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## Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide the business rules for implementing the New Mexico Performance Management Framework, which was developed by the New Mexico Public Education Commission (PEC) with the support of the Charter Schools Division (CSD) of the Public Education Department (PED). Input from school leaders, legal counsel, and stakeholders has been received, discussed, and, to the extent reasonable, incorporated into this framework.

## Framework, Categories, and Performance Areas

| Framework | Category | Performance Area | Option |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic | State Accountability System | 1.A. State Accountability System | 1.A.1. State Accountability System |
|  |  |  | 1.A.2. State Accountability System and Supplemental Proficiency and Growth Data |
|  |  |  | 1.A.3. PEC-approved Performance System |
|  |  | 1.B. Outcomes for Special Student Groups | 1.B.1. State Accountability System |
|  |  |  | 1.B.2 State Accountability System and Supplemental Proficiency and Growth Data |
|  |  |  | 1.B.3. PEC-approved Performance System |
|  |  | 1.C. Participation Rate |  |
|  | School-Specific | 2.A. Mission Goal(s) |  |
|  |  | 2.B. Education Program Implementation |  |
|  |  | 2.C. Conducive Learning Culture |  |
| Organizational | Governing Body Membership, Training, and Responsibilities | 3.A.1. Membership |  |
|  |  | 3.A.2. Training |  |
|  |  | 3.A.3. Meeting Transparency and Documentation |  |
|  | Equitable Enrollment Process | 3.B. Non-discriminatory enrollment process |  |
|  | Compliance with Legal Requirements | 3.C. Annual Compliance Requirements |  |
| Financial | Financial Health | 4.A. Days Cash on Hand |  |
|  |  | 4.B. Annual Financial Audit |  |
|  |  | 4.C. Financial Reporting and Compliance |  |
|  |  | 4.D. Fiscal Oversight |  |
|  |  | 4.E. Enrollment Variance |  |


| 1.A. 1 | Use the state developed and provided school ranking. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.A. 2 | Numerator: <br> \# of students who score above grade level in fall for reading [PLUS] <br> \# of student who did not score above grade level in fall but do score at or above grade level in spring in reading [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring but who met growth target from fall to spring in reading [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring who did not make growth target from fall to spring but met growth target from fall to winter in reading [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring who did not make growth target from fall to spring but met growth target from winter to spring in reading [PLUS] <br> \# of students who score above grade level in fall for math [PLUS] <br> \# of student who did not score above grade level in fall but do score at or above grade level in spring in math [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring but who met growth target from fall to spring in math [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring who did not make growth target from fall to spring but met growth target from fall to winter in math [PLUS] <br> \# of students who did not score at grade level in the fall or spring who did not make growth target from fall to spring but met growth target from winter to spring in math <br> Denominator: \# of students who meet the state definition of attending for the full academic year (FAY) [TIMES] 2 <br> (Note: students can meet math and reading in different ways, for example a student can meet math with a score that is on grade level and meet ELA by meeting their growth target. See Appendix B for all the ways students should be included in the numeration) <br> - Add assessment to charter contract. The school chooses an assessment that provides supplemental proficiency and growth data using PED-approved nationally and/or state-normed assessments or, under special circumstances, with PEC-approved rationale, nationally and/or state-normed assessments with explicit growth targets and business rules for calculating the growth target as agreed to in the school's charter contract. <br> - Based on the school's assessment and growth measure, as defined in the charter contract, which must meet the terms defined by the testing company <br> - At or above grade level (or grade level equivalent) <br> - Meet their growth target <br> - Show the equivalent of one year's worth of growth <br> - Other, as defined by the testing company <br> - If fewer than $85 \%$ of FAY students identified to be tested are tested on the school-specific assessments, the results are considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1. <br> - If the testing data is not provided to CSD for review by the timeline established by CSD, the results are considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1. <br> - If the school changes assessments or the growth targets, it is considered a material change of the charter contract and requires PEC approval. <br> See Appendix |
| 1.A. 3 | Depends on each school's negotiated agreement and outlined in the charter school's contract |


|  | - Under special circumstances, with PEC-approved assessments that are nationally and/or <br> state-normed and that provide explicit growth targets developed by the assessment provider <br> - Negotiate a performance scale that is comparable to Option 1 and, based on 100 points, <br> approved by PEC. |
| :--- | :--- |
| - If fewer than 85\% of FAY students identified to be tested are tested on the school-specific |  |
| assessments, the results are considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1. |  |
| - If the testing data is not provided to CSD for review by the timeline established by CSD, the |  |
| results are considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1. |  |
| - If the school changes assessments or the growth targets, it is considered a material change of |  |
| the charter contract and requires PEC approval. |  |

target in reading [PLUS] \# of student groups meeting the SGP target of 45 in math [PLUS] \# of student groups that did not have an SGP of 45 but had $40 \%$ or more meeting the test company's growth target in math
Denominator: \# of special student groups in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups in math If $100 \%=$ Meets, if not, calculate:

## Approaching and Does Not Meet

Numerator: \# of student groups meeting the SGP target of 35 in reading [PLUS] \# of student groups that did not have an SGP of 35 but had $35 \%$ or more meeting the test company's growth target in reading [PLUS] \# of student groups meeting the SGP target of 35 in math [PLUS] \# of student groups that did not have an SGP of 45 but had $35 \%$ or more meeting the test company's growth target in math
Denominator: \# of special student groups in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups in math If 50\% or greater = Approaching.
If less than 50\% = Does Not Meet

- If the testing data is not provided to CSD for review by the timeline established by CSD, the results are considered invalid, and results will not be included in the equations.
- If the school changes assessments or the growth targets, it is considered a material change of the charter contract and requires PEC approval.
- Student groups must have a minimum of 10 Test Takers (TT) to be included in numerator and denominator.
- When a school does not have at least 10 (ten) students in any state-recognized student group, the school receives no score for that group, which does not impact positively or negatively their overall outcome for this performance area.
- If the school has ten (10) or more eligible students in a student population and tests fewer than $95 \%$ of the students, the untested students will be considered "not meeting their growth target" and impact the overall percentage for that student group.
Note: If school's test calculates MGP or SGP, may substitute with SGP, using business rules from Option 1.


## Exceeds

Numerator: \# of special student groups with $60 \%$ or more meeting the school's growth target in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups with $60 \%$ or more meeting the school's growth target in math
Denominator: \# of special student groups in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups in math Meets
Numerator: \# of special student groups with $45 \%$ or more meeting the school's growth target in
1.B.3.
reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups with 45\% or more meeting the school's growth target in math

Denominator: \# of special student groups in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups in math Approaching and Does Not Meet
Numerator: \# of special student groups with $35 \%$ or more meeting the school's growth target in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups
with 50 \% or more meeting the school's growth target in math
Denominator: \# of special student groups in reading [PLUS] \# of special student groups in math

- The school's performance on the state accountability system for special student groups is replaced with a PED-approved performance system using nationally and/or state-normed assessments or, under special circumstances, with PEC-approved assessments that are

|  | nationally and/or state-normed assessments with explicit growth targets and business rules for <br> calculating the growth target as agreed to in the school's charter contract. <br> - If the school has ten (10) or more eligible students in a student population and tests fewer <br> than 85\% of the students, the untested students will be considered "not meeting their growth <br> target" and impact the overall percentage for that student group. <br> - If less than 85\% of ALL students are tested on the school-specific assessments, the results are <br> considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| - If the testing data is not provided to CSD for review by the timeline established by CSD, the |  |
| results are considered invalid, and the school defaults to Option 1. |  |
| - If the school changes assessments or the growth targets, it is considered a material change of |  |
| the charter contract and requires PEC approval. |  |


|  | - A "re-enrolled student" is an "eligible student" who is enrolled in the school in the fall of the <br> current year (Y2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Starting Grades: K, $1,2,3,4,6,7,9,10$, and 11 |  |
| - A school operating a school with multiple grade spans will have a combined re-enrollment rate |  |
| that only includes "eligible students" (i.e., not students in Y1 who are in grades 5, 8 or 12). |  |
| - Re-enrollment can be to repeat the same grade or enter the next grade. |  |

## Appendix

Ways to Meet Proficiency OR Growth Expectations for Indicator 1.A, Option 2, ELA and Math

| Scored at <br> grade Level in <br> the Fall | Scored at <br> Grade Level in <br> the Spring | Met Growth <br> Target <br> between Fall <br> and Spring | Met Growth <br> Target <br> between Fall <br> and Winter | Met Growth <br> Target <br> between <br> Winter and <br> Spring | Include in the <br> Numerator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | No | No | No | No | No |
| No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Yes | No | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |

