### BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OPEN SPECIAL PUBLIC MEETING May 8, 2023 4:00 p.m. Mabry Hall, Jerry Apodaca Education Building 300 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico AND Via Zoom Webinar

REPORTED BY: Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR, NM CCR #219 Bean & Associates, Inc. Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

JOB NO.: 8250N (CC)

## 2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2		4
1	A P P E A R A N C E S	1	THE CHAIR: All right. Commissioner
2	COMMISSIONERS:	2	Clahchischilliage, do you hear us okay?
3	ALAN BRAUER, Chair REBEKKA BURT, Vice Chair	3	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes, I
4	STEVEN J. CARRILLO, Member	4	hear you.
5	SHARON CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE, Member PATRICIA GIPSON, Member	5	THE CHAIR: Wonderful. You're here in
6	STEWART INGHAM, Member	6	video, too. That's wonderful. Thank you for that.
7	K.T. MANIS, Member PED STAFF:	7	Well, it is 4:26 p.m. on Monday, May the
8	CORINA CHAVEZ Director Charter School/Options for	8	8th, 2023. I'm going to call this meeting to
9	Parents and Families Division	9	order the special meeting to order, that is.
10	BRIGETTE RUSSELL, Deputy Director Charter School/Options for	10	Vice Chair Burt, are you okay with doing
11	Parents and Families Division	11	the roll call?
12	LUCY VALENZUELA, Technical Assistance and Training Specialist	12	COMMISSIONER BURT: (Indicates.)
13	Charter School/Options for Parents and Families Division	13	Secretary Armijo, not present.
14	and Families Division	14	Commissioner Beck, not present.
15	MISSY BROWN Technical Assistance and Support and Training Administrator	15	Chair Brauer.
	Charter School/Options for	16	THE CHAIR: Present.
16 17	Parents and Families Division SHARYN PEREA, Liaison to PEC	17	COMMISSIONER BURT: Vice Chair Burt is
18	KIMBERLY GONZALES, Data and Financial Analyst	18	here.
19	Administrator Charter School/Options for	19	Commissioner Clahchischilliage.
20	Parents and Families Division	20	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Here.
20 21	COUNSEL TO THE PEC:	21	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham is
22	JULIA HOSFORD BARNES, ESQ.	22	not present.
23	Barnes Mediation and Law, PC 200 W. DeVargas Street, Suite 7	23	Commissioner Gipson.
24	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	24	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Here.
25		25	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Taylor is
	3		5
1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	1	not present.
2	PAGE	2	Commissioner Manis.
3	1 Call to Order, Roll Call, 4	3	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm here.
4	Pledge of Allegiance,	4	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner
4 5	Salute to the New Mexico Flag2Approval of Agenda5	5	Carrillo.
6	2Approval of Agenda53Open Forum7	6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Here.
7	4 Consent Agenda Approval of Minutes 10	7	COMMISSIONER BURT: All right. We have a
	and Transcripts	8	quorum of six.
8		9	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair. Let's
0	5 Discussion and Possible Action on 11 DEC Template for School Contracts	10	move into the Pledge of Allegiance.
9 10	PEC Template for School Contracts 6 Adjourn 51	11	In lieu of Commissioner Taylor,
11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 53	12	Commissioner Manis, can you lead us into the Pledge,
12	ATTACHMENTS:	13	please?
13	1 List of Meeting Attendees	14 15	COMMISSIONER MANIS: I'm sorry. I'm in an
14		15	airport right now, or I would.
15		17	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, can you go ahead and lead us, please?
16 17		18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Are you
18		19	embarrassed, K.T? Are you that would be funny if
19		20	we were all in an airport and saw somebody out of
20		20	the blue standing and saying the Pledge.
21		22	(Pledge of Allegiance conducted.)
22 23		23	(Salute to the New Mexico Flag conducted.)
23 24		24	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
25		25	Move into the approval of the agenda.
L			•

	6		8
1	COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the	1	So my concern is that why do we need
2	agenda.	2	another form that's a lot more restrictive? And,
3	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Second.	3	also, if this form is being done for charter
4	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's do a roll	4	schools, why isn't it being required of all LEAs and
5	call, please, Vice Chair.	5	all school board members and all employees and all
6	COMMISSIONER BURT: Chair Brauer.	6	the public schools? Why just pick on the charter
7	THE CHAIR: Yes.	7	schools, one more more restrictive duplication of a
8	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo.	8	form that we already are required to have as part of
9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	9	our policies, anyway? So that's the first comment.
10	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt,	10	The second one is on the performance
11	yes.	11	framework. And this is under the Academic Area 1.
12	Commissioner Manis.	12	I have some serious concerns about you know the
13	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	13	performance contract with the charter school allows
14	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner	14	for a charter school to use alternative assessments
15	Clahchischilliage. I think she dropped off.	15	if approved by the PEC or the PED. But yet if they
16	Commissioner Gipson.	16	don't have an 85 percent participation of certain
17	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	17	subgroups, then it falls to the accountability
18	MS. MISSY BROWN: I'm promoting	18	system.
19	Commissioner Clahchischilliage again.	19	Well, what makes you think that 85 percent
20	COMMISSIONER BURT: All right.	20	of a particular group are going to be able to
21	Commissioner Clahchischilliage, we're doing a	21	participate in the State's accountability?
22	roll-call vote on approving the agenda. So	22	And my other concern is why have a why
23	Commissioner Clahchischilliage.	23	have the charter schools do a charter contract in
24	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes.	24	which they specify what alternative assessments are
25	COMMISSIONER BURT: Thank you. That	25	going to be used to show academic performance if
	7		9
1	passes, six-zero.	1	it's always going to default to the accountability
2	THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you. Let's move	2	system.
3	on into the approval or sorry the Open Forum.	3	It's a duplication of services, and, you
4	Missy, I think you said we had one person;	4	know, then the what's the purpose of having a
5	is that right?	5	charter school of having a charter contract and a
6	MS. MISSY BROWN: We have one person who	6	performance framework for charter schools if it
7	signed up for public comment, Dr. Michael Kaplan.	7	doesn't matter, because there's always the
8	I'm promoting him to the panel.	8	possibility that somebody will be will be
9	THE CHAIR: Great. Dr. Kaplan, you'll	9	required a charter school will be required to go

9 THE CHAIR: Great. Dr. Kaplan, you'll
10 have three minutes, sir.

have three minutes, sir.
 FROM THE PUBLIC: Okay. Can you guys hear
 me?
 THE CHAIR: We can.
 FROM THE PUBLIC: Great. I just have two

comments. One is about the new conflict of interest
form. I have concerns about this new form. You
know the current procurement code, the statute, and
the Code of Federal Regulations already exist in
regards to conflict of interest that's required of
all school employees and governing council and
school board members.

- 22 You know, we already sign a yearly
- conflict of interest form as a governance council
   member, and our employees already sign off when th
- 24 member, and our employees already sign off when they25 get the handbook.

charter school -- of having a charter contract and a performance framework for charter schools if it doesn't matter, because there's always the possibility that somebody will be -- will be required -- a charter school will be required to go back to the account- -- to the statewide accountability system and not really fulfill the requirements of their charter contract? So I have some serious concerns about if you're going to approve the use of alternative assessments for charter schools because of their particular population, then they should be allowed to use the alternative and not be penalized by -because some group of students doesn't meet the

85 percent requirement. Lower the requirement, then, to show that

Lower the requirement, then, to show that
the students are being successful on their charter
contract.

Those are my comments in regards to those
two documents that I know the PEC is considering.
Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### 4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	10		12
1	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Dr. Kaplan.	1	ones, and I've made them. And there are a few
2	And, Missy, that was the only person?	2	things that I want to discuss with you. And then I
3	MS. MISSY BROWN: That is correct, Chair	3	think I don't know unless someone has a
4	Brauer.	4	different suggestion, then I think we can open it up
5	THE CHAIR: Wonderful. Thank you so much.	5	for comment.
6	Let's move into the Consent Agenda, approval of	6	I just want to go through the two comments
7	apologies yeah, let's move into the Consent	7	from the Matthews Fox law firm. Unless I'm also
8	Agenda.	8	happy to walk you through the contract. Is there a
9	COMMISSIONER BURT: Move to approve the	9	preference?
10	Consent Agenda.	10	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Can you share
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Second.	11	screen?
12	THE CHAIR: Take a roll-call vote, please.	12	MS. BARNES: I can. Sure.
13	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.	13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: That would be
14	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	14	great.
15	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner	15	MS. BARNES: And then I'm kind of sad to
16	Clahchischilliage.	16	tell you, Commissioner Carrillo, that if we I
17	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes.	17	want to look at this one, which is in Word, but it
18	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Manis.	18	doesn't have all the numbers on the side because
19	COMMISSIONER MANIS: Yes.	19	they disappear. The PDF has all of the numbers, so
20	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Brauer.	20	I did a PDF just for you.
21	THE CHAIR: Yes.	21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Oh, this is fine.
22	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo.	22	Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	23	MS. BARNES: But since we're going to work
24	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner Burt,	24	on the document and I'm interested in getting it
25	yes.	25	finalized today if we can, I will show you this one.
		<u> </u>	

#### 11

1 1 It passes, six-zero. This is Document 5a. And special thanks 2 2 THE CHAIR: Wonderful. Thank you so much. to Lucy, who jumped through some hoops today to get 3 3 Let's move on to No. 5, Discussion and Possible this special draft, and, again, thanks to the 4 4 Action on PEC Template for School Contracts. Matthews Fox law firm for putting in this work. I 5 5 will tell you that Patty Matthews has told us that MS. BARNES: Want me to present that? 6 THE CHAIR: Yes, Ms. Barnes. Thank you. 6 she is unable to attend due to a family issue today 7 7 MS. BARNES: Thank you. So last Thursday, which is, in part, why she got the document to us 8 8 I believe, the PED sent over to the State Registry a early, but it's also why she's not here now. 9 draft rule. I haven't seen it posted. However, 9 Is there a preference to -- just to go to 10 10 they're going to have to post it once the Notice of the two issues that I want to discuss? Or would you 11 11 Intent to Promulgate Rule is there. like me to walk you through? 12 12 So we have made a decision that we would Okay. Bekka -- Chair Burt -- is saying 13 move on this contract template as soon as we knew 13 just to go to them. 14 14 what was proposed in the draft rule. So the -- a lot of the technical changes 15 15 Last Thursday, I posted a document that I were made as we go throughout. I went and tried to 16 16 double-check that we used the terms that we define. sent out to all of you-all, but also to the full 17 17 group that had worked on the rule, which includes We also used the rule. 18 18 The -- one of the comments -- and I will all of the charter school attorneys who participated 19 in looking at the contract and the rule previously, 19 just briefly talk about it here so that everybody 20 20 all the PED attorneys, and CSD staff. understands it. 21 21 And then over the weekend, we received a There are three exhibits to the contract, 22 22 final group of changes from the Matthews Fox law a lot fewer than before, which is the -- the 23 23 firm that caused me to work through that today and performance framework, a letter from you-all 24 24 authorizing Boards of Finance and discretionary upload that document today. 25 25 Many of their technical changes were good waivers, which are required. The Commission rule in

#### 5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	14		16
1	draft is incorporated only until it is codified.	1	that there's no conflict of interest.
2	Then I actually was able to speak with	2	Again, we're going to give heightened
3	Dr. Russell today. There are these and got	3	scrutiny if money is just turned over to the
4	comments from the Matthews Fox law firm on this one,	4	foundation, lesser scrutiny if it's only a building,
5	which is that CSD and the schools are creating these	5	and almost no scrutiny at all if they're just
6	monitoring documents. They will be attached to the	6	raising their own money.
7	contract basically out of convenience. But CSD and	7	So this is my compromise on this one.
8	the schools can change them as long as it's	8	And we are approving a form, I think,
9	consistent with the charter contract. And we're	9	actually, that would address some of what Dr. Kaplan
10	going to identify which ones are there.	10	just mentioned. And I think, given the concerns
11	Document No. 2 is if the school does a	11	we've had in the past with foundations and people
12	school-specific assessment replacing a PED	12	being too close, that an assurance is not out of
13	assessment.	13	it's not too strong a thing to request.
14	And the last one is if there is a	14	Anyone want to discuss that any further?
15	condition, that compliance document is also	15	I did just want to point out that the
16	attached.	16	Matthews Fox law firm wanted something different,
17	The first thing that I wanted to talk to	17	actually struck this. I don't want to strike it. I
18	you about is the conflict-of-interest form. We just	18	do think it can be reasonable, and I do think we can
19	got some comments on that.	19	make sure it's not too duplicative.
20	The Matthews Fox law firm made some	20	I Chair Brauer, I can't see if somebody
21	different changes than I did. I accepted some of	21	raises their hand. So I'm going to let you
22	their their comments, which is that and this	22	interrupt me if somebody does.
23	will actually replace the foundation membership	23	THE CHAIR: I will interrupt. But I'm not
24	assurance. And what we're looking for is no	24	seeing anybody right now, Julia.
25	conflict of interest.	25	MS. BARNES: Okay. Thank you.

