## BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
June 17, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Via Zoom Webinar Video Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6329N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

2	2 (1 ages 2 to 3)
2	4
2	HE CHAIR: Good morning, Commissioners.
STEVEN CARRILLO, Member	oing to go ahead and get started. We're
STEVEN CARRILLO, Member   MICHAEL CHAVEZ, Member   5   GEORGINA DAVIS, Member   6   Voigt, for to GEORGINA DAVIS, Member   7   COM   6   KT MANIS, Member   7   COM   6   KT MANIS, Member   7   COM   MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member   7   COM   MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member   7   COM   MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member   9   Rebect   7   CORINA CHAVEZ Director   11   COM   11   COM   11   COM   12   THE   13   my volume   14   hear myself   15   CON   Charter School/Options for   12   THE   13   my volume   14   hear myself   15   COM   Charter School/Options for   15   COM   15   COM   15   COM   15   COM   15   COM   15   COM   16   Carrillo.   16   Carrillo.   16   Carrillo.   16   Carrillo.   16   Carrillo.   17   COM   20   COM   Developed Barnes Mediation and Law, PC   18   COM   20	make sure we have enough of us here.
MICHAEL CHAVEZ, Member   5   GEORGINA DAVIS, Member   5   GEORGINA DAVIS, Member   7   COM	C .
S	ll right. It is 9:00 a.m. I'm going to
COM   Company   Company	meeting to order. Vice Chair/Secretary
DAVID ROBBINS, Member   7	or today, if you can call roll, please.
7	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Will do.
CORINA CHAVEZ Director	elissa Armijo is absent.
CORINA CHAYEZ Director   10	ebekka Burt. Chair Burt, you're muted.
10	HE CHAIR: Sorry. Did you call me?
The parents and Families   12   The parents and Families   13   my volume   14   hear myself   15   COM   16   Carrillo.   16   Carrillo.   17   Common   18   Carrillo.   18   Carrillo.   18   Carrillo.   19   Carrillo.   10   Carrillo.   17   Common   18   Carrillo.   18   Common   19   Carrillo.   19   Carrillo.   19   Common   19   Carrillo.   19   Carrill	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
Parents and Families	HE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry. I didn't have
MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator Charter School/Options for Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division   16	*
Training Administrator   Charter School/Options for   15   COM	me up yet, I didn't realize, so I could only
Charter School/Options for	
14	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner
1	
Barnes Mediation and Law, PC	OMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here.
17    200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7   20	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez.
18	OMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Here.
19	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis.
20	OMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.
23	
23	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.
24   25   25   (Nor	OMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
1	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.
1	lo response.)
1	
PAGE   2   Common	5
1   Call to Order, Roll Call, Pledge of A   Allegiance, Salute to the New Mexico Flag   3   COM	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Not here.
Allegiance, Salute to the New Mexico Flag  4	ommissioner Robbins.
2 Approval of Agenda         5         4         COM           5         3 Open Forum         7         5         COM           6         4 Consent Agenda         16         7         Voigt is her           7         5 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s)         17         8         We h           8         Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot         9         THE           9         THE         10         Voigt, if Lot           10         The GREAT Academy Contract         10         Voigt, if Lot           11         7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore         93         11         Allegiance, Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend         12         to the New           12         Contract Adding Grade Levels         12         to the New           13         B Discussion and Possible Action on Explore         9         13         COM           14         Implementation Year         14         (Pled           15         9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE         214         15         New           16         Implementation Year         16         THE           17         10         Report from Charter Schools Division         220         17         for approva </td <td>OMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.</td>	OMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.
5         3 Open Forum         7         6         COM           6         4 Consent Agenda         16         7         Voigt is her           7         5 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s)         17         8         We h           8         Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot         9         THE           10         The GREAT Academy Contract         10         Voigt, if Letter Interpretation on Explore 93           11         7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 93         11         Allegiance, Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend Contract Adding Grade Levels         12         to the New           13         8 Discussion and Possible Action on 207         13         COM           Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts Implementation Year         14         (Pled Implementation Year)           16         Implementation Year         15         New           16         THE         15         New           17         In Report from Charter Schools Division         220         17         for approval           18         12 Report from the Chair         238         19         THE           19         11 Reports from PEC Liaisons         225         18         COM           20         14 Adjourn         251         <	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.
6 COM 7 Voigt is her 8 Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot 9 THE 6 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s) 17 10 The GREAT Academy Contract 11 7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 93 Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend 12 Contract Adding Grade Levels 13 8 Discussion and Possible Action on 207 Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts Implementation Year 14 Implementation Year 15 9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE 214 Implementation Year 16 10 Report from Charter Schools Division 220 11 Reports from PEC Liaisons 225 11 Reports from the Chair 238 12 Report from the Chair 238 13 PEC Comments 243 24 ON Chair Bit Adjourn 251 25 ATTACHMENTS:	OMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
7       4 Consent Agenda       16       7 Voigt is her         8       5 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s)       17       8 We h         8       Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot       9 THE         10       The GREAT Academy Contract       10 Voigt, if I c         11       7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore       93       11 Allegiance, Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend         12       Contract Adding Grade Levels       12 to the New         13       8 Discussion and Possible Action on 207       13 COM         14       Implementation Year       14 (Pled)         15       9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE 214       15 New         16       THE         17       10 Report from Charter Schools Division 220       17 for approva         18       12 Report from Charter Schools Division 225       18 COM         18       12 Report from the Chair 238       19 THE         19       20 discussion?         20       14 Adjourn 251       22 (No r         21       REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253       23 THE         22       ATTACHMENTS:       24 by Chair But	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: And Commissioner
5 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s)       17       8       We h         8 Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot       9       THE         10 The GREAT Academy Contract       10 Voigt, if I c         11 7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore       93       11 Allegiance,         Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend       12 to the New         12 Contract Adding Grade Levels       12 to the New         13 8 Discussion and Possible Action on       207       13 COM         Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts       14 (Pled         15 9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE       214       15 New         16       THE         17       10 Report from Charter Schools Division       220       17 for approva         18       12 Report from PEC Liaisons       225       18 COM         19       13 PEC Comments       243       19 THE         20       14 Adjourn       251       20 discussion?         21       COM         22       (No r         23       THE         24       by Chair Br	
8         Removed from the Consent Agenda - Moot         9         THE           6         Discussion and Possible Action on 17 The GREAT Academy Contract         10         Voigt, if I control In Interest	
6 Discussion and Possible Action on 17 The GREAT Academy Contract 11 7 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 93 Academy-Las Cruces Request to Amend Contract Adding Grade Levels 13 8 Discussion and Possible Action on 207 Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts Implementation Year 14 Implementation Year 15 9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE 214 Implementation Year 16 10 Report from Charter Schools Division 220 17 11 Reports from PEC Liaisons 225 18 10 Report from the Chair 238 19 10 THE 10 Report From the Chair 238 10 THE 11 Reports From PEC Liaisons 243 20 COM 11 Adjourn 251 21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253 22 COM 23 ATTACHMENTS: 24 by Chair Bri	Ve have a quorum of eight.
10	HE CHAIR: Thank you. And, Commissioner
12	I could ask you to also lead the Pledge of
12	ace, and, Commissioner Carrillo, the Salute
13	ew Mexico Flag, please.
14	OMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay.
15       9 Discussion and Possible Action on THRIVE 214 Implementation Year       15       New Implementation Year         16       10 Report from Charter Schools Division 220       17       16       THE         17       17 for approva         18       18       COM         18       12 Report from the Chair 238       19       THE         19       20       discussion?         20       21       COM         20       14 Adjourn 251       22       (No r         21       REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253       23       THE         22       ATTACHMENTS:       24       by Chair Brown	ledge of Allegiance and Salute to the
Implementation Year   16	New Mexico Flag conducted.)
10   Report from Charter Schools Division   220   17   for approva	HE CHAIR: All right. Next, I will move
11 Reports from PEC Liaisons 225 18 COM 12 Report from the Chair 238 19 THE 13 PEC Comments 243 20 discussion? 20 14 Adjourn 251 22 (No response) REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253 23 THE 22 ATTACHMENTS: 24 by Chair Brown	oval of the agenda.
18       12 Report from the Chair       238       19       THE         19       20       discussion?         20       21       COM         21       22       (No r         21       REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE       253       23       THE         22       ATTACHMENTS:       24       by Chair But	_
19	OMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So moved.
13 PEC Comments   243   21   COM	HE CHAIR: Thank you. Any questions or
20	
21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253 23 THE 22 23 ATTACHMENTS: 24 by Chair Bu	OMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Or second, yeah.
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 253 23 THE 22 23 ATTACHMENTS: 24 by Chair Bu	No response.)
22 23 ATTACHMENTS: 24 by Chair Bu	HE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion
25 MINCHAELUS.	<del>-</del>
24 1 List of Panelists and Attendees 25 Vice	•
25	· <del>0</del> -, <b>/ 0                                </b>
24 1 List of Panelists and Attendees 25 Vice	r Burt, a second by Commissioner Carrillo. ice Chair Voigt, if you could

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Armijo. (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. And I do see Commissioner Manis is in the Attendees and will be coming over shortly. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Great. Thank you. Okay. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: I will abstain.

We have children out in these portables, and they are unsafe, unsanitary, and we need other places to put them.

We have teachers who are leaving us faster than we can keep them.

And we need other means of education for these children. And Explore Academy is a wonderful option for them.

We love Explore. My child has flourished there. And we've been really pleased with them. We need other options besides what has been offered with the public education option.

And we would like for this to be approved as quickly as possible, as we understand that it could take a lot more time than, unfortunately, we have, what has been offered here in the public school district. So we ask that this be approved as soon as possible. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Shepherd.

Missy, you're on mute.

MS. MISSY BROWN: Good. Because I was sure that I'm butchering this person's name. The next is -- I'm just going to say "Michelle." Promoting you to the panel.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Michelle. Can

The motion passes, seven, with one abstention.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

THE CHAIR: All right. Next is Open Forum. I know we have eight folks signed up to speak. So, Missy, I'm going to ask you for all your powers in helping me facilitate.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And Commissioner

MS. MISSY BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
So first on our list is Rebekah Shepherd.
Rebekah, I'm moving you to the panel so that you can unmute yourself and speak.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Those of you signed up to speak, please introduce yourself first, make sure you state your first and last name, and please try to limit your comments to no more than two minutes, please.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hi. My name is Rebekah Shepherd. I am a parent here in Las Cruces. I am an education advocate also.

And I spoke with the current Board president here in Las Cruces about a year and a half ago. And there are currently no plans to open a new school, even though they know that we have hundreds of homes being built here, and many, many students with nowhere to put them except portables, which are not acceptable.

you go ahead and unmute yourself, introduce, and try and keep your comments under two minutes, please.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Hello. My name is Michelle Toenniges. And my son has attended Explore Academy this last year, and he has done so well. And I love the model. And he has a half-brother who is -- would be eligible for the elementary school in a couple of years. And I think it would be a great benefit to have this as an option.

My son's probably going to be -- my son is going to be going through their high school program, if we can -- I don't know how all that works. But he's going to stay as long as he possibly can. And I'm really excited about Explore and really would like to encourage you to vote yes.

Thank you so much.

MS. MISSY BROWN: All right. Moving back to Attendee.

And our next speaker is Maria Silva.

Maria, I've moving you to the panel.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Good morning. My name is Maria Silva. I'm the receptionist at the Explore Academy right now. And, currently, we are getting

lots of calls for elementary students to attend

Thank you.

Voigt votes yes.

there. Unfortunately, we don't have that yet for students as an option.

The reason why parents are calling for an option is either too many kids in the classroom for them, their students, to be learning. Another issue is they just want a community, and they've heard that Explore Academy offers that through the middle school. So they would like for their students to attend there.

So we are really trying to get this elementary approved for those students who would need an option, other than their public schools. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. MISSY BROWN: Oops. Our next speaker is Liz Barrow.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Liz. You're on -- there you go. You're good.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hi. My name is Liz Barrow. I am -- I'm from Las Cruces, and I've been a teacher for 15 years, and I'm a parent of a nineand a six-year-old. And they're currently going to public school here, but they have attended another charter here in town in Las Cruces.

I would just love as a parent to have more

FROM THE PUBLIC: Sorry. All right. So can you see me?

MS. MISSY BROWN: We cannot.

THE CHAIR: We can't see you, but we can hear you. Yeah. Now we can see you. Now you're good.

FROM THE PUBLIC: So my name is Miriam Shepherd. I believe that Las Cruces needs another elementary school. The reason I think so is that there's not enough space in the schools here.

They're putting a lot of kids in portables. In fifth grade, I was actually put in a portable, and it was not fun. There was no Internet for a lot of the first semester. It was hot, and, during recess, it was very loud. Our portable did not have a lot of supplies. Our teacher had to bring in a lot of his own supplies. And we basically just did the best that we could.

At Monte Vista Elementary School now, there are ten portables, because there's not enough space in the actual building. I also want an elementary school here, because I have two little brothers that I think would really love to come to Explore.

options for my two kids. I would love for them to be in a smaller classroom environment.

I've heard so many great things about Explore. I am planning on sending my daughter for middle school. I was hoping they would have elementary school. So I, too, would also love for this to be passed.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. MISSY BROWN: Next we have Ifra Kamran.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hi. My name is Ifra Kamran. And I am an Explore Academy student. I think having K through fifth would be beneficial for students, because the students will get a lot more attention from the teachers because it's such a small school. So I think the students will, like, be educated for, like, good reasons. And, like, the flavors are, like, more exciting. So K through 5th can have more options than having only, like, one option.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. You did a great job.

MS. MISSY BROWN: Next we have Miriam Shepherd. As soon as I can find you -- there you

Thank you very much for your time.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Miriam. You also did a wonderful job. Good job.

MS. MISSY BROWN: Next, we have Noemi Hewitt. I'm sorry if I've mispronounced your name.

FROM THE PUBLIC: All-righty. I do apologize if I'm having some computer issues. I'm on a different computer than usual.

THE CHAIR: That's okay. We can hear you fine.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Good. I just wanted to speak for the not neuro-typical children. I know a lot of kids work just fine in a regular school system. I just feel that my children personally had a lot of issues in the regular school system.

And my oldest son is at Explore Academy. He's flourished compared to how he struggled in regular school. And I have a younger son who's -- we've been homeschooling, because we do not feel that he'd be able to keep pace in a normal classroom. And I've talked to a lot of parents in town who feel like that's their only option, to homeschool, because they feel that a regular school system just isn't going to meet their needs of their

	14		16
1	child that's just not the normal	1	MS. MISSY BROWN: That's all we have,
2	middle-of-the-bell-curve child and needs special	2	Madam Chair.
3	attention and needs something a little different.	3	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you, Missy,
4	And I really do feel that Explore Academy	4	and thank you, everyone who came for Open Forum. We
5	would offer that at the elementary school level,	5	really appreciate it.
6	which is definitely needed from all the parents that	6	All right. The next item is Item No. 4,
7	I do talk to in town.	7	the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the
8	And that's all I'd like to say. Thank you	8	Consent Agenda.
9	for your time.	9	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	10	THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion
11	MS. MISSY BROWN: And, finally, we have	11	by Chair Burt and a second by Vice Chair Voigt.
12	Gabriela Graham, if I can find you.	12	Any discussion or comments?
13	FROM THE PUBLIC: Good morning. Can you	13	All right. Seeing none, Vice Chair Voigt,
14	hear me?	14	if you could take roll.
15	THE CHAIR: Yes, we can hear you.	15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Commissioner
16	FROM THE PUBLIC: Good morning. I am	16	Burt.
17	Gabriela Graham. And I'm with the board,	17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	vice president, of the Las Cruces Explore Academy.	18	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner
19	And when the middle-school Explore Academy was	19	Carrillo.
20	first they were trying to vote on it and stuff, I	20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
21	was really involved with that, backing it up. And	21	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez.
22	I'm so glad that it's here.	22	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
23	I have a seventh-grader going into eighth	23	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis.
24	grade that attends there. And I've had a lot of	24	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
25	parents that I've some of them that I've	25	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.
	15		17
1		1	
1 2	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are	1 2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer,	1 2 3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.
2 3	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary	2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2 3 4	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school	2 3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.
2 3 4 5	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt votes yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo? (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent. That passes with nine. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Robbins? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my phone number brought online, because my audio on my computer is still messed up. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary, only because if you start with the younger kids with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  THANK you, Missy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary, only because if you start with the younger kids with the model, by the time they're up in high school,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Thank you, Missy.  All right. That moves us to Item No. 6,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary, only because if you start with the younger kids with the model, by the time they're up in high school, they're really, really going to achieve a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Thank you, Missy.  All right. That moves us to Item No. 6,  Discussion and Possible Action on The GREAT Academy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary, only because if you start with the younger kids with the model, by the time they're up in high school, they're really, really going to achieve a lot of things and be successful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Thank you, Missy.  All right. That moves us to Item No. 6,  Discussion and Possible Action on The GREAT Academy  Contract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recruited into Explore Academy, others that are interested in what Explore Academy has to offer, asking when they're going to open up an elementary because a lot of their their middle school students have siblings, and the model that they use is something that the kids really enjoy.  You see the atmosphere there at Explore Academy, more of a community-family type environment. All the kids pretty much get along with everybody. You can see that when they go to school.  I know my son, in regular school, he didn't want to go and stuff. But with Explore Academy, it changed all that. And you can see that, like I said, in the kids when they arrive, because I have the opportunity to take them to school. And you see them all greeting each other and everything.  Usually, you don't see a whole lot of that. But I back the idea of having an elementary, only because if you start with the younger kids with the model, by the time they're up in high school, they're really, really going to achieve a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.  COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Voigt  votes yes.  Commissioner Armijo?  (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Absent.  That passes with nine.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Commissioner Robbins?  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I get my  phone number brought online, because my audio on my  computer is still messed up. Thank you.  THE CHAIR: Thank you.  Thank you, Missy.  All right. That moves us to Item No. 6,  Discussion and Possible Action on The GREAT Academy

been several things that have happened since our last meeting. They are all posted in your documents. I am not sure if you want to take action or not, but I just wanted to explain where we are.

At the last meeting, you all voted to approve a contract with a three-year term. So that went to the board of The GREAT Academy.

In the interim, between the time that the contract was sent from you to the other board, which is appropriate to request that they accept a three-year term, we did get clarification from the Secretary that it was the Secretary's position that it should be a five-year term.

What that allowed was one option for -under the Charter School Act, which is what you had already done, which is send over a three-year contract to the other board, to The GREAT Academy board, and ask them to accept the three-year term.

They did not accept that.

In addition, they did -- well, then what they did is sent it to -- let me back up just a minute.

Prior to The GREAT Academy board taking action, they asked the Executive Committee here at the PEC to call a special meeting for you all to

that you want to supplement, you could direct the Chair and me to supplement that.

I don't -- right now, starting this conversation, there's not anything that I think that I want to ask you to supplement. So I don't know of anything.

You -- you, of course, may ask us to do it. But I'm just letting you know that I don't think that there's anything that needs to happen.

The last thing is that because July 11th is after July 1st, which is when a contract should be in place, Sue Fox clarified with the Public Education Department that a July 11th date and later decision by the Deputy would not impact their ability to enter into a contract or to get a budget in place.

The lawyer for PED responded and said that's -- they've determined that that is not a problem, that it -- that they -- that The GREAT Academy is on track to get a budget; The GREAT Academy is on track to ultimately have some type of contract.

So where we are is a July 11th date to supplement, if anyone wants to do it. And then the Deputy will issue a written decision without a

reconsider what you had done.

The Executive Committee made a decision not to convene a special meeting, but to ask The GREAT Academy board to leave it as is, which is your -- you were asking The GREAT Academy board to accept a three-year term.

So they did ask that. The Executive Committee did not call a special meeting, and The GREAT Academy board did not accept your three-year contract.

The GREAT Academy then mov--- submitted documentation of a contract impasse to the Cabinet Secretary because you all had not met. But they filed it on an urgent basis.

The Executive Committee authorized me to file a response.

I'm going to finish the procedure and go back to a little bit of detail. And I'm sorry. There's a lot of things -- there's several things that have happened.

Now, there is also a letter from the Secretary appointing Deputy Bannerman to be the Secretary's designee. And they have allowed, if anyone wants to, for supplemental information to be provided until July 11th. So if there's something hearing.

Let me tell you -- I'm going to pause there, but there's more I need to tell you. Anybody have any questions right now? Corina?

THE CHAIR: Just want to remind Commissioners, if you do have a question, it's most helpful for me if you raise your digital hand so that you move up to the top spot on my screen.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. I just wanted to clarify what you were saying, Ms. Barnes, about the -- the clarification that came from the PED around -- the school's budget talked about them having budget authority, absent of a signed contract in place by July 1. I think I heard you say something slightly different.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. Let me just see -- I'll just read you what I have and -- sorry. Hold on.

And I can post these e-mails.

The School Budget staff is currently operating under the premise of approving The GREAT Academy's budget, notwithstanding whether the contract is in place by June 30 in this instance.

That -- I just read to you what Aaron Rodriguez, General Counsel, indicated.

2.

So maybe there's a very similar -- to me,
the ultimate take-away is that the -- there's not
a -- the budget process is continuing. And so
Director Chavez may be raising -- I think they're
saying the same thing. I was just reading you what
Aaron Rodriguez said.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.
Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Quick comment.
That's all. And then I'll listen to whatever
Ms. Barnes has to say.
So I would have you all think about, for a

So I would have you all think about, for a moment as we're considering this, why do we even exist? Why does the PEC even exist?

Okay. That's my comment for now, and I have a lot for later.

THE CHAIR: All right. Ms. Barnes?
MS. JULIA BARNES: So the -- the impasse document contained two things. One was telling the Cabinet Secretary that The GREAT Academy had rejected the request by the PEC for a three-year term.

As I mentioned, in the interim, from your meeting until their response, the Secretary indicated that -- it was the Secretary's position

of ways, and that you had asked them continually to spend money in the classroom.

And we highlighted information that I have confirmed with Melissa Sanchez that ended up with Keisha Matthews being able to -- I'll just -- these are my words -- cash out her accrued leave.

And I highlighted that, and I can explain it in more detail. But that -- it was another current example of a concern that -- that the PEC had raised in the past.

The second point about having more funds available for the classroom is that Melissa has in- -- believes, and has provided information to us, that the amount paid in rent exceeds the amount needed for the mortgage by the Foundation.

So there's additional funds not -- that doesn't go directly to the mortgage, and that the school could look to negotiate a lower rent payment with the Foundation, because, now, under the condition, there's very, very limited things that the Foundation can use that money for.

Now, I checked with Commissioner Robbins, who's taken many of my calls this last month with specific technical questions.

It's possible that -- I don't -- I am not

that nothing in the order from the Court gave you power to require a three-year term, just to ask The GREAT Academy to accept a three-year term.

The second thing they said is the subcommittee of the PEC, approved by the PEC, had indicated that 68 percent of the GREAT Academy budget needed to be spent in the classroom, effectively.

And that was a condition -- the general topic was a condition, outlined by the Secretary, asking that that be a condition. The provision that you adopted for the -- that condition was 68 percent to be spent in the classroom.

They -- the school indicated that they weren't sure that they could do that, primarily with a lower number of students that they have right now, and they wanted it reduced to 60 percent.

The response that we provided indicated what I believe I've heard from the Commission -- but, certainly, heard from the Executive Committee, but I felt pretty comfortable that I heard it from all of -- from you as a body -- that the -- the school had, in the past, and continues now, to provide funds to Jasper Matthews and Keisha Matthews from State funding that benefits them in a variety

sure if they're only using lease reimbursement payment. You can't use lease reimbursement payments in the classroom.

But to the extent that they are using SEG funds as part of that payment, and that -- we -- we, in the response, indicated that we thought that if they were using any SEG payments going to the Foundation -- which I do not know if that's true or not -- they should put that into the classroom.

The last things that I've been telling you are the reasons that I felt it was important to file a response, because of the second issue.

I think the things that have happened after your last meeting mean that -- I don't -- I believe that the Secretary has already indicated, and the Deputy will very likely follow -- not -- having a five-year term, not a three-year term.

So I think that we didn't know things on that day last month. We had not gotten a response. We have gotten a response; they have rejected. So a series of things have happened that I think will lead to the Deputy imposing a five-year term.

I believe that we kind of ran through all the options, in my view, in a very, very appropriate way and the only way. How do you ask a board to

accept a three-year term unless you ask the board to accept a three-year term? That's what you did.

I believed that it was important to continue to put forward the concerns that this Commission has indicated and that the Executive Committee felt was important to put forward on how they are spending their money.

And then, just to reiterate, Melissa Sanchez was out of town, and I wanted to make sure my information was correct, that -- and she has gotten back to me. She has reviewed the response that we filed, and she has indicated to me that it is correct to -- given the information she has.

So I believe we have presented correct factual -- that was my primary concern was I was -- I was wanting to check with her, specifically. Director Chavez got me in touch with her. She got back to me and tells me that it's correct on the information that she has.

So, at this point, we wanted to put it on the agenda, and we have.

And that's pretty much my presentation. I can go into more detail, but that's -- that's where we are.

Chair, did you want me to add anything

know what you want us to supplement with. So if there's arguments I didn't raise or concerns that you have -- again, it was the Executive Committee that submitted that response because you hadn't met as a -- as a board. But we could supplement with more things that you want to present.

I don't know of many other things. I don't know of any other way to require a three-year term.

