1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS VOLUME TWO
10	September 20, 2012 8:00 a.m.
11	Mabry Hall - Jerry Apodaca Education Building 300 Don Gaspar
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc.
20	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	
23	
24	TOD 370 - 5206T (GG)
25	JOB NO.: 5326K (CC)





1	APPEARANCES
2	COMMISSIONERS:
3	MR. M. ANDREW GARRISON, Chair MS. CAROLYN SHEARMAN, Vice Chair
4 MR. EUGENE GANT, Secretary MS. CARLA LOPEZ	
5	MS. MILLIE POGNA MR. VINCE BERGMAN
6	MR. MICHAEL CANFIELD MR. GILBERT PERALTA
7	
8	STAFF:
9	MS. KELLY CALLAHAN, Director-Charter Schools Division MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, PED Liaison to the PEC
10	MS. CHELLEY CHERRIN MS. RACHEL STOFICK
11	MR. BRAD RICHARDSON MS. KAREN EHLERT
12	MS. KAKEN EHLEKT
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	MR. RAMON VIGIL, PEC Counsel
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	





TO PROCEEDINGS 1 INDEX 2 PAGE 3 CALL TO ORDER 4 4 ROLL CALL 4 5 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND SALUTE TO THE 5 NEW MEXICO FLAG 6 APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL OF NEW CHARTER SCHOOL 7 APPLICATIONS, Continued 8 Κ. STARSHINE LISA LAW PEACE SCHOOL-SANTA FE 8 9 NEW MEXICO CONNECTIONS-SANTA FE 37 L. 10 83 Μ. TAOS MOUNTAIN CHARTER SCHOOL Application Withdrawn 11 TAOS INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL 83 N. 12 APPROVAL OF A DRAFT DOCUMENT FOR THE 98 13 PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORKS AND PERFORMANCE CONTRACTS 14 10. CHARTER SCHOOL DIVISION REPORT ON 113 SOUTHWEST LEARNING CENTER 15 16 11. PEC COMMENTS 126 17 13. ADJOURNMENT 144 18 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 145 19 20 21 22 23 24 25





1	September 20, 2012
2	(Hearing reconvenes, 8:00 a.m.)
3	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: I call back into
4	session this regularly scheduled meeting of the
5	Public Education Commission which was recessed
6	yesterday afternoon to reconvene this morning.
7	Chairman Garrison has let us know he'll be a few
8	minutes late this morning, so in order to keep on
9	time we're going to proceed. First I'd like to ask
10	Secretary Gant to call the roll, please.
11	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
12	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Here.
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
14	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Here.
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
16	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Here.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
18	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Here.
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr has not
20	arrived. Commissioner Pogna.
21	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Here.
22	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
23	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Here.
24	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Gant,
25	here. We have a quorum.





1	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you, sir.
2	Next is the Pledge of Allegiance. And I would ask
3	Commissioner Bergman to lead us in that; and then
4	Salute to the New Mexico Flag, Commissioner Pogna.
5	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the
6	New Mexico Flag.)
7	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Let me read to you
8	the statement of precedence and authority for this
9	meeting today.
10	This meeting is being conducted pursuant
11	to New Mexico Statutes Annotated, Title 22,
12	Section 8B-6K 2009. The purpose of this two-day
13	meeting is to hear the recommendations from the
14	Charter School Division, hear the applicants'
15	responses to the recommendations, provide the
16	opportunity for discussion among the Commissioners,
17	and for the Commissioners to take action on each
18	application.
19	According to NMSA 1978, 22-8B-6L, the
20	Commission may approve, approve with condition, or
21	deny the application. The Commission may deny an
22	application if:
23	One or pardon me A: The application
24	is incomplete or inadequate;
25	B: The application does not propose to



offer an educational program consistent with the requirements and purposes of the Charter Schools Act;

C: The proposed head administrator or other administrative or fiscal staff was involved with another charter school whose charter was denied or revoked for fiscal mismanagement, or the proposed head administrator or other administrative or fiscal staff was discharged from a public school for fiscal mismanagement;

D: For a proposed State-chartered charter school, it does not request to have the governing body of the charter school designated as a Board of Finance, or the governing board -- pardon me -- governing body does not qualify as a Board of Finance, or;

E: The application is otherwise contrary to the best interests of the charter school's projected students, the local community, or the school district in whose geographic boundaries the charter school applies to operate.

Prior to taking action on each of the applications, the Commission will follow this process:

The Charter School Division will have ten





1 minutes to present its recommendation. The 2 applicant will have 15 minutes to respond to the CSD's recommendation. The PED will have up to 3 4 30 minutes to ask questions of the applicant and/or 5 the CSD, but is not required to do so. Commission will hold discussion as it deems 6 7 necessary prior to holding a vote on the 8 application. As stated in law, the Commission will 9 then vote to approve, approve with condition, or 10 deny the application.

If the Commission decides to reject or modify CSD's recommendation to approve and/or the proposed conditions, the Commission will articulate the reasons for rejecting or modifying the recommendations and/or the conditions.

There is a footnote to that. And I will read the footnote.

6.80.4.13, NMACW: If the chartering authority denies a charter school application or approves the application with conditions, it shall state its reason for the denial or imposition of conditions in writing within 14 days of the meeting. The written decision must be based upon the vote that was taken at the public hearing and the -- and reflect the stated reasons for the vote of the



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

chartering authority to deny a charter school 1 2 application or approve the application with conditions. 3 4 Commissioners, are we ready to proceed? 5 (Commissioners so indicate.) COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: 6 Thank you. 7 Charter School Division Director Kelly Callahan will 8 have ten minutes for her presentation. 9 MS. CALLAHAN: Madame Chair, 10 Commissioners, thank you for this opportunity. Ιn the matter of StarShine Lisa Law Peace School, 11 12 Santa Fe, the Charter School Division recommends 13 that the application for the StarShine Lisa Law 14 Peace School, Santa Fe, be denied. The basis for this recommendation is stated in the Charter School 15 16 Division's Application, Final Recommendation and 17 Evaluation for the school, which is located in the Public Education Commission document notebook under 18 19 Tab 8K. 20 The basis for the recommendation is that 21 the application is incomplete and/or inadequate 22 based on the evidence identified from the 23 application rubric, from the application review team 24 analysis, and from the capacity interview team



analysis, which is located under Tab 8K.

1	A recommendation for denial means that,
2	overall, the application contains serious
3	deficiencies and is consequently incomplete and/or
4	inadequate. The deficiencies were not or could not
5	be clarified or corrected by the applicants during
6	the capacity interview or weren't addressed
7	substantively at the community input hearing.
8	Madame Chair, that is the recommendation
9	of the Charter School Division.
10	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank you, Director
11	Callahan. Would you let the record reflect also
12	that Commissioner Carr is in attendance?
13	At this time, I would ask that the
14	StarShine Lisa Law Peace School representatives come
15	forward to the table.
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: Madame Chair, would
17	you please request that all cell phones, pagers,
18	et cetera, all the noise-makers be turned off at
19	this time?
20	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Thank for you that
21	reminder. Would you please turn off all your
22	electronic devices? Thank you.
23	For the record, representatives of the
24	StarShine Academy, please state the name of your
25	school, the names of the founders of the school, and



1	any other person or persons who are here today on
2	behalf of your school. You will have 15 minutes to
3	respond to the recommendations by the Charter School
4	Division. Good morning.
5	MR. CONDOS: Good morning, Madame
6	Chairman, members of the Commission. I am Leo
7	Condos. I am a charter school attorney who's had
8	the privilege of helping over 300 charter schools
9	throughout the United States.
10	I have had the specific privilege of
11	assisting the StarShine Academy in Arizona for the
12	last ten years, including through their recent
13	20-year extension. With me here we have the Arizona
14	primary backbone of the organization with Dr. Byron
15	Davies here. (Indicates.)
16	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: Pardon me for
17	interrupting you. I don't think the clip is on that
18	microphone to clip the volume button down.
19	MR. CONDOS: Oh, okay. I see.
20	VICE CHAIR SHEARMAN: And if each one
21	would use the microphone, please, it would help us
22	all to hear.
23	And please let the record reflect that
24	Chairman Garrison is now in attendance. Thank you.



With me is Dr. Byron Davies,

25

MR. CONDOS:

who has assisted the school with much of its curriculum and its analysis with respect to the peace component and some of the elements that seem to be a question.

I also have Mr. Rich Rose, who is the CFO of the Arizona StarShine, the parent of the model, so to speak, that is -- you know, we're requesting for New Mexico to look at. And we have two Board members.

MS. LYNCH: Donna Lynch.

MS. GUTIERREZ: And I'm Grace J.

12 | Gutierrez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CONDOS: And I think that's all the Board members who have made it so far.

First of all, when we look at this, I believe that you have to look at this school that's going to hopefully open in New Mexico as a replication of a school that has been operating in Arizona for approximately ten years. That school in Arizona -- and, hopefully, will be an indication of what you can look at here in New Mexico -- has been accredited by the NCA, and it is the only school in Arizona -- charter school -- that has obtained international accreditation, so that students here can have credits that will be recognized both in the



United States and in other countries.

The application before you clearly could have been written a bit better. I think we can all agree to that, especially after having reviewed the transcript and looking at some of the questions that were raised at that particular time.

What the Board has to understand, at least at this point, which wasn't really brought up at the last time, that while the application was being prepared and while it was initially submitted at the open meeting, the Arizona StarShine was going through the renewal process for an additional 20 years, and all the documentation items that needed to go follow that.

In addition to that, they were moving from their old campus to a new campus, getting ready for 250 kids, while they were proceeding with construction for the school itself, and also to -- for the expanded basis of their community efforts, which the school spawns for the parents in the community as well as the kids. So while that is not an absolute excuse and is not intended to, that would hopefully assist you in understanding why some of the detail or some of the specifics of their program may not have been totally clear.



The good thing is -- and, again, we're talking a replication utilizing the model that has been used in Arizona. The Arizona charter was granted a 20-year extension after operating for ten years. So the Arizona Board of Charter Schools felt that the school was doing adequately enough to give them another 20-year and continue on with their charter.

In reviewing the transcript, some -- and in some of the other questions in the rubric, it appears that there were some key areas that needed some clarification.

First of all, there needed to be some budget clarification, because it really wasn't clear, I believe, from the questions that you could really see where the money was and where it was going and how it was going to be utilized; some additional clarification with respect to the teacher-student ratio and how it was figured into the budget, since there was some questions as to the number of teachers possibly being inadequate to satisfy the programs that were being advocated; the role of the "peace" portion of the school, and how it was integrated into the total curriculum and total educational process; and then some question as



to the understanding of the board and their understanding or adopting of policies and procedures that would be utilized as the process goes through.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Santa Fe, NM 87501

(505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

When you, I believe, have a big opportunity that has been placed upon your shoulders, and that's to shepherd the charter school movement in the State of New Mexico, in that responsibility, I believe you all take very seriously the fact that you want to open a quality school that is going to benefit the students in New Mexico and be in accordance with the charter school statute.

That statute is one of the better statutes in the United States, because it has a number of specific items that want to be addressed.

Charter schools, by their very nature, are created to be different from the traditional district schools. Your statute says that the curriculum is to be structured in some sort of different, innovative way, with teaching methods -excuse me -- and effective practices, a little different from the norm that's out there.

The school is supposed to have and develop innovative forms of measuring the student learning They need to address how they're and achievement.





going to be assisting the students, especially the at-risk students, creating new opportunities for teachers, improving student achievement, providing parts [verbatim] and students with an educational enhancement for new developments, encouraging parental and community involvement in the public school system, using site-based budgeting and holding the charter schools accountable for that.

Those are very strong points. And the problems with charter schools are that, because they are a different type of educational system in the way that they teach the basic requirements that are required by whatever state we have, such as New Mexico in this case, they often don't lend themselves as easily to a review process or a rubric process, because there's some deviation in there. And I think that may be found in some of the questions and the answers that were raised in the rubric.

The school that's being proposed to you here in New Mexico will satisfy every one of those charter school requirements under the Charter School Act. It is a very unique type of school, in the sense that it is looking at the child as a whole. Scores by themselves don't always indicate the



student's progress. This school will focus upon high-risk students or students that are at risk. In all probability, the high population will probably be in the surrounding areas, you know, of a Hispanic type of background. And these are children that normally, in the system, often get lost. they're the children that need the assistance to break whatever cultural barrier they have which keeps people in poverty. And so their normal path could very well be, if they fall out of the system, to proceed down a road which will either lead them to problems with the law, gangs, their potential death in the future.

And so what StarShine's methodology is, to create a positive feeling in themselves to get them to school so they enjoy school. Because a lot of these kids usually were high non-attendance and In Arizona, their percentage is absentees. 96 percent of these kids come to school, because if they don't come to school, they don't learn.

With the educational assistance and the curriculum they've got, this school has utilized and brought in the best models that they could find. And so they're a proven type of educational

25 practices, which, again, goes along with the Charter



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

School Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They have submitted a quality school with respect to helping the child enhance themselves, to become a meaningful person in life, help them accomplish what their life goals could be.

One of the impressive parts of the Arizona school -- and I believe you'll see it here -- is they have a "peace" component, which also parallels with the United Nations program. They started originally with Oprah's Ambassadorship program and deviated a little bit to enhance a little bit more. But when you walk out to a campus -- and one of the reasons this is one of my favorite schools is that you're greeted by every one of the students, who you encounter.

First thing they say is they give you their name, and they reach out and shake your hand, a tool that we all need in latter life in business, in whatever needs to be successful, the communication factor. They state their name.

They're all given a country that they're the ambassador of; so there's a pride factor there. They will also tell you about other countries. They will tell you they're the ambassador from whatever country it is. And then they tell you what they're



going to be when they grow up, what their profession is going to be.

So those three items clearly establish a pride in the child, and it sets some sort of goal for them.

And that's what we have to look at is -you know, as well, is the whole child and the
learning environment that enhances a student to
proceed. And that's some part that wasn't as clear
in the application. But I believe last year when
they were here, they illustrated a lot of the
passion that they have and a lot of the passion that
exists with respect to this program. They're
committed to help these children.

Now, the other part that I believe you have to look at is when you you're reviewing an application -- and I would submit that the quality of the people and the quality of the program that's going to be coming before you --

MS. CALLAHAN: Five minutes?

MR. CONDOS: Five minutes? The -- that portion is evidenced here by having quality individuals who are bringing this for you. These individuals did some due diligence and found that they liked the StarShine program; they asked them to

REPORTING SERVICE



3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

come here and replicate the program.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 With respect to the financials, I have 3 Rich Rose here who can answer any questions that you 4 may have with respect to the financial aspects. 5 recently, this board -- these people on the board found an opportunity that might be even more 6 7 enhancing for the school and for the City of Santa Fe. And that's the St. Catherine complex 8 there, where some -- it is now available; the school 10 and some of their developers are talking about 11 possibly utilizing that. So we have two good 12 alternatives as far as where the school can go. 13 Both of these will enhance the community.

Now, specifically with the recommendation, the items talk about that the handout says that if an applicant school response to one of the questions is labeled incomplete or inadequate, it should receive a score of zero for that section. There are no zeros on this particular rubric for StarShine Academy. So, by that definition, your definition here, there really are no specific areas that have been labeled incomplete or inadequate.

In fact, much of it is a perception, a lack of clarity; the fact that much of it cannot be supplemented also reflects on this. But as you go





forward, I think we satisfy all the requirements that are there, that the application is complete, that the rubric shows that the average was 1.4, which is above the middle that partially meets, almost to the full-meet level. And, again, there's no zeros in this application to show an inadequate or incomplete application.

