1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TRAINING - SCHOOL GRADING SYSTEM
L1	August 24, 2018 12:30 p.m.
L 2	Jerry Apodaca Education Building - Mabry Hall 300 Don Gaspar
L 3	Santa Fe, New Mexico
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
20	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23	
2 4	
25	JOB NO.: 980N (CC)

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



1		APPEARANCES
2	COMI	MISSIONERS:
3		KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER, Secretary TIM CRONE, Member
4	MS.	DANIELLE JOHNSTON, Member CARMIE TOULOUSE, Member
5		STAFF:
6		KATIE POULOS, Assistant Secretary of Education
7		
8	Atta	achments:
9	1.	School Grading Report Card 2018 - Maggie Cordova Elementary School
10	2.	School Grading Report Card 2018 - New America
11		School - Las Cruces
12	3.	NMPED A-F School Grading FAQs
13	4.	New Mexico A-F School Grading Technical Guide 2018
1415	5.	NMPED School Letter Grades and Academic Growth -
		Power Point Printout
1617		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		





1	MS. KATIE POULOS: I have a couple
2	resources for you, but I'll start. I do this and
3	I love doing this training for our school boards.
4	And I and I start it the same way every time,
5	which is I ask our school boards to think of a proud
6	report card moment and a disappointing report card
7	moment.
8	And I always ask them, either for
9	yourself, five years ago, when you were still in
10	high school, or your children or your grandchildren.
11	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Can I say one
12	thing? I don't know that Cindy has to write these
13	comments that we're doing unless it applies to this.
14	Do you think she does? I don't want to take away
15	your job.
16	I mean, the questions; because my purpose
1 7	on agring for this is to be able to give it to

on asking for this is to be able to give it to someone else. And so I don't know that they need these silly little comments we're making; not what Katie's saying, but what I'm saying. MS. FRIEDMAN: Well, I think if you want

her to transcribe it, then she should transcribe it. COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: We'll have to mind

our silly comments.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 820-6349

MS. KATIE POULOS: So we ask them to





reflect on that and to reflect on what was the 1 moment, how and why did it happen, and what was the 2 3 reaction and the response. 4 So, anybody? 5 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Oh. I have to 6 tell my story. MS. KATIE POULOS: 7 Good. 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: In junior high 9 school -- I was not a stellar student, ever. I did 10 the ninth grade twice. 11 So I had an extra year in middle school. 12 But when I was in seventh grade, it's been so long 13 ago that what you got was a paper report card, and 14 the teachers would each record your grades. 15 So I had an "D-plus" in an English course. So on the bus home, I tried to change it to an 16 17 A-plus. I did change it to A-plus, so my parents 18 They never said a word. didn't see that. 19 I failed the ninth grade. I had to go 20 home and tell them. And they said, "Well, we'll just take care of that." 21 I don't know if there were these 22 23 conversations in secret that I didn't know about. 24 My parents were absolutely so supportive of all of



that.



But, yeah, I changed it to a "D." worked hard to make that "D," as far as I know; so I changed it. Lots of disappointing.

And I will liken it to looking at the report cards of the middle schools in the South Seeing those rows of "F"s gave me, just, in the pit of my stomach, that sadness that you get when you haven't learned anything, when you haven't learned a thing, and you know it.

MS. KATIE POULOS: But we don't stop with the sadness, right?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's right. sitting here today. I finally made it out.

MS. KATIE POULOS: We don't stop with the sadness. What do we do?

There's two things, right? There's one, what do we do to change this? And then there's also the other part, which is, what can we celebrate, right? And those are really important to ground us in this, because that's why we do what we do with school grades.

And there are some people with student report cards who say, "Done. Nothing can be done." We throw our hands up, and we just say, "I give up." That's not getting anybody anywhere,

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349



right?

What we at the PED want is we want the schools -- we know it doesn't tell you everything.

We know that. Neither does my individual student report card; it doesn't tell me everything.

But what we want is these are the things we are grading you on, and these are the things we want you to say, okay, if we're where we want to be, let's celebrate that. But let's not stop there, right? Let's celebrate it and let's figure out where we can go from there. But we can always do better.

But where it's disappointing, it's not intended to be an end-all be-all. It is intended to be a place where we, a community of learners, of educators, of leaders, can get together and say, "What needs to happen? What do we have to do differently, because what we did didn't work?"

But we also do have to acknowledge that there is a reality, especially with charter schools, where if we cannot do that, if we never do that, there may be a point in time where we say, "If we can't do any better, then this might not be the right program to continue with."

And that's a hard decision.





And I commend the work you have done over 1 2 the past years to make those very hard decisions. 3 They are not easy, right? We don't do it the first 4 time you get an "F." It is when we continually 5 prove that we either cannot or will not make the changes necessary to ensure our children are learning to read and write and are equipped and 8 prepared to be successful in their lives, whether 9 it's college or career. 10 So with that, that is the intro. What I

do have for you -- and when you get the slides, you'll see different ones. Our slides, we use two juxtaposing schools to take people through the school report card, because there are a lot of myths.

"If you are a high performing school and your kids are proficient, you can't keep going up and that grade is going to drop."

That is a myth.

"If your students are not proficient, if they're not coming in, reading at grade level, if they're not coming in from well-off families, can't do it. Can't do it."

That is a myth.

The two juxtaposing report cards that we



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



typically give you are Mission Achievement and Success and AIMS. They sit on either side of those myths.

And they both have "A"s, meaning they're both doing two things: getting their kids to proficiency, and continuing to grow their students.

In comparison, we're going to talk a lot about this -- in comparison to similar students in other schools throughout the state, okay?

So what I have for you -- I have two different school grades, because I think this is important. I have for you New America-Las Cruces, one of our schools that has -- it's a SAM school, and it has improved. It has been able to push the school grade up.

The other is actually not a -- not a charter school, because I was looking for a school that sits on the other side of the myth, or that if your students come in, and they are -- you have lots of proficiency, you're going to be an "A," right?

School grades are not all about proficiency. And I have Maggie Cordova Elementary School in Rio Rancho. I don't actually know enough about this to say this. I looked for a school that had high proficiency and low school grades, because



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it hits on this idea that we're not just going after proficiency; we're going after growth. Growth is a huge part of our school report card.

So I have those for you.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: What you're saying about Maggie Cordova is they have proficiency, but they're not showing growth, so it's reflecting through the years?

MS. KATIE POULOS: Over the years in their school grade. Why I also give you two school report cards is you need to see there are differences between elementary and middle and high school. And high school gets really confusing, because they don't actually technically get all of the elements of a high school report card until they have a class that could have graduated, a class that started with them in the ninth grade and now has reached a point where they could have been a twelfth grade.

So what I'm going to do is actually just take you through the report card, just on the face, so you know what's there and talk about each of the elements. And then we're going to dig into the specific data.

And then I've got school grading FAQs and the technical guide, because I think those are





really important, and we can dig in with specific questions.

So when you just initially look at the front of the report cards, in the upper right-hand corner, you see the overall school grade and the overall score. Just for your information, if you flip to the back of that first page, you will see, on the top, the points that -- that range -- the range of points for each school grade.

And that's important, because what it tells you is how close or far away they are from that school grade that they got, right? So if they -- if you look at New America-Las Cruces, they're a "C" as a 58.23. A "C" range is from 50 to 64.9. So they're pretty solidly a "C." If they're a "C" that's sitting at 50.01 points, you need to be thinking about that a little bit differently, and, more importantly, the school does, right; especially 'cause if they take out the bonus points, that can have a huge impact.

And so just keeping that in mind, knowing where they're sitting in the range is important to the overall understanding, especially if you're looking over multiple years and you're seeing, over multiple years, they're falling or rising within



that grade range, getting better, getting worse, staying consistent; those are things that you're going to want to look at.

either of these report cards, but you might see on some report cards, is an asterisk right next to the school grade. If there is an asterisk next to the school grade -- which, again, you do not see here on either of these -- but if there is, that means that their letter grade was dropped by one letter grade because they did not meet the minimum threshold of at least 95 percent of their students who were enrolled in the 120th day having been assessed in both math and reading.

So that -- now, you've got to make sure, right? You've got to go back and look, because you'll see the points, and you can look at the points and see where they fall in that range.

You may actually have a school that earned an "F" that has an asterisk beside it that actually earned an "F," and you just can't drop any lower than that, right? So that could be a possibility. So you just want to be careful of that and know whether they actually did drop, or if that was the score they earned and then that can be part of their



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 discussion.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, again, at their level, at the board level, at the school level, that should certainly be part of their discussion.

You'll also -- just quickly, you'll see the school name, the districts, the grade range, their school code. You'll also see a notation up at the top there that says, "C - State benchmark

established in 2012."

When we started school grading, we got the midpoint, the average, of each of the point categories. So what was the average for current standing, what was the average for school improvement, all the way down, what were the average points earned in 2012 across the State of New Mexico. And that's where we hit our "C" benchmark.

So we haven't changed that since 2012. We set that and said, "We're going to let schools continue to get better."

Schools could all get better than that and could be better. If that ever happened, we would then raise the benchmarks for what it took to earn a "C." But right now, we're existing off of the benchmark that was set in 2012.

Okay. So then I'm going to take you, just





quickly, through the different categories. We have Current Standing; you'll see that in both elementary, middle models, and high school models, okay?

