FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

New Mexico Public Education Department Program Technical Assistance Guide and Subrecipient Program Monitoring Tool

Nita M. Lowey 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program

Community Schools and Extended Learning Bureau

Purpose

The main purpose of this technical assistance guide and program monitoring tool is to improve the quality of New Mexico's 21st Century Community Learning Center (CCLC) programs.

Improving the quality of the 21st CCLC programs in New Mexico is not only a transparent process, but a collaborative one. When subrecipients participate in the program monitoring process, they have the opportunity to share promising practices with the NMPED, who can then share those promising practices with other eligible entities. In addition, the subrecipients have the opportunity to reflect on areas in which they need to improve and through concerted conversations and coaching, they can identify the specific steps they need to complete in order to be considered in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward.

As part of the continuous improvement process, the NMPED uses the feedback and information gathered from the monitoring process and monitoring tool to:

- provide targeted technical assistance to subrecipients on program-related matters;
- provide professional development opportunities for subrecipients, subcontractors, and NMPED staff members; and
- create additional technical assistance and guidance materials.

Applicable Definitions

Child (Student) with a Disability

Child with a disability means a child evaluated in accordance with <u>§§ 300.304</u> through <u>300.311</u> of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) as having an intellectual disability, a hearing impairment (including deafness), a speech or language impairment, a visual impairment (including blindness), a serious emotional disturbance (referred to in this part as "emotional disturbance"), an orthopedic impairment, autism, traumatic brain injury, an other health impairment, a specific learning disability, deaf-blindness, or multiple disabilities, and who, by reason thereof, needs special education and related services.

34 CFR §300.8 Child with a disability.

In New Mexico, a child with a disability includes a child age three through nine who is evaluated as being developmentally delayed and who, because of that condition needs special education and related services.

Developmentally delayed means a child age three through nine or who will turn age three at any time during the school year with documented delays in development which are at least two standard deviations below the mean on a standardized test instrument or thirty percent below chronological age and who in the professional judgment of the IEP team and one or more qualified evaluators needs special education and related services in at least one of the following areas:

communication development, cognitive development, physical development, social or emotional development, or adaptive development.

Paragraph (3) of Subsection (B) of Section <u>6.31.2.7</u> NMAC.

Eligible Entity

Eligible entity means a local educational agency, community-based organization, Indian tribe or tribal organization, another public or private entity, or a consortium of 2 or more agencies, organizations, or entities.

ESEA Section 4201(b)(3).

English Learner

ENGLISH LEARNER.—The term "English learner", when used with respect to an individual, means an individual—

- A. who is aged 3 through 21;
- B. (B) who is enrolled or preparing to enroll in an elementary school or secondary school;
- C. (C)(i) who was not born in the United States or whose native language is a language other than English;

(ii)(I) who is a Native American or Alaska Native, or a native resident of the outlying areas; and

(II) who comes from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on the individual's level of English language proficiency; or

(iii) who is migratory, whose native language is a language other than English, and who comes from an environment where a language other than English is dominant; and

D. whose difficulties in speaking, reading, writing, or understanding the English language may be sufficient to deny the individual—

(i) the ability to meet the challenging State academic standards;

(ii) the ability to successfully achieve in classrooms where the language of instruction is English; or

(iii) the opportunity to participate fully in

society. ESEA Section 8101(20).

ESEA

ESEA means the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

ESEA.

Federal Award

Federal award means the Federal financial assistance that a recipient receives directly from a Federal awarding agency or indirectly from a pass-through entity.

2 CFR §200.1 "Federal award".

Federal Awarding Agency

Federal awarding agency means the Federal agency that provides a Federal award directly to a non-Federal entity.

2 CFR §200.1 "Federal awarding agency".

Grantee

Grantee means the legal entity to which a grant is awarded and that is accountable to the Federal Government for the use of the funds provided. The term "grantee" does not include any secondary recipients, such as subgrantees and contractors that may receive funds from a grantee pursuant to a subgrant or contract.

<u>34 CFR §77.1(c)</u>.

Homeless children and youths

The term "homeless children and youths"-

(A) means individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence (within the meaning of section 103(a)(1)) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act); and

(B) includes-

(i) children and youths who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason; are living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; or are abandoned in hospitals;

(ii) children and youths who have a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings (within the meaning of section 103(a)(2)(C)) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act);

(iii) children and youths who are living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations, or similar settings; and

(iv) migratory children (as such term is defined in section 1309 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965) who qualify as homeless for the purposes of this subtitle because the children are living in circumstances described in clauses (i) through (iii).

McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

Individualized Education Program

Individualized Education Program or IEP means a written statement for a child with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with IDEA B §§300.320 through 300.324.

34 CFR §300.22 "Individualized Education Program".

Non-Federal Entity (NFE)

Non-Federal entity means a State, local government, Indian tribe, Institution of Higher Education (IHE), or nonprofit organization that carries out a Federal award as a recipient or subrecipient.

2 CFR §200.1 "Non-Federal entity".

Pass-through Entity (PTE)

Pass-through entity means a non-Federal entity that provides a subaward to a subrecipient to carry out part of a Federal program.

2 CFR §200.1 "Pass-through entity".

Performance Goal

Performance goal means a target level of performance expressed as a tangible, measurable objective, against which actual achievement can be compared, including a goal expressed as a quantitative standard, value, or rate.

<u>2 CFR §200.1</u>.

Period of Performance (Performance Period)

Period of performance means the total estimated time interval between the start of an initial Federal award and the planned end date, which may include one or more funded portions, or budget periods. Identification of the period of performance in the Federal award per § 200.211(b)(5) does not commit the awarding agency to fund the award beyond the currently approved budget period.

2 CFR §200.1 "Period of performance".

Recipient

Recipient means an entity, usually but not limited to non-Federal entities that receives a Federal award directly from a Federal awarding agency. The term recipient does not include subrecipients or individuals that are beneficiaries of the award.

2 CFR §200.1 "Recipient".

Special Education

Special education means specially designed instruction, at no cost to the parents, to meet the unique needs of a child with a disability, including instruction conducted in the classroom, in the home, in hospitals and institutions, and in other settings; and instruction in physical education.

In New Mexico, special education may include speech-language pathology services. Paragraph (20) of Subsection (B) of <u>6.31.2.7</u> NMAC. *Subaward*

Subaward has the same meaning as subgrant. 34 CFR 77.1(b) "Subaward". *Subgrant*

Subgrant means an award of financial assistance in the form of money, or property in lieu of money, made under a grant by a grantee to an eligible subgrantee. The term includes financial assistance when provided by contractual or any other form of legal agreement, but does not include procurement purchases, nor does it include any form of assistance that is excluded from the definition of "grant or award" under 34 CFR Part 77.

34 CFR §77.1(c).

Subgrantee

Subgrantee means the government or other legal entity to which a subgrant is awarded and that is accountable to the grantee for the use of the funds provided.

34 CFR §77.1(c).

Subrecipient

Subrecipient means an entity, usually but not limited to non-Federal entities, that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal award; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such award. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding agency.

2 CFR §200.1 "Subrecipient".

Supplementary Aids and Services

Supplementary aids and services means aids, services, and other supports that are provided in regular education classes, other education-related settings, and in extracurricular and nonacademic settings, to enable children with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled children to the maximum extent appropriate in accordance with IDEA §§300.114 through 300.116.

34 CFR §300.42 "Supplementary aids and services".

Transportation (Related Service)

Transportation includes -

- i. Travel to and from school and between schools;
- ii. Travel in and around school buildings; and
- iii. Specialized equipment (such as adapted buses, lifts, and ramps), if required to provide special transportation for a child with a disability.

<u>34 CFR 300.34(c)(16)</u> Transportation.

Federal Programs Monitoring Requirements for Recipients and Subrecipients and Performance Measures

Federal law requires the Federal awarding agency (ED) to design a program. The program must be designed with clear goals and objectives that facilitate the delivery of meaningful results consistent with the Federal authorizing legislation of the program. Program performance shall be measured based on the goals and objectives developed during program planning and design.

2 CFR §200.202.

The Federal awarding agency (ED) must measure the recipient's (NMPED) performance to show achievement of program goals and objectives, share lessons learned, improve program outcomes, and foster adoption of promising practices.

