

DRAFT

**2021-2022 Addendum Template for the Consolidated
State Plan due to COVID-19**
under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of
1965

New Mexico



U.S. Department of Education
Issued: December 2021

OMB Number: 1810-0576
Expiration Date: October 31, 2023

Paperwork Burden Statement According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0576. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 249 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this collection, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this collection, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., S.W., Washington, DC 20202-3118.

Addendum to the ESEA Consolidated State Plan

Introduction

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA) requires each State to develop and implement a single, statewide accountability system to support all public elementary school and secondary school students in meeting the challenging State academic standards. These systems are an important tool in achieving the goal of improving outcomes for students and eliminating opportunity gaps in the State, local educational agencies (LEAs), and schools.

Due to the extraordinary circumstances created by the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the U.S. Department of Education (Department) invited State educational agencies (SEAs) to apply for a waiver from the accountability requirements of the ESEA for the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years and the assessment requirements for the 2019-2020 school year. As a result, many SEAs have not implemented all aspects of their statewide accountability systems or identified schools for support and improvement since fall 2019. Upon receiving an accountability waiver for the 2020-2021 school year, each SEA agreed that it would resume identifying schools for comprehensive, targeted, and additional targeted support and improvement using data from the 2021-2022 school year in the fall of 2022 to ensure school identification resumes as quickly as possible.

The purpose of this document is to provide SEAs a streamlined process to modify approved ESEA consolidated State plans for the 2021-2022 school year as they implement accountability and school identification requirements under section 1111 of the ESEA in order to make accountability determinations and identify schools in fall 2022.

The Department has also issued a “Frequently Asked Questions: Impact of COVID-19 on 2021-2022 Accountability Systems Required under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA)” document that includes information on the general amendment process, accountability systems, school identification and exit, school support and improvement, and report card requirements. The document is available at <https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-formula-grants/school-support-and-accountability/essa-consolidated-state-plans/>.

For any questions or additional information, please contact the U.S. Department of Education at oese.titlei-a@ed.gov.

Submitting Amendments to ESEA Consolidated State Plans

COVID-19 State Plan Addendum Process

To amend its ESEA consolidated State plan for the 2021-2022 school year *only* (i.e., amendments that will impact only accountability determinations based on data from the 2021-2022 school year and school identifications in fall 2022), an SEA may use this “2021-2022 Template for Addendum to the ESEA Consolidated State Plan due to the COVID-19 National Emergency” (COVID-19 State Plan Addendum).

In addition to requests limited to the 2021-2022 school year, an SEA may use the COVID-19 State Plan Addendum process to request to:

1. Shift timelines forward by one or two years for measurements of interim progress and long-term goals, and
2. Modify the exit criteria for schools identified in fall 2022, including the number of years such schools have to meet exit criteria in order to exit status.

If an SEA requests the two changes described above through the COVID-19 State Plan Addendum and the changes are approved, the SEA must submit an updated ESEA consolidated State plan that incorporates those changes at a later date. All other amendments submitted through the COVID-19 State Plan Addendum template and process (i.e., amendments that are limited to the 2021-2022 school year) do not require submission of an updated ESEA consolidated State plan.

If an SEA submits an amendment to its ESEA consolidated State plan using the streamlined COVID-19 State Plan Addendum template and process, it must submit the following:

1. The COVID-19 State Plan Addendum that reflects all proposed amendments;
2. The signature of the chief State school officer or authorized representative; and
3. A description of how the SEA provided the public a reasonable opportunity to comment on the requested amendments to the ESEA consolidated State plan with a summary of changes made based on the public comments received. The Department recommends that the SEA seek public input through consultation that is broad and with stakeholders that represent the diversity of the community within the State (e.g., meeting with local superintendents and sharing through regular correspondence with LEAs, conducting targeted stakeholder outreach, holding focus groups, prominently listing the proposed amendments on the SEA's website, and providing a user-friendly, accessible means for the public to submit comments). (See question A-6)

Prior to submitting an amendment to the Department, including an amendment submitted through the COVID-19 State Plan Addendum template and process, an SEA must consult with the Governor, afford a reasonable opportunity for public comment, and consider such comments consistent with the consolidated assurances the State submitted in June 2017 under ESEA section 8304.