The law allows a three-year term under the Charter School Act only by agreement of the parties. And they didn't agree. And we have made the argument that this is an unusual thing, that there's many things in those conditions that are very unusual under the Charter School law, and, in my view, modify the Charter law.

For example, typically, charter schools make their own budgets, you know, that you don't have any say in that. But the conditions allowed you to do that.

But -- so I made the argument that they should give you that authority. But I think that, with the clarification that was received after your meeting, there's not really an option there.

So I see two: Give in, and not give in or

else, or --

2.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I would like you to add what options we may have today. Like, what -- what would -- what could Commissioners be looking for to -- as an action item today, if any?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think -- well, I think you have a couple.

One is you could say, "Enough is enough," and you could direct me, and you, to -- to accept the contract as they want it, which would be five years and 60 percent funding going to -- into the classroom.

We chose 68 percent, because several years ago, that was a performance indicator for charter schools in House Bill 2 money. That has not continued. But it had a basis in something done several years ago.

They have indicated that that is difficult for them, and they have suggested to the Secretary a 60 percent -- so 68 to 60.

So you could say -- you can say, "Let's go ahead and withdraw any objections," say that the -- direct the Chair to sign the contract as they would like it to be, and we could reform that and do that.

A second option that you have is to let us

whatever. Agree to what they said.

No. 2, put in more things that you want to say; or, 3, rest on what we've done.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. So I'm going to echo Commissioner Carrillo's -- why are we here? I fully get that. You know, it's really frustrating -- I've talked to authorizers where there is no appeal process. Their decision is it, and they don't go -- you know. So if an authorizer says, "You're closed," you're closed. Boom.

An authorizer says, "It's a three-year contract," it's a three-year contract. There's no ability to appeal.

And there's always a piece of me that says, "Wow, is that a great world to live in."

But then there's that other piece of me that says, you know, an appeals process -- you know, due process is important. So it's a -- it's a tension there with that.

My -- you know, my personal sentiments are I'm willing to say enough is enough with the three-year. I didn't, obviously -- record -- I didn't support it the last time. I don't think

that's a battle that's worth battling at this point in time, because it's obvious that we're not going to get supported. So move on.

But I'm not willing to move off of the 68 percent. That's where, you know, the rubber hits the road with me. And there's a piece of me that says, you know, we're miring them in continued legal fees, and we're asking them to budget more money. So we're spending down their money.

But I still -- you know, those of us that sat on the contract subcommittee went through those multiple pie charts that made little to no sense. And it didn't make it any clearer to me that they couldn't do the 68 percent.

So I'm -- you know, I know that's going to send us down, most likely, a continued legal battle, potentially. But I'm willing to say do it, the five years, but I'm not supportive of coming off the 68 percent.

You know, I understand -- there's a piece of me that understands, to some extent, that their lease payment may be more than the mortgage, because the Foundation owns the building. So I think the Foundation has to build in some money for cap -- for, you know, keeping the building up.

1 I just want to clarify that. I don't know 2 that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. But I think most schools end up doing that. And David, once again, can correct me on that. But I -- because the lease reimbursement never equals what their lease is. So that money's got to come from somewhere.

But that's it for now. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would echo some of what Pattie said relative to, you know, keeping up a lease and things like that. And that's why oftentimes a lease payment is more than a mortgage, just like a landlord has to do with a property.

So there is no circumstance under which -- and I say zero circumstance under which I would support five years for this school or would I support lowering the bar from 68 to 60 percent.

I think it's absolutely abhorrent and irresponsible and not in the interest of the kids for them to even suggest something like that, and their board.

I mean, to me, it shows -- it continues to show the incompetence of this school, their board, and their administration.

So they pay the mortgage, but they also have to -- they're obligated to keep the building up. So they have to, I think, build in some money as any landlord does.

But David can better speak to that, I think. And I get it that there's SEG money that's doing that. And that's where we run into some concerns, because schools aren't supposed to -- if you don't own your building, you're not supposed to be paying for the improvements on the building. But, ultimately, you are through your -- through your lease.

But I think looking at how much extra that payment may be beyond -- it may be unreasonably beyond what the mortgage is. So it would be interesting if we could find out how much the landlord has paid in improvements and having to do upkeep on the building and different --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Commissioner Gipson, I just want to clarify that I do not know if they are using SEG. That's one piece of data.

So what we put in the response -- I don't think it changes anything that you just said. But we put in the response is, "If you are using SEG money, then you should consider a reduction."

I can't -- beyond this, why -- it's like they're digging in for the sake of digging in.

We have to ask, and they have to ask, and their board has to ask, which I don't think anyone posed the question to them, "Gee. If we take three years -- or if we fight for five years instead of three, what do we stand to actually gain quantitatively? What are we going to gain by asking for that?"

And the answer is absolutely nothing. The only thing they may be able to say is, "Yeah, we showed that PEC again. We just got them no matter what."

And you know what you've got? You've got Sue Fox. That's what you have. You're making this woman and their firm -- it's just, like -- and I really like them personally, I think they're amazing attorneys. They're the only ones benefiting from all of this. This school's desire, ongoing desire to litigate and fight about everything -- and this, in particular -- I've got to go back to saying, "What did you stand to gain from this?"

And it's absolutely nothing.

The -- got some notes here also. The -- you know, your school, with all due respect to

2.

you -- and I don't know why I use that term in this case -- would likely not even be open if it weren't for a technicality in the last hearing, okay? It wasn't -- that's why you're -- that's why the district attorney sided with you. Because we actually did, in some ways, not cross our T's and dot our I's to the extent that we should have.

And you're right. That's a learning experience for us, and I can assure you that this Commission, right now, will learn from that, and that will never happen again.

I'm looking at just my notes here as this was going on.

I think that the Secretary and the Deputy, I don't think they know the history of this for them to make those decisions. I think they're kind of clueless about the last, you know, 15 -- 16 months. And that's another thing that just makes me crazy about why this is even on the agenda again, because it's been on the agenda for virtually every meeting that I have been on the Commission. So that's probably at least 15 meetings. And it's always the same thing.

So I have to say get your act together, okay? Quit coming to us. Quit making things

But contrary to what Pattie said, this is a hill I am willing to die on.

Why does the Public Education Commission even exist? If we don't stand up for ourselves and our charge as a Commission to oversee our authority in authorizing charters, why are we here? This makes me think that this Commission doesn't need to exist. It was some superfluous thing that Richardson and all the others did however many years ago, and they really didn't think it through.

I agree with Pattie on her point, however. I like that there's an appeal process, because due process is a foundation of our country. I don't like the idea of us just being able to say, you know, "This is the way it is, you have absolutely no recourse." That's not really fair.

And, absolutely, both parties need to -that's why it's called a contract; right? Both
parties need to agree together to something. But
there is no logical or principled or reasoned
argument for them to not to have accepted the three
years, other than their collective egos. And that
doesn't serve the kids.

And I am over this school and the time that they take and the money they take and the money

difficult. Quit using your SEG money for legal,
okay? Because I don't think your board was told -I think it was incredibly irresponsible, maybe, for
you not to tell your board, "When you spend this
money on legal, do you know where this comes from?

It comes from the classroom. It comes from their

How incredibly irresponsible of you to say that you just want to fight this again and again and again. And you have absolutely nothing to gain by five years instead of three.

desks. It comes from their whiteboards. It comes

from their staffing."

In the three-year term, show us that you're going to lift your proficiency rates, your graduation rates. Show us that you're going to comply with the 68 percent instead of -- instead of a ridiculously low bar of 60. Show us all that in three years, and then, absolutely, you can say -- we would say, "Yeah, this school has really turned a corner. We're extremely proud of the efforts they've taken, and we're going to renew them now for five years."

In three years, we'll make that decision. You know, this passed, I believe it was five to three, and I don't know what it would pass today. and time they waste. That's it for now. But I certainly reserve the right to continue later.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: (Indicates.)

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. The issue about the lease, I think, has been talked about. There's no requirement that the lease payments equal a mortgage payment, because there's so many factors in a mortgage.

Yeah, the mortgage -- you know, the lessor could have no mortgage, and they just own it, and they're getting the return on their equity.

They could have a 5 percent; they could have an 8 percent loan. You know, we don't know what that is.

The general rule the PSCOC has -- and I think that we should be looking at -- is, is the lease rate a market-based rate, or are they paying in excess of a market-based rate? That's a big issue.

The other issue that comes in -- and this is where I think the 68 percent is so critical, that we enforce that -- if their school has lost a lot of students and their SEG is down, they need to right-size.

2.

You know, this is the thing -- this is the thing that the LFC said about APS. They've lost 20,000 students, but yet they're talking about only reducing staffing by a couple of hundred when it should be 1,000 in employees if they're overstaffed.

I believe the excess difference between what they want, the 60 percent, and the 68 percent, to me is non-negotiable, because the salaries of head administrator and other key individuals need to be adjusted for the size of that school and the number of students they have, because if they are losing students for whatever reason, that means those head people are not doing their job in retaining and attracting students, and there should be consequences for that.

I don't want to punish the students, but I don't want to reward people who run a charter school for their own benefit financially. And they need to bite the bullet and move money back into the classroom as we have directed.

The three-year and five-year, again, I'm kind of with Commissioner Gipson. It's not something -- because the PED is probably going to overrule us on that. But we can continue to monitor during that term. We will continue to monitor if

contract was based, at least in part, on the academic performance of the kids, you know. For -- that was right before I -- I was elected to the Commission. But, I mean, that -- it was based on academic performance.

So what -- what has happened -- I mean, what have we seen regarding that? Or has COVID wiped out any ability for us to evaluate that performance over the last couple of years? That's kind of one question.

Another comment I have is, you know, I feel like if these -- if they had been as tenacious with the academic rigor in their school and educating their kids as they are trying to stay alive or stay -- keep their contract in place, we wouldn't even be having this discussion at all, you know, if they had been as tenacious in educating their kids.

Is the Secretary -- I mean, obviously, the Secretary has the same information on academic performance and so forth. What is the basis by which the Secretary is making the decision to -- to -- to continue to allow this school to be in operation?

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes, maybe -- I mean,

1 my -- there was a court decision; but...

 $\label{eq:commissioner} COMMISSIONER\ TAYLOR:\ Right.\ No.\ I\ get$  that.

THE CHAIR: That's why the school is open. And then the Secretary said that we needed to just follow the law, which says that the PEC could propose a shorter term if the other party agrees as well.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.

THE CHAIR: And then the other party didn't agree. So that's why -- the legal basis behind that is that.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.

THE CHAIR: And then I would say for the academic part, there's been a CAP that we've been following, and Corina and the team have been looking at. So you can see a lot of the academic data in the Corrective Action Plan as well.

Corina, do you want -- can you respond to Commissioner Taylor?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. So I think that where we're at, Commissioner Taylor, goes back a while, and that is the basis for the decision for nonrenewal, and then the case that went before both the Secretary and then, really, the -- the district

there are issues. And I really hope that we get a response on the special audit and that we get a

response on the special audit and that we get a response from the referral to the AG and the FBI, hopefully within less than -- much less than three years.

And I think that those questions will

And I think that those questions will answer the question whether or not they get extended or are able to continue for a full five-year.

So right now, no reducing the 68 percent requirement. I'm okay with a five-year. But, you know, the school really, as Commissioner Carrillo said, really needs to take a clue. The board and the people running the school need to understand that school does not exist for their benefit. It exists for the benefit of students.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Thank you. I

just -- I have just a couple of comments.

First of all, bravo, Commissioner Carrillo. Thank you for your passionate response. And I think you -- you summed up a lot of what many

of us are feeling as far as the school goes.

So I just -- the original -- the original recommendation for nonrenewal was based -- of their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court judge. And so we can't erase that history. That exists; right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And I believe that, you know, the basis for wanting to not renew the school perhaps was not articulated as carefully as it could have been. And I think that in the end, that's what -- that's what the Secretary needs to consider, the full set of information that exists in record starting back to that.

As you know, we had a Corrective Action Plan that focused primarily on academic and some organizational performance indicators. So we were closely looking at how the school performed relative to NWEA assessment data, how they performed relative to supporting seniors towards graduation and implementing a special ed program. It did not include a financial Corrective Action Plan.

So at this point, taking into consideration those issues sort of is bringing up new information.

But you all have a proposal on the table. And so the -- you know, correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Barnes. But right now, the Secretary and the Secretary's designated deputy, Secretary --

to go that other direction.

The contract -- and they have not asked that this be changed -- has in it that if anything comes out of any of those letters that gives rise to your ability to revoke their charter, even though it was in this last charter, that is preserved. They have not objected to that. They have reserved the same rights. But I'm just talking to you about what your rights are.

So that is in the contract. It is preserved, it is in place, and it is not being asked as a contract impasse.

I'm not thinking that the Deputy will look at the full record. There's only two things that they've asked: Five years and 60 percent spent in the classroom.

So this -- because of the court hearing, there's no ability to reopen everything. And they've asked for those two things.

I'm sure that she will look at those two things carefully.

22 THE CHAIR: All right. 23 Commissioner Chavez.

> COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. Thank you. I just want to -- I just want to go on record and just

43

45

Dr. Vickie Bannerman, will take a look at the full record

And the most recent, which is statute says there has to be an agreement for a shorter term, they're not agreeing to that. But the conditions that you wanted to place on the contract is still under consideration.

So that's my understanding of where we are and what would be contemplated with the more -- with the decision that is pending from Deputy Secretary Bannerman.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you, Director Chavez.

THE CHAIR: I'll have Ms. Barnes follow up, if that's okay, Commissioner Taylor. She can help respond as well.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I agree with a lot of what Director Chavez just said. But I want to clarify a couple of things.

There isn't a financial CAP because you submitted all of the Letters of Concern to the State Auditor that's presently pending with a special audit, to the AG, to the Licensure Bureau, and to the FBI.

So it was intentional to not do a CAP but

say that I totally agree with Commissioner Carrillo. And, well, you took the words right out of my mouth in a lot of things.

And, you know, I know Commissioner Carrillo had said, you know, the last 15 months or so, you know, this has been on the radar, this has been on the agenda.

But it actually goes -- it goes beyond that. It went before the new members came on board, the new Commissioners came on board. And they've been on the radar for a long time.

And I do question. I question, why do we exist, if at any time, you know, this Commission that spends so much time and energy in doing what we believe is right -- and as long as I've been on the Commission, the Commission has always, always had kids at the center of everything that we do.

Always. It's always been the kids first.

And the second thing that I've always have seen is that the Commission has been there to make sure that our charter schools are being good stewards of taxpayer money.

And those are the two big things that we have always focused on. And as long as charter schools could show us that they were addressing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kids, doing a good job with their budgets, you know, that there has not been any trouble, you know, approving charters or any tension whatsoever.

And so this is just -- it's frustrating. And I think what Commissioner Carrillo expressed is the same type of frustration that we're all feeling, because I do not believe, just those two factors there, that this school has done, or do they even want to, or they're just so defiant in maintaining what they believe, when you've got a Commission that is asking and trying to get some accountability for all this.

And you're right, Commissioner Carrillo. You know, the -- there's nothing more frustrating than money, taxpayer money that's meant for kids, that's meant for the classrooms, to have to be spent on legal fees. And it's just crazy.

And so I just -- I just needed to stand with that, because I'm in total agreement with Commissioner Carrillo and what he stated.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, I also want to stand with Commissioner Carrillo. He said absolutely everything that I was thinking and

that we're not going to. There is no legal basis to keep it. It's a very emotional argument and not a practical one at this point.

I did support proposing the three-year and following the avenue that we did have in order to try to do the three-year. But they -- following that process, they did not accept it.

And so I feel like that's where we're at is it's going to be a five-year. So I guess I'm not going to really waste my time and energy trying to fight for something that's just impractical. And so I'm just not going to support sending our legal team to defend something that we are, very, very practically, not going to win. So I'm just not going to spend my time and effort supporting something like that.

But I will say I think the matter at hand is that 68 versus 60 percent. And I just do think, when I look at the salaries of the administration of this school, I mean, in previous years, they have been close to the APS superintendent's salary. I mean -- and it's just so inappropriate.

We have another school we're going to be talking to a little bit later that's going to be opening this year. And I know one of the things

47

49

feeling. And also Commissioner Chavez. So I would just like to say no. No. So thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. I want to check in with any other Commissioners who have not yet spoken before I speak.

All right. So I would say -- I guess I'm a -- I have a little more practicality in my mind, like, I'm a much more, like, narrow-focused person when it comes to decisions like this. And so I see it as a little bit less emotional than what Commissioner Carrillo came off as.

I know there's frustration, but I think, like, I'm so focused at the task at hand that I'm, like, okay, well, being very reasonable and just practical about it, we don't have -- you know, the same kind of argument of, like, why would they use their legal dollars to fight something is the same argument I'm going to use for if the Commission is going to try to continue this battle for a three-year.

We will not win that. There is no legal basis to continue an argument for a three-year. So why would we spend our legal -- which is also taxpayer dollars, mind you. I don't -- I'm not going to support using our legal to fight something they had on their radar was they had two administrators. "So we're each going to take a lower salary than one administrator."

I think that's the appropriate way that people do administration in small schools is, if you're going to have multiple people doing it, you take smaller salaries.

And you know what? Those charters that are starting up, they're going to be doing -they're wearing multiple hats; they're doing multiple jobs. They're going to be teaching sometimes; they're going to be doing the special ed. And you don't pay yourself extra for doing those.

I've spoken with many small district superintendents who are also the superintendent, the high school principal, the special ed director. And they don't get paid, you know, 200 grand a year, 150 grand a year. They just -- it's just not a real thing.

And so I am disappointed when I see the salaries of administration for a charter with 100-plus kids making so much money.

And I do see that that's taking from those kids, you know, that when you give more money to the administrators, you are taking that money from

2.

students who -- you know, the school openly says it's supporting students who are at risk. How could -- I just -- in good conscience, how can you take -- those students need more money. They need more energy; they need more resources; they need more supports.

2.

And so that's where I -- I -- that's my reason for not budging on that 68 percent. I don't think that's an irrational number. We're not asking for 90 percent to go -- you know, like, 68 percent is still, I feel, very reasonable and attainable if you -- if your focus is doing that.

If your focus is making sure that you are spending money in the classroom and not on administration, it can be done. And I think if you're -- if there's a struggle to do that, you should reach out to other small charters and see how they spend their money on their students and not on administration.

So, in all practicality, once again, I'm just going to stay focused on the issue we have at hand. I think the other conditions that have been outlined, they're not being disputed. There's a lot of conditions on academics, on graduation rate. We really went in depth on those, and I feel like

belittle our judgment and our -- and our role. I feel like -- I bet you every legislator who passes a law and then it gets overturned gets super upset. Like, "What am I even doing? We have the right thing."

So I think we have a really important role. I think the response that Ms. Barnes gave is a strong response. So I would definitely encourage Commissioners, if you have -- if you read through that response and there's additional, like, strong arguments to be conveyed, I would say that's a really great use of our time moving forward on this issue. Because I think our response just needs to be strong and pointed about this particular issue.

And so I think, as the Executive Committee, when we talked about it, we really took the arguments that we heard the PEC make on the last meeting. We didn't -- I don't think we added any of our own to it as well. We really stuck with what the PEC has expressed previously.

But I think that's a really important piece moving forward is what else does Julia need to argue to keep that 68 percent? And, right now, I think she's done a really great job in the response to the Secretary to kind of outline the things that

they're very solid conditions for a five-year term.

We always have an opportunity to revoke, which I know that's what Director Chavez's argument was from the beginning. But I like the pressure of a three-year, get it done, get it going, move it fast, you know.

But we do have a lot of other conditions to address these concerns moving into the future. So trying to stay at the issue on hand, that's -- that's where I'm going to take my very practical standpoint on it, kind of take all the emotion out of it.

And, you know, I guess I -- I guess I -- I have a -- I don't know. I don't know if I even need to address it. But I do -- I think the PEC's decision -- I hear Commissioner Carrillo. Why are we here if we make a decision and get -- I'm sure every district court judge is frustrated when their decision gets overturned at the Court of Appeals.

And then every Court of Appeals judge is super -- what am I here for if they're going to overturn at the next level? I mean, we're not the Supreme Court; right?

It's part of the process; it's part of what happens. So I don't -- I'm not going to

I know are frustrating for Commissioners and for myself about that administrative spending.

And so I do hope if you have, like, a very specific comment to make that Julia can add to her response, that would be a really -- this is a really great time to do it. This is your only time to do it, actually.

All right. I'm going to go -- before I go back to the folks who have spoken before, I'll call on Commissioner Voigt, and then I'll go back in order again.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Okay. We need to breathe. But, okay. I just want to say that, you know, in the vote, I knew a three-year wasn't going to pass. And I think I mentioned that when I was placing my vote, because I knew it would lead to further legal action. And so here we are.

And I just want to say that, you know, everyone knows that this school has had issues for a long time because of some key people.

But for us to continue to publicly shame them, I think is hugely inappropriate. And this is not what the PEC does, people. I mean -- and we

need to make that clear to our schools.

We're not here to continue to shame schools. And everyone knows. And you know what? When we start personalizing this, that's when rants start happening. And, you know, we need to really keep this in a perspective.

I do support the 68 percent. I think that is a legitimate thing to ask, especially with their financial concerns. But I'm really asking that we can maintain some decorum and less emotion within our thinking and our decision-making.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm next.

Commissioner Robbins has spoken, and now we're starting over.

THE CHAIR: Sorry. He's at the beginning of my -- so when you raise your hand, it puts you in order for me.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I was in the same order.

THE CHAIR: When you raise your hand, you go to the front of your line, when you raise your own hand. So it's different from if you don't.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. This is

essential.

I think we have an obligation to ensure, you know, even though we don't get to affect the budget -- and I think that is a flaw in statute. It's a flaw we don't get some review and approval of their budgets, that it's strictly PED, because the PED says, "Sure, that's fine, no problem," then it's out of our hands.

But we're the authorizer. If we're the authorizer, and we think that they're not putting enough money in the classroom, then we should be able to direct a charter, under State Charter, to do so.

So do we have the numbers of what their enrollment this last year was, Ms. Chavez?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes.

Commissioner Robbins and Commissioners, the school has experienced a pretty steady decline in enrollment. If you recall correctly, the school used to be able to serve the population of students that are older. And the law changed so that public school students could be 21 years or younger only. So that had a tremendous effect on the school's

Also, when the school was recommended for

enrollment. That was a number of years ago.

where Ms. Barnes -- or even for Ms. Chavez -- I heard someone say they have 100 students. I thought they were below 100 students.

Do we know what their -- what their number of students this past school year was? On the 120th day? That'll give us an idea of what their SEG is for next year.

The reason I'm asking -- you can look it up. The reason I'm asking is let's just say their SEG is a million dollars. We're talking, you know, \$80,000, okay? That's one teacher. Put a teacher into the classroom, you know. One of those administrators could start teaching full-time instead of doing administration full-time. Get a person into the classrooms. They should be capable of doing that, you know.

And I think, you know, people have mentioned. You know, small districts, you know, the superintendent is the superintendent. He's the bus driver; he's the custodian; he's everything. And they make substantially less.

Again, not trying to shame, but looking at the reality. PED will not approve a school district's budget if they're pulling money out of the classroom to pay for things that are not nonrenewal, they needed to notify the community that that was the case. There was a lot of time that went by, as you know, during the appeals and the whole process.

Nonetheless, the community was aware that there was a chance the school was going to close down. And I would characterize the school's response as one in which they -- they sort of slowed down efforts to recruit students as they were waiting.

So in the 2020 -- in the '21-'22 school year, we have a count of 87 students, which is significantly lower than what they've had in the past. And that is running a program where some students are mostly online and some students are coming in.

Melissa Sanchez, Dr. Bannerman, and I conducted a school site visit. And we observed, and we, you know -- as we did with all the other schools, did a thorough review of the school. And what we saw was that for those students that were there, they were getting -- they were getting quality attention from teachers and support for their work. But there were very few students that were actually physically present. And when we

talked to students, additionally, they were able to articulate how they were supported.

But it -- the numbers are low. And I am looking at a document that suggests that they are projecting to increase the enrollment -- we had a conversation with them about how they needed to increase the enrollment. Their facility has a capacity to serve that. They have these really beautiful labs where they could be having students engaged in hands-on work with these SmartLabs. And we didn't see that when we were at the school.

So there is a need to increase enrollment. And I think that the idea that you have put forth about ensuring that money's going to the classroom, I certainly support and will carry that message and any conversations I have with the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. The only thing I wanted to say was I'm going to somewhat disagree with -- I agree with the frustration with the district court. But the frustration is not that we -- because we don't lose in district court. PED loses in district court. We're not a party to the

more information. Plain and simple.

So I think we're just postulating at this point in time for no reason.

I mean, if you -- this -- you know, I'm going to -- I'm going to raise my hand on my old age at this point in time, because I've been around here the longest, and I've been here since this school came on the Commission. So I fully understand what's going on.

So I think, at this point in time, we just simply have to move on and provide more information and go down that road.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. A couple of things.

So, first, relative to what Deputy Chavez was saying, having them -- the school having 87 students, I know that in Santa Fe Public Schools, we have a couple of schools that are pretty small like that. I think Tesuque Elementary right now has even -- in the low 80s or upper 70s. Acequia Madre is always kind of in the upper 80s.

And you know what? Their principals make far, far, far less than the head administrators, plural, at TGA. It's just not right.

district court case.

