an assessment of the proposed 20 facility two years from now. 21 SECRETARY GANT: So were you aware of the 22 possible move? 23 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Gant, 24 I have to read through my notes. It doesn't come to 25 the top of my mind that I was aware of it.



SECRETARY GANT: Okay. Thank you. 1 I just 2 wish you had been more forthcoming and let us know. 3 CHAIR GARRISON: Are there any other 4 questions from commissioners? Going to call a five 5 minute recess. 6 (A recess was taken.) 7 CHAIR GARRISON: I am going to call this meeting back to order. I have Cien Aguas, but what 8 9 was the second school that you are sharing the 10 building with? 11 MS. MYERS: La Roselana. 12 CHAIR GARRISON: My concern is the 13 philosophy that was brought forth that we approved. I really liked the 90/90/90 concept to share a 14 15 facility with a C and a D school, which is the grade 16 that they receive by the Public Education 17 Department. It concerns me just because of the 18 positive environment that must be created by your 19 personnel. And so I just wanted to make that as a 20 I don't have any questions. 21 Were there any other questions by commissioners? 22 23 And I do want to make this point that we 24 are judging today on the facilities and board of 25 finance only. And so I just want to put that



1 forward. 2 MS. MYERS: Can I comment on your comment 3 or no? 4 CHAIR GARRISON: No. 5 MS. MYERS: Okay. 6 CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Shearman, are you 7 going to be gone long? VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Please excuse me 8 9 just a minute. I have a family situation here. 10 CHAIR GARRISON: Then you might as well 11 comment. 12 MS. MYERS: Thank you. The 90/90/90, I 13 wanted to comment, just give a couple comments. I will talk as long as you let me talk. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Be careful with that one. 15 MS. MYERS: So as far as the 90/90/9016 17 goes, I can tell you so far from the demographic 18 that we have received, we are still getting the same 19 demographic as far as having 90 percent, 90 percent 20 free, reduced luncheon. You might say, well, how do you know that when you haven't filled out lunch 21 22 applications? That's one of the No. 1 questions we 23 have been asked is are you all having a lunch 24 program, so we are still drawing that same 25 demographic.



1 CHAIR GARRISON: That wasn't my concern.

MS. MYERS: You are talking about the culture and stuff. And I can tell you, honestly without physically being in the building and seeing the layout, they really are completely separate. It's almost like being in, I guess, a New York City type apartment complex where you all have your own apartments. There is no interaction unless you happen to be walking down the stairwell together, but that doesn't even happen, because you don't cross the corridors.

The way the building is laid out, it's literally one school is in this wing and it's completely on its own. Another school is in the back wing, and it's completely on its own. And then we are on the whole back side of it. Again, without physically seeing it, it's hard to conceptualize it a bit, but it really is completely separate.

CHAIR GARRISON: I have seen it, and I just compare that to bullying. Oh, it's not happening with my child, but teenagers will find a way, and so my concern was that culture. It will find a way. I hope that you work through it and make it happen.

MS. MYERS: We will. Thank you.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIR GARRISON: We are a quorum. The Chair will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair, I'll make the motion.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I understand there are some questions here, but before I do make the motion, I would like to say that based on what we are looking at, as you mentioned, it sounds like you found a plan B with a building that meets the requirements. So that's what I feel I am basing this motion on.

I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas Mission Achievement and Success was granted a charter with a provision that before the commission shall issue an authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter approval, and has demonstrated it has sought and obtained



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

certification from the New Mexico Public School Facility Authority, and the facility will receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools or can demonstrate within 18 months of occupancy that it has a plan for achieving wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that Mission Achievement and Success is granted authorization to commence full authorization as a state chartered charter school. However, the charter school cannot occupy a facility with students until the Charter Schools Division receives written notification that the school building has been approved for E occupancy. NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would second with the added condition that Commissioner Gant has brought forward, that those two blank boxes be taken care of under 3.8.

CHAIR GARRISON: We need to be specific for the motion.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: All right. 3.8.5 and then down under, 3.9, the top line there by the copy of special education plan is left blank.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I approve that amendment.





1	CHAIR GARRISON: There is a motion by
2	Commissioner Canfield and a second by Commissioner
3	Bergman. Is there any discussion?
4	We will then proceed with a roll call vote
5	with Secretary Eugene Gant.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
7	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
9	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
11	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
17	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
19	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: No.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
21	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
22	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
23	no. It's seven to two in favor.
24	CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes.
25	Congratulations.



1	MS. MYERS: Thank you.
2	CHAIR GARRISON: New America School in Las
3	Cruces, welcome. When you get settled in there,
4	sign in and introduce yourselves.
5	MR. CRAIG COOK: My name is Craig Cook,
6	one of the founders. I am also the chief of
7	business operations for the New America School
8	network.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you.
10	MR. DOMINIC SILVA: Mr. Chairman, members
11	of the committee, my name is Dominic Silva. I am a
12	governing council member and I am also a member of
13	the New America School's National Board of Directors
14	which encompasses Nevada, Colorado, and New Mexico.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome.
17	MR. BEN DOMINGUEZ: My name is Ben
18	Dominguez. I am the president of the governing
19	council.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: Good to have you.
21	Ms. Callahan.
22	DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and
23	commissioners, the next school under review is the
24	New America School for Las Cruces. The Charter
25	Schools Division recommends approval for New America



School Las Cruces to commence full operations based on their completion of the planning year requirements outlined in statute and rule. The recommendation for approval is pending documentation of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan prior to the opening of the school.

CHAIR GARRISON: The floor is open for comments or questions by commissioners.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, I would like them to explain, again, their timelines and their completion of that building, please.

MR. COOK: Again, my name is Craig Cook. The timeline, and I have received confirmation within the last hour and a half from our architect and from our general contractor, they expect to be at least a TCO if not the CO by 1 August of this year. The TCO or CO will give us E occupancy status.

