1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF:
5	CENTER FOR INQUIRY SOUTHWEST
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	CHARTER SCHOOL PUBLIC INPUT HEARING August 26, 2009
11	1:19 p.m. 5600 Eagle Rock NE
12	Albuquerque, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219
21	Bean & Associates, Inc. Professional Court Reporting Service
22	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24 25	JOB NO.: 3978D (CC)
	I UVD 11V J./ IUD IVV.





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. CATHERINE SMITH, Chair MR. M. ANDREW GARRISON, Vice Chair
4	MS. MILLIE POGNA MS. KATHRYN KRIVITZKY
5	MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN MR. JEFF CARR
6	MR. VINCE BERGMAN
7	STAFF:
8	DR. DON DURAN MR. SAM OBENSHAIN
9	MR. MICHAEL C DE BACA
10	MR. RUDOLPH ARNOLD, Attorney for PED MS. PATRICIA BUSTAMANTE, Attorney for PEC
11	MS. MARJORIE GILLESPIE MS. CORINA CHAVEZ
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





THE CHAIR: Okay. I think we'll call this meeting back to order. The purpose of this hearing is to solicit both written and oral input on the proposed charter. In accordance with the Charter Schools Act, quote, "The Commission shall receive applications for initial chartering and renewals of charter for charter schools that want to be chartered by the State and approve or disapprove those charter applications," end of quote. This is a citation from 22-8B-16, New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1978.

In addition, the Charter School Act states that, quote, "The chartering authority shall hold at least one public hearing in the school district in which the charter school is proposed to be located to obtain information and community input to assist in its decision whether to grant a charter school application. Community input may include written or oral comments in favor of, or in opposition to, the application from the Applicant, the local community and, for State-chartered schools, the local school board and school district in whose geographic boundaries the charter school is proposed to be located." End of quote. This is cited from 22-8B-6, New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1978.



The Applicant at this time will be the Center for Inquiry Southwest. And you folks have been very accommodating, and thank you for being here early for us. We're very appreciative of that as we've had a cancellation.

Please, for the record, state the name of the school, the name or names of the founder or founders of the school, the proposed grade levels to be served and the membership projection of the school. We request that you state for the record a brief description of the school, the reasons you believe this school will benefit the children and citizens of this community, and any other information that you would like the Commission to know about your application.

You have 15 minutes, so please be as precise as you can during this time. You may begin. And I also would ask you to please say your name and spell it for our recorder. Thank you.

MR. CHIKI: Thank you. My name is Frank
Chiki, F-R-A-N-K, C-H-I-K-I. I am one of the
founders, as well as Roawa Lee. She's an educator
who is working at school today so could not be here.

MS. FEDERICI: Kim Federici, K-I-M

F-E-D-E-R-I-C-I. Parent and board member.





DR. HERR: I'm Kathryn Herr.

 $2 \mid K-A-T-H-R-Y-N$ . Herr, H-E-R-R.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHIKI: So the name is the Center for Inquiry. Our grade levels will be pre-K through 5.

5 And our projected is at 440 total enrollment.

Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. Thank you for your time this afternoon to hear about the Center for Inquiry Southwest. As I said, my name is Frank Chiki, one of the founders and current board member of the Center. I'm joined today by two of our board members, Kim Federici and Dr. Kathryn Herr.

The Center for Inquiry has a formal mission statement. But our main mission is the education of New Mexico Students in a way that is not currently available to those students. As you know, charter schools are public schools with a twist. Our goal is to provide an alternative choice for parents and students outside the traditional public school.

We plan to do so in two ways, one of which is unique to New Mexico elementary schools; providing curriculum through the inquiry process, and offering single-sex classrooms.

In many traditional schools that serve the





student population we seek to enroll, students of color and of low socioeconomic status, student ownership of their learning is eroding, as is teacher choice in how to best serve the students in their classroom. There are set curriculum in almost every subject with a script for teachers to follow. At our school, student choice and ownership of learning will be given back to our students and to our teachers to meet the needs of those students.

