BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
January 14, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Via Zoom Webinar Video Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6171N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

		1	2 (Pages 2 to 3)
	2		4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX TO DDOCEEDINGS Continued
2	COMMISSIONERS:	1 2	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS, Continued
3	PATRICIA GIPSON, Chair GLENNA VOIGT, Vice Chair	2	PAGE
4	REBEKKA BURT, Secretary	3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 175
5	MELISSA ARMIJO, Member	4	
3	STEVEN CARRILLO, Member MICHAEL CHAVEZ, Member	5	ATTACHMENTS:
6	GEORGINA DAVIS, Member	6	1 Attendees List
7	KT MANIS, Member DAVID ROBBINS, Member	7	
	MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member	8	
8	PED STAFF:	9	
9		10	
10	CORINA CHAVEZ Director Charter School/Options for	11	
10	Parents and Families Division	12	
11	MISSV DDOWN Tachnical Assistance and Support and	13	
12	MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator	14	
13	Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division	15	
13	BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Record	16	
1.5	Liaison to PEC	17	
15	COUNSEL TO THE PEC:		
16		18	
17	JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ. Barnes Mediation and Law, PC	19	
	200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	20	
18 19	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	21	
20		22	
21 22		23	
23		24	
24 25		25	
	3		5
1 2	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE	1	THE CHAIR: So good morning once again.
3	1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5	2	Happy New Year to everyone. Good to see you all.
	Pledge of Allegiance,	3	I'm going to bring to order this meeting
4 5	Salute to the New Mexico Flag 2 Approval of the Agenda 6	4	of the Public Education Commission. It is Friday,
6	3 Open Forum - No Speaker Requests 8	5	January 14th, 2022, and it is 9:00 a.m. I am going
7	4 Approval of the Open Meetings Act 8 Resolution for 2022	6	to ask Commissioner Burt to take roll.
8	ACSOLUTION TO TAKE	7	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo.
_	5 PEC Election of Officers for 2022 9	8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Here.
9	6 Consent Agenda 13	9	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt,
10	č	10	here.
11	7 Discussion and Possible Action on 16	11	Commissioner Carrillo.
11	Item(s) Removed from the Consent Agenda - Not Applicable	12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Present.
12		13	
13	8 Discussion and Possible Action on 16 Cesar Chavez Community School Not		COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez.
13	Returning to In Person Learning	14	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Here.
14		15	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis.
15	9 Discussion and Possible Action on 96 New Charter Application Kit	16	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.
16	10 Discussion and Possible Action: 126	17	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.
1.7	The GREAT Academy Corrective Action Plan	18	THE CHAIR: Here.
17 18	Update 11 Report from Charter Schools Division 132	19	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.
19	12 Discussion and Possible Action on 143	20	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Here.
20	PEC Meeting Calendar	21	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins.
20	13 Discussion and Possible Action on 148	22	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.
21	Legislation	23	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.
22 23	14 Reports from PEC Liaisons 149	24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
- 23	15 Report from the Chair 158	4	COMMISSIONER TATLOR: Here.
24	16 PEC Comments 161	25	COMMISSIONED DUDT: A 1 C
	16 PEC Comments 161 17 Adjourn 173	25	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

		1	3 (1 ug es 6 to 7)
	6		8
1	Voigt.	1	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here.	2	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo.
3	COMMISSIONER BURT: There is a quorum of	3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
4	ten.	4	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt,
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. We're now	5	yes.
6	on to the Pledge of Allegiance. I'm going to ask	6	Commissioner Armijo.
7	Commissioner Manis to lead us in the Pledge and	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes.
8	Commissioner Carrillo to lead us in the New Mexico	8	COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. That
9	Salute.	9	passes unanimously, ten-zero.
10	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the	10	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We are on to Item
11	New Mexico Flag conducted.)	11	No. 3, Open Forum.
12	THE CHAIR: We are on to Item No. 4	12	Missy, do we have anyone that signed up?
13	(verbatim), which is Approval of the Agenda.	13	Or Bev, do you know?
14	Does anyone have any changes to the	14	MS. MISSY BROWN: We do not, Madam Chair.
15	agenda?	15	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.
16	(No response.)	16	We're on to Item No. 4, which is the Approval of the
17	THE CHAIR: If not, I'll entertain a	17	Open Meetings Act Resolution for 2022.
18	motion.	18	This is a process that we do every year.
19	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I move we accept	19	The document is in your in our working papers.
20	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Move to approve.	20	So I will move to approve the Open
21	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I didn't catch who	21	Meetings Act Resolution for 2022.
22	that was.	22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'll second.
23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It was Steve.	23	THE CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Gipson,
24	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I think that there	24	a second by Commissioner Carrillo.
25	were two of us at the same time.	25	Commissioner Burt.
23	were two or us at the same time.	23	Commissioner Burt.
	7		9
,	THE CHAID. Labing the standard in him dash	1	
1 2	THE CHAIR: I think that's why it kind of	2	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
3	moved into a voice I didn't recognize at all. So there's a motion by Commissioners	3	
4	Carrillo and Davis.	4	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes.
5	Do I have a second?	5	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez.
6		6	
_	THE CHAIR: I'll second it.	_	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second okay.	8	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins.
	THE CHAIR: There's a second by	9	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
9	Commissioner Gipson. If there's no further	1	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.
10	discussion?	10	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
11	(No response.)	11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You
12	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Burt.	13	COMMISSIONER PURT: Commissioner Toylor
13 14	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	13	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
		15	
15	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Voc	16	COMMISSIONER APMIO: Voc
16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.	17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins.		COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Voigt.
18	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	18	COMMISSIONER PUBT. And Commission on Point
19	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.	19	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Burt,
20	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	20	yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.	21	That passes unanimously, ten-zero.
22	THE CHAIR: Yes.	22	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis.	23	We are on to Item No. 5, which is Election
24	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	24	of Officers for 2022.
25	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez.	25	So I'm going to open up nominations for
		I	

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

			4 (1 ages 10 to 13)
	10		12
1	Chair.	1	I lose you temporarily.
2	Commissioner Burt?	2	So I would like to nominate Commissioner
3	COMMISSIONER BURT: Thank you. I would	3	Voigt for Vice Chair.
4	like to nominate myself for Chair.	4	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I accept.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	5	THE CHAIR: Do I have any other
6	Do I have any other nominations?	6	nominations?
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Doesn't a	7	(No response.)
8	nomination does it need a second?	8	THE CHAIR: If not, Commissioner Voigt,
9	THE CHAIR: No, not according to our	9	I'd ask you to do the same thing. Text Julia a vote
10	rules.	10	to make it official. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, okay.	11	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay, will do.
12	THE CHAIR: Hearing no other nominations,	12	Thanks again.
13	I would just ask, Commissioner Burt, to text a vote	13	THE CHAIR: And, finally, position of
14	to Julia just to make it official.	14	Secretary.
15	COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. Thank you.	15	I'll open up nominations for Secretary.
16	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Patti, you're	16	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll nominate Melissa
17	going to step down. It would seem you're stepping	17	Armijo. Sorry. You were drinking coffee right when
18	down in the role that you've had for so long.	18	I said that.
19	THE CHAIR: Sometimes you stay too long at	19	I I'd like to nominate you as
20	the party.	20	Secretary, Melissa, Commissioner Armijo.
21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Are you going to	21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you for that.
22	travel with all of your free time?	22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Do you accept?
23	THE CHAIR: I certainly will have a	23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Thank you.
24	significant amount of free time, yes.	24	THE CHAIR: Is that an acceptance?
25	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right.	25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes, it is.
	11		13
1	THE CHAIR: So it does. And that's a big	1	THE CHAIR: Okay. Just double-checking.
2	consideration.	2	If there are no other nominations, Commissioner
3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, thank you	3	Armijo, I would ask you to text Julia a vote just to
4	for the years of service.	4	make it official.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	5	All right. Thanks, everyone.
6	We are on to the position of Vice Chair.	6	We are on to Item No. 6, which is the
7	So I would like to nom oh, okay.	7	Consent Agenda.
8	Commissioner Voigt?	8	Do we have any changes to the Consent
9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Shouldn't there be	9	Agenda?
10	a vote, though?	10	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I put my hand up.
11	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Go ahead.	11	I know it's hard to get used to all this. But I had
12	THE CHAIR: I'm sorry.	12	my hand up just to congratulate the three of you
13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here I'm the one	13	THE CHAIR: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	that said I should raise my hand. There we go.	14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: and looking
15	Doesn't this need a vote? Even though	15	forward to the next year. And so way to go. That's
16	there's no opposition, doesn't there need to be a	16	what I here we go. I'll put my hand down now.
17	tally?	17	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks. There was
18	THE CHAIR: I did. I just asked Rebekka	18	someone else up there that had their hand oh.
19	to text a vote to Julia, just to make it official.	19	Director Chavez?
20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, got it. Got	20	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. Thank you.
21	it. Thank you.	21	Good morning, Commissioners. A couple of
22	THE CHAIR: You're welcome.	22	things. I also want to congratulate the new
23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Lowering my hand.	23	executive team members and say I look forward to
24	THE CHAIR: And it's hard for me	24	working with you.
25	because yeah. You move up to that top screen and	25	And for Chair Gipson, going to miss you

14 1 working directly with you, because you have been 1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. 2. incredible in terms of the guidance and support and 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. That 3 collaboration with me and helping me really to 3 passes unanimously, ten-zero. 4 4 become oriented in this position. THE CHAIR: We can move past Item No. 7, 5 5 So I just wanted to say that, recognize because we did not have anything moved off of the 6 6 Consent Agenda, so we left that as a placeholder. you. 7 7 And then as we transition to the Consent We are on to Item No. 8, which is 8 8 Agenda, I wanted to just point out on the request to Discussion and Possible Action on Cesar Chavez 9 9 amend the charter school contracts, there's two Community School not returning to in-person 10 10 categories. I think the pagination on the agenda learning. 11 made it look as if The GREAT Academy has a new 11 So, Missy, is Tani here? 12 business manager. I don't think it was scooted over 12 MS. MISSY BROWN: Yes, ma'am. 13 as much in at least one version of the agenda. 13 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks. So just bring 14 So I just want to be clear that the three 14 anyone from that school that's here. Or once she 15 schools we're looking at are -- for new board 15 gets in, she can let us know if there's anyone else 16 membership is Aldo Leopold, North Valley and The 16 here. 17 GREAT Academy. 17 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: May I open this 18 And then the fourth amendment is a school 18 item? 19 business manager change at Raíces. 19 MS. MISSY BROWN: Okay. 20 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks for that 20 Tani, let me know if there's anybody else 21 clarification. 21 I should move on. 22 So if there are no changes, I will move 22 MS. TANI ARNESS: Hi. I'm Tani Arness. 23 for approval of the Consent agenda. 23 For those who don't know me, Principal and Executive 24 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second. 24 Director. And we also have, representing our school 25 THE CHAIR: So a motion by Commissioner 25 today, Anacelie Verde-Claro and Nathan Everett. 15 17 1 1 Gipson, a second by Commissioner Voigt. MS. MISSY BROWN: Thank you. 2 If there's no further discussion, 2 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And so while 3 3 Commissioner Burt? they're joining and being brought on to the panel, I 4 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. 4 would like to just say that I asked that the school 5 5 THE CHAIR: Sorry. Yes. Yes. be invited to the PEC meeting this month not because 6 COMMISSIONER BURT: I'll come back to you. 6 I wanted to play a "gotcha" game with the school, 7 7 but because I think that the school -- I thought Okay. Yes. 8 8 Commissioner Davis. that the PEC might appreciate hearing from the 9 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. 9 school and where they're at. 10 10 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. As everybody knows, the COVID has been the 11 11 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. most challenging time in education for all schools 12 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. 12 and school leaders. And the PED, last year, 13 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. 13 moved -- asked schools to move in and out of remote 14 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. 14 learning rather swiftly, and, towards the end of the 15 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 15 year, asked schools to come back to in-person. 16 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo. 16 Cesar Chavez Community School is a -- one 17 17 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. of our older charter schools. And Tani can share 18 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. 18 with us exactly how old it is, but it is a school 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 19 that came into APS to address the needs of a 20 20 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt. population of students whose needs I would say were 21 21 yes. not being met at the time. 22 Commissioner Voigt. 22 And just so the Commission is aware, the 23 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. 23 mission of Cesar Chavez Community School is that it 24 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 24 offers intensive support to students entering or

Armijo.

25

25

reconnecting to high school through flexible and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personalized programs during non-traditional hours. They prepare graduates for their next steps, including education training, work, family, and participation in the community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I -- I have not been to the school since I took this position, or quite a while. But when I did know the school a number of years ago, it really was doing some great things for students that we might call at risk, including having the day care center similar to the New America-Las Cruces program that they talked about at the time.

So -- so there has been some shift in leadership. There has been the COVID to contend with. There has been some remote learning and some remote learning lessons learned from the school and the desire to continue remote learning.

At the same time, at the State level, the Governor and the Secretary of Education and everybody at the PED has -- has been encouraging schools to move towards in-person learning to the greatest extent possible.

And that's been difficult, as we know. Right now I would say that schools are moving towards remote learning at a faster pace than ever since this COVID hit, voluntarily, because of the

for -- thanks for being here. And could you just once again introduce the folks from your school that are here, because they were just kind of moving in and we kind of lost it.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yeah. Sure. Again, I'd like to introduce our governance council president, Anacelie Verde-Claro. And our school dean of students, Nathan Everett.

THE CHAIR: Thanks so much -- thanks once again for being here. And here we are.

So, you know...

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yeah. Madam Chair and Commissioners, if I could take some time, I would like to read a presentation that I have prepared. And I will be sending you a copy of the presentation after today's meeting as well so you'll have that.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks so much.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yeah. So I just wanted to start by saying thank you, Director Chavez, for your introduction, and thank you to the Commissioners for taking the time to meet with us today and for holding this meeting on Zoom.

We know that we're all here because we care about students. And I know that we all want to ensure that we have excellent school choices for all

19

the students in New Mexico.

Commissioners, I really appreciate that you volunteer your time. And that does not go unnoted. And, Chair Gipson, now Commissioner Gipson, I do want to just acknowledge your years of service. I know how many hours you've put into the position; so thank you for that.

And as principal of Cesar Chavez Community School for -- which we call CCCS -- for the past eight years, I would like to take some time to give you some more information about our school during the pandemic of the last two years.

In reviewing our history, you will see that we do have a long record of being a consistently exemplary school and a leader in innovation for schools across the state.

The heart of Cesar Chavez Community School has, since 2004, as we've been for 18 years, been about building relationships, supportive community, and providing excellent learning opportunities for, quote, "at-risk" students.

CCCS has always required teachers who are committed to the extra effort it takes. Our students must develop and implement creative, relevant, nurturing, and rigorous lessons, as well

high numbers of positive cases both with staff and students and the shortage of staff. So this is a really difficult time.

I had a conversation with Tani this fall semester when I realized that the school had chosen to remain remote for the semester with a plan to revisit that. And I -- and I asked Tani to consider moving back towards in-person learning.

And I know that she did. And this is why we want to hear from the school about their decision to remain remote, while at the same time -- so there's two items in the PEC materials. One is the memo that Tani shared with me about the consideration that the school made in choosing to remain remote. And the other is the memo that came from Deputy Secretary Katarina Sandoval, which is just a reminder to districts and charter leaders about moving towards in person as much as possible.

So I just wanted to preface this. This is not a "gotcha." This is really, I think, an opportunity for us to listen to the school and to have this discussion and to lean into, sort of like the -- lean into the -- the place where things are right now.

THE CHAIR: Sorry. So, Tani, thanks

as individualized support to meet very high student needs.

In the 21 months since the current health emergency struck, our school community has been in constant dialogue about how best to meet the needs of our students, families, teachers, and community. We have been gathering and analyzing multiple sources of input and data during this entire pandemic time. As the situation in our community has evolved, the school has, in response, also evolved.

I would like to share various components of our developments over the past two years so that you can really understand our progress in dealing with the pandemic. I'm going to go through several categories.

Technology.

CCCS has provided free Chromebooks to every student and staff member as well as training for using them.

CCCS provides free support to all families in signing up for T-Mobile's Project 10 Million, through which students receive free hotspots and/or Wi-Fi.

Over the past 21 months, our teachers and

Since transitioning to an online modality, discipline issues have all but disappeared, with only one discipline referral required for the entire 2020-to-'21 school year.

Mentoring.

The CCCS mentoring program which has for many years provided every student with a trained CCCS staff mentor, has expanded with great success. We now include weekly classes as well as one-on-one check-in meetings at a minimum of once a week and up to once a day for each student.

Family communication and accessibility has also been expanded. CCCS has provided every student and parent with every staff person's e-mail and Google Voice number for easy and direct contact.

Educational assistance.

CCCS has increased the number of Level 3
EAs in classrooms during this pandemic time to help support differentiated lessons and use of breakout rooms. CCCS has also significantly increased the number of hours that one-on-one and small-group tutoring is available each day for all students.