The program itself clearly follows and will enhance the purpose of the charter school statutes. There's no revocation of any fiscal mismanagement or anybody involved here. The governing body will be the Board of Finance. It's basically statutory. And a governing body — they understand governing bodies, have run it for ten years in Arizona, where you have the board who sets the policy, who is the final reviewer, financials — approves the budget. Then — and it's clearly not adverse to the best interests of the students around.

So we believe that the application has fulfilled the requirements that are set out in the statute, that there should really be no legitimate basis for a denial on those conditions.

However, even in saying that, we can understand where the Board may have some concerns.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And your statute provides that you can provide an approval as the contract is going forward, that you have certain requirements, three of which are in your paperwork that you pass out. But this Board can condition the approval on certain items, such as, "We want an updated budget to really reflect the operation," if you still have questions with respect to that; and any other conditions that you think maybe will give you a better comfort level with this particular charter.

But the school is committed to the students. It has a proven -- the model has a proven track record. And I'm hoping that this Board will approve the charter application, allow this new charter to open here.

The other part is that if this was a schlocky type of charter or a deficient type of charter, the folks that have come out and asked for them would not be putting their reputation on the line. We're talking about some quality folks in the community who have an interest in helping the children. They don't have to do that. They have good jobs. But they are making this commitment, and they have done their due diligence in figuring out that this model that StarShine uses is a good model



for what they want to utilize here.

Education will be aligned with all the State standards that you have. Measurements will be aligned with whatever measurements are required, plus their own measurements. As you notice in there, there was reference to Galileo, which was an assessment process. They'll test the kid in the beginning, and then they do an IEP of their own individual plan and then move forward.

MS. CALLAHAN: It's time.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for your time.

We're now to the point where we will -- the

Commissioners will ask questions of the applicant
and of the Charter School Division. The floor is

open for questions.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Lopez.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Clearly -- and I represent Santa Fe. And so clearly, you've brought passion; you've brought people; you have a school from another state. But you did not bring the one thing that this Commission is charged with. And that's evaluating the application. And it's two years in a row that this application has not -- not done the job.





And, you know, I really, really mulled this one over. I know all the -- I've spoken to many people from this school -- or some people from And you know, in my mind, it's -- it's this school. like you're saying, "Hey" -- or if I went to you and said, "Hey. I'm well-known in the community, and everybody trusts me, so lend me \$100,000, and we'll worry about the paperwork later. We don't know what the terms are going to be. We don't know how we're going to enforce it down the line." And I really struggled with this one.

I really cannot support it now; I just cannot. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Lopez. Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Mr. Chair, members, only a couple of comments, was -- I expected, as I do with all of them, when they come to address us for the 15 minutes, to address specific issues and how they could be fixed. Didn't hear it.

If I went to a court of law, and there were issues in the court of law, I would expect an attorney to address specific issues that were brought up by the Commission. And I didn't hear it.

I would have liked to have heard from the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

locals, who -- who will represent the schools, not someone from Arizona. I want somebody I could talk to that has ownership in this state to the charter. Didn't hear it.

I'm not going to ask any more questions, because I asked probably too many of them on the -- at the community hearing.

And lastly, we all do it. But the issues in Arizona are not problems in New Mexico and should not have even been brought up, in my view. I know that sounds hard. But that's what they're paid to do is fix the issues in Arizona and give us a solid charter in the State of New Mexico. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Gant.

Other questions from Commissioners? Then I will give -- I will speak my piece. As I look through the -- the recommendation by the Charter School Division, it is about an application that can be improved, and I think you all are closer than you think.

And if I could give you some of my
philosophy on the high-risk student that you're
trying to reach, I think that's the important piece
that needs to be expanded on and needs to be
showcased in unison with the other things that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you're showing.

So let me speak to that. I think the great positive for you all is you have an established school in Arizona. Yes, Arizona is different from New Mexico. But it is still children. And if they're high-risk, they're high-risk. If you look at the six criminogenic needs, factors of children who are incarcerated, if you look at children with -- if you don't have self-esteem, you don't have much. If you don't have knowledge, you cannot build self-efficacy.

I would love to see those things in the application. How are you going to stare down at the awful things that are happening in these children's lives, and how are you going to rebuild them? Where is the counseling team? Where is the budget that's going to have this system of support, versus what I saw was a -- one ESL teacher in the budget? There are just things lacking that aren't matching right now.

You had mentioned some wonderful things, and I totally agree with you. Look at -- some of them growing up, just being a meaningful person. I don't know if you -- if -- if you meant to say that, but I really liked it; to just be meaningful, to be



able to -- to go into society and be a meaningful part of it. I always tell people that I like to be the -- I like to be part of the sum of things. I just like to be part of the sum of things, because we're all -- we're all connected. We're all inseparable in a way.

How are you going to make that connection through attuning and through role-modeling and through imitation?

I would love to see the attitudes-and-belief surveys, children assessing their quality of life, and your team of teachers doing something with that information, to speak to the individual child. When I stare at at-risk, you're looking at sexual abuse; you're looking at a child whose father was murdered in a drug deal, and then three years later, his mom was killed. It's just those things that you do not read about, you do not see too much in the media, because it's that bad.

It's almost like the car wreck on the freeway that you don't -- they're not going to show the body, because it's traumatic, because it's gruesome. Some of these children -- most all -- if they're labeled as at-risk, believe you me, it is



at-risk.

And those are the things in the application, to match the organizational structure, to match the budget to that absolute goal of rebuilding some of these kids. And they're -- some at-risk kids, it's just about where they live or maybe how much -- or lack of money that parents are making.

Maybe they don't have all those six criminogenic factors and needs going on. They're resilient. How are we going to build resilience? I link that to the University of Pennsylvania -- there's a wonderful children's program, the Resilience Program up at Penn University. And -- well, U. Penn. And those things in this application, I think you won't be hitting singles or doubles; you'd be hitting home runs.

But please come back before this

Commission and bring everything and put it in the application. I really don't think you're that far away. But you need to work hard on this document. Any other questions? Concerns? Seeing none, we will entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Mr. Chair?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Bergman.





DR. DAVIES: Excuse me. Do we get to 1 2 respond to your question? I didn't ask one. 3 THE CHAIR: 4 DR. DAVIES: Didn't ask a question? 5 THE CHAIR: Yes. Thank you, Dr. Davies. 6 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: We're ready now. 7 THE CHAIR: We're not ready, because I 8 want to hear what Dr. Davies has to say. 9 DR. DAVIES: Thank you. Thank you. 10 of the things I want to talk about StarShine and why 11 it's a peace school, why -- we don't have a lot --12 and we do have SpEd people; we do have ELL people; 13 we do have the professionals that deal with that. 14 But where we succeed is by creating a 15 unique environment that creates respect in kids, 16 respect for themselves, love for themselves. 17 give a lot of -- well, we call it "love" -- to the kids. We believe in noncoercive education. 18 19 don't try to beat anything into the kids. 20 appeal to their goals and to what they want to do. 21 I also want to address the specific issue, 22 because this came up in the earlier session, about 23 why StarShine is a peace academy. 24 StarShine was founded in the wake of 9/11 25



to make a difference in how people understand and

deal with one another. StarShine has worked with the UN since its inception and was honored last week by a visit from the United Nations Director of Global Learning. StarShine has managed the UN Peace Art contest, and currently has a collection of 30,000 items of peace art from children around the world.

Each year, starting in 2002, every
StarShine campus hosts 11 days of peace and
sustainability, running from 9/11 through the annual
UN International Day of Peace on 9/21. Each of the
11 days, students and teachers, with the help of
distinguished visitors, explore a different topic in
peace and sustainability, ranging from celebrations
of art and music to demonstrations of solar energy.

Today, for example, kids and teachers at our school in Phoenix are exploring peaceful school-making.

During the entire school year, we are guided by StarShine's 15 guiding principles, many of which address issues in peace-making, international awareness, noncoercive behavior, and how to create value through financial awareness and entrepreneurship. We collaborate scientifically with scientists and engineers around the word to



better understand how people can successfully work together in small and large groups.

I personally just completed another visit to Zurich, Switzerland, to continue a collaboration with Dr. Rudolph Starkerman on development of his mathematical models of human behavior. While in Zurich, I also attended a conference on commercial developments in cold fusion energy production and am now beginning the development of curriculum materials on this topic for our STEM program.

I want to show you a few photographs from my visit to Switzerland. This is important, so please be patient. My assistant will show the photographs.

Be aware -- that's the first one. Be aware that I undertook this visit at no cost to StarShine, and stayed in a youth hostel one night with seven roommates to keep my costs down.

First, the photo with Rudolph Starkerman who is helping StarShine to understand the intricacies of human behavior. Second -- the second photo. Sally?

THE CHAIR: Dr. Davies, do me a favor.

Limit the comments to perhaps a response to my

comments, would you?

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



DR. DAVIES: Well, one minute, please?

THE CHAIR: Sure, go ahead.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. DAVIES: Okay. Second, the photo with Andrea Rossi, the developer of the E-Cat cold-fusion device, which promises to bring limitless, pollution-free energy to mankind at a very low cost. Third, the one I'm most proud of. On that day, I had run out of clean StarShine shirts and hadn't done laundry yet, so you see me out of uniform.

I was next to a busy square in Baden,
Switzerland, approaching an elevator to take me down
to the river level. As I approached, a small bird
hit a window above and fell to the ground
unconscious, its beak pointing down into the metal
grate, which formed the surface in front of the
elevator. While others stood around, more stunned
than the bird, it seemed, I picked up the bird and
held it in my hand.

While many people and a few dogs passed by, I held the stunned bird in the palm of my hand, stroking its head and its chest and its wing feathers. At first, its legs were splayed, but it gradually gained control of its muscles. I sat and I sat, finally waiting a half-hour for the bird to regain its composure and accumulating a couple of



tiny droppings in the process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

Initially, the eyes were closed, but gradually began to blink. Finally, it stood erect, flapped its wings and was gone.

This, ladies and gentlemen, is the kind of care and patience we exercise with the least among us, helping to build the best. I'll end by slightly paraphrasing a quote from a spiritual friend of There is a tide in the affairs of men, which, taken at the flood, leads on to greatness. I don't need to continue with the bad part, because we know this will turn out well. This is such a tide. StarShine is making a difference worldwide. you to help StarShine become The School Different for The City Different. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr. Davies. what Dr. Davies is speaking to is what I think is your -- is your strength. And that's expanding the socio-ecological model of these children who are at risk; many of them, home, if they have one, isn't that great, in their perception. And the only other thing they have is school. And so to expand that to community and international networks is that difference. Yes, ma'am.

> MS. GUTIERREZ: Can I speak, sir?



THE CHAIR: No, this is the -- I will not 1 2 allow any more -- and this is why, is because this 3 is the Commissioner's question period. And so --4 MS. GUTIERREZ: I just wanted to speak to 5 what you had said. THE CHAIR: No, thank you. Commissioner 6 7 Canfield. 8 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chair. I would like to add some comments. And 10 I'm going to follow along with what 11 Commissioner Lopez said. And that -- I am here 12 today listening. I was also at the public hearing. 13 And I hear the passion, the commitment, and your 14 mission. I get it. I think, to give you some 15 feedback, I'm sorry I cannot support this. 16 that's not why. 17 So my -- you mentioned in your words, you 18 know, that you gave us about accountability. I'm 19 accountable to the citizens of the State of New 20 Mexico. And when -- when -- we have a fiduciary 21 responsibility. And when we attended the -- looked 22 at your application and attended the -- the communications session, I have no confidence that --23 24 that there was ability to manage the budget. 25 were unable to answer questions about the budget.



1	And so my advice would be is if this
2	doesn't pass today and you do decide to come back, I
3	think it's an easy sell on your mission. I think
4	what you need to demonstrate is the ability to
5	manage the school in the context of State of New
6	Mexico.
7	That would be my advice. And I wish you
8	all the best.
9	MR. CONDOS: Mr. Chairman?
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
11	Canfield. Well said.
12	MR. CONDOS: Mr. Chairman, if we could
13	respond?
14	THE CHAIR: No, sir. I've already given
15	some great consideration already.
16	MR. CONDOS: We understand that.
17	THE CHAIR: So we will continue with any
18	other questions or comments by Commissioners.
19	Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion.
20	Commissioner Bergman.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I need to do it.
22	THE CHAIR: And we're back, deja vù.
23	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I'm attaching my
24	high-technology device.
25	I would move that this Commission consider



the following resolution:

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whereas, the Public Education Commission,

pursuant to the provisions of the Charter School

Act, received and reviewed a charter school

application from StarShine Lisa Law Peace School,

Santa Fe;

Whereas, the Public Education Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Charter School Act, held a public hearing regarding said application;

And, whereas, the Public Education

Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the

Charter School Act, held a meeting on September 19

and 20, 2012, to hear the recommendations of the

Charter School Division of the Public Education

Department and comments from the charter school

applicants.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the application of StarShine Lisa Law Peace School, Santa Fe, be denied for the following reasons:

Overall, its application contained serious deficiencies and is consequently incomplete and/or inadequate. The deficiencies were not or could not be clarified or corrected by the applicants during the capacity interview or weren't addressed

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



	119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492 SSOCIATES, Inc. 201 Third NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 843-9494 FAX (505) 843-9492 FAX (505) 843-9492
	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes. SANTA FE OFFICE MAIN OFFICE
24	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
23	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Carr.
21	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
17	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
15	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
13	Secretary Gant.
12	proceed with a roll-call vote through
11	Is there any discussion? Seeing none, we will
10	THE CHAIR: Seconded by Commissioner Gant.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
8	reason.
7	Education Department in support of the above-listed
6	by the Charter School Division of the Public
5	Evaluation of this charter school applicant issued
4	adopts the Application, Final Recommendation and
3	Education Commission adopt the Final excuse me
2	Be it further resolved that the Public
1	substantively during the community input hearing.





1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
2	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
4	THE CHAIR: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Gant
6	votes yes. Nine-oh in favor; none opposed.
7	THE CHAIR: The motion passes unanimously,
8	and the StarShine application is denied. I want to
9	thank everyone for their hard work and for your
10	attendance today and for the support that you bring.
11	MR. CONDOS: Thank you, Commissioners.
12	THE CHAIR: Item L is New Mexico
13	Connections in Santa Fe. It's a virtual school.
14	And we will begin with the Charter School Division
15	recommendation. Ten minutes.
16	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners,
17	on the matter of New Mexico Connections Virtual
18	School in Santa Fe, the Charter School Division
19	recommends that the application for New Mexico
20	Connections Virtual School, Santa Fe, be approved
21	with provisions that must be completed pursuant to
22	the Charter School Act and will become material
23	terms in the charter school performance contract.
24	The PEC may identify other potential
25	material terms which will be part of the performance



contract negotiation process.

The basis for this recommendation is stated in the Charter School Division's Application, Final Recommendation and Evaluation for the school, which is located in the Public Education Commission document notebook under Tab 8L.

The statutory provisions that must be completed by New Mexico Connections Virtual School, Santa Fe, are:

1. Planning Year Checklist. The applicant will complete the 2012-13 Planning Year Checklist, as developed by the Charter School Division, according to time lines set forth therein, which shall include regular progress reporting to the Charter Schools Division.

No. 2: Board of Finance designation. The governing body of the charter school must submit an application to the Public Education Commission to be designated as a Board of Finance pursuant to 6.80.4.16 NMAC, on or before the end of its planning year, June 30, 2013. The applicant acknowledges that it shall not receive any state or federal funding, if available, until such time as it has been granted Board of Finance status by the PEC.