The Current Standing is the proficiency measure. But this is really, really important.

Current Standing is the proficiency measure. But it is not only proficiency. We calculate it using both straight proficiency -- did they hit the 4 and 5 level? -- and growth, the value-added measure of growth, okay? And we'll talk about the specific breakdown in points.

Do know that you probably have heard over the last couple of years, we are putting a heavier emphasis on proficiency. It's really important to note that's in the proficiency measure. So within the proficiency measure right now, you see, for New America-Las Cruces, it's, overall, worth 30 points. That is broken down between proficiency and growth.

When we first transitioned over to PARCC, we weighted growth more heavily in those 30 points; and then we moved to a world in which it was equally weighted between growth and proficiency in those 30 points. And now we're at a place where more of



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
     those 30 points are coming from proficiency than
 2
     growth.
 3
               But we still, then, if you look down, have
 4
     three more indicators that are solely growth, right?
 5
     The next three indicators, school improvement,
     improvement of higher performing students, and
 6
     improvement of lowest performing students, are all
 7
 8
     growth measures. So when you look at our high
 9
     school report card, just taking a quick glance, it's
     actually 10, 20, 30, 40 points out of the whole
10
11
     school grade are coming from growth, almost half.
12
               When we look at our elementary-middle
13
     models, that's 10, 20, and then 15 -- so 10, 20, 30,
14
     45 -- it's 45 -- oh, and I actually skipped --
15
     sorry.
16
               So it's 10, 20, 30, 40; 20, 40, 50, 65.
     In our elementary and middles, 65 out of the hundred
17
18
     points you can earn on school grades come from
19
     growth.
20
               In the high schools, 40 out of the
21
     100 points come from growth. Okay?
22
               ATTENDEE:
                         Does that change every year,
23
     Katie?
             I'm sorry.
24
               MS. KATIE POULOS: It was changing,
25
     because we were in the proper proficiency measure.
```



```
1
     In the Current Standing measure, we were phasing
 2
     back over to more of those points. So three years
 3
     ago, it -- from elementary-middles, it was 20, 40,
             Then it was 20, 40, 50, 70. And now it's
     65. So it was 75, 70, 65, because we slowly phased
 5
     in more proficiency.
               ATTENDEE: Your kids are more proficient
 8
     if you're growing them.
 9
               MS. KATIE POULOS: We were taking into
10
     account the transition to PARCC.
11
               ATTENDEE: Is that going to continue that
12
     way?
           5, 5?
13
               MS. KATIE POULOS: ESSA does -- under our
14
     ESSA plan -- and I'll pull that up. Under our ESSA
15
     plan, we are going to put more weighting on
16
     proficiency. That's one of the things going under
17
     the ESSA plan.
18
                         So this pattern will continue.
               ATTENDEE:
19
               MS. KATIE POULOS: No, it will be
     different.
20
21
               So we talked about current standing.
22
     That's a split between proficiency -- it's what
23
    percentage of your students do you get to
24
    proficiency in math and reading.
```



School improvement is, overall, is the

school making academic progress. You look at your school population as a whole -- and I'll show you that data -- and you say, "Are our students growing at the rate we expect them to when we compare them to other similar students, students who have had similar academic performance for two years?"

We look at their data this year, and we say, "Based on that similar group of students, did they grow as much as we expected them to?"

Then we break that down just looking at our higher performing students; that's the top 75 percent of students based on their raw scores. And then our lowest performing students, that's the bottom 25 percent based on their raw scores. And we just say, "Are they growing as much as we expected them to?"

Then we have Opportunity to Learn. And
I'm going to break that down for you in more detail.
But Opportunity to Learn is based on two things.
It's based on a survey at upper grade levels
starting at Grade 4 and up. That survey is
completed by the students.

In the lower grade levels, K-3, I believe, the survey is completed by the parents, and it's really intended to gauge the quality of the learning





environment.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And some of those points also come from attendance rates. We have an expectation that the school has a school-wide attendance rate of 95 percent. And we're looking at where they fall in comparison to that expectation.

That's all that's included in the elementary report card, except for bonus points.

Bonus points are going away under our ESSA plan; so we don't even talk about this anymore.

ATTENDEE: Meaning this is the last year?

MS. KATIE POULOS: This is the last year there will be bonus points.

Then in our high schools, we have graduation rate -- and we'll break it down. But graduation rate consists of -- it's a cohort-based measure. If a student ever attended your school at any point during their high school career, and they were on your rosters when we report data, you will take some credit for their success or failure. You will have some accountability for their success or failure in graduating in four years, in five years, in six years.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Are those the students who are there on the reporting date?



1	MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes. Were they
2	enrolled at the 40th, 80th, or 120th day? If you
3	weren't, then you're not actually
4	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Then they do go
5	away.
6	MS. KATIE POULOS: So and the way that
7	that credit it's basically, if you think about
8	it, if I have a whole ball this is a student who
9	was with me for all four years, right then
10	they're going to take up more space in my
11	calculation. They're going to have a bigger impact.
12	If they were only with me for half of
13	their career, then they're going to take up half the
14	size or half the space, or they're going to have
15	half the weight of a student that was with me the
16	whole four.
17	If they were only there for a quarter, if
18	they were there for one reporting period, it's going
19	to be smaller. It's going to be an impact, but it's
20	going to be smaller. Does that make sense?
21	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Do you follow
22	that child to see where he or she is?
23	MS. KATIE POULOS: Right. And we have
24	a
25	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And S.T.A.R.S.



SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349 does that, don't they? They follow that, so they know.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Right. We also do the same thing for College and Career Readiness. It's cohort-based. We only look at the class that should have graduated. It's always lagged by one year. So this report card, the 2018 report card, is looking at the graduating class from 2017.

And we look at that graduating class from 2017, and we say, "Did they participate," number one, "in a variety of College and Career Readiness activities?" And you get credit for just participating.

Then, number two, were they successful?

And then you get separate credit for the ones that were successful.

17 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: What does that 18 mean?

 $\label{eq:ms.Katie} \mbox{MS. KATIE POULOS: We'll talk about it in} \\ \mbox{detail when I get there.}$

Okay. You do see the breakdown of points by each of the categories. It is the box right to the left of the bars, right? And it tells you how many points that's worth.

So if we look at Graduation Rate, that's





worth 17 points. If we look at College and Career Readiness, 15 points. Current Standing, 30 points.

Remember what I told you. This year, in 2018, 20 of those 30 points actually come from proficiency. The other 10 come from growth. That's for our high schools.

If you look over at the elementary school, we have 10 for Opportunity to Learn. We have the different improvement -- those are the growth categories. When we look at Current Standing, it says it's worth 40 points. The part of that that comes from proficiency is 25 of the 40 points. The other 15 come from growth, okay?

All right. So the other thing that I want you to note on the front page is the school's history, where you get -- we have a four-year history over here that gives you kind of the track that they're on. You see the New America School-Las Cruces kind of up and down.

You see Maggie Cordova Elementary School on a pretty solid, steady downward trajectory, right? That's a school that the school leader, the board, should be saying, "Hold on a second," right?

This is a school, New America, that should be saying, "Okay, what were the things that were



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

working? Let's focus in on those, continue those, and keep seeing that upward progress," right?

Okay. Any questions just about the front page? Okay.

So the second page is where we get to dig in to the detail of the data. And you should be doing this with every report card that you see.

Every school that comes in front of you, you should be digging into the detail.

Very quickly up at the top, we went over the point ranges.

The tests tell you what assessment data is coming into the school letter grades. This is really important, because I think there sometimes are misconceptions about this. PARCC in math and reading, for grades 3 through 11, definitely. Now, SBA, Standards Based Assessment, Spanish, reading, Grades 3 through 11.

For our English Learner students who are -- I believe it's within their first three years in our system in New Mexico, they actually do their reading and their language arts assessment, or "KN," under the Standards Based Assessment. They're not doing it under PARCC. They're doing it under the SBA Spanish in their native language. And the



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

school can get a waiver for particular students to 1 continue under that assessment for longer periods of 2 3 time. It's really, really important, because you 4 often hear schools saying, "Well, of course, our 5 6 proficiency rates are low on ELA. Our students are all ELs." 8 Their students who are ELs and new to the 9 system are being assessed in their native language. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: But they have to be literate in that native language, and that's the 11 12 hurdle. 13 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Because you're not 15 literate in either language. You speak them only. 16 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So this score, 17 whatever they get on the SBA, gets incorporated into 18 these things. 19 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes. 20 ATTENDEE: In lieu of English, right, for those students? 21 MS. KATIE POULOS: 22 Yes, yes. That's 23 really important. The NMAPA, New Mexico Alternate 24 Performance Assessment, is for our most severely 25 cognitively disabled students. So, again, that's



something you need to keep in mind when you hear, of course, "Our population...," right?

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right. And we don't actually have, in terms of charter schools, other than the school for sign language -- I mean, they're different. They are.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Just to point that out, Sign Language Academy earned a "B."

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Ah. Okay.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But we don't have a lot -- the traditional public schools, they have living skills classes. I'm not seeing much in the charter schools for -- there may be one or two kids; but not, like, 20.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Because their needs are so high that generally, the school is working with the parents.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah. Okay.

So that's just a general picture.

Istation is our early literacy assessment. That is what we're using. And, again, there is both an Istation Spanish and English for our students whose native language -- and one of the things that I didn't mention is the reason we use SBA Spanish for the ELA assessment instead of PARCC, but not in



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

math, is because there is a PARCC math assessment in Spanish.

And, again, students are assessed in their native language for the first couple of years.

COMMISSIONER CRONE: What do you do with schools -- there are still occasionally indigenous, native people who come to school not speaking English.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Those schools should be using appropriate assessment accommodations.

COMMISSIONER CRONE: Okay.

MS. KATIE POULOS: These are our assessment accommodations for our ELs who are Spanish -- native Spanish speakers. Those schools should be using appropriate assessment accommodation. That is really important. It's something we haven't paid a ton of attention to.

With the Sign Language Academy, we actually noticed we weren't seeing as many accommodations as we should -- we felt like we should have been. And we had the Assessment Bureau reach out and work directly with them this year to ensure that there were appropriate accommodations being used for all of their students. So, yeah.

COMMISSIONER CRONE: I think there's -- a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	lot of people are not aware of this. But the Navajo
2	Reservation, for instance, is so large that I had a
3	student in college, who you know, the old
4	"assume" statement? I just assumed that everybody
5	had at least heard about Christianity. But she
6	lived so far out on the Rez that she didn't know
7	anything about Christianity.
8	And so then in exploring that with her,
9	she did reveal that she didn't speak English when
10	she got to elementary school. Yeah. So it happens.
11	MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah, definitely.
12	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So students who
13	are in other school districts who are Vietnamese or
14	German, Israeli, name your language, should be doing
15	these alternative things and not trying to take that
16	PARCC test.
17	MS. KATIE POULOS: Appropriate
18	accommodation.
19	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I don't know
20	what we have for all those languages.
21	COMMISSIONER CRONE: How many languages do
22	you have to account for in New Mexico?
23	MS. KATIE POULOS: Awesome question. I
24	don't know that question.
25	COMMISSIONER CRONE: In Los Angeles, it's,



1 like, 200 they have to have.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah. Yeah.

So now we've talked a little bit about it, so I'm going to dig into each indicator.

The first indicator, as I said, is Current Standing. When you're looking at the bottom of the second page, you're going to see a couple of things. You're going to see, number one, that we break out the data by reading and math. So you can look at reading and math separately.

And we tell boards and school leaders,

"You should absolutely be doing this, because if you
see strength in one area, keep doing what you're
doing, and weakness in another, let's make sure
you're working on that."

I'm just going to take reading. You're going to see three things: the percent proficient, the points for proficiency, and the points for student growth. Does everybody see those three things?

So sometimes we have to report the percent proficient with something like "less than"

X percent; right? It's funny. You can actually, unfortunately, see how -- how they got -- what their proficiency rate was, even though they're reporting





1	it as less than 2 percent on one of these schools,
2	because the way that we do it is we take your
3	proficiency rate times the number of points
4	available, and that's how we give you your points,
5	right?
6	So here, it would have been whatever their
7	proficiency rate times this is a high school
8	so times 20 points, and that would get them the
9	number of points that they earned.
LO	So if they had 100 percent proficiency
L1	rate, then they would have gotten all 20 points.
L 2	Make sense?
L 3	If they got 50 percent proficiency, they
L 4	would have gotten 10, okay? Pretty simple.
L 5	Then you see the points for Student
L 6	Growth. It's really important because you'll see
L 7	here that this school one of these schools got
L 8	more points for proficiency, the one that has higher
L 9	proficiency rates, and much fewer points for student
20	growth, because they are one of those schools that

So you think, well, they should be fine. But they're not, because they're not growing their students like we're expecting them to.

actually has higher proficiency rates.

COMMISSIONER CRONE: How do you determine



21

22

23

24

