1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS MEETING AND TRAINING
10	November 1, 2012 8:50 a.m.
11	5151 San Francisco Road, Northeast Kokopelli Ballroom
12	Albuquerque, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
21	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
22	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
23	
24	TOP NO . ECESW(CC)
25	JOB NO.: 5653K(CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MS. M. ANDREW GARRISON, Chair MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Vice Chair MR. EUGENE GANT, Secretary
5	MS. CARLA LOPEZ MR. VINCE BERGMAN
6 7	MR. JEFF CARR MR. MICHAEL CANFIELD MR. GILBERT PERALTA MS. MILLIE POGNA
8	STAFF:
9	MS. KELLY CALLAHAN, Director, Charter School Division MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, NMPED PIO MS. SHELLY CHERRIN
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	





1		INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	
2			PAGE
3	1.	CALL TO ORDER By Chairman Garrison	4
4	0	-	
5	2.	ROLL CALL By Vice Chair Shearman	4
6	3.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE and SALUTE TO THE NEW MEXICO FLAG	4
7		STATEMENT OF ASPIRATION	4
8		By Commissioner Carr	
9	4.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA	7
10	5.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	7
11	6.	OPEN FORUM	7
12	7.	PED SECRETARY-DESIGNATE REPORT	138
13	8.	VOTE ON CHARTER SCHOOL AMENDMENTS	
14		a. Media Arts Collaborative Charter	8
15		School b. East Mountain High School	13
16	9.	VOTE ON PRELIMINARY CONTRACT APPROVAL FOR HEALTH LEADERSHIP HIGH SCHOOL	27
17 18	10.	VOTE ON APPROVAL OF BOARD OF FINANCE FOR HEALTH LEADERSHIP HIGH SCHOOL	39
19	11.	PED CHARTER SCHOOL DIVISION REPORT	40
20	12.	PEC COMMENTS	
21		a. 2013 PEC Calendar of Meetings b. Commissioner Report on NASBE	69 72
22		c. Commissioner Report on NACSA d. Comments from Commissioners	85 89
23	13.	RENEWAL APPLICATION REVIEW TRAINING	111
24	14.	ADJOURN	173
25	REP	ORTER'S CERTIFICATE	174

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MAIN OFFICE
201 Third NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 843-9494
FAX (505) 843-9492
1-800-669-9492
e-mail: info@litsupport.com

(November 1, 2012, 8:50 a.m.) 1 2 THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone. We're going to begin the Public Education 3 morning. Commission meeting and training of November 1, 2012, 4 5 here in Albuquerque. And our first order of business is roll call. And in absence of Secretary 6 7 Gant, Vice Chair Shearman will take roll. (Roll call conducted.) 8 9 THE CHAIR: We have a quorum. We will go 10 to Item No. 3, and we will join Commissioner Millie 11 Pogna with the Pledge of Allegiance; then follow up 12 with Commissioner Carla Lopez with the Salute to the 13 New Mexico Flag. Please stand, everyone. 14 (Pledge of Allegiance and Salute 15 to New Mexico Flag.) THE CHAIR: Commissioner Jeff Carr will 16 17 give us a Statement of Aspiration to begin our 18 meeting. 19 COMMISSIONER CARR: This morning, I would 20 like us to remember the hurricane victims this 21 And I'd like you to remember my mother, morning. 22 who's in the hospital right now struggling with 23 pneumonia and coming near to death several times. 24 And she was a -- she riveted bombs during World 25 War II at a Boeing plant in St. Louis.



Here's what I plan. I -- and this is a 1 2 poem without an author, and simply starts with "Yes, but...." 3 "Yes, but... 4 5 "...I teach in a four-wall box of drab 6 proportions, but choose to make it a place that 7 feels like home. "...I see too many students to know them 8 9 as they need to be known, but refuse to let that 10 render them faceless in my mind. 11 "...I am overcome with the transmission of a canon I can scarcely recall myself, but will not 12 13 represent learning as a burden to the young. 14 "...I suffer from a poverty of time, and so will use what I have to best advantage those I 15 16 teach. 17 "...I am an echo of the way school has been since forever, but will not agree to perpetuate 18 19 the echo another generation. 20 "...I am told I am a good teacher, as the test scores I generate, but I will not allow my 21 22 students to see themselves as data. 23 "...I work in isolation, and I am all the 24 more determined to connect my students with the 25 world.



1	"I am small in the chain of power, but
2	I have the power to change young lives.
3	"there are many reasons to succumb and
4	30 reasons five times a day to succeed.
5	"most decisions about my job are
6	removed from me except the ones that matter most."
7	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carr.
8	Next item is the is No. 4, Approval of
9	the Agenda. And the Chair would like to recommend
L 0	that we move Item No. 7, the Public Education
L1	Department Secretary Designate Report, which will be
L 2	given by Public Education Commission Deputy
L 3	Secretary Paul Aguilar I would like to move that
L 4	for after lunch. Paul Aguilar will not make it
L 5	until 1:00. And so we'll move that, and the Chair
L 6	will place it accordingly with his arrival.
L 7	The Chair will entertain a motion.
L 8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair, I move
L 9	that the Public Education Commission approve the
20	November 1, 2012, agenda with the following change
21	as you just made.
22	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have a second
24	by Commissioner Shearman. All those in favor, say
2.5	"Ave "





(Commissioners so indicate.) 1 2 THE CHAIR: Any dissenting votes? Seeing 3 none, the agenda is approved. No. 5, Approval of the Minutes of the 4 5 September 19 and 20 meeting, 2012. The Chair will entertain a motion. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Move approval. 8 (Multiple Commissioners second the motion.) 9 THE CHAIR: So moved by Carla Lopez. 10 have a second by five of you. Who was it? We'll 11 say Millie Pogna. All those in favor, say "Aye." 12 (Commissioners so indicate.) 13 THE CHAIR: Dissenting votes? 14 none, the minutes are approved for the September 19 15 and 20 meeting of 2012. 16 We are at Item No. 6, Open Forum. This is 17 a timed item. Public comments and observations 18 regarding education policy and governance issues, as 19 well as the Strategic Plan for Education are heard 20 at this time. Do we have anyone signed up -- we 21 have no one signed up? 22 We will move -- since we skipped No. 7, we will move to Item No. 8, which is Vote on Charter 23 24 School Amendments. Good morning, Kelly Callahan, 25 Acting -- it's not Acting Director. That switched.



General Manager of the Charter Schools Division,
Good morning.

MS. CALLAHAN: Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Mr. Chair, Commissioners, I have before you in your notebooks two requests for amendments to current charter schools.

The First Amendment request is from Media
Arts Collaborative Charter School. And Media Arts
Collaborative is putting in a request to change
their Charter Mission Statement. The current
Charter Mission Statement states, "By 2011..." -oh, excuse me. "The Media Arts Collaborative
Charter School will provide a high school education
through a holistic approach that blends media arts
with responsibility and integrity in an inclusive
and diversive academic environment. MACCS will
provide an integrative, inclusive curriculum through
hands-on experiential learning that satisfies
New Mexico standards for graduation from secondary
schools.

"We seek to prepare students for an education in the media arts at the university and community-college level as well as to prepare all students to understand the role of media arts in the world and how people's lives can be affected by

REPORTING SERVICE



them.

"Our mission stems from the need to provide an education that recognizes the extensive influence the media has on our children and society. Our vision is for MACCS to contribute sustainability to the growth of the State of New Mexico" -- excuse me -- "to the growth the State of New Mexico is experiencing in the film and television industries to renew generations of New Mexico residents with marketable skills playing important creative roles in these industries."

That's the current mission statement.

They wish to revise the statement to read, "The Media Arts Collaborative Charter School offers secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. We prepare our graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education."

And the rationale for this change is to provide a more succinct verbiage and clarity of the school's mission. And present, walking in -- timing is perfect -- is the head administrator for Media Arts Collaborative Charter School, Glenna Voigt.

At this time, the Charter Schools Division





does recommend approval of this amendment. And I 1 2 am -- and Glenna is available for questions. 3 THE CHAIR: The Chair will open up the 4 floor for any questions from Commissioners. 5 Question, Commissioner Shearman? 6 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think perhaps 7 it's less of a question, more of a comment. I --8 all you're doing is -- is reducing the amount of 9 language; is that correct? 10 MS. VOIGT: Good morning, Commission and 11 Ms. Shearman. Yes, indeed. 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. All right. 13 May I ask a question that has absolutely nothing to 14 do with this? Where is your school located? 15 MS. VOIGT: We are at the corner of Copper 16 and Adams, which is directly behind the Bank of 17 America at Central and Washington. It's a two-story 18 purple building. 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. 20 were the ones with the water damage. 21 MS. VOIGT: We had the water main break on 22 Copper in March. It spouted for about three hours 23 before they could find the valve. And we lost 34 24 windows, but only two computers. And not one drop 25 of water landed in the basement. We were very, very



1	lucky.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Terrific. Thank
3	you.
4	MS. VOIGT: You're welcome.
5	THE CHAIR: Question by Commissioner
6	Canfield?
7	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Don't know if
8	that's going to work or not. Good morning. I was
9	curious as to the reason for the change. I
10	understand you want to reduce the verbiage. But
11	were you having problems aligning with your current
12	mission? Was there anything in your mission that
13	you were having difficulty achieving or aligning
14	your school to?
15	MS. VOIGT: Commissioner Canfield, no, not
16	at all.
17	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: Any other questions? The
19	Chair will entertain a motion.
20	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Move approval.
21	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
22	THE CHAIR: There was a motion by
23	Commissioner Lopez for approval; seconded by
24	Commissioner Carr. Let's go ahead and proceed with
25	a roll call vote from Commissioner Shearman.



1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
2	Lopez.
3	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
5	Bergman.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
8	Canfield.
9	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
11	Peralta.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Carr.
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
16	Pogna.
17	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
19	Garrison.
20	THE CHAIR: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
22	Shearman votes yes. Eight-zero in affirmative.
23	THE CHAIR: With a unanimous vote, the
24	amendment presented by the Media Arts Collaborative
25	Charter School is approved.



1 MS. VOIGT: Thank you very much. Have a 2 wonderful day. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. MS. VOIGT: 4 Thanks. 5 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, just before we 6 end the meeting --7 THE CHAIR: Ms. Voigt, you're like a 8 stealth, just in and out, smooth. Love it. 9 MS. CALLAHAN: Charter school principals. 10 Mr. Chair, Commissioners, we just need to remember 11 to sign -- I will try my best to have you sign this 12 before we leave. 13 Mr. Chair, Commissioners, the second 14 request for an amendment comes from East Mountain 15 The head administrator, Mr. Doug Wine, High School. 16 The school has two, actually, amendment is present. 17 requests in their documentation. 18 The first, in the current charter, reads, 19 "By 2011, EMHS will establish baseline iObservation 20 data in one of three domains for each teacher. 21 Within the next year and a half, each teacher will 22 improve one level from the initial score." 23 And the proposal from East Mountain is to 24 delete the goal in its entirety. The rationale for 25 this revision or amendment: "At the time of the



writing of the goal, EMHS administration had just received Marzano training. After the writing of the charter, the administration briefly tested the protocols from the software. The administration found that the software was too cumbersome, over 40 points to observe, to be useful for teacher evaluation or growth."

"In addition, the vendors confirmed

"In addition, the vendors confirmed similar complaints from other users. In sum, the administration found the software did not help us focus on improvement and did not help us identify teaching needs in a meaningful way."

And Mr. Wine is here to field any questions. We do recommend the approval of this amendment.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Mr. Wine. The Chair will open up the floor for questions from Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Since this has proved to be too cumbersome and you couldn't implement it, what are you going to replace it with? Is the next amendment what you're talking about, or

25 is that a separate --





1	MR. WINE: Commissioner Bergman and
2	Commissioners, no. This is the State is actually
3	going with a different protocol than Marzano's,
4	which is also why it's being removed. The State's
5	protocol is following Danielson's protocol, which
6	there are 22 different observation points. We're
7	piloting it, not through State approval, but we're
8	piloting it ourselves, because we have taken all the
9	information off PED. So we are using what the State
10	will probably enact next year.
11	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So it's not just
12	you with the problem. Everybody that used this
13	Marzano has got a problem?
14	MR. WINE: He has 41 different points of
15	observation, and it's a little cumbersome.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yeah. Thank you.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
18	Bergman. Commissioner Shearman?
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. I'm
20	curious that is this something you purchased?
21	I'm assuming it is. It's software; it's a program.
22	Was it purchased?
23	MR. WINE: It was purchased I think it
24	was, like, \$900.
25	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. But you



weren't aware of the 40 points and so forth until
later?

MR. WINE: We were aware of the 40 points.

It was through all of the training we received. The training seemed to suggest that it was very easy to use them. And as we had sort of discussions. We did a demo. We went through doing all of the observation. It was mostly a process of going like this (Indicates) and not actually observing classrooms, because it was about 15 pages of stuff to go through.

12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. So your charter is a 2010 charter?

MR. WINE: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Is that when you began operation?

MR. WINE: Correct -- no, we began in 2000.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 2000. So when this school comes up for approval, should this revision be approved, are we going to see a year to a year-and-a-half results from iObservation and then change over to the new system that you're just talking about? So there's going to be a continuity of evaluations that we're going to be able to see,





or whomever, when this school comes up for renewal? MR. WINE: What we're going to try to do is provide some explanation of what observations meant over five years. The reality is that these systems -- and the State has a different system still. So they're -- we're trying to create something that has continuity that makes sense for what we -- what our mission is, which is college So in answer to your question, we preparedness. probably will not have the iObservation data because we lost the database once we stopped paying for it. So we'll have more -- two years of really intense using the new protocol from the State, which is last -- this year and next year. And it sort of -- it's expected -- as the governing council has put together my professional development, I'm expected to develop this entire plan and then look for teacher growth using this plan.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So we -- whomever is your renewal authorizer will see two years of data rather than five.

MR. WINE: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Is that correct?

MR. WINE: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That is a concern.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Thank you. 1 2 THE CHAIR: The floor remains open for 3 questions from Commissioners. Seeing none, the 4 Chair will entertain a motion. 5 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman, I move 6 approval. 7 THE CHAIR: A move for approval by 8 Commissioner Pogna. 9 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 10 THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner Carr. 11 We will proceed with a roll-call vote through 12 Commissioner Shearman. 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 14 Lopez. 15 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: 16 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner 17 Bergman. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes. 19 Commissioner COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 20 Canfield. 21 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Peralta. 23 24 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Carr.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
3	Pogna.
4	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
6	Garrison.
7	THE CHAIR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
9	Shearman votes yes. The vote is eight-zero in the
10	affirmative.
11	THE CHAIR: We have a unanimous approval
12	of the amendment presented by the Media Arts
13	Collaborative Charter School. Thank you, sir.
14	Which one are we on?
15	MS. CALLAHAN: East Mountain.
16	THE CHAIR: I knew that. I switched it.
17	Sorry. The East Mountain High School. Thank you,
18	sir.
19	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners,
20	the second amendment from East Mountain High School
21	is regarding their college readiness goal. The
22	current charter statement reads, "Ready for college
23	New Mexico. By 2012 after four years of an annual
24	report on New Mexico high school graduates who take
25	remedial classes in New Mexico colleges and



universities, EMHS will establish a benchmark percentage of students needing to take remedial courses in New Mexico State colleges."

The second half is, "In 2013 and each year after, EMHS will drop the percentage of those needing to take remedial courses by 2 percent."

The proposed revision or amendment statement reads, "By the end of the 2013-'14 school year, EMHS will determine the New Mexico benchmark average of graduates who are in need of remediation upon graduation. EMHS will use Accuplacer scores to estimate the percentage of graduates who will need remedial classes in New Mexico colleges."

And they note that, "This measure is a conservative number, because some of those students do not go to college and, therefore, are not figured into the Public Education Department report."

The second part: "EMHS will decrease the percentage of those needing to take remedial courses by two percentage points in the 2014-2015 graduating class."

The rationality for this revision or amendment: "The Public Education Department stopped providing these data to schools and the public in 2009. These data were a list of students who

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



attended East Mountain High School at one time and 1 2 attended a public university in New Mexico. Since EMHS no longer receives this important benchmark, 3 EMHS cannot estimate the remediation rate in the way 4 5 that PED could measure it. Therefore, beginning in 2011, EMHS will estimate the remediation percentage 6 7 for math and English based upon SAT, ACT, and 8 Accuplacer scores for the entire graduating class. 9 EMHS will set a three-year average benchmark for the 10 graduating class, and then seek to decrease the 11 percentage of those needing remediation by two percentage points in 2014-'15." 12 13 And the CSD recommends approval. 14 THE CHAIR: The floor is open for 15 questions from Commissioners. Commissioner Lopez? 16 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Can you explain in 17 plain English what this is? 18 MR. WINE: Okay. And, Commissioner 19 Shearman, you'll like this answer, because we can 20 actually keep this one over several years. 21 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Terrific. 22 MR. WINE: So PED used to create a report 23 when Dr. Winograd, who is now with CEPR at UNM, 24 would create it for PED. And what it did is it said 25 that the remediation of people going into college



classes was "X" percentage. It was provided statewide, districtwide, and for each individual high school.

So we had those data and kept track of them. Ours -- I think the statewide percentage was 49 percent; ours was 37 percent. And so, as a school that was college prep, it was our goal to decrease that number ultimately to zero someday. So we -- this was like one of the centerpieces of what we were trying to accomplish.

We can't get that information anymore because PED no longer provides the report. So what we can do is estimate it. We know that UNM, CNM, Eastern, New Mexico State, and all of them, use either the ACT, SAT, or Accuplacer scores to determine remediation rate. So we can begin to estimate -- and what we would do is -- PED's rate is they would estimate it any time a student was in our school. We can't keep track of a student once a student comes and leaves. So we're going to estimate it on once a student graduates. We would keep track of those scores and then figure out what it is. Is that better?

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: That is. Thank you.

MR. WINE: Actually, I had someone edit





that for me. 1 2 THE CHAIR: Was there a notice given by 3 the Public Education Department that that data was 4 no longer going to be reported out? I don't know. 5 MR. WINE: I mean, from 6 what I could tell -- Dr. Winograd used to work --7 THE CHAIR: What's the name of this 8 doctor? 9 MR. WINE: Dr. Winograd. He's with CEPR 10 And he was in Governor Richardson's now at UNM. 11 office presenting this report. And you still hear 12 the report quoted, and the data is three years old 13 now. 14 THE CHAIR: Spell his name, his last name. MR. WINE: W-I-N-O-G-R-A-D. 15 He's with 16 It's policy research -- I don't know what the CEPR. 17 "C" and "E" stand for. I should, because he's one 18 of my professors. Sorry. Center of Education and 19 Policy Research. 20 THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. WINE: Okay. 21 Thanks. 22 THE CHAIR: Give me a second. 23 So, if I may, we're not trying MR. WINE: to delete this goal. We're basically trying to 24



remeasure it in the best way that we can.

