## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
April 8, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Via Zoom Webinar Video Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6328N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2 (Pages 2 to 3)
2	4
1 APPEARANCES 2 COMMISSIONERS: 3 REBEKKA BURT, Chair GLENNA VOIGT, Vice Chair 4 MELISSA ARMIJO, Secretary STEVEN CARRILLO, Member 5 GEORGINA DAVIS, Member PATRICIA GIPSON, Member 6 KT MANIS, Member DAVID ROBBINS, Member 7 PED STAFF: 8 CORINA CHAVEZ Director 9 Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division 10 BRIGETTE RUSSELL Deputy Director, Options for Parents and Families 12 MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator 13 Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division 14 BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Record Liaison to PEC 16 COUNSEL TO THE PEC: 17 JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ. Barnes Mediation and Law, PC 18 200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	INDEX TOPROCEEDINGS, Continued PAGE  13 Discussion of Implementation Year Schools 91 Status Report for THRIVE Community School and Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts 14 Report from Charter Schools Division 225 15 Reports from the PEC Liaisons 221 16 Report from the Chair 231 17 PEC Comments 240 9 18 Adjourn 243 10 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 246 11 ATTACHMENTS: 12 1 List of Attendees 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
1 INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS 2 PAGE 3 1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5 Pledge of Allegiance, 4 Salute to the New Mexico Flag 5 2 Approval of Agenda 6 6 3 Open Forum 7 7 4 Consent Agenda 8 8 5 Discussion and Possible Action on 10 Item(s) Removed from the Consent Agenda - No Discussion Conducted 10 6 Discussion and Possible Action to Support 10 Funding for School Staff Salary Increases 11 7 Discussion and Possible Action on 59 12 The ASK Academy Request for Enrollment Cap Increase 13 8 Discussion and Possible Action on 133 14 Timeline and Process to Review Draft Plans for Meeting Conditions for Identified 15 Renewing Schools 16 9 Discussion and Possible Action on 136 Technical Changes to Performance Framework 17 for Schools Undergoing Contract Negotiations 2022 18 10 Discussion and Possible Action to Approve 164 Contract Forms for Schools Undergoing Contract Negotiations 2022 (Contract Form and Amendment Form) 21 11 Discussion and Possible Action Regarding 184 PEC Directions Regarding PEC/School Negotiations - No Discussion or Action 12 Discussion and Possible Action on Renewal 184 Timelines and Options	THE CHAIR: All right. It is 9:00 a.m.  I'm going to call this meeting to order. We can  start with roll call from Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Good morning.  Melissa Armijo. Here.  Rebekka Burt.  THE CHAIR: Here.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Steven Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Present.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Michael Chavez.  COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: (No response.)  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Georgina Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Pattie Gipson.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: KT Manis.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: David Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: David Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Present.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Michael Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: (No response.)  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Glenna Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Madam Chair, we have

	6		8
1	·	,	
1	THE CHAIR: Thank you. If I can ask	1	the Greater Albuquerque Chamber of Commerce.
2	Commissioner Manis to lead us in the Pledge of	2	We want to offer our support to The ASK
3	Allegiance.	3	Academy for their enrollment cap increase today.
4	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the	4	I've actually had the opportunity to spend
5	New Mexico Flag conducted.)	5	a little time at The ASK Academy with a few of our
6	THE CHAIR: All right. Item No. 2 is	6 7	board members, and we're really pleased to offer our
7	Approval of the Agenda.		support today. Not only is The ASK Academy's
8	The only change I'm going to make is I'm	8	leadership team and teachers getting great results
9 10	going to ask to just switch 6 and 7, because we do have schools that are coming for that, for No. 7,	9	for kids; but it's really important for employers in
11	g · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	the area, whether it's Intel or the Labs or any
12	that I told we would get to them first.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll move that we	12	number of others, to be able to offer a number of
13		13	high quality education options for parents and
13	approve the agenda, with the exception of switching Item No. 6 and Item No. 7.	14	students as they recruit and attract new workers.
15	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.	15	The ASK Academy is a really strong option
16		16	for parents who are seeking that uniquely STEM-focused option for their students, and we urge
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Roll call, Secretary Armijo oh. Any	17	your support.
18	discussion?	18	Thank you.
19	(No response.)	19	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
20	THE CHAIR: No response. All right. Roll	20	Are there any others?
21	call, Secretary Armijo.	21	MS. MISSY BROWN: No.
22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Chair Burt.	22	THE CHAIR: Okay. All right.
23	THE CHAIR: Yes.	23	With no others, we will go on to Item
24	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	24	No. 4, the Consent Agenda. I will move to approve
25	Carrillo.	25	the Consent Agenda.
			the compens rigerian
	7		9
1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	1	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	2	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	3	I have a motion and a second.
4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	4	Any discussion?
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	6	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo.
7	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	8	Commissioner Carrillo.
9	Robbins.	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.	11	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner	13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
14	Armijo votes yes.	14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
15	That passed.	15	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We'll now move to	16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
17	Item No. 3, Open Forum.	17	Robbins.
18	Ms. Brown, if you could.	18	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
19	MS. MISSY BROWN: We have Sara Fitzgerald	19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.
20	here for Open Comments.	20	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
21	Sara, I will move you to the panel.	21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
22	So please state your name and who you're	22	votes yes.
23	with, and welcome.	23	Chair Burt.
24	FROM THE FLOOR: Good morning. Thank you.	24	THE CHAIR: Yes.
25	I'm Sara Fitzgerald. I'm senior vice president of	25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. So that'll move us to the new Item No. 6, which is Discussion and Possible Action to Support Funding for School Staff Salary Increases.

This is a continuation of yesterday. So if we can start by bringing Matt Pahl onto the panel. And then I believe there are several school leaders.

I think there's one other.

2.

And Matt can let us know, too. Trey Smith. Yes. Yes, please.

All right. So as they're coming on, yesterday, we did have Mr. Pahl come on. He brought several schools to come talk to us based off of the conversation that was started last month about the new salary increases for staff, which is much appreciated, but the possible and likely and for-sure budget shortfall that schools will be experiencing in trying to meet that mandate.

And so, Mr. Pahl, we asked him to come back this month with some schools, and he is delivering on that.

Yesterday, we had multiple schools, and today, I know we have more school leaders. So I want to thank you first for coming back again today,

And so today we have three school level -leaders -- excuse me. Trey Smith from East Mountain
Charter High School, Rachael Sewards from Solare
Collegiate, and Wayne Sherwood from Aldo Leopold in
Silver City. We're really excited to hear from
them.

I'll let them speak and show kind of what their considerations are and what this all looks like on their end, and just close with a couple of comments and will be open for questions.

Trey, do you want to kick us off?
MR. TREY SMITH: I sure can. Can you hear
me?

Great. Thank you so much. Thank you, Commissioners, for taking the time today, and thank you for all you do for schools in New Mexico.

My name is Trey Smith. I'm the charter director at East Mountain High School. We are one of the first charter schools actually chartered in the State of New Mexico. We were first authorized in 1999.

We have a long history of success, an "A" rating from PED every year, National Blue Ribbon designation from U.S. Department of Education, state championship athletics, internationally ranked

1 extracurriculars.
2 And two y

And two weeks ago, we just held our lottery drawing, where 100 ninth graders were admitted, and we have 40 students on the waiting list.

I start with those basic facts just because enrollment is not our concern. My main point of advocacy today would be I want to challenge the perspective that the only schools struggling with school budgets are the schools with declining enrollment. That is simply not the case. Our enrollment has not changed, and, in fact, we have opportunities to grow enrollments with a healthy waiting list.

But the two main factors that are making next year's plans challenging and making one of the toughest budgets we've ever been faced with:

First is the minimum salary increases, and second is the shift in the unit value calculations. It's all kind of coming to a head at the same time.

On those minimum salaries, of course, we're super appreciative, and we love that for educators. But for our school, Fiscal Year '23 salaries represent over a 20 percent increase over this year's salaries. Over 20 percent. And this is

our

and thank you to our school leaders for giving your time this morning.

Mr. Pahl, if you want to -- I'm going to hand it off to you if you want to continue our discussion yesterday and introduce the school leaders that you brought today.

MR. MATT PAHL: Yeah. Thanks, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. Really appreciate the opportunity just to show you what this looks like on the ground.

Yesterday, I mentioned that, you know, the teacher salary increases are really robust. And I think we all agreed it was a good thing. In our legislative platform, we noted that we were very much in favor of this, as long as we had a sustainable funding source and that they were fully funded.

As you'll hear from our schools today, the picture on the ground is -- is complicated. And it's complicated by many factors, both the funding that was put into the formula, as well as some of the changes that are happening in the funding formula and other local factors. It doesn't change the fact that we have a tough picture right now on the ground level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after only employing the minimum raises for both faculty and staff of an ELTP school.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One example I can give is that a Level I teacher who is going to increase to Level II next year will move from a little over \$42,000 to over \$63,000, an increase of about 50 percent.

We've done the math. And the difference between our fiscal year this year and next year, it's -- it's over \$475,000 that just salary increases will cost.

And that increase of unit value, the increase of unit value of about \$587 only represents an increase of about \$316,000 for East Mountain High School.

So that unit value is going to leave us about \$158,000 short for salaries and employer costs.

We have been told about additional funding coming for ELTP schools, and so if that comes through, that's going to help. But it still leaves us about \$70,000 short. And, again, that's just on the salary side.

The other part of the SEG that I want to draw attention to is the shifts in the unit value calculation. Because on top of minimum salaries,

I was planning to be able to hire additional staff related to curriculum and instruction and improving our assessments. I wanted to expand our career technical education program. I was hoping to pay athletic coaches more, start even more extracurricular programs.

But I definitely can't do any of that now. The restrictions in SEG is going to have a direct impact on student outcomes.

So to get by, it looks like we're going to have to dip into our federal relief funds probably to the tune of \$300,000 about. But I'm hoping that advocacies from the Legislature for the 60-day session can begin now; that's what I'd really like to push for. We need an equal increase to SEG out of the next session, just to -- just to scrape by, just to get the minimum of what we need to operate a school.

And it's hard to talk about new programs and being innovative if you're just trying to meet the bare minimum.

So that's my -- those are my remarks today, and I thank you again for your time, and happy to stick around for any questions.

MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks, Trey. I think,

15

that's going to have an additional decrease for our school to the tune of \$213,000.

That comes in three buckets: First is the Small School Size Adjustment. So with that going away for our school, that's a reflection of \$94,000.

Second is the TCI reduction, the Teacher Cost Index. That change reflects \$101,000 for our school. And that's just from a pretty minor shift, where we had two teachers last year. Both were Level III; both were nationally board certified. One retired, and, unfortunately, one passed away. And that impacted our TCI index to the tune of \$101,000.

So that -- that's a big hurt as well, and it coming on top of those salary increases.

And, finally, just the change in District At-Risk Index as well; not as big of a number, but still another close to \$20,000 hit to our overall budget.

So I can give you those numbers and put them in the chat or share a spreadsheet. But, really, I just -- in this presentation, I didn't even cost -- factor in the cost of rising cost of insurance, rising cost of utilities, anything like that.

Madam Chair, last time we went through the schools, and then we asked questions.

Same thing. I'm seeing you nod your head. Okay.

Wayne, you're my next picture. Do you mind going next?

MR. WAYNE SHERWOOD: No. Thank you, Matt, and good morning, Commissioners, and thank you for everything you're doing for schools in New Mexico and especially charter schools.

I believe I'll start out with, during COVID, charter schools were the schools that really highlighted the excellence that they support students and families. And our kids, I believe, were less impacted than the regular public schools.

And I still feel that although we did such a great job during COVID and ended up being able to transition quickly to online and meet the needs of our students, the State still is not funding charter schools appropriately.

And I'll just back up what Trey said about Small School funding. The Small School funding for Aldo -- and let me just introduce myself.

I'm Wayne Sherwood. I'm the director at Aldo Leopold Charter School in Silver City. We have

18

been a charter school -- this is our 17th year. We are an outdoor, experiential ed school. And we have a lot of parents that choose to move to Silver City just because our school is located here next to the Gila National Forest of 3.3 million acres that we kind of use as our outdoor playground.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

So we have a unique position that we're in as a school and as part of the county, of Grant County.

But we are -- we're opposite of some of the charter schools who are asking for an increase in number of students. Our population has stabilized. This year, it's gone down just a little bit. But it's just a little blip. And I think we'll recover next year. We're doing some recruiting as -- as the year goes on. And we are seeing a good number of students going through the lottery right now.

But if you don't mind, I would like to share screen and show you what our numbers look like, if that would be okay.

THE CHAIR: Yes, please.

MR. WAYNE SHERWOOD: Okay.

So this first spreadsheet I want to show you, this is our salaries. And we start out

Trey said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This year is \$145,000, and it's going to go up to \$190,000. And a lot of this cost is because we have teachers going from one level to the next.

And I'll show you that the big differences is our overall funding right here, the difference between -- sorry to move that so quickly -- \$845,000 currently to \$1,043,000.

And when you see, like this 50 right here, 1.5, this is a 50 percent increase, a teacher going from a Level I to a level II. And we actually have three of those teachers moving from a Level I to a Level II this year.

So it's great, and I love to see this for the teachers. It's well-deserved. It's just the State is not giving us the money that we really need to bring up these -- the salary increases to meet these minimums.

And it's really the 50, 60, 70 -- these are our ancillary staff, our custodians. And you see everything is based on a 7 percent raise here. All of these are 7 percent raise. And the only reason this isn't a 7 percent raise is because this individual has a separate salary from -- they do

19 21

administrative. And I'll just start and just tell you. I redacted the names of everybody. But this is myself right here.

This is my current salary after the 3 percent raise. So right here, updated Fiscal '22 salary. This is the 3 percent raise that we are currently giving by the end of this fiscal year, this column. And then this will be next year.

So this is my salary increase, this here. And so it's about a 13 percent increase is what mine will be.

And then we can go through and look at the increases. It's basically just the last two numbers is the increase in what everybody is getting.

This one --

THE CHAIR: Mr. Sherwood, could you expand it a little bit more for us?

MR. WAYNE SHERWOOD: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

20 MR. WAYNE SHERWOOD: I sure can. Does 21 that help right there?

THE CHAIR: That is better, yes.

MR. WAYNE SHERWOOD: Okay. So -- and we could go down and look -- and look at the difference in what our numbers are. It's very similar to what

more than one job here at the school. So that is the reason for that.

So our total rate right now is, at minimum, 17 percent. And that is without adding any of the different costs of ERB, of NMPSIA, anything like that that is going up. And so it's going to probably be more like 22, 23 percent is going to be our final adjustment that we are going to need to be able to make these salaries.

Now, I just want to show you our budget right here. So this is our -- this is our current budget, Fiscal Year '22, Fiscal Year '23. And I don't want to make anybody sick. But I'm just going to scroll down to the bottom to show you what the difference is for the whole -- okay.

So this is our total expenditures here. This year, \$2.3 million. Next year will be \$2.645 million.

We do have a little bit of a carryover, a cash balance of \$262,000. But even after we pay the 2- -- use the \$262,000 and carry over, we are still going to be short almost \$300,000.

Most of this is due to the loss of Small School adjustment, because now we are on our fourth year of losing Small School funding. And once we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are completed next year, it will be over \$600,000. This was predicted by looking at and making the calculations when we figured out how much we get for Small School funding. We -- at this school, we have about 170 students. Our cap is 210. But because we're located in Grant County and our county is a stabilized population, we don't have new families with children moving into our county. Our school is not going to increase in the number of students. So we stabilize at about 170.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we could calculate almost exactly what we would be losing through Small School funding. That's about \$600,000 a year after the five-year period.

So that is -- that's where we're at right now, losing this much money. I'm going to have to make a lot of tough decisions on staffing in the next couple of days.

And, with my new business manager, we're trying our best to keep our school open. We provide a great service for our community and our children. And I'm just -- I'm going to need a lot of support next -- we're all going to need a lot of support. I'm already talking to our State Legislator about coming up with some funding formula that is

back on, I think you'll hear it from her.

Rachael, I'll kick it over to you -actually, yeah. Go ahead. Jump in.

MS. RACHAEL SEWARDS: I am so sorry. Of course, today of all days, our school internet would be acting up, so I'm trying to go off of my phone.

So good morning. And Commissioner --Chair Burt and the rest of the Public Education Commission, thank you so much for taking the time to listen to us, and it's great to see everybody's

My name is Rachael Sewards. I'm the founder and head of school for Solare Collegiate Charter School here in Southwest Albuquerque.

We are what I have affectionately named a pandemic school. We opened in 2019-2020. So we had about four or five months of relative normalcy, and then we went into online school our first year of operation.

We're also a school that's currently actively growing. One of the areas of strength that Solare Collegiate has had is really strong initial enrollment as a school. We were able to have close to 150 students our first year of operation, which is right on target for our enrollment goals.

I bring this up, because one of the

very excited about, is that we had to make the

impacts of the teacher pay increases, which we're

23

25

different for smaller schools.

And I don't want to use the term "Small School Adjustment," because the Legislators don't want to hear that. But there needs to be some type of sliding scale is what I think for schools that have -- more students get -- you know, less money per student in the unit value. And when the small -- when there is less population -- I hate to use the word "Small School" -- this sliding scale goes, and that increases the unit value of the students.

So that's what I'll be working on next year during the 60-day session. And I hope to get more support so we can -- we can stay running here at Aldo and along with the other small schools throughout the state.

So thank you. If you have any questions, please let me know.

MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks, Wayne. Don't know if -- did we lose Rachael? Appears we may have. Maybe we'll get her back on in a moment.

just jump in real quick here -- is, this is a multifaceted problem. I think you've heard that from both Trey and Wayne. And when Rachael jumps

Just to -- just a couple of comments; I'll

decision to grow at a little bit slower of a pace than what we planned for for the new -- for the next school year. We originally anticipated growing to 364 students for the next school year. And we reduced that down to 338, just because, as a school in its growth phase, as we grow, certain years, payroll ends up taking a more significant cost,

because of the staggered growth.

We had decided to take in less students going into this next school year to help to manage some of the budget issues we're anticipating.

because you don't have fully complete positions

Additionally, we are cutting three roles for the next -- planned roles for the next school year. We -- that includes two teacher roles and one support staff member role.

And that was to ensure that we could, number one, honor the teacher pay increases, as well as ensure that we were -- that we were able to honor the teacher pay increases, as well as providing our support staff with pay increases.

Our business manager, when we became aware of the pay increases and developed our proposed pay scale, she -- she predicted that we needed somewhere between a 15 to 19 percent SEG increase.

As you all know, the increase is 11.9 percent. So we were -- we're being a little bit underfunded.

In addition, Albuquerque -- we just learned two days ago that Albuquerque's at-risk multiplier went down. We were under the impression that the at-risk multiplier would go up. But it went from .0- -- excuse me -- .203 down to a .19. That, for us, as a smaller school -- we have 300 students currently -- that's about a \$20,000 decrease in our budget. It's not a huge decrease. But when we're already grappling with having a shortfall, it definitely is impactful.

The other thing that I wanted to share out since we are in a weird situation with our being in a growth mode and adding on more teachers than when we might otherwise if we are stable in our enrollment, I wanted to share -- and I'm going to share screen here on my phone if I can figure this out and pull up a picture. We are -- let's see -- I don't know if I have -- I don't think I have share

challenge. We like the fact that teachers are getting paid more, and we're happy to figure out this challenge. But there is a challenge.

There's a myriad of factors at play, not just the money that was put into the SEG. There are some changes in the SEG that are impacting more charter schools than school districts and other factors that are impacting everybody.

Some of those are decisions we made a few years back. Some of those are -- such as the "at risk" changing, that's really a function of the pandemic, you know. APS has a different -- has a couple of thousand students that are not re-enrolling in the district, and the demographics are showing that that at-risk factor is different.

Those are -- you know, those would be the system working as it was intended as the policy is currently laid out.

I think if we -- and then I'll just say there's a couple of other factors that are by choice. I think that -- that element that Rachael mentioned, where we have 7 percent increase, you've seen -- I think Wayne did a good job of showing, and Trey, articulating what some of these increases look like for teachers.

options.

But, essentially, what we're looking at is this past fiscal year, salaries and benefits was about 49 percent of our operational cost. Going into next year, with the reduction of staff as well as all the other factors, that's going to increase to 66 percent of our operational cost.

So we're seeing a huge increase in our benefits and salary impact. So that's where we are.

In addition -- and this is something, I think, more of an aside. I do worry that we're creating a two-tiered system when we have significant pay increases for our teachers, about 20 percent, and then our support staff is getting 7 percent pay increases. But that may be a conversation for another day.

My business manager was able to get our budget balanced. But, as mentioned previously, the way that we are doing that is that we're reducing -- we're increasing our operational cost dedicated to staffing and benefits.

MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks, Rachael.

Just to -- just to kind of wrap it up before questions -- and I know there will be a good set of them -- you know, our schools are up for the You know, our schools feel the pressure to do the right thing for support staff. Where they may not be in line for a 20, 30, or 40 percent increase, 7 percent kind of pales in comparison. And so trying to do the best we can for that support

staff, which is just kind of the right thing to do for people, is a pressure point for our schools as well. That is by choice. But we think it's pretty

defensible, given the scenario.

I think the -- so there's just a number of factors at play. We're -- the other thing is just these federal funds. I think we're all very thankful that we can use these to bridge some gaps. But they are finite, and they're not going to be recurring.

So, in looking forward, you know, we kind of started talking about the budget a little bit differently, as Public Charter Schools of New Mexico, about having more caring adults in the classroom, more caring adults in schools.

The way we do SEG increases doesn't really allow for that. These salary increases are great. We -- I talked to a superintendent last night at the Spring Budget Conference. He said -- I mean, his recruitment is amping up in Arizona, because we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really are -- I mean, Oklahoma -- Texas, I think it's -- there's a little more just parity going on. But there's some real good things that can come out of paying teachers this month.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when we do these categorical injections of funding that are only for one thing, it really doesn't leave us the flexibility to -- I mean, I don't think you heard anybody saying they're adding support staff in an era where kids need more.

We need more caring adults in the classroom. The way we get that is by providing funding in the SEG that is not named funding associated with a (inaudible). We need to allow our schools additional operational funding that allows them the flexibility to figure out what they need at the school.

And that's just something I'd encourage the PEC to -- to consider as well in their advocacy coming up for the upcoming 60-day.

Again, lots of factors here. I'll just highlight a couple of district issues as well, because this is not just a charter school issue.

You may have read the article in the Albuquerque Journal about Albuquerque Public Schools. They have an additional kind of funding an organizational lens, it's a necessary part of what has to happen.

And there is administrative rule that has a multiplier for (inaudible) that most of our schools don't meet. They fall short of it. But they -- in order to be competitive with even just a -- a regular high school, a high school principal makes \$112,000 under that rule. You'll see a lot of our charter schools making less than that. And this is no -- no critique on a traditional high school leader. They have a tough job to do. But I don't think they have as many duties assigned as a charter school leader, who also has to play that superintendent role.

So I'll stop there. Really appreciate the PEC jumping in on the issue and just willing to learn from our schools, and we'll just stand for questions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. We're going to go to Commissioner questions and comments. And we'll start with Commissioner Voigt and then Commissioner Carrillo.

I just want to remind those of you online to raise your digital hand, and I'll call you in order.

31

cliff because of their enrollment going down. There's been a "hold harmless," and that's going away. So they actually, like, realize that in this

vear's budget. Big challenge there. And to the point where they're going to have to, you know, cut

some positions. Maybe not people, because, you know, a district that big will have to churn to be able to kind of get creative with that. Not as much

the case with our schools.

Another superintendent I spoke to at the Spring Budget Conference noted that, you know, the salary increases mean that -- that their high school principal is going to make more than them, and not really expressing a lot of eagerness to give themselves a pay increase, but also recognizing that, "Hey. If -- if I'm the lowest paid superintendent in the state, how are they going to recruit another one that's going to shepherd this school, you know? It's not really about me getting a raise, but it is about the organization being set up in a way that it can be successful."

And I think our charter leaders feel a little bit of that pinch too. It's the last thing they want to do is give themselves a raise when budget is tight. Bud if you think about this from

1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Oh. I'm going to 2

turn it on now.

Good morning, everyone. I want to thank our administrators for being here. Thanks so much, Mr. Smith, Ms. Sewards, and Mr. Sherwood, for your presentation.

