BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
SPECIAL OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
March 16, 2023
11:30 a.m.
Mabry Hall, Jerry Apodaca Education Building
300 Don Gaspar
Santa Fe, New Mexico
AND
Via Zoom Webinar

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219
Bean & Associates, Inc.
Professional Court Reporting Service
201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 8033N (CC)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2	4
1		
1 2	A P P E A R A N C E S COMMISSIONERS:	1 THE CHAIR: Good afternoon, everyone. So
3	ALAN BRAUER, Chair	2 I'm going to go ahead and call this meeting to 3 order. It is 11:34, and we'll go ahead and begin.
4	REBEKKA BURT, Vice Chair TIMOTHY BECK, Member	
	STEVEN J. CARRILLO, Member	
5	SHARON CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE, Member	,
6	PATRICIA GIPSON, Member STEWART INGHAM, Member	6 secretary today, given Melissa's absence. And so 7 Vice Chair/Secretary Burt, will you go ahead and
_	K.T. MANIS, Member	vice chair, seerearly Barry, will you go amount and
7 8	MICHAEL TAYLOR, Member PED STAFF:	8 take the roll call, please?
9	CORINA CHAVEZ Director	9 COMMISSIONER BURT: Sure.
10	Charter School/Options for	10 Commissioner Armijo, not present.
10 11	Parents and Families Division LUCY VALENZUELA, Technical Assistance and	11 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Beck.
	Training Specialist	12 Not present.
12	Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division	13 Commissioner Brauer.
13	and ramines Division	14 THE CHAIR: Present.
	SHARYN PEREA, Liaison to PEC	15 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt is
14 15		16 here.
16	COUNSEL TO THE PEC:	17 Commissioner Clahchischilliage. Not
17	JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ.	18 present.
18	Barnes Mediation and Law, PC 200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	19 Commissioner Ingham.
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	20 COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Here.
19 20		21 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.
21		22 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
22		23 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor.
23 24		24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Here.
25		25 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.
	2	5
	3	5
1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here.
2	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner
	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo.
2 3 4 5	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here.
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a
2 3 4 5	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven.
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice 8 Chair/Secretary Burt.
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice 8 Chair/Secretary Burt. 9 Commissioner Manis, in lieu of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice 8 Chair/Secretary Burt. 9 Commissioner Manis, in lieu of 10 Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice 8 Chair/Secretary Burt. 9 Commissioner Manis, in lieu of 10 Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading 11 us into the Pledge, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE Call to Order and Roll Call 4 Approval of Agenda 5 Consent Agenda 6 Open Forum 7 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education	1 COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner 3 Carrillo. 4 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a 6 quorum of seven. 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice 8 Chair/Secretary Burt. 9 Commissioner Manis, in lieu of 10 Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading 11 us into the Pledge, please? 12 (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the agenda.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the agenda. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the agenda. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Great. We have a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the agenda. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Great. We have a second. Do we do I can't remember. Do we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS PAGE 1 Call to Order and Roll Call 4 2 Approval of Agenda 5 3 Consent Agenda 6 4 Open Forum 7 5 Discussion and Possible Action Related to 44 La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC Including Issuance of a Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract 6 Discussion of Performance Framework 65 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 211 ATTACHMENTS:	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here. COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Carrillo. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here. COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. That gives us a quorum of seven. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair/Secretary Burt. Commissioner Manis, in lieu of Commissioner Beck's absence, would you mind leading us into the Pledge, please? (Pledge of Allegiance conducted.) THE CHAIR: Would you mind (Audio distortion.) (Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.) THE CHAIR: Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Item No. 2, Approval of the Agenda. COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the agenda. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. THE CHAIR: Great. We have a second.

1 THE CHAIR: Okay. Vice Chair Burt. 1 level. 2 2 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Brauer. But if I could get a quick number from -from Lucy on how many people would like to speak 3 THE CHAIR: Yes. 3 4 4 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo. today. 5 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. 5 MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Hi. Good morning. 6 6 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, We have 13 people signed up to speak this morning. 7 7 yes. So what I am going to do is I will click on the --8 8 Commissioner Manis. allow you to give permissions to speak to the first 9 9 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. individual. When you see that you have that 10 10 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham. permission to speak, please state your full name and 11 COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Yes. 11 spell it out for the court reporter. COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. 12 12 The first commentator will be Ginger 13 13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Juarez. 14 14 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you, Lucy. And, 15 15 Ms. Juarez and other speakers, we will keep you --Gipson. 16 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 16 keep you at the three minutes. So please don't take 17 COMMISSIONER BURT: That passes, 17 it personally as we kind of do the curtain call. So 18 18 seven-zero. please -- please just respect that three-minute time 19 THE CHAIR: Item No. 3, Consent Agenda, 19 period today. 20 20 Approval of Minutes and Transcripts: Thank you. 21 21 Part 1, the PEC Work Session Minutes from FROM THE PUBLIC: Thank you. My name is 22 22 February 15 and 16, 2023, and Part 2, PEC Meeting Ginger Juarez. G-i-n-g-e-r J-u-a-r-e-z, like the 23 23 spice and the town. Transcript for February 27, 2023. 24 COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the 24 I am a parent of one daughter at 25 25 consent agenda. La Tierra, and she is doing amazing there. I am 9 1 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second. I was going 1 also an aunt of two children there who have had 2 2 really hard times other places and have flourished to consent. 3 3 THE CHAIR: Vice Chair, do you mind taking and done amazing at La Tierra, this year even. 4 4 the roll call again, please? We love the teachers that have stuck 5 5 COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. around and are doing their best. We really feel 6 Commissioner Gipson. 6 like, if given the opportunity, we as parents and 7 7 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. staff are able to turn the school around. 8 8 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham. Our biggest problem right now is our 9 COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Yes. 9 governing council refusing to work with us. 10 10 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. We have made it very clear everything that 11 11 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. we're able to do to help the school and what we're 12 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. 12 able and willing to do to help the school, and have 13 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 13 been told time and again that the governing council 14 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt, 14 will not help us. 15 15 We are here in front of you begging for yes. 16 Commissioner Carrillo. 16 any help we can get. We love this school. It is 17 17 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. good for our community. It is good for our 18 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Chair Brauer. 18 children. Please hear us. 19 THE CHAIR: Yes. 19 Thank you and have a good day. 20 20 COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. That THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Juarez. 21 2.1 MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next passes, seven-zero. 22 22 THE CHAIR: All right. So our next item speaker will be Andrez Juarez. 23 23 is Open Forum. So this time will be limited at the FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello. My name is 24 24 discretion of the Chair. We typically give three Andrez Juarez. I am the father of L. Juarez at the 25 25 minutes per person, and we'll keep everyone at that La Tierra Montessori school. My name is spelled

A-n-d-r-e-z J-u-a-r-e-z.

2.

Sorry about that.

My -- I guess what I want to say is what an incredible gem Montessori -- La Tierra Montessori is for the Valley. For too long the Valley has been on the top of every bad list and the bottom of every good list.

The children who come to Montessori are obviously children who have a difficult time -- or maybe not. They just crave a different environment. But the environment that is created by the teachers, by everyone, is amazing, and it's allowed my daughter to flourish. It's allowed her to become -- you know, she went from wanting to be a farmer to wanting to be a veterinarian, scientist in space.

She -- her desires have grown. And I can only say that it's through her teacher, Angela Feathers -- I don't want -- mean to call everybody out. But she's amazing. And all the teachers there are amazing, especially for all the stuff they've had to deal with.

As far as I -- as far as everything else, I believe that the leadership -- and I don't mean to say this in the wrong way. But I think the governing council is inept. I don't think they can THE CHAIR: Mr. Pearce, you can go ahead and take yourself off mute and share your public comment, please.

FROM THE PUBLIC: I apologize, Commissioner. Thank you, honored Commissioners. This is the -- gosh -- fourth time I've spoken in regards to the school in the past six months.

I just want to say that I work with one of the largest organizations in the nation regarding community action. And we had submitted a petition to recall Isaac Casados as a member of the La Tierra governing council.

It was e-mailed on Tuesday. The petition itself was delivered to the mailbox listed as the governing council's address on Friday.

This is a great school. We've got teacher -- we actually have award winning teachers here. However, all of our pleas to the governing council to keep La Tierra Montessori, to please reach out to the parents, has fallen on deaf ears.

As a group, the parents were spreading gravel to fix the potholes in the driveway of La Tierra. Not a governing council member to be seen to say hi or even to show any concern with this.

lead anybody out of a paper bag.

I've been in the military. I know what leadership is. It doesn't exist there. They're not responsive; they're not transparent. They, in no way, ask or seek our help.

We could be the greatest resource we have because we are concerned parents. We just want what's best for all of our children.

And to that end, I feel all I can do is ask you, please find us -- help us find a way -- help us find a way to keep our school alive. Help us find a way to help us become what we all believe, because we wouldn't be here if we didn't believe it.

Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Juarez -- or Mr. Juarez. Sorry about that. I wanted to also share on the record that Commissioner Beck joined our call at 11:42 today.

(Commissioner Beck has joined the meeting.)
COMMISSIONER BECK: Yeah. I can't figure
out why the video is not working. But I'm here.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you,

23 Commissioner.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next speaker is Benjamin Pearce.

I'm very concerned that despite -despite -- I apologize -- despite instruction not to
change the school's mission statement and goals from
the PEC, the governing council has gone ahead and
done so.

I'm concerned that there seems to be no communication. The parents have reached out numerous times, accepted offers from mediators and quite a bit else.

This petition has over two-thirds of the parents' signatures on it. And we've been threatened at a previous board meeting that the governing council may find, or believes, that the signatures may have been collected with malfeasance.

We have no desire to do so. I've worked on numerous petitions, numerous, with a lot of different community organizations. And this is the first time I've even heard of somebody saying that collecting signatures for a petition is illegal.

I don't know what else to do. I know the PEC, you all have very limited power. But we do need to save the school.

Montessori is a great asset to our community. I have three -- three children on different levels of the neurodivergent scale, where

the normal regular schools wasn't helping them.
They've thrived in this environment. They actually have a desire to learn. Our teachers have done great things.

We've got one teacher who's won a national award for -- in the local area (inaudible). Thank you for your time, Commissioners, and please help

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pearce.

I wanted to share that Commissioner Clahchischilliage has joined as well at 11:44.

(Commissioner Clahchischilliage has joined the meeting.)

THE CHAIR: And, Lucy, as you let folks in, if you'd mind letting folks off the panel as well if you get the chance. Thank you.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: So the next speaker is Catherine Davis-Sparks. Okay. I guess she lost connection or something. She's not on anymore.

I'll go down to the next speaker who signed up. That is Andrew Brunner.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Brunner. Go ahead and spell your name and you go ahead and can begin your three minutes.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Good morning, members of

research I'm doing, I can't even find half the minutes of these meetings that took place in the past back to late 2021. How can I back on anything?

I just know that the Montessori school -my daughters are there because it's Montessori. If
I wanted them in a public education school, they
would be going to the school that is two and a half
blocks up from my street, from my house. It would
be nice and easy.

But it's important to me, after studying what Montessori is all about, which, unfortunately, I'm not sure why that's going on with the governing council or the head learner at this point, who I do support the head learner and I want to -- really gave out a big -- a praise to the teachers and people who have stuck around through the thick and thin of all this.

They really are dedicated. And if it wasn't for that, I would have pulled my daughters out already.

Yes. I write to Mr. Casados in openness, and I even forwarded that e-mail to Commissioner Steve Carrillo so that everybody could see it. I got not one single response from Mr. Casados.

This is how it's been from the get-go for

the Commission. My name is Andrew Brunner. A-n-d-r-e-w B-r-u-n-e-r.

I have not spoken at one of these meetings yet. Mine is going to be real brief.

When I put my daughters in Montessori five years ago, it was a developing Montessori. It was very -- really awesome place to walk into. The environment was so much different.

What I am seeing happen is an incremental degrading of the Montessori structure there at La Tierra. And this has been happening much more rapidly since Isaac Casados became president of the governing council back, I believe, in July of '22.

This is just what I am taking note of. I have watched a lot of teachers go to the wayside from this school that were very important to the school; i.e., Mr. Krane and others losing their positions over I'm not sure what yet.

There's a lot that needs to be found out. I have not been given all the facts and the details yet myself on why Ms. Feathers is no longer the head learner. I'm still holding on to that area.

So all these things. There's no transparency. I don't know who did what or who did what, who's responsible for what or -- all the

the last eight months. There is no transparency. I feel like they're making decisions as if they're

feel like they're making decisions as if they're above us, as if we as parents aren't capable of making -- helping make decisions or create the

necessary help -- assist in contributing to the necessary environment that needs to be

6 necessary environment that needs to be
7 Montessori-based, not "inspired," not -- you know,
8 and being more and more Montessori is taking out o

and being more and more Montessori is taking out of this.

We have days where the kids are having to do math over and over again because there's none in the former curriculum. Also my daughter says the teacher says, "You need this much math" to get caught up to where they need to be.

There's -- I have so many problems with that statement itself. But, anyway, I just wanted to say I'm really concerned that Montessori is not going to be here. We need to save that.

Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Brunner.
MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next

speaker is Gary Goddard.
 FROM THE PUBLIC: My name is --

THE CHAIR: Go ahead and start with your name and --

mank you very much.

production@litsupport.com

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FROM THE PUBLIC: Right. My name is Gary Goddard. That's G-a-r-y G-o-d-d-a-r-d. And I'm a parent of a fourth grader there. I'm also the current president of the PTA, and I'm here today to advocate for my son's education in the Valley.

My son is autistic, and La Tierra is the fourth school in four years that he's been to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've been to the EPS in Alcalde, McCurdy, and then we went to Santa Fe and Wood-Gormley. And the standard school curriculum and the other students, you know, that he's -- the standard curriculum in the EPS has not served him well, and Wood-Gormley.

He has thrived at La Tierra. He is engaged with the material. He's engaged with the other students. He -- I used to pick him up last year at Alcalde. He would cry almost every time I picked him up.

Now he's giggling and excited, and it's just an amazing transformation to me.

So I just want to -- my heart goes out to the teachers. And it's been a really great experience.

It would be a huge hardship for us as a family to the -- he will not work in EPS. We would believe that Isaac Casados's term is up since he was -- first appears in the minutes in January 2021. And it's a two-year limit.

The PTA -- I've contacted the head learner and requested meetings, in-person meetings, as requested by the other -- the other parents. And we've been denied that.

I've also asked to -- that if we could come in and help alleviate some of the issues in the Poms report, because many of those issues are not -do not require a contractor, and they're just basic housekeeping.

I mean, pallets are still stacked up on the side of the school. I think there's a lot of stuff that we as parents could do in being supportive of the school if only we were given a chance.

The way I think of it is, too, if even a fraction of the time that I would be spending next year driving to Santa Fe, Taos, or Los Alamos, if I could spend a fraction of that time and other students could spend a fraction of that time putting that energy into the school, it would be an amazing place.

So I think we need to replace the general

19

have to work exploring Taos, Santa Fe, and Los Alamos. And those are out, you know, to -- it's an hour round trip -- I mean an hour each way for us to do that. It would be quite a hardship and a hardship to find a school that is Montessori, or at least alternative experiential like that.

And I just wanted to fill you guys in on some of the events that have occurred since the last PEC meeting.

And that was -- so despite the PED letter, the special ed noncompliance, to the head learner, I have not received an IEP for my son that is required by state law. And I've been asking for it many, many times.

There has been no Montessori training for the teachers conducted in the in-service days.

And despite the indigenous Montessori training being listed on the Corrective Action Plan, the first and second grade teachers resigned, and there has been no discussion concerning the lack of staffing to parents.

The Spanish teacher has also resigned with no plans for a replacement.

And, you know, as has been said, we've got the parent recall petition signed. And we also

council, not just Isaac, the entire council, and -because this week, as parents, we have no way of communicating, participating, helping in any way. I've tried everything. I don't even know what to do

with the PTA anymore. There is nothing. There's no events.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Goddard, if you could wrap it up, please? Thank you.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Yes. I am -- there's nothing for the -- so I have nothing -- as a PTA president, I am completely impotent. So that is what I had to say.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. And, actually, Catherine Davis-Sparks is back in the chat. I will go ahead and give you permission to allow Ms. Davis-Sparks.

Please state your full name for the court reporter and you have three minutes to speak.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Davis-Sparks, can you hear us? Okay. Catherine, can you hear us? We don't hear you right now if you're talking. Catherine Davis Sparks, do you hear me?

You know, Lucy, maybe if we can give her, like, a -- a chat or something and just let her know

that we're not hearing her right now, and maybe we can bring somebody else in as she figures out her --

Montessori.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: I'll do that. Looks like she got kicked out again.

So the next speaker will be Meda Maitri.
FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello. This is Meda
Maitri, M-e-d-a M-a-i-t-r-i. I am the mother of one
daughter going into fourth grade at La Tierra

We are pretty perplexed by this situation. We moved here from New York. In New York, we were in a public school where we had plenty of opportunities to (inaudible). This is not something that is happening to her, and, as parents, we are shuttled off and shooed, like, literally, from school grounds for any kind of initiative that we would like to have.

We are not allowed to have PTA meetings on school grounds. The petition that went around to be signed by parents was considered illegal.

I've been part of the -- the meetings for the GC. And it's shocking. The items that the GC is deciding to focus on, like having a provision, for example, to not allow monkeys on school grounds when we are dealing with the situation that we do. And we have -- we have people willing and able to participate in the GC and represent properly the interests of the parents and the interests of the teachers and the interests of the community and the Montessori-style learning.

Thank you so much. I hope that you can help us today. Appreciate that. Have a good day.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. So the next speaker is Dr. Michael Kaplan.

THE CHAIR: All right. Mr. Gadomski. Go ahead. You can take yourself off of mute and go ahead and spell your name for the court reporter.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Sure. I'm Michael Gadomski. I'm also Assistant General Council in the Special Education Division of the New Mexico Public Education Department.

My last name is spelled G-a-d-o-m-s-k-i. I'm actually just observing the meeting, you know, in my official capacity, but I don't actually have any public comment to give.

THE CHAIR: You know what, Michael? Sorry about that. I think we may have brought the wrong Michael in, actually.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you hear me

And we are experienc- -- we want to participate and we want to help. We don't want the school to go to the drain because -- (inaudible) education (inaudible) it's highly necessary.

The traditional school system does not address needs that are particular for some kids.

My child is also thriving in the Montessori environment. And if not for this type of environment, I don't know what else is there.

It would be a huge loss for us as a community to not be participating in this type of education. We absolutely love the teachers at the school. Ms. Melisha -- that's our kid's teacher -- Mr. Curtis, the science teacher. We are so sad that we lost Ms. Natasha that was a Spanish teacher.

It seems at this point that the remaining teachers are intimidated the same way we are by the GC that is threatening legal action against parents who dare to sign the petition. It's -- it's unbelievable.

And we are here offering our support and participation as parents. We want the school to succeed. We need the school to succeed. We need this GC that is -- it's a shame (inaudible). (Timer indicates.)

now?

THE CHAIR: Yes. We can hear you.
FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. I'm Dr. Michael
Kaplan, M-i-c-h-a-e-l K-a-p-l-a-n. I'm the vice
chair of the governance council at New Mexico School
for the Arts. And I would like to just make a
comment about the revised performance framework.

And this is in regards to the governing body membership training and responsibilities 3.A. It's on Page 16.

I have a concern about -- under "Training," it says there that all members of the governing body and new members who served for at least six months have completed all training hours required by the CSD and the PEC.

But if you go and you read the charter school statute, it says all training must be done within the first year, within the year, the fiscal year. So if the PEC and the CSD are changing training requirements, the training now has to all be done within the first six months. That is in conflict with what the current statute says.

My other concern is that, you know, governing council members serve, volunteer to serve on governing councils. They're not elected. So my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concern is just that if we're putting -- you know, they want to do -- we want to do all the training. But sometimes it's impossible to get all the training completed within the first six months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've noticed that at the governance council for the New Mexico School for the Arts. And I'm sure it includes other schools as well.

My concern is that we need to go back and give them the entire year for those members that have extenuating circumstances that, for family or business or whatever, and cannot get into the trainings to complete them within the first six months. This is for returning governing council members rather than new governance council members.

So that's my comment about that. Thank you very much for your time today.

THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you, Dr. Kaplan. I just wanted to share that Item No. 6 in today's agenda will also provide you, as a school leader, an opportunity to weigh in even more. So your comments don't have to be just here during this time, but you can stay around if you have time and share other comments as well, as we get into that part of our agenda today.

Thank you.

speak now.

kids, the teachers that have been able and been allowed to remain have been very steadfast (inaudible).

Even though we have not received any IEPs for them, our youngest one is receiving services, at least as far as I'm aware.

The teachers have taken time out of their own lives. Mr. Curtis took a team, including my daughter, to Albuquerque because we placed in a robotics competition. And they got to go. He paid for the whole trip himself, including lunch and a souvenir for the kids after they came in 14th place.

Mr. Paul came in on -- he went up to the state level in a teacher competition for his teaching style and his character.

And Ms. Remy, the former first and second grade teacher, I believe, she was my son's best friend. He was so excited every day to go see Ms. Remy -- Ms. Remy Feathers. He's very sad she's gone. And we don't have a clear answer as to why, other than pure retaliation.

We parents also have an issue with the removal and revision of Montessori vision education and the mission statement for La Tierra. We want -we weren't informed of any of that happening. We

27

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay, so Catherine

THE CHAIR: Ms. Davis-Sparks, I believe you joined us.

is back in. I'm going to see if she is able to

FROM THE PUBLIC: There we go. Can you hear me? Yes. Can you hear me? Excellent. Yeah. My mute button just popped out. So yeah. Yeah.

Hello. My name is Catherine Davis-Sparks. C-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e. Davis-Sparks is D-a-v-i-s hyphen S-p-a-r-k-s.

I am a mother of four at La Tierra Montessori. And they are -- three of them are very neurodivergent to the point where they were not surviving in the Española Public School system. It got so bad that they lost -- the school actually lost my son's intake packet, demanded I redo it, because they thought the person that got fired took it with them for nefarious purposes and didn't report it to parents.

So I prefer La Tierra because I haven't had that incident.

But I want to let you guys know that despite all of the things that have been going on with the governing council and the parents, the

were not told that the governing council had this in mind. They just put it onto us.

They -- you know, Mr. Casados, every time we've asked him about this, he has claimed that we are persecuting him for being gay, for being a Native American, for being an abuse victim of a priest.

I think that Mr. Casados has a lot of trauma that he is forcing onto us that he is refusing to get looked at, and it is hurting my children. And I will take -- and I do take exception to that.

The council remains negligent, I believe, with transparency of parents. They have not fixed the issues from the Poms report. They're still not meeting up with parents for work parties despite promising appearances.

This council is complicit in all of the horrible things that Isaac Casados has done in the name of council. They have remained silent and watched him take away our choices, which is a civil rights action right there, taking away our ability to say, "You know what? We are not okay with this." They have denied us our First Amendment.

Thank you so much for your time. I want

to restate that Montessori needs to stay in the school. The teachers deserve better. The current council is technically invalid and defunct. They refuse to follow the bylaws, and I would like to see the Commission and council do something to help our school, not close it down.

I'm willing to serve as needed. Thank you so much for your time today, and I appreciate everything you all do.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

2.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next speaker is Melissa Salazar.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello. Good morning, Commissioners and Chair.

My name is Melissa Salazar. M-e-l-i-s-s-a S-a-l-a-z-a-r.

And I just want to begin my comments by seeing and honoring all the parents that showed up today. I know that you know this. But I just want to tell the Commission that all of us work full time, are farmers, run businesses. And to see so many of us turn up today to speak on behalf of the kids and the teachers really ought to be honored.

We are some of the parents that are still standing. The school is still bleeding students and

We're always looking -- I've been in PTAs with the same two people all year long running PTA.

You have 13 people here. You have 37 that signed a petition to get rid of our governing council. We collected those signatures in a matter of hours. Hours.

It did not -- it was not hard. It was not hard to gather 26 parents. We gave them two days' notice to just gather off site in downtown Española at a person's house. Twenty-six parents showed up. We were overwhelmed with the support we had.

And you've seen us here over and over, some of the six or seven of us that you keep recognizing our names by now. But look at who's here today and look at who signed that petition. That's two-thirds of the school. It's not -- we're not a little group, and we're not a little bunch of troublemakers. We're an asset to the Valley, and we're an asset to the school, and we will not be labeled that way.

So what we are asking for you to do, we're seeking legal advice to see if there is a civil case that we can start filing here. I mean, we're not a bunch of people that are just going to go away and send our kids to other schools and scatter

throughout the Valley.

parents and teachers. And we're not going anywhere. We just keep persevering and hoping. And it takes a lot of time to -- out of our day to try to figure out, to cancel and reschedule meetings so that we can all dial in at this time. So I see you parents, and I honor you. Thank you for being here.

I think my general comments are just to the Commission to understand, I think, the structural problem that's happening here with our governing council not including parents and not really having the capacity to understand how to include us as educators, as farmers, as business owners.

We know we're -- some of us are even Montessorians. And I think what I've been learning through charter school participation is that the parent participation in a charter school is radically different than a public school. Charter school parents have made a choice, and they are dogged in their participation in the school, and they need to be honored because they are literally a part of the school.

I have been at many PTAs. As you've known, my son has been with many schools. And we beg for this kind of participation and engagement.

You have heard today that we are committed to keeping the school open one way or another. And if we have to file federal action, we will do so.

But I'll wrap up by just saying, imagine what this school could be like if all the parents with all these assets and aspirational resources, all these lovely teachers that have remained, just imagine what we could do to rebuild the school and correct all the things in your action plan. We could have it done. We meet weekly. We meet sometimes more than weekly.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Salazar. FROM THE PUBLIC: Yes. So please allow us a way in so that we can be running the school. Thank you so much for your time. Sorry for going overtime.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next speaker is John.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello. Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: We can, sir.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Thank you. Hello to all. My name is John Myszko. J-o-h-n M-y-s-z-k-o. And my daughter, Zoe, has been going

to La Tierra for six great years now. She loves the school. She has just excelled in it. She wants to be a marine biologist when she gets older, and we've been working to that end.

Our school is a precious jewel the way that I look at it. It is very unique, and it is really important. The -- it serves a unique group of kids and families that can't go other places or don't want to go other places. It's this incredibly valuable resource that is in our community.

We have wonderful teachers that go so far beyond what a teacher has to do because their heart is in it. It's a heart-based school. We care about each other. For many years now, we've all been working together in a beautiful way.

I'm happy to go in there and repair something when it needs to get repaired. But we have been completely thwarted in all of the pursuits that we have tried to do to help the school, specifically by the current governing council, and specifically by Mr. Casados. I can't believe the way that he has treated us as parents and community.

So we want him out immediately. He's not serving the children of the school. He's not serving our community. And we have this group of

speaker is Forrest.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Good afternoon. Can you hear me?

THE CHAIR: We can hear you, sir.

FROM THE PUBLIC: My name is Forrest, F-o-r-r-e-s-t, Verde, V-e-r-d-e, Dudek, D-u-d-e-k. Thank you for hearing us, and I want to thank all the parents for showing up today.

I know for myself, it's another day I have to take time off of work to be here, and I know we're all busy and having a family is very difficult. So thank you for showing up for the school and the community.

And that's -- that's part of the issue here is that this school is the community school. This school is the Española Valley school. It's not Isaac Casados's school. It's not the governing council's school.

And to close the school without attempting to remove this -- this governing council would do a disservice to our community. And there have been a series of what seems like malice and malicious attempts to dismantle this school, and Isaac Casados appears to be a bad actor.

And what happens when there's a bad actor

parents that wants to help so much if we're allowed to. We have not been allowed to.

So what we could do together, if we were able to dialogue, if we had a governing council and a head learner that were talking to each other, is bring the school back to what it used to be.

In the six years that we've been there, it's been just beautiful for most of the time that we've been there. My daughter loves the school. There would be so many displaced children and families if this school were to close. I would literally have to sell my house and move to a place where there's a better school, because there's nothing here that would serve my daughter.

So I'm asking, please, on behalf of my family, on behalf of the rest of LTMAS's families, please help us in any way you can. We as a group want to help and serve our community. We cannot do it with current governing council.

We have recalled Mr. Casados. Please, please step down and let us do what we want to do with this school.

Thank you. I appreciate your time. THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. The next

on a governing council? Do you have to close the school down? What happens when there's a tumor in your body? Do you just let it kill you? Or do you have surgery to remove the tumor?

Is there a possibility for this Commission to remove this governing council? Us as parents and I as a former parent, because my children were disenrolled due to my -- my issues with the assaults that took place against my son and standing up for him and advocating for him, I'm a former parent. But I still care about the school and I want it to survive, and I care about the teachers.

And how do we keep that intact and that available for a community without shutting it down? Because the reality is the community needs this school. But we don't need Isaac Casados. And we have signed that petition. We want him to leave. He won't even hear the petition in the governing council meeting. He is breaking bylaws by doing that. He won't allow new members on the governing council.

I submitted my own intent -- letter of intent to serve on the governing council in November. It has not been heard. There are many, many members of this community that are willing to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

serve on the governing council and save this school and bring it back in good standing.

But Isaac Casados is being malicious. It seems like he has hijacked this school. And the school and the students and the families are not what he is serving. He is serving himself. And I don't know what the other members on this governing council are doing and looking at and just standing by. But they are implicit in this.

And I don't understand it. My family has been a part of this school since (inaudible). (Timer indicates.)

Thank you. Please do all that you can to get this governing council off, and we will find new members to save this school.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. Our next speaker is Marcos Trujillo.

Okay. It looks like Marcos is no longer with us.

So the next one will be Mateo.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello.

24 THE CHAIR: Go ahead and spell your name 25 for us, and you can go ahead and begin.

1 was like, "Okay. You know, well, this is just 2

interpersonal relationship-type stuff."

But being able to view two or three council meetings, it's abhorrent. It is bad. This is a council that has no supervision. They're rogue. They don't follow their laws. They don't bring input. And they threaten continuously.

I have zero confidence in that governing council being able to run anything, from the top down. They've been -- they've shown zero solutions.

I thought there was a plan to fix the school. Nothing has been implemented. They don't have a full council. They won't bring people to possibly be on the council. This is really bad.

Do not close the school. You're going to close a bunch of opportunities to children who don't get a lot of opportunities. And that's all I have to say.

Thank you.

20 THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Okay. And our final

22 speaker this afternoon is Mateo.

> FROM THE PUBLIC: Hello. Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Mateo Peixinho. It's

M-a-t-e-o P-e-i-x-i-n-h-o. And it was great to hear

39

FROM THE PUBLIC: I'm Marcos Trujillo. M-a-r-c-o-s T-r-u-j-i-l-l-o.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak today.

I just wanted to say that, you know, I'm a parent of a child that's currently in kindergarten at La Tierra. We as a family live on the Ohkay Owingeh Pueblo where La Tierra is located. I grew up five minutes away from Española.

The unfortunate thing about this area is these children have to grow up with a lot of adversity. The positive about that is the resiliency that they develop that everyone who is born here and grew up here has to develop.

I'm asking you that this is an invaluable institution of this area. It provides opportunities that they don't get a lot of.

And being a first-year parent, the staff and the parents have given me so much confidence in the ability of this community to foster this school, to keep it, you know, prospering. But the thing that -- the first year parents introduced me to is what I thought was literally hyperbole -- you know, parents can say things and go off a little bit. And the things I heard about the governing council, I

NMSA brought up earlier. My son who's 13 just got admitted, you know. And it's a big deal to make the grade, you know.

And La Tierra afforded him that, you know. He had a lot of behavioral issues in the beginning. And the teachers there loved him up, and now he's going to go to high school in one of the best

schools in the Southwest, I believe, you know.

So with that being said, you know, that in the summer and then again in November, I reached out to this governing council as a parent group, concerned that Montessori was being eliminated and things were going on at the school with head learners and the positions not being filled properly and all the issues that came to the surface regarding special ed.

So we reached out and asked, you know, "Hey, could you have a special meeting and explain to us what the plan is so that we can support you," you know.

And we were met with disgust and then threats. And it's been horrible to see us get to this point. And I feel like -- you know, there's probably not much convincing that we need to do.

But we did want to inform you that we do

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a plan as parents. We --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Looks like he dropped. He didn't by chance just go back into the (incomprehensible), did he, Lucy? Or did he fall off completely?

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: We lost him completely it looks like.

THE CHAIR: We'll just pause just for a moment here to see if he can hop back on.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Here we go. He is back on.

FROM THE PUBLIC: I'm very sorry. Yeah. So our -- just -- we just don't know how the situation got this bad. As parents, you know, it doesn't seem like it needed to.

And we do have leadership ready to step in. We have someone, Melissa, who is a Ph.D. and has a background in education, ready to assume the role of president of the -- of the board. We're calling ourselves the governing board in absentia, okay? You know, Montessorians are ready to form an advisory board to help the board get everything corrected.

I'm willing to participate as far as the facilities committee. We have Montessorians -- this Thank you.

2 THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir. Lucy, that was the last one; right?

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yes, he was the last speaker.

THE CHAIR: Everyone who spoke today, thank you so much for your public comment. Much appreciated for sure.

We're going to move on to the next item, Item No. 5 of our agenda, Discussion and Possible Action Related to La Tierra Montessori School of the Arts and Science [sic] Regarding Breach of Contract and Failure to Make Substantial Progress Towards Achievement of the Department's Standard of Excellence or Student Performance Standards Identified in the Contract, and Including Violations Related to Student Safety, Special Education Issues and Failure to Provide Appropriate Oversight at the School Requiring Immediate Action by the PEC, Including Issuance of Notice of Intent to Revoke the Contract.

And so I'm going to pass the floor over to Ms. Barnes to provide additional context. MS. JULIA BARNES: Thank you, guys. We

25 are looking to see if you want to take a vote today

43

school, if we could somehow garner the help of the Charter School Division and -- you know, we could make it right, you know. We can make you guys

proud.

I'd love to come here next summer, you know -- next fall -- and report to you all how well things are, because this is an amazing school. As you see just by the last names of the speakers here. And it's located on a pueblo. The tribe invested over \$600,000 in renovating the school for this Montessori school because the leadership of the school knew that we had to have alternatives if they wanted to attract work for Tsay Corporation and to work for the pueblos, they needed positive schools.

So they made an investment. We've made the investment. So, you know, we don't want our kids to be -- we don't want our kids to be traumatized. You know, COVID was bad enough. We really need to just have your help. If there's a way to direct the Charter School Division to work with us, we as the parents --

THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

FROM THE PUBLIC: -- are ready to serve.

Thank you so much for your time and patience. We really honor you all. And thank you. on issuing a notice of -- or setting -- starting forth with a revocation of the charter.

The school has been notified -- this is the third time that we've provided them written notice that there was an intent to revoke the charter. The next steps would be to issue a notice of intent to revoke, which would set up a revocation hearing.

The contract requires that it be within 30 to 60 days. Your next meeting in April might be a good time to set a revocation hearing if you vote to do that.

The -- the contract requires that we state the legal basis for potential revocation and identify -- reasonably identify the evidence to support that.

All of that is prepared. There is a draft motion if you choose to move forward with that.

The hearing would be in Santa Fe in person at your next meeting, which is more than 30 days from now.

We can establish the dates for the written materials and evidence within that 30-day period. And I don't believe that this Commission has ever used a hearing officer.

I did want to indicate that we did send out a letter to them, again, as I indicated that identified, again, that there was a notice of -- a potential notice of intent to revoke the charter. And we did provide them all of the background information that was in the folder last time. We've put it in an organized format.

Since that time, two other things have come up.

22.

One is CSD received the audits for all of the charter schools. And this school, there are eleven findings, three material weaknesses in that. In your materials, there is a summary -- I'm just going to call it an excellent summary of that that is clear and concise. We have also put in the sections for you so that you can read that.

Again, I don't know that you necessarily need to discuss it now. It would be discussed in detail at a hearing.

The -- that number of violations, I think, is another urgent issue potentially for you to consider. It is a breach of contract and a breach of the performance framework.

And then, second, as we have previously indicated, we were going to move forward to reach

But, Commissioner Gipson, if there are other questions on your mind before we take any action?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, sure. Thanks.

I just wanted to, first, acknowledge and thank all the parents for the time that they put in to offer comment. Unfortunately, what has continued to be presented to us hasn't changed my mind in any way about what we have to do in regards to this school.

You know, our hands are tied. It is frustrating, but there is nothing that the Public Education Commission can do in regards to a governance council that isn't, in anyone's view, acting appropriately. We do not have the authority to suspend; no one does at this point in time.

So I appreciate the parents' fervor in wanting to take control of the school, but we can't do that. And parents can't -- and, honestly, parents just can't come in and run a school. There's a system that's set up.

But I do want to acknowledge -- I -- you know, I feel their frustration. We've been frustrated with this school for well over a year now. And we're sitting here in this position.

out to Ohkay Owingeh in terms of tribal consultation. And Chair Brauer may want to add a little bit more. But it is my understanding that he was told at that time that the school has not conducted tribal consultation. That is a statutory violation, one of the grounds for noncompliance.

So based on what we discussed last time and this time, I think that there are violations and breach of contract. There are violations of the standard of excellence in terms of academics. And now there is a potential violation of tribal consultation.

So I think that at this point -- I will indicate that we will continue to reach out to the tribe to understand what they need to do. And if you vote to move forward with the revocation, we are ready to go ahead and submit that letter and information to them that starts the process.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Barnes.
Commissioner Gipson and Commissioner
Carrillo, I see your hands as well.

I did want to share that I wanted to have Director Chavez also just share a brief -- brief overview of the evaluation. So, Commissioner -sorry -- of the audit, rather. I understand the commitment that the pueblo put into the building. But that building has deteriorated to an appalling condition that children should not be in that school. And, once again, it's a public school. We should not be relying on parents going in and volunteering their time to do construction work that may not be up to adequacy standards.

So this is -- I said this a couple of weeks ago. This is always heartbreaking. It is. But sometimes we have to do that tough job. And my -- my mind has not changed from the last vote that I took on this. So I'm going to continue to support a vote for revocation.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Gipson.

Commissioner Carrillo. You're on mute, sir.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: There we go. So first, as I understand it, the school has retained counsel, and the counsel is present at this meeting; is that correct? These are all yes-and-no questions.

It is correct. His name is Geno Zamora. He used to be the district's attorney. And he's --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that he put in the chat that he was here, and I understand that he has been retained.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So one of the first things I would -- one of the first things I would say is perhaps you should have looked at retaining counsel six months ago, or listened to the counsel that you had at that time.

The first thing I'll state is when you have -- I'm curious now where all the money is coming from. Because you don't seem to have the money to do the repairs or anything you're supposed to on your facility, but now all of a sudden you have the money to pay for counsel.

And to anyone listening, money towards legal comes from SEG, which is operational money, which is money that comes right out of the classroom; okay?

So you couldn't do all the things that you needed to do for the school over the last six months that may have cost something, but now, of course, you have money for counsel.

So there's a couple of things I want to comment on, and then questions, because I want -- I want people to know who are listening and who came to speak today that we as a Commission have

know, always, when I get a letter, I forward it on to Director Chavez.

But what's curious to me is there is no one that spoke on behalf of the governing board, not even a board member. He was talking about, you know, board training.

But no one in all of this time has risen up and spoken on behalf of the governing council and admin making every possible effort to, you know, correct those things that are concerns.

You know, that's a -- that's appalling. And that's very telling.

So I want to be sure that on certain things that I want them on the record.

Director Chavez -- and this is just -these are quick questions.

Does the PED -- because I know they do sometimes with a school board, like they did in Los Lunas -- does the PED have the authority to take over this school and eliminate the council?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Good question, and I can get back with you on it.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Then, Ms. Barnes, do you know the answer to that question? MS. JULIA BARNES: Say it again,

25

51

exhausted everything that we can possibly do.

We've -- in checking with Director Chavez, in checking with, you know, people at PED, what's within the realm of possibility where the PEC can act.

And intervention on our part, it's -like, it's not something that we can do. We count on a governing council and a head administrator to run a school. And that's the whole point of a charter; right? Autonomy is the whole point of a charter, to not have us intervene.

I would agree with -- with Commissioner Gipson. She used the phrase "in anyone's view" when she was describing in terms of where this school is and where it hasn't ascended to meet its responsibilities.

And, you know, I am -- I am very impressed. I am very impressed by Mateo Peixinho and Melissa and the different parents that -- Ben Pearce. I mean, I see the same names. New names today. An incredibly wonder- -- well, actually, informative and scathing letter from Mr. Brunner that was sent to all of us. And I don't respond personally to letters like that because I don't get in that kind of relationship. But I want you to

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Insofar as the PED, if you remember, took over the board of Los Lunas Public Schools not that long ago, does the PED have the authority to take over a governing council?

MS. JULIA BARNES: The PED has authority to take over -- to suspend a board of finance for various items that are identified in statute. I do not believe they have authority to take over a charter school governing board. They do a district school. I have to double-check that.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. The next question would be --

MS. JULIA BARNES: And, Commissioner, though, we don't -- you do not have that authority.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I just want to --I'm asking these questions because I want these people who have been -- someone used the word "resilient," and I would say very resilient in fighting for their little school, I just want them to know we don't take this lightly. We do our research, and we do what's possible.

So is there a mechanism whereby a charter can be transferred?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

For instance, if this council were to real- -- to see the writing on the wall, to have even the lightest sense of humility, if they were to step down, is there the ability -- I don't know -to treat that as they're asking, to step up and take over the school?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So, Commissioner Carrillo, as far as I know, there's nothing in statute that allows a charter school to be transferred to another governing body.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

And the -- so as was pointed out from the Poms report and very eloquently gone over by Commissioner Gipson, the facility -- I mean, it's one thing for someone to do a drive-by, you know, and see the facility there. And it's another thing to understand, based on the extensive research by the Poms report for safety, that as much as we might love this building or this space because it's super special and it houses our school, it's probably a property that would never ever in its current state be approved for a school.

If you were coming right now for a new charter, and an inspection were being done on that property, I think it's very safe to say almost

the governing council, he didn't defend the council or the school at all. He was just -- that -- it's just -- I'm looking at the notes -- incremental degrading of the school. Couldn't govern their way out of a paper bag.

The overwhelming lack of support for the council and Mr. Casados, I don't see how, even after the last several PEC meetings, you couldn't have allowed -- you couldn't have taken the steps to allow yourself to be replaced and have another group come in and try to right the ship.