And 99.9 percent of the time, it is not about the decision. It is not about academics and servicing students. It's a process issue that the district court comes up with. That's the frustration. That's what needs to be changed.

The appeals process needs to be changed in statute so that we can figure out some way where it doesn't go to district court and that it stays within the educational community, and decisions are made by those that know best. Because district court, like I said, doesn't look at whether they're serving students appropriately. It never comes out.

So I've been -- we've had strong cases. I am sound with -- could they be better? Always. Could our decisions be sounder? Always. We always find out things after the fact, if we had known.

But I guess my question is, at this point in time, the only decision we're making is if we provide more information. We're not making a decision on, you know, what we've -- what a bunch of us have been discussing. It's, like, I like Option A as opposed to Option B.

We only have one option. Or two. We either provide more information, or we don't provide

And the comment was made -- I think it was you, Chair Burt, that said, you know, having more money for kids, not going to admin, I would say having more money going to kids and not going to legal, okay? Because they -- they just seem to have some special relationship with their legal where they just feel important, I guess, if they're always in the attorney's office.

The comment that was made -- I think there's a really big difference between shaming -- and I'd be really careful about using that word -- shaming versus calling out. Okay?

Calling something what it is that's right in front of you when you see what it is and you've seen it for a year and a half is not shaming anybody. It's just calling it out.

It's looking at the budget. It's seeing where the money goes and making a reasoned and principled argument.

I take great exception -- and I'll always raise my voice and I get passionate and I do all that. I am being reasoned; I am being principled, okay? Yeah, I'm passionate about all this.

But this is not an emotional issue for me. It's a reasoned and principled issue for me. And in

that way, they fail, okay? And it's -- there's facts. You can look at, I mean, real facts. Not alternative facts. Facts we can look at.

And, you know, yeah, we're not going to win this fight. And I completely understand that. I -- to a comment that was said, the pressure of three years, the -- it was you, Chair Burt, that said, "I like the pressure of three years versus five."

There should be the pressure of every year. Every year, every one of our charters should be striving and should feel pressured to do better than the year before; right? And especially if they're doing so, so poorly they should be able to -- a couple of grade levels is where they should be putting their bar.

And so that's what I see.

And I do think that, you know, stuff like this really does indicate the irrelevance of the PEC, and, frankly, of the PED with some of the decisions they make as well.

And they need to do their homework before they start coming out with some of these decisions that I think are really poor decisions in so many areas. Not a big fan, as you can tell. is not in agreement to do a -- remove the response right now. And I do want to say, this is out of -- we are -- we are not a party of this decision, other than providing information. So that's where we're at.

We don't get -- we cannot ask them to reconsider a three-year. We're past that point. We asked them to consider it. That was last month. Now we're moving on. Now it's up to -- like, we're past that.

So we either need -- we have to go -- we have to -- so we have the three options:

We can say we accept what they're proposing, which is the -- that they're not accepting the three-year and that they want the 60 percent.

That does not sound like what this
Commission wants. It does sound like -- I have
heard only support. I haven't heard anybody not
wanting to continue in ensuring that our stance is
that it should be 68 percent that should be spent on
the classroom. So it sounds like we're in agreement
on that, which is what is currently being argued.
So we're already at that place where the 68 percent
is the current agreement from Ms. Barnes to the

I don't want this to go to court. I don't want this -- and I think this is going to be it for me on this issue.

I would respectfully ask Mr. Matthews to go to the board and say, "Look. We stand nothing to gain by continuing a fight. The Commission clearly voted for three years. Let's take the three years, okay? Let's just move on from this, take the three years. And we may consider it a black eye for ourselves, but it's okay, because it's not about us; it's about the kids."

And I would hope -- hope beyond hope -- that Mr. Matthews can do that with his board. And then this comes back to us maybe next month with an approval, because there is agreement by both sides.

There doesn't have to be any legal action. There just has to be a reasoned, principled decision made by the school and their board.

And also somebody made a comment about not willing to go further on this. My own personal feeling is sometimes there is great dignity in taking the loss.

THE CHAIR: All right. So I'm going to try to figure out what we're doing on this item.

So, for me, it sounds like the Commission

Secretary.

So the only thing I think we need to decide on is if there's any additional information -- and you need to be able to say that right now. And we need to make -- you need to make an action to have additional information put into a response from Ms. Barnes to the Secretary about that 68 percent.

And/or if you would like to have
Ms. Barnes make an argument -- right now, there is
no argument for the three-year continuing. There's
no legal argument. So that was not made right now.
So if it is the will of the Commission to make an
argument to fight for a three-year term, someone
would need to make a motion on that, and it would
need to be provided -- voted on and provided to
Ms. Barnes to make an argument for the three-year
term.

Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We have not given up the argument on the three-year term, but we have acknowledged that there was more information that happened afterwards. We actually made a point that you made at the past PEC meeting, which is that the school responded with a much higher graduation rate

than they had in many previous years. And your point previously was that they responded to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we -- we have not given it up. We have argued it. And -- but we have also acknowledged that more things happened after the Public Education Commission voted.

But we haven't given that up.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Barnes. Thanks for clarifying that.

And you can see that as, like -- it's Item No. 1 on Ms. Barnes' -- on her letter to the Secretary.

So if you need to review that language, it's, like, right at the top of the -- right at the top of her response.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. I would move that we continue on -- we continue asking for a three-year contract and that we ask Ms. Barnes to work with their head administrators, ask them to work with their board to reconsider the three years and 68 percent.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I second that. THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion and a second.

I'm fully supportive of the 68 percent and a motion to say, you know, we'll -- why not us be a little reasonable and say, okay. We'll -- let's offer the five years. Let's say, "We'll support a

5 6 five-year, but there's no negotiations on the 7 68 percent," because I don't think it's that

8 difficult to get to, you know.

continue to dig in on that?

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's where I'm at with this. I can't support a motion that includes, at this point in time, the three years, because I think we're just beating our head against a wall at this point in time with that issue. And it just -- for me, it's a waste of my energy at this point in time to continue that fight when it's -- the handwriting is more than on the wall. So that's my --

THE CHAIR: Any other comments or discussion on the motion?

So the motion is to propose -- send a letter to the school asking them to reconsider the three-year term and 68 percent.

I'm going to say I will not support it, either. And, honestly, it's literally because there's -- it is a waste of time, and it is -- we would pay our attorney taxpayer money to do

67 69

1 Any discussion? 2

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none,

Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Actually, the only am I --

THE CHAIR: Okay. Hold on. Hold on.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I guess the only thing I would say relevant to discussion is I know we're probably going to end up in defeat on this. But when people cast their vote, I would ask people to look very introspectively as to what is the value of the PEC and what is their value as a

Commissioner.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'm conflicted with this. But as I said before, you know, I don't think -- Commissioner Carrillo said it shouldn't be five years. Three years. It should be every year. So if you -- if you look at that notion, we have every year to look at the school and take action.

So there's no difference between five years and three years. And I think it's pretty clear we're not going to win on the three years. I don't -- you know. So why -- so why do we want to

something that is a waste of time and money. Like, we're doing exactly what it sounded like the school is being scolded for doing. Like, we're doing that.

So I will not -- there -- this is what would happen. We would send a letter, and they would say no, and then we'd be right back in the same situation, and we'll have just spent an hour and two hours' worth of time for nothing.

I mean, it's just a -- we did the right thing -- I feel like the Commission did the absolute appropriate right thing last month. It didn't go our way. I'm not going to continue doing it over and over.

So if there is no other discussion, I will have Vice Chair Voigt take roll.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would just say principles are never for nothing. I vote yes.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I vote -while I support in principle Commissioner Carrillo's motion, I'm going to have to vote no.

70 1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. 1 She also responds very -- in detail and in 2 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. 2 length saying that the PEC supports -- or the 3 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. 3 Executive Committee supported, and the Public 4 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. Education Commission supported 68 percent as the 5 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. 5 administrative -- and it's very lengthy, very 6 6 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. detailed. 7 7 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. So that has already been sent. That is 8 8 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Like Commissioner already done. So we just need to -- if there's any 9 9 Chavez, I agree with the principle, but I don't changes that need to be made, this is the 10 10 think we'll win the battle. I vote no. opportunity to make changes to it. But that 11 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. 11 response was already sent. 12 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I -- yeah, I'm in 12 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. But then 13 the same boat as everyone. But I -- you know, I do 13 what I'm hearing you say is -- in that response, is 14 feel like a vote -- I would vote yes. 14 that it's three years and 68 percent. And Julia is 15 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote no. So 15 nodding. 16 the motion does not pass with a vote of five against 16 Hold on a second. 17 and four for. 17 And Julia is nodding her head yes. 18 18 THE CHAIR: All right. So we do have --So doesn't it stand to reason that the 19 once again, we have a response currently that is --19 next follow-up would be, "Okay, we're going to 20 20 that we supported a three-year term and that we accept the five years, but the Commission is holding 21 21 believe it should be at 68 percent. If that is what strong -- I would say probably unanimous -- on 22 the Commission's will is, we can actually move 22 68 percent -- hold on. Isn't that the letter that 23 forward on this item with no action. 23 needs to follow? 24 24 That is currently what is already And, then, of course, what would an agenda 25 25 be without TGA on it. Then it'll come back to us happening. There was a response filed that said we 71 73 1 supported a three-year term. There's an argument 1 next month, and for us to say, "Okay, the parties 2 2 why we did that. And there is a very lengthy agree. Now it's 5 percent (verbatim) and 3 3 argument of why we support a 68 percent -- money to 68 percent. Wouldn't that be the next course of 4 4 the classroom. action? 5 5 So if that is the will of the Commission, THE CHAIR: So not quite. We do not have 6 then we would be done on this issue, and we can move 6 to send any additional information right now. We do 7 7 on to the next one. not -- there's no requirement that we ask Julia to 8 8 If there is any additional -- anything do anything more than what's already been done. 9 else that needs to be filed or put in the response, 9 After the Secretary's designate, the 10 that is what we need to do right now and then, once 10 Deputy Secretary, makes her written ruling, a 11 again, move on. 11 contract would be signed based off of that ruling. 12 Commissioner Carrillo. 12 So there would actually be no more back-and-forth 13 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So accepting the 13 unless we need to change what was already there. 14 14 defeat, I just want to be clear on what you're 15 saying. 15 MS. JULIA BARNES: All right. 16 So then Julia sends a letter saying, 16 Commissioner Carrillo raises a second option, 17 17 "We'll accept the terms of the five years, but -though. We could leave it as is. The Deputy will 18 you know? So I guess the end run -- the end play 18 take the action that the Deputy takes. It'll be 19 that I want to make sure happens -- and it's up to 19 20 you, Julia and Chair Burt, how to do it -- that it's 20 We'll conform the contract; we'll move on. 21 21 68 percent, five years. That would be a decision of the Deputy, which I 22 THE CHAIR: The letter that already went 22 anticipate will be five years. And I don't know 23 out, which you can find in your meeting materials, 23 what she will do on the 68 percent. 24 24 said that the PEC supported a three-year term. And A second thing that you could do now would 25 25 Julia makes the reasoning behind that. be to vote as Commissioner Carrillo just said, which

is, "GREAT Academy, let's come to a compromise. The PEC is not going to argue about the 5 percent -- about the five-year term, but we want the 68 percent."

And we could -- you could vote to do that, and it would be submitted to their board as a request. They could consider it or not.

One -- one thing that at least centers into my mind -- I'm just going to say this -- is you've made your points. And one -- one way is to say, "We've made our points. We're not going to change our mind. If somebody else does something, we'll accept it, but we've made our point."

So there was a little bit of that in my thinking in filing a response, which is you all have been very adamant about wanting to make your point.

So I think the difference between the two things that Commissioner Carrillo just raised is you want a deputy of PED to make a decision, and you'll follow it, just like you made a decision and the district court didn't agree with you on following it. Or do you want to request a compromise?

Do you understand what I'm saying? I think there's a little bit of a -- how do you want to stand?

is, "Hell, no." We're not going to accept 60 percent. Please. There's unanimity on this Commission for that. We're not lowering the bar. This isn't the old New Mexico; this is the new one.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, if the -- under the law, the impasse goes to the Secretary, and you can't not do it.

I mean, I guess you could go down a path that said, "We don't accept it. We won't sign it."

I don't know what you would do. You know, we've accepted the decision of -- you know, there wasn't an appeal, for example, of the district court. So, you know, I didn't -- I didn't hear any -- I mean, we could have given input that we felt really strongly that there would be an appeal previously. And I didn't get that advice from any Commissioner.

So that's what I think, is that -- I think you have those two choices. You can let the Deputy make a decision, follow that decision, conform the contract, sign it, move on.

The second is ask them to accept 68. I wouldn't withdraw the decision. If you want to ask them to come to a compromise, I'd do that without withdrawing the response.

But I do believe that Commissioner Carrillo has just raised a second option. One, let a deputy decide based on what we've already said. Second one, send another request to the board that could be this kind of compromise.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, if you have a follow-up, I'll let you continue.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I thought Pattie was up. Pattie, and then --

THE CHAIR: I didn't know if you were following up to what you were asking.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I guess I am, because -- I guess the (inaudible) would be what do we do if the Secretary or Deputy comes back and says, "You know what? We're just going to agree to the 60 and the five years."

What are we going to do when that happens? And that's not outside the realm of possibility given how little, actually, it seems like the Secretary or the Deputy Secretary really know about the history of all this.

Julia, what would we do if that happens?

MS. JULIA BARNES: In my view, you have to accept it.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Then the answer

Just like a settlement of a court case. I might win; I might lose. Okay. I'll settle my case for less. That happens in 95 percent of all civil cases.

So it's similar to that, you know. You could say, "We want to ask that board to accept a five -- you know, we accept a five-year, but don't change the 68 percent." And I don't know what they would say. And I would not recommend you withdraw anything at PED, pending that.

You don't dismiss your case if you're going to seek a settlement. You keep your case going until you have a settlement.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. Steve brought up the point that I wanted to bring up. I'm concerned that the decision could be, "Well, we're going to cut it to 60 percent or 55 percent or whatever." So that I'm more in favor of seeing if we can work out that compromise and say five years, the 68 percent, and see if the school's -- school will do that.

But, Julia, I want to ask. Because we have -- in the past, we have filed an appeal on a Cabinet Secretary's decision. So not that I'm

78

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking to -- you know, to go that route. But we -because of this process, we can't do that? Because we have taken the Cabinet Secretary to district court based on a decision that they made after -you know, as a result of a hearing.

So that's closed to us at this point in time? Because it's --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JULIA BARNES: I need to check, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Because we have got -- you know, we never had to go all the way -- we filed the appeal. We have been able to work out our differences over it. But we have appealed that decision.

But I'm concerned about losing the 68 percent. That's -- that's what I'm concerned about. So I would prefer to try to explore whether we can work that out.

THE CHAIR: While Julia looks at that, I'll say -- I mean, I would support trying to, you know, compromise as well. But I'm just saying, you know, we did do that, and they're the ones that came back with, "We literally can't make it. We need it at 60 percent. You're setting us up for failure."

So I'm not exactly sure, like, once again,

know, I want -- I feel strongly about the 68 percent. I also am very grateful that Director Chavez said that she would support 68 percent in talking with the PED, that that would be her recommendation to the PED.

And I just -- I mean, I'm open to it. I just have, in the back of my mind, that, you know, we can vote and say, "Yeah, let's go back to the board and ask them to compromise on it," but they already filed this. Like, there's no benefit to -like, I don't know what the benefit to them would be to, "Okay, yeah, we'll accept the five-year," when that's kind of -- "we have to."

And, you know, yeah, all of a sudden, "We'll say okay to the 68 percent. Even though we're the ones that brought it up, we'll change our mind and say okay to 68 percent all of a sudden."

I think it's just a little impractical. I would support at least trying. Like I said, as soon as I saw this, I said, "Hey, Julia, what can we do to get this out of this process and do it back and forth between the PEC and them and figure out these negotiations?"

And it was, like, "Well, this has already been filed."

79

81

just rationally, what we're expecting out of this, other than -- I mean, once again, if it's about principles, and we're just going to do our due diligence, and that's fine.

But we did -- we did do the compromising during the negotiations; right? Like, we went back and forth on several numbers during that -- during the negotiation session, and we ended up at 68 percent.

And then weeks later, that's when the school came back and said, "Hey, we ran it, and we can't do 68 percent."

That's why they're putting that in there. I was, actually -- as soon as they filed that, I called Julia and said, "Hey, can we just figure this out outside of the Secretary?"

I didn't want it to go to a Secretary decision. "Can we figure this just outside of it?"

Once again, I think they're kind of -we're both in the same situation. The conversation we're having, where we're, like, "We've got to hold firm, we're not going to change our minds," I feel like is a similar conversation they're having.

So I'm concerned with, once again, like, just, this waste of time and resources with -- you

1 So we're kind of not in that space right 2 now. So I'll support attempting, but I'm not 3 hopeful. 4

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: This requires from you, Chair Burt, a one-word answer. So you're saying you're not hopeful we can even get the 68 percent.

THE CHAIR: I'm not hopeful that the TGA board will compromise and give 68 percent. I actually feel like the Secretary could uphold it more practically than TGA.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would say that I'm -- and I hope I'm not misspeaking, Commissioner Robbins. I would say that I'm from the "Robbins School of Thought."

You don't have the student body, the number, to support your budget. You just simply

But we're not going to move. We are -there's unanimity on the 68 percent. And there are so many resources. And this is the compromising part of me, the negotiating/mediating part of me. There are so many resources available to Mr. Matthews and the board to work on their budget.

There are people at PEC (verbatim) that can really examine every aspect of their budget and say, "Look. Have you thought about moving this money over here, reallocating this, making a cut here? This person only has -- she is only working .5 FTE, but you're paying her for 1 FTE. What else can she be doing on the property?"

2.

There are so many ways to make it work. Because I know that, certainly -- I'm sure it's the same in Albuquerque, in Las Cruces, all over the state. There are small schools that don't get their money anymore. That's why it's called an "adjustment."

They've had to adjust. They've had to rethink their budget and be practical and live within their means, not say, "We're just going to, you know, lower our expectations completely"; right?

So I would say that we would encourage them -- or maybe this comes from CSD -- encourage them to use the resources available at CSD and reaching out to other either boards or business managers from other small charters to see how they can get to that number. That's what I would suggest.

But so help me God, if the PED comes back

Could we make the argument? Maybe. And it would go to district court, and it would -- so, probably, we can't is my -- but it's a good point.

But there are different provisions, and it's an appeal of a -- of a denial, nonrenewal, suspension, or revocation of a charter school. And that's not where we are right now; so...

THE CHAIR: All right. So I think we are at a point where we need to move on.

So I have heard an idea to send a note to the TGA board asking them to reconsider the 68 percent and to forego our argument for three years. I've heard that argument, I should say.

MS. JULIA BARNES: It wouldn't be a note. It would be a motion to -- for an additional -- similar to last month.

THE CHAIR: Right. Right.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Motion to accept the contract. So it's not really --

THE CHAIR: That's one -- not to accept the contract -- yeah. It would be for the TGA board to accept -- yeah -- the 68 percent and a five-year contract.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. I guess it's formal, not informal. So I'm just thinking about

at 60, they should be abolished.

THE CHAIR: All right. So I think we are at a place where I don't want to necessarily belabor this -- okay.

Julia, do you have a response to Commissioner Gipson? Then I think we need to move forward on something.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Commissioner Gipson, it's -- I'm getting there's not a right of appeal. I'd need to really carefully do that. It's a different provision of statute. And, once again, it's silent. So we would be back to moving -- it's 22-8B-9. I'll just read you one sentence:

"If either party doesn't agree, within 30 days of the approval, either party may appeal to the Secretary to finalize the terms of the contract, provided that such appeal must be provided in writing to the Secretary within 45 days of the approval of the charter application."

Now, we're in a weird situation about -we took the timing from the district court.

"Either party may appeal to finalize the terms of the contract."

I don't see another thing that allows it to keep going.

your word --

THE CHAIR: And that's only if the motion gets made. We can argue at the formalities of it. I'm going to support no further action than what we've already done, which is I like what Julia has already done of sending our previous arguments and current argument -- like, what we've already said -- to the Secretary's office in consideration for their -- for their -- you know, for what -- for the appeal that was made.

So if anyone has a motion, you need -- you should make it now.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'm going to move that the Public Education Commission direct the Chair -- oh, no. Not to -- I don't think we're adding additional information.

THE CHAIR: We haven't. No.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'm simply going to move -- I don't know what to move.

THE CHAIR: We don't have to move anything. We can just move on from this item if no motion is made.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. Thanks. But I hate to belabor this, but I do have one question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Because we did vote for a three-year contract only. So we would have to revote. If the -- if the decision came back for a five-year, we would have to

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: We would just be ordered. It would be ordered. We wouldn't have to.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And that's where I have trouble with that. I think that usurps our authority. I still think we have to vote to do the five-year contract, because we're the ones that authorize the school and provide the contract, so that, you know, in reality, we can't be ordered to do squat. But -- you know, so --

THE CHAIR: Once again, I don't want to keep going on this.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I know. I know.

THE CHAIR: If that happens, we will go to Ms. Barnes, and she will make a legal decision on whether it needs to be on our next agenda or not, based off of the decision that's made. Is that -can we -- let's not get into the hypotheticals of if what happens and then what we need to do.

We'll let something happen and then have Ms. Barnes decide what we need to do based off of it.

Gipson. And I'll ensure that once -- once whatever happens, we will ensure to consult with Ms. Barnes about that and make sure it's on the next agenda, if need be. So thanks for raising it as a flag.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I guess -- I guess I'm confused about Commissioner Gipson's position, because I do totally support -- I totally support the idea of us taking a vote essentially on five years and 68 percent. Whether or not we're being told to do something -- which is just abhorrent to me, because we are the PEC -- but I like the idea of us being on a record by taking a vote eventually on five years and 68 percent.

So I'm wondering. Based on what Ms. Barnes just said, it would seem as though now would be the time to go on record. I know you're shaking your head no. But it seems like now would be the time to go on record to take that position and take it to their board, absent whatever the PED wants to do.

I don't like this whole notion that we're just going to wait and see. We're just weaklings, and we're just going to wait and see from 300 Don Gaspar before we do anything.

87

89

Commissioner -- Ms. Barnes, and then Commissioner Carrillo.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I just want to ask Commissioner Gipson a question.

Commissioner Gipson, you could move to submit a charter contract to the board of The GREAT Academy in settlement that changes the three years back to five years and leaves the 68 percent in there. So you could do that. And I wasn't sure if that was what you were contemplating.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And if not, I don't need to help you do that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, not really. But I had that question about what we voted on last month and --

MS. JULIA BARNES: You voted a three-year, 68 percent. And you could submit another one. I'm not trying to ask you to do that. I'm just trying to make sure that we could -- we can create a motion that says that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. No. No. I wasn't looking for that. But I was just conjecting for down the road.

THE CHAIR: And I hear you, Commissioner

I like the idea of us actually -- and I consider -- I liked what Ms. Gipson said -- being proactive. And so -- and I'm going to make that motion, not this minute. But I want to hear what Ms. Barnes may have to say. Because I think that puts -- it allows us to reclaim, even this much, our positions as Commissioners and the dignity that goes with that.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. So I'm so

sorry. So I think if we made that motion right now, it's irrelevant, because the -- because everything else -- the train left the depot when the appeal was filed. So that's going to happen no matter what. So whatever we do now doesn't -- doesn't really matter.

My -- I was not proposing the motion for now. I was posing the question, because we made the vote for the three years, that I think we do have to be on record for a vote for the five-year.

