

STATE OF NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT 300 DON GASPAR SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-2786 Telephone (505) 827-5800

www.ped.state.nm.us

RYAN STEWART, ED.L.D. SECRETARY OF EDUCATION MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM GOVERNOR

# **Preliminary Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit**

School Name: Taos International School School Address: 118 Este Es Rd., Taos, New Mexico 87571 Head Administrator: Nadine Vigil Contracted Business Manager: The Vigil Group, specifically Ryan Fox Authorized Grade Levels: Kindergarten – Grade 8 Authorized Enrollment: 360 Contract Term: July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2021

**Mission:** *"We at Taos International School through inquiry based learning will acquire languages and the academic and social skills necessary to function in our local, national and international community.* 

Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit November 2020 Page **2** of **6** 

## Summary of Performance

**Academic**. The school's academic performance has been evaluated under two different accountability systems throughout the term of its contract; A-F School Grading Report in school year 2017-2018 & the New Mexico System of School Support and Accountability in school years 2018-2019 and 2019-2020.

As a result of the school's 3-year charter contract, Taos International School (TIS) has only two years of academic data to evaluate. During the first-year of the school's contract (2017-18) the school earned a "C" letter grade on the state's grading report, specifically earning an overall score of 58.57 points out of 100 total points available.

During the second-year of the school's contract, the school's academic performance was evaluated under the (newly implemented) New Mexico System of School Support and Accountability, earning a total of 44 points out of the 100 overall points available. Please note, the two accountability system performance outcomes cannot be compared to one another in terms of comparing overall points earned in 2017-18 versus overall points earned in 2018-19.

However, TIS demonstrated a significant decline in its Reading proficiency from 21% in 2017-18 to 13% in 2018-19. While demonstrating 6% Math proficiency for both the 2017-18 and 2018-19 academic years. In 2018-19 academic year, the State's Reading proficiency is reported at 34% rate, while the State's Math proficiency rate was 21.0%.

The school's Overall Academic Performance during the contract term was a **C** *letter grade* and **Traditional Support School** designation. The range for Traditional Support is 40 – 53 points; the school earned 44 points. The school has been identified as a school in need of **Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)**.

When the school and the Public Education Commission entered into the current contract, mission-specific goal(s) were not required and the school elected not to have mission goals.

Pending the charter contract renewal decision, the Charter School Division will recommend that the school be required to implement a rigorous academic improvement plan approved by the Public Education Commission.

**Financial**. Over the course of the contract, the school received no audit findings derived from published audited financial statements from 2017-2018 through 2018-2019. TIS is on a quarterly reporting schedule and is being considered for monthly reporting by School Budget Bureau. Business Manager services are provided through the Vigil Group and for the most part, the Business Manager is responsive to requests and reports are submitted in a timely manner, although multiple corrections have sometimes been required to achieve approvable budget status.

While it is encouraging to see the first reporting period of this year, showing a higher than anticipated membership, the school will need to maintain this membership to avoid funding adjustment decreases. The school has consistently shown an inability to operate within current operating revenue resulting in a projected negative cash balance at the end of last fiscal year. The first quarter of this year reflects a positive cash balance but nowhere near the recommended 3% of projected expenses. The school displays a commitment to prioritizing spending in the classroom; however, they have not reigned in spending to match the decrease in membership. The school needs to make immediate, permanent decisions to decrease spending to remain viable.

For the FY21 fiscal year, School Budget Director David Craig recommended that the school budget Emergency Reserve due to cash flow issues that the Charter has experienced over the last several years.

Pending the charter contract renewal decision, the Charter School Division will recommend that the school be required to implement a rigorous financial improvement plan approved by the Public Education Commission.

**Organizational**. During the most recent year evaluated (2019-20), the school did not receive any "Falls Far Below Standard" indicator ratings. TIS received two (2) "Working to Meet Standard" indicator ratings; one of which was a repeated "Working to Meet Standard" rating from the previous academic year for the School Environment: complying with health and safety requirements indicator. TIS has demonstrated consistent performance in its organizational framework from the first year of its contract earning four (4) "Working to Meet Standard" ratings to the most recent year (2019-20) receiving two (2) "Working to Meet Standard" ratings.

