BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
August 19, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Via Zoom Webinar
and
Ruidoso Convention Center
111 Sierra Blanca Drive
Ruidoso, New Mexico 88345

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc. Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6331N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2 (Pages 2 to 5)
2	4
1 APPEARANCES	1 INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS, Continued
2 COMMISSIONERS:	2 PAGE
3 REBEKKA BURT, Chair GLENNA VOIGT, Vice Chair	3 17 Adjourn 226
4 MELISSA ARMIJO, Secretary	4 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 228
STEVEN CARRILLO, Member 5 GEORGINA DAVIS, Member	5 ATTACHMENTS:
PATRICIA GIPSON, Member	6 1 List of Attendees
6 KT MANIS, Member	7
DAVID ROBBINS, Member 7 MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member	
8 PED STAFF:	8
9 CORINA CHAVEZ Director Charter School/Options for	9
10 Parents and Families Division	10
BRIGETTE RUSSELL, Deputy Director, Options for Parents and Families	11
12	12
MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator	13
13 Training Administrator Charter School/Options for	14
14 Parents and Families Division	15
15 BEVERLY FRIEDMAN, Custodian of Record Liaison to PEC	16
16	17
COUNSEL TO THE PEC: 17	18
JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ.	19
18 Barnes Mediation and Law, PC 200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	20
19 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	21
20	22
21 22	23
23	24
24 25	25
PAGE 1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5 Pledge of Allegiance, and Salute to the New Mexico Flag 4 2 Approval of Agenda 6 5 3 Open Forum 7 6 4 Consent Agenda 29 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action on 31	And I will call this meeting to order. And if you will go to roll call with Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Good morning. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
8 Item(s) Removed from the Consent Agenda	9 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here.
9 6 Meet the New Head Administrators 57 10 7 Discussion and Possible Action on 64	10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
Albuquerque Sign Language Academy Amendment	11 (No response.)
11 8 Discussion and Possible Action to Approve, 80	12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
Deny or Approve with Conditions the	13 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.
New School Application for 13 Explore Academy-Rio Rancho	14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
14 9 Discussion and Possible Action to Place 146	15 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Sciences on the Intervention Ladder	16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
16 10 Discussion and Possible Action on Budget 199	17 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here.
for the PEC for FY 2023	18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
11 Discussion of Change in Rules of 211	19 Robbins.
Procedure for New Business Topics 12 Report from Charter Schools Division 221	20 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.
20 13 Reports from PEC Liaisons 216	21 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
21 14 Report from the Chair 214 22 15 PEC Comments 224	22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
	23 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.
23 16 Discussion of New Business Topics for 225	
the Next Agenda	24 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here.
	 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I'm here. We

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 have nine. We have a quorum. 2. THE CHAIR: Thank you. If you could ask 3 Commissioner Manis to lead us in the Pledge of 4 Allegiance and Commissioner Carrillo to lead us in 5 the Salute to the New Mexico Flag. 6 (Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the 7 New Mexico Flag Conducted.) 8 THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. I do 9 want to say this morning that our meeting today is 10 being transcribed. There will be a recording of 11 this meeting that we will provide to our transcriber 12 just to ensure that -- just in case the sound does 13 get awkward today. She will be able to review that 14 and ensure that the transcription will be the 15 official meeting record and will be accurate. So 16 just want to make sure everyone knows that if there 17 are any sound difficulties at any time. 18 All right. The next item is Approval of 19 the Agenda, and I will move to approve the agenda 20 today. 21 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you. There is a motion 23 from Chair Burt and a second from Vice Chair Voigt. 24 Any comments from Commissioners?

(No response.)

1 our agenda is Open Forum. I believe we have six or 2 seven folks signed in to speak. So I will ask --Missy will be helping us move folks over to the 3 4 panel. When you are moved to the panel, you just 5 have to accept the invitation to be allowed to 6 speak, and then I -- if you can keep your comments 7 to two to three minutes, we would appreciate that, 8 but we will go ahead and start with Open Forum.

If there is an item on the agenda, specifically, the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho, that you would like to be commenting on, we can't take it now at this time. We will also allow public comment at the item as well. So there is that opportunity. And you can specify that when we pull you over. If you'd like to speak about the item, you can do that instead, or both.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Okay, Chair. Our first speaker is Micayle Petersen.

THE CHAIR: All right. And if you can -- be sure you introduce yourself if you're in person. Please limit your comments to two minutes.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me now?

THE CHAIR: Yes, we can hear you. FROM THE FLOOR: Okay. Great. Okay. So

7

1 THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none, 2 Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 4 Carrillo. 5 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 7 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 11 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 13 Robbins. 14 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 16 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. 18 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo 20 votes yes. 21 And Chair Burt. 22 THE CHAIR: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: The motion passes, 24 nine to zero.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. The next item on

I have been able to speak with several of you over the past couple of weeks about my concerns.

THE CHAIR: Would you please start by telling us your first and last name (inaudible)?

FROM THE PUBIC: Yes. Yes. My name is Micayle Petersen, and I'm a parent at EVCA. My concerns are mostly with taking away local control from school boards, communities, and families in New Mexico, especially where the Equity Council is concerned.

And my main issue with the Equity Council -- and a lot of our educator trainings that are coming forward -- the biggest concern I have found is the problematic nature of the racially divisive and anti-American materials that I've seen inside of a lot of these trainings.

Now, I have been through the canvass trainings, and there is a lot of concern there. So I wanted to go over that one really quick.

They say that, you know, racism is pervasive, it's a public health problem, and it's a form of violence, and that microaggressions are racism. And it goes extensively into microaggressions. And that's concerning, because even the example they use of microaggressions is an

25

25

example of mispronouncing someone's name, asking them where they are from, and giving them an unwanted compliment.

That can be extremely problematic, especially when, in the same training, it says that school employees must address this racism in order to protect a student's health and safety.

They have to interrupt these acts of racism, these microaggressions, and if they don't, they're complicit in the racist act.

So we're using racism very undefined, and it could be extremely problematic, especially if it's made into statute of more trainings through the Equity Councils.

Another training that I was presented with and was able to attend was the Meeting the Moment, a Bridge to Equity training on June 28th -- or 27th and 28th. And it was full of distortions of history and the Constitution.

The presenter, they were a company called "A Long Talk." And the PED paid this company and the BEA and Identity, Equity, and Transformation Division, they paid over \$110,000 to teach us these things, and it was very anti-American. It was based -- they said -- well, I'll just read you a few

generations to come.

So they used White Supremacy very freely and loosely defined.

And he said, "It's not about politics."

He said, "Both parties have been terrible to Black people for a long time. History has taught us that we've always had two main political parties one whose main job is to maintain the status quo of White Supremacy, and the other party whose job is to compromise with them to make it more palatable for the rest of us." So just a very anti-American rhetoric.

I don't feel like any of that is true, and they definitely shouldn't be allowing -- we shouldn't be allowing the PED to educate our educators in this manner.

And then, also, my other issue -- I know the history curriculum has changed, and they sent out recommended books to help and assist with the implementation of that curriculum.

And one of those books was by Ibram X. Kendi, who is also quite the, I would say, controversial individual. And his ideas aren't -- aren't what we should be pushing through our history curriculum, especially what he has put forward, that

quotes.

It says, "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal." And he stops, and he says, "Except that's not true. It's only White men who are created equal," and that no one else was ever meant to be included in the Constitution, implying that we need to tear the Constitution down.

He said that 100 percent of America was birthed in White Supremacy, and it still is. They spoke extensively about BLM violence and why that was okay, and then also demonized participants in January 6 and slurred them as White Supremacists for just attending.

They say White Supremacy is just white power, White people in power. The education system in New Mexico is an indoctrination into that system of White Supremacy.

They said that anyone who is anti-CRT says they don't want their kids to be made to feel guilty or ashamed, but that that's a lie. The emotion that they are afraid of is empathy. They don't want little White children to become empathetic to the plight of others, to focus, to listen, to learn, because they might do something about it in

the only way to fix the original sin of racism is

that we should pass an anti-racist amendment to the Constitution and create a department of anti-racism.

And he says that that would establish and

permanently (inaudible) them, this Department of Anti-Racism.

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.)
FROM THE PUBLIC: What?

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.) If you can wrap up your comments now.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Yes, of course. But he says that the Department of Anti-Racism would be responsible for preclearing all local, state, and federal, and public policies to ensure that they won't yield racial inequity. And they would monitor these policies, and when racial inequity surfaced and monitor, they would monitor public officials for expressions of racist ideas.

So I don't believe that these are American ideas. I don't believe that these are values that we, as New Mexico parents and educators, should be espousing. Thank you so much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Our next speaker is Casey Petersen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE CHAIR: Good morning. 2 FROM THE PUBIC: All right. Can you hear 3 me there? 4 THE CHAIR: Introduce yourself. 5 FROM THE PUBIC: All right. Can everyone 6 hear me? 7 THE CHAIR: We can hear you. (Inaudible.) 8 FROM THE PUBIC: Awesome. Okay. Will do. 9 I just want to reiterate some of those things, 10 because I am also on the Equity Council. And the 11 core of these documents that form the Equity 12 Councils, if you guys actually get a chance to you 13 actually dig into those, the core of these documents 14 is rooted in these ideas, these divisive concepts 15 pitting America as two groups, saying we have 16 oppressors and oppressed and this whole ideology --17 this is just a very small -- that was just a very 18 small number of the quotes overall that come out of

> And that training that we attended, they spent -- that speaker that spoke to us, we spent \$50,000. And that was just a small number of the ideas that he was injecting into here. And they consistently talked about how can we -- how can we inject equity and these Critical Race Theory ideas?

these documents and these trainings.

schools are ignoring those aspects of it.

But if these councils were founded on like the Hitlerian Council of Aryan Children, they would have no place in our schools at all. If 98 percent -- 99 percent of them was good, but there was only 1 percent that was a little bit of those divisive, racist, White Supremacist concepts, we would not tolerate it for a second.

And we should not tolerate this. When we are dividing kids up based along racial lines, based upon their immutable characteristics, it is never okay. And this is just a small number of the quotes and resources.

And we're willing to get in touch with anybody that wants more resources and proof of all of this that we've been discussing here.

This is, again, a tiny amount of this. But this -- these organizations are absolutely founded on these divisive concepts and ideas. And we should not be dividing our children up based on their immutable characteristics and telling them that they are either an oppressor or they are a victim.

That is not a recipe for success. We are 50th in the nation in education for a reason. We

15

And they used those words, which was concerning to me. But they said, "The most important thing for people..." -- when they were talking to us, they said, "You need to make sure you don't use that verbiage."

So they were talking about how to get this into your school, but not being transparent with it.

And I feel like anything that you have to do with children and you're not 100 percent transparent, it is -- that is the complete wrong path that we need to have and the wrong idea we need to have. Anybody that's trying to do that inside of our schools, we need to be fighting against that. We should be 100 percent transparent.

And they were trying to inject these divisive concepts and not being straightforward with them and telling how Equity Councils are the hub of this. All of this, it starts at these Equity Councils.

And I like to relate this, saying that, yes, there's a lot of good that Equity Councils do, and we should not throw those parts out. It is just the very small amounts of this that are at the root of it, at the core of it that they're pushing much harder in some schools than others, and other

1 are dead last for a reason.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Petersen.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Next on our list is Prairie Boulmier. I will move you to the panel. I apologize if I mispronounced your name. And please spell your name for the transcriptionist.

FROM THE PUBLIC: All right. Can you hear me? My name is Prairie Boulmier. Yes, you pronounced it just fine.

And let's see. I'm -- I wrote out some comments, and I'd just like to read them and see where that gets me, okay?

Yeah. All right. Thanks.

Okay. Good morning, members of the Public Education Commission. Thank you for taking my comments.

My name is Prairie Boulmier. And 17 years ago in 2005, I began the work towards realizing the charter of what became La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Sciences.

I sat at the back of the room at the trainings offered by the PEC Charter Schools Division for five years, bringing my baby, and then toddler, with me, asking questions, taking notes,

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

scraping together my own funds to visit many other charter schools and Montessori schools, reading countless other charters, and preparing my Master's degree thesis, of which the design of La Tierra was a big part.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, in 2011 and 2012, two big things happened for me. La Tierra was granted its charter by the New Mexico PEC, and I received an award from the American Montessori Society for their Master's thesis of the year, which included a trip to speak at their annual conference in Orlando, Florida.

That, for me, led in two different directions. La Tierra, at that time, hired an administrator who decided to reallocate many of the Montessori-focused budget items and plans that were built into the charter. As the -- as the secretary of the board and not an employee, as a founder, I realized that what Sam Obenshain had been telling me for years, to be ready to kiss it goodbye, was true.

And in the ten years intervening, I have gone on to run a Montessori elementary program, to train Montessori teachers, and to head the Montessori department of a very large Montessori education group with 52 campuses in 14 cities and 10,000 students.

1 I couldn't see the clock. 2

THE CHAIR: That's okay, Prairie. Thank you for (inaudible).

FROM THE PUBLIC: Thanks. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins, do you have a technical (inaudible)?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. If people do not have the microphone close to them -- some of those microphones, you have to be within inches of the microphone. Otherwise, it sounds like you're in a canyon far away. We cannot hear you if you are not directly speaking into the microphone. And you have to be, like, inches away from it. Those type of microphones are not omnidirectional. You have to have it close to your mouth.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thanks. It also sounds like I'm speaking into it and people still cannot hear me. Everyone, please be patient.

And if Cindy cannot hear someone, then we can have her stop. Cindy, please feel free to interrupt whenever you need to.

Please be patient, folks. We just, we're in it. I'm -- it'll go much smoother if everyone can be patient. But --

All right. Missy?

19

18

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's with this in mind that I come to you with these comments.

Time has shown that with La Tierra as -with many other programs, that in order for Montessori to work, there must be trained, experienced administrators at the highest level of operation, including the board, and, most specifically, the head learner or administrator. The only time in its ten-year history when the school seemed to be on a positive trajectory towards becoming a Montessori school was when the school had a trained Montessorian at the helm.

Now, once again, La Tierra finds itself in a crisis situation. I was contacted about two months ago by my co-founder, Roger Montoya, and through his proxy and former chief of staff for his campaign and governing board president, Isaac Casados. We entered a discussion.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Your time is up. MS. MELISSA BROWN: Chair, would you like me to mute people when their time is up? THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.)

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Let me unmute her so you can --

FROM THE PUBLIC: Sorry. I was reading.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Our next speaker is Angela Feathers.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Good morning, Commissioners and Charter School Division. A lot has happened since the last time we spoke at the last meeting. At that July meeting, I was painted as a disgruntled employee, among other disparaging things.

I just want to get this on the record. Last time, I was kind of rattled, so I'm

going to try and get it out.

My contract was allowed to expire June 30th. I was the former head learner at La Tierra Montessori school. I'm sorry. I forgot to say that.

Despite the assurances from the board to the contrary, it expired. The school was blindsided; so was I.

July 1st, we were left scrambling. Mr. Lang was an assistant administrator. I think it's been said that he was hired in June. His contract for this year was in June. He was actually -- the hiring process began in March for Mr. Lang.

He checked with Susan Fox as our attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

at the time. Patty Matthews was on sabbatical.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He hired me back as the kinder and mentor teacher to help prepare for the school year '22-'23, as we were now without an administrator and everybody was kind of scrambling.

July 22nd, I came to the PEC meeting and spoke out against the actions of this board. I was very fearful. I was very emotional because they were in the room with me, and I feared that I would be retaliated against again for continuing to speak

Turns out I was right. The following Monday, after the PEC meeting, I reported to school and was met in the parking lot by Mr. Tompson, who fired me for cause. He did not know the cause, but said I would receive a letter, which I did later that evening.

July 29th, I contacted Mr. Tompson to arrange a pickup for my things, and he asked me back to the school. He apologized profusely, said that he would protect me, and that I would be treated like gold. Since then, he's lived up to his word. He's been nothing but kind.

He apologized for everything, and now I am working as a kindergarten teacher. I do not have

The parents were not notified, once again, that the school is in front of the PEC.

I'm asking you please to help the school. I'm tired of the board saying they were uninformed. Maybe if you read the minutes -- please read the minutes. Everything was tabled.

THE CHAIR: Can you please wrap up now? MS. MELISSA BROWN: Our next speaker is Willie Williams.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Miss Williams. If you can introduce yourself, first and last name, And if you can also see on the screen, Missy is holding a phone with a timer. When you hear the chime, if you can say your last three words at the chime. Thank you.

FROM THE PUBIC: Good morning. Good morning. Good morning. Can you hear me?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes, we can hear you. FROM THE FLOOR: First of all, I would

19 20 like to apologize to this Public Education

21 Commission for my advocacy last meeting. I was very

22 rattled. I was hurt. I was upset, and I was 23

humiliated, because we had the board, that board, as

24 of June -- I had told them time and time again the

25 importance of the contract for the head of schools.

23

that mentor status.

What I continue to see at La Tierra is absolutely heartbreaking. All of the work that we did is being undone. The school grounds are a mess. Montessori is not being adhered to.

There are several core principles for a Montessori school based on three elements: the adult, the environment, and the materials. There is no more three-hour uninterrupted work cycle. There are no three-year cycles for the children. The assistants and the ratios are a mess. The materials and furniture, the prepared environment, it's not happening.

The trained adult, no. There's -professional development the two weeks before school contained very little to no Montessori training whatsoever. And now the Montessori trainings we had scheduled are not happening.

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.)

FROM THE PUBLIC: Parents have not been informed of any of this. The annual orientation night was canceled. There is no handbook, no COVID protocols, no schedule, no pickup-dropoff --

> THE CHAIR: If we can wrap up now? FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. No -- no bus info.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

12 13 14 15 16 don't know what to say. 17

Everything we did that had the school on June 30th -- we renewed that contract July 1st. It didn't have to be Angela. It just had -- they just didn't have a contingency plan. And the school, for three weeks were without a head learner. Now we have an interim. How we got there, I don't know.

Let me wrap up my part. I was removed as a board member. I was removed as the president. My name still remains on the agendas. My name still

25

I cried out to people. I sent messages to people. I talked to the attorney. I was told by the

On June 27th, three board members came in

and changed the whole agenda. The first one took

over the meeting, took over the -- the meeting. The

third one changed the agenda, and to which nothing

second one called for a special meeting. And the

attorney to report this to you guys, and I did.

that was for the special meeting was done. What I'm asking you guys -- because after the special meeting, they removed me. I have been removed three times. I need to know if I'm a board member. What do I do? Help me, please, so I can get this right. I want the school to function properly, because right now, the school is in -- I

remains on the letter to the PEC.

I was told to submit a resignation letter. I was told not to submit a resignation. I was told I sent the correspondence to a staff member. My e-mails have been scrubbed.

I have no idea. Please help me. I feel that I have been the victim of racism. I have been humiliated. I would like for my name to be cleared and the parents of La Tierra to be informed of what is going on.

Please help me. I sent you all e-mails.
So let's sort through those e-mails, because my
e-mails have been scrubbed, like I say. I cannot -I do not know what -- I don't even know. Someone
went in there and just scrubbed them.

So please help me. I was a president. I don't have to be president. I didn't have to. I am going to be a happily married woman. My fiance says it is consuming our relationship. It is because my name has been tarnished. I was humiliated, all of these wonderful things. All I'm asking is that someone hears my voice, someone helps those children and their families at that school, because it's no longer the plans that we all agreed to.

The budget. The budget was agreed to in

you to the panel.

Okay. Cindy is having a difficult time hearing you, Chair Burt. I don't know how.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Pronunciation was correct. I did not sign up for Public Comment.

Just here for the Consent Agenda, as needed.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Thank you. I will put you back as an Attendee.

And then we have Stewart Ingham.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Mr. Ingham. If you are able to unmute, you need to introduce yourself, first and last name.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Can you hear me now? THE CHAIR: Yeah, we can hear you.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Thank you very much. I am a -- running for a seat at -- with the -- with you guys to -- for Division -- or District 6. Hope to be joining you in December -- or in January. I did want to have two things that I wanted to say.

One, yesterday the audio problems on this meeting are really serious. I spent the whole day -- I set aside the whole day to watch and to listen, and it was exceedingly difficult to listen to the whole thing. I missed much of the meeting, and I was really trying hard to attend.

the May meeting. There are line items. And I will wrap up, because you don't have to tell me to wrap up.

I hope that you all have received what I'm saying, because I am a confused young woman as to what is my status. I'm included, but excluded. My name is included, but my person and my voice is excluded.

I never ever had a chance to talk to the new head learner until Wednesday when I told him my story. So my name was included in his contract.

THE CHAIR: Okay, Ms. Williams.

FROM THE FLOOR: My name was included in all these things. So please help me figure out where I stand. Please extend my apology, and thank you for all you do.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Williams.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: The next person who signed up for public comment is Sue Cleveland. I'm not sure if you wanted to make public comment or if you want to --

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.)

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Our next speaker is Deron Knoner. I believe that's how it's pronounced.

Deron, I'm finding your name, promoting

So I really think it's important that you guys get the audio working on these meetings, because they're supposed to be open meetings and they're really hard to deal with.

The second thing I wanted to talk about was the Equity Councils. I want to state that I believe that Equity Councils do have a place, and they are a useful tool, but they can be carried to an extreme that is very unprofitable and divisive. And I would agree with Micayle that the issues that they can bring up are -- are significant.

So what I would ask is that this would not be a legislative priority, that schools have the ability to establish Equity Councils at their terms, and that it would be left at that local level.

That's about all I had to say, and I want to thank you, and I hope to join you soon. Thank you. Bye.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Is there anyone else, Missy?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: That is all.
THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. All right.
That will take us to the Consent Agenda. Is there any comment?

Commissioner Gipson?