I will certainly leave that to Julia when we get to that. But I think that's important, because I will always take the case that we really can't be told to offer anyone a contract. The district court thinks they can order the Cabinet

90 1 1 that said, "We don't want 68 percent." Secretary to do that. 2 2. The Cabinet Secretary can't order a So just setting expectations. Like, we're 3 contract. But that's -- that's for another legal 3 on the right path. We have made a really strong 4 4 debate. argument for three years and 68 percent. 5 5 I'm not looking to interfere with this And I just want to be able to move on. 6 6 process now. I think we just have to let it play And I think we need to make a motion or move on. 7 7 out and see what happens. We're taking -- we're kind of going in circles on 8 I think we are all -- those of us that 8 this at this point. 9 9 have those strong feelings, I think we are all on So, please, if you have a motion, we need 10 record as to how we feel about the number of years, 10 to make a motion, and we need to move forward, 11 the percentage, and we wait. 11 because now we're just spinning our wheels. 12 And, yes, that's part of it, because we're 12 Commissioner Carrillo. 13 in the middle of a legal process right now. So we 13 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I will agree with 14 do have to wait. And that's -- you know, it's 14 Commissioner Taylor, and we'll just move on. We'll 15 unfortunate that we're in this. And I'm going to 15 hold on to our position of what we had and call it a 16 say that, because I 100 percent agree, the school 16 day. 17 just continues to mire their budget in legal fees 17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. 18 when it's -- it's not necessary. 18 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: If there's no 19 But that's on them and their governance 19 further discussion, I was going to move that we 20 council. 20 close discussion. But if there's no further 21 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor. 21 discussion, then I don't need to do that. Thank 22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, just really 22 you. 23 quick. I -- Commissioner Carrillo, I think that if 23 THE CHAIR: All right. So we are going to 24 we go on record as recommending a five-year 24 be moving on to item No. 7. But before we do that, 25 25 contract, I would rather stay on record as I would like to take a five-minute break so everyone 91 93 1 1 recommending a three-year contract and being can go grab snacks and drinks and do what you need 2 2 to do so we can come and finish off the agenda when directed that we had to choose the five, or we 3 3 were -- you know, we were subject to the five. we come back. 4 4 (Recess taken, 11:02 a.m. to 11:10 a.m.) So if we kind of -- I feel like if we 5 5 offer a five, it's sort of a compromise -- we're THE CHAIR: All right. So we are on to 6 compromising what we really believe is the truth. 6 Item No. 7, Discussion and Possible Action on 7 7 Explore Academy-Las Cruces' Request to Amend And we believe the truth is it should be three 8 8 Contract Adding Grade Levels. years. So ... 9 THE CHAIR: Before I call back on you, 9 I'm going to give it over to Director 10 Commissioner Carrillo, I'm going to say I agree with 10 Chavez first, and we will have the school be able to 11 11 Commissioner Taylor 100 percent. That's exactly -provide a presentation after that. 12 12 that's where I stand. And that's where --So I know, Missy, you may need a second to 13 13 hopefully, you all read the response that Julia get all those folks on. So if you want to do that 14 14 first before I have Director Chavez go? already sent. That is exactly what it is. 15 It talks about how we already voted for a 15 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Looks like Karen 16 three-year and about how we want to keep 68 percent. 16 Casedy is in the room. And I don't know if Karen 17 17 I think we're already down the right path. I don't Woerner wants to come on. But maybe Karen Casedy 18 18 can let us know who else she wants to be admitted. think we need to do anything more. I think by 19 19 making a motion to do something right now She's the head administrator of Explore-Las Cruces. 20 20 Karen, if you can unmute and let us know additional, we are adding legal fees for no reason. 21 21 who should be promoted to the panel, that would be That's what I think. 22 22 I think we're -- and I'm just trying to great. 23 23 MS. KAREN CASEDY: Good morning. If we set expectations. Like, if we send a letter to 24 24 could add Karen Woerner, Hannah Pería. And we might them, if we say, "Hey, we want you guys to accept 25 25 have some board members. I don't have access to the 68," they are going to say no. They are the ones

list of participants. But if there is Clara Welles, Claren Mulhall, Gabriela Graham, Kimberly Knox, and Jenifer Lichtenfels, those are board members. And if they're there, I would love to --FROM THE PUBLIC: Thank you, Karen.

THE CHAIR: All right.
Director Chavez, go ahead.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Looks like I accidentally muted.

Thank you, Commissioners, Chair Burt.

Commissioners, this is an item that carries over from last month's PEC meeting. We received an application from Explore Academy-Las Cruces to expand the grade levels

Academy-Las Cruces to expand the grade levels significantly. The school -- and let me just give you the numbers of the items that you should have open, because there's actually three.

There's Item 7A, which is the actual amendment request form from the school, which spells out how the school is proposing to move from a 6-through-12 authorized school to increase to be a K-through-5, so that, in total, it's a K-through-12 school.

The other document in your materials is 7B, which is the analysis from the Charter Schools

in the Consent Agenda, they provided information about the school moving location and obtaining a different facility.

We have visited the school at least twice, once when they first opened, and then as part of the other -- the full set of school visits that we do this spring.

The school has an enrollment of approximately 90 students. They had projected an enrollment of 240 students, and, for various reasons -- and it's always hard to project exactly what the enrollment is -- they were short.

I am -- one of the questions I have that maybe we can ask the school is what the building occupancy load is. I know we've collected that. I don't have that at the -- at my fingertips. But it was one of the reasons stated for not being at the full projected enrollment.

So I went to review the materials provided by the school with the amendment request. I think that they presented some really nice examples of the curriculum and how they will be implementing the program.

As you know, the school is part of a larger network of schools, one that exists in

Division.

And then C is new from last month, and that is that we included the school's response to the analysis.

So because it was pulled from last month's agenda, we didn't discuss it very thoroughly. But just to provide some background to Commissioners, Explore Academy-Las Cruces is currently authorized to serve 700 students in grades 6 through 12. It is in its first year of operations with a charter term that ends in 2026.

So the school submitted their application to us in 2019, and it actually went through an appeal hearing process, where, because the PEC voted to deny the school -- but it went to district court. And in January 2021, the court reversed the decision of the Commission, and the Secretary remanded the case back with the directive to grant the school a five-year term.

So it did have a shortened Implementation Year in '20-'21. But it successfully met all of the requirements, and it opened in the fall of 2021.

It currently resides in a temporary location in Las Cruces, the 900 block of Telshor Boulevard. But you saw that in your materials. And

Albuquerque that recently expanded to serve elementary. So the network itself has fewer years with elementary, but they are implementing. And their approach is to give students choice through flavors, curriculum that makes it interesting for students.

I think the bottom line for me on this application is that it doesn't meet PEC criteria. Because the school is in its first year of implementation and hasn't yet completed a full year of operations in Las Cruces, I don't think that that meets PEC criteria for expansion.

In the Document C, where the school is responding to my analysis, I just want to speak to a couple of items on that, because it is in your materials.

The school provides the update on the facility. One of the questions I had is does the school have a foundation? And the school talks about its board voting at the May meeting to approve the Las Cruces foundation.

It was unclear whether it was when we got the application and signed the contract with Explore-Las Cruces, whether the foundation was the same foundation as the Albuquerque schools, or if

there was a Las Cruces-specific foundation.

2.

And, indeed, Ms. Casedy, along with Rafael Lovato and Brittany Pintor, comprise members of the Explore-Las Cruces Foundation, which is different from the Albuquerque foundation. They do reference their board minutes on the website. But you can't get access to them unless you get special permission. So those materials, the governing council minutes for Explore Academy-Las Cruces, are not actually available to the public unless they give you permission. Just wanted to note that.

So -- excuse me.

The other issue -- so they responded to the question about enrollment, and I touched on some of those issues. And they talk about finances, which is affected by the low enrollment.

They are getting CSP Grant money. Everybody knows we've had some challenges in releasing those monies. But they definitely have those monies.

In the Organizational Performance section response, I was a little surprised and disheartened to see that the school felt like it could share a document that is in progress. We did provide the schools, as you know when we did the site visits, a

Madam Chair Burt and Commissioners, for also allowing us to present our -- our amendment request to add grades K through 5. I really appreciate that

I would also like to ask -- if you don't mind, I left somebody out to add to our panel. Is that okay? Valery Ratliff-Parker? If we can allow her --

MS. MISSY BROWN: She's been added.
MS. KAREN CASEDY: Great. Thank you.
Appreciate that.

So let me start with Ms. Ratliff-Parker.

She will be our incoming new principal into Explore
Academy for next year. I would like to introduce
Hannah Peria, who is our Explore Academy-Las Cruces
consultant for our elementary school. And so she
will have a part in this presentation, and will
present what the model looks like at the elementary
school level.

And I would like to present Karen Woerner, who is the Explore Academy-Las Cruces consultant for compliance, and she will also add a little bit to this presentation as well.

So, once again, thank you for allowing us to be here, and I'll just get everything started.

preliminary report for them to have a back-and-forth with my team on any things that need to be corrected, either because more information has come out or because they are sharing new information.

So there is a link in there to a report that I don't believe should be presented to the public, and perhaps maybe their response shouldn't have been made public, then, to everybody for your meeting.

But we have worked on correcting a couple of the items, such as the transportation indicator. The school does, in fact, provide transportation. And so just wanted to clarify the record on that, and, again, reiterate that it is up to you Commissioners whether you want to follow your policy or not.

But the current policy that exists around expansion does say that there should be three years' worth of data about a school before they are approved for expansion.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. So now I will go to Ms. Casedy. If you would like to introduce yourself and everyone on your team.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Sure. Well, thank you,

First of all, as you know, I'm Karen Casedy. I'm the current principal for Explore Academy-Las Cruces. We just finished our first year here in Las Cruces, grades 6 through 8. We'll be adding 9 next year, and every year, we'll be adding a grade level until we're full 6 through 12, and, hopefully, in the future, K through 12.

I do want to make it clear this -- we are not asking for K through 5 to be added this coming school year. This is kind of for the future for over the next few years to be adding this.

So what I would like to do is first present the reasons why we think Las Cruces is in need of another charter choice. And then I'd like to look a little bit at our school mission, how that's being unfolded here at the Explore Academy, 6 through 8, and how that's going to be reflected in our elementary school. And then I'd like to just allow Hannah Pería and Karen Woerner to add a little bit of their expertise to this.

So, first, as you heard from our -- some of our students and some of our parents in the opening comments, there is a need within our school community, we've seen it, parents requesting the addition of these grade levels, as well as students

wanting their younger siblings to come here.

2.

You heard from our front desk person, who fields a lot of the phone calls coming in, and she has taken several over the course of the year, of inquiries, prospective parents, wanting to know if we also offer K through 5.

And if we look outside of that, we send out mailers in -- throughout the community. And we get feedback from those mailers as well as social media. And we also go to businesses to inform them about our school. We often get the question, "Well, do you have grades K through 5?"

And, lastly, I just want to make note of that there are seven -- currently, there are seven charter schools in Las Cruces, and only two of those seven serve grades K through 5.

One of those schools is fairly new, so they're really only going K through 3, I believe, at this time. And then the other is very well established within the community. And they -- they've reached their cap, or their limit, and so there's often well over 100 students on their waitlist for these grade levels.

So based upon this, we feel like there is a need for an additional choice at -- within

Explore. Here at Explore, our classroom sizes are small. There's a small teacher-to-student ratio. So students are quickly identified. If they are struggling in certain areas, we can provide them with that help. We can individualize and differentiate the instruction for those students.

Another unique aspect to our school is we have a built-in, during the school day, what we call a "structured flex time" for students. And so students at the middle school have an hour a day where they go to a class; they're with educational assistants. And most of the educational assistants, most of them have college degrees or are working on college degrees, so they are in there with these students.

It's not a formal class. The students sit down. They can work their individual work. The educational assistants are there to go around, help them with work. They're the liaison between the student and the teacher. So they're getting information from the teachers on what students need additional help and how they can help them.

They help them with organizational skills. They help them with study skills.

And, in addition to this, the students

Las Cruces at grades K through 5.

And since you all are pretty well -- you know our model, I don't want to go into our model. But what I would really like to do is I would like to take a look at our mission statement and see how -- kind of show you how that's being unfolded here at grades 6 through 8 and through the high school and how that's going to be reflected in our elementary school.

So let me first read you our mission. So our mission is:

The mission of Explore Academy-Las Cruces is to provide all students, regardless of background, with a personalized educational experience through the power of student choice, allowing each student to create a personalized and engaging educational pathway in preparation for college.

So I'm going to disseminate this a little bit and break it down and just present each part of the school mission and how that's being unfolded.

So in terms of a personalized educational experience, basically, a lot of parents send their students to charters because they want the small classroom sizes. And that's no different here at

that are identified that need help, let's say they're not on an IEP, but they're identified as maybe needing to be put on the MLSS, that multi-layered structured system for students when they're identified with needing needs, we can pull

they're identified with needing needs, we can pull them into even smaller flex groups and work with them on the struggles that they're having.

And what we have seen throughout the

And what we have seen throughout the school year is that the students we initially put on that MLSS program, we put them in smaller groups. We identified their needs. And I would say half of them did not have to go on to a higher level or a higher tier level in that MLSS system. They actually came off of it.

And so these small study groups really work for these kids.

We also have the structured flexes for ELS kids, our ELS kids. We have them for our special ed kids and our gifted kids. So those are even smaller groups. And there's also EAs in there with teachers. So for our special ed, small-group flex, it is a special ed coordinator who also has an EA in there with her, so they can circulate among the students and give them that extra help that they need.

106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And that's the same with our ELL small group study flex. There is a TESOL-certified instructor in there with those students along with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that is very unique, and the students are identified, and they get the help they need.

And I'm going to let Hannah discuss in just a few minutes how that's going to look at the elementary school level.

Another aspect to our -- our mission statement is the power of student choice.

So, as you know, our students -- it's one of the foundational marks of our model, and that's evident in our course catalog. And how that relates to the elementary school is going to look a little bit different.

And, again, I'll let Hannah discuss that in just a few minutes.

So the next aspect that I'd like to look at is -- of our school mission -- is that ensuring that students of all backgrounds can be successful.

So there is various ways that we look at this as a school and as a community within the school. And we get all stakeholder inputs into this.

We offer them for uniforms, for school supplies. We are a Chromebook school, and they are given Chromebooks.

And so we really reach out and we try to provide these students and these families with the resources they need.

The other thing that we will have at the elementary school level is a school counselor/social worker to work with that age level, age group of students. We provide resources both on our website and in materials that we give parents both in English and in Spanish. If you look on our web site, we even have a school informational video both in English and in Spanish.

One thing that I do want to mention is our parent advisory committee is very committed to -- to having a very inclusive and really have an equitable school campus. They are asking -- at our last meeting, which is in May, which we are going to implement this year, one of our parents asked that we incorporate the ENLACE program, which I had to do a little research on. But I heard it's very successful at one of the public high schools here in Las Cruces, and it's bringing the Latino culture into the classroom.

107

109

We've identified some of those barriers that keep students from coming to charter schools. And one of those, as you heard Commissioner Chavez talk about, is the transportation.

Based upon our school site visit that occurred this last spring, we had -- they told us that we were -- that we know of, anyway -- that we were the only charter school in Las Cruces who offers transportation.

And as we grow, that transportation -- the more buses we'll be able to get, and we can even infiltrate into more areas of the city. But we do offer transportation, and that will happen for elementary school children as well.

The other barrier is the -- is the lunch and the breakfast at school. We will be a part of the National School -- and we are part of the National School Lunch Program. So those eligible students will have the free and reduced lunches.

We have a very active McKinley-Vento liaison at our school. And he's always putting resources out there, both in English and in Spanish. We identify students in need and families in need very early on in the school year, and we continue to try to identify them throughout the school year.

So we are going to be implementing that here in the secondary school, and that will be implemented into the elementary school level as well when that comes down in the future.

Our teachers are also continually getting professional development with that CLR, best practices; that's a -- cultural linguistic responses. They are getting professional development on that, and the elementary school staff and teachers will be getting that as well as the social-emotional learning best practices that we are incorporating throughout our school.

The final thing I just want to mention is -- a very unique aspect and a very engaging aspect to our school is the fact that we have student-driven clubs.

So when -- what that means is -- we don't have clubs extracurricular. This is actually built into the school day, and our elementary school students will have this as well.

And what -- a student who -- students, actually, at the beginning of the year, we put out a survey, "What kind of clubs do you want to see?" Students give us these ideas.

So we can have anything that ranges from

retro gaming clubs to a Spanish bilingual club. We can have a GLBT (verbatim) club. And it's all based upon student request, and teachers just sponsor these clubs. And, again, this happens once a week during the course of the school day.

2.

So all students, regardless of where they live around the city, can participate in these student-driven clubs.

And that's a very engaging -- our students get a lot out of this, and they feel like this is also part of their voice, and they take ownership of these clubs, and they're very safe and very welcoming, as we like our whole school environment to be.

So at this point, I just really wanted to overview why we feel there's a need in Las Cruces for another charter choice at the K-through-5 grade level. And I wanted to just go over a little bit of our mission, what we're doing here, and how that's going to impact and be reflected in our elementary school.

So, at this time, I'm going to ask Hannah Pería if she will present actually what the elementary school model looks like is with -- and one of the reasons why we're all here this morning. choose for the entire year. We know building that relationship and cementing those foundational skills is critical in those early years.

As the students demonstrate they're ready for second- and third-grade courses, they will then change at the semester. So they could have two teachers in the fall semester and maybe similar, or maybe different, teachers in the second semester, potentially up to four.

And then as our students are ready for fourth- and fifth-grade level standards, similar to our sixth- and seventh-grade students, they change each quarter. So they could have up to eight teachers over the course of the year.

When they're picking their flavors, I might pick something that has to do with art integrated into STEM; whereas, my peer might be really interested into how STEM is applied to the outdoor environment around where we live.

We're still going to be receiving rigorous instruction in the same standards and access to the same level at the conclusion of the course and at the conclusion of each quarter, even if the course extends for a semester or a year.

But that allows me to be with other peers

So, Hannah?

MS. HANNAH PERÍA: Thank you, Karen. And good morning, everybody. I am super excited to spend some time talking with you about the elementary flavor of our model, if you will, and how it connects to the core pillars of the mission that Karen just unpacked and the school's educational program.

So the plan is that we will offer focused, standards-based instruction that is thematic in STEM, in humanities, and in electives for K-5 students, so they have fewer transitions throughout the day, as is appropriate to their development, but they still have abundant student choice. And that choice will only increase and expand as they demonstrate that they're developmentally ready as they age.

And so that means they'll have two core teachers. Any given student will have two core teachers, one humanities and one STEM, each day. One teacher they'll see in the morning; one teacher they'll see in the afternoon.

For our children who are learning kindergarten and first-grade standards, they'll remain with their teacher and the flavor that they

that are interested in the same things. I get to mix up who I'm interacting with over the course of the year as a student, and I also have the benefit of learning from different educators who I may be able to form multiple relationships with that propel me forth. And so we think that's an incredibly powerful aspect of this at the K-5 level.

And when we're talking about thematic instruction, we're really talking about deep immersion into a theme; a flavor, as we call it. That means that they're learning the standards through the content rather than having a connection to real life be an afterthought.

And the cognitive demand, when you're really immersing in the environment, every learning experience and putting students into a position of making choices even as they navigate a course about what they want to study and how they want to produce their learning, you're reaching the level of rigor as articulated in the standards and, more so, accessing that conceptual thinking and making connections across disciplines in increasing amounts. So it's really everything that we have dream of for our kids in weaving together their whole understanding of academics and themselves as

114

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I said a couple of times, as children demonstrate they are ready for second-grade standards or fourth-grade standards; right? And so just like the middle-high school, and as articulated in the educational program, we're a mastery-based school.

And so students at the K-5, just like the mid-high will be allowed to progress through skill levels in a personalized and individualized manner.

When they demonstrate through those standards-aligned formative and project-based assessments that they are ready to tackle the next level, we're not going to hold them back simply because their birth date indicates they should be grouped with other children born around the same birth date.

So we're really wanting to challenge kids, but also provide them with additional time to build foundational skills, and not push them outside of their comfort zone and over-challenge them too early.

So, for example, if I were a first-grade age student who is tackling first-grade standards in humanities, but demonstrated I was ready to approach would go through.

We did submit curriculum samples that provided standards-aligned -- like, snapshots, I would say. Because we go very deep into standards. We're not going to be able to teach them in, you know, single-digit days for some of these really weighty standards.

But we have specific reference to how this -- how each standard develops metacognitively what our kid's going to be thinking that we can't even see, what are we going to observe what they are writing on paper saying aloud, and what they are able to do. And each of the subsequent lessons is deprived from that understanding of the standard and prepares the student for the assessment that is also rigorous.

And we are confident that these are, you know, benchmarked at the level of the standards, because we're referring to the highest independent-related instructional materials in the country as we benchmark our instructional plans.

So the teachers that are hired will. I know, be dedicated and incredibly creative, because those are the teachers who are teaching at Explore Academy-Las Cruces and the type of teacher that's

115

117

second-grade standards in STEM, I would be able to take a flavor from a teacher who supports me in first-grade humanities standards, but I'm getting pushed, and I'm not sitting in class wishing for more enrichment and more extension.

So with the addition of the K-5 grades to the 6-12 that is already authorized, we are providing children in Las Cruces who would enter in the kindergarten year with 13 years of a personalized education, where they are free to move as quickly as they are able and receive additional enrichment, and they're also able to slow down.

We know that our development isn't the same over, you know, our childhood and adolescence. And so this honors that in our kids.

I want to underscore that we definitely are looking at social-emotional readiness, not just academic readiness. We know that the whole child is incredibly important, and I'll speak to how social-emotional practices are woven into the fabric of the school for elementary as well as Karen addressed with 6-12.

So I do want to just briefly talk about the standards alignment and the process that teachers hired as elementary educators in Las Cruces attracted to this kind of model, where you are asked to create a flavor and a whole entire thematic course and find those resources and design your instruction.

What we choose to do is look at standards alignment as an ongoing conversation and process, so that by colleagues working together and having deep collaborative conversations and understanding what a standard needs in practice, even for our littlest ones when they may struggle to produce writing and to produce written work, then we get to move into the real fun, which is designing those flavor courses.

They get to draw on their knowledge of the community, of families, students, and the local culture, so they can create courses that are uniquely relevant to the students who will be attending the school. And over time, those teachers will be able to work even in greater partnership with their kids to create flavors for future years. And that's something we're already seeing happening with the grades that we have. And that will be opening next year.

And so, just in closing, I wanted to underscore again that social-emotional learning is

an integral focus of our model and how we ensure that a child is really able to fully embrace and access what is available to them at Explore Academy without students feeling that their identities are invalidated and that they are safe and connected and heard, and, to that matter, without the adults feeling that way as well.

2.

We know that teaching and learning will not take off for our students. And so while we don't have any plans to open the school in the close future -- it'll be, you know, a year or two down the road, if approved -- we know that the anxiety and the stress and some of the inexperience and interpersonal interactions that are manifesting in the schools, especially with our youngest students, will continue to reverberate from the pandemic.

And so we intend to implement responsive classroom approaches, like morning meeting, closing circle, co-created classroom rules, and logical consequences. And this is -- these are approaches cited in neuroscientific research and social-emotional and academic development research.

And so we're very confident that we will be able to connect to the pillars of the educational program that meant so much to the students and which I think Hannah has clearly explained that this is a very standards-based curriculum and standards-based approach. In fact, I have never seen a school, in all of my years of education, so focused on standards-based instruction, grading -- assessment and grading K-to-12 in a way that I've never seen in any other school, public or -- traditional public or charter.

And, in fact, the curriculum samples that Hannah provided are very standards-aligned.

The reference to happening over the summer has to do with the teachers creating those flavors and those things that Hannah and Karen have spoken about.

The second was around the facility and primarily around the foundation. So Director Chavez mentioned that earlier. I just want to be clear for the Commissioners' sake that you are aware that a Memorandum of Understanding and a Conflict of Interest statement was provided last year. It's attached to the current charter contract for the foundation in Las Cruces. And that is separate from any other school. It's its own foundation.

And, in fact, in March, we provided an update requested by CSD from all the schools about

parents and staff and board members who spoke earlier today, and also bring that to K-5 students as well.

So thank you so much for listening, and I look forward to answering any other questions.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you so much, Hannah. I really appreciate that.

I would like now for Karen Woerner, if you would provide your expertise to this presentation.

Thank you.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Thank you, Karen, Madam Chair, Commissioners, Director Chavez. It's great to see you all again. Cindy, too. It's nice to see you.

And, Julia, I don't think we've met before, but I am Karen Woerner, and nice to meet you as well.

I specifically would like to address some concerns raised in the analysis which Director Chavez briefly touched on earlier. And I understand that you -- from her comments, you have received the school's written response. And I just want to note the six areas that were identified there and will be happy to answer any questions about it.

The first was the alignment to standards,

their foundation members. We provided that.

And the reference in May has to do with there was a change on the foundation board membership. And as required, we submitted that change -- it was presented to our Explore Academy's governing board in May. But it was a foundation member change. And we submitted that for you to review.

So I just wanted to be clear that that foundation has always existed, has always been separate from any other school, and is attached to our charter contract.

Also, they were asking about who was involved in the purchase. And so, clearly, the foundation -- as many schools do, the foundation purchased the building. When we submitted this amendment request, that had not been finalized. But now it has been, and you just approved it as part of your Consent Agenda item, that the school has been purchased, and a lease agreement exists between the school and the foundation.

The third -- oh. And to Director Chavez, thank you for pointing out about the web access. It does not typically require any kind of additional access. That's actually an error that happened in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the last two weeks on our website. All of our minutes and agendas are usually posted on the school's website. And there's -- the link, currently, we've had a Google Drive issue with some of the files. We're in a -- I don't even understand

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But our IT folks are aware of it and are working on it. It was in a folder that was on a preexisting account for someone, so we have to recover that.

So if anyone would like copies of minutes, we'd be happy to provide those, and we're working to get that fixed on our website.

The third thing was the enrollment.

And yes, the school readily admits there was a high projected enrollment that the school did not meet. And Director Chavez did allude to some of the factors: the late approval, the quick implementation, and the pandemic influencing our ability to recruit. And then the fact that we thought we would be moving to a more permanent facility sooner than this and was hoping that would help increase our enrollment.

That did not happen, which, fortunately, turned out to be a blessing in disguise, because I 20 indicators are organizational and financial. And the school did have two on that were Workings to Meet. One was around attendance goal being 93, rather than the target of 95, which I think is great during a pandemic.

But -- and the other was around the equity council, which wasn't quite represented properly. We did have an equity council, but we were meeting with another school's members as well. But either way, two Workings to Meet out of over 20 is very low for a first-year school. And there are other indicators that are still pending till end-of-year reports, as they are for all schools; it's not unique to this school.

And your own -- our Performance Framework that's tied to the contract and the existing one that's posted on our website, while each indicator is rated Working to Meet -- Meet, Working to Meet, or Does Not Meet, for each of those 20-plus indicators, schools end up receiving an overall rating of Meets or Does Not Meet.

And in order to get a Does Not Meet, you have to have three or more Does Not Meet on your organizational framework.

So I would say that this school is not, as

123

think this new facility that we are able to lease is a much better fit and is very close to the current school, so it won't impact families.

And so though we had a rough first year, I think it's going to be a great plus for the school.