PART A:	Data analysis provided by CSD
	Please see Part A - Summary Data Report based on accountability and reporting data from Current Charter
	Contract term
PART B:	Progress Report provided by the School
	Please see Part B for the school's self-report on the progress of meeting the academic performance, financial compliance and governance responsibilities of the charter school, including achieving the goals, objectives, student performance outcomes, state standards of excellence and other terms of the charter contract, including the accountability requirements set forth in the Assessment and Accountability Act during the Current Charter Term.

The PED team reviewed the school's Part B (Progress Report) and conducted a virtual renewal site visit on October 15, 2020. Ratings are based on the rubric provided in the application.					
Section	Indicator	Final Rating			
ACADEMI	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE				
1.a	Department's Standards of Excellence— Overall NM School Grade 2017-2018: <i>C letter-grade</i> NM System of School Support and Accountability 2018-2019: Traditional School with a need for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) 2019-2020: <i>No data available for schools</i>	Failing to Demonstrate Substantial Progress			
1.b	Specific Charter Goals Not Applicable				
FINANCIAL COMPLIANCE					
2.a	Audit Schools that have received no material weakness, significant deficiency, or repeat audit findings in each of the annual audits during the term of the contract do <u>NOT</u> complete this Section. [Organizational Performance Framework 2a and 2b] [Organizational Performance Framework 2a-2e]	Meets the Standard			
2.b	<b>Board of Finance</b> Schools that have maintained all Board of Finance authority during the entire term of the contract do <u>NOT</u> complete this Section. If required to complete this section, provide a narrative explaining the actions taken (improved practices and outcomes).	Meets the Standard			

### Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit November 2020 Page **4** of **6**

Page 4 of 6	<u>г</u>					
2c	Additional Financial In	l Information				
		T	AOS INTER	NATIONAL S	CHOOL	
			ME	MBERSHIP		
		Enrolln	nent CAP	360		
		2021 Budge	ted MEM	159		
		Actual 40D 10	-01-2020	190		
			F			
			lembership	163	202	134
		OPERATION	AL EXPENS			
		Diseas la se	1000	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
		Direct Inst	1000 2100	1,153,071 26,910	30,911	1,118,677
		Student Sup	2200	3,440	2,062	26,581 2,820
		Instrtnl Sup Central Admin	2300	165,324	145,262	122,823
		School Admin	2400	72,439	74,945	74,150
		Central Svcs	2500	113,526	115,769	114,435
		Maint/Ops	2600	299,508	300,710	320,699
		Food Svc	3100	27,048	0	0
		Other	other	0	0	0
		Total Operting Exp	=	1,861,266	1,546,922	1,780,185
		Total Operational Rev		1,705,455	1,525,060	1,568,375
		Surplus		(155,811)	(21,862)	(211,810)
		Year End CASH balance	•	120,798	98,935	(112,875)
		DISTRIBTUION OF EXPENSES				
		Instructional Cos	+	1,183,421	910,236	1,148,078
		% of total operat		64%	510,230	
		Per student mem		\$7,260	\$4,506	\$8,568
		Admin Support	· ·	351,289	335,976	311,408
		% of total operat	ing exp	19%	22%	17%
		Per student mem	bership	\$2,155	\$1,663	\$2,324
ONTRAC	TUAL, ORGANIZATIO	NAL, AND GOV	ERNANC	E		
.a	Material Terms	-			Mee	ts the Sta
	All schools must provide	a response for this	section o	f the		
	application.	later at the set Base		(ID) Chanda		
	Implementation of				ras	
	and Principles for th			-		
	Implementation of I		rinciples f	or the Scho	Ol'S	
	Middle Years Progra					
	Implementation of		-	ough stude	ent-	
	centered lessons as	-				
	Implementation of I			-		
	Implementation	of Heritage I	Model 4	1-8th grad	des	
	It is noted that the schoo	l earned IB accred	itation for	the Middle		
					rt.	
	-	ember, 2019. As of the date of this report, red IB accreditation for the Primary Years				
	Programme and is awaiti				-	
	-0				I	

#### Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit November 2020 Page **5** of **6**

3.b	Organizational Performance Framework	Meets the Standard
	Schools that do not have any repeated "working to meet" ratings or	
	any "falls far below" ratings on the most recent organizational	
	performance framework evaluation do NOT complete this Section.	
	[Organizational Performance Framework 1b-1g, 4a-4c, and 5a-5c]	
	[Organizational Performance Framework 1b-1f, 4a-4e, and 5a-5d]	
	Any OCR complaints or formal special education complaints,	
	identify those, provide all communication related to those, and	
	describe the current status in an Appendix, referenced in narrative	
	by name.	
	• No OCR or special education complaints identified at this time.	
	While a licensure complaint was submitted against the Head	
	Administrator, it is pending review and, therefore, is not	
	discussed or detailed for the purposes of this report.	
3.c	Governance Responsibilities	Meets the Standard
	All schools must provide a response for this section of the	
	application.	
	[Organizational Performance Framework 3a and 3b]	
	[Organizational Performance Framework 3a-3c]	

Parts C, D, and E were provided by the school as part of the renewal application.