. .

#### 15

1 I think that the Matthews Fox law firm 1 One thing that I did in this section --2 2 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I have a question. wanted it just to be foundation members. But we 3 3 have third-party contractors, and some of those are For Ms. Matthews, are any of these things for her --4 4 very close relationships. And so we have limited or these concerns deal-breakers? Because the last 5 5 taken out any volunteers. And it is a staff person thing we want to do is end up with a contract that 6 or board member at the school who also serves on the 6 all of a sudden she and her schools are not going to 7 7 board, receives a benefit from, is employed or approve. 8 8 contracts with the foundation or a third-party MS. BARNES: Well, I think that's a good 9 9 contractor. question. I can give you what I guess. 10 10 My guess is on this one, we can work We -- I don't think -- I guess I'm still 11 11 kind of sticking to what we're looking to do, which through an assurance form that will be okay. They 12 12 is to do an assurance document, that there's not a do want to see it. Right now, they are providing 13 13 conflict of interest. I think that if the school is their own. But what we've been -- so I don't think 14 14 this one is a deal-breaker. I think the one coming already receiving those, it would be fairly easy to 15 15 up possibly -- possibly is. do an assurance document, and that would be 16 presented at the next meeting, again, in the working 16 So this Section 3, there were a couple of 17 17 session. little changes made here. Primarily -- and, 18 18 actually, Commissioner Gipson made one of these So I had accepted some of their changes, 19 not all of them. And the biggest one is that was 19 suggestions. This is where we're putting all of the 20 20 only linked to foundations. But I think that there information that is school-specific: Contract term; 21 21 are some third-party contractors as well. talking about the scoring sheets. I'm actually 22 22 going to call it monitoring documents. This would also give you discretion, if 23 23 So we're trying to put everything in this something was not a required third-party contractor 24 24 section. And there were a few things that were but a very close relationship, I think in referenced later that we have pulled into here. Let 25 25 negotiation with the school, you could also confirm

### 6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	18		20
1	me get down here. I'm sorry to be scrolling.	1	it's the schools' responsibility to provide that
2	The two that I brought up were tribal	2	information, not not CSD's job to go, you know,
3	consultation, so that they need to check this box	3	track it for them.
4	and make sure that they know that they're talking to	4	This is the big one.
5	the educational liaison.	5	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Julia, I
6	So it looks to me like there's two times	6	hate to interrupt.
7	that a school could have a requirement is if they	7	MS. BARNES: Yes.
8	were on tribal land or had a sufficient number of	8	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Back to
9	students at their school.	9	the consultation with tribes.
10	So we've got it I've got three options.	10	MS. BARNES: Yes.
11	Not applicable if it's required by law or if they	11	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Okay.
12	are on tribal land, and that we identify which	12	"The school is required to consult with " And
13	entity they are going to consult with, and that it	13	then the tribal entity would be put there. Is that
14	needs to be this educational liaison. Because at	14	what you're saying?
15	least twice that's been kind of confusing for	15	MS. BARNES: Yes, that is what I'm saying.
16	schools, so we would clarify that.	16	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Okay. I
17	The other was	17	think we need to do a slash and whoever that entity
18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on. Sorry.	18	has to be, because, currently, we've had a lot of
19	So consult with if you need an educational	19	problems. Like, say, with the Navajo Nation, who
20	liaison in the sense in the sentence, "consult	20	our the New Mexico Be Well New Mexico has been
21	with," are you wanting to name a person or a	21	trying to get a response from the Navajo Nation.
22	position?	22	And they sent it to the President's Office.
23	MS. BARNES: No, I didn't want a position;	23	And the language is similar to this, where
24	S0	24	it says "consult with the Navajo Nation." However,
25	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So they could put	25	it needs to be a little more specific than that,
	19		21
1	in like whatever their I don't know their	1	like it should be Navajo Nation/Health Department.
2	tribal consultant. It almost seems redundant.	2	And I'm thinking we need to do the same thing here.
3	MS. BARNES: Well, this, I wanted "is	3	MS. BARNES: Well, we have maybe
4	located on the land of" the Navajo Nation or	4	your comment is actually making me think if we want
5	Ohkay Owingeh, whatever it is, and that they need to	5	to even add more. We have the educational liaison.
6	consult with the educational liaison for that	6	We could put "with the tribal liaison identified
7	entity. If there's a clearer way to say it?	7	with the Public with the Department." That is
8	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No, no, no. The	8	what we've done in the past is gone and whoever
9	next box. "The school is required to consult with"	9	they've identified at the Department, at PED, is who
10	what pursuant to consult with the educational	10	we've at least started with.
10	liaison? So are you wanting them to name a person	11	We could say, "with the educational
12	or a position?	12	liaison" probably should "identified with the
	or a position.		nulson producty should identified with the

13 MS. BARNES: No. I'm wanting them to name 14 the tribal entity. 15 COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So should you put 16 the -- I see what you're doing now. Great. 17 MS. BARNES: I'll just put that and do 18 that. Thank you. and I know that CSD reaches out 19 and is talking to the various schools. But I think 20 we wanted to just ensure that this educational 21 liaison was there. 22 And then these documents -- these 23 monitoring documents were just referencing them so 24 that it's clear that CSD has signed off on how the

# 25

schools are going to provide information. And then

Navajo, the education department doesn't deal with 23 public education. They're mainly BIA, contract

the way it would be?

very good change.

- 24 schools and all. So the paperwork that we would
- 25 need in response to our request would probably be

reason I'm saying this is because, see, like on

Department." Would that be more specific?

would it be, like, the tribal liaison/PED? Is that

So we could do that. That's probably -- that's a

COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Like,

MS. BARNES: Well, Department is the PED.

COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: The

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

### 7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	22		24
1	late or lost if we're not a little more specific	1	terms of what that meant, how someone would identify
2	than this.	2	if something was outside of the scope, and the
3	And I'm saying this for Navajo. Like,	3	Division had done something outside of the scope.
4	with the pueblos, the size isn't a big issue. With	4	So I have dropped theirs in exactly as
5	Navajo, it is, because there's no telling where that	5	they drafted it, and that document is also uploaded.
6	paperwork would go. And, inevitably, it would get	6	The a different option is that I put in
7	lost.	7	here I'm just going to under the statute, an
8	THE CHAIR: Commissioner	8	annual site visit is an obligation of the
9	Clahchischilliage, I hear what you're saying for	9	Commission, not an obligation of the CSD.
10	sure. I think that every school still now has to do	10	So we have put in here what the law says,
11	tribal consultation. So they should be aware of	11	which is that this Commission will conduct an annual
12	what entity they should work with directly.	12	site visit. This is new language (indicates) trying
13	And so I don't think that this would be a	13	to address the Matthews Fox law firm using a
14	major concern, because every school is supposed to	14	Commission-approved annual site visit protocol
15	do tribal consultation each year. So we should be	15	that's adopted pursuant to the procedures and
16	good on that, and they should be able to identify,	16	consistent with the Commission rules.
17	if they are in doubt, what pueblos or the Navajo	17	There are two examples in recent times of
18	or who at the Navajo Nation they should be	18	the Commission approving annual site visits. One
19	connecting to.	19	was Director Chavez presented one on the renewal
20	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Okay. I	20	site visit protocol. And then there was a much more
21	was just concerned about that, because we've run	21	contentious one several years ago in which the
22	into it in other instances lately.	22	Commission made changes to an approved annual site
23	MS. BARNES: Good point. I know that	23	visit for when they felt when the schools came
24	Commissioner Manis has a deadline. Let me try to	24	forward and felt like the Division had overstepped
25	get through this.	25	its authority.

23

1	So because of the draft of the rule, which	1
2	has taken out the annual site visit protocol as an	2
3	identified PEC procedure, they wanted to put in the	3
4	language on the right in the box.	4
5	I'll try to drop down. There's I	5
6	wasn't comfortable with that kind of language, so	6
7	I've done a different type of language, more of a	7
8	process.	8
9	So the Matthews Fox law firm said that,	9
10	"The Commission shall, prior to the first annual	10
11	site visit to be conducted pursuant to the terms of	11
12	the charter contract, adopt an annual site visit	12
13	protocol pursuant to the rule and shall not include	13
14	any evaluation criteria beyond that identified in	14
15	the rule. The Commission shall direct the	15
16	Department to implement the protocol as adopted, and	16
17	that the school should not be required to respond to	17
18	evaluation criteria, process, or procedures beyond	18
19	the scope of the adopted protocol; nor shall the	19
20	Commission consider evaluation criteria, processes	20
21	and procedures imposed by the Division that exceeds	21
22	the scope of the annual site visit protocol."	22
23	And that, "The Commission can still	23
24	consider matters raised by the Division."	24
25	That raised a lot of questions for me in	25
	-	

So what I have put in here -- and I'm not sure. So I put in here that if -- I put in a process, that "The school believes that CSD has conducted an annual visit in a manner that is outside of the scope of the Commission-approved annual site visit protocol or in a manner inconsistent with the rule, the school may provide written notice of the site visit objections to CSD with a copy to the Chair within 60 days after it receives the Phase I Annual Report." It's got to identify the site visit objection on the Phase 1 Annual Report and request the action that the school is asking CSD to take to remedy the objection. "If the issue is not resolved between the school and the CSD within 15 days, the school may provide written notice to the Commission of the site visit objection and ask that the Commission take such actions to address it at a public meeting of

annual re-..." -- when it issue -- when the
 Commission issues its next full annual report.
 And if the Commission is provided with

