BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
October 21, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Jerry Apodaca Education Building, Mabry Hall
300 Don Gaspar
Santa Fe, New Mexico
AND
Via Zoom Webinar Video Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 6333N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2
1 APPEARANCES	1 INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS, Continued
2 COMMISSIONERS:	PAGE
3 REBEKKA BURT, Chair	3 13 Discussion, Update and Possible Action on 255
GLENNA VOIGT, Vice Chair 4 MELISSA ARMIJO, Secretary	La Tierra Montessori School, Including
STEVEN CARRILLO, Member	4 Update on Corrective Action Plan 5 14 Discussion and Update on Cesar Chavez 341
5 PATRICIA GIPSON, Member	5 14 Discussion and Update on Cesar Chavez 341 Community School's Notice of Dispute and
KT MANIS, Member 6 DAVID ROBBINS, Member	6 Upcoming Steps
MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member	7 15 Discussion and Possible Action on 301
7	PEC Calendar Change, Including Addition to
PED STAFF:	8 Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Contract
CORINA CHAVEZ Director	9 16 Discussion and Possible Action on 304
9 Charter School/Options for	New School Application
Parents and Families Division	17 Discussion and Possible Action on 308
BRIGETTE RUSSELL, Deputy Director, Options f	
11 Parents and Families	12 18 Discussion of Annual Governing Board 345
12 MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Supportant Training Administrator	
13 Charter School/Options for	13 Authorizers
Parents and Families Division	14 19 Report from Charter Schools Division 346 15 20 Reports from PEC Liaisons 350
14	16 21 Report from the Chair 357
15 COUNSEL TO THE PEC: 16 JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ.	17 22 PEC Comments - No Discussion or 357
Barnes Mediation and Law, PC	Action Taken
17 200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	18
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	23 Discussion of New Business Topics for 357
18 19	19 the Next Agenda
20	20 24 Adjourn 357 21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 359
21	21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 359 22 ATTACHMENTS:
22	23 1. Attendee List
23 24	24
25	25
	3 5
1 INDEX TO PROGEERINGS	
1 INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS 2 PAGE	1 THE CHAIR: All right. So it is 9:02 a.m.
3 1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 5	2 I will call this meeting to order. And if we can go
Pledge of Allegiance, Salute to the New Mexico Flag	3 to roll call with Secretary Armijo.
5 2 Approval of Agenda 6	4 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Good
6 3 Open Forum 7 7 4 Consent Agenda 13	5 morning.
8 5 Discussion and Possible Action on Item(s) 15	6 Secretary Armijo is present.
Removed from the Consent Agenda	
	'/ Chair Rurt
6 Meet the New Head Administrators 18	7 Chair Burt.
10	8 THE CHAIR: Here.
	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 11 Action on Legislative Recommendations for	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations,	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.)
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the 14 Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.)
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 17 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 11 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 16 Possible Action, Part Two	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 17 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 18 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 17 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 18 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. 18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 19 (No response.)
7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 147	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. 18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him,
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 18 10 Discussion and Possible Action on Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 18 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. 18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him, 21 but I'll wait.
10 7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 17 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 18 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools 20 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 147 Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application	THE CHAIR: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him, but I'll wait. Commissioner Robbins.
10 11 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 12 13 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 14 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 15 18 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 19 Possible Action, Part Two 19 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 19 Annual Report Process 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 19 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools 20 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 147 11 Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application 12 Discussion and Possible Action on 150	THE CHAIR: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him, but I'll wait. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.
7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools 20 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 147 Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application	THE CHAIR: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. (No response.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him, but I'll wait. Commissioner Robbins.
7 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 25 Action on Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter Organizations 8 Presentations, Discussion and Possible 83 Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations, Including Consideration of the Platforms/Legislation Presented by Charter Organizations, Part One 8 Legislative Agenda Discussion and 311 Possible Action, Part Two 9 Presentation and Discussion by CSD on 158 Annual Report Process 10 Discussion and Possible Action on 188 Preliminary Annual Report for Renewing Schools 11 Discussion and Possible Action on Explore 147 Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application 12 Discussion and Possible Action on 150	8 THE CHAIR: Here. 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 10 Carrillo. 11 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here. 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Chavez. 13 (No response.) 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Davis. 15 (No response.) 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. 17 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here. 18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I thought I saw him, 21 but I'll wait. 22 Commissioner Robbins. 23 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Here.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. 2 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Here. 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: So we have a quorum 4 of seven. But I believe Commissioner Manis is here 5 as well, so it should be eight. 6 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: He should respond. 7 THE CHAIR: Okay. Yeah. We'll include 8 him in the record when he is able to respond that 9 he's here. 10 Thank you, Secretary Armijo. 11 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. 12 THE CHAIR: All right. Next we have 13 Pledge of Allegiance. And I'm asking Commissioner 14 Robbins to lead that, and it'll be the Salute to the 15 New Mexico Flag by Vice Chair Voigt. 16 (Pledge of Allegiance and Salute to the 17 New Mexico flag conducted.) 18 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Item No. 2 is 19 Approval of the Agenda. And I will approve -- move 20 to approve the agenda. 21 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Second. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion

who have signed up for public comment, please keep 2 your comments to about two minutes. But please make sure you introduce yourself, first and last name, 3 4 for the record before you start. And, Ms. Feathers, 5 if you can -- and hi. Commissioner Manis is present 6 now.

(Commissioner Manis enters meeting.)

COMMISSIONER MANIS: I just wanted to let you know -- I let Dr. Russell know as well -- that I have a meeting that I have to step out for at 9:30. But I wanted to come on for as much as I could.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you, Commissioner Manis.

All right, Ms. Feathers. If you could introduce yourself, and then you have two minutes.

FROM THE FLOOR: Hi, I'm Angela Feathers. I am a teacher at La Tierra Montessori School. I have come to you before. It seems two minutes is never enough.

I'm here out of concern for what is happening at La Tierra. In August, we came to you reporting that there was no agriculture, no drills, no special ed, no ELL, no testing, the screenings, the WIDA, et cetera, et cetera.

Two months later we're almost in the same

7

THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt.

THE CHAIR: Yes.

Any discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

Carrillo.

and a second.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner

11 Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Secretary Armijo

votes yes. That passes, seven to zero.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. The next item is

20 Open Forum. And, Ms. Brown, do we have folks signed 21

up for Open Forum today?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes, we do. First we

have Angela Feathers. I'm promoting her to the

24 panel. 25

THE CHAIR: Thank you. And those of you

spot, with the exception of ELL. This is only because one of our teachers graciously stepped in after our bilingual coordinator resigned. However, this leaves her class without -- I mean, with an EA for now two hours.

We were very hopeful that our special ed situation would start to improve with the hiring of Mrs. Herrera. But now she's been tasked with the impossible task of being the head administrator and the special ed coordinator, and we're concerned about that. She's doing as much as she can. That's not a dig at her. It's just -- it's a lot of work.

These two hours of instructional time that that class was missing was intended for Montessori. I want to emphasize again. There is no Montessori happening here, no three-hour uninterrupted work period, no three-year cycle.

There's -- some of the classes have no materials or the materials are being removed. There are no certified teachers besides myself and no training opportunities because we are being told there is no funding.

After all the cancellations from the previous years due to COVID, we were very hopeful that we would be on the right track and be fully

13

staffed with fully Montessori-certified people by 1 2

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

the end of this year. This is a direct violation of our charter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Both of the administrators resigned within the first 30 days of school. There were no ads run right away. Nobody told these parents. Most parents found out there was no administrator through the teachers at the parent-teacher conferences.

There has not even been a formal or informal announcement about Ms. Herrera, other than her voting-in at the Governing Council meeting.

This interim idea was only started after the hiring practices were called into question. All new-hires except for one have resigned. Morale at the school is at an all-time low.

You have now heard from the charter founder, the former Governing Board --

THE CHAIR: Ms. Feathers, if you can finish your comment.

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. The president, myself, staff members, and now students. They're all from different walks of life.

Everyone is reporting what's going on, and they're asking for your help to save La Tierra.

Thank you very much.

I just wanted to let everyone know I'm still here. I'm still interested in the school as a -- if you're wanting to bring back an ag teacher, I'm still available. And if you have any questions or anything, I'm available for that also.

I love the school. I've had experience with that school since my kids were going there in third and sixth grades. They're now both in college. And I know a lot of the families that are at the school. In fact, I saw a bunch of them at Moving Arts the other day, and they all ran up to hug me and wished that I could come back.

So, anyway, I'm here and available for questioning if needed.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Gray. That will conclude our Open Forum.

I just want to make sure the folks in the audience know that I do not call on people from the audience. So if you have your hand raised, you can lower it, or we will be lowering it, so we won't be calling on folks from the audience. Just want to make sure you're clear on that.

> All right. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: (Off microphone.) (Reporter interjects.)

11

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Brown.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Our next speaker, and last speaker who signed up, is Lorraine Gray. And I'm promoting you to the panel.

THE CHAIR: All right. Lorraine, if you could introduce yourself, and you have about two minutes. You'll hear a chime at the end of your two minutes, so if you can wrap up at that time.

FROM THE FLOOR: Okay. Good morning. I hope you can hear me. This is Lorraine Gray.

THE CHAIR: (Indicates.)

FROM THE FLOOR: And I was teaching the agriculture at La Tierra Montessori School last spring. And I stayed on through the summer to take care of the gardens and was expecting to stay on through this school year when the change of administration happened.

And I experienced a lot of disrespect from the -- the new administration at the school and witnessed a lot of mishandling of the equipment and things like that.

I submitted a letter that maybe you are all aware of. And I just found out about this meeting this morning, so I'm not really prepared for any real meaningful talk.

THE CHAIR: All right. Great.

Was that Commissioner Davis?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sorry. I was trying to do it kind of offline as a request.

THE CHAIR: So we do not -- just to make sure the folks in the audience know, we do not call on people from the audience unless invited by the Commission, and we do not use Chat during the meeting in any way, shape, or form.

So, please, you know, there is no -- there is no Chat function during this meeting as well.

All right. That'll take us to item No. 4 which is the Consent Agenda, which I will move to approve the Consent Agenda.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second.

THE CHAIR: Any comments from

Commissioners? Discussion?

All right. Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. I do have a question on Item 4.11 -- 4.b.11.

21 THE CHAIR: Okay. So we will pull 4.b.11 22 off the Consent Agenda.

All right.

So I will move to approve the consent agenda without 4.b. 11.

14 1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I'll second again. 1 without knowing exactly where the facility is 2 2 located. Second. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion 3 THE CHAIR: All right. And I'm going to 4 and a second. 4 let CSD kind of look up that information and see if 5 Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll? 5 the school is here. And while they're looking at 6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 6 that, Commissioner Carrillo. 7 7 Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. I 8 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 8 received -- just received a message from some folks 9 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. at Tierra Montessori (verbatim) saying they were 10 10 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. wanting to sign up, that they didn't know how to 11 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 11 maybe do it correctly, but they were in there 12 I just want you guys to know I can't hear 12 wanting to sign up for Public Forum, and they were 13 you very well. Commissioner Manis. 13 there before 9:00 as we require. 14 14 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. And then we've just kind of not put them COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. 15 15 into the -- this is what I just -- they weren't 16 16 Commissioner Robbins. allowed in the panel or to talk. 17 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 17 THE CHAIR: So there are instructions that 18 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 18 are clear, very clear, exactly what folks are able 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 19 to do. There was a 30-minute sign-up period. 20 20 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. Those were the two people who correctly 21 21 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. signed up and followed the directions. So that's 22 22 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo where we're -- we are on to this item. I'm not 23 23 votes yes. going to go back to Open Forum. 24 24 Chair Burt. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I understand that. 25 25 THE CHAIR: Yes. But given that we are an elected public body, it 15 17 1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight 1 seems like we should, when we are able -- I see your 2 2 to zero. head going like this -- but make allowances for 3 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you. That'll take us to maybe folks that maybe did not do something 4 4 Item No. 5, Discussion and Possible Action on Items correctly. 5 5 Removed from the Consent Agenda, which will be the THE CHAIR: I appreciate that. And I 6 school relocation of Southwest Aeronautics, Math, 6 think, you know, they're welcome to speak at the 7 7 and Science Academy. next meeting and follow the directions clearly. But 8 8 I cannot make allowances, or else I have to make Commissioner Robbins, if you want to go 9 ahead. And, Director Chavez, if there's anyone from 9 allowances for --10 10 the school -- or if there's anyone from the school COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, you can but 11 11 that's attending that -- okay. you're --COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I was curious, 12 12 THE CHAIR: I will not. I will not make 13 13 because I did a Google search, and it came up that allowances for -- the directions were very clear 14 14 this address at 6144 Ventana, it looks like it's in this morning. 15 a residential area. And there's no way that they 15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right. 16 could have an 11,000-square-foot facility. 16 THE CHAIR: If nobody was able to sign up 17 17 So I would like to get clarification from successfully, that's a different story. We did have 18 18 people able to sign up successfully and follow the the school showing on a map exactly where this 19 19 location is at, because I'm just a little concerned. directions. 20 20 Granted, Google is not perfect. But when it showed All right. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Madam Chair, we --2.1 21 it in a residential area, I was, like, that's kind 22 22 I've contacted the head administrator to see if of odd. 23 23

24

25

But if they could give clarification -- I

don't know if they're online or not. But I am

apprehensive to approve this relocation change

24

25

she's available to join, and I'm waiting to hear

later in the agenda?

from her. Perhaps we could -- we could move it to

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins, I think it's probably appropriate that the school is definitely here. So if you don't mind, we'll go ahead and hold off on this item, and we'll come back to it as soon as the school joins us.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: That'll be fine. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. So we're going to come back to Item 5, which is Item 4.b.11. And, Dr. Russell, as soon as you hear from it, we can always -- we'll pull them in whatever we can.

All right. There is no -- there is nobody from Item No. 6, so we will go ahead and move past that.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Chair Burt, actually, there is. If we could promote --

THE CHAIR: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: -- Patricia Herrera. Although it is not an item in the Consent Agenda this month, she is here and would love to meet you all.

THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So Patricia is

24 the new Interim Head Administrator at La Tierra Montessori. We'll provide an update on La Tierra and students.

I'm very happy to be here at La Tierra. I go home happy at the end of the day. It's a wonderful little place. I've been welcomed by parents and staff alike. And I'm just grateful for this opportunity, and I look forward to working with everybody.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions or comments at this time?

(No response.)

THE CHAIR: All right. Well, thank you, Ms. Herrera, for taking your time to join us first thing this morning. And I know we'll have you later on as well, but we're grateful to just meet you as the new head administrator, and we will speak later.

Dr. Russell?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Madam Chair, Bridget Barrett of SAMS Academy is joining. And Ms. Brown will promote her to Panelist.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great.

All right. Ms. Herrera, thank you for joining us. We're going to let you go, and I'm sure you have lots to do before we see you again.

All right. So...

MS. MELISSA BROWN: I'm sorry. What's the

19

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

later on in the agenda. And they did submit the paperwork. We were waiting for a copy of her license, which we did get, but it was too late to add to the agenda.

So, Ms. Herrera, we're going to promote you to the panel so that you can say hello to the Commissioners. You'll need to accept that in the Zoom when -- when it's there.

And so I see you're on the panel now. And please feel free to unmute yourself and introduce yourself and say hello to the Commissioners. Thank vou.

MS. PATRICIA HERRERA: Good morning. My name is Patricia Herrera. I come to you as retired in July. I was with the Española Schools, primarily, for 23 years. I was at (inaudible due to audio distortion) for three years back in the '80s and the '90s.

My background is in special education. I taught all the way from pre-K to 12, and even adults in the past, for 25 years, and was the Dean of Students at the middle school here in Española for two years.

But I always held, like, the head teacher hat and was always happy to work with our families 1 name again?

> DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Bridget Barrett. MS. MELISSA BROWN: I'm sorry. I don't see a Bridget Barrett in the Attendees.

THE CHAIR: We'll give her a minute. I don't want to move past -- because I know the legislative part is going to take some time. If she's fine to join, I'm okay with taking a quick break and waiting until she joins. So we'll just pause for a moment and make sure we can get her on now.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: I have an Amanda Catanzaro from SAMS Academy.

Okay.

THE CHAIR: Hi. Good morning. All right. Commissioners. We are back on to Item No. 4.b.11, School Relocation of the Southwest Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science Academy.

Thank you for joining us this morning, and I'm going to pass it over to Commissioner Robbins for him to ask you his question.

MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: Good morning. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Good morning. Thank you. I looked up the address on Google Maps, and it -- on Google Maps. And I understand Google

	22		24
1	Maps may not be accurate. But it shows it to be in	1	Any further discussion?
2	the middle of a residential area. Where is 6144	2	(No response.)
3	Ventana?	3	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo,
4	MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: 6441.	4	if you could call roll.
5	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Or 6441.	5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
6	MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: So, actually, if	6	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
7	you look on the map, if you follow Ventana Road to	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
8	where it hits Irving, you'll see on Google Maps	8	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
9	there's a large blank area. We're right on the	9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
10	corner of Ventana Road and Irving Boulevard.	10	Robbins.
11	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. That's my	11	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
12	mistake, because I think I typed up 6144.	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
13	Thank you.	13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
14	THE CHAIR: And is this a new building	14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
15	that you have built? Or is it a since you're	15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
16	here, tell us about your new location.	16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo
17	MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: Thank you. Yes,	17	votes yes.
18	it's a brand-new building. It is scheduled to be	18	Chair Burt.
19	finished December 15th. The ground-breaking	19	THE CHAIR: Yes.
20	happened in February of this year. And so we have	20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner
21	weekly progress meetings over at the new site to get	21	Carrillo.
22	to see the stucco going up and all of the walls	22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
23	going up. And so it's really exciting right now to	23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight
24	be able to watch this new building.	24	to zero.
25	THE CHAIR: Very exciting. And I also	25	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Secretary Armijo.
	23		25
1	feel that sounds like a complete anomaly in the	1	All right. That'll take us to item No. 7,
2	construction world, to have ground-breaking in	2	Presentations, Discussion and Possible Action on
3	February and you're opening in December. That	3	Legislative Recommendations for Schools and Charter
4	sounds so fast compared to what's going on.	4	Organizations.
5	So it sounds like you-all are very	5	And, first, I just want to say I'm always
6	fortunate with your contractors and who you-all have	6	grateful for Vice Chair Voigt, because she is
7	building your school. So congratulations on that.	7	constantly considering school voice and the charter
8	MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: Yes. Thank you.	8	leader's voice and ensuring that we're listening to
9	Yes. The developer is adamant about getting us in	9	the people who are doing the work themselves.
10	on that December 15th deadline.	10	So I'm going to pass this item over to
11	THE CHAIR: That's amazing.	11	Vice Chair Voigt, as she has invited some schools to
12	All right. Any other questions before we	12	come talk to us about their legislative
13	vote on this item?	13	recommendations and agenda.
14	(No response.)	14	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,
15	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you so much	15	Chair Burt. Appreciate that.
16	for joining us. We really appreciate it.	16	And I did invite before the PEC set
17	MS. AMANDA CATANZARO: Thank you for your	17	their platform, I thought it important that we hear
18	time.	18	from some schools about their concerns so that we
19	THE CHAIR: All right. I will move to	19	could also include those within our concerns,
20	approval of the School Relocation of the Southwest	20	because their concerns are our concerns.
21	Aeronautics, Mathematics, and Science Academy.	21	So I invited Mr. Rafe Martinez from
22	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.	22	Albuquerque Sign Language, and I invited Ms. JoAnn
23	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.	23	Mitchell from Mission Achievement & Success and I
24 25	THE CHAIR: Thanks. There's a motion and	24	think JoAnn's on Zoom; we could bring her in and
23	a second.	25	so we could hear from them about some of their

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hours. Days.

priorities facing the next school year. Thanks. THE CHAIR: All right. Mr. Martinez -oh. And there's Ms. Mitchell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. First, I know we're getting the microphone over here to you. So, Ms. Mitchell, if you just want to introduce yourself first, and then we'll introduce Mr. Martinez, and then we'll go to you-all -- I'm going to have you go first, Ms. Mitchell, so -- because Mr. Martinez is out of breath over here.

So I'll have you introduce yourself. We'll introduce Mr. Martinez, and then I'll ask you to speak first, Ms. Mitchell.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Sounds good. Good morning. I'm JoAnn Mitchell. I'm the founder and CEO of MAS Charter School in Albuquerque.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Good morning. My name is Rafe Martinez. I'm the Founder and Executive Director of the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you so much. Obviously, we know that your time is extremely valuable. So we are very grateful to have you here this morning to inform us what you actually need instead of us making up things.

So, Ms. Mitchell, if you can kind of tell

instructional hours, anything about -- well, sorry. So the K-5 Plus program -- let's switch

now.

So the K-5 Plus program states that if you do an extra 25 days -- and they only require that you do 5.5 hours, because in the statutory language for the length of a school day, it has to be a minimum of 5.5 hours for elementary, K through 6, and six hours for secondary, seventh through twelfth.

So now switch gears and go to K-5 Plus requires 25 additional days, but only at 5.5 hours.

So if you do the math on that, that comes out to be 175 instructional hours.

So if you take the 990, which is the number of instructional hours required for elementary, you add 175, you get 1,165 hours.

We don't qualify for K-5 Plus as a charter. And I know a lot of charters have, like, very long school days.

MAS has 1,365 instructional hours per vear. That's a difference of -- if we added the K-5 Plus, the 175, we would still be 200 hours over. But we get zero dollars of extra funding for the extra hours.

27

us, what is MAS's legislative priorities this year? MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Yes. Sorry. I told

people to leave my office. I'll call you later. All right. Bye-bye.

Okay. Kiddos in my office.

So, yeah. So -- and, again, I don't know if these speak to everybody, although I would like to say that they probably -- a couple of things that are issues for us would absolutely be issues for other schools.

You know, the one thing is the K-5 Plus funding. I'm going to try to pull a document up real quick. So with the -- let me just pull this up real quick, because this will help me speak to it and give you some information. And I'm happy to send this information on to you if it's helpful to have this stuff.

So K-5 Plus. And I'll just talk about, like, so the statutory requirements right now for length of the school day states the following: That you have to have 990 instructional hours per year for kindergarten through grade 6, and then 1,080 instructional hours per year for grades 7 through 12.

This doesn't take into account the

So one of the things -- you know, we've had conversations with different folks. But, like, folks from PED have said, "Hey, there's nothing we can do," because it's in the language. It's the legislative language around the extra days. Not

So if there was a -- I don't know if it was an LSD, an LEC -- SC -- whatever. I get them all confused. Like, this is not my area, right?

There was a meeting over the summer that I attended remotely just to hear it. And there was some documents that came out afterwards that listed the instructional hours by district, including charters, across the state.

MAS has the highest instructional hours. Like, we were number one with -- I can't remember the other charter school. There's another charter school, and I can forward those documents, too, so folks can see them.

But to me, that's one of our big legislative issues that we would love to have considered is that K-5 Plus funding is -- if they're going to continue to do that, that there's consideration for the length of the day.

So let me tell you how it shows up for a

30

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenge for us. We lose teachers sometimes. And I've addressed this before when I've gone before the Commission for different things, you know, because at times, people have questioned, you know, "Hey, JoAnn, there's turnover."

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I've had to remind people, well, our teachers are working a nine-hour day. They come from 7:30 to 4:30, Monday through Friday; whereas, in the district around us, right, APS, it's 5.5 hours of instruction. They teach, just instruction alone, 7.5 hours here, a day. That's just instruction. Then there's an hour of professional development and, I guess, if you count 30-minute lunch, right?

So it's a very long school day. And we do pay more. But you've got to remember, the per-pupil allocation doesn't change because we have a longer school day.

So we're often recognized for our academic achievement, right?

But I remind people that there's longer hours. Like, we're doing, I think, the intent of the K-5 Plus legislation, which is to provide more instruction. We just do it differently.

Like, so rather than adding 25 days over

And we absolutely do that. And I think that that speaks volumes to the results we get.

So that's the big legislative push for us, because it would result in significant -significantly more funding. And, quite honestly, we would pay teachers. Because I know -- you know, a couple of years ago, there was a lot of press around the K-5 Plus, and teachers need to work this, it would increase their salaries by \$10,000. Well, that's exactly what I'd like to do, is use the money to be able to pay our teachers, because I promise you they would stop -- can't promise -- but we would reduce -- I know we would reduce turnover, because they wouldn't have to go someplace to work less hours and for almost the same amount of pay, right?

So, to me, it's the equity part. Like, I think we're providing kids what they need. But we're falling short of being able to compensate teachers for that significantly longer amount of time that they're working for very little additional compensation.

And it's not because we're trying to be cheap, right? There's only so much funding that comes in. And so this would really be able to help us support and be able to provide, like, the

31

the summer, like, we do the 20 -- we just lengthen the school day every day.

I know in that one meeting that I referenced over the summer, whether it was the LFC or LECS or -SC -- that one -- they talked about -there was a lot of discussion about -- I think some of the concerns about, you know, if we allow people the length of the day, are they just going to throw in an extra hour and watch movies at the end of the day?

I understand, absolutely understand where those concerns would come. Anybody who's visited our school knows from 8:30 -- because, technically, the school day for kids starts at, like, 8:20 -until the end of the day, 4:20. It is straight instruction. There is no free time. There is no let's just watch movies. There is nothing fluffy. It is straight academics, like, the whole time.

And we've been criticized for that, too that it's too much. And that's why we try really hard when we -- when families come to make sure that they are very clear what they signed up for, right?

So I think, again, intent of the legislation is to provide more quality instruction to kids, especially students who are struggling.

salaries for them.

I know, looking through that list -- and, again, I'm happy to forward that; I don't know who -- if it's best to send it to everybody, if I should send it to one person and you pass it on. Like, yeah, I'm happy to do that. That way, you have reference to kind of the numbers, so it's all in one succinct place, like, the instructional hours, the statutory language and all that. And I'm happy to send you that document that came out of that summer meeting, just so you can see it.

But if you look, you'll see many of the charters fall under this.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Mitchell, if you'll send that to Director Chavez, she'll forward it out to all of us. You only have to do it once.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Awesome. Perfect. I'll take care of that. That's the one.

The other thing that I would bring up -and, again, it's probably a little specific to our school, but I'm sure there's other schools that fall into this -- is the transportation funding.

So we -- I think we were one of the charters that, like, really started with transportation, I think in year two of our charter.

We're ten years in. And it's been a challenge. And I know that the conversations that I've had sometimes. When I've been met with resistance, it's been, like, well, public schools can't go all around the city and pick up kids.

I understand that arguments if we were going to Rio Rancho and Los Lunas and Belen to pick up kids. But we're picking them up locally here in Albuquerque. And I think the whole concept of a charter is to provide choice for families. That's one of the concepts behind it is choice for families, especially for us, underserved families that are -- that only have the option of the neighborhood school often, because they don't have the financial means, or they could send them to private schools and stuff, right?

So that's kind of one of the premises of charters, right?

I'm from New York. And in New York City, you'll find five-year-olds on the subway by themselves, taking buses. So it's not uncommon for kids to take public transportation, right?

That's not a mechanism in Albuquerque. Public transportation is not the way people go.

So you really are limited as far as being

time, he explained -- and I don't completely get it, and so I don't want to misquote him. But he explained, "Oh, JoAnn, when you were under 1,000 students" -- or something like this -- "you were under a rural funding formula."

And he was, like, "And so the formula shifted when you became an urban school, because you exceeded a thousand students."

And, again, I might be slightly misquoting him; it's not intentional, right?

And he said, "Because of that, there's an assumption you're traveling less miles, so the formula shifts, and you don't get as much money."

So I was, like, get it, but I don't get it, right?

He referenced there was emergency funding, but they wouldn't consider it emergency necessarily just because it was costing me more money to serve kids.

So that's the issue. I know we can't be alone in this, right? And it's not just, like, trying to, like, give me lots of money so I can recruit kids around the city.

But the flip of that argument is really, like, if we're trying to provide choice for

able to offer this type of choice to families. You're limited if you don't expand the busing options, right?

So I think that once we were able to expand busing, it significantly impacted our ability to serve families and kids.

And we're over -- one location is by the airport. The other location is kind of Coors and -- just past I-40, Coors and Sage. So -- and we're not, like, going all the way up near, like, Cottonwood Mall, which is close to Rio Rancho. Like, we're not going that far, right? But we, right now, are coming out of pocket \$350,000 in operational funding. \$350,000 for busing. I mean, it's a huge hit.

And a couple of years ago, our funding decreased by about \$150,000. And I was, like, that was odd. Now, obviously, the number of buses we need has increased as our enrollment has grown, right?

But a couple of years ago -- it was right before COVID -- when we got the bus allocation. I was, like, that's weird. I think there was an error, right? It was \$150,000 less.

So when I talked to Antonio Ortiz at the

families. And our school and many of the charters -- right? -- serve underserved com

- charters -- right? -- serve underserved communities.
- And with gas prices what they are right now, right?
 Like, you're not driving all the way across the
- 5 city. I mean, one of the things that we did at MAS
- 6 to compensate for parent work schedule is provide a
- free before and after school, because we were
- hearing from families, "Love your school, but I can't get my kid to school at 8:30 in the morning,
- because the average person doesn't report to work at 9:00 a.m."; right?

So in our very first year, we opened a before- and after-school program to accommodate families to make sure that the families could drop kids off and pick kids up, and it worked within their work schedules, right? And that helped a lot to serve families.

So now one of our big things financially is really the transportation. It's a huge issue for our school. We are fortunate to have the transportation. I just think it's a big hit to our budget to not be able -- to have to pull from operational funds to be able to get children to the school building.

So anyhow, those are our two big issues

that I wanted to share. And thank you for the opportunity. And, again, I really do think -- that was very specific to MAS, but I really do think that that probably pertains to many other charters out there as well.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Ms. Mitchell.

Before I go to Mr. Martinez, does anyone have any questions for Ms. Mitchell?

Commissioner Gipson.

2.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I'll just make a couple of comments.

JoAnn, thanks, 'cause, you know, that K-5 -- I've gotten calls from legislators saying, "Charter schools aren't taking advantage of this."

It's, like, they already have it. They just can't. The legislation was inflexible.

I know there's conversation this year about actually, across the board, extending the school year.

So I'll be curious to see if they extend the school year, what are they going to do with the K-5 Plus program, and what's going to happen there.

But it is a vexing problem. And I'm fully in support of trying to fix it. I don't have a

Something needs to be changed, you know. We know that the first year funding needs to be looked at for brand-new schools.

But across the board, there has to be reasonable -- and I agree. If you were poaching into Los Lunas and Belen, that's a whole different story.

But the -- and the fact that SEG is just being stretched to the limits when they're trying to answer Yazzie-Martinez, that we need to put our resources to serve our students better, but yet you're asking schools to, you know, water down their SEG funding to provide necessary other services to their students.

It's -- and this is the year to seize the moment with the monies. This is, it really is. But it has to be also thoughtful that it's not just a temporary, "let's throw this money in." It has to be a permanent --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Recurring.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- funding change in the funding for transportation. Otherwise, it'll help you for one year, and then it's going to suck for you, because you're going to have to figure it out after that. Thanks.

1 THE CHAIR: Right. I have, next,

Commissioner Robbins, then Commissioner Armijo, then Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. And I'd like to second what Commissioner Gipson said on transportation, but also on the school days.

Because a lot of times we focus on days. And I know some people think, "Well, if we add an extra 45 minutes to the day, what are you going to be able to do?"

It's a matter of adjusting things around a little bit. It's possible and everything. But hours count as much as days, because, you know, I remember years ago, elementary schools in Albuquerque, they had half-days on Wednesday. But it still counted as a day. They had half-day -- or they'd start late for snow days. They'd start two hours late. In the East Mountain schools, basically the eastern part of Albuquerque, they'd miss many, many hours of instruction, because they would delay the start of the school day by two hours.

And that happened numerous times in the winter. Almost every year, it happens, because if they get a half an inch of snow, the mountains may get three or four inches. Because people come out

whole lot of hope of fixing it, though, because it's -- it's been the conversation every year since they've put it in. And there just hasn't -- there's been this reluctance that, "No, we're not going to change what we did."

But now they're going to have to look at something. If they do add, across the board, days to the school year for everyone, then that K-5 Plus program has to -- has to be looked at, and there has to be more flexibility in there.

And, you know trans- -- I know at the LESC, they're talking about transportation, especially related, to some extent, to the Yazzie-Martinez and trying to make it -- I got a call from a neighbor who -- who just moved into my neighborhood and said, "We were told that they won't transport" -- traditional public school -- "won't transport my son to" -- to their local middle school.

It's eight miles away. It's, like, no, we don't offer busing there.

It's, like, what are you talking about?
My response was, "You need to call your legislator and get more money funded."

So that's the traditional public school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

43

of the mountains, they put delays in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They're missing hours. So the Legislature needs to convert the days into hours of instruction. And when schools delay, for whatever reason, those students are missing, you know -- because what they do is they take their six-hour day, and they cut it by two. So they're only getting four hours of instruction.

And if, you know, adding 45 minutes isn't going to really add to them, then I guess losing two hours a day doesn't hurt them, either. But, the logic there just doesn't make sense to me.

So I would applaud this, and especially with MAS, because they're one of the top prestigious schools in the country. Out of 32 schools in the country they received an award, and they're up for a bigger award.

But they are doing outstanding things at that school. And I think, you know, the Legislature needs to recognize that, and we need to get away from this idea of treating everything as the same, and we need to reward teachers and schools that are doing metorious (ph) --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Notorious. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: But metratorious Chavez. They're three of the lobbyists we work with. They have been conversations with Secretary Stewart, like, which I'm hearing right now.

I just got some communication that there is a possibility that the language will be submitted more along the hours concept, right?

So, yes. And Senator O'Neill is committed. Again, if that angle doesn't work with Senator Stewart moving, he has said, "I'm happy to, like, be the one to sponsor a bill for this," right?

So from our angle, yes, we're really working this hard. I think it's critical. I think it's absolutely critical. I think that -- I mean, we are doing it. We've got the results. I appreciate the support.

But, yeah. So that's kind of where we are right now.

This is a now process for me. I don't really understand the whole legislative-type stuff. Sometimes when I talk to Richard and them, I'm always, like -- they will say stuff to me, and I'm, like, "I have no idea what you're talking about right now."

So I'm trying to get educated on the process. It's not my thing. I feel like I know the

(ph) results -- mixing up the word. But when they are doing things that are outstanding, there should be some recognition, rather than just a pat on the back saying, "Thank you," go on. I think dollars need to follow results.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Armijo?

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Hi. Yes. Hi. JoAnn. Thank you for being here. I -- my question is more along the lines is -- and forgive me, everybody, if I'm -- don't know how this process works.

But do you have some legislators that are willing to carry this bill? Do we already have? Is there legislation being written?

> What's your avenue to get to these ends? Thanks.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: So we've been working the angle for about two or three years now. So -but I think we finally have some leverage. So last year during the session, Senator O'Neill wrote a bill, but it never made it to the floor. They said it was going to be iffy whether or not it got there under budget, right? This year, we've been working

with Richard Romero and Sue Griffith and Mike

education part. And I'm starting to learn more and more that I need to understand that part, because that's where the decisions are made as far as the funding and, like, the policy and stuff like that that impacts us.

So I'm 30 years into the career, finally starting to understand that I've got to get involved on that side a little bit more than I have been. So slow learner, I guess.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Thank you. I just wanted to make sure we knew who was doing what. Thanks.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Yeah. Of course. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Chair Burt.

Thank you, Ms. Mitchell, for being here today. You have -- you're always prepared, and you're always very concise with what -- with what you have to say.