THE CHAIR: Well, that scares me, because 1 2 New Mexico colleges have been -- this is a big 3 issue, that you're not going to English 101; you're going to English 99, 100, Math 100, instead of --4 5 instead of qualifying for just basic -- general courses in college. So with the lottery system and 6 7 things happening the way they are, I -- it just 8 astounds me that that data would not be -- would not 9 be continued. 10 MR. WINE: Uh-huh. And I'm assuming it 11 exists. It's just -- it's not reported in a way 12 that we can get it. And so we're just trying to 13 create our own. 14 THE CHAIR: Interesting. Any other questions by Commissioners? Commissioner Shearman. 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 16 Just for 17 clarification and I apologize for my phone. forgot to turn it off. So, again, when this school 18 19 comes up for renewal, will there be any gaps in data 20 for this particular measure? 21 MR. WINE: No. 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. 23 MR. WINE: Yeah. So what we'll have is 24 what PED has reported to us and then what we can 25



create after that.

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949



So there are going to be two

1	different measures. So we don't know if the
2	37-percent measure that we've got from PED will be
3	up or down from there, which is why we want to sort
4	of recalibrate the benchmark. But, no, there will
5	be no gap.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But still the
7	2-percent reduction each year is your goal?
8	MR. WINE: Yes, absolutely.
9	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. WINE: You're welcome.
11	THE CHAIR: If there are no other
12	questions, the Chair will entertain a motion.
13	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Move to adopt.
14	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
15	THE CHAIR: Moved by Commissioner
16	Canfield, seconded by Commissioner Peralta. We'll
17	proceed with a roll call vote through Commissioner
18	Shearman.
19	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
20	Lopez.
21	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
23	Bergman.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner



SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1	Canfield.
2	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
4	Peralta.
5	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Carr.
7	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
9	Pogna.
10	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
12	Garrison.
13	THE CHAIR: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
15	Shearman votes yes. Eight votes in the affirmative,
16	zero negative.
17	THE CHAIR: Unanimous. The amendment
18	presented by the East Mountain High School is
19	passed. Congratulations.
20	MR. WINE: Thank you.
21	THE CHAIR: I also want to recognize a
22	couple of folks in the audience. I want to thank
23	David Craig from the Legislative Education Study
24	Committee for being with us this morning. He's
25	obviously our acronym finder also. Thank you, sir.



And Mark Tolley from APS, working with charter schools. Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

Next item on the agenda is the vote on preliminary contract approval for the Health Leadership High School. So we invite Ms. Kelly Callahan, General Manager of the Charter Schools Division, back up.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, if you will -- I direct your attention to the Agenda, Executive Summary, that was provided for the The Charter Schools Division and all Commissioners. the charter schools and authorizers in the State of New Mexico, as you all know, are under the new provision of SB 446. 22-8B-9 is the new charter contract that is required for schools who are approved after July 1, 2012, and are renewed after July 1, 2012. And so the new applications that came in after July 1 all had to fall under this SB 446 provision.

The PEC approved one charter school that will now need to receive a contract. There's an issue, however, because the contents of the contract under 22-8B-9 are specific to academic, financial, and organizational benchmarks. The school is not in existence. And so it's very difficult to create a





contract based on -- they don't have a building; they don't have staff; they don't have students.

And -- and so when we were developing the contract that you all have reviewed -- and we are in current public comment review -- is a comprehensive contract that covers all the elements that are outlined in the statute and incorporate the performance frameworks which are part of 22-8B-9.1. And the frameworks list very specific benchmarks. So, with existing schools, we will be able to do a much better job of working on negotiating the performance measures that these schools will have to meet.

The new school, however, doesn't have anything. And so the Charter Schools Division has developed what we call a "preliminary contract" that would serve as a placeholder until the school was able to -- to either get some data that we could use as a means of negotiation, or we determine a process that would incorporate the fact that it's a new school.

And so the preliminary contract draft is included in your packets. And the preliminary contract essentially is the Planning Year Checklist. Schools that are new and approved by the Public



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Education Commission are required to go through a Planning Year Checklist. Then they must demonstrate that they are ready to commence operations and receive approval from the Commission to begin their school.

And so the preliminary contract is essentially -- what we've done in the past for all new schools is that they've agreed that they -- as one of the conditions for them to open, is they have to complete the Planning Year Checklist and meet the conditions that are set by the Public Education Commission. So this preliminary contract would then stipulate those measures, much as we've done in the past. We just didn't have a separate contract. It was just part of the resolution that was approved by the Commission.

And so we want to ask for assistance from legal counsel on negotiating this particular contract and any contracts that are coming forward. And we need to -- we need to have a process. Are Commissioners involved? Is it the full Commission? Is it a committee? Is it the legal counsel for the Commission, the CSD, and the school? Who are the players that are going to actually be at the table? And so we're trying to put together that process and



what that looks like.

And so what I'm proposing is that we do an extension for this preliminary contract so that we can indeed get these legal issues ironed out, insure that we're meeting the requirements of the law, and insure that the school has adequate means to fulfill the terms of their contract. And so the request that is on here, the motion that is on here is that we extend this contract deadline for the school prior to the December meeting that we have set up already.

And so that's -- that's kind of where we're sitting right now. I open that up to discussion and process. Health Leadership was unable to be at the meeting today, and they have -- they've verbally agreed that they would accept an extension to ensure that the process is thorough and fair.

THE CHAIR: Any comments from Commissioners, recommendations?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Kelly, we did not discuss this yesterday in our meeting, and I've read that preliminary draft, but I don't remember. Are





you going to include -- if we impose conditions on 1 2 these new applicants, are you going to put them in 3 this preliminary contract, or are you going to wait 4 until a more formal contract is done? 5 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Bergman, the conditions that were set forth in the 6 7 resolution would become part of this preliminary 8 contract, as well as the final contract. 9 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair? 11 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Lopez, and then 12 Commissioner Shearman. 13 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: It seems very 14 sensible to me, to -- two things: To take time to 15 figure it out, and, also, that there be some way of 16 measuring the checklist year. So I like the idea a 17 lot. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman? 18 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. 20 we -- let me just clarify for myself. Are we voting 21 on the extension of time to get this preliminary 22 contract completed? And are we also voting on the 23 preliminary contract itself? Two votes? One --24 help me understand here.



MS. CALLAHAN:

Mr. Chair, Commissioner

Shearman, the vote that's on the table today is just 1 2 for the extension. We need to review the contract 3 and go over the -- before that can be approved, to 4 insure legal counsel and everybody has an opportunity to -- to make sure that we fulfill the 5 6 requirements of the law. 7 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Now, is 8 that something else we're going to do today or at 9 another date? 10 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 11 Shearman, I'm going to -- I'm hoping that's something that we can determine. Do we need to set 12 13 a committee? Do we need to set -- I'm looking for 14 some guidance on how we need to proceed. 15 what -- who's involved is -- you know, the law does 16 not stipulate who's -- who needs to be the 17 negotiat- -- it just says that it will be 18 negotiated. So, between the authorizer and the 19 school.

But now we're at the crossroads where we have to make those decisions, and so I'm looking for some guidance from the Public Ed Commission, from legal counsel, as to how we proceed, and then looking to implement that plan.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: (Indicates.)



20

21

22

23

24



THE CHAIR: So, in essence, some of the 1 2 requirements of SB 446, they cannot come to fruition until the school is already up and running? 3 Mr. Chair, that's correct. 4 MS. CALLAHAN: 5 THE CHAIR: So we're just -- at best we 6 kick a can down the road, I'm guessing. 7 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, I --8 THE CHAIR: For lack of better 9 terminology. 10 MS. CALLAHAN: For lack of a better 11 response, I concur. THE CHAIR: And then it also brings 12 13 what -- the question of how do we take care of this 14 before -- or by December 14, what meetings are had, what quorums are voided, or what full meetings are 15 16 called between now and December 14, to figure this 17 out. MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, that's correct. 18 19 THE CHAIR: So I notice something else on 20 the agenda, and it kind of speaks to this, because I was hoping to -- at first glance, I'm thinking of 21 22 having Commissioner Bergman, who chairs the Charter 23 School Committee, to take care of this, because then 24 you don't have a quorum; you have the committee 25 working with our legal counsel. I don't know



where -- where Mr. Vigil's contract stands, but he's been working awful hard for us for what seems to be a paltry amount. So that needs to be figured out with the Deputy Secretary.

Perhaps -- perhaps this discussion can be moved under Item No. 12 -- not moved, but had -- this discussion could be had under Item No. 12 with the PEC Comments to figure -- figure out some of those details, because this is now.

MS. CALLAHAN: Right. Right.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So there's a proposed motion under Item No. 9. Are there any more questions? Are there any other questions by Commissioners? Commissioner Bergman, comment?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chairman, I -this is partially some of what we discussed
yesterday in our meeting, and I am certainly
amenable -- because I am retired, I do have time,
probably, as always, to travel, since I live in
Roswell. And the expenses -- as long as I'm going
to be reimbursed for expenses, I'm more than happy.
We talked about how we might do that. So I am
certainly amenable to that this might be the time I
should make -- I did ask Kelly yesterday that there
was some discussion of how these negotiations are



going to go on. And I indicated -- and I'm just speaking for myself -- that -- but I thought my fellow Commissioners would at least like to know when negotiations are actively going on, and, if any PEC Commissioner wanted to attend those, that they be a part of the invited group.

So I think that's going to be something that the CSD is going to be amenable to. I just want to let everybody know, if you want to actually sit in on these contract negotiations -- and they may take days. They may -- that's why 30 days were allowed. It's not something that's going to be accomplished in a couple of hours. But I did want to be sure that we at least had a place at the table, someone from our group, if we wanted it. So that was discussed yesterday also.

THE CHAIR: So we'll continue that discussion under Item No. 12 with comments from Commissioners. I see an omission that we didn't actually -- we didn't actually put in the agenda, that the -- the committee reports. And that was probably all of us just -- just glancing over it and not officially entering that in the agenda. So I apologize. I own that.

Any other questions or comments from





1	Commissioners? If not, the Chair will entertain a
2	motion on this on this timed application here.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair?
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I move to approve
6	extending the new charter school contract
7	negotiations deadline with Health Leadership High
8	School for no later or actually, no longer
9	than December 14, 2012.
10	THE CHAIR: We have a motion.
11	COMMISSIONER CARR: Second.
12	THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner Carr.
13	We will proceed with a roll-call vote through
14	Commissioner Shearman.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
16	Lopez.
17	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
19	Bergman.
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
22	Canfield.
23	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
25	Peralta.



1	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner Carr.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
5	Pogna.
6	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
8	Garrison.
9	THE CHAIR: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Commissioner
11	Shearman votes yes. Mr. Chair, that's eight
12	affirmative, zero opposed.
13	THE CHAIR: There is unanimous approval
14	extending the new charter school contract
15	negotiations deadline with Health Leadership High
16	School for no longer than December 14, 2012.
17	MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Kelly. We have
19	some we have some paperwork to sign. So I'm
20	going to call a 15-minute recess to knock that out.
21	And then we'll return with item No. 11, PED Charter
22	School Division Report. We're in recess.
23	Board of Finance for Health Leadership
24	High School will be the Item No. 10 that we come
25	back to.



(Recess taken, 9:30 a.m. to 9:45 a.m.)

THE CHAIR: The meeting of the Public Education Commission is back in session. We are on Agenda Item No. 10, vote on approval of Board of Finance for Health Leadership High School. The documents submitted in -- I'm assuming they're in our folders.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, the Health
Leadership High School did not have the two required
documents to have a full packet. According to
statute, and regulation, they have 90 days from
their approval, and so they requested to be on the
December agenda for approval of Board of Finance.
It's sort of a moot point, because there's no money
that they are able to get because of the federal
grant not being available this year. The time
intensity that we've had in the past has been
because they had to spend their federal grant money
in order to get their start-up funds.

There's none of those available. And so they wanted to get their Certificate -- they needed to get their Certificate of Insurance that bonds their business manager. They didn't have that yet, and one other document. And they didn't want to submit an incomplete packet.



THE CHAIR: So the Chair will table the 1 2 vote on approval of Board of Finance unless --3 Mr. Vigil, we don't need to take a vote on that; 4 right? 5 MR. RAMON VIGIL: You can vote to approve 6 their request for extension. 7 THE CHAIR: The Chair will entertain a 8 motion. 9 COMMISSIONER PERALTA: So moved. 10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second. 11 THE CHAIR: So moved by Commissioner 12 Peralta, seconded by Commissioner Bergman. All 13 those in favor, say "Aye." 14 (Commissioners so indicate.) Those in dissension? 15 THE CHAIR: 16 none, we are granting an extension for the vote on 17 approval for the Board of Finance for Health 18 Leadership High School. 19 Item No. 11 is the PED Charter School 20 Division Report. The Charter School Division will 21 give a report on the current status of the Charter 22 Schools Division. Topics will include New America 23 School, charter renewal status, new charter 24 application appeals, Senate Bill 446 implementation 25 update, the report on the National Association of



Charter School Authorizers national conference, and 2 the Charter School Division's staffing update.

There will be an opportunity for Public Education Commissioners to ask questions. here's a note. The Acting Director of CSD, which is General Manager Kelly Callahan, is still in the process of compiling the information for the report. The PED will receive a draft copy by Wednesday, October -- so this is outdated here.

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. The report that you just received this morning, I've -- we just finished it yesterday afternoon.

THE CHAIR: So please disregard that note. Kelly, the floor is yours.

MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. The request was made by the Executive Committee of the PEC that the Charter School Division do a report for the Commission. And so that -- there really isn't a format that was discussed, so that may be something that we can talk about later. But the -- so what I did is I made a list of topics based on requests from the executive committee and -- and am just going to do sort of an And I'm not sure what -- what we want in update. the future, if we want a formalized report,



1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

something that we can put together. I'd be happy to work with the Executive Committee to determine what that's going to look like.

So, right now, it's just -- the report that you receive, the written report, is based on New America School, and then I just have verbal updates on the rest. If I may proceed?

THE CHAIR: Please proceed.

MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you. Mr. Chair,
Commissioners, you have in front of you a report
about New America School. And if I may, I'm just
going to go ahead and read what's on here so it can
get into the record. This is the report from the
Charter Schools Division on that school.

The background. There was a series of allegations that were recently leveled against the governing council of New America School in New Mexico, which is located in Albuquerque, and named officers of the New America Schools, who are serving as consultants to that governing council, by the former head administrator of the school.

These allegations were submitted to the Public Education Commission in July and August of this year. The Commission requested the Charter Schools Division to conduct a preliminary review of



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the allegations and of any subsequent actions that may have been taken to address them, and provide a report of its findings.

The allegations consist mostly of confidential personnel matters between the former head administrator and the school's governing council. Other non-personnel-related allegations suggest possible violations of the State's Open Meetings Act and the governing council's conflict-of-interest policy, noncompliance with the State's required use of the House evaluation process, the lack of a 2011-'12 employment contract for the school's head administrator, sexual harrassment, and the lack of authority of the New America School superintendent to review the professional performance of the head administrator.

In conducting the request to review, the Charter Schools Division was directed to contact the legal counsel for the New America School-New Mexico for background information and responses to the allegations.

The sequence of events. In June of 2012, the superintendent of the New America

School-New Mexico conducted the professional review of the school's head administrator. As the





governing council met to consider the performance evaluation, the head administrator raised the charges that are substantively covered in the complaints that were submitted to the Public Education Commission.

The school's governing council then hired both an independent lawyer with significant experience in employment law, and a forensic accountant from the University of New Mexico to investigate the allegations. These formal investigations have only recently concluded, with some of the findings already being reported to the relevant Public Education Department divisions and bureaus. Investigations exonerate the actions and positions taken by the New America School-New Mexico's governing council and confirm that the school is operating in compliance with the law and its charter.

The outline of the responses to the non-personnel-related complaints submitted to the Public Education Commission: The New America School officers that are serving as advisers to the school are operating under a legal service contract with the governing council. The New America School superintendent holds a valid New Mexico



administrator license and is, by charter, explicitly expected to review the professional performance of the head administrator. The school has an approved waiver to use a professional review process other than the State's House process.

The sexual harassment charge was found by the independent investigators to be without merit. The head administrator was working under a signed contract for the 2011-'12 school year. The governing council has not violated the Open Meetings Act or its conflict-of-interest policy. Concerns raised about New America School-Las Cruces need to be addressed to their governing board as separate issues.

Conclusion: The Charter Schools Division is satisfied that the New America School's governing council has acted appropriately. The personnel issues raised among the allegations remain a confidential matter between the former head administrator of the school and the school's governing council.

And that completes the report.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Callahan. Were you going to give verbal on the other items?

MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.





1 THE CHAIR: Okay. Were there any 2 questions on the report, as read, on New America School? 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair? 4 5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr. COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah. 6 Is there any --7 is this -- is there any sign that whoever -- or the 8 person bringing this complaint or issues forward, 9 that they're going to take it any further? Are they 10 going to take it to the Attorney General's Office or 11 anyplace else, or -- because when you talk about 12 these -- when you talk about sexual harassment, they 13 can go all the way to a federal level. 14 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and Commissioner 15 Carr, there has not been any communication with the 16 former employee by the school or to the CSD, not 17 that I know of. 18 COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. All right. 19 THE CHAIR: Any other questions? 20 Ms. Callahan, please proceed. 21 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, 22 the charter renewal status that we have right now --23 just to let the Commissioners know, that we received 24 seven charter renewal requests to the Public 25 Education Commission. Five are renewing that are



existing Public Education Commission schools, and two are from school districts that are requesting to move to the Public Education Commission as authorizers.

And so we have the seven. They are posted on the Web site. I'm hoping that all the Commissioners received the CD of the applications and their -- their background documentation for your review. Part of what today's training is going to be is to kind of walk through what's going to be happening next from the Charter Schools Division in terms of the review process. So I'm going to go into that in a lot more detail.

But just so that we have on the record that there are seven schools that are up for renewal to the Public Education Commission. And -- and we will go over that process, and the determination of approval will be at the December 14 and 15 meetings, which I believe are in Santa Fe. I believe the meeting is in Santa Fe. Any questions about that? And as I said we're going to go into more detail.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman?