You know, this -- and then hearing from the administrators yesterday, this has been very informative to hear the facts behind the funding facade.

There needs to be support, obviously, for this mandate. But hearing things like the unit value is only going up 9 percent while the salary increase is 20, things like the at-risk index going down, the Small School size, the -- the ESSER is only holding up for -- I think it's one more year, I really feel the action needed.

And I know some of you are already doing this. It's great to hear that you are reaching out to your legislators and have your communities reach out as well.

I think the PEC is -- needs to be more involved. And I believe that is going to be in the manner of an -- at least an editorial at this point.

But we need to be able to hear from you,

2.

like we just did, about these -- these small pieces that are leading to this big catastrophe. There has always been threats to the Small School Size funding. And I know, Mr. Sherwood, you hit the nail on the head when, you know, people don't -- legislators don't like to hear that, those three words chained together, "Small School Size" funding. But it's always been a threat.

This is a direct threat, though, to charter school existence in New Mexico. That's how I see it. And so I think that we need to be getting ahead of this before next year's session and plan our action accordingly to get behind the schools that are doing great work for our kids in New Mexico.

So thank you for your presentation. And we, on our end, will be working a strategy to help support. Thanks again.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you for being here this morning. And we heard from other school leaders yesterday. So for those of you that I haven't met, I'm Steve Carrillo. I'm District 10, which is kind of Santa Fe all the way north to the Colorado border, Rio Arriba, Taos and such.

That's what's happening here, and that's what always happens here.

There's not a salary increase. There is this mandate, and to you, as administrators, to move money around to further enable a completely incompetent legislature that does not fund public education the way they need to.

And by saying that they want to do this and mandating it, they're not funding it, that's completely incongruent.

And it -- you can tell it makes me crazy, and all of a sudden -- I mean, if you could be in my body you'd feel the red, the thermometer coming up, like in cartoons.

And I'm going to use phrases like, "We're happy to meet the challenge." And I know that other district leaders have said that. "We're happy to meet the challenge."

To hell with meeting the challenge. All we're doing is enabling them. Every time we do this, we meet the challenge by shortchanging kids and staff and teachers and support; right? Every time we do it, that's what we're doing. We're enabling them.

So the fact that they were here earlier

So a couple of things:

Is there any -- first off, is there anyone that's even remotely surprised by this, given -- this is rhetorical -- given the number of unfunded mandates we have to suffer through year after year after year, that they simply take your operational money and make you find other places to be able to pay your bills? Does this come as a surprise to anyone?

I've lived here 31 years, and it does not to me at all. And, Matt, I'm not picking on you, because your friend -- how is Van doing?

 $\mbox{MR. MATT PAHL: }$  Life is good in the world of  $\mbox{Van.}$ 

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Good. How old is he now?

MR. MATT PAHL: Seven months.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Ay-yai-yai. so

18 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Ay-yai-yai. s 19 fun.

You said salaries are great. But there is no salary increase because there is no money. It's like if somebody in the private sector went to a department and said, "You have to develop this new product. This is what you have to do, but I'm not giving you any money to do it." Right?

this week in order to argue for their pork -- which I believe there really should be the pork for the districts. You bring something home, you build the park, you know, you do what you -- new furniture or whatever it is in the day care center. You do what you do to help your constituents.

But to come back for that? But what about our kids? What about our 330,000 kids that are in public schools? Will they come back for us?

And I have a question. Because in my 31 years, I don't believe there ever being a second callback to a session. Has there ever been -- oh, good. Glenna is nodding yes; so -- I mean Vice Chair Voigt is nodding yes.

But it needs to be -- we need to find legislators that will organize to call in another special session, because this is just not okay. We can't wait till next year. We can't tell support staff, "You're not getting anything, you're getting a lot less."

It's just simply morally repugnant. And it's the reason we're No. 50 in child well-being in New Mexico, not to mention education.

And so my suggestion would be kind of a you know, Pork, yes; Pay, No. Or Pay, Yes; Pork,

No. However you want to do it. But really organize around the fact that you've now funded your park. That's really great. But what about the kids in your school district?

2.

of death.

You know, it's really great you're going to have new playground equipment, but you're not going to have the EA you need in kinder; right?

And so we meet and we meet and we meet, and nothing changes. I've been here 31 years. That's why I have platinum-colored hair. This doesn't run in my family. It's because of the education system here.

So my sense is -- okay. And this next part is going to sound -- first off, organizing the Legislature to have another session and put this on to give us the money, period.

But next suggestion -- and don't fall out of your chair, and people that are watching, if you're a teacher or an administrator or if you're the press, please don't take it out of context. But the way you get -- there's a -- there's a community leader in Washington, D.C., years ago. He ran -- I mean 40 years ago -- Community for Non-Violence. And he fasted until Washington, D.C., gave the city it needed -- the funding it needed to take care of

New Mexico, and their unions, which typically are impotent in New Mexico, it would require them to start to think about how do we take action, because this is going to affect my family as a teacher; right?

And it'll probably -- it'll bleed out into their legislative districts.

But, you know, extreme circumstances require extreme responses. And I've never understood, in my time in New Mexico, why this doesn't happen.

So I don't know if you remember this three years ago when all the students -- not all; many -- several hundred students left Santa Fe High School and Capital High School during lunch one year to come down to this building when -- to protest PARCC.

And it was incredible. It was a great learning lesson for them to come down to their State Office of Education and say, "Look, this is not fair to us."

And, you know, they got written up and the attention and all the different things. But, you know, these extreme circumstances deserve an extreme response.

And, you know, and I can see eyes rolling

homeless; right? And he fasted almost to the verge

And -- but he was successful. And what he said to me one time in my life was, "What are you willing to do to get what you want? What are you willing to risk to meet your goal?"

And so just popping into my head this morning -- because this really frustrates me that we do this every year is -- don't open school. Either don't open school in the fall. Say, "Look, we don't have the money to open school," okay? Flat out. Get 89 districts and all the charters to say, "We cannot open school. We're terribly sorry. You have not done your work in funding public ed."

The next thing would be -- and I -- just an idea, because this is what we have to do sometimes. And teachers, please, know that I support you more than a support, like, anything else on the planet, because without teachers there are no other professions; right?

I would say to the administrators who run these schools, "No raises for anybody. And then the raises, when they come, will be retroactive."

Because this will make the teachers, however many thousands and thousands there are in

on the participant page and different people that are listening. But you look at American history. It serves as your guide, okay? Serves as your guide.

So think about that. Let's organize.

Let's organize with the New Mexico School Boards
Association. Everyone, all of us is feeling the
same pain and going through the same thing. So it's
not a charter issue. This is a kid issue and an
attack-on-public-schools issue.

I don't have questions for y'all because I just see the numbers, and it just makes my -- just makes me boil. I appreciate what you're doing. And I would consider the two options that I just said. They are extreme. But, heck, I'm just beside myself on this. So thank you very much for letting me do my thing here.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I also appreciate your comments and input. And I said this yesterday.
The Legislature did adjust the lease assistance to remove the term of "classrooms."

So now your lease assistance will be based upon your -- the lower of your per MEM or your actual lease cost.

So for many of the charter schools, that will be an increase.

Now, for another thing, I've pressed for a couple of years to get the lease assistance more in line with the intent and with the cost of living over the last 15 years.

Last year, they did a whopping 2 percent increase. And I'm hoping that this year that we can get something more in line with where I think it should be.

I think it should be over \$900 per student instead of \$762. That will help charter schools that have leases. Because right now, many of the charter schools are having to dip into their SEG funds, their operating funds, to pay their leases.

So I hope that that will help also. So consider that in your budget formulation.

We won't know that probably until the August or September time frame. But I will push for at least a 9 percent since that's what the Legislature provided in terms of the regular -- overall SEG. I think that would be a minimum. I would like it to be higher and getting up over \$900 per MEM.

So thank you for your work, and we'll

and the service that they do provide, in addition to the quality education. So that, you know, we've always been the definition of community schools, and now there's this push for community schools.

So I really think it's -- it's on us to create this unified message by the PEC that goes out to legislators and to coordinate with the schools to work on that messaging, and with -- and with Matt's group, to make sure that we've got this fine-tuned and consistent message that is going out.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Pahl.

MR. MATT PAHL: Yeah. Madam Chair, I just wanted to share that -- because I think a couple of different numbers were shared on the SEG increase.

And it was 11.9 percent this year on the unit value increase. I know Rachael put that in the chat. And I know not everybody can see that, so I just wanted to say that.

I do want to be clear, though, because I know that -- you know, we're all passionate about our kids. And I appreciate Commissioner Gipson mentioning, you know, the service -- the level of service that we provided during the pandemic.

I wouldn't anticipate us -- we're not a group who's interested in using our kids and not

continue to work on that.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And, really, thanks for all that information.

Unfortunately, I don't think it's new news for a lot of us that have been involved with this for a long time. We saw a little bit of this with the last salary increase when it went through. But it's just -- you know, it just keeps building.

And there's got to be, you know -- there's got to be the fix. And I absolutely agree that we have to be coordinated in our effort and our message to legislators for a fix for this.

I know in my area, I have a number of legislators who often say, "I don't have a charter school in my district, so what difference does it make?" You know? So that apathy is always -- you know, it cycles around.

And I think it's -- you know, it's on us to make sure that the narrative is going out to all the legislators. And, you know, even those that are on the fence about charter schools, I think through these two years, the best message we show is how well -- as Wayne put it, how well the charter schools serve their communities during this pandemic

serving them to make a political statement. So I wouldn't anticipate that from our schools.

However -- and I'll just say that it is upsetting what's going on. But I didn't talk to one legislator who -- who -- who doesn't have the best intentions about getting money to kids. There is not an overt, you know, "Oh, it really costs this much? Well, let's cut it down a little." That's not what's happening here.

And so it's -- it's disappointing, and we have seen it in previous salary increases. But this one is so big that there's a big multiplier between -- behind the -- the -- the issues at hand.

And so, you know, as the Public Education Commission thinks about their course of action moving forward, I know our first step is to -- just to educate. I mean, like, this has been really enlightening for me that when somebody puts a spreadsheet up, and you can just see it, that's helpful for folks.

And I think people just need to understand what the reality is on the ground and understand that there are a lot of factors at play, and get a better awareness of -- of what these -- these issues are in a push to expand funding in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I do want to just note that I don't think there's anybody in the Roundhouse who is -who is, you know, crafting a plan to underfund teacher salaries. I think they were working with the information that they had, and they were -- they were -- you know, they have -- they have pressure to get as much out of that money as possible.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I don't know that we have bad actors. I think there's just an education element that really needs to take place here, and a big push, as the fall comes, to really work towards funding schools a little bit differently. Not changing the SEG radically. Looking at ways where we can support smaller schools in rural communities is important, as well as, again, let's just get some discretionary funds in those SEGs so school leaders can do their job, which is solve problems for kids, not solve budget problems, and try to, you know, do this -- this kind of -- it's not a shell game. But there's just kind of like a lot of -- a lot of figuring out on the funding side to, like, make these things work.

A different problem, a better problem, would be, "Hey. I got enough for a new staff member."

innovative on your budget. I mean -- and I know you will. I know you will. I know our -- I know charter schools will be very innovative with their budget. But to not have any space to really be innovative anywhere else is disheartening.

So I'm going to support action on the PEC's part to support this education piece. I think getting the word out, making sure folks know -making sure -- and I'm okay of thinking there's good intention. But then once the schools start putting together their budget, then that intention kind of -- it comes out differently; right?

And so just making sure legislators know that that's an issue that needs to be considered and dealt with the next time they meet, I think is an important way that the PEC can support schools and take action as well.

So I'm very supportive in joining in that education piece and maybe even utilizing, you know, one of your spreadsheets. And we won't put your name on it. But just to really show, I mean, those shortfalls, and to see that you're going from a budget surplus to a budget deficit, that means program or people cuts. I mean, that's what it equals.

47

49

Is it going to be a reading interventionist? Is it going to be a social worker? Is it going to be someone to cover all those extra duties that teachers do so they can get their full preps?

That's the problem we want to be able to solve. And I don't know that we're going to be in a world where we get all of those things. But we'd love to be figuring out that challenge, rather than how we move everything around to -- to fulfill the salary increases.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. I do have some who are wanting to speak for a second time. Before we go to that, I want to be sure that the Commissioners who have not yet spoken have the opportunity, if you would like.

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: The only thing I'll say is, you know, I think they -- we often say we want charter schools to be innovative; right? That's, like, the big key word for charter schools. We want charters to be innovative. We want you to be unique and different.

And it is a little disheartening that all your innovation efforts are going to go to being

So I think seeing it is very educational. And I think that's something that I would be very supportive of the PEC moving forward to do.

So with that, I'm going to move to Commissioner Voigt, then Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Matt, for putting on your teacher's hat and talking about -- let me turn my camera on -- and talking about what people might not know.

And I think that's really what this is. I know that everybody's intentions are in the right spot. But, unfortunately, this always filters down to affect the most marginalized of populations. And we know that a lot of our students who go to charter schools have been in environments where they haven't been best served.

So thank you for that.

I think that this does need to be an educational piece. We need to inform in order to welcome change, and in a positive light, most definitely.

So -- and I want to thank Rachael for that 11.9. I think yesterday, I think I just heard the ".9" coming off the audio. So -- but, yeah let's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collaborate about this next action and do a -- you know, a really stellar presentation so that we can change some minds and change some thinking around this.

Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. One other point that I failed to bring up that I had mentioned yesterday is the use of the 2 percent within PED beyond what Charter School Division and PEC uses.

And last year 900- -- over \$900,000 was reverted to the General Fund. I would like to see statute changed to limit the amount that is drawn from the charter schools, State charter schools, to parallel districts where it's up to. And I think we can do that through our MOU. And I think legislative change would help also.

You know, another thing that hasn't even been touched on -- and this is the thing I talked with a couple of legislators when they were talking about this large increase -- I said, "You haven't addressed the unfunded liability in the teacher retirement."

Whenever you go in with such a large

passenger car vehicle pays several hundred dollars a year into road repairs through their gasoline taxes. Large semis, which are going electric, pay thousands of dollars in road use taxes; primarily, the gasoline tax. And in New Mexico, they have a -- an additional tax for those commercial vehicles.

So, you know, these are things that, as we go to this economy, if the Legislature isn't addressing that, we're actually -- we're ever switching, you know, sources, but we're losing funding on the one side, and we're not replacing it on the other side. And that's going to put a greater and greater strain on the State budget to fund education, to fund other necessary programs, unless they start looking at those areas to pay their fair share.

So, you know, this is a thing I think the Legislature has to address next year also, the shortfall that they didn't fund this year because of the salary increase and the future shortfalls to the retirement. That's going to really face future legislatures and the future citizens of this state.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So, first, I don't believe there's anybody that's in the Roundhouse

51

increase, think of all -- when you're talking about a 15 to 20 average increase in salaries, that means your unfunded mandate -- or unfunded liability on retirement probably grew by 30 percent, if not more.

So we're talking about hundreds of millions of dollars of unfunded future liabilities that the Legislature did not fund.

And so that's another reason why, you know, large increases are nice for the individual, but they have a huge negative impact on the system. And it's better to phase in -- this would have been better if we had done it over a two-year or three-year period of time.

I know people like that 20 percent increase or 10 percent increase, whatever they're getting. But the thing is there's a negative impact on the financial position of the State going forward because of those increases and trying to maintain

You know, if we phase out oil and gas in the next, say, ten years, how are we going to make up the billions of dollars? Right now our electric vehicles, they pay nothing towards road repairs, other than a very small amount towards their registration fees. But you figure the average

that has bad intentions. I just don't. I just don't think they know how to get things done.

The -- and nor do they make things a real priority, regardless of what is said. So it would seem to me that it stands to reason that if we want to get anything done prior to the next legislative session, there's going to need to be another special session -- right? -- because, the Governor can't just arbitrarily say, "Okay, here's another" -whatever the millions of dollars is -- "to fund these increases." And it's going to have to be the Legislature that does that.

And so if we agree that we want to have anything done before the next legislative session, then we have to agree that we need to have a special session. And if we agree that we have to have a special session, then that's what needs to be organized around with this issue.

So that's what I would encourage our charter administrators and also the NMSBA and the teacher unions, to -- to come to grips with. If we want money, it's going to be for the next fiscal year, okay? So that's kind of the -- kind of the first step there.

You know -- and also it just kills me

2.

sometimes, because we think we're making such strides in the way we're funding it and more here and there. It's, like, 8 to 9 percent less of the general budget than it was 10, 15 years ago, and it's considerably less of our general overall budget, education, than it is in many of the states that we look to to look for success, like North Carolina, Vermont, Massachusetts, Wisconsin, Minnesota, as a percentage of budget.

So when we get a little bit more money, and we're so happy we're like lapdogs getting a bone, it's, like, "Why are we so incredibly happy? They've made all these massive cuts, and we haven't even come close to making up for it."

So the term "innovative with their budgets," Matt, I'm totally not picking on you. And I know that you can't be as kind of confrontational in your speech as I am, because of the role that you play and the great job that you do in corralling all the different forces together on behalf of charters.

But that's -- you know, but I don't have to have -- I don't have to -- and you can tell I don't have much of an internal gatekeeper. So I do applaud all of you for being very innovative with your budgets, just as innovative as all of the

priority. And that's what I would encourage people to organize around.

And I'm going to -- for me, being -living in New Mexico, for 31 years on this issue,
it's like beating a dead horse. So I'll stop right
now. I applaud you so much for what you do for our
kids, you don't even know. And teachers also. So
let's confront this with the urgency that it
warrants. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. If there's no further discussion, I'd like to make a motion.

I move that the Executive Committee, on behalf of the Public Education Commission, craft a letter and editorial to State government leadership in collaboration with Public Charter Schools of New Mexico and the school leaders to educate and provide solutions to our educational budget shortfalls.

THE CHAIR: Second.
Any discussion?
Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CAI

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Friendly, but albeit maybe controversial, friendly amendment, that

people that don't make what they need to do in their household budgets, and they have to decide, "Okay, am I paying for prescription drugs this month, or am I paying for food or rent or a roof leak," or whatever it may be.

Philosophically, the idea of the 10 -- of all these big increases, every superintendent could have done this all along. They just would have had to cut everything from operational; right? I mean, the money that's in an overall budget or the money that these schools may have in their cash reserves, sure, they could have used that to give people -- I know in Santa Fe, the union wanted us to give everybody a lot of money.

And we said, "Well, you can't take it from cash, because this is a recurring expense."

And we'd try to just tell them, "Do your homework. Look at Oakland. Oakland is a great example for how to mismanage your money and your budget just because you want to give somebody a raise." Right?

So -- but I applaud you for the innovation in getting through this. Special session needs to be -- if we want the money now -- right? -- for the next fiscal year, special session needs to be a

said letter must include -- or shall -- include the need for calling a special session to address the budget shortfall.

THE CHAIR: So I'll say I can't support that. I think it -- I don't think that's something that I would be willing to make a call on at this time.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's cool. Well, there you go.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I don't know if you want a comment on the friendly amendment. But I can't -- it's not on the table, and I can't support the call for a special session at this point in time. I don't see it being a fruitful or productive conversation at this point in time.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. I wouldn't be inclined to accept the friendly amendment.

THE CHAIR: All right. So any further discussion on the motion?

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Today is April 8th, 2022. One year from now, I'll still be on this Commission, and we'll be having this

58 1 discussion, and nothing will have changed. Nothing 1 to you. 2 2 will have changed. I'll support the letter and DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, 3 everything else. Editorials are great. But action 3 Chair Burt. Good morning. Good to see people in 4 4 is better. the audience, and thank you to the -- to the people 5 THE CHAIR: Any further discussion? 5 who are attending virtually. 6 6 As you know, the Public Education (No response.) 7 7 THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commission votes on amendments to a charter school's 8 Secretary Armijo, if you would take roll. 8 contract. And, in this case, we have a school that 9 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. is asking to increase the school's enrollment cap. 10 10 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Are we voting on the We did an analysis that you'll see in 11 original motion? 11 your -- your materials. It's No. 6. And this talks 12 12 THE CHAIR: Yes, on the original motion to about the school. It introduces the school's 13 13 submit a letter, an editorial in collaboration with mission, discusses the school's academic 14 14 the school leaders and Public Charter Schools of performance, and it talks about the school's 15 15 New Mexico. performance to date. And then the enrollment cap 16 16 amendment request form, and all the information COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. I vote yes. 17 17 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. provided by the school is also there. 18 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 18 So the current enrollment cap is 600. The 19 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. school is asking to increase the enrollment to 850. 20 20 We held a meeting with The ASK Academy and COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 21 21 Dr. Boyd and her colleagues to discuss the COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 22 enrollment cap, and we feel that we would recommend 22 Robbins. 23 23 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. approval. 24 24 Thank you. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. 25 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director Chavez. 25 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. 59 61 1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo 1 Dr. Boyd, I'm going to go ahead and have 2 2 votes yes. you introduce yourself first. And then if you can 3 Chair Burt. 3 talk to us a little bit about why you're asking for 4 4 THE CHAIR: Yes. this increase. 5 5 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yes, thank you. 6 Carrillo. 6 Thank you, Madam Chair. My name is Alexandra Boyd. 7 7 I am the CEO of The ASK Academy. I do have a COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: We -- that motion 8 presentation to share with you all, if that's okay. 9 9 passes. THE CHAIR: Yes, please. That's --10 10 THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I have it so I can 11 And thank you, Mr. Pahl, Ms. Sewards, 11 share it with the -- I'm on the Zoom, so I can show 12 12 Mr. Smith, Mr. Sherwood. Thank you so much for your my screen. 13 13 time this morning. We're very grateful. We know, THE CHAIR: Yeah. You should be able to. 14 as charter leaders, you had a lot of things that you 14 DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: But while we're 15 just gave up in order to come talk to us this 15 waiting on it to happen, I just want to -- I can 16 morning. So we're extremely grateful. Enjoy your 16 just go ahead and give you a quick summary of the 17 17 weekend, and thank you for joining us today. school. 18 MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks, everyone. 18 The ASK Academy currently serves scholars 19 19 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. in grades 6 through 12. We are a STEM-focused 20 20 THE CHAIR: All right. So that will move charter school that uses a project-based learning 21 us to new Item No. 7, which is Discussion and 21 model. 22 Possible Action on The ASK Academy Request for 22 We currently serve about 560 scholars. 23 Enrollment Cap Increase. 23 Our enrollment cap is 600. 24 And I do see Dr. Boyd. Thank you. 24 And let me go ahead and share my screen 25 So, Director Chavez, I will pass this over 25 with you all. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you see here, this is a picture of our beautiful main campus building. In this building, we have -- we serve our scholars in 7th through 12th grade. The building is beautiful, and it's -- it's very conducive to our needs. But it's also packed. So I will talk about that a little bit later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Ms. Chavez said, we have our mission here for you all. For those of you who aren't familiar with The ASK Academy, "The ASK Academy will emphasize science, technology, engineering, and mathematics curricula though creating a learning culture through project-based learning experiences, 21st century technology, research programs, relationship building, and partnership program engaging scholars in the learning process. The ASK Academy will provide multiple opportunities for scholars to demonstrate attitudes, skills, and knowledge of the core standards through independent learning experiences." And our vision is that, "Building relationships to create self-directed learners." Here is a little milestone timeline for you all. These are major milestones.

The ASK Academy opened in fall of 2010.

When the academy first opened, we were serving

Deloitte -- it's a very impressive project.

Essentially what they did was they built a 3D printer that was able to print basically, like, an adobe mixture to create temporary housing.

And you also see some of our students doing some of their project-based learning here as well.

And the next slide, you'll see our scholars participating in Research in Action, doing project-based learning in the classroom, also our scholars competing at the Model UN, State competition.

And then these are some of our younger scholars. You can see right behind them is our Sixth Grade Academy in that picture.

So my -- my team would be disappointed if I didn't share these highlights with you all.

We have had three National Merit Scholar finalists in the past two years.

I want to say a special thank-you to all of our project managers, specifically Ms. Shimada and Ms. Pierce for their work that they do with our -- preparing our scholars for those exams and actually administering those exams.

We graduate scholars with disabilities on

63

grades 7 through 12. In 2014, we added grade 6 to that, because we felt we needed a little bit more running ground to get our scholars ready for our

In 2016 is when we moved into the beautiful building you saw on the first slide.

high school programming.

