BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
September 16, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Via Zoom Webinar Video Teleconference
and
Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall
300 Don Gaspar
Santa Fe, New Mexico

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6332N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

			2 (Pages 2 to 5)
	2		4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS, Continued
2	COMMISSIONERS:	2	PAGE
3	REBEKKA BURT, Chair GLENNA VOIGT, Vice Chair	3	19 Adjourn 229
4	MELISSA ARMIJO, Secretary	4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 230
	STEVEN CARRILLO, Member	5	ATTACHMENT:
5	MICHAEL CHAVEZ, Member		
6	PATRICIA GIPSON, Member KT MANIS, Member	6	1 List of Attendees
	DAVID ROBBINS, Member	7	
7 8	MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member PED STAFF:	8	
9	CORINA CHAVEZ Director	9	
	Charter School/Options for	10	
10 11	Parents and Families Division BRIGETTE RUSSELL, Deputy Director, Options for	11	
11	Parents and Families	12	
12	THOUSE THE TOTAL TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF	13	
13	LUCY VALENZUELA, Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator	14	
	Charter School/Options for	15	
14	Parents and Families Division	16	
15 16	COUNSEL TO THE PEC: JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ.	17	
	Barnes Mediation and Law, PC	18	
17	200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	19	
18	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	20	
19		21	
20 21		22	
22		23	
23		24	
24 25		25	
	3		5
1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	1	THE CHAIR: All right. Good morning. It
2 3	PAGE 1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5	2	is 9:00 a.m., and I am going to call this meeting to
3	1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5 Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to	3	order.
4	the New Mexico Flag	4	The next item will be roll call by
5 6	2 Approval of Agenda 6 3 Open Forum 8	5	Secretary Armijo.
7	4 Consent Agenda 15	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Good morning. Let
8	7 Item Waived 17	7	me get my roll call up real quick.
9 10	8 Introduction of New School Leaders 17 9 Discussion with Cesar Chavez 20	8	All-righty. Okay.
10	Community School on In-School	9	Commissioner Armijo is here.
11	Educational Plan and Possible Action	10	Chair Burt.
12	to Place Cesar Chavez Community School on the Intervention Ladder	11	THE CHAIR: Here.
13	10 Discussion and Possible Action on Annual 56	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
1.4	Reports, Including Letters of Concern	13	Carrillo.
14 15	(First Group Presented by CSD) 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Group 1, 73	14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Present.
13	Amendment Forms for 2022-2023 School Year -	15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
16	SKIPPED	16	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Present.
17 18	12 Action on 2023 PEC Calendar 73 13 Report from Charter Schools Division 85	17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
19	14 Reports from PEC Liaisons 90	18	(No response.)
20	15 Discussion and Possible Action on PEC 99	19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
21	Legislative Agenda	20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
41	16 Report From the Chair 219	21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
22	1	22	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I am here.
23	17 PEC Comments - No Discussion or 220 Action Taken	23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
24	18 Discussion of New Business Topics for 222	24	Robbins.
25	Next Agenda	25	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.
25		23	COMMISSIONER RODDING. Here.

	6		8
1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.	1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner	3	Oh. Commissioner Davis is not here.
4	Voigt.	4	Commissioner Gipson.
5	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here.	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: We have a quorum of	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
7	nine.	7	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
8	THE CHAIR: Thank you. And next, we'll do	8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
9	the Pledge of Allegiance led by Commissioner	9	Robbins.
10	Robbins, and the Salute to the New Mexico Flag by	10	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
11	led by Commissioner Taylor.	11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the	12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
13	New Mexico Flag conducted.)	13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
14	THE CHAIR: Thank you. The next item is	14	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
15	Approval of the Agenda. And I will move to approve	15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
16	the agenda for today.	16	Armijo votes yes.
17	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.	17	That is nine for
18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion	18	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Commissioner Chavez
19	and a second.	19	votes yes.
20	Any discussion?	20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I'm sorry,
21	Commissioner Carrillo.	21	Commissioner Chavez. I skipped over you. I'm
22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes, please.	22	sorry. That is nine for.
23	The it's under oh, heavens. I have it here.	23	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
24	Sorry. But my I was hoping I have a	24	The next item is going to be Open Forum.
25	feeling my understanding is there's going to be	25	For Open Forum this morning, I'm going to ask folks
	7		9
1	7 some people from equity talking about equity	1	9 in the attendees that, if you would like to make
1 2	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason,	2	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this
	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a	2 3	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital
2 3 4	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or	2 3 4	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over.
2 3 4 5	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to	2 3 4 5	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to
2 3 4 5 6	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention	2 3 4 5 6	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff.	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion? (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the legislation in any way, and also the PED having too
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: Okay. Hearing none,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the legislation in any way, and also the PED having too much top-down requirements and power and taking away
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: Okay. Hearing none, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the legislation in any way, and also the PED having too much top-down requirements and power and taking away local control. I do appreciate what you guys do, and I love that you are elected officials. And I wish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: Okay. Hearing none, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the legislation in any way, and also the PED having too much top-down requirements and power and taking away local control. I do appreciate what you guys do, and I love that you are elected officials. And I wish that there was some way for us to have a bigger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some people from equity talking about equity councils and everything. And for whatever reason, it's last on Item No. 15. I don't know if there's a way either to move the entirety of Item 15 up or just Item I think that would be a good item to move up, anyway, just because people pay attention in the morning, and that's morning stuff. THE CHAIR: We do have a good reason for doing it towards the end, because we do have in the Report from the Chair, the next item after the Legislative Agenda is going to coincide with that. But I would happily entertain moving that item to the very top. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be grand. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. No problem. Any other discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: Okay. Hearing none, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the attendees that, if you would like to make public comment this morning, please do so at this time. And you can do that by raising your digital hand, and then we will admit you one by one over. You will have approximately two minutes to speak, and we will pull you in; okay? So we see two folks right now. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you. If you can introduce yourself, your first and last name for the record, and then please keep your comments to about two minutes, please. FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. My name is Micayle Petersen. My first concern is taking away local control from school boards, our communities, and our families; for example, making equity councils a statute, putting them in the legislation in any way, and also the PED having too much top-down requirements and power and taking away local control. I do appreciate what you guys do, and I love that you are elected officials. And I wish

2.

councils and the PED requiring or mandating it is that I've seen and heard a lot of problematic, racially divisive, and anti-American materials within their trainings and resources, and I've seen and heard more and more politically driven rhetoric as well.

And that's of deep concern when it comes into our education system, because it should really be bipartisan and absolutely not political. We should be more concerned with the academic portions that we are putting into our schools.

And I think the equity council gets away from that in a lot of ways. In some ways, it seems like it's very good, because at least our school involves the community. We are parents, and we are on our equity council, and there's a lot of good that can be done by having more eyes on the problem.

But, again, that should be a local decision that every school district and charter school is able to make themselves. But the more concerning part, like I said, is the racially divisive, anti-American, and political rhetoric that is being pushed into it.

The sentiment -- it's -- I guess -- one of the sentiments is that we can't always trust our PEC is something that I think has been gutted over time, and I appreciate what you guys do. You are our elected officials; whereas, the PED -- we have sucked power into the PED. And I think it's something that we need to, as a -- as a populace, support you in gaining power back.

I don't know what can be done on your front to pull more power back into the PEC. But you are our voice in this state for our children. And for us to put power in the hands of our appointed officials over elected officials is very troubling, and I'm sure most of you recognize that.

And anything we can do on our front to help that effort in returning more power to the PEC, please let us know. This is something that we're focused on.

These equity councils are a perfect example of this. The equity councils are particularly concerning because I'm looking at the founding document -- this is a 34-page document of these equity councils. And this document I'm looking at is called "Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Guidance Handbook."

And in this document, I mean, the whole document is full -- there's so many quotes that we

school board, because communities or parents are failing to do the right thing. And that's a dangerous sentiment because what is the right thing? And perhaps sometimes this is true, but, again, as parents and a community, as school boards, as educators, like, we do need to do better at involving the families and involving the communities.

And I feel like instead of focusing on racially or politically problematic aspects, if there is any, we should absolutely get back to our communities and our families and put that back into local control.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Petersen. FROM THE FLOOR: All right. Can you hear

THE CHAIR: Yes. Thank you. If you could, once again, state your first and last name for the record and keep your comments to about two minutes, please.

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes, ma'am, my name is Casey Petersen. And I just wanted to talk about a few things here.

Number one, the PEC power. Power of the

pulled out of this document. But, specifically, they're always dividing these problems in this state and in our education system along racial lines.

And there's a quote directly from there. Says, "Advocating for equity means recognizing that some schools, like those serving students in low-income communities of color, will actually need more resources if we are going to make a dent in the educational disparities that have come to be known as the achievement gap."

And so this is specifically talking about how the achievement gap is attributable to the color of their skin, and that low-income communities, communities of color -- not just children alone -- but communities need help. We know that. But to say that a poor White student is different than a poor Black or Indian student is absurd. The data does not prove that out.

And they also talk about saying -- another quote here -- "Deficient thinking makes systemic forms of racism and oppression invisible."

They continually reference this idea of invisible racism that you don't even know is there.

The final point I'll make is that these -- so, first off, local control in these equity

me?

	14		16
1	councils.	1	THE CHAIR: No problem.
2	And, finally, the social workers and	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you.
3	mental health resources that they're starting to	3	THE CHAIR: All right.
4	push for now, I think is a good thing, but it's also	4	Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.
5	a double-edged sword. We need to ensure that	5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.
6	parents are involved with these mental health	6	Commissioner Carrillo.
7	workers, social workers, the people that are	7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
8	directly manipulating and helping, hopefully, the	8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
9	minds of their students the minds of their	9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
10	children they need to be fully informed of what	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
11	is talked about and be involved inside this process.	11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
12	We've seen across the country where	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
13	parents are cut out of this process. And they're	13	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
14	opening that up to public comment here as of	14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
15	yesterday for the social workers and expanding	15	Robbins.
16	resources inside of schools, we need to ensure that	16	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
17	parents are inside of that process, and they're not	17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
18	cut out and find out months later the child was	18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
19	depressed, suicidal, whatever what have you	19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
20	and not informed of that until after possibly it was	20	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
21	even too late.	21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
22	So thank you for your time.	22	votes yes.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Petersen.	23	Chair Burt.
24	And I believe is that do we have	24	THE CHAIR: Yes.
25	anyone else, Lucy?	25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, nine to
	15		17
	15		17
1	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am.	1	zero.
2	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for	2	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us
2 3	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum.	2 3	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the
2 3 4	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And	2 3 4	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay.
2 3 4 5	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda.	2 3 4 5	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy
2 3 4 5 6	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second.	2 3 4 5 6	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: That's part of our process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have to say to us, Mr. Garcia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: That's part of our process. Everyone has the opportunity to actually come speak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have to say to us, Mr. Garcia. MR. ED GARCIA: Okay. Can you hear me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: That's part of our process. Everyone has the opportunity to actually come speak to us during that item. If they're not available,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have to say to us, Mr. Garcia. MR. ED GARCIA: Okay. Can you hear me? Can you guys hear me? Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: That's part of our process. Everyone has the opportunity to actually come speak to us during that item. If they're not available, we don't do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have to say to us, Mr. Garcia. MR. ED GARCIA: Okay. Can you hear me? Can you guys hear me? Yes? Okay. Good morning. Thank you for having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: No, ma'am. THE CHAIR: Thank you. So that is all for Public Forum. The next item is the Consent Agenda. And I will move approval of the Consent Agenda. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to support the consent. I'm not going to move anything. It would just be nice sometimes, especially on a head administrator change, if they would just, you know, get in the chat and come say good morning. THE CHAIR: So we do have that. It's Item No. 8. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: We do that every month now. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oops. Thank you. THE CHAIR: That's part of our process. Everyone has the opportunity to actually come speak to us during that item. If they're not available,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will bring us to Item No. 8. Director Chavez, is the is the (Chair consults with counsel) okay. Is the new school leader from ASK Academy here today? Do you see her, Lucy? MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yeah. The ASK Academy's new administrator is male. So, no, I don't believe so. THE CHAIR: Okay. So maybe if they still want to come next month, like, maybe they were just busy this month, they're more than welcome to come meet us next month. That could MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: That could be the new head administrator. THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's go ahead and bring in Ed Garcia. Thank you for putting your hand up. We were definitely interested in what you have to say to us, Mr. Garcia. MR. ED GARCIA: Okay. Can you hear me? Can you guys hear me? Yes?

closely with the PEC and our stakeholders here in the ASK community to continue growing the academy and achieving our goal to improve education for students.

2.

So that's all I wanted to say today. So thank you once again for supporting us, and I look forward to continue working closely with PEC in the future.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
Any comments or questions from
Commissioners?

All right. Well, I live very close to you, and I'm the representative in your district, Mr. Garcia. So I would love to be able to come visit ASK Academy sometime. So I'll send you an e-mail to connect with you, come meet you and come see what's going on at ASK Academy this year.

MR. ED GARCIA: I would love that. I'm excited to meet you in person. Thank you for taking the time. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. The next item is Item No. 9, which is discussion -- (Discussion off mic.)

THE CHAIR: Okay. Go ahead and move her over before we get to the next item. Good morning,

MS. CONNIE DOVE CASTILLEJA: Absolutely. Thanks so much. We look forward to having you to the school.

4 THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. CONNIE DOVE CASTILLEJA: Thanks.

6 THE CHAIR: All right. So this will move
7 us to Item No. 9, Discussion with Cesar Chavez
8 Community School on In-School Educational Plan and
9 Possible Action to Place Cesar Chavez Community
10 School on the Intervention Ladder.

Before we get started, I just want to make sure if there's anybody from the school that is present today that can or would like to be moved over into the panel to speak this morning. We do not have anyone here in person, so I wanted to just check really quickly online to see if anybody from the school is present. They were -- they do know.

Okay. I don't see anyone, so I'm going to go ahead and give this to Ms. Barnes, and then Director Chavez.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Just wanted to say one thing, which is Dan Hill had indicated that he's not available for the meeting today. It's my understanding that he believes that we did not give them ten days' notice that they would be on this

Connie. I think you're able to speak.

MS. CONNIE DOVE CASTILLEJA: Good morning. Good morning. Can you hear me?

THE CHAIR: We can.

MS. CONNIE DOVE CASTILLEJA: Very good. I just wanted to say, very briefly -- as you know,

beginning. And Mr. Garcia was one of the first

I've been with the Academy since before the

teachers we hired over 13 years ago. And so he has risen up through the ranks, been an excellent social

studies teacher, taught every social studies course we offer at the Academy, was the dean of students,

was the high school principal, and now we are

pleased that he is the CEO.

So I wanted to get a little background. He never talks, you know, floridly about himself; so, you know...

THE CHAIR: Well, thank you. We definitely appreciate that. That's actually really -- that's wonderful news to hear and definitely exciting for the school to have someone who really truly understands the mission and the purpose and drive of that school. So that's

awesome.

Thank you for letting us know.

agenda. And I think he's asked his clients not to be here without legal counsel.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Did they not get the ten days?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think that Director Chavez is going to go over what they did. They have been notified of this issue for the last month.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

MS. JULIA BARNES: It's my opinion that this is the meeting that we're giving them notice next meeting of the Intervention Ladder; so there's not a ten-day to give notice of ten days to be on the Intervention Ladder. But there is a disagreement between me and Attorney Hill on that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: All right.

THE CHAIR: All right. So now I'd ask that Director Chavez go ahead and give us the information on this item.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Good morning, Commissioners, Chair Burt. Thank you.

This item will be -- the document that I'm reading from will be uploaded to the Google folder associated with the item as listed in your agenda, 9.

Okay. It's there right now.

So I'm just going to read -- I'm providing an overview, and I'm letting you know what has happened since.

So during the '21-'22 school year, the CSD was made aware that Cesar Chavez Community School had never returned to in-person instruction since moving to remote learning because of the pandemic, despite all schools being instructed to be in-person at the beginning of the school year.

The CSD brought the issue to the PEC at the January 2022 meeting since Cesar Chavez has no language in its educational program and contract about remote or online learning.

The PEC issued a breach of contract letter on January 19th, 2022 -- and I linked that so you can read the letter -- for the following reasons:

Failure to follow directives of the PED.

Failure to provide instruction to the school site pursuant to Section 11 of the contract.

And possible fiscal violations regarding the acceptance of funds for the building as an education facility if the facility is not used as such.

And, No. 4, failure to propose an amendment to these sections, as required in

And I dropped by, and there was some adults on campus, and there were two students that were sitting outside being tutored. No students were inside the building.

On September 7th, Deputy Director Brigette -- Dr. Brigette Russell e-mailed the head administrator, Tani Arness, requesting a good time for a Zoom or phone call, ideally the next day, to discuss the school's annual report and progress and to discuss the online status of the school.

On the 8th, Ms. Arness replied by e-mail that she was busy with the school year and could talk with Dr. Russell on September 19th.

On the 9th, the deputy replied by e-mail that, "We really need to meet sooner than ten days out," proposing the 12th, and said that, Ms. Arness, absolutely, if she could not find the time, then she should let us know. She should confirm if the school is still in hybrid mostly remote status or the degree of in-person and have someone on her team send the mission goal data. We're still trying to find the mission goal data to complete the annual report, to have someone send -- that's what you asked for in that.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible, not on

Section 62.

And violating the contract by implementing the amendment without an approved amendment.

During the February 2022 PEC meeting, the school's attorney addressed the PEC in response to the Notice of Breach, and the PEC voted to remove the breach. At that time, Commissioner Robbins suggested that should the school remain in remote learning after the semester, the PEC might consider an amendment.

And I linked the February PEC minutes as well.

Below is a summary of actions that have taken place since then.

To date, we have not received an amendment to the educational plan. On September 7th, Deputy Director -- well -- so, actually, we did a site visit. And I didn't talk about the fact that we did a site visit to the school since then. But we did. And -- and there was also a few visits to the school.

I dropped by -- I need to add that, the exact date that I dropped by to the school. And there was somebody in person. This was prior to the site visit.

mic.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Well, absolutely. But -- so I also called the school multiple times on the 9th. The school's mainline does not get answered. There is a message, and the message indicates that the school is enrolling students, and that it offers intensive, one-on-one support, Zoom classes, small-group tutoring, and flexible scheduling. The message directs callers to leave a message or call the receptionist named Karina. She spells her name differently, but, no, it's not me. Somebody thought it was me and asked, "The school is saying to call you."

No. It's -- this is the name of the receptionist.

So I called Karina and requested to speak with the head administrator. She was not in.

I asked the receptionist to relay a message to the head administrator and asked for the in-person schedule.

I never received the in-person schedule.

On the 13th, Ms. Arness e-mailed
Dr. Russell reminding her that she had shared her
mission goal data during the site visit. Okay. So
that was an oversight on our part, but she attached

2.

it again and replying that regarding the virtual in-school status, quote, unquote, "Regarding our instruction, our campus is open to students Monday and Tuesdays, 10:00 to 3:30, Wednesdays and Thursdays, 10:00 to 3:00 p.m., and by appointment."

It is unclear whether "open to students" means that classes are being taught in person or only that the doors are open and students may enter if they need to, or whether students can come to the school and sit outside.

As of the 16th, again, no amendments to the educational plan has been submitted.

I also think it's important for the Commission to note that the school's attendance rate, as reported in the S.T.A.R.S. end-of-year report for last year, was 52 percent. And the PEC's target is 95 percent.

Additionally, the retention to end of the school year was 76 percent, and the target is 80 percent.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And then Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I did want to start with where I think you are. I think the

I'm in an argument with my computer -- provided data for their attendance, which is -- was higher at that time -- sorry, my argument here -- which was higher at that time than is presently reported by Director Chavez today.

The second document -- I'm just going to go to a different spot -- that I have uploaded and highlighted is, at the renewal hearing, if you -- as you know, you all often ask for schools to describe their educational philosophy. That was a meeting of December 11th of 2018. And the school indicated, "And while we see a role for online and packet curricula, we do not accept that online or packet curricula are the best options for our students to engage in the most meaningful and applied learning.

"Therefore, Cesar Chavez Community School has moved to a more cooperative model of direct instruction that includes reading, writing, listening, speaking, and hands-on application as well as self-paced options. Our school culture does not allow a student to sit in the back of the classroom day after day and do nothing.

"We ask all students to participate each day and teachers to work one-on-one with students."

They also identify that they have field

process is the regular, two-step process we are using to put someone on the Intervention Ladder. So if you take action today, it would be to direct the Chair to send a letter to the school regarding the next meeting. So they would have 30 days' notice of your concerns, and we need to give them notice of what the concerns are. And at the next meeting is when you could take action.

And that would be on the Intervention Ladder, either as a Notice of Concern or a Notice of Breach.

And you will recall last February when Dan Hill and Senator Ivey-Soto came for their clients, they presented a letter regarding mediation that they later withdrew. So just to remind you that has happened.

I -- in addition to what Director Chavez has outlined, I went back more towards the -- into all of the documents relating to the school so I could identify for you whether I think they have obligations.

I -- and they're in here.

On the renewal application, which was presented in 2018, I did want to note that at that time, the PEC -- I mean, the PE- -- CSD -- sorry,

trips, daily tutoring on campus, and service learning opportunities.

You all approved that charter application with that description.

That resulted in the charter contract.

There is a facility defined in the contract. There is a building capacity defined in the contract.

There are the standard terms that they must comply with laws. I did want to identify that for you.

Their educational programming and their mission-specific statements do not identify online, but they also do not eliminate online.

There is a section that, "The school shall provide educational services, including the delivery of instruction at the school."

And then the school need- -- I'll find the exact language about the law -- that they need to comply with state and federal laws, regulations, and rules.

Some of the documents that have come out from the Governor and the Secretary are not -- don't fall in one of those categories, but are clearly directives.

In 2020 and 2021, the CSD did an annual report for the school that was accepted by you. And

in that document -- all of these are posted -the -- Cesar Chavez Community School indicated that
school leaders admitted that the school -- that the
year was extremely overwhelming because of the
transition to full distance learning and due to the
shock and trauma that the students and staff
experienced because of the pandemic.

The school provided focused professional development on student engagement on distance learning platforms. That did help. However, the students were, again, less engaged by the end of the year.

Almost 30 percent of students surveyed reported confusion on class times and days. It meant that students missed several days of class.

From the survey, the school saw about 40 percent of the students feeling they were participating less in class during the pandemic. Internet speed was always an issue, and connections still caused problems.

