

# Walatowa Charter School

Contract

Amendment

Condition

Performance Framework

Academic

School specific goals

Organizational

Financial

Charter Contract Between the  
New Mexico Public Education Commission  
And  
Walatowa High Charter School

This Charter Contract, (the "Contract"), is hereby entered into by and between the New Mexico Public Education Commission, (the "Commission"), and Walatowa High Charter School, (the "School", and, together with the Commission, the "Parties"), a New Mexico Charter School, effective this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July 2017.

WHEREAS, the Commission is created pursuant to Article 12, Section 6 of the New Mexico Constitution, with such powers and duties as are provided by law; and,

WHEREAS, the Commission is authorized pursuant to the Charter Schools Act, § 22-8B-1, *et seq.*, New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1978, as amended and supplemented, (the "Act"), to, *inter alia*, authorize charter applications that meet the requirements of the Act, and to negotiate and execute, in good faith, charter contracts that meet the requirements of the Act with approved charter schools; and,

WHEREAS, the Commission is further authorized pursuant to the Act, to monitor charter schools' compliance with the requirements of the Act, and with the requirements of the charter and Contract for each approved charter school; and,

WHEREAS, the Commission is further authorized pursuant to the Act to determine whether an approved charter school merits suspension, revocation, or nonrenewal; and,

WHEREAS, the Commission approved the charter application for the School on December 7, 2016, (the "Charter"); and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Act and the Charter, the Commission and the School wish to enter into this Contract in compliance with the Act, and in order to set out the performance frameworks, as that term is defined in the Act, that define the financial, academic, and operations performance indicators, measures and metrics that will guide the evaluation of the School.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and the mutual promises and covenants herein contained, the Commission and the School agree:

SECTION 1: DEFINITIONS

Capitalized terms defined in this Section 1 shall have the meaning specified in this Section 1 wherever used in this Contract, including the foregoing recitals, unless the context clearly requires otherwise. Capitalized terms defined in the foregoing recitals, if not defined in this Section 1, shall have the same meaning as stated when used in this Contract, unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

"Audit Act" means § 12-6-1 through 12-6-14, NMSA 1978, as amended and supplemented.

"Chair" means the chairperson of the Commission, as elected by the members of the Commission, pursuant to the Act, from time to time.

"Charter Representative(s)" means Dr. Arrow Wilkinson and Kenneth Sando, as the person(s) authorized to sign the Contract, and other documents, on behalf of the School, and to legally bind the School to the Contract and other documents as required under the Act.

"Comprehensive Educational Program" means an educational program that meets Department academic standards as identified in this contract.

"Compulsory School Attendance Law" means the compulsory school attendance law set out at § 22-12-1 through 22-12-9, NMSA 1978, as amended and supplemented.

"Corrective Action Plan" means a plan developed by the School and submitted to the Commission to remedy operational, or financial violations or problems.

"Criminal Offender Employment Act" means the criminal offender employment act set out at § 28-2-1, *et seq.*, NMSA 1978, as amended and supplemented.

"Days" means calendar days.

"Department" means the Public Education Department of the State of New Mexico, and its successors.

"Division" means the Charter School Division of the Department, and its successors.

"Effective Date" means the effective date of this Contract, which is July 1, 2017, found on the last page of this Contract with signatures.

"Facility" or "Facilities" means the facilities, including without limitation, all buildings classrooms, and other spaces owned or leased by the School, and used by the School, its staff, teachers, and students, for educational and recreational purposes, and other purposes connected with the Mission of the School.

"Governing Body" means the governing body of the School, and any successor thereto.

"Head Administrator" means a Charter Representative, as defined herein, who is also a licensed school administrator.

"Instructional Hours" means mandatory instructional time during which students are engaged in a School-directed program, and for which the School enforces the Compulsory School Attendance Law.

"Mission" means the educational and pedagogical mission of the School, as set out in Section 4.1 herein.

"NMAC" means the New Mexico Administrative Code, as amended and supplemented from time to time.

"NMSA, 1978" means the New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1978 compilation, as amended and supplemented from time to time.

"Procurement Code" means §13-1-101, *et seq.*, NMSA 1978, as amended and supplemented from time to time.

"Public School Finance Code" means § 22-8-1, *et seq.*, NMSA 1978, as amended and supplemented from time to time.

"School Improvement Plan" means a plan developed by the School and submitted to the Commission to remedy academic performance.

"Secretary" means the Secretary of the Department, and his or her duly appointed successors.

"State" means the State of New Mexico.

"Term" means the term of this Contract, as set forth in Section 3, herein.

## SECTION 2: SCOPE

1. This Charter Contract is entered into between the School and the Commission for the purpose of establishing a charter school to operate at the site(s) listed in Section 4.10. of this Contract.
2. The person authorized to sign and act on behalf of the Commission is the Chair, or such person as the Chair may lawfully designate from time to time.

The person(s) authorized to sign on behalf of the Charter School is/are the Charter Representative(s). The Charter Representative(s) affirm(s) as a condition of this Charter, that he/she is (one of) the above-described representative(s) of the Charter School and has the authority to enter into this Charter on behalf of the Charter School.

- i. The Charter School must maintain one or more Charter Representative(s), including one Charter Representative who is a Head Administrator, and provide contact information to the Commission within 30 days of the change of a Charter Representative(s).
  - ii. The Commission shall direct all communication with regard to the Charter and the Contract to the Charter Representative(s).
  - iii. The Charter Representative(s) shall respond to written communication from the Commission within the timeframe specified in the communication, which shall be no less than three business days absent exigent circumstance.
3. The Charter School is a public entity of the State of New Mexico, subject to all laws and regulations applicable to public entities.

## SECTION 3: TERM

1. The term of this Contract shall be in full force and effect until June 30, 2022. The Contract will not automatically be renewed or extended; the Contract may be renewed by the Commission upon timely application by the School pursuant to the Act, and upon such terms and conditions as the Commission deems appropriate under the Act.

## SECTION 4: REPRESENTATIONS, COVENANTS, AND WARRANTIES

1. **Purpose:** The School shall operate a public school consistent with the terms of the Charter and the Contract, and all applicable laws; shall achieve student outcomes according to the educational standards established by law, this Charter and Contract; and shall be governed and managed in a financially prudent manner.
2. **Mission:** The Charter School shall implement the mission identified below and shall report on the implementation of that mission in the manner described below.
  - i. Through a community-integrated experiential learning program, Walatowa High Charter School will prepare students to be academically successful while promoting leadership, language preservation, and community wellness.
  - ii. The Charter School shall report on the implementation of its mission in the following manner:
    - a. Annually during the performance review visit required by the Act, as evaluated through the site visit team's observations and the school's response to any such observations;

- b. Annually through any mission specific goals identified in the School's Performance Framework, Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference; and
  - c. At renewal, in the event that the School applies to the Commission for renewal, through a narrative in the renewal application.
- 3. **Enrollment Cap and Authorized Grade Levels:** The School is authorized to serve no more than 150 students in grades 9-12.
  - i. The School may make modifications as to the number of students in any particular grade, and number of students within a class to accommodate staffing decisions that are consistent with the School's programmatic needs; except that, nothing in this Contract shall give the School the authority to combine students from different grade levels into the same classroom unless the school's educational program explicitly provides for mixed grade or age education.
  - ii. The School must annually, prior to beginning the annual enrollment process, establish the number of vacancies by grade level available for student enrollment in that year. That number will govern the enrollment throughout the school year.
  - iii. The School may not exceed the building capacity of the Facility, which is 150.
- 4. **Comprehensive Educational Program of the School:** The School's educational program shall be as described below:
  - i. The principal focus of Walatowa High Charter School's academic program is early college preparation, including dual credit coursework. The pre-college focused curriculum also emphasizes the value of the community's (Jemez, Zia, and Jemez Valley Corridor) culture and traditions.
  - ii. Students are challenged with advanced courses in core subject areas and enter the dual credit program by their junior year of high school. Walatowa High Charter School maintains strong partnerships with post-secondary institutions to ensure the implementation of our college and career preparation program.
  - iii. Walatowa High Charter School implements a community school model that includes the two-generation approach and continuum. As a community school, Walatowa High Charter School is both an educational institution and a center of community life that partners the school with other community resources. The Two-Generation approaches focus on creating opportunities for and addressing needs of both children and the adults in their lives together. Walatowa High Charter School has an integrated focus on academics, youth development, family support, health and social services and community development. The partnerships include:
    - a. Pueblo of Jemez Health and Human Services
    - b. Pueblo of Jemez Tribal Programs
    - c. Pueblo of Jemez Education Department
    - d. Pueblo of Jemez Transportation Department
    - e. Pueblo of Zia Tribal Administration
    - f. Pueblo of Kewa Tribal Administration
- 5. **Governance:**
  - i. The School shall be governed by a governing body in the manner set forth in the governing body's bylaws, Attachment B, incorporated herein by reference.

- ii. The School's Governing Body shall have at least five members at all times; the exact number of Governing Body Members shall be specified in the bylaws.
- iii. The School shall notify the Commission of all changes in membership within 30 days of the change.
- iv. The Charter School shall replace any member who is removed or who resigns within 45 days of such removal or resignation.
- v. No member of the Governing Body shall serve on the governing body of another charter school, unless the School has been granted a discretionary waiver from the Secretary.
- vi. All governing body members shall comply with training requirements established in Section 6.80.5 NMAC, as amended.
- vii. The School shall notify the Commission within 15 days of any and all allegations of, or convictions for, inappropriate contact with a student or other minor by a member of the Governing Body, and shall notify the Commission within 15 days of allegations of, or convictions for, any crime related to the misappropriation of school funds or theft of school property by a member of the Governing Body.
- viii. The members of the Governing Body have a duty to comply with the provisions of this Contract, all applicable laws, including, without limitation, the Act, all regulations, and reporting requirements.
- ix. The Governing Body is responsible for the policy decisions of the School; is responsible for hiring, overseeing, and terminating the Head Administrator of the School; and is entrusted with oversight of expenditure of public funds in accordance with all applicable laws, regulations and rules, including but without limitation any laws or rules pertaining to conflicts of interest, public school finance, and procurement.
- x. The Governing Body shall, at all times, be qualified to act as a qualified board of finance as demonstrated in Attachment C, which is incorporated by reference.
- xi. In order to initially become qualified as a board of finance, the school shall provide:
  - a. The names, home addresses, personal email addresses, and personal phone numbers of each member of the board;
  - b. A statement signed by every member of the Governing Body stating that the Governing Body agrees to consult with the Department on any matter not covered by the manual of accounting and budgeting before taking any action relating to funds held as a board of finance;
  - c. A signed affidavit from each member of the Governing Body member declaring that the member is not a member of the governing body of any other charter school, unless it has been granted a waiver by the Secretary for that purpose, and that the member was not a governing body member of another charter school that was suspended and was not reinstated, or failed to receive or maintain its board of finance designation; and
  - d. An affidavit or affidavits, signed by the School's licensed business official who will be given the responsibility of keeping the financial records of the School, describing the training completed, professional licensure held and degrees earned by him or her;



- e. A copy of a certificate of insurance that indicates that the person who will be entrusted with handling the funds of the School is adequately bonded.
- xi. Within 30 days of the change to any member of the Governing Body or the School's licensed business official who will be given the responsibility of keeping the financial records of the charter school, the school shall resubmit all information required in Section 4. Subsection 5.xi(a)-(e) above, revised to reflect the changes in staffing or board membership.
- xii. If at any time, the School's qualification as a board of finance is revoked by the Department, the Commission shall, at its next regularly scheduled meeting, consider whether to commence revocation proceedings to revoke the School's Charter. If the Commission decides not to revoke the charter, the School shall be required to develop and successfully implement a Corrective Action Plan to address the conditions and causes of the revocation of the School's qualification as a board of finance.

**6. Operation:**

- i. The School shall be nonsectarian in its charter school programs, admission policies and employment practices and all other operations. Attachment D, incorporated herein by reference, states the School's admission policies and procedures.
- ii. The School shall comply with all federal and state laws relating to the education of children with disabilities.
- iii. The School shall comply with applicable federal, state and local rules, regulations and statutes relating to health, safety, civil rights and insurance.
- iv. The School shall, in accordance with the Compulsory School Attendance Act, maintain records to document daily student attendance and shall make such records available for inspection upon request of the Commission and the Department. The School shall comply with the number of overall instructional hours required by statute, based on the grade levels served, which may be verified through budget reporting.
- v. The School shall maintain student records in accordance with all other New Mexico public records retention requirements.
- vi. The School shall allow the Commission and the Department to visit each school site at any reasonable time.
- vii. The School shall allow the Commission and the Department to conduct financial, program or compliance audits and shall hold open for inspection all records, documents and files relating to any activity or program provided by the School relating to the School. All books, accounts, reports, files and other records relating to this Charter and Contract shall be subject, during normal business hours, to inspection and audit by the State for five years after termination of the Charter and the Contract.
- viii. The School shall notify the Commission and the Department within 15 days of the allegations of, or convictions for, inappropriate contact with a student or other minor by any staff member, employee, or contractor and shall notify the Commission within 15 days of allegations of, or convictions for, any crime related to the misappropriation of school funds or theft of school property by any staff member.
- ix. If the School receives federal grant funds that flow through the Department, the School shall timely submit financial and other reports required by the Department for the School's receipt of such funds.

