Do Now

- ✓ Think of someone you go to for advice, support or resources (professional or personal)
- On a sticky note, jot down some qualities that person may possess (the reasons you chose that person)

✓ Pair and Share



Isolation



NM PED

Critical Friends: Refining Reflective Practice through a Consultancy Process

Attendance Success Initiative

September 5, 2018

Celeste Murray and Michelle Starnes

School Improvement Specialists, NM PED



Objectives

- ✓ Participants will:
 - Be able to articulate the purpose of engaging in collaborative reflective practice.
 - Receive and provide feedback on a professional dilemma you are currently dealing with.
 - Employ a consultancy process.



Agenda

- 1. Purpose and Overview of Consultancy Process
- 2. Application: Rounds 1-2
- 3. Debrief
- 4. Close



Purpose of Session

- ✓ Leadership is lonely...
 - We often work as independent units.
 - Structured discussion encourages reflective practice.
- ✓ Solidify collegial relationships within the cohort
- Sustainability
 - You will carry this work forward through the systems you establish.

Why Critical Friends?

- ✓ First, what is a critical friend?
 - Encouraging, supportive colleague
 - Committed to providing honest and often candid feedback – feedback that may be uncomfortable or difficult to hear.
 - Critical in the context of this process is intended to mean "important" or "key" or "necessary."
- ✓ In bringing critical friends together, there is an opportunity to both solicit and provide feedback in a manner that promotes reflective learning.



Why Critical Friends?

- ✓ The Critical Friends consultancy process provides an opportunity to:
 - provide and receive constructive feedback,
 - rethink leadership,
 - leads to concrete action, and
 - models a process that can be used with teachers, principals, administrators and other leaders in your district.



Jolly Transition





Group Agreements

Norms

We agree to speak truthfully, but constructively, about weaknesses, problems, and emotionally charged issues.

✓ We agree to honor confidentiality within our consultancy groups.



Introducing Critical Friends

- √ (3 minutes) To get to know your Critical Friends:
 - Quickly share your name, current position and years of experience.
 - Calculate combined years of experience for your table.

√ (2 minutes) Share out – total years of experience



Why Critical Friends?

✓ Process utilizes time limits, roles, and agreed-upon purpose and norms

✓ Goal:

- Reduce interruptions in discussion
- Reduce "rush-to-comment" approach our busy lives seem to promote



How: Warm/Cool/Hard Feedback

✓ Warm:

Supportive, appreciative statements about the work presented

✓ Cool:

 More distanced feedback, offering different ways to think about the work; raises questions

✓ Hard:

Challenges and extends the presenter's thinking;
 raises concerns

Group Member Roles

✓ Facilitator

✓ Presenter

✓ Discussants



Facilitator

- Reviews process, even if everyone is familiar with it
- ✓ Sets and keeps group to time limits (slight flexibility)
- Participates in discussion but is on the lookout for others who wish to participate
- ✓ Reminds discussants of roles, warm/cool/hard feedback



Presenter

- Prepares and presents issue for consultancy
- ✓ Shares issue, provides context, and <u>is clear about specific</u> <u>questions/issues to be addressed</u>
- ✓ Does NOT participate in discussion; instead sits outside group and does not maintain eye contact
- During discussion, keeps notes and gauges what is helpful or not



Discussants

- ✓ Address issue brought forth by the presenter
- ✓ Give warm/cool/hard feedback
 - The feedback should be given in a supportive tone and should provide practical suggestions



Consultancy Process – Basic Format

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 min*)

Review process

✓ Sets and keeps to time limits (slightly flexible)



Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 min)

✓ Share issue or dilemma you are currently facing

✓ Provide context

Frame key questions for consideration or expectations for outcome of discussion



Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 min)

✓ Group members ask more questions to learn about the issue

✓ Reminder, this is not the time to give advice or get into the discussion



Step 4: Discussants' Group Discussion (10 min)

✓ Group discusses issue (warm, cool, and hard feedback)

Presenter is silent and does not maintain eye contact (taking notes)

✓ Group addresses possible suggestions related to issue



Step 5: Presenter Response (4 min)

- ✓ Presenter responds to group feedback
- Presenter shares what feedback was helpful
- Presenter identifies key next steps



Step 6: Debriefing (4 min*)

✓ Facilitator leads discussion, critiquing the process



Agenda

- 1. Purpose and Overview of Consultancy Process
- 2. Application: Rounds 1-2
- 3. Debrief
- 4. Close



Dilemma

- √ (5 minutes) Identify an area in which you are seeking fresh ideas or a different approach.
 - An issue with which you are struggling anything related to your work.
 - The dilemma should not be one that you are well on your way to figuring out yourself.
 - The dilemma should not be one that involves only getting other people to change.
 - The dilemma should be something that is within your locus of control.