30 1 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I'd just like 1 Ms. Woerner and Ms. Casedy explain. And then we'll 2 a conversation on the Explore-Las Cruces (inaudible) 2 do Commissioner comments and questions. 3 going to (inaudible). 3 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: We can't hear 4 Good morning, Commissioners, and those in 5 5 attendance at the Public Education Commission Patricia 6 THE CHAIR: Item No. 20 will be removed 6 meeting. 7 7 from the Consent Agenda and will be discussed along The issue that we are discussing is a 8 with No. 5. 8 notification that came to Chair Burt and the Charter 9 9 Is there any other items? Schools Division letting us know that the school has 10 10 (No response.) decided to go on remote because the facility that 11 THE CHAIR: All right. 11 they are moving into for this school year does not 12 12 I move that we approve the Consent Agenda yet have E-Occupancy. 13 13 with the removal of Item No. 20. I believe that your materials have the 14 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. Second. exact e-mail we received from Karen Woerner, who 15 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you. There is a motion represents the Explore Network. 16 16 I thought that it would be a stand-alone from Chair Burt and a second by Commissioner Gipson. 17 17 Any -- if you could call roll. item, and I asked for Explore to come before the 18 18 PEC, because my understanding of going remote, COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 19 19 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. although schools became really proficient at moving 20 20 in and out of remote status during COVID last school COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 21 21 year, there was a stance that the Public Education COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 22 Department took -- and I believe the PEC also 22 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 23 23 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. adopted -- to expect schools to be in in-person 24 24 learning to the greatest extent possible, given that COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 25 we are still in COVID, and that there is local 25 Robbins. 31 1 1 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 2 2 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 3 3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 4 4 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. 5 5

policies that the school develops to decide whether they need to move to remote learning or not.

I had worked with another charter school in Albuquerque authorized by APS who sought to be in remote learning because their facility was not ready. And in working with APS and with the school, in the end, the school needed to make up those days that it was in remote because of the facility.

I further asked the school if they could provide some board minutes to demonstrate that the board had made the decision. And I was told that was not necessary.

So, at this point, I have questions myself about PEC expectations for schools to be in person or remote, and I'm glad that the school is actually here to address your questions and concerns.

And so in your meeting materials, I would just want to point out that there is the original e-mail sent to us by Karen Woerner about the decision that was made. It's unclear to me who made the decision and the degree to which the governing board was involved in that decision.

And so with that -- let's see -- that should be -- I'll tell you what item that is in your agenda in your meeting materials. It is a PDF of

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo 7 votes yes.

8

Chair Burt.

9 THE CHAIR: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

11 Carrillo.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine votes

for and zero against. The motion passes.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. So

Item No. 5 will be Notification -- Explore

Academy-Las Cruces Notification Regarding Beginning

the School Year in Remote Status.

I do see -- we have Karen Woerner from the Explore Academy. If you want to come to this part.

And if there's anybody online, (inaudible). Okay. Karen Casedy. And if we could

promote her to the Panel.

And, Director Chavez, if you could start

us just by explaining this item. And then I'll have

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the e-mail that we received. If somebody has that handy now, they could --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Inaudible.) COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We all have it up. I think we're good.

All right. Yeah. Ms. Woerner, do you want -- if you want to introduce Ms. Casedy, you're welcome.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: If I could start with just the compliances. (Inaudible due to audio distortion.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And I'm sorry. We can't hear Ms. Woerner right now. I'm not sure what needs to happen, but I didn't hear what you had to say.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Can you hear me now? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible due to audio distortion.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. I can hear Karen now. It sounded like somebody else was speaking.

23 MS. KAREN WOERNER: Madam Chair, 24 Commissioners, PED, and Director Chavez, PED staff, 25 my name is Karen Woerner. And I am here to help

in Taos. And I notice their calendars -- you can check them online -- from Rio Rancho and Las Cruces (inaudible) has remote learning days on their calendar. For example, Election Day. It is not our intent to be a virtual school. If we were, we would have applied to be that kind of a program. The issue is that Las Cruces is short-staffed and is very behind on (inaudible) and inspections, through no fault of the school nor their projected timeline.

We've waited weeks upon weeks for a permit to finish (inaudible) up the area, because you can't close it. The permits and inspections are all behind due to City of Las Cruces, not the school.

As I understand right now, that we did actually get a permit. I'll let Karen speak to that, (inaudible) boots on the ground. But I do think they do get a permit (inaudible). Of course, we have to (inaudible) each time for each of those inspections. And the City of Las Cruces themselves (inaudible) has said that it's because they're short-staffed and we're (inaudible), lots of other things.

We've had information (inaudible) the school already had to adjust their calendar for this year due to these delays by the City and the

35

1 (inaudible) was not in the best interest of the 2 students to delay yet again and needed to begin

instruction. This is a decision that was discussed

(inaudible) head administrator (inaudible).

Last week -- I forgot which day, I think Wednesday last week -- it was discussed with the board. However, we did not ask the board for a vote, nor did they express any opposition or request to do so. They understood the situation, and they've been following the construction list regularly. So that was my response, that I didn't think that it was required to a vote.

Director Chavez (inaudible) to me indicating that (inaudible) PED and PEC do not allow (inaudible) virtual learning (inaudible) in-person learning. I just explained that is being accurate based on the school district's (inaudible). Commissioners, this was just a (inaudible) to get kids learning and get started. And I want Karen to address what the teacher preparation was (inaudible) much less now (inaudible) so we're good to go.

Let her address the preparation of teachers. But (inaudible) online and some other (inaudible) she's (inaudible), including social emotional (inaudible) kids. So if I could defer to

answer the questions regarding the Las Cruces school. To clarify, I am contracted with (inaudible) between Explore Academy-Las Cruces and

Explore Learning Systems to help with compliance.

And I believe this falls into a compliance concern.

So I would like to speak to those pieces, but certainly refer to Karen Casedy, the head administrator, for additional questions or things around (inaudible).

So, obviously, we did feel that it was important to notify the PEC of our intent to have the students do remote learning in Las Cruces. And so, therefore, the e-mail that was sent.

To address Director Chavez's concern, I do understand that the PED and the PEC does not want schools that are supposed to be in-person schools to be doing virtual learning.

However, this is a temporary solution to a problem.

And, if you notice, I do notice that Las Cruces Public Schools, Rio Rancho Public Schools, and even Taos Municipal Schools, today have it on their calendar "Remote Learning Days," even though they are in-person districts.

Today is due to school safety of some sort

2.

Ms. Casedy on this one.
 Ms. KAREN CASEDY: Okay. I just want to
 make sure. Can you all hear me?
 THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you.
 Ms. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you. And thank
 you. Director Chavez, Madam Chair, and

you, Director Chavez, Madam Chair, and
Commissioners. I just want to just reiterate first
a few things that Karen Woerner said.

Being that I am here in Las Cruces, I even visited the City of Las Cruces, the permitting office, talked to the head guy. And he confirmed to me myself that they are having shortages, and their turnaround time is taking much longer.

And so with this, we did make the decision to go remote, as you heard Ms. Woerner address, because we had already delayed our calendar by a week, and we felt it was in best interests of the students and the families.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Casedy, I'm sorry. Can you try -- I don't know -- can you try speaking louder into your microphone? (Inaudible.)

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Sure. Is this better?

THE CHAIR: Not for us. If you can -- you may have to use, like, your gymnasium voice when you have all your kiddos all together.

THE CHAIR: It's about the same. Go ahead and continue.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: I'll try to talk loud, but I feel like I'm yelling at you. I apologize if that's what it sounds like.

I just wanted to reiterate that I did visit the -- the City of Las Cruces, the permitting office, and talked with Mr. Nichols, who is the head permittee -- the director there. And he did affirm to me that there are shortages, and the time frame of getting permits and inspections done is taking much longer.

We already delayed school a week, and we adjusted our calendar to reflect that. And with these further delays, we felt it was in the best interests of all of our stakeholders, including our students and including our parents and families, that we needed to get students in the classroom and start learning. And so we -- we decided to do remote learning for a short period of time.

We just got one of the permits issued last week. So we are still looking at another week to go. But students -- so this week, we were in remote learning. And teachers, during our teacher in-service time, we have -- we have been training

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Okay. I'm just using a laptop, so I'm not even quite sure where the mic is at. But is this better?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: It's a little bit better. On your laptop, you know, F3 should be your volume. You can try boosting that all the way up.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Okay. It's a Chromebook laptop, so there's no accessory. I'm sorry. I can try -- I can try getting on another device, but I don't know that we have that kind.

THE CHAIR: One second, Ms. Casedy. We're going to --

(Discussion held out of microphone range.)
MS. MELISSA BROWN: Do you want to sit closer for this part?

THE CHAIR: All right, Ms. Casedy. If you can go ahead and try again.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Okay. I'll try to talk loud. Is this okay?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

21 THE CHAIR: Okay. You can continue, 22 Ms. Casedy.

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Okay. I just put on some headphones that have a built-in mic. Is that better?

the teachers, although it wasn't too hard. Because we're coming out of COVID, teachers already knew a lot about remote learning, and they -- this is nothing new to them, so not a lot of retraining to do.

But teachers -- we switched our focus in our in-service time all to remote learning and engaging students as quickly as possible and best as possible through remote learning. We adjusted our day schedule to reflect how much time students were going to spend in each class.

And you -- and -- and also reflects individual support for students. So there is time built into this remote learning that teachers can get with students on an individual basis and help support them in this remote learning time.

In terms of social interaction, we are planning outside school events. And we have a local church that we go through, and we can use their building where students are able to get together outside of the school hours to have some social time with each other.

So we're not neglecting that aspect that we saw could be detrimental to kids during the pandemic. But, again, this is only temporary, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we felt this was necessary to not delay school any longer than we already had.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right.

All right we're going to go to Commissioner questions. I do have a list. I'm going to call Commissioner Gipson first because she asked to (inaudible). And then I have the rest of you in order to come.

So when you make your question, if you want to direct it at someone, it would probably be helpful (inaudible).

All right. Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And I really appreciate this. I just want to (inaudible) conversation. Because I do think -- for me, I think because of governance council approves the calendar, ultimately, I do believe that a calendar change should be a governance council vote.

So that's my biggest concern, that the conversation didn't happen until apparently last week when a decision was already made.

So I would have appreciated -- and I think it's -- excuse me -- important that a governance council be the driving factor in making that decision, not just this is -- I get the -- you know,

But the idea of going remote at any point for whatever reason, we concluded, me alongside APS, interpreting PED expectations for students to be in person was that a facilities issue is not a reason to go remote.

And so that is a local charter school that had to make up some days because their charter, similar to Explore, does not have a online learning component in the contract.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. So I'm still a little shy of understanding the final decision made. Was it just through the charter office? Or was it the APS board said? Because the APS board is the authorizer.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Correct. I don't know that it made it to -- made it to the level of the APS board. I know that the school put into place a plan to make up the days that were closed because they were moving into a new facility.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm personally --I'm always disappointed when these things happen, but I'm not shocked that they happen, you know. It's -- it's just -- it is what it is in that respect.

43

the permitting issues. We're challenged with it. You know, every time school opens, it's a challenge. And I get the understaffing and all of that.

Can I ask the director, because she made a statement that with the APS school, the decision was made that they had to -- that (inaudible) had to make up a day.

That wasn't a PED decision. Excuse me. That was an APS board decision. I'm not quite sure where that fits into this conversation.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Commissioner Gipson? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's who I was asking.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: (Inaudible.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Can you hear me?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You're too used to answering to "Director." Okay. Sorry.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay.

Commissioner Gipson, Commissioners, it was an APS decision. They consulted with me, because at the PED, we were making sure that schools were very

22 clear that schools needed to be in person unless

23 their local policy suggested that COVID rates hit a

24 certain point. And that, again, was a local 25 decision.

And I know the school has absolutely no control over -- over any of that. And I -- I know that we want schools to be as in-person as possible. I mean, I said that, but I don't think we have any solid policy to stand on to say that the school is violating a policy of ours that you can't do this.

You know, I understand the whole -- we created COVID protocols. But we have not made -we've not had a discussion or a decision about. "Well, what if the school has -- what if the school has a fire? What if they do? Because they can't be in the building for two months or something like that."

It's disappointing, but I prefer that the school had made a decision to add days to the calendar and do it on it? Sure. But it's (inaudible). So that's -- thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Cindy, can you hear me?

THE REPORTER: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks, Ms. Woerner, 23 Ms. Casedy. I totally understand. You have a new 24 school. You don't have E-Occupancy. You don't want 25 to lose enrollment, you know.

And so you improvised, you flexed, and you pivoted, and you did something for your kids to provide instruction.

I totally get that. I think you did what you needed to do, and you had the forethought to make up days on the back end on your calendar.

Now it's up to the bylaws -- not the governing board, the bylaws of your school -- as to whether you need to share that, you know, or not.

I think it's the school's decision. It's the school leader that runs the school day to day, not the governing board. And so you did what you needed to do, and I commend you for that.

Do you have E-Occupancy yet?
Okay. Well, good luck, and I hope -MS. KAREN CASEDY: Not yet.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I hope the red tape (inaudible). And just some advice for everybody. Working the microphone like this is really easier than (inaudible). So -- so good job, and I commend you on (inaudible).

MS. KAREN CASEDY: Thank you,

23 Commissioner.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. Cindy,

afternoon. They clearly don't have the will to do so. And I think -- and that's why I'm admonishing them for their lack of service to the community and to the people.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I appreciate and will second Commissioner Carrillo's admonition towards the zoning and permitting area in Las Cruces, because schools should take a very high priority in their work.

I think PED, you know, in their recommendation and their desires that only COVID would be a reason for going to remote is very shortsighted and narrow. It needs to be, you know, modified to where when there are conditions outside the control of a school, they are permitted to go remote.

But those conditions should be remedied as quickly as possible. That's a more reasonable approach, rather than saying we can only go remote -- you know, they are not a virtual school. We understand that. They are meeting -- trying to meet the needs the best they can. And it is a temporary situation.

And I think Commissioner Voigt even

can you hear me?

THE REPORTER: Yes, sir. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I agree completely with Commissioner Voigt. You did what you had to do. Unfortunate circumstances. Fire and flood. You had to make a snap decision. And I respect very much that you guys did so.

You're doing what you can do in the best interests of the kids, that you're trying to come together on the social levels just to make sure the kids meet each other, you know, keep them connected during this challenging period.

I'll say for the record I am overwhelmingly disappointed and disgusted with the City of Las Cruces. Everyone and their mother has some excuse for why they can't do something. They lay everything on "COVID Schmovid." "We don't have staff."

For God's sakes, this is a school. People can jump the queue. It happens. It happens all the time in Santa Fe. Unfortunately, in Santa Fe (inaudible), not necessarily a circumstance. But I imagine they could if they felt that a school jumped -- you know, to something that was -- that high priority, they could get this done in an

mentioned, well, if there was a fire, I mean, are they -- they just have to go out and immediately get a lease somewhere else and relocate and get everything up and running?

And, oh, if you have -- if it takes you a month to do that, then you're going to -- your school year is going all the way till the end of June?

I don't think that's reasonable. So, you know, I would ask, you know, because PEC is supposed to consult with the PED on a lot of policies, that's our statutory call. We don't direct PED. Neither do they direct us. But we are supposed to be in a consulting role with them and working together for the betterment of our education.

I appreciate the explanation that Ms. Woerner and Ms. Casedy gave. I accept that. And I think, you know, a minor procedural thing. If the board needs to approve a modified calendar, I think the board probably should go through that step. But I appreciate the school doing what it can to serve the students.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. I do have a Commissioner who would like to speak again. So

before we start going back, I just want to make sure if there's anyone else who would like to speak for the first time -- all right. I'll be really quick

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't really -- I mean, I'm going to vote to approve -- or to accept this notification and move on. I do think this is a day-to-day -- if this was a more -- we know exactly how long it's going to be. We know it's going to be a couple of months. I can see that going to the board and approve it.

This could have been a one-day scenario, a five-day scenario. Hopefully, it keeps within a very short amount of time. But it is technically a day-to-day operation, because tomorrow -- well, I guess not tomorrow, because it's Saturday -- but on Monday, you could technically be moving into your school, or you could just have in-person learning Monday-Tuesday.

So if you had to go back and forth to the board, you're online now, and then you have to get board approval to get back in person, once again, it creates another burden of unnecessary movement (inaudible) kids from coming back sooner.

I think it's perfect to accept this

Voigt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I just wanted to clarify that there's no blame here. There should be no blame made to PED, because this is -- we know that this is not COVID. And coming out of COVID, where there was moves to online, back in person, moves back to online, this is a new scenario. And I'm glad that we've discussed this and (inaudible) the schools a place, because there's a lot of situations that can arise.

And so this moving forward will help us all grow into thinking about maybe imposing maybe new parameters around unexpected online learning scenarios. We can make a list or something.

Because -- but I just want to be clear that there's no blame on PED's part or anybody's part that this is happening and that we are having this discussion. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: All right. Director Chavez? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner Voigt and Commissioners. I feel like I thought this item needed to be a discussion and not a Consent Agenda item, because lots of things get clarified for me.

51

notification. I think it's -- I do think it's appropriate for a school to notify the PEC if and when they have to move into virtual learning for any concern. You know, extending -- extend --(inaudible) -- problematic. It's 10:00 a.m. We have a long day ahead. I'm fumbling my words already.

But if there's a circumstance that would warrant a temporary move to online learning for a school, whether it be any of these circumstances that Commissioners were just coming up with, then notification to PEC seems very appropriate.

But I think anything other than that, outside of that, if it is that they're notifying us that they're moving (inaudible), that's a whole other story. If it's a temporary move, I don't think PEC needs to get involved in that decision, other than to have the notification and be able to ask questions about, like, if -- if there were questions about the learning during this time, once again, appropriate.

But, you know, saying that you can't or cannot doesn't seem appropriate. Seems like that would be an overreach on our part.

So with that, I'll go to Commissioner

And the only other thing I would say is that I imagine you all expect that the school keep us posted on what happens. Because we all know that things take longer and cost more, especially in the construction and that industry that maybe has a little less urgency around classrooms.

So I would expect that you all expect that of the school. And so I would ask the head administrator, Ms. Casedy, to let us know when, in fact, you're able to move in or how long you will be in remote.

One of the Commissioners said that this is not a concern if it is temporary. I'm still not clear. Like, is a month okay? But this is a healthy discussion, and I appreciate everybody's input.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. So seeing no other conversation, I'll move to accept the notification from Explore Academy-Las Cruces, a notification regarding beginning the school year in remote status.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: There is a -- there is a motion and a second.

Any other discussion?

54 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Could you read the 1 Commissioner Gipson? 2 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just add the motion again, please? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

24

25

6

7

13

THE CHAIR: Yes. The motion is to accept the Explore Academy-Las Cruces notification regarding beginning the school year in remote status.

Commissioner Robbins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the future.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. This isn't an action item on the agenda, so I don't know that we need to take a vote, because we've been told by counsel, unless it's on the agenda for possible action, we can't take a vote. So I think we've --

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins. It is an item on the agenda and Item No. 20 on the Consent Agenda. It is now moved to No. 5, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Items Removed from the Consent Agenda.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I stand corrected. Thank you. I'm sorry.

THE CHAIR: That's okay. All right. The one thing I'll say before we vote -- just to take a bunch of time -- but, honestly, I know that the PED's direction on this is very specifically related to COVID. I think we do need to be thoughtful about the way that education has moved since COVID in

sad part of kids don't have snow days any longer, you know, because it's -- (inaudible) snow day. And it doesn't happen very often. And we allow the schools that flexibility and say (inaudible). So -we'll just talk down the road.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.

when -- I think you all (inaudible) hybrid learning environment, we decided, yes, we can use our snow days for students in hybrid learning. But we didn't have to do those back-end days in the spring. I

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. You know,

wish we would get some snow, though. THE CHAIR: All right.

16 Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. 17

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sure can. Commissioner Gipson.

19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.

21 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 23

Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.

55

1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.

3 Commissioner Voigt.

4 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo

votes yes.

Chair Burt.

8 THE CHAIR: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.

10 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, nine to

12 zero.

Oh. Commissioner Carrillo.

14 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Carrillo votes

15 yes.

16 MS. KAREN WOERNER: The rest of the 17 building is done, including the sprinkler -- we're 18 dying to be in the building. We'll keep you 19 updated. Good news (inaudible). Very happy to 20 report that. Thank you.

21 THE CHAIR: Good luck. All right. Thank

22 you. 23

The next item is Item No. 6, which is to 24 meet new head administrators.

And so if Michael Brewer is in the

these other circumstances that may not involve COVID

There -- before COVID, the idea that a school -- I mean, I remember my daughter (inaudible) had a strep throat outbreak, and they stayed home -they just closed down the school for a day and disinfected the whole school. They did not do remote learning because nobody had -- nobody knew that. Not a single teacher, not a single teacher

Now, everybody in the world has done remote learning. So I think you need to -- I think this is one of the places where we can't be antiquated with education moving forward. We can't stick to how things were because this is how we've always done it.

had ever done remote learning before.

And so I think -- I do think let us consider a further conversation, like Commissioner Gipson said, that what do we do moving forward now on these items outside of COVID, and how do we figure this out and support schools, but also ensure we're meeting our responsibilities at the same time? And where is the line on it? So I think this should bring up a new conversation that we should have in the future.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

audience, if you could pull him over, Missy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MIKE BREWER: Yes. Good morning to the Commissioners and Director Chavez.

THE CHAIR: Good morning, Mr. Brewer. If you could just introduce us, tell us a little about yourself, and tell us a little bit about your upcoming school year.

MR. MIKE BREWER: Thank you. My name is Mike Brewer. I am retired/unretired. I worked 29 and a half years for Las Cruces Public Schools as a teacher, Central Office administrator, school administrator. Ended my career four and a half years as a principal at Vista Middle School.

Then retired. I heard about the need for an interim head administrator here at Raíces. And I thought a temporary, you know, one-semester position would be perfect, would get me back with -- with students and in education, which has always been my passion.

So I'm excited to start the school year. We have had some bumps along the way. We are fully staffed currently. We have eight teachers. We have two kinder, two first, two second, a third, and a fourth grade. We have about 120 students currently. We do have some students that are temporarily

on Monday.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Great. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes, Mr. Brewer.

Thank you very much for joining us today. I just want to say that when the opportunity comes along after this (inaudible) to you to help another school out, (inaudible), take it. It's just -- right? It keeps us young. It keeps us involved. And I just love your commitment to the children and willingness

So thank you very much for joining us, have a wonderful weekend and a great school year.