- x. The School shall comply with applicable federal, state and local rules, regulations and statutes relating to public education unless the School is specifically exempted from the provision of law. All members of the Governing Body shall sign a certificate, in the form attached hereto as Attachment E, certifying their compliance with all federal and state laws governing the organizational, programmatic, and financial requirements applicable to charter schools. Within 30 days of any change to the membership of the Governing Body, the School shall provide a signed certification from any new members in the form of Attachment E, which will be incorporated into this Contract.
- xi. The School shall identify the non-discretionary waivers the School is utilizing and the discretionary waivers the School has requested from the Secretary in Attachment F, incorporated herein by reference.
  - a. If the school requests from, and is granted a discretionary waiver by the Secretary at any point during the Term, the School shall file a notification within 30 days of approval from the Secretary with the Commission to amend the Contract to reflect such waiver.
  - b. If the School begins making use of any additional non-discretionary waivers at any point during the charter term, the School shall file a notification within 30 days of first use of the waiver with the Commission to amend the contract to reflect the use of such non-discretionary waiver.
- 7. **Use of Volunteers:** The School covenants and represents that all volunteers it allows access to its students or the Facility will comply with state regulations regarding the use of volunteers set out in Section 6.50.18 NMAC.
- 8. **Background Checks:** The School shall comply with the requirements of Section 22-10A-5 NMAC 1978, relating to background checks for all staff, instructors, and volunteers, in whatever capacity, working with its students or at the Facility.
  - i. The School shall develop and implement policies and procedures to require background checks on an applicant who has been offered employment, and for all volunteers, contractors and contractor's employees with unsupervised access to students at the public school. The School shall comply with the Criminal Offender Employment Act.
  - ii. The Head Administrator of the School shall report to the Department any known conviction of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude of a licensed or certified school employee.
  - iii. The Head Administrator of the School or their respective designees shall investigate all allegations of ethical misconduct about any licensed or certified school employee who resigns, is being discharged or terminated or otherwise leaves employment after an allegation has been made, or incident occurs. If the investigation results in a finding of wrongdoing, the Head Administrator of the School shall report the identity of the licensed or certified school employee and attendant circumstances of the ethical misconduct on a standardized form to the Department and the licensed or certified school employee within thirty days following the separation from employment. No agreement between a departing licensed or certified school employee and the School shall diminish or eliminate the responsibility of investigating and reporting the alleged ethical misconduct, and any such provision or agreement to the contrary is void and unenforceable.
- 9. **Sites:** The School shall provide educational services, including the delivery of instruction, at the following location(s):



Walatowa High Charter School (9-12)  
147 Bear Head Canyon Rd  
Jemez Pueblo, NM 87024  
Phone: (575) 834-0443  
Fax: (575) 834-0449

The School shall ensure the Facilities meet the charter school facilities standards in Section 22-8B-4.2(A, C, D) NMSA 1978, and shall ensure that the facilities comply with all applicable federal, state and local health and safety standards and other applicable laws, regulations and rules. The School shall provide the Lease(s) or Lease Purchase Agreement(s) for all facilities, which is attached to this contract as Attachment G incorporated herein by reference.

#### SECTION 5: PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORKS

1. **Performance Framework: Attachment A**, incorporated herein by reference, includes the Accountability Plan, Academic Performance Framework, Organizational Performance Framework, and Financial Performance Framework adopted by the Commission. These documents together set forth the academic and operational performance indicators and performance targets that will guide the Commission's evaluation of the School and the criteria, processes and procedures that the Commission will use for ongoing oversight of operational, financial and academic performance of the School.
2. **Academic Performance Indicators and Evaluation:** The School shall:
  - i. Provide a comprehensive educational program that aligns with the state academic standards prescribed by the Department for the grades approved to operate.
  - ii. Participate in the State-required assessments as designated by the Department or the U.S. Department of Education.
  - iii. Timely report student level data for State-required assessments to the Department and report student level data from school administered assessments, as requested by the Commission or on a bi-annual basis if that data is incorporated into the Academic Performance Framework adopted by the Commission.
  - iv. Meet or make substantial progress toward achievement of the Department's standards of excellence (C or better in the A-F Grading).
  - v. Meet or make substantial progress toward achievement of the Department's standards of excellence or the performance standards identified in the Academic Performance Framework as adopted and modified periodically by the Commission.
    - a. The Academic Performance Framework adopted by the Commission allows for the inclusion of additional rigorous, valid and reliable mission specific indicators proposed by a charter school to augment external evaluations of its performance, provided that the Commission approves of the quality and rigor of such proposed indicators and the indicators are consistent with the purposes of the Act. Any such indicators will be incorporated into Attachment A.
    - b. If the School fails to meet its academic performance indicators in any year it must develop, submit, and begin implementing a School Improvement Plan within 60 days of the release of the academic performance information. The School Improvement Plan will be submitted to the Commission, but the Commission will not evaluate the quality of, or approve, the plan. The

Adopted May 11, 2018

Commission may evaluate implementation of the plan through its annual site visits and provide feedback to the School regarding fidelity of implementation and effectiveness of the plan in improving School performance.

- c. If the School does not meet the performance standards in the Performance Framework, it shall "make substantial progress" toward achievement of those standards as it is defined in the Commission's Accountability Plan included in Attachment A.
  - d. Failure to meet or make substantial progress toward meeting the performance standards shall be sufficient justification to revoke or non-renew the School's Charter.
- vi. The Commission is not required to allow the school the opportunity to remedy the problem if unsatisfactory review warrants revocation.

**3. Organizational Performance Indicators and Evaluation:** The School shall:

- i. Comply with applicable federal, state and local rules, regulations and statutes relating to public education unless the School is specifically exempted from the provision of law.
- ii. Timely submit all documentation, financial and other reports required by the Department or the Commission in order to evaluate the School's compliance with applicable federal, state and local rules, regulations and statutes relating to public education.
- iii. Provide a written copy to the Commission, within 15 days of receiving a written notice of complaint filed against the School alleging violations of federal, state, or local law, regulation or rule, or a final determination from another state government division or agency, or state or federal court regarding any such complaint against the School.
- iv. Cooperate with the Commission or authorized representative to enable them to conduct annual site visits and all other auditing visits requested or required by the Commission or the Department.
- v. Meet the organizational performance standards identified in the Organizational Performance Framework as adopted and modified periodically by the Commission.
  - a. If the school fails to meet its organizational performance indicators, the School will be provided notice through the procedures in the Commission's Accountability Plan included in Attachment A.
  - b. The school may be required to develop, submit and implement a Corrective Action Plan to address deficiencies in its organizational performance. All Corrective Action Plans must be submitted to the Commission, but the Commission will not evaluate the quality of, or approve, the plan. The Commission may evaluate implementation of the plan through its site visits and provide feedback to the School regarding fidelity of implementation and effectiveness of the plan in improving school performance.
  - c. Failure to meet the organizational performance standards shall be sufficient justification to revoke or non-renew the School's Charter.
- vi. The Commission is not required to allow the school the opportunity to remedy the problem if unsatisfactory review warrants revocation.

**4. Financial Performance Indicators and Evaluation:** The School shall:

Adopted May 11, 2018

- i. Meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management which shall include complying with all applicable provisions of the Public School Finance Code, the Procurement Code, and the Audit Act; paying debts as they fall due or in the usual course of business; complying with all federal requirements related to federally funded programs and awards; refraining from gross incompetence or systematic and egregious mismanagement of the School's finances or financial records; and preparing and fairly presenting its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which include the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
- ii. Timely submit all documentation, financial and other reports required by the Department or the Commission. The School shall further timely submit any Corrective Action Plans or additional financial reporting or documentation that may be required by the Department or the Commission.
- iii. Cooperate with the Commission to enable them, or its authorized representative to conduct all auditing visits requested or required by the Commission or the Department.
- iv. Meet the financial performance standards identified in the Financial Performance Framework as adopted and modified periodically by the Commission, or provide an adequate response to explain why the School does not meet the performance standards and demonstrate the school is a financially viable and stable organization.
- v. Failure to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management shall be sufficient justification to revoke or non-renew the School's Charter.
- vi. The School shall have a designated licensed business official and certified procurement officer. The School shall identify the current individual designated as the certified procurement officer and contains their CPO certification in Attachment H incorporated herein by reference. The school shall notify the Commission of all changes to the designated licensed business official or certified procurement officer within 30 days.
- vii. The Commission is not required to allow the school the opportunity to remedy the problem if unsatisfactory review warrants revocation.

**5. Chartering Authority's Duties and Liabilities:** The Commission, shall:

- i. Evaluate all applications submitted by this charter school, including properly submitted amendment requests, and act timely on any such applications or requests;
- ii. Monitor the performance and legal compliance of the School, in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the terms of the Charter and Contract;
- iii. Review all relevant information to determine whether the School merits suspension, revocation or nonrenewal. All evaluation and monitoring will be carried out using the processes and criteria established in the Accountability Plan in Attachment A;
- iv. Conduct all its activities in accordance with its chartering policies and practices, which shall be modified from time to time to be consistent with nationally recognized principles and standards for quality charter authorizing in all major areas of authorizing; and
- v. Promptly notify the Governing Body of the School of unsatisfactory fiscal, overall

governance or student performance or legal compliance and provide reasonable opportunity for the governing body to remedy the problem; Any such notice shall be provided in accordance with the Accountability Plan as provided in Attachment A.

- vi. The Commission is not required to allow the school the opportunity to remedy the problem if the unsatisfactory review warrants revocation.

#### SECTION 6: ADDITIONAL TERMS

1. **Withheld Two-Percent of Program Cost:** The Charter Schools Division of the Department may withhold and use two percent (2%) of the school-generated program cost for administrative support of the School as provided in Section 22-8B-13 NMSA 1978. These funds are to be utilized in the following manner:

- i. New Mexico Public Education Department: The Department shall utilize the funds for the following purposes:

- a. Funding the staff to conduct work for the Division, which shall include:

1. Conducting annual site visits and annual evaluations under the Performance Frameworks; receiving, processing, evaluating and making recommendations on new applications, amendment requests, and renewal applications; receiving, processing, and evaluating complaints; making recommendations to revoke charters, as necessary; making recommendations regarding School Improvement and Corrective Action Plans, as necessary; overseeing the closure of charter schools; and making recommendations regarding the development and implementation of authorizing policies and practices to ensure they are consistent with nationally recognized principles and standards for quality charter authorizing in all major areas of authorizing; and
2. Technical assistance and support work such as providing training for new Governing Board members; providing Governing Body training; maintaining communication with the charter school field to keep them apprised of best practices, opportunities for support from Department, policy changes from the Commission; hosting other training and professional development; and developing other support materials.

- b. Funding a proportional share of the Department staff to conduct work, as determined by the Department, necessary to support the administrative oversight, approval of budget matters, capital outlay, transportation, special education, federal programs, school evaluation and accountability, annual financial audits, and T&E audits.
- c. Funding any other staff work necessary to provide professional support or data analysis to the Commission.
- d. The Commission's reasonable request for funding of any project or service to support the work of the Commission shall not be denied by the Department. The Commission's request for funding of project or service shall take budget priority over the Department's budget priorities or allocations.
- e. The Commission shall request an annual accounting from the Department on

how the two percent (2%) was utilized and shall provide the information received to the school.

- ii. New Mexico Public Education Commission: The New Mexico Public Education Commission shall utilize the funds for the following purposes:
  - a. Funding the travel and per diem expenses of Commissioners when conducting the business of the Commission.
  - b. Funding the administrative expenses of the business of the Commission
- iii. In addition to the above listed items, the Department and the Commission shall have authority to utilize the funds for similar or related costs for administrative support of charter schools and charter school programs.

**2. Amendments to the Charter and Changes to the School:**

- i. This Contract may be amended by mutual agreement, in writing, of the parties. Processes for submitting requests to amend, or notifications of amendments, as amended from time to time, shall be posted on the Commission's website as an Amendment Request or Notification. The School shall not take action or implement the amendment until approved by the Commission unless the Commission's processes indicate otherwise.
  - a. All amendment requests and notifications shall be submitted pursuant to the procedures developed by the Commission.
  - b. The Commission shall consider and vote on all properly submitted amendment requests and notifications within 60 days of receipt of a complete submission.
- ii. If the Parties cannot agree on an amendment to the terms of the contract, either party may appeal to the Department Secretary pursuant to Section 22-8B-9(A) and (C) NMSA 1978.