Dilemma Presentation Organizer

<u> Dilemma Presentation - Graphic Organizer</u>	
Context in which the issue presents itself	
Important components surrounding the situation – important actors, past history, personnel structures that affect your ability to act	
Your actions/reactions around the issue	
What you would like the group to discuss or the outcome you seek from the discussants – e.g. alternate suggestions for a desired outcome or critical actions; identify potential obstacles; refine progress indicators; etc.	
Focus question: specific and thoughtful – What do you REALLY want to know? What is your real dilemma?	



Round 1 Consultancy





ROUND 1 Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 min)

Review process

✓ Sets and keeps to time limits (slightly flexible)



Round 1 – Step 2

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- ✓ Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 min)

✓ Share issue or dilemma you are currently facing

✓ Provide context

Frame key questions for consideration or expectations for outcome of discussion



Round 1 – Step 3

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- ✓ Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 min)

✓ Group members ask more questions to learn about the issue

✓ Reminder, this is not the time to give advice or get into the discussion



Round 1 – Step 4

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- ✓ Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 4: Discussants' Group Discussion (10 min)

✓ Group discusses issue (warm, cool, and hard feedback)

Presenter is silent and does not maintain eye contact (taking notes)

✓ Group addresses possible suggestions related to issue



Round 1 – Step 5

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- ✓ Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 5: Presenter Response (4 min)

- ✓ Presenter responds to group feedback
- Presenter shares what feedback was helpful
- Presenter identifies key next steps



Round 1 – Step 6

- ✓ Step 1: Facilitator Overview (3 minutes*)
- ✓ Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 minutes)
- ✓ Step 4: Discussant's Group Discussion (10 minutes)
- ✓ Step 5: Presenter Response (4 minutes)
- Step 6: Debriefing (4 minutes*)



Step 6: Debrief (4 min)

✓ How did that feel? What did we learn?

✓ What can we as a group improve on in the next round?



Round 2 Consultancy





Step 1: Facilitator Overview- (3 min)

- Reviews process
- ✓ Sets time limits



Step 2: Presenter Overview (4 min)

✓ Share issue or dilemma your are currently facing

✓ Provide context

Frame key questions for consideration or expectations for outcome of discussion



Step 3: Probing or Clarifying Questions (4 min)

✓ Group members ask more questions to learn about the issue

✓ Reminder, this is not the time to give advice or get into the discussion



Step 4: Discussants' Group Discussion (10 min)

✓ Group discusses issue (warm, cool, and hard feedback)

 Presenter is silent and does not maintain eye contact (taking notes)

✓ Group addresses possible suggestions related to issue



Step 5: Presenter Response (4 min)

- ✓ Presenter responds to group feedback
- Presenter shares what feedback was helpful
- Presenter identifies key next steps



Step 6: Debrief (4 min)

✓ What was effective about this consultancy process?

✓ What was challenging?

✓ What are you taking away?



Agenda

- 1. Purpose and Overview of Consultancy Process
- 2. Application: Rounds 1-2
- 3. Debrief
- 4. Close



Closing Reflection

- As a result of the feedback you received, how has your thinking changed related to the dilemma you presented? How might your thinking be changed in approaching future dilemmas?
- ✓ How might you leverage the Critical Friends Consultancy Process to improve reflective practice at your school or district?



Critical Feedback Fosters Growth



Man on Fire Man on Fire 2



Priority Schools Bureau Contact Information

Celeste Murray and Michelle Starnes
School Improvement Specialists
1-505-827-3671







References

✓ References:

- ✓ Appleby, J. (1998). Becoming Critical Friends: Reflections of an NSRF Coach. Providence, RI: The Annenberg Institute for School Reform at Brown University.
- Cushman, K. (May, 1998). How Friends Can Be Critical as Schools Make Essential Changes. Oxon Hill, MD: Coalition of Essential Schools.
- ✓ Bambino, D. (March, 2002). Redesigning Professional Development: Critical Friends. Educational Leadership, 59 (6), pp. 25-27.