And it just seems like when we -- when we look at why we're in the position we're in -- and this is -- what? -- March 16th now -- the -y'all -- and I say the governing council and you, Mr. Casados, as chair -- when you think you're victims and this is something being done to you, no. Look in the mirror. You did this to yourselves.

And I respect very, very much everything the parents have done to support their school and to work to save their school.

My mind has not been changed. I -- I would definitely support the notice of revocation and moving on to the hearing. And I am -- you know, it's very unfortunate those parents that are going

55

100 percent that property would not measure up and you'd have to find another space.

And so we're looking at that as well.

And Ms. Gipson brought up, you know, safety is always one of our biggest -- well -- like, yeah -- well, safety and kids learning, it's, like, that's it. That's everything. That's the foundation of why we're here.

So -- and that's something that, as I believe as Ms. Gipson stated -- or maybe it was earlier - that someone with the Commission stated -that it's a public school. And, you know, I -it's, like, if there are problems at Sweeney Elementary in Santa Fe, the district is not depending on the parents to fix everything. It's a public school, and there's money for that. Or there's supposed to be. And there's supposed to be, you know, a plan for taking care of these things.

And the reason I'm going over all of this is to, again, let you know how much we have looked at this. And we take this extremely seriously and to see how heartbreaking it is.

You know, the one thing that's overwhelming in terms of everyone that spoke -- and I'll note again that the person -- Mr. Kaplan from

to have to commute perhaps longer distances so their kids are in a similar environment.

And that's all I have for now. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Carrillo.

Just for a point of clarification. Mr. --Commissioner Carrillo, Dr. Kaplan, I believe, is on the New Mexico School for the Arts governing council and not -- not La Tierra's.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh. Thank you. I didn't -- when I was taking notes, I didn't catch that part. I'm sorry, Mr. Kaplan, to have gotten that wrong. And thank you for your service to NMSA, and thank you all from that school. I know you all have a really wonderful active board. And I just love that little -- I love that little school up here. I think it's one of the best things we ever did in New Mexico was to vote to have that school.

All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.

21 Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. So I move that the Public Education Commission issue a notice of intent to revoke the charter of La Tierra Montessori School for the Arts and Science (verbatim) regarding

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

the following issues:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The school has failed to conduct tribal consultation with the Ohkay Owinge Pueblo, in violation of NMSA 22-8B-12(4), and has violated the following contract terms resulting in violations of NMSA 22-8B-12(1), (2), (3).

Urgent Safety and Special Education Concerns:

Section 4.6, Operation, ii, iii, and viii. Comply with all federal and state laws relating to the education of children with disabilities. Comply with all laws related to safety. Notify the Commission of allegations of inappropriate contact with a student.

Poms and CSD have identified numerous safety concerns at the school, and the school is being placed on a Corrective Action Plan by the Public Education Department.

The new head administrator is a part-time position with that same staff person also providing special education services. There have been numerous complaints that this person is not able to adequately do both jobs in a manner that results in adequate supervision of the school and staff and adequately do the work of the special education

noncompliance issues and three other matters in the audit of La Tierra Montessori, and provided a notice of disclaimed audit.

A report has been made to PED and others regarding fiscal irregularities with the prior head administrator. The governing board was directed in August to work with its business manager to ensure that there were no fiscal irregularities and did not identify these issues of concern.

Academic Concerns Relating Both to the Contract and State Standards of Excellence:

Section 5.2 i, ii, and iv, Participate in state-required assessment and meet state standards of excellence.

Students' results on the 2021-2022 statewide assessments indicate 38 percent of the students scored proficient or above in the ELA, slightly higher than the state average of 34 percent; 27 percent proficient in science, below the state average of 33 percent. Math proficiency is less than 20 percent. Early literacy proficiency is 5 percent.

La Tierra's 2021-'22 assessment participation rate was low, with not more than 69 percent of eligible students taking the

59

instructor of students.

Further, there are reports of two incidents where a student was involved in an altercation with a teacher. There have been numerous other complaints that the school has been an unsafe environment.

Urgent Fiscal Concerns. Section 4.1, 5.ix., 6, vii, and viii.

Section 5.4. The school shall be governed and managed in a fiscally conservative manner. The board is responsible for compliance with public school finance and procurement. The school shall allow the Commission and the Department to conduct financial, program, or compliance audits, and shall hold open for inspection all records, documents, and files relating to any activity or program provided by the school relating to the school.

This school shall notify the Commission within 15 days of allegations or convictions for any crime related to the misappropriation of school funds or theft of school property by any staff member.

Financial performance framework: The PED FY22 Schedule of Finding and Question Costs identified three material weaknesses: five

state-required assessments.

Other Contract Violations:

Section 2: "Scope," paragraph 2.i. and ii. Designated charter representative in its contract negotiations with the PEC or failure to comply with the contract by the present board signed by its designated charter representative.

The school represented to PEC that the charter contract was negotiated by a person with authority and approved by the board when the present board now represents that they did not have such authority; or, if done appropriately, then the present board has failed to comply with the signed contract and implement it.

Further, if there was an issue with authority to enter into a charter contract, the school failed to remedy any possible issues related to the contract from the time period May to July 2022, even after being directed to correct these issues by the PEC on August 19th, 2022.

Section 4. And that's blank, actually, Julia. Okay.

23

Section 5: 1, Purpose.

24 2, Mission.

4,i.: Comprehensive educational program

62 1 of the school. 1 That's all. Thank you. 2 2 THE CHAIR: Yes, sir. I see no other Performance Framework: The school failed 3 3 to institute a Montessori program with trained hands raised by Commissioners. That's -- if 4 4 Montessori staff to provide documentation to CSD we're -- I don't see anything else, so let's move 5 5 into a roll-call vote. Vice Chair? regarding how the mission-specific goals are being 6 6 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Beck. implemented and to fully implement the mission and 7 7 educational program as negotiated. COMMISSIONER BECK: Yes. 8 The school failed to set up the 8 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor. 9 9 mission-specific goals and set up a scoring sheet COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. 10 10 for the implementation of the mission-specific COMMISSIONER BURT: Chair Brauer. 11 11 THE CHAIR: Yes. 12 On December 12, 2022, and in January 2023, 12 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis. 13 the school submitted incomplete information to 13 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes. 14 revise the mission-specific goals after the request 14 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo. 15 to correct any errors was made on August 19th, 2022, 15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes. 16 and CSD met with numerous staff members about the 16 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham. 17 need to implement the mission-specific goals. 17 COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Yes. 18 Section 4.5, vi., vii., and ix. Comply 18 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. 19 with all provisions of the contract and law, hiring 19 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. 20 and overseeing the head administrator. 20 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner 21 The new head administrator is a part-time 21 Clahchischilliage. 22 position with that same staff person also providing 22 COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes. 23 special education services. There have been 23 COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Burt, 24 numerous complaints that this person is not able to 24 yes. 25 adequately do both jobs in a manner that results in 25 That passes, nine-zero. 63 65 1 1 adequate supervision of the school and staff and THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you. 2 2 Commission, if it's -- if it behooves you, can we adequately do the work of the special education 3 3 take a five-minute break before we move on to the instructor of students, creating a chaotic and 4 4 unsafe environment for children and staff. next item? 5 5 The notice to the school shall provide a Let's take five minutes, and we'll come 6 proposed procedure to be used in the revocation 6 back at just a little past 1:00. Thank you. 7 7 hearing and indicate that the revocation hearing (Recess taken, 12:56 p.m. to 1:07 p.m.) 8 8 will be held at Mabry Hall in Santa Fe on THE CHAIR: All right. 9 April 20th, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. The notice will also 9 Commissioners, I'm going to go ahead and 10 10 be sent to Ohkay Owingeh Pueblo to notify them of move on to Item No. 6 of our agenda, Discussion of 11 11 the revocation hearing. the Performance Framework: Part a., reviewing the 12 12 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second. edits made to the most recent draft, and, part b., 13 13 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Since we have a data -- the data test of the performance framework 14 second, we can -- the Commission can move into 14 based on school data from the previous year. 15 discussion. 15 And so I'm going to pass it over to 16 Commissioner Carrillo. You're on mute. 16 Director Chavez and Naomi to kind of walk us through 17 17 those two items. sir. 18 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Sorry. The only 18 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you, Chair 19 19 thing I'll say -- and it was mentioned in the Brauer. Thank you, Commissioners. 20 20 motion -- the ongoing failure to comply with IEP I think we got started on this item about 21 21 an hour later than I had hoped. And so -- and I laws -- it's not just state, it's federal laws --22 that is so serious. That is depriving a kid of 22 know that Naomi doesn't have a lot of time, so I'll 23 23 limit my conversation. their federal right to a public education. 24 24 And I just hope you know how incredibly But just to let you know that the draft 25 25 that is posted on the PEC's website that's in your serious that is.

folder is significantly different from the last draft that you reviewed.

It incorporated all of the comments that were taken by Public Charters New Mexico in the several listening sessions that they conducted and the presentation that they made during your last meeting.

It took into consideration the legal review conducted by -- by Julia and other feedback that we received.

We hope that it is cleaned up. And because there was some last-minute written feedback, again, from Public Charter Schools New Mexico and others, including Dr. Kaplan, we made yet more edits to make it the best possible version that it could be

And so with that, I'm going to turn this over to Naomi DeVeaux, who is our contractor and friend working with us to create this revised performance framework.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just check in and make sure that the one that I'm looking at is the one that's dated 3/10? That's the correct one?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Commissioner Gipson, Commissioners, the one that you should be

through the new version, because I think, like the comment that was made by Dr. Kaplan, both in writing, we incorporated some of his feedback in this most recent version.

And if you're in the audience you can look at the PEC's webpage for the most recent version. And also meeting materials are posted.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: We can hear you.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So good to be back in person. I hope you're not in a snowstorm again. I seem to be bringing bad weather. I was in California, in Sacramento, and it was pouring rain. And I was not prepared for that, either. And that was this week.

So welcome back. We are now on our second feedback session. So I think this is our third or fourth, or perhaps, for those of you who were with us at the very beginning, about the 20th draft of this framework. And each time it gets a little better.

I want to really commend Corina and staff. One of the most powerful parts between this version and the previous was Corina met with the association, both the director and a consultant to

seeing is dated 3/13.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: 3/13. Okay. Okay. I opened, I guess, the wrong one that was in here. Okay. I'll find it. Thanks.

THE CHAIR: And then, Corina, I just want to say -- sorry, Naomi, for jumping on your toes here. I want to see if we, when the time is right, to promote any school leader that are in the participants list, we can bring them in. But whenever that makes sense, maybe after Naomi shares, just to make sure we can have them be a part of this as well.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yes. Also on the panel right now is one of my new staff members who I think you all met at your last meeting, Kyle Wood, who has done a tremendous job of compiling information.

As Chair -- as -- excuse me -- Vice Chair Burt asked to do a trial run, we did our best. And so we can walk through that later. But I want to maximize Naomi's time. And I think she might need to share screen right now to walk us through.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It might be helpful, Chair Brauer, if the school leaders who are here to offer comment maybe have a chance to walk the association, and went line by line through this framework with them, explaining things and getting their feedback on it. So this reflects all of the feedback from the association.

And I also just want to commend the association for taking this seriously and working in partnership and with trust to build something that is going to show the schools their strength and be able -- be a useful tool to the Commission when it comes time to differentiate for renewal.

So the first thing, just as a quick overview -- and I'm going to keep my -- I'm not going to show this as a large screen PowerPoint -- is it hard to see? Is it easier if I make a PowerPoint view?

THE CHAIR: Maybe make a PowerPoint view, if it's okay with you, Naomi.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I lose being able to see you all, but I will try and see what happens.

All right. And I can see you.

So what -- I just wanted to share, on the left column performance area, those two columns show up twice. On the left was what we had. And on the right is where it stands currently.

And you'll see 3.A.4., Oversight of

School's Head Administrator.

The feedback from you all and from the public is this is not something we want in the framework. It's removed, as is 3.C.2. good standing is also removed.

We did add one. And this came up at the end of our session in February to add in "Enrollment Variance," which -- under "Financial Health." So we added one, removed two.

So let's look at Enrollment Variance.

This is a really straightforward one.
"The school makes accurate enrollment assumptions resulting in budget that is sound."

This one is something that I don't think it wouldn't be fair to look retroactively on because schools have never been held accountable to this. And this would be something that you would be able to help and coach schools to do in partnership with them.

But it's a really important way to think about it. Because if you're not thinking about your enrollment, and you're planning for too many students, and you budget for all those students, having to let go a teacher within the first few months of school is really not where you want to be. what I can do is forward you the written comment that Matt Pahl sent, I believe, last Monday or -yeah. Last Monday.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think that's the document that's listed as "High Stakes"? Is that the same document from Matt that you're referring to? That's in our list already? The "High Stakes" -- is that it?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I don't see the document that's called "High Stakes," but I may (inaudible) it to you. I'll make sure you get a copy.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I thought it was in our Google Drive. I saw something in the Google Drive that said "High Stakes." Maybe it was just something else that was populated in there.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I think so. I think so.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But -- so my question was -- okay. So here's the question. Were there any changes made to the document that we're viewing now as a result of those comments? Because if there was, I think -- A, I think we need to see those changes; and, B, in all honesty, I think the subcommittee should have been apprised of that and

Commissioner Steven -- or Carrillo -- please.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes, yes. Having trouble with my video, so I'll try it again. It says green-yellow-red. But since we're using like, teal-green-yellow-red now, is this going to be modified that way? Just --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The Exceeds the Standards was only in the academic portion of the framework. And this is in the financial section. And the financial just had either two or three. It's either -- green and red is how we started. We added in yellow. We didn't say that we wanted to do an Exceeds. They don't really lend themselves to an exceeding.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. Thank you. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. Any other questions on this?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I do. I just have a general question. Any changes that were made as a result of the conversation with CPSNM, are they reflected somewhere in here so I know what was changed out? Or do I have to go back and actually figure it out?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So, Chair Gipson,

agreed to put those changes in, not just changes populated in if we don't see them as potential changes in this document. That's where I'm getting a little confused here.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I think, Pattie, I want to -- none of the -- so one of the directives that I understood leaving was to have the -- have the association add in yellow for -- for the financial and the operational. So that's what we're looking at today. Those are the substantive changes.

I have all the substantive changes, the material changes highlighted in the next slides. I don't -- other changes were -- were cosmetic in nature.

So -- so they were, you know, cleaning the language to be clearer, but they did not change the -- the substance of what was said at all.

There is a redline version, I believe, Corina, that you could send, that has all of these comments that I -- I used to clean. So I could send you the redline version, which would show you everything.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I mean, I don't -- I'm looking at this document, and I don't see any

yellowed areas. So that's where my concern is. I don't know where -- you know, without having to have them side by side, I don't know what the -- if there were --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Send her the redline version.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, I think with -- and, Naomi -- I believe Commissioner Gipson -- Naomi, when you said yellow, you meant literally the yellow levels that we did not have clarified yet. I think we were working on that.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm sorry. Thank you. Thank you, Chair Brauer. Yes. It wasn't a -- I did not find a ton of changes, or any changes, really. It was mostly clarifications. (Incomprehensible due to simultaneous speaking.)

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So if I may -- also, there are two documents in the PEC's folder. One is dated 10/3. That's the one that is the redlined. And so if you see something that's highlighted in green, that is due to a change that I made after having a conversation with Wayne Sherwood from Public Charter Schools New Mexico.

And then there's other -- it's the redlined version and document that is dated 3/13

1 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.
2 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So if you -3 document -- do you want me to share it on the
4 screen?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So Commissioners need to show what document -- they shouldn't be looking at the 3/13 document.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Would you prefer that we go into -- we go back to committee and we do not share this with the whole group at this point, and we do it in a committee where we can walk through all of the changes?

That's fine. And we could do that and then come back to the whole committee later. But...

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But that pushes us --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The PowerPoint slides, Commissioner Gipson, are going through one by one, just like I did last time, all of the changes, one by one, that were identified. And that's what I was planning to walk through holistically and then measure it one by one.

But if you would prefer to do that in subcommittee, that's fine.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The reality is I

simply cleans it up so it's easy to read, because it was really pretty messy.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I get that. But I think the Commission needs to be able to see what was changed that wasn't part of a conversation that either the subcommittee is putting forward or that the Commission as a -- from the past meeting, that the Commission agreed to. That if I just look at this final document, I have to think, oh, we must have -- you know, maybe I don't remember that I necessarily agreed to that.

And I think those are -- you know, the changes that were put in post a PEC conversation should be identified to see if the Commission agrees to those changes.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Commissioner Gipson, I thought that's what today was, that we were going to talk about those changes.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But where do I -- but in the document that's identified here that I'm looking at that you said open up, 3/13, I don't see changes.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. And I just clarified that 3/10 is the one that's redlined and marked up.

would have preferred to do it in subcommittee first. But now we're sitting here, and it's March 16th, and we don't have a whole lot of time.

I do have a serious concern about the fact that changes were just put in. And I'm not saying I didn't want feedback. We did certainly want feedback.

But the subcommittee should have been made aware of those and agreed that that -- you know, we're just not taking everyone's feedback and putting it into -- into this document.

So that that's where my concern is, that that, you know, the subcommittee, and, ultimately, the Commission, was left out of any agreement to those changes.

So, you know, I don't want to delay the process. But I'm just really very disappointed in how this played out at this point in time.

THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Burt.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I was just going to say, yeah, I agree with Commissioner Gipson. I think the way -- like, I think what's going to be most -- I wish we could have -- we should have done probably a subcommittee before this if we were going to -- like, if there was anything that was outside

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Commission being included in this today, we probably should have done a subcommittee meeting, because it's just -- no one else has the authority to do that besides Commissioners.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think that's where we should have gone. But I don't think we need to go to subcommittee now. We just need to just go through

But if you could, I'll make this kind of the same request as Commissioner Gipson. If you can -- as we go through this, Naomi, if you can talk about -- if you're able to distinguish which -- what updates were made based off of the last Commission meeting versus what was made outside of the Commission's purview, I think that would be most helpful for us.

So, like, if you're, like, "This we made because this is what we heard the Commission say last month." "This we made because of a conversation with PCSNM." I think that is probably going to be the most helpful, because we do have to do this today. We don't have time to do it any other way.

But I -- I'm just going to say, I agree with Commissioner Gipson. And I'm thinking that, feedback from other people who are here as attendees as well. Want to make sure that we hear from other folks who have come to join us here today as well.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So I think I want to be very clear on my role as your consultant.

I received a draft. It had many changes on it that were done, some by -- all by PED. Some were to clean up the language done by internal members, which was -- which we needed; we needed that review. And some were done by what we had asked at the end of our session was that the association help come up with yellow.

I actually came up with the yellow, like, sort of idea. And then Corina -- or Dr. Chavez -or Commissioner -- can I just say Corina? -- Corina worked with them to get the information, and I was given a redline copy to highlight the changes.

I will do my very best to remember where they all came from.

These idea -- so to begin with, this idea to remove two measures and add one came directly out of the meeting, all of us together. We did this. What no one did except our consultant, Cindy Shoemaker, provided me with this enrollment variance description.

79

81

yeah, if we could go through this that way, I think that would probably be the easiest way to do it, if that makes sense.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. Director Chavez, you took your hand down, but I just wanted to make sure --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Thank you. I just wanted to say I would have been more than happy to set up a committee meeting. And the changes I made as a result of a conversation with Public Charter Schools New Mexico are highlighted in green and came directly out of the last conversation the PEC had about the next version.

THE CHAIR: I do think -- I think given the -- the -- you know, the time limit that we're on, I think it would be great for us to move forward and take in -- taking Commissioner Gipson's feedback and Commissioner Burt -- Vice Chair Burt's feedback, I think if you can identify where substantive changes occurred during other meetings, not including the Commission subcommittee, that would be great.

But I think we probably should kind of see what -- see where it's baked right now and be able to engage in this with you all, and also get

And so I'm asking and not telling, if this is something the Commission wants to add, and if this is at the right place. This is coming from our consultant who believes this is at a reasonable level -- in fact, I think we lowered it a little bit knowing that you have small schools, enrollment is really hard. 85 percent can happen really quickly when you're a small school. So we tried to make it be as conducive to your environment as we can.

THE CHAIR: Just a quick question for you. Like, 85, 90 -- is that something that's just standard? Like, what you've seen working in other frameworks?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's standard. Definitely 85 percent, as Does Not Meet a standard, the -- many don't have the yellow. So we created a Working to Meet to be a reasonable place.

And I'll say, like, a very competitive charter market, where you're in a city and transportation isn't an issue, like, it can have a much -- a much tighter enrollment.

So you want to control that variance. But for your more rural situations, this is -- that is best practice.

THE CHAIR: Okay. And then I have one --

sorry, Corina. I have one, I think, straightforward question, and then maybe one recommendation that might be a little bit more spicy.

2.

The one I'm just thinking about where we do this, is this going to be at -- are we going to check the enrollment variance at the 45-day, or the other times when schools do S.T.A.R.S. reporting here? 45? 80? 120?

So that's something -- I don't know if we need to clarify it here. But I think just like the cash on hand, it matters what time it happens, because it could vary dramatically.

And then the more spicy question is I can't remember if this is one of those things that -- and I can see this cutting both ways for first-year schools if we use similar processes? Or is this something that -- and I'm thinking right now, "Heck, yes, use it for beginning year schools," but then also, because we have a storied past of many schools not meeting their enrollment -- but we want them to, like, start healthy, you know. But I can also see we don't want to put our foot on their throats as they develop their enrollment, too.

So that's more of a question, I'm thinking, for the Commission to kind of see how we

And this is not ready until those business rules are done for exactly what you're stating. It makes a huge difference on who's interpreting the rules, and that needs to be clearly defined.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. So I would say maybe -- and I don't want to, like, blow the subcommittee's good work on this. And so I just want to throw this out as something I've just been gnawing at.

I could see -- I know that the other financials are not set up for the first year of a new school. I could see this one as a really good bellwether, one to see if the school is in good health throughout and something that we could pay attention to, and maybe we still hold harmless, just because I think this is the one thing that we've seen time and again, regardless of all the best intentions of schools being way under enrollment.

Unless something changes, I've been really keyed in for the last couple of years. But I'm not throwing my -- my foot in the ground on either way, but just something I'm thinking about.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. So I -- I do appreciate this. I like this. But I have a concern that we were trying to stay away from using

want to think about enrollment for new schools.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Let me take the second question first.

If you look in your -- you've already determined as a Commission that first-year schools would be held not accountable to any of the financial, including enrollment variance. Language was added, I believe, by the PED to say that -- that the information will be collected and that recommendations may be used, but it will not be a star -- you will not receive a rating. So you will not be penalized in your first year.

So the first part of your question gets to the business rules. And that's something that we should talk about.

There are no business rules right now. And we need to create the business rules. And that is a whole other process. And those questions that you brought up, Chair Brauer, are exactly -- and that is something that once these -- this language is agreed upon, we need to come up with the business rules.

And I'm not sure. Do I work with the Commission on that? Do I work with PED on that? But that is the next step.

enrollment in two places. I do like this. And I
 hear Alan's concerns about new schools.

I mean, I think the last two schools that we opened up are atypical of what has normally happened with new schools. And I think they do -- we do need to watch it.

I -- my other concern is by adding a number "5," because we looked at, and we parsed out so that academic was still going to weigh more, does that affect that -- what we did prior, at the last meeting, so that the academic was still going to be weighing heavier?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It still weighs heavier, because we removed 2, and at the last meeting we added this. So I thought this was an agreed-upon addition at the last meeting. So the very last --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, yeah, yeah.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: In terms of the enrollment being measured in two places in their framework. The other place it's measured is the --well, there are three places. The 3.B.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The reenrollment.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The non-discriminatory enrollment process. That's not exactly enrollment.

And exactly as you said, the conducive learning environment is the reenrollment rate. And that is not anything to do with projections. That's after this first year. That's the second year, are your students are coming back. So we did differentiate those three.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. All right. Thanks.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. Okay. So I will -- I guess I need some clarity on what to do about Commissioner -- or Chair Brauer's comment on whether to make enrollment projections count in year one.

THE CHAIR: Yeah. And I want to follow this up with you. Because I'm coming in, you know, after eight months of you all working on this, so I just want to be humble about that. But I just think that is a thing that I constantly think about that is so connected to the health of the school.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can I make a suggestion?

So we've currently said nothing -- so schools are held harmless in their first year for every single -- like, academic, like, everything. It doesn't mean that this is -- like, nothing is

1 category, they know. I mean, I feel like -- I feel

- 2 like there should be every single item they should
- be rated on. But then they ended up getting a
- 4 purple anyway. So I think it's better to be honest,
- 5 like, as brutally honest as possible in the first
- 6 year so they can see where they're performing well
- and where they need to make those adjustments, where
- 8 they need to narrow their focus for years to come.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: As a repeat back to make sure I understand, would you like language changed to make sure it's not applicable in their first year of operation, but the data will be displayed?

COMMISSIONER BURT: I guess, like, for me right now, what it says when I look at Page 5, and it's talking about the annual evaluation, it has blue, green, yellow, red, purple.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Just schools in their first year of operation get purple.

I guess if we need to add something saying that all first-year schools will be rated on each individual indicator but will receive the purple as the -- their overall rating, that's maybe more

stopping you from checking this and having it as part of their -- you know, your pre-opening checklist and your initial review of schools.

I think it isn't -- it isn't a practice that I see nationally of -- an effective practice of the schools in their very first year being held to all these different things, because they often don't know any better.

And I hear you. Like, it causes financial. But, like, it seems like a place to weigh in and provide help with when they are opening on getting good financials in place.

THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you, Naomi. Vice Chair Burt. Then Commissioner Gipson. Then Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I was going to say that, that actually in our overall annual evaluation, we have a purple not applicable. And it's schools in their first year of operation.

So I think we should be rating them on this. They should get an idea of whether they were green-yellow-red, so that it's something they can adjust.

And in their second year, when they are going to receive a annual evaluation overall

clear. That's how it's set up right now.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So if I may just let the Commission know that we did a trial run with this indicator. And looking at the results with the existing portfolio, there are schools that are -- the brand new schools have yellow and red, and there's plenty of schools that most schools got green. And some schools that got red are schools that have been renewed in the past. So it's a mix of schools that are older and newer that may or may not have met the targets.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Director.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And I thought the schools were -- the new schools were going to be reviewed. We'd get the information. They're just not going to get an unsatisfactory performance letter as a result of it. Because I think it's important for both the school and for us to see how their progress was at the end of their second year.

But I just wanted to check. Corina and Alan, you probably remember. With the new applicants, there was a cutoff date during the implementation year that school budget had originally said, you know, "This is going to be your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

90

funded enrollment." And I forget what the date was.

And there was -- there's been multiple discussions about that over the years. But that still holds, does it not, that their funded money is going to be whatever their enrollment was as of, say, March 16th? And Budget set that date so that there wasn't that -- schools weren't getting overfunded, which was often a problem. And schools had to be a little more proactive in terms of going out and getting their lotteries set up and doing their enrollment. So --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Commissioner Gipson --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: -- new Commissioners need to understand that there is some consequences, even during the implementation year, and their very opening if they overproject. But I still support putting it into the performance framework.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So, Commissioner Gipson, Commissioners that have worked with charter schools for a while know that it's really hard to project accurately. That said, we have a first-year school that came really close to meeting its projection. It got 93 percent of its projection. So I think that's tremendous for a first-year

But I just wanted new Commissioners, I thought, to know that there -- it shouldn't be a real surprise if they've overprojected, because they should be having those conversations with the Budget Bureau. And I thought there were consequences already in the implementation year for that.

So -- but, once again, I don't oppose this going into the performance framework. I think it's -- I think it's really important.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, that's a really good point to bring up to the whole Commission, for sure, you know, thinking about where are we March 15th.

I believe when I was -- when I was the director of the Charter School Division, we -- I was working with the Budget director at the time. And he wanted it much earlier. And we kind of agreed to somewhere in May, so that schools could generate title funds, whether -- they are eligible for in year one as well, and then all the other funds, like Indian education funds, things of that nature, so the budget could be ready by July 1st, given that number of school enrollment.

I don't think, like -- like Corina said. it was codified in some ways, but not really

91

93

school.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also would say that the process that Commissioner Gipson described has varied over the years with the way the PED addresses the cutoff and the evidence that we would need at the PED level to say, "You can -- you know, this is your budget."

I don't think that that was put into place this past year. So another school might have been funded at the amount that they projected but didn't come close to Meet. And so that is an internal discussion at PED about the degree to which we would put those kind of policies into place.

I must say that when I shared this draft indicator with School Budget, they very much liked that we were -- that we were using this.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I appreciate that. But I actually thought it was in the implementation year. I thought it had been codified pre-COVID that there was that -- that there was that agreement there would be that date. And PED Budget was doing that. Because I think it's important for the -- for the new applicants.

But that's, I think, a discussion to be held over with new applications and implementation year than in this.

codified in practice. And so that might be something that we could partner up with the PED to -- kind of to see what's the right fit for schools for sure.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Were there other Commissioners? I thought --

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, I thought you had your hand up, too, but then it went down. So sorry.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah. Everything was covered. I mean, with -- Commissioner Gipson and Vice Chair Burt covered things that I was curious about.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: All right. So just then, briefly, I've added in language into the draft and highlighted that each school will have their data displayed for each applicable measure for informational purposes only in year one.

So while it says "Not Applicable," it will be displayed. So we will make sure that that's very clear to everyone.

So the next is the percent of students for measures to be valid.

So this is a change -- and, Corina, I think I'd like you to speak to it, because this came

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out of your discussions. A change in what the minimum is to be for the percent of students tested to count, you know, for a measure to count.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think we had it at 95 percent at one point. And it's set at 85 percent right now. And that was a change that was a suggestion. So if you could share where that came from and why, and that would be helpful.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Right. This was direct feedback from Public Charter Schools New Mexico after hearing from the schools about that.

And so that was the suggestion.

And PEC is welcome to change it to whatever they wish. I can look to see how schools did with that threshold. Please remind me the -this is the participation?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: This impacts a number of different measures, because we -- you asked for consistency across all measures. So all of those that had a participation rate are set at 85 percent.

I didn't know what the rationale was behind it. I think that would be helpful, why 85 percent is a reasonable number. I think that would just help everyone sort of land here.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So, again, that was a suggestion, that the PEC is welcome to change if they'd like.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The question is what happens at the state level with the state test. When -- do you look at all data, whether they have 95 percent participation rate or not?

And I think the question is if you look at it, even if they have only 85 percent take the test, and you still count it, then why wouldn't you count it in their own test if it was at 85 percent?

If, however, you don't -- I don't know what your bar is at PED. But what happens when students -- when a school does not test 95 percent of their students, which is the federal requirement?

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, I see your hand up; so...

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. You know, I'm not comfortable with changing this to the 85 percent. I can be persuaded maybe to go a little below 95 percent. But, Corina, could -- I was a little confused. When you ran it, the 95 percent, how -- what was the percentage of -- how many schools would not meet this?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So I was looking

95

97

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I can tell you that in a dry run with using 95 percent as Meets and 85 percent as Working to Meet -- okay, so that's the lower threshold -- we have 37 percent Exceeds, 37 percent Meets, and 15 percent is Working to Meet, only 11 percent Does Not Meet.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So why should we change it to 85? Why should we have moved up the

Meets to 85 instead of the 95 where it was? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: That was at the suggestion of Public Charter Schools New Mexico.

And as I said, PEC can decide where they want it. We ran the numbers using those figures.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: You ran the numbers using 95 percent.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: As 95 as Exceeds and 85 percent as Working to Meet. And Meets is between those two.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So I think you're specifically talking about this measure. And that -- this one, I think, has stayed, Working to -so this is -- say what I was talking about, Corina, was to be -- to have it default from Option 2 or 3 back to Option 1. It's now set at 85 percent of your students have to have taken the test.

at state assessment participation rate. And this is -- this is slightly different.

But to give you the feedback on the participation rate with state assessments, if we're using the threshold of 85 percent is Working to Meet, and anything above that is Meets or Exceeds, then we have only 11 percent of the schools not meeting. We had 15 percent that are Working to Meet, 37 percent Meets, and 37 percent Exceeds.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: But when we're looking at changing this percentage, we're not looking at the percentage that participated in the state assessment. We're looking at -- so I would think that a school, for their own assessments, would have a high number of students. I mean, the objection, by and large, by parent groups is not participation in the state assessments.

But, you know, I don't see any concerted movement by local groups to not participate in the schools' assessments.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I think the concern, Commissioner Gipson, is that because this indicator looks at subgroups, and because sometimes the "N" in subgroups is rather small, that's the -that was part of the consideration for -- for the

2.

percentage. I'm not arguing that you should, too, that you --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I got you. Yeah, I got you.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I, actually, looking at this, this did not change. We kept it at 85 percent. We kept 95 percent at Meets.

So suggested is this change back to 95 percent, because that's actually what you -- what this is about -- so this one is different. This one is at what point, if they picked Option 2 or Option 3, at what point would those -- would you, as a Commission, say, "We're not looking at this anymore, we're going to default you back to this"?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Correct.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that since you have a participation rate of -- you know, you can go -- I could argue either side. I could say you already have a participation rate here. So they have to do it. And 85 is, like, okay, now we can't really look at this -- this is invalid data, because they're going to get -- they're not going to get a high score on this.

So 85 is that Working to Meet. Now you're at Does Not Meet. And since you're -- it matches

important -- it will become a business rule. I think it's really important is that you -- that you, as a Commission, decide at what point are you going to say, "I'm not looking at your Option 2," because this is going to become very much part of renewal and a reason to make it a goal or not.

So 85 percent tracks with when your participation rate is not met.

At that moment, do you say, "You didn't meet your own participation rate, we're going to look at the state, we're not accepting your Option 2 data"? Vice Chair Burt.

COMMISSIONER BURT: So I -- I do think it should be in here. I think it should be 95 percent. And this is why.

One, I think it should be in here, and it should be clear. Because if schools are choosing Option 1 or 2 -- or sorry -- if they're choosing Options 2 or 3, they need to know that we have a high bar for that alternative assessment. They're not using the state assessment; they're choosing an assessment of their own.

And I can say when I was a teacher -- it would be so rare that we did not get one of our students to not take the NWEA -- I could have them

with Does Not Meet.

criteria, and we're not going to look at your data therefore, and you're going to go back to Option 1, which I think might make the most sense, and why it landed at 85 percent, because at that point, they haven't met their performance criteria. Why would you accept their data?

So you didn't meet your participation

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Naomi, is this a business rule, where -- I'm looking at Page 11 of the draft performance framework. And the 85 percent says, "If the school has ten or more eligible students in a student population and tests fewer than 85 percent of students, the untested students will be considered not meeting their growth target."

So all of this is really what I would expect would be part of the business rules. And I think I'm a little concerned that -- is it true that you have ten more minutes with us, and we have not gone through much? But...

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'll stay. I'll stay.
DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you.
So to me this seems like it's a business
rule. But I see that Commissioner --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I think it's

take it.

Like, if they missed a week of school, they could take it the next day. The testing window that the PED imposes is not the same. Like, I have more flexibility in my school for the alternative assessments than I do using the state assessment.

I think having it be 95 percent makes it to where the school knows this alternative assessment isn't -- once again, we're not trying to do it to where it's, like, this easier alternative outside of the state assessment. We're not trying to skirt around it. We're setting a high bar.

The bar is 95 percent for the state assessment as well. I feel like that tracks. It's 95 percent state. It should be 95 if you're using the alternative assessment as well.

For me, that also then creates the -- I think most schools are probably -- for their alternative assessments, I'd be shocked if most of them aren't at that rate already. Like, this probably isn't a super difficult thing to get to for the alternative assessment.

But if they're not, this, to me, applies pressure on, "Hey, you don't pick that alternative assessment if you don't take it seriously enough to

make sure all your kids get tested in it."

2.

So I would say it does need to be in here. That way, schools know if I pick Option 2 or 3, I need to have due diligence in making sure I get all my students tested. That way I can -- I do want to use that. It is what I want the PEC to use. I'm choosing that for my school.

And to me if they don't get that in their own alternative assessment that they can give within their own testing window, then, yeah, it defaults back to the state assessment.

And I think the reason why I would want it in here, so that they are very clear about it before they pick Option 2 or 3, so that way, it's not in a separate business rules or something different, where they're like, "Oh, I didn't even know -- like, I didn't realize when I was trying to decide what this looks like."

So that's why I would say, should be 95 percent, and we should keep it in here.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So then my second follow-up question is how do we amend participation rate? Because that count --

COMMISSIONER BURT: I like this one. I think this is appropriate.

assessment. Like, I think it's just the top one should be --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: This is an N/A, this -- so that's a change, so that the participation rate is only for Option 1 and not for Option --

COMMISSIONER BURT: No. I think it's for all schools. Regardless of what option they choose, they still are all required to take the state assessment.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: But then that's on the state -- that's on the state framework, to just repeating the state framework. Because that's already embedded in the state framework, which is a --

COMMISSIONER BURT: It's not embedded in the state framework currently.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It was a comment that was received last time we met saying, like, "You're double-dinging us for this. We already are responsible."

COMMISSIONER BURT: My understanding is that there was a question of whether we were. I looked into it that day. There's nothing in the state -- there's nothing in the state performance

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It contradicts. Because you could get a yellow, a Working to Meet, if you chose Option 2 and had -- and had less than 95 percent.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Well, yeah. So we're showing that they did not meet. They're -- they're below expectation with yellow.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: (Inaudible due to simultaneous speaking) not eligible. The first one would have said, "Now you're not eligible for Option 2 or 3. So we're not even going to look at your participation rate. 1.C. would be you would be back at Option 1."

You see what -- like, what we'd have to do is -- whoops, sorry -- is strike the language. It only matters for Option 1. And then you cross out -- for their supplemental assessments, there is no yellow. You didn't meet.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Okay. Partic- -- so I guess I was -- I'm -- I did not realize that the participation rate is going to be done by the schools separately. I thought it was just the state assessment, that they're -- whether they're doing their state assessment, that they're participating in the state assessment or not, not the alternative

system as of right now that has anything to do with participation rate.

It's a requirement from them, but they don't do anything about it. They just -- if someone goes below 95 percent, they like, modify their formula for them.

There's no adverse effect from the state level if the school has 50 percent participation rate. I mean, but for us, I think we should -- like, if a school chooses Option 3, and they created their own -- they're not even using the state assessment, they're using an alternative assessment with us to show their academic performance. And then they have 50 -- but on the state assessment, which they are still required to do, it's not being used for their academic performance with us, but they're still required to do the state assessment. If you have 50 percent of their students taking the state assessment, that's highly inappropriate.

So that's where I -- that's where I guess I'm seeing, like -- it only applies to the state assessment participation. But if, like -- I still think it should be above, that if they fall below it for their alternative assessments, it defaults to Option 1, that that's how it's used for the Option 2

and 3. Any other Commissioners --

2.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, go ahead and jump in if you'd like.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And I agree. I thought it was an automatic default out. Once you got below that 95 percent participation in your own -- you defaulted back to the state assessment.

I didn't think all -- I didn't think the green, yellow, and red applied to the school's internal assessment if they were taking Option 2. So I agree with that.

I think, Naomi, schools are reflecting back to when we had a report card and they were dinged with participation. And because the new accountability system hasn't really rolled out fully, schools are still defaulting back to how it used to be.

But right now, there's no -- there's no ding. Schools aren't going to lose a color or a number or whatever if they don't have the 95 percent. And not that I'm saying schools do, but I do get concerned. If a school is not meeting the 95 percent of their own internal assessment, then are they gaming the system, you know.

And so that -- you know, that really does

be a participation rate that they have to be part of.

Okay. I've amended that language. So we will keep this as the -- as the overall -- sorry. So this is -- this is the overall. And that is changed now from 85 to 95 percent. And then we will have this one only be for the state assessment, not for their internal, because it's moot.

So the next place that we went to is at our -- at our meeting, we said -- we determined that some of -- we were going to see if yellow would fit, if there was a Working to Meet for some of the operational and financial measures so that it wasn't just a binary yes or no.

I provided model language to Director Chavez, who then worked with the association to -to finalize or to remove.

So the first one is on training. "All members of the governing board and new members who have served for at least six months have completed all training hours required by CSD and PEC."

Before we jump into this, I want to address the comment that was received earlier today that said that you have a year. I took the liberty of -- of communicating with your -- with your

concern me. Because I agree. We afforded every opportunity for students to make up our own internal assessments, because we wanted that information. We

used that information.

So I think it's going to be incredibly rare, students going to be chronically absent and disenrolled. That's not going to be doing this. So I don't think the participation rate should be less than the 95 percent with this one.

And I -- and I do agree. We have to change it to non-applicable for the green, yellow, and red if they're taking Option 2 or 3.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So what I heard from Vice Chair Burt was not to change it to non-applicable, but, rather, hold every school, regardless of what their chosen accountability tests will be, to -- to say you have to take the state test.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So it's --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So it's --COMMISSIONER GIPSON: This only applies to a rating for the state test, not a rating for -- if they're taking Option 2 and 3, for their own internal assessments. That doesn't apply.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: But 1.C. is going to

counsel, and, in that, it is our understanding that it is within that fiscal year, so that you have to complete the training -- you have that fiscal year. But it doesn't actually say a year from when you start.

We've provided this bump, which is kind of why this goal was made with the six months, because we realized it was unfair to expect a new board member who comes on, let's say in April, to have completed all their training by the end of that fiscal year when they just came.

So we said, "You know what? As long as you've been there for at least six months," that seemed like a reasonable amount of time to be able to address the training for all the reasons that were mentioned by the caller.

These are volunteer board members, you know. There's a lot that goes on in our lives when you are on a board that make it really hard to go into the trainings.

So I guess, Director Chavez, do you want to correct the record? Or --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: No. What I'd like to say is, yeah, I think that there was a little bit of confusion about continuing members and

the time frame they have to complete the training. This allows the full twelve months for continuing members, and it addresses the new members.

But we did run some numbers to compare how schools would have scored last year on the indicator relative to meeting the training requirements. And one of the discoveries that we made was that it wasn't due to new members not completing the training. There was a lot of continuing members that didn't complete the training.

So I know that this is an indicator that is easy to track by fiscal year. Giving the six-month buffer is a stretch for being able to complete the rating of the school with all of its members -- I mean, we can rate it -- we can rate the school on some members, but not all, because we're giving that extra time into the next fiscal year on the annual reports.