Public Schools Facility Authority,

PSFA, certification of facilities. The charter 1 2 school will demonstrate to the Charter School Division that, prior to commencing operations, that 3 4 it has sought and obtained certification from the 5 PSFA, that the facility the charter school intends to occupy will receive a weighted New Mexico 6 Condition Index, wNMCI, rating equal to or better 7 than the average condition for all New Mexico public 8 schools for the year the charter intends to occupy 10 the facility, or, the charter school can demonstrate that within 18 months of occupancy that it has a 11 12 plan for achieving the wNMCI. This is pursuant to 13 22-8B-4.2(C), 2011, New Mexico Statutes 1978.

4. Performance contract. The charter school shall be effective upon the applicant and the Public Education Commission negotiating and signing the performance contract, pursuant to 22-8B-9, New Mexico Statutes 1978.

5. Commencement of operations. Prior to the end of the planning year, June 30, 2013, the charter school shall demonstrate that it has completed the above provisions stated herein, or by operation of law, before commencing full operation for the remainder of its charter school term. This is pursuant to 22-8B-12, New Mexico Statutes 1978.



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And that is our recommendation. 1 2 Thank you, Ms. Callahan. THE CHAIR: Please introduce yourselves and your title 3 morning. 4 and any other person here that's representing the 5 school for the record. 6 MR. GESSING: My name is Paul Gessing, 7 board member. 8 MR. BOITANO: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 9 name is Mark Boitano, board member. 10 MR. LOPEZ: Patrick Lopez, board member. 11 MS. ROBINSON: Good morning, all. My name 12 is Jeanine Robinson, board member. 13 THE CHAIR: Good morning. You have 14 15 minutes to give us your presentation, and you may 15 begin. MR. BOITANO: Mr. Chairman and members of 16 17 the PEC, good morning. We want to thank the Public Education 18 19 Department for their recommendation of our charter 20 application. Our eight board members worked hard on 21 the application. We're very excited about the 22 possibility that this charter will mean for students 23 and learning throughout the State of New Mexico. 24 We have talked about, together, the -- the 25 importance of approving high-quality charter



schools, and we've shared common concerns about the mediocrity of charter schools in the State of New Mexico. And the process that you've created, along with the Charter School Division and the PED, I think is very, very important. It was validating that the PED recognized the capacity of our board and the merits of the application, as is evidenced by our score.

We appreciate the new application process, which is quantifiable through the work the PED is doing on developing and scoring based upon a rubric. We understand initially, there were 40-plus letters of intent, and 14 applications came through. And three applicants actually withdrew their application, and the PEC considered eleven charters. And the fact that four of eleven were approved by the PED, again, I think underscores the significance of the new system that we've created that will lead to higher-quality charter schools in the State of New Mexico.

Our board has reviewed the PED final recommendations and evaluation to the PEC thoroughly, and we will work with the Public Education Department on refining and improving the goals of the charter contract.





In particular, there were three areas that the PED asked us to focus on.

Number one, accountability goals. We feel it's important to note that meeting the State average is a significant goal, given that many of our students will have previously failed in their earlier school. The reviewers also were concerned that the statewide average fluctuates; but, in comparison, our population will also fluctuate as the school grows.

Our school may be a temporary place for many students, as stated in the mission statement, where we put for a period of time. We think the goals are high-achieving goals, but we're willing to work with the PED to refine and elaborate on those goals.

Number two, special education. All our policy will be created through the planning year and will be in alignment with New Mexico special education law. Each of the issues outlined in the feedback will be addressed, including due process for special ed students.

The third area that the PED asked us to take a look at was our organizational plan and governance. An organizational chart was provided on





Page 44 of our application. Employee procedures, 1 2 including due process, will be created over the planning year and be in alignment with the 3 4 New Mexico Personnel Act. We will also submit a 5 date and time line for the lottery and identify the conditions for disenrollment, reenrollment, and 6 7 transfers, and how that applies to the lottery 8 process.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, you know, experts tell us that virtual schools are the next step in a process that began in the 1980s with correspondence courses, further developed with online learning. And virtual learning is certainly a wave of the future. Experts tell us that virtual learning allows us to customize and personalize so that students can grow and develop and learn at their own pace, which is very significant.

We talked about that during the initial meeting with you. We had a neurologist that came and testified that virtual learning is really the normal way that the human mind learns and develops and grows. And certainly, virtual schools are a method to bring about transformation within the education system.

You know, I know there's been some concern



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



on the part of the Commission about our State law and whether or not our State law allows virtual schools. It's been an interesting question. We've had a number of attorneys look at the opinion that you've seen from the Charter School Division. And the attorneys that we've talked to have said it's a very weak opinion.

We talked about this a little bit when we met with you, because several of the grounds that that opinion are built on reference State law that does not apply to charter schools.

It references attendance zones; and charter schools do not use attendance zones. It referenced enrollment areas; and, as you know, charter schools are specifically exempt in State statute from enrollment areas.

You know, there's ambiguity in the law; I think we can all agree to that. But nowhere is there a prohibition; nowhere in the law is there a prohibition on allowing virtual schools in the state of New Mexico.

You've heard testimony from the LESC. You have quite a bit of documentation from the LESC regarding the research that they have done over a long period of time on charter schools and virtual





schools. When asked whether or not there's a prohibition in State law for approving charter schools [verbatim], in a public hearing, the LESC said no, there is no prohibition to authorizing virtual schools.

So I think we can all agree there is ambiguity. But we cannot all agree that there's a prohibition in State law when it comes to authorizing charter schools [verbatim].

Mr. Chair, members of the Committee, there's been a tremendous amount of support for this school. We have over 3,000 people in the state of New Mexico that have indicated an interest in enrolling in a school that doesn't even exist. You know, should you authorize us and we be allowed to bring this school onto the table of choice for students and parents in the state of New Mexico, there are lots of people that are very interested in enrolling in this school.

We had over 40 letters of support from a broad coalition of people in our charter application. And, as you heard during the -- the Commission hearing, we had to hold back the public testimony and the evidence of support for New Mexico Connections Academy because of the limit of time.



So there's been a tremendous amount of support.

2 So, again, we thank you for the process that you've helped to create -- along with the PED 3 4 and the Charter School Division, to create highly 5 accountable and very good charter schools in the State of New Mexico. We believe our application 6 7 fits into that criteria. We have the recommendation 8 of the PED, and we hope that you will vote in favor of authorizing New Mexico Connections Academy. 10 Thank you.

11 THE CHAIR: Thank you, gentlemen.

12 Questions from Commissioners? The floor is open.

13 Commissioner Bergman.

14 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you,

15 Mr. Chair. I do not have questions, per se, but I 16 do have comments that I wish to bring forth at this 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time.

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

1

I'm going to start by reading from the Charter School Act, from the 22-8B-6, Section (L). This Legislature has provided specific reasons why this Commission -- we have to use to deny a charter school application. And one of those reasons is that the application is otherwise contrary to the best interests of the charter school's projected students, the local community, or the school





district in whose geographic boundaries the charter school applies to operate.

Now, since you have applied to be a statewide virtual charter school, and you have indicated in your application that you are going to recruit students statewide and enroll students statewide, then that, in essence, makes the entire state of New Mexico your district. And let me tell you the reasons why I read that.

I believe that if this application is approved, it would establish a precedent which future statewide virtual charter school applicants would be able to point to the New Mexico Connections and state that, "Well, our application was as good as theirs, and the PEC approved that application; therefore, they must approve our application."

I further believe that an approval of this application will lead to a flood of new applicants in the next two or three years from other groups that want to have their very own statewide virtual charter school. And another provision in the Charter School Act states that no more than 15 new charter schools may open in any given year. That means that, theoretically, at least, we could see 15 new virtual charter -- statewide virtual charter



schools in the next cycle that would more than likely have to be approved; and then each subsequent year, the same possibility would happen.

And what I would see coming down the road, then, is -- and as Mr. Boitano has indicated, there is no legislative guidance, really, in this.

There's no prohibition; but there's also no guidance from the Legislature in this particular area.

So my -- I guess this is a question. No, it's not a question. I'm just going to make it a comment, an observation.

I foresee that in three or four years in this state, that there could be a fairly large number of these schools operating in this state, 20 30, 40, and they all will probably ask for an enrollment cap similar to what this one is; they could ask for 1,500 students or 2,000 students. And the implications are that these 20 or 30 schools would be drawing out of the pool of students in this state 30,000 or 40,000 or 50,000 students, if they had caps of 1,500 or 2,000 students each.

That will have significant negative impacts on the 89 school districts in this state. I just saw a piece in the paper just a few days ago that indicated there's about 330,000 students in





this state right now. So 30,000 would be -- a 1 2 little bit less than 10 percent. That means that the potential possible -- and I acknowledge it's a 3 4 hypothetical -- could have an impact on those 5 89 districts, there would be a direct funding impact on those districts, because that funding would 6 leave -- for instance, I'm from Roswell. 7 8 funding from all the students from Roswell that join this charter school will leave there and come to 10 Santa Fe, because that's where you indicate your 11 offices will be.

So I just wanted to raise that concern, because I have not seen anybody else, one, raise that concern; and then I would just reiterate that that negative impact on the 89 school districts in this state -- or potential negative impact -- would not -- would not be in the best interests of the students of those 89 school districts, would not be in the best interests of all the communities in the state for which these students would be pulled from; and, perhaps most importantly, I think it would not be in the best interests of the students who are left behind who choose not to join these virtual charter schools. Because if there is a significant negative budget impact in their district, classes



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are going to have to be canceled; teachers are going to have to be laid off.

You'll see headlines about extracurriculars being cut back or canceled entirely, arts and the sciences, the choirs, and the bands, and everything else.

And that's the concern that I have about this application. And I do feel that it's not in the best interests of the students in this state at this time. And I certainly believe that the Legislature needs to get involved in this. And they may do it in the next session, and just -- so that what I just put forth doesn't happen. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner
Bergman. Commissioner Canfield.

COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Good morning. Good morning,

Commissioners. I take a little bit of a different approach to this. And I don't specifically have

21 questions -- actually, I don't have any questions.

But I do want to say a few things and how I feel about this.

I am really in support of this. And I think it -- we really need to look at, from the



3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17



standpoint that back when this traditional education model was -- was developed many, many years ago, things were a certain way. And they're not that way anymore. The world has changed. Our kids have changed. Technology, obviously, has changed significantly; and maybe values have even shifted a little bit. And I'm not saying that's right or wrong; I'm just saying that things are different. And we continue to try and tackle the problem with the same methodologies we used years and years ago.

And so I think we have to have an open mind to this to try -- how do we address the educational problem in New Mexico and in our country? I know in this -- in our public hearing, we talked about social interaction as an issue. And I agree that social interaction is important. But I think maybe when I was younger, I needed help with that, or maybe I would feel like everyone needs help with that, no matter how old they are.

But maybe our kids are different, and they don't require as much social interaction. I don't think that's good or bad. It is what it is. We have a younger generation. They think differently; they work differently; they learn differently. And so I think we need to understand that.



I know that numbers are going around that there's a 40-percent dropout rate in our educational system right now. And, you know, in business, if that was a metric, that's a -- that's a failure. In any other organizations, if you lose 40 percent of your customers, you're a failure. And so I think we need to keep an open mind to those 40 percent and how we can -- how we can salvage those individuals. And that alone, if this virtual school could address some of that 40 percent, I would vote for it. So I think it's that important.

You know, we talked about costs. And I appreciate the Commissioner's comments. But I think that this could offer us significant opportunity. I'm not sure it's right now; I'm not sure the model is correct; I'm not sure the laws are proper or correct. I'm really not sure of that. But I know that we've got to try.

And I think if you think about it, that education, I think, is our most expensive line item in our budget today. And we see that budgets are shrinking, anyway, and we see that costs are going up: The cost of bricks and mortar, the costs to save ourselves from being sued, the costs of being sued. The costs are tremendous in our traditional



educational model, as it is. I think this could offer us a cost savings in the long run and still be able to educate our kids.

You know, is there risk here, I think there is a risk for our Commission to approve this. I'm willing to take that risk. I don't understand the legal issues. I know they're there. We talked about them last time, and maybe that's not to be addressed at our level. But I think maybe we want to send a message that says that, you know, we need to look at this. We need to embrace virtual learning in our system, because it offers so much potential.

I know there's cross-district funding issues. And if I'm a district in Roswell, I've got some concern. But I've also got kids that are dropping out there, too. And I've got to look at change, because if I don't, my revenue is going to shrink anyway; my costs are going to go up anyway. And you know, that's a death spiral in business. If your revenue is shrinking and your costs are going up, what do you start doing? You start cutting services. You start with the fat; then you hit the meat; then you hit the bone, and you're out of business.



I think we're on that death spiral, anyway, as costs escalate and we remove programs from schools. So I think this is potentially a solution for some of that. So I think we need to take the risk. I think we need to send the message to our legislative group that we're willing to embrace this. So I'm in support of this. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you Commissioner Canfield. Vice chair Shearman.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. We had this discussion before. And I appreciate the discussions of the other Commissioners. However, I am looking at a legal opinion rendered by the PED's counsel that says, "The Public Education Commission does not have the authority to approve virtual charter schools that are not brick and mortar, discernible as buildings, designed to educate students in particular places."

I am looking at a letter from the Attorney General's Office, in which it states, "The plain language of section 22-1-4 focuses upon residence, attendance areas, allowable class sizes and other descriptive terms that suggest, when passing this legislation, the Legislature had in mind the



physical presence of children in school buildings."

I am looking at the PED's own rule on distance education, where it says that all interaction, face to face, between student and teacher, may not be replaced with distance learning.

I, too, wish the Legislature would take action and solve this dilemma for us. No, it does not prohibit online or virtual schools. Neither does it approve, nor give us the ability to approve a virtual or an online school.

I think if Commissioners vote today to approve this virtual school, we will be stepping beyond our legal authority to do so. We have no authority to make law, nor to make rule. And I feel if we -- knowing that we have these legal opinions before us, if we take it upon ourselves to approve this school, regardless of whether you agree with online or virtual education or not, that, to my mind, is really not the issue today.

Today the issue is, can we legally authorize this school? And from everything I have read, every opinion I have seen, official opinions, the answer is no, we cannot. Until either the Legislature or the courts clarify whether a virtual school in New Mexico is legal, we don't have the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

authority to override the courts or the Legislature and, in their place, say, "Yes, we may authorize a virtual school." We do not have that authority.

And I must say today, as I have told you before, I cannot support this application for that very reason. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair

8 Shearman. Commissioner Pogna.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I agree with practically everything
that Mr. Canfield stated. And I won't repeat it.

But -- so I'll just tell you the story about my
daughter. She is an adult. She is getting -finishing her third year in -- in her master's at
the University of North Carolina. And it's been
practically 99-percent virtual instruction, which is
absolutely perfect for her.

I know that in virtual education, you have to be -- the child has to be disciplined. My daughter would have succeeded at it whether she was in first grade or now, as an adult getting her master's. This is an area that many students could utilize and succeed in. And I think that we -- whether we have the authority or not, I believe that we have to pursue this. Thank you.



1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Pogna.

Secretary Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Mr. Chair, members,

I'm just going to make some comments. I'm not going
to ask any questions. I've asked probably too many
questions the last time. But I would start with
saying that if we check around, school districts
like APS, Las Cruces, Santa Fe -- you name them -the larger ones all have a virtual component of
their brick-and-mortar schools. Children can
take -- students can take virtual classes,
dual-enrollment classes, et cetera. But they still
have to face a teacher. They still have to be in
attendance, and their seat's in a chair. That's
part of the system.