2 CFR §200.301(a).

Program Performance Measures – Federal

In 1993, the Government Performance Results Act (GPRA) was designed to improve management throughout the Federal government. Federal agencies were required to develop a five-year strategic plan with long-term goals and performance measures. Each educational program has its own GPRA measures. Each year, ED analyzes the measures to evaluate the programs and ED's GPRA measures are reported to Congress annually in the Annual Performance Report.

Nita M. Lowey 21st Century Community Learning Centers Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) Measure Indicators.

GPRA Measure Indicators	Grade Levels	Performance Measured/Data Type
 Percentage of students in grades 4-8 participating in 21st CCLC programming during the school year and summer who demonstrate growth in reading and language arts on state assessments. Percentage of students in grades 4-8 participating in 21st CCLC programming during the school year and summer who demonstrate growth in mathematics on state assessments. 	4-8	State Assessment, Reading and Language Arts State Assessment, Mathematics
2. Percentage of students in grades 7-8 and 10-12 attending 21st CCLC programming during the school year and summer with a prior-year unweighted GPA of less than 3.0 who demonstrated an improved GPA.	7-8 10- 12	GPA
3. Percentage of students in grades 1-12 participating in 21st CCLC during the school year who had a school day attendance rate at/or below 90% in the prior school year and demonstrated an improved attendance rate in the current school year.	1-12	Attendance
 Percentage of students in grades 1-12 attending 21st CCLC programming during the school year and summer who experienced a decrease in in-school suspensions compared to the previous school year. 	1-12	In-school Suspension
5. Percentage of students in grades 1–5 participating in 21st CCLC programming in the school year and summer who demonstrated an improvement in teacher-reported engagement in learning.	1-5	Engagement in Learning

Source: U.S. Department of Education Office of Elementary & Secondary Education (OESE).

New Mexico Goals and Performance Measures

The goals and performance measures provide direction for the 21st CCLC programs in NM.

21st Century Community Learning Centers Program Primary Goals School Year 2022-26

New Mexico's 21st CCLC selected statewide student outcomes and performance measures at each community learning center.

- 1. Maintain and improve student academic achievement in language arts and math.
 - Of students earning a passing grade after the first grading period, 75% will maintain a passing grade by the close of the academic year.
 - Of students earning below a passing grade after the first grading period, 50 percent will raise their grades by the close of the academic year.
- 2. Design and deliver a 21st CCLC program to meet the needs of all constituent groups.
 - Of all survey participants, 85 percent will agree or strongly agree what the 21st CCLC program offered in their community is high quality.
- 3. Implement a program that engages students.
 - The program will meet 75 percent of the target enrollment goal each academic year.
 - The program will maintain an average attendance rate at 75 percent throughout the academic year for enrolled classes.

As part of the assurances included in the Request for Proposal (RfP) and annually thereafter, subrecipients are required to review the goals and performance measures and work with the local 21st CCLC team to develop an action plan to meet the goals by the close of the academic year.

Monitoring Requirements

All pass-through entities must monitor the activities of the subrecipient as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward and that subaward <u>performance goals</u> are achieved.

2 CFR §200.332(d).

The non-Federal entity is responsible for oversight of the operations of the Federal award supported activities. The non-Federal entity must monitor its activities under Federal awards to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and <u>performance expectations</u> are being achieved. Monitoring by the non-Federal entity must cover each program, function or activity.

2 CFR §200.329(a).

Each State shall have procedures for reviewing and approving applications for subgrants and amendments to those applications, for providing technical assistance, for evaluating projects, and for performing other administrative responsibilities the State has determined are necessary to ensure compliance with applicable statutes and regulations.

<u>34 CFR §76.770</u>

New Mexico's Program Monitoring Tool

Purpose

The purpose of this monitoring tool is to:

- Assist the NMPED and CSEL Bureau in meeting their recipient responsibilities under Federal laws;
- Verify if subrecipients are meeting the terms and conditions of the subaward;
- Determine if subrecipients are meeting performance goals;
- Determine if subrecipients are meeting performance expectations;
- Provide oversight of the authorized activities; and
- Ensure subrecipients are in compliance with Federal statutes and regulations.

The program monitoring tool includes nine (9) critical elements and is organized into two (2) categories: Program Management and Program Leadership.

Program Management

- A. Program Vision, Mission, and Primary Goals.
- B. Program Leadership, Staffing, and Professional Learning
- C. Program Environment and Setting
- D. Sustainability of the 21st Century Community Learning Center Program

Program Leadership

- E. Program Progress
- F. Student Programming
- G. Community Engagement and Collaboration
- H. School Partnerships
- I. Parent and Family Engagement
- J. Community School Framework

Each critical element includes New Mexico's guiding principles and the U.S. Department of Education's applicable Critical Elements.

Fiscal Monitoring Tool: Definitions of Indicators of Success, Evidence and Examples, and Performance Levels and Implications

Indicators of Success

Indicators of success are compiled from Federal regulations, Federal and State Statutes, State rules, NMPED's policies and procedures, and NMPED's protocol for monitoring the State's 21st Century Community Learning Centers. In addition, these indicators include known best practices and quality indicators that when implemented with fidelity, lead to quality outcomes for the 21st CCLC programs and the students and families they serve.

Evidence and Examples

Evidence and examples are documents, exhibits, and artifacts that allow the subrecipient to demonstrate compliance with regulations, statutes, rules, and NMPED guidance. These are items subrecipients should be able to readily produce for the monitoring team.

For each indicator of success, there is a list of the types of documents, artifacts, etc. that are considered as acceptable evidence of compliance. For many of the indicators of success, there are required documents that must be included and reviewed. These documents are marked as "Required" on the program monitoring tool. Any evidence provided should consist of materials or artifacts that clearly document program policies, procedures, or activities that demonstrate compliance with that requirement.

The evidence for each indicator of success should be the most recent examples. If evidence does not exist yet for the current program year, evidence can be included from the previous fiscal year. However, in this circumstance, documentation of current program efforts pertaining to the indicator of success must be provided even though it does not demonstrate complete compliance.

Note: Evidence and examples should not include any personally identifiable information about students and families (names, addresses, disability information, etc.) and confidential information about employees or subcontractors (social security numbers, license numbers, etc.).

Performance Levels and Implications

Compliant: Meets <u>all</u> of the components of the Federal regulations, Federal and State Statutes. State Rules, NMPED policies, procedures, guidance, and RfP #10-92400-20-26337 requirements.

Partial Compliance with Recommendations and Action Plan: Meets <u>most</u> of the components of the Federal regulations, Federal and State Statutes, State Rules, NMPED policies, procedures, guidance, and RfP requirements. Areas of need are addressed through an action plan developed by the subrecipient and sent to the CSEL Bureau for approval.

Noncompliance with an Action Plan: Meets <u>some or none</u> of the components of the Federal regulations, Federal and State Statutes, State Rules, NMPED policies, procedures, guidance, and RfP requirements. Areas of need are addressed through an action plan developed by the subrecipient in conjunction with the CSEL Bureau and approved by the NMPED.

Using the Program Monitoring Tool

The program monitoring tools is used as part of the NMPED's and CSEL Bureau's desk-top monitoring procedure, virtual monitoring review procedures, or during an on-site monitoring visit. The tool can be completed independently by the NMPED's and CSEL Bureau's staff, in collaboration with the subrecipient's staff and partners, or as part of the subrecipient's self-assessment (Indicator of Success and Evidence and Examples sections only).

The CSEL Bureau and its partners use the tool to guide the review of the subrecipient's documents, policies, and procedures. In addition, the tool leads the discussions with applicable personnel (staff, families, subcontractors) and is used as part of the observation of the 21st CCLC program, operations, and staff.

The CSEL Bureau reviews all of the evidence, examples, and information gathered before (checklists, self-assessments), during, and after (follow up and clarification) the subrecipient monitoring process. Then, the CSEL Bureau makes its final determination.

After the review, the CSEL Bureau provides the subrecipient with a written report. The report includes promising practices, recommendations for improvement, and any areas of noncompliance (with legal citations). When it is determined that the subrecipient has areas of noncompliance under Federal or State laws, the CSEL Bureau is required to notify the subrecipient of any deficiencies in accordance with <u>2 CFR §200.332(d)(2)</u>.

For those subrecipients who have *partial compliance with recommendations and an action plan*, they are required to complete an action plan with the steps necessary to address the deficiencies along with the adoption of the recommendations.