In the inquiry model developed by Dr. Carolyn Burke, Dr. Jerome Harste, and classroom teacher, Kathy Short, teachers provide opportunities for students to think and reflect upon the subject matter or theme. Teachers begin building a lesson, with standards always being a part of the thought process in planning and its delivery from what is known by the students. From there, they help to facilitate the development of questions for inquiry. In doing this, the teacher becomes the facilitator of learning, not the sage on the stage. facilitator asks good questions, and sometimes they are leading questions, to help students gain new perspectives, plan their inquiry, take thoughtful action on completing the inquiry, and providing a space and place for students to then share what they



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have learned and let their audience know other questions they have that haven't been answered.

environment, such as having a focus on living or non-living things, but giving the students permission to explore what that really means and create a product to share their newfound knowledge. This product, in most cases, will be presented in a way chosen by the students, which is then scored by a rubric the class has written together with the teacher, ensuring the standards are incorporated into any scoring guide.

Although the Center for Inquiry Southwest is open to all New Mexico students, our target population, as mentioned before, will be students of color living in lower socioeconomic status. This is population that is generally in situations in which the families are not able to provide enrichment opportunities such as visiting museums, educational day camps or traveling widely. The Center plans to offer a single-sex classroom environment. Although there have been single-sex schools in other countries and in private schools in the U.S., this has not been an option in public schools. With changes to Title IX in 2006, single-sex education



became possible in public education, and the number 1 2 of public, single-sex schools has grown 3 exponentially in other parts of the country. 4 Initial research indicates that single-sex 5 environments could be particularly beneficial for 6 the populations we foresee for the Center. 7 private option for those who could pay, we want to 8 provide the same opportunity for the children of New Mexico in public schools.

Our board of governance currently has experts in the inquiry process, management experience in private industry, single-sex education, current educators with expertise in math and balanced literacy, and a parent with experience in organizing other parents in leadership roles in the school environment. Each of them brings a knowledge base to ensure that the Center is meeting not only its mission, but its obligation to its owners, the people of New Mexico, that students are performing at the highest levels possible.

Sorry. We have chosen Balanced Literacy as the delivery model for language arts, as it encompasses the reading, writing, and word study processes. It provides ample opportunities to utilize the inquiry process in these areas through



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



student choice of reading materials and writers' workshop topics. Singapore Math will be used as our math curriculum and is the only stock program the school will utilize. Students who have used Singapore Math have consistently scored high on international tests in comparing countries. provides an in-depth and natural progression in learning math concepts. Students move from teacher-directed, to using graphic models, to independent learning, which is in line with our mission of students thinking critically. Science and social studies curriculum will be delivered through the use of trade books, hands-on learning experiences, and personal inquiry projects. and language arts will be integrated into these areas as well.

Our board of governance, with five current members, will provide oversight that the Center is meeting the requirements of its contract, the charter with the State of New Mexico, and will meet the requirements of the Open Meetings Act. It will also insure that the center is run morally and ethically and provide budgetary oversight. As part of the fiduciary oversight, the board will search for additional funding sources, such as grants,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

donations, and the creation of a Center foundation to supplement the funding provided through the SEG.

The board is also responsible for hiring an executive director to oversee the day-to-day operations of the Center in terms of hiring and evaluating of staff, maintaining the facility, parent involvement, and that students are in an environment that is safe and conducive to the learning process. All staff and faculty will report to the executive director. At this time, the board has approved the use of the New Mexico Coalition of Charter Schools business management services to undertake the duties normally reserved for a business manager. Their expertise will provide the safeguards necessary to make sure the Center remains fiscally responsible and will work with the board of governance and the executive director to do so. director of academic programs will be hired to oversee that the special education program is meeting all state and federal requirements, ESL students are being served appropriately and work to ensure the Response to Intervention procedures, as outlined by the State, are being met, including providing assistance to provide intervention strategies to move a student forward in his or her



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



learning and perform progress monitoring on such students.

Parents, teachers, staff, and the community at large will be invited to participate in advisory councils to meet the needs of the school. Examples of needed advisory councils might include professional development delivery, parent involvement, student teacher assistance team, and monitoring Response to Intervention. These councils will provide a venue for Center community members to have a voice in helping the Center and its students reach its potential and meet its mission and charter.