We have also received confirmation again from Richard Romero that our index was 1.8, well within the parameters. So we will begin school on the 20th of August. If for some reason we don't get our full CO, which we'll want to have before we have students until the 15th of August, we have an



alternative plan to delay school opening one week and file that with the Charter Schools Division on the 27th of August.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, sir.

Other questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Gant, continue.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, I got to tell you, though, I was by there yesterday. I got pictures of it here with me if anybody wants to see it. The east face of the building is not going to be finished. There's doors missing, there's windows missing on the east face. The south face was just starting to get finished. I can look inside the building. I can see steel. The steel studs for the walls, and all that, they had not been completed. I wish them luck to be done by 1 August.

Based upon what I have seen in my experience with builders, I realize the contractor has got a requirement to meet a certain day or there is a penalty on that. I have also been through that with schools. I have been on the board down there in Cruces for eight years. We had to hold up on a middle school because it wasn't completed. They didn't make the timeline because of the contractor. We had another school where they were supposed to go



in and get substantial completion on one day. They looked at it the next day and it wasn't. Another school, they went in there for substantial completion. The toilets didn't work, nothing worked.

So cramming stuff into the last minute, and I know when this school started. I know when the demolition started, and how long it was, and that building has been sitting empty for years.

Being that I lived in Cruces for 32 years, I know.

So I wish you luck to get it done, and I don't care about the penalties. If they owe it to you, they pay it to you.

But I am worried about the kids and this last minute of starting school with a week to put the furniture in and get the teachers all set up in their classrooms, et cetera. It doesn't work. We had a school that opened up last year, and the same thing happened. They were still hauling portables into the property one week before school was supposed to start. I am just making these comments. I just wish you luck. I don't think it's going to happen. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Are there any other questions from commissioners?





Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, one comment

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, one comment.

The items of 3.8 are still in draft, and there is no date on there as to when they are to be completed.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: The policies that were pointed out -- sorry -- Commissioner Gant, commissioners, they were approved at our June 14th GC meeting.

MR. COOK: Since the PED and the Charter Schools Division had to have your documents ready the 15th, we acted on the 14th. They have subsequently been posted to the web. They were all acted on, those remaining were acted on on the 14th. They were on the agenda for the 14th and approved.

CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions? Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.

Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas, New America School Las Cruces was granted a charter with the provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools
Division has assured the commission that the charter
school has substantially complied with the
requirements of the planning year checklist, has met
the conditions put forth by the commission upon
charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has
sought and obtained certification from the New
Mexico Public School Facility Authority, that the
facility will receive a condition rating equal to or
better than the average condition for all New Mexico
public schools, or can demonstrate that within 18
months of occupancy, that it has a plan for
achieving the wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that the New America School Las Cruces is granted authorization to commence full operation as a state chartered charter school. However, the school cannot occupy a facility with students until the Charter Schools Division receives written notification that the school building has been approved for E occupancy, NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011.

Approved with conditions. I move that the Public Education Commission approve authorization of the New America School Las Cruces with the following conditions to be completed and documented with the



1	Charter Schools Division prior to the opening of
2	school: That all provisions in Section 3.8 of the
3	planning year checklist be completed prior to the
4	beginning of school.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
7	Peralta. Is there any discussion?
8	Seeing none, we will proceed with a roll
9	call vote with Secretary Eugene Gant.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
11	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
13	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
15	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
17	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
19	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
21	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
22	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
23	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
24	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
25	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.



SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes 1 2 no. Eight to one. 3 CHAIR GARRISON: The motion passes. Ι 4 wish you all luck. 5 And remember the state of Ohio as a last 6 resort, gentlemen. 7 I would like to invite up Sage Montessori School of the Arts and Science, and look at them. 8 9 They are on the ball. 10 MS. JEANNE MEIHAUS: We are Sage Montessori Charter School. 11 12 CHAIR GARRISON: What did I say? 13 MS. MEIHAUS: You confused us with La 14 Tierra School. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: I did confuse you. Sage 16 Montessori Charter School. Please, after you sign 17 in, introduce yourselves, and we will proceed. 18 Thank you for that correction. 19 MS. MEIHAUS: I am secretary of the 20 governing board and my name is Jeanne Meihaus. 21 CHAIR GARRISON: After you sign in, 22 everyone, use the mike, please. 23 MS. MEIHAUS: My name is Jeanne Meihaus 24 and I am the secretary for the governing board for



Thank you.

Sage Montessori.

CHAIR GARRISON: You're welcome. 1 MS. MARGARET CASSIDY-BACA: My name is 2 3 Margaret Cassidy-Baca. I am a community volunteer. 4 I have been working closely with Sage Montessori 5 Charter School these last very long nine months. 6 Delivering a child was easier than this. 7 happy to be here today. CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome. 8 9 MS. ALGENE HERRICK: My name is Algene 10 Herrick. I am a founder and acting administrator of 11 the Sage charter school. 12 CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome. 13 Ms. Callahan. DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Good afternoon. 14 15 Chairman, commissioners, the school for review at 16 this time is Sage Montessori. At this time, the 17 Charter Schools Division recommends approval for 18 Sage Montessori to commence full operations based on 19 their completion of the planning year requirements 20 outlined in statute and rule. The recommendation 21 for approval is pending documentation of the 22 facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted 23 Condition Index rating or 18 month plan prior to the



CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Ms. Callahan.

opening of school.

24

25

The floor is open for commissioner 1 2 questions. Commissioner Shearman. VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: So PSFA, has that E 3 4 occupancy been issued? Has anything changed since 5 that report that Ms. Callahan just read? 6 MS. CASSIDY-BACA: We have received a 7 weighted index score of 6.86, I believe. 8 occupancy is pending. The landlord is responsible 9 for obtaining the occupancy and the tenant 10 improvements. His contractor has already gone 11 through the architectural and engineering process. 12 It's being submitted to the City of Albuquerque, 13 city building's department for E occupancy approval 14 next Wednesday. 15 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 16 Shearman, that's correct. I have nothing to add. 17 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. So there are 18 renovations still to be done? 19 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Ms. Shearman, they 20 currently do not have an E occupancy at this time 21 but they are working on it. 22 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you. 23 thought I heard something about renovations. 24 MS. HERRICK: That is minimal. At this 25 time another company was in the building. They have



moved out. They have taken their furnishings. The building is basically a shell right at this point. They are going to add four walls and four toilets and a water fountain.