Regular ESEA Consolidated State Plan Process

An SEA may request amendments to its ESEA consolidated State plan that will continue beyond the 2021-2022 school year or that the State intends to implement starting with the 2022-2023 school year using the regular State plan amendment process described in the Department's October 24, 2019, Dear Colleague Letter available at <https://oese.ed.gov/files/2020/02/esso-letter.pdf>.

Timeline

An amendment may be submitted at any time. The Department encourages SEAs to submit amendment requests, either using the regular State plan amendment process or the COVID-19 State Plan Addendum process, by **March 7, 2022** in order for the Department to determine whether the requested amendments comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements in time for an SEA to implement amendments to its accountability system for determinations in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year (e.g., identification of schools for comprehensive, targeted, or additional targeted support and improvement for the 2022-2023 school year).

Transparency

The Department will post the approved addendum on our website, along with the current approved consolidated State plan, at <https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-formula-grants/school-support-and-accountability/essa-consolidated-state-plans/>.

Cover Page

Authorized SEA Representative (Printed Name)	
Signature of Authorized SEA Representative	Date:

Title I, Part A: Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies (LEAs)

Statewide Accountability System and School Support and Improvement Activities (ESEA section 1111(c) and (d)) (corresponds with A.4 in the revised State plan template):

- a. Establishment of Long-Term Goals. (ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(A)) (corresponds with A.4.iii in the revised State plan template) Due to COVID-19, the State is revising its long-term goal(s) and measurement(s) of interim progress by shifting the timeline forward by one or two years for:
1. Academic Achievement. If a State is proposing to shift the timeline forward by one or two years, check the appropriate box.
 One Year
 Two Years
 2. Graduation Rate. If a State is proposing to shift the timeline forward by one or two years, check the appropriate box.
 One Year
 Two Years
 3. Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency (ELP). If a State is proposing to shift the timeline forward by one or two years, check the appropriate box.
 One Year
 Two Years
- b. Indicators. (ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(B)) (corresponds with A.4.iv in the revised State plan template) Due to COVID-19, the State is revising one or more of its indicators for the 2021-2022 school year to be used in accountability determinations in fall 2022.

1. Academic Achievement Indicator. Describe the Academic Achievement indicator for the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

2. Indicator for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools (Other Academic Indicator). Describe the Other Academic indicator for the 2021-2022 school year.

As a result of not administering statewide standardized reading and math assessments for the 2019-2020 school year and only a small fraction testing at the end of the 2020-2021 school year, New Mexico is unable to calculate median student growth percentiles (SGP), an important academic indicator in the accountability framework. The SGP measures median student growth within a school relative to the median academic peer. In other words, the median SGP is an aggregate of student SGPs which are conditional percentiles describing the location of a student in the joint distribution of prior as well as current end-of-year statewide assessment.

In order to gauge the extent to which schools have been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, New Mexico is proposing an indicator that reflects how each school fared through the

pandemic. This indicator is the difference between the expected percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced in a school, had pre-pandemic conditions persisted, and the actual 2022 percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced in Reading and Math in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11.

Actual – Expected = Other Academic Indicator

To generate a reasonable estimate of what the school would have been expected to achieve at the end of the 2021-2022 school year, we propose the following:

$$Expected = \frac{\sum_{t=2016}^{t=2019} Number\ Proficient}{\sum_{t=2016}^{t=2019} Number\ Tested}$$

Sum the number of students who scored proficient or advanced from SYE 2016 to SYE 2019 and divide that by the total number of students who tested during those years. This is useful for its simplicity as well as theoretical and practical application. It makes sense that the historical record forms the basis of the expectation and in the face of the potential discontinuity presented by the facts described above is an expression of the precautionary principal by not imposing a functional form. This formula is repeated for each grade subject combination as well as for each subgroup. If the numerator or denominator do not reach minimum size requirements (MSR) then the sum can be taken at the school, district, and state levels, until the MSR is reached.

