

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800

www.ped.state.nm.us

RYAN STEWART, ED.L.D. SECRETARY OF EDUCATION MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM GOVERNOR

## **Preliminary Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit**

School Name: La Academia Dolores Huerta

School Address: 400 Bell Avenue, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88005

Head Administrator: Sylvy Galvan de Lucero

Business Manager: Mary Hagemann

Authorized Grade Levels: 6-8
Authorized Enrollment: 300

**Contract Term**: July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2021

**Mission:** "La Academia Dolores Huerta's mission is to create an engaging culturally diverse educational program of the arts and languages that enable LADH middle school students to achieve high personal growth and strong social-cultural identity that leads to academic success."

## Summary of Performance

**Academic.** As a result of the school's 2-year charter contract, La Academia Dolores Huerta (LADH) does not have any state-level academic data available, in respect to the 2019-20 school year.

It is noted that going into this contract term, the school demonstrated growth in their Reading proficiency from 8% in 2017-18 to 16% in 2018-19 and slight growth in their Math proficiency from 2% in 2017-18 to 3% in 2018-19 academic year(s). These proficiency rates are significantly lower than the State average which is 34% for Reading proficiency and 21% for Math proficiency in 2018-2019.

Pending the charter contract renewal decision, the Charter School Division will recommend that the school be required to implement a rigorous academic improvement plan, approved by the Public Education Commission.

**Financial**. Over the course of the contract, the school received four (4) audit findings derived from published audited financial statements from 2018-2019 including one (1) material weakness and three (3) repeat, noncompliance/other matter items. LADH is on a quarterly reporting schedule. The business manager is responsive and reports in a timely manner. Smaller corrections on reports have been required to achieve budget approvable status.

LADH's membership counts have shown consistent downward trends throughout the past couple of years, which suggest the school will have challenges in achieving their financial goals set forth in their current budget. Although the school has a healthy cash balance, the distribution of expenses rank very high in administrative support costs over direct instruction. With the lower than expected membership, the school will need to make considerable changes to ensure expenses are prioritized in the classroom.

Pending the charter contract renewal decision, the Charter School Division will recommend that the school be required to implement a rigorous financial improvement plan, approved by the Public Education Commission.

**Organizational**. During the most recent year evaluated (2019-20), the school received two (2) "Falls Far Below Standard" indicator ratings and ten (10) "Working to Meet Standard" ratings in their organizational performance framework.

Pending the charter contract renewal decision, the Charter School Division will recommend that the school be required to implement a rigorous organizational improvement plan, approved by the Public Education Commission.

| PART A: | Data analysis provided by CSD   |
|---------|---|
|         | Please see Part A - Summary Data Report based on accountability and reporting data from Current Charter   |
|         | Contract term   |
| PART B: | Progress Report provided by the School  |
|         | Please see Part B for the school's self-report on the progress of meeting the academic performance, financial compliance and governance responsibilities of the charter school, including achieving the goals, objectives, student performance outcomes, state standards of excellence and other terms of the charter contract, including the accountability requirements set forth in the Assessment and Accountability Act during the Current Charter Term. |

| The PED team reviewed the school's Part B (Progress Report) and |  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | conducted a virtual renewal site visit on October 28, 2020.  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Section   | Ratings are based on the rubric provided in the Indicator  | , ,                                |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1  | Final Rating                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 1.a   | Department's Standards of Excellence—  NM System of School Support and Accountability  2019-2020: No data available for schools  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 1.b   | School Specific Goal   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | Community Service and Cultural Competence  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | Due to statewide school closures, the school was unable to   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | complete the community service hours at the end of 2020 and  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | have been unable to implement the project for this school  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | year.  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| FINANCIA  | AL COMPLIANCE  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.a   | Audit  | Failing to Demonstrate Substantial |  |  |  |  |
|   | Schools that have received no material weakness, significant   | Progress                           |  |  |  |  |
|   | deficiency, or repeat audit findings in each of the annual audits  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | during the term of the contract do <u>NOT</u> complete this Section.   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | [Organizational Performance Framework 2a and 2b]   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | [Organizational Performance Framework 2a-2e]   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.b   | Board of Finance   | Meets the Standard                 |  |  |  |  |
|   | Schools that have maintained all Board of Finance authority during   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | the entire term of the contract do <u>NOT</u> complete this Section. If required to complete this section, provide a narrative explaining the  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | actions taken (improved practices and outcomes).   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | Table to the first tent to the product of the control to the contr |                                    |  |  |  |  |