Which leads to No. 4, which is finances. And, of course, the budget was affected by the low enrollment. But the school did make the appropriate adjustments necessary, and -- to pay back those funds.

And Director Chavez, I appreciate mentioning the difficulties around award of the CSP Grant money, because that was a significant amount of money for starting up the school, \$570,000, that we really didn't have access to until January for the school.

Fifth was the organizational performances. I did not understand that the preliminary report was not public record, thought that all documents related to the school would be. So I apologize for sharing something if you felt I shouldn't.

But, nevertheless, it -- the preliminary site visit report, I thought would be shared with the PEC. So my apologies.

Of that preliminary report, over

indicated, Working to Meet. I think it is actually at a Meets Standard level. The school does not have any of Does Not Meet Standards identified as of yet. I don't anticipate that they will. And, therefore, I project a Meets standard for the organizational framework for the school for the first year.

And, as I said, the transportation initially was marked as Not Applicable. But the site visit team quickly corrected that when we pointed out the mistake and wanted to emphasize what's been mentioned already, that this is the only charter school in Las Cruces to provide transportation.

And, lastly, takes me to the amendment eligibility criteria, which Director Chavez referred as why this should not be approved per the criteria. And I agree, that the criteria that's listed is -is it a policy? It's on the request form, and it's in the analysis.

The three things are that we need to be on a current version of the contract; the school is. That the governing board being compliant; and the school is. And the third one has to do with the prior three years of academic data, which, of course, as you know, no school currently has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adequate academic data for the last three years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, in addition, this Commission has not used that criteria to determine approval of prior requests of the same type.

I want to point out that the last request for change in grade levels was heard at the June 11th, 2021, PEC meeting.

One of the schools that appeared before you then was in a very similar situation to the decision before you today.

That school was also in its first year of operations. That school's charter contract was also for grades 6 to 12. That school was also seeking to add grades K to 5. That school was only serving 45 students during its first year, and that was well below its projected enrollment. And, of course, they did not have three years of data, as it was their first year.

That school also recognized the community need for elementary school after opening. A difference is that that school had several compliance concerns, including a special education Corrective Action Plan in its first year.

And yet the amendment request for that school to add grades K to 5 to the existing 6 to 12

I liked your justification about standards, because there's a lot of people who don't know that, when you do thematic project-based learning, that the standards are embedded. And so rather than looking at your standards first, when you look at a project in a thematic unit, you can go back to your standards and say, "Oh, we did that, we did that, we did..." -- you know. So it's great that you have that model and that you call them "flavors."

So I really appreciate your explanation about your school. Thank you very much.

I do have just a couple of questions. So your kids that are doing mastery -- which is awesome -- and you mentioned that they would be able to move up to -- let's say from third to fourth grade, should they master their content, I think that's wonderful. And in true mastery learning, in the high school, we would be doing away with Carnegie credits.

And I think that is going to be the next frontier, because Carnegie credits to me are just seat time. And if kids can master their learning, let them excel and let them move forward.

So is that true, then -- my question is,

127

was approved by this Commission.

I only lay this out for you as the fact that the criteria has not been met with three years of data has been dismissed by the Commission before. And I -- on behalf of the school and the community respectfully ask that you approve the request for Explore Academy-Las Cruces to expand its high-quality, innovative, educational option for the families with the elementary-age children in Las Cruces and not allow that to -- the three years of data to be the reason that you do not.

And with that, I stand, as do the other panelists, for questions.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you, Karen. Yes. And if you have any questions, we'll be happy to answer them for you.

THE CHAIR: I know we do. So Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And thanks for being here, Explore people.

I'm always so appreciative to hear about thematic units, mastery, learning and teaching, demonstrations of learning, you know, really exemplifying what students know and should be able to do.

for this proposed elementary school -- I have more questions; but this one question, for the elementary school -- I imagine that you're going to be having mixed-grade classrooms. I think you mentioned that; right?

MS. HANNAH PERÍA: That's correct. So the students would be --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. So mixed-grade classrooms. I get that.

And then so when the kids are mastering the content of a particular grade (indicates), third grade, fourth grade, whatever, seven years old, eight years old, they would be able to excel and go into the next grade (indicates); is that right?

MS. HANNAH PERÍA: (Indicates.)

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Super. So when the kids are going to be transitioning, then, from elementary to middle school, would a fifth-grader be able to transition early into the sixth-grade core content?

MS. HANNAH PERÍA: So, in theory, yes, they would be able to. They would take that decision to heart and partner with the family and all of the educators and other instructional leaders involved in the decision. Because we know that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developmental jump, even if you're academically ready, from fifth grade into the middle school years, and with there being mixed-age classes as well at the mid-school, we wouldn't want a fifth-grade-aged child to be attending classes with students who are much older. So we want to always keep a reasonable range in mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that, of course, is the case at the elementary as well. There would not be a fifth-grader, even if they were deeply struggling with skills, in a class with first-graders. That would be inappropriate. So it's all within the realm of, like, logic and reason.

That said, if we're seeing students who are ready to tackle sixth-grade content, we'll give them that enrichment, push them into those standards, even if they're still receiving two periods of core instruction a day, so they are able to continue developing academically and receive that enrichment. And then if the case were right, they may be literally promoted to sixth grade, as many students are, you know, even our traditional schools.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Because developmentally is a big piece of that pie, probably

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Yeah.

And so I know Ms. Woerner mentioned that you guys took a financial hit by over-projecting 240 students. And on your 40th day, what was your enrollment?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: On our 40th day, I believe we had 89.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's, like, a humongous hit. Your projection for your 40th day was 240?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Well, based upon the applications that we were receiving, we were -- that was a projection. We were receiving -- we had over -- well over 100 applications. And I can't recall right now what that was.

But we had 150, 160 applications coming in. And based upon the rate at which they were coming in, that's what we made our projections off of.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That was really ambitious. I mean, that was -- in hindsight, I'm sure you learned. It's always better to project low. Always.

So when you are adding these grades now,

131

more than 50 percent. You know, developmental assets and being able to intermingle with peers is really important, especially in the middle school, as you know.

So then I have some follow-up questions as well.

When you are proposing to add your elementary, will you be adding grades backwards? I imagine you will. You would be adding fifth grade, then fourth grade, then third grade; is that right?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: What I -- what I perceive that we're going to be doing -- and, Hannah, it looked like you wanted to answer, too --I believe so. I think we're going to be going fifth -- but I do think we're going to be adding a chunk of them. It's not going to be just starting with fifth. It may be third, fourth, and fifth.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Because the reason I asked, logistically, especially since your kids are multi-age, if they're going to be move up, you would start with the neighboring grade to your existing grade -- right? -- which is fifth grade. Since you're now K-through-12, it wouldn't make sense to add K and 1.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Right.

you're planning on essentially doubling your population.

And so I just want you to just, like, look at that as a self-reflection based on what you did that first year.

And you don't have to answer. This is just a reflective question.

Is that overly ambitious? Because -- and I know you're not going to be folding in K-through-5 right away. But you want your contract to read K-through-12. So would it make more sense to incrementally grow down, you know, adding a fourth and fifth and then adding a second and third, and then adding a K-through-2, you know, incrementally, than chewing off the whole piece at one time.

That's not a question. That's just something to think about, okay, for your own success.

So when you also are looking at doubling your school population, did you receive any input other than the phone calls that your receptionist took? Did you receive any input from your community or from the local school district about adding a K-through-5 school?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: We didn't seek input

from the -- from Las Cruces Public Schools in the district. We were just looking at what we were seeing out in the community, like I said, when we put mailers out. We also participated in the spring school charter school fair that was held downtown this last spring in Las Cruces, and the parents coming through, I would say about 50 percent of them, were asking us if we had a K-through-5 option for -- because that was the age level of the children.

But in terms of seeking and looking at Las Cruces Public Schools, no, we did not.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. So when your receptionist was taking these inquiry calls about do you have an elementary school, did she ask what grade those parents were interested in?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Not specifically, no. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Because that would also inform how you grow and how you staff, you know, as to what grades.

This new location that you have, what is the current capacity? Did you guys say that -- what it was? Your current occupant capacity?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: The current capacity is -- I don't know the exact number. I'm sorry. We

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Well, then, it just seems like this is a little premature that you're requesting this amendment so early. You know, if you're not even adding it for this upcoming school year, but you're adding it two school years down the road; is that right?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Yeah. I'll let Karen Woerner address that.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I just wanted to say that while we could be asking for it just the spring before we wish to add those grades, that doesn't help the school in terms of recruitment and ensuring enrollment. So part of the decision to do so this year, even though we're not planning to do it this fall, was to give the school an opportunity to let families know that this is coming and to properly get kids enrolled and have a better idea of the total enrollment for the start of the year we were planning to do it.

If we waited till next spring, it only gives you a few months before the year starts. And --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Got you. All right. So -- because that also -- doing it now does not offer you the opportunity to bring forward

haven't gotten our -- that from -- that yet. But it is -- it can serve over 800 students.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Your new location.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: (Indicates.)
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Super. And have you received E-Occupancy yet on that space?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: We have not received our E-Occupancy, and we will not obviously occupy that building until we have that. There are some renovations that need to take place. We did -- we did meet -- in terms of the condition index, we were very low on that index. And so the building was approved, but there are some things that we need to do, which we are currently starting, so we can

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. And then I just have a couple of more.

So with this projected additional grades of K-5, what would you foresee your 40-day projection be for 2023 -- 2022-2023 school year?

occupy that with the E-Occupancy in the fall.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: We are not projecting to add this for the '22-'23 school year. This is -- we want to add these grade levels probably within the next two to three years. So we would not be ready to add that this school year.

additional data, you know, success data, sustainable data, capacity data. So there's that.

And then my last question, then -- and I hadn't even thought of this until it was brought up -- what was that disclosure for the conflict of interest for your foundation? I think someone mentioned that there was a disclosure statement?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: No. Sorry, Commissioner Voigt. Madam Chair, commissioners, the -- the requirement with the charter contract is to submit an MOU and Conflict of Interest statements. There was not a conflict reported, to my knowledge. It was the Conflict of Interest has to be signed by each foundation member as a requirement of the PEC.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. Okay. Got it. Thank you. I thought that you had said there was a disclosure statement.

Okay. I think that's all. Thank so you much. You answered all of my questions.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you very much. So -- whoops. I'm sorry. I just messed up my screen here. Here we go.

You know how there's always that concept of the sandwich when you're going to tell somebody something. You start with good stuff and do whatever criticism there is and end with good stuff.

Good stuff. No question at all about your curriculum. Love the school. Love the concept. Always have. That's why I voted for you to expand into Las Cruces. And, you know, I just -- was thinking, you know, if I lived down there and could bear the heat and had kids, I would think, like, this would be a really great school for them.

However -- so that having been said -- and then I also -- I want to -- Karen, it's wonderful to see you. I've always held you in the highest esteem. And I thought your presentation was excellent, the way you laid out your argument as to, you know, answering concerns by CSD and just laying everything out, I believe done very thoroughly and very thoughtfully.

One thing I would say is I did notice -because this was a red flag for me. I noticed -not that it's a bad thing -- but Dan Hill was in the
Attendees. And Dan is your -- just yes or no. I
love terse answers. That's who I am in the world,
okay?

You basically want to open an elementary school. And, usually, when a new school is going to be opened, there's community input hearings. There's -- certainly the district is informed, because, holy smokes, they're going to have a new elementary school in the district. So, in some ways, I think that it would have been nice to have them here or to have informed them of your intention.

So what I heard said earlier was that your expected enrollment -- Ms. Voigt touched on this -- was about 240 instead of 89. That's not an over-projection. That is a dramatic over-projection.

And I'm tired -- don't anyone use the pandemic as an excuse for anything anymore. I'm over it, mostly because it's here to stay. It's going to happen every year; we're going to get our shots. It's time to hold ourselves accountable and quit using pandemic-schmandemic excuses.

My concern is enrollment. I completely understand and respect that the receptionist has fielded some calls. Fielding some calls does not projections make. It lets us know that, "Hey, people are interested in this."

So I saw Dan in the audience. He's your counsel; is that correct?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: No, he is not. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: He's not your

counsel. Is your counsel in the Attendees?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Not to my knowledge, no. No, she's not.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You might have the answer to this also. Is there anyone from Las Cruces Public Schools? Because I wouldn't recognize any names down there, in the Attendees.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That you know of.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I don't see -- I wouldn't know, necessarily. Let me see if I know

any names that I do not recognize.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Pattie? Because

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Pattie? Because she knows everybody.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Not that I can -- COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.

21 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- that I can 22 identify.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
 So when I look at this, there's a couple

of ways I'm looking at this.

But my concern is, really, concretely where are these kids going to come from and when? And in the same vein, where are the teachers going to come from?

And, you know, you have a wonderful mission and curriculum in the way you've set things up. So I imagine, for most teachers, it would be a joy to be at your school. I know if I was a teacher, it would be a joy to be at your school.

So, really, what are your projections if we were to approve this? Reasonably. Not pie in the sky. What are your projections if you had grade 4-5, in the '23-'24 school year? Because I'm hearing that's what we're thinking; right?

And if you don't have the projections now, that's fine, and I'll go on to what's next.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: I think without doing early recruitment, it's hard to tell. But just based upon the amounts of sixth-graders and seventh-graders that we have here, I think it's realistic -- and I appreciate that input -- I think it's realistic to project at least 30, 40 per grade level.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: If I may.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: The way I do my

math, 30 or 40 per grade level is like 60 to 80, and that's two grade levels right there. But then you have 6 through 12, and if we did 60 to 80 to those, we would be way over 240. I wonder if that's too ambitious. Because it's just the need to me has not necessarily been really thought out.

2.

And I saw that Karen wanted to respond to -- Ms. Woerner, I'm sorry -- to what I was saying.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: "Karen" is fine Commissioner. Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. I just want to advise you that as of today, completed enrollment forms and packets for the school -- just for -- now I'm just talking for the mid-high for next year -- 6 to 9 for next year -- right, Karen? -- there are currently returning and new students are a total of 253 completed enrollment forms as of right this minute -- I just went and checked -- that are actually completed. Not Letters of Intent or -- it's the completed enrollment forms and the supporting documentation needed to enroll.

So 253 is our current standing. As you know, over the course of the summer, more students will be added. So our -- though we had a bad first

policy right now is that we really wouldn't consider an expansion until a school has been in -- and the word was used -- "operation" for three years; is that correct?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes, that is correct, Commissioner Carrillo. And I also wanted to respond to one of your questions earlier about the projection. So if you are looking at your documents, 7A is the proposal submitted by the school. And on Page 7 is where they have the grade breakdown by year with projected enrollment.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

The reason I ask questions sometimes even, when they're in our documents and I've seen the information is because there are many people in the audience that haven't and don't have access to that. Obviously, it's on the website or something, if they go to that.

So thank you very much. So my concern is when Ms. Woerner -- when she said three years of data as opposed to three years of operation, and my concern is being litigated. I've heard the term "arbitrary and capricious" more in the last 16, 17 months than I've properly lettered in my entire 60 years -- I know I don't look it -- on this

year start, our -- this next year is going to be up to the projected enrollment.

And to Karen's point, then the next year, I would have to calculate with adding grade 10 and then increasing a little bit in each of the grades if we have room, as well as adding the few elementary grades we might add. What that might be next year -- and that might take me a few minutes and I can come up with a better projection. But I did want to share with you that as of today, we have 253 completed enrollments for grades 6 through 9 for the fall.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Fantastic. Always appreciate your thoroughness. Thank you very much, Karen.

The comment was made earlier -- and this is -- the reason I mention this about having Dan Hill in the audience is, man, people just love to sue us. It seems like no matter what we do, if they don't like the decision, they're going to the PED or district court or somewhere. So I can't help but be very cognizant of what we just went through with the last agenda item where we are now.

As I understand it -- correct me if I'm wrong -- just with a quick answer, Ms., Chavez our

planet. Okay?

And I fear that -- and I'm not saying I'm going to vote this way or whatever. I am concerned that should we not want to do the expansion, specifically because Ms. Woerner pointed out that we have made an exception last year, that we could be seen as, once again, being arbitrary and capricious, and somebody appealing our decision to the PED and then again to district court.

And I'm just tired of going there. So -- and this is kind of something that -- I am sure Julia is here -- but just something for us to think about. Are we setting ourselves up for another litigation?

And I'm just expressing that as a concern. I'm not necessarily yet posing that question to Ms. Barnes.

And I'm looking at my notes here, because I just want to cover the things I've said right now, some things Ms. Voigt had already asked, and I was very appreciative of that.

So that's -- and I will -- actually, no.

Ms. Barnes, if -- do we leave ourselves open in any
way because -- and what was the school a year and a
half ago. Because I just came on the Commission

that January. And we can say it generally. It's public info. What was if the school that we approved?

MS. JULIA BARNES: It was ACES Technical.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
for some reason, the Commission were to

So if, for some reason, the Commission were to decide an expansion is not the right move at this time, do you see that we -- to Ms. Barnes -- do you see that we could be leaving ourselves open to litigation or an appeal?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, any -- I'll have to double-check that this is a decision that you make that can be appealed. I am of the opinion that you should be following your policies. And to the extent that you had previous counsel, that would certainly be my argument. And we are looking at all of the procedures and policies and expressly so that you have what you want and you've followed them.

I think you should -- I guess I suggest you follow your policies and let me double-check and see if it's an appealable decision, and I'll get back to you.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. While you do that -- so if we were granted -- we maybe didn't do it last January. But from what I've heard from

Commissioner Carrillo, don't forget it's a dry heat; so...

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. That changes everything. Okay.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. And thanks. I'm not going to -- I am not going to go into the strengths of this program. We acknowledge that. It is -- it is proven.

So, you know, my sandwich is getting smaller, because I'm not going to spend a lot of time on that good stuff with the support of the program. Absolutely do.

I am going to say that I thought it was a misrepresentation in the response that the Commission -- the reason the Commission said no to the school initially was because it didn't have a facility. It was because the lack of being able to tell us the location of where a facility may be absolutely helps to inform us on the community that that school is looking to serve. Because we all know that neighborhoods matter, and access and equity was a key issue in that decision by the Commission.

So it wasn't purely, "Oh, you don't have a building, we're going to say no." We spent a lot of

the Director, if we're following our policy, then we are not approving, essentially, a new elementary school with a grade-level expansion.

That doesn't mean that, you know, I would vote against it or whatever. I'm just wanting to throw that in the mix, because we spend a lot of time and money litigating. And it makes us adversaries with the PED, which is not a good situation for us to be in as well.

So that is all I have for now. And, again -- because it's the sandwich, right? So that's all I have for now. But, again, totally love what you're doing down there. And, you know, in my heart, if I had to do all over again, I'd be a schoolteacher and then rise up the ranks and probably be a principal of a high school because I love all of this so much.

But as the Commission goes, I just want to do things correctly so we don't end up in hot water.

Thank you very much. Your presentation was excellent, by the way, all of you. Thank you very much.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks.

time at the community input hearing with that discussion about access and equity.

So that's all I'm going to say about that. But I am going to move into facility a little bit.

When the school temporarily moved into that location on Telshor, there was deep concern about the traffic there. It is one of the major arteries in Las Cruces, and just moving a couple of doors down doesn't mitigate that. It's -- you know, it sits on -- the school preferred to use -- the applicant preferred to simply refer to it as a -- oh, I can't even -- I've lost the term now -- a frontage road. It's not a frontage road. It is not. And anyone from Cruces knows it.

So moving to a permanent location there, I guess I need to ask -- because I know APS -- not APS -- Albuquerque requires a traffic report in order to put -- whenever any of our charters are going in, they require that a traffic report -- a traffic study be done.

So I don't know. I'm guessing they don't. But I don't know if Las Cruces, if the City of Las Cruces, requires any kind of traffic input -impact statement/study of when the school is

permanently locating there. Because, ultimately, at the -- if this was to go through, the school is projecting that you'll have almost 1,000 students at the school at the end of the day.

2.

So that's a -- even with buses, that's a -- buses and cars -- that's a significant impact to an area that is really one of the heaviest trafficked areas in Las Cruces.

So that's -- that's one concern of mine with the location.

The second -- and, to me, more important -- concern over the location is, I know it was Vista College. Visited. I had friends that taught at Vista College. So I'm familiar with the campus. And putting tiny tots in that location -- and the schematics, when I pulled them up, they were very blurred, so I couldn't read -- I think there were notations on it. I couldn't read if those were notations on future planning on it. It was just really not legible for me.

But it does not look like there's any plan for true outdoor space for any of these kids, let alone these small ones, when we -- you know, we hear study after study of how important it is for recess and breaks. And LCPS committed themselves this Well, at the end of the day, there's going to be 1,000 kids on that campus. So there is not a smallness in that. And, you know, I live close to the school that has an elementary school housed on the high school campus. And I certainly am very familiar with the public and parent outcry that, "I don't want my elementary school students on the same campus as the high school kids," you know.

High school kids, you know, they're seeing stuff they shouldn't be seeing. There was a lot -- I mean, it was day after day, night after night of board -- school board meetings on, "This is wrong; it shouldn't happen; you need to stop it."

So it's -- it's always an ongoing concern of mine. And I know we've got a lot of models with it. But it is a concern.

But my biggest concern is that we have a policy. And that policy says we need the three years of data. Granted, there are schools that don't have that three years of data because of COVID. But they existed during that time. There's information that we have.

We did not approve the expansion of that other school. And it's -- if we did not delineate the policy, that's unfortunate. But we did not.

year, by the fall of 2022, every one of their schools is going to have outdoor classroom capabilities.

And everyone's looking at that -- we know from social-emotional how important it is for students to be able to get outside and release some of their frustration and energies. It's healthy to be out there. And it doesn't look like there is a lot of opportunity for that at that space without also breathing in a lot of carbon monoxide.

So -- so that's -- you know, that's -- that's a real concern I have with that.

You know, I listened -- and I appreciate Commissioner Voigt's sentiments -- about, you know, is the community really saying yes, we need the school? It's, like, did you track those phone calls? And the individual that did come on earlier with public comment said, "I received a couple of calls."

And, to me, that's not a real indication that there's -- that there was that real community demand for it.

And a number of the people that spoke in public comment said that they appreciate the smallness of this charter school.

And we expressed the concern about the school building out too soon. "You're not even fully built, and now you want to go and expand."

And I would be way more comfortable if, you know, the school came back when we had more information.

And I just need a clarification, because on the chart that I looked at, it says that, year one, kinder is going to have 60. So I don't know if that chart is different than -- and it's a different page number than what the Director had mentioned. So maybe I'm looking at something different.

But the chart that I'm looking at, it appears that the initial rollout is going to start with the K. So -- and that there would be -- the projection is that there would be -- I don't know -- three classes, you know, at that point in time, which I think is overly ambitious.

But I'm -- I think, at this point in time, a school needs to come back when it complies with the policy that the Commission currently has.

And I just need to ask one thing. It still says in your -- because I know we had a discussion about this at some point in time earlier.

It says, in the student handbook, that

students have to provide a Chromebook. And I understand that there's -- you know, that if a family comes in and says, "We can't afford it," that one may be -- that one will be -- not may be -- will be provided to them.

But when we're, once again, looking at the concept of access and equity, if I'm a parent, and I'm looking for a school, and I -- and it's on your website in the student handbook that says, "Students are required to provide their own Chromebook," to me, if I'm one of those parents, I'm very likely to say, "I can't afford to send my kid there, because if that's -- if they're asking for that now, what else is there going to be?"

And that's just the subliminal message that is sent to parents when -- when they're looking for a school.

And that goes back to the concern that we had from the initial application.

So thanks.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: So if I could just real quick -- and, Karen, I know you want to address that last part -- I would just like to go backwards a little bit.

Thank you, Commissioner Gipson, for your

want my elementary-age child on the same campus as high school students."

And they are separated. There's -- hate to use the word "portables" -- but there's portables there because it's a temporary situation.

But I appreciate that. But I didn't see in those plans what the plans were for the outdoor space and when that would happen.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: And, Commissioner Gipson, Madam Chair, Commissioners, that's an excellent question. And, yes, Karen, that was one of my points about the separated buildings. But we would have to do some renovation. There's not much that has to happen to get E-Occupancy for this next year due to the mid-school age.

I think a sprinkler system was the primary biggest change we had to add. But, of course, if we are -- if we are approved to add elementary grades, then we will have to invest in architect fees to redesign the rooms and redesign the rooms appropriate for the appropriate age level, if approved. And that would, of course, include some of the outdoor spaces.

We are cognizant of that. Appreciate you raising that. Currently, the plan is to -- there's

input on that and your feedback.

In terms of the schools themselves and the elementary schools being with the high school students, if you're familiar with Telshor -- and it sound like, Commissioner Gipson, you're familiar with Vista College -- there are sections to this building. And so the elementary schools will have -- occupy a certain section of the building that the others do not. And there are separate buildings.

In addition, we do have back space behind the building that's actually pretty substantial, where we are going to have outdoor areas for -- for the various levels of schools. And we also have room to expand as well.

And then, Karen, I think you wanted to address one of those questions that Commissioner Gipson had.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. And I just wanted to add, if you're familiar with Centennial High School, you're very familiar with the fact that those elementary school kids are not -- they're on the same huge campus. And the outcry was, "I don't want my elementary school child..." -- overwhelmingly by parents in Las Cruces -- "I don't

a back area there behind that we're planning to do some outdoor space and some plan to do some courtyard space enclosed in the middle of campus in the future. So, again, those are not things we would invest money in to do plans. And one of the reasons we wanted advance notice was to make those plans for the appropriateness of the age of the children.