And I hope that made sense. But, basically, I don't know that it's necessary to give that buffer. It is considerate. But what our discovery found was that it didn't really make that much of a difference. Board members that were continuing members were the ones that tended to not get their hours in.

additional time, but it can't be reflected because of the timing of the annual report.

So I'm thinking maybe it's better to take out that six-month buffer because of that.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I guess -- hi, Director Russell. Great to have you back.

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Thanks, Naomi. I had my hand raised because I wanted to address this, the six-month buffer. What we've talked about with our staff, particularly the staff that track governing board trainings, is that they recommend -- and I agree with them -- that a three-month buffer would be more appropriate. It would -- it would allow us to close out our annual reporting a lot sooner, and it would allow new members to have that buffer.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. This came up at our last public comment section where your comment was voiced. And at that point, the Commission landed on six months and stayed with six months at that point --

DR. BRIGETTE RUSSELL: Okay.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: -- after that
conversation.

25 THE CHAIR: Vice Chair.

And it's the way that we organize our training. The new members take all the hours at once as, like, an orientation. And continuing members have to take each course separately.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Are there any -- any objection to keeping this as is?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I don't necessarily have an objection. But I do have a concern based on Corina's comment. I want to make sure that the annual report is going to accurately reflect and not have schools get a letter of -- letter of unsatisfactory performance, whatever we're calling it, because -- because of what the annual report is going to say, but based on the performance framework, they've got members that still have time.

So there's a technicality there that they have a buffer. But it's going to be -- but Corina's not going to be able to rate it accurately for us to close out and accept the annual reports by August or September or whenever we're doing it.

So that's my only concern. I don't want to end up with schools having to respond back to something that technically they haven't failed to do, but because of when we're closing out the annual reports in that six months, they've been given that COMMISSIONER BURT: So I guess I'm actually seeing it as we're actually basically holding harmless anybody who joins a board after December. And so, actually, I think it's going to be easier to -- like, the only people CSD needs to track to make sure they're being completed is people who were on a board before December -- or before January 1st, or whatever -- six months before the deadline. Because then anybody who joins after that, they're going to be basically held harmless that year. They'll be required the next year to have it.

So this is actually taking a lot of burden off of CSD, the governing board -- I mean, I actually think this is a lot less work. And CSD could actually close out things much quicker, because if, like, after a certain date if anyone joins, you can track them, but you don't have to -- and follow up, make sure they get the support and things like that, but they do not go on the performance framework. Like, they're not included in it.

So, actually, I think we're actually addressing the concern that the -- that the governing board member that spoke to us earlier --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his concern was, like, hey, these are volunteers, sometimes really hard to get to the trainings. We're actually -- I think this addresses that better than if we didn't have this, honestly, if we didn't have the six-months part.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the six-months part is allowing for that -- that specific concern. And I know that's what we talked about when we were doing the working groups. That's what we were talking about, is, like, you know, you want high-quality, active people. But a lot of times people who are really high quality and active are active with many different things in their community. And so get them on the board, hold them harmless if they're there, you know, after January 1st, and then, like, then follow up -- then they go as part of the rating the next year.

So I don't know if that actually -- I think it could possibly help CSD. And I know for sure this is very pro-governing board to do. I actually -- that's how I see this as a very pro-governing board thing to support a governing board, because I think if I'm, like, trying to recruit someone in March, in April, and I'm, like, "Oh, yeah, we're going to need you to come on, but have -- that only get six months.

So we changed the language. But I can run it by that -- that governing board member again who was concerned about continuing members.

I just wanted to clarify for the record, though, that this doesn't necessarily make anything easier for the CSD staff, because we are tracking every member. So we have to go to the end of June for all GC training. And so, yeah, this doesn't necessarily make it easier.

I think if we just hold harmless the new members, then what the first year we aren't -- if they join in the second half of the year, then there's just no consideration of their training at all, or does it get reflected in the following school year? That's one point of clarification.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's how -- and I'm going to have to move us on, because I can't -- I do have a hard stop in 45 minutes.

I added the words "by the end of the fiscal year." But what Commissioner -- Vice Chair Burt mentioned, it's the end of the sentences, so that's very clear when it has to be done.

I think that the business rules on how it's done need to be in a different conversation,

115

117

we're going to need you to do the eight hours right away. You're going to have to get it done, because it's March, and there's only three months -- there's only a three-month buffer, you have to get it done still," I'm not joining a board, no way, not happening.

But if they're recruiting someone and, like, "We're going to get you as much as we can, and next year make sure we get you completely up to date," I think that's a better recruiting method, an easier recruitment method for the board.

I like this. I actually think it makes sense. I don't know if there's maybe some clarification that needs to be -- because if it's being interpreted as making it a hindrance, instead of it being more supportive, I think it's being interpreted incorrectly.

But -- so if someone is reading this is interpreting it as its being more restrictive, I don't know what we could do to ensure that the wording is very clear that this is less restrictive to those new folks.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So Vice Chair Burt, you're absolutely right. There was an interpretation that continuing members have to

but that we have to decide that this is appropriate.

Is that okay?

COMMISSIONER BURT: (Indicates.)

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Okay. So here's another place where we added Working to Meet to the Transparency and Documentation.

This is also under -- this is Meeting Transparency and Documentation. It's 3.A.3., if you're following along in the document.

This school provides -- is in compliance with publicly -- with publicly posting meetings, minutes, and calendar of meetings, as defined by the Open Meetings Act guidance.

So the addition is that the school is providing an accurate board calendar, and, for at least 75 percent of board meetings in which a quorum of the governing board is present, the school will publicly post a notification with the agenda at least 72 hours prior to the meeting and to publicly post board-approved copies of the minutes within ten days after approval.

So we have this sort of interim at 75 percent, not 100 percent. Originally, it was at 100 percent. And then red is where, you know, that other -- for more than 25 percent. So it's the

reverse of the meetings of which quorum is present, they do not do this. So we've given schools a Working to Meet. Any objection or concerns? Perfect. Another Working To Meet is gets to 3.C. So we're now --MS. JULIA BARNES: Naomi, it's Julia Barnes. Can I just interrupt? The Open Meetings Act doesn't require that you post your meeting minutes. It requires that you have them, okay? So you're changing the Open Meetings Act; so... MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't want to do that. So how shall we rephrase? Thank you for jumping in. MS. JULIA BARNES: Just that they have them; so ... DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It says ten days after approval, which means that's the next time they meet, ten days after they meet that it's posted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's the posting that's not required. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So this goes

we would. And there has been a substantial discussion with the work group about the value of looking at board minutes.

THE CHAIR: I do think that on some of this, the visits that I was part of, both as a charter school person as well as the director, a lot of times people had a -- they had a binder full of these; right, Corina? You just go, and when you do your site visit, you review the binder. And that's what's really what the OMA, I believe, Julia, states is they have to be available on request, or if you IPRA it.

Of course, it would be a better practice to have them all online and easily available to the public. But it sounds like that's superseding the -- the actual OMA; right?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So what -- two things:

One is I think that COVID really shifted the way that governing board members worked and so that everybody is more likely to rely on electronic. But this does -- the only thing that this does -- because it is worded to say "ten days after approval," which the OMA says must happen the next board meeting -- right? -- is that it says that it

above and beyond what's in law. But as an authorizer, can you -- do you want to ask for this level of transparency? I know that I find it extremely helpful to see board minutes posted. And it would save us from having to ask each school individually.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We would have to rephrase how we say it. Right now we say the reason we are doing this is defined by the Open Meetings Act guidance, which, if it doesn't mention the posting -- it says it has to have them available? Is that -- what's the right wording?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yes. I'll look exactly at the right wording for you, Naomi. But...

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We need to use that wording at this point. And whether you collect it -- I mean, the school can Oktopost them, or you'll collect them somehow at the division.

MS. JULIA BARNES: What is the division doing with them when you collect them?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: We are not collecting them. We go to the school's website to look at them.

But I don't think we are doing it as systematically as this indicator is suggesting that

should be posted, which a lot of schools already do, not all. Some schools make you log in or have to have a log-in to get to the minutes. But this is extremely helpful.

And I don't think too many people are relying on binders for their board minutes only.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: There shouldn't need to be a -- and I'm pretty adamant about this -- there should not need to be a log-in for people to be able to access board minutes, because this is public material. And they shouldn't have to jump through hoops to get it.

I would require every school to post their board minutes to their website, without exception. And I wouldn't use -- you don't even need to use or reference the OMA. We're not superseding the OMA; we're going beyond what the OMA is requiring.

And that's what I would say that we should do as a Commission and require of our schools. I mean, is there anyone who thinks that's too stringent? Because I certainly don't.

We heard -- even from this morning, we heard parents sometimes say it was very difficult to access information. Now, granted, this governing

2.

council this morning was possibly an exception to the rule relative to how challenged, we'll say politely, that they were. But everyone needs to be posting. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I -- sorry. I agree. We've discussed this before, that it's --it's extraordinarily difficult, not only for us, but as Commissioner Carrillo said, for the public to be able to access this information I think is really important. And I understand what OMA guidance is. But I think as an authorizer, we do have the ability to put that additional requirement in, because it's just one more level of transparency for the schools. So I think it's important for the minutes to be posted -- once they're approved minutes -- to be posted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Should we clarify or require that it be on their website, as Commissioner Carrillo said? COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Carrillo. (Correcting pronunciation.) MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yes, I apologize. COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: You're forgiven,

calendar of meetings," period, I mean, as defined by you - as the expectation.

And, again, you are within what most authorizers that I work with require of their schools because they are public schools. Okay.

All right. Our next one is 3.C., Compliance with Legal Requirements, adding a Workings to Meet.

So I'm sorry. For the No. 7 in there, that will get changed. "The school creates an environment that supports student learning and promotes the physical and emotional well-being of students and is in compliance with the charter contract, federal and state statutes and rules, and PED guidelines unless waivers preserving charter autonomy are in place."

We added one that said, "In compliance with all requirements, but maybe late with submissions and may have to resubmit due to errors in the initial submission."

So we're giving some wiggle room for a school to have -- to mess up every once in a while and not immediately be a Meet or Does Not Meet.

I think that was -- this was, verbatim, the language that I had suggested, I think in

but I'm not going to forgive you next time.

Yeah, a requirement.

We can go further. They should also be approving their minutes at the subsequent meeting which typically gives them a month. Or so you can say "within 30 days reasonably," or whatever, because if they're having some sort of a special meeting five days from their regular meeting, they shouldn't necessarily be required to have the previous meeting's minutes posted within five days. I think there needs to be a timeline, and absolutely posted.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Okay. I'll say "next scheduled board meeting," which would avoid the emergency board meeting.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I think you would say "regularly scheduled board meeting."

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: When we have a regular PEC meeting, as opposed to, like, today.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And then I am going to remove any reference to OMA, so there is no more debate from schools about this one. We are not -- we're just going to say, "The school is in

not -- we're just going to say, "The school is in compliance with publicly posting meeting minutes and

particular around the timeliness. Sometimes it's -it's hard. And so giving a little bit of room for something to be late can be helpful.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Naomi, Julia Barnes again. The -- the sentence right under 3.C., that's not a legal requirement. You don't have a legal requirement to promote the physical and emotional well-being. So I think if you just put the sentence above the 3.C., then I don't have a problem with it. But it's, like, the way it reads right now --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Which -- so where -- which --

MS. JULIA BARNES: The header. Just put the header below that sentence so that sentence doesn't appear to be defining the legal requirement.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: You want me to remove the whole sentence, "The school creates an environment to support student learning..."?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I don't mind that sentence in general, but I don't know that it's -- it's just not a legal requirement. So...

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I do think that sentence could be reworked a little bit. And so I think --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I disagree -- yeah.

126 1 Yeah. 2 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So if you will let us -- by the 23rd or the 24th, whenever PEC 3 4 meets next, we can have some changed language. 5 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I, right now, for, as a hold, I just removed that first part and said, 6 7 "The school is...," and then where that blue line 8 is, "...is in compliance with the charter contract." 9 That is just very cut and dry.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JULIA BARNES: Uh-huh. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I think the point is why, why do you need to be. And the reason is because of safety for the school, for the students. That's why you as Commissioners care. But we don't need to have it in the framework. It's just -- if anyone asks you why do you care so much about our Certificate of Occupancy.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Or, Naomi, you could leave, "The school creates a safe environment." I think there's a legal requirement to do that. It's the -- and the other one can go somewhere else. It's just not the legal requirement.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I'll add that back in, "safe environment and is in compliance." Okay.

1 upwards, or they'll vacillate, and then they'll be 2 yellow when they trend down. 3

And, remember, I think this is also just important. The way the framework was built, if you get a yellow in the -- in a financial that does -that can still get you a green -- like, a renewal without conditions or anything.

These are just, "Hey, you're working to meet it."

It doesn't mean that you're going to provide a condition on the school. The only things you do a condition would be if it's a red, where you're now -- if they have less than a month of cash on hand, it's probably worth it that they figure out what's going on.

All right. The next one is Working to Meet to Annual Financial Audit. So that's the next financial question.

The school follows the General Accounting -- Accepted Accounting Principles or GAAP. The Working to Meet, the school received an audit with Does Not Meet -- that Does Not Meet the definition of Meets and demonstrates improvement from the previous year's audit.

So the definition of Meet is a pretty high

127

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. And we're using that. Actually, we're using that "safe school" concept. So...

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Wonderful. Any other Commissioners? Staff?

(No response.)

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: All right. Then we have the Working to Meet to Days of Cash on Hand.

So this is -- now we're in the financial framework. And this is the very first indicator of the financial framework.

We added -- this had been just -- you know, they either had it or they didn't. And now we have unrestricted days, cash ratios greater than 30 days but less than 60 days, and does that meet the definition of Meets.

So in the definition of Meets, they can be equal -- or greater than 30 days with a positive increase in days' cash in the recent fiscal year.

So you can -- you can meet through -- by looking at a trend.

And then yellow would be you're not trending upward, and you're not at 60 yet.

I think many of your schools will fall into -- they'll either be green with that trending 2 deficiencies and material weaknesses and does not 3 include a going concern disclosure. We added 4 "disclosure" after that -- any questions about this? 5 6 7 8

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JULIA BARNES: Julia Barnes again. Schools often say that the -- a weakness or deficiency from the previous year follows -- you know, goes for a two-year period of time, because they don't find out about it in time to get it off the next year.

bar. Unqualified opinion is avoiding significant

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Does this address that? Then they're going to -- they get it for two years. Once they get one, they're going to get it for two years; so...

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The only thing I would say to that is the schools usually get preliminary audits, kind of like by August, September time frame, pretty early. It's just that the final audits that they're ready to submit to you are often not ready till January.

I don't know. When do you collect the audits?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So the audits start as early as the summer, and in the fall is

130 1 when the auditors have an exit meeting with the 1 being accused of being arbitrary and capricious. 2 2 schools. And it takes a while before the audit is Anyone disagree with that language for 3 published. It was late February when it was 3 "consistent"? 4 4 completed. (No response.) 5 5 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I actually do not know where the bill 6 6 is that is separating out the audit from its All right. Then this is your fourth one 7 7 authorizer. I know there was -- the legislature was under Fiscal Oversight. 8 8 And this one, there was an extra bullet discussing that. Does anybody know the current 9 9 status of that bill? (Inaudible) up. added. The fourth -- the last bullet was new. So, 10 10 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I don't -- I think Corina, do you want to share that bullet? 11 that what I wanted to point out is -- because we 11 "At least annually, the board audit 12 12 dealt with this a lot -- while it's not public, the committee tests internal audit controls." That was 13 13 an add. Was that from the -schools know. 14 14 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: That wasn't DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: By November, 15 15 December. something that I discussed. I think that because 16 16 it's yellow, it was something that you had added. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: They know, actually, 17 17 usually, pretty quickly. It's just that -- and then MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: No. I'm talking about 18 the last -- "At least annually, the board audit 18 it's just the reason the audits take a while is 19 19 they're looking to see if they can clean it up. committee tests internal audit controls." I think 20 20 that came from Missy, perhaps, in our last meeting. So I -- you know, I don't want to be 21 21 That's perhaps where I added it. unfair. But I also am not sure that I think that it 22 So that -- I know she brought this up. 22 does take a whole year for them to recognize that 23 23 It's very important for her and for the oversight they're in need. 24 that the committee test -- I don't know what that 24 So then adding -- this is the financial 25 means, to be very honest. I don't know what your --25 reporting and compliance. This is the third under 131 133 1 1 the financials. If you're following on in your what that means when we're saying that and how we're 2 2 document, Page 19, the Working to Meet, "The school verifying that they're doing it. 3 3 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I think the thing demonstrates the ability to oversee public funding 4 4 I think of is the closing of the two banks in this designated for New Mexico students. Sometime during 5 5 the school year, the school is required to do past week. 6 monthly reporting or the school does not meet the 6 I see Commissioner Gipson's hand up. 7 7 But that was -- the requirements for criteria for Meets, but does not meet the criteria 8 8 testing were loosened on that one, and we had a for Does Not Meet." 9 9 Again, created a little bit of a buffer. couple of banks that ended up closing. 10 10 Meets is very specific. You know, all budget MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Well, I think the 11 11 request documents and budget approval documents to question is, how do you, as a Commission or PED, 12 12 the PED, you know, with their established timelines. ensure it's actually happening? 13 13 And I think that, you know, the errors --COMMISSIONER GIPSON: My question is do --14 14 do the audit committees actually -- because I agree. it could get probably cumbersome at times to meet 15 15 I don't fully understand what that means, and I everything, and that a school is not -- immediately 16 16 don't know whether the audit committees have -- do it does not meet if one or two or seven are late or 17 17 have errors if they fix those up. they have the capacity to be able to do that? Or 18 18 would they need some outside entity to do that? I think the only thing I added at the 19 19 bottom, when I look at this, was the word DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Great point, 20 20 "consistently." I tried to define it. Means that Commissioner Gipson. If we keep that, it needs to 21 the event occurs for more than half of the required 21 be edited to say "the finance committee." That's 22 document submissions. 22 the appropriate committee that is supposed to be 23 23 I think it's important when there's reviewing the school's financials and could -- could 24 24 language that we be as -- as clear as possible so potentially test controls. The audit committee only 25 25 that you don't find yourselves in a position of comes into place before, during, and at the exit of

the audit.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. Right. So -- okay. And I like that better, because I think the finance committee does have capacity to do that. The audit committee, I don't -- so if we change that to "the finance committee," I think I'd be okay with it.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Okay. That's an easy change.

So now I -- I think if this is another one where I think we were -- I think this was at the end of our last session. And so we were -- you know, we were getting tired.

And we said, "The school and board consistently demonstrate compliance with requirements."

And then we said that it's not quite consistently, and then it's consistently out of compliance, and we didn't define it.

So I think it would be better if we could actually define it, as a commission. What does it mean -- what does "consistently" mean to you? Is it the majority of seven items, or at least four? Like, when is it -- when -- at what point do you think they are consistently there? There's a lot of

that was a problem. I didn't know where it was. I didn't know that was paid," or whatever it is.

So I think -- you know, it's incumbent on us to make a stronger requirement for that -- too often finance committees are not meeting, and that's the problem.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I don't know if all of these are weighted equally. For me out of these eight -- so there's eight? Seven? I'm trying to count the dots -- seven items that they're expected to do? I would say if they're not doing any two of them, they would move to yellow, you know, like -- if it's one, maybe they still get the green, the Meet.

Like, if there's one thing -- well, actually, I don't know. Are these not the lowest bar for a board? I don't know. This actually sometimes like the low end of, like, what a board should be doing, at minimum.

But I don't know. I don't know if it's, like -- if they're able to provide evidence that they're meeting all these expectations, which, for me, it's just that they're submitting a board minute -- or a board -- like, they're submitting

things.

Go ahead, Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I think I have some difficulty with it being at only half the meetings, considering the fact that there's probably at least maybe once a year that schools cancel meetings for whatever reason. So you're talking about maybe only eleven meetings a year. And so you're only -- I think it has to be more than half for that consistency.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So -- and you would find these in their minutes?

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. Yeah. They're supposed to -- in the minutes, they're supposed to identify whether the finance -- when the agenda comes out, there's usually a finance committee meeting prior to the start of the regular meeting. Usually.

But then the finance committee would offer a report in the minutes. And I think if they're not meeting -- if they're not meeting before every board meeting, there is a concern.

But I have a real problem with them only meeting half the time. And that's okay, because all too often we've got schools saying, "I didn't know their minutes and it's highlighted, where they did these seven things. Like, it could be that simple; right? Like, they're submitting documentation to show evidence.

If they're not doing one of them, then that's Working to Meet.

If they're not doing more than two or three, then they're -- and maybe it's not -- it's like a consistent thing as much as it is a compliance -- I don't know. Maybe the "consistently" part is what's not appropriate. Like, take that out, and it's just that they're able to provide evidence that they are meeting the -- the following expectations.

And then how many of those -- like, all of them is Meet. If they're missing two of them, Working to Meet. If there's any more than two missing, then they're red.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It could be either they're skipping one of those, or they're not doing it consistently.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: But some of these are not consistent.

COMMISSIONER BURT: It's just a one-time thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Most of them are not. 2 There's only two that are consistent. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: The definition of 3 4 "consistency" only ties to the financial. It 5 doesn't tie into any of the others. That's where 6 I'm struggling with that "consistency" thing is it's 7 all -- you know. 8 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So I'm going to do 9 that. Let me see if I --10 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Can you separate 11 it out for the ones that need to be consistent? 12 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Let me see if -- I 13 think -- I think I'm now sharing the document 14 itself. 15 So I've removed the red part. 16 "The school and the board provides 17 evidence of all seven indicators occur during the 18 fiscal year." (Verbatim.) 19 Is this something -- this is a one-time 20

review; right?

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then -- and then the -- "The school and the board demonstrate compliance with the requirements..."

COMMISSIONER BURT: But maybe unable to provide evidence of one or two items, yeah.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: At least annually. I can read exactly what statute says, if that would be helpful.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: No. I think if you want to -- if we can remove it, then it becomes even easier.

(Commissioner Taylor leaves meeting.)

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: "Complies with (inaudible), but unable to provide evidence of no more than two of the seven items."

COMMISSIONER BURT: I feel like that's --I'm a little hesitant of just like as an audit committee. I don't know if that's enough. That's not enough. Like, I do think that they need to show evidence that they met before, during, and after the audit. And then that's consistent with statute; right?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah. Would it be appropriate for me to copy language? Or would you like me to read it from statute, copy it into the chat?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Not in chat. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Here's what statute says, that, "The audit committee attends the entrance and exit conference for annual and special

139

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm also wondering about the language that says "at least annually after the finance and audit committees," because statute is pretty clear about the audit committee needing to meet more than just once.

COMMISSIONER BURT: How many times does the statute say they need to meet?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Statute doesn't name the number of times. It just says they need to meet ahead of the audit to talk about the audit about the preparing of the audit, during the audit to make sure all materials are made available to the auditors, and to attend the exit. That's how it's described in law.

COMMISSIONER BURT: So is that twice? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It ends up being more than twice. It ends up being -- they're preparing for; they're meeting during to make sure everything is good; and they attend the exit.

That's how statute reads.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So you're wanting to change, "The board has an audit committee that meets at least annually"? I don't know where that came from originally. Do you want to change that to something else?

audits, meets with the external financial auditors at least monthly after the audit fieldwork begins and until the conclusion of the audit, and be accessible to the external auditors as required to facilitate communication with the board and the superintendent, tracking report progress on the status of the most recent audit findings and advise the school board on policy changes needed to address audit findings, provide other advice and assistance as required by the board."

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm not going to rewrite all of that into your work.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Before, during, and after is sufficient.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm just going to say it's described in statute. And then it refers them back that they need to know, what they're responsible for.

My question for you -- this gets into sort of, like, at what level are you able to oversee things? You know, when we create these sort of requirements, then you also have to have the staff and the -- the ability to determine whether this is happening or not and not just arbitrarily decide with one school to go deep and another school not.

production@litsupport.com

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Yeah, I think that this one is easier to track than the posting of the board agenda within 72 hours, unless we ask the schools to put a date on when they post.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Or if there's software that would allow it to be automatically done as well.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: All right.
DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I think that,
"The audit committee attends in accordance to
statute."

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: If you want to revise -- I just wrote, "fulfills its requirements as described in statute" is what it says right now. I don't know if you can see my screen, but --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Naomi, it's Julia Barnes again. If you have to leave -- so I just wanted to briefly look at the compliance as this -- this relating to the rule and the contract.

The main thing that we presently have in the rule is that, first, CSD has an obligation under statute to give a recommendation, including in these annual reports, about how the school is doing and -how the school is doing, and, therefore, be able to do a recommendation about whether anything needs to "On track for renewal conditions."

"The school earns Working to Meet in one or more performance areas of the academic framework but does not meet the criteria for not on track for renewal."

And "Not on Track for Renewal" is, "This designation will be given if the school earns Does Not Meet in three or more performance areas of the academic framework, or the school earns eight or more Does Not Meet ratings across all three frameworks."

So that was meant to be the roll-up, and the one sort of designation that would come out of these three frameworks on the annual basis that could be used by the Commission to determine, you know, what -- what differentiates your oversight.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. So just to show you how it's different than the rule, the comment we got on the rule was that "Renewal with Conditions" are "Not on Track for Renewal." They didn't want that designation, because it -- until the year before the renewal application -- so that's actually the beginning of year four -- because it could impact leasing. So we've -- and some of the fiscal implications for the school.

be -- any kind of notice needs to be issued or not.

So I don't see -- and then the second thing is, in order to have PEC not get too involved in the nitty-gritty, we have listed the definition that the PEC can provide a notice on any academic indicator, but only on a roll-up from the organizational or the financial.

I have dogs in my office, too, so they may bark.

So I don't see -- I don't see a roll-up.
And then I'm looking at the Annual
Evaluation section at the top. And I think that
that is potentially not what the statute requires,
because I think the statute does require some kind
of an evaluation. So -- and you need to indicate

what that is.

So one is we need some kind of a roll-up of organizational.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: This is the roll-up.
"The school Meets or Exceeds for all performance areas in all frameworks. The school earns and Meets or Exceeds in all performance areas in the academic framework and earns a Working to Meet or Meets for all performance areas in the financial and operational frameworks."

So if in year one, you gave a Not on Track for Renewal, it could impact the school.

So that's why we didn't do it this way.

We did it Not on Track -- On Track for Renewal with
Conditions, or On Track for -- Not on Track for
Renewal is in effectively the last year of the
school before renewal. And then the others were a
Meets, Working to Meet, or Does Not Meet. So the --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yes, that's how this -- that tracks with these. If we change it to "success criteria"?

MS. JULIA BARNES: I can match those words, that part --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Exceeds, Meets, Working to Meet, Does Not Meet are really the words that should track.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So the roll-up -- the roll-up is --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: The only thing I would say -- because I totally hear you, and the schools are absolutely right. It's -- you know, it's really hard to get financing if you're on the Not on Track for Renewal.

However, the bar that you've set for Not on Track for Renewal, people need to know the

146

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school's in trouble. If you're having three of the five academic indicators that you're not meeting, like, that is -- they are not on track, and they have -- so I'm not afraid -- and eight across -- you have -- how many? -- sorry. Let me scroll up. It makes everyone dizzy. Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Can I just interject? Is there a way that we could just put a parallel grid next to the colored ones where it says, On Track for Renewal and -- whatever it is, I'm losing -- I'm losing my mind.

If you just go to that, could we just put, like, to the left of that, Year One-Two of your annual report, it's just blue; the school meets or exceeds.

Could we do that? And then -- and then we have the Year Three-Four, whatever it is -- so it's designated.

So the schools know that in Year One or Two, they're only going to get a blue, and blue means Meets or Exceeds. But if it's in their third or fourth year, it means they're on track for -- for expedited renewal.

And do it that way. (Inaudible) way to do that.

these levels.

So that's the way the rule reads is that PEC members, when they're looking at a notice, may want to do one based on any academic indicator, where it's really not up to the standard they want.

But in order not to get into the weeds about were the governing board hours sufficient, or was the six months counted right, so they're not getting into an argument with -- PEC is not getting into the argument with CSD and the schools, there was a roll-up of organizational or financial.

go. CS- -- PEC wasn't going to go any further. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: What -- a roll-up before this one. So you're saying a sub -- so, like, they would say, "See..." -- yeah, I think that's fine. So -- so we need to add -- so if I'm hearing correctly, this is the overall evaluation, and you would also like to have a sub-evaluation of

And that's as far as they were going to

their academic. 21 MS. JULIA BARNES: No. Of the other two. 22 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Of just the other two. 23 Well, wouldn't you want all three?

> MS. JULIA BARNES: Well, I think the PEC, in the subcommittee, decided that where they don't

147

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I love the way you're thinking, Commissioner Gipson, and I'm going to take it a step further. Why not keep the renewal language exclusively in the rule, remove it from here altogether, so that this is allowed just to say -- so blue can be Exceeds or Exceptional. Green is Meet -- so that here, your overall evaluation is a -- a designation.

That's actually really coming from PED more than the Commission in many ways; right? You're saying, "Here's how you did and we think of your performance."

And then in the rule -- and Julia would have to -- in the rule, you would have to say, "If you have three reds..." -- whatever we decide that is -- "...that would mean a..." -- and then you can put that in that language, and within those years where -- and that's a Commission sort of driven action.

MS. JULIA BARNES: That's going to work. That's going to work great.

The second thing, though, that I want to say is that in order to not have PEC get into the weeds, we had wanted there to be a roll-up, a scoring of organizational and financial on one of 149

1 want to get into the weeds on organizational or 2 financial and argue about governing board hours. 3 They very well may want to give a notice if one of 4 the academic indicators fell off the track. 5

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Where are you looking at the rule, Julia?

MS. JULIA BARNES: The definition of "Unsatisfactory Performance."

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: And then I also think the yellow can stay Working to Meet.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's the language in -- I wanted to keep this separate from what we call these -- our language here. I guess we could. Exceeds. Meets. Does that sound Working to Meet or Approaching? Which -- which -- I'm completely agnostic. Working to Meet?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Keep it consistent with Working to Meet, yeah.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Working to Meet. And the other with the red would be what? Does Not Meet Performance Expectations?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: The rule says "Unsatisfactory Performance is a designation in the final annual report."

MS. JULIA BARNES: Based on the

performance framework.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Unsatisfactory

Performance means "Fails to meet on any academic THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, go

Performance means "Fails to meet on any academic indicator or an overall designation identified by the division on the organizational and financial sections."

MS. JULIA BARNES: Right. So you're going to do a roll-up of organizational and financial. The PEC is not going to get into the weeds on those. But the PEC could issue a notice on any academic -- any one academic that Falls Far Below.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So it -- (inaudible) if we have to, because we built that into this. The way we did -- we met that concern by saying, "You can be -- you can be -- you can earn this level of yellow or green with having, like, a Does Not Meet in the financial or the..." -- we weighted academics so much more than the other two.

So I'm worried about playing with the other two, because it will bring light to -- it brings more light to them and more scrutiny than the way we've done it here, where they're embedded in this overall score, and they're weighted at a different -- it's a different scale.

You have to earn eight Does Not Meets

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, go ahead and jump in.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Really quickly. Did you mean that to say "expeditions" instead of "expectations"?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: No, I did not.
COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. I was just -- I read that and said, "Maybe I just missed something."

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: No, you did not. Thank you.

All right.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And you need to take out the "Not on Track for Renewal" from the Workings To Meet Performance, that last sentence there.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Okay. Thank you.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I think we --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Just real quickly, on the not getting into the weeds, I don't know if this aligns with that. But just so you know, when we looked at the academic performance indicators and talked about the expectations in this performance framework, because we are looking at

across the 16 indicators to get a Does Not Meet Performance Expectation. Like, there is no school right now that's close to that based on what Corina has given me.

And -- but you could do it with only three in -- out of the five academics. So, like, it's immediate. If they're academically low performing, you're on them.

But they could be -- you are not going to -- unless they're really, really messing up with all of their operations and finance, this is -- so I would just wonder if the rule making could be adjusted to just -- to remove that. Otherwise, you're going to elevate the fact that there might be somewhat a school that --

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah, we could do it -if we do it along the criteria of what the
subcommittee was talking about, it would be on any
academic indicator that is Working to Meet or Does
Not Meet Performance, they can get a notice. And,
second, could be aligned with this Working to Meet
or Does Not Meet Performance on the overall
evaluation.

That would probably work. I can't see all the subcommittee members. But that would be

subgroups, it could be harder for the schools to meet the expectations.

And I don't know if that's getting into the weeds, if it aligns with that, or not.

But just so you know, schools are doing all right meeting the reading. They're struggling with math. And then when we break it down by subgroup, there's even more struggle.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I think what you're going to find is, when I looked at it briefly -- and I did not -- I mean, I got it -- yeah.

Many of your schools with academic concerns are going to fall under the Working to Meet Performance Expectations, which is what you would then track to Renewal with Conditions, which is what the subcommittee here really wanted, that sort of extra oversight for those schools that are not making all their academics.

And there are very few schools that are meeting performance expectations right now and exceeding performance expectations. And I think -- on everything; right? But those that are, in academics, meet everything, and then having some areas of concern in the financial or the operational, they're still getting the expedited --

1 not the expedited – but they're going through 2 renewal. 2 merwal. 3 MS. JULIA BARNES: How many schools don't 4 meet their performance criteria once you add in 5 growth? 6 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay, So I'm 1 looking at our run with the Stota Accountability, 8 and this is Option 1A, which uses the 76 ho 99th 9 percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to 50 for – 1 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my 1 sercen? Do you want me to share my sercen so they 1 can see what you're looking at? 2 my served to looking at? 3 my looked at an and an an older one, 1 think. Actually, Like, a quarter, a older one, 1 think. Actually, I think we should a law – we should lave Kyle give the – 2 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm not sure how everything was run. 3 So Ji just want to put a big caweat. This is mervines – so this is – just know. There are no 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. 3 So Ji just want to put a big caweat. This is mervines – so this is – just know. There are no 157 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything the is searched and more their of working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. 4 meet their performance drieties on the state assessment right in means. And there was no Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. 4 meet their performance with the second one – no, that's State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listering, you have no lead there was no Working to Meet, Just want to dorf have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 4 And that's cally what we warned. 4 And that's cally what we warned. 4 And the second one – no, that's State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listering, you have no lead of the warned that what is rally mitoration to do that, so – yeah. 5 State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listering you have no lead of the warned that we have a like not that the contact, it aligns perfectly with the index of the warned t		154		156
2 schools, you can focus on them and say, "Okay, Petal 14" meet their performance criteria once you add in growth? 5 growth? 6 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So I'm looking at our run with the State Aecountability, and this is Option IA, which uses the 76th to 99th percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to 50 for - 10 S0 for - 11 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my 21 searce what you're looking at? 12 sercen? Do you want me to share my sercen so they 21 searce what you're looking at? 13 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a 11 percent of your schools are below 85 percent of 11 searce with you're looking at? 14 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a 11 percent of your schools are below 85 percent of 11 searce with you're looking at? 15 protty crow split, actually, Like, a quarter, a older one, I think, Actually, I think we should an older one, I think, Actually, I think we should an we we should have Kyle give the - 15 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Than a little nervous - so this is - just know. There are no 15 To MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And then the second meets a well-one of the searce o	1	not the expedited but they're going through	1	It's not, "This is the only way." So now, these
MS. NJLIA BARNES: How many schools don't meet their performance criteria once you add in growth? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Okay. So I'm looking at our run with the State Accountability, and this is Option IA, which uses the 76th to 99th percentile for Execed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to proceeding for Execed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to for 50 for	2		2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
## meet their performance criteria once you add in growth? The provided of the performance criteria once you add in growth?	3	MS. JULIA BARNES: How many schools don't	3	
5 growth? 7 looking at our run with the State Accountability, 8 and this is Option IA, which uses the 76th to 99th 9 percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meebs, 26 to 10 \$50 for - 11 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my 12 screen? Do you want me to share my screen so they 13 can see what yorl're looking at? 14 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a 15 pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a 16 quarter, and a quarter. 17 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. 18 It's a third are exceeding if you look at it -1 19 would say I looked at it and said between you 20 know 21 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Pm looking at an 21 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 22 have we should have kyle give the 23 have we should have kyle give the 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 mervous so this is just know. There are no 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 26 was run. 27 So I just xnow there is awesome; right? And then 27 there's working to Meet, Una have only less than a 28 quarter Does Not. 29 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 30 So I just xnow the state assessment right now. 31 So I just xnow the state assessment right now. 32 So I just xnow the state assessment right now. 33 So I just xnow the state assessment right now. 34 Is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea 35 how. 36 Jint Xnow There are no 155 16 Subsiness rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 36 was run. 37 So I just xnow there is awesome; right? And then 38 there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah, 39 So I just xnow the seed on Meets, like, that half of 40 your schools are there is awesome; right? And then 41 there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah, 42 So I was really happy with the server on the sea thools whether that should happen if they chose Option 2 43 is open if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you 44 don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 45 And then the second one no, that's 46 State Accountability. 47 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. 48	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	•
7 looking at our run with the State Accountability, 8 and this is Option 1A, which uses the 76th to 99th 9 percentile for Execed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to 10 50 for 11 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my 12 sercen? Do you want me to share my screen so they 13 can see what you're looking at? 14 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a 15 pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a 16 quarter, and a quarter. 17 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: If's more than that. 18 If's a third are exceeding if you look at it I 19 would say Ilooked at it and said between you 20 know 21 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Pm looking at un 21 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 22 have we should have Kyle give the 23 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: In a little 24 mervous so this is just know. There are no 25 lows 26 mow 27 mow should have Kyle give the 28 mow 29 mow 20 mow 21 mow older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: In a little 25 nervous so this is just know. There are no 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29 mow 29 mow 20 mow 20 mow 21 mow 21 mow 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: In a little 25 nervous so this is 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29 mow 29 mow 20 mow 20 mow 21 mow 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Than little 25 nervous 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29 mow 29 mow 29 mow 20 mow 20 mow 20 mow 21 mow 21 mow 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mow 25 mow 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29 mow 29 mow 29 mow 20 mow 20 mow 20 mow 21 mow 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mow 25 mow 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29 mow 29 mow 29 mow 20 mow 20 mow 20 mow 21 mow 22 mow 23 mow 24 ms. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mow 25 mow 26 mow 27 mow 28 mow 29	5	*	5	·
and this is Option I.A, which uses the 76th to 99th percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to 50 for MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my serems of Do you want me to share my serems ot they can see what you're looking ar? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a quarter, and a quarter. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. It's a third are exceeding if you look at it 1 would say I looked at it and said between you know DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an older one, I think. Actually, I think we should have we should have Kyle give the MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Tran little nervous so this is just know. There are no 155 I business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is a wassome; righ? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Notthere aren't any? O' is there are sean fort, bot'd is one pretty and this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And thar's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So this means, you know, for the schools that we wanted. And thar's really what we wanted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So this means, you know, for the schools that we wanted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah, And this is one pretty of the schools are derie is a wassome; right? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no reasonif you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And thar's really what we wanted. And thar's really what we wanted	6	C .	6	So I was really happy with these results.
9 percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to 50 for — 10 S0 for — 10 S0 for — 10 S0 for — 10 S0 for — 11 S0 for — 11 S0 for — 12 Sereen? Do you want me to share my screen so they 22 sereen? Do you want me to share my screen so they 23 can see what you're looking at? 13 11 percent of your schools are below 85 percent on the state assessment right now. So, again, we're not talking about many, 25 And then you can hone in on those and make sure — 15 Sereen Mission-specific goals. Again, I'm not sure if they were using the 22 data. But most of the schools were meeting their 24 data. But most of the schools were meeting their 24 sia amazing that this was done, but I have no idea 4 quarter, a warru. 25 how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is a wesome; right? And then there is a wesome; right? And then there has work and the hard only the school had a quarter Does Not. 26 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 4 reason — if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have that. 3 to patch that was condendered for the schools dare there is a wesome, right? And then there's Working to Meet, you wanted. This is the 4 reason — if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one — no, that's 5 state Accountability. So this means, you know, for 12 Meet Many and the schools. 4 so option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 24 3 so open to these schools.	7	looking at our run with the State Accountability,	7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.
10 So for	8	and this is Option 1A, which uses the 76th to 99th	8	MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I don't know if there
MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's Do you want me to share my screen's othey careen's Do you want me to share my screen's Do you want on the state sasessment right now. 155 1 business rules here. So Pm not want business rules here. So Pm not sure how everything was run. 2 was run. 2 business rules here. So Pm not sure how everything was run. 3 So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is a wesome, right? And then there was no Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was - yeah, so - Working to Meet. There was -	9	percentile for Exceed, 51st to 75 for Meets, 26 to	9	were I think participation rates already we
sercen? Do you want me to share my sercen so they an see what you're looking at? DIRECTOR CORNA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a so, again, we're not talking about many. And then you can hone in on those and make sure COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. It's a third are exceedingif you look at it1 would say 10 would say 11 brecent of your schools are below 85 percent on the state assessment right now. So, again, we're not talking about many. And then you can hone in on those and make sure COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mission-specific goals. Again, I'm not sure if they were using the RBA model. I don't know what is happen what these are now, so if this is adenic performance data. But most of the schools were meeting their goals. MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 155 I just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There wasyeah, so so so so MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that to there's working to Meet, you have no idea your schools are there is awesome; right? And then the state assessment right now. 155 So again, vere not talking about many. And then you can hone in on those and make sure COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: In a little goals. MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 157 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. MS. JULIA BARNES: Why was 15 percent in some other category? What's 'Other'' MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. MS. JULIA BARNES: No Not Applicable; really. Is that what it means; Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That we mission-specific goals. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That we no idea durter Does Not. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. MS. JULIA BARNES: No Not Applicable; really. Is that what it means you know, for the whole new process for them to do that, so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have wented. MS. NAOMI D	10	50 for	10	talked about whether that should be at 95 percent,
13 can see what you're looking at? 14 DRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a 15 pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a 16 quarter, and a quarter. 17 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. 18 It's a third are exceeding —if you look at it —1 19 would say —I looked at it and said between — you 20 know — 21 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an 22 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 23 have — we should have Kyle give the — 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous — so this is — just know. There are no 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 157 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 3 So I just want to put a big caveat. This 4 is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea 5 how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of 6 your schools are below 85 percent on 156 there was so work was it laking about many. And then you can hone in on those and make 157 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mission-specific goals. 220 231 242 243 254 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 244 255 166 275 175 187 188 187 189 189 189 189 189	11	MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Can we just share my	11	Exceeds and Meets well above you know, this is
the state assessment right now. pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a quarter, and a quarter. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. It's a third are exceeding — if you look at it — 1 would say — I looked at it and said between — you become a present of the property of the state assessment right now. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mission-specific goals. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mission-specific goals. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a lit	12	screen? Do you want me to share my screen so they	12	set at the 95 percent. So this is the 85 percent.
15 pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a quarter, and a quarter. And then you can hone in on those and make sure— 16	13	can see what you're looking at?	13	11 percent of your schools are below 85 percent on
16 quarter, and a quarter. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that. 17	14	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Sure. It's a	14	the state assessment right now.
17	15	pretty even split, actually. Like, a quarter, a	15	So, again, we're not talking about many.
18 It's a third are exceeding — if you look at it — 1 19 would say — I looked at it and said between — you 20 know — 21 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an 22 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 23 have — we should have Kyle give the — 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous — so this is — just know. There are no 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 2	16	quarter, and a quarter.	16	And then you can hone in on those and make
would say — I looked at it and said between — you know — DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an 22 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 23 have — we should have Kyle give the — 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous — so this is — just know. There are no 25 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 26 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 27 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 28 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 29 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 29 Mat's "Other"? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Some schools didn't have the sound was run. 30 MR. MAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 40 MR. MAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 40 MR. MAOMI DeVEAUX: Mathalf of 5 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mathalf of 6 State Accountability. So this means, you know, for 12 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark an N/A. 11 Treally tracks with what you wanted. This is the 14 Treally tracks with what you wanted. This is the 15 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mathalf of 6 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that 16 Treally tracks with what you wanted. This is the 17 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark an N/A. 18 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mathalf of 18 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Mathalf of 19 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 19 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 19 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 19 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 20 We don't have that. 21 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 22 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 23 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 24 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 25 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 26 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 27 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 28 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX	17	MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's more than that.	17	sure
20 know 21 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an older one, I think. Actually, I think we should have we should have Kyle give the 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous so this is just know. There are no 155 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 3 So I just want to put a big caveat. This 3 so So I'm so I'm late 1 is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea 4 how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of 5 your schools are there is awesome; right? And then 5 there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. 10 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that 11 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 2 state Accountability. So this means, you know, for 13 the schools that are listening, you have no 14 reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you 4 don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 10 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 11 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. 12 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they were using the RBA model. I don't know what is happen what RBA model. I don't know what is neadlenge performance data. But most of the schools were meeting their goals. 12 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 1 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah, 3 so 157 1 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 1 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 1 really tracks with what you want	18	It's a third are exceeding if you look at it I	18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.
DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an older one, I think. Actually, I think we should have -we should have Kyle give the MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little goals. It business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have wanted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really older the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	19	would say I looked at it and said between you	19	•
22 older one, I think. Actually, I think we should 23 have we should have Kyle give the 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous so this is just know. There are no 26 mervous so this is just know. There are no 27 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 28 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 29 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 20 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 20 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 20 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 21 Just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah, 22 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 23 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 25 MS. JULIA BARNES: Why was 15 percent in some other category? What's "Other"? 26 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that 10 merely tracks with what you wanted. This is the 11 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 21 don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 26 And that's really what we wanted. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a townler have to don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 27 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a townler have to don't have that. 28 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 23 is open to these schools.	20	know		
23 have we should have Kyle give the 24 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little 25 nervous so this is just know. There are no 155 157 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything 2 was run. 3 So I just want to put a big caveat. This 4 is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea 5 how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of 6 your schools are there is awesome; right? And then 7 there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a 9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 10 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that 11 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 12 State Accountability. So this means, you know, for 13 the schools that are listening, you have no 14 reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you're fine. 15 And that's really what we wanted. 16 And that's really what we wanted. 17 And then the second one no, that's 18 State Accountability. 19 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. 20 We don't have that. 21 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we 22 don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 23 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 24 3 is open to these schools. 23 data. But most of the schools were meeting their 24 goals. 24 data. But most of the schools were meeting their 24 goals. 25 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 24 dhat. But most of the schools were meeting their 24 goals. 25 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 26 dhat. But most of the schools were meeting their 26 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 26 that. We 27 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And 28 there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah, 3 so 4 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Some schools 3 so 5 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. 4 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Some schools 8 didn't have mission-specific goals. 8 didn't have mission-specific goals. 9 MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, 16 really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't 17 any? Or is there any reason for Other? 18 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. 19 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. 19 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A	21	DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I'm looking at an		
MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little nervous — so this is — just know. There are no 155 157 1 business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. 3 So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. 9 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. 10 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 11 really tracks with what you wanted. This is the 12 State Accountability. So this means, you have no 13 MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? Task whole new process for them to do that; so — yeah. 17 And then the second one — no, that's State Accountability. 18 State Accountability. 19 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. 10 We don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 11 And then the second one — no, that's State Accountability. 12 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have — we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. 24 Boals. 25 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We arrive in the Exceeds on the cerything there was no Working to Meet. There was — yeah, so — " 1 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was — yeah, so — " 1 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was — yeah, so — " 1 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was — yeah, so — " 1 just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And there was no Working to Meet. There was — yeah, so — " 1 so — MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an Vi — there are the massion-specific goals. 2 mS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so — yeah. This is 2B. This is	22	older one, I think. Actually, I think we should		
155 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 157 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 158 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 159 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 151 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 151 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 151 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 151 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. WYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We 150 MR. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 150 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 151 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 151 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 152 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 153 MS. JULIA BARNES: No Not Applicable, 154 reason - if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you 155 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 157 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thark you. 158 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 159 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 160 much stock in the mission, because there's a 161 whole rew process for them to do that; so yeah. 170 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 171 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 172 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 173 MS. DILIA BARNES: NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah	23	· -		
business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the reason — if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you And then the second one — no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so — yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really — DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Weith the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	24	MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I'm a little		_
business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything was run. So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. Business rules here is awesome; right? And then for your schools are there is awesome; right? And then for your schools are there is awesome; right? And then for there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.	25	nervous so this is just know. There are no	25	MR. KYLE WOOD: I can speak to that. We
was run. So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Some schools didn't have mission-specific goals. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.		155		157
So I just want to put a big caveat. This is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you have no don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Commandate the is amazing that this was done, but I have no is one other category? What's "Other"? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Some schools didn't have mission-specific goals. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Thank you. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really poople were worried	1	business rules here. So I'm not sure how everything	1	just plugged these in from 2021-'22 ratings. And
is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Some schools didn't have mission-specific goals. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Than's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.	2	was run.	2	there was no Working to Meet. There was yeah,
how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the state Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no for it have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't the some other category? What's "Other"? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take to on much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really propole were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	3	So I just want to put a big caveat. This	3	so
your schools are there is awesome; right? And then there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the state Accountability. So this means, you know, for don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and some other category? What's "Other"? DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Some schools didn't have mission-specific goals. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really poople were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	4	is amazing that this was done, but I have no idea	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
there's Working to Meet, you have only less than a quarter Does Not. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Some schools didn't have mission-specific goals. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	5	how. So the Exceeds and Meets, like, that half of	5	
quarter Does Not. GOMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. Might didn't have mission-specific goals. MS. JULIA BARNES: So Not Applicable, really. Is that what it means? Not there aren't any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.	6	•		
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one popple were worried about. So there's really any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so BURECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really any? Or is there any reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the	7			
MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I think that really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you for the very reason for Other? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take for much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really mathematically important is Option 2 and and the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		*		, ,
really tracks with what you wanted. This is the State Accountability. So this means, you know, for the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. MS. JULIA BARNES: Not Applicable? MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really program or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.		——————————————————————————————————————		
12 State Accountability. So this means, you know, for 13 the schools that are listening, you have no 14 reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you 15 don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. 16 And that's really what we wanted. 17 And then the second one no, that's 18 State Accountability. 19 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. 10 We don't have that. 20 We don't have that. 21 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's an N/A. 22 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take 23 too much stock in the mission, because there's a 24 Whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. 25 This is 2B. This is the education program. 26 Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so 27 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very 28 well. 29 Well. 20 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we 29 don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 20 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 21 and is open to these schools. 20 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language 21 in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				-
the schools that are listening, you have no reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really are or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. MS. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
reason if you're in the Exceeds or Meets, you don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I wouldn't take too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools.				
don't have to do Option 2 or 3. Like, you're fine. And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. 15 too much stock in the mission, because there's a whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. 16 Whole new process for them to do that; so yeah. 17 This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. 20 well. 21 MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really 23 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		**
And that's really what we wanted. And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. Mode new process for them to do that; so yeah. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
And then the second one no, that's State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. This is 2B. This is the education program. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				
State Accountability. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. Everyone was meeting it, I guess, so DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		*		
DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Special groups. We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: They did very well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				* *
We don't have that. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. Well. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		•		
MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: We don't have we don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. And this is one people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				•
don't know what would happen if they chose Option 2 or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 3 is open to these schools. 22 people were worried about. So there's really DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				
or 3. But what is really important is Option 2 and 23 DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: With the language in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the				
24 3 is open to these schools. 24 in the contract, it aligns perfectly with the		** *		
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So the one that is worth consideration of what our targets are is the re-enrollment rate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we set really high expectations for students to come back to the school. And we did get feedback that, you know, this was really hard.