In academic achievement, we have been thrilled to see that our average interim assessment scores, growth scores, did not fall during the

students have mastered new challenging technologies.

This was no small thing for our students. We've

And teachers have been innovating in their online lesson planning and delivery.

seen a lot of growth in this area.

Teachers are facilitating classes with 100 percent engagement, differentiation, and small group and one-on-one coaching.

Synchronous classes are being used successfully to help students interact with each other and share ideas in realtime.

In social work and mental health, we have increased our social work and mental health counseling staff, and we are creating telehealth counseling models that are proving to be powerful and effective with our students.

CCCS has also implemented new student-led electives, as well as daily social-emotional learning classes.

Discipline issues.

Discipline issues in a typical pre-pandemic year resulted in approximately 100 student-to-staff discipline referrals. Past issues have involved class disruption, illegal substances, and physical assaults.

2020-to-'21 school year. Average math and reading growth for students have both remained strong. Further, average growth scores in reading increased 22 percent.

Amidst all the extra stressors of the 2020-to-'21 school year, CCCS even managed to meet and exceed both of its mission-specific charter contract goals.

Student surveys.

In two surveys of CCCS students taken in the past 21 months, students have reported learning the same amount or even greater amounts in their online classes than on-campus classes. In the first survey, 62 percent of students reported their classroom engagement in online classes was equally high or higher than their engagement in on-campus classes.

In the second student survey, 80 percent of students reported learning the same amount or more, and 58 percent of students reported learning even more in their online classes than in their on-campus classes.

In both student surveys, comments have been made that many students have enjoyed the increased flexibility and accessibility of being

able to work from home.

In mobility rate and attendance, incredibly, our annual student mobility rate, which is traditionally approximately 80 percent due to our at-risk population of students, fell to just over 34 percent in the 2020-to-'21 school year.

Our average attendance rate, which is traditionally one of the biggest challenges with any reengagement school, has not decreased during this health emergency.

Enrollment.

Our overall student enrollment has also remained solid. There was no decrease in enrollment in 2020 to '21. And in 2021 to '22, there has been a decrease of only ten students, with variations anticipated by the end of this school year.

Parents.

During this pandemic, parents continue to report that they are grateful for the school's high levels of communication, nurturing, and support. CCCS students enter with an average of eleven failing grades already on their high school transcripts. Parents repeatedly tell staff how happy they are to see their children enjoying school and being successful, especially after having had

online program.

The Cesar Chavez governance council, administration, and many consultants have reviewed our charter contract and have concluded that we are meeting all commitments in our contract and education plan. The direction we are going in is to identify ourselves as an online school.

Thank you for being receptive to the information we have provided here, and we look forward to your support of Cesar Chavez Community School as a fully online school.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for that. I'm going to say for myself this response was a little unexpected. The application process identifies the educational programming of a school. And your application process did not, in any way, for me, identify it as a completely online school.

We've had at least one school come before us already a couple of months ago and make that -- wanted to start -- stay in person, but also have an online component. And the direction by the Commission was that's a whole new application because that's a separate school.

So for me it goes far beyond amending the cons- -- "the constitution." It goes far beyond --

long periods of, quote, "failure" in more traditional programs.

In summary, you can see the Cesar Chavez Community School has stood up to the challenges of this pandemic and become an even stronger school. We have worked very hard and are thrilled by our successes. We are continuing to grow beyond what many have thought was possible for schools during this pandemic, and our online program continues to meet the needs of students with multiple risk factors, extreme trauma, histories of disengagement, and complex problems in school and community.

During all the instability and distractions of this ever changing health emergency, CCCS has been able to provide safety, stability, and support. We have guided students in regrouping and staying on track toward their goals. We have continued meeting our mission by focusing on giving highly vulnerable students maximum flexibility, personalization, safety, and wraparound supports.

After much discussion and input from our stakeholders, the CCCS governance council and administration have decided that the best next step for our school is not to attempt to go backward, but to continue moving forward and building our unique

it goes beyond amending the constitution, too. It goes beyond amending your contract to go completely online.

So that's -- I'll be honest; that's troubling for me. So I'll just -- I'll just say that.

And I have to -- you know, this was truly unexpected. So I have to chew on this for a little while.

So, Commissioners, anyone else?

Oh. Commissioner Robbins. You've got the bookcase there.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. If you look at that -- your upper left corner, that's usually the people who have their hands up.

THE CHAIR: I get that. But you move, so I lose you from it.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. Like the Chair, I'm concerned that this school did not come with a new application and did not come to the Commission prior to deciding on its own. And I appreciate the fact that you believe your lawyers think that it's within your contract to do this. I disagree.

You talk a lot. You know, in due respect,

Ms. Arness, you gave a lot of flowery language. You talk about how you've only lost ten students.

What is your enrollment? What was your enrollment in 2019? The 2018-'19 school year? The '19-'20 school year? The '20-'21? I would like to see what the enrollment is. To say you've lost ten students, if you only had 40 students and you lost ten, that's huge.

And I'm a former statistician. So it's easy -- you've used physical numbers for some things and used -- you've used percentages for other. So my first question is what has been your enrollment over the last three years?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner Robbins, for that question. I appreciate that.

Yes, statistics are important. And enrollment of our school tends to vary from 200 to 203; it's been solid for about ten years. And we are currently -- right now, we have 196. 2019, I believe, was 203 or 202. '19-'20, I believe, was maybe 201. So we're right in that window.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. How -- I mean, you talk about your teachers touching -- you know, touching in, you know, checking in with the

look into that. If you wanted to e-mail me a question regarding that, I could look into that further.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Because I think, you know, that's one of the important things that PED -- you know, all of the education experts indicate it's really preferred to have in person where you can.

Now, some students -- obviously, we do have some virtual schools -- this school was never -- the application and your current contract doesn't set this school up as a virtual school.

And students at risk -- I think, you know, as a large population, the majority of your students -- you said, you know, many of -- most of your students have failed eleven classes prior to coming to Cesar Chavez.

And so that's a concern that, you know, a little bit more hands on and physically seeing the students to make sure that their needs really are being met, I think is very important. So that's a concern I have.

And you mentioned that you're doing student surveys, and that the students, when surveyed, they went from 60 percent thinking they're

students once a day.

How can we be sure that the students are actually receiving the required number of hours of instruction when they only touch base with --

MS. TANI ARNESS: No. We have scheduled Zoom classes. Our synchronous class schedule, students attend Zoom classes.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. So there are scheduled classes that the teachers take attendance in and everything. Good.

Again, that wasn't really mentioned. You mentioned touching in once a day. To, me that was the teachers only saw the students once a day.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Sorry for that confusion. That's the mentoring program beyond the classroom, yes.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Great. The -- how many cases of COVID have there been among students and among staff, of total staff? So of 200 students, how many of them have had positive COVID tests?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Because we are not -students aren't on campus, they're not reporting those numbers to us if they're not on campus. So we don't have, at this moment, that number. We could learning more to 80 percent saying that they learn more.

A survey of an individual, how they feel, is nice in terms of how they feel, but that doesn't indicate that they're actually learning.

So what -- what metrics and what tools are you using to actually measure student growth and student learning?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Well, we mentioned our interim assessment scores, the growth scores, for math and reading. So that would be the short cycle assessments three times a year.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. The -- I guess the last thing I have, the -- the T-Mobile hotspots and things like that, you know, it's great. But my understanding is these hotspots, are they providing sufficient speeds for students to actually participate fully?

With just a meeting, it's fine. But can they download and see the appropriate amount of information? Any videos used in instruction that they can have access to in a realtime-type fashion?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yes. We've been able to get -- it's -- our students are in Albuquerque, so that helps. But the T-Mobile program does offer the

free Wi-Fi. So we've been able to, yeah, have that work fine for our classes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nate, did you have anything you wanted to add on that one?

MR. NATHAN EVERETT: No. It -- a lot of our students have their own Internet. And the students that we're struggling to get Internet were helped with T-Mobile. So some have high-speed Internet of their own as well as some have hotspots.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. That's all I have at this time. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Chavez?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So much of what I want to say has already been said. I just want to say that I stand in agreement with Commissioner Gipson and Commissioner Robbins. This is -- this was not what was presented to us.

And there has been a call. There has been a call from the Secretary and from the Governor for all schools to go back to in-person learning.

So I'm just in agreement with both -- as I stated, Chair Gipson and Commissioner Robbins.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Voigt?

decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I think, you know, we're here to support you and, in any way we can, to help you meet the needs of your community. But from what you've said, your kids are doing better in the home environment. And I know the personal and social dynamics of your school have been a challenge when you have been face to face.

The student discipline alone can be all consuming for some communities. And that speaks volumes.

I'm sure, Mr. Everett, as the dean of students, you are really tapping into that social-emotional support for the kids. And that's a lot. That's big.

So I just wanted to thank you for taking the time to give us all of that great information. And great job on your interim assessments.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Thank you, Commissioner Voigt. Appreciate that.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. Thank you.

Hi, Tani. We never have met. I'm up in

24 Santa Fe and cover kind of Santa Fe north to the 25 border.

35

MS. TANI ARNESS: Okay. Nice to meet you.

37

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You as well. And thank you for your presentation and certainly for the work you do at the school.

I tend to agree with Commissioner Gipson and the others that spoke up. This is not in your charter. If you want to be an online school, reapply to be an online school.

And I'll get to other things. It's as if, you know, a school that we approved, had a mission statement and an entire plan that they presented to us, all of a sudden decided mid-semester, you know what? We're going to drop that. We're going to now be a STEM -- we're going to now be solely STEM -whatever it might be -- or, you know, that's kind of what this is.

So when I first learned about this, it definitely caught me by surprise.

I would tend to disagree with your counsel if they said this is not a contract violation, because this is not in your contract or in your mission.

I'm happy to hear that you think your kids are doing well. So I'd be wanting to ask, where are -- where are your proficiency scores? Where

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: You're on mute. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I got that. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Hi, Tani. It's good to see you. Happy New Year.

So, you know, I really appreciate your report. And I know your school and your community. And I think you're doing the best thing for your community. By what you stated and the appreciation that you have from your stakeholders for the way you were able to shift for your students, it says a lot. And that's a huge decision to make.

So -- and I'm sure it was stressful and, you know, all that.

I think in stating that you want to reidentify as an online school, you might give that a little more consideration before being really decisive about that.

I really do think that COVID is going to be with us in some form or another. But your student body will change over time. You know, the value of online learning might change over time. And you might go with the flow, so to speak, and just do your online while you can during COVID and see how things shift before making another big

were they a couple of years ago when you were doing short cycle, and where are they now? Proficiency for reading and math.

MS. TANI ARNESS: So we look at individual growth scores, because we do have high mobility. So students come in. And you can't really compare apples to oranges from year to year with different students. So we have to look at where they come in and where they grow to. And we look at at least having one year's growth in math and reading as measured each fall to spring on the short cycle assessments.

So our math has stayed solid at a year's growth averaging of all the students' different growth scores. And our reading is up to two years' growth.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So that measures the growth. But if they're growing -- if they come in at Grade 9, and they're at a fourth-grade reading level and they grow to fifth or sixth grade reading, by the time they graduate high school, they're going to be seriously deficient in their ability to read and write.

And if they even decide to do anything next, it's going to be remedial. And we know what

could get back with you.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: You don't need to do that. Even post-PARCC, that was a while ago. Wow. I'm just remembering the protest we had up here at PED and the sit-ins that kids did at Santa Fe High. It was exciting.

But even after that, I would think that, just off of -- that one of you, just kind of off the top of your head, would know where your students are in their proficiency rates as a whole.

MS. TANI ARNESS: I can tell you that they -- we are not -- let's see. We've got students coming in -- again, I don't want to give you any false information. I want to look up the actual numbers.

But we have students coming in at an average of about a fifth- to sixth-grade level. And we're working on meeting them where they're at and helping them grow as quickly as possible.

So, yeah, proficiency is difficult to attain for a lot of our students. But we stay with them to make progress in that direction.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you for your answer; although I'm having trouble with it, because I guess when there are parent-teacher

happens generally to kids in remedial; they drop out of college at an alarm--- like, 80 percent or so.

If they start in remedial, they don't finish.

I'm curious as to proficiency rates as opposed to growth. Do you have averages -- once they're -- you know, it's interesting sometimes when people come to us and the way they want to use data and the way -- I'll say it.

There's a school up here in the north. They came to us to try to be -- I was on the Santa Fe School Board for years.

They came to us to try to be a local charter. They kept using these numbers as if they had 10 percent growth here and there. Yeah, your growth went from 20 percent to 22 percent. So you're fudging all the numbers.

You're making it look like you had this amazing breakthrough. 22 percent is nothing to be proud of in terms of, like, their proficiency.

So where are your proficiency scores? Where were they, and where are they?

MS. TANI ARNESS: I will have to get those numbers to you. We'd be having to go back to PARCC on that. And so I'd have to go back and look at those. If you wanted to e-mail me that question, I

conferences, if there's a parent or a guardian or anyone, we would be addressing around a little round table in the classroom with a student, you know, "You're 15 percent proficient right now in math, and you're 21 percent proficient in English, so we're very concerned. This is our prescription for you for these coming years, parent and kid. You need to agree, because for you to be able to work a -- you know, a minimum-wage job, there are certain things you're going to have to be able to do, let alone fill out a job application."

So that's a concern of mine.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Right. And we take those conversations on an individual basis. So we will say, with a parent and student, "Your student's math is at a fifth-grade level right now. We want to see that grow as quickly as possible. Here's the steps we're taking."

So we do have those conversations.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So I'm going to -- I have to surmise from your answer that we -- that neither you nor Nathan really have a general idea, averaging proficiency rates specifically. Because as -- I know up north in many schools, they look at their graduation rates, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is -- you know, to me, you can graduate with a D average. So that doesn't really say much of anything. It's really all about their skills in English language arts and math.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I want to move on. I have a question -- discipline has been brought up a couple of times. I would tend maybe to disagree with the other Commissioner.

Well, of course discipline stuff has gone down, because they're really not having any social interaction. And that's the hardest part about high school is being with other kids that are bouncing off the walls just like you are and confronting their -- you know, their daily aggressions; I mean, not violence or anything, but their daily aggressions, their sexuality, their maturity. That's all the stuff that leads to discipline issues.

If they're home, of course they're going to go down. To me that underscores even further the need for them to be in the classroom. Because if they're going to be out there in the world, they're going to need to know conflict resolution in person, like sitting down with their counselor at your school with the person who they're in conflict with

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It does say it there. I'm sorry. I missed it. Sorry. Thank you.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: To answer your question, Commissioner Carrillo, I wanted you to hear firsthand from the school. One of my goals is to always have a school at the meeting for you all to hear from.

And, frankly, I was -- I had initially had a conversation with Tani about considering an amendment to the charter contract if the school wished to remain remote. And that was an option at the time. And then I received her memo.

And so I wasn't sure how you wanted to proceed. But I felt it was important that she had an opportunity -- she and her team had an opportunity to speak directly with you all moving forward.

So I don't have a particular recommendation at this point. I -- this is a pretty open-ended conversation for you all to consider.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you for that answer. So I am noticing, when the issue comes up again, I see that Ms. Susan Fox is in the attendees. And my assumption there, of course, is that she's there -- Ms. Fox is counsel for the

43

school.

So I don't have a question for Ms. Fox right now because I know that, Tani, you said earlier that your advice from counsel was that this is all within the scope of your contract.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Not to interrupt. But Ms. Fox is, I believe, here for The GREAT Academy.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, okay. In that case, terrific. Is Ms. Fox your counsel? Just curious. Because there's so few people in the state that do this work.

MS. TANI ARNESS: We haven't retained counsel yet on this issue.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Got it. Thank you very much.

Okay. And thank you, Commissioner Gipson. Okay. And thank you, Director Chavez, for that, because that makes me think about what's next so -and if this can be done with an amendment.

Okay. In terms of -- let's see. I know that -- to me, PED created a massive failure last year by not making it mandatory that kids be on screen if they're going to be remote. They were allowed to just have their name or background up or

and saying, "Okay, how are you guys going to work this out without coming to blows? How can you accept one another's point of view without -- you

know, without being aggressive or without being condescending?"

So I think that -- disciplinary issues make the arguments for more in person, and also, I would think, underscores the work in social and emotional learning.

On the discipline -- sorry about that. I want to ask Corina a question, and then I want to come back.

So, Corina, for today -- or I'm sorry, because it's a formal meeting, Director Chavez.

So for today, what's the ask? Are we just being given information today? Is there going to be an ask? Because it doesn't say anything about possible action.

So I imagine if we would surmise something and discuss this, we might put something on the next agenda. So if you would touch on that for me, that would be great. And then I want to go back to the other questions that I have.

THE CHAIR: Can I just interrupt for a sec? It does say "Possible Action."