For those subrecipients who have *noncompliance with an action plan*, because of the number and depth of deficiencies, they are required to work together with the CSEL Bureau to develop an action plan. The action plan includes the steps that are necessary to address the deficiencies.

Within sixty (60) business days, the subrecipient must submit the action plan to the CSEL Bureau for approval.

The subrecipient is responsible for implementing the steps in the action plan. The subrecipient must correct the noncompliance as soon as possible. The CSEL Bureau monitors the subrecipient's progress in meeting the action plan steps and reviews updated information to determine if the recommendations have been implemented and the noncompliance has been corrected. Once the noncompliance has been corrected, the subrecipient is notified in writing by the CSEL Bureau

Failure to meet action plan steps, implement recommendations, and correction of noncompliance

There are multiple actions the NMPED can take when the subrecipient does not correct the noncompliance. The NMPED supports resolving the noncompliance at the lowest level possible in order to continue the 21st CCLC programming for students and families, without interruption. However, the NMPED is the recipient of the 21st CCLC program funds and is responsible for the fiscal and programmatic oversight and implementation of the grant in accordance with Federal statutes and regulations.

Continued noncompliance is addressed through a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) developed and issued by the CSEL Bureau. The purpose of the CAP is to:

- Correct identified deficiencies; and
- Produce recommended improvements.

Any continued noncompliance is considered as part of the subrecipient's risk in accordance with <u>2 CFR §200.332(b)</u> which may result in:

- Providing the subrecipient with training and technical assistance on program-related matters; and
- Performing on-site reviews of the subrecipient's program operations;
- Arranging for agree-upon procedures engagements as described in <u>2 CFR</u>

§200.425. 2 CFR §200.332(e).

Specific award conditions

If the above actions are determined to be ineffective in compelling compliance, based on an analysis of factors in accordance with 2 CFR \$200.208(b), specific award conditions may be assigned based upon the subrecipient's:

- risk evaluation under <u>2 CFR §200.206(b)(2)</u> which includes any items such as
 - o financial stability;
 - o management systems and standards;
 - o history of performance;
 - o audit reports and findings; and
 - o ability to effectively implement requirements.
- history of compliance with the general or specific terms of Federal award (21st CCLC);
- ability to meet expected performance goals as described in <u>2 CFR §200.211(a);</u> or
- responsibility determination.

Additional Federal award conditions may include items such as the following:

- withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given performance period;
- requiring additional, more detailed financial reports;
- requiring additional project monitoring;
- requiring the non-Federal entity to obtain technical or management assistance; or
- establishing additional prior

approvals. 2 CFR §200.208(c).

If additional requirements are going to be imposed, the NMPED must notify (in writing) the subrecipient as to:

- the nature of the additional requirements;
- the reason why the additional requirements are being imposed;
- the nature of the action needed to remove the additional requirement, if applicable;
- the time allowed for completing the actions, if applicable; and
- the method for requesting reconsideration of the additional requirements

imposed. 2 CFR §200.208(d).

In accordance with 2 CFR §200.208(e) any additional requirements must be promptly removed once the conditions that prompted them have been satisfied.

Other Allowable Conditions Regarding Noncompliance

Suspension of Funds and Withholding of Funds

If the NMPED determines that the noncompliance cannot be remedied by the conditions applied, the NMPED may take one or more of the following actions, as appropriate in the circumstances:

- Temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action.
- Disallow (that is deny, both use of funds and any applicable matching credit for) all or part of the cost of the activity or action not in compliance.
- Wholly or partly suspend or terminate the Federal award.
- Initiate suspension or debarment proceedings as authorized under <u>2 CFR Part 180</u> and Federal awarding agency regulations.
- Withhold further Federal awards for the project or program.
- Take other remedies that may be legally available.

2 CFR §200.339 and Paragraph (2) of Subsection (A) of 6.21.2.10 NMAC.

New Mexico law allows the suspension of payments, in whole or in part, under an applicable program if the department (NMPED) has reason to believe that there has been substantial failure to comply with any such requirements:

- the department shall not suspend such payments until fifteen (15) days after the department provides an opportunity to show cause why such action should not be taken;
- no suspension shall continue in effect longer than sixty (60) days unless the department within such period provides the notice for a hearing.

Under New Mexico law, payments can be withheld, in whole or in part, under any such program if the department finds, after reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard before an impartial hearing officer, that the subgrantee has failed substantially to comply with any such requirements; any withholding of payments shall continue until the department is satisfied that there is no longer a failure to comply substantially with any such requirements.

Subparagraph (b) and Subparagraph (c) of Paragraph (2) of Subsection (A) of 6.21.2.10 NMAC.

Hearing Procedures for the Suspension or Withholding of Federal Funds

New Mexico Hearing Procedures

- 1. When a hearing is required under these rules or other applicable Federal requirements, the New Mexico Secretary of Public Education shall appoint an impartial hearing officer to conduct the proceeding. The impartial hearing officer shall be an impartial decision-maker who has not taken part in the investigation and who is not under the supervision of a department employee who did and who shall not have personal, economic, or professional interest in the outcome of the hearing other than the proper application of Federal laws, regulations, and policies.
- 2. The following procedures shall apply:
 - a. a written notice of hearing;
 - b. an opportunity for the aggrieved party to be heard; and
 - c. a prohibition of ex parte contacts between one party and the impartial hearing officer.
- 3. The impartial hearing officer shall issue a written decision that includes findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Subsection (B) of 6.21.2.10 NMAC.

Appeal Procedures for the Suspension or Withholding of Federal Funds

Nothing in the NMAC shall abrogate any right to appeal to the Secretary of the United States Department of Education or other appropriate Federal agency as provided for by Federal statute or regulation.

Subsection (C) of 6.21.2.10 NMAC.

Termination of an Award

The Federal award may be terminated in whole or in part as follows:

- By the NMPED if the subrecipient fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the Federal award.
- By the NMPED, to the greatest extent authorized by law, if an award no longer effectuates the program goals or agency priorities.
- By the NMPED, with consent of the subrecipient, in which case the two parties must agree upon the termination conditions, including the effective date and, in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated.
- By the subrecipient upon sending the NMPED written notification setting forth the reasons for such termination, the effective date, and, in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated. However, if the NMPED determines in the case of partial termination that the reduced or modified portion of the subaward will not accomplish the purposes for which the Federal award was made, the NMPED may terminate the Federal award in its entirety; or
- By the NMPED pursuant to termination provisions included in the Federal

award. 2 CFR §200.340(a).

The NMPED must provide the subrecipient a notice of termination in accordance with <u>2 CFR</u> §200.341(a).

Effects of Suspension and Termination

Costs to the non-Federal entity (subrecipient) resulting from financial obligations incurred by the non-Federal entity during a suspension or after termination of a Federal award or subaward are not allowable unless the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity (NMPED) expressly authorizes them in the notice of suspension or termination or subsequently. However, costs during suspension or after termination are allowable if:

- a. The costs result from financial obligations which were properly incurred by the non-Federal entity before the effective date of suspension or termination, are not in anticipation of it; and
- b. The costs would be allowable if the Federal award was not suspended or expired normally at the end of the period of performance in which the termination takes effect.

<u>2 CFR §200.</u>

Opportunities to Object, Hearings, and Appeals

Federal Requirements

The NMPED must comply with any requirements for hearings, appeals or other administrative proceedings to which the subrecipient is entitled under any statute or regulation applicable to the action involved.

<u>2 CFR §200.342</u>.

When taking certain actions, the NMPED must provide the subrecipient an opportunity for a hearing. A subrecipient may request a hearing if it alleges that any of the following actions by the State education agency (NMPED) violated a State or Federal statute or regulation;

- Ordering, in accordance with a final State audit resolution determination, the repayment of misspent or misapplied Federal funds; or
- Terminating further assistance for an approved project. project.

<u>34 CFR §76.783</u>.

State Requirements

<u>New Mexico Requirements for Subgrantees Aggrieved by Final Letter of Determination or</u> <u>Notice of Intended-Action</u>

An opportunity for a hearing must be provided to any subgrantee or subrecipient aggrieved by a <u>final action</u> of the department (NMPED) and alleging a violation of state or Federal laws, rules, regulations, or guidelines governing the applicable program (21st CCLC) with regard to the department's:

- i. disapproving or failing to approve its application or program in whole or in part;
- ii. failing to provide funds in amounts in accord with the requirements of laws and regulations;
- iii. ordering, in accordance with the department's audit resolution determination, the repayment of misspent or misapplied federal funds; or
- iv. terminating further assistance for an approved program.