So I think that this is a moment to consider, you know, do you pilot this, or do you hold schools harmless if they fall in the Does Not Meet for one year?

Just -- so give them the data, let them see, but then hold them harmless for one year, just, so that year, not penalizing a school that's just trying to figure things out.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: This is one of those areas that's really hard, because we're -- schools are still coming back from COVID, and families are still coming back and feeling more comfortable.

So I'd lean towards holding them harmless for a year, just to see. Because I think after next year, there should be a stabilization of, you know, where kids are sitting in a -- you know, in a school seat. So I'm comfortable with that.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: So I also want to

This is about the environment of the school, how many of those kids that chose that school in year one decided to come back in year two.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So it's a different way of thinking about it when -- I don't know. I personally -- my personal opinion, it's -- there are very few people who leave a school in the middle of the year, and when they do, that's, like, huge, huge concern.

But where you see a value of a school is when a family says, "I'm not coming back next year. Like, I'm going to take my kid out, and we're going to a different school, and I'm going to go to private school, I'm going to homeschool, I'm going to go back to a district or a neighborhood school."

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: And that's specifically what we were trying to target and have that conversation with schools is how come they're not coming back for that second or third year, yeah.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Because you can churn kids out, like, "Oh, they're here for a year," you treat the families poorly, and they leave.

Or there are good reasons to leave. What this does not consider are all of the exceptions;

159

1 right? So we haven't done this (inaudible). 2 What would you do if a family of five

leave? Okay. Maybe they only count as one instead of five.

'Cause I think there are things we have to consider when building this type of a thing.

But in terms of what Director Chavez is saying, you also may need to be -- you know, you have to monitor the other as well. And that is included in this. It's a subset of this. You would just do a --

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. And by holding them harmless for the year doesn't mean we're not tracking them. It just means that they're not going to be dinged for it that year.

THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Burt. Then Director Chavez.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I guess I'm also just wondering if, like, the 85 percent is the right number for Meets.

Because I'm -- and, I mean, I don't have this, so I can't, like, look through it. But it just -- just from what I can see on the screen, it looks like there's quite a few that if it was, like, 80 percent, they would have Meets. And I wonder how

say that this is one of the areas that is described in statute that we need to track. And the way that we're defining it in the performance framework isn't a perfect alignment with the way that it's worded in statute.

So we may want to look at the definitions and compare results, so that instead of 40th day to 40th day, or end of the year to end of the year, it is looked at in a different way that actually aligns better with statute.

And I don't know if, Kyle, you want to give a voiceover if you have that memorized. If you don't, don't worry. But I think --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I do. And I want to -- I want to make sure --

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: I know, Naomi, you feel strongly about the way that --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's a different -it's a different measurement.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It is a different measurement.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: What percent of students were there at the beginning of the year are there at the end of the year. That's the

25 measurement. 161

much.

2.

That amount of yellow is concerning to me even -- like, that's -- that amount of yellow is concerning to me. So I'm also thinking, like, the reason I wanted this data to look at now is to see if our percentages made sense. And to me, it could be -- I'm actually not as concerned about the Does Not Meet. If there's -- like, 13 percent to me is not a significant enough amount to feel like that less than 67 percent is -- is a bad bar.

But I'm wondering if the 85 percent going down to 80, how significant -- how many of the yellows would get Meets, and if that's a more attainable --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I just changed it to 80 percent.

COMMISSIONER BURT: To me, this actually looks more appropriate. But, once again, I'm also -- I'm interested -- I don't know how we could do this. But I think Commissioner Gipson is right with, like, going one year, because I do think this -- I think it's correct that, like, this -- well, actually, I guess -- I'm going to say next school year, when this would be implemented next school year, I think we're trending towards the --

to a middle school or something like that?

MR. KYLE WOOD: That's the thing. The confidence level on some of these is a little rough, because, like you said, business rules largely weren't the -- haven't been considered. This is to kind of give us a rough estimate of ratings for now.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I think we should run this again for business rules when we've figured out how to handle different situations. And then -- and then we run, and somewhere between 80 and 85 percent is going to be your cutoff is my guess.

THE CHAIR: Naomi, can you go back -- so I see the results for these -- like, what happened to the Working to Meet and Meets? Can you go back and see where it was in the natural state that we started, just to see the -- I can't remember what it was.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: It was 85 instead of --

THE CHAIR: There you go. That's a pretty significant shift. And then I just think about, like, if that is -- Bekka, to your point, and to Commissioner Gipson's point, we're not in -- these aren't normal standards to use to make a decision. So 85 might actually be, in a normal year, the right

we're in a consistent space.

I feel, like, this is kind of like would be one of the last years I would like to, like, hold harmless if it was implemented this year. But I think next year, with it being -- I'm actually feeling like next year could be, like, more consistent.

So I would say, like, for me, having one year as a hold harmless would be more to allow to see if our percentages are correct and less that it's about family turmoil still right now.

But I still -- I'm just concerned -because I do think this is old data now, basically. This is turmoil data. And I don't know if it's necessarily great to make that decision -- but you did say this is '21-'22; is that right, Corina? This isn't 2018-'19?

MR. KYLE WOOD: This data is pulled from this year, some of the ones that we looked at. All we had to work with was '21-'22.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And I'll thank you for clarifying.

Does this include -- how did you handle students who were in, like, fifth grade? Did you remove kids who would have been in a natural cutoff thing.

So I think piloting it, and if it's -behooves the Commission to think about considering
shifts in that original number, if we're, like,
knocking it out of the park and everyone is meeting
this, we make it meaningful and action-oriented for
schools to learn from as well. So, anyhow.

(Simultaneous speaking of multi-speakers.)
COMMISSIONER BURT: I don't know what it looks like, from Julia's perspective, with contract negotiations. Once it's in their contract, for us to say, "We don't know if we're going to keep it as 80 or 85, we want to figure it out for a year." I don't know what that might -- we have to consider, like, it being part of their contract.

THE CHAIR: I think Corina was saying something, too.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: A couple of things is the Commission can always decide to hold harmless an indicator, especially if we aren't certain that it's fair to the schools.

The other thing I wanted to say is that, again, this was a rough estimate, not taking into consideration some of the language that we -- that the Commission had discussed before, such as we know

2.

a lot of schools that students shift school at when they go to high school. They want to go to prom; they want to be on the football team.

So the business rules have an impact here, and that wasn't calculated into this mix.

We had a very short timeline to get you as much information as we could. I think that the data that Kyle has given us is tremendous.

But this would change once we took into consideration those factors.

MS. JULIA BARNES: This is Julia. The one thing to go back to what Bekka was just saying, this is the one indicator -- and I can't remember what you've done about it. But I can envision a school coming to the negotiation and saying, "We lose kids in fourth grade because..." blah-blah-blah, whatever it -- whatever reason they want to give to you as to why they lose kids that doesn't have anything to do with their school.

So that...

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: That's what we were just talking about, Julia, that actually the Commission came up with some language last time that didn't get calculated here.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. But they've set

lower stakes; right? So you haven't put the same high-stakes emphasis as you had for academics. One option.

Another option: A waiver process should be part of the business rules. And that's usually -- there are lots of reasons we'll never be able to figure out right now for families to need to leave a school that we wouldn't consider -- you know, there's obvious ones of moving out of jurisdiction, and, you know, change of circumstances in the family.

But then there are these things that come up.

And so I think that there needs to be a process for student by student, a process that the PED conducts with all the schools every year when they do this, when they calculated this, to remove some of these students that meet -- that meet the -- some -- you know, that have a real authentic reason not to be counted.

And it could be -- for example, in one place I'm working with, there are magnet schools that once a kid gets into a magnet school, that feeder school, they're all going to go. And that's a really good positive outcome for the kids, and the

some criteria, like, not when you move to middle school or not when you move to high school. I understand that. And it's not calculated here. I follow that.

What I still keep thinking is that an individual charter school could come to the contract negotiations and say, "Here's the deal. In my school, we lose kids that doesn't have anything to do with us in third grade." For whatever reason, I don't know, whatever it is that they want to bring forward, that I think a school ought to be able to at least raise that unusual circumstance for their school as part of contract negotiations, because they would be raising, "This indicator isn't assessing us correctly because of the following specific things. (Inaudible due to simultaneous speaking.)

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So a couple of things in practice. This is -- this is -- which section is this one in? I forget. Is this in operations or academic?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Academic.
MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: You could move it to operations if you wanted to.

And then the Working To Meet has got a

charter recognized that, so you don't want to penalize the family for making that choice, or the school, because they lost the kid to the magnet school.

MS. JULIA BARNES: That's a good example.

If there's an ability for the school to make a case -- and then I think that that is adequate. If you've got these numbers set pretty well -- it sounds like you're looking at it -- but a school needs to be able to come in and say, "We're different," or whatever the thing is, and they're likely to do a contract negotiation.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Well, I would do an annual -- the school won't think of everything. You know, there is going to be that year where something happens in a family with eight kids. You know, something happens, and they leave, and now you have eight kids, and it's going to put them in the Does Not Meet, and you'll want to have a waiver for that. You'll want to be able to talk to that school and be able to make a decision that you could -- that would justify, you know, what happened.

So I think -- I think that in that would be a process that we need to develop in the business rules, and that would be codified in the charter

agreement.
 MS. JULIA BARNES: Sure. Or either way,
 you know, annually, or if the school wanted to
 negotiate it.

Part of the criticism I hear is that -- is that these are hard and fast, and what is the contract negotiation, and it's not a true contract negotiation because 95 percent of everything is off the table. And I hear that.

2.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Right. And the power dynamic. They want their school. And it's a lot. Yeah.

So...

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. And then there was -- so I'm fine with that.

There was one other one that I just wanted to make sure however you guys addressed it. And it has to do with the growth indicators.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I still have another comment on this one, before we go to growth.

THE CHAIR: Go ahead, Vice Chair Burt.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I'm kind of interested in moving this to organizational. And I'm wondering if there's other Commissioners who might think so,

25 because I'm wondering if it's really truly an

like -- but if the Working to Meet -- if this is a pretty high bar -- I'm just wondering if the bar is set to where a Working to Meet is very damaging in this case.

Because if there -- if they get a Working to Meet in this area, they're not going to qualify for expedited renewal, or they're not going to get a Meets or -- you know, it's actually a -- it's a much higher stake thing than academic. It's an important indicator. I've said the same thing you've said about this indicator. That's why I believe in this indicator.

But when you said it's -- like, it's in a very high-stakes position in academics. And I just wonder if it would be better suited to allow for schools to get that Working to Meet in this indicator.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I would personally rather you make it at 80 percent, you lower it, so you get more Meets.

COMMISSIONER BURT: And then keep it in academic?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: (Inaudible due to simultaneous speaking) percent or more of their eligible kids. You want to be able to look under

indicator of academics.

You could have a school with really high academics, and they're losing kids, but it's not because of their poor academics; it is because of their culture.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Which is, to me -this is an equity one for me, because it's
(inaudible) the high academic schools, the
no-excuses type, they're losing their kids because
they're not welcome there, and so they're not coming
back.

So we put this in Academic, because if it was such an equity measure that your -- all kids, students with disabilities, English Learners, everyone who comes to your school, needs to stay, and you can't create your environment that allows for kids to move out.

So I don't --

COMMISSIONER BURT: That's what my -- that's what I thought. That's what I've said as well about this indicator.

I'm still just wondering if it should be, instead of organizational, to allow for the Working to Meet indicator to not be as damaging -- I don't know the right terminology to use to it. But

the hood. This is your opportunity to look under the hood and say, "Who are you losing and why," and have that conversation with the school.

COMMISSIONER BURT: That's true. So do you think -- Corina, do you think we would be able to, like, figure out and run -- like, I just don't want this to hold up, like, the whole performance framework, if this is -- if this is one place.

Do you think we would be able to get, like, a -- some kind of confirmation of what either 80 or 85 percent looks like by next week with -- including, like, taking out those, like, transitioning fifth to sixth graders, taking out the eighth to ninth graders from schools, (inaudible) those students from the calculation?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Changing the targets is easy. What's not so easy is looking at those breaks. Kyle, I don't know if you want to add anymore.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Sure.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Don't we just take out those kids?

MR. KYLE WOOD: Yeah. Basically, we don't have access to student-level data like that. So we would have to get with the S.T.A.R.S. team to create

a brand new report.

2.

And I could get on that right away. How fast they'll get it to us, I'm not sure.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Sounds like Chair Brauer needs to call the new Secretary and ask for some data. That's the only thing is I'm very concerned about -- I would be concerned voting on the entirety of this with this being kind of, for me, a little bit unsorted through.

Because I just don't -- like, I still -- I guess I'm still unclear how we can -- I'm just thinking contract negotiations, and we tell schools "Okay, we're going to do this..." -- I guess I don't know.

Like, how do you -- if we don't even know if it should be 80 or 85 percent until after a year, how do we write that into a contract, and how does it work? And it feels -- it feels -- I don't -- to me, it diminishes, like, the integrity of the performance framework to have the -- like, something seemingly kind of simple -- because maybe we're just, like, we're close to it, but not quite right. But it makes it feel like we're not ready to not have it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair.

of that is just, like, connected to academics here, we would have to fine-tune all that language in there as well just to make it, like, align to, like, an organizational component.

We do have, in the financials, the enrollment. So not putting another enrollment kind of standard into the -- into the -- to that part may be -- may pull back some of the clarity that we've been working on.

And I would be much more in agreement to putting it at 80 percent. In my mind -- because I'm a simple-minded person, I'm, like, 90, 80, 70, just to make it look like parity and have, like, just round numbers. It didn't look like anyone was -- I didn't see many people close to the 70 percentile versus the 67. I don't know how that would mess up things.

But it looked like people were -- some schools were a little bit lower than that. If they were below, if they were in the red. That was just at first blush. But that's a long way of saying I'm in agreement with the conversation. I'd rather have it stay here and lower the number and make it more doable.

Naomi, I think you were talking.

Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I mean, I'm struggling with this in terms of -- with the percentage. I really would like to be comfortable with what that percentage should be before we go into contract negotiations. But I don't know if we're going to be.

So I, you know, tend to support, if we have to, an 80 percent, not the 85 percent. I'm committed to keeping it where it is. I think it's really important for it to stay in the academic, because it is a high-stakes decision.

But because of that, we need to be clear on what that information is that we're -- that's -- you know that's the quandary there. It's really important. We put this in there and fought for this to be in that Academic for a specific reason.

But 85 percent may be too high -- I mean, as it looks now, the 80 percent would probably be okay. So I think I'm leaning more towards just changing to the 80 percent. But I'm -- it's so -- you know, I still have some agita.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson, I agree. Bekka, your point is well-taken. I think that when it just says, like, learning environment, and a lot MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And so I think that's great to change to 80 percent. I -- I am -- I have sent to -- to Julia the -- the policy that we created in D.C. when we -- when we moved to a framework.

We did not put in every charter agreement the goals, the targets, the specific -- the specific goals. Why? Because every year something came up. It might not have been the pandemic. But something came up that might have caused us to change a target, a floor, or something.

Instead we have had the guide, the guide for the framework, and the schools elected to adopt the framework, and we just made sure we had a public comment period, and we made sure that was always out well in advance of the new school year so schools had an opportunity to amend what they had put in.

But we didn't -- I caution putting in, like, the 85 percent or the 80 percent in the charter agreements when these are things that will fluctuate over time due to things that you have -- that we can't imagine tomorrow will happen.

MS. JULIA BARNES: The -- it's Julia. Our law requires that the performance framework be made a material term of the contract. So it -- it has to

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be one and done, or we have to go back to every school and get an amendment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know that that answers all of your -- all of your statement. It's not like each year, "Oh, here's 2.0 or 3.0." And I'm not sure you were saying that.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Just the same -- you know what? We can talk offline, and we can share, like, different approaches, 'cause -- 'cause I think -- like, we -- our law was we had to renew based on the goals in their charter agreement. That's the law. Like, there was nothing else. So we needed -- that had to be codified.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Ours says there has to be a performance framework. So I'm wondering what the work-around on this is. And I do think that the PEC might be able to say it's a hold-harmless year for this indicator, or this target might shift. But to negotiate with each school a different target does make me feel a little nervous.

And one of the thoughts that somebody raised that I don't know if the PEC wants to take into consideration is if there is a year in which it's, like, a pilot using this new framework, I

because then we're using this current performance framework another -- in conjunction, on top of another year -- like, it just -- to me, I think we should just move.

I'm ready. I feel good that we can move forward on it. And I think that -- like, it seems appropriate -- the only -- the only thing that could make me change my mind right now is if, like, there was any -- if Kyle was able to get that data from S.T.A.R.S. team really quickly and he's able to turn it really fast, and he could tell us next week that something is way off, then that could make a difference.

But, otherwise, I feel like it's not -we're not making that big of an adjustment to me to put off the whole thing or to -- but I think this level of data allows us to make a better data-driven decision because of the data that we do have.

But actually -- and I just think -honestly, I feel like if Kyle was able to look a little bit deep and get some of those students out -- it's going to increase. Like, it's going to benefit schools. I don't think it's going to harm them to add that additional information.

Like, that's what I feel like. But maybe

179

don't know how that factors into contract negotiations, because I know folks want to have this done.

But I don't know where the school leaders are, either, in terms of their feedback and how they might feel about this.

THE CHAIR: Vice Chair Burt?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Thanks. I'm just going to say I feel like, honestly, if we have, like, kind of a consensus, or if there's other Commissioners that feel like -- I feel like moving to 80 percent feels right.

So let's say next year the world does even out. Families start making more consistent choices across the board, and more schools get Meets, then this year, that's not a bad thing. That's not a really bad thing.

And I don't think -- like, from 85 to 80 percent, we're not making a significant -- we're not lowering the bar significantly to make it to where we're, like, letting people get away with things because of it.

I think it really does just balance the data out, allows us to move forward.

I'm not super supportive of a pilot year,

I'm incorrect, and maybe it's totally different.

2 But it seems like if you pull out students, it's 3 more likely that you're going to go up rather than

downward. That's where I'm coming from.

THE CHAIR: Sorry. Sorry. Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I agree. I think we just need to move on this now. Stick with the 80 percent unless there's something -- and I agree. I think more schools, if you factor out the -- you know, those kids that transition to high school and so on, it'll only help the school. It's not going to hurt them.

So I think -- you know, and I agree. It's not bad being in that yellow. So -- and I really -if I had agita about the numbers, I have real agita about piloting.

So I just don't see how -- to me, you know, now we're going five years down the road, and people are saying, "Yeah, but that was a pilot year, so early -- you know, how are you going to count that?"

I can't see doing that with this. And I think it would just send contract negotiations into a mess.

181

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have to -- I've been at this too long now. I mean, I -- seriously. This -- you know, it's been two years we've been working on this now?

So we need to -- you know, I can't say it publicly what we need to do. So...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that --

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Manis.

COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yeah, I agree. I don't think that we need to do a pilot year. But I just wanted to be clear on the re-enrollment percentages.

Are we agreeing currently to change that to 90/80/70? Or what is the consensus so I'm just clear on my end?

COMMISSIONER BURT: I think that's right. I don't mind -- I like Commissioner Brauer's idea of making it just the straight numbers, yeah.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: All right. THE CHAIR: Julia, I see your hand up.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Yeah. I just -- and maybe you've addressed it. It goes to growth. Or it goes to subgroups.

I want to make sure, like, when you're looking at boys, and you're looking at girls, and boys and girls, in a school like 100 percent of the kids come back -- and yet when you split it between boys and girls, they drop down a thing, because one family left that had all girls.

I mean, I just want to make sure that some of the scoring is getting -- all of it is getting at what you want to be getting at. And maybe it's just academics that you're looking at.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So I don't know if Kyle has run all the other indicators by subgroups.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Yeah. For a few of them. For this one, specifically, I was speaking with Dr. Álvarez in Accountability. And he was very clear that we need two years of consecutive testing data to have -- to develop any kind of growth model.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: So -- but did you run, like, re-enrollment rate by student demographics?

17 MR. KYLE WOOD: Yes. It was far too 18 granular. I think hardly anyone met.

> MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. This is why I wanted this --

COMMISSIONER MANIS: I didn't think we were even using subgroups for re-enrollment. That's not even a thing. That's why I'm kind of confused. It's -- that's general.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: It's not in -- what we

183

kids, that there's -- the way that it's scored doesn't give you different answers when you look at the subgroups, so that if they are green when you look at all kids in the school, and then you look at boys versus girls, which is still all kids in the school, they get a yellow. So I want to make sure

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That could absolutely happen. So if this school favors boys, it could absolutely happen. And that's the point of this, that you could find out, "Oh, great."

Like -- and this happens much more often when a school has a majority of white population. So, overall, great. Everyone looks great. When you divide it up by race, it turns out only white students are doing great.

And this happens nationally. So that's the point of this, everyone. And it could absolutely go into yellow or red.

MS. JULIA BARNES: And have you -- have you run that to see how these things happen? Because that just -- and maybe if it's just academics. But if it's, like, re-enrollment, if you're going to do that by subgroup, and you have 20 -- 20 kids, and 80 percent come back -- so 18

had in an earlier version, it's been taken out.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Okay. So where is growth? Just in academics?

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. Yeah.

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Some groups are also in proficiency, like overall proficiency also.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And that's a federal requirement. So 1.A. is all students, and then 1.B. is the subgroups; right?

MS. JULIA BARNES: And we have no idea how the percentages roll out for subgroups.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Probably not. DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: Not for growth.

But we have data talking about proficiencies. And when we look at proficiencies, there are some gaps in schools based on subgroup.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So no one is going to exceed them in your accountability system because of growth? Because you had to do all; right?

MR. KYLE WOOD: If you look at the participation analysis that we did, that is an example of an indicator with subgroups that we did look at.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So Exceeds, though, is -- well, it's Meets or Exceeds. So we don't know

185

2.

about meeting. But if no one is on a growth target, then no one is going to exceed.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Yeah. I would say that the Commission -- and the subgroup that worked on this set an extremely high bar for academics overall, and, for Exceeds, is extremely high. And I think the one that most schools are going to miss is the 1.B., which is the subgroup growth data.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So --MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: And that's --

MS. JULIA BARNES: No one's going to make it? So then --

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I don't think that's the right wording. I think that many are going to fall into Working to Meet, which is what the Commission -- my understanding is the Commission wanted, because they wanted to have that. They wanted to give a green light and wave someone through a -- an expedited renewal without being able to say to them, "Hey, you're going to get renewed, but, look, we want to talk to you about the fact that we see this achievement gap between your kids, and it's a growth gap."

So we've moved from achievement and said that growth can happen, and you want to have that rank 50th year over year over year, we are not conduits of change then. Like, we are conduits of continuing mediocrity, or worse than that.

And so we cannot, like, keep allowing to be, like, "Well, nobody's -- we have to allow for schools to make it."

Like, we have to make it to where they -we can't make it to where all the schools look bad
or something. Like, you know, across our state,
like, we have to be honest about where we're at, and
we have to hold a higher bar, because, like, as
authorizers, the data shows that schools follow the
expectation of their authorizer, and the schools
tend to be as good as their authorizer's
expectations are.

So if it comes out that nobody gets teal because people are underserving subgroups over and over and over again, they need to do better and stop underserving subgroups in their school. We can't -- I'm not -- like, for me, that's where I am -- like, it's so easy for me to be, like, yeah, maybe nobody does get expedited renewal. And you know what? Maybe nobody is at the place right now in these first five years to attain that.

But ideally over time, with really high

conversation.

So I don't think it's fair at all to call it -- you know, that they're not making it. They're making it. But they might get a condition, or they might at least have a conversation when it comes time to renewal.

MS. JULIA BARNES: I'm more talking about the turquoise. It sounds to me like no one is going to make turquoise, because you're not going to meet or exceed on all performance areas.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: Well, I don't -- I think that's a very skeptical -- like, very negative way of thinking. I think you will have schools that will do this well. Go ahead. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I guess -- the way that -- and this is the way we kind of talked about it in the working group, and I know we've talked about it as a Commission before.

If we're going to be, like, truth-tellers in our performance framework, we have to be honest with our ourselves as adults that, like, in education nationally, New Mexico is ranking at the bottom.

So if we're going to sit there and tell schools that they're exceptional, but we continue to

standards, students are rising to that, and their leaders are rising to that, and they're pushing everyone.

Like, we have to have the system change at some point, because we keep getting 48th, 49th -- we're fighting for the bottom three spots over and over and over again. We have to do something different at some point.

So I think that's kind of where my, like, personal, but also, like, I think as a Commission, we've really -- like, the reason we're setting a high bar is because our schools are 50th in the country. And that's not okay for our New Mexico students. Like, it's not okay for my kids to go to school here, or -- I would need to move to another state in order to get my students access to a quality education? Like, that's not okay for families.

So I think for us, in the positions that we hold, we can be conduits of high expectations and change. And I think that's where the idea of having these high expectations is coming from. Like, we can't -- we don't have the ability -- we're not in the top ten in the country. We don't have the ability to, like, sit and wait for schools to -- the

low schools to get better. Like, we need all of our schools to feel an urgency, feel a pressure, in order to, like, change, like, we have to do it at some point.

So that's where I'm kind of like -- I have this, like -- you know, we're taking a stand as a Commission on, like, that we can be agents of high academic achievement in charter schools. And that's what charter schools in New Mexico can be known for, of, like -- charter schools in New Mexico are super high achieving. They're reaching -- like, I just imagine the data that we can show that shows, "Look at these charter schools that are showing that, like, ethnicity doesn't matter, race doesn't matter, ZIP Codes don't matter. Like, they are serving all of their students well."

And if we don't look at it, and if we just pretend like it's not -- like, we don't look at it or, like, because everyone's not doing it well, we don't evaluate it, we won't be able to see whether the schools that are doing a really good job at it.

And so that's where I'm, like, really adamant about keeping it and keeping it a high bar. And I don't know which student groups we're going be like, "Well, if you don't serve these student groups

the bottom too easy."

2.

And now it's, like, "I am not going to make the top..." -- the top should be really hard to get at, and schools should be absolutely celebrated for doing that, which we don't do enough of. I agree. We don't do enough of the celebration.

But it -- we should not be making it just, "Oh, we have to have schools that get expedited renewal."

So I'm comfortable with where we're at at this point in time. And I don't want to -- I'm not here to make it easier. We're under fire with a lawsuit, and that's why we moved it to growth instead of proficiency, because you should be able to show growth easier than you can proficiency.

But once again, it's still growth towards proficiency. And the bar has to stay high to make sure schools are reaching that. So I'm not -- I'm not going to compromise on that.

THE CHAIR: Naomi and then Vice Chair.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That -- I want to put it in a different light. If you were to expedite a renewal for a school who has an extreme achievement gap, that is going to be blasted in the papers. And that is something you're going to have to defend.

as well as the others, that's okay. We'll give you a Meets, because it's just one student group you're underserving."

But that's kind of the mindset I have behind it. Every student group deserves -- I don't know a student group that shouldn't be attaining proficiency at some point or growing. And actually I should say growing. This is about growth only, too. It's not about proficiency. Every student group in a school can grow, every single one.

And if anyone would like to tell me publicly which student group can't grow, and we should remove it, by all means, say it. But I don't see a student group that can't do it. So that's where I'm at on it.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.

COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I agree. I'll be disappointed if someone doesn't get expedited renewal. But at the end of the day, I'm still going to sleep, because my job isn't to make it easier for them to make expedited renewal.

You know, it's funny, because the last time we did a big do of this, it was, "Oh, my god, no one's going to get non-renewed." So they were looking at the bottom and saying, "Oh, you're making And I would rather see you never in that situation.

So expedited renewals means you're not looking under the hood at all, and you want to reserve that for the schools that are really doing great, and you don't want to be surprised later because you found out you expedited a renewal of a school that actually, you know, got there because of their population, and they were serving a different population than all the other schools.

So focusing on it that way, that might help you, Julia, understand why this bar is set here and -- and they've given it a chance with this subgroup, you know.

It's important that there is this opportunity to show how great you're doing. And the only thing I would suggest is, you know, are these numbers at the right place?

I know I've talked about this a lot, you know. Is it -- is 75 percent too high? But I -- but you'll find that out.

MS. JULIA BARNES: So it's not going to -- it's not -- I don't see an expedited renewal. It's now going to be On Track -- it's now going to be Exceeds Success Criteria.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: You're going to

(inaudible) expedited renewal into the rule making.

MS. JULIA BARNES: It's not in the rule making.

2.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: I've been putting comments in. The rule making right now only allows for notices in the negative. Requires notices. You have to put notices in the positive, too. So I would say the rule making needs, under your notice section, a notice of exceptional performance, which will mean expedited renewal, and a notice of satisfactory performance, which will mean renewal without conditions, and define that.

And then you have your notices of -- of, you know, notice of -- but if you don't add in what it means to do well, you've written a rule that only focuses on your powers to -- you know, on one side, we've got -- without showing all the positives that you could do to help schools and differentiate your oversight (inaudible due to simultaneous speaking).

MS. JULIA BARNES: And then, you know, I don't vote on the Commission, so the Commission can do whatever they want.

I am concerned with a growth metric that we have no idea, that there's not data to understand it, and so we haven't had two years to even know growth measures, you know, so that we would know -we were able to look at some Options 2 and 3 growth measures.

And I think this is where schools will want to use a different growth measure.

And they can pick one now. And they can pick a growth measure where they have a little more understanding of the assessment, and their learning and, align better to their -- I don't think it's as impossible as you're making it sound, especially if they choose Option 2, and for this -- this particular indicator.

And then I have to say it's almost 6:00 my time, and I was on a red-eye last night. I'm stupid tired. I'm probably going to need to sign off in a minute.

MS. JULIA BARNES: Great.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I can be really quick about what I think about it, Julia. So I think -- so as a teacher, I think -- I don't think this is out of the possibility.

You know, like, when -- when you're doing -- especially -- and I think Naomi is correct. I think this could be done.

I think a lot of schools might choose an

what you're voting on.

I don't mind it, because the sections give a lot of kind of room for that. But, you know, to the extent that you want information on the other things to see if they're correct, you just don't have it on growth.

I would love to be able to see growth. And we've been trying to see if we could see growth for the last five years. And the answer is no. So it's a little bit of a shot in the dark.

And I guess that the overall criteria, it's -- so -- whatever -- the turquoise - is all performance areas. And then On Track with Renewal Without Conditions or Meeting is, again, all performance areas in the academic framework.

So if growth knocks everybody down, all schools are going to be in yellow.

MS. NAOMI DeVEAUX: That's correct. That is correct. And that is where the Commission at least stands, because they do not want to do an expedited or even a renewal that when you are having student populations that are not being served.

So I think your observations are absolutely correct. I think you're right in that it is unfortunate that we can't pilot some of these alternative assessment that they're using to show this, because it's something they can even show -they can show a beginning, a middle, and end of year, and they can do it at a time that works for their students. It could definitely be in that realm as well.

But I also think -- I actually -- what I was going to say is I saw Naomi's note about that we -- right now we're only sending out the notices to low performing schools. But I think we can -- I actually do agree that we should just as much send out notices of meeting and exceeding performance as well.

I think that that's actually a really -- it should be balanced in all ways. And I think that that's where I come with that.

And I just -- I -- this is actually to me, like, the most important indicator on the whole performance framework.

I don't know how to explain it. Like, this, to me, like, this one indicator alone is, like, the most important indicator for me, because it's -- like, it is -- and it is nationwide, it's not just a New Mexico thing, but we definitely have this here as well -- where we have really high

performing schools who are serving some students really well and not others.

And it's just -- we have to stop -- like, we have to stop that. We have to stop it.

And so I do think, like, maybe there is a school that gets teal, teal, teal, and then this one's a yellow, and they're upset that they don't get expedited renewal. But, actually, like, if they are severely underserving a student group, they might get a condition for that. Like, that is serious. It's so serious to be -- like, to have that in your school.

And, once again, ideally, it's -- we are shining the light to schools to show them, like, we're just reflecting back to them what their school performance is, and they can then go and do what they're supposed to do in order to help serve those students better.

But I think a balance of it is that we do send out notices of meeting and exceeding performance. So once again, it's just clear to the schools either way, like, whether they're performing well or they're not performing well. And it's more -- or it's more apathetic to just being negative. It allows us to just be clear-cut. "Your

right. The kids that took the state assessment, they're gone, you know. We don't have them anymore. So that data doesn't do me any good.

But that day-to-day data for the current year with the assessments that I'm using, that is really important.

And I appreciate the thought on sending out positives, because it goes to the statement I made before. We don't do enough to celebrate the schools that are doing well. So, yes, a notice of saying congratulations, you've done a great job, thank you very much for serving kids so well, and it's -- everyone should get some form of notice as a result of the annual report, plain and simple, so that they can celebrate it, they can appreciate it, and they can commiserate over it, whichever they want to do with it.

THE CHAIR: Well, Commissioners, I wanted to see, is there anyone in the attendees list that are -- do we have any school leaders anymore? Or are those all -- those all PED staff, Corina?

DIRECTOR CORINA CHAVEZ: We can take a look at -- and I guess if you want for people to be invited to offer comments, we do have a few folks -- we still have Dr. Kaplan. I don't know who SO is.

school is doing well." "Your school is not." Both ways.

But I would hope that would make a difference, too, in considering this. But as a teacher, this is attainable. Like, it's individual growth targets. It's not the state -- maybe I do want to use the state accountability system for my school.