The State of New Mexico requires that all students be availed the opportunity for a free public education, that public schools will provide materials, to include combinations of textbooks, learning kits, supplementary materials, and electronic media, computers and peripherals.

That's Section 22-15-7(C), New Mexico's NMSA 1978. Section 22-15-17(A) NMSA states that, "Any qualified student or person eligible to be" -- "to be a qualified student attending public school,





a state institute, or a private school approved by the Department, in any grade from first through twelfth of instruction, is entitled to the free use of instructional materials."

Section 22-15-7(C) states that, "Any school district, institute, or private school or agent receiving institutional material pursuant to the instructional materials law is responsible for distribution of the instructional material to eligible students and for the safekeeping of the instructional materials."

Since the charter application, if granted, will receive funds in the form of SEG from the State to operate the school, then the charter school is responsible for distribution of educational materials to students, all students, purchased with State funds.

There was an article in the New Mexican dated 8 September. It was reported that Senator Boitano said, "Charter" -- "The charter school includes" -- "This charter application includes a provision that the school will provide computers at the fifth year mark of its existence." Then he said -- this is a quote -- "That's something" -- "This is some-" -- he said, "That's something



parents and teachers need to figure out" -- excuse
me -- "...parents..." -- excuse me -- "...parents
and students need to figure out."

This would tell me, as a parent, slash student, that from the start, from the first day of school of operation, that they, the student and parent, must provide all IT required to attend the school.

I do know there's a paragraph in there that talks about IT, 33 percent and all that. But this is a statement that was in the public.

This is against all State statutes for public education. Read and discuss information from that -- excuse me.

This also sets up a system which -- which the students whose parents and guardians can afford to provide computers and peripherals. What about the student that lives in -- and we have many of them in Cruces -- that live in hotels, cheap motels, just to live somewhere, that do not have Internet connections? They will not have an opportunity to go to this school.

It's a barrier. This is the same thing as saying, "No tuition"; you will not have a tuition in a public school, which a charter school is. They





cannot even expect to go to this charter school.

All students should be provided computers regardless of economic status. The district cannot pick and choose -- districts cannot pick and choose which students will receive required educational materials, such as books, reference materials, calculators, et cetera, based on a student's economic needs.

The same should be for charter schools for providing the required educational materials to support teaching of all students in the core curriculum. You're required by State law to provide all materials required by the student to get through -- to be successful in the core curriculum; not the extra-curriculars, but the core. Computers in your application are essential to success of teaching the core curriculum.

I wonder about the legality of providing
Internet services to a family using SEG when there
is the possibility that family members will also be
using -- be able to use the connection for work
and/or pleasure when the student is not on the
system. Will we allow taxpayers' money to do that?
Parents may bring work from home and connect to
their individual offices to complete. Are we not



paying part of the bill for their work in their
offices when they work in an office?

3 Lastly, as an elected official who has, as 4 do other elected officials, been sworn to uphold the 5 New Mexico Constitution and statutes, by voting in favor of this application, I firmly believe I will 6 7 be -- will not be honoring the oath that I have 8 taken. This oath requires that I support all students, and not just those that can afford to 10 attend the school as proposed. Thank you, 11 Mr. Chair.

12 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Gant.
13 Any comment or response?

MR. BOITANO: Mr. Chair, yes. Thank you.

I think Mr. Gessing and I would like to just

address -- respond to a couple of the concerns that

Commissioners have.

MR. GESSING: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
Bergman, I wanted to respond to your specific issues
that you brought up relating to the potential
flooding of the market, if you will, with virtual
charter schools. And while this is certainly not
the strategy that we are -- as far as I know, anyone
else -- has put together, it would be a strong
indicator that if that large of a number of students



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was pursuing a virtual school in leaving their existing school for that opportunity, that this opportunity for the virtual school is really providing something that they are not getting in their current educational environment.

And, for us, the school system exists -this all exists, the building here, has to exist for
the children, not for the benefit of the districts
and the adults and anyone else working in those
buildings.

And so what we need in New Mexico -- and this is one aspect of this larger issue -- is we need a -- a system that is set up for the benefit of the children, for the educational opportunities, to provide those for the children in those schools. We need a system that's flexible enough to successfully adjust and do everything that's necessary to serve those children.

So I don't think that the problem you outlined is likely to occur. I don't see that many -- I don't have a crystal ball. But I don't see how many -- how that many of these types of schools will come to be. This would be the second serving New Mexico, with the one in Farmington being the other that I know of. And, you know, if this is



an option that is pursued by that many children -our view has never been that it is a universal
option that all children are going to want to be in
a virtual school.

But if the numbers have been dramatically lowballed, and it turns out that there is far greater demands than we can ever fulfill, I think that's a strong signal to the existing school systems that they need to address that market need and expand it for the benefit of the children and their families. Thank you.

MR. BOITANO: Mr. Chair, you know, there's a lot of -- I've heard a lot of comment about the negative impact that this school will have on the system. And, you know, if the question is will students enroll in virtual schools, the answer is absolutely, yes. Will -- will students enroll in charter schools? Absolutely, yes. And if that means they exit the traditional system, and that's a negative thing, then so be it.

Because that's the purpose of the Act.

You know, be mindful. In 1999, before we passed the Charter School Act, students and parents had one choice. They didn't have any choice. They were forced; they were coerced to go to the school that



was in their neighborhood. They had no choice. The purpose of the Charter School Act is to give students and parents choice.

So, you know, if the Commission perceives that students leaving the traditional system and going to a virtual school is a negative thing, that's fine. But in the spirit of the Charter School Act, that's what we want to do. We want to give parents and students more choice. And I think, you know, we've made a compelling case that the quality of education delivered, provided by this virtual school, will be very good. And so I just kind of want to mention that.

As, you know, Commissioner Gant, as far as the computers go, I'm sure you've never had this experience when being interviewed by the print media. But you spend 15, 20 minutes, and you say a lot of stuff. And then one sentence comes out. One sentence comes out. Our -- our application says that the goal is, by the fifth year, when we have sufficient funding, every parent and student will have a computer. That's the goal. That's in the application.

For the first couple of years, we may not be able to provide that to families, to students.



And that's in our -- that's in our charter application. And parents need to enter a virtual school, students need to enter a virtual school, as they do with any charter school, with their eyes wide open, knowing that that being on the table, and us telling them up front, it may not happen the first year or two, but it will happen by the fifth year.

So anyway, again, thank you. I think we could -- there's obviously a difference of opinion in a number of different areas. But I just want to remind the Commission, this is the reason why we passed the Charter School Act. And parents and students choose these schools. And they are, to some degree, responsible for the outcomes. So they're a part of the whole accountability system that we're trying to address, parental involvement, parental engagement, higher quality charter schools. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Senator.

Commissioner Lopez and then Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Good morning. I have a real concern about connectivity. It really isn't anywhere it should be in New Mexico. And that creates a two-tiered system. The ones who can get





connectivity will be the ones who can attend this 1 2 school, and the ones who can't won't. And this 3 recent trip that we took on all these public 4 hearings for these applications, we were coming back 5 from Carlsbad and we had no, no connection from Carlsbad all the way to I-40. It was -- like, we 6 7 were doing research or something. 8 So it really does create a two-tiered 9 I mean, the satellites aren't going to 10 point their satellites down at a community that has 11 three subscribers. And I don't see how you're going

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Lopez.

Did you have a question, or is that just a

statement?

to make it equal-access.

I'm real concerned about that.

COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: No. A comment.

It is public money.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: A comment, and then a point of reference. First of all, point of reference. Public Code 6.80.4.12F specifies, "A charter school shall be a nonsectarian, nonreligious and non-home-based public school that operates within the geographic boundaries of the public school district." This regulation precludes this



12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And

district -- this charter, in my view, from operating outside of the boundaries of Santa Fe School

District.

Secondly, I understand, from across the United States and watching what's going on, and my readings, there's a lot of leadership, and some in this state, that want to see privatization -- more privatization of public schools. The Constitution of the State of New Mexico doesn't allow for privatization of public schools. It says, "All students will have a right to a public -- free public education." This is not free. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair?

16 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Gant.

17 | Commissioner Carr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARR: I have some comments.

And you guys have probably heard my speech on virtual schools before, but I'll add a few things.

Recent studies have shown that virtual schools are not effective for students. They have shown just the opposite, that their test scores are lower, and, of course, they're not as adept at interacting with



other people.



And so I guess I'm reading a

different set of expert opinions.

I believe that our children come first.

The institution -- the educational institutions that we have in the state exist for the sole purpose of serving students. And we have done so for over a century in this state -- well, longer than that.

And to make any reference that we're not -- you know, the schools and the institutions are not here to employ teachers, to employ administrators. They are here to educate children. And I don't think anybody objects to that. But I kind of get that I am getting a different answer.

Children come first. And before minor arguments about the law -- and I don't think they are minor arguments; I think they are significant. I concur with Commissioner Bergman and Commissioner Shearman and Commissioner Gant on everything that they said. They said it -- stated it much better than I can at the present time.

I'm not willing to put students at risk in an experiment that hasn't been proven to work in the past. I support virtual education for certain individuals, certain classes, as something that adds to a curriculum that's already within a brick-and-mortar school. As a veteran, I would not





put my soldiers at risk without looking at all the data and doing all the research that needs to be done.

There is a great deal of -- there is a great movement in other states where states that allow charter schools for profit. And adding in computers to the mix makes that profit go way up for those schools that are out of state. You don't have to have very many teachers; you don't have to have all the facilities; you don't have to have all the interaction that you need.

Teachers cannot be replaced with a computer. I'll -- guess I'm getting too old, maybe, and maybe I'm just old-fashioned. But I don't think so. Parents can't be replaced with a computer. You know, you can't play football on a computer. You can't play soccer on a computer. You can't play soccer on a computer. You can't do experiments in a science lab on a computer. Well, they say you can; but, you know, I don't want a doctor working on me who had all of his classes on a computer.

And if you -- I'm -- and then last thing
I'll say is, in our system, parents are not held
accountable. When we do the test scores and teacher
evaluations and school evaluations, they're not held



accountable. They're supposed to have their kids in school every day; and, if their kids are truant, they could be held accountable. But they're not even held accountable under the current law in regards to truancy, most of the time. So -- great. We've been working on getting parents involved all the time.

And I cannot support this for all the reasons that I stated, and all the reasons that Commissioner Gant, Commissioner Shearman, and Commissioner Bergman also stated.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Carr.

Are there any other questions? Commissioner

Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's not a question; it is a comment. Just kind of responding to what I heard there -- your response -- we're not going to go back and forth. But you may have taken my comment as my being opposed to virtual learning. I am not opposed to virtual learning.

I am actually a very strong supporter of IDEAL New Mexico, which is a state arm that provides distance learning to kids all over the state, to kids who don't have access to things like physics, for instance, because they're in too small a school



8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

district.

A specific instance that I heard a person from IDEAL New Mexico share several years ago, which included a student in my district, which includes Capitan, which is where this student was, a little tiny district. And this young lady wanted to take French, because, of course, Capitan only offered Spanish. She took French through IDEAL New Mexico. And that's great for that young lady. And I know that's happening right now all over this state.

So I am not opposed to virtual learning or distance learning. And, yes, what I laid out there probably is a worst-case scenario. But I felt like somebody needed to say it, because if we wait, and it turns out I am right, then it's going to be too late to correct the problem, which is why I then talk about the Legislature. It needs to be corrected before a possible worst-case scenario does happen in this state.

But I support distance learning and virtual learning, but not in this particular context. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner

Bergman. Any other questions from Commissioners?

Senator Boitano, we had a -- an exchange the last





meeting in the community input hearing in which you 1 2 asked, "Can the Public Education Commission approve an application with conditions?" And we, indeed, 3 4 And when I think of conditions, I think of 5 the -- the funding considerations for virtual charter schools, the funding formula work group, 6 September 5, 2012, this work was done by the 7 8 Legislative Education Study Committee, and Dr. Harrell, Deputy Director, authored this work. 10 And it states all the funding issues, the 11 organizational issues that come about with charter 12 So this would be a hypothetical question schools. 13 for you being in the Legislature. If the Public Education Commission 14 approved with conditions to address a lot of these 15 16 issues cited in this report -- in this work group 17 report, and if they indeed address them adequately, would that give your school -- and let's say it's 18 19 signed by the Governor and is passed as a bill.

Because the legislative session, of course, isn't starting till the new year, and then -- and then by the time a bill is signed and -- it's hard to get ducks in a row when you don't know

Would that give this school enough time to -- to get

going for the planning year?



20

21

22

23

24



if there's any finish line yet.

So this, just, hypothetical, if you could give me your thoughts on that.

MR. BOITANO: Well, Mr. Chairman, that's a -- that's a very good question. You know, the first -- I mean, the answer is -- I mean, from my viewpoint, it would be very difficult. Because, first of all, whether or not the Legislature will act is very speculative. Secondly, which areas might they act on? Because you outlined a number of different areas there.

And, you know, it may be that they act on one area, but not another. It may be that they act on two areas, but not a third and so forth. So -- that's a good question. I don't know. I mean, frankly, I would -- I would probably -- and I might be speaking out of turn here, because I haven't talked to our board about this. But I think it would be kind of, you know, a slippery slope if we acted on speculation what the Legislature might do.

It's probably a much better thing to act on what we know is -- is true now, what we know is in place in rule and in statute, rather than in speculation of what the Legislature might do.

I mean, I see David back there. And I





Harrell from the LESC. But I know that the LESC is considering language to address some of the issues that have come up. But we haven't actually seen -- and we're not going to see the bills until November. We actually haven't seen the language yet at all. So we don't even know which areas we're going to be addressing. So it would be kind of a slippery slope.

THE CHAIR: And another part -- the other side of that is the -- when Governor Bill Richardson -- they created the Cyber Academy Act, it was a State-led collaboration between all the stakeholders, the Higher Education Commission, folks trying to get this thing right by making sure that all bases are covered. And I guess I would need an update for the PEC. Maybe we could get a presentation -- Beverly, or Ms. Callahan -- a presentation on IDEAL New Mexico, to see where it's at right now, and who's -- who are the stakeholders in it and who isn't -- who isn't involved that should be involved in this -- in this effort.

Because I don't know what IDEAL is doing and not doing, who they're serving and who they're not serving right now, as we sit here today. And so



that's a big piece of it when looking at the initial intent of the Act and the plan that was made by -by the former Secretary of Education. And so when we look at partisan politics and executive control over education, I think more of that is going to come about, that you're at risk that if a Governor doesn't win reelection, then does the next group who are going to grab the low-hanging fruit of children and low-hanging fruit of education going to be forced to -- we're going to make change because New Mexico is ranked 47th, and then here comes more Is "A-through-F" gone tomorrow? So those change? are some of the things that worry me.

The other side of this is that I don't believe that the State law will address these things until there's a school. Now we have a live example, and now there's things happening that we need to either stop; we need to improve; we need to augment; we need to expand. I really think that because all the innovation is on the technological side, virtual education is about innovation and invention, and who knows how many patents going on right now through the USPTO.

But you're looking at -- that's where things are pushing the envelope. State law, in any



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

state, is always going to follow that. It will always follow that. It will never lead it.

Innovation isn't in the bureaucracy. So it is a

4 lot, Senator. It's a heck of a lot. So --

MR. BOITANO: Well, Mr. Chair, I would remind the Commission that there actually is a virtual school up and running in the state of New Mexico now. I know you're aware of that.

