

<b>LFC Requester:</b>	<b>Connor Jorgensen</b>
-----------------------	-------------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS  
2019 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:**

**[LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV](mailto:LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV)**

*and*

**[DFA@STATE.NM.US](mailto:DFA@STATE.NM.US)**

*{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

Check all that apply:  
**Original**     **Amendment**      
**Correction**     **Substitute**   

**Date** 2/5/19  
**Bill No:** SB148

**Sponsor:** Sen. Craig Brandt  
FORMER OFFICERS AS  
**Short Title:** SCHOOL SAFETY PERSONNEL

**Agency Code:** 924  
**Person Writing** Daniel Manzano  
**Phone:** 505-670-3820    **Email** Daniel.Manzano@state.nm.us

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY19	FY20		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY19	FY20	FY21		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	<b>FY19</b>	<b>FY20</b>	<b>FY21</b>	<b>3 Year Total Cost</b>	<b>Recurring or Nonrecurring</b>	<b>Fund Affected</b>
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

**SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

**BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: Senate Bill 148 (SB148) provides a stipulation to NMSA 10-11-8 Normal Retirement Return to Benefits Statute that would allow for cost of living adjustment increases to retired law enforcement officers who are hired as school security personnel. This bill would prevent a retired law enforcement officer who is employed as school security personnel from having his or hers cost of living pension adjustment suspended if the retired officer is employed as school security personnel.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

This bill would impact both districts and the state retirement fund.  
School Budget and State Retirement Board needs to provide input regarding costs.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

This bill does not stipulate that the same rule would apply to other state employees who decide to return to work in an education field.

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

Districts will have to ensure they have made the necessary adjustments to budget and payroll.

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

**TECHNICAL ISSUES**

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

**ALTERNATIVES**

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

Retired law enforcement officers who are employed as school security would be held to the current retirement rule as currently outlined in NMSA 10-11-8 Normal Retirement Return to Benefits Statue.

**AMENDMENTS**