The year -- this year, the mobility rate went way down. The school did not drop kids this year even when attendance was very poor. Instead, they reached out to engage the students who were struggling.

The Cesar Chavez website clearly indicates, for this school year, that the school is online Zoom classes and Edgenuity, which I might be saying wrong. And they indicated, "To ensure maximum learning and safety of our students, staff, and community during the ongoing pandemic, Cesar Chavez Community School currently utilizes unique online learning models and supports."

They had a newsletter last year and a family meeting last year to discuss the pandemic. There's nothing posted that they sent out to parents or any indication that they had discussions with their community this year. There's no indication, as far as I can see, that the governing board has discussed the online instruction this year.

I'd like to turn to what the State has done in terms of the pandemic.

This -- all of these documents are uploaded for you.

On January 4th of 2022, there was a memorandum from Katarina Sandoval, Deputy Secretary of PED, that indicated that school districts must endeavor -- let's see -- "In-person instruction is important to the social and academic development of students." And, "School districts must endeavor to

We do not yet have the annual report from CSD; although, I'm anticipating that they may be able to do a preliminary draft of that. But this is just the 2021.

At the -- Director Chavez has already referenced the February 18th meeting of the PEC, when the -- Dan Hill and Senator Ivey-Soto came. They indicated that the school is not a virtual school, and there is no -- where there is no in-person component and no ability for a student to receive instruction or academic or educational services, that, those are being provided at the school building as a resource center.

They clearly identified that this was related to the COVID-19 pandemic, and that they were uniquely placed to access for their own risk. They made the decision, as Director Chavez had said and as you recall, that due to the pandemic, they were going to stay remote.

They have argued that the school must -does not preclude virtual or remote learning and
indicated that perhaps an amendment would be
appropriate if the school determines to move
permanently to virtual instruction. But they hadn't
made that discussion at that time.

keep school buildings safe to the greatest extent possible." And there were limited times in which you could close due to COVID.

On August 12th of -- there is indications -- but I can't find the citation; perhaps Director Chavez can help me with that -- in which the schools were directed to go back to in-person instruction to the greatest extent possible. That was in late April or May.

It was reported extensively in the press, but I wasn't able to locate that online.

On August 12th, 2022, there is a public health order from the Department of Health, Acting Secretary David R. Scrase, M.D., in which the public health orders were rescinded. "All public health emergency orders adopted in relation to COVID-19 public emergency health emergency are hereby rescinded."

Finally, the school -- in that same order, there is a section about schools. "Public, private, and charter educational instructions are -- shall adhere to the COVID-19 Response Tool Kit..." which is a document I think now renamed -- "...that indicates how they may operate safely within COVID-19 and may operate up to maximum capacity."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't believe, as of August of 2022, there was a public health emergency, as identified by our governor; and yet the school continues to be in online, primarily, not in-person instruction, via Zoom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: All right. So we are -- I have Commissioners online, if you want to raise your digital hand. In person, just in person.

Okay. So I have Commissioner Voigt, Commissioner Robbins, Commissioner Carrillo, then Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And thanks for gathering all of the information, Corina, Dr. Russell, Julia Barnes.

So I see this as -- this is kind of too bad that the school did not seize the opportunity at hand to -- to communicate about their thinking of why they are choosing to be virtual.

This is a big communication breakdown, I believe, on their part, about their reasoning, especially given what was said on December 11th about -- and it's on line 20 within the transcript -- that Ms. Arness explicitly said, "While we see a role for online and packet curricula, we do not accept that online or packet

far to go all the way back to the February meeting, where I believe that they are definitely in breach of contract. There have been several communications. They've been notified. Their counsel has been notified that we believe that they are not following their contract.

I believe they are in breach. And I believe they are also receiving lease assistance under fraudulent --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Pretenses.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: -- pretense. If they are not utilizing their classrooms and have not been utilizing those classrooms for almost a year or over a year now, they are serving lease assistance for those classrooms, and that is fraud. And I am going to ask the PSFA to look at that, and counsel for PSFA to look at that and ask for legal actions that they may take, up to and including full restitution to the PSFA of those lease assistance funds. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Echo everything that Commissioner Robbins said.

And then -- and also what Vice Chair Voigt said.

35

Essentially, they made their argument. They made our argument for us by saying -- when she said virtual is not as good, essentially; right? It's not right for their kids.

And I'm appalled by this, quite frankly. And the fact that their attendance is 52 percent and it's online, for God's sake, that speaks volumes as to not only the initiative that their parents and kids are taking to be online, but the initiative of administrators and teachers to make sure that their kids are online.

I would also then ask -- be nice if they were here, which I think is incredibly disrespectful that they're not. And I would say to their head administrator, "Sometimes your attorney is not right, okay? It's okay for you to show up here and answer questions. Not everything needs to have legal counsel."

And you might want to remind your school that every penny you spend on counsel is money coming right out of the classroom. So let's never forget that.

So later, when I get to what I'm going to say, go ahead and sue us, okay? Because that's probably what's down the line. Because we know when

curricula are the best options for our students to engage in the most meaningful and applied learning."

And here, we have them doing just that.

Again, it would have been very beneficial for the school to be here today to have this discussion, or at least on Zoom. But because we don't have that, I think -- I think we have the responsibility to move forward with the Letter of Concern.

This is an extreme departure of what their school is about and what they have stated as their educational program. And as they even said, it was overwhelming to their student body and their -probably their school culture to have to go online for the pandemic.

And it was overwhelming and extremely disengaging for their students to be online for the pandemic.

And the evidence is here. They have a 52 percent attendance rate. So I'm disappointed that the PEC should be moving in this direction to go forward with the Letter of Concern. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I would go so

schools start to have this kind of a language, we kind of know what's next.

So retention at 76 with a target of 80? On the attendance that's 52, I would be

asking the question do you require them to be on screen; right? How many are on screen? Do you have an answer to that, just a quick answer?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Students had their cameras turned off when I --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: For God's sake. This is what I mean for you -- just for you. This is my boot. And, no, I'm not Nikita Kruschchev, for anyone who gets the reference.

It's -- it's unconscionable that you're running a virtual school and you're not allowing -- and you don't have to have your kids on screen.

I think many -- many teachers and administrators now recognize that that was one of the -- possibly -- the failures of PED, not mandating -- in the districts, not mandating that kids be on screen.

Ten-day notice. I'm curious about that.

I have more to say, but it would appear that Dan's argument is they didn't get ten days prior to this meeting to know they were going to be here to answer

legalese to kind of massage the truth, if you will.
 That's fine.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And I just want to reiterate. This is the meeting where -- the action is going to be taken next meeting.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Which is something we need to discuss overall. Because this whole thing taking 60 days is abhorrent, right? Because then we have another meeting where we present them, they have another meeting where they respond. You can answer that later.

So the -- yeah. Identify but not eliminate -- ha-ha-ha.

So when they said that they -- they don't identify -- they -- what was the phrase? -- where they don't identify themselves as an online school, but they don't eliminate that possibility, either, that is the most kind of nebulous kind of disingenuous statement.

Because one could make the case, well, you didn't eliminate it, either, but you also didn't eliminate that maybe you're just going to teach in an old dilapidated school bus that you converted. Maybe you didn't eliminate that you're going to go on a cruise and teach.

for this.

The answer is just a yes or no.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: They did not get enough notice, which is why Chair Burt decided to take the reports off the agenda.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So -- and what we need --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, that's not the answer to that question.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's something else.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm sorry.

THE CHAIR: When was this school, in particular, notified about this -- not their annual report -- this agenda item?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I left -- whoops. I'm sorry. I left a message for Dan Hill regarding this issue on August 12th. His point -- his point is that -- I want to tell you.

His point is that he did not receive notice, or the school did not receive notice of this agenda item until the -- it was posted last Friday.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So he's, like, lurking around in a murky gray area there. He kind of knew what was going on, and he's just using his

The idea that we didn't mention this, but it's included anyway, that just does not fly. And I think it's horrifically irresponsible. The idea that they have a resource center (indicates) that people can come to -- there were air quotes there for people that maybe not see me -- they could go to the local library, for God's sake.

You're not running a school, as I see it.

The website indicates that it's online learning with Edgenuity. I'd be very curious about this family meeting. One, how many people attended this family meeting? I mean, if you have 60 families and there were four parents, nothing to be proud of. That would probably be a -- even a lower percentage rate of those kids that actually attend class.

And then -- and the involvement of your governing board. You know, the PED made it very clear that kids have to come back. We need to provide in-person instruction to the greatest extent. It's not reasonable -- if the PED meant it to be make every reasonable effort, that would be one thing. But it's every possible effort. And that language is very distinct. It means, basically, do it. Unless you have some incredibly

compelling reason that you cannot.

Changing their minds like this -- I wrote down Lindsey Graham, because one minute you say one thing, and two weeks later you say something completely different.

When it's time with regards to our procedure? No, I'm absolutely going to support a breach. And, absolutely, I'm going to support a breach.

And I would like them to be prepared to answer for all of this. I just think we're the PEC. And if they -- if for some reason down the line, this behavior is allowed to continue and/or either the Secretary or a District Court judge overrules us on this, then they should dissolve the PEC. There's just no point.

So that's what I have to say for now. And I don't rule out the idea that I may want to have more comments later.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Gipson.

Commissioner Gipson.
 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. You know, I
 think what's so really distressing is this was a

think what's so really distressing is this was a school that we looked to and celebrated for how they served the population of students that they -- that you're not serving your students, because they're obviously not attending online. Some of them have dropped out.

And I'm sorry. I completely agree. Lease reimbursement isn't for a resource center. They can open an office in a strip mall and open a resource center.

So I do -- and we -- we talked about this in February when they were up, that you're -- you know, you've promised services. And that means in-class instruction.

We are never going to get rid of the COVID virus. We're not. So this idea that we have to stay online because we're still in the, quote, unquote, "pandemic," well, New York has a polio outbreak. So are we going to stay online because there's a polio pandemic coming, or there's a monkeypox pandemic?

There's no requirement any longer, as far as I know, that even if the certain number of cases are -- there isn't, you know. You simply have to -- if a school can't operate because their staff is so sick, then, yes, they may have to close for a week or so because they physically can't staff it. I get that.

they were built out to.

And we brought the head administrator often when we were talking about SAM schools and when we were looking at the Performance Framework, and how do we change and address acknowledging in the Performance Framework the work that's being done and the growth that's being accomplished with that particular student population.

And it was -- I was so taken aback when the complete defiance -- six months ago, "No, we're not going back." You know, it's, like, why are you doing this?

And I know what the attendance rate is. But do we know what the student number is? Have they seen a drop in the number of students?

I saw the retention rate, which isn't -- just below. But how many students have -- have they lost students over the past two years?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. In a conversation with the director, she let me know that they had lost students. That was fall, I believe, whenever I asked that question. So over the pandemic, they have lost enrollment. And --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks. I think that just -- that just continues to speak to

And we didn't require amendment -amendments to the schools' contracts when this all started, because we thought that was foolish to have to make schools amend their contracts because there was a public health order to stay remote. Got that.

But this notion -- and I'm a big fan of Alexander Hamilton, but I'm a strict constructionist with this contract. Just because it doesn't say it doesn't mean you get to do it, you know? That's -- that's a -- I'm sorry -- a ridiculous notion. And I know, you try to grasp at straws to help your client. But let's be reasonable about this.

So I fully agree. I think -- I fully agree that they were -- they've been on notice since February that we have a concern about this, you know. So this idea that, "We didn't have time to prepare for this meeting," they have to have been prepared since February about this. If this was a brand new -- something came to everyone's attention and it didn't come until Friday and now we're going to put you on the agenda, I get it that a school could be taken off guard. "We didn't know that was a problem. So give us a little more time." I got that.

And this, to me, is also a health concern

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and a -- being mental health -- concern. So I think we need to take way more proactive action on this, because I think we're doing a disservice to those students and to that community at this point in time. I really do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I will -- at this point in time, I'm also very supportive of when the -- when it comes up, a motion of breach. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you. And I concur wholeheartedly with the frustration and anger that's been demonstrated by the other Commissioners.

I believe that Commissioner Robbins, I think earlier, indicated that a long time ago, that the best course of action in this process was to come forth with an amendment. And the school has been aware of that issue for a long time.

I feel like there's -- there's a -- sort of a blatant disregard for the process. And if -you know, if I were the head administrator in this situation, you know, I'd want to make sure that I covered all of my bases, you know, and that I was on that perfect -- doing my best to operate within the boundaries of my contract.

So I really do believe that, you know,

that they're currently in breach, and they've had

opportunity to rectify that.

we do have to give ten days know before we issue an adverse action. So my proposal is that that we hold a Special Meeting. We issue a notice today, and that we hold a Special Meeting ten days from today and consider action at that time, require that the school -- you know, give notice that they need to be here, they need to be prepared to act on this.

We have the information. I don't think we're in this place where we need time to figure out more information.

I would have been interested for the school to come and talk on their perspective and their side. I would have been completely open to that today. That's -- they chose not to do that.

So I think that that's what I would propose, is a Special Meeting be sent -- be held in ten days. We could -- if we can't get here, we could do, you know, a Zoom meeting. We don't have to do in-person to where it's not complicated or complex.

So I mean, I just -- there's too much information. There's too much data at this point. It's been too long. This is -- it's just unacceptable. And to serve students who need the most support -- I mean, that's what you're going in

47

1 every day, believing that you're supposed to be 2

So I wholeheartedly agree that we need to -- to be proactive, as Commissioner Gipson said and really issue our concerns and start the Intervention Ladder at our earliest opportunity. So...

THE CHAIR: So I also will support very quick action and very -- like, this is -- this is way too far. It's way too far.

I mean, this is -- it's not appropriate in any way, shape, or form, because there could have been solutions in the spring. They could have filed an amendment at any time. I think we could have had a really great conversation about that amendment.

And it feels that we're just too little, too late at this point. And it is just -- it's a disregard for the processes and for what they're supposed to be doing.

I mean, this isn't an unknown issue. This is a very well-known issue. And they -- it doesn't seem like they care to do anything different or in the right way or right process. So I will also support that.

We do have in our Intervention Ladder that

And to leave them underserved is -- is so

highly inappropriate. And it is not what is best for kids. This seems like a very adult-focused decision right now. And that's not what we are here for; right? Like, we have to think of our students first. We have to put kids first. And this is not putting them first right now.

So I also -- I'm going to support quick action and measures on that.

And I did ask -- just so you know, Ms. Barnes does have the draft motions in our work meeting materials. And I did ask her to update a motion to include that. So you can see that as well in your documents.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. I do want the Commissioners to know -- and this will put the school on notice, also, at least verbally -that I have sent an e-mail to PSFA asking the executive director to consult with counsel to see if they can stop lease payments until they can be reassured that the school is being, and to ask for a -- any legal refunds that they can request for

facilities that were not used for the past -- at least this year, and perhaps even prior, that were not under an approved public health order, giving the school permission to operate remotely.

I think -- you know, this is something that actually goes to the argument that some people make accusing public schools of taking money away -- of charter schools taking money away from district schools.

The abuse that I see here and the inaction and the silence and the legal maneuvering by their counsel is despicable. I'm pretty upset, yeah. But it is despicable. And you're talking about children. You're talking about their future. And you're talking about public funds, you know.

This is something that -- you know, we may have to even ask for the Attorney General to look into this for possible actions of fraud and the abuse of public trust.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo and then Commissioner Voigt. Commissioner Gipson after.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think that was very well stated. I'm not going to bang my boot again. That was very well stated, Commissioner

Thank you.

2.1

THE CHAIR: I'll go to Commissioner Gipson first and then Commissioner Voigt. And Commissioner Voigt is ready to make a motion.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. I just wanted to -- because I don't believe it's in there. But I just want to make folks aware that ten days out is Rosh Hashanah. So just as we're looking at the possibility of a Special Meeting, just keep that in mind. That's all.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair.

I move that the Chair of the Public
Education Commission issue a letter to Cesar Chavez
Community School that the Public Education
Commission intends to consider taking action on the
Intervention Ladder related to the school at a
public meeting set no earlier than ten days after
the letter is sent.

The following violations of the contract should be identified in the letter from the Chair:

1. Failure of the school to return to in-person instruction, as directed by the Governor and the PED, and, following guidelines as set forth in the Public Health Order of August 12th, 2022,

Robbins. And I agree completely.

And I want everybody to take special notice to what Chair Burt said. This is not an adult issue. It's a kid issue. Kids are not being served. Tax money is being spent. And they're not not being served; they're being left behind. They're not even really being considered in what is best for them.

The other thing -- you know, I'm sitting here thinking about all this and the fact that they're taking all this taxpayer money and not really running a school the way their contract says they should. And very possibly, or, as we see it, defrauding the State, that's against the law. That's revocation.

I really hope that the hairs on their arms are standing up. The level of -- that they -- the level to which they breached the contract, and they're on this precipice. And I don't know that Dan is -- that their counsel is letting them know the seriousness of this.

And, yeah, I mean, I -- as far as I'm concerned, I'd close it.

But I know that there's procedures we have to follow.

which is a violation of the contract, including Section 4.8, Roman numeral III.

- 2. Failure to provide in-person instruction as the primary form of instruction at the facility, as required by the contract, Section 4.6 and 11, as that educational instruction was described to the PEC in the renewal hearing held on December 11th, 2018.
- 3. Failure to address the needs of its student population identified in the 2020-2021 Annual Report, when the school failed to return to in-person instruction in the 2022-2023 school year.
- 4. Failure to provide an amendment to the contract, including Sections 4.6 and 11, as required in Section 6.2, and implementing the change prior to its approval by the PEC.

And, 5. Possible fiscal violation regarding acceptance of funds for the building as an education facility if the school is providing primarily online instruction.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.
THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second.
Any further discussion from the
Commissioners?
THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none --

```
54
okay. Commissioner Carrillo.
     COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Just a comment. I
could have made it after, but, you know, I just
wrote this note to myself, that if I -- maybe
involving one of the families -- that I may want to
file a civil suit against the school for the willful
disregard of the educational needs of their kids.
     I mean, this is really -- this is -- they
don't get it. This is so serious. Anyway, that's
all. Thank you.
     THE CHAIR: Secretary Armijo.
     COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.
     Commissioner Chavez.
     COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
     COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
     COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
     COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
     COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
```

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Robbins.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Terrific. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Great. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Item No. 10 is Discussion and Possible Action on Annual Reports, including Letters of Concern, the first group presented by CSD, which is going to be modified for today. We will not be presenting any annual reports today.

So all of the items below, all A through N, we're not going to be talking about any specific school today. But we did talk yesterday about a process on doing this next month with CSD.

And we talked about doing it specifically for the renewing schools only. So just those schools that are going to be renewing, we know we need to see that information sooner. And then we're going to allow for additional time for CSD to finalize the reports before we start reviewing them for the rest of the schools.

So the only schools that we will review preliminary reports for will be renewals. After that, it will be once final reports come, CSD will start presenting them in waves to us at future meetings.

There is a motion that would allow us to,

55

you know, clarify this process specifically for the renewing schools.

And then I would like to just go ahead and pass it over to Director Chavez. If you have anything you want to say about this item, it's -it's all yours.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I just want -thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. I just want you to know -- because there has been some extensive conversation about why the reports aren't ready. And yesterday's NACSA report just -- our conversation sort of reminded me how important it is to -- to not necessarily piecemeal information. You all need to know the full picture. It's been difficult for us to get the full picture, but -- and we're all learning with this round.

I do think that we have Organizational Performance information in the preliminary reports that have been uploaded. And we are going to work really hard to get all of those completed as we wait for the assessment data, so we can get closer to the information that you need.

I also wonder, Commissioners, your thoughts about the information that was presented by Matt Goodlaw yesterday about -- about considering

1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo 2 votes yes. 3 Chair Burt. 4 THE CHAIR: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, nine to zero.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. That will move us on to Item No. 10, Discussion and Possible Action on Annual Reports.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: May I ask you procedurally what's going to happen next and how -especially since -- since Ms. Gipson did say, Rosh begins on Sunday night at 5:00 all day Monday. So a meeting, we could have certainly on Tuesday or Wednesday; but --

THE CHAIR: Yeah. So we will -- I believe we can follow the Rules of Procedure on calling a Special Meeting. We'll issue the letter today is going to be the expectation. The letter from the Chair will go out today. And then we will hold the meeting on Tuesday or Wednesday that week. I'm looking at Tuesday that week, the 27th.

some of the data on the subgroups relative to the performance of the subgroups relative to schools that are serving similar populations.

So I'm not sure how the PEC wants to handle that. I am very, very curious -- I think you must be very curious about those blue dots on the -- on the chart. And so that's a question for you to provide us guidance on the degree to which you want to consider that data.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I appreciate that,
Director Chavez. And I did, and do, appreciate what
Mr. Goodlaw had to say yesterday. And I am
delighted, as a former statistician and numbers -current numbers person, to see that you have that
technical capability.

One of the things the school -- or the PEC could consider in terms of some sort of metric using that data is using the concept of standard deviation. You have an average. And it's basically looking at a bell curve. And you look at and you say, "If you're more than one or two standard deviations away from that bell curve, then corrective action could be taken."

Personally, I think two standard

proficiency at 20 percent and possibly be within two standard deviations. I think one standard deviation should be the floor.

THE CHAIR: So two is too much.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I get it. No. Thank
you. I like that idea very much.

THE CHAIR: Yeah, I would also support that. So I think that, you know, we -- I would love to see that -- how he presented it and just modified in the way that Commissioner Robbins talked about and putting it into a bell curve and taking a look at what that looks like, because I think that'll help us have some more clarity on how schools are performing compared to similar students, similar schools.

I think that's -- it feels very clear, especially for kind of the mucky place we're in where there's no previous data, we're at this baseline, it feels like the most equitable way to really look at the data. So I would say yes, that we should, as well.

Before we -- I do want to just kind of go through -- there's kind of -- it's kind of a long motion, because it's detailed with the process. And so to -- I want to kind of like simplify it, that,

deviations, when we're talking about our children's education, is too much.

One standard -- especially since we have such a broad bell curve in educational outcomes in New Mexico, I think one standard deviation from that assumed line would be more than adequate to give notice to the schools that if you're below one standard deviation of performance, that corrective action has to be taken to improve that, to at least get you within one standard deviation below.

That's my recommendation. Statistically, it's valid. And that's something that is used often when setting goals and metrics using numerical data.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt?
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thank you,

Commissioner Robbins. That's an excellent suggestion. And did you say two standard deviations?