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Just out of curiosity, are there any schools that are renewing with their districts, or is seven the total number

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	in the state?
2	MS. CALLAHAN: Commissioner Shearman,
3	Mr. Chair the number is seven to the PEC. I'm going
4	to actually defer I know APS has a couple of
5	renewals if I may, to Mr. Tolley?
6	MR. TOLLEY: Mr. Chairman, members of the
7	Commission, we have two full renewals after their
8	five-year term. And then we have two that were
9	given a one-year period from last year that will
L 0	come up for an extension.
L1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
L 2	MS. CALLAHAN: Those are the ones that
L 3	we're aware of. And I don't believe there was any
L 4	other district ones that were due at this time.
L 5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
L 6	THE CHAIR: Just real quick, I want to
L 7	recognize my good friend, Eddie Soto, who is the
L 8	Assistant Superintendent with the Albuquerque Public
L 9	Schools. Good morning.
20	MR. SOTO: Good morning, members of the
21	Commission.
22	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman?
23	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna?
24	COMMISSIONER POGNA: I want to thank you
25	very much for the CDs. That is extremely helpful.



MS. CALLAHAN: You're welcome. 1 2 COMMISSIONER POGNA: I need all the help I 3 can get. Thank you. I'm trying to be as 4 MS. CALLAHAN: 5 responsive as possible to the different needs of technology. We understand that. And, Mr. Chair, if 6 7 I may, I just want to recognize that the Charter 8 Schools Division staff is doing a fantastic job in 9 gathering the information and data that you have. 10 We have an incredible team. And I know I've said it 11 before, but I don't think you can ever compliment a 12 team as good as we have enough. And so I do want to 13 get that on the record, that they are working 14 extremely hard and thoroughly to give you the best review information, which we'll go over in the 15 16 training. So you'll see, actually, the instruments 17 we're utilizing. COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair? 18 19 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Canfield. Please 20 use the microphone. 21 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: What are the two 22 school districts you said -- is it two school 23 districts where someone is trying to transition out? 24 And what are those school districts? 25 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner



Canfield, the school districts are Albuquerque Public Schools and Gadsden.

THE CHAIR: Please proceed.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, a status report on the appeals from the new applications that were reviewed in this past summer and addressed at the meeting in September. As of today, we have received appeals from Health Sciences Academy in Deming, New Mexico Connections Academy from Santa Fe, and Taos International Schools. The schools have until -- or the applicants have until November 5 -- the deadline was actually the 4th, but that falls on a Sunday. So they have until the 5th. So we may receive a few more. But this is as of yesterday afternoon.

The next item that I wanted to discuss is just an SB 446 update of implementation and where we are. The Charter Schools Division is holding public input meetings for gathering feedback on the performance frameworks and the contract. And we actually have held one in Las Vegas that was attended by West Las Vegas and their charter school, and Santa Fe, and several of their schools who came to that. And we received -- they received sort of an overview training and then were able to provide



some public input. So we're gathering information.

The New Mexico Charter Schools conference is tomorrow and Saturday. We have -- the Charter School Division has two two-hour sessions. We have one tomorrow and one on Saturday. And the sessions are going to be, again, just sort of an overview, bringing everybody up to speed, and then an opportunity for feedback on the frameworks and the contracts.

Just so you are aware, the -- all of the charter schools and authorizers in the state have received the draft copies of the frameworks and the contract. We sent them certified mail. So we wanted to make sure everybody had a CD of the documents. We also have them posted on the Web site and on the PEC Web site. And so anybody who's interested, it's completely transparent and open. Everybody has an opportunity.

And the meetings tomorrow will give the schools, authorizers, governing boards, whoever is attending, a chance to provide the feedback. And what our intent, then, is, after this weekend -- and then we have a Las Cruces meeting that we've set up for the 15th -- the 14th and 15th of November, that will gather information from southern schools. We



have one additional Albuquerque meeting for those that didn't attend the conference that will take place on the 27th of November.

So we -- I think we've really made an extraordinary effort to get as much feedback as possible regarding these documents. And what we'll then do is we will put everything together and send the draft that comes from those feedback documents and get it together so you can review it prior to the December meeting. So, hopefully, we can move forward in final approval.

And then the work begins. Then it's the actual, how do you -- how do you make that part of the school? And so when we go into the renewal contract negotiations, those performance frameworks, those targets are going to be what needs to be negotiated.

So it's -- it's a very, very comprehensive process, and we're trying to make sure that we keep everybody involved along the way. And once we have final approval, we do those contract negotiations. Then we will do the assessment tools of how we're going to evaluate the schools, because SB 446 requires that authorizers visit each one of their schools. In the past, it was -- that was not a



requirement. We did usually a third of the schools 1 2 for the Public Education Commission. Now we have to do all 53. So it's a significant increase in work. 3 We do want to invite the Commissioners to 4 5 be a part of these meetings. I know that some of you are attending the conference this weekend at 6 7 this hotel. Please come to the input meetings. I 8 think it's a great dialogue that will take place, 9 and spirited, very spirited. And -- but it's all 10 I think it needs -- we want to thoroughly 11 review everything that's happening, because it is a 12 high-stakes decision that is going to be made 13 regarding contracts for authorizers and for schools. 14 So that's where we are. Anybody have 15 questions about just where we are on the SB 446 16 process? Commissioner Canfield? 17 THE CHAIR: COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: 18 Mine's a little --19 I guess, before that, you talked about the sessions 20 here in the next couple of days? What times were 21 those? Do you know? 22 Mr. Chair, Commissioner MS. CALLAHAN: 23 Canfield, the session tomorrow is in the afternoon. 24 I believe it's at 1:00.



MR. TOLLEY:

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949



Thirty.

1 MS. CALLAHAN: 1:30? Thank you. Oh, I 2 didn't bring that up. So 1:30 to about 3:20. And 3 then 9:00 -- Judy? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 9:00 to 10:50. 4 5 MS. CALLAHAN: 9:00 to 10:50 for tomorrow 6 morning -- or Saturday morning. So we have two 7 sessions that are going to be facilitated by the Charter Schools Division. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: And the same 10 content both times? 11 THE CHAIR: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 12 Shearman, yes. 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: I wanted to go back to the --15 to the renewals, because this takes me back to -- I 16 believe it was 2010, when the Ralph Bunche Charter 17 School, they wanted to go from being -- being 18 authorized by the Local Education Agency -- in this 19 instance, it was Albuquerque Public Schools -- and 20 they wanted to be renewed by -- at the State level. 21 So they came to the Public Education 22 Commission, but we denied the renewal, and it was 23 subsequently overturned by the Secretary of 24 Education. 25 So what is that process? Is it different



from LEA to LEA, in the sense that a charter 1 2 school -- do they disassociate themselves from the leg- -- not the legislative -- the Local Education 3 4 Agency and then try to get authorized by the other 5 body? Or, what I recall from Albuquerque Public Schools is that they would have probably renewed 6 7 them at the time if they decided to go with APS. 8 But they -- they, in fact, chose to go to the PEC. 9 So it just kind of brings that school 10 closure situation to mind, because it just seems to 11 me, at the executive level, it turns into a 12 political decision, "Well, I want to keep votes, so 13 we don't want to send these poor children away and 14 close their school, " versus the State level says --15 says, "No." 16 Can they go back to the LEA and 17 renegotiate, or how does that work? Or is that 18 really not -- we haven't crossed those bridges. 19 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, 20 the law allows for the charter school to make a 21 choice --22 THE CHAIR: Right. 23 MS. CALLAHAN: -- as to which agency they 24 wish to authorize with. However, it doesn't -- it 25 does not allow for -- well, somebody said, "No."



I'm now going to take it back to somebody -- it's an all-or-nothing proposition. They have to -- they have to -- if they're committing to the Public Education Commission, they have to commit to that process and go through appeals that -- which is what happened. Just to -- to maybe kind of filter a couple of things out of your question.

The SB 446, being a part the renewal process now, meaning that the elements of the performance framework, elements of -- the academic performance, all of those are very tight in SB 446, where, in the past, it was very nebulous about, you know, what is a minimum education standard; what does -- how does that apply; how does that work?

You know, I'm not saying it's going to be easy, because this -- these schools have been under a previous statute. I mean, their charter was written prior to SB 44. However, they're being reviewed post 446. And so this is going to be a -- an interesting process to look at in terms of academic performance.

What -- one of the things that we do request is that schools that are coming from the districts, we get reports from the district. So there will be current -- as much as current

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



information as we possibly can get, so we can get an informed decision regarding recommendations to the Commission. And so we're sort of in this No Man's Land right now, because these folks wrote their charters prior to the -- the more structured goal-writing process that we're instituting.

And Shelly Cherrin is here, has been working with schools on really tightening up their goal writing, because there are specific benchmarks that they have to go after. And so they -- those charters were written prior to these new standards. And so we're going to have to really examine looking at performance, looking at the -- the outcomes that the school has received over the last four years. And we're doing our best to gather that information so you can make the best informed decision about renewal or not to renew.

And that -- the closure issue is a big part of that, is -- is -- SB 446, you know, is written with the intent that the quality of charter schools needs to be assessed. And if the quality is not up to the measures that are placed into these contracts, then they're not going to be renewed. But, again, we're running under two different systems that we're going to have to address. And I



imagine legal counsel is going to have to get involved and those kinds of things.

But my hope, as a staff to an authorizer and working with NACSA and -- that we can develop these systems that are in place that fairly assess, but also fairly allow for corrective action of schools, so that we can -- we'll know well in advance if a school is in trouble. And then we can put in structures for them to improve; and, if not, then we have clear documentation that they probably should not continue as a school.

THE CHAIR: It brings me to the Public Education Commission's Legislative Education Study Committee report, in that we made a decision, it was overturned, and the Secretary of Education indeed said this, that the Legislature has not defined "minimum education standards," that Adequate Yearly Progress was a vestige from another time. That was quote, unquote. And so do we have minimum education standards, and are they defined? Yes we're using A Yes, we got waivers. through F. But is a D closure, or is an F closure? And it has not been defined.

And it seems that the Secretary of
Education has thrown the ball into the court of the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

legislators saying they haven't defined "minimum education standards." Yet, in law, one of the four reasons that we can close a school -- the Public Education Commission can indeed close a school -- is that they don't meet minimum education standards here in New Mexico.

So I think we've created a mess, and I don't think SB 446 makes anything tighter until that is addressed.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, I agree. The "minimum education standards" language in the law, you know, has not been -- nobody has said it's, you know, two years of an F grade or two years of not meeting Adequate Yearly Progress.

That's not been defined. The hope that, with SB 446, is that at least there are some tighter outcomes that really give you a picture of the school that -- that look at -- at the amount of success that the school is finding.

And in the frameworks, what we've been working on is that there's a rubric, that there is -- you know, a school that makes a C right now is considered not meeting standards. And so by putting -- by putting some -- some, you know, kind of stop points in there, we're -- by our work, we're



putting together a standard that, hopefully, we'll be able to measure how a school is performing, and you can make an informed decision as to renewal or nonrenewal.

That's the -- that's -- so reviewing those performance framework rubrics actually ties levels of performance to meeting standard or not meeting the standard, and then it's up to the authorizer.

And we're still working on developing what is -- you know, so three "Doesn't meet standards" is a nonrenewal. But those are concerns that we have to discuss. And we're in mid-implementation. I don't have any answers right now. But we're trying to tighten what a minimum education standard looks like.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.

with Chairman Andrew -- that we -- it isn't very clear in regards to what the Secretary had decided before. However, with the new grading system and the charter laws exist, what I understand -- tell me if I'm wrong -- if a school gets a C, that's right in the middle; right? I mean -- and according to the charter law, the





charter school needs to at least be there or above.

I would like to see it above, of course.

But as it is now -- and, to me, the standard could be just above C, whatever that would be, if you could -- you could actually figure that out in the numbers. But -- and then over a period of time, you know, did it average out to that? You could look at our -- you can look at -- you know, or is it going the other direction, you know? Did they start out with an A, but now they're a D or something, you know? So -- 'cause we do measure improvement for students and things like that in testing.

There was a -- the -- in going back to pre-446 and to the present, I would think, you know, a school that was under the old law, that you would definitely have to make a judgment based on the standards that they were supposed to meet at the time. But then I would think that they would also have to come up to the new law for the future, just like a new school would -- or does. Does that make sense?

THE CHAIR: I think we're at the point of speculating. And I don't know that it's questions for Kelly Callahan. It's questions for the





Legislature. It's questions for the Governor, the 1 2 Executive order. And it's frustrating. And you probably sense my frustration, your frustration, and 3 the frustration of the full Public Education 4 5 Commission that something needs to be tied down But, Kelly, if you don't have any comments 6 there. 7 on that -- and I don't expect any -- would be a 8 report on the NACSA national conference? 9 MS. CALLAHAN: And, Mr. Chair, 10 Commissioner Carr, actually, just that kind of segues perfectly into what the NACSA conference --11 12 the National Association of Charter School 13 Authorizers held a national conference in Memphis 14 last week. Commissioner Shearman, myself, and 15 Shelly Cherrin came -- attended the conference. was approximately 400 different authorizers. 16 Also, 17 Mr. Tolley and Mr. Romero from APS attended as well. And I think the prevailing message that 18 19 came out -- and Commissioner Shearman, please add 20 anything I missed. But the prevailing movement in terms of authorizer practices is about upping that 21 22 level of quality, and that the bargain of autonomy 23 and accountability is just that. There needs to be



quality for these schools.

24

25



a higher level of accountability and a high level of

And that is the -- that is the movement that NACSA is supporting. In addition to supporting quality charter schools, they are also in support of closing those that aren't meeting those quality standards, and which is why it's really important to have the performance frameworks identified, because it gives specific targets for schools to meet and/or exceed.

And, you know, those are the things that we're working through. And I just need to say that New Mexico is one of eleven states that is working with NACSA. We are -- we are developing the frameworks and the contracts, in conjunction with many other states that are facing very similar issues. And I -- you know, I have to say, Lisa Grover was at the charter committee meeting yesterday. And, you know, I have to reemphasize that the law -- the SB 446 law and the charter school law is the fourth strongest in the country. And we're moving, I think, toward capturing what that means.

And, you know, it's a strong law but how do you implement it? How do you enforce it? And I think we're moving forward with the development of the framework, drafts, and the contract drafts, to



put some meat and teeth into what those standards are, because the contract ultimately is where you can make those material decisions about what a school needs to do and where they need to be to be renewed or continue operating. And so I think that's the strength that we need to do. And we need to educate ourselves about these practices.

And there were great breakout sessions at the conference. I know that Shelly attended a lot about the frameworks and has really brought back some ideas that we can put into practice with our guidance tool and the tools that we're going to develop for oversight, you know, looking at virtual schools. That is a -- that was a hot-button issue that was also addressed at the conference. they're really, I think, taking a proactive stance. And New Mexico is one of eleven states that is moving forward.

And I have to say we're at -- we've progressed faster -- the -- we started in April, March and April. And we're almost to the point of implementation, where many states are still -- we're caught up now to where states are in the implementation process.

> So I think we're doing tremendous work. Ι



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949



want us to make sure that we're doing quality work. If we expect quality schools, I want our tools and our documents to be quality. And so, again, the team is working very thoroughly with the constituents to insure that it's fair and that it is -- that it is representative of what the charter schools are doing in terms of performance.

And so I think the NACSA conference -and, Commissioner Shearman, you want to add
anything? I think it really validated the work that
we're doing. But we -- it also created a little bit
of a lump in my stomach, because we have a lot of
work to do in a short amount of time.

So -- but I have great faith in the team and the work that we're doing together with the PEC, that we're going to come up with a strong document and hopefully be number one, the law. Commissioner Shearman, anything else that you wanted to share with the -- in terms of the conference?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman will actually be reporting out in Item No. 12. But you are always welcome to make comments.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I just wanted to say one thing. Kelly and Shelly were great company at the conference.





1 MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.

2 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I got to know them 3 much better. It made it a great experience. was a tremendous conference, the first time I have 4 5 attended. And I would encourage other 6 Commissioners, as they are able, and as we have the 7 money, to provide that experience. It truly was 8 marvelous. I do have some things to say and I 9 brought some information to share with other 10 Commissioners. So I'll wait a little bit to bring 11 that up.

> MS. CALLAHAN: Okay. Thank you.

13 Thank you, Commissioner THE CHAIR:

14 Shearman. Ms. Callahan, any other items to report

15 out?

(505) 989-4949

12

MS. CALLAHAN: Just the Charter School 16

17 Division staffing update. Again, I did this

18 Executive Summary. There's been some changes that

19 Deputy Secretary Aguilar is going to talk about.

20 You all received a letter regarding my change in

21 status and responsibility. And I just wanted to say

22 that I'm resuming my duties as General Manager of

Charter Schools and will continue to work with the 23

24 Commission, I imagine, in many ways, since the work

25 that we're doing is about performance management and





authorizing practices.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And so that a great deal of my responsibility is working with schools, working with 3 the education administrators on the charter school 4 5 team, to insure that the schools are providing 6 quality programs for the children of New Mexico. 7 And I'm very honored to be a part of that Public 8 Education Department Charter Schools Division team, 9 and I hope to continue for a very long time 10 assisting the schools that are helping students and 11 parents have options for education. So other than 12 that, we were -- Deputy Secretary Aquilar will fill 13 in this afternoon. 14 THE CHAIR: When does the new selection

THE CHAIR: When does the new selection start? I forget the gentleman's name.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and Commissioners, the gentleman -- the new Director for the Options for Parents is Tony Gerlicz. And he started on Monday, this past Monday.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I was hoping to meet him today. When can we expect to meet him? Not until December?

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, he is accompanying Deputy Secretary Aguilar this afternoon.