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something like that. That was just so laughably --I'm going to use the word "stupid" -- that I just couldn't believe that happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in your remote program, do you require the kids actually be on screen to be counted in attendance and have to stay on screen?

MS. TANI ARNESS: We are allowing students and teachers to create a plan together for how students will be seen and heard to participate in their various classes.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Got it. So I'll take that as a no, it's not a requirement to be on

MS. TANI ARNESS: It's not a school-wide requirement that students be on screen the entire

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Got it. Thank you.

Now, one of the things that I would imagine -- and I'm not even saying that we would approve. I would think that you would have to come in for a new contract or something to be a 100 percent remote school.

And I don't know. Have you and your board thought about what that means? Because if -- you're It would not be just enroll as many people for asynchronous classes as possible.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So then the challenge with that, to me, would be -- because legally, you have to lotto in kids -- you might have families and kids that go there now, and they might not be able to get in next time because other kids from further away, if you're offering an online program, are going to be able to take that spot.

And as a State charter, you're not allowed to have a boundary, per se. You're not allowed to just say, "You can only be within these couple of ZIP Codes."

So I would be super concerned about that. And then, honestly, I would -- you know, I would disagree relative -- when you said about creating community and relationship -- you know, I love Zoom calling, which I don't. I can't stand it. I would always love to be with people around a table in a room. And, especially, I would think that for kids that are in those Grades 9-12, boy -- and even middle school -- those are hard years.

And I just think that having an adult just looking you in the eye and just -- you know, are holding your hand getting through something, it's --

47

49

a State charter. So even right now, somebody that lives down in Hatch or Jal or way up there in Aztec could come to your school.

So if you're going to be an online school, like the K12 school and the other one that --Connections Academy -- and these other schools, have you even thought -- have you and your board thought about kind of a rush of possible enrollment and how would you even deal with this relative to staffing, kind of -- running an online program, the expertise to run a school that could easily double in size?

These other schools have 2,000 kids. If you're going to be here in Albuquerque -- so, you know, what consideration have you and your board given to that issue?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Our model for an online school is very different than a traditional online. We are shaping -- our thinking right now is to shape this directly around high-needs students, where you need to build relationships, you need to have synchronous classes, you need to have a small community where you can get to know each other and meet wraparound needs.

So we would cap enrollment and continue with the structured time to get to know students.

that's what's needed.

And even community events relative -- all the things that happen in high school that bring people together as a community and socially. And sometimes it's crisis. So I'd be concerned about that.

The next thing I had here is I would agree with Commissioner Robbins. And I -- not -- so far all of the data I've read and the studies that I've looked at -- not studies, articles I've looked at, and there's not one that has contradicted this -they all say that the safest place for kids is in school relative to COVID. Schools have the most structured safety measures.

And when we look at where kids are getting COVID, they're not getting it in school. They're getting it from their unvaccinated parents and people that are in their lives at home. School is the safest place.

So I know that there might be different -there might be a lot of parents and things, and maybe there's a lot of parents who are not vaxxed. I don't know about your population. And, actually, I am totally open to the fact that I do pass judgment on people that aren't vaxxed, severe

judgment. I'm very open about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And -- but school is the safest place for kids. So this is a tough one for me that you have all decided kind of unilaterally to do this. I mean you could have come to us in October and say, "Look, you know, something -- this is kind of working" -or November -- "it's something we want to do for the following semester," I think that would have been a much better approach.

I'm going to look at my notes and see if there's anything else I want to touch on here.

Not yet. But that doesn't preclude me from wanting to jump in again later.

So thank you for being here. And thank you, Nathan.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Carrillo always has that one last word.

So Commissioner Armijo?

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. First of all, I just want to thank you for being here as well.

I just want to start off by saying that, you know, you and your dean of students and your board president know your students the best. So I commend you for having courage to see that this is something with their teacher or counselor that they wouldn't maybe necessarily do in an in-person setting.

So -- and those are just coming from what I've heard from, like I said, the school that I deal with that's an APS charter.

It was a little bit of a shock that you just wanted to switch this up in the middle of a school year, or -- so I'm kind of on the fence about

I do have a question about what your school offers in the way of, like, dual credits or any career readiness for, like, CNM or UNM or anything like that.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Thank you, Commissioner Armijo. We do offer two classes that have CCCS dual credit -- well, sorry -- that have a dual credit with a CCCS teacher facilitating them for extra support. And that's the financial literacy and college success courses.

And then we do offer CCCS -- I'm sorry --CNM dual credit classes as well, and we help students to get enrolled for those when they're ready for that stuff.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Great. Thank you.

51

50

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

working for you.

I do agree with most of the other Commissioners that it may not be the right timing, and maybe you -- as Vice Chair Voigt suggested, you know, look at this a little further and then maybe come back to us.

But I sort of disagree with Commissioner Carrillo regarding the discipline. I understand that people need to learn about conflict resolution. But I also know -- I also deal with an APS-chartered charter school that probably serves the same demographic of youth that you serve.

And they're -- they're not completely online, but they have had some really serious issues around discipline, and, you know, some -- I don't want to say violent acts, but acts that could be considered very dangerous at school.

And so, you know, noticing that, that, you know, you don't have to deal with that on a daily basis because they're online, I would think is a little helpful in learning, for the students to learn.

I also know that students learn and sometimes feel more safe when they're on an online setting where they're able to probably discuss

I guess just the last thing I want to say is, you know, the surveys. I was -- I -- when you hear from the students themselves or the parents themselves, I find that to be the most helpful when it comes to education.

You know, usually, a school is -- you know, the school that you have is very similar to the school that I'm with. It's about 200 students; they're like a family. The teachers are so engaged with their students.

And I -- and maybe I'm a little biased in that way, so I'm leaning towards your -- you know, feeling your pain and feeling how you want to, you know, move forward with your educational plan.

So I just wanted to say thank you for bringing this to us and letting us know how your students are doing. And I really hope that they continue to -- to thrive, either in this environment, or if -- you know, if -- I always do like to see students at school. It is -- it is a little -- you know, I'm older, so I know that -- or that's what I grew up with. But I do know that that doesn't always mean that it's the better way to go.

So thank you so much for, you know, just trying to do what's best for your students. Thanks.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Burt?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BURT: Thank you. All right. So I think after just, you know, kind of -- I think it was good to take a second and just, like, process it, because I think -- what I believe about what I heard is if we were getting an update on, you know, how you were responding to COVID, I would -- you know, the work that you're doing with your students, the mentorship program that you have, where every

student has someone, I think is -- I mean, it is so

important, especially right now; right?

While students who were -- they were in class, they had -- they had six, seven teachers telling them every day, you know, "You have to -you've got to get things done," and then they go to nothing. So having that one-to-one engagement with the mentorship part, I think is -- I mean -- I wish that's something that, you know, every school was able to do, especially -- like I said, especially during this transition to COVID, just so students did get that one-to-one.

Hearing all the things that you're doing after the pandemic and after going to online learning, I mean, there's nothing -- those are the anymore. It's just about what's best for our kids.

That's when I believe it should have come forward. That's when I think -- if I look at it very black and white, that's where I am frustrated.

I believe that you really -- I believe the school should be following the guidance and the data supports coming back into the classroom. That's what you're there to do. That's what your program is. That's what we know your program is. And so not doing it, for me, is really not an option.

There has been plenty of data from New Mexico, from the Feds, from -- saying that, you know, students should go back to school now. And so I think, at that point, it should have happened.

And then -- but -- and then, once again, if you're like, "You know what, we really like that," bring it forward. Bring it to the PEC. Go through the right channels. There is a structure on how to do that.

I don't think it's that you shouldn't consider it or you shouldn't be like, "Oh, you know what, this actually was really great, we should like actually do this." I don't think there's anything wrong with that.

55

57

kind of things that we want to hear from schools; right? The engagement that you have. We're seeing better -- we're seeing better; right? We're actually seeing improvements. We're not seeing, you know, a lack of all these different things.

So I am grateful for that.

That being said, I'm going to kind of sandwich it; the good, then I'm going to say what I'm frustrated about, and then I'm going to some more good in a second.

There's a right way and a wrong way to go about it. And that's at the end of the day, I think there's a right way and wrong way to go about it. And I think if you're doing it based off of pandemic response, that's one thing. But it's not a part of your educational program that's approved.

And so I think that that's something that should have come forward right away. As soon as there was any kind of discussion from the governing board, from you all to the governing board, any discussion in that kind of case, and you're, like, you know what, this is something you want to progress with further. It's not based off of COVID anymore, it's based off of the success we saw from moving this transition. It's not about the pandemic

But I think just going, "You know what, we're just going to stay doing this, because it's working," I don't think that's the appropriate way to have gone about it.

So I think that's kind of -- I think what you're doing, fine. But I don't think you should continue doing it just because that's -- you know, you decided that's what you want to do. So I think that's where I'm struggling.

I also -- I would say, you know, I think -- you know -- and I know we have several charter folks who are in charter schools, and then we have our superintendent here. You know, high schools especially were really struggling with attendance when they went to online because a lot of students, they went and got jobs or they started working during the day.

It's really hard. Especially the students that you have, you know, if you're getting them back in and they're coming in and they're lower and you're trying to get them to graduate, you're getting them through into that, I could imagine your school struggles with that heavily.

So I am a little -- I'm a little concerned -- just in general, just, you know, when

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

it comes to the place where you're even going to consider doing this permanently, having that not the synchronous class, but it be kind of optional how that works, it is concerning for me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because, you know, I had -- I had students -- my own -- my own kids, my students, my children, who did not want to be on camera. And they weren't paying attention when they weren't on camera; right? And when they had a teacher who said, "Hey, I require you to be on camera," guess what? They were on camera; they were engaged; they were talking to other students then.

Leaving it optional to high schoolers, I want to believe that every student is just going to -- any opportunity they get, they're just going to jump on it. I also know I have a high schooler. She's not going to do it. You tell her she's going to turn her camera off, she's turning her camera off.

Not only that, she could be at work, put her phone in her pocket, and be at school while she's doing other things.

And so I think -- I am concerned about the attendance part of online learning. And so I think -- I wonder if that's a place where you all

But I still believe there was a right way and wrong way to continue doing that online learning. So that's my -- that's, at the end of the day, what I'm going to keep it as.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Before I go to Commissioner Taylor, could I just ask Commissioners to please check your e-mails?

Thanks.

Oh. Commissioner -- I'll come back to Commissioner Taylor when -- I guess when he finishes his text messages.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No, I'm good. I'm good.

14 THE CHAIR: Do you want to talk? 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Me? 16 THE CHAIR: Your hand was up. 17 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Yes. I do. 18 THE CHAIR: Okay. You're next then. 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I took it down

20 because you said we were moving to me next. So I --21 THE CHAIR: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, yeah. Tani, 23 thank you for being here. Thank you for your 24 presentation. I really do appreciate that.

It sometimes can feel like going into a

59

can consider and maybe improve on, just so that you have that engagement with students.

And especially -- from what it sounds like, you have students that need intensive engagement; right? You're not having the average student come in. You're having students who are already behind, and you're bringing them up, so they're going to need extra. I mean, they just need extra.

So if you have the staff who they're willing to do it and they're going to put in the work that's not being heard on the other side, like you don't see that that student is understanding this, I mean, it's just -- that's a flaw in synchronous learning to me.

So that's -- that's kind of my two cents about that.

But I'm going to keep it really simple. I think -- like I said, I'm really grateful for the extras that you did based off the pandemic. Going into online, it sounds like you really took it. You're doing extra. You're putting in the work. Your teachers are putting in the work. Ideally, your students are putting in the work, and it's working. That's great.

lion's den a little bit, and certainly don't want that to be the case, you know. People need to be able to come to this committee and tell us what's going on. So I really do appreciate that.

I do want to just say ditto on what Commissioner Burt just said. Almost everything she said was one of the things on my list that I felt like I wanted to communicate.

I don't know that I understand completely what the primary reason -- or what the reasons are -- for you to remain virtual. What -- did you give us those? Like, "Hey, this is why we're doing it"? Or, "It's just we just decided to do it"?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Well, as -- I think the reasoning was spelled out in the presentation to some degree. But, yes, I mean we started this as part of the pandemic. And then we saw some of the benefits that were happening. And so it was a -- it was kind of a mix of things, I think, that brought us to this.

But it's -- yeah, it's something that we've been considering during these whole last two years, is looking at what are the implications of this modality.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. So I -- I

mean, I -- I guess I didn't pick up on enough of the benefits during your presentation, because it seemed like your -- your defense, or your reasons, seemed to be, like, "We're doing all right in the midst of the pandemic, you know, in a virtual setting."

It wasn't like, "Wow, we really excel by doing this. We've improved this area or this facet of our educational platform or performance by this much by being virtual."

So to me, there's not -- there certainly isn't -- it wasn't made clear to me, anyway. Maybe I just didn't understand. But it wasn't made clear to me that there were really great reasons to remain virtual, you know, because it's not like everything -- or even many things -- have improved in the virtual platform.

Have you lost any -- you said you're down ten students this year. Were those students lost due to the new platform, the virtual platform? They were looking for in-person education? Do you know that? Are families responding in a way that, "Hey, we're not -- we don't want to do this, it's not right for us"?

MS. TANI ARNESS: So some students have -- a handful of students have made a choice to -- yes,

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. But it seems to me -- I might be making this too simplistic. But it seems like all the normal stuff has been happening except you've lost a few students, five or six students or whatever, due to the online -- or the remote platform. That's kind of what it sounds like to me. The rest of the things are sort of as usual. "We get students this way. We enroll students this way," and so forth.

MS. TANI ARNESS: I'm not sure how to respond to that. I'm not sure what "all the normal things" -- how to think of that right now.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'm sorry. The things that you were just saying that, "We -- by word of mouth, we get students," and so forth. But it hasn't been -- the virtual platform, the remote platform has not been a new sort of -- I don't want to say recruiting -- but a new source of new students enrolling. Just the fact that you're remote hasn't -- hasn't brought new students in just by that -- you know, because of that.

MS. TANI ARNESS: It's hard to say, because I think it's a mix of -- like I said, it's hearing good things about the school from other people. And then, yes, you know, they want -- they

go to an in-person program. Then we have a handful who are wanting to get a GED or who just fell off the radar, and we haven't been able to contact them. Or some of those things that we see every year, really, with our students is sometimes, all of a sudden, none of their contacts work, emergency contacts, all the phones, we can't reach anybody, and we just don't know where they went.

So that -- that sometimes happens as well.

And then there has been an increase of students, as we've seen across the state and nation, high school students, working, especially with the lower income families.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Sure. Do you think you've seen an increase, also a faction of people, a group of people, that have said, "Hey, this online thing is great for us, let's go to this school"?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yes, we've seen some students enrolling throughout our school year. We have students who -- always our students have tended to come from word of mouth. So we get a lot of family members. We've had generations of students who tell friends and family about the school, and that's where we get a lot of our new enrollees. And that is continuing to happen.

want to try the online program. Or they -- or they don't enroll because we are, you know, enrolling them in an online program. So they are choosing that, yeah.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Sure. Okay. All right.

I do have some concerns about some of the things that Commissioner Carrillo brought up about proficiency and metrics and growth metrics and so forth.

You know, I feel like I want to see -- I want to see more specific metrics. What you gave us was things are the same, or things are -- may have improved or whatever. But it doesn't -- that -- that -- that doesn't help me with -- with my -- with my thought processes.

I want to see more specific metrics about, "This is what was happening prior to us going to a remote learning platform, and this is how things are going now that we're in -- you know, in a remote learning platform."

So I would like to see more information about that.

I am very concerned that students don't have to be on camera. I mean, as Commissioner Burt

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, that is -- that is just a license to steal or a license to not pay attention, you know. So I really do think that that's important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I would -- I would do that first thing Monday morning, require all of your students to be on camera regardless, you know, just -- I -- that's my -- that's my feeling on that.

So -- but having said that, I do appreciate the fact that -- like I said, that you are -- you are attempting to meet the needs of your community. And so I don't want to reiterate everything Commissioner Burt said. But she was spot-on as far as my opinion.

So thank you again for being here.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Voigt. You're muted again.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks. Sorry. I had to go off camera earlier. I had a little coffee crisis in my kitchen.

The benefits of Zoom.

So I wrote some things down as I was listening to some of the other Commissioners.

I think that -- because I do know the population of the CCCS students. By making this

And then, you know, online is everywhere. It's everywhere. There are mostly for-profit schools that conduct online, multiple CMOs.

So that's not what Tani is doing here. That's not what CCCS -- that's not their intentions. I just spoke for you, Tani. I hope that's true.

MS. TANI ARNESS: And that is true. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: So also, you know, when we -- when we talk about proficiency, I'm sorry. But that is something that is so irrelevant for most schools, because -- I don't know how many of you have seen that cartoon that shows four kids lined up trying to look over the fence to watch a baseball game, okay?