Subsection (A) of 6.21.2.9 NMAC.

Hearing Procedures

- 1. Request for hearing: The subgrantee shall request in writing the hearing within thirty (30) days of the date of the final letter of determination or notice of intended action.
- 2. Availability of records: The department shall make available, at reasonable times and places, to each applicant or subgrantee all records of the department pertaining to the review or appeal the applicant is conducting, including records of other applicants.

- 3. Hearing: Within thirty (30) days after the department receives a request for a hearing pursuant to this section, the department shall hold a hearing on the record and shall review its action or proposed action. The following procedures shall apply:
 - a. a written notice of hearing to a written notice of hearing to all parties
 - b. an opportunity for all parties to participate in the hearing;
 - c. prohibition of ex parte contacts between one party and the impartial hearing officer;
 - d. an impartial hearing officer, who has not taken part in the investigation and who is not under the supervision of a department employee who did, shall be appointed by the Secretary of Public Education;
 - e. the right of the parties to appear with counsel;
 - f. the right to present oral and written evidence and to conduct cross examinations;
 - g. the right to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law; and
 - h. the compiling and availability of a record upon which the impartial hearing officer's recommend findings of fact, conclusions of law, and decisions are made.
- 4. Ruling
 - a. No later than ten (10) days after the hearing, the department shall issue its written ruling, including findings of fact and reasons for the ruling.
 - b. If the department determines that its action or proposed action in whole or in part was contrary to federal or state statutes, regulations, and guidelines governing the applicable program, the department shall rescind its action or proposed action in whole or in part.
- 5. Appeal to the Secretary: If the department does not rescind its final action or proposed action after a review under this section, the applicant may appeal to the secretary. The applicant shall file a notice of the appeal with the secretary within twenty (20) days after the applicant has been notified by the department of the department's ruling

Subsection (B) of 6.21.2.9 NMAC.

Repayment

State Requirements

Repayment of misspent or misapplied federal funds, as determined through the audit resolution determination, shall be made from nonfederal sources or from federal funds, no accountability for which is required to the federal government.

Subsection (C) of 6.21.2.9 NMAC.

Federal Requirements

Payments made for costs determined to be unallowable (disallowed costs) by either the Federal awarding agency, cognizant agency for indirect costs, or pass-through entity, either as direct or indirect costs, must be refunded (including interest) to the Federal Government in accordance with instructions from the Federal agency that determined the costs are unallowable unless Federal statute or regulation directs otherwise.

<u>2 CFR §200.410</u>.

Prospective Funding

Continuation Awards

Continuation means continuing a subgrant from one year to the next through the original period of performance. The NMPED provides one year of funding at a time in accordance with the *sustainability support structure* (as noted in RfP 10-92400-20-26337). Each year, the subrecipient receives a non-competitive continuation award for each subsequent year of the period of performance. Continuation is based upon the availability of funds, project performance, and compliance with progress and financial reporting requirements.

Source: 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program Non-Regulatory <u>Guidance</u>, updated October 19, 2023.

New Mexico's Continuation Award Policy

The NMPED may make a continuation award for a <u>budget period</u> after the first budget period of an approved multi-year project if:

- 1. There is sufficient 21st Century Community Learning Center program funds available.
- 2. The subrecipient has made substantial progress in achieving the primary goals and performance measures at each community learning center.
- 3. The subrecipient has submitted <u>all</u> required reports including, but not limited to
 - a. EZReports per the RfP and assurances;
 - b. Four agency approved surveys (fall and spring);
 - c. Spring action plan;
 - d. Sustainability Plan;
 - e. Continuation report; and
 - f. Semi-annual report.
- 4. The subrecipient participates in the continuous quality improvement (CQI) cycle.
- 5. The subrecipient participates in the required professional development and training activities.
- 6. The subrecipient has maintained financial and administrative management systems that meet the financial management requirements under <u>2 CFR §200.302</u> and internal controls in accordance with <u>2 CFR §200.303</u>.
- 7. The subrecipient has corrected noncompliance in a timely manner or is making significant progress in meeting the steps in the action plan.
- 8. Continuation of this project is in the best interest of the NMPED.

New Mexico's Continuation Award Procedure

The decision to continue the award is determined by the CSELT Bureau Director in consultation with the Administrative Services Division (ASD) and senior leadership, if applicable. When making this decision, the CSEL Bureau Director considers the recommendations from the bureau's Deputy Director along with data and information gathered from the:

- Risk assessment;
- Desk-top, virtual, and on-site monitoring;
- Program monitoring tool;
- Fiscal monitoring tool;
- Student outcomes;
- CQI team; and
- External evaluators.

When issuing continuation awards, the CSELT Bureau considers the subrecipient's remaining funds from the previous year. This may result in the reduction of the continuation award if the subrecipient did not fully expend the funds from the previous year.

Note: If it is determined to not issue a continuation award, the subrecipient must be afforded due process and a right to a hearing with an impartial hearing officer in accordance with Federal and State laws as described above.

Renewability of Awards

ESEA Section 4204(j) stipulates that renewability can occur at the end of the original period of performance and is allowable under certain terms. However, New Mexico law 13-1-150 NMSA, 1978 indicates that professional service contracts may not exceed four (4) years. The New Mexico 21st CCLC program therefore does not allow renewability of grants. This means that grantees can participate in one grant cycle (5 years) and cannot be renewed for the next grant cycle. Grantees must submit a full application to participate in the next grant cycle.

Page 19 of 19 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

New Mexico Public Education Department (NMPED) Community Schools and Extended Learning (CSEL) Bureau Nita M. Lowey 21st Century Community Learning Centers Subrecipient Program Monitoring Tool

The Program Monitoring Tool is intended to assist the CSEL Bureau monitoring team as they conduct desk-top reviews, virtual monitoring visits, or on-site monitoring visits. In addition, the tool is intended to be used when meeting with the subrecipient's staff of the local Nita M. Lowey 21st CCLC programs. The tool is used to determine compliance with Federal and State statutes, Federal regulations, State rules, and department policies and procedures. Lastly, the tool informs staff of any needed quality improvements.

Basic information	
Subrecipient:	Date of Subrecipient Monitoring:
Subrecipient Address:	Type of Subrecipient Monitoring:
Subrecipient Phone Number:	 Desk-Top Virtual Visit On-site
Project Director Name:	Project Director email:
Fiscal Year of Award:	Amount of Award:
LEA(s) (school district(s) supported):	
Feeder School(s):	
Subrecipient staff interviewed and providing evidence:	CSEL Bureau staff conducting monitoring:

A. Program Vision, Mission, and Primary Goals

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient's/eligible entity's 21st Century Community Learning Center program has a well-defined student focused vision, mission, and goals developed in collaboration with students, families, community partners, and school personnel.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 A1. The program targets schools where the majority of the students participating in the program and receiving services, attend a school that provides schoolwide Title I services. Forty (40) percent or more of the student population qualify for Free and Reduced Price Lunch (FRPL). ESEA Section 1114. RfP requirement. 6.19.8 NMAC. 	 Title I school level data "Required" FRPL or CEP data "Required" School designation category STARS data Title I school waiver Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
A2. The program targets students who are enrolled in CSI and TSI schools. Students participate in the program and receive academic support that aligns with the	 School designation category "Required" 90 day plan "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 2 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

school's improvement plan. ESEA Section 1111(d). ESEA Section 4204 (i)(1)(A)(i)(I). 6.19.8 NMAC. RfP requirement.	 Program planLesson plans Student progress data Individual student plans Other 	Noncompliant with action plan	
A3. The program provides services and programming for target populations (Over 10% Native American, McKinney- Vento (students experiencing homelessness), students with disabilities, English learners, and students achieving in the lowest quartile). ESEA Section 4204(i)(1)(A)(II). ESEA Section 4205 (a)(5)(6). RfP requirement.	 Student demographics Required" Student enrollment data "Required" Student attendance data STARS data Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) Evidence of students with disabilities provided supplementary aids and services, including instructional assistants Student achievement data disaggregated by ESEA subgroups 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 3 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	"Required"		
 A4. The program uses targeted recruitment strategies to ensure that it provides services to a significant portion of students with the greatest need for academic support and youth development. ESEA Section 4204(i)(1)(A)(II). ESEA Section 4204(i)(1)(A)(ii). RfP requirement. 	 Student referral forms "Required" Communication with parents and families "Required" Recruitment policy within internal program handbook Communication with teachers and school staff (guidance counselors, social workers, nurses) Parental communication Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
A5. The program provides academic and other services to at least the minimum number of students, 75% of the target enrollment each academic year, as described in the RfP.	 Attendance records Average daily attendance Total students served "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 4 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A). RfP requirement.	 Attendance records verifying regular attendees Other 	action plan	
 A6. The program provides services for at least 8 hours per week for a minimum of 30 weeks. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(iii). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A). RfP requirements. 	 Weekly activity schedule (out-of-school time) showing programming and hours "Required" Materials used to communicate weekly schedule to families and students Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
A7. The program provides an additional 240 instructional hours (equivalent to 34 additional school days). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A). RfP requirements.	 School calendar with days of operation clear, including start and end dates, and closure dates "Required" Communication with families and students Attendance records 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

Page 5 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

□ Other	

Referenced Statutes, Regulations, and Rules:

ESEA Section 1114 - Schoolwide Programs.