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



THE CHAIR: Oh, excellent. Excellent. 1 2 Look forward to meeting him. 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I just want to say thank you to Kelly for stepping in and doing such a 4 5 tremendous job. I don't think we missed a beat. 6 And maybe we stepped ahead a few paces, maybe a 7 bunch of paces. But you've been great to work with. 8 We've asked you for things that we've never gotten 9 And it's made our jobs easier, and I think 10 we've done better because of your -- your help and 11 your leadership. And I just want you to know how 12 much we appreciate you. 13 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Here, here. 14 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Second. 15 MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you very much. 16 (Applause.) 17 THE CHAIR: Any other items? 18 MS. CALLAHAN: That concludes my report, 19 Mr. Chair. 20 THE CHAIR: And the full Commission echos 21 those remarks. We really appreciate you. 22 gone to another level under your guidance. 23 MS. CALLAHAN: I appreciate that. Mr. Chair, Commissioners. It's been an honor. 24 25 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Item No. 12 on the



agenda is PEC Comments. But before I move to that, 1 2 Kelly, let me ask you a quick question. No. 13, what is your projection -- I'm trying to 3 figure out the timing for this afternoon with Deputy 4 5 Secretary arriving. What are we looking at with Item No. 13? 6 7 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, the Item 13 is 8 the renewal training. And an hour, probably 9 90 minutes tops. It's not a very -- we're going to 10 walk through some documents, just so you can see what it is that -- that we need to -- it's not an 11 12 extensive training. But we wanted everyone to be 13 familiar with the documents that you'll be receiving 14 in a couple of weeks. So I'm going to say an hour, 15 an hour and a half at the most. 16 THE CHAIR: So with that said, Item No. 7, 17 which we are moving around as the Public Education 18 Department Secretary Designate report -- and Paul 19 Aguilar, you had mentioned 1:00 is his arrival? 20 is that still -- is it estimated still? 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: 1:00. 22 THE CHAIR: So 1:00, he should be back. 23 So that's after lunch. I'm just trying to figure 24 out -- we're also expecting Commissioner Gant to 25 attend at some point. But we've moved pretty



aggressively through the agenda.

So Item No. 12 is the calendar of meetings. Although I would propose that we -- that we table that as far as voting on it until the December meeting. I want to thank Beverly Friedman for providing that calendar and the important dates that -- well, again, and Kelly also -- the important dates that need to be considered when calendaring that out.

And then the NASBE and NACSA, I would love for Commissioner Gant to hear those, too. But -- what do you all think? Any recommendations? The floor is open. We have to wait till 1:00 for Deputy Secretary Aguilar. But do we want to go through Item 12 and then just have that report and Item 13 being the training? Or do we want to save 12 also? Commissioner Canfield?

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: It would be my -- Mr. Chair, to proceed with the agenda and try and get those things cleaned up so we can get back to work this afternoon.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I agree.

COMMISSIONER CARR: I agree, too.

THE CHAIR: Let's continue. Item No. 12,

letter "a" is the 2013 Public Education Commission





1	Calendar of Meetings. If you'll look in your books,
2	there is a there is a calendar. There is
3	important dates.
4	On January 8th, 2013, letters of intent
5	are due for new charter schools. Seems like it
6	never stops.
7	On February 1, 2013, renewal contracts are
8	due.
9	On 7/1, July 1, 2013, new charter school
L 0	applications are due.
L1	August 12, 2013, community input hearings
L 2	will be conducted throughout the state. That
L 3	usually takes a week.
L 4	September 19 and 20 of 2013, Public
L 5	Education Commission meeting for approval or denial
L 6	of new charter schools, decision-making meeting.
L 7	Next October 1 is renewal applications are
L 8	due.
L 9	And next November 4th, new charter school
20	contracts are due.
21	And then next December would be on the
22	12th and 13th, our decision meeting to approve or
23	deny renewal applications.
24	And so, Beverly, are these highlighted



dates just -- just your best stab at it as far as

looking at those dates, or Kelly Callahan's stab at 1 it? 2 They're Kelly's 3 MS. FRIEDMAN: recommendation -- they're Kelly's dates. 4 And then 5 the meetings would surround those dates. 6 THE CHAIR: Oh, got you. Okay. Okay. 7 the highlighted dates reflect those important dates. 8 But that wouldn't necessarily be the meeting dates. 9 MS. CALLAHAN: Correct. 10 THE CHAIR: So that's for all of us to 11 decide. And I do recommend that we -- we vote on the calendar dates in the December meeting. 12 So the 13 Chair will entertain a motion to postpone the vote. 14 Commissioner Shearman? 15 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I so move. 16 THE CHAIR: Moved by Commissioner 17 Shearman. 18 COMMISSIONER CARR: Second. 19 THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner Carr. 20 All those in favor, say "Aye." 21 (Commissioners so indicate.) 22 Opposed, "No." We will vote THE CHAIR: on the dates for the 2013 Public Education 23 24 Commission calendar in the December meeting. 25 MS. FRIEDMAN: Chairman Garrison?



(505) 989-4949



THE CHAIR: Beverly?

MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair, I would recommend that the Commission send their recommended meeting dates, maybe, to the Executive Committee, so that we have some dates on the calendar for the December meeting to vote on.

THE CHAIR: Let's do that. That's a great recommendation. The recommendation is for the full Public Education Commission to forward prospective dates, or dates that you prefer, to the Executive Committee. And go ahead and send those to Commissioner Gant, as Secretary, to start compiling some prospective dates so that we're not cold --walking into it cold during the December meeting. We can have something in our folders and binders with any conflicts stated from each individual Commissioner. Does that make sense?

Commissioner report on the NASBE

conference I attended, and Commissioner Gilbert

Peralta attended the National Association of State

Boards of Education in Chicago, Illinois, where I

proceeded to catch bronchitis and just finished my

Z-Pak a couple of days ago. But it was -- it was a

very informative conference. Some of the hot items

were, of course, virtual schools. And the one that



I latched onto was teacher induction.

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

When looking at teacher training, folks coming into a new system and looking at the data that this NASBE report reflected, that teachers come in and they leave the profession pretty darn quickly. And without a solid and structured induction period, where everyone is involved -- don't just throw a new teacher to the wolves -- but you can prove the likelihood of someone sticking around. And I want to go over some of this -- some of the highlights of the report. This is a NASBE -- and, again, it's National Association of State Boards of Education -- discussion guide. So it's called "Teacher Induction, Improving State Systems for Supporting New Teachers."

Here are some of the highlights here.

Researchers find it did take up to five years for a teacher to become fully proficient and able to maximize student achievement. Half a decade, folks. That's a long time.

Defining teacher induction. Teacher induction has come to mean a variety of things in supporting new teachers. From a standalone mentor who meets with a new teacher a few times a year, to comprehensive support services for new teachers,



teacher induction can vary in focus, implementation, and scope.

mentoring are two terms that are sometimes used interchangeably, given that mentoring has become the dominant form of induction support over the last 20 years. But while mentoring is an important component of an induction program, comprehensive induction is much more than pairing a new teacher with a veteran one for a specific period of time. This discussion guide uses the term "comprehensive induction" to include the following:

Multiyear support for new teachers for at least two years; high quality mentoring utilizing carefully selected and well-prepared mentors; regularly scheduled common planning time with other teachers; ongoing professional development; and standards-based evaluation of new teachers throughout the process.

The impact of teacher induction. While many view induction as a remedy for turning over -- for turnover problems in a school or district, the overarching goal of a comprehensive induction program should be developing and supporting new teachers so they are more effective and make a



smooth transition into the teaching profession.

As teachers become better at managing the challenges of being new to the field, their focus can then be turned to how to improve instruction.

Induction expedites this process. Richard Ingersoll and Michael Strong, prominent researchers in teacher induction, found that beginning teachers who participated in some kind of induction performed better at various aspects of teaching, such as keeping students on task, developing workable lesson plans, using effective student questioning practices, adjusting classroom activities to meet students' interests, maintaining a positive classroom atmosphere, and demonstrating successful classroom management.

It's interesting, because in my
experience, what I see -- when I talk to teachers
about classroom management, it becomes a very
personal thing. I've seen teachers that almost
don't even like to have interns come from the
University of New Mexico because they have a hard
time letting go of their domain. Their classroom is
their place where they are in charge. And I think
it should be the opposite, because if I personalize
something, then I'm representing myself. And so



it's very hard for a teacher to let go of ego when you're trying to manage this classroom. You're trying to keep this control over these children.

Well, there's 20 to 30 of them, as Commissioner Carr had mentioned in his statement of inspiration.

And so it does become personal. But human interaction states this. This is a law. As your ego goes up, your power and influence go down.

Because I don't represent myself as a teacher. I don't represent Mr. Garrison. I represent the school, the Local Education Agency that I work for.

And so that's -- that's an interesting paradigm shift from teachers who, yes, they're -- they're good teachers they're giving their all. But are they giving too much, because of ego, because of trying desperately to maintain that classroom control and make it their domain?

So there may be some paradigm shifts in the future. And I know there are several schools that we talked about it in -- in meetings past about school bullying. It also occurs with adults, for example, with teachers bullying kids and maintaining classroom control. But at what cost, if you kill a child's spirit and you're not loving and encouraging

them?





Experienced teachers also benefit from their participation in comprehensive induction. Educators who served as mentors refine their own teaching practices and build leadership skills through reflection on their own practices.

It's a really great give-and-take when

a -- when a qualified trained mentor takes on a new

teacher, because you're actually gleaning

information that is updated from the university

levels that come into perhaps a 25-year teacher or a

15-year teachers' practice that, "Hey, this is new

stuff that I could even implement that into my

practice."

Lower teacher turnover is one of the big components of an induction program that is comprehensive. Comprehensive induction programs are able to reduce these turnover rates by more than half for first-year teachers. We don't want to bring them in, sink or swim, and have them leave.

For example, teachers who received induction services such as common planning time with other teachers in the same subject regularly scheduled collaboration with other teachers, and, being part of an external support network of teachers, were less likely to leave the field than



teachers who participated in induction programs that did not provide these services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

So comprehensive means comprehensive. And I don't know how we can build more hours into the day with everything that administrators have to do. But I'll tell you what. If I'm not there as a leader with my sole focus being those folks that I'm in charge of, then what are we there for? So it brings to -- it brings to question, are we giving teachers support today, or are we giving just more accountability and direction and more burden for teachers to carry? True teacher support should be loving and encouraging, just like true teacher support for children should be loving and encouraging.

So to build that and to build it comprehensively is a big, big task. I had asked Kelly earlier about the -- about the -- the principles and the leadership trainings that are required. In my field, which is partly corrections, the American Correctional Association requires 40 hours of training annually for security personnel in order for the whole agency to receive their accreditation.

And you had mentioned 45 hours for -- for



administrators. And I'm not sure what that is for teachers. Any input from APS? Is there any required time?

MR. SOTO: Not a minimum number.

THE CHAIR: So not a minimum. So that's interesting to me in that, gosh, we're banking so much on this one teacher in the classroom of 20 to 30 children, especially at the elementary level.

It's all day long. What are we doing to make sure that that's a successful, everyday exchange? Just an aside, I'm a health and wellness professional. I was at a teachers' conference a while back. This was maybe two years ago. And I was talking about nutrition.

And this teacher told me -- she goes, "I -- that's the job of the parents. I'm here to teach them A, B, Cs and 1, 2, 3s. But that's the job of their parents." And I -- I just beg to Is it, really, solely the job of differ. Is it? the parent, when, in a 24-hour day -- the child's hopefully sleeping for eight hours. They have about eight hours, if you include commute time at the elementary level, eight hours with the teacher at the school at the environment that the teacher is That's half of their waking hours. in. That's



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

50 percent of their time. How can we dismiss our duty to love and encourage and educate during that time, because "that's not my job"?

And I -- I gave the numbers to that teacher, and she just said this: "I never thought of it that way." And so systems are responsible.

And, unfortunately, systems work in silos most of the time. So the physical educator is doing a great job. The health educator is doing a great job. But the food system for the school hasn't been touched. The discussion has not been had to improve the nutrition of the children in those schools. It's slowly changing, and it's going to have to change because childhood diabetes did not exist in the 1970s, and it's turning into an epidemic that we will have to answer to.

So I spoke with a friend of mine from

Belen -- actually, my cousin, Patsy Castillo. And
they're serving -- they're serving nutritious food
at the schools. But she said, "You know what? When
we first started" -- and she didn't give me an
update on what's happening now. But, "When we first
started it, the children hated it."

And I said, "I know why they hated it.

Because it wasn't presented in the right way. It



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wasn't given to them as an experience, just as field trips are, just as new math is introduced to children, or a new book is introduced to children. It has to be given and delivered as an experience to the child."

So at the high school level, I beg to question, are we making everything about that child's experience in the school something positive? Why can't you use your fine arts department and music department to make food exciting, for children to be involved in the preparation of it, for the food service personnel to maybe dress up as characters, depending on what -- what holiday or season that it is? There's a lot to be done. And children, they are hardwired to be inspired. But us, as teachers, we have to lead that charge.

The report goes on. To look at the global view, it's vital for state boards to have a clear vision of what comprehensive induction entails, especially given the impact it can have on teacher quality, teacher turnover, and student achievement. And it speaks to, well, if a Local Education Agency, if we don't know that there is -- well, if we know that there's no minimum requirement, what kind of policy -- what kind of public policy, what kind of



precedent are we setting for, "Hey, let's train these teachers"?

I know, in the Metropolitan Detention

Center, that 40 hours is given. That 40 hours is

developed, and it's a must, because it's in their

accreditation requirement. It is a must that they

must -- that they have to give that training to

their security personnel in order to stay

accredited. So there are different ways to fry that

fish. But they are there.

As state boards discuss induction for new teachers, it's important to consider supports beyond just providing a mentor to insure better quality -- better teacher quality, and lower turnover. They also go into cost-benefit analysis. And I have probably gone on to speak to enough tangents.

But let me close by stating a couple of examples. While 31 states require some form of mentor training, only 12 states have policies that discuss content or delivery of this training on issues such as recognizing knowledge of state teaching standards, using formative assessments, or understanding effective classroom observation.

Illinois, for instance, includes mentor training standards that require mentors to





participate in foundational training, an ongoing professional learning community that uses reflective observation and practice and a self assessment process to improve their own instructional and mentoring practices.

Rhode Island requires at least ten hours of initial mentor training that includes topics such as adult learning theory, reflective questioning, the role of the mentor in supporting new teachers, and setting expectations with a new teacher.

I think this was sent out to -- to the full Public Education Commission. If you don't have this and would like this teacher induction discussion guide, just get with me, and I can order some from -- or just request them from the National Association of State Boards of Education.

Commissioner Peralta, did you have anything else that you wanted to speak to in regards to the conference, or any comments?

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: I just want to take this opportunity to extend my appreciation and thanks to those involved in doing the coordinating in the travel and registration, like Beverly and support staff and the PED. This was my first conference as Commissioner, national conference.





And I found it to be a very qualitative and informative conference, and I enjoyed my stay there thoroughly. And I want to thank them again for giving me this opportunity.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

Peralta. I'll just end briefly. There was a session on virtual schools. And a Mike Tyson quote came out. How's that for education? So he states this -- and it was after his -- I believe it was Michael Spinks that he knocked out in, like, 90 seconds, just tore into this man. And he stated this: "Everyone has a plan until they're smacked in the face."

And I'll tell you -- and so it made me think of virtual education. Are we -- do we place it where it belongs, a wonderful tool, and wonderful tools to expand on what we -- what we offer with regard to face-to-face contact? Or do we virtualize our -- the whole child's world and make them limited in their ability to smile, make eye contact, give a good handshake, and have all those soft skills that they're going to need that can only be obtained through true human interaction.

And the speakers -- actually, there was a whole -- there was a study group; there was a panel



that spoke to it. And it was that -- that it's a 1 2 tool. Five years ago, I wasn't buying -- I was not 3 buying an app. When the iPhone came out -- it's 4 only been five years, for example, that all of a 5 sudden our phones are filled with all of these apps. I will concede that half of those are for my 6 7 three-year-old daughter. That's scary, in and of 8 itself, that she knows how to work an iPad. 9 they just -- are they trends? 10 Yeah, technology changes that quick. What's next? And do we shift this foundation of 11 12 education to chase each one of these trends? Or do 13 we put them in their proper place? I should say 14 that's my personal opinion. What I believe is their proper place is to use them as tools to assist in 15 16 educating the whole child. 17 Commissioner Report on the National Association of Charter School Authorizers 18 19 conference. Vice Chair Shearman. 20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. You've heard a lot about the conference already. Kelly 21 22 gave you a nice overview of it. As I said, it was

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501

23

24

25

119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



The themes were

very successful, I thought, very well done.

authorizer accountability and quality, close

I agree with Kelly.

low-performing schools. And this Commission, I 1 2 know, intends to do that. We also are very well aware that the provisions in the law that we may use 3 to deny an application are not always current or 4 5 applicable. So we're going to have to work, I believe, very closely with Kelly and the entire CSD 6 7 staff to put together these guides, performance framework, the contract, all of those documents. 8 9 We're going to have to be very, very sure that they 10 coordinate with New Mexico State law that gives us the ability to do what we know we need to do for 11 12 students. And that is to maintain our 13 high-performing schools and to close our 14 low-performing schools. 15 The NACSA conference gave one whole 16 general session to the process that St. Louis went 17 through when they closed six charter schools, 4,000 students at one time. It involved the entire 18 19 community, the mayor's office, the business 20 community, the schools. They brought in people with They did job fairs; they did school 21 expertise. 22 fairs for students to locate schools to transition



to.

23

24

25



And it was a big deal.

did it very well with a minimum of disruption.

But

They thought they

the very -- one of the very last things the presenter said -- the lady who was from the mayor's office, said, "And when school started the next year, we still had parents dropping students off at closed schools." And she said, "We did so much advertising, direct mail contact, and so forth, that we had people saying to us, 'Enough. I've heard enough from you, no more.'" And she said, "We still had parents bringing them to those closed schools."

But she said, "It was worth it. We had 4,000 students that were not getting the education they needed, and we made the decision, along with our education partners in the community, to close those schools and get those students in a better situation."

And that's what we've got to do. That's our job, too. But we've got to have the tools to do it with. So I'm looking forward to helping to develop those tools.

I also -- I went to several sessions on virtual schools. We all tried go to different sessions and cover different aspects so that we could bring back as much information as possible.

I brought back some -- some handouts or some information that they had presented. This one





is, "Key Questions for Reviewing Virtual Schools."

And, unfortunately, I wasn't able to get enough for everybody to have a copy. I only was able to grab what was on the table.

This one is "School Quality in The Cloud, Guidelines for Authorizing Virtual Charter Schools." And then the last one is "Successfully Authorizing Blended Charter Schools." And I think that's what Andrew sort of was alluding to a minute ago with a I heard a really good session on virtual education. blended, where you use the virtual content in the classroom to augment or supplement what the teacher is presenting, to give those students more practice, more intense teaching. And when they get that immediate feedback, "Yes, you did it right, move on; no, let's try that again; and here's the instruction, here's your practice; good, you did it well this time; now let's move on." And that's what they called blended learning.

I did attend a session put on primarily by the people from Colorado, who are very big in virtual education, virtual schools. And let me give you the direct quote from those folks.

"Virtual education in Colorado is very
It's not doing well with students."