20 I have a question -- so given the -- the 22 K-5 Plus funding, and then also the Extended School Year funding, we know that there's always a slush fund with this -- especially with the Extended School Year, for secondary schools. There's a huge

instruction, right?

amount of money left on the table.

2.

And so I wanted to ask you to speculate. If it were possible for your secondary students -- and this would also go to Mr. Martinez. If your secondary students had the opportunity to use internship time outside of your regular school day to earn credits and then also maybe get paid, would that be an option for you? I mean, to make that work using that Extended School Year fund that's already in place, but to be able to give your kids the opportunity for work-based learning?

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Yeah. Absolutely. So, like, right now, interestingly, a number of our kids do work for the school right now. So we do have the before-school program and the after-school program. Our kids work that -- it's predominantly staffed by our high school students, and we pay them. It's obviously outside the school hours, right?

So the before-school starts for the kids at, like, 7:00 in the morning, and they can work until school starts -- and the after-school starts and ends 4:30 to 6:00. So we already do that without that funding. We pay for that with our after-school program grant and the schools meals

and they don't count. Like, so to your point, Commissioner Robbins, we don't call those school days if the kids are learning. When I told you we have 1,365 hours, those are true instructional hours. No lunch. No half days. No parent-teacher conference. None of that. That is straight

So, Commissioner Voigt, back to your question, yeah, for sure. I mean, absolutely. Like, we can -- and I think with that type of funding, it provides so much more flexibility with what we can do, right? Because I think that it's fabulous -- our kids do phenomenal, like, with kids in the before- and after-school program. I don't want you to think there's just staff, like, 15-, 16-year-olds and no adults around. There's lots of adult supervision, too.

But it's really helpful. The kids know the rules. They do better than other folks that we've hired to run programs. And, obviously, there's supervision and other adults around.

But, yeah, it's been phenomenal. And we would really love to extend, like, the opportunity for kids to get invested in the workforce a little more and have that real work experience and stuff

program, to be honest with you, because, like, they're staff. They work the program. So that's one place that we're currently paying students.

But yes, absolutely. And, you know, I referenced K-5 Plus. But, yeah, to your point, there's the Extended Learning Program. And the Extended Learning Program, I think, calls for ten additional days. But they'll allow you to factor in the ten days with the 25 if you do both programs combined. And then you have to do -- I think it's -- don't quote me -- I can't remember if it's 40 hours or 80 hours -- I think it's 40 hours of professional development, and you have to do, like, an hour -- I think it's an hour after school of extended time.

We already do that. We already do all of that.

I only referenced K-5 Plus. But we don't get the extended time program, either, because again, there's the stipulation to add ten days. As it is, our teachers work a 197-day contract, because we do 80 hours of professional development before the school year even opens.

On top of that, we do five more days during the school year, while teachers are there,

while in high school and while we can kind of support them through the process.

So, yeah, for sure. If that money was able to flow for us, it would provide so much flexibility for us to do more than what we're already doing.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Super. Thank you very much.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Yeah.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission and Commissioner Voigt. If I could add to that just a bit.

So we do use our Extended Learning Time allocation. We do. And we do create workable, payable space through other grants.

So we're a YCC Grant recipient, and we're heavily invested in outdoor education for kids with disabilities. So we combine those two pots of money to do exactly what you're talking about, Commissioner, which is create extended learning time for our kids, but also pay them for internships.

But it's under the YCC and Workforce

Solutions, kind of a joint venture on that.

But one thing I will say about extended

learning. So for us; right? We're a small school.

2.

And all these pots of money are predicated on membership allocation, right? So, like, for a big school, or a big system, where you have 1,000 kids, this -- the amount of money you're talking about is significant for us. We're -- this is our biggest we've ever been. We're 125 kids this year. It's not a ton of money, right?

2.

So we really are driven to supplement with a whole lot of -- just hustle, getting out there and bird-dogging money so that we can do the things that we need to do with our population of kids.

So maybe if I were to extend that logic into legislative suggestion, it might be that legislative appropriated money connected to education could be subject to a need rather than just a straight-up number count of kid; right?

Similar to, like, how special education is funded, right? There's a multiplier based on the needs of the kids you're serving. Just a suggestion.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Appreciate that. All right.

I don't see any other hands up so I'm going to go ahead and -- it's a perfect -- transition to you.

perspective, it's certainly not. But from a high-needs perspective, it's not.

Now, that is -- that opens the door to a whole lot of scrutiny that somebody needs to take around IDEA funding. So just so everyone knows, we're a majority special ed school. We're hovering right around 70 percent of our total population is special ed, the majority of those being D-level, which is the highest level.

But 30 percent of our kids are -- are considered severe and profound, right, like basically one-on-one-type kids. And we receive one of the lowest allocations in the state for IDEA-B.

I've questioned it year after year after year, and it always bubbles up to it's a fed formula, right? And so there's no play in that. I don't know that. So I'm not nuanced enough in how that allocation funnels into the state.

But I will say this, though, in defense of the Special Ed Department. The one thing we do apply for -- and I think we have finally broken the code -- is on the Puente Para Los Niños Grant, which is the high-needs kids allocation. So that's the way we've been able to keep our head above water around the kids that we serve because of the

l talk

So, yeah, Mr. Martinez, if you could talk to us about your school.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Yeah. So thank you, Commissioner -- Madam Chair, members of the Commission, and thank you all for allowing me to come here.

I'm not here with specificity around what we're going to ask for. We've -- look, we've -- we're not a stranger to throwing punches during the legislative session. It's usually around the mission of the school.

So we've taken on deaf ed funding, things connected to the Martinez-Yazzie lawsuit that I think affect us, specifically around the special ed component.

And I will just say this around Martinez-Yazzie.

During the whole thing -- and we can probably, behind closed doors, talk about the details, and I can give you a better opinion if you want it. But the one space that's never really been addressed is the disability issue, because people assume that because there's a multiplier in SEG based on special ed need, that that's taken care of.

And I'll just tell you, from a deaf ed

high-needs grant. Luckily, we're playing well with that.

What I do want to talk about -- again, not just specific to us, because, again, those are wars we're going to continue to fight and will continue to fight as long as I'm around, right?

But I think the other -- if I understand why I was asked -- why we were asked to be here was to talk about charter-specific legislation.

And I don't know if anything that I would give to -- I think what I want to do is propose potential pitfalls to the charter movement that you all could take and maybe run with, or, in connection to other groups, to talk about legislation that could impact what I'm going to talk about.

I think there's really kind of, in my opinion, three huge potential pitfalls that would stop the charter movement in its tracks, right? I think one of them -- and we're hitting a critical level now -- is the business manager issue.

I think as -- there's not a ton of independent business managers that are willing to be connected to a school. And that's a bit of a problem. And I'm saying that because we lost our business manager last year, at the beginning of last

year -- I don't know -- she -- it was a good relationship, and she did a great job for us. So she and her husband started a new business, and so she stepped out to do that.

It was a tough search to find somebody. And, in fact, we ended up having to contract with one of the -- I'll call them the big-box business services. And I think that's -- that's somewhere you all, as a Commission, probably need to look, because, one, they're pricy; two, they don't give the full kind of in-depth support that I think charters need to stay afloat, especially if they're diversified, like we are, in the way we hunt down funds.

And, there's also an expectation by those big-box services that the school provides an employee to be the liaison in that space, right?

So you're dropping a lot of money in that. So I think -- and the other -- kind of the long-term thing that I think you all need to believe, which is an unfair thing, is that any audit findings are dropped on the school. And that's the way it's been.

And the problem with that is when you cycle through bad business managers, those bad

doors, I'd be willing to give you a stronger opinion about it.

But I think that's happening now. I'm seeing it in just the new phase in the charter world. So they default to status quo systems.

And the big-box business manager services are one of those. They -- look. When you're a principal of an APS high school, for instance, you're not -- you're not versed in a deep dive on educational finance; right?

So people trying to run a school, and then all of a sudden now you have to be the CFO of a district, which is what you are, they default and hire what they think is the easiest way to go, because they have to. It's a survival instinct.

And what you're seeing, too, is the turnover of those new leaders, it's a quick turnover, and you replace them with comprehensive district people, right?

So I think -- I think those two things connected to all the other pieces of, like, the complexity of being a charter leader, look -- and I think people have said -- people need to understand that when you're a charter school leader, especially being authorized by you all, by the State, you're a

business managers don't get dinged for a bad audit. The school does. And we ran into this past year. So I think it's something to be looked at.

And it feeds into my second pitfall that I think the Commission needs to be aware of. And that is, as I am -- and other people are no longer spring chickens in this movement, right? -- founders are going to start -- founders who are leaders are going to start aging out, right, which means that the next kind of tier -- the talent pool of leadership is going to come out of the comprehensive district pool.

That's just kind of the nature with it.

The problem with that is -- well, there's a few problems with that. But one of the biggest problems is as much as some of these comprehensive district people come stepping out of the district will rail against how the district is broken and they want to get out, the anthropology of that that's fascinating to me is that they come in, and within -- in turbulent times, they default to their comfort zone, which is trying to recreate the district, right?

And I think that is huge danger to the charter movement, because it just -- on so many levels. And I could go -- again, behind closed

district superintendent.

In fact, many of our schools are bigger than some of the rural schools, just -- and flat-out. JoAnn's school -- she's probably one of the biggest districts outside of the big metros; right?

So I would say -- there's a complexity to that. And charters do not have the infrastructure that districts have, right? The -- I mean, if you go to the APS towers and see how many people are nuanced into specific duties based on what's needed to run a district -- yeah, that's covered by four people in a charter school, maybe. Maybe, right? And that's a charter that's been around that has learned how to, you know, stretch its penny.

I think another kind of thing this Commission might be able to help is I think the discussion of what happens to that 2 percent is legitimate, especially given what I just talked about, right? The back-office services and the back-office needs of charters, as a district, that 2 percent would go a long way. In my case, it would, right? I think in most charters, it would.

So I think that's something that would really be helpful to the charter movement.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, of course, the thing that's always on -- on the dashboard for charters is facility. That's always an issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I think we've -- we're in the best spot, I think, of any charter I know with what's recently happened with us with the PSFA and PSCOC. I'm excited about that. I think it's symbolic. I really do. I think it's something that's going to be historic when it's all said and done. And thank you, Commissioner Robbins, for the support, and everybody here, for that.

But I think there's a discussion to be had about what we -- like, taking what we've done with the PSCOC and contextualizing it in a way that could be leveraged so that charters have an option to be -- to leverage different funding sources.

I know last year, the NMFA was in the game. And there's -- I don't know how -- how much that moved the needle. I know it looks good. I know the optics look good.

But I'll tell you, in our instance, that pot of money wasn't ready. When we got approved by PSCOC for our project, nothing through that piece of legislation was helpful to us.

It's just -- we're outpacing that, which

1 year, just because we have before. And I'm not sure 2 if anything has change- -- will -- has changed this 3 year from maybe the last two years.

With that, I stand for any questions. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.

So I do want to ask Commissioners, just to respect the administrators' time, that if you have -- if you just want to comment, the next item is to build out our legislative agenda. So if you have a pointed question for them that they can help

10 11 answer, that's what this would be a more appropriate 12 time for.

> So Commissioner Voigt, then Commissioner Gipson, then Commissioner Robbins.

15 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks, Madam Chair. 16 Thanks, Mr. Martinez, for everything that you 17 stated.

I have a question for both you and Ms. Mitchell regarding the At-Risk Index. And I know that's going to be addressed, I believe, by PCSNM under their funding -- charter school funding platform.

Do you feel that the At-Risk Index is representative of charter schools? And I think I know the answer, but -- because right now,

59

61

is fine. It'll come, too. But then there's also the question about the amount that was set aside, and then also -- look. We talked to the NMFA ten years ago. We could have gone through NMFA ten years ago. But the problem it's the charter schools -- because we don't pull from a tax base, right? -- the ability to pull -- to pay back a large loan is not favorable, which means that -- that fund could have opened the door for people who are backed by national CFOs -- or CMOs -- things like that, right?

So it's questionable whether that NMFA fund becomes accessible to the mom-and-pop places like this. I don't know that. I guess that's a question that maybe this Commission could ask.

But -- yeah. So we're not -- we're -right now, speaking from the ASLA perspective, our focus probably for the next year is to get through the building project, and then, you know, look at other legislation that would -- that would make sure that we're sustainable beyond me, for sure. But, like I said to you, we're really excited about what the future holds for us.

But we're not sure whether we're going to drop any type of political clout in the upcoming currently, how the At-Risk Index works, it's based on the district demographics, and then it filters down to the charter schools that sit within that school district.

And right now, I'm sure you'll both agree, it's not -- it's not equally funding towards charter schools that sit within those school districts.

So I'll just -- you know, ask you. Do you feel that it's -- it's equally represented and funded?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: JoAnn, do you mind if I take this first?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: And then I have another question.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, Members of the Commission, Commissioner Voigt, yeah, you're -- you're right. And this harkens back to the legislation we proposed two years ago with our Opportunity and Equity Index that was able to track kids based on the Yazzie ruling to the school itself, and then -- and, like, the hope would have been that then the Legislature would have paid attention to that method and then be able to divert funds accordingly, right?

But you're exactly right. That's -- it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

didn't go where we wanted it to go. And so by living in the current system, you're right. Schools that have way less at-risk kids based on the Martinez designation of those populations receive the same as we do, as JoAnn's school does. And I know we're receiving -- you know, it's just not a fair formula.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The thing I would say also, it's -- again, well, anyway -- never mind. I'll back off on that. But I'll say this.

The other piece of that, the extension of that conversation back then was that in order -- and it has to do with ripping away the Small School Size Adjustment. So that systematic rip-away of that fund -- what was promised at the time was that they were going to create something that would replace it. And I think PED created the Family Income Index.

So at the same time that we introduced the -- our legislation, the OEI, the Family Income Index came through. The problem was it was a pilot the first year, and then it was adopted, but it wasn't expanded.

So, like, for us, we became -- we're not just high special ed. We're high poverty as well. second language learners, minority students. Like, all those, when you look category to category we have a higher percentage compared to APS. We have a higher percentage compared to the State.

So, yes, under that formula, we were not getting what we -- what we could have gotten if it was funded based on the school's At-Risk formula, specifically.

Like I said, right now, we are one of the schools that is getting the Family Index. Don't know how long it will last. I know there's a lot of talk whether or not that continues. And point made, that it really wasn't expanded. I know not much has changed with that.

But we're hopeful -- very selfishly -we're hopeful that it doesn't go away if they're not going to do the At-Risk directly to the schools, that we stay a part of that group, you know. I think for equity purposes, I think for the At-Risk to be done school by school makes a lot more sense holistically.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you for that. That's also been one of those recurring funding issues from year to year.

So I wanted to talk about business

63

We're a CP school. We're 100 percent Free and Reduced, 100 percent free lunch, right? But we're not anywhere, nor are other charters, on that Family Income Index allocation. So just something to think about. JoAnn, I'll hand it over to you.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: So I would echo everything that was said.

The only thing that I'll add and disclose is that we are one of the Family Index schools. And so we were fortunate -- I don't know how we got it. I don't know how they figured out who got it and who didn't. But we did. We're grateful for the extra funding and stuff.

But point made, though. I think it's -it's very confusing how schools are identified. And I know that was one of our pushes a number of years ago. We kind of backed away from that a little bit once we started getting the Family Index funding because it didn't pertain to us, quite honestly, as directly. But, yes, I would totally agree, that the At-Risk funding is definitely -- in the past, up until this, was definitely underfunded for our school, because based on -- obviously, because we're in Albuquerque, we actually -- our school has a higher percentage of students with disabilities,

managers and ask both of you -- I don't know who you

have as business managers or if you're using K12 or

3 the Vigil Group. But -- and I know. There has been

4 a shortage growing of business managers over the

5 last probably seven years, that school-based

6

business managers are -- it came around when we 7

started requiring that procurement certification

8 test for business managers to become, like,

9 procurement officers in a school. They had to take 10 that assessment to do -- to purchase supplies, which

11 they had always been doing anyway. But that was one

gatekeeping piece that led to kind of an exodus of

business managers at the school.

But I'm curious if you feel it would be helpful for a formation of communities of practice of existing school business managers. Not the approximate big-box groups that you spoke about, Mr. Martinez, but of -- you know, like the Pat Kellys -- if there were communities of practice established. And this had been talked about even when I was at the charter school.

THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Voigt, I'm going to interrupt you real quick, just because I want to make sure we're in keeping with --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes-or-no answer.

(0)

THE CHAIR: It's not a question or not. So if it's on our agenda. Is this something that would go to the legislature?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. This is a legislative issue that the legislators realize and are aware that there is a shortage of certified business managers at the charter schools. It could become a fiscal problem.

So just something to plug as a communities of practice.

THE CHAIR: So we have to -- we've got to be more -- so we're talking about legislative agenda.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Okay. I'll move on to my next question then. So that's just something to think about.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sounds like dropping that requirement would be a legislative ask.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's what I'm hearing.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. It's definitely tied to the Legislature.

THE CHAIR: As long as we keep it tied to

or the DFA would be, as state entities, willing to help fund another state entity.

And this is something that I have thought about for, you know, years, is why isn't NMFA, as a state agency helping to fund another state agency's facility? You know, that -- other than -- why do state charter schools have to go through the APS facility master plan, you know?

And so I don't know if that could be legislatively written as within DFA or NMFA's operational process. But to me, that would be streamlined and make sense.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Voigt, I think you're right. And what's happening through PSFA and PSCOC for us could lend -- there could be a template to follow in some way, right? Now, the difference with us is we are at the very top of the NMCI list. So that's what gave us the opportunity to do what we did.

But it doesn't mean that you can't have a discussion about how -- if you're much lower down, but you have the capacity to carry that type of -- you know, that relationship for the money, that it couldn't work out. I mean, that's actually --

the legislative agenda and --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Yeah. So there's that.

And I'll move on. Just so that you realized that's something that could happen.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Commissioner Voigt, can I just say something? To keep it in the realm of legislative -- that, what you said community of practice thing could be extended to how the State would view that whole -- that whole issue of the audit findings going to the business manager instead of the school, or somehow linking -- link the two.

I'm not sure how to do that, right? And just a quick comment. I don't know if that -- if that would -- that's a symptomatic approach of it, because the root of it is the talent pool is not there. I'm just telling you. There's no young people going into this field, and the big boxes are locking up what's out there; so...

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's so true. Thank for you that.

So to better focus my questions, when we talk about facilities -- and they're always a challenge for charter schools. As state charter schools, it seems that New Mexico Finance Authority Commissioner Robbins would probably be better suited to answer that.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Okay. My last -- right. I know. Then my last question would be regarding the 2 percent that you brought up.

And I'm glad you brought it up, because right now, I think the statute reads "up to 2 percent."

The schools are -- every charter school pays their authorizer up to 2 percent of their SEG to their authorizer. And we all know that there is an excess at the end of the year of that 2 percent.

Would you feel, Ms. Mitchell and Mr. Martinez, that a fund of that surplus 2 percent payment that you make to your authorizer, do you feel that having a fund housed within the Charter School Division or within the PEC budget, a fund that would support charter schools specifically with whatever types of issues, facilities, transportation, would be beneficial to you going forward?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, absolutely. I mean, it's better than what it is now, because it doesn't do anything for us now, right? And it's -- I like that you say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

"up to 2 percent." It's 2 percent. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I know. Yeah. What about you, JoAnn?

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: I would say the same thing. Because nothing comes back to us right now. I think that either having it revert back, the percentage back -- do you know what I mean? I mean, its unused percentage back to the school. Or if that couldn't be done, then your proposal I think makes more sense than just never seeing it and never being the beneficiary of any of it. I think that that's a far better option than never getting any of

it back. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. Thanks a lot.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think my first question is going to be quick, because my ask was can you think of anything that we could legislatively do to fix the business manager thing? Because I don't think there's anything -- I get your problem. I think we can look at it separately. But

could do to fix the business manager -- the audit

I don't think legislatively there's anything that we

25 finding, I think we can look at that. But I think

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Yeah. Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Gipson, yeah, it couldn't hurt. It couldn't hurt. You could take our facility. Commissioner Robbins has been there. We're on the corner of Seventh and Lomas. There's no fencing around us. We're in an office building. I have to chase homeless guys off weekly, not to mention the health issues around human waste around our building and needles and things like that.

So, absolutely, that would help the discussion. But, again, we are almost out of the conversation because of what's happened to us now, right?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: So I don't think it would hurt, almost in the same way giving back a little of that 2 percent couldn't hurt.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Well -- and I'm going to drive my preference with the 2 percent. And that's reducing the 2 percent and just saying, you know, "There's been excess. So it's going to be 1.5 percent this year." I think that's more equitable to all schools. Because JoAnn's school is

going to drive that excess fund more than some of

71

the other issue about business managers, I don't see a legislative fix to that.

I think it's a conversation that we could have. I'm wondering if you think that -- because of, you know, so many unfortunate circumstances nationwide with schools, would we be -- would you and us, through our conversations, would we all be better served with a conversation --

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, can you just --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure. Sorry. THE CHAIR: Closer still. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Would you be better served with a conversation that led with, "Schools will be safer if we fund for better buildings"? Would that help open up more funds at this moment in time, you know? I'm just thinking, what can open more doors?

And, you know, people are thinking -- I -you know, unfortunately, what happened in Uvalde hasn't gone away as quickly as it did with so many. And the conversation about school safety is really

So I'm just wondering if that would help drive the conversation.

the smaller schools and may not benefit as much from the -- and PED will still hold that money.

So there's still not that, you know, direct access. But, you know my preference is legislation, or an agreement with PED, that, you know, "This year it's going to be 1.5 percent, 1.75 percent."

I think it's -- that's fairest to the schools, you know, and allows you to use that money. Because you know, "Upfront this year, I'm -- it's going to be 1.5 percent," so you -- you have that money every month to use, as opposed to perhaps -and I -- I can't formulate how they would give it back to you. I -- you know, I don't see that formula happening. So, thanks. I appreciate it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. Again, on funding -- and, you know, a lot of charter schools -- and Ms. Mitchell and Mr. Martinez, I know both of you use some of your SEG for things that it's not directly meant to be used for SEG. In the case of MAS, they're using a significant portion of their SEG to actually cover their rent.

The legislation that was enacted to provide charter schools with lease assistance set a

2.

floor at \$700 and to be increased at the cost of living per year. But the PSCOC has used the language "may," "may" provide a lease assistance.

2.

And they've kind of hung on that to not adjust it by the CPI, Consumer Price Index, which, you know, we've seen interest rates almost double in the last year for mortgages. And schools that want to build, they have to finance it somehow.

We've seen the cost of construction double in the last 10 to 15 years. Interestingly, the budget, the funding for the capital schools that PSCOC has, is expected to also double for the next several years. But they just recently approved a 7 percent increase.

Now, Social Security is going up 8.7 percent. So those of us who are collecting Social Security, we get an 8.7 percent increase, but the schools only got a 7 percent increase.

Now, that's nice. That's nice. But it would actually be \$1,100 per student if you used the inflation index since the lease assistance was first approved.

Would resetting that base -- because you know it's real easy.

Two questions: Changing it from "may" to

And I would say this, too. I think -- the other -- the kind of the larger implication in this discussion, Commissioner Robbins, is -- and I hate to say it, like -- I'm just going to say it.

Charters are kind of considered the distant stepchild in the public education, right? One, we're forgotten that it's public ed. Two, we're always considered kind of, "Oh, yeah, and you guys, too," right?

And I think it comes to facility. It's forefront in the facility discussion when you start talking those terms, right? Because it's -- we're not kind of given the forefront of the consideration when it comes to the -- the ecology of public ed as a whole. And so we're kind of considered the offshoot. And in our case, especially, we're so outside the box of what we do, as probably JoAnn's and other charter schools are, that the formulas don't fit. And so there's always this kind of retro, like, consideration, like, "How is that supposed to work," right?

So in our case, we've always worked the lease reimbursement grant through partnership. So we're partnered with the County. And that's how our new building is going to be put up.

"shall." And then also resetting the base to either \$1,100 or maybe only \$1,000, because right now, your lease assistance is going up from \$742 per to \$794 per student. You're over \$300 below per student.

In the case of MAS, that's \$300,000 a year. That would probably fully cover their lease. They wouldn't have to use lease assistance to cover their lease. It may even provide an incentive for some landlords to say, "We'll improve this property. We'll put some improvements in, and, yeah, we can increase your rent to make those improvements."

Would that be a good thing to get some things changed from "may" -- because this is one of those things that I've always fought. When they say "may," you're subject to losing lease assistance every single year. And with the change that's going to be coming on the PSCOC -- because I'm not going to be on there come January 1st -- I'm concerned that they may revert or continue doing and giving, you know, half the inflation rate to these increases so schools continue to have to use their SEG to pay for leases or -- and it becomes more difficult. Would that be of help to the schools?

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Commissioner Robbins, absolutely.

So in partnering with other government agencies, we're able to strike deals with what we get from the state is what we, give, and everything else is considered service agreement, right?

And it works, and it has worked for us. But I know we're an anomaly when it comes to how we leverage those relationships with our building.

Other charter schools probably are not in that same mode. And I recognize that. I would defer to JoAnn to speak more about it if she's using SEG for her rent.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Yeah. And I don't know how articulate I'll be on this conversation because I tried to avoid having to do anything with facilities for the longest time, because my background is not, like -- I'm not a business manager. I'm not -- we work with -- just going back to Commissioner Voigt -- we use the Vigil Group. They have been phenomenal since we've used them. We've used them for ten years.

When we first occupied the Yale building, there were three schools here. We were the third school in this building. We were very fortunate. As we grew, we grew into the building. But, yeah, we always had to use SEG.

At one point in time, when the lease reimbursement formula -- I think it was, like, \$753 per student or whatever, when it was based on a membership number, we were able to project out how much it would cost us -- you know what I mean -- every year, and we could do some projections to make sure we weren't tapping into the SEG so much.

When we expanded into the old Coors campus, that was the first time that I -- let me go back.

We were asked -- when we were in the Yale campus, there were numerous conversations about, "You should consider purchasing. You should consider purchasing. You have more flexibility. It would work to your advantage."

I really avoided it, because I didn't want to be so buried in the facility stuff -- we were still trying to get our school off the ground, right, and be able to produce results.

Once the old Coors campus came along, it was a portable campus. And so I had been so inundated with buildings, facilities, I still don't know that I'm overly articulate with it, right? We were -- just closed on a bond to build our new campus there. The new campus will open in August --

you it was overwhelming. And, again, I don't know how I help you with that information for, you know, legislative purposes. But I can tell you that it's been taxing. It's been very, very overwhelming, and it's definitely an area where I think that -- I mean, I know funding-wise I'm constantly having conversations about where the funding sources can

come from, need to come from.

It's not my area. I rely heavily on our foundation attorney, our school attorney, our business manager, because this is -- I am in such uncharted territory for myself that I don't know that I'm adding much to it, other than telling you that it's complex, and it's something that I think is really challenging for charter leaders to negotiate and navigate through these things. And you really are doing it on your own.

And it was almost one of the things that made it daunting and something that I was concerned about when we expanded campuses, because I had no idea how to navigate that terrain. So I don't know if that helps. I know --

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: I'm sorry.
MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Go ahead.
MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, members

or July -- of this upcoming school year and stuff.

And we got phenomenal funding rates and stuff. We were very, very fortunate to be able to work with Equitable Facilities Fund. We're the first school they funded in New Mexico, or supported in New Mexico.

So it reduced our rates. And now we've purchased that property. So it's a little bit different now, right?

And I'm still -- I don't know if I'm being very articulate right now because I'm still learning. This is overwhelming, because this is not my background, right? I would say I don't even know what the legislative issue would be, and I'm sorry I can't articulate better on this. I know it's overwhelming for me, like, to be able to try to run a successful school and negotiate and navigate the finances around facilities and the facility issues, it's overwhelming.

Like -- and I could tell you the blessing about the Yale building, to me, was that I didn't have to do any of that. We just kept growing into it, and I just had the budget for it.

The Old Coors building is going to be gorgeous. I think kids deserve that. I can tell

of the Commission, Commissioner Robbins, as I'm thinking about legislative initiatives, you know, something that -- so you had -- there are -- the State has chips to play with, right?

So you have the PSCOC that oversees public facility, right? I think pulling -- pulling charters outside of the general list and having us a charter-specific listing under PSCOC could be helpful, right?

Because -- because charters -- it's unfair to charters to compare them to comprehensive district schools from that angle, right? So there's that.

The previous discussion about NMFA and PSCOC funding being somehow connected, that's another chip to play, right? Because I think there's -- there's resources, especially now, as you said. This is the time of year that we can start getting creative around pots of money that do exist that are -- to be honest, overspilling, right? And how to do that -- and I think -- bottom line about charters. People are voting with their feet. APS was down -- their student count is in the 70s, right, from mid 90s. People are leaving by choice. And as JoAnn said, we are schools of choice, and

people are voting that way.

So the State needs to start coming to terms with the fact that charters are -- people are choosing them, right? And because they are part of the public school ecosystem, they have to be reckoned with fairly equitably. And right now we're -- I don't know if we are or not.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins.

All right. Thank you both so much for coming this morning. Like I said, Vice Chair Voigt is always thinking of, like, we need to get charter leaders in here to talk with us about what is important to them, what they're actually going through, what we need to be considering.

This is extremely informative to us. And going into our next agenda item, it's definitely going to help to have your voices in the back of our heads as we go into listing out our priorities and figuring it out.

So thank you very much for the time. Always -- always we're appreciative for the work that you do for your schools, but, also, every time we ask you all to come talk to us, you're more than No. 8, which is Presentations, Discussion and

- 2 Possible Action on PEC Legislative Recommendations,
- 3 including the Consideration of Platforms,
- 4 Legislative -- or Legislation presented by Charter
- 5 Organizations and the schools that we just listened
- 6 to; so kind of wrapping it all up now and creating a
- very clear legislative agenda for us to be able to
 start moving forward on.

And with that, Ms. Barnes, I'm going to have you lead us through, because you've created a great document for us to go through to lead us in this item.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I do want to indicate that the platforms of others are not necessarily on this list. So if there are things that we've heard or you've heard from others, I -- you know, we can approach them to see what that is. But it's not in this memo.

This memo was made up of if you-all raised a legislative item, I put it on a list and then refined it from the last time.

One thing that has dropped off, which goes to platforms of others, is there was a potential -- I had received a call to think about looking through the whole code at where the kind of -- I'm going to

0.0

not.

willing to. So we're very, very grateful for that.

MR. RAFE MARTINEZ: Thank you all.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. JoANN MITCHELL: Bye.

THE CHAIR: All right. I'm going to give a couple of minutes to transition to the next item. Just to give a quick two-minute break so we can rest our court reporter hands. So two minutes, and we'll be right back to Item No. 7.

(Recess held, 10:32 a.m. to 10:37 a.m.)

THE CHAIR: I'm going to give a quick reminder that before we go to each agenda item, that I need to make sure that Commissioners -- make sure you're speaking into your microphone, clearly, slowly as possible -- well, not slowly as possible -- but make sure you're being clear.

And then, in future, I don't think we'll have any additional speakers for this item. But when we do have public speakers that are coming on or anybody else, to make sure, when you're on Zoom, especially, that your audio is very clear for us so that we can make sure that we're getting that transcribed properly.

THE CHAIR: All right. We are on to Item

call it remnants of the school -- the old school board were.

But I didn't get a call back. It wasn't anything that any of you-all had pursued. It was going to be hard for me to go figure that out. I checked with the Executive Committee, and it's not here anymore. If somebody raises it, we can look at it again. I just didn't want to take a lot of time on something that I didn't hear was being pursued strongly by any of you.

There's not really -- I guess it's in whatever order it was in. So it's kind of random order. The first one is the "up to 2 percent."

And Commissioner Voigt might like that I dropped in the Charter School Stimulus Fund, because that is an existing charter school fund that I think could be modified if there's a rollover of the 2 percent. You couldn't do it right now. It would require a legislative change.

The two statutes are -- the two statutory provisions, one of them says the amount of funding allocated shall be "no less than 98 percent," so that's kind of the "up to 2 percent."

And the other one, which Rafe just identified, is "withhold 2 percent." That's what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they do. They withhold the 2 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I haven't looked carefully as to whether if you-all agreed you would need a statutory change. Maybe not. Because you do have this "up to 98 percent." So if there's an agreement, I'm not positive that you need any legislation to take less.

And then the only other thing I looked at was whether it was an easy or a difficult drafting. This one's a very simple drafting change.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I think I'm fine with the "no less than the 98 percent." I think that's clear.

My concern is where it says, "a detailed description of how the chartering authority will be using the withheld 2 percent." So there, it specifies the 2 percent.

So shouldn't -- you know, I would have a preference that there's the flexibility that it doesn't have to. To me, that codifies that it is 2 percent. And it -- you know, I would prefer to see that changed so that it's whatever the -- you know, "up to the 2 percent." You know, there's a detailed accounting to the withholding of whatever that fund is up to the 2 percent.

that we need to, because we've been working, for my five years that I've been on this Commission, trying to work with PED on how they're spending the money. We still don't get regular reports. The MOU is calling for them, but we still don't get them.

They're delaying work -- we -- a year and a half ago -- almost a year and a half ago, this Commission, in a majority vote, decided to withdraw the writ from the Supreme Court, hoping that it would facilitate a more cooperative approach by PED.

But I haven't seen that. They're still intransient when it comes to reporting the financials.

That's not CSD's problem. That is a PED problem. Because if -- they say you have to provide that information, CSD has to provide the information. But they don't have sufficient financial staff to do it. And they're requiring a deputy director or the Director herself to provide that information and pull it out of the system, when their job is not that of a financial manager. That's wrong. That is absolutely wrong. So I would like the statute, the language,

to be changed to "up to 2 percent."

And it goes with the number two, that PED

87

MS. JULIA BARNES: I might just point out

that that is a very consistent problem throughout the charter school law, that you're, like --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. MS. JULIA BARNES: You know. Is it --

that's a very specific sentence.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Uh-huh. MS. JULIA BARNES: And it was just drafted

inartfully --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.

MS. JULIA BARNES: -- and inconsistently with the other.

And I can show you five or six times, or 100 times, maybe, in the charter school law where it's like, "Well, it says this, but it doesn't say that."

So that is an inconsistency that is a classic example. And I agree that you would change

Do you have to change the section? I'd argue you probably don't, but -- because it's in a very specific thing. But I -- it's a good point.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Thanks. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I would argue

be restricted to no more than a fixed percentage of what is budgeted by CSD and PEC.

So even though the 2 percent may be -- I'm just going to use round numbers -- maybe \$4 million. And you know before the beginning of the school year the rough amount of what that is. I mean, I've been in finance years enough. I've been in state government.

They know what those dollars are. They know what it is. And it's changing a percentage in your withholding calculation. That's all it is.

So if they go through and they calculate in the combined amounts between PED -- or excuse me -- between PEC and CSD, is \$2 and a half million, tack on a 15 or 20 percent. You know, I'm flexible with up to 20 percent. I'd like it to be only 15 percent, but I'm flexible up to 20 percent.

So 20 percent of \$2 and a half million, they would be able to get a half-million dollars. If we only budgeted \$2 and a half million between, PEC and CSD, that's \$500,000 additional. So now you have \$3 million. And if you have a \$4 million SEG, that 2 percent represents \$4 million, you only take -- 66.66 percent or 67 percent of it, which actually lowers you down to about 1.38 percent,

2.0

roughly.

2.