MS. CASSIDY-BACA: Essentially our layout of our classroom structure is a double open We required them to remove interior structure. walls as opposed to building things out, which frankly from the contractor's standpoint was much faster and simpler than trying to build something up as opposed to tearing something down. So we needed to add a few lavatories, a few toilets, a water fountain, and put walls where we needed them for large, open classroom spaces. They are going to install a fire alarm system that meets the E code for fire marshal purposes and add two exterior doors. That is why the plans now are sitting on the engineer's desk to verify that that is all that will be needed to get that E occupancy. It's expected to be delivered to the city next Wednesday.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: When was the lease signed?

MS. CASSIDY-BACA: We conducted a series of regular meetings, special meetings, and emergency meetings. And I believe -- let me ask the realtor.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Our realtor is here. 1 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you. I found 2 3 it. It's on page 17. Eighth of June, is that 4 correct? 5 MS. CASSIDY-BACA: That sounds correct, 6 ma'am. 7 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Can I ask why this all is happening so late? 8 9 MS. CASSIDY-BACA: We can explain that. 10 We had secured a lease at a different location 11 facility. PSFA had been to that facility, gave it a 12 very high rating as well. The landlord offered us a 13 lease. We were going to accept it. Twenty-four hours before our governing body meeting, the 14 landlord withdrew the offer. We had worked very 15 16 closely with this landlord for four months. Left us 17 on the street. Within 24 hours, our realtor and our 18 acting administrator were able to secure another 19 facility and execute a lease in a timely fashion. 20 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you. 21 CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions? 22 Commissioner Bergman. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just something 24 about the fire suppression system. I notice it says



here you don't need one if you have an outside door.

25

1	Do you have an outside door?
2	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: We have quite a few
3	outside doors, but they want us to add two more.
4	That will not be an issue because of the way the
5	building is constructed. If you look at these grids
6	here in this area, if you can imagine these grids
7	being, the upper half is a window and the lower half
8	is a large window, they simply remove one window and
9	put a door there instead with a breakaway bar on it.
10	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So you are going to
11	be putting in fire alarm pulls but no fire
12	suppression?
13	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: There will no water
14	sprinkler system for fire suppression if that is the
15	question.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you.
17	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Chair,
19	members, how many students are you going to have in
20	this school?
21	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: In the first year, 318.
22	SECRETARY GANT: That calculation in my
23	mind says that you don't meet your lease with lease
24	assistance.
25	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: We have already been



1	through that with our business manager, Michael
2	Vigil, and he has run the numbers with our finance
3	committee and the executive committee, and according
4	to the advice we are getting from our business
5	manager, we will be able to meet this lease based on
6	reimbursement.
7	SECRETARY GANT: Do you think you are
8	going to get all the lease assistance to cover that
9	from the state?
10	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: If you wish, I can have
11	Mr. Vigil answer that question more specifically.
12	SECRETARY GANT: No. If you think you're
13	going to get it, but if you don't, where are you
14	going to get it? You know, it's based on either
15	the
16	CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner, use your
17	microphone, please.
18	SECRETARY GANT: I apologize. It's either
19	based upon the number of students you have or the
20	lease, whichever is less. So if the lease is more
21	than what you receive by the number of students, you
22	have to make up the difference. So where would you
23	come up with that difference?
24	MS. CASSIDY-BACA: Commissioner Gant,



Chair Garrison, we understand that those are the

criteria, and we are confident that all will come from lease reimbursement. And if not, we have made arrangements within our operating budget to move funds as needed to cover the rent.

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, I just got to tell you, I have seen a couple of charter schools that did that and they got into financial tricks, really bad, almost had to close, because they were paying so much more for their lease than what they were receiving from lease assistance. And I hate to see a school close. You're going to have to take it out on operational, and I am always asking the question: What program are you willing to give up to cover a lease?

MS. HERRICK: Well, the best thing about the place we are in, we are not hiring yet, but many are waiting for a job and we are dying to hire them, that so many of them are dual certified. And myself, I am a Montessori teacher, I am a classroom teacher, and I am a music teacher. I can do many things. I would say more than half the employees are dually certified in areas that we really need, besides being New Mexico State teachers. We are very fortunate.

SECRETARY GANT: Okay. That's your



1 business.

2 CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?

3 | Seeing none, the Chair will enter a

4 motion -- where's Starbucks? The Chair will

5 entertain a motion.

6 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I will read it, Mr.

7 Chair.

8 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Lopez, thank

9 you.

10 | COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I hereby move

11 adoption of the following resolution: Whereas Sage

12 | Montessori was granted a charter, with the provision

13 that before the commission shall issue the

14 | authorization to commence full operation, the

15 charter school must comply with the requirements of

16 | the planning year checklist developed by the Charter

17 | Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools

18 Division has assured the commission that the charter

19 school has substantially complied with the

20 requirements of the planning year checklist, has met

21 the conditions put forth by the commission upon

22 | charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has

23 | sought and obtained certification from the New

24 | Mexico Public School Facilities Authority, that the

25 | facility will receive a conditioned rating equal to



or better than the average condition for all New 1 2 Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate that 3 within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan 4 for achieving the wNMCI. 5 Therefore, be it resolved that Sage 6 Montessori is granted authorization to commence full 7 operations as a state chartered charter school. However, the school cannot occupy a facility with 8 9 students until the Charter Schools Division receives written notification that the school building has 10 11 been approved for E occupancy. NMSA 1978 Section 12 22-8B-4.2A 2011. 13 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 14 CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner 15 Carr. 16 Discussion? Commissioner Shearman. 17 18 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I just see one item 19 in 3.8 that hasn't been completed, so I would like 20 to ask that a condition be that all items in 3.8 on 21 the planning year checklist be completed prior to 22 the beginning of school. 23 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Second. 24 COMMISSIONER CARR: I agree. 25 CHAIR GARRISON: Accepted by Commissioners