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

popular.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



That is powerful to me. Kids like it; 1 2 their families like it, I suppose, for whatever We're used to computers; we like them. 3 They've been on them since the day 4 Kids love them. 5 they were born, I think. So they like the idea. But we haven't found a way yet to really give those 6 7 children that education they need in a virtual 8 environment is what they were saying. 9 So I apprec- -- again, I appreciate the 10 opportunity to go. I would love to go again. 11 encourage all Commissioners to take advantage of the 12 opportunity when you can to attend. Thank you. 13 Thank you, Commissioner THE CHAIR: Item Letter "d" is our comments from 14 Shearman. 15 Commissioners. And within Item "d," I would like to 16 get a committee report from the Charter School 17 Committee, who they actually met Wednesday, 18 October 31st, yesterday, at 3:00 p.m. And I would 19 love to hear a report out on that, Mr. Bergman. 20 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you, Chairman Garrison. And, of course, we did meet here 21 22 yesterday afternoon. Commissioners Shearman and 23 Pogna and myself were there. Ms. Callahan was 24 And I had specifically invited Lisa Grover there. 25 And I'll get into a moment why I did that. to come.



She was there.

A lot of what we discussed has already been contained in Ms. Callahan's report. So I won't go into that. There -- there is -- I share this, just with -- it's just for our information at this time. And I had not heard it till yesterday, and I don't know how many of you have heard about it. But one of your new -- and PED and CSD are already on top of this, by the way; they're already actively working on it.

One of our new charter schools, the W. W. Dorn School [verbatim] on South Broadway only enrolled five students. And State law mandates you have to have a minimum of eight to be a viable school.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Which one?

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: The W. W. Dorn, the one on South Broadway, working with that community action group. I understand they may be up to ten students now. I understand we're not involved with this at this stage. Commissioner Shearman raised the issue is, is it possible to set a higher minimum of students? But since State law specifies eight, we have no authority to contravene State law. So the Legislature is going to have to address that as



an issue. But, like I say, PED and CSD are on top of it talking about how they can make them a viable school.

And it may come to us at some point. There may be a reason to suspend them or do something like that. That's down the road. But I wanted you to be aware of it. The reason I invited Dr. Lisa Grover to come to the meeting -- this actually started with Andrew. He called me about a month ago, wanted me to look into something called the "independent charter boards." This is something that NACSA is actually appropriating at the national They want something in every state called an level. "independent charter board." It's in a little two-page document that Andrew sent to me, e-mailed And that is one of their tenets. to me.

Now, both Ms. Callahan and Commissioner

Shearman state this did not come up at this meeting at all that they just went to. It's not really -it must be way down their list of things. Some sample legislation was given to me, and I went over that. It was apparently a compilation of what exists in some states, most of whom are back East, that have something called, quote, an "independent charter board."



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We in this state already have an independent charter board. It's called the Public Education Commission. This -- as the way that NACSA is promoting it, they want to create multiple authorizers in each state. This document purported to allow mayors, city commissions, school districts, colleges, community colleges, nonprofit groups, to apply to this, quote, board, and be given the authority to authorize their own charter schools.

And I -- I would be opposed to that one.

Some want more authorizers. But right now we have nine school districts that are authorizers, or at least allowed to be -- by statute, to be authorizers if they wish to. And we, of course, have one state authorizer since summer of 2007.

Here, again, that's the Public Education

Commission. And Dr. Grover did state in our meeting
that she's not actively appropriating this
conversation. She wants to figure out a way to make
the PEC more independent, give us, I guess, more
authority to accomplish some of the things -- and
we're already working on that. The report that
Chairman Garrison presented the LESC at their last
August meeting has many -- has our recommendations
for things that we would like to see in the



legislative area. As always, there's no guarantee that it will either get introduced into the legislature or come out of the legislature if it is introduced.

But I think we already are an independent board. We could use some more independence. We could use some more authority to try to improve the quality of the education that the children of this state get. And so we already are working on that. We already have -- a majority of the charter schools in this state have already chosen to fall under our umbrella, and I think, right there, there's a vote in our favor.

And, as has been noted with all the changes that have been made, here again, through the legislature, through the work of the CSD, and through our work, as we have worked with CSD, we now have laws in place. We now have the various procedures, and we now have 446 with the contract specifications. I can't remember what organization it was has already been mentioned. We now are rated as the fourth best state in the nation in this kind -- in these areas. So we're not at the bottom of the list in at least one thing anymore. We're actually out in front leading the way.



And so we also have good procedures in place, and we continually change them. We continually amend them as needed. As Ms. Callahan noted, it's an evolving process. There's going to be some difficulties as we implement this contract process. Every new thing turns out there's always a bump in the road or a couple. And we will meet those as it occurs with -- things will be modified; they'll be changed; they'll be improved.

And that is the goal of this Commission.

It's the goal of CSD. And, here again, Dr. Grover noted that her emphasis for the alliance that she works with is improving the quality of the schools.

And that's where the emphasis really -- not in an independent charter board. It should be in how can we make every charter school in this state a higher quality school, not for us, but for the children that go to those schools. And that continues to be our emphasis, and I'm sure it will be in the future.

And I intend to continue to stay on top of this, watching this. When this other information becomes available, I'll make it known to my fellow Commissioners. But that was the emphasis, and I was glad Dr. Grover was there. I believe it's good to establish a dialogue. She gave me a couple of



fairly thick pamphlets that come from her 1 2 organization. And I, obviously, haven't had time to 3 read them. I'm going to read them when I get home. And if there's information in there that needs to be 4 5 shared, I will share that also. 6 So I intend to stay on top of that, with 7 the Chairman's approval. And as we discussed 8 earlier, I'm going to try to continue to work 9 closely with Ms. Callahan to try and stay on top of 10 these issues so we can be sure that what we're doing 11 is viable and top-notch and improves the quality of 12 the education in this state. Thank you, 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Any other comments from Commissioners? 15 Bergman. 16 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair? 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Canfield, and then Commissioner Carr. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chair. I just -- I just wanted to say a few things, that there's about a 50/50 chance I won't be 21 22 able to make the December meeting. And I think most of you know that my actual term is up. 23 And I just 24 wanted to take the opportunity to thank you, 25 Mr. Chair, for your leadership, and thank the rest



of the Commissioners for the professional way they've both treated me and each other. And it's been a pleasure serving on this Commission with all of you.

So I wish you all the best. If I don't make it to the December meeting, I wish you all the best, and I'm sure our paths will cross again some way. So thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

Canfield. We've really appreciated the business

lens that you look through when looking at the

application renewals, looking at new applications.

It's been a refreshing view that you bring to the

work of the Public Education Commission. So we

thank you also.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair, as you were going through your report, years of teaching rushed through my mind, and I couldn't help but make some comments. I appreciate what you said. I think I -- I agree, I think, almost wholeheartedly with most of what you said. I can't think of anything right now that I necessarily disagree with, but I would like



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to add some things.

What I've seen from experience -- and I think educators -- long-term educators probably concur with me, and also from reading studies and reports, countless over the years, I have seen teacher after teacher, brand new teacher, come in with -- full of excitement and smiles on their face and want to make a difference and want to do things. And I've seen principals go the same way, come and go.

But I've also seen leadership in that regards, and poor mentorship. I've seen new teachers get piled on with everything because veteran teachers, like myself, realize that we can act on this level for so long before we go crazy, insane, or do something else through our own detriment or change our professions. You know, there was a teacher in California, the movie -- that she wrote -- there was a book, and there was a movie that came out, "Freedom Writers." Do you guys remember that?

She only lasted five years. At the level of -- if anybody saw that movie, read that book, she could only -- the level that she was doing, what she was doing for those kids could only be maintained





for a certain amount of time by most human beings.

And we have to provide that kind of support, true structured mentorship. We have a mentorship program where we pay a veteran teacher to be with another teacher for the first year and -- but there's nothing really structured with it. And it's not always implemented, even at that level, in many districts. So burnout is huge.

We have -- here's the other -- and I'm going to go -- the next one is our working conditions and class size. If you saw -- you know, you saw the teachers' strike in Chicago. It was not about pay. It was about classroom size. It was about having a professional role in what happens in the classroom. You know, most people realize that if you don't have some kind of say-so, some kind of say in what goes on, you can't buy into anything, into what's going on. You shut yourself up in your classroom, and you do the best you can for your kids in spite of what's going on.

And that, psychologically, is not a good place to be. Teachers need to be part of the process all along the way. They need to feel like they've got some sense of empowerment. Most of us are there for the kids, and we're not there to get



rich. And which brings us to the other condition.

We've slipped from No. 26 in pay, I
think -- and I think we're somewhere down the bottom
as far as that's concerned as well. You look at
some of the states, like Massachusetts, where
they're doing quite well. They have extremely high
union membership, where they've actually got a
say-so in what's going on in schools. They have a
much higher pay.

We can't find -- we're looking for a math teacher at Taos High School. We have all these people unemployed, and we cannot find, right now, a math teacher. And we actually have the funding for that position. We brought two math teachers in from Spain, because we had a special program that actually helped fund that. We can't find a math teacher who's looking for a job in this economic situation we're in right now, with high unemployment. That's amazing to me. They're going to go somewhere else, you know.

So pay conditions, how teachers are treated. You have to take care of the person doing the nurturing. When I go to a hospital, I want my nurses who are taking care of my mother -- I want them well paid; I want them supported; I want them



to have time for continuing education, which is another issue that Chairman Garrison brought up, and that is a very important aspect.

So it -- when it comes right down to it, what people don't want to talk about is the elephant in the room or the 8,000-pound gorilla in the room, which is money. We've been underfunded for over ten years. And -- and what you hear -- you know, what you hear from people, what teachers hear from people, what educators -- and I'm going to include administrators, because administrators get beaten down time after time after time as well. They're part of the team. And we have to have adequate funding to do all these things we need to do.

We need -- you know, if we want to extend the school day or the school year, it's going to cost money. Do we just want to stick them in a room or stick them in front of a computer for an extra one or two hours a day, or do we want to take them out on some field trips, give them some experience, teach them how to ride horseback, how to go -- what it means to go camping and all these things that a lot of our poor kids never get a chance to do.

I was able to do some of that with a grant this year, with -- from the federal parks. And --



and it -- it was a tremendous amount of work to do that, with poor kids, in a classroom where I've got three teen mothers who -- whose babies are at the day care. And those teen mothers are breast-feeding, and they can't go with us on a field trip, you know.

And it's -- there's all -- you know, it's a -- they're -- that's a freshman level. You know, the problems and everything that we have are going to require more than -- than what may -- overworked teacher who maybe has to work a second job -- and many of our younger teachers have to work second and third jobs, and they immediately leave school and go to their second job so that they can pay their bills, instead of taking on a club or tutoring kids.

I've been lucky. I've never had to work a second job. But a lot of teachers I work with have.

And -- but I've also been fortunate and lucky in many ways that other teachers haven't been.

It's going to require a lot of resources, just a lot of resources. And nobody wants to broach that dirty word that we have to reduce our class size or anything that costs money. Oh, no, that's not that important, you know. It is. You put 45 kids in a classroom with one teacher, or they're



teaching 170 kids a day, are you kidding me? Are you telling me that doesn't have an effect? And it's not good for the kids. It's got to be a team effort. Everybody involved in the team has to be taken care of so that they can also take care of the children.

And it's awful hard for teachers to do
everything that they need to do if that's not
happening. You know, as taking an example -- going
to the -- you know, I'll stop, because I could talk
all day -- setting an example. When I first started
teaching, I remember my students asking me, "Do you
smoke?"

No, I don't smoke. It was important that I didn't smoke, and that I let my students know that I don't, that it's not good for them. "Well, I bet you drink every night."

"No, I don't drink every night. I'll have a glass of wine or something" -- I want to set an -- I've always wanted to set an example. I think it's important for our teachers to be able to do that, and be trained that that's important.

I -- our principal that's been -- that we had last year that retired last year, he always came up with these great stories. And one of them was,





"You don't tell your kids not to drink and then sit there and get drunk watching the football game every Sunday, because they're going to look at what you do, and that's important."

And some time -- you know, and a lot of -at least maybe -- well, I want to say a large
percentage of my students and students across the
country, sometimes that teacher in the classroom is
the only functional supportive adult in their whole
life that they see a few hours a week. And if that
teacher is beaten down and -- and belittled
constantly in public, "You're never doing enough.
You're never doing enough. You're not doing enough.
You're failing our kids." They need support.

And in countries like Finland and Japan where teachers are held to a high regard as being the most respected profession and people aspire to that, and, of course, they also have high standards to get into those -- into those profession -- into the teaching profession, that's the kind of thing we need to work for -- to work toward. Because some of the things that Chairman Garrison has been talking about, we've been talking about, and people have been writing about it for over 100 years.

And we can go back to the old classroom



where you have to be single. You just graduate from high school, and you take a bunch of kids that are from K through 8, like my mother went to. That's the kind of school my mother went to, and -- and not pay them anything. And you just have somebody volunteering. Well, that's a different time period. We live in a society where both the husband and the wife have to work in order to -- to just -- to just function. And then we've got a lot of single parents who are teaching, where that's their only income.

Whereas, in years past, the wife taught, and the husband is one that made all the money. It didn't really make that much difference what the wife made, because it was the position -- the profession that was mostly women.

So we're going to have to buckle down and get the resources we need for our kids. To try to do everything on a shoestring is awful. And it gets boring and tiring to hear about it constantly. And nobody wants to put out any money to do what needs to be done, you know.

And it doesn't just happen with teachers.

It happens -- I, mean our firefighters, our

policemen, our soldiers; those are all professions





that are quite often underappreciated, underfunded.

And a lot of times those people aren't taken care of when they should be.

And when you see Hurricane -- what's the name? -- Hurricane Sandy, all our first responders out there, those are the people that we've been belittling for the past two years. And it's -- you know, we need -- we need -- there's a lot of things we need to be doing. So I'll shut up. I'll stop preaching. But I just wanted to get that said.

Just really quick -- I guess I wanted to ask where we were -- I haven't heard anything about the five-year plan. I just wondered if we were -- where we were on that.

THE CHAIR: Any updates on the Strategic Planning Committee? No updates at this time.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Lopez?

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I -- as you know, I served on the Santa Fe School Board way back, '94 to 2001. And what I saw there was a lot of individuals who did an astonishing and admirable -- and I respected them greatly -- the job that they did. But what I saw was moments of greatness in the middle of a system that was really, really

PROFESSIONAL COURT

REPORTING SERVICE



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

struggling. And so I give that as a context, because I, very recently, am watching what this new, very young superintendent, who is younger than my oldest child is now, doing there. And what I saw was, he has called a spade a spade. It's the first time I've seen that happen.

And I know Beverly and I go back to 1994 at Santa Fe. And she was one of the very many administrators and teachers who I saw doing the best they could do. This guy, in his report, called --didn't blame any individuals. But he said -- or his report said -- his first initial report that he brought people in to look at the system. And what he said was that there is a culture in this system that is culturally offensive and operationally dysfunctional, which I found enormously refreshing, that somebody is saying, not, "You're doing a bad job, administrators are wrong, teachers are wrong," but, "We have a huge, huge problem here."

And so my point is to urge everyone to keep an eye on what they're doing there. But, I agree with Commissioner Carr, you know. It's good to call a spade a spade. But if there's not money -- you know, I've always felt that every classroom, at least at the elementary level, should



have a teacher's assistant. Well, how much money is 1 2 A lot of money. Every school should have that? more social workers. With all the problems we have 3 in our society, there should be one for every grade, 4 5 at least, lots more money. Lot -- we need after-school programs, because these parents are 6 7 working. And the cities can't -- you know, they can 8 put up a band-aid for those after-school programs, 9 but they can't fund them. 10 It is funding. And I agree with 11 Commissioner Carr. People say you can't throw money 12 at it. Well, you can throw money at it, and it's 13 And so pay attention to Santa Fe. See where 14 they get. They're going to need money. But they

did call a spade a spade.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Lopez. Any other comments from Commissioners? Seeing none, I do want to charge Chairman Vince Bergman of the Charter Schools Committee with initiating a preliminary meeting with the Charter Schools Division. Define the scope of negotiations for the preliminary contract approval for the Health Leadership High School. Also, define those logistics, and then report back to the Executive Committee with that information. Do you want me to

Thank you.



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 start over there? 2 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't think 3 either one of us was keeping up with all that. MS. CALLAHAN: For the contracts, the 4 5 Charter School committee. 6 THE CHAIR: And then we'll give you our 7 blessing, hopefully, at that point to negotiate the 8 preliminary contract, in the December meeting, 9 you'll present to the full Public Education 10 Commission. If needed, we'll follow that with --11 follow that up with a working session. And then we 12 will vote on the preliminary contract as far as 13 approval goes. Does that make sense? Does that 14 sound fair for this first run-through? 15 MS. CALLAHAN: I think that's probably, 16 Mr. Chair, the best way that we can approach this. 17 I'm just worried about setting travel again. 18 there a way we can kill birds and stones if there's 19 other meetings that you all are attending, or we can 20 piggyback on or --Between now and December 14? 21 THE CHAIR: 22 MS. CALLAHAN: Yeah. 23 THE CHAIR: I don't think there are --24 there are no full PEC meetings, obviously. 25 MS. CALLAHAN: I know there's an LESC



1	meeting.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It's in
3	Las Cruces; isn't that correct?
4	MR. CRAIG: We're in Santa Fe in December.
5	But it is the 14th and 15th.
6	MS. CALLAHAN: And to set up planning.
7	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'm asking David
8	when the LESC meeting is, and I can't hear him all
9	the way back there.
10	MR. CRAIG: The LESC meeting is in
11	December on the 14th and 15th, Commissioner
12	Shearman.
13	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Where?
14	MR. CRAIG: In Santa Fe.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: In Santa Fe. Why
16	did I think it was in Las Cruces?
17	MR. CRAIG: We met in Las Cruces in
18	September.
19	MR. CARR: That's the 14th and 15th,
20	though, too. That's when our meeting is.
21	THE CHAIR: I'm going to repeat these
22	steps because, I think we have it covered in them.
23	The Charter Schools Committee Chair will initiate a
24	preliminary meeting with the Charter Schools



Division, which should include the full Committee

for Charter Schools. Define the scope of negotiations. Now, what that means, that you will define, including the logistics. So let's not try to figure that out now. Let you all figure that out.

Report those logistics and the scope back to the Executive Committee of the Public Education Commission. At that point, you will proceed to negotiate a preliminary contract based on our approval of the logistics and the scope. And then, in the December meeting, you'll present the preliminary contract approval to the full Public Education Commission. If needed, we'll have a working session, and then we'll vote on that approval at that time.

So next step is let's define the scope of negotiations and the logistics, and then we'll move forward from there when you report back to the Executive.