```
1
     ethnicity?
 2
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  Self-reported.
 3
               COMMISSIONER CRONE:
                                     Okay.
 4
               MS. KATIE POULOS: In the bubbles when
 5
     they do the assessment.
               COMMISSIONER CRONE: What do you do with
 6
 7
     people that have multiple ethnicities, like
 8
     migrants?
 9
               ATTENDEE: I think they have to choose
10
     one.
11
               MS. KATIE POULOS: We don't give them a
12
           It looks like we're not reporting it.
13
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I don't think
14
     "Mixed" is an option.
15
               MS. KATIE POULOS: It doesn't look like --
16
               (A discussion was held off the record.)
17
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: What if they
18
     refuse to indicate? Because people do have that
19
     right.
20
               MS. KATIE POULOS: They wouldn't be
     included in the demographic background.
21
                                               They'll
22
     still be included in the overall data.
23
               The reason we have this demographic
24
     reporting, A, it's required by federal law; B, it's
25
     helpful. If you look across your data and you see
```



that we're doing really well, or fairly well at the same level with our White, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, but not American Indians, then we have to go back to the drawing board to say, "Why and what additional supports? Is it that we're not doing culturally responsive education? Is it that we're not taking into account language barriers," right? "Is it that those students have higher absentee rates because we haven't thought about their schedule in the context of their local feast days and holidays?"

Then as a board, as a school leader, taking those things into account to figure out the solution to it, right? And the great thing about charter schools is they have that ability, because they're operating at charter-school level rather than at the district level, right?

So I think that's really, really important. And we tell all of our board members to look at this.

Sometimes we see that females and males have performance discrepancy. Okay. So, again, what's going on, and what are all the factors that are contributing to that discrepancy in performance? Is it that we have all male teachers who are not



kind of taking -- they're not meshing well, or
they're not connecting to our female students?

Then do we have to think about professional development for those teachers? Right? What is it that is causing something, and can we address it with intentional decisions at our leadership level?

So that's kind of the big picture of -Remember the breakdowns I gave you, right? So if
you look at the high school and the elementary, the
elementary could have earned 25 points for
proficiency, which means when we break it out into
math and reading, it's 12.5 points for each of them.

This school over here earned 6.14 out of 12.5 points, right, because it's almost at 50 percent proficiency. In math, it was 4.28 points out of 12.5 potential points. Then look at their growth. They could have earned 15 points of growth divided between math and reading; that would have been 7.5 points for math, 7.5 points for reading. They got 1.95 points in reading, and they got 2.04 points in math. So they're not getting a lot of those points that they could be getting for student growth.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So if we read





```
1
     across this one -- I'm -- request that we -- which
     one am I on? I'm on the "Maggie" Cordova. So this
 2
 3
     49 is what? Percent proficient --
 4
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Overall percent.
 5
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: -- or how many
     kids?
 6
 7
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Overall percent
 8
     proficient. 49 percent of their students who are
 9
     assessed and included in the data are proficient.
10
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So only 49 of
     their kids are proficient.
11
12
               MS. KATIE POULOS: If they have 100 kids,
                                     It's 49 percent of
13
     that'll be 49 kids out of 100.
14
    their students.
15
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: What did the 52
     and 47 mean in gender?
16
17
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  52 percent of their
18
     female students are proficient. 47 of their male
19
     students are proficient.
20
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And then
21
     59 percent of their White students.
22
               ATTENDEE:
                         Based on that, they must be
23
     able to do multiple ethnicities, because these don't
24
     add up to 100.
```



MS. KATIE POULOS: It wouldn't add up to