The goals we have for our students and ourselves include that every student continuously enrolled in the Center for at least one academic year will achieve at least one year's worth of growth for one year's worth of instruction. This growth will be measured through the use of AIMSweb. The Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System schoolwide writing prompts, schoolwide math assessments, the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment for grades three through five, the New Mexico English Language Proficiency Assessment for our ESL students, and/or the New Mexico Alternate



Assessment for our special education students who may require an alternative assessment.

Students will actively participate in a standards-focused, inquiry-based curriculum, enabling them to see the interrelationships of all learning and academic disciplines. Students will produce a rubric assessed portfolio by planning, conducting and evaluating a minimum of three inquiry projects of their choosing each year. This includes being able to generate working hypotheses, seek and find necessary resources, filter information, and develop a logical solution to their personal inquiry as measured by a combination of project rubrics, progress reports, student portfolios and record-keeping for every Center student each year at a developmentally appropriate level.

The portfolios will also contain published pieces of writing, evidence of student progress in reading, math assessment and other classroom assessment that demonstrate student growth and learning and learning based on the New Mexico content standards, benchmarks, and performance standards continuum by the end of fifth grade.

Technology will also be an integral part of learning for these digital natives.





Parent involvement is also important for the success of the Center. Based on the model of increasing parent participation in schools written about in the book, Beyond the Bake Sale, parent participation and satisfaction with the Center will rate above 80 percent based on parent surveys about issues such as student learning, school climate, and parent involvement on advisory councils, in the classroom, and the learning opportunities provided to them.

In order to meet the goals we have set for ourselves and our students, all of our teachers will be highly qualified, as required by NCLB. hire teachers with a variety of experience levels, from brand new to highly experienced to provide mentors for the new teachers and new ideas for the highly experienced. All staff members will receive professional development in teaching in single-sex classrooms, how to fully utilize the inquiry process in the classroom, learning how to utilize Singapore Math effectively, and infusing the FISH! philosophy of character development into the culture of the Center. Teachers will be provided extra days with the appropriate compensation for professional development prior to the first day of school and



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

throughout the school year. The number of students in each classroom will be within the limits set by statute. Our goal is to have no more than 20 students per teacher. Educational assistants will be part of our staffing to assist in the pre-K and kindergarten classrooms. We will also hire or contract for ancillary staff, such as a physical education and music teacher, and other staffing that may be necessary to meet the educational needs of students with individual education plans in place.

Looking at data from the above assessments will be an integral part of the school culture.

Collection of the data will not be the ends, but the means. Through collaboration, teachers and the executive director will review data as a way to provide for continuing, shifting or changing instructional practice as needed to meet the needs of our students.

The staff and the faculty of the Center will be evaluated based on the requirements set forth by the State of New Mexico, developing and implementing professional development plans, which will include but not be limited to, a personal inquiry project, classroom environment, instructional delivery and honing of their craft of



teaching, parent communication, and professionalism.

Our owners, the public, and the PED and PEC will know we are meeting the above goals for students and expectations of staff by the data collected demonstrating the growth of student learning, attendance, school climate, and disciplinary action. This data will be approached to the PED through the STARS system, and other reports as required. Annual reports will be created to inform our owners of progress in meeting our goals in an easy-to-read format, showing and interpreting the date on student learning.

No learning can take place without an adequate facility. The Center is working with a real estate agent to secure an appropriate and adequate site. We are currently looking at two potential sites in the geographic area outlined in the charter application. Both facilities were former schools, which means there may be minimal need for renovation or construction. The sites will be subject to inspection and approval by the public schools facilities authority. Each site will also be available in ample time to prepare the building for the beginning of the school year 2010. Both facilities will also be available to become



lease-purchase properties to meet the requirement of charter schools being housed in a public building and provide for expansion to meet our projected growth requirements. One facility also provides a playground area and equipment appropriate for an elementary school. We'll end with what would probably be the most important question. Why should the Public Education Commission authorize the Center for Inquiry Southwest. Charter schools are, by nature, experiments in possibilities for providing a quality public education without the constraints of traditional public schools.