1	Lopez and Carr.
2	Any other discussion? We will proceed
3	with the roll call vote with Secretary Eugene Gant.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
5	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
15	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
21	yes. Nine, zip, zero, in favor.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Unanimous.
23	Congratulations.
24	MS. HERRICK: Thank you very much.
25	CHAIR GARRISON: Southwest Aeronautics,



Mathematics, and Science Academy. Welcome. 1 2 MR. ROBERT PASZTOR: Thank you. My name 3 is Robert Pasztor. I am cofounder and the principal 4 of SAMS Academy. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome. 6 Ms. Callahan. 7 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and commissioners, the next school for review is the 8 9 Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science Academy. The Charter Schools Division recommends 10 11 approval for the Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics, 12 and Science Academy to commence full operations 13 based on their completion of the planning year requirements outlined in statute and rule. 14 15 recommendation for approval is pending documentation 16 of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted 17 New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 month plan 18 prior to the opening of school. 19 CHAIR GARRISON: The floor is open for 20 commissioner questions. 21 Commissioner Gant. 22 SECRETARY GANT: This is for PSFA. 23 occupancy, E occupancy, does that still stand? 24 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Gant,



that is the correction I had to make at my

1 presentation. They do have an E occupancy.

2 | SECRETARY GANT: Do they have a weighted

3 index?

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4 MS. CASIAS: Chair, Commissioner Gant,

5 yes, they do. It's 1.14 and it's very good.

SECRETARY GANT: Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?

Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a

motion.

10 Mr. Peralta.

adoption of the following resolution: Whereas,
Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science
Academy was granted a charter with the provision
that before the commission shall issue the
authorization to commence full operation, the
charter school must comply with the requirements of
the planning year checklist developed by the Charter
Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools
Division has assured the commission the charter
school has substantially complied with the
requirements of the planning year checklist, has met

charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has

the conditions put forth by the commission upon

25 | sought and obtained certification from the New





1	Mexico Public School Facilities Authority, that the
2	facility will receive a conditioned rating equal to
3	or better than the average condition for all New
4	Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate that
5	within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan
6	for achieving the wNMCI.
7	Therefore, be it resolved that Southwest
8	Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science Academy is
9	granted authorization to commence full operation as
10	a state chartered charter school. However, the
11	school cannot occupy a facility with students until
12	the Charter Schools Division receives written
13	notification that the school building has been
14	approved for E occupancy. NMSA 1978, Section
15	22-8B-4.2A 2011.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
17	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
18	Bergman.
19	Is there any discussion? Seeing none
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I would note that
21	their 3.8 section is entirely done.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: And their facility is
23	beautiful. I was there for the grand opening. It's



We will proceed with the roll call vote

beautiful.

24

1	with Secretary Eugene Gant.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
3	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Carr.
5	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: He left.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: I'm sorry. For the
7	record, Commissioner Carr has left for the day.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
12	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
13	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
15	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
16	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
17	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
18	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
20	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
21	yes. It's an eight to zero in favor.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Unanimously the motion is
23	passed. Congratulations.
24	Let's have Uplift Community School come on
25	up or come on down, I guess I should say. It's kind



of a slope. 1 2 Welcome and please introduce yourselves. MS. LINDA KAYE: Good afternoon. 3 My name 4 is Linda Kaye, and I am one of the founders of 5 Uplift Community School, and I am presently the 6 chair of governing council. 7 MS. JENNIFER MERCER: Mr. Chairman and commissioners, good afternoon. My name is Jennifer 8 9 Mercer, and I am the director of Uplift Community 10 School. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Welcome. 12 Ms. Callahan. 13 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: The next school for 14 review is Uplift Community School. The Charter 15 Schools Division recommends approval for Uplift 16 Community School to commence full operations based 17 on their completion of the planning year 18 requirements outlined in statute and rule. The 19 recommendation for approval is pending documentation 20 of facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating for 18 month plan 21 22 prior to the opening of school. 23 CHAIR GARRISON: The floor is open for 24 questions by commissioners.



Commissioner Lopez.

25

1	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: It's not clear to me
2	what your building situation is.
3	MS. MERCER: Yes, commissioner. As of
4	yesterday, we received our PSFA report back with an
5	index of 12.47 on the facility and the site plan. I
6	did bring the site plan also which we had submitted
7	to Annette from PSFA last week when she came out to
8	the facility. We have a situation that is a
9	warehouse building that's going to be renovated, and
L 0	portables will be brought in as the classrooms on
1	that site.
L 2	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: What is the timeline
L 3	on that renovation?
L 4	MS. MERCER: Our original plan submitted
L 5	with the budget was to start on August 13th.
L 6	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Start school?
L 7	MS. MERCER: To start school on
8 .	August 13th with a construction completion date of
L 9	August 8th. We have submitted a request to PED to
20	extend the start date to September 4th, and the
21	construction completion date would be August 24th.
22	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: So it's still really
23	tight.
24	MS. MERCER: It's still tight, but they
25	have assured us from the builder's standpoint that



he would be able to make it within that timeline. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: How many portables 3 are you going to have? 4 MS. MERCER: We will have three portables. 5 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: And when are they 6 going to be running? 7 MS. MERCER: The estimate from the portable company was July 18th or a little bit later 8 9 in July. 10 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: What kind of 11 renovation is going to go on in the building? 12 The building has two parts to MS. MERCER: 13 There is an office area, and for the most part 14 we are leaving that piece alone. So the other part 15 that was more warehouse is going to be renovated to 16 include a serving kitchen, bathroom facilities, and then a main area like a cafeteria sort of auditorium 17 18 But the classrooms for the children are all E area. 19 rated and they are going to be ones that are put in 20 the portables right outside the building. 21 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Say that last part 22 again, what about E rated? 23 MS. MERCER: Well, the portables are E 24 rated.



COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay.