the Commission, either the next one or at the next

notice of a site visit objection, "the Commission

5 shall ensure that the site visit objection is

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	26		28
1	resolved to the satisfaction of the two parties to	1	is, like, way, way too much for me. This is way too
2	this contract, the Commission and the school, and,	2	much, yeah.
3	if not, allow the school to document its concerns in	3	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Carrillo, and
4	the record of performance."	4	then Commissioner Gipson.
5	I don't know if that is going to be if	5	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So I would this
6	that's going to be acceptable.	6	reminds me of sometimes when we were looking at the
7	The language that the Matthews Fox law	7	CBA with Santa Fe Public Schools when Bobbie
8	firm provided and, again, it's been a short time	8	Gutierrez was the super. And it was so clear that
9	frame because of getting the draft rule on Thursday	9	basically the union wrote the contract. The
10	and trying to get this out to the schools now. I	10	language was just absolutely outrageous in terms of
11	don't know if it'll be acceptable.	11	the limited powers of management; in some cases, no
12	THE CHAIR: Real quick, can we have	12	power of management.
13	Commissioner Manis back into the into the room,	13	So I would agree with Bekka, with
14	please? And then Vice Chair Burt, and then	14	Commissioner/Vice Chair Grand Poobah Burt. I would
15	Commissioner Carrillo.	15	agree with her. But the challenge I think is
16	Or if you want, Vice Chair Burt, did you	16	Ms. Fox wouldn't have put all this stuff in there.
17	want me to go with Commissioner Carrillo?	17	And I think what Ms. Fox put in there is
18	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: First?	18	horrifically restrictive, and it would be like
19	COMMISSIONER BURT: No.	19	having the union write the contract.
20	THE CHAIR: Okay. Got it.	20	And and so, I mean, if we get in a
21	COMMISSIONER BURT: So I would say I would	21	tussle, then I would want Section A, if Ms. Fox
22	be I like having 4.1.1. but no A; it's just all	22	insists on having some sort of a procedure. But I
23	too much.	23	would agree with Ms. Burt that simplicity, where
24	I just think we need to adopt have that	24	necessary, where possible.
25	the Commission that there's a Commission-approved	25	MS. BARNES: It's Patty Matthews, just
	27		29
1	annual site visit protocol that's adopted by PEC.	1	THE CHAIR: Sorry, Julia. I have really
2	That's it. No more. Like, that's for me, that's	2	bad news. We no longer have a quorum at this stage.
3	way too much on either side.	3	Commissioner Manis just had to get onto his flight.
4	And I don't support adding all of A. I	4	So unless we can find somebody else who can join us
5	would remove all of that.	5	at this stage, I think we I don't know if we can
6	MS. BARNES: So, Vice Chair, just to let	6	take an action today.
7	you know, that would be a lot more consistent with	7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: We can't take
8	the original draft with just this language right	8	action, but we can certainly discuss that.
9	here being added.	9	MS. BARNES: You can continue in
10	COMMISSIONER BURT: Right. Which I think	10	subcommittee. Just take no action.
11	that's that's the that was what was removed	11	THE CHAIR: Anyone from the PED? Is there
12	from the rule to be that should be addressed in	12	any way? Like, everyone else said hard "no"? They
13	this.	13	weren't able to join this? Lucy or Sharyn?
14	But I think this this new process of	14	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Where the heck is
15	opposing a site visit, I'm not I am not okay with	15	everybody? This has been on the calendar for a
16	at all. Like, I'm not even close to okay with.	16	while, and it's in the afternoon.
17	It's way too that's way too much just opinions,	17	MS. BARNES: Chair, let's keep going with
18	allowing to like, to me, this is just allows	18	discussion.
19	for drama that's unnecessary.	19	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Commissioner
20	There's a site visit protocol. I think	20	Carrillo, were you finished?
21	there's a place in the performance framework in	21	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yeah.
22	which schools can write their things back and forth	22	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
23	to CSD and then to the Commission.	23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I mean, I've
24 25	That's the appropriate place for that. I	24	been in support of having the site visit protocol
25	don't this additional process is way too this	25	put into the contract. I'm great with 4.1.1, and

#### 9 (Pages 30 to 33)

		-	(1 <b>5</b> 55 00 00 00)
	30		32
1	that's it. And if there is a if there's a	1	bodies prior to it being binding.
2	problem where the school wants to create an impasse,	2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. So now I have
3	there's a process for that in the contract. But I	3	a little so the governing so now I'm a little
4	think we'll be mired in he-said, she-said. I don't	4	confused with that. Because what if the Commission
5	like that. And, you know, I don't want to look at	5	decided, after reading the report, that they were
6	what those meetings are going to look like.	6	going to take an action other than what the mediator
7	And, yeah, and I agree with Commissioner	7	was recommending?
8	Carrillo that I appreciate Ms. Matthews' input and	8	So the governing board would have to sign
9	the time that she's put in, but that's very that	9	off on that as well? Because they have to go back
10	is that's way too restrictive what she's asking	10	and have a meeting on that.
11	for.	11	MS. BARNES: Well, if it's this
12	And I understand why she's doing it. And	12	requires that both entities would have to sign off
13	I appreciate it. But I think I think we're fine	13	on a negotiated change. And that's the same way
14	with just 4.1.1, and that's it.	14	with your contracts, that if a school makes the
15	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner	15	school governing board makes a change, it has to
16	Gipson. And I just want to round us out and say I	16	come back to the PEC, and you have to adopt that as
17	agree with that for sure. I can just see all the	17	well.
18	heartache and dysfunction that comes out of putting	18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So
19	that specific due process paragraph I don't know	19	MS. BARNES: (Inaudible due to
20	if that's the way I should say that. But I think	20	simultaneous speaking) about the Commission?
21	there's a lot of other processes that we have in	21	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: So the mediation
22	place to remedy disagreements that I think this is	22	happens. The mediator sends a report out. The
23	unneeded, and it just makes it rife for difficulties	23	Commission would review that report at a public
24	that are not actually, at the end of the day, going	24	meeting, and they would make a determination as to
25	to help.	25	whether they were going to accept that or perhaps
	31		33
1	MS. BARNES: So like this? This was	1	not and take some different action.
2	already in the previous draft. All that is added	2	That then would have to so that then
3	here is this. (Indicates.)	3	would have to go back to the governance council, and
4	Further discussion on that?	4	the governance council of that school would have to
5	There's just one	5	sign off on if there was the change.
6	MS. MISSY BROWN: Commissioners, I just	6	MS. BARNES: Yes.
7	received word that Commissioner Ingham will be	7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay. Okay.
8	calling in shortly.	8	THE CHAIR: Will you let Commissioner
9	MS. BARNES: Great.	9	Ingham into the panelists, please?
10	Coming down here to the Dispute	10	Thank you.
11	Resolution, if you'll remember, this is required by	11	Commissioner Carrillo.
		1 4 5	

12 law -- Commissioner Gipson, did you want to join in 13 here? Or did you want me to explain this issue? 14 COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Sure. I just wanted 15 to make sure that if it went to mediation, that the 16 report from the mediator came before the full 17 Commission, and the full Commission made a decision 18 as to whether they were going to accept the

- 19 recommendation by the mediator or take some other
- 20 action, that it --21 MS. BARNES: So -- and, actually, the
- 22 Matthews Fox law firm also added in the governing
- 23 board as well, that it's memorialized in writing,
- 24 presented to, and, approved by the Commission,
- 25 governing board, during public meetings of those
- The -- it's coming back to the full 24 Commission. And -- and we're making a decision.

COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. First, as a

note, if we are going to vote on anything, we have

up to speed on what we've already done so that no

one can make a case that he came in and voted on

something he knew nothing about, relative to the

On this particular thing -- I don't like

it. I mean, I -- you know, I think it's approved by

the Commission. And we've gone to a mediator with

them. They've mediated in good faith, as have we.

to make sure that we're bringing Commissioner Ingham

Now, obviously, if we're going to make a

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

changes.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

	34		36
1	lot of changes, then it's going to need to go back	1	I wasn't done yet.
2	to their governing body. But if we've gone through	2	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Well, I wasn't,
3	mediation and come out the other end, one could make	3	either.
4	the case if the mediation was successful that	4	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: But
5	we're accepting that in that negotiating session.	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I wasn't either,
6	Because that's what mediation is, that we're	6	before.
7	accepting the agreement because we have mediated in	7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, okay. But
8	good faith. And them as well. And so	8	so our Commission has ten Commissioners and a
9	MS. BARNES: Well, I guess I didn't have a	9	governing body that probably was in mediation was
10	problem with this, because this is a contract	10	three. I just I if you want to have a
11	between the governing body of the school and the	11	governing body in there and keep it that way but
12	Commission. And for anyone to change it, both of	12	I would I think I'm agreeing with Pattie, in that
13	those entities would have to agree.	13	I don't see the need for the language to include the
14	So if you want to go down the legal path,	14	governing body there, because they've kind of, de
15	either the governing body of the school or the	15	facto, approved it by just coming out of mediation
16	and the Commission which is not how it's drafted	16	with
17	right now in order to make a decision, it would	17	MS. BARNES: Well, only if the five
18	have had to have been the decision would have had	18	members were there. I mean, they can't have a
19	to have been delegated to a smaller group.	19	quorum, or they would need to be in an open meeting.
20	That's what Commissioner Gipson was saying	20	So the mediation, by definition, is going to not
21	she didn't want to have happen. She doesn't	21	have a quorum of either group. I just didn't have a
22	believe and I think that's correct that the	22	big problem with it.
23	Commission can delegate a decision to amend a	23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. But,
24	contract to a smaller group.	24	Commissioner Carrillo, it could be what if the
25	What this is saying is that the governing	25	Commission decides, the full Commission decides that
	35		37
1	body is going to do the same.	1	they're not going to support the mediation's
2	For me, if you as an attorney, I'd	2	decision and go another path? That's when you have
3	rather have clarity that both entities have signed	3	to have that governing body agree to that. So I
4	off on it and that there's a clear meeting of the	4	think it has to stay there.
5	minds between the two parties to the contract.	5	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I concede in that
6	So I didn't have a big problem with this.	6	regard. They I mean, if the mediation is not
7	I think, in reality, they are going to delegate to a	7	successful, it's not successful.
8	subcommittee, those people who are interested	8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.
9	most interested in the issue, and they're going to	9	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: And, you know, if
10	bring that back to the main body, and that group is	10	it is, it is. In all likelihood, because we've
11	probably going to be given deference.	11	given the subcommittee that's going into mediation
12	So I I'm looking for clarity in an	12	direction, and in those directions we've given them
13		13	where our hard-line, drop-dead, you know, agreements
	unclear situation, you know. That's why mediation	-	
14	unclear situation, you know. That's why mediation was triggered, so that there's now clarity.	14	are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not
14 15	• •		· · ·
	was triggered, so that there's now clarity.	14	are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not
15	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their	14 15	are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I have one question on number on letter
15 16 17 18	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and	14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the	14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not going to let your whole governing body sign."	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the determination, there would be no amendment to the</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not going to let your whole governing body sign." They're just asking for the same thing we	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the determination, there would be no amendment to the contract, because we said, "No, you can't do it."</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not going to let your whole governing body sign." They're just asking for the same thing we asked (inaudible due to simultaneous speaking).	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the determination, there would be no amendment to the contract, because we said, "No, you can't do it."</li> <li>They wanted to do this. We said, "No, you</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not going to let your whole governing body sign." They're just asking for the same thing we asked (inaudible due to simultaneous speaking). COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I just want	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the determination, there would be no amendment to the contract, because we said, "No, you can't do it."</li> <li>They wanted to do this. We said, "No, you can't," and the end result was the mediator and the</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was triggered, so that there's now clarity. So I guess I would rather know that their full governing board did sign off on it. So I didn't have a problem with it. I mean, as a little kind of a little bit straightforward, and it would be a little odd if we said, "No, the Commission has to sign off on it, but we're not going to let your whole governing body sign." They're just asking for the same thing we asked (inaudible due to simultaneous speaking).	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>are, we would hope beyond hope that they would not come back to us having violated that.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah.</li> <li>I have one question on number on letter</li> <li>B. If the mediation determined that what the school wanted to do and the Commission said, "No, you can't do that" I'm making it simple and that was the determination, there would be no amendment to the contract, because we said, "No, you can't do it."</li> <li>They wanted to do this. We said, "No, you</li> </ul>

# 11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	38		40
1	that, "No, you really can't do that."	1	they can agree to or not. But I changed it to where
2	So I don't know whether we need to add	2	it's not that this whole dispute resolution
3	language into B that the written agreement may	3	doesn't apply to recommendations or authorizing
4	constitute an amendment, because it may not.	4	decisions and laws.
5	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Well, within that	5	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.
6	vein, if it may constitute an amendment, does that	6	MS. BARNES: I just wanted to flag that
7	mean they have to come back to us for an amendment?	7	for you, because it's different. She's raised that
8	MS. BARNES: Yeah. I want to say if	8	a couple of times. Maybe we just disagree. I am
9	does that fix it, Commissioner Gipson?	9	not sure.
10	(Commissioner Ingham has now joined	10	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on.
11	the panelists.)	11	MS. BARNES: I'm sorry.
12	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah, I think that	12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No. So if you
13	fixes it.	13	could scroll up just a little bit. So I'm
14	MS. BARNES: I think what what she was	14	sorry down, I guess to show Patty's language.
15	trying to get away from is, like, a written	15	You know and kudos to her for being their
16	agreement, and then it's changed somehow, and the	16	attorney and wanting to put this language in.
17	party you know, it's just whenever that language	17	But no way. No way. We're the
18	was negotiated.	18	authorizer. If they can't accept that we're the
19	Okay. I wanted to go up here and show you	19	authorizer, then don't open your damn charter
20	what theirs is, though. And then we'll go back to	20	school. You don't get to operate 100 percent
21	the one section for Commissioner Ingham.	21	independently, you know.
22	The scope of it and I changed this	22	You do that you want to do that, go
23	language a little bit, because maybe that was I'm	23	open a private. Yeah. I don't like this sentence
24	not quite sure what I'm not sure if there's	24	at all.
25	I'm not so sure what the issue was.	25	MS. BARNES: There is a tension in the law