That's what I thought he said was two.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I said one to two, but I think one below. New Mexico has such a broad thing. If you're looking at proficiency, you know,

going from maybe 10 percent to 100, that's a really broad -- one standard deviation, you could have

basically, you know, the CSD has already provided preliminary reports to the renewing schools. They already have them. So they're -- you know, they're good to go on that side.

So coming up to October, really, the only things that would happen was that we would allow the school to provide that written response to the PEC. So it's not something that CSD has to respond to, but it would be provided as kind of a -- just another document. If they provide it, it would be provided as another document in addition to the preliminary report.

The CSD does have the ability to continue modifying the preliminary report, as needed. It's preliminary. So they, obviously, you know, even though we -- the schools have it, there's still opportunity for that to change as they get more information or different information.

And then at the October 21st meeting, that's when we'll take a look at the preliminary annual reports and those responses and be able to provide any -- if we have to take any kind of action, then we would be able to at that time.

We also want -- need to start the process of if a school does not -- you know, if there is a

concern in an annual report that a school would not be on track for a renewal or would not be on track for renewal with no conditions, that that's something that's being considered at that time as well. And that's from both CSD and PEC, because CSD could bring concerns at that time as well.

And then that's when we would give that formal notice -- or, sorry -- we would take a look at those issues on the November 7th meeting. And that's when a formal notice of any concerns of nonrenewal would go out.

So we would be able to take into account the Annual Report, but it would be kind of collected in the November 7th meeting.

So that's the process that's outlined in the motion. So, you know, if -- I think Commissioner Voigt is ready to make a motion. But I do want to -- it's going to be a lot of words, and I feel like it could be overwhelming.

Dr. Russell? No?

Okay. All right. Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I move that the Public Education Commission adopt the following protocol for approval of the 2021-2022 Annual Reports for the six renewing schools, and that the

may provide a written response to the notice within 30 days to the PEC.

And, lastly, 5. Any PEC Commissioner may identify an issue of concern or request for additional information from the school resulting from the Annual Report at the Working Session of November 7th, 2022, and such concern or request for additional information shall be included in a letter to the reviewing school from the Chair following the November 7th, 2022, letter.

THE CHAIR: Second.

There's a motion and a second.

Any discussion from Commissioners?

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: My only concern is that November 7th meeting being a working session and not a public meeting. If we're asking for additional information, why not just make it a regular public meeting? I know working sessions are public; I get that. But we don't -- the last -- when we did the renewals, we did -- we requested that at our regular meeting, not at a work session. I -- you know, I'm concerned about that.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes and then Commissioner Robbins.

Chair forward the protocol to each of the renewing schools.

- 1. Any school may provide a written response to the Preliminary Annual Report already provided to the school by submitting the written response to CSD by October 14th, 2022.
- 2. The CSD shall finalize the Annual Report as soon as the necessary information is available to finalize the report and attach the written response from the school, if provided.

A school would like -- if a school would like to provide an additional written response to any changes from the Preliminary to the Final Report, they may do so within ten business days of receipt of the Final Report.

CSD shall provide the Final Report and any additional responses to the PEC prior to the renewal hearing.

- 3. PEC shall consider the Annual Report and school responses at its meeting on October 21st, 2022, and shall provide notice within three working days to any school if the PEC identifies concerns related to nonrenewal based on the Annual Report.
- 4. Any school receiving a notice related to nonrenewal based on the 2021-2022 Annual Report

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think you could do it

at either. But the reason that we discussed -- we did a similar process for the new school application, where, at a working session, Commissioners gave input to the Chair, the Chair gathered all the input and gave a written letter to the school saying, "Please be prepared in 30 days to

address at the hearing."

The reason we chose a working session was, in part, to ensure that no Commissioner is prejudging the renewal or prejudging the new school applications. You're providing input to the Chair for the written letter.

If -- if you do it at a regular meeting, the thing that is of concern to me -- and I've heard you raise it before -- is that when a Commissioner indicates wanting to know more about something, they're not indicating that they've made their decision.

So as long as we don't do that -- the reason we did a working session was so that there could be no action taken, and it was -- it was hopefully more clear that a Commissioner was not making a decision on renewal. You were simply giving them notice, "At the renewal hearing, I'd

like to hear more about this paragraph 3 on finances," or something.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: If, in a regular meeting, we say, "Discussion and no action taken," we take no action. I would rather say that I would be more comfortable with it being a regular meeting. But I'm really more comfortable if there's a full record of it.

And that's -- you know, that's where the regular meeting, there's that full record of it, so that, you know, there's -- and I fully agree that there shouldn't be any indication that this is how we're going to vote. And I think Commissioners just have to be -- you know, they can make that same indication at a Work Session.

So you can't stop someone from saying something. You can caution people that this should not be any indication, but -- you know, so they could make that same indication at a work session.

So I don't think that precludes them from doing that.

But that's -- you know, that's my opinion on it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I would --

can state, "There will be no vote taken. There will be no opinions given as to the veracity of the reports."

But any action coming out of that work session could be challenged by an attorney, as we've seen an attorney today earlier saying, "Well, we didn't -- we weren't aware that you were going to be talking about this. We didn't know this was a concern," when it's been a concern for over six months.

So I just want to preclude any school's attorney from taking a legal maneuver to circumvent any action that this Commission takes coming out of a Work Session and, thereby, let's just make it a meeting, and that no votes or decisions are made, but actions can be taken, including letters of recommendation and letters for additional information. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Agree with what Commissioner Robbins said. Probably word it just a little differently. Just make November 7th a regular meeting. Whether or not we want to show on something is completely up to us, and whether or not we want to take action is completely up to us.

1 So I would just make November 7 a reg

remind me what date in November we're looking at for the meeting.

 $\mbox{COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The 7th. Monday,} \\ \mbox{the 7th.}$

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. I agree with Commissioner Gipson that I would rather it be a published meeting, Notice of Meeting, rather than of just a Work Session. Because if there is an action, I don't want an attorney coming back, saying, "Oh, you didn't -- you sent a letter asking for this information, but it wasn't a formal meeting. It was just a Work Session, and you're taking action on things coming out of that."

Again, we see this in the legal community. And all deference to all lawyers and Esquires and people in the legal community, they try to manipulate wording. If they want to claim that they didn't receive proper notice because action was taken out of a work session rather than a meeting, I want to make sure that we circumvent such legal maneuvering, you know, by having a meeting with possible action. Not that we have to, but action in terms of issuing requests for information and such like that, because that is an action we're taking.

And, you know, no vote on -- you know, we

So I would just make November 7 a regular meeting.

THE CHAIR: I guess I'm -- I disagree. I think we've talked about this process earlier this year. This is exactly the way that we have had it for months, that this is how it would be.

The reason we're doing it this way is because there is no action -- we cannot take -- there is no -- we cannot just take action whenever we want. This is a process in which we -- this is not an actionable item.

We did this exact thing already with Explore-Rio Rancho, where we did it in a Work Session, sent a letter that was extremely detailed, extremely detailed, based off of that discussion. That action has already been authorized previously by us going through the renewal application process.

This was -- we -- I -- I'm -- I actually think it's in the renewal application that this is the process we're using. And so I don't think it's appropriate to change it right now, because -- I mean, I honestly just don't understand.

It's still going to be recorded. The schools are still going to be able to be present, and they will receive a detailed description of

70 1 everything that is presented in that work session. 1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 2 2. So I am not of -- I don't -- I think it's COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 3 a waste of resources. We do not need it 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. 4 transcribed. They will receive a copy of the exact 4 Commissioner Robbins. 5 items that we have concerns -- that CSD and PSD --5 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. PEC -- have concerns of. 6 7 7 We do not -- we should not have a meeting COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 8 8 and change it at the last minute before we go into COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. 9 9 this process. Like, we -- I don't understand COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. 10 10 changing after we've discussed this at length months COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo 11 ago and now changing it all of a sudden, and 11 votes yes. 12 especially changing it from what we just did with 12 Chair Burt. 13 the new application. 13 THE CHAIR: Yes. 14 I feel like that went really well. That 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 15 process went really, really well, and I don't know 15 Carrillo. 16 why we would try to fix something that's not broken. 16 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 17 I don't understand the idea that, you 17 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner 18 18 know, because it's not in a regular meeting, that Chavez. 19 somehow it eliminates the validity of this letter, 19 (No response.) 20 either. The letter is the part that's the important 20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. That is --21 part. 21 passes eight to zero. 22 It's not the discussion. We can discuss 22 THE CHAIR: It looks like Commissioner 23 whatever we want. It's what ends up in the letter 23 Chavez had to step away for a little bit. 24 is what is going to be used in court down the road. 24 All right. We're going to take just a 25 It's not the discussion we have. It's what is 25 two-minute break to allow Cindy to rest her hands. 71 73 1 1 produced out of it. Two minutes. 2 And, like I said, it would -- as a work 2 (Brief recess held.) 3 session, it would be recorded. It can be reviewed 3 THE CHAIR: All right. We're going to get 4 4 at any time. We don't need to spend money on a started again. Those of -- the Commissioners 5 5 transcriber. It's more informal, because there online, if you want to go ahead and put your cameras 6 are -- we cannot take action at this time. You 6 back on we're going to go ahead and get started and 7 7 know, no matter how upset we get or, you know, we want to make sure we have quorum. Five, six. 8 8 whatever we don't like about it, we cannot take Okay. Perfect. 9 action at that time. 9 So the next item is Item No. 11. And 10 10 So it just seems really inappropriate to that's Discussion and Possible Action on Group 1 11 11 create a forum in which that is in any way a Amendment Forms for the '22-'23 School Year. 12 12 possibility. We will not be addressing this item today 13 13 So I don't know if -- I also don't know -because of the conversation yesterday. So we'll be 14 in this motion process, I don't know if there was an 14 skipping over this today, and there should be some 15 attempt at amending the -- amending the motion. But 15 new documents presented to us next month on that. 16 that would probably be the process in which to do 16 So that brings us to Item No. 12, which is 17 17 action on the 2023 PEC Calendar. That calendar is this. 18 So I think that's the first thing that 18 in your Google Drive. 19 19 would need to happen is if that -- and then that And any comments or discussion or 20 20 could be discussed, and we can move forward on this, questions? as I see no other hands up right now. 21 21 Commissioner Carrillo. 22 Okay. Seeing no amendment to the motion, 22 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So 2023, awesome 23 23 we'll go ahead and call roll. change -- so I'm looking -- okay. So, no, I 24 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 24 think -- 14, 15 -- oh, yeah. So in 2023, Rosh 25 25 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. Hashanah begins on Friday, the 15th, in the evening.

So if we did have that meeting on that Friday, we somehow should be committed to adjourn by probably 5:00 -- or 4:30, 5:00. Well, sundown.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Didn't we purple it?

THE CHAIR: So there is an alternative option. So we could move it to the 21st-22nd. If you want to keep it on the 14th-15th and just know that it could -- if we go late, it could preclude a member of our Commission, then maybe we should just move it to the next week, just to not --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think it could preclude other members of the public from participating, and schools participating, as well, because some schools do not have school on that particular day. So I think that's --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sounds like it would be good to be preemptive and just change it to 21-22.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I've already made my hotel reservations for the 20th and 21st.

THE CHAIR: So for the September one, let's go ahead and make that change. Instead of the 14th-15th, it would be the 21st-22nd, which is also kind of a weird one anyway, because, technically, the third Thursday is that -- is the 21st. But it's

THE CHAIR: No, to the 20th.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's what I'm saying, that we wouldn't meet on the 16th and 17th, so that we would have contract negotiations starting on the 20th, and we would end with -- yeah, I -- you know, it's -- it's a long week. But we only have, what -- six schools? So it's easy enough to do.

THE CHAIR: And we did make the change. You can see the update that we made on those optional work days are now just in case we need them. So it kind of helps, you know, communicate more clearly that, you know, community input hearing would be on the 15th, but then would kind of -- we'd use those other days only if necessary.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. But in November, there's no optional --

THE CHAIR: I did see that. We do need a Work Session November 8th. So that needs to be added.

That was one of my things, too, was that one. We need a special meeting. And maybe, actually, once again, based off of the Annual Report conversation, we may just need to do a Work Session and Meeting in November moving forward.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would agree with

the fourth Friday. So it's kind of a weird -- no, we're making it the 21st and 22nd will be the official dates for September.

We also do have -- and you can see it in that pink and purple highlight in March, where, currently, the third Thursday and Friday will land on the last two days of the Legislative Session. And we do have it moved to the next week.

I will say that is a very popular week for Spring Break. But other than that, I think that's -- you know, it seems like we really shouldn't be doing it on that week before, as we may not -- well, I -- other -- I think it mostly -- and it could mostly affect our attorney at that time. And those are some pretty important dates, being the -- that would be the contract negotiations and community -- contract negotiations at that time.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I guess I just need some clarification. When we're looking at March, we're looking at -- so are we saying we should move the meeting to the 22nd-23rd, and keep the contract negotiations that week as well?

THE CHAIR: No. Move the whole week. Yeah, move the whole week to the following week.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: To the 27th?

1 scheduling two days for November.

THE CHAIR: So the 16th-17th? Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Curiously, because I haven't been here that long, why was November a month you just didn't meet?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well, a couple of reasons. With a lot of schools closing and for a lot of Commissioners at the time, it was a tough month to get Commissioners to -- because a lot of people were traveling for holidays and whatever.

So we took November off, you know. When I first started, they didn't even meet every -- before I started, they were meeting about eight times out of the year. So -- and there were no work sessions.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Interesting. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know. So there's been a lot -- but November was always that kind of month where folks said, "Okay, I can travel then," you know.

So it really doesn't matter. But -- I think there, at the very least, has to be a date for a work session scheduled in there.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would ask that we schedule a work session and a public meeting -- I mean, as -- and a regular meeting.

78 1 THE CHAIR: But we still need -- we will 1 So the -- like, the December one --2 still need the November 8th Work Session for the 2 THE CHAIR: Yeah. We had done that hearing notice -- the 30 days before the renewal 3 3 specifically for the amendment project that 4 hearing. 4 Ms. Barnes was leading. We were thinking we would 5 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We're talking 2023. need those additional days. We don't have a project 6 6 THE CHAIR: Me, too. So we need one on like that planned for this upcoming year. So that's 7 7 November 8th of 2023, in order just to do those why we didn't go in --8 renewal -- notice. And then I think -- I think we 8 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: (Inaudible due to 9 9 do have enough work in November to still do a work simultaneous speaking) December. 10 10 session and meeting. THE CHAIR: The optional? So December, 11 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Should we do it on 11 sometimes we may need one or two. We're trying to 12 12 the 9th and 10th, so you don't have to come up? work backwards and make sure, once again, the 13 13 THE CHAIR: It's not going to be a schools know, "Don't make plans for that day." 14 14 special -- just a normal -- a general meeting, a And we could use those other ones, but 15 very normal one. But we do need that -- the 8th, as 15 don't expect to ask to go on the 11th if we don't 16 well. We also --16 use it. 17 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on a second. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Why put the 13th in 18 18 I'm sorry. Seems we have a pretty packed agenda all there? Because we're automatically saying, "We're 19 the time. 19 not going to do anything on the 13th." 20 THE CHAIR: And then the only other thing, 20 THE CHAIR: No, that is a renewals 21 21 Vice Chair Voigt has been communicating with new meeting. It's in the purple. If you look Reserve 22 22 Commissioners coming on board and the 9th as a Dates for Renewals. 23 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: From a distance, I training day, which all Commissioners would be 24 24 invited to do, to do training. If you need a can't see the shade. 25 25 THE CHAIR: Yeah. It's, like, kind of tune-up in any of your practical training for the 79 81 1 1 PEC, they asked for it just to be moved a couple of purple. And in that section, it's the New Charter 2 2 days to the 10th or 11th. School Application, Renewal, Contract Negotiations, 3 3 And I was thinking the 11th, because we do and Community Input. 4 4 So those are the four items that kind a lot of Wednesday stuff when we do it. So it would 5 5 be the 11th that new Commissioners would be invited of -- sometimes they take one day. Sometimes they 6 to come do training on that day so that they would 6 take five days. 7 7 be prepared for the next week. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. All right. I 8 8 do want to just say for the -- now, the record, our But also all Commissioners. It would 9 9 essentially be like kind of similar to, like, a transcriber's power just went out, so we did turn on 10 10 work-session thing because we need it noticed and the recording for this meeting so that she can go 11 11 all those kind of things. back and transcribe based off of the recording. So 12 12 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor. Then we are recording this meeting at this time. 13 13 Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER MANIS: I thought we were 14 14 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So you're talking always recording the meetings to be put on YouTube. 15 15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I thought that, the training on January 11th; right? 16 16 too. I agree with KT. I thought both work sessions THE CHAIR: Yes. 17 17 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. Right. and meetings were being recorded at all times. 18 18 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? THE CHAIR: That's correct, actually. 19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'm just -- last 19 Yeah. We were supposed to be recording both, 20 20 year, we put in place -putting both on YouTube, but transcribing only one. 21 21 MS. JULIA BARNES: I need to check, THE CHAIR: Your microphone is not on. 22 22 Sorry. because there was an issue about which is the formal 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: My phone -- you're 23 transcription. So let me check the protocol.

right. My phone is not on.

We had optional work days on every month.

24

25

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: But even though

the formal transcription might be the formal, what

			22 (Pages 82 to 83)
	82		84
1	Cindy is doing, I want to because of what KT just	1	Any other discussion?
2	brought up, I want to make sure we are recording	2	(No response.)
3	work sessions and meetings.	3	THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none, roll
4	THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes is going to check	4	call, Secretary Armijo.
5	on those official protocols and ensure that we're	5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
6	doing it properly moving forward. We can't go back	6	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
7	in time and do it for earlier today. But we can do	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
8	it moving forward, and she can give us an update.	8	Robbins.
9	But we don't need to get into that right	9	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
10	now. We're going to have Ms. Barnes come back to us	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
11	with it.	11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right.	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
13		13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO. Vice Chair Volgi. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
14	THE CHAIR: But, thank you, though, Commissioner Manis.	14	
		15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
15	So back to the calendar. Commissioner		votes yes.
16	Carrillo.	16	Chair Burt.
17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So I'm looking at	17	THE CHAIR: Yes.
18	our meetings. Of course, being Indian Market	18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
19	weekend on the 17th and 18th, that's cool. Let's	19	Carrillo.
20	just not go someplace far away. We might want to	20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
21	THE CHAIR: Yeah. We said we could stay	21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
22	here because the we were told that if we do go	22	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.
23	over that 2:15, it's not problematic.	23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
24	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would encourage	24	Gipson.
25	new Commissioners and anyone that needs to, make	25	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
	83		85
1		1	
2	your "rezzies" now. THE CHAIR: Which is why we're doing	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, nine to
3	calendaring now, too.	3	Zero.
4	Okay. Anything else?	4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director Chavez, that moves us to Item
5	(No response.)	5	No. 13, which is the Report from the Charter Schools
6	THE CHAIR: All right. So I think we need	6	Division.
7	a new draft of this before we take action. It's	7	THE CHAIR: All-righty. Thank you,
8		8	Commissioners. I just wanted to let you know that
9	updated? Okay. Should we take action on that right now,	9	•
10	or wait till December?	10	we have been extremely busy finalizing the Annual
11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Wait till what?	11	Reports and preparing for renewals.
12		12	So all of the renewal applications for the
	THE CHAIR: Wait till December. Oh, wait.		schools that are applying with Public Education
13	Sorry. We could do October.	13 14	Commission were submitted as of yesterday. That
14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: We could do it now	15	includes the six schools that are already authorized
15	with the changes.		through the PEC: Albuquerque Collegiate, Altura
16	THE CHAIR: Yeah. With the changes?	16	Prep, Horizon Academy West, New Mexico Academy of
17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah.	17	Media Arts, Hózhó Academy, New Mexico Connections
18	THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Voigt.	18	Academy. And Cottonwood Classical is a school that
19	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I move that	19 20	has been authorized by APS that is moving to apply
20		1 /0	with the Public Education Commission.
20	the Public Education Commission approve the 2023 PEC		
21	Meeting Calendar, as amended today.	21	We have scheduled site visits and informed
21 22	Meeting Calendar, as amended today. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.	21 22	We have scheduled site visits and informed the schools of those dates and sent to them the
21 22 23	Meeting Calendar, as amended today. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Third.	21 22 23	We have scheduled site visits and informed the schools of those dates and sent to them the renewal site visit protocol, which explains
21 22 23 24	Meeting Calendar, as amended today. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Third. THE CHAIR: Thanks. There's a motion and	21 22 23 24	We have scheduled site visits and informed the schools of those dates and sent to them the renewal site visit protocol, which explains expectations of the school, of our staff, and how we
21 22 23	Meeting Calendar, as amended today. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Third.	21 22 23	We have scheduled site visits and informed the schools of those dates and sent to them the renewal site visit protocol, which explains

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

begin on September 26th and end on October 11th. So those couple weeks are going to be really packed full for the staff.

We are having a training for all staff and contractors that are supporting us in this effort next Friday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Speaking of staff, I wanted to give you an update on that. So we have someone starting on Monday in the position of Non-Public School Accreditation. This is ESSER-funded, but a portion of that person's time will support authorizing practices.

And we have interviewed and are looking to make offers to two other positions. One is a full-time ed admin aide. This is the position that was vacated earlier in the summer.

And so in Authorizing Practices in the second position is the Homeschool position, similar to the Non-Public School. It is ESSER-funded. But a portion of their time will be spent on authorizing practices.

So, you know, I believe in cross-training, and we always need the support with site visits and the work that we're doing.

Currently open for people to apply is the

Mission-Specific Goals.

We are not getting the results of all of the Mission-Specific Goals easily. And sometimes that's a transition in leadership, or sometimes it's just a delay on their part. But that has been a bit of a holdup for some of the schools.

It was a really productive visit in Las Cruces, and, you know, I know that he's working to support that school as best he can.

I also stopped by the Explore-Las Cruces campus, because they moved into a new facility. As you recall last time we met, they were letting us know that they were temporarily -- or they sent an e-mail -- they -- to inform us that they were temporarily meeting online. But they are now in-person, and the facility is great. I saw the cafeteria. I saw kids in classrooms and office space for staff.

In terms of the Explore-Rio Rancho, they have been sent a Board of Finance application as well as the CSP Grant to begin writing their proposal. We have not received those back from them yet. They'll need to have a Board of Finance before they receive any grants from us. So we're waiting for that.