25

e-mail: info@litsupport.com

Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner 1 2 Lopez. 3 Commissioner Bergman. 4 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Just curious. 5 see the lease. Is this your actual address 408 6 State Highway? That's where you are going to be? 7 MS. MERCER: It actually is, but there was a correction on the lease. It's 406 Highway 564. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's not the main 10 highway that runs through town, is it? 11 MS. MERCER: No, it is not. 12 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So where is it 13 exactly? MS. MERCER: I will let Linda describe 14 15 that. 16 MS. KAYE: It's the route that goes around 17 the side of Gallup that connects the old 66 on the 18 east side of Gallup, and then goes around the town 19 and ends up going to the highway to Zuni, which used 20 to be 666 and now it's 181 or something. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Is it more rural or 22 is it a real built-up area? 23 Well, one of the reasons the MS. KAYE: 24 number on it is wrong is because there's just lots 25 of land around. But they really haven't decided



what numbers are there, so that's why the number on 1 2 the street had to change. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So initially, you 4 remember from last summer, this commission was 5 concerned about you being on the main drag there, 6 but you are not doing transportation, right? 7 Yes, we are. MS. KAYE: COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So you are doing 8 9 transportation? 10 MS. KAYE: Uh-huh. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So you will be 12 responsible for getting the kids out to wherever 13 this is? 14 MS. KAYE: It's not really very far. 15 downtown, it's about three miles. It's not in the 16 country. Okay. I know how 17 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: much trouble you had finding it, so I am glad you 18 19 found something. Thank you. 20 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner 21 Bergman. 22 Commissioner Gant. 23 SECRETARY GANT: Ms. Callahan, I note in 24 here that 3.2, the FERPA policy, has been drafted, 25 but there is no date as to when it's going to be



1	finalized or approved? It's 3.2. 3.3 is the
2	transportation which Commissioner Bergman just
3	alluded to. It's got a paragraph that says
4	something about a June 20th deadline has been, and
5	then it quits. What's all the verbiage? Do you
6	have a contract with the bus company or not?
7	MS. MERCER: Commissioner, may I speak to
8	that? It was the RFP that had to go out by June
9	20th, so we are still in communication at this point
10	with two different organizations, both the local
11	school district and a busing company that's been
12	state approved to determine which one we are going
13	to have a contract with for transportation.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, that's two
15	items, the FERPA 3.2 and the 3.3 transportation
16	needs to be included for finalization when we talk
17	about the resolution.
18	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
19	Gant.
20	Any other questions from commissioners?
21	Commissioner Shearman.
22	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Were you satisfied
23	with 3.8 or did you look at it?
24	SECRETARY GANT: There is something
25	missing. Go ahead.



VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I believe 3.8 is yet to be completed, so that would need to be part of the conditions.

CHAIR GARRISON: Other questions?

Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I will read it.

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas, Uplift Community School was granted a charter with a provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operations, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist develop by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public Schools Facility Authority, that the facility will receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public schools or can demonstrate that within 18
months occupancy, that it has a plan for achieving
the wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that Uplift
Community School is granted authorization to
commence full operations as a state chartered
charter school. However, the school cannot occupy a
facility with students until the Charter Schools
Division receives written notification that the
school building has been approved for E occupancy,
NMSA 1978 Section 22-8B-4.2A 2011.

Approved with conditions, I move that the Public Education Commission approve authorization of Uplift Community School with the following conditions to be completed and documented with the Charter Schools Division prior to the opening of the school, and that all items in 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5 in the planning list -- pardon me -- planning year checklist be completed prior to the opening of school.

21 SECRETARY GANT: Excuse me. Did you mean 22 3.5 or 3.8?

CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Shearman, there is a question by Commissioner Gant.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I'm sorry?



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

1	SECRETARY GANT: Did you mean 3.5 or 3.8?
2	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: What did I say?
3	SECRETARY GANT: 3.5.
4	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I'm sorry. I meant
5	3.8.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: Do we have a second?
7	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Second.
8	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
9	Lopez.
10	Is there discussion?
11	Commissioner Bergman.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Not discussion. I
13	just salute your tenacity. This is one of the
14	applications that was turned down the first time
15	they came. They went back and redid their
16	application and got approved. Now, we are at this
17	stage. So I salute your tenacity.
18	MS. KAYE: Thank you.
19	CHAIR GARRISON: We will proceed with a
20	roll call vote with Secretary Eugene Gant.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
22	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
24	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
25	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.



1	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
2	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
3	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
4	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
5	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
6	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
7	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
10	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
11	yes. Eight to zero in favor.
12	CHAIR GARRISON: It's unanimous.
13	Congratulations.
14	MS. MERCER: Thank you.
15	CHAIR GARRISON: The next item is letter
16	k, William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community
17	School. Please come on up, sign in, and introduce
18	yourselves.
19	MS. DIANA DORN-JONES: Good afternoon. My
20	name is Diana Dorn-Jones. I am the executive
21	director of United South Broadway which is the
22	founding organization for the charter school.
23	CHAIR GARRISON: Good afternoon.
24	MS. CHRISTINA CHAVEZ: Good afternoon. My
25	name is Christina Chavez, and I am on the governance



council, and I can't think because I'm hungry. 1 I am 2 the chair of the governance council. If you hear 3 this growling, I'm sorry. MS. DORN-JONES: We would like to change 4 5 our name to ACME. We will get up here sooner. 6 MS. SANDY BEERY: My name is Sandy Beery, 7 and I am the interim director for this charter 8 school. 9 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you. 10 Ms. Callahan. 11 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 12 commissioners, the final school that we will be 13 reviewing is William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community School. The Charter Schools Division 14 15 recommends approval for William W. & Josephine Dorn 16 Charter Community School to commence full operations 17 based on their completion of the planning year 18 requirements outlined in statute and rule. 19 recommendation for approval is pending documentation 20 of the facility E occupancy approval and PSFA's weighted New Mexico Condition Index rating or 18 21 22 month plan prior to the opening of school. 23 CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Ms. Callahan. 24 I will open up the floor for questions 25 from commissioners.