### 39

1	But I wanted to eliminate from the subject	1	between having an authorizer and a mediation
2	of a dispute resolution provision, if there's an	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2		$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	provision. So it's odd.
	interpretation of state or federal law, that you are		Anyway, I that's this is my
4	not going to negotiate a CSD recommendation, and you	4	suggestion. And I wanted to point out that it was
5	are not going to mediate an authorizing decision	5	not what she said. She said it a couple of times.
6	required under the Charter School Act.	6	Her full document is in there if you guys wanted to
7	They want she wanted to strike this,	7	take a look at it.
8	the Commission's decision, and really limit it to	8	I do want to walk you through the rest of
9	not to deny approval of the new application, to	9	it. We have put in what their what they want to
10	not renew the charter contract, to impose a	10	do, that you can't just say there's a contract
11	condition so this is very, very limited.	11	impasse and not say what you want to have fixed in
12	It wouldn't apply to all of your annual	12	the contract; if there's a contract dispute, what
13	report notices, wouldn't apply to if you took action	13	needs to be fixed.
14	under the intervention ladder.	14	So that is in here. And then there's this
15	You know, I just don't want to turn your	15	provision about the proposed names of mediators and
16	authorizing duties into mediated things. Like, "We	16	trying to limit the time somewhat. But it's long,
17	don't think you should have put us on the	17	still.
18	intervention ladder."	18	I don't know what to do. You know, that
19	So I am not trying to expand it past where	19	they can you know, somebody can provide a
20	you are authorized to act as a Commission. But I	20	mediator, and then you've got ten days to respond,
21	also don't want to narrow it anywhere near as narrow	21	can you do another one. And if that's not
22	as this is.	22	acceptable, then the Secretary can identify someone.
23	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Right.	23	And then you try to do it within 30 days. But
24	MS. BARNES: So I I don't know if I	24	you're going to be you're going to be 60 days
25		24	out. I don't know how to fix that. So there's some
23	fixed it or not. I don't know if that's something	23	out. I don't know now to fix that. So there's some

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

			12 (1 ages +2 to +5)
	42		44
1	clunkiness to a mediation that I don't quite know	1	to be held accountable.
2	how to fix.	2	So let's say we come back after we start
3	But she made other technical changes to	3	really getting I mean, I'm sure we probably even
4	clarify it that I thought were fine. So it's	4	still haven't received, you know, the academic
5	required. I still don't love it, but it's required.	5	reports and everything from two years ago. But
6	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Okay. So I'm	6	and now we come to a school, and we say, "Look, you
7	having trouble with all this mediation stuff, which	7	know, we're very concerned that not only did you not
8	isn't to say I don't like there to be, you know,	8	have growth, but you're completely flat in your
9	amiability you know, a sense of getting along.	9	in your performance" just let's say English
10	But if you can mediate everything, then where is our	10	Language Learning and math. "And so now we're doing
11	authority as a Commission?	11	the intervention ladder."
12	If they're just it's like having	12	Well, now they come back and they want to
13	it's like having your five-year-old trying to	13	mediate that because they don't feel that we should
14	reason with your five-year-old. They don't reason	14	be able they feel we're wrong, and we shouldn't
15	at age five. And that's not to say that, you know,	15	be able to do that.
16	our schools are immature or something. It's just to	16	And then this whole mediation thing, if
17	say that we're the authorizer, damn it. It is what	17	you can even find a mediator in a timely fashion,
18	it is.	18	you're going to go out 60 days.
19	MS. BARNES: This is in it's been in	19	I just I just it increases the
20	law for a long time. And it's only been triggered a	20	bureaucracy. I think the use of mediation should be
21	couple of times that I know of. So I don't quite	21	very limited. And, I mean, the language that we
22	know how to get around it.	22	allow the mediation should be very limited.
23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So what I'm	23	MS. BARNES: I'm fairly comfortable
24	hearing and maybe I'm hearing and reading	24	with like, for example, what you just identified
25	wrong is they're trying to have more	25	as an authorizing decision, you know, that's the
	43		45
1	circumstances under which a mediator could be or	1	intervention ladder is part of the action you can
2	something could be mediated. And where I think it		J
		2	take. So that would be eliminated.
3	should certainly be in terms of violation if they	2 3	
3 4			take. So that would be eliminated.
	should certainly be in terms of violation if they	3	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a
4	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or	3 4	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute
4 5	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's	3 4 5	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract.
4 5 6 7 8	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to	3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>take. So that would be eliminated.</li> <li>So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract.</li> <li>I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>take. So that would be eliminated.</li> <li>So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract.</li> <li>I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something.	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>take. So that would be eliminated.</li> <li>So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract.</li> <li>I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something.</li> <li>I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position.</li> <li>I think what's clear overall is that the</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position. I think what's clear overall is that the schools have not been comfortable with their	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has to communicate the part of the contract that's being
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position. I think what's clear overall is that the schools have not been comfortable with their remedies when something is not going very you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has to communicate the part of the contract that's being violated and not going off on a tangent that it's a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position. I think what's clear overall is that the schools have not been comfortable with their remedies when something is not going very you know, something's not going well. So I don't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has to communicate the part of the contract that's being violated and not going off on a tangent that it's a decision that we made, which is part of our
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position. I think what's clear overall is that the schools have not been comfortable with their remedies when something is not going very you know, something's not going well. So I don't that's my general thought.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has to communicate the part of the contract that's being violated and not going off on a tangent that it's a decision that we made, which is part of our authority, then it'll and I'm comfortable with
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should certainly be in terms of violation if they believe we're violating the contract or law or something, I'm not sure how to work it out. It's just the sense that I'm getting right now, you know. MS. BARNES: I also think the proposed rule has a lot of opportunities for the schools to kind of indicate their own position rather than mediate something. I I we have to have a process. This is not terribly different than what's in the existing contract. There haven't been hundreds of these. There have been a handful. And there's lots of other opportunities under the rule for a school to provide its own position. I think what's clear overall is that the schools have not been comfortable with their remedies when something is not going very you know, something's not going well. So I don't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take. So that would be eliminated. So I agree with you. We have to have a mediation provision. It's required by the statute in the contract. I don't know. I guess I'm I'm understanding the line, and I feel okay with this line. But I'm happy to if you've got something that makes it more limited, I can tell you they want it far far less limited. THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson. COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yeah. I think we're less likely to get mediations as a result of, like, the example that Commissioner Carrillo used because of what Julia said, that letter C covers, you know, all the decisions that we're making, so that if we're steadfast in making sure that the school has to communicate the part of the contract that's being violated and not going off on a tangent that it's a decision that we made, which is part of our

here's -- okay. So if -- let's -- to me, this is23Because as Julia has said, we've had soaround -- comes down to schools not wanting to be24few. You know, I think if we use the language that

25 Matthews Fox is proposing, we end up with a lot, and

held, or at least through their counsel, not wanting

24

# 13 (Pages 46 to 49)

	46		48
1	that's not where we want to go.	1	And there is a much longer section that
2	So this language here speaks to them not	2	was proposed by the Matthews Fox Law Firm. There
3	being able to make an appeal and ask for mediation	3	was an attempt for me to do a process that was
4	because of a decision that we made, so that it has	4	longer and more cumbersome. And the other
5	to be an identified violation of the contract, which	5	Commissioners the Commissioners felt that this
6	I think they're less likely to be able to identify.	6	was sufficient, just adding this phrase from what
7	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Perhaps we should	7	was there before.
8	look at Cesar Chavez as an example. I mean, I don't	8	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Julia, for walking
9	think they should have been able to mediate that.	9	us through.
10	They were in clear violation of the contract.	10	Any other comments, questions from the
11	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I agree.	11	Commission?
12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: So under the way	12	MS. BARNES: I'll just say one final
13	it's written for us here, that would not be allowed	13	thing, which is, in the form of motion, I have given
14	to happen again; is that correct? Or incorrect?	14	the subcommittee on the rule and contract kind of
15	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: I'm sorry. It	15	permission to make non-substantive changes. I've
16	wouldn't or would?	16	got to say that every time I read this contract
17	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Would not.	17	there's, like, "Oh, we should" I even just did it
18	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: It would not,	18	a few minutes ago.
19	correct. They would have to identify.	19	So both forms of motion allow for the
20	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: No, we're not	20	subcommittee to make non-substantive changes,
21	meeting over any of this. You violated your	21	because I think that when we immediately use it,
22	contract, period.	22	which, if you approve something today, we will send
23	MS. BARNES: Well, I guess the way if	23	it out in a populated form by Wednesday, that we
24	you want kind of a fuller answer to that	24	we well might find small things that we need to
25	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Hold on. I really	25	tweak.
	47		49
1	don't want a fuller answer. I just want to know	1	So and I've reviewed this, like, five

1	don't want a fuller answer. I just want to know	1	So and I've reviewed this, like, five
2	that if line and I'm not trying to be rude.	2	times. I still feel like the subcommittee that's
3	8.7.1.c., "The Commission's authorizing decision	3	why I put that in there, "non-substantive."
4	under the Charter Schools Act," that covers us in	4	THE CHAIR: Great. Thank you.
5	terms of the contract.	5	MS. BARNES: There are some draft motions
6	MS. BARNES: Under the clarity of the	6	in there if you want to use them.
7	contract here, yes. In my view, the lack of clarity	7	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Gipson.
8	under the previous contract, they had an argument.	8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Are we ready?
9	I mean, I	9	So I move that the Public Education
10	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Okay.	10	Commission adopt the contract template as shown in
11	MS. BARNES: I looked at it before; so	11	document 5a. and authorize the Contract and Rule
12	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I like this. And	12	Subcommittee to make any non-substantive changes
13	Ms. Matthews, as well intended as I know she is as	13	that may be identified.
14	their representative, I do not like her language one	14	I further move that the subcommittee
15	bit.	15	provide the seven schools in need of a new contract
16	MS. BARNES: I'm going to back up for just	16	with draft contracts populated with information
17	a second for Commissioner Ingham, to show him the	17	specific to the school and allow them an opportunity
18	one section that has been changed.	18	to review the contracts and provide the subcommittee
19	Commissioner Ingham, can you see this? I	19	with comments on the template.
20	can't quite see you.	20	The subcommittee may then bring back any
21	So from the document that was posted on	21	comments to the PEC for the May 19th, 2023, meeting,
22	that was uploaded on Thursday, we are adding only	22	if needed.
23	this provision, "Using a Commission-approved site	23	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: I'll second.
24	visit annual site visit protocol that is adopted	24	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner.
25	basically pursuant to the rule."	25	All right. We're into conversation,