And you just set the thing, and you say, "It's going to be 1.38 percent. That's what you take this year." And you have to both work within your budgets.

Because the only -- every agency in state government has to work within their budget. The only time that they can go is if there's an emergency or something like that, and then they can ask for a special appropriation, for an emergency appropriation. Any agency has the ability to do that. And then they go to Legislative Finance Committee, DFA, and they ask for a special -- and then the State Board of Finance can approve that.

It doesn't require waiting until another legislative session. People have to understand the process. If emergencies come up, and the needs are greater, you can go ahead and get that.

So I would like to have it -- both of those fixed, that it's "up to" and the amount that PED can use for administrative support to CSD and the PEC be restricted to between 15 and 20 percent.

Again, that's negotiable. I would start at 15 percent, because that puts a little more onus there. And PED needs to make the case in their own

finance group at the highest level within the Department.

THE CHAIR: So I don't see any other hands right now. But I'll say I think, when I look at the priorities for schools, I do think the ability for us to take less than the 2 percent, to me, does impact the schools. So for me, it is a priority.

But I also don't know if we need to go to the Legislature for it. This is one of those things where I'm a little worried about going to the Legislature and opening up and saying, you know, "It says up -- no less than 98 percent here, but I need you to add up to 2 percent everywhere else," and then opening that up for whatever else they want to do with it, I just don't know if we're going to be able to find someone who is going to care enough to sponsor a bill to do that for us.

So, for me, I think that we can do this outside of the Legislature. I think this is something that we can work through with the -- with the -- with what the legislation already says.

I think we have the levers to enact this, anyway. So, for me, I don't -- I'm open to, like -you know, I don't know if this is what I would want to spend, like, the time with someone trying to get

budget to say, "We need an extra \$500,000 beyond the \$500,000 we would get from the PEC. We need an extra \$500,000 that the Legislature has to give us through a state appropriation in their budget."

They've been unable to justify that. And I think there's not a lot of incentive to do that, because they have this huge pot of money that they can tap into right now.

And they've been reverting hundreds of thousands of dollars. This last year, it was almost a million dollars. And in past years, it was millions of dollars they reverted.

So it's not a lack of funding in PED. I think it's a lack -- outside of CSD -- it's a lack of financial management and wherewithal. So I really think this Commission needs to exert its authority and require the reporting that we've requested in the MOU that we are still not getting.

And, you know, last year, in October, we got a report showing what they had spent all year. I asked for at least quarterly reports, and I haven't really seen that. And, again, if CSD is the one that's responsible for it, they're being given a task that other state agencies don't -- their divisions don't have to do. It's provided by a

them to do for us, versus other things later on in this chart.

Commissioner Carrillo. And then we'll go back to Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Is there anything -- I don't have a problem with taking the 2 percent. I don't want things reverted back to the general budget. I revise my thinking around creating some sort of fund, because I think that would adversely affect the larger schools.

But I do like the idea of giving back to the schools pro rata based on what they've put in and the number of kids they have, if we find there's extra. That's the first piece. And I'm not sure I want to spend political capital on this.

So in terms of what you were saying, is we can handle this -- is there anything to prevent us from handling this internally?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, if there isn't, then, like, ixnay on this issue-ay.

That's my thought.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: For those who don't

speak Pig Latin, that's, "Let's nix this issue."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I mean, I think it would be easy to get someone who would carry this. But I think it could also be -- I think if there was something else that dealt with monies, it could be attached to something. That's -- that's easy enough to do.

I'm not that concerned -- I'm really concerned about opening up the charter law. That -you know, that's -- you know, that's not good.

But I get concerned --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Pattie, can I ask you to move your mic closer to you?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- that sometimes --I don't want someone -- I get that the MOU can fix most of the 2 percent issue, easily. However, if the -- I don't want some administration down the road being able to look into this and saying, "But it says 2 percent here, so it's going to be 2 percent."

That's my only concern. So I'm more comfortable with cleaning up some pieces where it specifies the exact 2 percent.

THE CHAIR: All right. So I think for -for what would be most useful for the Executive Committee moving forward is I think -- I'm really to come up -- which this topic in particular is not going to just come up -- the other topics could, right? There could be some other things that come up that we're not actively pursuing.

This is something we would need to actively pursue. So that would be the next thing is where in the prioritization list does this come as far as the amount of time and effort that the Commission would like the Executive Committee to put into making this happen?

Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think it needs to -- you know, I have a preference that it be fixed.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So I was just talking to Ms. Barnes about how to kind of go about this. So I think for each of these items, we'll entertain a motion and a vote. Because I -- so I want to be clear that myself or anyone from the Executive Committee, we will not be speaking on behalf of the Commission without a vote that says that we can speak on behalf of the Commission.

So for each item, we will entertain a motion and a vote. And then as part of that, please -- you know, that the -- you know, when we're

95

97

interested in kind of two prongs:

Number one is this -- you know, if -- I'm looking at them with -- number one, of course, do we support it as a whole Commission or do we not. That's obviously number one.

But then the next thing is do you want the Executive Committee to actively pursue something? Or is it something that, if it comes up, then, yes, then the Commission would support it or not.

So I think we -- that's kind of like --I'm trying to figure out the prioritization of this list as well.

So I think the first thing that we'll have to consider is do we support something -- I need very specifics -- you know, that we -- we, as a Commission, want to propose that everywhere it says "2 percent," that it needs to say "up to 2 percent." Because what I'm hearing right now, that that's the big -- that would be the predominant change for this particular section.

And then the next thing would be so does -- do we, as a Commission, support that?

But then, secondly, do you want the Executive Committee to start reaching out and actively pursuing that, or wait for -- if that were 1 voting on this, that is allowing the Executive 2 Committee to speak on behalf of the Commission off 3 of these votes.

> Both of you raised your hand at the same time. But, yeah, Commissioner Robbins, then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I would make a motion that the Commission put on our Legislative Agenda changing language throughout statute in reference to the 2 percent, that it correspond to district, where it states "up to 2 percent."

THE CHAIR: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll second. Sorry. THE CHAIR: Great. So there's a motion

and a second.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: So if we were to do that, then that would preclude us leaving it at 2 percent and reverting funds back to the schools, right?

No? We could still --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: The funds would stay with the school. We wouldn't be taking it in the first place.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.

THE CHAIR: But I do think it's correct that even if, let's say, using Commissioner Robbins' example, that a specific amount of money is calculated, a specific amount of money is withheld, and then there's unplanned vacancies throughout the year, there could still be additional funds at the end of the year that are still unspent. There could be.

Ideally, no. Like, in the best budget management, there would be no unspent dollars at the end of the year. But you're correct, that that does not then -- what this is specific to does not -- if there is any additional funds right now, it does go back to General Fund.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, I don't like that happening. And, you know, I like the idea also of -- of just not taking it to begin with, because as -- I mean, in the private sector and having to deal with budgets when I was with Hilton, yeah, you come up with your budget and you live within your budget.

And if there's money left, that's great.

At least then they would grill you, "Why didn't you spend it," because they're not going to give it to you next time.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I don't think he's back. Okay. So that passes, seven to zero.

THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.

All right. So that item is going to be part of our agenda and will be -- with the knowledge that it will need to be pursued.

Okay. So that would bring us -- that's for Item 1.

Item 2 now. Ms. Barnes?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Let me just clarify that -- there's a second part of that -- of 1. And I don't know if you want to -- the Stimulus Fund. It is possible to modify the language of the Stimulus Fund to allow 2 percent money that is not spent to be put into that fund and spent.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Yeah. So we're still in Box No. 1, but we're on to the second part. And this is — and, actually, I think this is what Commissioner Carrillo was speaking of at the end of it, which is if there are any unused funds it would go into this, this Charter Stimulus Fund.

And so, yeah. Commissioner Voigt?
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I was kind of half-raising my hand. But, yeah, I like this. I mean, if there are any unused stimulus funds, for

So I would support the measure as David stated -- as Commissioner Robbins stated. THE CHAIR: Okay. Any other discussion? All right. Seeing none, Secretary Armijo, if you could take roll on that item? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. I was just getting the language right on my form. Okay. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

them to go into a charter-supported -- a supportive charter account or fund. Yeah, I like that; so...

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I think when we first had this discussion, the discussion started with there had to be a line item, that there had to be a chunk of money sent in. And then we're adding into it that if there's -- if -- you know, if we take the -- let's say 1.5 percent, and there was still leftover money at the end of that, then that money would just revert into that pool, not into the General Fund.

However, the stimulus -- stimulus money has to be appropriated, that there has to -- because otherwise, honestly, there's -- if we're -- if we budget the -- if we budget appropriately, there's probably not going to be -- hopefully, there's not a lot of money left over.

So I don't see where this would be a charter stimulus. And I don't think it would need legislation -- well, maybe it would.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But I don't see where there's a whole lot of money that's going to be available to act as a stimulus, you know, because

Manis.

(No response.)

2.

we're -- we're working on doing a better job of budgeting, so that, hopefully -- you know.

And sure. Maybe there's a couple of thousand, maybe there's \$10,000 or \$20,000. But if you're looking at that, I think Commissioner Voigt probably started when the federal money was -- what? -- like, a million dollars for the stimulus to start a charter school, you know. And those were the good old days, you know, starting a charter.

I don't see where this would really reflect a true support for charters unless we're asked. Because I thought when we were originally having the conversation, we were asking for a line item appropriation to create, and then we could supplement it whenever it needed, but that this needed to be funded in a significantly larger sum of money.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes. And then Commissioner Carrillo, you had your hand up, right?

MS. JULIA BARNES: So this is already in existing law. It doesn't revert. And I'm looking at -- and it is pretty narrow in terms of what you could use it for.

If -- my thinking is that you -- that you could do an amendment that amended this law that

supplement it some at the end of every year.

I don't even want to say hopefully, because our goal is that we don't have the money left over. So we're really -- if this is what we're trying to do with it, I don't think it's worth our time and effort, because we're trying not to have that extra money at the end of the year.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. Then Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: A couple of questions. Because as I read this section, what, 22-88-14 (verbatim), as Julia maybe said earlier, it just seems really muddled. I mean, even something as simple as every time they mention Department of Education, and then they put in brackets, Public Education Department, I don't know. It's just -- so right now, today, is there a stimulus fund sitting with money in it that can be -- I'm seeing a nod over there. Then I'm seeing a nod like this. (Indicates.)

MS. JULIA BARNES: There's a fund, but it's not funded.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: There's the Charters group, but it's not funded, okay? But if there's been money left over and it says it's

maybe expanded the purposes and allowed that 2 percent or unused funds from the 2 percent to be moved into this fund. It's non-reverting. So it's one mechanism, but not the only one.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And, see, I've got no problem with that excess, however we have to fix it. But my bigger point is unless there's an appropriation of money to really create a stimulus fund to start it going, this isn't going to generate enough funds to really make it a viable, you know, really, program to -- I think it'll piecemeal out monies that -- and that's why I thought we talked about that stimulus fund being -- we need a line item appropriation of X amount of dollars.

And I think we had -- I think Matt was actually part of -- but I could be wrong -- but I thought Matt was part of that conversation, that in order to supplement the CSP, we also need to help grow our own by creating this stimulus fund.

So, I mean, I have no problem with that excess money going into a stimulus fund, however that had to be fixed. But I don't think it's worth it if there isn't upfront money put in there to really create -- and then hopefully we can just

non-reverting at the end of this, then why wouldn't there be money in it?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Because they put it under reverting monies.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because they reverted it instead of -- okay -- which this doesn't. It says non-reverting very specifically.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We would have to look at it. If there was money, and it's all spent, then it's gone. I can't tell you how many funds there are created in law that have no money in them. Probably 100.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because then one would wonder. So if you're RioGAFA or THRIVE, they probably don't even know any of this even exists. They could probably say, "Hey, we'd love to have \$100,000 in that fund to help us with this start-up."

MS. JULIA BARNES: I don't think there's any money in this fund. I know it's an existing fund in the charter school law.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right. Then I wouldn't suggest doing anything with it and just leaving it and having it, like, die a slow death.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Leave it alone.

2.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because as Commissioner Gipson said earlier, the minute you open anything up -- I have very little confidence in the Roundhouse. As soon as you open things up, they just kind of go nuts with it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. From my information, the reason the remaining 2 percent -remaining funds out of the 2 percent withheld has reverted, it's because of the way PED budgets the money. And there's nothing in statute that tells them how to budget. They could budget it in such a way where it wouldn't revert, or they could give it back if they chose to.

But because they're mixing it and commingling it with other funds, that's the issue. It's -- they don't set up a separate line and then hit that fund. They're mixing it.

And because of that, you can't -- you know, the only thing they can do is revert it. They have to just -- all they have to do is just change their internal practice to do it. And, you know, as I've stated before, PEC could be set up as a separate project code in the financial system, and then you can easily track the dollars that PEC

It could be -- for a new school, it could be a postcard mailing. It could be a banner. It could be a billboard. So it doesn't have to be hundreds of thousands of dollars, initially. It could start small. And whatever is left over, if it's thrown into this fund, I mean, it would grow.

And how it's allocated out, I mean, that would be determined. Bless you.

But I think having a stimulus fund or a surplus fund or whatever you want to call it, based on surplus authorization percentage, I think would be a good thing. And whether it's done internally, that would be awesome if we could just do this internally, or whether it's done legislatively. But I think it just needs to be done.

THE CHAIR: Before I go back to you-all, I'm going to say that's where I -- I had many conversations with school leaders who were opening their school this year. And they were struggling to have the process get through and using personal funds and try- -- like, stressing about trying to get students to learn about their school, but they couldn't do Facebook ads yet.

And Facebook ads would have cost them probably 500 bucks. If there was \$500 in this fund,

spends, and it's not this behemoth or very difficult job to get the money out of Share.

But once you put the money into Share and you mix it with everything else, you kind of lose the tracking on it. And that's -- that's the thing with this fund, because it's never been funded, because they put it in their own budget in such a way that it reverts.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And is that something that can be done internally, that change? Not legislatively?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It could, but there would have to be the will and the knowledge of the financial staff, not in CSD, but in PED's financial staff, and the will to do it.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thanks. You

know, I think this -- the stimulus fund, even as small as it could be -- I mean, it would be great if there was seed money, certainly, to sprout this type of fund. But even as small -- if it starts out small -- because some schools didn't use all of their up to 1.5 percent, it is still -- \$10,000 goes

a long ways at a charter school.

it could have been pushed out real quick, and they could have started that. I'm not necessarily in agreement that it has to be funded by the Legislature. If it's at zero right now, fine. If it stays at zero, that means the budget is the most amazing thing ever.

But I know I was a director, and I'm going to be honest. There's slush funds at the end of the year. If our director is, "Okay, I have ten grand. I have to spend it on a new technology. I have to spend it on something," and they have to spend it, instead, for them to have the knowledge of, "Hey, we have \$10,000 extra this year, it's going to go into this, and it's going to benefit a new school next year," what a great gift to be able to give to the Director and to those new schools who need money to start up. That's already in here.

It seems like such a simple, simple thing to just be able to say that the PED -- and I, actually -- it would be really interesting, Commissioner Robbins, if they were even to even just, instead of putting it as a reverting line, they could put it into this. And it, once again, doesn't need to be legislated. That would be great.

But I think this is important enough, and

production@litsupport.com

I think it gives direction to the PED to say that this is a priority that this not be reverting and to put it into this fund that is clearly not reverting, kind of delineates those lines of what we care about for the schools.

2.

And I know what -- Director Chavez has mentioned multiple times that she does not want the 2 percent money to revert. She doesn't. I don't think there's going to be a director, ever, who's going to want any of that SEG money to revert.

So I don't mind pursuing this to clarify that that's a priority for us and that we care that that money is not getting reverted. And if it's something -- maybe we can work with the PED, and they can -- we can convince them, at some point, to stop reverting the 2 percent money. But until then, this clearly puts it into a place where, then, it gives some flexibility to support schools in the way that can be best for them.

And, like I said, whether it's 500 bucks at the end of the year, a thousand, like, that's -- it may not sound like a lot to us. But for a new charter leader, that can significantly shift, like, what their projection numbers -- you know, like meeting their projection numbers. It really can.

this piece of legislation. It's just, like -- and I'll be honest with you. The idea of using it in the framework of a stimulus, it's -- you know, there's a lot of folks that don't want -- don't want to see a lot of growth. That's just the narrative that's out there.

So I think that will help people say, "No, I'm not going to do that," because they -- someone will come along with the conversation of, "Oh, they reverted back a million dollars."

So they're going to see, "Oh, they're going to put a million dollars into that." So, you know, there's too many -- my preference -- I think charters are better served with the conversation being through the MOU and not making it a -- on the legislative agenda.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins?
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I have to agree with counsel that I do believe it would be a legislative change, because I don't know that this stimulus fund is currently an approved authorized use of SEG funds. And that may be required to have a change in statute to where that can happen.

If -- you know, the other way that you can handle that -- and, again, if unused SEG funds can

So that's kind of where I stand with it. I actually would like to pursue this. I don't think we need to do a huge change to what's already here. I think it's, like, general enough to allow some flexibility in how it gets distributed.

But I do think creating a space where it says if there is any -- any -- money that's left over at the end of the year, it gets put into this fund, would be something I would completely support.

We have Commissioner Carrillo, then Commissioner Gipson, then Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: My question is does this need to be done legislatively, or can this -- I'm seeing Julia's head up and down that it does.

And if -- I love the idea of -- whether it's 50 bucks or a thousand bucks or whatever, I love the idea of it being there.

I would be reticent if it has to be done legislatively. But if it does, it does, and I would support going down that path.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So that was my question. To me, the MOU could outline this a whole lot easier. Because I don't see that there's going to be a whole lot of support to get someone to carry

go into this stimulus fund, then, you know, you could -- theoretically we could budget a line of saying, "We're going to budget \$100,000 out of the PEC's portion. We're going to put \$100,000 in, and we're going to fund it directly into the stimulus fund."

So I don't know if that's a -- if that's allowable statutorily, that we could actually put in our budget \$100,000 a year from the 2 percent going into that fund, plus any unused portion. So that would be a way to kickstart the fund and provide it.

But I think there would need to be statutory language to allow that, because we have to understand. It's SEG funding we're talking about. SEG funding is for -- for the operation of the school, not for the start-up of a new school. It's not for the construction of a new school. It's for the operation of a school. And unused funds can be retained by the school district or the school.

So even charter schools are allowed to retain unused SEG funds. But if those funds aren't in their pocket, you know, that's the question of what can you do with that. And I think statutory language would have to be added to allow those funds -- I agree that it would be nice to do that.

But I think we'd have to have statutory language to allow those funds to be put into that. And, if that were the case, then, in that case, the PEC could also line-item in their budget additional funds into that.

THE CHAIR: I have been convinced that this could be a can of worms that maybe we don't want to open in the Legislature. And especially, I would say it's actually to your point, Commissioner Gipson, especially at this specific moment, because the Director has just barely had access to her own budget for one whole fiscal year.

So -- but the CSD have to take the hit -- so if I, like, call it that -- for the years previously when there's massive reversions -- right? -- trying to explain that to Legislature that, "Oh, well, no, they're working on fixing that," and they are. They're looking to actually get access to it now.

But we're going to have -- that is going to get opened up, the fact that there were large reversions year after year. And I -- you're -- I can completely understand Commissioner Gipson's thought process of legislators being, like, "What? Excuse me?"

it right now.

So that's kind of where I'm at, too, is I'll probably not support moving this one on to the Legislative Agenda this year.

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would agree in your use of the phrase "can of worms," because there are certainly enough people in the Legislature who I would say don't understand, nor respect, charters.

There -- you go -- go to the Roundhouse, there's many of them that don't know they're public schools. They think of them as privates. So that could be a real problem.

I like the idea of a stimulus fund, that a start-up or -- somebody could go somewhere and say, "Look," they could apply to the Public Education Commission and say, "We really needed \$2,000 to get over the hump, and I can't personally write the check." That could be something we look to, and it's done internally, and we come up with a way to do that.

I would agree to not move this on right now, but to have something in the future in the back of our minds.

THE CHAIR: All right. I'm kind of

You know, like -- so not only are charter schools getting this -- you know, but they're reverting it and, like, creating a problem out of it and not allowing us to -- what we're trying to do in fixing it or creating a way to non-revert it, I would be actually very worried to bring this to them and kind of have that be discussed in the Legislature.

So I do think maybe it would be something that I'm -- where Commissioner Gipson is is where we can -- let's try to work this through the MOU as much as possible, get a couple of years down the road where we have less reversions, where we have -- maybe we've already been able to start reducing the amount that's being pulled out, focus on that first. And if we're still having those large reversions, look at how to fix that issue later.

But I think just not pulling out the money. If we can focus on that "up to 2 percent" and don't withhold as much, that could solve a lot of the issues that we're most concerned by anyway.

So, I mean, Commissioner Gipson, I really appreciate the points that you made, because I think that that's -- it's spot-on, and I think it's a path that maybe we -- we're not in a time and place to do

hearing a consensus. I don't know if everyone kind of wants to put a thumbs-up that we're not putting this on and move down to the next item?

(Commissioners indicate.)

THE CHAIR: Okay. That looks like at least a majority around here. So, okay, that will now take us to the No. 2.

MS. JULIA BARNES: No. 2 is to modify language in that same section, "no less than 98 percent." That would limit the amount of direct administrative support, which would need to be defined, because it's not anything related to the CSD budget.

I did pull the language from the MOU. I don't know that this is difficult to draft, but I wasn't drafting any language. So I think it's not difficult to draft, and it would probably be an amendment to this same section.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.
COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I like this suggestion, and as a starting point for negotiations and any legislation, because I know that PED would want to have a higher amount. But I would -- and I'll actually make a motion at this point, and we can discuss it -- to move that we limit the PED

direct administrative funding on the fixed percentage of 15 percent of the budgeted CSD/PEC budget.

THE CHAIR: I do not hear a second.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: A second for the purposes of discussion.

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. So there's a motion and a second. Any discussion?

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. You know, I support the concept. I struggle with can we fix it just with the MOU and not have to -- and I know. This has been the conversation with the LFC and the LESC all the time. "What are they doing with the 2 percent?" "What happens with the 2 percent? There's not a good accounting."

But I think the response to them can easily be, "Look at what we've done through the MOU."

Although there's also that piece of me

that gets cautious, because that MOU is changeable with administrations. So that's where I get a little concerned that is this the -- is this the better fix, because then it is codified. So that it -- you get a new administration, the MOU could go

that -- if we say, "You get 15 percent to administratively support us, you can put the money anywhere you want to if it's a fixed amount, and it's an administrative charge out of the withheld SEG funds from the charters for the administrative support," boom, it's a line item.

How they put it in their budget, the departments or the divisions they put it in within PED, actually simplifies their reporting of how they use that money. It would actually greatly simplify, because then you only have to look at the monies that CSD and PEC is using directly, and the other 15 percent, which has been up to \$1 million in past years, which is a significant amount broken down by every various area, you eliminate that totally by making it a single line, that it's administrative support.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you. I sort of am falling on the side of trying to deal with the majority of these things through the MOU, because I think if we can -- I think that's going to be the most immediate result, that if -- to legislate this, are they going to go through -- is a legislator going to want to carry a legislation that has two or

119 121

away, for all intents and purposes.

And I think this is something that the Legislature would support, because they've always had that concern about where the 2 percent is going.

So it doesn't answer that question. But it does answer the question of what PED can and cannot do with it. And then we can show through our own budget, you know, where the rest of it is going.

Okay. Someone is certainly going to ask, "Well, if PED is only going to have 15 percent, then where's the rest of it going?"

But our budget will show where -- you know, where that money -- that, of course, our budget, including CSD's. So I kind of lean towards legislative, but, you know, only because I think it would -- is a more permanent fix. And I think it's something that the Legislature could get behind a whole lot easier than a stimulus piece. I think it's a different conversation.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: One thing that would be advantageous of a flat amount for PED's administrative support, they don't have to give us a line item of where they're spending all that money. As of right now, they do. I mean, we require

three little changes in it -- or maybe not little, but two or three changes -- without trying to renegotiate and really reconstruct the entire --"Well, since we're dealing with this, let's go ahead and deal with this," and so forth?

And I just -- the can-of-worms metaphor that was used earlier, I think is just really, really a potential.

I think if we get -- if we establish a good MOU with favorable terms, we're setting a precedent to some degree, and it makes it easier to then, I think, legislate if we want to. Or it also, when we move to a new administration, I feel like, okay, this is what we've done in the past, and it's worked really well.

You know, they would have a tendency -more of a tendency, I would think, to sort of follow those same -- those same guidelines.

So if we could deal with them -- the majority of those issues through an MOU, I -- I don't know, I -- that would be my preference.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt.
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,
Chair Burt. And thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

I feel the same way. I believe that the

production@litsupport.com

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

MOU will be the most immediate. And the MOU is going to be an enduring document. I don't think it could change that easily with a new administration, given that the PEC would have to sign off on it. So I'm thinking that the MOU would be the -- the best way to move these topics. Thanks. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: If we were to do something legislatively, I would want it done not in this session, but maybe in the next or the following. It would seem as though -- and we -- to the best of my knowledge -- and Commissioner Robbins has stated this -- we have not vet received an itemized accounting for how all of the money is used. Is that correct? So -- okay. So that is correct. So if that's the case --THE CHAIR: Oh, well, I would say --Mr. Torres presented us an itemized account of --COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because I would be reticent to lock in, you know, 15, 17, whatever percentage, if -- if that maybe wasn't enough to

cover it.

budget, how it's being spent, the percentages that go to what. It's only been in effect for a year.

And I do believe we need a little bit more analysis. I think we need a little bit more understanding about what's working and what's not working before we -- especially before we propose legislation that solidifies something.

For me, I would say what's the research behind it? Show me why 15 percent. Show me why -- I want to know exactly why. Like, is that just a number -- just so you all know, that was something Dr. Gonzales did ask me about. He said, "Why that number?"

I said that's a very common administrative fee, for grants, for -- it's not out of the realm of what's very, very commonplace when you look at grants, administration fees, you know, what's an appropriate amount.

And so understanding that I think it's a great starting point for negotiation, I actually think that's -- I support it in the MOU. I support it in negotiations moving forward. But I think we do need to go through the process of modifying the MOU, getting an analysis of how, right now, the 23 percent is being spent, and then reducing it

affects whoever is sitting in that position. And so if it means coming back to this particular item, when Director Chavez could join us -- but, again, I agree with the others. The more we can do internally, the better.

I'm also -- I would -- I'm concerned that

the Director is not here, because this directly

THE CHAIR: Before I go back to you, Commissioner Gipson, I'll just say I -- the one thing that -- I think where I fall on this is it's just not the right time yet.

And the reason I believe that, mostly, is because the -- and I know -- listen. This is what I will say. I know that the PEC has been on this road for a long time, well before I got onto the Commission. Well before I was a part of any conversation about the budget. I know that the PEC has been struggling with this for a long time.

So I do want to say I recognize that. And I can imagine the frustration of going through that year after year after year and getting nothing in return year after year after year.

But what I will say is this last fiscal year is the first time that this has been implemented in any way, shape, or form, that there's been any even idea, thought, any kind of writing, especially as to the PEC budget, the 2 percent

reasonably and logically and with data behind us.

I just think right now is not the time to solidify it in the Legislature, because, once again, we're going to open up our whole budget for years prior to this. Not just in the last year. For the year before that and the year before that, and the year before that, too. And we don't have accounting of that. The PEC never was able to see that before. The PEC has no clue how PED was spending it, once again, frustratingly. And I know it's not because PEC wasn't asking for it.

But with this -- with the way that the CSD is doing it now, we're able to start getting the information we need.

So I'm not really -- I think I'm with Commissioner Taylor, I'd rather do this section right now internally. And then maybe a year, maybe next Legislative Session, the year after that, solidify it in legislation.

That's what -- once again, that's kind of where I'm at in it this. I wish we did have the years that you-all asked and begged to get this kind of accounting. I wish we did have those years to be able to go back on. And I wish there was more history that the PED was able to provide.

	126		128
1	And I know it just wasn't a cooperative	1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No.
2	relationship in order to get that. So that's kind	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.
3	of where I'm at on it.	3	Vice Chair Voigt.
4	Commissioner Gipson.	4	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: No.
5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm the person that	5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Armijo
6	doesn't even like the 10 or 15 percent, because I	6	votes no.
7	don't think our schools should have to be spending	7	Chair Burt.
8	any money, because a traditional school district	8	THE CHAIR: No.
9	doesn't.	9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
10	On the I agree with what Mr. Martinez	10	Carrillo.
11	said before, that charter schools are looked at like	11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No.
12	a second class, because everything that the PED puts	12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
13	out. It's, like, school districts and charters.	13	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.
14	School districts and charters.	14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
15	So that's where the notion in Legislators'	15	Robbins.
16	minds are, that charters are somehow different.	16	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
17	That's where they get this idea that they're not	17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That is six to one.
18	part of the public school system, because it's, 'Oh,	18	The motion does not pass.
19	they're charters. There must be something wonky	19	THE CHAIR: All right. That'll take us to
20	about that, that they're not the same as a	20	Item No. 3.
21	traditional school," although in Vistas and	21	MS. JULIA BARNES: Item No. 3 is the idea
22	everything else, they're listed as a district.	22	to allow the imposition of a three-year charter term
23	So why isn't it just school districts have	23	with good cause provided. There's only one sentence
24	to do this. Charter schools understand that they	24	that would need to be changed, and it would be an
25	have to do that.	25	easy drafting change.
	127		129
1	I do not agree with just give them the	1	I think we do want clarification on any
2	15 percent and then they never have to account for	2	motion as to whether you want the PEC to pursue
3	it. That, I cannot support.	3	legislation or react or, just simply, to react.
4	So I love Commissioner Taylor's optimism	4	So just clarification for the Executive Team.
5	with new administrations are going to follow that,	5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
6	because, you know, this sage, old person has been	6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, I'm waiting
7	through those other administrations, and, you know,	7	to be called on.
8	someone can ignore it. And that's fine. That's a	8	THE CHAIR: I saw you put your microphone
9	1 441 6 14 641		
	battle we can fight if it happens.	9	on, but I didn't know.
10	So I'm good with moving on.	9 10	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really
11	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no	10 11	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like,
11 12	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote.	10 11 12	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a
11 12 13	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.	10 11 12 13	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term.
11 12 13 14	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse	10 11 12 13 14	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
11 12 13 14 15	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor.	10 11 12 13 14 15	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we
11 12 13 14 15 16	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the	10 11 12 13 14 15	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Cindy, could you	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent. THE CHAIR: So this would be consent to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Cindy, could you read what I said?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent. THE CHAIR: So this would be consent to get it without consent of the schools.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Cindy, could you read what I said? (The record was read as requested.)	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent. THE CHAIR: So this would be consent to get it without consent of the schools. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We never had anyone
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Cindy, could you read what I said? (The record was read as requested.) COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Are you ready	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent. THE CHAIR: So this would be consent to get it without consent of the schools. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We never had anyone come and say, "You can't do that."
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I'm good with moving on. THE CHAIR: Yeah. All right. Seeing no more hands, we do need to do roll call and a vote. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Commissioner or excuse me Vice Chair excuse me. Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Can you reread the motion, just to make sure? COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Actually, Commissioner Robbins, can you restate your motion? COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Cindy, could you read what I said? (The record was read as requested.)	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on, but I didn't know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. I really like the idea of our being able to I don't like, necessarily, the word "impose." But to negotiate a three-year charter term. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the fact that we have done three-year terms before, we've just gotten away with it? MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm sure you got consent. THE CHAIR: So this would be consent to get it without consent of the schools. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We never had anyone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they got the three years, because it was three years of nonrenewal. So it's, like -- you know. We're not going to rock the boat. So that's -- that's why -- I guess that's why it's happened. MS. JULIA BARNES: And The GREAT Academy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just did not agree.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And so -- and that a provision was -- it was a special circumstance. But the Cabinet Secretary didn't move away from the statute.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So that would -because at this point now, we had a prior ruling by the Cabinet Secretary that gave a school a two-year term. Would -- is the Cabinet Secretary affected by this?

Because we did have -- you know, and that was certainly problematic for us, and we didn't have any control over that. But the Cabinet Secretary did impose a two-year term, overturning our decision.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'd need to see -- I'm happy to look. But I don't -- I know the Cabinet Secretary can do different things. Let me just look it up.

THE CHAIR: It has to be an agreement with the way it's written right now. So they could say, "No, we don't want that."

MS. JULIA BARNES: This is the language, 22-8B-12(A), "Approvals of less than five years may be agreed to between the Charter and the Chartering Authority."

8 So this would change that sentence.

I don't -- I don't think what you're thinking of is -- I couldn't find it anywhere in this charter law; but...

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Okay. Notwithstanding, that portion of the statute, yeah, should be amended.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Robbins. And then I'm going to Voigt before I go back to Carrillo. I saw you raised your hand, but I'm going to go back before I come back.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That's cool.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. And, you know, the way it says is "may be agreed to." And I think the word "impose" instead of "negotiate," I think that is the correct term.

If you don't want to say "impose," you could say, "The PED has the right to restrict a

131

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I just don't know if that -- if we need to -- if we were going to look at fixing this, should we also add language to fix that, if you get what I mean?

THE CHAIR: All right. While you look that up, I'm going to go to Dr. Russell, and then Commissioner Robbins.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Thank you, Madam Chair and Commissioner Gipson. It was my understanding -- I was looking up the reference, I haven't found it yet -- that statute certainly says that a charter contract can be up to five years, which gives the latitude to -- Ms. Barnes is shaking her head.

THE CHAIR: The difference is, what we're talking about is that the school also has to agree to it. What this proposal is is that the PEC can do it without the school agreeing to it. That's the difference.

The Public Education Commission can do that, but the school has to agree to the three-year term or to a two-year term.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: It's my understanding that as the authorizer, you're offering the number -- no?

renewal contract" -- or "to limit -- "restrict or limit a charter term with good cause to three years."

Because, again, right now, it has to be approved by both parties. I think what we're looking for is situations where there are concerns enough to where they need to turn around in a very quick period of time, and that three years -- and, again, I would like it to be even less than three, but I think three years is probably a middle of the road. I think that's a good thing.

But if it's, you know, to restrict or to limit charter terms to three years -- and, actually, you know, change the language of approvals less than three years may be -- may be dictated or may be --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Instituted or --COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: -- instituted by the chartering authority. That takes away the negotiations, because right now if it's approval of less than five years may be agreed to between -- if you only change -- we have that ability now, as, you know, Commissioner Gipson has said.

But you have to have that agreement. If you get a party that is intransient (verbatim) -and I think that's the right word -- of anything

less than five years, we don't have the ability to negotiate if they don't want to. We don't have the ability to impose or to restrict and say, "We're not going to give you -- it's either three or nothing."

Well, they can go to court, and then you're tied up in court for a period of time.

So I think it's worthwhile to give this Commission -- again, with good cause -- to restrict a contract to three years -- or you could even change it to restrict a contract to less than five years, that if three years is what the Commission feels is a fair minimum, then that's fine.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: All right. Ms. Barnes was able to find the answer to Commissioner Gipson. So I'll have her answer you first before we keep going.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm able to find the section in the Charter School Act. I don't know if it's clear.

It's under -- I'm thinking that that decision by the Secretary could have been appealed to District Court, and probably was. Oh. Was or wasn't?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We did. However, that was the past administration. So when we

guess. The Cabinet Secretary can't change the law, either. It can be not appealed.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But, no. My question -- yeah. But the question was -- in the one instance, the Cabinet Secretary impose- -- overruled our decision to close and imposed a two-year, said, "It's a two-year contract."