The other academic indicator which is intended to measure the extent to which the school has sustained a pandemic impact will be computed for the overall student population and, where possible, computed for relevant federal and state student groups within New Mexico. Points will be assigned based on the following function, which converts a rank ordering of the Other Academic Indicator to a value like the percentile.

$$f_j = \frac{(Rank_j)}{n}$$

Which results in a value between 0 and 1 and can be used to score school performance in a manner like the median student growth percentile.

3. Graduation Rate. Describe the Graduation Rate indicator for the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

4. Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency (ELP) Indicator. Describe the Progress in Achieving ELP indicator for the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

5. School Quality or Student Success Indicator(s). Describe each School Quality or Student Success indicator that is proposed to be added or modified for the 2021-2022 school year.

Our School Quality or Student Success Indicator is incomplete this year in that we piloted a new survey instrument. The survey instrument is not ready to include as a measure of educational climate, for purposes of the state accountability model. Therefore, for this year the school climate points for Elementary/Middle Schools model and the High Schools model will be re-distributed to whichever of the two measures results in higher points: regular attendance or science proficiency.

- c. Annual Meaningful Differentiation. (*ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(C)*) (*corresponds with A.4.v in the revised State plan template*) Due to COVID-19, the State is revising its system of annual meaningful differentiation in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year:

1. State's System of Annual Meaningful Differentiation. Describe the State's system of annual meaningful differentiation of all public schools in the State for accountability determinations in the fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

Like other states in the Union, the systems of accountability in New Mexico Public Education Department experienced substantial disruption because of the pandemic. Adding to the disruption, the pandemic coincided with a nascent accountability system (our accountability system was implemented for the first time in 2018-19) and a new statewide assessment program (shifting from PARCC to MSSA, with performance thresholds or cut scores established in July/August of 2022). While these facts do not annihilate our ability to meaningfully differentiate schools based on several important sources of variation, these facts force us to consider alternative progress or growth measures as well as the consequences associated with resultant designations. The vision of our accountability system remains the same: New Mexico's proposed System of Annual Meaningful Differentiation is designed to provide transparency for educational stakeholders with respect to school-based outcomes by designating schools for excellence and for improvement.

Had schools not been closed in March 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, New Mexico Public Education Department would have administered the MSSA in 2019-2020 and set the performance thresholds or cut scores in July/August 2020. This would have enabled us to transition our accountability system from one assessment to the next without disruption. The 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 student achievement scores would have been applied to compute student growth percentiles in Spring of 2020 and in Fall 2020 schools would have been either designated or re-designated as spotlight or excellence or in need of support.

During the 2020-2021 school year a very small minority of New Mexico Public School students participated in statewide standardized assessments, which prevented us from establishing performance thresholds or cut scores during July/August of 2021. The lack of assessment data substantially disrupted the accountability system during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years.

The school accountability designations currently in place were made during the end of the 2016-2017 school year. During the intervening years, the schools designated for improvement based on Graduation rates have been able to exit as their data warrants, but the schools

designated based on their low performance have not been able to exit. Therefore, the accountability system for school year 2021-2022 will be the first display of Accountability results since the Fall of 2019, which reflected the 2018-2019 school year.

To differentiate schools, New Mexico will combine information from the following domain areas: Academic Achievement, Academic Progress, English Language Proficiency, School Quality/Student Success, and Graduation Rate (for High Schools).

Academic Achievement: Math and Reading Achievement is measured by the percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced.

Academic Progress: Academic progress in Math and Reading is measured by the difference between expected and actual achievement rates.

English Language Proficiency: English Language Proficiency is measured by the percentage of students who are on track to exit English Language Learner status in the allotted time.

Science Proficiency is measured by the percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced.

Regular Attendance: Regular attendance is measured as the percent of students classified as attending school at least 90% of the school days.

Educational Climate: Educational climate was piloted during spring session of the 2021-2022 school year and will not be included as a measure during the Fall 2022 designation.

College and Career Readiness: College and Career readiness is a measure of the extent that students are preparing for college or career by participating and succeeding in college and or career assessments.

Growth in 4 Year Graduation Rate: The change in the 4-year graduation rate over three years is used to measure the growth in the 4-year graduation rate.

4 Year Graduation Rate: The 4-year graduation rate is measured by the proportion of students who graduate in their four-year cohort.