# 14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	50		52
1	discussion about the motion.	1	Commissioner Carrillo.
2	Are there any discussion items for this	2	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.
3	motion?	3	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner
4	(No response.)	4	Clahchischilliage.
5	THE CHAIR: Seeing none, Vice Chair Burt,	5	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes.
6	would you do a roll call, please?	6	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.
7	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Gipson.	7	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER GIPSON: Yes.	8	COMMISSIONER BURT: And Commissioner
9	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner	9	Ingham.
10	Clahchischilliage.	10	COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Yes.	11	COMMISSIONER BURT: We're adjourned.
12	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Carrillo.	12	MS. BARNES: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER CARRILLO: Yes.	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you, all. Take care.
14	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham.	14	Have a good one.
15	I'll come back to him.	15	(Proceedings concluded at 5:32 p.m.)
16	Chair Brauer.	16	
17	THE CHAIR: Yes.	17	
18	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Ingham.	18	
19	COMMISSIONER INGHAM: (Indicates.)	19	
20	THE CHAIR: I think we have to hear you,	20	
21	though. He's motioning yes, but I think we have to	21	
22	hear him; is that right?	22	
23	COMMISSIONER CLAHCHISCHILLIAGE: Uh-huh.	23	
24	MS. BARNES: He has to be able to hear us.	24	
25	Can he	25	
	51		53
1	COMMISSIONER BURT: I think he can hear	1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	us, but we can't hear him.	2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	MS. BARNES: I think he could if he	3	
4	can't I think he could call one of you, and if we	4	
5	can hear him on his cell phone.	6	
6	COMMISSIONER BURT: He just came in on the	7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
7	phone; so	8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
8	COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Can you hear me?	9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
9	THE CHAIR: Yes, we can hear you, sir.	10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
10	COMMISSIONER INGHAM: Okay. Sorry.	11 12	transcript of proceedings had before the said NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
11	Yes.	13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
12	COMMISSIONER BURT: Thank you,	14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
13	Commissioner.	15	hand on May 22, 2023.
14	And Commissioner Burt is yes.	16	
15	So that passes, six-zero.	17 18	
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	10	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
17	All right. So now we're moving on to our	19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219
18	final item, 6, adjournment. I'll take a motion.		BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
19	COMMISSIONER BURT: I move.	20	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630
20	THE CHAIR: I second. Can we do a	21	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
21	roll-call vote, please?	21 22	License Expires: 12/31/2023
22	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Brauer.	22	
23	THE CHAIR: Yes.	24	
24	COMMISSIONER BURT: Commissioner Burt,		Job No.: 8250N (CC)
25	yes.	25	Proofed by: PD
_		L	

		54
1	RECEIPT	
2	JOB NUMBER: 8250N CC Date: 5/8/23	
3	PROCEEDINGS: OPEN SPECIAL PUBLIC MEETING	
4	CASE CAPTION: In re: Public Meeting of the Public	
5	Education Commission	
6	*****	
7	ATTORNEY: MS. SHARYN PEREA - PED	
8	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
9		
9 10	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
	REC'D BY:TIME:	
11		
12	ATTORNEY:	
13	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
14	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
15	REC'D BY: TIME:	
16	*******	
17	ATTORNEY:	
18	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
19	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
20	REC'D BY: TIME:	
21	****	
22	ATTORNEY:	
23	DOCUMENT: Transcript / Exhibits / Disks / Other	
24	DATE DELIVERED: DEL'D BY:	
25		
23	REC'D BY: TIME:	

н

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	I, Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR, CCR #219, Certified
9	Court Reporter in the State of New Mexico, do hereby
10	certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true
11	transcript of proceedings had before the said
12	NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMISSION, held in the
.13	State of New Mexico, in the matter therein stated.
14	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my
15	hand on May 22, 2023.
16	
17	
18	Cynthia C. Chapman, RMR-CRR
19	New Mexico Certified Reporter #219 BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
20	201 Third Street, NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
21	License Expires: 12/31/2023
22	
23	
24	Job No.: 8250N (CC)
25	Proofed by: PD
]	SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 (505) 889-4949

(505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



MAIN OFFICE 201 Third NW, Suite 1630 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 843-9494 FAX (505) 843-9492 1-800-669-9492 e-mail: info@litsupport.com

Page 1

		1 age 1
<b></b>	alternative 8:14,24 9:14,17	44:25 47:3
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A}}$	amend 34:23	aware 22:11
<b>able</b> 8:20 14:2 22:16 29:13 44:14	amendment 37:21 38:4,6,7	
44:15 46:3,6,9 50:24	amiability 42:9	B
absolutely 28:10	Analyst 2:18	<b>B</b> 37:18 38:3
academic 8:11,25 44:4	annual 23:2,10,12,22 24:8,11,14	<b>back</b> 9:10 20:8 26:13 27:22 32:9
accept 31:18 32:25 40:18	24:18,22 25:4,6,10,12,21,22	32:16 33:3,23 34:1 35:10 37:15
acceptable 26:6,11 41:22	27:1 39:12 47:24	38:7,20 44:2,12 47:16 49:20
accepted 14:21 15:18	answer 46:24 47:1	50:15
accepting 34:5,7	anybody 16:24	bad 29:2
account-9:10		Barnes 2:22,22 11:5,6,7 12:12,15
accountability 8:17,21 9:1,11	anyway 8:9 41:3	12:23 16:25 17:8 18:23 19:3,13
accountable 44:1	Apodaca 1:12	19:17 20:7,10,15 21:3,17 22:23
act 39:6,20 47:4	apologies 10:7	27:6 28:25 29:9,17 31:1,9,21
action 3:8 11:4 25:13 29:6,8,10	appeal 46:3	32:11,19 33:6 34:9 36:17 38:8
31:20 32:6 33:1 39:13 45:1	applicable 18:11	38:14 39:24 40:6,11,25 42:19
actions 25:19	application 39:9	43:7 44:23 46:23 47:6,11,16
add 21:5 38:2	apply 39:12,13 40:3	48:12 49:5 50:24 51:3 52:12
added 27:9 31:2,22	appreciate 30:8,13	<b>basically</b> 14:7 28:9 47:25
<b>adding</b> 27:4 47:22 48:6	appropriate 27:24	Bean 1:20 53:19
additional 27:25	<b>approval</b> 3:5,7 5:25 7:3 10:6	Beck 4:14
address 16:9 24:13 25:19	39:9	Bekka 13:12 28:13
addressed 27:12	<b>approve</b> 6:1 9:14 10:9 17:7 48:22	<b>believe</b> 11:8 34:22 43:4
Adjourn 3:10	approved 8:15 24:22 31:24 33:20	believes 25:3
adjourned 52:11	36:15	benefit 15:7
adjournment 51:18	approving 6:22 16:8 24:18	
Administrator 2:15,18	Area 8:11	<b>beyond</b> 23:14,18 37:14 <b>BIA</b> 21:23
adopt 23:12 26:24 32:16 49:10	argument 47:8	_
adopted 23:16,19 24:15 27:1	Armijo 4:13	<b>big</b> 20:4 22:4 35:6 36:22
47:24	asked 35:23	biggest 15:19 binding 32:1
afternoon 29:16	asking 25:13 30:10 35:22	<b>bit</b> 35:18 38:23 40:13 47:15
<b>age</b> 42:15	assessment 14:12,13	blue 5:21
<b>agenda</b> 3:5,7 5:25 6:2,22 10:6,8	assessments 8:14,24 9:15	board 7:21 8:5 15:6,7 31:23,25
10:10	Assistance 2:12,14	
<b>ago</b> 24:21 44:5 48:18	<b>Associates</b> 1:20 53:19	32:8,15 35:16 Boards 13:24
<b>agree</b> 28:13,15,23 30:7,17 34:13	assurance 14:24 15:12,15 16:12	<b>Bobbie</b> 28:7
37:3 40:1 45:3 46:11	17:11	bodies 32:1
agreeing 36:12	attached 14:6,16	
<b>agreement</b> 34:7 37:25 38:3,16	ATTACHMENTS 3:12	<b>body</b> 34:2,11,15 35:1,10,21 36:9 36:11,14 37:3
agreements 37:13	attempt 48:3	<b>box</b> 18:3 19:9 23:4
ahead 5:17	attend 13:6	Brauer 2:3 4:15 6:6 10:4,20
airport 5:15,20	Attendees 3:13	16:20 50:16 51:22
ALAN 2:3	attorney 35:2 40:16 54:7,12,17	
Albuquerque 1:22 53:20	54:22	briefly 13:19 BRIGETTE 2:10
<b>Allegiance</b> 3:3 5:10,22	attorneys 11:18,20	bring 35:10 49:20
<b>allow</b> 26:3 44:22 48:19 49:17	authority 24:25 42:11 45:21	bring 33:10 49:20 bringing 33:14
<b>allowed</b> 9:16 46:13	authorize 49:11	brought 18:2
allowing 27:18	authorized 39:20	BROWN 2:14 6:18 7:6 10:3 31:6
<b>allows</b> 8:13 27:18	authorizer 40:18,19 41:1 42:17	building 1:12 16:4
	<b>authorizing</b> 13:24 39:5,16 40:3	<b>Dunuing</b> 1.12 10.4
	1	1

		l age 2
bureaucracy 44:20	changes 11:22,25 13:14 14:21	10:20,22,22,23,24,24 12:10,13
Burt 2:3 4:10,12,17,17,21,25 5:4	15:18 17:17 24:22 33:18 34:1	12:16,21 17:2,18 18:18,25 19:8
5:7 6:1,6,8,10,10,14,20,25 10:9	42:3 48:15,20 49:12	19:15 20:5,8,11,16 21:14,20
10:13,15,18,20,22,24,24 13:12	<b>Chapman</b> 1:20 53:8,18	22:8,20,24 26:13,15,17,18,19
26:14,16,19,21 27:10 28:14,23	charter 2:8,10,13,15,19 8:3,6,13	26:21 27:10 28:3,4,5 29:3,7,14
50:5,7,9,12,14,18 51:1,6,12,14	8:14,23,23 9:5,5,6,9,12,15,21	29:19,19,21,22,23 30:7,15 31:7
51:19,22,24,24 52:3,6,8,11	11:18 14:9 23:12 39:6,10 40:19	31:12,14 32:2,18,21 33:7,8,11
	47:4	33:12,14 34:20 35:24,25 36:2,4
C	<b>Chavez</b> 2:8 24:19 46:8	36:5,7,23,24 37:5,8,9,16 38:5,9
<b>C</b> 1:20 2:1 3:1 45:15 53:8,18	<b>check</b> 18:3	38:10,12,21 39:23 40:5,10,12
calendar 29:15	circumstances 43:1	42:6,23 43:22 45:11,12,14 46:7
call 3:3,3 4:8,11 6:5 17:22 50:6	<b>Clahchischilliage</b> 2:4 4:2,3,19,20	46:11,12,15,17,18,20,25 47:10
51:4	6:15,19,21,23,24 10:16,17 20:5	47:12,17,19 49:7,8,23,24 50:7,7
calling 31:8	20:8,11,16 21:14,20 22:9,20	50:8,9,9,11,12,12,13,14,14,18
CAPTION 54:4	50:10,11,23 52:4,5	50:18,19,23 51:1,6,8,10,12,13
care 52:13	<b>clarify</b> 18:16 42:4	
<b>Carrillo</b> 2:4 5:5,6,16,18 6:3,8,9		51:14,19,22,22,24,24 52:1,2,3,3
10:22,23 12:10,13,16,21 17:2	clarity 35:3,12,14 47:6,7	52:5,6,6,7,8,8,10,11
18:18,25 19:8,15 26:15,17,18	clear 19:24 28:8 35:4 43:17	Commissioner/Vice 28:14
	46:10	Commissioners 2:2 31:6 36:8
28:3,5 29:7,14,20,21 30:8 33:11	clearer 19:7	48:5,5
33:12 35:25 36:4,7,24 37:5,9	<b>close</b> 15:4,24 16:12 27:16	communicate 45:18
38:5 40:10,12 42:6,23 43:22	clunkiness 42:1	completely 44:8
45:14 46:7,12,17,20,25 47:12	<b>code</b> 7:17,18	compliance 14:15
49:23 50:12,13 52:1,2	codified 14:1	compromise 16:7
<b>case</b> 33:16 34:4 54:4	<b>come</b> 32:16 34:3 37:15 38:7 44:2	concede 37:5
<b>cases</b> 28:11	44:6,12 50:15	concern 8:1,22 22:14
caused 11:23	<b>comes</b> 30:18 43:24	<b>concerned</b> 22:21 44:7
<b>CBA</b> 28:7	comfortable 23:6 43:18 44:23	concerns 7:16 8:12 9:13 16:10
CC 1:25 53:24 54:2	45:21	17:4 26:3
CCR 1:20 53:8	coming 17:14 31:10 33:23 36:15	concluded 52:15
<b>cell</b> 51:5	<b>comment</b> 7:7 8:9 12:5 21:4	condition 14:15 39:11
certain 8:16	<b>comments</b> 7:15 9:23 12:6 13:18	<b>conduct</b> 24:11
certainly 29:8 43:3	14:4,19,22 48:10 49:19,21	conducted 5:22,23 23:11 25:4
CERTIFICATE 3:11 53:7	<b>Commission</b> 1:1 13:25 23:10,15	confirm 15:25
Certified 53:8,19	23:20,23 24:9,11,16,18,22	conflict 7:15,19,23 14:25 15:13
certify 53:10	25:17,18,20,22,23,24 26:2,25	16:1
Cesar 46:8	27:23 31:17,17,24 32:4,20,23	conflict-of-interest 14:18
<b>Chair</b> 2:3,3 4:1,5,10,15,16,17 5:9	33:21,24 34:12,16,23 35:20	confused 32:4
5:9,16,24 6:4,5,6,7 7:2,9,13	36:8,25,25 37:19 39:20 42:11	confusing 18:15
10:1,3,5,12,21 11:2,6 13:12	48:11 49:10 53:1,12 54:5	connecting 22:19
16:20,23 22:8 25:9 26:12,14,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
26:20 27:6 28:3,14 29:1,11,17	<b>Commission's</b> 39:8 47:3	<b>Consent</b> 3:7 10:6,7,10
· · · · ·	Commission-approved 24:14	consider 23:20,24
29:19,22 30:15 33:8 45:11 48:8	25:5 26:25 47:23	considering 9:24
49:4,7,24 50:5,5,16,17,20 51:9	Commissioner 4:1,3,12,14,17,19	consistent 14:9 24:16 27:7
51:16,20,23 52:13	4:20,21,21,23,24,25,25 5:2,3,4	<b>constitute</b> 38:4,6 53:10
challenge 28:15	5:4,6,7,11,12,14,16,18 6:1,3,6,8	consult 18:13,19,20 19:6,9,10
change 14:8 21:19 32:13,15 33:5	6:8,9,10,10,12,13,14,14,16,17	20:12,24
34:12	6:19,20,21,23,24,25 10:9,11,13	consultant 19:2
<b>changed</b> 38:16,22 40:1 47:18	10:13,14,15,15,17,18,18,19,20	consultation 18:3 20:9 22:11,15