**3. Insurance:**

- i. The School shall obtain and maintain insurance in accordance with the laws of the State.
- ii. The School will participate in the Public School Insurance Authority.
- iii. Waiver of Rights: The School and its insurers providing the required coverage shall waive all rights of recovery against the State and the Commission, or the Department, their agents, officials, assignees and employees.
- iv. The School shall maintain the following types and amounts of insurance liability coverage:
  - Commercial General Liability - \$1,000,000 subject to statutory tort limits
  - Automobile Liability - \$1,000,000 subject to statutory tort limits
  - Umbrella Liability - \$4,000,000 subject to statutory tort limits
  - Professional - \$ 2,000,000 subject to statutory tort limits

**4. Charter Revocation:** The Commission may at any time take action to revoke the Charter and Contract of the School.



- i. **Criteria:** Pursuant to the Act, the Commission may revoke the Charter if the Commission determines that the School:
  - a. Committed a material violation of any of the conditions, standards or procedures set forth in the Contract,
  - b. Failed to meet or make substantial progress toward achievement of the department's standards of excellence or student performance standards identified in the Contract,
  - c. Failed to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management, or
  - d. Violated any provision of law from which the School was not specifically exempted.
- ii. **Procedures and Timeline:** The Commission shall utilize the following revocation process:
  - a. Notify the school at least 7 days prior to a regularly scheduled meeting that it will be on the agenda for consideration of whether to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Charter.
  - b. Issue a written Notice of Intent to Revoke the Charter within 15 days of voting to issue such a notice. The Notice shall:
    - 1. State the legal basis for the potential revocation, and reasonably identify the evidence that the Commission has to support the existence of the legal basis;
    - 2. Identify the date, location, and time at which a revocation hearing will be held;
    - 3. Establish deadlines for the School and the Commission to present written materials and all evidence that will be used during the hearing; and
    - 4. Identify if the hearing will be conducted by the Commission or by an impartial hearing officer. If a hearing officer is to be used, the Notice shall establish the date on which the Commission will consider whether to accept, reject, or modify the hearing officer's findings of facts, conclusions of law, and recommendations.
  - c. After a hearing, upon making a final revocation decision, the Commission shall issue a written decision, through the Chair, within 15 days of voting to revoke the charter stating the findings of fact and conclusions of law that support the revocation.

- 5. **Charter Renewal Processes:** Within the time period established by the Act, the School's Governing Body may submit a renewal application to the Commission using the Commission's renewal application form as it may be amended from time to time. The application shall include all information required by law and necessary for the Commission to determine whether renewal, non-renewal, or a conditional or short-term renewal is most appropriate.

- i. **Criteria:** Pursuant to the Act, the Commission may refuse to renew the Charter if the

Commission determines that the school:

- a. Committed a material violation of any of the conditions, standards or procedures set forth in the Contract,
- b. Failed to meet or make substantial progress toward achievement of the Department's standards of excellence or student performance standards identified in the Contract,
- c. Failed to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management, or
- d. Violated any provision of law from which the School was not specifically exempted.

ii. Procedures and Timeline: The Commission shall utilize the following renewal process:

- a. At least one year prior to the date on which the school will apply for renewal, the Commission's authorized representatives will notify the school of its preliminary renewal profile, as established in the Commission's Accountability Plan which is incorporated herein as Attachment A.
- b. At least 20 days prior to Commission's meeting at which it will consider the school's renewal application, the Commission's authorized representatives will provide the School with a preliminary application analysis and recommendation. The recommendation shall:

- 1. State the legal basis for potential non-renewal, and reasonably identify the evidence to support the existence of the legal basis; and
- 2. Establish deadlines for the School to present its written materials and all evidence that will be used to respond to the recommendation, which shall be not less than 10 days from the date of the delivery of the recommendation.

c. No later than seven (7) days prior to the Commission's meeting at which it will consider the school's renewal application, the Commission's authorized representatives will provide the school with a final application analysis and recommendation.

d. Upon making a final non-renewal decision, the Commission, through the Chair, shall issue a written decision within 30 days of voting to non-renew the charter stating the findings of fact and conclusions of that support the revocation.

6. **Applicable Law:** The material and services provided by this School under this Charter shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and shall conform, in all respects, to the educational standards contained in its application and Charter. This Charter shall be governed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State.

i. In the event of any conflict among the documents and practices defining this relationship, it is agreed that:

- a. The Contract shall take precedence over policies of either Party and the Charter; and
- b. If a provision in the Performance Framework conflicts with a provision in the



Contract, the Contract shall take precedence over the Performance Framework.

- ii. This Contract shall not take precedence over any applicable provisions of law, rule or regulation.
- iii. In the event of a change in law, regulation, rule, procedure or form affecting the School during the term of this Contract, the Parties shall comply with the change in law, rule, regulation or procedure or utilize the new form, provided.
  - a. If an amendment to this Contract is required to comply with a change in the law or rule, then the Parties shall execute such an amendment.

**7. Charter Interpretation:**

- i. Merger: This Charter and Contract, including all of the attachments, constitute the entire agreement of the Parties. NMSA, Commission policies, and Department policies, and administrative rules and regulations which may be amended from time to time during the course of the Charter, are incorporated into this Charter, along with any amendments which may occur during the term of the Charter, by this reference.
- ii. Waiver: Either party's failure to insist on strict performance of any term or condition of the Charter shall not constitute a waiver of that term or condition, even if the party accepting or acquiescing in the nonconforming performance knows of the nature of the performance and fails to object to it.
- iii. Severability: The provisions of this Charter are severable. Any term or condition deemed illegal or invalid shall not affect any other term or condition of the Charter or Contract.
- iv. Assignment: Neither party may assign or transfer any right or interest in this Charter and Contract unless authorized by law. No assignment, transfer or delegation of any duty of the School shall be made without prior written permission of the Commission.

**8. Indemnification and Acknowledgements:** To the extent permitted by law, the Charter School shall indemnify, defend, save and hold harmless the Commission, the State, its departments, agencies, boards, commissions, universities and its officers, officials, agents and employees ("Indemnitee") from and against any and all claims, actions, liabilities, damages, losses or expenses (including court costs, attorneys' fees, and costs of claim processing, investigation and litigation) ("Claims") for bodily injury or personal injury (including death), or loss or damage to tangible or intangible property caused, or alleged to be caused, in whole or in part, by the negligent or willful acts or omissions of the School or any of its directors, agents, employees or subcontractors. This indemnity includes any claim or amount arising out of or recovered under the Workers' Compensation Law or arising out of the failure of such School to conform to any federal, state or local law, statute, ordinance, rule, regulation or court decree that is applicable to the School. It is the specific intention of the parties that the Indemnitee shall, in all instances, except for Claims arising solely from the negligent or willful acts or omissions of the Indemnitee, be indemnified by the School from and against any and all claims. It is agreed that the School will be responsible for primary loss investigation, defense and judgment costs where this indemnification is applicable. This provision shall be subject to annual budget and appropriation by the New Mexico Legislature.

**9. Employees and Contractors:** This Charter is not an employment contract. No officer, employee, agent, or subcontractor of the School is an officer, employee, or agent of the Commission or the Department.

10. **Non-Discrimination:** The School shall comply with all applicable federal and state employment laws, rules and regulations, including the Americans with Disabilities Act. The School shall take affirmative action to ensure that applicants for employment and employees are not discriminated against due to race, creed, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, spousal affiliation, national origin or disability.
11. **Notices:** Any notice required, or permitted, under the Contract shall be in writing and shall be effective immediately upon personal delivery, upon receipt of electronic mail, or three (3) days after mailing to the following:

**Charter School:**

Dr. Arrow Wilkinson  
P.O. Box 669  
Jemez Pueblo, NM 87024  
[awilkinson@walatowahcs.org](mailto:awilkinson@walatowahcs.org)  
(575) 834-0443

**New Mexico Public Education Commission:**

Patricia Gipson  
New Mexico Public Education Commission  
300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, NM 87505

Kenneth Sando  
2800 Lexington Place NE, Apt 144  
Albuquerque, NM 87112  
[ksando@walatowahcs.org](mailto:ksando@walatowahcs.org)  
[kensando11@hotmail.com](mailto:kensando11@hotmail.com)

The Commission may make changes in the address of its contact person by posting the change(s) on its website.

12. **Dispute Resolution:** Disputes arising out of the interpretation of this Contract shall be subject to the dispute resolution process set forth in this section. Disputes arising out of interpretations of state or federal statute, regulation, or policies of a federal entity or a different state entity, Charter revocation, or Charter renewal shall not be subject to this dispute resolution process.
- i. **Notice of Dispute:** Either party shall notify the other party in writing that a dispute exists between them within 30 days from the date the dispute arises. The notice of dispute shall identify the Paragraph of this Contract in dispute, reasons alleged for the dispute and copies of any documentation that supports the complaining party's position. If the dispute is not timely presented to the other party, the party receiving late notice may elect not to enter into mediation.
  - ii. **Continuation of Contract Performance:** The School and the Commission agree that the existence and details of a dispute notwithstanding, the Parties shall continue without delay their performance of this Contract, except for any performance that may be directly affected by such dispute.
  - iii. **Time limit for response to the notice and cure of the matter in dispute:** Upon receipt of a Notice of Dispute, the Chair of the Commission or the Charter Representative of the Charter School shall have 15 days to respond in writing.
    - a. The written response may:
      - 1. Propose a course of action to cure the dispute;
      - 2. Propose the parties enter into informal discussions to resolve the matter; or

3. Require the parties select a neutral third party to assist in resolving the dispute.
  - b. If no response is received within 15 days, the Party sending the Notice may invoke the process for selecting a neutral third party to assist in resolving the dispute.
  - c. If the written response proposed a course action or negotiations to resolve the dispute, the party sending the Notice shall respond within 15 days or receiving the response.
  - d. At any point in this informal process, either Party may, in writing, invoke the process for selecting a neutral third party to assist in resolving the dispute.
- iv. **Selection of a neutral third party to assist in resolving the dispute:**
  - a. If either Party invokes the process for selecting a neutral third party to assist in resolving the dispute, it shall include in the notice the name of a proposed mediator along with his/her qualifications.
  - b. If the other Party does not agree to the proposed mediator, it shall identify an alternate mediator along with his/her qualifications within 5 business days.
  - c. If the other Party does not agree with the alternate designation, it shall give notice within 5 business days.
  - d. In the event that the Parties cannot agree on a mediator the Parties shall request that the Secretary appoint a mediator. The appointed mediator shall mediate the dispute.
- v. **Apportionment of all costs related to the dispute resolution process:** Each Party shall pay one-half of the reasonable fees and expenses of the mediator. All other fees and expenses of each party, including without limitation, the fees and expenses of its counsel, shall be paid by the Party incurring such costs.
- vi. **Process for Final Resolution of Dispute:** If settlement of the dispute is not reached through mediation or by agreement of the Parties, the Parties shall submit the matter to the Secretary for resolution.

**13. Non-Availability of Funds:** Every payment obligation of the State under this Charter is conditioned upon the availability of funds continuing to be appropriated or allocated for the payment of such obligations. If funds are not allocated and available for the continuance of this Charter, the Commission may terminate this Charter at the end of the period for which funds are available. No liability shall accrue to the Commission, nor the State, or any of its subdivisions, departments or divisions, in the event this provision is exercised, and neither the Commission nor the State shall be obligated or liable for any future payments or for any damages as a result of termination under this paragraph.

**14. Release of Funding:** A School may not receive state equalization funding until a current NMCI determination, certificate of occupancy, and occupancy permit for educational use are provided for each site listed in Section 4.10. (and each site subsequently approved by the New Mexico Public Education Commission). A School may not receive state equalization funding until the Commission has determined that the school has satisfied all conditions imposed by the Commission at initial approval and has demonstrated readiness to operate through completion of the Commission's Implementation Year Checklist. Upon request for renewal, the School

may not receive state equalization funding until the Commission has determined that the school has satisfied all conditions imposed by the Commission.

WALATOWA HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL

Executed this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June 2018.

By   
Kenneth Sando, Charter Representative for Walatowa High Charter School

  
Dr. Arrow Wilkinson, Executive Director for Walatowa High Charter School

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

Executed this 6 day of June 2018.

By   
Patricia Gipson, Chair of the New Mexico Public Education Commission.

## Charter Contract Amendment for Walatowa High Charter School July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2027

This Charter Contract Amendment (“Amendment”) is hereby entered into by and between the New Mexico Public Education Commission (“PEC”) and the governing body of Walatowa High Charter School, a New Mexico Charter School (the “School”), effective the 1<sup>st</sup> day of July 2022. The PEC and School are together referred to as the “Parties.”

WHEREAS, a charter contract and performance frameworks currently exist between the PEC and the School that are valid through June 30, 2022 (the contract, all amendments thereto and the performance frameworks shall be referred to hereafter as “Existing Contract and Performance Frameworks.”

WHEREAS, the PEC has approved the School for a five-year charter renewal period from July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2027 (the “Term”);

WHEREAS, the PEC and state-authorized charter schools, including the School, intend to work together in good faith to look to create a new performance framework template that would allow for more options for assessment of charter schools considering the unique missions and student populations of charter schools; and

WHEREAS, the PEC and state-authorized charter schools, including the School, intend to work together in good faith to create a new charter contract template that may, upon agreement of the Parties, replace the Existing Contract to ensure both Parties’ contract rights and responsibilities are more accurately and clearly articulated.

IT IS THEREFORE AGREED BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT the Existing Contract between the PEC and the School is extended and amended as follows:

- 1.) The School was renewed for the Term with the following condition:

The school shall provide a plan outlining how the school will train staff and the governing board and then ensure compliance with the Open Meetings Act. The plan must include action steps (to include updating the school’s webpage information on school governance), a timeline and persons responsible.

This plan and annual compliance documentation is attached to this as Attachment A.

If the condition has not been successfully met, the PEC shall notify the school 30-days after the annual reports are approved by the PEC. After successful implementation of this condition for one school year, compliance will then be assessed through a review of the organizational framework.