One kid is two feet tall. Another kid is three feet tall. Another one is five feet tall, okay? Every kid has different levels and abilities. They could never be expected to achieve a -- the same proficiency that is so arbitrarily determined, anyway, as we discussed yesterday in our training, you know.

So when we look at growth, that is an indicator that is so much more meaningful, valuable, relevant to our schools in New Mexico. The bar of

67

1 proficiency is something that is going to be, mark 2

online transition temporarily, for now, students have been able to work.

They have an older population of students. They do have students that come in, I think, that are even over 20 years old. Is that right, Tani? And they're parents also; some of the students are also parents. So this does open up more opportunity for them.

I do agree with Commissioner Burt, though. I think there is a process that should be in place. And I think, you know, whether it's a charter amendment -- we can discuss that. But I think there's a process that should be communicated and put into place on how you go forward, if you decide to stay completely online.

And I just wanted to say, to respond to Commissioner Carrillo, that existing students that are enrolled in a school would never be shoved out if another online student wanted to enroll, because they have that matriculation process.

I'm sure you understand that. You might have just misstated that. So there is that. There is the lottery process that guarantees that equity. Returning students coming back would never be disenrolled, just to clarify what you had said.

my words, changing in the near future.

So I think, as Commissioners, we need to be a little more broad-minded and progressive in our thinking about speaking about proficiency levels, especially in the narrow, narrow context of reading and math.

That's all I have.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. TANI ARNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.

THE CHAIR: Sorry. So thanks. It took me a couple of minutes -- more than a couple of minutes -- to process all this, because, like I said, your response was really surprising to me. So I had a little bit of time to think about this.

So I'm going to ask, first off, is there anyone at the building right now?

MS. TANI ARNESS: Yes. We have staff going in at -- for different assigned times.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. You have staff coming in for what?

MS. TANI ARNESS: We have staff going in at different assigned times.

THE CHAIR: For?

MS. TANI ARNESS: It varies. We have a

receptionist, a registrar, counselor, different people that rotate through.

2.

school.

THE CHAIR: Because my understand- -- part of the concern I know that was brought to us was there was difficulty in reaching someone because it didn't appear that there was anyone there, that it went automatically to a voice mail.

So -- but beyond that, let's just say a skeleton staff is coming to the school. The school is getting lease reimbursement money for that facility to provide instruction to students. So I have a concern about, you know, any -- I guess a question that niggles at me is, is there a fiscal concern because there's lease reimbursement for this instruction model that's in your contract, and you're not providing that instruction there?

So that does -- that worries me.

Your contract does clearly say that you're doing instruction at the site. So that is potentially a contract violation, all right, getting down to nitty-gritties.

And your contract does say that you're going to follow rules, regulations from PED. And there's a concern that this -- not that you've -- not that you've pivoted and you provided the online

we're -- you know, it is. It's -- who knows what someone is doing.

And I know that for high school in particular and for students whose families I fully understand were so -- have been so negatively affected by this time, some have simply said, "Hey, yeah, I'm going to go to school. And I can just log in, and they don't know where I am or exactly what I'm doing."

And I need to put out there that, you know, your school's been a -- a guiding light -- sorry -- for how to truly reach these challenging students. You've been that point of contact for us to say, you know, this is how you're doing it well. Let's look at those more -- you know, as Commissioner Voigt was pointing out, let's look at those different ways that we can measure the success of our students, especially those students that we reach.

And I -- and I get concerned when -- because I've read all -- so many of those studies of how this virtual -- even synchronous -- learning has negatively impacted students, especially students that have challenging social-emotional issues.

So that worries me. But I -- as I said

instruction during this pandemic. We have all agreed that there was no amendment required for schools to go virtual because of the public health orders, and potentially have to offer a hybrid model at times because of the health concerns at the

But the clear guidance from PED was that you have to move to in-person learning as much as you possibly can.

And the response -- I truly anticipated that your response was going to be, "Yes, we haven't done it, but this is the plan that we're going to move to once things settle down here."

I know this is tough times. We've got surges. I get that. But the response was, "No, we're going completely virtual forever."

And that, to me, is not -- is not following PED regulations and requirements.

So there's -- there's that possible or potential violation of the contract through that.

As I stated before -- and I'll -- you know, I own my biases against virtual learning. I do. I put it out there.

But if it has to happen, I am in complete agreement it has to be synchronous. Otherwise,

before, I think this goes beyond an amendment to the

2 contract. If we had a -- you know, we've had a

school as an example, that, you know, let's say you

opened up as an arts integrated school, and then you

opened up as an arts integrated school, and then you said. "Hey, you know what? We had so many kids or

said, "Hey, you know what? We had so many kids come here that don't like the arts, so we're not going to

do that anymore. We're going to go off and we're

do that anymore. We're going to go on and we're

going to focus more on science and math."

You can't do that That's not what the

You can't do that. That's not what the application was about. Your application was not for a virtual school. So it's not just amending the ed plan. You wouldn't need to amend the ed plan for this year for virtual and hybrid. But to say, "Going forward, we're not going to go in person any longer," that's a completely different school than what the Commission back -- voted on.

So, you know, my recommendation is for a Letter of Concern to go to the school and an Intervention -- and we start the Intervention Ladder, and those discussions can then go further as the school response to that.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, as I said, that was all the questions I had at that time. Some of the things that others have brought up have

raised other issues.

What percentage of your students have

3 IEPs?

MS. TANI ARNESS: 24 to 25 percent.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: How many of them have physical disabilities?

MS. TANI ARNESS: I don't think we have any currently.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So 24 percent of your students, or roughly 50 -- 45 -- 45 to 50 of your students have IEPs. That's a very high percentage. And it's very difficult to meet the needs of individuals, whether it's a learning disability or some other social-emotional reason for having that IEP, very difficult to do it remotely.

You know, everyone in this Commission knows I have a disabled son. He went a year and a half without receiving in-person therapy, physical therapy, speech therapy, and such. He digressed. He's 38 years old, but he digressed.

My wife and I are not professionals in this area with these, and we did the best that we can. But he digressed. My fear is that those students who have IEPs, you may think they are doing fine, but they probably are not. And without

school, given that you've now come five -- almost five months into the school year without, you know, making any notification to PSFA or to the Public School Capital Outlay Council of what you're doing. That's a real concern.

You talk about -- you know, you have 200 students. You've only lost -- or 190 students. You've only lost about ten.

One of the things we look at in terms of efficiencies and growth is cohort. How many students start, and did that group of students that start with you, do they end up?

You may have 190 students. How many of those students were there last year? How many did you lose from last year this year? You could pick up -- let me -- I'll try to make it clear.

You could have 190 students, but maybe only 130 students of them were the same students you had last year. And you lost 10 or 20 because of graduation. But you lost not just 10, but you've lost 30 or 40 or 50 students that didn't want to be in this, and you've picked up some students who wanted to be online.

So my question is -- this is a thing that's going to have to maybe come back. Because I

in-person evaluations, that is a real concern, because you're receiving additional dollars for

those students that have IEPs.

The other concern, because I'm on the Public School Capital Outlay Council, is the issue of lease reimbursement that Commissioner Gipson just raised. You are technically in violation of receiving those funds when you have not reopened and you have not received a waiver from PED to not be using that space.

Because if -- the way PED -- or the PSCOC does lease reimbursements for charters, they don't just say, "Well, you have a building; here's your lease; this is what we pay." It's a per MEM, but it's reduced if a percentage of your space is not being used consistently for education.

And so if you have literally no physical space that's being used for education, you're not allowed to receive -- you know, that might be 90 percent of your lease reimbursement. Only the space -- a small amount of space for administration would be allowed.

So that is a clear -- not only a violation, but it is a fiscal mismanagement which could rise to a very serious charge against the

don't think you probably have these numbers. Of the students you have right now, how many of them started the year? How many did you lose over the last four months? How many did you lose -- other than graduation, how many did you lose from last year to this year?

I mean, those are real important questions and issues that, going to this pure virtual model, is an issue.

And I'll second the views of the Commissioners. Giving the teacher and the student the ability to determine if they're going to have face time or not, turn the cameras off and things like that, if you don't have the proper tools to measure and actually observe that the student is physically present, as Commissioner Burt even talked about, how do you know they're not just on their phone and they're out -- they could be anywhere doing anything and have their phone and just be listening with earbuds or whatever. And if their name is called, oh, yeah, they do this.

I mean, I have -- my oldest son is gifted intellectually. He probably could have taken the GED his second year in high school and passed. He graduated high school with a C average, even though

he was gifted in math, and he got C's in math.

2.

But he was gifted in math. And so I'm sitting there thinking, you know, grades don't necessarily tell you everything.

But, you know, the concern I have is some of the information that you've gathered to talk with us today has been lacking. And I think, you know, I agree with Chair Gipson that a Letter of Concern to start the Intervention Ladder needs to go forward.

You really need to seriously consider getting kids back in the classroom.

We need information of the number of cases of COVID and everything, if that has caused you to go that -- you indicated -- what I've heard right now is COVID has not been the leading reason to go remote. But if that is an issue, then I think we need to have that information to know. And PED should have that information also.

And then you have to have a plan to get back into the classroom. Because, again, as Commissioner Carrillo and others have stated -- Commissioner Taylor -- in-person education is so important, and students are losing that social-emotional connection. And high school students, especially, they're going to go to

The following violations of the contract should be identified in the letter of intervention:

Failure to follow directives of the Public Education Department regarding instruction during COVID, as shown in the January 4th, 2022, memorandum provided to all superintendents and charter directors, Document 8.A PED Memo on Remote Instruction, as required in Section 8X of the contract.

- 2. Failure to provide instruction at the school site, pursuant to Section 11 of the contract.
- 3. Possible fiscal violation regarding acceptance of funds for the building as an educational facility if the facility is not used as such.

And, 4. Failure to propose an amendment to these sections as required in Section 62 and violating the contract by implementing the amendment without an approved amendment.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.
THE CHAIR: There's a motion by
Commissioner Gipson, a second by Commissioner
Taylor.

25 Commissioner Voigt?

college; they're going to go into the workforce.

They need to know how to deal with others in a -- in a positive way, to where, you know, if they have aggressive behaviors, they need to be dealt with. If they have inabilities to communicate, those need to be dealt with and everything. Otherwise, they get into the real world when they graduate and they're going to struggle from then on.

And I'll leave it at that. But, you know, we need to have some of this information, because, you know, we can't sit there and say, you know, we have 25 percent, 24 percent of our students have IEPs, and we really aren't sure if their needs are being truly met and their physical and emotional needs are truly being met, because we can't see them.

Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, I'd like to make a motion.

So I move that the Chair of the Public Education Commission issue a Letter of Concern, pursuant to the Intervention Ladder, to Cesar Chavez Community School considering their statements that they are intending to provide online education only. 1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: And then Comm- --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: So I just wanted to ask Tani. Do you have a Plan B? Or did you have something on the back burner that you could bring forward in light of this meeting?

Given that, is there anything that you wanted to respond to as far as this motion?

THE CHAIR: Okay. I'm going to -- I'm just going to ask -- because there's a motion on the table, my understanding is the only people that can speak --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay.

THE CHAIR: -- when a motion is there are people who can vote.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Then I'll just say, Tani, you should have a Plan B. And, hopefully, you will in light of this conversation from the Commission that -- you know, and I think, hopefully, it's a possibility that you don't want to go exclusively online forever, because "forever" is a big word, given how we know, as educators and administrators, how education is always, always evolving with -- with politics, with the weather, with -- it can be anything.

But it will always be evolving. We know that. So, hopefully, there won't be a really definitive decision on your part that's going to lock you, you know, into something that you wish you wouldn't have done before. So that's all.

THE CHAIR: Thanks.

2.1

Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. I don't have the -- I'm sorry -- the steps of the Intervention Ladder in front of me. I know the first step is, of course, what you just mentioned in your motion, the Letter of Concern. And I'm wondering if you wouldn't remind us what the -- what the subsequent steps are relative to our ability to skip the Letter of Concern and jump to another step.

And the reason I say that is, you know, this isn't just not getting back to us because of your enrollment cap being violated or whatever. I see this as being far more serious. I just do.

And so, Ms. Gipson -- or Commissioner -- could you reinform us on that, please?

THE CHAIR: Sure. Normally, if you had a Letter of Concern, the school would then have the opportunity to respond back to us. They'd come to the next meeting and offer their response. We'd

situation is such that you skip to the top of the ladder and you get the Notice of Rev- -- so the Commission would then have to vote to hold a revocation hearing.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So then I would ask -- I'm not asking for an amendment to the motion. But I just think I'd love to hear more discussion on the point I'm bringing up. Because so many of us did say this is a breach.

I mean, my feeling is you all need to come back to class on Monday -- oh. Today's Friday. Or maybe the Monday following. And that's what you need to do to be in compliance. And if you want to change the terms of your contract or offer an amendment or something later, you can all go ahead and do so. But that would be my thought on this at this point.

THE CHAIR: And I would add -- or answer -- that I would be -- I am open to amending my motion to make it a Notice of Breach.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sorry. What I would ask is that I would offer a friendly amendment that you change the notice to a Notice of Breach, and I would see if there is a second for that for the purpose of discussion.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

Commissioner Robbins, I know your hand is

up.

have a discussion and make a determination at that point if they -- if they were successful in responding.

If not, they would then go to a notification of a breach.

So the notification of a breach is that, you know, we think it's so serious that we believe that you now are breaching your contract with us.

And the same thing would then happen with the Notice of Breach. They would have the opportunity to respond to it. If the Commission at that point listened to the school's -- heard the school's response and wasn't satisfied, then it goes to a Notice of Revocation.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So I'm wanting to see that I heard correctly, I mean, because -- because a number of us did mention that this is a breach. This is not what the contract is. This is not what the mission was. You know, what is our ability to skip right to that step?

THE CHAIR: It's -- sorry, I thought you were done.

It's there. Because there is a clause that says the Commission has, always, that opportunity, if they feel the offense -- the COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. I would go along with Commissioner Carrillo, because I do believe there have been -- based upon the sections you identified in the contract, there have been several areas of the contract that I believe the school is in breach of. And so I would very much like the motion to be amended that a letter of notice of breach be issued.

 $\label{lem:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You would second } \mbox{my friendly amendment.}$

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I would. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Procedurally, that has to happen. Okay.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Glenna and Julia and then Mike.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you.

The reason I don't think this should go straight to breach is because this is the first time that we have heard from the school. In all fairness, I think a Letter of Concern would be

sequential, with the opportunity for the school to process and then come back.

2.

I think that we do not need this to be a shaming, punitive meeting for the school. And in all fairness, give the school the opportunity to work within our request, which has been heard by the school for the first time as of now, and give them the Letter of Concern, being that this is all new information for us and for the school. So in all fairness. Thanks.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Members of the Commission, I just wanted to highlight for you that the school's contract contains the Intervention Ladder. And for either thing that you are looking at, we would issue the Notice of Concern, provide them with at least ten days' notice, provided we provide the letter sooner, and then notice it at the next PEC meeting.

So the Notice of Concern or a Notice of Breach would go to the school. They would have ten days' notice before your next meeting. And then you would take action on that letter at the next meeting.

So just trying to clear up procedure so that we have it clearly, that the school contract is

COMMISSIONER BURT: Thank you, Chair. So I'm a little -- I don't know who to ask this question to, because I don't know if Director Chavez can answer it right now in the middle of a motion.

Maybe Julia knows or maybe -- Chair Gipson, maybe you know.

But is this actually the first time that this school has been aware that they should be going back in person? Like, is this the first conversation that has happened saying, "Hey, we believe you should be going back"?

THE CHAIR: No. It is not the first conversation. The Director has repeatedly reached out to the school. And the guidance has -- you know, through the Secretary --

COMMISSIONER BURT: Right. PED guidance yes, but, I mean, just, like, from -- I guess from CSD or from --

THE CHAIR: No. No.

COMMISSIONER BURT: So that has been -- so that's where, I guess, I -- at first, I was thinking, you know, a Letter of Concern would be enough to get them to start moving on the process of -- of -- you know, reckoning these things and figuring out how to follow the correct process. And

online, as are all school contracts. It's Pa--it's kind of deep in the document. It says Page 7.

I believe it to be Page 25 of 34.

THE CHAIR: Julia, are you looking for people to look at -- okay, Mike. Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Yeah. Thank you.

I completely 100 percent agree with Commissioner Voigt. You know, our -- there are young people in the midst of their education. And our intent is not to create havoc and chaos for them. They need time to respond to the -- the concerns that we have.

And I say, you know, a Letter of Concern initially. Give them time to get their processes in order and get kids back, you know, scheduled and --there's a lot -- there's a lot that goes into that. It's not a matter of saying, "Come back to school in two weeks or a week and a half," you know.

So I -- I completely agree, Commissioner Voigt. And I would not vote for a Letter of Breach at this point. I would vote for a Letter of Concern for sure. But thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Burt?

if they want to simultaneously, on, like, a parallel path, look at what it looks like to go to an online school, then that could work.