MR. MIKE BREWER: Thank you. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Brewer. It's

15 wonderful to meet you. Thanks again for your time. 16 17

to step forward for the school.

MR. MIKE BREWER: And I would like to apologize to the Commission for not being present at the previous meeting. It was an oversight on my part, and I do apologize for that. And I thank you for your warm welcome.

THE CHAIR: Apology accepted, but not necessary. Thank you, Mr. Brewer. We know you all are running schools. So we're just grateful that you had the opportunity to join us. So thank you.

59

61

relocated, which I guess we'll get to in a moment. But I'm excited to be back in education.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Brewer. And congratulations on your new position, and thank you so much for taking the time to come meet us today.

> Commissioners, any questions or comments? Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Hi, Mr. Brewer. Welcome and congratulations. You have a wonderful school, and I know you're going to continue the wonderful work there. So congratulations on being at Raíces.

MR. MIKE BREWER: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So good morning. You used to be my district, but not any longer. How sad that a street actually divides the district now.

Let me just ask. Are you fully in the additional portables now? Or are you still having to use the community? The church, I believe it is.

MR. MIKE BREWER: Yes, we're still at the church. But they're coming out today to do a final inspection, the County is, and the Fire Marshal. We should have a temporary occupancy, which will allow us to have all our students back on the main campus

All right. We also have Kayla Begay from DEAP here as a new head administrator. Tell us a little bit about yourself and

your new position.

MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Good morning, everyone. (Native language spoken.) Joining you from the Dzil Ditl-ooi School of Empowerment, Action & Perseverance in Navajo.

We were blessed with some rain today and it's our first week of school, so we're feeling really thankful for that.

I am one of the cofounders. I know quite a few of you. Very honored to have worked with you.

So DEAP is entering its eighth year. I'm stepping into the head administrator/principal role. So I'm really excited for this year and the way that we get to change things.

I am a little sad to step away from teaching. But we have a lot of really exciting plans. We are back in person this year after a couple of years of virtual learning, and we are really excited just to work with our students.

Since we last talked, DEAP has had two graduating classes. We have two alumni who are working with us this year.

	62		64
1	So it feels really good to see how all the	1	too. We'll talk to you later. Bye.
2	seeds we have planted are blooming.	2	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
3	There's still a lot of work ahead,	3	All right. So we are going to get ready
4	especially facilities. But we're really excited to	4	for Item No. 7. So we're going to take a quick
5	grow.	5	transition, and we'll allow the head administrator
6	So thank you for having me. If you have	6	to come up and get settled and ready to go, and
7	any questions, I'm here. And as always, I invite	7	we'll call on this item in just a moment.
8	you all to come out to our campus and participate in	8	(Brief recess held.)
9	some of the activities that we have.	9	THE CHAIR: We're going to go ahead and
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have	10	get started. I'm going to first defer to Director
11	Commissioner Voigt and Commissioner Gipson and	11	Chavez to introduce this item. And then
12	Commissioner Davis.	12	(inaudible).
13	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Kayla, so good to see	13	All right. Director Chavez, if you
14	you and so good to hear all the great stuff, that	14	could okay. Never mind. Dr. Russell, if you can
15	you're hiring your alumni and you're the new charter	15	go ahead and lead us off on Item No. 7. This is
16	leader at DEAP. I'm so happy for you for your	16	Discussion and Possible Action on Albuquerque Sign
17	school.	17	Language Academy Amendment.
18	MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you.	18	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Thank you,
19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.	19	Chair Burt.
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Kayla, it's just	20	So Albuquerque Sign Language Academy
21	great to see you. We were actually talking about	21	submitted a request to amend its contract by
22	the video presentation you did for your renewal last	22	increasing its enrollment cap from 200 to 300
23	night and still how I mean, it changed many of	23	students. Albuquerque Sign Language has fewer than
24	us.	24	200 students currently, but that is because their
25	So we know the great work that you've done	25	facility cannot handle their load. They are
	63		65
1		1	
1 2	and will continue to do and what a great succession	1 2	currently at three different locations. And they
1 2 3	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is		currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've
2	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless.	2	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant
2 3	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that	2 3	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've
2 3 4	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your	2 3 4	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the
2 3 4 5	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that	2 3 4 5	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment
2 3 4 5 6	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything.	2 3 4 5 6	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the
2 3 4 5 6 7	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we know you are taking time away from running the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better? THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we know you are taking time away from running the school to meet us and to come up and see us. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better? THE CHAIR: Yes. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we know you are taking time away from running the school to meet us and to come up and see us. So thank you so much and congratulations on your role.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better? THE CHAIR: Yes. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So with the documents so this is a complex project. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we know you are taking time away from running the school to meet us and to come up and see us. So thank you so much and congratulations on your role. And, once again, have a wonderful weekend. Happy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better? THE CHAIR: Yes. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So with the documents so this is a complex project. And we've been at it for the past seven years. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and will continue to do and what a great succession plan the school's created so that the transition is hopefully going to be seamless. So I really appreciate everything that you've done and continue to do to grow your community. So thank you for everything. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Absolutely. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Hi. Well, DEAP is in my district. And before COVID, I had the opportunity to visit with them. And I thought they were just the most excellent school. And I've really, really appreciated how they incorporated traditional values with current learning. So congratulations, and keep up the good work. MS. KAYLA BEGAY: Thank you so much. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much for taking the time to come up and join us this morning. Once again, we're so grateful, that we know you are taking time away from running the school to meet us and to come up and see us. So thank you so much and congratulations on your role.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	currently at three different locations. And they have 30 students on their waiting list. And I've been advised that this school has received a grant from the Public School Capital Outlay to fund the construction. The CSD has reviewed the documentation and the the need for the enrollment cap and can recommend approval of this amendment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you want to introduce yourself? You may want to do like this. If you want to pop it back since you just have to speak. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Be delighted to. Okay. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to talk about the school. As Karen Woerner (inaudible) talking about the school. So we're proud of the things that we're doing, and it was just (inaudible). So test. Test. Is that better? THE CHAIR: Yes. MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So with the documents so this is a complex project. And

but we knew we've developed a (inaudible) program that we were onto something pretty special. And (inaudible) we're doing in serving deaf, hard of hearing special needs in a really inclusive environment.

2.

of Osuna and Edith.

So we knew back then that a facility didn't actually (inaudible). And as we've grown and expanded into different facilities, it's been really important. We also knew that, even with this new building (inaudible), it's very likely during the three or four years of construction, we're going to be able to expand.

But that's okay. We're (inaudible) for that.

So the cool thing about this project, it's not just ASLA doing things by itself. It's embracing our philosophy around being truly strong community partners.

So one of our big partners is Bernalillo County. And so a piece of property -- if you -- on the documents that we submitted, there's a master site plan, if you would take a look at that.

The cool thing about this, this is a County piece of property, where we're going to be building the school back corner (inaudible) itself (inaudible) part of the programming (inaudible). So if you look at the building, it's kind of facing into three different spaces; right?

So the first space, which is an adult ed community-based space -- so we serve kids all the way up to 22. And even -- but that's this first part. And that part can be accessed as the school is in session, because we have the first phase (inaudible) we'll keep everything secure (inaudible) into the (inaudible).

And then this middle corridor, with a gym -- I don't know if you can see this; this is cool. This is a -- it doubles as a theater, and it's also a concourse; right? And then an outdoor theater as well. So that is a secondary community space that can be secured (inaudible) PEC meeting; right? It will house you and the school can keep going.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: How's the sound there?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: It better be -- and then you'll see the actual (inaudible) space. Everything is built to be fluid and (inaudible); right? So that there are teaching labs.

25 (Inaudible.) There's partitions that can expand and

Albuquerque, if you know the area, near the corner

So it's -- so there's 20 acres. There's a ditch that runs through the middle of it. But we're between Edith and the railroad tracks. So if you see the site, the building sits in the back; right? But everything around it is agriculture. And we have to do 4H, the County Extension Office. So the kids have (inaudible) programming that happens in the County.

So we're doing stuff (inaudible) -- to embrace all the things that I believe are truly New Mexican, right, makes us connected to the land, about relationships, all that. And we're embracing that through our program, in service to the hard of hearing and special needs kids. Language (inaudible).

So when you look at that, there's room (inaudible) programs. (Inaudible.)

Okay. All right. So this is going to work. That's the site itself. And trust me. There was a fight with the neighborhood association to get zoning approval, which we did. But it's part of the process; right?

And the building itself is -- the building

contract, as needed, because so much of our program is done so that we can purposely connect the kids to one another -- right? -- no matter our ability to -- right?

So the fact that we're doing this with this connected to the County and the State is really cool. And I think it serves as a national model of service to citizens -- right? -- just in general.

So when we were asked to create the backup for the request, you know, 300 sounded a lot -- I think it's scary, to be honest. But when you look at the numbers, it's not the -- we submitted, it's not a lot of increase by -- because we are looking at way (inaudible) to the age of 20; right?

So it's not (inaudible). Specified elementary, mid, or high (inaudible) range, and the need that's related to disability.

And here's the other thing. (Inaudible.) For the most part -- right? -- is (inaudible), especially now that we're looking at the possibility of creating an adult ed program with an attachment to the school to (inaudible) 12 to 22, (inaudible) living that ideal of (inaudible).

I can keep going, but I know you -- I'm open to questions, and I love to talk with you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One thing I should add. Commissioner Robbins is instrumental to this. He has been part of this journey for the past two years of how we kind of got ourselves on track for the State to be considered.

And Commissioner Robbins was (inaudible). His personal experiences, really being able to relay those to the various (inaudible) helped us with the (inaudible) of the Commissioner. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.) All-right. I have Commissioner Robbins, Commissioner Voigt, and then Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Rafe, thank you very much. I appreciate the acknowledgment. But I really think it was you and your team that made the convincing arguments. I may have contributed a little bit. Yes, I was passionate in urging the PSCOC to move forward on this, because I remember a couple of years ago, they were, like, "Well, we can give you a couple of hundred thousand dollars, because you have this whole thing about the waiver and everything like that. And we can't give you any more."

As I mentioned to the Commission last

300 students. Our cap now is our (inaudible) is diminished, and we have to go back and (inaudible) the design. And, of course, we would process that down the road (inaudible).

So as is, this design and all the things we've done to kind of get things situated logistically, we're hoping to break ground, because we've gone to our -- again, everything --(inaudible) every other thing (inaudible). And so -- but now that we're in this position. everything catches up, (inaudible), and looking for (inaudible) because (inaudible). So, yes, so your approval means we hopefully get the extended award, the additional award that allows us to go forward with the design.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt and Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Madam Chair. I see no reason why this will not be approved. But I did want to ask a question, because I think your answer may help inform some of the design of our Performance Framework revision.

And that is in your adult education program. Do you get high school graduation recognition for those adults graduating from your

71

month, the PSCOC did approve roughly \$21 million. And they even mentioned that once the PEC, if they gave them an increase in their cap, they would consider an additional \$10 million, roughly, depending upon what the numbers -- the final numbers need to be. They would consider that also as a waiver to the school so that they can get this facility built.

I also want to appreciate and thank the County, the Bernalillo County, for making this land available and the efforts that were made in order to get that done.

So it was a combined effort of the community. It's going to serve a very needed aspect to the community. And, Rafe, I just thank you very much for your passion for these students and look forward to seeing what you have for the future. Thank you very much.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Commissioners, thank you. I should also tell you (inaudible) consequence (inaudible). (Inaudible) the reason -- thank you, Commissioner Robbins, by the way. The reason we are here asking to put in the amendment request to raise our cap is because the building we designed that I just talked about is -- is designed to house the

school?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So that's a good question.

So right now, our -- our high school program, the 9-to-12 program, not transition, is only built out for kids with severe profound disability. So those are the only kids that stay with us (inaudible). So we do get credit for it, but it's not (inaudible).

Last year we had one, my son, actually, my son who was the beginning of this whole thing. He graduated (inaudible). But this next year, we'll have three, the year after that, one. Four.

So as our kids grow and they stay, so those kids graduate.

Now we are working with ACE Leadership right now through a grant. So we have our first deaf ed cohort that's ready in partnership. So it's still -- we're serving in partnership with ACE Leadership in a student reading grant. So that does change things a little bit.

And with this (inaudible) with the school, it could even further that change. So we do get credit. But the kids that come back to us from other -- other systems to transition, the districts

from which (inaudible), even though they're technically not graduated, they stay in the system (inaudible) to the age of 22.

Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks for that. Yes, it is very complex. And I know there's a lot of charter schools that serve, intentionally, students that are over 18. And they graduate them. And they do not get that benefit or recognition of a high school graduate. In their graduation rates, they don't look so good, but they're graduating students.

Also, if there is a student that -- that leaves their school in their senior year and attended nine through eleven and a half, there's very little recognition for that school towards that child's graduation success.

So things like that really need to inform our work going forward on our Performance Framework. And adult graduates, especially, should -- the school should receive that recognition for graduating those kids.

So the second part of that question -- and I think I know the answer, but I just want to confirm. The adult students that are in your adult

difficult, the partnership with the County and
 accessing this thing, because it's always part of
 what charters have been supposed to be able to do.
 And, you know, it's not always easy.

And I hope moving forward, because there is (inaudible) at a variety of levels, that we can move forward on a greater conversation on how we help other charter schools getting quality buildings that are safe that also allow them to express their visions in the best way possible, and also part of the community to be able to, you know, use the building when, when needed, so that people don't see it just as a (inaudible), which many do.

So I'm really excited and hope that we can engage as a Commission in that larger conversation about how do we -- how do we help schools move forward. (Inaudible) so far in buildings, and unfortunately (inaudible) unsafe (inaudible), that we have to -- we have to have a conversation about that. So thank you.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'll echo what the others have said. And this really -- this school really is the epitome of why there are charters and

ed class, are they going to be part of that enrollment increase? Or are they already (inaudible)?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: They will not be. So the enrollment increase will only address the K to 12 to the age of 22 PED (inaudible); right? The adult ed piece that we're working on will be funded and (inaudible) more of a community-based program and will be funded from Higher Ed with (inaudible) support (inaudible).

So we'll be working with (inaudible). So that's a whole other (inaudible) endeavor; right? It's going to be something connected to the school but not necessarily connected by (inaudible).

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: (Inaudible.) But I don't -- is it on? Okay.

So thanks. And thanks for bearing through all this. But, you know, grateful and always thankful for all the work that you do. It's tireless. It's just like Kayla before. You're the prime examples of why we do what we do, what charters are for.

And really excited about -- I know it's

why they're so incredibly important.

So I just want to thank you for the work you do for the kids in your community. I want to thank again -- I think we did so in the last -- I want to shout it out because of Commissioner Robbins' efforts, you know.

One person does make a huge difference every day. And you did. And so it's really going to benefit our kids. And so thank you very much, Commissioner Robbins, for your efforts.

And very happy that you came down to speak with us. We love having people here in person. And I know that maybe it wasn't the best site for us to do this meeting. But I'm very glad that you're here and came down to see us. Thank you very much.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, appreciate it. Well, one, it's pretty (inaudible). But the other thing, too, it's a complex project, it's not (inaudible). But, again, we never (inaudible). So, you know, (inaudible) how it rolls. But I'm proud. It's something that we hope will be an exemplar and something that does represent the State (inaudible).

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you,

78 Madam Chair. 1 1 I think it's going to take a little bit of 2 I would like the Public Education 2. time to move people into the panel. So we're going Commission to approve the enrollment cap amendment 3 3 to give some time for that. 4 to the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy to increase 4 Also, if anyone -- sorry. If there is 5 the enrollment from 200 students to 300 students. 5 anyone in the audience that would like to speak on 6 However, the school shall not increase the 6 this item, if you could raise your digital hand, we 7 7 enrollment until the school obtains E-Occupancy for can also then -- we're going to allow for some 8 8 public comment at the beginning of this. a facility that is sufficient to house the students 9 9 enrolled. So I think there's two different things 10 10 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. going on. Missy is going to pull people over. And 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. 11 while she's doing that, if anybody is in the 12 THE CHAIR: Thank you. There is a motion 12 audience and would like to make public comment at 13 by Commissioner Voigt and a second by Commissioner 13 this time, you can raise your digital hand, and 14 14 Carrillo. we'll call on you in order. 15 Any other discussion from Commissioners? 15 (Brief break in the proceedings.) 16 16 MS. MELISSA BROWN: I believe I've got (No response.) 17 THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, 17 everybody now on the panel. 18 18 Secretary Armijo, if you could take roll. THE CHAIR: Good morning, everybody. So 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 19 we are going to start this item. So we are on Item 20 20 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. No. 8. We are going to start with public input and 21 21 comments. And so I'm going to ask again -- I know COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 22 22 Robbins. Missy did pull you over into here if you'd like to 23 23 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: An enthusiastic speak. But if you can raise your digital hand 24 24 again. yes. 25 25 And I know Dr. Cleveland -- yeah, I didn't COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 79 81 1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 1 know who -- okay. Perfect. 2 2 If I could ask -- if I could ask folks to COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. 3 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: A jubilant yes. 3 try to limit your comments to just three minutes or 4 4 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo, less, that would be beneficial. And I'm going to go -- first, we have Karyl Ann Armbruster. And then 5 5 a very, very yes. 6 Commissioner Burt. 6 we're going to do Rhett Trappman, and then we're 7 7 going to go to Dr. Sue Cleveland. THE CHAIR: Yes. 8 8 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner So, first, Karyl Ann Armbruster. 9 Carrillo. 9 KARYL ANN ARMBRUSTER: Good morning. As I 10 10 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sí, sí, sí. have said many times before, the Explore model is 11 11 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. really just a traditional model of education. They 12 12 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. get the same exact thing that every other New Mexico 13 13 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. child gets, but the difference is that the kids have 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 14 an ability -- have an ability to choose how they 15 15 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine votes want to get that curriculum. 16 for, zero against. That passes unanimously. 16 As a special ed teacher for 39 years, I 17 17 MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, like the fact that the classes are only a few weeks 18 Commissioners, thank you, all. 18 long rather than an entire semester long. 19 19 THE CHAIR: Congratulations and thank you I know that the schools in Albuquerque --20 20 Explore school in Albuquerque -- I can't even talk so much. 21 MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Thank you. 21 today, sorry -- has numerous buses. It goes all 22 THE CHAIR: All right. We are on to Item 22 over the city to pick up kids. 23 23 No. 8, which is Discussion and Possible Action to I expect that this would be exactly the 24 24 Approve, Deny, or Approve with Conditions the New same. And, in fact, I can imagine no reason that 25 25 this school that has been outstanding -- with the School Application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho.

exception of maybe it was the second year -- has been an "A" -- put that in quotes -- school. And I certainly urge you all to approve the school. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Rhett Trappman. RHETT TRAPPMAN: Hi. My name is Rhett Trappman. I'm a resident of Rio Rancho. And I believe we need more alternatives to Rio Rancho Public Schools, and I would ask that you guys approve the measure for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

All right. Now we're going to move to Rio Rancho Public Schools. And I see we have Dr. Sue Cleveland.

And I'll also allow for -- if we have any questions for Dr. Cleveland, you will be able to ask them. She has agreed to stay on and answer any questions if you should have them.

Dr. Cleveland.

DR. SUE CLEVELAND: Good morning. Thank you very much for an opportunity to speak to you today. And I would have truly liked to be in Ruidoso. It would have been a wonderful opportunity. I -- our year is underway, but I also

sorry -- cul de sac is a very narrow road that runs from the cul de sac into the adjacent neighborhood. The industrial park backs up to two residential areas

Since there will be increased traffic in the area, motorists will be -- will really be tempted to utilize this one-way road as a shortcut. This will create a serious safety issue since the roadway cannot accommodate two-way traffic.

When our school buses deliver speakers to the new CTE school, which will be next year, those buses will have to navigate additional traffic due to increased traffic in the area from Explore. This will result in not only delays, but will likely be a safety issue for all concerned. At the very least, a traffic study should be done to determine the feasibility of placing two campuses across the street from one another in a confined area.

It is hard for us to understand why another campus, especially one with elementary students, would be a good fit for this industrial park area. It seems very appropriate for CTE, Career Technical. It will have welding; it will have automotive; it will have the traditional programs.

am grounded because of eye surgery for next month. But I would have loved to have been there.

I would like to share some thoughts with you today about our position and why we do not support the charter. And I'm going to try to go very quickly and kind of follow a script so I don't forget anything.

The -- the site that the Explore Academy plans to use is literally across the street from our new CTE campus. The new CTE campus is located in a light industrial park with very limited car and bus access. Our CTE campus will have traffic from walkers, from parents, parent drivers, high school student drivers, buses, and CNM adult students.

We will be offering some courses there that will have both high school students and college students. This is a partnership with CNM.

All CNM adult students will be drivers. The CTE center will also be available for CNM classes before the regular high school, before and after the regular high school day.

In addition to the traffic congestion, the CTE center and the proposed location of the Explore Charter School sits at the end of a cul de sac. And at the end of the cul- -- I'm trying to hurry,

But, anyway, regardless of that, it does not seem prudent to put a school across the street from another school.

I want to be absolutely open and transparent with the Commission. The Rio Rancho Public School District has also been involved in attempting to secure the building across the street. Our conversations with the Realtor began months prior to the Explore Academy's interest in the academy -- in the campus. So I don't want you to think this was an inroad in trying to take it away, because we were involved in discussions earlier. We were the first on the scene, so to speak.

We were considering the site for both the Career Technical Education center, which we decided to buy the building across the street, but also Independence High School, which is our alternative high school.

Since purchasing the building across the street for CTE, our current goal is to provide a new home for Independence High School. It is an alternative high school which serves our highest need students in the district. These students will have a difficult time accessing the CTE program from their existing campus as many are involved in credit

recovery courses, and we don't plan to offer that at the CTE center, and the current site is some distance away. It certainly would -- it would certainly enhance the opportunities for our students at Independence.

In addition, we have a waiting list of 256 students for Independence High School. We are unable to take more students because of the school's current lack of space. The space is too small for the current population, much less the students, the 256 students of the waiting list.

I would tell you that this limitation on space was established by the fire marshal, and not by our district.