To the Chromebook thing, I wanted to just mention that the -- it is true that the school does ask that a Chromebook be purchased for every child. We also have students that -- and it says in the student handbook, and I thought it said on the webpage as well -- that parents or families who cannot afford that should contact the school administration.

Also, on the enrollment form that parents fill out, there are several things in there, one of which is a choice of either "I will provide the Chromebook and understand that this will be monitored and managed by the school for testing platforms and things like that," or -- and I forget the exact wording, but I could look for it -- "I cannot supply a Chromebook for my grade 6 to 9 students and need one provided by the school due to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial or other hardship."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's a question in the enrollment form.

So we're able to, right then, from the enrollment form, address any parents or families that need a Chromebook. And the school helps with those -- that situation, as well, as it's noted in the handbook.

Also, on the smallness issue, I just wanted to say that one of the things about small -and I agree that a school reaching 1,000 students at some time in the future would be a relatively large school. The class sizes are still very much small. And our class sizes -- and, Karen, you can correct me if I'm wrong -- but I think in core content, there are 14 to 16 students in a class.

I think some classes like PE might be larger. But that still feels like a small school, and the class size remains small. So part of the smallness is in the class size, not just in the overall size.

But I appreciate your questions and comments and the opportunity to respond. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Madam Chair, can I just answer Commissioner Carrillo's --

the appeal and everything. And, you know, I'll go on the record. I voted in favor of granting you this school charter. I was disappointed that it had to go through the steps that it did in order to get established.

But I think some of the issues that were raised still exist in terms of demonstrating -- and this is the thing. You know, if you have -- if you don't have a waitlist, you have 89 students or thereabouts, and there's no waitlist for your existing school, expanding and making big plans to expand in the near future is -- is, I guess my opinion -- it is premature.

And I think that was stated earlier. It's a little bit premature. Having anecdotal information from your secretary, as nice as that sounds, is just that. It's not really a demonstration of need.

And, again, with the policy that we have, the three years -- Explore Academy has an established record in Albuquerque. But Las Cruces is a different demographic. It is a different geographic area. And it's a different school district that you're going to be drawing students from.

159

161

THE CHAIR: Oh. Yes, go ahead -- if you want to go ahead and -- if you found that answer.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Commissioner Carrillo, it cannot be appealed, it is not one of the -- it is not one of the decisions you make that is appealable.

That -- the law states that -- that a school can appeal a denial, nonrenewal, suspension, or revocation of a charter or the imposition of conditions. So it's the chartering, not the amendments. So you aren't taking one of those actions.

The other thing I did want to mention -which I know the Commissioners know, but just for the school to know -- is the Commission is starting to look at all of the amendment forms, and started that process yesterday. It's going to continue through next month. And this is one of the forms that the school -- that the PEC is -- is looking at.

> THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. Just a

few things. The fact that your enrollment right now

is roughly a third of what you had projected, I understand, you know, the delayed start because of

And, yeah, I don't know -- I don't follow closely the growth of Las Cruces; although, I know Las Cruces is growing. But I don't know if the school-age population is growing dramatically.

We're seeing -- through the pandemic, we saw a big move towards charters, but also towards homeschooling. And I don't really see that trend abating, especially when we look at transportation costs.

You say you provide transportation. But some people may live in areas where transportation just isn't convenient for them, timing, things like that. And for people with the cost of transportation high -- and even the government, the federal government, say, "Guys, it isn't going to go down. It's going to go higher and higher, unless you can afford an \$80,000 electric car."

So your families wouldn't be able to afford transportation.

The application -- I look at the application. I look at the documents. And I think Commissioner Gipson pointed this out. You know, it's interesting that, you know, the application says, "We're going to add starting in kindergarten and then going forward."

But now we here in the comments today that we're going to backfill. We're going to go fourth, fifth, you know, second -- but that's a reverse of what the application actually says, the documents we were given. So that's a little bit of concern.

2.

2.1

If you're not planning on opening it for two years -- I understand you'd like to do the planning and everything. But I would like to see a track record. I think that's one reason why we ask for three years of data in order to consider that type of an expansion.

The need may definitely exist. But, again, I think it has to be clearly demonstrated -- be demonstrated.

I think you've shown there's an interest in what you said, that your -- your applications right now, and your enrollment is up to 150, I think is what Ms. Woerner said. That's great. That's double what you had this last school year. That's wonderful.

But it's still pretty far below what you had originally projected of 240. And although you add 150 or 160 actual enrollment forms, a few of those may go away, and you may pick up a few. But I don't know that you're going to have a dramatic

So to the points made about being more realistic, I think we are certainly going to meet the 260 for this year coming up. And I just wanted to be sure it was clear that it was not 153.

Also, I just want to comment again on the projected enrollment for this year, because I keep hearing that come back as a theme, basically, that -- you know, that we didn't -- the school did not meet. And we will acknowledge did not meet.

I think there were 97 students at 40-day. We did say 240. I do want to reiterate, though, that one of the reasons that I didn't mention, but is in the written response, is that the -- the temporary facility itself was very limited in space.

And in -- our choices were either violate what we promised families with the small class sizes and pack up those classes with more students, or -- you know, that was part of the problem was we didn't really have space physically for all of the students in the temporary facility, in addition to the late start and the quick implementation and the pandemic, Commissioner Carrillo.

But all of those other -- don't say that one -- all of those contributed to -- and not having the permanent facility that we needed. If any of

increase over the 150, if that's what you have in hand right now.

So my sense is it's a little bit early. I understand that, the desire to plan and want to be able to know with some certainty what's hidden down the road. But with a new school in this district, even though it's modeled on the Albuquerque model for Explore Academy, I think it is premature. And I think we -- I urge my fellow Commissioners to follow our policy in requiring three years of data. Because there is some data -- even during the pandemic, there is some data available indicating the success or areas of improvement that are needed in all of our existing schools.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Woerner, if you wanted to --

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I lost a bit there. Did you call me, Chair Burt? Sorry. My connection went off.

Thank you. Just a couple of things.

I wanted to just clarify, Commissioner Robbins, the enrollment currently today is 253 completed enrollments for the next year. So not 153. So 253. And we projected 260. you have been to the Las Cruces Explore Academy campus you will see that it was not an ideal situation for our first year. And everyone is very much looking forward to the new facility, the current students, families, and teachers.

But I did just want to mention that the projected enrollment was driven by a lot of -- the fact that we didn't meet the projected enrollment was driven by a lot of factors.

And appreciate about the three years of data, if that's something the Commission warrants. I just am concerned that that hasn't been done before and that, frankly, no schools right now have three years of data for any of your amendments. It does not say three years of operation. But -- it's semantics. But three years of academic data is not available.

Of course, the school could show the growth this year through other means and the tests that have been given. And I think even the CSD acknowledged the growth and the upper trend of all of our academics when we were visited.

But, yeah, we are bound by only being in existence for one year. But -- thank you.

THE CHAIR: Before I go back to

Commissioner Voigt, I'm going to call other folks that haven't gone first. That's why I'm skipping you.

Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I just have a quick question. With your applications going up to around 250 and so forth this coming year, striving to keep class sizes small, what kind of -- what kind of staff -- I mean, are you going to have to add to maintain that 14- to 16-person -- people -- in each classroom?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Yes. We will be -- we will expand. We will ask teachers as we need -- basically, we look at a -- our cap is looking at a 1-to-18 ratio. So we still have room to increase those.

And we are increasing our staff, our teaching staff, going into this next year, because, of course, we're adding ninth grade, and we want to keep those ratios to about 1-to-18.

 $COMMISSIONER\ TAYLOR:\ Okay.\ Thank\ you.$ 

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez?
COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. Well, I

just want to recognize that the Explore network sure has developed a strong team of leaders. And so I

lot of traffic.

And I -- the concern that I would have is now we're increasing even more traffic on there. It's -- I'm kind of conflicted by that. It's kind of a Catch 22. Because while I recognize that, I also recognize that if the City is not requesting a traffic study, then, you know, really, that's probably not our battle to go there.

And so while I do have concerns about that, I do have concerns about also -- I just want to echo again what Commissioner Gipson said, is, you know, the -- the space and the lack of -- of play area. And while I do -- I am familiar with the building, and I know that they have that -- you've got some space in the back there towards the dam area or whatever it is, the -- it's still not -- I don't know. I just don't see that as being ideal for a K-12 facility.

But I just wanted to just -- my big thing right now is just that traffic, and I'm conflicted by that. But, also, just, I know that we should be following our policy. And so I do put a lot of weight on Director Chavez's recommendation, and also our legal counsel's. And so I just wanted to state that.

just want to recognize Karen Woerner again. It's

good to see you again.

But Hannah Pería, oh, my goodness, it's good to see you. And all the knowledge that you bring to the network, that's -- that's incredible. And I was not aware that Valery Parker will be in leadership starting July 1st.

And so very strong team. And so I commend you guys for the -- developing such a strong team.

I do want to -- again, I just want to echo -- I'm not sure which Commissioner brought this up. The model and the success of Explore is not in question. No one questions that. Everybody recognizes the strength of the model and the success that they've experienced. And so I just -- I just want to echo that.

I do want to go back and echo what Commissioner Gipson has said. And I brought this up last time last year.

I do have concerns about the traffic on Telshor. And, as Commissioner Gipson has mentioned, it is a frontage road. It is a main artery that has traffic -- two-directional traffic. Even though it's on the side of the interstate, it's not a frontage road; it's an actual artery. And it has a

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Before I go around a second time, I'm going to take my time.

So hello. Hi, Karen, Hannah, Karen. Nice to see you all.

I mean, just like the other Commissioners, you know, I'm a very -- I love talking about Explore and the model. You know, as a teacher, it's the kind of way that I wish I could have graded my students, just based off of effort and the assignments they're turning in; like, actual knowledge. What a revolutionary idea that you follow the standards and you base your grades off of mastery. I mean, revolutionary, but not really, right? Just, like, being able to do that so successfully and so adamantly, I mean, just at the core, that it's about knowledge and mastery is really awesome.

So I can't imagine there's a community out there that couldn't benefit from having this as an option for families.

I'm -- I don't know -- taken aback or frustrated, because I distinctly remember the school last year that did the grade-level change that was approved.

And a lot of the arguments that are being made today were not made last year. It just is kind of -- I am a little frustrated. I mean, it was a K-through-12 on the same -- they didn't have two campuses. They didn't have any, like, showing of academics. They were in during the pandemic, very low enrollment.

I mean, all these things that we're kind of saying can be problematic now seem to have not been at all a problem last year. And this -- the emphasis on following our policy now was something that I was so concerned by last year. And that was -- I mean, I honestly -- last year, I didn't really consider the school much, because the policy did say that, that it needed to have three years' operation. And it was not something that the Commission -- the majority of the Commission cared to vote based off of. And it was approved.

So there is precedent. But that that three years of data is an option for the Commission, and it's not mandatory, you know.

So if I want to take that -- and this is exactly what I was worried about last year. I was, like, this will set a precedent. For us to not follow our policy, this will set a precedent. Like,

appreciate that Albuquerque is on top of those traffic studies when folks are trying to move into places.

But I don't know. I'm just -- I'm frustrated, because this is a much more complete, well-thought-out application than we saw last year. It's much more comprehensive. It has -- outside of the three years of data, there's nothing in here that really is concerning about adding a K-12 grade -- to add the K-5.

I know I'm hearing, you know, that there needs to be community input. That's not -- that's not a requirement on -- like, when I read through the policy, there is nowhere in here currently that says that there needs to be community input. There's nowhere in here that says it needs to be informed by the school district. There's nowhere in here that says they needed to record how many kids that want to come to their school. There's nowhere in here that says they have to have a waitlist.

I hear that we're making arbitrary decisions. Those are kind of the things that go through my head of -- like, if we're just making up problems to make up problems, I mean, that's problematic, honestly.

how can we make a decision opposite of how we did it last time with the sa---- I mean, it's -- it's a little frustrating.

So, I mean, I -- I'm not -- I mean, I'm -- not only do I not know the Las Cruces area enough to not know about traffic, but I guess I'm not as worried about it, because they have a 700-person cap right now. That's not what we're voting on is adding additional kids right now. We're just adding on expanding grade levels, and they have 700 kids coming to the school regardless.

I mean, like, that traffic issue, regardless of whether we approve this or not, is going to be -- if there's a concern about it, it's a thing already. And so I know the Explore campuses in Albuquerque have -- are in very high-traffic areas, too. And so I at least have some hope for you all who know the Las Cruces area that at least this team has experience with, like, these really high-traffic, congested areas and, hopefully, can use that to make this a little bit more manageable for them down the road.

But that's -- it's -- it is -- and I do wish the City would have -- you know, they should be on top of that, too. Because I know -- I do So I'm very conflicted, because I did follow the policy last year, and that's how I voted. And that's kind of where I -- that's where I took it. But now there's precedent to not utilize the three years' data to make this decision.

And so that presents a new problem for me of whether I should be taking that into consideration or not, because we -- it's not a mandatory item for the Commission to do. And until we do revise -- look at this policy and revise it and be more clear about it, I feel like we just put ourselves in a really sticky situation.

And I've been thinking about that vote for a long time, that we gave a school with no history, no track record -- zero. I mean no track record. There's not even a network for that school of any track record of success. And we gave it an elementary school on the same campus. I -- it's just tough.

I do want to ask -- my question is if -- I would like you all to clarify. I did -- I was very confused when I heard Commissioner Voigt ask about which grade levels are being added first. I was, like, wait. What?

And so I had to go back into it. I

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remember reading this, and I do not remember that. And it is clear in the application that you're going to add kinder, first, and second first. But it was said clearly that you would add fourth and fifth and go downwards.

So which is it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAREN WOERNER: There have been several discussions -- if I may, Chair Burt, there have been several discussions about the best way to approach this.

But the school does intend -- if approved, the plan at this point is K-to-2 and moving up with a grade 3, 4, 5. It's just easier to grow your own, if you will, from the lowest grade level. It's not about matching to the sixth grade, necessarily. It's about building your own to the sixth grade.

So the current -- the current opinion and preference would be to start with -- to add K-to-2, as in the application. But I think Karen may have -- I won't speak for you, Karen. But there have been other discussions about what to do. And so I think there was some confusion there.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Yeah. And I apologize. I'm just finishing up the school year, and I'm with the secondary school. And I really apologize. That

maybe should have been to follow the -- follow the -- like, we need to follow it. But we made all these different reasons for not following it last

And so, I guess, is there -- is there some leverage in our current policy that it's not mandatory in order to do it, that, you know, it's something we could consider, but it's not that it has to be that? Like, if it's a definite line that we cannot cross? Is there any leverage in our current policy that would make me sleep tonight by making a decision one way or another?

Yeah, Julia. Please answer that.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm not sure I'm going to give you a clear answer.

The -- I don't think it sets a precedent that you have to follow. I think if you have a policy, and it wasn't followed, then -- then that was the issue, not necessarily this issue. So I don't think because you violated policy -- it's, like -- it wasn't anything wrong. But, you know, because it wasn't followed doesn't mean it can't be followed now.

I want to reiterate that the Commission is looking at these policies right now and that they

175

are going to -- the school can provide input, as we hope other schools will provide input.

And I think there's an opportunity to be very clear about what the Commission wants to do. And I would see nothing that precluded this school, if it -- if it fell into a clearer policy that you're coming up with now, that if it fell into that criteria, it could come back and look at that. I think you're discussing a lot of these things. So I think that's also an option.

I would -- I mean, we're looking at the policies to have them be clearer. So I'm just going to say that I wish that policy was a little clearer. I mean, you can say in a policy that the PEC "may" rather than "shall." It doesn't say -- it says you're going to look at three years of data. So it doesn't say that you have to.

So I do think it certainly applies three years -- having three years of data implies that you've been a school for three years. So I am not giving you a clear answer in terms of -- I don't know which would make you sleep better at night. But I do think that there are several options here, including this process that we're undergoing right now for exactly this reason. And that it'll be

was my fault. And so I apologize for the confusion.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Because I was actually going to say -- I think it -- I know for the model, it could -- this may be, like, one of the only schools where it could make sense to start from fourth and fifth and go down.

It's going to be hard to get kids in their fourth- and fifth-grade year and getting them into a different elementary school, versus getting them at the beginning -- as a parent, it would be much harder for me to take my third-grader out of their school they've been at and put them into fourth grade, than it is to put a kindergartener or first-grader into a new school. A school of choice, for sure. So thank you for clarifying that.

I'm just so torn. I honestly just don't know what I'm going to do right now. I'm just listening and listening and trying to figure out, you know, where -- like, if -- I'm just trying to figure out what to do.

And so I am conflicted. I mean, I don't -- like, yeah. I want to like be able to ask a question for Julia.

I do, like, want this advice from you. And I know -- like, I know the advice last year

finished soon.

And I don't know how it'll come out. And it may come out with very clear criteria, because you all are talking about criteria. But we're right in the middle of the school being able to participate with us as to what's reasonable or -- and the language could come out one way or the other.

I think if you're going to have policies, you should follow them, though, you know. That's the point of a policy. I don't know if I can answer anything further.

THE CHAIR: I think it was mostly, like, is there -- is our policy -- does our -- is our policy firm enough or clear enough that we cannot? Or we should not -- does -- like, does that make sense? Is it -- I think that's what I'm asking for.

Like, is there strength in the policy to be, like, that we should -- like, we really -- we cannot approve a grade-level change if there's not the three years?

Or is it, like, we should look at this? Or we have to -- like, that's what I'm wondering is the strength of the school must be open three years. Like, what is the strength of that? the end of the day, I will follow our policies.

And so that's why -- that's why I'm

And so that's why -- that's why I'm pushing on it, Julia, just to make sure, you know, that's why I'm pushing.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I wasn't your counsel last year, and I'm speaking to that, and I wasn't there.

THE CHAIR: That's fine. That's okay. That's totally fine.

All right. So it looks like we're going back now. So Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. So, yeah, we all look at model. But my

bubble was burst when Ms. Woerner said that the class sizes would be 16 to 18, except for maybe PE.

And, you know, when you start herding cats into a gym, you're going to have safety issues -- I'll tell you. Electives are a great place for thematic learning, project-based learning. You can wrap all those core subjects under your elective.

So I just want to say that, because that's not something -- that's what traditional public schools do. You see, like, classes of 48 in a gym, and then some kid has a compound fracture, you know. So I'm just saying, okay? Not -- that won't

Or was it okay that it was -- that's something that we considered last year, and we didn't -- you know, we considered, but didn't act upon, and that's because the policy isn't firm on that stance.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I was just pulling it up again. It says, "In the prior three school years, the school has, in order to be eligible."

So I -- it doesn't say that you have to have been a school for three years. But you have to have three years of data. And, clearly, the school is saying -- stating the obvious. They don't have three years of data.

And I don't see here where you can waive eligibility. A new policy absolutely could have that kind of language. And I don't see it.

THE CHAIR: That will help me sleep better tonight. That's honestly -- I think that was kind of like what my thinking was last year, and that's what I based my thinking on. So I wanted to really push into it, because I really do support this model and I support the school. But I'm a rule follower, those of you who do know me. Like, I want to push -- I'll push it to where I can. But, like, at

influence my decision, but just a little tidbit.
 THE CHAIR: So "Know your audience" is

3 what she's saying.

Okay. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

So there's too much gray on this one thing that I

want to address. And I want it to be

black-and-white, because that's the way text is.

And so, Ms. Barnes, does the policy say three years of operation? Or three years of operational data? Or three years of data? What does the -- I don't have it in front of me. What does the policy say?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Do you want me to share screen? I have it pulled up.

THE CHAIR: Corina, we'll have Ms. Barnes respond to his question as he asked.

MS. JULIA BARNES: It says, "In
Determining Eligibility." That's the section. "In
the prior three school years, the school has
received no lower than a C, received no overall
academic tier rating, and has not had their board of
finance revoked."

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So it doesn't -- that's why it's great, because it doesn't say the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prior three years of operation. But it basically alludes to three years of data. I get it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so when I think of all the legal stuff that's -- the ramifications -- because even if it did say "operation," I would -- if I was, you know, arguing a case in district court for Explore, I'd say, "We have all these other schools using the very same model and have been doing so for well over three years."

So if operation was the thing that was in our policy, I would -- a skilled attorney, I think, could easily make the case that there's not -- you know, while there may not be data, there's certainly operation. And the PEC was acting with -- in -with arbitrary and capricious and so whatever. You know, that's a concern of mine. And -- okay. So thank you.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Let me just add one more thing. There's a first sentence which is similar. "Your charter school is eligible to expand if the performance of the school meets the following conditions in the prior three years." So...

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. All-righty. That makes it a little more clear for me, so I appreciate that very much.

know it was at that space. We still didn't know -and then when -- through the court and everything else, we end up here. So I do have that concern.

My biggest concern is the rollout and the number of students. I -- I just don't -- I'm more comfortable with the school showing that they can roll out the numbers from their original application before they choose to continue to move on.

And that was my primary reason why I voted against the expansion of the other school, because they hadn't yet proven that they could fill out the school that we approved the -- approved the application for, let alone that the community could sustain that expansion.

And that's my biggest concern. Has nothing to do with the model. I've said before I --I support the model. And, yes, I would be more comfortable if it was in a different space. I would. But there's nothing I can do about that.

I do have a question -- and I forgot to ask, and I apologize. And I -- I appreciate when all this information is provided, because we do learn something new. And this is a point of information for me.

When I was looking at the lease, the lease

183

THE CHAIR: You went on mute. I see you're still talking, but you put yourself on "mute" somehow.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Why did I do that? So, Ms. Chavez, I want to thank you for your willingness that you have that text ready to go. But my question is answered, and thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. Yeah. I've been in those PE classes, so -- and I've seen the compound fractures. So it's, like, once you see it, you never -- you never undo that.

So I know I brought this up, and I hate to belabor this. I know I brought it up with the last application.

Why did that application come forward to us to the Commission because they didn't qualify? But it got to us on the agenda. And I did question it. And I voted no for the expansion.

But moving forward, I do have concerns about that. But I understand we don't have control over traffic issues.

But when the school came to us, the application said how many students. We still didn't indicated that there was an opportunity for the landlord to charge -- I believe it was -- and I tried to pull it up real quick, and I couldn't get it -- I believe it was either referred to as extra rent or additional rent in a given year.

And I just needed some clarification on that, because, as I'm sure you're aware, and the Commission is painfully aware, that, you know, we're dealing with another school that sort of did additional rent payments.

So I'm just -- and I don't think I've ever seen that in a school's lease before. So I guess my question is what would that criteria be for that additional rent? And when would that decision be made? 'Cause how do you budget for that if -- so -you know. And I don't know whether you've got anyone here that can answer that.

But, like I said, I just never saw that before, so it kind of piqued my curiosity. So I apologize that it's on you that I'm asking this question. But I don't know whether anyone can or not.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: I don't know that anybody here can fully answer that. But the foundation is not going to require more lease

payments from the school. And I guess I'll have to go back and look at that wording as well. But there is no intention of asking for more rent payments.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. But, see, the way that lease is -- and it's part of this application, and I know we're not voting on the lease, but it's part of the information to help inform us -- it does say that.

And, Ms. Casedy, you're the head of the foundation?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Yes. I'm the principal.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. But you're also -- and I know there's kind of a change in the leadership at the school. Are you staying on and will still be part -- so you'll still be, like, codirector, but you'll be -- but you'll still be chairing, or whatever the title is, for that foundation as well?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Yes, I will be staying on as head administrator/superintendent and working in that capacity. So still administrating a large part of the school.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So when there's not -- and I guess Julia has to answer this. That's

subject to the conflicts-of-interest provisions.

They have to sign a conflict -- that there is no conflict of interest, and they have to make that determination themselves as to whether there's a conflict for them to serve on both.

But we're in the middle of it being -- you know, looking at that issue in more depth. I don't see anything in the law at the moment that requires that they are barred from it.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. See -- and I know we're looking at it in terms of a policy that we may say, "No, you can't."

But I thought there was certain conflicts that were delineated. So that would fall into that category. So that's what I need the clarification on.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Not clearly and not yet. We're looking at that in more detail. The most we have articulated it is in the letters that we sent to the auditors and the AG and -- on the other school. Those articulated our concerns about the conflict of interest.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks. And just one more question that goes to

kind of staff recruitment. So I'm guessing, with

osed?

the over-projection, you had to RIF a significant number of staff at the start of last -- only two?

number of staff at the start of last -- only two?
 So what's your staff retention? And I
 don't want to say "only two," because I don't w

don't want to say "only two," because I don't want
to diminish unfortunately the two people that lost
their jobs.
But it's fortunate that it was only two.

But it's fortunate that it was only two, because we've seen some new schools have to go even deeper than that, as I'm sure Karen can probably reflect on.

But what's your staff retention looking like? Just a guesstimate.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Like, from last year going -- or this past year going into next year? Is that what you mean?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Like, how many -outside of RIFs, how many staff have you lost through this year and during -- as we transition to the next school year?

MS. KAREN CASEDY: We're losing one staff member.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: And -- yeah, one staff member.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Because as

not considered a conflict that has to be disclosed? Or no?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sorry, Commissioner. I was responding to a text by another CSD person. So...

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the head administrator being the head of a foundation isn't a conflict that has to be disclosed?