At first, I was okay with a Letter of Concern. But I do -- I mean, we are not brand new into this pandemic. This has been going on for quite some time now. In Albuquerque, schools have gone back in person for some time now. So if we look at the community that this school is in, there has been in-person learning for some time now.

So I do believe -- because this is not the first time -- I understand the idea that this is the first time the school has spoken to us as a Commission. But this is not the first instance in which this has been -- I mean, this could have been rectified before today. That's how I kind of see it.

And so because it was not rectified before today, I would say that I would be supportive of the Letter of Breach, just to move the process faster.

They still -- I believe they still have that response time. But I believe this needs to be rectified very quickly and not taken that extra month that I think a Letter of Concern would ultimately allow.

2.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. And, you know, I have less of a concern about, oh, they need a plan to come back in, because they were directed. The guidance from back at the start of this current school year was to submit a plan for how you're going to go back to live instruction, to in-person learning, whatever you want to say it. So that plan has to be in effect.

So I don't think there should be that, oh, now they have to figure this all out. They were asked by PED to figure that all out, you know, a year and a half ago. They had to provide the plan to PED on virtual learning.

And then as we moved through last spring and early summer, PED provided the guidance that, "You now need to submit the plan."

And I can remember reports from the Director and so on about how the school -- what the schools are doing and dividing up and looking at all of these plans.

So this isn't a, "Oh, now we have to figure this out." That should have been figured out last summer on "how we're going to come back in person."

So, you know, it's -- this is -- this is

and do the right thing.

The -- I think the Letter of Breach does that also. What I think the Letter of Breach does more than anything is it expresses the seriousness of the violation. And now, especially, having heard -- that I was not aware of -- but having heard of those efforts that were made last semester for you to get back to in person and that they were ignored, that, to me, even underscores the seriousness and necessity for a Letter of Breach.

The Letter of Breach does not preclude you from just honestly bringing your kids back a week from Monday. You could totally do that. You know how to run a school. It's clear. You're just letting kids and parents know, "Hey, we're coming back a week from Monday," and then all of this goes away.

But I think the breach is necessary here. You know -- yeah. There's a point at which I'm having a memory of -- of a parent. When I had to take my son's car away, it was the most egregious thing that he could think of, because the violation was so serious in terms of his schooling, in terms of his lying to me and his mother, not being in class, going skiing, just all of this.

serious. Like I said, you know, the concern's been raised. The concern was raised once again to the level that it was going to come to the Commission.

And the -- you know, the response -- very surprisingly to me. I mean, it did -- it threw me off guard that, "No, we're not going to do this." So that's where I think that the Letter of Breach is warranted.

And, you know, we've had schools go to revocation hearings without ever coming before us, that the situation was so serious it was presented to us. And it's like we went immediately to -- so I guess we created the Intervention Ladder to try to be as fair as possible.

But there are always those situations that are presented to us that you -- time is important in terms of responding and acting, because we're -- what? Three quarters -- almost -- we're more than halfway through the school year.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. So I want to express that I have -- that I've taken to heart what Commissioner Taylor -- Commissioners Taylor and Voigt have said around how this affects the kids and giving people opportunities to step up

It was so egregious. It was just like, "Buddy, you're losing your truck for two weeks. There's no two ways about it. You know what you did, and then you're going to get your truck back."

This just, to me, recalls that. And there you have it, for now, anyway.

THE CHAIR: And, Tani, I'm sorry. But the only people that can speak are -- because there's a motion on the table, the only people that can speak are those that can vote.

So if there's no further discussion -- Commissioner Burt, please, roll.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Would you read the motion again, please?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's what I wanted also.

THE CHAIR: Sure. Let me go back and get it. Oh, yeah. Sorry.

And this is -- this motion -- this includes the friendly amendment language.

I move that the Chair of the Public Education Commission issue a Letter of Breach, pursuant to the Intervention Ladder, to Cesar Chavez Community School considering their statements that they are providing and intend to continue to provide

		1	25 (Pages 94 to 97)
	94		96
1	online education only.	1	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.
2	The following violations of the contract	2	(No response.)
3	should be identified in the Letter of Concern:	3	COMMISSIONER BURT: I'll come back to him.
4	1. Failure to follow directives of the	4	He may not be present. I'll come back.
5	Public Education Department regarding instruction	5	Commissioner Chavez.
6	during COVID, as shown in the January 4th, 2022,	6	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
7	memorandum provided to all superintendents and	7	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.
8	charter directors, Documents 08.A PED Memo on Remote	8	THE CHAIR: Yes.
9	Instruction, as required in Section 8X.	9	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis.
10	2. Failure to provide instruction at the	10	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
11	school site pursuant to Section 11 of the contract.	11	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.
12	3. Possible fiscal violation regarding	12	(No response.)
13	acceptance of funds for the building as an education	13	COMMISSIONER BURT: All right.
14	facility if the facility is not used as such.	14	Commissioner Manis is not present.
15	4. Failure to propose an amendment to	15	So that motion passes, six to three.
16	these sections, as required in Section 62, and	16	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much.
17	violating the contract by implementing the amendment	17	And, Tani, thank you truly, thank you for your
18	without an approved amendment.	18	time today and expressing how you're trying to serve
19	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'm sorry. But you	19	the students. We really do appreciate that. And
20	said "Letter of Breach" in the beginning, and then,	20	you'll be receiving a letter. So thank you so much.
21	later on, you said "Letter of Concern" when you	21	Commissioners, can I ask for a ten-minute
22	were	22	break? Thanks.
23	THE CHAIR: Oh, I'm sorry. I did	23	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
24	MS. JULIA BARNES: Chair. It's no	24	(Recess taken, 10:58 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.)
25	THE CHAIR: because that was in the	25	THE CHAIR: So we are on to Item No. 9,
	95		97
1	original motion. So it is a Letter of Breach. So I	1	which is Discussion and Possible Action on the New
2	apologize.	2	Charter Application Kit.
3	The following violations of the contract	3	The kit we did go through last month and
4	should be identified in the Letter of Breach.	4	made changes. And it was, I think, tough to some
5	MS. JULIA BARNES: Chair, it's a Notice of	5	degree, because we had the renewals. And, you know,
6	Breach.	6	even though I had looked at the kit beforehand, I
7	THE CHAIR: Notice of Breach. I'm sorry.	7	thought about it again, and there was a piece of it
8	Okay.	8	that I thought I might ask for a change to. And
9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And I believe I	9	then I sort of hesitated.
10	seconded it, but I'll second it with that change.	10	But then we had the training yesterday.
11	THE CHAIR: Yes. Okay.	11	And I so clearly listened to that focus on the
12	If there's no further discussion,	12	individual and how you're impacting your community
13	Commissioner Burt.	13	and how the school is truly serving the community
14	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Voigt.	14	and that how there's not just one right way and
15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: No.	15	wrong way and one data point and so on.
16	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo.	16	And I went back and I looked at the new
17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: No.	17	application kit. And it's always been a challenging
18	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.	18	piece of the kit, anyway. And that's in the Part C
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No.	19	application in the No. 1, the Academic Framework.
20	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt,	20	And Letter B is the Mission-Specific or Student
21	yes.	21	Performance Indicators.
22	Commissioner Robbins.	22	And, you know, the statute requires that
23	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	23	we incorporate into the performance framework the
	COMMISSIONED DUDT. Commission of Commilla	24	academic performance framework elements that are
24	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo.	l .	
24 25	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	25	identified in the statute, which did, at one point,

cover -- was covered in the report card. And now that PED is pivoting a little bit and using the short cycle assessments, which I think everyone thinks is a step in the right direction, there's -- there's going to be the report in some fashion on the New Mexico Vistas on the short cycle assessment data. That's going to be there.

We have been moving towards focusing more on mission-specific and the assurance that the school is -- is providing the -- the programming that they promised in their mission and how do they do it.

And when the new applicants do these applications, all too often, they fall back on a short cycle assessment for this piece of the application, because that's the easiest thing to do. They don't have to think about, "Oh, how are we really going to serve the students and show that -- not only the Commission, but the community -- that we are accomplishing that -- that mission?"

And I think, for me, it would be best in that new application to remove that "or student performance indicator," because we're going to have that student performance indicator in the State Accountability System, plain and simple. We're not

of students are getting paid and getting credit for internships already.

So I think mission definitely outweighs and is amongst a lot of the schools' academic performance already.

Short cycle assessments are a constant. You know, those are already in place, every school in the state. Every public school in the state is administering short cycle assessments, which measure growth over time and set proficiency goals based on growth, not an arbitrary bar.

So I think that's definitely the way to go. I agree with that.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Burt?
COMMISSIONER BURT: Thanks. I definitely hear you, because I understand that. And I have actually -- like, in seeing kind of how some of the schools that have transitioned into a mission statement, how it kind of is different, it makes sense.

The one thing I'll bring up, though, is that I think it would be okay to stay -- and this is why. Just to leave more options for schools who their mission is college readiness, or it is, like, a very academic-focused mission, it would allow them

disregarding it.

But to focus and to -- I think for me, in this new application kit in particular, this focuses the applicants to have to think about that mission, how they're going to show that they are successful in that, not only -- once again, not only to us, but how they're serving their community and how they're showing their community they're serving it, and to think about that and to really look at it and not take the easy way out and say, "Here's a reading and math goal. There." Boom.

Because to me, it doesn't show me, as the reader of the application, that they truly understand what their mission is. If they contrast that goal, it shows me better how they understand their mission. So that would be my only potential change is to take out that "or."

Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I agree that we should remove that, because also there is change coming down the chutes. I know PED is looking at capstones for graduation as an alternative. There's going to be the allowance of capstone electives coming into play. Work-based learning is coming in. We have internships. A lot

to continue that.

But I think -- I think what would better solve it is that we, as a Commission, and even CSD, as they're providing guidance to folks, really talk to them about, "If your mission is not academic -- it's not necessarily, like, college-based, you know, focused, or that's not -- there's, like, these additional layers to your school, don't pick the short cycle assessment for your mission," that, you know, to kind of guide those schools away from that.

But there -- I would say leave it open for some schools who that might be the best way to show their particular mission.

So I would say maybe leave the openness, but maybe we need to just get more -- more concern with, like, not approving those ones that where they do that and we get that attitude of, like, "Oh, we'll just do it because we're already doing it," and it's not thoughtful toward their mission specifically.

So I would say leave more freedom in there, more leverage, but let's -- as a Commission and then CSD, let's bring it tighter when it comes down to it.

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right.

production@litsupport.com

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Director? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I hope that what we get from applicants is some (incomprehensible) means of assessing the school is implementing their mission and want the Commission to know that plans are moving forward that we have facilitated discussions around goal setting before contract renewals, and certainly before these applications come in, because I do think that being able to assess the school's mission is really important, I think. Whether you've removed that language or not, I think we can still achieve that goal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things I wanted to make sure every Commissioner is aware of right now is that this month, we worked really hard to put up all of the materials for this meeting, both on the PEC webpage for the meetings for 2022 and in the Google Drive. So if any Commissioner cannot find the documents that we are referring to, I just want to make sure that you know where they're at.

And so those are the two options. And I believe Bev Friedman sent an e-mail out to everybody. If not, let us know. I want to make sure you are looking at the source documents themselves. I think that you might have said Part

So I'm looking forward to the day -- and maybe I'll just start a charter school -- that is totally out of the box -- and, you know, something that has measurable goals and -- but, anyway -- but thanks for mentioning that, because there are schools that still do provide rigorous academics and do have that written in their mission goals.

THE CHAIR: Now -- and, you know, I just want to say that, for me, leaving that off, if a school was college-ready, that would be their mission goal. So it -- it would be. So it wouldn't have to be the "or the academic performance," because that is their -- absolutely, that fulfills that mission.

But it's for those other schools, the fallback is all too often, ah, this is easier because they can find it someplace, and it doesn't engage the conversation. Although there are certainly out-of-the-box mission goals that a college preparedness school could create. But it wouldn't limit them. Because they've got this in their mission, it would align completely.

But I agree. But we all -- we also know how often we hear from Missy, in particular, because she does the training, "I've told them, I've told

103

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

what -- you know, lands on the desk finally.

So I'm just putting it out there.

Commissioner Robbins? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. You know, I -- I have mixed feelings about taking out, you know, the academic -- I'm not opposed to removing it. But I want schools, not just charter schools, but all of our schools. You know, New Mexico is going through this transition of adjusting success in terms of education in our public schools.

them, I've told them in training," but this is

And one of the international measures is the PISA. And the United States has fallen so far behind international -- you know, our counterparts in terms of student performance. And we talk about preparing students to go into the workplace.

And one thing that they have to realize, their workplace is not -- and their competitors in finding jobs is not the person who lives next door to them. It's the person who lives in Germany or South America, Brazil, or somewhere -- maybe India -- that's competing with them for those jobs.

And if those individuals have better academic and school readiness, you know, we're

B. So what we're looking at actually is in Part C.

And it would be on Page 4.

THE CHAIR: No. I said Part C. But it's in Section -- it's Part C application, but it's 1B.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: In there. Yeah. Yeah.

Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks. Yeah, just to respond to Commissioner Burt, I think a lot of schools do incorporate -- you know, they do already, still, and don't want to let go of the math and reading goals, which is fine. They have that freedom and flexibility to do so.

I think, as you mentioned in the dialogue and collaboration between the CSD and the schools, that guidance is really necessary. And I know the CSD is providing that. Some schools really -- you know, they're letting go of this fear more now over the years than they had before, but are still kind of hesitant to have a real out-of-the-box, creative mission goal.

But, hopefully, that will change. And I think that's -- as incubators of innovation, that's what charter schools have the liberty to do, and the autonomy.

2.

basically going to say, well, "Yes, you can find a job, but it's going to pay a lot less than what you could have had had education been more rigorous."

And my fear is we're lowering standards to such a degree in New Mexico that we will continue to be 50th in the country in terms of our educational performance, and we -- this country will fall from its 26th position internationally in education. It'll fall down.

I mean, we have places like Bosnia and things that I believe they're actually, in some cases, scoring better than our students. And this is a place that 15, 20 years ago was in civil war; and yet their students are actually doing better because they have placed a value on educating their students, and the individuals themselves have placed such a high value.

And, yes, we will always have a portion of our students that do well. My concern is that we're going to leave half of our students behind if we lower standards.

So taking it out of the kit is fine. But I think it needs to be reinforced that the purpose of education is not just so that they can get any job, but so that they can advance, so that they can

overseas job.

So I hear you. But I think, you know, technology, STEAM, STEM, all of those things are things that a lot of our charter schools have within their mission goals. And so -- and I just have to say -- and I hope all -- I think most of you know this -- I really -- I start grating my teeth when people start talking about 50th in the country and 26th in the world, because our communities are stronger than what is reflected in mainstream media.

And when you look at our communities and the strengths and assets that they bring to the table, that is what should be informing education, and disrupting the whole process of what school is and let the learning come from our communities and inform the schools of what should be the outcomes for students.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. And I just want to --my intention here was not to water down anything, because deeply rooted in that application is a section, continued sections, on What is your testing plan? How are you servicing students?

And in the Performance Framework, the short cycle assessments for every school will have to be incorporated, because that's part of the

provide well for their family, so they're not on public rolls for welfare or for food assistance and things like that; but they can actually grow, not only intellectually, but they can grow in their ability to provide for their family and contribute positively to society.

Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And thanks, Commissioner Robbins. I hear you. You know, I think also, it's the "what." Because the United States was -- was slow to get on to the STEM bandwagon. And India, China, all the Asian countries, they were on that well before we were.

But I just wanted to mention that if you look at the international school network, ISS.edu, international schools, all of those schools, they're modeling after a U.S. curriculum. But what they are also doing is they're bringing in Montessori, International Baccalaureate.

And this is prevalent all through the schools within ISS.edu, International School Services, that is like a -- a chartering authority -- worldwide. There are some great schools there, by the way, if you ever want an

statute for us to incorporate those elements from, now, New Mexico Vistas, so that it's not -- it's not watering down anything or lowering our expectations.

I think it -- to me, it increases our expectation that the governance council and these founders understand what their mission is, and they can -- they can identify it through that goal, which often does not get reflected in just a math and reading goal.

And I'm not trying to diminish math and reading goals. So that was my only -- but I'm -- you know, I just wanted to bring it up. I didn't -- I'm fine with it staying there if folks don't want to remove it.

I just -- you know, it frustrates me every time I read an application that that's what ends up being there and not a mission goal, for the most part, you know. So that's why I brought it up. But, you know, I'm fine with leaving it there, if that's the will of the group.

Okay. So we are on to -- I need to go back very quickly to No. 5, PEC Election of Officers, just so Julia can communicate the results.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} COMMISSIONER\ CARRILLO:\ \ And\ Bekka\ had\ her\ hand\ up\ a\ second\ ago.$

THE CHAIR: I don't see it now.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I'll be really as fast -- the only thing I was going to say is I agree with you, Patti, that I -- like I said, I do think we can leave it. But I agree with the concern of, like, if you are an arts-focused school and then you're using short cycle assessments, then that

2.

doesn't match up.