1 Commissioner Gant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair and members, please explain what's going on with your facilities, please. I know you had a discussion with Mr. Gorrell back there. Can you explain what's going on?

MS. DORN-JONES: I'll try and I have some help here with me as well. Just so you know, United South Broadway purchased that building back in 2006, and when we purchased it, it was about a 14,000 square foot space. We hired an architect who did the design work for renovation. They did it in two phases, phase one and phase two. The part that we are talking about using now for the school is phase one, the renovated space.

We hired Britain construction when we first purchased it to do about \$319,000 of work in terms of renovating the space. It's full, it has a fire alarm and is fully sprinkled. Even the phase two has a sprinkler system as well.

This is an old building. One of the things that we had to do, when they looked at the weighted score, it was lower than it should be -- it was higher.

MS. BEERY: It wasn't where it should be.



MS. DORN-JONES: It wasn't where it should be. The primary reason for that had to do with the plumbing. That plumbing, that building was born when I was born, in 1950, so it's over 60 years old. And, of course, there would be some concern about that.

We brought a plumber in. They dropped the camera. They looked at the plumbing. They have now sent -- we now have a letter with PSFA, with Richard Romero, saying that the plumbing is in good condition. And so I believe that he is looking at that to refactor our score and see if we can get that score into the right place. That's the plumbing issue.

right now in terms of our E occupancy is we have done all the work that we need to do with the City of Albuquerque. The last thing that was done was the strobes. The fire department came in and they wanted to see more strobes. And it made sense, because the strobes that we had in there, we didn't have them in the bathrooms. They should be there. So they have added some extra strobe lighting for warning, and that work is done. And we believe that we will have the fire department in for their final



approval on Friday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, it was to supposed to have been done on another Friday and they changed it, so we are waiting for the City of Albuquerque Fire Department to come in and do that last piece, and then we can actually get our occupancy permit.

MS. BEERY: And hang it on the wall and take a picture and send it to you.

MS. DORN-JONES: The other thing that we are waiting for in terms of this property is we have a letter on file, a resolution from the board stating that, when we get ready to expand beyond the 90 students, we have to be going into that phase two part of the building, and we are going to have to go through this process all over again. We are going to have to get E occupancy for that phase two. We are going to have to go back and get a weighted score, because that part is unrenovated.

CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Shearman.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Okay. I am reading from the report that PSFA gave us today. A wNMCI score has not been generated because PSFA has not received plans for renovations of the facility and the use of space within the building. And then it goes on to say they are working on the 18 month plan



of correction. Could you bring us up to date if anything is different?

MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
Shearman, in fact, we have generated a score of 69
percent, which does not meet the average weighted
NMCI, and her 18 month plan of correction has not
been submitted to us. But if what she has stated
verbally she puts in writing and submits to us, and
then we have a chance to review it, then we would
have an 18 month plan of correction. At this time
there is not an E occupancy and it does not meet the
weighted NMCI.

MS. BEERY: Excuse me. Since the time that we turned in these documents, we have since been able to give the plans and the work that needed to be done for the city to issue the E occupancy for the space we will be occupying for this coming year.

And one of the issues about the 18 month plan had to do with the plumbing, and since we have had that investigated and we have been given the green light -- the plumbing is fine. It doesn't need any major renovation -- we, along with Richard Romero, are anticipating that the score for this part of the building that we are going to be occupying in August will meet the NMCI index number.



And then the 18 month plan is what we are working on 1 2 with the architects about the rest of the space. 3 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Canfield. COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank, you Mr. 4 5 Chair. So if I understand this, if you get your E 6 7 rating and they accept the plumbing issue, we won't need an 18 month plan; is that correct? 8 9 MS. BEERY: Yes. For the space that we 10 will be occupying this year, yes. 11 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Phase one. 12 MS. BEERY: Phase one, yes. 13 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. 14 Chair. 15 So if those two things are done, those will need to be done before you can bring students 16 17 in, so you are anticipating getting that done well 18 before your opening date? 19 MS. BEERY: Yes, sir. As long as the City 20 of Albuquerque Fire Department comes soon, yes. 21 CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Casias. 22 MS. CASIAS: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 23 Canfield, if the plumbing repairs are done and we 24 have to go back and reassess it and see what the 25 weighted NMCI is, at this point we can't say that we



know what it is. 1 2 CHAIR GARRISON: Any other questions? Commissioner Gant. 3 SECRETARY GANT: Mr. Chair, just a couple 4 5 comments. Again, Ms. Callahan, 3.2, that policy, 6 that FERPA policy is still in draft according to 7 this document, and 3.8 is to be finalized, all the subs in there. And my only comment would be for the 8 charter school folks, reading your lease is 66,000 a 9 10 year; is that correct? You're paying \$5,500 a month? 11 12 MS. DORN-JONES: Yes, \$5,100. 13 SECRETARY GANT: Multiply it times 12 I 14 get 66,000. 15 MS. BEERY: For the year, yes. I thought 16 you were saying per month. I'm sorry. 17 SECRETARY GANT: Based upon a student loan of 180, you will receive short of lease assistance 18 19 from the state. Just letting you know. 20 MS. BEERY: Yes. In the building of the 21 budget in March, that was already planned for. 22 SECRETARY GANT: All right. I just hate 23 to see charters get in the trick that I have seen a 24 couple of them that almost had to close because of



their lease.

1	MS. BEERY: Yes.
2	MS. DORN-JONES: Chairman Garrison,
3	Commissioner Gant, one of the things that we can
4	take into consideration is this building belongs to
5	the non-profit that's the founder. If we have to
6	change the rate so that the school stays in place,
7	we will do that.
8	SECRETARY GANT: Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Gant.
11	Commissioner Lopez.
12	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I'm sorry. It's been
13	a long day, but I just want to be clear. You don't
14	need any work done for phase one? You just need the
15	inspection from the city?
16	MS. DORN-JONES: Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. BEERY: Yes, ma'am.
18	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I just wanted to make
19	sure I was getting that right.
20	CHAIR GARRISON: Are there any other
21	questions? Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a
22	motion. Don't jump up and down.
23	Commissioner Bergman.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Now, when we get to
25	the end and we do the conditions, you all are going



to have to remind me of all the 3.2s and that kind of thing.