MS. JULIA BARNES: I understand. So I think if the law was changed to allow you to impose a three-year term, a Cabinet Secretary could impose a three-year term.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Could they impose a two-year term? That's my question.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I would say no. I would say that the Secretary needs to follow the law as well, that the Secretary doesn't appear to be exempt from the law.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But this law would say it gives us the ability to impose a -- are we chang- -- are we making the language so it would be no less than a three-year? Because if it's -- if it's open, then the Cabinet Secretary could still do a two-year, and that wouldn't violate the law. So we would have to word it to say, "no less than three years" to prevent the Cabinet Secretary from then

transitioned into the new administration -- oh, no.
I'm sorry. No. We -- we did. But then we agreed that we pulled the suit to try to play nice.

But we -- because we were looking at -- by the time the District Court -- because it was a two-year contract term. By the time there would have been a decision, that contract would have expired anyway. So it wasn't worth the time and effort, on both parties, to mire us in court when we would be at a renewal before a decision, in all likelihood.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So it's under 22-8B-7, which is the appeals section for the Secretary. And the Secretary does have an ability on the Secretary's own motion to review a chartering authority's decision to grant a charter.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's what it was. The Cabinet Secretary questioned our renewal approval and -- yeah.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And then that same section says, "A person aggrieved by the final decision of the Secretary may appeal to District Court."

I -- I don't know if you'd win -- if the Secretary would win or lose. Probably lose, I would saying, "No, it's going to be a two-year."

MS. JULIA BARNES: And that would also allow you to do a four-year or whatever.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I haven't drafted any language. You could work with the Legislative Counsel Service to draft it. And you would need it, as in all legislation, to be very specific as to what you wanted.

THE CHAIR: All right. Before I go to Commissioner Voigt, Commissioner Carrillo, you had your hand up. Commissioner Voigt just let me know she is going to make a motion. I wanted to see if you had your hand up if you had a question for someone that would preclude you from getting that answer once a motion is made.

Because once she makes a motion, you won't be able to ask questions to someone outside the Commission. So you had your hand up earlier. I wanted to make sure --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I won't be able to ask Julia questions.

23 THE CHAIR: Right. So if you have a 24 question --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I want the ability

to negotiate a two- or three-year contract, period, something that the school cannot come back and say no. Because that was the biggest challenge that we had with The GREAT Academy is I think there was -- I think there was a lot of agreement that this -- this school had challenges -- I'm just going to try to use that euphemism, if you will.

And it was really upsetting that they were able to just say, "No, we don't agree." They basically, like, flipped us off.

I don't ever want that to happen to this Commission, whether I'm on it or not, again. And I think it's really important to be able -- because I agreed with Commissioner Robbins in his initial statement -- I want us to have the ability to negotiate a two-year contract as well, simply because it doesn't take three years, okay?

If you want a turnaround -- I go back to the private sector a lot. It's, like, if I'm on a performance plan, they're giving me a year, max, to produce results, or maybe even 90 days.

The idea of giving a school three years to continue basically -- I want to say harming children, if they're not delivering education the way they should be. That's completely

school and the chartering authority.

THE CHAIR: And then the last thing I'll ask you is if that's something you would like to be pursued this Legislative Session, or, if it comes up, we would support it. Or is it a priority, we need to pursue it?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Pursue.

THE CHAIR: I'll second.

Commissioner Manis?

COMMISSIONER MANIS: I don't know legally -- and this may be referred or deferred to Julia on this -- is if you say no agreement between the charter school and chartering authority, that that could be interpreted as a contract doesn't have to be in place? I just -- that could be interpreted, I think, very vaguely.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. So I would say that's a great point, Commissioner Manis. And I would say this. What we're doing is the general idea of it that we're going to be pursuing legislation for. But you're correct. The legislation would have to be better written and more specific than that. The general idea of what we're wanting is what we're agreeing to today. Is that --

COMMISSIONER MANIS: (Indicates.)

inappropriate.

And as well -- and I always go back sometimes to the private sector, and I love to use athletic comparisons -- they'd never hire a general manager of any athletic team for a five-year contract. I mean, you know, they've got to prove themselves a little bit first.

So two years and three years available, wheesht!, without somebody being able to say no.

I don't know how you're going to put "wheesht!," Cindy, in the notes.

THE REPORTER: Wish me luck.
COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,
Chair Burt.

I would like to move to allow the Public Education Commission to approve no less than a three-year charter term with good cause provided.

THE CHAIR: I think there might need to be a little more, actually, because I think we technically have to approve -- we can approve less than five right now. It just has to be agreed by the charter. So I think we need to have something in the motion that says without -- without --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: With good cause provided and with no agreement between the charter

THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Any further comment on this one? Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So the motion that was made says "no less than three years." I do think that Commissioner Carrillo's suggestion that we have the flexibility to go two -- I mean, I still like that idea. And I think that the motion could be made so that we say that the decision of the PEC is final or something like that. There is nonnegotiable as far as -- and that might take away the need for the agreement for the -- from the charters.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So it's no secret that I don't like less than five, because I think our job is to monitor it in between or revoke. I'm fine with "no less than three."

The NACSA presentation and training that we had the last session, they were clear that best practices is not for short term. And they specifically said no -- they do not support two years, certainly not a one-year, so that, you know, I don't think it -- it benefits us to be supporting something that isn't a best practice.

And I think it would help in a -- in a

	142		144
1	legal appeal for them to say the PEC is not even	1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No.
2	following best practices by nationally recognized	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
3	organizations for that, because I think, once again,	3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
4	it's our job to monitor in between.	4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. Then	5	COMMISSIONER MANIS: No.
6	Commissioner Carrillo.	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
7	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Exactly, Commissioner	7	Robbins.
8	Gipson. I think that, you know, three years is a	8	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
9	minimum for any substantial growth data to be	9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
10	accumulated. And if we were going to go less than	10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No.
11	three years, you may as well just go revocation, you	11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. I have to do
12	know. I mean, there's got to be the reason for	12	some math. That passes, five to three.
13	this is so that the school can hopefully improve.	13	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. It is noon.
14	And that's what we want. We want our schools to	14	We have several more items on this in this agenda
15	learn, grow, improve, as we all do with each other.	15	item.
16	So that's why the "no less than three years."	16	We can take a 30-minute break right now,
17	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.	17	or we can try to go through this and then take lunch
18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That something	18	after we finish this item. I'm open to
19	might be considered a best practice doesn't mean we	19	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: When you say "this
20	can't have a better practice. And so I would say	20	item," do you mean No. 4? Or do you mean the entire
21	and this doesn't refer to specifically renewals. It	21	agenda item, Item No. 8?
22	refers to charter terms in general, so it could be a	22	What in here is controversial?
23	new school.	23	THE CHAIR: I mean, honestly, this is
24	And, you know, I even with new schools,	24	where I think I'm kind of considering doing maybe
25	you know, I would even probably support three years	25	a little differently, where we do I do want to be
	143		145
1	in general. Because you may you know, no matter	1	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels
1 2	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something	1 2	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them
	in general. Because you may you know, no matter		able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels
2	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something	2	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them
2 3	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not	2 3 4 5	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you
2 3 4	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative	2 3 4	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have
2 3 4 5	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school.	2 3 4 5	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you
2 3 4 5 6	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the	2 3 4 5 6	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway.
2 3 4 5 6 7	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out of the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out of the process. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out of the process. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I hate to say this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out of the process. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I hate to say this. I actually had one more I wanted to add.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in general. Because you may you know, no matter what may have been presented in putting something together, it may just not work, and/or it may not work with that board and with that administrative team on that school. And I just think that I want the ability I think it's prudent to have the ability to especially with renewal, to be able to do two years. And because it says this is also kind of challenging for me but "with good cause provided," because I would say "with just cause provided," 'cause "good" is just such a nebulous term. But I would not support this motion. There's no way. I think we need to have the ability to do two years. THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing no other hands up, Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	able to ask questions. But, like, if someone feels like something's maybe we don't go through them each, and, instead, if you look through the list, and if there's something that you would like to have on the agenda, you feel like it's a priority, you want it to be on there, then you bring up that one instead of discussing each one separately and maybe it doesn't even move forward anyway. So I don't I'm kind of thinking that's a better way, so we're not spending as much time on something that's not going to move forward. There's no interest in it moving forward on our agenda to be pursued anyway. Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think we should take lunch and do all the items. The reason I say that is because they're listed on the agenda. There might be people from the public interested in that particular item, and I don't want to shut them out of the process. THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I hate to say this.

	146		148
1	right now, then? Because I believe if we have at	1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I mean, I know
2	least four more items on this agenda item, we're	2	that there's a representative here from the school,
3	probably going to be here for a little bit longer	3	and I'm wondering if you have anything you'd like to
4	just on this one alone is what I'm thinking. Is	4	say to us besides hello and you miss us?
5	that okay?	5	MS. KAREN WOERNER: I don't have anything
6	Okay.	6	to say. Thank you, Commissioners and Madam Chair.
7	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. It sounds like	7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You've got to
8	we're going to be a while, so I think we should take	8	THE CHAIR: Yeah. If you I know you're
9	a lunch break.	9	going to definitely be talking on the next one, so
10	THE CHAIR: All right. We'll come back at	10	yeah. Yeah.
11	12:40.	11	MS. KAREN WOERNER: So Commissioner
12	(A recess was taken at 12:03 p.m., and	12	Carrillo, Commissioners, thank you so much for
13	reconvened at 12:41 p.m., as follows:)	13	allowing me to speak. I really don't have much to
14	THE CHAIR: So Item No. 8 is specific to	14	say, other than our founding team and our board
15	the Legislative Agenda to the PEC. We do have the	15	members are very excited, eager to get started and
16	next several items after this involve schools. And	16	looking for your approval today.
17	the schools are either here present waiting on us,	17	I do want to mention that one of our board
18	or they're online waiting for us.	18	members is online, Veronica Sanders, and our School
19	So I would like to we're going to keep	19	Business Official Katie Rarick, who's in your
20	the hold on No. 8, and we will come back to it and	20	packet, is also attending online. Thank you for
21	finish it towards the end of the agenda so that we	21	your support as well. And thank you for moving this
22	can keep discussing that Legislative Agenda, and the	22	up on the agenda so I can drive home and listen to
23	schools can go back to being with their students.	23	the rest of your meeting on my drive home.
24	So that means that we're on the Annual	24	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,
25	Report Process. And Director Chavez will be leading	25	Chair Burt.
	147		149
1		1	
1 2	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and	1 2	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as
	it.		I move that the Explore
2	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and	2	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as
2 3	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process.	2 3	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter
2 3 4	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually	2 3 4	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved
2 3 4 5	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute.	2 3 4 5	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion
2 3 4 5 6	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of	2 3 4 5 6	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school. THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school. THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion or comments from the Commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school. THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion or comments from the Commission? (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school. THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion or comments from the Commission? (No response.) THE CHAIR: Okay. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it. So Item No. 9 is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on the Annual Report Process. Okay. So all right. So we'll actually come back to 9 and 10 in just a minute. So we will go we're going to be going to Item No. 11, which is Discussion and Possible Action on Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Board of Finance Application. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair Burt, Commissioners. And welcome, Explore-Rio Rancho Founder. We received the Board of Finance application for Explore-Rio Rancho, and the packet is in your materials. After Melissa Sanchez reviewed, she concluded it is indeed complete, and so we are recommending that you approve the Board of Finance for that school. THE CHAIR: All right. Any discussion or comments from the Commission? (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I move that the Explore Academy-Rio Rancho's Governing Board be approved as a Board of Finance, and that the Chair send a letter to the school indicating that the Board is approved as a Board of Finance. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.) THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you can call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: All-righty. Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.

150 | vlor. 1 Google Drive. And that should be there by the 25th

1 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
2 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Vice Chair
4 Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Passes, eight to

zero.

THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you.

Item No. 12, hopefully, is easy and painless. Discussion and Possible Action on Contract Negotiation for Explore Academy-Rich

Contract Negotiation for Explore Academy-Rio Rancho.

Ms. Barnes.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So Explore-Rio Rancho has come forward to indicate that they have a potential opportunity related to a facility, but they need a contract in place.

We have, in the past, just for convenience, done the new school contracts in the spring when we do the other ones. But all new schools enter into a contract with the condition that they have to complete the Implementation Year Checklist, and that you have to later indicate that they may commence operations.

So both of those two things are going to be here, whether you negotiate in the spring or you

Google Drive. And that should be there by the 25th.

Again, the school has presented what they need. I just need to double-check it and see if I have any questions or -- not questions on the substance, but getting it prepared as a draft, but they've been super cooperative.

We have set a tentative date of
November 1st. And I believe that would be in
Rio Rancho. And we could have a hybrid option, as
we did before for Commissioners. It needs to be
fewer members on the negotiating team so that there
is not a quorum of the PEC, and it will not be a
public meeting.

And then the thought was to have a short PEC meeting on the 7th -- you're already going to have a working session on the 7th -- so that you may look at the contract at that time. And I believe the preliminary indications is that the Board of Explore-Rio Rancho will have already considered the contract.

So they would be ready by November 7th to move forward.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. ThenCommissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. You know, I'm

negotiate now.

And I think the thinking was funding for facilities is so difficult that it would be great to support the school in this.

So we have a timeline that we've presented to the school. And I will tell you that the school is on track with this timeline. They just got approved as a Board of Finance, and they have provided everything they -- that we need through Rachel Stofocik. She worked with the school to prepare for contract negotiations. I believe that CSD is participating in that as well.

And I know that CSD is also working with them on talking about the scoring of mission -- school-specific goals. So we are looking to -- I will do what I've done previously, which is look at the draft contract. They're using THRIVE as the model, the form contract that THRIVE used, which is the one that indicates that they will work if there's a new contract and Performance Framework, to consider that.

We should have the draft ready. And I was going to do the same thing I did before, which is, as soon as you identify who would be on a subgroup to negotiate, we'll put all of those people on the

glad that we're considering that. And we're going to hopefully do this, because there's always a quandary of cart before the horse, when there's a lease contract but the lessor wants to see the charter school contract. So I'm glad that we can make accommodations for this school, and I would -- I'll be on the subcommittee.

THE CHAIR: Are you -- are you asking for folks who -- if they're available for November 1st, to let you know to be on the subcommittee? Or do you want to just take questions first, and then we'll talk about subcommittee next.

MS. JULIA BARNES: If folks said they wanted to be on the subcommittee, I can be ready to mail that out, following the same process we did last spring.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm fully in support of all of this, with one exception.

We -- the schools last year that we approved, they -- we didn't have any of the Performance Framework work done. So it was -- we will contemplate, but we won't. But because the intent was our work would be completed by the negotiations with the new applicant for this year,

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my preference would be that the school has to go on the new Performance Framework.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's my only -- my only ask, you know. I support doing it earlier and everything. But I -my preference would be for this school, in particular, because I do think they stand a little different than the schools from last year.

But that's -- you know, that's my only ask. And if it's not possible, it's not possible. But that would be my preference.

MS. JULIA BARNES: The other -- let me just add to that, because it triggered in my mind Indicators 1 and 2 in the existing -- well, that would be superseded.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So where -there's -- yeah. They'd have to -- there are changes in 1 and 2; so...

MS. JULIA BARNES: The changes to 1 and 2 is in -- which I think we should say expressly -will be that they will be scored as PED scores the State Accountability --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But, see, there's that Option 3 -- well, you don't know that it's a No. 3. That could change. Well, because we haven't voted on anything, anyway, I hate to -- you know, I

the contract itself, say that instead of they will consider it, they will move to the new Performance Framework when it's done.

4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.

5 MS. JULIA BARNES: So they have a 6 Performance Framework, but an agreement to move to 7 the new one.

8 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. Yeah. 9 MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. Then Director Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I would second what Commissioner Gipson was saving about making sure that the newer Performance Framework was part of the deal. And I would also second what Vice Chair Voigt was saying. I'm glad we're able to do this, because I know that, yeah, that's the hardest thing in the world to get a lease, and they want to know, like, well, you're not showing us anything substantive to show that you had even keep the terms of this lease. So I'm happy to accommodate.

THE CHAIR: Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. It is pushing us a little bit. That is a day that some reports are due to the PEC. So I'm wondering if we

155

hate to have this discussion, because we haven't --Commissioners haven't that had an opportunity to look at it, except for those on the subcommittee.

So it's a conversation that's tough. But I think I can, at the very least, express that there are potential changes -- because we haven't voted on anything -- to 1 and 2. So that's why, you know, last year's was a may contemplate, because we really -- we didn't anticipate being done. This year, we anticipate it, and we will be done (indicates) by when we would usually do contract negotiations.

And I get they want to do it early. I've got no issue with that.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So what I think we would need to do is make the minimum amount of changes to the Performance Framework right now so they have one with an agreement in the contract that they'll move to the new Performance Framework.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. Could you say that again?

MS. JULIA BARNES: To go ahead, make the minimum changes to indicate a 1 and 2, which is really just saying that Indicators 1 and 2 are going to be as -- as set forth by the PED, and then, in

have a time on November 1st.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: I was told to hold 9:00 to noon. But I don't know what that means.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. We'll do 9:00 to noon. MS. KAREN WOERNER: I hope it doesn't take

that long. THE CHAIR: Oh, yeah. Actually, I think

we only do two hours, even when we were doing two hours. So I would say 9:00 to 11:00 would be the hold.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: On November 1st? THE CHAIR: On November 1st.

Okay. All right. Yeah. So if there's any other Commissioners who are interested in being a part of the subcommittee, you know you're available during that time, November 1st, 9:00 to 11:00, in Rio Rancho, let -- and Julia is going to write you down right now.

THE CHAIR: Great. Glenna, Karen -- no. MS. JULIA BARNES: One, two, three -anybody else? I have Commissioner Voigt, Gipson, Carrillo, and Burt. Great.

MS. KAREN WOERNER: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just want to thank you all. I know this is asking for something unusual that you don't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

normally do. But I do appreciate that you took this into consideration. As you both pointed out, Commissioners Gipson and Carrillo, the facility is often a problem with schools. So it's kind of nice to be ahead of the curve with that and to have your support in making sure we can secure that facility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are well aware of the conditions of the contract, including the one you mentioned today about the Performance Framework. So I thank you again. I do think everything has been submitted as needed. Julia, let me know if not. And we will respond promptly. Just want to thank you all.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. So there's no action needed on this item. So that is it. That's all we needed to do for this at this time.

So that'll take us -- are you ready to go back to the Annual Reports now? Is that okay?

All right. So that'll take us to Item No. 9, which is the Presentation and Discussion by CSD on just the Annual Report Process.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. And I would ask that we bring forth the Performance Framework, a copy of the Performance Framework, for somebody to share screen so I can talk about that as well as just provide the information to the PEC.

do was ask for assurances on some and monitor some.

All of that was predicated on the fact that this school year, the '21-'22 school year, would be one where we would do the full set of monitoring visits and look at every indicator.

I know that the academic indicators are a little late, and we're still waiting on some of that data. But every other indicator -- and somebody's going to share screen real soon with our Performance Framework so we can walk through that. And we let schools know when we were doing the modified site visits that the following year would be, again, the full on-site visit looking at each indicator. There would be no waivers.

So. For example, during the year of COVID when we did the -- the modified site visits, we merely asked schools whether they were able to track and report on their Mission-Specific Goals. And when they said because of COVID, they were unable to, that was not a huge deal. We did that with the caveat that this year, we would be -- we would definitely be looking at their Mission-Specific Goals.

I'm going to pause and ask who is sharing screen with the Performance Framework?

159

So I just want to start out talking about a little context, because I think context really, really matters with most things, knowing how we got to the place that we currently are.

So these reports that I'm going to talk about are reports from the '21-'22 school year -right? -- the one that ended in -- at the end of June. And this was the first time that -- since the pandemic hit in 2020 that we were able to do school site visits to all of the schools.

When -- when the schools -- when COVID hit, some school site visits had occurred in the '19-'20 school year, and some had to be canceled. So not all schools received an on-site visit, and the reports show that.

And when you look at the indicator tracking documents back there, that shows how schools performed on the Performance Framework, you'll see that there's some missing information.

The following year, when we were in the thick of COVID, I went before the PEC, and I asked if we could do a modified site visit. We did virtual site visits with all schools. And, again, instead of closely monitoring each of the indicators in the Performance Framework, what we were able to (Inaudible response.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So while that's coming up, I'll talk a little bit about process.

You know, we have 57 schools in the PEC's portfolio. And our process was to visit every single school in person. We had already visited the schools that were up for renewal in the fall. So every other school beyond that got a on-site visit with members of the Public Education Department's Charter Schools Division team, plus contractors that have been supporting our work.

We also conducted extensive desktop monitoring by contacting other folks at the PED. At times, we brought folks from the PED with us to the site visits.

I would have to say that generating the reports has been a little bit challenging because of the academic data, the school mission-specific data coming in, and some of the other information that just has a lag in coming out.

But thank you. Dr. Russell has the Performance Framework up for you-all now. So you know that as it goes through and it talks a little bit about the -- the Charter Schools Act, the Performance Review, and Accountability System

2.

Objectives, none of that has changed.

However, of course, there are indicators that have to change, because the framework is outdated and talks about the A-through-F system, which we no longer have. It also mentions a couple of other things.

Let's keep scrolling.

We produced a site visit protocol that is not in this document. It's a second document, and all schools got it.

Okay. So let's keep going. I actually want to get to the table that shows the framework statements. Notice that the Intervention Ladder is embedded in this system. And these are addendums to the charter schools contract.

Okay. So let's keep going. Keep scrolling, if you will, please, to -- okay. The academic. All right. This should look familiar. Go up a little. Sorry. Maybe I should be screen-sharing.

This was the PED's Accountability System prior. So that's what is also in this. And that's -- you know, it should look familiar to you-all, because that's what we're adapting for this year. So that part of the Academic Performance

the academic program, the educational program description.

So we looked at that, and we asked questions about whether the school was serving the authorized grades, if the school was within the enrollment cap. We were able to assess who was at the school through the site visits. We did classroom observations. We did interviews with staff, students, with the head administrator, governing council.

And we were looking to assess whether the educational program and mission was fully implemented.

In most cases, there were. But there were some places that we visited where the school was not actually implementing what it said it was going to implement, or they were partially implementing, more than likely.

I don't think we went to any place where they absolutely were not implementing any parts of it. But maybe they had a description about, for example -- I'm going to make this up -- that they had an internship program. Or maybe they had a -- a component of the educational program that took kids on field site visits to places within the community.

Framework is not exactly as presented here.

Let's go ahead and scroll down.

Notice that said Indicator 1. And the next one is Indicator 2. And, of course, all schools have the ability to add a school-specific Indicator 3. Not all schools chose to have that. But I understand that the process is different now, so they will, moving forward. But just so you have a sense for what tool we're using, we are absolutely using the PEC's approved Performance Framework.

Let's keep scrolling down and take a look at the indicators, starting with 1.a., as listed below, and the statements; right?

So let's see. Keep going down, because this is, again, the rating for the academic.

And keep going. Okay. Keep going. All right. Here we go. So here's where I want you to focus your attention. So let's look at this.

Is the school implementing the material terms of the charter, as defined in the charter contract?

So this year, our team spent concentrated effort making sure that we went back to the approved charter. And within the contract of each school, it describes not just what the mission is, but what is

I am making this up off the top of my head. But those are examples of things that are written in the contract that we were checking on.

And, you know, people are coming out of COVID, and so there were some cases where the schools were still Working to Meet. I don't believe -- and I will ask you, Deputy, to share if there were some that absolutely did not meet, because we have them all rolled up. But mostly, schools met, or they were Working to Meet.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yes. Yes. There were no schools that were Does Not Meet Standard on -- actually, hang on.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And we're going to verify. But I would say the majority met or were Working to Meet.

Okay. And so while she's bringing that up -- okay. So maybe there was one. So we can anticipate that there was at least one school that did not meet.

Okay. So I'm going to move on to 1.b., Did the school comply with state and contractual assessment requirements?

Okay. So yesterday we talked about the assessments that were given last school year. We

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know that there was ELA, math, science. And every student that's identified as an English Learner must take the WIDA ACCESS Test. So those were the assessments that we were monitoring to see whether or not the schools participated.

We have participation rates by school, with the target being 95 percent. That's the -that is both the State's target and the PEC's target.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we gauged the degree to which they were able to comply with that, and gave the schools either a Meets, Working to Meets or Does Not Meets depending on how low their participation rate was.

All right. Hold on a sec.

Okay. So if you can scroll down to 1.c. 1.c. asks, Is the school protecting the rights of students with special needs?

And so for this, whether or not the school was involved in a due process hearing that resulted in findings of non-compliance, or whether the school was cited for non-compliance with federal or state special ed rules, or whether the school had an OCR complaint that was found to be valid, or if the state was -- or if the school was in a Corrective Action Plan but not in compliance with it was what

time was called Home Language Survey -- by every student that was registered at the school.

That guidance changed many years ago, such that the expectation is that only once in a child's career in public education should they be given what is now called the Language Usage Survey to identify if there is another language spoken at home which would trigger the need to screen the child using the WIDA screener. And if the student scored at a certain level that is defined by WIDA and the State, then they would be identified as English Learners, and they would remain identified as English Learners until they tested out.

Students are allowed to -- I should say parents are allowed to opt out of services. And I think some did, when there's pullout programs in particular. Some parents have said, "No, I don't want my child to be pulled out," and the school has to describe what their program is. But they aren't allowed to opt out of the testing. That is a federal civil rights requirement.

Were you going to say something, Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just a real quick question, because I know there's been challenges at

167

169

we were really looking for.

And so, again, that was our main focus was to ensure that the schools are providing the special ed services needed by students who have an IEP.

For that, we worked closely with the Special Ed Bureau. And I believe that we had some participation on some of the site visits with special ed staff members, especially in the case of a school that might have been on a Corrective Action Plan.

And, Deputy Director Bridget Russell, please feel free to chime in at any time if there are things that would help us to describe how we went about this.

Okay. We're going to move on to 1.d., which is, Is the school protecting the rights of **English Learners?**

And so we all know that there's been challenges in identifying English Learners and that over the past several years, the PED has changed its guidance to charter schools on how to do that. At one point in my involvement with charter schools -and Commissioner Gipson might remember this as well -- the guidance to charter schools was to collect the Language Usage Survey -- which at the

times of schools getting that test information when a child is coming in. And, you know, what do they do about that? Because we've asked, but we haven't received the information.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you for raising that.

So this -- this falls all into the category of identifying English Learners, right? So it is incumbent upon the school that is serving a grade beyond kindergarten, if the kid is transferring from another school, to find out the student's identification. And that could be done by requesting records from the school, requesting information from the families. It can also be done through a search within the S.T.A.R.S. database. And my team has learned how to do this.

And so part of the annual visits are about monitoring. But part of the annual visits are about providing technical assistance.

So the Charter 2 percent that pays for all of my staff to go into the schools is also paying for my staff to go into the schools and assist the schools in learning how to do some of these things so that they are aware of their responsibilities, and they know how to identify their students who are 170 | 172

potentially EL.

And I, for one, spent some time at a school who we visited who had some poor ratings in regard to this indicator. And I was so happy to see that they had since named someone as their EL coordinator who learned how to go into S.T.A.R.S. And the school is doing a much better job of identifying their ELs.

But identifying is one part of protecting the rights of English Learners. They also need to support the learners. They also need to send the letters home to parents, and they need to be providing services to grow their English language skills.

The whole test is about are students doing well with their listening, reading, writing, and speaking skills? That's what the WIDA ACCESS Test assesses every year. And it's up to the schools to be tracking did the students meet that threshold, which, by the way, is very tough. And we're not looking to see how well they're transitioning kids out of EL, but are they serving them by identifying and providing them with support.

So that -- that discrepancy issue was a little bit less of -- of a focus for us. We were

applications.

So we have -- we have started working more closely with our colleagues in our divisions across PED to make sure that -- that things don't slip through the cracks.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. And then -- and then the issue of if there was an issue, would they be able to correct it within the school year. That was sort of like the gauge that we used.

Okay. Moving on to 1.f. Does the school comply with -- with the EPSS NMDASH process? And does the school implement that?

Okay. So right now, the schools that are identified in accordance with the PEC's Performance Framework is that it's only the schools that have the identification of TSI, CSI, or MRI schools.

This is utilizing very old data, the 2018 data. But we did ask schools that had that identification whether or not they had the DASH. And we were able to monitor whether they did or not.

If they had that designation, and they submitted a DASH Plan that was great, they met standard. If they had a plan in progress, then they were Working to Meet Standards. If they had that identification and no DASH Plan was submitted or

171 | 173

really making sure that they knew the process for identifying and that they were providing English Learner development instruction to ELs.

Okay. So moving on to Indicator 1.e. Does the school comply with federal and state grant program requirements?

Okay. This was done mostly through desktop monitoring, where we would contract -- we contacted all the other bureaus within PED to find out if there were issues with the schools implementing program requirements. And so there were times when anytime that a charter actually is not in compliance with something that's going on at the PED, we get notified.

So that's how we were monitoring this one. But we also reached out specifically to ask -- do you want to add more to that, Dr. Russell?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Just that the CSD has been working much more closely with other bureaus and divisions across the PED on this. Historically, looking at ratings in past years, schools were -- most schools were rated Meets Standard on this indicator, when, anecdotally, I know that they weren't submitting reports. They were late with -- or, you know, submitting program

there was not one in progress, then they Did Not Meet Standard.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So just to add regarding Indicator 1.f., the data that this -- that those designations were based on is very old. It's 2017-'18 data. The designations are being redone. And so there were instances where schools that are -- were Spotlight Schools in '18-'19, had been designated the year before. So, yes, going forward, this indicator will be more meaningful when it's based on newer -- newer data that Accountability calculates this year.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. I'm going to ask to move down to Indicator 3.a. We'll skip the financial for the moment. We'll skip to 3.a., Governance in Reporting. This asks the big question: Is the school complying with governance requirements?

There's governance requirements that talk about maintaining a certain number of members, and for them to notify you, through us, of any changes of governing council members. And you know this, because, every meeting, in the Consent Agenda, there are several schools whose change in governing board membership is presented to you and approved.

We also have training requirements. You all were exposed to one of the training sessions that Ms. -- that Ms. -- yes -- Missy did yesterday. And so we do an extensive and very detailed job of tracking all of the board member trainings by course and by status.

And what I mean by that is that if you are a new governing board member, you have to take the -- the introductory board training. And if you're a continuing member, there's a different set of courses. So it's a pretty complex set of requirements that are differentiated for each board member.

And, actually, later on today, I'm going to walk you through a document that's in your materials, or if you want to go ahead and open it up right away, to take a look at the work Missy and Dylan and Lucy have done to track every single governing board member across the state, not just State-authorized, but, in particular, you have the report on State-authorized charter schools and each board member and the degree to which they were able to meet the training requirements.

So the green bullet point there talks about whether or not the school's governing council

So those are many and many varied indicators, subindicators within this indicator, that went into looking at whether or not the school met, is Working to Meet or Does Not Meet Standards. And I'm going to pause a minute and see if Missy Brown has anything more to add to this indicator, because I know she and Dylan looked very closely at this.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Thank you, Director Chavez. The only thing that I would add is that Dylan and I wish this was more than one indicator. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. All right.

So let's move on to 3.b. The next one asks, Is the school complying with nepotism and conflict of interest requirements?

And so, basically, this one -- so many schools met standard, because we're looking for any demonstrated incidents of a conflict of interest or substantiated complaints. So that was pretty simple and pretty targeted, unlike the one right above it.

Okay. 3.c. asks, Is the school meeting reporting requirements?

And this contemplates whether the school is complying with reporting deadlines from the PED or PEC and all of the polices that we have for

oversees the school's finances according to law, right?

This means that they can't have a foundation or a CMO that is managing their money. We need to know that the entity that is considered the Board of Finance is the one that is actually managing the finances. And so that means that they must attend meetings, such as the audit exit, and that they must have policies and procedures and minutes that document all of their meetings. And we should see some financial reports being printed to the governing council on a monthly basis.

There's great variation in the degree to which those reports are made to board members. Some are pretty simplistic. And there's other governing boards who receive pretty detailed reports by their business manager upon request of the board members to provide more information.

So we're just checking to make sure that there is a look at the financial condition of the school as part of the governing.

We are also at whether or not the school has maintained its Board of Finance and whether or not there has been any Open Meetings Act complaints made about the school.

notification and other state agencies.

Again, was this a concern or not? And here, if there was maybe one concern, they still might meet. If there was two or more, or even more than that, then they would go down, depending on how many, to Working to Meet or Does Not Meet.

Okay. Let's go on to 4.a. And so this is asking, Is the school protecting the rights of all students?

And so, again, you know, we get complaints all the time. Sometimes it's just a matter of have you talked to the school head administrator. Have you taken it, then, to the governing board if you're not happy with the response?

Or maybe it's something a little bit more detailed that we would need to find out about, because what we're looking for is whether there is validity in terms of either discipline, privacy, secular education, or, this year, a real focus on lottery policy and whether it was following the guidance and the need to demonstrate that there are no admissions requirements. Once a student gets in through lottery, then the school could ask additional information.

But we provided a lot of guidance to the

schools in regards to that year before. And this year, we were following up to see how well did they follow the guidance, and is their lottery process actually protecting the rights of all students or potentially not.

2.

We also looked at whether the school was offering McKinney-Vento services and working with students who are homeless in order to support them.

And we were checking to see if there was a board-approved complaint policy in place. We would let schools know if there were any concerns and gave them an opportunity to make corrections.

Under 4.b., Does the school meet the attendance retention and recurrent enrollment goals to students?

This was mostly by running reports through the S.T.A.R.S. system. And so if, in fact, the attendance was 95 percent, retention was 80 percent at the end of the school year, and there was 70 percent or better re-enrollment between school years, the school met. If they did not meet those targets, then they were -- and they had a plan in place to engage students, they were Working to Meet.

If they didn't meet the target and there was no plan to make those targets, then that is

transitioned, and last year at this time, the PED was rolling out the Elevate and Canvas. And several of the charter schools didn't really want to be using Canvas. And so our stance is this aligns pretty closely with the nondiscretionary waivers contemplated in the Charter Schools Act, where evaluation standards for school personnel is autonomy granted to charter schools.

So we were simply making sure that, by protecting employee rights, it meant that the school was providing feedback, through whatever system they had, whatever system they wanted to use, to teachers, not that it was within TEACH or Elevate in Canvas.

We were also, because the teacher compensation requirements that came out of the Legislature for minimum teacher compensation was another area that we spot-checked to make sure that the schools were either meeting or exceeding the minimum requirements and that there were no verified complaints regarding teacher rights.

So that was 4.d.

Let's go on to 4.e., which, Is the school completing required background checks and reporting ethical violations?

where they would not meet standard.

Do you want to add anything to that? You don't have to. Okay.

On to 4.c. Is the school meeting the teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?

And so this is where we were running licensure discrepancy reports, again through S.T.A.R.S., and working with Licensure to figure out if there were discrepancies in the school year and if the school was working to resolve them.

Many times there were. At the beginning of last school year, there was a little bit of a backlog in terms of processing licenses. And so we obviously gave that -- took that into consideration, and we wanted to make sure that the licensure discrepancies were resolved. So that's how we gauged 4.c.

4.d. Is the school respecting employee rights?

And what we were looking for, although our -- if you could scroll up a little bit -- it talks about, Did the school complete and submit NMTEACH evaluations and observations? So NMTEACH doesn't exist. So we're already adapting this.