So I think that's -- that is an issue that we should, like, have that conversation with CSD, and, like, let's integrate that a little deeper.

And then, once again as we go through it and we get to contract negotiations, then let's really be adamant as a Commission that those are not going to fly, you know.

So I would be okay with leaving it, with the caveat that that's something we're going to look at deeper in the future.

THE CHAIR: Right. And thanks for that. And I'll also say that I think what truly needs to be communicated to me more is to those that are scoring the rubric, that they don't just say, "Hey," you know, "Oh, you're that arts school. You gave us a math and reading goal. You -- you met that," that that's not it, that those that are reading and say,

application because I don't think -- as one element, you didn't adequately give me a mission goal," their response is, "Hey, I didn't have to. There's an 'or' there."

And the same thing to the scorer -- the independent readers, that they score it fully. And they have to, because they got the math and reading goal, and it was an "or."

COMMISSIONER BURT: So I'm confused why they have to score it -- like, that's -- what's the point of a rubric if there's not multiple levels and if you're not scoring it -- what are -- just for having it? That doesn't make sense.

So, I mean, appropriateness and what they're doing it based off of seems to be, like, something -- if you put "as appropriate" or -- you know, then you can score it in different levels.

THE CHAIR: It becomes very subjective for someone to say it was appropriate.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Then we can talk about that with the whole application. That's the entire application, then, is --

THE CHAIR: I would respectfully disagree on that. If you say "as appropriate," that then leaves it to the independent scorer -- or the

"Wait a minute, they're not addressing" -- but the problem is it says "or."

So that's why it doesn't -- there -- if we address it at the community input hearing, their response easily can be, "But it was an 'or,' so I did the 'or." So that's -- you know, that's why I cut -- you know. So they can get, still, full mark, full score for it, because they did it. You know, they met that piece.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Maybe could we add -instead of taking it out could we put, "comma, as
appropriate," after?

THE CHAIR: I don't -- but how -- but I think a school could say (inaudible due to simultaneous speaking) I followed an appropriate goal.

COMMISSIONER BURT: We're negotiating anyway; right? We negotiate these goals, and we negotiate all these things; so -- I mean, I don't know --

THE CHAIR: But that's after they've already been approved. This is for scoring the rubric. And the school -- in our decision making on the new applicant, if I sit there and I say, "Hey, you know, I don't -- I'm not going to approve this

school -- for the school to respond back and say, "I thought the math and reading goal was appropriate."

COMMISSIONER BURT: I'm just confused. Why don't we just say, as a Commission, it's not? I guess I'm --

THE CHAIR: Well, it becomes -- if the scorer scores it completely, but they had the option, and they say, "But I think it's appropriate," you can say that. But it's not going to hold in a -- in all likelihood, in part of an appeal.

So -- but I'm fine. I don't think we need to spend any more time on it.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay.

THE CHAIR: So once again, we're going

16 back to --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on. Hold on. I've got a hand up, and so does David. Commissioner Robbins.

So I'm confused here on the language, the way it's gone back and forth.

So, I mean, I know that you all have certainly seen over the last year that decisions I make are typically in favor of more accountability to goals related to academics.

And so what I'm understanding -- and I could be understanding this incorrectly -- is that maybe taking out the "or" lessens the stringency of the goals toward academics. Do I got this -- correct me if I -- I see heads going like this. So I want to be -- so I was reading the language, and I brought it up. I need this further explained to me, please.

THE CHAIR: So let me -- god, I didn't want to -- I didn't realize I was opening Pandora's Box here.

So the Commission, over the past two, three years, has been focusing more on schools creating these unique and innovative mission goals, recognizing the fact that the academic pieces, the data comes from short cycle assessments in the State Accountability System.

We get that information. We've got it.

So we're not lessening any standards. We acknowledge the fact that these elements, you know, graduation rates, proficiency rates, growth rates, whatever they are, that comes out of the New Mexico -- now New Mexico Vistas. So -- and it's incorporated in our Performance Framework by scoring. But it doesn't show the Commission enough

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No, I know you wouldn't. I understand.

THE CHAIR: But there are many ways to show academic rigor.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Then shouldn't it stand to reason that the "or" should be an "and"?

THE CHAIR: That's too many goals, in all honesty. And here's another piece. I could just be as easily persuaded to take that whole section out. Because the bottom line is those goals are completely meaningless, because then we do contract negotiations. And those goals always change.

So we're asking -- so to me, an academic goal is less important in that application because they don't understand it yet. They haven't gone through a lot of the training for the Implementation Year.

And then we get to contract negotiations. To me, that mission goal is way more important to see at that moment in time, because that shows me they understand what they want to do with the school.

But, honestly, any academic goal that's ever been incorporated into the application has never been used in contract negotiations, because

115 1 it'l

how the school is fulfilling their mission and how the school is serving their community.

So we acknowledge that. We've got all the academic information we need. Now we need to see how the school is fulfilling the promise they made to the students and the community and to us in that application. And that's where the mission goal comes in.

So that for a school to truly, in the application, show me that they really do understand what their mission is and what part of their job is in showing -- serving that community is creating that mission goal, not just an academic goal. Because a math and reading goal doesn't always show me, many times, that they're fulfilling that mission that they have.

As an example, an arts school. As an example, an art integrated school. You know, as an example -- you know, I could go on. So that it doesn't show me that the -- that that founding group truly understands how to carry out that mission.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.

THE CHAIR: The academics is there. I am not -- please -- I am not trying to lesson academic rigor.

it'll go back and say, "That's ridiculous. I mean, that's" -- you know. And we don't spend time -- I hate rating an academic goal, because they don't know yet what they don't know.

So, to me, focusing in this section on the mission, which is mission. It says it right there in the section. It's mission, not academics. They dig deeper later on in the application for, How are you going to do short cycle assessments? How are you doing your RIT or whatever, you know. That's fully outlined. They have to -- How are you going to train your staff on testing? And they have to set up their testing calendar.

That's deeply rooted in the other part of the application.

This piece of the application is mission and vision.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I see. Thank you for the clarity.

THE CHAIR: David -- oh, yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. And I just 22 want to clarify. My previous comment about 23 standards had nothing to do -- and I probably 24 shouldn't have said it the way I said.

I think taking the "or" out is important,

2.1

because this section, we need them to set mission goals that can be evaluated, and objectively. And academics are already there.

2.

It's kind of like saying -- you know, you have an Olympic runner. You know, he's going to -- he's going to run for the -- the 200 meter. And we say, "Well, you have to be able to run the 200 meter in such-and-such a time," or, "You have to be five foot tall."

What does the five foot tall have to do with being able to run fast?

we want them to be able to have a goal that's unique or is -- sets that school up so parents know what the school is trying to do, not just teach the kids to read and write. Because the public schools, traditional schools, district schools are supposed to be doing that anyway.

So what is going to be unique about this school? How do you set it up, because it may be we're going to be a -- a nature-based school. So we have a lot of outdoor activities, and we do camping and hiking.

Well, great. They have to teach them to read and write and how to do math. But are you also encouraging them to do that natural stuff about "student performance indicators" altogether?

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I was taking out the "or student performance indicators," and just say "mission goal."

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I would agree to that also. But if we left it in, if we left in "student performance indicators," we would put "or other student performance indicators."

But I would be in favor of taking it off.

THE CHAIR: Because like I said, I think if a school's mission is, you know, college, whatever -- college readiness, that would be appropriate for their mission goal.

So I don't think it would limit for those -- but I think it would be clearly defined in the school's mission what they were, and the scorers would know, yes, this is an appropriate mission goal.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. Yes, I agree. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Director?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you for this discussion. I agree that removing "or" does not preclude a school from setting an academic focus goal, say, if the school was about college

learning about the environment, about, you know, learning about nature? Because if that's their

mission goal, and they don't accomplish that, then they haven't really met the need.

And so I agree with what Commissioner Gipson was saying. Taking it out completely, but at least removing the "or." Because the academics are scored separately in another area, and they have to meet that anyway.

I hope that helped clarify my thinking. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. I appreciate that.

Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. Thanks. So I know we got a little derailed. But I think -- you know, because this is just the application, this is the New Application Kit, that we do want to look for the uniqueness. And the mission goal provides that. On Page 7 -- I think it was Page 7 -- that's where the assessment piece comes in, you know.

Let me look. Yeah, it's Page 7. That's where the -- the assessment piece comes in and all of the other heavier academic pieces.

But as far as how this B -- 1B is worded, we could add -- Patti, were you saying take out

attendance or some sort of other type of career-focused attainment.

I also would ask you not to completely remove it because I think what it does is it allows us to assess the capacity of the applicants to analyze their mission and say, "This is how we're going to be able to demonstrate that we're meeting it."

That is -- that is part of the Performance Framework that is written in the annual review process. And we have to go in the schools to see how are they meeting their mission. And this would help us to, in the application process, see how they're thinking.

And, certainly, if they turn it into a goal after they've been approved and added it to the contract, it would help us to know how we can assess whether they're meeting their mission.

THE CHAIR: Thanks.

Commissioner Burt?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Thanks. This conversation was super helpful, because it -- and it makes me feel a little bit better, because the reason why I was wanting -- the -- the reasoning behind why I wanted to keep it is I had heard from

several different charter leaders -- and I think this is before Corina was here, because I think this was several years ago that this happened.

But they are academic-focused schools, and this is, like I said, a couple of different ones. And they actually ended up choosing not to do a mission-specific goal, because they were told they couldn't do something academically; right? And for them, it was, like, "That's our thing; that's our jam; that's what our school's about."

So they chose not to do a mission-specific goal back then. That's what I was having in the back of my brain was, like, them having, "Wait. Because that is the mission of our goal -- that is the mission of our school, so we need that."

So as long as it doesn't preclude them from being able to do that -- because that's -- that was my concern. So hearing -- hearing this is definitely making me feel better. And so I hope that we just stick to that. Because I don't know who or what or -- I mean, like I said, this is years ago. So I don't know who told them back then that they couldn't do that.

So as long as that, you know, leaves it open for flexibility. Because that's what I feel

know, the important thing.

And I was kind of being facetious in a way about removing it completely, but could have been persuaded, if we didn't take the "or" out, then I wanted it removed, because I didn't want that academic as the fallback.

So I am going to move that the Public Education Commission approve the New Application Kit with the amendment of Part C, in Part C of the application, No. 1, Academic Framework, Letter B, be changed to read only "mission-specific goals."

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion by

THE CHAIR: There's a motion by
 Commissioner Gipson, a second by Commissioner
 Robbins.

16 Is there any further discussion?

17 (No response.)

18 THE CHAIR: If not, roll, please.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis.

20 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.

THE CHAIR: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis is

24 not present.

25 Commissioner Robbins.

like I'm ultimately about is, like, leaving it as flexible as possible to where we are not trapped. Our charter leaders are not trapped. CSD is not trapped, because we do want them to fulfill what -- you know, like, if these are founders, they have -- they're going to be the most passionate people about their goal; right? Their mission goal.

So if they're feeling like, "Oh, well, that -- I don't want to just make up something." Right? "If our mission is academics, and they're telling me I can't do that, I don't want to just make up something."

"Okay. Well, let me just add in this thing, because I can't do" -- then it's just not meaningful for the school.

So I appreciate the conversation. You know, I always value CSD's recommendations and the conversation. So, you know, I'm good with it, too, now

THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks. And this doesn't tie them to anything for contract negotiations. So, you know, this is just really for us to try to be able to judge capacity of the -- of the applicant, you know, more than anything else.

So, to me, that -- that's always, you

1 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Voigt.

5 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt.

9 yes.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. That is

approved unanimously -- or passes unanimously,

16 nine-zero.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. I appreciate it, and I semi apologize for throwing this in as a kind of clunker right now. But I -- you know, yesterday really reaffirmed to me taking a look at it again. So thanks.

22 So I -- once again, we need to go back to 23 No. 5 so that Julia can do the results for us.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You can put your hand down.

THE CHAIR: Oh. Sorry. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's all right. MS. JULIA BARNES: So. Commissioners, I have received a text from each Commissioner identifying themselves and giving me their votes. The -- Commissioner Burt is elected as Chair with a vote of nine to one. Commissioner Voigt is elected as

Vice Chair with a vote of nine to one.

And Commissioner Armijo is elected as

Secretary with a vote of nine to one.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. We are now on to Item No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on The GREAT Academy Corrective Action Plan update.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So please let in Keisha and Jasper Matthews and whoever else they have brought with them to the panel.

And while they're being brought on, just to orient Commissioners, there are -- this is Item No. 10. And so there are several bits of material that were submitted by the school.

So it goes through I. So there's nine separate documents to align with this item, including the final one, I, which is -- includes my notes in the Corrective Action Plan.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks. So, Commissioners, once again this is really just primarily an update. If there's any questions that Commissioners might have in regards to the information -- Keisha and Jasper, thanks so much for providing that information. So thanks for the time that you put into that.

So are there any questions for them at this time? Because this doesn't require an approval or anything. It's just -- this was part of the -- you know, the CAP that there would be this update in the January meeting.

Commissioner Burt.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Forgot the little electronic hand for a second. Thanks.

I just wanted to ask Jasper and Keisha if you all can give us -- if you could give me just an update about -- like, your goals -- and I did see that your goals kind of shifted. You had, like, notes at the beginning of the year and, like, no, that's not working, so we're going to pivot and do something different.

Can you talk about just, like, how the goals that you've set have transitioned through the year to right now and what it looks like for your

school and your students?

So there's a column that has the date I reviewed it and some notes attached to each item.

So the school largely submitted everything that was required. There was one extra bit of information that I asked for, and Ms. Matthews provided it, and that had to do with the MLSS information.

And so I would say that the -- the DASH Plan has been created and the other materials, the snapshots, the evidence of Professional Learning Community; all of the documents that we have requested are there.

And a lot of the information, because of DASH Plan, has -- has been created. But the assessment results are not in.

So I would say that, to a large degree, this is baseline. The school is implementing the short cycle assessments for middle of the year starting January 25th. And so once the results come in -- and I am hoping, by the February PEC meeting, we'll be able to see some growth indicators' results from beginning of the year to middle of the year.

So I just wanted to orient you. This is Item 10A through I. And -- and I will stop talking now.

I see a lot of work. Like, I read through all the meeting minutes and the notes. And I can see a lot of work on all of it. So I just wanted to get, you know, what's not in black and white. Like, what can we not read throughout those conversations?

MS. KEISHA MATTHEWS: Thank you. Forgive me. My voice is kind of failing me today.

So we, like you said, have been working really hard through our PLCs and through our PDs to find those minor improvements that can be made and fine-tuned that would result in greater academic achievement for the students.

We, in our PLC, did a book study on singleton PLCs, because that's what we are. We're a small staff, just trying to find ways for us to be as effective and efficient in those PLCs as possible, looking at those -- breaking down processes to skills and focusing on how teachers can tweak their instruction to improve upon those very necessary skills, also utilizing the levels of MLSS and those specific interventions to -- to just help push the academic improvement in the right direction.

Did that answer it? I'm sorry. I --

production@litsupport.com

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BURT: Yeah. Yeah. No, I think that does. Because I just -- you can read through text; right? But it still doesn't give a great picture of what you guys are actually doing day by day; right?

And so hearing about the specifics that you're looking at makes sense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From the beginning, I've been really interested in your seniors and how you're going to graduate them, what support you're going to be able to give to graduate them. So I was really happy to see that nine of your ten seniors are on track to graduate.

My also assumption was that that one senior was probably already further behind than just this year. And so maybe it -- you know, it looks like they don't have too many credits to maybe just finish really quickly after this semester. But can you talk a little bit about do you have any concerns about the seniors or anything that -- I mean, I do know there's a lot of schools that are going to -you know, they're shifting back and forth from online learning and back.

I know your school kind of is prepared for that because they're doing a lot of their learning

accepted to UNM. We have students whose next step is to do their applications. I'm really excited about our senior class this year and how engaged they've been against all odds.

COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. Thank you for that update.

THE CHAIR: Commissioners, if there are no other questions of Keisha and Jasper, I'm going to thank them so much for the time. I hope this isn't the start of something bigger, Keisha, with your voice. So honey is an elixir. So I want to thank you and thank you for the time that you put in to provide all this information.

MR. JASPER MATTHEWS: All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Have a great day.

We are now on to Item No. 11, which is the Report from the Charter Schools Division.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Gipson.

And we're going to bring on a new staff person that I hired.

So while that's happening, just a quick update -- well, there she is. So I'd like for the Commission to meet Lucy Valenzuela and to allow her

131

133

online anyway. Is there anything that you're looking forward to in this next semester specifically regarding your seniors?

MS. KEISHA MATTHEWS: I -- we are really excited, because we -- as we, you know, always -always have, we have a model of case management. Excuse me. That sounds similar to mentoring.