ESEA Section 1111(d) - School Support and Improvement Activities

ESEA Section 4204 (i)(1)(A)(i)(I) - Students who primarily attend CSI and TSI schools. ESEA Section 4204(i)(1)(A)(ii) - Families of students who attend CSI and TSI schools.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(iii) - Dissemination of information about the community learning center. ESEA Section 4204(i)(1)(A)(II) -

Enrolling students who may be at risk for academic failure.

ESEA Section 4205(a)(5) and (6) - Services for individuals with disabilities and programs for English learners. ESEA Section ESEA ESEA

ESEA 4205(b)(1)(A) - Need for before and after school programs.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

New Mexico Administrative Code, 6.19.8 NMAC, School Differentiation and Support.

Page 6 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

B. Program Leadership, Staffing, and Professional Learning

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/eligible entity has strong leadership and a team of qualified staff and volunteers in place.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 B1. The leadership and staff (employees and subcontractors) are qualified and fulfill job description requirements. Leadership and staff are background checked. Required training provided on ethical misconduct, child abuse and neglect, professional responsibilities, sexual abuse and assault, and substance abuse. ESEA Section 4203(a)(6). 6.60.8 NMAC. 6.60.11 NMAC. 6.50.18 NMAC. 	 Position descriptions and relevant qualifications "Required" Records of hiring procedures License (if applicable) Background checks "Required" Evidence of mandated training provided "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
B2. The leadership and staff (employees and subcontractors)	Attendance records from two agency required in	Compliant	

are fully prepared to provide program services. ESEA Section 4203(a)(6). <i>ED's</i> <i>Monitoring Review Protocol</i> <i>II.11.</i> RfP requirement.	 person trainings "Required" Agendas, presentation materials, training guides, sign-in sheets from at least two (fall & spring) quality professional development sessions "Required" Professional development plan and schedule Evidence of sufficient planning time and regular staff meetings Staff handbook Other 	 Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
B3. If the program uses volunteers, the subrecipient recruits and uses qualified individuals to support the authorized activity. The program meets the 30% volunteer goal each month. Volunteers are background check prior to volunteering. Volunteers receive required training on ethical misconduct, child abuse and neglect, professional	 Roster of volunteers, including roles and responsibilities "Required" Volunteer database Volunteer policy for recruiting and vetting Evidence of recruitment and vetting of qualified 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	✓ Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 8 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

responsibilities, sexual abuse and assault, and substance abuse. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(M). 6.29.1 NMAC. 6.50.18 NMAC. 6.60.11 NMAC. RfP requirement.	persons		
B4. A Program Director is employed and oversees site coordination and supports program implementation at each center. RfP requirement.	 Position description with number of weekly hours and number of sites "Required" Organizational chart "Required" Time and effort Achievement of outcomes data Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 9 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

 B5. Ongoing professional development is provided for all involved in program implementation. Professional development includes the NMPED required professional learning and site specific training based on a needs assessment. Staff receive evaluations or reviews at least annually and are provided appropriate professional development based upon those reviews. ESEA Section 4203(a)(6). <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.11.</i> NMOST Guiding Principle 8: Staffing & Professional Development. RfP requirement. 	 Professional development plan "Required" List of attendees with session descriptions and dates of attendance "Required" Sign-in sheets Regular staff meeting documentation Evidence of employee and staff evaluations or reviews "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
B6. The program is appropriately staffed for the age of the students and the activities provided. Class sizes should not exceed 15 students per paid instructor or less than 7 students per paid instructor. Paid classroom aide is provided if classroom	 List of staff assignments and duties "Required" Evidence of staff to studio ratios "Required" Classroom roster 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

Page 10 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

ratio exceeds 1:10 and if a student's IEP specifically requires it as part of the supplementary aids and services.	 Family handbook IEP for student with disability "Required" 	
34 CFR §300.22. 34 CFR §300.42. RfP requirement	□ Other	

Referenced Statutes, Regulations, and Rules:

ESEA Section 4203(a)(6) - ongoing technical assistance and training.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(M) - use of volunteers.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) 34 CFR Part 300.

New Mexico Administrative Code Paragraph (8) of Subsection (C) of <u>6.29.1.9</u> NMAC, Standards for Excellence, General Provisions.

New Mexico Administrative Code 6.50.18 NMAC, Use of Volunteers in Schools and School Districts.

New Mexico Administrative Code 6.60.6 NMAC, Continuing Licensure for Licensed Educators in New Mexico.

New Mexico Administrative Code <u>6.60.11</u> NMAC, Required training Program for School District Personnel, School Volunteers, and Contractors.

New Mexico Out-of-School Time Network (<u>NMOST</u>) Guiding Principles: Staffing & Professional Development.

Page 11 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

C. Program Environment and Setting

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient's/eligible entity's program ensures students feel safe, supported, and welcomed.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 C1. All programs and activities take place in a safe and easily accessible facility. Outdoor space and equipment are appropriate and safe for the ages and abilities of the students. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(i). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.5. NMOST Guiding Principle 1: Environmental Safety. RfP requirement. 	 Documentation of safety policies and procedures Evidence that building is secured during program hours (i.e. doors are locked or monitored, families and volunteers are supervised when in building, etc.) Documentation of procedures for tracking students during program hours, including during dismissal, transportation times, and early and late pick-up Check-in and check-out Identification badges "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

Handbook	
 Evidence of a Learning Center Safety Plan that includes procedures for Fire evacuation Shelter-in-Place Lock down "Required" Records of drills specific to 	
the program	
 Documentation of facility accessibility School map with program areas Evidence of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements "Required" 	
 Evidence program has access to building spaces that are adequate for the activities being provided List of spaces used for program activities List of activities and schedule with room locations 	
Site inspection records including food safety inspections required under	

	USDA and the NM Environment Dept. "Required" Equipment inspection records Other		
C2. Students participating in the program travel safely to and from the center and home. Safe transportation is not a barrier to participation for any students. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(ii). 6.41.2 NMAC. 6.41.4 NMAC. ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.4. RfP requirement.	 Documentation of transportation plan that includes Provider's role and responsibility Insurance Pickup and drop off procedures "Required" Background checks for employees who transport students "Required" Documentation that transportation options are communicated to families (e.g. registration form, family handbook, etc.) Evidence of process to 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

Page 14 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	 regularly assess the transportation needs of all potential participants Transportation service documents (agreement with LEA, bus or van companies) Students with disabilities' IEPs if specialized transportation required "Required" Evidence of communication with school's or LEA's homeless liaison "Required" Other 		
 C3. The program provides an emotionally safe learning environment with meaningful inclusion for students. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(i). RfP requirement. 	 Procedures and protocols that ensure a safe and secure center environment "Required" Communication that is understandable and accessible with students, families, and staff regarding protocols "Required" Student surveys "Required" Code of Conduct 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	✓ Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 15 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	 Behavior policy with positive reinforcement techniques "Required" Lesson plans (Social Emotional Learning) Students' classroom behavior choices data Other 		
C4. Program environment is welcoming and supportive of students and their families. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(i). RfP requirement.	 Student and family survey data "Required" Evidence of implementation of PBIS, SEL, or culturally and linguistically responsive practices "Required" Evidence that language used on official program materials is inclusive Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
C5. Program provides USDA approved food (CACFP supper or afterschool snack program) and water for students.	Documentation of meals and snacks being provided "Required"	CompliantPartial compliance with	

Section 4205 (a)(4). RfP requirement.	 Meal or snack counts PED or ECECD reviews "Required" Student surveys 	recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
 C6. Subrecipient has a non-discrimination policy. Procedures are in place to file a formal complaint or a civil rights complaint. 2 CFR §200.300(a). 6.10.3 NMAC. 	 Documentation of policy "Required" Documentation of procedure "Required" Handbook 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(i) - Program takes place in a safe and easily accessible facility.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(ii) - Travel safely to and from center to home.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Code of Federal Regulations <u>2 CFR §200.300(a)</u>, Statutory and national policy requirements.