And we also -- we know that NMTEACH

So when we visited the schools, we would ask about background checks. And we checked the files of some staff. I would not say that we went through every single staff file at every single school that we visited. Sometimes we would differentiate based on had there been any issues in the past. Or we would say, "Let's check the files of the new employees to make sure that those background checks were -- checks were in there."

And when they weren't, we worked with the schools to find out where, why. Many times the school said, "Oh, maybe they're kept in another file." They weren't in the staff files. Whatever it was, we worked with the schools to resolve, or to identify, that there was non-compliance.

On to 5. 5.a. is about the facilities. Is the school complying with facilities requirements?

And we actually contacted PSFA to ask the question. And we also looked at E-Occupancy Certificates when we were in the schools. Again, we were looking at notifications when there was change in facilities, and we were looking at any potential complaints. So that was 5.a.

And then 5.b. is, Is the school complying

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with transportation requirements?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And because many schools don't provide transportation, you'll see that, in their reports, there's a lot of Not Applicable and there's a lot of Meeting Standards, because most of the schools that do are on contract with known providers who -- who know how to facilitate compliance on this one.

If we can scroll down to 5.c., Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?

So, again, there is many sub-indicators within this one.

The first one looks at whether the school is complying with the required emergency drills. And because the previous year, the PED waived all of the emergency drills that were in statute because, remember, schools were -- schools were virtual for most of the year. And so when they came back, in order to be in compliance with that, that meant that they were going to have to do drills every week.

So the previous year, they got waived from some of that. But in '21-'22, they were not waived from the requirements. They were expected to do all of the drills.

Some of the schools forgot. They thought

that one was a pretty easy one to -- to look at.

I'm going to ask you to go back over to the financials. And, unfortunately, Melissa Sanchez, who took lead in this area, is not able to join us today.

So Dr. Russell and I will walk through indicators 2.a. through f. and make sure that we're clear about how those were rated.

All right. So the first one: Is the school meeting financials reporting and compliance requirements?

And you know that schools are required to report at least quarterly to the School Budget Bureau, right? Some schools might be on monthly. So we were wondering and checking to make sure that schools were submitting all the reports on time. And if they had a need to create a Corrective Action Plan based on the findings in the financial audit, did they submit it on time?

Do you have anything to add on that? DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: No. That's sufficient for that one.

No. 2.b. and 2.c. were primarily about audit findings.

2.b. is that the schools met the

183

that because they were waived the previous year, that they didn't have to go back to the extensive drill requirements. But we were checking.

We were also making sure that the schools had submitted their Wellness and their Safety plans, and that's one small sub-bullet under here. But if you've ever talked to a school about having their Safe Schools Plan approved, it is quite a feat. Charter schools have to submit the Safe Schools Plan every three years, and they're very thoroughly vetted in order to be approved.

So we were making sure that that was good.

We also looked at the immunization process that the school had in place in making sure that they were following all of those requirements.

So that was sort of related to this. Because it says, "Health and Safety," we were looking at whether the schools implemented COVID-safe practices and were following that guidance when it was in place.

Under 5.d., Is the school handling information appropriately?

This was, again, just, are there verified complaints about FERPA, HIPAA, or other staff, parent, or student information mishandlings? So requirement if they received no material weakness or significant deficiency findings, and 2.c., if they received no repeat audit findings.

2.d. is managing grant funds responsibly, that the schools were submitting RFRs on a regular basis and not leaving grant funds unexpended -reverting funds unexpended at the end of the fiscal year.

And 2.e was, Was the school properly staffed to ensure fiscal management? Was there a certified Procurement Officer and a Licensed Business Manager at all times, and was the governing council monitoring finances with an audit committee and finance subcommittee.

So I know that that is a rather exhaustive list, and I've got a taste of the varied and many indicators that schools need to think about and that my staff is going into schools to monitor.

And, again, our stance on annual visits is that they're both technical assistance and compliance. We work with very, very hardworking and busy head administrators and their teams to make sure that they're aware of all of the requirements, requirements that change on a year-to-year basis, and during the pandemic, but this year, back full

and in swing. And I've got to say that the school leaders were not quick to complain about that, but quick to problem-solve and really do their absolute best. And so what great privilege we have to work with them.

I see the Annual Report Process as an opportunity to provide feedback so that the schools continue to grow and thrive. Each of those indicators in your Performance Framework were placed there because you felt that that was important. And so we really have been doing our best to keep track of all the information, to work with the schools, to do some back and forth.

Even today, there's some e-mails that have happened during this meeting with the head administrator who was looking at one of the indicators and, you know, questioning.

So there is also a lot of that back-and-forth, the need to verify. If the school leader can show us evidence -- because this is an evidence-driven process, if they can show us evidence that they, in fact, had something in place or that they had done something that we didn't see evidence of during the visit, then we're happy to talk with them.

And I know that you've got so many things you're juggling, between renewal applications,

schools were standing.

value for schools.

getting these ready, that it's -- it's just this whirlwind of processes that are always going on, and then trying to deal with the day-to-day concerns

that come up from schools.
 So I just want to say thank you for -- for
 getting this done. And we're working much harder at
 getting it in a timely fashion so that it does have

THE CHAIR: All right. And then as we go into school specifics -- actually, so, yeah, if we go on to Item No. 10, I do want to make sure the Commission knows. You'll see in the PEC memo from Ms. Barnes there's two parts to accepting each of the preliminary reports for today.

And so the next part of the process is that -- so you all know, we had already discussed as a Commission the process for renewal this year. So an e-mail did go out over a month ago to the schools that kind of outlined the process that we had developed.

And so the only thing left to do is that second part of the motion that you'll see, which

There's some things that, you know, time can't change. If you didn't submit an amendment in time, you didn't submit it in time. If you submit it after the time, that's wonderful, you're up to date. But, still, you missed a deadline.

And so holding charters to the high expectations, but also working with them so that they understand is what this business is all about.

And I'll stand for any questions. Or maybe we are ready to move on.

THE CHAIR: Any questions about the process? So we're not talking about any school specifically, but about the process in general.

Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thanks, Director Chavez. I think you covered every base on that, and it was very, very thorough and informative. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll recognize myself. I just want to thank you. Way back, so many years ago when I first started, they were almost three years behind in doing the -- so it had no value at all for schools. And it also made our job difficult, because it was very difficult to offer any support, because we had no idea where

will be that that based off of yesterday's discussion, that in Academic Indicators 1 and 2 are going to be more formulized and communicated to the renewing schools, so that a final report gets created once those become available.

So do know that that's part of the process, too, that, you know, I'm -- I'm actually very grateful we decided to only do preliminary reports for these schools. And they need them. But there is still more information to come as well.

All right. So Item No. 10. And start with A.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm actually starting with b., because that's what's up right now. I've got the Altura Preparatory report. I'm going to walk through this one more slowly so the Commissioners can see the different parts of the report.

And then Director Chavez and I will tag-team the rest of them and sort of skip over the boilerplate portions of the reports that are the same in all schools.

These reports are a little bit longer than the ones Public Education Commission has seen for annual reports before, because there's more

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

background information in them.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's -- it's -- these are called "Preliminary Report," and it's footnoted explaining why it's preliminary.

The beginning section is just the standard school information. The mission is included in full. And then there is a short narrative section for each of the schools that the Commissioners have had access to and can read.

So the narrative is generally, you know, a couple of paragraphs about the school, the background, the, you know, unique aspects of the school.

And then there's a demographic section. So this is the '21-'22 end-of-year enrollment that shows percentages of students by ethnicity in the school, the district, and the state.

It's followed by a table showing subgroup percentages in the school district and state. Obviously, our virtual school, New Mexico Connections, is only going to have the school and the state, because they only serve students statewide. Right now, I only have Altura up.

So this is boilerplate. This Academic Performance section just explains that we don't have

So Altura Preparatory School's -- so this 2 is -- it shows just one year. The final report will 3 show all years of the contract term. But the -- the 4 Accountability System portion is -- is left blank, because we don't have it yet. The school does not have Mission-Specific Goals. And the school, as you can see, Meets Standard on all but two of its indicators.

> I'm going to make it smaller. Hang on. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So these reports also described why a school might not meet. And so if you go below the chart --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Right. So there's an explanation of indicator ratings. Explanations are given only for -- oh. Actually, I need to change -- sorry. I need to amend this one.

2.a., we changed the rating. And so there should only be explanations for 2.b. and 2.f. there.

So each report has an explanation of indicator ratings. Usually, it's just a short, to-the-point explanation. Occasionally, if a school doesn't meet -- is Working To Meet Standard on their education program, Indicator 1.a., that will require a slightly longer explanation.

And for schools that don't meet the

191 193

the data yet, when, you know, the Accountability ratings will be provided.

Then the section on the Organizational Performance Framework, there's an overall rating of the school and an explanatory section here that explains that each indicator on the Performance Framework is rated Meets Standard, Working to Meet Standard, or Does Not Meet Standard. But the overall school rating is either Meets Standard or Does Not Meet Standard.

And as it's explained in the report, if a school receives a Does Not Meet standard for three or four indicators -- so when we show the colored chart, if there's three red, the school Does Not Meet Standard overall.

I am happy to report that all six of our renewal schools Meet Standard.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I just wanted to add that we didn't make this up. That's what's in the Performance Framework, okay?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yeah. Sorry. And it's noted in the -- pursuant to the Performance Framework, and it's hyperlinked in here, yes. No, this language is taken straight from Professional Development from the Performance Framework.

standard, Altura Prep met the standard. Not a lot of schools did meet the standard for 1.b., Administering Assessment Requirements, because a number of schools met it for -- there's four -there's ELA, Math, Science, and ACCESS.

And some of the schools met it for some and not the other. And I actually put a one-pager together with the assessment ratings. So you can see State charters, the six schools with contract terms ending in 2023, their ELA, Math, Science -- if they have science, because if -- Albuquerque Collegiate doesn't have a fifth grade last year -and ACCESS.

So there were a number of schools that just barely missed it, because they missed on one assessment. So you can see Albuquerque Collegiate was above 95 percent. That was the -- that was standard for ELA in Math. And then they missed it on ACCESS. Just a note. When we get to Horizon's report, Horizon's DTC believes that they did make the 95 percent. And I'm checking with Accountability to make sure that the data align, because, as you can see, Horizon Academy is 99 percent on all of the other -- all of the other assessments.

And so some of the schools, like Altura, met it across the board.

Hózhó Academy met it across the board.

New Mexico Connections is a virtual school, and they -- all virtual schools historically have difficulty with the assessment requirement.

Just a note. New Mexico Connections Academy's DTC tells us that, according to her calculations, they've got a 55 percent on ACCESS rather than 41 percent. So we're checking into that one as well.

Okay.

2.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So do you want to take questions on Altura's right now?

Okay. So if there's any questions on the Altura Preparatory School Annual Report, that's what we're doing. And then -- okay, yeah. Okay.

Before we make a motion, Ms. Barnes wants to just make a comment about the motion itself.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Just want to clarify, in addition to what Chair Burt just said where we are in the process, which is for these six schools, you're going to do a preliminary approval.

And so when there's a final report, you will indicate whether you think that they're on

Chair Burt. That was a question, yes. And as we know, the school originally proposed to be located in the International District of Albuquerque, and I believe had one year there before moving location. Now they're located in the northeast part of the city, pretty close to La Cueva.

So they did lose some of the original population as a result of that; although, they are running one bus to bring kids to the school. And so, yeah, their demographics are pretty different.

And we did ask the schools quite a bit about this. And they talked about their mission serving all students. If you look at their mission, it says that the school would prepare students from all backgrounds. And so they've kept track of the ZIP Codes from which the school -- the students come from.

And there are several ZIP Codes that the students come to the school from. And it's a little hard for me to distinguish between this annual report -- and I didn't do this site visit -- with the renewal site visit, which I did go to the school. So I don't know if you want me to share information from the renewal site visit, because that's more fresh.

track for renewal or not.

You don't have a final report yet. So what I've done in each motion is just have you accept the findings that -- like Dr. Russell just went over, that you're accepting those so that the school knows that -- where they stand in terms of the renewal. So that's what the first part of it is.

Second part of it clarifies that an e-mail is going to go out. And, in addition, we're going to use -- we're using the scoring from the way the PED is scoring these assessments now, because the Performance Framework specifically indicates an A-through-F, which no longer exists.

THE CHAIR: Before I call on you, I do have one question about -- I don't know if -- for Altura, I did notice that their demographics vary pretty significantly from the State average. And I'm wondering if you were able to talk to the school leaders about any outreach they're doing, or if they're trying to recruit statements that would help them to more align to kind of what the state -- or at least the district -- looked like, because it does seem to vary significantly.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you,

So because I wasn't on that visit, I would say that -- I was on the renewal visit, but not on the annual visit. But we did note that, that -- and we asked the school what they were doing. So I don't know if that answers your question. But, yeah, they are --

THE CHAIR: Other than a bus, is there any -- did they express any -- did they express anything that they are doing differently? Or is that the main, like, way in which they're trying to get students to their school is through that one bus?

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Well, through the bus, that's how they're trying to keep --

THE CHAIR: Is there a chance that there is someone from the school here?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: There's a new school in the International District also.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Missy, are either of the head administrators in the --

THE CHAIR: (Inaudible.) (Speaking off mic.)

I was just wondering if there was something -- if there's no answer to it, I don't know who could answer it.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: They spoke about outreach and actively wanting to broaden -- you know, broaden their demographic groups that they're under-serving now.

I can't really speak to what they're concrete strategies are. They are aware of it, and it's something that they want to work toward.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: This came up during the renewal site visit, and I can provide an update. I don't know if that's appropriate for this conversation now.

THE CHAIR: I don't think so. I was talking about this particular graphic here; so -- I don't know which one of you raised your hand first.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I have some information.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt. Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Just to address Chair Burt's question, there is a new APS-authorized charter school in the heart of the International District. That might be hindering Altura's demographic diversity.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So there's another choice for the students that had previously

accepting of the preliminary reports, and it is what it is.

And if the school has an issue with it, they rightfully address it to the Charter Schools Division, and, the fix comes, and we get to see the fix.

And in November, when we're looking at the renewal applications and with these annual reports, if there's anything that we're concerned about, that's what goes into that letter to the school that we have a concern about it.

But I'm concerned about digging into particulars about a school because I feel like we're asking the school to justify the annual report, which isn't what's supposed to -- isn't the part of the process. We're not part of that annual report process, except accepting them.

THE CHAIR: I'm going to disagree. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's fine.

THE CHAIR: In the past, that's all the PEC has done is accept. I no longer want to be just accepting. I think, in the future and for annual reports moving forward, my intention is that we're -- we're being proactive moving forward, that we're not waiting until renewals to discuss issues

attended Altura, rather than ride the bus across town to go to Altura.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: That's right, yeah. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: That is a potential. I would say that their demographics did shift over time when they moved. And the -- they are -- they are reaching many families through word of mouth, and they are advertising the school.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I think -- my biggest hope is that schools are just being intentional. I bring it up with many schools when I see something similar; so, yeah, the intention is part of it. But, like, knowing that there's a strategy that is intentional, like, not just saying you want to do something, but actually doing something, is all that I'm wondering. If -- so I think that's been answered.

Commissioner Gipson?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I have a concern about the conversation, because, you know, the annual reports are what CSD does. And they provide to us -- to me, if we start questioning the bits and pieces of this, we're -- that's what we're going to do in November with our concerns for renewal, and because the schools aren't here, that this is an

that could come up at renewal.

So this annual report, I -- if there's a question on it, we should be able to take action based off of issues in -- on -- in the school annually. We cannot wait five years later and then say, "Oh. Three years ago, four years ago, we saw you had an issue here, and we just accepted the issue."

I mean, that's -- it's no longer the process that we are using.

We are going to be more proactive on annual reports, and we are not going to wait until renewal to have the first contact with schools saying that we believe this may be an issue.

I do not -- I am not proposing that we contact the school and say this is an issue. I'm -- that is not what I proposed in this. I do not want words put in my mouth that that's what I'm trying to do and pick apart anything.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But you're putting words in my mouth.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. Excuse me. I am -- what -- the purpose of an annual report is for the Commission to look over it, review it, and if there is a concern that is serious enough, to start

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the process of CSD to collaborate with the school on improving it before renewals. That is all I am trying to do by asking a question.

And I will -- I will be asking questions about annual reports moving forward. And I have asked for the schools to be invited, when we review their annual reports, that they know about them, that they can be here to respond to them if they choose to attend and they choose to respond, while we're here talking about them. That was the instruction that was provided.

Understandably, if that's different from previous years, I understand that. But I'm not going to wait until year five to do that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Wait a minute.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You put words in my mouth.

THE CHAIR: Excuse me, Commissioner Gipson, it's inappropriate. Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So first question is, is somebody from Altura here?

THE CHAIR: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Fine. Just 25 curious about that.

And having it also in -- you know, I didn't know much about Altura. And really reading about the mission and everything else -- I had to ask Commissioner Gipson, "Where is the International District?" Because to me, that's just -- my thought is, "That's where all the great restaurants might be." So she had to kind of tell me, "No, that's not" -- oh, my heavens. I didn't know -- well, you know, because I don't go down La Bajada unless there's high school volleyball or football.

Anyway, so I appreciate this as being a step in what we're doing.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So there was a complete misrepresentation of what I said. Because I never said that we wait five years.

We have always looked at the annual reports. We have always expressed concerns about the annual reports. And schools have been put on Corrective Action Plans based on the annual reports.

So I'm disappointed that it's being couched that we've never looked at, and we've never done anything, and we've waited for five years to go back.

It is true that part of the renewal

203

If they're on the agenda, they had the opportunity to be. So as I understand it, because we discussed this before, Chair Burt -- I mean, like, two years ago -- the idea of having this preliminary step to say what's happening?

So as I look at Altura, I see just a field of green with two itty-bitty red flags.

And what this kind of really indicates to me is that these are areas that when a -- I would imagine they're going to see this and say, "Huh."

I mean Accounting Principles is broad enough. But it could also be something super-duper egregious, you know. Let's face it. I haven't been on the Commission long enough to be around where a school has been non-renewed or revoked because of really irregular finances.

There's one I can think of that perhaps should be. But, I mean, at least this gives somebody -- I'm sorry. I always -- I love it when you're cross with me, Chair Burt and Vice Chair Voigt -- but at least this gives the school the chance to say, "Okay, we're probably going to have to answer for this, so let's be prepared in December," or whenever it's time for the renewal, right? So I appreciate having this.

process, we get the five-year -- we get the annual reports again, and we get to look at them. But we have always looked at them, and we have -- if a Commissioner had a concern, those concerns have been raised. But those schools have been there so that we could raise the concern.

But I'm really disappointed that there's this notion that we, in the past, have waited five years before we've looked at and considered an annual report. And that was never anything that I said.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. And then Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. And I understand. The Commission can change things. But these are preliminary reports.

My understanding, and this is what we discussed in the last couple of meetings, we get a preliminary report. We review it with CSD. We give CSD back our comments, which will then be shared if there's issues. And then when the final report is given to us in November, we include any concerns at that time. And we're giving the schools a month to respond prior to the renewal date.

We're a month ahead of that. It's -- you

know, we're kind of jumping the gun when we're saying, "Well, why isn't the school here?" You know, "They're on the agenda. They're not here."

This is just a preliminary -- it's a CSD report. They even mentioned that on some of these, the school thinks, "Well, this number is a little bit different." They're verifying that.

All we're going to do is either accept or not accept the reports presented. We're not -- we should not be taking any action to address a concern with the school, other than asking CSD to go back and reaffirm or collect additional data to be included in the -- the final report, which we'll receive in November.

Okay. Thank you.

2.

THE CHAIR: What I'll say about this is I don't think these reports get changed, ever. I don't think a single thing we say should change these reports at all, ever. Nothing gets changed.

What I do believe is after final reports come out, if there are serious concerns based out of an annual report, regardless of renewal year or not, that could trigger an action of a Letter of Concern or, "Hey, CSD wants you to look into this further and come back to us also on it."

forward, too, because we do not want any kind of option for them to not be CSD's report to us.

Director Chavez?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. I think maybe to hopefully wrap up the conversation, I will just let you know that that was noted last year and followed up this year at the renewal site visit, okay? How's that?

THE CHAIR: Yeah, I appreciate that. The reason I asked that question is because I saw their mission. When I read this annual report, I saw their mission. I saw the demographics. This seemed like a discrepancy that I wanted to ask a question about.

And I think that that's an appropriate thing for me to do. So Commissioner Voigt is ready. She's going to make a motion.

18 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Ooh, not yet.
19 THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Carrillo.
20 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So the
21 impression -- I guess we're taking motions on each
22 of these schools individually. Fine.

THE CHAIR: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So my question is, because I didn't want a motion made already, is is

.07

That's what I believe the annual reports action would be. Of course, we accept them exactly as they are. I don't think there's any argument about them at all.

CSD, you all do all the work of going back and forth and back and forth, and I know you do that a lot. Yeah, I would not make any change to any report that we receive.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. I'm not saying we should make a change. All we're supposed to do right now is review these. These are preliminary. So things may change from this report to the next report as the school reports and CSD verifies information.

This is the preliminary report.

THE CHAIR: Right.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: We shouldn't be taking any action or asking the schools for clarification. It would be CSD doing that.

THE CHAIR: Right. And, in addition, this is the only set of schools we're looking at preliminary reports for this exact reason as well. There is no other school we will look at until it's final, except for these renewal schools.

So that's also why we carried that

what's "Baila Baila"?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It's a dance class.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Okay. Is that what it is? Hope everyone saw that. Okay. Great. Is that what Baila Baila is? See? Now I know about the International Zone, and I know about Baila Baila. No, I don't. I didn't till now. I appreciate having these preliminary reports definitely.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Voigt?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Annual Report for School Year 2021 through 2022 for Altura Preparatory School, with the preliminary rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring, as assigned by the Public Education Department, based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Successes and Achievement.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'll second. THE CHAIR: Motion and a second. Any other discussion?

(No response.)

210 1 THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, 1 So when we look at the demographics of 2 if you could call roll. 2 this school, you'll see that it is a higher 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. 3 percentage of Hispanic students than any of the 4 4 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. other subgroups, which is in alignment with the 5 5 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo neighborhood in which it is located. 6 6 votes yes. When we look at the other subgroup 7 7 Chair Burt. information, you'll see that there is a higher, 8 8 THE CHAIR: Yes. also, economically disadvantaged population, lower 9 9 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner English Learner population, and lower students with 10 10 Carrillo. disabilities in comparison to both the state and 11 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 11 the -- and the district. 12 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. So going over to the Organizational 13 13 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. Performance Framework, the preliminary overall 14 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. rating is also Meets Standards. And we can just go 15 15 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. to the table of yellow, red, and green and see that 16 16 the school was meeting standards with everything, COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 17 17 Robbins. except for Indicator 2.b., and that was due to a 18 material weakness in their audit finding, and, also, 18 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 19 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner with attendance and retention, 4.b., where the 20 20 school is Working to Meet Standard. And that is Taylor. 21 21 because the school's attendance rate was 85 percent; COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 22 22 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight whereas, the target is 95. 23 23 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. to zero. 24 24 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So in reading THE CHAIR: Dr. Russell, Director Chavez, 25 25 this, I mean, the first -- in terms of the -- again, next school. 211 213 1 1 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: The next school it's like a field of green with a few minor things. 2 2 will be Albuquerque Collegiate Charter School. This I would just be probably asking at the time of 3 3 school is located on Bridge in Albuquerque. That renewal what are they -- what concrete steps are 4 4 would be, if you know where the Hispanic Cultural they taking. 5 5 Center is and you keep driving west, before you hit THE CHAIR: This isn't about renewal. 6 Coors, it's tucked into, like, a little strip mall. 6 This is just about the Annual Report. 7 7 And it is -- there's not that many charter COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Where I see yellow 8 8 schools around that neighborhood, which is or I see red, I see questions coming up later for 9 considered either South Valley or near South Valley 9 somebody to be prepared to answer. Put it that way. 10 10 I'm curious about something. So the last in Albuquerque. 11 11 school was a K-5. This school is a K-5. These are So this school serves grades K through 5. 12 12 They're authorized to serve 360 students. Their really -- it seems like both of these schools were 13 13 current enrollment for last school year was 145. very -- very academic, college-bound schools. Is 14 14 there, like, a natural charter feeder that these Their mission is that within a structured 15 and ambitious school community driven by 15 schools look at to next, or these parents look at 16 high-quality instruction and intensive academic 16 next? What happens down there in the hinterlands 17 17 supports, Albuquerque Collegiate Charter School below La Bajada in terms of mid and high school? 18 educates all students for college graduation and 18 Curious about that. 19 19 life successes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I was going to say, 20 20 there are a lot of secondary APS charters in the So they have an Extended School Day. They 21 21 South Valley, APS, district-authorized charter were still phasing in students when we visited them 22 22 schools in the South Valley. last year. They have a good low student-to-teacher 23 23 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That are ratio. And they have the college pennants up in the 24 24 hallways, and, again, they really strive to specifically, like, also college-preparatory? 25 25 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. emphasize academic achievement at the school.

	214		216
1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because it seems	1	contract. But they promised us they were going to
2	like that it's kind of cool that at these ages,	2	keep two teachers in every classroom. I don't know
3	they're getting kids on this academic track to love	3	if that well, that's what they promised.
4	learning and love what's next in terms of education.	4	So do they do do they do two teachers
5	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Regarding what's	5	in every classroom? Because that's what they
6	next, I actually had that conversation with	6	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: K through 2.
7	Ms. Rivera. And since this is the first year, the	7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Only K through 2?
8	current school year, '22-'23, that they've had K	8	Thanks.
9	through 5 so this year she said she is actually	9	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I would like to move
10	looking at that.	10	that the Public Education Commission accept the
11	She is looking to have conversations with,	11	Preliminary Annual Report for school year 2021 to
12	you know, the middle schools, with parents about,	12	2022 for Albuquerque Collegiate Charter School, with
13	you know, which what what's the next step	13	a preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for
14	that's going to best suit their children.	14	the Organizational Performance Framework, and the
15	So it's definitely on her radar, and she's	15	Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1
16	looking at it, and she has a plan to track where the	16	and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned
17	students go and to try to keep in touch and see what	17	by the Public Education Department, based on the
18	happens with them. Because this is a	18	New Mexico Measures of Student Success and
19	college-preparatory mission, but it's only K-5, so	19	Achievement.
20	there's a lot of years between sixth grade and	20	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.
21	college.	21	THE CHAIR: There's a motion and second.
22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well and middle	22	Any other comments?
23	is always the challenge; right? Because even in	23	(No response.)
24	Santa Fe Public, after you get out of Piñon or	24	THE CHAIR: Okay. Secretary Armijo.
25	Wood-Gormley or whatever, the conversation is	25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.
	215		217
1	215	1	217
1	always, "Where are you going to middle school,"	1	Secretary Armijo votes yes.
2	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so."	2	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.
2 3	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an	2 3	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.
2 3 4	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades?	2 3 4	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are	2 3 4 5	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.
2 3 4 5 6	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of	2 3 4 5 6	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in	2 3 4 5 6 7	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're	2 3 4 5 6	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, the with the space, there's no place for them to expand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, the with the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. But yeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, the with the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's a maybe-someday, next-renewal conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, thewith the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's a maybe-someday, next-renewal conversation. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Ves. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, thewith the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's a maybe-someday, next-renewal conversation. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just a quick question for information. I know the preliminary report is a 15-to-1 teacher ratio. When they did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Ves. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, thewith the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's a maybe-someday, next-renewal conversation. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just a quick question for information. I know the preliminary report is a 15-to-1 teacher ratio. When they did their application, their uniqueness was they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. We're going to talk about Horizon Academy West next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	always, "Where are you going to middle school," rather than, "See you at so-and-so." Have either of these schools expressed an interest in expanding to the middle-school grades? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Both of them are having their first renewal. So in the case of Albuquerque Collegiate, they're still phasing in grades. And so, yeah, I think that they're concentrating on being fully operational. Butyeah. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I actually had that conversation with Ms. Rivera, too, at the renewal visit. And she said, you know, long term, she would like to. But, just, with their location, thewith the space, there's no place for them to expand. So it's it's not a right-now conversation. It's a maybe-someday, next-renewal conversation. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Just a quick question for information. I know the preliminary report is a 15-to-1 teacher ratio. When they did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: It passes, eight to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Director Chavez. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. We're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Albuquerque as the four quadrants being divided by I-40 and I-25, okay, it would be in the Northwest quadrant, but as far south as possible. It's not far off of I-40.

And in that neighborhood, where they're located, it's tucked into an area that is fairly residential. But it's moved locations in its life span, by the way.

They're authorized to serve grades K through 5, and they actually run a pre-K program.

They have a current -- or last year, their enrollment was 403. Their mission is that "Horizon Academy has a commitment to educational excellence. The staff is dedicated to providing a rigorous, creative, and well-rounded education to all students. The school is committed to helping students master his or her subjects and learn to think critically and creatively."

It was one of the early State-authorized charter schools. There were more than one Horizon Academies at one point. But this is the only one that is still around.

They received their charter from PEC in 2008, serving grades K through 6.

In 2012 is when they added the pre-K

the school has a lower percentage of students with disabilities, a much lower percentage of English Learners, which we did follow up with during the renewal visit. They have also a lower percentage of economically disadvantaged students.

On this visit, we had somebody who was working at the PED -- with the PED at the time to ensure that schools were submitting their literacy plans attend with us. And we also invited Joseph Escobedo from Albuquerque Public Schools to be a member of the team so we could learn from each other as co-authorizers across the state. So he joined us at this visit.

And when we look at the performance overall for the Organization indicators, the school Meets Standards.

When we scroll to the lovely red, yellow, green chart, we'll see that the school largely has green.

I want to point out Indicator 1.b., State Assessment Requirements. Just today, the head administrator reached out questioning this indicator. So we are in the process of investigating, and we'll have a final -- certainly will -- before renewal time.

219 221

program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then they also amended their charter to eliminate sixth grade, because they were noticing that kids were moving on to different schools.

So this is their third contract term. And they operate on a four-day school week.

When we visited, we noted that there were a number of teachers who had been at the school for many, many years and that seemed to have a lot of stability and got -- and the teachers that were there for a while were mentors to the new teachers.

They have a pretty robust professional learning community, and they were contemplating how they could be successful at implementing the school mission.

They provide a school-wide positive behavioral supports and intervention program. They have some core values that they use to help students remember what -- what those values are and to support students in their behavior.

When you look at the enrollment at that school, you'll see that it is heavily Hispanic, higher than any of the other subgroups in comparison to district and state.

When we look at the subgroups that follow,

And then there's also an error in 3.c., which is currently rated as Working to Meets. And it apparently is Meets. So that was a Charter Schools Division mistake that will be corrected.

The other Working to Meets standard is indicator 5.c., which, again, you saw that we were monitoring the degree to which the school was making sure that students had their immunizations in place, in accordance with the policy and the requirements in statute and rule.

And so they were behind in some of the immunizations, or the records weren't kept in the student files that we monitored.

THE CHAIR: Any questions?

Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Because this has just come up relative to vaccination rates, I'm curious. These last couple of years, are we having more challenges now generally in our district and public schools with people being in compliance when they're going into kinder having their vaccinations? Do any of you know the answer?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: You know, the trend question, I can gather information and come back to you with that. I do think that always,

	222		224
1	there has been pretty clear description of the	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2	vaccinations that are required and the exception to	2	Secretary Armijo votes yes.
3	the vaccinations that children are able to get	3	That passes, eight to zero.
4	medical doctors' exceptions to. But in this case,	4	THE CHAIR: All right. Director Chavez.
5	it was a record-keeping issue that we identified.	5	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Our next school
6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thanks.	6	is Hózhó Academy, which is in Gallup. Hózhó is in
7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins? Did you	7	its first charter term. It opened with grades K
8	have	8	through 5, adding a grade a year. So in '21-'22, it
9	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No. No. I'm	9	was up to K-8.
10	sorry. I just	10	Currently, this year, it's added ninth
11	THE CHAIR: Oh, okay.	11	grade.
12	Commissioner Voigt.	12	The mission is that it is committed to the
13	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I move that the	13	tradition of a classical education, a rigorous
14	Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary	14	program that will develop students who are educated
15	Annual Report for school year 2021 through 2022 for	15	in the liberal arts and sciences as well as moral
16	Horizon Academy West, with the preliminary overall	16	character and civic virtue, with providing the best
17	rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational	17	education possible, permitting a full understanding
18	Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the	18	of all students' cultures.
19	school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be	19	Hózhó is unique in that its model is
20	scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public	20	classical education using the curriculum from
21	Education Department, based upon the New Mexico	21	Hillsdale College with Western Civilization and
22	Measures of Student Success and Achievement.	22	Civics, and kids there's a they learn to
23	THE CHAIR: Second.	23	recognize pieces of classic classical music, the
24	There's a motion and a second.	24	Art of the Western Canon.
25	Any other discussion? Commissioner	25	There's a heavy emphasis on civics.
	222		225
	223		225
1	Robbins.	1	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which
2	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director	2	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language.
2 3	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone	2 3	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see
2 3 4	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but	2 3 4	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western
2 3 4 5	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask	2 3 4 5	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing
2 3 4 5 6	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided here. It's footnoted because S.T.A.R.S. is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided here. It's footnoted because S.T.A.R.S. is reporting that Gallup has 3.54 percent economically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided here. It's footnoted because S.T.A.R.S. is reporting that Gallup has 3.54 percent economically disadvantaged students, which I don't think is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided here. It's footnoted because S.T.A.R.S. is reporting that Gallup has 3.54 percent economically disadvantaged students, which I don't think is correct. Yeah. Not even. So I just left it out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, the Director can't ask us (verbatim). I don't know if anyone knows what the cap is. We have the enrollment, but the sheet didn't have the cap, but I'll have to ask that later on. Thank you. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Combined with that is a philosophy of Hózhó, which means balance and beauty in the Diné language. Throughout the school, when you're there, you see sort of the coexistence of the, you know, western Western Civ and Civics model with themes emphasizing the Native cultures of the indigenous students. Hózhó has Hózhó has an enrollment that is just under well, actually, it's 41.39. It was it sort of hovers in the mid-40s with its Native American percentage of enrollment. So its demographics look look different from the state as a whole and from the district. In terms of in terms of student subgroups, there are fewer English Learners than in the district or the state. Students with disabilities is more commensurate. Economically disadvantaged is close to the state close to the state average. The district's numbers are not provided here. It's footnoted because S.T.A.R.S. is reporting that Gallup has 3.54 percent economically disadvantaged students, which I don't think is

	226		228
1	again, we have a field of green with the occasional	1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be me.
2	splash of yellow. They are Working to Meet Standard	2	Thank you.
3	on 3.a., Governance Requirements, because not all of	3	Yes.
4	their governing board members have completed all	4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
5	required training hours, and only some, but not all,	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
6	of the audit committee members attended the exit	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
7	interview.	7	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
8	And Indicator 4.c. was because not all of	8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
9	the licensure discrepancies reported in S.T.A.R.S.	9	Robbins.
10	were resolved by the end of year S.T.A.R.S. report.	10	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
11	THE CHAIR: Any questions?	11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor.
12	One thing that I really like about these	12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
13	that you all started doing is those explanation of	13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.
14	indicator ratings. I know that's an extra step for	14	<u> </u>
15		15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
16	you all to do, but I really appreciate it. Commissioner Carrillo.	16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo
17			votes yes.
18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: 'Cause I'm not	17	Chair Burt.
	familiar with the school, obviously. But the	18	THE CHAIR: Yes.
19	very just the hold on. Let me bring this up	19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight
20	here, the mission. Just very impressed by the idea	20	to zero.
21	of civic virtue and moral character and just the	21	THE CHAIR: Okay. Director Chavez.
22	civics in general that Dr Dr Dr I forgot	22	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. We're
23	your last name Russell was saying. I'd be really	23	on to the next school, which is the New Mexico
24	pleased to either go there I hope I'm able to go	24	Academy for Media Arts. Formerly, the school was
25	there and not do it virtually, in November.	25	called Media Arts Collaborative Charter School.
	227		229
1		1	
1	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the	1 2	It's located on Central, right where the
2	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary	2	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus
2 3	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022	3	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right?
2 3 4	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall	2 3 4	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay.
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational	2 3 4 5	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the	2 3 4 5 6	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be	2 3 4 5 6 7	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial applications. But this was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help. THE CHAIR: For sure. It does help with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial applications. But this was the first initial application that came to the PED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help. THE CHAIR: For sure. It does help with recognizing those root words, man. So it makes your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial application that came to the PED. And the school was approved in 2007,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help. THE CHAIR: For sure. It does help with recognizing those root words, man. So it makes your vocabulary so much better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial applications. But this was the first initial application that came to the PED. And the school was approved in 2007, opened in 2008, and it served grades 9 through 12 at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help. THE CHAIR: For sure. It does help with recognizing those root words, man. So it makes your vocabulary so much better. Okay. Secretary Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial applications. But this was the first initial application that came to the PED. And the school was approved in 2007, opened in 2008, and it served grades 9 through 12 at first, but then expanded to serve middle-school
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Report Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for Hózhó Academy, with the preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the Public Education Department based on the New Mexico Measures of Student Success and Achievement. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Commissioner Carrillo? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like the Latin, too. I meant to mention that. I went to a high school that taught Latin, and, boy, did it just really help. THE CHAIR: For sure. It does help with recognizing those root words, man. So it makes your vocabulary so much better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's located on Central, right where the ART bus is built on the east side of the UNM campus next to the Dion's hotel; is that right? Washington and Central. Okay. So the school recently changed its name to the New Mexico Academy for Media Arts. It offers and its mission is to offer secondary students a comprehensive, project-based, cross-curricular education centered in the media arts. They would prepare graduates for positions in the media industries and for the rigor of post-secondary education. The school opened for students in August 2008. And fun little fact: I read their charter application when it was submitted to the PED way back when. It was the first application that came to the PED. It wasn't the first authorized school, because we started to renew schools before we approved initial applications. But this was the first initial application that came to the PED. And the school was approved in 2007, opened in 2008, and it served grades 9 through 12 at

head administrator, and in -- at the time of the visit and last year, had the same business manager that it had before, Mr. Pat Kelly.