In 2019, we added the Sixth Grade Academy, which is a smaller building located just in front of the main campus.

And then in -- we hope to, in 2023, to complete our campus design. To complete our campus design, we need one additional building.

I wanted to share with you -- Ms. Chavez asked for me to share with you some of the recent successes for The ASK Academy.

I have these images for you so you will have a picture of who we're working with and what we're doing as I go through those recent celebrations.

And these pictures, you'll see pictures of our scholars participating in our Research in Action, which is our school-wide science fair. We also have our Governor's STEM Challenge team at the top in the middle. They did win this year. They got -- they were recipients of awards from

a traditional diploma. This is really important to me. Sometimes it takes us five or six years to do it. And our graduation rate takes a dip. But it's a meaningful dip, and I'm comfortable with that, and I'm proud of that.

So I usually use these first two points to give people a picture of the scholars we serve. We serve everyone who shows up, and we serve them well.

We also have three Eagle Scouts this year, which is pretty amazing. One of the Eagle Scouts provided the school with sensory kits for our scholars who need to fidget quietly during class. And that was -- that was a really special gift to our campus.

Also one of our Eagle Scouts is one of the first female Eagle Scouts in New Mexico and possibly the nation.

We talked about Governor's STEM Challenge. Not only was our Governor's STEM Challenge recognized in 2021, they were also recognized in 2019 by RS21.

Our school is the current recipient of the Near-Peer Tutoring Grant. Through that tutoring grant, we employ 20 of our own scholars to provide tutoring services to over 30 students each week.

Some of our students who have participated and received tutoring have been -- their grades have jumped significantly, like 20-, 30-point grade -- 20-, 30-point jumps.

I don't know if you all have had the chance to come out. But our seventh graders hosted a living map tour on our campus. On our campus, the scholars have created a 100 by 100 -- 100 by 100-foot map of New Mexico, where they recreated it to learn about New Mexico history.

So they built the borders; they built the rivers; they built the mountains, the caves. They even have White Sands represented.

This is still a part of our campus. So if you ever get the chance to come out to The ASK Academy in Rio Rancho, please come down, and we'll be happy to get you a tour of our New Mexico Living Map.

I want us to give a special thank you to our project managers, Jessica Gallegos, and Daveed Korup for their work on this really wonderful project.

One of your project managers, Janisse Vasquez, has been representative of ASK Academy as a teacher ambassador and also on the social studies all know how hard it is to organize events during COVID.

And our community, our student leaders and our parent support, have taken it upon themselves to ensure that our scholars have a full robust year, even in the face of COVID.

And I couldn't be -- I couldn't be more thankful to our parent support committee president, Cheryl Kemnitz.

Our Model United Nation scholars won best delegate and best opposition paper at the State competition this year. I would like to thank Ms. Krista Pink for her support of those scholars and that program.

And our very own Dr. Jeanne Forrester has been named Governing Council Member of the Year by the Public Charter Schools of New Mexico. So thank you to Dr. Forrester and all of our board members for their service, and to the Public Charter Schools of New Mexico for supporting us and recognizing high quality board membership.

Our recent audit came back with no findings. I'll just say that for a minute, just set that out there, because that's a really big deal.

Yes. Thank you.

standards team. And we're really grateful for Ms. Vasquez for her engagement, not only in our school and our community, but also in the state.

You saw earlier pictures of our Research in Action. This is a school-wide event that didn't happen during the first year of COVID.

I'm very proud of my team for pulling all these components together, all the people together. We had outside judges come and work with our scholars on their Research in Action. And we did have some scholars who participated in the State science fair and were -- had the option to do so at a national level as well.

We have a VEX Robotics team. This year they did place locally. We're very proud of them. I'd like to thank Ms. Nevelyn Headrick for her work with our VEX Robotics team.

We also recently started a Future Health Professionals of New Mexico club; it's called HOSA. And they participated for the very first time this year in that competition, and they placed 1st, 2nd, and 3rd in several categories.

Our student government, National Honor Society, and parent support committee offer monthly programming. And I just point this out because you And, recently, two of our scholars also placed in the Creative New Mexico art poster contest. And we're very proud of those scholars as well, and our art teacher, Bonnie Smith, who supported their entries.

All right. So as I was speaking earlier about our current campus, how our plan is to add an additional building to our current campus. So everything you see here, like, from this wall to this wall and this back wall right here, this -- we own all of this land.

This is the main campus here.

This is our sixth grade building here.

Our long-term plan is to put a building here so that we can serve more scholars. Also, just in case you want to know when you come to campus, our New Mexico Living Map is right here in this corner.

We're also going to place a -- I'm sorry -- a -- I'm going to wrap up really soon -- a basketball court here and a maintenance building here. So that's just to give you a visual of what we're working with.

Our request for you all is to increase our enrollment cap from, currently, 600 to 850 scholars.

\_\_\_

We will phase in scholars over a five-year period.

With the coming school year, we would like to serve 645 students, which is why I'm bringing this request to you now. We can serve that many

2.

this request to you now. We can serve that many students with the current facilities and staffing that we have. So that would be an addition of about 70 students to what we currently have.

And then we'll -- we will continue to build up to 850 by retaining more students through the high school years and by continuing to recruit students in middle school.

Here are the enrollment projection numbers. I believe you have these in your packet.

Similarly, here are the staffing projection numbers, which I believe you also have in your packet so I won't belabor that point.

The main thing I think that you need to know is that for this first year, we're essentially at one project manager, or teacher, and one support staff person. If we're able to get the enrollment cap increase today, we can do that for next year.

So I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you, Dr. Boyd. Commissioner Voigt.

kids stay (inaudible) high school?

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yes. That's actually noted on that sheet. We have about a 15 percent attrition rate. And that does take place pretty heavily from eighth to ninth grade.

We do have scholars who leave us to go to other schools because they may be a better fit for them because they may have sports or other extracurricular activities that we don't have, as kind of like we're a STEM-focused school. That's what we do.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I know that Charter School Athletics League used to be in existence. They're still around; right?

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: And we do plan to participate in the upcoming year. We haven't participated in the past two years though.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: There are so many other charter schools that have extracurricular activities after school or clubs that do Minecraft or robotic stuff. There should be an activities -- a Charter School Activities Association; not just athletics but activities. I don't know if he would talk to -- if that same guy is still heading up the athletics or not. But they could certainly bring in

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks, Madam Chair.

Good morning. Thanks for being here.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And zero findings. That's just --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Bravo.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Thank you. Thank you. Yes. And hats off to my COO, Constance Dove Castilleja, and Vigil Group, Ashley Woodard, and our in-house business assistant, Mackenzie Woods.

They're an excellent team, and I couldn't be happier.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: One is -- two is outstanding. But zero.

So I had a question. But the property, I mean, that's a lot of property. Any thoughts about an equestrian ring? I'm just kidding. But...

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Why not? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: So with that 250

increase -- and I was looking at that enrollment chart. So it's pretty well spread through all the grade levels, which is good, because you see that balance matriculating from grade to grade.

What is your matriculation rate from, like, your eighth grade to your ninth grade? Do

more program, because I know so many charter schools are doing activities, not just athletics. Some are doing both.

But -- so that's awesome. Thanks for the presentation. Very informative. Thanks.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: You're welcome. And to your points, Commissioner Voigt, I would just like to say -- you all may not know this. I've only been here two years. I came here in 2020. And speaking about the collaboration between charter leaders, I would say here in New Mexico, it has been excellent. And I would not have been as successful as I have been if it weren't for the support of Matt Pahl. His group and my fellow charter leaders, they're outstanding.

And I continue to try to get them into our schools, get them into my school so we can build that collaboration.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Super. Did you get my e-mail for that invite of charter leader visitations?

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I did not. I'll make sure I get it before I leave.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. It's going to be at Albuquerque School of Excellence.

	74		76
1	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I'm sending my	1	No, I saw her hand. And I just wanted to
2	principal there oh, no. We are going to that,	2	make sure that there was nothing that
3	yes.	3	THE CHAIR: Do you want her to answer
4	THE CHAIR: It's going to be Commissioner	4	that? Do you want her to answer your question?
5	Carrillo, Commissioner Gipson, and Commissioner	5	Dr. Chavez?
6	Robbins.	6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah, when I'm
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. Thank	7	done talking.
8	you very much for being here and not being on Zoom.	8	THE CHAIR: Okay.
9	It means a great deal to us when people come, and	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: But is a
10	it's just also nice to just see you and meet you.	10	question about precluding a State charter.
11	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. Thank you for	11	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Absolutely
12	your service.	12	nothing would preclude them from participating in
13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It's my pleasure.	13	those programs. And some charters do.
14	Not during last discussion, but, no, it's totally my	14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Swell.
15	pleasure.	15	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Thank you for that
16	So I know that the CSD has recommended	16	information. I was unaware. Thank you so much.
17	approval. I'm just looking at the performance	17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: If I could finish?
18	the information from the last couple of years. And	18	I'm just going to go ahead. I know
19	I know we don't have anything right now. But it	19	there's going to be more discussion. But I'm going
20 21	just looks like there were some challenges, you	20 21	to go ahead. And I didn't look at the motions that
22	know, in 2018-'19 relative to financials and business management. And I trust that all that's	21 22	Ms. Barnes did.
23	been you've been working with CSD and with our	23	I'm going to make a motion to accept the request for the enrollment cap increase.
24	team and terrific.	24	THE CHAIR: I think if before we start,
25	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Uh-huh.	25	I want to make sure we can ask questions of
	DR. ALEAANDIA BOTD. Cir-iidii.		1 want to make sure we can ask questions of
	75		77
1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm very	1	Dr. Boyd.
2	impressed, super-duper impressed with your	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: We can always ask
3	presentation. So thanks for taking the time to do	3	questions. But once
4	that.	4	THE CHAIR: After a motion is made, we
5	I have a question my understanding is	5	can't ask questions of Dr. Boyd.
6	the NMAA is not the New Mexico Athletics	6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's right. I
0	Association, but the New Mexico Activities	7	forget that part.
8 9	Association. Is there anything that prevents State	8 9	THE CHAIR: So I'll come back to you, though, after we finish questions and answers.
10	charters from being a part of them and having them		
10	os o resource to		
11	as a resource to  DR ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah I think I	10	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally
11 12	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I	10 11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that.
12	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.	10 11 12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the
12 13	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.	10 11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.
12 13 14	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for,	10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo. THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner
12 13	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.  If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate	10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.
12 13 14 15	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for,	10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo. THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks
12 13 14 15 16	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.  If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo. THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson.
12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo. THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of any of our participation in anything.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that. DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo. THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do. It's always a pleasure when we hear from
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.  If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of any of our participation in anything.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know they can do	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that.  DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.  THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do.  It's always a pleasure when we hear from The ASK Academy, because we know how robust a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.  If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of any of our participation in anything.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know they can do that, but I was thinking about all the different	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that.  DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.  THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do.  It's always a pleasure when we hear from The ASK Academy, because we know how robust a program that you do have.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly.  If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of any of our participation in anything.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know they can do that, but I was thinking about all the different act because there are so many things now that's why they are now the "activities" association and not the "athletics," because there are so many	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that.  DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.  THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do.  It's always a pleasure when we hear from The ASK Academy, because we know how robust a program that you do have.  And I was really happy to see that you're looks like you're on the way of getting money from the New Mexico Finance Authority, and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yeah. I think I could help. So I think I understand this correctly. If I don't, please correct me.  So the law provides, or allows for, scholars who attend charter schools to participate in sports with their home district or where they would normally outside of that, I'm not aware of any of our participation in anything.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know they can do that, but I was thinking about all the different act because there are so many things now that's why they are now the "activities" association	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just totally I forget that.  DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I appreciate the zeal, Commissioner Carrillo.  THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner Gipson.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks for being here today and everything that you do.  It's always a pleasure when we hear from The ASK Academy, because we know how robust a program that you do have.  And I was really happy to see that you're looks like you're on the way of getting

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming out of the junior bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But could you talk a little bit about the financing and how important it is that the enrollment increase happens to help secure the funding for the new building?

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yes. Thank you for that question, Commissioner Gipson. I will do my best to answer that question.

I will say I do have an expert on the issue who does most of those details for me, but I will try to give you a high level response.

So recently -- let's see -- so, yes. So having carryover leading into a new building build is really important. It's important to lenders. It's important to us for our sustainability and perpetuity.

And so that is why we're asking for an enrollment cap increase at this point, so that we can get just slightly above 600 so that we will have the numbers and the money in the bank that will not only make the lenders happy, but will also make me happy, comfortable, and safe moving forward.

It is a challenge securing -- securing lending, especially when we are only, at the current time, able to get five-year charter contracts. It's

you say, "Wait. There's no room for improvement."

2 I think there's always a little room for 3 improvement.

> DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: I would very much agree with you. There's always room for improvement.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And the trend over the last couple of years I think has been remarkable in your management and financial management. You coming on in 2020 I think was a good move, because, again, the school is moving forward. And some of the direction that the school is going in right now with the expansion and this enrollment cap increase request, I think it's positive.

It's positive for your students, it's positive for the community. And I did get a -- and I think we saw a letter of support from the Albuquerque Chamber of Commerce. I didn't see anything from the community in Rio Rancho letter-wise supporting that, which is disappointing.

Since The ASK Academy is one of the highest performing schools in the state, it's unfortunate that there's not more support that, you know, is -- you know -- upfront.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Commissioner Robbins.

79

hard to go to a lender and say, "Hey. Can you give me a really long lease," when I'm only guaranteed to be in operation for five years.

So all of those things very much go into the decisions that need to be made to move forward. But, yes, you're exactly right, Commissioner Gipson. That's why we want to start the slow, steady growth now, so that the dollars won't be a huge issue moving forward.

> COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right.

Commissioner Robbins, then Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you, Ms. Boyd. I congratulate you on your audit findings.

I would say one thing to your auditor. And I tell people this. If they didn't find anything, they didn't look very hard. Not that you're not doing a good job. But there's always something, you know, a transposition or -- so, you know.

Because, again, auditor- -- I don't look at auditors as punitive. They are consultants to help you improve. If they don't find anything, then

1 I will take the blame for that. That was not

> 2 anything to do with the Rio Rancho Chamber of

3 Commerce. I just, most recently, have been in 4

contact with Ms. Fitzgerald, and she was actually

attentive enough to realize that we were coming

here, and she asked me if she could provide support,

and, of course, we welcomed it.

This is my first time coming before the PEC. And I -- I could have been more prepared and provided our community supporters with the information that we were coming forth to ask for that.

I will say that pretty -- pretty confidently that the only reason we don't have a letter from the Rio Rancho Chamber of Commerce is because I did not make it known to them, and I did not do that networking.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: We do work with them, and they do support us.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I don't count it against you, because a lot of times what we see is maybe not a huge outpouring of support. But there's no negative comments coming in, either. No one -- I haven't seen anything where anyone is in opposition

2.

to that. And that is a tribute to the school and the involvement you have with the community.

So thank you. Thank you for coming, and I look forward to voting on this.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Oh. Thank you so much, Commissioner Robbins. And I just would like to make one point. Last night I got to spend the evening at the new Career and Technical Education building for the Rio Rancho Public Schools.

Dr. Cleveland and her team have done a tremendous job in securing that facility for their scholars.

And we were there with the Rio Rancho Chamber of Commerce and the community, and it was a fabulous event. And I look forward to future collaborations with Dr. Cleveland so that we ensure that all of the scholars in our community get placed in the best school for them.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armijo.
COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you,
Chair Burt. And, Dr. Boyd, thank you for coming. I
wish I could have been there in person, too, because
it looks like we have a lot of people there.

I think I answered my own question. But my question was sort of geared to the growth piece and your support position. So I see that you're -- level through maybe professional development for my project managers.

Moving forward, you know, I would be remiss if I didn't say it is a concern with having to -- the social workers, from my understanding, were not included in the calculation for the teacher raises. But they're professional, licensed people who also need to -- they do incredible, important work, and they need to be correctly compensated for that work.

I will have to be more creative moving forward in the way we provide those supports if the teacher raises kind of push out and inch out that additional support person I was able to hire this year.

I do want you to know that we take this very seriously. If our students are not -- if they're not calm, if they're not relaxed, if they don't feel fulfilled, validated, if they don't feel like human beings, it's really hard for them to learn

And we understand that very deeply at The ASK Academy. Thank you for asking that question, and I hope I answered it to your satisfaction.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I think you did.

30r

will be increasing at approximately 50 percent per year, including positions in special ed and counseling, as needed. So, yeah, that was more my question.

Yeah. Increasing from 600 to 850, I thought was -- I just wanted to make sure that you were taking the necessary steps to ensure that the social-emotional learning of your students is addressed.

And so can you just speak a little bit more about that?

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Yes, I can. And thank you for bringing up that point, Commissioner Armiio.

So we have been -- we have been approaching a social-emotional support for our scholars very aggressively. This year, because of the additional federal funding, I was able to hire an additional social worker. So for my close to 600 students, I have two social workers and a counselor.

I would love to have more. If I could afford more, I would get more.

I have been networking with some community providers for social-emotional health to possibly get some in-kind donations, or maybe at the high Thank you so much. I appreciate that.

THE CHAIR: All right. If there's no other Commissioners who have not yet spoken, I would like to give you that opportunity now.

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: All right. So before we go to a motion, I'll just say I'm so -- I'm -- ASK Academy has an impeccable reputation in the community, and which can sometimes be a tough community to garner that kind of reputation. And so I do know that that's something that is very well known around Rio Rancho.

But I have -- I have multiple friends who live well across Albuquerque and drive the 45 minutes, or 30, you know, to drop their kids off at ASK Academy in Rio Rancho. So I do -- I understand that, you know, the Albuquerque Chamber of Commerce is invested as well, because there are many students that are outside of Rio Rancho that are served by ASK Academy as well.

When I was a teacher, I -- a middle school teacher, I loved having the opportunity to talk to my students about, "Hey, you know, you're really great at science. Like, there's this school that -- like, you can really get some intense education in

science. It would be great for you," you know. So having that option is awesome.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know ASK Academy waitlists are always a very real thing, which is, once again, a sign of the reputation of the -- of the Academy and of what's been built and moving into what is going to be built.

So I'm amazed, happily supportive of an increase. I like that it's gradual over time as well. Always a big fan of responsible growth and not just -- you know, I actually do think you probably could very quickly add to -- if there's that many students who want to come to your school. But doing it responsibly, making sure you have all the supports for all of your students is really important.

I also know I've always been very appreciative of The ASK Academy. I've met many families who have students who have -- they struggle in school. And ASK Academy, once again, is one of those places where, like -- it's known as a high achieving school. But it's also a school that parents and families know that their students are going to get the support to achieve high achievement.

1 THE CHAIR: Love it. Thank you.

> 2 All right. Well, with that, I'll go --

Commissioner Carrillo, would you like to propose a

motion now?

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

16

18

23

24

7

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

24

87

86

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Of course. Thank

you. Pleasure to do so.

7 I move that the PEC approve the amendment 8 request for The ASK Academy to increase their

9 enrollment from 600 to 850.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.

THE CHAIR: There is a motion on the table

and a second. Any discussion?

(No response.)

14 THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none,

15 Secretary Armijo, if you could take the votes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.

17 Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.

20 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

22 Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.

25 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

So I just am really happy to have you in

my district. And you're actually just very close, right by my house, and I drive by you all the time.

The other thing I know, and I know this from personal experience, is a lot of community partners use your buildings. And I've taken my kids to choir. The community choir goes to ASK Academy, and they use the building.

So just having the community partners is very embedded in your school. So having more space, I'm sure you could serve more parts of the community in the future. But, yeah, I'm just really grateful that you're coming and allowing for more students to be served by an excellent school option in Rio Rancho.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Thank you so much for those kind comments, Commissioner Burt. I really appreciate it.

I would also -- I wouldn't miss the moment to plug the fact that we have been hosting the Black Education Ed Council Committee meetings at The ASK Academy. That has been a really great partnership in working with Nicole Bedford, who is just an amazing community leader. So we grow by helping our friends grow. So we grow together.

1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo

2 votes yes. 3

Chair Burt.

4 THE CHAIR: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 6

Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner

Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That motion passes.

THE CHAIR: Congratulations.

DR. ALEXANDRA BOYD: Thank you very much.

14 Thank you very much. And I just want to say one

15 more time that the work is easy to do because I have 16 an amazing team. From our janitor all the way up to

my COO, my team is excellent. And I appreciate your

support in our ability to build on the dream that

19 the founders created for The ASK Academy to continue

20 to create in the future and affect more families in

21 the Rio Rancho community and the Northwest

22 Albuquerque community. So thank you so much for

23 your time and your confidence.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

25 All right. So, we do have -- I know

needed and that will be really helpful to have in place are in place.

And so, at this point, we asked that -that you all come to the Public Education Commission
meeting, understanding that this is a snapshot in
time, okay? So far there's been the submissions
in -- in November and the submissions in March.

And as I explained to the Commission, there is not an expectation on my part that everything is perfect. So this is an iterative process where you have submitted things, and maybe staff had asked you to add more detail or something might be needed to be fixed. And that is expected.

That said, they have a draft in their materials of the current snapshot. And I just wanted this to be an exchange more than anything, as people who have gone through this experience firsthand, as newly approved schools, to just be able to interact with PEC members should they have any questions.

We -- we intentionally created this item on the Public Education Commission agenda such that there is not any actions that will occur. This is a discussion.

But I just want to recognize all the hard

schools that are present here, and they're not until much later on the agenda.

So I'm wondering if it would be amenable to everyone to go ahead and move Item No. 13. And if Director Chavez -- if that's also -- I want to make sure that's okay with you. That way they don't

Commissioner Armijo is sad to see all the people

that she's missing here, but we do have other

have to wait here all day to get to their item. Is that okay? All right.

Director Chavez?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: We have representatives here from THRIVE. And I let RioGAFA know that I would let them know when they were coming up. So I would ask that you give me a minute to -- to make a text.

THE CHAIR: And we have -- it sounds like they might be online and have their hands up right now. So...

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Great.
Terrific. So absolutely. Let's move to the
Implementation Year Checklist discussion.
THE CHAIR: Awesome. Thank you, Director

Chavez. And I guess the only thing that would hinder it is if Jordan -- if you had your hand up to

work on your part, THRIVE, and RioGAFA, and also on the part of my staff, Ms. -- Ms. Missy Brown and

Samantha and Barb, who are working closely with the schools to really support this process.

And with that, I'll just turn it over to you, Chair.

THE CHAIR: All right. So the first thing I'd like to do is just go ahead and have you all introduce yourselves.

So I'm going to start with the online folks, and that way I can point to you in a second.

So, Jordan, if you want to go ahead and start with introducing yourself and Michele and Dan.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. Jordan Franco, cofounder for Rio Grande Academy of Fine

Arts. I'm very excited to see you all again.

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. I am Michele Platis, and we are very excited to be here with you again. It's been a little bit. We were hoping to be in person. But with the conference and things, we had some things to take care of.

MR. DAN HILL: Hello, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm Dan Hill. I'm the school's

say you're not ready. No. You're ready. Okay. All right.

All right. So, Mr. Duncan and team, if you all -- you're more than welcome to come up here.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Do you want me to introduce the item?

THE CHAIR: Please.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So good morning, everyone.

Yesterday at the Public Education
Commission's work session, we -- we'd looked at a
template of the Implementation Year Checklist, and
we had a discussion that just shared with
Commissioners the Charter Schools Division's process
for working with schools that are newly approved in
order to prepare them to open their doors.

At yesterday's work session, we discussed the rigor that is part of the Implementation Year Checklist work and the -- all the training and opportunities to engage with both our staff within the Charter Schools Division, but also a larger subset of -- of staff members at the Public Education Department so that they know you and you know them, and you know who to reach out to and all of the policies and procedures and plans that are

attorney. And thank you for having us.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. And then we'll go over to THRIVE and ask you to introduce yourselves, and then I'm going to have you all start by kind of giving us what you've been up to.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. It's great to be here with you in person. My name is Sean Duncan, and I'm one of THRIVE'S cofounders.

MS. JULIE LUCERO: Good morning, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. I'm very glad to be here. My name is Julie Lucero, and I am one of the cofounders of THRIVE as well.

MS. AMY CHACON: Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm Amy Chacon, one of the THRIVE cofounders.