```
1
     100, because it's what percentage of their White
                What percentage of their African-American
 2
 3
                What percentage of their Hispanic
     students?
     students? What percentage of their Asian?
                          Oh. What percent were that.
 5
               ATTENDEE:
     Never mind.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER CRONE: What is the symbol on
 8
     the New America?
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  The dash?
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER CRONE:
                                    Oh, okay.
11
                                  It just means that we
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
12
     can't report that data, because either they don't
13
     have any, or if we did, it would --
14
               COMMISSIONER CRONE: No.
                                         The symbol for
15
     the 20, for instance, in the reading.
16
               ATTENDEE: Less than or equal to.
17
               ATTENDEE: Look at Students with
18
     Disabilities.
19
               MS. KATIE POULOS: I'm sorry. It's less
20
     than or equal to 20 percent.
21
               COMMISSIONER CRONE:
                                    Okay.
22
               MS. KATIE POULOS: So we have to -- this
23
     sounds bad, but it's not; it's a requirement.
24
     have to what we call blur the data when reporting
25
     the data would personally identify individual
```



PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	student performance levels.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: If they only had
3	two; so
4	COMMISSIONER CRONE: How many students are
5	we dealing with here?
6	MS. KATIE POULOS: That's the thing you
7	don't know. The school leader should know that.
8	You don't know that. And it's harder, because even
9	if you were to look at their enrollment, this is not
10	their enrollment. It's not of all their students.
11	It's all of the students that are included in the
12	data set.
13	We take students out of the data set if
14	they were not full-academic-year students. We don't
15	hold the school accountable for students who weren't
16	with them for the full academic year.
17	COMMISSIONER CRONE: Should we, as a
18	charter authorizer, be concerned that in that
19	school, apparently, there are no African-Americans,
20	no Asians, and no American Indians?
21	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Who are taking the
22	assessment.
23	MS. KATIE POULOS: Who took the
24	assessment; so that's important. Who were full
25	academic year students who took the assessment?



There's a lot of data and a lot of reasons. 1 2 again, this is hard. It doesn't mean you can't ask 3 the question. 4 COMMISSIONER CRONE: Okay. 5 MS. KATIE POULOS: When I saw your data --We need to know more about the 6 and you can ask us. demographic makeup of that school. Can you give us an entire breakdown of the enrollment on the 20th 8 day? And then you have to be really careful about 9 10 it, because as we all know, our public schools 11 cannot handpick their students. They can't. 12 COMMISSIONER CRONE: Uh-huh. MS. KATIE POULOS: So they can't go out 13 and say, "We only have zero African-American 14 15 students. We need to find five and enroll them." 16 That doesn't mean that there isn't 17 something happening when you do not see a population 18 that is reflective of the local population, which is 19 something you've heard us say before. We say, "This 20 school has a large discrepancy from -- on demographics from the local school population." 21 22 And school leaders will say, "There's 23 nothing we can do about that." 24 And the reality is you cannot hand-select



students, and you can't make sure.

But if I were a school operator, and I continued to see that my population did not look like the local community's population, I would ask myself, Why is that? Am I doing something intentionally, or, more importantly, unintentionally, that is causing that to happen?

And my only -- we take -- we give all these when we talk to new applicants. The most extreme example: If the way I recruit my students is by pamphleting out in front of grocery stores, and the only grocery store I pamphlet in front of is Whole Foods, my population is probably going to look different than if I was pamphleting in front of the Whole Foods and the Smith's and the Kroger's -- I don't know, do we have Kroger's here? -- and the Soopers, and the TJ Maxx and the Lowe's, right, and I was doing it in a diverse environment.

And that is why -- I just want to talk to you about your new application process -- that's why we included in our new application process a requirement that new applicants tell us how they're annually going to look at their enrollment data, their demographics, and make adjustments if that data is not looking reflective of their local community.





You may think about pushing on that 1 2 We -- that was a first step. That was a 3 first step to say, "We care about this, and it's 4 important." 5 Great question. COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: 6 Because I know a 7 school -- since we're transcribing, I'm not saying it -- was mentioning -- because this is a 8 9 high-performing school -- that they are getting more 10 and more special education students and EL students. 11 And my response, really, was, because they are going 12 to still look, and say, "Oh, you're doing really 13 well, so maybe my child will do better there than in 14 'X' school where I live." 15 And so that's -- and I think that's pretty 16 much what is happening, I mean, because special kids 17 or EL kids are just like everyone else. parents want to get them the best education. So if 18 19 the school is doing really well, maybe they're doing

MS. KATIE POULOS: Absolutely. That's kind of the quick-and-dirty on Current Standing.

really well with more needy children. Does that

Really important, again, that table down in the bottom left-hand corner that gives you a



sound right?

20

21

24