THE CHAIR: The New Mexico Virtual Academy in Farmington.

MR. BOITANO: I would say, too, what's good for the goose is good for the gander. And I was around when we changed the whole structure of public education in New Mexico in the State level. So I was around when we had a State Board. I was around when the Board hired the Superintendent. I was around when we had the discussion and so forth about changing all of that, creating a PEC that was advisory only, not policy-making, and giving the authority to a Secretary of Education that was accountable to the Governor, and who was elected by the people of the State of New Mexico.

So these are hard decisions. And it's kind of interesting, because I'm a minority party member. And I supported those changes, knowing





that, you know, sometimes we're going to have a

Democrat in the governor's seat, and sometimes we're
going to have a Republican.

But I think policy needs to be broad-based. And, like I said, if that was -- if that was good policy when we have a Democrat in charge, it's the same when we have a Republican in charge.

So these are tough decisions for you. But this was the authority that we gave to the Secretary, the PED. And, you know, State law allowed you to authorize a charter school. So I, you know, am hoping that you guys -- and girls -- will really take that in consideration and understand that, you know, really, the purpose -- that one of the main purposes of the Charter School Act is -- you know, we talked about choice; but innovation and quality and focus on those bedrock principles of what we're hoping to see. And as you mentioned very well, this is really a step in the right direction when it comes to innovation.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Commissioner?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman, I





1 simply want to make a person- --2 THE CHAIR: Press that down, please. 3 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Personal comment, 4 since I'm the oldest one around here, and I know a 5 little bit of history. Long ago, when the Legislature created the task force for charter 6 7 schools, I was on the State Board of Education, and 8 I was appointed. And Senator Boitano was a new senator at that time. And I think that was 19- --10 1998, when we started on the task force of charter 11 schools. And Senator Boitano has been a very strong 12 advocate of charter schools since then. And we 13 appreciate it. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Any other questions from Commissioners? 15 16 going to call a -- this may seem untimely, but 17 there's a rhyme and reason. I want to -- I want to consult with my legal counsel and also with 18 19 Ms. Callahan. So I'm going to call a 15-minute 20 break. We're in recess. (Recess taken, 9:45 a.m. to 10:10 a.m.) 21 22 THE CHAIR: The Public Education 23 Commission is back in session. We are asking 24 questions, making comments on the -- the applicant 25 before us. Any other questions or comments from



Commissioners?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seeing none, I just want to make a clarification with the applicant, that my statement on partisan politics wasn't about the dynamics of partisan politics. It was about the time element of education potentially changing every four years because of elections. So I just wanted to make that clear and for the record.

9 The Chair will entertain a motion.
10 Commissioner Shearman.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Whereas, the

Public Education Commission, pursuant to the

provisions of the Charter School Act, received and

reviewed a charter school application from

New Mexico Connections Virtual School;

Whereas, the New Mexico Education

Commission [verbatim], pursuant to the provisions of
the Charter School Act, held a public hearing
regarding said application;

And, whereas, the Public Education

Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the

Charter School Act, held a meeting on September 19

and 20, 2012, to hear the recommendations of the

Charter School Division of the Public Education

Department and comments from the charter school



applicants;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the application of New Mexico Connections be denied for the following reasons:

The application is otherwise contrary to the best interests of the charter school's projected students, the local community, or the school district in whose geographic boundaries the charter school applies to operate.

Furthermore, there is sufficient ambiguity in State law regarding the Commission's authority to legally approve a virtual charter school.

Be it further resolved that the Commission acknowledges the PED's rule, 6.30.8.8A NMAC, which provides as follows:

Distance learning courses provide an opportunity for schools within the state to expand their course offerings and expand access to learning resources. While distance learning technologies may occasionally be used in full-time education programming for students in unusual circumstances, asynchronous distance learning shall not be used as a substitute for all direct, face-to-face, student-and-teacher interactions, unless approved by the local board of education.



1	Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER GANT: Second.
3	THE CHAIR: There is a motion and second
4	by Commissioner Gant. Commissioner Bergman?
5	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I don't know if
6	anybody else caught it, but Commissioner Shearman,
7	in your second paragraph there, you said "New Mexico
8	Education Commission" instead of "Public Education
9	Commission."
10	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I stand corrected.
11	Thank you, Commissioner.
12	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The motion has
13	been seconded. Is there any discussion? Seeing
14	none, we will proceed with a roll-call vote from
15	Secretary Gant.
16	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
17	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
19	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: No.
20	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
21	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
23	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Carr.
25	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.



SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

-1	COMMICCIONED CAME: C
1	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
2	COMMISSIONER POGNA: No.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
4	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
6	THE CHAIR: No.
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Gant
8	votes yes. It's six in favor, three opposed.
9	THE CHAIR: The vote is six to three. The
10	motion passes, and the application for the virtual
11	school is denied. Thank you, gentlemen, for your
12	hard work on your application.
13	MR. BOITANO: Mr. Chair, members of the
14	Commission, thank you.
15	MS. ROBINSON: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER CARR: Correction on the
17	vote. That should have been seven to two. Oh.
18	There was three? Oh, seven to three? Six to three.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Six to three.
20	THE CHAIR: And I'm sorry. I lost my
21	place in my I guess I can't even call it
22	organization. But it's the New Mexico Connections
23	application is denied.
24	The next item on the agenda is letter M,
25	is Taos Mountain Charter School. I'd like to ask



1 the applicant to come down to the front table. Yes, 2 ma'am. MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, I just wanted to 3 4 let you know that Taos Mountain withdrew on Monday. 5 THE CHAIR: And I knew that. I'm going to drink more coffee. Hold on. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: I'm the old one up 8 here. What's your problem? 9 THE CHAIR: You have this? Look at that 10 technology. The Taos Mountain Charter School 11 application has been withdrawn. 12 Letter N is the Taos International School. 13 And they are at the ready. Please come forward. 14 We will begin -- before you do your introductions of name and title, we will begin with 15 the Charter School Division's recommendation. 16 That 17 will be ten minutes, and then you will have 18 15 minutes to respond. Please proceed, 19 Ms. Callahan. 20 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, in the matter of Taos International School in Taos, 21 22 New Mexico, the Charter School Division recommends 23 that the application for Taos International School



in Taos be approved, with provisions that must be

completed pursuant to the Charter School Act and

24

will become material terms in the charter school performance contract. The PEC may identify other potential material terms which will be part of the performance contract negotiation process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

The basis for this recommendation is stated in the Charter School Division's Application, Final Recommendation and Evaluation for the school, which is located in the PEC document notebook under The statutory provisions that must be completed by Taos International School in Taos are:

- Planning Year Checklist. applicant will complete the 2012-13 planning year checklist, as developed by the CSD, according to the time lines set forth therein, which shall include regular progress reporting to CSD.
- 2. Board of Finance designation. governing body of the charter school must submit an application to the Public Education Commission to be designated as a Board of Finance, pursuant to 6.80.4.16 NMAC, on or before the end of its planning year, June 30, 2013.

The applicant acknowledges that it shall not receive any state or federal funding, if available, until such time as it has been granted Board of Finance status by the Public Education





1 Commission.

2 Public Schools Facility Authority, PSFA, certification of facilities. The charter 3 4 school will demonstrate to the Charter School 5 Division that, prior to commencing operations, that it has sought and obtained certification from the 6 7 PSFA that the facility the charter school intends to 8 occupy will receive a weighted New Mexico Condition Index, wNMCI, rating equal to or better than the 10 average condition for all New Mexico public schools 11 for the year the charter intends to occupy the 12 facility, or the charter school can demonstrate 13 that, within 18 months of occupancy, that it has a 14 plan for achieving the wNMCI.

And this is pursuant to 22-8B-4.2(C) 2011, New Mexico Statutes 1978.

4: Performance contract. The charter shall be effective upon the applicant and the Public Education Commission negotiating and signing the performance contract, pursuant to 22-8B-9,

21 New Mexico Statutes 1978.

5. Commencement of operations. Prior to the end of the planning year, June 30, 2013, the charter school shall demonstrate that it has completed the above provisions stated herein, or by



15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24



operation of law, before commencing full operation 1 2 for the remainder of the term, pursuant to #22-8B-12, New Mexico Statutes 1978. 3 4 Mr. Chair, that is the Charter School 5 Division's recommendation. Thank you, Ms. Callahan. 6 THE CHAIR: 7 Applicants, please introduce yourselves and give us 8 your titles for the record. 9 MS. VIGIL: I'm Nadine Vigil. 10 lead organizer for Taos International School. 11 Founder Dr. Carlos Pagan cannot be here due to a 12 family emergency. And Mr. Michael Vigil is here as 13 our business manager. 14 THE CHAIR: Good morning. you have 15 15 minutes to respond and present. First of all, I'd like to 16 MS. VIGIL: 17 thank the Public Education Department Charter School Division for the recommendation that was made with 18 19 conditions, approved with conditions. We will work 20 closely with the Department and assure that these conditions will be taken care of. 21 22 Taos International School will be within the boundaries of Taos Municipal School District in 23 24 Taos, and it is a great opportunity for our students



from Taos to have another choice.

25

The -- it'll be a

dual-language International Baccalaureate program, 1 2 with offering a third language, which will be Mandarin Chinese. It will be a replica of the very 3 4 successful schools that are now in Albuquerque 5 New Mexico, New Mexico International School, and Corrales International School. 6 7 THE CHAIR: Does that conclude your 8 presentation? 9 MS. VIGIL: Yes. 10 THE CHAIR: Excellent. Thank you very 11 much. Commissioners, questions or comments? 12 floor is open. Commissioner Carr, we'll begin with 13 you. 14 COMMISSIONER CARR: I applaud your application for the new school. 15 However, I have 16 reservations in regards to the fact that Taos 17 already has -- does have five charter schools, which 18 gives our students a great deal of choice. They 19 already have a K-through-5, dual-language program in 20 existence, and plan to add Mandarin at Ranchos, I think, either next year, or at least the same year 21 22 that this school would start.



population.

state.

23

24

25



There are many fine charter schools in the

Albuquerque has a wide range and has a large

They can offer many specialized

schools, and maybe -- may or may not have the -- you know, the interest -- and the school district may disagree -- but, you know, can absorb schools like this much better.

Also, I mean, one of the schools that we approved with conditions in the last two days was offering an at-risk program in the South Valley of Albuquerque, and there's a lot of programs like that.

In Taos, what we've seen, in effect -- and I'll mention a word maybe you all have not heard for a while -- de facto segregation. And, whereas, we may have many schools where students go to -- you know, to get access to things that, you know, maybe, as poor children, they do not have access to, at-risk children and that sort of situation, in Taos, we've seen not all, but, you know, a lot of people going to the charter schools, where the majority of the children are Anglo. And in our community, which is -- prides itself on its relationships between Anglos, Native Americans, Hispanics, and, of course, we have small representations of everybody else of all cultural groups, I -- that is not one of the issues that we can deny on, but that is also a concern.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

By main objection is that it is not 1 2 something that's not already being offered by the local school district. 3 4 MS. VIGIL: In regards --5 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carr, did you 6 have a question for the applicant, or it's just a 7 comment? 8 COMMISSIONER CARR: No, it's just a 9 comment. 10 MS. VIGIL: Can I address the comment, 11 or --12 THE CHAIR: No. Commissioner Shearman, 13 let's -- let's try to get the applicant involved 14 with maybe questions, if you have questions, because I know I even did it. We make these comments, and 15 16 then they go nowhere, and you're dying, chomping at 17 the bit wanting to respond. This is question 18 period. Let's ask questions of the applicant. 19 Commissioner Shearman. 20 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I have a comment. 21 THE CHAIR: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But I'll try to 23 have a question. To build on what Commissioner Carr 24 said, looking at the information that the Charter 25 School Division has provided us with -- with this



year -- and we very much appreciate it -- there are 12 schools currently in Taos. Seven are traditional public schools; five are charters; three of those are State charters.

As I looked at enrollment in charter schools in Taos, there's one school that does not have an enrollment cap listed. I don't -- perhaps it's an old one, whatever. But of the four remaining charter schools, they have a combined enrollment cap of four -- of 740 students. In those four schools, currently, there are 471 students enrolled. That leaves 269 vacant slots in charter schools in Taos currently. Some of these schools actually show very large wait lists. However, the enrollment numbers provided by the Charter School Division show a very different story.

It appears to me, though, that with those numbers and the numbers of -- of schools in Taos and the number of students slightly over 3,000, that the purpose of the Charter Schools Act is being met in Taos, that there is flexibility; there is innovation; there is programs being offered that include individualized instruction, math, science, and art, focused programs, parental involvement, technology, online learning, project learning, just



to name a few.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

I do believe that Taos parents and students do have an educational alternative, that there are slots available for additional schools in the current charter school -- pardon me -- slots available for additional students in the current charter schools, and that there is no need for an additional charter school in Taos.

THE CHAIR: So let me interject -- thank for you that comment. I'm not trying to diminish the Commissioners' opportunity to comment. But we do have a discussion period that I ask after a motion has been seconded. And so that discussion period is -- is timed for you all to make comments, if you so wish. Does that make sense? That's probably what we should have been doing since the beginning.

Any other questions? Seeing none, the Chair will entertain a motion. Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: I would make a motion at this time that we deny the application of Taos International School. And I'll read through -- all of these may not apply. But overall, the application is either incomplete or inadequate, or, during the capacity interview, the applicants did



not sufficiently demonstrate the experience, 1 2 knowledge, and competence to successfully open and operate the charter school. 3 4 Do me a favor, Commissioner. THE CHAIR: 5 Move that microphone more towards you and read just a little bit more slow. Thank you, sir. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: And the Charter 8 Schools Act, in paragraph 16, Subsection L, Section 22-8B-6 NMSA 1978, states that, "A chartering 10 authority may approve or "-- "approve, or approve 11 with conditions, or deny an application. 12 chartering authority may deny an application if " --13 and, again, I'll refer to No. 5: "The application 14 is otherwise contrary to the best interests of the charter school's projected students, the local 15 16 community, or the school district in whose 17 geographic boundaries the charter school applies to 18 operate." 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second. 20 THE CHAIR: There's a motion; there's a 21 second by Vice Chair Shearman. Now, is there any 22 discussion? Commissioner Bergman. 23 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Actually, I might 24 add -- Commissioner Shearman, were you concluded



with your --

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes, sir, I was.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Right now, I'm

3 thinking this is more of a comment. I do have some

4 reservations about this. In the new rubric that the

5 | CSD is using, where they're scoring these

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 applications, your overall score was a good score.

7 But the area of your application that received the

8 | lowest score and was the weakest was the education

9 plan and academic framework, where you only scored

10 | 73-and-a-half points out of 96 possible points.

11 And, to me, that's the area that's the core of any

12 | school is the education and the academic framework.

13 | So that concerns me a little bit.

And, then, as I read through their analysis and their explanations, they just -- in that area, they just -- CSD made just a few general comments. They noticed that there was a -- the academic goals that were provided would provide an adjustment in target dates for achievement during a contract negotiation process. And it indicated that some goals, you did not provide. And they state that goals not provided would require development based on the information included in the narrative.

And they further went on to say that --

that some of the time lines for the development of





instructional program were vague and lacking in detail. And down at the bottom, they said the education plan, as written, is uneven. Those concern me; that just concerns me.

And as I looked at the Recommendations statement from the CSD, it does state there that, "We may identify other potential conditions and apply them." But I noticed CSD did not put forward any conditions as a part of their recommendation addressing these kind of specific issues.