2.) The Existing Contract and Performance Frameworks is extended for the Term as amended herein until superseded or replaced by the Parties.

3.) The mission statement of the School (Section 4, Subsection 2 provided here unchanged from the original contract) is as follows:

Through a community-integrated experiential learning program, Walatowa High Charter School will prepare students to be academically successful while promoting leadership, language preservation, and community wellness.

4.) Educational Program:

a. The educational program of the School (Section 4, Subsection 4 provided here unchanged from the original contract) is as follows:

- i. The principal focus of Walatowa High Charter School's academic program is early college preparation, including dual credit coursework. The pre-college focused curriculum also emphasizes the value of the community's (Jemez, Zia, and Jemez Valley Corridor) culture and traditions.
- ii. Students are challenged with advanced courses in core subject areas and enter the dual credit program by their junior year of high school. Walatowa High Charter School maintains strong partnerships with post-secondary institutions to ensure the implementation of our college and career preparation program.
- iii. Walatowa High Charter School implements a community school model that includes the two-generation approach and continuum. As a community school, Walatowa High Charter School is both an educational institution and a center of community life that partners the school with other community resources. The Two-Generation approaches focuses on creating opportunities for and addressing needs of both children and the adults in their lives together. Walatowa High Charter School has an integrated focus on academics, youth development, family support, health and social services and community development. The partnerships include:
  1. Pueblo of Jemez Health and Human Services;
  2. Pueblo of Jemez Tribal Programs;
  3. Pueblo of Jemez Education Department;
  4. Pueblo of Jemez Transportation Department;
  5. Pueblo of Zia Tribal Administration; and
  6. Pueblo of Kewa Tribal Administration

b. The school is an in-person school.

- 5.) The Notice section of the Contract is updated as follows:

Any notice required, or permitted, under the Contract shall be in writing and shall be effective immediately upon personal delivery, upon receipt of electronic mail, or three (3) days after mailing to the following:

<b>Charter School:</b>	<b>New Mexico Public Education Commission:</b>
Head of School Governing Board Chair  At the email addresses provided by the Charter School to the PED and listed on a PED maintained school directory.  Email is the primary notification.	Chair of the Public Education Commission New Mexico Public Education Commission 300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, NM 87505  At the email address of the Chair of the Public Education Commission as listed on the PEC website with a copy to: <a href="mailto:charter.schools@state.nm.us">charter.schools@state.nm.us</a> .  Email is the primary notification.

The Commission may make changes in the address of its contact person by posting the change(s) on its website.

- 6) A new definition is added to Section 1:

“Attendance for Success Act” means the compulsory school attendance law set out at § 22-12A-1 NMSA 1978 et.seq., as amended and supplemented.

All references to the Compulsory School Attendance Law are replaced with Attendance for Success Act.

- 7) Attached hereto as Attachment B are revised Performance Frameworks that provide the performance indicators and school specific or mission specific indicators for the School.

- 8) The Parties intend to work together in good faith to create and renegotiate a new form of contract, which may also contain a new performance framework, by October 15, 2022 (“New Contract”) which date may be extended by agreement of the Parties. If the New Contract is accepted by the Parties, it will replace this Amendment and govern the relationship of the Parties. However, if the New Contract is not completed and agreed to by the Parties by December



31, 2022, the Parties shall operate under this Amendment until such time as revisions/negotiations can be completed and agreed to by the Parties or until either party declares that the Amendment shall stand for the entirety of the renewal term, unless further amended by mutual agreement.

All other terms and conditions of the Existing Contract remain in full force and effect.

IT IS SO AGREED.

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Rebekka Burt, Chair

WALATOWA HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth Sando, Governing Body President

*[Attach minutes or documentation from the PEC and board meeting showing approval]*

IT IS SO AGREED.

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

By: Rebekka Burt  
Rebekka Burt, Chair

WALATOWA HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL

By: Kenneth Sando  
Kenneth Sando, Governing Body President

*[Attach minutes or documentation from the PEC and board meeting showing approval]*

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

OPEN PUBLIC MEETING

May 20, 2022

9:00 a.m.

VIA ZOOM WEBINAR VIDEO TELECONFERENCE

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219

Bean & Associates, Inc.

Professional Court Reporting Service

201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6327N (CC)

66

1 But the way it was presented was it was the goal was  
2 to be at least equal to the average.

3 MS. JULIA BARNES: That was why I wanted  
4 to clarify. That's how the conversation came out.  
5 But the drafting of the goal, essentially, in your  
6 documents -- if you wanted to take a look at it,  
7 06.K.2, Page 8, for example, I just wanted you to  
8 see exactly how the goal was drafted.

9 THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner  
10 Robbins, are you --

11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I'm looking  
12 at -- Page 6, you said, Ms. Barnes?

13 MS. JULIA BARNES: Of the Performance  
14 Framework, yes, it starts on Page 6. So the cohort  
15 exceeds the standard if they receive an SAT score of  
16 887 or higher. So the Exceeds, Meets, Does Not  
17 Meet, Falls Far Below, they've put in that exact  
18 number of the SAT so it won't change. I see where  
19 you were confused about that.

20 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right.

21 MS. JULIA BARNES: The second one, which  
22 is the second cohort, is a little bit different: If  
23 a student is transferred in, but, again, still that  
24 887 score. And then for ACCUPLACER, the number is  
25 equal to or greater than 241 on Page 8 and 9.

67

1 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes, I did look at  
2 that. Thank you very much. So my concerns are  
3 addressed. Thank you.

4 THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Voigt.

5 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I just  
6 want to commend this school for taking on  
7 exclusively academic mission goals.

8 The -- I think the community that is being  
9 served here has had challenges historically  
10 throughout time for whatever reason. And I think  
11 that this is -- these goals make a statement and  
12 that they are absolutely acceptable.

13 Thank you.

14 THE CHAIR: Any other questions or  
15 comments?

16 You may have to catch me up if you all  
17 discussed the request that the condition be ended  
18 after one year. Has that been discussed yet?

19 Okay. Vice Chair Voigt?

20 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I'm ready  
21 to make a motion if we're at that point.

22 I move that the Public Education  
23 Commission adopt the charter contract amendment,  
24 Performance Framework, and condition documentation  
25 for Walatowa High Charter School, identified as

68

1 Documents 6.K.1-3, for the 2022-2027 charter term.  
2 I further move that the amendment be signed by the  
3 Chair and all documents be sent to the school's  
4 governing board for consideration.

5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.

6 THE CHAIR: Okay. There's a motion and a  
7 second.

8 Any questions or discussion?  
9 (No response.)

10 THE CHAIR: Okay. Seeing none, I have a  
11 question.

12 Does that mean that the -- with that  
13 motion, does that mean that the request for -- that  
14 the condition stays throughout the term of the  
15 contract? Or was that written into the condition  
16 documentation?

17 MS. JULIA BARNES: The way the motion is  
18 drafted is the language -- let me get there. The  
19 amendment, which is 06.K.1, has -- we'll take out  
20 the yellow if the motion passes. But it will -- it  
21 will be in the contract that if they successfully  
22 implement the condition for one school year,  
23 compliance will then be assessed.

24 So the condition would be satisfied, and  
25 the school would continue to be assessed under the

69

1 Organizational Framework.

2 THE CHAIR: So if -- a vote "yes" is  
3 saying that the condition lasts one year.

4 MS. JULIA BARNES: If it's successfully  
5 implemented.

6 THE CHAIR: Okay. All right.

7 So I don't think -- I feel -- I don't want  
8 to make an exception for this condition that we  
9 don't do for all the rest of the conditions. I feel  
10 like the condition is imposed. It's through the  
11 life of the contract. So I'm not going to support  
12 that.

13 And I would just -- I would say that the  
14 condition is -- like, the documentation is fine and  
15 how they would document it year after year. But I  
16 think the condition should stay throughout the term  
17 of the contract, just as we do for all the other  
18 conditions.

19 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Agree.

20 THE CHAIR: Any other -- Ms. Barnes?

21 MS. JULIA BARNES: Just on Page -- on the  
22 amendment document, there's two -- two sentences in  
23 yellow. So the one you're talking about would be  
24 the second sentence. And the first second -- the  
25 first sentence just requires the PEC to notify the