```
quick glance over time. And, again, if we see that
 1
 2
     we're all headed in the right direction,
 3
     consistently up, awesome.
                                If we see that we're
 4
     splitting, like one of the schools here, and we're
 5
     going up on reading, let's keep doing what we're
     doing; but we're going down in math, all right,
 6
 7
     guys, we've got to readjust, we've got to figure out
 8
     why it's not working and what we need to do
 9
     differently.
10
               Do our teachers need more professional
11
     development? Do we need a different curriculum?
                                                        Do
12
     we have the teachers we need? Are we only working
13
     with long-term substitutes for math? And do we have
14
    to invest in recruitment stipends for math teachers
15
     because we've got to get them in here?
16
               What is it that we have to do because of
17
     what our data is telling us?
18
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Can I -- SAM
19
     schools -- and this high school is a SAM school;
20
     right? Extra points.
21
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Uh-huh.
22
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Those bonus
23
    points, are those because they're reflective of
24
     being a SAM school?
25
               MS. KATIE POULOS: No.
                                       I will tell you,
```



1	when we're talking about SAMs, it is in our
2	technical guide manual, when we do proficiency, for
3	the growth part of proficiency, we can't remember
4	the technical term condition we condition our
5	growth calculations to take into account SAM
6	schools.
7	So when we are comparing the growth of the
8	students in that school to their performance peers,
9	we're doing it on the basis of other students in SAM
10	schools.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Okay. So that's a
12	next step.
13	MS. KATIE POULOS: And we do it in
14	Graduation Rate and in College and Career Readiness.
15	There's adjustments in that, and I'll talk about
16	those when we get there.
17	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Because, Tim, this
18	is a SAM school, and those discrepancies you're
19	seeing, knowing this is a school that takes
20	people up to 45, 50 years old. That's why you're
21	getting that skew.
22	ATTENDEE: Those conditions are already in
23	the school grade now.



Yes.

Uh-huh.

Yes.

We're still on

MS. KATIE POULOS:

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:

24

1	this New America one. So in terms of numbered
2	proficient so they have 15 kids
3	MS. KATIE POULOS: Percent.
4	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Percent of the
5	kids that are proficient. But they don't have any
6	kids. They have no American Indian, no Asians. 15
7	Hispanic.
8	MS. KATIE POULOS: No, no, no, no.
9	None of these are numbers. All of these are
10	percentages.
11	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But the American
12	Indians, for example, Asian, which I guess covers a
13	broad range of what that would mean, there are no
14	proficient kids.
15	MS. KATIE POULOS: Or there are none of
16	those students who were full academic year and took
17	the assessment.
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And that's their
19	issue at SAM schools often, anyway.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And for this
21	particular school, because of the broad range of
22	students they take.
23	MS. KATIE POULOS: So it's not that
24	there's no students.
25	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: It's people coming



1 in and out and --2 MS. KATIE POULOS: Were there any 3 full-academic-year students that took that 4 assessment? COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And those are two 5 6 vital questions in that school; one, for the full 7 academic year, and even if they were, did they sit for the test? 8 MS. KATIE POULOS: When you're looking at 9 10 this and you see that 15 percent of their Hispanic 11 students are proficient in reading, that's 15 12 percent of the Hispanic students who were 13 full-academic-year students and took the assessment. 14 ATTENDEE: So my understanding of this, 15 right -- so the SAM schools, just by nature of who 16 they serve, are going to have a far lower number --17 not saying percentage -- number of students that are 18 reflected there, because commonly, students aren't 19 there the full academic year, right? 20 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah. That is right. COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And if you look 21 22 back at the first page, what you're going to see is 23 the students who were with them and take the assessment with them the full academic year, look at 24



the grades in those categories. And then when you

get down to Graduation Rate and College and Career 1 2 Readiness, they tank, because they --3 ATTENDEE: But that's still -- but that's 4 still the full academic year? Or not? MS. KATIE POULOS: No, we'll talk about 5 It's really important you got -- you really 6 have to compartmentalize as you think through this, okay? Because it's not 15 Hispanic students. 8 15 percent of the Hispanic students who were full 9 10 academic year and took an assessment. 11 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So we don't ever 12 really know that number. So it's sort of 13 difficult -- more difficult than it already is 14 difficult to know what you're looking at, because 15 you don't know how many -- maybe they had 300 kids. 16 I know they didn't; but --17 MS. KATIE POULOS: And the school leaders, 18 the school leaders, should know. They should be 19 digging into that detail. 20 One of the things that's important for you to think about is the different levels. And even 21 22 school leaders struggle with this. A teacher is 23 going to look at and utilize data in a very 24 different way than a principal, than a



superintendent, than a school board member, than a

PEC Commission member.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're kind of going back to your roots in the classroom and saying, "I need to know exactly how many students and which ones and..." -- right?

You're a PEC Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right. Right.

MS. KATIE POULOS: You're using and looking at data at this level to say, overall, what kind of decisions are the leaders of the school making, and are those playing out in the ways that they need to for the students who come to their school to be educated?

Very different than a teacher standing in a classroom who says, "I need to know how to adjust my lesson today, or from this year to next year, based on what I did, what worked and what didn't."

Very different. And so I know you want to dig in at that level; but you've got to think about what it's telling you and then think about where you need to be with that.

One of the things that's also kind of hard for people to adjust to is teachers are thinking right now. You're looking up here at a five-year picture and saying, "Over time, what have we done? Have we stayed in the exact same place? Or have we



thoughtfully used our data to move in the right direction for our students?"

So you've got to keep -- you know, pull that hat off and say, "Okay, I am a Commissioner now. This is what I'm doing with this data."

Okay. So that's Current Standing.

Anything else on that? Really good questions. Really good discussion.

If you flip the page, you're going to see School Improvement. This is overall. We look at the school as a whole. And what you'll see is, separated by reading and math, we have a growth index and we have points. The growth index is either going to be a negative number or a positive number, or a zero. You've got to think about it in those three big buckets.

If it is a zero or very close to a zero, that means that there is no difference -- or very little difference -- between the expected performance for the students at this school and their actual performance.

Well, how do well get expected performance?

The way we get expected performance -- and this gets really complicated really quickly, so I'm





just going to ask you to think big-picture. 1 going to ask you to think about all of the students 2 3 in ninth grade in New Mexico. They're not sitting in classroom seats. They're floating in the air 5 above their school, okay? And we are going to put them in groups, not based on their school, but based 6 7 on the past two years of their performance on a 8 State assessment.

So all of the ninth-graders across

New Mexico get put into groups based on their

seventh- and their eighth-grade performance on the

PARCC reading or on the PARCC math or whatever

assessment they took.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: They're going be in different groups.

MS. KATIE POULOS: They're going to be in lots of different groups.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: But the person who got whatever are on the PARCC, on the reading, might not be in the same group.

MS. KATIE POULOS: So we do it twice. We float them up there. We separate them. We put them in their groups for reading. We put them back over their schools and separate them into groups for math.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 820-6349

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: How do we separate them out? Or do we, when they make that transition from second grade to third grade?

MS. KATIE POULOS: We do. We use their two most recent Istation scores. So we're taking PARCC in third grade. We're putting them into groups based on their data from Istation. And the reason we do that is because we're not using the past data to calculate; we're only using the past data to group.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Okay.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Then, for this current year, we want to know, okay, based on your group, the group that you're floating in right now, when we look at that whole group, and we say, "That whole group, their average raw score was 'X,' did you stay with your peers and hit that same average, or were you above it or below it?"

If you're above it, then you're growing more than expected. If you're at it, zero, then you're growing as expected. And if you're below it, negative, you're growing less than expected.

We call a zero a year's worth of growth, because you're keeping on pace with your peers. It doesn't necessarily mean that was the appropriate



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

amount of growth. It may be less than the appropriate amount of growth. But you're keeping on pace with your peers, okay? Now, people are going to say, if you're a statistician, well, averages, right, it's all going to shake out.

Where it becomes impactful is when we take you back out of your group and put you back to your school, put you back in your seat, and we look across that classroom and that grade level and that school, and we do a calculation to say, "Okay, based on our school, are all of our students -- most of our students -- coming back at or above their peers?"

That's how we're going to get a positive growth score.

If most or all of our students are coming back below their peers, that's how a school is going to get a negative growth score.

And what we believe is it's saying not that you're a bad person, not that we hate you, but that there's something about what's happening in that school that's making students come back, when being compared to their peers, and performing at a lower level, on the whole.

It could be classroom management. It



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

could be skill of teaching. It could be curriculum resources. You're not going to figure that out.

That's not your job. The school leader is going to figure that out.

And then they're going to make the appropriate response, so that when you're looking at it in a five-year picture, sure, they had a year where they had a negative growth score and their students weren't getting the same education they would have gotten if we had picked them up, plopped them in a different school and a different seat.

Okay. Not the end of the world, as long as we say what do we do? Is it our curriculum? Do we need more professional development? Do we need a teacher to have more support on classroom management so she can grow -- because she's got the content and the knowledge and the skill and the passion, but she's struggling to get all of the students to pay attention. Then let's support her or him in that.

We've got a teacher that's got the management down. The kids are on track. They're paying attention. They don't have the resources they need. You know, let's get those resources in that classroom so that they have access to what they need.



Did those decisions get made? Did they 1 2 get executed? Did we see that they started moving 3 in the right direction, right? 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So could kids be 5 below grade level -- say, they're fifth-graders, and they're reading on a third-grade level. 6 MS. KATIE POULOS: Uh-huh. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: And they could 9 have gotten a zero, which was a year's growth. MS. KATIE POULOS: Because when we 10 11 compared them, we compared them to other students 12 who were also below grade level for the past two 13 years. 14 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So they would 15 get growth points, so to speak. 16 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah. 100 percent. 17 And you see that. 18 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: For some of 19 those kids, as we were saying, "Yeah, you have to 20 get more than a year's growth, because you're 21 already -- you're not --22 MS. KATIE POULOS: We're not saying that, 23 though. Because remember, they might be in fifth 24 grade reading at a third-grade level. 25 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right.



1	MS. KATIE POULOS: They're being compared
2	to peers who are also fifth grade, right, reading at
3	that below-grade level. And when we compared them,
4	their peers got to a higher level than they did,
5	which means they grew less; right? Or they grew as
6	much as their peers; they're staying on pace with
7	their peers. That might be more than a year's worth
8	of growth. It might be less than a year's worth of
9	growth. It's are they staying on pace with their
10	peers? That's the question we're asking.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: So when I look at
12	this for the elementary, with what looks like it's
13	proficient, in or they're growing, but they're
14	they're in a downward dive, what do I
15	MS. KATIE POULOS: They're in a downward
16	dive on growth. They're proficient. 50 percent of
17	their students are proficient in reading. That's
18	right on grade level.
19	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: But the growth is
20	what's diving.
21	MS. KATIE POULOS: But when we compare
22	them to other students who have been on grade level,
23	right, who had the same scores.
24	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Other students
25	around the state.



1	MS. KATIE POULOS: Around the state at the
2	same grade level.
3	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: They're
4	proficient, but they're not growing
5	MS. KATIE POULOS: They are growing.
6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: as much as
7	MS. KATIE POULOS: They're not staying on
8	pace with their peers. They're not staying on pace
9	with their peers, which means to push them harder.
10	They might be on grade level. But we can push them
11	harder, because someone else at a different school
12	is pushing their peers harder.
13	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: So that peer group
14	is moving ahead, and they're falling out the bottom.
15	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So just being
16	proficient is no big deal.
17	MS. KATIE POULOS: Right.
18	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Sorry. I just
19	have to re
20	MS. KATIE POULOS: Because we've got to
21	keep growing.
22	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: This almost looks
23	like they're dead or something. I'm really thinking
2 4	about what's going on in the classroom.
25	MS. KATIE POULOS: Your job is not to



figure out what's going on in the classroom. It's the teacher and the leader.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I want to understand how this can happen, how you can be proficient and go -- (Indicates.)

MS. KATIE POULOS: Because their peers in other schools are moving faster than they are. And it's the school leader. It's the classroom teacher, the school leader's job, to say, "Okay, what kind of enrichment activities do we need? What exposure are those other students getting that we're not doing? What resources? What professional development?" Right?

I mean, there's a pretty core group of questions that we should be asking.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Well, I mean, instead in too many cases what we get, if the group is proficient, it's, "Well, it doesn't matter to me what they're doing, because we are proficient, because" -- that's that pushback.

MS. KATIE POULOS: We're putting our proficient students over here, and we're really focusing on our below-grade students, which is something we need to do. But we don't just put them over here; right? How do we still work with those



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

students, keep pushing them higher and meet these students where they are, keep pushing them higher, and meet those students where they are, keep pushing them higher. It's not easy.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Instead, we have a tendency to become victims, because that's easier.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But there's also another population. I have a grandson who just finished third grade. He's reading at seventh-grade level and basically got ignored all year. And nobody helped him; he pushed himself. And that's a population of these kids.

Because we're working so hard to bring other people up, that group -- because we have to keep everybody in the same class, we have to do all of these things -- that we're going to lose him by the time he gets up into mid-school.

MS. KATIE POULOS: And he's going to be reflected in this growth. And that is what we don't want, because somewhere else, somebody else isn't neglected.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But I'm just saying there are enough students like him who teachers would like to work with, they're having to work with these others, so he's on his own. He read



1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

his way through all of the Harry Potter books,

including Volume Eight, which is the play script,

you know, by the time he'd finished third grade.

But he got -- and he's in a charter school. And

they're fine with him. But he didn't get the

attention I would like to have seen him get in his

school classroom.

MS. KATIE POULOS: And the teacher and the school leader and the board should be saying, "Oh, wait. What can we do? What adjustments can we make? Because, yes, we have to. We cannot leave students who are below grade level behind. We can't.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Just because they are proficient and they're not growing, no, we have to have them grow.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: It's kind of like saying -- we'll just say he's a GATE student, or at least he's gifted in reading, at least. So you're a third-grader, and you're reading on a seventh-grade level. And last year, you were maybe only reading -- I don't know. It seems that there would be a top part, somehow, where you, as a third-grader, may not be able to read a whole lot more than four years ahead of yourself.