THE CHAIR: All right. If you want to give us a little update from you.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Sure. Well, just, again just want to emphasize how appreciative we are of the opportunity to be with you in person. There's been a lot going on. We have been working hard at getting all of the requirements of our Implementation Checklist satisfied since it was

we'll do the same thing for RioGAFA next. That way, we keep you compartmentalized as much as possible.

Comments and questions?

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Welcome. Great to have you guvs here. Excited about the school.

The -- so I notice on, actually, Page 1 of this, as I'm looking through everything. Is the plan at this point to be at 70- at the old Desert Academy at 7200 (verbatim).

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Yes, at this point, it is.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. I know it was extremely challenging finding any kind of space in the New Mexico area.

If you'll remind me, please. What is your plan for transportation?

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: So we have written into -- it was -- it was identified in our CSP application for the grant to provide transportation to and from school to families who elect to participate in transportation, and that the plan is to sort of follow similar successful models that Monte del Sol, Turquoise Trail, other local charter schools have used with community-centric hubs to

issued sort of late -- late in the summer, early fall. And.

We've done a lot of work on student recruitment, further refining and defining the different operational and academic pieces of our model, just to make sure we're really delivering that information to students and families and community members in a way that is a little bit more digestible than maybe what was in the technical aspects of our charter application.

And we've also done a lot of work on outreach in general, just to continue to connect with our community supporters, our families that are interested in sending their children to our school, and the fun work of connecting with educators who are interested in becoming part of this team.

And then on top of that, we've also worked really hard to make sure we have the -- the funding available to get the word out about the school and to make sure we are in a position to open our doors in an actual school building come August.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. So I'm going to -- before we go to -- I

think we'll take care -- we'll do questions and comments from Commissioners on THRIVE, and then

make the transportation to and from school efficient.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Great. I'm happy to hear you say that. I know ATC does that also, where they used the old Capshaw as a hub to take people out. So especially because the emphasis, as I understand it -- and I don't think it's changed -- in serving kids on the south side of town, really important maybe to even -- I don't know where you'd have your hub. But a couple of buses. You know, that's what we're hoping for, to take them there.

And the plan still is to locate the school eventually in that part of town; is that correct?

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Yes, absolutely. Obviously, our most immediate need was to figure out how we were going to open our doors in August. But we are going to turn our attention to figuring out where we can either locate in an existing facility, or if we'd have the opportunity to build something new in close proximity or directly in the south-side part of town.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Terrific. All right. That's what I have for now. Because, I mean, you and I had discussed that that could have been -- like, the transportation piece is what seals

that for me, because that could have been a huge obstacle. You're just diagonally on the fully opposite end of Santa Fe. But I'm glad that that has been considered and is being taken care of.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: If I might also throw in, we've done a lot on community outreach, hosting events and trying to have as many touchpoints with potential families as possible, and students as well. And we have hosted all those on the south-side part of town, primarily, because that's where we did all of our initial outreach.

I know families have still been very excited and not at all turned off by where we'd be located. We haven't actually had anybody decline a seat because of proximity.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Fantastic. Has there been a lot in your community outreach of, you know, positive input and excitement around the school? Just from the other sides of town, some people that are just looking for a school like THRIVE because the District isn't necessarily offering that.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Yeah. I'd say emphatically yes. There's been a good amount of interest, I'd say geographically, all across

So could you talk a little bit about any additional challenges you might be having? And if you could just give me a rough sense of what your current enrollment looks like.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Yeah. Absolutely. So we have -- just to start with the enrollment question, we have -- we've received just about 100 applications for seats. Based on the size of the facility that we're going to have in our first year, we are building our budget around 105 students in Year One at this point.

We've had about 60 seats accepted at this point, 10 declined, and we have about 30 who we are still sort of following up with to figure out if they have questions or just need technical support with accessing the system to officially accept a seat.

Each week, we've had about eight to ten more seats accepted. So we feel really encouraged by the amount of interest that we've seen and the number of seats accepted at this point.

To the question regarding the -- the costs and concerns related to 7300 Old Santa Fe Trail, we did go back and forth and express that directly to the owners. And they have worked with us pretty --

99 | 101

Santa Fe. And it's great to have those folks sort of reach out to us or be informally connected to them.

But, yeah, we realized that there would be folks who would reach out on their own to learn more about the school. But we wanted to make sure that where we were pouring our time and energy into sort of meeting folks where they are was where we had sort of initially done all the outreach on the south side.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Terrific. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And thanks to both schools. I've sat through Implementation Year Checklist, Implementation Year trainings. So I know the amount of time and effort, not only through those actual trainings, but everything that you're asked to do during this year. It's a lot. So I appreciate all the time and effort.

For THRIVE, I know one of the challenges with the -- with the facility that you're most likely going to go into was the high cost of the lease. And we've heard about the challenges with the salaries and the funding.

pretty closely to figure out what works based on our funding and what will work for them as owners of a private piece of property.

And the first two years for us, we see as the most critical just because there's the potential for the greatest level of variability in enrollment. And they met us on the terms that we asked to be included in the draft lease that we're still working a couple of details out on.

So our first two years of operation is very much within what we budgeted during the charter application process. So we feel we're in a good spot in (inaudible) into that, if we can get into a place where all the final details are worked out in the next week or so.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: All right. Thanks so much.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Voigt, then Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Hi. Good to see you all. I was just talking with Ms. Barnes about where your facility is geographically located. And I know that facility. And it has an equestrian ring. It does.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: I know.

production@litsupport.com

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: It does. 2 Anyway --3 MR. SEAN DUNCAN: It does. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that where you 5 got the idea? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I thought it would be 6 7 a cool -- you guys have one. That's awesome. 8 So that community, I know your -- you want 9 to serve under- -- unders- -- underserved youth and 10 students that haven't had exceptional opportunities 11 in their previous public ed. So, yeah, you would

> need transportation in that remote area. Is that a temporarily planned space? Or is that -- did you say it was?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Yes, it is a temporary sort of option for us.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Yeah, it's a great space and very underutilized.

When you've been doing your community mapping for your enrollment and recruitment, what kind of feedback have you gotten back from -- are you community mapping that area? Or the areas like south Santa Fe near the airport?

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Great question. Do you want to take this one, Amy?

quality of space than the proximity to the space.

And we realize that proximity does make life a lot easier. Transportation can help ease with that. But the informal feedback that we've gotten specifically is that because there's so much outdoor space there and because this year has been a roller coaster with COVID coming and going, that it really did position us to ease a lot of families' concerns if they're sending their child to school and they might have a family member at home that is higher risk, just because of the flexibility to bring learning outside or spread out within its existing space.

Whereas, other spaces we had been trying to find solutions for in terms of occupying would have been much less of a traditional space and a little bit more crammed.

MS. JULIE LUCERO: Madam Chair and members of the Commission, if I could, you know, the events that we've been having, it's exciting to see the diversity of families that are attending, and just seeing the engagement amongst this diversity of families. It's just very exciting, and, you know, I actually get emotional thinking about the students that we're going to serve and how wonderful that's

103

MS. AMY CHACON: We can jump in.

So we are mapping -- we are mapping the center of the city and into the south side of Santa Fe. So a lot of the phone banking, canvassing, and the current community events that we've done have been on the south side and extending a little bit into the center of Santa Fe.

Much of that had to do with the fact that until we had decided about the property, we wanted to make sure we were hitting those areas where we were hoping to be able to locate, either now or in the future.

I think that, as we move forward, we will be looking to expand where we are doing our outreach so that people that are closer to the facility as well will know about all of our efforts.

However, what I have found, and what we've been finding as we're reaching out to the community and people showing up, we're getting people from all over Santa Fe.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: And I would also just add, in some of the feedback that we've gotten regarding the -- our location that we're hopefully again about to have a lease on in the next week or so, is that families were more concerned with the

going to be.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll just respond by saying, you know, I think that's -- typically, what happens, when you start a new school, you are going to draw from everywhere. I mean -- and not to diminish it. But you are the new shiny object in Santa Fe that everybody is interested in; right? And then the factor comes later and all that stuff.

But I think that -- I think because of your mission, too, is why you're drawing the -- the interest that you are, because I don't know that a school like that currently exists in Santa Fe. So I wish you all the best going forward. And you have a great temporary facility. Thanks.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. And thanks, Commissioner Voigt, for that segue, because my question has to do with your mission.

22 little bit about the social and emotional learning that you plan to incorporate into your curriculum. 24 And I want to just -- I want you to walk through or 25 talk me through a little bit more of the restorative

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

justice practices that you plan on incorporating into -- into the school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Absolutely. Thank you. This is the fun stuff that we have gotten to focus on this year.

There's been a lot of important, but, arguably, tedious tasks that go into developing policies and practices. But our work around SEL and how restorative justice sort of being a component of our broader framework for social and emotional learning is something that we have really enjoyed collaborating on as a team.

Our -- I'd say there's a couple of key things that when we think about how restorative justice practices are going to be working at THRIVE that have been informed and will continue to be informed by a training organization in Colorado, Restorative Justice Education.

A lot of our -- a handful of our local public schools have also worked with this organization, and we're excited as we move forward to be able to collaborate with them on what's working and what's not.

Two big things that we have built into the structure of our school day to help make sure we're talk to any more specifics if I can.

2 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: No. That was very 3 helpful. Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: All right. I do have Commissioners who want to speak for their second time, so I want to go to anyone who hasn't spoken yet and see if anyone would like to speak before I go back around.

(No response.)

10 THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Quick question.

Has there been any contact or comment that you know of from Rio Grande school?

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Regarding our --COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Just regarding that you're -- to me, you're owning a totally natural competitor for them, even more for the district.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Not specifically. Quite honestly, we haven't had much contact with any of the private schools outside of Santa Fe School for the Arts and Sciences.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm sure that there are families that would love to save \$20,000 a year and attend a public school that has the model

107

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

109

not just talking about how we do restorative justice, but making the time and the space for that to happen, is through our morning meeting, or morning advisory, and our afternoon meeting and afternoon advisory. Advisory is sort of the middle school version of the primary school sort of morning meeting, where we sort of touch base.

And it's through those two structures that we really believe we're going to have the time and space to proactively address concerns that sometimes take away from students' ability to feel successful, happy, and safe at school.

And then, most importantly, is that afternoon meeting. You know, we've reviewed a lot of different schedules in thinking about how to make our school day work. And it's typically a mad rush to buses or the pickup line. And we want to end our day in a way that is calm and creates space for students to really be able to talk about what they did well, where they need help, and to honestly clear the air if something has occurred so that they're not leaving school in a way that will create anxiety for returning to school the next day.

So those are two structures that, you know, I'm excited to sort of highlight and happy to you're speaking of.

2 The -- curiously -- and probably, 3 Ms. Voigt, you'd know more about this than I 4 would -- do people -- schools that generally have 5 restorative justice programs, do they also, as a 6 precursor to that, have conflict resolution 7 programs?

> MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Would you like me to talk -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I mean, I don't -yeah.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: So that -- the sort of concept of conflict resolution is, it's -- from our experience and how we're structuring, it's definitely built into the restorative justice training and how that gets sort of built into the fabric of what adults do, so that it is a natural sort of transition to what -- how students can develop tools to -- to be supportive of one another and be able to work through conflicts.

It's also a really big component of our -more of our formalized, evidence-based social and emotional learning program, so that students are being able to identify emotions and work through the conflict that may have arisen because of the -- the

emotions and the behaviors associated with the emotions.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Cool. Thank you.

And addressing what Ms. Voigt has said about not being a school like this in Santa Fe, I mean, there isn't. If there was, I wouldn't be as supportive, because if the District's already doing something -- but, you know, when you talk to the District, as much as I love the District -- my heart's with -- a lot of ways with Santa Fe Public Schools -- you're not offering the PED, the pub--- you know, you're not offering all of these things that this school is offering kids, not just the Santa Fe Public Schools, but to New Mexico School Boards Association and all of the districts.

Get creative, get innovative, you know, and you're going to keep more kids. That's the argument: "You're taking our kids."

They're not your kids, okay? They're all of our children. And if you recognize the competition within public education, you would start creating things to draw kids to your schools in district. So I'm super happy to have you as part -- none of this is done yet. But I hope we're able to have you, for me, as part of -- of Northern

positioned on day one with teachers to execute high quality development, and then day one with students, to execute a really phenomenal first day of school.

And it all does really go back to the money. And the CSP grant is a wonderful opportunity for us to be able to utilize funds to cover start-up costs that really allow us to focus on your kids and not whether or not we're going to have buses or lunch at the school.

And I'd say, like, my biggest concern is that -- to access the CSP grant, you have to have money in the bank to be able to do the request for reimbursements. So some of this is just cash flow in the beginning of the year. Even, you know, if there is a way -- and I don't know if this is the Legislature, or if the PEC has any ability to say, like, "Hey, you've got a very small grant if you're awarded a charter, so that you can actually start to access some of those grant funds," it would make the whole process of just tapping into the CSP grant more -- because right now, it's very, very slow going, which just gets in the way of us getting the materials we need and the training and development lined up for teachers that we know is super critical to our mission.

New Mexico and schools.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Commissioner Carrillo.

THE CHAIR: All right. Well, thank you for being here. I know it made me happy to hear, when you're like -- when you are, like, engaging with the families; right? You wrote this plan, and it is so conceptual. You have all these words about what you're going to do. And then you actually get to go see these students and get your first acceptance.

Now, it's real. I'm jealous of that feeling, like, the amount of serotonin that must be giving to you all; right? So it's really super exciting, and it -- the only -- I did have one question.

What -- is there anything -- or do you see -- what is your biggest challenge moving towards opening? What do you see as maybe something that -- is there anything that you're worried about overcoming before opening?

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Would you like to start?

Okay. Some of this is just a reflection on how the -- the key things that go into the planning year and how we make sure we are truly But outside of that, we feel pretty good.

MS. JULIE LUCERO: Now, if I could, I would say my biggest fear or challenge that I, of course, don't want to get us in a place, because we are, and we commit to this at our weekly meetings, about how we are student-focused. And with all the demands and the requirements of compliance, sometimes you tend to redirect your attention to those things.

And I want to make sure that we never waver from the fact that we are here to meet the needs of our students and to serve them. And I think that's just a reminder as a team that we always want to keep that in mind.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. There's a lot of items that have to -- that go into a school -- right? -- like, a lot of little things that make up -- I mean, that no one else would even know, like, that have to be followed. So I can understand that being overwhelming to get that started and being, like, "Okay, this..." -- and then there's kids, like, you know. So it's just to keep it on the forefront of your mind.

All right. So thank you. Appreciate you all for coming, and look forward to next time we see

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Commissioners.

THE CHAIR: With that, we'll go ahead and move over to Mr. Franco, Ms. Platis. We'll have you just do the same thing.

Give us an update, and, once again, we'll allow for Commissioner comments and questions after your update.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Awesome. Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I think Commissioner Burt, you hit it right on the head. Like, it is such a rewarding process that we're going through, and we meet a challenge every day. And that's something that we love.

This process has made us think so much. And I think -- Michele and I spoke. We were at the Spring Budget Workshop yesterday. We were speaking about, like, if you would have asked us a year ago, we would not have been as focused as we are today. Like, I feel like our vision is so big, and through this process we've been able to narrow down and, like, "This is the true plan, this is what we're going to do." And it's been really rewarding.

an update, you know, you're getting our IY checklist of where we're at. We've been plugging away on that. And that's been -- it's really been a good tool for us to kind of focus and know where we need to focus our attention, because it can be very easy for us to fly all over the place and figure out, you know, what we need to do next.

But it's kind of grounded us and just keep -- you know, checking off that list of policies and procedures, working on curriculum, just so that we have, you know, a strong program and building when our students walk in.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. So we will go to Commissioner comments and questions.

We'll start with Commissioner Voigt, and then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Hello. Good to see you, Jordan. Hi, Michele.

I have a couple of questions about, first, I just want to say congratulations on your facility. That is huge. Where -- where are you going to be

22 located at? 23 MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you, 24 Madam Chair, Commissioner Voigt.

We will be located at 1401 Old Coors which

115

1 is the old Academia de Esperanza building.

> COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Super. That was on your punch list, wasn't it, a while back? So that came through. That's awesome.

> > MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: How many applicants do you have for your lottery tomorrow?

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: We have 120 applicants for tomorrow's lottery. And because of this week, we get so many more every day. It's blowing up. It's really crazy to us. But it's very exciting.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's super. And that's for how many spots?

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: We projected 176. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Great. So in

looking at this Implementation Year Checklist and just going through all the different things, it's so exciting and it's so reminiscent for me in just getting all those things done and being able to check those things off and just know that it's not 35 pages long, but it's -- but you're getting it done.

And kudos to you. I'm excited for you. I hope you have a ribbon cutting ceremony.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: We do. We're planning

facility. We did have a board meeting that they approved the facility. We're moving forward with that. There's paint going on the walls that is

matching our colors, that is the craziest thing to

think about, that we have a school that matches our colors.

So updates for you all. We do have a

We have our first lottery pool tomorrow at 12:00, and that's just very, very exciting. Michele and I got -- were honored to be on the news this past week and got a lot of interest because of that. And we're just so blessed and so excited for this process. And there's just -- I wish I had five hours with you all because then I could truly give you the updates of what we're doing.

But that's just, like, the 30,000-foot update of what's going on in the RioGAFA world. But it's a blessing every day. So we're just excited to be here and excited to continue this journey.

THE CHAIR: I don't know if Ms. Platis wants to speak or if you want me to go to --

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: Sure. I'll -- just to be -- just echoing what Jordan said. We've definitely learned a lot. I think there is a much steeper learning curve than we anticipated, but it's been a great challenge for us. And we -- I mean, as

different things.

a big one. It'll be in June. We actually got early occupancy written in the lease -- thank you, shout-out to Dan Hill. He's been incredible working with the lease.

So we'll be planning a big event, and you are all obviously going to be personally invited. So once we release that date, it'll be in June.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Cool. Great. Good work. Congratulations.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you.
MS. MICHELE PLATIS: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner
Carrillo, then Commissioner Armijo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you very much. It was very nice meeting with you both last week and very glad that you're here with us this morning.

This is the exciting stuff about being on the Commission, isn't it? I'm looking right at Chair Voigt trying to -- this is exciting stuff being on the Commission when people are opening new exciting schools and everything else.

Sometimes there's so much that we do --¡Hijole -- with contracts and the work sessions where it's just procedural and language and And I know it's a different model than NMSA -- right? -- as opposed to, you know, their having two hours after school and stuff like that.

But these kids, man, they're just so inspiring. And even though there were a few that made little mistakes here and there, it doesn't matter.

The -- the -- the -- one of them, the way he had mastered the flute, I mean mastered the flute, was just mind-boggling to everyone.

But all of them, in their excitement about whatever -- their art and the instruments they were playing. And I know that that's just something that's going to be happening at your school and I can't wait to be able to attend recitals and openings and plays and all the different things that you have going on.

So I'm just echoing what Vice Chair Voigt said. Excited for you all. I'm excited for the kids.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Hello, Jordan and Michele. It's so

legislators, it starts to grate on one's nerves.

But to know what you guys are doing for these kids is great.

So I'm not as familiar with Albuquerque as probably everyone else in this room. Where is your school? Like, what's it near? So, like -- I know where Cibola is, like, on the edge of Rio Rancho. Where is it?

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Right. Madam Chair and Commissioner Carrillo, we are actually neighbors to Commissioner Armijo's school, Mark Armijo. We're right down the street from there. So in that area, if you know where that one is. It's near the intersection of Coors and Central.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That helps me. Coors and Central helps me. Honestly, I love it up here. I don't go down La Bajada unless I have to. It just is what it is. And I know Las Cruces, Pattie, is really beautiful, and I don't really get down there often at all.

So thank you for that. And I just -- I'm very excited for what you're doing, and I'll tell you, part of the reason why is -- so last night, I had the pleasure of going to NMSA for a recital. It was the juniors, and it was strings and horns and

great to see you guys again. I'm so excited.

So I have a few things. Well, just, first of all, I'm just so happy.

I want to ask you a question about the -the -- your lottery, and if you've had a chance to,
like, look over and see where some of the applicants
have been from, what areas of town.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Okay. Madam Chair, Commissioner Armijo, where we are finding a lot of our applicants from -- and, Commissioner Burt, you were so right -- is a lot of the Rio Rancho area. And so we're finding a lot of that.

And so we've actually focused and shifted our attention to those communities surrounding the school. And so we have started doing community events near the school at the West Mesa Community Center, which I'm sure you're familiar with, Commissioner Armijo.

And we did a mailing surrounding the school. So we want to focus on primarily that location. That's the demographic we want to serve.

But, Commissioner Burt, it reminds me of a conversation we had of you were talking about the power of parents. When they want to access a school, they're going to find a way to do that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I made a promise to you all that we would get in there in these communities and make sure that we provided access to these students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we're making good on that promise, because I am tracking that with every application. I'm looking to see where that ZIP Code is, where that building -- where that house is and figuring out how I can get more of the students on the Westside where we want to focus on to access our school.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All right. Westside and the South Valley, for sure, because you guys are right there entering into the South Valley area as well.

My other -- my other question that I wanted to ask was about -- you guys are, like, basically down the road from MAS, even closer to MAS than you are to the Mark Armijo Academy.

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I'm just wondering if there has been any tension or anything along those lines.

But you guys are starting with the younger grades; correct? And their school is actually younger ones, too. Yeah, I guess just wondering if out if we need anything. So we're about kids and, we are about being together in this and about doing what's right for kids. So we want to keep it that way always.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Great. And you already have been collaborative. You guys support the Mark Armijo Academy, and you've been there for our school as well, so I want to thank you for that.

And the last thing I guess I wanted to just ask about was, you know, being a performing arts sort of focused school and, you know, my question is always about social-emotional and restorative justice, if you have any of those components and how much is it going to fit into your curriculum.

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: Yeah. I can answer that question, Commissioner Armijo.

Absolutely. The arts naturally lend themselves to that social-emotional piece. We also will be incorporating a curriculum around social-emotional. It's called "Choose Love." We've used it in the past, and it's really a beautiful program. And it -- it collaborates well with the arts.

We also will be having an advisory time

123

there's been any -- I mean, not that you have to say if there's been anything weird. I'm just wondering, because they're very close to each other.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Great question. Madam Chair and Commissioner Armijo. Our board president actually still helps work at MAS in the capacity of training people. So we really have a great relationship with MAS. Michele and I both worked there. We have the utmost respect for JoAnn and the work that she does.

The school is incredible, and we credit a lot to what we have learned from what we learned underneath her supervision.

So she was aware, and is aware, that we are taking that building. And it's -- it's a relationship where she understands -- and she's always been this way -- that it is about the students and it's about the kids, and we feel the same way.

So there is no tension. We have nothing but respect for that building. We will be amicable neighbors to them and collaborate in ways that we can, and that's our hope for Mark Armijo as well. We've met with Monica multiple times, and she's welcomed us to the neighborhood and told us to reach and a morning meeting for your littles, which will be using that curriculum during that time. But we'll integrate visual and performing arts with it.

And we are very strong believers in restorative justice as well. We see that that is a very important tool to teaching our kids how to react to situations, being proactive instead of reactive. And we are committed to really training our teachers in that.

We're committed to building relationship with our students. We think that is the most important way to help with behaviors. And so that is a training and an encouragement we will be working with our teachers around to make sure that they are building those relationships so that students know that they are in a safe, trusted area. And we know that when that happens, those behaviors are often diminished -- or lessened.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Thank you so much. Appreciate you guys.

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: You, too.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions or comments?

I think you all should know that's a good sign; right? You know, the absence is also a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good -- a good thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But -- so I would -- I just have my one question as well. I know you all are full of the serotonin boost as well. So grateful for you all to start -- starting to get the fruit of all the work. You know, like, I know when you work in administration or even as a teacher, like, you -it's not until you, like, work with the students that it's, like, "Okay, this is why I do it. That's

Like, it's not all bad, because you get that gratification from the kids.

But my question will be what's your -what are you most concerned about before opening?

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Madam Chair, I'd like to respond to that first piece with a story I just want to share.

We had a community mentor at our first event. And there was this student that did not -and you could tell -- just did not fit in in the school he was in. And he was so excited about meeting us and doing this art project with us, and, like, he was so full of wonder and imagination.