The current space where Independence High School resides is -- and our plan would be used as the Special Services Center -- the plan is to consolidate and improve our services for our students with disabilities at this site. Students with disabilities comprise about 17 percent of the Rio Rancho school population. And if we were able to use the Independence site, it would provide a one-stop shop for services, such as Child Find, adaptive technology equipment, diagnostic, and psychological services, parent consultation and so

This is not a regular city street. This is a street that goes into a business park or an industrial park. There is no exit at the back of it except for a very narrow road.

We have talked to the City about the possibility of opening and widening that road. Right now, they have -- they've been very sympathetic, that -- you know, it's always the case for almost all of us -- it is, "We lack the resources at this point to be able to do anything. There is no money to be able to widen that road in the back."

So it also raises, I think, some issues for us, which is why we continue to discuss this site as to whether we could get those safety issues resolved. And so that's kind of where we are on the situation right now.

So we are in opposition. We are not in opposition to the school, the model. That's not what this is about. But we do not feel like -- we would never go in a place with a school directly across the street from another. It will make waits for everybody going to work in the morning, picking children up. It will be very problematic.

So thank you for an opportunity to present

forth.

2.

Rio Rancho has over 3,000 students receiving special ed services, and this does not include gifted. If you include gifted, it's well over another 1,000. It also opens some needed spaces in our existing campuses, especially in our Pre-K special ed facility.

CTE and Independence High School students would be assigned to ride the same bus, so there would be a minimal impact on transportation, on traffic, because the buses, right now, we would not anticipate would be full. So we don't even anticipate additional buses would be needed in -- in that situation.

Students from Independence would be able to walk across the street to take their CTE coursework, but remain at Independence High School for credit recovery.

I would stress this is our most vulnerable high school students in the district, and our credit recovery and are in need of social emotional support, a very different environment.

So that is our concern. We believe for both sets of students and parents, it will be a traffic nightmare.

to you our concerns. And I would certainly answer any questions should the Commissioners want to ask me any.

So thank you for your willingness to hear our concerns.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr. Cleveland. And so we will stay directed -- if Commissioners have any questions specifically for Dr. Cleveland, we'll only take those questions at this time.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Good morning, Dr. Cleveland. Thank you very much for your comments.

DR. SUE CLEVELAND: Good morning. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: The -- it's a question, but also a concern. When we have a community input hearing, I could say, safely, there was standing room only. All of the folks were very supportive of the school.

When the opportunity came to have anybody from Rio Rancho Public Schools to speak, there was nobody there.

And that's concerning to me that sometimes districts, in general, won't show up at the input hearings, but then here we are at the 11th hour

90 1 your drive and look into Folder No. 10, which is the 2. New Charter School Application.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So my question is why was there no representation from Rio Rancho Public Schools at the community input hearing?

DR. SUE CLEVELAND: I'm sorry. The technology is just not too great today.

Could that -- could your question be repeated for me, please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(inaudible) today.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Of course. Why were there no representatives from Rio Rancho Public Schools at the community input hearing?

DR. SUE CLEVELAND: I think we were simply -- I think we were -- were not well informed -- I think we were still looking at the old model, where they came and spoke to the local district and to the old board. So that was our error, and we accept responsibility for that error.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right, Dr. Cleveland. Thank you so much for taking time to come and speak with us today. And thank you for -- for your comment.

DR. SUE CLEVELAND: Okay. Thank you for listening. THE CHAIR: Absolutely. All right. So

webpage, along with the application and all of the items that have followed, which include the Peer Review Team's analysis of the application. We secured the services of an external Peer Review Team, three individuals that are

For some reason, we have been unable to

post all of the appendices on the website. But the

appendices are indeed incorporated into the PEC's

11 external to the Charter Schools Division staff, who 12 reviewed carefully and rated the application in 13 accordance with the kit that the Public Education 14 Commission has approved.

As you recall, this year's application, Part A contained not only the instructions, but the rubric that is associated with the rating of the application.

And then -- and so the Peer Review Team that rated the application independently came up with the ratings, and also held a community -excuse me -- a capacity interview.

And so the transcripts from the Capacity Interview are also a source document that you might want to reference.

91

that will take us to the next part, which will be the Charter School Division recommendation.

After that, we will go to Applicant

And then we will go to PEC questions and comments to the Applicant. And, so, Commissioners, just write down your questions 'cause you'll just be getting a lot of input for the next 30 minutes or

So we have 15 minutes for Charter School Division recommendation, 15 minutes for Applicant comments after that.

So Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Good morning, Commissioners, Applicants, Founding Team. Thank

My report is that this year's application -- this is the only application that the Charter Schools Division received. And for people that are online listening or plugged in, if you go to the Public Education Commission's webpage, you will see a link to "Archived Applications." And so for 2022, you will find the application and all of the steps that have happened since then.

For Commissioners, I invite you to go into

The other item is the Community Input Hearing transcripts are also there.

Based on that information, Chair Burt, on July 22nd, issued a letter to Explore Academy-Rio Rancho that talked about some of the concerns. This is a new step to the process that resulted from another school's results from District Court that wanted to ensure that we provide the founders with as much notice as possible.

So taking the information that I mentioned above and asking PEC and CSD members for additional (inaudible) letter on July 22nd, lists the specific areas of focus and the ratings that were either Approaches or Does Not Meet. Also additional questions that Charter Schools Division raised are contained in this memo. And areas of interest for additional information provided by the Public Education Commission was listed in this as well.

So that went out on July 22nd.

On August 5th, I sent to PEC and to the founders a final recommendation. My recommendation is approval with conditions. And I delineated the conditions as such.

First, that there were standard conditions to obtain an approved Board of Finance, to secure a

2.

facility that meets PSFA approval, and to complete the Planning Year Checklist. This is a condition that is given to all applicants.

But there were some school-specific conditions that we -- that we also are recommending.

They are that the application must provide -- and this is considered prior to approval -- information regarding the school's foundation, the Explore Learning Systems, LLC, and the third-party relationship that they both have with the school, including information.

We specifically delineated wanting to know about any memorandums of understanding between the school, the foundation, between the school and the Explore Learning Systems, and full disclosure of the legal status of the -- the LLC.

I would add to that also information about the network. Because since we put out the recommendation, we have found additional information about the network, which is a separate organization, a nonprofit organization, from the LLC, which is a for-profit organization.

The second condition that we're recommending is that the Applicant provide additional detail regarding the school's finances

there's a school in Las Vegas and one in Michigan. So this would be a third school in New Mexico.

And, again, most of the ratings were pretty positive. But there were several ratings that Approaches. Not in the Academic Framework. There were just a few in the Academic Framework. But some key areas in the Organizational Framework were Approaches. And there were a few that Do Not Meet.

And the area that Does Not Meet is the evidence of the partnership, contractual relationships, third-party relationships, and the attachment of the formal agreement.

Similarly, the school Did Not Meet and received -- Did Not Meet the financial policies oversight compliance and sustainability. And there were several Approaches in the Financial Framework.

So as a result of the final recommendation, the Founders sent to us, on -- just this week, a response that -- to the recommendations. And their response held several objections and several reasons why these conditions shouldn't exist.

Basically, we had a day to explain why we believe that these conditions should exist.

So those materials are also there for you,

Public Education Commission.

And with that, I will -- I will end my

And with that, I will -- I will end my introduction. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director Chavez.

Karen Woerner is going to be over there, so she is as close to the microphone for the computer as possible. So, hopefully we won't have any sound challenges as you do your presentation. So if you want to introduce folks first who are on, and then we'll start the time for 15 minutes.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I'll be happy to do that. Thank you. Cindy, can you hear me okay?

THE REPORTER: Yes, ma'am, I can hear you

THE REPORTER: Yes, ma'am, I can hear you really well.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: It's a little awkward, but we'll get through it. Hello, again, I'm Karen Woerner. I do want to introduce Justin Baiardo, the founder of this model, who is online, along with he Elisha Ballardo, who is on the supporting team with me

We also have -- I think you noted that all five board members who were at the community input hearing, they are here today -- well, four of the five are -- were here. I don't know if they've

and plans for the governing board's fiscal control policies and procedures, again, how Explore Learning Systems, LLC, the foundation, and the network, might be involved in and/or related to the school, and, in particular, the individuals who sit on all of these

particular, the individuals who sit on all of these boards, these staff members and committees.

And the final recommendation is that the

governing board, once established, provide an assurance that they alone make the decision on hiring the head administrator in accordance with what is required in the New Mexico Charter Schools Act and in statute that says that a management organization cannot control and make decisions for a school.

So that recommendation, we -- mostly, the peer reviewers and the Charter Schools Division recognizes that the Explore model is a very interesting, very successful, well-thought-through model. We know that it has been in existence and that -- that a lot of people really like it.

We know that Commissioners have some firsthand experience with the school and also really like the model.

We know that the school started in Albuquerque, has expanded to Las Cruces, that

lasted all this time with us.

But we have -- oh, and I forgot Hannah. Oh, I'm so sorry. I just saw Hannah there. Hannah is on our team, too. She's our elementary expert.

But we have Mike Mierzejewski. I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing it correctly.

Veronica Sanders, who is keeping the camera off because you she's not feeling well.

We have Barb Sena. I don't know if she was able to stay with us. And Skip Mackay, who is teaching so maybe couldn't stay with us also.

I think that's everyone that's here. The other board member is on a flight -- on a planned vacation from before this was scheduled.

So with that, Justin, can you start with our presentation? And then I will have some more comments after his presentation.

Can he share his screen? Yes.

MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Okay. Thank you very much.

Good morning, members of the Commission. Appreciate the time, as always, to come and share our model with you.

I'll be -- on the briefer end. I think

Karen has some more extensive material to cover in

more engaged and more successful because they are allowed that choice to determine their own (inaudible) in a sense.

And we do say, as kind of our mantra, that one student represents an infinite number of possibilities, because within our system and the number of choices that students are provided from kindergarten all the way through twelfth grade, in increasing fashion, those combination of choices and the choices that students make do create something that is very unique to the student and to the individual. And so the possibilities of what paths students can take with the combination of choices they make is very unique to that student.

And we do this through what have coined over the last several years is a flavor-based approach to teaching, which, as Ms. Armbruster had mentioned is nothing outside the box, in a sense. It is a thematic variation of a class.

Our classes are much shorter, but, yet, the way they're themed out is driven by teachers for students to sit back and ultimately choose, with the flavors that are provided for the different classes that they have to take, how they want to learn. So by following the pathways with the flavors that they

the time that we're allotted.

I'll be sharing my screen here.

All right. How's that? Is everyone seeing that okay?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes.

MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: So, yes. This presentation is somewhat similar to the presentation we provided at the Community Input Hearing. I did want to re- -- rehit some of the major points for some of the Commissioners that weren't able to make that meeting.

It's a very brief overview, a high-level overview of the school model, and why we believe it brings a value-added approach to education in the Rio Rancho community.

The mission, again, is to provide students a personalized educational experience. We're very big on creating unique pathways for students based on their own determinations and their own choice, from Grades K through 12.

This is all based on the area of psychology known as "Choice Theory," which asserts that any time an individual has choice in an aspect of their life, specifically as it applies to education within this model, they'll be happier and

choose, they end up creating something, again, that's very unique.

This is all driven by teacher creativity. I will continue to say that our model is -- as much as it is very student friendly, is equally teacher friendly, in that we want teachers to move in a direction that is atypical of what we see now in education with more scripted and prescribed curricula. Ours is very much teacher-driven, driven by the interest and passions that they want to put on display with which they want to engage students.

And with that comes what we feel is a strong connection between teachers and students, which is not found in the traditional educational model, where instead of having students being placed with teachers randomly, they're now placed with teachers in a more intentional and purposeful manner to create stronger connections with both other students in the class and with the teachers.

And from day one, kindergarten through grade twelve, no matter where a student enters into our system, we are focused on providing them with the skills and knowledge to be successful in post-secondary education. And so whether it's soft skills or the academic skills that we teach in our

2.

classes, we do want students to be ready for that next step.

2.

And within that support for choice, we notice sometimes choices can be overwhelming. So that's why we do provide a gradual work-in with the choice as students grow, but also with support for those students to provide them the capacity, as they age, to make intentional choices, to make decisions that really chart their own education, providing them those options, but then allowing them the ability and the freedom to explore.

And with that, I will hand it over to Ms. Woerner.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: So I don't want to cover all the things that were in the application, but, Justin, if you could go forward with the next couple of slides just to hit some key points.

I do want to remind you all from what you read in the application, standards-based focus, equity focus, we are providing transportation and food service, which I'm very proud of, because that reduces a lot of access issues.

The next slide is addressing the very various support systems we've talked about in our application and also at the Community Input Hearing

disagree with. I think they were actually Meets Standard, and, I want to explain that to you today. And some of them are Approaches, but are certainly very close to a Meets Standard. And so I want you to consider that in your decision today.

So on Page 4 -- what I've done here on Pages 4, 5 -- but starting on Page 4 -- is I took all the Approaches -- all the ones that didn't Meet, (inaudible) Meets Standard. I just want to point out a few things. I'm not going to read this to you, but it's been provided.

I want to highlight a few things, if I may. There were several prompts in the application that we were dinged for that were not in the rubric for this year's application. I suspect that the Peer Review Team was given the rubric for last year's application, and, as you know, several revisions were made to that to clean it up a bit and make it less redundant.

We were dinged for four specific prompts that weren't in our application, Part A. I can point those out for you.

The first was in D1 under "Education Plan" about how the proposed curriculum will ensure equal education opportunity. That was not in our rubric

and the extensive data analysis and data-driven instruction that happens, and will happen, at Explore Academy-Rio, if you approve us today.

I do want to go into the school's response. So all of you, I understand, received a copy of our response, and I'm going to refer to that and spend my time addressing some of our concerns about their concerns, if you let me.

First couple of pages, 2 and 3, are simply a summary of the -- the overall ratings that we received, color-coded, because I know some of you do like that. But what I captured there was the overall comments for each section, which came from the Peer Review Team, which I think were very positive and very supportive of this application.

To Director Chavez's points, there were several -- I think 17 here -- that are Approaches and the three that were Does Not Meet. And I'd like to spend some time addressing those, if I may.

As you all know, Commissioners, an Approaches rating can be very close to Meeting a standard or significantly -- it's a big range; right? -- significantly down at a Does Not Meet.

I would present to you that I believe that any of the Approaches -- some of the Approaches, I

for that question. However, there were other parts of the application that significantly addressed that that we got a Meets Standard on. And I assume -- because that wasn't part of your revisions -- but I assume that the reason it was taken out there is because it was covered extensively in another part of the application.

Another prompt on Page 5, "Governing Board Qualifications" that we were dinged, again, for -- we didn't address "Selecting and overseeing a qualified and highly effective school leader." That was not in this particular section of the questions, the rubric. And I assume it's for the same reason. This was covered in great detail in other Sections, C2, C3, and C4, all of which we got a Meets Standard.

The third prompt was in "Job
Descriptions." It said -- this was not listed in
your packets, I caught it later -- but "How
Responsibilities Relate to the School's Mission,
Goals, and Educational Philosophy," was not in that
this year. And then on Page 6, the "Facilities"
one, said that the response should address how a
facility will meet the student population and
transportation needs. Like the other prompts, that

was not in our list of bullet points for that question.

Moving back, there are other concerns I have about how this application was reviewed. I think historically -- I'm not sure what happened this year. But, historically, I know that CSD or PED staff have sat in on the consensus calls with Peer Reviewers to ensure that they are familiar -- or if they have any questions about statutes or regulations. I'm not sure if that happened, because there are several errors in here that I wanted to point out that affected our score.

For example, the Peer Review Team said that we didn't properly address the ELTP requirements, that it's required adding ten days to the calendar. But the reality is that it's five days and five days' worth of minutes, or ten days. That's a change that happened in the last couple of years. But, clearly, the Peer Review Team did not know that, and, therefore, dinged us on that.

That's in Section "Yearly Calendar and Daily Schedule." I will say we still did -- I explain in there what some of the concerns were. But I would concede that the application was still an Approaches the Criteria, because our team did

Applicable for the "Essential third-party relationships and the MOU."

We don't -- did not yet have a foundation established at the time of application, so there would be no MOU to provide for that.

And the relationship with Explore Learning Systems, or the anticipated relationship with Explore Learning Systems, obviously we're very dependent on that for the Explore Learning Model training, and perhaps other services, at the decision of the board.

We included that because I -- my experience has been that this Commission asked the NACA-Inspired Schools Network, for example, to provide an MOU when one of their schools that they support was seeking renewal.

I did not want to put it here and think I was trying to hide something. But in reality, I think we should have put Not Applicable and dealt with it that way, instead of the way I did that caused all this trouble.

So I apologize for that, but it was in the attempt to be completely transparent and open with the Commission.

Also, on the Internal Control Procedures

neglect to describe the ELT Program in this section. But that's the only (inaudible) dings are inaccurate or not true. I guess that's what inaccurate is.

Moving on, there was a requirement that references a statute. I did put the statute in here on the bottom of Page 4, A1, about "A secretary must appoint someone to the board if they don't have someone within 45 days." You know how these boards work.

If the secretary of the board had a person to appoint, we would do so. This applies to traditional school districts who are elected, not to charter school districts -- charter schools. Excuse me

It goes on. There are other things in here, most of which I'm not going to spend time going over, but wanted to just stress to you that it is my opinion, and the team's opinion, that many of these woulda-shoulda been a Meets Standard, although we concede where it Approaches the Criteria is appropriate, think it was pretty close to the Meets Standard, if you will.

Regarding the Does Not Meet, I just want to address that -- two things:

One is we should have just put Not

Does Not Meet. We did submit a rather weak Internal Control Procedures. We're wanting a financial person to help us with that, and we intend to do so. So I don't know if it deserved a Does Not Meet, but it certainly wasn't a Meets Standard, but we will get it there.

Moving on to Page 8, the conditions, as recommended.

The first three are standard. We have no objection. And the rest, frankly, Commissioners, they're going to be conditions of the Implementation Year Checklist. So whether you want to include it now as part of the approval or not makes no real difference to us because we're going to have to do them anyway as part of the Implementation Year Checklist which you have to approve in June before we can commence operation.

So either way. It seems rather redundant and unnecessary to include those conditions at this point because they're conditions of all schools.

There are a couple of exceptions on here. For example, 2 -- or 1B is about the memorandum of understanding between the school and Explore Learning Systems, including all the responsibilities, et cetera.

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do not have an MOU. We do not have anybody being paid or doing anything. It's all volunteer. But if so requested, we would be happy, when it comes time, to provide a copy of the services agreement between the school and ELS, if they choose us for services, particularly beyond the learning model. Or either way. We'll provide that. But I can't provide that to you today anyway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I heard Director Chavez mention she wants that prior to approval.

2C -- 1C is concerning, in that we are -if so requested by you, Commissioners, we're happy to provide information regarding Explore Learning Systems, LLC. Anything that's public record, we're certainly happy to show you the legal status or any of that information you need.

I do question why contracts with employees of a private corporation and/or contact information -- well, there is no board of an LLC; so -- and information on those people who are employed is relevant to this application and stand to answer your questions on that and see if I can understand what's really being asked. But I would ask, is this asked of all schools that have contracts with organizations that are LLCs?

respectfully ask that this Commission approve our application. It's a very strong one.

3 And, in closing, I just want to read the 4 Peer Review Team's closing comments after the 5 Capacity Interview, which was, "Overall, the

6 Applicant Team's responses fully demonstrated the

7 capacity to open the proposed Explore

8 Academy-Rio Rancho. The members of the Applicant

9 Team are highly experienced individuals who are

10 fully capable of creating an exciting new school 11

based on the Explore Learning Model. The Peer

12 Review Team noted the excitement and enthusiasm 13 expressed throughout the Capacity Interview

process," end quote. So I ask for your approval today. Thank you.

And we stand for questions.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So now we are on to PEC questions or comments. And please ensure you're directing it specifically to CSD or to the Applicant or to both. So be specific.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So thank you. And I guess my questions are aimed to the Applicant and to the CSD team.

So I think I'm going to ask for both today

111

113

And then there's another one, 2B. We are happy to provide the names, titles of anybody contracted to provide financial services. That's reported -- I'm not sure if it's in S.T.A.R.S. or some other system. But I know it's reported who is our financial support. And whether they hold employment with Explore Learning Systems or any other company, I think is irrelevant when we're contracting.

And I do want to stress that the foundation, once we have one, will not employ -- or have employees or any other employment contracts with staff at the school or on the ELS team. The only thing I suspect the foundation might have would be an accountant or an auditor at some point, but not any employees.

And the rest are all mostly conditions.

I do want to also point out the Finance Committee issue.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: (Inaudible.)

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Okay. Then I'm going to skip over, then, to Page 9.

We have given you some answers to all of the questions you've asked. But I guess I'll stand ready to answer those during the questions part and at a point in time.

I'm the one that obviously brought, at the public meeting the last time, the financial concerns, because I just had questions. It wasn't -- it wasn't clear to me.

And I sort of apologize because the question about the (inaudible) foundation, it came up at the Community Input Hearing, but I really didn't process it until I was driving -- I didn't ask this. And I do have some concerns, and I'm going to ask if it would be possible for my financial Columbo, Melissa, to kind of address some of the concerns, because she navigates this better than -- than anyone I know.

So I'm going to ask if she could just identify some concerns that go with this.

I do agree -- I'm going to preface this. I do agree that it can be most likely flushed out with the Implementation Year Checklist. I do. But I think it's important for us to identify these, as we're going forward, for the Implementation.

MS. MELISSA SANCHEZ: Okay. So which one specifically?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. The -- I guess the potential for conflicts of interest and any and

all -- is there any gray areas with nonprofit providers, I guess.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MELISSA SANCHEZ: You know, I don't really think there's a conflict with profits and nonprofits. And I think one of the things you said, Karen, was, you know, was is this the same questions that you had for everyone when they have -- when they contract out for curriculum.

So here's what it kind of boils down to for me. The curriculum is purchased through the systems; right? Your for-profit?

THE CHAIR: Melissa, could you speak towards us?

MS. MELISSA SANCHEZ: You or the camera? Okay. Okay. That's cool. Okay. Thanks. That's a little bit easier.

So the area seemed to be that we have school administrators or presenters here representing Explore-Rio Rancho putting it into place, as well as people who are running these other organizations.