2.

The school has an authorized enrollment cap of 360. The enrollment was at 180. They've expanded facilities. They started with one facility, and then they purchased another.

They were the first school to have a lease assistance -- excuse me -- a lease-to-purchase agreement. And so they have students in both buildings that are connected through this -- the space that is currently gated off and protected for students.

They offer custom-created media arts curriculum, including standards and benchmarks for assessing the curriculum. And they -- they expanded during their second term to have concentrations in journalism, television, radio, digital film, graphic design, audio production, web design, and animation, including game development.

Can you scroll a little bit down?

When we look at the demographics of the school, we see that it is -- there is some variance from both the district and the state in terms of enrollment. And then let's -- ethnically, and then

at least one career pathway program of study upon graduation from the school.

And so the students will be included in the data set. They define how they would count the students, right? So if the student were enrolled as a senior on the 40th day and graduate in May, then they were taken into consideration. They set the performance targets. And the school exceeded its -- its target. So it did 100 percent. Graduates completed the media arts career pathway program of study.

So Goal No. 2 is that the students will complete at least one internship program upon their graduation from the school.

And then, again, they define who they're counting and additional information about the internship requirements. And we see that the school did not meet this standard, because 50 percent of the graduates that year completed the internship program.

I was not on this site visit. I was on the renewal site visit. This was a question that we followed up with the school on. And because this -this rating was in the middle of the pandemic, and at a time when the pandemic was a little bit more

when we look at the subgroup enrollment, we also see that there is a higher percentage of students with disabilities at this school, lower percentage of English Learners, higher percentage of gifted, and about on par with state and district in terms of economically disadvantaged students.

This is the first high school that we have talked about today. So this is the four-year high school graduation percentages by ethnicity. And, again, this graphic compares the school to district and state averages in terms of graduation.

So the number -- the reason why we don't see a line for the school with its students that are Black is because the "N" was too low.

Okay. So on to the next demographic -the next chart, which is the four-year high school
graduation by subgroup. And you'll see how the
school has been very successful at graduating
students higher than the state and the district for
all of the subgroups that are captured in this
chart.

This is one school that also chose to have Mission-Specific Goals. It set two goals for itself.

The first is that students will complete

widespread than what it is now, and the school was articulate about how that had an effect on the internships that they were able to secure and place students in. But just so you know that we noted that. We followed up with them on that, and that was a concern that we raised during the renewal visit.

All right. So when we look at the overall rating for the Organizational Performance Framework, they also Meet Standards. And when we look at the different colors, we see that they got only a Working to Meet standard for their Mission-Specific Goal, because they met one, and they didn't meet the other

They also, for 3.a. in the Governance Requirements, got a Working to Meet Standard because not all governing board members completed the required hours. Some, but not all, audit committee members attended the exit interview.

They also got Working to Meet Standard for Indicator 3.c, Reporting Requirements, because of two untimely amendment requests submitted to you also.

THE CHAIR: Any questions? Can I ask you to clarify real quick?

237

234

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because I think what I heard and what I saw may be two different things. And my brain just, like -- I think you said they were Working to Meet on their mission goals because they did not meet one of them. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So they exceeded in the other. THE CHAIR: Did they Meet, or are they getting Working to Meet? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They're getting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Working to Meet. THE CHAIR: Okay. Because I think on --DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: It says Meets Standard. I remember when we were preparing this report, we were back and forth a few times. And, yes, they exceeded standard on one. They did not meet the standard on the other.

And I remember we had this conversation. The way the Mission-Specific Goals is now is, like, it's averaged. And so an averaging is in the middle. And, going forward, I would -- with our new Performance Framework, I would like to rate each Mission-Specific Goal separately.

So there would be Goal 1, Goal 2, and then N/A for a school that has Goal 2, because it's messy when a school does not -- when you average an

terms of formatting for next year, it would be --THE CHAIR: Like, an overall, and then

student groups? Yeah. That's my bad. Okay.

Anything else? Do you want to do it? Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner Voigt.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. So I just want to also add that the bank building that was recently purchased by Media Arts is -- the governing board just recently voted that they are going to dedicate it to the Gladstones.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So we were sitting in a board room that was dedicated to them. Yeah. Yeah. So Patty and Marvin Gladstone were the founders of this school. And they were incredible human beings who saw how the state was moving towards building up the film industry in New Mexico and had a vision for a school that could feed into

And so non-educators themselves, but highly regarded professionals in their own fields, they wrote the charter application, were successful, and they hired our dear Commissioner Glenna Voigt as the first head administrator of that school. A little bit of history.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: (Inaudible.) (Off

235

1 mic.) 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Exceeds and a Does Not to a Meets and -- yeah. THE CHAIR: Right. Okay. The other question -- well, not a auestion.

So the first thing I wanted to say is I was really -- one of the things that stood out to me when I read this was the graduation rates of the student groups. I was really impressed by and I thought that was a really cool thing that the school's doing for their students.

With the graduation rate, I like having it broken into the student groups. But I don't see an overall graduation rate. Is that not yet ready? Or can we add --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: I thought we did have an overall -- yeah, the four-year -- oh.

THE CHAIR: I think they're all broken down by student groups.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: No. All Students is right here. It's in the second chart. So there's the subgroups, and then All Students is in the second chart.

THE CHAIR: Oh, yes, I see that now. Thank you. Appreciate you, Dr. Russell. Thanks. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: But I do see, in

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: In conversations with the business manager about how he reports to the board, he was able to share how the reports that he provided changed over time and as a result of the very excellent questions that were being asked of him by Ms. Gladstone, who comes from a professional career as a forensic auditor.

So when you have folks on your board that are going to ask the tough questions, it -- it causes everybody to up their game a little bit. And so I think that, as a result, that board gets some really excellent financial information.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Super.

I move that the Public Education Commission accept the Preliminary Annual Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for the New Mexico Academy for the Media Arts, showing that the

19 Mission-Specific Goal 1 received a scoring of

20 Exceeds Standard. Mission-Specific Goal 2 received

a scoring of Does Not Meet Standard, and a 21 22 preliminary overall rating of Meets Standard for the

23 Organizational Performance Framework, and the Chair

24 notify the school that Academic Indicators 1 and 2 25

will be scored using the scoring as assigned by the

238 1 Public Education Department based on the New Mexico 1 period maximize his or her potential and meet the 2 2. Measures of Student Success and Achievement and the highest performance standards through a uniquely 3 SAT 3 individualized learning program, access to 4 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. 4 higher-quality New Mexico certified teachers, and a 5 THE CHAIR: All right. There's a motion 5 high parental involvement. 6 6 and a second. The mission also includes a 7 7 Any other discussion? school-within-a-school model with a focus on 8 8 (No response.) science, technology, engineering, and math STEM. 9 9 THE CHAIR: Secretary Armijo? That's the mission statement. 10 10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. The school opened in 2013-'14. And after 11 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 11 an initial -- so this is their second term. Last 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 12 time they went up for renewal, they were initially 13 COMMISSIONER MANIS: (No response.) 13 voted by PEC to be non-renewed, but, apparently, 14 14 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I'll come back. went through a renewal -- I mean -- excuse me --15 Commissioner Robbins. 15 a -- an appeal process and remained open. 16 16 The primary building blocks of the COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 17 school's instructional approach are individualized 18 instruction. Each student has a personalized 18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. 19 learning plan and an active parental involvement 20 20 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. component. The school does, like, an orientation 21 21 course for parents to help them understand their COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo 22 virtual system and to talk about expectations so 22 votes yes. 23 23 Chair Burt. that the parent, guardian -- or it could be another 24 responsible adult -- will serve as the student's 24 THE CHAIR: Yes. 25 25 virtual school learning coach, taking an active role COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 239 241 1 1 Carrillo. in the child's daily education. 2 2 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. The school also provides supports to these 3 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And back to learning coaches, and they offer lessons and 4 4 Commissioner Manis. activities that include field trips, guest speakers, 5 5 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. community service opportunities. Although the 6 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you. 6 original model included a school-within-a-school 7 7 That passes, eight to zero. STEM academy, that has not really been implemented. 8 THE CHAIR: All right. And our last 8 So we did follow up with this question during the 9 school for today. 9 renewal visit. 10 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: The last school 10 And they offer STEM courses, but they 11 for today is New Mexico Connections Academy. So 11 don't really have a school-within-a-school 12 hold on a sec, and we'll project that. 12 component. 13 So this is a virtual school that actually 13 Okay. Moving on to look at the 14 has a facility here in Santa Fe. They purchased a 14 demographics. You see that the school, in 15 building for their central offices. 15 comparison to the state, is higher population of 16 This school is authorized to serve 16 White students and almost on par with the state in 17 17 grades 4 through 12. They have been authorized to terms of the others. 18 have an enrollment cap of 2,000. 18 Looking at the subgroups, we'll note that 19 In '21-'22, they had an enrollment of 19 the school had an economically disadvantaged 20 20 1.266. population that was lower than the state average, a 21 21 The mission of New Mexico Connections higher gifted, lower English Learner population, and 22 22 Academy is to help each lower students with disabilities population. 23 fourth-through-the-twelfth-grade student throughout 23 So for the four-year high school 24 24 graduation rate, when we look at the ethnicity the state of New Mexico who needs an alternative to 25 25 relative to that, we see that the school is the traditional classroom for a particular time

graduating a slightly higher rate for Asian students, lower for Black students, Hispanic students, Native American students, and White students than the state average.

2.

When we look at the four-year high school graduation percentage by subgroup and All Students, the far right column, we'll see that they actually had a lower four-year high school graduation overall compared to state average, and with all of the subgroups as well.

When we look at the Mission-Specific Goal the school set for itself, it was that 80 percent or more of students in grades 4 through 8 enrolled on both the 40th and 120th day will complete the grade level, and 70 percent of the students in grades 9 through 12 enrolled on both the 40th and the 120th day would earn a minimum of six credits.

And so when we look at the -- the rating, they Met Standards.

Moving on to Organizational Performance, the school Meets Standards.

And looking at each indicator, one by one, we see that they had a Working to Meet Standards for their mission and educational program because of the school within a school item, and that the school did

1 attendance and retention. But would -- I guess here 2 it wouldn't -- well, yeah, it is. So 2.e.,

Staffing, Fiscal Management, would staff retentionfall under that category?

Would staff retention fall under 2.e.?

I'm just curious, because it's in the other categories in the other schools. I just noticed that for now. So just curious about that.

And then one can't help but think that on 4.b., Attendance -- yeah. I mean, if you're going to school at home, seriously? It's only in the 40s? Or whatever. I have to go back to the chart. But yeah, I would say that's problematic.

And then for me as well, the graduation rate, I would say, is extremely problematic.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So, Commissioner Carrillo, in response to your question about adequate staffing on 2.e., that's about having fiscal management staff in place.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: But to answer your question more broadly about teacher retention, this was one of the -- it's not in the Performance Framework. And it was one of the things that when we looked at the renewal application, I thought, why

not meet the State assessment requirements. I think we showed you those numbers before.

And the school is Working To Meet Standards in terms of submitting the DASH Plan and Working to Meet Standards in terms of attendance and retention.

THE CHAIR: All right. Any questions from Commissioners?

So I do have a question. If they didn't complete their DASH Plan, why is that Working to Meet instead of Does Not Meet?

DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: Because the plan was done and in progress but just wasn't finished.

THE CHAIR: Okay. The graduation rates are very concerning for me. I'm looking at them, that they're very, very low. And that's -- that's definitely a big concern for this last school year. I know -- we were think- -- if it's a virtual school, maybe -- I would expect them to be higher than average. So it definitely is concerning in that way.

Commissioner Carrillo, then Commissioner Robbins, and Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. Just a question first about -- because I know there's

are we including teacher retention? It's not in the Performance Framework. But it was in the renewal application. So it's just -- it's not -- when the framework was written, it did not get written in as an indicator.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins, then Gipson, then Taylor.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Some of the explanation -- because I was here when they had their renewal five years ago -- they have very high academic standards. They use an online curriculum. And if the students don't pass, they don't graduate.

It's not like, well, if you came to class, we're going to give you extra credit and things like that. They either have to do the work and meet the requirements, or they do not graduate.

And that's one thing that was addressed at the time, because I don't know if you remember five years ago, there was a lot of advertising about it's a great school, it's very easy, you know, because it's online and this and that. And the problem was it gave the impression that it's an easy school.

They actually have higher-than-average standards for graduation, for passing. And so the

246

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fact that they're below the state average doesn't surprise me. It surprises me they're so far below. But I think that goes to the attendance and retention issue also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They get much turnover because think of what we had with the pandemic when schools went to virtual. They had huge problems. They weren't even making sure their cameras were on, and they weren't requiring it, so they really had no idea what their attendance was.

And, you know, this school, it probably has -- does have significant areas it has to work on in communication. And testing is one of those areas. And that was addressed -- five years ago, it was mentioned that they have to work. Because if students -- a lot of their students are working. They work during the day and everything, so they're -- if they're tired at night, they don't take the test, or they do poorly on the test. But they have to do better to provide assistance, in a physical location, to do the testing and do the monitoring.

I'm disappointed at the numbers that we're seeing here. But that -- that can account for some of the low numbers. And that's what was explained THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I was going to say I have a -- still an ongoing concern with attendance. If you can't make it to school when it's in your own house, you know, there's something to be said for that, and, you know, continued with graduation. It's the same from four years ago.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. I mean, obviously, I'd like to echo some of the concerns about the graduation rate. You know, it's half of what -- nearly half of what the state average is, you know, a little bit more than that. But, I mean, that's very concerning to me.

I think that, you know, one of the other things that concerns me -- a couple of other things, you know, that the -- that the -- although the information is not here for the state assessments, you know, that previously we've looked at those things. And, academically, they -- the students aren't performing at where they need to be performing.

And I think that, sure, all of the markers -- there's a lot of green where they meet the standard. But some of the ones that I think are

247

249

five years ago.

I would have hoped in five years they would have kind of focused on that, because since they don't have a -- a physical facility and everything. But they do have a lot of the electronics and the staff support and everything. That's in place. So it's not like they're -- you know, they have this huge amount of surplus SEG.

But, you know, rigor is one thing. And we can always say, you know, if high standards -- but if you don't follow that with fidelity -- one of the things that I see sometimes is we say, "Yeah. I mean, the school we just talked about before this -or another one -- they had really great, you know, graduations -- you know, we see great graduation numbers."

But then you wonder, well, but we're not looking at proficiency numbers. We're looking at graduation.

But, you know, we have 74 percent graduation, but we know that roughly 50 percent of our students that are graduating are not proficient in English or math, but yet they're still graduating. So there is a -- a disconnect between graduation and proficiency.

probably pretty important are not being met.

So I think that's a concern for me. I think their mission statement, their Mission-Specific Goals, are very, very generic because all they have to do is finish -- you know, they have to finish the program, which means, you know, I could skate along with a "D" and finish the program.

But is that really measuring -- I mean, is that -- is that how we want to perform, you know? Like I said, I think they're very, very

non-specific, even though they're supposed to be Mission-Specific Goals.

You know, they offer -- they do say -- in their wording, they talk about offering field trips and cultural engagement and so forth, or community engagement opportunities. But it doesn't really indicate any kind of requirement for kids -- for the student to participate in those. It just sort of -again, it just sort of offers that.

And in response to -- to Commissioner Robbins saying that they're -- you know, they're very rigorous in their -- in their curriculum and so forth -- but, obviously, that rigor is not helping the students get where they need to go.

250 1 So I have a lot -- I have a lot of 1 were virtual. They went to museums and did tours. 2 And I know all the major museums do do that. But 2 concerns about this school. I know this is maybe 3 not the most appropriate time to do this. This 3 they were virtual, because they don't have 4 4 report, we -- you know, we vote to accept the capacity -- I think, in some cases, they had some 5 5 report, and I guess we take action later. But I pods of students that organized themselves, and they 6 have a lot of concerns about this school. 6 may have gone places. But they were virtual field 7 7 THE CHAIR: All right. trips. 8 8 Commissioner Voigt. Then Commissioner COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: To that, I'll just 9 9 Carrillo. say there's nothing like standing in front of the 10 10 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you. Lincoln Memorial, or being in the Library of 11 You know, I -- I want to just say that there's a lot 11 Congress, or even being at the Vietnam Memorial, or 12 12 even being at the Vietnam Memorial here in Santa Fe. that is masked in education when we are only 13 13 counting graduation by the number of Carnegie Nothing can take the place of that. 14 14 THE CHAIR: Okay. I think, you know, credits completed. 15 15 And that is old. That's got to change. for -- from where I'm looking at today -- but 16 16 more -- is -- you know, I'll make a motion in just a It's status quo. And that could be something that 17 17 is truly innovative in public education is when we moment. 18 18 But what I see as when we get final get rid of Carnegie credits and students demonstrate 19 19 reports, one of the things that I would like to mastery. That's when we can start measuring what 20 20 start doing with a reports like this, you know, is, students know and are able to do and when they can 21 21 for me, you know, it would be to ensure that the defend their learning. 22 school knows that the graduation rate is not meeting 22 THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. 23 standard, that the attendance is -- needs to be 23 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I agree with 24 24 improved, you know. that. And demonstrating alternate forms of 25 And so I think that's -- when I talk about 25 competency. It's true. It's totally old-school, 251 253 1 the '50s and '40s. 1 taking -- doing something with the annual reports, 2 2 I have a question. So is because -that's what I would like to do with a report like 3 3 Dr. Taylor. You are a doctor, aren't you? this is to then notify the school that the 4 4 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: (Indicates.) graduation rate is not okay. The attendance is not 5 5 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: A lot of doctors okay and needs to improve. That way, it's not only 6 around here. But, anyway -- yes, Commissioner 6 at the renewal when they hear that, those comments, 7 7 Taylor mentioned field trips. How are these field from us. 8 8 trips taken? Do any of you know? Because I'll ask So I think this is an example of that. 9 the question when -- because the thing that makes me 9 But once again, not till final reports. And as we 10 10 think of this is Big Bang Theory? move through, that's kind of where I'll be going 11 11 Did any of you ever watch the Big Bang with it later on. 12 theory? Commissioner Robbins, you're not nodding 12 All right. I move that the Public 13 your head that you watched the Big Bang Theory. 13 Education Commission accept the Preliminary Annual 14 14 Yeah. Report for school year 2021 to 2022 for New Mexico 15 15 So -- and the reason I mention this is Connections Academy, showing that its 16 16 Mission-Specific Goal received a score of Meets because when they're playing sports, they're playing 17 17 virtual sports, where they're just hitting a golf Standard, and a preliminary overall rating of Meets 18 18 club or a racket or they're skiing, and it's all Standard for the Organizational Performance 19 done virtually, and, you know, there's no swooshing, 19 Framework, and the Chair notify the school that 20 20 and there's no cold air running through their hair. Academic Indicators 1 and 2 will be scored using the 21 21 So I'm just curious. How are those field trips scoring as assigned by the Public Education 22 taken? 22 Department based on the New Mexico Measures of 23 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I can answer from 23 Student Success and Achievement and the SAT.

the previous renewal information that -- I can't say

100 percent, but I'm going to say 99 percent -- they

24

25

24

25

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Second.

THE CHAIR: There's a motion and a second.

254 256 1 Any other comments? 1 And it included this table that just 2 All right. Seeing none, Secretary Armijo. 2 talked about how the expectations from the PEC is 3 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. 3 that the governing board comply with its -- with the 4 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 4 law, with bylaws, and it maintains appropriate 5 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner 5 fiscal oversight and planning of its budget, 6 6 Robbins. including the use of SEG funds. 7 7 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. So the far right column is the expected 8 8 outcomes. The second column talks about the first COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Taylor. 9 9 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. deadline and documents that are to be reviewed. And 10 10 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. then the third column is our opportunity to take 11 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. 11 additional notes and report out to the PEC where 12 12 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo it's worded as such. 13 13 So the first outcome that is expected of votes yes. 14 14 the school was that they would obtain training on Chair Burt. 15 15 THE CHAIR: Yes. the charter school fiscal policies and the roles of 16 16 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner the governing board finance and subcommittee and 17 staff. 17 Carrillo. 18 18 So by November 1, we are looking to have COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 19 19 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Commissioner board members complete all the fiscal training 20 20 that's provided by the Charter Schools Division. Gipson. 21 21 And since -- I know this is early. I know that it's COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 22 not yet November 1. And trust me. Up until the 22 COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, eight 23 23 19th, I was updating this, and there's yet an to zero. 24 24 additional hour of training to add to this chart, THE CHAIR: All right. And that is it for 25 25 which talks about board member training. Item No. 10, which will bring us to Item No. 13. 255 257 1 1 But I'm going to ask -- we're going take a So here's basically the name -- the names 2 2 five-minute break and allow folks to kind of move of the board members, the positions they hold, and 3 3 into the Zoom room and get settled. And then we'll the number of hours that they've completed. 4 4 go to Item No. 13 in five minutes. We have two board members that completed 5 5 (Recess taken, 3:10 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) all three hours of the fiscal requirement training, 6 THE CHAIR: All right. We are on to Item 6 and then one that's attended and obtained one hour, 7 7 No. 13, Discussion, Update, and Possible Action on and one that's obtained two hours. 8 La Tierra Montessori School, Including Update on 8 Ms. Martinez-Vigil is a new board member. 9 Corrective Action Plan. 9 So she needs to take the new member, seven-hour 10 10 And, Director Chavez, I will turn this course training. 11 11 Now, what's missing from this that's not agenda item over to you. 12 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, 12 documented here is the Open Governance and 13 Chair Burt. Let me open up the document. 13 Organizational Performance training. And one of the 14 14 So I just want to start out by saying ways in which Ms. Brown allows schools to obtain 15 15 thank you to Maitai, to Delisha, to Patricia, for these hours is by inviting her to their board 16 16 meeting and then getting feedback. And that being here. It's been a long day for all of us. 17 17 You guys have hung in there, and I appreciate that. happened just Thursday? Or Wednesday? 18 18 MS. MELISSA BROWN: Tuesday. So as we know, we -- hold on. Okay. All 19 19 right. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Tuesday. So I 20 20 As we know, the PEC sent to La Tierra didn't get that information in time to update this. 21 21 Montessori some expectations on August 29th. But you should just note that that's an additional 22 DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Do you want me to 22 hour of training that's not contemplated in this 23 23 share? chart. 24 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, I can. 24 The concern in the report is really about 25 25 All right. the fiscal training. So just to sum it up, two out

2.58

of the five board members are there. We're not yet at November 1, but we'll give you an update in December.

overall ratings.

So the other thing that the school can do is find the training schedule in this document. There's a link to the annual trainings so they can sign up and make sure that all board members do it. And, again, the new board member will get that credit when she attends the orientation for new board members.

Second item is that we wanted to make sure that, by November 1, the school had provided an enrollment report to the PED. I think that that was missing last year and could have had some consequences for the school.

So we did confirm that the school submitted that enrollment projection by the due date. And I've included the enrollment projection as part of the report so you can see, by grade level, what their projections were.

Then Item No. 3 was about providing notification when there's any changes in governing board membership.

And we know that that's an item in the Performance Framework that the school had been

Rachel Stofocik and I have an appointment with the

2 school for week after next to sit down and walk

3 through the mission goals and the worksheet so that

4 they are set up so that Ms. -- so that the new head

5 administrator as well as the board chair, are all up 6 to date with and aware of how that goal will be

7 tracked and reported to the -- to the PEC and to the

8 CSD.

So that's my update.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Voigt.

Then Commissioner Gipson.

12 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you,
13 Chair Burt. So is it -- I just want to be clear
14 that -- so to summarize, in the items from the
15 Corrective Action Plan that have not been met are
16 the completion of the fiscal training by the
17 governing board -- be the full governing board --

governing board -- be the full governing board and their mission goal data. Those two items --

correct? -- have not been completed -- have not been met from the Corrective Action Plan.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So it's true that all board members have not received the financial training. The due date was November 1. So this is a bit early.

However, I don't think that -- actually, I

struggling with. Other schools also struggle with that at times, and it's properly noted in their

And we did receive notification, and it was something that you approved in your Consent Agenda this morning.

Item No. 4 is the pre-K concern, that the school not include pre-K students with -- with the K students with an SEG-paid teacher. And Dr. Russell and I visited the school on September 6th and verified that, in fact, the school is no longer serving pre-K students.

And then I just added a few other updates about the school, just for the Commission's own knowledge, that the head administrator that you met time before was no longer here, and you guys met Patricia this morning.

So you know that they submitted the paperwork for that. But because it didn't get in on the time -- you know, by the deadline to include it in this meeting, you'll have that at the next meeting.

And then we are also -- this is a school that is behind in working with us to get their Mission-Specific Goal Worksheet finalized. But

1 will ask Ms. Brown to verify, but I don't think that

2 it's possible, given the trainings that are

scheduled and the hours that are needed by each
 member, that they will all complete them.

Is that -- is that true?

MS. MELISSA BROWN: With how our schedule is set, that is true.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. And then the head administrator is only on a .25 FTE?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. The head administrator's contract is for a .25 FTE.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} COMMISSIONER\ VOIGT:\ They\ should\ have\ a\\ 1.0. \end{tabular}$

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I have since learned that there's other schools that have less than a 1.0 FTE.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So I wanted to be transparent about the school, because I think that there's been some people that have contacted members of the Commission or myself to say where is the head administrator. And the head administrator is not, in fact, a 1.0 FTE, so that answers that.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thanks. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

production@litsupport.com

Bean & Associates, Inc. 201 Third Street NW, Ste. 1630, Albuquerque New Mexico 87102

2.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So part of mine is what Commissioner Voigt was asking about the head administrator. I know that -- so my understanding with schools that don't have a full-time head administrator was they're on campus full-time, but they may -- but they may be teaching a class, because it's such a small school. So they're -- they're not considered full-time as a head administrator because they're -- you know, they've got a class that they're doing. So that that's how they have to fiscally report it.

But I don't know whether that's what this is.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Right. Yeah. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So can we get that clarified? Is that because -- is it that this individual is only there?

THE CHAIR: Would you like the school to answer, or do you want --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So I'm reporting what I heard at the board meeting when they voted on the contract. I haven't seen the contract. And that -- that question, or that requirement that they're actually a full-time employee but part-time

head administrator, I would need some guidance from

clear. It's not our guidance that they have to have.

THE CHAIR: So I'm going to ask -- yeah.
Oh, yeah. Ms. Gordon-Brown, yeah, if you can talk about --

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Yeah, definitely. So Ms. Herrera is in the school full-time. She was hired initially as our special education teacher, and she's already hit the ground running with that, and was approached to take on the Interim Head Learner or Head Administrator responsibilities with the departure of Cliff, because, if not, Cliff would have been virtual, and that did not make sense at all to us.

But we are still actively recruiting for a permanent Head Learner position. We have, I think, three or four candidates that have applied to the position, and it just should be a short amount of time before we can start getting the ball rolling on a permanent replacement.

Ms. Herrera has expressed that she will not take the job permanently. But to assure you-all, she is there on campus, .75 as our special education teacher. And, again, on the books for fiscal responsibility, she is our Head Learner,

1 .25 FTE.

the attorney, the PEC's attorney, on where that is or how I should be using that information in school oversight, because I have learned of a couple of other head administrators that are not full 1.0 FTE, and I wasn't aware of that criteria.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No. And I want to make it clear, there was no indication by me that it was a criteria. My understanding through experience here, is that when schools didn't have a, quote, unquote, "full-time head administrator," it was because they were serving, in most cases, a classroom responsibility.

So they were split-time, but they were still on campus. So they would still be the person that would be of record, you know, as the head administrator on campus, but for, you know, for economics, they couldn't afford, because they needed, like, one class covered.

So -- and that's how they -- you know, paid it out, because there's different line items for administration and teachers. So that's how they did it. I did not know that we didn't have a school that didn't have a full-time administrator, and that person wasn't filling in, full-time, some other

spot. So that's just -- but I want to make it

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks. And I have one more question or concern, actually. Because I know the heading says, "The Governing Board complies with laws, bylaws, and maintains appropriate fiscal oversight." And this is not part of this chart.

But I did notice -- when I was looking at agendas for the governing council, I did notice that -- and I appreciate the fact that the governing council is apparently doing some kind of community meetings. And that's great.

 $MS.\ DELISHA\ GORDON\text{-}BROWN:\ Yes.$

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: However, it doesn't appear that they are noticing that. And that can -- because if the governing council is doing -- there should be a public notice that they're doing -- that's my only concern, that there's OMA compliance with that.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: We did have a joint town hall with the open house that was at La Tierra last month. That notice did not go out appropriately. I think it was maybe the weekend before or -- again, that was just to be joint.

But in our current meetings, we do discuss

our future town halls, which will actually be bimonthly. And we are getting more input from the parents on what time of the evenings work for them, being that they've got to feed their children and come home from work and everything like that.

2.

We are definitely on the ball with noticing the public and our community a lot more appropriately than we have in the past.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. And I appreciate that. But it's not just we're noticing the public, but there has to be that public notice that complies with OMA.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Yes. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So that it's part of -- when I look at your notices for your regular meetings, that it's in that drop-down box.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Yes, it's also -- Okay. So, well, we can post them there as well, as well as send them out in our e-mail blast that our office manager does. Okay.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks.

I don't know whether -- I still have so many concerns. I just -- and I don't know whether this is the appropriate -- I don't know -- because with this, you know, it looks like -- but, you know, preparing children to be successful in a traditional style classroom as they progress throughout the school.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. And I understand the challenges of public school and Montessori and that having to be that blend. My concern is so much has changed since you were there that I have -- you know, I would be more comfortable if there was a visit with an assurance that Montessori was being appropriately administered and that the program was being adhered to.

Because we were comfortable at the time of renewal, to a great extent, because of the report that you offered. But in light of everything that's happened, I think there is that -- you know, that concern.

And just one more -- what -- do you know, off the top of your head, what the enrollment cap is?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So let us look up the enrollment cap. But while we're doing that, let me respond to your concern. You know, we also are concerned about this school. We have all learned about the challenges that they face. We are attending their meetings and in frequent contact

seriously, I have serious concerns that Montessori is not being -- and I don't know whether, with your -- I don't know if there's been a visit to see whether Montessori is or is not being complied with.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. So I would say that the Charter School Division's expert on Montessori is Ms. Brown, who ran a Montessori school. And she has assured me. And I will let her speak with you-all about her interpretation of whether Montessori is being implemented at the school or not.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: Well, caveat this with saying I haven't been there since the site visit in the spring.

But at that time, I saw a fully functioning modified Montessori, which I think is appropriate for the school program. I feel like the teachers that I saw -- and I know that some of those teachers have still been retained -- they were in the process of being trained.

But what I saw in the classroom, they understood the core tenets of Montessori and were implementing that in a classroom setting with elementary-age students in a modified way that blends a Montessori approach with a more -- in

with the school leadership, both the governance and the new head administrator. I met her the day that she was voted on, and I have time set aside for when we're going to sit down and look at the Mission-Specific Goals and the contract.

I've also asked Ms. Brown to continue -she gets called and responds to requests for
information and supports to the school. And I've
asked her to feel free to also sit down with the
school. I think a site visit is absolutely
necessary before probably your December meeting when
we can give the next update. And we'll be able to
say more about that. And I see Missy has something
to add to that.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: If it would help the Commission, I can do -- I wouldn't necessarily call it an official site visit. But I would be happy to go and see the school between now and your November meeting and report to you at that time.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks. And just one quick clarification -- I'm

sorry.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I was going to say we are trying to keep the agenda small on the November meeting. But thank you, Missy. That's

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

270 1 really -- that's really generous. And if it doesn't 2 happen at that time, then definitely before the December meeting, we will visit the school. 3 4 DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Commissioner 5 Gipson, wanted to answer your question. 6 La Tierra's enrollment cap is 180. 7 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. So there's 8 still -- in Item No. 3, in the chart, it says, 9 "Status update reported to the PEC at the 10 December 16th" -- I think it should be "to be 11 reported to," because December 16th hasn't happened 12 yet. Am I correct? 13 In No. 3 on this chart under First 14 Deadline and Documentation Required, it says, 15 "Status update reported to PEC at the December 16th 16 meeting." 17 And unless I'm really overtired, I don't 18 think December 16th has happened yet. So I don't 19 know if it's to be reported in December. 20 MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: It should be 21 "to be reported" as in Item 1, where it says, "Will 22 be provided." So you're correct. 23 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So there's a

school like this in -- with what has happened in four or five months with three head administrators.

We had a wonderful presentation a couple of months ago with Mr. Tompson. He was very engaged. Yes, he jumped into this, and he wanted to do the best that he could. And he didn't even last three months. That's an issue, you know.

I know we're not really in the position where we're allowed to talk with Mr. Tompson. But, you know, I sit here and think, well, why did he leave? Was the governing council interfering to such a degree that he could not do his job and they were directing him to do things that was beyond their authority?

So that's a concern that I have is the governing council was going well beyond its statutory authority in basically -- in essence, running the school themselves, rather than allowing a head administrator to do it.