87

89

position of Administrative Assistant. In progress right now with HR or SPO is the PEC Liaison position, the Financial Coordinator position, and two additional positions in Authorizing; one is Ed Admin O, and one is Ed Admin B.

One of those positions -- okay. So the O will focus on supporting the financial monitoring of schools. And the B is a position that used to be within the division and it went away. So we are in progress with those positions.

Additionally, wanted to let you know since we last met, I visited three schools: La Tierra Montessori. Deputy Director Brigette Russell and I attended that school for a while to sit down with the head administrator and walk through the Performance Framework and just to provide technical support and make sure that he was set to move forward with the school.

We found out that he has since put in a letter of resignation. So we're watching that school.

Similarly, I sat down with the new Interim Head Administrator at Raíces del Saber in Las Cruces, sat down to review the Preliminary Site Visit report and to inquire again about the

And then we also -- in progress, 21st Century is also applying for a CSP Grant. So we're looking forward to getting those and being able to support those schools.

We have the first Leadership Roundtable scheduled for October 17th at 1:00 p.m. Of course, you all are always invited. The topic will be Charter School Waivers.

As you may or may not know, we streamlined the process at the PED level so that it's not an application asking for a waiver; rather, in alignment with statute that says, "shall be granted," it's a way for them to let us know that they're exercising their rights for waivers.

And I'm really looking forward to this, because, hopefully, we'll get to hear how schools are utilizing the waivers for innovation.

So that promises to be a really wonderful session. And I've really got to give kudos to Louie Torres, who's been working with a group of charter school leaders who have helped hone down the topics, identify the date and time. And a big shout-out to those who have been showing up to the meetings that he and Lucy -- big shout-out to Lucy working with him on this -- have been facilitating. So that

2.

would be Tracy Filiss, Jordan Franco, Joseph Escobedo from APS, Mike Ogas, Matt Pahl, Juliane Hillock, Jackie Rodriguez, Azella Humetewa from the NACA-Inspired Schools Network, Michelle Hunt, and Caz Martinez.

They've all been coming together to talk about the roundtables, the conference that the CSD puts on, and so I think really serving as an informal advisory.

And so that -- that's it. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Can you send us an e-mail with that October 17th information?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Absolutely. It'll be probably a flier and probably include the Zoom or details on how to access it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Because it was like I wrote it down here. And I'm sure I'm going to forget -- I'm not going to forget. But in the e-mails, always awesome. Okay.

Next item is Item No. 14, Report from PEC Liaisons. And I'll have to apologize for mine, because I -- I was not able to attend the last LESC meeting. So I need to go through and review it and be able to give you guys an update next month.

The next one is Indian Education Advisory

8 to 9 percent. Some indices are well over 10 percent.

You know, that does affect lease assistance for the schools and things that they have to be paying for.

I will be attending the Public School Capital Outlay Oversight Task Force, which is actually made up of about -- I believe it's about 15 legislative members, State Senate and House, and then there's about 10 or 15 other members that hold various positions in State government and at schools.

So that will be an interesting time. Next Tuesday I'll be up here all day if anyone wants to come and say hi. That's my report.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I ask a question?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So thanks. So I think it came up at the LFC, funding for safety and upgrading facilities. And I remember from the last round of awards for that, schools had to put up matching funds in order to get that money. And I know matching funds is a big discussion overall with

Council. Secretary Armijo.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. So, actually, we are planning a meeting in October. They have taken a little bit of a break from their big meeting that they had in July. And so I reached out to them about when things were going to be happening. And so we'll be meeting in October. They're going to be sending out possible dates. So I'll have more for you in the October meeting, hopefully.

THE CHAIR: Great.

Next is Vice Chair Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I have nothing to report.

THE CHAIR: Next is Commissioner Robbins.
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: PSCOC and the subcommittees, we had meetings at the end of August.

Going into the new fiscal year -- well, yeah, now that we're in the new fiscal year, lease assistance has not been set. I think we'll set that in our October meeting.

The award letters have gone out, but the rate has not been set. And I'm hoping that we can get a reasonable increase in that again this year, since general inflation is running somewhere -- depending on what you want to look at, anywhere from

Capital Outlay. But I'm just wondering, because I think one of the serious concerns that many -- Matt Pahl mentioned it yesterday -- that, you know, the school that we were alluding to, there's another charter in there, and families are worried about the safety of it, because, unfortunately, often schools have to look at a strip mall at least for the first couple of years. And they're not that safe.

So I'm wondering, has there been any discussion about alleviating that burden of the matching funds for upgrading safety -- safety in the schools.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: There is discussion. It hasn't been finalized, and we're probably going to get direction from the Task Force and the Legislature.

One thing that we did look at is, with the revision a couple of years ago in the funding formula and the match, we saw local school districts get anywhere from a 20 to 50 percent increase in their local match requirement, which really wasn't the intent.

There are only about eight or nine, maybe ten school districts that had a reduction. All the rest of them had an increase, some of them very

substantial. I think APS even had, like, a 60 or 70 percent increase in their match, which was already very high, like, okay, almost goes -- you know, 95 percent or something like that.

That is one thing. And then also the standards. Minimum standards. And I think that's where safety issues -- in my opinion, it needs to be a requirement -- I would like it to be a requirement that for a school to receive funding, standards-based funding, that they actually make a priority the security of the school, and that if they are not going to meet minimum standards on security, that they do not get standards-based funding.

Because I think with what we've seen and heard and everything -- and, you know, there's different ways that you can harden a school without making it a prison. I mean -- but many schools around the state still have done very little.

Part of it -- some of that security stuff didn't require an actual match. It was just available. The Legislature may extend that -- that funding. But, generally, all the security stuff can be part of a standards-based award, unless it's like an alarm system, because that's just security.

improving the mass communication system for not only administrators, but teachers, or any staff member, to be able to immediately call for a campus-wide lockdown. That was one of the things.

They talked about handheld radios for staff to be able to have better communication. And then they also talked about different ways to have a push notification system that could warn others, both on and off campus, about an emergency situation, and notify first responders to the situation immediately, rather than it be kind of like a something that may happen. It would take longer to let them know.

So the push notification would be kind of immediate. If we think about our smartphones, we get notified -- any notification pretty readily if we have an app or something.

So they have apps. One that they had mentioned was the RAVE App, R-A-V-E, that can help notify first responders or parents about some of these issues that could occur, a critical incident that might occur.

And then also one of the other things that was talked about was anonymous reporting systems for those students that might be displaying concerning

That's a systems award.

But if they're actually building things, you know, building vestibules, adding, you know, things, that can be part of a standards-based award, and the standard match applies to that. But if it is strictly security, I'm not sure how much funding is available still. But that would be something the Legislature could give without a match requirement.

THE CHAIR: All right. Next is Commissioner Manis.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. We met -- NMPSIA met this past week on Thursday. That would have been the 8th, I believe. And we discussed some different things. What was brought up, I think probably the most pertinent was the sexual molestation. And we also talked about the other risk matter, which, in light of the Uvalde shooting, about some of the risk matters associated with what could -- what can schools do to potentially prevent both the sexual molestation aspect and then also the school shootings.

So we were -- we had an extensive discussion about different security needs from the schools.

And one thing that was talked about is

behavior inside the -- inside the classroom or school, in general, to be able to have those unanimous reporting systems for the school district to be able to have those in place.

They also talked about different things like -- and I think they've been mentioned before -- is the making sure that there's bulletproof glass on some of the windows, exterior windows, but also being able to have the interior room locks and some that -- some that are -- the interior room locks, they don't actually -- they're not the highest grade of -- of lock to be used in some schools. And so they talked about upgrading those to be -- to be better.

And then, finally, one of the things that we had talked about, like I said, was the sexual molestation part. And that was pretty concerning, because we looked at a report that involved sexual molestation incidents over the past ten years. And the majority of cases that occurred were repeat offenders.

And it's pretty concerning that the repeat offenders -- that it can keep on happening. They move from, like, for example, one school district to another, even within the same county, and they have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

99

repeat offenses.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we talked about some prevention aspects that we're hoping can be introduced. Some include, like, a unanimous reporting system for children to be able to report that, because I think at times, if a child has experienced that, they may not feel comfortable talking to another adult about their situation because they think that it could happen to them, or they may not really listen to their concerns that that actually happened.

We also talked about potentially trying to get a state or national public database beyond the National Association of State Directors Of Teacher Education and Certification, to be able to include and have those individuals who have committed these prior acts to be included in these databases, for school districts to have that information at the tip of their finger so that if someone does come to apply, and they've had a offense at another school district, that it's easy to find that information out, that they don't have to hunt for it.

They also talked about trainings and some -- there's a lack of mandatory reporting on some of these issues.

So I think it was pretty informative. And

Possible Action on PEC Legislative Agenda. The list of items below were suggested by PEC members for discussion in earlier PEC meetings, and then there's a punch list there.

Do you want to help lead us in -- okay. Great. Ms. Barnes.

Oh, yeah. Sorry. So I guess if we want to go through each item, and then we'll need to come up with a consensus on that. And then we can approve a legislative agenda today.

But I think if we go through each item and get a consensus as to whether it should be on the official PEC legislative agenda or not, then we can do a motion to -- to formalize that. And we'll go item by item.

But starting with Item No. -- not No. --Item Letter F, which is Equity Councils and Legislation Mandate.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Let me just start briefly by letting you know that people -- as people raise legislative items during the meetings, we just collected them. So that's where the list came from. And if anybody mentioned something, we did it. Some of them, that's all I know. And I'm not sure if it is legislative or whatever.

we're going to be looking at some of these a little bit further on what we can do, as NMPSIA, to be able to prevent sexual molestation and also increase the security for -- for the schools.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No meeting with the NMSBA would show this month. But when we get to the legislative stuff, I imagine we can also talk about where we're going to work together and a possible session with NMSBA in November, we really should get moving on it, with Joe or whoever. I don't know who their president is at this point. So there you have it.

THE CHAIR: All right. And last is Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Thank you.

Thanks to Commissioner Armijo for making introduction for the -- to the Library Commission. They're having a meeting October 7th. So I'll be glad to attend that and have a report next month, hopefully.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. That does bring us to Item No. 15, which is Discussion and

So I think we're going to start with the equity council. But then it looked like at least eight of the items related to the -- to changing the Charter School Act itself. So they're all collected together.

THE CHAIR: Great. And I think also if we need further discussion, I think we have this meeting. But I would say by October, we need to -if we do not approve it today, we really should be approving it next month at the latest.

Okay. So starting with Item F, Equity Councils and Legislative -- Legislation Mandate, and Commissioner comments? Questions?

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So the reason I want this here is I don't think -- I don't think it should go to the Legislature, that any involvement in equity councils, either oversight or anything that might be disciplinary or consequential or something, the Legislature should not be involved in this.

I have a couple of questions, and I would ask that I don't need the long version. In terms of equity -- okay. My understanding is the PED now has an Office of Equity. Is that correct or incorrect?

2.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: It has a Division of Identity, Equity, and Transformation.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. And when was this division enacted or developed?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Commissioner Carrillo, I don't know the exact date. I would have to check.

THE CHAIR: It was 2018, though.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It was right after Yazzie/Martinez; is that correct?

THE CHAIR: It was with the new

administration.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It was 2019, then. THE CHAIR: 2019. Sorry. 2019.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: My understanding is part of it was in response -- Corina is over there -- to Yazzie/Martinez. Okay.

should go to the Legislature on any level is because it's just going to create a larger bureaucracy around it, you know. There's -- you're going to create essentially a big business around equity.

One of the reasons I don't think this

23 There already is. There's people delivering, you

know, seminars around equity, two of which I -- one of which I attended that was done here, and one of

Legislature, because I think that the -- these issues, given -- what do we have? -- like, 57 charters now? -- can be handled much better by individual charters to meet their needs around these issues

Where they see systemic, you know, bias or racism, you know, they could approach it as -- maybe it's with different public -- different professional development on the teachers' side, and maybe it's a different kind of a seminar or something for kids, an assembly or something like that, so the kids can be more aware of maybe their language around, you know, things that they might be saying and/or doing that can be considered just completely inappropriate.

An example I will give, just in my own personal life, is -- this was a really good friend from the days when I was working as a union organizer. We were doing something, and he used the term, "Jew him down." And, man, I just fell out of my chair.

I said -- I'll change his name. I said, like, "John, what on -- do you know what you just said?"

He said, "What?"

And there are very -- it's -- they are of very questionable-like subject matter, and the interpretations that they're -- that they've come to or decisions that they've come to and the

representations they make.

which I read.

And I think -- and I would agree with the Petersens in the way they paint the issue around basically making everything about racism and White Supremacy. And if you're not -- I made the motion, and I even remember the one where the lady from Cochiti Pueblo -- we can go back. It was one of our earlier meetings, might have been January, the January meeting, I probably should have spoke up then. But I took great exception to many of the things she said, because she made us all out to be perpetrators or victims. There was, like, no in-between. And even the slightest microaggression somehow makes me a White Supremacist.

And these are a lot -- this is what is happening in a lot of these trainings. And I think what it causes is more division and more divisiveness than actually coming to solutions about understanding.

And I don't want any of this to go to the

"Jew him down." What -- he had no idea of the implications and -- essentially, anti-Semitism that was built into that statement.

And we had a conversation about it. He said, "I'll never say that again. I had no idea. It was just something that I heard in my family, and that I've just used that phrase."

And, of course, I took great exception to that.

So part of the reason why I also say this is that I think that -- and I imagine this is happening all over the country. We are overreacting to something -- well, it's the difference between equity and equality.

And I was just reading in its entirety the LESC's brief on the Yazzie/Martinez decision. And not once did -- not once was the word "equity" listed. It was more about opportunity. And I would imagine all of us have probably seen, at one point, the -- the graph or the -- it was, like an animated graph or video of the football field where 100 kids are standing in an in-zone, and they basically take all of these socioeconomic factors.

And those kids that are making their way toward the opposite end zone, maybe they have, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, two parents in the household. Maybe they have kids that have graduated college, kids that have graduated high school, whatever the economic -- you know, all of these different elements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you can see where it is about opportunity. And, you know -- and, you know -- or the kids that even have enrichment in summer, enrichment, whether it's in school or enrichment whether it's your family taking you to cultural places around the country. So you're always continuing to learn one way or another. Kids that have that in their lives and kids that don't.

So it becomes much more about opportunity, and I think it's much better left to local districts and charter schools to see that they're filling that -- and I'll call it the opportunity gap.

And the -- I'm looking at some notes I have here.

In the Yazzie/Martinez decision, I mean, it seemed like a lot of it really focused on resources necessary, saying that, clearly, New Mexico was not providing the resources for these at-risk -- and that's the word she used -- "at-risk" kids in these different categories. And in not providing that, they were denying these children

absolutely, positively, not have equity councils be part of a legislative agenda.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Taylor. Then Commissioner Robbins. Then Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So excuse me. I concur completely with Commissioner Carrillo on -on this -- you know, on this aspect. I think that there are way too many interpretations -- excuse me -- and intricacies to try to legislate something like that.

I think it's best suited for -- I am not opposed at all to schools having equity councils in their schools that serve their communities the way that they need to be served, you know. I think it's better for each school to be able to be sensitive to their cultural surroundings and really be in tune to it, but not legislated at all.

I would take it off of the -- of legislative agenda. And I don't want the -- I don't want the Legislature involved with equity councils so thank you for your comments, Commissioner Carrillo.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. I also

107

109

equal production under the law and also under the education provisions of the Constitution.

So, essentially, I just want all of this removed -- my feeling is I would love the PEC not to endorse anything that where equity is being moved onto the legislative agenda. I think it's just going to muck up the waters.

I think schools individually know how to best use their resources to make sure kids have the same opportunity. And even where these opportunities -- especially with charters -- even where there might be opportunity gaps with a family, by the very nature of these families going to charter schools, they're probably very interested in filling these gaps. And the boards and people involved in these schools can do that on a local level.

By having anything go to the Legislature, I see quotas, which probably cannot be matched because if a school is located in a certain area and has a certain demographic. And I see a whole compliance division building up and then a whole training division and all of the massive amount of money that surrounds all of that.

So that's why I would like us to

agree that I don't want the Legislature mandating or getting involved in equity councils. The presentation by Myra a few months back was interesting. But in going through the material in a more detailed fashion and reading through that material, there are a lot of issues.

The main one is a sheet that says, "School Boards and Governance Board Members Becoming Equity-Driven Leaders." And at the bottom, next to the little thing you can do with your phone -what's that thing called? -- the QR code -- it says, "Advocate for students and families who lack power and privilege."

That is directly out of CRT. How do you -- who lack power and privilege? So I'm White. Do I have power? Do I have privilege simply because I'm White?

You know, I grew up in a family of five boys with a single mother. My parents divorced when I was five years old. My mother was a single parent providing for us.

I went to school. I paid my way through college. I didn't get any grants, scholarships, or loans. I worked part-time. I went to school part-time. It took me five years. It took me nine

semesters to get my bachelor's degree.

When I went and got my Master's degree, I was working full-time, I was going to school part-time, and I was a grad assistant. So I was working somewhere between 50 and 60 hours a week on top of school.

And I don't think that made me privileged. It made me an individual who worked damn hard. And I don't usually use words like that. But I worked very hard to provide for my family while they were young. We didn't take extensive vacations. We didn't drive brand new cars. We didn't have a big fancy house, new clothes all the time.

You know, that's not privilege just because I'm White, you know. And, you know, it's that sheet that really raises alarm bells for a lot of people, because there's the perception, simply because of a race or an ethnicity, that you're less important or more important, or that you have power, or that you have privilege, and it needs to be yanked away from you.

That's divisive in any way you want to look at it. It is wrong. It is basically bullying, which I talked about over a year ago, that when you call someone simply because of their race,

any conversation about it. So I don't know whether we're whipping ourselves up for no reason. So that's part of it.

There is rule that requires our governing councils to get an hour's of equity training. They have to. So I think, for further down the road, I think it's incumbent on us to look at how that training is being delivered, because it is in rule that our GCs have to have that hour of equity training. So I think if there's a concern about that, that's a whole different issue than this.

So I would just say, maybe, later on our agendas, we look at how that training is being delivered and have a little more input into what's happening there.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I will -- before I go back around, Commissioner Davis did send an e-mail that she asked about this particular agenda item on equity councils that she would like to be read into the record. So if you all will allow me to go ahead and do that for her.

All right. So she said:

"I am so sorry I am unable to attend the meeting Friday, the 16th. I really wanted to speak on the agenda item 15-F."

ethnicity, or background that they -- that they are worse than you, that they are bad, that's bullying, plain and simple. And it's wrong.

And I -- you know, I saw a thing on the Internet the other day. And I don't know how many of you saw the movie, "Blazing Saddles." But it was a movie that said, you know, we've come to where -- we had some pretty good decent race relations, and people were able to make jokes and everything.

Now you make a joke about something, and you're called a racist. You're called a bigot.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner, I hate to interrupt. We have a very long agenda. I'm hoping we can be concise with whether or not we support equity councils being introduced in the Legislature.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I adamantly oppose the Public Education Commission and the PED asking the Legislature to endorse or require a mandate, in any way, equity councils. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. You know, I don't like to support or not support legislation that I haven't seen. So I don't even know -- I haven't seen it on LESC agendas. I haven't heard

I -- oh, yeah. I'm reading the comments into the record.

"Commissioners, San Juan County is an ethnically diverse region. Navajo, Ute, and Apache culture traditions, religion, and family structure are present, along with Spanish-speaking individuals from the countries south of the U.S. border, and Spanish-speaking individuals descended from the original Spanish settlers of the 16th and 17th centuries. It is also home to immigrant families from India, Syria, Iraq, and, recently, Ukraine.

"I've spoken with many families and students who have experienced discrimination in the school environment. They feel their culture, values, and tradition not only are not valued, but are openly disparaged. There is no effort to include culturally relevant instruction in the curriculum.

"One of the school districts does not post equity council meetings. The equity council meetings are not covered by the Open Meetings Act. The equity councils are intended to, quote, "'Implement a culturally and linguistically responsive framework to prepare students for a..."' career -- sorry -- "'...college, career, and life by

supporting their identity and holistic development, including social, emotional, and physical wellness.'

"I feel if the spirit of equity councils is placed into statute, school districts would have to provide the needed educational environment, an environment that will support all students and recognize the needs of the whole child.

"Respectfully, Georgina Davis, Commissioner, District 5."

I'll also say -- and I know, Commissioner Carrillo, you have your hand back up, so I'll go back to you.

I don't -- I do support equity councils. I think that they are a very good move into including the idea of equity into administration in the schools. I think it's -- I think that is a very important thing for schools to move towards.

For a very long time, schools have not been totally thoughtful about serving students of many races, of many backgrounds, of many languages. It just hasn't been -- school in America is very Americanized, and it is very whitewashed. And that is how history is portrayed often, and that is just the way -- that's how I grew up. And I'm not that old. And I grew up with a very -- kind of the

outside of how it was originally intended and how it's supposed to be done.

So, I mean, obviously, we have no control over whether or not the PED does follow through with that. We were told by Ms. Valtierrez that they were planning on putting it as part of the legislative agenda. We do know that is the intention of the PED.

I don't know whether I support, you know, us taking a stand one way or another. I -- and, once again, like kind of Commissioner Gipson said is maybe how it looks and how it ends up could be very different as well.

So, you know, I -- I think equity councils are extremely important. And I don't mind them if they are PED initiatives. But I also think that when you do put things into law, people care a little bit more about them. You know, if it's just, "The PED wants you to do this," and there's nothing behind it, I mean, it's -- it's going to not be done with fidelity, because it's not required.

So I think I'm a little conflicted about whether or not I support it going to the Legislature. And so if I'm going to be really specific, I guess where I would stand right now

winner's side of history; right?

And I think it's really an important step to move towards an expanded view of education, where students see themselves in the curriculum, where everyone -- everyone -- is included in -- and respected for their background and for their families' background and who they are, their identity.

I mean, it's -- it was not that recent that students in New Mexico were taken to specific schools to have their heritage completely eliminated from them. I mean, that was not long ago. I mean, that is in people's lifetimes. There are people that are here today who have grandchildren who are -- school was trauma for them. That is real.

And I think it is important to acknowledge that. And I think equity councils, that's -- the purpose is not to shame anybody, but instead, to uplift another perspective that maybe hasn't been honored in the past in schools.

That being said, I don't know whether or not I support it being legislated, because I do believe that sometimes the Legislature takes a program that the PED starts, and they turn it into their own thing. And sometimes it can go awry today is that I would just have no comment from myself, as the Commission, on it, that we just leave it off of our legislative agenda.