Our proposed charter school is a very large inquiry project. Other charter and traditional public schools are delivering curriculum using different interpretations of the inquiry process. But, according to the National Association for Single Sex Public Education, the only public school in New Mexico to offer single-sex classrooms is the Pojoaque Valley Intermediate School, which began offering those classes in 2007.

Whenever we mention we are starting a school based on the inquiry process within a single-sex classroom environment, we often hear a parent saying their son or daughter would benefit





from a class like that without the distraction of boys or girls. Educators comment on that we need something like this as a choice for parents and students who may thrive in such an environment, and we have had requests through word of mouth to serve on our board. This small sampling shows there is a need for single-sex environment programs for children.

As we've already stated, the movement for single-sex education in the U.S. is relatively young. Therefore, the data is inconclusive about its effect on learning. However, there is very good early evidence that children in single-sex classes, especially those students and families who may be considered disenfranchised in receiving a quality education, show gains in their learning as shown by an increase in test scores. We believe all New Mexico children, with an emphasis on our target populations, deserve a chance to learn in an environment that will serve them long after leaving elementary school. Students who learn in a single-sex environment also tend to go on to graduate, in issue in New Mexico, and attend institutions of higher education. When our students leave us, they will have the skills necessary to



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

learn, to think, to reflect. All are skills that will serve them for a lifetime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We ask that you not only provide us the opportunity to prove ourselves by authorizing our charter, but also to provide a choice that isn't currently available to the children of New Mexico. We thank you for your time and consideration this afternoon.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. At this time, it's the place for the local school board and district representatives. And as I -- well, I keep covering it up, don't I? As I have stated earlier several times today, Dr. Diego Gallegos with the Albuquerque school district was here earlier. And now I've lost the letter.

MR. CHIKI: We have a copy of it.

THE CHAIR: You have it. And he asked that it be read into the record, the opposition of Albuquerque school district to all of the charter schools whose applications we're hearing today, of course, because they are in Albuquerque, and we're in the Albuquerque community. So you have that information, and thank you very much.

So we'll move on from there to the Public comments and observations community input.



Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349



regarding the application will be heard at this time. There will be a four-minute time limit per person. Persons from the same group but having similar viewpoints are asked to select a spokesperson to speak on their behalf. Multiple and repetitious presentations of the same view will be discouraged.

DR. SAAVEDRA: I'm Dr. Elizabeth Saavedra, professor at the University of New Mexico and colleague of Dr. Kathryn Herr, Frank Chiki, and I don't know your name. I'm here to speak about the whole notion of inquiry based learning and the benefits and the strengths of having an inquiry based learning process within the school.

First and foremost, the inquiry based process is built upon the notion of Vygotsky's zone of proximal development. There's been much research done by Gallimore and Tharp, Catherine Ott, Luis Moll, Esteban Diaz, and others that have really looked at the work and the contributions of Vygotsky that say when students are engaged in inquiry, they are working with a mentor and more proficient others in determining new content and adding this new content to what they already know.

So it takes the students where they're at



and their interest and their abilities, and it helps them learn what it is they need to know to become more functional in different content areas or in different other aspects of their learning. And what we found is that most people are kind of worried about inquiry, because they think it's not going to address the standards and benchmarks by the state or required at the school. And what we've discovered, when students are engaged in inquiry based learning, they actually learn — they learn far beyond what is minimally required by the standards and benchmarks.

And so what we end up providing is an opportunity for students that goes far beyond what is required by the state. And students actually learn the standards and benchmarks quite early in their career and are in advanced learning structures, far more advanced learning structures than is required.

Inquiry based learning is based on authentic, relevant, and purposeful content. That means it's not just about learning rote information and rote facts, but that students are engaged in —in inquiry, in learning not just basic facts, but information, how to critically think, problem pose, problem solve, and reflect on what they're learning



so that their critical thinking, their problem-solving skills and their decision-making and reflective skills are far more advanced than those students who are given instruction through rote learning.

Another important aspect of this

particular school is it's going to be serving low -
minority and low socioeconomic children. Research

was done by Patrick Shannon that found that low

socioeconomic children had a tendency to be

instructed with rote instruction. And what that did

is it perpetuated their place in the low

socioeconomic rungs. That means the type of

instruction they gave reinforced that they stay in a

low socioeconomic position as they grow into

adulthood, and that far more advanced

problem-solving, inquiry, and reflective learning

allows them to advance socioeconomically.