MS. JULIA BARNES: So we're talking about --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Because that question occurs to me all the time. And I apologize.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We're talking about the forms that we're looking to review. And we're in the middle of reviewing them. Right now, that was the conversation we had yesterday, which you said that sentence about a school employee being on both -- being on the foundation board has been removed from the draft, we're going to talk in July about how we're going to apply that.

The -- it's my opinion, too, that one is there may be a special audit decision coming out which may provide us more guidance. But until then, a school leader -- or a school employee -- is

this.

you know, certainly, if you have to -- if you're hiring, and you end up laying off, it's tough to continue to recruit, because people are going to say, "Oh, sure. I'm going to get that job, and they're going to let me go because they over-projected or whatever."

So it's -- you know, we're still a small town, so, you know, it becomes tough that way. So I appreciate that, and thank you.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: And could I just address, real quick -- I want to go backwards a little bit about the PE. Just to let you know, I actually started off my career as a PE teacher. So I totally understand those big classes. I was part of those big schools. And it was very labor-intensive upon the PE teacher.

And that is not our intent at Explore Academy, and I will not let that happen at Explore Academy. So we do go a little bit above that just because of the room, but not much. I don't see our PE classes going above 20, and even for a PE class that's very small, manageable. You can do your team sports and kids can participate safely. So I just kind of wanted to just put that little blurb in there.

And so I know we don't have, you know, exact numbers to give you guys for the interest of having the K-through-5. But I do see it on a day-to-day basis in my job outside of, you know, Explore Academy.

I also just want to, you know, quickly just say, too, that I think that approving a K-through-5 grade (verbatim) not only helps serve the community, since we do only have two other schools here that are K-through-5 that are charter -- it is two; right?

Yeah. It really is two. Thank you.

But I do think the one thing that is
missing with our young kids is them feeling
empowered. They're on so many restrictions just due
to their ages, you know. They can't stay out with
friends till 10:00 p.m. or go to the park by
themselves safely, things along those lines.

And I feel like this type of model helps give those young kids that empowerment, those choices, those options to help mold them into a wonderful teen and, eventually, an adult and a community member.

I've worked with many university students

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Claren?

MS. CLAREN MULHALL: Hi, everyone. I hope -- you guys can hear me; right?

I'm the board secretary for Explore Academy-Las Cruces, and I've been sitting in on your meeting basically since you started it at 9:00. And so I appreciate all of you, you know, of course, still being here and discussing the school. And I just want to briefly touch on a couple of things.

More as a community member than a board, maybe, I'm so thankful that I get to be both. But on a day-to-day basis in my work, I work with the community all throughout Las Cruces, Mesilla, and some of the surrounding areas as well. And I really get to know my clients and their families, their needs.

And all of these people who learn I am on a board, one of the first questions is, "Oh. What grades?"

I tell them, "Right now, it's grades 6 through 12."

And the look on their faces. They are sad that their young kids, you know, would have to wait longer before they could join an amazing school like as well. And it is different, you know, seeing these people who have had more choices in their school career versus ones who got kind of put into that pod and didn't have much selection.

That's all I wanted to say. I did also -- I kind of looked up our lease. And I believe the additional rent payments are as we grow and have more students. That's where our lease payments would increase over the years.

I don't know if that answers your question, Commissioner Gipson, from earlier. But I was just kind of looking through it a little bit, and that seems to be what our projected schedule is in talking about that additional payment.

Thank you, everyone.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Before I go to Director Chavez and Karen, I just want to -- it doesn't look like Commissioners really have any more questions.

So I think we're just about ready -unless there's something that is really miss- -- or you must -- if you really have to say, like, a last word, like, I think we need -- we need to move forward.

So unless you're responding directly to

1 some kind of Commissioner question, we need to move 2 on.

Director Chavez? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. Thank you.

So I do have a little bit of a question on the enrollment. I know it might be moot until there's a vote.

But I do think we would need to clarify, because I see some charts -- so the current charter is approved for 700 students. I see the charts that talk about the phase-in both in the high school and the elementary. And although one is organized by year and the other by actual school year, year one, two, three, in actual numbered years, it -- they may add up to more than 700.

So, Chair Burt, I think earlier, you were saying that maybe they might not be proposing an increase in cap. But I think embedded into the grade expansion is an increase in cap. And so I just wanted to note that for the record.

And then the other thing I wanted to note for the record is that when we look at the application itself -- and I will admit that what I know today, I didn't know a year ago when the other school came before you. And so when we look at the 1 So I do want to thank all the people that 2 came in from the community to speak. And the 3 founders. This has been a tough question for us to 4 consider.

5 THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks.

Vice Chair Voigt?

7 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. If we're ready

8 for a motion --

THE CHAIR: Please.

10 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: -- I'm ready to make

11

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you.

I move that the request by the Explore Academy to amend the contract adding grade levels from the existing contract, grades 6 to 12, to new grade levels, K to 12, be approved.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'll go ahead and second for the purposes of further discussion.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's been a motion by Commissioner Voigt and a second by Commissioner Carrillo.

Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. If I 23 24 could just say, I mean, my motion is based on 25 justification. And I was there for last year's

195

application -- and I'm glad we're revising the forms, because there's both criteria and there's approval factors.

And so one of the other things that came up in the discussion is, you know, community need and whether that's something we should be thinking about our weighting as heavily as I think has been discussed today. And that does show up in the application in terms of approval factor.

So -- and I think it should. I personally think that if we're concerned about attorneys fighting for the school and all schools being approved, then, you know, let's just have charters everywhere, and that'll be what our public school system is about.

But I think the federal government right now is in the process of putting forth some guidance on the Charter Schools Program Grant that aligns with where I think New Mexico has been in the charter schools movement, and that is to be concerned about community demand for the school.

So I just want to leave the Commission with those final thoughts, that it's both in your policy, I think it makes good sense, and that -that the community input does matter.

approval of the previous school. This school has so much more readiness.

(Commissioner Voigt loses connectivity.) THE CHAIR: Is it just me that lost her? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. She froze.

THE CHAIR: I feel like my Internet has been wonky today, too. So I can't figure out sometimes if it's me or one of you that's frozen.

All right. We'll give her one second to come back. If there's any other Commissioners that would like to speak on this while she's getting back on, I'm happy to call on you at this time.

Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. You know, it's not that -- for, me, personally I'd be way more comfortable, like I said, if the school was filled out from their initial application and then was coming in and asking for that -- the additional grades at this point in time.

I understand the policy. I questioned it. I voted according -- in part, to that policy and my thought of a school coming too soon to try to expand when they haven't fully filled out. That was my vote the last time, and I'm going to be consistent with that -- with that vote this time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

It's not a lack of support for the school. But I think the school over-projected. New schools always do. But I think the school needs to show that they can fill in where they are at this point in time and what they initially asked for before they come. And we'll have that additional data to better inform us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that was part of my -- that was part of the rationale for my decision the last time. So, for me, I'm going to stay consistent with that.

But, also, to speak to the Director's comment about embedded in there is an enrollment cap increase, it's not. The school has to stay within that enrollment cap of that application, so that if they -- if they start to grow, and they can't fill out fully because they will violate the enrollment cap, they'd have to come to us for an enrollment cap increase.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And perhaps Ms. Chavez can answer this. So did I just hear you say, Commissioner Gipson --

THE CHAIR: Now, see, because we had a second, Director Chavez can't answer any more questions.

anything like that. It's something to just consider for later, because it's, like 290 pages of minutes. And to find anything is really difficult.

So anyway, having said that, I have a lot of confidence in this school. So I'm torn on the issue of policy, because I do think we've left it ambiguous, the way the policy is written. And I did make that comment that if I was counsel, and if the school wanted to come back and say, you know, "You guys just fly by the seat of your pants, and that's not why you've been elected, and to heck with you," I would probably say that anyone trying to make that case would probably prevail.

And I do have a lot of confidence in this school and the model. I love the fact -- I mean. even though the district was not involved in any of this, because of what your -- because if you were offering just another charter and you wanted to expand K-5, and there really wasn't significantly -a significant -- significantly different educational model, I would say forget it.

But what you're doing is so innovative and so different for the southern part of the state that I'm inclined to vote in favor for no other reason than -- and that's, you know, subjective, also, for

199

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Sorry about that. Okay.

Then the person who could -- let's see -is -- so -- so from what I heard, then -- and, Chair, you could probably answer this, or, certainly, Ms. Voigt -- if there is going to be the acceptance of adding grades K-5 -- just a quick answer please -- would they still have to come back to us for an enrollment cap increase?

THE CHAIR: Under their current enrollment projections in the next several years, they would need to at some point.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So what's interesting is I went back, and to the best of my ability, I looked at the January 15th meeting from last year.

THE CHAIR: It was the June --COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, rats. THE CHAIR: -- meeting. Yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I could easily go back there. And -- okay, I'll go back and look at

And just for the record, Ms. Chavez, man, is it hard in the minutes to find information, because it's not sorted out by agenda item or

me. I have a great deal of confidence in your school.

And so that's why -- and for all of the leaders that we're seeing here today. And that is why I would say, unless I hear something definitive for me, that's why I would be very likely to support this motion.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt, I was going to ask you if you wanted to finish what you were saying.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: It got really windy here, and I just got knocked off my Internet.

I am kind of -- I was torn, also, but I do feel that the school has it together. They have some great leaders behind what they're doing, and I have full confidence in their program. That's all.

THE CHAIR: All right. And I'll just say I know last year -- I mean, I'm just struggling, because I know last year was -- like, I did vote no based off of the policy. Commissioner Chavez and I both, I think, had that -- that's what we -- that's how we voted.

But it does seem like -- I mean, I feel like if it was appropriate to provide a different school in a similar situation, very similar, with

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

201

that.

	202		204
1	less enrollment and less history behind the network	1	four-to-four vote.
2	that this school has, it does make me more inclined	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It means it fails.
3	to vote yes on this one until this policy is looked	3	THE CHAIR: The motion fails.
4	at differently. Because I know that's what I heard	4	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: There we have it.
5	last year from folks was that, you know, it's in	5	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Explore team, for
6	there, and but we shouldn't you know. But	6	coming and presenting.
7	it's okay to, you know, vote the way that our gut	7	MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you for hearing
8	feels, or it's okay to vote the way that, you know,	8	our presentation.
9	we don't have to we can look at things and kind	9	THE CHAIR: And I'll definitely tell you,
10	of take our best judgment for the success of the	10	we are looking at these forms; so well, this form
11	school.	11	will be one of those we're looking at. So if that's
12	So, I mean, I I'm just I am	12	something you're able to qualify for later, and if
13	conflicted. But I in hearing, you know, how	13	you're still interested, be a part of that process
14	the confidence of this school and I do think that	14	and give input into it, like, first of all. And,
15	is a showcase for needing to be more specific with	15	like, after that new form is approved, happy for you
16	our policies and then ensuring that, in the future,	16	all to take a look at that again.
17	this is avoidable, you know, these kind of	17	MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you.
18	conflicts the conflict that we're having where	18	THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to go
19	there are these gray areas really shouldn't be	19	to I'm going to get some input from Director
20	present.	20	Chavez real quick. I mean, when I look at the
21	But as there is right now, you know, it	21	agenda, it doesn't look like we have a lot left. So
22	kind of does open the door for that opportunity.	22	I really would hate to take a 30-minute break if
23	So any other Commissioners?	23	we're going to take 30 minutes and then we'll be
24	(No response.)	24	done.
25	THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none,	25	But I'm wondering how long you think the
	203		205
1	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.	1	Implementation Year items might be so that we can
2	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.	2	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a
2 3	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.  Chair Burt.	2 3	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.
2 3 4	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank
2 3 4 5	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner	2 3 4 5	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.
2 3 4 5 6	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure.  Chair Burt.  THE CHAIR: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response.  So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll do a ten-minute break. We'll be back at 1:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll do a ten-minute break. We'll be back at 1:50  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll do a ten-minute break. We'll be back at 1:50  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on.  THE CHAIR: to finish.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. Commissioner Manis, are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll do a ten-minute break. We'll be back at 1:50  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on.  THE CHAIR: to finish.  Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Voigt, if you could call roll.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner  Carrillo.  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER WOIGT: Commissioner Manis? I'll come back. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Implementation Year items might be so that we can make an informed decision on whether we need a lengthy break or not right now.  DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, thank you for asking.  You all may notice that my presentations don't take that long. It really is how many questions the Commissioners want to pose to the schools.  THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair response. So I'm going to ask Commissioners if you would like to take a five-minute break, stand up, and move around, or if you would like to take a 30-minute lunch break.  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wanted to ask if we could have a smidge longer than five minutes.  THE CHAIR: Take a ten-minute break? I see a lot of "tens." Is that what that means?  Okay. All right. So it looks like we'll do a ten-minute break. We'll be back at 1:50  COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on.  THE CHAIR: to finish.

206

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done by 1:00, we're going to be done by a quarter of, and we weren't, things always take a lot longer than we're going to take. Things generally require a great deal more discussion than we think we're going to take.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is now 20 minutes until 2:00. As much as I want to be here for discussion about RioGAFA and THRIVE, I'm hungry. When I joined the Commission, having a full refrigerator wasn't a requirement. I'm leaving for 30 minutes to get lunch, and that's that.

THE CHAIR: But you have the -- you are more than welcome to do anything you want to do.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know I'm more than welcome. I don't need anybody's permission to do anything.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. We don't need to do this publicly.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: But I just want us to think about how we often run a great deal longer than we think we're going to run.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So we can have a conversation offline. I don't think it's appropriate to do it here. And I am taking the input from Commissioners as we make these decisions. 1 Hard, hard work. Running a school is also really 2 difficult.

So, Commissioners we are now on Item No. 9. So -- no. Wait a minute. Oh, I apologize. I have --

THE CHAIR: 8.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I have the wrong agenda up.

So thank you, Missy. We are on Item No. 8.

And in there is the Implementation Year Checklist that has been populated.

What it does is it shows not only this point in time, but the historical record of the various policies, procedures, plans, et cetera, that are required for a school that is newly approved to be ready to commence operations.

The reason why we have this meeting is because the PEC needs to approve the school so that it can start having budget authority for the new school year beginning July 1.

It is not the very end of the Implementation Year Checklist, because, as you all know, we do a site visit to the school two weeks before they actually start to serve students.

207

209

So I appreciate all of you, and we will be back in

(Recess taken, 1:39 p.m. to 1:50 p.m.) THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioners we're coming back online.

All right. Thank you, all. I know it's, like -- I know timing is hard. But I appreciate you all trying to make it to where the schools don't have to wait as long for us to get started.

So that being said, let's go ahead.

We're on Item No. 8, Discussion and Possible Action on Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts Implementation Year.

I know Missy -- or, Corina, are you going to bring in the school? Or do you just want to give your report? See if we needed to bring them in.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I think that in case you all have questions -- and traditionally there has been some questions. I see Jordan and Michelle are both in the audience, and so I would say promote them to the panel.

Jordan and Michelle, apologies you've waited this long. But you have been working so hard. So I think you know that this is hopefully a culmination of -- well, your Implementation Year.

We go to the school, and there is some items on the checklist that we review. We want to make sure that the facility is in good shape, that they have all of the health and safety -- they've met the health and safety requirements, such as E-Occupancy. They have furniture, they have teachers, that sort of a thing. So we will be back one last time.

This has not happened in -- in New Mexico. But when I was in New York, a school actually wasn't ready to open by their first day of school when we did the site visit check. Here, I'm sure that Jordan and Michelle, as a dynamic team who have been working really hard, will have their facility ready.

I want to just point out that all items are substantially complete. In fact, they are complete. There was a little back and forth on some. But all items on the checklist are complete.

If you have any questions about what those items are, the school is before you to respond to any questions.

I want to thank Barb Gradner on my team, who has worked closely with RioGAFA. And my recommendation is that the PEC vote to approve the school to commence operations. Thank you.

	210		212
1	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioners. Are	1	had to vote no on an implementation and do the
2	there any questions?	2	commencement of operation. So, you know, I know
3	And I want to say good afternoon and	3	what it takes.
4	welcome to Jordan and Michelle. It's nice to see	4	And your enrollment is incredible. I
5	you all.	5	mean, really. So that's a testament to that hard
6	Commissioner Voigt.	6	work that you've put in. So it's just this is
7	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. If there is	7	why we do what we do, the excitement that comes in
8	no question or discussion, I am ready to make a	8	to the new schools. So I just want to say
9	motion.	9	congratulations.
10	THE CHAIR: Go ahead.	10	THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Voigt is
11	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I move	11	back. I was like, "Oh, I'm going to have to find
12	that the Public Education Commission approve the	12	the roll call sheet."
13	Rio Grande Academy of Fine Arts to commence	13	Okay. Seeing no other hands up,
14	operation.	14	Commissioner Voigt, if you could take roll.
15	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.	15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Super.
16	THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion	16	Chair Burt.
17	by Commissioner Voigt and a second by Commissioner	17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	Taylor.	18	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner
19	Any discussion?	19	Carrillo.
20	I'll just say I've met with and spoke with	20	Not here.
21	Jordan and Michelle many times throughout this last	21	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez.
22	year, and I know they definitely had some uphill	22	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
23	battles to fight when it comes to making sure they	23	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis.
24	have the funding that they needed to get their	24	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
25	operations going.	25	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.
	211		213
1		1	
1 2	And so I will I know and I know	1 2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to		COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.
	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency	2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)
2 3	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you	2 3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point. Always very respectful, very kind. But,	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero. (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.  MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.  MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really grateful for this school being able to provide, once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.  MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you, all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really grateful for this school being able to provide, once again, a great option to hopefully many Westside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.  MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you, all.  MS. MICHELLE PLATIS: Thank you. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really grateful for this school being able to provide, once again, a great option to hopefully many Westside families who need that arts education.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.)  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.  COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor.  COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes.  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero.  (Applause.)  THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but  COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero.  THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA.  MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you, all.  MS. MICHELLE PLATIS: Thank you. Thank you so much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really grateful for this school being able to provide, once again, a great option to hopefully many Westside families who need that arts education.  Commissioner Gipson?  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to say, you know, thank you for your application year,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero. (Applause.) THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero. THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA. MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you, all. MS. MICHELLE PLATIS: Thank you. Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. One down, Director Chavez. That was great. Well, then, also, I'm sure, Director
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so I will I know and I know Director Chavez will say this, too. If you want to ever get a lesson in tenaciousness and resiliency and never giving up and bothering people until you get what you need, I think Jordan and Michelle can give, like, a master class on that at this point.  Always very respectful, very kind. But, man, do they fight for their school.  So I think it honestly, I know that wasn't what you guys were wanting to spend your time doing. But what an example that it gave me of how you're going to fight for your school moving forward, like, if this year was any kind of indication of you not giving up on anything and making sure you have all your I's dotted and T's crossed and making sure you have what you need for your kids, really impressed with you all and really grateful for this school being able to provide, once again, a great option to hopefully many Westside families who need that arts education.  Commissioner Gipson?  COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Absolutely. Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And I vote yes. And so that motion passes six to zero. (Applause.) THE CHAIR: I think there's more than that, but COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Seven. Seven to zero. THE CHAIR: Yeah, RioGAFA. MR. JORDAN FRANCO: Thank you all so much. It's always really great to see you. Thank you, all. MS. MICHELLE PLATIS: Thank you. Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. One down, Director Chavez. That was great.

more challenging than others -- right? -- to get going and open. So it's nice to have two -- I shouldn't speak too early. But it looks like we have two schools that are really doing their job, hopefully making your job a little bit easier, Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Doing my job. And so is my staff. Already have promoted Sean Duncan and Julie Lucero. Sean, let us know if there's anyone else on your team that needs to be promoted to the panel.

MR. SEAN DUNCAN: It's just Julie and I. Thank you.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So this is item No. 9, Commissioners. This is -- the THRIVE Implementation Year Checklist is in your materials. And, again, similar to RioGAFA, I want to thank, first of all, the school founders and staff on their team who have worked really, really hard to pull together all of the really challenging and extensive requirements needed to show readiness to open up the doors.

I know that they were on time; they were on point. They've done a terrific job recruiting students to be ready to attend their school.

1 So you'll see that the school met all of 2 the requirements, and I recommend that you approve

the "Commence Operations" in the next school year.THE CHAIR: Any -- Commissioner Gipson?

5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I was going to make a motion.

7 THE CHAIR: Oh. Even better.

8 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Jumping the gun

here.

10 THE CHAIR: All right. Does anyone have 11 any questions that either the school or Director 12 Chavez would need to respond to?

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: All right.

Commissioner Gipson, on you.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I move that the Public Education Commission approve commencement of operation for THRIVE Community School.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion
 by Commissioner Gipson and a second by Commissioner
 Taylor.

23 Any discussion from Commissioners? 24 All right. I'll just say the same thing.

I know you all had challenges with that CSP Grant

They're going to offer a really wonderful experience for students in Santa Fe.

I want to thank Samantha Samoiel on my team, who has worked closely with THRIVE, for reviewing all of the materials that they've submitted, providing feedback and support to the school.

For both RioGAFA and THRIVE, I also want to thank Missy Brown and anyone else on my team that has worked to support the school, to make sure that they have the CSP funds, which I recognize has not been quick to flow, but is getting quicker and more efficient by the day.

Your document shows all of the items as complete, or, if there was a concern noted, that they are resolved. And all of the detail is in the Implementation Year Checklist, which, when blank, was 30 pages, and at its complete state is 43 pages long.

The school had to submit documents to us at three different times. And each time that they provided the information, we provided notation, feedbacks. If they needed to fix anything, it carried forth as the very first item for the next submission.

and the money coming through. So thanks for bearing with everyone through that and working through that, you know, challenge and adversity this year.

I am so excited -- I mean, you all are the first school -- right? -- to do SEL. Like, that's your thing.

And so I am so excited. I can't imagine how much Commissioner Voigt is excited. But, you know, I'm so excited to see the impact that you have on your community, but, also, that you can show us some data -- you know, I'm a data person. So I'm really excited to see, you know, it in practice and actually see if some data come out from your amazing school serving the Santa Fe community.

Once again, we're so thrilled that these were the -- I'm so fortunate. I mean, it's going to be really hard for future schools. For all of us Commissioners who were new for you two to be our first schools, I mean just sets such a high bar for the future.

And I know, you know, we'll get some experience, like Commissioner Gipson, where we see the ups and downs. But, man, we're pretty lucky to have gotten these high bars that you all gave.

So I'm really excited. And I follow your

220 218 1 guys's social media, so I saw you all moving into 1 so much. We're very excited. 2 2 your space. And, man, it's beautiful. What a COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Congratulations. 3 3 beautiful space you all have. Really exciting. THE CHAIR: Thanks, Julie. 4 4 So congratulations, and, once again, to All right. Back to, you Director Chavez, 5 5 for Item No. 10, Report from Charter Schools the Charter School Division team, to -- that worked 6 6 Division. with these schools throughout this. I mean, once 7 7 again, I think these are great school leaders, so, DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So 8 hopefully, your job is a little bit easier than 8 that happened very quickly. 9 9 And my staff person who has been waiting maybe it could be otherwise. 10 10 in the fold is on another webinar. So he's not here But it's a lot of work on that CSD team 11 doing this. And so I'm so grateful that -- I mean, 11 to promote to the panel. But I wanted to first 12 12 pages and pages of follow-up and follow-through from provide a staffing update. 13 13 CSD. It gives us a lot of confidence. Like, to be Yesterday, you met Felicia Gonzales, who 14 14 just joined as the new business operations staff able to come today and just vote to open these 15 person on my team. I also know that some of you 15 schools with so much confidence is such a great 16 16 that were at the charter schools conference last thing on CSD's part, too, to get to that point. 17 17 So thank you for that. All right. Any week met Louie Torrez, who is the Charter Schools 18 Program Grant Manager and already, like, ooph. We 18 other comments? 19 19 (No response.) all breathed a sigh of relief and are so happy that 20 he's in place, because he's providing a lot of 20 THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner 21 21 really excellent customer service to not just the Voigt, if you can call roll for us. 22 schools you just saw, but all existing charter 22 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. 23 schools that have CSP grants, as well as to our 23 Commissioner Voigt votes yes. 24 24 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Taylor. internal stakeholders at the PED and our team. So 25 25 I'm just so pleased that Louie has joined the team. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 219 221 1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. 1 And I know that he will -- he will come to 2 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 2 probably our next meeting, so you guys can actually 3 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis. 3 meet him. 4 4 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. All right. So then the next thing I want 5 5 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson. to talk about is the Charter Schools conference and 6 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 6 to just provide an update to you all on how it went. 7 7 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis. As you know it was hybrid, mostly. It was 8 8 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. virtual. But we had one in-person gathering. 9 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez. 9 Just to provide you some of the numbers, 10 10 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. we had a total of 450 attendees. That represented 11 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner 11 25 schools. And there were other entities that 12 Carrillo. 12 attended: PED folks, you all, et cetera. 13 13 (No response.) There was 46 governing board members who COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Not here. 14 14 went to sessions that earned a total of 15 Chair Burt. 15 175 governing council training hours. 16 THE CHAIR: Yes. 16 So we know that the conference is one that COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And Commissioner 17 17 governing council members rely heavily on to get 18 Armijo is not here. 18 some of their hours. 19 19 So that passes, eight to zero. We currently have a feedback survey out. 20 20 (Applause.) Not as many respondents. You all should feel free 21 THE CHAIR: Yay, THRIVE. 21 to respond yourselves, if you attended the 22 MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Thank you. 22 conference. But we're constantly thinking about how 23 THE CHAIR: This will take you well into 23 we improve what we do. And so the survey results 24 your summer. Now you've just got to do it. 24 can be presented next time. 25 MR. SEAN DUNCAN: Absolutely. Thank you 25 One of the questions that we did ask folks

is did they want us to stay hybrid, did they want the conference to be in-person, or do they want to go all virtual? And so roughly half right now have responded that they like the hybrid nature of -- of the conference.