New Mexico Administrative Code 6.10.3 NMAC, Complaint Procedure.

New Mexico Administrative Code <u>6.41.2</u> NMAC, School Bus Inspections.

New Mexico Administrative Code 6.41.4 NMAC, Standard for Providing Transportation for Eligible Students.

New Mexico Out-of-School Time Network (NMOST) Guiding Principles: Environmental Safety.

D. Sustainability of the 21st Century Community Learning Center Program

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element I: Subgrants to eligible entities on a competitive basis.

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/Eligible Entity maintains and implements its Agency approved Sustainability Plan.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 D1. The subrecipient's sustainability plan identifies three sustainability strategies, the responsible parties for strategy completion, and timeline for strategy completion. The plan is updated annually. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(K). ESEA Section 42039a)(8)(B). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol 1.16. RfP requirement. 	 Sustainability plan "Required" Evidence of progress on plan, including Sustainability planning committee (e.g. list of members, schedule of meetings, meeting minutes, etc. Records of community outreach events, communications, and fund-raising activities Documentation of additional funding sources secured to support program "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

 D2. Plan includes the amount of local, State, and Federal programs that are being leveraged to expand and sustain the activities the program supports. ESEA 4204(b)(2)(C). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.9. 	 Records demonstrating coordination with at least one other Federal, state, or local funding source "Required" List of jointly-funded and planned activities, including date Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
D3. Program creates other partnerships that enhance sustainability and quality of services. Opportunities are leveraged and tracked. NMOST Guiding Principle 7: Administration & Organizational Development. RfP requirement.	 Documentation of partnerships "Required" Evidence of commitments from partners Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(K) - Preliminary plan.

ESEA Section 4203(a)(8)(B) - Plan describing how activities will be funded after funding ends.

ESEA 4204(b)(2)(C) – effective use of public resources.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement)

Page 19 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring) New Mexico Out-of-School Time Network (NMOST) Guiding Principles: Administration & Organizational Development.

Page 20 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

E. Program Progress

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/eligible entity collects and reviews high quality measures of students' success and uses the data for decision making and continuous quality improvement.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 E1. The program is regularly evaluated for progress towards meeting the 21st CCLC primary goals. Evaluation results are used to refine, improve, and strengthen the program or activity, and to refine the performance measures. ESEA Section 4203(a)(14). ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(B). ESEA Section 4205(b)(2). 2 CFR §200.329(b). 2 CFR §200.332(d). 34 CFR §76.722. <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol</i> <i>II.16 and II.17.</i> RfP requirement. 	 Copy of most recent evaluation report "Required" Evidence of program improvement efforts Evidence of implementation of evaluation plan (meeting schedule, minutes, list of evaluation team members, etc.) Documentation of changes made to program or to performance measures in response to evaluation results "Required" Updated 90 day plan for CSI and TSI schools 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

	□ Other		
 E2. The public is made aware of the availability of evaluation results. ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(ii). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol <i>II.18</i>. RfP requirement. 	 Documentation of communication of availability of results, such as: Website notice School or governing board meeting notices Report summary with distribution list Results published in newspaper or social media "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
 E3. The program regularly, and in a timely manner, enters required data into <i>EZReports</i> (statewide evaluation system). ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(B) ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(E). 34 CFR §99.31. 34 CFR §76.722. RfP requirement. 	 Deliverables calendar Policies and procedures that support regular and timely data submissions FERPA compliant data sharing agreement with school(s) "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

E4. The subrecipient participates in the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) processes. This includes biannual surveys, the Spring Action Plan(s), and end-of- year report/Continuation Proposal. ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(A). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D) and (E).	 Survey data "Required" Spring Action Plan(s) "Required" Continuation proposal "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.19.			
NMOST Guiding Principle 9: Evaluation for Continuous Quality Improvement.			
RfP requirement.			

E5. The data submitted is high quality, complete, valid, and reliable.	 Submission reports "Required" System generated error reports Program level data Site level data Survey return rates "Required" 50% families 80% students 100% staff 75% teachers Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
 E6. The program reviews the 21st CCLC goals and performance measures at least annually. The site-based team creates an action plan to meet the goals by the close of each academic year. ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(i). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D). RfP requirement. 	 Current action plan upto-date "Required" Previous action plan "Required" Team meeting agenda, sign-in sheets, notes, etc. Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	✓ Evidence available (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page 24 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

 E7. The program evaluations provide clear evidence of the positive impact on a significant portion of regular attendees and their families. ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D). ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B). ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B). Program evaluation reported by students participating (e.g. grades, test scores) School attendance or behavior data for regular attendees Teacher survey data Student and family survet Other 	 Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence available (no additional documentation required at this stage).
--	--	--

ESEA Section 4203(a)(14) - Evaluation of the effectiveness of programs and activities.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2) - Periodic evaluation.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(ii) - Evaluation made available to the public upon request.

ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(A) - Performance indicators and performance measures.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D) and (E) - Measures of student success and necessary data collection.

ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(B) - How data collected.

ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(B) - Public dissemination of the evaluations of programs and activities.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A) and (E) - Assessment of objective data and data collection of measures of student success.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(i) - Use of evaluation results to refine, improve, and strengthen program or activity.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B) - Use of evaluation results.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Code of Federal Regulations <u>2 CFR §200.329(b)</u> - Monitoring and reporting program performance.

Code of Federal Regulations 2 CFR §200.332(d) - Requirements for pass-through entities.

Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) <u>34 CFR §76.722</u>, Subgrantee reporting requirements.

Family Educational Rights and Privacy (FERPA) <u>34 CFR §99.31</u>, Disclosure of information and prior consent.

New Mexico Out-of-School Time Network (NMOST) Guiding Principles: Evaluation for Continuous Quality Improvement.

Page 26 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

F. Student Programming

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient's/eligible entity's program offers a broad array of programming that connects academic learning to the real world and uses a variety of instructional methods. Program reinforces content introduced during the traditional learning day and provides real-world applications of content through academic enrichment opportunities, extracurricular enrichment opportunities, college and career connections, and youth development. Students have a voice in programing options and have authentic possibilities to choose their programs and activities.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
F1. Program offers a broad array of activities that advance student achievement and support student success. The activities are based upon an assessment of objective data regarding the need for before and after school (or summer recess) programs and activities in the schools and community.	 Menu of programs and activities "Required" Needs assessment identifying need for particular program or activity "Required" Program schedule Student attendance data Student roster 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
ESEA Section 4205(a). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A).	□ Volunteer schedule		
ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.3.			