Basically, there's just a lot of contact with the seniors, a lot of interaction, trying to -especially somebody -- one of the Commissioners mentioned, you know, because of COVID and because of the shutdown, some students got jobs and started working. And you know how kids are once they get that money in their pocket. So it has been a great effort to keep them engaged.

We had two FASFA workshops. We have -- I want to say -- well, nine of our ten students have their -- scratch that. Eight of the ten students have their FASFA submitted. The ninth one is just waiting for Mom to sign off and do the tax part.

And then the one student is just adamant about not going to college, and so she's refusing to do the FASFA. But that's the earliest in the year that we've got students done with their FASFA.

We have one student who has already been

to have an opportunity to introduce herself, let you all know a little bit about her.

THE CHAIR: So welcome back, Lucy. MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Hi. Nice to meet you, or see you all again. As Corina mentioned, I'm Lucy Valenzuela. I just completed one month with being with the CSD. I was previously, for two years, in the Office of the Secretary. I was Secretary Stewart's assistant. And I left the agency for a couple of months, and I came back, and so now I'm in this new role with the Charter Schools Division.

And one of my main priorities and tasks right now is, actually, I am doing -- taking over the website. So I will be doing all PEC website materials. And so that's what I have been doing the majority of my time with -- with CSD right now.

And I know in the future I'll also be able to go on site visits and things like that. So I'm very excited. I'm very excited to be part of the team.

I do have an extensive background in charter school education. I formerly worked at Cien Aguas International School for about three years as their admin assistant. I was part of, actually, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

founding team at Altura Preparatory School back in 2018 and 2019. So I am very familiar and well versed on charter schools. And so I'm just very excited to be in this new role moving away from the admin assistant. So I'm excited to be here and working with you all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: So thanks. And welcome. It's always exciting when there's new staff being onboarded.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Absolutely. So Lucy sits on the Technical Assistance and Training team. But we have been blurring the boundaries, as you all know, between the TA team and the Authorizing team. So she will be helping with the site visits. And she's already been so much help since she got here. I'm really happy to have her on the team.

I've also made an offer to another staff person who is going to start on Monday, the 24th. She's joining the authorizing team as an Ed Admin A. She is coming from the southern part of the state. So that's going to be interesting for us to have a staff person who resides in Las Cruces, but because we have a significant number of schools there and because she has administrative experience as a

And so those are the staff updates.

And I wanted to give an update on the improvement plans for the schools that you just renewed. I know that the motion was to include a -an improvement plan with part of the charter contract. And in preparation for that, and because schools really were ready to move on putting into place some of the improvements, and my staff was ready to engage with them on the content that you all addressed in the conditions of renewal, all of the schools that got a condition for renewal have been assigned a liaison from my office who has had a Zoom meeting with the school to talk to them about some of the specific action steps, a timeline, and persons responsible for making improvements in the area that was part of the condition.

So schools have already begun to implement some of those improvements. I understand that we will be continuing to meet with those schools, follow up to see how they're doing on the action steps that they've put into place for this semester, and that will help inform the improvement plan that is connected and attached to the contract.

Schools have asked how long would this be in place, how long will we have this improvement

135

principal at a school and as a bilingual educator, I think she's going to be a tremendous asset to the team. So look forward to meeting her in February.

Yes, we took her from a district, and I think that she'll be a wonderful addition to the team.

There's two other positions that are open right now. And we have had continuous -- they're continuously opened until we fill them. One is for my Admin Assistant position. Just haven't been able to find someone who was still available for an interview and interested in the position by the time we got to interviewing. So we are constantly gauging the applications and interviewing. So that's ongoing.

And then the other position that has been open, and we pulled applications and we're waiting for an okay to interview, is for the Charter Schools Program Grants Manager. Right now, Brigette, who was promoted to be deputy director of the Options for Parents and Families, Charter Schools Division, is wearing two hats. And that's a lot to hold down.

So those are two additional positions that I'm hoping to fill before -- you know, as soon as possible, actually.

plan that we would need to respond to.

And my sense is that until we can see that some of the action steps are built into the school system and that the school is doing really well, that I would imagine we would continue to expect the implementation of an improvement plan.

But just wanted to share that lots of resources have been shared with the schools. We have engaged them; they've all responded. So we are definitely on track with that.

So the next item is around the Notices of Intent. You know that Tuesday was when the Notices of Intent to submit a charter application were due. And we received seven Notices of Intent.

A few came in late, and/or the prospective applicant did not notify both us and the district, which is a requirement. So only five of the schools that submitted the Notices of Intent did fill the requirement to notify us by 5:00 on Tuesday and notified the district as well.

Those are already posted to the website. So if you are on the PEC's webpage, there is a sidebar link that is called the Submitted Applications Archived. And you will see -- Archive. And you will see that there is a 2022 link that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

24

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

includes the five applications -- or the notices, I should say -- that we received.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One is the Academy of Relational Learning based out of Albuquerque.

Another is Community Leadership High School proposed to be located in Santa Fe.

Explore Academy is submitting a Notice of Intent to open up a west-side school.

The Phoenix Learning Academy is proposed to be located in Alamogordo.

And the final one is called Compass Charter School, which is proposing to be an online statewide school with an enrollment of, I believe, 5,000.

So our next step is to engage the founders in some training that our dear Missy Brown facilitates for the prospective applicants. Because we've been working with authorizers of districts that may not have policies and procedures established to the degree that PEC does, we've also invited some authorizers to engage with us in those workshops and to learn alongside us and to collaborate with them if there's any questions they have about the process, should the application be submitted to them.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: They have the right to submit a Letter of Intent just like anyone else does.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I have a question for Corina. Corina, I'm looking at the website. I'm not seeing where to find those NOIs.

THE CHAIR: Go to the Archive of Submitted Applications.

9 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Hold on. 10 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: If you're on the 11 Public Education Commission webpage --12 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here it is. It goes

13 20- -- okay, there we are. 2022. Wow. 14 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Notices of

Intent. So they are there.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you very much. Got it.

18 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And just 19 curiously, is Phoenix -- maybe they're calling it 20 Phoenix because, you know, Phoenix from the flames 21 and all that. But, I mean, it looks like their 22 directors and things are local down in Alamogordo 23 and from New Mexico?

> DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It looks to me like they're a couple of educators from Alamogordo.

139

141

And I believe that the first workshop is planned for January 24th.

I see Commissioner Carrillo's hand up;

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No, thanks. I know I can go online and look at that later. But what was the fifth one that you named? I wanted to write it down as part of my journal, the online one with the 5,000.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. That one is called the Compass Charter School. And it is a K through 12 online application. The applicant is based out of Wilmington, Delaware. And they are proposing 5,000 students.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So I just -- based in Delaware, which is, of course, the corporate headquarters for everybody. Compass Charter is, you know, nice and generic. Is this a CMO or -- this just sounds like that. It -- big red flag.

You know, we'll approach this when it comes down the pike, you know -- I don't know --August or whenever it is we do all this. But so -and you said 5,000 cap. Holy smokes. Okay.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I have a question.

1 What we're doing at this point is if the school

- 2 would submit the application to the PEC or to the
- 3 Alamogordo school district, they don't have to let
- 4 us know. They can debate within themselves until
- 5 the last minute. When we meet with them and they
- 6 attend our training, sometimes they disclose that.
- 7 And, actually, they should be thinking about it
- 8 sooner than later, because if, for example,
- 9 Alamogordo had another application kit that they 10 were using, if they wouldn't just rely on ours, the

11 school would want to complete their application kit, 12 not ours.

> But at times, the local districts ask the schools -- or will accept an application that uses our application kit.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. All right. Thank you for your help here. Appreciate it.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. And so because we mentioned the founders of two schools, I can just let you know that we know about Explore Academy, because we have an Explore-Albuquerque and an Explore-Las Cruces. And so Justin Baiardo and his other team members are also the founders of Explore.

Community Leadership High School is part

of a network of other Leadership schools that are all locally authorized in Albuquerque.

And the folks who you might not recognize -- and I don't -- is the -- is the Academy of Relational Learning.

So those are the Notices of Intent. And although the online school didn't specify to us where they would be located, and we did make an attempt to call them and ask, I later found out that they submitted a Notice of Intent to Albuquerque Public Schools, which made them eligible for fulfilling that requirement of making the notification to both the PEC and the local district. They haven't specified that that's where their offices will be, but that is the working assumption that I have right now.

Any other questions on the Notices of Intent?

(No response.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. All right. So then the next section in my report is just Highlights and Appreciation. You know that I'm ever grateful for my team and the hard work we do and for the schools and how they are pushing through every year -- I mean every day -- during this

the religious days.

So I think the recommendation for the calendar is to move it to -- I'm sorry, I have to open the calendar -- to April -- I'm on '20-'21, sorry -- to April 8th. Is that it?

Yeah. Okay. So I'm going to move that the PEC amend their calendar for the 20- -- their 2022 calendar to reflect a change to the meeting date for the work session to be April 7th and the meeting be April 8th.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Second.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. We tentatively have an additional workday on the 6th. Would that then move to the 30th of March? Or would we just eliminate that, because this is one of the things that we were adding these in as potentials?

I don't know if we want three days in a row, you know. If we keep that 6th, then it's three days in a row. That was one reason for moving it a week before our meetings, to have that optional one in there.

Do we want to have that moved to the 30th of March, you know, just from a timing standpoint, so that we could discuss things there, get it on the

pandemic.

I don't really have a whole lot of, beyond that, highlights and appreciation.

I think I gave Chair Gipson the appreciation for being the Chair, for being a phone call away every time I have a question and providing her perspective and guidance. And so I think that most of my appreciation right now is going to Patti Gipson for being a wonderful chair. And so I want to say thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Okay. So we're revisiting No. 12, Discussion and Possible Action on the PEC Meeting Calendar. As many times as we've had eyes on this, when I was making my reservations for this upcoming year and I went to April, our April meeting was actually scheduled for Good Friday, which was also the start of Passover.

So I -- you know, I don't know how we missed it. So we've got a recommendation to move that. And I think we're -- I don't remember -- are we moving it earlier?

Yeah. I think the recommendation was to go the week earlier, because if we went the week later, we were still running into the end of some of calendar, or get it on the agenda if we needed to?

THE CHAIR: Yeah, I think that's a good suggestion. So if we could -- Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: The reason I didn't say anything, because, obviously, I look at all the Jewish holidays is the first -- Jewish holidays begin at sundown. So Passover begins at sundown, technically, on the 15th. And because we're also going to be in the new ridiculous, say, like, Daylight Savings calendar by then, Passover won't begin till probably 7:30 or 8:00. I would say to leave it as it is.

THE CHAIR: But Good Friday is all day.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: What?
THE CHAIR: Good Friday is all day.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, that's right.
Of course. That's -- that's right. I did not look,
of course, at that calendar.

I have a question. Why -- why can't we meet Wednesday-Thursday instead of Thursday-Friday?

THE CHAIR: We can. But the -- the big --

well, we could. Well, it's Holy Thursday, so -- you know.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's right.

	146		148
1	Yeah. I get it.	1	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. And I changed
2	THE CHAIR: It gets	2	the Google Doc.
3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No, I understand.	3	COMMISSIONER BURT: That passes, ten-zero.
4	Got it. Thank you.	4	THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Thank you.
5	THE CHAIR: I keep reminding people I'm a	5	We are on to Item No. 13, which is
6	recovering Catholic. But those dates stick with me.	6	Discussion and Possible Action on Legislation.
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Got it. Thank	7	I'll just give you a brief update on what
8	you.	8	has been prefiled so far and just a heads-up.
9	THE CHAIR: So I think the Thursday could	9	HB 42 is the Public Project Revolving
10	be a little problematic, you know.	10	Fund. There are three charter schools that have put
11	So and it's also for schools, they	11	in for funds for that. So if you're looking at it
12	often take not necessarily their spring break;	12	and you want to provide comment to support charter
13	they've already taken that. But they take an	13	schools and schools you know, it's schools and
14	extended weekend for the for that I'm going to	14	municipalities. But the ASK Academy, Media Arts
15	say Easter break spring you know.	15	Collaborative, and 21st Century Public Academy have
16	So if we have schools that we do need to	16	put in for capital outlay money through that funding
17	speak to, they may not be in session for those days.	17	stream.
18	So that becomes a little difficult, too.	18	HB 43, we've discussed with when Matt
19	But it's a good point about not wanting	19	Pahl has been here and supporting and HB 43 is
20	the three days in a row. So I'm going to move that	20	the prefiled charter school facility improvements.
21	the Commission amend their calendar for a work	21	So that's that bill to help support schools getting
22	session to be April 7th, PEC regular monthly	22	into their own facilities.
23	meeting, April 8th, and the possible work meeting	23	And so far in on the Senate side, there
24	for March 30th, Wednesday well, actually it	24	hasn't been anything of significance right now
25	doesn't have to be a Wednesday, but we've been using	25	prefiled that coincided with the priorities that we
	147		149
1	147 Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th.	1	had set up, with one possibility.
1 2	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second.	1 2	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't
	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner		had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill
2	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If	2 3 4	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales,
2 3	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please.	2 3 4 5	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of
2 3 4 5 6	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please.	2 3 4 5	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation that have been supported by the LESC. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation that have been supported by the LESC. And there will be no meetings now that Session will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation that have been supported by the LESC. And there will be no meetings now that Session will be beginning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation that have been supported by the LESC. And there will be no meetings now that Session will be beginning. Commissioner Davis, do you have anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wednesdays so Wednesday, March 30th. COMMISSIONER BURT: Second. THE CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner Burt. If there's no further discussion, roll, please. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, yes. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had set up, with one possibility. There is a prefile, but the Senate doesn't have bill numbers yet. So I can't give you a bill number. But there is a prefile by Senator Gonzales, I believe, a Senate bill limiting the growths of administrative expenditures with public schools. So and the link to the bill itself is not accurate. It doesn't link you to that bill. So I've been unable to actually see what you know, what that limitation is. But just to keep a heads-up. There's there will be more legislation that will be filed, but that's what's been prefiled so far. So we're on to Item No. 14, which is Reports from the PEC Liaisons. I indicated to you that I went to the November LESC. As you go through the prefiled legislation, you can see those pieces of legislation that have been supported by the LESC. And there will be no meetings now that Session will be beginning.

150 1 no meetings. 1 very restrictive, that, you know, schools obviously 2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks.

Commissioner Burt?

4 COMMISSIONER BURT: No update.

5 THE CHAIR: Okay.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Of course I have an update. And there are a lot of things to update the Commission on.

I appreciate having the bill numbers on the House bills dealing with capital and the Revolving Fund. That's one of the things that I've been trying to push and will work with legislators in that capacity on also.

The -- the Public School Capital Outlay Council and PSFA have had a lot of changes and will be probably having more changes this year. There's a new executive director. Jonathan Chamblin took a position with Los Alamos National Labs. You know, I think you heard last year that they have about a \$5 billion build-out and renovation there. So he was hired. He is a licensed architect.

Martica Casias was the executive director. She was hired by the council to become the new executive director effective back in December. That have to have bathrooms. But unless you conduct a class in there, guess what? That square footage gets pulled out of their allowable square footage for lease assistance.

There's a cap on a per member, which was raised to \$762 per student per year. But the most economically challenged district in this state spends closer to \$2,000 per year on capital. So charter schools are at a distinct disadvantage there.

One of the schools that is our charter school, the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy, is proposing to work with Bernalillo County. They're in a county building right now, and the county only requires them to pay a lease assistance that the State will provide, so they don't have to take any of their education dollars.

But they want to move into a larger facility. They have a facility that's less than 10,000 square feet. They have over 100 students. You can imagine that's less than 100 square feet per student. And that includes their administrative space.

The minimum standards for an elementary

151 153

1 was done. And I think her experience from her prior 2

position as deputy director, she really did take

over the reins in October and really started moving

4 and making some changes that are important, one of

which is looking at internal processes that, you

know, schools have to face with regard to just the whole process of getting a school application into

PSFA, getting it approved, getting the construction

done, and working with yet another State agency,

because you have to work with Regulation and

Licensing, the Construction Industries Division,

zoning, local zoning, and things like that.

There are so many different pieces in the schools, and even charters. They complain about how long it takes to get things done when you're building public buildings, and we're trying to streamline that.

My subcommittee, the Administration and Maintenance Standards, obviously, standards is one of those things, and we've been asked to review the standards. And one of the things I'm trying to press, and I would appreciate any support from you in talking with your charter schools, is to look at the definition of what a school space is, or an education space. Because, previously, it has been

school is closer to 130 square feet per student. So, you know, students, their halls, their bathrooms, their janitorial closets, even though they have to have these things, their IT space, you know, for the servers, none of that counts as education space.

So I'm going to try to work to change those things.

Albuquerque Sign Language has applied for a waiver. Right now charter schools, in terms of any capital assistance, there is a State match. So if the school comes in asking for assistance, capital dollars, there's a formula that's used that looks at their bonding capacity and how much is bonded for property taxes.