So stay tuned. If you have not received the link to provide feedback, I will make sure that you get it. And we will use that data to inform how we plan for next year.

Thank you all for your session. I sat in on it, and that was really helpful.

I'd like to talk a little bit about Mabry Hall.

When we met yesterday, and you heard from Woody about not hearing back from the vendor on the equipment that needs to be in in order for the hybrid system to be fully installed, the electronic equipment that would help us to operate as a hybrid. And he had hadn't heard back from Chad.

So I did a little bit more phone calls and investigations. And I did speak to a representative from ITConnect and found out that, actually, we don't anticipate all of the equipment to be in in time for Mabry Hall to be installed and functional by July 1. They have had supply chain issues, as

to share that there has been some reorganization work happening at the PED. I think Chair Burt mentioned this yesterday, that I am moving over to be under the directive of Dr. Angelo Gonzales, who is going to be my boss at the PED.

And I am super excited about working with him. I still intend to have a close relationship with the people at the -- with my former division, the Identity, Equity, and Transformation, because I think that what's really important right now is that everybody at the PED pull together and work closely together on behalf of students in New Mexico.

So I appreciate and want to thank
Dr. Vickie Bannerman for being really awesome and to
say that at some point soon, I would love for you
all to meet Dr. Angelo Gonzales. And our division
will be called School Transformation and Innovation,
which is really nice that charter schools will be
part of that.

I always want to thank my team for their hard work and good work. And also a special shout-out to Tierra Adentro for hosting us for the in-person portion of the Charter Schools conference.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director Chavez, I

have many. They were completely open and honest about the fact that it's not going to happen this fiscal year.

So I think that does open up Mabry Hall for any meetings moving forward, because the place is not undergoing construction.

I apologize that we put a halt to meeting without the construction. What did happen, actually -- I shouldn't say that -- the electrical work did get done. But the cameras and the equipment that would allow us to -- to have hybrid meetings has not been installed.

And I wish that that were not the case. But that is exactly where we're at. The finance committee need to meet and decide on plans for the next fiscal year moving forward, should we decide to move forward with creating Mabry Hall as a hybrid environment.

So I wanted to give that update. And if any Commissioners want to see the list of equipment and what's in, I believe there are three pieces that are not in. One of them is not anticipated to arrive until February of 2023, which is really shocking. But that's where it's at.

And then, in closing, I just -- I wanted

lost my little place, so give me one second.

All right. So next is Reports from PEC Liaisons.

So the first one is LESC and LFC.

So LFC is actually -- I was going to say they're meeting as we speak. They've actually adjourned for today. But they've been meeting -- they met yesterday in Gallup, and there was a focus on the improvement of financing and outcomes for Native American students. So Mike Hyatt, the Gallup superintendent, presented yesterday while we were meeting. So I'll be looking over those minutes after they come out.

I did go to Santa Rosa to see -- to go to LESC, meet everyone in person instead of just watching them online. Was able to meet -- so Dr. Gwen Perea Warniment that we all know, it was her first meeting as the director. So it was nice to know, going into it, who is there and knowing that that executive director is going to have such a deep, intimate knowledge of education in New Mexico and how the PED works.

And so met with her. She introduced me to the staff. So if there are any charter issues that come up, they do know about us so that they can --

2.

we can partner in any way possible.

They did have lots of presentations from students, from teachers, from superintendents, and even from charter leaders throughout their three days' meeting, which was really refreshing.

I know all the people in LESC were, like, "We wish all the legislators could, like, actually talk to people the way we get to actually meet with the students we're serving, actually meet with the people."

There weren't any charter-specific agenda items. But, of course, most things that they consider does impact our charter schools as well.

A lot of the conversation is about the impact of COVID and how schools are starting to look at recovering from those things financially and academic- -- I mean, the whole package, the whole child part of it; right? Not just academics, but teacher retention was a really big one, schools really talking about how teachers are really burnt out, more than ever before, and it's really difficult to recruit and retain teachers right now. And it's an emergency -- it's always been; right? We've always had a teacher shortage. But we're at a very urgent level of needing teachers in our state,

if you're actually going to use it, if you're actually going to look at it, if you're actually going to make a decision based off of it.

So I think that was a really good message from a school leader that we can get into as we look at our policies and forms. Are we really go to use the data? Are we really going to look at it? Are we going to base a decision off it? If not, don't make them do it.

Is it in law? If it's nowhere -- like, the LESC did a report, and out of all these different report requirements, there were several that weren't based in law. They couldn't find any legal basis for the reporting.

So they're really looking at streamlining reporting requirements from the legislative side.

There's a lot of the reports that PED requires, because the legislature requires. And they're really looking at is that a substantive report, or is it, like, a check box? You know, are we just asking people to fill out this report just to show they're doing it because we need to see that they're doing it?

It was a really interesting conversation. And I felt like it was -- it was good for us to

and keeping them.

They also had a really interesting conversation about streamlining information, streamlining reporting requirements. They really were talking about it from the PED perspective. And there was a superintendent of one of those tiny -- a tiny district of Estancia that was talking about how last year, she spent six weekends creating 136 reports for PED. And when she went back and reviewed how many times they were opened, it was, like, two reports were opened.

So she's creating all these reports weekend after weekend. So really looking at streamlining reporting. And so I thought that was just so timely for us, because it's what we're looking at doing as well, is streamlining reporting and not having our charter leaders, you know, have to look and figure out all the reporting requirements for us.

And that seems to be -- I'm sure Commissioner Chavez knows -- it seems to be something that school leaders like is when you don't make them do reports for no reason. I think the idea that they were talking about is they're happy to do reports. They're happy to give information, consider some of those same things, and, when we get to doing it, really getting the input from charter leaders about, you know, what reports we're requiring of them and what data we're asking of them and if it's burdensome for no reason. Right?

I think that's the big point that I want everyone to come away with. It seemed like they didn't mind doing it as long as there was an actual reason for it. And they felt like sometimes they were just doing it for no reason.

They also had a really interesting conversation. Future Focused Ed came and talked about high school graduation requirements, capstone projects. I think a lot of charter schools are doing capstone projects for their graduation for their schools.

So I do wish there was more, like, charter input into that one, because I think there's a lot of the charters who do capstone projects. I know there's a lot of districts who do it as well. The idea was having it, at the State level, that it could be a graduation requirement and a demonstration of competence.

Once again, our charters are kind of leading the way in those things.

It was really great to meet all the folks in person, like I said. I was able to meet Joseph Escobedo in person. I have only ever spoken to him, so that was nice to meet in person.

So that's what they did. That's what they talked about at LESC. And we'll see about LFC. They're meeting right now.

All right. Next one is Secretary Armijo. She's not here.

So Item C is NMCEL from Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I have nothing to report. We have not met yet.

THE CHAIR: Next one is PSCOC from Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. Just a quick update. And I hope all the charters have been keeping track of the calendar. Lease assistance awards applications went out. The letters went out on June 13th, earlier this week. They're due July 15th. If they don't put in their application, they won't get a lease assistance award for next year.

I will be pushing for the maximum increase that we can maybe push for. I would like it to get

it's in the Albuquerque Public School district, going forward, they're looking at needing a -- roughly a -- about a 75 percent match. So they would have to come up with about 25 percent themselves -- or, excuse me -- 75 percent of the cost, and the State would only provide 25 percent.

Well, at that level, even if they were able to borrow that and go forward, their payments would be close to three quarters of a million dollars a year. And their current lease assistance is under \$100,000 a year.

So you can see there's a huge gap here and a discrepancy between district schools and their ability to raise capital funds through taxing. The amount that charters get through SB 9 is very minimal. So that doesn't even approach what they would need.

They can apply for a waiver. And I think the Council is seriously considering a waiver. But it still is a huge lift. So if any of you have contacts and everything like this, this is a school that does relieve a lot of pressure on APS.

There is a School for the Deaf in Santa Fe. I was in a position -- and we even looked at it -- to send our son, who has profound hearing

up to that full \$900-plus based upon what the original was back in 2007, plus up to 3 percent per year. There have been several years, even, that inflation is 1 or 2 percent. They got zero. I think it's only the fair thing to do. Right now, they're at about \$762.

The other big thing, that's per member per year. That's not per month; that's per year.

One of the big things is Albuquerque Sign Language. That's a State charter in Albuquerque. They have put forth a plan, and they have initial planning documents for a school site on a Bernalillo County site. Bernalillo County has agreed to give them a space to build a site that they can accommodate up to 200 students. Right now, they have about 130 students spread over two or three different buildings. This would allow them to have up to 200 students in one facility.

The issue is that it's a \$30 million facility. And at that, you know, current prices going forward, we're looking at costs somewhere between \$400 and \$500 a square foot for construction. It's just gotten crazy what school construction costs are.

But the Albuquerque Sign Language, since

loss bilaterally, to Santa Fe. But we didn't feel that they were going to accommodate his physical needs.

And the social-emotional, that was a concern for us also. This is, you know, back 35 years ago we looked at it and felt that sending him up to Santa Fe to live there in a dormitory for five days a week was not an option for us. And for a lot of parents with younger children, that's not an option, to send their young children away for a week at a time.

So Albuquerque Sign Language, since there are a lot of students in Albuquerque, a lot of students with disabilities migrate to Albuquerque because this is where a lot of services are. Carrie Tingley hospital is here; UNMH is here. So they do have a large population of hearing-impaired.

And about 30 percent of their students have no hearing impairment or very minimal. But they're siblings. They have a hearing impairment. So they're learning sign language and other things in order to work with their siblings, which it's a wonderful program. And I know Commissioner Gipson has visited there, and some of the others probably have also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's one of my pet projects, if, you want to call it that. I really want to see that school get funded. And one of the things is looking at maybe a legislative appropriation or something.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The School for the Deaf in Santa Fe actually gets fully funded. They have oil and tax money that funds them. But guess what? They're eligible for matches with the State also, even though they have basically full funding.

Albuquerque Sign Language is not in that position, and they are doing a wonderful job with the students they have.

We'll continue pushing forward through the rest of this year. We are looking at updating and coming out with guidelines for teacher housing. For those of you who don't know, right now, there's about 250 housing units in New Mexico, but there's a need for about 800.

You look at the rural areas, and even some urban areas, the cost of housing or availability just isn't there. In some of the rural areas, there's no housing within 50 miles of some of these rural schools. And charters in these rural areas may be in the same situation. So there is funding available. Matches from the school district have to

people living in the community.

One other thing I just saw in the news, there's an entrepreneur down in Roswell, I think it is. They're launching blimps. They just did a test the other day, a 24-hour test. They're looking at putting up five around New Mexico. New Mexico is their headquarters, and this is what they're looking

Five of these blimps put up. They would stay up 24/7. That would provide virtually 100 percent broadband coverage to the entire state. They would also be able to do atmospheric testing and things like that separate from what the schools need.

There's a real opportunity there also. Stay aware of the broadband needs that our charters have, but also encourage them, if you're talking with them, to participate in the E-Rate program, which is a federal program that will pay up to 90 percent of the costs for eligible Internet costs, thanks.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins.

> Next is NMPSIA. Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Hi, there. The only

235

1 update I have is that we have recently had some 2 leadership change. And NMPSIA, our interim

executive director, he is leaving to take another position elsewhere. And one of our internal -- we did an internal appointment to executive director.

So that is the -- the biggest update that I have.

We're going to be having our annual retreat in July in Ruidoso. So I'm planning to attend that, whether virtually, in person, I'm not sure how that will conflict, as we have the community input hearings scheduled for that same time.

So that is all for now. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thanks, Commissioner Manis. And New Mexico School Boards Association. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I -- Joe Guillen and I are going to be meeting next week or the week following to discuss why the heck we have this position and what's the point, because, given the last meeting of theirs that I attended and the way that we were summarily dismissed by everyone going off the Zoom call when I started, my feeling is, well, screw all of them if they don't want to hear

be there for teacher housing. There is a huge -like I said, a huge demand. Applications will be probably available later this year.

The last thing I wanted to touch on is the school-based education network.

This is the broadband network that the PSCOC is starting to develop. They're working on the contract with the vendor. Charters are -- I would encourage them to participate.

The first-year costs would be fully covered, and possibly the second-year costs. So if they're paying a broadband provider, they could eliminate that cost.

E-Rate would still be available in future years.

The Legislature approved up to \$10 million a year for the PSCOC to put into broadband. And right now, we're just hitting a fraction of that. We're hitting less than 25 percent of that, roughly.

So there's a lot more available, where, really, the PSCOC could virtually cover most, if not all, the cost for broadband access to schools. And that would then -- the schools in all areas of the state would have access. And then connecting to those schools would be much easier for houses and

from us.

So I want to meet with Joe, and I want to find out, "Joe, what do you need from us? What do we need from you? How can we make the relationship work?"

But let's forget it, man. It's just a waste of time, and we'll do something else. There's just no point to liaise when there's nothing to liaise and if the other party doesn't want to bring anything to the table.

So I look forward to meeting with Joe to move forward in one direction or another. That's that.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks, Commissioner Carrillo.

And last is the State Library Commission. Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I have no report. We have not met yet. So made initial contact and haven't heard back yet; so...

THE CHAIR: Sounds good.

All right. That goes to Item 12, Report from the Chair. I'm about to sneeze. Sorry.

Okay. The -- the only thing I have to tell you that is new from yesterday to today is the

are unable to attend both, you read the transcript.

The other thing -- oh. There was -- we do have -- I was able to go to the Charter conference in person at Tierra Adentro. And it was awesome to see the flamenco dancers. It's so cool to see high-schoolers already just expressing themselves through something they love so much. And that they're doing it as part of their school is awesome.

But I was able to meet some of those new -- some of -- I was able to meet a lot of the CSD folks that I hadn't ever met. I see them in the Attendees all the time. I see these names over here or I've worked with -- for example, Sam, I've seen her. But I actually got to meet her. So it was really wonderful to see all the CSD team there.

And I did want to say, I know, while Director Chavez was doing her report, Louie was not in the audience, and now he is.

So I know I have -- once again, I had the privilege of being able to see him in person at the Charter School conference. But I'm hoping I can at least pull him over so you all can see his face, and he can say hi to everyone right now, if that's okay, Director Chavez.

So, Louie -- like you all know, I worked

community input hearing. The CSD team was able to take our -- take our conversation from yesterday, and they did take a look at -- so, the facilities and listen to us about the timing being later in the

and listen to us about the timing being later in the day, so that community people actually could come and not miss work.

and not miss work.So they were

So they were able to get New Mexico Highlands-Rio Rancho from 4:00 to 6:00 on July 19th. That is that Tuesday.

So if you have a serious opposition to it, please e-mail Director Chavez, and we will take a look. And if the majority of Commissioners are unable to make that work, either virtually or in person, then we can take a look at it.

But Director Chavez does want to go ahead and confirm that as quickly as possible so that the school is aware and that we can sign that contract for that facility.

So if you all could do that by Monday morning. So if you have -- today would be best. If you could just send her an e-mail right away. But if not, Monday by the end of the day, send something if you -- if it's just an absolute impossibility for you to attend either virtually or in person.

And also there's always the option, if you

at the PED. And Louie was always known as just an outstanding federal programs grant manager, like, just outstanding -- like, well-known across the PED.

So when I heard that Louie Torrez was coming to CSD to be the Charter Schools Program Grant Manager, I was so happy.

I was, like, what a wealth of knowledge to get over to CSD.

And I don't know, Louie, how much you're okay with me telling people. He said he's been trying to get over to Charter Schools for years. He is finally so happy to have gotten in. It's a match made in heaven, as far as I'm concerned.

Hi, Louie. Do you want to introduce yourselves to the Commission?

MR. LOUIE TORREZ: Yes. And thank you for those kind words.

Hello, everyone. My name is Louie Torrez. I have been with PED for ten years, just past that ten-year mark this past month. Before that, I was eight years at a charter school. I was eight years at Walatowa High School in Jemez Pueblo.

I have quite a bit of experience in charters. My youngest son attended -- attended and graduated Amy Biehl High School. So I sort of know

charter schools from all different angles, from being at PED or working at a charter or being a parent of a charter school student. I think it's a really, really great option that our students have in our state.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Louie. We're really excited to have you. We're also so happy -- I'm going to speak on behalf of the Commission, Corina.

We're so happy that staff is bulking up. Not just Louie's muscles, but just, like, we need to have more and more people. Like, we're going to keep getting more and more. That's what we want. So really happy to see you. Welcome, Louie. We're happy to have you over here.

MR. LOUIE TORREZ: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: The other thing I want to make sure Commissioners know about and have on your radar, first of all, I just wanted to say Vice Chair Voigt, Director Chavez, and a lot of the CSD team and myself -- and, actually, Melissa Armijo is already on her way out to D.C. We're all going to the Charter Schools conference. So we'll be excited to report back to you some of the things we learn there over the course of those days.

any Commissioners would like to speak, raise your little digital hand, and I will call on you.

Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. So I want to thank Director Chavez. I had some friends here that were having trouble renewing their teaching certificate, and they were struggling with the PED website. And people weren't returning their phone calls.

So I asked her if she had any suggestions. And she took time out of her day. It wasn't her job, but she did respond quickly with links and phone numbers, and it was successful. So thank you so much for your help.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I want to thank all of the Commission -- Commission members yesterday, and Director Chavez, when we were discussing the MOU and changes to that with regard to the budget and ensuring that charters get to keep as much of their SEG as possible.

You know, if we can give back \$1 and a half million or \$2 million of what is basically taken from them, that is a huge benefit to these schools.

Really excited to go to my first one. I know Glenna has been there before. And she's, like, "It's great, you're going to love it." So I'm excited to go. We can't wait to report that back out to you.

I just wanted to put on your radar that the NACSA conference is coming up in October, which I know it sounds like it's far away, but it's not. So I think it's a really great opportunity for the folks who have been there, and for all of us who have never, to get -- you know, for all of us who are new, it would be our first time really getting into the authorizing world and experiencing it from the national level.

So just want to throw that out there to you all while looking to October and the NACSA conference. We already did tell Ms. Barnes about it as well, because we think that would be a good one for her to go to.

All right. That's all I have for Report from the Chair.

Matt Pahl let me know that he's traveling today as well, so he's not here. And I don't see Mike Ogas.

So that takes us to PEC Comments. So if

And some of these schools, as you probably know, are dipping into their SEG to pay for their leases. And that would help alleviate some of that burden.

I want to thank you all for that. Director Chavez, I appreciate your support, and I hope we can get PED to review, and I pray that they will approve the MOA and the 15 percent limit.

I think it's reasonable. And it actually is somewhat generous, you know, because we're saying 15 percent of the 2 percent, not 15 percent of what we actually budget.

So it is -- I think gives them a direction to head, and I hope we can get that implemented and put into effect, and also to get the reporting that Director Chavez has indicated the desire and willingness to try to ensure that this Commission gets regular and more timely reporting on the spending of the -- of the funds, not just PEC spending, but CSD and PED in total, so we can kind of see -- then we can report to the charters, "This is where some of your money is going and what it supports."

So thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I just want to echo what Chair Burt has already said. And that is wow, what a home run, Director Chavez, you had with Louie Torrez coming over and working with you.

And I've had the pleasure of working with Louie when he was over at the Federal Programs, Title I, specifically, and also Migrant. And when he made the announcement to the Migrant directors a couple of weeks back, it was bittersweet, because Louie, who, when I came on board and started working with Louie, he was -- he oversaw the Migrant program, and such a great program that we have in the state, great group of people.

But then he left for a little bit when reorganization happened. And then he came back to Migrant for just a short time, just long enough for him to say, "Hey, I'm going over to Charter Schools."

So it was kind of bittersweet for me, because we have such a great relationship in the work that Louie did in Migrant and Title 1.

But it's also refreshing to know that he's moving on over to Charter Schools and working with you all and Director Chavez.

And I just have to echo what Chair Burt

they choose to put my phone number and my e-mail address on the envelope.

So, you know, just -- just putting it out there.

So, you know, we take complaints seriously. And we honor people's anonymity as much as we can. But there has to -- you know, I'm just going to put it out there. So thanks.

THE CHAIR: Thanks. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. So I kind of have a policy when things are anonymous. They go instantly into the trash. If someone can't say who they are, and they don't want to give me an address or anything for me to be able to respond or anything, it couldn't have been that important, so it goes right in the garbage.

A couple of things. First is we all remember what happened on May 24th; right? Nineteen little kids and two adults were murdered in Uvalde. So there's -- you know, even though Congress can do whatever it wants, there are things that school boards are thinking of.

And, essentially, I think of -- I think of us as the state school board for all of our

247 249

has said, is, you know, just the capacity of your Department is -- it's really great to see that -- just like I mentioned previously with the previous charter school, it's nice to see that you've got a strong leadership team that you're building.

And so congratulations to you. Congratulations, Louie. I look forward to working with you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. Just real quick. I wanted to actually congratulate Superintendent Chavez for that Kellogg Grant that you got. That really looks exciting, a partnership with the community. So I'll be interested to see how that is.

And I know that was a long-term project to get that. So congratulations on that.

On a less positive note -- this is going to fall on deaf ears -- I've been sort of inundated with complaint letters, more than a handful of them, from "Anonymous." And I'm sure some Commissioners have received them.

I just want to say, you know, anonymous complaints only go so far. And it's not very. And ironic that whoever it is wants to be anonymous, but

authorized charters. And there are some districts -- and the number is growing, and if I was at Santa Fe, I would be pushing for this -- that are going to be asking that their schools don't open in August until there is a security plan in place from Congress and otherwise, that if we can't keep our kids safe, then why should we send them off to be slaughtered.

That's what it is. They're just sitting ducks in a classroom being gunned down.

So we don't need to do anything now. Could be an agenda item later, something to think about, how can we be pivotal in making our kids safer.

No, it's not, as somebody wrote, putting razor wire around the property. That's just stupid. And I know that Ms. Voigt hates when I use that word. But in the words of Mama Gump to Forrest, "Stupid is as stupid does."

So it's something that we really should be looking at, because it's gotten -- we always say it's gotten to the point where we need to do something. But certainly having all the kids at Sandy Hook, even littler kids murdered didn't compel this country to do a darn thing.

			01 (1 ages 250 to 255)
	250		252
1	This is going to happen locally and by	1	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Gipson.
2	states. So something we maybe should put on our	2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
3	agenda. And being New Mexico, we can pilot things,	3	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Davis.
4	because we're smaller, and that's really great.	4	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
5	And then what this also relates to is, as	5	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Chavez.
6	a Commission, is to whether or not we want to	6	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
7	require and, obviously, the State would have to	7	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner
8	pay for it systems like Raptor and I can't	8	Carrillo.
9	remember the well, there's two systems. One has	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So you know,
10	to do with ID.	10	technically, there's no provision that says we have
11	So when you're going onto a property, you	11	to make a motion for adjournment. But I'll vote
12	give them your ID. And what they do your	12	yes.
13	driver's license we have this in Santa Fe, both	13	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Burt.
14	Raptor and this. It does a quick scan of your	14	THE CHAIR: Yes. But because we're
15	license and everything, and it lets the school know	15	virtual, we do have to.
16	if there's any restraining orders, or if there are	16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.
17	any challenges with your ID, if there's any	17	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And Commissioner
18	what's it called? predator? If you're on any	18	Armijo is not here. So that motion passes
19	sort of a list, it immediately notifies a school, so	19	unanimously, nine to zip.
20	that the wrong people or the wrong parent who	20	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you, all.
21	might be trying to take a kid is not allowed on	21	See you next month.
22	the property.	22	(Proceedings adjourned at 2:49 p.m.)
23	The system that works on the door is on	23	(1 roccoungs adjourned at 2.47 p.m.)
24	the inside where the doors open in, on the inside,	24	
25	and it clamps down into the concrete of the	25	
	251		253
1	classroom. And there's no way to penetrate that	1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	door, unless they had a bazooka or something.	2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	These are things we may want to consider.	4	
4	Because if we can't keep our kids safe, in addition	5	
5	to making sure they can read and write and do basic	6	
6	math, then, I believe we're shirking our duties.	7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
7	Let's maybe give that some thought, and maybe I'll	8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
8	have something concrete to bring to you a month from	9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
9	now.	10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
10	And that's that's it for now. Thank	11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
11	you very much. It's been a productive two days.	12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, in the matter
12	THE CHAIR: All right. That being said,	13 14	therein stated.  In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
13	I'm at No. 14. I move to adjourn.	15	hand on June 29, 2022.
14	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second.	16	5 5410 27, 2722.
15	THE CHAIR: No one jumped on that?	17	
16	There's a motion and a second.	18	
17	Vice Chair Voigt, if you could call roll,		Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
18	please.	19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
19	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I vote yes.		BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
20	Commissioner Taylor.	20	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.	21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
22	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins.	21 22	License Expires: 12/31/2022
23	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	23	
24	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Manis.	24	
25	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	25	Job No.: 6329N (CC)
İ		1	

## 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 8 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, in the matter 13 therein stated. 14 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 15 hand on June 29, 2022. 16 17 18 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR 19 New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23 24 25 Job No.: 6329N (CC)