I wouldn't -- I wouldn't support actively opposing it, that if it does go to the Legislature, that part of our agenda is to actively oppose it. But I would say maybe, for me, for -- I wouldn't mind if it was left off of our agenda.

Commissioner Voigt and then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I do support equity councils at all levels of public education.

Whether it would enter the legislative arena is another -- is another step and another progression to how those would be enacted.

When people say, "Oh, New Mexico is the 48th blah-blah-blah, bottom of the barrel," and then oppose equity councils, that's an oxymoron. So we have to look at the why and appreciate the intentions of why we are doing this.

I'm not going to self-pontificate about myself and why I would want equity councils. But I think that they're essential. And New Mexico should learn from the past. As any good education program

--,

2.

would teach history is we learn from our past to not make the same mistakes.

So I do approve the equity councils at all levels of public education. And whoever thinks that education is not political, I'm sorry, but it is. And that's just the way -- that's just the way it is. Whether we want it to be or not, it evolves or devolves to that level. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: All right. Before I go back to Commissioner Carrillo -- and then I have Commissioner Taylor and then Commissioner Gipson --I have Commissioner Armijo next.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. You know, I just want to say that, you know, being a Hispanic woman in the state of New Mexico and learning about the Yazzie/Martinez case over the years, and, you know, figuring out through my heritage and my parents and what they went through growing up in New Mexico and the things they had to go through, I just, you know, 100 percent support equity councils.

And I agree with the statement that was read from Georgina Davis, Commissioner Davis. And I truly believe that legislating it would be the right way to go, just so that it's in statute, and it's

It's a whole idea where I said I think a couple -- a month or two ago, the nine words that Reagan -- the nine most scary words I guess in America, "I'm from the government, and I'm here to help."

They're just going to muck it up. And I would disagree with Ms. Gipson in terms of, no, we haven't seen the legislation yet. You're right. We haven't. And maybe they won't even -- maybe Myra and the group already has it written -- maybe they already have their sponsors. And we're not going to know about what it is until December, and then it's way too late, okay?

The reason we're doing this in September or October is so that we can come up with an agenda and so that we can -- even with all of the other issues -- so that we can know where we stand on something. And maybe Myra and the group -- I can't remember the group, you have that there, but -- Commissioner Robbins -- maybe they don't end up submitting anything. But that doesn't mean that all this work is for naught.

It's about local control. I mean, I know whether the school is the Estancia Valley Classical Academy or whether it's, you know, Dolores Huerta,

119 | 121

clear. And then nobody can make assumptions as to what is a right equity council and what is a wrong equity council or what -- what can be presented.

And I also agree with Chair -- excuse me, Commissioner -- Gipson on we haven't even seen what the legislation is -- how it's written yet. So I would love to see that first.

And I would agree 100 percent that equity councils are vital and should be -- should be, you know, a huge part of our school systems.

And, yeah, that's all I have right now. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So we're going back now to Commissioner Carrillo, then Commissioner Taylor, then Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So I want to make it super clear I'm not against equity councils, okay? I am not against equity councils. So please don't anyone take my comments and, you know, construe them differently.

I am opposed to the Legislature getting involved. I support local control around equity councils and around individual school boards and charters coming up with plans that work for their populations, okay?

they're going to come up with different ways to make sure that the goals of equity councils are met that best suit their admin, teachers, and kids.

That's what I want to see happen, not in the Roundhouse, okay? That's what I'm talking about here.

All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. That's funny that you said that, Commissioner Carrillo, because I just wanted to clarify as well. I absolutely 100 percent believe that equity councils are important, and there should be one in every school, at every level. I believe that.

But as I said earlier, there are way too many interpretations. And for it to be spelled out exactly how it needs to be spelled out by the Legislature, I just think it's -- I think it's a disaster in the making having the Legislature come up with our -- the guidelines for our equity councils.

So I still 100 percent believe it's not --shouldn't be in the Legislature's hands.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, we

celebrated the fact that our charter schools were the first public entity -- public school entities that put equity councils into the renewals. And I guess I get concerned when we look at it has to be local control, because if it's local control, that could be an agenda that's going to deny equity to some people because that's what the local community supports.

So that there has to be oversight of this. There has to be. Whether the Legislature is the appropriate place -- you know, like I said, without seeing legislation -- but if you're asking me am I going to support something that's supporting equity councils, I have to wholeheartedly say yes, you know, 100 percent.

But I'll go back to, you know, I can't say wholeheartedly unless I actually see legislation. But I will support equity councils. And I'll also say that just because a comment was made that they're looking to support the legislation doesn't mean the legislation is there.

So I -- once again, I think people are whipping up over something that may not be a big deal; so -- and don't smirk. So...

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Comments off

things like that, I have very mixed emotions. Just
 as Commissioner Armijo spoke about being a Hispanic

woman, I can speak from the perspective of being a

Hispanic man, growing up in Southern New Mexico.
 And, you know, when I think about inequities and I

6 think about racism and things like that, those are issues of the heart is what I believe.

And I don't know -- you can pass as many laws as you want, but you're not going to change a person's heart. And that is where it lies, you know.

I think for the most part, people try to do the right thing. And sometimes that's good, and sometimes it doesn't end up so well.

But I see everybody's point of view here, because I see it from different lenses. I do see it from my own experiences and my own experiences with inequity. But I also see it from -- from the other side of the fence.

I don't know if it was Commissioner Robbins, you know -- and somebody else mentioned their parents; I think it was Commissioner Armijo.

My parents, you know, told a different story, of what they experienced from what mine was. And it doesn't mean that mine was void of inequities

mic.)

completely.

THE CHAIR: We do have -- this item in particular seems a little split. So I'm hoping that Commissioner Chavez and Commissioner Manis might be able to chime in on their -- you know, I think we have a couple of different options, whether to support any bill that comes up that would -- that would ask for legislation to enact these, to oppose it, or just to leave it off the legislative agenda

And I feel like we're a little split here. So I'm hoping you two can help with that.

Commissioner Chavez?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I've been involved with, you know, equity for many, many, many, many years, just in the role that I served in as Title III and Title I and Bilingual Coordinator. And there wasn't a lot of battles that I fought.

But, you know, I don't know that they came from, you know, a racism perspective. I really think that a lot of people just did things because they thought that's what was right. And I fought that fight for 12 years, trying to get people to see something -- something more.

When it comes to racism and inequities and

and that sort of thing. But it was better, you know. I can say that my experience was better than my parents', and I'm hoping that my kids' experiences were better than mine.

And so it is a process that takes a long, long time, you know, because, again, these are people's core values, whether they're right or wrong, you know.

But my -- the issues that I have, like with the speaker that we had, the trainer that we had in February or whatever, you know, it -- it does come from this blame thing of, you know, blaming somebody for, you know, some type of oppression or some type of whatever, you know.

And I just came from a background that said you work hard. You work hard to be successful. You know, hard work always pays off. And that doesn't mean that you don't run up against barriers. But you have -- you learn a resiliency of how to overcome those barriers and how do you get from Point A to Point B.

And if you guys remember, my comment to the trainer back then was -- I said, you know, I didn't agree with some of the things that she was saying. Just because I do believe in -- in teaching

kids how to overcome those issues that they -- that they -- that they experience.

How do you deal with racism?

You know, as a teacher, as a teacher in the classroom teaching second-language learners, they always talked to me about what they felt were -- was racism. And I'm not here to say that they did or didn't experience it. But what we did have a lot of conversations about was how do you -- how do you address it? How do you cope with it? How do you deal with it? And do you move forward? How do you get people to see your side from your lens? In other words, how do you get people to empathize with what's going on?

And so we had many, many conversations about it. But it was never -- it was never from the perspective of hard-hitting reaction, you know.

And I think my kids were better for that. I see them today -- and this was a long time ago. This was, you know, way back in the mid-2000s, you know. No, actually, I'm sorry. I take that back. It was the mid-'90s. Boy, time flies when you're having fun, I guess.

I am split on this. I do -- I agree with everybody else that has said that it should be at

support that. If it's coming from a perspective where we're making one group feel inferior to another, then I don't agree with that, you know.

And -- because as Commissioner Robbins has said, you know, just because he's White doesn't mean that somehow he was privileged. At least that's what I believe.

And I think -- and I know a lot of people that were White that came from a very disadvantaged background. And some were successful, and some were not. That doesn't mean that they were -- that they, you know, had some type of privilege or something.

And I -- I -- I really, really dislike, you know, when we use the word "privilege." And, personally, I'm disappointed that in our standards, in our social studies standards, that that was allowed to stay in there, the word "privilege." Because "privilege" means so many different things. It's not focused on one group, you know.

I know minorities that could be considered privileged -- right? -- because they're successful. And so I don't like the divisiveness of things. I think, in education, we need to take care of all kids. And that's -- you know, regardless. Let's focus on the issues that they're facing, whether

the local control. People know how to better serve their communities. That's what I think.

However, what Commissioner Gipson said is also true, you know, because it depends on who sits on that council as to, you know, what's going to happen.

I just don't -- personally, I don't believe in more bureaucracy, and I don't believe that -- I don't believe -- I've seen too many times in my 29 years in education where the Legislature legislates something that maybe started off with good intentions, but it gets mucked up. I've seen it too often.

So I am worried about that. I don't know that that's something that we need to get involved in.

I also agree with whoever said that until we see something, you know, then I think it's a call to action. But there's nothing wrong with having this discussion right now, as Commissioner Robbins has stated.

And so that's all I have to say. And, Commissioner Burt, I think you were right. I think if the equity council is coming from the perspective of lifting people up, then that's great. I totally it's struggles -- the struggles that they're facing, or academically, or whatever, and let's take care of all kids.

But when we start focusing on one group over another or making one group over another feel like they had some type of privilege or whatever, I'm not in favor of that. So that's all I have to say.

Commissioner Manis?

COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm glad you asked Commissioner Chavez to speak before me, because I think a lot of the views that he expressed are very similar to my own views on the topic.

I think that if we're going to be supporting or not supporting certain legislation, I think that there is enough Commissioners on this Commission that, whether they have similar views or not, that we could go a certain -- go a certain way, depending on what that legislation entails.

So to me, I'm in favor of being able to -to put out an opinion on this -- this particular
legislation if -- if the whole Commission is going
to be providing a vote on whether they approve or -or don't approve of whatever legislation that may
be.

But I'm -- I'm more in line with the local control aspect, just because I think, for the same reasons Commissioner Chavez mentioned, about the bureaucracy and how legislation can get mucked up in the process, I think that those who are locally, that they can address those issues. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So it seems like, just based off of the mixed reactions on this one, my proposal would be that we leave this one off of our agenda and allow Commissioners to make individual comments on this -- you know, as individual Commissioners, individual people.

But if anyone's opposed to that and would like it to be on our PEC Commission agenda, let's discuss that and, like, try to -- I think we're about ready to move on now that everyone spoke.

Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I just wanted to second what Commissioner Carrillo said. I'm not opposed to teaching the linguistic and culturally relevant information. As Commissioner Chavez said, the -- and others -- getting more bureaucracy requiring -- I mean, I think some of our charter schools have difficulties getting their governing council membership and maintaining them.

maybe not making it a recommendation one way or the other.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I just want to -Commissioner Robbins just mentioned, you know -- you
know, the Yazzie/Martinez and focus. And, you know,
we tend to focus on, you know, some groups of kids
from minority groups and that sort of thing.

And one of the things we -- to this day, we still don't talk enough about is kids that require special student services, you know, our SpEd kids. And that was part of the lawsuit. And, you know, our SpEd kids, that cuts across all sorts of -- all the ethnic backgrounds. You know, our SpEd population is representative of all of the different groups.

And so I think that's something that I just wanted to just echo that and remind everybody that that was a big focus on this lawsuit. And so we need to keep our eyes on that as well.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.

All right. So we'll go ahead and move up to Letter A now. And I also wanted to let you all know that Matt Pahl has joined, because they're also

.

Adding another layer of bureaucracy, saying, "Now you're going to have more meetings," add a whole other layer, it gets to be difficult. You look at a large school district. They have to have one equity council for the whole district.

We're looking at equity councils for every single charter school. And it gets to be an extra burden and extra time away. Anytime a group is -- their needs are not being met -- that's what Yazzie/Martinez was addressing -- the clear indication that certain groups of individuals, not just minorities, not just Native Americans, but also disabled individuals in this state, their educational needs were not being fully addressed.

I think that's what we should aim at.
But, you know, I've taken cultural things. I've worked with Native Americans. I've worked with Hispanics, you know, when I was a young kid working in construction and everything. I enjoyed it. I never had any fights or problems or arguments with my coworkers. We got along great because we had a unified purpose.

And I think trying to bring people together should be a goal. But it is a divisive issue. And I appreciate what Chair Burt said about

developing their legislative agenda. And so that's the reason why we wanted to keep it closer to the Report from Chair, because he's going to go right after us anyway.

So I do want to invite him -- I think he's on the panel. I don't know if you're just not on your camera.

Oh, there you are. Hello.

I think we're -- because this is just, like, a list of things that have just been, like, thrown out, I'm thinking it might be helpful to hear from Matt Pahl just to see what they're kind of thinking and see if we can organize our thoughts around some of these things first, because, they're a little -- I feel they're a little bit all over the place.

But I do think Item A is a really important one to discuss upcoming next, which is overall, maybe possible changes to the Charter School Act. Possibly. And I know -- yeah, Matt. If you can maybe give us an overview or an update from your organization on what a legislative agenda might look like.

MR. MATT PAHL: Sure. Thanks, Madam Chair. And I'm just trying to pull up your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

agenda, which I have somewhere on -- A, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thanks everyone for the opportunity. We are still crafting our legislative platform. So most of these things are in development, and they may not be in a finalized platform.

That said, you'll hear a lot of things that we've been talking about for a little bit of time, so they're likely to remain in a final draft.

And, you know, the first thing that is new that we're working on is complete funding equity for charter schools. And that includes -- we have authorizers that are taking more than 2 percent funding away from charter schools.

You may have heard the story that when they moved from the PEC-authorized to being locally-authorized, they oftentimes lose tens of thousands of dollars or even hundreds of thousands of dollars.

That shouldn't matter. And 2 percent -the 2 percent number should hold true regardless of the funding source. And schools should -- you know, students shouldn't get less money because they choose to go to a different public school is pretty much where we're coming from on that one.

Around the 2 percent within that idea is

place where we have to take things away from kids.

And that's -- that's not what the -- I mean SEG is the State Equalization Guarantee. And that means that kids get the funding they need -or -- sorry -- LEAs and charter schools get the funding they need to serve those kids.

So we have a couple of other things that are popping up on the radar from our schools, including generating funds for activities, certain special education funds that may or may not be getting to our schools. And so we're looking into all of those, and we want to kind of do a big broad pitch here.

So I'll just tell you there's a lot of education that needs to happen on this. So in the next three months, we'll just be trying to just show people where some of these inequities are and highlight that, and let's see if we can find some solutions this session or in upcoming sessions, because I think many of the things that you all are nodding your head at that in a knowing fashion, I think there's a lot of legislators and just people in the state who don't know about those funding inequities.

And so we really want to get that parity.

135

We're not claiming that the funding is perfect. But

we should get the same amount as any school district does for serving those same students. One element that's in there that I just

don't think we have a ready solution for, but we want to keep the conversation going, is the at-risk index being generated at the school level.

It is just not fair the way this is done right now, that a school district takes -- or a charter uses the at-risk index of the school district that they're in rather than reflecting their community population and being funded at that level.

So we -- and that cuts both ways. We have a number of schools that serve a more affluent community than the community that they're in, so they get extra funding. And we have schools that are serving a community that is much more disadvantaged, and they don't get as much funding as they otherwise would get.

There's not a baked-in solution for this. The current at-risk index and the formula can't just be applied to schools individually the way they calculate this.

And so I'm not sure where this goes this

mandating some transparency around the 2 percent. I've been watching the PEC and the PED have discussions about where that 2 percent money is

being spent. And I think -- I've watched that with some frustration. And I know there's kind of a larger conversation about who controls what.

We don't really care who controls it. I want to know what it's being spent on. And our schools deserve to know that, and it should be in every contract that they sign.

And then we can have a -- all we're asking for is transparency. We want to notify where the money is spent, and then we can have additional conversations with our authorizers, including you, about whether that's a good place to spend that money.

The other thing that I'll just note -- so, yeah, that's another piece of that bill.

Transportation funding. Charter schools have to pay cash that they've saved up for their first year of transportation funding. SEG is meant for kids. SEG is meant for kids to serve them in classrooms. And if we have to save up that money, which we're already saving money to do facility projects and things of that nature, we're just in a

2.

year. I know there was a proposal on the table. The Family Income Index is out there trying to help with some of these discrepancies, because it's very limited in its application. And that limited application may be appropriate. I don't know how it's going so far.

2.

But we just want to keep that going. And that's just another one of those education pieces.

Small School Size Adjustment is just something that, particularly for schools in rural areas that don't get this anymore, it's becoming a real problem. It was also true of the urban schools that used to receive it. But I think that's something that's on our radar.

The other more sweeping thing that I know there's been some conversation this summer about is taking a look at what base units are. If you know the funding formula, you know, like, for every -- for every first-grader you have, there's a multiplier associated with it. And that multiplier generates the funds for those students.

You'll see that the early elementary grades have -- have some of the higher multipliers. That actually goes -- the multipliers go down in middle school and kind of peak in high school.

And that can be any number. It can be additional teachers. It can be whatever it is. And we just haven't -- we've had massive increases in education funding in the last four years. You would not know it based on the staffing at schools. And I think that's a problem. We have bigger -- greater needs now than we've ever had.

And so increasing that operational funding in a way where it's not tied to mandates is vitally important for schools and school districts to staff themselves in the way to meet their communities' needs.

So I think that the multipliers for your base units with students is a way to address that, a way to recognize that each student just needs more, and that more might look different depending on which LEA we're talking about.

Adult diplomas, finding that pathway, trying to -- what I think we're going to land on this year, because we had some conversations in the spring, was just allowing students, that if they continuously enroll past that 21 years of age, that they can continue getting public funding for getting a public education.

It's far less than what we've -- what we

That's a recognition that the costs are just higher in those places. You have lower student-to-teacher ratios on the front end of a student's education career, and just need to offer more once they get into high school.

Those were set in the '70s, and the teaching profession and what's standard has changed. You know, the idea of having counselors, social workers, things of that nature, that's just part of a normal school now, to provide additional supports. And our funding formula doesn't really put that -- doesn't bake that in as part of the standard cost for schools.

I think everybody in this room, even if you weren't in education and you just went to school in the '90s or the '80s or the '70s, can recognize that it's changed a lot. And we still have a recognition of costs that is rooted in something that's just antiquated at this point.

And that gets to a larger goal of what we call more caring adults in classrooms. And all that means is more operational funding that's not tied up in mandates. Because at the end of the day, our schools will hire more people that do the things that they know that they need to do.

had in the past. But we're not going to get back to what we had in the past. And I think we should be rewarding those kids that, you know, they may have disengaged with their education. And we're funding plenty of kids disengaged over the last two years that, once they get to that age cap, they don't kind of get shuffled off to go finish a GED program, but they can finish their high school diploma. As long as they continuously enroll, as long as they put the work in.

We're hoping a proposal like that will help -- help alleviate some of the concerns from our partner schools who work primarily with dropout students or those who have kind of disengaged with their education.

So that's what we kind of have right now in a nutshell. Again, a number of familiar items and a couple of new ones there.

So, Madam Chair, I'll stand for questions, or just hang out for your discussion and happy to be called on to answer any questions from our perspective.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. So, once again, I think maybe just starting -- so I guess I have two different

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 ways I'm thinking about doing this. Either go bit 2 by bit, which seems like it might take a very long 3 time if I did that, go, like, piece by piece. Or if 4 you all want to -- I can open it up for 5 Commissioners for questions or for -- for Mr. Pahl 6 for what he just talked about. Or if you want to 7 call on one of these items because you would like it 8 to be on the PEC agenda that gets supported. 9

Or, once again, I think, you know, there's always the three items. We can actively support something. We can actively oppose something. Or we can remove it from our agenda completely from discussion from us.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Question for Matt.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So can I get just a nods of heads? What do you think about just -- I can open it up to you, and you all can call out which items you would like to discuss instead of going piece by piece. Does that sound okay?

Okay. All right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know there's already a question for --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should go -- I don't know how the determination was made to

24 just call out. I know time. And, you know, my 25

bladder is recognizing that it's been three hours

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So can I just say that I think -- I think we should be able to eliminate No. 6 from discussion? Because we've already -- we've put it in the MOU. We've already made a commitment to that. I don't think there's any need for discussion on that one. We've already said that.

THE CHAIR: To say yes, we would support that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We've talked about this ad nauseam.

THE CHAIR: Low-hanging fruit first, some items that you think are pretty simple, like, we've talked about them. There's not going to be discussion.

We should just -- like, if eliminating duties regarding vocational training, we have talked about it. It's in our MOU. We would support that. And so we don't need to discuss it. We can move it on to our official agenda.

Are there any other items like that that you all see that you feel like are like slam dunks, we -- we've talked about before, we're ready to go?

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Can I ask Matt?

25 THE CHAIR: Yeah. I do want to give you

143

now. But it's -- I have a question for Matt. Then I think we should just take them one at a time. And

some, there's going to be discussion, and some, it'll be like, "Yeah, sure."

That would be my preference, because at least everything is addressed.

THE CHAIR: Sure. I believe, just based of initial -- it seems like next month we'll need to do a final. So I can't imagine that we're getting to a place -- especially because I do think it would be important to hear from some other legislative -get some, like, PCSNM's legislative agenda and say we support items on theirs as well, or other organizations that support charters, be able to take a look at those once we're done and be able to add them to ours as well.

So maybe today is just more discussion and being able to take what we have and create a more solid plan on it. Because, like I said, these are just kind of like thrown out there, and they're not really worked through yet.

So that's why I was kind of thinking, if it's just, like, something that someone finds important, that we talk about that one today, because these were all just, piecemeal, compiled. 1 the opportunity to talk to Matt, for sure. Any 2 others that you think are slam dunks?

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think No. 8. You know, we've talked about that.

THE CHAIR: Does anyone oppose No. 8 just being a part of our agenda? So that is, "Allow the PEC to impose a three-year charter term with good cause you provided"?