But for me as a teacher, I was using this all the time. This is what I used in my -- planning my lesson plans. I used this data. I wasn't using the state data when I was a teacher. I was using our formative assessments throughout the year to figure out my lessons, to look at how I'm serving different students, how -- my students on IEPs, am I meeting their goals. And this is the data I was using.

So I actually think it's just much more -it's a much more powerful tool than I think we're -like, I think we -- we have to keep it and keep it at a high bar.

THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I mean, schools can easily show growth. And that's -- you know, that's just not an issue. Because you're

And Mr. Brunner. Otherwise, it's CSD staff.

THE CHAIR: Is there a way for them to put up their hand or something so Lucy can see if they want to share? Do they have that access to do that?

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Yes, they do have access.

THE CHAIR: All right. So if there's anyone in the attendees list, if you either can write something in the chat or throw up your hand so that Lucy can see that you would like to make any public comment? And we appreciate you.

MS. LUCY VALENZUELA: Chat is disabled, but they can raise your hand.

THE CHAIR: All right. You can raise your hand. If you go down to the tool bar at the bottom of your screen, you can see the raise-hand access right by the "show captions" part. So we have one. All right. Dr. Kaplan.

FROM THE PUBLIC: So I just wanted to comment. I put some comments as you were talking about, specifically in Section 3 and 4 of the performance framework, I put some of my comments in the chat for probably Ms. Chavez to see. I don't know if she saw them.

MS. JULIA BARNES: We can't take comments

in the chat. So you'll need to -- it's an open meeting; so...

FROM THE PUBLIC: No, I know. But I sent messages by my personal chat to Ms. Chavez as you were having these conversations about 3 and 4.

I appreciate your willingness to, you know, accept our comments today and listen to our feedback into some of the areas that you've talked about.

I think changing the percentage back to 80 from 85 will certainly help a lot of schools and get them into the Meets category rather than Not Meeting or Working to Meet. So I appreciate the willingness of the PEC doing that in this conversation. But that's the only comments I have at this point.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr. Kaplan. Appreciate you.

Is there anyone else from the -- looks like Mr. Brunner, I believe, has a comment.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Yes, thank you. I, too, wanted to -- I appreciate that, too, bringing it from 85 to 80, made it a little bit easier on everybody. Definitely. I saw that the whole time I'm looking at this chart. So I was, like, wow. I don't know if everybody's going to be able to pull

That just feels a little bit to me like not so much an evaluation and a -- what's the word? -- a grading, if you will, of the school, 'cause it's up to the school to determine -- you know, we have to build a budget based on the number of kids that show up. And we're going to get our budget based on the number of kids who end up being enrolled.

If we have to cut a teacher, we have to cut a teacher; right?

But the idea of grading our projections seems to me to just be a little bit out of alignment with kind of an evaluation of schools' overall performance.

So I -- I love -- I appreciate the work that you've done and the taking all the comments and making adjustments. I just do feel like there are some pieces that are straddling that issue of evaluation versus we're trying to help you be a better school.

And from my position, and when I was in other positions, I would say, "You know what? The school has to do what the school has to do"; right? If they don't meet their projections, okay, they don't meet their projections. But it's not

that off.

But, you know, bringing it to 80, yeah, thank you very much. That will definitely be a nice little -- that is perfect. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Brunner.

SO. And if you don't mind just sharing who you are, so we know who "SO" is. Or if you say that as "so," that's your name.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Can you hear me? THE CHAIR: Yeah, we can hear you.

FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. This is Sam
Obenshain. I'm from New Mexico Connections Academy.
I am not sure -- I guess the only real kind of
overarching comment that I have is the challenge

that you all are dealing with in the sense of trying to provide assistance, technical assistance, if you will, at the same time as you are evaluating a

school.

And I'm not sure where you ended up on things like the enrollment variance. So that's one of the things that I just get nervous about as a school, that it seems a little overreaching in the sense of who is responsible for making sure that our enrollment projections are on target and how they affect our budget.

necessarily an evaluation of how they're performing as an entity.

So, anyway, those are my comments. I do appreciate all the work you all have done and your openness to all this feedback. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Excellent.

Any other comments?

MS. JULIA BARNES: Alan, last thing is -that I don't think we should do today -- but
Brigette and Corina raised a few issues to look at
on the rule and clarifying the rule. And I'll just
send out an e-mail to the subcommittee and see if we
can't get with them on a Zoom to clarify that.

I think it's a language and kind of a conversation that -- that we can work through that. But at least I'm on Zoom overload, at least for me right now.

THE CHAIR: And you're talking about Item No. 7? Did you kind of allude to Item No. 7?

MS. JULIA BARNES: We've done a lot of item No. 7, which is -- which was great to have Naomi on for that as well.

But I think actually, this morning, Corina gave a list of several items, and at least one is on Brigette. So I don't think it'll take long. So I

209

think I'll just send out -- try to find a time for the subcommittee, Corina, and Brigette, so that we can modify the language. Because that's -- that's what I think is the level of those -- those things.

And, overall, I don't think that it goes in the rule about expedited renewal. I think that that may be something outside of the rule.

The rule is really the process that you're going to use. And you've got the evaluations in here. So I think that goes somewhere else, but not in a rule -- the rules really -- how are you going to operate, not hard wiring what you're going to do about expedited renewal.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Excellent. Well, thank you all so much for all the work today. I wanted to just acknowledge that I don't know if this is true or not, but I would have to think that having three former charter school directors on one call must be a record at some point.

I mean, it has to be a record to have Dr. Kaplan and Sam and some -- another person on here. So, anyhow, I just wanted to acknowledge that, and their experience that they bring to this -- this space.

So with that, unless there's any other

rated on it.

1

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

206

2 Can you take the growth data from 3 2018-2019 and run it through our new performance 4

framework for that data?

MR. KYLE WOOD: I can run it, yeah.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Not make up anything.

Like, literally just, like, use what's already

there. Don't make up anything new.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Yeah, I can run that.

10 COMMISSIONER BURT: And just see what that 11 indicator might have looked like. Like, let's 12 pretend PEC was doing it back then. What would that 13

indicator look like for all the schools?

It is true -- I've said this -- I know Corina has heard me say this so many times. I'm so worried about having everybody be red. I'm not worried about some people not getting expedited and being upset about expedited. I am worried that every school is going to be red on that indicator.

And so I know we don't have -- I know we don't have any growth data for the last, like, three -- four years now. It's going to be a while. We're going pretty far back. But we do have that year historical growth data, when the world was normal, school was normal, the test was normal.

207

comment, we can --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BURT: I have one request --I'm so sorry. I have one request. Is there any way, Kyle, can we look at the growth data from 2018-2019 and run it through the student group -like, through 1.B.?

MR. KYLE WOOD: Well, yeah, that's what Goodlaw was trying to do. He took, like -- he called it a super accountability -- or a super proficiency rating, and he took four years --

COMMISSIONER BURT: No, no, no, no, no. That's not what I'm asking.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Ask again, please. Sorry. COMMISSIONER BURT: Pretend that we are in the year 2019.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BURT: And that we're doing -- we want to change our growth to look the way 2.A. shows -- or is it 1 -- 2.B -- 1.B.

MR. KYLE WOOD: 1.B.? Okay.

COMMISSIONER BURT: I'm off. There was individual student growth data back then, like, that one year. Because they had 2018 -- 2017-2018,

2018-2019; right? That was the same test. They had

data that was given to the public. Schools were

Like, just use that.

MR. KYLE WOOD: Pre-COVID. Sure, I'll run

3 it.

4 COMMISSIONER BURT: Just to have something 5 to let us know if we're super far off on that

indicator. Cool. That was my last request.

Thanks. Sorry, Chair.

THE CHAIR: Can we get a -- if that's

everything, can we get a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So moved.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Second.

THE CHAIR: Great. Chair Burt -- or Vice

Chair Burt, can you do a quick roll call?

COMMISSIONER BURT: Let me -- I'm trying

to see who's here.

16 THE CHAIR: There's six of us.

17 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham.

18 COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson. 19

20 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER BURT: Chair Brauer.

22 THE CHAIR: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.

24 COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner

	210	
1	Clahchischilliage.	1 RECEIPT
2	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes.	2 JOB NUMBER: 8033N CC Date: 3/16/23
3	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Vice Chair Burt,	3 PROCEEDINGS: SPECIAL OPEN PUBLIC MEETING
4	yes. That passes unanimously.	4 CASE CAPTION: In re: Special Open Public Meeting of
5	THE CHAIR: All right, y'all. Have a good	5 the Public Education Commission
6	one. See you. Bye-bye.	6 *************
7	(Proceedings concluded at 4:11 p.m.)	7 ATTORNEY: MS. SHARYN PEREA - PED
8	(110000amgs voneraded at 1111 pinm)	8 DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
9		9 DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
10		10 REC'D BY: TIME:
11		11 ************
12		12 ATTORNEY:
13		13 DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
14		14 DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
15		15 REC'D BY: TIME:
16		16 *************
17		17 ATTORNEY:
18		18 DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
19		19 DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
20		20 REC'D BY: TIME:
21		21 *************
22		22 ATTORNEY:
23		23 DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other
24		24 DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:
25		25 REC'D BY: TIME:
	211	
1		
1 2	211 BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 25, 2023. Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
15	hand on March 25, 2023.
16	•
17	Cynther Chefman Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
18	Cynthia Charman H. C. C. Charman
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
20	BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 License Expires: 12/31/2023
22	
23	
24	



Job No.: 8033N (CC)

25

	I	I
A	accurately 90:22 111:10,18	adversity 39:12
A-n-d-r-e-w 15:2	accused 132:1	advice 32:22 141:9
A-n-d-r-e-z 10:1	achievement 3:9 44:14 186:22,24	advise 141:7
a.m 1:11 63:9	190:8 192:23	advisory 42:22
abhorrent 40:4	achieving 190:11	advocate 18:5
ability 29:22 39:20 54:4 122:13	acknowledge 48:5,22 206:16,22	advocating 37:10
131:3 141:23 169:6 189:23,25	act 51:5 117:13 118:10,13 119:10	affect 85:10 203:25
able 9:7,11,12 24:2 27:2 28:1	acting 48:15	afforded 41:4 107:1
35:4 40:3,9 58:22 62:24 69:9,18	action 3:7,12 12:10 19:18 23:18	afraid 146:4
70:17 75:4 78:12 79:24 109:14	29:22 33:4,10 44:11,19 48:3	afternoon 4:1 36:2 40:22
110:13 111:18 121:11 122:10	58:17 147:19	agenda 3:4,5 5:18,20 6:19,25
133:17 136:22 137:12 141:20	action-oriented 165:6	26:19,24 44:10 65:10 117:18
142:24 167:11 168:7 169:10,20	active 57:15 114:10,12,12	135:16 142:3
169:21 172:25 173:5,9 178:17	activity 59:16	agents 190:7
180:9,10,20 186:19 190:20	actor 36:24,25	agita 175:22 181:16,16
192:14 195:7 196:2 202:25	actual 120:16	agnostic 149:16
absence 4:6 5:10	adamant 121:9 190:23	ago 15:6 49:10 50:6 53:4
absent 107:6	add 47:2 70:6,7 73:8 80:21 81:2	agree 51:12 77:21 78:24 106:4,11
absentia 42:20	88:22 126:23 132:13 148:17	107:1,10 112:12 122:7 133:14
absolutely 23:12 115:24 123:11	154:4 173:18 180:24 194:14	175:23 181:7,10,14 182:8
145:21 183:8,10,19 192:4	added 70:9 71:13 83:8 85:15	191:17 192:6 197:11
195:24	93:15 116:20 117:5 124:17	agreed 73:1 75:8,11 77:9 83:21
abuse 29:6	127:12 129:3 131:18 132:9,16	92:17
academic 60:10 71:9 85:9,11	132:21	agreed-upon 85:16
86:24 105:13,16 143:5,22 144:3	adding 85:7 124:7 130:24	agreeing 182:12
144:9 146:2 148:4,20 149:4	addition 85:16 117:14	agreement 77:14 91:20 170:1
150:3,10,11 151:19 152:23	additional 44:23 112:1 122:14	176:10,22 177:6 178:11
153:12 156:22 167:21,22 171:8	180:24	agreements 177:20
171:12 172:9,22 175:11,17	address 12:15 23:6 108:23	agrees 75:14
190:8 195:15	109:15 112:8 129:12 141:8	ahead 4:2,3,7 12:1 13:4 14:23,23
academically 151:7	addressed 170:17 182:21 addresses 91:4 110:3 114:3	17:24 21:16 24:12,13 38:24,25 47:17 65:9 106:2 135:2 136:7
academics 47:10 150:17 151:6		139:10 152:4 170:21 187:14
153:18,23 168:2 171:1,3,4	addressing 113:24 adequacy 49:7	Alamos 19:2 20:20
172:14 176:1 183:23 184:7	adequate 58:24 63:1 169:8	Alan 2:3 89:22 205:8
185:3 186:5	adequately 58:23,25 62:25 63:2	Alan's 85:2
Academy 203:12	adjournment 209:9	Albuquerque 1:22 28:9 211:20
accept 99:8 111:19 202:7	adjust 87:23	Alcalde 18:8,17
accepted 13:8 128:20	adjusted 151:13	align 176:3 196:9
accepting 100:11	adjustment 180:15	aligned 151:21
access 121:11,25 122:10 173:24	adjustments 88:7 204:17	alignment 159:4 204:12
189:16 201:4,6,16	admin 52:9	aligns 152:22 153:4 157:24 159:9
accessible 141:4 accountability 106:15 107:16	administrator 51:8 58:19 60:6	alive 11:11
· ·	62:20,21 70:1	allegations 58:13 59:19
154:7 155:12,18 184:12 185:18 199:7 207:9	admitted 41:2	Allegiance 5:12
accountable 70:16 83:6	adopt 177:13	alleviate 20:9
Accounting 128:20,20	adults 187:21	allow 8:8 21:17 22:24 33:14
accurate 70:12 117:15	advance 177:16	37:20 56:10 59:13 112:14,15
accurate / 0.12 11 / .13	adverse 105:7	142:6 163:9 171:23 172:15

	1	1
188:5	application 144:22	assessments 60:16 61:1 97:4,14
allowed 10:12,13 22:18 28:2 35:1	applications 91:24	97:17,20 101:6,19 103:17
35:2 56:9 147:5	applied 106:9	105:24 107:3,24 199:13 200:5
allowing 114:6 188:4	applies 101:23 105:21 107:21	asset 13:23 32:18,19
allows 54:9 110:2 171:16 179:24	apply 107:24	assets 33:7
180:17 194:5 198:25	appreciate 24:7 30:8 35:23 48:17	assist 17:5
allude 205:19	84:24 91:16 200:7,15 201:11	assistance 2:11 141:9 203:16,16
altercation 59:4	202:6,13,17,21 204:15 205:4	Assistant 24:15
alternative 19:6 100:20 101:5,8	appreciated 44:8	Associates 1:20 211:19
101:10,16,19,22,24 102:9	apprised 72:25	association 68:25 69:1,4,6 73:8
103:25 105:12,24 197:1	approaches 178:9	80:12 108:16
alternatives 43:12	Approaching 149:15	assume 42:18
altogether 147:5	appropriate 3:11 44:18 102:25	assumptions 70:12
Álvarez 184:12	112:13 117:1 133:22 137:11	ATTACHMENTS 3:16
amazing 8:25 9:3 10:12,19,20	140:19 162:18 180:7	attain 188:24
18:20 20:23 43:7 155:4		attain 188.24 attainable 162:14 199:5
	appropriately 48:15 61:12	
amend 102:22 177:17	approval 3:4 5:18 6:20 117:21	attaining 191:6
amended 108:3	118:20 120:24 131:11	attempting 36:19
amendment 29:24 178:2	approve 5:19 6:24	attempts 36:23
American 29:6	approved 54:22 61:10 122:17	attend 139:13,19
amount 91:9 109:14 162:2,3,9	approving 123:4	Attendance 3:17
analysis 185:21	April 45:10 63:9 109:9 114:24	attendees 80:1 200:19 201:8
Andrew 14:21 15:1	arbitrarily 141:24	attends 140:24 142:10
Andrez 9:22,24	arbitrary 132:1	attention 84:14
Angela 10:17	area 14:6 15:22 39:10,16 69:22	attorney 49:25 212:7,12,17,22
annual 87:17,25 88:17 110:18	172:6	attract 43:13
111:10,13,19,24 112:2,14	areas 74:1 143:21,22,24 144:3,8	atypical 85:4
128:17 140:25 142:23 143:11	153:24 158:17 159:1 187:10	audience 68:5
144:14 146:14 149:24 169:14	195:13,15 202:8	Audio 5:13
200:14	argue 98:18 149:2	audit 47:25 60:2,3 128:17,22,24
annually 132:11,18 139:3,23	arguing 98:1	130:2,6 132:11,12,18,19 133:14
140:2 170:3	argument 148:9,10	133:16,24 134:1,5 139:3,4,10
answer 28:20 52:24 195:9	Armijo 4:10	139:10,11,11,22 140:12,16,24
answers 178:3 183:2	Arts 3:7 25:6 26:6 44:12 57:8,25	141:2,3,7,9 142:10
anybody 11:1 113:3,9 130:8	ascended 51:15	auditors 130:1 139:13 141:1,4
anymore 14:19 21:5 98:14	asked 4:4 20:8 29:4 41:17 67:19	audits 46:10 59:14 129:18,20,23
173:19 200:2,20	80:11 94:19	129:24 130:18 141:1
anyone's 48:14 51:13	asking 19:13 32:21 35:15 39:15	August 60:7 61:20 62:15 111:19
anyway 17:16 88:4 205:3	53:18 54:5 81:1 207:12	129:18
apathetic 198:24	asks 126:16	aunt 9:1
Apodaca 1:12	aspirational 33:7	authentic 168:19
apologize 12:4 13:2 122:24	assaults 37:8	authority 48:15 52:19 53:5,7,10
appalling 49:3 52:11	assessing 167:15	53:16 61:10,12,16 78:3
appear 125:15	assessment 60:13,23 97:1,13	authorizer 119:2 122:13 130:7
appearances 29:17	100:20,21,22 101:6,9,11,14,16	188:13
appears 20:2 36:24	101:22,25 102:9,11 103:23,24	authorizer's 188:14
applicable 87:18 88:12 93:17,19	103:25 104:1,10 105:12,12,14	authorizers 124:4 188:12
157:9,13	105:17,19,22 106:7,10,23 108:7	autistic 18:6
applicants 89:23 91:22	156:14 196:8 197:1 200:1	automatic 106:5

automatically 142:6 193:21 194:2.20 196:17 201:25 109:25 125:2.23 131:9 174:9 autonomy 51:10 124:16 205:8.20 176:19 180:21 195:10 202:22 available 37:14 119:11 120:11.14 **based** 47:7 54:17 65:14 78:13 204:1.12 139:12 111:8,14 148:4 149:25 151:3 blah-blah 166:16 average 60:18,20 178:11 185:16 204:5,7 **blank** 61:21 avoid 123:14 **basic** 20:11 **blasted** 192:24 avoiding 129:1 basically 110:20 113:2,10 163:13 bleeding 30:25 award 12:17 14:6 **blocks** 16:8 173:23 basis 45:14 144:14 aware 28:6 77:9 **blow** 84:6 **Bean** 1:20 211:19 awesome 15:7 155:6 **blue** 88:18 126:7 146:14,20,20 **beautiful** 34:15 35:8 147:6 B Beck 2:4 4:11 11:17,19,20 64:6,7 **blush** 176:21 **b** 65:12 72:24 **board** 13:12 42:19,20,22,22 52:4 Beck's 5:10 **B-r-u-n-n-e-r** 15:2 **beg** 31:25 52:5,6,18 53:3,8,11 57:15 59:11 back 15:13 16:3,3 21:15 26:8 begging 9:15 60:6 61:6,10,11,13 108:19 27:2 35:6 38:2 42:3,9,11 52:22 beginning 41:5 68:19 82:18 109:8,17,19 110:23 112:11 65:6 68:10,16 71:23 76:9,14 144:23 159:23 197:3 113:3,7,14,25 114:14,20,22,23 86:5 88:10 95:24 98:8,14 99:4 115:5,11 116:3 117:15,16,17 **begins** 141:2 102:11 103:13 106:7,13,16 behalf 30:22 35:15,16 52:4,8 119:4 120:3,20,25 121:6,11,15 111:22 112:6 126:24 141:17 behavioral 41:5 123:14,15,17 132:11,18 134:14 158:5,18,19 160:3,12,16,20 behooves 65:2 165:3 135:21 136:18,19,24,25 138:16 164:12,14 166:12 171:11 176:8 Bekka 164:22 166:12 175:24 138:22 139:22 141:5,8,10 142:3 178:1 183:25 184:1 198:15 believe 10:23 11:12,13 15:13 148:7 149:2 179:15 202:10 207:22 208:12.23 20:1 27:4 28:17 29:13 34:21 board-approved 117:20 **background** 42:18 46:5 **body** 25:9,13 37:3 54:10 41:8 45:24 53:10 55:10 57:7 **bad** 10:6 27:16 36:24,25 40:4,14 72:2 73:19 74:8 83:8 92:14 born 39:14 42:14 43:18 68:12 162:10 120:10 172:11 202:19 **bottom** 10:6 131:19 187:23 189:6 179:16,17 181:15 188:8 believes 13:13 81:4 191:25 192:1 201:15 **bag** 11:1 56:5 bellwether 84:12 boys 182:24,25 183:5,9 184:2 **baked** 79:24 **brand** 89:6 174:1 **Ben** 51:19 balance 179:23 198:19 **Brauer** 2:3 4:13 6:2 7:18 47:2 **benefit** 180:23 **balanced** 197:15 Benjamin 11:25 64:10 65:19 67:24 74:13 83:19 **banks** 133:4.9 **best** 9:5 11:8 28:17 41:7 57:17 174:5 209:21 bar 96:13 100:20 101:12,13 66:15 67:19 80:18 81:24 84:16 **Brauer's** 86:11 182:16 129:1 136:18 145:24 162:10 better 30:2 35:13 68:21 87:8 88:4 **breach** 3:8 44:12 46:22,22 47:9 172:2,2 179:20 186:5 188:11 112:3 114:3 115:10 120:13 **break** 65:3 153:7 189:12 190:23 192:17 193:11 134:3,20 159:10 172:15 180:17 breaking 37:19 199:21 201:15 188:18 190:1 196:9 198:18 **breaks** 173:18 bark 143:9 **brief** 15:4 47:23,23 204:20 **Barnes** 2:17,17 44:23,24 47:19 **beyond** 34:12 119:1 121:18 briefly 93:15 142:18 153:10 52:24,25 53:7,15 118:8,9,17 big 16:15 41:2 155:3 180:15 **Brigette** 112:7,22 205:10,25 119:13,19 125:4,4,13,19 126:10 191:23 206:2 126:18 127:1 129:5.5.12 142:16 biggest 9:8 55:5 **bring** 22:2 35:6 38:2 40:7,13 142:17 144:17 145:12,17 **bill** 130:5,9 67:9 92:11 150:20 167:10 147:20 148:21,24 149:7,25 **binary** 108:14 206:23 150:7 151:16 152:19 154:3 binder 120:7,9 bringing 68:12 202:21 203:2 157:5,9,13 166:11,25 169:5 binders 121:6 brings 150:21 170:2,14 177:23 182:20 183:20 biologist 34:3 **brought** 24:23 41:1 55:4 83:19 185:2,10,17,24 186:9,11 187:7 **bit** 13:9 39:24 47:3 81:5 82:3 132:22

Certified 211:8,19 **Brunner** 14:21.22 15:1 17:20 calendar 117:12.15 124:1 51:22 201:1 202:19 203:5 California 68:13 **certify** 211:10 brutally 88:5 **call** 3:3,3 4:2,8 5:24 7:4 8:17 **chair** 2:3,3 4:1,4,5,14 5:7,13,16 **budget** 70:13,23 89:24 90:6 91:6 10:18 11:18 46:14 149:13 174:5 5:22 6:1,1,3,19 7:3,3,18,19,22 91:14,20 92:4,16,22 131:10,11 187:2 206:18 209:13 7:24 8:14 9:20 11:15,22 12:1 **called** 72:10 207:9 14:9,14,22 17:20,24 21:7,13,20 203:25 204:5,7 **buffer** 110:13,21 111:17 112:4,9 caller 109:16 24:8.11.22 25:2.5 26:17 27:4 calling 42:20 111:12 30:10,14 33:13,18,22 35:24 112:12,16 115:4 131:9 cancel 31:4 135:6 **build** 69:7 204:5 36:4 38:17,24 40:20 42:2,8 **building** 1:12 49:2,2 54:19 161:6 capable 17:3 43:22 44:2,6 47:2,19 49:15 **built** 128:4 150:13 capacity 24:20 31:11 133:17 56:16 57:4,20 63:13 64:2,5,10 **bullet** 132:8,9,10 134:4 64:11 65:1,8,18 67:5,18,19,24 **bump** 109:6 capricious 132:1 68:9 69:16 71:25 74:7,13 77:19 **bunch** 32:17,24 40:16 CAPTION 212:4 77:19 79:4,14,18 81:10,25 **burden** 113:13 captions 201:17 83:19 84:5 86:11,14 87:13,14 Bureau 92:5 card 106:13 88:9,9 89:12 92:10 93:7,12 care 34:13 37:11,12 55:18 126:14 **Burt** 2:3 4:5,7,9,11,15,15,21,23 96:16 100:12 106:2 107:14 4:25 5:2,5,8,19 6:1,2,4,6,6,10 112:25.25 115:23 116:22 120:4 6:12,14,17,24 7:5,8,10,12,14,14 Carrillo 2:4 5:3,4,25 6:4,5 7:16 121:7 122:5 152:3 161:16,16 7:18,20 64:6,8,10,12,14,16,18 7:17 16:23 47:21 49:17,19 164:12,20 165:16 170:21,21 64:20,23,23 67:19 77:19,20 52:23 53:2,13,17 54:8,11 57:5,7 174:4,25,25 175:23 179:7,7 79:18 87:14,16 88:15,20 93:12 57:10 63:16,18 64:14,15 71:1,3 181:5 182:7,19 191:16 192:20 192:20 199:22 200:18 201:2,7 100:12,13 102:24 103:5,19 71:16 87:15 93:7,10 121:7,8 104:7,16,22 107:14 113:1 122:9,21,22,22,25 123:16,19 201:14 202:16 203:5,10 205:6 152:3.5.9 205:18 206:14 209:7,8,12,12,13 115:24 116:22 117:3 136:8 137:24 138:24 139:6,15 140:11 Casados 12:11 15:12 16:21,24 209:16,21,22 210:3,5 140:22 142:5 149:17 161:16,18 29:3,8,19 34:21 35:20 36:23 Chair/Secretary 4:7 5:8 162:17 165:9 167:22 170:19,21 37:16 38:3 56:7,16 challenge 203:14 170:22 171:19 172:21 173:4,21 Casados's 20:1 36:17 challenged 122:2 **chance** 14:16 20:17 42:3 67:25 174:4 179:7,8 182:15 187:15 case 32:22 169:7 172:4 212:4 196:18 207:2,11,14,17,21 208:6 cash 82:11 127:8,14,19 128:13 193:12 208:10 209:4,11,12,13,14,17,19 catch 57:11 **change** 13:3 73:17 74:21 93:24 209:21,23,25 210:3,3 category 88:1 157:6 202:12 94:1,6,14 95:8 96:3 98:6,8 **Burt's** 79:18 Catherine 14:18 21:15,21,22 104:4 107:11,14 134:5,9 139:22 **business** 26:11 31:12 60:7 83:14 27:1,9 139:24 145:10 166:9 168:10 83:16.17.21 84:1 99:10.17.23 **caught** 17:14 177:2.10 180:8 182:12 188:2 100:1 102:15 116:24 155:1 cause 161:5 178:9,9 204:4 189:4,21 190:3 207:18 164:4.8 166:4 168:5 169:24 **caused** 177:10 **changed** 48:8 49:12 56:22 71:23 businesses 30:21 causes 87:9 75:5 88:12 108:6 116:2 124:10 **busy** 36:11 **caution** 177:18 126:4 162:15 **button** 27:8 caveat 155:3 **changes** 71:20 72:21,24 73:1,1,3 **Bye-bye** 210:6 **CC** 1:25 211:25 212:2 73:11,12,13,14 74:14,14 75:13 bylaws 30:4 37:19 CCR 1:20 211:8 75:15,18,22 76:12,19 77:5,15 **celebrate** 200:9,15 79:9,20 80:6,17 84:18 141:8 \mathbf{C} celebrated 192:4 **changing** 25:19 96:19 97:11 C 1:20 2:1 3:1 211:8,18 celebration 192:6 118:12 173:16 175:21 202:10 **C-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e** 27:10 certain 52:13 113:17 165:21 chaotic 63:3 calculated 166:5.24 167:3 168:17 certainly 77:6 121:22 202:11 Chapman 1:20 211:8,18 calculation 173:15 **Certificate** 3:15 126:17 211:7 character 28:15

chart 202:24	civil 29:21 32:22	175:4 192:10
charter 2:9,12 25:16 31:16,17,18	Clahchischilliage 2:5 4:17 14:11	coming 50:10 54:23 81:3 86:5,15
43:2,20 45:2,6 46:4,11 51:10,11	14:12 64:21,22 210:1,2	147:9 158:18,19 160:12,20
53:11,24 54:9,24 57:24 61:4,7,9	claimed 29:4	166:15 171:10 181:4 189:22
61:16 66:13 74:23 79:11 81:19	clarification 57:6 115:14 116:16	commend 68:22 69:5
90:20 92:15 94:10 95:11 120:6	clarifications 74:15	comment 12:3 24:21 25:7 26:15
124:13,15 126:8 167:6 169:1,25	clarified 74:11 75:24	44:7 48:7 50:23 67:25 68:2
177:6,20 178:11 190:8,9,10,13	clarify 82:10 116:5 122:19	72:1 86:11 104:18 108:23 111:9
206:18	205:13	112:18,18 144:18 170:20
Charters 66:4	clarifying 163:22 205:11	177:15 201:11,20 202:19
chat 21:16,25 50:1 140:21,22	clarity 86:10 176:8	203:14 207:1
201:9,12,23 202:1,4	classroom 50:17	commentator 8:12
Chavez 2:9 47:23 51:2 52:2,15	clean 73:21 80:8 130:19	comments 26:21,23 30:17 31:7
52:21 54:7 65:16,18 66:24	cleaned 66:11	66:3 72:22 73:21 194:5 200:24
67:13,23 71:25 72:9,17 74:17	cleaning 73:16	201:20,22,25 202:7,15 204:16
75:16,23 79:4,7 80:14 89:2	cleans 75:1	205:3,7
90:12,19 94:9 95:1,10,16 96:1	clear 9:10 28:20 46:15 80:5 89:1	commiserate 200:16
96:25 97:21 99:9,22 108:16	93:21 100:17 102:13 115:21	commission 1:1 15:1 30:5,20
109:21,23 115:23 118:19,25	116:23 131:24 139:4 175:13	31:8 37:5 45:24 48:13 50:25
119:21 120:17 125:22 126:2	182:10,14 184:13 198:21	55:11 57:23 58:13 59:13,18
129:24 130:14 132:14 133:3,19	clear-cut 198:25	63:14 65:2 69:9 75:4,7,8,14
137:19 138:10 139:1,8,16 140:1	clearer 73:17	77:14 78:1,13,18 79:21 81:2
140:18,23 141:13 142:1,9 149:5	clearly 84:4	82:25 83:5,24 89:3 92:12 98:13
149:9,22 150:2 152:20 154:6,14	click 8:7	100:3 112:19 121:20 133:11
154:21 155:19 157:7,19,23	close 30:6 35:11 36:19 37:1 40:15	134:21 144:15 147:10,18 165:3
158:25 159:16,20 161:7,17	40:16 90:23 91:10 111:19	165:19,25 166:23 186:4,16,16
164:18 165:18 166:21 173:16	112:14 113:16 151:3 174:22	187:18 189:10 190:7 194:21,21
178:14 185:5,13 200:22 201:23	176:15	195:19 211:1,12 212:5
202:4	closing 111:24 133:4,9	Commission's 78:15
check 66:21 82:6 89:21	coach 70:18	Commissioner 4:9,10,11,11,13
checking 51:2,3 87:1	Codes 190:15	4:15,15,17,19,20,21,21,22,23
checklist 87:3	codified 91:18 92:25 93:1 169:25	4:23,24,25,25 5:1,2,2,4,5,9,10
child 23:7 39:6	178:13	5:19,21,25 6:2,2,4,4,5,6,6,8,9
children 9:1,18 10:8,9 11:8 13:24	collect 119:16,18,20 129:22	6:10,10,11,12,12,13,14,14,16
29:11 34:24 35:10 37:7 39:11	collected 13:14 32:5 83:9	6:17,24 7:1,5,6,7,8,8,9,10,10,11
40:16 49:3 58:11 63:4	collecting 13:19 119:22	7:12,12,13,14,14,16,17,18,20
choice 31:19 169:2	color 106:19	11:17,19,20,23 12:5 14:10,12
choices 29:21 179:14	colored 146:9	16:22 47:20,20,24 48:1,4 49:15
choose 45:18 104:8 196:11,25	column 69:22	49:17,19 51:12 52:23 53:1,2,13
chooses 105:10	columns 69:22	53:15,17 54:7,11,14 57:4,7,10
choosing 100:17,18,21 102:7	come 10:8 20:9 43:5 46:9 48:20	57:20,21,22 63:12,16,18 64:6,6
chose 103:3 155:22 160:2	56:11 65:5 76:14 80:3,12 83:21	64:7,8,8,9,10,12,12,13,14,14,15
chosen 107:16	88:8 91:10 114:25 144:13 156:3	64:16,16,17,18,18,19,20,20,22
chronically 107:6	158:5 160:3,19 167:6 168:12	64:23,23 66:21,24 67:2 71:1,3
churn 160:21	169:10 183:25 184:1 197:16	71:16,19 72:4,13,19 73:24 74:7
Cindy 80:23	comes 50:15,16 69:10 109:9	74:8 75:3,16,19 76:1,5,15,18,25
circumstance 167:12	133:25 135:16 171:15 187:5	77:20,21 78:10,25 79:17,18
circumstances 26:10 168:10	188:16	80:15 84:22,23 85:18,23 86:7
city 81:19	comfortable 96:19 158:19,24	86:11 87:14,15,16 88:15,20

89:13,14 90:12,14,19 91:3,16 completed 25:14 26:4 108:20 conjunction 180:2 109:10 113:6 130:4 connected 86:19 176:1 92:10 93:7,10,11 96:16,18 97:10,22 98:3,15 99:24 100:13 completely 21:11 34:18 42:5,7 connection 14:19 102:24 103:5,19 104:7,16,22 115:9 149:15 Connections 203:12 106:2,4 107:19,21 111:7 113:1 completing 110:8 consecutive 184:13 116:21 117:3 121:7,8 122:5,6,9 **compliance** 59:11,14 117:10 consensus 179:10 182:13 122:20.22.25 123:16.19 133:6 123:25 124:7.13.17 126:8.24 consent 3:5 6:19.25 7:2 133:13,20 134:2 135:2,3,13 130:25 134:15,19 137:10 consequences 90:15 92:5 136:8 137:24 138:3,24 139:6,15 138:22 142:18 conservative 59:10 140:7,11,22 142:5 146:7 147:2 complicit 29:18 consider 46:22 158:8 160:25 149:17 152:3,5,9,15 155:9 Complies 140:8 161:6 165:14 168:8 156:7,18 158:15,16 160:4,17 comply 58:10,12 61:6,13 62:18 considerate 110:21 161:12,18 162:17,20 164:23 **consideration** 66:8 97:25 116:14 63:20 165:9 167:22 170:19,22 171:19 component 176:4 158:2 165:24 166:10 178:24 172:21 173:4,21 174:4 175:1,2 Comprehensive 61:25 considered 22:20 99:15 164:5 considering 135:5 165:3 199:4 175:23 179:8 181:5,7 182:7,8 compromise 192:19 182:15,16 184:21 187:15 **concept** 127:3 consistency 94:20 135:10 138:4,6 191:16,17 196:18 199:22,23 concern 12:24 25:11,23 26:1,8 consistent 132:3 137:9.23 138:2 207:2,11,14,17,21 208:6,10 60:9 74:1 77:4,12 84:24 85:7 138:11 140:16 149:17 152:1 209:4,10,11,14,17,17,18,19,19 97:22 107:1 111:8,21 113:24 163:1,7 179:14 209:20,21,23,23,24,25,25 210:2 114:1,7 129:3 135:22 150:14 consistently 131:20 134:15,18,18 134:22,25 137:11,21 210:3 153:24 160:10 **Commissioners** 2:2 12:5 14:7 concerned 11:7 13:1,6 17:17 constantly 86:18 30:14 40:24 64:3 65:9,19 66:25 41:12 99:18 106:22 116:4 162:7 constitute 211:10 76:5 78:4 90:14.20 92:1 93:6 163:12 174:7.7 194:23 construction 49:7 106:1 126:14 127:5 170:24 concerning 19:20 162:2,4 consultant 68:25 80:5,23 81:4 179:11 200:18 concerns 52:10 58:8,16 59:7 **consultation** 47:2,5,12 58:3 commitment 49:1 60:10 85:2 118:4 153:13 contact 58:14 **committed** 33:2 175:10 concerted 97:18 contacted 20:4 **committee** 42:25 76:9,11,14 79:9 concise 46:15 **context** 44:23 continue 47:14 49:13 187:25 132:12,19,24 133:21,22,24 concluded 210:7 134:4,5,6 135:17,19 139:5,22 conclusion 141:3 continued 48:7 140:13,24 142:10 **condition** 49:3 128:11,12 187:4 continuing 109:25 110:2,9,24 committees 133:14,16 136:5 198:10 111:3 115:25 116:4 188:3 139:3 conditions 128:7 144:1,19 145:5 continuously 40:7 **communicating** 21:3 108:25 153:15 194:12 195:14 contract 3:8,10,13 44:12,16,21 communication 13:7 141:5 **conducive** 81:9 86:1 45:9,13 46:22 47:9 58:5 60:11 community 9:17 12:10 13:17,24 **conduct** 58:2 59:13 61:2,5,6,9,14,16,18 62:19 23:11 24:4 34:10,22,25 35:18 **conducted** 5:12,15 19:16 47:5 124:14 126:8 142:19 157:24 36:13,15,21 37:14,15,25 39:20 66:5.9 165:10,11,15 167:6,13 169:12 114:13 **conducts** 168:16 170:7,7 174:12,17 175:6 177:25 **conduits** 188:2,2 189:20 179:1 181:24 commute 57:1 **compare** 110:4 159:7 conference 140:25 contractor 20:11 66:18 **competition** 28:10,14 confidence 39:19 40:8 164:3 contradicts 103:1 competitive 81:18 confirmation 173:10 contributing 17:5 control 48:18 81:22 compiling 67:16 conflict 25:22 complaints 58:22 59:5 62:24 confused 73:4 96:22 184:23 controls 132:12.19 133:24 complete 26:12 109:3 110:1,10 confusion 109:25 **conversation** 65:23 71:21 74:22 110:14 congratulations 200:11 75:5,13 78:20 79:10,12 112:24

116 05 160 10 150 0 156 00		
116:25 160:19 173:3 176:22	councils 25:25	D
187:1,5 202:14 205:15	counsel 2:16 49:21,21 50:5,6,13	D 3:1,1
conversations 92:4 202:5	50:21 109:1	D-a-v-i-s 27:10
convictions 59:19	count 51:7 86:12 94:3,3 96:10,10	D-u-d-e-k 36:6
convincing 41:24	102:23 136:11 161:3 181:21	D.C 177:4
Cool 209:6	counted 148:8 168:20	damaging 171:24 172:3
copies 117:20	country 189:13,24	dare 23:19
copy 72:12 80:17 140:19,20	couple 49:9 50:22 84:19 133:9	dark 195:10
Corina 2:9 52:21 54:7 65:18	165:18 167:18	data 65:13,13,14 88:13 93:17
66:24 67:5,13,23 68:22,24	course 50:20 111:4 120:13	96:6 98:21 99:3,8 100:12
71:25 72:9,17 73:20 74:17	court 1:21 8:11 21:18 24:13	156:23 158:11 162:5 163:13,14
75:16,23 79:7 80:14,15,15 82:1	211:9	163:18 166:7 173:24 174:6
89:2,21 90:12,19 92:24 93:24	covered 93:11,12	179:24 180:9,17,18 184:14
94:9 95:1,10,16,22 96:1,21,25	COVID 43:18 120:19 158:18	185:14 186:8 188:12 190:12
97:21 99:9,22 109:23 115:23	CPSNM 71:21	194:24 199:11,12,16 200:3,4
118:19,25 119:21 120:8,17	crave 10:10	, , ,
125:22 126:2 129:24 130:14	create 17:4 66:19 83:17 141:21	207:4,22,25 208:2,4,21,24
132:10,14 133:3,19 137:19	171:16 173:25	data-driven 180:17
138:10 139:1,8,16 140:1,18,23	created 10:11 81:16 105:10	date 89:23 90:1,6 91:20 113:17
141:13 142:1,9 149:5,9,22	131:9 177:4	115:10 142:4 212:2,9,14,19,24
150:2 151:3 152:20 154:6,14,21	creates 101:17 124:10 125:17	dated 66:23 67:1 74:19,25
155:19 157:7,19,23 158:25	126:19	dates 45:22
159:16,20 163:16 164:18	creating 63:3	daughter 8:24 10:13 17:12 22:8
165:16,18 166:21 173:5,16	crime 59:20	28:9 33:25 35:9,14
178:14 185:5,13 200:21,22	criteria 99:3,7 131:7,7 144:4	daughters 15:5 16:5,19
		Davis 21:23
205:10,23 206:2 208:15	145:11 151:17 154:4 167:1	Davis-Sparks 14:18 21:15,17,20
Corina's 111:9,17	193:24 195:11	27:4,9,10
Corporation 43:13	criticism 170:5	day 9:19 24:7 28:18 31:3 36:9
correct 33:10 49:22,24 52:10	cross 103:16	101:3 104:24 159:7,8 191:19
61:19 62:15 66:23 98:15 109:22	cry 18:17	day-to-day 200:4
162:22 163:10 195:5,18,19,24	CS-148:13	days 17:10 19:16 45:10,20 59:19
196:23	CSD 25:15,19 46:10 58:15 62:4	117:21 118:19,21 120:23 123:6
corrected 42:23	62:16 108:21 113:5,14,15	123:8,10 127:8,14,15,15,18
Correcting 122:23	114:19 116:7 142:21 148:10	days' 32:8 127:19
Corrective 19:18 58:17	201:1	deadline 113:9
correctly 148:18 167:15	culture 171:5	deaf 12:20
cosmetic 73:14	cumbersome 131:14	deal 10:21 41:2 167:7
cost 50:20	curious 50:9 52:3 93:13	dealing 22:25 203:15
Costs 59:24	current 18:4 25:22 30:2 34:20	dealt 130:12
council 9:9,13 10:25 12:12,19,23	35:19 54:21 130:8 180:1 200:4	death 155:25
13:4,13 15:13 16:13 21:1,1	currently 39:6 69:24 86:22	debate 123:23
24:16 25:5,24 26:6,13,14 27:25	104:17 182:12	December 62:12 113:4,7 130:15
29:1,13,18,20 30:3,5 31:10 32:5	curriculum 17:12 18:10,12	decide 95:12 100:3 102:17 117:1
34:20 35:4,19 36:20 37:1,6,19	curtain 8:17	141:24 147:15 165:19
37:21,23 38:1,8,14 39:25 40:4,5	Curtis 23:14 28:8	decided 148:25 160:3
40:9,13,14 41:11 48:14 51:8	cut 126:9 204:9,10	deciding 22:23
52:8,20 53:6 54:1 56:1,1,7,15	cutoff 89:23 91:4 163:25 164:11	decision 163:15 164:24 169:21
57:8 122:1	cutting 82:15	175:12 180:18
council's 12:15 36:18	Cynthia 1:20 211:8,18	
T .	T .	T .