| 2c      | Additional Financial Information                                  |   |             |                  |                |                                |
|---------|---|---|-------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
|         |   | LA ACADEMIA DOLORES HUERTA  |             |                  | ГА             |                                |
|         |   | MEMBERSHIP  |             |                  |                |                                |
|         |   |   |             |                  |                |                                |
|         |   | Enrollment CAP 200<br>2021 Budgeted MEM 81                            |             |                  |                |                                |
|         |   | Actual 40 Day 10-0  |             | 71               |                |                                |
|         |   |   |             |                  |                |                                |
|         |   | Funded Me   | embership   | 163              | 120            |                                |
|         |   | OPERATIO  | NAL EXPE    | NSES vs. REV     | ENUE           |                                |
|         |   |   |             | 2018-19          | 2019-20        |                                |
|         |   | Direct Inst   | 1000        | 614,203          | 561,315        |                                |
|         |   | Student Sup   | 2100        | 73,388           | 76,982<br>455  |                                |
|         |   | Instrtnl Sup<br>Central Admin   | 2200        | 1,864<br>238,464 | 455<br>270,712 |                                |
|         |   | School Admin  | 2400        | 27,497           | 28,342         |                                |
|         |   | Central Svcs  | 2500        | 163,567          | 169,725        |                                |
|         |   | Maint/Ops   | 2600        | 102,322          | 78,699         |                                |
|         |   | Food Svc  | 3100        | 15,987           | 15,391         |                                |
|         |   | Other   | other       | 121              |                |                                |
|         |   | Total Operting Exp  |             | 1,237,413        | 1,201,620      |                                |
|         |   | Total Operational Rev   |             | 1,315,298        | 1,218,875      |                                |
|         |   | Surplus   |             | 77,885           | 17,255         |                                |
|         |   |   |             | 6%               | 1%             |                                |
|         |   | Year End CASH balance   |             | 295,484          | 312,739        |                                |
|         |   | DISTF   | RIBUTION    | OF EXPENSES      |                |                                |
|         |   | Instructional Cost  | t           | 689,455          | 638,752        |                                |
|         |   | % of total operati  | ing exp     | 56%              | 53%            |                                |
|         |   | Per student mem   | bership     | \$4,230          | \$5,323        |                                |
|         |   | Admin Support   |             | 429,528          | 468,779        |                                |
|         |   | % of total operati  |             | 35%              | 39%            |                                |
|         |   | Per student mem   | bership     | \$2,635          | \$3,906        |                                |
| CONTRAC | TUAL, ORGANIZATIONAL  | ., AND GOVERN   | IANCE       |                  |                |                                |
| 3.a     | Material Terms  |   |             |                  | Meets the      | Standard                       |
|         | All schools must provide a re                                     | sponse for this sec   | tion of the | 2                |                |                                |
|         | <ul><li>application.</li><li>All students will particip</li></ul> | ate in dual languag   | e classes   | in all           |                |                                |
|         | subjects.   | ate iii aaai langaag  | se classes  | iii uii          |                |                                |
|         | All students will particip  | ate in the IPT asses  | ssment.     |                  |                |                                |
|         | All staff will hold bilingu                                       | al endorsements o   | r TESOL ce  | ertification.    |                |                                |
|         | All instructional staff w   | ill receive professi  | onal deve   | lopment in       |                |                                |
|         | the areas of empirically v  | validated dual lang   | uage appr   | oaches and       |                |                                |
|         | instructional framework   | s/strategies.   |             |                  |                |                                |
|         | All instructional staff w   |   | onal deve   | lopment in       |                |                                |
| 2.5     | the areas of promoting  |   |             |                  | Failt : 1      | Danie ametricki C. I. d. d. d. |
| 3.b     | Organizational Performar<br>Schools that do not have any          |   | a to moot   | ' ratings or     | _              | Demonstrate Substantial        |
|         | any "falls far below" ratings of                                  |   |             |                  | Progress       |                                |
|         | -   | performance framework evaluation do <u>NOT</u> complete this Section. |             |                  |                |                                |
|         | [Organizational Performance Framework 1b-1g, 4a-4c, and 5a-5c]    |   |             |                  |                |                                |
|         | [Organizational Performance                                       | Framework 1b-1f,  | 4a-4e, an   | d 5a-5d]         |                |                                |

|     | Any OCR complaints or formal special education complaints, identify those, provide all communication related to those, and describe the current status in an Appendix, referenced in narrative by name. |                                   |
|-----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 3.c | Governance Responsibilities  All schools must provide a response for this section of the application.  [Organizational Performance Framework 3a and 3b]  [Organizational Performance Framework 3a-3c]   | Demonstrates Substantial Progress |