So that's what concerns me.

Does that make sense what I'm saying, is that, you know, we're putting the school together but then we're also contracting with this other

concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MELISSA SANCHEZ: Well, you know, in the Implementation Year Checklist, if I have that right, we are asking for curriculum; right? We are asking them to provide the curriculum. In the responses that I read, it was something to the -and I'm going to paraphrase here -- that, certainly, initially, the school would purchase the curriculum model from Explore Learning Systems -- I hope I said that one right -- and then, later on, if the school decided, the head administrator and governing board decided to not renew a contract with Explore, they could go do that.

But then there was a comment about, but then they'd need to change their name; right?

So it made me just sort of wonder -- I mean, that would change the entire school, then, if we weren't going with the Explore model; right?

So I feel that if -- you know, if there was -- if this was a separate company, meaning that nobody's running those companies, we're also involved in the foundations or creating the school, implementing the school and part of this implementation, then I'd say, "Great. Yeah. Super. There isn't a conflict."

115

114

117

company for curriculum, and it's all the same people appearing to run it. That's what that appearance is for me. So that's what I feel is the gray area is

So there is no problem with the school purchasing curriculum. I mean, they do that. That's a requirement. But the school isn't usually, you know, creating the school and then purchasing from, you know, the same company that they run and own.

Does that make sense?

Yeah. Okay. That's my concern.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I -- well, can I just -- while Melissa is up -- yeah. So let me just argue (inaudible) that the Implementation Year Checklist -- or maybe not that familiar with it -would flush that all out, and we have a clear -- you know, I think we do. But (inaudible).

So, I mean, obviously, we have a year before we vote for commencement of operation, so that other questions and, hopefully, concerns are addressed and answered through that.

But I want to make sure that if we simply, say, take a vote that (inaudible) the Implementation Year Checklist, that's sufficient to address the

But when you see them on both sides of it, I'm concerned. And I don't have any problem with the model. I'm not saying that I find anything wrong with the model.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. Got you. So can it segue -- it's the same topic. But can I ask Julia at this point in time? Because Melissa brings up a good point. If, say, during the -- the (inaudible) Implementation Year Checklist, we do a Commencement of Operation, and get into Year Two of the contract, and the governance council decides, "We're not going to contract with them any longer," so that it's no -- is it a new school?

Because if they did that, then I don't (inaudible) have to. That's between the school and Explore whether they would actually have to change their name. But if they're no longer -- we voted on the school because of this model. And now they're not going to do that, where do we stand with that? I don't -- I don't know.

And I don't know whether she can give us an answer at this point in time. But it raises an interesting question as to where do we stand with

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I think, Commissioner Gipson, it goes to the contract and what is in the contract. And the contract has an educational model and the description of the educational model as provided by the school. And then it has a section on essential partners, and it also has a section on a foundation that supports the school.

So it would be my view that -- and we've talked about this many times with many schools. If you can touch it in the contract, you have to amend it if you change it.

So I -- I think it -- it would be great to be clear today, if the Commissioners are approving the school with, you know -- you know, this educational model that needs to go into the contract, if you are also approving the school with this -- if you view -- which might be different than what Karen Woerner said -- that is it just a vendor, or do you consider it more than that, and the direction that that should go into the contract. And then any foundation that does support the school will be there. So that's actually kind of a different topic.

But, certainly, we have schools that it's

approval is my view.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: In the motion?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks so much. Karen?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Can we have the response (inaudible) if you don't mind?

THE CHAIR: Mr. Baiardo.

MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Thank you. I wanted to just step in as soon as possible. I think what is being discussed is -- it represents some muddying of the waters unintentionally. What -- what it was described is in no way, shape, or form the agreement with our entity and the school board.

We -- there is no license for the school. We are not as an entity supporting the school. We are completely fireable, you know. We're not required by the school to serve.

I mean, it is a framework that the school is adopting. It is not a license for a curriculum. They are not purchasing a curriculum from us. I think Melissa mentioned that.

I wanted to clarify. There is no curriculum being purchased. The curriculum is created by the teachers, based on the standards. We

hardwired in the contract what you have approved. And to change it, they have to come back to you.

So I guess my answer to your question, yes, that can happen. And, yes, it can be -- have to be brought back to you within two years if they say, "We don't like that vendor."

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. And thanks. And I -- that's what I thought. And I guess I'm not going to keep the (inaudible) down (inaudible) two years. But they have to come up with an amendment. What would we do? I don't know. But I just wanted to make sure that I guess that the Commission understood that. If it's something that, you know, we felt strong enough that has to be, then that language should be part of this motion going forward.

But it needs to be, as (inaudible) mentioned, to make it clear about the education model.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And I guess, Commissioner, the last thing I'll add is the contract is two parties. If you have made it clear what your position is as one of the parties, then that will need to go into the contract. And that would be helpful to have that clarity here with just help the teachers create it based on the framework that the board is adopting.

If the board does not want to work with us, they do not have to. We are not involved in the contract. It is between the board and the PEC. We are a service provider who is supporting the school. We are not an integral component. Yeah. Will we help? Absolutely. Do we want to support? Sure.

But if the school severs ties with our entity after year two, there is no -- the approved charter contract is -- is between the board and the PEC, independent of us. So we are -- you know, we're not a party to that, and we're not integral into that arrangement.

We are there to support the board in whatever capacity the board sees fit every year, increasing or decreasing as the years go.

But I just wanted to clarify. There is not a license fee. It's not like they're purchasing a textbook coach or a curriculum or a virtual curriculum. None of that is in play here. It is a curricular idea, which, again, is not -- any school could pick it up and run with it. Any school can do what we're doing.

What we're doing is nothing proprietary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

and is nothing that should be involved in any contract between the authorizer and the school. It is -- that's a board decision in terms of the extent to which they want to work with us each year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, again, our position has always been that we want to support the board, the principal, the teachers in a way that makes sense for the school. And if that -- if that service-ship decreases over time or is eliminated, that is the board's decision.

And with -- with that, they take the school, the name, the curriculum with them. And -you know, and we part ways. That is -- that is, of course, an option that can present itself at any point.

But, you know, what we're doing is not selling anyone anything. We're here to support the principal and the board and the teachers. So I thought we were clear about that in the application. None of this curriculum has ever been purchased.

So I just wanted to clarify that from the get-go. I think some of the discussion that came after Melissa's comments went down a road that mischaracterizes what we've described in the application in that we are a service provider whose was less confident about. But, again, it's still their decision, once it's approved as a school and they become an official board, they would have to follow the proper procurement for whatever they purchase. So I may have contributed to the problem.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I was disappointed that the response came as late as it did, because it didn't give us time to really look at it and flesh anything out. (Inaudible.)

I'm disappointed in the lapse and the response time.

But on another (inaudible) one last question, and it goes to Director Chavez.

Dr. Cleveland mentioned in her -- in her statement that it was a State policy that a school can't be across the street from another school. And I have never heard of that before. So I'm just wondering if you can -- you know, I don't think that's so. Or I assume she's meaning a high school across the street from a high school.

I don't know. I know we've got schools that are -- I'm just wondering. Can you respond to that? I know I might be putting you on the spot with that, but it just sounded odd to me.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sorry. My dog

123

1 decided to bark at this moment.

Can you hear me okay?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. Let me get my dog out of here. Actually, maybe he wants me to hold him and say hi to you all.

So, Commissioner Gipson, I will need to investigate that question. I will have an answer before we are done discussing this particular school and your -- and your -- and you take a vote on it.

I would like an opportunity to address a couple of items that came up.

THE CHAIR: Director Chavez, if we could keep your comments just to the question, it's --Commissioner Gipson's floor right now. If you want to put your digital hand up, I can go back --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay.

THE CHAIR: -- and call on you. But right now, it's Commissioner Gipson's --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm done. Thanks.

21 THE CHAIR: All right. Would you like the 22 school to respond to Dr. Cleveland's comment? 23

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think I know the answer. I don't think the school needs to respond to it. But I want -- I think it's important for the

ties with the board can be severed at any point in time, and the school and its board can exist independently of us and any arrangement. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thank you for that.

But I am going to take Melissa's opinion that it should be in the contract. So I'm just going to say

Can I -- you just turned it over to Justin. You didn't want to say anything, did you?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: No, except to say that I may have contributed to this muddiness. If I were a school, I would assume they would want to have our support, meaning the ELS team support, to train teachers that first year. I made a comment to that effect at the Community Input Hearing. So I may have made it more difficult. The reality is right now, we are a bunch of residents -- I recently moved out of Rio Rancho, but was a long-time resident of Rio Rancho, along with five board members who want to start a school with this model and independent of the Explore Learning Systems.

But, certainly, I would expect that the board would want Explore Learning Systems to help train teachers on the model. The other services, I

school to have it on the record that it is or it

1 There are several schools in Albuquerque isn't.

2 that are directly across the street from each other

2 isn't.3 THE CHAIR: Okay. Great.

4 All right. Next we have Commissioner 5 Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. With regards to that one topic, I can think of -- in Santa Fe, there's three schools pretty much practically across the street from one another just down the road. So I -- I'm not sure Dr. Cleveland stated her concern correctly. I think it was more traffic stuff, and, which, to me, you know, when traffic stuff comes up, I just think to myself that it's a ridiculous argument to try to make, because every school has traffic twice a day.

Every area, every neighborhood that has schools has traffic twice a day. And it's a given, because, for God's sakes, slow down to 15 miles an hour and move on. (Inaudible.) I'm not as concerned with those things need to be worked out in the Implementation Year, because, you know, in my short experience on this Commission, it seems schools either are going to do these things, and they work them out by the time June rolls around, and we're making that decision on whether or not,

that are directly across the street from each other.

And so I really feel that that is a nonissue.

(Inaudible) she's on the phone right now researching that, as she indicated in her previous answer.

But I think maybe this LLC in your contract is going to stay rigid. It's not going to maintain that flexibility. But if that's what you want to do, that's your decision as well. So thanks.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I'm also hopping on the school location bus here. The City of Farmington has four schools within one mile of each other. Two of those are an elementary school and a model school where their front doors face one

model school where their front doors face one another. So it's -- it is a possibility that that can happen.

THE CHAIR: Director Chavez?

All right. I'm going to come back to her.
I see she's on the phone.

22 Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I had one question for Commissioner Chavez. But I'll wait.

I will also second the comments -- or

you know, to open a facility in August.

So those are my -- that's really all I have. Again, you know, I'm not concerned with the relationship with the LLC. You know, it starts to become apparent that -- and I don't think it will -- that whether it's the -- organization or another, is acting as a CMO. I mean, we're going to see it. (Inaudible.) Then we'll take the appropriate action at the time.

But so I -- you know, I'm very big on the school opening in the Rio Rancho community. And those things that were Does Not Meet, I have no -- I have every confidence that those things are going to be worked out.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I also do not have any concerns around the LLC. There are numerous schools that work in a network to provide certain structural and consistent modeling pieces between their schools.

And so, historically, it's been done before. So this is nothing new. They have a great model, and they're sharing it within some new schools.

third or fourth the comments in terms of transportation and location.

You know, two of the large schools in Albuquerque on the West Mesa, Tony Hillerman and Volcano Vista, are across the street from each other. A middle school and a high school. And so that, to me, is a nonissue. That is an issue that is really a traffic issue the City needs to address.

If they get a Certificate of Occupancy, then it's up to the City and the traffic planners to work with the businesses and the other individuals in the area to try to develop traffic patterns and mitigate that -- you know, you have school zones where, you know, throughout the City where traffic goes from 40 miles an hour down to 15 or 25 miles an hour. That's normal.

So I think that's kind of a nonissue. I don't know if anyone else at CSD can answer the question as to why they are recommending conditions that have to be answered during the Implementation Year that cannot be answered now, why are they including those as conditions for to us approve this charter from moving forward.

That seems to me to be very unusual and a little bit punitive to say, "Oh, you need to -- you

need to provide this," when, statutorily or through the planning year process, they have to do it anyway.

2.

So I think spelling those things out as conditions is a little overkill when we don't normally do that when they are conditions for opening. Putting them in the approval at this time I think is premature.

So, Director Chavez, what I was asking is why did CSD include, in the recommendation and the conditions, items that have to be met during the Implementation Year and before opening? I mean, statutorily, they have to be met. Spelling them out separately as a condition for granting the charter, I think is unnecessary. But you may have a reason for doing that.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins. So I do not believe that all of the conditions that we added are, in fact, part of the Implementation Year Checklist. What I'm trying to do is make sure that we have conducted due diligence; right? Because where there is even a perception of a potential conflict of interest, I want that to be erased from the concern.

And so part of that is understanding who

entities identify in other places. In Las Vegas, for example, ELN, the Explore Learning Network, is considered a CMO, and they have an annual fee structure.

In Michigan, they have a similar fee structure, although the agreement is with ELS.

So I -- if I were on the Commission, I would want to know who's who, who's being paid what, what are the relationships that these entities have with each other.

And I would want to know that prior to approving, not after, and not getting pushback when asked for who sits on what board or status or registration or whatever. I would want to know who now. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. So I'll say -- I guess I'm -- I'm trying -- I'm trying to get my thoughts out real quick, so I can say it the right way.

We have several school support models in New Mexico. I have never looked at them as a CMO. I don't think they look at themselves as CMOs. And I don't quite understand why this is being looked at any differently than those models as well.

I think we have some really successful

is the "we." Whenever we talk about any of these entities -- and there are several different entities that are either playing a current role or are being situated to play a role with the school. And I think that if there is a potential concern over conflict of interest and any sort of potential that the Explore network or Explore Learning Systems might be involved in any decision-making management of the school, when CMOs are not allowed in New Mexico, I think we want to clear that up.

During the Community Input Hearing, Mr. Ballardo said, "We currently have a facility that's under contract at present."

My question is, "Who is 'we'?"

Who is he representing at that moment? I'm not sure. Who will he represent once the school is open? I'm not sure.

So I'm just attempting to get information about who is who and who sits where and who's being paid for what, because I think that we certainly are going to take a look at that as part of the federal grant application process.

It's -- even if the school is approved, we're going to need to know. I think, you know, it's important for us to know how some of these models that are supporting multiple schools across New Mexico that are doing the exact same thing. And we do not meddle into those contracts.

I think this is an overreach on the PEC. This is a -- and unless we're going to ask for the same thing of a bus vendor and of the food vendor and of every vendor that they do, we're going to make -- if we're going to do this across the board, we need to start doing it across the board for every school with every vendor that they choose.

I guess I'm just -- I don't understand -- I don't care what is happening in Michigan. I don't care what's happening in Las Vegas. That does not pertain to this school in any way, and I'm not going to take that into consideration.

What I'm looking at is this application, which I believe is a very strong application. I feel, once again, very lucky to be on the Commission where we had two really great applications to review last year, and, once again, a really strong application.

I think -- I don't envy the Commission before who has had to look at some pretty tough applications and go through those.

This is a really strong application of

knowledgeable people. For me, the board is not -- actually, I guess I can ask a question.

Is anybody on the proposed board a part of the Explore Learning System company?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Absolutely not.

THE CHAIR: Okay. For me, when the Implementation Year Checklist asks the governing board to give a conflict of interest statement, that should flesh that out. If anyone on the board is a part of the Explore Learning Systems and contracts with them and votes on it, that would be highly inappropriate.

Otherwise, I don't see where this is an issue. I'm just really struggling with where this is a problem and why we would need to delve into it, because it's -- it's -- I'm struggling to understand the problem.

So that was my question, is what could the -- the conflict of interest would be between the board and the vendor. And so I'm encouraged to know that there's nobody on the proposed board (inaudible) that their private job is a part of that.

What is going to be -- so the three people who are founding the school, what would -- what

certainly be happy to be involved in finding qualified candidates and setting up interviews, and maybe sitting on interviews. But my prime recommendation would be two board members on a committee, because three makes a quorum, unless you want the interview public, and then bring it to the full board based on the interview committee's decision.

But that's a decision the board will make. I do think that they will want some expertise in finding qualified candidates. Happy to do that if they so wish.

THE CHAIR: My next question is going to be can this school fulfill an educational program without ever contracting with Explore Learning Systems?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Yes. It would be more difficult, but yes.

THE CHAIR: So I guess that's -- so this will be my -- another one.

If the board never contracts with Explore Learning Systems -- which I will say thank you for being transparent, in that this is -- would like to be on there. I do -- I don't want to punish people for giving us too much information.

would be proposed roles in the new -- in this new school if it were to be approved?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: My response would be, we're just helping to get the school started. And we will be -- the Explore Learning Systems would certainly want to be supportive of the school if they desire that agreement with us, and, if so, that it would be up for the board as to what our involvement would be. What I would predict or anticipate -- but, again, I don't want to muddy the waters with my speculation.

But I do want to say that the ELS, LLC is founded with the goal of reducing the burdens on school leaders or boards, supporting them so they can focus on student achievement, (inaudible), student achievement and teacher development. That was what sold me on helping with this work.

So be happy to help this new board if they so desire. And I think it could include -- for example, Director Chavez asked about the head administrator hiring. That's the board's decision.

THE CHAIR: That's my next question.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: That's the board's decision. Now, if the board wants ELS helping with that because we have some expertise, we would

And I feel like we've done that a couple of different times, where people have tried to be really transparent with us, and then we are, "Why are we doing this?" If they hadn't said anything, there wouldn't have been any problem.

But, anyways -- so my next question is, if the Explore-Rio Rancho governing board does not ever contract with the Explore Learning Systems, would they be required -- because I know there's a -- for example, Pecos Connections Academy wanted to do away with their connections to the "Connections" programming. And so they did -- they were required to change their name; right?

They weren't allowed to (inaudible) Pecos Connections Academy, because they're not using that curriculum.

Is that the same thing that would have to happen in this school, or program, if they never contracted with the Explore Learning Systems? Would they not be allowed to continue with the education program that we approve today and is in their contract and would have to change their name?

MS. KAREN WOERNER: So I'm going to defer to Justin, because I don't know the legality of the naming thing, if there's any rights to that. I

2.

would say the model itself, as was explained earlier -- this isn't -- it is rocket science, because Justin is amazing. But it isn't, really; right? Any educator could have come up with this learning model, and there's really no way to patent it. People could do this without us.

So to the contracting us, they don't have to.

I don't know about legalities with the name. So I'm going to see if Justin has anything on that.

MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Yeah. There is no ties to the name. I mean, it's -- the name is linked to the curriculum -- again, it's a curricular framework which we help support. It's not proprietary. There's no licensing that comes with the name. We didn't disclose that, because it doesn't exist.

I don't want to make more out of it that it needs to be. But there really isn't.

Like Karen said, I said this at the Community Input Hearing. What we're doing is not rocket science. We're creating something that hopefully makes teaching easier for teachers and life easier for kids and school more enjoyable. But I actually -- I'm grateful that there is -- that there would be a new head administrator hired by the governing board. Because that was the only other thing.

I'm, like, sometimes we have founding teams that are, like, "We're the proposed school leaders"; right? And that seems like it could create some wonkiness. But for the folks who are in the founding team to know that the governing board is going to be making the decisions there at the school, including whether or not they contract with the Explore Learning Systems, who the head administrator is, it seems much more appropriate.

And so maybe the -- the -- the look of conflict in the black-and-white paper back and forth, it seems to me like a bigger issue than it actually is.

So I'm grateful just to have the conversation to get (inaudible). But to me it seems much simpler and straightforward than (inaudible).

All right. Commissioner Carrillo and Commissioner Davis.

Before I call back on you two, I just want to make sure if everyone who hasn't spoken would like to speak at this time before I go back in

it is something that anyone could look at and pick up and run with. And all the best with them if they try. So...

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thanks. I think -- so I do want to say, because I (inaudible). But I do want to be clear that my -- I do have a daughter that goes to Explore-Albuquerque. I am not using any basis off of that -- for me, this is a new school. Looking at this application, my daughter is not eligible to go attend this new school ever. She will never be in the grade levels that are available.

I also (inaudible) her friends to go to the school. So I have no particular vested interest in this particular school, other than as a PEC Commissioner looking at this particular application.

So I also -- I'm just going to agree with Commissioner Robbins, and even with what Commissioner Gipson's talking about. It seems like the items that have been presented as concerns would be flushed out in the Implementation Year Checklist, and we can be diligent throughout that process, and, once again, before we actually commence operations, to ensure, just the same as every other school, that there are -- everything is as it should be. order, which I'm happy to do.

All right. Great.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you, Chair Burt.

From what's been said about relationships and the LLC and the school and getting awards, I don't want us to get in that habit of searching for potential conflicts of interest. Conflicts of interest -- the possibility of conflicts of interest could always be out there. And if they are, there's a red flag raised, and we will address it at that time.

I think that our -- the CSD in training of board members really goes over this in how to not get into these muddy waters, where contracts are and relationships with family and everything else.

So I just -- I don't want us to get bogged down, because that's always a potential that's there and nip them in the bud or the board will nip it in the bud before it has a chance to (inaudible).