You know, making sure of, you know, the purchases and things like that are being done properly and not being diverted, that the funds are being taken care of.

And I'll just leave it at this, because they're concerns.

271

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins. Then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's all. Thanks.

correction that needs to be made on that.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. Yeah. As mentioned, there are many concerns. One -- and I think this is a huge one -- with a .25, Ms. Herrera is doing the special ed. That's a huge effort and a lot of reporting requirements and documentation in order to fulfill the special ed needs of the students.

I don't have confidence that the governance council is not influencing staff, that they're not influencing operations or inappropriately influencing operations, other than through setting policies and things like that and letting the head administrator carry it out. That's a concern.

And the other concern is reports have been made that staff has been -- some staff, maybe not all -- but some staff have been put in a position of either being intimidated or felt intimidated to report problems. And they don't have any place to report problems to.

If they go to the governing council, the governing council brushes it aside. They don't address it. That is a huge concern in a small

But one question I have for Director

2 Chavez: When you visited in September -- and my 3 understanding is there has not been an official 4 visit since then, so in a month and a half or five 5 weeks -- when you visited, was it an announced 6 visit, or was it -- you just showed up? 7

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins. I'm going to answer your question first, and then I want to add to your other concerns.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So when we visited, the purpose of the visit was to twofold, right? One, to sit down with the new head administrator at that time, Mr., Tompson, and make sure that he knew what the school was being held accountable to -- right? -- the charter contract Performance Framework, Mission-Specific Goals. And we also wanted to verify the degree to which there was pre-K in the classroom or not.

So it -- we gave, I think, a one-day notice. We did notice. But we also saw a notification that went out to parents ahead of time.

And so I don't think there was any trickery in terms of, like, you know, asking the

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pre-K kids to stay home that day. I don't think they're serving pre-K, based on those two bits of evidence.

2.

And then in regard to your other concern about the governing board potentially overstepping its roles, I'm very sensitive to that, too. I think that, you know, there are roles and responsibilities at schools and in organizations that need to be adhered to. And there is a potential for boards to overstep.

I don't think that's what's going on at this school. I think that what's going on at this school is that the board was not functioning until recently, and they over- -- they underwent a transitioning and a reshuffling of board leadership. Board membership changed to some degree.

And so I think the board's active role in making sure that there's communication with families and in recruiting and hiring the head administrator position is very much appropriate. They consult with us constantly when they have questions.

And I also think that intentions for Mr. Tompson and Ms. Herrera is that they are interim. They are -- they are not intended to be the next head administrator that sticks with the

main items that we have been tracking.

And I see a real concentrated effort in trying to keep the school in good standing. That doesn't mean that Mr. Tompson's leaving and somebody new coming in doesn't create a bit of -- you know, a need for readjustment with the new head administrator.

So there are struggles at the school. I am not going to deny. There is a need for many things to be attended to, which is why I want to do the sitdown with the new head administrator and any other staff at the school -- and, in fact, the governing board president said he'd like to be there, too -- to walk through what some of those things are.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I share many of Commissioner Robbins' concerns.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Thank you.

So, first -- and I guess this spills -- just the idea that Cliff was there for such a short time. Now we know that Ms. Herrera is going to be very interim, and there could be another interim, very concerned that that kind of turnover -- I mean, all of us know that that kind of turnover does not

school for a long period of time. That's why they're calling them interim.

And so it is with intention. They want to make sure that somebody has an administrative license in place and is at the school so that they are not without the head administrator while they continue a search for someone that is the right fit for the school.

So I don't think that they're extra involvement right now is overstepping their roles.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I appreciate that. But with, you know, a visit that was maybe five and a half, six weeks ago, if there's not additional follow-up -- and, you know, we received information recently pointing to governing council involvement in the finances and governing council involved in other areas, another question is are they current with all the reporting requirements for a school?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So we are tracking governance, and we are tracking the items that you saw there, which have to do with the financial preparation for their budget. And those are the two main -- and making sure that they have the head administrator in place. And those are the

lead to great leadership, to inspiration, to
 continuity, to anything, really, anything good,
 whenever you have the top people constantly turning
 over. So I'm very concerned about that.

Concerned about the 25 percent of the time. I mean, I understand how special ed is -- in and of itself, is very consuming. So I'm happy to hear, though, that Ms. Herrera is on site 100 percent of the time, but not that she's the head administrator only 25 percent of the time.

I'm curious as to if anyone has the answer to this question. It's a finite question, so I don't need a long explanation. The number of teachers that are at the school and the number of those teachers that are Montessori-certified.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: There are -the exact number of teachers -- Maitai, if I'm not
mistaken -- is five? Is that correct, Maitai?

MS. MAITAI LOPEZ: Yes, yes.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: And one of them is Montessori-certified.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Thank you for being succinct in your answer. I appreciate that.

So I'm reading the mission statement --

it's super duper short, so I'm just going to read it

here.

2.

Montessori School of the Arts and Sciences will provide students and their families in the Española region with the opportunity to improve educational outcomes utilizing an integrated approach that includes Montessori, the arts, and sciences.

So we have one teacher that's certified. We had -- I don't remember if Cliff was certified or not

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. It's just a concern. The concern is that you're using Montessori to enhance -- because of the brand. You don't have fidelity to Montessori. You just don't. And if -- from what I see. And it's like adding Montessori to the name, and even Arts and Sciences, you know, creates a brand.

But I don't like the idea of using
Montessori without being -- having fidelity to the
Montessori models. And I'm very concerned about
that. And that would just mean the next time -- or
whatever time it would be appropriate coming back to
the -- the Commission and kind of restating the
intention of the charter and the school and the

Well, you know, we'll see -- we'll just see how things go.

terms of it now not being within the realm of possibility for them to complete their training by November 1st. I believe we -- at least that's what I heard you say. Or that -- you know, I mean, plenty of time was given to be in compliance. And so I'm concerned that that wasn't completed.

You know, the board thing is important in

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: If I may interrupt for a minute. Not giving any excuses. Charlie Riddick needs one more hour. You know, Ms. Martinez-Vigil is a foster parent for CYFD. She went through a lot of change in September and October with foster children and that at her house.

I know I have to complete my trainings. That is my responsibility.

But just to give you bit of confidence in me, my background is in financial accounting and operations with the University of California. I have eleven years of tax -- Enrolled Tax Agent and a lot of experience. I understand the fiduciary responsibility with public funding, and I promise I will get my trainings done.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right. Thank

mission, that that could be appropriate.

The other concern I have is on the Open Meetings Act. I appreciate that you're going to be noticing town halls. But you-all got to be careful as to what you discuss at these town halls. I mean, it's really at a town hall when it's something like this, it's, like, "We're having this meeting. All five board members may be present, and we really just want to hear your feedback about so-and-so and so-and-so."

And that's kind of it. It's not where all of the board members start chiming in and talking about their points of view. You guys have to really pull the reins in on that to maintain compliance. And it's not like there's anybody that's going to take you to the AG's Office. Nobody is going to do that. But it's just be in compliance. That's all.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Absolutely agree. That's how the town hall went. We all gathered in the cafeteria. We had this whiteboard. The parents addressed their goals, their wants, their needs, their concerns for the year, and there was no board business conducted. We're highly aware of that.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Swell. All right.

you very much.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just wanted to say, I know it's not November 1st. But I got the e-mail -- I think there's a possibility of is it eight hours from the Public Charter Schools conference? So that there's still -- you know, there's still -- I think --

MS. MELISSA BROWN: They have Mike Vigil as their business manager, and Mr. Vigil is an approved provider. If there's an opportunity for Mr. Vigil to provide financial training to the board members before November 1st, they just have to let me know. And I did send Secretary Gordon-Brown an e-mail about that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And that's my fault. I forgot it was financial training. So I don't know whether that's available at the December -- I know there's a possible eight hours of training opportunities, but I don't know if it's fiscal.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: I'm sure that they have three hours of fiscal.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I want to take everything I said back.

MS. MELISSA BROWN: I also wanted to say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I have witnessed, on multiple occasions, this board's's appropriate, non-response to public comment in their meetings. They do a good job of not responding, but listening and thanking and moving on to address your concern, Mr. --Commissioner Carrillo.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: So I think Commissioner Voigt is about to make a motion. But before she does that, I'm going to just say I think I am most concerned that the students are being served to what the school says that they're going to be served in that way.

So for me, not having the Mission Goal Worksheet done yet, whether that's because of the change in administration, because of whatever, the fact that the Mission Goal Worksheet has not been prioritized and completed, and, you know, already done before now is extremely problematic, because it's your mission. It's literally what's serving the students is about.

And because your mission is such -- I mean, it is the heart of your school is the mission. It's -- everything that you do is part of the mission, that I would say my biggest concern at this time is going to be the fidelity to the Montessori,

I don't want to wait until the end of the year, and your education program says you're going to be doing Montessori.

So I want to see evidence of that. And I think that is what I would like to see added to the CAP, which I know is something that Commissioner Voigt is going to do in her motion.

But, I mean, that's what I'm really -continue doing all these things. I am concerned about whatever -- you know, if -- I would say I'll be fair and give it to November 1st for the governing board trainings. But if it doesn't happen, I mean, next time this comes up, I would like to see that escalated to the next part of the Intervention Ladder. That's how it's supposed to work.

And I just think the students are not in a space where we can give more and more and more time. So I know there's some -- there's lots of other things going on with adults. And I'm going to put a lot of those other things with the documents to the side for myself and focus in on the kids and what they need and get.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: If I may? And that's -- I agree with you, is we are always trying

283

making sure it's being implemented with fidelity, that all of the things that the students and teachers need in order to get that level of great education, I don't know if that's happening or not. I just don't know.

And I think one of the things that I would like to see added to the Corrective Action Plan, because of its importance, is going to be the Mission Goal Worksheet and getting data back on those mission goals sooner than later.

And, ideally, Secretary Gordon-Brown, you're already doing it. Like, that's ideal. Hopefully you guys are already doing it, and you'll be able to provide this data quickly.

And we're not asking you to do more than you're already doing, because it's already in motion. If it's not in motion, and it's going to be extra, that's a problem. So that's kind of where I'm at; right?

So I think I would -- today, I would like to see, added to the Corrective Action Plan. evidence. I want, like, evidence that the mission goals are -- are -- have been implemented, that they're being tracked. They're being -- I want to see that already started.

to move forward and focus on the mission and what's next. But we are blindsided with a lot of adult issues. And so when those things come up, it puts a lot off to the side. And we're trying to mitigate through all of that.

As per the mission, that was something that was done without the board or the previous board, anyway. We did not have -- not that we're trying to take over, obviously. We did not have any oversight or input on the current mission, which is why now Ms. Herrera and Isaac -- and President Casados -- can work on something together and get those goals. It is a priority.

But, again, we are continuously fixing errors from the past or issues that we had no idea was happening or going on. But I assure you they're coming.

THE CHAIR: That's what -- ideally, that's kind of what I want to hear. But I also am kind of past the points of just trusting the process. And so for me, having it in writing and making sure that it's something that's followed up, that's a -- you know, I think that, for me, I want to make sure you-all know that that's how much of a priority it is, that it's something that is -- just as much as

1 your financial training, like, the implementation of 2 Montessori in your school is right there as well. So that's what I'll be focusing on today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: And I can speak for the whole board. We absolutely appreciate the guidance. We did not take this Intervention Ladder personally. We looked at it as our goals as a board that we have to look forward to. So we're absolutely okay with what's to come, and if you have to add to it.

THE CHAIR: All right. Before I go to you, Commissioner Voigt, I do have -- before we go to the motion, Commissioner Gipson, then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I guess I'm a little 15 16 confused, because this document says "La Tierra 17 Expectations," But then the word "Corrective Action 18 Plan." I don't see this as a Corrective Action 19 Plan, and it's never been labeled as a Corrective 20 Action Plan. So I have a concern about if it is, 21 then we need to be clearer that it's a Corrective 22 Action Plan. 23

But this was -- because we did this quickly, we laid this out as, "These are the expectations of the Commission," which I

1 we already did this." 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you're -- we're half a school year behind, and there is no discussion on where we are with the goal that we agreed to and signed on, as a governance council, signed that document for that.

And that's -- that's incredibly concerning.

MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: I appreciate that concern. I really do. Again, I don't want to live in the past. And I tell everybody this all the time. But it was changed after the previous board was aware of what the mission was. And this was before my time as well.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Okay. So in -- thank you for bringing that up, Commissioner Gipson. Because I was calling it a Corrective Action Plan. So Ms. Barnes is going to address that, and then we're definitely adding that to the motion as well. So thank you.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So I am going back to the letter that went out after the board vote. And the letter identified that the -- that the PEC had issued a Notice of Concern. And a Notice of Concern contains the expectation -- let me find that exact -- someone's got the exact language.

287

289

wholeheartedly agree with. But I think the verbiage -- we either have to call it a Corrective Action Plan, which I don't think even the school was aware that it was a Corrective Action Plan, or these are just, "You need to get your act together, and these are the expectations."

To me, if they fail at this, we have one of two choices. We enter into a Corrective Action Plan, or we continue further down that Intervention Ladder and say, "It's too late. You know, you're in violation of your contract," which was what we're trying desperately not to do.

But I'll also be honest that folks that come and say, "I didn't know what the mission was. People before us negotiated that," or, "That was written...," that's something that no one that sits on a governance council should ever say, because you should know the mission of the school before you even sign on to be part of that school so you understand the mission.

And, you know, the fact that we're halfway through the year -- because when there's discussion about the Mission Goal Sheet being done, I'm thinking they're up for renewal, and we're heading that way to contract negotiations. It's like, "No,

1 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: What's the date on 2 it? What's the date of the letter?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Hold on just a second. So at that lowest level, it is that they have to comply with the expectation -- the expected outcomes and deadlines documented.

So to the extent that you were saying that there needs to be a Corrective Action Plan, that is at the next step. So I think, based on how -- and maybe this is clear. But based on how you voted, they got the correct document.

If you feel that you want to keep going on the Intervention Ladder, then that would be a Corrective Action Plan. I will tell you, though, however, is that the Notice of Concern, you do expect those outcomes and deadlines. They're just imposed on them by -- by the documentation. And that was provided to them.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. And I appreciate that. My concern was this was being called a Corrective Action Plan.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Then you are correct. It should be called that they have not complied with expected deadlines and outcomes.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Thanks.

74 (Pages 290 to 293) 290 1 1 anything to say. I was just trying to join as a MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm just going to add 2 2 one more thing, is that some of the dates haven't panelist. happened yet. So they have until the date. 3 THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry. Sorry about 3 4 4 And I think that Director Chavez was that. 5 5 bringing it forward because of the date of this All right. Okay. meeting. So you -- I don't think you can say that 6 6 All right. Commissioner Voigt. 7 7 someone hasn't complied with something if the date COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Okay. I 8 isn't there yet. 8 move that the Chair of the Public Education 9 9 THE CHAIR: Thank you again, Commissioner Commission send a letter to La Tierra Montessori 10 10 Gipson. And so to correct myself, then, I'll be School for the Arts and Sciences of the PEC's intent 11 supporting a move from it being expectations and a 11 to take further action under the Intervention Ladder 12 timeline to a Corrective Action Plan and adding the 12 due to the following concerns: 13 13 mission goals and educational program to those as Lack of evidence of implementation of 14 well. 14 school-specific goals; 15 Commissioner Carrillo. 15 Completion of a scoring protocol for those 16 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: First, based on 16 school-specific goals; 17 what you just said, I would want the motion to say 17 And implementation of the educational 18 that we are going to invoke the steps -- steps on 18 program and potential non-compliance with the 19 the Intervention Ladder. I don't want it 19 expected outcomes and deadline. 20 20 necessarily to be tied to a Corrective Action Plan THE CHAIR: Second. 21 if we feel that, in fact, it's a breach. 21 Commissioner Robbins? 22 I mean, I always like the ability of us to 22 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Commissioner Voigt, 23 go to that next level if we have to. 23 would you be amenable to adding the word 24 And so I would want the motion to 24 "Montessori" before "education, "implementing a 25 include -- if you're going to be a Montessori 25 Montessori education." 291 293 1 1 school, you should probably have some Montessori COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I did, but it was 2 2 edited. teachers besides one of five. 3 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It was edited, huh? 3 And, you know, whether or not this is in 4 4 THE CHAIR: So their education program is the motion, I just think -- or drop Montessori from 5 5 your name. I believe that you are, right now, specific to Montessori already. 6 incorrectly branding the school. And I don't know 6 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Their education 7 7 if Montessori has some sort of copyright program and their goals do say that. 8 8 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. You said infringement or idea of infringement or anything, 9 9 someone can just call a school Montessori if they "their education program." 10 10 have red building blocks or whatever. I think THE CHAIR: In the contract, their 11 11 that's just doing the students and the parents a contract education program. 12 12 completely -- a disservice. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Okay. Thank you.

And, you know, I'm just -- I would just add to the concern. But also, you know, things aren't met. You know, there's -- if there's no other head administrator, and if different things continue to happen, I would like the ability to go straight to breach if we have to.

And I know that always seems extreme. But, you know, ultimately this is about the kids, and we're telling them they're going to get a Montessori education. Or you're telling them they're going to get a Montessori education.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: I didn't have

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm not sure whether, in a motion, saying that that there's potential for non-compliance, you know, that just seems like we're anticipating, "You're not going to be able to do this." I mean, if they don't do it, it comes up

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. Then

Commissioner Carrillo.

at the next meeting, and it gets added into whatever action we take based on that information.

But we've -- you know, I'm just not real comfortable with that, "Potentially, you may not be doing this," because -- we could throw that at a lot

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of schools: "Potentially, you're not going to meet this expectation."

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I can revise that verbiage.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm fine with if there's areas where they are non-compliant, just saying, "You haven't been compliant." But I don't like to say, throwing it out there in the future, "At some point in time, you're not going to be compliant about something else."

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Do you want to just read it with the verbiage changed to eliminate that potential and replace it?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Sure. I move that the Chair of the Public Education Commission send a letter to La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Science (verbatim) of the PEC's intent to take further action under the Intervention Ladder due to the following concerns:

Lack of evidence of school-specific growth;

Completion of a scoring protocol for those school-specific goals; and

Implementation of the educational program; and

thing I'm challenged with, it's, like, we tiptoe

- 2 around things. And since we only meet once -- you
- 3 know, really once a month -- right? -- I mean,
- 4 because there -- it's, like, if we're not going to
- 5 do something, then it's going to be December. Then
- 6 it's going to be January. And now, all of a sudden,
- 7 it's, like, we -- it just seems like we -- like, we
- just keep kicking the darn can, you know, down the
 road instead of taking somebody by the short hairs
- and saying, "Look, this is what's happening or else."

Did you get that part? "This is what's happening or else"?

THE CHAIR: Sorry. Say that again, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: This is the third time. "This is what's happening or else." I feel like we tiptoe, that we're milquetoasty. We don't let people know, "We're the Commission, damn it, and follow the rules."

I'm good.

THE CHAIR: The only thing I'll say is we just -- I just want to follow a process and procedure and make sure that we're doing the right thing by the students, by the school, by what's the

Any non-compliance with the expected outcomes and deadline.

THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner Carrillo.

Sorry. Second. I'll second that, like I did last time.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So I agree with Ms. -- with Commissioner Gipson about that change of language. Can you read the sentence or phrase between commas or whatever about, again, the intent to do whatever?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Lack of evidence of implementation of school-specific goals, completion of a scoring protocol for those school-specific goals.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, it's right here. It was the word "intent." It's, like -- I guess sometimes what I -- one, I want to add the word "Montessori" that David said -- or Commissioner -- I want to add the word "Montessori" so it's more specific in the motion.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Then we should say "and implementation of the Montessori educational program."

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Great. And the

right. That's -- and, I mean, you and I have had those conversations about doing things the right way and not going too -- too far too quick and allowing the process to happen as quickly as possible.

Understood.

If we met more often, we wouldn't have these situations. It's -- I think it's literally just the fact that we meet every month.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Let's meet every Tuesday.

THE CHAIR: Okay. That's not a part of this item.

But I think that's where -- yeah. So the one thing I'll say about adding "Montessori," which is not -- right now, it's not a part of the motion. In the education program, there's a lot more to the education program than just Montessori. So if you say "Montessori education program," you're limiting us, then, from having their -- looking at the complete education program.

21 So can we just leave "educational 22 program"? Because it's in the contract, and it's --23 Montessori is named multiple times in the 24 educational program in the contract. So it's, 25 like -- it's redundant, but also exclusionary from

	298		300
1	all the other pieces.	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I apologize,
2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm going to say	2	Commissioner Robbins.
3	that's fine. So I'm not going to die on this hill.	3	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
4	But if I were somebody heading some Montessori	4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.
5	wherever something, I'd do something to protect the	5	THE CHAIR: And thank you. Thank you,
6	brand, for God's sake. I think they've made a big	6	Delisha, for coming. Thank you, Maitai. Thank you,
7	mistake in not doing so.	7	Patricia, for coming and talking to us. We you
8	THE CHAIR: Okay. So the motion still is	8	coming and talking is always helpful. So thank you.
9	as was read. Nothing has changed.	9	MS. DELISHA GORDON-BROWN: Thank you.
10	Any other discussion or comments?	10	Thank you, Commissioners and Directors.
11	Commissioner Carrillo.	11	THE CHAIR: All right. We are going to
12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It's like we have	12	we are going to hone in on some of the action items
13	some schools there's one of them in particular	13	we have left. Hone in.
14	that I won't call out by name in Northern	14	So we have we're going to skip Item 14
15	New Mexico. And it just seems like everyone and	15	for right now, and then we're going to go to
16	their mother has, you know, an Expeditionary	16	Item 15. So we're going to do the next three items,
17	Learning, college-prep program. Everyone just wants	17	15, 16, 17, and try to kind of knock these three
18	to have these everyone wants to be an academy.	18	out.
19	You're not an academy. You're just an	19	Commissioner Carrillo.
20	elementary school. It's this jargon that's used.	20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I know this is
21	You can tell I'm getting punchy. It's	21	actually, this isn't long at all when I think about
22	after 4:00. But, just, it annoys me, especially the	22	board meetings that I've attended. I don't want to
23	Expeditionary Learning thing.	23	skip 14. That's a super duper important
24	It just becomes the thing is it starts	24	THE CHAIR: We're going to come back to
25	not to mean anything if everyone just throws out	25	it.
	299		301
1	these terms. And then the students are the ones,	1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: She said for now.
2			COMMISSIONER CHESON: SHE SAID TOF HOW.
	and the parents, that get cheated. Off my soapbox.	2	
3	and the parents, that get cheated. Off my soapbox. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo,		COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise?
	and the parents, that get cheated. Off my soapbox. THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais?
3	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll.	2 3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So
3 4	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo,	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais?
3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a
3 4 5	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was.	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30,
3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So
3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including Addition to Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including Addition to Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Contract.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including Addition to Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Contract. The updated calendar is in the Google
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, seven to zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including Addition to Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Contract. The updated calendar is in the Google Drive. So we added we changed some of the dates
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: All right. Secretary Armijo, if you could call roll. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Yes. Okay. I don't know where I was. Okay. Commissioner Taylor. Oh, Commissioner Taylor left. I'm sorry. Commissioner Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You promise? Pinky-swear? Pinky-swear across the dais? THE CHAIR: Yeah, of course. So Commissioner Taylor did have to leave. I have a prior obligation to where I have to leave at 5:30, and I'm very concerned about maintaining quorum. So I would like to focus on the action items, so if we need to move to subcommittee unfortunately, I apologize that it can still continue under Vice Chair Voigt. But those items have no action later on. And also that; right? So if there's if ideally not. But if we have to move to subcommittee, I would like to not have actionable items left on the agenda. So that's why we're choosing that. Okay. Item No. 15, Discussion and Possible Action on PEC Calendar Change Including Addition to Approve Explore Academy-Rio Rancho Contract. The updated calendar is in the Google

	302		304
1	we moved those dates a little bit.	1	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
2	Then we are also proposing to make	2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.
3	November 7th a work session and then meeting.	3	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
4	So right now, it just says, "Possible Work	4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.
5	Session," or it may say, "Work Session." It will be	5	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.
6	Work Session and a formal meeting.	6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
7	That meeting will only be to approve that	7	Robbins.
8	contract. So the Work Session has already been	8	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
9	planned out. But we and then we have to finish	9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, seven
10	before noon that day. So that's why we're going to	10	to zero.
11	keep that particular Work Session meeting very	11	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
12	limited.	12	Item No. 16 is Discussion and Possible
13	If there's any emergency items, that would	13	Action on New School Application.
14	be discussed by the Executive Committee and added.	14	Dr. Russell, can you lead us through that
15	But we have a very short, small window that morning	15	one?
16	to do that meeting. So that is it will be Work	16	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Yes, Chair Burt.
17	Session and then formal meeting. And those are the	17	Now I'm trying to remember oh, my
18	<u> </u>	18	goodness. Okay. New School Application.
19	changes to the calendar. November 1st does not need	19	Timeline okay. So the New School
20	to be added though the calendar.	20	App and I should probably share my screen. So I
21	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Can I make a motion?	21	
22	THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Voigt, motion.	22	have two documents in the meeting materials. 16.c.
	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I move that the		is a redline of the the New Application. And
23	Public Education commission adopt the changes to the	23	16.d. is a changes-accepted, cleaned-up version of
24	PEC calendar for 2022 and 2023, as shown on the	24	the application.
25	updated calendar as set forth in Items 15.a. and	25	So I'm going to share my screen with the
	303		305
1	303 15.b.	1	
1 2	15.b.	1 2	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page.
			cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline.
2	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds,	2	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter
2 3	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a.	2 3	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April.
2 3 4	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied	2 3 4	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this,
2 3 4 5 6	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the	2 3 4 5 6	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion.
2 3 4 5 6 7	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually	2 3 4 5 6 7	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline.
2 3 4 5 6	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d.	2 3 4 5 6	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st. And the PEC will hold the decision-making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st. And the PEC will hold the decision-making meeting on August 25th, the week after initially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st. And the PEC will hold the decision-making meeting on August 25th, the week after initially scheduled so that there are more than 30 days from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st. And the PEC will hold the decision-making meeting on August 25th, the week after initially scheduled so that there are more than 30 days from the letter to the decision-making.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	15.b. THE CHAIR: Before anyone seconds, Dr. Russell. DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: So Item 15.a. showed November 7th as a Work Session. I copied that and renamed it Item 15.d. and changed 7 to the same color as a PEC meeting. So it's actually Item 15.d. THE CHAIR: Okay. So can you would you accept a friendly amendment? Instead of 15.a., it would be 15.d.? COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second. THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion and a second. Any discussion on the motion as amended? All right. Secretary Armijo. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cleaned-up version and only show the timeline page. So here we have a streamlined timeline. PSFA Facilities Plan is moved up above the charter deadline, because it happens in April. Extraneous, you know, you have to do this, you have to do that is in the instructions portion. This is just timeline. Capacity so Substantive Review Period does not include a Preliminary Report. Capacity Interviews. Preliminary Analysis is sent to the schools and PEC after the Capacity Interview by June 30th. Input hearings, July 10th through 12th, the week prior to the PEC meeting. Community Input between July 11th and 13th. CSD Analysis by July 14th. PEC informs applicants of concerns by July 21st. And the PEC will hold the decision-making meeting on August 25th, the week after initially scheduled so that there are more than 30 days from

	306		308
1	Commissioners?	1	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
2	All right. So I'll say I really like	2	Robbins.
3	this. And I'm really glad that you-all are	3	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.
4	thinking obviously, I know that you-all are in	4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: And Vice Chair
5	continual improvement mode, but thinking about how	5	Voigt.
6	to also, you know, deal with the what we're	6	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.
7	throwing at you-all with the different timelines and	7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, seven
8	moving things around and having to make that best	8	to zero.
9	and being thoughtful about how the process goes for	9	THE CHAIR: Great. And that'll bring us
10	applicants, I'm really grateful for that.	10	to Decision and Possible Action on Implementation
11	DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: One thing regarding	11	Year Checklist. Dr. Russell again.
12	the New Application, the cover has the picture from	12	DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: Oh, boy. Okay.
13	the olden times, you know. Everything 'cause I	13	Implementation Year Checklist.
14	tried so hard to get that document there are some	14	So go Implementation Year Checklist
15	wonky formatting things.	15	Draft. Okay.
16	I'm just going to leave it alone and	16	The only changes that have been made
17	sometime next summer, I'm going to try to work on it	17	I'm opening it up. Actually, I don't think I need
18	so that the 2024 New Application, the cover will	18	to open it up.
19	look like ours.	19	The only changes that have been made to
20	THE CHAIR: It's modern. All right. If	20	the Implementation Year Checklist since you last saw
21	anyone else wants to feel like you're in the olden	21	it is that all of the statute and code has been
22	days anymore, my children referred to me as being	22	hyperlinked.
23	born in the late 1900s. So that was real nice of	23	So many thanks to William Spotts, who did
24	them. Just throwing that out there. It made me	24	that for us.
25	feel really old.	25	I spot-checked a lot of the links, and
	307		309
		,	
1	All right. I never heard that before.	1	they were all perfect. So everything else is the
2 3	Late 1900s. I couldn't all right.	2 3	same. We've just linked the statute for ease of the
3 4	COMMISSIONER VOICE: Learner that the	4	applicant looking things up, and no other changes.
5	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: I move that the	5	THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioners, any
6	Public Education Commission adopt the dates and	6	questions or comments? Implementation Year Checklist?
7	actions for New School Applicants, as set forth in item 16.e.	7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.	8	THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none,
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion	9	Secretary Armijo.
10	and a second.	10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I think we need a
11	Any discussion?	11	motion first.
12	(No response.)	12	THE CHAIR: Oh, my gosh.
13	THE CHAIR: All right. Hearing none,	13	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I'll move for
14	Secretary Armijo.	14	approval of the Implementation Year Checklist.
15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Thank you.	15	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: As set forth in
16	Secretary Armijo votes yes.	16	Item 17.
17	Chair Burt.	17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
18	THE CHAIR: Yes.	18	THE CHAIR: Thank you. So sorry. I'm
19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	19	really moving. Secretary Armijo.
20	Carrillo.	20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Chair Burt.
21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah.	21	THE CHAIR: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.	22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	23	Carrillo.
24		24	
	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	24	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis. COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	25	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Gipson.

	310		312
1	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	1	under the Charter School Act.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	2	The other thing is, in terms of
3	I'll come back to him.	3	rule-making, is there's quite often in law well,
4	Commissioner Robbins.	4	sometimes in law where two entities work together
5	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	5	and consult with each other. So it doesn't have to
6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	6	be just PEC rule-making. It could be drafted in a
7	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	7	way that said PEC and PED in collaboration. And
8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo	8	that would be consistent with 9-22-8, which is both
9	votes yes.	9	the PEC authority and the PED authority, and,
10	And Commissioner Manis.	10	actually talks part about the administrative
11	COMMISSIONER MANIS: (No response.)	11	attachment.
12	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. That passes,	12	So there's quite a few options there. I
13	six to zero.	13	just wanted to highlight that.
14	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes, ma'am.	14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.
15	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Okay. Thank you.	15	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, given we have
16	There he is. Thank you. Seven to zero.	16	some lawyers that indicate we don't have the
17	THE CHAIR: All right. So that'll bring	17	authority except under PED, I would urge the
18	us our last item that is an action item is going	18	Commission to approve requesting legislation to give
19	to be back to Item No. 8. So we're going to go	19	PEC explicit rule-making authority in compliance
20	Dr. Russell, before I do that.	20	with the educational rules for the State of New
21	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Item 18 is	21	Mexico.
22	Ms. Brown, and she has to leave by 5:00.	22	In other words, we cannot make a rule that
23	THE CHAIR: Okay.	23	would be contrary to PED rule-making, but we can
24	MS. MELISSA BROWN: It's not a vote.	24	make rule-making that is the exclusive purview of
25	DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: But don't you need	25	Public Education Commission. It's a long motion,
	Bid Bid Gill II Research But don't you need		Tuene Buseauch Commission. 103 a long motion,
	311		313
1	to present something?	1	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish
1 2		1 2	
	to present something?		but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish
2	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay.	2	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction.
2 3	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back	2 3	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
2 3 4	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that.	2 3 4	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly
2 3 4 5	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda.	2 3 4 5	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has
2 3 4 5 6	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I	2 3 4 5 6	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish	2 3 4 5 6 7	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you can actually do it in a different place, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where you put it in, and it could take us three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you can actually do it in a different place, which is the section in law that looks at the general roles of the PEC and the PED.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where you put it in, and it could take us three Legislative Sessions to finally get it to stick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you can actually do it in a different place, which is the section in law that looks at the general roles of the PEC and the PED. So if you did it under the different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where you put it in, and it could take us three Legislative Sessions to finally get it to stick somewhere, as so many pieces of legislation do. I mean, those that aren't that familiar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to present something? THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you can actually do it in a different place, which is the section in law that looks at the general roles of the PEC and the PED. So if you did it under the different section, you would not open up the Charter School	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where you put it in, and it could take us three Legislative Sessions to finally get it to stick somewhere, as so many pieces of legislation do. I mean, those that aren't that familiar need to understand that so often, legislation you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: It's Director Chavez? Okay. All right. So we'll come back to that. So thank you. So we are going to go back to item No. 8, which is the Legislative Agenda. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Oh, my gosh. I forgot. We hadn't done that. We didn't finish that. THE CHAIR: All right. Yeah. So we are on Item No. 4, which is "Explicit language for PEC rule-making under the Charter School Act." COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. THE CHAIR: And I'll let Ms. Barnes talk about it. But that's which one we're on, so just to orient everyone where we're at. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. I have looked at where you could do PEC rule-making. You could do it under the Charter School Act. But you can actually do it in a different place, which is the section in law that looks at the general roles of the PEC and the PED. So if you did it under the different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but it's not even a motion. But that's my wish and my direction. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: You know, I firmly believe we have the right to do the rule-making. But I agree that it has to be well, it just has to be clarified so there's not this well, it has to be clarified that we have the ability to do it. So if it has to be through legislation but excuse me. I'm trying to think whether this is something that's going to pique someone's interest that they're going to sponsor this legislation. That's just you know, like, on top of priorities when we're worrying about serving kids and things like that, I just that's my I would like to see it. I just don't know whether it'll get any traction. I think this is one of those things where you put it in, and it could take us three Legislative Sessions to finally get it to stick somewhere, as so many pieces of legislation do. I mean, those that aren't that familiar

conversation. And it's not that usual that many things get through the first time around. So I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't -- however much -- however we can do it where we don't open up the Charter School Act, that's absolutely paramount.

So I'm kind of on the fence with this one in terms of whether it needs -- whether it should be -- it should be legislation this time around. But I firmly believe that we do have the right to -or the authority to do rule-making now.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, I don't know if you were just --

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Just waving around.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. I agree with both Commissioners. I don't want any language to risk opening up the Charter School Act. And I agree that there's probably some lawmakers that would consider this more self-serving. They might see it that way, so it might not garner the support it should. But in principle, I like the idea.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. I think, for me, this one is actually, to me, on the, like, top of my

elected each cycle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Those are things that should be established and shouldn't be affected by election cycles. And having that in effect -- this is not the easy way for us. The easy way for us is voting on it -- introducing it one month, voting on it the next month. That's way easier.

This is not self-serving at all. This is way more difficult for us to do. But there are pieces of our work that need that, that deserve that. And the schools deserve to have that consistency and stability from us as well.