He kept me talking to him for about 20 minutes about, like, the evolution of dinosaurs making our purchases, because we don't have a wealth of money in the bank where we can submit a reimbursement.

I think that was a harsh reality that we have had to learn was there's a process for getting this money, and we need to make sure that we meet the deadlines on our end.

But I think that's just been the biggest thing, and still becoming the biggest concern, is just, like, the money and making sure that we have everything that we need to successfully open these doors, because that kiddo that made our lizard, he's counting on it. And I cannot be the one to say, "We don't have the things we need for you."

I won't be. That's very motivating to me. So to answer your question, it goes back to the money.

MS. MICHELE PLATIS: And now I'll answer -- thank you, Madam Commissioner. And my Internet is kind of going wonky, so I'm sorry if I'm going in and out.

I just -- I want to build what Jordan was talking about are our events first. I have been working from home. We both have. And I forgot how much energy I get from being around kids.

127

and, like, his theory of what should happen to dinosaurs in the future. And he ended up creating our logo through these paint swatches that we provided, and he cut them out and created this lizard -- this -- our mascot.

And it just -- that filled me and Michele with so much joy to be able to connect to a student. And his mother was just, like, in tears with us and just saying, like, "This is the place he's meant to be, and this is the program he's meant to be at."

And it was so invigorating. Like, we left that meeting so -- like, just filled with joy and motivation to make this happen.

So I just wanted to share that with you and share that, yes, these serotonin boosts, they come, and it's so amazing. This process is so incredible for us. And just thought I'd share that with you.

Now on to the not-serotonin stuff, the challenges. I think the biggest challenge -- I want to echo what Sean said -- is just everything comes down to money. And that's unfortunate. But I think the challenge has been just the funding for this year and just kind of making that a streamlined process and making sure that we're strategic about

129

And when we started getting around kids and having these events, it just brought so much joy to my heart. I cannot wait to get back into a building to be around kids, which is what leads me to the challenges.

And I'm really echoing what Julie said. I told Jordan, "I will stay till the day I die." That is a bottom line about kids, and he will probably get sick of me saying it. And told him, "If I stop saying it, then it's time for me to go."

So it's really easy to get bogged down by all the different things that we have to do and are important to open a school. But I don't want to lose sight about opening doors for kids, because that is what we're doing.

And I'm getting emotional. It's about them. And we are doing this for them. It is our dream, because we love kids. And so we just want to open the doors of this amazing place so that we can give kids what they need and deserve here in New Mexico, because our kids deserve this.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And your -- the building that you're going into, that is going to be your -- as far as plans are now, that will be the permanent building? You're moving in and staying

133

130

3

5

6

7

9

19

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there? Or is that also a temporary building?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Madam Chair, so the plan is -- we dream big, you know. We dream big. We want to build our own space, and we want to build a space that is a true arts-integrated space.

So that is a short term solution to our dream. And so we've signed that lease. But we don't necessarily have the intention of staying there. There is a plot of land next to it where we could develop and build the space that we want to. But we want to kind of explore different areas on the Westside to build a really accessible space to everybody.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I mean -- and I'm -- I think, you know, one of the things I just was super supportive about this school is it being dedicated to staying on the Westside? Because the Westside just has such massive growth of homes and families and, just, does not have -- I mean, we know resources follow well after people need them.

And so I know the schools are just massively overcrowded all over the Westside. And so providing some relief, not for the Westside families in this option; right? Because there is an east-side performing arts school, not K to 12. I

1 THE CHAIR: All right. Wonderful. Well, 2

thank you so much for joining. Thanks to Missy,

Director Chavez, and the team for getting the

4 schools -- I know it's a lot of support as well that's being given. So thank you all for that.

Commissioner Carrillo, did you have --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Thank you.

8 Great to see you all.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

10 MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Great to see you all. 11 Great to see you.

12 THE CHAIR: All right. So that will move 13 us to -- I think -- I'm open, Commissioners. I 14 think, like, Items 8, 9, 10, like, all the rest are 15 kind of all along the same lines. So I'm wondering 16 if you all want to stop right now, take a quick

17 lunch break, come back at noon, and go through all 18 the rest of this, instead of starting something and

then cutting it off.

20 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You know me and 21 lunch.

22 THE CHAIR: Okay. We'll go ahead and 23 do -- let's plan until noon. We'll go ahead and 24 take a break. Thank you.

(A recess taken at 11:33 a.m., and

131

know you are the first K to 12. I keep saying that, first K to 12.

But there is another option on the other side. And a lot of Westside families choose to not go there. They don't even believe that's an option for them, because it's so far away, and because it's difficult to drive their kids there.

So I'm very grateful for the dedication to you committing to the Westside and serving as many families as possible over there.

MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you. And to your point, Madam Chair, after the news of our school aired this week, we got so many parents that had already accepted spots at another school across town that they couldn't access. And, to your point, that was, like, their saving grace. We offered the grade that they wanted, and we were able to serve them, because they had to turn that seat down.

So we're, again, going to make good on that promise to stay on the Westside, and these kids deserve it.

THE CHAIR: Great.

Any other questions or comments from

Commissioners?

(No response.)

1 reconvened at 12:01 p.m., as follows:)

> THE CHAIR: All right. We're going to go ahead and get started again.

I do want to make sure we have a quorum. So if any Commissioners that are online, if you could just -- if you could turn on your camera for a second or put your hand up, because we do need those folks online in order to have a quorum.

If you aren't able to turn on your camera and you just want to say that you're here, that's also fine. But we just need some recognition of folks online, besides Commissioner Davis. Thank you for being there. We know you're there.

All right. Commissioner Voigt is stepping back in. So we will have a quorum of six right now.

Okay. So we're going to go ahead and get started now.

All right. So that'll take us to Item -we're on Item No. 8, Discussion and Possible Action on Timeline and Process to Review Draft Plans for Meeting Conditions for Identified Renewing Schools.

And then you can see the four schools that do have conditions for this renewal cycle.

So, Ms. Barnes, if you can lead us off on this?

	134		136
1	MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you.	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.
2	Commissioners, I do have a draft motion	2	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
3	document in in Folder No. 4 for this next group	3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
4	of the the next group of discussions.	4	votes yes.
5	So yesterday, we had a good conversation	5	Commissioner Burt.
6	about the timeline. The conversation was that	6	THE CHAIR: Yes.
7	the there's four schools that have conditions,	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
8	McCurdy, Walatowa, Alma, and Pecos, and that the PEC	8	Carrillo.
9	negotiating teams would continue throughout the	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
10	month, that the schools would provide a draft	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
11	assurance document no later than May 2nd.	11	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
12	CSD and the negotiating team will kind of	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
13	keep stay on there, will provide comments no	13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
14	later than May 12th so that the document can be	14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
15	posted the Friday before the meeting on May 13th.	15	Manis.
16	And that is the timeline. So it's the	16	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. Thank you.
17	condition timeline is drafted in that Agenda No. 8.	17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: You're welcome.
18	There's not another document for it. But that	18	Thank you. That motion carries unanimously.
19	language is there. Unless there's further	19	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That moves us to
20	discussion, I'm happy to	20	Item No. 9, Discussion and Possible Action on
21	THE CHAIR: All right. So any discussion	21	Technical Changes to Performance Framework for
22	from or, Director Chavez, also. If you have any	22	Schools Undergoing Contract Negotiations in 2022.
23	comment, you're more than welcome at this time.	23	So we started talking about this
24	Any further discussion or comments on Item	24	yesterday, and I think there's still a couple of
25	No. 8?	25	things that need to be looked at that there may be a
	125		127
	135		137
1	Okay. So I will move that the PEC	1	couple of options, even after yesterday.
2	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a	2	couple of options, even after yesterday. So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us
2 3	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School,	2 3	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?
2 3 4	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter	2 3 4	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's
2 3 4 5	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work	2 3 4 5	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the
2 3 4 5 6	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document	2 3 4 5 6	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.	2 3 4 5 6 7	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition. The schools are directed to provide a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion?  (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay. Let's go with Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions? I don't know if they want to make any comment on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions? I don't know if they want to make any comment on not the timeline, but the conditions itself. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay. Let's go with Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Could you come back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions? I don't know if they want to make any comment on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay. Let's go with Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Could you come back to me, please? I'm still thinking over my vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions? I don't know if they want to make any comment on not the timeline, but the conditions itself. But maybe we'll let them make comments during the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Okay. So I will move that the PEC negotiation teams for each of the schools with a condition of renewal, McCurdy Charter School, Walatowa High Charter School, Alma d'Arte Charter School, and Pecos Connections/Cyber Academy work with these schools to develop an assurance document showing their compliance with the condition.  The schools are directed to provide a draft assurance document no later than May 2nd. CSD and the negotiation team will provide comment to the negotiating team no later than May 12th. And the document is to be added to the main meeting documents no later than May 13th.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.  THE CHAIR: Any discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, Commissioner Armijo, if you could take vote?  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Okay. Let's go with Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Could you come back to me, please? I'm still thinking over my vote.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	couple of options, even after yesterday.  So, Ms. Barnes, do you want to walk us through what those pieces that are missing?  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. If there's options, I think I was able to finalize the document.  Also, Chair, there might be some comment from counsel on some of these documents. So I don't know if Patty Matthews, Sue Fox, or Dan Hill are wanting to make any comments on this.  Yes.  (Conversation off mic conducted.)  MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. Do you want me to just go over the document?  So the document is under Item No. 9 under the Performance Framework.  I am I am just before we move on, I just want to make sure none of that none of the counsel I don't know maybe on the conditions? I don't know if they want to make any comment on not the timeline, but the conditions itself. But maybe we'll let them make comments during the section about that.

And that -- there's not too many of those changes. On Page 5, Indicator 1 -- remember there's

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two indicators on the New Mexico System of School Support and Accountability.

138

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Excuse me, Madam Chair. Shall we share for the people that may not have the document in front of them?

THE CHAIR: Yeah, I think that would be awesome if we could.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Missy, you've done a great job all day yesterday and today.

(Off-mic discussion conducted.)

MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you, Missy. It's on Page 4, Indicator 1.

So yesterday, there was a box with yellow highlight that I've moved down through the documents.

What we've done on Indicator 1 is eliminated the point system of -- that might end up being different to the State scoring system. And I've just identified how points are going to be assigned in that top box that shows pink up there, but on mine, it shows blue, but I've been having arguments with Google Documents all day.

So this -- so if the State scoring -- it's

second-to-last line, "if required."

That would allow you to take the action to ask for a Corrective Action Plan, but not make it read as if it's always required.

So that, I think we can follow your typical process where CSD would want to know if something is -- you know, that she can bring -- that the Director can bring it to you if she feels it's required.

Then just below that, there are two paragraphs. The one that says the word, "NOTE." And, actually, I did want to check this, particularly -- and maybe Commissioner Robbins. The schools listed in the lowest 40 percent of school rankings, I had a question as to whether that was the correct number or not.

And then we put in, "that are not identified or eligible to be identified as a SAM school designation shall prepare an improvement plan to improve any deficiencies," and then identified the organization allocator that Director Chavez highlighted for us yesterday.

I will tell you that you can't find anything about SAM school designations online anywhere. It's not on the PED website. I -- you

139 141

just going to be scored the way the State scores it, because these are the State indicators the way that they do it. Therefore, if they change something, we don't have to change anything, because we'll follow that.

On Indicator 2, if you go down the same thing, same type of language was added. It's actually the second sentence.

So, again, there is no scoring except for saying that the points will be assigned using the State scoring allocation.

There's also a statewide student number here for -- as compared to all State -- statewide, all students statewide. We'll do -- we'll just follow what the State has provided for this indicator.

The next change is on the Organizational Framework. And that is the -- the blue, yellow, and red. I can't quite tell -- Page 10. Thank you. I know that Chair Burt does not argue with Google Docs nearly as much as I do.

So we have put in, on Working to Meet, the next-to-bottom line, the phrase "if required." And we've repeated that same phrase after Corrective

Action Plan and Does Not Meet the standards at the

1 just can't find it.

2 So I -- you can't find it anymore. I 3 don't know. Because I thought maybe I was -- had 4 the acronym wrong or something. But I couldn't find 5

> But I think it's clear what you all are intending. But it's --

THE CHAIR: I think -- it seems weird that it's there under the Organizational Performance Framework.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Well -- because it's going to be a requirement under 1.f. So if you go down now, we have to require it somewhere. And then the Organizational Framework doesn't require anything; it assesses things. So if there's a better place --

THE CHAIR: I feel like it should just go under the Academic Performance Framework. So -- on Page 4, I feel like it should have --

20 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Will you remind me 21 what "SAM" stands for again?

22 THE CHAIR: Supplemental Accountability 23 Measure. 24

MS. JULIA BARNES: So you would want to put it before Indicator 1?

	142		144
1	THE CHAIR: Because it's really referring	1	Good lord. what is flipping around?
2	to that piece. And that's where the bottom	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, yeah. Now I'm
3	40 percent comes from is from Indicator 1.	3	all
4	MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. I was struggling	4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So can I ask a
5	with where to put it. We need to put it	5	question on that statement before we move on to
6	somewhere the schools are going to have to be	6	something else?
7	told that they need to do it.	7	THE CHAIR: Please. Commissioner Gipson,
8	And then Indicator 1.f. is in the	8	go ahead.
9	Organizational Framework. So that's I'm happy to	9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. I agree it
10	move that. Let me move that right now then.	10	shouldn't be in that spot. That's a really wonky
11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, while we're	11	spot. But the the statement that says, "or
12	on Page 10 whoops uh-oh. What did I just do	12	eligible to be identified," they aren't identifying
13	to my screen here? Oh, darn it.	13	SAM schools any longer. So I think that piece we
14	I don't recall us agreeing on 40 percent	14	have about five schools that are designated or
15	as the number. I recall	15	had been designated as SAM schools. We don't have a
16	THE CHAIR: We did yesterday.	16	lot.
17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Agreeing on 40?	17	But I think the statement to say "or
18	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's a 60/40.	18	eligible to be identified" should be taken out,
19	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Was I asleep at my	19	because they're not doing it any longer. So it
20	own wheel?	20	would be hard to say how does a school say, "I'm
21	THE CHAIR: You said "yes," too. We	21	eligible to be a SAM school"? Because I'm, like,
22	literally had a consensus yesterday.	22	this school? Or you know, there is no
23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Literally.	23	criteria there never really was a criteria for
24	THE CHAIR: Literally.	24	eligibility. But right now, there isn't at all,
25	MS. JULIA BARNES: That's why I was	25	because they've stopped doing that designation.
	143		145
1		1	
1 2	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came	1 2	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.
	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school	2	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you,
2	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?		THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.
2 3	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the	2 3	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a
2 3 4	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.	2 3 4	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the
2 3 4 5	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our
2 3 4 5 6	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the
2 3 4 5 6 7	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.  THE CHAIR: No, the wording is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're going to get a working definition, how do we how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.  THE CHAIR: No, the wording is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're going to get a working definition, how do we how do we work with this right now with that statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.  THE CHAIR: No, the wording is correct. That actually is exactly right. I just think it should be in a different spot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools. People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're going to get a working definition, how do we how do we work with this right now with that statement there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.  THE CHAIR: No, the wording is correct. That actually is exactly right. I just think it should be in a different spot.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I just moved it. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're going to get a working definition, how do we how do we work with this right now with that statement there?  Because we don't know when that work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	referencing Commissioner Robbins, because he came back with the designation about the SAM school designation and the lowest 40 percent; right?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the conversation was actually the 50/50.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And Commissioner Voigt said she could go with a 60/40. And I said I could go with a 60/40, provided we excluded SAM-designated or SAM-eligible schools.  MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. So there's no I mean, there's 60 because there's 40. But 60 is not referenced anywhere now.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's the lower 40. That's what we basically agreed to.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I go back to my okay.  THE CHAIR: No, the wording is correct. That actually is exactly right. I just think it should be in a different spot.  MS. JULIA BARNES: I just moved it. I agree with you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt.  Commissioner Gipson, I restarted a conversation with folks who are in the Accountability office and working on revising our plan with the federal government and have raised the question about SAM schools.  People know that that is something that New Mexico needs to do. I don't know what the timeline for having an approved revised plan with the Feds. So I would think that there would be a working definition at the PED level that we could rely on. And so I think that that's why that's why that wording would be okay.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But if we don't have it, and we don't have a timeline for when we're going to get a working definition, how do we how do we work with this right now with that statement there?  Because we don't know when that work honestly, I appreciate the conversation. But

1.10

there is a working definition.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So if we think -- if we plan backwards, when would this document be in effect? When is the first time?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Madam Chair, if I may?

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah, Commissioner Gipson, I really appreciate that. I understand what you're saying about that, because it's neither, at this time, here nor there.

However, what Corina was saying, that PED is now opening up the conversation around SAMs, every charter school knows what a SAMs is. And I think we are going to be looking at incorporating language around SAMs -- and correct me if I'm wrong, project team -- in our Performance Framework possibility for metrics around SAM schools.

So I think it's better to have it here, and it will become relevant, rather than not have it and then push it in after SAMs becomes reality.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I appreciate that. My concern is two things:

We've had -- we've had multiple charter schools who are designated as SAM schools come

know, if -- I wonder if we could change the language -- in order to accomplish what we want -- basically, what we're trying to do is if you were ever designated as a SAM school; right?

If you were a SAM school before, we're trying to make it to where they're not, then, a part of this lower 40 percent with this improvement plan -- right? -- that they're excluded from that. And that's the point of it.

So I wonder if we know -- I mean -- and we can maybe word it in here to where schools previously identified as SAMs are excluded from this. And that way, those five schools or so that are in our portfolio that have been identified previously, that if they do come in the 40 percent, then they would be excluded.

And I think that's the goal of what we were trying to do anyway. And I think we've done -- since SAM schools were ever identified, I don't think we've added any to our portfolio that would qualify as a SAM school.

Maybe I'm wrong about that. Maybe Commissioner Gipson can correct. But since they were ever identified, there haven't been any new schools added to the PEC portfolio that would likely

before us and say, "I don't even know how I got the designation, and we don't know what a SAM school really is."

So there is not a good, right now, working definition of that. And if there is a new working definition, there could be any number of schools that are no longer those SAM schools.

So -- and I didn't think -- and, for me, from my perspective for the project team, I've never had an understanding that we're specifically looking at SAM schools and not all -- for want of a better word, alternative types of schools, but not specifically SAM schools. Because, once again, we only have about five.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. Sorry. Just real quick.

Yeah, it hasn't come to the project team agenda yet, because we've taken about 38 steps backwards now that we've expanded our project team, not to -- and not because of any reason; it's just the work that needs to be done. So -- but it is on the horizon.

THE CHAIR: I -- as I'm listening and thinking about what may be a solution to that would be, because I do understand the concern, is, you

fall under that designation as well.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. Because
 we've had schools that applied. But they stopped - they stopped doing the designation; so...

THE CHAIR: I wonder if we can use the historic designation to exclude them from this.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.

THE CHAIR: And then be able to move forward.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

11 MS. JULIA BARNES: How about that are not 12 identified or --

THE CHAIR: No, not --

MS. JULIA BARNES: The "not" is getting me twisted up now.

THE CHAIR: "Schools listed in the lowest 40 percent of school ranking by the PED that have..." --

19 Yeah, there's some weird language in

there.

21 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: What page are you 22 on?

THE CHAIR: We're on -- it kind of got a little wonky. There's some -- I think something got moved around. But it's Page 4.

150 1 1 out of the document and come back. When mine was MS. JULIA BARNES: No, it was later. I've 2 2. moved it. wonky, that's what I did. THE CHAIR: So formatting can be fixed 3 THE CHAIR: Yeah. It's on Page 4 now, and 3 4 4 it's the last paragraph of Page 4. It says, "NOTE." later. But it's on Page 4. It's also up on the 5 5 And that's the wordsmithing on there. Because I screen. 6 6 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It's on the agree with Commissioner Robbins' concern from 7 7 yesterday, that these schools -- and especially -screen. 8 8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins, do Director Chavez brought this up. If they're going 9 9 to historically keep being in the bottom 40 percent, you -- I can't tell. I can't read your official 10 10 then to not include them as part of this, because expressions as to how you feel about if this follows 11 they're serving, you know, a special population. 11 the spirit of what you were intending. 12 12 Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I think it follows 13 13 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know this is the spirit. I'm just wondering if what's in the 14 14 kind of going backward. But I think perhaps, if parentheses, "either identified or identified in the 15 15 they want, for KT -- for Commissioners Manis and past as a SAM school," I'm wondering if it maybe 16 16 Davis, for us to go over why we have it at 40 should read, "or identified using a previous 17 17 instead of the 50? definition for a SAM school," because that covers 18 Well, I know. But it's -- okay. I'm 18 current or past definitions. And so if the 19 19 joking -- I'm warning if there's any -- if anybody definition changes --20 20 that wasn't here yesterday has a question about it, THE CHAIR: Right. Then they're still 21 21 now's the time to ask. That's all I got. I covered. 22 22 totally -- I wish I -- I know. I just totally see MS. JULIA BARNES: Was it always 23 23 your head shaking. It's all good. identified by PED? 24 24 THE CHAIR: Yes. THE CHAIR: Okay. So, actually, I do --25 25 I'm sure everyone can see this on your document. MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. 151 153 1 1 Like, Julia is updating it in realtime. So I think COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: That would be good. 2 this is going to be -- Commissioner Robbins, I'm 2 THE CHAIR: I think so, too. That makes 3 definitely interested if you think this kind of 3 sense. Because, once again, we're trying to make 4 4 follows the, you know, spirit behind what you were this where if things change down the road, this 5 5 suggesting yesterday. stays in effect and --6 So, "Schools listed in the lowest 6 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And, you know, just 7 7 40 percent of school ranking by the PED that are not again to summarize our conversation from yesterday, 8 8 a SAM school, either identified or identified in the the intent is to not require those -- we'll use the term "SAM schools" -- to do the additional plan 9 past as a SAM school, shall prepare an improvement 9 10 10 plan to improve any deficiencies." development that other schools in the lower 11 11 And then I think, once again, this is also 40 percent would be required to do. 12 12 a topic that the project team needs to explore THE CHAIR: Right. 13 13 MS. JULIA BARNES: So what I'd like to do further and deeper as we move into the revised 14 14 is it -- someone highlighted it in yellow. That's Performance Framework and out of this placeholder 15 fine with me. And same thing. This is the language Performance Framework. 15 16 Director Chavez. 16 here on Indicator 2. 17 17 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm not sure why, And then the last thing to point out, 18 but I am not seeing where the changes are being made 18 which -- and then I'd like to see if counsel for the 19 19 in the document. I'm on the page that is -- by schools wants to speak. 20 20 the -- the indicator; right? It's wonky again, but it's 1.f., if I --21 21 THE CHAIR: It's Appendix A, and then so 1.f. is on Page 13. This is how it would be 22 Academic Performance Framework on Page 4. 22 assessed. It would be an Organizational Framework 23 23 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Near the top of item that the CSD checked. So I just added -- and I need to change 24 Page 4. 24

MS. JULIA BARNES: Director Chavez, get

25

25

this language. "Schools in the bottom 40 percent of

the State ranking..." -- I'll change that as a SAM school by PED. So I'll use the same language as up top. So it's just showing how it's going to be assessed. It's not going to be a new Academic Framework issue. It's an Organizational Framework.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You just started shaking your head now.

Okay. So my recollection of the conversation yesterday is that it was lowered to 40 because of the criteria of SAM schools, making sure that those schools that really had, we'll say, exceptional needs in one way or another were not held to a standard that was unreasonable.

And -- because there are -- I know that I mentioned, you know, there's the Free and Reduced Lunch and things, but there's also schools that we add that specialize in different, you know, alternate abilities or disabilities and stuff like that. My understanding was that's why we lowered it to the 40.

I'm not comfortable with the 40 at all.

But that was -- you know, because I think that's so
New Mexico to put the bar that low, okay?

And I know there's people rolling their

in that. And the state bar at 25 percent is to be laughably bad.

3 THE CHAIR: That's the federal --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It's laughably bad, and we all wonder in this country why we are where we are.

So I'm not going to hold anything up. But I still believe that it should be at 50, making sure the criteria relative to some schools is taken into consideration.

11 THE CHAIR: I'm glad you can live with it.
12 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Touché.
13 THE CHAIR: All right. Is that the
14 changes --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Those were the changes. So I didn't hear yesterday any options, Option A or Option B. I heard that you would finalize the document. I would like to, if you are all right with that, see if counsel wants to --

THE CHAIR: Sure. And I just want to make sure this Organizational Framework -- this one is not marked up. It's because it included all the recommendations from Director Chavez in the original.

Okay. Director Chavez.

zinσ

eyes, anybody that's participating, in my saying that

But it's -- I think it should -- I'm sorry. If we could say that -- we could say something like, "It could be 50 percent, and then maybe 40 for those schools that meet SAM criteria," something to acknowledge that we realize the challenges that certain schools and populations face, but not letting those schools that are just perhaps not very good schools skate on their coattails.

THE CHAIR: So I think right now, the State requires the bottom 25 percent to do this improvement plan. So the PEC, what we're doing is saying 25 percent is not enough, and, actually, we want -- if any of the State charters fall in the bottom 40 percent, you need to do this additional academic improvement.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Understand. I think this is also a state that didn't require people to be on their Zoom cameras all during the pandemic for kids; right? And this is also the same state that didn't have any end-of-year exams requirements.

So I'm sorry. I don't hold a lot of stock

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. So there were several changes made to this document since I last touched it. And we've done a thorough review of that. And so I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to walk through, because I think that it needs to be cleaned up.

And I don't know about your version, but I can't even read the indicators.

So we'd like to offer to do a final cleanup. And, also, I'd like for Dr. Russell to walk through the various areas that are either questionable or that would like to make changes to.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Thank you, Madam Chair and Commissioners. So CSD would recommend moving the overview of the Performance Framework back to the beginning of the document where it was before. Somehow it got moved to the end after the detail and breakdown of the Performance Framework.

Okav.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Which -- we were trying to make the table readable now, but we can't -- I can't --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: There is an overview of the Performance Framework. It's a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one-page or one-and-a-half-page overview on Page 24 of the document. And it used to be up on Page 4 or 5, and it got moved. And I think that the overall summary should come before the detailed breakdown.

MS. JULIA BARNES: It starts with "Performance Framework"?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yes. It says "Performance Framework Overview."

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: This is to keep our documents consistent. We always provide an overview before getting into the details. So I don't know how it moved or how that was decided to move it down.

MS. JULIA BARNES: That's consistent with what I tried to do. So I tried to keep the least amount of information at the top and then a lot of the extra information at the bottom; so --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So maybe that move was unintentional. But we'll fix that.

Additionally, there's a possible -- I know

that the Indicator 2.a. through f. were pulled out and put into a separate financial framework. Our concern is that we have Indicator 1.a. in the Organizational Framework and Indicator 1.a. in the Financial Framework.

MS. JULIA BARNES: No, I don't think so. I'll talk to you about it.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Okay.

MS. JULIA BARNES: What we need to negotiate is already in the document, and then we can create a new document after the negotiation.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I can have it done by Monday.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. I don't have a problem with -- I'll talk to you about that.

So I think we're ready to see if counsel wants to my make any comments.

(Off-mic discussion.)

THE CHAIR: Ms. Matthews, if you would like to make a comment on this, you can raise your digital hand and Missy will move you. Otherwise, we're going to keep moving.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. Oh. There she goes. Okay. Thank you.

MS. PATTY MATTHEWS: Good afternoon, Commissioners and Ms. Barnes. Since I've been on the call since 9:00 this morning, I will say something, but it's going to be very brief.

I've just sat through listening to multiple changes on the Performance Framework which

159

When communicating with schools they might get mixed up between one and the other. So if the -- if the PEC has no objections, we could renumber the Financial Framework indicators as 5.a. through f.

> MS. JULIA BARNES: I agree with that. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Okay.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Because when I was trying to search for 1.f., I ended up in the wrong spot. So I agree with that.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Okay. All right. I believe that is -- oh. And then there's just formatting -- there's extra spaces and periods, and we'll clean all that up, if that's --

MS. JULIA BARNES: I said this yesterday. Brigette, these documents really need your touch, because, man, they've got a bunch of stuff in here that I was, like, I've never even seen it. So, seriously, I was, like -- I think those are all excellent points. We should allow them to do that.

We are using -- we were starting to use it on Monday. But I think that -- actually, I don't think that's a problem.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So it needs to be done over the weekend.

the Commissioners were in the process of renegotiating, restating, reorganizing.

CSD weighed in as to needing to reorganize the document.

So I have no idea whether or not what I've seen before and sent to my clients for their feedback or concerns will have changed. So I cannot agree or -- I don't have any comments, really, other than to say until I see the final version, can present it to my clients and get their feedback, we're going to reserve the right to make comments about the Performance Framework in the context of the contract negotiations.

As to the conditions, it sounds like a plan for us to move forward and get those plans in place for the Commission.

And we didn't get to the amendment, Ms. Barnes. I didn't know -- that's the next item; correct?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I just wanted to give you an opportunity on the conditions as well, 'cause kind of went too fast on that and --

MS. PATTY MATTHEWS: Well, that's all those are the only comments I have on the conditions, just because it sounds like we're moving

	162		164
1	forward to negotiate the conditions. And what that	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.
2	will look like, that makes sense to me.	2	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
3	But I don't know that there's any action	3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
4	item on the conditions just yet, other than that	4	votes yes.
5	we're going to move forward on it on a timeline to	5	Chair Burt.
6	do that; very compressed timeline, but we'll do what	6	THE CHAIR: Yes.
7	we can.	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Any other	8	Carrillo.
9	discussion or questions from Commissioners on this	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
10	Performance Framework?	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
11	Okay. Commissioner Voigt.	11	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Madam	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
13	Chair. If there's no further discussion, I'd like	13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
14	to make a motion.	14	THE CHAIR: And Commissioner Manis.
15	I move that the Public Education	15	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
16	Commission adopt the Performance Framework,	16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is approved
17	Document 9 in the Meeting Document folder, for use	17	unanimously.
18	in the 2022 contract negotiations.	18	THE CHAIR: All right. That'll move us to
19	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.	19	Item No. 10, which is Discussion and Possible Action
20	THE CHAIR: Any discussion or comment?	20	to approve Contract Forms for Schools Undergoing
21	So the one thing I'll say is I'm going to	21	Contract Negotiations, 2022, and there's a contract
22	vote to approve it. But knowing that formatting and	22	form and amendment form.
23	any of those minor changes that need to be made are	23	Ms. Barnes.
24	completely acceptable and encouraged, and that it's	24	MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. There are
25	just the content is what I'll be voting on.	25	two documents in the meeting minutes. One is a 2018
	163		165
1	Commissioner Davis.	1	
1 2	Commissioner Davis.	1 2	contract form, and the other one is the amendment
	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure		
2	Commissioner Davis.	2	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to
2 3	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a	2 3	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.
2 3 4	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.	2 3 4	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there
2 3 4 5	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy.	2 3 4 5	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.
2 3 4 5	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy.  It's Document 9. There's just some formatting	2 3 4 5 6	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy. THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got	2 3 4 5 6 7	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy. THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages. But the content has been done yesterday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy.  It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?  (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one school, would have one document, but that for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got — the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one school, would have one document, but that for the seven schools because it's actually a substantial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got — the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none, Commissioner Armijo, could you take a vote, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one school, would have one document, but that for the seven schools because it's actually a substantial amount of work to do it for all seven, and many may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none, Commissioner Armijo, could you take a vote, please? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one school, would have one document, but that for the seven schools because it's actually a substantial amount of work to do it for all seven, and many may enter into that enter into a new contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. I'm not sure about voting on something that we don't have a finished copy.  THE CHAIR: So we do have a finished copy. It's Document 9. There's just some formatting things that happened that got — the table got expanded. It's just the table needs to be pushed back in and the contents need to be moved to different pages.  But the content has been done yesterday and was uploaded last night for review and for vote today.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Well, I thought the conversation just now indicated that there were discrepancies on what happened yesterday and what's there today. Maybe I misunderstood.  THE CHAIR: All right. Any other discussion or comments? Questions?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none, Commissioner Armijo, could you take a vote, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contract form, and the other one is the amendment form. There are there are just a few things to discuss with you on the contract form.  The amendment form, there was no there was no change.  I would like to address a comment by Director Chavez with a possible suggestion.  She had indicated yesterday that some schools might not with an amendment might not execute a new contract in the fall, and that it would be hard to go look for those schools that all you know, we'd have a contract, an amendment, and maybe other amendments.  So rather than executing only a contract rather than an amendment for those seven schools, my proposal is to use this amendment form. And if any school doesn't enter into a new contract in September, that, at that point, we do one contract.  So CSD, moving forward for that one school, would have one document, but that for the seven schools because it's actually a substantial amount of work to do it for all seven, and many may

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

I have. The -- because I think that her point is

very valid, if that contract is going to exist for five years.

I just have two things. We went over a lot of the changes yesterday. And those are all accepted. There are -- I'm now on Document 10B, as in "boy." And there are just two points.

Again, I'll just identify that there's a comment by the Matthews Fox Law Firm about -- boy, I'm not doing -- under Section 5, that they are reserving any objection to that provision.

The -- I think it's Page 7 is the next one. Sorry. I'm just in such an argument with this.

Okay. I -- this was raised in a -- previously by Patty Matthews on discretionary waivers. And I actually agree with her suggestions, but I skipped over it yesterday.

Her point is that nondiscretionary waivers are nondiscretionary. You can do them anytime. You don't have to ask anybody about them.

So the way this reads is as if somehow the PEC is getting permission or something. But nobody gives permission. They're nondiscretionary. So her suggestion is to eliminate the words, "the nondiscretionary waivers the school is utilizing,"

those to identify all the nondiscretionaries, but if they don't do that, there may be a reason why they didn't. And if they didn't want to opt to have, you know, a nondiscretionary waiver, I think notification is necessary so CSD is not, you know, doing work that's unnecessary.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, this is a vexing problem since the beginning of contract negotiations. We tried to make it easy by just saying just say yes to all of them and we populate it in.

I just think it's easier -- they get them.

If they have to -- we didn't even realize that there wasn't some sort of application that has to go in to PED, which is outside of us. I think it's just easier, because they're not going to be evaluated on whether they're using or not using the nondiscretionary waivers.

I think it's just easier to pull it out at this point in time 'cause it's -- it's never -- it's never worked well. We've tried to make it easier, and it's not easier, because there's a -- there's steps in there that we, I think, are still somewhat unaware of with PED.

and just identify the discretionary waivers.

To me, a school can move in and out of the nondiscretionary waivers. You can use them; you cannot use them.

And I'm sorry that I skipped over that yesterday. Realized it when I was doing that. I suggest that those are good changes. I suggest that that's what happens anyway.

Can we make them now?

Yes. I just didn't want to delete them until --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, if I could, I thought we wanted it specified, because if they are utilizing a nondiscretionary waiver, okay, we want CSD to be aware of that when they're evaluating on school visits and things like that.

If they haven't said, "Oh, you know, we're utilizing this," or, "We're not utilizing it," they could be evaluating things as, like, "Oh, no, we have a waiver on that. We decided to do the waiver."

But I think if they want -- unless they've identified all the nondiscretionaries, which we've recommended in the past that they select all of

So it's just easier. The discretionary waivers are, in fact, something that if they were -- if they hadn't applied and been granted, that would be something they would be evaluated on if they were using it and they -- and they had not been granted that discretionary waiver. That would be a significant concern.

But the nondiscretionary waivers are not a concern of our -- I mean, the schools are just basically granted them.

THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. So we will keep track at the PED, because that's what we do. That's our work at the PED. So this takes PEC out of the mix, and I'm okay with eliminating it from the contract.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So I'll remove the -- any objection I had to removing it. If CSD tracks it, you know, for PED anyway, PEC doesn't need to have it tracked separately.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. Additionally, there was an amendment requirement that I just thought was going to be more administrative work for CSD that they didn't need. So -- okay. I'm eliminating that right now.

170 1 1 Alternatively -- and this is one of my And then there's just this last -- and I 2 2 problems -- is that things are in too many places. believe it's on Page 14. And then, Brigette, I'm 3 just going to need your help again. I keep deleting 3 So we're trying in the fall to pull everything out 4 4 this line of Zs. It's weird formatting. There's a into one spot so that you don't have to go find it 5 5 line of Zs and a line of Ms, and it just keeps in four spots. 6 6 coming back. I did break it. I swear. So we could eliminate and just say, "The 7 7 Then the last one, on Page 14, is the Commission's authorized representatives will provide 8 8 the school with a preliminary analysis and Director had just asked to highlight this: "30 days 9 prior for the procedures to follow a renewal 9 recommendation," and not say the time, because, 10 10 process." again, we're putting into numerous places something 11 11 that should be in one. I think it needs to be 30, but I just 12 12 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I would recommend highlighted it because it was a question from 13 13 removing the days. Thank you. yesterday. 14 14 MS. JULIA BARNES: Just put, "The THE CHAIR: So if we could pause on that. 15 And, Director Chavez, if you're kind of willing to 15 Commission's authorized..." 16 16 talk about that. So bottom of Page 14, Item B right Is everyone okay with that? They need to 17 there. And "30" is highlighted. 17 all be consistent, but I think deleting it keeps --18 18 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Correct. So this 19 19 That's -- that is it. is Section 5 under Charter Renewal Process. And it 20 20 is talking about the timeline which needs to align Again, seeing -- at this point, I would 21 21 with other documents we have that talk about the think if any lawyer wants to make a suggestion. 22 22 THE CHAIR: All right. So I'll invite timeline. 23 I believe that in 2.b. -- correct me if 23 Ms. Matthews. If you'd like to comment on -- or 24 24 I'm wrong, Ms. Barnes. But I believe you changed would you like to go over the template as well, and 25 this number; is that correct? 25 I can have her comment on both? The amendment? 171 173 1 1 MS. JULIA BARNES: It was "20." MS. JULIA BARNES: Sure. 2 2 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It was "20" and THE CHAIR: Ms. Matthews, as soon as we go 3 3 now it's saying "30." So, again, the time gets over the amendment as well, then I will provide an 4 4 compressed, and I think it could end up boxing us in opportunity for you to comment on both the contract 5 5 in ways that may be unintended. So I would think and the amendment together. So now we're looking at 6 that --6 10.a. Question? Sorry. Commissioner Robbins. 7 7 MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, 30 days prior to COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I have a quick 8 8 question. I believe -- because I can go into this the Commission's meeting --9 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. 9 document and add things -- I believe it's editable 10 10 MS. JULIA BARNES: -- my thinking is, back by all of us. So if someone has their finger on 11 11 to the court order, is that 30 days prior to the their keyboard, I think that's why things are 12 12 Commission meeting, the PEC is going to need to take getting added. 13 13 action to send a letter out. I -- I put a cursor, and I put in a 14 14 So I think you beat this deadline by a letter, and I took it out, and the letter appeared, 15 long shot, already, because it's your preliminary 15 and then I took it out. 16 one. So that's why I changed it from 20 to 30. 16 So we -- apparently, the way this is set 17 17 So I -- I think, based on the conversation up in Google Docs, we all have edit ability. That's 18 18 probably not a good way -- but we have to keep our yesterday, you're closer to, like, 45 days or even 19 more, because your preliminary comes out. Thank 19 fingers away from the keyboard, other than up and 20 20 you. Then they have the two weeks to consider it, down arrows. 21 21 and then you do your final. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you, Commissioner 22 22 Robbins. I don't know if I should be given editing But if we leave it at 20 days, I believe 23 23 it's going to be inconsistent with the court's -abilities, given my arguments with this. 24 24 PEC's not going to be able to provide notice to the The amendment was posted last Friday in 25 25 schools and give them 30 days to respond. the draft working documents. It was reviewed

yesterday without changes. It's here today with no changes.

Just to reiterate, that it would be -- it will be used by seven schools with existing contracts.

Similar language is also in the other contract to get everyone to renegotiate in the fall. This document was reviewed -- and I mentioned it yesterday -- but extensively by Patty Matthews, and I thank her very much for that.

And then just to reiterate, I'd like to use this amendment document for the seven schools to not have to go add in all of the other amendments, but, in the event that that contract is going to continue past the fall, at that point, for those schools to create one document, because I think that's a reasonable request.

THE CHAIR: Before I ask for Patty Matthews, I'm going to go to Director Chavez just to make sure you have the opportunity to comment first.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I commented yesterday that this creates an additional document to review rather than a single document. We are reviewing the old contract and this amendment. And anytime that we're toggling between two documents,

So then you have this amendment; right? And that's what the concern is, looking over two different documents over the course of the next five years.

If, in our motion, we include that any school who does, in October, say, "No, we're going to keep this contract," that then it just becomes one new contract for just those schools.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. Then it's short-term pain, not long-term pain.

THE CHAIR: Yes, yes. Is it more -- yeah, more -- is it less disagreeable to have it for a shorter term?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes, it's less painful. Yes.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Can I just raise one point? The PEC amends all the time without redoing the contract and re-executing the signatures and all of that. You did it this morning. You do it all the time.

So if you were going to not do amendments anymore, or you were going to redo a contract every time, in my view, you should -- you should eliminate the contract -- the amendment tracker and redo the

there's challenges.

So I don't like this. And I understand that Ms. Barnes says that it's more work to create a contract from scratch. And I get it. All of this is a lot of work.

And I also want to just say that relative to the amendments to any existing contract, we have a tracker. I believe Ms. Brown mentioned that yesterday. I have staff that do a really careful job of -- of documenting any amendment to the charter contracts. And that could be provided as well.

So it's -- I know it's up to the Commission. But in terms of this in lieu of a revised contract I think complicates things.

THE CHAIR: Does it help -- is it more reasonable or not as daunting to only have the amendment no more than October, that by October, no matter what, it's just the one -- like, is it reasonable to be able to just have the amendment to just the next six months?

Because one of the suggestions in part of our motions would be if a school -- because I know the concern yesterday was there could be schools who say, "No, I want to keep this contract"; right? contract every time.

So this is no different than any other amendment. So what we have right now is a contract and a contract amendment tracker that shows -- for example, I'll just use -- one of the schools that, during this contract term, got a second location and you approved it, well, you didn't redo the whole document. You have a contract and an amendment.

And so this is no different than that. So I think it would be a massive issue to do it every single time.

So, to me, it's -- this is no different than any other amendment request form. It's just -- says that. I -- and I think you could look later as to whether you posted those amendments somehow online. Other than the -- the amendment tracker, I don't know any way to know what you guys have done. I mean, there's too many months. So it would raise a bigger problem for me.

But I think what Director Chavez is saying is, you know, every time there's a new contract, you could start with a new good document. So I agree with that as a point.

But we would go and pull any amendments from that tracker for School X, put them in here,

2.

put these things in here so we would combine three or four documents.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. So I just wanted to ask Director Chavez.

Is there maybe a way to think about this as this amendment is something as a placeholder of something that's going to happen to said school? Once it's entered into said school, then the amendment dissolves? So it's basically kind of like that

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I know that the intentions for this is that it is a placeholder. I also know that we're holding up an option to allow schools to keep this contract. And also with the other contract, I think that, partially, it was designed so that everything was an attachment so that they could be replaced with the updated information. So I don't know if that answers your question.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. I was just wondering as far as thinking about the work that might be involved with this, versus not doing it this way, you know, because I would hope that it's more streamlined.

schools in theory.

I mean, I think many of you understand the concept of a contract, and then you just extend it, which I think is actually what the law says, and that having a brand new contract every five years is a burden for the Commission.

And it seems to me -- and I've always had this position -- that if we can get a solid contract that we have vetted by both sides, we've negotiated everything in that contract in good faith, that it shouldn't be that every time you have a new cycle of renewals that you have to rewrite a contract.

It should be a matter of amending, for example, amending what the school's program is, or amending what the school's -- who's the notice provisions, et cetera.

And that could be -- that is a simple amendment. And that's effectively what Ms. Barnes and I did with this amendment was to take the existing contract, do what we thought were necessary material amendments, just -- actually, just changing things to correct it, with the intent to get a better working document for long term so that you don't have to do this every five years.

It -- I mean, it just shouldn't have to

be -- or deal with different versions every time you have a cycle of renewals.

have a cycle of renewals.
 And so I understand Ms. Chavez's concerns.
 But from my perspective of representing over
 50 charter schools, the form of this document is
 substantially deficient, and, you know, the way it
 was drafted, the lack of input -- although we were

allowed to redline, most of it was rejected.

And so I really applaud the Commission for allowing us to go back and have a process over the summer to take another look at this thing. And I think that Ms. Barnes and I and other attorneys will have more success at having a document that everyone, both sides -- because it is two sides of a contract here -- and as Julia said -- or Ms. Barnes said -- pull things out that don't need to be in there or put things in there that need to be consistently, over time, part of the agreement.

And so I appreciate the opportunity to make a comment. But I do support Ms. Barnes on this. It's very important that we get a better working document. Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Matthews. Thanks for -- and thanks for the collaboration. We're really grateful for all the charter leader

1,

THE CHAIR: All right. If we could ask Ms. Matthews.

MS. MISSY BROWN: She's made a comment in the Chat.

THE CHAIR: We'll need that -- she'll have to -- we can't use Chat.

MS. MISSY BROWN: The comment from Ms. Matthews is, "I reserve comment on the contract changes made today until I see them in the final document. I have no objection to the form of the amendment, but will object to the form of the Performance Framework that is to be incorporated by reference until I have seen the final Performance Framework and been able to get comments from my clients."

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Thank you. MS. PATTY MATTHEWS: Ms. Burt? I'm sorry. THE CHAIR: Oh, yes.

MS. PATTY MATTHEWS: Julia has an excuse with Google. I just don't have an excuse for unmuting.

I want to support Ms. Barnes in this endeavor with regard to this contract. She is new to the Commission. We tried to work with the form of the contract together to make it work for the

	182		184
1	attorneys that are taking their time to do this,	1	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2	because, you know, we don't I that was one	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
3	thing Commissioner Carrillo and I were reminiscing	3	Robbins.
4	about our first negotiations last year and how every	4	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
5	school had to kind of had to read, like, a little	5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. That passes
6	statement that they weren't happy with the contract,	6	unanimously.
7	but they still just kind of did it because it wasn't	7	THE CHAIR: All right. That takes us to
8	there.	8	Item No. 11.
9	So moving away from that into a space	9	I'm just going to ask if there's any
10	where it's good for both parties, but also have	10	discussion by Commissioners on this item. And if
11	longevity is the goal of what we're looking to do.	11	there is not discussion, we are going to move past
12	So we're grateful for that.	12	it.
13	All right. Any other discussion on so	13	(No response.)
14	we're at Item No. 10. So on the contract form and	14	THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, that
15	amendment form, any other discussion or questions	15	moves us to Item No. 12, Discussion and Possible
16	from Commission?	16	Action on Renewal Timelines and Options.
17	(No response.)	17	Ms. Barnes.
18	THE CHAIR: Okay. So I'm going to make a	18	MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. This one,
19	motion.	19	there are some options. This is Document 12 in the
20	I move that the Public Education	20	folder. This is the chart that Director Chavez
21	Commission adopt the contract amendment it's	21	presented.
22	Document 10A in the Meeting Document folder and	22	And what I suggest is before you vote, if
23	contract, Document 10B for use in the 2020	23	we get a solid option, we make all the dates in the
24	(verbatim) contract negotiations. If a school using	24	Column 1 or Proposed Change 1 or Proposed Change
25	an amendment does not adopt a new contract in the	25	2, so that it reads all the way down the line.
	183		185
1	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education	1	Right now, I have it I've only populated if
1 2	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that	1 2	
	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and	l .	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the
2	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that	2 3 4	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize
2 3	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.	2 3 4 5	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC
2 3 4	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.	2 3 4 5 6	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices
2 3 4 5	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date right at least for this year but it's right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion?  (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date right at least for this year but it's right around the 1st of October. I think this date is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date right at least for this year but it's right around the 1st of October. I think this date is October 3rd. It's probably a Monday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date right at least for this year but it's right around the 1st of October. I think this date is October 3rd. It's probably a Monday.  And then both Options 1 and 2 would push
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fall of 2022, I move that the Public Education Commission prepare a substitute contract for that school which incorporates all contract terms and amendments into one document.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.  THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.  Any further discussion? (No response.)  THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Secretary Armijo.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  Commissioner Voigt.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Right now, I have it I've only populated if there's a date change.  So the I've added a line to the previous form, which says that, "CSD will prioritize the renewing school's annual reports. And the PEC will review the annual reports and send out notices of concern."  That will be for all schools.  We talked about an August 1 day. And Director Chavez indicated that that may be delayed by data availability. You know, I think she was saying that's a pretty hopeful date.  But I think so if you want to add more language, we're not I don't think we're asking CSD to provide something they can't provide if the data is not there. And I think several Commissioners indicated that the State Accountability data was important.  So the next one, the existing date right at least for this year but it's right around the 1st of October. I think this date is October 3rd. It's probably a Monday.