Page 27 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

 F2. Program participants regularly engage in at least one type of academic enrichment programming. Academic enrichment programs aligned with challenging State academic standards. Academic enrichment program aligns with the regular academic program of the school and the academic needs of participating students. Programming supports the performance indicators and measures. ESEA Section 4205(a)(1). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D). <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol</i> <i>II.3.</i> Section 22-2C-3 NMSA, 1978. RfP requirement. 	 Weekly activity schedule (before and after school) with academic enrichment offerings clearly marked. "Required" Description of core academic enrichment offerings. Lesson plans include State academic standards "Required" Lesson plans include local curricula designed to improve student academic achievement "Required" Lesson plans align with the regular academic program Student roster Student attendance data Individual programs based on individual student assessment results "Required" Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum Agency approval of program 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
--	--	---	--

Page 28 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	□ Other		
F3. Program participants regularly engage in at least one extracurricular enrichment opportunity. Programming connects academic learning to the real world through hands- on, project-based applications. Extracurricular enrichment opportunity aligns with the regular academic program of the school and the academic needs of participating students. Opportunities support performance indicators and measures. ESEA Section 4205(a). <i>ED's Monitoring Review</i> <i>Protocol II.3.</i> RfP requirement.	 Weekly activity schedule (before and after school) with extracurricular enrichment offerings clearly marked "Required" Description of extracurricular enrichment opportunities "Required" Student roster Student attendance data Lesson plans align with the regular academic program Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum Agency approval of program "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

 F4. Each day of the program, college and career readiness components are intentionally integrated into the program along with extracurricular enrichment activities. Connections to college and careers activities are designed to both educate and inspire students to achieve goals beyond high school. 	 Weekly activity schedule (before and after school) with college and career readiness offerings clearly marked "Required" Description of college and career connections Student roster Student attendance 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
 Extracurricular enrichment activities align with the regular academic program of the school and the academic needs of participating students. Activities support performance indicators and measures. ESEA Section 4205(a)(14). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D). RfP requirement 	 Lesson plans align with the regular academic program "Required" Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum "Required" Agency approval of program Other 		

□ Weekly activity schedule (before and after school) with youth development offerings clearly marked "Required"	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations 	
Description of youth development programming "Required"	Noncompliant with action plan	
Student rosterStudent attendance		
Lesson plans align with the regular academic program		
Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum		
 Agency approval of program Other 		
	 (before and after school) with youth development offerings clearly marked "Required" Description of youth development programming "Required" Student roster Student attendance Lesson plans align with the regular academic program Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum 	 (before and after school) with youth development offerings clearly marked "Required" Description of youth development programming "Required" Student roster Student attendance Lesson plans align with the regular academic program Evidence of consultation with school staff re: students and curriculum Agency approval of program

F6. The program uses best practices, including evidence or research-based practices, to provide educational and related activities that will complement	Documentation that the best practice meets one of the 4 tiers of evidence under the ESSA "Required"	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
and enhance academic performance and achievement, connect to the real world, integrate college and career	Description of best practices being implemented by program	Noncompliant with action plan	
readiness, and provide positive youth development.	Documentation that best practices are being implemented (lesson plans, activity plans, professional		
ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(C). ESEA Section 8101(21). RFP requirement.	development for staff) "Required"		

F7. Students participating in the program are involved in choosing or providing input into program activities. ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(i).	 Documented process of seeking input from students Evidence that results of student surveys are used to refine, improve, and strengthen the program or activity "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
RfP requirement.	 Program records demonstrate opportunities for students to make choices about the activities in which they participate (e.g., daily or weekly schedule, etc.) "Required" Other 		

ESEA Section 4205(a) - Authorized Activities.

- ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(A) Measures of Effectiveness based on objective data.
- ESEA Section 4205(a)(1) Authorized Activities academic enrichment.
- ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D) Measures of Effectiveness alignment to regular program.
- ESEA Section 4205(a)(14) Career Technical Education.
- ESEA Section 4205(a)(7) and (12) Authorized Activities cultural programs and drug and violence prevention programs.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(C) - Measures of Effectiveness – evidence-based research.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B)(i) - Use of Results – used to refine, improve, and strengthen program or activity.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

ESEA Section 8101(21) - Definition of Evidence Based.

Section <u>22-2C-3</u> NMSA, 1978. Academic content and performance standards.

Page 34 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

G. Community Engagement and Collaboration

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/eligible entity engages and collaborates with community stakeholders.

Program Management				
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes	
G1. The program is carried out through a partnership with at least one community-based organization and other public or private entities where appropriate.ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(H).RfP requirement.	 Documentation of at least (1) partnership each semester "Required" List of partners and description of contributions Evidence of involvement of partners in providing program services (e.g. program schedule, communication advertising offerings led by partners, etc.) "Required" Records of meetings with partners or of advisory board meetings that involve partners Evidence of diverse partnerships that reflect the community served by the program 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 		

Page **35** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	□ Other		
 G2. Information about the 21st CCLC (including its location) is disseminated to the community in a manner that is understandable and accessible. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(iii). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.6. 	 Dissemination examples (e.g. social media, website, newspapers, school newsletter, fliers, school board or governing council meeting minutes) "Required" Informational and recruitment materials in languages other than English, if applicable 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	
RfP requirement.	□ Other		

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(H) - partnership between LEA, CBO, and another entity.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(A)(iii) – information dissemination.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Page 36 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

H. School Partnerships

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient's/Eligible Entity's partnership with the participating school is characterized by active collaboration and communication.

Program Management			
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes
 H1. The program is carried out in active collaboration with the school(s) the students attend. Collaboration includes sharing of relevant data among the schools. Data sharing is in compliance with applicable laws relating to privacy and confidentiality. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(D)(i). 34 CFR Part 99. <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.8.</i> 	 Documentation of collaboration between program and day school, such as: Evidence of regular communication with school admin. and staff about program (e.g. meeting agendas or minutes, communication) Teacher surveys or other tools used to gather feedback from day school teachers Records of program staff involvement in day school activities (e.g. minutes from school-based improvement team meetings, grade level meetings, etc.) "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

	 Records of school personnel involvement in program (e.g. evidence of day school staff serving on 21st CCLC advisory board, as volunteers, or as activity leaders) "Required" Other 		
 H2. The subrecipient provides timely and meaningful consultation with appropriate officials of private schools about opportunities and availability of equitable services for students enrolled in private schools. ESEA Section 8501(a)(1), (3)(A). RfP requirement. 	 Annual assurances Records of consultation with private schools within a 20 minute drive radius "Required" N/A – no private schools in attendance area Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
 H3. The academic services are clearly aligned with the school's instruction and challenging State and local standards. Services are aligned with local curricula that are designed to improve student academic achievement. ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(D)(ii). ESEA Section 4205(a)(1). ESEA 	 Lesson plans and activity plans show alignment to standards and identified learning objectives "Required" Examples of curriculum or instructional approaches aligned with school day program or state and local standards 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

Page **38** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

Section 4203(a)(14)(A). <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol</i> <i>II.8.</i> Section 22-2C-3 NMSA, 1978.	 Documentation of communication or collaboration between day school staff and program staff regarding alignment of 21st CCLC activities and day school instruction Other 		
H4. The program's and activity's measures of student success, align with the regular academic program of the school, the academic needs of participating students, and include performance indicators and measures. Student success and improvement is tracked over time. (Performance indicators and measures include State assessment results, improved attendance during school day, better classroom grades, regular (or consistent) program attendance, and on time advancement to the next grade). ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D). ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B(i). <i>ED's Monitoring Review Protocol</i> <i>II.8.</i>	 Evidence of the program and activities aligning with day school Lesson plans Activity plans Weekly schedule "Required" Documentation of data from performance indicators and measures reviewed with school staff Meeting agenda List of attendees Notes "Required" Evidence of results were used to refine, improve, and strengthen program or activity, and to refine performance measures "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	

Page **39** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	□ Other		
--	---------	--	--

Page 40 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(D)(i) – active collaboration with the schools.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(D)(ii) – alignment with challenging State academic standards and local academic standards.

ESEA Section 4205(a)(1) – alignment of program with State academic standards and local curricula design.

ESEA Section 4203(a)(14)(A) – description of performance indicators and performance measures.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(1)(D) – measures of student success alignment.

ESEA Section 4205(b)(2)(B(i) – use of results.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Section 22-2C-3 NMSA, 1978 Academic content and performance standards.

ESEA Section 8501(a)(1), (3)(A) – equitable services for students in private schools.

Family Educational Rights and Privacy (FERPA) 34 CFR Part 99.

Page **41** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

I. Parent and Family Engagement

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/Eligible Entity treats parents and families as essential partners in the program's efforts to support youth.