<p style="text-align: right;">70</p> <p>1 school if the condition hasn't been successfully 2 met. So there's two different things in there. 3 THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Voigt. 4 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I 5 understand that this condition is more than just an 6 implementation or procedural condition. You know, 7 it's an ongoing academic growth condition. 8 But we have to understand, as well, 9 that -- oh. It's not an ongoing academic growth 10 condition. It's a -- 11 THE CHAIR: There is no academic condition 12 at all. 13 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Right. This 14 is beyond an implementation condition. This is a 15 status condition. I'm trying to find the word. 16 THE CHAIR: The governance. 17 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. To describe 18 how the condition is. Thank you for the hand 19 signals, audience. 20 I got it confused with another school. 21 But, anyway, I think that this is 22 something -- after the year and the verification 23 that the condition has been met, this is something 24 that could be overseen and verified by the Charter 25 School Division's annual reports and/or site visits.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">72</p> <p>1 about this. That's one procedural thing that could 2 happen. 3 But I don't like the idea of moving the 4 goal posts without input from Dr. Wilkinson. And I 5 do agree with Vice Chair Voigt that this is easily 6 monitored by CSD. And I just don't want any school, 7 regardless, just to have to do more since they 8 already have so much on their plates. 9 So if we do want to hear from Arrow, if 10 that's the desire, then the person that made the 11 motion can withdraw it, and we could hear from Arrow 12 and go back. 13 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I completely 15 concur. We had a full discussion with the school 16 and their legal counsel about this particular issue. 17 And the -- this is what the subcommittee 18 is recommending. This is an organizational piece 19 that we felt very comfortable with, that the Charter 20 School Division monitors this. It'll be part of 21 their annual report. 22 And, historically, we have not had 23 conditions go all five years of a contract. It 24 really depends on, case by case, what the specific 25 condition is.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">71</p> <p>1 You know, it's tedium for the school to 2 have to do something -- you know, that's -- 3 that's a -- this is, like, more of a -- a status 4 procedural type of condition that once it's met, 5 it's there, in place, like drying concrete. 6 And it's something that could be verified 7 annually by the Charter School Division, as they do 8 with all of their schools. 9 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. 10 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would 11 probably -- not "probably" -- I'd ask Dr. Wilkinson 12 to join again if we're going to want to make 13 changes. 14 THE CHAIR: We can't. There's been a 15 motion. 16 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Was there a 17 motion? I've got to pay attention more, I guess. 18 I'm totally here. 19 Then the challenge I have is moving the 20 goal post after we negotiated this. And it doesn't 21 seem fair. 22 And I would -- yeah, that's the main 23 thing. It doesn't seem fair. I know -- well, we 24 could hear from Dr. Wilkinson if the motion was 25 withdrawn, and then we could hear what he thinks</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">73</p> <p>1 And if the school can show that they've 2 successfully implemented those concerns that were 3 identified, it's going to be continually monitored. 4 So I'm uncomfortable with changing it now. 5 Even if the motion was withdrawn and we changed it, 6 it would have to go back to their governance council 7 for a discussion. And that's -- this is May. And 8 their contract -- so it's -- and I don't think it's 9 an issue that merits stalling or putting off -- not 10 "stalling" -- putting off voting at this point in 11 time, when the subcommittee had that thorough 12 discussion with the -- with the school regarding 13 this. 14 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. 15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: First, I'm always 16 grateful, Commissioner Gipson, for your 17 institutional knowledge over the years. It's very 18 helpful for many of us that have only been on the 19 Commission for a year and a half. It's very helpful 20 to have someone that can go all the way back and 21 say, "We've not always had conditions..." -- 22 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: (Indicates.) 23 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: There you go; 24 right? 25 I would like to hear from Director Chavez</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">74</p> <p>1 on this and then put this baby to -- no?</p> <p>2 But I just -- I mean, not around making</p> <p>3 any change, but just basically also confirming that,</p> <p>4 yeah, CSD can monitor this. Yes, we don't</p> <p>5 necessarily want to add to what they need to do.</p> <p>6 And if that's not necessary, that's fine,</p> <p>7 too. I'm ready to vote, and I don't think we should</p> <p>8 make any changes.</p> <p>9 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Commissioner -- or</p> <p>10 Vice Chair Voigt.</p> <p>11 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Just a</p> <p>12 question. Did we have a second?</p> <p>13 THE CHAIR: Uh-huh.</p> <p>14 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Yes.</p> <p>15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, that we can't</p> <p>16 hear from -- right.</p> <p>17 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.</p> <p>18 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'll speak for</p> <p>19 the director. It isn't something that not only can</p> <p>20 be monitored; it is monitored. It is part of the</p> <p>21 Performance Framework. So that's why the</p> <p>22 subcommittee was comfortable with it.</p> <p>23 It's embedded in there. So we will get</p> <p>24 the report out through the annual report. And if</p> <p>25 there's any concerns -- you know, it's brought up</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">76</p> <p>1 I could do anything one time for a year.</p> <p>2 But once the governing board council changes, they</p> <p>3 change again, are they going to continue doing that</p> <p>4 if it's just in the Performance Framework again?</p> <p>5 I guess it's -- the burden then becomes on</p> <p>6 the PEC that we're really looking at the Performance</p> <p>7 Framework for this school and remembering that this</p> <p>8 has been an issue in the past and looking</p> <p>9 specifically at this school moving forward at this</p> <p>10 thing.</p> <p>11 But that's what a condition is for.</p> <p>12 That's literally what a condition is for, is for us</p> <p>13 to have it highlighted, saying, "They are</p> <p>14 successfully meeting this condition that we felt was</p> <p>15 important enough to put as a condition."</p> <p>16 If it's a one-year thing, we shouldn't</p> <p>17 have ever put it as a condition. That's my opinion</p> <p>18 moving forward is if -- if we're in a place where</p> <p>19 like, "Yeah, just do it for one year, and then after</p> <p>20 that, it'll just be normal monitoring," that's -- we</p> <p>21 shouldn't be putting conditions on folks if it's</p> <p>22 that minimal.</p> <p>23 So, like I said, I don't think that really</p> <p>24 changes my -- it still -- this has to go to the</p> <p>25 governing board, so I'm not worried about that. I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">75</p> <p>1 even before then.</p> <p>2 There is observations of governance</p> <p>3 council meetings that are done by the Charter School</p> <p>4 Division. So even before the annual report, if</p> <p>5 there was something that was raised through that</p> <p>6 observation, we would get that information</p> <p>7 potentially even earlier.</p> <p>8 THE CHAIR: I guess I'll just say -- I</p> <p>9 guess I'm a little confused why it's a condition at</p> <p>10 all then. I mean, anyone can do anything for one</p> <p>11 year. And then it just goes back -- it's been in</p> <p>12 the Performance Framework. It was not done to a</p> <p>13 level in which the PEC felt like it needed to be a</p> <p>14 condition of approval.</p> <p>15 So for me, when -- if we're going to say</p> <p>16 that it's a condition for approval, it rises to a</p> <p>17 level that is more than just putting it into the</p> <p>18 Performance Framework after one year. It's been in</p> <p>19 the Performance Framework, and it wasn't</p> <p>20 successfully met multiple times.</p> <p>21 So for me, I'm -- I don't think it's -- if</p> <p>22 it's being monitored annually, then keep it -- I</p> <p>23 just -- this documentation seems appropriate for</p> <p>24 any -- for a school who has had this trouble in the</p> <p>25 past. That's why it's a condition.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">77</p> <p>1 don't think that's a -- I'm not -- I don't feel like</p> <p>2 that's a problem at all. That is going to the</p> <p>3 governing board next, regardless.</p> <p>4 So I'm just not supportive of including</p> <p>5 the part in which it goes away after one successful</p> <p>6 year. It just -- that doesn't sit well with me. I</p> <p>7 feel like it should be highlighted through the</p> <p>8 contract term.</p> <p>9 And if, at the end of the contract term,</p> <p>10 they've successfully done this, and it's become</p> <p>11 habitual for this school to successfully complete</p> <p>12 this, then, yeah, it goes off the next time.</p> <p>13 I just don't -- I think it's a slippery</p> <p>14 slope to do something for one year. And often, we</p> <p>15 talk about, like, one-year data is not enough.</p> <p>16 So I don't know why one-year data in this</p> <p>17 instance is enough for the Public Education</p> <p>18 Commission. That's -- I guess that's just where I'm</p> <p>19 coming from on it.</p> <p>20 Commissioner Gipson.</p> <p>21 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know what? I</p> <p>22 just don't think it's worth the conversation at this</p> <p>23 point in time to hold off the vote, because we can</p> <p>24 go back and forth on this.</p> <p>25 So I'm just going to say it's worked</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">78</p> <p>1 before. And I think -- and I trust the school that  2 it'll continually work. And I'm going to leave it  3 at that. It's just not worth it.  4 THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Voigt.  5 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I agree.  6 I think -- I think we should move on.  7 I think the time frame and the procedural  8 implementation is something that we can trust the  9 school with and trust the monitoring process.  10 THE CHAIR: Before we move on, Missy,  11 Commissioner Davis is in the audience. If you could  12 promote her, please?  13 (Commissioner Davis joins the panel.)  14 THE CHAIR: And then -- let me make sure.  15 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you.  16 THE CHAIR: All right. So Commissioner  17 Davis is in attendance. So having no other hands  18 raised, we'll go ahead and -- Secretary Armijo, if  19 you can call the vote.  20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.  21 Vice -- hold on. Sorry. Vice Chair  22 Voigt.  23 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.  24 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo  25 votes yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">80</p> <p>1 conditions:  2 Conditions pertaining to The GREAT Academy  3 Foundation operations, The GREAT Academy financial  4 conditions, The GREAT Academy's instructional  5 spending, The GREAT Academy personnel and payroll,  6 and conditions involving The GREAT Academy governing  7 board training and board operations consistent with  8 the training.  9 We have requested clarification of the  10 Secretary's order to see if the PEC can impose a  11 three-year charter term or ask -- or there is an  12 option that we ask that the school accept one. We  13 have not -- we did not receive a response from the  14 Secretary's Office.  15 There are three documents to approve: One  16 is the contract to get them onto the 2018 form of  17 contract; the Performance Framework; and condition  18 documentation.  19 We will be reviewing the condition  20 document in detail when I hand it over to  21 Ms. Barnes.  22 They do have two mission goals that are on  23 Page 7 of their Performance Framework.  24 One is the dual credit goal that seniors  25 will have nine or more college credits at</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">79</p> <p>1 Chair Burt.  2 THE CHAIR: No.  3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner  4 Carrillo.  5 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.  6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.  7 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.  8 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.  9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.  10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.  11 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.  12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner  13 Robbins.  14 Commissioner Robbins?  15 (No response.)  16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. We have six  17 yeses and one no. The motion passes.  18 THE CHAIR: All right.  19 That brings us to Item "I," The GREAT  20 Academy, with condition documentation. I'll go back  21 to my -- all right.  22 So The GREAT Academy is an existing State  23 charter. The court, then Secretary, ordered that  24 the nonrenewal be overturned.  25 The Secretary has asked for the following</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">81</p> <p>1 graduation; and, second, a service learning goal to  2 earn an average score of two out of three on four  3 service-learning reflection rubrics.  4 Ms. Barnes.  5 MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I wanted  6 to -- I guess I thought it would be helpful if we  7 went over a couple of things on The GREAT Academy.  8 First, they are an existing charter, but  9 they had an older contract, which is why they have a  10 new contract. That's why there's not an amendment  11 to the old contract. It will bring them onto the  12 same documentation and form of contract that  13 everyone's on. But there's -- that's just why we  14 did that.  15 The condition document, I just would like  16 to go over what we've done.  17 The school, CSD, and the subcommittee went  18 over things in quite a bit of detail. So there is  19 an Academic Improvement Plan 1 and 2, which will be  20 whether they Meet or Exceed that will be determined  21 annually by PED, looking at similar schools serving  22 similar populations with students who are English  23 Language Learners, economically disadvantaged, and  24 students with disabilities.  25 So that is something that will be</p>



**Walatowa High Charter School  
Board Meeting Minutes  
May 25, 2022**

**I. Call to Order:**

Meeting was called to order at 6:09 p.m. by President Mr. Kenneth Sando.

**II. Pledge of Allegiance:**

Mr. Kenneth Sando led the governing board members and staff on the pledge of allegiance.

**III. Ascertain of Quorum:**

Board Members present: Mr. Kenneth Sando, Mr. Stuart Gachupin, and Ms. Danielyn Pino

**IV. Approval of Agenda:**

Mr. Kenneth Sando reviewed the agenda and asked if there were any changes. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson requested to add item I. PSCOC – Conflict of Interest under New Business. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to add item H. PSCOC – Conflict of Interest under New Business. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.

**V. Introduction of Guest:**

There were no guests at this meeting.

**VI. Public Comments:**

There were no public comments made at this meeting.

**VII. Financial Reports:**

Ms. Katherine Toya, Business Manager, presented the financial reports for the month of April 2022 to the governing board:

- A. Ms. Katherine Toya presented for the Audit Committee: Unmodified version of audit approved. The Finance Committee: Working on closing out all purchase orders for the school year.
- B. Ms. Katherine Toya explained Expenditure Reports for April 2022 to governing board members. There were no questions on the reports. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve Expenditure Reports as presented. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.

- C. Ms. Katherine Toya presented the Bills Paid for approval. There were no questions regarding Bills Paid in April 2022. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the Bills Paid. The motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- D. Ms. Katherine Toya presented the BARS for May 2022 also included is the Open Maintenance BAR to close out the fiscal year. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the BARS and the Open Maintenance BAR as presented. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.

### **VIII. Reports:**

- A. Principal's Report – Mr. Arrow Wilkinson:
- WHCS has currently 45 students enrolled
  - Assessments: SAT, Accuplacer, Reading Plus and Iready were completed in April and May
  - 2 senior students took the Hiset test to graduate from WHCS
  - New floors have been installed in all classrooms
  - Improvements for the porch and restrooms will be completed during the summer
  - There will be some students who will be required to attend summer school
  - Extended Learning Time Program will be from May 16-27, 2022
  - WHCS Graduation was a good turnout on May 14<sup>th</sup>, 2022
  - WHCS amendment, performance framework and condition documentation

### **IX. Executive Session Pursuant to the Opening Meeting Law, Section 10-15-1H(2), NMSA 1978 for discussion for Personnel Issues.**

No Executive Session was held at this meeting.

### **XI. New Business:**

- A. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of new board member, Ms. Sotela Valverde. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve Ms. Sotela Valverde to serve on WHCS governing board. Ms. Danielyn Hardy seconded the motion. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- B. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of resignations for two board members, Ms. Corrine Yepa and Mr. Ryszard Wasilewski. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the resignations of Ms. Corrine Yepa and Mr. Ryszard Wasilewski. Motion was seconded by Mr. Stuart Gachupin. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- C. Ms. Katherine Toya presented and explained the WHCS 2022-2023 Budget and supporting documents for approval. Upon reviewing and discussing the budget and the documents, Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the WHCS 2022-2023 Budget and supporting documents. Ms. Danielyn Hardy seconded the motion. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.

- D. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of 2022-2023 WHCS Title I. (See attachment for explanation of grant use and budget amount.) Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the 2022-2023 WHCS Title I. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- E. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of 2022-2023 WHCS IDEA-B. (See attachment for explanation of grant use and budget amount.) Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the 2022-2023 WHCS IDEA-B. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- F. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of 2022-2023 WHCS Title II. (See attachment for explanation of grant use and budget amount.) Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the 2022-2023 WHCS Title II. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- G. The governing board meeting minutes were presented for approval for April 21, 2022. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Ms. Danielyn Hardy seconded the motion. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.
- H. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of the WHCS amendment, performance framework and condition documentation. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the WHCS amendment, performance framework and condition documentation. Motion was seconded by Mr. Stuart Gachupin. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against. WHCS amendment, performance framework and condition documentation
- I. Mr. Arrow Wilkinson presented the approval of PSCOC-Conflict of Interest Questionnaire. Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion to approve the PSCOC-Conflict of Interest Questionnaire. Motion was seconded by Ms. Danielyn Hardy. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.

#### **XI. Governing Board Issues:**

The next meeting will be held online on Wednesday, June 15th, 2022; 12:00 p.m.

#### **XIV. Adjournment:**

Mr. Kenneth Sando made a motion for adjournment at 7:24 p.m. and seconded by Ms. Danielyn Pino. Motion passed with 3 in favor and 0 against.



147 Bear Head Canyon Rd. Jemez Pueblo, NM. 87024. Ph.# (575) 834-0447. Fax # (575) 834-0449. [www.walatowahcs.org](http://www.walatowahcs.org)

**Walatowa High Charter School –**  
**Charter Renewal Documentation showing compliance with school**  
**condition**

**Condition:**

The school shall submit a plan outlining how the school will train staff and the governing board and then ensure compliance with the Open Meetings Act. The plan must include action steps (to include updating the school's web page information on school governance), a timeline and persons responsible.

I affirm that the Information is complete or have provided further explanation in the comment section below.

WHCS Executive Director

Date (On or before June 30 of each year)

1. Governing Board members attended the required governing body training. (WHCS Executive Director and/or designee who is responsible for the public records of the Walatowa High Charter School will be required to complete the Open Meetings Act Training and Inspection of Public Records Act Training annually).

[illegible]

Comments on completion of item 1, (complete if needed):

---

---

---

2. The WCHS Governing Board has complied with the Open Meetings Act during the period covered by this assurance:

a. WCHS held the following open meetings pursuant to NMSA 1978, §10-15-1, et seq.  
(Complete the chart or provide a link to the website which shows this information.)