contentious 24:21
continue 29:9
contract 8:13,23 9:5,12,22 11:13
11:19 12:8 13:21 14:7,9 17:5,20
21:23 23:12 26:2 28:9,19 29:25
30:3 34:10,24 35:5 37:22 39:10
41:10,12,12 43:4,13 45:5,18
46:5,10,22 47:5,7,8 48:14,16
49:10,11,15
<b>contractor</b> 15:9,23
contractors 15:3,21
contracts 3:9 11:4 15:8 32:14
49:16,18
convenience 14:7
conversation 49:25
copy 25:9
<b>CORINA</b> 2:8
correct 10:3 34:22 46:14,19
connect 10.5 54.22 40.14,19 council 7:20,23 33:3,4
counsel 2:21 43:25
<b>couple</b> 17:16 40:8 41:5 42:21 <b>Court</b> 1:21 53:9
covers 45:15 47:4
create 30:2
creating 14:5
<b>criteria</b> 23:14,18,20
<b>CSD</b> 11:20 14:5,7 19:18,24 24:9
25:3,8,13,16 27:23 39:4
<b>CSD's</b> 20:2
cumbersome 48:4
current 7:17
currently 20:18
<b>Cynthia</b> 1:20 53:8,18

### **D** 3:1,1

damn 40:19 42:17 Data 2:18 Date 54:2,9,14,19,24 day 30:24 days 25:9,16 41:20,23,24 44:18 de 36:14 deadline 22:24 deal 21:22 deal-breaker 17:14 decided 32:5 decides 36:25,25 decision 11:12 31:17 33:24 34:17

D

34:18,23 37:2 39:5,8 44:25 45:20 46:4 47:3 **decisions** 40:4 45:16 default 9:1 deference 35:11 **define** 13:16 definition 36:20 **DEL'D** 54:9.14.19.24 delegate 34:23 35:7 delegated 34:19 **DELIVERED** 54:9,14,19,24 deny 39:9 department 21:1,7,9,13,17,22 23:16 **Deputy** 2:10 determination 32:24 37:21 determined 37:18 **DeVargas** 2:23 **different** 12:4 14:21 16:16 23:7 24:6 33:1 40:7 43:12 difficulties 30:23 direct 23:15 direction 37:12 directions 37:12 directly 22:12 **Director** 2:8,10 24:19 disagree 40:8 disagreements 30:22 disappear 12:19 discretion 15:22 discretionary 13:24 discuss 12:2 13:10 16:14 29:8 discussion 3:8 11:3 29:18 31:4 50:1.2 **Disks** 54:8,13,18,23 **dispute** 31:10 39:2 40:2 41:12 **Division** 2:9,11,13,16,19 23:21 23:24 24:3.24 document 11:15,24 12:24 13:1,7 14:11.15 15:12.15 24:5 26:3 41:6 47:21 49:11 54:8,13,18,23 documents 9:24 14:6 17:22 19:22.23 **doing** 4:10 6:21 19:16 30:12 44:10 **Don** 1:12 double-check 13:16 **doubt** 22:17 **Dr** 7:7,9 10:1 14:3 16:9

draft 11:9.14 13:3 14:1 23:1 26:9 27:8 31:2 49:5,16 drafted 24:5 34:16 drama 27:19 drop 23:5 drop-dead 37:13 dropped 6:15 24:4 due 13:6 30:19 32:19 35:23 duplication 8:7 9:3 duplicative 16:19 duties 39:16 dysfunction 30:18 E **E** 2:1,1 3:1,1,1 early 13:8 easy 15:14 education 1:1,12 21:22,23 49:9 53:1.12 54:5 educational 18:5,14,19 19:6,10 19:20 21:5.11 either 25:20 27:3 34:15 36:3,5,21 eliminate 39:1 eliminated 45:2 embarrassed 5:19 employed 15:7 employees 7:20,24 8:5 English 44:9 ensure 19:20 25:25 entities 32:12 34:13 35:3 entity 18:13 19:7,14 20:13,17 22:12 **ESO** 2:22 evaluation 23:14,18,20 everybody 13:19 29:15 exactly 24:4 example 44:24 45:14 46:8 examples 24:17 exceeds 23:21 exhibits 13:21 54:8,13,18,23 exist 7:18 existing 43:13 **expand** 39:19 Expires 53:21 **explain** 31:13 F facto 36:15 fairly 15:14 44:23

Page 4

faith 33:22 34:8 **falls** 8:17 Families 2:9,11,13,16,19 family 13:6 far 45:10.10 fashion 44:17 Fe 1:13 2:23 28:7 **federal** 7:18 39:3 feel 44:13,14 45:7 49:2 **felt** 24:23,24 48:5 **fewer** 13:22 final 11:22 48:12 51:18 finalized 12:25 Finance 13:24 **Financial** 2:18 find 29:4 44:17 48:24 fine 12:21 30:13 42:4 finished 29:20 firm 11:23 12:7 13:4 14:4,20 15:1 16:16 23:9 24:13 26:8 31:22 48:2 **first** 8:9 14:17 23:10 26:18 33:12 **five** 36:17 42:15 49:1 **five-year-old** 42:13,14 **fix** 38:9 41:25 42:2 **fixed** 39:25 41:11,13 **fixes** 38:13 flag 3:4 5:23 40:6 **flat** 44:8 **flight** 29:3 foregoing 53:10 form 7:16,16,23 8:2,3,8 14:18 16:8 17:11 48:13,23 forms 48:19 forth 27:22 **Forum** 3:6 7:3 forward 24:24 foundation 14:23 15:2.8 16:4 foundations 15:20 16:11 Fox 11:22 12:7 13:4 14:4,20 15:1 16:16 23:9 24:13 26:7 28:16,17 28:21 31:22 45:25 48:2 **frame** 26:9 framework 8:11 9:6 13:23 27:21 **fulfill** 9:11 **full** 11:16 25:22 31:16,17 33:23 35:16 36:25 41:6 **fuller** 46:24 47:1 **funny** 5:19

further 16:14 31:4 49:14 G **G** 3:1 hard 29:12 Gaspar 1:12 general 43:21 hate 20:6 getting 12:24 26:9 42:9 43:6 44:3 Gipson 2:5 4:23.24 6:16.17 10:11 10:13,14 17:18 28:4 29:22,23 30:16 31:12,14 32:2,18,21 33:7 34:20 35:24 36:2,5,23 37:8,16 38:9,12 39:23 40:5 45:11,12 heck 29:14 46:11,15,18 47:10 49:7,8 50:7,8 52:6,7 **give** 15:22 16:2 17:9 help 30:25 given 16:10 35:11 37:11,12 48:13 **go** 5:17 9:9 12:6 13:9,13,15 20:2 22:6 26:17 32:9 33:3 34:1,14 hoops 13:2 37:2 38:19,20 40:22 44:18 46:1 going 4:8 8:20,25 9:1,14 11:10 12:23 14:10 16:2,21 17:6,22 18:13 19:25 24:7 26:5,6 29:17 30:6,24 31:18 32:6,25 33:13,25 34:1 35:1,7,9,11,21 36:20 37:1 37:11 39:4,5 41:24,24 43:19,20 44:18 45:19 47:16 **GONZALES** 2:18 **good** 11:25 17:8 21:19 22:16,23 33:22 34:8 52:14 governance 7:23 33:3,4 governing 7:20 31:22,25 32:3,8 32:15 34:2,11,15,25 35:16,21 36:9.11.14 37:3 **Grand** 28:14 great 7:2,9,14 12:14 19:16 29:25 31:9 49:4 group 8:20 9:18 11:17,22 34:19 34:24 35:10 36:21 growth 44:8 guess 15:10 17:9,10 34:9 35:15 40:14 45:6 46:23 Gutierrez 28:8 guys 7:11 41:6 Η Hall 1:12 hand 16:21 53:15 49:16 handbook 7:25 handful 43:14

happen 34:21 46:14 happens 32:22 happy 12:8 45:8 hard-line 37:13 he-said 30:4 hear 4:2,4 7:11 22:9 50:20,22,24 51:1,2,5,8,9 hearing 42:24,24 heartache 30:18 heightened 16:2 held 43:25 44:1 53:12 hereunto 53:14 Hold 18:18 35:25 40:10 46:25 hope 37:14,14 horrifically 28:18 HOSFORD 2:22 hundreds 43:13 I identified 21:6,9,12 23:3,14 44:24 46:5 49:13 identify 14:10 18:12 22:16 24:1 25:11 41:22 46:6,19 immature 42:16 immediately 48:21 impasse 30:2 41:11 implement 23:16 **impose** 39:10 imposed 23:21 **inaudible** 32:19 35:23 **include** 23:13 36:13 includes 11:17 inconsistent 25:7 incorporated 14:1 incorrect 46:14 increases 44:19 independently 40:21 indicate 43:9 indicates 4:12 24:12 31:3 50:19 inevitably 22:6 information 17:20 19:25 20:2 Ingham 2:5 4:21 31:7 33:9,14 38:10,21 47:17,19 50:14,18,19