decisions 17:2.4 determine 141:23 144:15 204:4 diligence 102:4 diminishes 174:19 dedicated 16:18 **determined** 83:5 108:10 deep 141:25 180:21 **DeVargas** 2:18 **ding** 106:19 default 95:23 98:14 106:5 **DeVeaux** 66:18 68:8,10 69:18 dinged 106:14 161:15 defaulted 106:7 71:8,17 73:5 74:5,12 76:2,8,17 direct 43:20 94:10 defaulting 106:16 80:4 81:14 83:2 85:13,19,24 **directed** 60:6 61:19 defaults 102:10 105:24 86:9.20 88:10.19 93:5.14 94:18 directives 73:6 95:7,14,19 96:4 98:5,16 99:21 **defend** 56:1 192:25 directly 79:12 80:21 deficiencies 129:2 99:25 102:21 103:1,8 104:3,11 director 2:9 47:23 51:2 52:2,15 deficiency 129:7 104:18 107:13,20,25 111:5 52:21 54:7 65:16,18 66:24 define 131:20 134:19,21 194:12 112:5,17,23 116:17 117:4 67:13,23 68:25 71:25 72:9,17 defined 84:4 117:12 119:9 124:1 118:14,23 119:7,15 122:19,24 74:17 75:16,23 79:4,7 89:2,12 90:12,19 92:15,16 94:9 95:1,10 **defining** 125:15 159:3 123:13,18,21 125:11,16,25 definitely 56:23 81:15 197:5,24 126:5,11,23 127:4,7 129:11,16 95:16 96:1,25 97:21 99:9,22 202:23 203:3 130:10,16 132:5,17 133:10 108:15 109:21,23 112:6 115:23 definition 127:16,17 128:23,25 134:8 135:11 136:7 137:22 118:19.25 119:21 120:6.17 138:3 143:4 149:7 138:1,8,12 139:21 140:4,8 125:22 126:2 129:24 130:14 definitions 159:6 141:11.15 142:8.12 143:19 132:14 133:3.19 137:19 138:10 139:1,8,16 140:1,18,23 141:13 defunct 30:3 145:9,14,19 147:1 148:14,22 **degrading** 15:10 56:4 149:11,19 150:12 152:2,8,12,18 142:1,9 149:5,9,22 150:2 153:9 154:11,17,24 155:10,21 152:20 154:6,14,21 155:19 degree 91:11 156:8,19 157:4,12,14,21 158:1 **DEL'D** 212:9,14,19,24 157:7,19,23 158:25 159:16,20 **delay** 77:16 159:14,18,22 160:5,21 162:15 161:7,17 164:18 165:18 166:21 delivered 12:14 212:9,14,19,24 163:21 164:7 167:18,23 169:13 173:16 178:14 185:5,13 200:22 demanded 27:17 170:10 171:6 172:18.23 177:1 directors 206:18 demographics 184:16 178:7 182:18 183:8 184:8,15,19 **disabilities** 58:12 171:14 184:25 185:4,7,12 186:3,10,13 demonstrate 134:15 138:22 disabled 201:12 187:11 192:21 193:25 194:4 **demonstrates** 128:23 131:3 disagree 125:25 132:2 denied 20:7 29:24 195:18 **disappointed** 77:17 191:18 **Department** 24:17 58:18 59:13 **develop** 39:13,14 82:23 169:24 disclaimed 60:3 **Department's 3:9 44:14** 184:14 disclosure 129:3,4 depending 55:15 developing 15:6 discoveries 110:7 depriving 63:22 dial 31:5 discovery 110:22 **described** 91:3 139:14 141:16 dialogue 35:4 discretion 7:24 142:14 159:1 difference 84:3 110:23 180:13 **discuss** 46:18 describing 51:14 199:4 discussed 46:18 47:7 122:7 description 80:25 **different** 10:10 13:17,25 15:8 132:15 165:25 deserve 30:2 31:18 51:19 66:1 87:7 94:19 discussing 130:8 97:2 98:10 102:15 114:13 discussion 3:7,14 19:20 44:10 deserves 191:5 **designated** 61:4,7 131:4 146:18 116:25 144:18 150:24.24 159:9 63:15 65:10 91:11.23 120:2 **designation** 144:7,13,21 147:8 159:18,19,20 160:5,14 164:9 discussions 90:3 94:1 149:23 150:4 **disenrolled** 37:8 107:7 169:11 178:9,20 181:1 183:2 desire 13:15 14:3 189:8 192:22 193:8 196:5 disgust 41:21 desires 10:16 199:15 **Disks** 212:8,13,18,23 despite 13:1,2,2 19:10,17 27:24 **differentiate** 69:10 86:5 194:18 dismantle 36:23 29:16 differentiates 144:16 displaced 35:10 **detail** 46:19 difficult 10:9 36:12 101:21 displayed 88:14 93:17,20 details 15:20 121:24 122:8 disservice 36:21 deteriorated 49:3 difficulty 135:4 distances 57:1

distinguish 78:12 103:10 172:25 110:8 124:19 167:16 172:23 distortion 5:14 177:21 194:19 eliminate 52:20 district 53:11 55:14 160:16 dynamic 170:11 eliminated 41:12 district's 49:25 eloquently 54:13 \mathbf{E} **divide** 183:15 **embedded** 104:14,16 150:22 E 2:1.1 3:1.1.1 division 2:10,12 24:16 43:2,20 emergency 123:15 e-mail 16:22 205:12 92:15 119:18.19 150:5 **emotional** 124:12 125:7 **e-mailed** 12:13 dizzy 146:6 emphasis 168:2 earlier 41:1 55:11 92:17 108:23 doable 176:24 ended 88:3 133:9 203:19 113:25 185:1 ends 139:16,17 document 72:5,6,10,21 73:3,25 early 60:21 129:19,25 181:21 74:25 75:9,20 76:3,6,7 77:11 **energy** 20:23 earn 150:15,25 117:9 131:2,22 138:13 212:8,13 **engage** 79:25 earns 143:21,23 144:2,7,9 212:18,23 **engaged** 18:15,15 ears 12:20 **documentation** 62:4 117:6,8 engagement 31:25 easier 69:14 101:10 113:5 115:11 137:3 **English** 171:14 116:7.10 140:6 142:2 191:20 documents 59:15 74:18 131:11 enrolled 204:8 192:12.15 202:22 131:11 enrollment 70:7,10,12,22 80:24 easiest 79:2 **dogged** 31:20 81:6,21 82:6,20,23 83:1,7 84:17 easily 120:14 199:24 dogs 143:8 85:1,20,25,25 86:12 90:1,5,11 easy 16:9 75:1 110:12 134:8 doing 8:25 9:5 16:1 37:19 38:8 92:23 176:6,6 203:20,24 173:17,17 188:21 192:1 90:10 91:21 103:23 107:7 ensure 60:7 115:20 133:12 ed 19:11 41:16 111:20 114:8 119:9,20,24 133:2 enter 61:16 **edited** 133:21 136:12,20 137:5,7,21 142:23,24 entire 21:1 26:9 edits 65:12 66:14 153:5 181:23 183:16 190:19,21 entirety 174:8 education 1:1,12 3:11 16:6 18:5 192:5 193:4.15 196:23 199:1 entity 133:18 205:2 23:3,12 24:16,17 28:23 42:18 200:10 202:14 207:18 208:12 entrance 140:25 44:17 48:13 57:23 58:7,11,18 **Don** 1:12 **environment** 10:10.11 14:2 15:8 58:21,25 62:23 63:2,23 92:21 dots 136:11 17:6 23:8,9 57:2 59:6 63:4 81:9 157:17 187:22 189:17 211:1,12 double-check 53:12 86:2 124:11 125:18 126:19,24 212:5 double-dinging 104:20 160:1 171:16 175:25 **educational** 61:25 62:7 downtown 32:9 envision 166:14 educators 31:12 downward 181:4 **EPS** 18:8.12.25 **effect** 105:7 **Dr** 24:10 25:3 26:17 57:7 66:14 **equal** 127:18 effective 87:5 68:2 80:14 112:7.22 184:12 equally 136:9 effectively 145:6 equity 171:7,13 200:25 201:18 202:16 206:21 effort 52:9 **errors** 62:15 124:19 131:13,17 draft 45:17 65:12.24 66:2 68:19 eight 17:1 86:16 115:1 136:10,10 80:6 91:13 93:15 99:11 **Española** 27:15 32:9 36:16 39:9 144:9 146:4 150:25 169:16,18 drain 23:3 **especially** 10:20 165:20 196:10 **eighth** 173:14 dramatically 82:12 196:23 either 68:14 71:11,12 75:6 84:20 **Drive** 72:14.15 **ESO** 2:17 98:18 127:13,25 137:20 170:2 establish 45:22 **drive-by** 54:15 173:10 179:5 198:22 201:8 **driven** 147:18 established 131:12 **ELA** 60:17 driveway 12:22 estimate 164:6 165:23 **elected** 25:25 177:13 **driving** 20:20 ethnicity 190:14 electronic 120:21 evaluate 190:20 **drop** 184:2 Elementary 55:14 dropped 42:2 evaluating 203:17 **elevate** 151:14 dry 95:2 126:9 **evaluation** 47:24 87:18.25 88:17 eleven 46:12 135:8 **Dudek** 36:6 143:12,15 147:7 148:18 151:23 eligible 60:25 92:19 99:12 103:9 due 37:8 74:15,21 102:4 103:8 204:2,13,19 205:1

evaluations 206:9 195:21 198:8 206:6,13 208:17 **farmer** 10:14 farmers 30:21 31:12 event 131:21 208:18 events 19:8 21:6 expeditions 152:6 fast 170:6 174:3 180:11 everybody 10:18 16:23 120:21 experienc- 23:1 father 9:24 195:16 202:23 208:16 **experience** 18:23 206:23 **favors** 183:9 everybody's 202:25 experiential 19:6 Fe 1:13 2:18 18:9 19:1 20:20 everyone's 77:10 190:19 **Expires** 211:21 45:19 55:14 63:8 evidence 45:15,23 91:5 136:22 explain 41:18 197:20 Feathers 10:18 15:21 28:19 137:4,13 138:17,25 140:9,15 explaining 69:2 **February** 6:22,23 70:7 130:3 exactly 83:19 84:2 85:25 86:1 exploring 19:1 federal 33:4 58:10 63:21,23 extensive 54:17 119:13 140:2 96:15 124:14 185:7 **extent** 195:4 **example** 22:24 168:21 169:5 feedback 66:9,12 68:3,17 69:3,4 70:2 77:6,7,10 79:17,18 80:1 185:22 extenuating 26:10 exceed 154:9 185:18 186:2 **external** 141:1.4 94:10 97:3 158:6 179:5 202:8 extra 110:17 132:8 153:17 187:10 205:5 exceeding 71:15 153:21 154:18 extraordinarily 122:8 feeder 168:24 **extreme** 192:23 197:12 198:20 **feel** 9:5 11:9 17:2 41:23 48:23 exceeds 71:8,14 95:4,16 97:6,9 extremely 55:21 119:4 121:4 88:1.1 101:14 140:11 159:17 143:20,22 145:14 146:15,21 186:5.6 162:9 163:2 174:23 178:20 147:6 149:14 155:5,14 156:4,11 179:6,9,11,11 180:5,14,20,25 185:24,25 186:6 193:24 190:2,2 204:17 F-o-r-r-e-s-t 36:6 excelled 34:2 feeling 158:19 163:6 facilitate 141:5 **excellence** 3:9 44:15 47:10 60:11 feels 174:18,18 179:12 204:1 facilities 42:25 60:14 fell 149:4 facility 50:12 54:14,16 excellent 27:7 46:14 205:6 fervor 48:17 fact 77:4 81:5 135:5 151:14 206:14 fewer 99:13 186:21 exception 29:12 121:15 122:1 fieldwork 141:2 factor 181:10 **exceptional** 147:6 187:25 194:9 **fifth** 163:24 173:13 **factors** 166:10 179:1 exceptions 160:25 **fighting** 53:21 189:6 facts 15:20 **excited** 18:19 28:18 figure 11:20 31:3 71:24 128:14 **failed** 58:2 61:13,17 62:2,8 158:14 165:13 168:7 173:6 exclusively 147:4 111:23 **excuse** 67:18 199:14 **Fails** 150:3 exhausted 51:1 **figured** 164:8 failure 3:8,11 44:13,18 61:5 **Exhibits** 212:8,13,18,23 figures 22:2 95:13 63:20 **exist** 11:3 **file** 33:4 fair 70:15 165:21 187:2 existing 89:5 files 59:16 fall 42:4 43:6 105:23 127:24 exit 130:1 133:25 139:13,19 **filing** 32:23 129:25 153:13 158:9 186:15 140:25 **fill** 19:7 **fallen** 12:20 expect 99:17 109:8 **filled** 41:14 Falls 150:11 **expectation** 103:7 124:2 151:2 **final** 40:21 75:9 129:19 149:24 families 2:10,12 34:8 35:11,16 **finalize** 108:17 188:13 38:5 158:18 160:23 168:7 **expectations** 136:23 137:14 **finance** 53:8 59:12 133:21 134:4 179:14 189:18 149:21 152:7,24 153:2,14,20,21 134:6 135:15,16,19 136:5 139:3 family 18:25 26:10 35:16 36:11 158:4 188:15 189:20,22 151:11 38:10 39:7 160:12 161:2 163:11 financial 59:14,23 70:8 71:10,11 expected 136:11 168:11 169:2,16 184:3 expedite 192:22 73:9 83:7 87:10 108:13 127:9 far 10:22,22 28:6 34:11 42:24 **expedited** 146:23 153:25 154:1 127:11 128:5,17,18 130:24 54:8 148:12 150:11 184:17 172:7 186:19 188:22 191:18,21 138:4 141:1 143:7,24 147:25 208:23 209:5 192:8 193:2,6,22 194:1,10 148:11 149:2 150:5,8,17 153:24

following 58:1,5 116:15 117:9 frustrating 48:12 **financials** 84:10 87:12 131:1 131:1 137:14 167:15 frustration 48:23 133:23 176:5 financing 145:22 follows 128:19 129:7 **fulfills** 142:13 **find** 11:10,10,11,12 13:13 16:1 **foot** 82:22 84:20 full 8:10 21:18 30:20 40:13 110:2 19:5 38:14 55:2 67:4 74:14 **football** 166:3 120:7 119:3 129:9 131:25 135:12 forcing 29:9 fully 62:6 106:16 133:15 153:10 183:11 193:20 206:1 foregoing 211:10 **funded** 90:1.4 91:9 **forget** 90:1 167:20 **Finding** 59:24 **funding** 131:3 **findings** 46:12 141:7,9 forgive 123:1 funds 59:21 92:19,20,21 fine 76:13,24 148:17 155:15 **forgiven** 122:25 funny 191:22 further 59:2 61:15 123:3 147:3 170:15 **form** 42:21 200:13 **fine-tune** 176:2 **format** 46:7 148:13 FY22 59:24 fire 192:12 formative 199:13 **fired** 27:18 former 17:12 28:16 37:7,10 G first 8:8,12 13:18 19:19 20:2 206:18 **G** 3:1 25:18.21 26:4.12 28:16 29:24 **formula** 105:6 G-a-d-o-m-s-k-i 24:18 39:22 48:5 49:20 50:3,4,8 69:11 **Forrest** 36:1,5 G-a-r-y 18:2 70:24 77:1 83:3,12,13 84:10 forth 45:2 G-i-n-g-e-r 8:22 86:4,23 87:6,19 88:5,13,21 Forum 3:6 7:23 G-o-d-d-a-r-d 18:2 103:9 108:18 116:12 126:6 forward 45:18 46:25 47:16 52:1 **GAAP** 128:21 72:1 75:6 79:16 167:11 179:24 127:10 142:21 176:21 188:24 Gadomski 24:11,15 first-year 39:18 82:16 83:5 88:23 180:6 **gaming** 106:24 90:22,25 forwarded 16:22 gap 186:22,23 192:24 fiscal 25:18 59:7 60:5,8 109:2,3 **foster** 39:20 gaps 185:15 **fought** 175:16 109:11 110:12.17 116:21 garner 43:1 127:19 132:7 138:18 144:24 found 15:19 110:22 193:6 Gary 17:22 18:1 fiscally 59:10 foundation 55:8 Gaspar 1:12 four 18:7 27:12 134:23 144:23 fit 93:3 108:11 gather 32:8,9 **five** 15:5 39:9 59:25 65:5 123:8 207:10 208:22 gay 29:5 123:10 146:2 151:6 161:2,4 fourth 12:6 18:3,7 22:8 68:18 GC 22:22,22 23:18,24 24:2 116:9 181:19 188:24 195:9 132:6,9 146:22 166:16 gem 10:4 five-minute 65:3 fraction 20:19.21.22 general 20:25 24:15 31:7 71:20 **fix** 12:22 40:11 55:15 131:17 frame 110:1 129:19 125:20 128:19 184:24 **fixed** 29:14 framework 3:14 25:7 46:23 generate 92:18 Flag 5:15 59:23 62:2 65:11,13 66:20 Geno 49:24 flexibility 101:5 68:20 69:2 70:4 71:10 85:21 **get-go** 16:25 **floor** 44:22 177:11 90:18 92:8 99:11 104:12,13,14 getting 69:2 73:3 87:12 88:3 90:7 flourish 10:13 104:17 111:15 113:21 126:15 90:10 134:13 148:9,9 152:1,21 flourished 9:2 127:10,11 128:4 143:23 144:3,9 153:3,25 184:5,5,6 189:5 fluctuate 177:21 150:1 152:25 159:3 173:8 208:17 focus 22:23 88:8 156:2 174:20 177:5,13,14,24 178:15 giggling 18:19 **focuses** 194:16 178:25 180:2 187:20 195:15 **Ginger** 8:12,22 197:19 201:22 208:4 **focusing** 193:10 **Gipson** 2:5 4:21,22 5:21 6:15,16 folder 46:6 66:1 74:18 frameworks 81:13 143:21,25 7:1,6,7 47:20 48:1,4 49:16 folks 14:14.15 80:3 115:22 179:2 144:11.14 51:13 54:14 55:4,10 57:21,22 **Friday** 12:15 200:24 64:18,19 66:21,25 67:2 71:19 **follow** 30:4 40:6 86:14 113:19 friend 28:18 66:19 71:25 72:4,13,19 73:24 74:7,9 114:16 167:4 188:12 **front** 9:15 75:3,17,19 76:1,5,15,18,25 **follow-up** 102:22 frustrated 48:24

```
77:21 78:10,25 84:22,23 85:18
 85:23 86:7 87:15 89:13,14
 90:13,14,20 91:3,16 92:10
 93:11 96:16,18 97:10,22 98:3
 98:15 106:2,4 107:19,21 111:7
 122:5,6 133:13,20 134:2 135:2
 135:3.13 138:3 146:7 147:2
 152:15 155:9 156:7.18 158:15
 158:16 160:4,17 161:12 162:20
  175:1,2,23 181:6,7 191:16,17
 199:22,23 209:10,19,20
Gipson's 79:17 133:6 164:23
girls 182:24,25 183:5 184:2,3
give 7:24 8:8 21:16,24 24:21 26:9
 97:3 102:9 110:20 142:22 149:3
 154:23 158:11 159:12 164:6
 166:17 183:2 186:18 191:1
 195:2
given 4:6 9:6 15:20 20:16 39:19
 79:14 80:17 92:22 111:25 118:2
 144:7 151:4 166:8 193:12
 207:25
gives 5:5 123:5
giving 39:3 110:12,17 124:21
 125:2
gnawing 84:8
go 4:2,3,7 12:1 14:20,22,23 15:15
  17:24 21:16 23:2 24:11,12
 25:16 26:8 27:6 28:10,18 32:24
 34:8,9,11,16 38:24,25 39:24
 41:7 42:3,10 47:17 49:19 65:9
 70:24 71:23 76:9,9 78:6,7,11
 79:1 96:20 98:18 99:4 106:2
 109:19 113:20 114:16 116:8
 119:22 120:8 123:3 126:21
 135:2 136:7 141:25 146:12
 148:13,13 152:3 160:14,16
 164:12,14,20 166:2,2,12 168:24
 170:20,21 175:5 178:1 181:3
 183:19 187:14 189:14 198:16
 201:15
goal 100:6 109:7
goals 13:3 62:5,9,11,14,17
  156:20,24 157:8 177:7,8 178:11
 199:16
god 191:23
Goddard 17:22 18:2 21:7
goes 18:21 105:5 109:18 118:25
 129:8 182:21,22 200:8 206:5,10
```

```
going 4:2 7:1 8:7 15:4 16:7,12
  17:18 22:8 27:2,24 31:1 32:24
  33:16,25 40:15 41:7,13 44:9,22
  46:14,25 49:6,13 55:19 56:25
  65:9,15 66:17 69:8,12,13 71:6
  75:18 76:18 77:20,22,24 78:21
  78:24 82:5.5 85:9.11 87:16.25
  89:15,17,25 90:5,9 92:8 98:14
  98:22.22 99:3.4 100:3.5.10
  103:11,21 106:19 107:5,6,7,25
  108:11 111:10,14,17,18 113:4
  113:10 114:25 115:1,2,8 116:18
  121:18 123:1,22,24 128:10,15
  129:3,13,14 138:8 141:11,15
  146:20 147:2,20,21 148:12,13
  150:7,9 151:9,14 153:10,13
  154:1 160:13,13,14,15,15
  161:15 162:11.21.23 164:11
  165:12 168:24 169:15,18 172:6
  172:7 174:13 175:7 179:9
  180:22,22,23 181:3,13,19,21
  183:24 185:17 186:2,7,11,14,20
  187:8,9,19,24 190:24 191:19,24
  192:2,19,24,25 193:21,23,23,25
  195:17 196:15 197:8 202:25
  204:6 206:9,11,12 208:19,22,23
good 4:1 8:5 9:17,17,19 10:7
  14:25 24:7 30:13 36:2 38:2
  40:23 45:11 52:21 68:10 70:4
 84:7,11,12 87:12 92:11 139:19
  160:24 168:25 169:5 180:5
  188:14 190:21 200:3 210:5
Goodlaw 207:8
Google 72:14,14
gosh 12:6
gotten 57:12
govern 56:4
governance 25:5 26:5,14 48:14
governed 59:9
governing 9:9,13 10:25 12:12,15
  12:18,23 13:4,13 15:13 16:12
  25:8,13,24,25 26:13 27:25 29:1
  31:10 32:4 34:20 35:4.19 36:17
  36:20 37:1,6,18,20,23 38:1,7,14
  39:25 40:8 41:11 42:20 51:8
  52:4,8 53:5,11 54:10 56:1,15
  57:8 60:6 108:19 112:11 113:14
  113:25 114:22 116:3 117:17
  120:20 121:25 148:7 149:2
```

```
grade 19:19 22:8 28:17 41:3
  163:24 166:16 167:9
grader 18:3
graders 173:13,14
grading 204:3,11
granted 121:25
granular 184:18
gravel 12:22
great 5:22 8:14 12:16 13:23 14:4
  18:22 26:17 34:1 40:25 79:16
 79:22 87:13 112:6 133:19
 147:21 163:15 177:2 183:11,14
 183:14,16 193:5,15 196:17
 200:11 205:21 209:12
greater 127:14,18
greatest 11:6
green 71:12 74:21 79:11 88:18
 89:8 106:9 107:11 127:25 128:6
 136:14 147:6 150:16 183:3
 186:18
green-yellow-red 71:5 87:22
grew 39:9,14
grid 146:9
ground 84:20
grounds 22:16,19,24 47:6
group 12:21 32:17 34:7,25 35:17
 41:11 56:10 76:10 120:2 187:17
 191:2,5,6,10,12,14 198:9 207:5
groups 97:16,19 114:9 155:19
  185:5 190:24,25
grow 39:11 191:10,12
growing 191:7,8
grown 10:16
growth 99:15 154:5 170:18,20
  182:21 184:14 185:3,13,19
  186:1,8,23,25 191:8 192:13,15
 192:16 194:23 195:6,7,8,16
 196:1,2,5,7 199:6,24 207:4,18
 207:22 208:2,21,24
guess 10:3 14:18 67:3 86:10
 88:15,22 103:20 105:20 109:21
 112:5 113:1 149:13 157:18
 161:18 162:23 164:11 174:11
 174:13 187:15 195:11 200:23
 203:13
guidance 117:13 119:10 122:12
guide 177:12,12
guidelines 124:15
guys 19:7 27:23 43:3 44:24
```

170:17	Heck 82:18	honestly 48:19 114:4 179:9
1/0.1/	held 63:8 70:16 83:6 86:23 87:6	180:20
H	91:24 113:10 211:12	honesty 72:24
half 16:1,7 116:13 131:21 135:4		
135:9,24 155:5	Hello 9:23 22:6 27:9 30:13 33:21	honor 31:6 43:25
Hall 1:12 63:8	33:23 38:23 40:23	honored 12:5 30:23 31:21
	help 9:11,12,14,16 11:5,10,11,11	honoring 30:18
hand 79:5 82:11 93:8 96:17	11:12 14:7 17:5 20:9 23:2 24:7	hood 173:1,2 193:3
112:8 127:8 128:14 133:6	30:5 34:19 35:1,17,18 42:22	hoops 121:13
182:19 201:3,9,13,15 211:15	43:1,19 70:18 80:12 87:11	hop 42:9
handle 163:23 164:9	94:25 114:19 181:12 193:11	hope 24:6 63:24 66:11 68:11
hands 47:21 48:11 64:3	194:18 198:17 202:11 204:19	110:19 156:3 199:3
happen 15:9 81:7 120:24 155:22	helpful 67:24 78:16,21 94:8,23	hoped 65:21
177:22 183:9,10,21 186:25	119:4 121:4 125:3 140:3	hoping 31:2
happen- 156:21	helping 14:1 17:4 21:3	horrible 29:19 41:22
happened 85:5 164:13 169:22	hereunto 211:14	HOSFORD 2:17
happening 15:11 22:14 28:25	hesitant 140:12	hour 19:3,3 65:21
31:9 115:6 133:12 141:24	hey 41:18 101:24 114:1 128:8	hours 25:14 32:6,6 108:21
happens 36:25 37:2 69:19 82:11	186:20	110:25 111:2 115:1 117:19
96:5,13 169:16,17 183:12,17	hi 8:5 12:24 112:5	142:3 148:7 149:2
happy 34:16 79:8 156:6	high 41:7 72:5,7,10,15 97:15	house 16:8 32:10 35:12
hard 9:2 32:7,8 69:14 81:7 90:21	98:23 100:20 101:12 114:12	housekeeping 20:12
109:19 114:2 116:19 125:2	128:25 158:4 166:2 167:2 171:2	houses 54:20
145:22 158:6,17 170:6 192:3		
206:12	171:8 172:2 175:18 181:11	huge 18:24 23:10 84:3 160:9,9
harder 153:1	186:5,6 188:25 189:12,20,22	humble 86:17
	190:7,11,23 192:17 193:19	humility 54:3
hardship 18:24 19:4,5 harm 180:23	197:25 199:21	hurt 181:13
	high-quality 114:10	hurting 29:10
harmless 84:14 86:23 113:3,10	high-stakes 168:2 172:14 175:12	hyperbole 39:23
114:14 116:11 158:9,12,20	higher 60:18 172:9 188:11	hyphen 27:10
161:13 163:4,9 165:20	highlight 80:17	
head 15:21 16:13,14 19:11 20:4	highlighted 73:13 74:21 79:11	<u> </u>
35:5 41:13 51:8 58:19 60:5	93:16 137:1	i.e 15:17
62:20,21 70:1	highly 23:4 105:19	idea 80:14,20,20 87:21 155:4
header 125:13,14	hijacked 38:4	182:16 185:10 189:21 194:24
health 70:8 84:13 86:19	hindrance 115:15	204:11
healthy 82:21	hiring 62:19	ideally 188:25 198:13
hear 9:18 21:20,21,22,23 24:25	historical 208:24	identified 3:10 44:16 46:3 53:9
25:2 27:7,7 33:21 36:3,4 37:18	hold 59:15 84:14 107:15 114:14	58:15 59:25 75:14,20 76:20
40:25 68:8,9 80:2 85:2 87:9	116:11 126:6 158:9,12 163:3,9	150:4
145:20 170:5,9 203:9,10	165:19 173:7 188:11 189:20	identify 45:15,15 60:9 79:19
heard 13:18 33:2 37:24 39:25	hold-harmless 178:18	135:15
78:18 107:13 121:23,24 208:15	holding 15:22 113:3 158:20	IEP 19:12 63:20
hearing 22:1 36:7 45:8,11,19,25	161:13	IEPs 28:4 199:15
46:19 56:24 63:7,7,11 94:11	holds 90:4	ii 58:9 60:12 61:4
148:18		iii 58:9
heart 18:21 34:12	holistically 76:21	illegal 13:19 22:20
heart-based 34:13	homeschool 160:15	imagine 33:5,9 177:22 190:12
heartbreaking 49:10 55:22	hone 156:16	immediate 3:12 44:19 151:7
<u> </u>	honest 88:4,5 132:25 187:20	
heavier 85:12,14	188:10	immediately 34:23 124:23

131:15	143:15	107:2,24 108:8 132:12,19
impact 144:24 145:2 166:4	indicated 46:2,25	interpersonal 40:2
impacts 94:18	indicates 23:25 38:12 117:3	interpretation 115:25
implement 61:14 62:6,17	indicates 23.23 38.12 117.3 indicator 88:24 89:4 91:14 97:23	interpreted 115:15,17
implement 01.14 02.0,17 implementation 62:10 89:24	110:5,11 119:25 127:10 143:6	interpreted 113.13,17
90:16 91:18,24 92:6	148:4 150:4 151:19 157:25	interpreting 84.3 113.19
implemented 40:12 62:6 162:24	165:20 166:13 167:14 171:1,21	interrupt 118.9
163:4	171:24 172:10,11,12,17 178:18	intervention 51:6
implications 144:25	185:22 196:12 197:18,21,22	intimidated 23:17
implications 144.23	208:11,13,19 209:6	introduced 39:22
important 15:16 16:10 34:7	indicators 138:17 146:2 149:4	invalid 30:3 98:21
70:20 89:19 91:21 92:9 100:1,2	151:1 152:24 170:18 184:9	invaluable 39:15
122:11,16 128:4 131:23 132:23	indigenous 19:17	invested 43:9
155:23 172:9 175:11,16 193:14	individual 8:9 88:24 167:6 199:5	
•	207:22	investment 43:15,16 invited 200:24
197:18,22 200:6		involved 59:3 143:3
imposes 101:4 impossible 26:3 196:10	individually 119:6	IPRA 120:12
	inept 10:25 inform 41:25	
impotent 21:11		irregularities 60:5,8 Isaac 12:11 15:12 20:1 21:1
impressed 51:18,18	information 46:6 47:18 62:13	
improvement 128:23	67:17 80:16 83:9 89:16 107:3,4	29:19 36:17,23 37:16 38:3
in-person 20:5	121:25 122:10 166:7 175:14	Issuance 3:12 44:20
in-service 19:16	180:24 195:4	issue 28:22 36:14 45:6 46:21
inappropriate 58:14 105:19	informational 93:18	57:23 61:15 81:20 150:10
inaudible 14:6 22:13 23:3,4,24	informative 51:22	199:25 204:18
28:3 38:11 72:11 103:8 130:9	informed 28:25	issued 143:1
140:9 146:24 150:12 161:1	Ingham 2:6 4:19,20 6:10,11 7:8,9	issues 3:11 20:9,10 29:15 37:8
167:16 171:8 172:23 173:14	64:16,17 209:17,18	41:5,15 44:17 58:1 60:1,9 61:17
194:1,19	initial 87:3 124:20	61:20 205:10
incident 27:22	initiative 22:16	issuing 45:1
incidents 59:3	input 40:7	it'll 181:12 205:25
include 31:12 129:3 163:23	Insofar 53:2	item 5:17 6:19 7:22 26:18 44:9
included 78:1 113:21 161:10	inspection 54:24 59:15	44:10 65:4,10,20 88:2 205:18
includes 26:7	inspired 17:7	205:19,21
including 3:10,12 28:8,11 31:10	instance 54:1	items 22:22 53:9 65:17 134:23
44:16,20 66:14 79:21 83:7	institute 62:3	136:11 138:25 140:10 205:24
142:22 173:12	institution 39:16	iv 60:12
incomplete 62:13	instruction 13:2	ix 62:18
incomprehensible 42:4 74:15	instructor 59:1 63:3	J
incorporated 66:3 68:3 incorrect 181:1	intact 37:13	$\sqrt{\mathbf{J}2:4}$
	intake 27:17	J-o-h-n 33:24
incorrectly 115:17 increase 127:19 180:22	integrity 174:19	J-u-a-r-e-z 8:22 10:1
	intent 3:13 37:22,23 44:20 45:5,7	January 20:2 62:12 113:8 114:15
incredible 10:4	46:4 57:24	129:21
incredibly 34:9 51:21 63:24	intentions 84:17	Jerry 1:12
107:5 incremental 15:9 56:3	interested 162:19 170:22	jewel 34:5
incumbent 136:3	interests 24:3,3,4 interim 117:22	job 1:25 49:11 67:16 190:21
Incumbent 136:3 Indian 92:21		191:20 200:11 211:25 212:2
indicate 46:1 47:14 60:16 63:7	interject 146:8	jobs 58:23 62:25
muicate 40.1 47.14 00:10 05:7	internal 80:8 91:10 106:10,23	10000000.2002.20

John 33:20.24 join 80:3 116:13 joined 11:17,19 14:11,12 27:5 **joining** 115:5 joins 113:3,9,18 Juarez 8:13,15,22 9:20,22,24,24 11:15.16 **Julia** 2:17 44:24 52:25 53:7,15 61:22 66:9 118:8,8,17 119:13 119:19 120:10 125:4,4,13,19 126:10,18 127:1 129:5,5,12 142:16,16 144:17 145:12,17 147:13,20 148:21,24 149:6,7,25 150:7 151:16 152:19 154:3 157:5,9,13 166:11,11,22,25 169:5 170:2.14 177:3.23.23 182:19,20 183:20 185:2,10,17 185:24 186:9.11 187:7 193:11 193:21 194:2,20 196:17,19 201:25 205:8,20 Julia's 165:10 July 15:13 61:18 92:22 jump 106:3 108:22 121:12 152:4 jumping 67:6 118:16 **June** 116:8 jurisdiction 168:10 **justify** 169:22

K K-a-p-l-a-n 25:4 **K.T** 2:6 **Kaplan** 24:10 25:4 26:17 55:25 57:7,12 66:14 68:2 200:25 201:18 202:16 206:21 keep 7:25 8:15,16 11:11 12:19 31:2 32:13 37:13 39:21 69:12 102:20 108:4 133:20 147:3 149:12,17 165:12 167:5 172:21 188:4 189:5 199:20,20 keeping 33:3 111:6 175:10 190:23.23 kept 98:6,7 keved 84:19 kicked 22:4 kid 63:22 160:13 168:23 169:3 kid's 23:13 **kids** 17:10 23:6 28:1,12 30:23 32:25 34:8 43:17,17 55:6 57:2 102:1 158:23 160:2,22 163:25

173:22 181:11 183:1,4,5,25 184:1 186:22 189:14 200:1,12 204:6.7 kill 37:3 kind 8:17 22:16 31:25 51:25 65:16 78:9 79:23 82:25 91:12 92:17 93:3 109:6 129:18 143:1 143:14,17 163:2 164:6 170:22 173:10 174:8,21 176:6 179:10 184:14,23 187:16 189:9 190:5 191:4 195:3 203:13 204:13 205:14,19 kindergarten 39:6 knew 43:12 knocking 165:5 knocks 195:16 know 10:14 11:2 13:20,20 15:24 16:4 17:7 18:11 19:2,24 21:4,24 21:25 23:9 24:19,22 25:23 26:1 27:23 29:3,23 30:19,19 31:14 36:9,10 38:7 39:5,21,23 40:1 41:2,3,4,8,9,17,20,23 42:13,14 42:21 43:2,3,6,16,18 46:17 48:11,23 50:1,24 51:3,17 52:1,6 52:9,11,17,24 53:22 54:4,8,15 55:4,12,18,20,23 56:24 57:14 63:24 65:22,24 71:22 73:16 74:2,2,3 75:10,12 77:9,13,16 79:15 82:9.21 84:9 86:15 87:2.8 88:1 89:3,25 90:21 91:6 92:2,12 94:3,22 96:12,18 97:18 98:17 100:19 102:3,16 106:24,25 109:12,18 110:11,20 114:7,10 114:15,18,19 115:13,20 117:24 119:3 125:20 127:13 129:8,22 130:5,7,8,13,16,20 131:10,12 131:13 132:22,24,25 133:16 134:12 135:25 136:1,2,3,8,13 136:17,18,21,21 137:10 138:7 139:23 140:13 141:17,21 142:15 144:16 145:21.25 146:19 152:22,23 153:3,5 154:20,25 155:12,22 156:8,11 156:21 158:6,8,22,23 159:11,16 160:6 161:8 162:19 163:14 165:9,12,14,25 167:10 168:9,10 168:19 169:15,16,22 170:3

166:15.18 167:8 168:25 169:16

169:18 171:3,9,13,17 172:25

171:25 172:8 173:18 174:14.15 175:6,8,15,22 176:16 178:3,8 178:23 179:1,2,4 181:11,14,19 181:21 182:3,5 184:8 185:25 187:3,17 188:9,22 190:6,24 191:6,22 193:7,13,16,18,19 194:14.16.20.25 195:3 196:1.1 196:22 197:20 199:25 200:2,25 201:24 202:3,7,25 203:2,7 204:5,22 206:16 208:14,20,20 209:5 knowing 81:6 known 31:24 190:9 knows 101:8 **Krane** 15:17 **Kyle** 67:15 154:23 156:25 159:11 163:18 164:2 166:8 173:18,20 173:23 180:9.20 184:9.10.17 185:20 207:4,7,13,16,20 208:5 208:9 209:2