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. KATIE POULOS: But remember. It's what are we doing? Because this student has tested at the same level as their peers two years in a row.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: That's the one.

5 To peers.

MS. KATIE POULOS: Right? And that student, then, is being compared to those peers.

We're asking, did they stay on pace with their peers, or did they fall behind their peers, right?

If that student keeps pushing, you give him resources and you give him the opportunity during "X" lesson to go here or do this, or if you have him go to the copy machine and run errands, right, which one are you doing? And why did you make that decision? Did you make that decision because you knew it was in the best interests of that student and they were going to continue to grow from it? Or not?

I'm not saying it's easy. I'm saying these are the questions we want our leaders -- and this is the most important part -- our leaders, school leaders, curriculum leaders, board members, to struggle with and be in a system of constant and continuous improvement and innovation and thought to keep pushing higher.



That's what we want. That's all we want.

And we have don't want perfection. We just want

continuous movement in the right direction.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I just want all kids to get guidance and not have to self-select what they're doing.

For instance, my nephew who teaches at CNM had one of his concurrent enrollment students who was at Connections Academy who was there complaining that they were closing her school, and she was doing fine, and she didn't know why. But she mentioned during the class that the teacher had quit less than halfway during the class, and all the students had been simply told, "Progress on your own," and they hadn't replaced the teacher.

And that's the kind of neglect that I see -- in that case, it's real neglect. In my grandson's, self-selecting. He was keeping himself quiet and entertained and reading at high enough levels that -- because he's -- at home, he's not quiet. In school, he's shy and he's quiet. He was reading, they saw it was appropriate. They know where he tested, so they let him go.

I'd like to have seen more -- and he's just my example, because his older brother is the



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one who's severely dyslexic and can hardly read at all and tries, even though he's very, very bright.

But he can't.

So he's getting all this attention, and the other one is just kind of -- and I know the other one needs the attention, and he has to have it. But I just don't like seeing this one, because at some point, as he grows and matures and the hormones kick in and all of that, the self-direction probably won't still be there.

If he's doing it, how many other kids do we have out there in the system, especially families who select charter schools for their kids? And I'm sure, when we do this kind of statistics and are worried about how we're improving, we're losing those kids.

MS. KATIE POULOS: But I'll push on that, because I think when you see this, proficient but dropping in growth, it's your grandson, right?

Because what we're not doing is embracing all of it and saying, "Actually, okay, this is telling me, got to stop leaving him on his own, and I've got to think about how to better educate, push, grow, support him," because proficiency is not where we stop. It's not where we stop, right? We keep



1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

growing.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's not -- again, not easy; but there's a lot of ways to embrace this. And what we want is everybody to continue to push themselves on this data.

So that was School Improvement overall.

The same concepts apply to the next two, the Student Growth. That's our reading growth, math growth of our highest -- or higher performing and our lowest or lower performing.

And you see the exact same thing, that negative, positive, or zero number, which is your growth index, and then the points that they earned.

And the other really cool thing about this is we actually see those growth indices by ethnicity, gender, and economically disadvantaged students with disabilities and English Learners.

Just another myth-buster, right?

We have this school here -- and it's kind of interesting, because when you look across, you actually see the student groups are all above zero. But when we pull it all together, somehow it's below zero. And that's kind of an interesting conundrum. It's -- there's something hidden in there about exactly where it's landing that we can't see the



exact number on that higher performing reading growth.

But it's important for, again, our boards, our leaders, our teachers, everyone, to be looking at that data and saying, "Where are the discrepancies between these subgroups, and what is that telling us about what -- which groups of students we're pushing more, which group of students we're not pushing as hard, and where do we need to adjust what we're doing?

Again, you have those tables down at the bottom. You've got the line that shows you "greater than expected," "lower than expected," and the trajectory over time.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: These on the bottom are just showing us visually what this -
MS. KATIE POULOS: Visually, that data up

The next, I talked about this before, the Opportunity to Learn broken down into two pieces:

Student attendance. The expectation is student attendance at 95 percent or higher. If you get 95 percent, you get 5 points for our elementary. For our high schools, it's 3 points.

If you get greater than 95 percent, then



top over time.



1 you actually have the opportunity to earn more than 2 5 points. So this is an unknown bonus point 3 opportunity. It's not a ton. But it is extra points that you can get. So if you had a 99 percent, it would be 5 higher than 5 or higher than 3, depending on your 6 7 grade level. 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Is attendance data 9 only selected on the 20th, the 40th --10 MS. KATIE POULOS: No. This is daily 11 attendance, average daily attendance. 12 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: So this is what we 13 report in S.T.A.R.S. then? 14 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes, it's average daily 15 attendance. Yeah. And, again, one of the things 16 that people don't think about and don't dig into as 17 much that we really encourage them to do is looking across race, ethnicity, gender, and disadvantaged 18 19 groups, and saying, "Is there something our 20 attendance data is telling us, and is there something we can do about that?" 21 22 If you are serving a predominantly Native 23 population, and you are seeing that their attendance rates are really low, then have you thought about 24



your school calendar in the context of that

community? And if you haven't, great opportunity to think about that.

Surveys. 10 questions, 0-to-5 scale,
maximum score of 50. Students in Grades K through
2, the family member completes. Grades 3 and above,
the student completes.

The expected average score is 45 out of 50 points. If you get greater than 45 out of 50 points as your average score, another opportunity for a few extra bonus points.

The number of surveys never matches the number of students in the school. The reason for that is they're done by teacher. So you're going to have a lot more surveys than number of students in the school.

ATTENDEE: These bonus points stay because it's part of the factor of this? Or is that some other --

MS. KATIE POULOS: They're not technical bonus points. So this opportunity will continue. If you score more than our absolute expectation on attendance or surveys, then you will be able to continue to earn extra points. But the bonus points right under that that are actual bonus points added in for other things are going away under ESSA.



1	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So explain
2	again. The surveys are supposed to be given to
3	every student or
4	MS. KATIE POULOS: By teacher. Because
5	it's a teacher
6	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Each of us as a
7	teacher are giving it to our 25 kids is what you're
8	saying.
9	MS. KATIE POULOS: Uh-huh.
10	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So why would we
11	not have all of them?
12	MS. KATIE POULOS: You'd probably have
13	more. So you probably have 50 kids, but they
14	complete surveys for four teachers. Then you're
15	going to have 200 surveys, not 50 surveys.
16	COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Right. For
17	elementary, it's it may or may not be, because
18	they do have different teachers, also.
19	MS. KATIE POULOS: Depending on the model.
20	ATTENDEE: The model of the school, right?
21	MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah.
22	ATTENDEE: So I'm sorry. So the points
23	at the bottom, the 5 points is if they have 95 or
24	more percent? Is that when they get those
25	MS. KATIE POULOS: Right. If they had



1 more than 95 percent student average daily attendance, they would have earned more than 2 3 5 points. 4 ATTENDEE: More than 5. 5 MS. KATIE POULOS: Right. But if they have less, then they would earn less than 5. 6 7 they had more than a 45 average score on their 8 survey, they would have earned more than 5 points. 9 Okay. That's it for the elementary 10 schools. 11 For the high schools, I told you we have 12 Graduation Rate. We are looking at a SAM school. 13 So this will be slightly different for SAM schools 14 than for traditional non-SAM high schools. 15 But for everybody, we look at the 16 four-year graduation rate for any student who was at 17 your school at any point in time during their high school career. How long they were at your school is 18 19 going to impact the impact -- is going to affect the 20 impact or the weight in the calculation. 21 It gets pretty complicated pretty quickly. 22 But we -- we do make sure all those students. 23 This school, for its four-year graduation rate, had a 28 percent. They could have earned --24



let's see if I can remember it -- 28 percent -- 17

points -- I'm going to have to look at the school grade manual, because I get this confused.

For their graduation rate, they can earn 8 points for their four-year graduation rate. So we take 8 times 28 percent, and we get 2.98 points, which is how many they earned.

For their five-year graduation rate, they can earn 3 points. So we take 3 points, times 37 percent, and we get 1.86 points that they earned.

For their six-year graduation rate, they can earn 2 points.

And then for their growth in their four-year graduation rate; that is, if their over-three-year average, their graduation rate is going up, they can earn up to 4 points for improving their four-year graduation rate.

Really important to note, if a school has a graduation rate that is higher than 90 percent, whether their growth in that four-year graduation rate is positive or negative, they will get all 4 points. They will not be penalized from going from a 98 to a 95. They will get all 4 points for that.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So schools can get that the first year? I didn't look. Like, MAS just graduated their first class.





1 MS. KATIE POULOS: They won't, probably, 2 because they're a 4-year graduate. They won't 3 have -- that'll be the first year they've gotten it. 4 ATTENDEE: Wouldn't this year's graduates 5 be part of next year's school grade? Or am I wrong? MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes. 6 This year's 7 graduates go into next year's school rate. For SAM -- for the SAM schools, the 8 9 Supplemental Accountability, non-cohort graduation 10 rates augment the four-year graduation rate. 11 So we look at their four-year graduation 12 And if a student was enrolled at the school 13 on the 40th day as a senior, and they graduate by 14 the end of that year, they get counted 100 percent 15 as a graduate who was with the school. They just 16 get added in. That's how we supplement for SAM 17 schools. 18 ATTENDEE: I'm sorry. 19 MS. KATIE POULOS: Any student who were 20 enrolled in the 40th day as a senior who graduates 21 by the end of the year counts as a graduate. 22 ATTENDEE: So regardless of what -- how 23 many years, or their age, or --24 MS. KATIE POULOS: Right. 25 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: If it's their



first year in your school, and they're classified as a senior on the 40th day, and they graduate.

MS. KATIE POULOS: They count as a full graduate.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So we have a school that's a SAM school and starts in pre-K? 1, 2, 3, 4. So that's different.

MS. KATIE POULOS: They really started as a 9-12 school, and they were a SAM school when they were doing that, very likely with new rule-making, they probably won't qualify to be a SAM school anymore. That's in the works right now.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Oh. Because you really couldn't have a K-5 SAM school.

MS. KATIE POULOS: You could. It depends on how you define it as a SAM school. In other states, there are. And one of the things we talked about is if one of the ways -- there's lots of ways to do it. Percentage of students who are -- one of the things we've talked about in the rule-making is the percentage of students who are Level D special education.

If it's above 50 percent, you might call that a SAM school. If the percentage of students who are three or more years outside of cohort is



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 very high, that might be a SAM school. Well, if you have a lot of students who 2 3 have been held back, you're a K-5, but they've been 4 held back three years, we could call it that. Maybe 5 percentage of homeless. It's going to depend on how 6 you define that. What does "SAM" stand for? 7 ATTENDEE: 8 MS. KATIE POULOS: Supplemental 9 Accountability Model. 10 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: That explains it 11 all, huh? 12 ATTENDEE: Sure. 13 MS. KATIE POULOS: We also break down that 14 same data by the same gender, race, ethnicity 15 subgroups. 16 COMMISSIONER CRONE: So I notice in 2018, 17 there's an American Indian, at least one. MS. KATIE POULOS: Uh-huh. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CRONE: So that person just 20 didn't show up. MS. KATIE POULOS: Well, remember. 21 You're 22 talking about on the proficiency. 23 COMMISSIONER CRONE: Yeah. 24 MS. KATIE POULOS: Were they full academic 25 year, did they take the assessment? Then they get



1 counted in the data. Also remember graduation rate 2 lags by one year. 3 COMMISSIONER CRONE: Okav. 4 MS. KATIE POULOS: So even though this is 5 the 2018 report card, this is the graduating class -- the four-year graduate. 6 This is the 7 graduating class of 2017. 8 COMMISSIONER CRONE: Okay. Makes sense. 9 MS. KATIE POULOS: Okay. So that's Graduation Rate. 10 11 Next, College and Career Readiness. 12 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Oh, I don't find 13 I'm looking in the elementary packet saying, 14 "I'm missing a page." 15 MS. KATIE POULOS: Not for elementary, 16 it's for our high schools, right, we look at two 17 things. And you see that when you look at where we 18 start reporting the data. 19 One, participation of the cohort; that is, 20 last year's graduating class, what percentage of last year's graduating class -- last year's 21 22 graduating cohort; they may not have graduated --23 participated in one or more of these College or 24 Career Readiness programs. 25 This says 17 percent. This is any student



who was enrolled in the school at any point in their high school career who was supposed to graduate with last year's graduating class, did they participate in one of these.

I will tell you, some people will say this is a way of cheating the system. I actually think it's a way of actually helping our students.

Somebody said it. They cheat the system, because they require all of their students to take the Aspire. No, they don't.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I'm sorry. The car finally came through at \$500. I've got to go call my husband.

MS. KATIE POULOS: It's not cheating the system. It's giving every student the opportunity to participate in College or Career Readiness by making it part of your program.

This school, if we talk about it, just developed a COMPASS -- no, AccuPlacer -- an AccuPlacer goal. If they make that part of their program when a student enrolls, we administer the AccuPlacer, guess what? They're going to get 100 percent of the points for this indicator for participation; maybe not for success, but for participation.



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And it's not that they did it to make their grade better. They gave their students exposure to a career program that helped their students, just by participating, let alone by success.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: AccuPlacer tells them exactly where their skill level is and what they need to improve. It makes sense to --

MS. KATIE POULOS: And it invests them, by knowing that you're invested in education. This is going to be great for this school. They're going to increase -- if they do that, they're going to increase their score in this measure.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: I just wish WorkKeys was on here, too.

MS. KATIE POULOS: I believe it is, for -I believe it is for our SAM schools. This is
actually really important, because -- this is why
I'm going to give you the technical guide. As you,
as Commissioners, are negotiating the goals, know
that the technical guide lays out the success
criteria, which is different for every assessment.
I would encourage you not to set the Success
criteria with your schools that are lower than this,
because then you're creating a dual standard.



1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
               So the things that can be included, both
 2
     for success and participation, are AccuPlacer; ACT;
 3
     ACT Aspire; Advanced Placement; COMPASS; CTE Course
     Sequences -- and you have to get a "C" or better --
     Dual Credit non-remedial courses, "C" or better;
 5
     International Baccalaureate; PSAT before November
     2015; and PSAT after 2015.
                                 They have different
     success scores because they look very different.
 8
 9
     They must have made changes. SAT before March 2016;
10
     SAT after March 2016; Subject Area Tests; ACT
11
     WorkKeys; TABE; and ASVAB.
12
               So you said WorkKeys.
13
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Yes.
14
               MS. KATIE POULOS: So that is in here.
15
               ATTENDEE: For all schools? Or just SAM
     schools?
16
                                  SAM schools are the
17
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
18
     ones that you add ACT WorkKeys, TABE, and ASVAB.
19
     The minimum score required on the ACT WorkKeys, it
20
     has Applied Mathematics of 5; Listening for
     Understanding, a 4; Reading for Information, a 5;
21
22
     Business Writing, a 3; Applied Technology, a 3;
23
     Teamwork, a 4; Location Information, a 4.
24
               For the TABE, it's Mathematics, 506;
25
     reading, 518; writing, 524. And for the ASVAB,
```