51.9 10 52.0 10
51:8,10 52:9,10
input 30:8 insists 28:22
instances 22:22
intended 47:13
Intent 11:11
interest 7:15,19,23 14:25 15:13
16:1
interested 12:24 35:8,9
interpretation 39:3
interrupt 16:22,23 20:6
intervention 39:14,18 44:11 45:1
issue 13:6 22:4 25:15,21 31:13
35:9 38:25
issues 13:10 25:22
it'll 26:11 45:21
item 51:18
items 50:2
J
<b>J</b> 2:4
Jerry 1:12
job 1:25 20:2 53:24 54:2
join 29:4,13 31:12
joined 38:10
<b>Julia</b> 2:22 16:24 20:5 29:1 45:15
45:23 48:8
jumped 13:2
K
<b>K.T</b> 2:6 5:19
<b>Kaplan</b> 7:7,9 10:1 16:9
keep 29:17 36:11
KIMBERLY 2:18
kind 12:15 15:11 18:15 23:6
35:18 36:14 43:9 46:24 48:14
knew 11:13 33:17
know 7:17,22 8:12 9:4,24 12:3
18:4 19:1,18 20:2 22:23 26:5,11
27:7 29:5 30:5,19 33:20 35:13
35:15 37:9,13 38:2,17 39:15,24
39:25 40:15,21 41:18,18,19,25
42:1,8,9,15,21,22 43:6,20 44:4
44:7,25 45:6,15,24 47:1,13
<b>kudos</b> 40:15
L
lack 47:7

land 18:8.12 19:4 **language** 20:23 23:4,6,7 24:12 26:7 27:8 28:10 36:13 38:3,17 38:23 40:14,16 44:10,21 45:24 46:2 47:14 late 22:1 lately 22:22 law 2:22 11:22 12:7 13:4 14:4,20 15:1 16:16 18:11 23:9 24:10,13 26:7 31:12,22 39:3 40:25 42:20 43:4 48:2 laws 40:4 **lead** 5:12,17 Learning 44:10 **LEAs 8:4** legal 34:14 lesser 16:4 let's 5:9 6:4 7:2 10:6,7 11:3 29:17 43:23 44:2.9 letter 13:23 37:17 45:15 **liaison** 2:17 18:5,14,20 19:6,11 19:21 21:5,6,12 liaison/PED 21:15 License 53:21 **lieu** 5:11 likelihood 37:10 **limit** 39:8 41:16 **limited** 15:4 28:11 39:11 44:21 44:22 45:9,10 line 45:7,8 47:2 **linked** 15:20 List 3:13 little 17:17 20:25 22:1 32:3,3 35:18,18,19 38:23 40:13 located 19:4 long 14:8 41:16 42:20 longer 29:2 48:1,4 look 12:17 30:5,6 41:7 44:6 46:8 **looked** 47:11 looking 11:19 14:24 15:11 28:6 35:12 looks 18:6 **lost** 22:1.7 lot 8:2 13:14,22 20:18 23:25 27:7 30:21 34:1 43:8 45:25 lots 43:14 love 42:5 **Lower** 9:20 Lucy 2:12 13:2 29:13

Μ **Mabry** 1:12 **main** 35:10 **major** 22:14 making 21:4 33:24 37:20 45:16 45:17 **management** 28:11,12 Manis 2:6 5:2,3,12,14 6:12,13 10:18,19 22:24 26:13 29:3 **manner** 25:4,6 **math** 44:10 matter 9:7 53:13 matters 23:24 Matthews 11:22 12:7 13:4,5 14:4 14:20 15:1 16:16 17:3 23:9 24:13 26:7 28:25 31:22 45:25 47:13 48:2 Matthews' 30:8 mean 28:20 29:23 33:20 35:17 36:18 37:6 38:7 44:3,21 46:8 47:9 meant 24:1 mediate 39:5 42:10 43:10 44:13 46:9 **mediated** 33:22 34:7 39:16 43:2 mediation 2:22 31:15 32:21 34:3 34:4,6 35:13 36:9,15,20 37:6,11 37:18,25 41:1 42:1,7 44:16,20 44:22 45:4 46:3 mediation's 37:1 mediations 45:13 mediator 31:16,19 32:6,22 33:21 37:24 41:20 43:1 44:17 mediators 41:15 meet 9:18 meeting 1:10 3:13 4:8,9 15:16 25:19 32:10.24 35:4 36:19 46:21 49:21 54:3,4 meetings 30:6 31:25 member 2:4,4,5,5,6 7:24 15:6 members 7:21 8:5 15:2 36:18 membership 14:23 memorialized 31:23 mentioned 16:10 **Mexico** 1:2,13,22 2:23 3:4 5:23 20:20,20 53:2,9,12,13,19,20 Michael 7:7 **minds** 35:5

ladder 39:14,18 44:11 45:1

<b>IIII cu</b> 30.4	objectic
Missy 2:14 6:18 7:4,6 10:2,3 31:6	obligati
Monday 4:7	obvious
money 16:3,6	odd 35:
monitoring 14:6 17:22 19:23	Office 2
motion 48:13,19 50:1,3 51:18	<b>Oh</b> 12:2
motioning 50:21	Ohkay
motions 49:5	okay 4:2
move 5:10,25 6:1 7:2 10:6,7,9	17:11
11:3,13 49:9,14 51:19	27:15,
moving 51:17	38:19
	51:10
<u>N</u>	once 11
N 2:1 3:1,1	ones 12
name 18:21 19:11,13	open 1:
names 41:15	40:19,
narrow 39:21,21	operate
Nation 19:4 20:19,21,24 22:18	opinion
Nation/Health 21:1	opportu
Navajo 19:4 20:19,21,24 21:1,22	opportu
22:3,5,17,18	opposin
near 39:21	option 2
necessary 28:24	options
need 8:1 18:3,19 19:5 20:17 21:2	order 3
21:25 26:24 34:1 36:13,19 38:2	original
48:24 49:15	outrage
needed 49:22	outside
needs 18:14 20:25 41:13	overall
negotiate 39:4	overste
negotiated 32:13 38:18	Owinge
negotiating 34:5	
negotiation 15:25	
new 1:2,13,22 2:23 3:4 5:23 7:15	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3
7:16 20:20,20 24:12 27:14 39:9	<b>p.m</b> 1:1
49:15 53:2,9,12,13,19,20	PAGE 3
news 29:2	pages 5.
NM 1:20	panel 7:
non-substantive 48:15,20 49:3	panelist
49:12	paperw
note 33:13	paragra
notice 11:10 25:8,17,24	Parents
notices 39:13	part 8:8
number 18:8 37:17 54:2	particip
numbers 12:18,19	particip
<b>NW</b> 1:21 53:20	particip
	particu
0	parties
<b>O</b> 3:1,1	party 38
	- v

minutes 3:7 7:10 48:18

mired 20.4

objection 25:12,14,18,24,25	Ι.
objection 25:12,14,16,24,25	
obligation 24:8,9	
obviously 33:25	1
odd 35:19 41:2	]
Office 20:22	1
Oh 12:21 48:17	1
Ohkay 19:5	]
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	]
okay 4:2,10 7:11 13:12 16:25	1
17:11 20:11,16 22:20 26:20	
27:15,16 32:2 33:7,7,12 36:7	1
38:19 42:6 43:22,23 45:7 47:10 51:10	]
once 11:10	
ones 12:1 14:10	]
<b>open</b> 1:10 3:6 7:3 12:4 36:19	
40:19,23 54:3	]
operate 40:20	]
opinions 27:17	
opportunities 43:8,15	]
opportunity 49:17	
opposing 27:15	]
option 24:6	
options 18:10	]
order 3:3 4:9,9 34:17	]
original 27:8	]
outrageous 28:10	]
outside 24:2,3 25:5	]
overall 43:17	]
overstepped 24:24	
Owingeh 19:5	
P	
<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1	
<b>p.m</b> 1:11 4:7 52:15	[ ]
<b>PAGE 3</b> :2	
pages 53:10	
panel 7:8	
panelists 33:9 38:11	
paperwork 21:24 22:6	
paragraph 30:19	
<b>Parents</b> 2:9,11,13,16,19	
part 8:8 13:7 45:1,18,20	
participate 8:21	
participated 11:18	
participation 8:16	
particular 8:20 9:16 33:19	
parties 26:1 35:5	
party 38:17	

passes 7:1 11:1 51:15 path 34:14 37:2 PATRICIA 2:5 Pattie 36:12 Patty 13:5 28:25 Patty's 40:14 **PC** 2:22 **PD** 53:25 **PDF** 12:19,20 **PEC** 2:17,21 3:9 8:15 9:24 11:4 23:3 27:1 32:16 49:21 **PED** 2:7 8:15 11:8,20 14:12 21:9 21:17 29:11 54:7 penalized 9:17 people 16:11 35:8 percent 8:16,19 9:19 40:20 **PEREA** 2:17 54:7 performance 8:10.13.25 9:6 13:23 26:4 27:21 44:9 **period** 46:22 permission 48:15 person 7:4,6 10:2 15:5 18:21 19:11 Phase 25:10,12 **phone** 51:5.7 phrase 48:6 **nick** 8:6 place 27:21,24 30:22 please 5:13,17 6:5 10:12 26:14 33:9 50:6 51:21 **Pledge** 3:3 5:10,12,21,22 point 16:15 22:23 41:4 policies 8:9 **Poobah** 28:14 populated 48:23 49:16 population 9:16 position 18:22,23 19:12 43:9,16 possibility 9:8 possible 3:8 11:3 28:24 possibly 17:15,15 **post** 11:10 posted 11:9,15 47:21 power 28:12 powers 28:11 preference 12:9 13:9 present 4:13,14,16,22 5:1 11:5 presented 15:16 24:19 31:24 President's 20:22 previous 31:2 47:8

Page 7

previously 11:19 Primarily 17:17 prior 23:10 32:1 private 40:23 probably 21:12,18,25 35:11 36:9 44:3 problem 30:2 34:10 35:6,17 36:22 problems 20:19 procedure 23:3 28:22 procedures 23:18,21 24:15 proceedings 1:10 52:15 53:11 54:3 process 23:8,18 25:3 27:14,25 30:3,19 43:11 48:3 processes 23:20 30:21 procurement 7:17 **Professional** 1:21 **promoting** 6:18 7:8 Promulgate 11:11 **Proofed** 53:25 proposed 11:14 41:15 43:7 48:2 proposing 45:25 protocol 23:2,13,16,19,22 24:14 24:20 25:6 27:1.20 29:24 47:24 provide 19:25 20:1 25:7,17 41:19 43:16 49:15.18 provided 25:23 26:8 providing 17:12 provision 39:2 41:2,15 45:4 47:23 **public** 1:1,10 7:7,11,14 8:6 21:7 21:23 25:19 28:7 31:25 32:23 49:9 53:1,12 54:3,4,4 pueblos 22:4,17 **pulled** 17:25 purpose 9:4 pursuant 19:10 23:11,13 24:15 47:25 **put** 17:23 18:25 19:15,17 20:13 21:6 23:3 24:6,10 25:1,2,2 28:16,17 29:25 30:9 39:17 40:16 41:9 49:3 putting 13:4 17:19 30:18 0 question 17:2,9 37:17 questions 23:25 48:10 quick 26:12

quite 38:24 42:1,21 47:20 **quorum** 5:8 29:2 36:19,21

## R

**R** 2:1 3:1 raised 23:24.25 40:7 **raises** 16:21 raising 16:6 **re-**25:21 reaches 19:18 read 48:16 reading 32:5 42:24 ready 49:8 **Real** 26:12 reality 35:7 really 9:11 29:1 38:1 39:8 44:3 46:25 reason 21:21 42:14,14 reasonable 16:18 **REBEKKA** 2:3 **REC'D** 54:10.15.20.25 **RECEIPT** 54:1 received 11:21 31:7 44:4 **receives** 15:7 25:10 receiving 15:14 recommendation 31:19 39:4 recommendations 40:3 recommending 32:7 record 26:4 redundant 19:2 referenced 17:25 referencing 19:23 regard 37:6 **regards** 7:19 9:23 **Registry** 11:8 **Regulations** 7:18 relationship 15:24 relationships 15:4 relative 33:17 remedies 43:19 **remedy** 25:14 30:22 remember 31:11 reminds 28:6 remove 27:5 **removed** 27:11 **renew** 39:10 renewal 24:19 replace 14:23 replacing 14:12

report 25:10,12,22 31:16 32:5,22 32:23 39:13 **REPORTED** 1:20 **Reporter** 53:9,19 **REPORTER'S** 3:11 53:7 **Reporting** 1:21 reports 44:5 representative 47:14 **request** 16:13 21:25 25:12 required 7:19 8:4,8 9:9,9 13:25 15:23 18:11 19:9 20:12 23:17 31:11 39:6 42:5.5 45:4 requirement 9:19,20 18:7 requirements 9:12 requires 32:12 **resolution** 31:11 39:2 40:2 resolved 25:15 26:1 respond 23:17 41:20 response 20:21 21:25 50:4 responsibility 20:1 **rest** 41:8 restrictive 8:2,7 28:18 30:10 result 37:24 45:13 review 32:23 49:18 reviewed 49:1 **rife** 30:23 **right** 4:1 5:7,15 6:20 7:5 16:24 17:12 23:4 27:8,10 34:17 39:23 40:5 43:6 49:25 50:22 51:17 **RMR** 53:8 **RMR-CRR** 1:20 53:18 roll 3:3 4:11 6:4 50:6 roll-call 6:22 10:12 51:21 room 26:13 round 30:16 **rude** 47:2 rule 11:9,11,14,17,19 13:17,25 23:1,13,15 25:7 26:9 27:12 43:8 43:15 47:25 48:14 49:11 **rules** 24:16 **run** 22:21 **Russell** 2:10 14:3 S **S** 2:1 3:1 sad 12:15 Salute 3:4 5:23 Santa 1:13 2:23 28:7

satisfaction 26:1

sow 5.20
saw 5:20
saying 5:21 13:12 20:14,15 21:21
22:3,9 34:20,25
says 20:24 24:10
school 3:9 7:20,21 8:5,13,14 9:5
9:9 11:4,18 14:11 15:6,13,25
18:7,9 19:9 20:12 22:10,14
23:17 25:3,7,13,16,16 26:2,3
30:2 32:14,15 33:4 34:11,15
37:18 39:6 40:20 43:15 44:6
45:17 49:17
school-specific 14:12 17:20
School/Options 2:8,10,13,15,19
schools 8:4,6,7,23 9:6,15 14:5,8
17:6 18:16 19:19,25 21:24
24:23 26:10 27:22 28:7 42:16
43:8,18,24 47:4 49:15
schools' 20:1
<b>scope</b> 23:19,22 24:2,3 25:5 38:22
scoring 17:21
screen 12:11
scroll 40:13
scrolling 18:1
scrutiny 16:3,4,5
second 6:3 8:10 10:11 35:25
47:17 49:23 51:20
Secretary 4:13 41:22
section 17:1,16,24 28:21 38:21
47:18 48:1
see 16:20 17:12 19:16 21:21
30:17 36:13 47:19,20
seeing 16:24 50:5
seen 11:9
send 48:22
sends 32:22
sense 18:20 42:9 43:6
sent 11:8,16 20:22
sentence 18:20 40:23
serious 8:12 9:13
serves 15:6
Service 1:21
services 9:3
session 15:17 34:5
set 53:14
seven 49:15
share 12:10
SHARON 2:4
Sharyn 2:17 29:13 54:7
she-said 30:4

sheets 17:21 **short** 26:8 shortly 31:8 **show** 8:25 9:20 12:25 38:19 40:14 47:17 **shown** 49:10 side 12:18 27:3 sign 7:22,24 32:8,12 33:5 35:16 35:20,21 signed 7:7 19:24 35:3 similar 20:23 **simple** 37:20 simplicity 28:23 simultaneous 32:20 35:23 sir 7:10 51:9 site 23:2.11.12.22 24:8.12.14.18 24:20,22 25:6,8,11,17,24,25 27:1,15,20 29:24 47:23,24 situation 35:13 six 5:8 six-zero 7:1 11:1 51:15 size 22:4 slash 20:17 small 48:24 smaller 34:19.24 somebody 5:20 9:8 16:20,22 29:4 41:19 something's 43:20 somewhat 41:16 soon 11:13 sorry 5:14 7:3 18:1,18 29:1 40:11 40:14 46:15 51:10 sort 28:22 **speak** 14:2 **speaking** 32:20 35:23 speaks 46:2 **special** 1:10 4:9 13:1,3 54:3 **Specialist** 2:12 specific 20:25 21:13 22:1 30:19 49:17 specify 8:24 **speed** 33:15 staff 2:7 11:20 15:5 stage 29:2,5 standing 5:21 start 44:2 started 21:10 state 1:2 11:8 39:3 53:2,9,13 State's 8:21

stated 53:13 statewide 9:10 statute 7:17 24:7 45:4 stay 37:4 steadfast 45:17 STEVEN 2:4 **STEWART 2:5** sticking 15:11 straightforward 35:18 Street 1:21 2:23 53:20 strike 16:17 39:7 strong 16:13 struck 16:17 students 9:18.21 18:9 stuff 28:16 42:7 subcommittee 29:10 35:8 37:11 48:14,20 49:2,12,14,18,20 subgroups 8:17 subject 39:1 successful 9:21 34:4 37:7,7 **sudden** 17:6 **sufficient** 18:8 48:6 suggestion 12:4 41:4 suggestions 17:19 Suite 1:21 2:23 53:20 **super** 28:8 support 2:14 27:4 29:24 37:1 supposed 22:14 sure 12:12 16:19 18:4 22:10 25:2 30:17 31:14,15 33:14 38:24,24 38:25 40:9 43:5 44:3 45:17 system 8:18 9:2,11 Т **T** 3:1 take 10:12 25:13,18 29:6,7,10 31:19 32:6 33:1 41:7 45:2 51:18 52:13 taken 15:5 23:2 talk 13:19 14:17 talking 17:21 18:4 19:19 tangent 45:19 Taylor 4:25 5:11

technical 2:12,14 11:25 13:14 42:3 tell 12:16 13:5 45:9 telling 22:5 template 3:9 11:4,13 49:10,19 ten 36:8 41:20

Page 9

		Page 9
	I	I
tension 40:25	trouble 42:7	
term 17:20	true 53:10	
terms 13:16 23:11 24:1 28:10	try 22:24 23:5 41:23	W 2:23
		waivers 13:25
43:3 47:5	trying 17:23 20:21 24:12 26:10	walk 12:8 13:11 41:8
terribly 43:12	38:15 39:19 41:16 42:13,25	walking 48:8
testimony 53:14	47:2	want 11:5 12:2,6,17 13:10 16:14
<b>Thank</b> 4:6 5:9,24 6:4,25 7:2 9:25	turn 39:15	
10:1,5 11:2,6,7 12:22 16:25	turned 16:3	16:15,17 17:5,12 18:23 21:4
		26:16,17 28:21 30:5,16 31:12
19:18 30:15 33:10 48:8 49:4,24	tussle 28:21	31:13 34:14,21 35:24 36:10
51:12,16 52:12,13	tweak 48:25	38:8 39:7,15,21 40:22 41:8,9,11
thanks 13:1,3	twice 18:15	44:12 45:9 46:1,24 47:1,1 49:6
theirs 24:4 38:20	<b>two</b> 7:14 9:24 12:6 13:10 18:2,6	wanted 14:17 15:2 16:16 19:3,20
thing 14:17 16:13 17:1,5 21:2	24:17 26:1 35:5 44:5	-
33:19 35:22 44:16 48:13		23:3 31:14 37:19,23 38:19 39:1
	type 23:7	39:7 40:6 41:4,6
things 12:2 17:3,24 27:22 39:16	U	wanting 18:21 19:11,13 40:16
48:24		43:24,25
think 6:15 7:4 8:19 12:3,4 15:1	Uh-huh 50:23	wants 30:2
15:10,13,20,24 16:8,10,18,18	unable 13:6	wasn't 23:6 36:1,2,5
17:8,13,14 19:19 20:17 21:4	unclear 35:13	
22:10,13 26:24 27:10,14,20	understand 30:12	way 19:7 21:16 27:3,17,17,25
	understanding 45:7	28:1,1,1 29:12 30:10,20 32:13
28:15,17 29:5 30:4,13,13,20,22	8	36:11 40:17,17 46:12,23
33:20 34:22 35:7 36:12 37:4	understands 13:20	we'll 30:4 38:20
38:12,14 39:17 43:2,7,17 44:20	union 28:9,19	we're 6:21 12:23 14:9,24 15:11
45:12,24 46:6,9 48:21 50:20,21	unnecessary 27:19	16:2 17:19,23 22:1 30:13 33:14
51:1,3,4	unneeded 30:23	33:24,25 34:5,6 35:20 40:17,18
thinking 21:2	upload 11:24	
<b>Third</b> 1:21 53:20	uploaded 24:5 47:22	42:17 43:4 44:7,10,14 45:12,16
	use 8:14 9:14,17 44:20 45:24	45:17 46:20 49:25 51:17 52:11
third-party 15:3,8,21,23	48:21 49:6	we've 16:11 17:13 18:10 20:18
thought 42:4 43:21	48.21 49.0	21:8,10 22:21 33:15,21 34:2
<b>three</b> 7:10 13:21 18:10 36:10	V	37:10,12 45:23
<b>Thursday</b> 11:7,15 26:9 47:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Webinar 1:14
<b>time</b> 26:8 30:9 41:16 42:20 48:16	VALENZUELA 2:12	Wednesday 48:23
54:10,15,20,25	various 19:19	weekend 11:21
timely 44:17	<b>vein</b> 38:6	
times 18:6 24:17 40:8 41:5 42:21	Vice 2:3 4:10,17 5:9 6:5 26:14,16	went 13:15 31:15
	27:6 50:5	weren't 29:13
49:2	video 4:6	whereof 53:14
today 11:23,24 12:25 13:2,6 14:3	view 47:7	wonderful 4:5,6 10:5 11:2
29:6 48:22		word 12:17 31:7
told 13:5	violated 37:15 45:19 46:21	work 11:23 12:23 13:4 17:10
track 20:3	violating 43:4	22:12 43:5
Training 2:12,15	violation 43:3 46:5,10	worked 11:17
transcript 1:10 53:11 54:8,13,18	<b>visit</b> 23:2,11,12,22 24:8,12,14,20	
54:23	24:23 25:4,6,8,11,18,24,25 27:1	working 15:16
	27:15,20 29:24 47:24,24	wouldn't 28:16 39:12,13 46:16
Transcripts 3:7	visits 24:18	write 27:22 28:19
tribal 18:2,8,12 19:2,14 20:13	volunteers 15:5	writing 31:23
21:6,15 22:11,15		written 25:8,17 38:3,15 46:13
tribes 20:9	vote 6:22 10:12 33:13 51:21	wrong 42:25 44:14
tried 13:15	<b>voted</b> 33:16	wrote 28:9
triggered 35:14 42:20		WI 010 20.7

	-1	I
X	<b>51</b> 3:10	
<b>X</b> 3:1	<b>53</b> 3:11	
	<b>5a</b> 13:1 49:11	
Y		
yeah 10:7 28:2 29:21,23 30:7	6	
36:23 37:8,16 38:8,12 40:23	<b>6</b> 3:10 51:18	
45:12	<b>60</b> 25:9 41:24 44:18	
year 22:15		
yearly 7:22	7	
years 24:21 44:5	7 2:23 3:6	
<b>you-all</b> 11:16 13:23	8	
Z	<sup>-</sup> <b>8</b> 1:11	
<b>Zoom</b> 1:14	<b>8.7.1.c</b> 47:3	
<b>Loom</b> 1:14	8250N 1:25 53:24 54:2	
0	<b>85</b> 8:16,19 9:19	
0	- <b>87102</b> 1:22 53:20	
1	<b>87501</b> 2:23	
<b>1</b> <b>1</b> 2.2 12 9.11 25.12	<b>8th</b> 4:8	
<b>1</b> 3:3,13 8:11 25:12	<b>otil</b> 4.0	
10 3:7		
<b>100</b> 40:20		
11 3:8		
<b>12/31/2023</b> 53:21		
<b>15</b> 25:16		
<b>1630</b> 1:21 53:20		
<b>19th</b> 49:21		
2	—	
	-	
<b>2</b> 3:5 14:11		
200 2:23		
<b>201</b> 1:21 53:20		
<b>2023</b> 1:11 4:8 49:21 53:15		
<b>219</b> 1:20 53:8,19		
<b>22</b> 53:15		
3	-	
	-	
<b>3</b> 3:6 17:16		
<b>30</b> 41:23		
<b>300</b> 1:12		
4	-	
43:3,7	_	
<b>4.1.1</b> 26:22 29:25 30:14		
<b>4:00</b> 1:11		
<b>4:06</b> 4:7		
<b>▼•∠</b> ∪ <b>▼</b> . /		
5		
<b>5</b> 3:5,8 11:3		
<b>5/8/23</b> 54:2		
<b>5:32</b> 52:15		
	•	-