PART C:	Financial Statement						
-	A financial statement that discloses the costs of administration, instruction and other spending categories for						
	the charter school that is understandable to the general public that allows comparison of costs to othe						
	schools or comparable organizations and that is in a format required by the department.						
PART D	Petitions						
	<ol> <li>A petition in support of the charter school renewing its charter status signed by not less than sixty-five percent of the <b>employees</b> in the charter school, with certified affidavit. Number: 26 out of 26 Percentage: 100%</li> </ol>						
	<ol> <li>A petition in support of the charter school renewing its charter status signed by at least seventy-five percent of the <b>households</b> whose children are enrolled in the charter school, with certified affidavit. Number: <u>104</u> Percentage: <u>100%</u></li> </ol>						
PART E:	Description of the Charter School Facilities and Assurances						
	A description of the charter school facilities and assurances that the facilities are in compliance with the requirements of Section 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978.						
	1. A copy of the facility lease agreement as Appendix D						
	<ol> <li>A narrative description of its facilities and attach the school's Facility Master Plan, if available, as Appendix H</li> </ol>						
	<ol> <li>Attach a copy of the building E Occupancy certificate(s)</li> <li>Dated: <u>24 JUN 2014</u> Maximum Occupancy (if listed): <u>Not listed on Certificate</u></li> </ol>						
	<ol> <li>Most recent facility NMCI Score <u>6.82%</u> indicating that the school meets the requirements of Subsection C of 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978</li> </ol>						
	5. If the charter school is relocating or expanding, provide assurances that the facilities comply with the requirements of Section 22-8B-4.2 NMSA 1978						
	Public (Cert A)Private (Cert B)Foundation (Cert C)						
PART F:	Amendment Requests						
	The school has not requested or been granted any amendment request(s) during the current contract term.						

Analysis of Renewal Application and Site Visit November 2020 Page **6** of **6** 

### **Taos International School Stakeholder Interviews**

Stakeholder interviews were conducted on October 15, 2020 via the Zoom Meetings platform. The participants included four (4) parents, fifteen (15) students, three (3) governing council member, and twelve (12) staff members.

Parents unanimously stated they chose TIS for the small class sizes making way for the one-on-one opportunities this provides. Most included the dual language and the IB program as additional reasons they chose to send their children to Taos International School. Parents also appreciate the inquiry-based learning their children experience at the school and how they are allowed the opportunity to explore different thoughts and ideas. Parents did not have any concerns, but when asked about improvements, most expressed they wish the school went up to 12<sup>th</sup> grade.

When asked why they chose to attend Taos International and what they like about their school, several students shared that when they get an answer wrong or do not understand the lesson, the teachers find new ways to explain until they understand. Numerous students liked the dual language; one student said his dad only speaks Spanish so now he knows how to communicate with him. Other shared likes were they learn to be part of a caring community, they feel safe - not bullied, and they do not feel judged. Teachers are open-minded, always want to hear your ideas, and are patient. Many agreed student behavior was good.

The governing board members became board members because either the Head Administrator approached them or they wanted to support their community. They all agreed the Head Administrator keeps them well informed. Every board meeting includes a detail of the schools financial situation, academics and enrollment. The board's greatest concern is the school is Nadine's "baby". She had the vision to form the school and the board worries it will not be able to replace her when she decides to retire. Currently there is a teacher shadowing her whom they hope will be available to take over when the time comes.

Some teachers interviewed have been with the school since its inception and other teachers sought out the opportunity to teach at the school because of its good reputation. Many teachers expressed they feel supported by the school administration; teachers work collaboratively – always willing to work on behalf of the students; parents are appreciative and students are respectful and feel cared for. Teachers said another reason they choose to teach at Taos International School is they are able to teach in a way that makes sense to them. Teachers also said they appreciate the IB and dual language programs.