AFQT, a 31.

So when you're doing goals with any school that wants to talk about any of these assessments, make sure you bring this with you, just so you at least -- you may make a decision. But at least you have the reference to be able to have that discussion.

So, again, really important, you get success and participation.

Participation is worth 5 points. And it would be 5 points times whatever percentage participated.

So in this case, it's 5 points times

17 percent gives you .85 participation points. And

it's really easy to get 100 percent, all 5 of those

points, by just creating a policy in your school

that says, "When students enroll, we do this. We do

this thing that helps them invest in their education

and know where they are, what they need to work on."

And then Success. It's the success, by hitting those targets, of those 17 percent that participated. 43 percent of their 17 percent were successful. And so they got 4.2340 points, which was the 10 available points times 43 percent.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: For Success,





1 10 points. 2 MS. KATIE POULOS: For Success, 10 points, 3 for participation, 5 points. 4 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So if a 5 school -- I can imagine some schools would give more 6 than one of these. For example, they might all take 7 the PSATs. They can get into that Khan Academy to 8 Then they may want to be doing the 9 AccuPlacer. Doesn't that tell you where kids are on 10 some things? 11 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes. So I think I'm 12 Each student is either anticipating your question. 13 a yes or a no on participation. They may have 14 participated in all of them; but they just count 15 once as a yes. Success, they may have participated 16 in all of them and been successful in one. 17 count as a success. 18 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Okay. So if I decide --19 20 ATTENDEE: Maybe them take them all. COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: 21 Some schools require -- the AccuPlacer and the COMPASS are your 22 23 junior-college entry tests. They're like junior AccuPlacer and COMPASS. Those are like the ACT for 24



a trade school. And Success is when you place into

a college course; right, you-all? I don't know which. Which do you-all use?

COMMISSIONER CRONE: Northern uses

COMPASS. I'm not -- although, you know, it's almost universal that students do SAT and ACT or -- one or both.

commissioner Johnston: But for open enrollment -- but for dual enrollment, they have those tests, like you could take the COMPASS if you wanted to enroll in one of your classes if you were a student at Española High School.

COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: So if you are a student who wants to be dually-enrolled, but you're three years behind in grade level, you would know that by taking one of these tests? I'm not actually familiar with these tests.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: No school is going to let you take -- as a dual-enrollment, you have to take either the COMPASS or the AccuPlacer. They're not going to let you in.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: You could have a student -- it's like the ACT or the SAT. You could have a student who is 17 years old and has earned 5 credits and does nothing, and says, "I want to dually-enroll because I want to enter a trade



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

program."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that mechanic program, you have to do the English part of it to make sure you can read.

And that student may come in and score into second-semester freshman English as a reader, but doesn't do anything in class. They sit there like this.

It gives you a true picture. It's a very important assessment.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: For later on,
WorkKeys, if you meet that minimum level, you get a
certificate to begin with that gives you -- go into
an employer, and if you've got that -- what is
it? -- a bronze level or whatever, it gets you into
the door for an entry-level job. If you get a
silver, that will get you a job almost anywhere. If
you get a gold, I don't want you to go to work with
that gold. Go to a four-year college and do
something with it.

But just that minimum gives you a certificate that any employer will take for an entry-level job. That's why when -- like Cesar Chavez, they encourage all of their kids to take it their senior year so they can graduate, whatever their other plans are, with that certificate in



hand.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KATIE POULOS: But you know how they could do better in their school grade? It's not just waiting until their senior year. You have to take into account what's the right financial decision. But if they did it in freshman year -- COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: They could take

it. I'm just saying in the senior year, that's part of what they encourage, so that when they graduate they're also giving them, on the stage, that certificate and the recognition for having done it.

And about every other year, they have at least one student with a gold. And almost every year, those are full scholarship to a New Mexico school. I haven't seen them go out of state, because these are usually the students who aren't looking to go out of state, but get a full-ride scholarship to New Mexico or New Mexico State.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: All right. Katie, here I need some remediation. "The percentage of the school's cohort of 2017 participating in each."

MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I have no idea -that little -- that means "less than"?

MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes. It means --





```
1
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I'm sorry. You're
 2
     dealing --
 3
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Facing left, the point
 4
     is to the left.
 5
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I know what that
 6
             I've got that much.
     means.
 7
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  Just making sure.
 8
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's about as
 9
     far as I have.
10
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Less than or equal to.
     The line underneath it is "or equal to."
11
12
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: What does that "1"
13
     mean?
14
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Less than or equal to
15
     1 percent of that cohort did that. That's because,
16
     again, if we --
17
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON:
                                       They
18
     concentrated -- that tells me, at this level, what
19
     the students at this school took.
20
               MS. KATIE POULOS: Yeah.
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Now, what is the
21
22
     SAM School Supplemental?
23
               MS. KATIE POULOS: That is -- you weren't
     in the room when I said that. That is -- no, that's
24
25
     okay -- the ASVAB, the WorkKeys, and the TABE.
```





This has been confusing. This was the 1 2 discussion we had with Estancia Valley. They were 3 looking at this data right here, the color-coded They were saying, "This is -- we're only 5 getting credit for our participation." 6 No, no, no, no. That was the only 7 thing we broke down by subgroup. 8 You get the points for Success. We take 9 into account both Success and Participation, both of 10 those. We just didn't break down Success here, 11 probably because we ended up having to blur all --12 or almost all of that data. So this just doesn't 13 make sense to report it that way. 14 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: So what this shows 15 me is that the ELL students all were taking one of 16 the -- the supplemental assessments. 17 MS. KATIE POULOS: Yes, that's correct; 18 because we got -- well -- 13. 19 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And if you look at 20 the other areas, yeah. So they are focusing in on 21 one, which gives me a trend at my level, which --

MS. KATIE POULOS: Again, I would -- if I were one of those school leaders, I would make it part of my process that when a student enrolls,



22

23

24

25

okay, that's cool.



their first month, whatever, right, on the 120th day, whatever it is, we have a practice of administering one of these; because we automatically get all 5 points, and then whatever points for Success. And that's helpful.