L L 9:24 La 3:7 8:25 9:3,25 10:4 12:11,19 12:23 15:11 18:6,14 22:8 27:12 27:21 28:24 34:1 39:7,8 41:4 44:11 57:9,24 60:2,23 labeled 32:20 lack 19:20 56:6 land 94:25 landed 99:6 112:20 language 73:17 80:8 83:7,20 88:11 93:15 103:15 108:3.15 116:2 124:25 126:4 131:24 132:2 139:2 140:19 147:4,17 149:11,13 157:23 165:24 166:23 176:2 205:14 206:3 large 69:13 97:16 largely 164:4 largest 12:9 last-minute 66:12 late 16:3 124:18 125:3 130:3 131:16 law 2:17 19:13 62:19 119:1 139:14 177:24 178:10,12 laws 40:6 58:10,12 63:21,21 **lawsuit** 192:13 lead 11:1 leader 26:20 67:8

leaders 67:24 179:4 189:2 200:20 listed 12:14 19:18 72:5 143:4 lose 69:18 106:19 166:15,18 leadership 10:23 11:3 42:16 listen 202:7 167:8 43:11 listened 50:6 losing 15:17 146:11,11 171:3,9 leading 5:10 **listening** 50:14,24 66:5 155:13 173:2 lean 158:20 literacy 60:21 loss 23:10 **leaning** 175:20 literally 22:15 31:21 35:12 39:23 **lost** 14:18 23:15 27:16,17 42:6 learn 14:3 165:7 74:10 208:7 169:3 **lot** 13:16 15:15,19 20:14 29:8 learner 15:22 16:13,14 19:11 little 32:17,17 39:24 47:3 53:21 20:4 35:5 57:16,16 65:6 68:20 73:4 81:5 31:3 39:11,17 40:17 41:5 65:22 learners 41:14 171:14 82:3 90:9 96:20,22 99:18 77:3 109:18 110:9 112:14 learning 24:5 31:15 55:6 86:1 109:25 125:2,23 131:9 140:12 113:13,15 114:11 120:6 121:1 124:11 125:18 175:25 196:8 154:24 164:3 174:9 176:19 130:12 134:25 166:1 170:11 **leasing** 144:24 178:20 180:21 195:10 196:7 175:25 193:18 195:3 196:25 leave 37:17 126:19 142:17 160:8 202:22 203:4,22 204:1,12 202:11 205:20 160:23,24 161:3 168:8 169:17 **live** 39:7 **lots** 168:6 lives 28:8 109:18 **leaves** 140:7 lotteries 90:10 leaving 73:7 **local** 14:6 97:19 **love** 9:4,16 23:12 43:5 54:19 **left** 69:22,23 77:14 146:13 184:3 **located** 39:8 43:9 57:16.16 147:1 195:7 204:15 **legal** 23:18 32:22 45:14 50:15 log 121:2 **loved** 41:6 66:8 124:7 125:6,6,15,21 **log-in** 121:3,10 lovely 33:8 126:20,22 long 10:5 32:2 53:4 109:12 loves 34:1 35:9 176:21 182:2 205:25 low 60:24 136:19 151:7 190:1 legislature 130:7 **lend** 71:14 longer 15:21 38:20 57:1 197:10 lesson 199:11 look 32:14,15 34:6 56:13,18 68:5 **lower** 95:4 168:1 172:19 176:19 lessons 199:14 70:10.15 75:8 83:4 88:16 94:15 176:23 **let's** 5:17 64:4 65:5 70:10 109:9 96:6,8 98:21 99:3 100:11 lowered 81:5 156:2 179:13 208:11 103:11 119:13.23 131:19 lowering 179:20 **letter** 19:10 37:22 46:2 47:17 142:18 154:18 159:6 161:22 lowest 136:17 51:22 52:1 89:18 111:11,11 162:5 172:25 173:1 176:13.14 LTMAS's 35:16 180:20 183:2,4,4 185:15,20,23 letters 51:24 Lucy 2:11 8:3,5,14 9:21 11:24 **letting** 14:15 179:21 186:21 188:8 190:12,17,18 14:14.17 17:21 21:14.24 22:3 level 8:1 28:14 81:5 91:5 96:5 196:2 199:14 200:23 205:10 24:9 27:1 30:11 33:19 35:25 105:8 119:3 122:15 141:20 207:4,18 208:13 38:18 40:21 42:4,6,10 44:2,4 150:15 164:3 180:17 206:4 looked 29:10 50:5 55:20 85:8 201:3.5.10.12 Lunas 52:19 53:4 levels 13:25 74:10 148:1 104:24 152:23 153:10 154:19 Liaison 2:13 lunch 28:11 159:9 163:19 176:18 208:11 **liberty** 108:24 **looking** 32:1 38:8 44:25 55:3 M **License** 211:21 56:3 66:22 73:10,25 75:21 76:7 M-a-i-t-r-i 22:7 89:4 96:25 97:11,12,13 98:5,13 **lieu** 5:9 M-a-r-c-o-s 39:2 **light** 150:20,21 186:18 192:22 99:10 100:4 120:3 127:21 M-a-t-e-o 40:25 198:14 130:19 143:11 148:3 149:6 M-e-d-a 22:7 lightest 54:3 152:25 154:7,13,21 169:9 M-e-l-i-s-s-a 30:15 **lightly** 53:22 173:17 182:24,24 184:7 191:25 M-i-c-h-a-e-l 25:4 liked 91:14 193:3 202:24 M-v-s-z-k-o 33:25 limit 20:3 65:23 79:15 looks 22:3 38:20 42:2,7 97:23 ma'am 24:8 **limited** 7:23 13:21 102:18 161:24 162:18 165:10 **Mabry** 1:12 63:8 line 69:1,1 126:7 173:11 175:19 183:14 202:18 magnet 168:22,23 169:3 **list** 3:17 10:6,7 67:9 72:7 200:19 loosened 133:8 mailbox 12:14 201:8 205:24 Los 19:2 20:20 52:19 53:4

main 142:20 meant 74:9 144:12 143:23 145:8,14 146:14,21 **Maitri** 22:5,7 measure 55:1 76:22 93:17 94:3 149:14 150:25 154:9 155:5,14 majority 134:23 183:13 95:20 171:13 196:5,7 156:4,11 161:20,25 162:13 making 17:2,4 52:9 102:4 115:15 measured 85:20,21 164:14 172:8,20 179:15 185:25 151:12 153:18 169:2 179:14,19 measurement 159:19,21,25 191:2 202:12 180:15 182:17 187:3,4 191:25 measures 80:21 93:23 94:19,20 Melisha 23:13 192:7 194:1.3.5.8 196:10 Melissa 30:12.15 42:17 51:19 108:13 156:3 196:1.3 203:23 204:17 mechanism 53:24 Melissa's 4:6 malfeasance 13:14 **Meda** 22:5.6 member 2:4,4,5,5,6,6,7 12:11,23 **malice** 36:22 Mediation 2:17 52:5 59:22 109:9 113:25 116:3 malicious 36:22 38:3 mediators 13:8 116:8 managed 59:10 mediocrity 188:3 members 14:25 25:12,13,24 26:9 meet 33:11,11 51:15 60:13 81:15 26:14,14 37:20,25 38:7,15 manager 60:7 Manis 2:6 4:25 5:1,9 6:8,9 7:12 81:17 91:10 95:3,5,6,17 96:24 62:16 67:14 80:9 108:19,19 7:13 64:12,13 182:7,8 184:21 97:6,9 98:24,25 99:1,2 100:10 109:17,25 110:3,3,8,9,15,16,23 103:2,6,18 108:12 117:5 118:3 209:23,24 110:24 111:2.4.15 112:15 manner 58:23 59:10 62:25 118:6,21,21 124:8,23,23 127:8 115:25 116:4,12 120:20 148:3 **March** 1:11 56:14 77:2 90:6 127:15.20 128:9.17.21.22.22.25 151:25 92:13 114:24 115:3 211:15 131:2,6,7,8,14,16 136:15 137:6 membership 25:9 Marcos 38:19,20 39:1 137:16,17 139:5,7,10 143:23 memorized 159:12 marine 34:3 144:2,4,8,10 145:8,8,15,15 mention 119:10 mentioned 63:19 109:16 116:22 **marked** 75:25 147:7 149:10,14,16,18,19,20 market 81:19 150:3,16 151:1,19,20,21,22 mess 124:22 176:16 181:25 match 145:12 152:17 153:2,13,23 154:4 155:7 messages 202:4 matches 98:25 157:2 158:10 162:8 164:14 messing 151:10 Mateo 38:22 40:22,24 51:18 167:25 168:18.18 169:19 messy 75:2 171:24 172:1,3,6,16 186:15 met 41:21 62:16 67:15 68:24 material 18:15 46:12 59:25 73:13 121:12 129:2 177:25 89:11 99:7 100:8 104:19 140:15 187:9 202:13 204:24,25 materials 45:23 46:13 68:7 meeting 1:10 4:2 6:22 11:19 150:14 184:18 13:12 14:13 19:9 24:19 29:16 139:12 method 115:10,11 math 17:11.13 60:20 153:7 37:19 41:18 45:10,20 49:21 metric 194:23 Matt 72:2,6 66:7 67:15 68:7 75:7 78:2,14 **Mexico** 1:2,13,22 2:18 5:15 matter 32:5 190:14,14,15 211:13 79:9 80:22 82:20 85:11,15,16 24:17 25:5 26:6 57:8,18 66:4,13 matters 60:1 82:11 103:16 90:23 97:8 99:15 106:22 108:10 74:23 79:11 94:11 95:11 131:4 110:6 117:7,19 118:11 120:25 187:22 189:13 190:9,10 197:24 maximize 67:21 123:4,8,8,14,15,17,20,25 130:1 203:12 211:2,9,12,13,19,20 McCurdy 18:8 mean 10:18,23 19:3 20:13 32:23 132:20 135:17,18,21,21,22,24 Michael 2:7 24:10,15,22,24 25:3 51:20 54:14 73:24 85:3 86:25 136:5,23 137:13 139:18 140:7 middle 160:8 164:1 167:1 197:3 88:1 93:11 97:15 105:9 110:15 146:2 153:6,20 156:23 157:18 military 11:2 mind 5:10,13 7:3 14:15 29:2 48:2 113:14 119:17 121:21 124:1 165:5 186:1 195:14 197:12 128:10 134:22,22 147:16 152:6 198:20 199:16 202:2,12 212:3,4 48:8 49:12 56:22 125:19 146:11 153:11 161:13,21 175:2,18 176:11 180:8 182:16 195:2 meeting's 123:10 182:2 184:4 194:10,11 199:23 meetings 15:3 16:2 20:5,5 22:18 203:6 206:20 22:21 31:4 40:4 56:8 79:20 mindset 191:4 meaningful 165:6 Mine 15:4 117:11,12,13,16 118:1,10,13 minimum 94:2 136:20 means 118:20 131:20 132:25 119:9 124:1 135:4,6,8 133:1,15 146:21,22 150:3 meets 95:2,5,9,17 97:6,9 98:7 minute 136:25 196:16 155:12 157:10 161:14 191:13 126:4 127:16,17 128:23 131:7 minutes 6:20,21 7:25 8:16 14:24 193:2 194:15 131:10 139:22 141:1 143:20,21 16:2 20:2 21:19 39:9 65:5

99:19 116:19 117:12.20 118:11 119:4 120:3 121:3,6,11,15 122:16,17 123:4,10,25 135:12 135:14,20 137:1 mirror 56:18 misappropriation 59:20 missed 101:2 152:10 missing 137:16,18 mission 13:3 28:24 61:24 62:6 157:15 mission-specific 62:5,9,10,14,17 156:19 157:8 **Missy** 132:20 mix 89:9 166:5 model 108:15 156:21 184:14 modified 71:7 **modify** 105:5 206:3 moment 42:9 100:9 158:7 **Monday** 72:2,3 money 50:9,11,13,14,15,16,21 55:16 90:4 **monitor** 161:9 monkeys 22:24 **Montessori** 3:7 9:25 10:4,4,8 12:19 13:23 15:5,6,10 16:4,5,11 17:8,17 19:5,15,17 22:9 23:8 27:13 28:23 30:1 41:12 43:11 44:11 57:24 60:2 62:3,4 Montessori-based 17:7 Montessori-style 24:5 **Montessorians** 31:15 42:21,25 month 78:19 123:5 128:13 monthly 131:6 141:2 months 12:7 17:1 25:14,21 26:4 26:13 50:5,19 70:25 86:16 108:20 109:7,13 110:2 111:25 112:20,20 113:8 115:3 116:1 148:8 **moot** 108:8 morning 8:5,6 14:25 30:13 40:23 121:23 122:1 205:23 mother 22:7 27:12 **motion** 45:18 63:20 209:9 move 5:17,19 6:24 35:12 44:9 45:18 46:25 47:16 57:22 63:14 64:4 65:3,10 79:16 116:18 136:13 167:1,2,23 171:17 179:24 180:4,5 181:8 189:15 moved 22:11 95:8 177:4 186:24

192:13 209:10 movement 97:19 moving 56:24 168:9 170:23 179:11 multi-speakers 165:8 multiple 90:2 mute 12:2 24:12 27:8 49:17 63:16 Myszko 33:24

N

N 2:1 3:1,1 97:24 **N/A** 104:3 157:12 name 8:10,21 9:23,25 14:23 15:1 17:23,25 18:1 21:18 24:13,18 27:9 29:20 30:15 33:24 36:5 38:24 40:24 49:24 139:9 203:8 names 32:14 43:8 51:20,20 Naomi 65:16,22 66:18 67:6,10 68:8,10 69:17,18 71:8,17 73:5 74:5,8,9,12 76:2,8,17 78:11 80:4 81:14 83:2 85:13,19,24 86:9,20 87:13 88:10,19 93:5,14 94:18 95:7,14,19 96:4 98:5,16 99:9,21,25 102:21 103:1,8 104:3,11,18 106:12 107:13,20 107:25 111:5 112:5,7,17,23 116:17 117:4 118:8,14,23 119:7 119:14,15 122:19,24 123:13,18 123:21 125:4,11,16,25 126:5,11 126:18,23 127:4,7 129:11,16 130:10.16 132:5.17 133:10 134:8 135:11 136:7 137:22 138:1,8,12 139:21 140:4,8 141:11,15 142:8,12,16 143:19 145:9,14,19 147:1 148:14,22 149:11,19 150:12 152:2,8,12,18 153:9 154:11,17,24 155:10,21 156:8,19 157:4,12,14,21 158:1 159:14,16,18,22 160:5,21 162:15 163:21 164:7.12 167:18 167:23 169:13 170:10 171:6 172:18.23 176:25 177:1 178:7 182:18 183:8 184:8,15,19,25 185:4,7,12 186:3,10,13 187:11 192:20,21 193:25 194:4 195:18 196:23 205:22 Naomi's 67:21 197:8

Natasha 23:15 nation 12:9 national 14:5 nationally 87:5 183:17 187:22 nationwide 197:23 Native 29:6 natural 163:25 164:15 nature 73:15 92:21 necessarily 46:17 75:11 111:7 116:6,10 123:9 163:15 205:1 necessary 17:5,6 23:4 110:20 need 13:22 17:13,14,18 20:25 23:23,23 26:8 31:21 37:16 41:24 43:19 46:18 47:15 62:17 67:22 72:23 76:6 78:6,7 82:10 83:17.21 85:6 86:10 88:7.8.22 90:15 91:5 100:19 102:2,4 114:25 115:1 116:25 119:15 121:9,10,16 126:12,15 130:23 133:18 138:11 139:7,9 140:14 141:17 143:15,17 145:25 148:17 152:15 159:2 161:8 168:7 169:24 175:13 181:8 182:5,6,9 184:13 188:18 189:15 190:1 196:15 202:1 needed 30:7 42:15 43:14 50:19 80:9.9 141:8 178:13 needing 139:5 needs 15:19 17:6 23:6 30:1 34:17 37:15 75:4 84:4 113:5 115:14 122:4 123:11 133:20 142:25 143:1 168:14 169:10 171:15 174:5 194:8 nefarious 27:19 negative 187:12 194:6 198:25 negligent 29:13 **negotiate** 170:4 178:19 **negotiated** 61:9 62:7 **negotiation** 166:15 169:12 170:7 170:8 **negotiations** 61:5 165:11 167:7 167:13 174:12 175:6 179:2 181:24 neighborhood 160:16 nervous 154:25 178:21 203:21 neurodivergent 13:25 27:14 never 54:21 70:16 168:6 193:1 new 1:2,13,22 2:18 5:15 22:11,11 24:17 25:5,13 26:6,14 37:20

narrow 88:8

NW 1:21 211:20 165:11 166:9 168:23 192:16 38:14 51:20 54:23 57:8.18 58:19 62:21 66:4,13 67:14 68:1 **NWEA** 100:25 198:13.21 74:23 79:11 83:1 84:11 85:2.5 one's 186:11 191:24 198:7 0 89:6,15,22 90:14 91:22,24 92:1 one-time 137:24 138:19 **O** 3:1,1 94:11 95:11 106:14 108:19 **One-Two** 146:13 Obenshain 203:12 109:8 110:3,8 111:2 112:15 ones 110:24 138:11 146:9 163:19 objection 97:16 111:6,8 118:4 115:22 116:11 131:4 132:9 168:9 obligation 142:21 157:16 174:1,5 177:16 178:25 **ongoing** 63:20 observations 195:23 187:22 189:13 190:9,10 197:24 online 120:14 observing 24:19 203:12 208:3,8 211:2,9,12,13 open 1:10 3:6 7:23 33:3 59:15 **obvious** 168:9 211:19,20 75:21 117:13 118:10,12 119:9 obviously 10:9 newer 89:10 155:24 202:1 212:3,4 Occupancy 126:17 nice 16:9 203:3 opened 67:3 85:4 occur 138:17 night 196:14 opening 87:11 90:17 occurred 19:8 79:20 nine-zero 64:25 openness 16:21 205:5 occurs 131:21 ninth 173:14 **operate** 206:12 offer 48:7 67:25 135:19 200:24 nitty-gritty 143:4 operation 58:9 87:19 88:13,21 offering 23:21 **NM** 1:20 operational 50:15 73:9 108:13 offers 13:8 NMSA 41:1 57:13 58:4,6 143:25 153:25 **office** 143:8 no-excuses 171:9 operations 151:11 167:20,24 officer 45:25 nobody's 188:5 **opinion** 129:1 160:7 official 24:20 **non-applicable** 107:11,15 **opportunities** 22:13 39:16 40:16 **offline** 178:8 non-discriminatory 85:24 40:17 **oh** 57:10 75:9 102:16 114:25 non-renewed 191:24 **opportunity** 9:6 26:20 39:3 160:22 178:5 183:11 191:23,25 107:2 173:1 177:17 193:15 **noncompliance** 19:11 47:6 60:1 192:8 normal 14:1 164:24,25 208:25,25 **oppose** 92:7 **Ohkay** 39:8 47:1 58:3 63:10 208:25 **opposed** 123:20 okay 5:5 6:1 9:21 11:22,24 14:18 normally 85:4 option 95:23,24 98:11,12 99:4 17:21 21:14,21 24:9,14,25 25:3 **note** 15:14 55:25 197:8 100:4,11,18 102:3,14 103:3,11 27:1 29:23 30:11 33:19.23 103:13,16 104:5,6,8 105:10,25 notes 56:3 57:11 35:25 38:18,20 40:1,21 42:21 **notice** 3:13 32:9 44:20 45:1.5.6 105:25 106:10 107:12.23 154:8 50:17 52:23 53:13 54:11 61:22 46:3,4 56:23 57:23 60:2 63:5,9 155:15,22,23 168:3,4 196:11 67:2.2.4 69:17 71:16 72:20 143:1,5 148:3 149:3 150:10 **Options** 100:19 196:2 81:25 86:7,9 95:3 96:1 98:20 151:20 194:8,9,10,14 200:10,13 order 3:3 4:3 143:3 147:23 148:6 99:22 103:19 108:3 112:22 noticed 26:5 189:16 190:3 198:17 117:2,4 118:12 123:13,18 124:5 **notices** 194:6,6,7,13 197:9,12 organizational 143:7.18 147:25 126:25 134:3,6,8 135:24 144:17 198:20 148:11 149:1 150:5,8 170:23 152:9,18 154:6 156:2 161:3 notification 117:18 171:23 176:4 174:13 175:20 185:2 189:13.14 organizations 12:9 13:17 notified 45:3 189:17 191:1 203:11 204:24 **notify** 58:13 59:18 63:10 organize 111:1 206:14 207:16.20 November 37:24 41:10 130:14 organized 46:7 **Oktopost** 119:17 **number** 8:2 46:20 85:8 92:23 orientation 111:3 old 163:13 94:18.24 97:15 106:20 139:9 original 165:4 older 34:3 89:10 154:22 161:20 165:4 176:23 204:5,7 originally 89:25 117:23 139:24 **OMA** 120:10,16,24 121:17,17,18 212:2 ought 30:23 167:11 122:12 123:22 **numbers** 95:13,14 110:4 169:8 **outcome** 168:25 once 49:4 83:20 92:7 101:9 106:5 176:14 181:16 182:17 193:17 outside 77:25 78:14 101:11 111:3 122:17 124:22 129:14 **numerous** 13:8,16,16 58:15,22 133:18 206:7 135:6 139:5 154:4 162:18 59:5 62:16,24 overall 87:17,25 88:25 108:4,5

147:7 148:18 150:4.23 151:22 **parity** 176:13 53:3.5.7 59:23 60:4 80:7 83:8 park 165:5 183:14 185:6 186:6 195:11 83:24 91:4,5,11,20 93:2 96:13 204:13 206:5 parsed 85:8 101:4 124:15 131:12 133:11 overarching 203:14 part 6:21,22 22:21 26:24 31:22 147:9 168:16 200:21 212:7 overfunded 90:8 36:14 38:11 51:6 57:12 65:11 **Peixinho** 40:24 51:18 overload 205:16 65:12 67:11 75:5 83:13 87:2 penalize 169:2 97:25 99:17 100:5 108:1 114:5 overproject 90:17 penalized 83:12 overprojected 92:3 114:6.16 120:5 126:6 137:11 penalizing 158:13 overreaching 203:22 138:15 145:13 165:15 167:13 people 8:3,6 16:16 24:1 32:2,3,24 oversee 131:3 141:20 168:5 170:5 176:7 201:17 40:13 50:24 51:3 53:19 80:1 overseeing 62:20 part-time 58:19 62:21 113:5,6 114:11,11 120:7 121:5 oversight 3:12 44:18 69:25 132:7 **Partic-** 103:19 121:10 145:25 157:22 160:8 132:23 144:16 153:17 194:19 participants 67:9 176:15,18 179:21 181:20 overtime 33:17 participate 23:1 24:2 42:24 188:17 200:23 208:17 overview 47:24 69:12 60:12 97:19 percent 55:1 60:16,19,19,20,21 overwhelmed 32:11 participated 97:12 60:22.25 81:7.15 90:24 93:22 overwhelming 55:24 56:6 participating 21:3 23:11 103:24 94:2,4,5,21,24 95:2,3,4,5,5,6,15 **Owinge** 58:3 participation 23:22 31:16,17,20 95:17,24 96:7,9,11,14,20,21,22 Owingeh 39:8 47:1 63:10 31:25 60:24 94:17,21 96:7 97:1 97:5,7,8,9,9 98:7,7,9 99:6,11,14 owners 31:13 97:4,17 98:17,19 99:2 100:8,10 100:7,14 101:7,13,15 102:20 102:22 103:12,21 104:5 105:2,8 103:4 105:5,8,18 106:6,21,23 P 105:22 106:6,14 107:8 108:1 107:9 108:6 117:16,23,23,24,25 **P** 2:1,1 3:1 156:9 185:21 156:10,12,12,13,13 157:5 P-e-i-x-i-n-h-o 40:25 particular 23:6 125:1 196:12 159:22 161:19,25 162:8,10,11 **p.m** 65:7,7 210:7 particularly 112:10 162:16 164:10 170:8 172:19.24 **packet** 27:17 parties 29:16 173:11 174:16 175:9,9,18,19,21 Page 3:2 25:10 88:16 99:10 131:2 partner 93:2 176:11 177:2.19.19 179:12.19 pages 211:10 **partnership** 69:7 70:18 181:9 182:25 183:25 193:19 **Pahl** 72:2 parts 68:23 percentage 96:23 97:11,12 98:1 paid 28:10 136:2 pass 44:22 65:15 175:4,5 202:10 pallets 20:13 passes 6:17 7:21 64:25 210:4 percentages 162:6 163:10 182:11 pandemic 177:9 patience 43:25 185:11 panel 14:15 67:14 **PATRICIA** 2:5 percentile 154:9 176:15 paper 11:1 56:5 **PEREA** 2:13 212:7 Pattie 73:5 papers 192:24 Paul 28:13 perfect 118:5 159:4 203:4 paragraph 61:3 perfectly 157:24 **pause** 42:8 parallel 146:9 pay 50:13 84:13 **performance** 3:10,14 25:7 44:15 parent 8:24 18:3 19:25 31:17 **PC** 2:17 46:23 59:23 62:2 65:11,13 37:7,10 39:6,18 41:11 97:16 PCSNM 78:20 66:20 69:22 89:17 90:18 92:8 parents 2:10,12 9:6 11:7 12:20 Pearce 11:25 12:1 14:9 51:20 99:7,11 104:25 105:13,16 12:21 13:7 17:3 19:21 20:6.15 **PEC** 2:13,16 3:12 6:21,22 13:4 111:12,14 113:21 143:20,22,24 21:2 22:14,20 23:18,22 24:3 13:21 19:9 25:15,19 44:19 51:4 144:3,8 147:12 149:8,21,23 27:20.25 28:22 29:14.16 30:18 56:8 61:5.8.20 75:13 79:13 150:1,3 151:2,20,22 152:17,23 30:24 31:1,5,10,19 32:8,10 33:6 94:14 95:12 96:2 102:6 108:21 152:25 153:14,20,21 154:4 34:22 35:1 36:8 37:6 39:19,22 123:20 126:3 143:3,5 147:23 156:22 159:3 173:7 174:20 39:24 42:1,14 43:21 48:6,19,20 148:3,9,13,24 150:9,10 178:17 177:24 178:15 180:1 187:10,20 49:6 51:19 55:15 56:20,25 178:23 202:14 208:12 194:9,11 195:13,15 197:12,19 121:24 **PEC's** 65:25 68:6 74:18 198:16,21 201:22 204:14 208:3

parents' 13:11 48:17

PED 2:8 19:10 51:3 52:17,19

performing 88:6 151:7 197:10

198:1,22,23 205:1	71:2 94:16 207:13	powers 194:16
period 8:19 45:23 61:18 124:1	Pledge 5:11,12	practice 81:24 87:4,5 93:1
129:8 177:15	plenty 22:12 89:7	120:13 167:19
permission 8:10 21:16	plugged 157:1	praise 16:15
permissions 8:8	point 16:13 23:16 27:14 41:23	pre-COVID 91:19 209:2
perplexed 22:10	47:13 48:16 51:9,10 57:6 76:10	pre-opening 87:2
persecuting 29:5	77:18 92:11 94:5 98:11,12 99:6	precious 34:5
persevering 31:2	100:3 112:19,21 116:16 119:16	prefer 27:21 76:8,23
person 7:25 27:18 45:19 55:25	126:11 130:11 133:19 134:24	preferred 77:1
58:20,22 61:9 62:22,24 68:11	164:22,23 175:24 183:10,18	preliminary 129:17
120:6 176:12 206:21	189:5,8 190:4 191:7 192:11	prepared 45:17 68:14
person's 32:10	202:15 206:19	preparing 139:11,18
personal 160:7 189:10 202:4	pointed 54:12	present 4:10,12,14,18 49:21 61:6
personally 8:17 51:24 160:7	policies 91:12	61:10,13 117:17 118:1
172:18	policy 141:8 177:3	presentation 66:6
perspective 165:10	politely 122:3	presented 48:8
persuaded 96:20	Poms 20:10 29:15 54:13,18 58:15	presently 142:20
petition 12:10,13 13:10,19 19:25	poor 171:4	preserving 124:15
22:19 23:19 32:4,15 37:17,18	poorly 160:23	president 15:12 18:4 21:11 42:19
petitions 13:16	popped 27:8	pressure 101:24 190:2
Ph.D 42:17	populated 72:16 73:2	pretend 190:18 207:14 208:12
phrase 51:13	population 99:13 183:13 193:8,9	pretty 22:10 75:2 121:9 128:25
physical 124:12 125:7	populations 195:22	129:19 130:17 139:4 154:15
pick 18:16 101:24 102:3,14 196:6	portfolio 89:5	164:20 169:8 172:2 208:23
196:7	portion 71:9	previous 13:12 65:14 68:24
picked 18:18 98:11	position 48:25 56:13 58:20 62:22	123:10 128:24 129:7
pieces 204:18	131:25 172:14 204:21	previously 46:24
pilot 158:8 178:25 179:25 181:20	positions 15:18 41:14 189:19	priest 29:7
182:9 195:25	204:22	Principles 128:20
piloting 165:2 181:17	positive 39:12 43:14 127:18	prior 60:5 85:10 117:19 135:17
place 15:7 16:2 20:24 28:12	168:25 194:7	private 160:15
35:12 37:9 81:3,17 85:21 87:10	positives 194:17 200:8	pro-governing 114:20,22
87:12 91:7,12 108:9 117:5	possibility 37:5 51:4 196:21	proactive 90:9
124:16 133:25 168:22 173:8	possible 3:7 44:10 52:9 53:23	probably 41:24 54:20 77:24 78:2
188:23 193:17	61:17 66:15 88:5 131:24	78:20 79:2,23 89:22 101:18,21
placed 28:9 58:17	possibly 40:14 51:1 114:19 122:1	128:14 131:14 135:5 151:24
places 9:2 34:8,9 85:1,20,22	post 75:13 117:18,20 118:11	175:19 185:12 196:15 201:23
plain 200:14	121:14 142:4	problem 9:8 31:9 90:8 125:9
plan 19:18 33:10 40:11 41:19	posted 65:25 68:7 118:22 119:4	135:23 136:1,6
42:1 55:18 58:17	121:1 122:17,18 123:10,12	problems 17:15 55:13
planning 70:22 76:21 199:10	posting 117:11 118:23 119:11	procedure 63:6
plans 19:23 199:11	122:4 123:25 142:2 potential 45:14 46:4 47:11 73:2	proceedings 1:10 210:7 211:11
play 4:5	•	212:3
played 77:18	potentially 46:21 133:24 143:13 potholes 12:22	process 47:18 77:17 83:18 85:25
playing 150:19 pleas 12:18	pouring 68:13	91:2 157:16 168:4,15,15 169:24 206:8
please 4:8 5:11 7:4 8:10,16,18,18	power 13:21 170:10	processes 82:16
9:18 11:10 12:3,19 14:7 21:8,18	powerful 68:23 199:19	procurement 59:12
33:14 35:15,17,20,21 38:13	PowerPoint 69:13,15,16 76:17	Professional 1:21
33.17 33.13,17,20,21 30.13	1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 7 . 1 3 , 1 3 , 1 0 7 0 . 1	1 1 01C5510H41 1.21

182:6 191:12 proficiencies 185:14,15 rain 68:13 proficiency 60:20,21 185:6,6 raise 167:12 201:13,14 published 130:3 191:7,9 192:14,15,17 207:10 **pueblo** 39:8 43:9 49:2 58:3 63:10 raise-hand 201:16 **proficient** 60:17,19 **pueblos** 43:14 raised 64:3 112:8 178:23 205:10 program 59:14,16 61:25 62:3,7 pull 176:8 181:2 202:25 raising 167:14 **pulled** 16:19 163:18 ran 95:13,14 96:22 157:17 progress 3:9 44:13 89:20 141:6 pure 28:21 rank 188:1 **ranking** 187:22 project 90:22 purple 87:18 88:4,18,21,24 projected 91:9 **Purpose** 61:23 rapidly 15:12 purposes 27:19 93:18 **projection** 90:24,24 rare 100:24 107:6 projections 86:3,12 203:24 pursuits 34:18 rate 60:24 86:2 94:21 96:7 97:1,4 204:11.24.25 **purview** 78:15 98:17,19 100:8,10 101:20 **pushes** 76:15 102:23 103:12,21 104:5 105:2,9 **prom** 166:2 promising 29:17 **pushing** 189:2 107:8 108:1 110:15,15 111:18 **promote** 67:8 125:7 **put** 15:5 29:2 46:7,15 48:6 49:2 158:3 184:16 **promotes** 124:12 50:1 73:1 75:13 77:5 82:22 rated 88:3.23 208:1 pronunciation 122:23 91:7,12 122:14 125:8,13 142:4 rates 156:9 rating 83:11 87:20 88:25 107:22 **properly** 24:2 41:14 146:8.12 147:17 155:3 168:1 **property** 54:21,25 55:1 59:21 169:18 171:12 175:16 177:6,17 107:22 110:14 114:16 207:10 proposed 63:6 180:16 192:21 194:7 201:2,20 ratings 144:10 157:1 164:6 prospering 39:21 rationale 94:22 201:22 putting 20:22 26:1 75:6 77:11 **proud** 43:4 ratios 127:14 **provide** 3:11 26:19 44:18,23 46:5 90:18 176:6,11 177:18 194:4 **RBA** 156:21 62:4 63:5 87:11 128:11 136:22 re-enrollment 158:3 182:10 0 137:13 138:25 140:9 141:9 183:23 184:16.22 qualify 172:6 143:5 203:16 reach 12:20 46:25 47:14 quality 114:12 189:17 provided 45:4 59:16 60:2 80:24 reached 13:7 41:10.17 **quandary** 175:15 reaching 190:11 192:18 108:15 109:6 quarter 154:15,16,16 155:8 **provides** 39:16 117:10 138:16 read 25:16 46:16 75:1 140:2,20 question 52:21,24 53:14 59:24 providing 58:20 62:22 117:15 152:10 71:20 72:20,20 81:10 82:2,13 provision 22:23 reading 115:18 153:6 82:24 83:3,13 96:4,8 102:22 provisions 62:19 reads 125:10 139:20 148:2 104:23 128:18 133:11,13 **PTA** 18:4 20:4 21:5,10 22:18 ready 42:16,18,21 43:23 47:17 141:19 32:2 84:1 92:22 129:20,21 174:23 questions 48:2 49:23 50:23 52:16 PTAs 31:23 32:1 180:5 53:18 71:18 83:18 129:4 real 15:4 92:3 135:23 152:20 **public** 1:1.10 8:21 9:23 12:2.4 quick 8:2 52:16 69:11 81:10 14:25 16:6 17:23 18:1 21:9 168:19 181:16 203:13 196:18 209:13 22:6,12 24:14,17,21,25 25:3 real- 54:2 **auicker** 113:16 27:6,15 30:13 31:18 33:14,21 reality 37:15 76:25 quickly 81:7 130:17 152:5,21 realize 102:17 103:20 33:23 36:2.5 38:23 39:1 40:23 180:10 42:12 43:23 44:7 48:12 49:5 realized 109:8 quite 13:9 19:4 134:17 161:24 really 9:2,5 15:7 16:14,18 17:17 53:4 55:12,16 57:23 58:18 174:22 59:11 63:23 66:4.13 70:3 74:23 18:22 30:23 31:11 34:7 40:14 **quorum** 5:6 117:16 118:1 79:10 94:10 95:11 112:18 43:19,25 57:15 68:22 70:11,20 120:15 121:12 122:9 124:5 70:25 71:14 74:14 75:2 77:17 R 81:7,7 84:11,18 90:21,23 92:9 130:12 131:3 177:14 201:11,19 **R** 2:1 3:1 202:3,20 203:9,11 207:25 211:1 92:11,25 98:21 99:16 100:2 race 183:15 190:14 211:12 212:3,4,5 106:15,25 109:19 110:22 114:2 radically 31:18 publicly 117:11,11,18,19 123:25 114:11 120:10,19 122:10

reference 121:17 123:22 renewals 193:2 145:15.21 147:9 148:5 151:10 151:10 152:5 153:16 155:11,16 referring 72:6 renewed 89:9 186:20 155:23 156:6 157:10,22 158:4,6 refers 141:16 renovating 43:10 158:17 168:25 170:25 171:2 **reflect** 111:10 **repair** 34:16 reflected 71:22 112:1 116:15 175:4,11,15 179:17,23 180:10 repaired 34:17 180:11 181:15 188:25 189:11 reflecting 106:12 198:15 repairs 50:11 reflects 69:3 190:21.22 192:3 193:4 196:18 repeat 88:10 197:14,25 198:2 200:6 206:8,11 refuse 30:4 repeating 104:13 realm 51:4 197:6 **refusing** 9:9 29:10 rephrase 118:15 119:8 reason 55:19 100:6 102:12 119:8 **regarding** 3:8 12:9 41:16 44:12 replace 20:25 126:12 130:18 135:7 155:14 57:25 60:5 62:5 replaced 56:10 157:11 162:5 166:17 167:9 regardless 84:16 104:8 107:16 replacement 19:23 regards 12:7 25:8 48:9,13 report 20:10 27:20 29:15 43:6 168:19 175:17 189:11 reasonable 81:4,17 94:24 109:14 regular 14:1 123:8,20 135:17 54:13,18 60:4 106:13 111:10,13 reasonably 45:15 123:6 regularly 123:17 112:2 135:20 141:6 146:14 related 3:7,11 44:11,17 58:12 reasons 109:15 160:24 168:6 149:24 174:1 200:14 **REBEKKA 2:3** 59:20 61:17 REPORTED 1:20 rebuild 33:9 relating 58:11 59:16,17 60:10 reporter 8:11 21:19 24:13 211:9 **REC'D** 212:10,15,20,25 142:19 211:19 **REPORTER'S** 3:15 211:7 recall 12:11 19:25 relationship 51:25 recalled 35:20 relationship-type 40:2 reporting 1:21 82:7 112:14 relative 110:6 122:2 **RECEIPT** 212:1 130:25 131:6 receive 83:11 87:25 88:24 relv 120:21 reports 59:2 110:18 111:19,25 received 19:12 28:4 46:10 66:10 relying 49:5 121:6 142:23 represent 24:2 80:6 104:19 108:23 128:21 remain 28:2 remained 29:20 33:8 receiving 28:5 representative 61:4,7 Recess 65:7 remaining 23:16 represented 61:8 recognize 130:22 remains 29:13 represents 61:11 recognized 169:1 **remedy** 61:17 request 62:14 78:10 120:11 recognizing 32:14 remember 5:23 53:3 75:10 80:18 131:11 207:2,3 209:6 82:14 89:22 128:3 164:16 recommend 112:11 requested 20:5,6 **recommendation** 82:2 142:22,25 166:13 require 20:11 118:10 121:14,20 recommendations 83:10 **remind** 94:16 122:20 124:4 143:14 record 11:17 52:14 109:22 116:5 required 19:12 25:15 104:9 removal 28:23 206:19,20 remove 36:20 37:4,6 80:21 105:15,17 108:21 113:11 records 59:15 108:17 123:22 125:16 140:5 118:24 123:9 131:5.21 141:4.10 recruit 114:24 147:4 151:13 163:25 168:17 requirement 96:15 105:3 122:14 **recruiting** 115:7,10 123:2 125:6,7,15,21 126:20,22 191:13 removed 70:4,5,9 85:14 126:6 136:4 185:8 recruitment 115:11 red 71:12 88:18 89:6.8 106:9 138:15 requirements 25:20 110:6 124:7 107:12 117:24 128:12 137:18 **Remy** 28:16,19,19 124:18 133:7 134:16 138:23 141:22 142:13 138:15 149:20 176:20 183:19 renew 178:10 renewal 69:10 100:5 128:6 144:1 requires 45:9,13 118:11 143:13 208:16.19 red-eve 196:14 144:5,6,19,20,22 145:2,4,6,7,23 177:24 194:6 redline 73:19,22 74:5 80:17 requiring 3:12 44:19 121:18 145:25 146:10,23 147:3 152:16 redlined 74:20,25 75:24 153:15 154:2 172:7 186:19 reschedule 31:4 redo 27:17 187:6 188:22 191:19,21 192:9 research 16:1 53:23 54:17 reds 147:15 192:23 193:6,22 194:1,10,11 reserve 193:4 **reenrollment** 85:23 86:2 195:13,21 198:8 206:6,13 resigned 19:19,22

resiliency 39:13 127:7 128:16 132:6 134:2.2 S resilient 53:20,20 137:3 138:20 140:17 142:8,14 S 2:1 3:1 resource 11:6 34:10 145:21 147:10 148:8 150:7 **S-a-l-a-z-a-r** 30:16 resources 33:7 151:3 152:14 153:6,20,22 155:6 S-p-a-r-k-s 27:11 respect 8:18 56:19 155:9 156:14,18 157:25 160:4 S.T.A.R.S 82:7 173:25 180:10 respond 51:23 111:22 161:1,19 162:20 163:11,16 Sacramento 68:13 response 16:24 127:6 132:4 164:25 168:1.7 170:10 171:25 sad 23:14 28:19 responsibilities 25:9 51:16 174:2.22 179:12 180:8 182:15 safe 54:25 126:19,24 127:2 responsible 15:25 59:11 104:21 182:18 185:9,19 186:14 188:23 safety 3:11 44:17 54:18 55:5,6 141:18 203:23 193:17 194:5 195:24 197:9 58:7,13,16 126:13 responsive 11:4 200:1 201:7,14,17,18 204:10,23 **Salazar** 30:12,15 33:13 rest 35:16 205:17 207:24 210:5 Salute 5:15 restate 30:1 rights 29:22 Sam 203:11 206:21 **restrictive** 115:19.21 risen 52:7 Santa 1:13 2:18 18:9 19:1 20:20 **resubmit** 124:19 rising 189:1,2 45:19 55:14 63:8 result 71:21 72:22 79:10 89:18 **RMR** 211:8 satisfactory 194:11 200:14 RMR-CRR 1:20 211:18 save 13:22 17:18 38:1,15 56:21 resulting 58:5 70:13 road 181:19 119:5 results 58:23 60:15 62:25 89:4 robotics 28:10 saw 72:14 197:8 201:24 202:23 156:6 159:7 164:13 **rogue** 40:6 saying 13:18 33:5 77:5 88:22 retained 49:20 50:2 role 42:19 80:5 104:19 106:21 133:1 135:25 retaining 50:5 roles 4:5 147:11 148:15 150:14 161:8 retaliation 28:21 roll 3:3 4:8 5:24 7:4 185:11 165:16 166:12,15 176:21 178:6 retroactively 70:15 209:13 181:20 191:25 200:11 returning 26:13 roll-call 64:5 says 17:12,13 25:12,17,22 71:5 reverse 118:1 **roll-up** 143:6,10,17,19 144:12 88:16 93:19 99:12 118:19 review 66:9 80:10 87:3 120:9 145:17,18 147:24 148:11,14 119:11 120:24,25 139:2,9 140:2 138:20 150:8 140:24 142:14 146:10 149:22 reviewed 66:2 89:16 rolled 106:15 160:12 175:25 178:14 room 124:21 125:2 195:3 reviewing 65:11 133:23 scale 13:25 150:24 revise 62:14 142:13 **rough** 164:3,6 165:23 scathing 51:22 revised 25:7 66:19 round 19:3 176:14 scatter 32:25 rule 99:10,24 100:1 122:2 142:19 revision 28:23 Schedule 59:24 revocation 45:2,7,11,14 47:16 142:21 144:18,19 147:4,13,14 scheduled 123:14,17 49:14 56:23 63:6,7,11 148:2 149:6,22 151:12 194:1,2 school 3:7,12 9:7,11,12,16,25 revoke 3:13 44:20 45:5.7 46:4 194:5,8,15 205:11,11 206:6,7,8 11:11 12:7,16 13:22 15:16,17 57:24 206:11 16:4,6,7 18:7,10 19:5 20:14,16 reworked 125:23 rules 83:14,16,17,22 84:2,4 99:17 20:23 22:12,16,19,24 23:2,5,13 102:15 116:24 124:14 155:1 **rewrite** 141:12 23:22,23 25:5,17 26:6,19 27:15 rid 32:4 164:4.8 166:4 168:5 169:25 27:16 30:2,6,25 31:16,17,18,19 **right** 5:17 7:5,20,22 9:8 18:1 206:11 31:20,22 32:16,19 33:3,6,9,15 run 30:21 40:9 48:20 51:9 67:19 21:22 22:1 24:11 29:22 43:3 34:2,5,13,19,24 35:6,9,11,13,22 44:3 50:16 51:10 54:23 56:11 89:3 95:2 110:4 116:2 154:7 36:13,15,15,16,16,17,18,19,23 57:19 63:23 65:1,8 67:7,14,22 155:2 164:7,10 173:6 183:21 37:2,11,16 38:1,4,5,11,15 39:20 184:9,15 207:5 208:3,5,9 209:2 69:20,24 75:23 76:1 79:24 81:3 40:12,15 41:7,13 43:1,2,7,10,11 82:17 83:16 86:7 88:16 89:1 running 32:2 33:15 43:12,20 44:11,19 45:3 46:11 93:3,14 94:5,9 105:1 106:18 rural 81:23 47:4 48:10,18,20,24 49:4,5,20 115:1,24 119:8,12,14 120:8,16 Russell 112:6,7,22 50:19 51:9,14 52:18,20 53:11 120:25 124:6 125:5,10 126:5 53:12,21 54:6,9,20,22 55:12,16