So I know there's others that want to speak, but I call at this time for a motion.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So my question is for

	142		144
1	the Commissioners who were on the Commission two	1	(A discussion was held off the record.)
2	years ago, helping me with my memory.	2	THE CHAIR: Yes, I will start over.
3	Are these the is this the same group	3	I move that the Public Education
4	that came to us? They already had a school in	4	Commission approve the application for Explore
5	Albuquerque. They wanted to open a second school in	5	Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to
6	Albuquerque without creating without submitting a	6	2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to
7	second application. And we disallowed that.	7	opening.
8	Is this are these the same?	8	During the Planning Year and prior to
9	MS. KAREN WOERNER: That was AIMS.	9	Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.	10	must:
11	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No? Thank you.	11	1. Negotiate a contract with the Public
12	That's my question.	12	Education Commission.
13	THE CHAIR: All right. Director Chavez?	13	2. Obtain standing as an approved Board
14	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I did talk to our	14	of Finance.
15	office of OGC, and they do not find something in	15	3. Secure a facility that has
16	statute that would preclude a school from opening up	16	E-Occupancy, and;
17	across the street from another school.	17	4. Complete the Planning Year Checklist.
18	But I do I'm recalling Dr. Cleveland's	18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll second.
19	comments that had to do with a traffic study. And	19	THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioners, any
20	we do know that there is some concern about traffic	20	discussion?
21	study. And I think that was what she was mostly	21	(No response.)
22	stressing.	22	THE CHAIR: All right. I see none.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director Chavez.	23	Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll.
24	So before we the only (inaudible) I'm actually	24	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
25	going to make a motion. The last thing I'll say	25	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
	143		145
1	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins.
2 3	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I	2 3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.
2 3 4 5 6 7	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start,	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho must:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes for. That passes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho must: Negotiate a contract with the Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes for. That passes. THE CHAIR: Congratulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho must: Negotiate a contract with the Public Education Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes for. That passes. THE CHAIR: Congratulations. MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho must: Negotiate a contract with the Public Education Commission. (Audio is feed lost.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes for. That passes. THE CHAIR: Congratulations. MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about that is I do (inaudible) that there's collaboration and work as a neighbor. And I think it could there could be a really easy solve. I mean, if if the school the location could change between now and the commencement. If you do open up next door, maybe look at when does that when does the CTE school start, and can we start 45 minutes later, and when do they release? Can we release later, to I think we can easily remedy those items. I'm just hoping you all, in the next year, work together collaboratively to figure out and be good neighbors, if that ends up happening. All right. I move that the Public Education Commission approve the application for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho for a six-year term, 2022 to 2028, which includes a Planning Year prior to opening. During the Planning Year and prior to Commencing Operations, Explore Academy-Rio Rancho must: Negotiate a contract with the Public Education Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That's nine votes for. That passes. THE CHAIR: Congratulations. MR. JUSTIN BAIARDO: Thank you.

(A recess was taken at 12:17 p.m., and reconvened at 1:35 p.m., as follows:)

THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. We are going to go ahead and get started again. We are on Item No. 9, Discussion and Possible Action to Place La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Sciences on the Intervention Ladder.

Ms. Barnes, I'd like to ask you and Director Chavez to introduce this item. I don't know which one of you wants to go first.

Are either of you volunteering?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, I can go ahead and kind of outline the process, where you are. And then Director Chavez, as she did last month, has done the investigation and worked with the parties. So that can kind of start the conversation.

So where we are in the process is this is a meeting where you could decide to take action under the Intervention Ladder, based on the concerns that were raised at the last meeting and put into a letter at the last meeting.

If there's new things that you want to look at that raise new issues under the Intervention Ladder, we kind of start on that topic. We'd start that process again and probably direct CSD to go

formal process to send back a Corrective Action Plan that basically is going to say, you know, the -- you would say, "Here are your expected outcomes."

And they have to come back and say, "Here's the people who are going to do what by when."

So who's going to do what by when.

CSD would monitor that, bring that back.

The last one would be on the Intervention

The last one would be, on the Intervention Ladder, is a revocation review. I haven't -- I haven't heard anything that I think would allow you to start at that level, because it's a step-by-step process.

So I -- and if you are comfortable with what the school has remedied right now, already in good standing, so you wouldn't need a motion to do anything, because you haven't taken the action to put them on the Intervention Ladder.

So if you are comfortable with where they are or -- there's no motion. Otherwise, there's a Notice of Concern for a step in the ladder, or a second step in the ladder is a Notice of Breach.

I will just raise one point, which is that the school has a new contract, as you know. And they negotiated Performance Frameworks. And we are

147 | 149

investigate that.

So the Intervention Ladder has several steps. One is a Notice of Concern. The -- and that one, you would identify what the Notice of Concern is. And then you would need to look at what expected outlines and deadlines were that you would like the school to comply with. Director Chavez has provided some of those.

There's one I'm not sure was directly in the Inter- -- you know, raised in the Intervention Ladder, but I see a way that they could take action on that. So if you wanted to -- I think we can address that if that comes up.

And then if you did a Notice of Concern,
Director Chavez would work with the school to comply
with those expectations and outcomes, and then come
back to you to put them in good standing, or, if
it's -- if there were still problems, to take the
next step in the Intervention Ladder.

If you feel like you want to move up the Intervention Ladder with things that are sufficiently concerning, you can issue a Notice of Breach. The difference with that is that it's more serious.

And that school has a -- has to have a

at a process right now -- and one of them is on -two of them are Mission-Specific Goals, very, very detailed Mission-Specific Goals. And they are at a point where they -- we have asked them, and they should be coming back to CSD to say, "Here is how we're going to implement our Mission-Specific Goals."

So that -- making sure they're implementing their Mission-Specific Goals does not require that you put them on the Intervention Ladder. That's just regular where we are, where we are in the process. CSD is going to confirm that everybody is starting that process and doing it. So we don't need the Intervention Ladder for everything. Some of them are just things that get rolled out.

And I actually pulled up those Mission-Specific Indicators. There's two very specific to Montessori. And I -- we can share screen if you wanted to see them. But, again, that's not an Intervention Ladder issue.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Director Chavez.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Good afternoon,

everybody. So I just want to direct the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners to the items in your Google Drive. We are looking at item 9, A and B. 9A is a letter -after your discussion last month, which I thought was a really healthy discussion between the schools and the Commission to discuss concerns that we had with the school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After that, Mr. Casados sent us what is now in your folder as 9A, which is the letter discussing how he has attempted, and the school and the board has attempted, to address the concerns.

My staff took that. We created 9B. And it is a response to what Mr. Casados said, plus some draft language, should the Commission decide they want to issue expected concerns.

I'm just going to walk through them very quickly.

The first is that whether the school has five board members in place. And so the statement that La Tierra Montessori School for Arts and Sciences currently has five board members and is working to onboard another member.

And what I have written in the bullet there is that there's a little bit of confusion about the status of Ms. Williams. And you heard her this morning asking for guidance. I would strongly

submitted up to date. Again, the record for last school year does show that there was a lot of lag -in at least one case, almost a year -- when paperwork had not been submitted. But right now, they are up to date.

In terms of the La Tierra Board of Finance Committee meeting with the school's business manager, we think that's wonderful. We will read the minutes. We do want to make sure that continued progress occurs there. And -- and, in particular, the Public School Finance Act, which talks about parental involvement in budget decision-making is something that we're very concerned about.

OMA: The school is committing to be in compliance with OMA.

Should the PEC decide that they want to put into place some sort of expectations with dates and specific actions, Page 2 of 9B has some draft language around there.

And I would say that the one that, you know -- well, a couple of things -- is that the first one, the concern around implementing the Montessori, that's something we could do when we do a school site visit. And we can go to the school in the fall and report to the PEC by December 16th.

151

urge the school to make sure that there's clarity around that, because it seems like there's been some back and forth in terms of her publicly announcing at the PEC meeting that she resigned and sending an e-mail to some folks at the school.

But then when we looked at the bylaws -and I think Mr. Casados is attempting to have her follow the bylaws by asking her to send something in writing to the Chair or the Secretary -- I don't know if that has happened or not.

So that is the first item.

The second is that La Tierra hired Mr. Tompson as the head administrator on July 15th. Wonderful. It was great to meet Mr. Tompson. We know that he's in place. And the school is now in good standing.

However, the school, for two weeks, was in violation of its contract with no head administrator in place.

The next item: New officers were elected to the La Tierra governing board. That's wonderful.

The next item: All documentation has been submitted to the PED concerning new board members.

That is true that the school is up to date in all paperwork for transitions on the board

OMA resolution. We would look for that to be posted to the school's website sooner than later, and we could report out to PEC in November.

Will the school obtain training on fiscal management?

We are suggesting that board members complete that hour of training early, by November 1, and then we can report to you at your December meeting if they have fulfilled that requirement.

In terms of the board creating a budget, I would say that there's several -- there's three bullets related to that.

In October, the enrollment projection submitted to the PED, we could monitor, and then in the spring, we would very much like a timeline of activities, and we would want to monitor to ensure that the school is approaching its budget development process very differently than what it did this past year.

And the final item that we would want to keep a closer tab on is whether the school is submitting all of its paperwork for governing board transitions. Should you want to put that into place -- most of those things are things we do anyway. But should you want to revisit this school,

months.

those are our suggested actions.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director Chavez.

Mr. Casados?

Okay. Sorry. Before I go to you,

Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Just -- just real quickly. I see the letter. And the PEC asked for the school to both go to their lawyer and to their business manager to get confirmation so the school can address that, the specific thing that the PEC raised.

And then just the very first item that Director Chavez raised about implementing the Montessori program, I think that would actually be outside of a Corrective Action Plan, but consistent with her duties that she already has, because that specific item wasn't in -- the issue, for example, raised in comment this morning was not in the letter.

But that does not stop Director Chavez from taking the exact action she's indicated.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And if I may, Chair Burt, we didn't put more in there in terms of any sort of certification for Montessori, that sort of thing, because we believe that that could be With that being stated, I would like to say, number one, thank you, all, as I alluded into our letter and our response. Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to come before the Commission and to be able to speak about some of the challenges that I think La Tierra has faced for a while.

Many of us on the board now have really seen kind of the deviation, the challenge that kind of moved us in an area that was a little more challenging.

By the way, Missy, can we move -- I believe Brenda Atencio is on now.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes.

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: I believe she has her hand raised.

Perfect.

So we do have Brenda Atencio who's on. And then Mr. Mike Vigil, who is our business manager, from the Vigil Group, as well, so he can speak a little bit about where the finances and the challenges are.

But as I stated before, our main -- our main concern was to address some of the challenges that were ongoing and were prevalent.

We had some key issues that, for us,

monitored as part of the site visit.

And in terms of certification for Montessori, that's a little bit more complex of a situation that we don't feel we need to impose.

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right.

Mr. Casados, if you don't mind, if you want to introduce yourself and any of the other folks that are here today from La Tierra. And I will give it over to you to provide a school presentation response, if you'd like.

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Chair Burt, Commissioners. Thank you for being here today.

Today to my right, and dependent on where he sits in your screen, we have our head learner, Cliff Tompson, who is in the yellow polo shirt.

We have Delisha Gordon-Brown, who is our secretary of the board, and Mr. Charlie Riddick. He has a nice "C" over his name right now. He's a member of our board. Brenda Atencio is currently at an Indian Market event. Indian Market is this weekend, and many of our Native American members are participating in other projects. She was on this morning and will hopefully be back on before we carry on any further.

moving forward, we really wanted to make sure that there was a clarity -- being that this is a taxpayer institution, that there was a clarity to that fidelity of finances back to our taxpayers, ensuring that we had a head learner who was following all provisions of the law. That was very, very important to us. And ensuring that any disruption that was occurring on the board was stopped as quickly as possible. That was a really challenging issue that we had faced for about four or five

And as we began to kind of address and readdress that issue within our own board, we really felt that it was best for us to move in a different direction.

As Director Chavez spoke about, we did carry out new board elections. We are required under our bylaws and our policies and procedures to hold an annual meeting. And that annual meeting was held, and new officers were elected. Unfortunately, we had a former board member who did not want to relinquish that title, and we wanted to move in a new direction that could, number one, uplift the educational opportunities for our students, and also ensure that, we feel, repaired a lot of the

challenges and ongoing issues that we saw as deeply troubling.

2.

We tasked Mr. Cliff Tompson with that task, and he has been incredible in helping us to kind of realign, refocus, and provide a clarity, not only to the school staff, but also to our students and our parents.

And he has come with a beneficial background, where he's done this prior at Abiquiu Elementary School. And we are very -- very pleased to have him at the helm as he helps us kind of prepare and ensure that the school is where it needs to be.

The exciting part is where we are as a school. We opened up last week. We currently have 100 students, which is a profound statement from where we were not just a couple of months ago, right around 80 students. We're seeing a 20 percent increase in that process.

A majority of our teachers all returned back to La Tierra Montessori School. And one of the key areas that I think was alluded to this morning is that the board has played a role in the hiring process. We don't do that. We have left that up to Mr. Tompson. Tompson is in control of that process

La Tierra Montessori School.

And we are pleased to have her there. She is an incredible educator. As I've always said, I will give credit where credit is due. And she has the foresight and the ability to be able to connect with young children in ways that we've never seen before. And that, to us, is very exciting, especially at such a young age.

We did address many of the key issues that the PEC did look at. And I will be the first to say, you know, we could have gone a different route or maybe pursued another methodology to ensure we did not have a 15-day lapse within our head learner. But our main goal was when we did find somebody, we found somebody with the credentials and was at the helm.

One of the things I wanted to reiterate is that a 3B was always in charge of that school at all times. And thank you to Mr. Steve Lang for helping us navigate some of those challenges. Of course, he was not in the administrator role, and the board did not approve that. But he was able, and through the hard work of Maitai Lopez and our business manager, they were able to keep things moving and selling.

What I'd also like to state is our

from start to finish. He will confer and let us know, "Here's who I'm placing in this position. Here's who I'm placing in that position."

And that was really, really important that we were carrying out that initiative.

I think also there was an allusion that we had, in some instances, maybe been a part of the potential firing of Angela Feathers at the end of the year.

We did not fire her. We have allowed the contract to expire, which I think is a really different scenario in comparison to what has been told in the past.

There was also, under the advisement of our counsel, that a contract that was signed by a unauthorized party giving her a contract a day after her contract was not renewed was something that we needed to address. And that was something that both Mr. Tompson and I had a pretty candid conversation with our attorney to find out the best way that we could ensure that this contract was not in violation of the State Personnel Act or our New Mexico State Finance Act.

And so with that, the good news is Ms. Angela Feathers is there. She is a teacher at

students were not in school during this time period. I think there was an allusion in the last meeting that we had started school. The school year started with our students last week. And that has been something that I wanted to bring clarity to, because I think there was this idea that we were already in school, children were not receiving the services and the opportunities that they were supposed to be provided. And that was a little bit of a challenge in that regard.

As far as Ms. Willie Williams, as you know, she publicly resigned on the PEC meeting on July 22nd and has proceeded to -- to make comments and suggestive issues throughout various forums. She made one on our special meeting on Wednesday night as well, reiterating that she was no longer on the board and for us to remove her.

And we are trying our very hardest to follow policies and procedures in this process, because it is imperative that we have that direct link.

We saw a lot of issues -- I wasn't present at that time -- where reporting or specific documents were not arriving to the Public Education Commission on time. And that was one of the things,

when I stepped into the role -- Dylan can attest. we started firing off all the documents right away -- and I really wanted to ensure that we gave the respect to the current president in her specific role to carry out those duties. And that was something that we weren't even informed about as a board to know that we were deficient until only a couple of weeks prior to us changing directional paths for our leadership on the board.

As far as Mr. Tompson, as I said before, he has -- has created a very solid team of educators at the school. As you know, the DASH Plan is something that is very, very important, and we've set some really high goals on ensuring that we uplift the reading and the mathematic scores for this upcoming year, because that is important to us.

We do have a Pre-K program that is now at the school, which is kind of a little bit of a daunting task.

But you know what? We have to start early, because if we can prepare our young children for those units at a younger age, maybe we won't have these challenges later on in life, where they can't read or they have all these other issues.

So we're really trying to address that key

our goal is to be able to repair that connective tissue.

I know Cliff is working with Ms. Cindy to find out ways on how best we can do that.

As far as the fiscal oversight and processes, as you saw within our letter, right after our PEC meeting, we had a really in-depth meeting with Mr. Mike Vigil, really looking at where the challenges occur in the development of this budget.

As I alluded to in the last meeting, the board and the finance committee received this budget three hours before our scheduled meeting, which negated parental involvement, which negated the board having a full in-depth knowledge as to what was occurring. And we were essentially told we had to vote on it that evening and add it to the agenda, because it was due at the PED the following day.

That was a severe issue for us, because we are stewards of public taxpayer funds, and we really wanted to be -- be cognizant of what that meant, but also ensure that we were able to pull that process back in.

So we've had a number of meetings, both Cliff, myself, Delisha, who sits on our finance committee, with Mr. Mike Vigil, and Maitai, really

issue as much as possible. So both Mr. Lang -- Mr. Lang is still there, and Mr. Tompson, are working together to really try to identify on how best they can achieve those goals as quickly as possible.

The good part is we do have a very thriving seventh- and eighth-grade program right now, and we currently have about 18 kids -- is that correct, Mr. Tompson?

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: (Indicates.)

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: So 18 students that are now within the seventh- and eighth-grade program. And I think that's very good, because one of our main goals and one of the things that the La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Sciences really focused in on was a direct connection to the New Mexico School for the Arts. It was a conduit process, so that young children who -- from marginalized communities had that opportunity to go on and receive a high quality education.

And thank you to Cindy Montoya for having that foresight in the past. We've seen about 40 students in the past from La Tierra Montessori school go on to New Mexico School for the Arts. And

looking at best how we can address a budget for this upcoming school year.

We have -- if you go to our website now, an issue of new BARs that we will be voting on at our August 22nd meeting to really ensure that the budget is in place.

One of the areas that we really want to make sure is there's clear transparency. So I have directed our staff, I have directed our folks, to begin placing that information on so that our parents have an opportunity to look at what we're going to be debating and be able to inform us on that clear area.

Now, I think all of us have kind of experienced a little bit of a challenge when it comes to not only the public school system, but also our charter schools, is PED made a huge mistake in the allocation of funds this year. So we saw \$122,000 cut to La Tierra Montessori School's budget for this upcoming year, which is a daunting task in itself, because we now would have to have created our budget all over again to try to balance it out.

So that's what we're doing right now is finding areas on how best we can ensure that we do not have to cut teachers, that we do not have to cut

make change.

an EA, to ensure that the services are being provided to our students in a way that is the most productive, and really beginning to look at were there purchase orders that were issued that we need to maybe pull back on, because we are potentially looking at a \$60,000 deficit by the end of the year because of this cut.

So it's a daunting task, but it's something that we're really taking on as much as possible in this process.

Mr. Mike Vigil, we included his statement as a whole in our response. And he also laid down kind of some of the same issues that I just spoke about, how, moving forward, our intent is to ensure that the FY22-23 budget is provided, not only to the public, but also to the board to be able to provide that -- the baseline.

As far as the officer information and the forms, as I said, we've done an incredible job on making sure that all of that documentation is up to date.

We did have one board member who moved here from California, so she was waiting on her driver's license from New Mexico before a notary would provide that notary stamp. But once she got So our goal is to provide as much transparency as possible. Our secretary has been very good at getting board minutes up on the site as quickly as possible within that 72-hour time period before our meeting so that we can go in that direction.

As far as anything else, one of the things I would like to state -- and then I'll maybe turn it over really briefly to Delisha Gordon-Brown, the statement that we were somewhat racist. I'm a Native American. I'm a Navajo. I come from a Hispanic background as well.

Delisha Gordon-Brown is Hispanic as well, but also is married to an African-American. We have an African-American, Mr. Charlie Riddick, who sits on our board. And we have Brenda Atencio, who's a Native American from Ohkay Owinge and half African-American. So these assertations or these allusions that challenges were done because we don't agree with someone's race is not only offensive, but it's also -- it diffuses the intentionality of what the school was built for.

It was built to provide opportunity for marginalized communities within an economically

it, that form was in the next day. So that's something that's really important to us.

As far as the Open Meetings Act, which I think is one of the areas we have been diligently focused on ensuring that this is followed to the T, as somebody who comes from a legislative background, that's something that's very important to me, and we take any violation of what that could be very seriously.

Our board minutes, our meetings, we're actually moving beyond the 72 hours, and some of the our board agendas have been up seven, five days prior, because it's important to us to be able to assure that we stick to the agenda, that other issues and navigational challenges are not there.

Mr. Carrillo, I want to state that we took your advice, and we moved the public open forum to the beginning of the meeting, which ran a lot more smoothly, which I think is an incredible idea that I think all charter schools and all public schools need to do across the board, because it allows people to be able to speak right away. And if there are specific issues that we need to place on our following agenda for the upcoming month, it allows us to focus on clear areas that we can potentially

depressed area of the state.

And so with that, if you would indulge me, Madam Chair, if Ms. Delisha Gordon-Brown can potentially make a statement and then turn it over to our head learner.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Thank you, Isaac. And thank you, Commissioners, directors, and chairs. Like Mr. Casados had said, it is disheartening to hear of such accusations.

And it is very ironic to think that by me saying, "I'm married to a Black man," is almost in a sense itself me trying not to acknowledge my privilege. I acknowledge my privilege, and I acknowledge those of those around me.

And so I'm actually going to go in a different direction than President Casados. I will not invalidate anybody's feelings. I will not -- let me put it this way.

Everyone has a reason, especially those in the African-American community, to feel, at certain times in their lives, that there are inequities and disparities that have happened to them.

That did not happen now, though. That is the fact. That is -- the fact of the matter is it did not happen now. But I will not invalidate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else's feelings. Ms. Williams, I am sorry if that is how it seemed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this board is diverse. This board is as diverse as you're going to get in Northern New Mexico right now. And I am proud of, you know, where we're actually moving forward in the future.

So without having to -- there's no easy way by acknowledging one's privilege, but also, again, apologizing for how someone else might feel. It's kind of hard not to want to take it personal or feel the blame about it all, and it is a very personal and emotional thing to go through.

But with that being said, I do want everyone to know that I spent the first six months here very empowered by Ms. Williams, really looking toward her as a mentor and trying to uplift her into her position. I even made this really sweet Facebook post about her, because that is how I felt about the person that I was being mentored by.

But professionalisms and people change. And I think it's very important to acknowledge that professional or nonprofessional ways does not in any way mean that we are attacking one's character or their personal being. If you -- that's the way I see the case for that matter.

Mr. Tompson.

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: Well, I want to just take a moment of your time. I just want to focus on the great blessing I feel to be here at this beautiful school, all the people, the opportunity I have to be of service to the faculty members, to the students, to the board. It's an interesting position being a middle manager.

I'll focus on one thing, and that's the life of Maria Montessori. When I wanted to -- when I took this position, to learn more about her, I went to the library and checked out a dozen books and purchased her most recent biography, The Child Is The Teacher, and putting together five PowerPoints to share with our faculty members.

The first will be at our professional development a week from today, the first 30 years of her life.

We need to know what it is about her that made her vision so special and so that we can buy into it.