So I would strongly encourage that we consider a school that is based on inquiry. It helps to negate the misconceptions that minority and all socioeconomic students need just basic information and rote learning. And it will provide an opportunity for these students that they wouldn't receive otherwise. Thank you.





THE CHAIR: Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to come forward? Yes, sir. Well, yes, ma'am, then?

MS. DOWNEY: Hi. My name is Sarah Downey.

And I have a teaching degree in secondary education,

and I'm also an attorney. I've been practicing for

ten years, most recently as in-house counsel at

Sandia Labs. Frank asked me to speak today because

I'm a proud graduate of a same-sex classroom

environment in Arizona. And I think that it helped

me thrive.

I was a shy child, had a very difficult time volunteering for anything, raising my hand, that type of thing. And I found that I was able to flourish when I joined a same-sex classroom in a way that I believe I would not have in a mixed class environment. And I think that having experienced teaching Chapter 1 reading, kids would learn very differently, whether it's kinetically, whether it's by vision, whether it's by hearing. I also think there's differences in how the genders can learn. And I had a very positive experience with that. So thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Is there anybody else? All right, then. At this time, the Public





Education Commission reserves time to address any questions that the Commissioners may have of the Applicant. I would ask that the Applicant please keep your responses as brief as possible to allow for questioning from other Commission members.

Are there anything members who have questions or comments? Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: I'm sorry. Not as long as last time. The -- I guess I have a question about -- I've been a teacher for a long time. And the -- it's always come up for discussion. Should we have all -- I've heard it quite often, and I've read some studies. What -- you know, you quoted some statistics on it. And the -- what was the breadth of the population that was tested in this -- that was part of the statistic that showed that students in all male or all female classrooms -- or same-sex classrooms -- actually scored better?

Was it -- I mean, is there -- what -- and let me explain further. It seems to me, historically, most -- well, historically, if you go back to the 1800s, the first all-female colleges taught women to be good homemakers. Or maybe they were a teacher or something like that. And I'm sure they did a great job. And men were taught to -- the





traditional male things and all that.

And, typically, historically, of course, it's always been the upper class who have been privy to education, you know. Here in the 20th century and 21st century, we've gotten into the idea that everybody deserves that education. I think it's a great idea, but I wonder how — is that statistic that you quoted based on possibly a higher socioeconomic group? Is it possible that that's just based on a small segment of our population, or would it go across the breadth of our population?

DR. HERR: It's a great question. I think since public single-sex schooling in the U.S. is so new from a research point of view and we have just a few years' worth of evidence, the federal government funded a large research project to try and aggregate the results of anything we could glean, whether it would be from -- a lot of Catholic schools had a tradition of single-sex, or other private schools, and then what could be, in a preliminary way, understood from these initial efforts, starting around 2006 in the country.

So they disaggregated that to say, okay, is it just more privileged kids, maybe, who are skewing the data. And so when they disaggregated





it, what they found is some promising trends for 1 2 lower income, particularly minority children. it looks even that males were particularly 3 4 benefiting from that. Traditionally, we thought 5 girls might benefit from it more. 6 But that's the early data. But I would 7 say three years' worth of data, you know, including 8 2009. It's very preliminary, but -- so they did disaggregate it, looks promising, and that's really 10 all we know. 11 MR. CARR: Okay. I always thought it had a lot -- there was a lot of good parts to it. But I 12 13 didn't really have all the data to back it up one 14 way or the other, you know. DR. HERR: There hadn't been much. 15 16 Exactly. 17 MR. CARR: Just anecdotal stories. Yeah. 18 So -- what was my other -- I think I lost my other 19 It was -- oh, yes. What -- is there any 20 evidence to show that anything would be lost based 21 on the single-sex classroom? Is there --22 DR. HERR: The most common models are the 23 kind we're proposing, where boys and girls are still



24

25



in the same school structure and actually share

lunch, share recess, share sometimes music or other

kinds of involvement. So the idea is -- and -- in my own research, at least, with students in a single-sex environment is that they reported that they enjoyed the space apart and also enjoyed the space together. So I would -- that is, in the U.S., the most common model emerging. So --

MR. CARR: I guess, just in mind, would it detract from a male or a female's ability to interact academically or in the business world or anyplace else, by not having that experience of interacting in an intelligent way in an academic setting with both sexes being there? Just throwing it out there. I don't -- I mean --

DR. HERR: Actually, what the research shows is that there are some downsides to coeducation. And, in particular, what we've seen is that girls have gotten much less classroom attention time and are less likely to step forward as leaders and have a chance to play some of those roles.