113:2 56:2,4,20,21 57:8,14,16,18,25 185:16 186:7 187:13,25 188:6,8 58:2,16,16,24 59:5,9,12,12,17 188:12,13 189:12,25 190:1,2,8 seek 11:5 59:17,18,20,21 61:8,17 62:1,2,8 190:9,10,13,21 192:4,8,18 seeking 32:22 62:13 63:1,5 65:14 67:8,24 193:4,9 194:18 195:17 196:4,25 seemingly 174:21 70:12,25 81:8 84:11,12 86:19 197:10 198:1,14,22 199:24 seen 12:24 32:12 81:12 84:16 89:19,24 90:23 91:1,8,14 92:15 200:10 202:11 207:25 208:13 **SEG** 50:15 92:23 93:16 96:14 97:14 99:12 schools' 97:20 204:13 sell 35:12 101:2,5,8 102:7 105:8,10 science 3:8 23:14 44:12 57:25 send 32:25 46:1 73:20,21 74:5 106:22 107:15 110:14,16 60:19 181:24 197:11 198:20 205:12 scientist 10:15 116:16 117:10,14,17 119:5,17 206:1 120:6 121:14 123:24 124:10,22 **Scope** 61:3 sending 197:9 200:7 125:17 126:7,13,19 127:2 score 98:23 150:23 sense 54:3 67:10 79:3 99:5 128:11,19,21 131:2,5,5,6,15 scored 60:17 110:5 183:1 110:19 115:13 162:6 203:15,23 134:14 138:16,21 141:8,25,25 scoring 62:9 147:25 184:5 sent 51:23 63:10 72:2 177:3 142:23,24 143:20,21 144:2,7,9 screen 67:22 69:13 76:4 142:15 202:3 144:25 145:2,7 146:14 151:2,15 154:12.12 161:23 201:16 sentence 125:5,8,14,14,17,20,23 158:5,13,23 160:2,3,8,11,14,15 **scroll** 146:5 152:17 155:25 160:16 162:24,25 164:1 166:1,2 scrutiny 150:21 sentences 116:22 166:14,19 167:2,2,6,8,11,13 seat 158:24 separate 102:15 138:10 149:12 168:8,23,24 169:3,4,6,10,14,20 second 5:21,22 7:1 19:19 28:16 separately 103:22 111:4 46:24 63:12,14 68:16 83:2 86:4 separating 130:6 170:3,11 171:2,15 173:3 177:16 **September** 111:20 129:18 178:2,20 179:4 181:12,12 87:24 89:20 102:21 116:13 182:25 183:4,6,9,13 188:19 143:2 147:22 151:21 155:17 series 36:22 189:15 191:10 192:23 193:7 160:20 209:11 serious 63:22,25 77:4 198:11,11 seriously 55:21 69:6 101:25 198:6,12,15 199:1,1,8 200:20 secretary 4:6 174:5 203:18,22 204:3,4,20,23,23 section 58:9 59:7,9 60:12 61:3,21 182:2 206:18 208:19.25 61:23 62:18 71:10 112:18 serve 25:24,24 30:7 35:14,18 school's 13:3 70:1 106:9 119:22 143:12 167:19 194:9 201:21 37:23 38:1 43:23 190:25 198:17 133:23 146:1 sections 46:16 150:6 195:2 served 18:12 25:13 108:20 see 8:9 16:23 27:2 28:18 30:4,21 195:22 School/Options 2:9,12 schools 14:1 26:7 31:24 32:25 31:5 32:22 41:22 42:9 43:8 serves 34:7 41:8 43:14 46:11 53:4 66:13 44:25 47:21 51:20 54:2,16 service 1:21 57:13 services 28:5 58:21 62:23 69:8 70:16,18 74:23 79:11 81:6 55:22 56:7 64:2,4 67:7 69:14,19 serving 34:24,25 38:6,6 190:15 82:7,16,18,20 83:1,5 84:17 85:2 69:19,20,25 72:9,23 73:2,25 85:3,5 86:23 87:3,6,19 88:20,23 74:20 75:4,14,21 79:23,24 193:8 198:1 199:14 200:12 82:15,22,25 84:9,11,12 87:5 session 6:21 68:17 70:7 80:11 89:5,6,7,7,8,8,10,15,15 90:7,8 90:21 92:18 93:4 94:10,11,15 88:6 89:19 93:3 94:15 96:16 134:12 95:11 96:24 97:7 100:17 101:18 97:18 99:24 103:14 108:11 sessions 66:5 114:21 119:4 130:19 133:6 set 45:7,11 48:21 62:8,9 79:9 102:3 103:22 104:8 106:12,16 106:19,21 110:5 111:11,22 138:9.12 142:15 143:2.10.10 84:10 89:1 90:6,10 94:5,21 148:16 151:24 154:13 158:12 118:2 121:1,2,20 122:15 123:23 95:24 145:24 156:12 158:4 124:5,5 127:24 129:6,17 130:2 158:21 160:11 161:23 162:5 166:25 169:8 172:3 186:5 130:13 135:6,25 142:4 145:20 163:10 164:13,15,16 176:15 193:11 211:14 146:19 148:10 153:1,5,12,17,19 181:18,23 182:19 183:21 setting 45:1 101:12 189:11 154:3 155:6,13,24 156:2,13,23 186:22 190:20 191:14 193:1,22 seven 5:6 32:13 131:16 134:23 157:7 158:9,17 160:19 165:7,21 195:5,7,8,8 200:19 201:3,10,16 136:10,11 137:2 138:17 140:10 166:1 168:16,22 171:8 172:16 201:23 205:12 208:10 209:15 seven-zero 6:18 7:21 173:14 174:12 176:19 177:13 210:6 severely 198:9 177:16 179:15 180:23 181:10 seeing 15:9 30:18 67:1 105:21 shame 23:24

share 4:4 11:17 12:2 14:10 26:18	simultaneous 74:16 103:9 165:8	speak 8:3,6,8,10 21:19 27:3
26:23 47:22,23 67:22 69:21	167:16 172:24 194:19	30:22 39:4 50:25 93:25 156:25
76:3,10 94:7 132:10 154:11,12	single 16:24 86:24 88:2 191:10	speaker 9:22 11:25 14:17,20
178:8 201:4	sir 21:13 33:22 35:24 36:4 38:17	17:22 22:5 24:10 30:12 33:20
shared 91:13	40:20 43:22 44:2 49:18 63:17	36:1 38:19 40:22 44:5
shares 67:10	64:2	speakers 8:15 43:8
sharing 138:13 203:6	sit 187:24 189:25	speaking 74:16 103:9 165:8
SHARON 2:5	site 32:9 120:9	167:17 172:24 184:11 194:19
SHARYN 2:13 212:7	sitting 48:25 77:2 158:23	special 1:10 3:11 19:11 24:16
sheet 62:9	situation 22:10,25 42:14 193:1	41:16,18 44:17 54:20 58:7,21
Sherwood 74:22	situations 81:23 164:9	58:25 62:23 63:2 123:7 140:25
shift 164:21 166:1 178:19	six 12:7 25:14,21 26:4,12 32:13	155:19 212:3,4
shifted 120:19	34:1 35:7 50:5,19 108:20 109:7	Specialist 2:11
shifts 165:4	109:13 111:25 112:20,20 113:8	specific 114:7 131:10 167:16
shining 198:14	116:1 148:8 209:16	175:17 177:7,7
ship 56:11	six-month 110:13 112:4,9	specifically 34:20,21 95:20
shocked 101:19	six-months 114:5,6	160:18 184:11 201:21
shocking 22:22	sixth 173:13	spell 8:11 14:23 24:13 38:24
Shoemaker 80:24	skeptical 187:12	spelled 9:25 24:18
shooed 22:15	skipping 137:20	spend 20:21,22
short 166:6	skirt 101:12	spending 20:19
shot 195:10	sleep 191:20	spice 8:23
show 12:24 69:8,13,22 73:22	slides 73:13 76:17	spicy 82:3,13
76:6 105:13 137:4 140:14	slightly 60:18 97:2	split 154:15 184:1
144:17 190:12 192:15 193:15	small 81:6,8 97:24	spoke 44:6 52:4 55:24 113:25
197:1,2,3 198:14 199:24 201:17	snowstorm 68:11	spoken 12:6 15:3 52:8
204:6	software 142:5	spots 189:6
showed 30:18 32:10	solutions 40:10	spreading 12:21
showing 36:8,12 103:6 190:13	somebody 13:18 22:2 178:22	stabilization 158:22
194:17	somewhat 151:15	stacked 20:13
shown 40:10	son 18:6 19:12 31:24 37:9 41:1	staff 2:8 9:7 39:18 58:20,24
shows 188:12 190:12 207:19	son's 18:5 27:17 28:17	59:21 62:4,16,22 63:1,4 67:14
shutting 37:14	sooner 112:15	68:22 112:10,10 116:7 127:5
shuttled 22:15	sorry 10:2 11:16 24:22 33:16	141:22 200:21 201:1
sic 3:8 44:12	42:12 47:25 57:12 63:18 67:6	staffing 19:21
side 20:14 74:3,3 98:18 156:4	74:12 82:1 93:9 100:18 103:15	stake 172:9
194:16	108:4 122:6 124:9 146:5,6	stakes 72:5,8,10,15 168:1
sign 23:19 196:15	181:5,5 187:14 207:3,13 209:7	stand 190:6
signatures 13:11,14,19 32:5	sort 80:14 94:25 117:22 123:7	standard 3:9 18:10,11 44:14
signed 8:6 14:21 19:25 22:20	141:19,21 144:13 147:18	47:10 81:12,14,15 148:5 176:7
32:4,15 37:17 61:6,13	153:16	standards 3:10 44:15 49:8 60:11
significant 129:1 162:9,12	sound 70:13 149:14 196:10	60:13 71:9 164:24 189:1
164:21 179:19	sounds 120:15 169:9 174:4 187:8	standing 30:25 37:9 38:2,8 70:4
significantly 66:1 179:20	Southwest 41:8	stands 69:24 195:20
silent 29:20	souvenir 28:12	star 83:11
similar 57:2 82:16	space 10:15 54:19 55:2 163:1	start 17:24 32:23 82:21 109:5
simple 137:2 174:21 200:14	206:24	129:25 135:17 179:14
simple-minded 176:12	Spanish 19:22 23:15	started 65:20 71:12 164:16
simply 75:1	Sparks 21:23	starting 45:1

stringent 121:22 substance 73:18 starts 47:18 substantial 3:8 44:13 120:1 state 1:2 8:10 19:13 21:18 28:14 stronger 136:4 45:13 50:8 54:21 58:10 60:11 **strongly** 159:17 **substantive** 73:10,12 79:19 60:13,18,20 63:21 96:5,5 97:1,4 structural 31:9 succeed 23:23,23 97:13,17 100:11,21 101:6,11,13 structure 15:10 success 145:11 193:24 101:15 102:11 103:22,24,25 **sudden** 50:12 struggle 153:8 104:9,12,12,13,14,17,25,25 sufficient 141:14 148:7 **struggling** 138:6 153:6 175:3 stuck 9:4 16:16 105:7,11,14,17,19,21 106:7 **suggest** 193:16 107:17,22 108:7 124:14 154:7 student 3:10,11 44:15,17 58:14 suggested 98:8 124:25 155:12,18 156:14 164:15 188:9 59:3 99:13 124:11 125:18 suggesting 119:25 189:16 199:6,7,12 200:1 211:2 168:15,15 184:16 190:24,25 suggestion 86:21 94:6,13 95:11 211:9.13 191:2,5,6,9,12,14 195:22 198:9 96:2 Suite 1:21 2:18 211:20 **state-required** 60:13 61:1 207:5,22 stated 55:10.11 211:13 student-level 173:24 suited 172:15 statement 13:3 17:16 28:24 students 18:11,16 20:22 30:25 summary 46:13,14 178:4 200:8 38:5 59:1 60:17.25 63:3 70:23 summer 41:10 43:5 129:25 70:23 86:5 93:22 94:2 95:25 states 120:10 super 54:19 101:21 179:25 96:14,15 97:15 99:13,14,14 statewide 60:16 190:10 207:9,9 209:5 100:25 102:5 105:18 107:2,6 stating 84:2 superintendent 141:6 status 130:9 141:7 124:13 126:13 131:4 158:5 superseding 120:15 121:17 **statute** 25:17,22 53:9 54:9 139:4 159:23 163:24 166:1 168:18 supervision 40:5 58:24 63:1 171:14 173:15 180:21 181:2 139:7,8,20 140:2,16,20,24 supplemental 103:17 141:16 142:11,14,22 143:13,14 183:16 185:8 189:1,14,16 **support** 16:14 23:21 32:11 41:19 159:2,5,10 190:16 197:5 198:1,18 199:15 45:16 49:14 56:6,20,23 90:17 **statutes** 124:14 199:15 113:19 114:22 125:18 175:8 statutory 47:5 Students' 60:15 **supportive** 20:16 115:16 179:25 stay 26:22 30:1 84:25 99:21,21 studying 16:10 supports 124:11 **supposed** 50:11 55:17,17 133:22 149:10 171:15 175:11 176:23 stuff 10:20 20:15 40:2 192:17 stupid 196:14 135:14.15 198:17 stayed 95:21 112:20 sure 4:9 15:18 16:12 24:14 26:7 style 28:15 steadfast 28:2 **sub** 148:15 44:8 48:4 52:13 66:22 67:11 step 35:21 42:16 54:4,5 83:25 sub-evaluation 148:19 72:11 79:6 80:2 83:23 88:11,12 subcommittee 72:25 75:6 76:24 92:12 93:4,20 102:1,4 111:9 147:3 steps 45:6 56:9 113:6.19 114:20 115:9 130:21 77:1,8,13,24 78:2,7 79:21 **Steve** 16:23 148:25 151:18,25 153:16 139:12,18 154:14 155:1 156:17 205:12 206:2 156:20 159:15 170:2,17 173:20 **Steven 2:4** 71:1 STEWART 2:6 subcommittee's 84:6 174:3 177:14,15 178:5 182:23 **Stick** 181:8 **subgroup** 153:8 183:24 185:16 183:6 184:4 192:18 203:13,19 186:4,8 193:13 stock 157:15 203:23 209:2 stop 116:19 188:18 198:3,4,4 **subgroups** 97:23,24 153:1 surface 41:15 182:22 183:3 184:9,22 185:9,11 surgery 37:4 stopping 87:1 **storied** 82:19 185:22 188:17,19 surprise 92:3 straddling 204:18 submission 124:20 surprised 193:5 straight 182:17 **submissions** 124:19 131:22 **survive** 37:12 straightforward 70:11 82:1 **submit** 47:17 129:20 surviving 27:15 street 1:21 2:18 16:8 211:20 submitted 12:10 37:22 62:13 suspend 48:16 53:8 strength 69:8 **submitting** 136:24,25 137:3 Sweeney 55:13 stretch 110:13 subsequent 123:4 system 23:5 27:15 48:21 105:1 **strike** 103:15 **subset** 161:10 106:15,24 185:18 189:4 199:7

systematically 119:25			
T 3:1			
T-r-u-j-i-l-l-o 39:2			
table 170:9			
take 4:8 8:16 12:2 24:12 29:11			
29:11,21 36:10 44:25 48:2,18			
52:19 53:5,8,10,22 54:5 55:21			
65:3,5 79:17 83:2 96:9 100:25			
101:1,3,25 104:9 107:17 111:2			
111:4 112:3 130:18,22 137:12			
147:2 152:15 157:14 160:13			
173:21 178:23 200:22 201:25			
205:25 208:2			
taken 28:7 56:9 65:7 66:4 95:25			
185:1			
takes 31:2 130:2			
talk 75:18 78:11 83:15 139:10			
169:20 178:8 186:21			
talked 112:9 114:8 152:24			
156:10 187:16,17 193:18 202:8			
talking 21:22 35:5 52:5 88:17			
95:20,22 114:9 132:17 135:7 151:18 156:15 166:22 176:25			
185:14 187:7 201:20 205:18			
Taos 19:1 20:20			
target 99:15 160:18 177:11			
178:19,20 186:1 203:24			
targets 89:11 158:2 173:17 177:7			
199:6			
Taylor 2:7 4:23,24 6:12,13 7:10			
7:11 63:12 64:8,9 140:7			
teacher 10:17 12:17 14:5 17:13			
19:22 23:13,14,15 28:14,17			
34:12 59:4 70:24 100:23 196:20			
199:5,9,12 204:9,10			
teachers 9:4 10:11,19 12:17 14:3			
15:15 16:15 18:22 19:16,19			
23:12,17 24:4 28:1,7 30:2,23			
31:1 33:8 34:11 37:12 41:6			
teaching 28:15			
teal 188:16 198:6,6,6			
teal-green-yellow-red 71:6			
team 28:8 166:3 173:25 180:10			
technical 2:11 203:16			
technicality 111:16			

```
187:24 191:11
telling 52:12 81:1
ten 99:12,19 117:20 118:19,21
  120:23 189:24
tend 175:8 188:14
tended 110:24
term 20:1 177:25
terminology 171:25
terms 47:1,10 51:14 55:24 58:5
 85:19 90:9 161:7 175:3 179:5
test 65:13 95:25 96:5,9,11,14
  107:18,22 132:24 133:24
 207:24 208:25
tested 94:2 102:1,5
testimony 211:14
testing 101:3 102:10 133:8
  184:13
tests 99:13 107:16 132:12.19
thank 5:7,16 8:14,20,21 9:19,20
  11:14,15,22 12:5 14:6,9,16,22
  17:19,20 21:8,13 24:6,8 26:15
 26:17,25 29:25 30:7,10 31:6
 33:13,16,18,23 35:23,24 36:7,7
  36:12 38:13,16,17 39:3 40:19
 40:20 43:22.24.25 44:1.2.7.24
 47:19 48:6 49:15 54:11 57:3,4
  57:10,13,14,19,20 63:13 64:1
  65:1,6,18,19 71:16,17 74:12,13
  79:7 87:13 88:9 89:12 99:22
  118:15 152:13,18 157:4 163:21
 174:25 200:12 202:16,20 203:3
 203:4,5 205:5,6 206:14
Thanks 48:4 67:4 86:8 112:7
  179:8 209:7
theft 59:21
thev'd 96:3
thick 16:16
thin 16:17
thing 39:10,21 50:8 54:15,16
  55:23 63:19 69:11 84:15 86:18
  101:21 114:22 120:22 129:16
  131:18 133:3 136:16 137:9,25
  138:6 142:20 143:3 145:19
  147:22 161:6 164:2 165:1,22
  166:12 169:11 172:9,10 174:6
  179:16,17 180:7,16 184:2,23
 193:16 197:24 205:8
things 14:4 15:23 27:24 29:19
  33:10 39:24,25 41:13 43:7 46:8
```

```
57:17 69:2 82:14 87:7 92:21
 93:12 113:16,20 114:13 120:18
 128:11 135:1 137:2 141:21
 158:14 161:5 165:19 167:16,18
 168:12 176:17 177:20,21
 179:22 183:21 195:5 203:20.21
 206:4
think 10:24,25 20:14,18,25 24:23
 29:8 31:7,8,15 46:20 47:8,13
 54:25 56:16 57:17 65:20 67:15
 67:21 68:1,17 70:14,20 72:4,17
 72:18,23,23,24 73:5 74:7,11
 75:4,9,12 77:22,22 78:5,6,15,20
 79:1,14,14,16,19,23 80:4 81:5
 82:1.10 83:1 84:15 85:3.5 86:17
 86:18 87:4,20 88:4 89:18 90:25
 91:7.21.23 92:8.9.24 93:25 94:4
 94:23,24 95:19,21 96:8 97:14
 97:21 98:16 99:5,18,25 100:2
 100:13,14,16 101:7,18 102:12
 102:25 104:1,7 105:9,23 106:8
 106:8,12 107:5,8 109:24 113:4
 113:15,23 114:3,6,19,23 115:10
 115:12.16 116:11.24 119:24
 120:4,19 121:5 122:10,13,16
 123:11,16 124:24,25 125:8,22
 125:24 126:11,20 127:24 128:3
 130:10,21 131:13,18,23 132:15
 132:19 133:3,4,10 134:3,6,10
 134:11,11,20,25 135:3,9,20
 136:3 138:13,13 140:4,14 142:1
 142:9 143:12,14 147:11 148:16
 148:24 149:10 152:19 153:9,21
 154:22,22 155:10 156:9 157:25
 158:7,21 159:13 161:5 162:20
 162:21,22,25 163:5,13 164:7,21
 165:2,3,16 166:7 167:11 168:14
 169:7,14,23,23 170:24 173:5,5
 173:9 175:10,20,24 176:25
 177:1 178:10,16 179:18,23
 180:3,6,16,19,23 181:8,10,14
 181:24 182:9,15 184:18,21
 186:7,13,14 187:2,12,13 189:9
 189:10,19,21 195:23,24 196:4,9
 196:19,19,20,20,23,24,25 197:7
 197:10,14,15 198:5,19 199:18
 199:19,20 202:10 205:9,14,23
 205:25 206:1,4,5,6,10,17
```

50:3,4,18,22 52:10,14 55:18

technically 30:3 111:23

tell 30:20 95:1 174:12 180:11

thinking 70:21 78:25 82:4,17,25 69:10 76:19 77:3,18 78:22 trainings 26:12 109:20 112:11 79:15 82:11 84:16 92:16 104:19 84:21 92:12 112:3 147:2 160:6 162:4 167:5 174:12 187:13 109:14 110:1,17 111:15 112:1 transcript 1:10 6:23 211:11 thinks 121:21 118:20 123:1 129:8,9,18 135:24 212:8,13,18,23 third 1:21 45:4 68:17 130:25 166:23 177:21 187:6 188:25 Transcripts 6:20 146:21 154:18 160:20 167:9 191:23 192:11 196:14 197:4 transferred 53:25 54:10 199:10 202:23 203:17 206:1 211:20 transformation 18:20 **thought** 27:18 39:23 40:11 72:13 212:10.15.20.25 transition 181:11 75:17 85:15 89:14 91:17.18 timeline 123:11 166:6 transitioning 173:13 92:2,5 93:6,8 103:22 106:5 timelines 131:12 transparency 15:24 17:1 29:14 171:20 200:7 timeliness 125:1 117:6,8 119:3 122:15 **thoughts** 178:22 **Timer** 23:24 38:12 transparent 11:4 threaten 40:7 times 9:2 13:8 19:14 82:7 114:11 transportation 81:20 threatened 13:12 120:7 131:14 139:6.9 208:15 trauma 29:9 threatening 23:18 timing 112:2 traumatized 43:18 threats 41:22 **TIMOTHY 2:4** treat 54:5 160:23 three 7:24 8:16 13:24,24 14:24 tired 134:13 196:15 treated 34:22 21:19 27:13 40:3 46:12 59:25 title 92:19 tremendous 67:16 90:25 166:8 today 4:6 8:4,19 11:18 18:4 24:7 trend 127:21 128:2 60:1 71:11 85:22 86:6 115:3 137:8 144:8,10,14 146:1 147:15 26:16,24 30:8,19,22 32:15 33:2 trending 127:23,25 162:25 148:23 151:5 189:6 206:17 36:8 39:4 44:6,25 50:25 51:21 trial 67:19 89:3 73:10 75:17 78:1,22 80:3 208:22 tribal 47:1,5,11 58:2 Three-Four 146:17 108:23 123:20 202:7 205:9 tribe 43:9 47:15 three-minute 8:18 206:15 tried 21:4 34:19 81:8 131:20 today's 26:19 three-month 112:12 115:4 trip 19:3 28:11 threshold 94:16 95:4 97:5 toes 67:6 trouble 71:4 146:1 thrived 14:2 18:14 told 9:13 29:1 47:4 troublemakers 32:18 **tomorrow** 177:22 true 99:18 170:7 173:4 206:16 thriving 23:7 **throats** 82:23 ton 74:14 208:14 211:10 **throw** 84:7 201:9 tool 69:9 199:19 201:15 **Trujillo** 38:19 39:1 throwing 84:20 top 10:6 40:9 104:1 143:12 180:2 truly 170:25 thwarted 34:18 189:24 192:3.3 trust 69:7 tie 138:5 totally 145:20 181:1 truth-tellers 187:19 tied 48:11 tough 49:11 try 31:3 56:11 69:19 71:4 206:1 **Tierra** 3:7 8:25 9:3,25 10:4 12:11 town 8:23 trying 84:25 101:9,11 102:17 track 110:12 112:10 113:6.18 114:23 136:10 158:14 160:18 12:19,23 15:11 18:6,14 22:8 27:12,21 28:24 34:1 39:7,8 41:4 142:2 144:1,4,6,20 145:1,4,4,5 195:8 203:15 204:19 207:8 44:11 57:24 60:2 145:5,16,22,25 146:3,10,22 209:14 **Tierra's** 57:9 60:23 149:4 152:16 153:15 159:2 **Tsay** 43:13 ties 138:4 193:23 195:13 Tuesday 12:13 tighter 81:21 tracking 116:7 141:6 161:14 tumor 37:2,4 tracks 100:7 101:14 145:10 till 129:21 turmoil 163:11,14 time 7:23 8:18 9:13 10:9 12:6 155:11 turn 9:7 30:22 66:17 180:10 13:18 14:7 18:17 20:19,21,22 traditional 23:5 turns 183:15 turquoise 187:8,9 195:12 26:16,22,22 28:7 29:3,25 30:8 trained 62:3 30:21 31:3,5 33:16 35:8,23 **training** 2:11 19:15,18 25:9,12 **twelve** 110:2 36:10 43:24 45:4,11 46:6,8 47:4 25:14,17,20,20 26:2,4 52:6 Twenty-six 32:10 47:7,8 48:6,16 49:6 50:7 52:7 108:18,21 109:3,10,15 110:1,6 twice 69:23 139:15,17 61:18 65:22 67:7,21 68:20 110:9,10 111:2 116:9,14 **two** 9:1 16:7 32:2,8 40:3 46:8

useful 69:9 59:2 65:17 69:22 70:9 71:11 74:18 80:21 85:1,3,20 95:18 uses 154:8 120:17 129:13,14 131:16 133:4 usually 129:17 130:17 135:16,18 136:12 137:7,16,17 138:2,25 168:6 140:10 146:20 148:21,22 V 150:18,20 160:3 182:3 184:13 V-e-r-d-e 36:6 194:25 vacillate 128:1 two-thirds 13:10 32:16 VALENZUELA 2:11 8:5 9:21 two-year 20:3 129:8 11:24 14:17 17:21 21:14 22:3 type 23:8,11 161:6 171:9 24:9 27:1 30:11 33:19 35:25 typically 7:24 123:5 38:18 40:21 42:6,10 44:4 201:5 U 201:12 **Uh-huh** 126:10 **valid** 93:23 ultimately 77:13 Valley 10:5,5 18:5 32:18 33:1 unable 138:24 140:9 36:16 unanimously 210:4 valuable 34:10 unbelievable 23:20 value 120:2 160:11 unclear 174:11 variance 70:8.10 80:24 81:22 underserving 188:17,19 191:3 82:6 83:7 203:20 198:9 varied 91:3 understand 31:8,11 38:10 47:15 various 53:9 49:1,20 50:2 54:17 88:11 90:15 vary 82:12 verbatim 57:25 124:24 138:18 122:12 133:15 167:3 193:11 194:24 **Verde** 36:6 **understanding** 47:3 104:22 verifying 133:2 109:1 186:16 196:8 version 66:15 68:1,4,6,23 73:19 understood 73:7 73:22 74:6,25 79:13 185:1 unfair 109:8 130:21 versus 78:14 176:16 183:5 **unfortunate** 39:10 56:25 195:25 204:19 **unfortunately** 16:11 48:7 veterinarian 10:15 unique 34:6,7 vi 62:18 **Unqualified** 129:1 vice 2:3 4:4.5.7 5:7 6:1 7:3 25:4 unrestricted 127:14 64:5 67:18 77:19 79:18 87:14 **unsafe** 59:6 63:4 88:9 93:12 100:12 107:14 **unsatisfactory** 89:17 111:12 112:25 115:23 116:21 161:16 149:8,23 150:2 170:21 174:25 179:7 192:20 unsorted 174:9 209:12 210:3 untested 99:14 **victim** 29:6 **unusual** 167:12 **victims** 56:17 **undates** 78:13 video 11:21 71:4 **upset** 198:7 208:18 view 40:3 48:14 51:13 69:15,16 **upward** 127:23 **viewing** 72:22 vii 59:8 62:18 **upwards** 128:1 urgency 190:2 viii 58:9 59:8 **urgent** 46:21 58:7 59:7 violated 58:4 use 82:16,18 102:6,6 119:15 violation 47:6,11 58:4 121:16,16 164:24 171:25 196:5 violations 3:10 44:16 46:20 47:8

vision 28:23 visit 120:9 visits 120:5 voiced 112:19 voiceover 159:12 volunteer 25:24 109:17 volunteering 49:6 volunteers 114:1 vote 44:25 45:11 47:16 49:12,14 57:18 64:5 194:21 voting 174:7 195:1

W

W 2:18 wait 189:25 waiver 168:4 169:19 waivers 124:15 walk 15:7 65:16 67:20,22,25 76:11.21 wall 54:2 want 10:3,18 11:7 12:8 16:14 18:21 23:1,2,2,22 26:2,2 27:23 28:24 29:25 30:17,19 34:9,23 35:18,21 36:7 37:11,17 41:25 43:16,17 44:25 46:1 47:2,22 48:22 50:22,23,24 51:25 52:13 52:14 53:17,18,21 67:5,7,20 68:22 69:5 70:3,25 73:6 76:3 77:6,6,16 80:2,4 81:22 82:21,22 83:1 84:6,7 86:14,17 95:12 102:5,6,12 108:22 109:21 111:9 111:21 114:10 118:14 119:2 125:16 130:20 132:10 139:24 140:5 142:12 144:20 147:22 148:4,5,23 149:1,3 154:12 155:3 158:25 159:6,11,14,15 165:13 166:2,3,17 167:10 169:1 169:19,20 170:11 172:25 173:7 173:18 179:2 182:23 183:6 184:4,6 186:21,25 192:11,21 193:3,5 194:22 195:4,20 196:5 199:7 200:17,23 201:4 207:18 wanted 4:4 11:16 14:10 16:6 17:16 19:7 26:18 39:5 43:13 47:22 48:5 69:21 71:13 79:5,8 89:21 92:1,17 107:3 112:8 116:5 130:11 142:18 147:24 149:12 153:16 155:11.16 162:5 165:22 167:24 170:3,16 182:10

199:7 206:9 208:7 209:1

47:9 58:5 61:2

51:2 84:15 86:22 109:6 112:9 207:7,13,16,20 208:5,9 209:2 184:20 186:17,17,18 200:18 201:19 202:21 206:15,22 118:2 122:7 135:25 144:24 Wood-Gormley 18:9,13 wanting 10:14,15 48:18 139:21 150:22 164:8 176:8 182:3 word 53:19 131:19 204:3 wants 34:2 35:1 81:2 178:23 186:24 187:17 189:11 194:17 worded 120:23 159:4 wasn't 14:1 16:19 74:13 75:5 195:8 205:20 wording 115:21 119:12,14,16 90:7 108:13 110:8 132:14 weakness 129:6 186:14 weaknesses 46:12 59:25 129:2 148:13 166:5 199:11 words 116:20 145:13.15 work 6:21 9:9 12:8 18:25 19:1 watch 85:6 weather 68:12 watched 15:15 29:21 Webinar 1:14 29:16 30:20 36:10 43:13,14,20 wave 186:18 webpage 68:6 49:7 56:21 58:25 60:7 63:2 website 65:25 119:22 121:15 way 10:24 11:5,10,11,12 19:3 83:23,24 84:7 113:15 120:2 20:18 21:2,3 23:17 32:20 33:3 122:20 124:4 141:12 147:20,21 151:24 weeds 147:24 148:6 149:1 150:9 33:15 34:5,15,22 35:17 43:20 163:20 174:18 204:15 205:4,15 48:9 56:4 70:20 71:7 77:22 152:1.21 153:4 206:15 78:23 79:1,2 84:17,20 91:4 week 21:2 68:15 101:2 133:5 work-around 178:16 102:3.5.14 111:1 115:5 120:20 173:11 180:11 worked 13:15 80:16 90:20 125:10 128:4 145:3 146:8,24,24 weekly 33:11,12 108:16 120:20 186:4 147:1 148:2 150:14.22 156:1 weeks 49:10 working 11:21 34:4,15 66:19 159:2,4,9,17 160:6 170:2 weigh 26:20 85:9 87:11 69:6 74:11 81:12,17 86:16 176:21 180:12 183:1 187:13,15 weighing 85:12 92:16 95:3,5,17,21 97:5,8 98:24 187:16 193:10 198:22 201:2 weighs 85:13 103:2 108:12 114:9 117:5 118:3 weighted 136:9 150:17,23 207:4,19 118:6 127:8 128:8,16,21 131:2 **Wayne** 74:22 welcome 68:16 94:14 96:2 137:6,17 143:23 144:2 145:8,15 ways 82:15 92:25 147:10 197:15 171:10 149:10,14,16,18,19 151:19,21 199:2 well-being 124:12 125:8 153:13 155:7 157:2 164:14 wayside 15:15 well-taken 175:24 167:25 168:22 171:23 172:1,3,5 we'll 4:3 7:25 42:8 65:5 122:2 went 10:14 18:9 22:19 28:13 69:1 172:16 176:9 182:3 186:15 168:6 191:1 187:17 202:13 93:8 108:9 we're 9:11,11 22:1 26:1 31:1,14 weren't 28:25 90:7 164:5 **Workings** 124:8 152:16 32:1,16,17,18,19,21,23 35:1 whereof 211:14 works 197:4 36:11 42:19 44:9 48:25 55:3,8 whichever 200:16 world 179:13 208:24 56:13,13 64:4 71:5 72:21 73:9 white 183:13,15 worried 150:19 157:22 208:16,17 77:2,10 79:15 97:4,10,11,13 **whoops** 103:15 208:18 98:13,14 99:3 100:10,11 101:9 wiggle 124:21 worry 159:13 willing 9:12 24:1 30:7 37:25 101:11,12 103:5,11 110:16 worse 188:3 111:12,20,24 113:2,23 114:3,25 42:24 worth 128:14 158:2 115:1,8 118:7 121:17,18 123:24 **willingness** 202:6,13 wouldn't 11:13 70:15 96:10 124:21 127:1,2,9 133:1,1 window 101:3 102:10 121:16 148:23 157:14 168:8 156:15 158:17 159:3 160:13 winning 12:17 wow 202:24 161:14 162:25 163:1 164:23 wiring 206:12 wrap 21:7 33:5 wish 77:23 94:15 write 16:21 174:17 201:9 165:4,12 169:10 174:13,21,22 won 14:5 174:23 175:7,14 179:19,19,21 writing 54:2 68:3 180:1,15 181:19 187:19,24 wonder 151:12 161:25 172:15 written 45:4,22 66:12 72:1 188:10 189:6,11,23 190:6,24 wonder- 51:21 194:15 wonderful 34:11 57:15 127:4 wrong 10:24 24:23 57:13 67:3 192:10,12 197:9 198:15 199:19 204:6,19 207:17 208:23 209:5 wondering 139:2 161:19 162:11 wrote 142:13 we've 12:16 13:11 14:5 18:8 170:23.25 171:22 172:2 178:15 X 19:24 20:7 26:5 29:4 34:3,14 Wood 67:15 156:25 163:18 164:2 X 3:135:7,9 43:15 45:4 46:6 48:23 173:20,23 184:10,17 185:20

	1	1
Y	yellowed 74:1	2 3:4 5:17 6:22 58:6 61:3,24
y'all 56:15 210:5	yellows 162:13	85:14 95:23 98:11 100:4,11,18
yeah 5:25 6:5 11:20 27:7,8,8	yes-and-no 49:22	100:19 102:3,14 103:3,11
42:12 48:4 55:6 72:3 77:21	York 22:11,11	105:25 106:10 107:12,23
79:1,4 84:5,23 85:18,18,18 86:9	youngest 28:5	155:15,22,23 196:2,11
86:14 89:14 93:10 96:18 98:3		2.0 178:5
102:10 103:5 109:24 112:17	Z	2.A 207:19
114:25 116:9 123:2,21 125:25	Zamora 49:24	2.B 207:19
126:1,23 127:1 129:11 135:13	zero 40:8,10	2.i 61:3
135:13 138:25 140:18 142:1	ZIP 190:15	20 60:21 183:25,25
148:16 149:18 151:16 153:11	Zoe 33:25	200 2:18
156:7 157:2,14,16,21 160:20	Zoom 1:14 205:13,16	201 1:21 211:20
161:12 166:25 170:12,14		2017-2018 207:23
173:23 175:2 181:7,20 182:8,17	0	2018 207:23
182:20 184:10,19 185:4,4 186:3	1	2018-'19 163:17
188:21 199:23 203:2,10 207:7	1	2018-2019 207:5,24 208:3
208:5,9	13:3,17 6:21 61:23 95:24 99:4	2019 207:15
year 9:3 18:17 20:20 25:18,18,19	100:18 103:13,16 104:5 105:25	2021 16:3 20:2
26:9 32:2 39:22 48:24 65:14	207:19	2021-'22 60:23 157:1
82:18 83:12 84:10 86:4,4,12,23	1.A 185:8	2021-2022 60:15
87:6,19,24 88:6,13,21 89:20,24	1.B 185:8 186:8 207:6,19,20	2022 61:19,20 62:12,15
90:16 91:8,18,25 92:6,20 93:18	1. C 103:12 107:25	2023 1:11 6:22,23 62:12 63:9
108:24 109:2,3,4,11 110:5,12	1:00 65:6	211:15
110:17 113:11,11 114:17 115:9	1:07 65:7	20th 63:9 68:19
116:12,13,16,21 127:19 129:7	10/3 74:19	21-'22 163:16,20
129:10 130:22 131:5 135:6,8	100 55:1 117:23,24 182:25	211 3:15
138:18 144:21,23 145:1,6	11 95:6 97:7 99:10 156:13	219 1:20 211:8,19
146:13,17,19,22 158:10,12,13	11:30 1:11	22 15:13
158:21,22 159:8,8,23,24 160:3	11:34 4:3	22-8B-12(1) 58:6
160:3,9,12,20,22 161:13,15	11:42 11:18	22-8B-12(4) 58:4
162:21,24,25 163:4,5,6,9,19	11:44 14:11 12 62:12	23rd 126:3
164:25 165:13 168:16 169:15	12 62:12 12/31/2023 211:21	24th 126:3
174:16 177:8,16 178:5,18,24		25 117:25 211:15
179:13,16,25 180:3 181:20	12:56 65:7 120 82:8	26 32:8 154:9
182:9 188:1,1,1 197:4 199:13		27 6:23 60:19
200:5 207:15,23 208:24	13 8:6 32:3 41:1 162:8	2B 157:17
year's 128:24	14th 28:12 15 6:22 59:19 95:5 97:8 157:5	
years 15:6 18:7 34:1,14 35:7	15 6:22 59:19 95:5 97:8 157:5 15th 92:13	3
84:19 88:8 90:3 91:4 129:13,15	16 1:11 6:22 25:10 151:1	3 3:5 6:19 58:6 95:23 98:12
147:17 163:3 181:19 182:3	1630 1:21 211:20	100:19 102:3,14 103:11 105:10
184:13 188:24 194:25 195:9	1630 1:21 211:20 16th 56:14 77:2 90:6	106:1 107:12,23 155:15,23,24
207:10 208:22	18 183:25	196:2 201:21 202:5
yellow 71:13 73:8 74:9,10 80:12	18 183:23 19 131:2	3.0 178:5
80:13 81:16 88:18 89:6 103:2,7	19 131:2 19th 61:20 62:15	3.A 25:9
103:18 106:9 107:11 108:11	1A 154:8	3.A.3 117:8
127:22 128:2,5 132:16 136:13	1st 92:22 113:8 114:15	3.A.4 69:25
149:10 150:16 162:2,3 181:15	150 /2.22 113.0 117.13	3.B 85:22
183:6,19 195:17 198:7	2	3. C 118:6 124:6 125:5,9
		3.C.2 70:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

3/10 66:23 75:24 76th 154:8 **3/13** 67:1,2 74:25 75:21 76:7 8 **3/16/23** 212:2 **80** 82:8 161:25 162:12,16 164:10 **30** 45:9,20 123:6 127:15,18 165:13 172:19 173:11 174:16 **30-day** 45:23 175:9.19.21 176:11.12 177:2.19 **300** 1:12 179:12,19 181:9 183:25 202:10 33 60:20 202:22 203:2 **34** 60:19 8033N 1:25 211:25 212:2 **37** 32:3 95:4,5 97:9,9 **85** 81:7,11,15 94:5,21,24 95:3,8,9 **38** 60:16 95:17,24 96:9,11,20 97:5 98:7 4 98:20,24 99:6,11,14 100:7 43:3,661:21201:21202:5 108:6 156:12,13 161:19 162:11 4,i61:25 164:10,18,25 165:13 173:11 **4.1** 59:7 174:16 175:9,18 177:19 179:18 **4.5** 62:18 202:11.22 **87102** 1:22 211:20 **4.6** 58:9 **4:11** 210:7 **87501** 2:18 **40th** 159:7,8 9 443:7 **9:00** 63:9 **45** 82:8 116:19 **90** 81:11 176:12 **45-day** 82:6 **90/80/70** 182:13 48th 189:5 **93** 90:24 **49th** 189:5 **95** 94:4 95:2,9,15,16 96:7,14,21 5 96:22 98:7,8 100:14 101:7,13 **5** 3:4.7 44:10 60:22 61:23 85:8 101:15,15 102:20 103:4 105:5 88:16 106:6,21,23 107:9 108:6 156:10 **5.2** 60:12 156:12 170:8 **5.4** 59:9 **99th** 154:8 5.ix 59:8 **50** 105:8,14,18 154:10 **50th** 188:1 189:12 **51st** 154:9 **6** 3:5,14 26:18 59:8 65:10 **6:00** 196:13 **60** 45:10 127:15,23 **600,000** 43:10 **65** 3:14 **67** 162:10 176:16 **69** 60:25 7 2:18 3:6 124:9 205:19,19,21 **70** 176:12.15 **72** 117:19 142:3 **75** 117:16,23 154:9 193:19