Parts C, D, and E were provided by the school as part of the renewal application.

| PART C: | Financial Statement   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | A financial statement that discloses the costs of administration, instruction and other spending categories for |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | the charter school that is understandable to the general public that allows comparison of costs to other        |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | schools or comparable organizations and that is in a format required by the department.                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| PART D  | Petitions   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 1. A petition in support of the charter school renewing its charter status signed by not less than sixty-five   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | percent of the <b>employees</b> in the charter school, with certified affidavit.                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Number: <u>17</u> Percentage: <u>94%</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 2. A petition in support of the charter school renewing its charter status signed by at least seventy-five      |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | percent of the <b>households</b> whose children are enrolled in the charter school, with certified affidavit.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Number: <u>67</u> Percentage: <u>82%</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PART E: | Description of the Charter School Facilities and Assurances   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | A description of the charter school facilities and assurances that the facilities are in compliance with the    |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | requirements of Section 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | A copy of the facility lease agreement as Appendix D  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 2. A narrative description of its facilities and attach the school's Facility Master Plan, if available, as     |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Appendix H  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Attach a copy of the building E Occupancy certificate(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Dated: <u>04 AUG 2008</u> Maximum Occupancy (if listed): <u>Not listed on Certificate</u>                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 4. Most recent facility NMCI Score 23.05% indicating that the school <b>meets</b> the requirements of           |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Subsection C of 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | If the charter school is relocating or expanding, provide assurances that the facilities comply with the        |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | requirements of Section 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978   |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | XPublic (Cert A)Private (Cert B)Foundation (Cert C)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PART F: | Amendment Requests  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | The school has not requested or been granted any amendment request(s) during the current                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | contract term.  |  |  |  |  |  |

## La Academia Dolores Huerta Stakeholder Interviews

Stakeholder interviews were conducted on October 28, 2020 Via Zoom. The participants included five (5) parents, four (4) students, three (3) governing council members, and five (5) staff members.

Parents listed smaller classroom sizes, teachers, and the music program as reasons why they choose to enroll their kids at La Academia Dolores Huerta (LADH). Two parents specified that the music and other cultural programs act as motivators for students to perform better in their classes. Several parents mentioned how their students are doing better in school since enrolling at LADH with one stating that the school has, "done everything above and beyond" to meet her student's academic needs. When asked about what changes the school could make, it was mentioned that students who are not already fluent in Spanish have a hard time in Spanish classes. It was also mentioned that while some teachers are good at communication, others are not. Overall, however, parents say that the school does communicate regularly and is open to parent communication.

Three of the four students interviewed said they enrolled at LADH in part because of the arts programs offered. A couple of students also noted how they were not receiving the help or support they needed at their previous schools. Students like the art programs, the teachers, and that they are provided with individual help. A student echoed a concern brought up by parents regarding Spanish instruction, specifically, that it can be stressful and moves too quickly for non-fluent speakers. Students are told what their grades are and what they are missing in each class during advisory.

The Governing Council prints the school mission on meeting minutes in an effort to ensure the mission of the school is implemented. Since the current head administrator took over, the school has adopted the Illuminate short cycle assessment to increase academic performance. The Governing Council has made it a point to get to know teachers and to discuss issues they may be having with the head administrator. Understanding the population served and consideration of the whole child were given as the greatest strengths of the school. Enrollment is the council's greatest concern since it drives funding. Right now, the council members interviewed believe the school is in a good position financially, but acknowledge there will be concern moving forward if enrollment does not increase. Governing Board Training requirements are reviewed at every meeting to ensure annual requirements are met.

A staff member interviewed believes that LADH is serving a population that may be overlooked at a larger district. They believe school staff can hone in on the student and engage them culturally and linguistically. Another staff member shared that she actually left LADH to work for the local district, but ended up coming back to LADH after one semester because students were not seen as unique in the district. Staff acknowledged that there have been struggles with academics in the past, but changes have been made in the last two years that have "seen the kids come a long way." One of the changes has been the adoption of the Illuminate assessment. This assessment is used to review strengths and weaknesses of students. Data is shared with teachers who then share information with students. Students are asked how they can achieve academic goals as a means to get them to take ownership. Staff expressed satisfaction with the professional development opportunities they are provided. One teacher explained how, every time they see a professional development opportunity that could benefit them, they feel comfortable asking the head administrator for approval.