I'm going to need to take a quick break.

Recommendations on the -- on continuing through the day? Do -- Beverly, I know Deputy Secretary is coming at 1:00. Can we move him back to 1:30 or --

MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair, I can check with

25 him and see.





THE CHAIR: Because what we're staring at 1 2 now is we have 11:20. We could do the training probably by, you know, 12:30ish and then -- and then 3 take a little time for lunch. I'm guessing people 4 5 are going to want to just eat here in the hotel. know I am. And then, a little bit after 1:00, 6 7 probably closer to 1:30, we would be ready to 8 continue. 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'll check with him, 10 Mr. Chair. 11 THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's take a ten-minute break, and then we'll proceed with item No. 13, the 12 13 Renewal Application Review Training. We're in 14 recess. 15 (Recess taken, 11:20 a.m. to 11:45 a.m.) THE CHAIR: The Chair calls this Public 16 17 Education Commission meeting back into session. And we are at item No. 13, Renewal Application Review 18 19 Training. And there is no Executive Summary, so we 20 will introduce again Kelly Callahan. 21 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, 22 there's a tiny Executive Summary. It's very short. 23 Basically, the information that we're going to cover 24 very quickly is the renewal review process and what 25 it is that we're doing in our evaluations of the



renewal applications.

And I'd like to introduce Rochelle

Cherrin, who is the Executive Administrator in the

CSD who is working primarily with the implementation

of SB 446, and the work that we're doing with NACSA

and the performance framework. And so all of this,

of course, ties back to the applications. And

Shelly has been very instrumental in creating these

new applications. And so I invited her to come and

do some quick overview training.

This is not nearly as intensive as the one that we did this summer prior to the review of the applications. And so we're just going to go over some points. You have folders with your names on it. And they are -- they contain all of the documents that you're going to need. So I'm going to turn this over to Shelly. And we're here, and we're going to tag-team whenever we need to.

And so if there's anything that you need, we'll be happy to provide it for you if we have it. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

MS. CHERRIN: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Commission. I just wanted to give you a little bit of a background on the development





of the renewal. It looks significantly different,

I'm sure, than the renewal applications you've seen
in the past.

There's two reasons for that: One is because, as ex-charter school operators, Kelly and I have completed charter school applications more than once, and we wanted to make the process a little bit more succinct and easier and maybe have not as many elements that are repetitive for not only us, but for the reviewers.

We've also reviewed them. And so, again, we just thought maybe it was time to look at it and just really stick to what's required.

So what we did is we -- in terms of -- oh. And the second part of it was that with the upcoming performance -- the contracts, and then the performance frameworks, we needed to look ahead and get people -- our schools ready for that, just because we know that a lot of the elements of the contract will be negotiated. There's a 30-day limit, as we've talked about before. And we just didn't feel like thinking about these things after the application was approved was enough time.

So we did a Part A and a Part B. So the Part A is looking back, and the Part B is looking



forward. And, again, Part B, the purpose of that is really just to give us a starting ground for our negotiations.

When looking into Part A and what's required, when we went back to the statutes, there's only really seven areas that are required. And it's the report of the progress on the charter school and achieving the goals, objectives, students' performance standards, the financial statement that discloses cost of administration, instruction, and other spending categories; any change to the original charter, amendments, the petition in support of the charter from the families, and also the petition in support of the charters from the employees; the description of the charter school facilities, and assurances that the facilities are in compliance, and a statement of the term of the renewal requested.

So Part A really does focus on the past performance and looking at what they've done. Now, if you look at the other thing -- again, the reasons why charters can be denied or renewals can be denied -- let me just find this. It does state that -- and, again, we talked about this a little bit this morning, but I do want to point out one



thing. It states that, "A charter may be suspended, revoked, or not renewed by the chartering authority if the chartering authority determines that the charter school failed to meet or make substantial progress toward achievement of the Department's minimum educational standards, or... -- it doesn't say "and"; it says "or" -- "...student standards identified in the charter application."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 843-9492

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

So before the charter application was the contract. But now, moving into SB 446, there will actually be a contract where those -- in my understanding, the minimum requirements will be defined and agreed upon. That's the authorization part. And I'm just going to kind of leap out here. And I know that a lot more information has to be discussed and gathered.

But it seems to me that if -- if those minimum educational standards are negotiated and agreed upon by the authorizer, that if the school does not meet those, then it's a breach of contract. And, again, I think that we need to really explore that a lot further. But it does have "or" here, not "and." So I just, you know, thought I would throw that out.

So anyway, looking at that, what we --



again, I think we recognized that for this year, it's probably going to be a little more difficult, because mission statements, as we've talked about in the last training, were maybe a little bit vague and a little bit general. And our goals from charter applications from five years ago may or may not have been written in SMART format. Some of the schools were open before they were required to do that. And so our challenge this year is going to be looking at the progress of the schools and somehow analyzing how they're doing. And they may or may not, in some cases, relate to the goals. We've already struggled with that in some of the analyses that we've done.

Anyway -- and I just wanted to let you know -- I mean, we'll -- you know, I think, again, that's a good thing about things changing. The mission statements will have to be more concise. They'll have to translate into goals that can be measured. And the goals will have to be very specific as well. So I think, again, moving ahead, the good news is that we should be able to really just have four or five years of annual reports that are consistent and that really give us the information that we need. This year, I think it'll be a little bit of a struggle.



So, again, Part A -- and I know that you all received copies of the renewal application. And it basically just asks questions that we've identified, the seven that are identified in the law as things that we need to look at. And then we are going to do our best to look at all the data and analyze that for you so that you can see trends and patterns, and we'll try to tie them back to goals.

Do you want to add anything else to that? Okay.

So Part B is looking forward. And, again, I think that this is something we'll have to have a discussion about, because, again, it's not required to look forward to consider -- maybe just the amendment piece, which we did put in Part B -- but the law doesn't require the plans for the future. And we put it in, again, just to give people a place to start -- the schools a place to start, and you all, in terms of what needs to be negotiated within that 30-day time period.

We are asking schools, though, to look at their mission, again, because, again, they have to be not so general anymore. We have to be able to determine if they're meeting their mission. And that's through some really good strong goals. So we're asking them to look at their goals again.



The goals that are listed here are the ones that are required in 446. And then they have optional goals as well that they can put in. For example, if they're a school that has a really unique population and they want to put in some optional goals, or if they want to have some organizational goals, they have the ability to put those in as well.

The amendments -- and again, I think we're rolling this out for the first time. And the amendments, we are going to have to consider. And I think there's going to be some question about, if the applications are approved, does that include approving the amendments as well. And the only thing that I wanted to mention about the renewals is -- you know, we -- we didn't -- we're asking for the schools to submit a copy of their latest application, including any changes that were made through the amendment process.

We, I think, feel very strongly that if they're wanting to change too many things, then the question would be, "Is this a new application?"

It's a renewal process. We want to renew what they've been doing. If there are some minor changes, that's one thing. But if there are some



big changes; for example, if it's a Montessori school and they want to become a STEM program, well, to us, that would be a whole new application. And I think we're approaching looking at the amendments like that as well.

And I think the rest of the questions -the financial plans, again, we did put this -- I
don't know how this got in -- but, again, we have a
Part A and a Part B. And you'll see, again, if we
can go back to the seven required elements of a
renewal application, the amendment piece and maybe
this question about the financial plans, where you
have to under- -- present them in categories in
terms of what you're spending, I see that that's
here as well.

But then we also put in other questions that have to do with what we're going to be expecting in terms of governance and partnerships and things like that that are based on SB 446. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I'm reading the financial -- under Financial Plans -- now, this is for renewal. So this is the information that they are going to be required to provide to support their bid for renewal; right?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MS. CHERRIN: Yes, that's correct. 1 2 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Financial statements that disclose the costs of 3 administration, instruction, and other spending 4 5 categories for a charter that is understandable to 6 the general public. 7 MS. CHERRIN: Right. 8 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: What kind of 9 statements are you envisioning here? Like, a 10 budget? Or financial statements? Or -- I can't think of financial statements. 11 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: 12 Audit. 13 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Audit findings? 14 MS. CHERRIN: Again -- and that comes 15 directly from the law in terms of what's required. 16 And so what we've suggested to the schools is that 17 they really maybe -- they maybe want to do a pie 18 graph. How much is -- what percentage of their 19 budget is going toward instruction, versus 20 administration, versus supplies and materials. 21 There's a variety of ways that they can show that. 22 But, basically, that was one of the 23 suggestions that we made. It's the spending 24 categories and just how they can disclose the cost 25 Some, you know, schools may have of all of that.



1	other ways. They may have, like, end-of-year
2	reports, you know, where they talk about how much
3	they've spent on instruction versus administration,
4	versus other areas.
5	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: So no defined
6	presentation, just information that needs to be
7	there. What about audits? Where is that
8	information?
9	MS. CHERRIN: That's in Part A, where we
10	ask for any material findings, financial findings.
11	And that would be based on their audits.
12	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Do you all know
13	what document we're reading from? I can't find it
14	here somewhere.
15	MS. CHERRIN: Here is Part A, and here is
16	Part B. (Indicates.) Again, we don't have a copy
17	of the renewal application here.
18	MS. CALLAHAN: So there's two parts.
19	These are the analyses that we're going to be
20	providing to the Commission. And so Part A is the
21	required elements, according to the law, that Shelly

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Preliminary and

takes place in this document that's labeled Part A.



just talked about, the seven elements that are

reviewed for the charter renewal.

22

23

24

25

That analysis

Final?

MS. CALLAHAN: Preliminary and Final Recommendation Document. So, in other words, what you're going to get in a couple of weeks is our preliminary overview of the renewal application that will -- that will give our analysis of what's happening in this renewal document.

We then ask for feedback from the schools. They have a chance to respond to these, which is different from the application -- new application, because these schools have been in existence. So if there's a discrepancy somewhere, if there's audit findings that aren't addressed, what have you, that were -- we ask that the school then give us a response back. After that's assimilated into this document, we'll send the entire document with the recommendation from the CSD, what we feel would be an appropriate action for the PEC to take in terms of the renewal; so whether it's a denial or approval of the renewal application.

And so Part A is -- this is -- this is the rubber hits the road on the renewal. This is where you really want to focus the attention, because this is the requirement by law of what they have to do to demonstrate capacity to be renewed. And what we're



trying to do is we're trying to capture a lot of information in these -- you know, as easily as we can so that it's readable to the reviewers and to the public in understanding what's happening.

Part B and C are future -- you know, looking at the previous application, what kind of changes are going to happen, they propose, either through an amendment or other changes that are in here that may be significant. And so this section -- if you don't approve this section, this section becomes moot, essentially. This is the -- this is the document -- or this is the part of the application that needs to be very carefully scrutinized by the Commission to insure that the school is viable to continue.

MS. CHERRIN: And I will say, though, in Part B, there are a couple of required elements of a renewal application that will need to be looked at as well. And we'll make sure that you, you know, just get a list of the seven elements that are required in the renewal.

MS. CALLAHAN: When you receive your documents from us, we'll have kind of a table of contents that you'll be able to identify what -- what sections are doing what, and it will lay it out





clearly.

And I just need to put a little caveat on here. Shelly alluded to it, and I think I alluded to it earlier. This is this bridge that we're talking about. You have the schools who were under a completely different set of laws when they wrote their applications. They received yearly updates and reviews for progress. And then the new law that they're going to be required to do. And so we're kind of straddling.

So that the Part A -- which is why we distinctly separated it -- Part A was looking at those previous standards and those previous -- did they meet terms of that charter contract. And then we're look- -- if we say, "Okay, we feel like you've done adequate work, and we agree that you need to be renewed," then we need to look at the next part of the charter that is going to be under the terms of SB 446.

So there's differences that -- we're literally straddling. So once we have a contract in place that we can, you know, show and demonstrate what the school is going to do to meet the terms of the contract, that's what we're trying to get to here is this is about capacity of the next phase,



you know. Are they going to be able to implement any changes that they need to do?

Some of them may not have any changes because they're doing just fine. And so this will be a very limited section. But we will make it really clear in the review that we send you where it is that we want you all to really pay attention and where -- where the next steps would be in terms of approval to contract. Does that help a little? It's confusing for us, too, as well. And I apologize.

THE CHAIR: It is very helpful.

Commissioner Canfield, do you have a question or a comment?

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: So the charter schools will actually be getting your report about the same time we will be getting it. Right?

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner

Canfield, that is correct. And just -- if I could

interject a couple of the other documents that you

have? These are the -- these are the reports that

we're receiving from the previous authorizers and/or

the Public Ed Department divisions to which schools

are responsible for reporting the -- their safety

plans -- the compliance part. And so these reports,



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the contents of these three, will be assimilated into this. (Indicates.)

So you're going to he get this one report that's going to synthesize everything to -- so you'll have one document that will give the oversight of the school in terms of performance and compliance. And so that's -- we wanted to include these -- and I'm sorry about extra pieces of paper. But we wanted you to see that we were thoroughly looking back at the schools and what it is that they're doing and how they're performing right now, so you have the biggest and most complete picture of what they look like. And that's kind of where we're heading.

And then, also, we've included a site visit document. As part of the process includes a site visit from the CSD. So what we're doing is we're verifying and validating the information that they gave us. We're reviewing their data. We're reviewing their governance board minutes, and, you know, are they complying with the Open Meetings Act. We're looking at curriculum. We're actually going to do classroom visits, which hasn't happened in the past. But we're going to look at the instruction itself.





1	And so so these tools you're not
2	going to receive these. (Indicates.) These are
3	working documents. But we wanted you to see the
4	questions that we were going to be asking. We
5	wanted you to see what the what the items are
6	that we're going to be reviewing to that makes
7	this report, then, comprehensive and and will, I
8	think, give you the most accurate picture of what
9	this school is doing and how well they're doing.
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: All right.
11	MS. CALLAHAN: Does that help a little
12	bit?
13	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: A lot.
14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman?
15	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I just wanted to
16	know. That's the level of cooperation we
17	appreciate. When you send us stuff, even if you
18	don't make it really stuff [verbatim], we need it.
19	And maybe we do need it; maybe we don't need it.
20	But we do appreciate that kind of cooperation.
21	(A discussion was held off the record.)
22	THE CHAIR: Please proceed.
23	MS. CALLAHAN: The
24	MS. CHERRIN: Can I
25	MS. CALLAHAN: Go ahead.





MS. CHERRIN: Well, again, these are -- we haven't started filling these out yet. And so they are drafts, which means that we can update them a little bit, and we can make it really very clear by taking out a couple of the parts from Part B that have to be in Part A. They're one of those search criteria. And that's an easy fix. So I suggest that we do that. So you won't have to worry about it.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, I'm going to continue to say, this is truly evolving. And the train-and-track analogy that I've been talking about, laying the track while the train is coming behind you is a challenge. And we're trying our best to insure a fair process for schools as well as a rigorous review that's -- and there's a lot of -- a lot of brain power that goes into this discussion; arguments, to some degree, about what needs to be included.

And so, you know, it's -- I consider them all living documents, because we do -- we have to be agile enough to change, you know, to make sure we're meeting the terms of the law, but also -- I guess I can't emphasize this bridging that we're doing is very difficult. Because it -- the schools are the



ones that are -- that we're trying to ease as much -- because this is going to be a difficult transition for them. They literally are the guinea pigs of SB 446, because that's a -- that's when we will be doing these material negotiations and the terms that we've been talking about in -- I wish we had a definition of "material terms." I wish we had a definition of "minimum education standards." wish we had -- these are going to become the definitions to which the schools live by. And I think that's a very important piece of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

So we're trying to make sure that we're building the rigor and accountability with fairness and -- and thoroughness of review. But if there are questions, if there are things that you can suggest, please -- please send it to me or to any of the team, and we will -- we want to make sure that you all have that complete picture of what it is, that there's something that you need to do.

I just also want to mention in here that you have a time line. This is the time line -- it looks much better than the one I did. My team is technologically advanced. And so the time line -and just to draw your attention to where we are, right now is November 1.

And it was 1 and 2 when we



originally did this, but we were able to do it in one day. We're at that point in the time line.

The next kind of phases that we're going through, we are in the middle of the analysis.

October 10 through the 7th, we are also in the middle of our site visits; I believe about half of them have been completed. The November 9 -- so next week is when we're looking at completing the preliminary analysis. And we're asking for a little grace, because we had to change some of the site visits due to the instructional audits, and so we're asking for a little flexibility.

But as soon thereafter the 9th, we will send those preliminary analyses, which will be just the rough cut. This is before the schools respond, and you'll just get that initial overview that the -- the CSD did. And then the schools have to have their work back to us by November 21. And then we are going to incorporate what those responses look like into the analysis. Then the final -- the school response part of it will go at the end of the month, and then you will receive the final analyses and recommendation by December 3 -- or excuse me -- December 6.

It's a very tight time line, very tight.





Т	I mean, we're pushing, as it is, to do the thorough
2	review that needs to happen. There are seven
3	schools. And so it's and then, you know, again,
4	the train the other train that's coming is we
5	have current things that are going on, the
6	instructional audits and the day-to-day reviews that
7	we have to do about concerns that come up, trying to
8	create the templates for SB 446 and getting all of
9	that done.
10	So, you know, please know that we'll try
11	as best we can to stay to the deadlines. But we're,
11	as best we can to stay to the deadlines. But we're, again, operating in real time. Sometimes that
12	again, operating in real time. Sometimes that
12 13	again, operating in real time. Sometimes that doesn't that doesn't work all the time. But,
12 13 14	again, operating in real time. Sometimes that doesn't that doesn't work all the time. But, hopefully, this gives you a little bit of a picture
12 13 14 15	again, operating in real time. Sometimes that doesn't that doesn't work all the time. But, hopefully, this gives you a little bit of a picture of where where we're going to be.

We're through.

tight, and it's coming very quickly.

MS. CHERRIN: To give you enough time for lunch.

contract negotiations. So it's -- again, it's

THE CHAIR: Questions, Commissioners?

Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So, according to



18

19

20



this time line, we're not going to get the

preliminary preliminary analysis. We're going to

get the preliminary analysis after the schools have

responded and you include those comments in that

preliminary, and that's due at the end of November,

then, November 28.

MS. CALLAHAN: The -- did I read it wrong?
I apologize. No, you're right. That's exactly
right.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: And then a week later, we get the final recommendation.

MS. CALLAHAN: The final would be December 6. So that would be with the recommendation. So everything would be incorporated into that one final document that you get. So you'll get a draft, and then you'll get a final.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: I have a question. Has all this been run through the new director? The reason I ask is that I remember we were going to receive some draft documents from a former CSD director, and that that presentation got pulled by the -- it was requested to be pulled, and, I implied, by the Secretary of Education Designate. And there were -- there were other things going on.