I hereby move adoption of the following resolution: Whereas, William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community School was granted a charter with the provision that before the commission shall issue the authorization to commence full operation, the charter school must comply with the requirements of the planning year checklist developed by the Charter Schools Division; and whereas, the Charter Schools Division has assured the commission that the charter school has substantially complied with the requirements of the planning year checklist, has met the conditions put forth by the commission upon charter approval, and has demonstrated that it has sought and obtained certification from the New Mexico Public School Facilities Authority, that the facility will receive a condition rating equal to or better than the average condition for all New Mexico public schools, or can demonstrate that within the 18 months of occupancy, that it has a plan for achieving the wNMCI.

Therefore, be it resolved that William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community School is granted authorization to commence full operations as a state



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	chartered charter school. However, the school
2	cannot occupy a facility with students until the
3	Charter Schools Division receives written
4	notification that the school building has been
5	approved for E occupancy. NMSA 1978, Section
6	22-8B-4.2A 2011.
7	And I would further move that the Public
8	Education Commission approve authorization of
9	William W. & Josephine Dorn Charter Community School
10	with the following commissions to be completed and
11	documented with the Charter Schools Division prior
12	to opening of the school, and if someone would care
13	to elucidate what those are.
14	SECRETARY GANT: Item 3.2 of the checklist
15	and 3.8 from the checklist.
16	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Second.
17	CHAIR GARRISON: Seconded by Commissioner
18	Shearman.
19	Is there any discussion?
20	Commissioner Lopez.
21	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: There's just a little
22	discrepancy in the title here. On the planning year
23	checklist, it's called William W. & Josephine Dorn
24	Community Charter School, and on the resolution it's



Charter Community School.

1	MS. DORN-JONES: It should be Charter
2	Community School.
3	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Charter Community
4	School is the right one. Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIR GARRISON: Thanks for that
6	correction.
7	Seeing no discussion, we will proceed with
8	the roll call vote with Secretary Eugene Gant.
9	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
11	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
13	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
14	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
15	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
16	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
17	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
18	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
19	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
20	VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Yes.
21	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
22	CHAIR GARRISON: Yes.
23	SECRETARY GANT: Commissioner Gant votes
24	yes. Eight-zero in favor.
25	CHAIR GARRISON: It is unanimous.



Congratulations.DIRECT

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

may.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Callahan.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

commissioners, if you indulge me just a moment, I would like to take the time to thank the Charter Schools Division staff who worked with these schools the entire year and served as liaisons and coaches, worked with them on the web EPS review and how to upload, how to download. They did the training, they facilitated the training. Did site visits. They very much were involved in creating the documentation that was required for the school, and I felt like they did an outstanding job. I would like to recognize them as they are sitting here if I

CHAIR GARRISON: Absolutely.

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Karen Ehlert,
educational administrator; Brad Richardson,
occupational administration; Cordelia Chavez, our
federal grant business operations specialist; Rachel
Stofocik, our educational administrator; Susan
Coates, our newest member, as an education
administrator; and Rochelle Cherrin, our last
educational administrator. She is getting ready for



training for the schools' implementation of 446.

Sorry but she had to leave.

But these are the folks that did the work, and I am very proud, and the schools were very cooperative. I felt like it was a really positive process. We have room to improve, which we will.

And I just want to thank the commission for their support, and we will keep working with these schools to ensure that the conditions are met and also to ensure that the quality of the schools as they open and begin operations during their site visits will be reviewed and monitored.

CHAIR GARRISON: Ms. Callahan, thank you very much. And CSD staff, we so appreciate your hard work, absolutely. I'm just the MC and I'm dying over here, so thank you for what you went through. Seriously, thank you from the bottom of our hearts.

Item No. 16 is PEC comments and we will start with Commissioner Pogna.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: I wanted to thank

Kelly for the tremendous job she has done and was so knowledgeable about everything.

I thank you very much. You have been great.





DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Thank you very much, 1 2 commissioners. CHAIR GARRISON: We will start on this 3 4 side, continue on this side, I should say. 5 Commissioner Lopez, any comment? 6 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Nothing. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Pogna, any other comments? 8 9 Commissioner Shearman. 10 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: No, thank you. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant. 12 SECRETARY GANT: Sure. CHAIR GARRISON: I knew he drank too much 13 coffee. 14 15 SECRETARY GANT: Just a couple of comments that came out of this. First of all, I would like 16 17 to recognize Dr. David Harrell from the LASC staff 18 sitting back there taking massive notes to our 19 legislators. You learn something, you carry 20 something back. You sat through this whole thing 21 like everybody else. It's amazing that you are 22 here. Thank you for coming. 23 Thank you, Dr. Harrell. CHAIR GARRISON: 24 SECRETARY GANT: A couple of items that we 25 need to discuss and think about in the future for



all of us to think about. Hopefully you read all the leases for these charter schools, these 11 of them that we went through today. You will note, they are all different, every one of them. So there is discussion. Deputy Aguilar, myself, the PSFA, the PSCOC, et cetera, about coming up with some kind of standardized charter that we can all live with.

If you look at some of these charters, and my warning to some of the charter folks here about how much they are paying, et cetera, the fact that in the leases, which I guess is standard and legal, the charters are picking up all the expenses for internal and external maintenance and repairs. It's all coming out of their operational budgets, but they are not getting any money for it.

The leases, I know there is one that's going to pay over \$64,000 a year that they won't get reimbursed for from the lease assistance program from the state. That's got to hurt.

The virtual schools are coming down on us, and we really need to think about that. We need to think about establishing boundaries, because they are all going to want to go statewide. I have heard from people from the charter movement, and they are not too happy with that. They are not happy with



the idea of these out of state companies coming in claiming to be nonprofit -- maybe they are -- coming in with virtual schools, going out there and spreading their tentacles across the state of New Mexico and getting into where they should be as the individual charter schools. So we are supporting communities that need their support, their type of support that they can give.