Okay.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I guess I need a qualifier to that. That would mean changes to the Charter School Act.

THE CHAIR: Right. Both of these do. The numbered ones are all under the Charter School Act.

16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'll talk about that later.

> THE CHAIR: Okay. Anything else on this -- on any of these items that you feel are simple, we can say, yes, we support them, and we can move on?

Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. I don't know about other Commissioners. But I think we've talked about it, but, you know, providing some start-up

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grants to the CSP? B and C, you know? Right now -- and I can understand PED's perspective on charter schools and their budget and having to support them because they are part of the PED audit. I don't agree that they should be. Nor should -- should district charters be part of the district audit. They have their own finance requirements. They should be following State Procurement Code. They have to have their own

So, you know, I would be in favor of removing charter schools from -- State charter schools from the PED audit and district charter schools from the district audit.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So Item C, does anyone have anything that -- you know, there's no -- anyone who would not support that? Item C.

(No response.)

procurement officers.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Okay. You were right, Commissioner Robbins. Slam dunk on that one.

All right. Any other items?

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm confused. Maybe I'm just not that bright or didn't hear you say something. So under Charter School Act stuff, rule-making is very -- it just says "Rule-making."

GC? It's saying more than one board. It could be two governing councils. And I've got a concern over that.

4 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right. 5 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I'm not opposed to a governing council, being on more than one governing council. I think that's not a bad thing.

I do oppose a board member or a Commissioner sitting on a charter school board that they oversee or that they authorize. If it is one that they don't authorize, that's fine, you know, I mean, because it's their time.

But I don't think -- you know, this was a problem that APS had. You had, actually, for a time, the president of the Board sitting on a charter school that the AG was investigating. And that individual wound up with -- with charges, okay?

I mean, that's a problem. And it was pointed out before that person became the president that it was a conflict. But the person just -- so I don't think that should be allowed; in other words, any charter that you have --

THE CHAIR: So hold on. Before we keep going, we're trying to do the simple ones. If we

147

1 need more discussion on this, we'll hold on to that 2 one and get to that one. It sounds like we might 3 need more time on that one then. 4

I do have -- yeah. Commissioner Taylor is next.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: On D, it says, "Remove school board references (inaudible due to audio distortion) such as notification for removal of a board of a non-charter."

Is that just a clerical thing?

THE CHAIR: So I could pass it over to Ms. Barnes. I was just talking to her about that one, too. I was talking, "Is that just in the Charter School Act?"

> She said, "No, it's everywhere." I'll let her talk about that real quick,

17 just for clarification on that. 18

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Good. Thanks. MS. JULIA BARNES: Can't get my mic to stay on.

So the PEC, as you know, came from kind of the State Board of Education previously. And there was an idea raised that some of those ideas are still populated throughout the education code generally. Not really in the Charter School Act.

THE CHAIR: That's why I'm saying, that may be one that we need further discussion on. So right now, if we can think of any of these ones that maybe we've discussed before or are simple for us to be able to, like, "Yeah, we've talked about it before. That one is a no-brainer. We should support it"?

But rule-making, we probably need further discussion on. So let's hold that one a second.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Board members able to -- board members meaning people serving on governing councils? They can serve on more than -- what does that mean? Oh, I'm sorry. What? Oh.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I think it -- that's in statute, that board members are not allowed to sit on more than one board.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I think they should.

THE CHAIR: So that's what -- the proposal has been talked about before is to allow them to sit on a governing council and a school board.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I didn't understand. Are we saying they can serve on a school board and a

But there are certain notifications that they need to come notify you about a non-charter issue. It just seems like it's an old relic of the previous system.

And then there are some similar ones about whether the -- kind of on the reverse, for the Secretary of PED to be able to do things with charter school boards that they also can do with others that's not very clear.

So it's kind of what are the -- should there be some cleanup about the duties and roles in the Act, generally. But, again, it's not in the Charter School Act. There's not that confusion in the Charter School Act.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So it sounds to me like that is something that would need a total sort of rework in the proposal, like these are the areas specifically that we need to change. So this is a nonentity as far as discussion in this realm right now, seems like today, to me.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. Yeah. I'll agree with that, that we need -- and I just asked Ms. Barnes to be prepared next month to talk about this and be more specific in what this cleanup would look like and entail, and kind of separating

think about this from a staff perspective, I think, whoa, how many different entities are we going to have to get information from?

Yes. Because right now, the audits come out in one report. When state charters are a component unit of the PED, there's one release date, there's one set of information that gives us everything we need, as opposed to multiple audit firms having that information and us getting that information from multiple entities.

I think it could pose some challenges to us in getting information. And who knows about the timelines? I'm not sure if there is a rule statewide for everybody's audit to be released on the same date or not. But it could have some implications for when data is available for us to report to the PEC on school audits.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Robbins, if you want to respond just to that?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: On the audits -- the reason -- I agree with making it separate. The typical audit is, you know, 20 or 30 pages long for an entity. PED's audit is over 800 pages long, because every single audit -- and the thing is is you have to pore through all of that.

1 I understand if there are audit findings 2 and everything. But that's a burden on the State 3 Auditor to release those and make those public.

Charter schools do not have to use the same auditor as PED. There are dozens of auditors out there auditing charter schools. And they have similar guidelines, because there are standards that are followed.

But, you know, Auditor A may find a finding at one school that, you know, another school maybe, they had the issue but the auditor didn't raise it.

But all of the findings are the findings. So if you want to know the findings, yes, you have one report. But the findings for an individual charter, they're at the back of their audit reports. So whether you go through an 800-page report or you go through the final four or five pages of a charter schools report, you're still having to go through the individual reports.

But, you know, it seems to me the burden would be more -- I don't know that the burden even to the State Auditor would be greater. It's a little bit, maybe. It's another piece of paper rather than one big document.

those -- actually, eliminating those duties from the PEC where the Secretary has to call about a school board, and then allowing the Secretary to be able to remove a board of a charter school, which is not

remove a board of a charter school, which is not available.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Which was brought to us.

THE CHAIR: Your microphone is not on. Let's do it next month.

Director Chavez. Then Commissioner Gipson. Your microphone is not on.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. I would just ask Julia, if you could work with me, and/or me and the Office of General Counsel as you are doing that work, just so that nobody's surprised, nobody feels blindsided and we're all aware of where things are going? I don't know who proposed this, but just to work collaboratively on this.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair, if I may,

just on another item, the C, "Remove State charter audits as part of the PED audit," you know, I'm sure

PED would like to have fewer audits. But when I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Commissioner, if I may ask, do you know if there is a date that all the audits must be complete? Or --COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. Yes. It is November 1st.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And published and available so that --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: They are available once the State Auditor has approved them. And that can date can vary for individual audits. The thing is is --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So it could be some different dates that we would have access to the data.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right. But if there is an issue with an individual charter, that could hold up the PED, release of the PED data.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm aware of how right now they are component units of the PED --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: What I'm saying is if there is an issue with an individual charter school, it's delaying the PED audit, which hurts PED and their -- their work.

So -- but the State Auditor generally releases them by the middle of November -- or middle requirement for the Cabinet Secretary to report to 115.

And it's -- you know, that's where -- so my question to Ms. Barnes is if we -- to look at when we have the further discussion on this -- if we strip out of the education laws the language that we need, that doesn't fix the rule issue.

MS. JULIA BARNES: They would need to fix the rule to conform to statute.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Got it. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. You know, I think the reason the statute says that the Secretary is supposed to consult with the PEC is, yes, it's a remnant from the State School Board. But school boards are elected bodies. They are publicly elected bodies. And to allow a non-elected official to remove an elected body, I think is problematic. That's one reason why they left it in there, is, to say, "Montessori Elementary, you have to go and talk with another elected body."

We represent the entire state. And I think that's a responsibility that the legislature intended for this body to retain. Not that we

155

of February. They're released. They can be earlier, but it's all dependent.

Now, there's no definitive they have to be released, because if there are pending issues and there's an investigation done by the Office of the State Auditor then, they don't get released until the State Auditor's office releases that.

But there is a definitive date when they have to be submitted by.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. I have

Commissioner Gipson next on my list.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. So I think the -- the issue regarding the E, and I guess part of D, came as a result of the role that was created and the discussion that we had when the Cabinet -when PED created the new rule, they stripped out of the rule the -- and we asked them to take it out, but they didn't take out -- the requirement for the Cabinet Secretary to -- that he had to come before us if he wanted to remove a school board, local school board.

And we asked him to keep in the piece that the Cabinet Secretary could suspend a local charter school board. They took that out and kept the

overrule or anything, because it doesn't say we get a vote. It says that he consults -- or she, the Secretary -- consults with the PEC before a school board is suspended -- or a member is suspended.

That's all it requires. I think that's valuable, because we represent individuals, you know, that -- the people that voted for us. The Secretary is representing one individual, and that's the Governor.

Additionally, we're the authorizer for State charter schools. And I don't believe the Secretary of PED should be able to override and remove a state charter school board. But I do believe this PEC should.

If the Secretary, with consultation, can remove a local school board, then this body, with consultation with the Secretary, should be able to remove a charter school governing council. I think that -- that's good.

And I think the consultation piece -that's all that's required in the rule. That's all that's required. I think that's valuable, because we can bring a perspective from that area of the state. We can bring a perspective that encompasses a different view of education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think the consultation piece is still good. But I really would not want the Cabinet Secretary being able to remove a governance board from a State charter school, but perhaps adding that we have to consult with the Secretary or a representative of the Secretary's office before we did that and have that authority. I think that would be valuable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: I actually do, too, because I think there is a very weird loophole when it comes to governing board council members, where there's really -- they're not elected. And there's really maybe a lack of oversight over governing boards, that I have heard from some legislators this year that there's been some concerns about some governing boards and how they get put onto the boards and who's looking at them.

And I don't want it to go that far; right? I don't want the Legislature to be looking at how they get appoint- -- those kinds of things.

But as far as if we're the accountability body for State charters and there's no one else holding governing board members or governing boards accountable because they're appointed, and there's, like, this little in- -- just the inside of the

governing board. And I'm assuming that's probably why it's not set up that way right now. So I don't know.

Yeah. Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So, I mean, we all know. It's been on the radar of the Legislature about non- -- non-elected governance councils. They've had proposals that they actually have to have elections.

Charter schools can't afford to do that because you have to pay for your own elections. And because there's no geographic boundaries in the school district, you're running on election for all of Albuquerque, for each and every charter school that would be in Albuquerque. You can't do that.

They had a proposal one time that the Chamber of Commerce appoint governance council members. And it's, like, well, that's -- you know, to make it non-political. So, you know, they've run around this.

The concern -- and I completely agree -the concern that came out of that rule was, well. now no one can suspend their board. Because the Cabinet Secretary doesn't want to do it, fine. But who can?

159

governing board is supposed to take care of itself, sometimes it seems like that's where there's some implosion. I think, just in the same way, that, you know, if the Secretary were to take over a board, it's egregious; right? It's an extreme stance.

I think that should be like that for us as well. But it does seem that there's a piece of oversight missing around governing boards that I do think that suggestion, just taking -- and, actually, I also agree like if the Secretary consults with us as they remove a school board, and then what we would need to add is that we consult with the Secretary in order to remove a governing board.

I think the only thing that is weird for me in that point is that then when the Secretary removes a school board, then the State becomes that school board.

And I don't know if we have -- we don't have the capacity to be the governing board of a charter school; whereas, the PED does have the capacity to become the school board for that school district when they take it over.

So I think that's a -- a complicated piece to it that we would still need to then hand over control of the charter to the PED if we remove the And the statute originally outlined that

if the Cabinet Secretary removed the governance council of a charter school, then the Cabinet Secretary appointed members to that governing council. That's the way it was outlined in statute.

And when the Cabinet Secretary suspends a local school board, they appoint someone to run that school board.

So we have the funds to be able to do that. So that's -- I don't think that's the issue. We could -- we could find someone to temporarily, you know, over- -- oversee that.

But I agree. I think that there has to be, you know, an ability to suspend a board that's acting egregiously. And I've got no issue with it being us through a hearing process. And if we have to, you know, notify or invite the Cabinet Secretary to that meeting, you know, have at it. That's no problem. But something needs to be fixed there.

THE CHAIR: Okay. It is 12:30. I think we're at a point of either, if you all think we could get through the last couple of items quick enough, we can keep going. If you feel like we're going to be here for another hour, two hours, three hours, four hours -- I don't know -- then we

probably should take a lunch break at this time. So I'm open -- once again, I'm not trying to run a dictatorship where I decide. I want to allow you all to think about whether we take a lunch right now or if we try to push through. Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I don't anticipate that we'll be here more than two and a half hours. Bud I would still like to take a lunch break. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I thought for sure that Commissioner Voigt was going to say --THE CHAIR: She doesn't trust that we're going to do this quick. That's why. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm going to wear my T-shirt, "We Persist." COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Either way is fine with me. If we're not going to take a lunch break, can we just take a break to stretch our legs and drain our parts? THE CHAIR: That's an option, too. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think you have like three iron bladders back there. THE CHAIR: I was a teacher. You don't go to the bathroom during the day.

about the 2 percent money. So we can have a discussion about 2 percent.

Matt, I don't know if you're on, and Director Chavez definitely would like to have your perspective on any conversations about 2 percent as well.

So there's two items on here. Although they're different, they're both regarding the 2 percent money.

One is that the PEC take up to 2 percent so that there develops some kind of process that PEC does indeed take less than 2 percent from the schools, based on projections or estimated budgets, and then less than 2 percent is taken.

The second one is that 2 percent funding not be used by PED to cover school expenses. And I think I am hoping that one -- I understand the

No. 1. No. 2, I don't know what that one means. So, yeah, I don't know if it was

Commissioner Robbins, if you had proposed that one.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, it's the PED -- not CSD -- but PED use can only be used -- and it needs to be clarified -- only used for the direct administrative support to CSD and PEC.

Because their claim now is, "Well, we

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I did. I have to tell you (inaudible due to off-mic issues).

THE CHAIR: I don't know -- anyone else? To me, that's not clarifying at all, because I have you who doesn't care, you who wants to keep going, and you who want to take a lunch.

Just a short break? For you online, I know you all are in a little different position than us. We're just -- we're just going to do a ten-minute break -- actually, we'll do 15 minutes. We'll come back at 12:45 and finish off the agenda.

(Recess taken, 12:31 p.m. to 12:46 p.m.)

THE CHAIR: All right. We are going to get started again. I'm going to do a really quick run-through of what I have, because it seems like we can be pretty focused on this next section and getting through it.

Because it seems like we -- items we have left are going to be A-1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7. But then that's it. Everything else will either be next month, or there was some consensus to go ahead and move it on to the legislative agenda, which will be talked about next month as well.

So we will do A -- and I think -- I'm going to kind of couple 1 and 2 because they're both

support the charter schools and blah-blah-blah;

therefore, we have extra work."

Well, but the intent is it's the administrative support for CSD and PEC, not to fund the IT Department for all of PED.

THE CHAIR: So it's that 28 percent -- that right now, in the MOU, we have that 72/28 percent split. This proposal would eliminate that 28 percent and give 100 percent CSD? Is that --

still receive administrative support. But just like -- you know, right now the technical grant. There's a limit as to what can be spent on administrative support. You have direct costs, and you have the administrative support.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No, no. PED would

Right now the administrative support that PED is receiving almost equals the total amount that PEC and CSD spend.

It's, like -- it costs us, dollar for dollar that you spend, we have to spend. That's wrong.

Now, you could easily go in and just fix the cap, that it's a 15 or 20 percent cap. No more than 15 or 20 percent of the direct expenditures of CSD and PEC can be held.

But my discussion with Secretary Steinhaus in the spring was, "You should get more funding if you have the need for that funding and the Legislature is not giving it to you. I would be more than happy to come up and argue and support you guys getting that, and then letting that 2 percent, that little bit of 2 percent, go back to the charter schools," because in some cases, for the larger charter schools, it's up to \$100,000. I mean, that's hiring one really, really good administrator or a high-end teacher.

THE CHAIR: Okay. I do remember -- that actually -- that conversation is directly in my brain again. And I do remember supporting that, like, creating some kind of limit.

So then my next question is -- because now I don't know if we need to -- how deep we need to go in this -- are there any legislative changes we need to do in order to do that? Because those are proposals -- both of these are proposals in the MOU right now.

Can we do that internally in conjunction with PED without -- or do we need to change statute in order to do it? Would be -- because if we can just -- if that doesn't have to be in statute and we

2 percent." There, it, like, names 2 percent. They're kind of like saying, like, on or off. It's either 2 percent or not in that language.

The two of them together probably mean like, y'all could do this right now. I don't know who would challenge you.

But there could be some clarification, you know, that if it was part of a -- yeah. I just wanted to be clear there that I think it's a little bit ambiguous on whether it's needed. But certainly some clarification, you know, could -- could happen and that could be helpful.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well -- and it's been used -- this argument has been used. That's why they revert it. This is my thing, is over the last several years, it's been millions of dollars that have reverted of this 2 percent. And that's the biggest concern I have is that it's reverting -- if it reverted back to the charter schools, that's one issue. But it reverts back to the General Fund.

Districts don't revert their SEG unless

the Legislature goes in and sweeps it.

MR. MATT PAHL: Right.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So charter schools are being treated -- so maybe a simple thing is

could do that --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I would rather -personally, it be in statute, because a future
administration could go back on it. MOUs can be
changed. MOUs can be suspended. So I would rather
it be put in statute to protect the charter schools,
because, understand. There's a movement for more
and more charter schools. I mean, what if we get up
to where that 2 percent equals \$6 million, and PED
is taking 28 percent of that?

Well, that's almost their total funding right now. So, I mean, they could grow, and they could fund themselves and then do all this other stuff that the Legislature didn't appropriate.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And I saw Matt Pahl has his hand up as well. And I know he talked about the 2 percent earlier. But this is a big one.

MR. MATT PAHL: Yeah. Just a quick note on that. I don't think -- so the way the law is written in 22-8B-13, it says, "The amount of funding allocated to a charter school shall not be less than 98 percent of the school-generated program costs." "Not be less" provides some latitude there.

The second statement says, "The school district or division may withhold and use

change the "2 percent" to "up to 2 percent."

MR. MATT PAHL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Because that's what districts are allowed to do. Districts are allowed to take up to 2 percent. But they have to document how they're spending the money.

But I think there should be clarification that it's for the direct administrative support of the Charter School Division and the PEC, not the charter schools.

MR. MATT PAHL: That last part, the last statement says, "The use of the 2 percent is..." -- and it's only six words -- "...for its administrative support of the charter school."

And so there is potential that that would need to change, because, you know, you could read that a few different ways. And I think we're sympathetic with the idea that, you know, 2 percent is used for budget analysts. You know, under the way this is written that may be appropriate.

The one thing I'll just note is that, you know, some of those staff, they have extra staff for locally authorized charter schools as well. And only the State-authorized schools are paying in right now for the State.

So I think we have a few issues there. that's what Commissioner Robbins had said. Our first step is really, like, let's just start But I don't like the idea of anything with transparency. Let's just, like, have it in law being reverted back to the General Fund. Absolutely where we know where this money is going and how much not. If there's money that's not spent, it should it totals up to, because I think we'd be smarter on be distributed proportionately back to the school. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's not going to figuring out a path forward after that. Though, after talking to our members, they happen. That's the problem. may feel a little bit differently than that. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It'll happen if it THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. happens (inaudible); right? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And I agree. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I'm going to say if it happens legislatively and it says it'll revert I think in statute, we need to fix this language. I think, through the MOU, we can figure the other back, that money could take forever for that money piece out in terms of what's the percentage that to get back to the schools. will fund CSD appropriately so that we can say, COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: All state "This is the administrative cost." If 14 percent, reversions are required to be reported, and the it's 14 percent, whatever that is. State actually sweeps the fund out of the balances My preference is -- and I've heard PED of that agency the first week of August, the first staff say that it's -- it's reverting funds, because or second week of August. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But the PED would that's the line they put it on is reverting, you know. have to figure out -- calculate -- so here's where So it should be non-reverting. My preference is that we be able to figure COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: A spreadsheet. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: They have to out, a year out, two years out, our budgets and say, "To fund for the following year, we only need calculate what goes to each and every school, 1.5 percent." And that's what's -- that's what's because the --

withheld.

Because I worry about saying, "We're going to rely on the money being reverted back to the schools," because that could be, like, the following school year or whenever. So schools aren't going to be -- if schools don't get it withheld, they have that money right away, and they can use it for that current year, and they can budget appropriately.

If they're waiting for, you know, the golden check to come in, they can be wait- -- you know, they can be waiting unfortunately forever.

So that's, I think, a system -- the schools will be coming back to us and asking help for, and we won't be able to help them with it. So my preference is we -- through the budget subcommittee, we be able to set up a system, and, through the MOU, set up that agreement, and we move forward that way, but that the language be clear, the "up to."

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the idea of taking the 2 percent and then what's not used is reverted back to the charters directly, not necessarily going up to -- right? -- you're looking at me very quizzically -- from -- because I thought

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: That's what they calculate out now. It's 2 percent. If they have -- if the total amount spent was 1.65 percent, .35 percent reverts, and you take that and you spread it to all the schools. It's really a formula and a spreadsheet that can be done and uploaded in the share in a matter of hours.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You make it sound easy. But I'm going to tell you that there are schools that waited for six-plus months to get grant money that was supposed to go and didn't. So my concern is, you know, I have a -- personally, I have a greater preference to not pull it out and --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, that would be preferred, absolutely preferred that they not pull it out. Because we did a budget, PED did a budget. Let's say there's a total of \$2.5 million. Well, you know, after you start the year, you know what your budget is. You know what the budget is before the school year starts.

And you know what the SEG is, because they start giving that to the schools at the beginning of the school year. It changes at the 40th or 80th day, but they know how much they're getting. It changes only by enrollment.

it.

But if you say, "Oh, well, your budget to support the administrative cost to support CSD and PEC is only 1.6 percent," that's all you withdrew withdraw -- withhold. I mean, that's a very easy thing to do.

So whether it's on the front end or the back end, I say it's a simple thing, because it's just a calculation.

It would be better to not withhold it than to wait, because there's an internal issue of reverting it. But that's an internal issue in terms of the area being able to do it, you know.

And, you know, PED operates differently than most state agencies in the sense that they leave it up to the divisions to do their own budgeting, and they don't give them a lot of direct support. Most state agencies, they have a budget division that handles the budget and finances for the entire agency.