For schools in APS for this current year, it's a 23 percent match. In other words, the State will -- the State will only give you a portion of what you want. And, you know, that's -- that hurts an awful lot. The State will only give you 23 percent of the cost of your building.

So if you have a \$16 and a half million building, you have to come up with over \$12 million vourself from other funding.

Now, you can imagine a school that has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

100 students, how long would it take them to pull a little bit of their education dollars and set it aside to come up with \$12 million. It would probably take them anywhere from one to two centuries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that I think charter schools should not be penalized, because they're in a district that has a high tax base and a high tax rate, to be penalized in terms of any capital assistance.

And, you know, hopefully, HB 42 and 43, they can address some of those things. But that fund is not sufficient for someone like Albuquerque Sign Language, because, you know, the fund is not \$12 million to fund them. So that's one of the things we're looking at.

And revising the standards, we'll be doing that over the next couple of months. And, again, working with your schools, ask them to provide input, and we'll be soliciting that through the PSFA, Public Schools Facilities Authority.

Real quick, a broadband update. The PSFA was authorized, and they did put out a Request for Proposals, for a state education network. The Legislature last year authorized an Office of Broadband in the Department of Information

setting the basics of that up.

And they're working with Higher Ed, the colleges and universities around the state, and working with schools to try to get enough support and everything on that.

The last thing -- you know, as we go through this year, I would, you know, invite any of you that want to attend the meetings. I mean, they have phone-in. But they've been meeting live.

There was a meeting or two that we had in December simply because of different issues. One of them was PSFA had a network that went down, and so they weren't able to get everything ready for a subcommittee meeting to drive up to Santa Fe and get everything in place.

But, you know, PSFA and the PSCOC have been meeting live since last June, and it's been very beneficial, I think. You know, I usually sit right next to David Abbey. And so we share things and discuss -- are able to discuss some things.

And these are things that I think are helpful to have, you know, maybe another Commissioner just come and observe if you're so inclined.

I know, Commissioner Carrillo, you live in

155

Technology. They have still not hired a director.

The Governor's Office hired an adviser, but they don't have a director for that office, and it's now almost a year since the legislation was passed.

With all this urgency and everything, yet we still don't have a unified State plan. And so PSFA was going forward with this Request for Proposals.

That closes out next week. Whether or not we'll be able to award any contracts for helping to build that out is yet to be seen.

Schools can still apply for E-Rate. This is a federal program where they help provide that last connection that provides for the servers and things in the school that can provide the computers and things like that. But it cannot provide the fiber optic connections to, you know, the Internet network. And that's where the SEN is really designed to look at that.

And you can go to the PSFA website and look at that. It's a very good plan. I think their IT individual, Ovidiu Viorica -- he's from Romania, and he spent about a month out of country because his mother was ill -- has done a very good job in

1 Santa Fe, might be possible for you to come. If

2 you're interested, I'll be happy to give you the

dates and times of when those meetings are.

Long update. But that's really all I

have. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. NMPSIA.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: We have not had a meeting since December.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And you typically take January off, anyway, with Session.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: That's correct, yes. THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: We have a board meeting, the School Boards Association, on February 3rd, when they are going to be -- it looks like it's all going to be here in person, you know, when they do their annual thing at the Eldorado

So on the agenda for that, so I'll have something to report -- should have something to report for February's meeting.

THE CHAIR: Wow. How exciting. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Even if just that they shun me completely. I never know; so...

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks. The Library Commission? Commissioner Armijo?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: We have not had a meeting since November, and I don't -- haven't seen a notification for one. But I think they meet on a quarterly basis, so I'm assuming I'll be getting a notification soon.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks so much. So we are on to Item No. 15, Report from the Chair.

I think I mentioned yesterday -- but I just wanted to let people know -- I had -- I want to thank Julia for doing all the work for the -- for the rulemaking. It was a tremendous amount of work. And it took a lot. So I truly appreciate all of

And I also want to thank PED, because the Cabinet Secretary and I had a phone call, I think facilitated by the Director, to see how they could help us in accomplishing the rulemaking being posted.

And we had a little bit of difficulty and a snag with getting the PO. So I want to thank the cabinet secretary for helping with that so that we -- and also John Sena and Heidi in getting it on 1 it. So I wanted to say congratulations to them. 2.

Monte del Sol.

One of our new schools, Pecos Cyber Academy won an award.

The Southwest Aeronautics and Mathematics and Science.

Taos Integrated.

8 Taos Academy.

And the ASK Academy.

And also I think there were two or three district charters. And Commissioner Armijo's Mark Armijo Charter School was one of those schools that won an award.

This year, I didn't. I've attended other years. And it's just exciting to watch those kids and incredible how innovative they are. It's really exciting to see them participate and the excitement that they have.

So I just wanted to say congratulations.

I also wanted to extend my condolences to the Turquoise Trail community. They lost a longtime board -- former board member now -- Floyd Trujillo. Floyd was a constant. When our meetings were live, Floyd was a constant in Mabry Hall. He attended all the time. I think he might have been a founder of

159

161

the Sunshine Portal. So it was a -- it was a really helpful conversation.

I also did mention to him -- because as you know, he's still kind of new at the job -- about the MOU, because he has not had -- he had not had an opportunity to read it. So I just asked him to look at it.

We've had multiple talks here at the Commission of possibly opening it up and taking a look at it again, because it is the first year that we've had this. So I thought it was important for him to also have eyes on it to see what his perspective might be on it.

You know, it's always good to get different lenses. So that's been -- you know, I think the rulemaking has been -- ate up a tremendous amount of the time in December.

I want to thank -- oh, no -- I want to say congratulations to a number of schools. Down here in Cruces, it's sponsored by NMSU. But for three years now they've had this STEM Challenge, the Governor's STEM Challenge.

And there were 300 students that participated in it. And we had seven, actually, State charter schools that received awards through Turquoise Trail, but he served on Turquoise Trail charter board for many, many years. And he, unfortunately, passed away last week.

So I wanted to extend my condolences to the Turquoise Trail community for that loss. I think every time I was in Santa Fe after he was off the board, I ran into him on the Plaza. I thought he was the mayor of the Plaza there for a while because I couldn't be on there without running into him. So he certainly will be missed by many.

We are on to -- I think that's it for me.

We are on to PEC Comments.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. I wish the new officers well. They take office at the end of this meeting. I will try to support you in any way I possibly can, if it's asked for. Look forward to working with you over the next year. I just look forward to a fruitful year and working with all of you to try to further education in New Mexico.

Thank you.

22 THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Burt. 23 COMMISSIONER BURT: Actually, can you come 24 back to me? My dog is very upset right now.

THE CHAIR: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Voigt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: The Association of School Business Officials, the ASBO conference, I noticed conflicts with our February meeting. It's a great conference. Guess I won't be going. But -but just wanted to put that out, because I think everyone got the e-mail about that conference.

It's a very good conference, and a lot of governing boards get their hours for training during that conference as well.

I wanted to thank Commissioner Robbins for mentioning E-Rate, because that is something that is so available for charter schools to apply for. And those funds do help out, even in a small sense, for maintenance and transition with technology.

So I hope -- and I don't know if the Charter School Division even talks about that with the new charter schools, about that E-Rate potential. But it's definitely worth it once you get in. We used to get it every year. I don't know if your schools do that, Mike, down there in Hatch?

E-Rate?

Cool. Great. Good.

And then I just want to thank everyone for a '20-'21 year of work. It was a great year. We

comments yet. I'm sure next month I may have more. But I just wanted to mostly say thanks to -- thank

you, Patti, for your service and all the time and effort that you have put in. And now I know I'll get a taste of what that looks like. So thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. So I want to acknowledge Patti Gipson as well. So you have such a great storehouse of knowledge and your willingness to share that knowledge. And for my first two years on this Commission, you were just so kind to me and helpful. And every time I had a question, you answered me truthfully, honestly, and respectfully. And I just appreciated that so much.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. I was only kind the first two years? Geez.

Thanks so much.

Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Ah, yes. I too want to acknowledge Patti and thank her for her service. You are a wealth of knowledge, and you know so much. So I hope you will be available to me when I have

22 23 questions, because I'm sure I'm going to have a lot 24 of questions, as well as thank you, Commissioner

Robbins, for saying that you would be available as

163

got a lot done, a lot in the can to do for this

And, Patti, you're a rock. Thanks for everything you did. This is my third year on the Commission, and you have been just -- I want to say

this -- a chingona. And everyone can look that up on their own. But it's -- yeah.

So thanks for everything you've done and all the time that you put in.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thanks. I don't have to look it up.

Commissioner Voigt, are we dog-friendly?

I'm sorry. Commissioner Burt? COMMISSIONER BURT: Yeah, we're good now. So, yeah, first I just want to say thanks for Patti for all the service, not just last year, but for the last several years that she's stepped up to be the Chair. And I'm very grateful to have had this last year to do an enormous amount of learning, knowing that I still have much more to come. So I'm very grateful for what I have been able to experience so far. I'm looking forward to a really

great year moving forward. So thank you to everyone

And I'm -- I really don't have any

well. Because I think I do want to learn a lot more about PSCOC and PSFA.

I want to thank all the Commissioners who voted for me for secretary. I am humbled. And I thank Glenna for her nomination. It was a shock. And, like I said, again I'm truly humbled.

I also want to thank the CSD for their -the training yesterday. I was really, really impressed with Teresa Cordero and her wealth of knowledge and her ability to convey that knowledge with such grace and a sense of urgency of what needs to be addressed in our society. So I really appreciated that training very, very much.

Thank you, Commissioner Gipson, for mentioning the Mark Armijo Academy. They did receive that Governor's Award for their STEM Challenge, and it was the most amazing thing for the school itself.

The -- this is -- I hope I can say this on this forum. But every one of that STEM team have an IEP. So it was really, really an impressive award for them to receive.

And also they -- from what they came from, as I mentioned before, their demographic was at risk students back in 2001. And in 20 years' time, they

165

for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have just come such a long way. So I'm really, really proud of that school.

And I think that's about it. Just thank everybody for such great, you know, back and forth with us. We are a very diverse group here, and I just appreciate all of our input. Thank you so much.

THE CHAIR: Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thanks. I'm using my iPad. So every time you call on me, my big hand comes to turn the mic on, so I'm sorry for that.

But, Patti, I know this is something that -- I don't want to sound like a broken record. And I feel like I've been under your leadership on this Commission for -- for over a year, right at a year now. And I've never met you in person. So I look forward to the time when we can actually sit down and shake hands and maybe share a beer or something.

So I do -- I do want to -- I just do want to say that every meeting, I was impressed with the -- with the knowledge and the experience and the insight that you brought to -- to the different issues. And I would -- I'd go away from every

don't want to be on that old white guy, you know, side of -- of the issue.

So I really did appreciate the -- the insight that she offered and for you guys sponsoring that. So thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thanks.

Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, Patti, I just -- I want to echo what everybody else has said. You know, I came on at the same time that Glenna did, and so for three years, just watching you work. And I have to just echo what Commissioner Taylor just said. I was always amazed and always just blown away at your knowledge.

And I always ask myself, gosh, could I do that?

And, you know, I always came back and said, "No, I don't think I could," just because of your knowledge and the wealth of just information that you brought to the table. And so thank you for your leadership the last three years. But I know it goes much further than that.

But I also have a great amount of respect for also your decision to not move forward. I think you said something like you need to know when not to

167

meeting thinking, man, she must -- she must be working every single day from morning till night on this, because of the amount that you accomplished

So thank you very much. You've been a good example for the rest of us.

and the amount of time that you put into it.

So I am looking forward to the new executive council. I'm looking forward to Bekka, really, and Glenna having the experience of being on the board for a while, and Bekka bringing a new perspective and a new energy. And so I think that -- I'm excited about what you all are going to lead us into. And right, exactly. It'll be great.

I do want to echo also what Commissioner Armijo said about the training yesterday. You know, for -- I'm in that obvious category of old white men that get -- that have been trained and -- and so many things have just been -- have crept into our lives, or we've been subject to our environment and so forth.

I appreciated the way that she approached the training and was -- her perspective was, yeah, this is good. It's starting a dialogue. We need to be talking about it, because I'm not -- I want to be open to that. I want to learn. I want to -- I

go to the party or something like that. And, you know, that -- that takes a lot as well, you know, to make that decision.

And being in leadership is certainly difficult. And, you know, you're always -- you always have a target on you; right?

And -- but it's also -- and so you rise up to that challenge. And -- but just as important is knowing when to -- to step aside and let other people come on board. And so I sure do respect that -- the decision that you made there.

I want to say, Bekka, just, you know, I -anything that we could do to support you and looking forward to your leadership, and, of course, Glenna and -- and, you know, you're such a wealth of knowledge as well and what you bring to the table.

And, Commissioner Armijo, looking forward to, you know, having you just step up as well. It's not easy stepping up. And so I commend all of you for doing so.

Beyond that, I just want to say -- I just want to remind everybody -- I do this every time, I think -- just remind everybody that, you know, we still are dealing with the virus out there in our public schools. And it's difficult. It's extremely

170 1 difficult. 1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. Going 2 2 after everyone, I think, I could easily just say, And so I just want to encourage everybody 3 3 that when you do have the opportunity to visit with "Yeah, ditto." 4 4 a teacher, to visit with an administrator, to visit But definitely thank you, Commissioner 5 with support staff, to please just encourage them, 5 Gipson. I feel like even though we've only had because it's not easy right now. It continues to be 6 6 virtual interactions, it has been a learning 7 7 a challenge, and especially, I would say, in the experience being on the Commission, learning from 8 last month with this new variant. 8 you, learning from all the other Commissioners, 9 9 especially those who have had experience not only on And I'm suspecting it's the new variant 10 10 because it has spread like wildfire. So I believe the PEC, but on other boards and being involved in 11 every school in our state, and probably every school 11 other aspects of education. 12 12 in the nation, is dealing with this in some form or I'm thinking I'm probably the youngest 13 13 another. Commissioner on the Commission. So I am the most 14 14 And so, you know, this is just a time that green and have learned a lot, I think, from all of 15 we really need to be supportive of the people in 15 you, which is -- has really been beneficial over the 16 education. And I just -- thank you. Thank you, 16 past year. 17 everyone. I really appreciate how this Commission 17 And I guess to conclude, I'd just like to 18 has gelled over the course of the year. And it's 18 say my well wishes to the executive committee and to 19 19 been good. It's been good. So thank you, everyone. all the Commissioners as they go throughout their 20 THE CHAIR: Thanks. 20 respective duties throughout the year, including 21 Commissioner Carrillo. 21 being on the Commission. So thank you so much. 22 22 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. Of course THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks, everyone. I 23 23 echoing what others have said. Patti, your feel like I've been eulogized. I'm a head full of 24 dedication to kids and public schools in New Mexico, 24 minutiae. People have always said that. There's a 25 unsurpassed, and just thank you very much for your 25 lot of crap up there in my head. 171 173 1 1 years of service, your years as chair, and I just So I appreciate everyone. Thank you. And 2 2 congratulations to everyone. That being said, I hope you -- with all this time -- you golf. We 3 3 will entertain a motion for adjournment. talked about that once. You golf down there; right? 4 4 Just the time that you're going to have to just COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I move we adjourn. 5 5 enjoy life. So just, you know, I mean, just relish THE CHAIR: There's a motion to adjourn. 6 that. 6 We have to take roll on this. 7 7 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Armijo. And to Bekka, you're not going to have a 8 8 life anymore. So thank you for stepping up -- no, COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. 9 you will, of course. I wish you and the new 9 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, 10 10 executive committee all the best. yes. 11 11 And nothing really to echo. Commissioner Carrillo. 12 12 Michael, thank you for your comments just COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. 13 13 about how -- the difficulty and just for us COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Chavez. 14 14 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. acknowledging people when we see them. 15 15 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Davis. Mark Armijo School, congratulations. 16 16 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. I really don't have any other comments. 17 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. Thanks very much. Last year was a great learning 17 18 18 THE CHAIR: Yes. year. It's exciting. I'm looking forward to all 19 the work we're going to accomplish this year, 19 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. 20 20 especially because we have gelled more, and wishing COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 21 21 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Robbins. you all a great long weekend. 22 Thanks to CSD, always. Director Chavez, 22 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 23 you and your staff, Brigette and the others, you all 23 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. 24 do such an exceptional job. Thank you very much. 24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 25 THE CHAIR: Thanks. KT? 25 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner

	174	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. That unanimously passes. THE CHAIR: Stay safe, everyone. See you in February. (Proceedings concluded at 12:57 p.m.)	1 RECEIPT 2 JOB NUMBER: 6171N CC Date: 1/14/22 3 PROCEEDINGS: PUBLIC MEETING 4 CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public 5 Education Commission 6 ************************************
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on January 25, 2022.	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 License Expires: 12/31/2022	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
15	hand on January 25, 2022.
16	
17	
18	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 License Expires: 12/31/2022
22	
23	
24	



Job No.: 6171N (CC)