And I guess right now, the question I would have -- am I allowed to ask Director Callahan a question, or is it strictly to the applicant?

THE CHAIR: We're in discussion. We had questions earlier in the --

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay.

17 THE CHAIR: -- Public Education

18 | Commissioner question period.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. We're past questions. Okay, no questions. So I do have those concerns. And I know, under the new SB 446, that contracts are now going to be negotiated. But I think some of these conditions, it's imperative that we don't wait until the contract negotiation process to decide what the conditions are going to be



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

imposed to answer these kinds of questions. 1 2 needs to be done in this setting and with this recommendation at this time. 3 4 So that's the comment that I want to make. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIR: I do want to clarify -- thank 6 7 you, Commissioner Gant -- that the motion is for 8 denial that's on the floor now that was seconded. So we are discussing --10 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Okay. 11 THE CHAIR: We're going to make a decision 12 on that motion for denial. Commissioner Lopez. 13 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I have a comment. 14 Just to say that I am going to support this motion. 15 I was frankly surprised that this got a 16 recommendation to be approved after the hearing. 17 thought there was -- it appeared to me to be a whole lot of problems, including what Commissioner Bergman 18 19 is raising and some things about the budget. 20 really surprised. And I went to every hearing, and 21 this one, I was surprised to see it. 22 So I'm not going to support this motion. 23 I mean, I am going to support the motion to deny. 24 Thank you.



The one community piece that

THE CHAIR:

was just glaring for me was that charter school enrollment, as a percentage of district enrollment, is 27 percent. Not that that's good or bad. This is allowing choice. But it's currently 27 percent of total enrollment of that district.

So Taos is unique, in that you don't see these numbers anywhere else in New Mexico. But it's happening in Taos. And so it just makes me wonder what -- at what point is an LEA obsolete and diminished to the point where you have empty facilities. I mean, do the charter schools get to pay -- to pay rent or lease those -- those facilities that are empty because the district doesn't have children?

That had no bearing on the judgment of the application. But I just wanted to make that comment, that we really did look at all aspects of the charter application's prospective impact on such a small community. And I believe the Taos Schools are a -- charter schools are a B, a B, and a C. And as Commissioner Shearman stated, they are not fully enrolled. They're not at capacity --

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: That's right.

THE CHAIR: -- right now. So just

interesting things to consider. Any other





1	discussion? Seeing none, we will proceed with the
2	roll-call vote through Secretary Gant.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Peralta.
4	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
6	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
8	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Carr.
12	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
14	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
16	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
18	THE CHAIR: No.
19	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Gant
20	votes yes. It's eight-one in favor.
21	THE CHAIR: Eight-one. The motion passes,
22	and the application for the Taos International
23	School is denied. Thank you for all your hard work.
24	MS. VIGIL: Thank you.
25	THE CHAIR: The Chair is going to call a



PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE

ten-minute break. Will that suffice, or do you need 1 2 more? Ten minutes? Okay. Just to -- just to de-stress a little bit. We're in recess. 3 4 (Recess taken, 10:30 a.m. to 10:45 a.m.) 5 THE CHAIR: The meeting is back in Item No. 9 is the Approval of a Draft 6 session. 7 Document for the Performance Framework and 8 Performance Contracts. 9 In the way of background, SB 446 was 10 legislation passed in 2011, which amended the 11 Charter School Act to increase accountability for 12 charter schools and authorizers. This was the most 13 significant change in charter school law since the 14 Charter School Act was passed in 1999. The current law is ranked as the fourth strongest charter school 15 16 law in the country by the National Alliance for 17 Public Charter Schools. 18 SB 446 has two main components: The 19 charter school's performance contract, and the 20 performance framework. 22-8B-9 and 22-8B-9.1 NMSA 1978. 21 22 In January 2012, the CSD received an 23 in-kind grant from the National Association of



24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949



Charter School Division in creating the documents to

Charter School Authorizers, NACSA, to assist the

meet the terms of SB 446. New Mexico is one of several states who were implementing similar accountability performance contracts and frameworks.

The CSD and the NACSA consultants have created the drafts before you after many early drafts and input from various stakeholder groups. Please note these documents are not in final formatting. NACSA and CSD will design copy-ready final documents -- excuse me -- for presentation quality.

Introductory training to the SB 446 contract and frameworks has been held for authorizers and charter schools. The purpose of the training was to provide information regarding the implementation of SB 446 and to get feedback about the early draft documents. The PEC received the SB 446 training on the contracts and frameworks on August 8 of 2012. The charter schools/authorizers -- charter schools, slash, authorizers -- impacted by SB 446 are new charter applications and charter renewals approved after July 1, 2012.

New charter applications approved at this meeting need a preliminary contract for their planning year. Since there are no students or





school information, negotiating the full performance contract will not be effective. The full contract may be negotiated in the spring, as the new charter school approaches approval for commencement of operations or when the PEC determines. Charters submitting renewal applications October 1, 2012, who are approved by the PEC will negotiate their 2013-2014 contracts after the PEC meeting in December, within 30 days after approval. The renewal char- -- excuse me -- the renewal charter schools, existing charters end June 30th, 2012.

Next steps:

- 1. The PEC has copies of the most current drafts of the performance contract and performance frameworks in their notebooks.
- 2. We request that the PEC approve at today's meeting the above drafts for public consultation and comment between September and November. And there is a proposed motion at the top of this page.
- A. The CSD has tentatively scheduled dates pending PEC approval for public consultation and comment meeting, November 2nd and 3rd,

 New Mexico Coalition of Charter Schools State

 Conference, as part of the PED/CSD presentation,





PSC -- PEC working group for consultation and comment, period.

November 15, Las Cruces PEC working group for consultation and comment. If PEC members can be present at the working group sessions, that will be beneficial. We can post the meetings if there is a chance of a quorum being present.

The NACSA national conference in Memphis,
Tennessee, will have sessions with the participating
states that are developing performance contracts and
frameworks. This will be an opportunity to network
and collaborate on common development and
implementation issues in terms of charter school
accountability.

The CSD and NACSA team will take input and comments and incorporate it into a final draft that will be submitted to the PEC at the December 13 through 15th meeting, 2012, for final approval. The monitoring tools and process will be developed by the CSD for implementation in July 2013.

The CSD will submit a report, as required by 22-8 B-17.1 NMSA 1978, to the Governor,

Legislative Finance Committee, and the Legislation

Education Study Committee after review and approval by the PEC and Secretary Designate of Education.



The report will include:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Comparison of the performance of charter school students with the performance of academically, ethnically, and economically comparable groups of students in noncharter public schools.

And, B. Assessment of successes, challenges, and areas of improvement in meeting the purpose of the Charter School Act, sufficiency of funding for charter schools, efficacy of the State Funding Formula for chartering authority funding, and suggested changes to State law or policy necessary to strengthen the State's charter schools.

Annual site visits by the CSD to all PEC authorized schools will take place in fall 2012, spring 2013, to provide technical assistance and progress monitoring.

Then the floor is open for discussion or comments by Commissioners. Commissioner Bergman.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I note at the bottom of the first page, where you read that the first tentatively scheduled dates for these public consultation meetings -- it says November 2nd and 3rd, the New Mexico Coalition of Charter Schools, but it doesn't say where that will be. Has it not



been determined yet? The other one, you say it's
going to be in Las Cruces on the 15th.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
Bergman, the conference takes place in Albuquerque,
and it has every year. So I apologize for that
oversight.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: No. I'm probably supposed to know stuff like that. In this case, I didn't. Now, we're already scheduled to meet on November 1st and 2nd is our next meeting.

THE CHAIR: I believe we usually have that in Albuquerque for that reason.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Oh. Have we been doing that in the past?

MS. CALLAHAN: I believe -- Mr. Chair,
Commissioner Bergman, I believe the conference is a
natural place to have this next meeting. And so we
wanted to tie it to that so that we could get, as
Commissioner Garrison -- as Chair Garrison read, we
want the PEC to be involved in these work groups and
to hear this input from the pub- -- the
stakeholders, the charter schools, the authorizers,
and other interested parties, communities, district,
et cetera. And so we want to try and make it as
convenient as possible for you all to participate,



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	if you are intending to attend the charter school
2	conference.
3	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: That's outstanding.
4	That means I will be able to attend, since I'll be
5	up for the meeting anyway. So that's what I was
6	really trying to determine. Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman.
8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.
9	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman, will we
L 0	receive information on this advance information
L1	on this? Is this a conference?
L 2	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
L 3	Pogna, that's correct. It is the State charter
L 4	school conference.
L 5	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
L 6	MS. CALLAHAN: And I believe that the
L 7	registration and, actually, Mr. Vigil is here.
L 8	Is the registration open for the conference?
L 9	MR. M. VIGIL: Yes. But historically,
20	we've invited the Public Education Commission to
21	attend as a VIP to our conference. And it's the 2nd
22	and the 3rd at the Mariott Pyramid in Albuquerque.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Vigil.
24	MS. CALLAHAN: So, Mr. Chair, Commissioner
25	Pogna, the PEC then is invited to attend this



conference. And just to put some information in context around what's happening, the CSD and the consultants that we work with are going to be doing sessions at the conference. And we've built into those sessions time for work group input.

And so that -- so it's all tied together.

And it makes sense, so we can roll out the drafts of the forms. We're going to get these out to the schools and the districts as soon as possible, if these are approved today, so people can have a chance to digest what's going on and bring good feedback so we can get an adequate amount and -- you know, information that we need to really tighten up these forms, make sure they're appropriate for New Mexico and the laws.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Finish off. Will we be sent an agenda? Will we be sent -- will the PEC receive any information in advance, as far as agenda, et cetera?

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner

Pogna, yes. We will -- we'll make a point of

getting the information from the Coalition and

disseminate it to the Public Education Commission,

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



because they have -- it's a wonderful conference, in 1 2 that there's strands for leadership, governance, instruction. And now we have a strand on 446. 3 4 have information about facilities. So I think it 5 really is -- and it's very well attended, usually, 400 to 500 -- 400 people from the state and the 6 7 charter organizations. So, yes, we will make sure 8 that the information is forwarded to you all. 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Callahan. Any 10 other comments, Commissioner Bergman? 11 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes. So they will 12 be coordinated so we're not sitting in our regular 13 PEC meeting at that thing while the workers are

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner
Bergman, that's not what's supposed to happen. No,
sir. The meeting actually is before the conference.
And the strands -- and there's actually two sessions
that are the same offered by CSD to -- so there's
one on Friday, one on Saturday. So there's
opportunity for people who are attending other maybe
leadership strands or government strands so they can
attend the working group. So they will have an
adequate opportunity for input for you all as well.

meeting at the very same time somewhere else; right?



Excellent.

COMMISSIONER BERGMAN:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank

1 you. 2 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Shearman. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Could I -- I just 3 4 have one question about the performance framework 5 itself. It's over on Page 6 in the financial performance framework. And as I read through 6 7 this -- and I know there's -- there's some 8 explanation here. But where you're using the ratios in the -- in the section where you're rating 10 "meets," "does not meet," and those sorts of things, 11 "Current ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1." 12 I know that you have people who really 13 understand all the financial business did this, and 14 they're the ones who came up with this standard. But is there going to be an explanation for 15 nontechnical folks, too? 16 17 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Shearman, starting with the Interim Director of the 18 19 Charter School Division, who needs the guidance as 20 well, it's -- it has gone through accountants; it's 21 gone through the Public Education Department 22 financial and budget analysts. And what we are in 23 the process right now of developing is a guidance 24 document that will walk through all three



frameworks.

And, yes, there will be a non-accountant's 1 2 explanation of what these mean and what schools need to do to achieve this performance level. 3 The one 4 thing I do want to just assure you is that the --5 the group from NACSA and the budget analysts here ran numbers, actual numbers, for the schools. 6 7 took ten sample schools. And the number -- it --8 it -- the design of the report that comes through is 9 very clear. You can see schools that are trending 10 It's very easy. And all of that and in positive. 11 will come out in the guidance document when we do 12 the oversight tools and the development. 13 So I think it'll be pretty clear to 14 anybody, once you see the report based on these frameworks, how well a school is performing or not 15 16 performing. And it's very evident in the ten 17 schools that we reviewed that were the pilot schools 18 or the sample schools. 19 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Okay. Thank you. 20 THE CHAIR: Any other comments or 21 questions? Mr. Canfield? No? 22 COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Move to adopt. 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Second. 24 THE CHAIR: Do we need to hear the full



motion?

Legal counsel?

1	MR. R. VIGIL: Is there
2	THE CHAIR: It's on the front of the
3	agenda item, Executive Summary, Roman numeral III.
4	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, yeah.
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Canfield, would
6	you just go ahead and read that.
7	MR. R. VIGIL: I think, for the record, I
8	believe it should.
9	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Mr. Chair, I'd be
10	happy to.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.
12	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: I move to approve
13	the drafts of the charter performance contract and
14	charter performance frameworks for public
15	stakeholder consultation and comment before the
16	final PEC approval at the December 13 and 14, 2012,
17	PEC meeting.
18	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Second.
19	THE CHAIR: And that was seconded by
20	Commissioner Bergman. Is there any discussion?
21	Ms. Callahan?
22	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners,
23	if I may, the the drafts of the paperwork in
24	front of you was printed. And NACSA has requested
25	that I read this statement into the record. Because



1	they are helping us and using proprietary templates,
2	if I can read this, and these will be included in
3	the drafts, then, that will be sent out? If I may?
4	THE CHAIR: Sure.
5	MS. CALLAHAN: This, again, is from the
6	National Association of Charter School Authorizers.
7	And it says that this document means the
8	framework document carries a Creative Comments
9	license, which permits noncommercial reuse of
10	content when proper attribution is provided. This
11	means you are free to copy, display, and distribute
12	this work, to include content from the application
13	in derivative works under the following conditions:
14	Attribution. You must clearly attribute
15	the work to the National Association of Charter
16	School Authorizers and provide a link back to the
17	publication at the Web site,
18	www.qualitycharters.org.
19	Noncommercial. You may not use this work
20	for commercial purposes, including, but not limited
21	to, any type of work for hire without explicit prior
22	permission from NACSA.
23	Share-alike. If you alter, transform, or
24	build upon this work, you may distribute the
2.5	resulting work only under a license identical to



this one. For a full legal code of this Creative

Comments license, please visit

www.creativecomments.org. If you have any questions

about citing or using NACSA content, please contact

And just to clarify, we are free to edit and do whatever it is that we need to do. If you -- if you looked at previous iterations of this document, it was very different in April when we started, to what we have now, because of what is necessary for New Mexico law to meet the requirements. From input that we've received, the preliminary input from the various stakeholders, we've made changes.

The group that has been working on it -and I'd like to recognize Rochelle Cherrin, who is
an Educational Administrator from CSD, and Julia
Barnes, who is a consultant, who is hired by the
CSD, who have worked in conjunction with the NACSA
consultants.

And so we -- we are not bound to the absolute language of this. We are free to do it -- what this does is protects that proprietary -- the look and the formatting and everything like that so we can have credit. I did speak to the -- our



us.

consultant that we're working with at NACSA. And we 1 2 can absolutely change, update, create, whatever it is that we need to do to make this document usable 3 4 for New Mexico and for the Public Education 5 Commission. THE CHAIR: Understood. 6 Thank you. 7 Commissioner Bergman. 8 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: In response to what 9 you just said, Director, I would like a copy of what 10 you just read for my file. I don't see it in the 11 materials. So I don't know if the other 12 Commissioners want it, but I would like to have a 13 I don't want to get it copy of that for my file. 14 out of the minutes. MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and Commissioner 15 16 Bergman, absolutely. I received this late -- or 17 early morning yesterday. And so I will e-mail and 18 forward this to you all so you can include it in the 19 documents. And anything that we print or send to 20 the schools, we'll have this as well. 21 COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Thank you. 22 THE CHAIR: Perfect. Other comments? Wе 23 will proceed with the roll-call vote with



COMMISSIONER GANT: Don't wake me up.