We have to establish -- there is nothing in code that establishes what type of school or classroom is required for virtual charter schools. They are going to get a building out in Farmington for 45 kids. It's just a building. That's all there is.

My last one is we talked about this a little bit. We need to do something about three charter schools being in one building. I mean, come on. I agree with your comments. I mean, we need to really think about that. A lot of this stuff, we need to talk about it in the legislative committee, about taking stuff forward to like the LESC, to the PSCOC, to their task force to see if we can get some changes that will better support the children of our state. Thank you.

CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner



Gant. 1 2 Commissioner Canfield. 3 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I am good. Thank 4 you. 5 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Peralta. 6 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: No. 7 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Bergman. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I apologize for 8 9 I just encourage all of my fellow 10 commissioners to come prepared for that August 8th 11 meeting, and with all of the things that we have 12 added to the agenda, there is going to be a great 13 deal to discuss there. Come prepared to weigh in on 14 it and give us your insight on how you want to do 15 these things. 16 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Gant, 18 comment on that. 19 SECRETARY GANT: Not on that, but real 20 quickly, look at this thing. Go down the list about 21 enrollment as per city per charter cap, you are 22 going to see some of these charter schools are down 23 in the 40 percent. One is at 22 percent. They are



all claiming that we have people standing in line to

get in the schools so we need more charter schools.

24

25

1 No. 2 CHAIR GARRISON: Commissioner Pogna. 3 COMMISSIONER POGNA: One quick one. 4 more thank you. I want to thank Beverly for getting 5 us a mound of materials timely, and when my computer 6 died, she would send me material. I really 7 appreciate it. Thank you very much. CHAIR GARRISON: Thank you, Beverly. 8 9 Any other comments? 10 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair. 11 CHAIR GARRISON: Kelly and then Beverly. 12 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: I just wanted to ask 13 you, is there a possibility of looking at a two day 14 training in August? Sorry. I take it back. 15 CHAIR GARRISON: No, it wasn't thunder or 16 anything that you're stealing. 17 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: I will save that for 18 later. Commissioners, if we want to look at a two 19 20 day, is it a Wednesday, Thursday, just for planning purposes? If that is, indeed, what the commission's 21 pleasure is, then we will, you know, have to make 22 23 arrangements accordingly.



the agenda and see what that starts looking like.

CHAIR GARRISON: Let's start building out

24

25

But I have a feeling, if we are going to do our due
diligence and do this justice, then I would
recommend it.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I agree with that,

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I agree with that, because I think it's going to take us two days to do it right.

7 CHAIR GARRISON: Do you want to peek at 8 your August 9th? I do.

VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: I realize it's Tuesday.

SECRETARY GANT: I can change. I just need a lot of forewarning to do some things.

(A discussion was held off the record.)
CHAIR GARRISON: Beverly.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair, my question is on those two days now, I don't know if you would want to take a vote on that. It is an action item under comments. But if you do want to vote on that, are you going to have two days of training or did you want an actual meeting? If it's an actual meeting, if there are any loose ends with things that you would want to tie up, we can have a meeting, and then a day and a half of training, something along those lines. Or would you like the executive committee to discuss that?



5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR GARRISON: I would like us to build it out and see what that looks like, but you make a great point. If we are going to do some business, then it would be open meetings.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Initially how much time did you think we were going to spend on training, Kelly? Was it going to be half a day?

DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair,

Commissioner Bergman, the training, it's hard to say because it's a completely new process. And so, you know, I had tentatively put a day aside, you know, hopefully a shorter day, a five and a half hour kind of training with lunch and everything built in. You know, it may take less. Again, once the frameworks are completed and, you know, to go through those documents -- because those will have to be approved by the commission, the actual framework forms and the contract language is going to have to be approved in September. So this would be an ideal time to review and go over that.

So I'm going to say a day's worth of training at least, and then with the other items, it's looking at an entire change of process, of amendments, the contracts. All of that is going to tie together. I would say at least a day and a half



and then build two days and hope that we get done 1 2 early. 3 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Well, the training, 4 then I would suggest, when you start setting up your 5 agenda, if it takes six hours, then we could move 6 directly into the other process. If it takes eight, 7 then we would put the other stuff on the second day. How does that sound? 8 9 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I agree. 10 VICE-CHAIR SHEARMAN: Peachy. 11 DIRECTOR CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, 12 Commissioner Bergman, winner. 13 CHAIR GARRISON: That will work. 14 SECRETARY GANT: We still need a motion on 15 the table. 16 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do we need to vote 17 on the days? 18 CHAIR GARRISON: We can take an executive, 19 just plan that out. 20 SECRETARY GANT: How could we word that, Mr. Vigil, so we have covered all the bases? 21 22 MR. VIGIL: You could call for a meeting. 23 It doesn't have to be done by a vote of this group 24 here. You have other procedures to call a meeting 25 through either your executive group, or the Chair



1	sometimes has authority to do that, and then give
2	notice to the rest of the members.
3	CHAIR GARRISON: We will do that through
4	executive.
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Sounds good.
6	CHAIR GARRISON: Item 17 is adjourn. The
7	Chair will entertain a motion.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I move we adjourn.
9	CHAIR GARRISON: It is moved by
L 0	Commissioner Bergman and seconded by Commissioner
L1	Shearman. All those in favor, say aye. Adjourned.
L 2	(The meeting concluded at 5:04 p.m.)6.
L 3	(Exhibits 1 and 2 marked.)
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, Sally Peters, RPR, CCR #57, Certified
6	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
7	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
8	transcript of proceedings had before the Public
9	Education Commission, held in the District of New
L O	Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
L1	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set
L 2	my hand on December 28, 2010.
L 3	
L 4	
L 5	SALLY PETERS, RPR, CCR #57 License Expires: 12/31/12
L 6	Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street, Northwest
L 7	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	(4465K)
	1