So I -- sure. There must be downsides to any educational environment we construct. Honestly, what's been documented more is coeducation, just because, of course, we have a longer history with it.

MR. CARR: All right. Thank you. Thank





1 you, Madam Chair. 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Shearman? 3 MR. BERGMAN: Do you want to do your 4 budget stuff first? 5 MS. SHEARMAN: I'll do my budget stuff. I'll be fast. 6 7 THE CHAIR: You be fast, because if he has 8 to pick up while you find the page number --9 MS. SHEARMAN: This is going to be fast, 10 and I appreciate it. 11 THE CHAIR: I just want again to say, I 12 don't know what we need to do in the future, but 13 something, to be certain, on those budget issues. 14 Because I thought -- I encountered the same thing I 15 think everybody else does. 16 MS. SHEARMAN: It prints very different 17 from what's on here. If we were all looking at 18 this, it would not be a problem. 19 THE CHAIR: Perhaps. But that's what we 20 have to read from. 21 MS. SHEARMAN: Absolutely. And I thank 22 you all for waiting, and waiting for us to swallow 23 that hamburger whole, but at least we had a few 24 minutes to do that. I have only one question.



It's on the Expenditures sheet down

on your budget.

at the bottom. And on years four and five, you 1 2 indicate, underneath year four, \$127,385.47. projected amount will be carried over to the next 3 4 year, as allowed by NMAC. 5 Then the next year, underneath, you show a negative \$100,595.57. I can't find those amounts 6 7 anywhere. MR. CHIKI: 8 Well, I'll try to answer your 9 question. And, if not, I'll address it in writing 10 That minus \$100,000, basically, I later to clarify. 11 didn't include this extra 127, because I didn't know 12 if that would be possible to do so. So, in effect, 13 if we take those together after that year four, 14 we'll still have a little bit of over. 15 because of the large growth in year four that 16 doesn't occur in year five. 17 MS. SHEARMAN: But your expenditures and 18 your revenue match. Your totals match. 19 MR. CHIKI: That's because I --20 MS. SHEARMAN: Did you force it? 21 MR. CHIKI: You were mentioning earlier to

24 have that amount left over?

spend all the money.

MR. CHIKI: Yes.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

22

23

25



That's what I did.

MS. SHEARMAN: You really think you might

MS. SHEARMAN: Got you. Thank you very 1 2 That's all for me, Madam Chair. You can't much. 3 believe it, can you? 4 I can't believe it. MR. CHIKI: 5 THE CHAIR: You'll think of something 6 else. 7 MS. SHEARMAN: Probably. 8 THE CHAIR: Probably. Okay. Mr. Bergman. 9 My question is going to be MR. BERGMAN: The superintendent in 10 around Singapore Math. 11 Roswell has indicated, I know, that they're looking 12 at it in the Roswell schools. It's in such the 13 early stages of being introduced into this country, 14 there's a real lack of certified, experienced 15 teachers doing the Singapore Math. 16 anticipate a problem of either training currently 17 certified math teachers to cross over into the new 18 model or finding an experienced teacher? 19 MR. CHIKI: Finding an experienced teacher 20 would probably be difficult. But we have -- when we 21 were thinking about our implementation year, there 22 is going to be money that we'll find to fully 23 provide professional development for teachers that 24 we hire. Because it's shown that if the teachers 25