And that's not apparently the case here, which is an issue, because then they say, "Well, we handle this, we don't handle the division stuff," and it puts a greater burden on the division to where they have to kind of be experts in everything, and that's not fair.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, in theory.

2 THE CHAIR: I guess I'm also -- I'm

interested. Do they pull the 2 percent money on the

40th? 80th -- when do they do that?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Every month. Every month. A state agency gets 1/12 of its annual budget every month.

THE CHAIR: Got it. Okay. That's cool.

That does give -- that gives a lot of flexibility to
CSD, PEC, and everyone to be able -- you don't have
to be perfect day one. But once you -- like, once
you're a month into the budget and the budget is
set, that should be able to be adjusted.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I have to correct

Schools get 1/12 of their budget of their SEG each month. State agencies have an annual budget. In an election year, they are allowed to spend up to 50 percent of their annual budget in the first six months.

THE CHAIR: But I wonder for the 2 percent, just because I think the funding for CSD is so different than -- I wonder if it does come in.

You have your hand up, Brigette.
 DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: This is a question.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I'm going to say I'm just -- I just want to make sure the schools get --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Right.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I agree. I think it would be easier if we could figure out the percentage and have the schools have the use of that money when it's more meaningful than coming at some later date that they won't know.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I agree.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So that's -- you know.

THE CHAIR: And I think, honestly, it's as simple as figuring out as when is the date at which we have to know when they start pulling from them; right? Like, whatever -- whatever the lever is in which they start pulling that 2 percent money from them, whatever date that is, we just need to be able to submit in a timely amount before they start pulling. Or, I mean, I guess that could even be adjusted as the year on, too; right?

So like even if the year started, and they started pulling 2 percent and then were, like, "Okay, now our budget is 1.6," they can adjust the rest of the --

I actually have this question. I would need to ask

2 Marian Rael. And I wondered if Commissioner Robbins

might know. If we said, "On July 1st, we're going to pull 1.X percent," if by 40th day, the estimates

change and we realize, oh, we grossly underestimated

change and we realize, on, we grossiy underestimated

this, can you change it to 1.Y percent, or are you

stuck with 1.X percent for the whole year?

THE CHAIR: I can't see a world in which we would be able to ask for more after. I think you'd have to ask for more and then pull less at the end of the year. But I can't imagine you -- to me, that sounds really horrible to the schools. But...

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: But you could decide after the 40th day to pull less.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. That's what --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: If you budgeted for the beginning of the year at 1.9 percent, and after 40th day, we had vacancies, "We can work with 1.8," you could do that?

THE CHAIR: You tell us, Brigette.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: There's nothing in Share or in the MAPs, the Manual of Accounting Practices, that would prevent you from changing the amount month to month. It is -- it is just a matter of the personnel load that it would take to go into

the system and change the amount.

2.

But it's just like the amount that you spend for your leases on your vehicles and the gasoline will change month to month. I mean, your electric bill changes month to month.

Now, you don't pay an electric bill here. That's General Services Department. But, you know, you have other budgets. Xerox copies, you know. If -- they're based upon the number -- some copying machines -- Xerox is not that popular now. Some copying machines, it's based on the number of copies you make that month. That bill will go up and down every month, but you have an annual budget to work with.

So, yes, it can change. It can go up on the 40th or go down on the 40th. But it shouldn't have to change dramatically, because when you look at the total number of students, 20---22,000 students in the State charter schools, I mean, it's only going to change by fractions.

So if it -- even a 10 percent change is less than 2/10 of a percent to the 2 percent.

So it's not going to be huge dollar-amount changes with an increase or decrease in enrollment.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

it could even be where, you know, CSD does look at -- when we look at the budget, it is the entire 2 percent, and then you work your way down from there; right?

That way, after 40th day, then you decide if it's not 2 percent -- right? -- but allow -- I was just telling Corina yesterday they -- I believe CSD still needs more staff. They have 57 schools. Like, it's too many schools for not enough people. They need more staff.

So I don't really want to start at a lower percentage and not give them -- which I think the legislation that we're talking about is just to allow that flexibility -- right? -- up to 2 percent? And then, with a -- if it comes under the designated budget, that they start withholding less of the 2 percent, starting at 40th day, I mean, I think that seems so reasonable.

But I just don't ever want to put us in a situation where we get some qualified staff people, and then we can't fund them for some reason. So I think it's -- I'm -- I -- I will support this more because we're still leaving the "up to 2 percent"; right?

We're not -- I don't think it's going to

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I agree. I think we can't increase that percentage. I think we would have to, at a public meeting, identify what that percentage is so that our schools make a budget based on that. If it increases, well, good for them -- I mean, if it decreases, good for them.

But I don't believe, in fairness, we would have -- we should have the ability to say, "Oh, no. We underestimated this, and we're going to take the full 2 percent," because schools have already made their budgets and planned for it.

So -- but I also agree that that change can happen relatively quickly. If we found that there's going to be this far bigger surplus than we anticipated, I think they should be able to make that adjustment during the school year.

And that's what I would hope should happen, because I think that's -- you know, once again, it's -- it's SEG money that's being taken from schools. And if we don't need it to support them, then the best support we can give them is those -- whatever the dollars are back to them to use to help move their school forward.

THE CHAIR: So on this item -- and I also -- I totally understand that. I actually think

be -- I would never want it to say a different percentage in statute, like, allow the flexibility in statute. But I think, internally, it's start at that, and then if we go through, and that 40th day and we only need 1.5 percent, start withholding less then.

And that way -- but I'm just so worried about -- I feel like they need a mini-PED in CSD. That's what I would love for them is to have a whole, inclusive little team of everyone having, like, so much expertise and experience in all the different areas to where they don't have to depend on other areas in PED, that they have it all underneath the CSD house.

Okay. So I'm going to -- I'm going to kind of make a synopsis of what I've been hearing, because I do want to make sure Julia has some ideas of how to write this in order to give it back to us next month as well.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just add on to this conversation? And I'm going to apologize ahead of time for saying this, because it could open Pandora's Box.

But part of my concern is the schools -- they with- -- PED withholds the 2 percent. So when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there comes a point in time in state government when there's, like, hiring freezes, the 2 percent is still being pulled, that same 2 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I have an issue if we feel that CSD needs more staff, that it should not be part of that agency's hiring freeze, because it's not cost -it's not coming out of the agency's budget, that that should be separate, and we should -- because we feel that CSD needs that support and the money is there, that that hiring should still be able to take place.

So, you know, that's -- we've suffered that through previous administrations. And that's -- you know, CSD was decimated by a number of hiring freezes. And it's like, oh, we don't have -we can't do it. And people left, and we couldn't even on-board new people because, "No, there's a hiring freeze."

Well, that 2 percent money is still going there. So where is -- you know, where is the budgetary issue? It's not costing PED's budget anything. And it's for -- and by statute, they're there to support charters. So that -- that should be separate. And it's probably a different conversation. But that's -- yeah.

done, yes.

THE CHAIR: It's kind of if some of us are going to suffer, we're all going to suffer. We're all on the same team. Okay. Yes.

All right. So I'm going to -- I want to wrap up this part, and, that way we can just start looking at Julia drafting some language that she can, you know, share with CSD.

And we can look at it again next week -or sorry -- next month. And then Matt Pahl has to leave, so I want to give him an opportunity to comment more, and then keep going.

Okay. So for the 2 percent -- so specifically for legislative -- so I'm not talking about the internal processes. Like, I think we talked about some things that could be done internally that don't have to take a legislative change for us to do them.

But there's also some things that we could do internally that we would like a legislature change to support it.

So the first one would be to remove the language that says "not less than 98 percent," and have everything say "up to 2 percent," and that way it's just very clear. There's no conflict in any

183

THE CHAIR: All right. So before I go, I'm going to call on Dr. Russell first, and then I'm going to try to create a synopsis of this.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So, Chair Burt, Commissioner Gipson, you are absolutely right, that the 2 percent should not be included in the -- in any hiring freeze.

However, this agency has always included not only the 2 percent, but all federal funds. Even when those federal funds were already appropriated by Congress already sitting in G5 waiting to be downloaded, this state was just leaving money on the table, because the hiring freeze had to be across the board.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I didn't know about federal money. But I should be President, but I'm not President. So I don't have anything to do with federal funds.

THE CHAIR: And I don't know if that was necessarily -- I -- my understanding of that could have been that it was a governor decision, too. So that also --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yeah. No, it was -- this is nothing federally mandated. But I'm just saying that in the past, that is what PED has

language. It's very clear that it's always "up to 2 percent" to allow that flexibility.

There's also language about -- and there's two parts to this -- that -- that PED withholds only the amount in the approved budget. So once there's an approved budget, they only withhold that amount of money.

So I think that would take care of the -if it's less than the 2 percent. But also, if there are any extra funds at the end of the year, that they're non-reverting.

So two parts to that: One that they only withhold the approved budget. And anything that does end up left, let's say there were some staff vacancies, that doesn't get reverted. So that's another one.

And then the last one was to limit the PED direct administrative funds to either 15 or 20 percent. And I know it's in the MOU language, so I told her whatever that was just to match what we had in our proposal to them as part of this as well.

Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. Well, you know, I use the greater of 15 percent or \$600,000 or whatever it was.

THE CHAIR: There's something in the MOU that would match that.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: If we just say it's a fixed percentage of no greater than X percent. I understand. We do a lot of travel so there's probably a greater burden than there may be in other areas.

I'm not going to be on the Commission in four months anyway. But somewhere between 15 and 20 percent is a reasonable amount. I think less than 15 percent is not enough. I think more than 20 percent is too much. So somewhere between there is the sweet spot.

And if it's 20 percent, that's fine. If it's only 16 percent, that's fine, too.

I think it's somewhere between those two, because most government grants, they limit you to those -- you can't go over -- some grants are only 10 percent administrative cost. Some are 15 percent. Some, you can go up to 20. But it's very rare that a federal grant will allow you more than that. And I think that's reasonable.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. And I think that's what we discussed and we did put in our proposal in the MOU. I don't want to do anything different than

I have been proactively working on that. We have filled positions. We are creating new positions with your needs in mind. Every time the PEC meets, there are more and more things that are requested of us. There are more active members that are in touch with schools giving us phone calls, asking us to investigate. We want to be able to serve you well.

And I think at this point what that organizational chart looks like has been in flux.

And we are frankly, you know, in the process of learning, but increasing what that service is.

I also would like to say that should we -should the PEC attempt to remove any funding to the
PED and have CSD be a mini-PED, that would be pretty
challenging and significant in terms of what the PED
already does provide to us as staff members. I
would hate to have to spend time and energy counting
every penny and every service and every bit of what
it takes.

To me, it sounds like this continues to be an effort to separate out PEC from PED, once again, which is something that I thought we moved away from.

what we're already proposing and have discussed previously. So not trying to open that Pandora's Box again to do that.

So the language regarding that that we provided, my idea -- the synopsis would be to just match that language but propose that to be as part of the statute.

Director Chavez -- and then I want to go to Matt Pahl, just to make any comments you want before you have to leave.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. So the MOU currently has a formula that looks at the number of State charters relative to the total number of charters in districts. And so it -- the percentage derives from that.

In my opinion, all of this discussion about who keeps what percentage of the charter 2 percent is not serving students or schools at all.

I do think that we could be spending the money in a better way. I think that for the first time, the Charter Schools Division director has had access to that information and the ability to create an organizational chart increasing the number of staff that are available to schools. And that process takes a while.

I'm also wondering if having the attorney that is hired from this money write legislation towards that end is actually in compliance.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: She's not writing legislation.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: What is she writing? I think I just heard Chair Burt --

THE CHAIR: So the conversation is going too far and getting off track. So if there's anything about the legislation that you want to talk -- she's not -- we're creating a legislative agenda.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. To provide to --

THE CHAIR: That this Commission would then possibly, next month, authorize the Chair or other representatives to go to the Legislature and then we speak on behalf of the PEC.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. Like a proposed bill, perhaps --

THE CHAIR: No.

22 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: -- or language?

Okay. Thanks for the clarification.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Pahl, I know you have about ten minutes left with us. So tell us

what you think.

2.

MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks for giving me the forum to say whatever I want, Madam Chair. I'll keep it very brief and to the point.

Just a couple of updates.

You know, we're going to keep working on our platform. We moved the time of our weekly calls, and it's -- based on a member survey, and it's really amped up participation. We're getting upwards of over 50 schools on our weekly calls. So that's providing much better input on this process.

We'll keep using that as the platform, so each week, we'll have little updates to share. So as CSD and/or Julia works on -- on this, we can provide them updates in the interim.

The other thing I'd just note is that we are -- it's just our annual conference on December 8th and 9th. I appreciate you giving me a little flexibility on comments today. I try -- I actually put this meeting originally at noon, thinking I'd hit lunch and just push back, and I kind of have to jump off now.

But December 8th and 9th. We'll send you the registration form. Really excited to have you all -- the registrations right now are higher than

the school district versus -- you know, when it's a named school district, sometimes they say school districts and charter schools, and there isn't a lot of consistency there.

I just want to note that there's a lot of risk in opening up the Charter Schools Act and -- and doing that. And I say that because you -- you may not -- bills can be amended. And you may not actually get the status quo in a more clear way. There's a number of places in statute where, you know, it says, "school districts," but charter schools are treated the same way without it actually naming "school districts and charter schools."

Any one of those committee stops, they can say, "You know what? That actually should only be for school districts."

And so you're -- being able to really spend some time and get some alignment on what a bill like that looks like, getting some consensus before you walk into a 60-day session, I think is just critically important, rather than dropping it out there without those kind of prerequisites. Because I think it just increases the riskiness of maybe something happening with that bill that we don't like.

they've been since I've been with the organization. And that's because, you know, we're really billing this as an opportunity for leadership teams as a whole, governing council members, school leaders and their trusted leadership teams, to join and get a couple of days to, you know, go to sessions, learn something new, but also a good time to collaborate.

It's at Tamaya. We're really excited about that.

And the -- and the theme this year is "Renaissance," the idea of coming out of dark times into a brighter future for our students.

And you'll see a number of sessions that are oriented towards how charter schools can appropriate their sustainability and take those things that we learned from -- from the height of the pandemic to -- to help us, you know, serve kids better in the future.

So we're really excited about that. I'll forward that on to you all. And, Corina, if you want to go through CSD on that, somebody can let me know via e-mail or text. Happy to just do that the way it makes sense for you all.

And just appreciate the thinking on the policies. One last note on the policies is the --

So just a warning on that one, though I think, generally, like, more clarity and transparency is right. And I'm glad it was mentioned, and I'm sure you all will talk more about it in the coming months, because it is worthwhile.

I mean, those things could be changed with a new administration, as well, you know, with a different interpretation on law. So there's risk on that side, too.

But did want to flag that, because I think it's an important discussion for us all to have.

THE CHAIR: Matt, we have a question from Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Just a quick one.

Do you feel the same way about opening up the Charter School Act for any reason? Like, once you -- I thought you were saying it on school -- school districts and charter schools, kind of that -- those -- but were you also talking about the Charter School Act in general?

MR. MATT PAHL: I would say generally, like -- I mean, I think, you know, the work into having a bill go through the way you want it to or something close to it is just -- like, some consensus prior to the session is kind of important.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, it's a -- it's a -- it's a thinking that I think is rooted in the old days of the Legislature, where, you know, I think a lot of committees would love to get their hands on the Charter School Act and change it to fit their needs.

I don't know if we're in that place. But we certainly haven't tried anything recently to see if that's the case. I think the conversations are a lot more filled with mutual respect up there.

But, you know, having been involved in the charter space in the Roundhouse for seven or eight years, it's -- there is risk there; though, I do think the time now is different.

So if there's good understanding about what the bill is trying to do, careful attention to the title of the bill -- so, you know, changes that aren't associated with that title would be -- and the short -- what is it called? -- the description at the beginning, I think those are just real important to just making sure, like, you know, that the -- that the bill is safe, and it is measured on its merits rather than becoming a vehicle for, you know, the personal persuasions of any number of legislators.

So, yes, sorta, Julia. How is that for an

1 respond if something does happen. And it most 2 likely will.

But I think we can save that till October.

4 THE CHAIR: All right. Any other 5 questions for Matt Pahl?

> And then we're going to say goodbye to him for today.

All right. Thank you, Mr. Pahl. Thanks for joining us.

MR. MATT PAHL: Yeah. Thanks for accommodating that. And it was great to see you all in person at the work session. I'll be striving to do that again next month.

THE CHAIR: Great.

MR. MATT PAHL: Thanks, everyone.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

All right. So Item No. 3 is Rule-making. And we're -- obviously, we've talked about this for now almost a year. There's not clarity in any kind of legislation on this.

And, of course, we have, you know, just differing opinions on what may or may not be allowed or permitted or that kind of thing.

Is there any kind of stance that the Commission would like to take on rule-making when it

195

answer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. So just so

that we're clear, that if there's -- if we're looking at the -- someone's not just going to randomly submit a bill about the 2 percent unless we ask someone to do that for us. So that, you know, this idea, "We'll support a bill about the changes in the 2 percent."

Well, no one's going to be -- no one's sitting at home saying, "Oh, gee, I think I'm going to sponsor a bill on the 2 percent for the Commission."

That has to be actively done by the Commission, and that conversation has to be carefully done.

I think we have to save for a greater conversation the opening up of the Charter School Act, because the ramifications can be -- as Matt indicated, you can end up with consequences out of that. So it's a slippery slope when you -- when you open the door of the Charter School Act, because I think we have to look at what the other conversations that are going on around the state are so that we're clear and that we're prepared to

comes to PEC and PED partnership or authority over

And is that something that the Commission would like to propose to a legislator?

Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, we've had a couple of counsel for charter schools say that they didn't think the Commission had the authority to issue rules, that we had to go through PED. That further subjugates PEC. Instead of being an elected body, it is -- it looks like it is subbed to PED.

And I'm just looking at it from a very practical -- not any animus toward PED or anything. But PEC is an elected body. It should not be subject to a non-elected body controlling what it can and cannot do.

So if, in order to clarify, and to remove objections to any rule-making, it is necessary for us to ask for explicit authority to make rules, I think that would be a wise thing for the PEC to do.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions or comments from Commissioners on that? Any other support for that?

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I support it,

because I agree. I don't think -- I mean, I find it humorous to some extent, because legal counsels from schools said, "Oh, you can't do this unless you do rules." And then when we do the rule-making, they say, "Well, you can't do rule-making."

So it's like -- it has to be one way or the other. And I think relying on PED, even if we put it in an MOU, it doesn't guarantee that they're going to move, or move in a pace that we would want for that rule if we have to go to them and ask them.

And why do we have -- as an independently elected body, have to ask them to do this for us?

It was the same thing with the monies back -- you know, we used to have to go and ask, "Please let us do this."

It should not be. We should be able -- I believe we do have the authority to do the rule-making. If it needs that explicit clarification, then so be it, and I would support it

THE CHAIR: I, actually -- okay. So I also -- I think -- you know, I've been open to, like, trying to figure out what it looks like to do this cooperatively. I felt like we were really close on, like, a cooperative way of doing it. And

hesitancies about doing it completely through them and not signing, like, it having to be through PED, because that does allow PED, if they don't like what we're doing in particular, to either not do it or change it.

It doesn't necessarily allow us to have the independence that I feel like we do need in order to hold the standards in which the Commission has; right?

We do not have to uphold PED standards. We have a set of standards that I believe are oftentimes much greater than PED standards for schools. And we need the authority to be able to oversee our processes in that way, independently from what the PED is doing.

And, once again, nothing against what PED is doing. They should continue doing what they're doing. There's nothing that we're trying to remove from them. We're just trying to be able to do the best job that we can and give the support that we need to to schools to have some kind of -- to have those items that should really be harder to change in the rule instead of just in our -- our internal processes and procedures that can be changed literally month to month.

I felt very frustrated and blindsided by the PED when we tried to do this before, when it was -- when it was a cooperative partnership throughout the time, and that wasn't enough, either.

And it does feel like the PED just doesn't want us to have any opportunity to do this at all. And it's frustrating.

So I would also -- like, I think, just for clarity's sake, like, it doesn't -- I don't want to have an argument about this. I don't want it to be some kind of, like, "We want to," "You don't want us to," "You want us to," "We don't want..." -- it doesn't make sense to us to be something that there is an argument.

And just to have the explicit authority to do it -- because there are other Commissions, and there are other bodies that have that explicit authority, which is the PED's argument that we don't have that.

So if that's what we need, I also think that that's -- to me, that's a real easy solution. Like, okay, clarify it, and let's be able to move forward. And honestly, I'm, like, one way or another, whether it's we need to do it through them and we jointly sign it, or whether -- I do have

I mean, that's problematic. I understand why the attorneys are saying this should be in a rule, like, it needs to be in a rule, because this really affects schools. This affects schools, and it affects the kids because it affects the schools.

So I also would support it. I'm interested if we have anywhere near a consensus.

So if other folks, even if you just nod your head, like, yes or no? Like, "I don't want to get into this," or, "Yes, I would support that," we would attempt to try to get some clarification on the rule-making.

Yeah, give a thumbs-up, even. You don't have to speak -- all right.

(Commissioners indicate.)

THE CHAIR: Cool. Cool. Cool.

Did you want to speak, Commissioner Carrillo? Or just the number?

All right. Great. We have that, and we can move on.

So the next one is Item No. -- well, the list number for, "Change 30 days to contract and possibly change statutory deadlines or add extension by agreement of the parties."

Julia, do you want to explain that one?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. The Charter School Act is very specific that you were supposed to enter into a contract within 30 days. It's an impossibility. We can never do it. It doesn't say, "Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties."

So if you're doing a cleanup, you should clean that up.

THE CHAIR: So, yeah, a cleanup measure.

Do you guys want to just do a thumbs-up? Thumbs-up?

(Commissioners indicate.)

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. All right. That's No. 4.

No. 5, we started this conversation. It sounds like we need to have a little more conversation, so, once again, figure out a consensus if we even want to move forward on this or attempt to land on it. But board members able to sit on more than one board.

So I think, once again, this is a couple of different variations. Can people sit on two governing boards at the same time? Can people sit on a school board and a governing board? Can people sit on a governing board and a school board in which they are overseeing that governing -- that charter school?

be able to sit on more than one board. But I don't know if it should be -- you'll let them be on two?

You'll let them be on three? I think that's where -- you know, I think they should be allowed to be on more than one. But I don't know what the upper limit should be, if it's only two or if it's three.

Because what we're -- what you really do when you say, "You can only sit on one," you, theoretically -- based on the population of the state, you're limiting the number of governance councils you can have.