But it's also, when you think about it, the choice you're going to make is going to help drive your program. Because if we're taking AccuPlacer, or we're taking WorkKeys, right, we're saying, "This is important. We're investing right now. This is what your score is. This is where we're going to get you," something to set goals for and something to drive toward.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's called your Next Step plan? And they go, "What?" What's a Next Step plan?

MS. KATIE POULOS: Then the next page is a quick summary of proficiency over time.

Two things that I brought for you. The School Grading Technical Guide, which is thick, there's a lot of statistics in it. I jump over that part and literally just ignore it, because it's too much for me, even.

But there are important things in there, like that chart about the College and Career





1 Readiness Indicator and what the minimum required scores are for Success, like what those indicators 2 3 are, a really complicated bit of statistics about how we calculate everything. The technical detail 5 is in there. Complicated. Worth it, though. 6 Just as 7 you scan through the things that you understand, it 8 will help you. And then also School Grading Basic 9 FAQs. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Well -- and I 11 really appreciate that you included Page 19, for 12 those of us --13 MS. KATIE POULOS: I'm going to see what's 14 on Page 19. 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That formula was 16 for me, I'm sure, so that I could figure this out 17 when I have to get confirmation on "less than" and 18 "more than." 19 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: I always have to 20 think about what it is.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I remember in the old manual, the equation was at the top of the page.

It went about three-quarters -- I was looking for it

MS. KATIE POULOS: So there's FAQs here.



in here.

24



If you want to read through them or read through the questions, if there's anything you want us to talk about, we can.

question. Although I don't see it on Page 18; but I have seen it on other ones, where it will show you this little chart and say, "As compared with 42 similar schools." And then there was a little thing, and you could click this website and find those 42 schools. But every time I've clicked, I've never gotten it.

MS. KATIE POULOS: It's an Excel sheet. I will tell you that we found that schools -- a couple of districts, not even schools, districts -- could not, no matter how many times we said it, understand that even though we were printing it on this, it was not in the calculations. So we just stopped printing it on this, because we just couldn't get them to understand that it was not part of the calculations.

And I think there have been discussions about the usefulness of that data. It was intended to be helpful. It was intended for schools that are at the lower part of those subgroups of schools to say, "What other schools are surveying a similar



population that I could go and learn from?" 1 2 I don't think it played out as well. 3 think we're stopping, and we're revisiting that. that's why you're not seeing it here. COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's the list of 5 similar schools? I'm sorry. 6 I had to --MS. KATIE POULOS: A couple of things I 8 did want to preview for you about ESSA -- and I have 9 to look it up. Number one, higher emphasis on 10 proficiency. So that is going to be changing. 11 We said right now, growth is 65 percent of 12 the school grade for elementary and 40 percent of 13 the school grade for high schools. That growth is 14 probably going to drop, because we're putting a 15 heavier emphasis on proficiency. It is going to be 16 harder to get an "A." 17 No. 2, science will be incorporated into 18 the school grades. 19 And No. 3, specifically looking at English 20 Language Proficiency and reclassification of English 21 Learners is going to be the part of the school 22 grade. 23 So how well -- how effectively are our 24 schools educating our English Learners and moving 25 them toward proficiency? I don't think that goal is



```
1
     based on a straight English language proficiency.
 2
     Instead, I think it's a growth-to-proficiency
 3
     measure that will be part of the school grades
 4
     moving forward.
 5
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And -- okay.
               COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER:
 6
                                          Talking about
 7
     making it proficient, in a test that tests English?
 8
     Or that they're going to be proficient in just
 9
     reading and math? What is that?
10
               MS. KATIE POULOS: No, it's the ACCESS.
11
     It's the ACCESS assessment, which is the assessment
12
     of English language proficiency for English
13
     Learners.
14
               COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE:
                                        They're supposed
15
     to get to there in five years or something like
16
     that?
17
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  I think that's the
18
            So it's progress toward that five-year.
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: And all of this
19
20
     work that you're talking about with ESSA will
21
     continue as we move through this next election cycle
     with all of the changes.
22
23
               MS. KATIE POULOS:
                                  I do not have a crystal
24
     ball.
            I am not a psychic.
                                 We have an ESSA plan
     that has been approved by the U.S. federal
25
```



1	government. That's all I can say.
2	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: But clarify this
3	for me. The majority of staff at the Public
4	Education Department, PED, are State employees
5	whose the worker bees who are doing this work are
6	at a level where they will be steady in employment.
7	They're not exempt employees there.
8	MS. KATIE POULOS: There is a whole lot of
9	PED that is not exempt employees.
LO	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's what I'm
L1	saying.
L 2	MS. KATIE POULOS: I will also say that
L 3	and this is important. At least on my team, there
L 4	are a whole lot of team at PED who do work because
L 5	they are dedicated
L 6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's right.
L 7	MS. KATIE POULOS: to students.
L 8	There's no reason to do this if you are not
L 9	dedicated to students.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: Yes.
21	MS. KATIE POULOS: And they do work
22	because they believe in the work they've been doing
23	for students.
2 4	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: They wouldn't do



it for what we pay people if they didn't.

MS. KATIE POULOS: And if there is 1 2 somebody else who comes in to say they are not going 3 to do the work that a lot of people in this building believe in or stand behind, there's a big likelihood 5 that people in this building will say, "I'm not going to stick around and do work I don't believe 6 in." 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I want to make 9 sure I'm right, and this is going to continue. 10 level at which this work is done is the committed 11 staff you're talking about who will be here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMBRUSTER: Yes. 13 kind of what you're saying. 14 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Every change in 15 administration, though, is a whole new book. 16 gone through a large number of those. 17 COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: But at the school 18 level, principals, superintendents --19 superintendents, principals, teachers, will --20 they're going to want to stay with this. It would 21 be chaos to --22 COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But it's, still, 23 everybody goes through it. Every new secretary 24 wants their own people -- every new governor wants 25 their own people. Doesn't matter. It's the same



party, another party. And each new secretary wants their own people. And then there are different agendas set. Sometimes it stays the same; sometimes it doesn't.

The best ones come in and don't do anything for six months, except figure it out.

Unfortunately, that doesn't happen very often. Most people come in, just like all of us did when we were elected to this Commission, thinking we knew what it was. And we -- and you find out very quickly it's different. And they want to start changing instantly, because they're sure they know it, and they don't want to listen.

But the good ones spend that six months, at least, figuring it out. And, hopefully, whoever comes in as governor next will do that in most of the agencies that they will be giving secretaries to.

But that's just how it works. I mean, I spent 30 years.

COMMISSIONER CRONE: It's the same in higher ed. As soon as a new secretary came in, they changed the funding formula. And it's still not settled. Eight years later, it's still not settled.

COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: Same thing with





1	the school. You get the same new president at the
2	school. You get
3	COMMISSIONER CRONE: [Deleted text.]
4	Strike that. Delete.
5	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: I guess this is
6	really the last time we'll sit with you. But we
7	will sit
8	MS. KATIE POULOS: I hope many of you find
9	themselves wherever I land in Boston. You will sit
L 0	with me for dinner.
L 1	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: In this capacity.
L 2	But the staff of the Charter School Division, we
L 3	will sit with them. And I would like to thank them
L 4	for all of the work that they have done.
L 5	And you know what? I need to thank the
L 6	LESC also, because while we don't always agree, I
L 7	see a lot of depth and thought and energy that goes
8 .	in and positiveness that goes into this.
L 9	'Cause you all are doing the work. We
20	just keep on doing this; so
21	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: And we welcome our
22	newest LESC person.
23	That's not Kevin anymore.
2 4	LESC REPRESENTATIVE: I can go by "Kevin,"
25	if you want.



1	COMMISSIONER TOULOUSE: But you never can
2	grow that mustache.
3	MS. KATIE POULOS: Any other questions?
4	Was that helpful?
5	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: To me, it
6	COMMISSIONER CRONE: So all those floating
7	children.
8	MS. KATIE POULOS: Eventually, we put them
9	back in their seats.
10	COMMISSIONER CRONE: Whatever they take
11	that gets them floating, does that factor into it?
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSTON: That's a Stephen
13	King novel right there. I'm thinking, "Oh, boy." I
14	thought you were still talking about assessments.
15	Absolutely, it's based on the assessments they take.
16	(A discussion was held off the record.)
17	MS. KATIE POULOS: I think we're done.
18	(Proceedings concluded at 2:18 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 7 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 8 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 9 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 13 State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the 14 matter therein stated. 15 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 16 hand on August 31, 2018. 17 18 19 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 20 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24 25 Job No.: 980N (CC)