189

186

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

difference between the school and what CSD could do and when PEC could act.

The next thing along the timeline is just CSD then has this opportunity to start to work on the analyses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the 3rd.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sorry. Quick question regarding dates.

So if this is going to be something that lasts several years, when we say that something's October 3rd, and October 3rd ends up being a Saturday, what's the protocol for having something be due either the Friday or the Monday? Or where is that stated if it is --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Or we could say "approximately" or something, so we don't have to come back to this document.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. Or you could say "first Monday in October" or -- I'm just curious as --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So the law actually states 270 days prior to the expiration, which is approximately October 1. And when we revised the renewal application, the Monday, or the next business day, was the 3rd. That's why it says

the -- like, the renewal application. We don't put this timeline with these dates in the renewal application, because we want the renewal application to hopefully stay year after year, and let's not change it all the time.

But these calendars, I think, are very helpful -- what I remember hearing from CSD -- these calendars are very helpful to the schools to have the exact dates, exactly when they have it, not 270 before, not the Monday before this or the Friday before. The exact dates are super helpful to the schools.

I think these need to live outside and be done individually each year.

MS. JULIA BARNES: What we might do then on the title, instead of saying "2022 Renewal Process and Timeline," we could say, "Renewal Process and Timeline. Specific dates may be, you know, modified by CSD," or something.

20 THE CHAIR: I think 2022 says it's this 21 year.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Needs the word "Annual."

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Because these have the specific dates for Calendar Year 2022, it

187

2 Typically, we do that every year. So 3 setting this in stone with specific dates, to me, 4 doesn't make sense. It seems like every year, you 5 do want to look at the calendar, knowing that 6

approximately, you are -- you are seeking to meet what's required in statute, and you're looking at the year's calendar.

That's how we typically do it. We don't expect schools to submit something on a weekend, if that's your question.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: My question is why is there specific dates?

THE CHAIR: So what I see is this. So the documents that are to live forever that we're trying to not change as often should not -- what we were also trying to do is take these timelines out of those documents.

So this isn't part of a -- we're trying to make it to where this isn't part of a bigger document. These are kind of living outside of it. And it should be each year for these schools, they should be given specific dates based off of those things.

But I agree that we need to make sure, in

seems reasonable to entitle it "2022." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We don't want

people to pick up an old copy and start using it. So I think if we have the date -- I mean, we can even put as a footnote, "Effective for," if you don't want it in the title. But some way or another, I think we need to identify this is the current timeline.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, and it sounds like -- although the Chair's mic is not on -- that this is something you might want to have CSD bring to you each year. "This form. Here are the dates. This is what we're going to do."

So then the blue and the brown or the pink and the brown or whatever colors are there, those are two options. I just tried to highlight them.

The first, as I understood it, is -- I'm sorry. Something got -- gosh, I'm in such an argument.

There should be -- and there was in the document I tried to upload -- an October meeting.

The blue option is to have the PEC in October basically give authority to the Chair to renew the analyses and get out a letter.

The brown option is to hold a November

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting immediately after the analysis goes out.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the brown one reads correctly, that November 1, CSD would have a preliminary analysis, Commissioners would look very carefully at those analyses for a meeting in November, which would be added to your calendar, because right now, you don't meet in November. And then at that meeting, the -the PEC members could add additional concerns, also tell them, "I'm concerned about finances," or whatever.

Do -- do you want me to -- do you want to take questions? Do you want to get to the bottom of the document? How do you want to --

THE CHAIR: I think we should stop there on that.

Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So I took the dates that were suggested there and added additional dates, because this was not fully populated in terms of what needed to occur when. So if the column to the furthest to the right, which is what is currently in the renewal application is edited to this, and we are moving the dates by which the preliminary analysis is done, I moved the response to the preliminary analysis so that it maintains the

that 30-day, and I don't think PEC needs to follow the other deadline.

In the past, it has been the case that PEC has negotiated with schools to extend the timeline rather than to compress a process that might very well be beneficial if you have more time. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to Commissioner comments and questions.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I have big pause with saying, "Just ignore the statute that says we have to make the decision by -- by the end of December, January 1st," and say, "Well, we haven't done the contract negotiations."

We do get extensions for that. No school is really going -- it won't be held up in court. If we decide to not renew a school and we did not make that decision by the statutory mandated date, that's going to be the first item in that appeal, that the PEC did not follow the statutory guidelines, and, therefore, everything else is moot.

I understand compressing it earlier. But I don't think we have any choice. The court made it clear that 30 days, we have to do whatever we have

191

193

two-week time period for the school.

So that's why it's moved to November 25th, which happens to be a holiday. Not ideal, but I think that because Charter Schools Division work continues to be compressed, every day counts.

So I also added the extra days for our final analysis to PEC to be added to that.

I also just want to comment that both of these proposed changes move the calendar such that everything happens sooner rather than later.

And I know there's arguments as to why. But in my opinion, these two proposed changes are basically the same. It's just one option is that there's an extra PEC meeting.

And so I don't feel like this is an accurate representation of what the full options are to the PEC position. And I don't think that the months between January, February, March, and leading up to the April contract negotiations are times when we could be moving the timeline in that direction.

I understand that in statute, it says the PEC must make a decision by January. It also says in statute that the PEC must enter into a contract with an approved charter within 30 days of approval.

We don't follow that. PEC does not follow

to do, which also includes, unfortunately, on the Charter School Division in being a little more flexible and making sure that we are in compliance with the guidelines that are established by that court order.

And the court order clearly didn't say, "Well, you've just extended your decision and not made the decision until January and given yourself the 30 days," because the law is clear as to what we have to do.

> THE CHAIR: Any other Commissioners? (No response.)

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Going -- looking at options for when the PEC weighs in, two of the conversations we had, one was October, before you get a preliminary -- preliminary recommendation from CSD or after. And I view my primary job for you is to keep all of your options open for any way you might want to vote as a Commission.

And, in particular, this is a huge thing that you do. So I want to -- I want to say that I think that doing a new meeting in November makes a lot of sense to me immediately after the preliminary recommendations come forward.

2.

And the reason that I think that is a really good time -- and it's really clear to me that I only get to talk to you guys once a month, and sometimes not -- once every two months. And this is important for you to be able to say, you know, "I think we're going to put everything that CSD puts in a recommendation, that's going to go in a letter," period, because you're not going to determine whether you accept or reject the CSD's recommendation. Everything in there is going to go in there.

But sometimes individual Commissioners have another opinion. And they'll say, "I also think that we ought to add this."

And I think a lot of you review -- or all of you, I'm going to say -- review these contract -- these renewal applications very carefully, and you'll have your own opinion.

So if that preliminary comes out, and we're going to put everything in a letter to the school that just gives them notice to be ready, that's all the judge asked you to do.

I also really like just seeing if any of you have anything else you want to add, because then we're going to preserve your opportunity to discuss created a new condition that got sprung on them that day that wasn't identified until that day. So I think there still does need to be some kind of solution for that.

But I'm also wondering -- I -- I don't necessarily also like, because of the meeting, that then the preliminary analysis -- right now, it shows on this it would go out November 1st.

So it feels very fast to be able to turn that around. Even if it's a preliminary analysis, they're going to do further, you know -- they're going to do more after that, knowing that.

I still -- it seems like it's six weeks. And that's very, very fast for CSD to be able to do that.

So I guess my -- my wondering, a solution that -- I wonder if this could possibly be a solution.

If, instead of doing the meeting -because I hear the meeting is to preserve having
more options than what CSD might be initially
recommending, to be able to have more options than
that

So could it also -- if we didn't do the meeting, we give till November 10th for the

that in December.

So between the two options of a meeting before the preliminary and after, I really feel that you can -- you can keep your options open with a November meeting.

So I'm just going to give -- and that's what I think about it. And, actually, when I was looking at this document, I kind of really formed an opinion about that, that I -- that I can help you keep your options open better that way.

The other thing I want to say is that meeting is really limited. It's not to say, "Well, I agree with CSD." It's not to rule on the merits. You're still going to do that in December. It's simply to add. So that's my comment on that.

THE CHAIR: So I -- I hear that. And I guess I understand that that is something that could possibly help. But I can be very self-assured that the -- so the situation that happened last year, where a condition was added that was not under CSD's recommendation, was not even a part of the analysis, either. It happened upon conversation at the hearing.

So I don't know if an additional meeting would have solved that. I think it still would have

preliminary analysis. I'm looking at that blue option.

The preliminary analysis goes out November 10th. November 11th, the PEC letter goes out that has -- that does mimic the CSD recommendations.

So the automatic -- if CSD recommends a condition, if they recommend nonrenewal, it's going to exactly mimic the CSD preliminary analysis.

But could we also just include a grace of when we get to that, that, you know, "X school, we are putting you on notice that upon your renewal, you may be -- you may have a condition placed on you," could we put, "including, but not limited to," and then list CSD's. And that way, it's giving them notice, but doesn't -- I mean, it doesn't preclude --

MS. JULIA BARNES: And I appreciate the struggle. And I'm going to say no, that -- because they're already on notice that there can be terms of nonrenewal.

And what the court was pretty clear about -- and I just go back to recent hearings, where we've given a school an opportunity, and a school came prepared and actually convinced you to

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

act differently than you acted.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's an important process. And several of you commented that day, "Wow, I'm so glad we got this additional information."

That is precisely what's in the law and what's in the court order, which is, look, it's fair to stay, "Please come prepared," because I think these documents are hundreds of pages long.

So to say, "I want more information about that."

And I do want to clarify that we're not talking about kind of a December, "I'm thinking about this now" issue. But we need to talk about that in a minute.

You could do it potentially a different way than a letter -- I mean, than a meeting, which is whatever date. And it doesn't have to be November 1. If you moved it to November 5th, whatever, whatever moves up to 30 days. It's just that hard 30-day deadline, so I think that's a different issue, too.

We could have a hard requirement that Commissioners sent to counsel anything you wanted added to those letters. That would achieve the same purpose.

bring it up for me. I had that concern. So I -that is something that could have been addressed at

There's certainly still something -- I still think we need that clause that says, "This isn't everything. Something can come up at the meeting."

But it's less likely that something's going to pop up. And it's our due diligence to make sure that we read the applications and provide that

But I'm far more supportive of being able to provide the input to counsel for the addition into the letter.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I appreciate the comments. But I think, you know, we don't want to have a rolling quorum. But if counsel is the only one receiving this information, the rest of us are blindsided till December. I don't like that, either. And unless we're all included in that communication, which would constitute a rolling quorum, violating OMA, I think we need to have a brief meeting.

And we can do it virtually. We don't have

199

1 to have a physical meeting. 2

But I -- I really -- there are things where I may want to ask for it, but someone has a better way of addressing it, so we're not asking for the same information in three or four different formats.

I may want it one way; someone wants it a different way.

So I think having that brief meeting, whether it's a virtual meeting or not, helps to simplify and give a clear communication to the school of what they should be responding to and being prepared.

And, again, with what Ms. Barnes was addressing, if we -- we cannot say, "Oh, there may be other things," because we haven't given them 30 days' notice at that point.

We need to include everything in that letter. And I think that meeting, virtual or physical, could help us finalize that. That's my view.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I agree. I like the idea of having the information in advance, just not being at risk anymore of people coming back to

So CSD would do their recommendations

everything would go in that they've identified. Then you would have the opportunity, as an individual, to say, "Julia, please also add this."

And then we would add it. It's just notice.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins -- oh, sorry. No. Actually, Commissioner Robbins, Commissioner Gipson's hand has been up. Then I'll call on you next.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. So I like that opportunity to provide that additional information to counsel. I think that could -- I'm not trying to purposely eliminate a November meeting. But if that's the only thing that the November meeting is going to be for -- and I do have -- as Ms. Barnes mentioned, I have some concerns about the discussion that would take place at that November meeting, because I don't want to go down the rabbit hole of someone saying, "I can't support this," or, "I'm not going to support that," and digging deep into the application.

I can say for myself, after reading the application for the one school that we're referencing, I had that concern from the moment I read the application, so that that hearing didn't

us and saying, "You blew it." So --

THE CHAIR: And I guess my -- my concern was moving the preliminary analysis up enough in order to do it. But I guess if we're having the application submission be two weeks earlier, then the preliminary analysis being two weeks earlier evens out to where it was going to be the same amount of time no matter what.

But it is what we are asking the schools to put in their applications two weeks earlier in order for us to do this.

So that's -- I do want to make sure that's known by everyone, that that's kind of the -- that's what we're giving up, or that's what the schools are giving up in order for us to do it.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm just wanting to look at the calendar to see if we can -- because it's this year.

So if you held a meeting on the 7th -- well, I don't know how many days. I still think you're going to be close to the 1st.

THE CHAIR: It would be the 1st, because we would need the time to read through them. It would be the 1st, regardless of when the meeting was. Commissioner Robbins.

work.

2.

There's more to talk about unless -- if you're done talking about this issue.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. It seems like it. So we can keep going.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. Then, Director Chavez has just indicated that she maybe added a line or changed the dates. That seems fine.

And then the final analysis -- so this is a little -- this is a little bit later than it originally was in the first one. Again, once we've given notice to the school, the rest of the process feels fine to me, because we've given them notice.

And then the final authorization within the first three weeks of December. So that's the PEC meeting, I guess.

THE CHAIR: Before -- so that those dates changing, they actually don't have to go earlier now -- right? -- because if the preliminary analysis is going to go out on the 1st instead of the 14th, and then you're giving them -- typically, they get two weeks.

So we're actually able to do those things earlier; right? The response to preliminary analysis can be -- it could be earlier. And then so

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Just a quick note. November 11th is a Federal holiday.

THE CHAIR: No, it is. We're not going to meet then.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: What mail would be postmarked on that date, though? You would not have a postmark on that, though, because it's not a mail day.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We have been e-mailing, and that has been how we've been giving people notice.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And that's fine. But it's also a State holiday.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. Well, let's put the 10th then.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Just as a -- you know, from Federal for postal, but also it's a State holiday. So State workers that have to work on that, they get overtime, you know. So just a note.

THE CHAIR: This would go out -- that one wouldn't actually be -- so that wouldn't be from CSD. That would be Ms. Barnes, and then the Chair, sending it out on behalf of the PEC.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So I'm just thinking we'll do the 7th and the 10th, and we can make that

the CSD final renewal could still be December 1st?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: If we ask for the response to the preliminary analysis with one-week review from the school, then we could move up the final renewal analysis.

I chose December 5th because that's one week prior to the PEC's meeting. But, yeah, I don't know how the schools are going to feel about it. But it sounds like we're separating out, identifying concerns before you even see the preliminary analysis; so...

THE CHAIR: No, it's after the preliminary. So the preliminary analysis comes out. Then we hold the meeting. Everything in the preliminary analysis will go in the notice to the schools. That's, 100 percent, that will happen.

But, additionally, that meeting after
November 1st, after the preliminary analysis, would
allow Commissioners to add items that could be an
item -- could possibly maybe be thought of as an
item of concern that could also be -- then schools
would know then, early November, instead of at the
meeting.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. So I think setting the date for the preliminary analysis as the

same day that PEC is considering meeting; right?
 THE CHAIR: No. So November 1st.
 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: That hasn't been
 added. Maybe we could add the potential date to --

THE CHAIR: So if you look at the orange box, that's the one that it sounded like predominantly the Commissioners were wanting to go with. So it is that the November 1st, the preliminary analysis goes out to the schools and to PEC. So November 14th is the current column.

So we want to do November 1st. And then if you scroll down a little bit more, that the November PEC meeting would be held on or about November 7th, around that time. And then the letter would go out on November 10th, after time to consider that meeting.

So the preliminary analysis, instead of going out November 14th, we're actually doing it November 1st. So they'll actually have additional time to respond.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So if the preliminary analysis is going out on the -- on the 1st, then we give schools two weeks. So that could be changed to the 15th.

THE CHAIR: And then can we still do CSD

now."

2.

Well, you have the right to revoke a charter at any time. You don't have to -- it doesn't have to be in a renewal. And you could do -- so something super alarming, you have another remedy. And you would start that process, and it has nothing to do with the renewal timeline; it has nothing though do with renewal. You could, right then -- and I would probably suggest you do, right then, a Notice of Intent to Revoke the charter, and you set dates, and you move forward, and you give the time.

So very alarming things, we have other tools.

If -- if -- if someone, after all of this work that we've done, just -- at the December meeting just wants to, for the first time, vote to non-renew, I think it's going to be super hard to support that decision.

So I'm not positive that we're going to worry about that. You know, either we can do it a different way, or to -- that's that kind of fundamental unfairness. Like, for the first time, all of a sudden, over a five-year charter, they've never gotten notice, and all of a sudden somebody

final December 1st?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Then, CSD final could be December 1st.

THE CHAIR: Perfect.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. So there's a last issue. And I have just put an option in yellow. But let me kind of outline where I think you are, which is what the Chair has raised and which several of you have raised.

Let's say we've given them notice of 95 percent of the things, anything we could think of in November.

You get to the December meeting, and something comes up with what somebody says at the table. And, as a Commissioner, it's the first time you've thought about it and you want to put a condition on.

I believe the law allows you to do that.

And then we need to grapple with what happens with the -- with the court order.

So let me take a couple of -- let me take a couple of things.

So let's say, in December, somebody says, "I've been stealing from the school, and I've stolen a million dollars, and I'm just disclosing it to you

says, "I want to close the school," and every other Commissioner agrees. So I'm not sure we need to deal with that too much, because I'm not sure it's going to happen.

The issue, though, is back to what the Chair just suggested, which was for the first time, it did occur to her. It may be able to be taken care of by another Commissioner raising something. But, again, it's not a problem. We could either just not worry about it because it's a small situation, it's kind of a complicated process, and see if it gets raised.

It would probably be a condition.
Conditions can be appealed. The record is what you're going to make of it that day. Of the Secretary is either going -- and then all that is appealed is the condition. They almost are never appealed, but that's -- you know, we can't even rely on that.

If -- so that's one option. Let's just say that we're good, that we're pretty good, and let it go to appeal, and you can change it if you keep -- start losing appeals on conditions.

If you wanted to fix it right now, though, say, "Yeah, let's fix it," this is my best option.

And it's kind of -- I'm just going to say it makes sense to a lawyer, but maybe not to anybody else.

So lawyers, in court cases, if you get a cockamamie ruling from a judge, there is a provision where you can say, you know, "Wow, Judge, I think you were really wrong. Please reconsider."

So rather than appealing, you -- and I want to say, in my career, I've maybe done it once or something. I mean, it's not frequently used. But it's not infrequently used. And, basically, it says, "Give me the chance to tell you more."

So what happens is, in December, you would make a final ruling. You would meet the December deadline. You would make a final ruling. And then what I've proposed here is, you say to the school, in this rare situation, "If you want us -- if you want to ask us to reconsider, you have to ask us by December 31. We are going to hear that limited reconsideration in January, and we -- as long as the Secretary will agree to push the Notice of Appeal..." -- I've put "15 days further."

So it's not an extensive one.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I think that's a good idea, Ms. Barnes, to have that paragraph in yellow at the very end there, because it's also just

to holding it to the information that was available when we made the original decision, and not for the school to be able to bring in new information. I don't think it's fair to the other schools either.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I really hear what Commissioner Gipson is saying. I want to say that the point of reconsideration would be to allow them to say, "Wait, you took me by surprise. There's more that I have back in the office." Or, "This other person at my school has this information."

So I -- if you -- I think we should either not do it or allow the additional information. I don't see a way consistent with the purpose of the court order to do those. But I think it could limit it to that condition.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt?
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I think it would come out in the wash, you know, either way. Whether they're given that immediate second chance to show new information, or during the length or the life of their condition, it's going to come out in the wash, either way.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. And I --

another step. And schools often-time think of something else that is really, really pertinent to their existence.

So if we're ready for a motion, I'd like to make a motion.

THE CHAIR: Okay. We'll do discussion, and then I'll come back to you, Commissioner Voigt. Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. My only comment is with the reconsideration, if the school appeals a condition, the only information that is allowable in the appeal is information that was available at the December hearing and through the application.

I have some difficulties with, if in a reconsideration, a school gets to bring in new information, because I think they have to do their due diligence and do their application and do their hearing as appropriately as they can, and not say, "Oh, if I muck this up a little bit, I can ask for a reconsideration and bring in that information now."

They take a substantial amount of time, or should be, in doing the application and in preparation for the hearing. And I -- I could support the reconsideration if there's a limitation

hear what everyone's saying. But I agree, because we wouldn't be making a further detrimental determination after the determination we make in

that early December meeting. It would -- if anything, we would lessen; we would not add any severity to any condition. We could possibly

remove -- move for revocation or nonrenewal, or we could lessen or remove the condition.

But that would be the only thing that we would be addressing in that January meeting. It would not when adding conditions or moving from a conditional renewal to a nonrenewal. We wouldn't be able to do that.

So I think the restriction would be on us. We can lessen the requirements on the school, but we could not increase them. We're just giving the school a little more time. So I like the language, and I like the opportunity it gives the school.

THE CHAIR: I think I also like it as well. And I like it, because 30 days before the meeting, they'll have been given a notice of, "These are the possible conditions that we could be looking at." So they'll have that time between that notice and their hearing to prepare on all those things -- right? -- to be, like, "No, we don't want this

condition. Look at all these things we've done," you know.

2.

If -- and the only reason I do support it is that first sentence, "If, for the first time, a decision to renew with conditions..." -- so if, for the first time, they hear this condition at the hearing, then they should be given the same diligence of being able to provide information just as if we had given it to them in November.

Now we're basically doing it in December. So they should get till January to come back and say, "Hey, actually, look at all these things."

And I agree with Commissioner Robbins. I think we have to impose the restriction on ourselves to say, "Either the condition, that one condition, stays, or is removed."

That's the two options. There's nothing else to be done or considered.

But I do think it's imperative to actually allow this to have a possibility, just in case there is something where the Commission does need to do something like this, but not -- it shouldn't be harmful towards the school.

And I think this allows us the flexibility to be able to make an action in December that we

THE CHAIR: Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I will suspect that this will get used quite frequently, every time there is an authorizing decision that the schools don't like, just like there is an appeal every time there is an authorizing decision to close a school. It just happens.

THE CHAIR: Well, it can only be used if we didn't notice it in November. That's what the first part says, that if we noticed it in November, this is not an option to be used. So it can only come up if we put something on them.

It's -- once again, it's based off of the PEC doing something in December, not off the school, and definitely not off CSD.

But I think that's what that notice in November is going to be very important. Because this only applies if something gets sprung on them in December. It actually protects the school from that.

All right.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Let me fix that language, though. Let me make sure that it is -THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to make a motion to -- I move that the PEC approve the 2022

were not aware of, for some reason before. But still it gives the notice and opportunity to the school to defend themselves or have that back and forth as well.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I just tried to add that as a sentence. Right here. The PEC -- to make it clear that that's not -- because part of what a motion for reconsideration is is a decision was made. So you made a decision in December. You can -- and exactly as Commissioner Robbins says, you either back up a little bit or a lot, that's all, on that one thing.

And I think there could be a concern of, like, this will happen all the time. Not -- I don't think so. And if we limit it --

THE CHAIR: Yeah. If we give them notices properly, like with CSD's recommendation plus the Commission has looked -- the notices are actually -- that's -- we need to do our due diligence in ensuring that we're noticing as much as possible in November so that this isn't -- this is very rare, hopefully, rare to never that this is implemented and used.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We're ready for a motion.

Renewal Process and Timeline, as in Item No. 12

2 under Proposed Change No. 2.

Do I need to read all these dates into the motion?

MS. JULIA BARNES: No.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So Proposed Change No. 2, and to include the -- Proposed Change No. 2 on Document No. 12, which is the 2022 Renewal Process and Timeline, to include the highlighted portion for first-time conditions or nonrenewal.