5 Year Graduation Rate: The 5-year graduation rate is measured by the proportion of students who graduate in five years

6 Year Graduation Rate: The 6-year graduation rate is measured by the proportion of students who graduate in six years.

Points are assigned to each indicator by multiplying the indicator score, which varies from zero to one, by the possible points allotted to the indicator. For example, suppose that 40% of students score proficient or advanced on the reading assessment, then the points earned for the reading achievement indicator is 10.

$$25 \text{ possible points} \times 0.40 = 10 \text{ points earned}$$

Adding up the indicator points earned forms the overall accountability score, which is used in designating schools for excellence and for levels of support.

All schools will be assigned accountability scores. If measurement data are missing for any of the indicators, then the points associated with that indicator will be proportionately distributed amongst the other indicators. In cases where public schools may only have students in grades K-2, such as feeder schools, then end of year early literacy scores are applied. Finally, Supplemental Accountability Model (SAM) will be applied to schools that have overage student and or the proportion of students receiving special education services is high.

Fall 2022 Accountability Levels of Support					
Current Designation	New Designation (2022)				
	Trad	ATSI	TSI	CSI	MRI
Trad	Status Quo	Conditional ATSI	Conditional TSI	Conditional CSI	N/A
TSI	Conditional Exit	ATSI	TSI	CSI	N/A
CSI	Conditional Exit	ATSI	TSI	CSI	N/A

The New Mexico Public Education Department (NMPED) believes in all of the state’s students regardless of race, ethnicity, disability status, or socioeconomic status. It believes in the strength of New Mexico’s diverse communities and in the inherent value of our multilingualism, resilience, creativity, culture, and compassion for one another.

In order for New Mexico and its students to realize their full potential, the department’s leaders and staff also believe it is incumbent on us to provide school districts and charter schools the support and resources they need to ensure educational equity, excellence, and relevance for all students (NMPED Strategic Plan, 2021).

All schools in New Mexico will engage in strategic planning utilizing a framework that identifies key resources to support the change process; documents critical offline planning, implementation, and monitoring activities; and informs substantive collaborative conversations. This common, consistent approach to improvement plans, allows for a scalable approach encourages a sharp focus on improving student outcomes, and cultivates a growth mindset among educators.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools planning allows communities to envision, identify, and communicate the vision for their schools in a year-long conversation. As district and school teams engage in planning, they are integrating the creative, optimistic, and aspirational thinking of the visioning process with their analysis of where the district or school is today and why, identifying what they want to achieve in the future (strategic objectives) and how (strategic initiatives and the resources to support them).

Fall 2023 Accountability Levels of Support					
2022 Designation	2023 Designation				
	Trad	ATSI	TSI	CSI	MRI
Trad	Exited	ATSI	TSI	CSI	N/A
Cond. Exit	Exited	ATSI	TSI	CSI	N/A
Cond. ATSI or ATSI	Exited	ATSI	TSI	CSI	N/A

Cond. TSI or TSI	Exited	ATSI	CSI	CSI	N/A
Cond. CSI or CSI	Exited	ATSI	TSI	MRI	N/A

Looking forward to Fall 2023, schools that were designated in 2022 as traditional support and redesignated in Fall 2023 as traditional support model will be considered unconditionally exited. Schools that were designated as conditionally exited in 2022 and redesignated in Fall 2023 as traditional support model will be considered unconditionally exited. Schools that were designated as ATSI, TSI, or CSI in 2022 and redesignated in Fall 2023 as traditional support model will be considered conditionally exited and provided with opportunities to receive technical assistance.

Schools that were designated in 2022 as traditional support and designated in Fall 2023 as ATSI, TSI, or CSI will receive planned program support appropriate with their level of need. Schools that were designated as conditionally exited in 2022 and designated in Fall 2023 as ATSI, TSI, or CSI will receive planned program support appropriate with their level of need. Schools that were designated as ATSI, TSI, or CSI in 2022 and redesignated in Fall 2023 as ATSI, TSI, CSI, or MRI will receive planned program support appropriate with their level of need.

2. **Weighting of Indicators.** Describe the weighting of each indicator in the State’s system of annual meaningful differentiation in fall 2022 based on data from 2021-2022 school year.