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I love this. I just want to make sure that I'm going to love it because it's still here next week.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, Mr. Gerlicz, as we said, began his term on Monday and has assured us that the work that we're doing is -- he plans to continue, that there's not a change -- and I think he would be very honest if we asked him that same question. He's in the middle of reviewing everything that we're doing at this point; so looking at process, looking at organization, looking at structure. He's on -- the learning curve is like this. (Indicates.)

So that's what he's in the middle of right now. So I would suggest maybe asking him this afternoon the commitment. But he was very adamant about that the work that we've begun doesn't need to be stopped. And we have too many critical time lines. So -- but I -- I don't want to speak for him. But that's what his assurance was to me when he started.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Lopez?

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I just want a





clarification. How is the structure between you 1 and -- I'm thrilled to hear that's it's Tony 2 Gerlicz. Another really excellent charter school 3 4 principal will be working with you folks. 5 will the relationship be between -- on staff? Mr. Chair, Commissioner 6 MS. CALLAHAN: 7 Lopez, the position that I had was the Acting or 8 Interim Director, Options for Parents. I took Patti 9 Matthews' position, Ms. Matthews' position, and 10 acted an internal role. And so there was a Director 11 and then a General Manager. And the General Manager 12 has hands-on oversight of schools and the 13 educational administrative staff at the CSD; 14 whereas, the Director for the Options for Parents 15 actually has a lot more responsibility outside the 16 charter schools. There's home school, private 17 Virtual schools will fall under that school. 18 person. And so IDEA New Mexico would be under that 19 20 So they have a larger Options for Parents. 21 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: So Charter Schools 22 Division is under Options for Parents. 23 MS. CALLAHAN: Correct, correct. And then 24 the educational administrative staff works with, you 25 know, the schools themselves and clients and review,



oversight, that kind of thing. 1 2 And Mr. Gerlicz and Patti and myself, we covered a lot of territory beyond charter schools. 3 What's happened, though, just lately is that because 4 5 of the new charter school law, it sort of elevated everything -- you know, charter schools above 6 7 everything else right now. And, you know, it's not 8 that they're not being paid attention to; but 9 it's -- that focus is on charter schools and the 10 implementation of SB 446, because it's just such a 11 short time line. 12 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: So your position --13 you're going back to Manager? 14 MS. CALLAHAN: To General Manager, yes. 15 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: And that's under 16 Options for Parents? 17 MS. CALLAHAN: Correct. 18 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay. Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair? 20 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So you answer to 22 Mr. Gerlicz; correct? 23 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 24 Bergman, yes, that's correct.



COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

25

But you are going

to be acting, then, on the day-to-day operation of 1 2 CSD; is that correct? 3 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, Commissioner Bergman. 4 That -- essentially, yes. 5 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Or is he -- is that 6 going to be part of his big umbrella? 7 MS. CALLAHAN: We're still developing 8 exactly what that's going to look like. Because 9 even since Patricia Matthews left, it's a 10 different -- there's -- the whole implementation of 11 SB 446 has taken on this new level of structure. 12 And so we're going to have to take a look at it. 13 But, essentially, the General Manager over Charter 14 Schools Division will have the day-to-day operations. But, ultimately, Mr. Gerlicz is 15 16 responsible for what happens and reporting to the 17 PEC and -- and is your staff to -- to your --18 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I guess I'm asking, 19 because as Chair of the Charter School Committee of 20 the PEC, am I supposed to run everything through 21 Mr. Gerlicz and -- or how am I supposed to do that? 22 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Bergman, I would say that it's probably a good idea 23 24 for us to both -- you know, that any communication 25 that we send goes through both of us regarding



charter schools, just so we can make sure that 1 2 everybody's in the -- I'm about transparency and 3 everything in the loop. I want to make sure that he -- as the new Director, he obviously wants to be 4 5 involved as much as he can with the new development of the law. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: So should I send 8 e-mails to you -- then I should certainly copy him 9 I guess that's my question. in to them? 10 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions? 12 13 I want to thank you both for the fine presentation, 14 very informative. And this is all exciting work. But what an aggressive time line. You did not lie. 15 16 We are going to -- we are planning on having Deputy 17 Secretary Paul Aquilar and Mr. Tony Gerlicz at 11- -- at 1:30. So it is 12:20 now. So we're going 18 19 to break from now until 1:30. We're in recess. 20 (A recess was taken at 12:20 p.m., and reconvened at 1:35 p.m., as follows:) 21 22 THE CHAIR: The Chair calls this meeting of the Public Education Commission back into 23 24 Hope everyone had a wonderful lunch. 25 congratulations to Jeff Carr for fighting through



1 that fish and chips. It just looked awesome. I was 2 coveting it. 3 COMMISSIONER CARR: It was. 4 THE CHAIR: Was it great? 5 COMMISSIONER CARR: It was great. 6 THE CHAIR: We are at Item No. 7, and we 7 would like to welcome Public Education Department 8 Deputy Secretary Mr. Paul Aquilar. I'm glad you 9 made it down. We moved the item around so we could 10 enjoy your company and give and receive the Public 11 Education Department Secretary Designate report. 12 Welcome. 13 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members. How are you? 14 I'm only 15 jealous, because I talked to Commissioner Peralta, 16 and he had the Philly Cheesesteak. So fried fish 17 with beef on top might be okay. 18 Mr. Chairman, thank you for having --19 having me here today. It's always a pleasure. 20 nice to see each and every one of you. Before I start with a briefing, Mr. Chairman, I want to make 21 22 an introduction. 23 THE CHAIR: Yes, sir. 24 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: With me today is



Mr. Gerlicz has been appointed as

Mr. Tony Gerlicz.

the Director of Options for Parents with the Public Education Department. Mr. Gerlicz is recently returned to New Mexico from Warsaw, Poland, where he was a director of the American School in Warsaw, serving some 900 students, studying all aspects of school life, middle -- elementary, middle, and high schools.

Mr. Gerlicz had a previous role here in New Mexico as the founder and head learner at the Monte del Sol Charter School in Santa Fe. And under Tony's direction, the mission of Monte del Sol was to create community leaders under a program focusing on academic excellence using the arts, technology, sustainability, community connection, and global Ninety percent of Monte del Sol's perspective. graduates at the time went on to attend local and also out-of-state public and private universities. And during his time at Monte del Sol, Tony directed the technical assistance training for emerging charter schools and veteran charter school leaders around the state.

Mr. Chairman, in addition to serving as the Director of the American School in Warsaw -- which, by the way, caused some problems in communication, because he'd be calling me in the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

middle of the night while he was up over there -but he also served as the head of the Upper School
at the Garland -- Graland Country Day School in
Denver. He was also the director of the Oregon
Governor's School for Citizen Leadership, and has
served as a middle school/high school principal.

And I found out, to my delight -- because

I was a middle school math teacher -- is he's also a

math teacher. And so all good things come from

STEM, STEM education, as far as I'm concerned.

Mr. Chairman, Tony's the son of immigrants who believe that education was the pathway to success for their children. He's taken that belief into his career. He's been married for 28 years -- I find out one year less than I have, so we're both doing pretty well -- to Diane Friedman, a native New Mexican, and is the father of Stefan, who is a 26-year-old with an MBA from UC Davis. And Camilla, a 24-year-old who's a third-year doctoral student in mathematics at UT Austin. Both Tony and Diana are bilingual in English and Spanish.

And, Mr. Chairman, Tony has indicated that he's thrilled to be back in New Mexico working for our kids. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to introduce for a brief comment Mr. Tony Gerlicz. (Applause.)





Thank you, Paul, MR. GERLICZ: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. It's really a pleasure and an honor to be here. I -- as Paul said, I am thrilled to take this position. I'm thrilled to take this position for a couple of key reasons.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

I first met Paul, which immediately got me interested, and then the Secretary, her initiatives. And I was born and bred an educator from day one. As Paul mentioned, we grew up fairly low in the socioeconomic stream of things as immigrants. education was the ticket, and was the only ticket, and there was no higher value in my family than education. And it's been my whole life.

And starting a charter school here in Santa Fe -- and the reason I came to Santa Fe is because my wife is a native New Mexican. And we love this region. We love the culture; we love the language; we love its people; we love everything about it.

So starting a charter school was, without a doubt, the highlight of my professional career, and only to be surpassed by the success of that charter school. Starting a school is one thing, but having it be successful is truly extremely





fulfilling, professionally and personally. 1 2 So I was 70 percent, 80 percent of the way there to being -- accepting the position and being 3 very comfortable. And what got me fully the way 4 5 there was when I met Kelly. And the work that Kelly has done has impressed me no end. She and I are 6 7 together philosophically on educational matters. Wе 8 view charter schools in very similar lights as 9 beacons of hope and excellence in the educational 10 sphere. And I am thrilled that Kelly will stay on 11 12 in the Charter School Division. And we will work --13 and I'm extremely looking forward to working very 14 closely with her as we move forward and steering in 15 the charter school world here in New Mexico. 16 So I'm happy to answer any of your questions. But that's a little bit about me. 17 it really is a -- an absolute pleasure to be in 18 19 front of you and to take this position here in 20 New Mexico. Thank you. 21 THE CHAIR: The Chair will open up the 22 floor to questions from Commissioners? 23 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair?



COMMISSIONER LOPEZ:

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Lopez.

24

25

Tony, I'm thrilled

that you're here. And I know Tony from Santa Fe when your school was authorized -- actually, I wasn't on the Board. My husband was at that point.

But one thing that you didn't say was, even after you left Monte del Sol, it went through a rough spot, but it's still being successful. You laid a really good foundation. And I'm really thrilled that you're here, even though I won't be around. But welcome aboard.

MR. GERLICZ: Thank you, Commissioner

Lopez. That was duplicated when I saw the list of

the Commissioners and saw your name on it, and then

dampened immediately by knowing that you're leaving.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Other questions? I had a question of Ms. Kelly Callahan, with the changes with the switch -- I shouldn't say a switch -- but with the changes and with your hiring. I had asked her the question when we were going over the renewal framework if that would indeed stay intact. And she said that that might be a question better asked of you. And I said, "Sure, I'll ask it this afternoon." So --

question. And after, let's say, three days on the

MR. GERLICZ: Great.





It's a fine

1 job --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: She mentioned that, too, by the way.

MR. GERLICZ: -- there's no way I'm going to change anything right now. I'm going to lean very, very heavily on Kelly's expertise, which I trust implicitly.

THE CHAIR: I just want to compliment her and let you know that we have really seen the Charter Schools Division and its accountability, its transparency, its professionalism rise up with her acting as interim. And from what I've heard, just today even, from -- about you is that there are some great things to look forward to. So --

MR. GERLICZ: Well, if I could add to that, Commissioner Garrison, is that in addition to Kelly, the eight other staff people that we have in the Charter School Division are first class. And this is coming from someone who has run very high-powered international schools, started a school of my own in Santa Fe and has had a rich experience in education. I can tell you firsthand, these are first-class people. And I'm very excited to be working with them. So together, it's all good things.



THE CHAIR: Absolutely. And the work of 1 2 Shelly and Rachel and the rest of the clan, and knowing that you have administrators, former 3 4 administrators of charter schools, it's been very 5 comforting and also enlightening. We're learning every step of the way, too, with the looming SB 446 6 7 requirements. It is a lot; it's plenty. So I hope 8 you're going to fight for extra staff as you catch 9 up. 10 MR. GERLICZ: Say that a little bit 11 louder. THE CHAIR: I say it loud. I've called 12 13 him in the middle of the night, and we're in the 14 same time zone. So I'm with you. 15 Great. MR. GERLICZ: Thank you. 16 THE CHAIR: Any other questions? Now I 17 need to get a pronunciation right. I've heard Gerlitch [ph]. I've heard Gerlitz [ph]. 18 19 MR. GERLICZ: It depends on what country 20 you're in. If you're in Poland, it's Gerleech [ph]. 21 So just say Gerlitch [ph]. 22 Gerlitch [ph]. Excellent. THE CHAIR: 23 Tony Gerlicz, welcome to New Mexico, back to 24 New Mexico, and we congratulate on your position. 25 MR. GERLICZ:



Gracias.

Es un placer

1 grande.

THE CHAIR: [Spoken Spanish.] Just for the record, Commissioner Gant is present, back from the PSCOC meeting.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. Along the lines that you just -- your comment about additional staffing, the Public Education Department has submitted its FY14 budget request to the Legislative Finance Committee and the Department of Finance and Administration on September 1, as required by statute. That request has requested additional funding for additional staff for the Charter Schools Division. So we're going to pursue that actively, and we hope that we can be successful in getting that funding.

Secondly, along the lines of staffing,
just so you know, I can't -- I can't release a name
yet. But our General Counsel -- we have a new
General Counsel -- oh. We have a General Counsel.
Let me do another introduction, Mr. Chairman, before
I go further.

Mr. Chairman, we have a new General

Counsel with the Public Education Department. As

you know, Mr. Brown left to -- can't say greater

pastures at Santa Fe County, but he's now working





with Santa Fe County. But we are pleased to welcome 1 2 Ms. Hilary Noskin to the Public Education She comes to us from private practice. 3 Department. In her short time here -- and I'll be talking about 4 5 Questa and other issues in a few minutes. her short time here, she's shown a willingness to 6 7 roll up her sleeves and get to work and get to work 8 hard. She's done a wonderful job in reorganizing 9 the inner operations of our General Counsel's 10 office. 11 We are now in the process of developing a 12 docketing system. Our filing system has been -- we 13 just carried about 19 or 20 boxes to State Archives. 14 So we've cleaned up the area, and we've -- she's also -- she'll take credit for this, which I'm okay 15 16 with -- is we have new staff coming on board in 17 terms of new attorneys in the -- in the Office of the General Counsel. And so she's also already 18 19 increasing staff. And so, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to 20 21 introduce Ms. Hilary Noskin. (Applause.) 22 MS. NOSKIN: Thank you. I met most of you 23 at lunch. Commissioner Bergman, I didn't get the 24 But I'm available. I have the opportunity.



pleasure of knowing the Chairman from before this --

this opportunity. And I'm very pleased to be here.

And whatever I can help with, thank you very much.

Oh. I guess if you have any questions, I'm happy to

4 answer them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: She said that she knew me before. That doesn't imply that she has a criminal background, as far as lawyering. I do know

Ms. Noskin, and she's a dear friend. Any questions of Ms. Noskin? Congratulations on your appointment as General Counsel of the Public Education

Department.

MS. NOSKIN: Thank you, sir.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Oh. So getting back to what I started, I can't release a name yet. But Ms. Noskin and our federal -- we've decided that -- I shouldn't say "we decided" -- you've noted throughout the past year since -- or the past year and a half since Mr. Arnold left that -- and I've seen it

demonstrated -- you really do have a need for an attorney. And so when Mr. Arnold left, we chose to not fill that position and to hire a contract attorney, who's done a good job for you.

But we believe that you need an attorney that you have access to more often than just here at





meetings and whatnot. So I'm pleased to announce 1 2 that we have finished -- Ms. Noskin and Ms. Kaselniak [ph], our Director of Federal Programs 3 and others, have just completed the interview 4 5 process. And we are in the process of making an offer to an attorney who will serve 50 percent of 6 7 their time on charter school issues, serving your 8 needs, and will serve 50 percent of the time on 9 federal programs.

And so -- and that's not set in stone. Ιf one -- during the slow season, they do more work on federal programs than they do during the busy season, we'll do more work on charter school issues. I just wanted to let you know about staffing, that we have another staff member that we'll be making an offer to in the next day or so. So the request -so I quess Tony will take credit for new attorneys and new staff and other funding. So -- that's okay.

THE CHAIR: The man is working fast.

Excellent.

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I have a request for information from Commissioner Peralta regarding Mr. Bill Green. Mr. Bill Green is serving this year as the Superintendent of the Quemado Public Schools and is serving as the Superintendent





of the Reserve Public Schools. The information that
I had received is how -- is receiving well over
\$180,000. That's not, in fact, the case. The
salary of the Quemado School was about \$90,000. And
the salary for the Superintendent at Reserve has
been about \$90,000.

Mr. Green is serving both at a combined salary of \$120,000. So there's some savings to each district. And there's no statutory or rule preclusion to him serving in that manner. So, to be perfectly honest with you, the stability that he's brought to the Reserve Public Schools after all the issues that we dealt with last year has -- is a breath of fresh air. Things are moving smoothly. Buildings are getting fixed, and kids are being educated. And so we're very happy that he offered to do that. And so I hope that that answers your question, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Thank you.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, just for your -- more information, the Legislative Finance Committee has scheduled -- or would like to schedule -- an entrance conference with the Department. And I would like to extend an invitation to any member of the Commission that



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would like to attend the entrance conference. We don't have a set date yet. But they're going to begin an evaluation of the Charter School Facilities lease assistance and capital outlay Planning.

A number of Commissioners -- you've heard a number of issues that have been raised over the last year regarding the amount of money that's spent on leases, where that money comes from, who are -- who is the recipient of the -- the final recipient of the money, is the lease assistance program sufficient to cover the cost of the lease on a building; if not, how much of the operational money districts -- charter schools are using to offset that cost, and whether the lease -- the leases that are entered into are actually reasonable and consistent across the state.

And, granted, there are regional differences. But, as the Public School Capital Outlay Council has been working on and has noted, we have a limited number -- but still of concern, we have a limited number of charter schools who have lease -- lease contracts with folks for -- at the high end, \$56 a square foot. And we have a number that are between \$22.50 and \$40; and I want to say a number -- it will be 14 or 15 schools -- most are



down in the \$10, \$11, and \$12 range.

But the other thing that is of concern is that there's not a -- there's not a uniform lease contract that's entered into. And so terms are different depending on who the owner is and how the school -- how well the school can negotiate or not. And so the Public School Facility -- the Public School Capital Outlay Council this morning authorized the Public School Facilities Authority to put together a task force.

I have offered some of the work of our General Counsel's Office. Ms. Patti Matthews and Ms. Susan Fox have offered some pro bono work to contribute to the task force. And so we want to put together a group to really start looking at that and try to come up with a situation that's acceptable to all -- to all parties concerned so that our leases are fair to the State, fair to the charter schools, and fair to the -- to the landlords; but also trying to determine who these landlords are, and insuring that our statutes are aligned properly with the desires -- with the -- not the desires -- our statutes are aligned properly with what's in the best interests of the State.