I do think there are some external folks that would support us in this, because we have heard from people that this is something that needs to happen. And it's not clear how it should happen.

So we all saw the letter that said there needs to be rules; right? Like, that has been -that has been clear for quite some time now. And we -- I think, for me, this is a really high priority.

Okay. Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I was going to make a motion, too. But if Commissioner Voigt wants to do it, that's fine with me.

315

priority list, because I don't want to argue about it. I don't want -- I do believe we need to put things in rule. I do believe that.

We need to. We need to do that for the schools. The schools are asking for it. This is one thing that is very loud and clear that the schools have said that they want to feel a layer of stability in these processes that we're making in different things that we're doing. They want to feel stable in them. And this is the best way to do it.

And so I would like to have it clarified to where it's not -- I know that the PED's argument is that there's nowhere in there where explicitly says we do have rule-making authority. They're correct. We do not have explicit rule-making authority. So I would like to pursue changing that.

And I think - it isn't self-serving at all for us. We're making it -- just to be clear, this makes it more difficult on us to change things that we might need to change in the future as well.

This serves schools more than anyone else. This -- that's who it benefits. I actually think it benefits CSD to have clear process and guidelines that aren't going to change based off of who gets

1 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Got it in my head. 2 COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Go right ahead.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. I'd like to move that the PEC pursue legislation that will allow explicit language for the PEC to do rule-making under the Charter School Act.

THE CHAIR: No, not under the Charter School Act. Not under the --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Not under the Charter School Act. To do rule-making, period.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Could I revise and suggest?

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Go ahead. Take a stab.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I move that the Public Education Commission move -- or I move that they seek legislative authority, explicit legislative authority, for rule-making.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.

THE CHAIR: Yes.

All right. Any other question or comment?

22 And I think -- I mean, I don't know -- I 23 don't know if this is something -- I think, you

24 know, once again, Commissioner Gipson is spot-on. I 25

don't know if it's something that this year we get

	318		320
1	right away. Maybe it takes another year or two. It	1	this change, but I don't like the pathway to get to
2	gets some traction. Maybe we get it done. But I	2	the change at this moment in time.
3	think we need to start it. And, ideally once	3	I think the you know, if we could
4	again, we can come up with some kind of compromise	4	change it, otherwise, absolutely, you know. We've
5	in the meantime. But (Discussion off-mic.)	5	never had a school not agree to the 30 days.
6	THE CHAIR: Okay. All right. Seeing no	6	There's always that possibility. But we've never
7	other comment, Secretary Armijo.	7	had a school that has not agreed to waive that
8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	8	30 days, you know?
9	Carrillo.	9	But I'd like to see it changed. But I
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: See? She called on	10	just don't if you can tell me there's a pathway
11	you first.	11	without opening up the Charter School Act, I'm with
12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hello. Yes.	12	you; but
13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: I like to mix it up.	13	THE CHAIR: Ms. Barnes.
14	Commissioner Gipson.	14	MS. JULIA BARNES: I just want to point
15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. Before, he	15	out that anything that you voted on that's 22-8B is
16	said, "She never calls on me first."	16	the Charter School Act. So you have several motions
17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis.	17	up above where you're opening the only way to do
18	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	18	it is to open up the Charter School Act.
19	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	19	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Open up the Charter
20	Robbins.	20	School Act. Okay. Okay. I support the concept, so
21	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	21	have at it.
22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.
23	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.	23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So this would say
24	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo	24	that, absolutely, we have to have a contract within
25	votes yes.	25	30 days; isn't that correct?
	319		321
1		,	
1 2	Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	1 2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: No, the law says that now.
3	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes,	3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And we don't like
4	seven did I miss somebody? Oh, no. Seven to	4	that? Or we do like that?
5	zero.	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We can't do it. We
6	THE CHAIR: Great. That'll take us to		COMMISSIONER ON BOTH. We can't do in. We
7		6	can't do the contract negotiations within 30 days of
	Item No. 5. Ms. Barnes.	6 7	can't do the contract negotiations within 30 days of when we renew the school.
	Item No. 5. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet	7	when we renew the school.
8	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet		when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it.
8	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter	7 8	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not
8	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to	7 8 9	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay.
8 9 10	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't.	7 8 9 10	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like
8 9 10 11	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter	7 8 9 10 11	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to
8 9 10 11 12	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just	7 8 9 10 11 12	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was
8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools to extend it. I think it's impossible to meet that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support it if it's kind of compiled with the other items
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools to extend it. I think it's impossible to meet that deadline if anyone is going to celebrate any of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support it if it's kind of compiled with the other items that are already that we're talking about. The
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools to extend it. I think it's impossible to meet that deadline if anyone is going to celebrate any of the end-of-the-year holidays, including school leaders. By if you're going to open it, it's an easy change. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support it if it's kind of compiled with the other items that are already that we're talking about. The 2 percent money is what we're that's already what; right? That opens up the Charter School Act. So I think if this is part of that. I'd be okay be
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools to extend it. I think it's impossible to meet that deadline if anyone is going to celebrate any of the end-of-the-year holidays, including school leaders. By if you're going to open it, it's an easy change. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well, I think we've	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support it if it's kind of compiled with the other items that are already that we're talking about. The 2 percent money is what we're that's already what; right? That opens up the Charter School Act. So I think if this is part of that. I'd be okay be with it. If it's this alone, I probably wouldn't
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. JULIA BARNES: So the next one is yet another one of those ones that, in the Charter School Act, that sometimes you have discretion to make date changes, sometimes you don't. If you're going to open up the Charter School Act, you may you should go ahead and just clarify the things that are wonky. And one of them is this 30 that you have to have a charter school contract within 30 days. We get agreement from the charter schools to extend it. I think it's impossible to meet that deadline if anyone is going to celebrate any of the end-of-the-year holidays, including school leaders. By if you're going to open it, it's an easy change. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when we renew the school. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Got it. That's too soon of a time frame. It's not realistic. Okay. THE CHAIR: All right. So it seems like this is one of those where it's just to align it to the practice; right? They wrote it. But that was before it actually ever got implemented. And so now it's, you know, just right? correcting those pieces. All right. So, yeah, I guess I'll support it if it's kind of compiled with the other items that are already that we're talking about. The 2 percent money is what we're that's already what; right? That opens up the Charter School Act. So I think if this is part of that. I'd be okay be

	322		324
1	we ready for a motion?	1	never-ending. I mean, they are just going to if
2	All right. Commissioner Robbins.	2	we pass this, it would just seem that they're going
3	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I'll move that the	3	to take us to courts one way or another if they
4	Public Education Commission pursue legislation to	4	don't like a decision.
5	provide a modified timing for the entering into a	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: They wouldn't be
6	contract with an applicant that does not restrict	6	able to.
7	the Commission or applicant to a 30-day deadline.	7	THE CHAIR: Yeah. I think this eliminates
8	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.	8	that path. There is no opportunity for
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. There's a motion	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: But can we legally
10	by Commissioner Robbins and a second by Commissioner	10	eliminate someone's path to go to court? They might
11	Carrillo.	11	take this action to court. It just seems like I
12	Any other comments by Commissioners?	12	mean, I'm not an attorney, even though I play one on
13	(No response.)	13	TV. It's I don't know.
14	THE CHAIR: All right. Seeing none,	14	THE CHAIR: There is precedent in other
15	secretary Armijo.	15	states. There is precedent around the nation.
16	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's my turn.	16	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Didn't you
17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: You're making me	17	tell me the guy in New Jersey, the Secretary, gets
18	laugh. Okay.	18	to be the only person, period?
19	Commissioner Gipson.	19	THE CHAIR: It's not even a Secretary.
20	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	20	It's the authorizer. There's one person. There's
21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Manis,	21	nothing else. So there is precedent.
22	I don't think is on any longer.	22	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'm tired of all
23	Commissioner Robbins.	23	this. Because ultimately what it does also is it
24	COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes.	24	takes money right out of the classroom by using SEG
25	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Vice Chair Voigt.	25	money to constantly pay their attorneys and go to
	323		325
1	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yes.		
	COMMISSIONER VOIGT. Tes.	1	court.
2	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo	2	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes.	2 3	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt.	2 3 4	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's not hard to draft.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's ability to question our take that out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's not hard to draft. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's ability to question our take that out. THE CHAIR: So then no appeal from us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's not hard to draft. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So, essentially,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's ability to question our take that out. THE CHAIR: So then no appeal from us there's just no appeal process at all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's not hard to draft. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So, essentially, we're saying it all ends at PED with the Secretary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's ability to question our take that out. THE CHAIR: So then no appeal from us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Secretary Armijo votes yes. Chair Burt. THE CHAIR: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: That passes, six to zero. THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Next item, Item No. 6. Ms. Barnes. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you. Item No. 6 is a suggestion, again, within the charter school law, the Charter School Act, to change the appellate review process. The concept identified was to have the review at the PED be the final review. It's an easy drafting change. It's potentially a very big substantive change, but it's not hard to draft. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So, essentially,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So I agree with this, with one exception. My concern is what if, as we used the example before, when the Cabinet Secretary exercised his right to question our action, if this would this stop us then from being able to go to court against that action? Because that's you know, we still need that pathway. That's my concern. THE CHAIR: So we would have to be specific to eliminating the school's opportunity COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct. THE CHAIR: to appeal past the Secretary. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Because, you know, we have a school that wouldn't be open right now if we hadn't had that ability to go to court with that. Or eliminate the Cabinet Secretary's ability to question our take that out. THE CHAIR: So then no appeal from us there's just no appeal process at all?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because we're still saying -- right now we're saying -- no, I'm going to take that back. Because I still think we need the ability to be able to react and act if there is a majority on the Commission that disagree with that decision made. Because, in many cases, that hearing is done by a hearing officer that's not an educational expert. So I want to retain the ability for the the Cabinet Secretary. Because it doesn't happen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commission to be able to appeal a decision made by that often, you know. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like it.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But it happens too often, because the easy pathway to staying open, unfortunately, is for schools to the district court. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I agree.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, I think this is a question for counsel. How -- how practical is it to say we want to have the ability to either override or challenge the Secretary's decision, but we don't want schools to be able to override it?

I mean, can you -- can laws be set up in such a way that we have the ability, but other people don't? Because it seems like if you give -- pulled from this, you know, the sentence -- and I just dropped it into the memo.

The sentence that's in law that gives the appeal to the District Court is only applicable if that sentence is in law.

So 39-3-11 only applies if you have a sentence in your statute like we do now. So if you take that sentence out of the statute, you wouldn't have a right to go to District Court. So it's not an absolute right to go to District Court.

What you're asking is can we have the sentence in there only for charter schools? I don't know. I'd have to look at it. I'm still not positive I've answered your question.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, as a Commission, we're not technically -- I guess you can argue semantics -- an agency. We are a duly elected body, different from state agencies. We are distinctly different from state agencies in that we are all elected, publicly elected, officials.

But that was my question, is, you know, could you make it in such a way that an elected body's decision, you know, for procedural or something like that, is unappealable?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think you could craft

327

I mean, you know, if you give someone the ability, then you give everyone the ability if they meet certain conditions.

I mean, we're an authorizer. But can a law be written in such a way that only the authorizer would have -- only the authorizer would have the ability to appeal a decision by the Secretary? I mean, is that possible and reasonable? I mean, I say you can try anything. But would -but what's the legality of such a move?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I haven't looked at it, although I kind of echo your concerns that can you piecemeal who gets to do what. And then there's certainly a political reality with the legislation getting through.

So I haven't looked at it to see if you could do it. But I do echo your -- your concern that you're piecemealing it. Maybe. I don't know. And if you could, could it pass? I don't know.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Would it be -- and. again, would it be easier to get legislation approved by the court? In other words, it wouldn't be challengeable in court and everything if the legislation was that decisions of the PEC are final. MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, I just -- I just

legislation that said that. But would that pass.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Would it get through the legislature. Okay.

MS. JULIA BARNES: But many bodies have appellate review or reviews to other -- I think it would be out of synch with what -- but, again, I haven't researched this question.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: And I understand other states do it. But it's a matter of what is the practice in New Mexico with agencies and the ability for appeal. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I think this also -- does this -- or could this, potentially, the way we're looking at it -- because we haven't worded as -- we haven't worded it necessarily as State-authorized, because district schools, charters, have the same pathway.

So if we take this out, and the legislation is broad, would it -- would it also eliminate a district charter's ability to appeal beyond -- well, no, they do. They go to the Cabinet Secretary if the board -- so -- but would this eliminate the district charters as well from being able to appeal to District Court?

Because if it does, then I think there has

to be consideration of whether they support that or not, because there's more of them than there is of our schools. Or pretty close.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: There's 40 district schools. We have almost 60.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes, it would eliminate that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That's a question. So I think the conversation needs to also include those schools, because we're kind of making that decision for them, and they may not necessarily agree with that. I don't know.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That could be something that we do together with the NMSBA.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm talking district charters, not districts.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. But since all of those, it's the board members from those districts that approve those charters. Exactly. So, anyway, I have a question.

Well, basically, I'd like us to have absolute power, period.

But I have a question.

Ms. Barnes, a parole board, if they make a

1 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think --2 THE CHAIR: I mean, it still gives 3 direction. It still gives direction.

> COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think because it has a significant effect on folks that haven't had a voice here, I think it needs to -- I prefer to wait.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So we'll go ahead and hold off on this one.

Julia, if you'll do, like, a little bit of research between now and the December meeting, and we'll talk about this specific one in December and see if it's something -- maybe we don't want to pursue this this year. Maybe -- you know. But maybe it is something that, once again, if we -- if there's any kind of traction, and we can, let's try for it, if that's what we want.

All right. Yeah, so it looks like -- so maybe, if you all want to, the rest of these, if any -- I know there's some that are not on this list that would like to be proposed. So we can go to those.

Or if there's anything else on the remainder of the list that you feel like should be a priority and on it, then add them as well.

So anything else that you want to add?

331

330

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision that someone's not granted parole -- this is just kind of a thought that came through -- do they then have the ability to go to court and not

respect the decision of the parole board?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I haven't researched any of these. So you're raising things I don't know. I -- by its term, 39-3-1.1, which is an appeal to District Court, you have to have been granted that authority in the other statute.

So you have to have it in the Charter School Act. And then, referring to that, that's what's in here now.

I don't know if the parole board does that or not. If it doesn't -- I mean, I can look at it, but -- see what other boards do.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I can see it going to the Secretary, and the Secretary saying he doesn't agree with our decision, and then it comes back to us for reconsideration and a final decision. That would make sense to me.

THE CHAIR: All right. Is there a motion on this item? Is anyone willing to make a motion for this one? Do we want to pursue it? Is it something we want to hold off on and maybe talk about in December one more time?

Commissioner Gipson first.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I'm just going to say I think that the charter start-up fund thing kind of goes with the one up above with the stimulus money. So I think they're one and the same, to a great extent.

Because what we were looking for before was throwing -- getting money into that stimulus. And it could be -- because it says it can be grants, it can be whatever. So there's significant avenues that money can go into it, outside of just a start-up.

But I had an opportunity to speak with the Cabinet Secretary last week. And he was actually lamenting the fact that the LESC is poaching staff too much from the -- from PED.

But I was really happy when we were able to put a staff member of CSD in Las Cruces to really help support. And that was starting that rollout of being able to support schools and have that proactive approach. There's someone close.

And, unfortunately, that staff member left. One of the reasons -- one of the reasons that they left, they indicated to me, was because they were unable to get travel reimbursement from their

home to -- so, as an example, when they traveled to Gallup for a site visit, it cost them about \$100 to do that, and that there was no way to put in for the travel from home destination of Las Cruces to wherever.

And that's -- that's not fair. It's not right that people are doing work -- so I had this conversation with the Cabinet Secretary, because I thought it might be a PED travel reimbursement rule. And he said it was DFA.

So he asked me if we would be willing to -- he said maybe it's something that we can do jointly to fix the DF- -- whatever it is in DFA's guidance to stop that from happening so that we can help support our schools better by having staff there. And --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: (Off mic.)

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- change their travel reimbursement practices so that they can use the employee's home as their -- as the reimbursement point of -- point of start or whatever. Yeah. And I know Commissioner Robbins has --

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Robbins, if you're on this topic, I'll call on you.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I am.

deny it because your post of duty is Albuquerque, not Las Cruces, they will deny that, and then you have to go through a whole process of appeal.

But if PED has put the post of duty for that individual as Las Cruces, they are legally entitled.

So it's a matter of working with -- I think the Cabinet Secretary -- working with the Cabinet Secretary at DFA. I don't think anything has to be changed other than maybe clarifying the post of duty of the individual, because you can travel virtually anywhere in the state if you are on official state business and your -- and your policy of your agency allows the use of a personal vehicle, okay? You get mileage.

But if it's unavailable, or if you have a State vehicle that's available, you should be using a State vehicle. But your travel, you know, for per diem, for lunches, for dinners, you know, out-of-pocket expenses up to the limits within the Per Diem Act and overnight lodging should be eligible.

And it's unfortunate that CSD lost a person in Las Cruces to support the southern part of the state, and so you have to travel out of

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. And, Commissioner Carrillo, you have a different item; correct?

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: The item that I mentioned earlier.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: One of the things that DFA may be refusing is if the individual's post of duty is not Las Cruces. If their post of duty is Las Cruces, then there is no reason that travel reimbursement from -- from the post of duty in Las Cruces, it would have to -- generally, your post of duty cannot be your home, okay? But from your post of duty to visit should be reimbursable.

I mean, there's nothing in -- and I'm pretty well versed in the Per Diem Act -- or Per Diem Rules. 242.2 of the Administrative Code clearly states that you are eligible for reimbursement. And it's from your post of duty, not from your home. It's from your post of duty.

So if your post of duty were Albuquerque, and they're trying to claim travel from Las Cruces to -- say, to Gallup, and it's -- you know, I'm just going to use estimates -- it's 150 miles to Gallup from Albuquerque. But if you're traveling from Las Cruces, it's 250 miles, and they're going to

Santa Fe. That doesn't make a whole lot of sense.

But I would urge the Cabinet Secretary of PED to converse with Secretary Romero of DFA, or Deputy Secretary, to get clarification. Because I've had to have those discussions previously with a, say, controller or deputy secretary to get auditors to understand what the procurement -- not procurement -- what the Per Diem Act and Per Diem Code actually allows.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So can I just ask a question? And it's maybe simple and ridiculous. But when you refer to post of duty, can it just be a city?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: I think they have to have an address.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Because I think part of the issue might be PED doesn't have a physical address in Las Cruces. So what do you use as a post of duty, because they're not attached to the traditional public school? So what would be used as a post of duty?

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: PED could assign -- and it could be --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Like, a charter school?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It could be a charter school. It could be a regular school. It could be some other state agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Use your mic because Cindy's got to --

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yeah. I'm sorry. PED could designate and work with either another state agency or a charter school to designate that physical location as their post of duty.

So that's the issue is, yes, your home cannot necessarily be your post of duty. But, generally speaking, if they say, "Well, CSD/PED has no office in Las Cruces, therefore, someone can't travel from Las Cruces," I understand that.

But, again, that's an interpretation, because if the person lives there, physically lives there, and they're traveling, and they are an employee of the agency -- you know, when I was at Tax & Rev, we had people traveling from their homes, from their homes, getting reimbursed, and we didn't have physical offices where their homes were at in the cities, because some of them lived in rural areas. So they were eligible to get per diem.

340

1 I think, through conversations with the Cabinet 2 Secretary, we can move forward on fixing it.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great. Awesome.

So Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: It would seem as though -- except maybe Item 7 needs to be done. The only thing I was thinking -- and we can do it in December -- is the thing that Commissioner Robbins was talking about relative to the PSCOC and lease reimbursement not being what they should be relative to the rate of inflation. And -- but that can come up in December. So there you go.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Sorry, Commissioner Carrillo. I apologize.

15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You can read my 16 comments in the notes. Don't worry about it.

THE CHAIR: I will. I will. I'm trying to, like, sort as much as possible.

Anything to do with what you just said? Does anyone -- no? Moving on.

20 21 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: (Off mic.)

22 THE CHAIR: So is that it on the

23 Legislative Agenda? I see head nods. Okay. That's 24

great.

25 Okay. So that will move us -- so that

339

someone to give them a State-recognized post of

But one way to clarify that and to

simplify it would be for PED/CSD to work with

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So it doesn't require legislation.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: No, no. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Got you. Okay.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: It's an administrative solution.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: So I'll say, on this one, to me it seems more like a PED --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: We said it doesn't need legislation.

THE CHAIR: I would say -- if it did come up, this is something that, then -- you know, maybe we don't seek out. We're not looking. But if something, anything like this did come up, I would say I would support it. So maybe that's how we can manage this -- that item is if it were to come up, the PEC would support that kind of flexibility or change in the future.

So I don't know if we want to do a motion on that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I don't think so. I think it's -- you know, I doubt it'll come up. But

moves us from Item No. 8 -- we're all done with that. And so I think that brings us to Item No. 14, which is Discussion and Update on Cesar Chavez Community School's Notice of Dispute and Upcoming Steps.

And with that, I am going to head out. And so we will not -- no longer -- we will no longer be in quorum, and we'll be moving to subcommittee.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Have a safe trip home. That's okay. Just keep those margaritas in mind.

So Julia, do you want to discuss this item?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. I'll just give you an update.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. MS. JULIA BARNES: We -- we do have a Notice of Dispute that you received a while ago.

It's there again.

The parties have decided on a retired judge as the mediator. And it has been set for the afternoon of November 7th.

The Executive Committee is attending that mediation and will bring something back if something -- if there is a proposal that they want

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 to bring back to you, they'll bring it back to you. 2. And the judge has asked for proposals. 3 So we will take the letter that came out 4 from the Public Education Commission previously on 5 your concerns and indicate that that is the 6 proposal. 7 I -- we already provided all of the 8 attachments that were highlighted, but I'm just 9 going to -- I'm just intending to pull it out more 10

(Chair leaves meeting.)

If there is not an agreement at the mediation that you all agree to, then the issue can go directly to the Cabinet Secretary.

specifically so that it's easy to see it and easy to

And I think that if there's not an agreement, what you've already said is sufficient for us to send something to the Cabinet Secretary in terms of your position.

So at this point, I think that if there's something to bring back to you, there would need to be a Special Meeting. And the Executive Committee can let you know about that.

So, basically, the real update is that they -- we've selected a mediator, and there's a MS. JULIA BARNES: They can't go to

District Court on this dispute on the contract.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: All right. My concern is we're reaching kind of the end of the

year soon in timelines. If we have had a Special

6 Meeting -- don't we have to give them 30 days' 7 notice of anything we may or may not do?

My position is whatever we can do as quickly as we can do it.

MS. JULIA BARNES: The only thing I'll add is that I've heard you, and I pushed it and pushed it and pushed it. But we got -- maybe -- I mean, we -- the Executive Committee gave them several dates much earlier on. They -- the 7th of November was the earliest date they gave us; so...

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Robbins. COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well -- and I did check with PSFA. And their legal counsel said there wasn't a whole lot they could do, absent policy by the PSCOC.

So I'm going to try to work over the next couple of months to see if PSCOC can put in place a policy that would restrict lease reimbursement to administrative offices only if a school is virtual, because I don't think it's right that they are

343 345

date.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

read it.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So if no agreement is reached, it goes to the Cabinet Secretary next after that, not us on the Intervention Ladder or a breach or anything like that?

MS. JULIA BARNES: The issue of the dispute about the contract would go to the Cabinet Secretary.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay.

MS. JULIA BARNES: The contract says if there is a dispute as to the meaning of the contract -- and they have said there is a dispute as to whether the contract allows them to go virtual or not; they say it allows them to go virtual; you have said clearly the contract does not say that -- then it would go to the Cabinet Secretary.

Based on whatever the Cabinet Secretary says, assuming the Cabinet Secretary agrees with you, then you can take action if they don't comply with that.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Then, of course, they'll go to District on that? They can't on this one?

leasing an entire facility that was intended for physical students to be present, and, right now, if they have a lease that has been approved, they're entitled to reimbursement. But I think that facility ought to be used for in-person education, and absent of that.

So if they're totally virtual, the only lease reimbursement they should be eligible for is for administrative offices.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. Anything else?

Okay. Thanks, Ms. Barnes. Let's see. That brings us to -- we

already did Item 18, didn't we?

We did not? So Discussion of Annual Governing Board Training, a Milestone Report for Charter Authorizers?

Corina, do you have that -- or Director Chavez?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes, I do. And I'm sorry Missy Brown isn't here for this, because she and Dylan have done a lot of hard work to keep track of governing board members, as I mentioned earlier.

And so basically every charter school in

	346		348
1	your portfolio is listed there separately, and	1	so is Ted also here?
2	named, each board member named, with the status of	2	DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Oh. There he he
3	their progress towards completing the required	3	just joined.
4	governing board trainings.	4	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Ted just joined
5	I'm not going to spend much time talking	5	the team as an administrator in our office, working
6	about it, 'cause I think this meeting needs to end	6	with non-public schools. And I'll let him introduce
7	sooner than later. And I just	7	yourself.
8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It's ending at 5:30	8	MR. TED FARNATH: Hello, everybody. My
9	for me.	9	name is Ted Farnath.
10	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I would just	10	My first month was spent exclusively
11	encourage you to read it and recognize that detail	11	working in charters and performing site visits,
12	went into their rating for the '21-'22 Annual	12	which I very much enjoyed. But I look forward to
13	Reports.	13	helping in any way I can.
14	COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you for	14	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Ted.
15	that. It seems pretty self-explanatory, and thank	15	We hired Ted through ESSER funding. And
16	you for sparing us the detail.	16	as he mentioned, part of his time is to be focusing
17	So that takes us on to Item 19, Report	17	on charter schools. And so we are very, very
18	from Charter Schools Division.	18	fortunate to have Ted. He he has been
19	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I did just happen	19	contracting with the PED prior to this.
20	to put two of these ginger candies in my mouth. So	20	Another person who is not here today is
21	excuse me for that.	21	Juliana Montoya, who joined the team and is going to
22	Okay. So and I don't even know if	22	focus on homeschool.
23	they're here, because I had to log out of my	23	And the bad news I have is that we just
24	computer and I'm not logged into the meeting.	24	learned this week that Melissa Sanchez is leaving
25	But I would ask you to promote new staff	25	the Division.
	245		2.40
	347		349
1	members so that Public Education Commission could	1	So
2	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we	2	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the
2 3	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new	2 3	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches?
2 3 4	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here?	2 3 4	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not
2 3 4 5	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to	2 3 4 5	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking
2 3 4 5 6	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.)	2 3 4 5 6	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency,
2 3 4 5 6 7	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis,	2 3 4 5 6 7	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School Division. They do tremendous work in supporting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time. So I know that we didn't want to take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School Division. They do tremendous work in supporting charter schools, and we're really glad to see you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time. So I know that we didn't want to take action on that, and this perhaps is an initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School Division. They do tremendous work in supporting charter schools, and we're really glad to see you joining that team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time. So I know that we didn't want to take action on that, and this perhaps is an initial notification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School Division. They do tremendous work in supporting charter schools, and we're really glad to see you joining that team. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you. Happy to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time. So I know that we didn't want to take action on that, and this perhaps is an initial notification. I'm not sure how Julia's time frame works
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	members so that Public Education Commission could meet the new there's been three hires since we last met. I'm so happy to introduce our three new staff members. Are they all here? DR. BRIGITTE RUSSELL: (Inaudible due to off mic.) DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Martica Davis, please unmute and put your camera on. Then Ted will do the same. And one of the new staff members isn't here. But we did hire Martica as an Ed Admin A in the authorizing team. And she has special ed background. Say "Hi," Martica. MS. MARTICA DAVIS: Hello, everybody. Hello, Commissioners. Hello, Director Chavez, Deputy Director Russell. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Welcome. It's so great to see you and meet you. And you're joining a very phenomenal team at the Charter School Division. They do tremendous work in supporting charter schools, and we're really glad to see you joining that team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Is she one of the LESC poaches? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No, LESC did not poach her. I am so proud of Melissa. She is taking on a leadership position with another state agency, Workforce Solutions. So I don't know if Melissa wants to be promoted so people can say goodbye. But we all wish her the absolute best and thank her for her really excellent work for the Division over the past three years. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah. Definitely. Bye, Melissa. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: All right. So the other part of my report is that I wanted to alert PEC that ACES Technical Charter School is one of the schools who's undergone some transition in governing board membership, but not always notified us on time. So I know that we didn't want to take action on that, and this perhaps is an initial notification.

1 information on the school.

But there's been some recent transitions as well. And we are working closely with the school to get all the paperwork submitted in accordance with your policy and timeline.

There's been some stumbles along the way, and we've also connected recently with the new board chair. Again, Missy or Dylan would have been able to provide more detailed information. But this is just to let you know of that concern.

And other than that, I know that my report needs to be kept quick. I want it to be quick.

I just want to say thank you to everybody who has been on the renewal site visits, all the team members and the schools who we've worked with to accommodate for those visits. The team is working really hard to get all these reports, to ensure that they're accurate, to ensure that you have the information you need to make decisions. So I'll just end there.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you, Director Chavez. Appreciate that.

Okay. On to Item No. 20, Reports from PEC Liaisons.

Commissioner Gipson, would you be able to

that.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: That was one of the recommendations for monies to be spent. And, of course --

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- the recommendation that I think is going to go forward for a -- for everyone. And it's Extended School Year, that the numbers of days -- and for many of our schools, it won't affect them because they already have more than the number as well.

But as we talked before, having to look at how does that affect K-5 Plus and Extended Learning and all of those options for schools.

Oh. And I want a shout-out to Matt, because he did a -- he did a presentation on the assessments. And he did most of -- he expanded a little bit on the presentation that he did for us. And his excitement didn't go unnoticed, as he was going to slides and saying, "Oh, this next slide is really exciting."

And several of the legislators said, you know, usually, when a statistician comes and says, "This is really exciting," but it's not. But they said, "This was really exciting."

351 353

provide an LESC or LFC report?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'll give you a brief one.

I attended the LESC. I wanted to give a shout-out to Superintendent Chavez, because it was at Hatch High School. And they had some very excellent posole that the kids made. So it was -- it's always exciting to see the students doing the preparation and the serving and having those conversations with legislators, which is really important for them to do.

There was a report about Yazzie-Martinez, and the concern by the LESC provided the report to the LESC. So it was Director Perea-Warniment who provided the report, really, at how disjointed everyone is with answering the Yazzie-Martinez, and that there's a lot of energy and a lot of money going into trying to answer it.

But there's not a lot of coordination with that. And I think that's something that we need to be very aware of.

Commissioner Voigt, they did say one of the proposals for legislation is supporting physical education for everyone, K through 5.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you for sharing

So he has such a great way of presenting. And I'm really very hopeful in his willingness to be able to dig into many of the sub-areas that we want to look at.

And I know one thing that they are apparently looking at changing is -- and it was mentioned several times today -- when that school hits the threshold of Free and Reduced Lunch, and I think it's 85 or 90 percent or whatever it is, they automatically become -- they're -- the whole school. They're looking at changing and people being able to still know how many in the school actually are Free and Reduced Lunch, because it does affect, I think, what you want to look at in terms of serving students. So I'm going to leave it at that. And I'm out of here.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you for that. Appreciate it.

Secretary Armijo, New Mexico Indian Ed and Advisory Council.

COMMISSIONER ARMIJO: Hey, yes. We actually met on October 13th, but we did not have a quorum because there were some Feast Days going on. So I'm not sure what happened there. But they're coordinating an in-person meeting for November. So

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1 we'll be reconvening then. 2 Just have a few updates just about 3 Yazzie-Martinez and some of the recommendations from 4 the IEAC and what they're going to bring to the 5 table. So that's about it. 6 COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. Thank you very 7 much. 8

I have nothing to report from NMCEL. Shocking.

Commissioner Robbins.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Yes. Real quick, the PSCOC did approve an additional \$6 million-plus for the Albuquerque School of Sign Language -- the Albuquerque Sign Language Academy for their capital, bringing the total to approximately \$27 million. So that was a good thing.

And then they have a loan that they're working on to get from the Mortgage Finance Authority.

The other thing is -- and I mentioned it earlier today -- was the lease assistance. And they did approve a 7 percent increase in the lease assistance. I was incorrect in the amount. Current- -- not currently at \$742. They're at \$767. So it'll go up to about \$815 a month. But it really

1 was on testing per member. This is for Cigna. And 2 that was about \$27,000 per member spent on testing. 3 And for treatment, it was about \$4,000 per member on 4 treatment. So that was one thing I thought 5 interesting.

The other insurers, the health insurers, they actually didn't break it up as nicely. But Cigna, they're probably one of the newest health insurers that we've taken on in the last two to three years, so they have a much smaller base of employees that take part in their health insurance.

I -- as far as the other update that I had, I volunteered to be involved in the Benefits Consulting and Actuarial Service Evaluation Committee. So we haven't met on that yet, but we had to sign some forms, and we'll be meeting on that soon. Our next meeting is the first week of November. So I plan to be able to attend that in person as well. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Commissioner Manis. And thanks for engaging deeper in that work.

23 Commissioner Carrillo, New Mexico School 24 Boards Association.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Nothing to report.

355

should be well over \$1,000, if -- just to keep pace with inflation since 2007.

So that is something that I'd like to see pushed and to get that language changed from "may" to "shall" to ensure that the PSCOC continues funding charter school capital needs. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you, Commissioner Robbins.

Commissioner Manis is no longer with us; is that right?

COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. I'm here. COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Hi, there. Thank you. Do you have anything to report from PSFA --NMPSIA? Sorry.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. So we met in person on October 6th in Albuquerque. And the major things that we discussed, one was a legislative update, which the main thing that they're trying to push is changes to the tier schedule.

We had annual reports provided by most of our -- like, health insurance, life insurance, those types. And there were some interesting things that I pulled out from their annual reports that I thought was interesting related to COVID, was that

in 2021-2022, July to June, the majority of spending

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Thank you. And

2 Commissioner Taylor is no longer with us. 3

So we will move on to Report from the Chair. Chair Burt wanted me to inform everyone that the Think New Mexico report is out. It's on their website, I believe. And they are addressing something about the State-authorized charter schools.

And you can look that up at your leisure. Any comments for -- from the Commission for Item 22? Public Education Commission comments? Commissioner Robbins.

COMMISSIONER ROBBINS: Well, just that I think we need to have on the agenda any additional legislative items we talked about here. If there's anything else we would like to add to our wish list of legislative actions, I think we should have that on the December agenda.

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. So that's for Item 23. We can add that.

And I think we are going to revisit that list of legislative topics list at our next meeting. Anyone else?

23 (No response.)

COMMISSIONER VOIGT: Okay. We do not need

	358
a motion to adjourn. We don't have a volume a goodbye, and thank you for a very product day. (Proceedings adjourned at 5:33 p.15) (Proceedings adjourned at 5:33 p.15) (Proceedings adjourned at 5:33 p.16) (Proceedings adjourned at 5:33 p.16) (Proceedings adjourned at 5:33 p.17)	JOB NUMBER: 6333N CC Date: 10/21/22 3 PROCEEDINGS: OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISS 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 8 I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 11 transcript of proceedings had before the said 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 13 State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. 14 In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 15 hand on October 31, 2022. 16 17 18 2 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR 19 New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23 24 Job No.: 6333N (CC) 25 Proofed By: PD	

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 4 5 6 7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified 8 9 Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said 11 12 NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the 13 State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my 14 15 hand on October 31, 2022. 16 17 Cynthia Chifma 18 Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR 19 New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 20 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23 24 Job No.: 6333N (CC)



Proofed By: PD