In reality, that's the way numbers work. If you have to have at least five, and there's only so many people, so many adults, you've set an upper cap. And, realistically it's far below what those numbers would mean.

We have 100,000 who can -- well, take 1 percent of that, and that's what you're really looking at.

So to me, it's a discussion of how many governing councils or equity councils can you be on simultaneously? Not a matter of whether or not.

But the first part of being on a charter school governance council, when you're in a

What are the limitations and restrictions that we would support or want to add to folks sitting on two boards or more?

Or do we want to allow folks to sit on more than one board?

Do you want to give us a summary again, Commissioner Robbins, of what your thinking was? Because I kind of stopped you and said, "We need to talk more."

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: That's fine. My thought was that no district board member should be able to sit on a district charter school's governance council. No state -- no PEC member should be able to sit on a State charter school governance council, you know, because there's an inherent conflict there.

And even though you could say, "Well, I'm not going to vote on it and everything," you're still setting policy that will affect that school, even if you don't vote on something for that school specifically. So if you just remove yourself from contract negotiations, you're still affecting that school. So you really can't.

But I do believe governance councils, equity councils should be able -- and members should

authorizing position, should be prohibited if you're in a position of authorizing charter schools underneath your authority.

THE CHAIR: So right -- so right now, it's that folks are only allowed to be on one board. That's what it is.

And so you're saying to allow folks to be on more than one board, except for if there is an authorizer/authorizee relationship between the two boards they sit on.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. But -- right. I mean, theoretically, I guess you could have a PEC member sitting on multiple district boards.

THE CHAIR: Right.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay? But even in that case, there should be an upper limit. Is it two? Is it three? I think that's where the discussion would be.

THE CHAIR: Is that a problem right now? Well, I guess it says you can't be on any more. But do you think it could be an issue, that people are going to want to be on, like, multiple boards?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I think charter schools, when they're looking for members -- you know, comments I've heard, kind of secondhand or

whatever, well, they'd love to sit on this one, but they're already on that one. And even though it doesn't take much time, they're retired or whatever, they would love to help them out, but they can't because they're already on this one.

THE CHAIR: Got it.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So, yes, I think since many of governance council people are retired or semi-retired -- not all, but many -- you know, it opens up -- and that cross-fertilization of ideas, I think, is valuable, too.

You look at boards of directors in business, you can't have -- you can't have the interlocking directors. You can't be on the board of a subsidiary and things like that.

But there are people who are on multiple boards. They get paid \$20,000, \$30,000, \$40,000 for every board meeting they go to. They're on three boards and they have meetings every month. They're making a lot of money just being on the board.

I know our governance councils aren't paid. But if they're willing to take the time to do it, allow them to. You know, it's just a matter of what cap it should be.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. And then

questions about supporting it at this point in time. I do, because I'm just worried that, you know, you're going to become a professional governance council member, you know.

And that's -- in some areas, that could absolutely be the case; so -- and if you're not, we can't put those qualifications and say, "Oh, you know, if you're a quality governance council member, you can serve on one board," because you can't create capacity, you know, standards.

So I -- you know, yeah. It's a tough one for me.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Thank you.

My question was the same as yours, Chair Burt.

Is this really an issue, you know? Is this something that has come up before us, and we -- you know, we've had people kind of beating down the doors to want to be on more than one board. Is this -- to me, this is an issue that I think -- don't think we need to pursue, you know, necessarily.

I mean, I -- it's already -- you know, it's already defined. And, you know, give other people the opportunity to sit on boards. I don't

Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just told Commissioner Carrillo that I need to be a retired person that has all this extra time. I don't know what world that exists in.

You know, I understand the problem. I do. But I get concerned that there's going to be this group of people that are going to be the person that you can go to. "Oh, they'll fill that -- they'll fill that slot."

That's what worries me. I don't think there's any restriction for being on an equity council in one school and a governance council. So that's -- to me, that's not an issue.

I wholeheartedly agree if you're -- if you're sitting as an authorizer, you should not be able to sit on a governance council of an entity that you're -- that you have oversight over. You know, unfortunately, in the case that was mentioned, it was a school board member, and it was a State-authorized school, so it was -- but it was an issue, and there certainly was concern over it.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: (Off mic.) COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It started up -yeah, yeah. But, you know, I have -- I have know. I just don't know that it's worth the effort as far as I'm concerned. So...

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Is it a rule right now that you can only be on one board? The biggest issue is conflict of interest. That's what it comes down to; right?

If someone wants to be on another board, knock yourself out. You can't have anything to do with the authorizer. You can't be obviously giving contracts to your brother-in-law. It comes down to conflict of interest. If they want to be on another one, knock yourself out.

I agree with Michael. If this hasn't been an issue, let's not make it one.

THE CHAIR: All right. So I guess what I've heard is either two different things: To allow folks to sit on more than one board up to a certain limit, maybe two -- they can be a part of two boards as long as there's not that conflict of interest as far as authorizing and being the person under that authorizer for the school under that.

Or, we just remove this from the legislative agenda. That's what I've also heard, that we don't pursue this as any action.

2.

So allowing it, but then creating a cap, and then that, like, explicitly stating that conflict that we would like to avoid. Or like with Commissioner Taylor, which is where I'm kind of at, like, not pursuing it.

Commissioner Carrillo?

2.1

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm of the "no pursue" persuasion. And also I'm just imagining for a moment trying to get a Senator or Representative to carry it, just, like -- they're going to say, "Why? What's the deal here? Has this ever been an issue?"

You know what I mean? I'd say let it go. THE CHAIR: I do hear -- I hear

Commissioner Robbins say, and I'm sure that has been the case, where there are people that are willing to serve on more than one, and there's a governing board that says we want one, but they're already on one, and they're not allowed to serve on more than one. I can see that as being a problem sometime.

But unless we're in this severe governing board drought, like, it's a huge problem where, like, we just can't get governing board members, like, a lot of our schools are just, like, under the five, and it's a huge problem, that I would could be next to impossible, because everyone is related to everybody or knows somebody or is a step-somebody. And you've just eliminated your whole pool to be on your board.

I guess I could go either way with this. It's -- I think -- I think the way that I would want this issue handled more than anything is for them to grow their own. It's like when you have -- when we get a new school, and they have a new governing board, it can be a -- it can be impressed upon them the importance of, "Find your replacement," you know.

So if you're going to have to leave the board for some reason, you know, find someone to take your spot, and always be trying to cultivate talent in that way.

So I can go either way on this.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So why don't we have Julia go ahead and draft something with that, and then we can take a look at it next month and decide whether we pursue it or not. But that way, we have the draft language, have some time to think on it.

I don't think this -- maybe we don't need to decide it today. But maybe seeing what this looks like as part of a legislative, you know,

definitely pursue this in that case.

But I don't know if that's -- Missy is not here anymore. But I don't know if that's an issue. Brigette?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: It is for some schools. And I'm not a Commissioner. I don't get a say. But I second wholeheartedly everything that Commissioner Robbins says. I do think it could promote innovation, cross-pollinization of ideas. And if people have the capacity to serve on two boards and there was no conflict of interest, it could be a good thing for both schools.

And I understand if you don't want to take the time and trouble to put it on your agenda. But I think Commissioner Robbins makes excellent points, and I think it could -- there are some schools that struggle to fill their board positions, especially to fill their boards with people who have experience on boards and capacity.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr. Russell. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So what's interesting is when you think of these really small districts trying to get board members who have no familial relations with anyone in the district, it

agenda, might help us as well.

Is that okay? Have her draft it, and then we'll look at it? Okay. Great.

All right. So the last one on this is going to be No, 7, which is changing the appellate review process and keep the review internal to the PED. I think I know what this is, and it's mostly that we just want it to stop the review -- the appeals to stop at the Secretary and not be able to go to the next step, which they currently are, which is to be appealed through the court system.

So this would be to limit appeals to the Secretary only and not go to the next level any longer.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Can we even do that? Because in a way, it's almost like we're --

THE CHAIR: We're asking for a legislative change.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Even if they change it, it seems like they would be eliminating someone's due process.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, you are so confusing.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I don't like the

2.1

idea. I can't stand the idea -- believe me -- I don't like the idea that people just love to go to district court.

THE CHAIR: Right. So this would prevent that.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I don't want to go to district, because they're going to spend taxpayer dollars and classroom money just because they can, you know.

THE CHAIR: No. Because they can, of course, they are going to.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know. I know.

the appeals process can be very different from ours. There are some states where there is no appeal process at all. None. There are some states where it's just one level. There are some that go further. There is precedent in other places to have a different appeals process.

THE CHAIR: I will say, in other states,

This would require a legislative change. Absolutely.

THE CHAIR: I'm going to support just ending it at the PED. I can't remember the state or area that you said -- I'm almost certain it was you, maybe it was just talking on the phone, where

therefore, the Cabinet Secretary is relying on that staff to make the recommendation to them, that it gets a little muddied there with -- with us.

But there isn't a cleaner -- to me, there isn't a clean -- someone had suggested one time that we skip the Cabinet Secretary and go directly to District Court. And I don't think that's the best solution. I don't. Because we were lucky this time around that District Court responded reasonably quickly.

But there have been other instances where District Court took years, literally years, and sometimes never. There are a couple of cases where we're still technically waiting.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Off mic.)
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes, it was. We had a school we never heard from. We ended up not renewing the school before -- and we still haven't gotten a response from District Court.

So you just don't know what's going to happen.

So that concerns me. And, unfortunately, District Court often doesn't look at what's educationally sound. They look at some nuance of the law, and they're going to pick that out. So I'm

they've got one guy.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: New Jersey.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I love that idea. One person. ¡Hijole! You're out. You violated the contract so many times. Goodbye. And it's just this one person. There's something to be said for

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Now you know why I am the way I am.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would support it ending at the Secretary.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do, too. You know, we've looked at this. We even looked at -- we talked one time about what happens with local district-authorized schools, when they make an appeal. They make an appeal to the Cabinet Secretary. Would it not make more sense that we

become the hearing officer for those appeals?

Because we have greater capacity to understand the nuances of a charter. And I really like that idea.

The problem is we don't have a flip on that. But, you know, I fully support it, you know.

And I know there's -- there's -- there's been concerns raised about, well, your staff that does

the recommendations is part of PED already. And so,

a Jersey girl, so I'm going for that.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Off mic.)
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I support that wholeheartedly.

THE CHAIR: All right. I don't see any other hands up. So maybe we can just do the thumbs-up or thumbs-down from folks. If you would support proposing to changing the appeals process to be limited to the secretary and that there's no further appeal.

(Commissioners indicate.)

THE CHAIR: All right. So that's a consensus there as well.

All right. That's -- I have every -- we've gone through everything on this list. I can't believe it.

So we did -- let me know if I'm wrong. But I thought we said that B was low-hanging fruit, and we were all good with that one. Is that correct?

I had B, I had started that one earlier on. It had been -- I think Commissioner Robbins was saying, like, "Yeah, I think that one's an easy one that we can all agree to." B.

THE CHAIR: You're not on -- if you want

	218		220
1	to put on your microphone, Commissioner Robbins.	1	There are also the Blue Ribbon Schools
2	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: My thought is this	2	were announced. So we want to definitely
3	is to request additional funds for start-up schools	3	congratulate Albuquerque School of Excellence on
4	beyond what the CSP Grant gives them.	4	their blue ribbon. Acequia Madre and Texico Middle
5	THE CHAIR: Right.	5	School also received blue ribbons this year.
6	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Because what we	6	Congratulations to all of them, but especially
7	heard today is the first year, they don't have	7	Albuquerque School of Excellence.
8	transportation. There's other things that schools	8	I think Corina might have said this, but I
9	have that may be outside what CSP would give them.	9	had it written on my notes and then I doubted
10	You know, if you just had an extra \$15-,	10	myself, so I'm just going to say it again.
11	\$20-, \$30,000, that's a huge amount, depending upon	11	The only update I have a school issue is
12	your school size. \$30,000 can provide	12	Explore-Las Cruces did e-mail us to indicate they
13	transportation for a decent-sized charter school, or	13	did start back in person on September 6.
14	allowing staff to do some preliminary stuff beyond	14	That's what I thought. I had it on my
15	what the CSP grant gives them.	15	notes. If I don't say it and she did all right.
16	So what amount it should be? I mean,	16	That's it for me.
17	maybe 5 percent additional to the CSP, or 10 percent	17	So that takes us to Item No. 17, PEC
18	additional. Some amount in addition to the CSP, I	18	Comments.
19	think would be justified, and I think history has	19	So, Commissioners, if you have any
20	shown that it's needed.	20	comment, you can raise your hand at this point.
21	THE CHAIR: Uh-huh. Yeah, I definitely	21	Commissioner Voigt.
22	support that.	22	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks,
23	Any other questions or comments on that	23	Madam Chair.
24	one?	24	So I've been asked to reach out to
25	(No response.)	25	Commissioners regarding the NACSA conference and to
	219		221
1		1	not wait too late to to secure your air and your
1 2	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time.	1 2	
	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or		not wait too late to to secure your air and your
2	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time.	2	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her
2 3	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.)	2 3	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts
2 3 4	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great.	2 3 4	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP.
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so	2 3 4 5	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all	2 3 4 5 6	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and Sebastian Stoker from AIMS, who were named a Top 300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me dates that would work. So thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and Sebastian Stoker from AIMS, who were named a Top 300 Middle School Scientist by the Broadcom Institute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me dates that would work. So thanks. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and Sebastian Stoker from AIMS, who were named a Top 300 Middle School Scientist by the Broadcom Institute and Society for Science. That was out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me dates that would work. So thanks. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I don't know if Commissioner Armijo has been invited.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and Sebastian Stoker from AIMS, who were named a Top 300 Middle School Scientist by the Broadcom Institute and Society for Science. That was out of 1,800 students across 49 states and territories. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me dates that would work. So thanks. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I don't know if Commissioner Armijo has been invited. It's the 21st. I'll be there at 1:00 in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. Thumbs-up or thumbs-down time. (Commissioners indicate.) THE CHAIR: All right. Great. All right. I think that's all. And so now we'll have Ms. Barnes, you clean us up all and yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: I'll do a little bit of fleshing it out, not drafting legislation. THE CHAIR: No. Yeah. Okay. So the next item so that's Item No. 15. We're now on to Item No. 16, Report from the Chair. We already had our little talk with Matt Pahl, so skip Item A. "Report on new or updated PEC issues" that I have learned about. So there are two State charter school students, Elias Copeland from ASK Academy, and Sebastian Stoker from AIMS, who were named a Top 300 Middle School Scientist by the Broadcom Institute and Society for Science. That was out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not wait too late to to secure your air and your hotel. So and to reach out to Felicia to let her know whether you're going and send her your receipts ASAP. And then I wanted to extend a personal congrats to Commissioner Manis on becoming a dad. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think this would go more under discussion of new business. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other PEC comments? Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I hope Commissioner Voigt's been invited also next week to Mission Achievement and Success. They're celebrating ten years as a charter. And they are a great school also. And so just want the Commission to know that the two of us, at least, will be there. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. JoAnn sent me dates that would work. So thanks. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I don't know if Commissioner Armijo has been invited.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: (Off mic.)
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.
Okay. So that'll take us to Item No. 18,
Discussion of New Business Topics for the Next
Agenda.
And I do want to do a clarification,
because I know last month was the first time we di

And I do want to do a clarification, because I know last month was the first time we did this item. And so we do need -- if a new item is brought up, we would like a second on it to get it onto the agenda. So just so you don't have to, like, move, but I do need someone else to say, "Yes, I agree," just to have someone else put that on there as well.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, Pattie and I are going to put on giving us all company vehicles.

No, we're not.

Mental health. Not taking action or anything, but discussing mental health providers, certainly, for all of our State charters, but, in general. We're seeing -- and I'm sure you guys have read about it. We have had two recent suicides in Santa Fe. And for a population our size, honestly, we have way too many kids between, like, 11 and 20

"I'm really worried about my friend." And so there can be intervention. So it's that kind of scope.

You know, we always read about -- we're either, like, 59 -- we don't have 59 states -- we're either 49 or 50, generally, in education or child well-being. And, I mean, I would -- for Santa Fe, I would implore the Mayor to make child well-being and services his absolute priority for the next three years over and above absolutely everything else. So that's the -- the reason.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Clarifying question. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: So -- and I appreciate you bringing that up, because social workers are needed in every school. Siembra Leadership High School, the APS charter school that I'm the governance board chair of, they employ six social workers full time.

There are many schools -- besides suicide, there's killings, there's murders, you know, where the kids are killed or they're killing somebody, you know. So, I mean, there's issues.

And so social workers are the ones that are really bringing in the social-emotional learning, the restorative justice, the positive

killing themselves.

It's an epidemic all over the country. But I'm talking about a small population our size. Even in New Mexico, small population. So putting mental health on the next agenda.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'll second.
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Do you see this as like a
spotlight, where someone comes and gives a --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: The thing is, I had asked Ms. Chavez at one point, is there a requirement that somebody have -- and I'm talking a mental health provider, very separate from a guidance counselor, two very different things -- not just a mental health access to a provider -- there's no way or schools are -- our charters can afford to have somebody on staff, but whether there's a network or something they tap into and make a requirement, something they tap into.

And then -- but also it can be professional development for teachers in how to recognize -- you don't want to recognize a kid when he's already cutting; right? You want to get there before any of that starts to happen. You want to have other kids be able to go into an adult and say,

behavior intervention supports, PBIS.

So there's many, many things that can be done that are being done in schools. But when we had our social-emotional learning spotlight with RFK Charter School and Tonya Covington, it's shocking to me -- and I think I said it then -- that our biggest school board in the state is rejecting restorative justice practices.

That, I just can't even imagine. You know, I mean, why? Why would they, when it's something that's going to benefit their kids and bring mental health and, you know, empathy to -things like that.

So I hear you, and I totally agree. But I think that social workers are where it's at. And, you know, knowing many social workers at the school level that have been at the CYFD level, that's where they would rather be is at the school, because they know they're seeing their work in action and being -- it's being beneficial.

But, yeah, I mean -- and, also, I just want to say suicide, I have a whole philosophy about suicide, especially for old people. But I just want to say it's -- there's a whole different philosophy about suicide that I won't go into right now.

	226		228
1	But there's but there does have to be	1	THE CHAIR: You should talk about what
2	definitely mental health awareness brought into the	2	should be on the agenda, not the actual topic. We
3	public sector of education.	3	can discuss it next month. But if there's something
4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins oh,	4	you would like to have as part of this
5	sure, if you want to respond to her, and then	5	presentation
6	Commissioner Robbins.	6	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: This will segue off
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I want to know	7	of the need for mental health, and that is that the
8	more about the old people thing.	8	mental health providers should be also included
9	THE CHAIR: Never mind.	9	within the new teacher contract salary schedule.
10	Okay. Commissioner Robbins.	10	THE CHAIR: Should that be part of the
11	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I totally support	11	presentation next month?
12	additional mental health in schools and in the	12	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's a bigger part
13	community. I had a brother who committed suicide in	13	of the mental health. Pay them.
14	1993, my oldest brother. I also had a family member	14	THE CHAIR: Okay. Anything else for next
15	who spent almost ten years in the forensic unit and	15	month?
16	another month or two in the civil unit at Las Vegas,	16	(No response.)
17	in the State Hospital.	17	THE CHAIR: All right. The last item is
18	So I'm very familiar. And I've had to	18	adjournment.
19	deal with it. I dealt for years with the UNM Mental	19	I move to adjourn.
20	Health Center with this family member.	20	And, Secretary Armijo, if you could call
21	Family involvement is very important. And	21	roll.
22	when family refuses to be involved, there are	22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. Excuse
23	mechanisms. And if those mechanisms over the last	23	me.
24	20 years have been eroded, we need to replace them.	24	Commissioner Taylor.
25	I had this family member on two occasions	25	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
	227		229
1		1	
1 2	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to	1 2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves.	l .	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
2	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real.	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
2 3	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until,	2 3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
2 3 4	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real.	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes.
2 3 4 5	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.
2 3 4 5 6	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time.	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new teacher oh with the new teachers' salary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new teacher oh with the new teachers' salary THE CHAIR: This is literally discussion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine for adjournment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new teacher oh with the new teachers' salary THE CHAIR: This is literally discussion. There's discussion of New Business Topics for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine for adjournment. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new teacher oh with the new teachers' salary THE CHAIR: This is literally discussion. There's discussion of New Business Topics for the Next Agenda.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine for adjournment. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Secretary Armijo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involuntarily committed to the State Hospital to prevent them from hurting others or themselves. It's not easy. Mental health is real. And I think too often we kind of neglect it until, you know, tragedy hits us, and then that tragedy consumes you for a period of time. But it can be it should be a priority in schools, mental health counselors and social workers. And, I think, you know, we need to recognize that takes a little bit of money. But the State fortunately is in a position, you know, to do that. Rather than throwing money away, put it into the social problems that we actually have. And not just give people money to not work, but give workers money to help those people who are struggling and having mental problems. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Thanks. I totally agree. I think, you know, with the new teacher oh with the new teachers' salary THE CHAIR: This is literally discussion. There's discussion of New Business Topics for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I thought I'd be here till 4:00, so yeah. Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is nine for adjournment. Thank you.

	230	
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
4		
5		
6		
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified	
9 10	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby	
11	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said	
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the	
13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.	
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
15	hand on September 30, 2022.	
16		
17		
18	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR	
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219	
	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.	
20	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630	
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
21	License Expires: 12/31/2022	
22		
23 24		
25	Job No.: 6232N (CC)	
1	RECEIPT	
2	JOB NUMBER: 6232N CC Date: 9/16/22	
3	PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING	
4	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public	
5	Education Commission	
6	**********	
7	ATTORNEY: MS. BEVERLY FRIEDMAN - PED	
8	DOCUMENT: Orig Transcript & Attachment; PDF Trans & Attachment	
9	DATE DELIVERED: 09/30/22 DEL'D BY: FedEx/Email	
10	REC'D BY: TIME:	
11	*********	
12	ATTORNEY:	
13	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
14	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
15	REC'D BY: TIME:	
16	***********	
17	ATTORNEY:	
18	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
19	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
20	REC'D BY: TIME:	
21	ヘルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルルル	
22		
23		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on September 30, 2022.

Cynthia 2. Chapman,

License Expires:

BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

New Mexico Certified Reporter #219

12/31/2022

201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Job No.: 6232N (CC)

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