Type of Meeting Held (regular, special or emergency)	Date agenda and notice posted (at least 72 hours in advance)	Date Meeting Held	Date Final meetings minutes were posted or made available to the public.	Website posting location (link) of notice.

b. WCHS passed its Annual Resolution addressing compliance with OMA notice provisions on \_\_\_, 20\_\_ and posted a copy on its website or made it otherwise available to the public by \_\_\_\_\_, on \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

Comments on completion of item 2, (complete if needed):

---

---

---

3. WCHS governance information posted the school's website:

Pertinent Information	Date Posted or Date Information revised	Notes
Open Meetings agendas and minutes	<u>See chart above</u>	

Current list of board members and contact information*		
Information on how to contact school staff*		
Other		

*\*Not required by the OMA.*

Comments on completion of item 3, (complete if needed):

---



---



---

# New Mexico Public Education Commission



## New Mexico Public Education Commission Charter Performance Review and Accountability System

WALATOWA HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL  
2022-2027



## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Performance Review and Accountability System Objectives.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Appendix A: Academic, Organizational, and Financial Performance Framework.....</b>	<b>3</b>
Academic Performance Framework .....	4
<b>1. Mission-Specific Indicator: SAT: Cohort 1.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2. Mission-Specific Indicator: SAT: Cohort 2.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3. Mission-Specific Indicator: Accuplacer: Cohort 1 .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4. Mission-Specific Indicator: Accuplacer: Cohort 2 .....</b>	<b>9</b>
Organizational Performance Framework.....	11
Financial Performance Framework.....	18
<b>Annual Performance Review Activities .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Intervention Ladder.....</b>	<b>21</b>

## **Introduction**

Through charter schools, the Public Education Commission (“PEC”) as Chartering Authority seeks to provide families with effective, quality educational options.

The PEC is responsible for setting and implementing chartering policies that are consistent with New Mexico charter school law, charter agreements established with schools, and nationally recognized principles and standards for quality charter authorizing. The PEC, through its authorized representative(s), will carry out the data collection and monitoring activities described in the Performance Review and Accountability System.

The New Mexico Charter Schools Act purpose:

The Charter Schools Act ... is enacted to enable individual schools to structure their educational curriculum to encourage the use of different and innovative teaching methods that are based on reliable research and effective practices or have been replicated successfully in schools with diverse characteristics; to allow the development of different and innovative forms of measuring student learning and achievement; to address the needs of all students, including those determined to be at risk; to create new professional opportunities for teachers, including the opportunity to be responsible for the learning program at the school site; to improve student achievement; to provide parents and students with an educational alternative to create new, innovative and more flexible ways of educating children within the public school system; to encourage parental and community involvement in the public school system; to develop and use site-based budgeting; and to hold charter schools accountable for meeting the department's educational standards and fiscal requirements. (§22-8B-3 NMSA 1978 *et seq.*)

## **Performance Review and Accountability System Objectives**

The PEC seeks to establish a Performance Review and Accountability System that strikes the appropriate balance between charter school autonomy and chartering authority intervention. The Performance Review and Accountability System is an adaptive tool subject to continuous review and improvement so that the students in New Mexico’s public charter schools are effectively served.

The PEC invites New Mexico’s charter schools to be partners in the development and continuous improvement of this Performance Review and Accountability System.

The PEC is committed to providing clear expectations about charter school performance and chartering authority oversight activities. The PEC objectives for charter school performance review and accountability include:

- Provide clarity about the process and timeline for collecting performance framework data

- Streamline data collection and decrease the burden on NM charter schools
- Consider overall school academic performance across a range of different indicators, including optional, unique, school-identified measures for evaluating mission-specific goals
- Ensure all data and evidence can be reliably and accurately collected and measures can be reliably and accurately evaluated
- Establish financial metrics that provide clarity about the financial health of charter schools
- Establish clear policies and procedures for how performance frameworks inform the PEC actions and decisions, including a range of interventions that the PEC will take in response to charter school under performance
- Provide annual performance reports that are publicly available to families and schools

### **Appendix A: Academic, Organizational, and Financial Performance Framework**

The PEC Charter School Performance Framework sets the academic, fiscal, and organizational standards by which the PEC-authorized public charter schools will be evaluated, informing the PEC and the charter school about the school's performance and sustainability. The Performance Framework consists of three separate, free-standing frameworks. Performance under these three separate frameworks does not get rolled up into one overall evaluation. No one document necessarily carries more weight than any other.

**Academic Framework:** The academic framework includes measures that allow the PEC to evaluate the school's academic performance and assess whether the academic program is a success and whether the charter school is implementing its academic program effectively. The framework includes measures to evaluate student proficiency, student academic growth, achievement gaps in both proficiency and growth between student subgroups, and for high schools, post-secondary readiness, and graduation rate. The framework also includes unique, school-identified measures for evaluating mission-specific goals. These goals must be approved by the PEC to ensure quality and rigor of proposed indicators and that the indicators are consistent with the purposes of the Charter Schools Act.

**Organizational Framework:** The Organizational Framework primarily lists the responsibilities and duties that charter schools are required to meet through state and federal laws. The organizational framework is the primary focus of the annual school visit process. It was developed pursuant to the New Mexico Charter Schools Act and includes indicators, criteria statements, and metrics related to schools' educational program, financial management, governing body performance, school environment, and employee and student policies, including compliance with all applicable laws, rules, policies, and terms of the charter contract. For each indicator a school receives one of three ratings: "Meets Standard," "Working to Meet Standard" and "Does Not Meet Standard". Indicator ratings are assigned based on evidence that the school is meeting the criteria statement(s).

Based on performance across the organizational indicators and measures, schools receive an overall organizational rating that is used by the PEC in annual monitoring and renewal decisions. The overall rating will be either "Meets Standard" or "Does Not Meet Standard" based on cumulative performance on the organizational indicators. A school will only receive an

overall rating of “Does Not Meet Standard” if the school receives “Does Not Meet Standard” ratings for three more indicators.

**Financial Framework:** The financial framework is used to evaluate whether the school is meeting financial performance expectations for purposes of annual evaluations and renewal decisions.

### Academic Performance Framework

The Academic Performance Framework answers the evaluative question: Is the academic program a success? The framework includes indicators and measures that allow an evaluation of the school’s academic performance and was developed pursuant to the New Mexico Charter Schools Act. This section includes indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic performance; student academic growth; achievement gaps in both proficiency and growth between student subgroups; and graduation rate and post-secondary readiness measures for high schools. (Section 22-8B-9.1.A. (1-3, 6, 7) NMSA 1978).

The Academic Performance Framework includes three indicators, with several required measures, and allows for the inclusion of additional rigorous, valid, and reliable indicators proposed by the school to augment external evaluations of school performance.

**Note:** Schools listed in the lowest 40% of school ranking by the PED that are not a SAM school or Supplementary Accountability Model School (either identified by PED, or identified by PED using a previous definition, as a SAM school) shall prepare an improvement plan to improve any deficiencies. These plans shall be assessed under Organizational Indicator 1.f below.

### Description of Academic Framework Indicators and Measures

<b>Indicator 1: Student Academic Performance:</b> Components from NM System of School Support and Accountability  Points are assigned using the State scoring allocation for each subpart and for total scoring assigned to the school by the State.	
Measure	Description
1.1 Math and Reading Proficiency	Math and Reading Proficiency (percentage of students who are proficient on state assessments in math and reading).
1.2 English Learner Progress Toward English Language Proficiency	English learner progress toward English language proficiency (measured by the WIDA ACCESS assessment of English learners).
1.3 Science Proficiency	Science proficiency (percentage of students who are proficient on state assessments in science).
1.4 Growth of Highest-Performing Students (Q4)	These growth measures are calculated separately for three student subgroups. The three student subgroups are the lowest-performing students (lowest 25%), the middle-performing students (middle 50%) and the highest-performing students (highest 25%).
1.5 Growth of Middle performing students (Q2/3)	
1.6 Growth of Lowest-Performing Students (Q1)	

Measure	Description
1.7 Graduation Rate	<p>The graduation measure includes 4-year, 5-year, and 6-year cohort graduation rates.</p> <p>Graduation rates are one-year lagged, meaning that rates published in the school report are for the cohort that graduated by August 1 of the prior year. Rates are calculated for 4-, 5- and 6- year graduates. Calculation of 4-year, 5-year, and 6-year cohort graduation rates uses the Shared Accountability method, which gives each school in which the student was enrolled in high school proportional credit for their timely or lack of timely graduation. For schools that qualify as SAM schools, an auxiliary graduation rate is computed using a senior completer method which includes only 12th grade students who are not members of the 4-year cohort.</p>
1.8 Growth in 4-year Graduation Rate	<p>Improvement in the 4-year graduation rate is based on the slope of the 4-year graduation rates for the past three years. For schools that have a 4-year graduation rate that is over 90%, all points are awarded for graduation growth.</p>
1.9 Career and College Readiness	<p>College and Career Readiness (CCR) scores are determined by the percentage of the prior year 4-year graduation cohort members who show evidence of participating in college or career preparation, along with the proportion of those students meeting a benchmark. This indicator is also one-year lagged and calculated using the shared accountability model.</p> <p>High school students are expected to participate in at least one college or career readiness program:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) College entrance exams (Accuplacer, ACT, ACT Aspire, Compass, PLAN, PSAT, SAT, or SAT Subject Test);</li> <li>2) Evidence that the student can pass a college-level course (Advanced Placement, Dual Credit, or IB); or</li> <li>3) Eligibility for an industry-recognized certification (Career Technical Education).</li> </ol> <p>Points are given separately for students' participation and for their success in achieving targets.</p> <p>SAM schools are allowed use of additional indicators including ASVAB, WorkKeys, and TABE.</p>
1.10 Chronic Absenteeism	<p>Schools earn points based on the percentage of students who were not chronically absent. A chronically absent student is one who was absent from school for any reason for at least 10 percent of the days enrolled.</p>
1.11 Educational Climate Survey, Multicultural Initiatives, and Socio-emotional Learning (SEL)	<p>The Educational Climate survey measures the extent to which classroom teachers demonstrate instructional practices known to facilitate student learning. Students answer survey questions on topics such as classroom teaching and expectations of students.</p>

**Indicator 2: Subgroup Performance**

Subgroup measures are based on the school's relative performance (statewide percentile rank) compared to all NM public schools serving the same grades. Points are assigned using the State scoring allocation for each subpart, for all students statewide and for any other data required to score this section. Points assigned for each subgroup are averaged to calculate overall points for measures 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

Measure	Description
2.1 Subgroup Growth of Highest-Performing Students (Q4)	Students are compared to all students statewide serving the same grade levels, based on the Growth results calculated by NM PED for Q4 students.
2.2 Subgroup Growth of Middle-Performing Students (Q2/3)	Schools are compared to all students statewide serving the same grade levels, based on the Growth results calculated by NM PED for Q2/3 students.
2.3 Subgroup Growth of Lowest-Performing Students (Q1)	Schools are compared to all students statewide serving the same grade levels, based on Growth results calculated by NM PED for Q1 students.
2.4 Subgroup Proficiency	Students are compared to all students statewide serving the same grade levels, based on subgroup proficiency rates for all eligible subgroups.

**Indicator 3: School-Specific Goals**

Additional indicators to augment external evaluation of performance, consistent with the purposes of the Charter Schools Act NMSA§22-8B-9.1(C).)

Description	Points
	100

## Mission Specific Goal(s) for Walatowa High Charter School

### 1. Mission-Specific Indicator: SAT: Cohort 1

For SY 2022-2027, WHCS Full Academic Year (FAY) Students will track and improve in Reading and Math Proficiency rates for two distinct cohorts until graduation as measured by the SAT test which will be administered two times during each school year.

- Cohort 1: Juniors who began their 9th-grade year enrolled at the school and attended the school each of the following years.

College Board 2021 SAT Results show that American Indian & Alaskan Natives residing in NM had an average score of 887 on the SAT: <https://reports.collegeboard.org/pdf/2021-new-mexico-sat-suite-assessments-annual-report.pdf>

Measure Rating Category	Description of Target for each Performance Level	Points Assigned
<b>Exceeds Standard</b>	90% of Juniors in Cohort 1 will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher.	100
<b>Meets Standard</b>	89-80% of Juniors in Cohort 1 will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher.	75
<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b>	79-70% of Juniors in Cohort 1 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher.	25
<b>Falls Far Below Standard</b>	69% or less of Juniors in Cohort 1 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher.	0

## 2. Mission-Specific Indicator: SAT: Cohort 2

For SY 2022-2027, WHCS Full Academic Year (FAY) Students will track and improve in Reading and Math Proficiency rates for two distinct cohorts until graduation as measured by the SAT test which will be administered two times during each school year.

- Cohort 2: Students who enrolled in the school after freshman year and have attended the school for a full year from the date of enrollment.

College Board 2021 SAT Results show that American Indian & Alaskan Natives residing in NM had an average score of 887 on the SAT: <https://reports.collegeboard.org/pdf/2021-new-mexico-sat-suite-assessments-annual-report.pdf>

Measure Rating Category	Description of Target for each Performance Level	Points Assigned
<b>Exceeds Standard</b>	By graduation, 85% or more of Cohort 2 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher OR will have at least a 5% score increase between the results from the SAT test administered in the fall and the SAT test administered in the spring.	100

<b>Meets Standard</b>	By graduation, 70 - 84% of Cohort 2 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher OR will have a 5% score increase between the results from the SAT test administered in the fall and the SAT test administered in the spring.	75
<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b>	By graduation, 60 - 69% of Cohort 2 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher OR will have a 5% score increase between the results from the SAT test administered in the fall and the SAT test administered in the spring.	25
<b>Falls Far Below Standard</b>	By graduation, 59% or less of Cohort 2 students will receive a SAT score of 887 or higher OR will have a 5% score increase between the results from the SAT test administered in the fall and the SAT test administered in the spring.	0

### 3. Mission-Specific Indicator: Accuplacer: Cohort 1

For SY 2022-2027, WHCS Full Academic Year (FAY), students, who have been enrolled at WHCS since the beginning of their 9<sup>th</sup> grade year (Cohort 1), will earn a Score of 241 or higher on Accuplacer NG-Reading during the fall of their senior year. ([See](#) link below regarding 241.)