are not trained effectively from certified trainers,

that there isn't the effect that you would want to 1 2 find. 3 So we are anticipating that we'll have to 4 do a lot of professional development in that area. 5 MR. BERGMAN: Thank you. That's all. THE CHAIR: That's all for you? 6 7 all for you. Ms. Krivitzky? 8 MS. KRIVITZKY: I had just a question. 9 Three hundred twenty students. Why such a big 10 What was the idea there? school? 11 MR. CHIKI: Well, in the research --MS. KRIVITZKY: I quess it's 440 is 12 13 actually what you're looking at. 14 MR. CHIKI: I'm an inquirer myself. 15 as much reading as I can and research before I go 16 into an endeavor. In the research, I found that 17 charter schools, in particular, one of the reasons 18 that they fold is lack of funding. And for 19 New Mexico, every student is basically a unit of 20 value. And if you're less than 300, then, 21 basically, you tend not to be very viable in the 22 long-term. 23 Four hundred forty is about an average size for an elementary. And even though I would --24 25 we've heard a lot about smaller schools being better



environments, there's also a lot of research out 1 2 there that says classroom size or school size has 3 some impact, either way, but that it really is the 4 administrator and it's the teachers that have the 5 overall effect on the students' academic program and 6 their learning. So --7 MS. KRIVITZKY: So how many students per 8 class are you thinking? 9 MR. CHIKI: Twenty. 10 MS. KRIVITZKY: Okay. Even in 11 kindergarten? With kindergarten? 12 MR. CHIKI: Yes. 13 MS. KRIVITZKY: Okay. Thank you. Thanks. 14 THE CHAIR: Well, I have to tell you, I 15 think you have an interesting concept. It just --16 listening to you and looking at -- and thank you for 17 supplying the documentation for your script here 18 this afternoon, because I certainly intend to reread 19 that and to look more closely at it. 20 I have to tell you, my little 21 kindergartener grandson, who is repeating 22 kindergarten, as I have stated earlier today, was 23 one of 15 boys in an 18-student classroom last year. 24 So that one probably came as close to this concept 25 as any.





I will have to tell you, I could not ever discern that the little girls in the classroom were inhibited in any way or that they lost out on any of the education as a result of it. And so I look forward to seeing what happens with this. It sounds like an interesting concept.

And I'm interested also in the kinds of research that obviously you have done in this. And I will point out that you have the analysis that the Staff did, and it's your option or not whether you choose to respond to that analysis. And then if you do, then -- and any other comments that you or any of your -- the public wants to make need to be in writing by September the 1st at 5:00 p.m.

And they will come addressed to us through Beverly Friedman at the Public Education Department at 300 Don Gaspar Avenue in Santa Fe, 87501. Or her e-mail address, which makes it easier to do, perhaps, is bev.friedman@state.nm.us. So in that fashion, we certainly solicit any kind of public comments that you or other members of the community desire to give us. Mr. Bergman?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BERGMAN: I have thought of another question when you're through. Were you --

THE CHAIR: Well, I'm almost getting ready





to close this out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERGMAN: I didn't want you to say the magic words. This is more of a curiosity for But in a single-sex classroom, are the teachers going to be female for the female students and male for the male students, or will they be mixed?

MR. CHIKI: It will be mixed.

MR. BERGMAN: It's not a requirement that men are teaching men and women are teaching women?

THE CHAIR: One of the things I did, looking at some of this very briefly as you were talking, I did see some of the citations that you made had to do with female students for male students and -- I didn't find one the other way around. But I would imagine that there certainly is that kind of research that's available out there also.

But I do believe that, as we -- as we look at the children across the United States, we are not doing our little boys a service. And I would say that as the grandmother of three grandsons. I have a great-granddaughter. But I have three grandsons. And I -- and two of them are college graduates,

because they're old enough to be, and the other one

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



is not. But I truly think that we need to look at new ways of doing business. So thank you very much for your presentation. We appreciate it. MR. CHIKI: Thank you, Madam Chair and Commissioners. (Proceedings concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 





1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF:
5	CENTER FOR INQUIRY SOUTHWEST
6	
7	
8	
9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
L 0	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
L 1	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
L 2	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
L 3	transcript of proceedings had before the said PUBLIC
L 4	EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New
L 5	Mexico, County of Bernalillo, in the matter therein
L 6	stated.
L 7	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
L 8	hand on September 2, 2009.
L 9	
20	
21	
22	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219
23	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
2 4	



Job No.: 3978D