Proposed NM Vistas Measures, Points, and Indicators SYE2022			
Measure	Elem/ Middle Points	High School Points	Indicator
Math Proficiency	25	15	Academic Achievement
Reading Proficiency	25	15	Academic Achievement
Math Improvement	10	5	Academic Progress
Reading Improvement	10	5	Academic Progress
English Learner Progress	10	5	English Language Proficiency
Science Proficiency	10	10	School Quality/Student Success
Regular Attendance	10	10	School Quality/Student Success
College and Career Readiness	n/a	5	School Quality/Student Success
Educational Climate	n/a	n/a	School Quality/Student Success
Growth in 4 Year Graduation Rate	n/a	5	School Quality/Student Success
4 Year Graduation Rate	n/a	10	Graduation Rate
5 Year Graduation Rate	n/a	8	Graduation Rate
6 Year Graduation Rate	n/a	7	Graduation Rate
TOTAL POINTS	100	100	

Table 1: NM Vistas Indicators, Measures, and Weights

The weights proposed in Table 1 reflect the overall policy weights allotted to each indicator and serve as the possible points. A school location that earns a score of 100 has done as well as is possible across all the indicators.

3. Different Methodology. If the State is using a different methodology or methodologies for annual meaningful differentiation for schools for which an accountability determination otherwise cannot be made (e.g., P-2 schools), describe the methodology or methodologies in fall 2022 based on data from 2021-2022 school year.

Schools that fall under the umbrella of Supplemental Accountability Model (SAM) schools and Feeder pattern schools will also be accommodated under this model, similar to how they were held accountable under the 2018-2019 accountability model.

- d. Identification of Schools. (ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(D)) (corresponds with A.4.vi in the revised State plan template) Due to COVID-19, the State is revising its timeline or methodologies for school identification:

1. Timeline. Each SEA must identify schools for CSI, ATSI, and targeted support and improvement (TSI) consistent with the assurance in its waiver of accountability requirements for the 2020-2021 school year (i.e., each SEA that received a waiver for the 2020-2021 school year assured it would identify schools in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year).

- i. After identifying schools in fall 2022 using its approved school identification methodologies as outlined in its approved ESEA consolidated State plan, the State is requesting a one-time change in frequency to identify schools in fall 2023 (based on data from the 2022-2023 school year). *If a State is proposing a one-time change in frequency to identify a category of schools in fall 2023, check the appropriate box.*

- Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Low Performing
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Low Graduation Rate
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Not Exiting Additional Targeted Support and Improvement Status
- Targeted Support and Improvement Schools: Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)

** Targeted support and improvement: Consistently underperforming subgroups (TSI) schools must be identified annually. Therefore, a State must identify TSI schools in both fall 2022 and fall 2023.*

2. Methodologies. The State is revising its methodologies for identifying schools in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year for the following types of school identification:

- A. Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Low Performing. Describe the State's methodology for identifying not less than the lowest-performing five percent of all schools receiving Title I, Part A funds in the State for comprehensive support and improvement in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

- B. Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Low Graduation Rate. Describe the State’s methodology for identifying all public high schools in the State failing to graduate one-third or more of their students for comprehensive support and improvement in fall 2022.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

- C. Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Not Exiting Additional Targeted Support and Improvement Status. Describe the methodology by which the State identifies public schools in the State receiving Title I, Part A funds that have received additional targeted support under ESEA section 1111(d)(2)(C) (based on identification as a school in which any subgroup of students, on its own, would lead to identification under ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(D)(i)(I) using the State’s methodology under ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(D)) and that have not satisfied the statewide exit criteria for such schools within a State-determined number of years for school identifications in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

- D. Targeted Support and Improvement Schools: Consistently Underperforming Subgroup(s). Describe the State’s methodology for annually identifying any school with one or more “consistently underperforming” subgroups of students, based on all indicators in the statewide system of annual meaningful differentiation, including if the State is revising the definition the State uses to determine consistent underperformance for school identifications in fall 2022 based on data from at least the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

- E. Targeted Support and Improvement Schools: Additional Targeted Support and Improvement. Describe the State’s methodology for identifying schools in which any subgroup of students, on its own, would lead to identification under ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(D)(i)(I) using the State’s methodology under ESEA section 1111(c)(4)(D) (i.e., schools with subgroups performing as poorly as low-performing schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement) for school identifications in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

If a State is proposing revisions due to COVID-19, check the box and describe the revisions here.