Commissioner Gant.

24

1	Commissioner Peralta.
2	COMMISSIONER PERALTA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Canfield.
4	COMMISSIONER CANFIELD: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Bergman.
6	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Lopez.
8	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Carr.
10	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Pogna.
12	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Shearman.
14	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Garrison.
16	THE CHAIR: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER GANT: Commissioner Gant
18	votes yes. Nine-oh in favor.
19	THE CHAIR: Unanimously, the drafts of the
20	charter performance contract and charter performance
21	frameworks are approved.
22	Item 10 is the Charter School Division
23	Report on Southwest Learning Center. In way of
24	background, in May 2012, the PED received a letter
25	from Albuquerque Public Schools regarding a student



who obtained credit from Southwest Secondary

Learning Center, SSLC or SWLC, period. PED

responded to APS and SSLC that the PED would review
the claims from Albuquerque Public Schools.

The Public Education Department Audit
Manager, Craig Johnson, did a program review of the
Southwest Secondary Learning Center. The Public
Education Commission was informed of the audit and
requested that the Charter School Division follow up
to the specific questions provided by the Public
Education Commission.

The Charter School Division General
Manager and Audit Manager reviewed the report and
went through all of the Commissioners' questions to
determine if the Public Education Department report
answered any of the Commissioners' questions.

Italicized comments in black in response to
Commissioner questions are from the July 19, 2012,
PED report and Charter School Division information
and/or follow-up. Comments in red are from the
Southwest Secondary Learning Center Head
Administrator, Scott Glasrud, and are responses to
the questions from Commissioners. The appendices to
this report are from the Southwest Secondary



Learning Center to document the responses to the

question. 1 2 Questions 27 through 33 of Mr. Gant's questions require inquiry to all charter schools. 3 4 survey by Survey Monkey went to all charter schools 5 on August 16. We are -- the Charter School Division is awaiting the final results. 6 7 Ms. Callahan, did you want to do the 8 summary of findings? 9 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, if that -- if 10 that's your pleasure, I can. 11 THE CHAIR: Sure. My voice is cracking a 12 little bit; so, yes. 13 Mine is, too. And just to MS. CALLAHAN: 14 clarify, Mr. Chair, and Commissioners, I apologize. 15 There was supposed to be a color copy included in 16 your notes. I will e-mail you the report that has 17 the full color outlinings. I hope that you were able, at least, to discern the differentiation 18 19 between Mr. Glasrud's and the PED comments. 20 not, I do apologize for that. The summary of findings in regards to the 21



(505) 989-4949

FAX (505) 843-9492

No. 1.

22

23

24



There are no current written

Charter School Division-Southwest Learning Center.

agreements between Southwest Secondary Learning

In the PED

audit inquiry, it was noted that many school 1 2 districts and schools do not have this component required by 6.30.8 NMAC. 3 Southwest Secondary 4 Learning Center provided documentation from Sue 5 Griffith and Maggie Lopez that serve as affidavits to verify that APS and Southwest Secondary Learning 6 7 Center had a verbal agreement to allow APS students 8 to take part in the SSLC's extended learning And that is in Appendix 5. program.

No. 2. Mr. Glasrud doesn't agree with the assertion that students outside of SSLC are not eligible to take distance learning courses from SSLC. He cites the renewal as written and -- as written and offers clarification and explanation regarding his interpretation of the charter's extended learning options for both Southwest Secondary students as well as other students from other schools and districts. That would be located under Commissioner Shearman's Questions 5A and 5B.

No. 3. The curriculum utilized by SSLC's extended learning program is e2020, a PED-approved online curricula, currently utilized by over 50 schools and districts throughout New Mexico.

No. 4. Students who couldn't afford tuition were provided opportunity to attend the SSLC



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



extended learning program by tuition reduction or tuition paid by other organizations; for example, APS Indian Education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE

Santa Fe, NM 87501

FAX (505) 843-9492

(505) 989-4949

119 East Marcy, Suite 110

5. No SEG funding is allocated to SSLC for their extended learning students. All tuition is utilized to pay teachers for work outside of their contract and for curriculum licensing.

Sub-letter A, Southwest Secondary collects \$15,000 to \$20,000 annually for tuition;

And, B, Mr. Glasrud states that

99.9 percent of the students attend SSLC to take
courses for graduation, meaning the extended
learning courses.

No. 6. Students who didn't complete the course or courses in the Southwest Secondary extended learning program did not receive refunds unless there were extenuating circumstances, such as moving out of state. Mr. Glasrud notes that when a student registered, they had to receive a seat or license to participate in the e2020 curricula. E2020 provides a pacing guide, but students may access the curricula 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

Each course is considered a semester course. Students who are enrolled have three





1	months, plus an extension of two weeks, to complete
2	the course, which is outlined in Appendix 7B.
3	However Mr. Glasrud notes that students may have
4	access outside the time limits to complete a course,
5	which is Question 11 for Mr. Gant's questions.
6	I just did a summary. I didn't go through
7	every question, just some pertinent points that had
8	come up from previous questions at other hearings.
9	And the observations by the Charter School Division:
L 0	No. 1. There needs to be a clear
L1	direction by PED and authorizers as to meeting the
L 2	regulation on distance learning for all charter
L 3	schools and districts, as referenced in 6.30.8 NMAC.
L 4	A. The Distance Learning regulation and
L 5	Cyber Academy Act need to be reviewed for updates
L 6	that contemplate current technological pedagogical
L 7	trends.
L 8	B. School districts and charter schools
L 9	must have specific guidelines and agreements when
20	setting up programs for students to earn credit
21	outside of their regular school program.
22	C. This was a recommendation of the PED
23	July 19 report.
24	And, 2, the need to define "material term



of the charter" is needed in order for the Public

Education Commission and other authorizers to 1 2 provide directed oversight and accountability for charter schools. 3 4 It's noted, in sub-Letter A, that SB 446, 5 with the performance contract, will provide authorizers and charter schools a means to define 6 7 "material terms" and the corrective action process 8 for charter schools to employ, if necessary. 9 And that concludes the comments from the 10 CSD. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Callahan. 12 I'm open to questions. MS. CALLAHAN: 13 The floor is open for THE CHAIR: 14 questions or comments. Commissioner Bergman. COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: 15 More of a comment, 16 I am not certain that the performance I guess. 17 contracts, as they evolve and we're going to be done, is totally going to be adequate to address 18 19 everything that is a material term. I'm not -- for 20 instance, from what I've looked at, it doesn't look 21 like it might cover everything. 22 So we need to be careful that we don't 23 just tie ourselves to the performance contract for 24 what is a material term. We need to be absolutely



certain that we don't leave something out and find

out down the road, where somebody says, "Well, it's not in the performance contract, so we're going to move from point A to point B, because it isn't in the performance contract." That is something we need, as a Commission, to be very, very careful in.

6 THE CHAIR: Thank you Commissioner

7 | Bergman. Vice Chair Shearman.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you,

Ms. Callahan, for that report. There -- I think

we've all asked our questions. I know I still have

many questions and concerns in my mind. But I'm

certainly not going to bring those up today. I

think the time for what I'm concerned about will be

better addressed during renewal time.

But I just want to ask -- in your report, you stated that SSLC said they take in between \$15,000 and \$20,000 a year on these extended learning classes, credit recovery.

I'm looking at the letter from Albuquerque Public Schools that Winston Brooks sent on May the 16th. And in that, he states, "Since learning of this issue, we've researched our transcripts and found that more than 289 APS students have earned 387 credits so far this school year from Southwest Secondary Learning Center."

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



Three hundred eighty-seven credits at the minimum of \$200 a credit is \$77,400. That's a significant difference between \$15,000 to \$20,000. So perhaps I'm not looking at it correctly. But it does seem there's a big discrepancy there.

There is one more thing that wasn't necessarily brought up in this -- this investigation that I would like to ask you about. And maybe you can throw -- shed some light on it. In the information you gave us, the census report and all that information, the report shows that Southwest Secondary has an enrollment of 280 students. They have an enrollment cap of 500.

And I remember when they requested that enrollment cap increase. Actually, they had originally requested an enrollment cap of 800, and we negotiated it down to 500. And I seem to very clearly recall that Commissioner Gant asked, at that time, "Do you have the capacity for 500 students?" And I believe, if I recall again correctly, the answer was, "Yes."

Right now, they have 280 students enrolled, an enrollment cap of 500. That says, to me, they have 220 empty seats. How can they have a wait list of 3,322? Do you know how they figure





1 that?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 3 Shearman, I'm going to have to get back to you. I'm 4 not sure how they -- I wasn't here when they did the 5 cap changes and everything. And so I'll have to --I didn't look that deeply into it. So I will look 6 So I will 7 into it. I've written the question down. 8 get back to you on that.

9 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I appreciate that.

10 Thank you. That's all.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair

Shearman. Any other comments before we move to the next item? Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER GANT: Mr. Chair, members, yes, I have some comment. It's just -- I read through the comments. And some of it, I believe is a Texas two-step, beating around the bush, saying, "We don't have regulations, we don't have agreements." And that's a "so what."

So I think the "so what" better be that we get off our duffs and lock this thing down, because, you know, it seems out of place for me. Because we got students that, all of a sudden, the teacher taught the kid for how many times, couldn't pass an English course, but could go over there and do it in



1 56 hours? Come on. Let's get real. Let's get 2 real.

Or they run over there to student athletes -- I call them "student athletes"; I was a jock, college and high school -- run over there for a few hours and get enough grades in the summertime so they can play in the following year.

So what's more important? Playing on a football team or getting an education? And I think this is an easy way for them to play football or whatever it is, and we've got to somehow get a handle on that. I just -- we're here to educate them to go out there in the world to get a job or go to higher education, not to play football and all -- like I played it.

It got me to college. I support it. But "student" comes first; "athlete," maybe. So some of these answers -- and one of them that really got my goat was, "This is what the Commissioner really meant to ask." Don't tell me what I meant to ask. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Don't apologize, Vice Chair
Shearman.

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Director Callahan,
in your report, under the Summary of Findings, in



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

No. 4, and then again in No. 5, the word "tuition" 1 2 Is that correct? is used. MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 3 4 Shearman, that's the term that was utilized by the 5 Southwest Learning Center. COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Isn't it illegal 6 7 for charter schools to charge tuition? 8 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 9 Shearman, this is outside of the -- of the charter's 10 SEG funding. And so they are not receiving funding 11 for the students. And the way that I understand 12 that, the law is that you cannot charge tuition to 13 students to attend the school full time. This is 14 the extended learning, outside of the thing. probably that -- a legal interpretation may --15 16 MR. R. VIGIL: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner 17 Bergman -- Commissioner Shearman -- the law allows public schools to, for example, have a summer school 18 19 offering and charge tuition for that, because it's 20 outside of the regular program of that school. 21 They do that for secondary schools. 22 Elementary schools, however, that offer summer 23 school programs, must do that at no tuition. 24 there are some instances in which schools can offer 25 a program and charge for that particular program.



1	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN. Okay. Maybe I'm
2	splitting hairs here. But this was during the
3	school year. The money was used, as I understand
4	it, to pay a teacher or teachers extra stipend
5	during the school year. Some of the money was used
6	to pay for the program that was used, the e2020, I
7	assume computers, servers, all those kind of things
8	that are part of the regular school program, the
9	teacher teaches during the regular school program.
10	If students are able to log on 24 hours a
11	day, seven days a week, and they log on during the
12	school day, and the teacher logs on and responds to
13	their question, is this outside the school parameter
14	for tuition?
15	MR. R. VIGIL: Commissioner Shearman, I'm
16	not sure. I don't know all the details of that
17	particular program. I don't have the law
18	immediately in front of me to parse it out. It's
19	something that perhaps can be looked at to see how
20	they're handling that, and to see if, in fact, it is
21	something that is outside of the regular program.
22	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. Thank
23	you.
24	COMMISSIONER CARR: Mr. Chair and I
2.5	might have some clarification for Commissioner



Shearman on that.

1

2 COMMISSIONER GANT: Get the mic closer.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, sorry. What -- if

4 | we have teachers who review -- teachers are -- you

5 know, are moving from Levels I, II, and III -- or

6 from I and II up to III, they get paid for that

7 based on the money that's paid when the teachers

8 | apply to move up, you know. And they are not

9 allowed to do that work and log onto that computer

10 and do that reviewing during their school day,

11 because you're getting paid during that school day.

That doesn't address the tuition issue.

13 But it does bring up another issue. If a teacher is

14 doing that during the school day that they're

15 | already getting paid for, and they're getting extra

16 pay for that, then that's a whole other thing. That

17 is a violation.

18

COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.

19 THE CHAIR: Thank you Commissioner Carr.

20 Other comments? Questions? Seeing none, we will

21 | move to Item No. 11, PEC Comments. Letter "a" is

22 the PEC calendar. A copy of the PEC calendars for

23 | 2012 -- well, I should say a copy of calendars for

24 | 2012 and 2013 are provided to the Commissioners in

25 | your notebooks. At the November meeting, there will



1	be a discussion of PEC meetings for 2013.
2	Out-of-state travel by Commissioners. The
3	following Commissioners will be traveling to
4	national conferences in October:
5	To the National Association of State
6	Boards of Education, Andrew Garrison and Gilbert
7	Peralta. And to the National Association of Charter
8	School Authorizers, Commissioners Eugene Gant and
9	Carolyn Shearman. And I believe that's in
10	parentheses, Commissioner Shearman is going to be
11	paid for by the Charter School Division.
12	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Mr. Chairman.
14	THE CHAIR: And this is there's no
15	action item on this, because I believe we're voting
16	in November. But, Commissioner Pogna, do you have a
17	question?
18	COMMISSIONER POGNA: No. I had a request.
19	If there is any money left over, I would like to go
20	to a conference. So what is the procedure? These
21	have already been approved.
22	THE CHAIR: The procedure, the requests go
23	through they go through me to Beverly. And I
24	believe there wasn't money left over, because
25	Carolyn Shearman was actually picked up by the



Charter School Division. And thank for you that. 1 2 And so there's no money left for this 3 However, next year, there will be a new 4 Chairperson, and we follow what's in our rules --5 what's in our Public Education Commission Rules of Procedure. So there's a rotation in the Rules of 6 7 Procedure. 8 COMMISSIONER POGNA: All right. 9 the -- but the conference that I want to attend is 10 in January. That's not -- that's preceding the new 11 school calendar? COMMISSIONER GANT: What conference is 12 13 that? 14 THE CHAIR: What conference is that, 15 Commissioner Pogna? 16 COMMISSIONER POGNA: Well, for years, I 17 have attended the Education Policy Conference, 18 except the past three years. And I would like to 19 attend again, since I'm feeling better. 20 anyway, I love the conference. It's a great 21 conference. It's on education policy throughout the 22 We have state board members, local board nation. members, a lot of teachers attending, Congressional 23 24 people who tell us about Congressional legislation 25 and education, great speakers. Last time I went, it



was Diane Ravitch was our speaker. 1 2 So, no, it's some -- it's a conference I 3 have been attending, and I would like to go. But 4 it's always in January, the end of January, if there 5 is a possibility. Otherwise, I'll wait until the 6 following year. It's an annual conference. 7 THE CHAIR: Okay. I consider this a tardy 8 request, because we already went through the 9 process. 10 COMMISSIONER POGNA: I was sick last time. 11 THE CHAIR: I understand. That's not the 12 reasoning. It's just to move forward and get people 13 to send them to conferences. But that sounds 14 fascinating. 15 COMMISSIONER POGNA: It's a great conference. 16 17 THE CHAIR: It does. So get -- get that 18 information together so we can -- so we can see what 19 the -- what the list says for next year. 20 going to put Secretary Gant on the spot. But there is a rotation. If you look at Page 14 of your Rules 21 22 of Procedure, you see that. 23 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: But next year



Not calendar year?