And for me, I'm in the unique position of being a non-Montessori-trained person, as the head learner of a Montessori school. I bring all the wiles and skills and learning that I have had in my

171

173

So with that, you know, I just hope that the public, especially, understand where the board is coming from, and that, you know, statements like that, as a learning experience for everybody involved, that we all learn to acknowledge that these feelings aren't invalid. It is not our responsibility to try to make those feelings invalid to anybody else, but to acknowledge them and really truly just try to move forward.

So, again, I apologize, but I thank you all for your time.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. So I think that brings us to commissioner questions and comments.

So, Commissioners, I would take questions from you. And, once again, you can draft the -- if you have any questions for Ms. Barnes, for Director Chavez, or for the school themselves -- Mr. Casados, did you want to comment?

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Yes. I wanted to see if maybe our head learner could state something as well. Because I think he is important in this narrative as well. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Sure. Thank you. Thank you. Commissioner Robbins, I see your hand.

life to be successful at this daunting challenge.

I'll just leave with you this. We love people. We overlook their shortcomings. Where there's pain, we have understanding. We have a new think tank here at our school, our leaders, a diverse group of faculty members to help us guide forward and move forward well.

I'll entertain any specific questions. I appreciate Mr. Vigil, the Vigil Group. They're outstanding. It's been such a pleasure to work with them.

I appreciate Mr. Casados, his confidence and other members of the board, our faculty members, including those who spoke this morning. It's just difficult, but I'm very grateful. So that's it for me.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Mr. Casados, I appreciate your comments and speaking before us today, and the others from the school.

You mentioned that you, I think, worked with Mr. Tompson in regarding -- or someone -regarding a contract with a teacher, Ms. Feathers, and that you got involved in that. And I guess

that's a concern, if it was only from the standpoint of legality. But it raises the potential concern that the governance council is involved in the hiring or review of staff rather than the head learner.

So that's a concern. I don't have to have an answer. But that is a concern. When you mention an involvement there, it leaves open the perception that there is direction given on who to hire, promote, and how to evaluate.

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: If I can, Commissioner Robbins -- Robinson (verbatim). One of the things I need clarification -- I think Mr. Tompson will clarify this as well. We had a contract that was signed by an invalid entity who was an assistant administrator, but had not been given the authority to be able to sign that contract.

So our conversation was with the attorney and with the current, newly hired head learner to get clarification on how Mr. Tompson would move forward.

Mr. Tompson has taken care of all those initiatives, all of those hiring, firing, all of those perspectives. That is his purview and will always be the purview. And that's where the board

were in Pre-K," because they have to go through the lottery like any other child. They don't get preference.

So how are you handling the financial aspects of it and any policies that you put in place to ensure there's no preselection of Pre-K students going into kindergarten or first grade?

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Mr. Tompson, do you want to take that one?

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: Sure, sure. So when I joined the school in mid-July, the conversations were, "Gosh, we were denied our grant for Pre-K."

But we've been told by the Public Education Department to offer Pre-K services in as much as that's at the heart of what Maria Montessori's instructional practices were. Pre-K is vitally important.

And we felt, if we put together a Pre-K program, have seven students, and showed good faith in funding that -- they're in with the kindergarteners; you know, in Montessori, the kids are grouped together by age level -- that's what we would do. And that's been the practice of our -- Commissioner Robbins.

stands.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you for that clarification. I think that's important to kind of clear the slate, because that is always a concern when we -- you know, to me, if I hear something like that.

The other thing you mentioned -- and I don't know if we were aware of this -- is the adding of the Pre-K program.

And we've had issues with some schools, because if you have teachers that are receiving and being paid for with SEG funds. Are they also teaching in the Pre-K, and are they in the same facility that SEG funds are used to pay for the facility, how are those costs being separated and segregated so that SEG funds are not covering Pre-K, and Pre-K funds are not going to supplement, you know, the public school?

Because Pre-K individuals, my understanding is they're still not considered students. And they are children. But they -- you know, we've had issues with a couple of schools where -- you know, and with charters. You know, do they just move right on in into first grade, kindergarten and first grade, because, "Oh, they

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: You said you weren't given the grant. How are you paying for that program?

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: So the teacher, Ms. Feathers, teaches kindergarten. And she's got, I think, 12 kids in kindergarten. The seven Pre-K kids are there with her, too. So that's seven students, \$12,000 a pop, that were not funded for, sir

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So, in essence, you're using SEG funding to provide Pre-K services, which, my understanding is that would be a violation of the use of the SEG funds.

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: Yes. So I guess that's being caught between a rock and a hard place. The school requested funds -- of course, this was prior to my arrival -- requested to be a part of the Pre-K Grant. We didn't get it, but yet we were also told to provide the service. So which way do we turn, Commissioner Robbins, sir?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I'm not an attorney. But I think there's a violation in the use of the SEG funds. Even if PED said to do it, you cannot -- you may -- they didn't -- I don't think they told you it was okay to use SEG funds.

They may have said, "Go ahead and provide the

But you have to find a different source of funding, because it's wrong to be using the SEG funds, which are intended for your kindergarten through upper grade, not to provide any services to Pre-K. That is a concern.

services."

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: Noted, sir. THE CHAIR: Are you done, Commissioner Robbins?

Okay. Director Chavez, then Commissioner Gipson.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. So I wanted to talk about what Mr. Casados said about the PED making an error in the budget. And my understanding is that it wasn't a PED error. Maybe it had to do with Pre-K, this very issue. I'm not sure.

But I think Mr. Vigil might be able to provide some insight. My understanding is that there was a change in program. And so perhaps they initially budgeted for Pre-K.

I would like for Mr. Vigil to shed some light onto the issue if -- if the PEC so wants to hear. But this was not a PED error.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Yeah. Mr. Vigil, if

correct, gave the school 63.5 membership. That's fine. But they also somehow input 75 new memberships.

Now, I'm not sure how the PED, who develops this funding formula which is through the 910B5, would have said, "Okay, we're going to give them 63 students in elementary and fine arts, and, in a new program, 75 students," which would have been over 130 students, when the school hasn't been over 100 students in years.

To say that this was not a PED error is kind of beyond me, you know, being such that the PED actually delayed the Stabilization Guarantee nearly to the last day of the month. In my 14 years doing this, the SEG in July has never been past maybe the 12th of the month.

So to say that this was not a miscalculation on PED's part, I believe is incorrect. And to definitely say if it was a Pre-K issue, I believe that's incorrect as well.

As far as the SEG -- just to touch on that being used to pay for Pre-K, I know that the Pre-K initiative itself is its own State initiative, and it is below-the-line funding. But there is a small section on the 910B5 that does account for certain

you would talk about that?

MR. MIKE VIGIL II: Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. Can everyone hear me all right? I know there's been hearing issues.

All right. This is not anything to do with Pre-K whatsoever. Otherwise, it wouldn't be in the news that APS lost \$13 million through this PED SEG cut.

A. The issue was that there's a form that the PED has felt has been in place two years now that identifies where programs are going to be input for ELTP, K5-Plus, fine arts education, and bilingual. On that form, it gives the ability to project out, "Is this going to be a new program or an existing program?"

For whatever reason, for a handful of charter schools -- and, like I said, school districts, including APS -- they allowed for not only existing programs to be input, but also new programs.

So for La Tierra, which I can only speak to directly here, we have a couple of other schools that are affected but -- in similar, but not the same ways. But, essentially, the elementary fine arts program has -- the existing program, which is Pre-K membership. The school did not get that with its SEG funding this year.

And as far as legality, I'm not a lawyer, either, but I have seen schools supplement for many things, including things like physical education, which charters passed fiscal year eight and have never been funded for. So things that are not funded for, necessarily, sometimes are pushed through the operational SEG as a matter of programmatic necessity.

So I stand for any questions beyond that, but I think I've covered most of it.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Mr. Vigil, my question is did you all drop the bilingual program?

MR. MIKE VIGIL II: There was no program in previous year. So for the bilingual program in specific, they have zero from the 80/120-day average from Fiscal Year '21, and then a magical new program of 75 students in Fiscal Year '22.

I was not with the school specifically in the budget development, nor in the review of this particular 910B5. But it just seems like that units were added incorrectly and then reduced back out to the tune that, again, we had two weeks where the PED was not even issuing State Equalization Guarantee to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 fix their calculations. 2 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So, Commissioner, 3 I think that that's where a lot of the drop in 4 funding came from, the drop in the bilingual program 5 from Fiscal Year '22 to '23. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thank you. So I 8 have now a new deep concern based on the information 9 just provided regarding the Pre-K students and that 10

funding. I don't see how the Pre-K Bureau just tells a school, "Oh, go ahead and run it, but we're not funding."

You know, that's just kind of out of the ordinary for something like that.

But, regardless, if there's no Pre-K grant money coming in that the school has not been granted, and their application wasn't approved, the school is using SEG money to fund those 12 students, or whatever it is. And that is, as Commissioner Robbins said, inappropriate use of State-funded money.

And I would presume, then, that those Pre-K students have to be taking the place of a potential kindergartener in the school, because you only have X amount of space for kindergarten

We chose not to renew the contract. And that was within our purview as a governing council board.

Now, as far as the --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just one second. Because you specifically said, "We didn't not renew the contract. We just let it lapse."

Now you're saying, "We non-renewed the contract." So that's where I'm getting confused.

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: So our -- so when we went into our board meeting, we were pressed by the former president of the board to -- to issue a contract. The board chose not to do so. And in doing so, the contract expired, per our council, on June 30th, 2022.

So that was what -- what Ms. Patty Matthews had made a recommendation for us to do. So under her guidance, that's how we allowed it to do. We didn't fire her. We didn't do any of those processes. We just chose not to extend the contract and allowed the contract to expire on June 30th.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: If I may, it wasn't a last-minute decision -- I'm sorry. It was a last-minute kind of last-couple-of-weeks decision when we were met with resistance on trying to

183 185

students.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So I am -- I am very concerned about the fact that there -- that there are -- without our even knowledge as a Commission -- that there is Pre-K students there and being served and how that funding is occurring. So that does concern me.

In addition, I'm going to ask, was there a board vote to let the contract lapse of the head administrator?

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: There was. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: In public schools, I've never seen -- any contract I've ever seen of a public school requires notice to the individual that the contract's not being renewed. There's just not a, "Oh, gee, we let it lapse," that there's a notification process that's embedded in all contracts.

Was there notification that the contract was going to allow to be lapsed, which is (inaudible) but --

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: So our contract does not have a provision for that. Our contract states that the -- that the -- the governing council can, at any time, either fire the head learner and/or not renew the contract.

address issues that had happened previously in the year, which are still ongoing issues.

And when met with resistance, there -- I spent about two days trying to kind of mediate between, you know, our board and our former head learner, how can we get this. It was really a daunting process.

It wasn't just -- it wasn't a decision we all came to lightly. It did take some time and some considerable amount of frustration on all of our ends. I, for one, was in favor of renewal until I personally was met with a lot of resistance in the whole situation. I don't even know how better to say that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thank you. And do vou --

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Can I address the Early Childhood and Pre-K?

So one of the reasons the Early Childhood Development Department gave an inclination that they could not approve this was because Ohkay Owinge has a Pre-K program that sits on the reservation, La Tierra Montessori School sits on Ohkay Owinge's tribal reservation.

The unfortunate circumstance with that is

only tribal members are allowed to attend the Pre-K program at Ohkay Owingeh. Being that Montessori is so integral of Pre-K and kindergarten being tied together, that is where that process came into play.

It was under the advice of the attorney -- and this was also another issue where many of us, as board members, were kind of placed into this position where the form needed to be submitted the following day.

We addressed deep concerns that there was no funding or that where was the money going to come from to be able to support this. We at that time had about \$300,000 in extra reserves within our account. The unfortunate circumstance is now, with this cut, it changes that dimensional approach drastically.

So we are trying to make do with something where parents were already informed that a Pre-K program was coming on board. The board had to do whatever they could, because the application and the forms and everything were already provided, and it was our job to just get on board, as we were told from the former president and the former head learner.

So it did catch us in a little bit of a

who think their children are going to go to a Pre-K program and the difficulty in making that call. But if those students aren't funded with a grant, that's the call that has to be made at this point in time until you get the grant, because right now, you have no one approving you to have that Pre-K program.

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: I sure appreciate that gracious summary and Commissioner Robbins' remarks as well. I think -- if I can recall my conversation with Patty Matthews roughly/correctly three weeks ago, Patty Matthews, our attorney, on the same subject, we had identified another funding source than SEG. Now I hate to put that statement out as being in court. But I hope I have that right.

Commissioner Robbins is shaking his head that I don't.

But I'd like to talk to Ms. Matthews to see where we stood on that one. That's a conversation I just don't recall.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: SEG funds are only for students in kindergarten and above through high school. SEG is not allocated based upon any Pre-K students. Therefore, they cannot be used for Pre-K students.

challenge. We're trying to make the best of it, unfortunately.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I appreciate that. Bud you're taking care of those Pre-K students with a funded teacher with SEG money. And Pre-K programs are not funded with SEG money.

And that's where the concern is, that how -- you have to -- if you get a Pre-K grant, you have to show the percentage of the Pre-K grant money that this teacher is being used 75 percent of the time as a Pre-K teacher.

So, therefore, that's where that money is dedicated to. And the 25 percent of it you're spending -- and I understand the challenge with Montessori. But this is the challenge with using State funds with this.

But you have to clearly show that the grant money is being used for the Pre-K students and that the kindergarten students -- and if it's the 25 percent, then that's where that SEG money is going, and it has to be clearly delineated.

You don't have grant money. So right now, it's only SEG money that's funding those Pre-K students being there.

And I do appreciate the trauma for parents

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: That's correct.

And my -- so what I attempted to convey a moment ago was that we are not using SEG funds for Pre-K. But I don't have that factually right. I need to check with Ms. Matthews.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: But if you don't have any other funding source, you are using SEG funds.

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: That's why I need to talk to Patty. We may be using another funding source. I don't have it right here. I apologize for that, Commissioner Robbins. I'll check on it.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I expect Mr. Vigil would know all your funding sources as a financial individual. He would know the funding sources. And you don't have a grant or a donation in sufficient amounts to cover all those students and the use of the facilities. You are, in fact, using SEG funds, which is a violation of State statute on the SEG.

MR. CLIFFORD TOMPSON: Thank you. Okay.
 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Inaudible due to
 audio production issues.)

MR. ISAAC CASADOS: We can barely hear you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Can you hear me now better?

So from where I'm -- I'd like to hear from Mike Vigil as to where the money is coming from. So what's challenging, though, is the fact that we're hearing different things about where money is or isn't coming from and this kind of almost cavalier attitude about kind of operating in a nebulous gray area just because you didn't have any plan for anything else.

And it's just, like, a shoot-from-the-hip sort of strategy. And I've got to tell you, from me, anyway, it certainly doesn't inspire confidence.

So I'm, you know, clearly -- and I view this -- stated in past meetings, I think it's imperative that we do everything possible to make sure that the children of the Española Valley have access to a Montessori education.

But what I'm hearing today and what I've heard in past meetings doesn't -- you know, frankly it just doesn't give me confidence that this is a group that can make that happen.

When I -- when, earlier in the meeting, Mr. Casados, you had said -- you used the phrase a couple of times about directing staff here, So, Commissioners, if you're -- if you would like to -- if we need to text Julia and call her, you can do that at this time. But if you're in person, you can go out to the hallway and (inaudible). Thank you.

(Recess taken, 2:35 p.m. to 2:46 p.m.) THE CHAIR: Okay. So I'm going to pass

this over to Vice Chair Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair.

I move that the Public Education Commission place La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Sciences on the Intervention Ladder and issue a Notice of Concern for failure to comply with laws and bylaws of the school regarding the following:

- 1. Violations of the PEC contract and governing board member assurances to comply with laws and bylaws. Having appropriate fiscal oversight and processes in place at all times including the use of SEG funding and a Pre-K program funding.
- 2. Violation of the school's bylaws, including governance of the school through a head administrator and governing board members to

1 establish meeting protocols.

directing staff there. You shouldn't be directing staff anywhere ever. You're a chair of the board, and that's all you do, and you work with the head learner and kind of that. So it's troubling to hear you state about the different direction you were giving the staff.

You know, people always use the phrase, "with all due respect," and "bless your heart." Especially since we're (inaudible), "bless your heart" (inaudible). But it troubles me as well that I don't know you, Mr. Tompson, at all. We met just briefly one time a month ago.

But it's the apparent lack of knowledge for how things work relative to funding. It just -- I mean, I absolutely think you need to be on an Intervention Ladder. I absolutely think we need to give you things to do to show us that you're capable of doing it. And if not, well, you're not, and the chips fall where they fall. But that's (inaudible).

THE CHAIR: Okay. Seeing that we have -- I'm not seeing any other Commissioner questions right now. But I would like to take maybe a five-minute break, as -- to allow for Commissioners to consult with our attorney to figure out some motion language.

I further move that the school immediately shut down any Pre-K program not authorized by the PEC Public Education Department and funded by SEG funds and only provide such services with non-SEG funds, after submitting appropriate documentation of a program to the PEC.

I further move that the Executive Committee of the PEC provide La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts And sciences with expected outcomes and deadlines by August 29th, 2022.

And, finally, I further move that the Charter School Division report back to the PEC on the progress of the school and recommend, when possible, to put the school back in good standing, or, if necessary, to take further action on the Intervention Ladder.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.
THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second.

Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think we have to modify the motion to say Early Childhood Department, not Public Education Department. That's the only change in the motion I think we have to make. Is

it -- well -- I think (inaudible) if they're not clear what we're changing.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Is Early Childhood in Public Education Department?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, it's a separate Department.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. Just another quick thing.

I want to take out -- I want to strike the word "meeting" up above, because it's "established protocols," not just "meeting protocols."

Commissioner Gipson, was that all right? Strike the word "through established protocols," not "through established meeting protocols"? Do you see that?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Yes. Do we need to reread the motion now, Julia, for compliance? Or are we okay just making those changes the way that we just did it?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think we're okay. We're going to put it in a letter to the school.

I just also want to make sure that it's what you intend. That's just what I'm looking at, again, is that -- sorry. I'm going to strike the

after submitting appropriate documentation of a program to the PEC.

I further move the Executive committee of the PEC provide La Tierra Montessori for the Arts and Science (verbatim) with expected outcomes and deadlines by August 29th, 2022.

And, finally, I further move that the Charter School Division report back to the PEC on the progress of the school and recommend, when possible, to put the school back in good standing or, if necessary, to take further action on the Intervention Ladder.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There is a motion and a second.

Any comments from Commissioners? Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you, Chair Burt. So as I'm sitting here listening to the motion, I'm thinking to myself that -- and I know it, and I've seen it this way -- this could have very easily, I believe, gone to the next step of the Intervention Ladder today. And that is the breach step, the much more serious step.

And it's not because I feel like we are --

word A, (inaudible) funding and Pre-K funding, program funding.

Commissioner Voigt, why don't you reread it?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I can do that. All right.

I move that the Public Education
Commission place La Tierra Montessori School for the
Arts and Science (verbatim) on the Intervention
Ladder and issue a Notice of Concern for failure to
apply the laws and bylaws of the school regarding
the following:

- 1. Violations of the PEC contract and governing board member assurances to comply with laws and bylaws, having appropriate fiscal oversight and processes in place at all times, including the use of SEG funding and Pre-K program funding.
- 2. Violations of the school's bylaws, including governance of the school through a head administrator and governing board members through established protocols.

I further move that the school immediately shut down any Pre-K program not authorized by the Early Childhood Department and funded with SEG funds and only provide such services with non-SEG funds

we are a body that really wants to give everyone the opportunity they can to rectify things, to make things good and to do what's best for kids and to give you the opportunity, you know, over these next weeks to make things right.

But I just -- I feel like you dodged a bullet, and you need to take all of this stuff seriously, the OMA stuff. Because I remember mentioned -- I think it was Mr. Casados that mentioned how, you know, that it came to your attention that you needed to have this done and you put it on the agenda. You can't just put things on the agenda the next day. (Inaudible.)

There needs to be a much more clear understanding of the parameters with which you need to operate within and adherence to those parameters.

So that's it for now. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. I'm -- I'll just say I will support this just to -- I think it's important that we just have some additional oversight and collaboration in the next 20 months just to ensure that the governing board and the school starts moving in the right direction.

I think this governing board, you can -- you can get there. And so I think it's important

	198		200
1	stuff for us to have some additional support and	1	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
2	oversight at this time. So that's why I will	2	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I just wanted to
3	support it today.	3	make sure that Commissioner Gipson felt that we had
4	All right. Seeing no other hands up,	4	sufficient funds in the contractual area to handle
5	Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.	5	things that she may feel that the Commission needs
6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.	6	to do next year, that, you know, there's \$30,000 in
7	Commissioner Voigt.	7	there that's not designated under contractual, but
8	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	8	that could be increased slightly if she felt more
9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo	9	money needed to be added.
10	votes yes.	10	Otherwise, I think, with the explanations
11	Chair Burt.	11	that were given by the Director yesterday and the
12	THE CHAIR: Yes.	12	discussion we had, I am pleased with the proposed
13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	13	budget for the PEC and CSD.
14	Carrillo.	14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well
15	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm going to
17	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	17	because I'm I think there's enough money in the
18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	18	REC&C contract to address any areas that we feel
19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	19	we'll need support for. Is that what you're
20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	20	getting I guess maybe I'm confused, Commissioner
21	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	21	Robbins, with what exactly you're asking. I'm not
22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	22	sure.
23	Robbins.	23	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the the
24	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	24	Southwest REC, my understanding was that was for
25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight	25	them to provide food. But we did not want to do
	1 / 5		•
	199		201
			201
1	to zero.	1	that.
1 2		1 2	
	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.		that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh.
2	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had	2	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money
2 3	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.	2 3	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh.
2 3 4	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also	2 3 4	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you	2 3 4 5	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it	2 3 4 5 6	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining	2 3 4 5 6 7	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote. Commissioner Voigt?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to move that the Public Education Commission approve the projected budget of FY 23, as shown in Document 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And, again, I want to thank Mr. Torres for his work on this and his presentation yesterday and, ultimately, that final page that really shows the breakdown of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to move that the Public Education Commission approve the projected budget of FY 23, as shown in Document 10 of the PEC budget, with the change that we will not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And, again, I want to thank Mr. Torres for his work on this and his presentation yesterday and, ultimately, that final page that really shows the breakdown of the 2 percent. We've had that before, and it's very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to move that the Public Education Commission approve the projected budget of FY 23, as shown in Document 10 of the PEC budget, with the change that we will not spend any funds from the REC to provide any meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. And thank you. I know Mr. Casados had said, "Try to keep our (inaudible). So thank you for (inaudible) that. But we'll also I'll also apologize having you to come to Ruidoso, making it difficult for you (inaudible). Thanks for joining us online, bearing with us on that part. MR. ISAAC CASADOS: Thank you so much, Commissioners. And thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 11, Discussion sorry No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Budget for the PEC for FY23. And we did go over this yesterday. So I guess we could just go to Commissioner questions and vote. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And, again, I want to thank Mr. Torres for his work on this and his presentation yesterday and, ultimately, that final page that really shows the breakdown of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Oh. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if that money can be used elsewhere for other things that may be identified that we would need to do contractual work with, that's fine, because it could be changed in terms of a line item. But, generally, you have to specify what entity you know, this is what DFA likes. They like to know the entity or entities that you're proposing to contract with or the type of services that you may be contracting with. If you don't have a definitive name, you can say, "These are the types of services." And if it's just other contractual services to support schools, charter schools, that may be sufficient. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think I'm I think I'm good. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to move that the Public Education Commission approve the projected budget of FY 23, as shown in Document 10 of the PEC budget, with the change that we will not

2.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I just want to be clear that we're still funding to that amount that money; so -- and that's -- I think that's what Commissioner Robbins was trying to get to. So, yeah. Yeah. (Inaudible.) THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And that's fine. Is there any way that we can add in this motion --because the total amount between what we have for CSD, PEC, and the PED amount of roughly \$900,000, is about \$1 million less than what the SEG is. Is

rather than the full \$3.3 million or \$3.4 million?