- Cohort 1: Seniors who attended WHCS from 9-12 (enrolled at WHCS on the 40<sup>th</sup> day their senior year)

<https://www.cnm.edu/depts/assessment-center/placement-exams/placement-exam-score-guide>

Measure Rating Category	Description of Target for each Performance Level	Points Assigned
<b>Exceeds Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  By the fall of senior year, 90% or more of Cohort 1 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241 or greater.	100



<b>Meets Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  By the fall of senior year, 80% - 89% of Cohort 1 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241.	75
<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  By the fall of senior year, 70% - 79% of Cohort 1 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241.	25
<b>Falls Far Below Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  By the fall of senior year, 69% or less of Cohort 1 receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241..	0

#### 4. Mission-Specific Indicator: Accuplacer: Cohort 2

For SY 2022-2027, WHCS Full Academic Year (FAY) students, (Cohort 2), will earn a score of 241 or higher on Accuplacer NG-Reading OR they will increase their score from the year before by 5% during the fall of their senior year.

- Cohort 2: Seniors who enrolled in the school after freshman year and have attended the school for a full year from the date of enrollment.

<b>Measure Rating Category</b>	<b>Description of Target for each Performance Level</b>	<b>Points Assigned</b>
<b>Exceeds Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  In the fall of their senior year, 85% or more of Cohort 2 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241 OR if it is less than 85%, there is an 5% score increase from the Accuplacer NG-Reading test administered in the year before and Accuplacer NG-Reading the test administered in their senior year.	100
<b>Meets Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  In the fall of their senior year, 70-84% of Cohort 2 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241 OR if it is less than 70%, there is an 5% score increase from the Accuplacer NG-Reading test administered the year before and Accuplacer NG-Reading the test administered in their senior year.	75

<b>Does Not Meet Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  In the fall of their senior year, 60% - 69% of Cohort 2 students will receive an Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241 OR if it is less than 60%, there is an 5% score increase from the Accuplacer NG-Reading test administered the year before and the Accuplacer NG-Reading the test administered in their senior year.	25
<b>Falls Far Below Standard</b>	Accuplacer NG-Reading Score of $\geq$ 241  In the fall of their senior year, 59% or less of Cohort 2 students fail to meet any of the standards set forth above.	0

<b>Summary of Indicator Rating System and Assigned Points</b> Schools receive up to 100 points for each academic measure. The average weighted points across the framework are used to assign the overall rating.				
Academic Indicators	Description	Assigned Points	Total Weight	
			Elem.	High
<b>Indicator 1:</b> Components from NM System of School Support and Accountability	Charter school performance on each of the components of the NM PED ESSA Plan	The school earns points equal to the school's overall index score from the New Mexico System of School Support and Accountability. (1-100 pts)	35%	37.5%
<b>Indicator 2:</b> Subgroup Performance	Subgroup measures are based on the school's relative performance (statewide percentile rank) compared to all NM public schools serving the same grades.	Points assigned for each subgroup are averaged to calculate overall points for measures 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4. (1-100 points)	30%	25%
<b>Indicator 3</b> Mission- or School-Specific Goals	Charter schools shall include two mission or school-specific goals that are Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time-bound.	Points are assigned based on the average of the ratings (if there are two or more goals) for the goals listed in Indicator 3. (0-100 points)	35%	37.5%

## Organizational Performance Framework

The Organizational Framework primarily lists the responsibilities and duties that charter schools are required to meet through state and federal laws. It was developed pursuant to the New Mexico Charter Schools Act and includes indicators, criteria statements, and metrics related to schools' educational program, financial management, governing body performance, school environment, and employee and student policies, including compliance with all applicable laws, rules and terms of the charter contract (Section 22-8B-9.1A (4, 5, 9) NMSA 1978).

The organizational framework is the primary focus of the annual school visit process. The framework is structured into five categories of information. Each category has multiple **indicators** and each indicator includes one or more **criteria statements** that serve as the metric for evaluating organizational performance.

For each indicator a school receives one of three ratings: "Meets Standard," "Working to Meet Standard" and "Does Not Meet Standard" which are defined below. Indicator ratings are assigned based on evidence that the school is meeting the criteria statement(s).

### Meets Standard:

The school meets the standard if it satisfies each of the criteria statements described for the indicator OR if the authorizer currently has no evidence or information to indicate the school does not meet the criteria statements.

### Working to Meet Standard:

There is evidence to indicate the school does not, or at some point in the school year did not, satisfy the criteria statements described for the indicator AND within 30 days after receiving a notification of non-compliance, the school submitted evidence to demonstrate immediate correction of any outstanding matters AND, if required, submitted a corrective action plan to prevent future occurrences of the same concern.

### Does Not Meet Standard:

There is evidence to indicate the school does not, or at some point in the school year did not, meet the criteria statements described for the indicator and the school failed to submit evidence of correction and/or a corrective action plan, if required, within 30 days of notification OR the school failed to implement the corrective action plan, if required, OR the non-compliance is repeated from the prior evaluation.

Schools receive an overall organizational performance rating of either "Meets Standard", "Working to Meet Standard", or "Does Not Meet Standard". If a school receives "Does Not Meet Standard" ratings for three or more indicators, the school will receive an overall organizational framework rating of "Does Not Meet Standard". Fewer than three such ratings will result in an overall rating of "Meets Standard." In addition, if a school receives a "Does Not Meet Standard", on any indicator, the CSD and the PEC may conduct a closer review the following year on that indicator, and/or the PEC may require the school to submit a corrective action plan to specify actions and a timeline to correct the performance deficiency.

Topics and Indicators <sup>1</sup>	Criteria Statements	
<b>1. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS</b>		
1.a. Is the school implementing the material terms of the approved charter application as defined in the Charter Contract?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School's mission is being implemented.</li> <li>The school has documentation/evidence that it is implementing its educational programs.</li> <li>The school stays within its enrollment cap at all times and serves only the approved grade levels.</li> </ul>	
1.b. Does the school comply with state and contractual assessment requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The school administers all required state assessments, as delineated in <i>NMSA 22-2C-4</i></li> <li>The school administers all required contractual assessments (if specified in contract/performance framework).</li> <li>The school ensures assessment accommodations are properly administered to all eligible students.</li> <li>The school adheres to assessment procedures and requirements as delineated in <i>NMAC 6.10.7</i>.</li> </ul>	
1.c. Is the school protecting the rights of students with special needs? <i>(Note: These provisions include only students with disabilities.)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The school is in 100% compliance with the Special Education Bureau identified indicators. <i>(34 CFR § 300.600 (a)(2) and Subsection F of 6.31.2.9 NMAC.)</i></li> <li>The school has not been the subject of a due process hearing that resulted in a finding of noncompliance during the current school year.</li> <li>The school has not been cited for noncompliance with applicable federal and state special education rules and regulations as the result of a state-level parental complaint during the current school year.</li> <li>The school is in compliance with all terms of any corrective action plan that resulted from a state-level complaint.</li> <li>The school has received no OCR complaints regarding students with disabilities determined to be valid and demonstrate a students' rights were violated. <i>(NMSA 22-8B-4)</i></li> </ul>	

<sup>1</sup> Indicator numbers have changed because the financial indicators, formerly 2.a-f, have been removed and now comprise the Financial Performance Framework.

Topics and Indicators <sup>1</sup>	Criteria Statements	
1.d. Is the school protecting the rights of English Learner students?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school has no valid complaints that indicate an EL student's or families' rights have been violated. <i>(NMSA 22-8B-4 (A))</i></li> <li>• The school does not have a major discrepancy (&gt;5%) between ELs identified and assessed as monitored by the Language and Culture Bureau or is able to provide appropriate documentation and explanation for such a discrepancy.</li> <li>• All EL students must be provided services as evidenced by STARS data reporting for services coded as 1062 (ESL) or 1063 (ELA/ELD) or must be coded as a parent refusal and have documentation to support the refusal as monitored by the Language and Culture Bureau.</li> <li>• The school has received no OCR complaints regarding EL students determined to be valid that demonstrate a students' rights were violated. <i>(NMSA 22-8B-4)</i></li> <li>• The school has a process for identifying, serving and assessing English Learners (may include a review of student cumulative files during site visits) to compliance with state and federal law regarding servicing English Learners.</li> </ul>	
1.e. Does the school comply with federal and state grant program requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school meets program requirements for all PED and federal grant programs it implements and is responsive to any concerns or findings of non-compliance in accordance with grant requirements.</li> </ul>	
1.f. Does the school implement an Educational Plan for Student Success (NMDASH)?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school has an active core team engaged in the DASH process.</li> <li>• The school is implementing their annual and 90-day plans, as evaluated through reviewing evidence and school/adult actions during the site visit.</li> </ul> <p><i>Only applicable for schools identified as TSI, CSI, or MRI or schools that are required to implement an NMDASH plan by a PEC Corrective Action Plan and schools in the bottom 40% of the state ranking by PED that are not a SAM school (either identified by PED, or identified by PED using a previous definition, as a SAM school).</i></p>	

## 2. GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING

2.a. Is the school complying with governance requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The governing body meets membership requirements: <i>NMSA 22-8B-4; PEC policy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Maintains at least 5 members</li> <li>○ Complies with governance change policy</li> <li>○ Notifies the PEC of board membership changes within 30 days, with complete documentation, and</li> <li>○ Fills all vacancies within 45 days, or 75 days, if extension is requested by school.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• All members of the governing body complete all training requirements in accordance with established deadlines. (<i>NMAC 6.80.5.8 and 9</i>)</li> <li>• The school's governing council independently oversees the school's finances according to law</li> <li>• Governing council policies and procedures, minutes or other documents ensure that required financial reports are being presented to the governing council on a monthly basis</li> <li>• The governing council demonstrates in board meetings that it analyzes the financial position of the school</li> <li>• The charter school maintains a Board of Finance or has the capabilities to have a Board of Finance, and maintained the Board of Finance during the contract term</li> <li>• The governing body has not received any OMA complaints (by the AG's office) that were evaluated and found to be verified complaints of OMA violations. (<i>NMSA 10-15-1 and 3</i>)</li> </ul>	
2.b. Is the school complying with nepotism and conflict of interest requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school is free of nepotism concerns regarding the governing board and demonstrates compliance with nepotism statute and the school's own nepotism policy.</li> <li>• The school is free of conflict-of-interest concerns and demonstrates compliance with conflict-of-interest statute and the school's own conflict of interest policy.</li> </ul>	
2.c. Is the school meeting reporting requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school complies with reporting deadlines from the PED, the PEC, and other state agencies.</li> </ul>	

### 3. STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES

3.a. Is the school protecting the rights of all students?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school has received no complaints determined to be valid that demonstrate the school's lottery, admission, and enrollment practices are unfair, discriminatory, or legally non-compliant and a review of lottery, admission, and enrollment policies demonstrates compliance with legal requirements.</li> <li>• The school has received no valid complaints that demonstrate the school's discipline hearings and practices are not conducted in accordance with law and due process. (<i>NMAC 6.11.2. 1, et seq.</i>)</li> <li>• The school has received no valid complaints that demonstrate the school fails to respect students' privacy, civil rights, and constitutional rights, including the First Amendment protections and the Establishment Clause restrictions prohibiting schools from engaging in religious instruction.</li> <li>• The school has received no valid complaints that demonstrate the school fails to comply with the requirements of the McKinney Vento Act and protects the rights of students in the foster care system.</li> <li>• The PED has a board-approved complaint policy and dispute resolution process <i>per 6.10.3D NMAC</i></li> </ul>	
3.b. Does the school meet attendance, retention, and recurrent enrollment goals for students?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school meets the 95% average daily attendance goal, or demonstrates successful implementation of a whole-school student attendance improvement plan.</li> <li>• The school maintains at least 80% retention of enrolled students from date of enrollment until the end of the school year, or students who leave prior to the end of the year are classified as graduates, completers, or have earned their GEDs.</li> <li>• The school has a 70% reenroll rate from one school year to the next.</li> </ul>	
3.c. Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All employees of the school are appropriately licensed as required by law. (<i>NMSA 22-10A-3</i>)</li> <li>• All employees hold licensure or have submitted a licensure application within 30 days of beginning employment with the school</li> <li>• The school employs a licensed administrator at all times</li> <li>• School meets the requirements of all licensure waiver plans and alternative licensure plan requirements. (<i>NMSA 22-10A</i>)</li> <li>• The school accurately reports all staff to the PED, as verified through site visit reviews.</li> </ul>	

<p>3.d. Is the school respecting employee rights?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school implements an annual system for teacher evaluations and observations with timelines and evaluation criteria.</li> <li>• Teacher observations are documented and communicated with teachers in accordance with the school's teacher evaluation plan.</li> <li>• Teachers are provided evaluation report and reports are maintained in teacher personnel files.</li> <li>• The school maintains teacher contracts in all staff files. <i>(NMSA 22-10A-21)</i></li> <li>• The school complies with the minimum teacher salaries. <i>(NMSA 22-10A-7, 10, 11)</i></li> <li>• The school has no verified complaints regarding violations of teacher rights under the school personnel act, FMLA, ADA, etc. <i>(NMSA 22-10A-1 et seq.)</i></li> <li>• The school implements a teacher mentorship program for novice teachers in accordance with <i>NMSA 22.10A-9</i>.</li> </ul>	
<p>3.e. Is the school completing required background checks and reporting ethical violations?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school maintains legally compliant background checks in all staff files including evidence of background checks for substitutes, all contracted service providers, and anyone with unsupervised access to students. <i>(NMSA 22-10A-5)</i></li> <li>• The school reports incidents of violations of teacher/licensed staff ethical rules or criminal convictions to the PED pursuant to the School Personnel Act. <i>(NMAC 6.60.8.8 and NMSA 22-10A-5)</i></li> </ul>	
<p><b>4. SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT</b></p>		
<p>4.a. Is the school complying with facilities requirements?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school meets PSFA occupancy, NMCI and ownership requirements. <i>(NMSA 22-8B-4.)</i></li> <li>• The school has an e-occupancy certificate.</li> <li>• The school has PSFA letter verifying condition index.</li> <li>• The school notifies the PEC prior to any change in facilities.</li> <li>• There are no verified complaints that demonstrate buildings, grounds or facilities do not provide a safe and orderly environment for public use. <i>(Subsection P of 6.29.1.9 NMAC)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- safe, healthy, orderly, clean and in good repair</li> <li>- in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act-Part III and state fire marshal regulations, Sections 59A-52-1 through 59A-52-25 NMSA 1978</li> <li>- Written records of pesticide applications will be kept for three years at each school site and be available upon request to parents, guardians, students, teachers and staff.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	



4.b. Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● If the school owns a school bus or otherwise provides student transportation, the school has no verified complaints about following applicable statutes, regulations, or policies related to providing transportation.</li> </ul>	
4.c. Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The school conducts all required emergency drills and practiced evacuations. (<i>NMSA 22-13-14 and NMAC 6.29.1.9(O)</i>)</li> <li>● The school submits school wellness and safety plans, and all required revisions, in accordance with deadlines to the PED. (<i>NMAC 6.12.6.8</i>)</li> <li>● The school has evidence that it complies with 24-5-2 NMSA 1978 and provides satisfactory evidence of immunization, is active in the immunization process, or properly exempted from immunization.</li> <li>● The school demonstrates compliance with all facility corrective requirements from the most recent inspection from any other state entity.</li> </ul>	
4.d. Is the school handling information appropriately?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The school has no verified complaints that demonstrate it failed to comply with FERPA requirements.</li> <li>● The schools has no verified complaints that demonstrate that it does not obtain, maintain, and transfer cumulative files as required by law.</li> </ul>	
4.e Is the school making information accessible to the public?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The school maintains a website with contact information for school staff and board members, student/parent handbook, and up to date governance meeting information.</li> </ul>	
4.f. Does the school have an equitable and positive school climate that supports students' social and emotional development?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The school has an active equity council.</li> <li>● The school is actively building its capacity to support culturally responsive social emotional learning.</li> <li>● The school provides high quality supports to students with intentional connections to family and community.</li> </ul>	

## Financial Performance Framework

Topics and Indicators <sup>2</sup>	Criteria Statements	
<b>5. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT</b>		
5.a. Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The school submits all budget request documents and budget approval documents to the PED according to PED's established deadlines. <i>(NMSA 22-8-6.1 and 10.)</i></li> <li>The school submits quarterly (or monthly) reports according to PEDs established deadlines and without frequent, repeated errors. All required reports are posted to the school's website. <i>(NMSA 22-8-6.1 and 10)</i></li> </ul>	
5.b. Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Was there a finding in the school's last audit opinion that the school violated GAAP?</li> </ul>	
5.c. Is the school responsive to audit findings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The school's last audit is devoid of any multi-year repeat findings.</li> </ul>	
5.d. Is the school managing grant funds responsibly?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The school expends at least 99% of grant funds for all accounts without reversion and submits expenditures that are allowable with at least 90% aligned to the specific categories in the grant application budget.</li> </ul>	

<sup>2</sup>Financial indicators were previously 2.a-f in the combined Organizational-Financial Performance Framework.

Topics and Indicators <sup>2</sup>	Criteria Statements	
5.e. Is the school adequately staffed to ensure proper fiscal management?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school has a licensed business manager at all times during the school year and demonstrates stability in this position (no more than 1 change within a year).</li> <li>• The school has a certified State Procurement Officer and all changes are reported to the State Purchasing Agent in accordance with deadlines. <i>(NMSA 13-1-95.2)</i></li> <li>• The governing council's audit committee and finance subcommittee are properly constituted and meet as required. <i>(NMSA 22-8-12.3)</i></li> </ul>	
5.f. Is the school meeting their obligations timely and with appropriate internal controls?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The school has no validated issues related to bills, invoices, or other liabilities being paid in a timely fashion or without appropriate controls.</li> </ul>	

## Annual Performance Review Activities

The PEC, through its authorized representative(s), evaluates schools on their ability to achieve academic goals with all students while maintaining financial and organizational health. Annual accountability activities are guided by state and federal compliance requirements as well as clear measures of academic progress that allow for a rigorous, state-aligned, fact-based evaluation of school performance.

### Charter School Data Submissions

Throughout the year, charter schools are required to submit academic, financial, and organizational data to the PEC, various PED departments, and other governmental entities.

Submissions are required for the PEC accountability oversight and for compliance with state and federal funding and reporting requirements.

### Annual School Visits

The PEC's authorized representative(s) conduct **annual site visits** to all schools to collect data for the performance framework evaluation and provide feedback as technical assistance. Site visits may be differentiated based on school performance, including academic, financial, and organizational performance.

**New school visits** are conducted within the first 40 days after new school opening to collect data for the performance framework evaluation and to provide early feedback and intervention as technical assistance, if necessary.

**Renewal visits** are conducted during the fall of the charter school's renewal year and provide additional insights to inform the PEC charter school renewal decisions, especially for schools not meeting performance expectations.

Visits can include a combination of any of the following: file audits, classroom observations, a facility review, and staff, board, and student and family interviews.

### Annual Performance Review

The PEC's authorized representative(s) evaluate all schools against the PEC Performance Framework annually, which is comprised of academic, financial, and organizational performance metrics.

The PEC's authorized representative(s) share initial performance framework feedback and evaluations with charter school boards and administrative leaders for review and feedback.

The PEC, through its authorized representative(s), issues any Notices of Concern or Breach related to annual performance reviews.


The PEC approves and publishes Annual Performance Reports for schools.

See Appendix A for the current PEC Charter School Performance Framework.

## Intervention Ladder

The PEC is responsible for holding charter schools accountable for the performance and legal compliance of charter schools under their authority. To meet this obligation, the PEC has adopted an intervention ladder to communicate concerns about academic performance, fiscal soundness or legal, contractual, or policy requirements.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, all schools are considered to be in **Good Standing**. Schools in good standing are expected to participate in routine annual accountability activities and maintain open communication with the PEC and its authorized representative(s).



### Notice of Concern

Schools may receive a Notice of Concern if the PEC and/or its authorized representative receives a verified complaint of significant concern, or if the annual performance review or site visit identifies significant questions or concerns about academic, financial, or organizational performance, such as a finding of “not meeting expectations” on an organizational indicator. The PEC's authorized representative(s) will communicate with school leaders, parents, and any other necessary stakeholders to verify complaints.

The PEC will issue a Notice of Concern at a properly noticed public meeting. The PEC's authorized representative(s) shall provide schools notice that they will be appearing on the PEC's agenda for the purposes of issuing a Notice of Concern at least 10 days prior to the meeting. As part of issuing a Notice of Concern, the PEC will establish expected outcomes and deadlines<sup>3</sup> that must be met by the school. The deadline established for correction shall be no less than 10 days including holidays and weekends, unless the matter is an emergency matter<sup>4</sup> in which case the deadline shall be no less than 72 hours.

Upon remedying the concern and complying with the expectations established by the PEC, the school returns to Good Standing. If the PEC's expectations and deadlines are not met, the school progresses to the next level of the intervention ladder.

### Notice of Breach

A school can receive a Notice of Breach if it fails to correct a Notice of Concern or for certain violations of law that are serious enough to justify a heightened initial response.

The PEC will issue a Notice of Breach at a properly noticed public meeting. The PEC's authorized representative(s) shall provide schools notice that they will be appearing on the PEC's agenda for the purposes of issuing a Notice of Breach at least 10 days prior to the meeting. As part of issuing a Notice of Breach, the PEC will establish expected outcomes and deadlines that must be met by the school. The

---

<sup>3</sup> Deadlines will vary depending on the urgency of the matter and the amount of time it takes to reasonably cure the concern.

<sup>4</sup> An “emergency” refers to unforeseen circumstances that, if not addressed immediately by the public body, will likely result in injury or damage to persons or property or substantial financial loss to the public body.

deadline established for correction shall be no less than 10 days including holidays and weekends, unless the matter is an emergency matter in which case the deadline shall be no less than 72 hours.

Once a Notice of Breach is issued, schools are required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (financial or organizational performance) or an Improvement Plan (academic performance) that details the actions and timeline that the schools will implement to correct the breach. The PEC's authorized representatives will monitor the school's implementation of Corrective Action and Improvement Plans, and regularly update the PEC on progress. Once the school has met the Notice of Breach requirements, they return to Good Standing. Repeated Notices of Concern or Breach may lead to increased oversight, including additional annual site visits or regular phone calls to discuss key performance indicators.

### **Revocation Review**

Failure to meet the requirements specified in the Notice of Breach, or certain violations of law that are serious enough to justify an initial heightened response, will result in a charter school Revocation Review. Schools may also be subject to the Revocation Review if they receive more than one Notice of Breach in the same school year.

The PEC will issue a Notice of Revocation Review at a properly noticed public meeting. Unless impractical, the PEC's authorized representative(s) shall provide schools notice that they will be appearing on the PEC's agenda for the purposes of issuing a Notice of Revocation Review at least 10 days prior to the meeting. As part of issuing a Notice of Revocation Review, the PEC will establish the actions to be taken by its authorized representative(s) and a deadline for the school to respond to the prospect of revocation. The actions of the PEC's authorized representative may include additional visits to the school, an in-depth audit to assess the school's educational program, and/or financial and organizational health, or other actions appropriate to determine if a revocation hearing is appropriate.

Findings from the revocation review will be presented to the PEC at a properly noticed public meeting, at which will determine whether to issue a Notice of Intent to Revoke, which sends the school into revocation proceedings. In lieu of a Notice of Intent to Revoke, the PEC may decide to grant a Notice of Breach, or a revised Notice of Breach. A revised Notice of Breach will allow a school more time to achieve expected outcomes established in an initial Notice of Breach or adjust the expected outcomes initially established by the PEC.

In extraordinary circumstances, the PEC may forgo the process outlined above and may, with proper statutory notice, consider whether to hold a revocation hearing or hold a revocation hearing.

The table on the next page provides examples regarding triggers and evidence, and actions and consequences; however, the table shall not be considered exclusive.

Status	Triggers/Evidence	Actions/Consequences
<b>Notice of Concern</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Failure to meet performance standards represented in the performance framework.</li> <li>● Receipt of verified complaint of significant concern.</li> <li>● Evidence of not meeting performance expectations through routine monitoring or school visit.</li> <li>● Failure to comply with terms of the charter.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Appearance before the PEC at public meeting.</li> <li>● Letter to school leader and governing board detailing areas of concern and specific outcomes and timeline for correcting the performance gap.</li> </ul>
<b>Notice of Breach</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Failure to meet objectives identified in a Notice of Concern.</li> <li>● Evidence of material or significant failure to comply with applicable laws.</li> <li>● Actions or operational deficiencies that may endanger the well-being of students and/or staff, or negatively impact the viability of the school.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Appearance before the PEC at public meeting.</li> <li>● Letter to school leader and governing board giving notification of breach and outlining additional terms of oversight and monitoring.</li> <li>● School develops, submits, and implements a Corrective Action or Improvement Plan with specific improvements, objectives, timelines, and measures that results in correction of the breach.</li> <li>● The PEC, through authorized representative(s), monitors implementation of Corrective Action or Improvement Plan.</li> </ul>
<b>Revocation Review</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Failure to successfully meet the terms of the Corrective Action or Improvement Plan.</li> <li>● Repeated failure to meet the material terms of the charter agreement.</li> <li>● Illegal behavior, fraud, misappropriation of funds.</li> <li>● Extended pattern of failure to meet performance expectations set forth in the charter agreement.</li> <li>● Repeated failure to comply with applicable law.</li> <li>● For a charter school located on tribal land, failed to comply with ongoing consultations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Appearance before the Public Education Commission at public meeting.</li> <li>● The PEC's authorized representative(s) may conduct additional site visits to the school and/or conduct an in-depth audit to assess the school's educational program, and/or financial and organizational health.</li> <li>● The PEC's authorized representative(s) review and preparation of recommendation to revoke, or not to revoke, the charter.</li> <li>● The PEC reviews recommendations and makes decision to commence or not commence revocation proceedings.</li> </ul>

	pursuant to the Indian Education Act.	
--	---------------------------------------	--