Is that what we're

talking about?

24

25

would be next fiscal year.

THE CHAIR: Yes. So fiscal year is next July 1st, correct. So we have allocated our travel funds for Fiscal Year 2012-2013, for out-of-state travel.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, could I just give an update quickly about where we are in the process of this? Would that be appropriate, just so we can --

9 THE CHAIR: I think it would, yes,
10 Ms. Callahan. Please proceed.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, we've been working — the CSD has been working with Beverly to insure that the travel arrangements are done. So I'm just going to quickly go where we are. For the — the NASBE conference, Chicago, Chairman Garrison and Commissioner Peralta. The flights have been ticketed, and you all should have received copies of your tickets.

Commissioner Shearman and Commissioner

Gant, Memphis tickets are probably being purchased as we speak. The tickets were -- are going to be purchased. The PO was approved, and so we will have all the itineraries and everything out to you guys as soon as all that is finalized. We will e-mail the copies as well as have hard copies available



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

when we meet again.

And the NACSA registration for Commissioner Shearman has been confirmed, and Bev is taking care of Commissioner Gant's registration for NACSA. And, I believe, all the other registrations are in place. So we should be up to date.

If there's any other questions or concerns, you can either contact me or Bev. And we've been kind of partnering, because my Business Operations Specialist is phenomenal, Cordy. For those of you that traveled to the thing, she really has a handle. I think she's a travel agent in her previous life.

So -- but if there are concerns or anything that comes up, please let us know so we can handle it. There's a lot of -- this is meant with no disrespect. There's a lot of hoops to go through to get approvals and things if there's any changes. So if we can get as much forward warning, that would be very beneficial. So, anyway, thank you.

THE CHAIR: Well, we know Cordy is timely.

And I notice that this go-round, that she just said,

"You know what, Chair Garrison? I don't time

anymore. I'm beyond that, on to better places."

She sits in the back, just relaxes. That's good.





1 | Commissioner Gant.

information, apparently, my NACSA reservations -registration -- is already there, because they've
sent me a note saying, "Welcome." Am I right? And
they've said which -- they told me what I'm supposed
to be doing and all that. So -- but I still have to
do the reservations for rooms, huh?

MS. FRIEDMAN: Right. That --

THE CHAIR: I didn't see an E-ticket yet

for a flight.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Well, Commissioner Garrison and Gant and Peralta, you're all registered. Your registration went through last -- yesterday. And as far as the E-tickets, there's no real E-tickets. The information I gave you this morning, if you'll look on that, it has a confirmation number that is highlighted in yellow. It's in your travel form, Commissioner Garrison, on your desk.

THE CHAIR: Oh, okay. I was on my -- my virtual world.

MS. FRIEDMAN: I don't have it on your electronic e-mail yet. I'll send it to you when I receive it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.





1	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair and just I
2	would be remiss if I didn't recognize Cordelia
3	Chavez, my Business Operations Specialist;
4	absolutely phenomenal in taking care of all the
5	arrangements, for the road show that we did and for
6	the travel that I've been doing for the Charter
7	School Division and our team, but, also, in helping
8	Bev take care of that. And so, Cordy, thank you
9	very much in keeping us guys on track. (Applause.)
L 0	THE CHAIR: I might have to hire her
L1	personally.
L 2	MS. CALLAHAN: No.
L 3	COMMISSIONER GANT: I got I got word
L 4	from her that Cordy needs a CDL. Apparently, she's
L 5	really good behind that steering wheel.
L 6	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: She is. True.
L 7	COMMISSIONER GANT: We can't afford that,
L 8	though. That's very expensive.
L 9	THE CHAIR: Parking backwards into a spot
20	in the Starbucks parking lot; that was pretty slick.
21	I was like, "No way. No way. Oh." Commissioner
22	Shearman.
23	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I, too, wanted to
24	thank the staff. They did a tremendous job. It was
25	long, hard hours, and I know they worked really



hard. 1

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(505) 989-4949

2 Carla Chavez [verbatim] and I were driving together in Carlsbad. And Cordy and Brad really 3 4 went above and beyond the call of duty. We took the 5 wrong turn and went to the wrong street. So Carla 6 called Cordy on her cell phone, and we got 7 directions. And they were standing out at the end 8 of this long driveway going, (Indicates). And then 9 they walked us over to the drive -- over to the 10 parking lot. So we made it, not as late as we would 11 have been, otherwise. So thank you again.

> And you lead a good team. Thank you.

13 MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 14 Shearman, thank you very much. I agree. They are a 15 great team.

16 COMMISSIONER GANT: I have a comment to 17 make.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Pogna and then Commissioner Gant.

COMMISSIONER POGNA: Just a comment. Mr. Chairman, I asked you about -- I talked to you yesterday about -- excuse me -- your report to the LESC. And could we have a copy -- if you have a copy of the report, I really would like to see --

> THE CHAIR: And did -- did we ever get the





1	track changes removed off of that thing? Because I
2	think Dr. Harrell and I even had issues on the final
3	day of emergency printing, that my track changes
4	were still showing up. So
5	DR. HARRELL: Mr. Chairman, members of
6	the Commission, we do, in the LESC files, have a
7	clean version of the report. And if you'd like, I
8	can forward it to Kelly or Beverly to send to the
9	rest of you.
10	THE CHAIR: Perfect; that'll work. I'm
11	not going to trust my old laptop at the house.
12	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: We have that.
13	THE CHAIR: She doesn't have it. So we
14	could resend it.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: It was in our
16	notebooks at that meeting because we looked at it
17	and approved it. We voted on it. We also approved
18	Andrew to make minor changes. So with minor
19	changes, we all have it.
20	THE CHAIR: So it is the minor changes of
21	the final document that some of you may or may not
22	have. So we will accept that offer, Dr. Harrell
23	COMMISSIONER POGNA: Yes.
24	THE CHAIR: to do that. Thank you so
25	much.



HARRELL: The changes were mostly 1 DR. 2 formatting, primarily. 3 THE CHAIR: Yes, sir. Commissioner Gant. 4 COMMISSIONER GANT: Just a little side 5 comment on the trip to Carlsbad. I do believe somehow, Ms. Callahan, if you and Deputy Aguilar 6 7 need to figure up the cost of going down there for no reason and send that individual a bill? And I'm 8 serious as a heart attack. Okay? 10 THE CHAIR: Ms. Friedman? MS. FRIEDMAN: Commissioner Garrison and 11 12 Commissioner Gant, actually, the day that we 13 received the withdrawal, Deputy Secretary Aguilar 14 asked for a billing for the three schools that withdrew. And I must go to Cordy again, because she 15 16 helped do the major part of it. And then I went 17 through all of your receipts and hotel bills and different things like that. And we gave Deputy 18 19 Secretary Aguilar a bill for almost \$6,000. COMMISSIONER GANT: Thank you. And put 20 21 that back into the PEC coffers. 22 THE CHAIR: Comments? COMMISSIONER CARR: I have a clarification 23 24 on the calendar. Our next meeting is November 2nd? 25 MS. FRIEDMAN: First and second.



1	COMMISSIONER CARR: Oh, it's 1st and 2nd,
2	okay. So two days. And then the December meeting
3	is the 13th and 14th?
4	MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER CARR: All right. Wanted to
6	get clarification. And then also, for future
7	reference, I continue to request Fridays or the end
8	of the week, if at all possible, for my schedule,
9	for my students. It's very difficult middle of
10	the week middle of the week, things are just much
11	more difficult to do.
12	THE CHAIR: Are you talking about the 2013
13	calendar?
14	COMMISSIONER CARR: Yeah, because we
15	when we get there, yeah. We're not going to do that
16	today; right?
17	THE CHAIR: Correct. Commissioner
18	Bergman.
19	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: It's in
20	Albuquerque? Remind me again. Albuquerque.
21	Because I have to contact my travel agent, who's my
22	wife, so she can make reservations.
23	THE CHAIR: I thought it was Cordy.
24	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: Albuquerque.
25	MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner



Bergman, the conference is at the Albuquerque Pyramid Hotel, Mariott Pyramid, on the 2nd and the 3rd. So that's a Friday and a Saturday. So the PEC meeting is the 1st and the 2nd. And, again, we get a lot in two days.

What we are going to do, just FYI, is we are going to do a similar kind of training for the renewal application reviews that we're going to receive October 1. So we'll be able to walk through the evaluation instrument with you all so you can see that. So that's going to be one of the things that we'll hopefully be able to do at the meeting, if that is approved on the agenda, and then the PED, slash, CSD presentations in the afternoon.

So if the meeting is over, you know, say, at lunch -- so we're just -- we're tweaking as best we can to make sure that we have an opportunity for the PEC to be at these input meetings, public comment on the frameworks and the contract, as well as be able to participate in the conference.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Callahan, Commissioner Gant?

COMMISSIONER GANT: Just for notice -- I'm doing this off the top of my head. But there's a PSCOC meeting on the 1st of November, and that





```
primary focus is on charter schools. And I think I
1
 2
     definitely should be there for that one. And then
 3
     my other assignments as a liaison, there's a
 4
     New Mexico Library Commission meeting, which I'm a
 5
     member of. So I'll work something out. I'll clone
     myself, but I'll be there.
 6
               MS. CALLAHAN: All of them on the 1st?
 7
 8
               COMMISSIONER GANT: November 1st and 2nd.
 9
               MS. CALLAHAN: Oh, my goodness.
10
               COMMISSIONER GANT: Okay? We'll figure it
11
     out.
12
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I promise this is
13
     the last.
               THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Shearman?
14
15
               COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: At your place,
16
     each one of you should have a copy of Dr. Harrell's
17
     virtual school study -- virtual funding study that
    he presented to the Funding Formula task force. And
18
19
     I asked Beverly to copy those and hand them around.
20
     And thank you, Dr. Harrell, for that very
21
     informative report. And that's it.
22
               THE CHAIR: Other comments?
                                            I had a
23
     couple of things. I believe -- am I thanking
24
     Commissioner Carr for this?
25
               COMMISSIONER CARR:
                                   Oh, I went to get
```



1 them, yes. 2 THE CHAIR: Awesome. Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER CARR: You're welcome. 3 4 THE CHAIR: I started to try to see how 5 much my car was worth. But I said, "Oh, that Blue 6 Book." 7 COMMISSIONER CARR: Right, exactly. 8 THE CHAIR: That was a bad joke. Sorry. 9 Lunchtime. I received a card from the 10 Legislative Education Study Committee. I want to 11 read it.

"Chairman Garrison and Public Education
Commission members. On behalf of our Chair,
Representative Rick Miera, as you know, Dr. Harrell
has decided to retire despite our objection. As you
also know he has been an asset not only to the LESC,
but also to the Commission. While he cannot be
replaced, he has a lot of " -- "... has" -- in
parentheses, "...he has a lot of institutional
knowledge. Please know that LESC staff will be
available to assist you in your work. On behalf of
the LESC staff, we appreciate your collaboration and
partnership. Thanks to all of you for your
commitment to public education and to our students.



Frances Ramirez-Maestas, LESC Director."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I want to thank the LESC for that nice 1 2 card. 3 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Thank you. 4 THE CHAIR: And, Dr. Harrell, of course, 5 thank for you all your work. And your last day is Next week? September 26th; right? 6 what? 7 DR. HARRELL: Mr. Chairman, members of 8 the Commission, September 28th. It's the end of a 9 pay period in September and the final day of the 10 LESC meeting. 11 COMMISSIONER GANT: That's dedication. THE CHAIR: That's awesome. 12 Work to the 13 last day. 14 DR. HARRELL: And, Mr. Chairman, if I 15 may, again, I want to thank the Commissioners again 16 for the surprising and special recognition from 17 yesterday. I really was caught off quard. 18 appreciate very much your kind remarks during the 19 meeting, and, now that I've read the card, am even 20 more grateful and humbled by the things you've said. 21 So thank you once again. 22 THE CHAIR: And since we're the Public 23 Education Commission, I hope you ignored all the 24 typos. 25 DR. HARRELL: I didn't see one.



THE CHAIR: Just teasing. Any other questions? Comments? I want to thank Ms. Callahan and her wonderful staff for this -- it was a pretty smooth process, and, it seemed to me, just getting tighter, and, in the words of Commissioner Gant, "locked down." So it's -- it's getting good. And we appreciate you all.

MS. CALLAHAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, thank you very much. And, again, I can't emphasize the importance of the team of the CSD. There is an immense amount of experience and quality that I am so fortunate to have as part of my team. So I just again, want to give them the kudos. The legwork and the bulk of the interpretations and reviews are them. I just am very grateful.

THE CHAIR: I also wanted to mention the census report that you put out on the -- on the -- COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: Ah.

THE CHAIR: It was a lot. It was a lot of great information. And it was those questions that have been burning for so long, to see the data and to make sense of it. And for that not to be an intricate part of your review of the application, but allowing the Commissioners, "Here's a report," and you delve out each of your individual



communities, I think that -- it's a classy way to do 1 2 things, and it's the right way to do things. 3 appreciate that also. Commissioner Gant? 4 COMMISSIONER GANT: While we're handing 5 out well-deserved kudos, we need to thank Lisa. THE CHAIR: We call her "Cindy." 6 Cindy. 7 COMMISSIONER GANT: 8 THE CHAIR: I want to give her a round of 9 applause, because she is listening to every single 10 thing and trying to listen, and the mumblers in the 11 room, and -- thank you, Cindy. (Applause.) 12 COMMISSIONER SHEARMAN: I think we'd be 13 remiss to forget Beverly. My goodness, how many 14 times a day do we e-mail her and say, "Help." 15 you, Beverly. (Applause.) THE CHAIR: I don't -- I don't even know 16 17 why I didn't even say that. I almost don't even think of her as a staff member. I think of her as, 18 19 like, kind of my caretaker. She takes care of me. 20 She chooses my ties. It's probably gone too far, 21 but that's why I'm well put together now, because of 22 Thank you so much for everything. her. 23 MS. FRIEDMAN: You're welcome. 24 THE CHAIR: All right, folks. I will 25 entertain a motion to adjourn, if there are no other



1	comments.
2	COMMISSIONER BERGMAN: I move we adjourn.
3	COMMISSIONER CARR: I'll move.
4	HEARING OFFICER: I believe Jeff Carr beat
5	Vince Bergman. That's a tie almost. And so
6	seconded by Commissioner Bergman. All those in
7	favor, say "Aye."
8	(Commissioners so indicate.)
9	THE CHAIR: Meeting adjourned. Good job,
10	people.
11	(Proceedings adjourned at 11:40 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 3 4 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 5 transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 6 7 State of New Mexico, County of Santa Fe, in the matter therein stated. 8 9 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 10 hand on October 1, 2012. 11 12 13 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 14 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 15 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 License Expires: 12/31/12 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23



Job No.: 5326K (CC)

24