THE CHAIR: I'm not going to support making that amendment to the motion, because, right now, the budget is aligned with what is in Share.

And so right now, it is balanced.

there any way we can include in the motion that the

fund under the \$2.4 million that is in this budget

PED will only withhold the appropriate percentage to

And I'm not going to support that at this point.

Director Chavez, I see your hand up. But we do have a motion already made, so I cannot call

withholds it, starting at the beginning of the year, when they only need about 70 percent of the SEG to cover the -- the funding of their projected costs and the servicing that needs to be done for the PEC and covering the cost of the -- of the CSD.

So withholding more money than is necessary, there's not a mechanism to give it back if it's not withheld -- or not not withheld at the beginning.

So if they don't just sit there and say,
"We're going to take 70 percent of the 2 percent" -or roughly 1.4 percent -- if they don't do that at
the beginning, then the schools don't have the money
at all for this year. And that's almost a million
dollars.

And some of these schools, you're looking at it costing them tens of thousands of dollars. And even for a small school, it is a couple of thousand dollars. And they won't have it to provide for, you know, other cost increases that have come along that the Legislature did not fund, such as utility increases and other maintenance costs that they have to pay for out of their SEG.

That's why I just like to hear what other Commissioners have to say.

on you.

Any other Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just have a

4 question.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson and Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Because I don't know if it's necessary if we want to comment on the (inaudible), because I would just support (inaudible).

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would say to Commissioner Robbins, even though you heard the Chair say she wouldn't support an amendment of that

nature, certainly, it is your right as a

Commissioner to make an amendment and see if there's

enough other Commissioners to have it fly. You know, I -- if you want to make that argument.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I would like to hear what other Commissioners have to say. I mean, I definitely think if the PEC withholds the money, they won't give it back. And that money will

revert at the end of the year. And it's almost a

million dollars that the charter schools could use

that they will be prevented from using if the PED

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson and Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I -- you know, I appreciate the conversation. But I think.

appreciate the conversation. But I think, Commissioner Robbins, actually, it's a motion for

discussion. It's not appropriate for us to make
 those comments, because there's a motion on the

floor separate from that idea.

So I would gladly weigh in, but I don't think it's appropriate to the motion that's on the table.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if I'm correct, the motion is only to approve the PEC and the CSD budgets as presented.

THE CHAIR: Yes. But eliminating any cost for meals during PEC meetings from the budget.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. I will make a motion separately, then, from that.

(Inaudible comments from various

Commissioners.)

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Robbins, once we vote on this, we're done with this item. So I think you would need to make a motion to amend.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I would like

	206		208
1	to amend the motion that the PED be requested to	1	(inaudible) on which to do it is part of that trying
2	only withhold the SEG funds from the 2 percent in	2	to figure it out.
3	order to meet the needs of the PED, the CSD, and the	3	So I think I would support this when we
4	PEC, as provided in the numbers we were given	4	also have I think it's part of the MOU that we're
5	yesterday and have in our documents today.	5	negotiating right now. And so I think it could kind
6	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Does this require a	6	of live in there, and, like Commissioner Gipson
7	second?	7	said, I think the Budget Subcommittee can look at
8	THE CHAIR: It does.	8	what that lever could be or start having those
9	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Then I second it.	9	conversations. And, hopefully, it can kind of come
10	THE CHAIR: Thank you. So there's a	10	together in a package where it's together, the MOU
11	motion to amend and a second.	11	and the budget.
12	Any discussion?	12	And I don't think we have to wait to
13	Commissioner Gipson.	13	consider this for the MOU. But I think the
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So and I once	14	(inaudible) is one of the points we make is we did
15	again, I appreciate the concept, because it was	15	propose we have put that into our proposal also.
16	something that I brought up yesterday. But my	16	I think that's the that's the way I'll
17	concern is it's because this is the first time	17	support it moving forward, right now in this moment
18	we're doing this I think the Budget Committee	18	today.
19	needs to be able to have time to track the budget	19	All right. So we are going to vote on the
20	for us to be able to confidently and I think	20	amendment only, so on adding the amendment to the
21	we've come from the Budget Committee to the	21	motion.
22	Commission that we would make a recommendation at	22	Commissioner Manis.
23	some point in time.	23	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Could you repeat the
24	I'm not sitting on (inaudible) budget.	24	amendment for me?
25	But after we've been more vigilant in our work and	25	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: The amendment would
	ě		
	207		209
1	two strings that the averages are averaged to make		
	tracking the the expenses, we would be more	1	be that the PED be requested to only withhold the
2	tracking the the expenses, we would be more confident in bringing forward a recommendation that.	1 2	be that the PED be requested to only withhold the amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter
	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that,		amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter
2	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an	2	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in
2 3	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that,	2 3	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter
2 3 4	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back.	2 3 4	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today
2 3 4 5	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues	2 3 4 5	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly
2 3 4 5 6	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one	2 3 4 5 6	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward	2 3 4 5 6 7	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment? So right now, we're just on to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment? So right now, we're just on to the amendment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment? So right now, we're just on to the amendment? I'll say I disagree with Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment? So right now, we're just on to the amendment? I'll say I disagree with Commissioner Gipson. And I think it's also right now, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	confident in bringing forward a recommendation that, say, (inaudible) that 1.75 percent be, as an example, for the following year be held back, because there was so much that reverted back. And I completely understand the issues with money reverting back. And none of us no one on the subcommittee, no one from CSD, looks forward to seeing money reverted back. But because this is a new process for us, I think we need to be able to look at it to I would not be confident and I don't think that language gives PED enough direction to say it is sufficient. But whether we make that decision and how you would they do that, I think it's difficult at this moment in time. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion on the amendment? So right now, we're just on to the amendment? I'll say I disagree with Commissioner Gipson. And I think it's also right now, it's also one of the items that we proposed in our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amount of SEG funds you see from the State charter schools sufficient to cover the budget that is in the numbers that were presented yesterday and today and the materials we have today, which is roughly \$2.4 million. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you for that. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins.

	210		212
1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner	1	Voigt.
2	Armijo votes no.	2	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Yeah, I
3	THE CHAIR: All right. So all right.	3	think this is a great idea. I feel that having this
4	So the amendment fails, and we're back on to the	4	agenda item will create more definitely more
5	original motion, which is to approve with the	5	cooperation and input from all Commissioners.
6	removal of the lunch anything to do okay. All	6	I think that, also, if a Commissioner
7	right.	7	misses the opportunity to put in a new business item
8	Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll.	8	on the day of the meeting, they have a second
9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	9	opportunity to submit that form, which we, the PEC,
10	Carrillo.	10	(inaudible).
11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	11	MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll just raise a
12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	12	technicality that I just did in one way. So if
13	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	13	someone wants something on the agenda, they can
14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	14	submit it ten days before the next agenda. But in
15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	15	the New Business section, if you wanted something to
16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	16	be heard in December, for example, you could say
17	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	17	that in this motion.
18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	18	It didn't have to just be that, because
19	Robbins.	19	we've been doing a lot of advanced planning of
20	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	20	you just did it on budget items. So it allows for
21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt.	21	that flexibility both ways.
22	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	22	THE CHAIR: All right. So seeing no other
23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo	23	hands up, I'm going to I move that the Public
24	votes yes.	24	Education Commission approve change to the PEC Rules
25	And Chair Burt.	25	of Procedure allowing new business to be proposed in
	211		213
1	THE CHAIR: Yes.	1	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2.
1 2	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes.	2	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item	2 3	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion
2	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of	2 3 4	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second.
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes.	2 3 4 5	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion?
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this	2 3 4 5 6	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a	2 3 4 5 6 7	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments? This is the Executive Committee taking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments? This is the Executive Committee taking what you all say and putting it into practice. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments? This is the Executive Committee taking what you all say and putting it into practice. You can't say we don't listen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That vote passes. THE CHAIR: Great. That moves us to Item No. 11, which is Discussion of Change in Rules of Procedure for New Business Topics. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just trying to get this open. My understanding is you had requested a change that would allow, effectively, a standing agenda item to present new business. And there is an intention to approve the PEC this change in the PEC protocols and then be able to use this process in this meeting. The redlined document, the change is on Page 8. And there is a clean version in here as well. So the motion if you like this language, the motion would be to adopt the clean version. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments? This is the Executive Committee taking what you all say and putting it into practice. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an open meeting, as set forth in Document 11.2. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All right. If we can take roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I voted already. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to zero. Sorry.

2.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And if you all will bear with me one minute.

So I'm going to ask for -- that I'm going to move the Report from the Chair up, due to the fact that I have a daughter who's playing in her very first varsity football game up in Rio Rancho at 7:00 tonight. I'd like to drive back in order to see her.

I'm going to do the Report from the Chair. I'll stay as long as possible to -- to -- to where I can drive. And Vice Chair Voigt, I'm very grateful to have assist in chairing the rest of the meeting.

So we are on to Item No. 14. So give her all the trouble when I leave. I fully support that, just to let you know.

All right. So Report from the Chair.
So the report for you are updated Public
Education Commission items. And you'll see that I
do have one -- one item is the status of the final
contract for The GREAT Academy.

I did want to let you all know that after last month -- the PED did make a decision based off

anything like that.

I don't see anybody from -- for my next two items. So (inaudible). I think that's it for Report from the Chair.

And so that'll take us back up to the Report -- I think I'm going to do report from PEC Liaisons next, so I can do that first one as well, and then I'll leave.

All right. So LESC met -- it was in our last meeting. One of the biggest items that were discussed that I think is very important to the Public Education Commission was assessment. It is going to -- they will be receiving assessment results coming up.

I think that we probably should consider -- and, actually, I'll be proposing this to the Executive Committee -- having a conversation as a full Commission about the assessments from last year and then what assessments look like for this upcoming year, because it does directly impact the Performance Framework in the reports that we get.

There are some concerns that I heard as far as some of the alignment that was done in the assessments. You know, it's -- in, just, honesty, it's nothing anybody doesn't know. But very -- we

of the filings that were done by The GREAT Academy, our response to that, I still think we did a really great response for -- for both the items that we were wanting to (inaudible), the financial condition and the (inaudible) term. The PED did decide that the five-year term would continue. And then all the financial condition was actually just removed as not supported any longer by the PED.

So that contract is now executed. It's backdated as to July 1st. So it is a current contract that is in effect. It is the only contract that I did not sign as Chair. So we did not sign that. It just goes into effect as ordered by the Secretary.

And we did, just recently, get all the amendments to the contract as well. It was a little bit more difficult than maybe it should have been for our attorney to get those items. But we are grateful that they did come in.

And so now there is a full contract for The GREAT Academy that is in effect.

Once again, if anyone has any questions about that, you're more than welcome to individually reach out to Ms. Barnes on any questions you have or anything about that. You can reach out to her for

have very low proficiency rates on previous assessments for years. And it seemed like, in one of the assessments in particular, the proficiency rates jumped up significantly this last year, which is likely a reflection that there may be some misalignment from a previous test to the new assessment.

And I think that was a concern that the Assessment Bureau has, that LESC has, that the (inaudible) are going to have, is what does that actually mean? Did more kids actually become proficient during COVID, or is the assessment not aligned?

And I think we probably know more what that is. And so what that looks like for this last year is -- is going to be really interesting when we get that data. And how the assessment is being given this year, I think, is something we will need to ask for a presentation on, because the conversation at LESC about that was very robust and rich about it. And I think it's something we need to know, because it will impact our high-stakes decisions we make.

That was -- that was the main part. They did have discussions on CTE as well as some other

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Director

topics. But I think that that assessment is what is most going to impact the PEC and our State charters.

All right. And with that, I will go to Secretary Armijo, and I'm going to pass over the Chair to Vice Chair Voigt.

Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. So I have not received an invitation for a meeting for the Indian Education Advisory Council. They had the big meeting the same day as our PEC meetings last month, and I'm not sure if they're taking a little bit of a break. But I just have not seen anything come from them in a while.

So I'm hoping that we will be able to meet in September, and I will give you an update on that

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,

Secretary Armijo.

Next is myself with the New Mexico Coalition of Education Leaders, and I have nothing to report.

Commissioner Robbins, New Mexico Public School Capital Outlay Council.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Very briefly,

1 bit to pursue another opportunity. He didn't really 2 like that opportunity or it didn't pan out as he 3 would hope. So our previous Interim Executive 4 Director has now come back as the Executive

And it was kind of a weird transition for about a month and a half, because we had hired the person who was the CFO as the -- as the CEO, or the Executive Director, and she decided to become the Deputy Director.

So it's been a lot of changes within NMPSIA, and that's been some of our biggest changes.

I was unable to attend the meeting on Tuesday, because it was different from our regularly scheduled meeting. They had a meeting for the election of officers and a couple of other agenda items.

But I was unable to attend that meeting. Our next meeting date is on September 8th, and I'll be either on Zoom or in person for that meeting.

Thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, 23 Commissioner Manis.

24 Commissioner Carrillo, New Mexico School 25 Boards Association.

219

because I'm going to have to leave and maybe be able to leave before the end of the meeting.

I have a PSCOC issue. I have to meet with Chair Guillen and the Awards Chair, David Abbey, in about six minutes.

The PSCOC hasn't met since our last meeting. We're only meeting every six weeks. The subcommittee is meeting tomorrow -- not tomorrow --Monday. And then the full council will meet next Monday.

I don't know if, with this cap increase for the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy, if they will be getting a -- an amendment to their construction submitted in -- you know, soon enough for that. I don't believe they probably would. But if that is the case, then I will report back at our September meeting. Otherwise, nothing else to add.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins.

NMPSIA. Commissioner Manis.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. I don't

have a whole lot to report. We did have some changes in our employees, where we -- our previous

Interim Executive Director, he had left for a little

1 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you.

2 Nothing really since the meeting -- since the last 3 time I reported that I met with Joe Guillen. I will 4 (inaudible) this week, though, because I want to 5 make sure -- it's already the middle of August. 6 Before we know it, boy, that seventh third Tuesday 7 of January is going to be here.

> So I think we really need to start jumping on our agenda. And anything we want to do collaboratively with NMSBA, we probably need to decide at the next -- at our next meeting, because they have to get their executive board together. It'll take so much time, but we want to make sure we get all the stuff done.

But I was really pleased to have that meeting. (Inaudible) our working together collaboratively with one another. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Commissioner Carrillo.

Commissioner Taylor has left, so, we will not have the Library Commission Board.

We need to jump back to Item No. 12, Report from the Charter Schools Division. And Dr. Russell and Mr. Torres will be reporting out for

the CSD staffing update.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Good afternoon. So Louie Torres will be doing the staffing update.

My update is on the Renewal, Part A, 3 4 Preliminary Report. We will be sending out from the 5 Charter Schools e-mail this afternoon the Charter 6 School Division Renewal Report, Part A, Preliminary. 7 And the reason it's preliminary is we do not get to 8 have the proficiency data from the Spring 2022

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assessments. So a lot of data you see in the chart -- the charts -- will be old news. The demographic data are new. But it's the old '18-'19 proficiencies that you're seeing again and again.

The new assessment data are coming out August 31st. And we hope to have the revised Final Part A out by September 2nd. It will not include the overall standing of the school.

So Indicator 1A cannot yet be rated, because PED's Research and Accountability Division will not complete the -- the ranking of all New Mexico schools until November.

So what we will have in the revised Part A is the reading proficiency, math, science proficiency, EL progress, and those data, just not the overall standing, which are Spotlight Schools, which is unfortunate.

Bureau -- the Division. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,

3 Mr. Torres.

1

2

4

5

6

7

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. On to item 15, Public Education Commission Comments. If you have any comments, raise your hand.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: (Inaudible.)

8 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just want to 10 remind, I just sent in my (inaudible) so get

11 DocuSign. So get your paperwork in.

12 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Any other 13 Commissioner comments?

Commissioner Carrillo.

15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: She says that to 16 me. I am no longer a DocuSign scofflaw, okay? I do 17 have to wait until I get home to get the -- the 18 hotel receipt, because -- because I (inaudible). 19 Whatever.

20 Anyway. So the only thing I have here in 21 this category is I was able to be at the opening of 22 THRIVE Community School in Santa Fe on Wednesday. 23 And, boy, was that fun. Not only was the weather

24 perfect, but just all of the kids and parents were

25 just so happy to be there.

223

We will include a four-year snapshot of the Performance Framework with the previous three years of ratings and the '21-'22 ratings. A few of those indicators are still pertinent. We're tying up loose ends. We still need to receive mission (inaudible) school data from some of the schools. But all of those ratings should be finalized by the revised Final Part A on September 2nd.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Dr. Russell.

MS. TORRES: So the staff update is that three of our positions also are currently posted: Ed Admin A position that was vacated about a month ago, and the two ESSER positions, one that was focused on homeschool and the other on the public schools. So those are all posted right now.

We did put in the reclass for the (inaudible) A position. But we posted it as a Financial Coordinator A position that you heard a lot about when we were talking about budgets and things like that. And then we have two other positions you've also heard about: The PEC Liaison, which is a new create, and then an Ed Admin O, which is also (inaudible) to support the work of the

And so you know, as well, on Wednesday, they were just two enrollees away from their enrollment projection. And I believe Sean had three applications on his desk. So, you know, if you build it, they will come. If there's a need, they will answer.

And, clearly, there is a need for a school of this nature. And Santa Fe Public Schools and anyone happens to be listening, for God's sake, be innovative and let's keep more kids -- you know, just -- they're all our kids, whether they're charter or not.

But, just, this indicates the need, okay? So just very excited for THRIVE, and for RioGAFA, also, because this was their first week. So just thrilled for all of those kids.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I see no other hands.

We'll go on to Item No. 16, a Discussion of Annual Business Topics for the Next Agenda.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Inaudible.) I would like -- even though we haven't finalized or anything relative to the legislative agenda, I would like us to at least discuss -- not vote on -- but at

	226		228
1		1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	least discuss the the issue of Equity Councils	2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	and whether or not that's something that we want to dare let the Legislature touch.	3	
4	And I'm reminded of the phrase that Ronald	4	
5	Reagan famously said: "The nine words you need to	5	
6	be most afraid of: We're from the government and	6 7	DEDODTEDIC CEDTIFICATE
7	we're here to help."	8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
8	And even though I really do believe in the	9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
9	power of government and the necessity of government,	10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
10	I certainly don't think that having the Legislature	11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
11	involved in Equity Councils is a sound idea. I	12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
12	would like to have that on our next agenda. Thank	13 14	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
13	you very much.	15	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on August 31, 2022.
14	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: You've got it. Thank	16	nana on / ragust 51, 2022.
15	you.	17	
16	Any other Commissioners?	18	
17	New items?		Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
18	New business topics for the next agenda?	19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
19	Okay. Seeing none, we will move on to	20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
20	Item 17, which is Adjourn.		Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
21	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I move that we	21	License Expires: 12/31/2022
22	adjourn the meeting.	22	
23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I second. Melissa	23	
24	Armijo votes yes.	24	Job No.: 6331N (CC)
25	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm having too	25	Proofed By: PD
	205		
	227		
1	much fun. I don't want to leave yet.	1	RECEIPT
2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Melissa Armijo votes	2	JOB NUMBER: 6331N CC Date: August 19, 2022
3	yes.	3	PROCEEDINGS: PUBLIC MEETING
4	Commissioner Carrillo.	4	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public
4 5	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	4 5	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission
4 5 6	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice	4	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt.	4 5 6 7	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission *************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED
4 5 6 7 8	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ****************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner	4 5 6 7 8 9	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************* ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson.	4 5 6 7 8 9	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************* ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************* ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: *******************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission *********************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: ********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ************************* ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: ********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ************************ ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: ********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission *********************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: REC'D BY: TIME: ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission **************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: ********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone. Have a great week and weekend.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ******************************* ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: *******************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission **************************** ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY: ********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone. Have a great week and weekend.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone. Have a great week and weekend.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone. Have a great week and weekend.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Vice Chair Burt Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Sorry. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And I believe that's it. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, everyone. Have a great week and weekend.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public Education Commission ***********************************

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
15	hand on August 31, 2022.
16	
17	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
18	Cynthes of The Company
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 License Expires: 12/31/2022
22	
23	
24	T. b. M. (221M, (22)
25	Job No.: 6331N (CC) Proofed By: PD