And so that work, I assume, will begin



quickly. And, hopefully we'll have a report sometime after the session. I don't think it'll get done before the session. If we identify some really significant statutory issues, then we may -- we'll communicate to you. But we may then look at how we can get some legislation in place in time. It's a little late in the year. You know how that goes. But we're going to give it a shot. And if not, we'll bring recommendations forward to the Public Education Commission.

And, Mr. Chairman, what I would like to do is contact you, if that's okay, about the entrance conference, and then you can communicate with your members --

THE CHAIR: Sure.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: -- if anyone wants to be present at that. It's not a big event. It really is a sit-down outlining what the scope of the evaluation will be and then requesting any upfront data that's necessary to begin the evaluation process. But, again, members are welcome if they choose to.

Mr. Chairman, the next item I'd like to talk about is the matter of the suspension of the Questa Board. I've been serving as the Board of





Education for the Questa Independent School

District. I am proud to report that the lack of infighting has actually calmed things down in the district. School is running well. We've identified some facilities issues that need to be addressed.

And the Public School Facilities Authority has very graciously stepped in to help us get those issues.

We had a leaky roof in the gymnasium at Questa High School, and we also had a boiler that had been worked on for a number of times this year that still wasn't working. And now the gym and the school has heat, and they're ready to go, particularly now that the weather is going down.

Mr. Chairman, as you know, the Secretary of Public Education suspended the authority of the Questa Board based on a number of allegations. I believe you've seen the suspension letter. It was e-mailed to all of you. The staff has prepared a -- a response to -- and it's a staff response, not the Secretary's response -- to the Board. And I don't think you've gotten it, so I apologize for that. I have a copy with me here. But I will make sure you get -- it gets e-mailed to you this afternoon. Oh, you do? Great. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Is this it,





though? I'm asking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: I'm a little blind.

Yes, ma'am. So as a result, Mr. Chairman, the -the Secretary of the Department has scheduled a
hearing on Monday, November 5, this coming Monday,
to give the Board of Education the opportunity to
present their case and reasons why the Board should

8 not continue to be suspended. I'm -- I want to use

9 my words carefully -- I'm a little dismayed.

We were notified last evening that
Mr. John Kennedy and Mr. Vigil from the Cuddy
McCarthy Law Firm have -- have pulled out of the
representing the School Board in this matter. There
was no reason given. We believe that it's -- it was
difficult for the law firm to represent both sides
in this, because they couldn't come together to
agree on things. And so there's not -- there's not
a provision in statute for the requirement for legal
representation in this matter.

But we want to be fair to folks. And so what we've done is, this afternoon, there will be a meeting with -- there's a hearing officer that's been appointed, and there's a meeting between our legal staff and the hearing officer and the Board to try to determine whether it would be appropriate to



go forward with the hearing on Monday. And we're going to let the hearing officer make that decision, keep it completely out of the Department's purview. And so the hearing officer will determine what the circumstances are, whether the hearing will go forward on Monday, or whether it will be delayed.

And I don't know what that term of delay is. We'll keep the Commission posted as that information comes forward. And so -- the -- now there are a couple of options really. The Secretary will decide whether the suspension -- or the hearing officer will make a recommendation to the Secretary, who will decide -- pardon me -- who will decide whether the suspension should continue, should end with an agreement or not, and/or -- or -- well, those are the two options, whether the suspension will continue, or whether it will terminate with either conditions or not. And that will be a decision to be made by the Secretary.

Mr. Chairman, I think that's -- oh. We had a great Halloween party yesterday at the Department. That sounds funny. But I'm really excited, because the staff really came together and had a good time. I believe that the morale at the Department is -- is -- is as high as it's been in a



long, long time. Folks are working hard. I think our productivity -- I would put our productivity up -- that would be competitive with other -- with private industry, as well as any other agency in State government. I'm pretty proud of our staff.

And they came together yesterday for -again, it's funny -- but for a pretty good Frito-pie
lunch. And so you can't go wrong with Fritos and
chile. So that was exciting. There were costume
contests and door decorating and things of that
nature. So I believe that our morale is -- is
improving. Folks are happier, and I think that
we're moving forward as a Department. And,
Mr. Chairman, with that, I will stand for any
questions.

THE CHAIR: I have one. It pertains to the -- the appointment of District 7 for the Public Education Commission. And I was remiss in sending that request to Beverly, because I just thought about it yesterday. But it's -- it's running on two years without an appointment for a vacant position. And so that's incredible to me. I work for Bernalillo County government. And Michelle Lujan Grisham left her post so she could run for Congress. And within a week, someone was -- was appointed from





the Governor's Office. So this is just -- it

baffles me. And it's disappointing not to have a

full Commission, because we represent the full State

of New Mexico and each individual community, and it

would be nice to fill that.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I don't have an answer for you, but I will try to find out where we are with it. And if we're not, I'll answer that as well.

THE CHAIR: I appreciate it. Commissioner

Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: A couple of questions, if I may, Mr. Chair, Mr. Deputy Aguilar. This morning, maybe I left with the wrong impression on this -- with the lease assistance and coming up with the standardized lease and all that. I thought that Ms. Fox and Ms. Matthews were taken out of the equation, and they were going to only use government or State attorneys, your attorneys, et cetera, those from the Roundhouse area.

I thought that those two, because -- well, one of my concerns was they are the attorneys for the charter school. And I left with that impression after we rewrote the -- the motion.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman and



6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



Commissioner, what I left with -- maybe we're -- we 1 2 weren't at the same meeting. I'm being glib. 3 While -- I think the concern was that they -- in the 4 initial motion, they were going to work -- they were 5 going to work with the PSFA directly to craft the -the -- the standardized lease agreements. 6 7 raised the issue, I believe, and so did member 8 Maestas. I think when it went to a task force, where there would be a number of folks, not just 10 PSFA and the private attorneys, that it was -- that 11 they came back into it. It could be just in an 12 advisory capacity. But let me visit with -- with 13 David and Mr. Gorrell, and I'll get an answer for 14 you. 15 COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you. My other 16 question, which was probably covered this morning 17 since, is Deputy Aguilar and I were up in the Roundhouse this morning -- is William W. and 18 19 Josephine Dorn Charter School. And you said this 20 morning, there's nine students. 21 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. -- Commissioner 22 Gant --23 COMMISSIONER GANT: And I realize that 24 they're saying that they can cover it with the 25 federal dollars they have now that are start-up, and



they're getting help from some downtown outfit to help pay for the lease, I guess. I don't know how that's working. But I -- I really question having five or nine, or however many they've got, how they can still afford to have enough teachers to pay the salaries that are required to pay, how they're covering administrative costs as who's running the place and all that.

And I think -- I think, Mr. Chair, we need a report or for them to come stand and explain to us how they're going to keep this school open for the next year, regardless of where the funds are coming from. You know, they talked about having 90 students to open the school. Well, I believe at one time, they had five. So they don't have their lease money -- they have lease money for nine students. They have SEG for nine students. And that federal money can't go very far after a while.

So I really think we need some kind of explanation from them personally in front of us, because we're the authorizer, and where they're going with this, you know. That's just my comment.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: And, Mr. Chairman, I was remiss. I wrote it down just as we started questions. So, for the rest of the Commission, the





William and Josephine Dorn Charter School, it was brought to our -- it was self-reported by Mr. Mike Vigil, their finance person about the third week in September, it was brought to our attention that they only had -- they had an enrollment of five students. So I brought them in to the Department to have a sit-down on their finances, on whether they would be eligible to remain open and whether they were going to be able to manage the year.

Mr. Vigil has laid out a financial plan, and I will e-mail a copy to each of the members on how they're going -- it's going to be tight. They have -- or they did at the time -- I believe that they're -- that they've let one person -- they've laid off one person, I believe, but let me look into that for sure. I want to verify that before -- that they had the principal and three teachers for five kids.

They had anticipated -- when they hired contracts, they had anticipated having 90 students, which didn't materialize. And so there were a couple of options. One of them was -- and we talked about it -- that they had the option of coming before this Commission and asking for a second planning year. Obviously, they chose not to do it,



because they're not here today.

The other option was -- and according to statute, the Secretary cannot authorize a school to operate with less than eight people. And so they came up with four kids between the third week of September and the first reporting date. And so they meet that test.

Mr. Vigil has indicated that they have the capacity to finish out the year. We've already begun clawing back the excess SEG that's been paid to them. And -- but I agree with Commissioner Gant that they should come before you and explain why -- you know, why they spent an awful lot of money to -- for only nine kids.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Mr. Chair, members and Deputy Aguilar, the other issue is that they laid out a plan for us when we authorized the school a year ago, how they're going to meet standards, and how they're going to raise the educational level of students that go to their school, et cetera, et cetera. So the concern I have is with the limited budget, the limited resources, which includes staff, et cetera, how -- they need to explain how they plan to meet these standards.

I mean, is -- I know you've got five



Well, you can have more time with the 1 students. 2 But still, there's -- need a plan, students. 3 because a year or two, three years down the road, when the Charter School Division is doing their 4 5 analysis every year, they need to have a plan in hand to cover this. And if they don't get any more, 6 7 even if they get 30 students or 40 students, I don't 8 understand how they can keep the school running to 9 meet the standards as required by the State and by 10 their charter. Thank you. 11 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman and 12 Mr. Gerlicz, can you please contact them and get it 13 on the agenda for next time -- for the next meeting? THE CHAIR: 14 That issue obviously brings us

THE CHAIR: That issue obviously brings us back to the -- the ongoing conversation of the Public Education Commission not being an independent, separate body, that we're indeed advisory to the Secretary. And it also brings to light what Kelly Callahan and the PEC have been discussing, is that we are authorizing -- we're authorizing new applications; we're authorizing renewals. But between these five-year contracts, what can the PEC do, what can it not do?

And the answer has been that we need to devise a protocol for all that. So there's a lot of



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

rules that haven't even been defined yet. And it just seems like things come up that -- that the PEC is really -- is really unable to address, because there's no codified body of knowledge on which to address it. So it's -- it's interesting. It's a lot. And with SB 446, what seems to tie in a lot of things may or may not.

We also had the discussion this morning about minimum education standards. And the -- the Secretary of Education had indeed called -- called minimum education standards out and said that that was the Legislature that hasn't defined it and that AYP was a, quote, unquote, "vestige of an earlier time." So what is it now, and how do we hold people to it?

And we ran through some examples. Is it a D? Is it a C for several years, or is it an F? And so there's a lot to be discussed at all levels with regard to education. So -- just made that come into my head right now as far as where we stand today.

Other questions for the Deputy Secretary?

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: In regards to the

minimum number of students, the law -- I don't have





the law right in front of me. But if they meet the minimum, then -- you know, then you can let them continue to operate. But if you see that that's not working out, I mean, we can -- I mean, surely, there are steps to be having -- just because they've met the bare minimum doesn't necessarily mean, you know, that things are working out there.

And -- and you do have the power -okay -- and I think -- and I think we have the
power. I mean, at some point, if they're in breach
of contract, then we need to take another look at
what's going on there and to see whether or not they
should be allowed to continue.

Chairman Garrison seems to be a little bit unclear exactly what the procedures are. But we need -- I'm trusting that you're keeping a close eye on it and -- and that we're taking all the steps we need to to make sure that that school is fulfilling its contract.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, with regard to the educational piece, I would defer to -- to Kelly for that piece. But with regard to their ability to operate from a fiscal perspective, the eight -- the threshold of eight is -- it doesn't -- once they've met that threshold, we really don't



have the option but to allow them to start.

We did ask them for a financial plan.

They've provided it. We have them on monthly reporting. We're looking at every expenditure that

5 they're making. Their monthly reports are by

6 invoice, not by -- not in general terms by category.

7 We're being very proactive on that, because the last

8 thing I need is to come to May and they can't meet

9 their payroll, and then I've got to find money to do

10 that, and that just takes away from someone else.

Now, we are going to do a complete review of their finances at the end of the second quarter; not just a monthly review. We'll be calling them in. We have our internal monitors that will be looking at their entire package to insure that we can, in fact, make it through to the end of the year.

So we're doing those pieces. To the question of quality of program, I can't answer that. And that's -- I think that's a question for -- and maybe not at this moment, unless you want it now. I don't know that Kelly's been there to visit. But, again, I would agree with Commissioner Gant. And as I've directed Mr. Gerlicz, we'll have them here at the next meeting, so they can answer those questions



1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	for you.
2	COMMISSIONER CARR: Okay. Thank you.
3	THE CHAIR: And I think that's a first
4	step is that we can weigh the four reasons why an
5	authorizer can close a school without seeing the
6	information and seeing how they can prove that this
7	is for the best interests of children, not just
8	educationally, but financially also. And we know
9	what the law says. So maybe on by that
10	yardstick, they stay open. But we need to measure
11	them at all levels.
12	Other questions for Deputy Secretary?
13	Commissioner Lopez?
14	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair, just in
15	keeping with your reminding him about the
16	appointment for is it 7? There's going to be two
17	more openings, which is Canfield's position and
18	mine.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: I'm 7.
20	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I was erasing
21	Commissioner Gant.
22	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: There can be a
23	reminder on that one, also, on these other two
24	positions, too.



Beverly, which is the opening?

THE CHAIR:

1	MS. FRIEDMAN: Five.
2	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: And no one ran for my
3	seat, and no one ran for Commissioner Canfield's
4	seat.
5	THE CHAIR: And so those are upcoming.
6	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: At the end of
7	December, they will be vacant.
8	THE CHAIR: Right. And my seat also. So
9	a lot of change. And I hope we hold a quorum,
10	Deputy Secretary.
11	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, for
12	clarification, is your seat not being run for,
13	either?
14	THE CHAIR: Correct.
15	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Okay. Can I get those
16	numbers? I'll
17	THE CHAIR: One, I'm District 1.
18	District 5 has been has been vacant. District 4
19	is Carla Lopez. Commissioner Bergman?
20	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I believe somebody
21	ran for Mr. Canfield's seat.
22	THE CHAIR: District 3, I think it's
23	it's Carmie Toulouse.
24	MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair?
25	THE CHAIR: Yes, ma'am.





Τ	MS. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chair and
2	Commissioners, I'd just like to mention a gentleman
3	came into my office about a week ago. And he is
4	from the Farmington area. And he said he was on the
5	ballot. And I'm not sure if he was on the ballot as
6	a write-in or as a formal candidate.
7	THE CHAIR: If we can just keep track of
8	it, I'll try to get a full Commission. I think it's
9	important that that a ten-member Commission that
L 0	represents the full state as best as we can, and all
L1	the wonderful individual communities that we
L 2	represent, I think that's obviously the best way to
L 3	go.
L 4	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, we
L 5	have Wednesday morning, we'll know, and then we
L 6	can
L 7	THE CHAIR: Right. We'll know a lot. Any
L 8	other questions from Deputy Secretary Aguilar?
L 9	Seeing none, I want to thank you, sir. I appreciate
20	the report.
21	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, may I have a moment?
23	THE CHAIR: Absolutely.
24	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, I want
25	to take a minute to express the thanks and the



couple of things. I want to take a minute to express the thanks and appreciation of the Secretary and myself for the extraordinary effort that Kelly Callahan performed under and gave to this Commission and to the Public Education Department in the time that -- since Ms. Matthews left.

She stepped into an incredibly difficult circumstance, and she did it with head held high, without complaining. She is a trooper of the highest regard. And I would be remiss without -- and I know you know this. But I would be remiss to not express the absolute appreciation and thanks of the Secretary and myself to her for -- for her extraordinary work. I believe that she is vital to the success of -- of the charter school effort going forward, to the success of -- I'm going to get it wrong -- SB 446. Proud of that? Got that right.

MS. CALLAHAN: (Indicates.)

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: And to the -- to insuring in the future that our -- that we have the highest quality charter schools that are meeting the needs of the children of the state. And when history is written of the charter school movement, Kelly will certainly be an important chapter in that history, because I think she's done extraordinary



work, and I look forward to continuing to work with 1 2 her to help us move this effort forward. 3 (Applause.) Deputy Secretary, I want to 4 THE CHAIR: 5 say that we applauded her this morning, but it was not a standing ovation. And I really do think that 6 7 you deserve one. (Standing ovation.) 8 9 MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you. 10 THE CHAIR: I will confess that I called 11 her right away after I had heard that she wasn't 12 going to indeed be the Director of Options for 13 Parents, and she talked me off the ledge and told me 14 how great that Tony Gerlicz is. And she didn't want to be exempt, and this -- this guy knows his stuff, 15 16 and he's coming in. He's from New Mexico. And it 17 just feels good. It feels good. It really feels 18 bad for me, because I'm out the door. 19 So this might be some sick joke of yours, 20 Deputy Secretary, that we have Hilary Noskin; we 21 have Tony; we have Kelly staying. And I'm gone, 22 huh? 23 DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Mr. Chairman, we 24 strive to hire the best, and sometimes it takes a



while.



THE CHAIR: Well, we'll call it circumstance and luck for the Public Education Department.

MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.

DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: And, Mr. Chairman, the last comment I'd like to make is I've had the opportunity to sit in your meetings and to work with the Commissioners. Those of you that are leaving, I want to thank you very much for your service.

Public service is the -- is one of the most noble types of service that can be done; true public service, not just for a paycheck, but to serve the best interests of the citizens of New Mexico and the children of New Mexico.

I personally want to offer my thanks to those of you that will not be back for your service, and I've had the -- I appreciate the effort to work with you, with each and every one of you. For those of you coming back, I'm going to try to hone my sense of humor for the coming year so we can have a great year. And I look forward to working with you as we move into the new year.

THE CHAIR: I want to open up the floor for any last Commissioner comments, not necessarily for the Deputy Secretary, but if there are any





1	closing comments we can make before we adjourn.
2	Commissioner Gant?
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: This is just a comment
4	to the Deputy. You're going to have to put up with
5	me for two more years over at the PSCOC.
6	DEP. SEC. AGUILAR: Life is good.
7	THE CHAIR: Nice answer. The Chair will
8	entertain a motion to adjourn.
9	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: So move.
10	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Second.
11	THE CHAIR: Moved by Commissioner Lopez,
12	seconded by someone did. So we'll say it's
13	Commissioner Peralta. Those in favor, say "Aye."
14	(Commissioners so indicate.)
15	THE CHAIR: Opposed? Seeing none, the
16	meeting is adjourned. Thank you, everyone.
17	(Proceedings adjourned at 2:20 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1 C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 4 5 6 7 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, CCR #219, 8 Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, 9 do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute 10 a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 11 matter therein stated. 12 13 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 14 hand on November 14, 2012. 15 16 17 18 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 19 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 20 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 21 22 23 24



JOB NO.:

5653K (CC)