Indicators of SuccessEvidence & ExamplesPerformance LevelNotes11. The program provides opportunities for families to engage in their children's education. Information about the center's operations is provided to community members in a manner that is understandable and accessible.Documentation of family recruitment "Required" Schedule of family engagement events for current year, including a description and purpose of each activity (literacy and other educational services) "Required"Documentation adp events for current year, including a description and purpose of each activity (literacy and other educational services) "Required"Documentation of a minimum action planPartial compliant events for current year, including a description and purpose of each activity (literacy and other educational services) "Required"Noncompliant with action planESEA Section 4201(a)(3). ESEA Section 4205(a)(10). ED'S Monitoring Review Protocol II.6.List of other 21st CCLC sponsored family engagement opportunities (e.g., committees, advisory board, field trips, etc.)Documentation of a minimum of (2) adult learningPdB requirementDocumentation of a minimum of (2) adult learningDocumentation of a minimum of (2) adult learningHerefore adult learning	Program Implementation				
opportunities for families to engage in their children's education. Information about the center's operations is provided to community members in a manner that is understandable and accessible. recruitment "Required"Schedule of family engagement events for current year, including a description and purpose of each activity (literacy and other educational services) "Required"ESEA Section 4201(a)(3).ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(F).ESEA Section 4205(a)(10). ED's Monitoring Review Protocol II.6. List of other 21st CCLC sponsored family engagement opportunities (e.g., committees, advisory board, field trips, etc.)Documentation of a minimum	Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes	
opportunities per semester "Required" Evidence that identified	opportunities for families to engage in their children's education. Information about the center's operations is provided to community members in a manner that is understandable and accessible. ESEA Section 4201(a)(3). ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(F). ESEA Section 4205(a)(10). ED's Monitoring Review	 recruitment "Required" Schedule of family engagement events for current year, including a description and purpose of each activity (literacy and other educational services) "Required" List of other 21st CCLC sponsored family engagement opportunities (e.g., committees, advisory board, field trips, etc.) Documentation of a minimum of (2) adult learning opportunities per semester "Required" 	 Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with 		

Page 42 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

	 barriers to family participation are addressed by the program (e.g. child care, transportation, alternative scheduling) Family survey data Family Handbook Other 		
I2. The program has parents and guardians actively involved in planning and implementing the program.RfP requirement.	 Documentation of families attending events that highlight, in an interactive way, student work and learning, at least (1) each semester. "Required" Evidence of family advisory board or meetings in which families provide input. "Required" Evidence of alignment between family engagement activities and the identified needs of students and families Volunteer records of family members (e.g. invitations to volunteer, volunteer logs, etc.) Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).

- ESEA Section 4201(a)(3) active and meaningful engagement.
- ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(F) schoolwide programs and their families.
- ESEA Section 4205(a)(10) parenting skills programs.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Page 44 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

J. Community School Framework

U.S. Department of Education's Critical Element II: SEA uses standards, assessments, monitoring, and evaluation to hold subgrantees accountable.

New Mexico's Guiding Principle: Subrecipient/Eligible Entity actively works together with the schools, community, families, and students to meet the Community School Framework.

Program Implementation				
Indicators of Success	Evidence & Examples	Performance Level	Notes	
J1. The program utilizes the school's needs assessment, asset map, vision, and input from the community through listening sessions and data collection to select the interventions. Integrated Student Supports (ISS) address non-academic and out-of- school barriers to learning. The ISS intervention being implemented is an evidenced- based strategy or best practice that supports students, families, and communities in ensuring student success. Section 22-32-2 NMSA, 1978. Section 22-32-6 NMSA, 1978. 6.30.15 NMAC. RfP requirement.	 Needs assessment Asset map Listening sessions notes Data collection Documentation that the evidence-based strategy or best practice meets one of the 4 tiers of evidence under the ESSA "Required" ISS are culturally and linguistically responsive 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).	

Page 45 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

J2. The program utilizes the school's needs assessment, asset map, vision, and input from the community through listening	Needs assessmentListening sessions notes	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).	
community through listening sessions and data collection to select the interventions. Collaborative Leadership and Practice (CLP) builds a culture of professional learning, collective trust and responsibility using strategies that, at a minimum, include a site-based leadership team and a community school coordinator. The CLP intervention being implemented is an evidenced- based strategy or best practice that support students, families, and communities in ensuring student success.	 Data collection Family survey data Documentation that the evidence-based strategy or best practice meets one of the 4 tiers of evidence under the ESSA "Required" 	 Noncompliant with action plan 	required at unis stage).	
	 Student survey data School staff survey data Site-based leadership team agenda, minutes, and roster 			
Section 22-32-2 NMSA, 1978. Section 22-32-6 NMSA, 1978. 6.30.15 NMAC. RfP requirement.	 Community school coordinator job description and roles and responsibilities Other 			

J3. The program utilizes the school's needs assessment, asset	Needs assessment	Compliant	Evidence submitted during the application process (no
	 Needs assessment Asset map Listening sessions notes Data collection Family Surveys School staff surveys Attendance data Documentation that the evidence-based strategy or best practice meets one of the 4 tiers of evidence under the ESSA "Required" Other 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	•
Section 22-32-6 NMSA, 1978. 6.30.15 NMAC. RfP requirement.			

J4. The program utilizes the school's needs assessment, asset map, vision, and input from the community through listening sessions and data collection to select the interventions. Active Family and Community Engagement (AFCE) promotes healthy interactions. People from diverse backgrounds are empowered partners that promote a vision of student success. Schools function as neighborhood hubs. Courses, activities, and services are offered for parents, caregivers, and community members. The AFCE interventions being implemented are evidenced- based strategies or best practices that support students, families, and communities in ensuring student success. Section 22-32-2 NMSA, 1978. Section 22-32-6 NMSA, 1978. 6.30.15 NMAC.	 Needs assessment Asset map Listening sessions notes Data collection Family surveys Description of course offerings for adults and schedule (2 sessions/ semester) "Required" Documentation that the evidence-based strategy or best practice meets one of the 4 tiers of evidence under the ESSA "Required" Schedule of courses Agenda or syllabus for courses 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
	 Schedule of courses Agenda or syllabus for courses Roster of adult participants (correlated with participating students in program) Participant evaluations of courses and activities Other 		

Page **48** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

J5. The program allows for a broader use of the school, including neighborhood events, community activities, school and community advocacy, and civic life.	 Rental/Usage agreements Activity schedule "Required" Communication such as social media, newsletter, fliers, website, etc. "Required" 	 Compliant Partial compliance with recommendations Noncompliant with action plan 	Evidence submitted during the application process (no additional documentation required at this stage).
Subsection (B)(1) of Section 22-32-6. Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph (C) of 6.30.15.8 NMAC. RfP requirement.	Other		

Note: For supporting Indicators of Success and Evidence and Examples of the Community School Strategy, see sections:

<u>Integrated Student Supports</u> – Program Vision, Mission and Primary Goals, Program Environment and Setting, Student Programming, and School Partnerships.

<u>Collaborative Leadership and Practice</u> – Program Leadership, Staffing, and Professional Learning, Community Engagement and Collaboration, and Parent and Family Engagement.

Expanded Learning Time and Opportunities – Student Programming, Community Engagement and Collaboration, School Partnerships, and Parent and Family Engagement.

<u>Active Family and Community Engagement</u> – Community Engagement and Collaboration, School Partnerships, and Parent and Family Engagement.

Referenced Statutes, Regulations, and Rules:

Section 22-32-2 NMSA, 1978. Purpose (Community Schools Act).

Section 22-32-6 NMSA, 1978. Community school framework; community school coordinator.

New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), 6.30.15 NMAC. Community Schools.

ESEA Section 4204(b)(2)(N) - other information and assurances the State educational agency may reasonably require (RfP requirement).

Page 49 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)

Promising Practices

Successful practices observed with this Out-of-School Time (OST) Program:

Promising Practices

Recommendations to Strengthen Practice(s)

Areas that are partially compliant but need some improvement:

Recommendations

Summary of Actions to be taken

Based on areas of partial compliance and noncompliance:

Overview of Noncompliance				For CSELT Bureau Staff Only			
Indicators of Success	Summary of Finding	Supporting Documentation Needed	Timeline	Date Received	CSEL Analysis	CSEL Decision	Date Resolved

Page 50 of 50 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring) The subrecipient must complete an action plan to address the recommendations and to correct the areas of noncompliance. Findings of noncompliance must be corrected as soon as possible.

Please email the action	plan to	at

_no later than ______.

The CSELT Bureau will review and approve the action plan. It is the subrecipient's responsibility to complete the action steps, in accordance with the timelines, and send the supporting documentation and evidence to the CSELT Bureau. Upon receipt, the CSELT Bureau will review the documentation and evidence to determine if the noncompliance has been corrected or if additional documentation and evidence are needed.

Failure to correct the noncompliance in a timely manner can result in the NMPED taking any of the actions described in the <u>Failure to</u> <u>meet action plan steps, implement recommendations, and correction of noncompliance</u> section above, in order to compliance compliance. The CSELT Bureau will issue a letter of compliance when all of the findings have been corrected.

Page **51** of **50** 21st CCLC Program Monitoring Tool FY25 (Pilot Monitoring)