 $COMMISSIONER\ ROBBINS:\ Second.$ 

THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second.

Any discussion?

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: Hearing none,

Secretary Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.

Commissioner Armijo votes yes.

Chair Burt.

20 THE CHAIR: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

22 Carrillo.

23 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

			36 (Pages 218 to 221)
	218		220
1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	1	meeting. That's what I'm saying is in a December
2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.	2	meeting, you could say we're going to revoke your
3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: No?	3	charter.
4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.	4	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It's so
5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Got it. Thank you.	5	egregious
6	Commissioner Gipson.	6	MS. JULIA BARNES: We're going to do it
7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sorry, that was me	7	January 1. We're going to do it right away. So
8	that voted. I thought you called me.	8	they don't get to continue necessarily till the end
9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I'm sorry. I	9	of the year.
10	thought I said "Davis." Sorry.	10	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: With all of these
11	THE CHAIR: She voted, yeah.	11	different
12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Sorry. It	12	MS. JULIA BARNES: There is still an
13	was me on my timeline here. Sorry. Thank you.	13	appeal. There always is an appeal and that kind of
14	Commissioner Manis.	14	thing. But it often comes from a very from an
15	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	15	emergency situation.
16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	16	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.
17	Robbins.	17	THE CHAIR: All right. That takes us to
18	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	18	Item No. 14, Report from Charter Schools Division.
19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner	19	Director Chavez.
20	Voigt.	20	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'd like to
21	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	21	request a ten-minute break.
22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Oh, thank you.	22	THE CHAIR: I'm go I'm going to move
23	Okay. So that there are seven yeses	23	forward to Public Education Commission Liaison
24	and one no. That passes.	24	Reports and Public Education Commission Comments and
25	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	25	we'll come back, because we're so close to done. I
	THE CITAIR. THANK you.	20	we'll come back, because we'le so close to done. I
	219		221
1			
1	Commissioner Carrillo.	1	don't want to I want to keep moving forward.
2 3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I have a question.	2 3	All right. So we're going to go to Item
4	When is a nonrenewal not a revocation?	4	No. 15, Reports from PEC Liaisons.
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Always.	5	First is LESC and LFC has not met yet.
_	MS. JULIA BARNES: A nonrenewal is a	6	Next, Indian Education Advisory Council.
6	revocation can happen at any time.		Secretary Armijo.
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And revocations	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Ah, yes. We have
8	are usually for things that are extreme.	8	not met yet, either. We're meeting on the 19th of
9	MS. JULIA BARNES: And the processes	9	April.
10	allowed in law are very quick.	10	Thank you. Sorry.
11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Right.	11	THE CHAIR: All right. The next is NMCEL.
12	MS. JULIA BARNES: So you do have to have	12	Vice Chair Voigt?
13	a hearing. They do need an opportunity. But it	13	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Okay. So
14	often happens, and has often happened in your	14	there's some potential here. I've received an
15	history, for financial malfeasance. And often	15	e-mail back from Traci Filiss, principal of the Taos
16	someone from PED comes forward and you know,	16	school. And she is on the board of NMCEL. So it's
17	they've shut down the school or whatever, or they've	17	looking hopeful that I'll be attending a meeting
18	taken away the Board of Finance. It's an emergency	18	soon, and I'll have something to report.
	issue with public funds.	19	THE CHAIR: Good job on that.
19	COMMISSIONED VOICE D. 1 11 11		COMMISSIONER VOIGT: You know.
20	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Basically, the	20	
20 21	nonrenewal comes around in a cyclical renewal time	21	THE CHAIR: PSCOC. Commissioner Robbins.
20 21 22	nonrenewal comes around in a cyclical renewal time frame. The revocation can come around any time.	21 22	THE CHAIR: PSCOC. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We PSCOC has
20 21 22 23	nonrenewal comes around in a cyclical renewal time frame. The revocation can come around any time.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Right. Right.	21 22 23	THE CHAIR: PSCOC. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We PSCOC has gone from every month to meeting about every six
20 21 22 23 24	nonrenewal comes around in a cyclical renewal time frame. The revocation can come around any time.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Right. Right. Okay.	21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: PSCOC. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We PSCOC has gone from every month to meeting about every six weeks or so. This gives the staff more time to work
20 21 22 23	nonrenewal comes around in a cyclical renewal time frame. The revocation can come around any time.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Right. Right.	21 22 23	THE CHAIR: PSCOC. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We PSCOC has gone from every month to meeting about every six

PSCOC update.

2.

THE CHAIR: All right. The next one is NMPSIA.

Commissioner Manis.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: Sure. We had our NMPSIA board meeting actually yesterday. So I was only able to attend parts of it because it was in the morning and wasn't the same time that we had our meeting. But I can discuss some of the things that we covered that I think are pretty high-level items, because I was also able to attend our Benefits Advisory Committee meeting on Wednesday.

So most of the things that we talked about, we will be having our annual meeting in Ruidoso in July in person. So that's one thing that we're planning to do, and kind of flesh out some of the -- some of the things. Like we're trying to update some of our rules and regulations and some other committees that have been meeting.

So, hopefully, in July, we're planning to get some of those things sorted out in a more streamlined fashion.

We also had the Attorney General meet with us as part of some of our -- our risk matters that have recently happened, some court cases that have these training and reporting requirements that are set forth by the State.

But right now, it's kind of unclear as to how that may come about. So we're really just talking about that as of now and something that I think is going to take a longer time to figure out where that may -- may end up.

So other than that, most of the other things that we did in the Benefits Advisory Committee meeting were standard routine things. We're requesting quotes for lacking a disability benefits manager. We agreed to some participation from other schools, and we approved some pharmaceutical services contracts.

So some of those things were done in committee. And because of our meeting, I wasn't able to see if those were approved in the full NMPSIA board.

But other than that, I think the only other thing that we did is we recognized the loss of a previous board member, Lowell Irby. And while I didn't have the pleasure to meet Lowell before his passing, everyone on the board spoke highly of him and made a few remarks.

So that's my report for this month. Thank

involved some individuals that work in the school systems around New Mexico.

I believe one of the cases was in Santa Fe County, where the individual was -- was on trial for abuse of one of the school children.

And so one of the things the Attorney General talked to us about was to -- the desire to partner with NMPSIA to analyze the exposure to risk, and that would be in the form of the schools not having enough training, and to see where there's lacking in that regard; and also the issues with potential reporting of -- of those incidences and training in that regard.

So with that, the Attorney General was wanting to try to partner with us to kind of spot potential risks and help these schools navigate handling some of the risk issues that may come about; not saying that they will, but that if they do come about, that they're ready to be able to navigate those issues.

And one of the things that was discussed before I had to hop off the meeting was that there's -- within NMPSIA, there's been talk about holding the schools accountable through fines if they -- they are not in compliance with some of you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Manis.
Next is New Mexico School Boards

Association.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No meetings to report on, but I'm going to reach out with Joe relative to the conversations we had today and see -- and we really should be doing this together for all public school kids. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Before I move on to the next agenda item, do you want me to do a quick check-in with Director Chavez? Or are you ready? Or do you want me -- you're ready?

We're going to go back to Item No. 14, Report from Charter Schools Division.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt. And I'm going to ask my colleagues to help me on this who are here today.

So in terms of staffing updates:

So the Charter Schools Division currently has two positions that are posted. Send good people our way. We have a position for the administrative assistant and for the business operations.

We -- actually, we have three, because we

	226		228
1	have the CSP the CSP director also posted.	1	is the head of the conference planning team.
2	So we will be interviewing for that	2	Thanks.
3	position soon.	3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Quick question on
4	The other two, we're just collecting	4	that.
5	applications.	5	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yes.
6	We also have two job-creates for	6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Why aren't we
7	additional positions within the Charter Schools	7	doing it in-person?
8	Division.	8	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Why aren't we?
9	Otherwise, staff continue to work hard, as	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. Just have
10	you know, in multiple ways, to to move forward on	10	people show a vax card and do it in person. My own
11	our work plans.	11	thing is I'm just kind of over this already. If
12	So, in particular, I'd like to talk about	12	people are vaccinated and boosted and everything
13	our our school site visits. Since we last met,	13	else, they can be admitted to an in-person; and if
14	we visited with we've gone to do site visits to	14	they're not, they're not.
15	Monte del Sol, Turquoise Trail, Taos Academy,	15	But I just feel like there's just
16	Explore Academy-Albuquerque, Roots & Wings Community	16	something like, people coming to our meeting,
17	School, Horizon Academy West, Tierra Adentro of	17	there's just something to be said about sitting
18	New Mexico, and ACES Technical Charter.	18	around a table in sessions with people.
19	Our calendars continue to be filled with	19	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Madam Chair and
20	multiple visits each week. And so the team is	20	Commissioner Carrillo, we would have liked to have
21	working really hard to walk through the schools	21	done the conference in person. Back in February
22	walk through the Performance Framework with the	22	when we started planning, there had been a
23	schools and really look at everything at the school.	23	resurgence of COVID, so we weren't sure we would
24	They've done well. And I've asked staff	24	have to cancel.
25	to take pictures when we're at the schools. And so	25	But the most important reason is that our
	227		220
	227		229
1	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will	1	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring
2	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools	2	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits
2 3	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.	2 3	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them
2 3 4	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)	2 3 4	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had
2 3 4 5	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.	2 3 4 5	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and
2 3 4 5 6	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.	2 3 4 5 6	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better,	2 3 4 5 6 7	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an in-person reception on the second day, June 10th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.  I have to say that I on Wednesday, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an in-person reception on the second day, June 10th, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Tierra Adentro	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.  I have to say that I on Wednesday, I went to the Spring Budget Workshop. That was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an in-person reception on the second day, June 10th, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Tierra Adentro School.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.  I have to say that I on Wednesday, I went to the Spring Budget Workshop. That was the first time in three years that that workshop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an in-person reception on the second day, June 10th, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Tierra Adentro School.  We have a call for presentations out. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.  I have to say that I on Wednesday, I went to the Spring Budget Workshop. That was the first time in three years that that workshop occurred, because it was canceled when COVID first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Brown who will present another slide presentation of the schools that we visited in the past month.  (Slide show presented.)  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Very sweet.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thanks, Missy.  It's been great getting to know the schools better, getting to talk to the kids, teachers, head administrators, governing boards, business managers, and visiting the classrooms and just checking in on how things are going.  You have a very diverse portfolio,  Commissioners.  So I'm going to ask Dr. Russell to give an update on the Charter Schools Conference. She's on that planning team.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So the Charter Schools Conference is June 9th and 10th. It will be a virtual conference. But there will be an in-person reception on the second day, June 10th, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Tierra Adentro School.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primary responsibility is to conduct monitoring visits. And because we didn't start the visits until spring this year we'll be starting them right after renewal visits and next fall we had to schedule all of the visits in March, April, and May. And pretty much most of the team is in the field in schools every day. And we just didn't have the manpower, with our staffing vacancies, to plan an in-person conference and to do a conscientious job for the PEC reporting on schools in terms of the Performance Framework.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: However, there will be one day, at one moment, for everybody to be together. Because we did feel like it was important for people to see each other face to face.  So I hope you marked your calendar for that date and time, and we look forward to everybody actually being there and seeing each other in person.  I have to say that I on Wednesday, I went to the Spring Budget Workshop. That was the first time in three years that that workshop

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ways. I saw many people that I hadn't seen. And I heard people running into each other and greeting each other, saying, "How wonderful to see you" face to face after not seeing each other.

And it was also a little strange. So people -- maybe not you, Commissioner Carrillo -but people are easing back to in-person. And I -we felt like there was a nice compromise.

All right. So the final item in my report is to give some highlights and appreciation.

And what I wanted to do was talk about the high school graduation rate. That information was released to the public. And I know that the Secretary recognized some district graduation rates.

What I want to talk about is charter schools and the four-year graduation rates that are above State average.

So we have two sets of data, one with schools that have over 40 students enrolled. And we have the set of data that talks about students that are -- that -- schools that have under 40, fewer than 40.

So just going in order of high school graduation rates, New Mexico School for the Arts graduated 97 percent of their students in -- in four

The only thing I have to say is that, you know, based off of the PEC direction for -- I'm trying to think of how to -- for what was the procedure that was in rule, and we decided to take it out of rule but still implement the procedure, that is something that I'm directing Julia and Rachel to start reviewing.

So I think in the next coming months, you'll start seeing some of these documents, and they'll start doing some research and working with CSD to really look at what all these policies and forms are and start bringing them forward.

It's a lot. I don't know if Corina has ever said it here. But when -- when we looked at it, and I know when Director Chavez looked at the list of all of them, there's a lot. Like, there's a lot, a lot.

So it's going to be -- it's going to be some work. But we're going to take it -- we're going to do it in phases and steps and really take the time to look at them and bring them forward in a palatable way.

The next is Report from Public Charter Schools of New Mexico.

I feel like Matt Pahl has gotten a lot of

231

1 years. 2

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

Albuquerque Institute of Math and Science had a 96.8 percent graduation rate.

MAS had a 95 percent graduation rate.

84.3 percent at The ASK Academy; we should have mentioned this when Dr. Boyd was here, because that's a huge improvement.

And then The MASTERS Program had an 83 percent graduation rate.

So congratulations to those schools.

The schools that had -- with fewer students that are also above State average is Taos Academy with 93.4 percent.

Middle College High School with 93.3.

Tierra Adentro with 91.9 -- yay, we'll be able to tell them in person how proud we are of them.

And Aldo Leopold in Silver City with 83.3 percent.

So thank you.

THE CHAIR: That is awesome.

All right. That brings us to Item No. 16,

23 Report from the Chair. 24

face time lately. But I would like to bring him back on so he can give his report.

And I'll say once again, I talked to -- I talked to Mr. Pahl last week and asked him to, "Hey, we're going to follow through with what we said. You know, Commissioners said they wanted to hear from schools. So can you go ahead and do that?"

And he was very quickly able to round up what a -- now we know, some amazing examples of this. Once again, thank you so much, Matt, for organizing to where we were able to get that kind of robust experience over what was discussed, but then to actually get it in -- you know, to see what it actually looked like was really meaningful.

MR. MATT PAHL: Yeah. Appreciate the opportunity. And just know that we're early in the budget cycle. A lot of the schools that had done those analyses, they have their hearing pretty soon, and they run through June. So we'll have more information about the impact as we move forward.

If you want, Madam Chair, I can turn off my camera, since the face time seems to be hitting a --

THE CHAIR: It's fine, I guess. You can leave your camera on. You can go ahead and give

your normal report.

MR. MATT PAHL: Okay. Great. Yeah. Just a few things.

One is our conference. We are planning on bringing it back live. It will be December 8th and 9th at Tamaya. And we're really -- you know, one thing about the School Budget Conference, it's really great. It was earlier this week. And we actually got a really good opportunity to talk with the Secretary yesterday afternoon and just some -- just some good opportunity for us to have some exchange about -- about what's going on.

I think one of the things that is coming out of that is just kind of like an opportunity, where we want to just share some of the funding hurdles that we have as charter schools.

And it's -- we know that PED doesn't necessarily have the magic wand to end these things. But, you know, something that gets taken for granted is the 2 percent, you know. We get equally funded, but then 2 percent gets taken off the top.

And, you know, that's part of the charter deal. And I know Commissioner Robbins has some good ideas about how that can change in the future. But I think we forget about that sometimes, that we're

innovative approaches to student learning and bring in our colleagues from traditional public schools as well as, of course, the amazing things that our charter schools are doing, and really be a home for that conversation.

So December 8th and 9th.

I mentioned last month our Director of School Quality and Support, that is up and out there. We're getting great applications so far. But if you know anybody, we really want somebody who's got some charter school administrative experience to be able to provide support in that way.

We're providing technical assistance through the Charter School Program Grant, which has been -- it's been a challenge, just because I think a lot of the assistance people have wanted (inaudible) around things related to COVID. And that just wasn't something that fell into CSP.

But, recently, we've kind of found a little niche that I think can be helpful. And that is helping, particularly the newer schools, with communications plans for themselves, how they want to pitch themselves in their recruiting, and even providing some video for them, which really hits a

operating with 98 percent of the normal operational funds.

So it's one of many examples. And a few examples of local authorizers that have maybe more invasive policies on intercepting funds that we just want to make sure people know about. And it's -- it's an education piece. That was something that kind of came out of the meeting.

We talked about data systems and administrative burden. We feel it a lot. So do small school districts, and working together to craft something there. Really good stuff and really good to see everybody.

I'll say that, you know, I always have this same reflection after Spring Budget. And it's -- it's probably the biggest gathering of public education in the state, with the exception of the School Boards' annual conference.

And I always just kind of say, "Where is the big gathering around student learning and what we're doing for kids?"

And not to say that Spring Budget isn't that. But it just has a different purpose. It's about the money and how we use that resource for students. We really want to focus on, you know,

sweet spot of what a new school needs.

We've been -- we're in touch with both RioGAFA and with THRIVE in helping them produce content and just having a strategy for how they will move forward.

So I want to give a shout-out to Corina and Brigette. We have kind of went back and forth to make sure that was a good expense with those funds, and it really seemed to hit a sweet spot. So really appreciate it of them.

I know that you all had the meeting on the Performance Framework. And I did want to share just two broad things that we think about when we think about that Performance Framework.

One -- and I know you're revisiting the process.

But one thing that is really important to us -- and Commissioner Gipson, and probably others will remember this well, because we've been saying it for a long time -- it's really important that we can keep score at home. It shouldn't necessarily take a site visit to know how we will be viewed in the eyes of the Performance Framework.

And that kind of clarity and being able to understand, like, "Hey, it's a random Wednesday

2.

night, and I'm worried about my renewal next year.

Oh. But I know how I stand right now. I know the factors that go into that Performance Framework, and I can make my own interpretation about it on any given night," because the information, the requirements are so clear that the school just knows where they will stand. They don't have to wait for the annual report.

That is something that's really, really key for our schools. And that really comes down to transparency and clarity.

So as you move forward on the process, I hope you keep that in mind, as well as -- and, sorry, Commissioner Gipson. You're right up here on the corner of my screen, and for whatever reason I'll reference you again. But you've been around for a little bit.

And the other thing that I'll note is when we make these Performance Frameworks, we have to think about it in terms of any staff being at the Charter School Division. We love our partnership with Corina and Brigette. We hope they'll be there for five, six, seven, eight, nine years.

But the policy itself has to be agnostic to the people. Like, there's this weird balance

know. We seem to update this more than we need to. And sometimes it is rooted in personnel. A lot of times, it's not.

I know this one, Commissioner Voigt, you've been talking about it for some time. I don't think this is personnel-related, necessarily, this recent revisit. But in cases in the past, it has been. But just something to keep in mind as you all move forward.

That's it for me right now. Yeah. That's it for me right now.

Thank you. And thanks for the opportunity yesterday and today to bring schools forward to just show you what they're saying.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. We checked a little bit ago, and it didn't look like anyone was here from Charter School Advisory Committee. Can you check real quick, Ms. Brown?

(Off-mic discussion.)

THE CHAIR: All right. So that takes us to Item No. 17, PEC Comments.

And we're going to go through -- just a reminder. We kind of changed the procedure. So if you would like to make a comment at this time, you just have to raise your digital hand or your real

that we have to acknowledge their strengths and make sure we leverage those, but recognize that the history of the Charter School Division is not one that is -- has necessarily that had our staff there all along.

And, again, our hope is that we work with this team for a long time. But the policy can't -- can't be rooted too much into people.

And I don't know that I saw that in the last version. But it's just something that's worth saying out loud.

And if you are a Commissioner who's been here through a number of different Charter School Division directors, you should really think about how this policy would interact with each one of those directors and each one of those different staff, again, while also acknowledging that we have a team right now that we should leverage their strengths.

We should also be thinking about these policies in terms of them spanning across different team members and, potentially, leadership at CSD.

I think that's what an enduring policy would do. And I do think that we -- we often get caught in a bit of a -- I don't want -- I don't

hand, and I will call on you. Otherwise, you will not be called for PEC comments.

Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Real quick. A mention was made about the opening for the CSP coordinator. The Education Department, under President Biden, is making some changes, or proposed changes, to reporting requirements for CSP Grant recipients, making it very onerous, and they're making requirements in some of the educational things that they don't even place on district schools throughout the country.

I think it's unfair. It's unbalanced, and it's going to actually stifle, greatly stifle schools from being able to, one, receive, and being able to meet the requirements.

So if you have the opportunity, they are trying to move through these changes in an expedited manner. So they're limiting the time frame for comments. But some of the changes, they would prohibit any -- any for-profit entity providing services.

Now, you know, it's one thing for the management of a charter school. I think it's something else if they say, "Oh, you can't even have

	242		244
1	a for-profit food service company providing your	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2	services."	2	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
3	If you contract out to a local	3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
4	delicatessen or something like that, you can't even	4	Robbins.
5	do that; whereas, you know, schools are buying most	5	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
6	of their goods and services from for-profit.	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
7	So then if they actually go to that	7	Voigt.
8	extreme, which it doesn't restrict management if	8	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
9	they go to that extreme, it could basically shut	9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt she said
10	down charter schools throughout the country.	10	yes.
11	So I think that's something we need to be	11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. We are
12	very, very cognizant of and comment on and push back	12	adjourned.
13	on that.	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you, everyone. And for
14	So that's a concern that I have. And I	14	those coming to negotiations virtually or in person,
15	hope we all have that concern, because it could	15	we'll see you then.
16	affect not only current, but any future charter	16	Good week in advance. All of the
17	school and the expansion of charter schools.	17	documents for negotiations are in the are in the
18	Thank you.	18	Google Drive. They've been there for a while now.
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. Oh,	19	They're in Folder No. 3, Renewals. And then in
20	no. Never mind.	20	2021, Contracts and PF. And you can see each school
21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I was going to say	21	for next week. So just want to make sure that
22	no comment.	22	Commissioners know about that.
23	THE CHAIR: Not Commissioner Carrillo.	23	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I know we're
24	Commissioner Gipson, you got out just in	24	technically adjourned, but I want to give thanks to
25	time from being Chair. I pity you for the year you	25	Brigette Russell. She helped me get reconnected to
	243		245
1	had to spend as Chair with Commissioner Carrillo and	1	the Google Drive. She spent about what?
2	his comments.	2	45 minutes, something like that, the other day.
3	Oh, yeah. You can hear his comment. He	3	
4	•	1 2	She's an IT sleuth, it seems. So she should get an
4	was being snippy.	4	She's an IT sleuth, it seems. So she should get an extra stipend for that.
5	was being snippy.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.	1	
		4	extra stipend for that.
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.	4 5	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You
5 6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked. THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that	4 5 6	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on
5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.	4 5 6 7	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.
5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked. THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.	4 5 6 7 8	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Yeah, I vote	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Yeah, I vote to adjourn.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Yeah, I vote to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Yeah, I vote to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.  COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm shocked.  THE CHAIR: All right. Well, that that will bring up a vote to adjourn. So I move to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.  THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.  Commissioner Secretary Armijo, vote, please.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Melissa Armijo votes yes.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. Yeah, I vote to adjourn.  COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extra stipend for that.  But thank you very much, Ms. Russell. You know, I wouldn't have been able to be up to speed on things if it wasn't for you. Thank you.  DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: You're welcome.

		246
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
3		
4		
5		
6	DEDODTEDIO CERTIFICATE	
7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified	
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby	
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true	
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said	
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held	l in the
13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.	
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
15	hand on April 21, 2022.	
16		
17 18		
10	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR	
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219	
	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.	
20	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630	
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
21 22	License Expires: 12/31/2022	
23		
24		
25	Job No.: 6328N (CC)	
1	RECEIPT	
1 2	RECEIPT JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22	
2	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22	
2 3	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING	
2 3 4	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public	
2 3 4 5 6 7	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ************************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ************************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: ************************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***************************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ************************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  *************************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other  DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ************************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  *******************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  **********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ************************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  *********************************  ATTORNEY: DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  **********************************  ATTORNEY: DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  ***********************************	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JOB NUMBER: 6328N CC Date: 4/8/22 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission  ***********************  ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  *********************************  ATTORNEY: DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:  REC'D BY: TIME:  ***********************************	

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 8 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 12 State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. 13 14 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 15 hand on April 21, 2022. 16 17 Cynthea Chafman 18 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR 19 New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23



Job No.: 6328N (CC)

24