- e. Continued Support for School and LEA Improvement (ESEA section 1111(d)(3)(A)) (corresponds with A.4.viii in the revised State plan template)
1. Exit Criteria for Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools. Due to COVID-19, the State is revising its statewide exit criteria for schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement using one or more of the options below.

A. Timeline

- i. The State does not count the 2019-2020 school year toward the number of years (not to exceed four years) in which a school must meet the criteria in order to exit CSI status before it must take more rigorous State-determined action.
- ii. The State does not count the 2020-2021 school year toward the number of years (not to exceed four years) in which a school must meet the criteria in order to exit before it must take more rigorous State-determined action.

B. Criteria

- i. The State is revising the statewide exit criteria for schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement that would be eligible to exit status in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

Given that SY2022 a unique school year for all of the reasons mentioned above, NMPED is proposing to designate schools as conditionally exited and provide schools with the option of technical assistance with which to develop a comprehensive understanding of their strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats as they deliver educational services to students. The conditional exit will be accompanied with incentives to participate in state sponsored activities.

- ii. The State is revising the statewide exit criteria for schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

CSI status as a result of data from the 2021-2022 school year will be considered Conditional until Fall 2023 status. At this point schools that are rated as conditional CSI will be eligible for exit if not found as CSI in Fall 2023.

- iii. The State is revising the State-determined number of years a school identified for comprehensive support and improvement in fall 2022 has to meet the statewide exit criteria in order to exit status, which may not exceed four years, before it must take a State-determined more rigorous action.

Given that the most recent designations occurred in 2016-2017 and we are not recognizing SY2020 and SY2021 (Other than schools exiting as a result of graduation rates), we are proposing a conditional status on all status that deviate (positive or negative) from the current status. The status may be conditional on construct irrelevant variance such as the pandemic, which we would hope we would see more clearly after fall 2023 results are determined.

- 2. Exit Criteria for Schools Receiving Additional Targeted Support. Due to COVID-19, the State is revising the statewide exit criteria for schools receiving additional targeted support under ESEA section 1111(d)(2)(C) using one or more of the options below:

A. Timeline

- i. The State does not count the 2019-2020 school year toward the number of years in which a school must meet the criteria in order to exit before, for a school receiving Title I, Part A funds, it becomes a CSI school.
- ii. The State does not count the 2020-2021 school year toward the number of years in which a school must meet the criteria in order to exit before, for a school receiving Title I, Part A funds, it becomes a CSI school.

B. Criteria

- i. The State is revising the statewide exit criteria for schools receiving additional targeted support under ESEA section 1111(d)(2)(C) that would be eligible to exit status in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

Given that SY2022 a unique school year for all of the reasons mentioned above, NMPED is proposing to designate schools as conditionally exited and provide schools with the option of technical assistance with which to develop a comprehensive understanding of their strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats as they deliver educational services to students. The conditional exit will be accompanied with incentives to participate in state sponsored activities.

- ii. The State is revising the statewide exit criteria for schools identified for additional targeted support and improvement under ESEA section 1111(d)(2)(C) in fall 2022 based on data from the 2021-2022 school year.

ATSI status as a result of data from the 2021-2022 school year will be considered Conditional until Fall 2023 status. At this point schools that are rated as conditional ATSI will be eligible for exit if not found as ATSI in Fall 2023.

- iii. The State is revising the State-determined number of years a school identified for additional targeted support and improvement in fall 2022 has to meet the statewide exit criteria in order to exit status before, for a school receiving Title I, Part A funds, it becomes a CSI school.

Given that the most recent designations occurred in 2016-2017 and we are not recognizing SY2020 and SY2021 (Other than schools exiting as a result of graduation rates), we are proposing a conditional status on all status that deviate (positive or negative) from the current status. The status may be conditional on construct irrelevant variance such as the pandemic, which we would hope we would see more clearly after fall 2023 results are determined.